

AD-A128 051


POSTHURRICANE SURVEY OF EXPERIMENTAL DUNES ON PADRE
ISLAND TEXAS(U) COASTAL ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER
FORT BELVOIR VA B E DAHL ET AL. MAR 83 CERC-MR-83-8


1/1

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 13/3

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

12

MR 83-8


Posthurricane Survey of Experimental Dunes on Padre Island, Texas

by

B.E. Dahl, P.C. Cotter, D.B. Wester, and D.D. Drbal

MISCELLANEOUS REPORT NO. 83-8

MARCH 1983



DTIC
ELECTE
MAY 13 1983
S B

Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited.

Prepared for

U.S. ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
COASTAL ENGINEERING
RESEARCH CENTER

Kingman Building
Fort Belvoir, Va. 22060

83 05 13 028

ADA 128051

DMC FILE COPY

Reprint or republication of any of this material shall give appropriate credit to the U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center.

Limited free distribution within the United States of single copies of this publication has been made by this Center. Additional copies are available from:

*National Technical Information Service
ATTN: Operations Division
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, Virginia 22161*

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER MR 83-8	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A128 651	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) POSTHURRICANE SURVEY OF EXPERIMENTAL DUNES ON PADRE ISLAND, TEXAS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Miscellaneous Report
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) B.E. Dahl, P.C. Cotter, D.B. Wester, and D.D. Drbal		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Texas Tech University Department of Range and Wildlife Lubbock, TX 79409		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS G31533
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Department of the Army Coastal Engineering Research Center Kingman Building, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060		12. REPORT DATE March 1983
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 70
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Experimental dunes Padre Island, Texas Foredunes Vegetation Hurricane surveys		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) -This report summarizes the impact of Hurricane Allen (August 1980) on dune configuration, sand accretion or erosion, and changes in the vegetation on north Padre Island. Four experimental foredunes, the result of grass plantings from 1969 to 1973, and an unplanted control section were monitored in 1975-1977 and also in 1981. The 1981 posthurricane data were compared where possible, with the previous studies. Fore-dune elevation surveys were completed in March 1981; accompanying vegetation transects were made in July 1981. (continued)		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

> Hurricane Allen caused erosion of the dune face of all the experimental dunes, but caused a breach in only one dune. The beach elevations had returned to approximately prehurricane heights by the time the area was resurveyed. The unplanted control dune provided little resistance to waves generated by the storm and a large quantity of sand was deposited inland.

During the past 5 years the experimental dunes have accumulated sand at an annual rate of 11.5 cubic meters per meter of beach compared with 9.3 cubic meters per meter of beach for the unplanted control area. The higher annual accumulation rate on the experimental dunes is due to the greater abundance of vegetation.

Vegetation on the experimental dunes apparently continues to spread seaward at 1.5 to 1.8 meters per year. The total dune width has expanded 1.8 to 2.4 meters annually since 1976. There has been little invasion of other species into the sea oats (*Uniola paniculata*) and bitter panicum (*Panicum amarum*) plantings, even after 8 to 10 years. Landward ground cover of the unplanted control dune decreased from 28 percent in 1976 to 17 percent in 1981 due to sand deposition on existing vegetation. Landward ground cover of experimental dunes increased from 39 percent in 1976 to 56 percent in 1981, because the foredune protected vegetation from storm waves and sand deposition. Also, freshwater ponded behind the foredunes, creating a favorable habitat for vegetation. The less salt-tolerant plants also benefited from the decreased salt spray landward of the experimental foredunes.

Vegetation on the backshore was eliminated during the storm, but rapidly is becoming reestablished from residual perennial grass roots and rhizomes. Foredunes on Padre Island dissipate hurricane-generated waves, thus lessening water damage to the mainland; they are also major sand reservoirs, thereby helping hold newly deposited sand. A large, midisland, unvegetated dune field has migrated landward 27 meters per year since 1973.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Just	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A	

PREFACE

This report contains results of a study to monitor effectiveness of experimental foredunes to provide coastal protection from a major hurricane, in this case, Hurricane Allen which impacted Padre Island in August 1980. Dunes evaluated resulted from grass plantings made from 1969 to 1973; these were compared to an unplanted beach segment. Parameters measured included rates and regions of sand deposition, beach erosion, and vegetation dynamics. Rate of plant succession occurring on an inner island active dune field was also evaluated. Results of this and earlier publications (Dahl, et al., 1975; Dahl and Goen, 1977) should provide coastal zone managers with procedures for constructing barriers that can effectively protect coastal populations against storm surges as well as improve environmental quality. Especially valuable to natural resource managers, environmentalists, and naturalists would be the minimum disruption to the ecosystem entailed by these methods. The original research was carried out under the U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center's (CERC) Foredune Ecology work unit, Environmental Impact Program, Environmental Quality Area of Civil Works Research and Development, and the evaluation was conducted under contract with the National Park Service.

This report was prepared by Bill E. Dahl, Paul F. Cotter, David B. Wester, and Doug D. Drbal, professor and research assistants, respectively, Department of Range and Wildlife, Texas Tech University (TTU), Lubbock. Dr. K. Yarborough, National Park Service, Santa Fe, New Mexico, was the contracting officer's representative.


The authors appreciate the help, advice, facilities, and encouragement of personnel of the Padre Island National Seashore and Welder Wildlife Foundation, Sinton, Texas. P. Knutson provided advice and assistance during the course of this and earlier projects. Special thanks are due J. Cone, S. Jarrett, T. Mills, J.S. Pitts, G. Scott, R. Steed, G. Tanner, and S. Wesley for help in fieldwork, data analysis, and preparation of the final manuscript. Dr. C. Britton was especially helpful with the contract photography needs.

P.L. Knutson was contract monitor for the report, under the general supervision of E.J. Pullen, Chief, Coastal Ecology Branch, and Mr. R.P. Savage, Chief, Research Division, CERC.

Technical Director of CERC was Dr. Robert W. Whalin, P.E.

Comments on this publication are invited.

Approved for publication in accordance with Public Law 166, 79th Congress, approved 31 July 1945, as supplemented by Public Law 172, 88th Congress, approved 7 November 1963.


TED E. BISHOP
Colonel, Corps of Engineers
Commander and Director

CONTENTS

	CONVERSION FACTORS, U.S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI)	Page 8
I	INTRODUCTION.	9
II	STUDY AREA.	11
III	METHODS AND PROCEDURES.	13
	1. Elevation Surveys of Experimental Dunes	13
	a. Foredune Profiles	13
	b. Beach Profiles.	17
	c. Longitudinal Profiles	17
	2. Elevation Surveys of Naturally Formed Dunes	17
	3. Vegetation.	18
IV	RESULTS	18
	1. Sand Volume	18
	a. Mean Sea Level Inland 200 Meters.	18
	b. Sand Volumes Above Planting Elevation for 30-meter Segment of the Foredunes	28
	c. 88-meter Segment of the Foredune.	28
	2. Dune Base Width	28
	3. Dune Crest Elevation.	33
	4. Shoreline Changes	33
	5. Naturally Formed Dunes versus Experimental Dunes.	33
	6. Coastal Vegetation.	38
	a. Vegetation on Experimental Foredunes.	38
	b. Vegetation Behind (Landward) Experimental Foredunes	40
	c. Vegetation in Front (Seaward) of the Experimental Foredunes.	40
	d. Midisland Dune Field.	44
V	CONCLUSIONS	48
	LITERATURE CITED.	50
APPENDIX		
A	DETAILED DIAGRAM OF NORTH PADRE ISLAND STUDY PLOTS.	51
B	VEGETATION FREQUENCY AND COVER ALONG FIVE TRANSECTS IN THE STUDY DUNES AND NEAR REMNANT LIVE OAK MOTTE NORTHWEST OF PADRE ISLAND RANGER STATION.	56

CONTENTS

TABLES

	Page
1 Control and experimental planting sites on north Padre Island	15
2 Total sand volume for beach and foredune cross sections of five study dunes	22
3 Sand volumes accumulated above planting elevations for the immediate locale of planting	29
4 Sand volume for beach cross sections from 30 meters in front of dunes to 58 meters across the dunes.	30
5 Base width of measured dunes in 1981. Measurements show dune width between increasing elevations above 2.4 meters MSL on the seaward side and decreasing elevations below 2.4 meters MSL on the landward side	32
6 Distances from east base line to MSL for the study locations with beach cross-sectional profiles.	35
7 Sand volume for beach and foredune cross sections of existing naturally formed dunes.	37
8 Importance values (IV) for common species (planted and invading) for experimental foredunes for 1975, 1976, and 1981. Values are the mean of seaward and landward transects except the last two columns show differences between species establishing on exposed and protected dunes.	39
9 The percent of coverage for all vegetation for transects measured for various locations in the five study areas for 1975, 1976, and 1981	41
10 Importance values (IV) for common species becoming established within 69 meters of the planted dunes (landward) for 1975, 1976, and 1981.	42
11 Importance values (IV) for plants occurring on a midisland area, recently vacated by a migrating bare dune field	47

FIGURES

1 Map of Padre Island, Texas	10
--	----

CONTENTS

FIGURES-Continued

	Page
2 Schematic cross-sectional profile of north Padre Island and some dominant plants of major communities (vicinity of Ranger Station, Padre Island National Seashore)	12
3 New dune ridge forming naturally on the unplanted control area, a site without a natural dune similar to the planted dune sites. It has been monitored since 1975	14
4 Location of north Padre Island experimental plantings.	16
5 (Top) The seaward face of 366-meter bitter panicum dune (11 Sept. 1980) following Hurricane Allen. (Bottom) Breach (46 meters) in the 335-meter bitter panicum dune created by Hurricane Allen.	19
6 (Top) Sand carried by hurricane waves between or through breached dunes and deposited on inland vegetation. (Bottom) Hummock dunes growing in front of the study dunes, which were later removed by Hurricane Allen	20
7 (Top) Beach vegetation on pedestrian beach in May 1980. (Bottom) Pedestrian segment of the beach after Hurricane Allen in August 1980	21
8 Cross-sectional beach and foredune profiles for the unplanted natural area	23
9 Cross-sectional beach and foredune profiles for the 366-meter sea oats dune.	24
10 Cross-sectional beach and foredune profiles for the dune-width extension dune.	25
11 Cross-sectional beach and foredune profiles for the 335-meter bitter panicum dune.	26
12 Cross-sectional beach and foredune profiles for the 366-meter bitter panicum dune.	27
13 Longitudinal profiles along dune crests for experimental dunes and the unplanted control area	34
14 Cross-sectional beach and foredune profiles for existing natural dunes.	36
15 The bitter panicum dune (366 meters) in August 1980 showing a vertical cliff caused by Hurricane Allen	43

CONTENTS

FIGURES-continued

	Page
16 Stabilization of a midisland bare dune field between 1969 and 1981. Note the live oak mottes in dune field in 1969	45
17 Stabilization of a midisland bare dune field between 1969 and 1981.	46

CONVERSION FACTORS, U.S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

U.S. customary units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric (SI) units as follows:

Multiply	by	To obtain
inches	25.4	millimeters
	2.54	centimeters
square inches	6.452	square centimeters
cubic inches	16.39	cubic centimeters
feet	30.48	centimeters
	0.3048	meters
square feet	0.0929	square meters
cubic feet	0.0283	cubic meters
yards	0.9144	meters
square yards	0.836	square meters
cubic yards	0.7646	cubic meters
miles	1.6093	kilometers
square miles	259.0	hectares
knots	1.852	kilometers per hour
acres	0.4047	hectares
foot-pounds	1.3558	newton meters
millibars	1.0197×10^{-3}	kilograms per square centimeter
ounces	28.35	grams
pounds	453.6	grams
	0.4536	kilograms
ton, long	1.0160	metric tons
ton, short	0.9072	metric tons
degrees (angle)	0.01745	radians
Fahrenheit degrees	5/9	Celsius degrees or Kelvins ¹

¹To obtain Celsius (C) temperature readings from Fahrenheit (F) readings, use formula: $C = (5/9) (F - 32)$.

To obtain Kelvin (K) readings, use formula: $K = (5/9) (F - 32) + 273.15$.

POSTHURRICANE SURVEY OF EXPERIMENTAL DUNES ON
PADRE ISLAND, TEXAS

by

B.E. Dahl, P.C. Cotter, D.B. Wester, and D.D. Drbal

I. INTRODUCTION

Flood damage from hurricanes is a major concern to inhabitants of the Texas gulf coast. Barrier islands, such as Padre Island, provide significant protection against high water through the damming effect of foredunes, which form parallel to the beach. Where these foredunes have eroded, storm surges transport sand inland from the beach onto lowland vegetation and into lagoons, where it accumulates on roads and in navigational channels adjacent to the islands. After the severe flooding from Hurricane Carla in 1961, the mainland residents requested restoration of these natural dunes on Padre Island.

From 1968 to 1974 the U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC) supported research to define propagation and transplanting techniques with beach grass to construct and rehabilitate these coastal foredunes (Dahl, et al., 1975). The data collected included information on changes in dune dimensions and beach topography, encroachment of indigenous flora, and comparisons with naturally occurring foredunes. During these studies, several foredunes were shaped from test plantings on the north and south ends of Padre Island (Fig. 1). On completion of the initial contracts, CERC continued monitoring the foredunes formed from the beach-grass plantings on north Padre Island beaches in 1975 and 1976 to evaluate the long-term performance and effects of the foredunes (Dahl and Goen, 1977).

Hurricane Anita struck the coast of northern Mexico in August 1977, causing substantial foredune erosion on south Padre Island. The storm caused significant reorientation of sand even on north Padre Island beaches, but it did not damage the experimental foredunes of north Padre Island. This was the only major storm affecting Padre Island beaches since the original test plantings were made from 1969 to 1973 and the cross-sectional profiles were resurveyed in September 1977. On 9 and 10 August 1980, Hurricane Allen violently struck the Texas coast, entering the mainland between the Mansfield Channel and Kingsville (Fig. 1). South Padre Island, which has lower elevations than north Padre Island, was dramatically altered with frequent overwash channels. The storm substantially damaged the Padre Island National Seashore Malaquite Beach facilities on north Padre Island, significantly altering beach vegetation and eroding the beach face of foredunes, with the hurricane-generated waves breaching the island's dunes in many instances. This report summarizes the impact of Hurricane Allen on the dune configuration, sand yardage accretion or erosion, and changes in the vegetation on four experimental foredune sections and one unplanted section within the boundaries of the Padre Island National Seashore. This was accomplished by comparing the 1981 posthurricane surveys with those of 1975-77.




Figure 1. Map of Padre Island, Texas.

II. STUDY AREA

Padre Island has a subtropical, semiarid climate, moderated by maritime tropical air from the Gulf of Mexico. The summer months are hot, with little daily or weekly variation. Winter (December to February) is mild with wide fluctuations in temperature; freezing temperatures are infrequent. Precipitation is irregular, both monthly and annually, with no sharply defined seasons. Within the last century, the annual precipitation at Corpus Christi, the nearest station with long-time weather data, has ranged from 1222 millimeters in 1888 to 136 millimeters in 1917, with an average of 678 millimeters. Excessive precipitation associated with hurricanes, usually in late summer and early fall, biases the annual average precipitation upward. Without the hurricanes, the annual average would be lower and more indicative of the stress associated with semiarid lands where droughts are frequent but irregular (Carr, 1966). The average temperature for Corpus Christi is 21.7° Celsius (Department of Commerce, 1970).

Two principal wind regimes dominate the Texas coastal zone--persistent southeasterly winds from March to September and north-northeasterly winds from October to February (Behrens, Watson, and Mason, 1977). However, prevailing winds (disregarding windspeed) are onshore 11 months of the year (Dahl, et al., 1975). Northerly winds are associated with frontal passages and are usually strong with concurrent precipitation. However, some northers are dry, creating small dunes along the beach with each passage. Prevailing winds then transport this sand back to the foredunes.

The coastal topography of the mainland adjacent to Padre Island is relatively flat with soils developed from Pleistocene and recent unconsolidated clastic sediments. The soils of Padre Island developed on recent marine and eolian soils (Brown, et al., 1976). The sand particle size is predominantly fine to very fine. Soils vary in salt content and in amounts of shell and organic matter. The highest organic matter content from beach sands was 0.1 percent. Shell fragments were generally less than 1 percent (Dahl, et al., 1975).

A schematic cross-sectional profile of north Padre Island and the dominant plants of major communities are in Figure 2. North Padre Island is predominantly a grassland of midheight. Seacoast bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium* var. *littoralis*), seashore dropseed (*Sporobolus virginicus*), gulf dune paspalum (*Paspalum monostachyum*), and saltmeadow cordgrass (*Spartina patens*) are species that commonly occur from the foredune across the island.

The number of species on the shoreface of the dunes is limited, with sea oats (*Uniola paniculata*) the dominant sand-trapping plant. Other species capable of trapping or binding sand are saltmeadow cordgrass, seashore dropseed, bitter panicum (*Panicum amarum*), railroad vine (*Ipomoea pes-caprae*), and gulf croton (*Croton punctatus*). After dunes have been started by pioneer vegetation, forbs such as beach groundcherry (*Physalis viscosa*), beach evening primrose (*Oenothera drummondii*), and prairie senna (*Cassia fasciculata*) often become common.

Of particular interest to this study is the vegetation of the backshore and the foredune foreslope, and the natural succession of plants from a barren, hurricane-planed backshore to a continuous, mature foredune ridge. Sea purslane (*Sesuvium portulacastrum*), one of the first species to reappear

[illegible]

Figure 2. Schematic cross-sectional profile of north Padre Island and some dominant plants of major communities (vicinity of Ranger Station, Padre Island National Seashore).

on the denuded backshore, is vegetatively dispersed by wave and wind action. Clumps of sea purslane trap sand, forming small dunes that rise only slightly above the beach surface. Beach morning glory (*Ipomoea stolonifera*), railroad vine, gulf croton, sea oats, saltmeadow cordgrass, bitter panicum, and seashore dropseed are early colonizers (Dahl, et al., 1975).

Rhizomatic growth and tillering of these plants, especially sea oats and bitter panicum, are stimulated by the accumulation of fresh sand continually blown onshore. Eolian sand is trapped by exposed grass blades and it eventually becomes stabilized by the grass roots and rhizomes. Nourished by fresh beach sand blowing inland, the unconnected hummock dunes of sea oats, bitter panicum, saltmeadow cordgrass, and seashore dropseed continue growing and eventually interconnect, forming a dune ridge (Fig. 3). New hummock dunes begin forming shoreward, and in this manner, the foredune grows toward the gulf. This shoreward growth eventually eliminates fresh sand accumulation on the rear of the dune ridge, and gives additional protection from wind and salt spray. The less salt-tolerant species and those not adapted to growing in accumulating sand then become established, e.g., seacoast bluestem, gulfdune paspalum, broom groundsel (*Senecio riddellii*), and beach groundcherry (Dahl, et al., 1975).

The time scale for these sequences depends on the intervals between storms, the severity of previous storm damage, the proximity of undamaged colonizing species, and the precipitation cycle. The area containing the present study plots was barren in 1937, but a vegetated foredune ridge had appeared with a vegetated plain to the west by 1948. After Hurricanes Carla and Beulah in 1967, the dune ridge was absent, and the area was again barren with a field of active sand dunes migrating west.

III. METHODS AND PROCEDURES

1. Elevation Surveys of Experimental Dunes.

A summary of the five experimental dune areas evaluated in this report is in Table 1, which corresponds with the study-site map in Figure 4. The exact location of these areas referenced to two surveyed base lines (east and west) is in Appendix A. Elevational profile surveys for the five areas (one unplanted control and four planted) were conducted in March 1975, August 1975, March 1976, August 1976, September 1977, and March 1981.

a. Foredune Profiles. Cross-sectional profiles were made in each of the five experimental dunes. Elevations were taken at 3-meter intervals (rod readings to the nearest 0.003 meter). Profiles were made in the following locations:

- (1) Unplanted control dune - eight profiles, 30 meters apart, from 30 meters seaward of the natural dune area to 61 meters across the foredune.
- (2) Planted dunes - 30 meters seaward of the grass extension of the dune to 58 meters across the dune.
 - (a) 366-meter sea oats dune - 12 profiles, 30 meters apart.



Figure 3. New dune ridge forming naturally on the unplanted control area, a site without a natural dune similar to the planted dune sites. It has been monitored since 1975.

Table 1. Control and experimental planting sites on north Padre Island.

Description	Planting dates	Comments
Unplanted control dune	not planted	Monitored since 1974.
366-meter sea oats	Mar. 1969	Original plantings--three-fourths saltmeadow cordgrass and one-fourth sea oats. Survival--cordgrass, 14 percent; sea oats, 46 percent. Cattle grazing an early problem. Supplemental fill-in plantings of sea oats, cordgrass, and panicum (shoredune and bitter).
Dune-width extension. Planted seaward of the south end of monthly plantings.	Apr. 1973	Mixture of 3:1 bitter panicum to sea oats. Survival--panicum, 62 percent; sea oats, 1 percent.
335-meter bitter panicum	Feb. 1970	Bitter panicum alternated with sea oats seed. Survival--panicum, 17 percent; sea oats, unsuccessful. Subsequent patchwork planting.
366-meter bitter panicum	Feb. 1972 and Apr. 1972	North half planted with bitter panicum--76 percent survival. South half planted with sea oats, which were later destroyed by jackrabbits. Replanted in April with bitter panicum--17-percent survival.




Figure 4. Location of north Padre Island experimental plantings.

- (b) Dune-width extension - one profile.
- (c) 335-meter bitter panicum dune - 12 profiles, 27 meters apart.
- (d) 366-meter bitter panicum dune - 12 profiles, 30 meters apart.

b. Beach Profiles. Cross-sectional profile surveys were made in each of the experimental areas from the mean sea level (MSL) landward to the east base line. Beach profile elevations were read at 6-meter intervals. Profiles were made in the following locations:

- (1) Unplanted control area - two profiles 91 meters apart.
- (2) Planted dune areas.
 - (a) 366-meter sea oats dune - two profiles 122 meters apart.
 - (b) Dune-width extension dune - one profile.
 - (c) 335-meter bitter panicum dune - two profiles 110 meters apart.
 - (d) 366-meter bitter panicum dune - two profiles 91 meters apart.

c. Longitudinal Profiles. In 1975-76 two longitudinal surveys were made along the top of the dune and parallel with the beach for the 366-meter sea oats, 335-meter bitter panicum, and 366-meter bitter panicum dunes. One profile line was placed to coincide with the seaward crest of the foredunes. The other was 9 to 15 meters landward of the first profile line. In 1981 the second profile was omitted. Also, in 1981, a longitudinal profile was surveyed for the first time on the newly shaping dune in the unplanted natural area. For the dune-width extension dune, longitudinal profiles were surveyed for both the seaward 15-meter width and the landward 15-meter width. Elevations were recorded with each abrupt change in topography. Distances were measured by tape to the nearest 0.3 meter.

2. Elevational Surveys of Naturally Formed Dunes.

Four cross-sectional profile surveys of existing naturally formed dunes were resurveyed in March 1981. These were:

- (a) One cross section about 91 meters north of the Ranger Station access road. This dune was first surveyed in 1974.
- (b) Three cross sections designated as (1) Pedtraf 18 meters south; (2) Pedtraf 2.2 kilometers south; and (3) Pedtraf 2.6 kilometers south.

These were surveyed on 3 August 1980 just prior to Hurricane Allen by Chaney, Williges, and Taylor (1980). The latter three surveys began at the crest of the foredune and continued to the shoreline on the beach. Because the latter surveys were not referenced to MSL, the crest elevations with respect to MSL of the March 1981 survey were used to estimate the crest elevations of the August 1980 survey. With this approximation the beach elevation (where the surveys were terminated) was determined to be approximately 0.6 meter below MSL.

3. Vegetation.

In August 1975, August 1976, and July 1981 vegetation transects were made in the five experimental dune areas. The following transects were placed paralleling the beach: a 60-plot transect on the seaward slope of the foredune, a 60-plot transect on the landward slope of the foredune, a 40-plot transect 8 meters landward of the dune, a 40-plot transect 38 meters landward of the dune, and a 40-plot transect 69 meters landward of the dune. A 133-centimeter-diameter circular plot with an area of 1 square meter was used. Frequency and cover data were recorded in each plot (App. B). Cover classes recorded were: 1, 0 to 1 percent; 2, 1 to 5 percent; 3, 5 to 25 percent; 4, 25 to 50 percent; 5, 50 to 75 percent; 6, 75 to 90 percent; 7, 95 to 99 percent; and 8, 99 to 100 percent. An importance value (IV) was computed by multiplying cover times frequency.

IV. RESULTS

Hurricane Allen's effect on north Padre Island's foredunes built from the 1969 to 1973 test plantings was less severe than expected. The storm caused erosion of the seaward face of the dunes (including the naturally formed ones) leaving a nearly vertical face, but it breached only one dune (the 335-meter bitter panicum dune) (Fig. 5). A second hurricane impact was the total destruction of the hummock dunes that had formed seaward of the experimental foredunes (Fig. 6). Even major accumulations of sand due to vegetation growing on a 6.5-kilometer segment of the beach reserved for pedestrians were removed during the storm (Fig. 7). These were the more obvious hurricane impacts. However, a comprehensive understanding of the beach and dune system and its response to severe coastal storms can be gained from an analysis of the long-term data available on this area. This report deals mainly with the beach and dune changes over time, mostly during the past 6 years, and particularly as affected by Hurricane Anita in 1977 and Hurricane Allen in 1980.

1. Sand Volume.

a. Mean Sea Level Inland 200 Meters. From the Padre Island surveys, sand volumes were computed several ways to show the dynamics of the sand accumulation and redistribution. First, consider the total sand volume from MSL inland through that part of the beach normally occupied by the foredunes. A 200-meter segment was used; the seaward side, 108 meters was designated the beach segment, and a 108- to 200-meter segment was designated the foredune segment (Table 2; Figs. 8 to 12). Because the March 1981 surveys were made about 7 months after Hurricane Allen, only the 335-meter bitter panicum dune, which was breached during the storm, showed a net loss of sand. Therefore, for a hypothesis as to what actually occurs on a beach during a hurricane, the



Figure 5. (Top) The seaward face of 366-meter bitter panicum dune (11 Sept. 1980) following Hurricane Allen. (Bottom) Breach (46 meters) in the 335-meter bitter panicum dune created by Hurricane Allen.



Figure 6. (Top) Sand carried by hurricane waves between or through breached dunes and deposited on inland vegetation. (Bottom) Hummock dunes growing in front of the study dunes, which were later removed by Hurricane Allen.



Figure 7. (Top) Beach vegetation on pedestrian beach in May 1980. (Bottom) Pedestrian segment of the beach after Hurricane Allen in August 1980.

Table 2. Total sand volume for beach and foredune cross sections of five study dunes.

Location	Volume by survey date (m ³ /m)					
	Mar. 1975	Aug. 1975	Mar. 1976	Aug. 1976	Sept. 1977	Mar. 1981
STUDY DUNES						
Beach segment (MSL to 108 meters)						
Unplanted area	100.6	124.4	120.7	121.4	97.6	122.5
366-meter sea oats	100.6	123.4	112.9	116.4	94.0	115.1
Dune-width extension	¹	120.4	118.4	129.4	78.0	129.8
335-meter bitter panicum	100.8	112.1	114.1	119.9	83.2	118.4
366-meter bitter panicum	91.6	106.6	115.1	111.1	87.8	126.4
Avg.	98.4	117.4	116.2	119.6	88.1	122.4
Foredune segment (108 meters to 200 meters)						
Unplanted area	201.7	204.2	211.2	210.7	214.6	240.9
366-meter sea oats	219.7	229.5	233.5	237.0	242.5	264.2
Dune-width extension	¹	227.0	224.0	245.6	255.6	296.6
335-meter bitter panicum	203.4	207.9	215.5	222.7	246.8	233.2 ²
366-meter bitter panicum	207.4	211.7	209.7	224.8	223.4	251.4
Avg.	208.1	216.1	218.8	228.2	236.6	257.3
Total segment (MSL to 200 meters)						
Unplanted area	302.3	328.6	331.9	332.1	312.1	363.4
366-meter sea oats	320.3	352.9	346.4	353.4	336.5	379.3
Dune-width extension	323.8 ¹	347.4	342.4	375.0	333.6	426.3
335-meter bitter panicum	304.3	320.3	329.6	342.6	330.1	351.6
366-meter bitter panicum	299.0	318.3	324.8	335.9	311.2	377.8
Avg.	309.9	333.5	335.1	347.8	324.7	379.7

¹Estimated not surveyed in March 1975.

²This apparent sand loss occurred because this dune was breached by Hurricane Allen and one of the two cross sections crossed the dune at the breach.




Figure 8. Cross-sectional beach and foredune profiles for the unplanted natural area.





Figure 9. Cross-sectional beach and foredune profiles for the 366-meter sea oats dune.




Figure 10. Cross-sectional beach and foredune profiles for the dune-width extension dune.





Figure 11. Cross-sectional beach and foredune profiles for the 335-meter bitter panicum dune.





Figure 12. Cross-sectional beach and foredune profiles for the 366-meter bitter panicum dune.

sand volume data from Hurricane Anita should be used since measurements were taken within a month of that hurricane. Except for the 366-meter bitter panicum dune, the foredune segments all accumulated sand from August 1976 to September 1977, despite Hurricane Anita. Thus, the erosion of sand following Hurricane Anita was entirely from the beach--and not really a loss at all--just a temporary displacement into the gulf.

The net accumulation of sand in this 200-meter segment indicates that some new sand, probably from longshore currents, was deposited on the beach, and it was then windblown into the foredune and trapped in the vegetation. From March 1975 to March 1981 the average net sand accumulation per linear meter of beach for all profiles was 69.5 cubic meters. This was 61.0, 58.8, 105.8, 47.3, and 78.5 cubic meters for the unplanted, 366-meter sea oats, dune-width extension, 335-meter bitter panicum, and 366-meter bitter panicum area, respectively (Table 2). This is an annual accumulation of new sand of 11.5 cubic meters per meter of beach. Note that the dune-width extension with its wider base accumulated considerably more sand than the other plantings.

b. Sand Volumes Above Planting Elevation for 30-meter Segment of the Foredunes. The only sand volumes measured from early in the initial study were from those areas immediately affected by the 15-meter-wide test plantings (Dahl, et al., 1975). About 8 meters on either side of the plantings were measured beginning in 1970. The 1970 measurement is reported in Table 3, along with the 1977 and 1981 surveys for comparison purposes. The dune-width extension plantings were not included. It is apparent that the beach plantings adequately trapped the migrating beach sand as intended. However, Hurricane Allen did remove several cubic meters from the unplanted control study area. Much of this sand was transported farther inland (Table 2).

c. 88-meter Segment of the Foredune. Because the plantings influenced sand accumulation for more than 30 meters in 1975, sand volumes were measured for an 88-meter dune segment extending from 30 meters seaward of the grass planting to 58 meters landward.

The total sand accumulation in this 88-meter segment of the unplanted control areas was well below that for the dunes resulting from the beach-grass plantings (Table 4). The data in Table 2 show that this eroded sand was transported farther inland. The major difference between this area and the planted dune areas is that the planted dunes present a solid wall of resistance to the sand being transported inland. Therefore, migrating sand from the beach accumulates on the dune face. On the unplanted area, the front "wall" is not solid, so migrating sand penetrates through and over a broader base. The result is a relatively high "floor," around 2.6 to 3.0 meters MSL, among the scattered hummock dunes. In contrast, the floor elevation behind and among the dunes of the planted study areas is only from 1.7 to 2.1 meters MSL. The planted areas have accumulated sand at higher elevations.

2. Dune Base Width.

According to the Dahl and Goen (1977) report, the planted grasses on the experimental dunes spread laterally between 1.5 to 2.1 meters per year, based on the 1975-1976 measurements. Because Padre Island has now had a major hurricane, and it is difficult to assess the rate of grass spread, an evaluation is made of the rate of dune widening from the cross-sectional

Table 3. Sand volumes accumulated above planting elevation for the immediate locale of planting.¹

Location	Planting elevation (m)	Volume by survey date (m ³ /m)									
		1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1981	
Unplanted area	1.2	May Aug.	May Aug.	Apr. July	May	Mar.	Mar. Aug.	Mar. Aug.	Sept.	Mar.	
						12.0	19.0 21.9	22.8 26.2	39.4	18.5	
		5.3 6.8	22.8 27.8	41.9 40.1	50.2	53.4	71.2 79.5	82.5 84.8	93.4	106.6	
335-meter bitter panicum	1.3		17.3	18.6 25.1	29.3	45.7	53.9 57.7	62.0 64.7	73.9	94.7	
366-meter bitter panicum	1.6				7.5	21.3	38.6 44.1	45.4 54.4	61.8	86.3	

¹ Planting width, 15 meters; surveyed distance, 30 meters.

Table 4. Sand volume for beach cross sections from 30 meters in front of dunes to 58 meters across the dunes.

Location	Volume by survey date (m ³ /m)					
	Mar. 1975	Aug. 1975	Mar. 1976	Aug. 1976	Sept. 1977	Mar. 1981
Total volume						
Unplanted area	168.8	172.1	173.6	182.4	219.7	173.3
366-meter sea oats	207.9	217.7	220.2	223.5	244.0	256.4
Dune-width extension	207.7	215.2	218.2	225.8	253.2	279.8
335-meter bitter panicum	210.0	217.2	221.0	225.8	242.3	262.2
366-meter bitter panicum	184.6	190.1	192.1	204.2	219.5	250.0
Volume above planting elevation ¹						
Unplanted area	61.2	64.5	66.0	74.5	112.5	65.5
366-meter sea oats	100.3	109.9	112.6	115.6	136.2	148.6
Dune-width extension	89.3	96.6	99.6	109.4	134.7	161.2
335-meter bitter panicum	91.3	98.8	102.6	107.1	123.8	143.7
366-meter bitter panicum	44.4	50.2	51.9	64.2	79.4	109.9

¹Planting elevations: unplanted area, 1.2 meters; 366-meter sea oats, 1.2 meters; dune-width extension, 1.3 meters; 335-meter bitter panicum, 1.3 meters; 366-meter bitter panicum, 1.6 meters.

elevations. The planted dunes rise abruptly at about 2.4 meters above MSL; therefore, the width of the dune was recorded between the area where elevations rise above 2.4 meters MSL on the seaward side and where they drop below 2.4 meters MSL on the bay side of the dunes (Table 5). This showed that the dunes continue to widen at about 1.8 to 2.4 meters per year. The naturally formed dune, north of the Ranger Station access road, also apparently grew in width at about the same rate.

The unplanted control section grew in a different way. Because no uniform line of plants existed naturally, the sand was not trapped in a narrow strip, but accumulated over a broad base of about 91 meters. Consequently, accumulating sand was spread over almost the entire 91-meter width. In March 1976 few of the elevations exceeded 2.4 meters MSL. By 1977 the dune width over 2.4 meters above MSL increased to about 30 meters. By 1981, the full 91 meters had elevations 2.4 meters above MSL or higher (Table 5), except for about 9 meters in the middle of two of the transects. When this section becomes a mature dune it will have a broad base which is similar to other naturally formed dunes.

The planted experimental dunes have a base width from 37 to 53 meters (Table 5). Naturally formed dunes in the area have a base width over 80 meters and probably most are more than 91 meters. Though the planted dunes have narrower bases, there are advantages to providing a uniform sand-trapping field immediately following dune erosion as occurs during severe storms such as Hurricane Carla in 1961 or Hurricane Allen in 1980:

- (1) A dam is rapidly built to help stop future storm waters from crossing the island to flood the mainland areas.
- (2) Highly mobile sand is rapidly confined to one area of accretion, hence it is not lost to the beach system.
- (3) The resultant wall of accumulating sand prevents inland movement of saltwater from annual storm surges of moderate intensity. At the same time, the accumulating sand acts as a dam for rainwater providing a mesic environment that is free from saltwater on the seaward side of the plantings so that salt-intolerant vegetation can become rapidly established.
- (4) After moderate accumulation of sand, little salt spray penetrates beyond the forepart of the planted dune, further hastening the establishment of the island vegetation intolerant of salt spray.

During the experimental plantings from 1960 to 1974, the 366-meter bitter panicum and the dune-width extension plantings were specifically made to find the most effective way to widen the base of dunes constructed from vegetation plantings. Techniques for increasing the base width of the planted dunes are described in Dahl and Goen (1977).

Table 5. Base width of measured dunes in 1981. Measurements show dune width between increasing elevations above 2.4 meters MSL on the seaward side and decreasing elevations below 2.4 meters MSL on the landward side.

STUDY DUNES	Width of dune base (m)					
	North half			South half		
	1976	1977	1981	1976	1977	1981
Unplanted control dune	15.2	39.6	91.4 ²	9.1	24.4	91.4 ²
366-meter sea oats	29.0	30.5	33.5	30.5	36.6	39.6
Dune-width extension	38.1	45.7	50.3	42.7	51.8	53.3
335-meter bitter panicum	30.5	53.3	56.4	30.5	33.5	39.6 ¹
366-meter bitter panicum	21.3	24.4	29.0	27.4	30.5	36.6

<u>NATURAL DUNES</u>	<u>1974</u>	<u>1981</u>
91 meters North of Ranger Station		
Access Road	70.1 ²	82.3 ²
Pedestrian Traffic (18 meters south)		91.4
Pedestrian Traffic		
(2.25 kilometers south)		79.2
Pedestrian Traffic		
(2.6 kilometers south)		91.4

¹Does not include the one cross section where the hurricane breach occurred.

²Dune width values for natural dunes show that at least the indicated width of dune is 2.4 meters above MSL.

3. Dune Crest Elevation.

Longitudinal surveys that paralleled the beach were made along the crests of all the planted dunes. No definable dune existed in the unplanted study area prior to 1981; therefore, no longitudinal survey was made until that year. Figure 13 graphically shows the crest survey data. The longitudinal figures are more revealing than the cross-sectional figures for ascertaining the effective height of dunes. It is also easier to show where relatively more sand is accumulating. The profiles also provide an instant evaluation of the effectiveness of the overall dune-building research. Although some low areas through the dunes begin to heal in time, some are quite persistent and may require mechanical repair to completely heal; e.g., most deep cuts present in March 1975 were still evident in August 1976 and some were even still present in 1981. The repair of these low areas should be further researched. Stacking bales of hay in the cuts and tying the bales to the canyon walls with netting to reduce the wind velocity may help these areas fill with sand. Some low areas have filled in naturally through time. The one major breach occurring in the experimental dunes from Hurricane Allen (Fig. 13) occurred in a relatively high area in the dune ridge. This would suggest that changes in the beach and offshore zone during a storm may be more important than the dune crest elevation in determining the location of overwash events.

4. Shoreline Changes.

Hurricane activity has resulted in minimal long-term changes on the shoreline protected by the study dunes as evidenced by total sand volumes. However, immediately following a major hurricane, such as Hurricane Anita in 1977, 31.5 cubic meters per meter of beach was eroded from the beach segment of the study dunes (Table 2). A part of the eroded beach sand was deposited higher on the foredune segment, but most of it was transported seaward into the gulf (Figs. 8 to 12). The wave and tide action apparently redeposited this sand on the beach within a few months. Undoubtedly, Hurricane Allen transported even more sand from the beach into the gulf than Hurricane Anita, but the 7-month period between the hurricane and the survey allowed redeposition of most of the eroded beach sand (Table 6).

5. Naturally Formed Dunes versus Experimental Dunes.

In studies made over the past 10 years, the existing dunes that survived the hurricanes in the 1960's were not monitored. However, a survey was made on one cross section of a naturally formed dune in 1974 and remeasured in 1981 (Fig. 14). This cross section is about 91 meters north of the entrance to the beach from the Ranger Station access road. Also, the Padre Island National Seashore had a number of cross sections surveyed on north Padre Island on 3 August 1980, only a few days before Hurricane Allen. Although these latter measurements do not include the landward side of the dunes, they do provide a way to further estimate the meters accreted in naturally formed dunes. Remeasurement was made of three of the transects that ran perpendicular to the pedestrian segment of the beach, but having no vehicular use (Fig. 14; Table 7).

The 108-meter beach segment differed little in sand volume on experimental dune areas with 123 cubic meters per meter and natural dune areas




Figure 13. Longitudinal profiles along dune crests for experimental dunes and the unplanted control area.

Table 6. Distances from the east base line to MSL for the study locations with beach cross-sectional profiles.

Beach profile	Distance by survey date (m)					
	Mar. 1975	Aug. 1975	Mar. 1976	Aug. 1976	Sept. 1977	Mar. 1981
Unplanted natural area						
1 + 83 station	110	122	126	120	138	114
0 + 91 station	127	132	119	124	121	112
366-meter sea oats						
1 + 07 station	111	122	110	112	113	109
2 + 29 station	103	119	107	105	108	98
Dune-width extension						
0 + 64 station		93	102	92	110	91
335-meter bitter panicum						
0 + 96 station	101	97	89	103	111	102
2 + 06 station	100	100	119	108	104	92
366-meter bitter panicum						
1 + 07 station	88	105	106	101	105	95
1 + 98 station	104	100	106	101	102	109
Avg. (all stations)	105	111	109	108	113	102




Figure 14. Cross-sectional beach and foredune profiles for existing natural dunes.

Table 7. Sand volume for beach and foredune cross sections of existing naturally formed dunes.

NATURAL DUNES	Volume by survey date (m ³ /m)		
	1974	1980	1981
Beach segment (MSL to 108 meters)			
91 meters North of Ranger Station Access Road	152.5		137.7
Pedestrian Traffic - 18.3 meters South		154.5	132.9
Pedestrian Traffic - 2.3 kilometers South		143.0	131.4
Pedestrian Traffic - 2.6 kilometers South		142.0	136.5
Foredune segment (108 meters to 200 meters)			
91 meters North of Ranger Station Access Road	326.1		401.3
Pedestrian Traffic - 18.3 meters South		408.9	436.7
Pedestrian Traffic - 2.3 kilometers South		435.7	432.2
Pedestrian Traffic - 2.6 kilometers South		570.4	569.2
Total segment (MSL to 200 meters)			
91 meters North of Ranger Station Access Road	478.6		539.1
Pedestrian Traffic - 18.3 meters South		562.1	569.7
Pedestrian Traffic - 2.3 kilometers South		578.7	563.6
Pedestrian Traffic - 2.6 kilometers South		712.4	705.6

with 135 cubic meters per meter. However, the natural foredune had a volume of 459 cubic meters compared with only 258 cubic meters in the experimental dunes (Table 4). The annual rate of new sand accumulations to the beach and foredunes was about 9.3 cubic meters per meter of beach since 1974 on the dune near the Ranger Station access road, which is less than the 11.5 cubic meters per year being added to the experimental dune areas since 1975. Dune crests of natural dunes are no higher, about 7.6 to 8.2 meters MSL, than the experimental dunes resulting from grasses planted in 1969 to 1972. However, the natural dunes are much wider at the base. Plants becoming established naturally do not grow in parallel rows nor are they spaced as closely together as when planted by man. Consequently, sand is blown inland from the beach in and around pioneer plants, but much of it passes on through, accumulating over a broad area and extending 244 to 274 meters landward from MSL. The unplanted control area in the experimental dunes now has a sand floor for the newly forming dune 2.6 to 3.0 meters above MSL. The way dunes form naturally can be approximated from the data accumulated on the unplanted control section. Hurricane Allen caused erosion of the sand in front of this section, leaving the pioneer vegetation in line with the other naturally formed dunes. A new dune line is now distinguishable (Fig. 3) and crests are already 4.0 to 4.6 meters above MSL. This area is expected to take on a definite dune form within the next 2 to 5 years and it should have a relatively broad base. It appears that about 25 years (from Hurricane Carla in 1961) is required for an effective dune to reform naturally on north Padre Island. This would be true, however, only if no major storm occurred during the interim with sufficient energy to erode the newly forming foredunes. It is desirable to plan for a broad based dune at the outset for any dunes to be constructed from planted vegetation.

6. Coastal Vegetation.

a. Vegetation on Experimental Foredunes. In the experimental foredune plantings, Dahl and Goen (1977) reported sea oats and bitter panicum have spread seaward about 1.6 meters per year. Apparently, both species continue to spread at about the same rate.

Invasion of unplanted species into the experimental foredunes continues to be extremely slow. Gulf croton increased significantly only on the 366-meter sea oats dune (Table 8) and, except for occasional plants of beach groundcherry and beach morning glory, no other species have occurred even after 12 years.

Although many other species can tolerate salt spray, some protection from salt spray allows for better survival. The older planting (landward dune of the dune-width extension dune made in 1969 and 1970, Table 1), has invading plants of several other species (Table 8). The shelter provided by accumulating sand, resulting from the 1973 seaward planting of bitter panicum, has allowed establishment in the landward crest of the dune-width extension dune of prairie senna, beach evening primrose, beach morning glory, beach groundcherry, Corpus Christi fleabane (*Erigeron myrionactis*), and gulf croton. Trace amounts of several other species also occur.

On the unplanted control area, where a natural dune is reforming, pioneer plants are primarily beach morning glory and sea oats, with *Fimbristylis* spp., gulf croton, and beach evening primrose being common. An occasional bitter

Table 8. Importance values (IV) for common species (planted and invading) for experimental foredunes for 1975, 1976, and 1981. Values are the mean of seaward and landward transects except the last two columns show differences between species establishing on exposed and protected dunes.

	Unplanted natural area			366-meter Sea oats			Dune-width extension			335-meter Bitter panicum			366-meter Bitter panicum			Dune-width extension (1981)	
	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	Front Dune (seaward)	Back Dune (landward)
<i>Eragrostis oxylepis</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
<i>Eragrostis spectabilis</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Panicum amarum</i>	0	0	3	50	214	124	1410	3532	2648	1344	2437	1433	2716	4912	2410	2648	792
<i>Spartina patens</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Sporobolus virginicus</i>	1	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
<i>Uniola paniculata</i>	51	89	246	691	2035	854	0	2	0	36	80	7	4	14	32	0	816
<i>Fimbristylis</i> spp.	6	0	71	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Cassia fasciculata</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Eroton punctatus</i>	89	314	12	1	5	265	0	0	2	0	4	11	0	1	14	2	36
<i>Euphorbia ammunitoides</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
<i>Oenothera drummondii</i>	12	74	4	2	44	2	1	2	10	8	55	0	1	0	1	10	171
<i>Ipomoea pes-caprae</i>	9	4	2	1	5	0	0	1	0	7	7	1	1	0	0	0	0
<i>Ipomoea stolonifera</i>	799	1255	459	1	0	0	0	0	4	2	19	74	0	0	0	4	1005
<i>Physalis viscosa</i>	0	1	1	0	2	37	0	0	3	0	1	12	0	0	2	3	190
<i>Erigeron myrionactis</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	180

¹IV = product of percent frequency X percent coverage.

panicum plant occurs. Lack of a seed source probably relegates it to a secondary role in this area. Most of the bitter panicum commonly occurring in the experimental dune vicinity probably originated from imported planting materials, which came from south Padre and Mustang Islands.

b. Vegetation Behind (Landward) Experimental Foredunes. The most obvious difference between the unplanted, natural area landward of the normal dune line and that of the same area behind the experimental plantings is the vegetation density and cover. Because no well-defined dune existed on the unplanted area, Hurricane Allen redistributed much of the sand in the random patches of preexisting vegetation and sand from the backshore, spreading it landward over the area of the normal dune line. Thus, much of the existing vegetation was covered. The ground cover decreased from 28 percent in 1976 to 17 percent in 1981 (Table 9).

Because well-developed dunes exist from experimental plantings, Hurricane Allen transported sand inland only between dunes and at the breach in the 335-meter bitter panicum dune. Consequently, a well-developed grassland now exists landward of the experimental dunes with 56 percent ground cover, up from only 39 percent in 1976 (Table 9). The hurricane-deposited sand occurred only locally; therefore, it covered little vegetation. The area landward of the experimental dunes is relatively low in elevation and fresh rainwater tends to pond there, producing vegetation with a marshy-type component in the local low spots. Species, such as gulfdune paspalum, American bulrush (*Scirpus americanus*), *Fimbristylis* spp., largeleaf pennywort (*Hydrocotyle bonariensis*), coast brookweed (*Samolus ebracteatus*), sand rosegentian (*Sabatia arenicola*), and longleaf flaveria (*Flaveria oppositifolia*) occurred commonly in these lower areas (Table 10).

Sea oats, bitter panicum, and shoredune panicum (*Panicum amarulum*) invaded rapidly on this landward area following the experimental dune plantings in the early 1970's. Sea oats populations appear to have stabilized, but the *Panicum* species have increased substantially since 1976 (Table 10). Most of the *Panicum* appears to be bitter panicum, but the breakup of clumps of the bunch-type bitter panicum made exact identification difficult. Most of the plants encountered were judged to be bitter panicum. Bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*) and seashore dropseed were common in local areas in 1976 and had changed little overall by 1981. Saltmeadow cordgrass was common behind the 336-meter sea oats dune, but was mostly absent elsewhere. Red love grass (*Eragrostis oxylepis*) occurred occasionally in 1975-76, but was quite common in 1981. Also, purple love grass (*Eragrostis spectabilis*) was occasionally encountered. Behind all the experimental dunes prairie senna and Corpus Christi fleabane were abundant and had increased during 1976 (Table 10). The latter species occupied the less marshy or drier sites on the lowlands behind the experimental foredunes.

c. Vegetation in Front (Seaward) of the Experimental Foredunes. Hurricane Allen denuded essentially all the beach (including the backshore) back to the foredunes (Fig. 15). However, live shoots were common everywhere from perennial grass roots and rhizomes, particularly of sea oats and bitter panicum, adjacent to the experimental dunes. In addition, new shoots of saltmeadow cordgrass were common on the formerly vegetated beach of the "pedestrian only" area north of Malaquite Beach (Fig. 7). These new shoots

Table 9. The percent of coverage for all vegetation from transects measured at various locations in the five study areas for 1975, 1976, and 1981.

	Transect Location									
	On Foredune		Landward of Foredunes				On Foredune		Landward of Foredunes	
	Seaward	Landward	8 meters West	38 meters West	69 meters West	(average)	(average)	(average)	(average)	(average)
Unplanted Natural Area	75 76 81	75 76 81	75 76 81	75 76 81	75 76 81	75 76 81	75 76 81	75 76 81	75 76 81	75 76 81
	9 27 15	22 30 20	27 25 10	20 31 17	21 27 25	16 28 18	23 28 17			
366-meter Sea Oats	12 31 34	12 39 21	27 40 58	31 35 63	42 40 58	12 35 28	33 38 60			
Dune-Width Extension	21 52 37	17 40 31	15 18 54	28 71 54	30 57 45	19 46 34	24 49 51			
335-meter Bitter Panicum	23 36 31	19 45 24	17 42 61	23 33 63	31 42 63	21 40 28	23 39 62			
366-meter Bitter Panicum	28 61 51	28 41 29	17 24 57	12 18 53	25 44 43	28 51 40	18 29 51			
Average of Planted Dunes	21 45 38	19 41 26	19 31 58	23 39 58	32 46 42	20 43 32	24 39 56			

Table 10. Importance values¹ (IV) for common species becoming established within 69 meters of the planted dunes (landward) for 1975, 1976, and 1981.

	Unplanted natural area			366-meter Sea oats			Dune-width extension			335-meter Bitter panicum			366-meter Bitter panicum		
	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	1	1	0	46	7	0	7	2	1	0	1	23	0	0	2
<i>Eragrostis oxylepis</i>	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	17	0	0	120	0	0	68
<i>Panicum amarum</i>	0	0	9	93	123	473	52	7	116	61	134	231	101	84	194
<i>Paspalum monostachyum</i>	0	2	2	20	125	240	0	0	5	T ²	T	170	0	0	9
<i>Spartina patens</i>	0	0	6	0	3	188	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	3
<i>Sporobolus virginicus</i>	T	1	2	229	248	76	45	15	370	8	6	23	1	3	6
<i>Uniola paniculata</i>	1	T	0	21	57	14	43	93	118	110	281	105	138	204	241
<i>Fimbristylis</i> spp.	28	2	126	495	121	145	420	505	133	90	500	174	168	138	174
<i>Scirpus americanus</i>	13			230					199			41			14
<i>Cassia fasciculata</i>	1	109	17	10	37	21	6	55	228	239	170	768	24	87	557
<i>Croton punctatus</i>	283	166	7	3	1	1	2	2	0	6	1	6	10	7	2
<i>Oenothera drummondii</i>	217	155	31	91	131	4	260	210	49	203	332	230	186	236	166
<i>Hydrocotyle bonariensis</i>	1	2	13	341	148	327	9	13	49	3	15	78	0	1	24
<i>Samolus ebracteatus</i>	1	1	0	37	61	232	5	143	317	1	25	166	1	29	177
<i>Sabatia arenicola</i>	0	33	0	25	25	73	37	31	212	10	20	50	20	30	95
<i>Ipomoea stolonifera</i>	609	719	306	3	3	3	175	389	51	57	42	68	7	49	7
<i>Bacopa monnieri</i>	1	24	0	167	11	0	26	1	1	4	1	0	2	11	1
<i>Erigeron myrionactis</i>	1	27	0	6	5	79	18	7	320	3	76	234	2	25	324
<i>Flaveria oppositifolia</i>	0			125					430			86			12

¹ IV = product of percent frequency X percent coverage.

² T = less than 0.5 percent.



Figure 15. The bitter panicum dune (366 meters) in August 1980 showing a vertical cliff caused by Hurricane Allen.

appeared to be accumulating sand and a rapid recovery of both sand and vegetation on this section of Padre Island is anticipated.

In 1961 Hurricane Carla removed the sand to about 1.2 meters MSL on many north Padre Island areas and essentially eliminated all the plant roots and rhizomes. The 1969 plantings were made at 1.34 meters above MSL, 8 years after the hurricane. With current beach elevations near the normal 1.5 to 1.8 meters above MSL, and with much residual plant material, immediate and substantial sand trapping is expected in front of the existing natural and experimental dunes.

d. Midisland Dune Field. Bare dune fields activated, in part, early this century by overgrazing and drought migrate westward (landward) across Padre Island. The active dunes are so unstable that colonization by plants does not occur. However, after the dune migrates past a given point, it leaves behind a zone of moist sand about 1.5 to 1.8 meters above MSL, which is then rapidly colonized by vegetation (Figs. 16 and 17).

An area 91 by 46 meters, generally on the north side of the live oak motte, was sampled in the summer of 1973 (Dahl, et al., 1975). It was found that the most important colonizing species were common bermuda grass, red love grass, and species of *Cyperus* and *Juncus*. A resampling of this area was made in July 1981. To show plant successional trends from bare sand to a more mature grassland, samples of the area were made in 76-meter blocks, including an area of mostly bare sand immediately adjacent to the migrating sand dunes (Table 11). The current data, like that of the 1973 sampling, showed that five vegetative species were early colonizers: bermuda grass, red love grass, *Fimbristylis* spp., *Cyperus* spp., and needlepod rush (*Juncus scirpoides*). Vegetation covered only 2 to 3 percent of the sand surface of the 76 meters most recently abandoned by the migrating dune field.

The 76 meters farther east had 25 percent vegetation cover and about 11 more plant species. Additions to the list of early colonizers were seacoast bluestem, spike rush species (*Eleocharis* spp.), prairie senna, Corpus Christi fleabane, beach evening primrose, plains coreopsis (*Coreopsis tinctoria*), Texas ironweed (*Vernonia Texana*), Juniperleaf polypremum (*Polypremum procumbens*), and green carpet weed (*Mollugo verticillata*).

From 152 to 229 meters away from the bare dunes, vegetation cover increased to 42 percent, and 25 species were encountered. Between 229 and 305 meters away from the migrating dunes, the vegetation ground cover increased to 56 percent with 21 species encountered; nine of them dominated the composition. They were: seacoast bluestem, gulfdune paspalum, *Paspalum* spp., (*Panicum oligosanthos*), red love grass, needlepod rush, prairie senna, camphor weed (*Heterotheca pilosa*), and Corpus Christi fleabane. As the vegetation community became more mature bermuda grass disappeared from the composition (Table 11).

Depressions holding water for longer periods after rainfall had primarily: American bulrush, spikerush, waterhyssop (*Bacopa monnieri*), green carpet weed, and frogfruit (*Phyla incisa*).

During the 8 years from the summer of 1973 to 1981, the bare dune field had migrated about 213 meters landward (west-northwest). Barring a severe



1969



1972



1974



1981

Figure 16. Stabilization of a midisland bare dune field between 1969 and 1981. Note the live oak mottes in dune field in 1969.



Aerial view of bare dune field
in 1969.



Revegetation that occurred by 1974.



1981 photos of the same general area.

Figure 17. Stabilization of a midisland bare dune field between 1969 and 1981.

Table 11. Importance values¹ (IV) for plants occurring on a midisland area, recently vacated by a migrating bare dune field.

	Distance from Bare Dune Field (m)			
	0 - 76	76 - 152	152 - 229	229 - 305
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	5	190	135	4
<i>Eragrostis oxylepis</i>	8	376	82	144
<i>Panicum oligosanthos</i>	0	0	1	116
<i>Paspalum monostachyum</i>	0	0	10	426
<i>Paspalum</i> spp.	0	1	2	92
<i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i>	0	28	1219	1329
<i>Cyperus</i> spp.	2	22	199	8
<i>Eleocharis albida</i>	0	23	641	18
<i>Eleocharis parvula</i>	0	10	6	59
<i>Fimbristylis</i> spp.	115	0	9	40
<i>Juncus scirpoidea</i>	1	14	144	265
<i>Scirpus americanus</i>	0	0	16	0
<i>Bacopa monnieri</i>	0	61	183	8
<i>Baptisia leucophaea</i>	0	0	1	36
<i>Cassia fasciculata</i>	0	118	9	913
<i>Conyza canadensis</i>	0	0	0	9
<i>Coreopsis tinctoria</i>	0	5	1	0
<i>Erigeron myrionactis</i>	0	8	2	30
<i>Heterotheca pilosa</i>	0	0	1	105
<i>Hydrocotyle bonariensis</i>	0	0	1	0
<i>Linum alatum</i>	0	2	1	17
<i>Mollugo verticellata</i>	0	1	8	0
<i>Oenothera drummondii</i>	0	22	0	0
<i>Polypremum procumbens</i>	0	64	6	15
<i>Phyla incisa</i>	0	0	12	0
<i>Sisyrinchium biforme</i>	0	0	1	0
<i>Vernonia texana</i>	0	20	155	1
Vegetation Cover (percent)	3	24	42	56

¹ IV = product of percent frequency X percent coverage.

drought, pioneer plant species are colonizing to such an extent that in a relatively few years the large dune field that existed in the 1960's on the Laguna Madre side of Padre Island will disappear. This rapid revegetation is possible because the north end of Padre Island National Seashore is no longer grazed by livestock and recreational use is limited to managed areas.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Hurricane Allen's impact on north Padre Island dunes was confined primarily to eroding the face of both the natural and experimental dunes leaving vertical cliffs. It breached only one experimental dune, the 335-meter bitter panicum dune. During Hurricane Allen part of the eroded sand from the beach was transported farther inland around the ends of existing dunes or through breaches in dunes. Also, much of the beach sand was transported temporarily into the gulf. Apparently, the sand deposited in the gulf was quickly redeposited on the beach, as the cross-sectional surveys revealed a near-normal beach elevation 7 months after the storm.

The hurricane's impact on north Padre Island beaches appeared much less severe than previous major hurricanes, such as Hurricane Carla and Hurricane Beulah in the 1960's. This conclusion was reached because elevations in 1969 on the backshore, where the experimental dune plantings were made, were only 1.4 meters above MSL. Similar locations 7 months after Hurricane Allen had elevations of more than 1.5 meters above MSL.

Sand accumulating on the beach and foredune 199 meters (distance inland) continues to accumulate at about 11.5 cubic meters per linear meter of beach, which is near the rate reported by Dahl and Goen (1977) for the 1975-76 monitoring period. Both the natural and experimental dunes continue to widen 1.8 to 2.4 meters per year. The base widths of all the experimental dunes now exceed 30 meters (elevations 2.4 meters above MSL), which may not withstand the erosion attributed to Hurricane Carla in 1961 when the natural dunes of this width were destroyed. However, these experimental dunes would be more than adequate to withstand major hurricanes comparable to Hurricane Allen. Naturally formed dunes have basal widths more than 76 meters. Apparently the dune-width extension dune, with an initial 15-meter planting in 1969, followed in 1973 by another 15-meter planting seaward, can provide an effective barrier to hurricane erosion. This dune width is now 50 meters compared with only 30 to 40 meters for dunes resulting from a single planting.

Naturally forming dunes, such as the unplanted control area monitored, will require a 25-year storm-free interval to provide protection equivalent to the double width experimental dune.

Invasion of unplanted species into the experimental foredunes continues to be extremely slow due to the rapid sand accretion and plant vulnerability to salt spray. For example, the back (landward) dune of the dune-width extension planting (Table 9) had 10 species compared with essentially the planted species on the front (seaward) dune. The ground cover was much greater when protected from salt spray (80 percent versus 34 percent on the back and front dunes, respectively). This is further evident by noting the well-developed grassland landward of the foredunes. The ground cover averages 56 percent behind the experimental foredunes with 18 species commonly occurring. The unplanted control area did not have the protection of a

well-developed foredune ridge, nor did it have the depression landward of the dune providing the mesic habitat favorable to the species more commonly found behind the dunes resulting from grass plantings. Only nine species were common and ground cover averaged only 17 percent. Because the foredune ridge was not well formed, the sand deposition was greater in this area and also covered much of the prehurricane vegetation.

A midisland bare dune field migrating toward Laguna Madre continues to move at about 27 meters annually. Although plant succession on beach foredunes occurs slowly, rapid plant succession is taking place here. Early colonizers are bermuda grass, red love grass, and species of *Juncus* and *Cyperus*. Species more indicative of a mature grassland, such as seacoast bluestem, soon follow. Apparently, this rapid successional advance is possible due to lack of cattle grazing, minimal recreational disturbance, reestablishment of beach foredunes, and the absence of salt spray. At the current rate of revegetation, this bare dune field should entirely disappear within a relatively few years.

LITERATURE CITED


- BEHRENS, E.W., WATSON, R.L., and MASON, C., "Hydraulics and Dynamics of New Corpus Christi Pass, Texas: A Case History, 1972-73," GITI Report 8, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., and U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss., Jan. 1977.
- BROWN, L.F., et al., "Environmental Geologic Atlas of the Texas Coastal Zone - Corpus Christi Area," University of Texas, Austin, Tex., 1976.
- CARR, J.T., JR., "Texas Droughts: Causes, Classification, and Prediction," Report No. 30, Texas Water Development Board, Austin, Tex., Nov. 1966.
- CHANEY, A., WILLIGES, G., and TAYLOR, E., "An Ecological and Sedimentary Study of Padre Island National Seashore," Special Report, Biology, Texas A&I, Kingsville for Padre Island National Seashore, National Park Service, 1980.
- DAHL, B.E. and GOEN, J.P., "Monitoring of Foredunes on Padre Island, Texas," MR 77-8, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., July 1977.
- DAHL, B.E., et al., "Construction and Stabilization of Coastal Foredunes with Vegetation: Padre Island, Texas," MP 9-75, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., Sept. 1975.
- DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, "Local Climatological Data. Annual Summary with Comparative Data," Corpus Christi, Tex., 1970.


APPENDIX A


DETAILED DIAGRAM OF NORTH PADRE ISLAND STUDY PLOTS


Because the cross-section locations are the same as the surveys made from 1975 to 1977, the same plot diagram is included as Appendix A as given in Miscellaneous Report No. 77-8 (Dahl and Goen, 1977).

Beach profiles are measured from 0 MSL to the East Base Line and the indicated number on each profile is the total distance to the East Base Line. The dashline shows the 30 meters seaward of the grass extension for each profile at the time of the 1976 survey. The solid line shows the length of the 1976 measured cross section across the unplanted area, the 366-meter sea oats dune, and the 366-meter bitter panicum dune. For the dune-width extension and the 335-meter bitter panicum dune, the solid line shows the 1976 distance to the back of the dune only.









APPENDIX B

VEGETATION FREQUENCY AND COVER ALONG FIVE TRANSECTS IN THE STUDY DUNES AND
NEAR REMNANT LIVE OAK MOTTE NORTHWEST OF PADRE ISLAND RANGER STATION.

Table B-1. Percent frequency for foreslope of foredune.

	Unplanted Control			366-meter Sea Oats			Dune Width			335-meter Bitter Panicum			366-meter Bitter Panicum		
	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81
Gramineae															
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>															
<i>Eragrostis oxylepis</i>															
<i>Eragrostis spectabilis</i>															
<i>Panicum amarum</i>					8	13	100	98	95	88	93	78	100	100	92
<i>Panicum amarulum</i>															
<i>Paspalum monostachyum</i>															
<i>Spartina patens</i>		3	2			13									
<i>Sporobolus virginicus</i>		10													
<i>Uniola paniculata</i>	22	37	70	97	97	83		3	8	15	17	7	7	10	18
Cyperaceae															
<i>Cyperus esculentus</i>															
<i>Eleocharis alida</i>															
<i>Eleocharis caribaea</i>															
<i>Eleocharis parvula</i>															
<i>Eleocharis</i> spp.															
<i>Fimbristylis caroliniana</i>	8														
<i>Fimbristylis castanea</i>	12		2			2									
<i>Scirpus americanus</i>															
Leguminosae															
<i>Baptisia leucophaea</i>															
<i>Cassia fasciculata</i>															
Euphorbiaceae															
<i>Croton capitatus</i>															
<i>Croton punctatus</i>	32	42	8		5	40						10		2	8
<i>Euphorbia ammannioides</i>		10			2	3									2
Onagraceae															
<i>Oenothera drummondii</i>		20	5					2	3						
Umbelliferae															
<i>Hydrocotyle bonariensis</i>															
Primulaceae															
<i>Samolus ebracteatus</i>															
Gentianaceae															
<i>Eustoma exaltatum</i>															
<i>Sabatia arenicola</i>		5													
Convolvulaceae															
<i>Ipomoea pes-caprae</i>	10	5	5		8			2		13	12	4	2		
<i>Ipomoea stolonifera</i>	82	100	53	3								22			
Solanaceae															
<i>Physalis viscosa</i>					7			3		3					
Scrophulariaceae															
<i>Bacopa monnieri</i>		5													
Compositae															
<i>Erigeron myrionactis</i>															
<i>Senecio riddellii</i>															
<i>Flaveria oppositifolia</i>															
Verbenaceae															
<i>Phyla incisa</i>															

Table B-2. Percent cover for foreslope of foredune.

	Unplanted Control			366-meter Sea Oats			Dune Width			335-meter Bitter Panicum			366-meter Bitter Panicum		
	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81
Gramineae															
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>															
<i>Eragrostis oxylepis</i>															
<i>Eragrostis spectabilis</i>															
<i>Panicum amarum</i>				1	3		21	51	35	22	32	23	27	59	38
<i>Panicum amarulum</i>															
<i>Paspalum monostachyum</i>															
<i>Spartina patens</i>		T	T			1									
<i>Sporobolus virginicus</i>		T													
<i>Uniola paniculata</i>	1	4	7	12	27	16		T	T	1	2	1	T	2	3
Cyperaceae															
<i>Cyperus esculentus</i>															
<i>Eleocharis alida</i>															
<i>Eleocharis caribaea</i>															
<i>Eleocharis parvula</i>															
<i>Eleocharis</i> spp.															
<i>Fimbristylis caroliniana</i>	T														
<i>Fimbristylis castanea</i>	T		T			T									
<i>Scirpus americanus</i>															
Leguminosae															
<i>Baptisia leucophaea</i>															
<i>Cassia fasciculata</i>															
Euphorbiaceae															
<i>Croton capitatus</i>															
<i>Croton punctatus</i>	3	7	2		2	13						2		1	3
<i>Euphorbia ammaniioides</i>		T			T	T									T
Onagraceae															
<i>Oenothera drummondii</i>	T	2	T					T	T						
Umbelliferae															
<i>Hydrocotyle bonariensis</i>															
Primulaceae															
<i>Samolus ebracteatus</i>															
Gentianaceae															
<i>Eustoma exaltatum</i>															
<i>Sabatia arenicola</i>		T													
Convolvulaceae															
<i>Ipomoea pes-caprae</i>	T	T	T		1			T		1	1	T	T		
<i>Ipomoea stolonifera</i>	5	13	6	T								4			
Solanaceae															
<i>Physalis viscosa</i>					2				T		T				
Scrophulariaceae															
<i>Bacopa monnieri</i>		T													
Compositae															
<i>Erigeron myrionactis</i>															
<i>Senecio riddellii</i>															
<i>Flaveria oppositifolia</i>															
Verbenaceae															
<i>Phyla incisa</i>									T						

Table B-3. Percent frequency for backslope of foredune.

	Unplanted Control			366-meter Sea Oats			Dune Width			335-meter Bitter Panicum			366-meter Bitter Panicum			
	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	
Gramineae																
Cynodon dactylon																
Eragrostis oxylepis																
Eragrostis spectabilis																
Panicum amarum				5	32	35	30	72	78	73	85	83	67	98	98	63
Panicum amarulum																
Paspalum monostachyum			3													
Spartina patens			13	17			3	3	2	3						
Sporobolus virginicus	2		5	2						3						
Uniola paniculata	28	20	2	65	75	38	53	53			33	30	7		8	10
Cyperaceae																
Cyperus esculentus																
Eleocharis alida																
Eleocharis caribaea																
Eleocharis parvula																
Eleocharis spp.																
Fimbristylis caroliniana	2															
Fimbristylis castanea	5		35				2									
Scirpus americanus																
Leguminosae																
Baptisia leucophaea																
Cassia fasciculata	2	10						8	2		28	25				
Euphorbiaceae																
Croton capitatus																
Croton punctatus	28	50	7	2	5	10				5		5	2			3
Euphorbia ammannioides			3		22	7	5	2			17	8				3
Onagraceae																
Oenothera drummondii	12	37		8	18	2	2	2	8	18	25		5			2
Umbelliferae																
Hydrocotyle bonariensis																
Primulaceae																
Samolus ebracteatus																
Gentianaceae																
Eustoma exaltatum																
Sabatia arenicola			12		2										3	
Convolvulaceae																
Ipomoea pes-caprae	8	2		2	2							2				
Ipomoea stolonifera	88	98	60							8	7	21	20			
Solanaceae																
Physalis viscosa			2	2		3	20			3			12			5
Scrophulariaceae																
Bacopa monnieri			3													
Compositae																
Erigeron myrionactis			3			3										
Senecio riddellii																
Flaveria oppositifolia																
Verbenaceae																
Phyla incisa																

Table B-4. Percent cover for backslope of foredune.

	Unplanted Control			366-meter Sea Oats			Dune Width			335-meter Bitter Panicum			366-meter Bitter Panicum		
	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81
Gramineae															
Cynodon dactylon															
Eragrostis oxylepis															
Eragrostis spectabilis															
Panicum amarum			T	3	12	7	10	26	27	11	23	16	28	40	21
Panicum amarulum															
Paspalum monostachyum		T													
Spartina patens		1	3		T	T	T	T							
Sporobolus virginicus	T	T	T						T						
Uniola paniculata	3	1	T	4	20	10	6	13		2	5	1		1	1
Cyperaceae															
Cyperus esculentus															
Eleocharis alida															
Eleocharis caribaea															
Eleocharis parvula															
Eleocharis spp.															
Fimbristylis caroliniana	T														
Fimbristylis castanea	T		4			T									
Scirpus americanus															
Leguminosae															
Baptisia leucophaea															
Cassia fasciculata		4					1	T		4	9				
Euphorbiaceae															
Croton capitatus															
Croton punctatus	3	7	1	1	T	1			T		1	T			3
Euphorbia ammannioides		T		4	1	T	T			1	1				T
Onagraceae															
Oenothera drummondii	2	3		T	5	T	1	1	2	1	4		T		T
Umbelliferae															
Hydrocotyle bonariensis															
Primulaceae															
Samolus ebracteatus															
Gentianaceae															
Eustoma exaltatum															
Sabatia arenicola		1		T										T	
Convolvulaceae															
Ipomoea pes-caprae	1	T		T	T						T				
Ipomoea stolonifera	13	12	10						T	T	2	3			
Solanaceae															
Physalis viscosa		T	T		T	3			T			2			T
Scrophulariaceae															
Bacopa monnieri		T													
Compositae															
Erigeron myrionactis		T			1										
Senecio riddellii															
Flaveria oppositifolia															
Verbenaceae															
Phyla incisa															

Table B-5. Percent frequency for the back crest of the dune width extension dune.

	Unplanted Control			366-meter Sea Oats			Dune Width			335-meter Bitter Panicum			366-meter Bitter Panicum		
	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81
Gramineae															
Cynodon dactylon															
Eragrostis oxylepis									5						
Eragrostis spectabilis									55						
Panicum amarum									72						
Panicum amarulum															
Paspalum monostachyum															
Spartina patens									2						
Sporobolus virginicus															
Uniola paniculata									68						
Cyperaceae															
Cyperus esculentus															
Eleocharis alida															
Eleocharis caribaea															
Eleocharis parvula															
Eleocharis spp.															
Fimbristylis caroliniana															
Fimbristylis castanea															
Scirpus americanus															
Leguminosae															
Baptisia leucophaea															
Cassia fasciculata									43						
Euphorbiaceae															
Croton capitatus															
Croton punctatus									12						
Euphorbia ammannioides									10						
Onagraceae															
Oenothera drummondii									53						
Umbelliferae															
Hydrocotyle bonariensis															
Primulaceae															
Samolus ebracteatus															
Gentianaceae															
Eustoma exaltatum															
Sabatia arenicola															
Convolvulaceae															
Ipomoea pes-caprae															
Ipomoea stolonifera									67						
Solanaceae															
Physalis viscosa									38						
Scrophulariaceae															
Bacopa monnieri															
Compositae															
Erigeron myrionactis									30						
Senecio riddellii															
Flaveria oppositifolia															
Verbenaceae															
Phyla incisa															

Table B-6. Percent cover for the back crest of the dune width extension dune.

	Unplanted Control			366-meter Sea Oats			Dune Width			335-meter Bitter Panicum			366-meter Bitter Panicum		
	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81
Gramineae															
Cynodon dactylon															
Eragrostis oxylepis									T						
Eragrostis spectabilis									T						
Panicum amarum									11						
Panicum amarulum															
Paspalum monostachyum															
Spartina patens									3						
Sporobolus virginicus															
Uniola paniculata									12						
Cyperaceae															
Cyperus esculentus															
Eleocharis alida															
Eleocharis caribaea															
Eleocharis parvula															
Eleocharis spp.															
Fimbristylis caroliniana															
Fimbristylis castanea															
Scirpus americanus															
Leguminosae															
Baptisia leucophaea															
Cassia fasciculata									11						
Euphorbiaceae															
Croton capitatus															
Croton punctatus									3						
Euphorbia ammannioides									T						
Onagraceae															
Oenothera drummondii									7						
Umbelliferae															
Hydrocotyle bonariensis															
Primulaceae															
Samolus ebracteatus															
Gentianaceae															
Eustoma exaltatum															
Sabatia arenicola															
Convolvulaceae															
Ipomoea pes-caprae															
Ipomoea stolonifera									15						
Solanaceae															
Physalis viscousa									5						
Scrophulariaceae															
Bacopa monnieri															
Compositae															
Erigeron myrionactis									6						
Senecio riddellii															
Flaveria oppositifolia															
Verbenaceae															
Phyla incisa															

Table B-7. Percent frequency for area 7.6 meters bayward of foredune.

	Unplanted Control			366-meter Sea Oats			Dune Width			335-meter Bitter Panicum			366-meter Bitter Panicum		
	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81
Gramineae															
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>		2		35	5									15	
<i>Eragrostis oxylepis</i>						18		18				33		33	
<i>Eragrostis spectabilis</i>						3		5				8			
<i>Panicum amarum</i>			10	50	58	68	30	15	38	38	55	63	48	48	65
<i>Panicum amarulum</i>		3							8				3		
<i>Paspalum monostachyum</i>		7	3		3	68						13			
<i>Spartina patens</i>			13			33									
<i>Sporobolus virginicus</i>	10	12	3	43	63	45	5	5	45	8	3	23	3	10	8
<i>Uniola paniculata</i>	5	7			23		15	18	13	20	10	15	28	23	20
Cyperaceae															
<i>Cyperus esculentus</i>							3	3	3						
<i>Eleocharis alida</i>		3			35										
<i>Eleocharis caribaea</i>							40	3		5	5				
<i>Eleocharis parvula</i>					30										
<i>Eleocharis</i> spp.				35											
<i>Fimbristylis caroliniana</i>	40			8		5	5	3	3			25			2
<i>Fimbristylis castanea</i>	13	5	65	78	40	45	75	80	48	40	53	40	18	58	23
<i>Scirpus americanus</i>			5			15									2
Leguminosae															
<i>Baptisia leucophaea</i>										3					
<i>Cassia fasciculata</i>		17					3	5	18	8	25	50	3	5	33
Euphorbiaceae															
<i>Croton capitatus</i>															
<i>Croton punctatus</i>	35	30	8		3		5	3		8	5	5	5		2
<i>Euphorbia ammannioides</i>	10	3		8	3				5			3			
Onagraceae															
<i>Oenothera drummondii</i>	40	42		8	13		20	23	33	50	53	40	33	23	30
Umbelliferae															
<i>Hydrocotyle bonariensis</i>		3		55	85	55	15	20	40	5	20	18		3	30
Primulaceae															
<i>Samolus ebracteatus</i>	8	3		75	20	75		3	40	3	13	40		23	55
Gentianaceae															
<i>Eustoma exaltatum</i>		3		25		13			3	8			3		
<i>Sabatia arenicola</i>		38		10	25	70	5	30	68	20	20	40	40	18	55
Convolvulaceae															
<i>Ipomoea pes-caprae</i>	5	2		15	3					5	3		10	5	
<i>Ipomoea stolonifera</i>	98	95	33			3	23	68	43	28	43	33	8	28	8
Solanaceae															
<i>Physalis viscosa</i>			3	3	8	3			3			10		3	13
Scrophulariaceae															
<i>Bacopa monnieri</i>	3	17		70	25		3	13		5	5		10	28	2
Compositae															
<i>Erigeron myrionactis</i>		30			3	23			63	3		48		13	45
<i>Senecio riddellii</i>															
<i>Flaveria oppositifolia</i>					42				38			15			
Verbenaceae															
<i>Phyla incisa</i>		3			8							8			

Table B-8. Percent cover for area 7.6-meters bayward of foredune.

	Unplanted Control			366-meter Sea Oats			Dune Width			335-meter Bitter Panicum			366-meter Bitter Panicum		
	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81
Gramineae															
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>		T		2	T										2
<i>Eragrostis oxylepis</i>						2			T				1		3
<i>Eragrostis spectabilis</i>						T			T				T		
<i>Panicum amarum</i>			2	5	5	13	5	1	5	5	7	9	6	5	8
<i>Panicum amarulum</i>		1							2				2		
<i>Paspalum monostachyum</i>		1	T	T		6						1			
<i>Spartina patens</i>			1			13									
<i>Sporobolus virginicus</i>	T	T	T	2	6	4	T	T	4	T	T	2	T	1	T
<i>Uniola paniculata</i>	T	T			5		2	3	3	3	2	1	5	7	5
Cyperaceae															
<i>Cyperus esculentus</i>							T	T	T						
<i>Eleocharis alida</i>		T			2										
<i>Eleocharis caribaea</i>							T	T			1	T			
<i>Eleocharis parvula</i>					2										
<i>Eleocharis</i> spp.				T											
<i>Fimbristylis caroliniana</i>	1			T		T	T	T	T			2			2
<i>Fimbristylis castanea</i>	2	T	3	5	4	3	2	4	2	2	6	2	T	4	T
<i>Scirpus americanus</i>			T			T									T
Leguminosae															
<i>Baptisia leucophaea</i>											T				
<i>Cassia fasciculata</i>		3					T	1	3	T	11	19	T	1	13
Euphorbiaceae															
<i>Croton capitatus</i>															
<i>Croton punctatus</i>	4	1	T		T		T	T		T	T	2	T		T
<i>Euphorbia ammannioides</i>	T	T		1	T				T			T			
Onagraceae															
<i>Oenothera drummondii</i>	5	3		T	3		3	2	3	2	4	4	2	1	5
Umbelliferae															
<i>Hydrocotyle bonariensis</i>		T		5	4	2	2	1	3	1	2	2		T	2
Primulaceae															
<i>Samolus ebracteatus</i>	T	T		1	1	7		T	7	T	3	6		T	7
Gentianaceae															
<i>Eustoma exaltatum</i>		T		T		T			T	T				T	
<i>Sabatia arenicola</i>		1		T	T	2	T	1	5	T	T	1	T	1	2
Convolvulaceae															
<i>Ipomoea pes-caprae</i>	1	T		1	T					T	T			T	T
<i>Ipomoea stolonifera</i>	12	9	3			T	1	5	3	3	3	2	1	3	T
Solanaceae															
<i>Physalis viscosa</i>			T	T	1	T			T			T		T	1
Scrophulariaceae															
<i>Bacopa monnieri</i>	T	3		3	1		T	T		T	T		T	1	T
Compositae															
<i>Erigeron myrionactis</i>		2			T	T			7	T		5		1	4
<i>Senecio riddellii</i>															
<i>Flaveria oppositifolia</i>					3				5			T			
Verbenaceae															
<i>Phyla incisa</i>					2										

Table B-9. Percent frequency for area 38.1-meters bayward of foredune.

	Unplanted Control			366-meter Sea Oats			Dune Width			335-meter Bitter Panicum			366-meter Bitter Panicum		
	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81
Gramineae															
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	3	2		18	3					3	23				10
<i>Eragrostis oxylepis</i>						20			20			23			25
<i>Eragrostis spectabilis</i>						5			28			23			
<i>Panicum amarum</i>			3	13	30	48	5		35	25	5	35	3	5	18
<i>Panicum amarulum</i>				30	8	5			8						2
<i>Paspalum monostachyum</i>				25	40	28						3			
<i>Spartina patens</i>						5	18						3	3	8
<i>Sporobolus virginicus</i>		5		75	63	20	25	38	40	23	15			3	
<i>Uniola paniculata</i>				25	20	10	23	28	45	20	30	30	48	60	53
Cyperaceae															
<i>Cyperus esculentus</i>															
<i>Eleocharis alida</i>					15			10							
<i>Eleocharis caribaea</i>					28						33			18	
<i>Eleocharis parvula</i>		3						5		3				10	30
<i>Eleocharis spp.</i>				18					3			8			
<i>Fimbristylis caroliniana</i>	15	2		35	3	5	30		43	5		20	8		35
<i>Fimbristylis castanea</i>	23	2	45	68	45	38	85	70	53	45	85	45	35	50	48
<i>Scirpus americanus</i>			15			43			15			8			
Leguminosae															
<i>Baptisia leucophaea</i>															
<i>Cassia fasciculata</i>	5	27		5	5	13	15	25	63	25	20	48	3	15	68
Euphorbiaceae															
<i>Croton capitatus</i>								3							
<i>Croton punctatus</i>	43	48	3	10	5	3	10	5		10			13	8	
<i>Euphorbia ammannioides</i>		3		3	5	3		3							2
Onagraceae															
<i>Oenothera drummondii</i>	40	67		45	55	8	80	65	38	45	40	53	45	58	58
Umbelliferae															
<i>Hydrocotyle bonariensis</i>	8	2		73	45	58			23	5	25	33			10
Primulaceae															
<i>Samolus ebracteatus</i>		3		18	33	28	10	33	70	13	23	28		3	38
Gentianaceae															
<i>Eustoma exaltatum</i>		20		20		15	26	3	15	20	10			3	
<i>Sabatia arenicola</i>	3	30		28	18	25	65	30	75	15	20	28	20	50	53
Convolvulaceae															
<i>Ipomoea pes-caprae</i>	13	3		8	3			5		23	3		30	28	15
<i>Ipomoea stolonifera</i>	53	95	40	13	13	5	75	75	15	5	3	30	3	8	5
Solanaceae															
<i>Physalis viscosa</i>		2			5			8			3	8			15
Scrophulariaceae															
<i>Bacopa monnieri</i>	3	7		28	5		28	5		10	10		10	10	
Compositae															
<i>Erigeron myrionactis</i>	3	13		18	5	35	10	15	80	10	25	28		8	58
<i>Senecio riddellii</i>															
<i>Flaveria oppositifolia</i>						35			58			23			
Verbenaceae															
<i>Phyla incisa</i>								3				3			

Table B-10. Percent cover for area 38.1-meters bayward of foredune.

	Unplanted Control			366-meter Sea Oats			Dune Width			335-meter Bitter Panicum			366-meter Bitter Panicum		
	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81
Gramineae															
Cynodon dactylon	T	T		T	T						T	3			T
Eragrostis oxylepis						3			2			3			1
Eragrostis spectabilis						T			5			3			
Panicum amarum			T	T	2	10	1		3	1	T	3	T	T	2
Panicum amarulum				3	3	T		1							T
Paspalum monostachyum				2	5	4						T			
Spartina patens					T	3							T	T	T
Sporobolus virginicus		T		3	5	T	T	1	3	1	1			T	
Uniola paniculata				2	3	4	5	8	5	2	7	7	6	6	10
Cyperaceae															
Cyperus esculentus															
Eleocharis alida					T			1							
Eleocharis caribaea					3						T			T	
Eleocharis parvula		T						T		1				T	T
Eleocharis spp.				1					T			T			T
Fimbristylis caroliniana	1	T		1	T	T	1		1	T			1	1	2
Fimbristylis castanea	T	T	4	4	3	5	4	9	2	2	6	2	T	2	2
Scirpus americanus			2			8			2			T			
Leguminosae															
Baptisia leucophaea															
Cassia fasciculata		8		1	1	2	1	6	10	5	1	16	T	1	14
Euphorbiaceae															
Croton capitatus							T								
Croton punctatus	7	6	T	1	T	T	T	1		2			1	1	
Euphorbia ammannioides		T		T	T	T		T							T
Onagraceae															
Oenothera drummondii	4	4		6	3	T	7	9	1	3	2	6	3	5	3
Umbelliferae															
Hydrocotyle bonariensis	T	T		4	1	10			T	T	T	4			T
Primulaceae															
Samolus ebracteatus		T		T	1	3	T	9	5	T	1	3		T	2
Gentianaceae															
Eustoma exaltatum		1		T		T	T	T	T	T	T			T	
Sabatia arenicola	T	1		T	T	2	1	2	2	T	T	T	T	1	2
Convolvulaceae															
Ipomoea pes-caprae	2	T		T	T			T		T	T		2	1	T
Ipomoea stolonifera	6	8	10	T	T	T	6	11	T	1	T	4	T	T	T
Solanaceae															
Physalis viscosa		T			T				T		T	2			2
Scrophulariaceae															
Bacopa monnieri	T	T		1	T		1	T		1	T		T	T	
Compositae															
Erigeron myrionactis	T	T		1	T	2	T	1	6	1	2	3		T	4
Senecio riddellii															
Flaveria oppositifolia						2			5			1			
Verberaceae															
Phyla incisa									T			T			

Table B-11. Percent frequency for area 68.6-meters bayward of foredune.

	Unplanted Control			366-meter Sea Oats			Dune Width			335-meter Bitter Panicum			366-meter Bitter Panicum		
	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81
Gramineae															
Cynodon dactylon				50	13		20	5	3						5
Eragrostis oxylepis						8			10				58		45
Eragrostis spectabilis			8			3							13		43
Panicum amarum			3	15	20	18		3	18			13	20	3	13
Panicum amarulum					13	13			20				8	8	3
Paspalum monostachyum			3	8	35	50			15	3	3	45			13
Spartina patens			5		3	20				5					
Sporobolus virginicus			3	75	40	28	65		90	5	18	23	10	10	10
Uniola paniculata				3	8	3	20		8	45	58	30	15	30	28
Cyperaceae															
Cyperus esculentus			8												
Eleocharis alida			8			13								3	
Eleocharis caribaea			20			38			33			25			
Eleocharis parvula			8			3			18						
Eleocharis spp.				15		15			5				15		18
Fimbristylis caroliniana	23	5	3	33		15	15		5	30			63		2
Fimbristylis castanea	8			88	35	8	88	80	30	43	83	33	30	33	48
Scirpus americanus			5			55			63				38		18
Leguminosae															
Baptisia leucophaea						3									
Cassia fasciculata			20	13	8	35	18		10	45	43	65	13	48	58
Euphorbiaceae															
Croton capitatus						3			5				8		
Croton punctatus	58	43	10	8						15			8	20	15
Euphorbia ammannioides			3		3	3			3			3			
Oncraceae															
Oenothera drummondii	48	45	23	28	38	5	43	23	10	73	83	53	65	65	58
Umbelliferae															
Hydrocotyle bonariensis			10	13	75	58	58	8	33	3	20	18	33		3
Primulaceae															
Samolus ebracteatus			3		13	43	43	28	48	80		28	58	5	28
Gentianaceae															
Eustoma exaltatum			20		55	5	20	28	3	13	8	3	20	3	8
Sabatia arenicola			28		48	40	30	43	5	73		23	55	12	28
Convolvulaceae															
Ipomoea pes-caprae	5	25								3	3			8	5
Ipomoea stolonifera	55	73	60	8	8			28	23	10	13	8	18	4	28
Solanaceae															
Physalis viscosa			8	20	3					5	5	15	8		10
Scrophulariaceae															
Bacopa monnieri			13		53	5		55		3	8	13		10	
Compositae															
Erigeron myrionactis			28			20	48	43	10	40	10	60	63	8	30
Senecio riddellii															
Flaveria oppositifolia						45				90			55		18
Verbenaceae															
Phyla incisa			8			10	10				3		8		2

Table B-12. Percent cover for area 68.6 meters bayward of foredune.

	Unplanted Control			366-meter Sea Oats			Dune Width			335-meter Bitter Panicum			366-meter Bitter Panicum		
	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81	75	76	81
Gramineae															
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>				1	1		T	T	T						T
<i>Eragrostis oxylepis</i>						T			T				5		4
<i>Eragrostis spectabilis</i>			2			T							2		4
<i>Panicum amarum</i>			T	1	1	3		T	3		1	T	T		2
<i>Panicum amarulum</i>					3	10			4				T	T	T
<i>Paspalum monostachyum</i>			T	T	5	4			T	T	T	11			2
<i>Spartina patens</i>			T		T	4				T					
<i>Sporobolus virginicus</i>			T	5	2	T	2		9	T	T	1	T	T	T
<i>Uniola paniculata</i>				T	1	T	T		1	5	11	3	2	4	3
Cyperaceae															
<i>Cyperus esculentus</i>			T												
<i>Eleocharis alida</i>			T		1									T	
<i>Eleocharis caribaea</i>			1		2			1			T				
<i>Eleocharis parvula</i>			T		1			1							
<i>Eleocharis</i> spp.				T		T			T				T		T
<i>Fimbristylis caroliniana</i>	T	T	T	2		9	T		T	1			7		T
<i>Fimbristylis castanea</i>	T			8	2	T	8	7	1	2	8	4	1	4	3
<i>Scirpus americanus</i>			T			6			9			3			T
Leguminosae															
<i>Baptisia leucophaea</i>					T										
<i>Cassia fasciculata</i>			3	4	3	3	2		T	13	5	9	5	5	5
Euphorbiaceae															
<i>Croton capitatus</i>				T					T				T		
<i>Croton punctatus</i>	7	5	1	T						T			T	1	1
<i>Euphorbia ammannioides</i>			T	T	T				T	3	T				
Onagraceae															
<i>Oenothera drummondii</i>	6	2	4	1	5	T	5	1	T	5	8	4	6	7	3
Umbelliferae															
<i>Hydrocotyle bonariensis</i>			1	3	6	1	5	T	1	T	T	T	2		T
Primulaceae															
<i>Samolus ebracteatus</i>			T		T	4	2	1	2	4		T	3	T	3
Gentianaceae															
<i>Eustoma exaltatum</i>			1		1	T	T	1	T	T	T	T		T	T
<i>Sabatia arenicola</i>			1		1	1	T	1	T	2		T	2	T	1
Convolvulaceae															
<i>Ipomoea pes-caprae</i>	1	2							T	T				T	T
<i>Ipomoea stolonifera</i>	7	7	7	1	T			T	T	1	2	T	1	2	1
Solanaceae															
<i>Physalis viscosa</i>			1		1	T			T	T	1	T			T
Scrophulariaceae															
<i>Bacopa monnieri</i>			2		5	T		1		T	1	T			T
Compositae															
<i>Erigeron myrionactis</i>			1		1	3	1	T	1	T	3	6	1	2	8
<i>Senecio riddellii</i>															
<i>Flaveria oppositifolia</i>						4			8			4			2
Verbenaceae															
<i>Phyla incisa</i>			1	T		1	T			T		T			T

Table B-13. Vegetation frequency and cover (percent) near remnant live oak motte northwest of Padre Island Ranger Station.

	Frequency		Cover	
	North of oak motte	South of oak motte	North of oak motte	South of oak motte
Graminea				
<i>Paspalum monostachyum</i>	17	23	3	3
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	46	29	1	1
<i>Eragrostis oxylepsis</i>	49	31	2	3
<i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i>				
var <i>littoralis</i>	54	54	T	9
<i>Chloris</i> spp.	14	17	T	T
<i>Panicum</i> spp.	14	20	T	T
Cyperaceae				
<i>Eleocharis parvula</i>	9	17	T	1
<i>Elocharis alida</i>	20	23	1	4
<i>Cyprus esculentus</i>	34	23	1	1
<i>Fimbristylis castanea</i>	26	11	2	T
<i>Fimbristylis caroliniana</i>		6		T
<i>Scirpus americanus</i>		9		T
Juncaceae				
<i>Juncus scirpoides</i>	51	26	T	1
Leguimosae				
<i>Baptisia leucophaea</i>	3	6	T	T
<i>Cassia fasciculata</i>	23	27	T	5
Onagraceae				
<i>Oenothera drummondii</i>	6		T	
Umbelliferae				
<i>Hydrocotyle bonariensis</i>	3		T	
Scrophulariaceae				
<i>Bacopa monnieri</i>		34		T
Loganiaceae				
<i>Polypremum procumbens</i>	23	31	T	T
Compositae				
<i>Erigeron myrionactis</i>	6	20	T	T
<i>Vernonia texana</i>	20	20	T	1
<i>Coreopsis tinctoria</i>	6	9	T	T
<i>Heterotheca pilosa</i>	23	17	T	T
<i>Conyza canadensis</i>	3		T	
Verbenaceae				
<i>Phyla incisa</i>		9		T
Iridaceae				
<i>Sisyrinchium biforme</i>	3		T	
Linaceae				
<i>Linum alatum</i>	3	20	T	T

Table B-13. Vegetation frequency and cover (percent) near remnant live oak motte northwest of Padre Island Ranger Station.

	Frequency		Cover	
	North of oak motte	South of oak motte	North of oak motte	South of oak motte
Gramineae				
<i>Paspalum monostachyum</i>	17	23	3	3
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	46	29	1	1
<i>Eragrostis oxylepis</i>	49	31	2	3
<i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i>				
var <i>littoralis</i>	54	54	T	9
<i>Chloris</i> spp.	14	17	T	T
<i>Panicum</i> spp.	14	20	T	T
Cyperaceae				
<i>Eleocharis parvula</i>	9	17	T	1
<i>Elocharis albida</i>	20	23	1	4
<i>Cyperus esculentus</i>	34	23	1	1
<i>Fimbristylis castanea</i>	26	11	2	T
<i>Fimbristylis caroliniana</i>		6		T
<i>Scirpus americanus</i>		9		T
Juncaceae				
<i>Juncus scirpoides</i>	51	26	T	1
Leguminosae				
<i>Baptisia leucophaea</i>	3	6	T	T
<i>Cassia fasciculata</i>	23	27	T	5
Onagraceae				
<i>Oenothera drummondii</i>	6		T	
Umbelliferae				
<i>Hydrocotyle bonariensis</i>	3		T	
Scrophulariaceae				
<i>Bacopa monnieri</i>		34		T
Loganiaceae				
<i>Polypremum procumbens</i>	23	31	T	T
Compositae				
<i>Erigeron myrionactis</i>	6	20	T	T
<i>Vernonia texana</i>	20	20	T	1
<i>Coreopsis tinctoria</i>	6	9	T	T
<i>Heterotheca pilosa</i>	23	17	T	T
<i>Conyza canadensis</i>	3		T	
Verbenaceae				
<i>Phyla incisa</i>		9		T
Iridaceae				
<i>Sisyrinchium biforme</i>	3		T	
Linaceae				
<i>Linum alatum</i>	3	20	T	T

<p>Dahl, B.E. Posthurricane survey of experimental dunes on Padre Island, Texas / by B.E. Dahl, P.C. Cotter...[et al.]--Fort Belvoir, Va., : U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Springfield, Va. : available from NTIS, 1983. [70] p. : ill. ; 28 cm.--(Miscellaneous report / Coastal Engineering Research Center ; no. 83-8). Cover title. "March 1983." Report summarizes a study to compare effectiveness of four foredunes, created with the use of grass plantings, to an unplanted area for coastal protection from a major hurricane. Hurricane Allen, which impacted Padre Island in August 1980, was the example studied. The 1981 posthurricane data were compared with previous studies. 1. Experimental dunes 2. Foredunes. 3. Hurricane surveys. 4. Padre Island, Texas. 5. Vegetation. I. Title. II. Cotter, P.C. III. Coastal Engineering Research Center (U.S.). IV. Series: Miscellaneous report (Coastal Engineering Research Center (U.S.)); no. 83-8. TC203 .U581ar no. 83-8 627</p>	<p>Dahl, B.E. Posthurricane survey of experimental dunes on Padre Island, Texas / by B.E. Dahl, P.C. Cotter...[et al.]--Fort Belvoir, Va., : U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Springfield, Va. : available from NTIS, 1983. [70] p. : ill. ; 28 cm.--(Miscellaneous report / Coastal Engineering Research Center ; no. 83-8). Cover title. "March 1983." Report summarizes a study to compare effectiveness of four foredunes, created with the use of grass plantings, to an unplanted area for coastal protection from a major hurricane. Hurricane Allen, which impacted Padre Island in August 1980, was the example studied. The 1981 posthurricane data were compared with previous studies. 1. Experimental dunes 2. Foredunes. 3. Hurricane surveys. 4. Padre Island, Texas. 5. Vegetation. I. Title. II. Cotter, P.C. III. Coastal Engineering Research Center (U.S.). IV. Series: Miscellaneous report (Coastal Engineering Research Center (U.S.)); no. 83-8. TC203 .U581ar no. 83-8 627</p>
<p>Dahl, B.E. Posthurricane survey of experimental dunes on Padre Island, Texas / by B.E. Dahl, P.C. Cotter...[et al.]--Fort Belvoir, Va., : U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Springfield, Va. : available from NTIS, 1983. [70] p. : ill. ; 28 cm.--(Miscellaneous report / Coastal Engineering Research Center ; no. 83-8). Cover title. "March 1983." Report summarizes a study to compare effectiveness of four foredunes, created with the use of grass plantings, to an unplanted area for coastal protection from a major hurricane. Hurricane Allen, which impacted Padre Island in August 1980, was the example studied. The 1981 posthurricane data were compared with previous studies. 1. Experimental dunes 2. Foredunes. 3. Hurricane surveys. 4. Padre Island, Texas. 5. Vegetation. I. Title. II. Cotter, P.C. III. Coastal Engineering Research Center (U.S.). IV. Series: Miscellaneous report (Coastal Engineering Research Center (U.S.)); no. 83-8. TC203 .U581ar no. 83-8 627</p>	<p>Dahl, B.E. Posthurricane survey of experimental dunes on Padre Island, Texas / by B.E. Dahl, P.C. Cotter...[et al.]--Fort Belvoir, Va., : U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Springfield, Va. : available from NTIS, 1983. [70] p. : ill. ; 28 cm.--(Miscellaneous report / Coastal Engineering Research Center ; no. 83-8). Cover title. "March 1983." Report summarizes a study to compare effectiveness of four foredunes, created with the use of grass plantings, to an unplanted area for coastal protection from a major hurricane. Hurricane Allen, which impacted Padre Island in August 1980, was the example studied. The 1981 posthurricane data were compared with previous studies. 1. Experimental dunes 2. Foredunes. 3. Hurricane surveys. 4. Padre Island, Texas. 5. Vegetation. I. Title. II. Cotter, P.C. III. Coastal Engineering Research Center (U.S.). IV. Series: Miscellaneous report (Coastal Engineering Research Center (U.S.)); no. 83-8. TC203 .U581ar no. 83-8 627</p>

