

AD-A113 878

TEXAS UNIV AT AUSTIN DEPT OF CHEMISTRY
PHOTOASSISTED WATER-GAS SHIFT REACTION ON PLATINIZED TITANIA: T--ETC(U)
APR 82 S FANG, B CHEN, J M WHITE

F/G 7/5
N00014-75-C-0922
NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1 of 1
411A
113878



END
DATE
FILMED
05-87
DTIC

12

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
Contract N00014-75-C-0922
Task No. NR 056-578
TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 22

Photoassisted Water-Gas Shift Reaction on Platinized Titania:
The Influence of Preparation Parameters

by

Shiu-Min Fang, Bor-Her Chen and J. M. White

Prepared for publication
in
Journal of Physical Chemistry

Department of Chemistry
University of Texas at Austin
Austin, Texas 78712

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for
any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release
and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

DTIC
SELECTED
APR 26 1982
McH

AD A113878

DTIC FILE COPY

82 04 26 068

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A113 878	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Photoassisted Water-Gas Shift Reaction on Platinized Titania: The Influence of Preparation Parameters		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report 22 Jan. 1, 1982-Dec. 31, 1982
7. AUTHOR(s) Shiu-Min Fang, Bor-Her Chen & J. M. White		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS J. M. White Department of Chemistry, University of Texas Austin, Texas 78712		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-75-C-0922
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Department of the Navy Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Project NR 056-578
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE April 12, 1982
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 21
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release: Distribution Unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Preprint, accepted, Journal of Physical Chemistry		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The variation of the rate of the photoassisted water-gas shift reaction over platinized titania with changes in catalyst preparation has been studied. The following parameters were varied: (1) extent of hydrogen reduction; (2) Pt loading; (3) method of depositing Pt; (4) light intensity; and (5) NaOH loading. The reaction rate does not depend on the method of Pt deposition; is first order in light intensity; is not dependent on the chemical state or the Pt loading above 2 wt.%; depends on (continued on reverse side)		

Block 20. Continued.

reduction of the titania and is strongly dependent on the surface concentration of NaOH. From this work, we conclude that when NaOH is present, the rate limiting step in the reaction is the reaction of photoproduced holes with surface OH⁻ ions.

(EH⁻)

Photoassisted Water-Gas Shift Reaction on Platinized Titania:
The Influence of Preparation Parameters^a

Shiu-Min Fang, Bor-Her Chen and J. M. White
Department of Chemistry
University of Texas
Austin, TX 78712

Abstract

The variation of the rate of the photoassisted water-gas shift reaction over platinized titania with changes in catalyst preparation has been studied. The following parameters were varied: (1) extent of hydrogen reduction, (2) Pt loading, (3) method of depositing Pt, (4) light intensity and (5) NaOH loading. The reaction rate does not depend on the method of Pt deposition, is first order in light intensity, is not dependent on the chemical state or the Pt loading above 2 wt. %, depends on reduction of the titania and is strongly dependent on the surface concentration of NaOH. From this work, we conclude that when NaOH is present the rate limiting step in the reaction is the reaction of photoproduced holes with surface OH⁻ ions.

a. Supported in part by the Office of Naval Research.



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A	

1. Introduction

Photoassisted catalytic reactions are of great current interest (1) because of their potential for using solar photons as an energy source for driving reactions that have a significant activation energy and are either exothermic or endothermic. In previous reports from this laboratory we have characterized the activity of platinumized titania, Pt/TiO₂, for the decomposition of liquid water (2) and for the water-gas shift (WGS) reaction. (3) Coating the catalyst with NaOH improves the performance of Pt/TiO₂ for both reactions. (3)

In further efforts to characterize such systems, we investigated several experimental parameters which could influence the rate of the water-gas shift reaction, CO + H₂O = CO₂ + H₂. A summary of the results is presented in this paper. We chose not to study water decomposition directly because of the complications introduced by the fast back reaction on Pt-containing catalysts. In earlier work we have noted that the photoassisted decomposition of water molecules is an important part of the water-gas shift mechanism. (3)

2. Experimental

2.1 Preparation of Catalysts. Sieved TiO₂ (MCR, Anatase) with a particle size between 125 and 250 μm was reduced for 6 hr in flowing H₂ (30 ml/min) at either 700 or 875°C. X-ray powder diffraction, XRD, indicated no measurable rutile in the starting material. After reduction at 700°C, no measurable rutile was detected but after 875°C reduction, a significant amount was found.

Two methods were used to platinumize the titania: photodecomposition and impregnation. In the former, both 2 and 10 wt.% Pt/TiO₂ samples were prepared from 1.0 g amounts of titania suspended, by bubbling H₂, in an

acetic acid-sodium bicarbonate buffer solution (pH = 4). (4) The buffered concentration of chloroplatinic acid, H₂PtCl₆, was 0.005 and 0.05 M for the lower and higher loadings, respectively. A 200 W high pressure Hg lamp irradiated the solution for 5.5 and 12 hr., respectively, to achieve the desired Pt loading. The samples were washed with distilled water until no Cl⁻ ion could be detected in the wash water and then the samples were dried overnight at 120°C.

In the impregnation method, 1.0 g of reduced TiO₂ was added to the stoichiometric amount of 0.005 M aqueous H₂PtCl₆ solution. The mixture was then heated with continuous stirring until it became dry at 120°C and was then heated in air at 350°C overnight.

All of the sodium hydroxide coated catalysts, NaOH/Pt/TiO₂ and NaOH/TiO₂, were prepared in the reactor by injecting 0.1 M aqueous NaOH solution, 1.2 or 1.8 ml, over 0.2 g of catalyst, previously ground in an agate mortar, and then drying at 40°C in flowing He. This material was then outgassed under vacuum for 3 hours at 200°C as described in section 2.2.

2.2 Apparatus and Procedures. The apparatus has been described previously. (2) The catalyst (0.2 g) was ground in an agate mortar and spread uniformly over the flat bottom (16 cm²) of the reactor (volume = 200 ml). The catalyst prepared by impregnation was activated with 300 Torr H₂ at 200°C for one hour, while for photodeposited catalyst, this step was omitted. The catalysts were all outgassed under vacuum for 3 hr at 200°C.

In most experiments, water vapor (20 Torr) was introduced into the system prior to the introduction of CO (0.5 to 0.7 Torr). Both reactants were circulated through the reaction loop for at least 10 min prior to irradiation. After setting the reaction temperature at 27°C with a water bath, the reaction was initiated by illuminating the catalyst with a 200W Hg lamp. The gas phase was sampled at various times and analyzed with a mass

spectrometer (CC 21-614) after passing through a cold trap at -110°C to remove water. Between experiments the reaction vessel was demounted and cleaned ultrasonically using alumina powder suspended in water.

X-ray powder diffraction patterns were taken on a General Electric XRD-5 diffractometer with a scan rate of $1^{\circ}/\text{min}$. Light intensities were calibrated using an Eppley thermopile and were varied by putting calibrated screens between the light source and the reaction vessel.

3. Results

3.1 H_2 Doping. The bulk conductivity of titania is increased by partial reduction in H_2 at elevated temperatures. The extent of reduction (doping) is controlled by the time and temperature of the process. Catalysts prepared by impregnation were used in this comparison and all of them are listed in Table I (catalysts 1,2,3,4,5 and 7). Catalysts 1,2 and 5 were prepared from 3,4 and 7, respectively.

The water-gas shift, WGS, reaction was followed by measuring the H_2 partial pressure as a function of time. Previous work has shown the excellent stoichiometric relation that is realized for H_2 and CO_2 in this system. (3) The reaction is very nearly zero order in CO partial pressure between 0.3 and 0.75 Torr (3) so the CO partial pressures (0.5 to 0.7 Torr) used in this study will not cause measurable variations in the reaction rate.

The results of six experiments are summarized in Fig. 1. With the exception of catalyst 5 which shows a slight early decay of the rate, all the catalysts show constant rates of H_2 production over the time intervals investigated. Comparing 2 and 4, we see that adding NaOH to an undoped catalyst increases the activity by about two ^{orders} of magnitude. Comparing 1 with 3 and 5 with 7, indicates a somewhat ^{orders} of magnitude (a factor of 20 to 50) of NaOH for the doped catalysts. Doping temperatures between 700 and 875°C do not alter the rate significantly but an undoped sample, i.e. only exposed to H_2 at 200°C as described in the experimental section, is a poorer catalyst by about an order of magnitude. Care must be exercised here in that small variations from preparation to preparation are significant. However, all of our results suggest that undoped catalysts are significantly lower activity than their doped counterparts. For example, compare 1 with

5 in Fig. 1 and Table 1. Since a significant amount of bulk rutile is formed during reduction at 875°C, whereas anatase dominates after reduction at 700°C, we conclude that the bulk anatase-to-rutile ratio does not influence the rate significantly.

3.2 Pt Deposition Method. The Pt deposition method has no detectable influence on the rate of the HGS reaction in these experiments. Comparing the photo-deposition method with the impregnation method with (samples 5 and 6) and without (samples 7 and 8) NaOH shows that H₂ production rates are independent of the deposition method. Further characterization of these samples was done using XRD, Fig. 2. A broad Pt peak was observed in both samples consistent with an average particle size of about 80 Å diameter for both cases based on H₂ chemisorption. These results are consistent with STEM results (8) showing an average particle diameter of 100Å.

3.3 Platinum Loading. Using a set of four catalysts from the same batch of doped TiO₂ (700°C in H₂ for 6 hr), the Pt loading was varied from 0 to 10 wt.%. The results are summarized in Fig. 3 and Table 1 (samples 9-12). Comparing samples 10 and 6 which were taken from two different batches of reduced TiO₂, we conclude that the reproducibility is about ± 10%. While the reproducibility within a given batch is significantly better, caution must be exercised in interpreting small differences. For example, comparing samples 9 and 10 suggests a small rate enhancement in passing from 2 to 10 wt.% Pt but this is not conclusive. In any event, we can conclude that such increases in the Pt loading are of little value. Sample 11 is an interesting case. It was run after experiment 10 was completed and the reaction cell was cleaned ultrasonically with water as the solvent. We attribute the initial rate of 8 μmole/g hr to a small amount of residual Pt left on the walls of the reaction vessel. Cleaning the reaction vessel ultrasonically with alumina powder suspended in water always eliminates this

effect and gives results like those of sample 12 (i.e. the background rates were always at least a factor of 20 lower than the rates measured with active catalysts). The residual activity observed with sample 11 is very interesting. If the Pt is located on the reaction vessel walls, then photo-assisted activity involves Pt/SiO₂ but the band gap of the latter (8-11 eV) is too large to make use of most of the radiation from the lamp. Perhaps the residual Pt is removed from the walls during the catalyst preparation and becomes physically attached to the titania. In either case the decay of the initial rate with time sets catalyst 11 apart from the others of Fig. 3.

3.4 NaOH Loading. Samples 10, 13 and 14 of Table 1 came from the same batch of Pt/TiO₂ and the NaOH loading was varied from 0 to 5.3 wt.%. Significant increases in H₂ production rates were found varying from 0.5 to 8 to 19 μmole/hr/g of Pt(TiO₂) with increasing NaOH. These results clearly show that additions of NaOH are much more effective for rate increases than extensive reduction in H₂.

3.5 Light Intensity. Sample 13 was used in evaluating the role of light intensity on the rate of hydrogen production. The dark reaction rate was 0.6 μmole/hr/g while under illumination of the full arc (100%) the rate was 19 μmole/hr/g. For relative intensities of 52 and 22% the rates were 10 and 5 μmole/hr/g respectively. Over the 50 min period studied at each intensity, the rate showed only very slight tendency to drop. Correcting each of the photo-assisted rates for the background gives the curve shown in Fig. 4 showing a linear relation with relative light intensity. The flux incident on the sample at 100% relative intensity corresponds to 0.21 watt/cm².

4. Discussion

The increased rate of the WGS reaction upon reducing (doping) the titania is attributed primarily to the increased rate at which holes move to the surface. Hydrogen treatment removes lattice oxygen atoms leaving defects and Ti^{3+} ions. This process makes titania more n-type and increases the conductivity of pellets of the powder. (8) This is equivalent to noting that the Fermi level of the titania is raised toward the vacuum level and, with heavy doping, comes close to the bottom of the conduction band. From this point of view, there is greater band bending at the electrolyte-titania interface and at the Pt/ TiO_2 interface upon doping. In the language of Volkenstein (5), the relative hole concentration at the surface, or its reaction product, is given by:

$$\eta^+ = \frac{\exp\{2V_s/RT\}}{1 + \cosh\{2V_s/RT\}}$$

where η^+ is the fraction of the "positively charged form" of chemisorption on the surface in the presence of illumination and V_s denotes the band bending. According to this equation the band bending supplies the potential energy for separating the optically generated hole-electron pairs. The larger V_s , the faster the majority current carriers (electrons) move to the bulk and the less competitive hole-electron recombination becomes. Consequently the fraction of holes and their absolute concentration both increase with band bending.

Absorption spectrum changes associated with reduction in H_2 , for example, a broad new band around 1500 nm (6) cannot be responsible for the changes in activity because a 415 nm cut-off filter completely retarded the WGS reaction. (3) From this we conclude that photons with energies less than the bandgap of titania are not active in assisting the WGS reaction.

Recently, strong metal-support interactions (SMSI) have received considerable attention with respect to both underlying principles and possible applications. For example, it has been shown that Pd supported on TiO_2 in the SMSI state, i.e. titania reduced at elevated temperatures, is much more active in CO hydrogenation than either alumina- or silica-supported Pd. (7) This enhancement is attributed to increased relative coverage of hydrogen. The SMSI state only weakly chemisorbs both hydrogen and carbon monoxide but the effect on CO coverage is more pronounced than hydrogen. However, in the photoassisted WGS reaction, the SMSI effect has no pronounced influence on the rate. Catalyst 1 which shows SMSI character and catalyst 5 which does not (8) both have the same activity for the WGS reaction. The SMSI state is here defined as a condition where the catalyst will not chemisorb measurable amounts of hydrogen at room temperature and at pressures up to 400 Torr. This suggests that mechanistic steps involving the nature of Pt/ TiO_2 interactions are not rate controlling under our conditions. For example, the desorption of H_2 and the transfer of electrons from the conduction band of TiO_2 to the Pt are not rate controlling. It is important to note here that our preparation method involves reduction of the titania prior to adding the Pt. Some oxidation of the surface region occurs upon exposure to air and the platinization process and this may account for the fact that we find no SMSI effect without reduction at temperatures greater than 700°C whereas others have found in-situ reduction at 500°C to be sufficient. (10)

The influence of Pt loading beyond 2% is minor, indicating that the rate is not controlled by the amount of Pt at the surface in this range. However, some Pt is beneficial as indicated by catalysts 11 and 12. The rapid initial rate, followed by a rather rapid decline, observed for catalyst 11 remains puzzling. Further work is necessary to understand this

behavior but we speculate that it is related to the local OH^- concentration and the nature of the residual Pt/TiO_2 interaction. It appears that 2 wt.% Pt loading provides enough active sites for electron collection and reaction with water molecules to make H_2 and also stabilizes the catalyst activity. Similar results and conclusions about Pt loading are drawn by Borgarello, et al. (9) from their results on water cleavage in colloidal TiO_2 systems. They observed on colloidal particles that loading greater than 2 wt. % Pt gave little increase in hydrogen yield.

Since neither loadings greater than 2 wt.% Pt nor the presence/absence of SMSI Pt has a significant influence on the rate, it is not surprising that catalysts prepared by the photodecomposition and impregnation methods have almost the same activity. The latter is preferred operationally just because the former is much more time consuming and more complicated. (4)

As noted earlier, adding NaOH gives the greatest improvement in the rate, the 3.5 wt.% NaOH (sample 10) having 16 times the rate of the corresponding 0 wt.% material (sample 14). Earlier work from this laboratory gave a factor of 2.5 rate increase for a 4 wt.% NaOH loading. We attribute this difference to larger surface areas intercepting radiation in the present experiments since the materials used here were ground in an agate mortar after platinization but before adding NaOH. Significant enhancement by OH^- of the photoassisted water decomposition reaction on SrTiO_3 system has also been reported by Wagner et al. (11).

The light intensity study shows that for our conditions there is no evidence for rate control involving reactions between more than one photogenerated species. Since the rate is first order in the light intensity, we do expect that one photo-derived species will participate. Moreover the quantum efficiency is no more than a few percent so the process is non-catalytic in photons. Since electrons are the majority carriers in our n-type titania and since the reaction requires band gap radiation, we propose that holes, the minority carriers, are involved in the rate

determining step of the mechanism previously proposed: (3)



which is also probably the rate determining step in the photoassisted liquid water decomposition reaction over $\text{NaOH}/\text{Pt}/\text{TiO}_2$ catalysts. (3) This kind of rate-controlling process is shown schematically in Fig. 5.

5. Conclusions.

The present study establishes several important features concerning the performance of NaOH/Pt/TiO_2 substrates in the photoassisted water-gas shift reaction and suggests that the process in which hydroxide ion donates its electron to the surface hole is rate determining. Those parameters which increase the surface hole population, like reduction in H_2 , higher light intensity and higher NaOH loading, suggest that improved hole generation rates, electron-hole separation and hole utilization at the surface are useful routes to improved performance.

6. Acknowledgement.

We thank Professor M. Steinfink for the use of an XRD-5 diffractometer in his lab. One of us (SMF) thanks Professor S. Sato for considerable assistance in learning the techniques employed here.

References

1. a. K. I. Zarev and V. M. Parmon, *Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng.*, **22**(1980)261.
b. L. P. Childs and D. F. Ollis, *J. Catalysis*, **66**(1980)383.
2. S. Sato and J. M. White, *Chem. Phys. Letters*, **72**(1980)183.
3. a. S. Sato and J. M. White, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **102**(1980)7206.
b. S. Sato and J. M. White, *J. Catalysis*, **59**(1981)128.
4. B. Krautler and A. J. Bard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **100**(1978)4317.
5. Th. Holkenstein, *Adv. Catalysis*, **23**(1973)157.
6. T. Iwaki, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan*, **46**(1973)1631.
7. S.-Y. Wang, S. H. Moon and H. A. Vannice, *J. Catalysis*, **71**(1981)167.
8. B.-H. Chen and J. M. White, to be published.
9. E. Porgarello, J. Kiwi, E. Pelizzetti, M. Visca and H. Gratzel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **103**(1981)6324.
10. S. J. Tauster, S. C. Fung and R. L. Garten, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **100**(1978)170.
11. a. F. T. Wagner and G. A. Somorjai, *Nature* **285**(1980)559.
b. F. T. Wagner and G. A. Somorjai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **102**(1980)5494.

Figure Captions.

Figure 1. H₂ reduction effect on (3.5 wt.%)NaOH/(2 wt.%)Pt/TiO₂, open symbols, and (2 wt.%)Pt/TiO₂, closed symbols, prepared by impregnation.

○, ● - 875°C in H₂ flow for 6 hr.

□, ■ 700°C in H₂ flow for 6 hr.

△, ▲ without H₂ pretreatment.

Figure 2. X-ray powder diffraction patterns for Pt/TiO₂ catalysts prepared by impregnation (sample 7) and photodecomposition (sample 8).

Figure 3. Effect of Pt loading on (3.5 wt.%)NaOH/(700°C reduced)TiO₂.

○ -10 wt.%, △ -2 wt.%, □ -trace of Pt, ● -Pt-free.

Figure 4. Variation of H₂ production rate with relative light intensity, corrected for small background rate.

k = (Rate with light - Rate in dark)/(Rate in dark)

Figure 5. Schematic description of proposed rate-controlling step in the water gas shift reaction.

Table 1.
Catalyst Preparation Parameters and Performance
Photoassisted Water-Gas Shift Reaction

No.	Catalyst	Wt.% Pt	Wt.% NaOH	Prep. Method	Doping Temp/C	H ₂ Production (d) μmole/(g hr)
1	NaOH/Pt/TiO ₂	2	3.5	I(b)	875	9
2	NaOH/Pt/TiO ₂	2	3.5	I	---	3
3	Pt/TiO ₂	2	0	I	875	0.2
4	Pt/TiO ₂	2	0	I	---	0.04
5	NaOH/Pt/TiO ₂	2	3.5	I	700	9
6	NaOH/Pt/TiO ₂	2	3.5	P(c)	700	9
7	Pt/TiO ₂	2	0	I	700	0.4
8	Pt/TiO ₂	2	0	P	700	0.6
9	NaOH/Pt/TiO ₂	10	3.5	P	700	9
10	NaOH/Pt/TiO ₂	2	3.5	P	700	8
11	NaOH/ TiO ₂	tr(a)	3.5	-	700	8(e)
12	NaOH/ TiO ₂	0	3.5	-	700	0.4
13	NaOH/Pt/TiO ₂	2	5.3	P	700	19
14	Pt/TiO ₂	2	0	P	700	0.5


(a) A small amount of residual Pt from the previous experiment remained after cleaning the reactor ultrasonically with water.

(b) Impregnation method.


(c) Photodecomposition method

(d) The flux of photons with energy greater than the band gap (3.1 eV) of anatase is about 10¹⁷ cm⁻² sec⁻¹. The rate quoted was measured between 50 and 75 min using data shown in Fig. 1.


(e) Initial rate.




Fang et al. Fig. 1




Fang et al. Fig. 2.



Fang et al. Fig. 3



Fang et al. Fig. 4



Jung, et al. 1995

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	No. Copies	No. Copies	No. Copies
Office of Naval Research Attn: Ms 472 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	2	U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CHD-AA-1P P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709	1
ONR Western Regional Office Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney San Diego, California 92152	1
ONR Eastern Regional Office Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles Building 114, Section D 655 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster, Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 6100 Washington, D.C. 20390	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko Port Huenece, California 93401	1
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (RES) Department of the Navy Room 42736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20330	1	Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Code 310C (R. Rosewasser) Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360	1	Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12	Naval Ship Research and Development Center Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian, Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Dr. Fred Saalfeld Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1
Mr. James Bailey JTN52C Code 2803 Annapolis, Maryland 21402	1	Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1
Mr. A. M. Anzalone Administrative Librarian PLASTIC/ARLADCON Bldg 3401 Dover, New Jersey 07801	1		
		Dr. G. A. Somorjai Department of Chemistry University of California Berkeley, California 94720	1
		Dr. L. N. Jarvis Surface Chemistry Division 4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20375	1
		Dr. J. B. Hudson Materials Division Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York 12181	1
		Dr. John T. Yates Surface Chemistry Section National Bureau of Standards Department of Commerce Washington, D.C. 20234	1
		Dr. Theodore E. Madey Surface Chemistry Section Department of Commerce National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234	1
		Dr. J. M. White Department of Chemistry University of Texas Austin, Texas 78712	1
		Dr. Keith H. Johnson Department of Metallurgy and Materials Science Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1
		Dr. J. E. Demuth IBM Corporation Thomas J. Watson Research Center P.O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, New York 10599	1
		Dr. C. P. Flynn Department of Physics University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois 61801	1
		Dr. W. Kohn Department of Physics University of California (San Diego) LaJolla, California 92037	1
		Dr. R. L. Park Director, Center of Materials Research University of Maryland College Park, Maryland 20742	1
		Dr. W. T. Perla Electrical Engineering Department University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455	1
		Dr. Chia-wei Tso Department of Physics Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
		Dr. D. C. Mattis Polytechnic Institute of New York 333 Jay Street Brooklyn, New York 11201	1
		Dr. Robert M. Rexler Department of Chemistry University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455	1
		Dr. R. P. Van Dyne Chemistry Department Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 056

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 056

No. Copies

No. Copies

No. Copies

Dr. S. Sibener Department of Chemistry James Franck Institute 5640 Ellis Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60637	1	Dr. Martin Fleischmann Department of Chemistry Southampton University Southampton SO9 5NH Hampshire, England	1	Professor N. Winograd The Pennsylvania State University Department of Chemistry University Park, Pennsylvania 16802	1
Dr. M. G. Legally Department of Metallurgical and Mining Engineering University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706	1	Dr. J. Ostroff Chemistry Department State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14214	1	Professor T. F. George The University of Rochester Chemistry Department Rochester, New York 14627	1
Dr. Robert Gorer Department of Chemistry James Franck Institute 5640 Ellis Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60637	1	Dr. G. Rubloff I.B.M. Thomas J. Watson Research Center P. O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, New York 10598	1	Professor Dudley R. Hershbach Harvard College Office for Research Contracts 1350 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138	1
Dr. R. S. Wallis Department of Physics University of California, Irvine Irvine, California 92664	1	Dr. J. A. Gardner Department of Physics Oregon State University Corvallis, Oregon 97331	1	Professor Horia Metiu University of California, Santa Barbara Chemistry Department Santa Barbara, California 93106	1
Dr. D. Baker Chemistry Department George Washington University Washington, D.C. 20052	1	Dr. G. D. Stein Mechanical Engineering Department Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1	Professor A. Stecki Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering Integrated Circuits Laboratories Troy, New York 12181	1
Dr. P. Hanspa Chemistry Department University of California, Santa Barbara Santa Barbara, California 93106	1	Dr. K. G. Spears Chemistry Department Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1	Professor R. D. Archer University of Massachusetts Chemistry Department Amherst, Massachusetts 01003	1
Dr. P. Hendra Chemistry Department Southampton University England SO90H	1	Dr. R. W. Plummer University of Pennsylvania Department of Physics Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104	1	Dr. A. C. Pastor Hughes Research Laboratories 3011 Malibu Canyon Road Malibu, California 90265	1
Professor P. Skell Chemistry Department Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania 16802	1	Dr. E. Yeager Department of Chemistry Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106	2		
Dr. J. C. Heminger Chemistry Department University of California, Irvine Irvine, California 92717	1	Professor D. Hercules University of Pittsburgh Chemistry Department Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260	1		