



C

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

TE COPY

DOCUMENT NUMBER SA 3032J0003 BRIDGE WOUND WE3 MODULE FINAL REPORT

.

(1.2)

- -

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

Copy available to DiliC does not permit fully legible reproduction





83 05 12 220

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

| | | REVISIONS | | |
|------|-----|-------------|------|------|
| ZONE | LTR | DESCRIPTION | DATE | APPD |
| | | | | |



SA 3032J0003

BRIDGE WOUND WEB MODULE

FINAL REPORT

Į. MAY 1 2 1983 A

| REV STATUS | REV | | | | | | ĺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|------|--|-----|------|----------|-----|-----------------|---------|-----------|-----|----|---|-----|----|--------------|----|----------|---------------------|------------------|---|----|------|
| OF SHEETS | SHEE | T | | | | [| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Γ |
| | | DRAWN CHECK STRESS WEIGHT 1.C. NFG ENGR MOJ ENGR | | | | | 1 | | TIT | LE | | | OF | | | | | FIBE CIE NVIS | R NCE SION |] | | |
| | | PROJ MER APPROVAL | 4-1 | ; | - اكم ال | ñ-1 | 4 - (: 1 - C | ;; 2 | sizi A | | 32 | 5 | | 5 | DW | GN | <u>כ</u> | | | | | RE |
| | - F | | ATE | a la | | 5-9 | <u> </u> | 2 | SCA | LE: | | | UNI | TW | / T : | | | SHE | ET | C | DF | _ |

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in the report are those of the authors and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or a decision, unless so designated by other communication.





1.0 INTRODUCTION

This effort was undertaken in order that feasibility, design and fabrication methods may be established for the manufacture of two wound web bridge module prototypes. Each wound bridge module consists of four webs a tread plate, and a bottom chord. The tread plates and the bottom chords were government furnished under the contract. Fiber Science proposed a production process of filament winding all four of the composite bridge webs at once on an aluminum diamond shaped mandrel which would fold out into the "W" shape which the four webs of each module would assume when the module was assembled. (See Figure 1.) This full scale mandrel would be a hinged weldment with relatively tight tolerances for such a structure. Cost estimates were near \$125,000 for the mandrel alone. In order to reduce the cost, Fiber Science proposed to demonstrate process feasibility on a temporary, shortened wooden mandrel. Design feasibility demonstration was proposed by construction and testing of two full sized modules by an altered manufacturing method. Filament winding the mandrel skins on a pre-existing cylindrical mandrel, removing the skins from the mandrel, and then laminating these skins into the web configuration on a flat table would eliminate the need for an expensive mandrel. This method is more labor intensive and therefore less suitable for production than the filament wound "W" concept, but less costly for this demonstration phase. Modules manufactured by either method would meet design requirements. These prototypes were to be of composite materials in order to reduce the weight of the pre-existing all-aluminum bridge design. The work was approached in three phases.

Phase I, component development, included (1) material selection, (2) module concept refinement, and (3) trade-off studies. The Phase I Report was completed December 8, 1981 and submitted to the Army at that time.

-3-



Phase II, engineering design and documentation, included the creation of engineering drawings, manufacturing procedures, and test samples which were representative of the bridge module design. The samples were tested to failure to provide confidence in the wound web design. Design drawings, manufacturing procedures, and tests were completed and submitted with the Phase II Report on 13 August 1982.

Phase III of the effort consists of fabrication of eight wound bridge webs in full scale and the assembly of the webs with hardware for one complete interior bridge bay. The fabrication details for the wound bridge webs and associated hardware are included in this report.

II. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The filament wound process for the manufacture of a bridge web was demonstrated to be both feasible and practical. This program identified some process modifications that are required for low cost production. (See Figure 3) The modified process will retain the attractive features of the "wound W" process (see Figures 4 and 5), but will greatly reduce tooling costs and improve producibility resulting in lower labor costs.
 - 2. The winding angle may be modified from 45° to 50° to improve the winding pattern without impacting the structural integrity, but should be left at 45° for maximum strength at minimum thickness. (See Addendum IV.)
 - 3. The design requirements for edge filler are met by the syntactic foam which Fiber Science used. This foam reduced the weight by an average of eight pounds per web over the weight of solid epoxy resin edge filler. Tests of the syntactic foam compressive strength, although high enough to meet design requirements, were not as high as anticipated.

-5-



. . .

| ENGR. | TWITCHELL | 1/19/83 | REVISED | DATE | | REPORT |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|------|----------------------|--------|
| CHECK | | | | | CORPORATION DIVISION | PAGE |
| | l | | | 1 | | |

The compressive test result was 3900 psi avg. 3M Company "Scotchply"
XP-241 syntactic foam conforming to MIL-S-24154A Type I with a foam density of 38 pounds per cubic foot is reported* to have a yield strength of 5000 psi. Foam conforming to the same Mil spec in a 44 pound per cubic foot density is reported* to have a compressive yield strength of 10,000 psi. Unfilled epoxy resin has a density of 72.63 pounds per cubic foot. The foam used by Fiber Science was 39 pounds per cubic foot. It is recommended that the density of the foam be increased to 44 pounds per cubic foot in order to obtain higher compressive strength.
4. Results and conclusions from Phases I and II may be found in Addenda I, II and III.

III. PERFORMANCE

Fiber Science Division has complied with the requirements of Section C of the contract. The work required by Contract Section C.2.a, Concept Development, was performed and reported as Phase I of the effort. The Army response to the Phase I Report with its attendant instructions may be found in Addendum II of this report. Figure 1, of the Phase I Report, has been modified at Army request to show web panel cost and weight, with costs for graphite-epoxy representative of the materials used in Phase III. The revised Figure 1 is included as Figure 2 in this report. The Phase II effort as required by Contract Section C.2.b, Engineering Design and Documentation, may be found in Addendum III of this report. The Phase III effort as required by Contract Section C.2.c, Hardware Fabrication, is reported in this section.

*Testing reported in "Scotchply" XP-241 Syntactic Foam Technical Data Sheet #11, dated January 1969.

- 7 -

IV. PHASE III REPORT, HARDWARE FABRICATION

This phase of the contracted effort was defined as the manufacture of the bridge module in full scale as defined by the design resulting from Phases I and II. The drawings which described the design were as follows:

DRAWING NO.

TITLE

| 3032P0001 REV-1 | TOP ASSEMBLY BRIDGE WEB |
|-----------------|-------------------------|
| 3032A0004 REV-2 | OUTER PANEL |
| 3032A0005 REV-2 | INNER PANEL |
| 3032A0008 REV-1 | LUG, TREAD PLATE |
| 3032A0011 REV-1 | CUP, BULKHEAD |
| 3032A0012 REV-1 | BULKHEAD |
| 3997C5000 N/C | EXTRUSION, UPPER-CENTER |
| 3997C5001 N/C | EXTRUSION, UPPER-END |
| 3997C5002 N/C | EXTRUSION, LOWER CHORD |

A. MANUFACTURING WEBS

The materials and process used to manufacture the composite webs are state of the art technology as described in the following sections.

A.1 MATERIALS

The materials which became a component of the end item webs are listed in Table I.

TABLE I MATERIALS

| MATERIAL | MFG. BY | MFG. NAME | APPROX WT. PER WEB |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| HIGH STRENGTH CARBON FIBER | CELANESE CORPORATION | CELION 600 | 30.8 LBS. |
| EPOXY RESIN | SHELL CHEM. | EPON 826 | 15.2 LBS. |
| EPOXY RESIN HARDENER | UNIROYAL | TONOX LC | 6.7 LBS. |
| GLASS CLOTH | J.P. STEVENS | 120 CLOTH | 3.0 LBS. |
| ALUM. HONEYCOMB | HEXCEL | ACG 3/8003 | 31.0 LBS. |
| SYNTACTIC FOAM | FIBER SCIENCE | | 41.3 LBS. |

TOTAL WEB WEIGHT 128.0 LBS.

| The sytactic foam was made from the follow: | ing formula: |
|---|---------------------|
| SHELL 826 RESIN | 100 PARTS BY WEIGHT |
| ANCAMINE LO HARDENER | 25 PARTS BY WEIGHT |
| ANCAMINE LOS HARDENER | 25 PARTS BY WEIGHT |
| GLASS MICROBALLOONS | 42 PARTS BY WEIGHT |

This system was used in order to eliminate one high temperature cure from the process. Six samples of this syntactic foam were compression tested to obtain an average strength of 3,900 psi, compared to 9,000 -10,000 psi for unfilled epoxy resin. The foam density as used was 0.023 lb/in^3 , using glass microballoons type B23/500 made by 3M Company. The compressive strength of the syntactic foam was lower than anticipated because a high percentage of glass microballoons was used, creating a low density syntactic foam, and therefore a low compressive strength syntactic foam. The compressive strength obtained was still high enough to survive the design loads, but could be increased with little weight penalty. The hardeners are manufactured by Pacific Anchor Chemical Company.

- 9 -

The aluminum extrusions used to attach the webs to the tread plate and to the bottom chord were extruded by Kaiser Aluminum from 6061 aluminum stock in the "0" condition and subsequently heat treated to the T-6 condition.

Bulkheads were manufactured from the same resins as the web, but the reinforcement was high strength carbon woven fabric Style W-133, made by Fiberite Corporation. The honeycomb used in the bulkhead was ALH-CG/3003 commercial grade honeycomb by Unicel Corporation, with a thickness of 0.50 inches.

Glass cloth insulation was bonded to the composite faces where intimate contact was expected between aluminum and carbon. The intent of this design feature is to break up any galvanic cell which might corrode the aluminum in contact with carbon. Further corrosion prevention was provided by installing stainless steel fasteners wet with a strontium chromate primer coating. The sacrificial primer was purchased to military specifications MIL-P-23377 Type I.

A.2. WEB MANUFACTURING PROCESS

The bridge web manufacturing process was studied in Phase I of this project. The recommendation made on page 7 of Phase I Report was to hand layup the entire web from "Knytex" a brand name of commercially preplied broadgoods. The government position taken in response to the Phase I Report requeseted determination of the actual cost of the "Knytex" fabric made with low cost graphite fiber. Careful comparison of graphite "Kyntex" was made with the filament wound "W" process to determine which is less costly. The "W" process (see Figure 4 and 5) which was first presented in the Fiber Science proposal for this work, is direct filament winding





and the second second

upon a diamond shaped mandrel. In that process the windings were slit along one side and folded out into a "W" after the windings were "B" staged.

During the Phase II work, more detailed cost estimates revealed that knitting machine setup and material waste charges would indeed make the "Knytex" process more expensive that the "W" process The latter process was, therefore, selected for the production process. Cost estimates for a full scale mandrel to be used for fabrication of the eight webs funded on the contract ranged near \$125,000. This cost was not included in the Fiber Science proposal, which only contained \$6,808 for tool materials and construction labor. The mandrel proposed was a shortened wooden mandrel as discussed in the introduction. To make a full size mandrel twenty-three feet long which does not sag in the middle requires stiffer, less dense materials than wood and results in the high price. The demonstration winding manufacturing process used to evaluate the two approaches was as follows:

- Phase III web skins were filament wound on a large existing cylindrical mandrel, cut and laminated into the web configuration complete with honeycomb core.
- 2. A scaled down wooden mandrel was fabricated to demonstrate both winding techniques for the diamond shaped mandrel and the slitting and folding operation. The demonstration mandrel was full sized in cross section but the length was reduced to six feet from the twenty-three feet required for a full scale web.

- 13 -

A.3. FULL SCALE WEB MANUFACTURE

The web skins were wound on a cylindrical mandrel 38 inches in diameter. The mandrel was first wrapped with a plastic sheet. Hoop windings were made with a 1.0 inch wide band consisting of 13 rovings. The helical windings were 16 rovings in a 1.0 inch wide band.

When the skin winding was complete, the skin, with the plastic sheet carrier, was slit and peeled off the mandrel so that it could be laid flat on a work table. The curvature of the mandrel causes some wrinkling in the outside fibers of the skin when the skin is laid flat, so some hand work was performed to remove wrinkles. The skin was "B" staged 24 hours at room temperature before further handling.

After "B" staging, the skin could be bonded to the aluminum honeycombcore. A layer of peel ply was applied to the work table, followed by the skin and then 120 glass cloth was applied to the skin dry, and then wet out with resin. Four honeycomb panels, each cut to drawing width and six feet long, were then positioned on top of the glass cloth, and butted together. The assembly was then vacuum bagged to the work table and cured. The cure cycle was as follows:

| 4 | Hours | 150° F |
|-----|-------|-----------------------------|
| 4 | Hours | 225° F |
| 4 | Hours | 275°F |
| 1.5 | Hours | Cool With Oven Doors Closed |

After the first skin cured, the vacuum bag was removed, and the honeycomb cells were filled with syntactic foam around the periphery of the web. The filled area was 1.5 inches wide. The honeycomb butt joints were also filled 0.75 inches wide. The formula for mixing the syntactic foam was given in the materials section of this report.

- 14 -

In practice it was found that the mixture was thin enough to be poured into the cells. After pouring, the table was tapped or vibrated in order to bring bubbles to the top Bubbles were scraped off the top and cells were completely filled before foam was cured.

Following foam installation the partial assembly was removed from the work table. The second skin was then bonded to the honeycomb partial assembly in the same manner as the first skin: the skin was placed over a peel ply (to provide a paintable texture), the 120 glass cloth layer applied dry on top of the skin, and then the partial assembly was vacuum bagged down to the skin, followed by the cure. Bonded assemblies were then trimmed to length and stored to await final assembly.

B. METAL HARDWARE

The new metal components were purchased by Fiber Science from vendors. The largest metal components were the aluminum extrusions used to attach webs to the tread plate and to the bottom chord. These extrusions, Drawing Numbers 3997C5000, 39975001 and 3997C5002 were custom manufactured for Fiber Science by Kaiser Aluminum in Los Angeles, California.

The cross brace attachment lugs, Drawing Numbers 3032A0008 and 3032A0011 were manufactured for Fiber Science by Heinhold Engineering of Salt Lake City to Fiber Science drawings.

Stainless steel bolts used to both attach webs to extrusions and bulkheads to webs were purchased by specification number to HRS Fasteners Company in Arlington, Texas. Stainless steel inserts for the bulkhead-toweb joint were purchased from Tridair Industries, Torrance, California.

C. BRIDGE MODULE ASSEMBLY

The module pieces were assembled in the following order so that bonding and bolt assembly might be performed conveniently.

- 15 -

- 1. Weld upper extrusions to tread plate
- Drill bolt holes through both sides of extrusion using a drill press.
- 3. Prepare extrusion surface for bonding with pasa gel solution.
- 4. Bond inner webs into center extrusion socket using adhesive and
- 5. Drill web bolt holes through predrilled extrusion bolt holes.
- 6. Assemble bolts wet with MLP-P-23377 Type J Primer.
- Bond outer webs into outer extrusion socket using APCO 2434/2310 adhesive and locating jigs.
- 8. Drill outer web bolt holes through predrilled extrusions.
- 9. Install bolts in outer extrusion-web joint wet with primer. Assemble bottom chord with lower extrusions.
- 10. Prepare lower extrusions for bonding with pasa gel solution.
- Butter lower edge of webs with APCO 2434/2310 adhesive and bond lower chord assembly to webs using a locating jig.
- 12. Drill lower web bolt holes through predrilled extrusions
- 13. Install bolts in lower extrusion-web joint wet with primer.

The cross brace lugs were welded in position on the assembled bridge using a locating jig.

D. DEMONSTRATING WINDING

The demonstration winding portion of this effort was undertaken to show the production process for bridge webs proposed by Fiber Science is feasible. This winding demonstrated, the Army has at its disposal a production method which is largely automated and which is not labor intensive, thereby enhancing production rates and reducing costs A full scale winding would have been most convincing as a feasibility demonstration, but the cost of such a mandrel was prohibitive for this program. Full scale mandrel cost estimates were near \$125,000. Since the major problems

- 16 -

to a function of the second of the

were expected in turnaround (a form attached to the ends of filament winding mandrels to facilitate fiber direction reversal) design and mandrel folding, a shorter mandrel was considered to be a reasonable compromise. The turnaround problem would not be diminished by a short mandrel of full sized cross section but folding a short mandrel would be easier. As the demonstration winding progressed, the anticipated problems were found to be real but solvable. A discussion of the problems and problem solutions follow.

D.1 TURNAROUNDS

12

Several turnaround designs were tried before one was found which brought reasonable results. This turnaround design was obtained by calculating the perimeter length of the diamond portion of the mandrel and then determining the diameter of a circle which has the same circumference as the mandrel perimeter. The circle was cut from plywood and used as the end piece of the turnaround. The remainder of the turnaround contour was calculated. An existing mandrel stand was used which offered 28 inches on each end for turnaround. More distance, approximately 36 inches, would be required to provide a uniform winding pattern to the end of the mandrel at the $\pm 45^{\circ}$ winding angle designed in the web skin laminate. As a result, the ± 450 winding in the inside skin of the demo piece were poorly distributed due to bridging and slipping of fibers during winding. A satisfactory winding pattern was obtained on the outer skin by changing the helical winding angle to 550. Analysis reveals, however, that the winding angle should not be increased beyond 50° because of decreasing composite shear strength (see Addendum 1). A completely satisfactory turnaround would be achieved with proper tooling

- 17 -

D.2. MANDREL FOLDING

0

The difficulties with the folding operation began as soon as the slit was made (Figure 4). The skins and the honeycomb tended to fall away from the mandrel. Elastic cords were fastened around the mandrel halves to secure the webs to the mandrel, and still the webs sagged away from the mandrel between cords stretching the skins and causing wrinkles. As a result, it was decided that the cut shown in the Figure 2, Step 6 schematic would be deleted. The weight of the six foot long wooden mandrel made the tuck operation so awkward that it was poorly done and became a lump which crushed the honeycomb. The honeycomb has not been dimensionally stabilized and stretched during handling and folding so that it was caught and crushed as the fold was made. None of these problems was so serious that it could not be overcome with proper tooling and procedure After considering this process, Fiber Science has concluded that a variation of the wound "W" concept would require much less tooling and probably fewer manhours (see Figure 5), while retaining the most desirable features of the previous version, namely, low labor intensity and producibility.



ADDENDUM IV

. . . .

.

| 500 HELICAL | <u>.</u> | | SAFETY FA | CTOR = 1.5 |
|-----------------|----------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | | FATIGUE F. | ACTOR = .615 |
| % 900 Fibers | Fycu PSI | tweb IN | Fxyu PSI | t IN |
| •90 | 143344 | .0258 | 5700 | .2285 |
| .85 | 136688 | .0270 | 7709 | .1690 |
| .80 | 130032 | .0284 | 9718 | .1340 |
| .75 | 116720 | .0316 | 13736 | .0948 |
| .70 | 110065 | 0336 | 15745 | .0827 |
| .65 | 103409 | .0357 | 17754 | .0734 |
| .60 | 96953 | .0381 | 19763 | .0659 |
| .55 | 90097 | .0410 | 21772 | .0598 |
| .50 | 83441 | .0443 | 23780 | .0548 |
| .45 | 76785 | .0481 | 25788 | .0505 |
| .40 | 70129 | .0527 | 27796 | .0469 |
| .35 | 63473 | .0582 | 29804 | .0437 |
| .30 | 56 <u>81</u> 7 | .0650 | 31810 | .0409 |
| .25 | 50161 | .0736 | 33815 | .0385 |
| .20 | 43505 | .0849 | 35819 | .0364 |
| .15 | 36850 | .1002 | 37818 | .0344 |
| .10 | 30194 | .1223 | 39807 | .0327 |

-20-

FOR 50° HELICAL, 45% 90° PLIES, TRY $t_{web} = .080$ $E_x = 2.136 \times 10^6$ $G_{xy} = 2.506 \times 10^6$ $E_t = 8.940 \times 10^7$ DENSITY = .0527 $= (.00202) (2.136 \times 10^6) = 4315 \text{ psi}$ $= \frac{1514}{.080} = 18,925 \text{ psi}$ $= \frac{534}{.080} = 6675 \text{ psi}$ INTERACTIONS EQUATIONS $I = (\frac{4315}{.615 (12473)})^2 + (\frac{.18925}{.615(76785)})^2 - (\frac{.4315(18925)}{.6152(12473)(76785)}) + (\frac{.6675}{.615(25788)})^2$ = .3164 + .1606 - .2254 + .1771

= 0.4287

F.S. = $1_{.4287}$ = 1.527

| 550 | HEL | ICAL |
|-----|-----|------|
| | | |

 $F_{xcu} = 8315$

<u>ر</u>.

.

 $F_{ycu} = 73866$

 $F_{xy} = 25737$

| Z900 FIBERS | Fycu | t | F xyu | t |
|----------------|--------|-------|----------|-------|
| •90 | 137311 | .0269 | 7377 | 1766 |
| •85 | 130966 | •0282 | 9220 | .1413 |
| •80 | 124622 | .0296 | 11062 | .1177 |
| .75 | 118277 | .0312 | 12903 | .1009 |
| .70 | 111933 | .0330 | 14743 | .0883 |
| .65 | 105588 | .0350 | 16581 | .0786 |
| .60 | 99244 | .0372 | 18418 | 0707 |
| .55 | 92899 | .0397 | 20253 | •0653 |
| •50 | 86555 | .0427 | 22085 | .0590 |
| •50 | 80210 | .0460 | 23913 | .0545 |
| .40 | 73866 | .0500 | 25737 | .0506 |
| .35 | 67521 | •0547 | 27553 | .0473 |
| .30 | 61176 | -0604 | 29359 | .0444 |
| .25 | 54832 | .0673 | 31150 | .0418 |
| •20 | 48487 | .0762 | 32912 | .0396 |
| .15 | 42143 | .0876 | 34622 | •0376 |
| .10 | 35798 | .1032 | 36209 | .0360 |

FOR 55° HELICAL, TRY $t_{web} = 0.120$, 40% 90° PLIES

x = 3454 psi y = $\frac{1514}{.120}$ = 12,617 psi

$$= \frac{534}{.120} = 4450 \text{ psi}$$

INTERACTION RELATIONSHIPS

$$I = \left(\frac{3454}{.615(8315)}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{12617}{.615(73866)}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{3454(12617)}{(.615)}\right) + \left(\frac{4450}{.615(25737)}\right)^2$$

= 0.4562 + 0.0771 - 0.1876 + .0790
= 0.4247

M.S. = $\frac{1}{4247}$ = 1.5344

ADDENDUM I

| : | | | | | | REVISIO | ONS | | |
|----------|---|------------------|--------|----------|--------------|------------|----------|---------|--------------|
| - | | | ZONE | LTR | DESCI | RIPTION | | DATE | APPD |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | DOCU | MENT NUMBER | | | | |
| | | | | SA 3 | 032-J-0001 | | | | |
| ت ت | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | TITLE | | | | |
| | | | | BRIDGE W | OUND WEB MOD | ULE | | | |
| | | | | PHAS | E I REPORT | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| ۰. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | · | | | | | | • • • | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | F= 5.7/ | FIB | ER SC | | E, INC |
| | | STIESS WEIGHT | | | TITLE | | | | |
| ~ | | U.C. MPG EMMA | | | BRIDGE | YOUND WER | B MODULE | | |
| | | Piley wea | nxtelu | 10 47/81 | | DENT ID | IG NO | | |
| | | APPROVAL V | LAINES | /Z•1-8 | A 325 | <u>i00</u> | | · | |
| <u>-</u> | | RELEASE DATE | 12-3 | - 81 | SCALE: | UNIT WT: | | SHEET] | OF 78 |

"The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in the report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation."

2

I. INTRODUCTION

The Wound Bridge Web contract is an effort to reduce the weight of the existing bridge. The wound bridge web contract, which provides for the determination of contract feasibility, design, fabrication, test and assembly of two bridge web modules, was received at Fiber Science on September 28, 1981.

Phase I of the contract, Component Development, includes (1) material selection, (2) module concept refinement, and (3) trade off studies. Phase II of the contract will consist of engineering design and documentation. Phase III will be fabrication of hardware for one complete interior bridge bay and assembly of parts.

This is the final report under Phase I of the contract.

3

II. AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF PHASE I

- 1. Determine weight versus cost for various material combinations and physical configurations which meet the requirements of Attachment I to the Statement of Work.
- 2. Determine cost of labor and materials for each of the candidate manufacturing methods proposed for large scale manufacture of the bridge webs.
- 3. Prepare recommendations based on cost, weight, fatigue strength, ease of manufacture and efficient material usage.
- 4. Prepare preliminary designs for bridge web, web attachments, bulkheads, and redesign cross braces if necessary.
- 5. Determine weight and cost of the recommended preliminary design in large scale production.

III. CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

A. MATERIALS CONCLUSIONS

Material weight requirements were determined by going through the design procedure for each of the core material candidates in combination with each skin candidate. After thicknesses of core and facing materials had been calculated with the design procedure, the weight of each design in pounds per square inch was calculated. These weights were summarized in Table I and Figure I. The weights may be compared to the original design weight of 0.025 LB/IN². Minimum weight combination was graphite-epoxy facing with one of the three aluminum core materials analyzed, and minimum cost combination was E-glass-epoxy facing with polyurethane foam core. The best compromise between minimum weight and minimum cost was S2 glass-epoxy with ACG 3/8-.003 aluminum core, at $$.0062/FT^2$ and $0.0153 LB/IN^2$. This alternative would offer a 57% decrease in weight of the original design for slightly less than $$2/FT^2$ increase in cost.

TABLE I Mat'l Cost Comparison/Interior Bay

| Orig | inal | Min. | Cost | <u>Min</u> | . Wt | Compro | <u>mise</u> |
|-------|--------------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-----------|-------------|
| \$ | <u>Wt,Lb</u> | \$ | Wt,Lb | <u>\$`</u> | Wt,Lb | <u>\$</u> | Wt,Lb |
| 1141. | 983. | 1119. | 731. | 3006. | 246. | 1665. | 425. |

B. PROCESS CONCLUSIONS

A labor and materials comparison was made for each of four proposed producation methods:

Option A. Filament wind entire skin.

Option B. Filament wind broadgoods and layup.

Option C. Filament wind 90° ply only, layup Knytex* for 45° plies,

Option D. Hand lavup entire web from Knytex*.

* Knytex CDB to be manufactured to width and thickness desired. This is a nonwoven triaxial fabric.

Two major breakdowns were made in labor and materials comparison: "W" represents the baseline "Wound W" concept presented in the proposal where faces were wound over a diamond shaped mandrel with subsequent face slitting and mandrel folding operations to produce the "W" form desired. "V" represents using a "V" shaped mandrel to produce one-quarter of the interior bay web section at a time. This method would have a 32% waste of facing materials inherent to the process.

5

Table <u>II</u>, which follows, summarizes relative costs for the process options.



6 PBI-100-4/78

and and a back a

C. DESIGN CALCULATIONS CONCLUSIONS

1. During the design calculations phase it became evident that the design "driver" was the composite shear modulus, G, of the core material.

2. Hybrid composites, or combinations of fiber materials in the faces of the web did not prove to be an advantage because of the well known effect of mismatched modulus materials: Using a high modulus with a lower modulus material causes premature loading and failure of the stiffer material.

3. Low compression strength of Kevlar 49 prompted its deletion from the list of facing materials.

D. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Use the S2 Glass-Epoxy/ACG Aluminum Core combination for web materials.

2. Use the hand layup Option D process for production manufacture of the webs.

3. Class C drawings will be generated for phase III of the present contract. (See para. B.5 of FSI Management Procedure 200-1.)

4. Tool drawings for the present contract will be type I for vendor use and type C for in house use. (See para. B.5 of FSI Management Procedure 200-1.)

5. The level of fabrication to be used for the present contract inhouse needs will be level I. (See para.6, para.E.1 of M.P. 200-1.)

6. Tooling fabrication will be class "C" and class "D". (See para.14 of M.P.400-03.

7

7. Bridge web panels manufactured on Phase III of this contract will be non-interchangeable.

E. DESIGN CONCEPT SKETCHES

The attached sketches, figures II, through V, represent attachment concepts which are consistent with design calculations. In general, attachment fittings will be aluminum extrusions which are anodized for corrosion protection, and are both bonded and bolted to the web in order to provide a reliable structure. Extrusions will be welded to the upper chord.

TABLE II.

"W" PROCESS COST DIFFERENCE, \$/FT²

| Face Mat'1/Core Mat'1 | PROCESS OPTION | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u>D</u> | |
| E Glass/ACG | 1.28 | 0.53 | 0.83 | 0.00 | |
| S2 Glass/ACG | 3.01 | 2.26 | 2.96 | 2.38 | |
| T300/ACG | 8.39 | 8.14 | N.A. | 18.02* | |

* Not believed to be a volume quote

"V" PROCESS COST DIFFERENCE, \$/FT²

| Face Mat'l/Core Mat'l | PROCESS OPTION | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------|------------|----------|--|
| | <u> </u> | <u></u> B | <u>C</u> . | <u>D</u> | |
| E Glass/ACG | 1.95 | 0.53 | 1.17 | 0.00 | |
| S2 GTass/ACG | 4.05 | 2.26 | 3.48 | 2.38 | |
| T300/ACG | IT.53 | 8.14 | N.A. | 18.02* | |

8


| · | | RE | /1510NS | | |
|-------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------|
| 20 | NE LTR | DESCRIPTIC | | DATE | APPE |
| | | | | I | |
| | | 7 ^ | | | |
| | / | // | - | $// \setminus$ | \backslash |
| | · // | (+ // | | / ` | $\backslash \backslash$ |
| | | | // | | |
| `` `` `` | \vee $_$ | <u>/</u> | | | |
| <u> </u> | 1 | | | · · · | |
| [[لمريح | / | | · // | | |
| | / | | ···· | | • |
| 7// | . / | | Νſ | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | N. | |
| | i | | `\ \ | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | · \ | N. | |
| <u> </u> | | N. | | -H | |
| -t- | \mathcal{A} | | | N | |
| INNI DEREKS | ON 11/19/81 | FIGURE | | CIENCI | |
| | | | SALT LAKE | CITY, UTAK | 84110 |
| | | UPPER C | HORD/WE | EB JOIN | IT |
| | | A 32500 | DWG NO- | | |
| | | CALE: I/T UNIT | · wr: | SHEET 10, 0 | |

| <u>کې</u> | | · | | EVISIONS | | |
|----------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|
| . ``` | ZON | | DESCRIP | TION | DATE | APPD |
| ••••• | L | | | | | * |
| | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| , | | | • | | | |
| \mathcal{N} | | $\backslash \backslash$ | /, | | | |
| $\backslash /$ | // + | $\backslash \backslash$ | | • | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | \sim | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| · · · · | | | | | | |
| | | | IIB- | | | |
| | /// | Ì. | | • | | |
| | 84 | | \\ \ | | | |
| - | 5 | | | ÷ | | |
| | | . \ | | | | |
| | | Į, | | | | |
| · · · | | | | | • | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | V W | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | •. | | | Ň | | |
| | | | | N. | - | |
| • | | | | N . | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | • | | ١ | FIGURE IV | - | |
| R | A BREKSON | v 11/19/81 | | FIBER | SCIENC | E.IN |
| | | | | SALT L | NOE CITY, UTA | 1 84116 |
| | | | TITLE | | | |
| H | | | TUPPER C | HUKU/ | WEB JO | |
| E | | | | T DWG NO | | - F |
| | | | | | mener 11 | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | |

Ł



| | IV STRESS ANALYERS |
|--------------|---|
| • | A. DESIGN CRITERIA |
| | |
| | EMIPERATURE ~ -30 F TO ~F |
| | TMMER SIGNA IN & CATINGATED MARTIN |
| ٠ <u>ـ</u> - | LING STON THE SATURATED WITH WATER |
| | WES MODULE SHALL BE BUCYANT |
| - | |
| - | SHEAR = 82,000 LA (WEB F BULKHEAD) |
| | COMPRESSION LOAD TOP CHORD |
| • | · CAITICAL TIRE LOAD ~ 100 PSI (20 K) |
| | 8.33 × 24 |
| | - CRITICAL ANLE LOAD 100 PSI (25.5%) |
| 1 | 7.08 × 18" - 5" - 7.08 × 18" |
| | - CRITICAL TRACK LOAD - 12.55 PSI (TOI |
| | E 80 * # 3 / |
| | COMPRESSIVE LOAD BOTTOM CHORD |
| | - 82,000 LB EVENLY DISTAISOTED I M |
| - | R WIDTH OF CHORD |
| - | - ROLLER LOAD - 36,170 LE AL ROLLER |
| | G" WIDE & IG" DIA. |
| | BRAM BENBINE LOARS |
| | M = 2/63,000. FT-28 |
| | M = - 1009,000 FT-LE |
| | |
| | NFIC - AFFLY MOST CRITICAL CMPALSSIUL |
| | AUPT WITH SPERE |
| 2 | |

CROSS ARACK PIN LOADS



FACTORS OF SAFETY

FS = 1.5 ON ULT. STRENCTN FS = 1.33 ON YIELD STRENCTN FS = 1.5 ON RUCKLINC

814 B. FAILURE CRITERION HILL - VON MISES C $\left(\frac{\sigma_x}{F_z}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_y}{F_y}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\sigma_x\sigma_y}{F_xF_y}\right) + \left(\frac{\tau}{F_{xy}}\right)^2 = 1$ $\left(\frac{G_{E}}{F_{2}}\right) = 1$ $\left(\frac{G_y}{F_y}\right) = 1$ C. BUCKLING CRITERION ACA 1 T EI $-R_{\chi} + R_{y} = I$ $R_c + R_s^2 = 1$ 65

D. MATERIALS

· 🌔

| PROPERTY | E-GLASS | 52-61435 | 7-800 | NMS |
|--|---------|----------|-------|------|
| EN INCASI | 10.5 | 12.6 | 33. Z | 50.0 |
| Es ; 10° PSI | 10-5 | 12.6 | 2.8 | 1.4 |
| G , 10t PSI | ÷4f | • 4 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| F24 , 10° PSI | 260 | 325 | 360 | 300 |
| Feu ; 10 ² PSI | 220 | 325 | 300 | 250 |
| ck ₁₁ , 10 ⁻⁶ ep | 2. 8 | 3-1 | -0.3 | -0.3 |
| or, iot of | 2. 5 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| (°, 10/m² | .072 | - 090 | .063 | .066 |

SILABAA A

RESIN PROPERTY SUMMARY BPON B26 TONAX LC 31 PHR E = 0.37 + 10 PSA -35 = A Fre = 10,100 PSA For = 3000 151 04 × 40 × 10 *F e = :043 10/100 EURE - 2 NR & ITS " + 3 HE & JOO"

10-15-8 Jea

KNYTEX CDB A Revolutionary "Triaxial" Fabric

Our CDB fabric is a unique concept in reinforcing material. A new "triaxial" fabric which combines the most desirable characteristics of both unidirectional and double bias concepts. Triaxial design provides improved strength in three directions, giving you isotropic reinforcements, with unidirectional strength.

Knytex CDB has exceptionally high tensile strength and, because of our unique knitting process, is especially useful where torque loading is a critical factor.

This fabric is a new breakthrough with tremendous potential for innovative use in the automotive industry. It has already proven it's worth in marine hull design and the manufacture of wind turbine blades, where strength and weight are important factors.

Knytex CDB triaxial fabric, a proven innovation for the future . . . today!



16 A

PRODUCT INFORMATION

A revolution in nonwoven fabrics, the triaxial construction provides strength in three directions: 0 degrees, 45 degrees, and 135 degrees. Traditional nonwoven fabrics are limited to only 0 and 90 degree reinforcement capabilities.

A fabric of tomorrow, CDB presents unlimited applications. Its exceptional torque strength and primary strength in the warp direction make it possible to meet the growing demands of the plastics industry for some time to come.

The Knytex CDB triaxial fabric is available with "E" glass roving, but other yarns such as "S" glass, Kevlar, and Graphite could be determined. The unique construction process provides 50 percent of the fabric weight in the direction of the warp, with 25 percent in each of the 45 degree directions. Physical Data - CDB 340:

Widths: 3 inches to 50 inches Weight: 34 ounces per sq. yard Thickness: .050 inches

Mechanical Properties - CDB 340:

The mechanical properties shown in the table were made with 4-ply CDB 340 and a general purpose polyester resin. Total thickness of the laminate was .158 inches and the fabric to resin ratio as measured was 50:50 by weight. Materials were tested in accordance with ASTM methods D-695, D-790, and D-638.

| • | Warp | 45° Right Binn | 45* Left Bias |
|----------------------------|---------|-------------------|------------------|
| Compressive strength (pai) | 57,400 | 40,600 | 49,600 |
| Compressive Modulus | | | |
| (pai) X10 ^a | . 22 | 1.5 | 2.2 |
| Tennile strength (poi) | 66,200 | 30,500 | 35,600 |
| Tennie Modulus | | | |
| (pai) X10*- | 3 | LS | LÆ |
| Flexual strength (psi) | 100,900 | 57,308 | 55,400 |
| Figure Modulus | | | |
| (pai) X10+ | 29 | 2.3 | 23 |



iles Office:

201 Emerative Office. Park + 4600 W. Illinois + Midland, Texas 79703 Mailing Address: P.O. Bar 5293 + Midland, Texas 79701 Phone: (918) 694-6912

skep Yan Met Mys

Plant: Highway 46N + Sagaia, Tamo 78158 Mailing Address: P.O. Bax 1046 + Sagaia, Tema 78155 Plant: 15121 373-4536.

Gunge Finch (Knyter LA) 213-269-0131 16B



CORE PROPERTY SUMMARY WR II NRH-10 CR III PVC " URETNAME ACE-"/4 PROPERTY Ec , 103 PS1 55 28 86 6.5 5.4 92 GL, 103 PSI 40 19 7.5 55 2.2 3.0 Gw , 103 PS1 9 20 3.5 23 2.2 3.0 Fc, PSI 180 225 570 360 200 200 FSK , PSI 180 95 255 230 200 120 Fow , PSI 55 175 :75 125 -143 120 P, LE/AT 36 2.5 3.8 4.0 6.2 6.0 P , 15/ 103 -00347 0020 .00359 . 08220 -00203 .0013/

HEXCEL

1- WR I -3/8-3.8 2- HRH- 1/4-4.0 3- 3/1C-2024-.0015 4- KLECECELL

5 - GENERAL PLASTICS ME CO. LAST-A-FOAM 6 - ACG-3/3 - 8.6 NORCEL 10-15-81 912

| | 6-6455 1523 1.9627 1.9627 1.9627 | 52.644E 1.659 1.109 1.109 1.109 1.109 | 7-100 7571 21550 21561 21550 21550 21550 21550 21550 | 2.895 2.895 2.696 2.696 . 2317 . 2317 | 6 4 7 200 4 1, 54 1, 030 1, 030 | 52/17 1:663 1:663 1:663 1:663 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 2114 88.11 88.11 88.11 |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|---------------------------------|
| | 30.7 | 2.516 2.516 | 2.650 2.561 2.561 .2576 .7576 | 3.895 18.696 3.696 . 2317 | 1, 548 8, 104 1, 030 . (313 | 1253 1253 1362 1362 | 7772 7772 7772 7772 7772 7772 7772 777 | 88.77 88.77 |
| | 3017 19627 | 3.516 1.109 .2828 .6263 | 8.47/ 2.56/ .7576 .7576 | (2,26 2,676 . 2317 . 2317 | 8,104 1,030 1,030 | 8.18 2 1.77 2 1.36 2 | 1. 8 % 1. 8 % 1. 8 % | 23 V |
| 1 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 1236.7 | 1.109 1243 1243 | 2,56 / 2,576 ,7576 | 2.676 . 2717 . 8365 | 1,030 (1313 | 122 | 1.030 1.080 | 2114 |
| | 2 108 | 6263 | 9228. 9727, | 2362 | 5181. | 1362 | | |
| 1900 - 1900 1900 - 1900 1900 - 1900 1900 - 1900 1900 - 1900 1900 - 1900 - 1900 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 1900 - 1900 | 586 5 | 6253 | 2652 | 5358. | | | | £130' |
| رج دی ا برور رج دی ا برور | | | | | ショーシー | 1535. | 6 877 | 563. |
| 1. 2 | 626 21 | 120'52 | 352 350 | 20, 704 | ESE & | 6746 | 4669 | ÷ 26.5 |
| | 41, 86 W | 20, 312 | 321 128 | 72, 824 | 225'82 | 12, 808 | 100 45 | 28.285 |
| ملالا مر محدور | 62,415 | 814 28 | 242 725 | 586 '58 | 252'51 | 16.876 | | 200 |
| ×/ y.d/ 1 ×8 | 160% | 1203 | 120.5 | 2.25 2 | 8.80 0 | 9. 771 | 10.31 | 02:01 |
| W 1 104 W | 1 2 2 % | 1.55 % | 1488. | 2612. | 7561, | .4932 | 1148. | 2 01 2 - |
| e vijer ' d | .96.24 | | . 0530 | 5430 · | 0130' | 1050. | 9130 | 1130. |
| Christer . | 18 | ** | ، درج | .615 | . 613 | . 615 | 513- | 517. |
| وروزا وارده | •, • | | • | | | | | |

•

10-6-81 STYLE 120 E-GLASS FABRIC / EPOXY 2 = 0.004 m/ PLY W = 3.16 02/402 $L_e = \frac{3.16}{16 \times 36^2 \times .092} = .00166 \ IN.$ Vs = .001666 = .4141 .004 P= -4141 ×.092 + .5859 ×.043 = .0633 18/103 WEICHT PER IN THE THE PLIES STYLE 120 E-GLASS FARAIC | RPOXY W = 2x,004 x,0633 = 00051 LE/142

2024 ALUMINUM HONEYCOMB D.S. 2200

March 6, 1981

CORROSION RESISTANT 2024 ALUMINUM HONEYCOMB

FEATURES.

HEXCEL

Markedly Improves Corrosion Resistance Maintains Corrosion Protection at Elevated Temperatures Heat Treatable, High Strength Core Material Highest Strength to Weight Ratio as a Sandwich Core Strength Retention at Elevated Temperature

APPLICATIONS:

CR III® 2024 Aluminum Honeycomb has been made available by Hexcel for applications where high strength and strength retention for elevated temperature service are required: 2024 Als honeycomb material is avail-5 ~ able in either the high strength T81 temper or in the T3 condition which has more formability and can subsequently be heat treated to the T81 condition. The principal utilization of 2024 AL honeycomb is in high performance applications where service temperatures require longterm stability to 350°F and short term service as high as 420°F.

PECIFICATIONS:

All Corrosion Resistant 2024 expanded aluminum honeycomb materials meet the requirements of Military Specification MIL-C-7438 where applicable

STANDARD DIMENSIONS:

CR III 2024 Aluminum Honeycomb materials are available in the following sizes:

| Density | L | • W - |
|-------------------|----------------|------------|
| Less than 5.0 pcf | 48" + 2 -0 | 96" + 4 -0 |
| 5.0 to 8.0 pcf | 30" + 2 -0 | 96" + 4 -0 |
| 9.5 pcf | ··· 24" + 2 -0 | 54" + 4-0 |

T dimensions have a minimum of 0.125" and a maximum of 10.0". Special L, W and T dimensions are available or request:

THICKNESS, TOLERANCES:

| Panet Thickness: | Standard: Tolerance |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| .125" to 4.000" | ± .005" (except for 1/8003 - 9.5) |
| 4.001" and Over | ± .052" (except for 1/8003 - 9.57 |

DENSITY TOLERANCES:

The nominal densities of 2024 Aluminum Honeycomb products are shown in Table I. Standard density tolerance on the nominal density $is. \pm 10\%$

TYPE DESIGNATION:

Hexcel CR III 2024 Aluminum Honeycomb is designated as follows:

Mat'l. - Cell. Size - Alloy - Temper - Foil Thickness - Density

EXAMPLE:

CR III-3/16-2024 T81-0015N-3.5

WHERE: CR III designates corrosion resistance aluminum honevcomb

3/16 is the cell size in inches

2024 is the aluminum alloy used.

T81 is the temper condition.

.0015 is the nominal foil thickness in inches.

N indicates cell walls are not perforated. (Perforated core is not availant able.)

3.5 is the nominal density in pounds. per cubic foot.

DIMENSIONAL NOMENCLATURE

T=Thickness, or cell depth

- L=Ribbon direction, or longitudinal direction.
- W=Transverse direction, or direction perpendicular to the ribbon:



3 Registered Trademark, Haucel

CUSTOM PROCESSING:

CR III 2024 Aluminum Honeycomb can be provided machined or formed to various shapes. This can include edge chamfering, simple and complex taper cuts, and other special machined configurations. Contact the nearest Hexcel Sales Office for additional information.

AVAILABILITY:

Standard size CR III 2024 Aluminum Honeycomb will be shipped F.O.B. Graham, Texas. Request for quotations should be forwarded to the nearest Hexcel Sales Office listed on this data sheet. Sales terms are 1% in 15 days from date of invoice, net due in 30 days, or 2% cash with order (CWO).

TABLE I

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

| HEXCEL | sity | | C0 M | APRES | SIVE | | Ę | | P | LATE | SHE | A R | |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------|--|----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------|
| HONEYCOME DESIGNATION | NG G Stable | | Stabline | 4 |] <u>1</u> 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | L" Direc | tion ' | "Y | " Dire | ction [.] | | |
| Cell-Material-Gage | Nomin | Stren ps | igth i | Stre | ngth si | Modulus ksi | 4 T T | Stre P | ngth Gi | Modulus ksi | Strer _ P | ngth H | Modulus ksį |
| | | typ | min | typ. | min | typical | typ | typ | min | typical | typ | min | typical |
| 1/8-20240015 | 5.0 | 700 | 525 | 780 | 620 | 200 | 425 | 500 | 400 | 82.0 | 315 | 250 | 33.0 |
| 1/8-20240020 | 6.7 | 1100 | 825 | 1225 | 980 | 300 | 640 | 760 | D0 è | 118 | 470 | 375 | 45.0 |
| 1/8-20240025 | 8.0 | 1480 | 1100 | 1650 | 1320 | 380 | 840 | 960 | 770 | 148 | 590 | 470 | 54.0 |
| = 1/.8-20240030 | 9.5 | 1970 | 1475 | 2300 | 1725 | 480 | 1120 | 1150 | 950 | · 170 | 650 | 585 | 64.0 |
| 3/16-20240015 | 3.5 | 330 | 250 | 370 | 290 | 86 | 200 | 290 | 230 | 55.0 | 180 | 143 | 23.0- |
| 1/4-20240015 | 2.8 | 220 | 165 | 250 | 175 | 40 | 110 | 200 | 140 | 42.0 | 120 | 88 | 19.0 |

Not evailable with a CR III finish, but can be supplied with R-500 constant prime





FOR INDUSTRIAL USE CONLY — In determining, whether the meterial is suitable for a particular application, such fasters as overall, preductdesign and the processing and environmental conditions to which it will be subjected should be considered by the user. This following is madein liqu of all vermetics, earned any subject of the probability of the product which has prover to nor substantially comply with the data presented in this buildent, in the overt of the disevery of a non-conterming groduct, Seller shall not be liable for any commercial least or denses, direct or company and of the order of the use of or the investity to use the product shall be the intermeted use, and use assumes all risks and liability whether in contaction there with Statements relating to passible use of our product for his interacted use, and use assumes all risks and liability interaction in connection there are supproved for such the particulation of our product for his interacted use, and use assumes all risks and liability interaction or the they are supproved for such use by any government area. The require guarantees that such use is free of parter intringenent or their are substantially cause by any government areas.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES:



SALES OFFICES:

Arlington, Tanap 76011, Saite: 105, 2710 Avanue & East, (\$17) 274-2578 Bel Air, Maryland 21014, Loyale Federat Bidg., Main Sc. and Palford Ava., (301) \$38-0050 Bellevon: Weshington 96004, Saite 301, "400" Bidg., 400 - 108th Ava., N.E., (206) 455-0418: Duble, California 94566, 11711 Duble Bouleverd, (415) \$28-4200. Lang. Beach, California 90897, Saite 622, 3711 Lang. Beach Bivd., (213) 595-6811

22

encel S.A. - Ree Treis Bourdens, Walkenreadt, Liege, Belgium, 087-880765



WR II SHELTER CORE® WATER RESISTANT KRAFT HONEYCOMB

D.S. 1040

March 31, 1981

WR II SHELTER CORE

WATER RESISTANT STRUCTURAL KRAFT HONEYCOMB

FEATURES:

Low Cost

High Structural Strength/Low Weight

High Resistance to Water Migration

High Fungus Resistance

Structural Grade Honeycomb

APPLICATIONS:

WR II Shelter Core has been developed by Hexcel as a structural grade honeycomb core material for use in the construction of various types of air-transportable military shelters. The product meets the requirements of militray specification MIL-H-21040, C revision, and has substantially less than one cell water migration in 24 hours when tested to MIL. STD. 4018.

Typical applications include personnel shelters, transportable medical units, electronic enclosures, utility buildings and intermodal cargo containers.

DESCRIPTION:

WR II Shelter Core is a highly water resistant core material produced from kraft cellulose fiber web materials under a patented. Hexcel process. The honeycomb web has been treated with special chemicals and polymers to provide anti-water migration characteristics and excellent mechanical properties.

Shelter Core can be bonded with basic adhesive systems to any standard sandwich facing material to provide a high strength, low cost sandwich panel. Due to moisture pick-up, WR II core may have to be oven dried before bonding.

SPECIAL PRODUCTS:

WR II Shelter Core can be provided pre-cut to specific L and W dimensions, as well as in expanded block form up to 30 inches T. Panels. up to 4 inch T can also be supplied filled with a 20 pcf polyurethane form for added thermal insulation. In addition, bare or form filled core is available with HEXABOND® cell edge adhesive eliminating the need for tape or paster adhesive in bonding. flat sandwich panels. For information on these special products contact your nearest Hexcel Sales.

Registered Trademark, Hencel: .

TYPE DESIGNATION:

WR II Shelter Core Honeycomb is designated as follows:

Material - Cell Size - Density

Example:

WR 11-3/8-3.8

Where:

WR II designates honeycomb type

3/8 is the cell size in inches 3.8 is the nominal density in pounds per cubic foot

DIMENSIONAL NOMENCLATURE

T Thickness, or cell depth

L Ribbon direction, or width

W Long direction, or direction perpendicular to the ribbon



AVAILABILITY:

WR II Shelter Core will be supplied F.O.B. Casa. Grande, Arizona. Check with your local Hexcel Sales. Office for availability.

SPECIFICATIONS:

General — WR II Shelter Core will be supplied in flat expanded sheets free from foreign contaminates and ready for bonding.

Configuration — The average cell size as measured across the flats (nodes) of cells will be ± 10% of the nominal. Cell determination will be made by measuring the length of 10 consecutive cells in 6 random locations and averaging the results. Double laps will be permitted as long as the core blankets are within density tolerance. Unbonded nodes will be permitted to the extent that no opening larger than three times the nominal cell size is created and the minimum mechanical properties are obtainable.

- . Density The acceptable tolerance on density will be \pm 10%.
- Water Migration The WR II Shelter Core product line will meet a limit of 1 cell water migration in 24 hours when tested in accordance with MIL. STD. 4018.
- Standard Dimensions WR II Shelter Core materials are available in the following standard sizes and dimensions with tolerances indicated:

| PRODUCT | L | w | T Max. | T Min. |
|-------------------|----------|----------|--------|---------|
| WR II - 3/8 - 25 | 45" Min. | 96" Min. | . 30" | 0.250** |
| WR II - 3/8 - 3.8 | 45" Min. | 96" Min. | 30~ | 0.250** |

Thickness tolerance for up to 4.000" T will be \neq .010, for over 4.000" T tolerance will be \pm .125". Other L and W dimensions are available. Please contact your nearest Hexcel Sales Office for additional information.

Mechanical Properties — WR II Shelter Core meets the mechanical property requirements of MIL-H-21040 revision C. In addition, the following typical properties have been obtained when tested per MIL-STD-401B at 0.500 inch T.

| 1 | a the second | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | | |

| HEKCE. | | COMPRESSIVE PLATE SHEAR | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| HONEYCOMB | Bare | Stabili | land | "L" Di | ection- | "W" Di | rection- |
| DESKINATION Material - Call - Density | Strengthr pel- | Strangth- pel | Modulus: Itali | Strength- pel | Modulus kai | Strength pai | Modulus ksi |
| WR II - 3/8 - 25 | 260 | 340. | 33. | 170 | 13 | 100 | 7 |
| WR II - 3/8 - 3.8 | 515 | 570. | 55 | 255 | 19 | 175 | 9 |

Trained, Bare- Constrainty- Strangty- Retantion- ofter- 24- http:// seals.is distilled water to 55%.

OR INDUSTRIAL USE ONLY — In determining whether the material is sublable for a particular application, such factors as overall product party and the processing and anviewmental conditions to which it will be subjected should be considered by the user. The following is made in lide, of all versanities, expressions of implied. Salidar's only oblighten shall be to regulate such quantity of this product which has proven to not ubstantiably comply with the data parameter in this buildaries in the source of an the inability to use the product. Salid shall not be ubstantiably comply with the data parameter in this buildaries in the source of at the inability to use the product. Salid shall not be ubstantiably comply with the data parameter in this buildaries in the source of at the use of at the inability to use the product. Salid shall not be ubstantiably commercial less or demone, dreat or comessantial, and user assume all risks and liability instances in connection there with Statements relating to parabolic use of our product are not guarantees that such use is free of patent infinitement or that they are warmed for any commercial to an dreate the risk are not guarantees that such use is free of patent infinitement or that they are warmed for any and by any government again.



ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES:

Dublin, California 94566, 11711 Dublin Boulevard, (415) 828-4205

SALEL OFFICES:

Arlington, Taxon 7601 I, Saite 108, 2710. Avenue E East; (817) 274-2578. Let Air, Maryland 21014; Loyole Federal Bidg., Main St. and Pullerd Ave., (301) 838-0050. Letleron, Washington 96004; Saite 301, "400" Bidg., 400 – 108th Ave., N.E., (206) 455-0418. Jublin, California 94566, 11711 Dublic Bealavard, (415) 828-4200 ang. Beach, California 90607; Saite 622, 3711 Long Beach Bivd., (213) 595-6811"

2H

Hausel S.A. - Rap Treis Bourdons, Wolkenreadt; Liege, Beigium, 087-880765



ACG® — HONEYCOMB ALUMINUM COMMERCIAL GRADE D.S. 6000 Feb. 14, 1980

ACG[®] — ALUMINUM COMMERCIAL GRADE HONEYCOMB

FEATURES:

Low Cost High Structural Strength/Low Weight Corrosion Resistant

APPLICATIONS:

ACG is a commercial honeycomb core offering industrial designers, at relatively low cost, the advantages of an all-metal honeycomb with long service life and resistance to fungus, moisture and temperature. Uses include industrial tooling panels, architectural panels, shelving, storage tank covers, building walls, table and counter tops.

DESCRIPTION

Aluminum commercial grade honeycomb is made from 3000 series aluminum alloy foil approximately 3 mils thick. An organic coating is applied to the foil which provides excellent protection to corrosive atmospheres. Four cell sizes and densities are available. The honeycomb is manufactured by bonding together sheets of aluminum foil which are expanded to form a cellular honeycomb configuration. The node bond adhesive is a thermosetting type; cured under heat and pressure with the honeycomb in the unexpanded or HOBE® (HOneycomb Before Expansion) condition. Slices are cut from the unexpanded material to specified thickness; or cell depth, and then expanded to final configuration.

The honeycomb can be supplied either expanded or in HOBE slices. Any panel thickness between 0.125 and 20 inches: can be provided. Material will normally be perforated such that all cells will be vented in a slice as thin as 0.187 inches. Non-perforated honeycomb is available upon request.

SPECIAL PRODUCTS/CUSTOM PROCESSING:

Aluminum commercial grade honeycomb can be provided machined or formed into various shapes. This can include edge chamfering, simple and complex taper cuts, and other special machined configurations. Hexcel can also supply flat sandwich panels using ACG with a wide variety of facing materials, close-outs and dimensions. Contact the nearest Hexcel Sales Office for additional information:

@ Registered. Trademark, Hansal.

TYPE DESIGNATION:

ACG honeycomb is designated as follows:

Material — Cell Size — Density — Perforated

Example:

ACG - 3/8 - 3.6P

Where:

ACG designates corrosion resistant horieycomb type: 3/8 is the cell size in inches

3.6 is the nominal density in pounds per cubic foot.

P-Indicates cells walls are perforated.

DIMENSIONAL NOMENCLATURE

T=Thickness, or cell depth

L=Ribbon direction, or width

W=Long direction, or direction perpendicular to the ribbon





AVAILABILITY:

ACG: honeycomb will be supplied. F.O.B. Graham, Texas, or Casa Grande, Arizona. Contact nearest Hexcel Sales Office for delivery information.

Standard Dimension:

Hexcel's aluminum commercial grade materials are available in the following standard size:

| Unexpanded L (HOBE): | Expanded Dimensions: | Sq. Ft. / Per: Panel: |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 66" ± 1" | 48" + 2" - 0" L × 102" + 2" - 6" W | 34 |

One of the major advantages of the ACG product line is the availability of a structural metallic honeycomb at low cost. This is possible because the product is made in only one panel size and is shipped untrimmed as expanded. While variations in "T" are available, the "L" and "W" dimensions will only be supplied in the expanded dimensions shown above. Special "L" and "W" requirements or pieces cut to size can be supplied upon request but may carry a premium charge, depending on volume.

Thickness Tolerance:

Tolerance on cut thickness are as follows:

| Panel Thickness | Standard Tolerance |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| .125 to 4.000" | ± .008" |
| 4.001" and Over | ± .062" |

Density Tolerance:

The standard density tolerance on the nominal density is $\pm 15\%$.

Mechanical Properties:

ACG honeycomb has been tested per MIL Std. 401. The following typical properties apply:

| HCICEL | Vila | CO | DMPRESSIVE | | | - PLATE SHEAR | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-------|
| HONEYCOME | | Baro | Stabilland | | Laro Stabilland | | 1 ST | "L" Direc | tion | "W" Dire | ation |
| Maturial-Call-Gage | Nomina | Strength- | Strength 2014 | Madukus. kal | Cruth | Strength- | Modulus. | Strength pet, | Modulus kai | | |
| ACE 1/4-892 ACE 2/8-883 ACE 3/4-882 ACE 1-982 | 5.2 3.6 1.8 1.4 | 178. 598. 525. 95. 64- | 172. 419. 240 118- 655 | typ. 149: 92: 24: 160: | 179- 245- 128- 45- 25- | 1yp. 345 216 95 55Þ | typ. 63 | тур. 215 138 55 46- | 1724 - 31 - 28 - 8 - 77 | | |

Tested at 0.625 inch thickness.

p-prelminary values.

FOR INDUSTRIAL USE ONLY

The following is made in lieu of all warranties, express or implied: Seller's only obligation shall be to replace such quantity of this product which has proven to not substantially comply with the data presented in this builetin. In the event of the discovery of a non-conforming product, Seller shall not be liable for any commercial loss or damage, direct or consequential, arising out of the use of or the inability to use the product. Before using user shall determine the suitability of the product for his intended use, and user assumes all risks and liability whatsoever in connection therewith. Statements relating to possible use of our product are not guarantees that such use is free of patent infringement or that they are approved for such use by any government agency. The foregoing may not be changed except by an agreement signed by an officer of seller.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES:

Dublin, California 94566, 11711 Dublin Bouleverd, (415) 828-4200.

SALES OFFICES:

Arlington, Taxas 76011, Suite 102, 2710 Avenue E East, (817) 274-2578 Bel Air, Maryland 21014, Loyala Faderal Bldg., Main Sc. and Palford Ave., (301) 838-0050 Bellova, Washington 98004, Suite 301, "400" Bldg., 400- (08th Ave., N.E., (206) 455-0418-Dublin, California 94566, 11711 Dublin Sectored, (415) 828-4200 Jang Beesh, California 9007, Suite 622, 3711 Long Beesh Blvd., (213) 595-6811

ieneni S.A. - Rue Treiz Bourdens, Weikenreedt; Liege, Belgium, 087-880765

7-480765



HRH-10 NYLON FIBER REINFORCED HONEYCOMB

.

| HEXCEL. | | | COMPRES | live: | | PLATE SHEAR | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-------------|---------------|------------|----------------|--|-------|----------------------------|--------------------|------|-----------------|
| HONEYCOME | Jer | • | | Stabilize | H. | "L" Direction Strength Mod pai k | | ion ⁻ "W" Direc | | tion | |
| DESIGNATION Material - Cell - Density Gage: | Stren; pei | ith | Stree pi | igth ii | Modulus ksi | | | Modulus ksi | is Strength psi | | Modulus Icsi |
| Hexagenal | typ | min | TYP | min | typical | typ | min | typical | typ | min | typical |
| HRH 10-1/8 -1.8 (1.5) | 110 | 70 | 130 | 45 | - | 90. | 65 | 3.7 | 50 | 36 | 2.0 |
| HRH 10 - 1/8 - 3.0 (2) | 300 | 180 | 330 | 270 | 20 | 180 | 162 | 7.0 | 95 | 85 | 3.5 |
| HRH 10-1/8 -4.0 (2) | 500 | 330 | 560 | 470 | 28 | 245 | 225 | 9.2 | 140 | 110 | 4.7 |
| HRH 10-1/8 - 5.0 (3) | 775 | 600 | 860 | 660 | - | 325 | 235 | í — | 175 | 120 | _ : |
| HRH 10-1/8 -6.0 (3) | 1075 | 800 | 1125 | 825 | 60 | 370 | 260 | 13.0 | 200 | 135 | 6.0 |
| HRH 10-1/8 -8.0 (3) | 1575 | 1100 | 1700 | 1250 | 78 | 490 | 355 | 16.0 | 250 | 190 | 7.8 |
| HRH 10 - 1/8 - 9.0 (3) | 1700 | 1400 | 1800 | 1600 | 90 | 520 | 370 | 17.0 | 270 | 240 | 9.0 |
| HRH 10-5/32-5.0 (4) | 800 | - | 900₽ | - | _ | 360⊅ | | 11.59 | 180₽ | _ | 5.0P |
| HRH 10-5/32-9.0 (4) | 1775P | - | 2050⊅ | - | - | 525P | _ | 18.0> | 285p | - | 9.5 P |
| HRH 10-3/16-2.0(2) | 150 | 90 | . 170 | 105 | · 11 | 110 | 72 | 4.2 | 55 | 40 | 2.2 |
| HRH 10-3/16-3.0 (25 | 300 | 180 | - 330- | 276 | 20 | 130 | 130 | 5.0 | 75 | 67 | 3.5 |
| HRH 10-3/16-4.0 (3) | 500 | 320 | 560 | 470 | 28 | 245 | 215 | 7.8 | 140 | 110 | 4.7 |
| HRH 10-3/16-4.5 (5) | 425 | 320 | 475 | 400 | - | 290 | 225 | 9.5 | 145 | 110 | 4.0 |
| HRH 10-3/16-6.0(5) | 650 : | 580 | 700 | 650 | - | 390 | 330 | 14.5 | 185 | 150 | 6.0 |
| HRH 10-1/4 -1.5 (2) | 90 | 45 | 95 | 55 | 6 | 75 | 45 | 3.0 | 35 | 23 | 1.5 |
| HRH 16-1/4 -2.0 (2) | T 50 - | 80 : | 170 | 105 | 11 | 110 | 72 | 4.2 | 55 | 36- | 2.8 |
| HRH 10-1/4 -3.1 (5) | 275 | 180 | 285 | 240 | - | 170 | 135 | 7.0 | 85 | 60 | 3.0 |
| HRH 10-1/4 -4.0 (5) | 370. | 310 | 400 | 360 | - | 240 | 200 | 7.5 | 125 | 95 | 3.5 |
| HRH 10-3/8: -1.5 (2) | 90 | 45. | * . 95 | 55. | 6 | 75 | 45 | 3.0 | 35 | 23 | T.S. |
| HRH 10-3/8 -2,0 (2) | 150 | 80. | 170 | 105 | 11 | 110 | 72 | 42 | 58 | 36 | 2.2 |
| HRH 10-3/8 -3.0 (5) | 285= | | 300- | - | 17> | 170 | - | 5.62 | 959 | - | 3.0 |
| OX-CORE | | | | _ | ┼───┤ | <u> </u> | | 1 | | | |
| HRHL 10/0X - 3/16 - 1.8 (2) | 110. | 70 | 130 | - | _ | 60. | 45 | 20 | 60 | 35 | 3.0 |
| HRH 10/0X - 3/16 - 3.8 (2) | 365 | 259 | 400 | 270 | 17 | 115 | 95 | 3.0 | 125 | 95 | 6.6 |
| HRH 10/0X-1/4 - 3.0 (2) | 350. | 210 | 385 | 250 | 17 | 110 | 90: | 3.0 | 115 | 90. | . 6.0 |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | ļ |
| HEM 10/515 -2.5.(1) | 154 | 105 | 170 | 179 | - 120 | 78 | 40. | 4.00 | 40 | 28: | 1. |
| HEL 10/535 -3 5 (5) | 300- | · | 350- | | 24 | 150- | _ | 57= | 30 | | 2.8 |
| HRH 10/F35 -4.5 (5) | 450 | _ | 490 | _ | 33> | - 270- | - | 7.3 | 1500 | · _ | 3.7 |
| HRM 10/750 - 2.5 (3) | 308 | | 150. | 217 | 24 | 150. | 105 | 5.7= | 80- | 56 | 2.80 |
| HRH 10/F50 -4.5.(5). | 450 | | 490 | | 33> | 270 | | 7.3 | 1500 | _ | 3.78 |
| HEH- 10/750 . S.G (E) | 516 | _ | 625 | 525 | 37 | 336 | . 300 | 8.0 | 190 | 160 | 41 |
| HEN 10/FS0 -5.5 (5) | 6500 | _ | 700 | _ | 420 | 390 | _ | 8.8 | 235 | | 4.6- |
| | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 |

Test data obtained at 0.500 inch thickne

٠.

P-preliminary properties (see page 11)

K

27

Ā

11-9-81

TOTS - TT3 AL. (SHEET & PLATE) L. T. 67,000 151 For = GT, OOO PSI Fy = 56,000 MSI 56,000 MI 58,000 151 Fey 2 55,000 151 Fsu = 38,000 PSP Fory = 105,000 ps: (e/d = 1.5) (e/2 = 20)First = 134,000 PSI Fory = 84,000 PS1 (=/d=1.5) Firy = 102,000 PSI (= / 1 = 2.0)Et = 10.3 × 106 PSI Ec = 10.6 × 10 psi G = 3.9 × 10 4 151 = . . e = 101 18/143 $\propto = 12.9 \times 10^{-6}$

7005-753 AL. EXTRUSION 6. 7. 50,000 PSI 48,000 151 C Fm = 42,000 PSI 44,000 151 Fay = 44,000 PSI 43,000 PSI Fay = 28,000 151 F_{SU} = (2/8=1.5) 72,00 Ó PSI Foru = 95,000 PSI (e/d = 2.6) Fort = (=/d=1.5) 59,000 151 Fory= 73,000 PS1 (e/1 = 20) First 10,3 × 10 4 151 $E_{f} =$ 10.5 × 10 PSI Ec= 3.9 × 10 + 151 6.= .101 L8/143 6 = 13.2 × 10 - F o€ = 10 % 6 =

10,3 × 10 .3205 2× 3.9 × 106

ALCOA SUPPLIERS ~

REYMOLAS

MARTIN MARIETTA

E. LOADING CALEVLATIONS 914 **.**. STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS **, 1** THE WEB COMPRESSION AND SHEAR FLOW ARE CALCULATED FOR THE GEOMETRY SHOWN AS FOLLOWS . BELOW 30.00 25.00 38.1695 .625 25.00 18.0446 . 255. 2=38.2695 30

3m 1040 THE COMPRESSION THE WEB a N 15, CRITICAL TIRE LOAD CONA. 833 LA/IN \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow F = 24 × 833 × 18 = 15,189 48 25 COSR B=18.0446* ESTIMATE 10" ARE - 5* 25 " EFFECTIVE F= 1514 L8/100, CRITICAL AXIAL LOAD CONO. 708 25/M 0 25"-THE REACTIONS WILL 8 E CALCULATED RODYING BY FREE THE AGAM AT REALTION SOLYING FOR THE UNKNOWN POINT E -2 REFWEEN REAMS RY EAUNEING MOMENT NOTATIONS AF PT 2. - 3/

912

NO. 1 AS & CRITICAL ANIAL LOAD CONO.)



 $\theta_2 = \frac{207,300}{ET} - \frac{8.333}{ET}$

"

(

~,~



 $O_2 = \frac{-225, L25}{55} + \frac{8.323}{55} M$

EQUATING ROTATIONS & SOLVING FOR M

M = 285,638+207,800 29, 577 M-48 \$,333 + 8.873

$$(CRITICAL LINAL LOAD COMP.) IDENTIAL
Fre = TOER II.0 + 5.5 - 29,577 = 530.28 LG
Fre = TOER II.0 + 19.5 + 29,577
25.0
+ TOE(T + 21.5 + 18 + 4,0) + 29,577 = 14,742 LG
25.0
F3 = TOE(T + 2.5 + 18 + 4,0) + 29,577 = 14,742 LG
E3 = TOE(T + 2.5 + 18 + 21.4) - 29,577 = 10,215.724
E 25,4987 LS
CHASCH EF = TOE + 3G = 25,4986 LS
CHASCH EF = TOE + 3G = 25,4986 LS
f3 = 10,245.72 = 10,744 LG
ASSOMME 10th ABE EFFECTIVE
f5 = 1074 Le/M.
CRITICAL TRACK LOAD COMP.
10 + 145 + 12.55 = 237.357 LO/M
31.0 + 145 + 12.55 = 237.357 LO/M
25$$

÷

F.

10 - K-81 714 CRITICAL SHELL FLOW COND. g = 82,000 4 = 38.3695 = 534.28 LB/IN. CRITICAL COLUMN AND SHEAR BUCKLING $P_{CR} = \frac{3/.348}{L^2 + \frac{3/.348}{L_R} \frac{E_{y} I}{E_{y} I}}$ - 3 Kalini $\mathcal{R}_{ca} = 2\left(\mathcal{T}_{ca} \neq f\right)$, $\mathcal{L}e/\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{L}$ $T_{eR} = \frac{k \pi^2 E_f E_c t}{42 h^2}$ t = Z ty te 21 $K = 5.35 + 4.0 \left(\frac{b}{c}\right)$ a = 1-12 J= - 202 \bigcirc REF NEXCELS BROCHURE E, "HONEYCOMP SANDWICH " DESIGN", PC. 12 & TIMOSHENKO; "THFORY OF ELESTIC STABILITY", A. MO. 34

THE FORGOING EQUATIONS WERE PROGRAMMED ON AN HO-97 CALCULATOR SHAUF DATA L . WES LENGTH , IN L = WES DEPTH , M MAT MISSON'S RATIO OF FACES GE = SAVEAR MODULUS OF CORE, 151 ET = MODULUS OF FACES, Y DIR. , PSI to = CORE THICKNESS , IN LE = FACE TNIGHMESS ; IN. OUTPUT DATA

SHAPLET DATA

For CRITICAL SHEAR FLOW , LE/M

PER CRITICAL COLUMN LOAD, LO, IN.

EXISTING AL, BRIDGE BEAM REF ~ RICHARD HELMKE 10-19-81 - (–) (703) 664 -4935 I = 25309 14! C = 19.685 14 (BOTTOM) J/c= 1284.72 12 TOP CHORD - 1005-T53 A = 29.32 IN2 I, = 20.86 IN " 2 = 20 IN BOTTOM CHORD ~ A = 2T.4T IN (AL EQUIVALENT) STRAM OUR TO RENDINC $E = \frac{2/C_{3,000} \times /2}{2}$ = .002002 in/in 1284:72 × 10 × 10 4 LTEN LOWER CHORD 1,009,000 x 12 = .000942 14/14 1284 72 × 10 × 10 * LCOMP. LOWEL CHOLD 36

| SHEAR WER TRADE OFF STUDY |
|--|
| THE WER TRADE OFF STUDY IS PERFORMED |
| 35 FOLLOWS, |
| 1- THE RATIO OF 90" ORIENTED FIBERS TO |
| 145° ORIENTED FIBERS IS PETERMINED |
| BY CALCULATING THE REQUIRED THICKNESS |
| RASED ON COMPOSITE PROPERTIES THE |

• ()

- LAMINATE. THE OPTIMUM RATIO IS THE POINT WHERE THE REQUIRED THICKNESS FOR STRENGTH IN THE 90° DIRECTION IS EQUAL TO THE REQUIRED THICKNESS IN THE \$45° (HEME) DIRECTION. 2- THE SANDWICH - WALL FACING THICKNESS IS NEXT SOLVED FOR BY AN ITERATIVE
 - PROCRESS BASED ON CALCULATING THE STREES IN THE "X", "Y", AND "X-Y" (SNEAR) DIRECTIONS AND COMBINING THEM USING THE FAILURE CRITERION SHOWN ON PACE 15-
- 2- USING THE EDERCOING MATERIAL AND FROME THREE MESSES THE CORE THREEMESS IS DETERMINED BASED ON STABILITY REQUIREMENTS USING THE COMPUTER PROGRAM (SEE AS IT) AND THE BOULLING MELACTION EQ (SEE AC. 15).

CRITICAL SHEAR WEB LOADS (LIMIT) 1514 28/14 5. 5.34 LO/IN. 1 5 1 . 38. CHS* E= ,00202 IN/IN E = .00202 IN/IN LIMIT LOLDS WER FACINE MATERIAL ~ E-GLASS / EPONY (VS =. 50) FSELS FATIGUE FACTOR = . 3/ MINIMUM' THICK HESS OF FACES VS CONSE. FIGRAS PSI 2 20 Enot 14 Ł Frie 14 151 101, 502 ,0722 10,469 -90 .2469 93,004 .0788 13,124 .80 .1970 .0867 LS, 779 .1636 84, SAS . 70 76,007 .0964 18,453 .1402 .60 GT, SOT 1085 21, 088 .1226 .50 57,011 .1241 23,792 .1089 .40 50, 512 .1980 26,397 .0978 .30 * To FIGERS & 90°, REMAINING & ±45

The CAST A DO S'SST & ENSO

38

$$TRY = I_{well} = ... /8 IN = -GLA35 / GRONY = V_{1} = .50$$

$$G_{X}^{*} = .00202 \times 1.522 \times 10^{6}$$

$$= 3074 PSI = I_{Y} = .522 \times 10^{6} PSI = .9627 \times 10^{6} PSI = .9783 = .9783 = .9783 = .9783 = .9783 = .9783 = .9783 = .978 = .9783 = .9783 = .9783 = .9783 = .978 = .978 = .971 = .158 = .9783 = .971 = .9783 = .971 = .9783 = .971 = .9783 = .971 = .9783 = .971 = .9783 = .971 = .9783 = .971 = .9783 = .971 = .9783 = .971 = .971 = .9733 \times .63, 24.0 = .971 = .9733 = .9733 = .9733 = .9733 = .9733 = .9733 = .9733 = .9733 = .9733 = .9733 = .9733 = .9733 = .9733 = .9733 = .9734 = .971 = .972 = .971 = .971 = .972 = .971 = .9$$

ł

F.S.El.S E&R g = 1.5 x 534 = 801. 28/14 } ULT, Lodies Ten = 1.5 × 1514 = 2271 L8/14 . Le = 1.30 SEF 16. 15 HERACTION $\left(\frac{2271}{2336}\right) + \left(\frac{810}{8126}\right)^2 = .9821 < 1$ 175.0000 *** 38.6450 n G E 2-245 X. (6. 4 13000.0000 2019000.000 ちょ 1.3300 *** 8.3900 *** for -- 8125.8149 - 2005_ жжа Ć UNIT WEIGHT CALCULATIONS .0122 L8/142 .0675 × ./ 8 FACKS ~ = .0022 x 1.30 = ,0019 C648 ADHESIVE ~, OYSX, OIS Z, OOOG .0157 LB/ME

THICKNESS & WEICHT CORE STUPY FACE ~ E t = . 12 IN E-GLASS / EPOXY 6=274 IN 1 = 38.645 14. 16= .4249 Ge - 5 Ey = 3,019 × 10 \$ 151 te = 2; 5.09 1N

10-19-81 Spa

<u>(</u>):

| CO R.E. MATERIAL | 6e 1951 | 10 jin 3 | ± | Wc 13/142 | EW # |
|---------------------|------------|----------|-------|--------------|----------|
| WR I | 19,000 | .00220 | 1.300 | .00286 | .01564 |
| HAH-10 | 7500 | .0023/ | 1.400 | .0+813 | .01603 |
| CR III | \$5,000 | .00203 | 1.250 | -00254 | .01534 |
| PVC | 2200 | .00359 | 1.900 | .00682 | .01762 |
| UR. | 3000 | .00347 | 1.700 | -00580 | .01870 |

2 . WR I tick II HRH -10. URBTHANE pvc 275.00001 276.0000 276.0000 36.5450 275.3000 38.6450 276.0000 38.6450 8.4249 38.6450 38.6450 9.4249 6.4245 6.4249 8.4249 19000.0000 7506.0000 55000.0000 3000.0000 2200.0000 3019000.000 3019000.000, 3019000.000 3019000.000 3019068.000 1.3000 1.4000 Ĵ 1.2500 1.7000 1.9000 8.0908 8.6960 ж 8.8968 0.0900 0.0900 8126.8149 9381.5915 7533.1572 17004.6968 13685.6103 2336.2685 2328.8871 2311.6871 2355. 1923 2345.4888 NOTE : See 15 HELD ATTROUM ATELY CONSIGNT 41 .0118 + W UNIT キ プロブルム WT.

AGC 3/8 -. 003 CORE G. = 40,000 151 276.0000 第非英 38.5450 *** 8.4249 *** 49088.8988 *** 3013000.000 *** 1.2588 *** 8.8988 *** 7658.8897 *** 8 cm 12-

 $W_{c} = .06208 \times 1.26 = .06262$ $W_{f} = .0675 \times .18 = .01215$ $W_{2} = .043 \times .015 = .00065$.00065

42
10-17-81 TME . WEB FACING MATERIAL ~ SZ-GLASS / EPOXY (45=,50) F.S. = 1.S FATIGUE FACTOR 2.34 MINIMUM THICKNESS OF FACES VS CONST. 2 70 FICH Enab Fxy4 Ent FISENS **PS**1 14 . RST. IN .70 125,397 .0541 20,571 .1145 .60 110,363 .0605 24,334 .0768 -50 77,329 25,097 .0838 .0684 .40 84,295 .0792 34859 _0759 -30 71,361 .0937 35,622 .0661 * Z FIBERS AT ED", REMAINING AT ± 45" TAY E = . 124 14 SE-GLASS/EPOKY VE =. 50 45 to 0 90° / 55 to - ± 45° 0x =. 00202 × 1. CSO × 104 Ex= 1.650×10 pr Ey = 3.514 × 10 - MI = 3333 /55 G = 1.109 × 10 per May = .2955 1510 = 12,177 per Mys = .6162 .124 Free = ES, ast PEr Freis = 90, 812 PT1 534 4306 MI 124 FAVN = 27, 978 ASS FATIGUE FACTOR 5.34

٢.

10-23-81

$$\left(\frac{3333}{25,051\times.34}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{12,177}{90,942\times.34}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{3333\times12,177}{.34^{2}\times25,051\times90,812}\right)^{2}$$

TRY 1 = . 110 14. 52- CLASS / EPOXY V = . 50

5 = 3333 PSI

7

$$\left(\frac{3333}{.34\times25,051}\right) + \left(\frac{13,737}{.34\times90,812}\right) - \left(\frac{3323\times12,727}{.34^{2}\times25,051\times90,812}\right)$$

¥#

$$ThY I = .10 \text{ M}. \quad SZ-GLASS/RPONY \quad V_{1}=.50$$

$$TT = \frac{510}{.10} = .15,100 \text{ PS} \text{ PS}$$

-1

Ŕ

06 Ź

0x = 3833 PSI

$$G_y = \frac{1519}{.106} = 14,283 PS/$$

10-22-51

| CORE MATERIAL | Ge 151 | 10/003 | | ₩c 28/m² | Z W * |
|------------------|-----------|--------|-------|-----------------|-----------|
| ACG \$/8-,005 | 40,000 | .00208 | 1.550 | .00 3 22 | .0/092 |
| HRH -10 | 1500 | ,00231 | 1.600 | .00370 | .0/135 |
| URTTNANS | 3000 | .00347 | 7.950 | .0+677 | .01442 |
| PVC | 2200 | .00359 | 2.150 | .00772 | -01537 |

T= 201 20/14 } FS=1.5 P== 2271 20/14

ſ

 $\left(\frac{2277}{2335}\right) + \left(\frac{307}{7695}\right)^2 = .9822 < 1 05$

| | • | | | |
|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| | | • | 1 | |
| 1 63 | 275.0000 | 276.0000 | 275.6000 | 276.0000 |
| *1 | 38.645ê | 38 . 54 50 | 38.6450 | 35 .5450 |
| жX | 8.4289 | 6.4289 | ā. 4289 | 8.4239 |
| *1 | 2200,0000 | 3608.0000 | 7309.0008 | 40000.0000 |
| #2 | 3516800.000 | 3516000.000 | 3516266.200 | 3516000.000 |
| жı | 2.1500 | 1.9500 | 1.5800 | 1.3300 |
| #1 | 0.0530 | 8. 8538 | ð. 8539 | ê. 0530 |
| 4 0 | 14678.6857 | 12097.9183 | 9817.5484 | |
| # 1 | 2348.4828 | 2311.1042 | 2322.9217 | 🛶 2338.924 3 - |

.00705 w_f 0665 = 21,053 %

Wz = .043× .000 4 .015 .00765 10/ m2

CORE THICKNESS VS FACINE THICKNESS STUDY FACINE ~ SZ-GLAIS / EPORY CORE ~ ACG 3/8-.003 6=276 M. L = 38. CHS IN. M= .4289 p=.00208 18/1~3 Ge = 40,000 PSI Ey = 3.516 ± 10 1955 20 = 1.550 , 1.410 1.310 15 = .0530 , .0630 , .0730 14' IN = .01892 .01194 .01308 10/14. . W2 = .043 × .615 = .000 CS $w_f = iz \neq (.accs) =$ Wc = = (.00208) =_ £ 276.000 **XX** * 275.000 + 276.303 ... 38.645 *×·· 38.645 *** 38.645 ** • 8.429 . *** 8.425 0.429 A. . **-*****> -400**80. 80**0 49888.289 *** 49600.000 *** 3516800.000 3515000.000 **> - 建第二 3516060.000 - 本本 -1.418 ### 0.063 ##• 1.310 *** 1.550 *** 6.073 *** 0.053 ***, 7646.833 **** fer . 7725.218 *** 7695.916 *** . 2333.819 *** 2316.523 . *** 2738.924 -*** Per 3 48

10-23-81

•

| MINIAL | , FAT 144 TH | CKNES | FACTOR | : = .G/S PACTNE | VS. COMS |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 7 70° FIBSES* | Fyer 1951 | Enot IN | Fasta 1951 | Errof 110 | |
| .70 | 119,525 | .0309 | 24,810 | .0525 | |
| -60 | 107, 367 | .0338 | 28,005 | .0465 | |
| .50 | 99, 208 | .0872 | 31, 200 | .0417 | • |
| .40 | 89,050 | .0415 | 34,394 | .0379 | |
| .30 | 78, 89/ | .0468 | 37, 589 | .0346 | |
| TRY = 00202 = 5353 1 $y = \frac{11}{.054}$ $= \frac{534}{.054}$ | 2.054 2.650 x PSI (L) - 2.3,6 - 2.9,6 | 10 ⁶ wr) 37/51 | T-300 / Ei 457, 4 Ex = 2.C. Ey = 8.4 G = 2.56 Eny = .2 Ey = .2 Finn = 2 Fycn = 91 Finn = 31 | 9024 Vf 90° / 55% 50 × 10° 71 × 10° 1 × 10° 376 376 376 376 376 376 376 376 376 376 | E . 50 , J 48 , J 48 , , , , , , , , , , , , , |

٠.

÷۲

Į –

$$\left(\frac{5353}{...,55\times 27,3246}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{28,037}{...,45\times 74,127}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{5353\times 28,037}{...,616^{2}\times 27,344\times 74,127}\right)$$

$$t \left(\frac{2887}{...,645\times 22,777}\right)^{2} = ...,4194$$

$$FS = \frac{1}{\sqrt{...,4177}} = 1.5442 \quad OK$$

$$CORE THICKNESS f UNIT WEIGHT STUPY$$

$$b = 276 \quad IM$$

$$L = 38.645 \quad IM$$

$$M = \left(...,2376\times.7552\right)^{2} = ...,4245$$

$$G_{2} = F_{3} = 8.471 \times 10^{6} \quad M37$$

$$L_{6} = 0.277 \quad IM.$$

$$CORE G_{2} = \frac{1}{10} + \frac{100}{10} + \frac{$$

, (

) (:

 \bigcap

C 275.0000 276.0000 *** 276.0000 275.0000 38.6450 38.6450 38.6450 *** 38.6450 8.4248 6.4248 0.4248 *** 9.4248 3388.0000 2266.0000 48868.3888 *** 7586.8888 8471000.000 8471866.000 8471000.000 **E**XX 8471866.000 1.4100 2.0000 1.5500 1.8400 *** 0.2270 8.0270 0.0270 0.0270 *** 7670.0753 15345.5491 13883.8568 \$252.8048 277. 2729 . *** 2310.6394 2350.2816 2342.7511

.

3

- ···

· · ·

•

· .

5[.

-

ā

•

11-6-81

-

ā.

<u>___</u>

b = 276 IN L = 38.645 IN. M = .4248 G = Ly = 8.471 × 10⁶ PSI Lc = Lf = .0309 IN.

•

(

| CORE MATL. | 6e 1951 | (e 18/143 | ±c | We Lėjno ^e | Z W 48/12 |
|---------------|------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| ACG -3/8-,003 | 40,000 | -002+8 |). 320 | .00 275 | .00667 |
| HRN -10 | 7,500 | .00221 | 1:450 | .00335 | .00727 |
| URETHANE | 2000 | .00347 | 1.750 | .00600 | ,00992 |
| PVC | 2200 | .00359 | 1.920 | .00689 | .0/98/ |

: CORE THICKNESS & UNIT WEIGHT STUDY

W_f = 2×.0309×.0530 = .00328 W_z = .043×.015 = .00065

.00065 2.00392 10/10

| | | | • | | | |
|---|-------------|----------------------------|-----|-------------|----------------|--------------|
| | 275.0000 | 276 . A aa a | 1 | 276.0000 | 276.0000 | *** |
| | 38.6450 | 38.6450 | | 38.5450 | 36.6450 | ##+ |
| | 8.4248 | A. 4248 | 1 | 0.4248 | 8.4248 | *** |
| | 40000.0000 | - 7500,0000 | | 3000.0000 | 2200.0000 | *** |
| | 8471000.006 | 2471603.000 | • | 8471000.000 | 8471006.000 | *** |
| | 1.3200 | 1.4500 | · · | 1.7300 | 1.5200 | • |
| 3 | 0.0309 | 0.0309 | | 0.0309 | 0. 0309 | ••• |
| | 7725.0599 | 9302.4739 | | 13197.3131 | 16227.1019 | |
| | 2341.1768 | 2320.4928 | 1 | 2316.7779 | 2319.7221 | a • • |

| WEB FA | | -/ -~ A L | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| FS= I. | 5 | | Vç i | F , 30 | |
| F.F.E. | .615 | | 90 | FIBELS ~ | 7-300 |
| | | | <i>±</i> 4 | 5° FIBBBS | • 52 - 64 |
| Z 90" FIBERS" | Fyew 1981 | Emoto 14 | Fayu PSI | Eweb IN. | • |
| 70 | 108,441 | .034/ | 16, 126 | .0508 | |
| 60 | 94, 538 | .0390 | 16,426 | .0783 | |
| 50 | 80, 734 | .0457 | 16, 726 | .0779 | |
| 40 | 66,881 | .0552 | 17,027. | ,0765 | |
| 30 | 53, 02 f | .0696 | 17, 324 | ,0752 | |
| * 7. 114 | ERS AT | - 90° | REMA | INING AT | - ±45° |
| TRY | nde = -/ | 04 14 | 45% | AT 90° | 7-100 |
| ~ - AA202 | × 1.LL3 × | 104 | 55 % | AF ±45 | 52-6LA |
| × | | • | Ex = A | .663 × 10 ⁴ 1 | Ø\$ / |
| = 3358 | P51 | | Ey = 8 | 3.122 × 10 ⁶ | P51 |
| 1514 | • | | 6= | 1.177 × 106 | ps 1 |
| 0y = | = 14, | 557 151 | Jeny " | = ./362 | |
| | | | . Jergen - | : .6651 | |
| 7 = | , = s/. | 35 PSI | Freu | = 946 9 ps | 1 |
| ,104 | | | Fyca - | - 75,808 | 151 |
| | | | Fayer | = 14,876 | 151 |
| | | | 6 = | .0004 20/ | 1 And Contraction of the second |
| | | • | FATIO | OF FLOTO | 6 = .61 |

10-26-81

$$\left(\frac{3357}{.615 \times 9469}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{.14,557}{.645 \times 12,902}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{.3357 \times 14,557}{.615^{2} \times 9469 \times 72,905}\right)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{.5135}{.615^{2} \times 16,976}\right)^{2} = .4954$$

$$FS = \frac{1}{\sqrt{.4954}} = 1.4208$$

$$TRY = \frac{1}{10} = 1.4208$$

$$TRY = \frac{.514}{.110} = 1.4208$$

$$T = \frac{.514}{.110} = 1.5744 \text{ PSr}$$

$$T = \frac{.524}{.110} = 4855 \text{ PSI}$$

$$\left(\frac{.3357}{.615 \times 9469}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{.15,764}{.665 \times 73,805}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{.2359 \times 13,764}{.615^{2} \times 9469 \times 73,905}\right)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{.4955}{.615 \times 9469}\right)^{2} = .4286$$

$$FS = \frac{1}{\sqrt{.4954}} = 1.4208$$

•

.

(

 \bigcap

0 = 3359 Ox = 1514 = 13,280 151

 $\tau = \frac{534}{.114} =$ 151 4684

10-27-81

CORE THICKNESS & UNIT WRIGHT STUDY b = 27C IN L = 38.CHS IN $m = (.1362 \times .CSI)^{4/2} = .3010$ $G_c =$ $K_y = 8.122 \times 10^6 \text{ PSI}$ $t_c =$ $t_f = .057$ CORE $G_c \in L_c$ We EW

€ Too

(

| MATERIAL | <u>P51</u> | 18/1m3 | | 18/113 | LB/1003 |
|---------------|------------|--------|-------|--------|---------|
| ACG - 3/8 003 | 40,000 | .00208 | .980 | .00204 | .00958 |
| HRH -10 | 7500 | ,00231 | 1.120 | .00259 | .01013 |
| URETANE | 3000 | .00347 | 1.430 | .00496 | .0/250 |
| PVC | 2200 | .00359 | 1.630 | .00585 | .01339 |

Ws = 2x.057x.0604 = .00689

W1 = .043 x.015 = .00065

.00754 10/1m2

| | | | and the second distribution of the second strategies are as a second | ······································ | |
|-----------|--|--|--|---|----|
| ŗ | | | 24 | | ā |
| | 275.0600 38.6450 0.3010 40000.0000 8122000.000 | 276.0000 38.6450 0.3010 7500.0600 6122000.000 | 267.0000 38.6450 0.3010 3000.0000 8122000.000 | 276.0006 *** 38.6450 *** 8.3010 - 2200.0000 * 8122000.000 | |
| \supset | 0. 9800 0.0570 | 1.1200 • 0.0570 | 1.4300 0.0570 | 1.6308 ··· 0.0570 ··· | _ |
| | Per 7017.3405 Par 2324_9578. | 91 62.5317 2319.9556 | 14789.7165 2344.2378 | 18987.5144 *** 2333.7522 -*** | Í |
| | n an | and a second | | | 57 |

$$WEB PACING MATERIAL ~ SE-CLASS & MAS/EDDKY
TRY Lunch = .190 1A
So FIBEES - MINS
SO FIBEES
SO FIBE$$

10-26-81

| WEB FACING MATERIA | L~ HMS/BPOKY |
|--|---|
| TRY Ewob = . OC4 IN. | V _f = . 50 45 % a 90° |
| 0x = .00202 × 3.395 × 104 | 55% w ± 45° |
| : 6858 PSI | $E_{\rm X} = 3.395 \pm 10^6 \text{ ps/}$ |
| $\sigma_y = \frac{1514}{.064} = 23,656 \text{ MSI}$ | $E_y = 12.24 \times 10^{-157}$ $G = 3.696 \times 10^{+}$ $M_{1} \times 1 = .2317$ |
| $7' = \frac{534}{.064} = 8344 PS1$ | punyx = 18365 Free = 20,904 PSI |
| | Fyey = 72, 824 151 |
| | FXY4 = 25,885 PSI |
| | FATIGUE FACTOR = . CAS |
| $\frac{23,636}{.615 \times 20,904} + \left(\frac{23,636}{.615 \times 72,824}\right)^{2}$ | - (<u>6858 x 23,636</u> . 616 ² x 20,70 F x 72,824 |
| <u>8344</u> .45×25,885) ² = .5563 | |
| FS Z / . 340 | 8 |
| TRY twof = .074 14 | <u>.</u> |
| 0 = 6858 PS1 | |
| | |

SZ

10-26-81

$$S_{y} = \frac{1514}{.074} = 20,459$$
 ps/

$$\gamma = \frac{534}{.674} = 72/6 \text{ ps/}$$

$$+\left(\frac{72/4}{,4/5 \times 25,885}\right)^2 = .4550$$

·•]

• 🐔

{

$$FS = \frac{1}{\sqrt{.4550}} = 1.4824$$

CORE THICKNESS & UNIT WEILHT STUDY

$$G_{c} = I_{2-2}G \times I_{0}G^{L} = I_{2-2}G \times I_{0}G^{L}$$

*t*_c =

0x 6850 2 1514 54 PS / .076 4 £ 1 534 2026 151 .076

•

(• .

ذ.

CI

| _ | CORK MATERIAL | Ge 1981 | Pe 20/1~3 | Le | We 18/1112 | Ent 18/1~3 | - |
|------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| A | :6-3/8003 | 40,000 | .00208 | .990 | .00206 | .00683 | 5 |
| H | en - 10 | 7500 | .00231 | 1.130 | .00261 | .00740 | , |
| U | RETHANK | 3000 | . 00347 | 1.420 | .00493 | -00972 | - |
| / | °VC | 2200 | .00359 | 1.620 | -00582 | .01061 | , |
| ۱ | Ng = 2x.0 | 38×.0. | 545 = | .00414 | | | |
| ۱ | N ₁ = .043 | x,015 | . 3 | .00065 | | | |
| | | • | Σ | .00479 | 18/ IN2 | | |
| | | | | <u></u> | | in e | |
| | 275.00000 | 276 | . 3888 . | 276.000 | 6 | 275.0000 | * #.* |
| | 05.04000 | 50 | . 0430 | 38.645 | 8 | 33.0430 | |
| | 0.4020 | 6 | .4462 | . 0. 440 | 2 | 0.4402 1386 3888 | 4.4.4 |
| | 48000.02000 11160000.00 | 7503 122603 | . 6000 89. 80 | 3000.000 12260000.0 | 9 9 1 | 2260.000.00 | *** *** |
| | a 626669 · | • • | . 749 | | • | 1.6262 | *** |
| | 2.03600 | í d | .0380 | 1.420 8.838 | ð 9 | a. 0380 | ¥Ah |
| 2. | 7976.64261 | 18344 | . 8453 | 16227 386 | s i | 21052.4311 | 400 |
| 10 | 2342.04269 | 2337 | 5667 | 2212 441 | 2 | 2318.3841 | **.e |
| Per Per | 7976.54261 2342.04269 | 10344 2 33 7 | . a453 .5667 | 15227.386 2313.491 | 5 | 21052.4311 2 312.3041 | #× |
| | | | | | | · | |

Ľ

C

| | | • . • · . | | | | 9/4 | |
|---|-------------|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|--|-------|
| | WEB | MODULE | PESIC | H SUL | RAARY_ | | |
| | PARAMETER | • | WES FA | CE MA | TERIAL | | |
| | | A-GLASS | 52-61455 | TF-300 | HMS | 5257-300 | : : ' |
| | Ly, IA. | .090 | .0 53 | .027 | .038 | .057 | |
| | Ox, PSI | 3074 | 3333 | 5353 | 6850 | 1359 | |
| | Oy, PSI | 8411 | 14,283 | 28,037 | 19,921 | 13,280 | |
| | 7, 851 | 2967 | 5038 | 1889 | 7024 | 4684 | |
| | AGC 3/8003 | | | | | | |
| | te, in | 1.260 | 1.550 | 1.410 | . 780 | .950 | |
| | We, 18/12 | .00262 | :00322 | .00293 | .00206 | .00204 | |
| | EW, LB/m | .01542 | .01092 | .00644 | .04685 | , +0958 | • |
| | HRH - 10 | | | | | | |
| | £c , 14 | 1.400 | 1.600 | 1.550 | 1.130 | 1.120 | |
| | Wc, 28/102 | .00323 | . 00370 | .00358 | .00261 | .00259 | |
| | EW, 18/12 | . 0 1603 | .01135 | | | -0/0/2 | |
| | URETHANK | | | | | | |
| | te, in | 1.700 | 1.950 | 1.840 | 1.420 | 1.430 | |
| | WC, LB/IN2 | -00590 | .00677 | .00638 | .00492 | .00496 | ۰. |
| | EW, LB/1002 | .01878 | .01442 | | .00972 | .01256 | • |
| | PYC | | | | ••• | | |
| | 2c, 14 | 1.900 | 2.150 | 2-004 | 1.620 | 1.630 | ••• |
| | We, 10/102 | .00692 | .00772 | .00718 | -00582 | | |
| | ZW, LE/IN | . <i>#196</i> 2 | .01537 | | .0/061 | _G/339 | |
| 5 | | | | | • | ананан аларын аларын Аларын аларын | |
| / | , · · · | • | • | | | | |
| | • | · | | | • | | · |

a1

| | | | | | 11-6-81 |
|----------------|----------|----------------|------------|---------|-----------------|
| WEE MOD | ULA DAS | IGN SU | MMARY | ۶ ۱۹ | 7 . |
| (INCLUDING | NSULATIN | an f m. | M. THIC | KMESSE: | 5) |
| | WES | FACE | NATER I | 44 | |
| MRAMETER | E-GLASS | SE-64495 | T-300 | HMS | 52 \$ 7-30 |
| \$. , INS | .096 | .053 | .0309 | ,038 | .057 |
| tet in | . 0 | - 8 • . | .004 | .004 | .004 |
| Cox + PSI | 3074 | 3333 | 5353 | 6250 | 3359 |
| Oy, 151 | 8411 | 14,283 | 24,497 | 19,921 | 13, 2 80 |
| T, PSI | 2967 | 5038 | 8641 | to 24 | 4684 |
| ACG - 3/8003 | | J. | ·4 | | |
| de : IN | 1.260 | 1.550 | T 1.320 | -990 | .78+ |
| ZW , La/12 | .01542 | .01072 | .007/5 | .00736 | .01007 |
| HRH -10 | | · • | | | |
| Že, IN | 1.400 | 1.600 | 1.489 | 1.136 | 1.120 |
| ZW, Lefinz | .01605 | .01/35 | .00778 | .00791 | .01064 |
| RETHANE | | | | | |
| te, IM | 1.700 | 1.950 | 1.730 | 1.420 | 1.430 |
| 2W, 18/102 | .01870 | -01442 | .01043 | .01023 | . 01801 |
| PVC | | | | | |
| te, m | 1-900 | 2.150 | 1.920 | 1.620 | 1.630 |
| E. W. , 48/142 | . 01962 | , a1537 | .01132 | .01/12 | |

.

t insulation thickness are plea

•

•

ſ

)(

10-27-81 BOTTOM CHORD/WES JOINT STUDY 714 CRITICAL DISTRIBUTED LOAD (BOTTOM CHOLD) COND. • F= ______82,000 = 537 L8/14/WE8. 40 ×4 × COS 18.0446 CRITICAL ROLLER LOAD (BETTOM CHORD) COND. F = 36, 170 LB WIDTH OF ROLLER = 6.0 IN DIAMETER OF ROLLER & 16,0 IN. REF S. FIMOSHENKO, "THEORY OF ELASTICITY" PACE 282 HALF CONTACT WIDTH b = 1.52 V P'R P (^ MAX. CONTACT PRESSURE 9 = 0.418 1 0 P'= 36, 170 LE / 14 (ASSUMAINE I" WIDTH) 6 = 1.52 / 36, 120 × 8.0 = .2586 IN **A**.... 8 = 0418 136,170 × 10 × 10 = 10 = 88,880 48/12 A.THE WEE MODULE IS SIZED FOR A \bigcap UNIT LOADING OF ISIN 28/100 65____

10-27-81 . -REOUCTION FALSOR OUS TO OLOTH K = 1514 = ,0170 88-880 DEPTH = 10 6 (SEE FIG. FOL STRESS VS DEPTH FOR CYL ON A FLAT PLATE) DEPTH = 10 x 2586 = 2.586 IN.* * DEPTH 8451C WEB THICKNESS FROM SURFACE OF LOWER CHORD,

L



10-7-01

THE AL. WEE THICKNESS VS. DEPTH FOR b=.2586 M & 9= 88,880 LA/M ARE,

| DEPTH IN. | 0= 18/11 | 7 18/14 | # #2 | # | ## | £4 £4 14 |
|--------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------|--------|----------------|
| 0 | 82, 820 | 0 | 1.996 | 0 | | |
| . 26 | 62, 216 | 26,664 | 1.593 | 1.053 | | - |
| . 52 | 39,996 | 17,776 | , 895 | .742 | | |
| .78 | Z\$, 441 | 12,443 | | | ,853 | .667 |
| 1.03 | 21,331 | 8,888 | | • | .640 | 476 |
| 1.29 | 16, 887 | 6,222 | | | | . 3 33 |
| 1.55 | 14,220 | 5,33 Z | · | | -427 | .286 |

. . . .

 \mathbf{C}

 $^{\circ}$

.*

 $F_{c} = \frac{CT,000}{1.5} = 441, CLT PSI$

$$F_{\rm S} = \frac{38,000}{1.5} = 25,323$$
 PS/

** 7005 - 753 AL.

$$F_{5} = \frac{28,000}{1.5} = 18,666 MI$$

C9





للمحدث

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

GO EFFICIENT ľ THE MINIMUM FRICTION THE SHEAL ASSUMIME 15 CARLIED 8Y ÞĈ FRICTION 15, "f = 7. DEPTN F J.M. 0 •0 .24 .429 THESE VALUES ARE TOO HILH, .52 .444 SULCEST SERRATED SURFACES .78 .432 ONE PIECE CONSTRUCTION

C

Ľ

12-1-81 914 e^. BULKHEAD ANALYSIS V = 82,000 LB FS= 1.5 Ø 38.3695 - 25" 123,000 28 9 = 123,000 9 = 4x38,37 = 801,4 LO/IN (INCLUDES #551.5) ± 45 * 52-62455 / NPOKY FATICUE FACTOR = , 34 ā For = . 34 x 46, 910 = 15, 949 151 Z,= 2× 901.4 = . 1005 14 15,949 = = <u>801.4</u> 15,941 = .0503 IN. 70

| | 12-1-51 8Pa |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| SANDWICH WALL PANEL UNDER EN | CE WISE |
| SHRAR LOAD | |
| EQUAL ISOTROPIC FACES | |
| REF ~ MIL-HOAK - 23A , CHAPTER | 6 |
| ENTER | |
| L FACE THICKNESS, IN | |
| EC CORE THICKNESS , IN | |
| Z PANEL WIDTH, IN | |
| 6 PANEL LENGTH, IN | |
| E FACE MODULUS, PSY | |
| IL FACIE POISSON'S RATIO | |
| C CORE SHEAR MOBULUS | |
| CALCULATA | |
| $H = \pm \pm \pm \epsilon$ | |
| | |
| $D = \frac{E L n}{2(1 + 2)}$ | |
| 2(1-22) | |
| <u>6</u> | |
| 7 | |
| $V = \frac{\pi}{2}$ | |
| 6° h G | |
| OUTPUT | |
| 6/3 | |
| | |
| - | |
| | |
| Km & K. a (SEE REF FIL C | -7 - 6-11 |

6

F

Ľ

GALCULATE $K_{\mu} = K_{\mu 0} \left(\frac{\xi^2}{3h} \right)$ K= K= + Km $F_{3} = \frac{\pi^{2} \kappa}{4} \left(\frac{h}{6}\right)^{2} \frac{E}{(1-\mu^{2})}$ OUTPUT 6/3, V, Z, Zc, Z, b, E, M, G, Km, Kmo, Fsee STORACE Le 6 Ł 3 4 K /s 2 1 Kna Km Ü 73

TRY SAN OWICH WALL CONSTRUCTION 1 = . 05 IN. Ac = .50 M 7 = 38,37 14 25.00 14 ,8372 × /0 E = S2- CLASS / BPORY V. . . . 60 m=.8111 x = 145° ACG - 3/8 -. OGS HONEYCOME G = 40,000 PSI ht= + . 6516 6.25 8 MIL - HOBK-25A FIC 6-7 z. .0224 6.95 1. A. A. • 6/Z 2.3316 . *** 0.5216 東水の 0.0016 8.3264 - 東東東 6.0300 单掌羊 0.0300 8.3500 9.9500 ### **東東岸** 0.2500 6.3000 *** 0.4760 *** 6.4220 38.3720 35.3700 *** *** 38.3720 25.0000 *** 25.0000 *** 25.3888 837200.0000 837268.0000 *** *** 937220.2000 8.8111 *** 6.8111 *** 0.8111 6 40000.0000 *** 42266.2200 ₩ЖЖ 48666.0000 6.2580 Kin 6.2500 *** *** 6.2500 6 4542. ++v 6.9500 Kmo **X**\$X 6.9530 Fren 18320. 5644 **> 16392. 7599 15768.8437 4 6.6516 8.6516 _ **4.7**.8 3.80 γĒ Ø. J298 6.2329 3.34 0.0250 6.0253 *** P. 5000 ð.4560 *** 38.3788 38.3700 **[... 25.0000 25.0000 42.0 *******, 837208.0000 837260.0000 ¥¥ő ** 8.8111 0.8111 #7+ *** 48888.3888 40006.0000 *** *** *** 6.2500 6.7000 ¥8.4 6.9500 ·6.9588 *** 13637.2719 17854.5273 *** *** 93

12-1-81 WEE MODULE BULKHEAD ATTACHMENTS .053-1.550 BOND ADNES/VE 7. BLKO LAYUP CONTACT FILLED H.C. SYNTACTIC FOAM BLKD AOHESIVE OTTINL 74



G MATERIAL COSTS

1. HONEYCOMB

E

| | | | THICKNESS, IN | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| | Туре | Mfg By | 1.25 | 1.625 | 2.000_ |
| Alum. | ACG 3/8003 | Hexcel | \$ 2.30/FT2 | \$ 2.89/FT2 | \$ 3.49/FT ² |
| Alum. | CRIII 20240015 | Hexcel | 17.16 | 22.30 | 27.45 |
| Alum. | CRIII 50520015 | Hexce1 | 5.33 | 6.93 | 8.53 |
| Nomex | HRH 1/4-4.0(5) | Hexce1 | 13.21 | 17.17 | 21.13 |
| Nomex | HRH 1/4-4.0(5) | Ciba-Geigy | 7.25 | 9.29 | 11.33 |
| 6.2 LB/FT ³ | PVC Foam | Klegecell | 7.00 | 9.31 | 11.00 |
| 6 LB/FT ³ | Polyurethane Foam | Gen.Plastics | 1.69 . | 2.12 | 2.59 |

2. FIBER

| TYPE | MFG BY | COST, \$/LB |
|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| E Glass | Owens-Corning | 0.95 |
| S2 Glass | Owens-Corning | 3.87 |
| T300 Graphite | Union Carbide | 32.00 |
| HMS Graphite | Hercules | 50.00 |
| | | |

3. <u>RESIN</u>

| TYPE | MFG BY | COST, \$/LB |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------------|
| EA 826/TONOX LC | Shell Chem/US Rubber | \$1.724/LB |

.
ADDENDUM II

-



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY B. Ballinger/111/703-664-5140 US ARMY MOBILITY EQUIPMENT RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COMMAND FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA 22060

DROME . PEA

JAN 1982

SUBJECT: DAAK70-81-C-0210, Wound Web Bridge Modules

Fiber Science, Inc. ATTN: Mr. H. D. Goff 506 Billy Mitchell Road Salt Lake Cicy, Utah 84116

Gentlemen:

Reference FSI Letter No. 112236, dated 10 December 1981, with Phase I Report relative to subject contract.

Phase I Report has been reviewed and comments/direction follow:

a. <u>Recommendation 1</u> - Materials. The recommendation to use Hexcel ACG 3/8-.003 aluminum honeycomb core material is <u>Accepted</u>. The recommendation to use S2 Fiberglass Epoxy Composite Material is open to considerable question.

<u>Government Position</u> - The graphite epoxy skin material shown in the minimum weight position is much more weight efficient than the recommended compromise position of FSI (246# vs 425#). The higher cost (\$3006 vs \$1665) is due to FSI's selection of T300 graphite fiber and the Government requests that FSI investigate the use of Great Lakes Carbon Fiber Fortafil 3T or 4T as a possible alternative. These materials bracket the T300 material in properties and sell for \$18 per 1b. This material is available in a 40,000 tow size only, but a sincere effort to apply this material (or a cheap similar material) in the light of its much lower price should be made. A realistic module price of \$2000 and weight of 250 pounds should be possible.

b. <u>Recommendation 2</u> - Process. The lay-up option D which was recommended and acceptable for S2 glass is not shown to be cost effective for graphite fiber. The 18.02 figure shown with the asterisk is open to considerable question.

<u>Government Position</u> - FSI should determine the actual cost of the Knytex triaxial non-woven fabric for the low cost graphite fiber selected. A trial run may be necesary. This should not be costly since the material produced would be used for one module. If the Knytex process is not cheaper than alternative B, then alternative B of the "W" process will be used. Since both processess are hand lay-ups, the tooling will be the same with only fabric production differences. DRDME-PEA

SUBJECT: DAAK70-81-C-0210, Wound Web Bridge Modules

c. <u>Recommendation 3</u> - Drawings. Class C drawings are <u>Rejected</u>. Class B is recommended.

Government Position - Follow contract requirements for drawings.

d. Recommendation 4 - Tooling Drawings are Accepted.

e. Recommendation 5 - Level of fabrication is Accepted.

f. <u>Recommendation 6</u> - Tooling fabrication is <u>Accepted</u>.

g. Recommendation 7 - Panels need not be interchangeable is Accepted.

h. The joint details shown in Figures II through V are <u>Accepted</u> in concept for further dimensioning. Final acceptance will be withheld until full detail is made available.

Should you have any questions relative to the above, contact Mrs. B. Ballinger, (703) 664-5140.

Sincerely,

HERB ROTHSCHILD Contracting Officer

.4

ADDENDUM 111

| | REVISIONS | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|--------------|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|---|
| | ZONE | LTR | | ESCRIPTIC |)N | | DATE | APP | 0 |
| | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | _ |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| · . | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 601 | ENC | | 7 |
| CINECK STRESS | | | | | SALT | AKE CI | TY, UTA | H 84116 | |
| WEIGHT Q.G. | | | TITLE | BRIDG | E WOUND I | NEB MODL | ILE | | |
| MPG CINER Philis Ciner | The second | <u>B-10-</u> | 84 | PH | ASE II RI | PORT - | SA 3032- | -J-0002 | |
| APPROVAL JV | VAINE | 8-13- | SIZE C | 2500 | DWG N | > | | | R |
| MALINA / | Hetra D | P/13/ | | | | | | | _ |

· ·

. .

5

P

C

C.

Ø

Ø

1

.

.

| | | | - | | ~ | | | | | - | |
|----|-----|-------|---|------|---------|----------|------|-----|----------|------|-----------------------|
| ٠. | · • | · · · | | | • - | <u> </u> | | _•. | <u> </u> | | Contractor to the |

4

"The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in the report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation."

I. INTRODUCTION

The Wound Bridge Web contract is an effort to reduce the weight of the existing bridge. The wound bridge web contract, which provides for the determination of contract feasibility, design, fabrication, test and assembly of two bridge web modules, was received at Fiber Science on September 28, 1981.

Phase II of the contract, entitled "ENGINEERING DESIGN AND DOCUMENTATION", includes (1) manufacture and test of samples to verify properties used in the design, (2) production drawings and specification, and (3) detailed description of production methods including quality assurance provisions.

This is the final report under Phase II of the contract.

II. AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF PHASE II

æ.

·. '

- 1. Perform physical testing of the bridge web design materials to verify design allowables.
- 2. Re-evaluate safety margin of bridge web design based upon test results.

3. Develop Engineering drawings of bridge web components.

4. Detailed description of production methods.

Ø

5. Order materials for Phase III.

6. Design tooling for Phase III.

III. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

- Physical testing of the wound bridge web design materials was performed. The tests outlined in the Phase II Material Test Plan were completed as proposed. Results of the in-plane shear test were about 5% lower than target loads for reasons which appeared to be related to sample geometry. F.S.D. proposed to MERADCOM that an additional test be run on the web materials. After approval had been received, F.S.D. manufactured and tested three 3.5 in. diameter by 10.0 in. long tubes whose wall lamination is the same as the bridge web skin. Torsion testing on the tubes proved skin shear strength to be well above design levels. A comparison of test results to computer predictions may be found in Table I.
- 2. Page 15 of the Phase I Report (released Dec. 8, 1981 contained a failure criterion for the composite bridge web. This failure criterion was re-examined using data generated by testing. Where the original design produced a Factor of Safety of 1.5, the test data produced a Factor of Safety of 1.86.
- 3. Engineering drawings of the wound bridge web were produced under Phase II and have been reviewed by MERADCOM. Comments generated by that review have been incorporated into revised drawings. These revised drawings are transmitted with this report.
- 4. Manufacturing materials for Phase III have been ordered. All materials have been received with the exception of aluminum extrusions which are due at F.S.D. by August 15, 1982.
- 5. Tooling for the eight Phase III full sized panels will be complete by 10 August 1982. Tooling for the filament winding demonstration segment will be completed by 30 August 1982.
- 6. Bridge tread plates have been cut from existing webs but were found to warp .75 in. over the length and 0.13 over the width. The same vendor who cut the webs will press straighten the tread plates to within 0.25 in. over the length. Tread plates are due at F.S.D. by 10 August 1982.
- 7. A detailed description of the production of Phase III full size webs is attached to this report. This description is the "Job Card", or step-by-step work instructions which will be given to the Manufacturing Department.
- 8. The Phase III effort will consist of fabricating eight full scale web sections by lamination of filament wound broadgoods while proving that the filament wound "W" concept is feasible by the use of plywood tooling and a six foot long mandrel. A description of the process is included in this report.

TABLE I

.

DESIGN PROPERTY COMPUTER PREDICTION RESULTS OF TESTING Fxcu 29266 PSI Fycu 94129 PSI 66600 PSI* F_{xyu} 32797 PSI 37368 PSI 2.65 X 10⁶ PSI Ex 8.471 X 10⁶ PSI 7.182 X 10⁶ Ey G_{xy} 2.561 X 10⁶ PSI 2.553 x 10⁶

* The theoretical is based on strength, whereas, the actual was an instability failure.

MATERIAL PROPERTIES

IV. TESTING

A. Shear Tests were conducted in accordance with the Phase II Material Test Plan which had been reviewed and accepted by the Army MERADCOM office. Specimen configuration was as shown in Figure 1. Preliminary evaluation of these tests was given in the Monthly Progress Report for May 1, to May 31, 1982, in which low test results were reported. Raw data is presented in Figure 2 and reduced data may be found in Table 2. The average failure load was 41883 lb. compared to the calculated design failure load of 44436 lb. The conclusion reached was that the holes in the Shear Test panel induced a stress concentration which was peculiar to the test panel. Such a stress concentration reduces the failure load an unknown amount.

In order to obtain addition Shear Test data, F.S.D. requested permission from MERADCOM to manufacture and test additional samples which would avoid stress concentrations. The sample chosen was a Torsion Test specimen taken from Air Force Materials Laboratory's Advanced Composites Design Guide of Jan 1971, Page 7.3.18, Specimen (a) which is shown in Figure 3. MERADCOM granted permission, and these samples were built and tested. Torsion Test results may be found in Table 4. The average shear stress measured was 42418 lb./in.², which may be compared to a design shear stress:

 $T_{D} = \frac{534 \text{ lb./in.}}{.056(.615)} = 15505 \text{ lb./in.}^2$

The torsion samples, representative of one web skin thickness, exhibited shear strength 2.74 times the design requirement. This is not, however, over design since combined loading failure analysis to be discussed in Section IV reduces the safety factor based on test data to F.S. = 1.86.

Shear modulus was calculated from the strain data of the original shear test panels to be:

$$G_{xy} = (\frac{.0353 P}{wt}) (\frac{1 + E_1 - E_2}{E_1 + E_2})$$

where P = Load
W = Distance between holes
t = Combined skin thickness
E1,E2 = Measured strain





. . .

| TABLE | 2 |
|-------|---|
|-------|---|

• .

. . .

SHEAR TEST DATA

| SAMPLE | FAILURE LOAD | GAUGE NUMBER | FAILURE STRAIN |
|--------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| 1 | 42600 LB. | 1 - 1 | + 0.26% |
| | | 1 - 2 | + 0.30% |
| | | · 1 - 3 | - 0.10% |
| | | 1 - 4 | - 0.05% |
| 2 | 41950 LB. | 2 - 1 | + 0.25% |
| | | 2 - 2 | + 9.21% |
| | | 2 - 3 | - 0.08% |
| | | 2 - 4 | - 0.09% |
| 3 | 41100 LB. | 3 - 1 | + 0.24% |
| | | 3 - 2 | + 0.24% |
| | | 3 - 3 | - 0.08% |
| | | 3 - 4 | - 0.07% |

TABLE 3

COMPRESSIVE TEST DATA

| SAMPLE | FAILURE LOAD | GAUGE NUMBER | FAILURE STRAIN |
|--------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| 1 | 53900 LB. | 1 - 1 | -0.54% |
| | | 1 - 2 | -0.40% |
| | | 1 - 3 | -0.08% |
| | | 1 - 4 | -0.07% |
| 2 | 49350 LB. | 2 - 1 | -0.36% |
| | | 2 - 2 | -0.41% |
| | | 2 - 3 | -0.07% |
| | | 2 - 4 | -0.05% |
| 3 | 47000 LB. | 3 - 1 | -0.37% |
| | | 3 - 2 | -0.46% |
| | | 3 - 3 | -0.06% |
| | | 3 - 4 | -0.06% |



JANUARY 197

TABLE 4

TORSION TESTS

- 1. 2750
- 2. 3303
- 3. 3008

x = 3020.33

$$T = \frac{2T}{T + 0^2}$$

T = 3020 (10.0) = 30200 in.1bs.

۶

$$T = \frac{2(30200)}{11(.037)(3.5)^2} = 42418 \text{ lb./in.}^2$$

The following shear moduli were calculated:

| Calculated | SAMPLE #1 | SAMPLE #2 | SAMPLE #3 | AVERAGE |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| G., PSI | 2.380 X 10 ⁶ | 2.693 X106 | 2.587 X TO ⁶ | 2.553 X 106 |
| | | | | |

Design
$$G_{XY}$$
 was 2.561 X 10⁶ PSI.

B. Compression Tests were conducted as outlined by the Phase II Material Test Plan. Specimen configuration is shown in Figure 4. Raw test data may be found in Figure 5, and reduced data appears in Table 1.

While the test results exceeded design requirements, they were lower than computer predictions because they failed in a different mode than anticipated.

Test data recorded on the narrow chart is unaccountably low, about 1/5 the expected level of strain. It is suspected that a calibration error occurred.





.

V. TEST DATA-BASED SAFETY MARAIN

FAILURE

ANALYSIS CRITERION

 $\left(\frac{\overline{\nabla_{k}}}{\overline{F_{k}}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\overline{\nabla_{y}}}{\overline{F_{y}}}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{\overline{\nabla_{k}}\overline{\nabla_{y}}}{\overline{F_{k}}\overline{F_{y}}}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\overline{F_{kl_{1}}}}\right)^{2} = 1.0 \text{ AT FAILURE}$ $\left(\frac{\overline{\nabla_{k}}}{\overline{F_{k}}}\right) = 1.0 \text{ AT FAILURE}$ $\left(\frac{\overline{\nabla_{y}}}{\overline{F_{l_{k}}}}\right) = 1.0 \text{ AT FAILURE}$

FROM TEST DATA $T_{4} = \frac{1514}{.074} = 20,459$; $T = \frac{534}{.074} = 7216$

 $\left(\frac{3353}{.45(21242)}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{20459}{.45(12400)}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{5353(20459)}{.45(21242)(24200)}\right) + \left(\frac{7216}{37328(.450)}\right)^{2}$

= 0.288

 $F.S. = \frac{1}{\sqrt{.2880}} = 1.86$

 $\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{F_{x}}\right) = \left(\frac{5353}{.615(2971L)}\right) = 0.30$

 $\left(\frac{\sigma_{4}}{F_{\eta}}\right) = \left(\frac{20459}{65(6600)}\right) = 0.50$

Therefore the designed bridge wer would not fail Under design loads.

| ENGA | | REVISED | DATE | | REPORT |
|-------|--|---------|------|----------------------|--------|
| CHECK | | | | EDO SCIENCE | PAGE |
| | | | | LUNPURATION DIVISION | |
| | | - | | · · · | |

VI. FILAMENT WINDING PROCESS DEMONSTRATION

1. Tooling

The mandrel will be made from 1/2 inch plywood with piano type hinges, about six feet long, with full width webs.

- 2. Demonstration winding will be with graphite fiber, epoxy resin and aluminum honeycomb. Winding sequence will be as follows:
 - a. Wind inner skin $(90^{\circ}, -45^{\circ})$
 - b. Apply 120 glass cloth
 - c. Apply honeycomb
 - d. Apply 120 glass cloth
 - e. Wind outer skin $(+45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ})$
 - f. "B" stage to formable, tacky resin consistency
 - g. Cut skin and fold mandrel into "W" configuration
 - h. Secure into proper position
 - i. Cure



6-6



