

AD-A125 475

SELECTION AND CALIBRATION OF PRESSURE TRANSDUCERS FOR  
LOW PRESSURE MEASUR. (U) NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER  
SILVER SPRING MD H M ROBERTS SEP 82 NSWC/WP-82-442  
SBI-AD-F500 132

1/1


UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 14/2

NL

END

FILMED




MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963-A

AD-F500132

12

AD A1 25475

NSWC MP 82-442



# WIND TUNNEL DATA REPORT

SELECTION AND CALIBRATION OF PRESSURE TRANSDUCERS  
FOR LOW PRESSURE MEASUREMENT (0.001 psia) IN THE  
TUNNEL 9 HIGH ALTITUDE SIDE FORCE TESTS (WRT 1365)  
WTR

BY


MARK M. ROBERTS

STRATEGIC SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT

SEPTEMBER 1982

DTIC  
ELECTE  
MAR 10 1983  
S D  
B

DTIC FILE COPY



## NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER

Dahlgren, Virginia 22448 • Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

83 02 028 007

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;  
Distribution Unlimited

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER NSWC MP 82-442	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A125 475	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) SELECTION AND CALIBRATION OF PRESSURE TRANSDUCERS FOR LOW PRESSURE MEASUREMENT (0.001 psia) IN THE TUNNEL 9 HIGH ALTITUDE SIDE FORCE TESTS (WTRL365)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
7. AUTHOR(s) Mark M. Roberts		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Surface Weapons Center (Code K24) White Oak Laboratory Silver Spring, MD 20910		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 65856N; Z1259-SL; 2K29TT
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE September 1982
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 25
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)  UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) pressure transducer      sensitivity orifice effects              accuracy calibration response time		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A study of potential methods for measuring extremely low pressures (0.001 psia) in the NSWC Hypervelocity Wind Tunnel No. 9 was undertaken. Candidate techniques were evaluated in terms of compatibility with wind tunnel models, accuracy, response time, and availability. A detailed calibration was performed on random Microswitch, Model 130 PC transducers to check linearity, hysteresis, sensitivity, and repeatability. The effect of tube length and diameter on the response time of a pressure measuring system was also considered.		

DD FORM 1473  
1 JAN 73EDITION OF 1 NOV 68 IS OBSOLETE  
S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

## FOREWORD

A study of potential methods for measuring extremely low pressure (0.001 psia) in the NSWC Hypervelocity Wind Tunnel No. 9 was undertaken. Candidate techniques were evaluated in terms of compatibility with wind tunnel models, accuracy, response time, and availability. A detailed calibration was performed on random Microswitch, Model 130 PC transducers to check linearity, hysteresis, sensitivity, and repeatability. The effect of tube length and diameter on the response time of a pressure measuring system was also considered.

This work was performed in support of the FY82 High Altitude Side Force Test under the project leadership of Dr. C. Fiscina. The author wishes to thank all individuals involved for their participation in this task.

Approved by:

*C.A. Fisher*

C. A. FISHER, Head  
Weapon Dynamics Division

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
<b>PER CALL JC</b>	
By _____	
Distribution/ _____	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
<b>A</b>	



CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION .....	5
PRESSURE SYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS .....	5
CALIBRATION .....	6
SENSITIVITY AND ACCURACY .....	15
ORIFICE EFFECTS .....	17
CONCLUSION .....	17
REFERENCES .....	19
NOMENCLATURE .....	21
APPENDIX A - CALCULATION OF MEAN FREE PATH .....	A-1

ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	CALIBRATION SETUP .....	7
2	CALIBRATION: MICROSWITCH #77 PRESSURE VS COUNTS .....	9
3	CALIBRATION: MICROSWITCH #77 DETAILED CALIBRATION .....	10
4	CALIBRATION: MICROSWITCH #77 EXTENDED CALIBRATION .....	11
5	CALIBRATION: MICROSWITCH #77 EXTRAPOLATED CALIBRATION .....	12
6	MEAN PRESSURE VS TIME CONSTANT .....	13

TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	TIME CONSTANT COMPARISON .....	14
2	TRANSDUCER CALIBRATION DATA .....	16

## INTRODUCTION

When simulating high altitude flight in the Hypervelocity Wind Tunnel #9, as in the FY 82 High Altitude Side Force Tests, accurate measurement of static pressure is difficult to obtain because of its low magnitude. For instance, at a supply pressure of 100 psi at Mach 14, the surface pressure on the leeward side of a sphere-cone model at high angle of attack is on the order of 50  $\mu$ Hg (0.001 psi). The limited run time of Tunnel 9 ( $\approx$ 15 seconds) necessitates placement of transducers in the vicinity of the wind tunnel model for fast response while packaging constraints limit the type of transducers which can be used. In general, the smaller the full scale output of a pressure transducer, the larger the sensing element.

In choosing a transducer system for T-9, several factors were considered:

- transducer accuracy
- model compatibility
- response time
- test conditions
- cost
- availability

A discussion of these factors and how they led to the selection of Microswitch Model 130 PC transducers follows. Also, a detailed calibration of several random Microswitch transducers is shown in regard to linearity, repeatability, and response time.

## PRESSURE SYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS

Due to the constraints of response time, physical size, and pressure range, many traditional techniques for measuring low pressure cannot be used in a short duration wind tunnel.<sup>1</sup> The McLeod gage and other mercury manometers measure micron-size pressures accurately, but are impractical because of their slow response time. Many diaphragm type gages are too large to be incorporated into a

---

<sup>1</sup>Holman, J. P., "Experimental Methods for Engineers," McGraw-Hill, 1978, pp. 189-212.



wind tunnel model, particularly when multiple measurements are to be obtained. Mounting transducers outside of the wind tunnel would induce undesirable response time lag in the pressure tubing system. Other types of low pressure instrumentation, such as Bourdon tubes, don't have a broad enough range. From these constraints, it was decided to concentrate on diaphragm and piezoresistive type transducers.

The pressure transducer candidates for this study included:

- Microswitch, Model 130 PC
- Statham, Model PA208
- Setra, Model 239
- Validyne, Model AP-10
- Tavis, Model P4
- Rosemount, Model 1221F1VL

Rosemount, Tavis, and Validyne units were all larger than desired, but had good recommendations from previous users. The main drawbacks were cost and availability. Individual transducer unit costs, as high as \$1600, were prohibitive since up to 24 individual pressure measurements were to be made. Also, procurement time had to be short to satisfy the High Altitude Side Force Test time constraints. The transducers from Microswitch, Statham, and Setra were compatible with the model, but past experience has shown that Setra transducers had zero-shift problems. The Statham transducers have position (gravity) sensitivity and add the extra complication of having to know each transducer's orientation. Of the final pressure transducer candidates, Microswitch best met the requirements of model compatibility, availability, and cost. Also, Microswitch transducers have been used successfully in the past at NSWC.<sup>2</sup> Bench test calibrations were accomplished for several random Microswitch transducers to verify their accuracy and response time over a range of pressures from 5  $\mu$ Hg (0.0001 psi) to 20,000  $\mu$ Hg (0.4 psi).

#### CALIBRATION

The Microswitch Model 130 PC is a solid state, piezoresistive pressure transducer with a 0.625 inch square base and height of 0.8125 inches. The sensing element is a 0.1 inch square silicon chip with a sensing diaphragm and four piezoresistors. When pressure is applied, the diaphragm flexes, changing the resistance. This results in an output voltage proportional to pressure. The model 130 PC is available in both an absolute type with a pressure range of 0-15 psi and a gage type with ranges of 0-5 psi and 0-15 psi. The absolute type gage was selected for the calibration due to its availability.

---

<sup>2</sup>Harvey, D. W., Davis, J. C., and Prats, B. D., "Aerodynamics of Large Lateral Jets Emitted from Hypersonic Vehicles," AIAA 21<sup>st</sup> Aerospace Sciences Meeting, January 1983.




FIGURE 1. CALIBRATION SETUP

The calibration of the transducers consisted of three phases. In the first phase, random Microswitch transducers were checked for linearity and repeatability. In the second, response times of the transducers with various lengths and diameters of pressure tubing were experimentally measured. Third, the effect of noise and methods of filtering were checked. The calibration setup (Figure 1) utilized a vacuum pump, bell jar, and two pressure standards (a Universal mercury manometer and a McLeod gage). To check linearity, valves B and D were left open and the system was pumped down to discrete pressures. During the response time checks, an initial pressure,  $P_1$ , was isolated in the tube from valve D to the transducer. The pressure from the bell jar,  $P_2$ , was then bled into the system up to valve D and measured with the two pressure standards. Valve D was then opened and a trace of the transducer's response was recorded. Since response times in the low pressure range of interest are large ( $\approx 1$  second), the speed at which valve D opens is not critical.

The pressure range of greatest importance in this task was from 50  $\mu\text{Hg}$  (0.001 psi) to 3500  $\mu\text{Hg}$  (0.067 psi). Figure 2 shows the initial linearity check of a random Microswitch transducer versus data acquisition system counts (DARE counts). DARE counts is an artificial measurement of the transducer voltage output. During the calibration, the system was pumped down and measurements were taken. The system was then vented to atmospheric pressure and the procedure was repeated to assure no transducer hysteresis. A more detailed calibration at lower pressure (Figure 3) shows the good repeatability and linearity of this Microswitch transducer.

The Microswitch transducers are also linear over the range 50  $\mu\text{Hg}$  (0.001 psi) to 20,000  $\mu\text{Hg}$  (0.4 psi) (Figure 4). The impact of this fact is two-fold. First, a wide range of pressures can be measured accurately during one test. More importantly, an accurate calibration can be accomplished immediately prior to a wind tunnel run. Traditionally, an in-situ calibration of each transducer is performed prior to a run by taking discrete measurements as the wind tunnel is being evacuated. In certain cases however, the pressure to be measured during a test is lower than the lowest pressure attained during tunnel evacuation. For a low pressure case, an accurate calibration can be made down to the minimum evacuation pressure and the slope must be extrapolated to the low pressure range of interest. The extrapolation of the slope of a Microswitch transducer compared very favorably with test measurements (Figure 5).

Bench test results also showed that the response time of transducer/tubing systems was fast enough to allow multiple static measurements during the fifteen second run time (Figure 6). The response time lag is a function of the tube size. From Reference 3

$$t = \frac{16 \mu t^2}{p_o d^2} \ln \frac{(p_o + p_t)(p_o - p_i)}{(p_o - p_t)(p_o + p_i)}$$

<sup>3</sup>Bauer, R. C., "A Method of Calculating the Response Time of Pressure Measuring Systems," AEDC TR 56-7, November 1956.




FIGURE 2. CALIBRATION: MICROSWITCH NO. 77 PRESSURE VS COUNTS




FIGURE 3. CALIBRATION: MICROSWITCH NO. 77 DETAILED CALIBRATION




FIGURE 4. CALIBRATION: MICROSWITCH NO. 77 EXTENDED CALIBRATION




FIGURE 5. CALIBRATION: MICROSWITCH NO. 77 EXTRAPOLATED CALIBRATION




FIGURE 6. MEAN PRESSURE VS TIME CONSTANT



TABLE 1. TIME CONSTANT COMPARISON

$P_i$ ( $\mu\text{Hg}$ )	$P_o$ ( $\mu\text{Hg}$ )	$l$ (ft)	$d$ (in)	$t_{\text{CALC}}$ (sec)	$t_{\text{EXP}}$ (sec)
5	1500	2	0.093	0.22	0.4
1500	5	2	0.093	0.30	0.5
20	500	2	0.093	0.40	0.6
5	180	2	0.093	1.10	0.8
5	180	4	0.093	4.50	1.7
5	3500	4	0.193	0.10	0.25
5	175	4	0.193	1.05	0.9

where

$p_o$  = orifice pressure

$p_t$  = 63.2% full scale response pressure

$p_i$  = initial pressure

Table 1 shows a comparison of the empirical equation for response time (which assumes zero gage volume) with the experimentally determined response times. The calculated results are in reasonable agreement with experimental results, except for the low mean pressure case with 4 ft. length and 0.093 diameter. Initially, plastic tubing was used for the response time calibration. However, the results obtained with the plastic tubing were not repeatable and the transducer output appeared very noisy. These problems were eliminated by changing to solid tubing, which doesn't have detrimental outgassing effects.

With the solid tubing, the transducer output signal was very steady when 2 Hz analog filters were used. Without the filters, the output was quite noisy. Unfortunately, limitations in the Tunnel 9 data acquisition system prohibit the use of front end analog filters when multiplexing. Multiplexing is necessary whenever more than sixteen channels of output are desired. Digital filtering,<sup>4</sup> which has proven to be successful in the past, eliminates the need for front end filters which, in turn, allows multiplexing. Calibrations were done on five Microswitch transducers, with the output signals unfiltered. Digital filtering (5 Hz Butterworth) was applied during the data reduction and gave excellent results (Table 2).

#### SENSITIVITY AND ACCURACY

In this test, transducers were excited with seven volts during a run. The sensitivity of the Model 130 PC with seven volt excitation is 13  $\mu$ Hg (0.0003 psi) per DARE count. Standard deviations obtained during calibrations have been  $\pm 3$  DARE counts ( $\pm 39$   $\mu$ Hg). Therefore, the uncertainty in the measurement of pressures in the  $\bar{50}$   $\mu$ Hg range is high, but higher pressures, as on the windward side of a model, can be measured quite accurately.

---

<sup>4</sup>Hamming, R. W., Numerical Methods for Scientists and Engineers, McGraw-Hill, 1962.

TABLE 2. TRANSDUCER CALIBRATION DATA

TEST	PRESSURE STANDARD (psi)	TRANSDUCER PRESSURE (psi)				
		T140	T137	T144	T139	T43
1	.5032	.5034	.5029	.5035	.5021	.5032
2	.3910	.3913	.3915	.3912	.3913	.3912
3	.3174	.3177	.3173	.3174	.3168	.3183
4	.2155	.2158	.2144	.2153	.2139	.2177
5	.1769	.1770	.1771	.1767	.1773	.1784
6	.1339	.1345	.1333	.1342	.1328	.1356
7	.0814	.0818	.0820	.0817	.0817	.0818
8	.0541	.0549	.0529	.0545	.0524	.0567
9	.0212	.0211	.0217	.0208	.0221	.0217
10	.0093	.0101	.0086	.0095	.0090	.0124

## ORIFICE EFFECTS

When making pressure measurements in low-density conditions, the shear stress can cause the measured pressure to be significantly different from the force per unit area on the surface adjacent to the orifice. This is called the orifice effect.<sup>5</sup> Orifice effects must be considered when the orifice diameter is much less than the local mean free path. A sample calculation of mean free path is given in Appendix A for typical Tunnel 9 test conditions. Typically, orifice diameters can be made large enough so that orifice effects are negligible.

## CONCLUSION

From the detailed calibrations, the Microswitch Model 130 PC transducers are linear, repeatable, and with solid tubing have adequate response times to allow multiple static measurements during a Tunnel 9 run. They also have the advantages of being compatible with wind tunnel models, readily available, low in cost, and have been used successfully in the past. Over the range of pressures to be measured during a wind tunnel test, the Microswitch transducer is sensitive and accurate. Of the previously identified measurement technique candidates, the Microswitch transducers are best suited for measuring low pressures in short duration wind tunnels such as Tunnel 9.

---

<sup>5</sup>Kinslow, M., and Potter, J. L., "Reevaluation of Parameters Relative to the Orifice Effect," 7th Symposium on Rarefied Gas Dynamics, Academic Press, New York, 1970.

REFERENCES

1. Holman, J. P., "Experimental Methods for Engineers," McGraw-Hill, 1978, pp. 189-212.
2. Harvey, D. W., Davis, J. C., and Prats, B. D., "Aerodynamics of Large Lateral Jets Emitted from Hypersonic Vehicles," AIAA 21<sup>st</sup> Aerospace Sciences Meeting, January 1983.
3. Bauer, R. C., "A Method of Calculating the Response Time of Pressure Measuring Systems," AEDC TR 56-7, November 1956.
4. Hamming, R. W., Numerical Methods for Scientists and Engineers, McGraw-Hill, 1962.
5. Kinslow, M., and Potter, J. L., "Reevaluation of Parameters Relative to the Orifice Effect," 7th Symposium on Rarefied Gas Dynamics, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
6. Yanta, W. J., "A Hot-Wire Stagnation Temperature Probe," NOLTR 68-60, June 1968.

## NOMENCLATURE

d	Tube diameter
ID	Tube inside diameter
$l$	Tube length
$P_i$	Initial pressure
$P_o$	Orifice pressure
$P_t$	63.2% full scale response pressure
$P_1$	Initial line pressure
$P_2$	Bell jar pressure
R	Universal gas constant
T	Static temperature
$T_o$	Stagnation temperature
$\gamma$	Ratio of specific heats
$\lambda$	Mean free path
$\mu$	Coefficient of viscosity
$\mu\text{Hg}$	Microns of mercury
t	Time constants

APPENDIX A  
CALCULATION OF MEAN FREE PATH

Reference 6:

$$\lambda = 1.06 \frac{\mu}{p} \sqrt{\gamma RT}$$

$\lambda$  = Mean free path

$$\mu = \text{Viscosity} = 3.7 \times 10^{-7} \frac{\text{lb}_f \text{ sec}}{\text{ft}^2}$$

$$\gamma = 1.4$$

$$R = 55.15 \frac{\text{lb ft}}{\text{lb}_m \text{ } ^\circ\text{R}}$$

$$g_c = 32.2 \frac{\text{lb ft}}{\text{lb}_m \text{ sec}^2}$$

$$P_{\min} = \text{Minimum pressure} = 50 \text{ } \mu\text{Hg} = 0.144 \frac{\text{lb}}{\text{ft}^2}$$

$$T_0 = 3000^\circ\text{R} @ M = 13$$

$$\frac{T}{T_0} = 0.0287 \Rightarrow T_1 \approx 86^\circ\text{R}$$

$M=13$

$$\frac{T_2}{T_1} \approx 3 \Rightarrow T_2 \approx 260^\circ\text{R}$$

$M_{1n} = 3.3$

---

6Yanta, W. J., "A Hot-Wire Stagnation Temperature Probe," NOLTR 68-60, June 1968.

$$\lambda = 1.06 \frac{\left(3.7 \times 10^{-7} \frac{\text{lb}_f \text{sec}}{\text{ft}^2}\right)}{0.144 \frac{\text{lb}_f}{\text{ft}^2}} \sqrt{(1.4) \left(32.2 \frac{\text{lb}_f \text{ft}}{\text{m}}\right) \left(55.15 \frac{\text{lb}_f \text{ft}}{\text{lb}_m \text{ } ^\circ\text{R}}\right) (260^\circ\text{R})}$$

$$\lambda \approx 0.0022 \text{ ft} = 0.026 \text{ in.}$$



DISTRIBUTION

Copies

Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314	12
Library of Congress Attn: Gift and Exchange Division Washington, D.C. 20540	4
Internal distribution:	
E341	9
E342	3
E35	1
K24 (M. Roberts)	15