RADC-TR-82-308 Final Technical Report December 1982

C

6

<u>(</u>•

UTIC FILE COPY



 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 INFRARED DETECTION OF MICROWAVE

 ○
 ○
 INFRARED DETECTION OF MICROWAVE
 ○

 ○
 INDUCED SURFACE CURRENTS ON FLAT

 ○
 ○
 ○

 ○
 ○
 ○

 ○
 INDUCED SURFACE CURRENTS ON FLAT

 ○
 ○

 ○
 ○

 ○
 ○

United States Air Force Academy

**Ronald M. Sega** 

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED



053

ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER Air Force Systems Command Griffiss Air Force Base, NY 13441 This report has been reviewed by the RADC Public Affairs Office (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS it will be releasable to the general public, including foreign nations.

RADC-TR-82-308 has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

APPROVED:

Macab Schoner

JACOB SCHERER Project Engineer

APPROVED:

EDMUND J. WESTCOTT Technical Director Reliability & Compatibility Division

FOR THE COMMANDER:

P. Huss

JOHN P. HUSS Acting Chief, Plans<sup>®</sup>Office

If your address has changed or if you wish to be removed from the RADC mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify RADC. (RBCT) Griffiss AFB NY 13441. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

Do not return copies of this report unless contractual obligations or notices on a specific document requires that it be returned.

UNCLASSIFIED	
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)	·····
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
I. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	3. RECIPIEN I'S CATALOG NUMBER
RADC-TR-82-308 $AD_{-}A1.76$	201
i. TITLE (and Subticie)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Technical Report
INFRARED DETECTION OF MICROWAVE INDUCED	
SURFACE CURRENTS ON FLAT PLATES	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
	N/A
: AUTHOR(#)	6. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(3)
Ronald M. Sega	N/A
. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
United States Air Force Academy	62702F
Colorado Springs CO 80840	23380403
. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Rome Air Development Conter (DUCT)	December 1982
Griffiss AFB NY 13441	372
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	UNCLASSIFIED
Same	150 DECLASSIELCATION/DOWNGRADING
	N/A
. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if different fre Same	oun Report)
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
RADC Project Engineer: Jacob Scherer (RBCT)	
9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number	)
Infrared Surface Currents	Conductive Bodies
Infrared Delection RF Currents	Measurements
Electric Currents Electromagnetic Pa	distion
The contract of the contract o	
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and identify by block number) The surface currents induced by electromagnetic conductive bodies produce joule heating measur Qualitative studies have shown that surface cur can be determined from the surface heating pat ysis, via infrared techniques, of surface curr on the flat plates is presented, and the resul current distributions determined from electrom	ic radiation incident on table by infrared technique irrent amplitude informatio tern. A quantitative anal cent amplitude distribution ts are compared to actual magnetic computer code calc
D FORM 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE	

. []

E

æ

(

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE When Data Entered)

#### UNCLASSIFIED

ان المراجع الم المراجع المراجع

----

C

5

## SEQURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

lations and probe measurements. This correlation study on flat plates is an essential step toward the infrared measurement of current amplitude distributions on more complex shapes.

Ŋ

# UNCLASSI FI ED

SECURITY GLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author owes many thanks to several friends and colleagues for their help and encouragement during the completion of this paper. They include Major Vic Martin, Major Don Warmuth, Capt Allan J. Briding, and Professor John Jackson. To Mrs. Lee Seitenbecher who perservered in typing the original manuscript. Thanks go to the Physics Department of the United States Air Force Academy, headed by Colonel John May, which provided the laboratory space and research time for the project and the Frank J. Seiler Research Laboratory. The Rome Air Development Center (RADC) was also instrumental in providing the much needed support, in particular, Mr. Jacob Scherer and Capt Gary Brock. Finally, a very special thanks to Professor Robert W. Burton who provided the skillful guidance, insightful counsel, and continual encouragement required to complete this work.

Accession For NTIS GRA&I DIIC TAB ป็นสมมาวนขอดส Just (fisstion) • 1 1 Dist 1 0 2/ avali abti ં ¶ પે દ • • •

i

# CONTENTS

# CHAPTER

....

\_\_\_\_

1

Fe

1.	INTRODUCTION	T
11.	THEORETICAL DETERMINATION OF CURRENTS ON FLAT PLATES	].1
	Circular Flat Plate (Disk)	12
	Square Flat Plate	68
III.	INFRARED/MICROWAVE LABORATORY	71
	Microwave Radiation	75
	Infrared Detection	84
	Thermograph Equipment	84
	Digitizing the Thermovision Output.	87
	Thermovision Calibration	93
	Targets	98
IV.	MAGNETIC FIELD PROBE	102
	Experimental Considerations	106
	Calibration	117
v.	PROBE MEASUREMENT OF SURFACE CURRENTS	141
	Procedure	141
	Results	143

• • •

VI.	IR MEASUREMENT OF SURFACE CURRENTS	154
	Technique	154
	Results	160
VII.	CORRELATION	213
	Disk Components	214
	Relative Current	228
VIII.	CONCLUSIONS	241
BIBLIO	GRAPHY	245
APPEND	IX X	
Α.	WAVE DEMONSTRATOR	249
в.	BODY OF REVOLUTION INPUT DATA	270
с.	BODY OF TRANSLATION SQUARE PLATE RESULTS	265
D.	COMPUTER PROGRAMS	294
Ε.	HORIZONTAL MAGNETIC FIELD PROBE RESULTS	320
F.	EMISSIVITY DEPENDENCE ON ANGLE	329

iv

FIGURES

.

Ì

. ]

l

v

Figure	e Po	age
l	Defining the position vectors	4
2	Coordinate system for the disk	13
3	Data from an analytic solution (Bouwkamp) for the .15 wavelength circular disk	26
4	Plot of $J_{\rho}$ and $J_{\phi}$ current components at $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ and $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ for the .15 wavelength circular disk (analytic solution)	27
5	Polynomial fit for the $J_{\rho}$ current component at $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ for the .15 wavelength circular disk (analytic solution)	28
6	Plot of the $J_{\rho}$ current component at $\phi = 0^{O}$ for the .15 wavelength circular disk (analytic solution)	29
7	Polynomial fit for the $J_{\phi}$ current component at $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ for the .15 wavelength circular disk (analytic solution)	30
8	Plot for the J $\phi$ current component at $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ for the .15 wavelength circular disk (analytic solution)	31
9	Data from the Body of Revolution Code for the .15 wavelength circular disk	33
10	Plot of $J_{\rho}$ and $J_{\phi}$ current components at $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ and $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ for the .15 wavelength circular disk	34
11	Polynomial fit for the $J_{\rho}$ current component at $\phi = 0^{O}$ for the .15 wavelength circular disk	35
12	Plot of the J <sub>p</sub> current component at $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ for the .15 wavelength circular disk	36
13	Polynomial fit for the $J\phi$ current component at $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ for the .15 wavelength circular disk	37

14	Plot for the J¢ current component at ¢ = 90 <sup>0</sup> for the .15 wavelength circular disk	38
15	Data from the Body of Revolution Code for the 3.0 wavelength circular disk	40
16	Plot of $J_{\rho}$ and $J_{\phi}$ current components at $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ and $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ on the 3.0 wavelength circular disk	41
17	Theoretical result for 3.0 wavelength circular disk	42
18	Theoretical solution for the disk	43
19	Data from the Body of Revolution Code for the .38 wayelength circular disk	44
20	Plot of $J_{\rho}$ and $J_{\phi}$ current components at $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ and $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ on the .38 wavelength circular disk	45
21	Polynomial fit for the $J_{\rho}$ current component at $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ on the .38 wavelength circular disk	46
22	Plot of the $J_{\rho}$ current component at $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ for the .38 wavelength circular disk	47
23	Polynomial fit for the $J\phi$ current component at $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ for the .38 wavelength circular disk	48
24	Plot for the J $\phi$ current component at $\phi$ = 90° for the .38 wavelength circular disk	49
25	Data from the Body of Revolution Code for the .50 wavelength circular disk	50
26	Plot of $J_{\rho}$ and $J_{\phi}$ current components at $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ and $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ on the .50 wavelength circular disk	51
27	Polynomial fit for the $J_{\rho}$ current component at $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ for the .50 wavelength circular disk	52

vi

)

28 Plot of the Jp current component at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  for the .50 wavelength circular disk...... 53 29 Polynomial fit for the  $J\phi$  current component at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the .50 wavelength circular disk..... 54 Plot for the  $J\phi$  current component at 30  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the .50 wavelength circular disk..... 55 Data from the Body of Revolution Code for 31 che 1.0 wavelength circular disk..... 56 Plot of  $J_p$  and  $J_{\phi}$  current components at 32  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  and  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the 1.0 wavelength circular disk..... 57 33 Polynomial fit for the Jp current component at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  for the 1.0 wavelength circular disk..... 58 34 Flot of the  $J_{\rho}$  current component at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  for the 1.0 wavelength circular disk..... 59 Polynomial fit for the J $\phi$  current component at  $\phi$  = 90° for the 1.0 wavelength circular 35 60 36 Plot for the  $J\phi$  current component at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the 1.0 wavelength circular disk..... 61 Data from the Body of Revolution Code for 37 the ? > wavelength circular disk..... 62 38 Plot of  $J_{\rho}$  and  $J_{\phi}$  current components at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  and  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the 1.3 wavelength circular disk..... 63 39 Polynomial fit for the  $J_{\rho}$  current component at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the 1.3 wavelength circular disk..... 64 40 Plot of the  $J_{\rho}$  current component at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  for the 1.3 wavelength circular disk..... 65

vii

41	Polynomial fit for the J $\phi$ current component at $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ for the 1.3 wavelength circular disk	66
42	Plot for the J $\phi$ current component at $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ for the 1.3 wavelength circular disk	67
43	Theoretical result for the .15 wavelength square plate	69
44	Theoretical result for the 1.0 wavelength square plate	69
45	Theoretical result for 1.2 wavelength square plate	70
46	Experimental Arrangement	72
47	Infrared/Microwave Laboratory	74
48	Block diagram of the microwave propagation apparatus	76
49	Microwave sources	77
50	Antenna reflectors	79
51	90 <sup>0</sup> Corner Reflector	83
52	Infrared detection equipment	86
53	Early infrared results for a .50 wavelength square plate above the ground plane (aquadac on epoxy)	91
54	Early infrared results for a 1.3 wavelength square plate above the ground plane (aquadac on epoxy)	92
55	Published calibration curve for the Thermovision 680 (ISU vs Temp)	94
56	Experimentally derived calibration curve for the Thermovision 680 (ISU vs Temp)	97
57	Targets - Aquadac on plexiglass	100
58	Targets - Carbon/paraffin over metal	101

viii

L

.

Ŧ

÷

F

ix

59	Photograph of a Magnetic Field Probe - Semirigid Coaxial Cable Construction	107
60	Drawing of a Magnetic Field Probe - Semirigid Coaxial Cable Construction	107
61	Photograph of the Vertical Magnetic Field Probe	108
62	Drawing of the Vertical Magnetic Field Probe	108
63	Photograph of the Horizontal Magnetic Field Probe	109
64	Drawing of the Horizontal Magnetic Field Probe	109
65	Magnetic field probe - chamber orientation	111
66	Magnetic field probe recording equipment	113
67	Circuit diagram of the magnetic field probe pre-amplifier	114
68	Experimental arrangement for horizontal and vertical probe positioning	115
69	Measured gain response of the magnetic field probe	118
70	Microwave power meters	119
71	Correlation of microwave source power indication to free field power measured at target location (937 MHz)	121
72	Plot of source power vs free field power (937 MHz)	122
73	Correlation of microwave source power indication to free field power measured at target location (2.45 GHz)	123
74	Plot of source power vs free field power (2.45 GHz)	124
75	Correlation of magnetic field probe response to source power (937 MHz)	125

1

k

ķ

76	Plot of magnetic field probe response vs source power (937 MHz)126
77	Correlation of magnetic field probe response to source power (2.45 GHz) 127
78	Plot of magnetic field probe response va source power (2.45 GHz) 128
79	Correlation of magnetic field probe response to the square root of source power (937 MHz) 129
80	Plot of magnetic field probe response vs square root of source power (937 MHz) 130
81	Correlation of magnetic field probe response to the square root of source power (2.45 GHz)131
82	Plot of magnetic field probe response vs square root of source power (2.45 GHz) 132
83	Correlation of magnetic field probe response to input power (937 MHz) 133
84	Plot of magnetic field probe response vs input power (937 MHz)134
85	Correlation of magnetic field probe response to input power (2.45 GHz) 135
86	Plot of magnetic field probe response vs input power (2.45 GHz)136
87	Correlation of magnetic field probe response to square root of input power (937 MHz)
88	Plot of magnetic field probe response vs square root of input power (937 MHz) 138

89 Correlation of magnetic field probe response to square root of input power (2.45 GHz)... 139

X

90 Plot of magnetic field probe response vs square root of input power (2.45 GHz)... 140 91 Magnetic field probe in front of a metal 142 target..... 92 Vertical Magnetic Field Probe Measurements for the free field at 3/4 and 1 3/4inches above ground plane..... 144 Vertical Magnetic Field Probe Measurements 93 for the .38 wavelength circular disk..... 145 Vertical Magnetic Field Probe Measurements 94 for the .38 wavelength square plate..... 146 95 Vertical Magnetic Field Probe Measurements for the .50 wavelength circular disk..... 147 96 Vertical Magnetic Field Probe Measurements for the .50 wavelength square plate..... 148 Vertical Magnetic Field Probe Measurements 97 for the free field at 3/4 and 1 3/4 inches above ground plane..... 149 98 Vertical Magnetic Field Probe Measurements for the 1.0 wavelength circular disk..... 150 Vertical Magnetic Field Probe Measurements 99 for the 1.0 wavelength square plate..... 151 Vertical Magnetic Field Probe Measurements 101 for the 1.3 wavelength circular disk..... 152 101 Vertical Magnetic Field Probe Measurements for the 1.3 wavelength square plate..... 153 Circuit for relating current and 102 temperature..... 155 103 Experimental arrangement for relating current density and temperature..... 155 Quadratic curve fit for empirical J vs T 104 (carbon/paraffin over metal)..... 158

xi

105	Quadratic curve fit for empirical J vs T (aquadac on plexiglass)
106	Thermogram for an illuminated .38 wave- length circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal 162
107	Digitized thermovision data for .38 wave- length circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal 162
108	Thermovision data profiles for .38 wave- length circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal 163
109	Temperature profiles for .38 wavelength circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal
110	Infrared obtained current profiles for .38 wavelength circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal 165
111	Thermogram for an illuminated .38 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal
112	Digitized thermovision data for .38 wave- length square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal
113	Thermovision data profiles for .38 wave- length square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal
114	Temperature profiles for .38 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal
115	Infrared obtained current profiles for .38 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin on metal
116	Thermogram for an illuminated .50 wavelength circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal

xii

117	Digitized thermovision data for .50 wavelength circular disk - carbon/ paraffin over metal 170
118	Thermovision data profiles for .50 wavelength circular disk - carbon/ paraffin over metal
119	Temperature profiles for .50 wavelength circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal
120	Infrared obtained current profiles for .50 wavelength circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal 173
121	Thermogram for an illuminated .50 wavelength circular disk - aquadac on plexiglass 174
122	Digitized thermovision data for .50 wave- length circular disk - aquadac on plexi- glass
123	Thermovision data profiles for .50 wave- length circular disk - aquadac on plexiglass 175
124	Temperature profiles for .50 wavelength circular disk - aquadac on plexiglass 176
125	Infrared obtained current profiles for .50 circular disk - aquadac on plexiglass 177
126	Thermogram for an illuminated .50 wave- length square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal
127	Digitized thermovision data for .50 wave- length square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal
128	Thermovision data profiles for .50 wave- length square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal
129	Temperature profiles for .50 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over

U

xiii

ALL ALL LAND DAY AND A

v	٦.	37
ົ	┸	Υ.

.

130	Infrared obtained current profiles for .50 wavelength square plate - carbon/ paraffin over metal
131	Thermogram for an illuminated .50 wave- length square plate - aquadac on plexi- glass
132	Digitized thermovision data for .50 wave- length square plate - aquadac on plexi- glass
133	Thermovision data profiles for .50 wave- length square plate - aquadac on plexi- glass
134	Temperature profiles for .50 wavelength square plate - aquadac on plexiglass 184
135	Infrared obtained current profiles for .50 wavelength square plate - aquadac on plexiglass 185
136	Thermogram for an illuminated 1.0 wavelength circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal
137	Digitized thermovision data for 1.0 wave- length circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal 186
138	Thermovision data profiles for 1.0 wave- length circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal 187
139	Temperature profiles for 1.0 wavelength circular disk - carbon/paraffin on metal
140	Infrared obtained current profiles for 1.0 wavelength circular disk - carbon/ paraffin on metal 189
141	Thermogram for an illuminated 1.0 wavelength circular disk - aquadac on plexiglass 190
142	Digitized thermovision data for 1.0 wave- length circular disk - aquadac on plexi- glass

•.

.

xv

۰.

143	Thermovision data profiles for 1.0 wave- length circular disk - aquadac on plexiglass
144	Temperature profiles for 1.0 wavelength circular disk - aquadac on plexiglass 192
145	Infrared obtained current profiles for 1.0 wavelength circular disk - aquadac on plexiglass 193
146	Thermogram for an illuminated 1.0 wave- length square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal
147	Digitized thermovision data for 1.0 wave- length square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal
148	Temperature matrix for 1.0 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal
149	Current matrix for 1.0 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal 195
1.50	Thermovision data matrix profile for 1.0 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal 196
151	Temperature matrix profile for 1.0 wave- length square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal
152	Infrared obtained current matrix profile for 1.0 wavelength square plate - carbon/ paraffin over metal 197
153	Thermovision data profiles for 1.0 wave- length square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal 198
154	Temperature profiles for 1.0 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal 199
155	Infrared obtained current profiles for 1.0 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal 200

٠'.

<u>.</u> ب

xvi		
156	Thermogram for an illuminated 1.0 wave- length square plate - aquadac on plexi- glass	201
157	Digitized thermovision data for 1.0 wavelength square plate - aquadac on plexiglass	201
158	Thermovision data profiles for 1.0 wave- length square plate - aquadac on plexiglass	202
159	Temperature profiles for 1.0 wavelength square plate - aquadac on plexiglass	203
160	Infrared obtained current profiles for 1.0 wavelength square plate - aquadac on plexiglass	204
161	Thermogram for an illuminated 1.3 wave- length circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal	205
162	Digitized thermovision data for 1.3 wave- length circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal	205
163	Thermovision data profiles for 1.3 wave- length circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal	206
164	Temperature profiles for 1.3 wavelength circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal	207
165	Infrared obtained current profiles for 1.3 wavelength circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal	208
166	Thermogram for an illuminated 1.3 wave- length square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal	209
167	Digitized thermoyision data for 1.3 wave- length square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal	209

2

.

Thermovision data profiles for 1.3 wave-168 length square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal..... •••• 210 Temperature profiles for 1.3 wavelength 169 square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal..... 211 170 Infrared obtained current profiles for 1.3 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal..... 213 171 Plot of current components for the .38 wavelength circular disk (lower scan)..... 216 Plot of current components for the .38 172 wavelength circular disk (middle scan) ..... 217 173 Plot of current components for the .38 wavelength circular disk (upper scan)..... 218 174 Plot of current components for the .50 wavelength circular disk (lower scan)..... 219 Plot of current components for the .50 175 wavelength circular disk (middle scan).... 220 176 Plot of current components for the .50 wavelength circular disk (upper scan)..... 221 Plot of current components for the 1.0 177 wavelength circular disk (lower scan)..... 222 178 Plot of current components for the 1.0 wavelength circular disk (middle scan)..... 223 179 Plot of current components for the 1.0 wavelength circular disk (upper scan)..... 224 180 Plot of current components for the 1.3 wavelength circular disk (lower scan)..... 225 Plot of current components for the 1.3 181 wavelength circular disk (middle scan) ..... 226 Plot of current components for the 1.3 182 wavelength circular disk (upper scan)..... 227

xvii

.

T

xviii		
183	Correlation of theoretical, probe, and IR results for the .38 wavelength circular disk (lower scan)	229
184	Correlation of theoretical, probe, and IR results for the .38 wavelength circular disk (middle scan)	230
185	Correlation of theoretical, probe, and IR results for the .38 wavelength circular disk (upper scan)	231
186	Correlation of magnetic field probe and IR results for the .38 wavelength square plate (lower scan)	232
187	Correlation of the magnetic field probe and IR results for the .38 wavelength square plate (middle scan)	233
188	Correlation of the magnetic field probe and IR results for the .38 wavelength square plate (upper scan)	234
189	Correlation of theoretical, probe, and IR results for the 1.0 wavelength square plate (carbon/paraffin on metal)	236
190	Correlation of theoretical, probe, and IR results for the 1.0 wavelength square plate (aquadac on plexiglass)	237
191	Correlation of theoretical, probe, and IR results for the .50 wavelength square plate (carbon/paraffin over metal)	238
192	Correlation of theoretical, probe, and IR results for the .50 wavelength square plate (aquadac on plexiglass)	239
193	Theoretical comparison of Body of Translua- tion Computer Code for the 1.0 wavelength square plate	240

# TABLES

•

F

•

I

,

•

ï

Table		Page
1	Microwaye Equipment	78
2	Flat Plate Size	98

#### CHAPTER I

i

#### INTRODUCTION

The objective of this study is to determine the extent to which infrared techniques measure the actual amplitude distribution of induced surface currents on flat plates with given surface preparations. Measurements of currents induced on complex shapes by time varying electromagnetic fields is, in general, a timeconsuming and costly job. The reason is that many point-by-point current measurements must be taken in order to characterize the induced current distribution on a given target. Yet, knowledge of such current distributions is essential to many research programs such as electromagnetic pulse interactions with aircraft systems, radar cross-section optimization, etc.

The importance of knowledge of surface currents in electromagnetic problems can be illustrated with a simplified approach to finding the electric  $(\vec{E})$  and magnetic  $(\vec{H})$  fields starting from Maxwell's Equations.

Ampere's Law	v x	H =	εĒ	+ Ĵ		(1)
Faraday's Law	∛ x	<b>È</b> =	$-\mu \vec{H}$			(2)
Gauss' Law for Ele	ectric	; Fie	elds	₹∙È	$=\frac{\rho}{\epsilon}$	(3)

1

ą

Gauss' Law -- Maynetic Fields  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$  (4) The relationship between current density  $(\vec{J})$  and charge density ( $\rho$ ) is found in the equation of continuity:

$$\vec{v} \cdot \vec{j} = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$$
 (5)

From equation (4), the vector relation of the divergence of a curl of vector is equal to zero  $(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} X \vec{A} = 0)$ , and the constituent relation,  $\vec{B} = \mu \vec{H}$ , a vector potential  $\vec{A}$  can be defined as follows:

$$d\vec{I} = \vec{\nabla} X \vec{A}$$
 (6)

Now, substituting equation (6) into (2) we obtain

$$\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{X} \vec{\mathbf{E}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{X} \vec{\mathbf{A}})$$
(7)

With the spatial and time coordinates treated independently, equation (7) can be rearranged as

From the vector identity, curl of a gradient of a scalar is zero, from equation (8) one defines a scalar potential V as

$$\vec{E} + \vec{A} = -\vec{V}V$$
 (9)

Rearranging,

$$\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}V - \vec{A}$$
(10)

Thus, the electric and magnetic fields are expressed in terms of potentials. We can now derive differential equations for the potential function in terms of the sources  $\vec{J}$  and  $\rho$ .

Substituting (6) and (10) into equation (1), we have

$$-\frac{1}{\mu} (\vec{\nabla} X \vec{\nabla} X \vec{A}) = -\varepsilon \vec{\nabla} \vec{\nabla} - \varepsilon \vec{A} + \vec{J}$$
(11)

Applying the vector identity  $\forall x \forall x \dot{x} = \forall \dot{v} \cdot \dot{x} - \dot{\nabla}^2 \dot{x}$  to

equation (11) yields

C

ŧ

I

$$\vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{A} - \mu \vec{e} \vec{A} = -\mu \vec{J} + \mu \vec{e} \vec{\nabla} \vec{V} + \vec{\nabla} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}$$
(12)

Substituting (10) into (3),

$$\vec{\nabla}^2 \mathbf{V} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{A}} = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon}$$
(13)

Now, equations (11) and (13) can be decoupled using the Lorentz gauge condition.

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = -\mu \epsilon \vec{V}$$
(14)

Wave equations in terms of a single potential and source terms follows the substitution of (14) into (11) and (13)

$$\vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{A} - \mu \epsilon \vec{A} = -\mu \vec{J}$$
(15)  
$$\vec{\nabla}^2 V - \mu \epsilon \vec{V} = -\frac{\rho}{s}$$

Finally, the solutions to the wave equations are of the form

$$\vec{A}(\vec{r},t) = \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \int \frac{\vec{J}(\vec{r}, t-\frac{R}{\nu})}{R} dv^{\dagger}$$
(16)

$$V(\vec{r},t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon} \int \frac{\rho(\vec{r}', t - \frac{R}{\nu})}{R} dv' \qquad (17)$$

where  $\vec{r}$  locates the field point of interest  $\vec{r}'$  locates a source element, R is the distance between source element and field point, t -  $\frac{R}{v}$  is the retarded time correcting for the finite velocity, v, of the electromagnetic wave, and the integration is performed over the volume containing the source lements.





Defining the position vectors.

Therefore, knowing the magnitude, direction and phase of the vector quantity  $\vec{J}$  at all source points, we can, in principle, calculate the vector potential  $\vec{A}$ . Knowing the vector potential, the scalar potential can be found through the Lorentz condition, equation (14). With the vector and scalar potentials, we can now solve for the electric and magnetic fields at an arbitrary point in space. In this study, only the magnitude of microwave induced current density on flat plates will be investigated. At the microwave frequencies used in this work the current density is confined near the surface for highly conductive objects and is generally called a surface current.

4

Ľ

Į

Į

This report represents a necessary step toward refining a technique which shows much promise in characterizing induced surface current patterns with a single measurement. The technique is based on the fact that when current flows in a conductor of finite resistivity,  $I^2R$  heating occurs. When the surface temperature rises to roughly  $0.1^{\circ}$  K or higher above ambient, the induced temperature distribution over the surface (which corresponds to induced currents in that surface), can be detected by a thermographic system via emitted thermal radiation.

D

Į

K

Thermography, as applied to this study, is the heat detection process displayed in both a color code representation and a numeric array of intensity values. The science of infrared detection has been advanced over the past two decades by the AGA Corporation in Sweden. The basis of operation is a scanning scheme of infrared prisms and a photovoltaic cell from which voltage inputs are processed. Applications of thermography have spanned the areas of medicine, building construction, transmission line problems, blast furnace operations, etc.

At the Naval Postgraduate School, Burton and associates advanced the horizon of applications to include the detection of surface currents produced by incident electromagnetic plane waves on objects, and by observing heating patterns on resistive paper produced by antennas (1). The incident electromagnetic wave, interacting with objects of appropriate size, produces standing wave patterns, detectable in the infrared region due to the I<sup>2</sup>R heating effects. In the laboratory environment, the qualitative comparison of current distributions present on antennas of various configurations were also made (2). Further results demonstrated a good qualitative comparison of induced currents on flat plates and cylinders with values measured at Harvard. The results appeared in a color photograph representation such that ten colors are displayed corresponding to a temperature range whereby each color represented roughly one-tenth of that range. The results were encouraging and would obviously be of benefit if applied to complex structures such as aircraft that could be scaled down for laboratory examinavion. This work indicated that a necessary step in the extension of the IR results to various applications was the quantifying of the obtained data. Once this data was obtained in this more workable format, an examination to determine, as accurately as possible,

the correspondence between actual surface currents and those determined with IR techniques was needed. For simple geometries, currents have been calculated analytically (22,26) or utilizing numerical techniques (23), and verified experimentally. For the comparison study, square and circular flat plates were logical candidates owing to their high symmetry.

X

)

Ξ

2

The theoretical determination of surface currents induced on the perfect electric conducting flat plates with normally incident plane electromagnetic wave has been developed by previous investigators. Results for circular flat plates of electrical size of largest dimension .38, .50, 1.0, and 1.3 wavelengths are presented. The analytic solution for the electrically small circular flat plate (disk) will be outlined. The perfectly conducting, vanishing thin disk is computed through the first six terms for the .15 wavelength disk and the results are presented and compared to the solution obtained from an E-field solution for a conducting body of revolution developed by Joseph R. Mautz and Roger F. Harrington of Syracuse University (33). The 3.0 wavelength solu ion from the Body of Revolution (BOR) Code is also compared with an analytic result obtained by Andrejewski (2). Disks of electrical size .38, .50, 1.0, and 1.3 are then

solved using this computer code. The flat plate solution using a body of translation electromagnetic computer code developed for the Rome Air Development Center is presented and compared with results from the literature.

An infrared/microwave facility was designed, constructed, and equipped in a converted storage room at the United States Air Force Academy. The available microwave apparatus dictated the size of anechoic chamber and the frequencies of 2.45 GHz and 937 MHz. Investigations of chamber characteristics using various reflector arrangements with a guarter wave monopole on a ground plane were carried out using a ripple-tank two dimensional modeling apparatus, a crossed-dipole power probe, and a time-varying magnetic field detector. Corner reflectors and cylindrical parabolic reflectors were experimentally positioned to direct maximum power while still achieving a "plane" wave over a sixteen centimeter target. The nucleus of infrared detection equipment was a AGA Thermovision 680 System. An interface for digitization was developed such that correction to the received Thermovision data could be applied on a point by point basis. An infrared measurement technique including a seven frame average of the illuminated matrices to reduce

random error influences on the final result was developed. The averaged matrix in ISU units was then corrected for camera calibration resulting in a determination of temperature increase due to the incident microwave. To obtain surface currents from temperature, a functional relationship was emptrically determined by applying various levels of DC current through the coating and recording the corresponding temperature rise.

The verification of surface currents on metal plates illuminated with an optimized plane electromagnetic wave required the design and fabrication of a loop detector. The induced voltage is related to the magnitude of the time varying magnetic field through Faraday's Law and hence to surface current. Consideration of shielding from stray RF coupling as well as electric field coupling were found to be critical to obtain accurate measured values.

Finally, current values obtained from theory, probe measurement, and IR detection are compared. The comparison procedure developed should be reapplied for future coating schemes using higher resolution infrared detection systems. Data gained through correlation studies on simple shapes will then permit an extension to more complex shapes.

Supplemental studies in wave interaction modeling and emissivity dependence on angle were also conducted and are summarized in the Appendices.

i,

#### CHAPTER II

### THEORETICAL DETERMINATION OF CURRENTS ON FLAT PLATES

The solution to the metallic plate or even the perfectly conducting plate is not trivial as emphasized in a paper given by Professor T. B. A. Senior in May 1982 (45) in which recent approaches to solve the integro-differential equations for arbitrary flat plates given in a 1977 IEEE publication were found to be in error as the plate shape varied from the elliptic case. For perfectly conducting disks of largest largest electrical dimension .38, .50, 1.0, and 1.3 wavelengths, a Body of Revolution electromagnetics code was used for their respective solution at normal incidence. Since the disk has been solved analytically, the numerical approach of the computer code was compared, at both extremes of the experimental dimensions, to an analytical treatment. Analytic solutions developed by C. J. Bouwkamp (7) and W. Andrejewski (2) were used for the .15 and 3.0 wavelength circular disks, respectively. The code results showed good agreement with the analytic solutions. For the perfectly conducting square plates, solutions from the literature

are presented in the range of the experimental sizes. An additional Body of Translation electromagnetics computer code solution is found in Appendix C for the plate sizes used in this report.

### Circular Flat Plate (Disk)

The general formulation for this problem begins with the requirement that the electromagnetic field must obey Maxwell's equations. At the surface, the boundary conditions must be satisfied. In the perfectly conducting case, the tangential of the electric field and the normal COMPO: 20 compone<sup>,</sup> of the magnetic field must vanish. For flat objects the special situation at the edges must also be con idered. If one observes that current or charge call leside at an edge and that the electric field varies as l/r, one at first would allow, on a theoretical basis, for the electric fields and current to approach infinity at the edge. A generally accepted conservation of energy argument dealing with the ord r of this mathematical singularity is stated by Josef Meixner as follows (34):

> The edge condition states that the electromagnetic energy density must be integrable over any finite domain even if this domain contains singularities of the electromagnetic field. In other words, the electromagnetic energy in any finite domain must be finite. In the case of a

12

8

ľ

.

Ų.

-

2

Į

perfectly conducting surface with an edge, one concludes from this condition that near the edge the singular components of the electric and magnetic field vectors are of the order  $\rho^{-1/2}$ , where  $\rho$  is the distance from the edge, while the components of the electric and magnetic field strengths parallel to the edge are always finite.

The following discussion is taken from Bouwkamp (7) to illustrate the solution process for the simplest case, that of an electrically small, perfectly conducting circular disk illuminated by a plane wave at normal incidence. The disk is taken to be of unit radius and centered on the origin of rectangular coordinates X, Y, Z so that the rims of the disk is defined by Z = 0,  $X^2+Y^2 = 1$  (see Figure 2).



Figure 2. Coordinate system for the disk.

The incident wave is plane polarized with the electric field along the X axis, the magnetic field aligned with the Y axis, and thus the wave is moving in the positive Z direction. This solution is restricted to ka<1 where k is the wave number and a is the radius of the disk. The general expressions relating the field vectors with the scalar and vector potentials (equations 6 and 10) and the general expression for the vector potential in terms of current density serve as a starting point. The scattered field can then be written in rectangular coordinates in terms of the vector potential as

$$H_{X}^{S} = -\frac{\partial A_{Y}}{\partial z}$$
(18)

$$H_{y}^{S} = \frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial z}$$
(19)

$$H_{z}^{s} = \frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial y}$$
(20)

$$E_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{i} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{y}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right)$$
(21)

$$E_{Y}^{S} = ikA_{Y} - \frac{1}{ik}\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \left(\frac{\partial A_{X}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_{Y}}{\partial Y}\right)$$
(22)

$$E_{z}^{s} = -\frac{1}{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial A_{x}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y} \right)$$
(23)

14

T

. . .

ь. А Р

hj

T

Ł
The boundary conditions for a perfectly conducting, and thus perfectly reflecting, disk are that the total tangential electric field and the total normal magnetic field must vanish at the surface. The total field is simply the incident plus the scattered field. Thus, at the surface  $(X^2+Y^2\leq 1)$ 

١

$$E_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{S}} = -E_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{i}}$$
(24)

$$E_{y} = 0 \tag{25}$$

$$H_{z} = 0.$$
 (26)

Now from the scattered field expressions and the stated boundary conditions, the following simultaneous system of equations can be written as follows:

$$\frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial A_{y}}{\partial x}$$
(27)

$$\frac{\partial^2 A_X}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_X}{\partial y^2} + k^2 A_X = ik$$
(28)

$$\frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial y^2} + k^2 A_y = 0$$
 (29)

with 
$$A_x = \frac{1}{c} - \frac{J_x e^{ikr}}{r} ds$$
 (30)

$$A_{y} = \frac{1}{c} J_{y} \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} ds$$
(31)

These are the integro-differential equations that must be solved to obtain the rectangular components of the

current density. Now, Bouwkamp reduces this simultaneous system to that of an ordinary system by using symmetry and normal incidence argument to eliminate the functions  $J_y$  and  $A_y$ . What is left is set of integro-differential equations in only  $J_y$ .

The conditions on the edge of the disk must be considered. From a combination of physical and mathematical arguments stated earlier, order functions are obtained. These state, that near the rim, as the distance to the rim goes to zero, the current component on the surface of the disk that is normal to the edge will decrease with the square of the distance and the current component on the surface that is tangential to the edge will increase as the reciprocal of the square root of the distance.

To take advantage of the symmetry in this situation, the incident wave is considered in terms of cylindrical coordinates. Functions depending on the radius ( $\rho$ ) are then introduced along with azimuthal angle dependence of the current. The current components are then written as:

$$\frac{1}{C} J_{x} = \frac{A(\rho) + B(\rho) \cos 2\phi}{\pi^{2} \sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}$$
(31)

$$\frac{1}{c} J_{y} = \frac{B(\rho) \sin 2\phi}{\pi^{2} \sqrt{1 - \rho^{2}}}$$
(32)

where  $J_x$  and  $J_y$  are related to each other by

$$J_{y} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial J_{x}}{\partial \phi} = \frac{1}{2} \left( y \frac{\partial J_{x}}{\partial x} - x \frac{\partial J_{x}}{\partial y} \right)$$
(33)

The functions A and B must also satisfy the conditions that on the rim their sum is zero and at the center of the disk the value of B is zero.

Now, solutions for equations (31) and (32) eventually reduce to being able to solve the following integral equation:

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi' \int_{0}^{1} \rho' d\rho' \frac{A(\rho') + B(\rho') \cos 2\phi'}{\pi^{2} \sqrt{1-\rho'^{2}}} \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} = -\frac{1}{ik} - p \int_{0}^{1} (k\rho) + p J_{2}(k\rho) \cos 2\phi$$
(34)

for 3 unknowns  $\rho$  , A, B

over  $o \le \rho \le 1$  and  $o \le \phi \le 2\pi$ 

where  $r^2 = \rho^2 - 2\rho\rho^4 \cos(\phi - \phi^4) + \rho^{4/2}$ 

 $A(\rho')$  and  $B(\rho')$  are uniformly bounded on the internal and  $J_{\rho}$  and  $J_{2}$  are Bessel functions of argument  $k_{\rho}$  and of order 0 and 2 respectively.

From a power series solution of equation (34) are found series solutions of  $A(\rho)$  and  $B(\rho)$  from equations (31) and (32). For the first six terms,

$$A(\rho) = \sum_{n=1}^{6} A_n (ik)^n$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{3} (-4+3\rho^2) ik + \pi 0 + \frac{1}{90} (56-40\rho^2+5\rho^4) (ik)^3$ 

+ 
$$\frac{4\pi}{9}$$
 (2- $\rho^2$ ) (ik)<sup>4</sup> +  
+  $\frac{1}{12600}$  (-2656 + 2408 $\rho^2$  - 448 $\rho^4$  + 21 $\rho^6$ ) (ik)<sup>5</sup>  
+  $\frac{2}{675}$  (-296 + 192 $\rho^2$  - 15 $\rho^4$ ) (ik)<sup>6</sup> + O (A<sub>7</sub>) (35)

H

F

E M

and 
$$B(\rho) = \sum_{n=1}^{6} B_n (ik)^n$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{3} \rho^2 (ik) + 0\pi +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{30} \rho^2 (-8+\rho^2) (ik)^3 +$$

$$- \frac{4\pi}{9} \rho^2 (ik)^4 +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2520} \rho^2 (200-68\rho^2+3\rho^4) (ik)^5 +$$

$$+ \frac{2\pi}{675} \rho^2 (134-15\rho^2) (ik)^6 + O(B_7)$$
(36)

Simplifying,

ľ

$$A(o) = k \left\{ \frac{j}{3} \left[ -4 + 3 \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{2} \right] + \frac{jk^{2}}{90} \left[ 56 - 40 \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{2} + 5 \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{4} \right] + \frac{4\pi k^{2}}{90} \left[ 2 - \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{2} \right] + \frac{jk^{4}}{12600} \left[ -2656 + 2408 \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{2} - 488 \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{4} - 21 \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{6} \right] - \frac{2\pi k^{5}}{675} \left[ -296 + 192 \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{2} - 15 \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{4} \right] + 0 \right\} (37)$$

$$B(\rho) = k \left\{ \frac{j}{3} \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{2} - \frac{jk^{2}}{30} \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{2} \left[ -8 + \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{2} \right] - \frac{4\pi k^{2}}{9} \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{2} + \frac{jk^{4}}{2520} \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{2} \left[ 200 - 68 \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{2} + 3 \left( \frac{\rho}{a} \right)^{4} \right] \right\}$$

$$-\frac{2\pi k^{5}}{675} \left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{2} \left[134 - 15 \left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{2}\right] + 0 \right\}$$
(38)

Now, Bouwkamp assumes in the initial development that  $\frac{E}{H} = 1$ . However,  $\frac{E}{H} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\epsilon_0}} = 377$  and therefore,  $J_x$ ,  $J_y$  must include  $\frac{1}{377}$  factor.

To find the magnitude of the current components we will simplify by separating the real and imaginary parts

$$|J_{X}| = \sqrt{J_{X} J_{X}^{*}}$$
(39)  
$$|J_{X}| = \frac{ck}{377\pi^{2} \sqrt{1 - (\frac{\rho}{a})^{2}}} \left\{ [A(\rho) + B(\rho) \cos 2\phi] \right\}$$
(40)

$$J_{X} = \frac{ck}{377\pi^{2}\sqrt{1-(\frac{\rho}{a})^{2}}} \begin{cases} \frac{4\pi}{9}k \left[2-(\frac{\rho}{a})^{2}\right] - \frac{2\pi k^{5}}{675} \\ \left[-296+192\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{2}-15\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{4}\right] + j \left\{\frac{1}{3}\left[-4+3\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{2}\right] - \frac{k^{2}}{90}\right] \\ \left[56-40\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{2} + 5\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{4}\right] + \frac{k^{4}}{12600}\left[-2656 + 2408\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{2}\right] \\ - 448\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{4} + 21\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{6}\right] + \left\{\frac{4\pi k^{3}}{9}\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right) - \frac{2\pi k^{5}}{675}\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{2} \\ \left[134 - 15\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{2}\right] \right\} \cos 2\phi + j \cos 2\phi \left\{\frac{1}{3}\left[\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{2}\right] \\ - \frac{k^{2}}{30}\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{2}\left[-8 + \left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{2}\right] + \frac{k^{4}}{2520}\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{2}\left[200-68\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{2}\right] \\ + 3\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{4}\right] \right\}$$
(41)

$$J_{x} = \frac{c^{2\pi}}{377\pi^{2}\lambda} \sqrt{1 - (\frac{\rho}{a})^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} + ja_{2} \end{pmatrix} + (b_{1}\cos 2\phi + jb_{2}) \\ \cos 2\phi \end{bmatrix}$$
(42)

where

 $a_{1} = \text{real part of } A(\rho)$   $a_{2} = \text{imaginary part of } A(\rho)$   $b_{1} = \text{real part of } B(\rho)$   $b_{2} = \text{imaginary part of } B(\rho)$ 

Simplifying further, 
$$c = 3.00 \times 10^{\circ} \text{ m/sec}$$
  
 $|J_{x}| = \frac{5.05 \times 10^{5}}{\lambda \sqrt{1 - (\frac{\rho}{a})^{2}}} \left\{ \left[ (a_{1}+b_{1}cos2\phi + j (a_{2}+b_{2}cos2\phi) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - j(a_{2}+b_{2}cos2\phi) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{5.05 \times 10^{5}}{\lambda \sqrt{1 - (\frac{\rho}{a})^{2}}} \left[ (a_{1}+b_{1}cos2\phi)^{2} + (a_{2}+b_{2}cos2\phi)^{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 
(43)

Similarly,

$$|J_{y}| = (J_{y}J_{y}^{*})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
  
=  $\left|\frac{c2\pi \sin 2\phi}{377\pi^{2}\lambda} (B(\rho) B(\rho)^{*})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right|$   
=  $\left|\frac{5.05 \times 10^{5} \sin 2\phi}{\lambda \sqrt{1-(\frac{\rho}{a})^{2}}} (b_{1}^{2} + b_{2}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right|$  (44)

Now, let the total current be  $J_t$  which is given by the following expression:

$$|J_t| = (|J_x|^2 + |J_y|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (45)

Consider the term  $\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^2}$  in the denominator of  $J_x$  and  $J_y$ . As  $\rho$  approaches the radius a, the currents tend to infinity. The calculations based on equations (44 ) and (45 ) will be restricted to  $\rho \leq .95a$ .

It was advantageous to transform from cylindrical coordinates to rectangular coordinates using the following substitutions:

$$\rho = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$

$$\sin 2\phi = \frac{2 \tan \phi}{1 + \tan^2 \phi}$$

$$\cos 2\phi = \frac{1 - \tan^2 \phi}{1 + \tan^2 \phi}$$

where  $\tan \phi = \frac{1}{x}$ 

It follows that

$$\sin 2\phi = \frac{2xy}{x^2 + y^2}$$
$$\cos 2\phi = \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2}$$

The latter equations were then programmed on an HP9845 minicomputer and the results for a  $.075\lambda$  radius disk are given. With a vertically polarized incident plane wave, the total current density along the horizontal and vertical axis can be computed. Curve fits for the results follow a discussion of the program used.

The regression curve fits were done using a Hewlett Packard software package on the 9845B minicomputer. The program takes a set of points (X, Y)and fits various types of curves to them using least square methods. An analysis of variance table is also computed and this information is printed in the text that follows. The input parameters  $(X_i, Y_i)$  are loaded into full-precision one-dimensional arrays of N elements each with being at least 3. The output variables are also full precision and are defined as follows:

Regression

Df - regression degrees of freedom (degree for polynomial model) SS - regression sum of squares MS - regression mean squares Residual

> Df - residual degrees of freedom (N-2) SS - residual sum of squares MS - residual mean squares

## Total

Df - total degrees of freedom (regression and residual)

SS - total sum of squares

F - the F statistic (regression mean squares/ residual mean squares)

22

· Ę

The F ratio is an indication of how good the curve fit is and, in general, is optimized by the highest possible F ratio. In the general outputs that follow, a blank space for an F ratio implies an exact fit such that all points fall on the curve. It should also be noted that several factors enter the goodness of fit such as the number of data points, the number of coefficients, etc. For data sets in this paper, only the linear and polynomial models were found to be appropriate. For n data points  $(X_i, Y_i)$  the method and formulae used by the program are as follows (24): For the linear model y = a+bx:

$$a = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{n} - b \frac{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right|}{n}$$

$$b = \frac{\sum x_i y_i}{\sum x_i^2 - \frac{(\sum x_i)^2}{n}}$$

Total sum of squares =  $\Sigma y_i^2 - \frac{(\Sigma y_i)^2}{n}$ 

Regression sum of squares = 
$$\frac{\left[\sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i} - \frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} z_{j}}{n}\right]^{2}}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2} - \left(\sum_{i} z_{i}\right)^{2}}$$

Residual Sum of Squares = Total SS - REG SS Regression Mean Squares = REG SS Residual Mean Squares =  $\frac{\text{RES SS}}{n-2}$ 

$$F Ratio = \frac{RES MS}{RES MS}$$

For the polynomial model

$$y = a_m x^m + a_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \ldots + a_1 x + a_0$$
:

m = degree of the desired polynomial

 $(x_i, f(x_i)) = set of tabulated points ((x_i, y_i)) in the other models$ 

 $g_{j}(x_{i}) = x_{i}^{j}$  for i = 0, 1, ..., n

 $\alpha_{kj} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} g_k(x_i)g_j(x_i) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, ..., m$ j = 0, 1, 2, ..., m

A system of simultaneous equations is then written in terms of  $\alpha\,{}^\prime s$  as follows

$$\alpha_{m_{0}}a_{m} + \alpha_{m-1_{0}}a_{m-1} + \dots + \alpha_{0_{0}}a_{0} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f(x_{i}) g_{0}(x_{i})$$

$$\alpha_{m_{1}}a_{m} + \alpha_{m-1_{1}}a_{m-1} + \dots + \alpha_{0_{1}}a_{0} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f(x_{i}) g_{1}(x_{i})$$

ļ

	DATA		
Point	#1:	X=0	Y=5.45
Point	#2:	X=1	Y=5.41
Point	#3:	X=2	Y=5.46
Point	#4:	X=3	Y=5.34
Point	#5:	X=4	Y=5.48
Point	<b>#6:</b>	X=5	Y=5.22
Point	#7:	X=6	Y=5.5
Point	#8:	X=7	Y=5.5
Point	<b>#9:</b>	X=8	Y=5.54
Point #	10:	X=9	Y=4.83
Point #	11:	X=10	Y≈5.59
Point #	12:	X=11	Y <b>≠4.5</b> 6
Point #	13:	X=12	Y=5.67
Point #	14:	X=13	Y=4.21
Point #	15:	X=14	Y <b>≖5.8</b> 1
Point #	16:	X=15	Y≖3.77
Point #	17:	X=16	Y=6.04
Point #	18:	X=17	Y=3.21
Point #	19:	X=18	Y=6.47
Point #	20:	X=19	Y=2.44
Point #	21:	X=20	Y=7.46
Point #	22:	X=21	Y=1.16
Point #	23:	>2	Y=11.3

Figure 3:	Data from an analytic solution
_	(Bouwkamp) for the .15 wavelength
	circular disk.

)

b

.



Figure 4:

۱

Plot of  $J_{\rho}$  and  $J_{\phi}$  current components at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  and  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the .15 wavelength circular disk (analytic solution).

t

	DAT	ΓA .	
Point	#1:	X=0	Y≖5.45
Point	#2:	X=1	Y <b>≃5.4</b> 1
Point	<b>#3:</b>	X=2	Y=5.34
Point	#4:	X≈3	Y×5.22
Point	<b>#5:</b>	X≈4	Y=5.05
Point	#6:	X=5	Y=4.83
Point	#7:	X=6	Y=4.56
Point	#8:	X=7	Y=4.21
Point	<b>#9:</b>	X=8	Y=3.77
Point #	#10:	X≈9	Y=3.21
Point #	#11:	X=10	Y <b>=2.4</b> 4
Point :	12:	X=11	Y=1.16

POLYNOMIAL MODEL: Y=A(M)\*X^M+A(M-1)\*X^(M-1)+...+A(1)\*X+A(0) Coefficients: A(0)=5.454547487 A(1)=-.07842408 A(2)=.03991346 A(3)=-.019131686 A(4)=.0024157556 A(5)=-.00011274502 The second s

Source	Df	SS	MS	F
Regression 12702.7636366	5 M4D.3D	20.113	4.023	
Residual Total	6 11	.002 20.115	.000	

Figure 5: Polynomial fit for the J $\rho$  current component at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  for the .15 wavelength circular disk (analytic solution).



Figure 6: Plot of the Jp current component at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  for the .15 wavelength circular disk (analytic solution).

	DATI	A	
Point	#1:	X=0	Y≈5.45
Point	#2:	X=1	Y <b>≈5.4</b> 6
Point	#3:	X=2	Y=5.48
Point	#4:	X=3	Y≈5.5
Point	#5:	X=4	Y≈5.54
Point	#6:	X=5	Y≈5.59
Point	#7:	X=6	Y=5.67
Point	<b>#8:</b>	X=7	Y=5.81
Point	<b>#9:</b>	X=8	Y≖6.04
Point 4	#10:	X*9	Y≖6.47
Point 8	#11:	X≂10	Y=7.4€

POLYNOMIAL MODEL: Y=A(M)\*X^M+A(M-1)\*X^(M-1)+...+A(1)\*X+A(0) Coefficients: A(0)=5.445332077 A(1)=.07520403 A(2)=-.06801385 A(3)=.02502269 A(4)=-.003571543 A(5)=.00018750039

Source	ህ <b>ዮ</b>	55	MS	F
Regression Residual	5 5	3.779 .002	.756 .000	2194.413
Total	10	3.780		

Figure 7: Polynomial fit for the  $J\phi$  current component at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the .15 wavelength circular disk (analytic solution).



## Figure 8: Plot for the J $\phi$ current component at $\phi = 90^\circ$ for the .15 wavelength circular disk (analytic solution).

31

F

Now, Bouwkamp's solution is limited to ka<l where  $k = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$  and a is the radius of the disk. To obtain theoretical solutions on the disks of experimental interest, a Body of Revolution electromagnetics computer code developed by J. R. Mautz and R. F. Harrington (33) was used with the input data appearing in Appendix B. In the figures that immediately follow for the .15 wavelength circular plate, the correlation with the solution of Bouwkamp is good.

In all data plots for the J $\phi$  current component it should be noted that the point nearest to the rim departs significantly from the neighboring interior point. The polynomial modeling was unable to follow this departure from a relatively smooth curve. The last point was therefore deleted from the polynomial fit to the J $\phi$  component throughout this text. This edge point deletions should be recalled in Chapter VII that there exists a very high edge current associated with the maximum value of J $\phi$ , though not depicted.

32

Ľ

R

Ð

!

ľ

	I	ATA	
Point	#1:	X=.001	Y≖.8792
Point	#2:	X=.002	Y=.8768
Point	#3:	X=.003	Y <b>=</b> .872
Point	#4:	X=.004	Y=.8637
Point	#5:	X=.065	Y=.8731
Puint	#6:	X=.006	Y=.8464
Point	#7t	X=.007	Y=.8741
Point	<b>#8:</b>	X=.008	Y=.8224
Point	<b>#9:</b>	X=.009	Y=.8776
Point	#10:	X=.01	Y=.7911
Point	#11:	X=.011	Y=.8821
Point	#12:	X=.012	Y=.7514
Point	#13:	X=.013	Y=.8923
Point	#14:	X=.014	Y <b>≈.70</b> 21
Point	#15:	X=.015	Y=.9091
Point	#16:	X=.016	Y=.641
Point	#17:	X=.017	Y≃.9409
Point	#18:	X=.018	Y≖.5645
Point	#19:	X=.019	Y=1.007
Point	#20:	X=.02	Y=.4656
Point	<b>#21:</b>	X=.021	Y=1.121
Point	#22:	X=.022	Y=.334
Point	#23:	X=.023	Y=2.208

Figure 9: Data from the Body of Revolution Code for the .15 wavelength circular disk.



Figure 10:

Plot of Jp and J $\phi$  current components at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  and  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the .15 wavelength circular disk.

	DA	TA	
Point	#1:	X≈.002	Y=.8768
Point	#2:	X=.004	Y=.8637
Point	#3:	X≃.006	Y=.8464
Point	#4:	X=.008	Y=.8224
Point	#5:	X=.01	Y=.7911
Point	#6:	X=.012	Y=.7514
Point	#7:	X=.014	Y=.7021
Point	#8:	X=.016	Y=.641
Point	#9:	X=.018	Y=.5645
Point 4	#10:	X≈.02	Y=.4656
Point #	#11:	X=.022	Y=.334

5

.

C

.

D

POLYNOMIAL MODEL: Y=A(M)\*X^M+A(M-1)\*X^(M-1)+...+A(1)\*X+A(0) Coefficients: A(0)=.89003945 A(1)=-7.65403 A(2)=839.877 A(3)=-176413.9 A(4)=8980760 A(5)=-197817300 Source Df SS MS

Regression -20431.5213247	5 M4D.3D	.316	.063
Residual	5	000	000
Total	10	.316	

Figure 11: Polynomial fit for the  $J_{\rho}$  current component at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  for the .15 wavelength circular disk.

35

F



Figure 12: Plot of the Jp current component at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  for the .15 wavelength circular disk.

36

	D	ATA	
Point	#1:	X=.001	Y <b>≭.</b> 8792
Point	#2:	X=.003	872
Point	#3:	X=.005	8731
Point	#4:	X=.007	Y=.8741
Point	<b>#5:</b>	X=.009	Y <b>≠.</b> 8776
Point	#6:	X=.011	Y=.8821
Point	#7:	X=.013	Y≠,8923
Point	#8:	X=.015	Y <b>≈.909</b> 1
Point	#91	X=.017	Y <b>≠.9409</b>
Point	#10:	X≃.019	Y <b>≠1.00</b> 7
Point	#11:	X=.021	Y=1.121

(14

POLYNOMIAL MODEL: Y=A(M)\*X^M+A(M-1)\*X^(M-1)+...+A(1)\*X+A(0) Coefficients: A(0)=.884230915 A(1)=-6.09513 A(2)=816.735 A(3)=1125.7 A(4)=-4909480 A(5)=232369100

Source	Df	SS	MS
Regression 17032,4362827	5 M4D.3D	.061	.012
Residual Total	5 10	.000 .061	.000

Figure 13: Polynomial fit for the J $\phi$  current component at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the .15 wavelength circular disk.

37

F



Figure 14: Plot for the J $\phi$  current component at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the .15 wavelength circular disk.

To confirm that the code is reasonable at the longer wavelengths, a 3.0 wavelength disk was run and compared to the analytic solution of Andrejewski (2) which immediately follows the plot from the code (Figure 17). The correlation is again quite good. After the summary graphs (Figure 19) are found, the polynomial fits for the maximum  $J_{\rho}$  and  $J_{\phi}$  current components for the experimental circular disks of diameters .38, .50, 1.0, and 1.3 wavelengths are given.

39

N.

į

ł

	DATA		
Point	#i:	X=.0063	Y=3.441
Point	#2:	X=.0122	Y=3.285
Point	#3:	X=.0185	Y=3.106
Point	#4:	X=.0245	Y=2.667
Point	<b>#5:</b>	X=.0307	Y=2.906
Point	#6 <b>:</b>	X=.0367	Y=1.953
Point	#7:	X=.0429	Y=2.627
Point	<b>#8:</b>	X=.049	Y=1.29
Point	<b>#9:</b>	X=.0551	Y=2.358
Point	#10:	X <b>≈.0</b> 612	Y=.8748
Point	#11:	X <b>≖.0</b> 673	Y=2.125
Point	#12:	X=.0734	Y=.8345
Point	#13:	X=.0795	Y=1.967
Point	#14:	X=.0857	Y=1.149
Point	#15:	X=.0917	Y=1.886
Point	#16:	X=.0979	Y=1.706
Point	#17:	X=.1039	Y≃1.875
Point	#18:	X=.1102	Y=2.343
Point	#19:	X=.1161	Y=1.899
Point	#20:	X=.1224	Y=2.869
Point	#21:	X=.1283	Y=1.925
Point	#22:	X=.1346	Y=3.115
Point	#23:	X=.1405	Y=1.918
Point	#24:	X=.1469	Y=2.989
Point	#25:	X=.1527	Y=1.866
Point	#26:	X=.1591	Y=2.4585
Point	#27:	X=.1649	Y=1.778
Point	#28:	X=.1714	Y=1.667
Paint -	#29.	X= 1771	Y=2 898

Figure 15: Data from the Body of Revolution Code for the 3.0 wavelength circular disk.



.

Ę

i

Figure 16: Plot of  $J_{\rho}$  and  $J_{\phi}$  current components at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  and  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  on the 3.0 wavelength circular disk.

41

and a state of the state of th







In this figure is shown the illuminated side of a disk of approximate diameter of 3.0 wavelengths. The top graph corresponds to  $J_{\rho}$  at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  and the left graph corresponds to  $J_{\phi}$  at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ .

In Figure 19 are summary graphs for the perfectly conducting disk in which C = ka. Note that at approximately 1.2 wavelengths in diameter that the  $J_p$  component begins to depart from the case of the small disk.

42

C

.



(a)  $J_{\rho}$  component at  $\phi = 0$ .

0

a a b bat a b a b



(b)  $J\phi$  component at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ . Figure 18: Theoretical solution for the disk (9).



Figure 19: Plot of  $J_{\rho}$  and  $J_{\phi}$  current components at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  and  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  on the .38 wavelength circular disk.

DATA

X=.0025	Y≖3.338
X=.0051	Y=3.327
X=.0076	Y=3.308
X=.0101	Y=3.27
X=.0126	Y=3.311
X=.0152	Y=3.191
X=.0177	Y=3.317
X=.0203	Y=3.084
X=.0228	Y=3.339
X=.0253	Y=2.95
X≖.0278	Y=3.359
X=.0304	Y=2.78
X=.0329	Y=3.41
X=.0355	Y=2.572
X=.038	Y=3.495
X=.0405	Y=2.326
X=.044	Y=3.64
X=.0456	Y=2.023
X=.0481	Y=3.944
X=.0507	Y=1.643
X=.0532	Y=4.457
X=.0557	Y=1,166
X=.0582	Y=9.06
	X = .0025 X = .0076 X = .0101 X = .0126 X = .0152 X = .0177 X = .0203 X = .0228 X = .0228 X = .0253 X = .0278 X = .0304 X = .0329 X = .0304 X = .0329 X = .038 X = .0405 X = .0446 X = .0456 X = .0441 X = .0456 X = .0557 X = .0552

Figure 20:	Data from the Body of th	e Revolution
-	Code for the .38 waveler	th circular
	disk.	

45

۲

í N

	DATA		
Point	#1:	X=.0051	Y=3.327
Point	#2:	X=.0101	Y=3.27
Point	#3:	X≈.0152	Y≠3.191
Point	#4:	X=.0203	Y=3.084
Point	#5:	X≃.0253	Y=2.95
Point	#6:	X=.0304	Y=2.78
Point	#7:	X=.0355	Y=2,572
Point	<b>#8:</b>	X=.0405	Y=2.326
Point	<b>#9:</b>	X≂.0456	Y=2.023
Point (	₩10:	X=.0507	Y=1.643
Point 4	#11:	X=.0557	Y≢1,166

POLYNOMIAL MODEL: Y=A(M)\*X^M+A(M-1)\*X^(M-1)+...+A(1)\*X+A(0) Coefficients: A(0)=3.402624814 A(1)=-13.5166622 A(2)=87.090219 A(3)=-10076.8948

Source	ወተ	SS	MS	F
Regression 13340.7291375	3 M4D.3D	5.089	1.696	
Residual Total	7 10	.001 5.090	.000	

Figure 21: Polynomial fit for the Jp current component at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  on the .38 wavelength circular disk.



Figure 22: Plot of the J $\rho$  current component at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  for the .38 wavelength circular disk.

Total

	DATA		
Point	#1:	X=.0025	Y=3.338
Point	#2:	X=.0076	Y≖3.308
Point	#3:	X=.0126	Y=3.311
Point	#4:	X=.0177	Y=3.317
Point	#5:	X=.0228	Y=3.339
Point	<b>#6:</b>	X=.0278	Y=3.359
Point	#7:	X=.0329	Y=3.41
Point	#8:	X=.038	Y=3.495
Point	<b>#9:</b>	X=.044	Y=3.64
Point 4	#10 <b>:</b>	X=.0481	Y=3.944
Point (	<b>#11:</b>	X=.0532	Y=4.457

10

POLYNOMIAL MODEL: Y=A(M)\*X^M+A(M-1)\*X^(M-1)+...+A(1)\*X+A(0) Coefficients: A-0)=3.395325482 A(1)=-26.378934 A(2)=2360.5138 A(3)=-78558.199 A(4)=950222.7 SS MS F  $\mathbb{D}f$ Source Regression 4 1.301 .325 850.187 Residual 6 .002 .000

1.303

Figure 23: Polynomial fit for the J $\phi$  current component at  $\phi$  = 90° for the .38 wavelength circular disk.



Figure 24:

í

Plot for the J $\phi$  current component at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the .38 wavelength circular disk.

Ľ

DATA		
Point #1:	X=.0033	Y=3.745
Point #2:	X=.0067	Y=3.731
Point #3:	X=.01	Y=3.704
Point #4:	X=.0133	Y=3.658
Point #5:	X=.0167	Y=3.7
Point #6:	X=.02	Y <b>43.55</b> 8
Point #7:	X=.0233	Y=3.696
Point #8:	X=.0267	Y=3.423
Point #9:	X=.03	Y=3.704
Point #10:	X=.0333	Y=3.254
Point #11:	X=.0367	Y=3.708
Point #12:	X=.04	Y=3.044
Point #13:	X=.0433	Y=3.746
Point #14:	X=.0466	Y=2.797
Point #15:	X=.05	Y=3.808
Point #16:	X=.0533	Y=2.5
Point #17:	X=.0567	Y=3.948
Point #18:	X=.06	Y=2.15
Point #19:	X=.0633	Y=4.253
Point #20:	X=.0666	Y=1.731
Point #21:	X=.07	Y=4.789
Point #22:	X≂.0733	Y=1.204
Point #23:	X=.0767	Y=9.794

Figure	25:	Data	fron	n the	Boo	ly of	Revolu	ution
-		Code	for	the	.50	wave]	Length	circular
	disk							


ĺ

Figure 26: Plot of  $J_{\rho}$  and  $J_{\phi}$  current components at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  and  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  on the .50 wavelength circular disk.

D

(

	DATA	
Point #1:	X=.0067	Y=3.731
Point #2:	X=.0133	Y=3.658
Point #3:	X≈.02	Y=3.558
Point #4:	X=.0267	Y=3.423
Point #5:	X≃.0333	Y=3.254
Point #6:	X≈.04	Y=3.044
Foint #7:	X=.0466	Y=2.797
Point #8:	X=.0533	Y≠2.5
Point #9:	X=.06	Y=2.15
Point #10:	X=.0666	Y=1.731
Point #11:	X=.0733	Y=1.204

.

POLYNOMIAL MODEL: Y=A(M)\*X^M+A(M-1)\*X^(M-1)+...+A(1)\*X+A(0)
Coefficients:
 A(0)=3.808547119
 A(1)=-9.9410142
 A(2)=-76.172458
 A(3)=-3694.9184

Source	Df	SS	MS	F
Regression 22102.2789118	3 M4D.3D	7.027	2.342	
Residual Total	7 10	.001 7.028	.000	

Figure 27: Polynomial fit for the  $J_{\rho}$  current component at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  for the .50 wavelength circular disk.



Figure 28:

Plot of the  $J_{\rho}$  current component at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  for the .50 wavelength circular disk.

53

¢.

	DATA		
Point	#1:	X=.0033	Y≍3.745
Point	#2:	X=.01	Y=3.704
Point	#3:	X=.0167	Y=3.7
Point	#4:	X=.0233	Y=3.696
Point	<b>#5:</b>	X=.03	Y=3.704
Point	#6 <b>:</b>	X=.0367	Y=3.708
Point	#7:	X=.0433	Y=3.746
Point	#8:	X=.05	Y=3.808
Point	#9:	X=.0567	Y=3.948
Point 🔅	#10:	X=.0633	Y=4.253
Point 🔅	#11:	X=.07	Y=4.789

POLYNOMIAL MODEL: Y=A(M)\*X^M+A(M-1)\*X^(M-1)+...+A(1)\*X+A(0) Coefficients: A(0)=3.7749465 A(1)=-10.77588 A(2)=454.693 A(3)=-4183.87 A(4)=-126590.5 A(5)=2389217 Source Df SS. MS. F Regression 5 1.168 .234 6969.584 Residual 5 .000 .000

1.168

Figure 29: Polynomial fit for the J $\phi$  current component at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the .50 wavelength circular disk.

54

2

i i

Total



Figure 30: Plot for the J $\phi$  current component at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the .50 wavelength circular disk.

55

م المظلم مثلم مثلم المكافئة عليه المراجل المحالية المراجلية المحالية المراجل المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية في معالم المحالية محالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية

Point	#1:	X=.0015	Y=3.153
Point	#2:	X=.0031	Y=3.146
Point	#3:	X=.0046	Y=3.13
Point	#4:	X=.0061	Y=3.109
Point	#5:	X=.0076	Y=3.108
Point	#6:	X≂.0092	Y=3.053
Point	#7:	X=.0107	Y=3.082
Point	#8:	X=.0122	Y <b>≈2.98</b> 2
Point	*9:	X=.0137	Y=3.045
Point	#10:	X=.0153	Y=2.89
Point	#11:	X=.0168	Y <b>≍2.99</b> 5
Point	#12:	X=.0184	Y=2.782
Point	#13:	X=.0199	Y=2.948
Point	#14:	X=.0214	Y=2.663
Point	#15:	X=.0229	Y≃2.883
Point	#16:	X=.0245	Y=2.527
Point	#17:	X=.026	Y=2.821
Point	#18:	X=.0275	Y=2.385
Point	#19:	X=.029	Y=2.748
Point	#20:	X≖.0306	Y=2.23
Point	#21:	X=.0321	Y=2.674
Point	#22:	X=.0337	Y=2.069
Point	#23:	X=.0352	Y=2.604
Point	#24:	X=.0367	Y=1.909
Point	#25:	X=.0382	Y=2.531
Point	#26:	X <b>=.0</b> 398	Y=1.741
Point	#27:	X=.0413	Y=2.472
Point	#28:	X=.0428	Y=1.576
Point	#29:	X=.0443	Y=2.422
Point	#30:	X=.0459	Y=1.403
Point	#31:	X=.0474	Y≖2.403
Point	#32:	X=.049	Y=1.224
Point	#33:	X=.0505	Y=2.437
Point	#34:	X=.052	Y=1.041
Point	#35:	X=.0535	Y=2.582
Point	#36:	X=.0551	Y=.8284
Point	#37:	X=.0566	Y=2.895
Point	#38:	X=.0581	Y=,5831
Point	#39:	X=.0596	Y≈6.03

r

Figure	31:	Data	from	the	Bod	ly of	Revolution
_		Code	for	the	1.0	wavel	ength
		circu	lar	disk	•		



Figure 32: Plot of Jp and J $\phi$  current components at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  and  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the 1.0 wavelength circular disk.

POINT #1:	X≡.0031	Y=3.146
Point #2:	X=.0061	Y=3.109
Point #3:	X=.0092	Y=3,053
Point #4:	X=,0122	Y=2.982
Point #5:	X=.0153	Y=2.89
Point #6:	X=.0184	Y≐2.782
Point #7:	X=.0214	Y=2,663
Point #8:	X=.0245	Y≖2.527
Point #9:	X=.0275	Y=2,385
Point #10:	X=.0306	Y≠2.23
Point #11:	X=.0337	Y≠2.069
Point #12:	X=.0367	Y=1.909
Point #13:	X=.0398	Y=1.741
Point #14:	X=.0428	Y=1.576
Point #15:	X=.0459	Y=1.403
Point #16:	X=.049	Y=1.224
Point #17:	X=.052	Y=1.041
Point #18:	X=.0551	Y≠.8284
Point #19:	X=.0581	Y=.5831

POLYNOMIAL MODEL: Y=A(M)\*X^M+A(M-1)\*X^(M-1)+...+A(1)\*X+A(0)
Coefficients:
 A(0)=3.1943401455
 A(1)=-8.2680791
 A(2)=-883.671313
 A(3)=4536.71457

Source	Df	\$\$	MS	
Regression 13136.0954293	3 M4D.3D	12.211	4.070	
Residual Total	15 18	.005 12.215	.000	

F

Figure 33: Polynomial fit for the  $J_{\rho}$  current component at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  for the 1.0 wavelength circular disk.

58



i N

Figure 34: Plot of the  $J_p$  current component at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  for the 1.0 wavelength circular disk.

	DATA		
Point #1	1:	X=.0015	Y=3.153
Point #2	2:	X=.0046	Y=3.13
Point #3	3:	X=.0076	Y=3.108
Point #4	¥:	X=.0107	Y=3.082
Point #5	5:	X=.0137	Y=3.045
Point #6	5:	X=.0168	Y=2.995
Point #7	':	X <b>≖.019</b> 9	Y≡2.948
Point #8	9:	X=.0229	Y=2.883
Point #9	):	X=.026	Y=2.821
Point #10	):	X=.029	Y≖2.748
Point #11	.:	X=.0321	Y=2.674
Point #12	2:	X=.0352	Y≖2.604
Point #13	3:	X=.0382	Y≖2.531
Point #14	¥:	X=.0413	Y=2.472
Point #15	5:	X=.0443	Y=2.422
Point #16	5:	X=.0474	Y=2.403
Point #17	?: ·	X=.0505	Y=2.437
Point #18	3:	X=.0535	Y=2.582

X=.0566

Point #19:

POLYNOMIAL MODEL: Y=A(M)\*X^M+A(M-1)\*X^(M-1)+...+A(1)\*X+A(0)
Coefficients:
 A(0)=3.17569475
 A(1)=-17.25378
 A(2)=2264.259
 A(3)=-203305.9
 A(4)=7448120
 A(5)=-130549100
 A(6)=903319000

Y=2.895

Source	Df	SS	MS	F
Regression -15629.6659251	6 M4D.3D	1.257	.210	
Residual Total	12 18	000 1.257	000	

Figure 35: Polynomial fit for the  $J\phi$  current component at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the 1.0 wavelength circular disk.



Ľ

Figure 36: Plot for the J $\phi$  current component at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the 1.0 wavelength circular disk.

I

1

DATA

Point	: #1:	X=.002	Y=3.081
Point	#2:	X=.004	Y=3.072
Point	#3:	X≖.006	Y=3.058
Point	#4:	X=.008	Y=3.023
Point	#5:	X=.01	Y=3.031
Point	#6:	X=.0119	Y=2.952
Point	#7:	X=.0139	Y=2.987
Point	; #8:	X=.0159	Y=2.857
Point	: #9:	X=,0179	Y=2.934
Point	#10:	X=,0199	Y=2.744
Point	#11:	X=.0219	Y=2.865
Point	#12:	X=.0239	Y <b>=2.6</b> 2
Point	#13:	X=.0259	Y≈2.793
Point	#14:	X <b>≍.0278</b>	Y=2.497
Point	#15:	X=.0298	Y=2.708
Point	#16:	X=.0318	Y=2.373
Point	#17:	X <b>≖.0</b> 338	Y=2.617
Point	#18:	X=.0358	Y=2.259
Point	#19:	X=.0378	Y <b>≈2.5</b> 22
Point	#20:	X <b>≍.0</b> 398	Y <b>≈2.15</b> 8
Point	#21:	X=.0418	Y=2.424
Point	#22:	X=.0438	Y=2.072
Point	#23:	X=.0458	Y=2.328
Point	#24:	X=.0477	Y=2
Point	#25:	X <b>≈.049</b> 7	Y=2.235
Point	#26:	X=.0317	Y=1.931
Point	#27:	X≖.0537	Y=2.148
Point	#28:	X=.0557	Y=1.857
Point	#29:	X=.0577	Y=2.071
Point	<b>#30:</b>	X≖.0597	Y=1.768
Point	#31:	X=.0617	Y=2.018
Point	<b>*</b> ^?:	X=.0636	Y=1.652
Point	#33:	X=.0656	Y=2.001
Point	#34:	X=.0676	Y=1.487
Point	#35:	X=.0696	Y=2.072
Point	#36:	X=.0716	Y=1.25
Point	#37:	X≡.0736	Y=2.285
Point	#38:	X=.0756	Y=.9054
Point	#39:	X=.0776	Y=4.718

Figure 37:

Data from the Body of Revolution Code for the 1.3 wavelength circular disk.



# Figure 38: Plot of $J\rho$ and $J\phi$ current components at $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ and $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ for the 1.3 wavelength circular disk.

63

·...\*

. . . . . . .

۰.

E

(

h g

: :

•

# DATA #1 •

Point #1:	X=.004	Y=3.072
Point #2:	X=.008	Y=3.023
Point #3:	X=.0119	Y=2.952
Point #4:	X=.0159	Y=2.857
Point #5:	X=.0199	Y=2.744
Point #6:	X=.0239	Y=2,62
Point #7:	X=.0278	Y=2.497
Point #8:	X≃.0318	Y=2.373
Point #9:	X=.0358	Y=2.259
Point #10:	X=.0398	Y=2.158
Point #11:	X=.0438	Y×2.072
Point #12:	X=.0477	Y=2
Point #13:	X=.0517	Y=1.931
Point #14:	X=.0557	Y=1.857
Point #15:	X=.0597	Y=1.768
Point #16:	X=.0636	Y=1.652
Point #17:	X=.0676	Y=1.487
Point #18:	X=.0716	Y=1.25
Point #19:	X=.0756	Y=.9054

POLYNOMIAL MODEL: Y#A(M)\*X^M+A(M-1)\*X^(M-1)+...+A(1)\*X+A(0) Coefficients: A(0)=3.008052927 A<1>=22.5225579 A(2)=-2844.32076 A(3)=60469.0732 A(4)=-418319.823

Source	Df	\$\$	MS	F
Regression 15893.1909279	4 M4D.3D	6.844	1.711	
Residual Total	14 18	.002 6.845	.000	

Polynomial fit for the  $J_{\rho}$  current component at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the 1.3 Figure 39: wavelength circular disk.



1

3

]

Figure 40: Plot of the  $J_{\rho}$  current component at  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$  for the 1.3 wavelength circular disk.

C

ſ

	DATA					
Point	#1:	X=.002	Y=3.081			
Point	#2:	X=.006	Y=3.058			
Point	#3:	X=.01	Y=3.031			
Point	#4:	X=,0139	Y=2.987			
Point	<b>#5:</b>	X=.0179	Y=2.934			
Point	<b>#6:</b>	X=.0219	Y=2.865			
Point	#7:	X=,0259	Y=2.793			
Point	<b>#8:</b>	X=.0298	Y=2.708			
Point	<b>#9:</b>	X=.0338	Y=2.617			
Point	*10:	X=.0378	Y=2.522			
Point	#11:	X=.0418	Y=2.424			
Point	#12:	X=.0458	Y=2.328			
Point	#13:	X=.0497	Y=2.235			
Point	#14:	X=.0537	Y=2.148			
Point	#15:	X=.0577	Y=2.071			
Point	<b>#</b> 16:	X=.0617	Y=2.018			
Point	#17:	X=.0656	Y=2.001			
Point	#18:	X≍.0696	Y=2.072			
Point	#19:	X=.0736	Y=2.285			
001.0000	4101 MODE		202M-02M-453082M-451			
PULYNUMIAL MUDEL: Y=H(M)*X^M+H(M=1)*X^(M=1)++H(1)*X+H(0) C== 00%= {=======						
LOPITICIENTS;						
H(U)=3.10093991						

A(1)=-10.89312 A(2)=989.22 A(3)=-84386.8 A(4)=2455025 A(5)=-32841490 A(6)=170852500

Source	Df	SS	MS	F
Regression Residual	6 12	2.732 .001	.455 .000	6819.542
lotal	18	2.733		

Figure 41: Polynomial fit for the J $\phi$  current component at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the 1.3 wavelength circular disk.



Figure 42: Plot for the J $\phi$  current component at  $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  for the 1.3 wavelength circular disk.

#### Square Flat Plate

The square flat plate is not solvable analytically. A Body of Translation electromagnetic computer code solution was run at the Rome Air Development Center and data results were then collated into tables for total current for the top half of the square plate and contour plots were drawn. These appear in Appendix C along with the current components at the horizontal bisecting line of a 1.0 wavelength square plate. This data differs from that of other codes such as the ones that appear in the next three figures. The square plates are of electrical sizes .15, 1.0, and 1.2 wavelengths and the dominant current component is vertical if we consider the incident Efield to also be oriented vertically. It should be noted that the plates are assumed to be perfectly conducting and that the form of the current density plots do not vary significantly from .15 to 1.2 wavelengths. Only a slight rise in current is observed near the midpoint of 1.0 and 1.2 wavelength plate unlike the case of the .15 wavelength plate. Also, shown in Figure 45 is the current on a resistive plate depicted by a dashed line.



ł

Figure 44: Theoretical result for the 1.0 wavelength square plate (51).



Figure 45: Theoretical result for 1.2 wavelength square plate (45).

#### CHAPTER III

#### INFRARED/MICROWAVE LABORATORY

To conduct an experiment for detecting microwave induced surface current through an infrared approach, it was necessary to design and construct a facility where none had previously existed. For a "proof of principle" goal, flexibility was highly valued as unforeseen problems were bound to occur. Time and financial constraints dictated that available resources be used to the maximum extent possible. То observe heating effects on relatively preliminary coating schemes, the highest microwave levels possible from available sources were to be obtained, thus safety considerations were also paramount. The basic experimental arrangement is seen on the following page (Figure 46).

The antenna, metal parabolic and corner reflectors, and target object were mounted on a 12' x 4' aluminum ground plane which was encased by absorbing cones forming an anechoic chamber. The electromagnetic wave propagates from the antenna/reflector configuration to the target. The wave interaction with the target creates surface currents which in turn





72

**\*** 

•

produce joule heating detectable by the Thermovision camera located behind the reflectors. The camera was maintained at normal incidence from the target surface.

The basic setup is seen in the following photograph (Figure 47). Located to the left of the chamber on a cart is the apparatus for recording position and induced voltage from a magnetic field probe which was developed to detect surface currents. The magnetic field probe will be discussed in detail in Chapter IV. The cones on the side walls are eight inches from base to tip, constructed of a carbon impregnated eurethane material, and mounted on plywood with contact cement. The first horizontal section of the left side wall  $(6' \times 4')$  was designed and constructed with guides on the top and bottom which allowed forward and back movement or entire removal of the plywood/cone side wall. The chamber was on two bakelite covered work benches. The aluminum ground plane was elevated from the workbench base with 2x4 boards to provide a space for probe telemetry as well as coaxial cable and couplings for the antenna mounted vertically in the ground plane. Leveling of the ground plane was accomplished with shims and adjustment of the support legs.



(

C

2

T

U

1

....





•

-

T

1

•

. . .

C

.

The following discussion includes the equipment involved in the propagation of the EM wave, detection of the IR, and the particular targets of interest in this report.

#### Microwave Radiation

Continuous wave sources at frequencies of 937 MHz and 2.45 GHz were used. The experimental arrangement is shown in a block diagram in Figure 48 and a photograph in Figure 49. Table 1 provides further information on the equipment. As is standard practice, tuning was accomplished so as to maximize transmitted power and minimize reflected power. Maximum source power was 80 watts and 120 watts for the 937 MHz and 2.45 GHz sources respectively. A Hewlett Packard spectrum analyzer (Model 8555A) was used to confirm that the output frequencies were 937 MHz and 2.45 GHz for the respective microwave sources. The reasons for selecting 937 MHz included remaining down slightly from the limit of the device, it had been used at Monterey, and it provided a significantly different wavelength than that of the 2.45 GHz single frequency source. In Figure 50 is shown a variable aperature, corner reflector, and a parabolic cylinder reflector depicted with a quarter-wave monopole.



### Table 1

## Microwave Equipment

Nomenclature	Identification		
Microwave Sources	Microwave Power Generator Model MPG 4M KIVA Instrument Corporation Rockville, MD (2.45 GHz)		
	Power Signal Source Sierra Electronics Division Model 476A-1000 Philco Corporation, USA (.5-1.0 GHz)		
Isolator	Ferrite Isolator Micro-mega Model RL-146		
Dual Directional Coupler	Hewlett Packard Model 765D (450-945 MHz)		
Attenuators	Variable Attenuators Microlab/FXR Model No. AJ-310N (.5-6.0 GHz)		
	Coaxial Attenuators Merrimac Type AU10A (.5-12 GHz)		
Dual Stub Tuners	Microlab S 2-05 N (Used at 937 MHz)		
	Microlab/FXR Model N 800 A		
Power Meters	Hewlett Packard Model 432A Thermister Mount Model 478A		
Coaxial Cable	Plastoid Corporation RG-214U		

D

P



U.

(a) Adjustable corner reflector (2.45 GHz)



(b) Parabolic cylinder reflector (2.45 GHz)Figure 50: Antenna reflectors

hearing a reasonably become her or or the

The driven elements were guarter-wave monopoles mounted on a ground plane. The current distribution on the antenna is modeled through image theory as that of a center fed half wave dipole in free space with one half of the radiation resistance. The antennas were made from .32 cm diameter brass rods and threaded so as to be easily interchangeable and to fit into a connector mounted into the ground plane from below. The interior line in the coaxial cable was connected to the antenna and maintained in a rigid position with a Teflon spacer which fit firmly in mounted opening in the ground plane. The accepted value of .95 was applied to the electrical quarter wave length to yield an antenna height of 7.6 cm and 2.9 cm above the ground plane for frequencies of 937 MHz and 2.45 GHz respectively (29). With vertically oriented antennas on a horizontal ground plane, it is clear that the incident field at a target would be rearly vertically polarized, E vertical and H horizontal.

To increase power at the target and thus increase perceptible heating effects, greater gain from the antenna was required. Additionally, a plane wave was also required if correlations were to be reasonably made with theoretical studies which

80

Ņ

i

assumed an incident plane wave. Corner reflectors and parabolic cylinder reflectors were logically choices. It was also recognized that a chamber width of only four feet could result in reflection problems and thus difficulty in achieving a "quiet zone" at the target. A hinged, variable aperature corner reflector was designed and constructed using emperically determined optimum dimensions from work by Cottony and Wilson (14). Antenna to apex spacing consideration were originally discussed in 1940 by Krauss (29). It is well known that corner reflection with aperature angles such as  $180^{\circ}$ ,  $90^{\circ}$ ,  $60^{\circ}$ ,  $45^{\circ}$ etc., that result when  $360^{\circ}$  is evenly divided by an integer can be modeled through image theory to readily give the far field pattern of interest. Also, apex to antenna spacing can be varied to give a maximum lobe on the centerline, a null on centerline or a transition situation between these extremes (27). However, the problem remained essentially an emperical one due to the complicating "small" anechoic chamber, particularly in the case of 937 MHz. A ripple tank study of two dimensional modeling of wave interactions, varying reflectors and apex to antennas spacing in a "small" chamber was conducted and a summary appears in Appendix A.

The far field or Fraunhoffer condition requires that the distance of the scattering object from an exciting source (D) be related as

$$D > \frac{2L^2}{\lambda}$$
(46)

where

 $\lambda$  is the wavelength and

L is the characteristic length which in the case of a corner reflector is simply twice the apex to antenna distance (See Figure 51). A  $90^{\circ}$  corner reflector was eventually used for the 937 MHz case ( $\lambda$ =32 cm) such that

 $S = .32\lambda$ 

which implies

$$L = 2S = .64\lambda$$

(47)

and therefore

$$D > \frac{2(.64\lambda)^2}{\lambda} = .82\lambda$$

D > 26.2 cm

The target was actually in the chamber 100.5 cm from the antenna with S = 10.2 cm implying that D = 110.7 cm which is in the far field.

The positioning of a parabolic cylinder reflector for the 2.45 GHz case was again impirically arrived at after having started with situation where



Figure 51: 90<sup>0</sup> Corner Reflector.

83

•

the antenna was at the focal point and the antenna was roughly in the plane of the aperature. Final antenna to reflector spacing was 15.3 cm. Free field plots for both frequencies across 20 cm at the target location for 3/4 and 1 3/4 inches off the ground plane are found in Chapter V.

#### Infrared Detection

This work extends offorts performed at the Naval Postgraduate School under Dr, Robert W. Burton where qualitative studies were carried out on non-metallic surfaces.

#### Thermography Equipment

The system used in all of our measurements was an AGA 680 camera operating in the 2-5.6  $\mu$ m spectral region. This device has an 8° lens with a 1.3 mr instantaneous field of view. The detector is made of indium antimonide (InSb) and cooled to 77 K with liquid nitrogen with a limiting sensitivity of  $.1^{\circ}$ K. Since the detector is a semi-conductor, it is a photon detector rather than an energy detector. This is significant since the number of photons a blackbody emits is proportional to T<sup>3</sup> rather than T<sup>4</sup> as is the case for the total energy radiated. The AGA 680 is a

line scanning system that forms a 128 x 128 array of its 8<sup>0</sup> square window. One complete scan or "frame" takes 1/16 second and is comprised of 64 rows having 128 elements in each row. It detects the number of photons emitted from each element per unit time and then assigns it a level from 1 to 10 based on the number of photons received. These levels are graphically displayed in the form of ten discrete colors on a CRT display. The system's sensitivity is discretely variable in the form of fixed isotherm unit (ISU) windows which, in other words, determine the temperature "band width" that the camera will be capable of detecting. It also contains a threshold adjustment which determines where on the temperature scale the temperature window will be located. In Figure 52 the AGA 680 Thermovision System is seen with the black and white monitor to the left of an Hewlett Packard 9845B minicomputer, and the color monitor to the left of the black and white monitor. The camera is in the foreground and was adequate for this phase of the overall project but would not provide the flexibility of placement near a target object due to its size and field perturbing effects in chamber. Initial work was required on the system in the spring and summer of 1980 as a faulty video



Figure 52: Infrared detection equipment.

1

i

- H

1

1

Ņ

J

K

E
amplification board and "chopper" adjustments were located and corrected. However, even with a properly functioning 680 system the results were still in gray levels on the black and white display and ten color levels on the color display. As will become clear, a photographic display was not adequate to explore the surface current problem.

# Digitizing the Thermovision\_Output

For the required quantitative analysis, such as the point-by-point correction for emissivity variations, and determination of surface current densities, computer support was necessary. Unfortunately, the data from the Thermovision camera was too fast for direct input to a reasonably compact computer. The required interface between the camera and the computer was designed specifically for the present needs of the project. The interface stores one frame of data at camera speeds and then off-loads the data at computer speeds (interface built by Major Warmuth).

The AGA Thermovision 680 camera scans from left to right at a rate of 0.625 µsec per line. It uses approximately 64 lines (from top to bottom) to produce one frame, and interlaces seven frames per picture. The first frame illuminates dots in lines one, three, five, etc. The second frame illuminates

dots in lines two, four, six, etc. The TV uses the standard electrical power frequency to control vertical movement. Therefore, it produces sixty frames and thirty pictures per second. The hermovision produces about 16 frames and 2.3 pictures per second.

The interface reads 128 samples per scan line, digitizes the temperature level, and stores the results for one frame. This yields approximately 8200 pieces of data in 62 msec. The data is then transferred into a Hewlett Packard 9845B desk-top computer. Once the data is in the computer, it may be manipulated through normal matrix techniques.

The computer signals the interface when a frame of data is to be taken; the interface then waits for a vertical snyc pulse from the camera. The vertical sync pulse aligns all circuitry in the Thermovision system at the upper left-hand corner of the display. When the interface receives the vertical sync, it acknowledges the computer's request and begins digitizing and storing the video data. A very fast 16K by 8 bit memory board was locally available; therefore, design of a new storage device was not required. Digitizing initially to ten levels was

88

D

3

)

I

Þ

.

J

Þ

Ď

appropriate in the original system since the Thermovision color display depicts only ten levels.

ļ

The interface uses an onboard clock running at 277 KHz. This clock provides 173 samples per line, but about 25% of the line is a right-edge bar and horizontal blanking which provides no useful information. Thus, only the first 128 words are stored. The counter which controls word storage is reset at 128 and enabled by a horizontal sync pulse generated by the Thermovision camera. The horizontal sync pulse aligns the Thermovision system at the lefthand edge of the display. The output of the counter is used to determine the least significant eight bits of the address to the memory where the current digitized value of the video signal is to be stored. The most significant six bits of the address are determined by counting the number of horizontal sync pulses since the last vertical sync pulse. The interface continues digitizing and storing data until it sees a second vertical sync pulse.

The second vertical sync pulse shuts down the data collection portion of the interface and forces the system to notify the computer that a frame of data has been captured. When the computer receives

this notification, it takes control of the interface and the associated memory. The computer transfers the first address to the memory, requests the data stored there, and places it in its internal memory. After restoring the data, it goes to the second address, and so on. When the entire frame of data has been moved to the internal memory, the operator is notified so data manipulation may begin. For data manipulation considerations and the resolution constraint of taking only one frame at a time, the 128 words per row were reduced to 64 by taking only every other one. Therefore, the working matrix was 64 x 64.

In Figures 53 and 54 are shown two early efforts involving the microwave illumination of square plates and the digitization of the results. The square plate was a boron-epoxy substrate with a carbon-based paint for a coating. The frequency was changed from 937 MHz to 2.45 GHz such that the electrical size of plate changed from .50 to 1.3 wavelength. The accompanying patterns also changed as is clear from the figures.

90

Ū

~

ĨŪ 0.0000000000 ላላጣ N M M N N ٠ - M - M - M M - A ľu i - n -۸ı 一间四十一时 (ħ ወ ---0.0 ณ เง N Ditized Output. ei. 01.01 TV D ÛЦ. 01-01 ឲ្យកាត់ N ΠI. m N ń) 01 ក្រចំព្រឹតត្រូសស្រ័កតិត្រសាល់អំណូé. м Ю М m (1) (1) **†** (q) ថ្លេសូស លកសុក្ត (I) ei, ។៣៤៣៧)៣៣១១១៣⊶ 生的的

C

ſ



# Early infrared results for a .50 wavelength square plate above the ground plane (aquadac on epoxy). 53:

Thermogram.

(a)

Figure

<u>0000000</u> CI eų. **Num D** ~ (D) ጣ ባ N N N m N N N N N N ٩J ы **N N M M** - 0 -- -- N AL AJ QI. - N -- M N ល ៣ ---N. → N .-000 M NN e) មណ្ឌមាម -ΛJ -- 10 10 - 10 - 10 a) 01 NUN n) n) e) \_ -ត្រ 🖬 🖬 e 1 N đ١ n) ----⊣ល៣៧២៣ Ч ოო 



(b) Ditized Output.

(a) Thermogram.

Early infrared results for a 1.3 wavelength square plate above the ground plane (aquadac on epoxy). Figure 54:

# Thermovision Calibration

With Thermovision data in digital form the next task is to transform this data in isotherm units to that of temperature which will later be shown to be related to current. Calibration curves for the AGA Thermovision 680 may be modeled by

$$I = \frac{p}{\frac{q}{e^{T} - 1}}$$
(48)

where

I = Isotherm unit corresponding to T

T = Absolute temperature (<sup>O</sup>K)

p,q = Aperature constaints

A typical calibration taken from 680 manual is given in Figure 55. By measuring two values on the curve (i.e.,  $T_1$ ,  $I_1$  and  $T_2$ ,  $I_2$ ) and substituting individually in equation (48), the result is a system of two equations in two unknowns. Thus taking two measurements using a suitable temperature source can yield the calibration unknowns p and q.

From equation (43) and the two measured values, the following expressions are obtained.

$$p = I_1 (e^{T_1} - 1)$$
 (49)

$$q = T_2 \ln \left(\frac{p}{I_2} + 1\right)$$
 (50)



٠.-

-

STINU MABHIOSI

94

J

Substituting equation (49) for p into equation (50) for q, the result is the following transcendental equation.

$$q = T_2 \ln \frac{I_1}{I_2} (e^{\frac{q}{T_1}} - 1) + 1$$
 (51)

Typically, q is much larger than  $T_1$  so that

$$\frac{I_1}{I_2} (e^{\frac{q}{T_1}} - 1) + 1 \approx \frac{I_1}{I_2} e^{\frac{q}{T_1}}$$
(52)

and therefore

$$I \simeq \frac{T_1 T_2}{T_1 - T_2} \ln \frac{I_1}{I_2}$$
 (53)

An example of the relative magnitude of q and  $T_1$  is found by taking two points from the graph in Figure 55,  $T_1 = 90^{\circ}$  C =  $363^{\circ}$  K,  $I_1 = 150$  ISU,  $T_2 = 190^{\circ}$  C =  $463^{\circ}$  K, and  $I_2 = 950^{\circ}$  and solving for p and q. The resulting expression defining the curve is

$$I = \frac{771,348}{\frac{3102}{T}}$$

where

p = 771,348 and q = 3102

A more general solution to the transcendental equation is found in computer program named 'CAMCAL' in Appendix D using the Newton's approximation. This itterative technique allows the user to select the convergence criterion and uses the following function.

$$f(q) = T_2 \ln \frac{I_1}{I_2} (e^{-1}) + 1 - q$$
 (54)

1

its derivative

$$f'(q) = \left[\frac{\frac{T_2}{q}}{\frac{I_1}{I_2}(e^{T_1}-1)+1}\right] \frac{I_1}{I_2T_1}e^{\frac{q}{T_1}}-1 \quad (55)$$

and the standard recursion relation.

ſ

$$q_{n+1} = q_n - \frac{f(q_n)}{f'(q_n)}$$
 (56)

The values of p and q used in all calculations in this paper were determined to be

p = 772600.6 and q = 3102.6

which yield the calibration curve in Figure 56. Note that for ambient temperature and for small changes in temperature, the relationship between isotherm units and degrees of temperature is nearly linear.

96

0

\_\_\_\_



### Targets

Several considerations were involved in the selection of flat plate electrical and physical sizes. The minimum focal distance for the AGA Thermovision 680 is 1.7 m which was used in data collection. Thus the object size was reasonably restricted to greater than 10 cm along the largest physical dimension to obtain a sufficient number IR elements to distinguish current patterns. For the electrical dimension, chamber size considerations reasonably restricted a "quiet zone" to approximately 20 cm for the maximum horizontal direction. One must recall that objects in contact with a ground plane will have an electrical image such that an electrical square plate will physically appear as a rectangular plate having a horizontal dimension twice that of the vertical dimension. Additionally, the investigation of current patterns should include resonant and nonresonant sizes. The following physical and electrical sizes were chosen.

### Table 2

# Flat Plate Size

Largest physical dimension (cm)	2.45 GHz (Wavelengths)	937 MHz (Wavelengths)	
16	1.333	.50	
12.24	1.0	.3825	

Three material compositions were used. The first was 1/16 inch plexiglass coated on one side with a carbon based paint known commercially as Television Tube Kote (aquadac). The thickness of several sprayed on coats was 59 µm and the conductivity of the aquadad was measured by Major Vic Martin to be 315 mhos/m. To achieve a good electrical contact with the ground plane, the plates were first mounted on square 1/32 inch thick aluminum sheet with silver conducting point as seen in Figure 57. When placed in the chamber the mounting aluminum sheet was taped to the ground plane with copper tape. The second composition was again developed by Martin. A 2 mm thick coating of carbon/paraffin mixture with a measured conductivity of 1 mho/m was placed on a 1/2 inch thick sheet of styrofoam which in turn was attached with double-stick material to a 1/16 inch thick aluminum plate. A sampling of this composition is seen in Figure 58. The third type was simply 1/4 inch thick aluminum plates that were sanded to remove a factory coating and used for the probe measurement study.



(a) Circular disk.



(b) Square flat plate.

Figure 57: Targets - Aquadac on plexiglass.



U

Targets - Carbon/paraffin over metal. 58: Figure

### CHAPTER IV

# MAGNETIC FIELD PROBE

The classic technique for measuring surface currents is with a loop antenna (50). In most cases, the objects are metallic with a structure which is convenient for shielding the probe telemetry from the incident and scattered EM field. It was not clear during the work on this project that the target would be restricted to metal. A magnetic field probe was therefore developed that could scan along any material surface or be used as a free field probe.

The basis of operation of a magnetic field or "current" probe is Faraday's Law. Stated roughly, the induced voltage in the loop will be equal to the time rate of change of the magnetic field through the loop assuming permeability and area of the loop are constant. Most exactly, the line integral of the electric field around the perimeter of a loop is equal to the integral of the time rate-of-change of the magnetic induction over the area of the loop.

$$\int \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{I} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{S} \qquad (57)$$

102

C

(X

where  $\vec{E}$  is the electric field intensity in volts per meter, and  $\vec{B}$  is the magnetic flux density in teslas. The left side of equation is simply the induced voltage (V) in the loop. The right side can be simplified by assuming that  $\vec{B}$  is constant over the area S. Assuming a sinusoid field, the greatest dimension for any probe in this report is .64 cm compared with the smallest wavelength of 12.24 cm implies that the variation of  $\vec{H}$  over the area will be no larger than five percent. Therefore, the component of  $\vec{B}$  parallel to  $d\vec{S}$  can be considered spatially independent of  $d\vec{S}$  over the loop and the area is independent of time such that equation (57) reduces to

$$V = -S \frac{dB}{dt}$$
(58)

where B now is the component perpendicular to the plane of the loop. Applying the constituent relation  $\vec{B} = \mu \vec{H}$  to the case of the probe in free space ( $\mu = \mu_{Q} =$ permeability of free space), we have

$$\mathbf{V} = -\mu_0 \mathbf{S} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{H}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}}$$
(59)

or

$$V = -j_{\omega\mu}SH$$
(60)

for an  $e^{j\omega t}$  time variation of the magnetic field.

V<sub>rms</sub> is actually the measured quantity. Now, a magnetic field probe can be used to measure surface current density since the magnetic field over a conductive sheet is related to the surface current density by Ampere's Law:

$$\int_{t} \vec{H} \cdot d\vec{t} = \int_{s} \vec{J}_{t} \cdot d\vec{s} , \qquad (61)$$

where

- H = magnetic field intensity in amperes
  per meter
- dl = incremental length on a closed contour
  in meters
- J<sub>t</sub> = conduction current density plus the displacement current density in amperes per meter
- ds = incremental area on the contour in
  square meters.

For the case of metallic sheets, the conduction current density dominates the displacement current density and the magnetic flux does not appreciably penetrate the sheet. Therefore, Ampere's Law reduces to

$$H = J_{S}$$
(62)

where  $J_s$  is the surface current density in amperes per meter.

104

Ľ

For metal plates, the assumptions associated with a small probe and a good conductor allow one to see quite simply that output voltage from a magnetic field sensing probe positioned at the surface of a conductor is linear with surface curren density.

If there is appreciable penetration of the magnetic field through the object surface the simplifying assumptions should be checked for validity. In this report, the probe will only be used on a high conductive aluminum surface in a high frequency (gigahertz) range which implies a very small skin depth ( $\delta$ ) which is the depth in the conductive sheet where the current density is 1/e = .368 of its value at the surface. The skin depth is given by

$$\delta = \left(\frac{2}{\omega\mu\sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (63)

An important property of a field probe is that it is an integrating device. That is, the contributing current density source for the magnetic field and, hence the induced voltage, is not a "point" source, but rather an area in the vicinity of the probe. A generally accepted figure for probe integration area is 1.5 times the loop area. This integrating property is particularly evident at plate edges where it should be understood that the

current sources are not present throughout the integrating area of the probe as would be the case in the center of the plate. Experimental values of current will be diminished somewhat from the anticipated higher edge current values due predominantly to this effect.

# Experimental Considerations

Receiving and recording the induced voltage in a high frequency (EM) field presents many experimental problems. The only excitation source that is desired is the time varying magnetic field at the probe. The potential coupling of the electric field to the probe and potential coupling of both  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{H}$  to the telemetry must be overcome. The recording device must be capable of either receiving at the driving frequency or the signal must be converted to D.C. The strength of the incident field and the sophistication of equipment dictated the minimum reasonable probe size and therefore the minimum integrating area.

Three probes were developed with varying degrees of success and are shown in the following three figures. The AC semirigid coaxial approach (Figure 59) utilized the cable from Uniform Tubes

Photograph of a Magnetic Field Probe - Semirigid Coaxial Cable Construction. Figure 59:



Drawing of a Magnetic Field Probe - Semirigid Coaxial Figure 60: Cable Construction.

107



Figure 61: Photograph of the Vertical Magnetic Field Probe.



Figure 62:

Drawing of the Vertical Magnetic Field Probe.



ľ

I

Figure 63: Photograph of the Horizontal Magnetic Field Probe.



Figure 64: Drawing of the Horizontal Magnetic Field Probe.

109

Company, which was connected to an RG 58 C/U cable and finally into an HP 8555 A Spectrum Analyzer RF Section with an HP 855 A Spectrum Analyzer -IF Section and 141T Display Section. The D.C. approach (Figures 61 and 63), used a Schottky-barrier diode as a portion of the loop with the signal carried by a braided shield, coaxial cable with a measured capacitance of 87 pF/ft. Horizontal and vertical orientations of the probes corresponded to horizontal and vertical current components. The AC, semirigid coaxial approach involved more difficulty with mechanical scanning, required an operator to read and record from a CRT, and was only used as rough, independent verification of the D.C. results. Fabrication of the loop/diode system evolved to a semi-automatic scanning procedure. The orientation of the probe and coaxial cable in the chamber is seen in Figure 65. Recall that the incident EM field is vertically polarized such that a horizontal cable has little effect on the wave. For structural and grounding considerations the outside shielding on left and right side of the loop, as viewed down the chamber, were soldered together. The loop was designed with the diode positioned at the target surface where the boundary conditions imply a minimum

110

l



Ì

horizontal component of the electric field. The loop was formed from the diode wire, covered with insulating shrick-tape up to the diode, and soldered to the center wire of the coaxial cable. Except for the diode casing, the loop wire and center coaxial cable lead were coated with epoxy cement, let dry, then painted with silver conducting paint which also made a good electrical contact with the outer coaxial cable shielding. The signal was rectified by the capacitance of the coaxial cable before being amplified.

The recording equipment for the magnetic field probe signal is seen in Figure 66. On top of the cart is a Hewlett Packard (Model 7004B) X-Y recorder with D.C. preamplifiers (Model 17171A) for both X and Y inputs. Prior to the signal reaching the recorder, it was sent through an amplifying circuit located in the metal box under the recorder which provided shielding. A common ground for the coaxial cable snield, the Proto-Board containing the circuit, the shielding metal box, and the recorder was provided with 10 AWG copper wire attached to a water pipe. The reasons for building the circuit shown in Figure 67, were for the high impedance  $(1.5 T\Omega)$ , provided by the operational



ł.

Figure 66: Magnetic field probe recording equipment.





F.

÷

amplifier (CA 3140 - FET device), additional filtering for 60 cycle noise, and the gain provided prior to reaching the Y input of the X-Y recorder by way of another shielded cable.

Ē

The X-input to the X-Y recorder provided horizontal position information about the probe in the chamber. In Figure 68 is seen a close-up of the



Figure 68: Experimental arrangement for horizontal and vertical probe positioning.

pulley assembly showing the coaxial cable existing through a slit cut in the side wall of the chamber. After passing over the upper pulley, which is matched on the opposite side of the chamber, the cable passes over a rubber covered pulley attached to the shaft of a 1K resistance, 10 turn potentiometer (Helipot). With a 5V power supply connected across the potentiometer, and a vernier feature on the X-input pre-amplifier on the recorder, one-toone distance information was provided between probe position and X-coordinate.

The mechanical scanning system was simple and effective. The horizontal probe movement was accomplished using the 1/40 HP variable speed, reversible laboratory stirrer (G.K. Heller Corporation, Model GT-18 connected to a GT-21 Motor Controller) shown connected to a lead plate in Figure 66. A casting line was connected to a strap mounted on the coaxial cable and to a threaded shaft on the motor which, when counter balanced from the opposite side of the chamber, provided for positive, variable speed horizontal displacement of the probe. The vertical position was simply adjusted by placing matched, horseshoe shaped, wooden spacers under the vertical stops of the pulley as seen in Figure 68.

# Calibration

ł

Several measurements were taken to establish the linearity of the recorded induced voltage to the magnetic field strength at the probe. The first step was to vary a D.C. input voltage to the magnetic field probe pre-amplifier circuit and record the output. The results are shown in Figure 69 (a gain of 5 was eventually sufficient). The next step was to measure the power at the probe and compare it to the recorded voltage. Two calibrated power density meters (Figure 70) were available and tested against each other (a General Microwave Corporation Radiation Hazard Meter, Model 481A with probe Model 82 and a NARDA Microline Electromagnetic Monitor, Model 8100 with probe Models 8122A and 8121A). The situation of needing a power meter in the chamber while taking probe measurements was circumvented by establishing linearity of the microwave source output meter readings with that of a power meter located at the target location with both target and probe removed. The results are shown in Figures 71 through 74. Measurements were now taken of the X-Y recorder response to varying microwave source power inputs. The data best fit with a second degree polynomial (Figures 75 to 78)







Figure 70: Microwave power meters.

as one would expect since power is related to the magnetic field as follows:

$$\vec{S}_{avg} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} (\vec{E} \times \vec{H}^{\star}) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{o}}{\epsilon_{o}}} H^{2}$$
(64)  
since  $\frac{E}{H} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{o}}{\epsilon_{o}}}$  in free space

where

Savg = time averaged Poynting vector

119

 $\vec{E}$  = electric field intensity  $\vec{H}$  = magnetic field intensity  $\mu_0$  = peameability of free space

 $\varepsilon_0$  = permittivity of free space

The relationship of the square root of power to induced voltage at the recorder is seen in Figures 79 through 82 to be linear in the region of experimental interest (nonlinear diode characterstics are evident very near zero).

Very similar results were found using the following, more sophisticated equipment from the Precision Measurement Equipment Laboratory:

> Power Meters - HP 432A (8478B Thermistor Mount)

Signal Generator - HP 8640B (937 MHz) - HP 8620C Sweeper Oscillator

with 86222B-H69 RF plug-in

The signal source was connected at the diode and the output from a microvoltmeter was observed at the X-Y recorder. Results appear in Figures 83 through 90.

Therefore, the voltage seen at the X-Y recorder is linear with the magnetic field at the probe and thus, linear with surface current density on the metal plates.

DATA Point #1: X#0 Y=0 NOTE: Point #2: X=5 Y=1x-coordinate - Source Point #3: X=10 Y=2 power in watts Point #4: Y=3.5 X=15 Point #5: X#20 Y=4.5 y-coordinate - Free field Y=5 Point #6: X≠25 power in  $mW/cm^2$ X=30 Point #7: Y=6.5 Y#7 Point #8: X=35 Point #9: Y**¤**8 X=40 Y=9 Point #10: X=45 Point #11: X=50 Y=9.5 Point #12: X#55 Y=10 Point #13: X=60 Y=11 Y=12 Point #14: X=65 Point #15: X=70 Y=12.5

Linear model: Y=A+B\*X A= .54166666668 B= .177857142857

Source	Df	SS	MS	F
Regression	1	221.432	221.432	1438.453
Residual	13	2.001	.154	
Total	14	223.433		

Figure 71: Correlation of microwave source power indication to free field power measured at target location (937 MHz). 121



ľ

Figure 72: Plot of source power vs free field power (937 MHz).
DH	T f1		
Point #1:	X=0	Y=0	NOTE:
Point #2: Point #3: Point #4:	X≖10 X=20 X=20	Y=3.5 Y≂6 V=8 5	x-coordinate - Source power in watts
Point #5: Point #6:	X=30 X=40 X=50	Y=10.5 Y=13	y-coordinate - Free field power in
Point #7: Point #8:	X≖60 X=70	Y=15 Y=17	mW/cm <sup>2</sup>
Point #9: Point #10:	×≖80 X=90	Υ=19 Υ≈21	

-----

Linear model: Y=A+B\*X A= 1.1363636363 B= .22696969697

Source	Df	SS	MS	F
Regression	1	425.001	425.001	1346.941
Residual	8	2.524	.316	
Total	9	427.525		

Figure 73: Correlation of microwave source power indication to free field power measured at target location (2.45 GHz).



Figure 74: Plot of source power vs free field power (2.45 GHz).

. .

Ľ

	DAT	A	
Point	#1:	X=0	Y=0
Point	#2:	X=5	Y=.7
Point	#3:	X=10	Y=2.3
Point	#4:	X=15	Y=2.75
Point	#5:	X=20	Y <b>≈3.4</b>
Point	#6:	X=25	Y <b>≃4.0</b> 3
Point	#7:	X=30	Y=4.58
Point	#8:	X=35	Y=5.24
Point	#9:	X=40	Y=5.9
Point :	#10:	X≠45	Y=6.6
Point a	#11:	X=50	Y=7.17

:

à

ļ

**N** 

• • • •

ļ

POLYNOMIAL MODEL: Y=A(M)\*X^M+A(M-1)\*X^(M-1)+...+A(1)\*X+A(0) Coefficients: A(0)=.1102797204 A(1)=.17834452214 A(2)=-.000788344988

Source	Df	55	MS	F
Regression	2	53.410	26.705	502,623
Residual	8	,425	.053	
Total	10	53.835		

- NOTE: x-coordinate Source power in watts y-coordinate - Probe response in volts/inch at the x-y recorder
- Figure 75: Correlation of magnetic field probe response to source power (937 MHz).



Figure 76: Plot of magnetic field probe response vs source power (937 MHz).

126

T <b>A</b>	
X=0	Y=.0625
X=10	Y=1-125
X=20	Y=1.625
X=30	Y=2.125
X=40	Y=2.5625
X=50	Y=3
X=60	Y=3.375
X=70	Y=3.5625
X=80	Y=4.375
X=90	Y=4.5
X=100	Y=4.8125
X=110	Y=5.125
X=120	Y=5.5
	TA X=0 X=10 X=20 X=30 X=40 X=50 X=60 X=70 X=80 X=90 X=100 X=120

POLYNOMIAL MODEL: Y=A(M)\*X^M+A(M-1)\*X^(M-1)+...+A(1)\*X+A(0) Coefficients: A(0)=.342032967 A(1)=.06075487013 A(2)=-1.55157342700E-04

Source	Df	SS	MS	F
Regression	2	32.795	16.397	621.352
Residual	10	.264	.026	
Total	12	33.059		

NOTE: x-coordinate - Source power in watts y-coordinate - Probe response in volts/inch at the x-y recorder

Figure	77:	Correlation of magnetic field
		probe response to source
		power (2.45 GHz).



Figure 78: Plot of magnetic field probe response vs source power (2.45 GHz).

	DATA		
Point	#1:	X=3.875	Y=2.75
Point	#2:	X=4.472	Y=3.4
Point	#3:	X=5	Y=4.03
Point	#4:	X=5.477	Y≃4.58
Point	#5:	X=5.916	Y <b>=5.</b> 24
Point	#6:	X=6.325	Y=5.9
Point	#7:	X=6.708	Y=6.6
Point	#8:	X=7.07	Y≠7.17

Linear model: Y=A+B\*X A=-2.83736850218 B= 1.3908290707

Source	Df	SS	MS	F
Regression	1	16.707	16.707	645.403
Residual	6	.155	.026	
Total	7	16.863		

NOTE: x-coordinate - Square root of source power y-coordinate - Probe response in volts/inch at the x-y recorder

Figure 79: Correlation of magnetic field probe response to the square root of source power (937 MHz).

129



Figure 80:

Plot of magnetic field probe response vs square root of source power (937 MHz).

130

À

	DATA		
Point	#1:	X=4,472	Y=1.5625
Point	#2:	X=5.477	Y=2.0625
Point	#3:	X=6.325	Y=2.5
Point	#4:	X=7.071	Y=2.9375
Point	#5:	X=7.746	Y=3.3125
Peint	#6:	X=8.367	Y=3.5
Point	#7:	X=8,944	Y <b>=4.312</b> 5
Point	#8:	X=9.487	Y=4.4375
Point	#9:	X=10	Y=4.75
Point #	*10:	X=10.49	Y≈5.0625
Point 4	¥11:	X=10.95	Y=5.4375

Linear model: Y=A+B\*X A=-1.26463090183 B= .602110623875

Source	Ðf	SS	MS	F
Regression	1	16.142	16.142	936.785
Residual	9	.155	.017	
Total	10	16.297		

NOTE: x-coordinate - Square root of source power y-coordinate - Probe response in volts/inch at the x-y recorder

Figure 81: Correlation of magnetic field probe response to the square root of source power (2.45 GHz).

131

......

.....



Figure 82:

Plot of magnetic field probe response vs square root of source power (2.45 GHz).

132

J

•

	DAT	A	
Point	#1:	X=0	Y=0
Point	#2:	X=.01	Y=.085
Point	#3:	X <b>=.</b> 03	Y=.227
Point	#4:	X=.1	Y=.565
Point	#5:	X≖.3	Y=.98
Point	#6:	X=.5	Y=1.37
Point	#7:	X=.7	Y=1.65
Point	#8:	X=1	Y <b>≈2.0</b> 4

T

T

POLYNOMIAL MODEL: Y=A(M)\*X^M+A(M-1)\*X^(M-1)+...+A(1)\*X+A(0) Coefficients: A(0)=.10211248286 A(1)=3.2482190112 A(2)=-1.3444856344

المؤورة محمد والمتحد والمعاصر والمعاصية والمحاصة والمحاصين والمحاص والمحاص والمحاص والمحاري والمحاري

Source	Df	SS	MS	F
Regression	2	4.075	2.038	235.223
Residual	5	.043	.009	
Total	7	4.119		

NOTE: x-coordinate - Input power to probe in mW y-coordinate - Probe response in volts at the x-y recorder

Data taken in conjunction with the Precision Measurements Equipment Laboratory (USAF Academy).

> Figure 83: Correlation of magnetic field probe response to input power (937 MHz).



Figure 84:

Plot of magnetic field probe response vs input power (937 MHz).

	DAT	Ά	
Point	#1:	X=0	Y = 0
Point	#2:	X=.1	Y=.03
Point	#3:	X=.3	Y=.092
Point	#4:	X=1	Y=.265
Point	<b>#5:</b>	X=3	Y≖.653
Point	<b>#6:</b>	X=10	Y=1.61
Point	#7:	X=15	Y=2.12
Point	<b>#8:</b>	X=20	Y=2.65

2

POLYNOMIAL MODEL: Y=R(M)\*X^M+A(M-1)\*X^(M-1)+...+A(1)\*X+A(0) Coefficients: A(0)=.04570077953 A(1)=.18422614543 A(2)=-.002783808761

Source	<u></u> ወኖ	SS	MS	F
Regression	2	7.717	3.858	1194.314
Residual	5	.016	.003	
Total	7	7.733		

NOTE:	x-coordinate - Input power to probe in mW	
	y-coordinate - Probe response in volts at the x-y recorder	e

Data taken in conjunction with the Precision Measurement Equipment Laboratory (USAF Academy).

Figure 85:	Correl	Lation	of	mag	netic	field
	probe (2.45	respor GHz).	ıse	to	input	power



Figure 86: Plot of magnetic field probe response vs input power (2.45 GHz).

136

.

ł

÷

b

	DA	TA	
Point	#1:	X=.1	Y=.085
Point	#2:	X=.173	Yn.227
Point	#3:	X=.316	Y=.565
Point	#4:	X=.548	Y=.98
Point	*5:	X=.707	Y=1.37
Point	#6:	X=.837	Y=1.65
Point	#7:	X=1	Y=2.04

Linear model: Y=R+B\*X A=-.14264353313 B= 2.15036803366

U

Source	<u> ወ</u> ኖ	\$ <b>\$</b>	MS	F
Regression	1	3.259	3.259	3123.496
Residual	5	.005	.001	
Total	6	3.264		

NOTE:	x-coordinate	-	Square root of input power to probe
	y-coordinate	~	Probe response in volts at the x-y recorder

Data taken in conjunction with the Precision Measurement Equipment Laboratory (USAF Academy).

Figure 87: Correlation of magnetic field probe response to square root of input power (937 MHz). 137



Figure 88: Plot of magnetic field probe response vs square root of input power (937 MHz).

138

	DA1	TA	
Point	#1:	X=1	Y=.265
Point	#2:	X=1.732	Y <b>≂.</b> 653
Point	#3:	X=3.16	Y=1.61
Point	#4:	X=3.873	Y=2.12
Point	#5:	X=4,47	Y*2.65

Linear model: Y=A+B\*X A=-.48433909502 B= .682802632602

È

Source	Df	SS	MS	F
Regression Residual Total	1 3 4	3.935 .019 3.953	3.935 .006	625.961

NOTE: x-coordinate - Square root of input power to probe y-coordinate - Probe response in volts at the x-y recorder

Data taken in conjunction with the Precision Measurement Equipment Laboratory (USAF Academy).

> Figure 89: Coorelation of magnetic field probe response to square root of input power (2.45 GHz).



Figure 90:

Plot of magnetic field probe response vs square root of input power (2.45 GHz).

140

#### CHAPTER V

1

PROBE MEASUREMENT OF SURFACE CURRENTS

To obtain total current at any point on an object, two orthogonal components are required. For a plane polarized wave at normal incidence on simple symmetric flat plates, it is well known that certain "cuts" or scans across the plate will have a dominant current component in the direction of the incident electric field. The terms "vertical" and "horizontal" will continue to refer to the experimental arrangement whereby incident  $\vec{E}$  is vertical and  $\vec{A}$  is horizontal. The semirigid coaxial cable probe measurements roughly corresponded to the loop/diode measurements and only the loop/diode type probs are considered here.

### Procedure

The probe scans were done at three horizontal positions on each of the plates.

The height above the ground plane were consistently taken as follows:

Plate height (inches)	Scan height (inches)			
	Upper	Middle	Lower	
2.41 (6.12 cm)	2	1.25	. 5	
3.15 (8 cm)	2.5	1.5	• 5	

These heights were selected so as to sample the surface currents in unique locations with respect to probe integration areas and to remain clear of the needed copper tape used for support and electric contact.

Precautions were taken to assure that the probe remained in a given orientation to the plate with an insulating jacket in contact with the plate. As seen in Figure 91, a styrofoam support was made



Figure 91: Magnetic field probe in front of a metal target.

in which the probe remained fixed. The plates were positioned in an identical centerline location at 100.5 cm from the antenna for probe and IR measurements. This location was slightly ahead of the coaxial cable so that a slight positive pressure was present as the styrofoam/probe structure was guided along the plate surface.

## Results

Both horizontal and vertical probe measurements were made. The vertical orientation results are presented in this chapter using scan levels mentioned earlier with the exception of the free field scans which were done at .75 and 1.75 inches from the ground plane.

The results for the horizontal orientation are presented in Appendix E. Free field samplings at .75 and 1.75 were unable to detect a signal as would be expected with the incident  $\vec{H}$  field also in the plane of the loop. The induced voltages were down from the dominant, vertical orientation but not quite as symmetric, revealing possible unexpected coupling.



Induced Voltage (at recorder)

144

1

C

Ĺ



A STATE OF A STATE OF A

Į.

. . . . ۰.



TT.

146

20

Ż

ő



.

147

· · · · · · · · ·



148

E



I

Induced Voltage (at recorder)

149





D





.

#### CHAPTER VI

## IR MEASUREMENT OF SURFACE CURRENTS

The capability of detecting a current induced heating pattern and taking the digitized information in isotherms, converting to temperature, then finally to currents will be shown. The target compositions were discussed in Chapter III. It must be emphasized that current densities obtained through the IR technique presented here are the current densities in the given coatings, either aquadac on plexiglass, or carbon/paraffin over metal.

# Technique

Having discussed the digitization of thermovision data, and the application of the calibration curve to transform from isotherm to temperature, the relationship between current density and temperature must be made. This is accomplished by fitting emperically obtained data to a functional relation, a second order polynomial.

The experimental arrangement for relating

154

-

. . .

Į

ļ

current density is given in the following figure.

Ĵ

ļ

Ì

ļ



Figure 102: Circuit for relating current and temperature.

The plate shown in Figure 103 is composed of 59  $\mu m$ 



Figure 103: Experimental arrangement for relating current density and temperature.

155

layer of adquadac on a 1/16 inch thick plexiglass plate. Two parallel copper tape strips were placed on the surface and a thin connecting strip of silver paint was applied on the copper and aquadac surfaces overlapping the junction to assure that good electrically contact was made. The copper strip electrodes were connected to a Kepco Power Supply (Range 0-36V, 0-1.5A) and a digital ammeter (Sabtronics Model 2000). A plate of identical composite was then placed near the test plate (right side in Figure 103) to provide an ambient reference source of identical emissivity. Voltage levels were incrementally increased across the electrodes as the differential temperature levels between test and reference plates were determined using the Thermovision system. At each new total current level the system was allowed to reach steady state (about 15 minutes) before readings were taken. It was assumed that the current densities were uniformly distributed throughout the thin coatings.

The data was then fit to a quadratic function and plotted using a program called 'J vs T' found in Appendix D. A maximum likelihood routine was used to find the coefficients of the squared (Vlavg) and linear (V2avg) terms. The results for the two target

156

.

Ę

•

•

ų

C

· · · · · · · · · · ·

. . . . .

. . . .

compositions are found in Figures 104 and 105. Now, on a point by point basis, knowing the differential temperature between an illuminated elemental area and the ambient condition the functional relation was easily solved for current density. Having the needed relationship to obtain current density, the problem of random errors associated with the interface remained.

Ĩ

The second se

A statistical procedure was developed to reduce the influence of random errors on the final matrix from which calculations were made. The IR data was accomplished with known sources of IR, such as overhead lights, extinguished, and a steady state heating pattern established. Approximately 10 minutes of illumination at approximately 10 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> at 937 MHz and approximately 15 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> at 2.45 GHz were used. Seven frames of data were collected for the statistical analysis in all cases. Sensitivity settings of one and two were used such that nearly full scale variation of E isotherm units (0 to 9) existed on the surface but without being outside of the selected sensitivity window. Any spurious readings cutside of the 0 to 9 range were not included in the averaging procedure. The remaining data was considered on an element by element basis. The values

157

- 1




at an element position were averaged, a standard deviation was taken and points outside of one standard deviation were discarded. Thus, a new mean was computed from the remaining values and the element was now assigned this new mean for the "FINAL" matrix. This procedure is the basis of the program called 'IRSTAT' found in Appendix D.

## Results

From the statistical procedure the remaining matrix or "FINAL" matrix could be transformed to a temperature matrix or a current matrix using the previously discussed development which, along with some graphics routines, comprise the basis of a program called "MEGAIR" found in Appendix D.

All electric sizes are presented for the composition of carbon/paraffin over metal. Only the .50 and 1.0 wavelength disks and square plates are examined for the aquadac on plexiglass case. The digital presentation in numbers for the temperature and current matrices and complete profile matrices for the illuminated, temperature, and current matrices are given for the 1.0 wavelength square plate for carbon/paraffin over metal.

160

į

.

The depicted profiles are IR obtained matrix rows that correspond to the horizontal scans of the magnetic field probe given in Chapter V. The key is as follows:

ļ

Upper - dotted line (.....) Middle - broken line (.....) Lower - solid line (.....)



Figure 106: Thermogram for an illuminated .38 wavelength circ<sup>,</sup> ar disk carbon/paraffin over metal.

ž **ດເຫັນຈາມເຫັນເຕັນເຕັດ Ⅎℍ**ℲⅅⅆℍⅅⅅℲⅅⅅⅆℙⅆ ֎֍֍ՠՠ֍֍֍֍ՠՠ֍֍ **ႷႦჇჄ ႷჇჇ**ႦႦႦႦႦႦ **1** 55654666576466 ານເມື່ອການການເມື່ອ 445666455666 54 ຩຠຠຠຩຒຆຩຩຠຩຩ 4566665555 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 9001340004007.0 9007.0007.007.0 00000000000 TOGGGGGGGGGC 00000-00000 50000077500077 5676777766679 56767776679 56667665679 25677777882 6677677877 3789777879 **NNNNNN**0000 666887889 007907909 5709999 4699999 4699999 4677899999999 57 ş 5 Б Б Ę 5 58

Figure 107: Digitized thermovision data for .38 wavelength circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal.

Ľ

)

٠. ۱

•

·.`

•

٠.

۰.







Figure 109: Temperature profiles for .38 wavelength circular disk carbon/paraffin over metal.

.





Ş.

Figure 111: Thermogram for an illuminated .38 wavelength square plate carbon/paraffin over metal.

3

2

25 0 500 4 40 4 500 540 50 ごうしん きんちょう いたい いっちん 234 234 ๛๚๛๛๛๚๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛ 720 474 SUUDDUD276 <del>֎֎ՠ֎ՠ֎ՠ֎ՠ֎ՠ</del>ՠֈ 400000000000000772 0004445444 200440455544655 5005445444455566 ~~~~~ nounteantaite 500F044004600 ໞຠຓໞຩຓຩຬຏຎຏຏຏຏຏ 00004044 マイ ううみ うちん ちょうしん 0040444 4614634466666666676 \*\*\*\*\* **ԻԳ ԹՄԳ ՊԾ**Թ**ՊԾԹՈԾԹՅ**Դ-Ծ 2 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 4 077 4 4 0000 000 0r-55555555555 4 10/0/01 4 4450 うらうすうりょう 3 40 577 8677777 すいすいいう 4550 4150 1652 45054026 49550 4 54556 10555 400 5-7-7 1 : 56 55 55 56 6 6 77 8 Figure 112: Digitized thermovision data for .38 wavelength square plate carbon/paraffin over metal.

Ì

I







Figure 114:

20

30

Horizontal Position

Temperature profiles for .38

wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin on metal.

40

50



IJ

ľ

Figure 115: Infrared obtained current profiles for .38 wavelength square plate carbon/paraffin on metal.



Figure 116: Thermogram for an illuminated .50 wavelength circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal.

<u> Յ</u>ԱԽՅՍԱԽԴ ՔՆԽԽ ՔՆԽԽԾԾԾՆԴ-AN NORTHRATINGSAUGE <u>໙໗ຑ໙ໞຎຨ</u>ຨຎຓຨ*ຎ*ຎຐຎຎຐຉຉ NNONR+++00+0+0000001.P. יז ונועוזווישיים שונונו ונופטינור- ונוני NF NNO4004004004000000000 ֍֍֏ֈ֍֍֍ՠ**ՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠ** 2 1020100444045500666655 1000077007000000---- 00 55 ﯨਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼੶੶ 0010505555505444556686 Ունը ՓԾՓ ԾՓԾ ԾԾԾ ԾԾ-Ծ-Ծ-ԾԾ שיזש היהה א היה שוני היה שיש שיש שיש 122344555666666667.195 שמשטיטששיע א מוטט שטט פש 에 따따며 부분부 두 두 두 번 다마마 바르-MNMMPHA MPONDOJE-D ൜൜൮൹൜൘ൖൖ൛ൖ൝൜൝ NM(1) + 151/3000 (Cub01. P.0003 ณณฑณฐ๛๗ฐฐ๚๗๗๛๗๛ NU4004404000000 **MAA NGGN NGG**- 7-7-8 BM 500544445440066 ຎຓ**ຏຨຎຎຑ**ຏຓຏຩຎຉຉຉ (UPID) T TUD UPID)-<u>NN04444555562</u> UD4U241-1-1-1-1-000 100400001-F-01-J 20005454667.6 244566666998 2354546672 3444456576 NGN 56677 401001-1-1-010 245677 M 1617- 07 07-07 1557 136 8

Figure 117: Digitized thermovision data for .50 wavelength circular disk carbon/paraffin over metal.

.

,



Figure 118: Thermovision data profiles for .50 wavelength circular disk - carbon/paraffin over metal.

**a de la seconda de** 



Figure 119: Temperature profiles for .50 wavelength circular disk carbon/paraffin over metal.



Figure 120: Infrared obtained current profiles for .50 wavelength circular disk - carbon/ paraffin over metal.



Figure 121: Th

Thermogram for an illuminated .50 wavelength circular disk - aquadac on plexiglass.

1 שמששמשמשמיים אספיי שמפיי MANDOUNDOULU 400000044 1 202 1 ՠ֏Խ֏ՠ֍֍ՠ֍֍ՠ֍֍ 2 2 ישיווטטרועיטיעישיעישי א ווויעיי 5455544454 44944555454545 **オオルルオオルののいす**い 4566556667 1 56766777788 155677788 2566777788 З 2 2 N NNNANN กณณฑ๛๛๛ 4 9 ā

Figure 122: Digitized thermovision data for .50 wavelength circular disk aquadac on plexiglass.

174



Figure 123: Thermovision data profiles for .50 wavelength circular disk - aquadac on plexiglass.

175







Figure 125 Infrared obtained current profiles for .50 circular disk - aquadac on plexiglass.

177



Figure 126: Thermogram for an illuminated .50 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal.

1 22 23 **\\P44**b@@@@\ab@**n**b0ab@ 2 2 2500444540556626222 0 PUMPE PARE & PUMP (2000) - P--- P---993456666 944950666676778787977 \*\*@@@@????@??@@@@@@@@@@@ ~~~~~~ 777777777777777777 **3546566667777687**7 ຆຒຒຬຒຌ຺ຨຌຆຑຆຏຬຏຏຬຏຏຏຏ ຆຓຓໞຩຩຩຑຑຑຆຆຏຏຏຏຏໟ משומטומים שיש מוטטוו ערוא עב ຒຓຑຌຨ຺ຨຨຎຑຬຎຨຎຎຨຨຎ 22700744555667676787 ひのいいの 주 고 고 소 아이에 따고 201200000444444480 ND044040000000444 <u>ь в ила кор е воодоол</u> Опиопрая е е е оболо 22000440054672772 0 0404040000000000 25094444502.66777 0100000++040+0+++ מוטנטווווסטיע ע מיטווו ממוטנו ממ NNNMDOMMA444AMA0 <u>NNNMPO44404P44P0</u> രസ്ഥനത്തെ 🕈 4 തന്നതന്നെ പ്ര NDCODC4 4 D4 0 4 CODC NN00440440444400 20034044454450055 NOMPJOY + + + + 10+ + MO いいいいろん ふんんんいいいい וחטזטושטא א ה.ל.א פ.ל.טוושט 56677078777 5

Figure 127: Digitized thermovision data for .50 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal.





.



Figure 129: Temperature profiles for .50 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal.







Figure 131: Thermogram for an illuminated .50 wavelength square plate - aquadac on plexiglass.

NULLARDOR + + N SIGN ? (SP.?. SOG SP. ] **WANDAGD44440G06G**ARAAS 22 11 22 2 N NNABARAAAANDONNAN NG O NONNOODOGTUSTINOODOGU 3 12 22 2022 N NUNFING THE TODES 1 אלא לא לעום שירוע שירועו אינטאר ער<u>ים.</u> NDOND-TO GT T T TOURSO 2 <u>р р р даюти оптр</u>ий <del>р розд</del>исти оптри <u>о роздисти оптри</u> 221 ANDON NONNON PARA 2 NUNDORDER 200220202040404044 1 1 500 E 2 <u> Manananananan</u> 1 22 ល ជា លេខា ២ <del>៤</del> ១ <del>៤</del> ០ 2 2 പന്തത്തന്തന NNN NNN N MANN-2 NUNNUN NUN NU NUMNO З CONTRACTOR INFO 2 ι 201 201 5 1 NULAN N งคณายาย 22 222 เกษณณ เป็นเป็นเป็น NGUDON NGUDON 2000 NAMNO NUMBR 2 222 NN NN

Figure 132: Digitized thermovision data for .50 wavelength square plate - aquadac on plexiglass.



Figure 133: Thermovision data profiles for .50 wavelength square plate - aquadac on plexiglass.







ŝ,





Figure 136: Thermogram for an illuminated 1.0 wavelength circular disk carbon/paraffin over metal.

/777898989 2932 2932 Figure 137:

Figure 137: Digitized thermovision data for 1.0 wavelength circular disk carbon/paraffin over metal.

. . .



Figure 138: Thermovision data profiles for 1.0 wavelength circular disk - carbon/ paraffin on metal.



. 3

.2

.1

10

20

:



30

Horizontal Position

40



Figure 140: Infrared obtained current profiles for 1.0 wavelength circular disk carbon/paraffin on metal.



Figure 141: Thermogram for an illuminated 1.0 wavelength circular disk aquadac on plexiglass.

ณณณฑฑณฑ + + + + มาวม มณ 71/20/10/24 (14444444454 <u>UNN BOOR ADOR A 44 DIO</u> חנוזהו שונוניה ונו ונונים שונונים אונונים אונונים שונונים שונונים שונונים שונונים שונונים שונים אונים אונים או ונוש שממי שממי פעווווווו 000001104104440000 ~~~~~~~~~~~~ <u>NNNNNNNNNNNN atatta</u> Manananananat ~~~~~~~~~~~~~ 37747244007440074400 ANAGGBOGBO440 ゆうかい いいりょう ちょうちょう NDONODOO44440 3445444554445 いっきょう ういいん ういん つい いいのいい かいいい すいすいり *ਗ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼***ਖ਼ਲ਼**ਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ਼ 2533344443444 1000년 4 10년 4 17114774747475 じいいりょう ふらんいい ~~~~~~~~~ 000000007 44444455 0444400000 4005005 146005 14500 2010/01/24/00/2 00000 9.000 -255 Figure 142: Digitized thermovision data for 1.0 wavelength circular disk - aquadac on plexiglass.

.



Figure 143: Thermovision data profiles for 1.0 wavelength circular disk - aquadac on plexiglass.

\_

TEMP

1.0 LAMBDA DISK \*



Figure 144: Temperature profiles for 1.0 wavelength circular disk - aquadac on plexiglass.



Figure 145: Infrared obtained current profiles for 1.0 wavelength circular disk aquadac on plexiglass.



Figure 146: Thermogram for an illuminated 1.0 wavelength square plate carbon/paraffin over metal.

194
i a approve the second se

metal.

-----

1

Figure 149: Current matrix for 1.0 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal.





Ľ

Figure 152: Infrared obtained current matrix profile for 1.0 wavelength square plate - carbon paraffin over metal.



Figure 153: Thermovision data profiles for 1.0 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal.

J







Figure 155: Infrared obtained current profiles for 1.0 wavelength square plate carbon/paraffin over metal.

AGA THERMOVISION 20 6

Figure 156:

Thermogram for an illuminated 1.0 wavelength square plate aquadac on plexiglass.

NNM คออดคร+ ๓ + + + + คท คอ UMAN49549445454544 **UNADOMPONT TTT TUUMUU** 1000000000040444404 V MIDMAINDAMIDAADO4 UNN MMMMMMM444 1544 700000000000 UNNENCES יינושויזונות הנוזות א בווזא בווזא 100000444445 가지대 마이에 비 아이에 두 과 작 두 두 주 두 0000444444450060022 ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ יאשטטעבטטא א איאא ארטערטער אשטטעבטטא א איאא ארטערער  $\mathbf{U}$ NUNNABOA4 D44 D00 NNN4444444554554556 ~~~~~ 신아이아이아이아이아이 좋아? 좋 작비 좋 작 NUNDUDUDUDUDU4 4 4 4 4 4 ~~~~~~~~~~~~ NNDOADQAUAGAAAADQ ~~~~~ ~~~~~ 00044444 399994445556677779 ~~~~~~ 33455546 440444 770070 155166677 5555 ŝ 4 4 5 55 55 5 55 **4** 5 66 4 4 44 44 Figure 157: Digitized thermovision data for 1.0 wavelength square plate - aquadac on plexiglass.

201



Figure 158: Thermovision data profiles for 1.0 wavelength square plate - aquadac on plexiglass.



Ľ

.





Figure 160: Infrared obtained current profiles for 1.0 wavelength square plate - aquadac on plexiglass.

Ē

(°,

Figure 161: Thermogram for an illuminated 1.3 wavelength circular disk carbon/paraffin over metal.

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.00$ 2 2 NB339434444444455455566 nundardardardara a na a ningardaran a ningardaran a ningardaran ningardaran ningardaran ningardaran ningardaran 까까까까까까까 가 두 수 수 수 수 아까까까까까 10075014 444 444 4 10000000000 1444444040004000000040000 ------いみ そろい えみく らみく いいいい よいいいい 4344444 הוא הנואם באוש ב בהווההוהוה הווא ເວເດ 4 ເວຈ ແນວທ 4 ເວເວເກເວເວເດ ເວເວ 444504555555667 *ຆຒຆຒຓຬຉຓຬຬຬຆຨ* ຑຓຑຒຒຑຓຬຬຑຓຑຎ 55455655556656 ຏຎຆຎຎຏຎຎຏຎຉຉ rontoaooaooa 56666 նատուննուններ 555555666667 നന്നപ്പെന്നുന്നും 5478089 51077799899 646777799897 +67777776 1779776 4768 23 666676772 556656676 Ē Б 6 Ē 56 5 5 à

Figure 162: Digitized thermovision data for 1.3 wavelength circular disk carbon/paraffin over metal. 205



Figure 163: Thermovision data profiles for 1.3 wavelength circular disk carbon/paraffin over metal.



Figure 164: Temperature profiles for 1.3 wavelength circular disk carbon/paraffin over metal.



Horizontal Position

Figure 165: Infrared obtained current profiles for 1.3 wavelength circular disk carbon/paraffin over metal.

R.

×



.

Figure 166: Thermogram for an illuminated 1.3 wavelength square plate carbon/paraffin over metal.

777576766777 <u>๚ฅ๛๚ษณฃ๛๗๛๗๗๗๗๗๛๗</u> ຎຆຎຎຆຆຎຒຆຆຎຆຆຎຆຎ ຎຆຆຎຆຆຎຒຆຒຎຎຆຎຎຏຎ ຎຆຆຎຆຆຎຒຆຎຎຆຎຎຏຎ ຎຆຆຎຆຆຎຒຆຎຎຌຏຎຎຏ ຎຏ ຎຏຏຎຌຏຎຌຏຎຌຏຎຎຌຎ ຎຌຎຨຌຨຌຎຎຌຎຎຏຎ <u>NNUDDOUADOUOADOUDAUDA</u> 77744455616668565 2334455667777 നയമായ മയർ ചായമത്തിന്നെ സ്വസ്ത സ്ത്രീസ്ത്രം സ്ത്രീസ്ത്രം സ്ത്രീസ്ത്രം **™พพ++พ+พพพ**++พ**ฃ**พพพพ <u>VARDARNANCADNADCODC</u> und die de la company de la VOUDDODTTTTTOUDTUDTTTTTO ባርባጠ የፓርባጠ ቁ ቁ ቁ ቁ ቁ ርዕ ቁ በንርዕ ቁ ቁ ርዕ いいのかかす いいすい すいい すいすすすす ៳៳៹៹៳៳៳៳៳៳៹៳៳៳៳៳៳ 的复数形式的复数形式的复数形式的复数形式 പ്രവിതന്നെ കന്നാവ പ്രസ്ഥിയം പ്രസ്ഥിത്തം 5 A NOONTONDODODO ഗവയായ മാവ്വയ നെല്പറന്നെ ന മക്കുകയായ മാവസ്താന് ന いいいいいいかいいかいいいいいいいいいい فالتا للاقتشاط للالتشاط للالتشاط التقارية للتريف വശ്ചയവെങ്കാന് വിത്രാന് തന്നെ തന ൜൹ഩ൹ഩ൜൹ൖ൜ൖ൹൜൹൛ いいわいのわいす のちすす わいす わい فالالالي للشنيا نداياته الشالا للش ण भारतमा कार्यना के दार्या के विद्यालय क MMMMM004 MPMMM004 PM សលាកាលាកាលកាលកាលាកាលកាក Ę 8 ş 9

> Figure 167: Digitized thermovision data for 1.3 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal.



Figure 168: Thermovision data profiles for 1.3 wavelength square plate - carbon/paraffin over metal.







Horizontal Position

Figure 170: Infrared obtained current profiles for 1.3 wavelength square plate - carbon/ paraffin over metal.

## CHAPTER VII

## CORRELATION

Selected situations for relating current density distributions from theory, magnetic field probe measurements, and IR obtained results will be presented. The computer solution for the perfectly conducting disk was favorably compared in Chapter II to disks of smaller and larger electrical size than those observed in the experimental portion of this work. The theoretical solutions to the square plates differ and the only directly applicable scan to this study would be the 1.0 wavelength square plate and the observation that the small plate (.15 wavelength) solved by Wilton compares well in form with the smallest plate (.38 wavelength) used in this work. The .38 wavelength disk and square plate provide situations where the dominant current component is vertical and thus probe measurement for the vertical component can be considered as that of total current, thus compared with the IR result for total current.

## Disk Components

The disk problem has been solved theoretically in Chapter II in the logical coordinate system, cylindrical. To compare the theoretical disk results with the magnetic field probe scans, the polynomial fits for the maximum  $J_{\rho}$  and  $J_{\phi}$  current components can be used to solve for the horizontal and vertical components of points along horizontal lines. The restriction of remaining somewhat away from the center and rim for the computed results will still apply. The three vertical distances from the ground plane used with the probe are now used when solving for theoretical  $J_{horiz}$ ,  $J_{vert}$ , and  $J_{total}$ . The expression for total current would still be

$$J_{\text{total}} = (J_{\rho}^{2} + J_{\phi}^{2}) \frac{1}{2}$$
(65)

where

 $J_{\rho} = J_{\rho} \max \sin \phi$  $J_{\phi} = J_{\phi} \max \cos \phi$ 

Now, the horizontal and vertical components are simply

$$J_{\text{horiz}} = J_{\rho} \sin\phi + J_{\rho} \cos\phi \tag{66}$$

$$J_{\text{vert}} = J_{\rho} \cos\phi + J_{\rho} \sin\phi \tag{67}$$

214

ľ

In the program called "DISK" found in Appendix D, it was convenient to transform to rectangular coordinates and iterate along the horizontal limits of the disk at a particular height. The key to the following figures is:

Ì







CONTRACTOR OF

Service and





¢







.....

· · · ·

220



UPPER SCAN

.50 LAMBDA DISK



ω

ထ





MIDDLE SCAN 1.0 LAMBDA DISK

J



စ



1.0 LAMBDA DISK









J



UPPER SCAN

1

ŧ

## Relative Current

To compare the validity of IR detection at this stage in the overall project, one is interested in the form of the obtained current solution rather than a closed form relationship of coating current denisity to the unperturbed metal plate current density. Thus, for the .38 wavelength square plate and disk a comparison follows for the vertical magnetic field probe results which correspond essentially to total current in this case, the IR results, and the theoretical solution for the disk. The technique is that of scaling the plots from this work to a common horizontal distance for a given horizontal scan and scaling the vertical distance from the zero current base line to a common vertical distance for the current at the mid point of the scan. The equipment used included an HP 9875A Digitizer, an HP 9835A Minicomputer and an HP 9872A Plotter. Thus, form is perserved though a numerical comparison does not apply.

> The key to the following plots is as follows: Magnetic Field Probe - smooth line IR Detection Results - jagged line Theoretical Solution - xxxxx



Relative Current

.38 MAVELENGTH CIRCULAR DISK (LOWER SCAN)

229








Relative Current

۲

.



Υ.

Relative Current

÷

۰.

֥ .

233



.38 MAVELENGTH SQUARE PLATE (UPPER SCAN)

234

(1

and the first

Now, to compare the square plate theoretical results one looks at the bisecting lines of the 1.0 wavelength square plate solved by Wilton and notes once again that the dominant current is vertical so that the vertical magnetic probe measurement applies though, unfortunately, is the least symmetric probe result obtained. The 1.0 wavelength and .50 wavelength square plates are included for the lower scan for plots on carbon/paraffin over metal and for aquadac on plexiglass. Note that the shapes are essentially the same but the variations from maximum to minimum current density levels are higher for aquadac on plexiglass. The key for these four plots remains as in the previous ones. The last plot is a comparison of the theoretical solutions. Wilton's is the solid line and the Body of Translational results from Appendix C are "X"s. Note the decrease at the midpoint of the "X" plot.

(





Relative Current





.50 MRVELENGTH SQUARE PLATE (LOWER SCAN)

238

۰,



.58 WAVELENGTH SQUARE PLATE (LOWER SCAN) \*

ì

239

ij

and a strategy of the second strategy of the

ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPUTER CODE COMPARISON

2 Theoretical comparison of Body of Transluation Computer Code for the 1.0 wavelength square plate. × Horizontal Position (cm) × Ø × × × Figure 193: ረ-

Relative Current

### CHAPTER VIII

# CONCLUSIONS

The qualitative studies on the infrared detection of microwave induced surface currents has been extended to a quantitative investigation for flat plates. Though high resolution, infrared-obtained current density distributions were not achievable with the present state of coating development and available equipment, a methodology for verifying the IR results against classic approaches was demonstrated.

A laboratory facility was designed and constructed to test the merits of the IR approach using simple shapes. A plane wave was established at the target and verified with a magnetic field probe. The vertical current component was correlated through magnetic field probe measurements with the theoretical prediction for the perfectly conducting case. The vertical probe measurements for dominant vertical component cases detected total current and compared favorably with similar patterns seen by the IR on both carbon/paraffin over metal and aquadac on plexiglass.

The case of the .38 wavelength square plate and circular disk exhibited a high degree of correlation with theoretical and magnetic field probe results. The asymmetries and lack of high resolution of some of the other targets could be attributed in part to coating inhomogeneities and separation distance from the metallic plate as well as a digitization and storing process that eliminates one half of the available data. The thermal reservoir effect of a large aluminum ground plane is also detrimental for optimum current detection based on a heating pattern.

Supplementary investigations such as the angular dependence of emissivity and subsequent utilization of a correction matrix point to applicability for complex structur where probe measurements become increasing more difficult and time consuming.

Having developed an experimental procedure to relate isotherm unit determinations to temperature, and finally current density, one can proceed with further study of the coating problem. Verification should continue along this established path of correlation with magnetic field probes and theoretical

results until coatings are improved and simple shapes have been studied. As target complexity increases, the rapid method of information collection over the entire object using infrared techniques should prove to be increasingly significant when contrasted with classic point by point measurements and mathematical modeling techniques.

l

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. AGA Thermovision 680 Operating Manual, AGA Infrared Systems AB, 5-81 Lidingö, Sweden, 1975.
- Andrejewski, W., "Die Beugung elektromagnetischer Wellen an der lietenden Kreisscheibe und an der kreisförmigen Öffnung im leitenden ebenen Schirm," Zeitschrift für angewandte Physik, Vol. 5, 1953, pp 179-186.
- 3. Andrews, C. L., "Diffraction Pattern in a Circular Aperture Measured in the Microwave Region," <u>Journal of Applied Physics</u>, Vol. 21, August 1950, pp 761-767.
- Baum, C. E., "Parameters for Some Electrically Small Electromagnetic Sensors, AFWL EMP 1-2, Sensor and Simulation Note 38, 1968.
- 5. Bevan, E. J. and Effinger, W. C., "An AGA-680 Thermovision Calibration," NWC Technical Memorandum 4107, Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, CA, February 1980.
- 6. Bevington, P. R., <u>Data Reduction and Error</u> <u>Analysis for the Physical Sciences</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Company, NY, 1969.
- 7. Bouwkamp, C. J., "On the Diffraction of Electromagnetic Waves by Small Circular Disks and Holes," <u>Philips Research Reports</u>, Vol. 5, No. 6, December 1950, pp 401-422.
- Bouwkamp, C. J., "A Note on Singularities Occurring at Sharp Edges in Electromagnetic Diffraction Theory," <u>Physica XII</u>, No. 7, October 1946, pp 467-474.
- 9. Bowman, J. J., Senior, T. B. A., and Uslenghi, P. L. E., Electromagnetic and Acoustic Scattering by Simple Shapes, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1969.

- 10. Burton, R. W., Sega, R. M., and Martin, V. M., "Experimental Determination of Electromagnetic Pulse (EMP) Absorption on Complex Shapes," <u>Proceedings of the Nuclear Electromagnetic Pulse</u> Meeting (NEM) 1980, Anaheim, CA, August 1980.
- 11. Burton, R. W., "Real Time Infrared Detection of Surface Current Distributions," <u>Proceedings of</u> <u>the Eighth European Microwave Conference</u>, Paris, France, September 1978.
- 12. Butler, C. M., "Aperture Fundamentals," <u>Applica-</u> tions of the Method of Movements to Electromagnetic <u>Fields</u>, Strait, B. J., Editor, The SCEEE Press, 1980, pp 171-211.
- 13. Carpenter, C. R. and Andrews, C. L., "Measurement of Surface-Current Densities," <u>Journal of the</u> <u>Optical Society of America</u>, Vol. 65, No. 3, March 1975, pp 246-247.
- 14. Cottony, H. V. and Wilson, A. C., "Gains of Finite-Size Cover-Reflector Antennas," IRE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, October 1958, pp 366-369.
- 15. Dancak, C., "Temperature Calibration of Fast Infrared Scanners," <u>Protogrammetric Engineering</u> and Remote Sensing, Vol. 45, No. 6, June 1979, pp 799-751.
- 16. Dell, R. O., Carpenter, C. R., and Andrews, C. L., "Optical Design of Anechoic Chambers," Journal of the Optical Society of America, Vol. 62, No. 7, July 1972, pp 902-906.
- 17. Eggiman, W. H., "Higher-Order Evaluation of Electromagnetic Diffraction by Circular Disks," IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, September 1961, pp 408-418.
- 18. Electromagnetic Pulse Sensor Handbook, Vol. 1, Air Force Weapons Laboratory, June 1971.
- 19. Ginzton, E. L., <u>Microwave Measurements</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., NY, 1957.
- Greene, F. M., "NBS Field Strength Standards and Measurements (30 Hz to 1000 MHz)," <u>Proceedings of</u> The IEEE, Vol. 55, No. 6, June 1967, pp 970-981.

- 21. Harrington, R. F., <u>Field Computations by Moment</u> <u>Methods</u>, The Macmillan Company, NY, 1968.
- 22. Harrington, R. F., <u>Time-Harmonic Electromagnetic</u> Fields, McGraw-Hill Book Company, NY, 1961.
- 23. Harrington, R. F. and Mautz, J. R., "Theory and Computation of Characteristic Modes for Conducting Bodies," AFCRL-70-0657, Scientific Report No. 9, December 1970.
- 24. Hewlett Packard 9845B Software Manual, Hewlett Packard Corporation, 1980.
- 25. Hsieh, C. K. and Ellingson, W. A., "A Quantitative Determination of Surface Temperatures Using an Infrared Camera," <u>Modern Utilization of Infrared</u> Technology III, SPIE, Vol. 124, 1977, pp 228-235.
- 26. Jackson, J D., <u>Classical Electrodynamics</u>, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., NY, 1962.
- 27. Jasik, H., Editor, <u>Antenna Engineering Handbook</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., NY, 1961.
- 28. King, R. W. P., Mimno, H. R., and Wing, A. H., <u>Transmission Lines, Antennas, and Wave Guides</u>, Dover Publications, Inc., NY, 1965.
- 29. Kraus, J. D., <u>Antennas</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Company, NY, 1950.

- 30. Kraus, J. D., "The Corner-Reflector Antenna," <u>Proceedings of the I.R.E.</u>, November 1940, pp 513-519.
- 31. Mallon, C., Denson, R., Leadon, R. E., and Flanagan, T. M., "Coaxial Geometry Experiments," EMP Theoretical Notes, Note 317, 12 January 1981.
- 32. Martin, V. M., Sega, R. M., Stewart, C. V., and Burton, R. W., "Application of Infrared Thermography in the Analysis of Induced Surface Currents due to Incident Electromagnetic (EM) Radiation on Complex Shapes," <u>Infrared Systems</u>, Vol. 256, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE), September 1980.

- 33. Mautz, J. R. and Harrington, R. F., "An Improved E-Field Solution for a Conducting Body of Revolution," RADC-TR-80-194, Phase Report, June 1980.
- 34. Meixner, J., "The Behavior of Electromagnetic Fields at Edges," IEEE Transaction on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-20, No. 4, July 1972, pp 442-446.
- 35. Naor, M., and Senior, T. B. A., "Scattering by Resistive Plates," RADC TR-82-47, March 1982.

- 36. Perala, R. A., "Integral Equation Solution for Induced Surface Currents on Bodies of Revolution," IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Vol. EMC-16, No. 3, August 1974, pp 1972-177.
- 37. Schelkunoff, S. A., <u>Antennas, Theory, and Practice</u>, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., NY, 1957.
- 38. Sega, R. M. and Burton, R. W., "Correlation of Known Surface Current Values With Measurements Utilizing Infrared Techniques," <u>Proceedings of</u> the National Radio Science Meeting, Boulder, CO, January 1982.
- 39. Sega, R. M. and Burton, R. W., "Experimental Determination of Electromagnetic Energy Absorption on Complex Shapes," <u>Proceedings of the National</u> <u>Radio Science Meeting (URSI)</u>, Boulder, CO, January 1981.
- 40. Sega, R. M., Martin, V. M., and Burton, R. W., "Experimental Determination of Electromagnetic Energy Absorption on Complex Shapes; A Progress Report," <u>Proceedings 1981 International Union of</u> Radio Science (URSI), Low Angeles, CA, June 1981.
- 41. Sega, R. M., Stewart, C. V., and Burton, R. W., "Induced Surface Currents Obtained Through Infrared Techniques Correlated with Known Values for Simple Shapes," <u>Proceedings of the IEEE</u> <u>Region 5 Conference</u>, Colorado Springs, CO, May 1982.

- 42. Sega, R. M., Martin, V. M., Warmuth, D. B., and Burton, R. W., "An Infrared Application to the Detection of Induced Surface Currents," <u>Modern</u> <u>Utilization of Infrared Technology VII</u>, Vol. 304, SPIE-The International Society for Optical Engineering, August 1981.
- 43. Sega, R. M., Martin, V. M., and Burton, R. W., "Microwave Induced Surface Current Measurement via Infrared Detection," <u>1982 International</u> <u>Symposium Digest-Antennas and Propagation (IEEE)</u>, Albuquerque, NM, May 1982.
- 44. Selim, J. D. and Burton, R. W., "Infrared Detection of Surface Charge and Current Distributions," Thesis Report, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, December 1977.
- 45. Senior, T. B. A., "Low Frequency Scattering by Metallic Plates; A Critique," <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>National Radio Science Meeting</u>, Albuquerque, NM, May 1982, pp 65.
- 46. Sparrow, E. M. and Cess, R. D., <u>Radiation Heat</u> <u>Transfer</u>, Augmented Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, NY, 1978.
- 47. Stark, Introduction to Numerical Methods, MacMillan Company, New York, NY, 1970.
- 48. Tyras, G., <u>Radiation and Propagation of Electro-</u> magnetic <u>Waves</u>, Academic Press, NY, 1969.
- 49. Uslenghi, P. L. E., "Computation of Surface Currents on Bodies of Revolution," <u>Alta Frequenza</u>, N. 8, Vol. XXXIX, 1970.
- 50. Whiteside, H. and King, R. W. P., "The Loop Antenna as a Probe," <u>IEEE Transactions on Antennas</u> and Propagation, May 1964, pp 291-297.
- 51. Wilton, D. R., Rao, S. S. M., and Glisson, A. W., "Electromagnetic Scattering by Surfaces of Arbitrary Shape," <u>Applications of the Method of</u> <u>Movements to Electromagnetic Fields</u>, Strait, B. J., Editor, The SCEEE Press, 1980, pp 139-170.
- 52. Wolff, E. A., <u>Antenna Analysis</u>, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., NY, 1966.

#### APPENDIX A

( i

## WAVE DEMONSTRATOR

A ripple tank was designed and constructed for two-dimensional modeling and visualization of wave phenomena. The water wave interactions should roughly correspond to electromagnetic wave interactions in an anechoic chamber. This appendix develops the basic theory of water wave propagation and includes data from experiments conducted to characterize water wave propagation in the tank.

# Tank

The wave demonstrator tank was constructed of a 5' x 8' sheet of 3/16 inch plexiglas, with 2" x 6" wood sides waterproofed with epoxy paint and fastened with 1/4 inch bolts at the corners. The plexiglass sheet was fitted into a 3/4 inch slot around the wood sides and sealed with silicon adhesive. Figure Al shows the construction drawings. The assembled wave generator apparatus is seen in Figure A2. The center support was usually necessary to prevent sagging of the plexiglass sheet as the tank could be filled with up to 3 cm depth of water.



**`**.





Figure Al: Construction drawings.



Figure A2. The wave generator apparatus.

Ľ

A 28 volt D.C. motor turned the wave generator drive shaft, which rotated two eccentric circular cams. Ten inch aluminum rods set on the cams, which drove the probes which extended into the water and produced the water wave. The circular cams were drilled 3/16 inch off center, which produced a nearsinusoidal motion at the cam of 3/32 inch amplitude. The pivot ends of the aluminum rods and the probe attachments were moved toward or away from the cams to make the probe oscillation amplitude variable between 3/32 inch and 1/2 inch.

بالمناج المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع

Several different probes were attached to the wave generator. With the cams rotated in phase, a cylindrical rod was attached to produce plane waves. A single round bead was attached to one rod to produce spherical waves. Two element antenna array simulation was accomplished with a two bead/two rod arrangement. The point sources could also be driven out of phase by rotation of the cams on the drive shaft.

The wave generator was designed to be clamped to the side of the wave demonstrator tank, or it may be suspended above the tank for experiments away from the tank sides.

When a variable voltage power supply was used, the frequency of the wave generator was variable up to six cycles per second. The maximum voltage which

could be applied to the D.C. motor was 28 volts. The graph (Figure A6) shows the frequency for the wave generator as a function of applied voltage, and was used to obtain desired frequencies in the wave demonstrator tank.

# Miscellaneous Equipment

A point light source suspended from the ceiling was necessary to project the images of the water wayes onto the floor beneath the tank. Also, a strobe light was used to stop or slow the apparent motion of the vives for detailed study or wavelength measurement. A white screen ruled in 20 cm x 20 cm squares was used on the floor beneath the tank to facilitate viewing and analysis of the waves. Black and white photographs of the wave images on the floor were also taken by mounting the flash above the tank and focusing the camera on the floor from the side of the tank. The proper camera f-stop for tank photographs with 400 ASA film was f/8.5. Absorbing material, including cloth strips, foam rubber, and sloping barrier inserts could be added to the tank wherever reflections were not desired.

#### Theory of Water Wave Propagation

The following analysis is from <u>Water Waves</u> by N. F. Barber (1). A more detailed derivation including water viscosity and surface tension .may be

found in <u>Ripple Tank Studies of Wave Motion</u> by W. Llowarch (2), and a circulation approach by complex analysis is developed in <u>Water Waves</u> by J. J. Stoker (3). The approach taken in this appendix is sufficient to understand wave propagation for ripple tank modeling, and gives results which correspond closely to those observed in the tank.

The motion of water molecules in water waves is both transverse and longitudinal--the actual motion is approximately elliptical. The molecules at the tops of the wave crests move forward, in the troughs they move opposite the direction of the wave, and in the leading and trailing slopes the molecules move up and down, respectively.



Figure A3. Wave Motion.

The motion of any single molecule may be broken down into two components: Traverse and longitudinal. These amplitudes, A and B, respectively, are shown in Figure A4.

.



Figure A4. Wave Amplitudes.

Note that A is not necessarily equal to B, and in a shallow wave tank, A will generally be much smaller than B. Also, the amplitudes of the motion A and B will be much smaller than the wave length  $\lambda$ . If the shape of the wave is assumed to be sinusoidal, then the angle between the vertical and the normal to the water surface where the water slope is the greatest is  $\frac{2\pi A}{\lambda}$ , shown in Figure A5, below.



Figure A5. Wave Slope.

255

The ratio of the horizontal acceleration of the water at that point to the acceleration of gravity is simply the tangent of  $\frac{2\pi A}{\lambda}$  , and since  $A{<<}\lambda,$  can be considered equal to  $\frac{2\pi A}{\lambda}$ . Thus,

$$\frac{\text{Horizontal Acceleration (Max)}}{\text{Gravitational Acceleration}} = \frac{2\pi A}{\lambda}$$

and thus

Horizontal Acceleration (Max) =  $\frac{2\pi Ag}{r}$ .

Knowing the longitudinal amplitude of the sinusoid B and the horizontal acceleration, the angular frequency  $\omega$  may be deduced:

Horizontal acceleration (Max) =  $\omega^2 B$ , thus  $\frac{2\pi Ag}{\lambda} = \omega^2 B$ 

so

 $\omega = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi Ag}{B}}$ The period T equals  $\frac{2\pi}{\omega}$  , so

$$\lambda = \frac{g\mathbf{T}^2}{2\pi} \quad \frac{A}{B}$$

Since the wave velocity V equals  $\frac{\lambda}{T}$  ,

$$V = \sqrt{\frac{A}{B} \frac{q\lambda}{2\pi}}$$
(A1)

Equation Al shows how the wave velocity depends on the wavelength, and thus the frequency. As the frequency increases, the wavelength decreases, and thus the wave velocity decreases.

Also as the water gets shallower, the ratio

 $\frac{A}{B}$  in equation Al gets correspondingly lower. Therefore, as the depth of the water increases or decreases, the velocity of the waves in turn increases or decreases.

For very shallow water (for example 5 mm in the wave demonstrator tank) the depth of the water D is much less than the wavelength  $\lambda$ . If the vertical velocity component of the water is assumed to also follow a sinusoidal function, then the ration  $\frac{A}{B}$  equals  $\frac{2\pi D}{\lambda}$ .

Substituting into equation Al:

$$V = \sqrt{gD}$$
(A2)

Therefore, for very shallow water (where  $D < <\lambda$ ), the wave velocity is only dependent on the depth of the water, and little dispersion of the different frequency components of a wave should occur.

Comparing the predicted results from equation Al to actual results in the wave demonstrator tank:

For  $\frac{A}{B}$  assumed equal to 1,  $\lambda = 0.1m$ ,  $g = 9.81 \frac{m}{s^2}$   $V = \sqrt{\frac{A}{B} \frac{g\lambda}{2\pi}}$  $= 0.4 \frac{m}{s}$ 

The experimental value tank measurements was  $V = 0.5 \frac{m}{s}$ . For a water depth of 5 mm, using equation A2:

$$V = \sqrt{gD}$$
$$= 0.7 \frac{m}{s}$$

The experimental value from tank measurements was  $V = 0.4 \frac{m}{s}$ . Therefore, the theoretical results corresponded favorably to the actual results obtained from the wave demonstrator tank.

# Theory of Wave Demonstrator Operation

The wave demonstrator tank provided a visualization in two dimensions of wave phenomena by comparison with water waves. The water waves exhibit many of the characteristics of electromagnetic waves: They reflect at their incident angle with a 180° phase change; they interfere constructively and destructivery to produce standing waves and interference patterns; they travel slower through shallower water to simulate higher indices of refraction, etc.

Although the waves themselves were very difficult to see in the tank, they were easily observed by projecting their images onto the floor beneath the tank. When a "point" light source was suspended above the tank in a dark room and a sheet of white paper was placed beneath the tank, the convex and concave curvature of the waves acted like converging and diverging lenses, projecting bright and dark lines on the paper. The arrangement is shown in Figure A2, which also shows the distances from the tank to the

258

light and the paper used for the experiments described in this appendix. These distances were not optimum for all wavelengths and water depths, but were convenient and suitable for a general range of use.

# Experimental Wave Characterization Plane Waves

The wave generator was clamped to the tank side and the plane wave generator attachment (a 4' wooden dowel) was connected to the wave generator arms. Using a meterstick and a stopwatch, measurements of wave velocity and wavelength were made at various water depths from 5 mm to 30 mm and frequencies ranging between 1.5 and 5.5 cycles per second. The experimental data and calculations of wave velocity, frequency, wavelength, and voltage applied to the motor are available in a laboratory notebook at the Air Force Academy. The data is shown in Figures A6 through A8 as graphs of frequency as a function of voltage applied to the motor, and wave velocity and wavelength of functions of frequency for various water depths. These graphs were used to choose the required water depth and motor voltage to produce a desired frequency, wavelength, and/or wave velocity. Circular Waves

Because the circular wave probe (a wooden bead) displaces much less water than the plane wave



E

Figure A6: Plot of Frequency vs Voltage.

-





.

.



probe, and due to the  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  dependence of the amplitude of a two dimensional wave and the great attenuation over distance of a water wave, the images formed of a circular wave were considerable dimmer, especially at low frequency and shallow water depth. Consequently, it was recommended that a minimum water depth of 10 mm or minimum wave generator frequency of 3 cycles per second be used to obtain easily visible circular wave images. The included calibration charts were used to set approximate motor voltages and water depths for desired frequencies, wavelengths, and wave velocities.

## Visual Characteristics

The waves in the tank projected sharper images on the floor as the water depth was increased. However, dispersion of the higher frequency components becomes more of a problem with increased water depth, as shown in the wave velocity vs. frequency chart in Figure A7. The best balance between image clarity and reduced dispersion was determined experimentally to occur at approximately 15 mm water depth, for wavelengths associated with antenna modeling and acoustic characterization experiments. This is especially important for circular waves produced by a spherical probe, since the amplitude of the plane waves produced by a cylindrical probe is greater. For reflection,

refraction, and interference experiments where higher frequencies (5 to 6 cycles per second) were used, slower waves with less dispersion were obtained and seen easily using shallower water depths (less than 10 m .

# Operation of the Wave Demonstrator

Water depth and wave generator frequency most suitable for proper wavelength and velocity and sharpest viewing were selected. For short wavelengths with maximum clarity, a shallow water depth (10 mm or less) is most desirable, providing the least dispersion and low wave velocity at the shortest wavelengths. For demonstrations of varying wave velocity with varying frequency (dispersion), a deeper water depth (30 mm) were most effective. Where waves were scaled to the dimensions of an anechoic chamber containing a reflector and scattering object a compromise between wave image brightness and frequency dispersion was achieved with a water depth of about 15 mm. Once the proper water depth had been selected, the desired wavelength or wave velocity was found from the included graphs. After selecting the required wavelength or wave velocity from the family of water depth curves, the required frequency was read from Figure A8. The applied voltage for the motor was determined from the frequency vs. voltage graph.

The wavelengths in the graphs were measured from the images projected on the paper by the setup in Figure A2. To convert these lengths to actual wavelengths of the water waves in the tank, the dimensions were multiplied by  $\frac{170}{270}$  --the ratio of distances of the light from the tank and floor.

With the tank filled to the required depth, the light was suspended approximately 1.7 meters above the water, and a white screen positioned approximately one meter below the tank. For the best wave images, the height of the probe was adjusted so that the probe comes as close as possible to the plexiglass bottom of the tank, without touching the bottom at the lowest point in its motion, or breaking contact with the water surface at the top. The best images usually occurred with minimum wave generator amplitude, except when using the circular wave generator at low frequency, where a larger amplitude will be needed. The images of the waves in the tank were clearly visible on the screen beneath the tank when illuminated by a "point" light source above the tank.

The antenna patterns from corner reflector antennas were modeled to scale in the tank using the circular wave generator probe and placing straight rigid barriers at an angle behind the probe. Recommended dimensions for corner reflectors were

found in "Gains of Finite-Size Corner Reflector Antennas" by H. V. Cottony and A. C. Wilson (4). Parabolic transmitting antennas were modeled in the tank by generating circular waves at the focus of the parabolic reflector. A waveguide and microwave horn antenna were also modeled in the tank by propagating plane waves down a  $\frac{1}{2}\lambda$  wide constriction in the tank and into a diverging set of rigid barriers. Recommended dimensions for horn antennas may be found in Antennas by John D. Kraus (5).

The photographs that follow were taken using only a flash mounted above the tank in an otherwise dark room. An open shutter at f/8.5 was used with 400 ASA film. Note the relatively plane wavefronts after approximately three divisions on the screen. The dark vertical lines are meter stick barriers modeling the sides of "perfectly" reflecting anechoic chamber walls. Corner reflector aperature angles and antenna to apex distances were varied to investigate gain and plane wavefront parameters.


Figure A9: Parabolic Reflector.

k,

ļ



### References

- 1. Barber, N. F., <u>Water Waves</u>. London: Wykeham Publications Ltd., 1969.
- 2. Llowarch, W., <u>Ripple Tank Studies of Wave Motion</u>, London: Oxford University Press, 1961.
- 3. Stoker, J. J., <u>Water Waves</u>, New York: Interscience Publishers, Inc., 1957.
- 4. Cottony, H. V., and Wilson, A. C., "Gains of Finite-Size Corner Reflector Antennas," in <u>IRE</u> <u>Transactions on Antennas and Propagation</u>, October 1958, pp. 366-368.
- 5. Kraus, John D., <u>Antennas</u>, New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1950.

### APPENDIX B

BODY OF REVOLUTION INPUT DATA

Disk sizes (wavelengths)

- .15 .38 .50 1.0 1.3
- 3.0

۰đ

N PH I 2 L

.

271

0000 ÷ • لبالبادرورا 2444 20000 000 000 000 ++ + uuuu 444 9000 NNN -----. . . . . -000 0000 աննն 444 MMMM 0000 0000 0000 تعايياتها تعا 23 NONO NON' NUNN . 00000 1 Ň 0000 0000 C C + + + 1.1 ພໍ່ພະພາບັນ 44 44 MMMM NNNN ------0000 ...... \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ŝ

•.'

272

.

0.62 Ал. Т 9122344E+03 3737361E+00 9122344E+00 G. C203 C. CO CO 5 5 5 5 •62672( •1423)( •1423)( •1420)( •1420)( • C. C1 52 0003-3 ; • • • -.96397196400 -.51386706400 .822778596400 .823778596400 - 4 6 6 0 1 4 3 E - C 1 - 1 3 1 6 P 6 E + C 0 - 1 4 9 1 7 3 0 E + C 0 - P 2 2 7 6 7 4 E - C 1 00+ ç 5773503E .1 CO 00 00 E C - 00 00 - 3 C.C.C.I.C.I. 0 ŝ . 0.0051 00000-0000 -- 99 31 26 66 + CC -- 63 60 53 76 + CC - 76 52 65 26 - C1 - 7 4 63 31 96 + CC 10+ - 1000 CC 0E-0-000 0-000 0-0 2002 00 ٠. ٠ HZ ~

×

æ

•

-----

..... 0.) 45 30405 000C--13193016400 -15275346400 -11719456430 -17514616-31 -.7463319E+00 -.7652652E-01 -.6360537E+00 -.931286E+00 ୍ଦ୍ ŝ 0CC0. 0.035 لتأليبا ورولداليبا وروليا • 0 = 391173 E +00 2 277859E +00 5 108670E +00 9 639719 E +00 -1-2-27574E-01 -1-215876E-00 -1-21586E+00 -1-21586E+000 -4063143E-01 4 0-0000-0 0-030 m 0. () () ŧ ..... ...... 10276-01 101276-01 97916-02 92006-02 71516+01 71516+01 31696+901

....

÷...

ŝ

273

Ļ

): . •

0000 0000 ورالكاروان 0000 \*\*\* . . . . . . . 000 888 0.00 443 MMM MMM ...... 2000 2000 2000 4444 ----.... 0000 ويبير الحويسوايس الحوايب أ then been the The same the same same and same and 0000 0000 ........ ........ 0040 0000 แหนาการ and periods and . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 0000 m

.

274

. . . .

٠,٠

	3.3633		
00000000000000000000000000000000000000	ú •0533	0000-0	<b></b>
4000 10000 4000 10000 4000 10000 10000 10000 1000000 100000 100000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 100000 100000 10000 10000 10000 10000 1000000 100	0 • 3 4 6 6	00000	
10000 100000 100000 100000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 100000	0 • 0 • 0 0	0-0000	2900 2900 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000
	0.6333	0- 03 09	
2001 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002	. (267	. (000	······································
	. 6200	. 0000	
550 550 550 550 550 550 550 550	1233 C.	8880	
	E+02 C+	5-3 6-3 6-5 6-5 6-5 6-5 6-5 6-5 6-5 6-5 6-5 6-5	
C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1 5634951 66 0-01	3-3 3 J	
	500 500 500	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	

```
0000
   0000
   1,1,1,1,1,1,1
   MM ---
   MHOO
   ****
 . .
   .
000
000
MNN
MOO
++++
    ....
    8228
    4444
      .
  .
2000
          ------
                     ليد وجرابيو الحاجوني التجريب وجرابي الجر
0000
         0000
COMM
         .........
                      .......
 . . .
    ....
                               . .
                                 .
     0000
    0000
         00000
0000
++++
+++
 2000
         ころしてきょうのう よう たちょうちのき ののか うよきしろう しょう くろう ちょうこう しょう くろう いう しろう こう ひろう このか ろ きょう
         -
         R ちずっころころ ろこも 11 R ちずっこうう ちょうちょう ゆう
ころろう からら ゆうち ゆつ ころこ ころう きょうち ゆうち
 The project of
 4 4 4 4
  CC.00
          . . . . . . . . . . .
                      m
```

5.5.2 E

Ì

276

.

5

×

< ×

-1

u.

277

.

```
اردو والما ورور ولما الما
     E. M. O. E. E. O.
M. G. O. O. E. E. O.
4444444
. . . . . .
000000
000000
000000
4444444
199999999
      O_____
     00 2000C
000000
      ......
000000
             -010-00
*****
              . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
     ....
UUOUOOU
            0000000
++++++
99999999
9999999
0000000
****
973973
              . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
```

۰.

. .

.

 $\{T^{i}\}$ 

· ·

ŀ.

٠.

5

I

-

i.

.

.

÷

			0.356 0.3756	6666-0	
	90 200 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4		0.331P U.0716	00000 00000 00000	
	- SUNG NON	o mao	ون بته	90	
	MNOH		~ ~	20	الما ان الإمالية الما وروبي الما المارية الما المارية المارية.
	4 9M 0	5 10 m	Č.	0	HMARD NHEE 4040
	~ ~ 00 • • • • •	9-9-9-9 9-9-9	00	00	
	0000		<b>6</b> 9	00	
	9000	0000	<b>NN</b>	00	
	التعليدا لبا لبنا		66	00	
	2000	J O'OM			
	- CUN	5 mart			S CIP MM C HM C HM AD
	3 N Q A		0 h	00	・ コアロクニター チロア こうじゅう ションション ちアクシンション
	M WHO	M TTO	00	ÖÜ	
			50	00	
	1 1			••	
	0000	-00-	06	00	+++++++++++++
	0000	0000	<b>A b</b>	60	البالية ليالياليا لياليا لذاليا لياليا
	มัมมัมมัม	๛๛๛๛	5	66	5 2000000000000000000000000000000000000
		5	50	33	E GONIMMOG GARA
	MOOM	N 0 0 N		••	
	737	2600 2600 2600	00	00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	O MM O	مہتے ہ	6 1	<u>Se</u>	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
		••••		80	الناليا بياليانيا ببالباليا الملياني لمانيا
	-		<b>U</b> U		- い ちのちん - こ ひ う こ み の ー K
Ç,	Ğ <u>ų</u> ,ų		ΨO	ÚŬ.	A MUNICA OF MUNIC
ພົມ	ب ب ب ب	พพพพร		-	
M O	2000 2000		~~~	00	•
ñ ē	N 100-1	Hanw	04	õ	NOHANNNAAANN
M O	5 6 A A	0 <b>0 10</b>		++	++1111111111
N Ŭ		U Mari	UU U	00	
<u>ب</u> م			2		00400-000-000-000
	• •		0 02	00	AFFICIOCOMON AFF
Q	00-	HOCU	ш <del>С.</del> 4	88	
Ų Ų				00	• • • •
. ພິ ພິ	in num	ิณ ผู้ผู้ผู้หรือ	m CO	00	
M C	\$ Mu \$		(M)		
mu vi ü	1401444	4	M CUL		
Р. С. 2 С. 2	1000	13161	- Cmr	885	200410/ mi0000/ m
<u>ح ۲ ک</u>	5.MU4		000	$\infty$	く しちょう しつ しょう
		• • • • • • •	- 00 h	000	
2 11 1	, † <sup>†</sup> ⊺	2	<b>∿</b> I	π	
	~	~	<b>W</b> -		-

-

280

•

•••

.

0000000 <del>. . . . . .</del> . . البالبا لبالبال البالية 510505000 434444N 00000000 .... . ... 000000 0000000 الجالية لي المالية الم . . . . . . . . . . OAAOAA 0000000 \* \* \* <del>\* \* \*</del> \* ساليات شعيانا ب 11001101 11001101 4404404 ........ . . 000000 000000 4-103 00000 ~~~~~~~ 5 PE 17 PE 20 00000000 ............... . . . . . . . JOGHOGH \* \* \* \* \* \* \* 1020000 44114411 . erec er

11

.

. • .

للموجعة بالموجعة والمحاصر والموجعة والمحاصة والمحاصين وتتعادي والموجون والمحاص والمحاص والمحاص والمحاص والمواجع

281

·. \_ .

Ì.

I

H

0000-0 .113 e79 C. -000C-519E+30 557E+30 577E+30 577E+3 0000 9 301 miner O ŝ 7453331 53 .0000 اراور ولمالية ورولمالية ورولما a 0 -..... 0 ... ٠ Q . . . . . . . . . ŧ. . 0000 -0-0000-0 0-073 لتاليا لياليا 3276748 4917396 2168866 0. \$612 00 00 00 00 6 NO 4 8 a. NIAA . . . . . . . . . . Mmmm 00000 тытт 0 0000 1 4 4 1 1 4 4 1 5- 57 14 6. C000 5. C000 3003 5 330 91223 2672 しじょう しじじょうし **6:15**91 . ... 96397196+00 -51086706+00 -25778596+00 -25778596+00 ပ္န υu ų 00E .5773503E -1269 000 000 466014 149173 149173 722767 0000 00 Q 5  $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}$ -• ... 2 . . 0-1366 1.1 3321E+0 90 - 17614C1E-C1 - 152753456400 - 152753456400 - 1519261E+C0 - 1519261E+C0 ວິບິ XT<sup>2</sup> 2C --57735C3E+C0 -50 50 **U** + . 13 CC CC 0E 333-9 200 л FH ] 2**с** そうちょう うちろう ちちろう ち a muit 0.0NN •••• • • • • • • • • • • Z T F æ × -<u>u</u>  $\sim$ 

.\*

283

÷. :

۰,

.

```
55555
                                  الداريان الدارية
                                  N J.+NN
100.01010
                                  00000
                                  . . . .
                                      . . . .
0000
00000
0000
NNNN
0000
 พัพพัพพั
                                            1244
                                             666666
       . . . .
                                              . . . . .
  00000
                                                                                                                                                                                                                               والجرابي أحجابهم المواجع ومراجع أمواحم ومراجع
  enterit aut enterit
                                                                                                  00000
   ليالياليا لياليا
    じんじじし
                                                                                        20222
       . . . . .
                                             00000
                                             \begin{array}{c} \textbf{H}_{\text{A}} = \textbf{H}_{\text{A
      ....
                                                                                                                                    .....
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              1 1 1 1
   COOOO
                                                                                        1021
                                                                                        00000
                           i eni eni
```

an

284

2

Č,

٠,

•

ļ

.

ð

Ξ.

۲

• ;

.

۲

0

#### APPENDIX C

BODY OF TRANSLATION SQUARE PLATE RESULTS

The square plate problems were run with a Bodies of Translation Code (BOT) called A-STAR. This code, developed by McDonnell Douglas Research Labs, models bodies which have a constant cross sectional geometry such as flat plates and cylinders. The code predicts two orthogonal components of surface current distribution and radiated near and far electric and magnetic fields. The code also has the capability of modeling enclosing encaps on the geometry as well as attached thin wires.

The concept of this model assumes model current distributions of the form exp(jntA/L) along the length of the body. This model is presently limited to bodies of about 10 square wavelengths. .38 LAMBDA SQUARE PLATE

.317E-08	.360E-03	.115E-02	.203E-02	.292E-02	.378E-02	.505E-02	.591E-02	.677E-02	.719E-02	.762E-02	.761E-02	. 806E-02	.807E-02	.807E-02	.807E-02	.852E-02	.852E-02	.896E-02	.895E-02	.895E-02	
.217E-09	.563E-03	.118E-02	.173E-02	.226E-02	.287E-02	.325E-02	.364E-02	.380E-02	.400E-02	.397E-02	.396E-02	.397E-02	.397E-02	.3975-02	.424E-02	.4505-02	.477E-02	.502E-02	.528E-02	.528E-02	
.730E-09	.413E-03	.9386-03	.126E-02	.189E-02	.229E-02	.272E-02	.292E-02	.344E-02	.346E-02	.375E 02	.374E-02	.372E-02	.371E-02	.3986-02	.400E-02	.4556-02	.482E-02	.506E-02	.531E-02	.530E-02	
.229E-08	.323E-03	.883E-03	.124E-02	.210E-02	.269E-02	.3295-02	.3936-02	.459E-02	.492E-02	.526E-02	.557E-02	.5565-02	.557E-02	.593E-02	.597E-02	.631E-02	. 630E-02	.660E-02	.6565-02	.655E-02	
.785E-09	.150E-03	.299E-03	.748E-03	,120E-02	.165E-02	.209E-02	.239E-02	.269E-02	.284E-02	.299E-02	.299E-02	.2996-02	.284E-02	.284E-02	.269E-02	.269E-02	.269E-02	.284E-02	.284E-02	.2995-02	
.7156-09	.323E-03	.883E-03	.124E-02	.210E-02	.269E-02	.329E-02	.3935-02	.459E-02	.492E-02	.526E-02	.557E-02	.556E-02	.557E-02	.593E-02	.597E-02	.631E-02	.630E-02	.660E-02	.6565-02	.655E-02	
.2005-08	.413E-03	.983E~03	.126E-02	.189E-02	.229E-02	.272E-02	.292E-02	.3446-02	.346E-02	.3756-02	.374E-02	.372E-02	.371E-02	.398E-02	.400E-02	.455E-02	.482E-02	.506E-02	.531E-02	.530E-02	
.623E-09	.563E-03	.1185-02	.1735-02	.226E-02	.287E-02	.3256-02	.364E-02	.3805-02	.400E-02	.397E-02	.3966-02	.397E-02	.397E-02	.3975-02	.424E-02	.450E-02	.477E-02	.502E-02	.5288-02	.528E-02	
.1086-08	.3605-33	.115E-02	.2035-02	.2926-02	.3786-02	.5056-02	.591E-02	.677E-02	.719E-02	762E-02	-761E-02	.8066-02	.807E-02	.807E-92	.8075-02	.852E-02	.852E-02	.895E-02	.895E-02	.8955-02	

translation solution for total current on the upper a .38 wavelength square plate. Body of half of Figure C1:

286

.50 LAMBDA SQUARE PLATE

<ul> <li>200</li> <li>200</li></ul>	
8166 68266 12566 12566 12566 2076 2076 2026 20276 2022 2026 2022 33266 2022 33266 2022 3276 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2	
2156-08 39666-03 8666-03 14666-03 2716-03 2716-02 23266-02 33266-02 5296-02 5596-02 5596-02 5606-02 6356-02 6356-02 6316-02 6716-02 6716-02 6716-02 6716-02 6716-02 6716-02 6716-02	
104 902 9024 1505 1516 1516 1516 1516 1516 1516 1516	
122 123 123 153 153 153 153 153 153 153 153 153 15	- 077F 0F
89 9002 1002 1002 1002 1002 1002 1002 100	
159 359 359 359 359 359 352 352 352 352 352 352 352 352 352 352	
200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	
822 6629 6629 6629 6629 6629 6629 6629 6	- 01 01 - 00

Body of translation solution for total current on the upper half of a .50 wavelength square plate. Figure C2:

287

1.4

おおたい ひたたい 長山

1.0 LAMBDA SQUARE PLATE

•

.662E-09	.215E-03	.464E-03	.7285-03	. 939E-03	.113E-02	.129E-02	.171E-02	.191E-02	.214E-02	.260E-02	.283E-02	.307E-02	.354E-02	.3786-02	.403E-02	.426E-02	.450E-02	.472E-02	.472E-02	.472E-02	
.910E-10	.268E-03	. 636E-03	.859E-03	.115E-02	.154E-02	.182E-02	.210E-02	.223E-02	.238E-02	.255E-02	.272E-02	.272E-02	.290E-02	.307E-02	.307E-02	.325E-02	.325E-02	.341E-02	.341E-02	.341E-02	
.1366-08	.278E-03	.551E-03	.921E-03	.1256-02	.157E-02	.187E-02	.201E-02	.2356-02	.233E-02	.251E-02	.2705-02	.270E-02	.2905-02	.309E-02	.3285-02	.349E-02	.368E-02	.368E-02	.386E-02	.386E-02	
.505E-09	.165E-03	.513E-03	.726E-03	.109E-02	.146E-02	.181E-02	,218E-02	.237E-02	,256E-02	.275E-02	.2756-02	.295E-02	.295E-02	.315E-02	, 334E-02	.354E-02	.374E-02	.374E-02	.393E-02	.393E-02	
.115E-38	.115E-08	,187E-03	.561E-03	.936E-03	.131E-02	.1876-92	.206E-02	.2435-02	.262E-02	.281E-02	.2995-02	.318E-02	.3185-02	.337E-02	.337E-02	.356E-02	.356E-02	.374E-02	.374E-02	.374E-02	
.3605-09	.165E-03	.5136-03	.726E-03	.109E-02	.146E-02	.181E-02	.2185-02	.237E-02	.2565~02	.275E-02	.2756-02	.295E-02	.2956-02	.315E-02	.334E-02	.354E-02	.374E-02	.374E-02	.393E-02	.393E-02	
.870E-09	.278E-03	.551E-03	.921E-03	.1256-02	.157E-02	.187E-02	.201E-02	.235E-02	.233E-02	.251E-02	.2705-02	.270E-02	.2906-02	.309E-02	.328E-02	.349E-02	.368E-02	.368E-02	.386E-02	.386E-02	
.234E-09	.268E-03	.636E-03	.859E-03	.1156-02	.154E-02	.182E-02	.210E-02	.223E-02	.238E-02	.2556-02	.272E-02	.272E-02	.290E-02	.307E-02	. 307E-02	.325E-02	.325E-02	.341E-02	.341E-02	.341E-02	
.768E-09	.215E-03	,464E-03	.7286-03	.939E-83	.113E-02	.1296-02	.1715-02	.191E-02	.214E-02	, 260E-02	.2835-02	.307E-02	.354E-02	3786-02	.4035-02	.426E-02	.450E-02	,472E-02	.472E-02	.472E-02	

Body of translation solution for total current on the upper half of a 1.0 wavelength square plate. Figure C3:

1.3 LAMBDA SQUARE PLATE

825E-03 1876-03 5276-03 15276-03 1546-02 1546-02 2546-02 2546-02 3036-02 3036-02 3036-02 3036-02 3036-02 3036-02 2876-02 2876-02 33376-02 3376-02 3376-02 3376-02 3376-02
.143E-03 .558E-03 .558E-03 .558E-03 .926E-03 .166E-02 .311E-02 .336E-02 .35
8956-98 37666-98 37666-93 37666-93 18566-93 29566-92 31466-92 31466-92 31466-92 31466-92 31466-92 31466-92 31466-92 333266-92 35566-92 3696-92 3696-92 3696-92 3696-92 3696-92 3696-92 3696-92 3696-92 3696-92
9566-08 1856-08 3706-03 9246-03 1856-03 1488-03 3146-02 3146-02 3146-02 3146-02 3146-02 3146-02 3146-02 3146-02 33326-02 33326-02 3696-02 3696-02 3696-02 3697-02 3698-02 3698-02 3698-02
9958-03 1966-03 5588-03 9266-03 1666-03 11666-03 3116-02 3116-02 3116-02 3116-02 3116-02 3116-02 3116-02 3116-02 3116-02 3116-02 3166-02 33666-02 33666-02 3660-02 3600-02 3600-02000-02000000000000000000000000000
126E-02 1527E-03 1527E-03 1527E-03 154E-02 254E-02 258E-02 303E-02 303E-02 303E-02 303E-02 303E-02 337E-02 337E-02 337E-02 337E-02 337E-02 337E-02 337E-02 337E-02 337E-02
7966 1786 51286 51286 1786 1586 1536 1536 1536 1536 1536 2536 2536 162 3636 2536 162 3636 2706 162 3636 162 3636 162 33376 33776 3377777777
2538 2538 2538 2538 2538 2538 2538 2558 25688 2568 2568 2568 2568 25688 25688 2568 2568 2568 256
1066 1066 1066 1000

Body of translation solution for total current on the upper half of a 1.3 wavelength square plate. Figure C4:

289

۴. .

Body of translation solution for total current on the upper half of a 1.3 wavelength square plate (continued).

Figure C5:

1.3 LAMBDA SQUARE PLATE

. 630E-08	.567E-04	.451E-03	.887E-03	.133E-02	.197E-02	.219E-02	.241E-02	.263E-02	.241E-02	.241E-02	.241E-02	.241E-02	.285E-02	.307E-02	.351E-02	.3956-02	.416E-02	.416E-02	.438E-02	.438E-02	
. 333E-08	.174E-03	.4886-03	.953E-03	.157E-02	.2186-02	.265E-02	.2956-02	.310E-02	.310E-02	.279E-02	.264E-02	.248E-02	.2336-02	.233E-02	.248E-02	.264E-02	.264E-02	.279E-02	.279E-02	.279E-02	
.802E-08	.1786-03	.5186-03	.102E-02	,153E-02	.203E-02	.254E-02	.286E-02	.303E-02	.3036-02	.286E-02	.2706-02	.270E-02	.270E-02	.270E-02	.286E-02	.303E-02	.320E-02	.337E-02	. 337F-02	.337E-02	

•

4

4

6



Figure C6: Normalized current contour plot for the .38 wavelength square plate (BOT).



Figure C7: Normalized current contour plot for the .50 wavelength square plate (BOT).







## Table Cl

# Current Components from the Body of Translation Code for a 1.0 wavelength square plate

<sup>J</sup> horizontal	(A/m)	<sup>J</sup> vertical <sup>(A/m)</sup>
.763E-09		.472E-02
.226E-09		.341E-02
.864E-09		.386E-02
.355E~09		.393E-02
.115E-08		.374E-02
.502E~09		.093E-02
.135E-08		.386E-02
.455E-11		.341E-02
.661E-09		.472E-02

### APPENDIX D

### COMPUTER PROGRAMS

- CAMCAL Calibration for Thermovision
- J vs T Quadratic fit from empirical data
- IRSTAT Statistical Analysis on the Initial and Final IR data then

stores it

MEGAIR - Calculates temperature and current from data - also graphs

PDISC - Bouwkamp disk solution

DISK - Cartesian and Total current

calculation

1

Ĵ

[NPUT "Enter Maximum Temperature in Degrees C.",Tmax (NPUT "Enter the Convergence Criteria Desired.",Con INPUT "Enter I2 (absolute isothermal units).",I2 INPUT "Enter T2 (degrees Centigrade).",T2 [NPUT "Enter I1 (absolute isothermal units).",]1 NPUT "Enter Maximum Isothermal Units.", Imax INPUT "Enter T1 (degrees Centigrade).",T1 I THE NAME OF THIS PROGRAM IS "CAMCAL' Fq=T2\*L0G(I1/I2\*(Exp-1))-0n Qn=T1\*T2/(T1-T2)\*L0G(I1/I2) Fpg=T2/T1\*Exp/(Exp-1)-1 F Diff<Con THEN 220 [(J)=P/(EXP(Qn/T)-1) LOCATE 19,115,14,80 AXES 1,2,0,0,10,5 LOCATE 20,115,15,80 SCALE 0, Tmax, 0, Imax P=I1\*(EXP(Qn/T1)-1) DIM T(201), I(201) Diff=ABS(Qn1-Qn) Exp=EXP(Qn/T1) FOR J=1 TO 200 'inc=Tmax/199 Qn1=Qn-Fq/Fpq EXIT GRAPHICS LINE TYPE 1 r(J)=T-273 G0T0 148 "=T+Tinc GRAPHICS GCLEAR NEXT J GCLEAR Qn=Qn1T=273 190 200 210 298 388 310 320 338 348 358 00 400 400 400 - 0 0 <del>0</del> 88 6

\_ABEL "Temperature in Degrees Centigrade" CALIBRATION CURVE" CSIZE 2.5,9/15,15\*PI/180 LABEL "Isothermal Units" LDIR 0 MOVE 4.1\*Devx,-1.9\*Devy 10VE 9.6\*Devx,-.4\*Devy MOVE 1.5\*Devx,-.8\*Devy MOVE -.5\*Devx,-.4\*Devy MOVE -. 7\*Devx, 9.8\*Devy COVE -. 7\*Devx, 4.8\*Devy -ABEL USING 550; Imax/2 10VE 4.6\*Devx,-.4\*Devy -ABEL USING 610; Tmax/2 ABEL USING 580; TMax .ABEL USING 520; Imax "THERMOVISION MOVE -Devx,2.5\*Devy LDIR PI/2 MOVE Devx,-1.6\*Devy CSIZE 4.2,9/15,0 MOVE Devx,11\*Devy LABEL USING 710;P CSIZE 3.3,9/15,0 CR J=1 TO 200 DRAW T(J), I(J) MOVE T(1), I(1) LABEL "c AGA" Devy=Imax/10 Devx=Tmax/10 IMAGE DDD IMAGE DDD IMAGE DDD IMAGE DDD -ABEL 0 LABEL NEXT 360 370 380 390 400 4470 4420 4400 4500 4500 4500 620 630 648 650 660 670 680 690 700

296

وتعامله فيعدونه

MOVE 5\*Devx,11\*Devy LABEL USING 740;Qn IMAGE "Q=",DDDD.D LINE TYPE 3 IMAGE "P=", DDDDDD.D 10\*Devx,Imax 9\*Devx, Imax Tmax, 5\*Devy 2\*Dev×, Imax 3\*Devx, Imax 6\*Devx, Imax 7\*Devx, Imax 8\*Devx, Imax Tmax, 2\*Devy [max,4\*Devy 4\*Devx, Imax 5\*Devx, Imax Tmax, 3\*Devy 0, Devy Tmax, Devy 0, 2+Devy 10\*Devx,0 DRAW Devx, Imax 0,5\*Devy 4\*Devx,0 5\*Devx, 8 8\*Devx,0 9\*De∨×,0 0,3\*Devy 0,4\*Devy 3\*Devx,0 6\*Devx,0 7\*Devx,0 2\*Devx,0 AOVE Devx, 0 DRAW DRAW RAW DRAW DRAW DRAW DRAW MOVE NOVE 10VE 10 V E DRAW 10 V E RAW 10VE ORAW DRAW 10VE 10VE DRAW MOVE DRAW DRAW JOVE 10 V E MOVE MOVE MOVE 1818 1828 1 0 4 0 1 0 5 0 0001 030 790 710

¥ • · · · .

•

1060 MOVE 0,6\*Devy 1070 DRAW Tmax,6\*Devy 1080 MOVE 0,7\*Devy 1080 DRAW Tmax,7\*Devy 1100 MOVE 0,8\*Devy 1110 MOVE 0,8\*Devy 11120 MOVE 0,9\*Devy 1120 MOVE 0,10\*Devy 1130 DRAW Tmax,9\*Devy 1150 DRAW Tmax,10\*Devy 1150 LINE TYPE 1 1170 STOP

299 DIM J(30),T(30),Jcal(250),Tcal(250),V1(15),V2(15),I(30)  $v_2((1+1)/2) = (T(1+1)*J(1)^2 - T(1)*J(1+1)^2)^D enom$ INPUT "Enter an even number of data points.",N INPUT "Enter the sample width <M).",W  $v_1((1+1)/2) = (T(1)*J(1+1)-T(1+1)*J(1))/Denom$ I THE NAME OF THIS PROGRAM IS 'JUST'!  $Denom=J(1+1)*J(1)^2-J(1)*J(1+1)^2$ V1avg=V1avg+V1((1+1)/2)/(N/2) Y2avg=V2avg+V2((1+1)/2)/(N/2) NEXT 1 fca)(I)=V1avg\*Cur^2+∛2avg\*Cur 01SP "V(";I;")(VOLTS)="; SCALE 0,25,0,5 Locate 9,110,9,80 Axes .25,11,0,0,10,10 Line Type 2 :"=(A)(";I;")I" ASI0 LOCATE 10,110,10,80 FOR I=1 TO N STEP 2 fu=<nflfn)Lu dSIG FOR I=0 TO 249 INTEGER I, J, N EXIT GRAPHICS FOR I=1 TO N Cur=Cur+Cinc Jcal(I)=Cur J(I)=I(I)/W Cinc=5/250 (I)I I(I) INPUT V(I) (I)I I(I) GRAPHICS NEXT I GCLEAR NEXT I 240 250 260 270 280 298 368 318 110 120 130 100 8 8 8 8 8 80 0 4 0 5 0 0 5 0 0 68 20

::

LABEL "Differential Temperature (Degrees Centigrade)" Ξ LABEL "Current Density, J, in Amps/Meter IMAGE "Vlavg=",ŠD.DDĎE MOVE 12,4.5 IMAGE "V2avg=", SD.DDDE LABEL USING 620; V2avg LABEL USING 590; Vlavg [cal(0), Jcal(0) DRAW Tcal(I), Jcal(I) FOR I=1 TO 249 MOVE -1.2,-.25 MOVE 14.2,-.25 MOVE 19.2,-.25 DRAW T(I), J(I) MOVE 4.3,-.25 MOVE -1.2,4.9 MOVE 9.2,-.25 LABEL 10 FOR I=1 TO N MOVE 24,-.25 LABEL 25 LINE TYPE 1 MOVE -2,-.5 LDIR P1/2 MOVE 3,4.5 MOVE 0,-.5 LABEL 15 MOVE 0,0 LABEL 20 LABEL 5 Ø LABEL 5 LDIR 6 NEXT I NEXT I MOVE 1 LABEL 598 598 618 618 360 376 396 396 460 4444 4964 8864 8864 450 460 470 620 630 640 650 660 678 680 690 200 300

**N** 

ហ្		ő		ō,		ō		
φ.		4		<u>.</u>				
-								
<b>N</b>		N,		<u></u>		<u></u>		
-	-1	÷	N	÷	Ċ	-	4	
1		1	_			1	1	
ш	Ĩ	Ē	Ē	m	ũ	ш	ធ	<u>a</u>
5	H	3	E	3	HB HB	3	8	2
Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	J	Ē	Ξ	Σ	Ц	တ
~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
-	ñ	ĕ	4	ທີ	ö	~	æ	š
~	~	~	~	~	~	~	<b>r</b> ~	~

. .

! THIS PROGRAM DOES A STATISTICAL ANALYSIS ON THE INITIAL AND FINAL I THE NAME OF THIS PROGRAM IS 'IRSTAT'---IT READS AND STORES DATA! "Enter 2 IF Ref. Temp. is lowest; 1 if highest.", Hi INPUT "How many frames are to be averaged (MAX 7)?",M "Reference Temperature (Centigrade) =",10 R DATA AND THEN STORES IT IN 'IN' AND 'Final'! "Push 'CONT' when ready to take data." SHORT Ir(64,64),Final(64,64),Dummy(64,64,7) INTEGER I,J,K,L,M,Z,Q,Jj I NOW WE TAKE M SAMPLES OF RAW ISU DATA Ą "Enter Sensitivity.", Sensi IMAGE " I AM READING DATA SAMPLE "Terminate at y=",Ymax "Terminate at x=", Xmax "Begin at x=", Xmin "Begin at y=",Ymin FOR I=Ymin TO Ymax PRINT USING 240;K IF A=0 THEN 310 IF A=1 THEN 270 WRITE IO 2,5;0 WRITE IO 2,5;1 OPTION BASE 1 EXIT GRAPHICS READ IO 2,5;A **A=BINAND(A, 1)** READ IO 2,5;A A=BINAND(A,1) FOR K=1 TO M PRINT PAGE OVERLAP GCLEAR INPUT INPUT INPUT PRINT INPUT TUPUT INPUT INPUT PAUSE 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 179 180 190 200 210 220 230 240 250 260 270 280 290 300 310 320 338 348 8 8 8 0 **4** 0 **0** 20 800 800 800 800 98

302
I WE NOW DO A STATISTICAL ANALYSIS ON 'DUMMY'. **N** FOR J=Xmin\*2 TO Xmax\*2-1 STEP PRINT USING 500; I, Ymax-I Dummy(I,Jj,K)=READBIN(2) FOR I=Ymin TO Ymax WRITE BIN 2:-0 Z=(I-1)\*128 PRINT PAGE Jj=J/2+1 NEXT J NEXT K NEXT I 2+1=0

400

410

398

380

370

350 360

IMAGE "I AM ON ROW ",DD," I HAVE ",DD," REMAINING ON THE STATISTICAL ANALY L IS THE NUMBER OF VALID READINGS ! DUMMY IS THE SUM OF ALL VALID READINGS! WE DELETE ANYTHING OUT OF NO VALID READINGS - GOTO NEXT ELEMENT CONTRINS ONLY VALID IR READINGS ! WE NOW CALCULATE THE STD. DEV. THE RANGE 0-9, CALC. A MEAN AND STD. DEV., DISCARD ANYTHING ! ! Over one std. dev. Outside of the mean, then calc. A new mean WE CALC. THE FIRST AVERAGE ! AND LOAD -1 IN I' AS FLAG! I DISCARD ERRORS ! \_. IF (Dum(0) OR (Dum)9) THEN 600 Sumsq=Sumsq+(Row(K)-Avg)^2 FOR J=Xmin TO Xmax Dum=Dummy<I,J,K) IF L=0 THEN 770 Bummy=Bummy+Bum FOR K=1 TO M FOR K=1 TO L Hug=Dummy/L Row(L)=Dum Dummy≡0 Sumsq=8 NEXT K NEXT K L=L+1 9= 7 "SIS 490 480 500 510 528 530 540 550 560 570 590 620 630 640 650 420 430 470 580 600 610 660 670 440 450 460

303

I WE DO THE N-1 STD. DEV. ESTIMATE

Stdev=SQR(Sumsq/(L-.9999))

I AM NOW READY TO TAKE THE SECOND SET OF DATA: AFTER IRRADIATION ! PRINT " I'M FINISHED WITH Ir! Push 'CONT' when ready to proceed...." I WE CALCULATE THE NEW AVERAGE FOR THE Final MATRIX." Errori=1-Errori/((Xmax-Xmin+1)\*(Ymax-Ymin+1)\*M) MAGE "I'M READING DATA SAMPLE ",D," Dummy=Dummy+Row()) RINT USING 940;K IF A=0 THEN 1010 Ir(I,J,=Dummy/N GOTO 780 Errori=Errori+N F A=1 THEN 970 WRITE IO 2,5;0 WRITE IO 2,5;1 1AT Dummy=2ER READ IO 2,5;A READ IO 2,5;A A=BINAND(A,1) R=BINAND(A,1) FOR K=1 TO M FOR K=1 TO L MAT Row=ZER PRINT PAGE r(I,J)=-1 PRINT PAGE PRINT PAGE OVERLAP Dummy=0 VEXT K NEXT J PRUSE **I + N = N** NEXT 0=N 0001 1010 020 030 860 870 888 916 920 940 960 976 986 966 680 690 700 710 720 7367 750 760 270 780 290 800 810 828 830 840 850 898 996 930 950

Ĕ

304

ax*2-1 STEP 2 BIN(2) FOR THE SECOND S FOR THE SECOND S 90 ROW ", DD, "; 90 THEN 1300	
FCR I=Ymin TO Ymax Z=(I-1)*128 FOR J=Xmin*2 TO Xm Jj=J/2+1 3.0.J+2 WRITE BIN 2;-0 Dummy(I, Jj, K)=READ NEXT J NEXT J NEXT J NEXT I NEXT I NEXT I NEXT I NEXT K ! ! ME ARE NOW READY PRINT PAGE PRINT PAGE PRINT PAGE PRINT DSING 1200;I IMAGE "I AM WORKIN ! ! PRINT PAGE PRINT PAGE PRINT PAGE PRINT DSING 1200;I IMAGE "I AM WORKIN PRINT PAGE PRINT DSING 1200;I IMAGE "I AM WORKIN I MEGE "I AM WORKIN PRINT PAGE PRINT 10 Ymax L=L+1 Dummy(I,J,K) POUMMY PAGE PRINT P	

1670 PRINT "I AM FINALLY FINISHED TAKING DATA--I MAY BE SICK!!" 1680 PRINT "YOU MUST LOAD 'MEGAIR' TO CONTINUE WITH THE ANALYSIS FOR SURFACE TE MPERATURES AND CURRENTS.....GOOD LUCK!!!!" 1690 INPUT "FOR SELECTED PROFILE PLOT ENTER 1.",Plot 1700 IF Plot<>1 THEN 1930 PRINT #2;Xmin,Xmax,Ymin,Ymax,T0,Sensi,M,Errori,Errorf,END IF (Row(K)(Avg-Stdev) OR (Row(K))Avg+Stdev) THEN 1440 INPUT "TO STORE PRESENT CALCULATIONS ENTER 1.".Redo Errorf=1-Errorf/((Xmax-Xmin+1)\*(Ymax-Ymin+1)\*M) INPUT "ENTER 1 FOR Final, 2 FOR Ir.", Mat INPUT "ENTER THE ROW DESIRED.", Row MAT PRINT #1; Ir, Final, END CREATE "IRDATA", 5,20000 CREATE "VARIBL",1,500 "Varibl",1,256 ASSIGN #1 TO "IKDATA" ASSIGN #2 TO "VARIBL" IF Redo<>1 THEN 1790 Final(I,J)=Dummy/N Dummy=Dummy+Row(K) Errorf=Errorf+N PURGE "VARIBL" FURGE "IRDATA" PURGE "Varibl" EXIT GRAPHICS Final(I,J)=-1 FOR K=1 TO L MAT Row=ZER PRINT PAGE GOTO 1480 NEXT K CREATE NEXT J NEXT I BEEP 0=N 610 1720 570 580 590 688 1620 1630 1640 1650 1660 1710 1730 400 410 450 460 476 1480 490 500 518 520 530 540 550 550 398 420 430 440

306

. .

.

.

IF Mat=1 THEN Var=ABS(9-Final(Row,J)) [F Mat=2 THEN Var=ABS(9-Ir(Row,J)) RXES 1, 1,0,0,10,10 MOVE Xmin+1,0 FOR J=Xmin+1 TO Xmax LOCATE 0,110,0,110 SCALE 0,65,0,10 DRAW J,Var NEXT J с. С. GOTO 1890 GRAPHICS **GRAPHICS** PEN 1 Letter GCLEAR LDIR 0 CSIZE PRUSE PRUSE STOP 1986 1913 1928 1938 1740 

١

10 20 20	! THE NAME OF THIS PROGRAM IS ! IT BEGINS BY READING 'Ir' AN ASSIGN #1 TO "IRDATA"	<pre>^MEGAIR ^ IT DOES EVERYTHING!!!!! D</pre>
0 4 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	HSSIGN #2 TO "VARIBL"	!THIS HAS VARIABLES RELATIVE TO Ir AND Final
- 20 20	ASSIGN #3 TO "Varibl" OPTION BASE 1	ITHIS CONTAINS CALCULATED VARIABLES!
202	SHORT Ir(64,64), Final(64,64), I	elta(64,64),Dtemp(64,64),Curent(64,64),Max(1
40,4 80,4	<pre>,Last(140,4),Slope1(140,4),Slop SHORT Irb(27,27),Finalb(27,27)</pre>	e2(140,4) ,Deltab(27,27),Tempb(27,27),Crentb(27,27),X(
64,64		
90 90	INTEGER I,J,K,L,M,Z,Q,Mat,Repl INDUT "Fow coloulation enter (	ot · for a reblot a 2.".Replot
110	IF Replot<>1 THEN 140	
120	MAT READ #1; Ir(64,64), Final(64	,64)
130	READ #2; Xmin, Xmax, Ymin, Ymax, T6	,Sensi,M,Errori,Errorf
140	IF Replot<>2 THEN 180	
150	MAT READ #1; Ir(64,64), Final(64	,64),Delta(64,64),Dtemp(64,64),Curent(64,64)
160	READ #2;Xmin,Xmax,Ymin,Ymax,T(	,Sensi,M,Errori,Errorf
170	READ #3;Dtmax,Curmax,Vlavg,V2	<b>۵</b> ۸
180	GCLEAR	
190	EXIT GRAPHICS	
200	Pee=772600.6	
210	Que=3102.6	
220	PRINT USING 230;M	
230	IMAGE "This data is the result	of a ",J," frame average."
240	IF Replot=2 THEN 290	
250	INPUT "To update P&Q enter 1;	0 otherwise.",Update
260	IF Update=0 THEN 290	
270	INPUT "P=", Pee	
280	INPUT "Q=",Que	
290	PRINT USING 300; Xmin, Xmax, Ymir	, Ymex
300	IMAGE "We went from X=",DD,"	to X=",DD," Y=",DD," to Y="DD
310	PRINT USING 320; TO	
320	IMAGE "The room temperature wa	s ",DD.D," degrees C"

[NPUT "Enter Vlavg from calibration plot.", Vlavg NPUT "Enter V2avg from calibration plot.", V2avg IMAGE "The camera sensitivity was ",DD 0=Pee/(EXP(Que/(T0+273.16))-1) PRINT USING 340; Sensi F Replot=2 THEN 760 0tmax=0

IMAGE "I AM ON ROW ", DD,"; I HAVE ", DD," ROWS YET TO COMPLETE." Curent(I,J)=(-V2avg+SQR(ABS(V2avg^2+4\*V1avg\*Var)))/(2\*V1avg) NPUT "To store data on data tape enter 1.", Store [F Curent(I,J)>Curmax THEN Curmax=Curent(I,J) CALCULATED! /ar=ABS(Que/LOG(Pee/Ii+1)-(T0+273.16)) I i=I0+(-1)^Hi\*Sensi\*Delta(I,J)\*.1 Dtemp, Delta, AND Curent ARE :F Var>Dtmax THEN Dtmax=Var CREATE "IRDATA",5,20000 CREATE "VARIBL",1,500 CREATE "Varibl",1,256 ASSIGN #1 TO "IRDATA" ASSIGN #2 TO "VARIBL" PRINT USING 460; I, Ymax-I [F Store<>1 THEN 760 -OR J=Xmin TO Xmax Dtemp(I,J)=Var PURGE "Varibl" PURGE "IRDATA" "VARIBL" PRINT PAGE NEXT J NEXT I PURGE 440 510 520 530 570 580 550 450 004 007 007 007 007 480 490 500 540 550 600 610 620 630 640 650 660 670

FOR I=Ymin TO Ymax

Delta=ABS(X) MAT X=Final-Ir

MAT

Curmax=0

3**5**0 350

330

360 370 388

398 400 410 420 430 309

680

Final, Delta, Dtemp, AND Curent ARE NOW STORED ON THE DATA TAPE! "Contour 1; numbers 10; blowup 5; profile 7, row 8",Copy INPUT "ENTER: 1-Final; 2-Delta; 3-Temp; 4-Curent; 5-Ir.",Mat INPUT "Enter the no. of lines to step on profile.",Step PRINT #2;Xmin,Xmax,Ymin,Ymax,T0,Sensi,M,Errori,Errorf MAT PRINT #1;Ir,Final,Delta,Dtemp,Curent PRINT #3;Dtmax,Curmax,Vlavg,V2avg,END FOR I=Ymax TO Ymin+1 STEP -Step Varp=64-I+4\*ABS(9-Final(I,J+1)) Varm=64-I+4\*ABS(9-Final(I,J-1)) ī Var=64-I+4\*ABS(9-Final(I,J)) FOR J=Xmax-1 TO Xmin+1 STEP varp=64-I+4\*Delta(I,J+1) Varm=64-I+4\*Delta(I,J-1) ASSIGN #3 TO "Varib]" /ar=64-I+4\*Delta(I,J) (F Copy<>7 THEN 1900 IF Copy=0 THEN 3000 IF Mat<>1 THEN 1010 [F Mat<>2 THEN 1050 MOVE Xmax+64-I,64-I AXES 2,4,0,0,10,10 \_OCATE 15,95,15,95 SCALE 0,128,0,128 SIZE 2.5,9/15,0 MAT Max=2ER LINE TYPE 1 Jj=J+64-I GRAPHICS GCLEAR LDIR 0 Ir, INPUT Big=0 Sum=0 -010 020 936 1849 1000 200 710 720 738 740 750 770 780 798 888 810 820 830 846 850 860 870 888 968 996 916 920 938 940 920 960 976 986 966 690 760

310

C=(X2\*Y3\*X1^2+X1\*Y2\*X3^2+Y1\*X3\*X2^2-Y1\*X2\*X3^2-X3\*Y2\*X1^2-X1\*Y3\*X2^2)/Det B=(X1^2\*Y2+Y1\*X3^2+Y3\*X2^2-Y2\*X3^2-Y3\*X1^2-Y1\*X2^2)/Det Det=X1^2\*X2+X1\*X3^2+X3\*X2^2-X2\*X3^2-X3\*X1^2-X1\*X2^2 A=(X2\*Y1+X1\*Y3+Y2\*X3-X2\*Y3-Y1\*X3-X1\*Y2)/Det Varp=64-I+40\*Curent(I,J+1)/Curmax Varm=64+I+40\*Curent(I,J-1)/Curmax Varp=64-I+40\*Dtemp(I,J+1)/Dtmax Varm=64-I+40\*Dtemp(I,J-1)/Dtmax Var=64-I+40\*Curent(I,J)/Curmax Slope2(Jj+1,K)=Slope1(Jj+1,K) Var=64-I+40\*Dtemp(I,J)/Dtmax IF Y>Max(Jj+1,K) THEN 1380 Varp=64-I+4\*(9-Ir(I,J+1)) Varm=64-I+4\*(9-Ir(I,J-1)) Sum=Sum+Y/(4\*(Xmax-Xmin)) Last(Jj+1,K)=Max(Jj+1,K) Var=64-I+4\*(9-Ir(I,J)) Slope1(Jj+1,K)=2\*A\*X+B IF J=Xmin+1 THEN 1410 IF Mat<>3 THEN 1090 IF Mat<>5 THEN 1170 IF Mat<>4 THEN 1130 IF Y>Big THEN Big=Y X3=Jj+1+1E-10 Y=H\*X^2+B\*X+C Max(Jj+1,K)=Y X1=Jj-1+1E-8 FOR K=1 TO 4 X=Jj+1-.25\*K X2=Jj+1E-9 GOTO 1410 MOVE X,Y ркем х,Ү Y1=Varm Y3=Varp Y2=Var .060 1070 080 0601 050 :100 110 120 138 140 150 160 170 180 190 200 220 230 240 250 260 298 310 210 270 280 300 320 338 340 350 360 370 380 390 1400

311

IF (Max(Jj+1+Step,2\*K)>Last(Jj+1,2\*K)) AND (K=2) THEN DRAW Jj+1+Step-.5\*K, Image "Delta TemPerature matrix (C): Max. Temp. Diff.=",D.DDE Current Diff.=",D.DDE [MAGE "FINAL MATRIX AFTER RADIATION: sensitivity=",DDD 'DELTA' : sensitivity=",DDD [MAGE "CORRECTION MATRIX: sensitivity=",DD MAGE "CURRENT DENSITY MATRIX (J): Max. ! HERE IS THE PLACE TO DRAW Y CONTOURS! FOR J=Xmax TO Xmin+1 STEP -1 (F Mat=4 THEN LABEL USING 1660; Curmax IF Mat=1 THEN LABEL USING 1600; Sensi IF Mat=2 THEN LABEL USING 1620; Sensi F Mat=3 THEN LABEL USING 1640; Dtmax IF Mat=5 THEN LABEL USING 1680; Sensi IF I>Ymax-3\*(Ymax-Ymin)/4 THEN 1540 MOVE Jj+1-.5\*K,Last(Jj+1,2\*K) (X) Axis" IMAGE "DIFFERENCE MATRIX, IF Big-Sum<10 THEN 1550 [F ]=Ymax THEN 1500 LABEL "Horizontal CSIZE 3.3,9/15,0 CSIZE 2.5,9/15,0 Line type 3 FOR K=1 TO 2 lax(Jj+1+Step,2\*K) 128,64 TYPE 1 MOVE 25,-4 MOVE 0,-11 64,64 64,0 Jj=64-I+J 0,0 NEXT J × NEXT J NEXT K NEXT DRAW LINE MOVE NEXT MOVE DRAW 480 l 4 9 0 588 510 520 540 416 420 440 450 460 470 538 550 560 570 580 590 699 610 620 638 640 650 660 670 680 690 700 710 720 730 750 740

312

IF (Mat=1) OR (Mat=5) THEN LABEL "Z Axis (Isotherm Units)" PRINT "CORRECTION MATRIX: sensitivity=",Sensi PRINT "MAXIMUM DELTA TEMPERATURE = ", Dtmax Finalb" Deltab" [F Mat=2 THEN LABEL "Z Axis (Delta Isotherm Units)" IF Mat=3 THEN LABEL "Z Axis (Jegrees Centigrade)" IF Mat=4 THEN LABEL "Z Axis (Amp per Square Meter)" Crentb(I,J)=DROUND(10\*Curent(I+18,J+18)/Curmax,1) [NPUT "For printout enter 0; otherwise 16.",Print [NPUT "For a copy enter a 1; otherwise 0.",Copy [F Copy=1 THEN DUMP GRAPHICS [empb(I,J)=DROUND(10\*Temp(I+18,J+18)/Dtmax,1) PRINT "MAXIMUM CURRENT=", Curmax Finalb(I,J)=9-DROUND(Final(I+18,J+18),1) Deltab(I,J)=DROUND(Delta(I+18,J+18),1) [rb(I,J)=9-DROUND(Ir(I+18,J+18),1) F Mat=1 THEN MAT PRINT Finalb; Mat=2 THEN MAT PRINT Deltab; MAT PRINT Crentb; THEN MAT PRINT Temph; MAT PRINT Irb; LABEL "Vertical (Y) Axis" Mat=1 THEN PRINT " Mat=2 THEN PRINT " [F Copy<>5 THEN 2138 PRINTER IS Print EXIT GRAPHICS Mat=3 THEN THEN FOR I=1 TO 27 FOR J=1 TO 27 THEN THEN THEN MOVE 70,1 LDIR PI/2 MOVE -4,5 LDIR PI/4 Mat=4 30T0 760 Mat=5 Mat=3Mat=5 Mat=4 NEXT J PRUSE NEXT L L LL, LL\_ ш ЦЦ Ц., Ц. 770 780 290 808 810 760 820 830 840 850 860 1870 888 898 988 910 920 930 940 960 970 950 986 966 2000 2010 2020 2030 2050 2060 2070 2110 2040 2080 2898 2100

313

[NPUT "For a negative printout enter 9.",Neg [F (Copy<>1) AND (Copy<>10) THEN 2960 Vp=DROUND(10\*Curent(I-1,J)/Curmax,1) /m=DROUND(10\*Curent(I+1,J)/Curmax,1) Var=DROUND(10\*Curent(I,J)/Curmax,1) 'p=DROUND(Neg-Final(I-1,J),1) /m=DROUND(Neg-Final(I+1,J),1) V]=DROUND(Neg-Final(I,J-1),1) Vr=DROUND(Neg-Final(I,J+1),1) IF Mat<>2 THEN 2380 Vp=DROUND(10\*Dtemp(I-1,J),1) /m=DROUND(10\*Dtemp(I+1,J),1) V1=DROUND(10\*Dtemp(I,J-1),1) Var=DROUND(Neg-Final(I,J),1) Vr=DROUND(10\*Dtemp(I,J+1),1) Var=DROUND(10\*Dtemp(I,J),1) FOR I=Ymin+1 TO Ymax-1 FOR J=Xmin+1 TO Xmax-1 F Mat<>4 THEN 2500 [F Mat<>1 THEN 2320 F Mat<>3 THEN 2440 AXES 1,1,0,0,10,10 LOCATE 0,120,0,110 SIZE 2.2,9/15,0 SCALE 0,65,0,65 Vl=Delta(I,J-1) Vr=Delta(I,J+1) 'p=Delta(I-1,J) 'm=Delta(I+1,J) Var=Delta(I,J) 9 MOVE J, 65-I PRINTER IN GRAPHICS GCLEAR LDIR 0 Neg=0 2120 2130 2140 2150 2160 2170 2180 2190 2200 2210 2220 2230 2240 2250 2260 2270 2288 2298 2388 2310 2328 2330 23**40** 23**50** 2360 2370 2380 2390 2400 2410 2420 2430 2440 2450 2460 2470

314

```
IF (Var<>Vp) AND (Var<>Vr) THEN DRAW J+1,65-I
MOVE J,65-I
                                                                                                                                                                                                                               F (Var<>Vm) AND (Var<>V1) THEN DRAW J+1,66-I
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  IF (1<84a) AND (Var<10) THEN LABEL Var
IF (Var>.5) AND (Var<1) THEN LABEL 1
V1=DROUND(10*Curent(I,J-1)/Curmax,1)
            Vr=DROUND(10*Curent(I, J+1)/Curmax, 1)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          F (Var<>Vm) AND (Var<>V1) THEN 2830
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            F (Var<>Vp) AND (Var<>Vr) THEN 2730
                                                  Vp=DROUND(Neg-Ir(I-1,J),1)
                                                                  Vm=DROUND(Neg-Ir(I+1,J),1)
                                                                              V1=DROUND(Neg-Ir(I,J-1),1)
                                                                                           Vr=DROUND(Neg-Ir(I,J+1),1)
                                       Var=DRGUND(Neg-Ir(I,J),1)
                                                                                                         IF Ir(I,J)=-1 THEN 2580
                                                                                                                                                             F Copy=10 THEN 2810
                                                                                                                                                                          F Var .5 THEN Var=0
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         F Var=Vp THEN 2700
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      F Var=Vm THEN 2770
                          IF Mat<>5 THEN 2560
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  (F Var=Vr THEN 2740
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              [F Var=V] THEN 2830
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     DRAW J+1,66-I
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 DRAW J+1,63-I
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               MOVE J+1,65-I
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             JRAW J+1,66-1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       MOVE J, 66-I
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   MOVE J, 65-I
                                                                                                                                                                                         MOVE J,66-I
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          MOVE J,65-I
DRAW J,66-I
                                                                                                                     G0T0 2600
                                                                                                                                                 G0T0 2830
                                                                                                                                   LABEL "X"
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     GOTO 2830
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             NEXT J
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 2700
             2498
                          2500
                                       2510
                                                                 2538
                                                                              2540
                                                                                           2550
                                                                                                         2560
                                                                                                                      2570
                                                                                                                                                 2598
                                                                                                                                                             2600
                                                                                                                                                                          2610
                                                                                                                                                                                        2620
                                                                                                                                                                                                                  2640
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 2650
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             2660
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         2670
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        2680
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     2690
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              2710
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            2720
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         2738
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       2740
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   2750
2480
                                                   2520
                                                                                                                                   580
                                                                                                                                                                                                     2630
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                2760
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              2770
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           2780
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        2798
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     2800
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    2810
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                2820
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             2830
```



œ
---

ſ

Jp=903319000\*X^6-130549100\*X^5+7448120\*X^4-203306\*X^3+2264\*X^2-17.25\*X+3.1 44 FOR 1.3",J Jp=2389217\*X^5-126591\*X^4-4184\*X^3+454.7\*X^2-10.78\*X+3.775 NPUT "Enter minimmum calculated `x' in meters", Xmin Jp=(950222\*X^4-78558\*X^3+2361\*X^2-26.38\*X+3.395)\*Sin [NPUT "Enter `1' FOR .38, `2' FOR .50, `3' FOR 1.0, INPUT "Enter maximum calculated `x' in meters", Xmax SHGRT Jx(4,201), Jy(4,201), Xinc(4,201), Jtot(4,201) INPUT "Enter vertical height of scan in meters",Y INPUT "Enter radius of the disk in meters",D It=(-10076\*X^3+87.09\*X^2-13.52\*X+3.403)\*Cos Sin=ABS(Xinc(J,I)/SQR(Xinc(J,I)^2+V^2)) THE NAME OF THIS PROGRAM IS 'DISK' t=-3694\*X^3-76.17\*X^2-9.941\*X+3.808 Jt=4536\*X^3-883.7\*X^2-8.268\*X+3.194 Cos=V/SQR(Xinc(J,I)^2+V^2) Xinc(J,I)=I\*Xinc-(D+Xinc) X=SQR(Xinc(J,I)^2+V^2) [F C<Xmin THEN 410 [F C>Xmax THEN 410 F X>Xmax THEN 410 F J<>1 THEN 270 (F J<>2 THEN 300 IF J<>3 THEN 330 C=ABS(Xinc(J,I)) IF J<>4 THEN 360 FOR I=1 TO 201 EXIT GRAPHICS OPTION BASE 1 Jtot(J,I)=8 Xinc=D/100J×(J,I)=0 Jy(J,I)=0 GCLEAR 100 110 30 190 200 210 220 230 240 250 260 270 230 290 320 120 150 160 70 180 300 310 330 80 20 60 02 800 90 92 38 40 8

318

2

Ű

Jp=5907612\*X^5-883402\*X^4+51274\*X^3-1620\*X^2+9.621\*X+3.060 Jt=-418320\*X^4+60469\*X^3-2844\*X^2+22.52\*X+3.008 I CURRENTS HAVE NOW BEEN CALCULATED I Jtot(J, I)=SQR(Jt^2+Jp^2) MOVE Xinc(J,1), Jtot(J,1) DRAW Xinc(J,I),Jtot(J,I) MOVE Xinc(J,1),Jy(J,1) LINE TYPE 8 MOVE Xinc(J,1), J×(J,1) DRAW Xinc(J,I), Jy(J,I) DRAW Xinc(J,I),Jx(J,I) J×(J,I)=Jt\*Sin+Jp\*Cos Jy(J,I)=Jt\*Cos+Jp\*Sin AXES .01,1,0,0,10,10 LOCATE 5,112,0,44 SCALE -D,D,0,5 FOR I=1 TO 201 FOR I=1 TO 201 FOR I=1 TO 201 LINE TYPE 3 LINE TYPE 1 LINE TYPE 1 CSIZE 3.3 GRAPHICS G0T0 370 G0T0 20 NEXT I LETTER LDIR 0 NEXT I NEXT I NEXT I PRUSE PRUSE STOP 420 430 460 470 560 630 640 378 398 400 440 450 480 490 588 510 538 550 570 580 598 609 619 620 650 660 670 680 340 350 368 380 410 520 540

. .

# APPENDIX E

F

## HORIZONTAL MAGNETIC FIELD PROBE RESULTS

đ





322

•

Ņ

Ľ





()



õ





(1

ľ

Induced Voltage (at recorder)

325

ì



326

.

1

DE

والمراجع والمتعمية والمتعادين والمراجع والمراجع



....

Induced Voltage (at recorder)

327

l



Induced Voltage (at recorder)

#### APPENDIX F

## EMISSIVITY DEPENDENCE ON ANGLE

Emissivity is the absorption/emission properties of a substance as compared to the isotropic and perfectly absorbing/emitting properties of a black body radiator. To determine the true temperature of an elemental area on an object surface using an infrared system, the directional nature of the IR photon emission was investigated. A simple point by point correction using a stored object matrix taken in an ambient temperature, non-illuminated state was found to be effective with temperature elevations up to 5° K above ambient. This work was reported through presentations and publications to SPIE - The International Society for Optical Engineering (4) and the IEEE (5). It represents an important gain in knowledge that is most applicable for viewing angles that are other than normal incidence.

### Experimentally Determined Emissivities

In determining the surface temperature distribution of three dimensional objects by thermographic analysis, the problem of directional emissivity--

angular and material dependence of infrared emission from varying surfaces--must be accounted for. At a given temperature, different materials emit differing intensities of infrared radiation, and the intensity is also directionally dependent. This could cause problems with correlating the thermographic output from an infrared camera with actual surface temperatures on objects of various material coatings or complex geometries. A simple experiment was conducted using a hollow aluminum sphere coated with a carbon base paint and maintained at a constant temperature with an interior water bath held at the boiling point. The arrangement is seen in Figure (F1). The Thermovision, depicted the sphere in ten colors, each representing 1<sup>°</sup> C, as nine concentric rings around a center circle, Figure (F2). The Thermovision depiction of a ten degree temperature range contrasted with the nearly uniform temperature profile actually present. This demonstration clearly indicated the requirement to correct for geometry to obtain accurate results. The influence of the emissivity dependence on thermographically determined temperature distributions was also noted by Hsieh and Ellington (2) in 1977. Idealized plots of emissivity and angular dependence can be found in several sources (6). However, because



Figure Fl:

M

Experimental arrangement for the heated sphere.

Ending the state of the state o



٠.•

۰.

various coating preparations were to be used in the thermographic analysis, it was felt necessary to experimentally determine the directional emissivity of various potential coating materials.

For this determination, an apparatus to determine emissivity at various viewing angles for several different materials and coatings was built, and is shown in Figure (F3). Patches of different resistive coatings which could be used in our analysis were applied to the side of a water-filled aluminum box. A 15 cm diameter spherical aluminum chamber coated inside with lampblack and welded into the side of the box with a 5 cm diameter circular opening at the front of the box served as a simulated blackbody radiator, with which the emissivities of the various coating patches could be compared. The emissivity of the blackbody reference was taken as  $\varepsilon_r = 1$ , and verified to be isotropic by viewing the blackbody with the Thermovision camera at angles between  $0^{\circ}$  and  $70^{\circ}$  to the normal of the face of the box (the detected IR radiation from the blackbody simulator did not vary with angle until the camera could not longer see inside the lip of the simulator opening at  $70^{\circ}$  from the normal). The water was maintained at boiling (about 92° C), and thermographic

333



Figure F3:

.

data was taken at angles between  $0^{\circ}$  and approximately 90° from the normal to the box face with an AGA Thermovision 680 interfaced with a HP 9845B minicomputer described in the previous section. The emissivities as a function of angle were calculated using the following formula, explained in the AGA Thermovision 680 Operating Manual (1):

$$\varepsilon(0) = \frac{\Delta i + \varepsilon_r (I_r - I_a)}{I_0 - I_a}$$

Ì

where  $\Delta i$  is the difference in isotherm levels on the Thermovision between the test material (varying with angle 0) and the blackbody reference, and  $I_r$ ,  $I_a$ , and  $I_o$  are the isotherm levels of the blackbody reference, ambient, and test material temperatures, respectively.

Figure (F4) shows the position of the material on the simulator face and a digital printout at  $10^{\circ}$ from normal incidence. Only the center portion was used to determine coverage isotherm readings used in the calculations.



and the state of the state of the state of

Digitized thermovision output at 10<sup>0</sup> from normal incidence.

(a) Identification of
 surface patches.



336

•

Figure (F5) depicts experimental results from the emissivity test apparatus for teledeltos paper and smooth/rough surface preparations of aquadac. Teledeltos is a resistive paper, and aquadac is also available resistive coating, discussed earlier. Also shown for comparison are the results for a typical dielectric (wood) and aluminum, on which the samples were attached. Curves for wood and aluminum are taken from the literature. The dielectric nature of the coating appeared to account for the decreasing IR emittance from the normal to tangential viewing angles.

1



Figure F5: Total Directional Emittance Distributions

It was interesting that roughness appeared to be a factor for material emissivity and this prompted a brief Scanning Electron Microcrope observation of the coating surfaces to be used in the IR detection of surface currents. The results are seen in Figures (F6) and (F7).

11

1:


(a) Aquadac (1300X).



(b) Aquadac (5200X).





(a) Carbon/paraffin (1300X).



(b) Carbon/paraffin (5200X).

Figure F7: Micrographs of the carbon/paraffin coating.

340

• •

i.

•

## Correction for Angular Dependency of Emissivity

As was discussed, a correction was necessary since an accurate determination of surface temperature was a prerequisite for obtaining surface current information. The emissivity variation with viewing angle was determined to be the needed correction. The theoretical, mathematical approach was presented (3) and later replaced by a simpler experimental one, summarized here. For temperature variations that typically do not exceed  $5^{\circ}$  C it was found that a matrix of temperature values taken of the object at a steady state ambient temperature condition prior to irradiation by microwave, could be used effectively for emissivity correction for geometry. Experimental verification of the validity of the correction for angular dependence of emissivity by simple subtraction of the correction matrix from a final "heated" temperature matrix was carried out over a range of temperatures above ambient for the hollow sphere.

The procedure for determining currents on complex shapes would involve storage of a correction matrix obtained from an average of several frames taken of the object at ambient temperature. A several frame average of an illuminated or "final" matrix is stored. The correction matrix is then

subtracted from the illuminated to yield a difference matrix in ISU units which now has accounted for emissivity variation with angle. The standard conversions to temperature and current are then made. The following five figures depicts this procedure applied to an early aquadac/plexiglass flat plate.









Figure FlO: Difference Matrix (ISU).



344





Figure F12: Current Matrix.

Copy available to DTIC does not permit fully legible reproduction

## References

- AGA Thermovision 680 Operating Manual, AGA Infrared Systems AB, 5-81 Lidingo, Sweden, 1975.
- Hsieh, C. K. and Ellingson, W. A., "A Quantitative Determination of Surface Temperatures Using an Infrared Camera," <u>Modern Utilization of Infrared</u> Technology III, SPIE, Vol. 124, 1977, pp 228-235.
- 3. Martin, V. M., Sega, R. M., Stewart, C. V., and Burton, R. W., "Application of Infrared Thermography in the Analysis of Induced Surface Currents due to Incident Electromagnetic (EM) Radiation on Complex Shapes," <u>Infrared Systems</u>, Vol. 256, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE), September 1980.
- 4. Sega, R. M., Martin, V. M., Warmuth, D. B., and Burton, R. W., "An Infrared Application to the Detection of Induced Surface Currents," <u>Modern</u> <u>Utilization of Infrared Technology VII</u>, Vol. 304, SPIE-The International Society for Optical Engineering, August 1981.
- 5. Sega, R. M., Martin, V. M., and Burton, R. W., "Microwave Induced Surface Current Measurement via Infrared Detection," <u>1982 International</u> <u>Symposium Digest-Antennas and Propagation (IEEE)</u>, <u>Albuquerque</u>, NM, May 1982.
- 6. Sparrow, E. M. and Cess, R. D., <u>Radiation Heat</u> <u>Transfer</u>, Augmented Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, NY, 1978.

345