

l l	<u>ـ م</u>
UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)	````
	READ INSTRUCTIONS
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION N	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
AFOSR-TR- 82-0314 AD-A113 8	76
4. TITLE (and Subtitio)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim
ESTABLISHING CRITERIA FOR ASSIGNING PERSONNEL TO AIR FORCE JOBS	<u>1 Oct. 1980-30 Sept. 1981</u>
PERSONNEL TO AIR FORCE JOBS	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) J. L. Smith	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
M. M. Ayoub L. R. Alley	F49620-74C-Cal
N. J. Bethea B. S. Duran J. D. Denardo B. K. Lambert	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Institute of Biotechnology	61102F
Texas Tech University, P.O. Box 4130 Lubbock, TX 79409	2313A3
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Air Force Office of Scientific Research (NL)	30 November 1981
Bolling AFB, DC 20332	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITCRING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	UNCLASSIFIED
	UNCLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	
Approved for public release, distribution unlim	ited
Approved for public release, distribution unlim: 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 29, if different for the statement of the statem	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if different in	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if different in	
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, it different in Supplementary notes 18. Supplementary notes 19. Key WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe Ajr Force Speciality Code Assignment Critedite Speciality Code Continue Speciality Continue Speciality C	rom Report) DTIC ELECTE APR 27 1982
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstrect entered in Block 20, if different if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Air Force Speciality Code Assignment Crite Strength/Stamina Aptitude Physical Demands 	rom Report) DTIC ELECTE APR 27 1982
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, it different in Supplementary notes 18. Supplementary notes 19. Key WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe Ajr Force Speciality Code Assignment Critedite Speciality Code Continue Speciality Continue Speciality C	rom Report) There is a second state of the se
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe Air Force Speciality Code Assignment Crite Strength/Stamina Aptitude Physical Demands Job Assignment Task Analysis Heavy Work Task Demands Que 	rom Report) Tria Sectionnaire Trian Sectionnaire Trian Sectionnaire Sectionnaire Sectionnaire Sectionnaire
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstrect entered in Block 20, if different in Block	rom Report) The stionnaire E
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different if 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe Air Force Speciality Code Assignment Crite Strength/Stamina Aptitude Physical Demands Job Assignment Task Analysis Heavy Work Task Demands Que 	rom Report) The Report State of the activities and or Biotechnology, Texas ect. Working under the Research and the technical tratory, the contractor's 's present capability to

ł

ľ

,

State of the state

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

being accomplished through the development of a validated objective criterion with which the Air Force can reliably evaluate the compatibility of an individual's ability or inability to successfully perform a selected set of well defined demanding task within a wide variety of Air Force career fields and jobs.

Physically demanding tasks within AFSCs have been identified through use of a survey administered by the AF Human Resources Laboratory. Starting with the most demanding AFSCs, working supervisors have been interviewed at bases throughout the continental United States and Alaska. Following the interview, a visit is made to the workplace to actual measurements of task demands. All AFSCs currently categorized as Factor-X one and most of the Factor-X two AFSCs have been field validated. Field interviews will be conducted for remaining AFSCs with physically demanding tasks. Sedentary AFSCs with no physically demanding tasks will not be surveyed beyond the questionnaire level.

Data collected during these base visits have been used to categorize the task demands of the AFSCs. The manual material handling activities of lift/lower, push/pull, carry, and hold accounted for 90 percent of the demanding activities. These activities have been subcategorized for performance measures into simulated tasks that are common across AFSCs. Laboratory tests have been conducted to relate performance on weight lifting tests to performance on lift, hold, push/pull and carry activities. The developed tests will be validated using an instrumented test van. During the van's base tour, data will be collected on approximately 800 incumbents.

Acces	sion For	7
DTIC Unanu	GRA&I X IAB D Sunced D fication	-
	ibution/ lability Codes	DTIG
Dist	Avail and/or Special	COPY NSPECTED
H		

Unclossifie

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF GE(When Date Entered)

SUMMARY

This report presents a comprehensive summary of the activities and accomplishments of the contractor, the Institute for Biotechnology, Texas Tech University, during the third year of the project. Working under the sponsorship of the Air Force Office of Scientific Research and the technical monitorship of the Air Force Medical Research Laboratory, the contractor's program is directed toward improving the Air Force's present capability to select and assign personnel to Air Force Specialty Codes (AFSCs). This is being accomplished through the development of a validated objective criterion with which the Air Force can reliably evaluate the compatibility of an individual's ability or inability to successfully perform a selected set of well defined demanding taks within a wide variety of Air Force career fields and jobs.

Physically demanding tasks within AFSCs have been identified, through use of a survey administered by the AF Human Resources Laboratory. Starting with the most demanding AFSCs, working supervisors have been interviewed at bases throughout the continental United States and Alaska. Following the interview, a visit is made to the workplace to actual measurements of task demands. All AFSCs currently categorized as Factor-X one and most of the Factor-X two AFSCs have been field validated. Field interviews will be conducted for remaining AFSCs with physically demanding tasks. Sedentary AFSCs with no physically demanding tasks will not be surveyed beyond the guestionnaire level.

Data collected during these base visits have been used to categorize the task demands of the AFSCs. The manual material handling activities of lift/lower, push/pull, carry, and hold accounted for 90 percent of the demanding activities. These activities have been subeategorized for performance measures into simulated tasks that are common across AFSCs. Laboratory tests have been conducted to relate performance on weight lifting tests to performance on lift, hold, push/pull and carry activities. The developed tests will be validated using an instrumented test van. During the van's base tour, data will be collected on approximately 800 incumbents.

> Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

in standing as

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCY (AFSC) NOTICE OF TRANSCITTAL TO PTIC This technical parameters between evidened and is approved for perficiencies as IAW AFR 190-12. Distribution is nuclimited. MATTHEW J. KENPER Chief, Technical Information Division

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

SUMMARY	i
INTRODUCTION	1
OBJECTIVES	1
MASTER PROGRAM SCHEDULE	3
SIGNIFICANT ACCOMPLISHMENTS DURING YEAR 3	3
FIELD VERIFICATION REVIEWS	3
Planning and Scheduling	3
Verification Review Procedures	6
Preliminary Evaluation of Field Verification Data	8
DEVELOPMENT OF ASSIGNMENT CRITERION	12
Analytical Data Base	12
Development of Simulated Tasks	15
Identification of Candidate Tests for Battery	16
Incumbent Sampling Plan	20
Preparation for Base Validation Trips	20
OTHER ACTIVITIES	20
FUTURE ACTIVITIES	23
APPENDIX A: Verification Review Procedures	24
APPENDIX B: Example of AFSC Summary and Frequency Distribution Chart	46
APPENDIX C: Sample of AF Jobs Common to Other Services.	49

Table of Contents (Continued)

FIGURES

Page

1.	Verification Reviews: Planned Schedule and Status	7
2.	Supervisors Interviewed	9
3.	AFSCs Completed and Bases Visited	9
4.	Sample Printout of Computerized File Contents	14
5.	Simulated Tasks for Lift Activities	16
6.	Simulated Tasks for Push/Pull Activities	17
7.	Simulated Tasks for Carry Activities	18
8.	Simulated Tasks for Hold Activities	19
9.	Van Route for Base Validation Visits	22

and the second second

TABLES

1.	Master Program Schedule	5
2.	Supervisors Interviewed (by Grade and Majcom)	10
3.	Summary of Top-20 AFSCs (Most Physically Demanding	11
4.	Structure of Computerized Data File	13
5.	Van Validation Schedule	21

INTRODUCTION

OBJECTIVES

The primary objective of this project is to develop and validate an objective criterion with which the Air Force can reliably evaluate the compatibility of an individual's physical capacities with the physical demands of the various Air Force Specialty Codes (AFSCs). The validity of the criterion will be measured by the individual's ability, or inability, to successfully perform a selected set of well defined, significantly demanding tasks within an AFSC.

The methodology for accomplishing the objective is divided into several phases. Each of these phases and their interrelationships and interdependencies, as related to the development of the objective assignment criteria, is an inherent part of the technical effort to be performed.

Validation of the Initial Assignment Criterion is intended to demonstrate that an individual's strength and stamina assessments (measured by primary test battery) are within a small percent of the individual's strength and stamina assessments (measured by secondary test battery) and successfully predict an individual's capability to perform work requiring a specified level of demand.

Furthermore, validation of the Final Assignment Criterion should demonstrate that assignment tests can be used to classify individuals according to their ability to perform work with a certain level of demand. This method is designed to demonstrate that approximately 95 percent of the individuals successfully performing the tasks classified as requiring a certain level of demand can pass the test with a certain or larger strength requirements, and that approximately 95 percent of the individuals who have not performed successfully on tasks classified as requiring a certain level of demand cannot pass the tests with an equivalent or larger strength and stamina requirements.

The following is a summary description of the categories of activities and the key factors to be considered:

1. Job Analysis

Perform a comprehensive job analysis encompassing the following activities:

An operational definition of the levels of physical demands of tasks.

A procedure for task analysis and quantification of those tasks which have significant physical demands.

Quantification of the demands of tasks which require significant physical demands.

Identification of well defined tasks which will be referred to as Performance Criteria Tasks (PCTs).

2. Translate Job Demands to Physical Capacities

Job demands will be translated to physical capacities by:

Identification of a battery of objective Strength/Stamina Aptitude Tests which can be used to accurately determine an individual's maximum safe physical capability to perform significantly demanding tasks as defined in the job analysis activities above.

A manual to describe the tests used in the battery, the procedures and equipment required in the administration of the tests, and use of resultant scores. These manuals can be used for training personnel prior to having them administer the test batteries.

The Strength/Stamina Aptitude tests will take into consideration the following factors:

Consistency with the strength and endurance values resulting from the initial task analyses and quantification.

lipper body strength, lower body strength, and whole body strength.

Present versus potential future physical condition, Armed Forces Entrance and Examining Station (AFEES) and Basic Military Training (BMT) schedule impacts.

Test administration in terms of equipment, time, and personnel.

3. Validation

i.

1

And the second se

The finalization and validation of assignment criteria will take into consideration the following factors:

An "assignment criterion" (both initial and final) that is to be used to evaluate the physical canacities of personnel to be enlisted and/or reassigned in order to predict success or non-success in heavy jobs.

Validation of the analysis of the Initial Assignment Criterion and subsequently the Final Assignment Criterion.

Nocumentation of the completed project which will include the Primary and Secondary Test Batteries and a test manual for each battery.

MASTER PROGRAM SCHEDULE

1

The Master Program Schedule is shown in Table 1. This schedule depicts the major milestones to be accomplished within each category of program activites. For convenience, the activities are time-phased with reference to the three scales (calendar year, fiscal year, and months from go-ahead). This schedule has been revised to show the current status of the project in terms of completed, on-going, and projected activites.

This third annual report focuses primary attention on the significant accomplishments during the third year of the project. This is followed by a summary look into the expected future accomplishments for the remainder of the project.

SIGNIFICANT ACCOMPLISHMENTS DURING YEAR 3

FIELD VERIFICATION REVIEWS

The field verification review has been a major source of data for the project to date. A verification review basically consists of sending a team (2-4 members) of qualified civilians to preselected Air Force bases located throughout the United States. At each base visit, normally lasting one week, a formally coordinated and approved schedule is followed. First, a 1 1/2 hour interview is conducted with experienced supervisors and fully qualified airmen selected from various Air Force Specialty Code (AFSC) career fields. These interviews are conducted in appropriate interviewing facilities reserved by the Consolidated Base Personnel Office (CBPO) to ensure uninterrupted operations. The second phase of the review is a verification of the data collected and is conducted in the individual's work area.

Planning and Scheduling

Utilizing a variety of data available within the Air Force personnel system, such as preliminary strength and stamina surveys, percent participation of airmen performing tasks within each AFSC, and other general information pertaining to the organizational units and weapon systems located at each of the Air Force bases, an initial plan was developed to ensure optimization of the bases and AFSCs selected for the reviews. This resulted in meeting established objectives for the stratification of mission performance by major air commands, and variances in job requirements due to geographical factors and weapon systems.

The current "Airman Classification Structure Chart" (used in conjunction with AFR 39-1) serves as the project baseline for the total population of AFSCs to be quantified for physical demands. The 31 October 1981 structure chart lists a total of 226 AFSCs and 188 separately identifiable shredouts, plus an additional 30 "Special Identifier" AFSC's for an overall total of 434 AFSCs/Shredouts. Of this amount, approximately 20% are currently classified as Factor-X three AFSCs (i.e., basically administrative jobs requiring a low level of physical demands). The remaining 80% of the AFSCs, then, are all primary candidates for the verification review process. A priority approach was

Table 1. Master Program Schedule

I

1

ł.

۰.

4

. .

,

	Calendar Year		978	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				979				
PROJECT ACTIVITY	Fiscal Year						FY	179)			·	
	Month	0	N	D	J	F	м		м	J	J	A	s
JOB ANALYSIS	-												
Assemble Task Lists for 240 AFSCs		x-									X		
Develop Survey Questionnaire to 1d	rvey Questionnaire to Identify AFSC												
Tasks Requiring Significant Physi	Requiring Significant Physical Demands							·X					
.Modify Survey Plan (Two Questionna	ires)	XX											
•Administer Questionnaire 1		XX											
Develop Questionnaire 2)	(x
.identify Requirements for Question	naire 2									X	(X	
.Conduct Sample Survey of Questionr	naire 2									Х	(X		
.Refine Questionnaire 2	1										X		X
•Analyze Questionnaire 1 Data		ļ									X		x
.Develop Sampling Scheme for Select	ling Task Lists												
for Questionnaire 2]										-	
Select Tasks/AFSC to be used in Qu	Jest. 2 (Wave 1)											X	
.Validate Tasks Selected for Quest.	2 (Wave 1)												X-
Finalize Tasks Selected for Quest.	, 2 (Wave 1)											X-]
.identify Interface with PROMIS Pro	ogram	}										X	(-X
Perform Hazard Analysis & Procure	Test Equipment]											
for Task Quantification								X					

	Calendar Year	,	979	 >						98(<u>,</u>					T
PROJECT ACTIVITY	Fiscal Year						F	Y 1								Ţ
	Month	0	N	D	3	F	I,	М	A	M	I.	,	J	A	s	Ι
.Select Tasks/AFSC to be used in Qu	uest. 2 (Wave 1)	i		-X-			-									I
.Validate Tasks Selected for Quest.	. 2 (Wave 1)			X												ł
.Finalize Tasks Selected for Quest.	. 2 (Wave 1)			-X												1
Perform Hazard Analysis & Procure	rocure Test Equipment						+									
for Task Quantification		X					<u> </u>						ł			
.Revise/Finalize Format for Questic	onnatre 2	X-		X	:											
Pilot Survey using Questionnaire :	2		X	X												ł
.Evaluation of Pilot Study Results				>	(
Administration of Questionnaire 2	(Wave 1)				X-			X	:							
Preliminary Evaluation of Quest.	2 Results							х-		X						ł
.Select Tasks/AFSC for Quest. 2 (Wi	eve 2)			×	()	x								1
Development of Field Validation Pr	rocedures			>	(x										1
.Testing of Field Validation Proceed	dures					X			x							
Finalization of Field Validation F	Procedures							X	(>	(
Field Data Collection						X		-		•			-	-		ł
Development of Data Handling Proce	edures											2	X			1
Preliminary Field Data Analyses											_			_	<u>x-</u>	

-4--

-5-


Table 1. Master Program Schedule (cont.)

				_		_		_					
	Calendar Year		980						981				
PROJECT ACTIVITY	Fiscal Year						FY	' '8'					
	Month	0	N	D	J	F	M		м	ſ	L	A	s
JOB ANALYSIS							_						
-Field Data Collection								~					-x
Revision of Data Handling Procedur	A						x	X					
Field Data Analysis	U I	۱								_			
Preliminary AFEES and BMT Schedule	Analysis									Y			
Freithind y Arees and own Schedure	narysis									~		~~~	
TRANSLATE JOB DEMANDS INTO PHYSICAL	CAPACITIES												
Development of Simulated Tasks					X-				****		_]
.identification of Candidate Tests	for Battery					X-			-				
		1											- 1
VALIDATION OF ASSIGNMENT CRITERION													
Development of Field Validation Pr	otocol	1									x-		x
Development of Incumbant Sampling	Plan	ł									X-		-x
.Preparation for Base Validation Tr	ips	1									<u>X-</u>		

ł

Share Share

İ,



taken to evaluate Factor-X one AFSCs first and then the Factor-X two AFSCs. An initial plan was developed which identified the bases to be visited and the number of supervisors to be interviewed by AFSC. In those cases where the jobs reflected by AFSC shredouts are uniquely different due to the different weapon systems involved, each shredout was treated as if it were a separate AFSC. For planning purposes, each AFSC (or applicable shredout) was scheduled for four supervisor interviews as a minimum.

Figure 1 is an illustration of the Verification Review Plan, reflecting updated status of the plan after each base visit. The assigned "K-number" in column 1 is an internal cross-reference number and has no significance to the reader. Columns 2 and 3 identify the Air Force designated AFSC number and title (by AFSC shredout where applicable). Columns 4-6 reflect the current status of interviews for each AFSC. Initially, each AFSC was scheduled for a minimum of four interviews (Col. 5, Interviews Remaining): the number of interviews scheduled by trip number (circled) were then planned out.

Upon completion of a base visit, the number of actual interviews conducted is posted in Col. 4 with a corresponding strike out of the trip number in Col. 6. An estimate of the remaining number of interviews required is then entered in Col. 5 and updated with its proper trip number in Col. 6. Since a separate task list is ued for each AFSC interviewed, Col. 7 identifies, by checkmark, that a Task List has been completed and is available for use. Lastly, when the AFSC has been evaluated for completeness, an audited worksheet accomplished, and the data is loaded into the computer, the last column is checked, indicating the AFSC is closed out for the purposes of data collection requirements. Every AFSC is planned, and tracked in a similar manner to ensure proper overall project visibility.

Verification Review Procedures

9

Appendix A contains a copy of the latest written procedures that are used in conducting verification review interviews, validating data in the working areas, and other pertinent procedures for closing out an AFSC in the terms of the data collection process. The procedures provide sample illustrations of the forms used with typical data. Formal authorization and control of those procedures is maintained to ensure proper documentation control.

Once sufficient data are collected for an AFSC to be closed out, a summary sheet is accomplished and filed with the full documentation for the AFSC.

Appendix B contains a sample of the required summary and a Frequency Distribution Chart on the predominent activity for the AFSC as stated by the supervisors interviewed. This chart depicts the number of actual weights verified for objects lifted/lowered plus the frequency of estimated weights of objects obtained in the interviews with supervisors.

-6-

Figure 1. VERIFICATION REVIEWS: PLANNED SCHEDULE & STATUS

	rigute 1.	FIGURE I. VENTRICATION REVIEWS: FLANNED SCHEDULE & STATUS	SUATUS			-	page 6 of 14	of 14
-			Stati	ua of Inte	Status of Interviews (Thru Trip / 38)	Taak	Interve Close	Closed
X	AFSC	Title	Complete	Complete Remaining	# Planned / On Trip #	_	Comp.	Out
(Col.1)) (Col.2)	(Col.3)	(Col.4)	(Col.5)	(Col.6)	(Col.7)	(Col. 7)(Col. 8)(Col. 9)	(Col.9)
112	306 × 0	Elect Comm & Crypto Equip. 543	ę	a	8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			
162	306X1	Elect Mech. Comm. & Crypto Equip. 542	Ś	0	20 10 10 10 10		<	
u3	304X2	Telecomm. Sys./Equip. Maint.	7	0	2 (H) (H) (H) ((H) 2 (H)	~	7	,
114	307×0	Telecomm. 5x2. Control	S	0	2(H) 1 (H) 2 (H)	ζ.	>	~
115	308×0	Space Sys Equip.	0	4	2 (H) 2 (L)	7	1	
118	321 X2*	Heapon Control Systems			-			
1	4	F-106 A/B (MA-1, A59-25 575)	6	2)	2	>		1
,	v	F-106 A/B (MA-1, A5Q-25 Subsys)	1/0	2 7	2 (f)			
ļ	م	F-4 00 (APQ-109/APA-165)			@	>		
1	ð	F-4E. (APQ-120)	0	2	2 (f)			
<u>v</u>	322X2	Avionic Sensor 5x5						
	<	Recon Electronic Sensors	2)	6	(Ø, Ø	X	>	
	Ð	Tac/Real Time Display Elect Sensors	4 \ 4	0{0		,		X
	3	Electro . applied Sensors	3)	0	6.6.6	X	Υ	
Ē	324 X O	Precision Measuring Equip	-	0	200 200 1 (B) 1 (B)	~	۲ ۲	<u> </u>
120	325 X Q	Auty Filt Con. Sys	s	0	2 (A) 2 (D)	,	2	
5	325X1	Avionics Instm. Sys			200,00,00,00,00,00		>	;
	 (((-1	-(

-7-

an an ann an Arland ann an Aonraigh


Preliminary Evaluation of Field Verification Data

and the second range of the second

Although data collection is not completed yet, there are some preliminary assessments that can be made on the information gathered from the verification reviews as of this reporting data. Figures 2 and 3 show that a total of 713 personnel have been interviewed on the 37 base visits conducted and an estimated 67 AFSCs have been closed out or will be when administrative paperwork is completed. These cumulative accomplishments through fiscal year 1981 compare favorably to the commitments made early in the year for anaccelerated project schedule. These accomplishmens are attributed to the increased manpower applied to the project, a higher degree of efficiency obtained from those resources, and a conscientious effort to place a high priority on data collection for the last four months of the fiscal year. No verification review trips are planned for the month of October in order to work-off the backlog of administrative paperwork. As such, the figure of 67 AFSCs completed at the end of September is a conservative estimate. The vast majority of AFSCs currently classified as Factor-X one have been completed and a substantial number of Factor-X two AFSCs are nearing the completion status.

Table 2 is a summary of the 713 supervisors interviewed by grade and major command of assignment. The average grade of E-6, Tech Sergeant coincides with the targeted grade established at the outset of the project. The average years of experience working in the AFSC (10 1/2 years) is a good indicator of the total experience possessed by the personnel interviewed. The balance of first-term airmen, on the lower end of the spectrum and the expertise reflected by the "super-grade" NCO's at the other end have provided a more complete picture of the varying perspectives and viewpoints. In addition, a representative stratification of major command of assignment has been obtained and will be most beneficial in the analysis of the data collected, especially in terms of variance in mission performance and weapon systems involved.

Table 3 is a summary of some of the pertinent data for the most physically demanding AFSC's (X-1 classification). The overall concensus of the 145 supervisors interviewed indicates that lift/lower is the predominant activity will the push/pull and carry following in that order. As might be expected, the personnel interviewed indicated that endurance was also a significant factor in many of these most physically demanding AFSCs (as indicated by the check mark in the yes column). Of the supervisors interviewed for each AFSC, the average number of the tasks identified during the interview to require endurance is recorded in the last column of the chart. For example, the concensus of nine supervisors interviewed for AFSC 921XO (Surviva) Training) was that an average of 11 1/2 of the 25 representative tasks selected for their AFSC involved some form of local or whole body endurance. For the other AFSCs shown, an arbitrary figure of six tasks was used to identify endurance as a significant added consideration; less than six tasks are annotated with a check mark in the "No" column.



-9-

TABLE 2. Supervisors	Interviewed	(by G	rade 8	& Majcom)	
----------------------	-------------	-------	--------	-----------	--

Gr	ade	_#	SAC	MAC	TAC	AFLC	AFSC	ATC	OTHER
E-9	CMS	12	1	8	1			1	1
E-8	SMS	27	5	13	5	1		1	2
E-7	MSG	138	30	30	32	12	5	11	18
E-6	TSG	239	78	46	54	10	8	13	30
E-5	SSG	211	44	43	63	19	6	5	31
E-4	SGT & Lower	83 3	16 2	18	25 	7	1	$\frac{10}{1}$	6
		713	176	158	180	49	20	42	88

SUPERVISORS INTERVIEWED (BY GRADE & MAJCOM)

Average Grade: E-6, TSG ... Average Years Experience in AFSC: 10 1/2 years

-10-

		#		ctivi	ty	E	ndur	ance
AFSC	TITLE	SUPV's						Avg #
		INTVW	lst	2nd	3rd	Yes	No	Tasks
115X0	Pararescue/Recovery	5	с	LL	НР	x		18
316X1	Missile Systems Maint.	7	ĹĹ	C	РР		X	2
316X2	Missile Electronic Equip.	10	ĹĹ	Ċ	PP	X		2 7
328X2	Electronic Warefare Sys.	4	LL	Ċ	PP		X	4.5
361 X O	Cable & Antenna Install				ĺ	í I		
	Maint.	4	рр	LL	С	X		15
361X1	Cable Splice Install &				-			
	Maint.	5	PP		l c	X		12
423X2	Aircraft Egress Systems	5	LL	PP	HP		x	5.5
431X0	Helicopter Maint.	10	ĹĹ	PP	C	X		8.5
431X1	Tactical A/C Maint.	14	LL	PP	HP	X		8
431X2	Alft/Bomb A/C Maint.	22	Ē	PP	С		x	8 5
443X0	Missile Maint.	10	PP		C		X	5.75
443X1	Missile Pneudraulic	_						
	Repair	3	LL	PP	С	X		7.5
445X1	Msl. Liquid Propellant							-
	System Maint.	4		С	рр	X	. 1	9
542X1	Electrical Power Line	6	CLI	РР	LL	(x)		8.5
545XO	Refrigeration and							
	Cryognics	6	LL	РР	С	X		6
545X2	Heating Systems	6	LL	C	PP	X		9.5
551XO	Pavements Maint.	6	LL	C	РР	X		16
551X1	Construction Equipment	5 4	LL	PP	HP		X	5.5
571X0	Fire Protection	4	LL	C	РР	X		10
921X0	Survival Training	9	С	LL	PP	X		11.5
	TOTAL	145	LL	РР	С	14	6	9

TABLE 3. Summary of Top-20 AFSCs (Most Physically Demanding)

-11-

ŧ

Recognizing that although all of the data for these selected AFSCs has not been tabulated (from the most recent base visits), this summary still represents about 500 tasks measured and over 5000 activity/task combinations measured for the family of objects related to the perform-ance of these jobs. In a majority of cases, actual weights and forces have been obtained through verification of data in the work areas and other sources such as tech orders, standard publications and specifications when available.

In general, the estimates provided by personnel interviewed show the best correlation to actuals for the lift/lower activity. Personnel working in AFSCs where extensive use of tech orders is a normal part of their daily work generally provided the best estimates for lift/lower activities; the avionics family of AFSCs are typical.

There is a marked difference in the correlation of push/pull estimated forces to actuals. The average airman found it much more difficult to estimate the pounds of force required to push/pull an object. Furthermore, only a small percentage of personnel interviewed could accurately perceive the force required for turn/torque activities, the exception would be personnel performing in an AFSC like 324X0, (Precision Measuring Equipment) were torque measurements are common place in the normal work routine.

Much of the success of the verification reviews conducted to date must be credited to the outstanding support received from all Air Force personnel associated with the effort the technical monitor's office for coordinating all base visits; the project representatives from the Air Force Personnel Center (MPCRPO) for their timely response on numerous informational requests and their helpful guidance; the CBPO representative at each base for their efficient scheduling of interviews and facilities; and, most important, all of the Air Force personnel interviewed for their professional support. All displayed a great interest in the project and provided invaluable assistance.

DEVELOPMENT OF ASSIGNMENT CRITERION

Analytical Data Base

A new computer file record layout has been defined for recording a portion of the field interview data for use in the development of the assignment criterion. The new file structure was required in order to accommodate new data structures being obtained from improved interview procedures in the field. The new file layout contains only a single record format and fewer data items. A copy of the new, abbreviated file structure and layout are shown in Table 4. In addition, a sample printout of the file contents is given in Figure 4.

In the process of computer file definition to incorporate the improved field procedures, it was decided to simultaneously incorporate a more concise scope of purpose for the computer file. The scope of use TABLE 4. Structure of Computerized Data File

1

Field	Contents		
1-4	K-NUMBER		
6-11	AFSC		
13-14	TASK		
16-18	Activity/Range (Coded)		
20-53	OBJECT		
55-56	Simulated Task (Coded)		
58-60	Actual Force		
62-64	Est'd. Force		
66	Activity (Uncoded)		
68-69	Range (Uncoded)		
71-73	AFSC Line No.		

-13-

Figure 4. SAMPLE PRINTOUT OF COMPUTERIZED FILE CONTENTS

1

12P 316X1L W CAR GUIDANCE SECTION (AGM-45) C4 43 12P 316X1L Z1 P/F MUNITION TRAILER W/MISSLE COMP. F3 67 12P 316X1L Z2 LFK AGM-65 COMPONENT L6 67 12P 316X1L Z2 P/F AGM-65 COMPONENT F3 75 12P 316X1L Z2 CAR AGM-65 COMPONENT C3 67 12P 316X1L Z2 CAR AGM-65 COMPONENT C3 67 12P 316X1L Z3 LFK GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) L6 75 12P 316X1L Z3 F/F GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) K4 12 12P 316X1L Z3 T/T GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) H1 75 12P 316X1L Z4 P/F AGM-65 MISSLE ON TRUCK X4 12P 316X1L Z4 P/F AGM-65 MISSLE ON PA </th <th>845 85 88 89 91 92 94 95 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97</th>	845 85 88 89 91 92 94 95 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97
12P 316X1L 22 LFK AGM-65 COMPONENT L6 67 12P 316X1L 22 P/P AGM-65 COMPONENT F3 75 12P 316X1L 22 P/P AGM-65 COMPONENT C3 67 12P 316X1L 23 P/F AGM-65 COMPONENT C3 67 12P 316X1L 23 LFK GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) L6 75 12P 316X1L 23 P/P GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) L6 75 12P 316X1L 23 P/P GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) K4 12P 316X1L 23 T/T GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) K4 12P 316X1L Z4 P/P AGM-65 MISSLE ON TRUCK K4 12P 316X1L Z5 OTH MHU-83 BOMB LIFT TRUCK K4 12P 316X1L Z6 CAR UMBILICAL TEST SCH	86 87 88 89
12P 316X1L 22 P/P AGM-65 COMPONENT F3 75 12P 316X1L Z2 CAR AGM-65 COMPONENT C3 67 12P 316X1L Z3 LFK GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) L6 75 12P 316X1L Z3 LFK GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) L6 75 12P 316X1L Z3 T/T GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) K4 12P 316X1L Z4 P/P AGM-65 MISSLE DN TRUCK K4 12P 316X1L Z5 OTH MHU-83 BOMB LIFT TRUCK K4 12P 316X1L Z6 CAR UMBILICAL TEST SC	87 88 87
12P 316X1L 22 CAR AGH-65 COMPONENT C3 67 12P 316X1L Z3 LFK GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) L6 75 12P 316X1L Z3 LFK GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) L6 75 12P 316X1L Z3 T/T GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) F3 12P 316X1L Z3 T/T GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) F3 12P 316X1L Z3 HPK GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) H1 75 12P 316X1L Z3 HPK GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) H1 75 12P 316X1L Z5 OTH MHU-83 BOMB LIFT TRUCK ## 12P 316X1L Z6 LFK UMBILICAL TEST SET L6 45 12P 316X1L Z6 CAR UMBILICAL TEST C4 45 12P 316X1L Z7 P/P BISO	88 87
12F 316X1L 22 CBR HOR-SS CURFORENT C3 67 12F 316X1L Z3 LFK GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) L6 75 12P 316X1L Z3 P/P GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) F3 12P 316X1L Z3 T/T GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) F3 12P 316X1L Z3 H/K GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) H1 75 12P 316X1L Z3 H/K GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) H1 75 12P 316X1L Z3 H/K GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) H1 75 12P 316X1L Z3 H/K GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) H1 75 12P 316X1L Z4 P/P AGM-65 MISSLE ON TRUCK ## 12P 316X1L Z6 LFK UMBILICAL TEST L6 45 12P 316X1L Z6 CAR UMBILICAL TEST C4 45 12P 316X1L Z7 P/P GISO TEST C0 43 12P 316X1L <td>87</td>	87
12P 316X1L 23 E/F GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) F3 12P 316X1L Z3 T/T GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) F3 12P 316X1L Z3 T/T GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) #* 12P 316X1L Z3 HPK GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) #1 75 12P 316X1L Z4 P/P AGM-65 MISSLE ON TRUCK #* 12P 316X1L Z5 OTH MHU-83 BOMB LIFT TRUCK #* 12P 316X1L Z6 LFK UMBILICAL TEST SET L6 45 12P 316X1L Z6 CAR UMBILICAL TEST SET C4 45 12P 316X1L Z6 LFS TOL DEST CONSOLE (WT 1630) P3 63 12P 316X1L Z8 LFS TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) L2 32 12P 316X1L	
12P 316X1L 23 P/P GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) F3 12P 316X1L Z3 T/T GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) #* 12P 316X1L Z3 HPK GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) H1 75 12P 316X1L Z4 P/P AGM-65 MISSLE ON TRUCK #* 12P 316X1L Z5 OTH MHU-83 BOMB LIFT TRUCK #* 12P 316X1L Z6 LFK UMBILICAL TEST SET L6 45 12P 316X1L Z6 CAR UMBILICAL TEST SET C4 45 12P 316X1L Z6 CAR UMBILICAL TEST C4 45 12P 316X1L Z6 LFT CDN DOLE (WT 1630) P3 63 12P 316X1L Z8 CAR TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) L2 32 12P 316X1L Z8 CAR TOOL <td< td=""><td>90 91 92 93 95 95 95 95 98</td></td<>	90 91 92 93 95 95 95 95 98
12P 316X1L 23 1/1 GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) #X 12P 316X1L Z3 HPK GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) H1 75 12P 316X1L Z3 HPK GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) H1 75 12P 316X1L Z3 HPK GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) H1 75 12P 316X1L Z5 OTH HU-83 BOMB LIFT TRUCK ## 12P 316X1L Z6 LFK UMBILICAL TEST E4 45 12P 316X1L Z6 CAR UMBILICAL TEST SET C4 45 12P 316X1L Z6 CAR UMBILICAL TEST CDNSDE (WT 1630) P3 63 12P 316X1L Z8 LFS TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) L2 32 12P 316X1L Z8 CAR TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) C2	91 92 93 94 95 95 97 98 99
12P 316X1L 23 MPK GUIDANCE UNIT (AIM-7) H1 75 12P 316X1L 24 P/P AGM-65 MISSLE ON TRUCK #* 12P 316X1L 25 OTH MHU-83 BOMB LIFT TRUCK #* 12P 316X1L 26 LFK UMBILICAL TEST L6 45 12P 316X1L 26 LAR UMBILICAL TEST C4 45 12P 316X1L Z7 P/P GISO TEST C4 45 12P 316X1L Z7 P/P GISO TEST C0NSDLE (WT 1630) P3 63 12P 316X1L Z8 LFS TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) L2 32 12P 316X1L Z8 CAR TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) C2 32	92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99
12P 316X1L Z4 P/P AGM-65 MISSLE ON TRUCK ## 12P 316X1L Z5 OTH HHU-83 BOMB LIFT TRUCK ## 12P 316X1L Z5 OTH HHU-83 BOMB LIFT TRUCK ## 12P 316X1L Z5 LFK UMBILICAL TEST SET L6 45 12P 316X1L Z6 CAR UMBILICAL TEST SET C4 45 12P 316X1L Z7 P/P G150 TEST C0NSOLE (WT 1630) P3 63 12P 316X1L Z8 LFS TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) L2 32 12P 316X1L Z8 CAR TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) C2 32	93 94 95 96 97 98 99
12P 316X1L Z5 0TH MHU-83 BOMB LIFT TRUCK ## 12P 316X1L Z6 LFK UMBILICAL TEST ET L6 45 12P 316X1L Z6 CAR UMBILICAL TEST SET C4 45 12P 316X1L Z7 P/P G150 TEST CDNSOLE (WT 1630) P3 63 12P 316X1L Z8 LFS TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) L2 32 12P 316X1L Z8 CAR TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) C2 32	94 95 96 97 98 99
12P 316X1L Z6 LFK UMBILICAL TEST SET L6 45 12P 316X1L Z6 CAR UMBILICAL TEST SET C4 45 12P 316X1L Z6 CAR UMBILICAL TEST SET C4 45 12P 316X1L Z6 CAR UMBILICAL TEST CDNSOLE (WT 1630) P3 63 12P 316X1L Z8 LS7 TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) L2 32 12P 316X1L Z8 CAR TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) C2 32	95 96 97 98 99
12F 316X1L Z6 CAR UMBILICAL TEST C4 45 12F 316X1L Z7 P/P B150 TEST CDNSDLE (WT 1630) P3 63 12P 316X1L Z8 LST TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) L2 32 12P 316X1L Z8 CAR TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) C2 32	96 97 98 99
12P 316X1L Z7 P/P G150 TEST CDNSOLE (WT 1630) P3 63 12P 316X1L Z8 LFS TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) L2 32 12P 316X1L Z8 CAR TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) C2 32	97 98 99
12P 316X1L Z8 LFS TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) L2 32 12P 316X1L Z8 CAR TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) C2 32	98 99
12F 316X1L ZB CAR TOOL BOX (FOR DRONE MTN.) C2 32	99
12F 316X1L Z9 LFS 829G INSTR. CALIBRATN EQUIP L7 49	100
12P 316X1L Z9 CAR 829G INSTR. CALIBRATN EQUIP C3 49	101
163 316XOT A LFK MISSILE SIMULATOR L6 56	1
163 316X07 B LFK MISSILE SIMULATOR L6 36	2
163 316XOT C LFR PROCESSOR DIST. UNIT L9 38	3
163 316XOT D LFK DIGITAL DATA INSERTER (AN/AJQ-22A) L6 46	4
163 316XOT E LFK DIGITAL DATA INSERTER (AN/AJQ-22A) L6 46	5
163 316XOT E LFK SCORING DATA PRINTER SET L6 40	6
163 316XOT G LFK DIGITAL DATA INSERTER (AN/AJQ-22A) L6 46	7
163 316X0T H LFK DIGITAL DATA INSERTER (AN/AJQ-22A) L6 46	8
163 316XOT I P/P MA-3 AIR COND. AGE,4 WHEELS P5 B6 163 316XOT J S/D SHOVEL - (SNGW AND ICE) ## 163 316XOT N LFR FAN (INSTALLATION) - B-52/G/H L9 28 163 316XOT N HPR FAN (INSTALLATION) - B-52/G/H H4 28	- 9
163 316X0T J S/D SHOVEL - (SNUW AND ICE) **	10
163 316X0T N LFR FAN (INSTALLATION) - B-52/G/H L9 28	11
163 316X0T N HPR FAN (INSTALLATION) - B-52/G/H H4 28	-12
163 316XOT P LFK MISSILE SIMULATOR (AN/AWM-40A) L6 56	17
163 316XOT P LFK MISSILE SIMULATOR (AN/AWM-40A) L6 36 163 316XOT Q LFR FAN - B52/G/H (INSTALLATION) L9 28	14
163 316X0T Q HPR FAN - 352/G/H (INSTALLATION) H4 28	15
163 316X0T R LFK PROCESSOR DIST. UNIT L6 38	1.6
163 316X0T S P/P N2 BOTTLE P4 37	17
163 316X0T S LFK N2 BOTTLE L6 73	10
163 316X0T T LFR FAN - B52-G/H (INSTALLATION) L9 28	10
$163 \ 316 \ 401 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \$	17
163 316X0T T HPR FAN - B52-G/H (INSTALLATION) H4 28	20
163 316X0T U LFR PROCESSOR DIST, UNIT L9 38	21
163 316XOT V LFK CONTROL GUIDANCE UNIT L6 12	22
163 SIGKUT W I/T GUIDANCE ASSEMBLY **	23
163 316X0T A LFK MISSILE SIMULATOR L6 56 163 316X0T B LFK MISSILE SIMULATOR L6 56 163 316X0T C LFR PROCESSOR DIST. UNIT L9 38 163 316X0T D LFK DIGITAL DATA INSERTER (AN/AJQ-22A) L6 46 163 316X0T E LFK DIGITAL DATA INSERTER (AN/AJQ-22A) L6 46 163 316X0T E LFK SCORING DATA PRIMER SET L6 40 163 316X0T E LFK SCORING DATA PRIMER SET L6 40 163 316X0T E LFK DIGITAL DATA INSERTER (AN/AJQ-22A) L6 46 163 316X0T I F/P MA-3 AIR COND. AGE,4 WHEELS P5 B6 163 316X0T I F/F FAN (INSTALLATION) - B-52/G/H L9 28 163 316X0T N LFR FAN (INSTALLATION) - B-52/G/H L6 56 163 316X0T G LFR FAN - B52/G/H (INSTALLATION) L9 28 163 316X0T G LFR FAN - B52/G/H (INSTALLATION) L9 28 163 316X0T R LFR PROCESSOR DIST. UNIT L6 38	24
163 316X0T X LFK MISSILE BATTERY L6 25	25
163 316XOT Y CAR WEEDEATER WYSHOULDER STRAP C6 27	26
163 316X0T Z1 LFR FAN - B52-G/H (INSTALLATION) L9 28	27
163 316XOT 0 LFR FAN - B52/G/H (INSTALLATION) L9 28 163 316XOT 0 HPR FAN - B52/G/H (INSTALLATION) H4 28 163 316XOT R LFK PROCESSOR DIST. UNIT L6 38 163 316XOT R LFK PROCESSOR DIST. UNIT L6 38 163 316XOT S LFK P2 BOTTLE L6 73 163 316XOT S LFK N2 BOTTLE L6 73 163 316XOT T LFR FAN - B52-G/H (INSTALLATION) L9 28 163 316XOT T HPR FAN - B52-G/H (INSTALLATION) H4 28 163 316XOT U LFR PROCESSOR DIST. UNIT L9 38 163 316XOT U LFR PROCESSOR DIST. UNIT L9 38 163 316XOT V LFR CONTROL GUIDANCE UNIT L6 12 163 316XOT W P/F GUIDANCE ASSEMBLY ### 163 316XOT <	28

-14-

for the new computer file is predominately that of analytical modeling. It was determined to be impractical to continue trying to use the computer file as a master reference repository for most of the field interview data. The field interview data master reference repository is now in the form of manual paperwork, in order to accommodate the dispersive evolution of field data recording methods and procedures.

Implemenation of some of the preliminary analytical procedures (model) for processing the analytical data base has begun. The laboratory-based linear least squares equations are being used convert the various kinds of biomechanical job demands to a more parsimoneously dimensioned measurement (hopefully univariate), in order to obtain universally comparable measures of biomechanical job demand regardless of AFSC, task, resistance type, or root biomechanical activity or posture.

The principle remaining tasks associated with the analytical data base are to (1) continue loading the remainder of analytical model data from field interviews into the data base, and (2) to incorporate the remaining linear least squares weight-machine prediction equations.

Development of Simulated Tasks

1


Data collected during the base visits have been used to categorize the task demands of the AFSCs. Manual material handling activities, i.e., lift/lower, push/pull, carry, and hold, account for 90 percent of the demanding activities. These activities have been subcategorized for performance measures into simulated tasks that are common across AFSCs. These simulated tasks are derived from and consistent with the variable definitions for the task demand analysis. In this way, the range of movement, type of movement, rate, frequency, and other parameters are comparable for task demand and individuals' performance. The simulated tasks developed to date are shown in Figure 5 through 8.

Identification of Candidate Tests for Battery

The majority of the manual materials handling activities associated with demanding tasks involve lifting activities. These tasks include requirements for muscular strength and/or endurance. To reflect these requirements, lifting tests were developed which measure both strength and endurance using an incremental weight lifting machine. This machine has a range of movement from one foot above the floor to seven feet. The weight may be selected in 10 pound increments over a range of 40 to 200 pounds.

A laboratory study was conducted at Texas Tech University to obtain comparison data between the simulated tasks and the lifting tests. Seventy students (age 18-21) served as research subjects for the development and testing of the proposed simulated tasks and lifting machine tests. Regression analyses were made between the simulated tasks and the lifting machine test scores. The R² values ranged from .45 to .92.

Based on these results, simulated tasks and machine tests to be performed by a group of incumbents will be selected. Consideration will be given to the correlation of the simulated tasks to the Figure 5. SIMULATED TASKS FOR LIFT ACTIVITIES



-16-

Figure 6. SIMULATED TASKS FOR PUSH/PULL ACTIVITIES



e : aile

.

.

÷

ľ


1





Low Level Push at or Jelow Relat

P1



Cyper Level Fush above Waist



inner Level Fill Above Waist

P4



P7

iow ievel Persiasi Pull

P6

Back Push

P5


Over Send Fertical Pull

P8

-17-

Figure 7. SIMULATED TASKS FOR CARRY ACTIVITIES

÷



-18-

Figure 8. SIMULATED TASKS FOR HOLD ACTIVITIES

H2

E/P WAIST LEVEL



- 1

ь .

4

5/P LON. 7-K



A/F MEACE LEVEL



3/7 SHOULDER LEVEL

-19-

machine tests and to each other in reducing the number of simulated tasks and machine tests to be used during the base testing. The tests include:

- 1. an incremental lift to six feet to establish a maximum,
- a repetitative lift to six feet of a weight equal to the maximum minus 10 pounds,
- 3. a 70 pound hold at elbow height, and
- 4. an incremental lift to knuckle height.

The first test reflects total body strength; the second, whole body endurance; the third, upper arm strength; and the fourth, leg strength.

Incumbent Sampling Plan

i a fit t

۱. ۱

The field data necessary for constructing the final test battery is to be obtained by testing incumbents at 10 to 12 bases which have been visited for on-site interviews. Each incumbent will be tested on the simulated tasks and the lifeing machine tests. Proposed AFSCs to be covered include almost all Factor-X one AFSCs and many of the Factor-X two AFSCs. A total of 800 subjects will be tested. It is anticipated that the sample will reflect the current mixture of males and females performing in the selected AFSCs. The sample size indicated allows for studying the variation among incumbents within/between bases and also within/between AFSCs. Furthermore, this sample size should also allow a factor analysis to be done within appropriate subsets of incumbents. The number of incumbents to be tested may be reduced upon examination of the incoming data as it is obtained.

Preparation for Base Validation Trips

Travel to Air Force bases for collection of validation data will be made in a specially outfitted van. A van that can be dedicated for this purpose has been obtained. It will be used to carry the weight lifting machine and necessary equipment for the simulated tasks. Present plans are for it to be parked in a hanger area during the base visit. In this way, some of the equipment for the simulated tasks can be mounted on it, thus making it easier to set up and administer the testing. Appropriate planning and modifications for this are currently being done. Necessary proposals for the protection of human subjects will be prepared and submitted to the appropriate review committees for Texas Tech and the Air Force. The presently scheduled bases for the validation are listed by major command in Table 5 and illustrated on a map in Figure 9.

OTHER ACTIVITES

On 27-28 August 1981, a tri-service workshop was held at AFAMRL. The objective of this workshop was to exchange methods and data concerning (a) the analysis of the physical demands of military jobs, (b) physical strength and endurance data relevant to military personnel, (c) comparisons of performance on physical tests with performance in physically demanding jobs, (d) safety, reliability, maintainability, and cost considerations for strength testing equipment.


Trip	Base/Location	MAJCOM	
1	Dyess AFB Abilene, TX	SAC	
2	Carswell AFB Ft. Worth, TX	SAC	
3	Little Rock AFB Little Rock, AR	SAC	
4	Scott AFB Belleville, IL	MAC/ AFCC	
5	Wright-Patterson AFB Dayton, OH	AFLC	
6	F.E. Warren AFB Cheyenne, WY	SAC	
7	Hill AFB Ogden, UT	AFLC/ TAC	
8	Travis AFB Fairfield, CA	MAC	
9	Nellis AFB Las Vegas, NV	TAC	
9*	Kirtland AFB Albuquerque, NM	MAC/ AFSC	
10	Cannon AFB Clovis, NM	TAC	

TABLE 5. Van Validation Schedule

*Alternate Base

h

- -.



The attendees of this workshop were scientists representing military research organizations and military contractors who are currently working toward establishing physical standards for military jobs. The attendees concluded that the workshop was of great value to the participants and that sufficient work remains to justify one or two additional workshops in the near future. A tri-service program was considered feasible and the program managers for the three services will continue to work toward that end. Future interface meetings should be equally useful as evidenced by the many common jobs among the military services shown in Appendix C.

FUTURE ACTIVITIES

The Master Program Schedule shows the activities to be performed to achieve the project objectives. This schedule reflects the changes that have been made to the original time table during the course of this project. During year 4, the work on the project will be completed and the final assignment criterion established and documented.

The major categories of effort and their steps to be performed to complete the project are summarized below.

Job Analysis

The objective is the analysis of Air Force tasks requiring significant physical demands. The steps to be completed are:

- 1. Task analysis of remaining AFSCs to idendify physically demanding tasks.
- 2. AFSC task quantification in physical units, and
- 3. AFEES and BMT analysis.

Translate Job Demands and Physical Capacities

This phase is concerned with the development of appropriate candidate tests. The steps to be completed are:

- 1. Analysis of appropriate field verification data,
- 2. Development of appropriate simulated tasks, and
- 3. Identification of additional candidate tests.

Validation of Assignment Criterion

This phase will be concerned with the selection, finalization, and testing of the assignment criterion. The steps to be completed are:

- 1. Final preparation for base validation trips,
- 2. Collection of validation data at bases,
- 3. Analysis of validation data,
- 4. Development of final assignment criterion, and
- 5. Documentation of primary and secondary test batteries and their administrative procedures.

-24-

1

APPENDIX A

.

VERIFICATION REVIEW PROCEDURES

VERIFICATION REVIEW PROCEDURES ESTABLISHING CRITERIA FOR ASSIGNING PERSONNEL TO AIR FORCE JOBS

CONTENTS

A. Interview

B. Questions/Prompts

- C. Completing the Interview
- D. Verification
- E. Post Trip
- F. Final Audit and Close-Out of AFSC
- G. Verification Review Trip Preparation

ATTACHMENTS

- A. Instructions for Ranking Tasks on Task List
- B. Ranked Task List
- C. Interview Information Legend
- D. Simulated Task Information
- E. Interview Sheets (?)
- F. Worksheet
- G. Interview and Verification Assignment Schedule
- H. Supervisor Roster
- I. Trip Schedule Sheet
- J. Contents of Trip Kit
- K. General Information

-25-

VERIFICATION REVIEW PROCEDURES

A. INTERVIEW

- 1. Fill out the heading of the Interview Sheet. *MAJCOM-Major Command •TAC, SAC, MAC, AFLC, AFSC, AFCC, ATC, AAC, PACAF *Get the exact AFSC (Air Force Specialty Code). •Oualification level •Applicable shread out and/or prefix •Current duty title *Yrs. Exp. - years experience in AFSC. •Round fractions up *Current X-Factor (Physical Profile Serial Factor "X").
- State the purpose of the interview.
 *To develop an effective X-Factor test, given at AFEES, which will assess the physical capacity of entering airmen.
 *To quantify the physical (strength/stamina) demands associated with each of selected AFSC career fields.
- 3. State the primary sources of data. *A survey/questionnaire to approximately 20 experienced supervisors in each AFSC. *A personal interview with approximately 5 experienced supervisors in each AFSC. •Emphasize this source as the most important of the two.
- 4. Provide the supervisor with Instructions for Ranking Tasks On Task List. *Read the Instructions for Ranking Tasks on Task List aloud to the supervisor while he reads his copy. *Make certain he understands physical demand includes strength and/or endurance.
- 5. Provide the supervisor with a task list. *Have the supervisor scan the task list for familiarity.
- 6. Have the supervisor rank the tasks he has performed in the task list according to physical demand (1 Highest--25 Lowest).
 *Indicate it is permissible to use NA (not applicable) for a task(s) he has not performed or is no longer required of airmen in AFSC.
- Ask the supervisor to return the ranked task list to you.
 *Transcribe his ranking of the task list to your Interview Sheet (or copy in order as you question/interview).
- 8. Have the supervisor become familiar with the format of the information required.
 *You may provide a copy of an Interview Sheet for reference/comments.
 *Review the Interview Information Legend with him.

-25-

*Note the range/level breakout required on Lift/Lower and Hold/ Position.

•Floor, pallet, knuckle, waist/workbench, shoulder, reach

- 9. Make certain the supervisor understands he is to draw on all experience in AFSC.
- Begin questioning/interviewing the supervisor with the task he ranked number one.
 *Take time on this first task to insure proper understanding of the quality and quantity of information required.
- Proceed questioning/interviewing the supervisor with the remaining tasks he ranked.
 *Abbreviated questioning (prompting) can be used once he understands what information is required.

B. QUESTIONS/PROMPTS

- [Primary Activity]
 *Which activity is the most physically demanding in performing this
 task?
 •For L/L What is the start and finish levels?
 •For H/P What level is the object(s) held/positioned?
 *Record the activity as coded on the Interview Information Legend.
 [Object Description]
 *Give a discrete description of the object(s) involved.
 - *Record the name (technical name and specification number, if possible), dimensions, weapons system, etc.
- 3. [Normal Posture] *What is the normal posture(s) for performing this activity? *Record the posture/posture combination as coded on the Interview Information Legend.
- 4. [Simulated Tasks] *Identify an applicable Simulated Task. *Record as coded on Simulated Task Information. *If no Simulated Task is applicable, record an asterick (*).
- 5. [Comments] *Comment on any peculiar aspect(s) of this activity such as odd positions, confined working space, etc. *Record same and any other descriptive narrative.
- 6. [Estimated Weight] *Estimate the weight of the object(s) or the force required to perform this activity. *How many people are normally used to perform this activity? *Record the estimated weight; divided by the number of people; equals individuals' share.

- 7. [Frequency] *How frequently is this task performed by first term airmen? *Record as coded on the Interview Information Legend.
- 8. [% Participation]
 *What percent of first term airmen perform this task?
 *Record same.
- 9. [Strength/Endurance] *Does the performance of this task require predominently strength, endurance or both? •For E - Is it L (local) or W (whole body) endurance? *Record the endurance as coded on the Interview Information Legend.
- 10. [Other Activity]
 *Is there a significant other activity involved in performing this task?
 •Repeat the questions/prompts outlined until there are no significant other activities.
 •Frequency, % participation and strength/endurance information required for the task as a whole only.
- 11. Repeat the questions/prompts outlined until the task list is expired.
- C. COMPLETING THE INTERVIEW

- Ask the supervisor if there is any physically demanding task(s), not on the task list, he would have ranked in the top five.
 *Designate as Z Task(s) - Z1, Z2, Z3, etc.
 *Record a discrete description of the task on the task sheet.
 *Indicate the position the supervisor would have ranked it.
 *Repeat the questions/prompts outlined for each Z task.
- Ask the supervisor which physically demanding tasks are critical to the successful performance of an airman entering this AFSC.
 *Circle a maximum of five of the critical task letters on the Interview Task Sheet.
- 3. Remarks Section *Experiences working with females or weak males. *Impact factors such as climate, availability of handling equipment, work schedule, etc. *Experiences with job related injuries. *Special conditioning or exercise requirements. *Other bases to interview supervisors in this AFSC. *Any other pertinent information.
- 4. Ask the supervisor for building number, contact name, telephone number, and schedule a verification in the work area. *Encourage the supervisor to be present.
- 5. Ask the supervisor to have a copy of pertinent tech orders (weight sections only) available when you get to the work area.

-28-

D. VERIFICATION

- Report for verification in the work area at the designated time.
 *Coordinate any revised verification times with the supervisor prior to reporting to the work area.
 *Follow Air Force prescribed procedures when reporting to a "Restricted Area."
- 2. [Weigh/Measure] *Weigh the object and/or measure the force required to perform the activity on the object. *Record the weight/force on the worksheet.
- Weigh/measure all available objects mentioned during the interview which do not have an actual weight/force.
 *Emphasize those objects associated with tasks designated as top five and/or "Z".
- 4. Weigh/measure all available objects mentioned in prior interviews which do not have an actual weight/force. *Annotate to insure proper posting to the master worksheets.
- Weigh/measure other significant objects which are not recorded on the worksheets.
 *Record a discrete description of the object.
 *Have the supervisor task relate the object at the end of the verification in the work area.
- 6. Any object generated task, which satisfies the definition of a Z task, should be recorded on the worksheet.
 *Record a discrete description of the task.
 *Annotate to insure proper posting to the AFSC Master Task List.
- Photograph any significant task/activity being performed or the use of any interesting handling equipment.
 *Emphasize those task/activities which involve top five or "Z" tasks, unusual postures, or confined working space.
 *Note: Cameras must be registered when you check in at the security station at the front gate of the base. Under no circumstances will a camera be taken into a "Restricted Area".
- 8. Obtain copies of any pertinent tech orders (weight section only) and/or directives.
 *The base tech order publications (or quality control) office is the best source.
 *Transcribe all needed information to the worksheets.
- 9. Accomplish as much of the required consolidation of verification review trip data as possible before returning home.

E. POST TRIP

- The responsible team member will complete all required consolidation of verification review trip data no later than one week after returning. *Record the number of activity and strength/stamina entries in the totals section of each Interview Sheet.
 *Transcribe necessary information from the Interview Sheets to their corresponding worksheets.
- 2. Each team member should submit all completed Interview Sheets, worksheets and supporting information to the team coordinator for review of completeness and correctness.
- 3. The team coordinator is responsible for posting/updating all project records and files.

*Trip schedule data - trip number, trip dates, team members, and supervisors (AFSC, grade, and last name) interviewed.

*Trip folder - trip number, base/location, CBPO contact (grade, name and telephone number), base information, and verification review interview schedule sheet, letters of appreciation list (trip number, grade, name, title, and address of individuals to receive letter of appreciation).

*AFSC summary - supervisor (number, grade, last name, major command, and base) interviewed, task ranking (by supervisor), critical tasks (by supervisor).

*Supervisor roster - trip number, K number, AFSC, supervisor, number, grade, name (last, first, m.i.), base/location, major command, years experience in AFSC, and telephone number.

- 4. The team coordinator should submit all trip information to the verification manager.
- 5. The verification manager is responsible for closing out all posting/updating of verification review trip data. *Designate an individual to update master worksheets. *Provide mailing information to Lt. Col. Maureen Lofberg, AFAMRL, for letters of appreciation.
- F. FINAL AUDIT AND CLOSE-OUT OF AFSC
 - 1. The verification manager will designate an individual to perform an audit of all the data on the master worksheets when the AFSC is ready to be closed-out.
 - The auditor must reaccomplish the master worksheets in preparation for data computer entry.
 *Audit each line item on the master worksheet, performing a full reconciliation to the Interview Sheets and other supporting data.
 *Reaccomplish the master worksheets, alphabetizing by tasks.

-30-

*Calculate an average of the estimated weights for all line entries missing an actual weight.

•Annote by placing an "E" in front of the weight and circling the weight.

*Submit all documents to the verification manager.

- The verification manager will provide a copy of the master worksheets for data computer entry and accomplish all data summaries.
 *Frequency distribution, task ranking (by supervisor), critical tasks (by supervisor), weighted concensus of top five and "Z" tasks, etc.
- 4. The original master worksheets will be filed in the closed-out AFSC's file.

G. VERIFICATION REVIEW TRIP PREPARATION

.

F

) [- 1. The verification manager is responsible for establishing initial contact.

*Notify AFAMRL technical monitor's office of a planned trip at least four weeks prior to the desired base visit date.

*Provide them with the name of the base, the date of the trip, and the name of the team coordinator who will be working with CBPO.

*AFAMRL will provide the grade, name, and telephone number of the CBPO contact to work with in coordinating the base visit.

The team coordinator is responsible for coordinating the base visit.
 *Prepare a list of desired AFSC's to be interviewed.
 *Telephone the CBPO contact to confirm the availability of qualified supervisor's in the desired AFSC's. Alternate AFSC's should be provided when necessary to complete an interview schedule.

*Prepare an interview schedule and coordinate it with the CBPO contact. *Assign team members AFSC's from the interview schedule and provide each team member with a copy of the schedule.

*Complete all arrangements for travel authorizations, car rentals, motel reservations, etc.

*Prepare trip kit - blank forms, interview instructions, Interview Information Legends, simulated tasks information, copies of required master worksheets, copy of master task list file, current X-Factor list, etc.

*Calibrate the load cells.

3. Each team member is responsible for attainment of all materials required for their scheduled interviews. *Copies of required master worksheets and two copies of the task list

for each scheduled AFSC. *Blank forms, Instructions for Ranking Tasks on Task List, and Interview Information Legends.

-32-

ATTACHMENTS

.

たかれい

.

in the second se

. :

ATTACHMENT A

INSTRUCTIONS FOR RANKING TASKS ON TASK LIST

You are asked to rank a list of 25 representative tasks performed in your AFSC. When comparing one task against another, consider only the physical demand required to perform each task - not how frequently, or infrequently, you may perform the task.

Physical demand includes both strength and endurance. Strength and endurance are found in asks which include heavy muscular demand, or frequent and continuous exertion of muscular effort. For example, in one task you might lift a heavy object once. In another, the weight might be lighter, but the task requires many repetitive lifts. The first example requires strength and the second endurance. Both are physically demanding tasks.

Rank the 25 tasks in order from 1 to 25, according to the physical demand required to perform each task. The task you rank number 1 should be the moost physically demanding task on the list. Number 25 should be the least demanding.

If you have not performed a task, mark it NA (not applicable) and proceed to rank the remaining tasks.

If you have performed a task(s) that is not on the list but is significantly demanding (i.e., it ranks with the top five taks you have ranked), then inform the interviewer in the discussion which follows.

Use your total experience in ranking the tasks.

Note: Security classification of this interview is "Unclassified".

-33-

ATTACHMENT B

RANKED TASK LIST

「「「「「「「」」」」

ş

ちょう こうちょう あいろう うちょう

۱ ۱ ۱

TASK S	HEET	304X4 Ground Radio Communications KG	009
TASK	RANK	TASK DESCRIPTION	
_ <u>A</u> _	16_	Remove or install power supply systems (F 193).	
	NA.	Remove or install permanent type antenna systems (F 191).	
<u> </u>	14	Remove or install multiple channel HF power amplifiers (F 16	7).
	NA	Remove or install consoles other than launch control consoles	s (f 189).
5	17	Remove or install single channel SSP power amplifiers (F 220)).
<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	Set up mobile communicacions vans for use (F 245).	
<u></u>	_13	Remove or install multiple channel HF transmitters (F 170).	
<u> </u>		Remove or install multiple channel or track recorder and reproducers (F 176).	
<u>_!</u>	12	Remove or install multiple channel UHF transmitters (F 181).	
	10	Remove or install multiple channel UHF power amplifiers (F 1	78).
<u>X</u>	NA	Dig trenches (L 662).	
Ŀ	4	Remove or install UHF transmitters (F 235).	
<u></u>	2	Set up tents or 1943 shelters (L 672).	
<u></u>	18	Remove or install multiple channel UHF receivers (F 180).	
0	9_	Remove or install UNF transceivers (F 234).	
Ð	_3	Lay electrical or communications cables (L 664).	
_?	NA	Set up bath, kitchen or sanitation facilities (L 669).	
<u>R</u>	_7	Remove or install multiple channel HF transceivers (F 169).	
	<u> </u>	Remove or install UHF linear power amplifiers (F 232).	
Ē	<u>_6</u>	Remove or install multiple HF receivers (F 168).	
<u> </u>	_ 	Remove or install facsimile systems (F 155).	
$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	5	Remove or install multiple channel UHF exciters (F 177).	
<u></u>	19	Deliver test equipment to material control or PMEL (E 113).	
<u>X</u>	20	Remove or install mobile antenna systems (F 165).	
<u></u>	21	Remove or install single channel SS3 transceivers (F 222).	
_2	<u>2a</u>	. Remove or install ground radio equipment in control tower facility.	

-34-

.

ATTACHMENT C

INTERVIEW INFORMATION LEGEND

ACTIVITIES

Lift/Lower	$[LXX]^1$
Carry	[CAR]
Push/Pull	[P/P]
Torque/Turn	[T/T]
Hold/Position	[HPX]2
Climb	[CLI]
Shovel/Dig	[S/D]
Hammer	[HAM]
Other	[OTH]

NOTES: 1) Requires start-to-finish range breakout. 2) Requires level breakout. RANGE/LEVEL BREAKOUTS: F - Floor P - Pallet K - Knuckle W - Waist/Workbench S - Shoulders R - Reach

[6] [7]

[9] [0]

Kneeling

POSTURES

Standing	[1]
Walking	[2]
Running	[3]
Crawling	[4]
Sitting	[5]


FREQUENCIES

Daily	[D]
Weekly	[w]
Monthly	[M]
Quarterly	[0]
Semiannually	[S]
Yearly or More	[Y]

Lying Stooping (Knees Bent) [8] Bent At Waist **Other**

ENDURANCE

Local		[L]
Whole	Body	[W]




PLOU DO

ATTACHMENT D

-36-

Ser. and



State of the second

-37-

ATTACHMENT E

INTERVIEW SHEETS

ENDUR. -PACTOR Vque 5 HIONIALS > > >> > 5 > 5 5 > N Ōñ S ğ 3 õ 9 8 õ INAS 2 3 = 65 Σ Σ 3 3 Z FRE0 ٢ 73 ス HOJCOH SAC 200/2F 200/4r = 50 350/4F ur/JP - Silare DENARDO ESTIMATE INTERVIEWER 50 S 20 20 ß 75 TRIP 1 ૭ 1948 SHELTER BOX(A.OOR, R.OPES, STAKES, ROLE) PLACE "CIRCLES IN ROSITION AND INSTALL ROOF SUPPORTS, ETC." LONER EQUIPMENT AND LARNY TO AND FROM TRUCK, OFTEN UP/DOJIN STAIRS. LONER, EQUIPMENT FROM RACK. LOUER EQUIPTIENT AND CARRY TO AND FROM TRUCK, OFTEN UP/DOWN STAIRS. ⊒ PLISH ALONG FLOOR, POSITIONING PIECE Pull out of reel in sections. Ruling Extended Lengins, 20°0r more, along The ground is hardest, especially at sites(rough terrain, climactic impacts) 1991, 2 LIFT AND POSITION IN EQUIPTIENT RACK. BULKY PIECE, MOSTLY REPOSITIONING AROUND SHOP. TENT WITH SPIKES, ROPES, ETC. TIED UP BUHDLE (& MAN TENT). חור CAROWELL <u>לפיד אן אאד</u> LOUER EQUIPTIENT FROM RACK 732-26.1 BASI PHONE 124 RADB COMMENTS YRS. EXP **E** 3 0 TOP 5 RANKED TASKS GEORGE PIRST TECHN 63 H2 3 ور و و د 22 4 4 و ۲ 1987 1004IS đ TILLSON 1.2 _ 5 -Mhg σ ኖ ٩ -------g5H 3H Relorder/ Reproducer, 20 channel LAST NAME 487L CABLE, 100' LENGTH Arse 1/TITLE 30474 GROUND RADIO MULTIPLE CHANNEL, UHF EXCITER MULTIPLE CHANNEL, UNF EXCITER 1948 SHELTER BOX OF EQUIPMENT 6 MAN TENT, PACKED BUNDLE 3 • \$. . UNJECT . . . • REAR MELINELINE R ₹ ₹ AR R VILLATION Ľ LKF 뜻 Ъ Ľħ P/P 600 XSYL Σ L > I ے * × ŝ RANK ~ -4 _

-38-

. . **.**

ł

-

Yorn Apvd.

ł

			Attac	nment	E (Conti	nued)		
EXDUX.					333		2	1
HLOKIELS				<u> </u>	>>>	ં	물양류 거	0
TAAT I					888	AN 14		5
FREO.					233		E HUN	
KSTIMATE ut/JP - SilARE					(AS ABOVE) H 660 / H ABOVE) H 660 / H	STRENCTI/STANINA STRENCTI(S) 21 ENDIRANCR(E) 4 PTENT, OY TASH	E.S. CLIMBNIG Ka5, Roug Room 5; /	Day/Time_NED1330
COMMENTS					TASK REQUIRES ENDURANCE; WALKING/ CUMDING 3-4 FLIGHTS OF STARS, CARRYNG EQUIPTIENT FOR SEVERAL TRIPSAS MANY AS B	ALS: 1.1FT/LONER(LXX) 17 TORQUE/TURN(T/T) 1 SIGVEL/DIG(S/D) - STRENGTIU(STANIHA CARRY (CAR) 16 INOLA/POSITION (IPX) 2 HANNER (IAM) - STRENGTIU(S) 21. CARRY (CAR) 16 INOLA/POSITION (IPX) 2 HANNER (IAM) - STRENGTIU(S) 21. FURKS: TS4 LEIGH HAS TASK LIST AND LIILL GET TECH ORDER DATA FOR LHOLE FAMILY OF EQUIPTENT, BY TASKS. PRINKS: TS4 LEIGH HAS TASK LIST AND LIILL GET TECH ORDER DATA FOR LHOLE FAMILY OF EQUIPTENT, BY TASKS. FERITOL TASKS: ALL TASKS DEALING LITH LINITS DS ALL ASSICHTOR AND CARNY ACTIVITES. TECH ORDERS AVAILABLE AND LIST FROMENTY DY ALL ASSIC	FEMALES: HANDLE MOST TASKS HELL; USUALLY GOOD TECHNICIANS; MEN PROTECT THEM AT TIMES. NUSTALLING/REMOVING EQUIPTIENT FROM FLIGHT LINE TOJER GENERALLY TOLCHEST; ENDURANCE CUMBNIG 3 RJGHTS OF STARTS; ALSO SITE ACTIVITE? (NORRING, IN JINITER - SHOU, ROUGH ACCESS; SUMMER - HEAT, BUGS, ROUGH ROADS, ANDREUS AFB - HAS, HEAVY EQUIPTIENT ASSOCIATED NITH VIP AND COMMAND OPERATIONS ROOMS; AND SITE AT DAVIDSONULE (HEAR ANIMATOLIS, MARMAND) SITES: LAMAYCHIKE) IN GEAR 1-2 MILLES IN SHOU ON ROUGH TERRAN - RECUIRES ENDURANCE, NOWLED SITE SITES: LAMAYCHIKE) IN GEAR 1-2 MILLES IN SHOU OR ROUGH TERRAN - RECUIRES ENDURANCE, DERSONNEL IN THIS AFSC UTILIZE TECH ORDERS FREQUENTLY IN THEIR JOB AND HAVE EXCELLENT KNOWLEDGE OF EQUIPMENT WEIGHTS.	Contact 754 J LVA: Telephone 235-5 6.5
15VL TIKIS					+ - - -		ASSOCIATING	อี
TYALSOA				[252		HAN HAN NO	
ORLINCT					(all aeove unf \$ vnf 9 Equiptient)		FEMALES: HANDLE MOST TASKS HELL; USUALLY GOO INSTALLING/REMOVING EQUIPTENT FROM FLIGHT LIN OF STAIRS; ALSO SITE ACTIVITIES (LORRING, IN LIIN ANDREWS ARS - HAS, HEAR ANIMATOLIS, MARTAND) SITES: CARRY (HIKE) IN GERR 1-2 MILES (MORTAND) SITES: CARRY (HIKE) IN GERR 1-2 MILES IN SNOUL PERSONNEL IN THIS AFSC UTIVIZE TECH ORDERS FR EQUIPMENT WEIGHTS.	Mutiding 1248
(1					ST-	: LIFT/LOWER LIFT/LOWER CAL TASK: CAL TASK: CALCAS	ALL AND A	Ň
LITATION				1 1	≺⊑⊣	1 변경을 하는가뜻	********	F
VILAILOV					030	1.332 1.30	뒤 그 살 한 다 ? 옷 옷 !	1 ≦
LIIALLOV XSVL	22 NA	ALA 12	74 144	25 HA	И	TUTALS: LIFT/LOWER(L) CART (CAR) PUSH/PULL (P/) PUSH/PULL (P/) CEUTCAL TASK5: TECH CONCERS AV5:	FEMALES: HANDI NJ STALLING/ REM OF STAIRS: ALS ANDREWS ARS - ANDREWS ARS - AT DAVIDSCHVE SITES: CARRY (HIK PERSONNEL IN TI EQUIPMENT WEI	VERIFICATION:

Attachment E (Continued)

6

Structure Rest Street

「「「「「「」」」

i

-39

ATTACHMENT F

WORKSHEET

ľ

12/2	3/2/81/00%	k oog Arsc 304x4		TITLE GI	CINDUS STILLE	RADIO				Pa	n 1 age	0 *
larsk:	ATIVITOA	ORJECT	THAISON	SIHUL. TASK	FREQ	z Part	ACTUAL	1.11 1.11 1.22 1.22 1.22	EST F J'F.I. ELISION	ESTIMATE 544 1. NJCCh- ON SCOT	ŝ4	CUNERS
۲	UFK	PP-6978/F POUER SUPPLY	6,1	ما	ц, и Ц	\$0,40 55	92			8		8
۷	CAR	PP-6978/F ROUER SUPPLY	2	4 ک	ц, н Ц	50,00	82			90		8
٨	LFK	PP-6977/F POLLER SUPPLY	8,1	tه ا		50,00 55	88			00		9
۲	LAR	PP-6971/F POUER SUPAL	2	64	п'н [<u>н</u>	50,60 55	85			80		9
۲	υ ^σ κ	58 270 PONER SUPPLY	B,1	9J	н п'п	55 to	E (88)	175 29 80		175 29 88		
۲	CAR	58 270 POUER SUPPLY	2	C 4	חיח חיח	\$0,00 55	່ພ	175 29 88		989 के		
٥	LFK	CU-547 ANTENNA COUPLER	8,1	91	н М	3040	40 2p 45		07 07 07	ŝ		
o	LAR	CU-547 ANTENNA COURLER	2	6 B	н н	35 40	90 24 45	Ē	80 2r 40	50		
ა	Urk	MULTIPLE CHANNEL HP POWER AMP	-	دە	ο] ηάά	03 03'03'03	E [68]	8	12	62 29 31		
ς	CAR	MULTIPLE CHANNEL IN POUER AMP	2	64	0 10'0'0	07 07 07 07 07	Elica	R				
ຟ	£	FRC-IS3 MULTIPLE CHANNIEL HF TRANSCEIVER	٩,١	L lo	م'م م'ت	50,60 55	2	3				
ຟ	CAR	FRC-153 MULTIPLE CHANNEL HF TRANSCEIVER	2	د 4	<u>0</u> 0'a	55 07'05	8	3	10			
บ	۲ ^۲	JINGLE POUER 550 POUER AMP (301-1) WITH SUITCASE	٩,١	r 2	<u>a</u> a'a'a	8,0%,0% 0% 0%	50	40	40	ß		
ພ	CAR	SINGLE PONER SSB PONER AMP (301-1) WITH SUITCASE	2	2 2	0 0'0'0	05 (25 (OS	8	ç	ę	8		
۲	ž	אואקוב מאובת 555 מאבת מוזר(305-1)	٩,١	9 L	0 0'0	04 05/02	2	Ē	150 Ze 75	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2		
ш	AR A	SINGLE ROVER 350 ROVER AMP (205-1)	2	63	<u>a</u> 0'a	20,30	165 29 83	ĒĈ	75	2e 20		

-40-

INTER	INTERVIEW & VERIFICATION ASSIGNMENT SCHEDULE	NT SCHEDULE	Base NEL	NELLIS AFB, NEV.	NEV.	<u> </u>	Dates Jur 20-24, 'BI	4. '81	151p1 30
TINE	MONDAY	TUESDAY		VEDNESDAY	λΥ	THURSDAY	AY	FRIDAY	AY
	k 74 425×4	K BOE 462KOE (F-15)	(f:-15)	(01-V) 20X295. (0-10)	(01-4) 20	K75. 423X3		K 119 324X0	921
	326 CHAL	556 Even		> 5 M B	SVI STICKSW	TSA NA VINS	145	MSG LULININ	140
	DENÁRTO	DENARDO		DENARDO	0	DENARDO	Þo	REEDER	د ا
0830	K A-24C - 324X4C (P-14)	K 23-1X. 431XIE (1-12)	(1-12)	K 1216 32640C (Ff)	XOC (FA)	K 79 461X0	×0		
	YSTANSE ME AN	12422	334	556 TI KANA	. 161	M36 (E/LE/			•
	¥	1		REEDEN		KAEDEK		*	*
	K 1686 326X78 (F-IS)	K 1670'	(F-16)	KOCE'462XOE(F-IS)	XOE(F-15)	K54. 328X1	K.		K 120
	Set 1:13 056	13'TT/2 951	54.67.	454 LO'NEIGUEL	S.C.F.	SUA CHARMAN		DENARDO	hocuws
	SimPson	Simpson		Simpson	No	Simpson	No		
	K A-238 326×36 (F-13)	K BOF. 462XDF (F-16)	(21-3)	KIIB. SEIKS (L/a)	(2 (F/a)	K69 TO2XO	OXO	Kizir 326 400	0 CM
	154 2. A.C. , 554 TURNER	550 43411V		156 JOU 1.5UN	Scot	TSB CALMER	10	2 21 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	25
	DENARDO	DENARDO		DENARDO	00	DENARDO	RDO	Nosamis	T
	K A-248 - 326×48 (F-15)	K 1680. 326×70 (F-16)	C (F-16)	K 1680' 326 X10 (FIL)	6x1c(EIL)	KI696 326X88(FIS)	XOB(FIS)	 	
1030	Derred SSG LOAL	TSG ES	CADA	こう パライ 上切の		SIM PERSON	ŝ	 יצי	a
	J							ĸ	ĸ
	K A-256 326×58 (F-15)	K 1680 596K	326KTC (F-16)	K BOC 462X0C (A10)	LYOC (AIO)	Kno 345Xo	0X2	K 69	KI695 Stened
			1.704	156 11.01	-1.1		 		
	Nosem	Nosdinis		SIMP SAN	ion	Simpson	SoN		
LUNCH									
	K 74 461KO	K POD 462XOD) (F.4)	K15c 322x2C	LX 2C	K81 463X0	5×0		
	SMS CT		7	MAG NYBERG	ERG	T'56 8000	•		
	DENARDO	DENARDO		Notamis	No	RECOER	Ŕ	*	*
1300								k119	DILIN
	, 13 	-		11	N		2	KEED SEA	Sector Sector
		A-968	21-12	K BAK	KIJIC	K HG		-	
			Pure bert	DENARDO	REEDER	8	Mary		
_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	لا: ۱۹		*	*	:*	*	is k	:*	.*
	×	A-238	K1480	X BOR	2191X	XBOC	K-19	Ţ	(MIRC.
	а 8	DENARDO	REDER	DENARDO	REEDER	DawARDe	REGOER	DENARIO	Farren UP
1500			Simpson		hosomic	holynes		(Fellouid	RECUE
								UTen I	NoSA HIS
								-	
	A VERIFICATION IN WORK AREA	4							

ATTACHMENT G INTERVIEW AND VERIFICATION ASSIGNMENT SCHEDULE

F.

-41-

R. 1 NGC 5 TABERALIZOUR SUBJER AND MADD TAAL PLAD MADD TAAL PLAD MADD TAAL PLAD MADD TAAL PLAD	SUPER	SUPERVĮSOR ROSTER		4	Trip # 30	Team: DENARDO, REEDER, SIMPSON	REEDER	Noeghis .	JUL 2	Juc 20-24,198	1961
3223/24 3 High R. (2.2.4) NELLES AND NELLES NELES NELES NELES	κ.	AFSC	s /		NAME (LAST, FIRST, HI)		MAJCOH	YEARS EXPER		NUMBE	Ĩ
431/1 50 Test function Matrix	ι Sc	322X2C	5	PSM	R. C.F.S. 1. C.N.C.	A68	Ţ	į	1002	F	
32/13 1 T56 16.11, FLESH TH, F	23-1X	43171	30	136	1.						
324/14 2 560 500 Factor Result 1	A-23	32673	-	TSG		=	2	2		1	
32674 2 756 Number of the statistic function of the statistic statisti statistic statisti statis statistic statistic stati	A-23	32673	2	SSG	134 316.3.604 5.5					•	3.75
32.674 1 566 1 766 1 766 1 766 32.675 1 766 1 766 1 766 1 766 32.675 1 766 1 766 1 766 1 766 32.675 1 766 1 766 1 766 1 766 32.675 1 766 1 766 1 1 1 1 1 32.675 1 766 1 766 1 1 1 1 1 1 32.675 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 44.700 2 566 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 44.700 2 566 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 44.700 2 566 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 44.700 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 44.700 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <	A-24	32674	~	22+	1 61 E 2		,	7	:	;	515
32.616 1 TSG NT2LIN WURMGIN 0 1 0 <th0< th=""> 0 <th0< th=""> <th0< th=""></th0<></th0<></th0<>	A. 24	ALACE	-				-	0	•	=	たって
32861 2 S24 HULLELING 1		35765				2	=	5	*		4.00
92109 4 750 4 750 4 750 4 750 4 750 4 750 4 750 4 750 4 750 4 750 4 750 4 750 4 750 4 750 4 750		21976	-	159	1) 15 M. M. W. C.	2	*	S	*	I	2347
34210 1 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 <th>5</th> <th>16920</th> <th>-</th> <th>SRA</th> <th>CHICKNEY, REKNON</th> <th>=</th> <th>2</th> <th>3</th> <th>8</th> <th></th> <th></th>	5	16920	-	SRA	CHICKNEY, REKNON	=	2	3	8		
42373 5 556 105 1	5	34270	4	154	JAEFICLE,		æ	4	8		425
44304 6 366 Lith St. And Lith St. And Lith And	\$	4.2513	2	156	- いっとう ちょうちょう ちょうしょう		z	81	10	:	3
46190 1 543 (E.E.M.I. L. C.Y.) n n 1 1 1 1 46170 2 556 26.5 (7.6. M.O.M.) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 46210 2 556 3.0.7 (1.2. M.O.M.) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 46210 5 556 3.0.7 (1.2. M.O.M.) 1 1 1 1 1 1 46210 5 556 3.0.7 (1.2. M.O.M.) 1 1 1 1 1 1 46210 6 756 156 1 1 1 1 1 1 46210 6 756 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 46210 7 706 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 46210 6 706 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 46210 7 706 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 46210 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 46210 7	*	42574	9	8	UNDSC, ALLE		Ξ	8	z		3 23
462100 2 MSG MEG the form n		46140	-	SMS	· 5. E. J. M. , L. 1772 .		8	8	:	=	5.6%
46270 2 SS6 32.6.7.6.6.47.2% 6 7 8 8 5 <th>ŗ.</th> <th>46140</th> <th>7</th> <th>MSA</th> <th>いいい いんしょうしい</th> <th>11</th> <th>z</th> <th>و</th> <th>=</th> <th></th> <th>2:2</th>	ŗ.	46140	7	MSA	いいい いんしょうしい	11	z	و	=		2:2
46270 5 556 -intribute function n <th>8</th> <th>46270</th> <th>2</th> <th>88</th> <th>26 5 51 51 51 11</th> <th></th> <th>2</th> <th>6</th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th>())</th>	8	46270	2	8 8	26 5 51 51 51 11		2	6	-		())
46210 4 556 Longal act 7, 34 w C x	8	46270	2	\$56	יאוירב, בשמעל ליי		2	7	*		122
44210 5 T36 r.w.weiglauer Will n <th>8</th> <th>46270</th> <th>4</th> <th>536</th> <th>בשייעוני ביטר והרכא</th> <th></th> <th>2</th> <th>6</th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th>- 17</th>	8	46270	4	536	בשייעוני ביטר והרכא		2	6	-		- 17
44210 6 T54 Current Jourd n	8	46270	ഗ	22	W. N. 13. 13. 14		=	5			111
44270 7 5463 7 5464 7 5464 7 6 7 6 7 7 6 7 7 6 7	8	46270	9	84	1.1100 .3:		2	2	-		
46310 I T34 $C = \omega_1 = \omega_1 \omega_1$ $M = \omega_1$ <	8	46270	-	SMS	W1-11. N 3, LACR 5 T.	2	2	9	=		2522
34.12.8% I TSG 32.4/10 T TSG 32.4/10 T TSG 32.4/10 T TSG 32.4/10 T T TSG 11.1 T T T T T T TSG 11.1 T	1	46370	-	159	くころうろしい	#	Ξ	81	-	3	2521
32.470 7 M56 PULUTUR VEEEDUR 0 <th>T</th> <th>34172 P/4</th> <th>-</th> <th>-</th> <th>X. N &</th> <th>2</th> <th>=</th> <th>15</th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th>); V</th>	T	34172 P/4	-	-	X. N &	2	=	15	-); V
32510 3 54 Number Network 6 1		52410	~	Ī	1.4.1 1.7. VX PE 24.1.1.	¥ .	Ŧ	9	-	2	513 E
326700 4 306 LEAT 6 7 8 6 7 6 7 8 6 7 1 <td< th=""><th>22</th><th>325 10</th><th>m l</th><th>85</th><th>P.1.1.277 , 7.47 M.Y.</th><th>=</th><th>ų</th><th>0</th><th>:</th><th>-</th><th>:15:</th></td<>	22	325 10	m l	85	P.1.1.277 , 7.47 M.Y.	=	ų	0	:	-	:15:
32670 4 555 5-425-56 (1-10-10) 8 6 6-325-56 (1-10-10) 8 8 6 6-325-56 (1-10-10) 8 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>+</th> <th>8</th> <th>いたらとし</th> <th>2</th> <th>2</th> <th>"</th> <th>=</th> <th>-</th> <th>1 2 10</th>			+	8	いたらとし	2	2	"	=	-	1 2 10
32660 4 856 UNEXALLETEEL 1 1 4 8 32676 1 756 UNEXALLETEEL 1		001925	~	8	Sarah Andrews	Z	z	7	2	2	151
Junde I Total Image: Second se		20/02	•	8		-	=	4	3	z	: 32
32611 2 56 32711 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 4 7	16.8	11.762	╞	8		=	:	5 IS	2	2	21 2
324651 5 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>-</th><th></th><th></th><th>=</th><th>=</th><th>4</th><th>=</th><th>2</th><th>בנון</th></td<>			-			=	=	4	=	2	בנון
32457 3 338 Y 3(1) 1			1	\dagger		=	=	2	3		107
32631 4 130 1 13 1 1 32658 1 58A 276-21 1 1 3 1 32658 1 58A 276-21 1 1 3 1		15070		┽		-	2		2	•	1.55
	3	32657	•	+	LOWINDORFE S.	:	•	ñ	e	2	120:
	<u>أ</u> وع	32458	-	SRA	Structs de la 20	H	-	5		=	3
	Ť										
	╡		Ť								ſ
	Ţ		İ								
	ļ			t							
	Į										

Copy available to DTIC does not permit fully legible reproduction

ATTACHMENT H

SUPERVISOR ROSTER

-42-

ATTACHMENT I

S,

2

TRIP SCHEDULE SHEET

ALK	TRLP SCHEDULE SHE	ZET								Г
										1
TRUP	MEMBERS	BASE/LOCATION	HAJCOH	K e	AFSC	TITLE			SUPERVISOR	
	JUL 20-24		TAC	15-C	322X2C	AVIONICS SEN	SENSOS 343 (ELECTRO-OPTICAL SYS)	PTICAL SYS)		-
(1961	NELLIS AFB	R	23-IX	431X1F	TACTICAL AIRC	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT MTN (F-16)		TsG T. LUCI	_
(0 <u>2</u>)	DENARDO	LAS VEGAS, NEV.	2	A-23	326X3B	INT. AVIONICS EI	INT AVIONACS EN EQUIP & COMP (F-15)	15)	TS6 P.1.1	-
)	REEDER		*	8	đ	•	а т т т (F-15)	js)	554 A J 5 1 5 1 E	
				A-24	326X4 C	INT. AVIONICS CO	INT. AVIONICS COMP TEST STATION (F-16)	-16)	TSANC N. F.	
			3		.	3 3 4		(F-15)	584	
			z	A-25	326×5 8	INT. AVIONICS N	INT. AVIONICS MANUAL TEST STU & COMP(F-IS)	4P(F-15)	TSG 14" ""."	-
			=	59	328×1	AVIONICS NAV	AVIONICS NAVIGATION SYSTEM		504 11: 24 CF	
		•	3	69	392X0	MAINTENAMC	MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT		TSA LI'R ILL	
				73	423×3	AIRCRAFT FUEL SYSTEMS			T54 JI. 115	1
			=	74	423X4	AIRCRAFT PHEUDRAULICS	EUDRAULICS SYSTEM	EM	SSG -1 - 5	
			=	62	461X0	MUNITIONS	SISTEM		TIL MARLE SMS	
			=	:	7	Ξ	Ŧ		MSG IC. T	1
			2	8	460 E	AIRCRAPT AR	AIRCRAPT ARMAMENT SYSTEM	(5-15)	556 7.31.	
			2	2	-	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		(E-16)	556 W.IT.C.	
			z	=	U	3	3	(F-15)	-344-01 1445	
				10	# D	2	u	(F-4)	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	
		•	*	2	ບ *	2	2	(q-A)	TSA S. MEC	-
		•	=	2	U 1			(q-A)	SMS V IL UL S	
			3	8	463X0	NUCLEAR W	weapons		T56 (C2)	•
			2	118	321X2 P/0	WEAPON CON	CONTROL SYSTEMS		N.C. J.V. V	
			2	119	3 2.4 XO	PRECISION 1	PRECISION MEASURING BOULPMENT	MENT	M5G 1 J-1. 24	;
			1	120	325X0	AUTO RIGHT CONTROL	- CONTROL SYSTEMS	15	554 11 2	
			2	2	1	:	-		556 'IC' IF	-
	-		•	121-0	0	AVIONICS AI	AVIONICS AREO GROUND EQUIP	5 (A-7)	17.1.0. 555	
			2	121-C	ບ :	2		(5/85-4)	5561 MIN 4	-
			=	167	326XG	INT: AVIONICS	INT AVIONICS ANTACK CONTROL SYS		756 . A C . 1	
			=	168	326X7C	INT. AVIONICS	AVIONICS INSTM & PLT CONTROL SYS	VS (F-6)	T56 C + 21	
			2		50			1	556 1 21 1 1	
			=	•	•	-	-	(F-16)	556 V I 5. 1	_
			•	2	ט י	-	-		T54 : F11	
			z	169	326×8	INT. AVIONICS CO	IUT AVIONICS COMM, NAV, & PEN-AIDS	1 5/3	THE NEW T	
		32 INTERVIEWS							- - - -	
		19 NFSC's w/summers								•
										-7

-43-

ATTACHMENT J

CONTENT OF TRIP KIT

BLANK FORMS

- * Interview Sheets
- * Worksheets
- * Task lists (2 copies for each AFSC scheduled for interview)
- * Instructions for Ranking Tasks on Task List

WORKSHEETS

* Master Worksheet (copy for each AFSC scheduled for interview)

MASTER TASK LIST FILE

TRIP FOLDER

- * Annotate with Base/Location, Dates, Trip, #, CBPO contact name and phone number, Motel and travel information, etc.
- * Interview and Verification assignment Schedule
- * Trip Schedule Sheet

* Base Map

GENERAL FORMS


- * Simulated Tasks Information
- * Physical Profile Serial Factor "X" (listed in AFR 160-43)
- * AFAMRL/HEG Letter: Physical Job Requirements Review for the New Factor X Program
- * Verification Review Procedures
- * Interview Information Legend


-44-

ATTACHMENT K

GENERAL INFORMATION

AIR FORCE ENSIGNIA





MAJOR COMMANDS (MAJCOM)

TAC	TACTICAL AIR COMMAND
MAC	MILITARY AIRLIFT COMMAND
SAC	STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND
ATC	AIR TRAINING COMMAND
AFSC	AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
AFLC	AIR FORCE LOGISTICS COMMAND
AFCC	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS COMMAND
AAC	ALASKAN AIR COMMAND
PACAF	PACIFIC AIR FORCES

-45-

APPENDIX B

E

200

A. 152

Salar Salar

Example of AFSC Summary and Frequency Distribution Chart


-46-


AFSC SUMMARY		Worksheets completed	by: L. Cohen	on: 13 3ep, 51	•	Worksheets submitted	ror computer input		Worksheets updated	on:		-	Remarks :	AFSC closed out.	Evaluate as condidate	្តភ្	Actuals for all tashs.		Current factor 'X' <u>X-2</u>	Total # of Supervisors 7	Tasks Measured	Actuals	Total 34		Secondary		Average 1		Strength Index(s _x)
		End.	2	0	-	2	٦	ব	૭	i			:		1	ļ	24		X	2			ſ	15th X		ſ	34	1	
}		MAN			-	1		-			:	1		-]	3		1	4				14th			20.24		
		<u>8/0</u>	!	:	ا ہ			.,	1	;	ļ]						9					1364			٩.٥, <i>٥,</i> Ω,٩,۴,۵,۲,۱,4,۴,۲, <i>N</i> ,0,٩, <i>Q</i> ,8,5,7,4,۷,۷,4,X,2,,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2		
	1	272	Η	{	1	ļ		ĺ	1		: 1		[1	 	Ŀ							12th		I	2.12		ļ
مسه	s Cour	1/1	7		İ	_	-				1	 	1				2		25				sks				22, 23		ļ
Title: Precision Measuring Equip	Activities Count	8/8		1	-	ļ	-		i	!]	 	1	!]	ļ	~	-	Q				5 Ranked Tasks	10th K			''Z'''		
SILCES	Act	9/9	2	4	m.	N	-	2	2		ĺ	; 					25		2				5 Rar	9th -	ļ	le	יי ייון		
n n		CAR	2	mį	J.	η	4	m	2			1	i		ļ		23		H H				Top	8th Z,	1	Task Coverage	R, 2, T,		ļ
SCIENC		1.1	31	9	Ľ	22	5	20	Ę					;	ļ]	ł						Heighted Order of	42 H		Task	9,9,0,		
:le: R		1	a	0	¥	د	-	•	Ø	!	!			1		í	[otals		-	_			ted 0	50 130			N, E		
	Order	215	X.	<	ত	H	4	e.	2	:		1		!		-	ľ	l	1				He 1gh	C P	ļ		יזיניר		
AFSC: 324XD	op-5 Ranking Order	ā	Ø	ა	7	3	, S	0	يُ ر	:	;	i	1	Ì		:			-V					4			, 4, h, l		
SC: 3	00-5 R	P.	ſ	Q	Ħ	¢.	ż	0	2	;	1 1	-			1				0	-				ы Б	Į		10,	1	
		5	U	ų	J.	Ø	V	N	''		;			1	1	 				_				2nd ∆		ł			
K II9	#AdnS	Start.	sı	S2	S3	2	SS	ŝ	S7	8	65				2				יש	2				1 <u>5</u> t 0			Vet.	None.	

I

.

-47-







.

.

APPENDIX C

Sample of AF Jobs Common to Other Services

-49-

SAMPLE OF AF JOBS COMMON TO OTHER SERVICES

115X0 Pararescue & Recovery 203X0 Linguist/Interrogator 205X0 Electronic Intell. Oper. 231X0 Audio Visual Media 242X0 Disaster Preparedness 251X0 Weather 272X0 Air Traffic Control 293X0 Ground Radio Operator 302X0 Weather Equipment Auto Tracking Radar 303X0 304X4 Ground Radio Communications 542X1 304X5 Television Equipment 316X1 Missile System Maintenance 321X2 Weapons control System Precision Measuring Equip. 324X0 325X0 Auto Flight Control Sys. 326X7 Int. Avionics Instm & Fit. Con. Sys. 341X1 Instrumen Trainer 341X3 Analog Flight Simulator 341X4 Digital Flight Simulator 361X1 Cable Splice Install & Mtn. 362X4 Tele. Equip. Install & Rep. 423X0 Aircraft Electri. Systems Aircraft Egress Systems 423X2 423X3 Aircraft Fuel Systems 423X5 Aerospace Ground Equip. 426X2 Jet Engine 427X0 Machine Shop 427X1 Corrosion Control 427X3 Fabrication & Parachute 427X4 Metals Processing

431X0 Helicopter Maintenance 431X1 Tactical Aircraft Maintenance Munitions Systems 461X0 462X0 Aircraft Armament System 463X0 Nuclear Weapons 464X0 Explosive Ordinance Disposal 472X0 Base Vehicle Equipment Mtn. 472X2 General Purpose Vehicle Mtn. 472X3 Vehicle Body Maintenance 511X0 Computer Operations Electrical Power Line 545X0 Refrigeration & Cryogenics 545X2 Heating Systems 551X0 Pavements Maintenance 551X1 Construction Equipment 552X0 Carpentry Masonry 552X1 552X2 Metal Fabricating 552X4 Protective Coating 552X5 Plumbing 566X0 Entomology 571X0 Fire Protection 591X0 Seamen 591X1 Marine Engine 602X1 Freight Traffic 602X0 Passenger & House Hold Goods 612X0 Meatcutter 631X0 Fuel Service 645X0 Supply Systems 811X2 Law Enforcement 99505 Courier and many other!

-50-

