



.

$$\begin{split} & \bigvee \left\| \mathbf{u}_{1,1} \left( \mathbf{i} \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) \mathbf{\theta} \right\|_{\mathbf{S} \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}^{(1)} \right\|_{\mathbf{S} \setminus \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{S$$

UGELLIERS COUNTER SAIBURS lanassee Floric

TESTING WHETHER NEW IS BETTER THAN USED 'OF A SPECIFIED AGE<sup>1</sup>

by

Myles Hollander, Dong Ho Park, and Frank Proschan

Florida State University, Temple University and Florida State University

> FSU Statistics Report No. M646 AFOSR Technical Report No. 82-153

> > January, 1983

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC) NOTICE OF IRANSMITTAL TO DIIC This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for welkie welkies IAW ASE 100-12. Distribution is welkied. MATTHEW J. KERPED Chief, Technical Information Division

<sup>1</sup>Research supported by Air Force Office of Scientific Research, U.S.A.F., under Contract No. F49620-82-K-0007.





REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	E READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GO	VT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
AFOSR-TR- 83-0416 H	128 44 2
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVE
TESTING WHETHER NEW IS BETTER THAN USE	D OF A TECHNICAL
SPECIFIED AGE	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBE
7. AUTHOR(#)	FSU Statistics Report M64 8. CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(4)
Myles Hollander, Dong Ho Park and Fran	k Proschan F49620-82-K-0007
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TA AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Department of Statistics Florida State University	PE61102F; 2304/A5
Tallahassee FL 32306	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Mathematical & Information Sciences Di Air Force Office of Scientific Researc	
Bolling AFB DC 20332	14
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from	Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report,
	UNCLASSIFIED
	150. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADIN SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Bloc	
Approved for public release; distribut	
Approved for public release; distribut	
Approved for public release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Bloc	
Approved for public release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Bloc	
Approved for public release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Bloc	
Approved for public release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Bloc	:k 20, 11 dillerent from Report)
Approved for public release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identication)	(117 by block number) used of age t <sub>0</sub> , failure rate; preserva
Approved for public release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessery and idention New better than used; new better than	(117 by block number) used of age t <sub>0</sub> , failure rate; preserva
Approved for public release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessery and idention New better than used; new better than	:k 20, 11 different from Report) ://y by block number) used of age t <sub>0</sub> , failure rate; preserva .ency.
Approved for public release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide // necessery and ident New better than used; new better than properties; asymptotic relative effici	:k 20, 11 different from Report) ://y by block number) used of age t <sub>0</sub> , failure rate; preserva .ency.
Approved for public release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessery and idention New better than used; new better than properties; asymptotic relative effici 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necesser) and idention	:k 20, 11 different from Report) ://y by block number) used of age t <sub>0</sub> , failure rate; preserva .ency.
Approved for public release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessery and idention New better than used; new better than properties; asymptotic relative effici 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necesser) and idention	:k 20, 11 different from Report) ://y by block number) used of age t <sub>0</sub> , failure rate; preserva .ency.
Approved for public release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessery and idention New better than used; new better than properties; asymptotic relative effici 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necesser) and idention	:k 20, 11 different from Report) ://y by block number) used of age t <sub>0</sub> , failure rate; preserva .ency.
Approved for public release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessery and idention New better than used; new better than properties; asymptotic relative effici 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necesser) and idention	:k 20, 11 different from Report) ://y by block number) used of age t <sub>0</sub> , failure rate; preserva .ency.
Approved for public release; distribut 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessery and idention New better than used; new better than properties; asymptotic relative effici 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necesser) and idention	:k 20, 11 different from Report) ://y by block number) used of age t <sub>0</sub> , failure rate; preserva .ency.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered) .

ITEM #20 CONTINUED:

We introduce a "new better than used at  $t_0$ " (NBU- $t_0$ ) class of life distributions, where the survival probability at age 0 is greater than or equal to the conditional survival probability at specified age  $t_0 > 0$ . The dual class of "new worse than used at  $t_0$ " (NWU- $t_0$ ) life distributions is defined by reversing the direction of inequality. We present preservation and non-preservation properties of the two classes under various reliability operations. We then develop a test of the null hypothesis that a new item has stochastically the same residual lifelength as does a used item of age  $t_0$ , versus the alternative hypothesis that a new item has stochastically greater residual lifelength than does a used item of age  $t_0$ .

Accession For NTIS GRA&I DTIC T/S Unennousjed Justification
By Distribution/ Availability Codes (Avail and/or Dist Special

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Dete Entered)

## TESTING WHETHER NEW IS BETTER THAN USED OF A SPECIFIED AGE<sup>1</sup>

by

Myles Hollander, Dong Ho Park, and Frank Proschan Florida State University, Temple University, and Florida State University

#### ABSTRACT

Para Same

We introduce a "new better than used at  $t_0$ " (NBU- $t_0$ ) class of life distributions, where the survival probability at age 0 is greater than or equal to the conditional survival probability at specified age  $t_0 > 0$ . The dual class of "new worse than used at  $t_0$ " (NWU- $t_0$ ) life distributions is defined by reversing the direction of inequality. We present preservation and nonpreservation properties of the two classes under various reliability operations. We then develop a test of the null hypothesis that a new item has stochastically the same residual lifelength as does a used item of age  $t_0$ .

KEY WORDS: New Better than Used, New Better than Used of Age  $t_0$ , Failure Rate, Preservation Properties, Asymptotic Relative Efficiency.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

We introduce a "new better than used at  $t_0$ " (NBU- $t_0$ ) class of life distributions, where the survival probability at age 0 is greater than or equal to the conditional survival probability at specified age  $t_0 > 0$ . We also introduce the dual class of "new worse than used at  $t_0$ " (NWU- $t_0$ ) life dis-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Research supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, AFSC, USAF, under Grant AFOSR 82-K-0007 to Florida State University.

tributions obtained by reversing the direction of inequality. We then develop a test of the null hypothesis that a new item has stochastically the same residual lifelength as does a used item of age  $t_0$ , versus the alternative hypothesis that a new item has stochastically greater (smaller) residual lifelength than does a used item of age  $t_0$ .

Examples of situations where it is reasonable to use the NBU-t<sub>0</sub> (NWU-t<sub>0</sub>) test are:

(i) From experience, cancer specialists believe that a patient newly diagnosed as having a certain type of cancer has a distinctly smaller chance of survival than does a patient who has survived 5 years  $(=t_0)$  following initial diagnosis. (In fact, such survivors are often designated as "cured".) The cancer specialists may wish to test their beliefs.

(ii) The Federal Aviation Administration requires an extensive overhaul of a commercial airplane engine after  $t_0$  hours of flight. The airlines claim that this overhaul is unnecessary at best, and possibly is even harmful to the aircraft. To verify their claim, the airlines test from operational data whether an airplane engine after  $t_0$  hours of flight is stochastically as good as a new engine.

(iii) A manufacturer believes that a certain component exhibits "infant mortality", for example, has a decreasing failure rate over an interval  $\{0, t_0\}$ . This belief stems from experience accumulated for similar components. He wishes to determine whether a used component of age  $t_0$  has stochastically greater residual lifelength than does a new component. If so, he will test over the interval  $\{0, t_0\}$  a certain percentage of his output and then sell the surviving components of age  $t_0$  at higher prices to purchasers who must have high reliability components (e.g., a spacecraft assembler). He wishes to test such a hypothesis to reject or accept his a priori belief.

- 2 -

In Section 2 we present definitions and some preservation (and nonpreservation) properties of the NBU-t<sub>0</sub> and NWU-t<sub>0</sub> classes under various reliability operations. In Section 3 we introduce an NBU-t<sub>0</sub> test based on a random sample from the underlying life distribution. The NBU-t<sub>0</sub> test is asymptotically distribution-free and is consistent against NBU-t<sub>0</sub> alternatives. In Section 4 we present Pitman asymptotic relative efficiency results for the NBU-t<sub>0</sub> test. We take the NBU test proposed by Hollander and Proschan (1972) [HP(1972)] as a competitor since other tests for the NBU-t<sub>0</sub> alternatives have not yet been proposed.

# 2. THE NBU-t CLASS

Definition 2.1. Let  $t_0 > 0$ . A life distribution F is new better than used at  $t_0$  (NBU- $t_0$ ) if

$$\overline{F}(x + t_0) \leq \overline{F}(x) \ \overline{F}(t_0) \ \text{ for all } x \geq 0, \qquad (2.1)$$

where  $\overline{F} = 1$ -F denotes the survival function. The dual notion of new worse than used at  $t_0$  (NWU- $t_0$ ) is defined analogously by reversing the first inequality in (2.1).

We define the following classes of life distributions.

$$C_0 = \{F: \overline{F}(x + t_0) = \overline{F}(x) \overline{F}(t_0) \text{ for all } x \ge 0\}, \qquad (2.2)$$

and

$$C_{A} = \{\overline{F}: \overline{F}(x + t_{0}) \le \overline{F}(x) \overline{F}(t_{0}) \text{ for all } x \ge 0$$
and inequality holds for some  $x \ge 0\}.$ 
(2.3)

Then  $C_0$  is the class of boundary members of the NBU-t<sub>0</sub> and NWU-t<sub>0</sub> classes. Using Theorem 2 of Marsaglia and Tubilla (1975), we may easily verify that the following distributions  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ , and  $F_3$  are the only distributions in  $C_0$ :

- 3 -

- (a)  $\overline{F}_1(x) = \exp(-\lambda x), \lambda > 0, x \ge 0.$
- (b)  $\overline{F}_2(x)$  is a survival function for which  $\overline{F}_2(0) = 1$  and  $\overline{F}_2(t_0) = 0$ .
- (c)  $\overline{F}_3(x) = \overline{G}(x)$  for  $0 \le x < t_0$ ,  $= \overline{G}^j(t_0) \overline{G}(x jt_0)$  for  $jt_0 \le x < t_0$ .

 $x < (j + 1)t_0$ , j = 0, 1, 2, ..., where  $\overline{G}$  is a survival function defined for  $x \ge 0$ . Note that if G has a density function on  $[0, t_0]$ , then the failure rate of  $F_x$  is periodic with period  $t_0$ .

The NBU-t<sub>0</sub> is related to, but contains and is much larger than, the "new better than used" class defined below.

Definition 2.2. A life distribution F is new better than used (NBU) if  $\overline{F}(x + t) \leq \overline{F}(x) \overline{F}(t)$  for all x,  $t \geq 0$ . F is new worse than used (NWU) if  $\overline{F}(x + t) \geq \overline{F}(x) \overline{F}(t)$  for all x,  $t \geq 0$ .

Thus the NBU property states that a used item of any age has stochastically smaller residual lifelength than does a new item, whereas the NBU-t<sub>0</sub> property states that a used item of age t<sub>0</sub> has stochastically smaller residual lifelength than does a new item. The boundary members of the NBU and NWU classes are the exponential distributions.

Let  $C^*$  be the class of life distributions which are not NBU but are NBU-t<sub>0</sub>. Theorem 2.3 below gives a method of constructing some distribution functions in  $C^*$ .

Given a survival function  $\overline{H}$ , let  $\overline{H}_t(x) \equiv \overline{H}(t + x)/\overline{H}(t)$ , the conditional survival function. Recall that for  $x \ge 0$ ,

$$\overline{H}(x) = e^{-\int_{0}^{x} r_{H}(u) du}$$

$$\overline{H}_{t}(x) = e^{-\int_{t}^{t+x} r_{H}(u) du}$$
(2.4a)
(2.4b)

when H has failure rate function  $r_{\mu}$ .

- 4 -

Theorem 2.3. Let G be NBU with failure rate function  $r_G(x) > 0$  for  $0 \le x < \infty$ . Let F have failure rate function  $r_F$  satisfying:

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{0}}, \tag{2.5a}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{0}} \leq \mathbf{x} < \infty, \qquad (2.5b)$$

and

 $r_F(x)$  is strictly decreasing for  $0 \le t \le t_1$ , where  $0 < t_1 < t_0$ . (2.5c) Then F is NBU-t<sub>0</sub> but not NBU.

Proof. F is not NBU by (2.5c).  
To show that F is NBU-t<sub>0</sub>, note that for 
$$x \ge 0$$
,  
 $\overline{F}_{t_0}(x) = \overline{G}_{t_0}(x)$  by (2.4b) and (2.5b),  
 $\overline{G}_{t_0}(x) \le \overline{G}(x)$  since G is NBU,

and

i.e.,

$$\overline{G}(x) \leq \overline{F}(x)$$
 by (2.5a) and (2.5b).

Combining, we conclude that for  $x \ge 0$ ,

$$\overline{F}_{t_0}(x) \le \overline{F}(x)$$
  
F is NBU-t\_0.

Example 2.4. As an example of Theorem 2.3, let  $r_G(x) = 1$  for  $0 \le x < \infty$ , and let  $r_F(x) = 1 - (\theta/t_0)x$  for  $0 \le x < t_0$  and  $0 < \theta \le 1$ , and  $r_F(x) = 1$ for  $t_0 \le x < \infty$ . We do not let  $\theta$  exceed 1 since we want to ensure that  $r_F(x)$  remains positive as  $x + t_0$ . Then  $r_F$  satisfies (2.5a), (2.5b), (2.5c) and thus F is in C\*. Using (2.4a) we can write F in the form given by (4.1). (In (4.1) we have extended the range of  $\theta$  to include  $\theta = 0$ . When  $\theta = 0$ , the F of (4.1) is exponential and thus is both NBU and NBU- $t_0$ .) We will utilize this  $\overline{F}$  in the efficiency study of Section 4. The remainder of Section 2 considers preservation properties of the NBU-t<sub>n</sub> and NWU-t<sub>n</sub> classes under various reliability operations.

Example 2.5. The NBU-t<sub>0</sub> class is not preserved under convolution: Let F be the distribution which places mass  $\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$  at the point  $\Delta_1$ , mass  $\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$ at the point  $\frac{5}{8} - \Delta_2$ , and mass  $2\varepsilon$  at the point  $1 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_1$ , where  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$ , and  $\varepsilon$  are "small" positive numbers. Let  $t_0 = 1$ . Then it is obvious that F is NBU-t<sub>0</sub> since a new item with life distribution F survives at least until time  $\Delta_1$  with probability 1, whereas an item of age  $t_0$  has residual life  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_1$  with probability 1.

Next consider  $\overline{F^{(2)}}(t_0 + x) = P[X_1 + X_2 > t_0 + x]$ , where  $X_1, X_2$  are i.i.d. ~ F,  $t_0 = 1$  (as above), and  $x = \frac{1}{4}$ . Then  $\overline{F^{(2)}}(\frac{5}{4}) = (\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)^2$ , since  $X_1 + X_2 > \frac{5}{4}$  if and only if  $X_1 > \frac{5}{8} - \Delta_2$  and  $X_2 \ge \frac{5}{8} - \Delta_2$ . Similarly,  $\overline{F^{(2)}}(t_0) = \overline{F^{(2)}}(1) = P[X_1 + X_2 > 1] = (\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)^2 + 2(2\varepsilon)$  since  $X_1 + X_2 > 1$  if and only if (a)  $X_1 > \frac{5}{8} - \Delta_2$  and  $X_2 > \frac{5}{8} - \Delta_2$ , or (b)  $X_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_1$  and  $X_2$  is any value, or (c)  $X_2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2$  and  $X_1$  is any value. Finally,  $\overline{F^{(2)}}(\frac{1}{4}) = 1 - (\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)^2$ , since  $X_1 + X_2 > \frac{1}{4}$  except when  $X_1 = \Delta_1$  and  $X_2 = \Delta_1$ . It follows that for  $t_0 = 1$  and  $x = \frac{1}{4}$ , we have  $\overline{F^{(2)}}(t_0 + x) - \overline{F^{(2)}}(t_0) \overline{F^{(2)}}(x) = (\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)^2 - [\{(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)^2 + 2(2\varepsilon)\} \{1 - (\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)^2\}\}$  $= \frac{1}{4} - (\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{2}) + 0(\varepsilon)$ 

which is greater than 0 for sufficiently small  $\epsilon$ .

- 6 -

Thus  $F^{(2)}$  is not NBU-t<sub>0</sub>.

Theorem 2.6. The NBU-t class is preserved under the formation of coherent systems.

The proof is exactly analogous to that of the corresponding result for the NBU class. In the proof of Theorem 5.1 of Barlow and Proschan (1981), pp. 182-183, simply replace s by x and t by  $t_0$ .

Example 2.7. The NBU-t<sub>0</sub> class is not preserved under mixtures. The following example shows that a mixture of NBU-t<sub>0</sub> distributions need not be NBU-t<sub>0</sub>. Let  $\overline{F}_{\alpha}(x) = e^{-\alpha X}$  and  $\overline{G}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{F}_{\alpha}(x)e^{-\alpha}d\alpha = (x + 1)^{-1}$ . Then the density function is  $g(x) = (x + 1)^{-2}$  and the failure rate function is  $r_g(x) = (x + 1)^{-1}$ , which is strictly decreasing in  $x \ge 0$ . Thus G is not NBU-t<sub>0</sub>.

**Example 2.8.** The NWU-t<sub>0</sub> class is not preserved under convolution. The exponential distribution  $F(x) = 1 - e^{-x}$  is NWU-t<sub>0</sub>. The convolution  $F^{(2)}$  of F with itself is the gamma distribution of order 2:  $F^{(2)}(x) = 1 - (1 + x)e^{-x}$ , with strictly increasing failure rate. Thus  $F^{(2)}$  is not NWU-t<sub>0</sub>.

Example 2.9. The NWU-t<sub>0</sub> class is not preserved under the formation of coherent systems.

This may be shown using the same example as is used for the analogous result for NWU systems in Barlow and Proschan (1981), p. 183.

Theorem 2.10. The NWU-t<sub>0</sub> class is (a) preserved under mixtures of distributions no two of which cross and (b) not preserved under arbitrary mixtures.

- 7 -

Proof (a) In the proof of the corresponding result for the NWU class (Barlow and Proschan, 1981, Theorem 5.7, p. 186), substitute x for s and  $t_0$  for t.

(b) The example of 5.9, Barlow and Proschan (1981), p. 187, may be used.  $\parallel$ 

The preservation and non-preservation results for the NBU-t<sub>0</sub> and NWU-t<sub>0</sub> classes are summarized in Table 1 below.

	Convolutions	Formation of Coherent Systems	Arbitrary Mixtures	Mixtures of Noncrossing Distributions
NBU-t <sub>0</sub>	Convolutionsof Coherent SystemsArbitrary MixturesNoncrossi DistributBU-tNot PreservedPreservedNot PreservedNot Preserved	Not Preserved		
NWU-t <sub>0</sub>	Not Preserved	Not Preserved	Not Preserved	Preserved

Table 1. Preservation of NBU- $t_0$  (NWU- $t_0$ ) Under Reliability Operations.

### 3. THE NBU-to TEST

We consider the problem of testing

$$H_0: F \text{ is in } C_0 \tag{3.1}$$

versus

$$H_{\Delta}$$
: F is in  $C_{\Delta}$  (3.2)

on the basis of a random sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  from a continuous distribution F. The classes  $C_0$  and  $C_A$  are defined by (2.2) and (2.3), respectively.  $H_0$ asserts that a new item is as good as a used item of age  $t_0$ , whereas  $H_A$  states that a new item has stochastically greater residual life than does a used item of age  $t_0$ . In what follows, "  $\equiv$  " means "equals by definition".

- 8 -

Our test statistic is motivated by consideration of the parameter

$$\nu(F) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} \{\bar{F}(x + t_{0}) - \bar{F}(x) \bar{F}(t_{0})\} dF(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \bar{F}(x + t_{0}) dF(x) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{F}(t_{0}) \equiv T_{1}(F) - T_{2}(F).$$
  
Under  $H_{0}$ ,  $T_{1}(F) = T_{2}(F)$  and thus  $\nu(F) = 0$ . Under  $H_{A}$ ,  $T_{1}(F) \leq T_{2}(F)$  and thus  $\nu(F) \leq 0$ . In fact,  $\nu(F)$  is strictly less than 0 under  $H_{A}$  since  $F$  is continuous. It is reasonable to replace  $F$  by the empirical distribution  $F_{n}$  and reject  $H_{0}$  in favor of  $H_{A}$  if  $\nu(F_{n})$  is too small. Roughly speaking, the more negative  $\nu(F_{n})$ , the greater is the evidence in favor of  $H_{A}$ . Instead of using  $\nu(F_{n})$ , we use the asymptotically equivalent U-statistic  $T$  defined by (3.3).

Let  $h_1(X_1, X_2) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \psi(X_1, X_2 + t_0) + \psi(X_2, X_1 + t_0) \}$  and  $h_2(X_1) = \frac{1}{2} \psi(X_1, t_0)$  be the kernels of degree 2 and 1 corresponding to  $T_1(F)$  and  $T_2(F)$  respectively, where  $\psi(a,b) = 1$  if a > b, = 0 if  $a \le b$ . Let

$$T = \{n(n-1)\}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(X_{a_{1}}, X_{a_{2}} + t_{0}) - (2n)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(X_{i}, t_{0}), \qquad (3.3)$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^{r}$  is the sum taken over all n(n-1) sets of two integers  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ such that  $1 \le \alpha_1 \le n$ , i = 1, 2, and  $\alpha_1 \ne \alpha_2$ . Let  $\xi_1^{(1)} = E\{h_1(X_1, X_2)h_1(X_1, X_3)\} - \{T_1(F)\}^2, \xi_2^{(1)} = E\{h_1^2(X_1, X_2)\} - \{T_1(F)\}^2, \xi_1^{(2)} = E\{h_2^2(X_1)\} - \{T_2(F)\}^2$ , and  $\xi^{(1,2)} = E\{h_1(X_1, X_2) - T_1(F)\} \{h_2(X_1) - T_2(F)\}$ .

Then

$$\operatorname{var}(T) = \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \binom{2}{k} \binom{n-2}{2-k} \xi_{k}^{(1)} + n^{-1} \xi_{1}^{(2)} - (4/n)\xi^{(1,2)}. \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\sigma^{2} \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} n \cdot var(T) = 4\xi_{1}^{(1)} + \xi_{1}^{(2)} - 4\xi_{1}^{(1,2)}.$$

Therefore from Hoeffding's (1948) U-statistic theory we have that if F is such that  $\sigma^2 > 0$ , then the limiting distribution of  $n^{1/2}\{T - v(F)\}$  is normal with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

Straightforward calculations yield the following null hypothesis values:  $v(F) = 0, 4\xi_{1}^{(1)} = (1/3)\bar{F}(t_{0}) - (2/3)\bar{F}^{2}(t_{0}) + (1/3)\bar{F}^{3}(t_{0}), 4\xi_{2}^{(1)} = \bar{F}(t_{0}) - \bar{F}^{2}(t_{0}), 4\xi_{1}^{(2)} = \bar{F}(t_{0}) - \bar{F}^{2}(t_{0}), 4\xi_{1}^{(1,2)} = (1/2)\bar{F}(t_{0}) - \bar{F}^{2}(t_{0}) + (1/2)\bar{F}^{3}(t_{0}). \text{ Then}$ from (3.4),

 $\operatorname{var}_{0}(T) = (n+1) \{n(n-1)\}^{-1} \{(1/12)\overline{F}(t_{0}) + (1/12)\overline{F}^{2}(t_{0}) - (1/6)\overline{F}^{3}(t_{0})\},\$ and  $\sigma^{2}$  reduces to  $\sigma_{0}^{2}$ , where

$$\sigma_0^2 = (1/12)\bar{F}(t_0) + (1/12)\bar{F}^2(t_0) - (1/6)\bar{F}^3(t_0). \qquad (3.5)$$

The null mean of  $n^{1/2}T$  is 0, independent of the underlying unspecified distribution F. However, the null variance and the null asymptotic variance of  $n^{1/2}T$  depend on F through  $\overline{F}(t_0)$  and thus  $\sigma_0^2$  must be estimated from the data. Let

$$\hat{F}_0^2 = (1/12)\bar{F}_n(t_0) + (1/12)\bar{F}_n^2(t_0) - (1/6)\bar{F}_n^3(t_0),$$

where  $\bar{F}_n(t_0) = n^{-1} \cdot (number of X's > t_0)$ . Then  $\hat{\sigma}_0^2$  is a consistent estimator of  $\sigma_0^2$  and, from the asymptotic normality of T and Slutsky's theorem, we thus have that under  $H_0, n^{1/2} T \hat{\sigma}_0^{-1}$  is asymptotically N(0,1). Thus our approximate  $\alpha$ -level test of  $H_0$  versus  $H_A$  (referred to as the NBU-t\_0 test) rejects  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_A$  if  $n^{1/2} T \hat{\sigma}_0^{-1} \leq -z_{\alpha}$ , where  $z_{\alpha}$ is the upper  $\alpha$ -percentile point of a N(0,1) distribution. Analogously, the approximate  $\alpha$ -level test of  $H_0$  versus the alternative that a new item has stochastically less residual lifelength than does a used item of age  $t_0$ rejects  $H_0$  if  $n^{1/2} T \hat{\sigma}_0^{-1} \geq z_{\alpha}$ . This is referred to as the NWU-t\_0 test. We now show that if F is continuous, then the NBU-t<sub>0</sub> test is consistent against  $C_A$ . It suffices to show that v(F) is strictly negative for  $F \in C_A$ . Let  $D(x, t_0) = \overline{F}(x + t_0) - \overline{F}(x)\overline{F}(t_0)$ . Then by assumption,  $D(x, t_0) \le 0$  for all  $x \ge 0$  and  $D(x, t_0) < 0$  for some  $x \ge 0$ . Let  $x_0$  be a point such that  $D(x_0, t_0) < 0$  and let  $x' = \sup\{x: x \ge x_0 \text{ and } \overline{F}(x) = \overline{F}(x_0)\}$ . Then  $D(x', t_0) = \overline{F}(x' + t_0) - \overline{F}(x')\overline{F}(t_0) \le \overline{F}(x_0 + t_0) - \overline{F}(x')\overline{F}(t_0)$ 

$$= \tilde{F}(x_0 + t_0) - \tilde{F}(x_0)\tilde{F}(t_0) = D(x_0, t_0) < 0.$$

Since F is continuous, D is also continuous. Therefore there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $D(x' + \delta, t_0) < 0$ . Also  $F(x' + \delta) - F(x') > 0$ , since x' is a point of increase of F. Thus  $v(F) = \int_{0}^{\infty} D(x, t_0) dF(x) < 0$ .

#### 4. ASYMPTOTIC RELATIVE EFFICIENCY

To our knowledge, no other tests have been proposed for testing  $H_0$  (3.1) versus  $H_A$  (3.2). Thus in this section we compare our NBU-t<sub>0</sub> test with the HP (1972) test of  $H_0^-$ : F is exponential, versus  $H_A^+$ : F is NBU and not exponential. The HP (1972) test statistic is  $J = 2 \{n(n-1) (n-2)\}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha_1}^{\infty} \psi(X_{\alpha_1}, X_{\alpha_2} + X_{\alpha_3})$ , where  $\sum_{\alpha_1}^{\infty}$  is the sum taken over all n(n-1)(n-2)/2 triplets  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$  of three integers such that  $1 \le \alpha_i \le n$ , i = 1, 2, 3,  $\alpha_1 \ne \alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_1 \ne \alpha_3$ , and  $\alpha_2 < \alpha_3$ . The HP (1972) NBU test rejects  $H_0^-$  in favor of  $H_A^-$  for small values of J. (For large values of J,  $H_0^-$  is rejected in favor of NWU alternatives.)

We evaluate the Pitman asymptotic relative efficiency of the HP (1972) NBU test with respect to our NBU-t<sub>0</sub> test for the following three distributions: (1) The linear failure rate distribution given by

$$\vec{F}_1(x; \theta) = \exp[-\{x + (\theta/2)x^2\}], \ \theta \ge 0, \ x \ge 0.$$

(2) The Makeham distribution given by

$$\tilde{F}_2(x; \theta) = \exp[-\{x + \theta(x + \exp(-x)-1)\}], \theta \ge 0, x \ge 0.$$

(3) The C\* distribution (see Example 2.1) given by

$$\bar{F}_{3}(x; \theta) = \exp[-\{x - \theta(2t_{0})^{-1}x^{2}\}], \ 0 \le \theta \le 1, \ 0 \le x < t_{0}$$

$$= \exp[-\{x - \theta(2)^{-1}t_{0}\}], \ 0 \le \theta \le 1, \ x \ge t_{0}.$$
(4.1)

For  $\theta = 0$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ , and  $F_3$  reduce to the exponential distribution and thus satisfy  $H_0$  and  $H_0^{-}$ . For  $\theta > 0$ ,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are NBU. For  $0 < \theta \le 1$ , as shown in Section 2,  $F_3$  is in  $C_A$  but is not NBU. Using the results of HP (1972) and the null asymptotic distribution of T, we have for i = 1, 2, 3,

$$ARE_{F_{i}}(J,T) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \{var_{0}(T)/var_{0}(J)\} + \{\Delta_{i}(0)/v_{i}(0)\}^{2}$$

$$= [\{(1/12)e^{-t_{0}} + (1/12)e^{-2t_{0}} - (1/6)e^{-3t_{0}}\}/(5/432)]$$

$$+ \{\Delta_{i}(0)/v_{i}(0)\}^{2}$$

$$= (432/60)(e^{-t_{0}} + e^{-2t_{0}} - 2e^{-3t_{0}}) + \{\Delta_{i}(0)/v_{i}(0)\}^{2}$$

$$(4.2)$$

where  $\Delta_{i}(\theta) = \iint \bar{F}_{i}(x + y; \theta) dF_{i}(x; \theta) dF_{i}(y; \theta)$  and  $v_{i}(\theta) =$  $\{\int \bar{F}_{i}(x + t_{0}; \theta) dF_{i}(x; \theta)\} - (2)^{-1}\bar{F}_{i}(t_{0})$  are the asymptotic means of J and T for the alternatives  $F_{i}(x; \theta)$  and  $\Delta_{i}(0)[v_{i}(0)]$  is the derivative of  $\Delta_{i}(\theta)[v_{i}(\theta)]$ with respect to  $\theta$  evaluated at  $\theta = 0$ . Direct calculations yield

$$\Delta_{1}^{\prime}(0) = -1/16, v_{1}^{\prime}(0) = -4^{-1}t_{0}e^{-t_{0}},$$
  
$$\Delta_{2}^{\prime}(0) = -1/36, v_{2}^{\prime}(0) = 6^{-1}e^{-t_{0}}(e^{-t_{0}}-1),$$
  
$$\Delta_{3}^{\prime}(0) = (1-e^{-2t_{0}}-2t_{0}e^{-2t_{0}}-4t_{0}^{2}e^{-2t_{0}})/16t_{0},$$
  
$$v_{3}^{\prime}(0) = (2t_{0}e^{-3t_{0}}-e^{-t_{0}}+e^{-3t_{0}})/8t_{0}.$$

- 12 -

Then from (4.2) we obtain

$$ARE_{F_{1}}(J,T) = 9\{e^{t_{0}} + 1 - 2e^{-t_{0}}\}/\{20t_{0}^{2}\},$$

$$ARE_{F_{2}}(J,T) = \{e^{t_{0}} + 1 - 2e^{-t_{0}}\}/\{5(-1 + e^{-t_{0}})^{2}\},$$

$$ARE_{F_{3}}(J,T) = (9/5)[1 - e^{-2t_{0}}\{1 + 2t_{0} + 4t_{0}^{2}\}]^{2}$$

$$\cdot (e^{t_{0}} + 1 - 2e^{-t_{0}})/[1 - e^{-2t_{0}}\{1 + 2t_{0}\}]^{2}.$$

Table 2 gives the asymptotic relative efficiencies for  $t_0 = .2(.2)2(1)5$ when the underlying distributions are  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ , and  $F_3$ . Since  $F_3$  is not NBU but is NBU- $t_0$ , we should not be surprised that T outperforms J for some (small) values of  $t_0$ . For large values of  $t_0$ , the efficiencies of the NBU- $t_0$  test with respect to the HP NBU test are low for  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ , and  $F_3$ . This is due in part to the fact that for values of  $t_0$  that are large (e.g., larger than the mean or median), more than half of the observations do not affect T significantly - although they are of course not completely neglected.

t <sub>0</sub> \F:	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>3</sub>	
.2	6.569	3.554	.579	
.4	3.238	2.118	.526	
.6	2.156	1.695	. 253	
.8	1.636	1.536	.032	
1.0	1.342	1.493	.042	
1.2	1.162	1.523	.400	
1.4	1.047	1.607	1.186	
1.6	.975	1.742	2.461	
1.8	.933	1.929	4.281	
2.0	.913	2.172	6.706	
3.0	1.049	4.649	31.226	
4.0	1.563	11.531	95.750	
5.0	2.689	30.287	266.482	

Table 2. ARE of HP NBU Test with respect to NBU-t<sub>0</sub> Test.

#### 5. AN EXAMPLE

Bryson and Siddiqui (1969, p. 1483) give data corresponding to the survival periods (in days) of 43 patients from the date of diagnosis of chronic granulocytic leukemia. To apply our NBU-t<sub>0</sub> test, we choose  $t_0 = 1825$  ( $\approx$  5 years) for illustrative purposes. We obtain T = -.0449,  $\sigma_0^2 = .0151$ , and  $(43)^{1/2}T\sigma_0^{-1} = -2.40$  with a corresponding one-sided P value of .0083. Thus the NBU-1825 test strongly suggests that a newly diagnosed patient has stochastically greater residual life than does a patient after 5 years. (We note that Figure 2 of Bryson and Siddiqui indicates a decreasing trend in mean residual lifelength.)

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We are grateful to Wai Chan, Frank Guess, and Harry Joe for checking the efficiency calculations of Section 4, and to Michael Proschan for discussions concerning the NBU-t<sub>0</sub> (NWU-t<sub>0</sub>) preservation results of Section 2.

#### REFERENCES

- BARLOW, R.E. and PROSCHAN, F. (1981), Statistical Theory of Reliability and Life Testing. Second Printing Publisher: To Begin With, 1137 Hornell Drive, Silver Springs, MD. 20904.
- BRYSON, M.C. and SIDDIQUI, M.M. (1969), "Some Criteria for Aging," Journal of the American Statistical Association, 64, 1472-1483.
- HOEFFDING, W.A. (1948), "A Class of Statistics with Asymptotically Normal Distribution," Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 19, 293-325.
- HOLLANDER, M., and PROSCHAN, F. (1972), "Testing Whether New is Better than Used," Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 43, 1136-1146.
- MARSAGLIA, G. and TUBILLA, A. (1975), "A Note on the 'Lack of Memory' Property of the Exponential Distribution," Annals of Probability, 3, 353-354.

#### - 14 -