REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER	ON NO. 1. ALAPTENTE CATALOS HUMBER
AFGL-TR-82-0350 AD-A127	15153
1. TITLE (and Bubilito)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PEROD COVER
POLYETHYLENE FREE BALLOON DESIGN	Scientific, Final.
FROM THE PERSPECTIVES OF USER AND	- PERFORMING OND. REPORT NUMBER
	IP No. 314
	CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(S)
James F. Dwyer	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROBAN ELEMENT PROJECT. TAL
Air Force Geophysics Laboratory (LCA)	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Hanscom AFB	62101F
	76591108
Air Force Geophysics Laboratory (LCA)	12 November 1982
Honscom AFB Massochusetts 01731	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I different from Controlling O	Ilian) 15. BEGURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	linclessified
	The DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGBADING
	SCHEOULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	······································
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	iont from Report)
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Centinue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block in Free balloons Stress analysis Polyethylene film Balloon performance st Balloon design Balloon selection Balloon systems ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block in 	umber) :atis:(cs
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES NEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block in Free balloons Stress analysis Polyethylene film Balloon performance st Balloon design Balloon selection Balloon systems ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block in Those who use large polyethylene balloon are presented with background information on designing balloons to meet their respective m effects of payload weight, altitude, duration, total payload weight and the balloon size are of processes. The need to define mission succe ments is emphasized and a mission planning p 	number) atistics atistics atistics athe processes of selecting and itsion requirements. The and vertical control on both th discussed with respect to these atistics require and vertical control on both th discussed with respect to these atistic require procedure is proposed.
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES REY WORDS (Centinue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block in Free balloons Stress analysis Polyethylene film Balloon performance st Balloon design Balloon selection Balloon systems ABATTRACT (Centinue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block in Those who use large polyethylene balloon are presented with background information on designing balloons to meet their respective in effects of payload weight, altitude, duration, total payload weight and the balloon size are of processes. The need to define mission succes ments is emphasized and a mission planning p Portion 1473 EDITION OF THOY SELECTE 	umber) tatistics anber) is for experimental programs is the processes of selecting an ission requirements. The and vertical control on both th discussed with respect to these ess in terms of realistic require procedure is proposed.
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block in Free balloons Stress analysis Polyethylene film Balloon performance st Balloon design Balloon selection Balloon systems ABATRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block in Those who use large polyethylene balloon are presented with background information on designing balloons to meet their respective in effects of payload weight, altitude, duration, total payload weight and the balloon size are of processes. The need to define mission succe ments is emphasized and a mission planning payload successes. 	umber) atis: (cs atis: (cs atis: or experimental programs the processes of selecting and ission requirements. The and vertical control on both th discussed with respect to these and vertical control on both th discussed with respect to these ss in terms of realistic required procedure is proposed.
 IN DISTRICTION STRTEMENT (of the abstract antities in picts 10, if allow its tement to, if allow its supplementation on reverse side if necessary and identify by block in Free balloons Stress analysis Polyethylene film Balloon performance set Balloon design Balloon selection Balloon systems ABATRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block in T Those who use large polyethylene balloon are presented with background information on designing balloons to meet their respective m effects of payload weight, altitude, duration, total payload weight and the balloon size are of processes. The need to define mission succements is emphasized and a mission planning p to the second second	umber) tatistics anber) is for experimental programs it the processes of selecting and ission requirements. The and vortical control on both th discussed with respect to these torocedure is proposed. Unclassified V CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PASE (Then Date Re-

to a construction where the second second

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PASSIFING Bele &

Abstract (Continued) 20.

Assumptions and problems in contemporary balloon design are discussed, and design and analysis procedures based on the loads and geometry at the time of launch are developed. Dynamic launch shock is proposed as a criterion for shell thickness, and a model of polyethylene film modulus is developed to account for strain rate and stress and strain relaxation at a launch temperature of 23°C.

Computer codes have been written for the processes of balloon selection and balloon design to meet mission requirements. They are intended to be a basis for more efficient, interactive mission planning. Finally, improvements to the balloon design process are proposed and discussed.

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PASE (When Date Entered)



Preface

Successful balloon operations start with the definition of essential and realistic balloon flight requirements. Project scientists and program directors do not have to be conversant with the details of ballooning from either the design or operational perspectives, but they do have both a legitimate need and an obligation to understand the impact of their mission requirements on the selection, design, and performance of the balloons for their programs. In addition, they should be aware of the standards, processes, and historical perspectives that enter into the choice of the balloon to which they will eventually entrust their payload.

Because of the structural design of the balloon, the characteristics of its materials, and the nature of its use environment, performance and design are best examined statistically. Accordingly, and because the greater the variety of designs and experiences that are available to the designer, the better will be his understanding of and confidence in the decisions that he must make, a significant design and performance data base is included.

It is hoped that this report will serve as an initial ground upon which users and designer can meet in productive interaction. If such becomes the case, it will in no small part have been made possible by Mr. Jean R. Nelson, Vice President of Engineering, Winzen International, Incorporated, who made available much of the balloon design data and most of the performance data base for the larger balloons. Finally, Mrs. Catherine L. Rice deserves considerable credit for her technical suggestion and assistance in the effective organization of the material.

Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	9
2.	BALLOON MISSION REQUIREMENTS	11
	2.1 General 2.2 Flight Profile Considerations 2.3 Establishing Mission Requirements	11 12 16
З.	ADEQUACY OF EXISTING DESIGNS	18
4.	PAYLOADS AND THEIR SIGNIFICANCE WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN OF NATURAL SHAPE BALLOONS	20
	4.1 The Natural Shape 4.2 Payload Designations 4.3 Payload - Altitude Relationships	20 22 23
5.	GENERAL BALLOON DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	25
	 5.1 The Load Cap 5.2 Shell Thickness 5.3 Film Strength Considerations 5.4 Load Tapes 	25 29 33 36
6.	AFGL DESIGN PROCESS	38
7.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	41
	7.1 Ducts 7.2 Load Tapes 7.3 Gores 7.4 Crown and Analytical Method	4 1 42 42 43
RE	FERENCES	57

5

.....

and a state of the state of the

A Start Start Start

. بروی

.

12.6

Contents

APPENDIX A:	BALLOON PROGRAM PLANNING DOCUMENT	59
APPENDIX B:	PARACHUTE SIZE	63
APPENDIX C:	PROGRAM "ADEQUATE"	65
APPENDIX D:	EQUATIONS GOVERNING THE BALANCE OF FORCES	77
APPENDIX E:	A PRACTICAL STRATOFILM® MODEL	87
APPENDIX F:	DUCT DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	91
APPENDIX G:	PROGRAM "DESIGN-II"	99
APPENDIX H:	TABLE OF EXISTING DESIGNS USED IN STUDY	113

Illustrations

1.	Conventional Modern Plastic Balloon	10
2.	Essential Free Balloon Subsystems, Diagrammed to Show Interactions	13
3.	An Electro-Mechanically Integrated Payload	14
্র.	Typical Multilevel Flight Profile For a Zero-Pressure Free Balloon System	15
5,	Mission Requirements Planning Procedure	17
6.	Flow Diagram for Interactive Determination of Adequate Existing Balloon Designs	19
7.	Balloon Performance Classification With Respect to Significant Temperatures	28
8.	Effects of Preloading on Failure Mode and Failure Stress For 2.0 mil Stratofilm at 92 F Preload Temperature	29
9.	Effects of Preloading on Stratofilm [®] Failure Mode and Stress	30
10.	Effects of Preloading on Stratofilm ^(B) Failure Mode and Stress	31
11.	Effects of Preloading on Stratofilm ^(P) Failure Mode and Stress	32
12.	Statistical Basis of Shell Thickness Model	. 33
13.	Safe Stress Chart for 2.0 mil Stratofilm	े3८
14.	Proposed Stress Limit for Stratofilm ^B Balloons nt an Approximately Static Loading Rate	35

1. C. S. .

Illustrati- ns

15.	The Effect of Fiber Crimp on Load Tape Performance	37
16.	Interactive Balloon Design Procedure	39
A1.	Sample Flight Profile Plan With Acceptable Ranges of Times, Altitudes, and Rates	61
C1.	Coded Balloon Data Base	66
C2.	Examples of Metric and English Input	68
D1.	Balloon Crown Deployment During Inflation and at Launch	78
D2.	Relative Meridional Stress at the Top and Botton of a Balloon That is Below its Design Altitude	81
D3.	Pressure Trend at Top of Fully-Tailored, Natural Shape Balloon at Altitudes Below Natural Float Altitude	82
D4.	Representative Shapes of a Fully-Tailored Balloon, Below Design Altitude, According to Smalley ^{D5}	83
D5.	Gore Panel Stress Analysis of a Modei SV-001 Balloon With a 488-lb Payload at Launch, According to Alexander DI	84
	=	

1

and the second

Tables

1.	Listing of Interactive Input for "ADEQUATE"	20
2.	Output From "ADEQUATE '	21
3.	Comparison of the Results of Analyses of Balloon Load Tape and Film Loading at Launch	44
4.	Stress Range Summary of Data Base Designs	53
5.	Large NSBF Stress Indices	54
E1.	Numerical Representation of Eqs. (8) and (9)	90
F1.	Ratio R of Currently Used Duct Design Coefficient to Effective Coefficient Derived Using Eq. F7	પ્રહ
F2.	Duct Area Comparisons	97
F3.	Duct Area Comparisons	98
H1.	Design Point Conditions For Ballorn Data Base	115
H2.	Design Point Conditions For Balloon Data Base	116
НЗ.	Balloon Data Base	117

Polyethylene Free Balloon Design From the Perspectives of User and Designer

1. INTRODUCTION

Structurally the balloon (see Figure 1) is not a complicated device; for the most part it consists of panels (gores) and ribbons (load tapes) welded (heat sealed) together and clamped together at the apex and nadir ends of its vertical axis. The shapes, as constructed, are nearly axially symmetric, and each shape resembles a classical spinning top.

Performancewise, however, the balloon is an extremely complex thermodynamic machine. This is true not only with respect to its vertical motions, but also with respect to the distribution and dissipation of forces within its load bearing structural membranes and tape reinforcements; this is the case because the membranes are almost universally a high quality polyethylene, a strongly non-linear viscoelastic polymeric material.

It is not important for the user to understand these latter aspects on an esoteric level. However, with respect to the desired degree of conservatism and level of confidence, both the user and designer should be aware of the major assumptions and limitations underlying the design and the statistics of tested designs viewed uniformly from the same perspectives.

(Received for publication 9 November 1982)





Balloon designs are not originated on anything like a daily basis, but in forecasting, system planning, operations, and post-operational analyses, questions relative to balloon capability arise on an almost daily basis. Answers to these questions involve (some to a greater extent than others) a knowledge and understanding of the criteria and processes used in establishing the operational limits for existing or potential designs.

Considerable attention to the user's mission requirements is necessary, both to impress upon the user the need to assess the impact of his decisions concerning

payload design on the ultimate balloon design decision, and to serve as a bridge in discussions between user and designer.

The literature lacks an integrated compendious treatment of design procedures, criteria, and actual performance data suited for independent use by both the user and designer. The research results presented herein are intended as a first step in satisfying the need for a common reference to produce productive interaction between the two during the often critical phase of balloon payload conceptual design.

The analyses and criteria developed herein are not the ultimate answers. They are based on admittedly incomplete understanding of the materials and somewhat oversimplified methods of load distribution within the balicon load bearing structure. However, they are comparable with other present efforts, are more revealing than most, and are consistent with previously postulated causes of ascent failure and recent inflight measurements.

2. BALLOON MISSION REQUIREMENTS

2.1 General

Although use of the term "requirement" is customary, it can convey an undeserved priority. In practice, requirements range from 1) essential, through 2) if possible, to 3) desirable if practical. Also, in practice it has been found that some user requirements gravitate to the bottom of the described scale as critical timetables and operational windows exert their psychological pressures. In this regard, pre-definition of mission success in terms of realistic requirements can and should be made in the low pressure mission-planning phase, rather than in the most often highly stressful balloon flight operations environment. Cost and the probability of mission success should, accordingly, be improved. NASA, in their heavyload balloon program critique, took due note of the advantages of such a strategy.¹

User requirements can be met in three ways: 1) use of a balloon from an existing inventory; 2) purchase of a balloon using existing specifications for a proven design; and 3) purchase of a balloon using a new set of specifications developed specifically to meet the mission requirements. Ideally, the latter option might appear to be optimum. However, the demonstrated reliability of existing designs, time, cost, priority, and other mission constraints are also

-ATONA ANY A

Cuddihy, W.F., et. al. (1979) A review of heavy lift balloon failures. Proceedings, Tenth AFGL Scientific Balloon Symposium, Catherine L. Rice, Ed., AFGL-TR-79-0053, AD A074439.

factors that frequently affect the choice of an option. All other factors notwithstanding, adequacy with respect to meeting the essential mission requirements is the overriding factor.

The adequacy of a specific balloon for a proposed mission is determined by its potential ability to carry the mission payload in the prescribed space-time profile, without adversely affecting the functions of the user's payload. This latter aspect of adequacy is not reflected in the balloon design unless it affects launch-related design features or necessitates added payload capacity for mechanical or thermal isolation of the payload. Other aspects of adequacy are expressable as requirements and can be translated by the balloon designer into balloon size, shape, structural materials and ceinforcements, and, to a greater or lesser degree, construction features related to the launch method.

In an idealized case, the mission psyload could be treated as "cargo" and the balloon system could be treated as a "carrier" consisting of the balloon proper, Figure 1, and its essential payload subsystems, Figure 2. In practice, however, such isolation rarely exists. Interfacing ranges from simply providing (in the carrier payload subsystems) command-activated circuit closures to full electro-mechanical integration of the mission and carrier payloads, exemplified in Figure 3. In general, regardless of the degree of isolation, the necessary rigging, mission payload, and carrier payload subsystems can be co-configured and the combined weight estimated independently of the balloon. Thus, there is no loss in generality in assuming a fully integrated payload. It is important to note that the payload recovery subsystem, normally a parachute, is, at this point, excluded from the carrier payload subsystem because the recovery parachute subsystem must be sized to accommodate the total suspended payload, including ballast. See Appendix B.

Most important but frequently overlooked in early planning, is the relative uniqueness of the mission payload and/or the lack of balloon experience within mission management. These factors create a tendency for mission planners to underestimate the final payload weight. The balloon system designer is thus well advised to include a factor to accommodate such growth and its effect on total payload weight. When appropriate, design experience should be used, but for general purposes a growth allowance factor of 10 percent is used.

2.2 Flight Profile Considerations

The space-time mission profile of a zero-pressure free balloon system, beyond attainment of the initial ceiling altitude, is normally achieved by

The second second second second



Figure 2. Essential Free Balloon Subsystems, Diagrammed to Show Interactions. The back-up safety system has its own power source, whereas the main power source services all of the other subsystems

deballasting and valving*: deballasting to slow or stop descent and to re-start ascent, and valving of lifting gas to slow or stop ascent and to accelerate or start descent. Figure 4 shows a typical profile and the control functions exercised to achieve it. It is clear that both profile and duration are dependent on the number of deballasting exercises, n, and the demand, d_i , of each exercise. The latter is expressed as a fraction of the gross system weight and is generally accepted as the dominant factor in the determination of both vertical velocity and total

*Alternative cryogenic gas replenishment systems are atill in an experimental stage.

and the second second

Sec. - 1

1.

. ·



Figure 3. An Electro-Mechanically Integrated Payload. Shockabsorbing suspension frame is designed to provide mountings for the integrated experiment and carrier functions. The gondola, about 7 ft in diameter is shown suspended from the boom of the launch vehicle, a 60-ton crane

sunset ballast weight. Mission profile is thus translated into added system weight (ballast) according to the following:

$$W_{g} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ -1 + \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - d_{i})^{-1} \end{bmatrix} (W_{p}^{*} + W_{b}) , \qquad (1)$$

where

-

W_s is the ballast weight

 $W_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{T}}$ is the irreducible payload weight

W_b is the balloon weight

 d_i is the ith fractional ballast drop .

Assignment of a value to each d_i is dependent, for the most part, on experience and statistical evidence, colored by the degree of conservatism of the mission planner.



Figure 4. Typical Multilevel Flight Profile For a Zero-Pressure Free Balloon System. Symbols: V denotes valving through apex valve: R denotes the conclusion of the period of venting of excess lifting gas through the overpressure relief ducts; D denotes deballasting. For simplicity the very slow protracted descent following solar noon (but prior to sunset on the balloon) is not shown

Equation (1) expresses only the interdependence of the principal system weights, the balloon weight, the ballast weight, and the combined instrumentation and parachute weights. It does not address compatability between the parachute weight, implicit in W_{P}^{*} , and the required parachute size and strength.

If a mission requires a large amount of ballast, the parachute size required to support the initial flight payload in an emergency flight termination might exceed by far the parachute size required by the deballasted system. In such cases, dead weight ballast to ensure parachute stability and to minimize drift may be desirable. This need also arises from the availability of only discrete parachute sizes.

Figure 4 omits an essential reference in the space-time mission profile example; this is the reference to the initial space-time launch coordinates: geographical location, time of year, and time of day. These factors, except for time of day, influence both the size of the balloon and the statistical ballast needs with respect to flight duration. Time of day has a definite effect on ascent performance and could, thereby, place added ballast demands on the system. In addition, all of these factors influence the balloon structural design.

1.00

2.3 Establishing Mission Requirements

Users are familiar with the problems and limitations relative to the design of their own payload subsystems, but often lack a healthy appreciation of related balloon system problems. Aside from the sometimes complex electromechanical and electromagnetic interface problems, the most prevalent problem associated with present high altitude systems is runaway final payload weight with its consequent increased balloon size requirement. This is sometimes quite serious balloons with volumes in the tens of millions of cubic feet are most often fabricated from thin polyethylene film and appear to have a greater number of failure modes than do smaller balloons made from thicker films.

The inter-relationship of mission requirements and balloon system design, and an interactive procedure to establish realistic and acceptable mission requirements are described schematically in Figure 5. The successful and efficient functioning of this planning procedure depends in great measure upon the user's ability both to establish a firm priority list of mission requirements and to define mission success in terms of these requirements (steps 2 and 3). Input from a balloon instrumentation engineer in step 4, use of interactive automated decision aids to accomplish step 5, and a team (user, instrumentation engineer, balloon design specialist, and balloon operations specialist) to effect step 6 are necessary and sufficient to implement such a $_{Perior}$ bedure. Realism, as introduced in step 6, implies considerations of both time and other essential resources.

The planning document, Appendix A, typifies the first step in the procedure that moves from a conceptualized mission, through the negotiated establishment of mission requirements to design selection, whether new or existing. In summary form the information needed to arrive at a final decision includes, but is not limited to:

a) user pay ad weight

b) flight prome (altitudes, durations, vertical motion rates, and horizontal trajectories)

c) location, time of year, and time of day of proposed flight

d) launch and recovery shock restrictions

e) payload configuration

f) isolation of user's payload from influence of balloon temperature field and from balloon induced motions (including orientation of user's payload)

g) shielding from electromagnetic interference

h) electro-mechanical interfaces

i) command and data channels

j) special handling and support (such as required for delicate and hazardous payloads)

A STATE OF STATE





in the second

- k) general description of payload functions
- 1) miscellaneous (frequencies, optical or radar tracking, etc.).

3. ADEQUACY OF EXISTING DESIGNS

There are many possible iterative sequences of computations and checks by which one might determine the adequacy or inadequacy of any particular balloon with respect to any particular set of mission requirements. One such sequence is depicted in Fi ure 6, the flow diagram for the computer program "ADEQUATE" (Appendix C). This program, developed under this study, when used with the table of tested and proven balloon designs, Appendix H, produces a sub-list of balloons adequate for a specified mission, and further indicates which of the sub-listed balloone yields the minimum system weight and therefore the optimum solution based on efficiency.

The quantitative input for the program listed in Appendix C is generated interactively on the basis of a series of questions appearing sequentially on the computer display. The first question offers a choice between metric and English units for the input; internal computations and output are in English units. Succeeding questions and their respective sample input values appear in Table 1. The entry, 0.050, following "BALLAST FRACTION" is the value input to satisfy the single sample requirement of the "BALLAST DROPS FOR CONTROL" entry.

The sample search of the tabulated designs for the balloon design that would best fulfill the requirements set forth in Table 1 yielded the entries in Table 2. AFGL models SV-016A and SV-020 have margin comments indicating that, although they meet the needs, they have not been flown with the required payloads. The asterisk opposite the entry for model LTV-006 indicates, as shown, that use of this model yields the minimum system weight.

The minimum system weight criterion is one of many that could be applied. For example, cost tables could be provided and accessed to make a decision on the most economical system. Even better perhaps, the stress level at launch could be computed for each adequate system configuration and selection could be based on minimum stress (on the premise that minimum stress equates with maximum reliability).



Figure 6. Flow Diagram for Interactive Determination of Adequate Existing Balloon Designs. Table of existing designs includes rated and tested payloads. This routine is the basis of "ADEQUATE", the program included as Appendix C

i.

*

.....

S -444

. ..

×,

Input Parameter	Value
Altitude (ft)	100000
Payload (lb)	800
Descent Ballast Fraction	0.1
Ballast Fraction for Drive-up	0.07
Payload Growth Fraction	0.2
Sunset Ballast Drops	2
Fractional Sunset Ballast	0.08
Ballast Drops for Control	1
Chute Impact Speed (ft/sec)	20
Standard Atm. (1966)	
Ballast Fraction 0.050	

Table 1. Listing of Interactive input for "ADEQUATE"

4. PAYLOADS AND THEIR SIGNIFICANCE WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN OF NATURAL SHAPE BALLOONS

4.1 The Natural Shape

Some background information on the "natural shape" balloon concept is necessary to an understanding of balloon shape and payload interaction. Minimally, this information consists of a definition of natural shape and an enumeration of certain shape-dependent characteristics.

The natural shape (Figure 1 for example) is a relatively uncomplicated and logical concept. It is based on simple membrane theory and upon the following assumptions: 1) the shapes are rotationally symmetric about the vertical axis; 2) the envelope is thin, homogeneous, inextensible, and incapable of supporting bending or compression; 3) the density difference between the atmosphere and the inflatant is constant over the height of the balloon; 4) the circumferential stress for any horizontal cross section is zero; and 5) there is no shear force. For a given payload weight, floating altitude, lifting gas, and unit envelope weight a unique zero-pressure shape exists and is characterized by a dimensionless number Σ , defined as:

$$\Sigma = \left(\frac{2\pi w^3}{W_{P,O}b_0^2}\right)^{1/3}$$

20

S. L. S. L. S. Land S. S. S.

טטנע ייט	VULU .IL	PULINAL.	hUOLAL	ALLAS'	CAUTE	CHURE	URIPT	
		ration	40104.P	TUCIUM	TELIN	3126	SPCED	
vlnu-yc	6038805	4500	1340	6611	163	16	*8 *8	
001-20	2500000	4 2 U U	2435	1876	215	104	7	
100-20	65779 <i>0</i> 0	3600	2470	1767	203	102	. ۲	
506-75	5743030	0001	24JO	1733	203	102	7	
ティシン	2.4575458	ÚLČ Ě	2153	1605	b/l	96	7	
st-vc	1560JJJ	0045	0FCT	1477	189	97	7	
clu-vc	2133000	3100	2145	4051	136	66	٢	
st-ulan	1152000	7600	1240	1149	165	96	ф * р	USC UCSTCU LAN
2111-20	5192710	50 C J	1500	1330	173	4 6	L	
611-25	5135000	U UC	1470	1266	175	93	7	
07 L-V:	11517423	2400	1240	1149	165	90	3* A	WE TESTED LUAD
<u>הירע-טוֿה</u>	5033809	<u>5005</u>	11.20	580T	162	68	*8	
54-5-00	20185353	3300	2588	1623	215	104	7	***
54-501	27938324	5400	3416	2245	244	111	7	
2V-502	23680065	3400	2470	1767	203	102	-	
34-533	333252JO	0065	3441	2056	231	103	7	
405-25	25690400	3000	2113	1543	196	66	2	
34-505	25930400	4000	2607	1833	215	104	7	•
JV-506	23048674	7250	4507	2794	284	120	1	
54-538	28463000	4500	2353	1961	223	106	~	
SV-509	00006808	7500	4 650	2866	238	121	~	
3V-510	31210000	000	2733	1901	219	105	4	
51-513	33120000	3400	2425	1745	208	102	٢	
5V-514	31150000	3800	2600	1933	219	105	7	
34-515	33500000	6000	4300	2689	274	118	2	
34-517	34090000	5050	3732	2404	257	114	7	
3V-5-18	34311500	60 00	4306	2692	274	118	٢	
SV-521	39590000	7500	5064	3074	303	134	1-	
SV-523	45840000	3900	3089	2080	231	109	٢	
SV-524	47012000	6300	4064	2570	265	116	1	
SV-531	26400000	4000	2706	1833	219	105	-	
jv-522	42500000	5900	5 200	3043	303	124	۲	
SV-526	33100000	4500	3660	2367	252	113	7	
117 # 1741	2 124 12 244 12		0.2 HOL 1666	ų				
		100min a						

Table 2. Output From "ADEQUATE". Dimensions are in English Units. Drift speed is terminal para-chine speed =hen all hallost has heen used

1

2⁴¹

,

2.4

; •••

21

where

w is the unit weight of the shell film (lb/ft^2) ;

 $W_{P,O}$ is the payload (lb) at the Jesign point, and

 b_0 is the specific lift of the inflatant (lb/ft^3) , a function of the temperature and pressure at the design point altitude.

For each natural shape Σ there exists a family of dimensionless constants $f_{i^{\prime}}$ among which are:

- f₁, the ratio of bailoon weight to design-point payload weight;
- f₂, the ratio of balloon maximum volume to the cube of the gorelength;
- f_{2} , the ratio of the balloon surface area to the square of the gorelength;
- f₄, the ratio of the balloon's maximum horizontal diameter to the gorelength; and
- f_5 , the ratio of the height of the fully inflated shape to the gorelength.

A most significant assumption about the natural shape balloon is that for payloads in excess of the design-point payload, the shape becomes less oblate the limiting case being an approximation of the shape for which sigma is zero (in which case either the balloon film is weightless or the payload is infinitely heavy with respect to the balloon). With reduced oblateness every point on the original surface shifts so that its radial distance from the vertical axis of symmetry is reduced; the shell thus exhibits vertical wrinkles and the volume is decreased. Observed balloon performance seems to verify this important assumption.

4.2 Payload Designations

There are several "payload" designations with which we are concerned as a result of their significance in the design and selection of a balk on for any specific mission. In the usual order * of ascending weight, they are:

- a) the design-point payload designated $W_{P,O}$;
- b) the design payload;
- c) the irreducible payload;
- d) the mission payload designated $W_{P,M}$;
- e) the maximum payload designated W_{P-X} .

Stranger .

^{*}Mission payloads less than the design-point payload have been flown successfully for the purposes of increased efficiency and constancy of shape and volume; the required design and selection procedures for these specialized cases are not included, but there is additional commentary in the following text.

The design-point payload is not only a factor in the determination of Σ , it is the payload weight above which the balloon becomes less efficient by virtue of its change in shape and attendant reduction in volume. Further, it is that payload below which the fully inflated volume is constant and there is a re-orientation of the shell stresses - an increase in circumferential stress. This condition was unacceptable in the early days when it was difficult to obtain high strength gore seams, but it is no longer a problem.

The design payload, not to be confused with the design-point payload, consists of the user's experimental payload, the command and telemetry system to control the flight, and the rigging or gondola.

The irreducible payload consists of the design payload and the payload recovery parachute system; it is the total suspended payload after all flight control ballast has been dropped.

The mission payload includes the irreducible payload and the flight control ballast required to accomplish the mission objectives.

The maximum payload is that payload for which the tape and film loadings meet but do not exceed the design stress limits. This load is the principal factor affecting the required film thickness and load tape strength.

In general the range $W_{P,O}$ to $W_{P,X}$ is small enough to ensure efficiency with respect to reduced volume at high payloads and yet large enough to make the designs applicable to a reasonable range of requirements.

4.3 Payload - Altitude Relationships

Altitude per se does not appear in the models or functions that relate phyload and altitude: rather it is b, the specific lift of the inflatant (lb/ft^3) that is a function of ambient pressure and temperature.

The weights in pounds of the maximum paylead, mission payload, and designpoint payload are subject to the relationship

 $W_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{X}} \geq W_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{M}} \geq W_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{O}}$.

and in the extreme case, when $W_{P,X} = W_{P,M} = W_{P,O}$, the balloon volume is constant and the altitude indicator b is numerically equal to the ratio of the system weight in pounds and balloon maximum volume in cubic feet.

For the natural shape balloon with a payload W_p in the range $W_{p,0} \leq W_p \leq W_{p,X}$ the payload-altitude relationship is best expressed by the function

$$\left[\frac{W_{P} - W_{P,O}}{b - b_{0}}\right] = 0.12605 \text{ s}^{3} , \qquad (2)$$

where S is the balloon gorelength in feet.

The origin of this simple function is unknown, but its accuracy by actual comparison is as good as other standard methods for relating payload and altitude for natural shape balloons. Further it is nearly an ultimate formula for demonstrating the design options with respect to allowable payload and altitude combinations for natural shape balloons.

For an existing natural shape design, the gorelength is fixed, as are the balloon weight and balloon maximum volume. Thus the ratio f_1 (balloon weight to design-point payload weight) fixes both the design-point payload and the specific lift at the floating altitude for that payload. Therefore, for a fixed design, the variables in Eq. (2) are reduced to only W_P and b, and we are provided with a simple expression of altitude (corresponding to b) in terms of the suspended payload. The correspondence of altitude and b is, of course, governed by the use-atmosphere.

Now where W_b is the balloon weight in pounds, and the other terms are as previously defined in this section, we can express W_b in the following ways:

$$\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{f}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{O}}$$
(3)

$$W_{b} = b_{0} \cdot f_{2} \cdot S^{3} - W_{P,O}, \qquad (4)$$

$$W_{b} = w \cdot f_{3} \cdot S^{2} .$$
 (5)

Since Eqs. (3) and (4) combine to yield

$$f^* = \left[\frac{f_1 + 1}{f_2}\right] = \left[\frac{b_0 S^3}{W_{P, O}}\right]$$
(6)

and since f^* is a monotonic function, Σ is uniquely determined by specifying $W_{P,M}$, $W_{P,O}$ and b_M in Eq. (2) and then estimating the gorelength S. Equations (3) and (5) can then be solved to yield the film weight w and consequently the film thickness.[†] These relationships, in conjunction with Eq. (1), ensure that the mission payload and altitude requirements are consistent with natural shape balloon performance theory. Iteratively solving Eqs. (1) through (4) together with Eq. (6) and Eq. (5) (modified to include other balloon component weights) produces a design that gurvanties a set of weights consistent with the prescribed mission altitude. Details of this procedure along with models of the ratios f_i are covered in Section 6 and in Appendix G.

^TWeights of components other than gores are excluded here for reasons of clarity of presentation. These components include, for the most part, tapes, caps, seams, and ducts.

5. GENERAL BALLOON DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

Balloon selection and balloon design both depend on identical loading criteria; both likewise depend on compromises. Balloon selection compromises are most frequently forced, practical compromises of mission objectives based on available balloons and, most importantly, on proven or demonstrated capabilities. Design compromises, on the other hand, involve decisions on materials and structural variations to accommodate often conflicting requirements. (For example, balloon envelope durability for remote launch conditions presents a problem if the balloon payload-altitude requirement mandates, on the basis of weight, a very thin film for the shell.) The nature of the synthetic structural materials, the statistical nature of the atmosphere and the random deployment of the load bearing structure during ascent force decisions based on engineering principles, intuition, extrapolation, and willingness to risk.

End fittings, inflation tubes, destruct systems, reefing sleeves, and ducts no longer present critical design or installation problems. However, overdesign of duct-valving area presents a potentially significant reduction in payload capacity and reliability for very high altitude balloons to carry small payloads (Appendix F). The components that present the significant design problems are the caps, gores, and load tapes, each of which will be discussed in some detail.

5.1 The Load Cap

The problem of cap design is in reality the problem of determining the thickness of the crown of the balloon. This thickness is the sum of the shell (gore) film thickness and the film thickness of the cap(s). The cap length is generally accepted as:

$$L_{c} = C (G/b)^{1/3}$$
, (7)

where

 L_c is the cap length (ft);

C is a constant;

G is the gross lift (lbs); and

b is the specific lift of the inflatant at S. T. P. (lb/ft^3) .

Although C is a constant, there is no agreement on its value, but the accepted range is small, $3 \le C \le 3.18$. The larger value is probably the result of an investigation of failures of large balloons. This investigation was conducted by the Office of Naval Research in 1971. Lgunch films were reviewed and it was recommended to "... lengthen the present cap material to insure that most of the dynamic forces realized during the initial launch phases are contained within the capped area of the balloon"*

When there is no cap, the crown thickness is, of course, the shell (gore) thickness, but with most new designs either the load is sufficiently heavy or the altitude sufficiently high to justify the use of a cap with its attendant costs and added risks.**

Criteria for determining crown film thickness have not evolved commensurately along with the improvements in balloon capabilities, manufacturing techniques, materials, and designs. ³ For the most part, crown thickness has been based on the function

$$G = 1260(\sigma_{\rm m}t)^{3/2} , \qquad (8)$$

where

- G is the gross lift of the system (lb/ft^3) ;
- $\sigma_{\rm m}$ is the meridional film stress (lb/in, ²); and
- is the crown thickness (in.) .

This function was developed to approximate the meridional stress at the maximum diameter of a helium-filled, tapeless, uncapped balloon at an altitude of 1000 ft (Schwoebel⁴). The allowable value of $\sigma_{\rm m}$ for contemporary designs (discussed in Section 5.3) appears to be based on experience and on the degree of conservatism of the designer.

- Dwyer, J. F. (1973) Zero pressure balloon shapes, past, present, and future, <u>Scientific Ballooning (COSPAR)</u>, W. Riedler, Ed., Pergamon Press, pp. 9, 19.
- Schwoebel, R. L. (1956) <u>Strato-lab Development</u>, Final Technical Report, Contract No. NONR 1589(06).

^{*}The quotation is from th. 18 August 1971 Memorandum on the conclusions of the meeting of 9 August 1971. The Memorandum was entitled "Problems Concerning Large Volume Balloons", and was signed by F.B. Isakson, Director, Physics Program.

^{**}Added structural complexity and added manufacturing handling provide (respectively) added modes of failure and added opportunities for damage and therefore added risks.

Dwyer, J.F. (1974) Free balloon capabilities: A critical perspective, <u>Proceedings (Supplement), Eighth AFCRL Scientific Balloon Symposium,</u> 30 September to 3 October 1974, Andrew S. Carten, Jr., Ed., pp. 123, 161.

Schwoebel considered the stress to be at its maximum for the conditions for which the approximation function was derived. Okamoto⁵ likewise suggested that the film stresses at this point in the palloon flight were maximum. Certainly the differential pressure acting on the material in the crown is the greatest at the completion of inflation, and the modulus and yield strength of the film are minimum at launch temperatures. These being so, one might speculate why all failures do not occur at launch.

Some failures do occur at launch and some failures do occur at high altitudes, but historically most failures occur at tropopause (minimum temperature) altitudes. Photographic evidence of such tropopause-failures indicates that the crown is the region of failure. Photographic evidence and meteorological data indicate that wind shears and turbulence acting on the rising balloon cause dynamic loading of the undeployed film at tropopause altitudes. Weissmann⁶ offered laboratory tests and a theory that appears to explain the role of such dynamics in tropopause-failures, but he concluded that the deformation history of the film also probably played a significant role.

Anderson⁷, Dwyer⁸, Kerr^{9, 10, 11}, Alexander¹², Webb¹³, and Rand¹⁴ have also addressed aspects of the relationships among film stress history, material properties, balloon designs, and balloon failures. The most significant conclusions appear to be:

1) "... environmental temperatures at or below the cold brittle transition temperature of polyethylene are not sufficient to produce balloon burst," but "ambient launch temperature appears to be a critical factor both statistically and from an engineering viewpoint." (Dwyer⁸). (See Figure 7

2) Polyethylene film performance "appears to have a strong dependence on stress history." (Webb 13).

3) "... path dependency has been demonstrated to influence the behavior of polyethylene films ..." (Rand¹⁴).

4) It "appears that the observed correlation of the ductile-brittle transition to a preload strain state is only valid for one loading history, creep. It would seem that there is a material state associated with this transition that can be reached through different loading histories at different strain states." (Alexander¹²). (See Figures 8 through 11).

5) "In a balloon system the influence of rates, histories, temperature and the like can be even more important than indicated by laboratory tests. The balloon skin must work as a system to uniformly distribute loads. <u>When a few</u> gores (or even segments within one gore) see a history at variance with the rest.

ALC: NOT THE REAL PROPERTY OF

Same 2

Because of the large number of references cited above, they will not be listed here. See References, page 57.



Figure 7. Balloon Performance Classification With Respect to Significant Temperatures

then uniformity of behavior is destroyed. Unusual and unexpected stresses will result and ordinarily adequate designs can fail." (Webb¹³). (Emphasis added).

The thickness determination problem thus appears incapable of direct solution; to know as a function of time the stresses in each small area of the shell (deployed and undeployed), even if possible, does not appear to be practical. Conceivably, however, such knowledge may be unnecessary, for failures are predominantly in two categories: those that occur during the dynamic erection of the bubble and those that occur in the vicinity of the tropopause. If we accept that the tropopause failures result from the dynamic interaction of the balloon and its environment and that the mechanical responses of the br'loon film result in some statistically consistent manner from its initial deformation at launch, then we can use a pre-launch stress-strain state as the zero point in film crown stress history and as the crown stress design point. This is the initial point in flight history for dynamic launch failures, also the stress design point chosen by Okamoto and, for practical purposes, the stress design point chosen by Schwoebel. This is the stress design point we shall use.

The observed relationships (Figures 8 through 11) of failure mode and failure stress to preload temperature, stress, and axial extension ratio are



Figure 8. Effects of Preloading On Failure Mode and Failure Stress For 2.0 mil Stratofilm^(P) at 92^oF Preload Temperature

not meant to imply any quantitative criterion. Rather, they are intended to show conclusively that stress history, albeit uniaxial in these cases, significantly affects the mechanical responses of polyethylene film (Stratofilm) and X-124 are typical examples). Qualitative effects of biaxial prestress at elevated temperatures were also studied by Alexander¹² and dramatic changes in biaxial ultimate strain were observed at the -70°F test temperatures.

5.2 Shell Thickness

A criterion for the thickness of the shell (gore) material for capped balloons has not been found in the literature. The weight penalty, principally for higher altitude applications, has thus caused some designers to reduce this thickness beyond what may be reasonable, but balloons fabricated from very thin envelope material (as low as 0.35 mil) can and have been launched successfully. In general, however, where weight is not a problem film thicknesses of one mil or greater are used by Air Force Geophysics Laboratory to reduce the probability of damage due to required handling during layout and launch.

A criterion for shell thickness might be found in either of two flight phases: bubble erection in a dynamic launch or venting of free lift when entering float. The latter has not often been a problem and present duct sizes and quantities



Figure 9. Effects of Preloading on Stratofilm^(R) Failure Mode and Stress. Preloading was at 110°F for 120 min

appear to be more than adequate (see Appendix E). Arguments for and against the dynamic launch have traditionally keen qualitative, but the potential requirement to fly a very expensive, moderately heavy (1000 lb) payload at 150,000 ft triggered the search for a quantitative approach, the result of which related shell thickness to dynamic launch failures. *

In a dynamic launch, the inflated upper portion of the balloon is held by a roller arm at a point roughly 100 ft below the balloon's apex. Since the in-line recovery parachute system is generally on the order of 100 ft long, the distance between the roller arm and the payload hold-down point is about equal to the gorelength of the balloon, which is proportional to the cube root of the balloon volume. This is roughly O(0) = 0 the distance that the inflated bubble must move upward before it is erect over the payload, which is held by a payload release vehicle.

One perspective of the dynamic launch yielded results that were both quantitative and apparently significant with respect to discrimination between tendencies

^{*}It should also be noted that launch dynamics have long been proposed as contributing to eventual bursts at and above the tropopause, but such a relationship will not be addressed herein.



Figure 10. Effects of Preloading on Stratofilm [®] Failure Mode and Stress

toward success and failure. This perspective considered 1) the increased failure rate for large balloons (greater than 20×10^6 ft³) reported by Cuddihy¹; 2) the magnitude of the erecting force acting on the launch bubble; 3) the thickness of the film; 4) balloon construction; and 5) an assumption that thinner films are more subject to flaws and damage and thus reasonably of a probable lesser quality.

The force acting to erect the balloon (neglecting the parachute weight) is very simply the gross lift minus the balloon weight. That is, it is the sum of the free lift force and the payload. The product of the erecting force and the balloon gcrelength thus approximates the energy absorbed by the balloon in the erection process. Because of normal manufacturing differences in the widths of envelope gores and adjacent cap gores, the dynamic loading can be assumed to be taken up by the envelope material. If one further argues from experience that film "quality" is proportional to t, the film thickness, then the "effective film thickness" is t^2 , the product of the quality and the actual thickness. Thus F_D , an index of dynamic loading or shock, is an index that is directly proportional to erecting force and balloon size and inversely proportional to effective film thickness; it can be expressed as:

- 2 -



Figure 11. Effects of Preloading on Stratofilm $^{\textcircled{B}}$ Failure Mode and Stress

$$F_{D} = (W_{g} - W_{b}) S/t^{2}$$
, (9)

where

 F_D is the dynamic loading index (ft lb/in²);

 W_g is the gross inflation (lb);

 $W_{\mathbf{b}}$ is the balloon weight (lb);

- t is the film the ness (in,); and
- S_{is} is the balloon gorelength (ft) .

The results of this model for the launches of 93 balloons (volumes greater than 25×10^6 ft³) appear in Figure 12. The sharp change in success rate suggests a maximum acceptable value of F_D of about 10. Gore film thickness in mils can then be determined by:

$$t \ge \sqrt{(W_g - W_b) S \cdot 10^7}$$
 (10)

The second



Figure 12. Statistical Basis of Shell Thickness Model. Based on balloons having volumes greater than or equal to 25×10^6 ft³

The use of the model as it appears in Eq. (10) seems reasonable, but it does not explain the possible influence of launch temperature or launch wind speed. Both of these should contribute to launch failures: temperature, because both modulus and yield strength decrease with increasing temperature, and wind speed, because it directly affects the dynamic loading of the film.

5.3 Film Strength Considerations

1

Not stress alone, but stress in relation to temperature, time to failure, strength, and strain rate must be reflected in the criterion for crown film thickness. This suggests that creep strength and yield strength might be reasonably consistent film capability references. Alexander provides temperature related information on yield strength, ¹² biaxial safe stress¹⁵ (Figure 13) and uniaxial

Alexander, H., and Murthy, G.K.N. (1968) <u>A Failure Stress Criterion For</u> <u>A Polyethylene Balloon Film</u>, Scientific Report No. 4, Contract F19028-37-C-0241, AFCRL-TR-69-0103, AD 692516.



Figure 13. Safe Stress Chart for 2.0 mil Stratofilm®

creep strength 15 for Stratofilm $^{\textcircled{B}}$.

For a representative film thickness (0.75 mil), analysis of yield strength data in the temperature range $-40 \le {}^{0}F \le 120$ Alexander 12 (his figure 49) provides the relationship:

$$\sigma_{\rm y} = 2262 - 19.185 \, {\rm F} + 0.025496 \, {\rm F}^2 + 0.00016979 \, {\rm F}^3 \quad . \tag{11}$$

where

 σ_{v} is yield strength (lb/in²) and

F is temperature (^oF).

The actual rate of loading or straining during the inflation process is quite slow and inconstant and inflation temperatures are usually in the upper part of the range 20 to 80° F. Although the rate of 100 percent per minute used in the yield strength tests is slow, it is considerably faster than that produced in the inflation process, and thus at the usually relatively warm launch temperatures the film yield strength will be lower than the computed yield strength. In the



absence of more refined data and because our analyses are intended primarily for quantitative <u>comparability</u>, values of roughly 95 percent of the yield strengths at 20^oF and 80^oF were used to generate the temperature dependent "Stress Limit" function (Figure 14):

$$\sigma_{\rm SL} = EXP[7, 7187-0.010986 F]$$
 (12)

or

$$\sigma_{SL} = EXP[7.367-0.01978 C],$$
 (13)

where

 $\sigma_{\rm SL}$ is the stress limit (10/in²);

 \mathbf{F} is the temperature (^oF); and

C is the temperature (^OC).



Figure 14. Proposed Stress Limit for Stratofilm Balloons at an Approximately Static Loading Rate. This log-linear plot shows: a) safe stress from Figure 13, b) discrete stress values, represented by the symbol, \oplus , Eq. 11, c) nominal Stratofilm B yield strengths, 1733-psi machine direction and 1526-psi transverse direction, d) current design stress indicator range used with Schwoebel's approximation function, 1400 to 1600 psi, Eq. 8, and e) proposed Stress Limit function for Stratofilm B balloons, Eqs. 12 and 13

E. Stranger and a

5.4 Lord Tapes

Little engineering design effort has been undertaken with respect to the meridional load tapes used on polyethylene balloons. Perhaps this is because the tapes have always been considered strong in comparison to the balloon film, and confirmed tape failures, other than those associated with early semi-cylinder balloons during the inflation process, are unknown.* This disregard is somewhat inconsistent because the introduction of satisfactory tape attachment methods in the early to mid 1950s was halled as a significant step toward improved balloon capabilities.

Ultimate tape strength as a function of temperature is not found in the literature and, for the most part, the types of fibers and methods of construction are not comparable. Further, both ultimate strength and ultimate elongation vary with test specimen length and most certainly with elongation rate; static loading (as during inflation) is known to be the most severe use test. ¹⁶

Load tapes have been made from a variety of fibers and with a variety of construction practices. Materials used successfully include nylon, polyester, rayon, and glass. Kevlar is used on a very limited basis for experimental designs. At room temperature, a 100-lb (rated strength) tape made from each of these materials would have a modulus as follows:

Nylon	500 lbs
Polyester	1000 lbs
Rayon	16 00 l bs
Kevlar	2000 lbs
Glass	3100 lbs .

These figures are nominal and, to an undetermined degree, dependent upon both the construction of the tape and material sub-type (Dacron and Fortrel are both polyester fibers but significantly different in mechanical response).

More important than the tape modulus itself is the natural "crimp" in the load tape fibers and the amount of tape elongation (and attendant meridional film strain) required to uncrimp the fibers before the theoretical modulus becomes

Parsons, W.B. (1985) <u>Performance of Balloon Reinforcement Tapes Under</u> Static and Dynamic Loading, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract AF19(628)-2944, AD 698758.



^{*}A series of balloons 4,850,000 ft³₂ in volume made from 0.75 mil polyethylene and having 300-lb, test Fortisan bload tapes did fail whereas the same balloon type with 100-lb. test Fortisan load tapes had been successful. The balloon film in the former cases cannot, however, be ruled out as a cause of failure, but reorientation of stresses due to the tape modulus change (from 100 lb. to 300 lb.) is the suspected cause.

effective. ¹⁶ Figure 15 shows the effects of removing most of the crimp from one of two test specimens of Fortisan (rayon) load tapes and further shows that the effects of variations in crimp along given fibers, fiber bundles, and load tapes appear to average out rather uniformly.



Figure 15. The Effect of Fiber Crimp on Load Tape Performance. The curves ACD and BCD are the load-strain responses of two distinct samples of rayon (Fortisan (P)) load tape. A and B are the respective zero-strain points for the curves that have been shifted horizontally to overlay one another. Note the extreme crimp effect in the early portion of curve ACD

Elimination of the effects of crimp can be accomplished in two ways. Eliher the fiber bundles can be encased under a uniform tension during tape construction, or the tapes can be attached to the gore seam hems while under a higher uniform tension. In either case, the value of the tension must be high enough to be in the uniformly common part of the load strain curve (see point C in Figure 15 for example). Only in this way can the tapes be forced to share the meridional loads at very low elongations. Historically, this aspect of load tape construction has been ignored in practice by some designers and manufacturers; most likely this is because there has been no analysis in which this consideration could be used quantitatively to reveal its effects. This is no longer the case; the analysis proposed herein (see Appendix D) addresses the effect in Eq. (D3) by means of the term ϵ_0 , defined as mechanical tape slack.

The maximum tape load occurs at the apex when the balloon enters float. It has been traditional to use this as the tape design point. However, this merely establishes a rated strength based on a load multiplication factor. This "rule" addresses neither an acceptable limit for tape modulus (which would control tape-film interaction) nor the temperature dependency of tape properties.

Nominal ultimate tape strength has been used as a tape "specification", but this does not ensure comparability between sources. Subtle evolutionary changes in tape construction surely continue to influence the mean statistical responses of nominally identical load tapes from a single source. When balloon failures are rare, these inconsistencies are overlooked, but when quantitative analyses of the structural stresses are attempted either for failure analysis or for more efficient design extrapolations, problems can arise.

Until reliable quantitative data on load tape behavior are obtained, design rules such as load multipliers (normally four to five times the apex region load at float altitude) will continue to be used. Similarly, tape modulus as a function of temperature as proximated in Eq. (D9) of Appendix D will have to suffice.

6. AFGI. DESIGN PROCESS

The design process shown schematically in Figure 16 is the essence of the computer code developed under this study (Appendix G). Some of the design features and design criteria are contained as assumptions in the code and others are interactively input. What follows here is a general discussion of input; specific input instructions are discussed in Appendix G. The complete list of inputs include:

- a. natural shape minimum payload (lb)
- b. operational design payload (lb) g. sl
- c. maximum payload ('b)
- f. terminal parachute speed (ft/sec)
- g. shell thickness (mils)
- h. cap thickness (mils)
- i. load tape factor
- e. fractional ballast requirements

d. operational design altitude (ft)

j. maximum gore width (in.) .




ا معنی ا Inputs a through f are related to the mission and descriptive of the capabilities and performance requirements. Film thickness inputs (g and h) are estimates based on experience and are interactively adjusted during the iterative design process. The load tape factor and maximum gorewidth (inputs i and j) are normally constant; the maximum gorewidth, usually about 101 in., is based on economic use of the standard 54-in. layflat width of the extruded polyethylene tube; and the load tape factor, about 5, is a value presently without α firm engineering rationale.

The last four inputs (g through j) significantly affect the computed stress level. Increases in the first two reduce stress but have the most adverse impact on balloon weight. Decreases in the maximum gorewidth also reduce stress, but increase balloon cost - labor is roughly proportional to the product of the number of gores and the gorelength (the total length of gore heat seals).

Minimum adverse impact is achieved by increasing the load tape factor (i) to achieve reduced stress levels. This was inferred in the earlier references to the effect of tape fiber crimp.

The minimum payload value governs the balloor, shape and thus the values of the nondimensionalized shape characteristics f_1 through f_5 (see Section 4.1) and \overline{R}_m , the ratio of the meridional radius of curvature (at 0.17 gorelength units from the apex) to the launch bubble gorelength, and the related characteristics:

 θ the half cone angle at the nadir (degrees);

 T/W_{p} the ratio of the apex loading to the payload; and

T/G the ratio of the apex loading to the grossload .

The design payload and altitude combined govern the balloon volume and thus the gorelength, whereas the maximum payload affects the selection of tape strength, film thickness, caplength, cap thickness, and total duct venting area. If the design payload weight is the weight of the functional payload only, then the design code requires input of both ballast needs for ascent, descent, and sunset control (expressed as decimal fractions), and allowable parachute impact speed, which governs the parachute size and thus weight. These inputs are covered in detail in Appendix G.

Balloon ducts allow the free lift gas to be vented and thereby prevent overpressurization and bursting when the balloon reaches its natural floating altitude. The length of the duct is selected so that the balloon will not take in air when ascending or floating at an altitude below its natural floating altitude. This is effected by ensuring that the duct entry in the balloon wall is always in the positive pressure region, except during the initial ascent when the ducts are still fully collapsed. Balloon duct length is selected so that the zero differential pressure level is at the upper end of the duct when the balloon is about 16,000 ft

below the natural floating altitude; that is, when the balloon is about half full.

The requirement for a reefing sleeve exists only when the volume exceeds four million ft³ (an arbitrary volume based on experience). The sleeve extends from the base to within 5 ft of the cap. Endfitting sizes and thus weights are related to payload weight and number of gores, and are based on existing designs.

Selections of an adequate stress level and shock index as criteria for acceptance of a resulting design are basically at the discretion of the designer, but with due consideration to actual flight histories and regard for the margins of safety that appear appropriate for the relevant mission. For future designs, a stress level of about 600 psi and a shock index of 10 seem to be reasonable based on experience at this time.

7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The single-cell polyethylene balloon is not structurally complex; aside from the endfittings, which are really in the nature of sophisticated fasteners, * there are only a few critical parts that affect the strength of the vehicle (load tapes, "aps, and gores) or affect the loading of the structure (duc*s). These critical parts have been researched with the following conclusions and recommendations.

7.1 Ducts

Standing of the second second

We have considered the function of the duct and the various ways in which its size has been determined (Appendix F). We have shown that the film strength and atmospheric scale height should be considered as functions of altitude and not as constants, and that the present duct sizes based on these considerations are consistent with the early University of Minnesota recommendation that the actual duct diameters should be twice the theoretical. Further, we have shown that the results of the venting back pressure model of the University of Minnesota, when the atmospheric scale height is considered to be altitude dependent, are consistent with those produced by the differential equations governing the ascent venting process; these pressures are small percentages of the differential pressure at the maximum horizontal diameter.

It is concluded that duct cizes today are more than adequate. The need to have ducts that have diameters twice what is theoretically required has been

^{*}The plate, heap, and ring apex fitting developed by Winzen, International, Inc., has prohably been the single most important design improvement since the mid-1950s when the heat sealed tape was introduced; it, more than anything else, has made it possible to realize the load potential of capped- and uncapped-taped, fully tailored polyethylene balloons.

questioned, and in this regard actual venting back-pressure measurements should be made to confirm this. Reducing the number of ducts by using the largest practical sizes and making the ducts from the thinnest film practical would reduce both weight and construction costs - this should be done.

A venting-stress model should be developed to accommodate newer understanding of the high stress regions of the fully inflated balloon and should be based on improved film characterization at loading rates consistent with peak pressurization rates during the expulsion of the free lift gas.

1.2 Food Tapes

Lead tapes appear to have adequate ultimate strengths, dynamic loading responses (accommodating dynamic launch), and moduli. However, the difference between the modulus in theory and in practice (and between manufacturers) limits both design accuracy and confidence in the results of structural analyses of the balloon pre-launch load distributions. This is a problem that can be addressed confidently, easily, and without significant added cost to the balloon user. All that is required is stricter specifications for manufacturing and testing.

At present, the total tape strength requirement has been found to be based on a factor of four (NSBF) or five (AFGL) times the total meridional load in the balloon crown at 'load altitude. This seems to be a "rule of thumb" in both cases, rather than an engineering formula.

7.3 Gores

water that March

Gore thickness standards for a fully-tailored polyethylene balloon with load tapes, but without a cap are the same standards used for the crown of a capped balloon. Analysis of ground abort statistics suggested a criterion for the film thickness (in mils) of the gores of capped balloons, namely Eq. 10:

$$t \ge \sqrt{(W_g - W_b) S/10^7}$$

It was noted that a final refined model should probably account for launch temperature and, if possible, launch wind speed. *

^{*}Development of the "soft collar" dynamic launch method has, it appears, significantly improved the statistics for the large capped balloons, but, in the absence of this NSBF system, use of the model as a guide appears to offer a significant advantage.

7.4 Crown and Analytical Method

Criterion for the crown thickness is the essential part of this study. The NSBF model, or more properly the Schwoebel model, and the Okamoto analysis were reviewed and results compared with those of the author's model, which is based on modifications and additions to the analytic approach of Alexander¹⁷ and the film model of Webb. The comparisons involved 81 distinct balloon designs, ranging in volume from about 1 to 70 million ft³. All three approaches had in common the selection of the launch as the proper point for comparative stress analysis or application of design criteria. Only the proposed approach accounts for the film-tape interaction, for the orthotropic nature of the film, and for film modulus based both on temperature and accounting for relaxation of stresses and strains in the balloon crown.

Table 3 provides a comparison of the results of three approaches to design and analysis: the author's model (columns 3, 4, and 8 through 12); the NSBF model (columns 5 and 6); and the model suggested by Okamoto (column 7). For the most part, there are two payload entries for each balloon, the first in the pair being the suggested maximum and the second being the maximum flown successfully. The letter code following the entries in column 2 is the key to the source of the load rating. The tape indices, columns 3 and 6, are the ratios of total tape strength to total meridional load at the apex at float altitude (AFGL and NSBF computations differ slightly). For each payload the second entry in each pair for columns 4, 7, 8, and 9 are percentages. Thus column 4 shows the tape load at launch in pounds and as a percentage of the rated tape strength. Column 7 shows the computed Okamoto circumferential stress in psi and as a percentage of the author's computed circumferential stress. The circumferential and meridional stresses computed by the author's model are expressed in psi and as a percentage of the safe stress, 1004 psi. The second entry in each pair in columns 10 and 11 is the respective radius of curvature in feet.

In column 6 we have the NSBF stress index multiplied by 1000. The first entry in each pair is the effective value based on the column 2 payload. The second entry is the suggested index (multiplied by 1000) based on the actual film thickness, the load, and the size of the balloon. If the second value in each pair is less than the first it means that the suggested index is conservative.

One of the most remarkable results of the comparison of analyses is the consistency of the AFGL and Okamoto circumferential stress values; the Okamoto values are almost uniformly 3 to 5 percent greater than the AFGL values, except

^{17.} Alexander, H., and Agrawal, P. (1974) Gore Panel Stress Analysis of High Altitude Balloons, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract F19628-72-C-0100, AFCRL-TR-74-0597, AD A009627.

Table 3. Comparison of the Results of Analyses of Balloon Load Tape and Film Loading at Launch. The launch temperature is 23°C and the assumed safe stress is 1°04 psi. Altitude is sea level and the stress-strain relaxation time is assumed to be 1 hr

.

1

Ì

1

į

والمركزة والمراجعة والمتحاصية والمكرومية ومراجع والمحادية

i

المعين المعانية

> <u>مە</u> ا

> > .

(1) AutriL Autrik	(2) 14 (2)	(E) 34.61 14.64	(e) Familie Line	(S) Notice VANCS	(6) ذت1 L mut 5 C M L S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	(7) 344 40 ± 0 2 fR STR	(8) 374 Cik	(9) MER MER	(10) Spanin/ Cen	(11) Radius אפאי	(12) SHUCK INDEX
1 - V - Vi	45UJA 5355	6. 47 • . 35	4 . 0 4 . 0 4 . 0 4 . 0 7 0	e.24 5.78	1490 1576 1576	546 104.17 575 104.25	524 52.2 551 54.9	516 51.4 544 544	0.01251 4.670 0.01311	0.00817 49.034 0.00848	1.695
200- Yi	400 25 0 1	7.48	36.3 7.20 25.4	7.18 9.49	1354 1400 1100	497 10: 46 402 10: 14	476 47.4 336 386	469 46.7 377 377	U.01109 4.477 0.00920 4.005	0.00735 43.659 0.00510 41.731	1.221 0.306
サコウーング	75.J	5.45 6.54	a.60 7.25 7.25	4.71 5.#5	1343 1400 1265 1265	530 103.55 468 103.42	512 51.0 452 45.1	50.5 50.5 447	0.01143 3.523 0.01003 3.276	0.00877 44.805 0.00740 43.204	2.967 1.977
×۷-ئ	2150 <i>4</i> 1658	7.15 8.46	21.4 7.14 17.9 5.96	6.3 1 7.49	1514 1600 1371 1600	542 103.46 490 103.37	524 52.2 474 47.3	514 51.2 464 464	0.01328 3.406 0.01214 3.615	0.30728 48.650 0.00608 47.289	2.175
SV -J06	420UM 394U	6.20 6.47	31.7 7.92 30.3 7.58	5.53 5.76	1394 1400 1359 1400	518 103.59 504 103.55	500 49.8 486	494 49.2 49.2	0.01153 76.4 25110.0 4.894	0.00808 62.158 0.00774 61.660	5.865 5.525
sv-007	86034 6300	4.59 5.86	83.5 10.44 64.2 8.03	4.17 5.29	1312 1400 1123 1400	642 105.76 540 105.39	607 60.5 513 51.1	602 59.9 50.4	0.01408 7.940 0.01199 7.176	0.01066 58.432 0.00819 56.538	3.646 2.701
5V-00	7000W 5166	4.46 5.62	63.1 10.52 69.4 8.23	4.04 5.36	1373 1400 1186 1186	645 105.23 549 104.91	613 61.0 523 52.1	607 50.5 516 51.4	0.01428 7.156 0.01226 6.510	0.01074 59.024 0.00840 57.075	3.251 2.429
600- <u>V</u>	3500 3437	7.35	33.0 6.60 32.6 6.51	6.56 6.64	1173 1600 1164 1164	477 104.09 474 104.08	459 45.7 455 455	451 44.9 447	0.01089 5.136 0.01081 5.114	0.00673 54.611 0.00664 54.715	1.734 1.705
sv-010	725# 674	5.16 5.29	9.4.0 9.4.0 8.8.8	4.45	1411 1400 1393 1400	539 103.57 530 103.55	520 51.8 511 50.9	51.4 51.4 507 50.5	0.01142 3.717 0.01121 3.679	0.00920 47.209 0.00899	3.263
sv-011	900.4 896	5.85 5.85	12.2 8.16 12.2 8.15	5.04 5.05	1402 1400 1401 1401	501 103.25 500 103.25	485 48.3 484 48.2	481 47.9 480 47.8	0.01063 3.915 0.01061 3.912	0.00833 54.864 0.00832 54.853	2.537 2.529

44

.* + · · .to.

Table 3. Comparison of the Results of Analyses of Balloon Load Tape and Film Loading at Launch. The launch temperature is 23°C and the assumed safe stress is 1004 psi. Altitude is sea level and the stress-strain relaxation time is assumed to be 1 hr (Contd)

贫

18 A.S.

i

A COMPANY OF A COMPANY

i

•

MODEL MUMBER	(2) Payillau (18)	(3) TAPE INJEX	() TAPE LUAU	(5) NSBF 1 TALPES	(6) Ladices Stress	(7) OKANOTO CIR STR	(8) STR Cik	(6) (6) (6)	(10) STRAIN/ CIR	(11) Nadiug Ner ug	(12) SBOCK INDEX
SV-012	1050M	5.89	11.9	5.12	9651	525	805	105	0.01185	0.00610	2.035
	1036	5.92	11.8	5.14	1400	523 103.42	50.4	664	19110.0 1.690	0.00806 48.503	2.015
SV-014	14004	4.92	28.0	4.40	1403	565	569	563	201335	0.00952	5.335
	2312	6.33	9.33 21.5	5.61	1400	104.15 500 103.42	56.1 481	56.1 474	5.219 0.01136	55.612 0.00731	3.724
std-vè	3100%	4.78	23.6	4.25	1195	581	559	\$54	8/210.0	0.00964	5.539
	2357	5.67	9.44	5.00	1400	103.99	55.7	55.1 492	\$11.5 7CI10.0	57.165	.303
			7.95		1400	103.84	19.5	49.0	4.769	55.370	
SV-016A	2400.	6.78	22.6	6.08	1563	560	195	531	0.01369	0.00769	4.857
-	2138	7.35	20.7	6.57	1467	533	512	50°2	0.01329	0.00705	4.355
54-0173	20001	6.10	43.4	5.53	1414	560	536	530	0.01244	0.00885	1.929
	4140	99.3	8.67 37 5		1400	104.56	53.4	52.8	5.317	50.984	
			7.50	40.0	1400	104.40	10 °	48.1	5.047	50.003	1.030
34-018	1900 M	4.35	20.2	3.95	1404	681	653	64.4	0.01652	0.01033	2.604
	1739	1 67	10.12	10.1	1600	105.14	65.0	64.2	4.766	39.632	
	0011		9.36	• • •	1600	105.07	62.1	61.2	4.648	39.139	£9¢.7
610-VS	5000M	4.65	51.6	4.22	96ET	959	624	617	0.01498	0.01053	1.914
	2020	10.6	24.9	8.00	676		112	105 105	0.01035	90500.0	0.808
			07.4		nnet	79.91	1.14	1.04	5.052	46.129	
SV-020	2400	6.49	23.2	5.83	1563	575	\$55	545	0.01413	0.00789	4.328
	1313	9.61	15.2	8.47	1233	95¥			0.01148	49.249	2.913
			5.08		1600	103.30	44.0	42.9	3.546	45.839	
SV-021	N005	4.08		3.53	TEET	288	563	261	0.01193	0.01079	4.306
	509	4.06	10.57	1.51	1400	104.33	56.1	• : S	4.145	42.681	
			10.62		1460	104.34	3.2	1.5	1.153 K	42.936	700.4
SV-022	r006	4.86	•••	4.22	1425	569	548	544	0.01236	0.00558	3.430
	(I.V	1 4 3	9 .39		1400	103.75	54.6	54.2	3, 743	41.906	
	•		7.26		1991	103.56	2.5 •	X	0.01010 3.354	42.287	1-74

45

12.00

and the second second

Table 3. Comparison of the Results of Analyses of Balloon Load Tape and Film Loading at Launch. The launch temperature is 23^oC and the assumed safe stress is 1004 psl. Altitude is sea level and the stress-strain relaxation time is assumed to be 1 hr (Contd)

* 47

--

(1) NODEL HUMBER	(2) PAYLUAU (TB)	(3) TAPE Index	(4) FAPE LUAŬ	(5) Asier TAPEs	(6) INDICES STRESS	(7) OKAMUTU CIR STR	(8) Str Cir	(9) UESS MER	(10) SFRAIN, CIR	(11) RADIUS MER	(12) SBOCK INLEX
100-AJ-T	10.00	3.77	12.9	3. 29	2225	787	762	754	0.01917	0.01319	1.033
	750	4.30	11.3	£7.E	2069	721	669	169	0.01763	0.01154	0.809
			11.31		1400	103.16	69.7	63.8	3.160	45.451	
LTV-J02	400k	4.06	10.7	3.58	1644	727	869	688	0.01813	0.01090	0.560
	118	1 75	10.68		1723	104.19	69.5	68.5	3.616	37.897	
			11.62		1600	104.27	0.67	72.1	3.721	38.338	168.0
25 06-734	2900 m	d.21	42.8	7.42	1556	602	576	564	0.01613	0.00671	0.407
			6.58		1600	104.40	57.4	56.2	4.389	42.730	
	0005	00.B	6.78	1.24	19091	104.41	58.2 54.2	57.0	4.415	0.00691 42.877	124.0
LTV - 00 S	750	9.25	10.9	8.32	629 1600	405 106.69	360 37.8	270 36.8	0.00952	0.00445	0.066
10-V14	3000	9.83	31.4	8.83	2376	900	584	571	0.01674	0.00640	1.132
			6.27		1600	102.75	58.2	56.9	2.830	46.080	
	0005	25.4	5.27	8,38	2376	600 102.75	584	571 56.9	0.01674	0.00640	1.132
LUV-007	23004	6.94	35.6	6.29	1310	609	579	567	0.01575	0.00727	0.286
			7.13		1600	105.31	57.6	56.5	4.909	38.551	
	2165	7.28	33.8 6.75	é.58	1272 1600	594 105.28	564 56.2	552 55.0	0.01541	0.00689	0.270
800- AI.T	1200-	4.77	17.6	4.36	786	577	527	522	6.01193	96800.0	0.077
			8.78	:	1600	109.59	52.5	51.9	6.515	24.247	
	• 5		16.2 8.11	4.68	1600	14.201	501 6.9	495 49.3	0.0138 6.301	0.00828	0.071
1.TV-009	1 004	4.68	16.8	4.26	822	576	531	524	0.01244	0.00858	960.0
			4.41	:	1600	108.45	52.9	52.2	6.182	27.543	
	446	••••	13.6 6.79	11.6	1600	106.05	46.6	461	5.720	0.00692	0.076
LTV-0108	11004	4.92	15.1	4.44	822	552	513	505	0.01244	0.00770	0.105
	95.7	5 AR		1 01	00 0 T	10. / UL				001.00	100 0
			6.65		1600	107.30	1.1	46.8	5.573	29.765	160.0
VT10-117	12004	5.02	13.8	4.53	0001	628	598	589	0.01478	0.00938	0.331
	1145	5 20	61.6	4 6A	1000	105.08	59.5	58.7	4.076	34.316	211 O
					16041	105.04	: : : : : : : :	27	4.025	34.150	

SIE IN STREET

46

Store Barrier

-

Table 3. Comparison of the Results of Analyses of Bulloon Load Tape and Film Loading at Launch. The launch temperature is 23°C and the assumed safe stress is 1004 psi. Altitude is sea level and the stress-strain relaxation time is assumed to be 1 hr (Contd)

÷

;.

1

1

i

10 - F. C

Ĵ.

. 2.3

· • • •

	rayludu (IB)	(3) TAPE Imulx	(f) 7.8.2.C Eumo	(5) MSdF I TAPES	(6) Mu ICES STRESS	(7) Omanuto CIR STR	(8) STR CIR	(9) BSS NER	(10) STRAIN, CIR	(11) PADIUS NER	
LIV-012	45Un	5.30	12.5	4.67	1406	1194.11	587	575	0.01502	0.00854	0.38
	1237	4.52	14.9	10.4	1600	676	9.99	3.7	0.01645	0.01016	0.48
L'UV-UI3A	1000	6.13	42.0	5.56	1552	658	628	616	0.01688	0.00456	0.42
	26 u 2	6.dS		6.19	1447	104.74	590 590 58.7	57.6	0.01600	42.732 0.00756 42.083	0.37
110-V11	4000	5.04	1.25	4.58	1366	643	644	635	0.01633	0.01004	0.28
•	TNNE	6.34	7 4 6 9 - 9 5 7 4 6 7 4 6	5.74	1400 1180	105.86	55.7 55.7	549	6.309 0.01446 5.851	0.00776 0.00776	0.21
LLV-U18	1875#	4.42	40.3	4.45	1022	121	659	653	0.91685	0.01027	61.0
	1130	96.4	24.1	5.47	049 1970	10.001	54.6 54.6	537 537 53.5	7.130 0.01446 6.445	26.911 0.00718 25.901	60-0
6TN-117	Lesum	4.25	23.5	3.48	982	189	628	619	0.01508	0.00970	0.14
	1441	4.76	2	10.1	912	632 632 108.25	584	574	0.01493	0.00048	0.12
LTV-U20	7 5u w	4.44	16.7	4.43	568	155 155	495	165	0.01235	0.00682	0.03
	407	4.56	1.21	4.17	1600 1600	573 573 111.43	512		0.01277	20.032 0.00736 20.176	9.04
120-71	1005	4.47	17.4	4.53	657	560	509	499	0.01277	0.00109	0.05
	6 92	6.18	13.1	5.62	571 571	109.70	4 4 6 2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	450.4 15110.0	22.516	0.04
LEV-022	B UUK	0.4B	15.5	5.91	926	609 501 57	\$ 6 5	552	J.01592	u.00634	CT.0
	4 0.9	76.6	12.1	7.48	766	520 520 107.36	484 484 487	472 472	u.01409 4.636	0.00430 0.00430 2354.52	60.0
Lrv-u23	1440	ð. 46	£1.52	5.41	1408	652	620	609	0.01676	0.00830	0.37
	116	lu.ue		6.9	1016	164.401		5.4	0.01322 3.632	0.00445	
L:0-024	1550	4. 24	22.7	J. 85	966		605	596	0.01516	0.00928	0.16
	1525	٥٤.۴	22.4	1, 90	3987 7987	449 449			U. U1507	0.00014	0.15

47

4347 F 65

ANS A

Table 3. Comparison of the Results of Analyses of Balloon Load Tape and Film Loading at Launch. The launch temperature is 23°C and the assumed safe stress is 1004 psi. Ititude is sea level and the stress-strain relaxation time is assumed to be 1 hr (Contd)

•

. •

1

.

ļ

.

ł

فالتعقف وسنافق مكالك وفاقتتهما ومعر يعاويان ومنافعا كالتقوار والمتحكمة ومعروب وحرارات والقالي وحالكا وخاريك

i

المين [المجنوع

. •

....

-

AUNUL RUMBUR		(3) TANE LAUGA	EARE L'ARE	(5) Nores Tares	(6) alu (Cha STRESS	(7) UKANUTU CIA STR	(8) Str Cik	(9) 2665 MEK	(10) STRAIm/ CIR	(11) /#401/US NER	
PTA-NSé	3400H	5.29 5.43	4.64 4.64 5.6	4.KJ	1600	716 105.29	680 67.7 454	669 66.7	0.01616 5.218	0.00900 11.671 11.671	0.451
			4.15		1600	104.42	45.7		4.359	37.643	
100-4s	1004	5.02	6.7	4.51	168	522	454	485	0.01230	0.00689	1.873
	[]	7.20		6.J	714	418 105.24	5.67	20.5	3.798	0.00456	1.140
ir-002	1001	6.54	3.3	5.76	1120	459	443	436	0.01023	3.00670	0.688
		6.63		5.85	1113	103.66	1.1		0.01014	0.00662 32.672	0.660
58-UG 3	50004	4.71	52.9	4.32	992	621 100 10	695 595	567	0.01221	0.01079	0.44
	3856	5.91	1.1	5.40	1600	519		5.1	0.01010	9.00851	0.34
5V-50U	38004	£v.ċ	27.4	4.44	1364	562	145	536	0.01223	0.00933	7.221
	3004	5.44	23.6	5.16	1400	507 103.77]=;	; ; ;;	0.01103	0.00904	5.812
5V-501	54004	4.94	1.15	4.40	1360	95	541	537	0.01210	0.00950	7.686
	3686	6.28	2.62	5.54	1178	475 103.81	÷.5	\$51 \$	0.01023 5.464	0.00745	5.40]
sv-502	3400M	5.71	24.9	5.08	1367	526	201	502	0.01151	0.00848	99.6
	2287	7.24	1.9.1 19.1 6.55	6.36	1400	849 103.48	, <u>, ,</u> ,	42.5 42.5	4.886 0.00983 4.449	59.856 0.00669 57.125	6.73]
SV-563	39004	5.10	27.3	4.52	1463	543	55	65	0.01221	0.00948	8.07
	3102	5.35	24.3	5.15		115 103.56	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.1 1.1	0.01109 4.878	0.00826 61.803	6.552
SV-504	3004	4.15	21.12	3.69	1444	620	596	165	0.01345	0.01077	8.18
	2788	4.35	20.2	3.86	1400	104.06	576	572 57.6	0.01300 5.129	0.01028 56.563	7.650
SV-505	40004	4.85	29.0	4.33	1480	195	566	195	0.01289	0.00986	7.501
	2563				7744	102.01	7.00		5.63	101.430	

48

Table 3. Comparison of the Results of Analyses of Balloon Load Tape and Film Loading at Launch. The launch temperature is 23°C and the assumed safe stress is 1004 psi. Altitude is sea level and the stress-strain relaxation time is assumed to be 1 hr (Contd)

: .

1

Chairs - -----

ł

میں۔ اسلیکشوں

	(2) PAYLOAD (18)	(3) TAPE INDEE	(4) TAPE LOAD	(5) MSBF TAPES	(6) INDICES ST (ESS	(7) OKANOTO CIR STR	(8) STJ CLR	(9) KESS KER	(10, STRAIN, CIR	(11) 2010A9	SBOCH SBOCH
SV-506	7250W	5.51	53.0	4.92	1406	547	527	525 525	0.01188	10600.0	7.929
	5800	6.37	45.6		1260	464		114	0.01076	0.00776	6.442
			7.61		1400	103.74	47.5	46.9	5,883	70.346	
SV-507	20004	5.68	16.9	5.00	1441	538	520	\$14	0.01196	0.00860	5.541
			6. 9)		1400	103.49	51.6	51.2	4.139	53.343	
	5007			nn.c	1400	103.49	51.6	51.1	4.140	0.00661 53.352	5.549
805-VS	45004	4.44	30.2	3.96	1396	595	575	567	0.01278	0.01026	8.799
			10.05		1400	104.12	3	56.5	5.454	\$3.577	
	1692	5.04	26.6 8.85	4.47	1295	543 1Ú3.99	522 52.0	51.5	0.01164 5.539	0.00903 52.045	7.329
805-78	7 500 M	4.56	50.9 10.18	4.07	1437	598 104.14	574 57.1	570 56.7	0.01279	0.01030 73.084	6.676
8V-510	400CM	5.02	27.9	4.47	1400	566 103.79	545 54-3	541	0.01229	0.00949	11.662
#V-511	27004	4.57	19.3	4.04	1365	573	551	545	0.01225	E 8600°0	7.953
	2024	51.2	9 ° 9 1	12.4	1247	103.95	54.9	54.5	5.049	57.756	771 7
			.23		1400	10.501	19.0	4.4	4.749	55.091	
SV-512	28004	6.49	22.9	5.73	1412	503	-		0.01121	0.00778	5.703
	2305	7.25	20.5	6.36	1400	194			0.01043	56.797	4.784
			6.82		1400	103.26	15.1	44.4	4.180	57.545	
54-513	3400 M	4.91	23.1	4.37	1360	557	536	532	0.01196	0.00941	10.149
	7690	6 6 8	9.22	10.1	1400	103.90	53.4	53.0	5.201	59.833	
			00.8	10.0	1400	103.70	48.3	47.8	368.4	116.12	D 0 7 0
SV-514	38004	5.28	27.3	4.69	1479	655	539	534	0.01220	0.00929	7.628
	3420	5.64	25.6		1421	103.02	51.7	5.2	4.986	62.151	6 4 3
			8.52		1400	103.56	51.3	50.8	4.451	51.360	47 £ * A
SV-515	M0009	4.50	40.3	4.00	1412	065	567	[9 5]	0.01257	0.01028	5.543
	6068	1.47	40.04	3.97	1410	104.07	56.5	56.1	0.01264	70.860	5.601
			10.15		1400	70. MO C				EtC	

49

41

- - E

1

•

÷.

Table 3. Comparison of the Results of Analyses of Balloon Load Tape and Film Loading at Launch. The launch temperature is 23°C and the assumed safe stress is 1004 psi. Altitude is sea level and the stress-strain relaxation time is assumed to be 1 hr (Contd)

6

į

1

ţ,

i

100 - 100 -

. موجد ا 4

•

•

214

....

(1) Muuel Humber	(2) PAYLUAD (LB)	(3) TAPE INUEX	(4) TAPE LOAD	(5) NSBF TAPES	(6) IMUICES STRESS	(7) OKA40TO CIR SFR	(B) STI CIR	(9) Ner Ner	(10) STRAIN, CIR	(II) RAATUS RER	(12) SHOCK LADEX
SV-516	2000	4.31	15.1	3.78	1397	165	595	564	0.01262	0.01029	5°997
	1528	4.96	13.2	4.33	1286	535	515	2115	0.01137	0.00699	4.726
			10.5		1400	B. FOT	51.5	6.05	CO4.4	52.699	
5V-517	5050#	5.42	35.3	4.81	1405	540	521	516	U.01164	0.00899	5.952
	4800	5.53	34.1	4.96	1379	103.70	51.9 509	51.5	5.581	67,937	5.679
			8.53		1400	103.67	50.7	59.2	5.505	67.543	
3V-518	10009	5.66	43.0	5.03	1412	534	515	210	0.01156	0.00677	9.235
	4813	6.49	37.4	5.73	1400	103.67 485	51.3	50.8	5.745	70.974	1 518
			7.48	•	1400	1054	46.7	46.1	5.466	69.358	
iv-519	MC002	4.64	14.9	4.06	1473	582	561	558	0.01246	0.01012	9.975
	1005	20 V	9.92		1400	103.74	55.9	55.5	144.4	53.650	
			16.6		1400	103.74	55.9	5.5	44770-0	0.01011 53.632	9.952
SV-520	16004	4.98	13.8	41.34	1416	553	533	529	0.01183	0.60941	5.149
	1364	5.37	9.22	2.46	1400	103.63	53.1	52.7		53.483 A DOUTE	
			8.58		1400	163.57	50.4	50.05	4.159	52.654	
5V-521	15004	4.84	18.4	16.4	1428	571	550	546	0.01213	0.00968	9.262
	6593	5.26	9.63 7	5	1 35.8	103.92	56.7	24.1	6.565	75.392	
			16.9		1400	103.83	51.6	51.2	6.327	74.327	
SV-523	3900 M	4.65	24.4	4.12	1408	570 103.85	549 54.7	545 54.3	0.01202 5.455	0.00997	13.009
SV-524	6300#	5.01	37.1 9.28	4.46	1573	546 103.86	528 52.6	524 52.2	0.01152 6.140	0.00947	616.02
SV-525	2500M	5.81	17.0 8.52	S. 09	1401 1400	504 103.48	487	* *	0.01041	0.00070 58.291	20.250
SV-527	28004	6.54	22.7	5.77	1405	105	105	478	0.01115	0.00773	5.679
	2749	6.61	22.5	5, 83	1396	164	; ;	1	0.01107	0.00764	5.584
			7.49		1400	103.34	47.9	47.3	4. 335	56.461	

50

Section of the

. . *·* .

;

Table 3. Comparison of the Results of Analyses of Balloon Load Tape and Film Loading at Launch. The launch temperature is 23°C and the assumed safe stress is 1004 psi. Altitude is sea level and the suress-strain relaxation time is assumed to be 1 hr (Contd)

.

ł

And the second second

ł

- ----

- 20 - 14 - 20 - 20 - 1

•••

.

The state of the state of the

NOUEL	(2) PAYLOAU (LB)	(3) TAPC INDEX	(4) TAPE LOAD	(5) HSBF TAPES	(6) INDICES STRESS	(7) CRAMOTO CLR STR	(8) STF CIR	(9) Ress Mer	(10) STRAIN, CIR	(11) (RADTUS MER	(12) SHOCK INDEX
SV-528	2420M	6.42	19.3	5.65	ESPT	196	8	475	0.01084	0.00786	7.583
	1877	7.36	16.8	6.42	1298	452	+ + 198		68600.0	0.00688	6.049
					1400	22.601	1.1	1.14	J. 982	55,850	
S4-529	20004	5.72	16.9	9.9°	1414	513	196	192	0.01068	0.00682	7.302
	1609	6.31	15.4	5.47	1339	619	49.4	424	21010.0	0.00785	6.070
			7.69		1400	103.32	46.2	45.7	4.158	56.912	
BV-530	32001	5.42	22.3	4.76	1453	534	515	512	0.01133	0.00910	11.373
	1905	1.05	16.9	6 13	1250	103.47	51.4	51.0	4.791	62.705	010 0
			6.9		1400	103.29	42.8	42.4	4.289	59.106	(19.1
1E 2-V2	40004	4.79	28.3	4.27	1370	571	549	545	0.01235	0.00962	5.607
		:			00.01	70. 801	X	7. 60	000.0	959.19	
2E C- AS	1000	6.38	11.5	5.52	11399	492	476	46.91	0.0170	0.00783	3.422
	1149	6.02	12.2	5.22	1444	512	495	490	0.01114	0.00828	3.838
			8.11		1400	103.28	49.3	8.84	3.671	50-735	
5V-533	2400M	5.00	18.5	4.39	1423	\$55	536	531	16110.0	0.00943	7.570
	1060		47.6		1400	163.65	53.4	52.9	4.619	58.045	
	7. P. C. T	86.0	6.33		1400	103.56	49.5	1.6	184.4	0.00%50 56.801	
SV-535	20004	5.59	17.1	4.87	1411	519	502	498	0.01105	C.00871	1.072
			8.54		1400	103.42	50.0	49.6	4.393	54.314	
	1921	79.0	10.4 8.21		1400	205 103.39	438 48.6	484 48.2	0.01072 4.317	0.00833 57.830	6.565
ŝv-536	₽00 F	4.49	7.8	3.90	1341	112	549	545	0.01206	0.00992	9.074
	900	26.0	9.72	;	1400	104.03	54.6	5.15	3.884	43.227	
	840	07.4	10.19	1	1400	104.07	56.7	56.3 56.3	3.970	0.01040 43.6C5	3.386
SV-522	5400 H	4.69	38.7	4.14	1377	565	544	540	0.01189	0.00985	1.534
	4751	5 23	9.66	L 3 4	1400	105.93	54.2	53.3	6.418	73.436	
					1400	103.79	19.5	1. 1.	0.04041 6.062	71.961	681.0
54-526	45004	4.27	34.7	3.78	1366	596	573	569	0.01268	4.01045	4.172
	3136	140	10.24	;	1400	104.11	57.0	56.7	6.116	66.723	:
	6785		10.9	07.4	9871	245 101 97	522	518	12110.0	0.00919	3.427

51

- 20

and the second second

Table 3. Comparison of the Results of Analyses of Balloon Load Tape and Film Loading at Launch. The launch temperations is 23°C and the assumula safe stress is 1004 psi. Altitude is sea level and the stress-strain relaxation time is assumed to be 1 hr (Contd)

1

.

ł

4.8

ومرواري فتقدم ورعمتهم مكمنا أنشار كالتم ومحمدتهم الشكر أتبتهم

(1) MUUEL MUAER	PAYLOAU (13) (13)	(3) TAPE IAUEX	TAPE LUAU	(S) MSAF TAPEE	(6) INDICES STRESS	(7) OKANOTO CIR STR	(B) ST#	(9) Bar	(10) STRAId/ CIR	(11) BADI US	(12) SHOCK FAIDER	
1 6 č−vc	1600 -	6.39	14.9	6.02	1498	969 969	481	475	0.01113	0.00759	6.135	1
	1423	1.31	14.0	6.37	1443	111	463	456	9.01071	0.00716	5.536	-4
			7.02		1400	103.05	46.1	45.5	3.467	51, 386		

52

• 5

٠.

4

•

for a few cases where the difference is in the order of 10 percent. This uniformity is particularly interesting when one considers that the Okamoto model considers only differential pressure p, film thickness t, meridional radius of curvature R_1 , and circumferential vadius of curvature R_2 according to the relationship:*

$$\sigma_2 = \left(\frac{pR_2}{t}\right) \left(1 - \frac{R_2}{2R_1}\right)$$

فتعاقبهم والمتعلم والمراجع والمتعال

The ranges of meridional and circumferential stress values (psi) for successful flights are very interesting when compared with the ranges of design (rated) values. These are shown in Table 4. In the absence of values for balloons that subsequently failed in flight, one could conclude that present designs are too conservative. However, a limit greater than 700 psi, when a reasonable sefe stress is only about 1000 psi is probably inadvisable.

Balloon	Load	Meridion	al Stress	Circumfere	ntial Stress
Туре	Category	Min	Max	Min	Max
No Com	Rated	485	754	495	762
мо Сар	Tested	435	724	445	733
Cannad	Rated	436	669	443	653
Capped	Tested	377	615	386	624

Table 4. Stress Range Summary of Data Base Designs

With respect to the NSBF stress index, there were three balloons in the study (all without caps) for which the index was greater than 1.6 in actual successful flights. The corresponding NSBF load tape factor (usually equal to 4) and the computed AFGL meridional stress values are shown in Table 5. The stress trends are opposite, but consistent with the noted tape factors. In fact, for a NSBF tape factor of about 5 and a NSBF stress index of about 1.4, the AFGL stresses appear to be consistently about 510 psi. This strongly indicates that any AFGL crown stress criterion could be translated into a comparable set of NSBF indices, and vice versa. However, this would apply at only one launch temperature.

^{*}Because R_2 is part of the solution, this model cannot be used predictively, but it should provide a valuable check at other launch temperatures.

NS	BF	ATGL
Stress Index	Tape Facior	Meridional Stress
1.722	3.32	724
2.069	3.73	691
2.376	7,24	572

Table 5. Large NSBF Stress Indices

Using analysis at the initial point in balloon flight histories as an operational predictor of subsequent success and failure, and as a decision model in an iterative design process is still a reasonable goal. The results of this study confirm it as historically viable, but design and operational use criteria require that acceptable stress levels be established not for one temperature (23^oC in our case) but over the complete range of launch temperatures.

Useful film strength does decrease and meridional and circumferential film strains do increase with increasing temperature. Such deformations, locked in to some uncertain degree by the decreasing temperature accompanying the ascent to the tropopause, have been proposed as significant contributors to the so-called "tropopause burst" phenomenon. Indeed, in-flight strain measurements reported by Rand¹⁸, suggest that such strains are "frozen in" up to the tropopause.

Webb's material characterization has been applied in the AFGL analysis model with limited success. It is not known whether further extrapolation to cover the complete range of launch temperatures will be successful. However, it is expected that recent work by Wilbeck¹⁹ will be useful and, if fully developed, might meet the total Stratofilm[®] characterization requirements of the AFGL analysis model and thereby enable the realization of a simple and effective performance prediction model.

The method of stress analysis of the fully inflated balloon developed by Rand 20 and revised to take advantage of Wilbeck's material model offers the

Rand, J. L. (1982) Balloon film strain measurements, <u>Workshop on</u> <u>Instrumentation and Technology for Scientific Ballooning, XXIV COSPAR</u> <u>Plenary Meeting, Ottawa, Canada, 16 May - 2 June 1982.</u>

^{15.} Wilbeck, J.S., and Rand, J.L. (1981) Balloon Material Characterization, AIAA 7th Aerodynamic Decelerator and Balloon Technology Conference.

Rand, J. L. (1978) <u>Design and Analysis of Single Cell Balloons</u>, Scientific Report No. 1, Contract F19628-76-C-0082, AFGL-TR-78-0258, AD A072828.

most promising approach to making the fully inflated shape more efficient. If it is further modified for use with the partially inflated bubble at launch, it should provide a description of the launch stresses and strains much more accurately than any method proposed to date.

a second product by the second state

100

ć.

; -

4

and the second second second second second

47 ° 135

References

- Cuddihy, W.F., et. al. (1979) A review of heavy lift balloon failures, Proceedings, Tenth AFGL Scientific Balloon Symposium, Catherine L. Rice, Ed., AFGL-TR-79-0053, AD A074468, pp. 57, 80.
- Dwyer, J.F. (1974) Free bailoon capabilities: A critical perspective, <u>Proceedings (Supplement), Eighth AFCRL Scientific Balloon Symposium,</u> <u>30 September to 3 October 1974, Andrew S. Carten, Jr., Ed., pp. 123,</u> 161.
- Dwyer, J.F. (1978) Zero pressure balloon shapes, past, present, and future, <u>Scientific Ballooning (COSPAR)</u>, W. Riedler, Ed., Pergamon Press, pp. 9, 19.
- 4. Schwoebel, R.L. (1956) Strato-lab Development, Final Technical Report, Contract No. NONR 1589(06).
- Okamoto, S. (1973) The effect of the number of longitudinal reinforcing tapes on a balloon and the development of thin balloon films, Institute of Space and Aeronautical Science: Bulletin, Tokyo, Japan 9(1B):142-158. (Translation, Emmanuel College, EMM-75-322, Contract F19628-74-C-0135).
- Weissmann, D. (1972) <u>The Ductile P-ittle Transition of Low Density Poly-</u> <u>ethylene</u>, Scientific Report No. 1, Contract No. F19628-72-C-0100, AD 757885.
- 7. Anderson, A.A., Jr., and Monfitt, G.L. (1958) <u>Balloon Barrier Materials</u>, Final Technical Report, Contract AF 19(604)-1393, AD 152504.
- 8. Dwyer, J.F. (1966) Some polyethylene balloon statistics, Proceedings, AFCRL Scientific Balloon Workshop, 1365, pp. 49-58, AD 634765.
- 9. Kerr, A.D. (1967) <u>Stress and Deformation Analysis of Flexible Balloons</u>, Final Report, Contract AF 19(628)-4990, AFCRL-TR-67-0345, AD 666437.
- Kerr, A. D., and Alexander, H. (1967) On a Cause of Failure of High Altitude Plastic Balloons, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract F19628-67-C-0241, AFCKL-TR-67-0611, AD 667192.

57

Service Street S

- 11. Kerr, A.D. and Alexander, H. (1968) On a Cause of Failure of High Altitude Plastic Balloons, Scientific Report No. 5, Contract F19628-67-C-0241, AFCRL-TR-68-0486, AD 692173.
- 12. Alexander, H. and Weissmann, D. (1972) <u>A Compendium of the Mechanical</u> Properties of Polyethylene Balloon Films, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract F19628-69-C-0069, AFCRL-72-0068, AD 746678.
- Webb, L.D. (1978) <u>Mechanical Behavior of Balloon Films</u>, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract F19628-76-C-0082, AFGL-TR-79-0026, AD A098937.
- 14. Rand, J. L. (1978) Define and Study Free Balloon Design Problems, Final Report, Contract F19628-76-C-0082, AFGL-TR-78-0295, AD A067716.
- Alexander, H., and Murthy, G.K.N. (1968) <u>A Failure Stress Criterion For</u> <u>A Polyethylene Balloon Film</u>, Scientific Report No. 4, Contract <u>F19628-57-C-0241</u>, <u>AFCRL-TR-69-0103</u>, AD 692516.
- 16. Parsons, W.B. (1965) Performance of Balloon Reinforcement Tapes Under Static and Dynamic Loading, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract AF19(628)-2944, AD 698758.
- 17. Alexander, H., and Agrawal, P. (1974) <u>Gore Panel Stress Analysis of High</u> <u>Altitude Balloons</u>, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract F19628-72-C-0100, <u>AFCRL-TR-74-0597</u>, AD A009627.
- Rand, J. L. (1982) Balloon film strain measurements, Workshop on Instrumentation and Technology for Scientific Ballooning, XXIV COSPAR Plenary Meeting, Ottawa, Canada, 16 May - 2 June 1982.
- 19. Wilbeck, J.S., and Rand, J.L. (1981) Balloon Material Characterization, AIAA 7th Aerodynamic Decelerator and Balloon Technology Conference.
- 20. Rand, J.L. (1978) Des in and Analysis of Single Cell Balloons, Scientific Report No. 1, Contract F19628-76-C-0082, AFGL-TR-78-0258, AD A072828.

A man to the second second second

Ł

Appendix A

Belloon Program Planning Document

PROJECT NUMBE	2R	TASK NUMBER
RESPONS	BIBLE AGENCY	PRIME CONTRACTOR
NAME		
ADDRESS		
TELEPHONE		·····
COORDINATOR		
COORDINATOR	MENTS (List in cr if possibl	der of priority. Identify as ess e, and desirable.)
COORDINATOR FLIGHT REQUIRE	MENTS (List in cr if possibl	der of priority. Identify as ess e, and desirable.)
COORDINATOR	MENTS (List in cr if possibl	der of priority. Identify as ess e, and desirable.)
COORDINATOR	MENTS (List in cr if possibl	der of priority. Identify as ess e, and desirable.)
COORDINATOR FLIGHT REQUIRE	MENTS (List in cr if possibl	der of priority. Identify as ess e, and desirable.)

ي ي ال

.

Ì

i

l,

مين. المعنيني

-*

 (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})

	ESTIMATED WEIGHT RANGE, MAX	1b MIN	l
	COMPONENTS AND CONFIGURATIONS:		
	ITEM	HT.×WT.×LT (in.)	WEIGHT (lb)
•	FLIGHT PROFILE DESCRIPTION (Descr and ascent and descent rates, when appli	tibe in terms of altitud cable. See Figure Al	des, durations
			<u> </u>
•	MISSION LOCATION AND TIMETABLE		
	DESIRED GEOGRAPHICAL LOCATION C		
	DESIGN GEOGRAFINEAD DOCATION C	OF LAUNCH SITE	
	ESTIMATED LAUNCH DATE (Year, Mon	th, Day)	
	ESTIMATED LAUNCH DATE (Year, Mon LATEST LAUNCH DATE (Year, Month,	of LAUNCH SITE	
	ESTIMATED LAUNCH DATE (Year, Mon LATEST LAUNCH DATE (Year, Month, DESIRED FLOAT ARRIVAL TIME	Day)hours (local)±	hours
•	ESTIMATED LAUNCH DATE (Year, Mon LATEST LAUNCH DATE (Year, Month, DESIRED FLOAT ARRIVAL TIME SPECIAL LAUNCH, FLIGHT AND RECO recovery shock, electromagnetic interfel channels, data channels, payload pointing hazards, etc.)	br LAUNCH SITE th, Day) Day) hours (local)± VERY CONSIDERATION rence, reel-down of p g, horizontal trajector	hours ONS (launch an ayload, comm ry, payload
•	ESTIMATED LAUNCH DATE (Year, Mon LATEST LAUNCH DATE (Year, Month, DESIRED FLOAT ARRIVAL TIME SPECIAL LAUNCH, FLIGHT AND RECO recovery shock, electromagnetic interfel channels, data channels, payload pointing hazards, etc.)	Day) Day) Day) VERY CONSIDERATION rence, reel-down of p g, horizontal trajector	hours ONS (launch an ayload, comma ry, payload
-	ESTIMATED LAUNCH DATE (Year, Mon LATEST LAUNCH DATE (Year, Month, DESIRED FLOAT ARRIVAL TIME SPECIAL LAUNCH, FLIGHT AND RECO recovery shock, electromagnetic interfer channels, data channels, payload pointing hazards, etc.)	th, Day) Day) Day) VERY CONSIDERATION rence, reel-down of p g, horizontal trajector	hours hours ONS (launch an ayload, comma ry, payload
÷-	ESTIMATED LAUNCH DATE (Year, Mon LATEST LAUNCH DATE (Year, Month, DESIRED FLOAT ARRIVAL TIME SPECIAL LAUNCH, FLIGHT AND RECO recovery shock, electromagnetic interfer channels, data channels, payload pointing hazards, etc.)	th, Day) Day) hours (local)± VERY CONSIDERATION rence, reel-down of p g, horizontal trajector	hours ons (launch an ayload, comma ry, payload
-	ESTIMATED LAUNCH DATE (Year, Mon LATEST LAUNCH DATE (Year, Month, DESIRED FLOAT ARRIVAL TIME SPECIAL LAUNCH, FLIGHT AND RECO recovery shock, electromagnetic interfer channels, data channels, payload pointing hazards, etc.)	hours (local)± VERY CONSIDERATIOn , horizontal trajector	hours DNS (launch an ayload, comma ry, payload
÷.	ESTIMATED LAUNCH DATE (Year, Mon LATEST LAUNCH DATE (Year, Month, DESIRED FLOAT ARRIVAL TIME SPECIAL LAUNCH, FLIGHT AND RECO recovery shock, electromagnetic interfel channels, data channels, payload pointing hazards, etc.)	<pre>by LAUNCH SITE ith, Day) Day) Days (local)± VERY CONSIDERATION rence, reel-down of p g, horizontal trajector</pre>	hours DNS (launch an ayload, comma ry, payload
÷-	ESTIMATED LAUNCH DATE (Year, Mon LATEST LAUNCH DATE (Year, Month, DESIRED FLOAT ARRIVAL TIME SPECIAL LAUNCH, FLIGHT AND RECO recovery shock, electromagnetic interfel channels, data channels, payload pointing hazards, etc.)	Day) Day) hours (local)± VERY CONSIDERATION rence, reel-down of p g, horizontal trajector	hours ONS (launch an ayload, comma ry, payload
	ESTIMATED LAUNCH DATE (Year, Mon LATEST LAUNCH DATE (Year, Month, DESIRED FLOAT ARRIVAL TIME SPECIAL LAUNCH, FLIGHT AND RECO recovery shock, electromagnetic interfei channels, data channels, payload pointing hazards, etc.) 	of LAUNCH SITE ath, Day) Day) hours (local)± VERY CONSIDERATION rence, reel-down of p g, horizontal trajector	hours ONS (launch an ayload, comma ry, payload

1

.....

-

1. **.** . . .

۰.



8. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS ____





· 7.

10.72

STATISTICS AND IN

. .

Appendix B

Parachute Size

In many balloon systems the recovery parachute subsystem contributes a significant fraction of the payload weight, particularly for short-duration high-altitude flights. Weightwise, the principal components of the recovery subsystem are the canopy and the instrumentation cable. The latter services the balloon apex valve and the termination devices that separate the balloon and payload at the end of the flight. The combined weights can be adequately approximated in terms of the parachute diameter D_c by a second degree polynomial. Based on conventional instrumentation, cable weight, and parachutes 40, 60, and 100 ft in diameter, the parachute subsystem weight W_c becomes:

$$W_c = 0.02257 D_c^2 - 0.7847 D_c + 52.778$$
. (B1)

If the maximum load suspended from the parachute is represented by L_c , then the relationship between total descent weight, sea level terminal descent velocity V_c , and parachute diameter can be derived for standard flat circular parachutes using Hess' tables^{B1} as:

$$D_{c} = (37.8/V_{c}) \sqrt{L_{c} + W_{c}}$$
 (B2)

B1. Hess, J. (1963) <u>Determination of Parachute Descent Times and Impact</u> Locations for High Altitude Balloon Payloads, AFCRL-63-885.



Eliminating W_c between the latter two equations yields:

$$D_{c} = \frac{560.6 - \sqrt{(75411 + 1428.8 L_{c})V_{c}^{2} - 46078.8 L_{c} - 2117735}}{32.25 - V_{c}^{2}},$$
 (B3)

which provides quite realistic values for diameters down to about 24 ft. Since the balloon selection procedure is based on a number of estimates, the need to compromise due to the availability of only discrete parachute sizes should not adversely affect $2\pi^{33}$ or ordered.

Since the periodials size must be large enough to accommodate the maximum payload, the doctors time with all ballast expended may cause undesirable or even unreceptable drift. This can be overcome by using deadweight ballast if otherwise not precluded. Balloon selection programs should also (and the AFGL program does) compute the minimum descent rate as a caution to the systems designer.

Appendix C

Program "ADEQUATE"

C1. DESCRIPTION

This program takes balloon mission requirements and from them computes their compatability with balloons having tested capabilities. It determines the weight of ballast required and the size and weight of the required parachute. It creates a table of existing designs capable of performing the specified mission and indicates the most efficient solution (reference Table 2).

C2. METHOD

2.2

The method is described schematically in Figure 6 using the data base format described in Figure C1.

C3. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

The following assumption underlies the solution process: 1962 U.S. Standard Atmosphere.

					_	_				_			
к	1		11 DIGIT NUMERICAL CODE								E	OUTPUT DESCRIP FION	
1	1						x	Y	Y	Y	z	2	BALLOON TYPE (1)* NUMBER, 000 THRU 999 MODIFICATION (2)
2	2			x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	VOLUME (FT ³)
3	3						x	x	x	x	x	Y	RATED PAYLOAD (LB) RATER (3)
4	4	x	x	x	x	x	Y	z	z	z	z	z	TESTED PAYLOAD (LB) FLIGHT NO. REF (4)
5	5 6 7	x	Y	Y	Y	Y	z	Z	z	z	Z	Z	GORELENGTH (FT) SIGMA SIGMA SOURCE REF (5)
6	8 9 10	x	x	Y	Y	Y	Y	Z	z	Z	z	z	DUCT AREA (FT ²) DUCT LENGTH (FT) NO. OF DUCTS
7	11 12 13		x	x	x	Y	Y	Y	Z	Z	z	z	TAPE STRENGTH (LB) NO. OF GORES SHELL THICKNESS (MIL)
8	14 15	x	x	x	x	x	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	DESIGN POINT ALTITUDE (FT) DESIGN POINT PAYLOAD (LB)
9	16 17 18				x	Y	Y	Y	z	z	Z	Z	MAX. CAP LENGTH (FT) CROWN THICKNESS (MIL) NUMBER OF CA7S
10	19 20 21			x	x	Y	Y	7.	7.	7.	z	Z	BALLOON WEIGHT (LB) APEX FITTING WEIGHT (LB) BASE FITTING WEIGHT (LB)

Figure C1. Coded Balloon Database. The input array is U(J, K) and the output array is A(I). The value stored in U(J, K) is the K^{th} coded integer for the J^{th} balloon in the file. The value output for A(I) when I is greater than 1 and not equal to 7 is a real number with the indicated number of digits and the decimal point on the far right of the number unless otherwise noted. (*See Section C6 of this appendix)

C4. SYSTEM

This program is weitten in BASIC programming language and is configured to run on an HP9830A (3808 word, Read-Write Memory) with an HP9871A impact printer.

C5. OPERATION

The program is stored on cassette number 4, file number 3. The scanned data base is stored on files 4 through 19 of the same cassette.

a. Press: LOAD, type: <u>3</u>, press: <u>EXECUTE</u>

b. Press: RUN EXECUTE

c. The display reads: <u>YYMMDD?</u> Type in the date, for example, <u>821225</u> (Christmas 1982), press: <u>EXECUTE</u>

d. The display reads: <u>METRIC INPUT?</u> Type in answer, for example, <u>YES</u>. If input is anything but yes, inputs requested are in feet and pounds (force), not kilometers and kilograms (force). Press: EXECUTE (See Figure C2).

e. The display reads: <u>ALTITUDE</u> Type in the altitude in proper units, for example, <u>100000</u>, press: <u>EXECUTE</u>

f. The display reads: <u>PAYLOAD</u> Type in the payload in the proper units (not including ballast or parachute weights), for example, <u>1000</u>, press: <u>EXECUTE</u>

g. The display reads: <u>DESCENT BALLAST FRACTION</u> Type in the descent ballast requirement expressed as a decimal fraction, for example, <u>0.05</u>, press: EXECUTE

h. The display reads: <u>BALLAST FRACTION FOR DRIVE-UP</u> Type in the ballast required as a decimal fraction, for example, <u>0,05</u>, press: <u>EXECUTE</u>

i. The display reads: <u>PAYLOAD GROWTH FRACTION</u> Type in the allowable payload weight increase as a decimal fraction of the payload, for example, <u>0.06</u>, press: <u>EXECUTE</u> This value allows for experimenter's underestimate of the project payload weight (ballast and parachute excluded)

j. The display reads: <u>SUNSET BALLAST DROPS</u> Type in the number of sunsets that must be counteracted by using ballast, for example, <u>2</u>, press: <u>EXECUTE</u>

k. The display reads: FRACTIONAL SUNSET BALLAST Type in the average sunset effect ballast as a decimal fraction, for example, 0.075, press: EXECUTE This indicates that for each night, 7 1/2 percent of the gross system weight will be dropped as ballast to keep the system from descending to the ground.

1. The display reads: <u>BALLAST DROPS FOR CONTROL</u> Type in the number of non-sunset ballast drops (reference Figure 4), for example, <u>3</u>, press: <u>EXECUTE</u>

m. The display reads: <u>CHUTE IMPACT SPEED</u> Type in the allowable parachute terminal velocity in ft/sec, for example, <u>20</u>, press: <u>EXECUTE</u>

n. The display reads: <u>BALLAST FRACTION</u> Type in the decimal fraction for the first drop (reference <u>1</u> above), for example, 0.06, press: <u>EXECUTE</u> This display repeats for the number of times input in <u>1</u> above.

ALTITUDE (Kilometers)	50
PAYLOAD (Kilograms)	200
DESCENT BALLAST FRACTION	0.05
BALLAST FRACTION FOR DRIVE-UP	0.1
PAYLOAD GROWTH FRACTION	0.06
SUNSET BALLAST DROPS	0
BALLAST DROPS FOR CONTROL	0
CHJTE IMPACT SPEED (Km/Sec)	18
STANDARD ATM. (1966)	
'ADEQUATE' (CF 4-03) 820809	
ALTITUDE (Feet)	10000
ALTITUDE (Feet) PAYLOAD (Pounds)	100000
ALTITUDE (Feet) Payload (Pounds) Descent Ballast Fraction	10000 1000 0.05
ALTITUDE (Feet) PAYLOAD (Pounds) DESCENT BALLAST FRACTION BALLAST FRACTION FOR DRIVE-UP	100000 1000 0.05 0.06
ALTITUDE (Feet) PAYLOAD (Pounds) DESCENT BALLAST FRACTION BALLAST FRACTION FOR DRIVE-UP PAYLOAD GROWTH FRACTION	100000 1000 0.05 0.06 0.1
ALTITUDE (Feet) PAYLOAD (Pounds) DESCENT BALLAST FRACTION BALLAST FRACTION FOR DRIVE-UP PAYLOAD GROWTH FRACTION SUNSET BALLAST DROPS	100000 1000 0.05 0.06 0.1 2
ALTITUDE (Feet) PAYLOAD (Pounds) DESCENT BALLAST FRACTION BALLAST FRACTION FOR DRIVE-UP PAYLOAD GROWTH FRACTION SUNSET BALLAST DROPS FRACTIONAL SUNSET BALLAST	10000 1000 0.05 0.06 0.1 2 0.075
ALTITUDE (Feet) PAYLOAD (Pounds) DESCENT BALLAST FRACTION BALLAST FRACTION FOR DRIVE-UP PAYLOAD GROWTH FRACTION SUNSET BALLAST DROPS FRACTIONAL SUNSET BALLAST BALLAST DROPS FOR CONTROL	100000 1000 0.05 0.06 0.1 2 0.075 3
ALTITUDE (Feet) PAYLOAD (Pounds) DESCENT BALLAST FRACTION BALLAST FRACTION FOR DRIVE-UP PAYLOAD GROWTH FRACTION SUNSET BALLAST DROPS FRACTIONAL SUNSET BALLAST BALLAST DROPS FOR CONTROL CHUTE IMPACT SPEED (FT/Sec)	100000 1000 0.05 0.06 0.1 2 0.075 3 20
ALTITUDE (Feet) PAYLOAD (Pounds) DESCENT BALLAST FRACTION BALLAST FRACTION FOR DRIVE-UP PAYLOAD GROWTH FRACTION SUNSET BALLAST DROPS FRACTIONAL SUNSET BALLAST BALLAST DROPS FOR CONTROL CHUTE IMPACT SPEED (Ft/Sec) STANDARD ATM. (1966)	100000 1000 0.05 0.06 0.1 2 0.075 3 20
ALTITUDE (Feet) PAYLOAD (Pounds) DESCENT BALLAST FRACTION BALLAST FRACTION FOR DRIVE-UP PAYLOAD GROWTH FRACTION SUNGET BALLAST DROPS FRACTIONAL SUNSET BALLAST BALLAST DROPS FOR CONTROL CHUTE IMPACT SPEED (Ft/Sec) STANDARD ATM. (1966)	100000 1000 0.05 0.06 0.1 2 0.075 3 20
ALTITUDE (Feet) PAYLOAD (Pounds) DESCENT BALLAST FRACTION BALLAST FRACTION FOR DRIVE-UP PAYLOAD GROWTH FRACTION SUNSET BALLAST DROPS FRACTIONAL SUNSET BALLAST BALLAST DROPS FOR CONTROL CHUTE IMPACT SPEED (Ft/Sec) STANDARD ATM. (1966) BALLAST FRACTION	100000 1000 0.05 0.06 0.1 2 0.075 3 20
ALTITUDE (Feet) PAYLOAD (Pounds) DESCENT BALLAST FRACTION BALLAST FRACTION FOR DRIVE-UP PAYLOAD GROWTH FRACTION SUNSET BALLAST DROPS FRACTIONAL SUNSET BALLAST BALLAST DROPS FOR CONTROL CHUTE IMPACT SPEED (Ft/Sec) STANDARD ATM. (1966) BALLAST FRACTION 0.060	100000 1000 0.05 0.06 0.1 2 0.075 3 20
ALTITUDE (Feet) PAYLOAD (Pounds) DESCENT BALLAST FRACTION BALLAST FRACTION FOR DRIVE-UP PAYLOAD GROWTH FRACTION SUNGET BALLAST DROPS FRACTIONAL SUNSET BALLAST BALLAST DROPS FOR CONTROL CHUTE IMPACT SPEED (Ft/Sec) STANDARD ATM. (1966) BALLAST FRACTION 0.060 0.060	100000 1000 0.05 0.06 0.1 2 0.075 3 20

Figure C2. Examples of Metric and English Input

A ALLER AND A ALLER AND A

C6. DATA BASE FORMAT

The data base is divided into groups of ten balloons each, with ten separate packages of coded information on design and performance. The construction of a coded package is typically like XXXYYZZZZ. If this number were decoded as U(J, 7), it would produce the following:

shell thickness = X.XX mil number of gores = YYY. tape strength = ZZZZ. lb.

There are five sets of alpha codes as follows:

(1) Balloon Model Codes

1	_sv-	capped balloons
2	LTV-	taped - uncapped balloons
3	TTV-	tapeless semi-cylinder balloons
4	_cv-	cylinder balloons
5	_SP-	special or experimental design balloons
6	www-	Winzen International, Inc., design balloons
7	RRR-	Raven Industries, Inc. design balloons

(2) Modification Code

1-26 A through Z

(3) Balloon Payload Rater

1	А	Air Force
2	N	Navy
3	P	Palestine
4	w	Winzen International, Inc.
5	R	Raven Industries, Inc.
6	blank	Unknown

(4) Flight Number Reference

1	н-	Hollor AFB
2	C-	Chies A
3	s-	Special Series
4	AD-	Balloon Development Series (early AFGL)
5	ww-	Winzen International, Inc.
6	NF-	NSBF
7	blank	Numerical designation only

The value of ZZZZZ is input as an integer for certain flight series and as a real number for certain others: for example, AD-87 (input as integer), H-81-023 (input as real number, 81.023). The decoding and encoding take care of the retrieval and storage changes required to interchange decimal points and dashes.

(5) Sigma Source Reference

- 1 U/M SIGMA
- 2 Smalley
- 3 Not Tabulated

```
1000 REM "ADEQUATE" (CF 4.03 82AUG09)
1010 REM INTERNAL COMPUTATIONS AND OUTPUT IN ENGLISH UNITS.
1020 REM 1966 STD ATM. IS USED.
1030 DIM A$ [180], C$ [35], L$ [35], E$ [35], G$ [35]
1040 DIM A[21], E[10,2], X[9], U[10, 10], Z1[10,8]
1050 A$="ALTITUDE PAYLUAD GROWTH FRACTIONAL SUNSET "
1060 A$ [43] ="BALLAST DROPS FOR CONTROLCHUTE IMPACT SPEED "
1070 A$[87] ="DESCENT BALLAST FRACTION FOR DRIVE-UP"
1080 REM INPUT STRING ADDRESSES
1090 DATA 1,9,10,17,87,110,95,123,10,32,36,55,25,49,43,67,68,86,C,0,0
1100 REM DECODE II
1110 DATA 1,1,6,1,0,0.0,0,2,1,9,9,0,0,0,0,3,1,6,5,0,0,0,0
1120 LATA 4,1,11,5,0,0,0,0,5,3,6,4,4,1,1,1,8,3,5,3,4,3,2,2
1130 DATA 11,3,4,4,3,3,3,1,14,2,6,6,5,5,0,0,16,3,4,3,3,1,1,1
1140 DATA 19,3,5,5,2,2,2,2
1150 REM 1966 ATM.
1160 DATA 9,1013.25,0,208.15,11,216.65,20,216.65,32,228.65,47,270.65
1176 DATA 52,270.65,61,252.65,79,180.65,0,0
1180 MAT READ A
1190 MAT READ 2
1200 MAT READ E
1210 FORMAT B
1220 FORMAT 20
1230 WRITE (15,1220)27,84;
1240 DISP "YYMMUD";
1250 INPUT D8
1260 PRINT "'ADEQUATE' (CF #.03)"D8
1270 PRINT
1280 Cl=6356700
1290 C2=0.3048037
1300 C3=34.163195
1310 C4=0.0217484
1320 05=0.8018105
1330 STANDARD
1340 Da="(Feet)
1350 E$="(Pounds)
1360 G$="(rt/sec)
1370 B=U=1
1380 DISP "METRIC INPUX ";
1390 INPUT CS
1400 IF C$#"YES" THEN 1460
1410 D$="(Kilometers)
1420 E$="(Kilograms)
1430 G$="(Km/Sec)
1440 8=3280.8
1450 D=2.2046
                                   71
```

WATER AND AND A

· . ..

```
1000 REM "ADEQUATE" (CF 4.03 82AUG09)
1460 FOR 1=1 TO 17 STEP 2
1470 IF 1#13 THEN 1510
1480 I' A[6]#0 THEN 1510
1440 X[7]=0
1500 GOTO 1660
1510 C$=A$[A[1],A[1+1]]
1520 UISP C$;
1530 INFUT X[(I+1)/2]
1540 IF 1>3 AND 1#17 THEN 1650
1550 PRIAT C$;
1560 IF 1>1 THEN 1590
1570 PRINT D$;
1580 COTO 1630
 1590 IF 1>3 THEN 1620
1600 PRINT ES;
1610 GOTO 1630
1620 PRINT 6$;
1630 PRINT X[(1+1)/2]
 1640 GOTO 1660
 1650 PRINT C$,X[(I+1)/2]
 1F ) NEXT I
1670 PRINT "STANDARD ATM. (1966)"
1680 A=X[1]=3*X[1]
1690 X[2]=D*X[2]
 1700 X[9]=B*X[9]
 1710 rl=1/(1-x(3))/(1-x(4))
 1720 IF X[8]=0 THEN 1830
 1730 PRINT
 1740 PRINT
  1750 FIXED 3
  1700 PRINT "BALLAST FRACTION"
  1770 FOR I=1 TO X[8]
1780 UISP "FRACTION";
1790 INPUT H7
   1800 PRINT H7
   1810 F1=F1/(1-H7)
   1820 NEXT I
   330 F1 = -1 + F1/((3 - X[7])^X[6])
```

1840 DISP "ERROR"; 1850 INPUT C\$ 1860 WRIT! (15,1210)12 1870 IF C\$umyrs" THEN 1330

72

1

```
1000 REM "ADEQUATE" (CF 4.03 82AUG09)
1880 AS=" SV-LTV-TTV- CV- SP-WWW-RRR-0123456789"
1890 A$[39]=" ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPORSTUVWXYZ"
1900 GOSUB 2450
1970 86+3
1920 K9=0
1930 H7=1E+06
1940 28=80
1950 FOR 0=4 TO 19
1960 WAD DATA U.U
1970 FOR H=1 TO 10
1980 GOSUB 2780
1990 IF A[5]=0 THEN 2380
2000 IF K9>0 THEN 2050
2010 PRINT "MODEL NO VOLUME MAXIMON BALLOON BALLAST CHUTE CHUTE DRIFT"
2020 PRINT "
                              PAYLOAD WEIGHT WEIGHT WEIGHT SIZE SPEED"
2030 PRINT
2040 K9=1
2050 \text{ wl} = (1+x[5]) + x[2]
2060 FOR J=1 TO 5
2070 B8=#1*(w1+#8+A(19))
2080 D9=0.090278-0.0028*X[9] *X[9]
2090 D9=INT(0.5+(1.5094-SQR(2.4632-4*09*(B8+W2+52.778)))/09)
2100 23=09/2
2110 28=0.0902781 20*28-1.5594*28+12,778
2120 NEXT J
2130 S7=SQk(4*(P8+x(5)*x(2))/(U9*__*0,1028))
2140 w1=W1+B8+28
2150 IF WI>INTA[3] THEN 2560
2160 A=A[14]
2170 GUSUB 2450
2180 B=A[15]+0.12605*(A[5]~3)*(B6-B)
2190 IF W1 <= A[15] THEN 2210
2200 B=86*A[2]-A[19]
2210 IF W1>B THEN 2360
2220 GUSUB 2640
2230 WRITE (15,2240)C$,A[2],IWTA[3],A[14],88,P8,09,57;
2240 FURMAT F10.0,2X,F7.0,2X,F7.0,2X,F7.0,2X,P6.0,2X,P5.0,2X,F5.0
2250 IF H7< (WI+A(19)) THEN 2350
2260 IF INTA[3]>INTA[4] THEN 2290
2270 PRINT "*"
2280 GUTO 2300
2290 PRINT "* ABOVE TESTED LOAD"
2300 H7=A[19]+W1
2310 K9=K9+1
2320 IF K9<51 THEN 2360
2330 WRITE (15,1210)12
2340 K9=0
2350 PRINT
2360 NEXT H
2370 NEXT 0
```

73

Constant of a shall will be a start of the s

.

```
1000 REM "ADEQUATE" (CF 4.03 82AUG09)
2360 PRINT
2390 IF H7=1E+06 THEN 2430
2400 FURMAT F5.0
2410 WRITE (15,2400)"LAST * YILLDS LOWEST GROSS,"H7;
2420 PRINT " POUNDS."
2430 015P "END"
2440 END
2450 REM MUDEL ATM.
2460 M=E[1,1]
2470 P=E[1,2]
2430 X=C2*A
2490 x=0.001*C1*X/(C1+X)
2500 FOR 1=2 TO M+1
2510 J=1
2520 21=0
2530 IF E(1+1,2) +E(1,2) THEN 2550
2540 E1=0.0001
2550 R= (E1+ E[1+1,2] - E[1,2]) / (E[1+1,1] - E[1,1])
2560 IF X<E [I+1,1] THEN 2590
2570 P=P*((E[1,2]/(E1+E[1+1,2])) (C3/R))
2580 HEXT 1
2590 T=E(J,2)+R*(X-E(J,1))
2600 P=P*((E(J,2]/T) (C3/R))
2610 D=C4*P/T
2620 B=C5*D
2630 RETURN
2640 REM DECODE I
2650 B=INTA[1]
2000 C$=A$[4*b-3,4*b]
2670 A=A[1]-8
2680 FUR D=1 TO 4
2690 IF D<4 THEN 2720
2700 B=-29-INT(A*100)
2710 GOTO 2740
2720 A=A+10
2730 B=INTA
2740 C$[4+D]=A$[5+29,5+29]
2750 A=A-B
2760 NEXT D
2770 RETURN
```

AND A CARE OF A

المتعادية المتعادية

```
1000 REM "ADEQUATE" (CF 4.03 82AUG09)

2780 REM DECODE 11

2790 FOR Y=1 TO 10

2800 B=U(B,Y)

2810 FOR M=1 TO Z(Y,2)

2820 X=-Z(Y,2*A+1)

2830 D=B^*(10^*X)

2840 B=IATD

2850 J=Z(Y,1)+B-1

2850 J=Z(Y,2*M+2)

2870 A[J]=(D-B)^*(10^*X)

2880 MEAT M

2890 NEXT Y

2900 RETURN
```

the states

S. Aline

Ę.

نيو. معادي

٠

and the second second

ł

.

.

.

.

.

Appendix D

Equations Governing the Balance of Forces

Alexander^{D1} assumed linearity between engineering stress and simple strain, acknowledged the orthotropic nature of current polyethylene balloon film and arrived at the following two-dimensional constitutive relation:

$$\epsilon_{\rm c} = \frac{\sigma_{\rm c}}{E_{\rm c}} - \frac{\sigma_{\rm m}}{E_{\rm m}} v$$
 (D1)

$$\epsilon_{\rm m} = \frac{\sigma_{\rm m}}{E_{\rm m}} - \frac{\sigma_{\rm c}}{E_{\rm c}} \nu \tag{D2}$$

where ϵ is strain, σ is stress (lb ft⁻²) and E is the film modulus (lb ft⁻²). The subscripts <u>c</u> and <u>m</u> refer to the circumferential and meridional directions (mutually orthogonal) or the balloon gores and the corresponding circumferential and machine directions of the extruded tubular polyethylene film used to construct the balloon gores. The film is assumed incompressible under load and Poisson's ratio is assumed to be constant ($\nu = 0, 679$) over the range of balloon use temperatures.

D1. Alexander, H., and Agrawal, P. (1974) Gore Panel Stress Analysis of High Altitude Balloons, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract F19628-72-C-0100, AFCRL-TR-74-0597, AD A009627.

SHALFN CARS

CHARLES PACE BLACK-USE TILICED
Force equilibrium in the meridional direction is satisfied by the relationship:

$$\sigma_{\rm m} = \left[\frac{{\rm F}_{\rm m}}{{\rm Qwh}} + \frac{{\rm M}_{\rm t}\epsilon_{\rm o}}{{\rm wh}}\right] - \left[\frac{{\rm M}_{\rm t}}{{\rm wh}}\right]\epsilon_{\rm m} , \qquad (D3)$$

where

Q is the number of balloon gores

w is the gorewidth at the analysis location (Figure D1) (ft)

h is the balloon wall thickness at the analysis location (ft)

 ϵ_{0} is the mechanical slack built into the load tapes (dim)

F_m is the total meridional load as determined from payload, balloon weight and geometrical considerations (lb)

 M_{\star}^{*} is the elastic modulus of the load tapes (lb) .



Figure D1. Balloon Crown Deployment During Inflation and at Launch

Alexander further assumed that the film would deform circumferentially under pressure, that the shape of deformation would be a circular arc, and that it would lie in the plane normal to the meridional stress (Figure D1). These assumptions are continued in the author's analysis, but unlike Alexander's analysis, the contribution of film weight is considered negligible and omitted. Force equilibrium normal to the surface is thus given by:

$$P = \frac{h\sigma_m}{R_m} + \frac{h\sigma_c}{R_c}$$

(D4)

78

The second second second second

where

P is the pressure differential across the film (lb ft^{-2})

- R is the meridional radius of curvature of the balloon surface, assumed equal for both film and load tapes (ft)*
- R_c is the radius of curvature of the deformed gorewidth (ft) .

In the fully deployed crown of the balloon, the geometry of the deformation (Figure D1) yields two additional relationships:

$$\sin\phi = (1 + \epsilon_m) w / [2R_o]$$
(D5)

$$\phi = (1 + \epsilon_{\rm o}) \sin \phi / (1 + \epsilon_{\rm m}) \quad . \tag{D6}$$

The two additional relationships needed to complete the set of 0 independent equations result from a material model suggested by Webb^{D2} and modified as shown in Appendix E. These are:

$$E_{c} = 144 E_{60}(\epsilon_{c}) \tag{D7}$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{m} = \mathbf{144} \ \mathbf{E}_{60}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{m}) \tag{D8}$$

The five remaining variables in these equations, M_t , F_m , P_t , w_t , and R_m are evaluated on the basis of geometrical, thermal, and environmental considerations. Models for these will vary according to the relative altitude of the balloon analysis, which for this study is taken as the launch site elevation.

Both Alexander^{D1} and Rand^{D3} provide similar models for polyester load tape modulus as a function of centigrade temperature. At 15° C their results agree to within 1.5 percent. Where L is the nominal load tape strength, Rand's model is:

$$M_{\star} = [11.334 - {}^{\circ}C/15] L .$$
 (D9)

*For additional comments on this assumption see Rand, $^{f D3}$

D2. Webb, L. D. (1978) <u>Mechanical Behavior of Balloon Films</u>, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract F19628-76-C-0082, AFGL-TR-79-0026, AD A098937.

^{.3.} Rand, J.L. (1978) <u>Design and Analysis of Single Cell Balloons</u>, Scientific Report No. 1, Contract F19628-76-C-0082, AFGL-TR-78-0258, AD A072828.

Smalley ^{D4} provides natural shape balloon tables which, for all practical values of Σ , yield corresponding values of $(T/P)_{\Sigma}$ and $(W/P)_{\Sigma}$. In these ratios, T is the total load at the balloon apex (lb). W is the balloon weight (lb), and P is payload (lb). Where G is the gross load (lb), the relationship $(G/P)_{\Sigma} = 1+(W/P)_{\Sigma}$ allows us to write T = $G(T/P)_{\Sigma}/(G/P)_{\Sigma}$.

Smalley^{D5} shows, that for a balloon shape with $\Sigma = 0.2$, the ratio of apex loading at launch to apex loading at float for current altitudes of interest is approximately 0.716. Actually, the ratio is a function of both altitude (as inferred from b/b_d) and shape (Σ). Development of a functional relationship is possible and may become desirable, but Smalley's results (Figure D2) indicate that 0.716 is a reasonable first approximation and that the minimum of the probable range of values is greater than 0.639. Further, the quotient $(T/P)_{\Sigma}/(G/P)_{\Sigma}$ can be represented by a 5th-degree polynominal that is designated $F_1(\Sigma)$, such that the total meridional load at launch becomes:

$$F_m = 0.716 G F_1(L)$$
 (D10)

The differential pressure at the top of a balloon was shown by Dwyer^{D6} to be proportional to the product $W_P^{1/3}b^{2/3}$ where W_P is the payload (lb) and b is the specific lift (lb ft⁻³). Smalley^{D4} presented a graphic relationship (Figure D3) between the non-dimensionalized pressure and variations in Σ as well as relative altitude. The following model approximates differential pressure for $b/b_d > 2C$ and was derived from Smalley's graphical presentation by numerical methods:

$$P = [e^{\Sigma} - e^{-\pi}] W_{P}^{1/3} b^{2/3} .$$
 (D11)

An approximation to the solution of the problem of knowing the shape and effective gorelength S_e of a balloon at launch (the static fully erect balloon) was suggested by analysis of Smalley's tables of balloon dimensions for altitudes below design altitude (b/b_d > 1). For b/b_d > 20, more than 70,000 ft below float, these data r flect insignificant dependence on Σ (for normally used shapes) in so far as the relative gas bubble length is concerned. This is sufficient for nearly

D4. Smalley, J.H. (1963) <u>Determination of the Shape of A Free Balloon</u>, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract AF 19628-2783, AFCRL-64-734, AD 610125.

D5. Smalley, J.H. (1966) Balloon Shapes and Stresses Below the Design Altitude, NCAR-TN-25.

D6. Dwyer, J.F. (1973) Balloon Apex Pressure Differential, AFCRL-73-0632, AD 774399.



Figure D2. Relative Meridional Stress at the Top and Bottom of a Balloon That is Below its Design Altitude

all present balloon flights. The gas bubble length, or effective gorelength, is defined as the tota¹ gorelength S_{λ} minus the undeployed length (Figure D4). For large fully-tailored balloons, the type with which we are concerned, the weight of the undeployed film is effectively the same as added payload in so far as balloon shape is concerned. Numerical analysis of Smalley's data yielded the following approximation:

$$S_{p} = S_{\lambda} [0.8975 - 0.2325 \log_{10}(b/b_{d})]$$
 (D12)

Motion pictures of balloon ascent bursts (looking upward from the payload and downward inside the balloon from the apex fitting) indicate that failures occur high in the crown of the balloon. Upson^{D7} in his analyses referred to this critical region as "the taut cap". Studies on the causes of such ascent bursts by Dwyer^{D8}

D7. Upson, R. H. (1939) Stresses in a partially inflated free balloon, <u>J. Aero</u>. <u>Sci. 6(4):153-156</u>.

D8. Dwyer, J.F. (1965) Some Polyethylene Balloon Statistics, Proceedings AFCRL Scientific Balloon Workshop, AFCRL-66-309, AD 634765.

5 i 🖛

MARTIN & MARTIN



Figure D3. Pressure Trend at Top of Fully-Tailored, Natural Shape Balloon at Altitudes Below Natural Float Altitude

and Kerr^{D9} indicate that this most highly stressed region at launch is potentially the key to success or failure during passage through the minimum temperature region of the tropopause.

Observations of launches of fully-tailored balloons and experience with hangar inflation tests indicated that the crown of the balloon at launch was fully deployed for a distance of about 0.17 S_e , measured from the apex. Alexander, as shown in Figure D5, found launch stress in some cases to peak in this very region. Consequently, the point (0.17 S_e measured from the apex) is chosen as the launch stress analysis point. Since the number of gores is large and the crown area is flat (for practical purposes), the gore width at this point can be expressed as:

$$w = 2\pi [0.170 S_e]/Q$$
.

J 🕹 📥

(D13)

D9. Kerr, A.D., and Alexander, H. (1967) On a Cause of Failure of High Altitude Plastic Balloons, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract F19328-67-C-0241, AFCRL-67-0611, AD 667192.







°3a⊵tć

From the natural-shape balloon tables, the meridional radius of curvature, R_m , has been computed at a gore position about 0.17 S_e ft from the apex for the useful range of the Σ shape factor. A model relating R_m to Σ for a full balloon has been numerically developed. Adjustment for the launch shape is not thought to be necessary. However, the necessity can easily be verified by determining the sensitivity of the computed stresses to variations of C_m in the following developed model (see line 1270, Appendix G for values of coefficients):

$$R_{m} = C_{m} S_{e} \sum_{i=0}^{i=6} (a_{i} \Sigma^{i}) .$$
 (D14)





84

- Same and a

References

- D1. Alexander, H., and Agrawal, P. (1974) <u>Gore Panel Stress Analysis of High</u> <u>Altitude Balloons</u>, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract F19628-72-C-0100, AFCRL-TR-74-0597, AD A009627.
- D2. Webb, L. D. (1978) <u>Mechanical Behavior of Balloon Films</u>, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract F19628-76-C-0082, AFGL-TR-79-0026, AD A098937.
- D3. Rand, J.L. (1978) <u>Design and Analysis of Single Cell Balloons</u>, Scientific Report No. 1, Contract F19628-76-C-0082, AFGL-TR-78-0258, AD A072828.
- D4. Smalley, J.H. (1963) <u>Determination of the Shape of A Free Balloon</u>, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract AF 19(628)-2783, AFCRL-64-734, AD 610125.
- D5. Smalley, J.H. (1966) <u>Balloon Shapes and Stresses Below the Design Altitude</u>, NCAR-TM-25.
- D6. Dwyer, J.F. (1973) Balloon Apex Pressure Differential, AFCRL-73-0632, AD 774399.
- D7. Upson, R.H. (1939) Stresses in a partially inflated free balloon, <u>J. Aero</u>. Sci. 6(4):153-156.
- D8. Dwyer, J.F. (1965) <u>Some Polyethylene Balloon Statistics</u>, Proceedings AFCRL Scientific Balloon Workshop, AFCRL-66-309, AD 634765.
- D9. Kerr, A.D., and Alexander, H. (1967) On a Cause of Failure of High Altitude Plastic Balloons, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract F19628-67-C-024, AFCRL-67-611, AD 667192.

Appendix E

A Practical Stratofilm (b) Model

The dependency of polyethylene balloon film properties on temperature, rate of loading and history (temperature, stress, and strain) suggests the need for a comprehensive model such as proposed and studied by Webb. ^{E1} Restated, his Eq. 71 is:

$$\sigma = [(Ka_m)^n/(1-n)/D_1] \epsilon^{1-n} , \qquad (E1)$$

where

 σ is stress (lb/in.²)

K is strain rate (in. /in. /min)

 $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{T}}$ is the time temperature shift factor

n is the exponent in the power law relaxation function (Webb's Eq. 61)

 D_1 is the coefficient in the power law relaxation function (Webb's Eq. 61)

 ϵ is the strain (in. /in.)

Taking the natural log of both sides of Eq. (E1) yields three equations:

$$\ln \sigma = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x} \cdot \ln \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

(E2)

E1. Webb, L.D. (1978) <u>Mechanical Behavior of Balloon Films, Scientific Report</u> <u>No. 2</u>, Contract No. F19528-76-C-0082, AFGL-TR-79-0026, AD A028937.

87

Same and the second second

ir filmr

$$x_0 = \ln [(Ka_T)^n / (1-n) / D_1]$$
 (E3)

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = 1 - \mathbf{n} \tag{E4}$$

Graphs of σ versus ϵ for 0, 7 mil Stratofilm^(R) at 23^oC for the values of K = 2.0, 0.2, 0.02, and 0.002 in./in./min were obtained under the Texas A&M contract of Reference E1. The graphs were for both machine and tranverse direction (MD and TD) film tests. Averaging (by sight) each MD and TD pair of curves, 19 equally spaced strain values (0.005 through 0.095) were then processed (using Eq. (E2)) by regression analysis for each K-value.

The trends in the values of x_0 and x_1 , for the given values of K, were not immediately obvious. However, the intention of using the results for a fixed temperature (23^oC) and et low strain rate would diminish rather than magnify the errors of any reasonably inferred trends. Thus we have.

$$\mathbf{x}_{\perp} = \ln (7222 \text{ K}^{C, 0217})$$
 (E5)

$$x_1 = 1 - \ln (1.34 \text{ K}^{0.00869})$$
 (E6)

Therefore, we can express the secant modulus, $E(K, \epsilon)$ at 23^OC 48:

$$E(K, \epsilon) = \frac{7222 K^{0.0217}}{\epsilon^{\ln(1.34 K^{0.00869})}} .$$
 (127)

Selection of a proper strain rate and reasonable relaxation effects must still be made. The stress levels and respective strain levels in the crown area continuously change (even after inflation is completed) up to the time when the bubble is released to the vertical (dynamic launch). Since we have selected this point as the design point, and since the time to reach this pseudo-static state is generally about 60 n in, the strain rate K selected is the strain of the pseudo-static state divided by the time, 60 min. Obviously the rate will thus differ for the meridional and transverse directions, and K becomes an output while 50 min becomes an input.

Meridional creep in the crown area is accompanied by increased circumferential strain. Also, relaxation of the circumferential stress results in increased circumferential strain in the bowed out section of the gore panels. The apparent secant moduli resulting from these interactions are effectively reduced.

88

Comparing the model generated moduli for a variety of times and rates indicated a trend toward a limiting value, thus implying that the use of variable strain rates and constant time to achieve a pseudo-static state effectively accounts for any creep and relaxation.

The resultant model* becomes on substitution of $\epsilon/60$ for K:

$$E_{60}(\epsilon) = 6608 \ e^{-(27+\ln \epsilon)/115}$$
(E8)

The stress strain relationship resulting from this model becomes:

$$\sigma_{6l}(\epsilon) = 6608 \ \epsilon^{(88-\ln\epsilon)/115}$$
 (E9)

Equations (8) and (9) were used to produce the results shown in Table E1. The models developed in this manner could have been, if required, more accurate and comprehensive. First, data could have been analyzed separately for the MD and TD directions and then, given a_T as a function of temperature, equations 3, 4, 5, and 6 could have been used to define D_1 as:

$$D_{1} = \frac{(Ka_{T})^{1-x_{1}}}{x_{0}x_{1}}$$
(E10)

*For use in Appendix D, $E_{60}(\epsilon)$ must be multiplied by 144 in²/ft².

the providence of the second of

Table E1.	Numerical representati	on
of Eqs. (8)	and (9), LGT(MOD) is	the
log base 10	of the modulus	

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	STRAIN in/in	STRESS PSI	Monopos 52 I	lgt (mod)
0.00241203184.3080.00474185324.2680.006105174944.2430.008134167634.2240.010162162014.2100.012189157464.1970.014215153644.1870.016241150364.1770.018265147494.1690.020290144934.1610.022314142544.1540.026360138644.1420.028383136894.1360.030406135204.1310.032428133754.1260.034450132334.1220.035472131014.1170.0384931.29764.1130.042535127454.1050.042535127454.1050.043597124414.0950.0445.6126394.0220.046597123494.0920.052633122614.0890.055677120954.0830.056677120954.0830.056677120954.0670.052633122614.0860.056677120954.0670.056677120954.0670.056677120954.0670.056677120954.0670.056	0 0.00	4.3	00330	4 20.9
0.00474185324.2880.006105174944.2430.008134167634.2240.010162162014.2100.012189157464.1970.014215153644.1870.016241150364.1770.018265147494.1690.020290144934.1610.022314142644.1540.024337140554.1480.025360138644.1420.028383136894.1360.030406135264.1310.03242813754.1260.034450132334.1220.035472131014.1170.0384931.29764.1090.042535127454.1090.042535127454.1090.046597124414.0950.052633122614.0890.055677120954.0830.056677120954.0830.058637120174.0300.050677120954.0630.052633122614.0890.054658121764.0860.055677120954.0670.052736118694.0740.055677120954.0630.056773120954.0610.057	0.002	41	20318	4.308
0.006105 17494 4.2430.008134167634.2240.010162162014.2100.012189157464.1970.014215153644.1870.016241150364.1770.018265147494.1690.020290144934.1610.022314142644.1540.024337140554.1480.026360138644.1420.028383136894.1360.030406135204.1310.032428133754.1260.034450132334.1220.035472131014.1170.038493129764.1130.040514128574.1090.042535127454.1050.046577125384.0920.052633122614.0890.055677120954.0830.055677120954.0830.055677120954.0830.052633122614.0860.055677120954.0830.056677120954.0830.055677120954.0430.056677120954.0430.056677120954.0430.056677120954.0430.056677120954.0430.056<	0.004	14	18532	4.208
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.006	105	1/494	4.243
0.010162162014.210 0.012 189157464.197 0.014 215153644.187 0.016 241150364.177 0.018 265147494.169 0.020 290144934.161 0.022 314142644.154 0.024 337140554.148 0.026 360138644.142 0.028 383136894.136 0.030 406135204.131 0.032 428133754.126 0.034 450132334.122 0.036 472131014.117 0.038 4931.9764.113 0.040 514128574.109 0.042 535127454.105 0.044 5.6126394.022 0.046 597124414.095 0.046 597124414.095 0.056 677120574.086 0.056 677120174.030 0.052 633122614.089 0.054 658121764.086 0.055 677120174.030 0.056 677120574.030 0.056 677120574.030 0.056 677120574.030 0.056 677120574.030 0.056 677120574.030 0.056 677120174.040 0.056	0.008	134	16763	4.224
0.012 189 15746 4.197 0.014 215 15364 4.187 0.016 241 15036 4.177 0.018 265 14749 4.169 0.020 290 14493 4.161 0.022 314 14264 4.154 0.022 314 14254 4.154 0.024 337 14055 4.148 0.026 360 13864 4.142 0.028 383 13689 4.136 0.030 406 13526 4.131 0.032 428 13375 4.126 0.034 450 13233 4.122 0.036 472 13101 4.117 0.036 472 13101 4.117 0.036 472 13101 4.117 0.036 472 13101 4.117 0.036 472 13101 4.102 0.040 514 12857 4.109 0.042 535 12745 4.102 0.046 577 1238 4.092 0.052 633 12261 4.082 0.056 677 12017 4.030 0.056 677 12017 4.030 0.056 677 12017 4.030 0.056 677 12017 4.030 0.056 677 12017 4.030 0.056 677 12017 4.060 0.056 677 12017	0.010	162	16201	4.210
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.012	189	15746	4.197
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.014	215	15364	4.18/
0.018 205 14749 4.169 0.020 290 14493 4.161 0.022 314 14254 4.154 0.023 360 13864 4.142 0.026 360 13864 4.142 0.028 383 13689 4.136 0.030 406 13520 4.131 0.032 428 1375 4.126 0.034 450 13233 4.122 0.036 472 13161 4.117 0.038 493 12976 4.113 0.040 514 12857 4.109 0.042 535 12745 4.102 0.046 577 12538 4.098 0.046 597 12441 4.095 0.052 633 12261 4.089 0.055 677 12095 4.083 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.058 647 12017 4.030 0.058 647 12017 4.030 0.050 774 1731 4.069 0.050 774 11731 4.069 0.050 774 11731 4.069 0.070 $a12$ 11602 4.051 0.070 $a12$ 11602 4.051 0.070 $a12$ 11602 4.051 0.070 $a12$ 11602 4.050 0.076 $a68$ 1422 4.056 0.076 $a59$ 1156 4.047 0.080 905 113	0.016	241	15036	4.177
0.020290 14493 4.1610.022 314 14254 4.154 0.023 337 14055 4.148 0.026 360 13864 4.142 0.028 383 13689 4.131 0.030 406 13520 4.131 0.032 428 1375 4.126 0.034 450 13233 4.122 0.036 472 13101 4.117 0.038 493 1.976 4.113 0.040 514 12857 4.109 0.042 535 12745 4.105 0.044 5.6 12639 4.022 0.046 597 12441 4.095 0.046 597 12441 4.095 0.050 677 12095 4.083 0.055 677 12095 4.083 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.058 637 12017 4.036 0.058 637 12017 4.036 0.058 637 12017 4.036 0.058 637 12017 4.036 0.059 733 1605 4.067 0.050 774 1731 4.069 0.056 774 11731 4.069 0.076 868 1422 4.058 0.076 808 1422 4.056 0.076 808 1422 4.056 0.076 808 1422 4.056 0.076 808 1422	0.018	205	14749	4.169
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.020	290	14493	4.161
0.024 337 14055 4.148 0.026 360 13864 4.142 0.028 383 13689 4.136 0.030 406 13526 4.131 0.030 406 13526 4.131 0.030 428 13375 4.126 0.034 450 13233 4.122 0.036 472 13101 4.117 0.036 472 13101 4.117 0.036 472 13101 4.117 0.036 472 13101 4.117 0.036 472 13101 4.122 0.036 472 13101 4.122 0.040 514 12857 4.109 0.042 535 12745 4.105 0.046 577 12538 4.098 0.046 597 12441 4.095 0.052 633 12261 4.089 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.056 677 12017 4.030 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.056 677 12095 4.063 0.056 677 12095 4.063 0.056 677 12017 4.030 0.056 677 12017 4.030 0.056 677 12017 4.036 0.056 774 1731 4.069 0.056 774 1731 4.069 0.056 773 11605 <	0.022	314	14254	4.154
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.024	337	14055	4,148
0.028 383 13689 4.136 0.030 406 13526 4.131 0.032 428 13375 4.126 0.034 450 13233 4.122 0.036 472 13101 4.117 0.036 472 13101 4.113 0.036 472 13101 4.117 0.036 472 13101 4.117 0.036 472 13101 4.113 0.040 514 12857 4.109 0.042 535 12745 4.109 0.046 577 12538 4.098 0.046 577 12349 4.092 0.050 637 12441 4.095 0.050 677 12095 4.083 0.050 677 12095 4.083 0.050 677 12095 4.083 0.050 677 12095 4.083 0.050 677 12095 4.083 0.050 677 12095 4.063 0.050 677 12095 4.083 0.050 774 1731 4.069 0.050 774 1731 4.069 0.050 774 11731 4.062 0.050 774 11731 4.062 0.070 $d12$ 11602 4.065 0.072 $d31$ 11540 4.062 0.076 $d68$ 1422 4.058 0.076 $d597$ 11366 <	0.026	360	13864	4,142
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.028	383	13689	4.136
0.032 428 13375 4.126 0.034 450 13233 4.122 0.036 472 13101 4.117 0.038 493 12976 4.113 0.040 514 12857 4.109 0.042 535 12745 4.109 0.042 535 12745 4.102 0.042 535 12745 4.102 0.046 577 12538 4.098 0.046 597 12441 4.095 0.046 597 12441 4.095 0.050 633 12261 4.089 0.052 633 12261 4.086 0.056 677 12095 4.086 0.056 677 12095 4.086 0.056 677 12095 4.086 0.056 677 12095 4.086 0.056 677 12095 4.086 0.056 677 12095 4.074 0.056 677 12095 4.074 0.056 774 11731 4.069 0.056 774 11731 4.069 0.056 773 11605 4.067 0.072 $d31$ 11540 4.062 0.072 $d31$ 11540 4.062 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.080 905 11311 4.054 0.080 905 11311 <td>0.030</td> <td>400</td> <td>13520</td> <td>4.131</td>	0.030	400	13520	4.131
0.034 450 13233 4.122 0.036 472 13101 4.117 0.036 472 13101 4.117 0.038 493 1.976 4.113 0.040 514 12857 4.109 0.042 535 12745 4.105 0.042 535 12745 4.102 0.042 535 12745 4.102 0.046 577 12538 4.098 0.046 577 122349 4.092 0.046 597 12441 4.095 0.050 633 12261 4.089 0.052 633 12261 4.086 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.056 677 12017 4.036 0.056 677 12017 4.036 0.056 774 1731 4.069 0.056 774 11731 4.069 0.056 774 11731 4.069 0.072 331 11540 4.062 0.072 331 11540 4.062 0.076 868 11422 4.056 0.076 877 11366 4.056 0.080 905 11311 <td>0.032</td> <td>428</td> <td>13375</td> <td>4.126</td>	0.032	428	13375	4.126
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.034	450	13233	4.122
0.038 493 1.2976 4.113 0.040 514 12857 4.109 0.042 535 12745 4.105 0.042 535 12745 4.102 0.046 577 12538 4.098 0.046 597 12441 4.095 0.046 597 12441 4.095 0.050 017 12349 4.092 0.052 633 12261 4.089 0.055 633 12261 4.083 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.058 697 12017 4.030 0.058 697 12017 4.030 0.058 697 12017 4.030 0.058 697 12017 4.030 0.058 697 12017 4.030 0.059 736 11869 4.074 0.050 774 11731 4.069 0.050 774 11731 4.069 0.072 331 11540 4.062 0.072 331 11540 4.062 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.076 868 11422 4.051 0.080 905 11311 4.054 0.084 $9A1$ 11206 <td>0.036</td> <td>472</td> <td>13101</td> <td>4.117</td>	0.036	472	13101	4.117
0.040 514 12857 4.109 0.042 535 12745 4.105 0.044 5.6 12639 4.102 0.046 577 12538 4.098 0.046 597 12441 4.095 0.050 017 12349 4.092 0.052 633 12261 4.089 0.055 633 12261 4.089 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.056 677 12017 4.030 0.056 677 12017 4.030 0.056 677 12017 4.030 0.057 736 11869 4.074 0.058 774 11731 4.069 0.050 774 11731 4.069 0.050 774 11731 4.069 0.063 793 11605 4.067 0.070 $d12$ 11602 4.062 0.076 $d68$ 11422 4.058 0.076 $d68$ 11422 4.058 0.076 368 11422 4.058 0.076 368 11422 4.058 0.080 905 11311 4.054 0.082 923 11258 4.047 0.083 959 1156 4.047 0.084 941 11206 4.042 0.090 995 11059 4.044 0.097 1013	860.0	493	12976	4.113
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.040	5le	12857	4.109
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.042	535	12745	4,105
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.044	5.6	12639	4.102
0.046 597 12441 4.095 0.050 017 12349 4.092 0.052 633 12261 4.089 0.052 633 12261 4.089 0.054 658 12176 4.086 0.055 677 12095 4.083 0.055 677 12095 4.083 0.055 677 12095 4.083 0.055 677 12017 4.030 0.058 697 12017 4.030 0.058 697 12017 4.030 0.050 776 11941 4.077 0.050 774 11731 4.069 0.050 774 11731 4.069 0.063 793 11605 4.067 0.070 $d12$ 11602 4.065 0.072 $d31$ 11540 4.062 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.080 905 11311 4.054 0.080 905 11311 4.054 0.084 941 11206 4.047 0.084 941 11206 4.047 0.084 941 11206 4.047 0.084 977 1107 4.046 0.090 995 11059 4.044 0.090 995 1059 4.043 0.094 1031 10904 4.035 0.096 1048 10921 <td>J. J46</td> <td>577</td> <td>12538</td> <td>4.098</td>	J. J46	577	12538	4.098
J050 0.17 12349 4.092 0.052 633 12261 4.089 $u.054$ 658 12176 4.086 $u.056$ 677 12095 4.083 $u.058$ 697 12095 4.083 $u.058$ 697 12095 4.083 $u.058$ 697 12017 4.030 $u.050$ 716 11941 4.077 $u.050$ 716 11869 4.074 $u.052$ 736 11869 4.074 $u.054$ 755 11793 4.069 $u.056$ 793 11605 4.067 $u.056$ 793 11602 4.065 $u.070$ $a12$ 11602 4.065 $u.074$ $a50$ 11439 4.060 $u.076$ $a68$ 11422 4.050 $u.076$ $a68$ 11422 4.050 $u.080$ 905 11311 4.054 $u.082$ 923 11258 4.051 $u.084$ 941 11206 4.049 $u.083$ 959 1156 4.047 $u.090$ 995 11059 4.044 $u.097$ 1013 1012 4.042 $u.094$ 1031 10906 4.040 $u.094$ 1066 10876 4.035	0.048	597	12441	4.095
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.050	u17	12349	4.092
0.054 658 12176 4.086 0.056 677 12095 4.083 0.056 697 12017 4.030 0.050 716 11941 4.077 0.052 736 11869 4.074 0.052 736 11869 4.074 0.052 736 11869 4.074 0.050 774 11731 4.069 0.056 774 11731 4.069 0.066 793 11602 4.067 0.070 $d12$ 11602 4.062 0.072 $d31$ 11540 4.062 0.074 $d50$ 11439 4.060 0.076 668 11422 4.058 0.076 668 11422 4.058 0.076 368 11226 4.051 0.080 905 11311 4.054 0.080 905 11311 4.054 0.084 $9A1$ 11206 4.049 0.085 959 1156 4.047 0.085 959 11059 4.044 0.090 995 10059 4.044 0.090 995 10059 4.044 0.090 1031 10906 4.040 0.090 1066 00876 4.037 0.100 1066 00876 4.037	0.052	633	12261	4.089
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.054	658	12176	4.086
0.058 697 12017 4.030 0.050 716 11941 4.077 0.052 736 11869 4.074 0.052 736 11869 4.074 0.054 755 11793 6.072 0.056 774 11731 4.069 0.056 793 11605 4.067 0.070 $d12$ 11602 4.065 0.072 $d31$ 11540 4.062 0.076 $d68$ 11422 4.058 0.076 $d68$ 11422 4.058 0.076 $d68$ 11422 4.058 0.076 $d68$ 11422 4.051 0.076 $d68$ 11422 4.058 0.076 $d68$ 11422 4.050 0.080 905 11311 4.054 0.080 905 11311 4.054 0.084 $9A1$ 11206 4.049 0.083 959 1156 4.047 0.083 977 1107 4.046 0.090 995 11059 4.044 0.097 1031 10906 4.040 0.096 1048 10921 4.038 0.096 1066 00876 4.037 0.100 1064 10835 4.035	0.050	677	12095	4.083
U.050 $/16$ 11941 4.077 0.032 736 11869 4.074 $U.064$ 755 11793 4.072 0.056 774 11731 4.069 $U.064$ 793 11605 4.067 0.056 793 11605 4.067 0.070 $d12$ 11602 4.065 0.072 $d31$ 11540 4.062 0.074 $d50$ 11439 4.060 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.076 868 11422 4.056 0.080 905 11311 4.054 0.082 923 11258 4.051 0.084 $9A1$ 11206 4.049 0.085 959 1156 4.047 0.084 $9A1$ 11206 4.049 0.085 959 1156 4.047 0.085 959 11059 4.044 0.097 1013 1012 4.042 0.097 1031 10906 4.040 0.096 1048 10921 4.038 0.096 1066 00876 4.037 0.100 1064 10835 4.035	0.058	617	12017	4.030
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.000	/16	11941	4.077
0.064 755 11793 4.072 0.064 774 11731 4.069 0.064 793 11665 4.067 0.070 $a12$ 11602 4.065 0.072 $a31$ 11540 4.062 0.072 $a31$ 11540 4.062 0.074 350 11439 4.060 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.080 905 11311 4.054 0.080 905 11311 4.054 0.084 941 11206 4.049 0.085 959 1156 4.047 0.084 941 11206 4.049 0.085 959 11059 4.044 0.090 995 11059 4.044 0.092 1013 1012 4.042 0.094 1031 10906 4.040 0.096 1048 10921 4.038 0.096 1066 10876 4.037 0.100 1064 10835 4.035	0.032	736	11869	4.074
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	U.U64	755	11793	4.072
0.063793 11605 4.067 0.070 $d12$ 11602 4.065 0.072 $d31$ 11540 4.062 0.072 $d31$ 11540 4.062 0.074 $d50$ 11439 4.060 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.080 905 11311 4.054 0.080 905 11311 4.054 0.084 941 11206 4.049 0.085 959 1156 4.047 0.084 977 1107 4.046 0.090 995 1059 4.044 0.090 995 11059 4.044 0.092 1013 1012 4.042 0.094 1031 10950 4.040 0.095 1066 0876 4.037 0.100 1066 10876 4.035	1.000	774	11731	4.069
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6.06 d	793	11005	4.067
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.070	d12	11602	4.065
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.072	931	11540	4.062
0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.076 868 11422 4.058 0.076 837 11366 4.056 0.080 905 11311 4.054 0.082 923 11258 4.051 0.084 $9A1$ 11206 4.049 0.085 959 1156 4.049 0.085 959 1156 4.047 0.085 959 11059 4.046 0.090 995 11059 4.044 0.092 1013 1012 4.042 0.994 1031 10906 4.040 0.096 1048 10921 4.038 0.096 1066 00876 4.037 0.100 1064 10835 4.035	0.074	350	11439	4.060
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.076	868	11422	4.058
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.076	837	11.466	4.456
U.U82 923 11258 4.051 U.084 941 11206 4.049 U.U85 959 11156 4.047 U.U85 959 11156 4.047 U.U85 959 11156 4.047 U.U85 959 11059 4.046 U.090 995 11059 4.044 U.092 1013 11012 4.042 U.094 1031 10960 4.040 J.096 1048 10921 4.038 J.096 1066 J0876 4.037 J.100 1064 10835 4.035	0.080	905	11311	4.054
U.084 3A1 11205 4.049 U.085 959 11156 4.049 U.085 959 11156 4.049 U.085 959 11157 4.046 U.090 995 11059 4.044 U.092 1013 11012 4.042 U.094 1031 10950 4.040 J.095 1048 10921 4.035 U.094 1066 J0875 4.037 U.095 1054 10835 4.035	0.082	923	11258	4.051
0.085 959 11156 4.047 0.085 977 11107 4.046 0.090 995 11059 4.044 0.092 1013 11012 4.042 0.094 1031 10950 4.040 0.095 1031 10950 4.040 0.096 1048 10921 4.035 0.096 1066 0875 4.037 0.100 1054 10835 4.035	0.044	941	11206	4. 4.9
0.008 977 11107 4.046 0.090 995 11059 4.044 0.092 1013 11012 4.042 0.094 1031 10900 4.040 0.095 1031 10900 4.040 0.096 1048 10921 4.038 0.096 1066 0876 4.037 0.100 1054 10835 4.035	0.085	959	11156	4.047
U.090 995 11059 4.044 U.092 1013 11012 4.042 U.094 1031 10900 4.040 J.095 1048 10921 4.038 J.095 1066 J0875 4.037 J.100 1054 10835 4.035	0.048	977	11107	4.046
U.U92 1013 11012 4.042 U.U94 1031 10900 4.040 J.090 1048 10921 4.038 J.090 1066 J0876 4.037 J.100 1064 10835 4.035	0.090	995	11059	4.044
U.U94 1031 10960 4.040 J.096 1048 10921 4.038 U.U98 1066 J0876 4.037 U.100 1064 10835 4.035	0.092	1013	11012	4.1142
0.090 1048 10921 4.038 0.098 1066 10876 4.037 0.100 1064 10835 4.035	0.094	1031	10900	4.040
U.U98 1066 10878 4.037 U.100 1054 10835 4.035	1.040	1048	10921	4.0.05
0.100 1064 10835 4.035	1.7.94	1066	10874	4.037
	0.100	1064	10835	4.035

.

Appendix F

Duct Design Considerations

Researchers at the University of Minnesota (U M) developed the standard pressure relief duct to vent the excess lifting gas needed for ascent. It replaced the open base appendix which, although it also prevented balloon burst due to excess overpressure as the balloon system entered float, had the distinct disadvantage of ingesting air. This ingestion of air, caused by the negative pressure at the base of the partially inflated (ascending or descending) balloon, increased the venting back pressure and presented therm.odynamic problems.

The back pressure created as a result of the venting process was related to the bursting pressure of a sphere with a diameter equivalent to the constructed diameter of the balloon. ^{F1} For a given ascent rate, allowable film stress, inflatant, and constant atmospheric scale height, the relationship reduced to:

$$A = cD^2 \sqrt{\frac{G}{t}} , \qquad (F1)$$

where

19 K

- A is the total effective duct area (ft^2)
- D is the balloon diameter (ft)
- G is the weight of the displaced air (lb)

F1. University of Minnesota (1954) Progress Report on High Altitude Balloons, Vol IX, Contract No. NONR-710(01).

- t is the film thickness (mil)
- c is a constant .

This model may have provided needed safety margins in the days when polyethylene balloon seams were weak and frequently unreliable, but today the film and seam strengths are high, and reasonably indistinguishable. Further, the applicability of a spherically equivalent stress situation at the maximum horizontal diameter is precluded by the meridional stiffness of the seams. Even without load tape fibers the seam, backup tape, and tape casing significantly influence the stress and the stress distribution in both chamber test models^{F2} and in computer aided balloon stress analyses. * Obviously then the U M model cannot accurately represent the stresses (due to venting) in today's large, tapereinforced tailored polyethylene balloons.

Ignoring the U M stress model and concentrating on the overpressure itself, we find that the U M relationship yields:

$$\Delta P = \frac{V^2 v_0^2 \rho}{2gh_0^2 A^2}$$
(F2)

where

ΔP	is the venting overpressure (lb/ft^2)
v	is the balloon volume (ft ³)
v	is the ascent rate (ft/sec)
ρ	is the specific weight of the inflatant (lb/ft^3)
g	is the gravitational constant (32.1741) (ft/sec^2)
h	is the atmospheric scale height (ft)
Å	is the total effective duct area

For very small venting overpressure and equal air and gas temperature, the specific lift of the gas, b, is proportional to the specific weight of the gas and Eq. (F2) becomes:

$$\Delta P = 0.12608 \frac{V^2 v_0^2 b}{2gh_0^2 A^2} .$$
 (F3)

*Rand, J.L., private conversation.

F2. Alexander, H., and Weissmann, D. (1972) <u>A Compendium of the Mechanical</u> <u>Properties of Polyethylene Balloon Films</u>, Scientific Report No. 2, Contract F19628-69-C-0069, AFCRL-72-0068, AD 746678.

92

A - Standard - - -

Differential pressure at the maximum horizontal diameter of a fully inflated natural shape balloon is the product of the specific lift and the distance between the height of the plane of this maximum diameter and the base of the balloon. This latter distance is proportional to the cube root of the balloon volume. The proportionality factor, k_{Σ} , is dependent upon the value of Σ applicable to the in-flight deformed volume. This yields the relationship:

$$\Delta \mathbf{P} = \alpha \, \mathbf{k}_{\Sigma} \, \mathbf{b} \, \mathbf{V}^{1/3} \quad . \tag{F4}$$

where α is the ratio of valving back pressure to the pressure at the maximum diameter.

Without yet considering the stress, we can relate the effective valving area to the fractional back pressure by eliminating \triangle P between Eqs. (F3) and (F4) to yield:

$$A = \sqrt{\frac{0.12608}{2g \ \alpha \ k_{\rm E}}} \left(\frac{v_{\rm o}}{h_{\rm o}}\right) \ v^{5.6} \ . \tag{F5}$$

A dynamic model was developed to evaluate the results of Eq. (F5). The U M appendix flow model was used in conjunction with the hydrostatic equation to develop the dynamic model. Further, it was assumed that the ascent rate would be constant, the air and gas temperature differential would be constant, and the atmospheric temperature and pressure would be in accordance with the 1962 U.S. Standard Atmosphere model. These seemed reasonable on the basis of preliminary work that indicated that the pressure would peak within about 15 sec after the start of valving. The following equations constitute the dynamic model:

$$\dot{P}_2 = (P_2 T_2) \dot{r}_2 - \frac{A}{V} \sqrt{(2gR_u M_2)(P_2 T_2 (P_2 - P_1))}$$
 (F6A)

$$\dot{\mathbf{P}}_{1} = -(\mathbf{M}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{R}_{u})(\mathbf{P}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{T}_{1})\mathbf{v}_{0}$$
(F6B)

$$\dot{\mathbf{T}}_{1} = \mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{L}$$
 (F6C)

$$\mathbf{T}_2 = \mathbf{v}_0 \mathbf{I}. \tag{F6D}$$

where

P is pressure (lb/ft^2)

- T is temperature (^OR)
- L is atmospheric temperature lapse rate $(^{O}R/ft)$
- A is effective duct area (ft^2)
- V is balloon volume (ft³)
- v_ is the ascent rate, constant (ft/sec)
- g is the gravitational constant, 32, 1741 (ft/sec²)
- R_{ii} is the universal gas constant, 1545.31 (ft $lb_f/^{O}R/(lb-mo1)$)
- M is molecular weight

and the second

The symbol . denotes a time derivative.

Subscripts 1 and 2 refer to air and gas respectively.

Equation F6 (A-D) was solved for duct area to α fixed α value over the following ranges and intervals:

altitude - 70,000 (10,000; 1°2,000 ft system weight - 2,000 (1,000) 9,000 lb ascent rate - 10 (5) 20 ft/sec

The resulting valving areas were compared with creas computed from Eq. (F5). Here, it is important to note that the atmospheric scale height h_0 at an altitude Z is the distance upward from that altitude to the point where the atmospheric pressure is reduced by one half of its value at altitude ω_1 and that λ_0 is not constant in the standard atmosphere model (see Table F1). This variation was included in Eq. (F5) computations and the ratios were constant to within 5 percent.

Table F1. Ratio R of Currently Used Duct Design Coefficient to Effective Coefficient Derived Using Eq. F7. Z is balloon altitude in feet: h_0 is atmospheric scale height: θ is atmospheric temp. rature in ^oC

2	ho	6	Ч
80000 90000 100000 120000 120000 130000 140000 150000 150000 170000	14230 14423 14620 14720 14690 15000 15520 16359 16723 17780	-52.209 -49.135 -46.165 -40.714 -32.273 -23.840 -15.415 -6.998 -2.500	3.99 3.99 3.98 3.90 3.70 3.62 3.62 3.55 3.46 3.46 3.47

94

The second states and the se

Thus while the U M stress model is probably conservative, the back pressure model appears consistent with the more complex dynamic model when the variable atmospheric scale height is introduced. One apparent question is what are the consequences of admitting changes in the other input variables. This analysis follows.

The current duct design model follows directly from Eq. (F1) (Eq. 12 on page II-36 of reference F1). The value of the Eq. (F1) constant now in use is C = 2.76E - 05. It appears to represent the following assumption:

- F = 1, the fractional bursting pressure
- M = 28.8, ingested air is being valved
- $h_{c} = 2,0E + 04$, the atmosphere scale height (ft)
- $v_0 = 0.7$, the ascent rate (kilo-ft/min)
- T = 1600, film strength (lb/in²).

The equivalent of Eq. (F1) then becomes:

$$A = 5.75 \left[\frac{v_0}{h_0} \sqrt{\frac{M}{FT}} \right] D^2 \sqrt{\frac{G}{t}}$$
(F7)

where

- **D** is the balloon maximum diametor (ft)
- G is the gross inflation (lb)
- t is the film thickness (mil) ,

Balloons today, with the standard duct design, seldom ingest a significant volume of air; this suggests that the value of M should be 4 (helium) rather than 28.8 (air). Further at the higher altitudes in the troposphere, the ascent rate into float is generally on the order of 500 ft/min. Without changing F, we are left to determine the contribution of the term $1/\sqrt{Th_o^2}$.

Both the film strength T and the scale height h_0 are functions of altitude, h_0 directly and T because it is a function of temperature that varies with altitude. Substituting the values of 1, 0.5, and 4 for F, v₀, and M respectively, and approximating tensile strength by the model $\frac{122}{12}$;

$$T = 1793, 75 - 30, 9375\theta$$
 (F8)

where θ is the atmospheric temperature in degrees centigrade, we can compare the equivalent value of C with the current value, 2.76E - 05. Table F1 gives the results. It shows that with the conservative stress analysis based on the assumption of sphericity, the present duct areas are about 3.75 times the minimum

A WARTEN CARTY

effective area. This corresponds to an effective duct diameter that is about one half of the constructed duct diameter (a recommendation found in the U M work). The U M recommendations are applicable to duct diameters of a few feet and may be too conservative when using diameters of 14 ft.

How conservative the present U M model is we do not know, but a sound engineering basis for duct design must ultimately depend on a sound analysis of the stresses and strains in the balloon shell and the tapes at ceiling altitude. Needless to say, adequate tape and biaxial film characterization are implicit in any such model.

Whether or not significant saving in weight can be made, the use of many small ducts as opposed to significantly fewer large ducts means potential degradation of very thin film balloons due to excessive production handling during the hand installation of the ducts. The use of 150 ft² ducts in place of 40 and 50 ft² ducts on the very large balloons now using 8 to 10 ducts can reduce the number of ducts in some cases by four or more; for example, model SV-022 has eight 40 ft² ducts. Four ducts of 110 ft² each would provide one duct in excess of the required area at a saving of four ducts; the balloon shell was 0.45 mil thick.

Tables F2 and F3 compare some existing balloon duct designs with the results of Eq. (F1) (for C = 2.76E - 05) and Eq. (F7) increased by a factor of 3.75.

96

A AND AND A AND A AND A

Table F2. Duct Area Comparisons. "WEIGHT" is the weight of the balloon. Under "TOTAL DUCT AREA", I represents the as built area, II represents the solution using Eq. F1, and III represents the solution using Eq. F7

			TOTAL	DUCT	AREA
NODEL NO	VULUNE 1t3	WEIGHT 165	tt ¹	II ft ²	III tt ²
SV-001A	5033809	1340	120	107	98
SV-002	2661176	1070	120	66	61
SV-004	30272377	15 30	500	372	362
SV-005	10578059	1335	16U	169	158
SV-006	26600000	2695	400	452	427
SV-007	6677900	2470	180	189	172
57-008	3740000	2400	3 0 0	208	191
3v-009	10570059	2150	200	180	166
SV-010	37736556	1910	600	475	463
Sx 011	45380 000	2830	600	563	546
50-012	21770000	1700	300	285	273
JV-014	15600000	1820	300	294	274
3V-015	21630000	2145	400	375	354
3V-016A	11620000	1240	200	215	203
SV-0178	5142712	1600	120	120	111
SV-018	3685868	560	80	82	75
SV-019	5136000	1470	120	117	108
SV-020	11617429	1240	200	221	207
JV-021	47815660	1772	600	538	579
SV-022	28694910	1460	320	373	358
LTV-001	13486500	1425	200	171	162
Fra-005	4349664	740	100	67	62
LTV-003C	2945571	2110	75	51	47
LIV-005	642729	356	40	10	9
Llv-006	5033809	1120	120	71	83
LTV-007	2012003	800	6ú	35	33
LTV-008	273600	239	18	6	6
LIV-009	516000	340	20	16	9
LTV-0103	859000	440	40	15	13
LTV-011A	1840000	525	6U	31	29
LTV-012	4390000	925	71	65	61
LTV-013A	2900135	995	οU	52	<u> </u>
	2003675	1080	50	40	31
P1A-018	355000	260	20	.9	8
F1A-018	623000	360	30	13	12
LIV-020	14 50 26	130	10	د	7
LIV-021	204905	200	20	Ó	5
LIV-022	449183	200	20	4	8
	15/5005	440	40	28	26
1, IV - () 24	1110000	242	50	20	18

97

ļ

......

Table F3. Duct Area Comparisons. "WEIGHT" is the weight of the balloon. Under "TOTAL DUCT AREA", I represents the as built area, II represents the solution using Eq. F1, and III represents the solution using Eq. F7

F					
			TOTAL	DUCT	AREA
NUDEL NO	VULUME	WEIGHT	I	II	III
	ft ³	lbs	ft ²	ft ²	it ²
LTV-02€	2369069	800	50	47	43
SP-001	3016600	318	60	57	53
SP-003	711460	780	40	25	21
SV-500	26085893	2588	500	469	441
SV-501	27988324	3416	600	532	494
SV-502	29008952	2470	625	529	502
SV-503	33325200	3041	vi2 5	575	547
SV-504	25690000	2113	500	456	433
SV-305	25980000	2607	500	476	448
SV-506	28048674	4507	600	580	533
SV-507	25840000	1939	500	491	383
508−√د	28463000	2853	600	535	501
SV-509	30 390 000	4650	600	590	542
SV-510	31210000	2733	600	598	56 6
SV-511	31154200	2376	600	520	495
SV-512	30820000	2541	500	481	458
SV-513	33120000	2425	600	582	553
SV-514	31150000	2800	500	529	503
SV-515	33500000	4300	600	580	534
SV-516	31650000	2116	600	475	454
SV-517	34090000	3732	600	575	540
SV-518	34311500	4306	750	668	620
SV-519	37780000	2070	700	600	576
SV-520	36100000	2235	600	506	488
GV-521	39590000	50 64	800	76 U	704
SV523	45840000	3089	800	789	751
SV-524	47012000	4064	1000	966	914
SV-525	70700000	2974	1200	1128	1093
SV-527	30500000	2498	500	476	453
SV-528	36700000	2478	500	568	544
SV-529	50310000	2956	800	732	703
SV-530	52600000	3228	900	839	802
SV-531	26400000	2706	500	448	422
SV-532	35850000	2083	400	465	450
SV-533	36360000	2600	500	577	552
SV-535	46090000	2937	700	686	658
SV-536	30160000	1355	400	359	347
SV-522	42500000	5000	750	744	700
SV-526	33100000	3660	600	514	483
SV-534	33858500	2000	500	490	473

98

Sector Sector

n - . . .

Ц.,

1.1

-1.

وجدا فساد مساحدتا فأتقا

يداد للمت

1.4.1.

Appendix G

Program "DESIGN-II"

G1. DESCRIPTION

This program takes balloon mission requirements and develops therefrom a balloon system including the balloon design, the required ballast weight, and the size and weight of the required parachute. Values of pre-launch static stresses and the "shock index" (see dynamic loading index, Section 5.2) are output for comparison with current design allowables, and the option to redesign and change film thicknesses, load tape factor, and maximum gorewidth is offered. If the loadings are acceptable, the design and stress analysis data and a table of payload and altitude are output.

G2. METHOD

The program assumes a balloon weight, computes the shape from the relationship between the shape (Σ) and the balloon weight-payload weight ratio, recomputes the balloon weight from the input and the computed shape, compares the two balloon weights and reiterates the process if the weights are not within tolerance. In the reiteration, the computed balloon weight is substituted for the assumed weight. The shape characteristics are computed using, for the most part, sixth degree polynomials derived from Smalley's tables. The analyses of stresses are based on variations of Alexander's approach (Appendix D) and refinements of Webb's materials characterization (Appendix E).

G3. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

The following assumptions underly the solution process:

- a. 1962 U.S. Standard Atmosphere
- b. 500 ft/min ascent rate when venting through ducts
- c. 23°C launch temperature
- d. 1004 lb/in² safe stress level
- e. 60-min stress-strain relexation time
- f. 12 percent free lift
- g. 0.0659 lb/ft³ specific lift of helium at launch
- h. $0.005 \text{ lb/ft}^2/\text{mil balloon film weight factor}$

G4. SYSTEM

This program is written in BASIC programming language and is configured to run on an HP9830A (3PJ8 word, Read-Write Memory) with an HP9871A impact printer.

G5. OPERATION

The program is stored in two parts on cassette number 4, files 21 and 22. File 21 contains the input and design and analysis sections and file 22 the output statements. The two files are connected by a "link" command that is automatically activated by the program.

- a. Press: LOAD, type: 21, press: EXECUTE
- b. Press: RUN EXECUTE

c. The display reads: <u>ALT</u>? Type in the design floating altitude in feet, for example, <u>100000</u>, press: <u>EXECUTE</u>

d. The display reads: <u>MIN, DSGN, MAX?</u> Type in the minimum payload, the design payload and the maximum payload, each in pounds, for example, <u>1000</u>, <u>2000</u>, <u>5000</u>, press: <u>EXECUTE</u>. Note that the design payload can be assumed to include the weights of ballast and parachute, in which case the entry must be an integer. If it is required that the design payload be instrumentation only and that ballast and a parachute be computed, then the design payload should be entered

as the integer part of a mixed number, such that, where P9 is the code for the design payload, P9 \neq INT(P9). The function INT (X) computes the integer part of the number X. If the design payload was entered as an integer, then the machine will skip (e) and respond according to (f) following.

e. The display reads: <u>% BLST, CHUTE VEL?</u> Type in the total ballast as a fraction of the system weight (see Section 2.3) and the allowable terminal velocity of the recovery parachute in ft/sec, for example, <u>0.25, 20</u>, press-<u>EXECUTE</u>

f. The display reads: <u>SHELL</u>, <u>CAP</u>? Type in the shell film thickness and the cap film thickness each in mils, for example, <u>1.5</u>, <u>0</u>, press: <u>EXECUTE</u>. If the cap thickness entry is 0, then the design will proceed to yield an uncapped balloon.

g. The display (after a brief computation time) reads: LO(5), QO(101)? Type in the ratio of total load tape strength to tape load at the apex at float altitude for the maximum payload (normally five for the first estimate) and the allowable maximum gorewidth in inches (normally 101), for example, 5, 101, press: EXECUTE. After a significant computation time, the printer will output the following names and their corresponding values: SIGMA, SHOCK INDEX, STRAIN (meridional and circumferential), STRESS (meridional and circumferential in lb/in^2), % TAPE STRENGTH (for pre-launch loading), LO, QO, T6 (shell thickness in mils), and T7 (cap thickness in mils).

h. The display reads: <u>SHELL</u> (OK:0) & CAP? Type in the new thicknesses in mile for the shell and the cap, for example, <u>1.2</u>, <u>0</u>, press: <u>EXECUTE</u>. Any entry for shell thickness, other than 0, causes the program to reiterate and requires new inputs beginning at (g). Thus, the film thicknesses can be changed or they can remain the same (re-entered under this step) and the stresses reduced by increasing the value of LO or reducing the value of ΘO , (or both) under the reiterated step g. If the entry for the shell thickness is 0 and the entry for the cap thickness is any arbitrary number, file 22 is automatically linked and the design and loading analysis data output. In addition, a performance table of payload and altitude and the design point [for use with Eq. (2)] is output.

101

alarah Artik

```
1000 REA "LESIGN-II (A) " (CF 4.21 82JUL29)
1010 REA TELE=230, STRAIN TIME=60 MIN
1020 REA FLOAT ENTRY SPEED=500PPM, PREE LIFT=12%
1030 DIM A[8],E[9,2],U[4,7]
1040 REM (Acap/A)=FCN(SIGMA, R)
1030 DATA -0.195390893,-0.952989499,0.819023889,0.581211894,-1.633868387
1000 DATA 1.118915175,-0.248342045,0.19664587,4.644428949,-3.24841125
1070 DATA -3.472893627,7.232507341,-4.259334618,0.785900199,3.383282482
1080 DATA -6.630204025,4.076038773,5.564315562,-10.05391706,5.404091207
1090 DATA -0.859152769,-2.398958901,2.952718136,-1.645782348,-2.706391858
1100 DATA 4.499006036,-2.28485846,0.325235255
1110 REM STD. ATM.
1120 JATA 9,1013.25,0,288.15,11,216.65,20,216.65,32,228.65,47,270.65
1130 DATA 52,270.65,61,252.65,79,180.65
1140 REM SIGMA=FCN(W/P)
1150 DATA 0,0.375469014941,-0.061 44739632,0.0179374540922
1160 DATA -1.83972293864E-03,2.25926217923E-04,-1.46417871814E-05,6
1170 REM (V/S^3)=FCN(SIGMA)
1180 DATA 0.12605508,0.061374109851,-8.63907397454E-03,-0.097740353
1190 DATA 0.080087807233,-6.0680228217E-03,-0.0101107457963,6
1200 REM (A/S^2)=FCN(SIGMA)
1210 DATA 1.235984785,0.3889874254,-0.048794261,-0.374649865
1220 DATA 0.1451693335,0.196113802,-0.1170171769,6
1230 REM (T/G)=FCN(SIGMA)
1240 DATA 1.560420909,-0.798290024,-0.036815992,0.640557679,-0.318218061
1250 DATA 0.012582683,0,5
1260 REM (R1/S9)=FCN(SIGMA)
1270 DATA 0.28202,0.13557,0.7319,-3.34086,6.20111,-5.42733,1.81098,6
1260 MAT READ U
1290 MAT READ E
1300 FORMAT B
1310 FORMAF 2B
1320 WRITE (15,1310)27,84;
1330 RAD
1340 8=0.0659
1350 00=0
1360 50=1004
1370 N1=0.679
1380 TU=9.8
1390 W0=0.005
1400 W9=1000
1410 D7=F8=V0=0
1420 DISP "ALT.";
1430 INPUT HU
1440 DISP "MIN, DSGN, MAX ";
1450 INPUT F0, P9, P
1460 23=2
1470 25=20
1480 IF P9 >= P0 THEN 1510
1490 29=0
1500 GOTO 1530
```

```
1000 REM "DESIGN-11 (A)" (CF 4.21 8200229)
1510 IF P9=1wTP9 THEM 1560
1520 P5=INTP9
1530 DISP "& BLST, CHUTE VEL ";
1540 INPUT F8,V0
1550 D7=0.090278-0.0028*V0<sup>2</sup>
1560 DISP "SHELL, CAP ";
1570 INPUT T6,T7
1580 T8=T6+T7
1590 B8=ENAHO
1600 C8=1793.75-30.9375*H2
1610 K3=K4=K9=R7=0
1620 K6=1230
1630 K2=FNA(H0-5)-FNA(H0+5)
1640 F=H0-15000
1650 K1=FNAF-2*88
1660 K7=FNL(-K1)
1670 IF K6<10 THEN 1090
1680 IF K7=2 THEN 1650
1690 28=H0-F
1700 W1=W5=0
1710 P8=INTP9
1720 DISP "L0(5),Q0(101)";
1730 LAPUT L0,00
1740 W8=W9
1750 IF P9>0 AND P9=INTP9 THEN 1820
1760 W5=F8* (W8+F5)/(1-F8)
1770 IF VO=0 THEN 1810
1780 R7=(1.5694-SQR(2.4632-4*D7*(52.778+P0+W5)))/D7/2
1790 W1=0.090278*R7*2-1.5694*R7+52.778
1800 W5 = F8 + (W8 + P5 + W1) / (1 - F8)
1810 P8=P5+W1+W5
1820 RESTORE 1150
1830 Y9=W8/(P0+W1)
1840 21=PNE(LOG(1+Y9))
Los0 VI=FNEZI
Sod RESTORE 1150
  // Z2=FAE(LOG(1+48/P8))
1380 V2=FNE22
1890 IF P>0 THEN 1910
1900 23=28
1910 RESTORE 1150
1920 43=FNE(LOG(1+w8/P3))
1930 V3=FNEZ3
1940 \ S8=(((Wb+P0+W1)/V1+(Pb-P0-W1)/0.12605)/B8)^(1/3)
1950 R=3*(1.12*V3*B8/B) (1/3)
1960 R8=0.007+(V1-0.095*SuR(V1/0.13)) (1/3)
1970 RESTORE 1210
1980 S=FREZ1
1993 W7=#0*17*FNC(R)*5*58"2
2000 #6=#0*16*5*58 2
```

2、新路

```
1000 REM "DESIGN-II (A) " (CF 4.21 82JUL29)
2010 U=1+INT (24*P1*S8*R8/00)
2020 L=25*INT(1+L0*PNE23*(W8+P3)/0/25)
2030 W2=10F(0.5+(0.00279879+0.000009837*L)*Q*S8)
2040 A5=97.36*((R8*S8) 2)*SQR((P+W8)/C8/T8)/28
2050 27=48/29
2060 U=((W8+27)/V1+(P-27)/0.12605)/58^3
2070 V8=(W8+P)/U
2080 M0=150
2090 Q1=2+IN:C(A5/M0)
2100 IF Q1-1 <= 6 THEN 2130
2110 M0=2.25*MU
2120 GOTO 2090
2130 M0=A5
2140 A5=10*INT(1+A5/10/(Q1-1))
2150 X8=0.425*98
2160 H4=1.1*Q1*T6*W0*X8*SQR(4*P1*A5)
2170 W3=0.0475*S8
2180 U9=(U*S8*W0/12)*(T6+R*T7)
2190 18=0 0=0
2200 IF 58<315 THEN 2230
2210 L8=1+1AT(S8*(1-R)-5)
2220 U8=8*W0*L8
2230 89=30+08+09+22+83+84+86+87
2240 IF ABS (W9-W8)>0.01*W8 THEN 1740
2250 W8=W9
2260 W9=2*PI*S0*R8/0
2270 T=T3/12000
2280 G=1.12* (W8+P3)
2290 RESTORE 1150
2300 %=FNE(LOG(1+W8/(G-W8)))
2310 V=FNEZ
2320 S9=Sd*(0.897455-0.232485*LGT((Sd^3)*B*V/G))
2330 RESTORE 1270
2340 R1=59*FNEZ
2350 P=(EXPZ-EXP(-P1))*((G-W8)^(1/3))*(B^(2/3))
2360 W=2*P1*0.17*S9/0
2370 RESTORE 1240
2380 Y=FNEZ
2390 U2=58* (G-W3)/T6/T6
2400 FU=0.710+YAG
2410 89=84=83=0
2420 K2=J.000000001
2430 A1=L*T0/T/W
2440 A2=A1*00+20/0/T/W
```

2450 A4=P*R1/T 2460 EU=A2/A1

and the second secon

104

A States

A DA TANA AL

```
1000 REM "DESIGN-11 (A)" (CF 4.21 82JUL29)
2470 K6=0.999998*EU/10
2480 E1=0.000001*E0
2490 F1=A2-A1*E1
2500 E3=144*6608*E1^ (- (27+LUGE1)/115)
2510 E2=((1-N1^2)*F1-E1*E3)/N1/E3
2520 IF E2>0 THEN 2550
2530 Kl=1
2540 GOTU 2630
2550 E4=144*6608*82<sup>(-(27+LOGE2)/115)</sup>
2560 F6=(A4-F1)/(E4*R1*(E2+N1*F1/E3))
2570 F7=(1+E1)*F6*W/2
2580 £9=£7*(1+E2)/(1+E1)
2590 F7=ATN (F7/SQR(1-F7^2))
2600 IF K9>0 THEN 2620
2610 F2=SGN(F7-F9)
2620 K1=F2+(F9-F7)
2630 F=E1
2640 K7=PNLK1
2650 E1=F
2660 IF K7=2 THEN 2490
2670 R2=1/F6
2680 S1=F1
2690 S2=E4* (E2+N1*S1/E3)
2700 U1=E3* (E1+N1*32/E4)
2710 U1=ABS((U1-S1)/S1)
2720 Bl=r0*(El-DU)
2730 FIXED 5
                               "41
2740 PRINT "SIGMA:
                           "U2/1E+Uu
2750 PRINT "SHOCK INDEX:
2760 PRINT "STRAIN (M & C): "E1,E2
2770 FIXED 0
2780 PRINT "STRESS (M & C): "51/144,52/144
2790 PRINT "& TAPE STRENGTH: "100*B1
2800 FIXED 2
2010 PRINT "LU="L0" 20="20" T6="10" T7="T7
2820 PRINT
2030 DISP "SHELL(UK=0) & CAP ";
2840 INPUT C1, C2
2850 IF CL=0 THEN 2900
2860 To=C1
2070 17=C2
2080 10=10+17
2890 GOTO 1720
2900 WRITE (15,1300)12
2910 LINK 22,1330,1330
```

A CONTRACTOR OF STREET

The second se

```
1000 REM "DESIGN-II (A)" (CF 4.31 82JUL29)
2920 DEF NL(K1)
2930 REA BINARY CHOP
2940 K9=K9+L
2950 IF ABSK1 <= K2 THEN 3050
2960 IF K1>U THEN 2990
2970 K3=K5=1
2980 GOTO 3010
2990 K5=-1
3000 K4=1
3010 K6=K6/(K3+K4)
3020 F=F+K5*Ko
3030 RETURN 2
3040 STOP
3050 K3=K4=0
3060 RETURN 1
3070 DEF FNA(A)
3080 REM ATMOSPHERE
3090 C1=6356766
3100 02=0.3048037
3110 3=34.163195
3120 C4=0.0217484
3130 C5=0.8618105
3140 H1=E[1,2]
3150 X=C2*A
3160 X=0.001*C1*X/(C1+X)
3170 FOR 1=2 TO E[1,1]
3180 J=I
3190 rl=0
3200 IF E[I+1,2]#E[1,2] THEN 3220
3210 F1=0.0001
3220 F3 = (F1 + E[I + 1, 2] - E[1, 2]) / (E[I + 1, 1] - E[I, 1])
 3230 IF X<E[I+1,1] THEN 3260
3240 H1=H1*((E[1,2]/(F1+E[I~1,2]))^(C3/F3))
 3250 NEXT I
 3200 H2=E[J,2]+F3*(X-E[J,1])
 3470 H1=H1*((E[J,2]/H2)^(C3/F3))
 3280 H4=C4+h1/H2
 3290 H3=C5*H4
 3300 H1=2.08858*H1
 3310 H2=H2-273.16
 3320 RETURN (H3)
 3330 STOP
 3340 DEF PNE(X)
 3350 REM POWER SERIES
 3360 MAT READ A
 3370 Y=A[1]
 3380 FOR 1=1 TO A [8]
 3390 Y=Y+A[1+1]*X T
 3400 NEXT I
 3410 RETURN Y
 3420 STOP
```

106

```
1020 REM "DESIGN-II(A)" (CF 4.21 32JUL29)

3430 DEF FNC(R)

3440 REM CAP APEA SUBROUTINE

3450 U=0

3460 X=1-R

3470 FOR I=1 TU 4

3480 C=0

3490 FOR J=1 TU 7

3500 C=C+U(I,J)*21^(J-1)

3510 NEXT J

3520 U=U+C*X^(I-1)

3530 NEXT I

3540 U=1-U

3550 RETURN U

3560 END
```

....

<u></u>γέ •

•

م بر

....

1330 REM "DESIGN-11(B)" (CF 4.22 82JUL30) 1340 REM BALLOON DESIGN, LAUNCH STRESS ANALYSIS AND 1350 REM PERFORMANCE TABLE FOR EST. BALLOON MEIGHT. 1360 PRINT "Output from 'DESIGN-11(A)' (CF 4.21)" 1370 FIXED 0 1380 PRINT 1390 PRINT "TARGET MIN. PAYLOAD "PO+W1 1400 PRING "MAXIMUM PAYLOAD "P3 1410 PRINT "DESIGN PAYLOAD "P8 1420 PRINT "ALT. FOR DSGN. PAY. "HO 1430 PRINT 1440 FIXED 5 1450 PRINT "SIGMA "21 1460 FIXED 2 1470 PRINT "SHELL THICKNESS 1480 PRINT "CROWN THICKNESS 1490 PRINT "DUCT AREA "·r6 *r6+r7 "A5 1500 FIXED 0 1510 PRINT "NUMBER OF DUCTS "Ul 1520 FIXED 0 1530 PRINT "DUCT LOWER LIP " X8 1540 PRINT 1550 PRINT "VOLUME (MAX.) 1560 PRINT "VOLUME (M1N.) *V1*S8^3 "v8 1570 PRINT 1580 PRINT "BALLOON WEIGHT "W8 1590 PRINT "SHELL WEIGHT " W6 1600 IF T7=0 THEN 1620 1610 PRINT "CAP WEIGHT 1620 PRINT "TAPE WEIGHT "W7 "₩2 1630 PRINT "SEAM WEIGHT 1640 PRINT "DUCT WEIGHT 1650 PRINT "MISCELLAFEOUS WEIGHTS "U9 "W4 "30+U8+W3 1660 FIXED 2 1670 PRIMT 1680 PRINT "GORELENGTH 1690 PRINT "GORE WIDTH "58 "w9 1700 PRINT "MAX. DIA. *2*88*58 1710 PRINT 1720 FIXED 0 1730 IF L8=0 THEN 1750 1740 PRINT "SLEEVE LENGTH "L8 1750 IF T7=0 THEN 1780 1760 FIXED 2 1770 PRINT "CAP LENGTH *R*S8 1780 FIXED 0 1790 PRINT "NUMBER OF GORES 1800 PRINT "TAPE STRENGTH "U "L

Standard Contraction

1. A. C ...

State Stat

1330 REM "DESIGN-11(8)" (CF 4.22 82JUL30)

1810	IF R7=0 THEN 1850	*2*87
1850	PRINT CHOTE DIA.	#1.53
1830	PRINT "CHUTE WEIGHT	
1840	PRINT "CHUTE SPEED	" V0
1850	1F F8=0 THEN 1870	
1860	PRINT "BALLAST	" W5
1870	PRINT	
1880	PRINT .	
1890	PRINT	
1900	PRIMT -	
1910	PRINT	

CIRC." MERID. 1920 PRINT " 1930 PRINT 1940 FIXED 5 1950 PRINT "STRAIN (DIM): 1960 FIXED 0 "E1,E2 1970 PRINT "SEC. MOD. (PSI): "E3/144,E4/144 1980 FIXED 2 1990 PRINT "RADIUS (FT): "R1,R2 2000 FIXED U "S1/144,S2/144 2010 PRINT "STRESS (PSI): 2020 FIXED 2 "S1/S0/1.44,S2/S0/1.44 2030 PRINT "& SAFE STRESS: 2040 PRINT 2050 FIXED 3 2000 PRINT "SHUCK INDEX (FT*LB/IN^2):"02/16+06 2070 FIXED 0 "61*L 2080 PRINT "TAPE LOAD (LB) : 2090 FIXED 2 *100#31 2100 PRINT "& MAX TAPE LUAD: 2110 PRINT 2120 PRIME 2130 FLOAT 3 "01 2140 PRINT "REL. ERROR IN STRESS: 2150 PRINT 2160 PRINT

2170 F7=W8/Y9 2180 B2=B7=(P7+W8)/(V1*S8³) 2190 GOSUB 2800 2200 PRINT "DESIGN POINT" 22:0 PRINT "PAYLOAD= "P7 2220 PRINT "PAYLOAD= "Y0 2230 PRINT "SP. LIFT= _2 2240 WRITE (15,1300)12

1330 REA "DESIGN-11(8)" (CF 4.22 82JUL30) 2250 FIXED U 2260 PRINT "PAYLOAD ALTITUDE" 2270 PRINT " (108) (feet)" 2280 PRINT P7, KU 2290 PO=100*(1+INT(P7/100)) 2300 FOR P=P0 TO P3 STEP 100 2310 B2=B7+(P-P7)/(S8^3)/0.12605 2320 GOSUB 2800 2330 PRINT P,KO 2340 NEXT P 2350 WRITE (15,1300)12 2300 STOP 2370 DEF FNL(K1) 2380 REM BINARY CHOP 2390 K9=K9+1 2400 IF ABSK1 <= K2 THEN 2500 2410 1F K1>0 THEN 2440 2420 K3=K5=1 2430 GOTO 2460 2440 K5=-1 2450 K4#1 2460 K6=K6/(K3+K4) 2470 F=F+K5*K6 2480 RETURN 2 2490 STOP 2500 K3=K4=0 2510 RETURN 1 2520 DEF FNA(A) 2530 REM STANDARD ATMOSPHERE. 2540 01-6356766 2550 02=0.3048037 2500 C3=34.163195 2570 04=0.0217484 2580 C5=0.8018105 2590 H1=E[1,2] 2600 X=C2*A 2610 X=0.001*C1*X/(C1+X) 2020 FOR 1=2 TO E[1,1] 2630 J=L 2640 FJ=0 2650 IF E[1+1,2]#E[1,2] THEN 2670 2660 F1=0.0001 2070 83=(81+6(1+1,2)-6(1,2))/(6(1+1,1)-6(1,1)) 2680 IF X<5(1+1,1) THEN 2710 2690 Hl=Hl*((b[1,2]/(Fl+b[1+1,2]))^(C3/F3)) 2700 NEXT I 2710 H2=E(J,2]+E3*(X-E(J,1)) 2720 H1=H1*((E(J,2)/H2)^(C3/F3)) 2730 H4=C4+H1/H2 2740 13=05+14 2750 H1=2.08858*H1 2760 H2=H2-273.16 2770 RETURN (H3) 2780 STUP

مىز

25

. . .

110

1330 REM "DESIGN-II(B)" (CF 4.22 82JUL30)

2790 REM ALT=FCA(SP LIFT) 2800 K3=K4=K9=0 2810 K6=1280 2820 F=H0+20000 2830 K2=FNA(F=10) =FNA(F+10) 2840 K1=FNAP=62 2850 K7=FNL(-K1) 2850 IF K6<10 THEN 2840 2070 IF K7=2 THEN 284C 2880 K0=F 2890 RETURN 2900 EMD

Contraction of the

٠

.

\$.2

Appendix H

Table of Existing Designs Used in Study

In the following listings, the headings are to be interpreted as follows:

Heading	Dimension	Meaning
Model	-	AFGL Assigned Model No.
Volume	\mathbf{FT}^{3}	Balloon Maximum Volume
Rated	LB	Maximum Payload/rater
Flown	LB	Maximum Tested Payload (Successful)
Flt no.	-	Reference for "Flown" Assigned in AFGL Records
Gorlen	FT	Gorelength
Sigma	-	Natural Shape Number
Reî	-	Sigma Table Source
Area	$F'r^2$	Duct Area (each)
DLEN	FT	Distance from Nadir to Duct Ellipse
UNO	-	Number of Ducts
Tapes	LB	Rated Tape Strength
Gores	-	Number of Gores
Wall	MIL	Gore Film Thickness

Heading	Dimension	Meaning
CP7 EN	FT	Longest Cap Length
KRWN	MIL	Total Crown Thickness
CN	-	Number of Caps
BLNWT	LB	Total Balloon Weight
AWT	LB	Apex Fitting Weight
BWT	LB	Base Fitting Weight

In Tables H1 and H2 the payloads and altitudes are the effective design points associated with Eq. (2), Section 4.

114

Ś.

.....

ło.	Volume (ft ³)	Payload (lb)	Altitude (ft)	Model No.	Volume (ft ³)	Payload (lb)	
)1A	5033809	531	119170	LTV-001	13486500	565	
-002	2661176	699	106910	LTV-002	4849664	2 93	
V-004	30272377	303	161610	LTV-003C	2945571	44 0	
V-005	10578059	529	135730	LTV-005	642729	423	
V-00 6	26600000	1309	139430	LTV-008	5033809	444	
SV-007	6677900	4470	97390	LTV-007	2012003	420	
5 V - 008	8740000	3841	105430	LTV-008	273600	240	
SV-009	10578059	853	125050	LTV-009	5160 00	335	
V-010	37736553	435	160930	LTV-010B	859 000	389	
V-011	45380000	580	155530	LTV-011A	1840000	351	
5V-012	21770000	578	147890	LTV-012	4890000	333	
SV-014	15600000	917	135270	LTV-013A	2900135	913	
V-015	21680000	1505	136780	LTV-014	2003675	2035	
SV-016A	11620000	331	141850	LTV-018	355 000	575	
SV-017B	5142712	1331	110110	LTV-019	628000	643	
5 V-018	3685788	481	125150	LTV-020	145026	254	
5 V-0 19	5136000	1620	108970	LTV-021	264985	255	
5V-020	11617429	850	135270	LTV-022	449183	238	
5V-021	47815660	265	170910	LTY-623	1575005	231	
5 V-022	28604910	1000	152670	LTV-024	1110000	754	

Table H1.	Design Point	Conditions for	Balloon	Data Base
-----------	---------------------	----------------	----------------	-----------

12 6. 15

r

. .
	 	 - mar - 1			

١

•

•

ì

...

A Law MA

1

Model No.	Volume (ft ³)	Payload (lb)	Altitude (ft)		Model No.	Volume (ft ³)	Payload (lb)	Altitude (ft)
LTV-026	2369069	1507	98790	[SV-516	31650000	616	152520
SP-001	3016600	531	125410		SV-517	34090000	2769	133930
SP-002	12441783	47	162530		SV-518	34311500	3478	130030
SP-003	711460	1632	72650		SV-519	. 37786000	837	155310
SV-500	26085893	1964	135970		SV-520	36100000	351	157190
SV-501	27988324	2623	131140		SV-521	39590000	4568	128470
SV-502	29008952	1561	141210		SV-523	45840000	1895	146990
SV-503	33325200	1424	142060		SV-524	47012000	2851	139890
SV-504	25690000	1339	142010		SV-525	70700000	1023	163440
SV-50 5	25980000	1814	136530		SV-527	30500000	1123	144910
SV-506	28048674	4066	123430		SV-528	36700000	647	152850
SV-507	25840000	319	152210		SV-529	50310000	1146	153900
SV-508	28463000	2589	133890		SV-530	52600000	1609	150980
SV-509	30390000	3690	125780		SV-531	26400000	1937	135790
SV-510	31210000	2156	138450		SV-532	35850000	513	156890
SV-511	31154200	1175	145860		SV-533	3 6 3 60000	919	149750
SV-512	30820000	862	146610		SV-535	46090000	1029	152590
SV-513	33120000	1770	143390		SV-536	30160000	571	160210
SV-514	31150000	1013	144190		SV-522	4250000 0	3118	133910
SV-515	33500000	4492	126860		SV-526	33100000	2810	133370
				\prod	SV-534	33858500	293	158670

Table H2. Design Point Conditions for Balloon Data Base

116

¥.,

All and a state of the state of

. ¹6. 1

Table H3. Balloon Data Base

ķ

.....

ł

ľ

....

.....

E	-	1			. 10					- 10	•	•		. 46	1.0) M	P 1		•	-	•	9	-		٠	•	•	•	•		•									,
	2			23	10	2				:-	,	52			12	12	2		• ~	25		2	10	10	17	17	33	17	17	17	17	1	17	17	1	17	1	:-	:5		
	9		2	5		20	00	9	g		8	00	2	1	9				2	22		2	22	2	2		20	3	6	ę.	.	Q.	52	2		33)
j			1		35	24	24	1	2			17,	18	112	12								1	ŕ	11	m	1	đ	N	ň	÷ i	ň		Ť	101		5)	i 71) X	ĺ
3	-	•	•	-	2	2	2	-	-	•	•	7	7	2	-			4	1 -	• •	•	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	Þ	0	9	0	0) a			
	0	00-2	.15	1.40	2.40	3.50	3.00	2.50		. 60		1.30	2.00	00.2			2	5		2			0.75	0.75		2.2	1.00	1.50	3.	3	3		1.00	1.50	00.3	3	22	3	. 50	00	
NJ	0	9	9	0.0	0	0	0	0				.0.	2	0	0		9						0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	•	0.0		0		2	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	9			
CPI				ē	11	1.4	180	141				12:	0 155	1551	1361							ς ,	<u>د</u>	5	Š	-	- -	- -	~	- -	-	-									
T		1.0		0.71	0.70	1.00	1.0	1.00		0.6		0.0	U. ő(1 . G	0.50	1.06						;	0.7	0.7	1.50	1.54	1.0	1.50	1.5	2.1	5.1		1.0	1.5	2.0	1.5	1.50			0	
RES		120	165	120	154	3 5	101	120	Î	149	Ì	146	126	144	120	120	3	88	, <u>-</u>	5	155		125	88	75	\$0	120	•	52	5	3	5	6	73	49		M	28	12	2	
3		9	0	0	2	0	3	2			2	3	0		c ci	o		2 3	2 -		29	2	2	2	0	0	õ	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	2	2	2	g		C	
TAPL	3	3	101	9	4	80	9	ŝ	ח	5	:	15	30	25	DE	3	2.1	19	3	; •	101		10	10	65	5	20	3	20	5		3	15	50	99		DE	10	10	5	
2 X	~	• •	10	4	+	-1	~	• •	0	م ا	,	•1	~	-		-	2	• **	• •	• •	b n 0	•	+	4	~	2	-	γ,	n	~	n r	n	m	r.	~	2	2	1) (1	•	
1		0.1	0	D . (1.0	2.0	0.1	0.9	0			0 •5	د.5	0.1		2	(r. 1		-				0.0	о, с	n .c	0.7	•••	د. د				2.0	0.1	2.0	J. J	2	0-2	0-1	0	0	
ā		06	116	1176	1 191	122	1 130	251 (1 205	24	6	22	11.	1 180	1 1 44	1	11.	12					1 121	1175	11/	4	111	101 0	.	F			100	1 122		3	380			2	
AREA	00.00	00.0	00.00	00-01	00.00	10.1	00.01	00.01	10.01	00.01		10.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.01	0.0	10.01	00.01	00.01			0.00	25.00	25-UU	20.UC	10.01	30.05	8.7	10.01	10.02		3.75	10.00	25.00	0.00	00.6	5.00	0.00	0.00	
		3	· .71	* :	10	.0	Ъ Г	• •	T T	ר		71	1 C	μ		-	4		1 2			2	ч Ч	۰ د	1	, E	• 	1			-	•	~1	ð 1	4	-	~	Ä	12		
N KE		5		(n				3											51. 12	, ,	2 0	i	2	, , ,) 2 2	(n 0)	ົ່າ	30.05						10 44	יו בי			JU U	50	0.11	
515	0.40	0.30		0.4 c															0.30		U. J.U		0.40	0.45	16.0	J. JL		0.3 5						0.25	U.15			0.15	U. 20	0. 20	
e a	10.	00	16.		٤٢.	-	. 43	00.	70.	. 5.		. 75	- 13	.70		10	.55		2	17		2		.0.	3.				. 51	7			1.73	. 41	.88	.19	. 61	. + 1	2	, 2u	
3	124	265	586	415	110	Jol	777	415	034	4/0		j₿c	476	9 E c	420	551	2.96	IEF	4 4		08.0		450	320	271	167	324	241	120				323	274	245	134	167	102	124	143	
;	162	<i>.</i> 15	253	ċΤυ	020	u23	u 3 u	67 D	000	210		u5.≟	~~~	bču	101	ויי	uls:	016	104	, 21	790		2	066	005		7 7	25 N	110	5 7 0 C 7 0			147	460	707	010	u16	107	J72	ú12	
1.1.1		-0.0-	- 50-	-11-	-11-		-12-	-64-	-71-			1	-22-	-11-	- 22-	-72-	-19-	-11-	- 19 -	-12-	- 10	;	-113	-99-					- 6				-62-	-11-	-1	-1-	-98-	-14-	-21-	- 72-	
8		n L	2 2	ч 19	28. 0	E 7	: 0	7 4	с •	17		ן. ב	7	Ч Ч	ر. ہ	5	ų į		-	1 '' 1 7		;	U AL	н Т	יט ד	2	è v	رب م	.	T '	11 J		7 11	7	0 1	я Э	н Т	ю м	2 1	•	
1.1.1.1	12.4	201	*	105	1445	טני	010	141	07	2		SUL.	231.	CF 7	213	* L 3	113	202		10	-		ŝ	16	j. J		101	270	III	<u>, </u>			113	197	ניטג	113	44	90	2	55	
	NA	3	۰,		13	2.5		2	10	""		- 2	*7		10		10	12	2	3	70		2	5		2	ş.	* 7	1	# 5 :			1	83	37	3	1	•0	12	20	
T.M.	4501	400	74	717	555	do U	1001	UCT	74	Ú,		LUD	140	ULU.	240	000	L÷U	500	240	100	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		luu	5 TO	NF7	ň	202	230	140	577		-	Ŭ,	300	014	147	205	75	Ú,	10.0	
141	\$03	170	577	400	200	201	200	Kc7	açc	200		200	200	202	200	776	bob	200	123	201	11		ŝ	564	217	129	503	107	<u>ה</u>	200		2	000	135	675	20,	000	326	< 96	183	
202	16503	2061.	0272.	J57d	10000	17.00	57401	10700	:0811	10050		1770	Souu	lodu	10201	2444	Sod 51	101 10	1017	14154	101		3446	5724	2943	240	56,93	20121	Ĕ,				0.44	10063	2uu 3	155	e 26	1451	2.04		
			ň	i	Ň	-			-	¥		-	-	~	-	-	- •		n	•	ň		-	1	••			·			•		•	-	-						
1200	PLDU-	-002	+00-	-145	-070-	202	202-	200-	-11-	-111		717	+17-	-115	-010-	-017c	-114	-11-	-120	-0.21	-122		100-	-002	イロコー	500-	-006	100-	2001	5 - C			-112	-1130-		-014	~17	-124	-121	-022	
	- No	34-	2.	20	20	2	2	24-	2	->0		ų.	24	2	ž	-75	50	22	2	20	25		ĿĹ	ŗ,	-21-	Ś	È.	Ż	21	5			->] 7	111	÷, ju	Ż	-7.7-1	-111	LIV-	-111	

117

.

2.44

Table H3. Balloon Data Base (Contd)

: |

A language

i

1

1

٨,

				_			_						_				_	_	_				_			_		_				_						. ,				_
ţ	•	+	4	٠	2	10	9	10		•		2	٩	01	2	•	• •	9 4	• •		• •		٠	20	2	•	•	10	4	2	ų		4	4	• •	• 4	• •	•		-	10	
ş	1	1	3	11	3	EE		Ē	~		î	E	e	1		12			22	:5	22		2	2	R	33		23	12	1		ñ	5	1	:2	;;	::	4 17	12	12	12	
TIM	008	316	199	780	2588	3416	2470	1906	5112			4507	1939	2853	4650	5713	362 6	25.41		2800	1300		2116	3732	1305	2670	2235	2064	3089	4064	2976	2494	24.78	25.00	1008					1355	0005	3660
5	•	~	-	~	~	Ч	2	2	2	•	•	-	2	2	1	•	• •	10	• ~	2	1 14		~	~	m	М	2	M	m	2	-	1	~		• •	• •	•	• •	• •	-	1	2
	- 50	-05	2	00.	<u>.</u>	96.	20	2		2	2	ę.	.60	2	9	2	2			20	13		.70	8,	19	99.	9	20	9	20	50.	8	06	3	2	1		0		1	2	10
X W3	.01	.0.	3		.0 2	.0	0	0	0		•	0.0	0	2		0	n c		• •	20			-	,0 10	e,	10,	0	0	0	0	0 2	5	1 6.		10		19	• - • C		9	2	0
CPL	0	1	16	174	168	184	165	172	157		5	215	139	175	205	515	1 2 2		;;	162	15	•	111	171	208	137	137	203	1	205	165	H		155	5		12	17	12		211	167
NEC	. 50	.35	35		. 60	. 73	. 50		.50			.80	.50	. 50	- 90	9	5	5		9	6		. 50	. 80	. 70	.40	.50		50	.50	.35	9	.50	1	2		2	5	2	1		. 90
ES 1	67]	75 0	25 0	1 68	2	57 0	60	68 0	52 0		,	56 0	57 0	57 0	60 0	62.0				3	8	1	5	20	5	36	2	75 0	5	87 0	20 0	5	76 0		14					63	5	65 0
202			-		7						•	-	-			-			•	. –			-	~	-	-	-	-	-	~	2		1	-			•	. ~				
TAPES	500	100	50	500	300	400	300	300	200		5	600	200	DOE	500	300		2002		DOE	400		150	404	500	150	150	500	250	00	200	300	250	200	250				206	0.0	100	300
	~	m	4	~	4	4	ŝ	ŝ	s of	n vr	١	+	ŝ	-	9	9	•	1	. .		9		9	ø	ŝ	~	9	60	60	ŝ	ø	ŝ	Ś	- 00	• •		•	e or	-	-	ŝ	φ
DLEN	110.0	90.06	148.0	58.0	200.0	0.601	196.0	205.0	188.0	189.0		196.0	185.0	196.0	200.0	20.0.02	200.0	198.0	195.0	200.0	205.0		200.0	208.0	207.0	213.0	210.0	218.0	225.0	225.0	260.0	160.0	210.0	233.0	2+0.0	195.0	225.0	225.0	225.0	185.0	223.0	212.0
REA	.00	8.	8.	30.	9.	00.	.00	00.	00-		2	3.	0.	00.	8	00.	00-	00.		8	8	:	5	ŝ	8	00.	8	60.	8	8.	00.	8.	8	00,	3	8	3		3	00,	8.	00.
₹	25	20	ę	20	125	150	125	125	103	100	2	150	120	150	100	100	150	190	3	100	100	4	COT	100	150	100	102	100	COT	200	200	100	100	100	100		100	100	100	100	150	100
REP	JHS																																									
SNA SNA	150																																									
N SI	0		-	-	л	ŝ	。	~	0	, 	•	~~	9	•		0	0	-			- 70			m	70	ŝ	-	8	-,	9	8	7	ý	9	-	-	-	-		m	٢	
JALE	60.0	78.9	35.1	74.8	69.2	83.1	3 8.d	14.4	66.5	69.4		85.9	50.2	16.5	03.6	06.4	5.00	9. 96	19.01	38.0	20.4	•	1.2	24.0	25.2	1.3	24.8	58.0	96.3	93.0	85.7	95.0	30.2	03.5	15.9	71.8	24.	30.8	81.9	87.9	6. B3	16.6
	4	0	•	٦ ۲	15	5	5	ن ب	ŝ		` `	۲ 5	1.5	5	ف	9	1 6	2			90 (N)		•	ھ م	وب	و م	7 6	9	¢	vo	~	2	• •	5	3		0		9 . (1)	5	8	9 10
2	60-6	2-03	1	2-05	00-0	20-0	00-0	00-0	6-03	4-00	}	00-6	6-03	00-0			19-0	2-01	10-2	2-00	2-00	1		10-8	1-1-1	10-9	2-00	10-0				2-03	00-E	00-1	5-02		2-01	5-02	10-0	102	10-0	500
2	H-7	5-1		H-7	19-25	12-21	10-11	15-31	18-7	1 - J		12-2	ž	12-21			14-41	12-7	18-7	-	~	i		1 – J	1-2	1-1	-	P-Jr				F-7	~	ŕ	1-1		1	1-JR	-	1-J	<u>-1</u>	-
	111	11	5	56	10	990	187	102	100	dó			60	97	c	2	124 .	50	06	2	68	ŝ	5	200	13	561	10	593	0	0	0	5	111	50	005	2	149	109	E	ž	22	i15
2	4	Ť		ž	ř	Ä	2	m	~	m	•	ŝ	4	ň			Ŷ	1	2	ñ	ĕ	•	-	-	¥.	4	4	0				~	H	7	7		F	1	7	~	-	Ŧ
RATEU	34004	1004	LUUJ	10000	38004	54004	14004	39004	30004	40004		72504	20004	450JA	750UA	*070*	27044	24004	34004	38004	60004			20504	6000w	20004	16004	75004	39004	630 04	25004	F 0097	24204	20004	32004	40004	LUDON	24004	20004	100	59004	45004
괾	069	2	78.5		191	324	952	211	200	000		574	000	ייי	505	200	200	230	000	202	000	5		000	SUC	000	990	010	020	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	20	20	00	000
3	43691	-TOF	12441	711	260851	27948.	29003	isser.	25590	254841		2du48	25840.	24403.	30390.	31210	Perte	304.40.	33124	JILSU	335001		DCOTE		14341	37760	361001	34590	45840	47012	707001	30500	36700(5u310(526001	2+4001	35850	363601	460900	30160	425uul	NOTEF
1	4	Tn	20	50	, Ç	u L	20		*	5		2	5	197	fn	01	П	1	1	-	15			1		Ę	20	21	23	54	52	27	24	29	8	31	32	E	35	36	52	26
₹	U- 7.7.	コーイド	2.7.2	3	54-5	5-20	0-20	3 Y-5	34-5	- 72	i j	<u>5-75</u>	<u> 75</u>	5-75	3V-5	5V-3	31-5	2-13	2-15	5-75	51~5	2		2-75	5-2	SV-5	ŝ	5-7S	3V-5	5-15	37-5	ŝv-ĵ	s-vs	S-75	SV-5	2-75	S-15	3-75	572	5- <u>75</u>	31-25	5-73
																			_	_						_											_					

3.50

2

Table H3. Balloon Data Base (Contd)

. •

ومناخذ والمتحدثين وألا

فمسمعا فكالمقاد والملاك المستاحمين

π.

. •

.

A LOUIS COMPANY AND A REAL PROPERTY OF

Γ	ţ	•
	E LA	Ħ
	BLANT	2000
	5	7
		1.4
	PLES	24.0
	ALL C	1 51
ł	ES M	11 0
	g	-
	TAPES	200
	CKO	ŝ
ļ	ULČH	165.0
	AREA	100.00
	REF	
	APC12	
	ORLEA	11.38
		9 1
	FLT.W	10-02
	الأراسا ع	1423
	RATED	1004
	VULUAE	33858500
	HOUEL	\$K-534

. 2

2.