



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

	REPORT DOCUME	INTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
1.	REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION N	0. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	OTF-5	AD-412F42	2-13
4.	TITLE (and Subtitie)		S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVER
	Fock-Tani representation scattering	n for electron-hydrogen	Technical Report
7.	AUTHOR(a)		3. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER()
	M.D. Girardeau and J.D.	Gilbert	NUUU14-81-K-U/59
9.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME	AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
	Chemical Physics and The University of Oregon, E	eoretical Science, ugene, OR 97403	NR 394-055
11.	CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND A Physics Program Physics	DORESS al Sciences Division	12. REPORT DATE Adril 1983
	Office of Naval Research	h (Code 421)	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Ar 14. MO	Arlington, VA 22217	ESS(if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
			Unclassified
			154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
17.	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the al	betrect entered in Block 20, if different f	rom Report)
17.	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the al	betract entered in Block 20, if different f	
17.	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the of SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	betrect entered in Block 20, 11 different f	MAY 2 3 1983
17. 18. 19.	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the el SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alde	betract entered in Block 20, 11 different f	TOD Report) DTIC ELECTE MAY 2 3 1983 D
17.	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the el SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde Electron-hydrogen scatt	betrect entered in Block 20, il dillerent f If necessary and identify by block numbe ering, Fock-Tani represe	rom Report) DTIC ELECTE MAY 2 3 1983 O A

 \mathbf{x}

Fock-Tani Representation for Electron-Hydrogen Scattering

M. D. Girardeau and J. D. Gilbert

Department of Physics and Institutes of Chemical Physics and Theoretical Science University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403

Technical report Contract NO0014-81-K-0759

Unclassified report #QFT-5 prepared for the Physics Program (Code 421), Office of Naval Research.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Abstract

canonical transformation method previously applied to A positron-hydrogen scattering has been used to derive the Fock-Tani Hamiltonian for electron-hydrogen scattering. The : transformed Hamiltonian exhibits the H bound and resonance explicit in the second-quantized channels terms as interaction. This representation is expected to be useful for inclusion of these channels as intermediate states in the many-body Green's function approach to evaluation of S-matrix elements.

| Accounter For State of GradI 1.4 . : fernance a A Standard Stand ***:3 Lin Lort 📷 : 10 T 1 a. 5 1 a. P.14 DTIC

Quantum field theoretic Green's functions are a useful tool for calculation of elastic and inelastic electron-atom. atomatom, and atom-molecule scattering cross sections 1-5. The Smatrices for such processes are expressible in terms of single-particle Green's functions². The extension to reactive scattering cross sections normally requires more complicated Bethe-Salpeter transition amplitudes⁶, which are harder to determine accurately. This difficulty can be circumvented in some cases by an appropriate change of representation, such that the new field operators for the appropriate composite states satisfy elementary particle commutation relations. The contributions of these composite states to reactive scattering cross sections are then already manifested in certain new single-particle Green's functions in the new representation. The application of such a representation, the Fock-Tani representation^{7,8} to positron-hydrogen scattering has recently been described The same approach can be applied to electron-hydrogen scattering, for the purpose of incorporating the contributions of the bound H^{-} channel and the H^{-} resonance channels into the field-theoretic calculation of cross sections. In this note we shall exhibit and discuss the Fockrepresentation Hamiltonian for this Tani problem. The derivation is almost the same as that given previously for positron-hydrogen scattering and will not be included here. The application to calculation of H⁻ bound and resonance channel contributions to electron-hydrogen scattering cross

sections is in progress.

The previously-used canonical transformation method⁹ yields the following expression for the Hamiltonian:

$$H = H_{0} + V$$

$$H_{0} = \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \hat{a}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\alpha} + \int dx \ \hat{e}^{\dagger} (x) H(x) \hat{e} (x)$$

$$V = \sum_{\alpha\beta}' \hat{a}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} (\alpha |H|\beta) \hat{a}_{\beta}$$

$$+ 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\alpha} \int dx_{1} dx_{2} [\hat{e}^{\dagger} (x_{1}) \hat{e}^{\dagger} (x_{2}) (x_{1} x_{2} |H|\alpha) \hat{a}_{\alpha} + h.c.]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int dx_{1} dx_{2} dx_{1}' dx_{2}' \hat{e}^{\dagger} (x_{1}) \hat{e}^{\dagger} (x_{2}) (x_{1} x_{2} |H|x_{1}' x_{2}') \hat{e} (x_{2}') \hat{e} (x_{1}')$$
(1)

Here $\hat{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})$ are Fock annihilation and creation operators for electrons, satisfying Fermi anticommutation relations. The argument x stands for (\vec{r}, σ) with \vec{r} the electron position, $\sigma (=\uparrow \text{ or } \checkmark)$ its spin z-component variable, and $\int d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\sigma} \int d^3 \vec{r}$. The \hat{a} and \hat{a}^{\dagger} are Bose annihilation and creation operators for the H⁻ bound state (two electrons bound to the proton) and H⁻ resonances (two electrons in an initially localized decaying state). They commute with $\hat{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})$, as is the case for the positronium and electron operators^{ϕ -11}. The corresponding wave functions are denoted by $\phi_{\chi}(\mathbf{x}_{1}\mathbf{x}_{2})$ and the set $\{\phi_{\chi}\}$ can be chosen orthonormal; the quantum numbers α range over both the bound and resonance channels. The canonical transformation to the representation

(1) is effected by the unitary operator $\stackrel{\frown}{U}$,

$$\widehat{U} = \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\widehat{F}\right), \quad \widehat{F} = \sum_{\alpha} \left(\widehat{A}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}\widehat{a}_{\alpha} - \widehat{a}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}\widehat{A}_{\alpha}\right),$$
$$\widehat{A}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\int dx_{1}dx_{2} \,\phi_{\alpha}(x_{1}x_{2})\widehat{e}^{\dagger}(x_{1})\widehat{e}^{\dagger}(x_{2}) \qquad (2)$$

which should be compared with the operator \widehat{U}_{PS} , Eq.(16) of the positronium-hydrogen case⁹.

The H⁻ bound and resonance energies (diagonal matrix elements) are

$$\epsilon_{\alpha} = \int \phi_{\alpha}^{*} (x_{1} x_{2}) H(x_{1} x_{2}) \phi_{\alpha} (x_{1} x_{2}) dx_{1} dx_{2}$$
(3)

with $H(x_1x_2)$ the Schrödinger Hamiltonian of two electrons in the field of the proton, assumed fixed at the origin:

$$H(x_{1}x_{2}) = H(x_{1}) + H(x_{2}) + \frac{1}{r_{12}}$$

$$H(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} - \frac{1}{r}$$
(4)

The corresponding off-diagonal matrix elements describing transitions between different resonance channels are

$$(\alpha | H | \beta) = \int \phi_{\alpha}^{*} (x_{1} x_{2}) H(x_{1} x_{2}) \phi_{\beta} (x_{1} x_{2}) dx_{1} dx_{2}$$
(5)

Note that there are no matrix elements connecting the H⁻ bound state ϕ_0 with the resonance channels $\phi_{\alpha'}$, $\alpha \neq 0$, since the set $\langle \phi_{\alpha} \rangle$ is orthonormal and ϕ_{α} satisfies the eigenvalue equation

$$H(x_1 x_2) \phi_0(x_1 x_2) = \epsilon_0 \phi_0(x_1 x_2)$$
 (6)

The resonance wave functions ϕ_{α} do not satisfy an eigenvalue equation with the same Hamiltonian $H(x_1x_2)$. They can be determined, for example, by the complex stabilization method¹² or as discrete eigenstates, with complex eigenvalues, of a nonhermitian Hamiltonian derived by a related variational principle¹³ or by the complex rotation method¹⁴⁻¹⁶.

The matrix elements describing decay of the resonances are found to be

where Δ is the bound state and resonance kernel

$$\Delta(x_{1}x_{2},x_{1}x_{2}) = \sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(x_{1}x_{2}) \phi_{\alpha}^{*}(x_{1}x_{2}) \qquad (8)$$

The term involving \triangle (which comes directly from the canonical transformation as in the positron-hydrogen case⁹) exactly cancels the term $H\phi_{\alpha}$ in the case $\alpha = 0$ (H⁻ bound state) when one takes account of (6) and orthonormality¹⁷ of the ϕ_{α} . This corresponds to the physical property that the bound state ϕ_0 is stable (does not decay either into two free electrons or one free electron plus the bound hydrogenic electron). One expects a partial cancellation between the two terms in (7) also in the case $\alpha \neq 0$ (resonance channels), an advantage in

numerical calculations.

The electron-electron scattering matrix elements in (1) are found to be

$$(x_{1}x_{2}|H|x_{1}x_{2}) = \frac{1}{r_{12}} \delta(x_{1} - x_{1})\delta(x_{2} - x_{2}) - \left[H(x_{1}x_{2}) + H(x_{1}x_{2})\right] \delta(x_{1}x_{2}, x_{1}x_{2}) + \int \delta(x_{1}x_{2}, x_{3})H(x_{3}) \delta(x_{3}, x_{1}x_{2}) dxdy .$$
(7)

As in the case of the matrix element (7), the "renormalization terms" in (9) are expected to partially cancel the leading⁻ bare Coulomb term, facilitating numerical calculations. Such an effect has been noted in calculations^{10,11} of positron-hydrogen scattering cross sections.

Eqs. (1)-(7) of the present work should be compared with Eqs. (1)-(30) for the positron-hydrogen case⁷. The physical interpretation is almost the same, but there are two important differences: (a) the wave functions ϕ_{α} here are localized about the proton whereas the positonium wave functions used in the previous work have wave vector labels as well as internal quantum numbers; (b) electron exchange effects are included in the Hamiltonian (1) whereas no such exchange effect occurs in positron-hydrogen scattering.

We conclude with a brief discussion of the subsidiary

condition necessary and sufficient for unitary equivalence of the Fock-representation Hamiltonian (1) and the standard Fock Hamiltonian, which has no explicit reference to the bound and resonance H⁻ channels. By a derivation paralleling that for the positron-hydrogen case¹⁸ one finds that the required subsidary condition takes the form

 $\widehat{\mathbf{N}} \left[\right] = 0 \tag{10}$

where () is the Fock-Tani state vector and the operator $\widehat{N}_{_}$ is

$$\hat{N}_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \int dx_{1} dx_{2} dx_{1} dx_{2} \hat{e}^{\dagger}(x_{1}) \hat{e}^{\dagger}(x_{2}) \Delta(x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1} x_{2}) \hat{e}(x_{2}) \hat{e}(x_{1})$$
 (11)
with \hat{N}_{1} the number operator for H^{-} bound or resonance states
in Fock-Tani representation:

$$\hat{N}_{-} = \sum_{\alpha} \hat{a}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\alpha} \qquad . \tag{12}$$

The expression (11) for \hat{N}' is exact on the two-electron subspace, as is the expression (1) for the Hamiltonian. The proof that (10) is satisfied by the asymptotic initial and final states for electron-hydrogen scattering proceeds as in the positron-hydrogen case¹⁸. The essential properties used are that (a) one electron in the initial or final state is bound to the proton whereas the other is free, and (b) the kernel Δ of Eq. (8) is localized about the proton, decaying to zero as any of its position arguments recede to infinity. This latter property is satisfied provided that all resonance wave

functions included in the sum (8) are localized linear combinations of scattering states, the usual physical interpretation of a resonance.

We are grateful to E. Ficocelli Varrachio for suggesting application of the Fock-Tani representation to problems of this type and for numerous stimulating conversations. This work was supported by the U.S. Office of Naval Research and by the M.J. Murdock Charitable Trust.

Footnotes

- 1. B. Schneider and H.S. Taylor, Phys. Rev. A 1, 855 (1970).
- Gy. Csanak, H.S. Taylor, and R. Yaris, Adv. At. Mol.
 Phys. <u>7</u>, 287 (1971).
- 3. Gy. Csanak, H.S. Taylor, and D.N. Tripathy, J. Phys. B <u>6</u>, 2040 (1973).
- 4. Gy. Csanak, H.S. Taylor, and E. Ficocelli Varrachio, J. Chem. Phys. <u>61</u>, 263 (1974).
- 5. E. Ficocelli Varrachio, J. Chem. Phys. <u>66</u>, 2026 (1977).
- 6. E. Ficocelli Varrachio, Lett. Nuovo Cim. <u>17</u>, 595 (1981).
- 7. M.D. Girardeau, J. Math. Phys. <u>16</u>, 1901 (1975).
- 8. M.D. Girardeau and J.D. Gilbert, Physica 97A, 42 (1979).
- 9. M.D. Girardeau, Phys. Rev. A <u>26</u>, 217 (1982).
- E. Ficocelli Varrachio, Lett. Nuovo Cim. <u>31</u>, 595 (1981),
 Ann. Phys. <u>145</u>, 131 (1983).

- 11. E. Ficocelli Varrachio and M.D. Girardeau, J. Phys. B <u>16</u>, 1097 (1983).
- 12. B.R. Junker, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>44</u>, 1487 (1980).
- 13. M.D. Girardeau, in <u>Lecture Notes in Physics</u>, Vol. 142, <u>Recent Progress in Many-Body Theories</u>. <u>Proceedings</u>. <u>Daxtepec</u>, <u>Mexico</u>, 1981, eds. J.G. Zabolitzky, M. de Llano, M. Fortes, and J. W. Clark (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1981), pp. 355 ff.
- 14. D.J. Aguilar and J. Combes, Commun. Math. Phys. <u>22</u>, 269 (1971).
- 15. E. Balslev and J. Combes, Commun. Math. Phys. <u>22</u>, 280 (1971).
- 16. B. Simon, Commun. Math. Phys. <u>27</u>, 1 (1972).
- 17. Actually, the only properties of the ϕ_{α} that need to be used in this argument are that ϕ_{0} is an energy eigenstate [Eq. (5)] and is normalized, and that the ϕ_{α} with $\alpha \neq 0$ are orthogonal to ϕ_{0} . The latter property can be regarded as a consequence of the fact that resonance wave functions are localized superpositions of scattering states, which are orthogonal to the bound state ϕ_{0} .

18. See Sec. 6 and Appendix E of reference 9.

