





AFIT/GEP/PH/82D-1

ANALYSIS OF

ACOUSTO-OPTIC ERRORS

IN LASER GYROSCOPES

THESIS

AFIT/GEP/PH/82D-1 Eileen M. Walling Capt USAF

FEB 2 2 198

Α

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

AFIT/GEP/PH/82D-1

ANALYSIS OF

ACOUSTO-OPTIC ERRORS

IN LASER GYROSCOPES

THESIS

Presented to the Faculty of the School of Engineering of the Air Force Institute of Technology

Air University

in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science

by

Eileen M. Walling Capt USAF

DZIE COFF INSPECTED 2

A dernion For NUES (SRAAL DUIC LAR Unavaignoad

Justification

Dist | Special

Aveilebilft\* Codas

SYSEL AD (1)P

Graduate Engineering Physics

December 1982

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

AN AN AN AN AN

	Page	
Preface	iii	
List of Figures	iv	
List of Symbols	vi	
List of Tables	x	
Abstract	Xi	
I. Introduction	1	
Background Problem Statement Method of Approach Scope Order of Presentation	3 11 11 11 12	
II. Theory	13	
Sagnac Effect Fabry-Perot Interferometer Passive Ring Laser Gyroscope Acousto-Optics	13 16 27 32	
III. Modulator Operation	38	
Angular Shift versus Drive Frequency Mirror Reflectivity	38 40	
IV. Experimental Setup	48	
Angular Shift versus Drive Frequency Reflectivity Determination Beam Profile Temperature Sensitivity	48 54 57 66	
V. Results and Conclusions	69	
VI. Recommendations	71	
Bibliography	73	
Appendix A: Angular Shifts	75	
Appendix B: Computer Program "Reflect"	79	
Appendix C: Mirror Reflectivities		

. - . •

## Page

Appendix D:	Beam Profiles	90
Appendix E:	Equipment Listing	97
Vita	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	98

.....

• . •

۰.

· . .

. . . . . .

.

#### PREFACE

The purpose of this study was to determine the errors caused by the acousto-optic modulators within a passive ring laser gyroscope. The Aeronautical-Mechanical Sciences section within the Frank J. Seiler Research Laboratory is currently testing a .578 square-meter passive ring laser gyroscope. This gyroscope is a prototype to a 36 square-meter gyroscope which the laboratory is scheduled to build. The large gyroscope will perform relativistic and geophysical measurements. The results obtained during this study will directly impact the design considerations of the large gyroscope.

I would like to thank the entire staff of the Aeronautical Mechanical Sciences section for the help they have given me. In particular, I would like to thank Captain Gerry Shaw for proposing this thesis and for providing the assistance and guidance that were essential to the completion of this study. I also express my appreciation to Lieutenant Colonel Ted Saito for his suggestions, and his review of the thesis. I am indebted to Mrs. Leah Kelly for her typing expertise and administrative support. I also wish to thank Master Sergeant Earl Barr and Technical Sergeant John Nelson for their assistance in obtaining additional equipment and supplies.

I express my gratitude to my AFIT advisors, Lieutenant Colonel William Bailey and Major Michael Stamm, whose guidance and direction enabled me to successfully complete this work.

したので、このでは、

Finally, a special thanks goes to my parents for their encouragement and support.

## EILEEN M. WALLING

iii

# LIST OF FIGURES

.

• •

Figure		Page
1	Types of Laser Gyroscopes	2
2 ·	Sagnac's Interferometer	4
3	Harress' Prism Ring	5
4	Michelson-Gale Interferometer	6
5	Pogany's Interferometer	8
6	Rosenthal's Laser Gyroscopes	9
7	Macek and Davis' Gyroscope	10
8	Circular Interferometer	13
9	Reflection and Transmission of Incident Plane Wave	17
10	Path Difference Determination	20
11	Ideal Transmission Characteristics	22
12	Laser Gyroscope	23
13	Peak Linewidth	25
14	Passive Ring Laser Gyroscope (PRLG)	28
15	PRLG at Seiler Laboratory	30
16	Schematic of Seiler PRLG	31
17	Sinusoidal Index of Refraction	33
18	Acoustic Diffraction	34
19	Acoustic Path Difference	36
20	Beam Diffraction	38
21	Momentum Vector Diagram	39
22	Multilayer Film	41
23	Single Dielectric Layer	42
24	AOM Experimental Setup	48
25	Equipment Set-Up	49

iv

. . . . . . .

Figure		Page
26	Modulator Without Top Case	49
27	Angular Shift versus Frequency (# 2951)	51
28	Angular Shift versus Frequency ( <b>#</b> 851)	52
29	Angular Shift versus Frequency (# 1555)	53
30	Beam Profile Schematic	57
31	Beam Profile of Unmodulated Beam	59
32	Beam Profiles (# 2951) at 35 Mhertz	60
33	Beam Profiles (# 2951) at 40 Mhertz	61
34	Beam Profiles (# 2951) at 45 Mhertz	62
35	Beam Profiles (# 1555) at 40 Mhertz	64
36	Beam Profiles (# 851) at 40 Mhertz	65
37	Temperature versus Voltage for Sensing Circuit	67
38	Linear Combination of Modulators (few Hertz Shift)	72
39	Linear Combination of Modulators (80 M Hertz Shift)	72
40	Angular Shift versus Drive Frequency (# 863)	76
41	Angular Shift versus Drive Frequency (# 864)	76
42	Angular Shift versus Drive Frequency (# 866)	77
43	Angular Shift versus Drive Frequency (# 867)	77
44	Angular Shift versus Drive Frequency (# 1558)	78
45	Angular Shift versus Drive Frequency (# 2543)	78
46	Beam Profiles (# 863) at 40 Mhertz	91
47	Beam Frofiles (# 864) at 40 Mhertz	92
48	Beam Profiles (# 866) at 40 Mhertz	93
49	Beam Profiles (# 867) at 40 Mhertz	94
50	Beam Profiles (# 1558) at 40 Mhertz	95
51	Beam Profiles (# 2543) at 40 Mhertz	96

۰.

È.

v

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

. .

g and r

.

Symbol		Page
MOA	Acousto-Òptic Modulator	2
∆ <b>Z</b>	Fringe Shift	3
Ω	Rotation Rate	3
A	Area	3
λ <sub>o</sub>	Wavelength of Light in Ring	3
с	Speed of Light	3
CW	Clockwise	13
CCW	Counter Clockwise	13
t	Time	14
π.	3.1415927	14
а	Radius of a Circle	14
t+	Time of Travel in Direction of Rotation	14
t_	Time of Travel Against Direction of Rotation	14
∆t	Time Difference (t <sub>+</sub> - t_ )	15
Ŷ	Angle Between the Axis of Rotation and Normal to the Interferometer	16
Δ <b>D</b>	Path Difference	16
L	Distance Between Two Surfaces	16
n	Index of Refraction	16
n'	Index of Refraction	16
A <sub>i</sub>	Amplitude of Incident Beam	17
ВJ	Amplitudes of Partial Reflected Beams (J=1,2,3,),.	17
ĄJ	Amplitudes of Partial Transmitted Beams (J=1,2,3,)	17
A <sub>r</sub>	$B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + \dots$	17
At	$A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + \dots$	17

. . . .

۰. ۲

•	Symbol		Page
	r	Reflection Coefficient	18
	t	Transmission Coefficient	18
	r'	Reflection Coefficient	18
	t'	Transmission Coefficient	18
	6	Phase Delay	18
	R	Reflectivity	19
	Т	Transmissivity	19
	ΔL	Path Difference	20
	k	Propagation Constant = $\frac{2\pi n}{\lambda}$	20
	At*	Complex Conjugate of $A_t$	21
	It	Transmitted Intensity	21
	ν	Frequency	21
	m	Integer	21
	vm	Resonance Frequencies (m=1,2,3,)	22
	Δν .	Spacing Between Adjacent Resonant Frequencies	22
	Р	Perimeter	23
	nl	Cavity Length	23
	L	Cavity Length	23
	FSR	Free Spectral Range	24
	Δν <sub>e</sub>	Linewidth of Peak	24
	FWHM	Full Width at Half Maximum	24
	λ <sub>±</sub>	Wavelengths at Half Intensity Points	25
	v <sub>t</sub>	Frequencies at Half Intensity Points	25
	F	Finesse	26
	PRLG	Passive Ring Laser Gyroscope	27
	۷O	Initial Frequency	29

ALL LAND

• ٠.

. . .

Symbol		Page
v۱	Drive Frequency	29
VCO	Voltage Control Oscillator	29
PZT	Piezoelectric Transducer	29
v2	Drive Frequency	29
Δν	Frequency Difference	29
vs	Speed of Sound in a Medium	32
$\theta_{\mathbf{i}}$	Incident Angle	34
<sup>U</sup> r	Diffracted Angle	34
λ <sub>s</sub>	Wavelength of a Sound Wave	35
k <sub>s</sub>	Momentum Vector of Sound Wave	40
∆k <sub>s</sub>	Change in Momentum Vector of Sound Wave	40
Δθ	Angular Shift	40
nl	Index of Refraction	41
n <sub>2</sub>	Index of Refraction	41
n <sub>0</sub>	Index of Refraction	41
E0	Amplitude of Incident Light	42
Е <mark>0</mark> '	Amplitude of Reflected Light	42
El	Amplitude of Transmitted Light into Medium	42
El.	Amplitude of Reflected Light out of Medium	42
E <sub>2</sub>	Amplitude of Transmitted Light thru Medium	42
M	Transfer Matrix	44
<u>M</u> total	$\underline{M}_1 \underline{M}_2 \underline{M}_3 \cdots \underline{M}_N$	44
<sup>B</sup> 1	$k_1 n_1 d_1 \cos \theta_1$	45
β	$\mathbf{k}_{2} \mathbf{n}_{2} \mathbf{d}_{2} \cos \theta_{2}$	45
P1	$n_1 \cos \theta_1$	45

٠.-

· · ·

viii

·.- .

Symbol		Page
P2	$n_2 \cos \theta_2$	45
U <sub>N</sub> (x)	Chebyshev Polynomials of Second Kind	45
P <sub>0</sub>	$n_0 \cos \theta_0$	46
n <sub>o</sub>	Index of Refraction of Air	46
$P_L$	$n_L \cos \theta_L$	46
nL	Index of Refraction of Substrate	46
x	Spacing Between Beam Spots	50
r	Distance From Modulator Output to Screen	50

# OF TABLES

Table		Page
I	Mean and Standard Deviation Values	53
11	Mirror Reflectivities (# 1555)	55
111	Mirror Reflectivities (# 851)	56
IV	Mirror Reflectivities (# 863)	83
v	Mirror Reflectivities (# 864)	84
VI	Mirror Reflectivities (# 866)	<b>8</b> 5
VII	Mirror Reflectivities (# 867)	86
VIII	Mirror Reflectivities (# 1558)	87
IX	Mirror Reflectivities (# 2543)	88
х	Mirror Reflectivities (# 2951)	89

#### ABSTRACT

Acousto-optic modulators frequency shift and spatially orient the laser beam within the laser gyroscope cavity. The accuracy of the gyroscope is directly related to the modulator performance. This study investigates two commercial styles of modulators and their impact on gyroscope operation.

The drive frequency of the modulators was varied to measure the angular shifts of the first order diffracted beam. The angular deflections concurred with manufacturer specifications with a maximum mean difference of -0.1869 milliradians and maximum standard deviation of 0.2005 milliradians. Using these angular values, the reflectivities of the flat mirrors within the cavity were then determined. These calculations displayed an average reflectivity of .999567 with most changes occurring in the seventh decimal place, indicating that properly aligned modulators do not affect mirror reflectivity or gyroscope finesse.

Vertical and horizontal beam profiles of the first diffracted order were taken to identify deviations produced by the modulators. Of the nine modulators tested, eight emitted the required Gaussian beams. The remaining modulator displayed a variety of profiles, indicating a material flaw or erroneous operation.

The modulators were subjected to temperature extremes to determine their thermal sensitivity. The angular deviation of the first diffracted order at 40 megahertz was measured as the temperature was changed from 48.6 to 243.3 degrees Fahrenheit. The minimum angular change measurable was 52.7 microradians; however, no deviations were measured from the room-temperature reading.

хi

#### I. INTRODUCTION

たったり、日本のためになった。

Ring gyroscopes were developed as early as 1913 to investigate and measure inertial rotation. However, interest in these gyroscopes waned for lack of a coherent light source until the development of the laser in the early 1960's (1,60). Now, these laser gyroscopes are being tested by commercial manufacturers and educational facilities. Laser gyroscopes are currently replacing conventional mechanical gyroscopes in the Navy fleet, and are onboard commercial aircraft such as Boeing's 757/767 models and the European Airbus (2,166; 3,26).

The laser gyroscope has several advantages over mechanical gyroscopes. Unlike the mechanical gyroscope, the laser gyroscope has no spinning mass. The interaction of the counter rotating laser beams within the laser gyroscope determines the inertial rotation (1,60; 4,134). The laser gyroscope has few (if any) moving parts, drastically reducing maintenance and repair, and increasing operational availability (5,1; 6,44). The "instant-on" feature of the laser gyroscope is definite progress over the warmup time required for conventional gyroscopes (6,44).

The many types and styles of laser gyroscopes can be seen in Figure 1. The Sagnac effect is the basis for all these gyroscopes. The Sagnac effect measures inertial rotation due to a time difference, a length difference, or a frequency difference between the two counter rotating laser beams within the gyroscope.

#### Background

All the various types of laser gyroscopes have their origins in the Sagnac theory. A brief history of laser gyroscopes will identify efforts in their development.

In 1913, Sagnac built the first ring interferometer to measure inertial rotation (7,476). In Figure 2, the incoming light beam is split at Point O, and the two beams are directed around the ring in opposite directions by the mirrors. The beams recombine at Point O producing interference fringes (7,476). Rotating the interferometer shifts the fringe pattern. By measuring the fringe shift, the rotation rate can be determined from the following equation:

$$\Delta Z = \frac{4\Omega A}{\lambda_{o}c} \qquad (7, 476) \qquad (1-1)$$

where  $\triangle 2 = \text{fringe shift}$ 

 $\Omega$  = rotation rate **A** = Area of the Ring  $\lambda_0$  = wavelength of light in the ring

c = speed of light

A full development of Equation (1-1) is shown in Chapter II.



Figure 2. Sagnac's Interferometer (7,477)

In 1911, two years before Sagnac's experiment, Harress, a German graduate student formed a ring of partially reflecting prisms to measure the dispersive properties of glass (Figure 3). Harress noticed a fringe shift when he rotated the ring, and he assumed that the shift was caused by the "dragging" of the light through the moving glass (7,476). However, Harress' data did not conform to data previously recorded for the dispersion properties, and he was unable to investigate the problem further.

4



Figure 3. Harress' Prism Ring (7,477)

In 1914, Harzer, using Harress' data, obtained Sagnac's fringe shift Equation (1-1). Harzer was able to conclude that a moving refracting medium has no effect on the shift, and that dispersion has no influence on the fringe shift (7,477). In 1925, Michelson and Gale used two sizes of interferometer to measure the earth's rotation. The larger interferometer was 0.4 miles long and 0.2 miles wide. Figure 4 depicts the large interferometer.



Figure 4. Michelson-Gale Interferometer (7,478)

Assuming the earth's rotation rate was constant, the rotation was determined by changing the loop area A. The ratio of the fringe shift to the area of the large interferometer was equal to the ratio of the fringe shift to the area of the small interferometer. Thus

$$\frac{\Delta Z_1}{A_1} = \frac{\Delta Z_2}{A_2} = \frac{4 \Omega}{\lambda_0 c}$$
(1-2)

where

 $\Delta Z_1$  = fringe shift of interferometer 1  $A_1$  = area of interferometer 1  $\Delta Z_2$  = fringe shift of interferometer 2  $A_2$  = area of interferometer 2

Michelson and Gale thereby determined the earth's rotation rate, while at the same time, verifying Sagnac's effect. They also discovered that the fringe shift does <u>not</u> depend upon the shape of the interferometer, but only on the area it encloses. Additionally, the fringe shift is not dependent upon the location of the center of rotation (7,478).

In 1926, Pogany repeated Sagnac's experiment with greater precision. His results were within two percent of the theoretically predicted shift. Pogany later repeated the experiment, using two glass rods in the beam path (Figure 5). The two glass rods disproved Harress' "dragging" light theory. Pogany's results came to within one percent of predicted (Sagnac) theory (7,477).



Figure 5. Pogany's Interferometer (7,477)

The lack of a coherent light source deferred any further uses of the Sagnac interferometer. The non-coherent sources produced diffuse light, and as this light propagated, only a small portion would be contained within the interferometer. Increasing the size of the interferometers decreased the amount of light propagated within them. Thus, the large areas of the interferometer degraded the effectiveness of non-coherent sources. However, the development of the laser brought renewed interest to Sagnac's interferometer.

In 1962, Rosenthal proposed the first laser gyroscopes (1,62; 7,478; 8,1144). Rosenthal's paper pointed out that multiple beam interference produced sharper fringes, thereby enabling a more precise detection of the fringes. Beamsplitters within the optical cavity prevent the multiple interferences, as well as degrade the beam intensity (8,1144).

Figure 6 illustrates Rosenthal's two alternative configurations to the internal beam splitter. Figure 6a is the predecessor to the active Ring Laser Gyroscope. This gyroscope contains the lasing medium within the optical cavity. Figure 6b is the precursor to the Passive Ring Laser Cyroscope, where the lasing medium is outside the optical cavity.





Macek and Davis developed the first laser gyroscope similar to Figure 6a's design. Their gyroscope utilized four laser media as seen in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Macek and Davis' Gyroscope (9,67)

Up until 1977, only the active ring gyroscopes were being developed and tested. Then, Ezekiel and Balsamo introduced their passive ring laser gyroscope (PRLG) (10,478). Their PRLG uses acousto-optic modulators in the clockwise and counter clockwise beam paths. The PRLG will be examined in further detail in Chapter II.

#### Problem Statement

Over the past twenty years, the laser gyroscope has been the subject of many investigations and experiments. For example, in 1978, a technical symposium on Laser Inertial Rotation Systems was given by the Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers. During this symposium, more than two dozen articles were presented on laser gyroscopes (11). However, despite the abundance of publications, little is known about the errors caused by or attributed to the acousto-optic modulators. The purpose of this research, therefore, is to identify these errors and suggest plausible solutions.

#### Method of Approach

Various acousto-optic modulators were tested outside the laser gyroscope cavity. The experimental setup duplicated the input loop scheme so that the data obtained provided meaningful information. The acousto-optic modulators were examined for frequency variational effects. The vertical and horizontal beam profiles were measured to identify mode errors created by the medium within the acousto-optic modulator. Thermal sensitivity was measured to determine the effects of temperature on modulator operation.

#### Scope

This research will be limited to errors caused by acousto-optic modulators appropriate for use within passive ring laser gyroscopes. Two commercial models will be examined: the AOM-40 by Intra Action Corporation, and Model 305 produced by Coherent Associates. Several modulators of each type will be tested.

General background information will provide the basic theory and operation of laser gyroscopes. However, in-depth discussions of the

gyroscope or its circuitry will not be included. Many publications are available which contain this information (10; 11).

#### Order of Presentation

describes the theory of Chapter II laser gyroscopes and acousto-optics. This section includes information on the Sagnac effect, a discussion of Fabry-Perot interferometers, the basic passive ring laser gyroscope, and acousto-optic theory. Chapter III deals with the operation of the acousto-optic modulators. The expression for angular shifts produced for varying drive frequencies is determined, and the relationship between mirror reflectivity and incident angle is found. Chapter IV details experimental setups. Within this section, the angular shifts for varying drive frequencies are measured for both models of modulators. Using the values of angular deviations, the mirror reflectivities are determined using the computer program 'Reflect' (Appendix B). The vertical and horizontal beam profiles for each of the modulators were determined, and temperature sensitivity of the modulators was tested. Chapter V contains the results and conclusions of this study. Chapter VI suggests recommendations for future work in this area.

## II THEORY

#### Sagnac Effect

In 1913, Sagnac demonstrated that inertial rotation could be measured by means of a rotating ring interferometer (4,134; 7,476). Since the Sagnac Interferometer is the basis for all laser gyroscopes, a discussion of the effect is warranted.

Figure 8 shows a circular interferometer (also known as a Sagnac Interferometer) of radius a.



Figure 8. Circular Interferometer (4,135)

Light entering at Point A is beam split. The light travels in both the clockwise (cw) and counter clockwise (ccw) directions, and is recombined

at Point A. For a stationary interferometer (one that has no inertial rotation), the time for each beam to travel the circumference for the interferometer is

$$t = \frac{2\pi a}{c}$$
 (2-1)

where t is the time, a is the radius of the interferometer, and c is the speed of light (4,135).

If we allow the interferometer to rotate at some fixed rate  $\Omega$ , the travel time will be different for each beam, since the distance each beam travels depends upon the rotation rate. For example, consider rotation of the interferometer in a clockwise manner. The light enters at Point A. However, due to the rotation, the beamsplitter moves to Point B. For the light to recombine at the beamsplitter, the ccw beam travels a shorter distance than the cw beam. The transit time for each beam can be found from the following:

$$ct_{+} = 2\pi a \pm a\Omega t_{+} \qquad (2-2)$$

where  $\Omega$  is the rotation rate, t<sub>+</sub> is the time for the beam traveling in the direction of rotation, and t<sub>\_</sub> is the time for the beam traveling against the direction of rotation (4,136). Equation (2-2) can be rewritten to give the transit times

$$t_{+} = \frac{2\pi a}{c_{-} \Omega a} \qquad (2-3)$$

$$t_{-} = \frac{2\pi a}{c + \Omega a}$$
 (2-4)

The total time difference,  $\Delta$  t, between the arrival of the two beams at Point A is

$$\Delta t = t_{+} - t_{-}$$

$$= \frac{2\pi a}{c - \Omega a} - \frac{2\pi a}{c + \Omega a}$$

$$= \frac{2\pi a (2\Omega a)}{c^{2} - (\Omega a)^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{4\pi a^{2} \Omega}{c^{2} - (\Omega a)^{2}} \qquad (2-5)$$

Since a  $\Omega << c$ , Equation (2-5) is approximated by

$$\Delta t \approx \frac{4\pi a^2 \Omega}{c^2}$$
$$\approx \frac{4A\Omega}{c^2}$$
(2-6)

where  $A = \pi a^2$  is the area enclosed by the ring.

Sagnac used fringe shifts to calculate the rotation rate (7,476). The fringe shift,  $\Delta Z$ , is related to  $\Delta t$  by

$$\Delta Z = \frac{c \Delta t}{\lambda_0}$$
 (2-7)

where  $\lambda_0$  is the wavelength of the light in the ring. Thus

$$\Delta Z = \frac{4A\Omega}{\lambda_0 c} \qquad (7,481) \qquad (2-8)$$

If the beam is at an angle  $\gamma$  with respect to the normal of the rotation axis, Equation (2-8) is modified

$$\Delta Z = \frac{4A\Omega \cos(\gamma)}{\lambda_{o}c} \qquad (7,476) \qquad (2-9)$$

The total path length difference,  $\triangle D$ , between the two beams is

 $\Delta D = c\Delta t$ 

$$=\frac{4A\Omega}{c}$$
 (2-10)

Thus, the total path difference  $\Delta D$  is dependent upon the area of the ring A and the rotation rate  $\Omega$ , assuming the speed of light c is invariant.

#### Fabry-Perot Interferometer

The actual operation of the laser gyroscope differs from that of the Sagnac interferometer. The laser gyroscope functions as two Fabry-Perot interferometers, one for each beam (10,478). Within the gyroscope cavity, the two flat mirrors form the Fabry-Perot interferometers. Unlike the Sagnac interferometer, the laser gyroscope requires the two counter-rotating beams resonate in the gyroscope cavity.

The Fabry-Perot interferometer consists of two plane parallel highly reflecting surfaces (flat mirrors), separated by some distance (12,306). The mirrors have an index of refraction n', and the region between the mirrors has an index of refraction n. A plane wave incident onto one of the mirror surfaces at some angle  $\phi$  to the normal will experience reflections and transmissions as seen in Figure 9.



(13,60)



The incident wave has amplitude  $A_i$ , which may be complex. As seen from Figure 9, the partial reflections have amplitudes  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $B_3$ , ..., while the partial transmissions have amplitudes  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ , ....

The amplitude of the total reflected wave  $A_r$  is the sum of the amplitudes of the partial reflections. Likewise, the amplitude of the total transmitted wave  $A_t$  is the sum of the amplitudes of the partial transmissions. So:

$$A_r = \sum_{n} B_n = B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + \dots$$
 (2-11)

$$A_t = \sum_n A_n = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + \dots$$
 (2-12)

The amplitudes of the partial reflections are

$$B_{1} = rA_{i}$$
  

$$B_{2} = r' (tt')e^{i\delta}A_{i}$$
  

$$B_{3} = (r')^{3}(tt')e^{2i\delta}A_{i}$$
  

$$B_{4} = (r')^{5}(tt')e^{3i\delta}A_{i}$$
 (13,60)

The amplitudes of the partial transmissions are

$$A_{1} = (tt')A_{i}$$

$$A_{2} = (tt')(r')^{2}e^{i\delta}A_{i}$$

$$A_{3} = (tt')(r')^{4}e^{2i\delta}A_{i}$$

$$A_{4} = (tt')(r')^{6}e^{3i\delta}A_{i}$$
(13,61)

#### where

r is the reflection coefficient for the wave traveling from n' to n t is the transmission coefficient for the wave traveling from n' to n r' is the reflection coefficient for the wave traveling from n to n' t' is the transmission coefficient for the wave traveling from n to n'  $\delta$  is the phase delay.

Thus the total reflected wave amplitude is

$$A_{r} = rA_{i} + r'(tt')A_{i}e^{i\delta} + (r')^{3}(tt')A_{i}e^{2i\delta} + \dots$$
$$= rA_{i} + r'(tt')A_{i}e^{i\delta}\{1 + (r')^{2}e^{i\delta} + \dots\} (2-13)$$

The total transmitted wave amplitude is

$$A_{t} = (tt')A_{i} + (tt')(r')^{2}A_{i}e^{i\delta} + (tt')(r')^{4}A_{i}e^{2i\delta} + \dots$$
$$= (tt')A_{i}\{1 + (r')^{2}e^{i\delta} + (r')^{4}e^{2i\delta} + \dots\} \quad (2-14)$$

Assuming the mirrors do not absorb any of the light, then

$$R + T = 1$$
 (2-15a)

$$r = -r'$$
 (2-15b)

$$T = tt'$$
 (2-15c)

$$R = (r)^2 = (r')^2$$
(2-15d)

where R is the reflectivity of the mirror, and T is the transmissivity of the mirror. (14,574; 13,61-62)

Using Equations (2-15 a thru d) and some algebraic manipulations, Equation (2-13) and Equation (2-14) can be rewritten.

$$A_{r} = A_{i}\sqrt{R} \{1 + Te^{i\delta}(1 + Re^{i\delta} + R^{2}e^{2i\delta} + ...)\}$$
$$= A_{i}\sqrt{R} - \frac{Te^{i\delta}}{1 - Re^{i\delta}}$$
$$= A_{i}\sqrt{R} - \frac{1 - e^{i\delta}}{1 - Re^{i\delta}}$$
(2-16)

And

$$A_{t} = TA_{i} \{1 + Re^{i\delta} + R^{2}e^{2i\delta} + ...\}$$
  
=  $TA_{i} \frac{1}{1 - Re^{i\delta}}$   
=  $A_{i} \frac{1 - R}{1 - Re^{i\delta}}$  (2-17)

where

$$1 + Re^{i\delta} + R^2 e^{2i\delta} + \dots = \frac{1}{1 - Re^{i\delta}}$$

In order to calculate the phase delay  $\delta$ , the path length difference between two sequential reflections (or transmissions) must be determined. Using Figure 10, the path length difference  $\Delta L$  is the perpendicular separation between the two reflections.





By using vector sums,  $\Delta L$  can be computed.

$$\Delta \mathbf{L} = \overline{\mathbf{AB}} + \overline{\mathbf{BC}}$$

$$= \frac{l\cos(2\theta)}{\cos(\theta)} + \frac{l}{\cos(\theta)}$$

$$= 2l\cos(\theta) \qquad (2-18)$$

Using Equation (2-18), the phase delay  $\delta$  can be determined.

 $\delta = (\Delta L) k$ 

$$=\frac{4\pi n \ell \cos(\theta)}{\lambda}$$
(2-19)

where  $k = \frac{2\pi n}{\lambda}$  , the propagation constant.

The intensity,  $I_t$ , of the transmitted wave can be computed.

$$I_t = A_t A_t^*$$

where  $A_t^*$  is the complex conjugate of  $A_t^*$ .

So

where

$$I_{t} = A_{i} \frac{1 - R}{1 - Re^{1\delta}} A_{i}^{*} \frac{1 - R}{1 - Re^{-i\delta}}$$
$$= A_{i} A_{i}^{*} \frac{(1 - R)^{2}}{(1 - R(e^{i\delta} + e^{-i\delta}) + R^{2})}$$
$$= A_{i} A_{i}^{*} \frac{(1 - R)^{2}}{(1 - R)^{2} - R(e^{i\delta} + e^{-i\delta})}$$
(2-20)

where  $e^{i\delta} + e^{-i\delta} = 2\cos(\delta)$  by Euler's Identity. Thus the total transmitted fractional intensity is

$$\frac{I_{t}}{I_{i}} = \frac{(1 - R)^{2}}{(1 - R)^{2} + 4R\sin^{2}(c/2)}$$

$$I_{i} = A_{i}A_{i}^{*}$$
(2-21)

Transmission will be a maximum when Equation (2-21) equals one. So:

$$\frac{(1 - R)^2}{(1 - R)^2 + 4R\sin^2(\delta/2)} = 1$$

$$4R\sin^2(\delta/2) = 0$$

$$\sin(\delta/2) = 0$$

Therefore,  $\delta/2 = m\pi$  where m is any integer.

(2-22)

Setting Equation (2-19) equal to Equation (2-22) results in

$$\frac{4\pi n \ell \cos(\theta)}{\lambda} = 2\pi m$$

$$\frac{2n \ell \cos(\theta)}{\lambda} = m = \text{integer} \qquad (2-23)$$
The frequencies,  $v_m$ , for maximum transmission (also known as resonance frequencies) can be found using Equation (2-23) and  $c = v_m \lambda$  where c is the speed of light in a vacuum and  $\lambda$  is the wavelength.

$$v_{\rm m} = \frac{\rm cm}{2\rm nl\cos(\theta)}$$
(2-24)

The spacing between two adjacent resonant frequencies is

$$\Delta v = \frac{c(m + 1)}{2nl\cos(\theta)} - \frac{cm}{2nl\cos(\theta)}$$
$$= \frac{c}{2nl\cos(\theta)}$$
(2-25)

Figure 11 shows the ideal transmission characteristics for a Fabry-Perot interferometer.



Figure 11. Ideal Transmission Characteristics (15,327)

From the resonance frequency condition, Equation (2-22), the cavity resonance condition can be found. In Figure 12, note that the perimeter of the laser gyroscope equals twice the cavity length or

P = 2L

where P is the perimeter and  $L = n \ell \cos \theta$  is the cavity length.



Figure 12. Laser Gyroscope

The cavity resonant condition is

$$\frac{P}{2} = L = \frac{m\lambda}{2}$$

or  $P = m \lambda$ 

(2-26)

Therefore, the perimeter of the gyroscope must be an integer number of wavelengths in order for the laser beam to resonate within the cavity.

The Free Spectral Range (FSR) of the cavity is the distance between the peaks in Figure 10.

$$FSR = \Delta v$$
$$= \frac{c}{2nl\cos(\theta)}$$
$$= \frac{c}{P}$$
(2-27)

for

 $2n \ell \cos(\theta) = 2L = P$ 

The width of the peaks in Figure 11 is defined as the measurement of the width for the peak at half intensity (known as full width at half maximum (FWHM)). From Equation (2-21), the phase angle  $\delta$  for FWHM occurs where the fractional transmitted intensity equals one-half. Thus

$$\frac{(1 - R)^{2}}{(1 - R)^{2} + 4R\sin^{2}(\delta/2)} = 0.5$$

$$\sin^{2}(\delta/2) = \frac{(1 - R)^{2}}{4R}$$

$$\sin(\delta/2) = \pm \frac{(1 - R)}{2\sqrt{R}} \qquad (2-28)$$

Since the width of the peak is small when compared against the FSR, use the small angle approximation for the sine of the angle is made  $\sin \alpha \simeq \alpha$ . Thus,

$$\delta/2 \simeq \pm \frac{(1 - R)}{2\sqrt{R}}$$

$$\delta \simeq \pm \frac{(1 - R)}{\sqrt{R}}$$
(2-29)

Setting Equation (2-29) equal to Equation (2-19)

$$\pm \frac{(1 - R)}{\sqrt{R}} = \frac{4\pi n l \cos(\theta)}{\lambda_{\pm}}$$

$$\nu_{\pm} = \pm \frac{c(1 - R)}{4\pi n l \cos(\theta) \sqrt{R}}$$
(2-30)

where  $\lambda_{\pm}$  and  $\nu_{\pm}$  are the wavelengths and frequencies at the half intensity points (see Figure 13). The line width  $\Delta \nu_{c}$  is

$$\Delta v_{c} = v_{+} - v_{-}$$

$$= \frac{c(1 - R)}{2\pi n \ell \cos(\theta) \sqrt{R}}$$

$$= \frac{c(1 - R)}{2\pi L \sqrt{R}} \qquad (2-31)$$



Figure 13. Peak Linewidth

The Finesse (F) of the Fabry-Perot interferometer is the measure of the resolution. The finesse is the ratio of the peak separation (FSR) to the linewidth of the peak. Thus

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{FSR}{\Delta v_c}$$
$$= \frac{c}{P} \frac{2\pi L\sqrt{R}}{c(1 - R)}$$

$$= \frac{\pi \sqrt{R}}{(1 - R)}$$
 (13,65) (2-32)

From the resonance condition Equation (2-26) and  $c = v\lambda$ 

$$P = \frac{mc}{v}$$
(2-33)

A small change ( $\Delta v$ ) in the frequency results in a small change in the perimeter  $\Delta P$ , so that

$$\Delta P = -\frac{mc}{v^2} \Delta v$$
$$\frac{\Delta P}{P} = -\frac{\Delta v}{v}$$

Using Equation (2-10) where  $\Delta P$  equals the path difference  $\Delta D$ ,

$$\Delta P = \Delta D = \frac{4A\Omega}{c}$$

thus

$$\frac{\Delta v}{v} = \frac{4A\Omega}{cP} \qquad (ignoring the - sign) \qquad (2-34)$$

$$\Delta v = \frac{4A\Omega}{P\lambda} \qquad (4.138) \qquad (2-35)$$

Thus the rotation rate  $\Omega$  can be determined from the frequency difference  $\Delta v$ .

#### Passive Ring Laser Gyroscope

The Passive Ring Laser Gyroscope (PRLG) was developed to avoid the major problem of "lock-in" encountered by the conventional active ring design. Lock-in occurs for very low rotation rates  $(10^{-4} \text{ to } 10^{-3} \text{ radians per second})$ , including the earth's rotation rate  $(7\times10^{-5} \text{ radians per second})$ . At these rates, the frequencies of the two counter rotating beams lock to a common value. For these rotation rates, the active uncorrected gyroscope is not useful. In the PRLG, the gain medium is located outside the ring cavity. The cavity will now behave as a passive ring Fabry-Perot interferometer, using the path difference between the cw and ccw beams to measure the inertial rotation, avoiding the problem of lock-in (10,478).

The PRLG was experimentally verified by Ezekiel and Balsamo in 1977. In order to distinguish the PRLG from the active ring design, a presentation of the PRLG's operation is included.

Figure 14 is a schematic of Ezekiel and Balsamo's PRLG. The laser is external to the ring cavity and provides a beam of frequency  $v_0$ . The beam is split, with each half going to an acousto-optic modulator. Each modulator shifts the incoming beam, thereby producing an independent, controllable frequency. By using two modulators instead of two lasers, this design avoids the problem of uncorrelated phase jitter (10,478).

or



.

•

1.1.4

.

AOM<sub>1</sub> is driven at a set frequency  $v_1$  by the voltage control oscillator (VCO). The output frequency is  $v_0 + v_1$  (as will be shown in the next section). This beam travels the cw path within the ring cavity. The piezoelectric transducer (PZT) feedback loop locks the cavity cw path length so that  $v_0 + v_1$  is the resonance frequency.

AOM<sub>2</sub> is driven at another frequency  $v_2$  by the Frequency Synthesizer within the difference amplified feedback loop. The frequency  $v_2$  is variable, and is determined by the electronic circuitry in the ccw path length. The frequency out of AOM<sub>2</sub> is  $v_0$ +  $v_2$ , and is the resonance frequency for the ccw path. As the PRLG rotates, the ccw path length will change, thus causing  $v_2$  to change.

The inertial rotation of the PRLG is calculated from the difference of the cw and ccw resonance frequencies. So,

$$\Delta v = v_1 + v_0 - (v_2 + v_0)$$
$$= \frac{4A\Omega}{\lambda P}$$

The modulators serve an important function in this type of PRLG. The frequency difference between the two modulators will be measured in megahertz. Without these modulators, the frequency difference between the two counter-rotating beams may be on the order of  $10^{12}$  hertz (depending on rotation). Thus, smaller rotation rates can be measured by using acousto-optic modulators.

The laser gyroscope at Seiler Laboratory is also a PRLG. However, its construction is somewhat different from Ezekiel and Balsamo's PRLG, as seen in Figures 15 and 16.





Figure 16. Schematic of Seiler PRLG

The beam out of the helium-neon laser is split by means of a beam splitting cube prism. Each beam is passed through an acousto-optic modulator that shifts the frequency. The beams are then passed through a pair of cylindrical lenses with orthogonal longitudinal axes to force the curvature of the incoming beam to match the curvature of the beam inside the ring.

The AOM in the cw path is held at a fixed 40 MHz, while the PZT changes the cavity length for resonance of the cw signal. The AOM in the ccw path has a varying drive frequency to maintain resonance within the ccw path.

Acousto-Optics

In 1922, Brillouin predicted the diffraction of light by sound waves. By 1932, Debye and Sears, as well as Lucas and Biguard had experimentally verified Brillouin's theories. (13,353; 15,594; 16, 48). Today high frequency acoustic devices are used to control the frequency, direction and intensity of laser beams. In the laser gyroscope, these modulators frequency shift the input laser beam.

The acousto-optic modulators depend upon varing densities within the modulator medium to produce the diffraction. A sound wave is injected into the medium, composed of high quality flint glass (20,1). The sinusoidal pattern of the traveling sound wave produces rarefractions and compressions of the medium, producing a sinusoidal density pattern. As the density changes, the index of refraction also changes.

Figure 17 illustrates the variation of the index of refraction at some instant of time for the velocity  $V_{e}$  equaling the speed of

sound within the medium. The sinusoidal pattern of the index of refraction moves through the medium at speed  $V_g$ .





A laser beam incident on the sound wave at some angle  $\theta_i$ diffracts off the sound wave at an angle  $\theta_r$ , as shown in Figure 18.



Figure 18. Acoustic Diffraction (13,339)

The waves are separated by a distance  $\lambda_s$  (the wavelength of the sound wave) and move at the velocity  $V_s$ . In order for the diffracted light to form a coherent nondiffuse beam, all the points along the plane of diffraction must add in phase. Therefore, the path difference must be a multiple of the beam wavelength.

$$y(\cos(\theta_i) - \cos(\theta_r)) = \frac{m\lambda}{n}$$
 (2-36)

where  $y(\cos \theta_i - \cos \theta_r)$  is the path difference, m is any integer, and  $\lambda/n$  is the beam wavelength in the medium (13,339). Equation (2-36) must be satisfied for all the points along the path difference at the same time. The only solution is for m = 0, thereby requiring the incident angle  $\theta_i$  to equal the diffracted angle  $\theta_r$ .

Another requirement for the diffracted beam is that the diffraction from any two acoustic phase fronts must add up in phase along the direction of the beam (13,339). From Figure 19, the phase fronts add in phase if the optical path difference is equal to one optical wavelength.

35



Figure 19. Acoustic Path Difference (13,340)

The path difference is the magnitude of the vector sum AB+BC. Thus

$$2\lambda_{s}\sin(\theta) = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$
 (13,340) (2-37)

where

 $\lambda_{s}$  = sound wavelength

 $\lambda/n$  = optical wavelength

 $\theta$  = incident angle

The frequency of the laser beam out of the modulator is Doppler shifted by the drive frequency of the AOM. The Doppler shift is

$$v = v_0 \left(1 \pm \frac{V}{c}\right) \tag{2-38}$$

where

v = Doppler shifted frequency

 $v_{o}$  = unshifted frequency

V = longitudinal velocity in medium

Thus the change in frequency  $\Delta v$  is

$$\Delta v = v_0 \left(1 + \frac{V}{c}\right) - v_0 \left(1 - \frac{V}{c}\right)$$
$$= 2v_0 \frac{V}{c} \qquad (2-39)$$

Within the medium

 $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{\lambda}_{\mathbf{s}} \tag{2-40a}$ 

$$V = V_{s} \sin(\theta)$$
 (2-40b)

$$c = \lambda v_0 / n \qquad (2-40c)$$

where

 $\lambda_s$  = wavelength of sound

$$v_s = frequency of sound$$

 $\lambda/n$  = wavelength of light within the medium

Substitute Equations (2-37) and (2-40 a thru c) into Equation (2-39) to obtain

$$\Delta v = 2v_{0} \frac{nV_{s} \sin(\theta)}{\lambda v_{0}}$$
$$= \frac{2v_{s}\lambda_{s} n\sin(\theta)}{\lambda}$$
$$= v_{s} \qquad (2-41)$$

Thus, the change in frequency of the laser beam is equal to the drive frequency of the modulator. Note also that the frequency change is independent of the beam's incident angle.

#### III ACOUSTO-OPTIC MODULATOR OPERATION

## Angular Shift Versus Drive Frequency

Acousto-optic modulators not only change the frequency of a laser beam, they also can change the direction of the beam. This section investigates the angular shift of the output beam as a function of the drive frequency of the modulator.

Figure 20 illustrates the diffraction of a beam. If the wavefronts are moving away from the incident beam, the diffracted beam will be downshifted in frequency and will move in the appropriate direction of the wavefronts. For wavefronts moving into the incident beam, the diffracted beam will be upshifted in frequency and will move in the same direction as the wavefronts (16,49).



Figure 20. Beam Diffraction (16,49)

The critical angle at which diffraction will occur is found in Equation (2-37).

$$2\lambda_{s}\sin(\theta) = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$
  
 $\sin(\theta) = \frac{\lambda}{2n\lambda_{s}}$ 

This angle is referred to as the Acoustic Bragg angle, as acoustic diffraction is similar to x-ray diffraction developed by Bragg in crystals.

The relationship between the deflection angle and the acousto-optic drive frequency can be found from the momentum vector diagram in Figure 21.



Figure 21. Momentum Vector Diagram (13,350)

Initially, the beam is diffracted with an angle  $\theta$  satisfying Equation (2-37). The magnitude of the momentum vector  $k_s$  is  $k_s = 2 \pi v_s / v_s$ . Changing the drive frequency by  $\Delta v_s$  changes the momentum vector by  $\Delta k_s = 2 \pi (\Delta v_s) / v_s$ . Since  $\theta$  and the anglular shift  $\Delta \theta$  are small

$$\Delta \theta = \frac{\Delta k_{s}}{k + \Delta k}$$

$$\approx \frac{\Delta k_{s}}{k}$$
(3-1)

where  $\Delta k \approx 10^{-7} k$  (13,350).

Thus

$$\Delta \theta = \frac{2\pi \Delta v_{s} \lambda}{V_{s} 2\pi n}$$
$$= \frac{\lambda \Delta v_{s}}{n V_{s}}$$
(3-2)

The angular shift is directly proportional to the frequency shift of the acousto-optic modulator.

## Mirror Reflectivity Variance

Varying the drive frequency of the modulators causes angular shifts of the output beam. Mirror reflectivity and gyroscope finesse are dependent upon these incident angles. Slight angular deviations may degrade the effectiveness of the mirrors and thereby reduce the finesse of the gyroscope.

In order to determine the reflectivity R as a function of incident angle  $\theta$ , the reflectivity of multilayer films will be investigated

as the cavity mirrors are formed by multilayers. Today, any optical surface can be manufactured with variable transmittance and reflectance by using multilayer film techniques (18,95). An example of a multilayer film can be seen in Figure 22, where  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are different indices of refraction.

i		
	<sup>n</sup> 1	
	<sup>n</sup> 2	
	<sup>n</sup> 1	
	<sup>n</sup> 2	
	n <sub>1</sub>	

Figure 22. Multilayer Film

Multilayer films consist of many single dielectric layers having various indices of refraction. To simplify the operation, first consider a single layer, having thickness d and index of refraction  $n_1$ . The layer separates two infinitely thick media of indices  $n_0$  and  $n_2$ , as seen in Figure 23.



Figure 23. Single Dielectric Layer (18,97)

A normally incident light wave, amplitude  $E_0$ , strikes the interface between  $n_0$  and  $n_1$ . Part of the wave will be reflected with amplitude  $E_0$ ', part will be transmitted with amplitude  $E_1$ . The transmitted wave will travel through  $n_1$  and strike the interface between  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ . Here, the reflected wave will have amplitude  $E_1$ ', the transmitted wave will have amplitude  $E_1$ ', the transmitted wave will have amplitude  $E_2$ . Boundary conditions at each interface require that the electric and magnetic fields be continuous (18,95; 19,158).

At the first interface, the boundary conditions are (18,95):

$$E_{o} + E_{o}' = E_{1} + E_{1}'$$
 (3-3)

$$n_{o}E_{o} - n_{o}E_{o}' = n_{1}E_{1} - n_{1}E_{1}'$$
 (3-4)

The boundary conditions at the second interface are (18,95):

$$E_1 e^{ikd} + E_1 e^{-ikd} = E_2$$
 (3-5)

$$n_1 E_1 e^{ikd} - n_1 E_1 e^{-ikd} = n_2 E_2$$
 (3-6)

With some algebraic manipulation,  $E_1$  and  $E_1$  can be eliminated from Equations (3-3) thru (3-6). The resulting equations are:

$$1 + \frac{E_0'}{E_0} = (\cos(kd) - i \frac{n_2}{n_1} \sin(kd)) \frac{E_2}{E_0}$$
 (3-7)

$$n_{o}(1 - \frac{E_{o}}{E_{o}}) = (-in_{1}sin(kd) + n_{2}cos(kd))\frac{E_{2}}{E_{o}}$$
 (3-8)

where

$$e^{ikd} - e^{-ikd} = 2isin(kd)$$

Equations (3-7) and (3-8) can be rewritten into matrix format (18,96).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ n_{o} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -n_{o} \end{bmatrix} \frac{E_{o}^{\dagger}}{E_{o}} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(kd) & \frac{-i}{n_{1}}\sin(kd) \\ -in_{1}\sin(kd) & \cos(kd) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ n_{2} \end{bmatrix} \frac{E_{2}}{E_{o}} \quad (3-9)$$

Equation (3-9) can be further simplified

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ n_{o} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -n_{d} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{\underline{M}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ n_{2} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}$$
(3-10)

where  $r = E_{0}^{\dagger}/E_{0}$  = the reflection coefficient of the layer

 $t = E_2/E_0$  = the transmission coefficient of the layer

$$\underline{\mathbf{M}} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(kd) & \frac{-i}{n_1}\sin(kd) \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \text{the transfer matrix} \\ -in_1\sin(kd) & \cos(kd) \end{bmatrix}$$

or characteristic matrix of the layer (18,95; 15,59).

If the wave is not normally incident upon the first interface, the transfer matrix  $\underline{M}$  for a transverse electric wave becomes

$$\underline{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(knd\cos(\theta)) & \frac{-i}{n\cos(\theta)}\sin(knd\cos(\theta)) \\ -in\cos(\theta)\sin(knd\cos(\theta)) & \cos(knd\cos(\theta)) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3-11)  
(15,58)

The multilayer film is composed of many such layers. The transfer matrix for the entire set is the product of each individual matrix. Thus for N total layers the transfer matrix becomes

$$\underline{\mathbf{M}} = \underline{\mathbf{M}}_1 \underline{\mathbf{M}}_2 \underline{\mathbf{M}}_3 \cdots \underline{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{N}}$$
(3-12)

Since most multilayer films are periodic, Equation (3-12) further reduces to

$$\underline{M}_{\text{total}} = \underline{M} \underline{M} \underline{M} \dots \underline{M} = (\underline{M})^{\mathbf{N}}$$
(3-13)

where M is the transfer matrix for each periodic set.

Many multilayer films are composed of periodic double layers - $(n_1n_2)(n_1n_2)...$  In this case, the transfer matrix <u>M</u> is the product of the matrices <u>M</u><sub>1</sub> and <u>M</u><sub>2</sub>.

$$\underline{\mathbf{M}} = \underline{\mathbf{M}}_{1}\underline{\mathbf{M}}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\beta_{1} & \frac{-1}{P_{1}}\sin\beta_{1} \\ -\mathbf{i}P_{1}\sin\beta_{1} & \cos\beta_{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\beta_{2} & \frac{-\mathbf{i}}{P_{2}}\sin\beta_{2} \\ -\mathbf{i}P_{2}\sin\beta_{2} & \cos\beta_{2} \end{bmatrix} (3-14)$$

where

$$\beta_{1} = k_{1}n_{1}d_{1} \cos \theta_{1}$$

$$\beta_{2} = k_{2}n_{2}d_{2} \cos \theta_{2}$$

$$P_{1} = n_{1} \cos \theta_{1}$$

$$P_{2} = n_{2} \cos \theta_{2}$$
(15,67)

· . • . • . • . •

Evaluation of the total transfer matrix  $\underline{M}_{total}$  is tedious at best. However, using matrix theory and the fact that  $\underline{M}$  is an unimodular matrix (the determinant of the matrix equals one),  $\underline{M}_{total}$ can be solved (15,67).

$$\underline{M}_{total} = \begin{bmatrix} AU_{N-1}(a) - U_{N-2}(a) & BU_{N-1}(a) \\ CU_{N-1}(a) & DU_{N-1}(a) - U_{N-2}(a) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3-15)

where

N. K. C. C. C.

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \underline{M}$$
  

$$a = \frac{1}{2} (A+D)$$
  

$$U_{N}(x) = \frac{\sin((N+1)\cos^{-1}(x))}{\sqrt{1 - x^{2}}}$$
 are the Chebyshev polynomials

of the second kind.

Once  $\underline{M}_{total}$  has been evaluated, the reflection coefficient r can be calculated.

$$\mathbf{r} = \frac{(\mu_{11} + \mu_{12}P_{\rm L})P_{\rm o} - (\mu_{21} + \mu_{22}P_{\rm L})}{(\mu_{11} + \mu_{12}P_{\rm L})P_{\rm o} + (\mu_{21} + \mu_{22}P_{\rm L})}$$
(3-16)

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11} & \mu_{12} \\ \mu_{21} & \mu_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \underline{M}_{total}$$

$$P_{0} = n_{0} \cos \theta_{0}$$

$$P_{L} = n_{L} \cos \theta_{L}$$

$$n_{0} = \text{index of refraction of air}$$

$$n_{r} = \text{index of refraction of the substrate that holds the}$$

multilayer films (15,60).

Knowing the reflection coefficient  $r_r$  the reflectivity R can be determined:

$$R = rr^*$$
 (3-17)

where r\* is the complex conjugate of r.

Thus

$$R = \left| \frac{(\mu_{11} + \mu_{12}P_L)P_o - (\mu_{21} + \mu_{22}P_L)}{(\mu_{11} + \mu_{12}P_L)P_o + (\mu_{21} + \mu_{22}P_L)} \right|^2$$
(3-18)

A numerical result for the reflectivity can be obtained using Snell's Law to determine  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_L$  from  $\theta_0$  (14,21).

$$n_0^{\sin\theta} = n_1^{\sin\theta} = n_2^{\sin\theta} = n_L^{\sin\theta}$$

For a Fabry-Perot interferometer the layers are arranged so that the first and last layer are of the same material,  $(n_1n_2)(n_1n_2)$  $\dots (n_1n_2)(n_1)$  (15,69). The total transfer matrix <u>M</u>total for

this set is the product of Equation (3-15) times  $\underline{M}_1$ . So that

$$\underline{M} = \begin{bmatrix} AU_{N-1}(a) - U_{N-2}(a) & BU_{N-1}(a) \\ CU_{N-1}(a) & DU_{N-1}(a) - U_{N-2}(a) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\beta_1 & \frac{-i}{P_1}\sin\beta_1 \\ -iP_1\sin\beta_1 & \cos\beta_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11} & \mu_{12} \\ \mu_{21} & \mu_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\beta_1 & \frac{-i}{P_1}\sin\beta_1 \\ -iP_1\sin\beta_1 & \cos\beta_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11}^{\cos\beta_{1}} - iP_{1}^{\mu_{12}} \sin\beta_{1} & \frac{-i}{P_{1}} \mu_{11}^{\sin\beta_{1}} + \mu_{12}^{\cos\beta_{1}} \\ \mu_{12}^{\cos\beta_{1}} - iP_{1}^{\mu_{22}} \sin\beta_{1} & \frac{-i}{P_{1}} \mu_{21}^{\sin\beta_{1}} + \mu_{22}^{\cos\beta_{1}} \end{bmatrix} (3-19)$$

The reflection coefficient r can be calculated using Equation (3-16) and Equation (3-19) as  $\underline{M}_{total}$ . Finally, the reflectivity R can be calculated using Equations (3-17) and (3-18).

#### IV. EXPERIMENTAL

#### Angular Shift Versus Drive Frequency

Within the laser gyroscope, the acousto-optic modulators are used to frequency shift the incoming laser beam. However, the direction of the output beam is also shifted due to the beam's diffraction off the acoustic wave. Even though the angular deviations will be small, they could effect mirror reflectivity and finesse of the gyroscope.

To experimentally determine the deflection angle as a function of the drive frequency, an acousto-optic modulator (AOM-40, # 2951) was positioned in front of a helium-neon laser as shown in Figures 24, 25 and 26. The AOM was adjusted so that the ratio of the intensity for the first diffracted order at 40 MHz signal to the intensity of the unmodulated signal would be approximately 85 percent. This arrangement replicates the input configuration within the laser gyroscope.



Figure 24. AOM Experimental Set-Up



. . . .

7

.

1. • • •

-

...

Figure 25. Equipment Set-up



Figure 26. Modulator Without Top Case

The drive frequency of the AOM was varied between 30 MHz to 50 MHz in 1 MHz increments. The distance between the top of the first order diffracted beam and the top of the zeroeth order beam was then measured. The angular shift was then calculated using

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r}\boldsymbol{\theta} \tag{4-1}$$

where x = distance between the orders

r = distance from the AOM output to the screen = 948 cm

 $\theta$  = angular shift

From Equation (3-2) the angular shift can be found by substituting in values for the drive frequency  $\Delta v_s$ , the wavelength  $\lambda$ , the index of refraction n and the speed of sound  $V_s$  within the AOM. Thus

> $\Delta \theta = \frac{\lambda}{nV_s} \Delta v_s$ = 9.60797 x 10<sup>-11</sup>  $\Delta v_s$  radians (4-2)

where

$$\lambda = 6328 \text{ A}$$
  
n = 1.68445  
V<sub>z</sub> = 3.91 x 10<sup>3</sup> m/sec (17)

0

The manufacturer specification for the AOM-40 gives an equation for the angular shift of the first diffracted order (20,2).

$$\Delta \theta = (.26 \text{ sec/km}) \lambda \Delta v_s$$
  
= 1.64528 x 10<sup>-10</sup>  $\Delta v_c$  radians (4-3)

Figure 27 is a plot of the angular shifts versus drive frequencies for the experimental results, and Equation (4-3). The angular deflections could be measured to 52.7 microradians, or a 0.5 millimeter change in position of the first diffracted order. The differences between the experimental values and the results of Equation (4-3) were determined. The mean and the standard deviation values were then calculated for these differences. The mean value or the average difference between the manufacturer specifications and experimental results was -0.1869 milliradians, and the standard deviation was 0.1723 milliradians.



Figure 27. Angular Shift versus Frequency (# 2951)

Using the same procedures, a Coherent AOM (#851) was examined for its angular shift properties. The manufacturer specification for this AOM gives an angular separation of a four milliradians at 40 megahertz (21,2).

Assuming a linear relationship, the equation relating frequency to the angular shift is

$$\Delta \theta = 1.85 \times 10^{-10} \Delta v_a \text{ radians} \qquad (4-4)$$

Figure 28 is a plot of the angular shifts versus drive frequencies for the experimental results, and Equation (4-4). The mean value for this modulator was 0.0841 milliradians, and the standard deviation was 0.1068 milliradians.



Frequency in Megahertz

Figure 28. Angular Shift versus Frequency (# 851)

Noting that the data obtained from the first AOM-40 (#2951) did not follow the manufacturer specifications for the higher frequencies (40-50 MHz), a second AOM-40 (#1555) was tested. Figure 29 is a plot of the experimental data and Equation (4-3). The mean value is -0.0113 milliradians and the standard deviation is 0.1176 milliradians. Plots for the remaining modulators can be found in Appendix A. Table I gives the mean value and standard deviations for these modulators.



Frequency in Megahertz



# Table I Mean and Standard Deviation Values

Modulator	Mean (mrads)	Standard Deviation (mrads)
863	0.1496	0.1098
864	0.1183	0.1143
866	0.1797	0.1283
867	0.0538	0.0958
1558	0.0231	0,2005
2543	0.0226	0.1132

## Reflectivity Determination

The mirror reflectivities determine the amount of light transmitted to the detectors, and is an important consideration in component selection. The reflectivity of the flat mirrors can be calculated using computer program "Reflect" (See Appendix B), and the values of angular shift determined experimentally. Within the gyroscope cavity, the mirrors are aligned so that the beam will have a 45 degree angle of incidence. Therefore, the angular shift values must be added to .785399 radians ( $45^{\circ}$ ) to obtain the "new" angle of incidence.

The two flat mirrors within the gyroscope cavity determine the finesse of the perfect gyroscope. Each mirror is composed of periodic quarter-wavelength layers. The index of refraction of the first layer is 2.35, while the index of refraction of the second layer is 1.46. There are seventeen total layers, or eight pairs of the two layers and a final first layer. The substrate holding the layers has an index of refraction 1.52 (22).

Tables II and III are listings of the mirror reflectivities for the various angular shifts for modulators **#** 1555 and **#** 851. Notice that the reflectivity values only change in the sixth to seventh decimal place. Reflectivities for the remaining modulators may be found in Appendix C.

The perfect gyroscope would have a finesse of 7245 using Equation (2-32) and R = 0.999567. However, the Seiler gyroscope has an average finesse of approximately 100. (This lower value may be due to imperfect cavity alignment, or non-singular frequency output of the laser.) Gyroscope rotation can be determined even with a finesse of 100.

# Table II. Mirror Reflectivities (# 1555)

·...

Angle (mrads)	Drive Frequency (MHz)	<u>Reflectivity</u>
.790257	30	.999567509
.790506	31	<b>.</b> 999567091
.790653	32	<b>•999</b> 567330
.790766	33	<b>.</b> 999567389
.791026	34	<b>.</b> 999567091
.791105	35	<b>.999567</b> 330
.791275	36	• 999567389
.791446	37	.999567389
.791614	38	<b>.999567</b> 330
.791761	39	.999567270
.791851	40	.999567509
.792009	41	•999566972
.792134	42	•999567330
•792235	43	•999567032
•792315	44	•999567270
.792496	45	•999567688
.792586	46	<b>.99956703</b> 2
.792744	47	<b>•999567568</b>
.792868	48	.999567807
.792970	49	•999567568
.793072	50	•999567270 <sup>°</sup>

# Table III. Mirror Reflectivities (# 851)

N. 1997 (1998)

· .

Angle (mrads)	Drive Frequency (MHz)	Reflectivity
.791052	30	.999567211
.791252	31	.999567509
.791495	32	.999566734
.791758	33	.999566972
.791980	34	.999567389
.792117	35	•999567330
.792254	36	.999567270
.792370	37	.999567747
.792497	38	.999567389
.792592	39	.999567509
.792866	40	.999567509
.793024	41	.999567151
.793246	42	.999566913
.793435	43	.999567747
.793625	44	.999566972
.793794	45	.999567151
.793952	46	.999567032
.794089	47	<b>.99956697</b> 2
.794237	48	.999567211
.794374	49	.999567091
.794511	50	.999567688

### Beam Profile

The laser gyroscope relies upon a single laser mode for proper operation. The helium-neon input laser produces a  $TEM_{OO}$  mode, Gaussian-shaped beam. Since the acousto-optic modulators produce frequency shifts and angular deviations, they may also change the beam profile. These changes could seriously affect the gyroscope's operation.

Figure 30 shows the schematic layout for determining the beam profile. A small pinhole, 25 microns in diameter, was positioned on the detector head, and the head was shielded from any other light source. The point of highest irradiance was determined, and the detector was then moved horizontally to obtain the horizontal profile. The vertical profiles were found in a similar manner.



Figure 30. Beam Profile Schematic
The beam profile out of an unmodulated AOM-40 (# 2951) was Gaussian in both the horizontal and vertical directions. (Figures 31a and 31b.) The AOM-40 was then driven at 35 MHz, 40 MHz, and 45 MHz, and profiles were taken. All the vertical profiles were Gaussian; however the horizontal profiles were dramatically different (Figures 32 thru 34).







b. Vertical Profile

Figure 31. Beam Profiles of Unmodulated Beam



• • • • • • • • • • • •

. . . .





Figure 32. Beam Profiles (# 2951) at 35 Mhertz



References and







b. Vertical Profile

Figure 33. Beam Profiles (# 2951) at 40 Mhertz









b. Vertical Profile

Figure 34. Beam Profiles (# 2951) at 45 Mhertz

Visual inspection of the acousto-optic did not yield any noticeable flaws or deviations in the glass or PZT. The acousto-optic glass may have some internal flaw localized in the plane of propertation of the first order diffracted beam. The flaw may even be only microns (or less) in size, but it produces noticeable effects. Changing the drive frequency changed the beam direction, thus placing the beam in different regions of the flaw and producing the different profiles. This may account for the large errors in the angular deflection noted in the previous section.

Other acousto-optic modulators were tested to see if they altered the beam. Profiles for AOM-40 # 1555 and Coherent # 851 are included in this section (Figures 35 and 36). Profiles for the remaining modulators may be found in Appendix D. All these modulators produced Gaussian beams in both the vertical and horizontal directions. The vertical profiles are wider due to the spreading of the beam caused by the traveling acoustic wave. This spreading elongates the diffracted orders, possibly requiring the use of larger mirrors or focusing lenses to keep the beam within a large gyroscope cavity.



.

.









b. Vertical Profile

Figure 35. Beam Profiles (# 1555) at 40 Mhertz

.









And Contractor Incom





Figure 36. Beam Profiles (# 851) at 40 Mhertz

### Temperature Sensitivity

The temperature of the laboratory housing the laser gyroscope is maintained at  $68 \pm 3$  degrees Fahrenheit. The gyroscope is very sensitive to these temperature deviations, as the path length changes due to thermal expansion and contraction of the supporting structure. In order to determine the effects of the thermal changes on the acousto-optic modulators, the two commercial models were subjected to extremes of heat and cold.

The modulator was surrounded by an insulating box constructed of three-inch thick styrofoam (40 x 40 x 38 cm<sup>3</sup>). Two holes were cut into the box to allow the laser beam to pass through the modulator. A temperature sensing circuit (developed within the laboratory) was then placed next to the modulator. The temperature of the box's interior was increased using a 100 watt light bulb, and was decreased using solid  $O_{2}$  (dry ice).

The output of the sensing circuit was connected to a digital voltmeter. As the circuit measured the temperature, the voltmeter displayed the reading in volts. This value was then converted to degrees Fahrenheit using Figure 37 and extrapolating.



Temperature in Degrees Fahrenheit

Figure 37. Temperature versus Voltage for Sensing Circuit

The modulator drive frequency was set at 40 Mhz. The angular shift of the first diffracted order was then measured for the different temperatures. The maximum temperature achieved was 243.3 degrees, the minimum temperature was 48.6 degrees.

The maximum and minimum temperatures were each maintained for thirty minutes to allow the interior of the box to reach a quasi-equilibrium. Angular readings were taken again to determine the "long term effects" of the two temperature extremes.

The minimum angular change detectable was 52.7 microradians, or a change in position of the first diffracted order by .5 millimeters. However, for both commercial models, the angular readings taken at the various temperatures were identical to the reading taken at room temperature.

To determine the effects of temperature on the modulators within the gyroscope cavity, a piece of dry ice was placed on the cw modulator. The amplitude of the cw beam was immediately reduced, but the output did not shift in frequency. After twenty minutes, the ice was removed. The amplitude of the cw beam immediately increased. Since the temperature of the modulator was not instantaneously increased, other factors must influence the output. These factors may include mechanical stresses and strains produced by the weight of the ice, and condensation of water vapor on the glass window.

### V. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

Alignment of the acousto-optic modulator is critical to the modulator's performance. The intensity of the output beam depends upon the position of the modulator with respect to the input laser beam and rotation of the modulator will produce beams of varying intensities. The efficiency of the modulator is measured as the ratio of the intensity of the first diffracted order to the intensity of the unmodulated beam. Therefore, the highest efficiency can only be obtained if the first diffracted order is of maximum intensity.

The modulator alignment should be accomplished with the aid of some photodetector device. The human eye can roughly align the modulator by comparing the intensity of the first diffracted order to that of the zeroeth order. However, due to the intensity of the laser light, a photodetector device should then be used to sharply align the modulator for maximum output in the first diffracted order.

The laser gyroscope uses the  $\text{TEM}_{OO}$  mode in both the cw and the ccw directions. Therefore, the output of the modulators must be Gaussian. The modulators produced by Coherent Associates each had Gaussian profiles in the vertical and horizontal directions. Of the four AOM-40 modulators, three also displayed Gaussian profiles in the two directions. However, as was seen in Chapter IV, the horizontal profile of AOM-40  $\ddagger$  2951 was definitely not Gaussian. Therefore to ensure that the modulators do not distort the beam, each should be tested prior to installation.

The relationship between angular shift and drive frequency was investigated during this study. The experimental results coincide with manufacturer specifications. The AOM-40 models had a maximum mean of 0.023 milliradians and a maximum standard deviation of 0.2005 milliradians. The Coherent models had a maximum mean of 0.1797 milliradians and a maximum standard deviation of 0.1282 milliradians. Additionally, the beam spot size on the screen was approximately 2.5 centimeters in diameter, and the first diffracted order (at 40 Mhz) was 7.1 centimeters above the zeroeth order for the Coherent models, and 6.2 centimeters for the Intra Action models (for 948 centimeters between modulator and screen).

Using the angles obtained during the angular shift measurement, the reflectivities of the two flat mirrors were calculated. Since the reflectivity values only varied in the sixth to seventh decimal place, the mirror reflectivity does not affect the operation of the gyroscope. However, identical mirror coatings should be obtained for the large gyroscope to produce similar results.

The acousto-optic modulators were subjected to temperature extremes. The maximum and minimum temperatures achieved were 243.3 and 48.6 degrees Fahrenheit respectively. The angular shift of the modulators was measured for the temperature range. There were no angular deviations found from the initial angular measurements taken at room temperature (approximately 71 degrees). The modulators were also subjected to a thirty-minute "burn-in" test at both the maximum and the minimum temperatures. Again, no deviations were seen. Testing the temperature effects of the modulator within the gyroscope cavity yielded inconclusive results.

#### VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based upon the observations made during this investigation, the following recommendations are proposed for use and further study:

1. Modulator alignment should be performed using a photodetector device to ensure maximum output efficiency.

2. Due to the large spot size (2.5 centimeter diameter for a distance of 948 centimeters between modulator and screen), mirror size must be considered when purchasing mirrors for the large gyroscope. To achieve high mirror reflectivity, mirror coatings must be identical to those of the cavity mirrors. Focusing the beam may allow the present mirrors to be used.

3. Different models of acousto-optic modulators should be tested for improved operation and increased efficiency. New modulators are now available that are smaller and have wider frequency ranges.

4. Temperature testing of the modulators within the gyroscope should be attempted to determine deviations caused by thermal changes.

5. Modulator vibration testing should be accomplished to identify deviations produced by such movements.

6. Linear combinations of modulators should be tested. Smaller frequency shifts may be obtained using two modulators, one to upshift the laser beam with one frequency and the other to downshift the beam by a slightly different frequency as seen in Figure 38. Extremely small rotation rates may then be measured, since the frequency difference will also be extremely small. The angular deviation of the output beam will likewise be reduced. This combination may enable

the present gyroscope to measure earth rate, eliminating the need for the large gyroscope.

7



Figure 38. Linear Combination of Modulators (few Hz Shift)

A second linear combination aligns the modulators to both upshift the beam, as seen in Figure 39. The final output frequency shift will be approximately twice the shift of one modulator, or an 80 Mhertz shift. The angular deviation will likewise double. However, one must consider the increased alignment problems due to the larger angular deviation prior to installation in the gyroscope.





#### Bibliography

1. Greenstein, Howard. "Progress on Laser Gyros Stimulates New Interest," Laser Focus, February 1978, pp. 60-67.

2. Stowell, W. Kent, Robert W. McAdory, and Robert Ziernicki. "Air Force Applications for Optical Rotation Rate Sensors," <u>Proceedings of</u> the Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers, 157: 166-171.

3. Coccoli, J.D., J. Feldman and S. Helfant. "Assessment of 1980 Laser Gyro Technology," Presented at the Fourteenth Joint Service's Exchange for Inertial Systems, Clearwater Beach, Florida, 18 November 1980.

4. Aronowitz, Frederick. "The Laser Gyro," <u>Laser Applications</u>, 1:133 - 199, Academic Press, New York, 1971.

5. Coccoli, J.D., J. Feldman and S. Helfant. <u>State-of-the-Art Laser</u> <u>Gyro Technology</u>, Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, Inc., Technical Report, November 1980.

6. Klass, Philip J. "Laser Gyros Find Increased Applications," Aviation Week and Space Technology, 107: 44-47, July 25, 1977.

7. Post, E.J. "Sagnac Effect," <u>Review of Modern Physics</u>, 39, #2: 475-493, April 1967.

8. Rosenthal, Aldoph H. "Regenerative Circulatory Multiple-Beam Interferometry for the Study of Light-Propagating Effects," <u>Journal of</u> the Optical Society of America, 52, #10: 1143-1148, October 1962.

9. Macek, W.M. and D.T. Davis. "Rotation Rate Sensing With Traveling-Wave Ring Lasers," <u>Applied Physics Letters</u>, 2, **#3: 67-68**, February 1, 1963.

10. Ezekiel, S. and S.R. Balsamo. "Passive Ring Resonator Laser Gyroscope," Applied Physics Letters, 30, #9: 478-480, May 1, 1977.

11. "Laser Inertial Rotation Systems," <u>Proceedings of the Society of</u> Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers, Vol. 157.

12. Hecht, Eugene and Alfred Zajac. <u>Optics</u>, Addison-Wesley Publishing, Reading, Massachusetts, 1974.

13. Yariv, Amnon. <u>Introduction to Optical Electronics</u>, Second Edition, Holt Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1976.

14. Klein, Miles V. Optics, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1970.

15. Born, Max and Emil Wolf. <u>Principles of Optics</u>, Fourth Edition, Pergamon Press, New York, 1970.

16. Korpel, Andrianus. "Acousto-Optics, A Review of Fundamentals," Proceedings of the IEEE, 69, #1, January 1981. 17. Intra Action Corporation, Private Communications, 30 June 1982.

. . . . .

18. Fowles, Grant R. <u>Introduction to Modern Optics</u>, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York, 1968.

19. Marion, Jerry B. <u>Classical Electromagnetic Radiation</u>, Academic Press, New York, 1965.

20. <u>Model AOM-40 Acousto-Optic Light Modulator</u>, Instruction Manual, Intra Action Corp., Bellwood, Ill.

21. <u>Model 305 Acousto-Optic Modulaton System</u>, Advertising Publication, Coherent Associates, Danbury, Ct.

22. CVI Corporation, Private Communications, 30 June 1982.

### APPENDIX A

. .

CALL AND REAL AND REA

.

Angular Shifts



. .

Figure 40. Angular Shift versus Drive Frequency (# 863)



Frequency in Megahertz

Figure 41. Angular Shift versus Drive Frequency (# 864)

0 T T



Frequency in Megahertz





Figure 43. Angular Shift versus Drive Frequency (# 867)



ĺ

- - -





Figure 45. Angular Shift versus Drive Frequency (# 2543)

### APPENDIX B

Computer Program "Reflect"

·. ·. ·

#### PROGRAM REFLECT

```
C
  CALCULATES REFLECTIVITY OF MIRRORS FOR VARYING ANGLES OF INCIDENCE
С
  THETAI-INCIDENT ANGLE
C
  THETA2-ANGLE INTO MATERIAL OF INDEX N2
C
C THETA3-ANGLE INTO MATERIAL OF INDEX N3
C
  THETA4-ANGLE INTO SUBSTRATE-INDEX N4
C THERE ARE & PALRED LAYERS OF N2-N3, 1 EXTRA LAYER OF #2
C UG, UT ARE CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIALS OF 2ND KIND
C AUI1, HUI2, HU21, HU22 ARE ELEMENTS OF TOTAL TRANSFER MATRIX
C ALL LAYERS ARE OUARTER WAVELENGTH
С
        REAL MIRROR, NUIL, NU12, NU21, NU22, N1, N2, N3, N4
        PI=1.570796327
        N1=1...
        NZ=2.35
        N3=1.46
        N4=1.52
        TYPE 100
 10
        FORMAT(1X, "ENTER THETAL VALUE IN RADIANS, END WITH 10.", $)
 100
        ACCEPT 110, THETAL
 110
        FORMAT(F10.9)
        A2=(N1/#2)+6IN(THETA1)
        A3=(N1/N3)#SIN(THETA1)
        A4=(N1/N4)#SIN(THETA1)
        PRINT#, A2, A3, A4
        IF (THETA1.EQ.10.) STUP
        THETA2=ASIN(A2)
        THETA3=ASIN(A3)
        THETA4=ASIN(A4)
        PRINT#, THETA1, THETA2, THETA3, THETA4
C
        BETAZ=PI+COS(THETA2)
        BETA3=PI*COS(THETA3)
        PJ=N1+CDS(THETA1)
        P2=N2+COS(THETA2)
        P3=N3+COS(TWETA3)
        P4=N4+COS(THETA4)
C
С
  SET INITIAL VALUES IN ABCO MATRIX
C
        A=CD6(BETA2)+COS(BETA3)-(P3/P2)+SIN(BETA2)+SIN(BETA3)
        B=-((1/P3)*SIN(BETA3)*COS(BETA2)+(1/P2)*SIN(BETA2)*COS(BETA3))
        C=-(P2+SIN(BETA2)+COS(BETA3)+P3+SIN(BETA3)+COS(BETA2))
        D=CO3(BETA2)+CO3(BETA3)-(P2/P3)+SIN(BETA2)+SIN(BETA3)
C
  DETERMINE US, UT
C
        Al=.5+(A+D)
        V6=64+(A14+6)-80+(A1++4)+24+(A1++2)-1
        U7=128+(A1++7)-192+(A1++5)+80+(A1++3)-8+A1
C
  DETERMINE NEW ABCD HATRIX USING U6, U7
C
        A=A=U7-U6
        8×8+U7
        C=C+U7
        D=D+U7-U6
        PRINTE, A.B.C.D
```

```
С
C DETERMINE FINAL MATRIX
C
         HU11=A*CDS(BETA2)+P2*B*SIN(BETA2)
         HU12=B+CUS(BETA2)-(1/P2)+A+SIJ(BETA7)
         NU21=C+CU5(BETA2)-P2+D+SIN(BETA2)
         HU22=D+COS(BETA2)+C+(1/P2)=SIN(BETA2)
С
C DETERMINE REFLECTIVITY
С
         R1=((HU11*+2)+(HU12*+2)+(P4*+2))+(P1++2)
         R2 = (MU21 + 2) + (MU22 + 2) + (P4 + 2)
         R3=2+P1+P2+(HU11+HU22+HU12+HU21)
         HIRROR=(R1+R2-K3)/(R1+R2+R3)
         FURHAT(11, "MINROR REFLECTIVITY =", F10.9)
PRINT 150, MIRROR
 150
         PRINT 151
        FORMAT (1X, '')
GO TO 10
 151
         END
```

## APPENDIX C

ŝ

# Mirror Reflectivities

# Table IV. Mirror Reflectivities (# 863)

7757

Ĺ

Angle (mrads)	Drive Frequency (MHz)	Reflectivity
.791115	30	•999567330
.791368	31	•999567330
<b>.79</b> 1579	32	.999567330
.791769	33	.999567389
.792032	34	•999567628
•792222	35	.999567449
<b>.792359</b>	36	•999567091
.792444	37	•999567330
.792634	38	.999566913
•792771	39	•999567270
.792919	40	•999566853
•793108	41	•999567151
.793277	42	•999567211
.793414	43	•999567091
•793590	44	•999567151
•793731	45	.999567211
• 793984	46	.999567568
.794174	47	.999566793
.794322	48	.999567032
.794459	49	.999567449
•794627	50	.999566734





# Table V. Mirror Reflectivities (# 864)

3. A 196

أوغد

Angle (mrads)	Drive Frequency (MHz)	Reflectivity
.791104	30	.999567449
.791336	31	.999567449
.791568	32	•999566972
.791737	33	.999567151
.792012	34	.999566853
.792191	35	.999567032
.792338	36	.999566972
.792412	37	.999566913
.792570	38	.999567091
<b>.7927</b> 50	39	.999567688
.792887	40	.999567211
.793077	41	.999567389
.793256	42	.999566913
.793372	43	.999567589
.793562	44	.999567151
.793689	45	.999567449
.793942	46	.999567449
.794142	47	.999567211
.794290	48	.999567807
.794406	49	.999567211
.794606	50	.999566972

84

Ĺ

# Table VI. Mirror Reflectivities (# 866)

.

Angle (mrads)	Drive Frequency (MHz)	Reflectivity
.790809	30	.999567449
.791030	31	.999567389
<b>.791</b> 315	32	.999566797
.791494	33	.999566853
.791821	34	.999567211
.792054	35	.999567509
.792254	36	.999567270
.792486	37	.999567449
.792686	38	.999567330
.792887	39	.999567211
.793087	40	.999567389
•793245	41	.999567032
.793425	42	.999567211
.793604	43	<b>.999567091</b>
.793762	44	<b>.</b> 999567270
•793931	45	.999567091
.794100	46	<b>.999567032</b>
.794290	47	<b>.</b> 999567807
.794564	48	.999566734
.794718	49	.999567211
<b>.7949</b> 75	50	.999567211

# Table VII. Mirror Reflectivities (# 867)

م الم الم

Angle (mrads)	Drive Frequency (MHz)	Reflectivity
.791104	30	<b>.</b> 999567868
.791262	31	.999567628
.791452	32	.999567032
.791642	33	.999567211
.791838	34	<b>.</b> 999567091
.792038	35	.999566144
.792212	36	.999567270
•792338	37	<b>.</b> 999566972
.792538	38	.999567389
.792738	39	.999567151
.792918	40	•999567330
.793077	41	.999567389
.793214	42	.999567032
•793393	43	.999567091
.793477	44	.999566913
.793646	45	<b>•9</b> 99566793
.793836	46	.999567270
.794026	47	.999567270
.794184	48	.999567913
.794385	49	•999567389
.794574	50	.999567151

# Table VIII. Mirror Reflectivities (# 1558)

س م

Angle (mrads)	Drive Frequency (MHz)	Reflectivity
.790482	30	.999567091
.790756	31	.999567091
.790883	32	.999566913
.791146	33	.999567449
.791315	34	.999566793
.791431	35	.999567270
.791547	36	.999567389
.791632	37	.999567032
.791769	38	.999567389
.791864	39	.999567330
.792001	40	.999566436
.792127	41	.999567449
.792254	42	.999567270
•792380	43	.999567330
.792496	44	.999567389
<b>.7926</b> 65	45	<b>.999567</b> 032
.792794	46	.999567270
<b>.792939</b>	47	.999567449
.793024	48	.999567151
.793172	49	.999566913
.793353	50	.999566674

je Southern Banacater States States

87

# Table IX. Mirror Reflectivities (# 2543)

Angle (mrads)	Drive Frequency (MHz)	Reflectivity
.790421	30	.999567389
• 790568	31	.999567211
•790853	32	.999566495
.791053	33	.999567211
.791191	34	.999566853
•791359	35	•999567628
.791433	36	•999567032
.791560	37	.999567628
.791697	38	.999566913
.791824	39	.999567211
.791950	40	.999567449
.792140	41	.999566793
.792214	42	.999567270
•792366	43	.999566972
.792478	44	.999567032
.792678	45	.999567449
.792900	46	.999567211
•793058	47	.999567389
.793206	48	.999567032
•793343	49	.999566734
.793469	50	.999566793

## Table X. Mirror Reflectivities (# 2951)

- A-

arter : sustants retrouted for

a state and the second

Angle (mrads)	Drive Frequency (MHz)	Reflectivity
<b>.790</b> 257	30	.999567509
.790506	31	.999567091
<b>.790</b> 653	32	.999567330
.790766	33	.999567389
.791026	34	.999567091
.791105	35	.999567330
.791275	36	.999567389
.791444	37 .	.999567389
.791614	38	•999567330
.791761	39	.999567270
.791851	40	•999567509
.792009	41	•999566972
.792134	42	.999567330
.792235	43	<b>.999567032</b>
.792315	44	.999567270
.792495	45	.999567688
.792586	46	.999567032
.792744	47	.999567568
.792868	48	.999567807
.792970	49	.999567568
.793072	50	.999567270

89

### APPENDIX D

Beam Profiles

1.10

S . S .

<u>.</u> ~











b. Vertical Profile

Figure 46. Beam Profiles (# 863) at 40 Mhertz








![](_page_108_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_108_Figure_5.jpeg)

Figure 47. Beam Profiles (# 864) at 40 Mhertz

. . . .

![](_page_109_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_109_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_109_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_109_Figure_3.jpeg)

b. Vertical Profile

Figure 47. Beam Profiles (# 866) at 40 Mhertz

· · · · · · · · · ·

![](_page_110_Figure_0.jpeg)

٠..

![](_page_110_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_110_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_110_Figure_3.jpeg)

b. Vertical Profile

Figure 49. Beam Profiles (# 867) at 40 Mhertz

المراجع المراجع

![](_page_111_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_111_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_111_Figure_2.jpeg)

Distance in Millimeters

### b. Vertical Profile

Figure 50. Beam Profiles (# 1558) at 40 Mhertz

![](_page_112_Figure_0.jpeg)

## APPENDIX E

# Equipment Listing

Equipment	Number	Required
Programmable Signal Source, Hewlett Packard Model 8165A	••••••	1 · ·
Helium Neon Laser, Coherent-Tropel Model 200	• • • • • •	1
Acousto-Optic Light Modulator, Intra Action Model AOM-40	•••••	4
Acousto-Optic Light Modulator, Coherent Associates Model 305	•••••	5
Power Supply, Hewlett Packard Model 6215A	•••••	1
Rotation Mount, NRC Model 470-Al	• • • • • •	2
X-Y Translation Stage, NRC Model 405	•••••	3
Radiometer/Photometer, EG&G Model 550-1 with Multipurpose Head, Model 550-2	•••••	1
Digital Multimeter, Fluke Model 8050A	•••••	1
Triple Outlet Power Supply, Hewlett Packard Model 6236A	•••••	1
Power Amplifier, Intra Action Model EE-40	•••••	1

· · · · ·

VITA

いたことである

Eileen M. Walling was born in Lincoln, Nebraska on 02 October 1954 and graduated from George Wythe High School in Richmond, Virginia in 1973. Following her Bachelor of Science degrees in Physics and Mathematics from the College of William and Mary in May 1977, she attended Officers Training School and was commissioned a 2LT on 09 November 1977. Initially assigned to Keesler AFB, Mississippi, she received training as a Communications-Electronics Officer. She was subsequently assigned to Watertown Air Force Station, Watertown, New York as the Radar Maintenance Officer. Following this tour, she was assigned to the Sacramento Air Logistics Center, McClellan AFB, California. Here, she was the technical manager for various satellite communications systems including AFSATCOM, DSCS and several Presidential communications systems. While stationed at Mcclellan AFB, she attained a Master of Science Degree in Systems Management through the University of Southern California. She was then transferred to Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio to attend the Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT). She graduated from AFIT on 17 December 1982, with a Master of Science Degree in Engineering Physics and is a member of Tau Beta Pi. She is currently assigned to the Air Force Weapons Laboratory at Kirtland AFB, New Mexico.

UNGLADDIFIED	(When Data Entered)		
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS	
1. REPORT NUNDER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
FIT/GEP/PH/82D-1	ANA17.4648		
4. TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
ANALYSIS OF ACOUSTO-OPT	IC ERRORS IN LASER	MS Thesis	
GYROSCOP	ES	6 DEDECEMING ODG BEDOOT NUMBER	
		C. PERFORMING ONG. REPORT NUMBER	
7. AUTHOR(0) Eileen M. Walling Capt USAF		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AN	D ADDRESS	10. PPOGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK APEA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
ir Force Institute of t	Technology (AFIT-EN)		
Vright-Patterson AFB, 01	hio 45433	<b>Project 2305-F2-68</b>	
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND AD	DRESS	12. REPORT DATE	
. J. Seiler Research La	aboratory	December, 1982	
GJSKL/NHL L.S.Air Force Acedemy 4	Colorado 202/0	112	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRE	SS(if dillerent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	
		Unclassified	
		15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING	
		SCHEDIN F	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Rep Approved for public rela	ease; distribution u	schedule nlimited	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Rep Approved for public rele 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abe	port) ease; distribution up tract entered in Block 20, if different fro	schedule nlimited	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Rep Approved for public rele 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abs 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Approved for public release: IAW AFR 190.17. THE WOLAVER Near for Research and Professional Development is Force Institute of Technology (ATC) Vright-Patterson AFB Oll 454JJ 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if	por() ease; distribution up tract entered in Block 20, if different fro pproved for public r tractic C Lynch, Maj Lacor, Bublic Affe necessary and identify by block number,	schedule nlimited m.Report) release; IAW AFR 190-17 tor, USAF <b>19 JAN 19</b> airs	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Rep Approved for public rele 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abs 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Approved for public release: IAW AFR 190.17. THE WOLAVER Deam for Research and Professional Development IN Force Institute of Technology (ATC) Wight-Patterson AFB Oll 454JJ 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if Acousto-Optic Modulators Laser Gyroscope	por() ease; distribution up tract entered in Block 20, if different fro pproved for public r tractic C Lynch, Maj <u>Cor, Bublic Aff</u> necessary and identify by block number, S	schedule nlimited m.Report) release; IAW AFR 190-17 tor, WBAF <b>19 JAN 19</b> airs	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Rep Approved for public relations 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abs 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Approved for public release: IAW AFR 190.17. Min Locan The E. WOLAVER John for Research and Professional Development for Second AFB Oll 454J3 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if Acousto-Optic Modulators Laser Gyroscope Passive Ring Laser Gyros	port) ease; distribution un tract entered in Block 20, it different fro pproved for public r <u>ease C-Lynch</u> , Maj <u>isoteor</u> , <u>Bublic Maj</u> necessary and identify by block number, s Scope	schedule nlimited m.Report) release; IAW AFR 190-17 or, WGAF 19 JAN 19 airs	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Rep Approved for public relevant 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abe 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Approved for public release: IAW AFR 190.17. 19. WOLAVER Arguing Continue on reverse side if Acousto-Optic Modulator: Laser Gyroscope Cassive Ring Laser Gyros 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if if	port) ease; distribution up tract entered in Block 20, if different fro pproved for public r rest Content of the public r isocor, Bublic Maj isocor, Bublic Maj scope	schedule nlimited m Report) release; IAW AFR 190-17 tor, USAF 19 JAN 19 airs	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Rep Approved for public relevant 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebe 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Approved for public release: IAW AFR 190-17. THE E. WOLAVER Decem for Research and Professional Development for Force Institute of Technology (ATC) Wight-Patterson AFB OII 45433 DI 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if Acousto-Optic Modulators Laser Gyroscope Passive Ring Laser Gyros 20. ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if Acousto-optic Modulators	port) ease; distribution up tract entered in Block 20, if different fro pproved for public r tractor, Subject, Maj izeror, Bublic Aff necessary and identify by block number, s scope	schedule nlimited m Report) release; IAW AFR 190-17 tor, WBAF 19 JAN 19 airs d spatially orient the	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Rep Approved for public rele 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abs 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abs 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Approved for public release: IAW AFR 190.17. If E. WOLAVER Arguite for Rescatch and Professional Development for Re	port) ease; distribution up tract entered in Block 20, if different fro pproved for public r rest Content of the second second pproved for public r rest of the second second second second second port of the second secon	schedule nlimited m Report) release; IAW AFR 190-17 or, USAF 19 JAN 19 airs d spatially orient the y. This study investi-	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Rep Approved for public relevant 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebe 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Approved for public release: IAW AFR 190-17. THE E. WOLAVER Decem for Research and Professional Development Mar Force Institute of Technology (ATC) Wight-Patterson AFB OII 4543 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if Acousto-Optic Modulators Laser Gyroscope Passive Ring Laser Gyros 20. ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if Acousto-optic modulators Laser beam within the last states two commercial st Syroscope operation. The Syroscope operation.	port) ease; distribution up tract entered in Block 20, if different fro pproved for public r the second for public r s scope necessary and identify by block number, s scope necessary and identify by block number, s frequency shift and aser gyroscope cavit; yles of modulators an he drive frequency of	schedule nlimited m Report) release; IAW AFR 190-17 tor, WBAF 19 JAN 19 airs d spatially orient the y. This study investi- nd their impact on f the modulators was	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Ref Approved for public rele 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abe 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Approved for public release: IAW AFR 190.17. THE WOLAVER Arguine for Rescatch and Professional Development for R	port) ease; distribution up tract entered in Block 20, if different fro pproved for public r rest Content of the public r rest of the public r rest of the public r rest of the public r s frequency shift and aser gyroscope cavit; yles of modulators an he drive frequency of ngular shifts of the	schedule alimited m Report) release; IAW AFR 190-17 or, WAF 19 JAN 19 airs d spatially orient the y. This study investi- nd their impact on f the modulators was first diffracted order	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Rep Approved for public relations 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebs 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebs 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Approved for public release: IAW AFR 190-17. THE E. WOLAVER Decem for Research and Professional Development for Research and Professional Development for Force Institute of Technology (ATC) Wight-Patterson AFB OIL 45413 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side H Acousto-Optic Modulators Laser Gyroscope Passive Ring Laser Gyros 20. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side H Acousto-optic modulators Laser beam within the last states two commercial st gyroscope operation. The varied to measure the an open. The angular deflect	port) ease; distribution un inect entered in Block 20, if different fro pproved for public r <u>enteric C-Lynch</u> , Maj <u>izeror</u> , <u>Bublic Aff</u> necessary and identify by block number, s scope necessary and identify by block number, s frequency shift and aser gyroscope cavit; yles of modulators an he drive frequency of ngular shifts of the ections concurred with	schedule nlimited m Report) release; IAW AFR 190-17 or, WGAF 19 JAN 19 airs d spatially orient the y. This study investi- nd their impact on f the modulators was first diffracted order th manufacturer specifi-	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Ref Approved for public released 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abs 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abs 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abs 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abs 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Symposed for public released IAW AFR 190.17. 19. WOLAVER 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if Acousto-Optic Modulators Laser Gyroscope Passive Ring Laser Gyros 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if Acousto-optic modulators Laser beam within the last gates two commercial st gyroscope operation. The aried to measure the an opeam. The angular defice ations with a maximum in a maximum standard devise	port) ease; distribution up tract entered in Block 20, if different fro pproved for public r pproved for public r <u>pproved for public re</u> <u>pproved for</u>	schedule alimited m Report) release; IAW AFR 190-17 release; IAW AFR 190-17 <b>or, USAF 19 JAN 19</b> airs d spatially orient the y. This study investi- nd their impact on f the modulators was first diffracted order th manufacturer specifi- 0.1869 milliradians and iradians. Using these	

### SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

angular values, the reflectivities of the flat cavity mirrors were then determined. These calculations displayed an average reflectivity of 0.999567, indicating that properly aligned modulators do not affect mirror reflectivity or gyroscope finesse. Vertical and horizontal beam profiles of the first diffracted order were taken to identify deviations produced by the modulators. Eight modulators emitted the required Gaussian beams. One modulators displayed a variety of profiles, indicating a material flaw or erroneous operation. The modulators were subjected to temperature extremes to determine their thermal sensitivity. The angular deviation of the first diffracted order at 40 megahertz was measured for temperature changes between 48.6 to 243.3 degrees Fahrenheit. The minimum angular change measurable was 52.7 microradians; however, no deviations were measured from the room-temperature reading.

### UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Entered

![](_page_117_Picture_0.jpeg)