



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD-F500154

# INTERNAL REFLECTION OF AN ELECTROMAGNETIC

BY WALTER P. REID

RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT

 RESEARCH A

 Image: mail of the state of the state

**A**12

ා 30

AD

FILE COPY

E

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.





# **NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER**

Dahlgren, Virginia 22448 • Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

83

05 19 159

	READ INSTRUCTIONS
AEPORT NUMBER 12. GOVT ACCESSION N	O. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
NSWC TR 82-226 $(1) - (1) - (2) - ($	7K4
TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
INTERNAL REFLECTION OF AN ELECTROMAGNETIC	
WAVE FROM AN ELLIPSOID	
	S. PERFORMING ONG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(a)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(e)
Walter P. Reid	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER (Code R44)	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
WHITE OAK	
SILVER SPRING, MU 20910	12. REPORT DATE
	25 May 1982
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	UNCLASSIFIED
	SCHEDULE
Approved for public release, distribution unlin	nited
5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlin 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract entered in Block 20, if different fo	nited
5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlin 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract antered in Block 20, if different h	nited
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlin DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract entered in Block 20, if different b SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	nited ren Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlin OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract antered in Block 20, if different to SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	nited
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlin DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract entered in Block 20, if different b Supplementary notes	nited ren Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlin Or OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different to SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	nited
OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)     Approved for public release, distribution unlin     OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different is     SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES     KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number	nited Toon Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)     Approved for public release, distribution unlis     OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract antered in Block 20, if different to     SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES     KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Reflection	nited ron Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)     Approved for public release, distribution unlin     OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different to     SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES     KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Reflection Electromagnetic Padd at form	nited
Approved for public release, distribution unlin Approved for public release, distribution unlin COSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different is SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Reflection Electromagnetic Radiations Ellipsoid	nited
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlin OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different to SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Reflection Electromagnetic Radiations Ellipsoid	nited ren Report)
Approved for public release, distribution unlin Approved for public release, distribution unlin OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different is SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Reflection Electromagnetic Radiations Ellipsoid ASTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number A study was made of the process of internal re-	nited
Approved for public release, distribution unlin Approved for public release, distribution unlin OISTRIEUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different is SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reflection Electromagnetic Radiations Ellipsoid Astudy was made of the process of internal re lectromagnetic wave from an ellipsoid of revoluti	nited The Report) () effection of a polarized ion. The source of the
Approved for public release, distribution unlin Approved for public release, distribution unlin OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different is SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number Reflection Electromagnetic Radiations Ellipsoid ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number A study was made of the process of internal re- lectromagnetic wave from an ellipsoid of revoluti- adiation was at one focus and the reflected signa	nited The Report) officient of a polarized ton. The source of the al was assumed to strike a
Approved for public release, distribution unlin Approved for public release, distribution unlin DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different h SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reflection Electromagnetic Radiations Ellipsoid ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds II necessary and identify by block number A study was made of the process of internal re- electromagnetic wave from an ellipsoid of revolution revolution was at one focus and the reflected signatory arget at the second focus. The objective was to provide wave from an ellipsoid of revolution arget at the second focus. The objective was to provide wave form an ending of the process of the second focus. The objective was to have a study was to be focus and the reflected signatory and the process of the second focus. The objective was to have a study was to be	nited from Report) () effection of a polarized ion. The source of the al was assumed to strike a select an arrangement that
Approved for public release, distribution unlin Approved for public release, distribution unlin DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different h SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Reflection Electromagnetic Radiations Ellipsoid Astudy was made of the process of internal re- lectromagnetic wave from an ellipsoid of revoluti- adiation was at one focus and the reflected signa- arget at the second focus. The objective was to ould provide radiation arriving at the test object re all parallel to each other. This might. performance	nited The Report) P P P P P P P P P P P P P
Approved for public release, distribution unlin Approved for public release, distribution unlin OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstreet entered in Block 20, if different to SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reflection Electromagnetic Radiations Ellipsoid Astudy was made of the process of internal re lectromagnetic wave from an ellipsoid of revoluti adiation was at one focus and the reflected signa arget at the second focus. The objective was to ould provide radiation arriving at the test object re all parallel to each other. This might, perface effecting plates only on appropriately chosen part	eflection of a polarized lon. The source of the al was assumed to strike a select an arrangement that it with electric vectors that it with electric vectors that its of the ellipsoid. It was
Approved for public release, distribution unlin Approved for public release, distribution unlin OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstreet entered in Block 20, if different h SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reflection Electromagnetic Radiations Ellipsoid Astudy was made of the process of internal re electromagnetic wave from an ellipsoid of revolution arget at the second focus. The objective was to rould provide radiation arriving at the test object re all parallel to each other. This might, perha- effecting plates only on appropriately chosen par- found that the only suitable, procedure is to performed output the second focus of the process of the second parallel to perform the second provide parallel to perform the second performance of the procedure is to performance of the performance o	nited Then Report) of effection of a polarized ion. The source of the al was assumed to strike a select an arrangement that it with electric vectors that the source of the electric vectors that it with electric vectors that it so f the ellipsoid. It was hit rays to be reflected just

<u>بو</u>لا ش

S 62

C

# UNCLASSIFIED

from a band around the equator of the ellipsoid, which in turn is essentially equivalent to having an elliptical cylinder as the reflector instead of an ellipsoid.

As a related matter, radiation energy density was investigated. It was found that the intensity of the radiation arriving at the second focus varies greatly with direction even when the source radiates uniformly.

# UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Dete Entered)

#### FOREWORD

A study was made of the process of internal reflection of a polarized electromagnetic wave from an ellipsoid of revolution. The source of the radiation was at one focus and the reflected signal was assumed to strike a target at the second focus. The objective was to determine the feasibility of using an arrangement of this type with an airplane at the second focus in order to see how well it could withstand an electromagnetic pulse from lightning or the explosion of an atom bomb. Funding for this work was provided by NAVSEASYSCOM Task Number 24296, Element 62764N.

This report was reviewed by James E. Partak, F32 and Dr. Andrew H. Van Tuyl, R44.

١

In M Blatstein

IRA M. BLATSTEIN By direction



2

2. Or a state of the state of t

3

# CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	5
ANALYSIS	5
VERTICAL DIPOLE SOURCE	6
HORIZONTAL DIPOLE SOURCE	13
SIGNAL REINFORCEMENT	17
INTENSITY CONSIDERATIONS	20
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	25

1

ALL AND A

# ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Page
1 THE ONLY TYPE OF PATH THAT IS SUITABLE WHEN THE DIPOLE SOURCE IS HORIZONTAL	20
2 DIAGRAM USED TO SHOW THE DISTANCE C <sub>2</sub> THAT ENTERS IN INTENSITY CALCULATIONS	22
3 DIAGRAM ILLUSTRATING THE RANGE OF VALUES FOR C2	22
4 DIAGRAM USED TO SHOW THE DISTANCE C1 THAT ENTERS IN INTENSITY CALCULATIONS	23
5 A 45° ELLIPSE OF ECCENTRICITY $\sqrt{2}/2$	23
6 A 30° ELLIPSE OF ECCENTRICITY $\sqrt{3}/2$	23

TABLES

4

24.04

Tabl	e												<u>Page</u>
1	SELF-CONSISTENT	SETS	OF	INTEGERS	FOR SAMPLE	CALCULATIONS	•	•	•	•	•	•	12

# INTERNAL REFLECTION OF AN ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVE FROM AN ELLIPSOID

#### INTRODUCTION

Electrical systems for power, communication, computing or other purposes can be adversely affected if struck by lightning or some other intense electrical impulse such as that associated with a nuclear explosion. The vulnerability of an airplane, helicopter, ship or other object is sometimes evaluated by subjecting it to electromagnetic radiation under certain test conditions. Strong signals generated by high electromagnetic fields can jump air gaps or cause dielectric breakdown of insulators in components inside these systems and thus travel along paths that are not available to weaker impulses, causing damage or upsetting the system. Therefore a test object must be exposed to radiation of at least moderate intensity in order to determine its ability to withstand lightning or the electromagnetic pulse (EMP) from an atom bomb. In order to minimize the amount of energy that must be generated, and also to avoid interference with facilities in the neighborhood of the test, it is desirable to try to concentrate energy upon the target. It is well known that sound or light generated at one focus of an elliptical enclosure will be reflected to the other focus. For this reason, an analysis is being made here to explore the possibility of using an ellipsoid of revolution as a reflector at an EMP test facility. This treatment is just a cursory feasibility study, and not an exhaustive analysis of all aspects of the problem.

For simplicity, let the ellipsoid be a perfect conductor, and therefore a perfect reflector. Assume throughout that the source is an oscillating dipole at one focus and that the signal generated is polarized in a plane containing the axis of the dipole. The effect of reflection on the polarization and intensity of the radiation will be determined for two different orientations of the dipole axis.

## ANALYSIS

Take the equation of the ellipsoid to be

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2 + z^2}{b^2} = 1 \tag{1}$$

with a > b, and with the foci at  $F_1 = (f, 0, 0)$  and  $F_2 = (-f, 0, 0)$ , where

$$f^2 = a^2 - b^2. (2)$$

All rays originating at  $F_1$  will arrive at  $F_2$  after a single reflection. Denote the point of reflection as  $P = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$  and the distances from foci to P as

 $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ .

# VERTICAL DIPOLE SOURCE

The first example treated will have the oscillating dipole source perpendicular to the x axis. All such orientations are equivalent because the ellipsoid is a surface of revolution about the x axis. It is sufficient, therefore, to take the particular case in which the dipole is oscillating parallel to the z axis, which in turn will be vertical.

Denote the plane containing the x axis and the point P as

$$z = y \tan \phi.$$
 (3)

This defines the angle  $\phi$ . The ray from one focus to the other will travel entirely in plane (3).

A unit vector from the source to P is

$$\bar{v}_1 = [\bar{i} (x_0 - f) + \bar{j} y_0 + \bar{k} z_0] / \rho_1$$
 (4)

where

$$p_1 = \sqrt{(x_0 - f)^2 + y_0^2 + z_0^2}.$$
 (5)

use (1) with this to obtain

$$a\rho_{1} = \sqrt{a^{2}(x_{0}^{2} - 2x_{0}f + f^{2}) + a^{2}b^{2} - b^{2}x_{0}^{2}}$$
(6)

$$= \sqrt{f^2 x_0^2 - 2 a^2 x_0 f + a^4}$$
(7)

$$= a^2 - x_0 f.$$
 (8)

A unit vector in the direction of the reflected ray is

$$\bar{v}_2 = [\bar{f} (x_0 + f) + \bar{f} y_0 + \bar{k} z_0] /\rho_2$$
 (9)

where

$$\rho_2 = \sqrt{(x_0 + f)^2 + y_0^2 + z_0^2}.$$
 (10)

Replacing f by -f in (8) gives

$$a\rho_2 = a^2 + x_0 f.$$
 (11)

Equations (8) and (11) are general relationships that apply in any ellipse. In the work that follows they will occasionally be used to simplify some of the expressions.

3

Let the vertical plane containing the dipole source and the point P be written as

$$(x-f) \sin \Psi_1 + y \cos \Psi_1 = 0,$$
 (12)

where  $\Psi_{1}$  is the angle between this plane and the xz plane. A unit vector normal to plane (12) is

$$\bar{\mu}_1 = \bar{i} \sin \Psi_1 + \bar{j} \cos \Psi_1. \tag{13}$$

The electric vector in the ray going from  $F_1$  to P is assumed to lie in plane (12), and so it must be at right angles to  $\overline{\mu}_1$ . In addition, since the ray is a transverse wave, the electric vector must also be perpendicular to the direction of propagation, and hence to  $\overline{\nu}_1$ . Just its amplitude and direction will be considered, but not the variation with time. So, put

$$\vec{E}_{1} = (\vec{\mu}_{1} \chi \vec{\nu}_{1}) E$$
(14)

= 
$$\{z_o \ (\overline{i} \cos \Psi_1 - \overline{j} \sin \Psi_1)$$
  
+  $\overline{k} [y_o \sin \Psi_1 + (f - x_o) \cos \Psi_1] \} E/\rho_1$  (15)

$$= [z_0 (\bar{\mathbf{i}} \cos \Psi_1 - \bar{\mathbf{j}} \sin \Psi_1) + \bar{\mathbf{k}} y_0 \csc \Psi_1] E/\rho_1 \quad \text{for } \Psi_1 \neq 0$$
(16)  
$$= [z_0(\bar{\mathbf{i}} \cos \Psi_1 - \bar{\mathbf{j}} \sin \Psi_1)$$

+ 
$$\bar{k}$$
 (f-x<sub>o</sub>) sec  $\Psi_1$  ]  $E/\rho_1$  for  $\Psi_1 \neq \pi/2$ . (17)

This electric vector lies in the vertical plane (12) and so the radiation is said to be plane polarized. The present objective is to see whether the reflected ray is also polarized in a vertical plane.

As the first step in considering the process of reflection, the component of  $\overline{E}$ , perpendicular to the ellipsoid at P will be obtained.

Let  $\overline{n}$  be a unit vector perpendicular to the ellipsoid. To determine this vector, take the gradient of (1) and divide by the magnitude of that gradient, as follows:

$$\bar{n} = \frac{\bar{1} b^2 x_0 + a^2 (\bar{j} y_0 + \bar{k} z_0)}{\sqrt{x_0^2 b^4 + a^4 (y_0^2 + z_0^2)}}.$$
(18)

But

 $x_{o}^{2} b^{4} + a^{4} (y_{o}^{2} + z_{o}^{2})$ = b<sup>2</sup> [x\_{o}^{2} b^{2} + a^{2}(a^{2} - x\_{o}^{2})] (19)

$$= b^{2} (a^{2} - fX_{0})(a^{2} + fX_{0})$$
(20)

$$= a^2 b^2 \rho_1 \rho_2.$$
 (21)

Hence

$$\bar{n} = \frac{\bar{1} b^2 x_0 + a^2 (\bar{j} k_0 + \bar{k} Z_0)}{a b \sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}}$$
(21)

The component  $\overline{E}_m$  of the incident electric vector in the direction normal to the ellipsoid can now be found. Thus, from (16) and (22), we have

$$(a \ b \ \rho_1 \ \sqrt{\rho_1} \ \rho_2) E_n$$

$$= (a \ b \ \rho_1 \ \sqrt{\rho_1} \ \rho_2) \ (\bar{E} \ \cdot \ \bar{n}) \ \bar{n}$$
(23)
$$= Z_0 (b^2 \ \mathbf{x}_0 \ \cos \psi_1 \ - \ a^2 \ y_0 \ \sin \psi_1$$

$$+ \ a^2 y_0 \ \csc \psi_1) \ E \ \bar{n}$$
(24)

= 
$$Z_0 \cos \psi_1(b^2 X_0 + a^2 y_0 \cot \psi_1) E \bar{n}$$
 (25)

$$= Z_0 \cos \psi_1 [b^2 X_0 + a^2 (f - X_0)] E \bar{n}$$
 (26)

= 
$$f Z_0 (a^2 - f X_0) E n \cos \psi_1$$
 (27)

$$= a \rho_1 f Z_0 E n \cos \psi_1.$$
 (28)

Hence

$$\overline{E}_{n} = \frac{f Z_{0} E n \cos \psi_{1}}{b \sqrt{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}}.$$
(29)

In the reflection process the component of the electric vector that is parallel to the surface will reverse direction, but the normal component will not. It follows that the parallel components of the incident and reflected rays cancel and the normal components combine. Therefore the reflected field  $\bar{E}_2$  is given by

$$\overline{E}_2 = 2 \ \overline{E}_n - \overline{E}_1 \quad . \tag{30}$$

The components of  $\overline{E}_2$  will be obtained one at a time by means of this equation along with (16) and (29). Thus.

$$E_{2x} = Z_0 (2 f x_0 - a \rho_2) E \cos \psi_1 / (a \rho_1 \rho_2)$$
(31)

= 
$$Z_0 [2 f x_0 - (a^2 + f x_0)] E \cos \psi_1 / (a \rho_1 \rho_2)$$
 (32)

$$=-Z_{o} E \cos \psi_{1} / \rho_{2}, \qquad (33)$$

$$E_{2y} = Z_{o} (2 \text{ a f } y_{o} \cos \psi_{1} + b^{2} \rho_{2} \sin \psi_{1}) E/(b^{2} \rho_{1} \rho_{2})$$
(34)

= 
$$Z_0 [2 a^2 f (f - x_0)]$$
  
+  $b^2 (a^2 + f x_0)] E \sin \psi_1 / (a b^2 \rho_1 \rho_2)$  (35)

$$= z_0 [a^2 (2 f^2 + b^2)]$$

+ f x<sub>o</sub> (b<sup>2</sup> - 2a<sup>2</sup>)] E sin 
$$\psi_1/(a \ b^2 \ \rho_1 \ \rho_2)$$
 (36)

$$= \frac{Z_0 (a^2 + f^2)(a^2 - f x_0) E \sin \psi_1}{a b^2 \rho_1 \rho_2}$$
(37)

$$= \frac{Z_0 (a^2 + f^2) E \sin \psi_1}{b^2 \rho_2},$$
 (38)

$$E_{2z} = (2 \text{ f } a z_0^2 \sin \psi_1 \cos \psi_1 - b^2 \rho_2 y_0) E/(b^2 \rho_1 \rho_2 \sin \psi_1)$$
(39)  
= [2f (a<sup>2</sup> b<sup>2</sup> - a<sup>2</sup> y\_0^2 - b<sup>2</sup> x\_0^2) sin \u03cm 1 cos \u03cm 1

$$-b^{2} y_{0}(a^{2} + f x_{0})] E/(a b^{2} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} \sin \psi_{1})$$
(40)

-5

12.

× ....

= 
$$[2f (a^2 b^2 - a^2 y_0^2 - b^2 x_0^2) \cos^2 \psi_1$$

$$+ b^{2} (x_{0} - f)(a^{2} + f x_{0}) ]E/(a b^{2} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} \cos \psi_{1})$$
(41)  

$$= [2 f(a^{2} b^{2} - a^{2} y_{0}^{2} - b^{2} x_{0}^{2}) \cos^{2} \psi_{1}$$
  

$$+ b^{2}(x_{0} a^{2} + x_{0}^{2} f - a^{2} f$$
  

$$-f^{2} x_{0})(\sin^{2} \psi_{1} + \cos^{2} \psi_{1})E/(a b^{2} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} \cos \psi_{1})$$
(42)  

$$= [b^{2}(x_{0} - f)(a^{2} + f x_{0}) \sin^{2} \psi_{1}$$
  

$$+ (f a^{2} b^{2} - 2f a^{2} y_{0}^{2} - f b^{2} x_{0}^{2} + a^{2} b^{2} x_{0}$$
(43)  

$$= [(f a^{2} b^{2} - f b^{2} x_{0}^{2} + a^{2} b^{2} x_{0} - b^{2} f^{2} x_{0})\cos^{2} \psi_{1}]$$
  

$$+ (x_{0} - f)(b^{2} a^{2} + b^{2} f x_{0} + 2 f^{2} a^{2}$$
(44)  

$$= [b^{2}(x_{0} + f)(a^{2} - f x_{0}) \cos^{2} \psi_{1}$$
(44)  

$$= [b^{2}(x_{0} + f)(a^{2} - f x_{0}) \cos^{2} \psi_{1}$$
(45)  

$$= (a^{2} - f x_{0}) \sin^{2} \psi_{1}]E/(a b^{2} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} \cos \psi_{1})$$
(45)  

$$= (a^{2} - f x_{0}) [(x_{0} - f)(a^{2} + f^{2}) \sin^{2} \psi_{1}$$
(46)  

$$= \frac{[b^{2}(x_{0} + f) \cos^{2} \psi_{1}]E/(a b^{2} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} \cos \psi_{1})$$
(46)  

$$= \frac{[b^{2}(x_{0} + f) \cos^{2} \psi_{1}]E/(a b^{2} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} \cos \psi_{1})$$
(47)

10

$$= \frac{[b^{2}(x_{0} + f) \cos \psi_{1} - y_{0}(a^{2} + f^{2}) \sin \psi_{1}]E}{b^{2} \rho_{2}} .$$
(48)

Thus the reflected electric vector is

$$\overline{E}_{2} = \frac{\{\overline{j} \ Z_{o}(a^{2} + f^{2}) \ \sin \psi_{1} - \overline{i} \ Z_{o} \ b^{2} \ \cos \psi_{1}}{b^{2} \ \rho_{2}} .$$
(49)

Because the algebraic details involved in obtaining this were lengthy, it is desirable to make independent checks on its correctness. This will be done next.

From (19) and (49) it is easily verified that  $\bar{\nu}_2 \cdot \bar{E}_2 = 0$ , which shows that  $\bar{E}_2$  is perpendicular to  $\bar{\nu}_2$ , as it should be.

The component of the electric field perpendicular to the ellipsoid should be the same after reflection as it was before. This can be checked from (22) and (9) as follows:

$$\overline{E}_{2} \cdot \overline{n} = Z_{0} E \{a^{2}[b^{2}(x_{0} + f) \cos \psi_{1} - y_{0}(a^{2} + f^{2}) \sin \psi_{1}] + a^{2} y_{0}(a^{2} + f^{2}) \sin \psi_{1} - b^{2} x_{0} \cos \psi_{1}\} / (a b^{3} \rho_{2} \sqrt{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}})$$
(50)

$$= Z_0 E(a^2 x_0 + a^2 f - b^2 x_0) \cos \psi_1 / (a b o_2 \sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2})$$
(51)

= 
$$f z_0 E (a^2 + f x_0) \cos \psi_1 / (a b \rho_2 \sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2})$$
 (52)

$$\frac{f Z_0 E \cos \psi_1}{b \sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}} .$$
(53)

This agrees with (29), and thus provides a second verification of (49).

The magnitude of  $\tilde{E}_2$  should be E. This can be established by evaluating  $\tilde{E}_2 \cdot \tilde{E}_2$ , but the algebraic details in the simplification are tedious. It is much faster to compute the magnitude of  $E_2$  in some numerical cases. The values in Table 1 are offered as a convenience for this purpose or for checking any of the other equations. They have no special significance other than that they are set of integers which are consistent with the work here. That is, they satisfy Eqs. (1), (2), (5), (8), (10), (11), (21) and so on. For example, Eqs. (39) - (48) should all be equal for the particular case given by the numbers in any row of Table 1. (The numerical values to be used for  $\sin \psi_1$  and  $\cos \psi_1$  follow from (12) and the fact that  $0 \le \psi \le \pi$ ). If (49) is not of magnitude E for every example tried, then it is wrong; but the equation has not been shown to be necessarily correct if it does hold. That is, it is necessary for (49) to be satisfied for each set of values in Table 1, but not sufficient. Nevertheless, the fact that (49) is found to be not incorrect for any row of entries in the table will be taken as a

third and final check of the  $\overline{E}_2$  in (49).

x <sub>o</sub>	у <sub>о</sub>	Zo	a	b	f	ρ1	ρ2
15	16	0	25	20	15	16	34
15	12	0	25	15	20	13	37
5	6	6	15	9	12	11	19
10	6	3	15	9	12	7	23
15	18	6	35	21	28	23	47
13	14	2	39	15	36	27	51
20	21	12	45	27	36	29	61
30	27	6	55	33	44	31	79

TABLE 1. SELF-CONSISTE " SETS OF INTEGERS FOR SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Recall that the source has been taken to be an oscillating dipole with axis parallel to the Z axis so that the electric vector always lies in a vertical plane before reflection. In contrast, it will next be shown that the electric vector in the reflected ray usually does not lie in a vertical plane.

The vertical plane in which the reflected ray travels is the one containing the point of reflection, P, and the second focus  $F_2$ . Write its equation as

$$(x + f) \sin \psi_2 - y \cos \psi_2 = 0.$$
 (54)

The angle  $\psi_2$  is defined by this equation. A unit vector perpendicular to this plane is

$$\overline{\mu}_2 = \overline{\mathbf{i}} \sin \psi_2 - \overline{\mathbf{j}} \cos \psi_2. \tag{55}$$

The component of the reflected electric vector perpendicular to plane (54) is

$$\bar{\mu}_2 \cdot \bar{E}_2 = -Z_0 [b^2 \cos \psi_1 \sin \psi_2 + (a^2 + f^2) \sin \psi_1 \cos \psi_2] E$$
(56)

= 
$$-Z_0[a^2 (\sin \psi_1 \cos \psi_2 + \cos \psi_1 \sin \psi_2)]$$

+ 
$$f^2(\sin\psi_1 \cos\psi_2 - \cos\psi_1 \sin\psi_2)]E$$
 (57)

$$= -2 f y_0 Z_0 (a^2 + f x_0) E / (\sigma_1 \sigma_2)$$
(58)

where

$$1 = \sqrt{y^2 + (f - x)^2}$$
(59)

and  $\sigma_2$  is the same except that x is replaced by -x. Relation (58) is seen to be zero only when  $y_0$  or  $Z_0$  is zero, and so only in these special cases will the reflected electric vector be in a vertical plane. It generally has a horizontal component, and can be entirely horizontal - namely when (48) vanishes. We then have

$$E_{2Z} = 0 \text{ when } b^2(x_0 + f) \cos \psi_1 = y_0(a^2 + f^2) \sin \psi_1$$
 (60)

The angle  $\psi_1$  can be eliminated from this by means of (12), giving

σ

$$E_{2Z} = 0 \text{ when } b^2 x_0^2 + (a^2 + f^2) y_0^2 = b^2 f^2$$
 (61)

The locus of all points from which reflection will give a horizontally polarized beam is obtained by solving (1) and (61) simultaneously.

To summarize, then, if the source is a dipole oscillating in the Z direction at a focus of the ellipsoid, then the electric vector in the reflected radiation will almost always have a component perpendicular to the vertical plane in which it is traveling, even though the original signal had no such component. The reflected ray will be polarized in a vertical plane only in the special cases when  $\phi = 0$  or  $\phi = \pi/2$ . Moreover, when (1) and (61) are satisfied, the reflected ray is horizontally polarized.

## HORIZONTAL DIPOLE SOURCE

For the second case, let the dipole source at  $F_1$  be oscillating along the x axis. With this orientation the analysis may be more easily handled by first rotating the coordinate axes. However, to facilitate comparison of the present mathematical treatment with the previous one, it seems better to forgo this simplification and be consistent in the method of solution.

Therefore the analysis here will follow the same pattern as before.

Because of the nature of the source, it will be assumed that the electric vector remains in plane (3) as the wave proceeds from the focus to the ellipsoid. A unit vector perpendicular to this plane is

$$\bar{\xi} = \bar{j} \sin \phi - \bar{k} \cos \phi . \tag{62}$$

The electric vector in the incident ray is perpendicular to this and also to the vector  $\bar{\nu}_1$  in its direction of motion. Thus the amplitude of this vector as it arrives at P from F<sub>1</sub> can be written as

$$\overline{E}_1 = (\overline{\xi} \times \overline{\nu}_1) E \tag{63}$$

=  $[(Z_0 \sin \phi + y_0 \cos \phi)]$ 

+  $(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{x}_0)(\mathbf{\bar{j}} \cos \phi + \mathbf{\bar{k}} \sin \phi)] \mathbf{E} / \rho_1$  (64)

= 
$$[\vec{i} y_0 \sec \phi + (f - x_0)(\vec{j} \cos \phi + \vec{k} \sin \phi)]E/\rho_1.$$
 (65)

The component  $\widetilde{E}_n$  of this vector in the direction perpendicular to the ellipsoid is given by

$$(ab \rho_1 \sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}) \overline{E}_n$$

$$= (a b \rho_1 \sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}) (\overline{E}_1 \cdot \overline{n}) \overline{n}$$
(66)

$$= [b^2 x_0 y_0 \sec \phi + a^2 (f - x_0) (y_0 \cos \phi + z_0 \sin \phi)] E n \qquad (67)$$

$$= y_0 \sec \phi [b^2 x_0 + a^2 (f - x_0)] E \overline{n}$$
 (68)

= 
$$f y_0 (a^2 - f x_0) E \bar{n} \sec \phi$$
, (69)

or

$$\overline{E}_{n} = \frac{f y_{0} E n}{b \sqrt{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} \cos \phi}$$
(70)

The reflected electric vector  $\overline{E}_2$  can now be determined by means of (30). As before, this will be done by getting one component at a time. We then have

$$E_{2x} = y_0 (2 f x_0 - a \rho_2) E / (a \rho_1 \rho_2 \cos \phi)$$
(71)

$$= y_0 \left[ 2 f x_0 - (a^2 + x_0 f) \right] E / (a \rho_1 \rho_2 \cos \phi)$$
(72)

$$= -\frac{y_0 E}{\rho_2 \cos \phi} , \qquad (73)$$

$$E_{2y} = [2 \ a \ f \ y_0^2 - b^2 \ \rho_2(f - x_0) \ \cos^2 \phi] E / (b^2 \ \rho_1 \ \rho_2 \ \cos \phi)$$
(74)

= 
$$[2f(a^2-x_0^2) - (a^2 + f x_0)(f-x_0)]E \cos \phi/(a \rho_1 \rho_2)$$
 (75)

= 
$$(f a^2 - f x_0^2 - x_0 f^2 + x_0 a^2) E \cos \phi / (a \rho_1 \rho_2)$$
 (76)

= 
$$(f + x_0)(a^2 - f x_0)E \cos \phi/(a \rho_1 \rho_2)$$
 (77)

= 
$$[(f + x_0) E \cos \phi]/\rho_2,$$
 (78)

and (a  $b^2$   $\rho_1$   $\rho_2)$   $E_{2Z}$ 

= 
$$[2 a^2 f y_0 Z_0 - a b^2 \rho_2(f-x_0) \sin \phi \cos \phi] E/\cos \phi$$
 (79)

= 
$$[2 a^{2} f y_{o}^{2} \sec^{2} \phi - b^{2}(a^{2} + f x_{o})(f - x_{o})]E \sin \phi$$
 (80)

= 
$$[2 f b^{2}(a^{2}-x_{o}^{2}) - b^{2}(a^{2} f-a^{2} x_{o} + f^{2} x_{o} - f x_{o}^{2})]E \sin \phi$$
 (81)

$$= b^{2}(f a^{2} - f x_{0}^{2} + a^{2} x_{0} - x_{0} f^{2})E \sin \phi$$
(82)

$$= b^{2}(f + x_{0})(a^{2} - f x_{0})E \sin \phi.$$
 (83)

Thus

$$E_{22} = [(f + x_0) E \sin \phi] / \rho_2.$$
(84)

The reflected electric vector is obtained by combining the results given in (73), (78) and (84), namely:

$$\overline{E}_2 = [-\overline{I} y_0 \sec \phi + (f + x_0)(\overline{J} \cos \phi + \overline{k} \sin \phi)] E/\rho_2$$
(85)

This is similar in form to the electric vector before reflection as given in (65). They both lie entirely in plane (3), as can be seen by noting that

$$\overline{\xi} \cdot \overline{E}_1 = \overline{\xi} \cdot \overline{E}_2 = 0 . \tag{86}$$

Thus reflection in this case does not change the plane of polarization.

Equations (65) and (85) are useful forms for the incident and reflected electric vectors for some purposes, but these vectors can be represented more simply if orthogonal unit vectors  $\bar{n}$ ,  $\bar{\zeta}$  and an angle  $\lambda$  are used to replace  $\bar{I}$ ,  $\bar{j}$ ,  $\bar{k}$  and the angle  $\phi$ . But first the new quantities  $\bar{\zeta}$  and  $\lambda$  must be introduced.

From  $\xi$  of (62) and  $\bar{n}$  of (22), define a unit vector  $\zeta$  as follows:

$$\overline{\zeta} \equiv \overline{\xi} \times \overline{n} \tag{87}$$

$$= [\overline{i} \ a^{2}(Z_{0} \sin \phi + y_{0} \cos \phi) - \overline{j} \ b^{2} x_{0} \cos \phi$$
$$-\overline{k} \ b^{2} x_{0} \sin \phi]/(a \ b\sqrt{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}})$$
(88)

= 
$$[\overline{i} a^2 y_0 \sec \phi - b^2 x_0 (\overline{j} \cos \phi + \overline{k} \sin \phi)]/(ab\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2})$$
 (89)

This vector is tangent at point P to the curve of intersection of the ellipsoid and plane (3).

Let  $\lambda$  be the angle that the incident ray makes with the normal to the ellipsoid at the point of reflection. Then

$$\cos \lambda = \bar{\nu}_1 \cdot \bar{n} \tag{90}$$

= 
$$[b^2 x_0(x_0 - f) + a^2(y_0^2 + z_0^2)/(ab \rho_1 \sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2})$$
 (91)

$$= b^{2}(a^{2}-x_{0} f)/(ab \rho_{1} \sqrt{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}), \qquad (92)$$

or

$$\cos \lambda = \frac{b}{\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}} \tag{93}$$

Thus

$$\sin^2 \lambda = 1 - \cos^2 \lambda = (\rho_1 \ \rho_2 - b^2) / (\rho_1 \ \rho_2)$$
 (94)

$$= (a^{4} - x_{0}^{2} f^{2} - a^{2} b^{2})/(a^{2} \rho_{1} \rho_{2})$$
(95)

$$= f^{2} (a^{2} - x_{0}^{2})/(a^{2} \rho_{1} \rho_{2})$$
(96)

= 
$$f^2 (y_0^2 + z_0^2) / (b^2 \rho_1 \rho_2)$$
 (97)

= 
$$f^2 y_0^2 \sec^2 \phi/(b^2 \rho_1 \rho_2)$$
. (98)

Hence

$$in \lambda = \frac{f y_0}{b \cos \phi \sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}}$$
(99)

From (70) and (99) it is seen that

s

$$\vec{E}_{n} = E \ \vec{n} \ \sin \lambda \tag{100}$$

From (65) and (89), we have

$$\bar{E}_{1} \cdot \bar{\zeta} = [a^{2} y_{0}^{2} \sec^{2} \phi - b^{2} x_{0}(f - x_{0})]E/(ab \rho_{1} \sqrt{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}})$$
(101)

$$= b^{2} [a^{2} - x_{0}^{2} - x_{0}(f - x_{0})] E / (ab \rho_{1} \sqrt{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}})$$
(102)

 $= bE/\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2} = E \cos \lambda$  (103)

In similar fashion it is found that

$$\bar{\mathbf{E}}_{2} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} = -\mathbf{E} \cos \lambda \tag{104}$$

Therefore

 $\vec{E}_1 = (\vec{n} \sin \lambda + \vec{\zeta} \cos \lambda) E$ (105)

and 
$$\vec{E}_2 = (\vec{n} \sin \lambda - \zeta \cos \lambda) E$$
 (106)

These equations show that the electric vectors before and after reflection are in a plane spanned by  $\bar{n}$  and  $\bar{\zeta}$ , as given by (22) and (89). Moreover, this is the plane of polarization of the original wave, namely plane (3), as can be seen by the fact that  $\bar{n} \cdot \bar{\xi} = \bar{\zeta} \cdot \bar{\xi} = 0$ , where  $\bar{\xi}$  is given by (62). Thus reflection here does not change the plane of polarization.

In the case of the vertical dipole source, the incident and reflected electric vectors could also have been expressed in terms of  $\bar{n}$  and a tangent vector, as in (105) and (106), (although not with the same  $\bar{\zeta}$ ). However, in that case the

vectors  $\bar{n}$ ,  $\bar{\zeta}$  do not span either the plane of polarization or the vertical plane (54) in which the reflected ray travels, and so the  $\bar{n}$ ,  $\bar{\zeta}$  do not serve there as a convenient basis for showing the details of reflection.

# SIGNAL REINFORCEMENT

It has now been shown that interior reflection from an ellipsoid of revolution usually rotates the plane of polarization of the field generated by a vertical dipole but that there is no rotation of the electric vector when the source is a horizontal dipole. The next problem addressed will be that of determining conditions such that rays arriving at the second focus will have electric vectors that are parallel, so that their effects on the target will reinforce each other. This in turn means finding appropriate locations for reflecting plates. In other words, radiation will not be reflected from the entire ellipsoid, but only from suitably chosen portions of it.

The symbol  $\overline{\nu}_2$  denotes a unit vector directed from the point of reflection to the second focus. Use subscripts  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to designate two different points from which rays are reflected. It will next be shown that the electric vectors in radiation reflected from points  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  can reinforce each other only if each is perpendicular to the plane determined by  $\overline{\nu}_{2\alpha}$  and  $\overline{\nu}_{2\beta}$ .

Assume that  $\bar{\nu}_{2\alpha}$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{2\beta}$  are not parallel. Then these vectors along with  $\bar{\nu}_{2\alpha} \propto \bar{\nu}_{2\beta}$  can serve as a three-dimensional basis. Also, it is desired that  $\bar{E}_{2\alpha}$  and  $\bar{E}_{2\beta}$  be parallel.

Thus

$$\overline{E}_{2\alpha} = \lambda \ \overline{E_{2\beta}} \text{ with } \lambda \neq 0 \tag{107}$$

So, put

$$\bar{E}_{2\alpha} = c_1 \bar{v}_{2\alpha} + c_2 \bar{v}_{2\beta} + c_3 \bar{v}_{2\alpha} \chi \bar{v}_{2\beta}$$
(108)

$$\overline{E}_{2\beta} = \lambda (C_1 \,\overline{\nu}_{2\alpha} + C_2 \,\overline{\nu}_{2\beta} + C_3 \,\overline{\nu}_{2\alpha} \,\chi \,\overline{\nu}_{2\beta})$$
(109)

But the waves are transverse. That is,

$$\overline{v}_{2\alpha} \cdot \overline{E}_{2\alpha} = 0$$
 and  $\overline{v}_{2\beta} \cdot \overline{E}_{2\beta} = 0$  (110)

Apply the products of (110) to (108) and (109).

Then, since  $\bar{v}_2$  is a unit vector, we get

and

$$0 = C_1 + C_2 \,\bar{\nu}_{2\alpha} \cdot \bar{\nu}_{2\beta}, \tag{111}$$

$$0 = \lambda (C_1 \, \bar{\nu}_{2\alpha} \, \cdot \, \bar{\nu}_{2\beta} + C_2). \tag{112}$$

But  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Hence (111) and (112) lead to

$$c_{1} = c_{2} = 0 \text{ unless} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \overline{\nu}_{2\alpha} \cdot \overline{\nu}_{2\beta} \\ \overline{\nu}_{2\alpha} \cdot \overline{\nu}_{2\beta} & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0, \quad (113)$$

or

$$C_1 = C_2 = 0$$
 unless  $(\bar{\nu}_{2\alpha} \cdot \bar{\nu}_{2\beta})^2 = 1.$  (114)

But  $\bar{\nu}_{2\alpha}$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{2\beta}$  are not parallel, so that

$$(\bar{\nu}_{2\alpha} \cdot \bar{\nu}_{2\beta})^2 \neq 1 \tag{115}$$

Thus (114), (115) 
$$\implies C_1 = C_2 = 0$$
, (116)

giving

$$\bar{E}_{2\alpha} = C_3 \, \bar{\nu}_{2\alpha} \, \chi \, \bar{\nu}_{2\beta} \tag{117}$$

and

$$\vec{E}_{2\beta} = \lambda C_3 \, \vec{\nu}_{2\alpha} \, \chi \, \vec{\nu}_{2\beta} \tag{118}$$

Equations (117) and (118) now show that when (107) applies, the vectors  $\overline{E}_{2\alpha}$  and  $\overline{E}_{2\beta}$  reflected from any two points  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are both perpendicular to the plane spanned by  $\overline{v}_{2\alpha}$  and  $\overline{v}_{2\beta}$ . Since this holds for any two points of reflection, it follows that the electric vectors in rays arriving at the second focus can reinforce each other only in cases where all the incoming radiation is traveling in the same plane, and in addition all the electric vectors must be perpendicular to that plane. This is true in general. The particular cases of two different sources will be considered next.

When the dipole radiator is vertical, it is seen from (49) that (107) will be valid if  $Z_0 = 0$ . That is, all rays reflected from the elliptical band in the xy plane will have electric vectors with only Z components, and will therefore combine constructively. Thus it is sufficient to have  $Z_0 = 0$ . To find necessary conditions, return to (107) and note that all three components of the reflected  $\tilde{E}_2$  vectors must be parallel. Using just the  $\tilde{i}$  and  $\tilde{j}$  components, we have from (49):

$$(-Z_0 \cos \psi_1/\rho_2)_{\alpha} = \lambda (-Z_0 \cos \psi_1/\rho_2)_{\alpha}$$
(119)

and

$$(Z_0 \sin \psi_1/\rho_2)_{\alpha} = \lambda (Z_0 \sin \psi_1/\rho_2)_{\beta}$$
(120)

(122)

When Z<sub>o</sub> is not equal to zero these last two equations may be divided, and so

Ψ1<sub>B</sub>

 $\psi_{1\alpha} =$ 

$$\tan \psi_{1\alpha} = \tan \psi_{1\beta} \qquad \text{if } Z_0 \neq 0 \qquad (121)$$

if  $Z_0 \neq 0$ 

or

Thus, if the incident rays are not in the plane  $Z_0 = 0$ , then they must have the same  $\psi_1$  values, which, from (12), therefore means that they must travel in the same vertical plane. This plane (12) intersects the ellipsoid in a vertical ellipse. But it has already been shown that the reflected rays cannot combine properly unless they lie in the same plane passing through the second focus. Such a plane can intersect the vertical ellipse in at most two points, so that with this possibility no more than two reflected rays will combine with suitable reinforcement. This is inadequate, and so, with a vertical dipole source at one focus the desired combination of reflected, polarized waves at the second focus can be achieved only by restricting reflection to those points in the horizontal band at  $Z_0 = 0$ .

If the dipole source is horizontal, then the reflected electric vector is given by (85). It is seen at once that (107) will hold if  $x_0 = -f$ . That is, for suitable reinforcement it is sufficient to restrict reflection to those parts of the ellipsoid which lie in the plane perpendicular to the x axis at the second focus. This is a circular band with its center at the second focus. To see if there are other possibilities, the three components of the electric vectors in (107) will be equated. At the same time it is convenient to eliminate  $y_0$  from the i component of  $\tilde{E}_2$  by means of (54). Thus we have from (85) and (107):

$$[(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{f}) \operatorname{sec} \phi \tan \psi_2 / \rho_2]_{\alpha}$$

= 
$$\lambda [(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{f}) \sec \phi \tan \psi_2 / \rho_2]_{\beta}$$
 (123)

$$\left[\left(\mathbf{x}_{0} + f\right) \cos \phi/\rho_{2}\right]_{\alpha} = \lambda \left[\left(\mathbf{x}_{0} + f\right) \cos \phi/\rho_{2}\right]_{\beta}$$
(124)

$$[(\mathbf{x}_{0} + \mathbf{f}) \sin \phi/\rho_{2}]_{\alpha} = \lambda [(\mathbf{x}_{0} + \mathbf{f}) \sin \phi/\rho_{2}]_{\beta}$$
(125)

If  $x_0$  is not equal to -f, then (125) may be divided by (124).

This gives

$$\tan \phi_{\alpha} = \tan \phi_{\beta}$$
 if  $x_{0} \neq -f$  (126)

or

$$f = \phi_{\mu}$$
 if  $x_{0} \neq -f$  (127)

using this in (126) or (125) shows that

$$[(x_{o} + f)/\rho_{2}]_{\alpha} = \lambda [(x_{o} + f)/\rho_{2}]_{\beta} \text{ if } x_{o} \neq -f$$
(128)

From (123), (127) and (128), then, it follows that

$$\tan \psi_{2\alpha} = \tan \psi_{2\beta} \qquad \text{if } x_{\alpha} \neq -f, \qquad (129)$$

There are only two rays for which (127) and (129) will hold simultaneously, and one of those rays travels below the plane  $Z_0 = 0$ .

Thus it is seen that if the source is a horizontal dipole at the focus at x = f, then signals with electric vectors parallel to each other can arrive at the second focus only if reflection of rays is limited to the portion of the ellipsoid in a circular band at  $x_0 = -f$ . A typical path is shown in Figure 1. All other possibilities are obtained by rotating that pair of rays about the axis through  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ .



FIGURE 1. THE ONLY TYPE OF PATH THAT IS SUITABLE WHEN THE DIPOLE SOURCE IS HORIZONTAL

# INTENSITY CONSIDERATIONS

The energy per unit solid angle I2 of the radiation arriving at the second focus will be given by

$$I_2 = I_1 (\rho_1/\rho_2)^2$$
 (130)

where  $I_1$  is the intensity of the source. It is seen that the energy density reaching the target will be less than that generated for reflection from points for which  $\rho_1$  is greater than  $\rho_2$ , that is, for reflection from any point on the half of the ellipsoid containing the second focus. In order to show more detailed information, the  $\rho$ 's will be expressed in terms of the angles involved.

Let  $\alpha$  be the angle between the x axis and the direction of a ray, that is, the angle that  $\bar{\nu}$  makes with the x direction. Then, by the law of sines,

$$\rho_1 \sin \alpha_1 = \rho_2 \sin \alpha_2 \tag{131}$$

From the polar form for the equation of an ellipse, it is known that

$$\rho_1 = b^2 / (a - f \cos \alpha_1)$$
 (132)

and

$$\rho_2 = b^2 / (a - f \cos \alpha_2)$$
 (133)

Elimination of the  $\rho$ 's from these equations leads to

$$(1 - e \cos \alpha_1) / \sin \alpha_1 = (1 - e \cos \alpha_2) / \sin \alpha_2$$
(134)

where e = f/a is the eccentricity. If this equation is squared, it can be solved for  $\cos \alpha_2$  or  $\sin \alpha_2$ . However, in order to made it easier to get these quantities, another relationship will be derived next.

The distance between the foci is 2f and the sum of the lengths of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  is 2a. Hence

$$\rho_1 \cos \alpha_1 + \rho_2 \cos \alpha_2 = 2f = 2ea$$
(135)

$$= e(\rho_1 + \rho_2)$$
 (136)

or

$$\rho_1(\cos \alpha_1 - e) = \rho_2(e - \cos \alpha_2)$$
 (137)

From (131) and (137), then,

$$(\cos \alpha_1 - e)/\sin \alpha_1 = (e - \cos \alpha_2)/\sin \alpha_2$$
(138)

Division of (134) by (138) now eliminates the sines of  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , and from the result it is found that

$$\cos \alpha_2 = \frac{2e - (e^2 + 1) \cos \alpha_1}{1 + e^2 - 2e \cos \alpha_1}$$
(139)

This is more convenient than either (134) or (138) for obtaining  $\alpha_2$  from  $\alpha_1$ . In addition, by interchanging the subscripts, it can be used for calculating  $\alpha_1$  corresponding to a given  $\alpha_2$ .

Eliminition of  $\rho_2/\rho_1$  from (130) by means of (131) gives

$$I_2/I_1 = (\sin \alpha_1 / \sin \alpha_2)^2$$
 (140)

This serves to determine the intensity ration when the two angles  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are known. However, an alternate equation can also be obtained by first eliminating cos  $\alpha_1$  from (134) and (138) to get

$$\frac{\sin \alpha_1}{\sin \alpha_2} = \frac{1 - e^2}{1 + e^2 - 2e \cos \alpha_2}$$
(141)

This leads to

$$\frac{I_2}{I_1} = \left(\frac{1 - e^2}{1 + e^2 - 2e \cos \alpha_2}\right)^2$$
(142)

The advantage of this form is that it does not contain  $\alpha_1$ . For any eccentricity, the intensity ratio can now be calculated as a function of the angle at which the radiation arrives at the second focus. In order to see the general behavior without calculation, it is convenient to first rewrite the equation as follows:

.

$$= \left(\frac{b^2}{a^2 + f^2 - 2 \ a \ f \ \cos \alpha_2}\right)^2$$
(143)

Now let

$$C_2^2 = a^2 + f^2 - 2 a f \cos \alpha_2$$
 (144)

Then

$$I_2/I_1 = (b/C_2)^4$$
 (145)

where  $C_2$  is the distance  $OQ_2$  of Figure 2 in which 0 is the center of the ellipse,  $F_1 P F_2$  is the path of the radiation, and  $F_2 Q_2$  is of length a. From (130) and (145) an alternate expression for  $C_2$  is seen to be

$$C_2^2 = b^2 \rho_1 / \rho_2 \tag{146}$$



FIGURE 2. DIAGRAM USED TO SHOW THE DISTANCE C<sub>2</sub> THAT ENTERS IN INTENSITY CALCULATIONS



FIGURE 3. DIAGRAM ILLUSTRATING THE RANGE OF VALUES FOR C<sub>2</sub>

Maximum 
$$I_2/I_1 = [(a + f)/(a - f)]^2$$
 (147)

= 
$$[(1 + e)/(1 - e)]^2$$
 (when  $\alpha_2 = 0$ ) (148)

In a similar fashion, it is found that

Minimum 
$$I_2/I_1 = [(1 - e)/(1 + e)]^2$$
 (when  $\alpha_2 = 180^\circ$ ) (149)

The subscripts 1 and 2 in (143) can be interchanged to give

$$I_2/I_1 = [(a^2 + f^2 - 2 \ a \ f \ \cos \alpha_1)/b^2]^2$$
(150)

By defining  $C_1$  as in (144), this can be written as

$$I_2/I_1 = (C_1/b)^4,$$
 (151)

with  $C_1$  as the distance 0  $Q_1$  of Figure 4 in which  $F_1$   $Q_1$  is of length a. Also, comparison of (145) and (151) shows that

$$b^2 = C_1 C_2,$$
 (152)



FIGURE 4. DIAGRAM USED TO SHOWN THE DISTANCE C1 THAT ENTERS IN INTENSITY CALCULATIONS.



ECCENTRICITY  $\sqrt{2}/2$ .



This form is not as useful as (145) or (151) because both  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  vary.

As an illustration, consider the ellipses shown in Figures 5 and 6. They have eccentricities  $e = \sqrt{2}/2$  and  $e = \sqrt{3}/2$  respectively, which lead to the following ratios when used in (148) and (149):



1. Jr 8. 19 19

16.63

FIGURE 6. A 30° ELLIPSE OF ECCENTRICITY  $\sqrt{3}/2$ .

With 
$$e = \sqrt{2}/2$$
 (Fig. 5),  
Max.  $I_2/I_1 = 17 + 12 \sqrt{2} \approx 33.97$  (154)  
Min.  $I_2/I_1 = 17 - 12 \sqrt{2} \approx 0.029$  (155)  
With  $e = \sqrt{3}/2$  (Fig. 6),  
Max.  $I_2/I_1 = 97 + 56 \sqrt{3} \approx 194.0$  (156)  
Min.  $I_2/I_1 = 97 - 56 \sqrt{3} \approx 0.00515$  (157)

These extremes of intensity ratios occur when  $\alpha_1$  is  $180^\circ$  and  $0^\circ$ , respectively, namely in the axial direction. Thus they have some relevance to a vertical dipole at the first focus because there would in general be a maximum of emitted radiation in these two directions. However, a horizontal dipole source at  $F_1$  will send no radiation along the x axis, and so  $I_2$  will be zero at  $\alpha_2 = 0$  rather than a maximum there. In order to determine more about what happens in this case, it is necessary to postulate a radiation pattern for the emitter. Let that choice be

$$I_1 = I_m \sin^2 \alpha_1 . \tag{158}$$

Then (141), (142) and (158) lead to

$$I_2 = I_m \left( \frac{1 - e^2}{1 + e^2 - 2e \cos \alpha_2} \right)^4 \sin^2 \alpha_2$$
 (159)

This would be the intensity of the signals arriving at  $F_2$  at an angle  $\alpha_2$  with the x axis if the source at  $F_1$  were a horizontal dipole radiating in accordance with (158).

The intensity prescribed in (158) for the source has a maximum value of  $I_m$  at  $\alpha_1 = 90^\circ$ . However, for the radiation arriving at F<sub>2</sub>, it is found that

$$I_2 \text{ of (159) is a maximum}$$
 (160)  
when  $\cos \alpha_2 = (\sqrt{1 + 34 e^2 + e^4} - 1 - e)/4e$ 

For the shapes illustrated in Figures 5 and 6, Eq. (160) gives the following:

With 
$$e = \sqrt{2/2}$$
,  $I_2$  of (159) has  
a maximum value of 14.6  $I_m$  when  $\alpha_2 = 11.5^{\circ}$  (161)

With 
$$e = \sqrt{3}/2$$
,  $I_2$  of (159) has a (162)  
maximum value of 82.1  $I_m$  when  $\alpha_2 = 4.8^\circ$ 

Thus, with a reflector obtained by revolving the ellipse of Figure 5 or Figure 6 about its major axis, and with a source at one focus radiating with intensity distributed as in (158), there will be a strong concentration of energy arriving at the second focus at a small angle with the central axis, as indicated in (161) and (162).

It was shown above that when the source is a horizontal dipole, only rays following paths of the type shown in Figure 1 will arrive at  $F_2$  with parallel electric vectors. That is, the desired reinforcement of incoming radiation occurs only with those rays coming in to the second focus at an angle  $\alpha_2 = 90^\circ$ . From (159) it follows that the intensity of the radiation reaching the target will then be

$$I_2 = I_m \left(\frac{1-e^2}{1+e^2}\right)^2$$
 with  $\alpha_2 = 90^{\circ}$  (163)

This assumes that the energy is emitted in accordance with (158). With the ellipsoidal shapes of Figures 5 and 6, for example, the values calculated by means of (163) are:

With 
$$e = \sqrt{2}/2$$
,  $I_2 = I_m/81$  when  $\alpha_2 = 90^{\circ}$  (164)

With 
$$e = \sqrt{3}/2$$
,  $I_2 = I_m/2401$  when  $\alpha_2 = 90^{\circ}$  (165)

Thus the radiation intensity  $I_2$  with this arrangement is very small and so the focussing method of Figure 1 is unsatisfactory.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this analysis was to see if it would be desirable to use a prolate ellipsoid of revolution to reflect electromagnetic radiation generated at one focus over to a target at the second focus. The major axis of the ellipsoid was taken to be horizontal, and the source was assumed to be an oscillating dipole with its axis either vertical and therefore perpendicular to the major axis, or else along the axis and thus horizontal. Any other orientation would be equivalent to a combination of these two.

The electric vector generated by the vertical dipole was assumed to lie in a vertical plane. It was found that the electric vectors in the radiation arriving at the second focus would on the other hand, have all possible directions, each depending on the point of reflection. The incident and reflected electric vectors in this case are given by (17) and (49).

When the axis of the dipole source is horizontal, the electric vector upon reflection remains in the plane containing the incident and reflected rays. Here (65) and (85) represent the vectors before and after reflection. Both vectors lie in plane (3). Alternate forms for the same two vectors are provided by (105) and (106). From these last equations it is seen that the radiation pattern of the electric vectors arriving at the second focus is the same as that generated.

It was shown that electric vectors coming in to  $F_2$  can reinforce each other only if the rays are traveling in the same plane and the electric vectors are perpendicular to that plane. With a vertical dipole source, this means that reflection of rays should be restricted to the horizontal, elliptical band in the x y plane. This reflecting surface should be part of a cylinder rather than an ellipsoid because the dipole source is not a point, but has finite length in the z direction. On the other hand, when the source is a horizontal dipole along the x axis, it was shown that suitable combination of more than two electric vectors can occur only from rays that are reflected from points lying in the plane x = -f. A representative ray of this type is shown in Figure 1.

The angular distribution of the energy striking the target is very lopsided. The energy approaches  $F_2$  primarily in a cone that usually makes a small angle with the x axis. When the source is a vertical dipole this maximum incoming energy is along the axis. In this case the ratio of the intensities arriving at the second focus in opposite directions along this major axis would be  $(194)^2$  to 1 for the shape shown in Fig. 6 even though the source sends out radiation uniformly in all horizontal directions.

Energy considerations show that the focussing arrangement of Figure 1 is unsatisfactory because the energy density of the signal arriving as shown there is very small in general. For example, with the shape shown in Figure 6 and with a horizontal dipole emitting radiation according to (158), the intensity of the rays directed as in Figure 1 is only  $I_m/2401$ . By way of comparison, the maximum intensity of the electromagnetic radiation coming in to F<sub>2</sub> in that situation is seen by (162) to be 82  $I_m$ .

Thus, if it is essential that the radiation impinging upon the object at the second focus all be uniformly polarized in the same direction, then the source should be a vertical oscillator, and reflection of the rays must be limited to a band around the ellipsoid in the x y plane. In addition, it would be better to have the focussing done by a portion of an elliptical cylinder rather than by part of an ellipsoid.

The use of an ellipsoid of revolution as a reflecting surface is recommended for any application that could make good use of the very intense radiation that would strike the target on the side facing the source. However, this should be an experiment in which it was not necessary to have a polarized wave impinging on the test object.

# DISTRIBUTION

Director	Copies
Defense Nuclear Agency	
Attn: DDST	1
STNA	1
STT1	1
STRA	1
	1
Washington, DC 20305	I
Office of Chief of Naval Operations	
Attn: NOP-65	1
NOP-953	1
NOP-981N	1
Department of the Navy	
Washington, DC 20305	
Commander	
Naval Electronic Systems Command	
Attn: NELEX-3041	l
Washington DC 20360	
washington, be 2000	
Chief of Naval Research	
Attn: Code 421	1
Code 464	1
800 North Quincy Street	
Arlington, VA 22217	
Director	
Naval Research Laboratory	
Attn: Code 1410	1
4555 Overlook Drive, S.W.	
Washington, DC 20360	
Director of Navy Laboratories	
Attn: NMAT-08L	1
Department of the Navy	
Washington, DC	
Commanding Officer	
Naval Weapons Evaluation Facility	1
Kirtland Air Force Base	
Albuquerque, NM 9111/	

The second s

DISTRIBUTION (Cont.)

Copies

Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station 12 Alexandria, VA 22314 Commander Harry Diamond Laboratories Attn: AMXDO-NP 1 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783 Air Force Weapons Laboratory Attn: DOGL, Technical Library 1 Kirtland Air Force Base, NM 87117 Director Division of Military Application 1 Department of Energy Washington, DC 20545 Director Division of Technical Information Extension 1 Department of Energy P. O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37830 Commander NAVEUR Attn: N54 1 FPO, New York 09510 Commander Field Command Defense Nuclear Agency Attn: FCPRK 1 Kirtland Air Force Base, NM 87115 Chief of Naval Material Attn: PM-23 1 Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20360

•

DISTRIBUTION (Cont.)

	Copies
Commander	
Naval Sea Systems Command	
Attn: NAVSEA 03B	1
NAVSEA 62R	1
NAVSEA 63R3	1
NAVSEA 643	1
Department of the Navy	
Washington, DC 20362	
Commander	
Naval Air Systems Command	1
Naval Air Systems Command Headquarters	
Attn: AIR 350	
Washington, DC 20361	
Commander	
Naval Ocean Systems Center	
Attn: Library	1
Code 5432	1
San Diego, CA 92152	
Commander	
Naval Weapons Center	1
China Lake, CA 93555	
Commander	
David W. Taylor Naval Ship Research	
and Development Center	
Attn: Code 174	1
Code 175	1
Bethesda, MD 20084	
Commanding Officer	
Civil Engineering Laboratory	
Naval Construction Battalion Center	1
Attn: Code L51	
Port Hueneme, CA 93041	

29

1

1.10

1