



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963 A

41.46 2 3

i



DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

REPORT DOCUME	ENTATION PAGE READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FO
T. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	AU A128559
4. TITLE (and Subtilie)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD CO
Some Effects of Stream	
a Voice Recognition a Preliminary Inquir	
T. AUTHOR(4)	S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER
Brian Arthur French	
D. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Naval Postgraduate S Monterey, California	
I. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND A	
Naval Postgraduate S	
Monterey, California	/4
A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDI	RESS(il dillorent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report UNCLASSIFIED
	15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRA
Approved for public	
Approved for public	release; distribution unlimited
Approved for public 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the of	release; distribution unlimited
Approved for public 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the of 10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Report) release; distribution unlimited betreet entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report)
Approved for public 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the of 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Report) release; distribution unlimited betreet entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) If necessary and identify by block number) Human Factors
Approved for public 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the of 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde Voice Data Entry Speech Recognition	Report) release; distribution unlimited betweet entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) If necessary and identify by block number) Human Factors Time-induced Stress
Approved for public 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the of 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde Voice Data Entry Speech Recognition Voice Recognition	Report) release; distribution unlimited betweet entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) If necessary and identify by block number) Human Factors Time-induced Stress Stress
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the of 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side Voice Data Entry Speech Recognition Voice Recognition Automatic Speech Rec 	Report) release; distribution unlimited betreet entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) If necessary and identify by block number) Human Factors Time-induced Stress Stress
Approved for public 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the of 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde Voice Data Entry Speech Recognition Voice Recognition Voice Recognition Automatic Speech Reco 20. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde Tecent work with on applications and machine interface. To users of such equipm fect on their percer given a message-hand	Report) release; distribution unlimited betreet entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) If necessary and identify by block number) Human Factors Time-induced Stress Stress

ì

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Entered)

20. (continued)

Questionnaire responses indicate stress levels increased with decreased time-allowance; recognition rates decreased as time was reduced.

S · N	0102-	LF.	014-	6601	

5

4

_2) UNCLASSIFIED

ŧ

and the second second second second

1 \

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Some Effects of Stress on Users of a Voice Recognition System: A Preliminary Inquiry

by

Brian Arthur French Lieutenant, United States Navy B.A., Yale University, 1975

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY (Command, Control and Communications)

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL March 1983

Author Approved by: SOI Reader nd Chairman, Command Control and Communications Academic Group made Abademic Lean 3 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

ABSTRACT

ż.

Recent work with Automatic Speech Recognition has focused on applications and productivity considerations in the man-wachine interface. This thesis is an attempt to see if placing users of such equipment under time-induced stress has an effect on their percentage correct recognition rates. Subjects were given a message-handling task of fixed length and allowed progressively shorter times to attempt to complete it. Questionnaire responses indicate stress levels increased with decreased time-allowance; recognition rates

ŧ

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTI	AC DO	CTI	CK												-	9
11.	VOIC	CER	ECC	GNI	ICN	ANI	o s	TRE	55-							-	15
	A.	IEr	INI	TION										.		-	15
	E.	STR	ESS	ANI	TH	E V(DIC	E					-			-	17
III.	EXPI	TRIM	ENT	AI C	OMP	ONEN	IIS									-	26
	A.	GEN	ERA	I											سے سے ہیں تک خل ہ	-	20
	B .	SUE	JEC	TS												-	20
	с.	vec	ABU	IARY										••• -		-	21
	Þ.	TAS	K						*							-	22
	E.	TIM	'E S	TRES	SF.	ACTO)R –									-	24
	F.	EQU	IIPM	ENT	AND	SEI	TUP			~ ~ ~						-	25
IV.	EXPI	ERIM	ENT	A.C. I	ES I	GN			-							-	30
	A.	OBJ	ECT	IVE-												-	3Ø
	В.	SCC	DRIN	G					,							-	32
	с.	CON	ICEF	TUAI	DE:	SIG	v									-	34
۷.	RESU	LTS	AN	D AN	ALY	SIS-										-	37
	A.	ANA	LYS	15													37
	B.	CCR	REL	ATIC	NS-	• • • •											42
VI.	REMI	ARKS	;													-	51
APPEN	DIX	A	voc	ABUI	ARY											-	53
APPE	DIX	P	SCE	NARI	C W	ITH	CR	TC	UTP	UT-						-	54
APPEN	DIX	C	INS	TRUC	TIO	NS 2	0	SUB	JEC	ΤS,	PHA	SE	1			-	€3
APPEN	DIX	D	INS	TRUC	TIO	NS 1	0	SUB	JEC	TS,	PHA	SE	2			-	64
APPEN	DIX	E	INS	TRUC	TIO	NS 1	01	SUB	JEC	TS.	PHA	SE	3			-	65

1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

۰.

ŧ

APPENDI	(F	CCNFUSION	MATRIX	ee
APPENDI	t G	QUESTIONN	AIRE	67
LIST OF	REFE	ERENCES	* - * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	€8
BIBLICGE	RAFHY		و بی	70
INITIAL	DIST	TRIBUTION	LIST	73

ŧ

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	SAMPLE MESSAGE OUTPUT	23
2.	TEOØ FLCCK DIAGRAM	27
3.	EQUIPMENT SETUP	29
4.	CONCEFTUAL DESIGN OF THE EXPERIMENT	35
5.	PRELIMINARY PESULTS	38
6.	DATA SUMMARY AND HARTIEY'S TEST	39
7.	ANALYSIS CF VARIANCE TABLE	4C
٤.	MEAN RESPONSE LEVEL: QUESTION 1	44
9.	MEAN RESPONSE LEVEL: OUESTION 2	45
10.	MEAN RESPONSE LEVEL: QUESTION 3	4 6
11.	MEAN RESPONSE LEVEL: QUESTION 4	47
12.	MEAN RESPONSE IEVEL: CUESTION 5	48

7

State and a state of the

.

ŧ

Ŕ,

State and a

IIST OF TABLES

1.	SUFJECT DEMO	OGRAPHICS	20
2	CORRELATION	COEFFICIENTS	43

8

ŧ

¢ لو

Ļ

.

I. INTRODUCTION

Stress has long been an intensely active area of investigation. More recently, the intersection of physiology and psychology has concerned itself with the influence of cognitive and erotive factors on quantifiable variable in the human organism's equation. This research has turned im the most fundamental indices of human reaction (car of rate, electrogalvanic response) to more subtle measures in as various features of the human voice.

Stress, however defined, has clear and important implications for the conduct of military operations. In the warfare environment, one encounters some of the few factors universally acknowledged to cause psychological stress: it is one of the few areas where one knows apriori that lifethreatening situations are consistently found. Thus the psycho-physiological correlates of military action have furnished both an area of inquiry and the raw data for those interested in the study of the human under stress. Swedish researchers took an early lead in the investigation of stress in the military environment. [Ref.1]

A STATE OF A

THE REAL PROPERTY OF

The investigation of any phenomenon requires first and foremost a statement delimiting the area of research. Stress has yet to receive a widely accepted definition. Stress

1.42.4

- i -

f

research tends to divide into two camps: those interested in physiological stress and those pursuing the psychological variety. Both claim the territority of psychophysiology. Beyond this, the definition of stress breaks down, in the main, into an examination of either a stimulus or a response: there appears to be no common ground. One thing which emerges clearly from published research in the area is that a synthetic conception of stress is in order, one broad enough to cover the wide (and widening) area of inquiry already embarked upon, and which would permit breakdown into narrower, more specialized areas of interest.

Given the vagaries of the published resources currently available, it is necessary within the context of the present paper to work within an operationally-d fined, problemoriented view of stress. From a military commander's point or view, an area or great potential interest is the interaction of stress with voice-input to computer systems. As miniaturization and expanding technology have made computers a more widespread phenomenon in the military environment, the limiting factor (in the military just as with civilian applications) continues to be the hyman interface with the ever-expanding base of information and decision aids available. Voice-input to computers provides the field user with a higher-order interface, at a level above even user-friendly query languages. This type of interface has the potential to facilitate the user's ability

to access and manipulate the vast resources now becoming available to him: it is the next logical step in the evolution of man-machine conpatability. Computers are now able to handle problems which not long ago would have absorbed an enormous amount of man-hours, but the man is still "in the loop".

...though we hail the advent of the postindustrial society, in which substantially all our essential human needs will be produced without a great amount of human effort, the fact remains that the millenium is not here yet. Human beings are not yet obsolescent as informant contributors to the productive process. The quality of performance by men and women, whether in manufacturing or servicing, still accounts for a very large part in the variation in productivity, however one chooses to define the criterie of productivity - and this condition will continue to prevail far into the future. [Ref. 2: p. XIII]

Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) is a demonstrated, on-line technology of clear interest to the military commander. (For a discussion of the range of voice input applications, the reader is referred to Beferences [3] and [4]) The rapidity with which current data processing and computer networks now operate necessarily imply that the machinery spends an inordinate part of its time waiting for input from the human operator. Speeding up this aspect of the interface, a quantum leap in user-accompation, is one of the major contributions to be expected (and even now available) from speech recognizers linking the human to the computer. Speech recognizers, while they generally do not affect the internal workings of the computer, present

their greatest potential advantage in increasing the efficiency of the total human/machine system. Industry was quick to recognize this potential: voice input systems are presently in use in various scrting and assembly-line applications.

Computer input by voice presents several advantages in the rilitary context, especially in the Cormand Post environment. It frees operators' hands to enable other concurrent tasks, such as transcription or manipulation of other equipment, increasing productivity in both areas. [Ref. Just as importantly, it provides the potential for the 3] voice-operator to maintain a graphic or figurative plot of a situation he may be monitoring at the terminal. This would allow others not familiar or comfortable with the computer to comprehend and follow what the operator may see unfolding at his work station, without requiring lengthy and distracting explanations from the operator. Voice-input could enable the operator to continue his task at the terminal and simultaneously manipulate a **vi**sual representation of the problem he is involved in, for others' benefit. This is a potential boon in the period ٥ť transition from a symbolic gestalt to an era of much mcre widespread computer literacy.

Moreover, cognitive psychology has presented a scientific model for task suitability to different modalities which takes the criteria for task assignment far

12

teyond the obvious basis of 'ease". Sandry and Wickens [Ref. 5: pp.8-10] have proposed the concept of "ideomotor compatability": human input/output modes may be matched to the senses and hemispheres of the brain which most readily process them. Quicker, more accurate responses result when responses are matched to stimuli (such as hearing and speaking). Short term memory for verbal information is significantly improved when that information is presented auditorily.

There are obvious correlates of ideomotor compatability in a situation such as a Command Fost. The commander gives an operator verbal instructions regarding a task, and this operator then executes these with voice input to a terminal. In competing for the human operator's mental resources, it is Sandry and Wickens' thesis that no time-sharing decrement results when competing tasks are "ideomotor compatible".

The automated resources available to the commander in the current environment are expanding and gaining increasingly widespread acceptance. The applicability of voice input to computer systems is therefore both intuitively and logically attractive, and has been gaining increasing attention. Stress. however defined. is universally conceded to be a factor in the human side of this equation, and therefore to has implications of military interest in the command and control environment.

Given these considerations, what then might the the effect of stress on an operator of a voice input system? In various areas, research on psychological stress abounds, and researchers are now turning their attentions specifically to the effects of stress on the voice. The published research in this latter area is, in the main, inconclusive. It is the intent of this thesis to see if some relationship exists between stress incident on a voice-input operator and his success rate with an automatic speech recognizer. It is to be emphasized that virtually no work has been published in this particular area. The current study proposes itself as a preliminary inquiry into a phenomenon of potential significance, and one which has as yet received little experimental attention.

II. VOICE RECOGNITION AND STRESS

A. DEFINITION

Central to any study of the interaction of stress and the output of voice recognizers is a definition of what is to be understood by "stress". As stated (of, supra), the aisagreement in adfining stress is so fundamental that even recent treatments aiffer in classing stress as stimulus or response. In certain areas, nowever, consersus emerges.

Stress in the physiological sense is more clear-out than the psychological. Physiologists may refer unambiguously to numan stressors such as near, pressure, electric shock, and the like, in the way of stimuli. The resultant reactions are also referred to as stress, and are measured in cardiopulmonary parameters, pupil dilation, and similar observable and quantifiable phenomena. When speaking of physiological stress, it suffices to specify whether stimulus or response is meant. Many stressor-agents have been extensively studied both in this country and abroad for their military impacts.

The grey area is entered with psychological stress and its causes or manifestations. Various researchers define stress as the organism's reaction (coping or adaptive) to an untriendly environment. Others define stress as the inimical influence of the environment on the individual. In recent usage, the former definition appears to have gained

ascendancy over the latter, although the debate is far from settled.

It is therefore incumbent to define psychological "stress" before proceeding further. The definiton must be sufficiently broad to span a wide garut of potential phenomena, and thus preserve mealingfulness. In addition, it must be sufficiently harrow to be useful and workable within the necessarily limited scope of this experiment. A synthetic definition of stress will here mean "the arousal produced within the individual by unwelcome stimulus or threat". This is the operational definition which will be adopted in an atterpt to examine the end-effect of producing this type of arousal in subjects interacting with an automatic speech recognizer.

There is extensive literature on the subject c# psychological stress (nuch of which falls within the derinition above, and its correlates, especially the physiological correlates. The Navy has done extensive work in the field, first notably in Sar Diego. Ret. 6] Psychological stress may be further proken down into interesting subcategories. 1) Situational stress is generally held to be the influence on the individual of unfavorable environmental (out non-physical) factors. These factors are beyond the individual's control and are enerally thought to consist of a certain SET of circumstances such as public speaking, deadlines, and the

5

16

like. 2) Self-induced stress is generally recognized as the self-imposition of a condition or stimulus leading to the type of arousal defined above. Examples include goals, deadlines, or performance requirements of any type with which the individual forces himself to function above a "comfortable" or "easy" level.

These distinctions often werge, however. In a prime example, Swedish military pilots were given a relatively complex set of procedures to execute in an unsophisticated flight simulator, and promised a reward for high performance. [Ref. 7] The situation was imposed on them. and could be construed as situational stress. The desire to perform at a high level, however, was self-induced. An interesting outcome of the experiment was that the physiclegical indicators of the pilots in question reacted remarkaply like those of pilots in a similar non-simulated situation. A further interpretation of these studies was that subjects had come to accept the reality of the simulated situations, and their physiological indicators reflected as much. Such studies, in general, tend to support the validity of simulated situations in conducting stress research.

B. STRESS AND THE VOICE

Lespite the abundance of general stress literature, relatively little has been done on how this pheromenon might

17

1. 14

ranifest itself in the human voice. Hicks [Ref. 8] gives a thoughtrul overview of the recent literature, in addition to his own experimental results with various forms of stress and voice. He concedes the generally accepted notion that stress has an influence on individuals' fundamental speaking frequency (bo); he concludes (as do others) that this parameter is as likely to go up as down, with concomitant frequency-range variations. The magnitude and direction of this shirt in Fo is a function of the individual speaker. Additionally, the amount of shift required to constitute an indication of stress is still a subjective assessment. The cne conclusive result, reported by Bicks and others [Ref. 8: p.99, p.124] is that the ratio or speaking time to total time will increase in a stressed speaker. This is consistent with everyday experience: "stressed" speakers often appear to talk in longer bursts, with shorter pauses separating them. Farameters other than the speech-to-pause ratio give inconclusive or contested results.

A large amount of commercial interest has focused on the influence of stress on vocal parameters. One can imagine the potential interest in being able to evaluate the stress level of a speaker. If done by voice, this analysis could be performed at great distances (via telephone, radio, etc.) and without the speaker's knowledge. Several firms have marketed devices purported to analyze the stress level of speakers (as a sort of voice polygraph), but their claims

18

appear subject to debate and are hotly contested in academic circles. These devices base their analysis on the existence of microtremor in the muscles controlling vocal activity, a phenomenon which remains to be shown conclusively. [Ref. 9: p.175]

Morever, the readout provided by voice stress analyzers requires rather subjective interpretation. As noted, vocal variations so far demonstrated have shown a subject-specific Lature: where one subject's be rises, another's may fall, etc. Thus, analysis of a speech sample for stress requires some apriori knowledge of the individual speaker's tendencies. Analytic efforts so far have been limited by the Lecessity for rather subjective analysis. Work is currently underway both to objectify such analysis and improve the analytical algorithms involved.¹

In short, there currently no universal and few conclusive indicators of stress in a speaker's voice. It is widely accepted that psychological stress has an influence on vocal production, yet notly contested in academic and industrial circles what the best indication of such stress is. In a military/applications context, it may well be that the most fruitful area of inquiry would be the implications of stress upon the voice, from the viewpoint of productivity and reliability with automatic speech recognizers.

⁴ Conversations with Dr. L. Biers, University of Dayton, October 1982.

III. EXPERIMENTAL COMPONENTS

A. GENERAL

It is generally recognized to be important to simulate in an experiment the conditions under which the phenomena or interest might actually be expected to occur. This is no less important in experiments dealing with voice input, where there is a clearly definable task and one suspects an operative but unquantifiable factor such as stress. Moreover, with voice input, it is essential to use subjects representative of those who might actually be using ASR in the task-environment of interest.

E. SUEJECIS

Twenty-four volunteer subjects were obtained, mainly from the C3 curriculum at the Naval Postgraduate school. The makeup of the sample is shown below:

Table 1

SUBJECT DEMOGRAPHICS

	EXPERIENCED	INEXFERIENCED
MALE MILITARY	9	8
FEMALE MILITARY	3	1
MALE CIVILIAN	0	
FEMALE CIVILIAN	1 10	2
ICTAL:	12	12

Twenty-one of these subjects were military officers, in the grade of 03 and 04, representing the four services; three were from civilian agencies. Six of the twenty-four were female. These subjects were at a level in their careers where, especially in light of their C3 tackground, they might reasonably expect to be at the mid- to upper levels of a Command-Fost staff. In short, they were prime candidates for using voice input in a Command-Fost scenaric.

Of the twenty-four, half had some prior experience with ASR, which was defined for the purposes of the experiment as participation in three or more experiments at the Postgraduate School; the others had none. The sexes were evenly divided between the two groups. Batchellor [Ref. 10] found no statistically significant difference in recognition rates between sexes with the particular recognizer teing used. The talanced sample (blocked on sex) was used nonetheless to control for any unforeseen effects due to gender.

C. VCCAEULARY

For this experiment, subjects trained a fifty word vocaoulary (Appendix A) which included some numerals and various other utterances. The vocabulary was chosen with a specific jurgose in mind. It has been shown [Ref.10] that

21

A Section 2

correct recognition of a word or phrase is directly related to the length of the utterance. In this light, some words were deliterately chosen over others to avoid confusion or possible misrecognition. (For example "send message" in lieu of the shorter "send".) Other words were omitted for the same reasons. Some of the vocabulary items were used infrequently, but were necessary for the task. In sum, the vocabulary chosen was the minimum felt necessary to enable composing short messages with a modicum of realism and some variety.

L. TASK

Each subject was given a scenario consisting of 266 utterances (Appendix B), with each word or phrase numbered. These utterances, when correctly interpreted D J the recognizer, brought up on the screen an output resembling ressages which might be sent or serviced by a shiptoara Command Fost. (The ouput from these message sequences is shown in the right-hand column of Appendix B, which was not furnished to experiment participants; for examples of the types of messages see Figure 1.) Certain utterances produced multiple-word cutput, simulating message-handling a utilities such as are resident on the ARPANET, with which all subjects were familiar. Verisimilitude was an important consideration in the design of the task:

22

the state of the

SAMPLE MESSAGE CUTPUT

SENE MSG TO:/ CV PATTLY GROUF / COMMANDER INFO CCPY TO: / COMTHIRDFLEFT / CINCPACFLEET FROM: / USS RATHBURNE PCSITICN / REPORT / NUMBER / 1 RFADINESS LVL / 3 FCSSIBIE / ELECTRONIC / SURFACE / CONTACT FEARING: / 1/8/0 / DISTANCE / 2/7 CCNFIDENCE LVL/ 3 REQUEST / INSTRUCTIONS

CUIT./

FCRWARD MSG #: / ? INFO CCPY TO: / CV BATTLE GROUP / COMMANDER FRCM: / USS RATHBURNE

QUIT./

(NE: The symbol / indicates the separation between utterances. Line separation is accomplished by speaking "RETURN" which yields a carriage return.)

Figure 1

SAMPLE MESSAGE CUTFUT

The point of departure of the synthetic-work approach is a behavioral analysis of the performance requirements placed on the operator by some specific system, or by e class of such systems in general. Tasks are then selected against a criterion of content validity (i.e., tasks are selected because they measure functions judged by experts in the field to be important to the operational situation of interest). A general criterion of face validity is also imposed (i.e., the tasks are configured to be acceptable to target populations, e.g., pliets ... [Ref.11: pp. 22-23]

Subjects were to speak the utterances in order, each one until the proper output appeared on their screen. Each subject was given the same scenario three times in the course of the experiment, with at least one day (but no more than two) between reprises. On subsequent trials, subjects were told that the scenario they were given was of exactly the same length and of similar content to the one(s) they had seen previously. The same scenario was used repeatedly to control for variability of the task, and it was feit that the scenario was of sufficient length and variation to negate any significant learning effect.

E. TIME STRESS FACTOR

1

During the first phase of the experiment (Phase 1), subjects were allowed to complete the scenario at their own pace, and were exhorted not to rush or needlessly linger. (cf. Appendix C). The experimenter attempted to put them at ease. Unknown to the subjects, they were being timed, and their times to complete the scenaric recorded. This time was to serve as an individual peselite against which subsequent phases would be timed. In the next phases (Phases 2 and 3) subjects were allotted two-thirds and then one-third of their baseline times, respectively, to attempt to complete the scenario. It was anticipated that not all subjects would complete the scenario under the shortened time allowance: scoring was adjusted to reflect the amount of the task actually completed. (of. IV.E infra)

The time-corpression was intended to induce stress in the surjects. They were informed at the outset of how much time they would have to complete a task with which they were aiready familiar. A large Gra-Lac darkroom timer was plainly visible in front of the subjects to show time remaining. experimenter reminded the subjects Additionally, the insistently at thirty-second intervals of their time remaining. Subjects could guage their own progress in the 200 iter scenario. Apriori, it was felt that the two-thirds time would constrain some but not all subjects; it was reasonably certain that allowing only one-third of the caseline time would do so. This opiaion seemed to be borne out in pilot triels (with subjects not used in the later experiment).

F. EQUIPMENT AND SETUP

The voice recognition system employed was the T600 recognizer manufactured by Threshold Technology, Inc. (See

25

1.4

Figure 2 for a block diagram of the system.) This is a discrete utterance analyzer, which analyzes samples of speech up to a maximum of two seconds in length, separated by a distinct pause of at least one-tenth of a second. Utterances of more than one word are allowed, as long as the words are run together and pronounced "naturally", without distinct breaks between them.

The Tess has a maximum capacity of 256 utterances. In the training mode, the subject repeats a word or phrase ten times. (Under certain circumstances, fewer repetitions may be used, but this requires modification of the software and yields less consistent results [Ber. 10]). After the tenth repetition, the ten are superimposed and normalized in length to form a template for that utterance. Furing this experiment, a training standardization measure was adopted. When the subjects had finished training, they repeated the vocabulary list in toto at least twice; any items not correctly recognized on at least two of three passes were retrained.

When the user speaks, his speech sample is compared against all these templates for the best match. If within preset tolerances, the utterance is recognized (correctly or not) and the output string sent to the display screen. If no match is found, the machine produces an audible "beep". Each individual may store his voice template on a data-tape cartriage for future use, and thus bypass the lengthy

2ĉ





TEØØ/CRT SET-UP BLOCK DIAGRAM

27

and the second second

a a second a second

- -

£

training process in future sessions. Each utterance is programmed by the user (in this case, the experimenter) with a prompt for training. When that utterance is cued at the keyboard, the prompt-string appears on the display; it disappears after the tenth repetition. After training, the recognizer shifts to the recogniton mode and awaits verbal input. When utterances are recognized, an associated preprogrammed output string is sent to the display screen. The output string corresponding to an utterance is userselected, allowing up to a maximum of sixteen alphanumeric characters.

when used in conjunction with a computer, the T600 may be used in either direct or buffered modes. "Direct" sends the cutput string to the computer without delay. The "Buffered" mode allows the user to verify the output before allowing it to be sent. The direct mode was used here. In this experiment, the T600 was used in a stand-alone capacity: cutput strings were sent to the display screen and nowhere else. (For further discussion see [Ref. 12])

The microphone used was a Shure SM10 noise cancelling unit. It was worn by all subjects on the left side of the head, directly below and slightly to the left of center of the lips. To further attenuate echo and outside noise, the experiment was conducted inside an Industrial Acoustics Company soundproof chamber. (See Figure 3 for the actual equipment setup).

÷



IV. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

A. OBJECTIVE

۴,

The primary objective was to see if time stress affected users' recognition rates with this voice recognition setup. After the initial training session, (and after a delay of three to four days) subjects began the portion of the experiment which involved the scenario. They were fitted with the microphone and handed the scenario. For each respective phase, they were read the instructions in Appendices C,D, and E. The intention was to induce stress in subjects in the last two phases of the experiment by progressively shortening the time allowed to perform a task of fixed length. Compression of time allowed was to be correlated with percent correct recognition rate.

It was heped that several factors were to be at work on the participants. There was a definite competition for the subjects' mental resources. Subjects had to read a word or phrase irom their printed scenarics, speak it, and verify that it appeared correctly on their screen. If misrecognized or "beeped", they were to try again until correctly recognized. The experimenter instructed subjects to proceed to the next scenaric item if they had five unsuccessful attempts, although they had no foreknowledge of this. This was yet another factor in the stress equation: failure at a

task is in itself stressful for most individuals.[Ref. 13: p.151]

At the cutset of each of the last two (time-constrained) phases of the experiment, subjects were informed of how much time they would be allotted to perform the scenario. After the second and before the last thase, many indicated to the experimenter that they "knew" they would have less time than in the previous session. This suspicion was desired and was calculated to create a measure of negative anticipation in the participants. During the last two phases of the experiment, subjects were reminded insistently by the experimenter of time remaining, in addition to the presence of the highly visible timer. It was estimated that this combination of factors would induce stress:

Ine apprehension of psychological stressors is a much complex business. It depends on: (1) prior rore experience with a class of stressors; (2) remembering experience; (3) recognizing that the present the stimulus gestalt is an instance of the earlier class of and (4) believing that the likelihood of the stresscrsj abcve sore subjective threatened occurrence is (Rer. 13: r. 142) threshhold.

Moreover,

While the experimental manipulation allows a fairly high degree of control over the stimulus conditions, the reaction patterns of subjects are often difficult to determine. ... Thus, the stress level induced may be minimal for some subjects, whereas it may be moderate or rather strong for others. Since it is often difficult to determine a definite external criterion for stress (even psychophysiological variables cannot always be expected to indicate the stress level of an individual ana validly,) experimenters using consistently laboratory stress induction can only hope that they have been atle to induce a reasonable amount of stress in their subjects. [Ref. 9: p. 176]

The fundamental hypothesis being tested here may be surred up as:

- Hc: Time stress has no effect on the recognition rates of users of voice recognition systems.
- H1: Time stress affects the recognition rates of users of voice recognition systems.

Additionally, it was hoped to see whether:

- Ec: Experienced and inexperienced users of voice recognition systems are affected equally by time stress.
- B1: Experienced and inexperienced users of voice recognition systems are not affected equally by time stress.

B. SCORING

Subjects were monitored during their performance of the scenario: the experimenter sat to the right of and behind subjects (cf. Figure 3) from which position both the subjects' actions and the display screen were clearly visible. Misrecognitions (improper word substitutions by the recognizer) and non-recognitions were recorded. A confusion matrix of substitutions and "beeps" is included as Appendix
Subjects occasionally lost their place in the scenario. ± . repeating some vocabulary items they had already spoken. These repetitions were counted as additional utterances, and the correct or incorrect result recorded; thus, no penalty was given for repetition. The caveat in the instructions about penalties for non-completion of the scenario was ccgus. It was added to provide an additional incentive to finish, and in the hope of reinforcing the subjects' apprehension. (Subjects completed an average of fifty-three percent of the scenario in Phase 3; none finished.) Recognition rates were based on the items of the scenaric actually completed. Percentage correct recognition was the quotient of the number of correct rigurea as recognitions divided by the total number of utterances subjects (including Spoken bу the risand nonrecognitions).

At the end of each phase of the experiment, subjects were asked to rate their reelings during that phase. (See questionnaire, Appendix G). Five surrogate descriptors were used to circumscribe the idea or "stressed"; subjects were asked if they felt:

nervous
confused
pressured

33

4.42.20

4. irritated

5. anxious

on a scale or one to five, five being the highest level of each. It was thought that these individual response levels might somehow be related to recognition rates.

C. CONCEPTUAL DESIGN OF THE EXPERIMENT

The design employed was a two-factor mixed design with repeated measures on one factor. [Ref. 14: pp. 54-61] Alternatively (and for purposes or clarity) the design might be thought of as involving two conditions (experienced/inexperienced) and three treatments. (See Figure 4 for a graphic interpretation).

As stated previously, the treatments consisted of three variations of time in the testing, constituting the three phases (excluding the training phase).

- 1. Phase 1 baseline time: no constraint
- 2. Phase 2 2/3 baseline time
- 3. Phase 3 1/3 baseline time

These phases were conducted in the order shown. While it



TIME FACTOR --->

Figure 4

CONCEPTUAL DESIGN OF THE EXPERIMENT

e e est**e** 14

£

might be thought preferable in the classical design of experiments to randomize the administration of the time constrained portions, this was not done. Randomization might have controlled for the possible effects of "learning" between Fhases 2 and 3; this benefit was consciously sacrificed in order to introduce the apprehension factor discussed above. It was suspected apriori that the drastic time compression from the second to the third phase of the experiment would help to compensate for the possible confounding introduced by "learning". Moreover, the scenario was felt to be long and varied enough to counteract learning in some measure, in addition to the experimenter's deception that successive scenarios were not exactly the same. The fact that all phases were separated by no less than a day (and no more than two) was also felt to counteract learning.

Service and the service

V. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

A. ANALYSIS

The results of the experiment as described are shown graphically in Figure 5. Before conducting any analysis, Hartley's test for equality of variance was performed on the raw data. The results indicated that equality of variance was an invalid assumption, and thus the raw data required transformation: after arcsin transformation, the equality of variances was addepted. (See Figure 6)

The enalysis of variance table is presented in Figure 7. As is reacily apparent from the graph of the raw data, recognition rates for the experienced group decrease monotonically with time compression. This is also true for Phases 2 and 3 of the inexperienced group. The F statistic shows a significant difference between trials. Therefore, the null hypothesis of time stress not affecting recognition rate is rejected.

An i: eresting phenomenon is observed with the inexperiencea group, however. From Phase 1 to Phase 2 their success rate increases noticeably, despite the decreased time allotted for the task. This is hypothesized to be the result of a compination of factors, the most obvious of which is learning. From their first, open-ended trial to the second, the inexperienced group became more adept at the task. Moreover, given their relative naivete with the





(FERGEWIAGE CORRECT RECOGNITION VIRSUS EXPERIMENT PRASE) SRELIGINARY RESULTS

35

<u>.</u>...

SUMMARY OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

RAW DATA (For percentages, multiply by 100)

		X	2 S x
EXPERIENCED	Phase 1	.959	.0133
	Phase 2	.947	.0012
	Pbase 3	.897	.0041
IN EXPERIENCED	Phase 1	.907	.0081
	Phase 2	.941	.0023
	Phase 3	.912	.0137

For dfl=t=6 and df2=(n-1)=11, Hartley's test, Fmax = 6.32 (alpha=.05). For the untransformed data:

 $\frac{1}{5}$ srax/Srin = .0081/.0012 = 6.75

Therefore, reject equality of variances; transform data. After the transformation $(y = 2(\arcsin\sqrt{x}))$:

 $s_{rax}/s_{rin}^2 = .2428/.2121 = 3.537$

Accept equality of variances.

2

Figure 6

DATA SUMMARY AND HARTLEY'S TEST

-1 -44 -

SOURCE	<u>SS</u>	df	<u>۳۹</u>	F	<u>p</u>
Total	4.292	71			
Between subjects	2.074	23			
Experience	.0642	1	.1642	.722	
Errcr (b)	2.041	22	.0914		
within Subjects	2.218	48			
Tire Factor	.3242	2	.1621	4.27	<.25
TimexExperience	.2216	2	.1108	2.92	.05 <p<.10< td=""></p<.10<>
Error (w)	1.672	44	. 238		

Figure 7

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE

- - -1 equipment setup and the task, inexperienced subjects took longer to complete the initial phase of the experiment and thus had a longer baseline (an average of 7.85 minutes, versus 6.48 for the experienced). In the linear compression of Phases 2 and 3, they simply had more time than the experienced group.

It was not known apriori if allowing two-thirds of baseline time in the second phase would impose a felt timeconstraint on all subjects. For this reason, one-third was chosen for the final phase: although seemingly impossible, it was felt that such a drastic time reduction would induce stress in the majority of the subjects. Such appears to be the case. Experienced subjects show a decline in each successive phase of the experiment. Inexperienced subjects, although theoretically still on the upward side of their "learning curve" show a pronounced decline from Phase 2 to Phase 3.

For the inexperienced group, both the rapidity of the learning effect and the quickness with which it was negated have additional significance. A Newman-Keuls range test (alpha = .25) was performed for differences in the means amongst phases of the experiment. [Ref. 16: pp. 35-37] Phases 1 and 2 were seen to be significately different from Phase 3, tut were not differentiable between themselves: this is obviously due to the inexperienced group starting at a lower rate, then coming up to meet the experienced group's

累 -

41

angere en se

mean score. A two-sample "t"-test shows a difference tetween the experienced and inexperienced groups only in the first phase. (At an alpha critical of .069). In subsequent phases of the experiment, the two groups are statistically indistinguishable. This is further confirmed by the F statistic for experience in the analysis of variance. The inference to be drawn from this appears to be that the effects of learning on this type of equipment are so rapid as to make the two groups quickly very similar.

B. CORRELATION

rirst, an attempt was made to correlate subjects' questionnaire responses with the phase of the experiment to which they were responding. It was desired to see if response levels were somehow dependent on the time stress levels imposed on the participants. If so, a positive correlation would show a rising response level to one or more of the five questions as time compression increased with experiment phase. The data to be correlated were nominal (phase) versus ordinal (1 to 5 on questionnaires) so the nonparametric Spearman's Rhe was used. [Ref. 17: pp. 252-256] Table 2 shows the results:

Table 2

Correlation of Questions with Phase

QUESTION	FHO
1. nervous	.234 *
2. confused	.017
3. pressurea	.533 *
4. irritated	.020
5. anxious	•230 *

(* indicates significant at alpha less than .05)

Thus, three of the five questions snow a strong correlation with the time compression with phase. Moreover, these three particular descriptors (hervous, pressured, and anxious) were thought to be the closest surrogates of the five to otherwise describe the situational or time stress desired. (The other two show no correlation.) It appears that the experimental conditions were successful in inducing stress in some measure. Mean response rates for subjects' answers are shown in Figures 8-12.

Next, an attempt was made to correlate subjects' questionnaire responses (on the five descriptors already mentioned) to their recognition rates with the system. It was suspected beforehand that response levels to one or more of these questions might increase monotonically from one





MEAN RESPONSE LEVELS TO QUESTION 1, "NERVOUS"

44







Ľ

MIAN RECECTOF LEVELS IC COESTION 2, "CONFUSED"

45

ez sacita (j. 1734)





2

MEAN RESPONSE LEVELS TO QUESTION 3, "PRESSURED"

46

A. 🖌 🤆





MEAN RESECUSE LEVELS TO QUESTION 4, "IRRITATED"

47

12.00





MEAN RESPONSE LEVELS TO QUESTION 5, "ANXIOUS"

phase to the next during the conduct of the experiment. It is readily apparent that this was not the case. While the five surrogate descriptors were deered capable, in the aggregate, to describe stress, they apparently were not completely successful as a subjective measure.

The risk of self-inhibition is elways run when asking subjects to rate their own perceptions, emotions, etc. [Ref. 18: pp. 501-503] Self-image or self-esteem may prohibit a respondent from admitting of a higher level of what he may feel to be an "undesirable" feeling or trait. This may have been the case here. It the course of the experiment, the experimenter's subjective assessment of the subjects' erotional states did not agree well with their questionnaire responses. On several occasions, subjects left the experiment giving every appearance of being agitated and exercised, having answered with a "1" (i.e. not at all) to all five questions.

Moreover, although instructed to respond only in regard to each individual phase of the experiment, it appears likely that subjects' responses may have been in the context of their previous experiences in the experiment. Thus one sees the rise in most responses from the first (untimed) to the second phase of the experiment. During the final phase, subjects were expecting to be placed under a tighter time constraint (the desired apprehension effect). This may in

part explain the absence of a rise in response levels for this last phase.

The data gathered from the questionnaires was in the ordinal (1 to 5) scale. Since an attempt was to be made to correlate these responses with recognition rates (interval data) recourse was again made to the non-parametric Spearman's Rho procedure for correlating the ranks of both sets of data. Recognition rates were tested against responses to all five questions for each phase of the experiment. Results generally showed no correlation.

One question alone provided a rather consistent negative correlation with recognition rates. For the inexperienced subjects, question 2 ("confused") showed a strong negative correlation (rho< -0.4) for all three phases of the experiment (credible at an alpha less than cr equal to 0.1). This would imply that as confusion level rose, recognition rate went dowr. It is interesting to note that there was no correlation found between this variable and recognition for the experienced group. The only other question which showed some (negative) correlation was the fourth ("irritated"), but this relation was at most tenuous (0.25) alpha (0.25) Alp or note is the fact that, after the initial phase of the experiment, response levels for the two groups parallel one another, in some instances very closely. This would appear further to corroborate the hypothesis that differences cetween the two groups diminish rapidly.

50

Sec. 14

VI. REMARKS

If psychological stress has an effect on the voice, as is generally acknowledged, it is reasonable to expect it to have an effect on the success rates of users of voice input equipment. As discussed previously, ASR technology is of potentially great interest to the military planner in the Command, Control, and Communications context. This preliminary inquiry has attempted to show a correlation between stress and recognition success with such equipment. Several areas of consideration suggest themselves.

As has been seen, recogniton rates declined when the operators of this ASR equipment were placed under time to evaluating stress. An alternative arrroach the desirability of this technology might be to assess voice versus other means of input under similar conditions. In study, subjects attempting to complete a nearly this impossible task (Phase 3) nonetheless managed to maintain a correct recognition rate of approximately ninety percent. With manual input (e.g., typing), each characer to be input represents an opportunity for error: how this might be affected by psychological stress is open to speculation. when one considers that a sixteen character output string can be produced with one voice command, it may well be that

volce input becomes even more desirable in a stressful environment.

It is interesting to note how quickly the inexperienced subjects "caught up" with and paralleled the performance of their experienced counterparts. If this is indeed а generalizable themorenon, it would imply that after some few training sessions with a recognizer, the distinction vanishes. If so, faced with a potentially adverse situation a commander or watch officer need not worry about this experience-level in his Command Post staffing. Voice input has also been proposed for automaved reservation and directory-assistance schemes. Given the success rates for inexperienced users even under conditions calculated to. induce stress, these applications appear no less feasible.

Lastly, it is generally acknowledged that a more objective method for assessing psychological stress is desperately needed. Any further work in this area should find an alternative and hopefully more workable method of assessing stress in subjects. It is submitted that some relatively non-intrusive physiological measures of stress be considered. Several of these observable and quantifiable indicators are well documented in existing stress literature.

APPENDIX A

- 1

÷

53

1. 1. **.** . .

.

£

•

APPENCIX B

CRT OUTPUT

SEND MSG TO: CV BATTLE GROUP COMMANDER INFO COPY TO: COMTHIRDFLEET CINCPACFLEET

POSSIBLE ELECTRONIC SURFACE CONTACT

£

- 1. SEND MESSAGE
- 2. BATTLE GROUP
- 3. COMMANDER
- 4. RETURN
- 5. INFORMATION
- 6. THIRDFLEET
- 7. CINCPACFLEET
- 8. RETURN
- 9. FRCM
- 10. RATHBURNE
- 11. RETURN
- 12. POSITON
- 13. REPORT
- 14. NUMBER
- 15. CNE

QUIT.

- 16. RETURN
- 17. READINESS
- 18. THREE
- 19. RETURN
- 20. POSSIBLE
- 21. ELECTRONIC

54

FROM: USS RATHEURNE

READINESS LVL 3

CONFIDENCE LVL 3

REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS

POSITION REPORT NUMBER 1

BEARING:18¢ DISTANCE 27

- 22. SURFACE
- 23. CONTACT
- 24. RETURN
- 25. BEARING
- 26. ONE
- 27. EIGHT
- 28. ZERO
- 29. DISTANCE
- 30. TWO
- 31. SEVEN
- 32. RETURN
- **33. CONFIDENCE**
- 34. THREE
- 35. RETURN
- 36. REQUEST
- **37. INSTRUCTIONS**
- 28. TERMINATE
- 39. FORWARD MESSAGE
- 40. SEVEN
- 41. RETURN
- 42. INFORMATION
- 43. BATTLE GROUP
- 44. COMMANDER
- ••••
- 45. RETURN
- FORWARE MSG #:7 INFO COPY TO: CV BATTLE GROUP COMMANDER FROM: USS RAIHBURNE
- QUIT.

55

1. A. M. 1993

46. FROM

47. RATHBURNE

48. TERMINATE

49. ANSWER MESSAGE

- 50. IWO
- 51. FOUR
- 52. RETURN

ANSWER MSG #: 2453. CONTACTCONTACTCONTACTUNKNOWN54. UNKNOWNINTENTUNKNOWN

- 55. POSSIELE QUIT.
- te. SOVIET
- 57. PERICE
- 58. INTENT
- 59. UNKNOWN
- 60. TERMINATE
- 61. SEND MESSAGE
- 62. BATTLE GROUP
- 63. COMMANDER
- 64. RETURN

٢

- 65. INFORMATION
- 66. THRIDFLEET
- 67. CINCPACELEET
- 68. RETURN

56

69. CONTACT 70. SIGHTING 71. REPCRT 72. NUMBER 73. SII 74. RETURN 75. TIME 76. ONE SEND MSG TO: CV PATTLE GROUP COMMANDER INFO COPY 10: COMTHIRDFLEET CINCPACFLEET 77. SEVEN 78. FOUR CONTACT SIGHTING REFORT NUMBER 6 TIME 1748 ZULU 79. EIGHT POSITION 15720 COMMANDER ASSESSMENT POSSIBLE SOVIET SURFACE. EØ. 2010 (no carriage return in scenaric) UNKNCWN **E1. RETURN** QUIT. E2. POSITION 83. ONE E4. FIVE **S5. SEVEN** 86. TWO 87. ZERC SS. RETURN 89. COMMANDER 90. ASSESSMENT 91. POSSIELE 92. SOVIET 93. SURFACE

٢

94. PERIOD

87

t

di re

É

4

- 95. UNKNOWN
- 96. TERMINATE
- 97. ANSWER MESSAGE
- 98. THREE
- 99. EIGHT
- 100. RETURN
- 101. INFORMATION
- 102. CINCFACFLEET
- 103. RETURN
- 104. FROM
- 105. RATHEURNE
- 106. RETURN
- 127. UNKNOWN
- 108. SURFACE
- 129. CONTACT
- 112. PERIOD
- 111. POSSIELE
- 112. SOVIET
- 113. RETURN
- 114. UNKNOWN
- 115. RADAR
- 116. CONTACT
- 117. BEARING
- 118. TWC

ANSWER MSG #: 36 INFO CCPY TO: CINCPACFLEET FROM: USS RATHFURNE UNKNOWN SURFACE CONTACT. POSSIBLE SOVIET UNKNOWN RADAR CONTACT BEARING: 235 DISTANCE 14 NEGATIVE VISUAL CONTACT. CONFIDENCE LVI ASSESSMENT 6. INTENT UNKNOWN. REQUEST ADVISE

CUIT.

58

A Long State State of the

- 119. THREE
- 122. FIVE
- 121. RETURN
- 122. DISTANCE
- 123. ONE
- 124. FOUR
- 125. RETURN
- 126. NEGATIVE
- 127. VISUAL
- 128. CONTACT
- 129. PERIOD
- 132. RETURN

- 1

- 131. CONFIDENCE
- 132. ASSESSMENT
- 133. SIX
- 134. PERICD
- 135. RETURN
- 136. INTENT
- 137. UNKNOWN
- 13E. PERICD
- 139. REQUEST
- 140. ADVISE
- 141. TERMINATE

142. SENE MESSAGE

59

a constant a start a

£

- 143. BATTLE GRCUP
- 144. COMMANDER
- 145. RETURN
- 146. INFORMATION
- 147. THIRDELEET
- 148. RITURN
- 149. FRCM
- 150. RATHPURNE
- 151. RETURN
- 152. CONTACT
- 153. REPORT
- 154. NUMBER
- 155. SIX

11

7.

4

- 156. RETURN
- 157. CONTACT
- 158. SIGHTING
- 155. RETURN
- 162. CONTACT
- 161. CERTAIN
- 162. SOVIET
- 163. SURFACE
- 164. RETURN
- 165. BEAPING
- 166. TWO
- 167. THREE

SEND MSG TO: CV BATTLE GROUP COMMANDER INFO CCPY TO: COMTHIRDFLEET FROM: USS RATHBURNE CCNTACT REFORT NUMBER 6 CONTACT SIGHTING CONTACT CERTAIN SOVIET SURFACE BEARING: 237 DISTANCE 14 INTENT UNKNOWN

QUIT.

port conservation of the

- 16E. SEVEN
- 165. RETURN
- 170. DISTANCE
- 171. ONE
- 172. FOUR
- 173. RETURN
- 174. INTENT
- 175. UNKNOWN
- 176. TERMINATE
- 177. SEND MESSAGE SEND MSG TC: COMTHIRDFLEET 178. THIRDFLEET INFO COPY TO: CINCPACELEET 175. RETURN FROM: USS RATEBURNE COMMANDER ASSESSMENT CV BATTLE GROUP 180. INFORMATION (no carriage return in scenario) UNDER SOVIET ATTACK. DAMAGE LVL (no carriage return in scenario) 181. CINCPACFLEET UNENCWN. REQUEST ADVISE USS RATHBURNE 182. RETURN (no carriage return in scenario) INTENT 183. FROM QUIT. 184. RATHEURNE 185. RETURN 186. COMMANDER 187. ASSESSMENT 188. BATTLE GRCUP 189. UNDER
- 190. SOVIET
- 191. ATTACK

4

61

- The All Contract of the

A

192. PERICD

193. DAMAGE

194. UNKNCWN

195. PERIOD

- 196. REQUEST
- 197. ADVISE
- 198. RATEBURNE
- 199. INTENT

1

i i i

1

222. TERMINATE

62

· > A land the second second

ŧ

APPENDIX C

INSTRUCTIONS TO EXPERIMENT PARTICIPANTS

٠,

PHASE 1

The experiment you will be participating in simulates what might happen in a shipboard command post. You will be using the vocatulary you have already trained during the first session.

You will be given a list of words to speak to the machine: when recognized, they will come up on your screen. Certain words produce a multiple word output, as you have already seen. These are an attempt to simulate messagenandling utilities such as are found on the ARPANET.

Speak clearly and distinctly, but don't overenunciate. No provision has been made to let you back up and correct mistakes, misrecognitions, etc., so you must continue to say the word until it is recognized. If you miss a word and don't catch it, I will prompt you for the word you missed; please simply try again on the word I prompt you with. Pauses between words may be short, but they must be distinct.

Set your own pace, don't rush but don't linger. You are not competing against anyone (including yourself) for time or recognition, so don't be nervous. No grades are being given out here. Once you start, however, please continue to the end.

I will be glad to answer any questions you may have about the conduct of this phase of the experiment. Any questions about the purpose and cutcome I will be glad to discuss at great length once the actual experimentation phase is through.

Thanks again for your help.

APPENDIX D

INSTRUCTIONS TO EXPERIMENT PARTICIPANTS

PHASE 2

This is Phase 2 of the experiment. In this phase you will participate in a Command Post scenario very similar in content and length to the first scenario you were given.

The most significant difference in this phase is the time factor. In Phase 2 you will be allotted only 2/3 of the time you originally took to complete the entire scenario. In fact, you may not be able to complete the entire scenario. It is to te emphasized that your primary objective is to complete as much of the scenario as you possibly can, as accurately as possible. In this command post situation, the messages you will be handling are of an urgent nature, and your primary motivation is to get as many of them completed as possible. You are being scored for both recognition rate and the percentage of the scenario you complete. Any parts you do not cor- plete will count against your overall score, unfortulately....

During the experiment, I will give you frequent reminders of the time you have remaining at 30 second intervals. The time I announce will be in minutes and seconds remaining. The timer will also furnish you with a running indication of time remaining.

Again, attempt to speak clearly and distinctly. No provision has been made to back up and correct mistakes or misrecognitions, so you must repeat the word or phrase until it is correctly recognized. If you miss a word and don't catch it, I will prompt you for the word you missed: please try again on the word I prompt you with.

Let re re-exphasize here that the shortened timeallowance is meant to represent the urgency of the situation in the Command Post, and that your principal goal is to finish as much of the scenario as possible.

Thanks again for your help.

9

21



antine at the state of the

APPENDIX E

INSTRUCTIONS TO EXPERIMENT PARTICIPANTS

PHASE 3

This is Phase 3 of the experiment. In this phase you will participate in a Command Post scenario very similar in content and length to the first scenario you were given.

The most significant difference in this phase is the time factor. In Phase 3 you will be allotted only 1/3 of the time you originally took to complete the entire scenario. In fact, you may not be able to complete the entire scenario. It is to be emphasized that your primary objective is to complete as much of the scenario as you possibly can, as accurately as possible. In this command post situation, the messages you will be handling are of an urgent nature, and your primary motivation is to get as many of them completed as possible. You are being scored for both recognition rate and the percentage of the scenario you complete. Any parts you do not complete will count against your overall score, unforturately....

During the experiment, I will give you frequent reminders of the time you have remaining at 32 second intervals. The time I announce will be in minutes and seconds remaining. The timer will also furnish you with a running indication of time remaining.

Again, attempt to speak clearly and distinctly. No provision has been made to back up and correct mistakes or misrecognitions, so you must repeat the word or phrase until it is correctly recognized. If you miss a word and don't catch it, I will prompt you for the word you missed: please try again on the word I prompt you with.

Let me re-emphasize here that the shortened timeallowance is meant to represent the urgency of the situation in the Command Post, and that your principal goal is to finish as much of the scenario as possible.

Thanks again for your help.

Z

65

Phane and a second se



APPENDIX F

and the second second

APPENDIX G

OUESTIONNAIRE ************ SUBJECT NUMBER..... DATE..... PHASE Please answer the following questions concerning your feelings during the phase of the experiment you have just completed. These questions pertain CNLY to this phase. Circle the response which best describes your feelings. The scale is: 1 = NCT AT ALL 3 = MODERATELY OR SOMEWEAT 5 = VERY MUCH SCResponses 2 and 4 are the midpoints between the above. QUESTION: (Circle one for each question) During this phase of the experiment, I felt: not at all rederately werv much so

		Det dt dit		n (derd ter)	v	ery wuon s	ί.
1.	nervous	1	ż	3	4	5	
2.	confused	1	2	3	4	5	
3.	pressured	1	2	3	4	5	
4.	irritated	1	2	స	4	5	
5.	anxious	1	2	3	4	5	

*****PLEASZ! Don't discuss your experience or answers with anyone.

67

£

LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Levi, L., Emctional Stress, Forvarsmedecin, 1967.
- Fleishman, E. A., "Introductics", in Alliusi, E. A., and Fleishamn, E. A., <u>Human Performance and</u> <u>Productivity</u>, Vol. III, Earlbaum Associates, 1982.
- 3. Naval Fostgraduate School Peport NPS55-82-816, <u>Experiments with Voice Input for Command and Control</u>: <u>Using Voice Input to Cperate & Distributed Computer</u> <u>Network</u>, G.K. Pocck, April 1988.
- Lea, W. A., "The Value of Speech Recognition Systems", in Lea, W. A., <u>Trends in Speech Recognition</u>, Prentice Eall, 1980.
- 5. Illinois University at Urbana Engineering Psychology Lats Report EPL-82-1/CNR-82-1, The Effect of Stimulus-Central Processing-Response Compatability and Resource Competition on Pilot Performance, Sandry, D., and Wickens, C., 1982.
- 6. Rane, R. H., "Lifechanges and Near Future Illness Reports", in Levi, L., <u>Emctions - Their Parameters and</u> Measurement, Raven Press, 1975.
- Lager, C. G., "Experimental Methods and Results of Stress' Measurement in Simulated Flight", in Levi.L., Emotional Stress, Forvarsmedecin, 1967.
- E. Hicks, J.W., Jr., An Acoustical/Temporal Analysis of <u>Trotional Stress in Speecn</u>, Ph.D Dissertation, University of Florida, 1979.
- 9. Scherer, K.R., "Vocal Indicators of Stress", in Darby, J.K., <u>Speech Evaluation in Fsychiatry</u>, Grune and Stratton, 1981.
- 10. Batchellor, M.P., <u>Investigation of Parameters Affecting</u> <u>Voice Recognition Systems in C3 Systems</u>, M.S. Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, 1981.

5

10

 Chiles, W.D., "Workload, Task, And Situational Factors as Modifiers of Complex Human Performance", in Alliusi, E.A., and Fleishman, E.A., <u>Human Performance and</u> <u>Productivity</u>, Vol. III, Earlbaum Associates, 1982.

State State State State

- 12. <u>Threshold T600 User's Maunual</u>, Threshold Technology, Inc., 1978.
- 13. Hogan, R., and Hogan, J.C., "Subjective Correlates of Stress and human Performance", in Alliusi, E.A., and Fleishman, E.A., <u>Human Performance and Productivity</u>, Vol. III, Earlbaum Associates, 1982.
- 14. Bruning, J.L., and Klintz, E.L., <u>Computational Hanabook</u> of <u>Statistics</u>, Scott, Foresman and Company, 1968.
- 15. Ott, L., <u>An Introduction to Statistical Methods and</u> <u>Data Analysis</u>, Duxbury Press, 1977.
- 16. Hicks, C.R., <u>Fundamental Concepts in the Design of</u> <u>Experiments</u>, Folt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1973.
- 17. Conover, W.J., <u>Practical Neoparametric Statistics</u>, Wiley, 1980.
- 18. Sarason, I.G., de Monchaux, C., and Hunt, T., "Methodological Issues in the Assessment of Life Stress", in Levi, L., Emotions - Their Farameters and Measurement, Raven Press, 1975.

69

A PARTICIPAL AND A

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Apple, W., Streeter, L.A., and Krauss, R.M., "Effects of Fitch and Speech Rate on Personal Attributions", <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</u>, v. 37, pp. 715-729, 1979.

Appley, M.H., and Trumbull, R., eds., <u>Psychological</u> <u>Stress</u>, Appleton Century Crofts, 1967.

Atkinson, J.E., "Inter- and Intra-speaker Variability in Fundamental Voice Frequency, <u>Journal of the</u> <u>Acoustical Society of America</u>, v. 60, pp. 440-445, 1976.

Barrett, A.M., People Under Pressure, Twayne, 1960.

Cooper, C.I., and Marshall, J., eds., White Collar and Psychological Stress, Wiley, 1980.

Cooper, C.I., and Payne, R., eds., <u>Stress at Work</u>, Wiley, 1978.

Eden, G., and Inbar, G.F., "Physiological Model and Analysis of Involuntary Human Voice Tremor", <u>Biological</u> <u>Cybernetics</u>, v. 30, pp. 179-185, 1978.

Friedhoff, A.J., Alpert, M.S., and Kurtsberg, R.L., An Electro-acoustic Analysis of the Effects of Stress on the Voice, Journal of Neuropsychiatry, v. 5, pp. 266-272, 1964.

Grosser, G.H., Wechsler, H., and Greenblatt, M., eds., The Threat of Impending Disaster, MIT Press, 1964.

Hamilton, V., and Warburton, D.M., eds., <u>Human Stress</u> and Cognition, Wiley, 1979.

Hayre, H.S., "A Preliminary Examination of Raterdetermined versus Eayre's Voice-based Psychological Parameters", Applied Acoustics, pp. 63-67, 1980.

Hayre, H.S., and Holland, J.C., "Cross-correlation of Voice and Heart Rate as Stress Measures", <u>Applied</u> Acoustics, pp. 57-62, 1980.

Hibler, N., <u>The Expression of Emotion in the Human</u> <u>Voice</u>, Ph.D Dissertation, University of South Florida, 1978.

Hollien, H., <u>A Case Against Stress Evaluators and Voice</u> <u>Lie Letection</u>, paper presented tefore the Conference of the Academy for Forensic Applications of the Communications Sciences, Salt Lake City, Utah, Lecember 1, 1979.

Ecrvath, E., "An Experimental Comparison of the Psychological Stress Evaluator and Galvanic Skin Response in Detection of Deception", <u>Journal of Applied</u> Psychology, v. 64, pp. 323-330, 1979.

Kuroda, I., Fujiwara, O., Okamura, N., and Utsuki, N., "A Method for Determining Pilot Stress Through Analysis of Voice Communication", <u>Aviation, Space</u>, and <u>Environmental Medecine</u>, v. 47, FF. 528-533, 1976.

Levin, H., and Lord, W., "Speech Fitch Frequency as an Irotional State Indicator", <u>IEEE Transactions on</u> Systems, Man, and Cypernetics, March 1975.

Lieterman, F., "Perturbations in Vocal Pitch", <u>Journal</u> <u>cf the Accustical Society cf America</u>, v. 33, pp. 597-603, 1961.

Lieterman, F., and Michaels, S.B., Some Aspects of Fundamental Frequency and Envelope Amplitude as Related to the Emotional Content of Speech, <u>Journal of the</u> <u>Accustical Society of America</u>, v. 34, pp. 922-927, 1962.

Lippola, C., "Physiological Tremor", <u>Scientific</u> <u>American</u>, v. 224, pp.65-73, 1971.

Ostwala, F., The Sounds of Inotional Disturbance", Archives of General Psychiatry, v. 5, pp. 587-592, 1961.

Schifflett, S.G., Voice Stress Analysis as a measure of Operator Workload, <u>Proceedings of the Human Factor</u> <u>Society Annual Meeting</u>, 1975, also rublished under U.S. Naval Air Test Center TM 79-3, 1980.

Selye, H., The Physiology and Pathology of Exposure to Stress, Acta Inc. Pedical Publishers, 1950.

Shipp, T., and Izdebski, K., "Current Evidence for the Existence of Laryngeal Macrotremor and Microtremor", Journal of Forensic Science, v. 26, pp. 501-506, 1981.

Simonov, F.V., and Frolov, M.V., "Utilization of Human Voice for Estimation of Man's Enctional Stress and State of Attention", <u>Aerospace Medecine</u>, pp. 256-258, 1973.

Williams, C.E., and Stevens, K.N., "Emotions and Speech: Some Acoustical Correlates". Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, v. 52, pp. 1238-1250, 1972.

Standard Sta

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION IIST

1

No. Copies	No		Co	рi	es
------------	----	--	----	----	----

1.	Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexangria, Virginia 22314	2
2.	Superintendent ATTN: Library, Code 2142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 53540	1
3.	Superintendent ATIN: Frodessor G. Poock, Ocde 55Pk Navel Fostgraduate School Monterey, California 53942	89
4.	Superinterdent ATIN: LTC S. Fack, USA, Code EEFh Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
٤.	Superintendent ATTN: Frofessor D. Neil, Code SENi Naval Fostgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
б.	Superintendent ATTN: Code 212A Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
7.	Superintendent ATIN: Code 39 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
٤.	IBM Communications Products Division ATTN: David W. Davenport PO Box 12195 Dept D48/B632 Research Triangle Park, NC 27705	1

73

ŧ

- 9. SINGER Link Simulation Systems Division ATTN: Dr. E. Scott Baudhuin 11800 Tech Road Silver Springs, Maryland 20904
- 10. Naval Electronics Systems Center ATTN: Frank Deckelman, Code 613 2511 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, Virginia 20363

1

1

1

11. USS Enterprise (CVN 62) Operations Department ATTN: LT. B. French HPC San Francisco, California 96635

74

ŧ

