



• •

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

ç

AFOSR-TR- 8 3 - 0 3 00 1. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 1. MECIFIENT'S CATALOG HUMBER	ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side 11 necessary and identify by block number)	REPORT NUMBER	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	
THLE (and Subility) S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD C TITLE (and Subility) S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD C SCARF'S STATE REDUCTION METHOD, FLEXIBILITY, AND A. TECHNICAL A DIFFERENT DEMAND INVENTORY MODEL C. TECHNICAL AUTHOR() Bruce L. Miller Bruce L. Miller CONTRACT ON GRANT NUMBER PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Depart Of Engineering and Applied Science 11. REPORT DATE University of California 12. REPORT DATE Los Angeles CA 90024 13. NUMBER OF PAGES Controcture Orfice of Scientific Research 16 Bolling AFB DC 20332 16 I WONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// different from Controlling Office) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 15. DESTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shaftest entered in Block 20, 1/ different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES E XEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) E ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) E ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) E ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessar	A. TITLE (and Subliffe) A. TITLE (and Subliffe) S. CARF'S STATE REDUCTION METHOD, FLEXIBILITY, AND A. DIFFERENT DEMAND INVENTORY MODEL A. product of Department of Engineering and Applied Science University of California Los Angeles CA 90024 I. CONTOLING OFFICIENT AME AND ADDRESS Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate AIR Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 I. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Different Scr Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Co	AFOSR TR. SS. OSOO	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
TYPE (and Maddid) IVER (and Maddid) SCARP'S STATE REDUCTION METHOD, FLEXIBILITY, AND A DIFFERENT DEMAND INVENTORY MODEL Jepting	SCARF'S STATE REDUCTION METHOD, FLEXIBILITY, AND SCARF'S STATE REDUCTION METHOD, FLEXIBILITY, AND A DIFFERENT DEMAND INVENTORY MODEL Lependent of Engineering and Applied Science Department of Engineering and Applied Science Diversity of California Los Angeles CA 90024 Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 Scientific Research Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 Scientific Research Scientific Re	A COR-IN- 05-0500	ADAIL7483	
SCARE 'S STATE MEDIO INVENTORY MODEL Lipting and Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 30, if different from Report) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the hold	A BIFFERENT DEMAND INVENTORY MODEL Lapendent (A DIFFERENT DEMAND INVENTORY MODEL Lapendent (PERFORMED ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Engineering and Applied Science University of California Los Angeles CA 90024 Uncontrolling OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 I. CONTROLING OFFICE NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) B. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. I. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Bleck 20, If different from Report) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and id	TITLE (and Subtilie) Scade is state dedication method fi		3. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVI
Leptindint E. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION HAME AND ADDRESS Bruce L. Miller S. CONTRACT OR GRANT HUMBER AFOSR-62-0305 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION HAME AND ADDRESS DepartMent of Engineering and Applied Science University of California Los Angeles CA 90024 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS DepartMent Liggent And ADDRESS DepartMent of Fingineering and Applied Science University of California Los Angeles CA 90024 10. PREGRAM ELEGENT, PROJECT APR 83 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Distribution State Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate Air Force office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 11. REPORT DATE APR 83 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS/// different hom Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report UNCLASSIFIED 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. SCREDULE . DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) E . SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES E . KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) Feendent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing Commune. This model com be formation on variables are dependent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing	Augendant E. PERFORMUNG ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Engineering and Applied Science Diversity of California Los Angeles CA 90024 Controlling office name and address Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 Security and California Los Angeles CA 90024 Controlling AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 Security and the source of the security of a security of the security of the security of controlling Office) Security Security of the secure of the sec	A DIFFERENT DEMAND INVENTORY MODEL	EXIDICITI, AND	
AUTHOR(d) Bruce L. Miller PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS DeparDagent of Engineering and Applied Science University of California Los Angeles CA 90024 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Athematical & Information Sciences Directorate AIR FORCE Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 L BOHITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) L DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, II different from Report) L SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand random variables are dependent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing This and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing Commune. This mediate and compared and the form the top word in the state and the form form form the form form the smoothing ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, Shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand random variables are dependent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing	AUTHOR(2) Bruce L. Miller AFOSR- Bruce L. Miller AFOSR- Department of Engineering and Applied Science University of California Los Angeles CA 90024 Controlling office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 Supplement of the subtract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. SupplementARy NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model whe shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand rand dependent and average demands) dynamic program. By using developed by Scarf for a Bayesian inventory model. the au	Lependent	-	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMB
Bruce L. Miller AFOSR-82-0305 PERFORMATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Engineering and Applied Science University of California Los Angeles CA 90024 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate AFR 83 IS. NUMBER OF PAGES Bolling AFB DC 20332 I MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Differ) IS. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report UNCLASSIFIED IS. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) L SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand random variables are dependent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing Communic This area and and and the formation and the formation and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing	Bruce L. Miller AFOSR- PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROF. Department of Engineering and Applied Science PE6110 Los Angeles CA 90024 PE6110 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPC Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate AFR 8: Air Force Office of Scientific Research 13. NUMI Bolling AFB DC 20332 15. SECU 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECU 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECU 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECU 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECU 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECU 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	AUTHOR(s)	· · · · · · · ·	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(4)
PERFORMUNG ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT Department of Engineering and Applied Science 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT Los Angeles CA 90024 PE61102F; 2304/A5 . CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT DATE Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate 13. NUMBER OF PAGES Air Force Office of Scientific Research 13. NUMBER OF PAGES Bolling AFB DC 20332 16 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(// different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) ADDITION STATEMENT (of this Report) 19. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 19. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) . DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abattect entered in Block 20. If different from Report) PEELECTE . SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Schedule E . ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) E The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand random variables are dependent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing formula of the cost of the cost of the theory interest of the cost of	PERFORMUNG ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Engineering and Applied Science University of California Los Angeles CA 90024 Controlling office name and address Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 Los Angeles CA 90024 Los Angeles CA 90024 Distribution statement (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. Supplementary notes supplementary notes supplementary notes KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model who shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand rand dependent and average demand is described by an exponent: formula. This model can be formulated as a two state van level, weighted past demands) dynamic program. By using developed by Scarf for a Bayesian inventory model. the average and incention and control of the above and by using developed by Scarf for a Bayesian inventory model. the average and ordering costs are linear.	Bruce L. Miller		AFOSR-82-0305
PERFORMUNG ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PPOGRAM LEBENT, PROJECT Department of Engineering and Applied Science 10. PPOGRAM LEBENT, PROJECT Los Angeles CA 90024 12. REPORT DATE controclung office NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT DATE Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate 13. NUMBER OF PAGES Mathematical & Control Contreleter Contend Control Control Control Control Control	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Engineering and Applied Science University of California Los Angeles CA 90024 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Action of Engineering and Applied Science Distribution of Control of Sciences Directorate APR 8: ADDRESS(# 400 ADDRESS Is Numi Bolling AFB DC 20332 If 16 APR 9: Is Numi Bolling AFB DC 20332 If 16 Is SECU UNCLAS Is DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model who shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand randed dependent and average demand is described by an exponent: formula. This model can be formulated as a two state van level, weighted past demands) dynamic program. By using developed by Scarf for a Bayesian inventory model. the and			
Department of Engineering and Applied Science University of California Los Angeles CA 90024 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 E MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different them Controlling Diffice) E MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different them Controlling Diffice) E DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. E DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) E SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand random variables are dependent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing (form) and the form the form reventes in the form the transmitter of the described by an exponential smoothing (form) and the form the form reventes if the form the form form form form form form form form	Department of Engineering and Applied Science PE6110 University of California PE6110 Los Angeles CA 90024 PE6110 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPK Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate APR 8: Air Force Office of Scientific Research 13. Numi Bolling AFB DC 20332 16 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECU 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECU 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECU 4. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, II different from Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, II different from Report) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number) 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, T AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Los Angeles CA 90024 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent in Controlling Office) UNCLASSIFIED Is SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) Supplementary Notes KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand random variables are dependent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing (formed for the processory and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, Shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand random variables are	Los Angeles CA 90024 ControlLing OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate AIr Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 16 UNCLAS	Department of Engineering and Appl University of California	led Science	PE61102F: 2304/45
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate AFR 83 Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand random variables are dependent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing	 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematical & Information Sciences Directorate Air Force Office of Scientific Research MUMI Bolling AFB DC 20332 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(<i>il different iron Controlling Office</i>) SECU UNCLAS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model whe shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand rando dependent and average demand is described by an exponent: formula. This model can be formulated as a two state van level, weighted past demands) dynamic program. By using developed by Scarf for a Bayesian inventory model. the aution 	Los Angeles CA 90024		
MATHEMATICAL & INFORMATION SCIENCES Directorate Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Matnematical & Information Sciences Directorate APR 8: Air Force Office of Scientific Research 15. NUMM Bolling AFB DC 20332 16 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECU UNCLA: 15. SECU B. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Nume a control of the second in Block 20, 11 different from Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electric entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) A. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electric entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	- Diana in internet	12. REPORT DATE
HONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillorent from Controlling Office) 16 17 16 18 16 19 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10 19 10	Bolling AFB DC 20332 16 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Ollice) 15. SECU 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Ollice) 15. SECU 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Ollice) 15. SECU 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Ollice) 15. SECU 4. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) ADSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES . 6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES . 7. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) 7. The author considers a finite horizon inventory model who shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand rando dependent and average demand is described by an exponent: formula. This model can be formulated as a two state val level, weighted past demands) dynamic program. By using developed by Scarf for a Bayesian inventory model. the author wodel. the author wodel. The author wodel is described by an exponent:	Matnematical & Information Science Air Force Office of Scientific Res	s Directorate earch	APR 83
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) IS. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED IS. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGR SCHEDULE DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) Reprint a statement considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand random variables are dependent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing	MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office) 15. SECU UNCLA: 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) The supervise entered in Block 20, If different from Report) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model who shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand rand(dependent and average demand is described by an exponent: formula. This model can be formulated as a two state vallevel, weighted past demands) dynamic program. By using developed by Scarf for a Bayesian inventory model. the author inventory model. the author inventory model is described by an exponent:	Bolling AFB DC 20332		16
UNCLASSIFIED Is. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGR Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) USTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) USTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) USTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) USTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) USTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) USTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) USTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) USTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) L SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand random variables are dependent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing	UNCLA: Iss. DESTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model whe shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand randed dependent and average demand is described by an exponent: formula. This model can be formulated as a two state val level, weighted past demands) dynamic program. By using developed by Scarf for a Bayesian inventory model. the as	MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent	from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
IS. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGR IS. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGR Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) USTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) EXAMPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand random variables are dependent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			UNCLASSIFIED
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand random variables are dependent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model who shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand rando dependent and average demand is described by an exponent; formula. This model can be formulated as a two state van level, weighted past demands) dynamic program. By using developed by Scarf for a Bayesian inventory model. the ar			15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRAD
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand random variables are dependent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing	ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide II necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model who shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand rande dependent and average demand is described by an exponent: formula. This model can be formulated as a two state van level, weighted past demands) dynamic program. By using developed by Scarf for a Bavesian inventory model. the an	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered i	n Block 20, if different fro	an Report)
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand random variables are dependent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing	ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block number) The author considers a finite horizon inventory model who shortage and ordering costs are linear. The demand rando dependent and average demand is described by an exponent: formula. This model can be formulated as a two state van level, weighted past demands) dynamic program. By using developed by Scarf for a Bavesian inventory model. the an	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered is	n Block 20, if different fr	an Report)
level, weighted past demands) dynamic program. By using a procedure first developed by Scarf for a Bayesian inventory model, the author is able to reformulate the model as a one state variable dynamic program. This, of course, results in a considerable computational saving over the two (CONT	reformulate the model as a one state variable dynamic pro course, results in a considerable computational saving or	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered i SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side II necessary and	n Block 20, if different fro identify by block number	BAR Report)
N FORM 1499	UNC	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered i SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side II necessary and The author considers a finite hori shortage and ordering costs are li dependent and average demand is de formula. This model can be formul level, weighted past demands) dyna developed by Scarf for a Bayesian reformulate the model as a one sta course, results in a considerable	Identify by block number Identify by block number Zon inventory minear. The deman scribed by an end ated as a two s mic program. By inventory model te variable dyn computational s	an Report)

i

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered) ITEM #20, CONTINUED state variable formulation. The author also shows that this dependent demand model orders less than or equal the amount that a comparable independent demand model orders. This result is established under the assumption that all demand is returned by the beginning of the next period. Accession For NTIS GRALI DTIC TAB П Unanneunced 0 Justification By_ Distribution/ Availability Codes O,FIQ Avail and/or Dist Special UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PASE (Then Date Entered)

AFOSR-TR- 83-0300

SCARF'S STATE REDUCTION METHOD, FLEXIBILITY, AND A DEPENDENT DEMAND INVENTORY MODEL

by

Bruce L. Miller

April, 1983

I thank Eduardo Subelman and Katy Azoury for helpful discussions. This research was partially supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under AFOSR-82-0305.

88 04 28 065

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

ABSTRACT

We consider a finite horizon inventory model where the holding, shortage, and ordering costs are linear. The demand random variables are dependent and average demand is described by an exponential smoothing formula. This model can be formulated as a two state variable (inventory level, weighted past demands) dynamic program. By using a procedure first developed by Scarf for a Bayesian inventory model, we are able to reformulate the model as a one state variable dynamic program. This, of course, results in a considerable computational saving over the two state variable formulation. We also show that this dependent demand model orders less than or equal the amount that a comparable independent demand model orders. This result is established under the assumption that all demand is returned by the beginning of the next period.

> AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC) NOTICE OF TRANCHITTAL TO DTIC This technic line on the been reviewed and is approved for which the been reviewed and is distribution of the been so IAV AFR 190-12. Distribution of the been set of the been set of the MATTHEW J. KERITER Chief, Technical Information Division

i

¢

はいたいで、「ころうち」とない

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of inventory theory is often divided into three parts: deterministic models, stochastic models, and forecasting (Sections 12.3, 12.4, and 12.5 respectively of Hillier and Lieberman [1980]). The stochastic models generally assume that the demand distributions of inventory in the different periods are independent. However, this independence assumption is at odds with the demand forecasting methods which are consistent only with a dependent hypothesis. This suggests that the assumption that inventory demands in different periods are independent is often unrealistic, and that more attention should be given to the dependent demand case. The computational approach to the dependent demand problem is evident from dynamic programming concepts, and consists of adding a second state variable pertaining to demand (the first being the inventory level) in the equation of optimality. This greatly increases the computational burden and helps explain why the dependent demand case had not received more attention.

The assumption of dependent inventory demands has been made in the recent models of Blinder [1982], Pindyck [1982], and Harpaz, Lee, and Winkler [1982]. Each paper observed that earlier related papers made the assumption of demand independence.

Blinder [1982, p. 337] writes:

"Each of the papers referred to above assumes that demand shocks are independently and identically distributed. This assumption, while it simplifies things greatly, is quite unsatifactory. We know, for example, that disturbances at the macro level are highly serially correlated, and it would be surprising indeed if this serial correlation disappeared when we disaggregated to the industry or firm levels."

Blinder assumed an autoregressive demand process of order 1. Pindyck represented demand as a multiplicative function of Brownian motion, and Harpaz, Lee, and Winkler used a Bayesian demand model. Those papers were concerned with the economic properties of their models, while we emphasize the computational aspects as well as qualitative properties of the inventory problem.

This paper will assume that the expected value of demand is given by an exponential smoothing formula, and that uncertainty is multiplicative. Two earlier efforts at combining a dependent demand model and the computation of inventory ordering policies are Packer [1967] and Johnson and Thompson [1975]. Packer considers an exponential smoothing forecasting model. In that paper several easy to compute policies are compared by simulation. Johnson and Thompson [1975] consider a Box Jenkins forecasting model. They obtain conditions on the demand parameters and cost structure such that a myopic policy is optimal. Their approach uses the results of Veinott [1965], and consists of showing that beginning inventory at each period cannot be larger than the desired (myopic) level in that period. When it applies, this result is very powerful computationally and cannot be improved upon. A two state dynamic program has been reduced to a static model (a "zero state" dynamic program).

A main result of this paper (Theorem 1) shows how a two state variable dynamic program can be reduced to one state variable under our demand and cost structure. Thus a dependent demand case becomes no more difficult to solve than the independent demand case. To do this we use the method of Scarf [1960a], who was able to reduce a two state variable Bayesian inventory model to one state variable when demand is gamma. Azoury [1979] has extended Scarf's Bayesian inventory result to the cases where demand is uniform and Weibull.

In the last section we consider the concept of flexibility. Following Marshak and Nelson [1962] we say that an action a is more flexible than action

b if the next period's set of feasible actions is larger when action a is used. In Theorem 2 it is shown that our dependent demand model results in more flexible actions than a comparable independent demand model. This result is established for the case where all demand is returned by the beginning of the next period.

II. THE MODEL

As in Scarf [1960a] we assume a linear ordering cost, a linear shortage cost and a linear holding cost. These are standard assumptions the most restrictive being the linear ordering cost.

Our formulation of inventory behavior follows Cohen, Pierskalla, and Nahmias [1980]. We allow a fixed fraction of stock, 1- β , held at the end of each review period to decay. We also allow a fixed fraction of demand, 1- α , to be returned by the beginning of the next period. This fraction could represent the proportion of demands that are repairable in a repairable inventory model where demand represents past failures (see the example Sherbrooke [1968] or Miller [1974]). In military applications the times between orders are typically longer than the repair times so the assumption that repaired items have returned by the next period is realistic. Cohen, Pierskalla, and Nahmias [1980] are more general and permits a fixed fraction of demand to be returned after a delay of $\gamma \geq 1$ periods. They allow no backlogging while this model permits any fraction δ of backlogging.

Let x_i represent the inventory level at the beginning of period i, y_i represent the inventory level in period i immediately after ordering $(y_i - x_i) \ge 0$ units, and x_i represent the quantity demanded during period i. According to the assumptions of the previous paragraph, for i=1,...,n,

$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \beta(\mathbf{y}_i - \alpha \mathbf{z}_i) \quad \text{if} \quad \mathbf{y}_i - \alpha \mathbf{z}_i \ge 0$$

$$= \delta(\mathbf{y}_i - \alpha \mathbf{z}_i) \quad \text{if} \quad \mathbf{y}_i - \alpha \mathbf{z}_i < 0$$
 (1)

Our formulation of inventory demand is influenced by Brown [1959]. Let Δ_i , i=1,...,n, be the "average demand factor" in period i. The Δ_i evolve according to the following exponential smoothing formula

$$\Delta_{1} = \mu, \text{ and}$$

$$\Delta_{i} = (1 - e_{i-1}) \Delta_{i-1} + e_{i-1} z_{i-1} \quad 2 \le i \le n.$$
 (2)

In (2) μ is an a priori estimate of average demand in period 1, e_i , $0 \le e_i \le 1$, is a smoothing constant, and z_i , as previously defined, is the quantity demanded in period i. Let Z_i represent the demand random variable in period i. On p. 94 of [1959] Brown states, "You will be very likely to find that the standard deviation of demand is nearly proportional to the total annual usage, or to the average monthly usage." A formulation of demand consistent with this observation is

$$Z_{i} = \Delta_{i}A_{i} \qquad 1 \leq i \leq n \qquad (3)$$

where the A_i are independent nonnegative random variables. Often $E[A_i] = 1$ so that $E[Z_i] = \Delta_i$, but if demand is expected to be increasing or decreasing then $E[A_i] > 1$ or $E[A_i] < 1$. We let F_i be the distribution function of A_i and $G_i(\cdot | \Delta_i)$ be the distribution function of Z_i .

Clearly $\Delta_{i} \geq 0$ and hence $Z_{i} \geq 0$. When uncertainty is additive instead of multiplicative restrictions must be placed on the parameters to ensure that demand cannot become negative (see Johnson and Thompson [1975,p.1306]). If the e_{i} are all zero then we have the standard model where the Z_{i} are independent, while if the e_{i} are all one then by induction $Z_{j} = \mu A_{1} \dots A_{j}$ and $\ln Z_{j}$ is a random walk when the A_{i} are identically distributed. In this case we have a discrete time version of the lognormal demand hypothesis in Pindyck [1982,footnote 3]. Brown devotes Appendix C in [1959] to the lognormal distribution and its applicability to inventory demand.

Let c₁, h₁, and p₁ represent the linear ordering, holding, and shortage costs in period i respectively. The value of p_i will usually depend on the assumptions made about ô. Allowing the costs to vary with the period permits us to incorporate any desired discounting or inflation factor into the model. The expected holding and shortage cost is given by the familiar formula

$$L_{i}(y|\Delta) = h_{i} \int_{0}^{y} (y-s) dG_{i}(s|\Delta) + P_{i} \int_{y}^{\infty} (s-y) dG_{i}(s|\Delta) \quad y \ge 0$$

$$= P_{i} \int_{0}^{\infty} (s-y) dG_{i}(s|\Delta) \quad y < 0.$$
(4)

Let $V^{O}(\cdot)$ be the piecewise linear salvage value of inventory after period We assume that n.

> $V^{0}(x) = k_{1}x \quad x \geq 0$ (5) $= k_2 x x < 0$

where

 $k_2 \ge k_1 > 0.$

The equations (1-5) enable us to write the dynamic programming equation of optimality

$$V_{i}(x, \Delta) = \min_{\substack{y \ge x \\ y \neq x}} \left[c_{i}(y-x) + L_{i}(y|\Delta) + \int_{0}^{y/\alpha} V_{i+1}(\beta(y-\alpha s), (1-e_{i})\Delta + e_{i}s) dG_{i}(s|\Delta) + \int_{0}^{\infty} V_{i+1}(\delta(y-\alpha s), (1-e_{i})\Delta + e_{i}s) dG_{i}(s|\Delta) \right]$$

with
$$V_{n+1}(x,\Delta) = V^{0}(x)$$
.

If $\ddot{\alpha} = 0$ then $y/\alpha = \infty$ and the second integral disappears. The interpretation of $V_i(x,\Delta)$ is the expected cost over periods i,...,n+1, using an optimal policy with an inventory level x and an average demand factor Δ .

III. COMPUTING AN OPTIMAL POLICY

In this section we will show that our model can be solved as a one state

variable dynamic program. This will be accomplished by applying the method Scarf [1960a] used to reduce a two state variable Bayesian inventory model to one state variable. This, of course, represents a considerable computational saving.

The idea of the proof can be gathered from the following simple lemma. Lemma 1. $L_{i}(y|\Delta) = \Delta \mathscr{L}_{i}(y|\Delta)$ where

$$\mathcal{L}_{i}(y) = h_{i} \int_{0}^{y} (y-t) dF_{i}(t) + p_{i} \int_{y}^{\infty} (t-y) dF_{i}(t) \quad y \ge 0$$
$$= P_{i} \int_{0}^{\infty} (t-y) dF_{i}(t) \quad y < 0.$$

<u>Proof</u>. We consider only the case $y \ge 0$ as the other case is easier. By (3) and (4),

$$L_{i}(y|\Delta) = h_{i} \int_{0}^{y/\Delta} (y-\Delta t) dF_{i}(t) + p_{i} \int_{y/\Delta}^{\infty} (\Delta t-y) dF_{i}(t)$$

= $h_{i}\Delta \int_{0}^{y/\Delta} (y/\Delta - t) dF_{i}(t) + p_{i}\Delta \int_{y/\Delta}^{\infty} (t-y/\Delta) dF_{i}(t)$
= $\Delta \mathscr{L}_{i}(y/\Delta)$. Q.E.D.

<u>Theorem 1</u>. For i=1,...,n, $V_i(x, \Delta) = \Delta W_i(x/\Delta)$ where $W_i(\cdot)$ is defined by

$$W_{i}(x) = \min_{\substack{y \ge x \\ y/\alpha}} \left[c_{i}(y-x) + \mathscr{L}_{i}(y) + \int_{0}^{y/\alpha} (1-e_{i}+e_{i}t) W_{i+1} \left(\frac{\beta(y-\alpha t)}{1-e_{i}+e_{i}t} \right) dF_{i}(t) + \int_{0}^{\infty} (1-e_{i}+e_{i}t) W_{i+1} \left(\frac{\delta(y-\alpha t)}{1-e_{i}+e_{i}t} \right) dF_{i}(t) \right]$$

with

$$V_{m+1}(x) = V^{0}(x).$$
 (7)

<u>Proof.</u> By (5) it \neg leaves and Theorem 1 holds for i=n+1. We assume it holds for i+1 and shows that it holds for i. Using Lemma 1, the induction hypothesis, and (3), (6) can be written as

$$V_{i}(x,\Delta) = \min_{\substack{y \ge x \\ y \ge x}} \left[c_{i}(y-x) + \Delta \mathscr{L}_{i}(y/\Delta) + \int_{0}^{y/\Delta\alpha} \Delta(1-e_{i}+e_{i}t) W_{i+1}\left(\frac{\beta(y-\alpha\Delta t)}{\Delta(1-e_{i}+e_{i}t)}\right) dF_{i}(t) \right]$$

$$+\int_{y/\Delta\alpha}^{\infty} \Delta(1-e_{i}+e_{i}t) W_{i+1}\left(\frac{\delta(y-\alpha\Delta t)}{\Delta(1-e_{i}+e_{i}t)}\right) dF_{i}(t)$$

In the previous equation we also use $\Delta_{i+1} = (1-e_i) \Delta_i + e_i z_i = (1-e_i) \Delta_i + e_i \Delta_i a_i$ where a_i is the realization of A_i .

By factoring out Δ ,

$$V_{i}(x,\Delta) = \Delta \min_{\substack{y \geq x \\ y \geq x}} \left[c_{i}(y/\Delta - x/\Delta) + \mathscr{L}_{i}(y/\Delta) + \int_{0}^{y/\Delta\alpha} (1 - e_{i} + e_{i}t) W_{i+1} \left(\frac{\beta(y/\Delta - \alpha t)}{1 - e_{i} + e_{i}t} \right) dF_{i}(t) + \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 - e_{i} + e_{i}t) W_{i+1} \left(\frac{\delta(y/\Delta - \alpha t)}{1 - e_{i} + e_{i}t} \right) dF_{i}(t) \right].$$

Let
$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{y}/\Delta$$
 and $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{x}/\Delta$. Then $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x},\Delta) = \Delta \min_{\substack{\mathbf{r} \geq \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{r} \geq \mathbf{q}}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{q}) + \mathscr{L}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{r}) + \\ \mathbf{r} \leq \mathbf{q} \end{bmatrix}$
$$\int_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{r}/\alpha} (\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}+\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}t) \mathbb{W}_{\mathbf{i}+1} \left(\frac{\beta(\mathbf{r}-\alpha t)}{\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}+\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}t}\right) d\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{i}}(t) + \\ \int_{\mathbf{r}/\alpha}^{\infty} (\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}+\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}t) \mathbb{W}_{\mathbf{i}+1} \left(\frac{\delta(\mathbf{r}-\alpha t)}{\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}+\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}t}\right) d\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{i}}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \Delta \mathbb{W}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{q}). \quad \mathbf{Q}.\mathbf{E}.\mathbf{D}.$$

It is well known that the solution to an inventory equation such as (7) is not difficult and consists of solving successively for the critical levels S_i , where S_i is the value of y which minimizes the term in brackets in (7) (with x fixed at some very low level). Once these levels have been computed the optimal ordering policy in period i is

order
$$S_i \Delta_i - x_i$$
 if $x_i < S_i \Delta_i$
order nothing if $x_i \ge S_i \Delta_i$.

On page 592 of [1960a] Scarf states but does not give a proof that his state reduction procedure also applies to the case of a fixed lead time of λ periods from the time of order to receipt of the goods. We now outline the argument which shows that our model also can solve the lead time case with one state variable. In what follows β and δ are both one.

The arguments which result in a reduction from λ state variables to one state variable in the lead time case with independent demands can be found in several places including page 201 of Scarf [1960b]. The state variable is u_i , the stock level in period i plus the amounts already ordered but not yet delivered. The relevant demand is the sum of demands in periods i, i+1,...,i+ λ .

For our model with dependent demands and a fixed lead time of λ periods, it appears that two state variables may be needed in the equation of optimality, u_i and Δ_i . However, we can carry out the same reduction as before to one state variable, u_i/Δ_i , if $Z_i + \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} Z_{i+j}$ can be written as Δ_i times some random variable. This is the case, as can be shown by an elementary but somewhat tedious demonstration. For example for $\lambda = 1$, $Z_i + Z_{i+1} = \Delta_i A_i + \Delta_{i+1} A_{i+1} = \Delta_i A_i +$ $\left[(1-e_i) \Delta_i + e_i \Delta_i A_i\right] A_{i+1} = \Delta_i (A_i + (1-e_i) A_{i+1} + e_i A_i A_{i+1})$. This last calculation suggests that the lead time model with dependent depends, although reducible to one state variable, could be computationally formidable.

IV. THE FLEXIBILITY OF AN OPTIMAL POLICY

The optimal policy of our dependent demand model when compared to the optimal policy of the standard inventory model with independent demands has an interesting property. In order to anticipate and interpret this property let us consider sequential decision models in general and quote from Marshak and Nelson [1962, p. 42].

"Many decision problems are characterized by the following

structure:

- (a) The payoff to the decision maker is a function of a sequence of actions taken by him, at times t₁,t₂,...,t_n,..., and a sequence of states of the world beyond his control.
- (b) At any point in the decision sequence, the decision maker has less then perfect information about what the future states of the world will be.
- (c) Although before time t_n the decision maker is uncertain as to what the world will be like at time t_n , he is less uncertain at times closer to t_n than he was at times farther away. The decision maker acquires additional information he learns about future states of the world as time goes by."

When we recall Hillier and Lieberman's partition of inventory theory, mentioned in the opening sentence of this paper, we see that the deterministic inventory models satisfy Marshak and Nelson's (a) only, the stochastic inventory models satisfy (a) and (b) only, while the model of this paper with its demand process given by (2) and (3) has all three characteristics (a), (b), and (c).

Marshak and Nelson (1962) point out that while many decision problems have characteristics (a), (b), and (c), they are usually not easy to solve and heuristics are often used. Marshak and Nelson suggest that flexibility is a desirable property of a policy, and they give three definitions of flexible actions. We will use their first definition which says that an action a is more flexible than an action b if the set of feasible actions in the next period when using action a is larger than the set of feasible actions in the next period when using action b. In our inventory problem the feasible region in

(6) and (7) is $y \ge x$, so that a lower value of inventory ordered is a more flexible decision than a higher value of inventory ordered. The feasible region in the next period will be larger if less inventory is ordered this period. Marshak and Nelson (1962) show in 3 2-period examples how flexibility becomes more important the more sequential decision models have characteristic (b) and especially (c).

Henry [1974] considered the idea of flexibility or more precisely its opposite, an "irreversible decision." He showed (Proposition 1) that if a model is simplified by replacing all random variables by their means, then the simplified model will more readily choose an inflexible "irreversible decision" than the original model would. Operationally the point that Henry and Marshak and Nelson are making is that there is a danger that heuristics and simplifications in sequential decision models will bias us toward the more inflexible decision. Another notable paper on flexibility is by Kreps [1979] who shows in a general setting the equivalence of the desire for flexibility and future uncertainty. Merkhofer [1977] looks at the converse issue, how greater flexibility in decision making increases the desire for better information.

Our objective in this section will be to compare the optimal policy of the inventory model which we have considered and will now call the Dependent Demand Model with the optimal policy of a comparable Standard Model where demand is independent each period. The previous work on flexibility just cited suggests that the Dependent Demand Model which satisfies Marshak and Nelson's (c) will order less inventory (be more flexible) than the Standard Model which does not satisfy (c). We will prove this result for the case where $1-\alpha$, the fixed function of demand returned at the beginning of the next period is 1. We now turn to formulating the comparable Standard Model.

The Standard Model will, of course, have the same cost structure as the Dependent Demand Model. The independent random variables representing demand

will be called B_i , i=1,...,n, and have the same distribution as the unconditional distribution of the Z_i . Thus the distribution function of B_i equals $E_{\Delta_i}(G_i(\cdot | \Delta_i)) \triangleq G_i(\cdot)$. The expected holding and shortage cost each period for the Standard Model is

 $h_{i} \int_{0}^{y} (y-s) dG_{i}(s) + p_{i} \int_{y}^{\infty} (s-y) dG_{i}(s) \quad y \ge 0$

which equals

$$E_{\Delta_{i}}\left(h_{i}\int_{0}^{y}(y-s) dC_{i}(s|\Delta_{i}) + P_{i}\int_{y}^{\infty}(s-y) dC(s|\Delta_{i})\right)$$
$$= E_{\Delta_{i}}(L_{i}(y|\Delta_{i})) \triangleq L_{i}(y).$$
(8)

This equation also holds for y < 0.

Let $U_i(x)$ be the expected cost over periods i,...,n+1, for the Standard Model using an optimal policy with inventory level x. Recall that α is assumed to equal 0. The equation of optimality for U_i is

$$U_{i}(x) = \min_{\substack{y \ge x \\ y \ge x}} \left[c_{i}(y-x) + L_{i}(y) + \int_{0}^{\infty} U_{i+1}(\beta y) \, dG_{i}(s) \right]$$

$$= \min_{\substack{y \ge x \\ y \ge x}} \left[c_{i}(y-x) + L_{i}(y) + U_{i+1}(\beta y) \right]$$
(9)

with

$$U_{n\pm 1}(\mathbf{x}) = V^{0}(\mathbf{x})$$

Since the cost structure and the optimal return functions U_i and W_i are convex, (see, for example, Scarf (1960b)) the following results on convexity in Rockafeller [1970, Theorems 23.1, 24.1, 24.2, Corollary 24.2.1] will be useful.

Let $f = X \rightarrow R$ be convex where X is a convex subset of R.

Then

(a) the right-hand and left-hand derivatives of f, f_{+}^{\dagger} and f_{-}^{\prime} , exist everywhere on the interior of X, are hondecreasing, and satisfy $f_{+}^{\dagger} \ge f_{-}^{\prime}$. (b) for any $a, b, \in X$

$$f(b) - f(a) = \int_{a}^{b} f_{+}^{\dagger}(s) ds = \int_{a}^{b} f_{-}^{\dagger}(s) ds.$$

Let us assume that the minimum of f:X+R exists. It is clear from (a) and (b) that f achieves a minimum at the point

$$\mathbf{x}^{\dagger} = \sup\{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{f}_{\perp}^{\dagger} \le 0\}$$
(10)

In what follows it is understood that if the derivative of a convex function does not exist then we mean the right hand derivative. Thus in effect our derivatives are really right hand derivatives which exist everywhere on the interior of X. This use of right hand derivatives causes no problems since our optimality condition (10) is in terms of right hand derivatives.

Let

$$J_{i}^{u}(y) = L_{i}(y) + U_{i+1}(\beta y), \text{ and}$$

$$J_{i}^{w}(y) = \mathscr{L}_{i}(y) + \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 - e_{i} + e_{i}t) W_{i+1} \left(\frac{\beta y}{1 - e_{i} + e_{i}t}\right) dF_{i}(t)$$

where the $J_i(y)$ represent the expected value of costs over periods i, i+1,...,n, after ordering. Let S'_i be the value of y which minimize $c_i(y) + J^u_i(y)$. The minimum of this convex function is known to exist so that we can apply (10), and

$$S'_{1} = \sup \left\{ y: \frac{dJ'_{1}(y)}{dy} \leq -c_{1} \right\}.$$

The optimal policy in period i for the Standard Model is the S'-policy

order $S_i' - x_i$ if $x_i < S_i'$ order nothing if $x_i \ge S_i'$.

Lemma 2 and Corollary 1 to follow are at most small deviations from known results in inventory theory.

Lemma 2.
$$U'_{1}(x) = \max\{-c_{1}, \frac{d}{dx} J^{u}_{1}(x)\}.$$

 $W'_{1}(x) = \max\{-c_{1}, \frac{d}{dx} J^{w}_{1}(x)\}.$

<u>Proof</u>. We will give the proof for the first equation only, as both equations are proved in the same way. By the optimality of an S'-policy just mentioned,

$$U_{i}^{t}(x) = -c_{i} \qquad \text{if} \qquad x_{i} \leq S_{1}^{t}$$
$$= \frac{d}{dx} J_{i}^{u}(x) \qquad \text{if} \qquad x_{i} > S_{1}^{t}.$$

Thus all that is needed to conclude the proof is that $\frac{d}{dx} J_{i}^{u}(x) \leq -c_{i}$ for $x \leq S_{i}^{t}$ and $\frac{d}{dx} J_{i}^{u}(x) \geq -c_{i}$ for $x > S_{i}^{t}$. This follows from the definition of S_{i}^{t} and the fact that $\frac{d}{dx} J_{i}^{u}(x)$ in increasing. Q.E.D.

<u>Corollary 1</u>. $S'_i = \sup\{x: U'_i(x) \le -c_i\}$ and $S_i = \sup\{x: W'_i(x) \le -c_i\}$ where S_i is the critical level described after Theorem 1.

At this point we will assume that $\Delta_i > 0$ with probability one for i=1,..., n+1. Two sufficient conditions for this to hold are that all the $e_i < 1$, or that all the $A_i > 0$ with probability one.

Lemma 3. For i=1,...,n, and all x,

$$\mathbf{E}_{\Delta_{\mathbf{i}}}[\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}}'(\mathbf{x}/\Delta_{\mathbf{i}})] \geq \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{i}}'(\mathbf{x}).$$

<u>Proof.</u> The result holds for i=n+1 by (5) and the assumption that $\Delta_{n+1} > 0$. We assume that it holds for i+1 and shows that it holds for i. By Lemma 2, $E_{\Delta_{i}}[W_{i}(x/\Delta_{i})] = E_{\Delta_{i}} \max \left\{ -c_{i}, \frac{d}{dx} J_{i}^{W}(x/\Delta_{i}) \right\}$. Since T(x) $\Delta \max\{-c_{i}, x\}$ is a convex function, Jensen's inequality implies that $E_{\Delta_{i}} \max \left\{ -c_{i}, \frac{d}{dx} J_{i}^{W}(x/\Delta_{i}) \right\} \geq \max \left\{ -c_{i}, E_{\Delta_{i}} \left[\mathcal{L}_{i}^{*}(x/\Delta_{i}) + \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta W_{i+1}^{*}\left(\frac{\beta x}{\Delta_{i}(1-e_{i}+e_{i}t)} \right) \right] dF_{i}(t) \right\}$. By Lemma 1 and (8), $E_{\Delta_{i}}(\mathcal{L}_{i}^{*}(x/\Delta_{i})) = L_{i}^{*}(x)$, and by the induction hypothesis $E_{\Delta_{i+1}} \left(W_{i+1}^{*}\left(\frac{\beta x}{\Delta_{i+1}} \right) \right) \geq U_{i+1}^{*}(\beta x)$. Therefore $E_{\Delta_{i}}(W_{i}^{*}(x/\Delta_{i}))$ is greater than or equal to $\left\{ -c_{i}, L_{i}^{*}(x) + \beta U_{i+1}^{*}(\beta x) \right\} = \max \left\{ -c_{i}, \frac{d}{dx} J_{i}^{u}(x) \right\} = U_{i}^{*}(x)$.

Lemma 4. Let f and g be two nondecreasing functions on R into R and K be a positive constant. Let $x_f = \sup\{x:f(x) \le c\}$, and $x_g = \sup\{x:g(x) \le c\}$ be finite. If $g(x/K) \ge f(x)$, for all x, then $x_f \ge x_g K$.

<u>Proof.</u> Assume the contrary that $x \underset{g}{K} > x_{f}$, so that there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $x \underset{g}{K} > x_{f} + \varepsilon$. We have $f(x_{f} + \varepsilon) > c$ and $c \ge g(x_{g}) \ge g\left(\frac{x_{f} + \varepsilon}{K}\right)$. Those two inequalities contradict $g\left(\frac{x_{f} + \varepsilon}{K}\right) \ge f(x_{f} + \varepsilon)$. Q.E.D.

<u>Theorem 2</u>. Recall that $\alpha=0$. The critical level for the Dependent Demand Model in period 1, $S_1 \Delta_1 = S_1 \mu$, is less than or equal to the critical level for the Standard Model in period 1, S_1' . Therefore, the Dependent Demand Model results in a decision at least as flexible as the Standard Model.

<u>Proof</u>. By Corollary 1 $S_1^1 = \sup\{x: U_1'(x) \le -c_1\}$ and $S_1 = \sup\{x: W_1'(x) \le -c_1\}$. By Lemma 3 $W_1'(x/\mu) \ge U_1'(x)$. Now apply Lemma 4 to include that $S_1\mu \le S_1'$. Q.E.D.

Theorem 2 applies to period 1. Once we get to period 2 the theorem can be reapplied to a reformulation of the Standard Model. In this reformation period 2 is interpreted as period 1, period 3 becomes period 2, etc.

Theorem 2 was established under the assumption that $\alpha=0$. The concept of flexibility suggests that Theorem 2 is also true for $\alpha>0$. However, an example in Azoury [1979] for a Bayesian inventory model suggests that the result does not hold for $\alpha>0$. Thus a conjecture for the $\alpha>0$ case appears ill-advised.

REFERENCES

Azoury, K., 1979. "Bayesian Policies for Dynamic Inventory Models," Ph.D. Dissertation, School of Engineering and Applied Science, U.C.L.A.

Blinder, A., 1982. "Inventories and Sticky Prices: More on the Microfoundations of Macroeconomics," American Economic Review, 72, 334-348.

Brown, R. G., 1959. <u>Statistical Forecasting for Inventory Control</u>, McGraw-Hill, New York.

Cohen, M., W. Pierskalla, and S. Nahmias, 1980. "A Dynamic Inventory System with Recycling," <u>Naval Logistics Research Quarterly</u>, 27, 289-296.

Harpaz, G., W. Y. Lee, and R. L. Winkler, 1982. "Optimal Output Decisions of a Competitive Firm," <u>Management Science</u>, 28, 589-602

Henry, C., 1974. "Investment Decisions Under Uncertainty: The Irreversibility Effect," American Economic Review, 64, 1006-1012.

Hillier, F. and G. Lieberman, 1980. Introduction to Operations Research, 3rd Edition, Holden-Day, San Francisco.

Johnson, G. and H. Thompson, 1975. "Optimality of Myopic Inventory Policies for Certain Dependent Demand Processes," Management Science, 21, 1303-1307.

Kreps, D., 1979. "A Representation Theorem for Preference for Flexibility," Econometrica, 47, 565-577.

Marshak, T. and R. Nelson, 1962. "Flexibility, Uncertainty, and Economic Theory," Metroeconomica, 14, 42-58.

Merkhofer, M., 1977. "The Value of Information Given Decision Flexibility," Management Science, 23, 716-727.

Miller, B., 1974. "Dispatching Firm Depot Repair in a Recoverable Item Inventory System: On the Optimality of a Heuristic Rule," <u>Management Science</u>, 21, 316-325.

Packer, A., 1967. "Simulation and Adaptive Forecasting as Applied to Inventory Control," <u>Operations Research</u>, 15, 660-679.

Pindyck, R., 1982. "Adjustment Costs, Uncertainty, and the Behavior of the Firm," American Economic Review, 72, 415-427.

Rockafeller, R. T., 1970. <u>Convex Analysis</u>, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.

Scarf, H., 1960a. "Some Remarks on Bayes Solutions to the Inventory Model," Naval Research Logistics Quarterly, 7, 591-596.

Scarf, H., 1960b. "The Optimalicy of (S,s) Policies in the Dynamic Inventory Problem," 196-202, in <u>Mathematical Methods in the Social Sciences</u>, Editors Arrow, Karlin, and Suppes, Stanford University Press, Stanford, California.

Sherbrooke, C., 1968. "METRIC: A Multi-Echelon Techniques for Recoverable Item Control," Operations Research, 16, 122-141.

Veinott, A. F., 1965. "Optimal Policy for a Multi-Product, Dynamic Non-Stationary Inventory Problem," <u>Management Science</u>, 12, 206-222.

16

N,

