



DTIC FILE COPY

State and the second

.**.**\*.

MAI 25375

SECURITY CLAS	SSIFICATION OF THIS PA	GE (When Date E	Intered)	
T	REPORT DOCUM			READ INSTRUCTION BEFORE COMPLETING
ONR-12	MBER 7 1	·	AD - A125 37	NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUN
4. TITLE (and S	Subtitle)			5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIO
FINAL RE	PORT: SUMMAR	Y OF FIEL	LD	FINAL- 1981-1983
EXPERIME	NTS AND TECHN	ICAL REPO	DRTS	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT
7. AUTHOR(s)	<u></u>	<u> </u>		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUM
L.L. Cu	mmings .			N00014-79-C-0750
	B. Dunham			
. PERFORMIN Univers	G DRGANIZATION NAME	AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJ AREA & WORK UNIT NUMB
School	of Business			NR170-892
1155 Ob	B. Dunham servatory Dr.		n. WI 53706	
	ational Effec		Rasparch	12. REPORT DATE
Program	IS			February 10, 1983
14. MONITORIN	of Naval Rese	arch (co)	de 452) from Controlling Office	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this i
Arlingt	on, VA 22217			Unclassified
				15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWN
				I SCHEDULE
Approve	ION STATEMENT (of this d for public	release;		Latin interimenter
Approve	d for public	release;		from Report)
Approve	d for public	release;		Latin interimenter
Approve	d for public	release;		Tree Report) DTK
Approve	d for public	release;	n Block 20, if different	A
Approve 17. DISTRIBUTI 18. SUPPLEMEN 19. KEY WORDS JOB DES	d for public ION STATEMENT (of the of NTARY NOTES	release;	n Block 20, if different	A
Approve 17. DISTRIBUTI 18. SUPPLEME 19. KEY WORDS JOB DES JOB RED	d for public ion statement (of the o ntary notes (Continue on reverse elde IGN ESIGN	release; abetract entered f	n Block 20, if different	A
Approve 17. distributi 18. supplement JOB DES JOB RED ORGANIZ	d for public ION STATEMENT (of the of NTARY NOTES	release; abetract entered f o II necessary and VENTION	n Block 20, if different	A
Approve 17. DISTRIBUT 18. SUPPLEME JOB DES JOB RED ORGANIZ ORGANIZ	d for public ion statement (of the o ntary notes iGN ESIGN ATIONAL INTER	release; obstract entered f o II nocessary and VENTION E	n Block 20, if different d identify by block num	troen Report) ELECT MAR 7 19 A
Approve 17. DISTRIBUT 18. SUPPLEME 19. KEY WORDS JOB DES JOB RED ORGANIZ ORGANIZ 20. ABSTRACT	d for public ion statement (of the o ntary notes (Continue on reverse elde IGN ESIGN ATIONAL INTER ATIONAL CHANG (Continue on reverse elde	release; abetract entered t o II nocessary and VENTION E II nocessary and	n Block 20, if different d identify by block num	troen Report) ELECT MAR 7 19 A ber)
Approve 17. DISTRIBUT 18. SUPPLEME 19. KEY WORDS JOB DES JOB RED ORGANIZ ORGANIZ 20. ABSTRACT Re experime	d for public ion statement (of the o ntary notes (Continue on reverse elde IGN ESIGN ATIONAL INTER ATIONAL CHANG (Continue on reverse elde port contains ents conducted	release; ebetract entered t off necessary and VENTION E If necessary and summary d during	n Block 20, if different didentify by block num didentify by block num of a series project. E	from Report) ELECT MAR 7 19 A ber) of 18 job redesign xplores impact of c
Approve 17. DISTRIBUTI 18. SUPPLEMEN 19. KEY WORDS JOB DES JOB RED ORGANIZ ORGANIZ ORGANIZ 20. ABSTRACT Re experime in job in the	d for public ion statement (of the o ntary notes iGN ESIGN ATIONAL INTER ATIONAL CHANG (Continue on reverse elde port contains ents conducted attitudies and areas of Job	release; abetract entered t betract entered t VENTION E Unecessary and Summary d during behaviors Context,	n Block 20, if different d identify by block num of a series project. E s. Also sum environment	ber) of 18 job redesign xplores impact of c marizes 11 other pr al structuring, cog
Approve 17. DISTRIBUTI 18. SUPPLEME 19. KEY WORDS JOB DES JOB RED ORGANIZ ORGANIZ ORGANIZ 20. ABSTRACT Re experiminingo in the evaluat	d for public ion statement (of the o ntary notes G(Continue on reverse elde IGN ESIGN ATIONAL INTER ATIONAL INTER ATIONAL CHANG (Continue on reverse elde port contains ents conducte attitudies and areas of Job ion theory, di	release; abetract entered t betract entered t ventors ventors ventors context, ecision a	n Block 20, if different d identify by block num of a series project. E S. Also sum environment analysis, pr	from Report) ELECT MAR 7 19 A A ber) of 18 job redesign xplores impact of c marizes 11 other pr al structuring, cog oductivity enhancem
Approve 17. DISTRIBUTI 18. SUPPLEME 19. KEY WORDS JOB DES JOB RED ORGANIZ ORGANIZ ORGANIZ 20. ABSTRACT Re experiminingo in the evaluat	d for public ion statement (of the of NTARY NOTES S (Continue on reverse elde IGN ESIGN ATIONAL INTER ATIONAL CHANG (Continue on reverse elde port contains ents conducted attitudies and areas of Job ion theory, do	release; abetract entered t betract entered t ventors ventors ventors context, ecision a	n Block 20, if different d identify by block num of a series project. E S. Also sum environment analysis, pr	ber) of 18 job redesign xplores impact of c marizes 11 other pr al structuring, cog
Approve Approve 17. DISTRIBUT 18. SUPPLEME 19. KEY WORDS JOB DES JOB RED ORGANIZ ORGANIZ ORGANIZ 20. ABSTRACT Re experime in job in the evaluat organiz	d for public ION STATEMENT (of the of NTARY NOTES S (Continue on reverse elde IGN ESIGN ATIONAL INTER ATIONAL CHANG (Continue on reverse elde port contains ents conducted attitudies and areas of Job ion theory, do ational proce re.	release; abetract entered t betract entered t ventors ventors ventors context, ecision a	n Block 20, if different d identify by block num of a series project. E S. Also sum environment analysis, pr rsonal contr	from Report) ELECT MAR 7 19 A A ber) of 18 job redesign xplores impact of c marizes 11 other pr al structuring, cog oductivity enhancem

## Final Report

and a second and a second and a second a second

------

Contract No. N00014-79-C-0750 NR 170-892

## Co-Principal Investigators:

÷

and and a second state of a second state of a second state of a

L.L. Cummings, Northwestern University Randall Dunham, University of Wisconsin-Madison

This report is divided into two parts:

- I. A description of the 18 field experiments conducted with the support of ONR funding.
- II. A listing and abstraction of the Technical Reports produced during the period of the ONR funding.



Advention Nº US Stat1 1111 . . . The ALLANDARE STOR Jistifistict. . . . . . ....

I. SUMMARY OF FIELD EXPERIMENTS CONDUCTED UNDER ONR FUNDING A series of field experiments was conducted using a 2X3X3 design involving over 600 subjects. The first factor defines participation an experimental or control group. The second factor designates at wh of three semi-autonomous regions of the same organization subjects we A series of field experiments was conducted using a 2X3X3 design involving over 600 subjects. The first factor defines participation in an experimental or control group. The second factor designates at which of three semi-autonomous regions of the same organization subjects were stationed. The third factor indicates the time period at which assessment was made. Assessments were made prior to job redesign interventions, several weeks after intervention, and three months after interventions.

> Within each of the three regions, a series of six independent experimental and control groups was identified. For each experimental group, a job design intervention was planned, implemented, and evaluated. Thus these studies actually involved a total of 18 independent field experiments.

Each of the 18 field experiments was planned using the following procedure: 1) A survey was conducted to obtain measures of each of the independent and dependent variables described later in this report; 2) Analyses were conducted to determine descriptive statistical summaries for each measure and the relationships between variables; 3) "Job improvement teams" (consisting of six or seven employees: from the area) were formed for each of the 18 targeted areas; 4) the statistical summaries were presented to the job improvement teams; 5) a series of meetings was held with the job improvement teams and the researchers to help understand the information, identify reasons for the existing situation, and plan changes which were expected to lead to attitudinal and behavioral improvements.

After specific changes were developed, refined, and approved by management, statistical analyses were conducted to predict the probably effects of the changes. The changes were then implemented and studied. Predicted effects were compared to actual effects.

Constructs measured included each of the following:

- 1. Required worker behaviors
- 2. Perceived job characteristics
- 3. Organizational structure characteristics
- 4. Individual characteristics (values, abilities, etc.)
- 5. Performance (using evaluations and counts of activities)
- 6. Participation (tardiness, attendance, turnover)
- 7. Satisfaction
- 8. Motivation

The nature of the job changes ranged from relatively minor changes in the variety of tasks engaged in by job incumbents through major reorganizations of tasks, inter-worker relationships, and organizational sequencing.

Preliminary analyses have been conducted. The findings tentatively indicate that major aspects of the job design model used in this research are supported on a longitudinal basis and are capable of predicting the impact of specific job changes on worker attitudes and behaviors. A primary focus of the book manuscript currently being prepared is documentation of the procedures used in this research to facilitate replication by other researchers and use by practitioners. In addition, detailed results of the interventions will be presented.

# AN ITEMIZATION OF THE TECHNICAL REPORTS PRODUCED

DURING THE PERIOD OF ONR FUNDING

INCLUDING AN ABSTRACT OF EACH

¥,

TITLE: JOB CONTEXT SATISFACTION AND JOB DESIGN: A CONCEPTUAL PERSPECTIVE

**<u>GRANT NUMBER</u>**: N00014-79-C-0750

e

AUTHORS: Randall B. Dunham, Jon L. Pierce, and John W. Newstrom

- <u>STATUS</u>: Working Paper, Graduate School of Business, University of Wisconsin-Madison
- <u>ABSTRACT</u>: Recent empirical inquiry focusing on the moderating effect of job context satisfaction on the job content - worker response relationship has produced seemingly contradictory findings. Three types of explanations are explored: chance occurrence, sample distribution artifacts, and a series of alternative conceptual explanations. The first reconceptualization suggests that context satisfaction level influences high level needs. A second reconceptualization involved absorption/distraction as the process which explains the role of context satisfaction. Six possible determinants of absorption/distraction levels are discussed. Testable hypotheses for each idea developed are presented.

TITLE: CONSTRUCT DEFINITION OF TASK DESIGN AND RELATED CONCEPTS

CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: N00014-79-C-0750

NAME.

AUTHORS: Randall B. Dunham and Larry L. Cummings

<u>STATUS</u>: Working Paper, Kellogg Graduate School of Management, Northwestern University

ABSTRACT: A procedure is developed and illustrated with job scope perceptions for determining the stability of the factor structure within a data matrix. Emphasis is given to the importance of determining such stability prior to fixing the number of factors desired, rotated and interpreted. The procedure is applied to the four constructs fundamental to this research program. The procedure is suggested as substantially contributing to the validity of the constructs used in organizational behavior and organizational psychology. TITLE: SOURCES OF ENVIRONMENTAL STRUCTURING AND PARTICIPANT RESPONSES

ŗ.

**GRANT NUMBER:** N00014-79-C-0750

0.111

AUTHORS: Jon L. Pierce, Randall B. Dunham, Larry L. Cummings

<u>STATUS</u>: Under Editoral Review, <u>Organizational Behavior and Human</u> <u>Performance</u>

<u>ABSTRACT</u>: This study investigated the effects of four sources of environmental structuring on employee responses. These sources are: job, technology, work unit, and leader behavior. Main, joint, and interactive effects were examined. Job structure had the strongest effect. It was demonstrated that technology, job, and work unit structure were found to be substitutes for leader structure. Leader structure had little unique impact on employee reactions except when the other sources of environmental structure were weak. Two alternative theoretical interpretations of the findings are offered: reactivity and "closeness." <u>TITLE:</u> COGNITIVE EVALUATION THEORY: AN EXPERIMENTAL TEST OF PROCESSES AND OUTCOMES

۲

CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: N00014-79-C-0750

7

AUTHORS: Kimberly B. Boal and L. L. Cummings

STATUS: Published in Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 1981, 28, pp. 289-310

<u>ABSTRACT</u>: Cognitive Evaluation Theory has been proposed as a viable theoretical framework for explaining the detrimental effects of performance contingent rewards on intrinsically motivated behaviors. A review of the literature suggested that this theory had not been adequately tested. A field experiment was undertaken to do this. The results did not support the theory. TITLE: A FRAMEWORK FOR DECISION ANALYSIS AND CRITIQUE

CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: N00014-79-C-0750

فللبا فالساف بالماسف والمالي

AUTHOR: L. L. Cummings

STATUS: Published in G. R. Ungson and D. N. Braunstein (Eds.), <u>New</u> <u>Directions in Decision Making: An Interdisciplinary Approach</u> <u>to the Study of Organizations</u>, pp. 298-308, Kent Publishing, 1982

<u>ABSTRACT</u>: A framework is established as a basis for analyzing and evaluating models of decision making that encompasses four levels of analysis (individual, group, organization, environmental) and three decision stages (determinants, processes, and effects). This framework is then used to evaluate and compare three papers on decision modeling and assess the contribution of each. In this process, several research issues are identified which would clarify and possibly improve the study of decision making.

## TITLE BEHAVIORAL STRATEGIES FOR ENHANCING PRODUCTIVITY

CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBERS: N00014-79-C-0680 N00014-79-C-0750

AUTHORS: Gary, Latham, L. L. Cummings, & Terence R. Mitchell

STATUS: Published in Organization Dynamics, 1981, Winter, pp. 4-23. To be reprinted in R. Steers and L. Porter (Eds.), <u>Motivation and</u> <u>Work Behavior</u>, McGraw-Hill, 1983. £,

<u>ABSTRACT</u>: A three stage process is proposed for enhancing productivity through the utilization of human resources. The stages comprising the process are: (1) identifying poor performance; (2) deciding what causes poor performance; and (3) coping with poor performance. Together these strategies form a performance enhancement system.

> Strategies for identifying a poor performance include examining discrepancies between goals and measured achievement, making comparisons across people, units or organizations, and making comparisons across time.

A model for diagnosing and responding to poor performance is presented based on attribution theory. Empirical results in support of the model are discussed.

Steps for coping with poor performance include (1) defining performance behaviorally, (2) training managers to minimize rating errors, (3) setting specific hard goals, and (4) ensuring that the consequences of working toward and attaining the goals are positive for the employee. TITLE: THE IMPORTANCE OF PROCESSES AND CONTEXTS IN ORGANIZATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY

r

### CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: N00014-79-C-0750

AUTHOR: L. L. Cummings

- STATUS: Presented at Symposium on Industrial/Organizational Psychology Research Questions for the 1980's American Psychological Association, Montreal, Canada, September 3, 1980.
- ABSTRACT: This is a conceptual, prescriptive article analyzing current trends and suggesting a redirection necessary for the advancement of organizational psychology. Two divergent but possibly complementary approaches--the conservative and the radical--are outlined as accomplishing such a redirection in the field. The conservative approach emphasizes four needs requiring attention: 1) improved construct validity, 2) more careful selection and measurement of dependent variables, including a change in those deemed important, 3) new applications of longitudinal and experimental research designs, and 4) an increased and more appropriate use of multivariate statistical analyses. The radical approach encompasses conceptualizing organizations as social structures, the symbolic nature of management as a process, and a focus on processes across levels of analysis. It is predicted that, regardless of whether one views the future in the field as being indicative of the conservative or a more radical approach, we will see the roles of contexts and processes in research and application as being influential.

TITLE: PERSONAL CONTROL AT WORK: ITS CONCEPTUALIZATION AND MEASUREMENT

CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: NO0014-79-C-0750

AUTHOR: David B. Greenberger

v

- STATUS: Working Paper, Graduate School of Business, University of Wisconsin-Madison
- <u>ABSTRACT</u>: Numberous psychological researchers have proposed control over a variety of factors as an important variable in understanding human behavior, but the construct has been largely neglected by organizational psychologists. This paper discusses the construct personal control over work-related factors and the development of a means for measuring control over work-related factors. Two dimensions of control were found, one measuring the degree of control desired at work and the other measuring the degree of control possessed at work. These dimensions were observed to be very different from the locus of control construct. Analysis of the data indicate that the difference between control desired and control possessed may be an important mediating factor in job satisfaction. The use of control in redesigning jobs was discussed.

<u>TITLE</u>: ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS AND ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR: A CRITICAL PERSPECTIVE

GRANT\_NUMBER: N00014-79-C-0750

AUTHOR: L. L. Cummings

- <u>STATUS</u>: Published in K. S. Cameron and D. Whetten (Eds.), <u>Organizational</u> <u>Effectiveness: A Comparison of Multiple Models</u>, Academic Press, 1983
- <u>ABSTRACT</u>: After comparing and critiquing the contributions of six papers on organizational effectiveness within a perspective framework, this paper suggests what organizational behavior can offer in the way of theory and application to the study of organizational effectiveness. Strategies/ideas for the improvement of effectiveness are outlined that can be applied to the individual or generalized to larger units/ groups.

TITLE: ORGANIZATION BEHAVIOR

**GRANT NUMBER:** N00014-79-C-0750

AUTHOR: L. L. Cummings

STATUS: Published in Annual Review of Psychology, 1982, Vol. 33

<u>ABSTRACT</u>: This paper reviews the literature on organizational behavior from 1977 through the first quarter of 1981 and attempts to be projective and prescriptive as well as descriptive of the work covered. Selected topics reviewed include new overviews and integrations of the field, task design, feedback, organizational structure, technology, and control, new conceptualizations and emerging topical trends. These topics were chosen to reflect both current and controversial issues of recent research. Additionally, this review attempts to bring the macro or organizational side of organizational behavior into focus and analysis and conclusions are drawn concerning theoretical and research needs. Finally, it projects the likely developments within organizational behavior.

TITLE: COGNITIONS OF WORK UNIT STRUCTURE

GRANT\_NUMBER: M00014-79-C-0750

.

AUTHORS: Richard Blackburn and Larry L. Cummings

STATUS: To appear in the Academy of Management Journal, 1982

<u>ABSTRACT</u>: An improved conceptual and empirical approach to identifying dimensions of work unit structure is proposed and tested. Multidimensional scaling procedures utilizing input data from 180 unit respondents recover a perceptual map of work unit structure. Interpretive analyses suggest that participants differentiate work unit structure along five dimensions: bureaucratic, affective, interaction, function and size. Both substantive and methodological advances are claimed.

