



A 19 STANDARD IN MARKED

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A MA126145

NBDL - 82R012

PROBLEMS OF MEASUREMENT IN HUMAN ANALOG RESEARCH

L. S. Lustick, H. G. Williamson, M. R. Seemann, & J. M. Bartholomew



May 1982



D

NAVAL BIODYNAMICS LABORATORY New Orleans, Louisiana



Approved for public release. Distribution unlimited.

REPORT DOCUMENT	TION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER /	2. GOVT ACCESSION N	0. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
NEDI -828012	AD-A126145	1
NBDL-02R012	7.011-0110	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
Problems of Measurement in Hu	uman Analog Research	Research Report
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(A)		NBDL-82RU12
L. S. Lustick, H. G. Williams J. M. Bartholomew	son, M. R. Seemann, δ	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND A	DDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS
Naval Biodynamics Laboratory		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Box 29407		03/00 N
New Orleans, LA 70189		M0097~PN.001~5001
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRE	.55	May 1082
Naval Medical Research and De	evelopment Command	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Bethesda, MD 20014		38
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS	t different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		L Upologoj fiod
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report Approved for public release,) distribution unlimit	ISe. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report, Approved for public release, 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract) distribution unlimit t entered in Block 20, 11 different i	Tom Report)
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report, Approved for public release, DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES) distribution unlimit t entered in Block 20, if different i	Tom Report)
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report Approved for public release, DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES) distribution unlimit t entered in Block 20, if different i	ise. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE red .
 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report, Approved for public release, 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract) 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nec cinematography measuring syst measurement of rigid body kin 	distribution unlimit t entered in Block 20, 11 different i essery and identify by block number tems, accelerometer of nematic variables	rom Report)
 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report, Approved for public release, 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nec cinematography measuring syst measurement of rigid body kin) distribution unlimit t entered in Block 20, if different i essery and identify by block number tems, accelerometer of nematic variables	Tom Report)

DD 1 JAN 73 1473

<u>_</u>

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

NBDL - 82R012

PROBLEMS OF MEASUREMENT IN HUMAN ANALOG RESEARCH

L. S. Lustick, H. G. Williamson, M. R. Seemann, & J. M. Bartholomew

May 1982

Bureau of Medicine and Surgery Work Unit No. <u>M0097-PN-001-5001</u>

Approved by

Released by

Channing L. Ewing, M. D. Chief Scientist Captain J. E. Wenger MC USN Commanding Officer

Naval Biodynamics Laboratory Box 29407 New Orleans, LA 70189

Opinions or conclusions contained in this report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or the endorsement of the Department of the Navy.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

SUMMARY PAGE

THE PROBLEM

The Naval Biodynamics Laboratory in New Orleans is involved in a continuing effort to describe the kinematic and physiological response of anatomical segments of human volunteers to acceleration environments. The scope of this presentation is to discuss some of the problems that arise in the measurement of the three dimensional dynamic response of human volunteers to relatively short duration (250 millisecond) acceleration profiles.

والمرابعة المعجم والمتحد والمتحد والمراجع المراجع المحجور والم

FINDINGS

With pre-selection of accelerometers and careful calibration procedures six accelerometer configurations can provide results which agree well with photo-derived results at the velocity level in the time window of interest (250 milliseconds) for the acceleration profiles investigated at the NBDL.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Accelerometer-derived kinematic variables are best at the acceleration level and photo-derived variables are best at the displacement level and thought should be given to combining results of these two measuring systems into one consistent set of kinematic variables from the acceleration to the displacement level.

ACKNOWLED:GEMENT

Paper presented at the Human Subjects for Biomedical Research, 8th Annual International Workshop, Troy, Michigan, October 14, 1980.

Trade names of materials or products of commercial or nongovernment organizations are cited only where essential to precision in describing research procedures or evaluation of results. Their use does not constitute official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial hardware or software.

i Audentiach Fur X T&ABO REART \mathbf{F} CICI VAB Same usend 1719851 3 CONTRACTOR OF

Leonard Lustick, Gerald Williamson, Marjorie Seemann and James Bartholomew

INTRODUCTION

The Naval Biodynamics Laboratory in New Orleans is involved in a continuing effort to describe the kinematic and physiological response of anatomical segments of human volunteers to acceleration environments (1,2,3).^{*} The scope of this presentation is to discuss some of the problems that arise in the measurement of the three dimensional dynamic response of human volunteers to relatively short duration (250 millisecond) acceleration profiles.

At the outset of the program it was recognized that redundant measurement systems would be required to measure all levels of the dynamic response (acceleration to displacement) with the desired accuracy and to check the results of each measurement system. Initial studies had indicated that accelerometer derived kinematic variables would be the best source of angular and linear acceleration components, whereas the angular orientation and linear displacement components which are obtained directly from photo data (rather than by integration) would be most accurate for these latter variables. Further, if special care is used in the selection and calibration of the accelerometers (4) angular and linear velocity components derived from accelerometer data will compare favorably with those derived from photo data in the time window of interest (250 milliseconds).

Numbers in parentheses indicate References at end of paper.

Ť.

In keeping with this, the dynamic response of anatomical segments at the Naval Biodynamics Laboratory is measured with accelerometer configurations, cinephotography and gyros. The accelerometers and cinephotography techniques are complete systems allowing the three dimensional motion of a rigid body to be described. The gyro configuraton only measures two components of angular velocity and is used for monitoring the experimental runs and for validation where applicable (5,6).

It was always the intention to finally combine the measurements from the redundant measurement systems in an "optimum" fashion and consequently represent the dynamic response at all levels (acceleration to displacement) in one consistent "best" set of data. Subsequently, preliminary results of a method to accomplish this optimum combination are presented. A more complete description of the method and its utilization will be presented in a future paper.

PHOTO PROBLEMS

The target configurations at the Naval Biodynamics Laboratory are attached to a rigid body and are tracked by at least two cameras. The displacement and orientation of the rigid body are determined with a least squares algorithm using the target locations in the film plane as well as the measured location and orientation of the cameras in the laboratory. At the NBDL, the coordinates of the targets in the film plane are

-2.

determined by an automatic digitizing system. The system in general is good, but editing is required to remove macroscopic errors such as misidentification of targets and crossing targets. It has been found useful to plot the target configuration determined by the film coordinates as seen from each camera (25 frames per page) to locate and to correct if possible these macroscopic errors. As an illustration Figure 1 shows 25 frames of a particular run. In addition to editing, this method allows a quick look at the motion as seen by the camera.

To process photo data it is required to have a transformation from points in the object plane to points in the film plane. This requires accurate calibration of each camera and accurate determination of the location and camera orientation in the laboratory. At the NBDL an optical bench with a plane of photo targets and an Azimuth/Elevation pedestal for the camera is dedicated to the determination of individual camera calibration parameters. It is important to realize that there may be significant differences between cameras.

Low frequency errors due to camera orientation, position or calibration constants result in sharp discontinuities in the least square photo solutions when the target configuration changes due to drop-out or additions of targets. These discontinuities

_ 3 _

are accentuated in areas where the solution is sensitive to errors. In order to hold these discontinuities to a minimum, the errors in determination of camera calibration constants, and camera location and orientation should be minimized. In addition, a computation procedure for smoothing the discontinuities may be required. An algorithm that is based on a weighted average of past and current target residuals has been developed at the NBDL to remove these discontinuities.

COMPARISON OF PHOTO AND ACCELEROMETER DERIVED ANGULAR VELOCITY

The accelerometer derived variables at the NBDL are derived from a six accelerometer configuration with sensitive axes orientation and accelerometer location as shown in Figure 2 (6). The six accelerometer system has been much maligned in favor of redundant nine accelerometer configurations. Figures 3 through 6 present angular velocity around the anatomical Y axis for an increasing sequence of peak G levels (5G to 10G) for -Gx runs derived from a six accelerometer configuration arranged as shown in Figure 2.

The problem is three dimensional, but only the significant component of angular velocity for these -Gx runs is shown. Figures 7 through 18 are a continuation of this sequence for 13G and 14G peak sled acceleration. A three triad accelerometer configuration was used for these runs. The three triads were formed by adding accelerometers where required to make each triad similar to the triad shown in Figure 2. Three dimensional components of angular velocity were determined both from a least square algorithm for the three triad nine accelerometers and the six accelerometer configuration shown in Figure 2.

- 4 -

Figures 7 and 8 compare the angular velocity component around the anatomical Y axis derived from the three triad (nine accelerometers) and six accelerometers respectively for the 13G run. This is the significant component of angular velocity for the -Gx runs and as seen from these figures very little difference exists between the six and nine accelerometer solutions and both agree well with the photo derived angular velocity. A similar comparison is shown for the angular velocity components around the anatomical X axis in Figures 9 and 10 and the anatomical Z axis in Figures 11 and 12. These same comparisons are shown for a 14G run in Figures 13 through 18. The solution for the nine accelerometers is better than that for the six accelerometers for the small angular velocity components around the anatomical X and Z axes. This is as expected since there is a statistical advantage with the nine accelerometers and the error in either case is significant relative to the magnitude of the angular velocity for these components in a -Gx run. It should be noticed that the profiles for the six and the nine accelerometer solutions are very similar and there is no evidence of unstable "blow up" in either case.

SIMULATION STUDIES

A program has been developed at the NBDL to evaluate the effect of low frequency acceleromet errors (sensitivity, orientation and linearity) on the errors in kinematic variables derived from these measurements. This program uses the solution of the kinematic variables to generate realistic errors in each of the accelerometers. The solutions obtained with the accelerometers modified by the errors are compared with those obtained from the unmodified accelerometer measurements. In this way, the sensitivity to errors of accelerometer derived kinematic variables is evaluated consistent with

- 5*-

the type of acceleration profile being studied at the NBDL. Investigation of this sort for (-X), (+Y) and (-X, +Y) vector direction profiles studied at the NBDL have indicated that for errors in accelerometers consistent with pre-selection _____ accelerometers and the careful calibration procedure there is no blow-up of sc ions with six accelerometers. The errors in kinematic variables in the time iow of interest (250 milliseconds) are consistent with the error growth due to ______, rating the errors in angular acceleration resulting from deriving angular acceleration components from linear acceleration measurements. These conclusions are reinforced by the good agreement between photo and accelerometer derived angular velocity components in the actual data base. The simulation studies show that with significantly larger accelerometer errors unstable behavior with six accelerometers is observed. Under these conditions the error grows out of bounds in very short times. This behavior has never been observed in any of the human runs at the NBDL. Simulation studies comparing three triad solutions with six accelerometer solutions have indicated that when the errors in the accelerometers are large enough to cause unstable behavior of the solutions in the time window of interest with six accelerometers. the solutions with the three triad configuration, although stable, is of poor quality.

COMBINATIONS OF PHOTO AND ACCELEROMETER DATA

As mentioned previously, it has always been the intention to combine photo and accelerometer solutions into one "optimum" solution that would provide a consistent set of variables from the acceleration to displacement levels suitable for modeling and mannikin construction.

- 6 -

The solution from photo data although good for displacement and angular orientation, requires extensive signal conditioning in order to derive angular and linear acceleration variables. In addition, there are often gaps in the photo solutions due to inadequate target coverage. In view of this, an algorithm has been devised to use the photo displacement and orientation results to predict errors in the sensitivity and orientation of each accelerometer. This solution uses both the photo derived results and the apriori accelerometer error components. The solution is then obtained using accelerometer data modified as predicted with the above algorithms. A more complete description of this algorithm will be presented in a future paper.

Figures 19 through 24 compare the linear and angular orientation components derived from photo measurements, a six acceleroweter configuration and the optimum combination of photo and accelerometers. These results are presented for a -7Gx accelerometer profile. The (+), (X) and (A) plot symbols are consistent with the photo, accelerometer and combined solution, respectively. As can be easily observed, the combined solution is indeed very close to the solution derived from the photo data. Angular velocity comparisons are made in Figures 25 through 27 for this same -Gx run. Changes in linear and angular acceleration components are obtained but are small and are not shown. The method appears encouraging and the authors intend to more fully explore its us.2s and implementation in the future.

- 7 -

CONCLUS IONS

1. With pre-selection of accelerometers and careful calibration procedures six accelerometer configurations can provide results which agree well with photoderived results at the velocity level in the time window of interest (250 milliseconds) for the acceleration profiles investigated at the NBDL. The error growth in the time window of interest is consistent with deriving angular acceleration from linear acceleration measurements and integrating the low frequency errors in the accelerometers.

2. Given the same accuracy in accelerometers, there is a statistical advantage of a three triad (nine accelerometer) configuration over a 3-2-1 six accelerometer configuration and the nine accelerometer solution is marginally stable. However, if the errors in the accelerometers are such that the six accelerometer solution exhibits unstable behavior in the time window of interest for acceleration profiles run at the NBDL, then the three triad solution is of poor quality.

3. An algorithm has been developed which combines photo and accelerometer data into one consistent data base. This algorithm uses a model for accelerometer errors and can use non-contiguous photo derived variables to predict the parameters in these models. The kinematic variables are then derived from the accelerometer measurements and the modified parameters associated with each accelerometer.

- 8 -

REFERENCES

(1) Ewing, C.L., Thomas, D.J., Lustick, L.S., Becker, E.B., Willems, G. and Muzzy, W.H. III, "The Effect of the Initial Position of the Nead and Neck on the Dynamic Response of the Human Head and Neck to -Gx Impact Acceleration", Proceedings of the Nineteenth Stapp Car Crash Conference, Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc., Warrendale, PA, 1975.

- (2) Ewing, C.L., Thomas, D.J., Lustick, L.S., Muzzy, W.H.III, Willems, G. and Majewski, P.L., "The Effect on Duration, Rate of Onset and Peak Sled Acceleration on the Dynamic Response of the Human Head and Neck", Proceedings of the Twentieth Stapp Car Crash Conference, Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc., Warrendale, PA, 1976.
- (3) Ewing, C.L., Thomas, D.J., Lustick, L.S., Muzzy, W.H.III, Willems, G. and Majewski, P.L., "Dynamic Response of Human Head and Neck to +Gy Impact Acceleration", Proceedings of the Twenty-First Stapp Car Crash Conference, Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc., Warrendale, PA, 1977.
- (4) Willems, G., 1977 "A Detailed Performance Evaluation of Subminature Piezoresistive Accelerometers", Twenty-Third International Instrumentation Symposium, Instrument Society of America.
- (5) Becker, E.B., 1975 "A Photographic Data System for Determination of 3-Dimensional Effects of Multi-axes Impact Acceleration on Living Humans", Proceedings, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers, V.57.
- (6) Becker, E.B. and Willems, G., "An Experimentally Validated 3-D Inertial Tracking Package for Application in Biodynamic Research", Proceedings of the Nineteenth Stapp Car Crash Conference, Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc., Warrendale, PA, 1975.

-9-

Figure 1	T-Plate Plots for Editing Photo Data
Figure 2	Accelerometer Configurations
Figure 3	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical Y Axis (-5Gx, 6 Accelerometers)
Figure 4	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical Y Axis (-7Gx, 6 Accelerometers)
Figure 5	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical Y Axis (-9Gx, 6 Accelerometers)
Figure 6	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical Y Axis (-10Gx, 6 Accelerometers)
Figure 7	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical Y Axis (-13Gx, 9 Accelerometers)
Figure 8	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical Y Axis (-13Gx, 6 Accelerometers)
Figure 9	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical X Axis (-13Gx, 9 Accelerometers)
Figure 10	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical X Axis (-13Gx, 6 Accelerometers)
Figure 11	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical Z Axis (-13Gx, 9 Accelerometers)
Figure 12	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical Z Axis (-13Gx, 6 Accelerometers)
Figure 13	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical Y Axis (-14Gx, 9 Accelerometers)
Figure 14	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical Y Axis (-14Gx, 6 Accelerometers)
Figure 15	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical X Axis (-14Gx, 9 Accelerometers)
Figure 16	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical X Axis (-14Gx, 6 Accelerometers)
Figure 17	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical Z Axis (-14Gx, 9 Accelerometers)

· . .

•.'

.

. .

•.•

- 10-

Figure 18	Angular Velocity Around Anatomical Z Axis (-14Gx, 6 Accelerometers)
Figure 19	Comparison of Displacement of Head Anatomical Origin Relative to the Sled (Laboratory X Direction)
Figure 20	Comparison of Displacement of Head Anatomical Origin Relative to the Sled (Laboratory Y Direction)
Figure 21	Comparison of Displacement of Head Anatomical Origin Relative to the Sled (Laboratory Z Direction)
Figure 22	Comparison of Euler Angle Around Head Anatomical X Axis
Figure 23	Comparison of Euler Angle Around Carried Head Anatomical Y Axis
Figure 24	Comparison of Euler Angle Around Carried Head Anatomical Z Axis
Figure 25	Comparison of Angular Velocity Around Head Anatomical X Axis
Figure 26	Comparison of Angular Velocity Around Head Anatomical Y Axis
Figure 27	Comparison of Angular Velocity Around Head Anatomical Z Axis

F

(

- 11-





unfiltered (ú)

Γ.

(. :

- 14 -

Fig. 3

- 15 -

- 16 -

Fig. 5

unfiltered (9)

- 18 -

Fig. 7

Ĩ

- 19 -

--- 20 ---

- 21-

- 22 -

- 23 -

- 24 -

2 Fig.

.

- '26 -

5

- 28 -

Fig. 17

×

×

Ŷ

×

×

×

×

1

××××

× ×

×× ×

× ×.

0

XXXXXX

×

:

×

ł

×

××

.

\$

,

×

×

××

×

,

× ×

i

ė

CNODES/SNYIDY& VELOCITY -

×.

- 30 -

Fig. 20

.....

- :31 -

1 4 6

)

5 Fig.

- 32 -

Ĩ¥

()

DISPLACEMENT - RADIANS

- 33 -

22 Fig.

- 34 -

.

.

Fig. 26

- 38 -

