





Department of the Navy OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH Structural Mechanics Program Arlington, Virginia 22217

Contract NO0014-78-C-0647 Project NR 064-609 Technical Report No. 24

Report VPI-E-81.28

TRANSIENT RESPONSE OF LAMINATED, BIMODULAR-MATERIAL, COMPOSITE RECTANGULAR PLATES

by

J. N. Reddy Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Blacksburg, Virginia, USA 24061



November 1981

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

TRANSIENT RESPONSE OF LAMINATED, BIMODULAR-MATERIAL, COMPOSITE RECTANGULAR PLATES

J. N. Reddy^T Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Blacksburg, VA 24061

The paper presents a finite-element analysis of the transient behavior of fiber-reinforced, single-layer and two-layer cross-ply rectangular plates of bimodular materials (i.e., materials whose linear elastic properties are different depending on whether the fiberdirection strains are tensile or compressive). To validate the finite element results, a closed-form solution is also presented for a rectangular plate with all edges simply-supported without in-plane restraint (along the edge) and tangential rotation and subjected to suddenly applied sinusoidally distributed normal pressure. The time behavior of the transverse loading is arbitrary (e.g., step loading, impulse loading, etc.). Numerical results for transverse deflection and locations of the 'neutral surface' as functions of time are presented for two bimodular materials. The finite element results agree very closely with the closed-form solutions.

Introduction

In 1941 S. Timoshenko [1] considered the flexural stresses in materials which have different moduli in tension and in compression. Examples of such materials, called <u>bimodular materials</u>, are provided by cord-rubber composites, certain biological tissues, and paperboard, among others. Analysis of bimodular (or bimodulus) materials in two dimensions began with the work of Ambartsumyan [2] in 1965. Following this work, there appeared numerous static, two-dimensional analyses of bimodular materials. Among these, the works of Kamiya [3-5], Jones and Morgan [6], and Bert, Reddy and their colleagues [7-11] should be particularly noted in the context of bending of bimodular plates. For additional references to the subject, the reader is referred to the bibliography in [7-11]. To the best of the present author's knowledge,

[†]Professor, Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics.

no previous transient analyses of plates laminated of bimodular composite materials are available in the open literature. The present work is believed to be the first one to consider the transient analysis of layered composite plates of bimodular materials.

The present study employs the finite element model developed in [9] with the Nemark direct integration technique [12]. The closed form solution presented herein is essentially an extension of the static solution presented in [11] to transient solution. The finite element model and the closed-form solution are based on a shear-deformation theory (see Whitney and Pagano [13]) of layered composite plates, which does not account for delamination between layers.

Theory and Formulation

The displacement field in all of the simple Timoshenko-type sheardeformation theories are based on the following displacement field:

$$u_{1}(x,y,z,t) = u(x,y,t) + z\phi_{x}(x,y,t)$$

$$u_{2}(x,y,z,t) = v(x,y,t) + z\phi_{y}(x,y,t)$$

$$u_{3}(x,y,z,t) = w(x,y,t).$$
 (1)

Here t is the time, u_i (i = 1,2,3) is the displacement in x_i -coordinate direction ($x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$, $x_3 = z$), (u,v,w) are the associated midplane displacements, and ψ_x and ψ_y are the bending slopes in the xz and yz planes. The coordinate system is chosen such that the xy-plane coincides with the midplane of the plate.

The equations of motion in the small-deflection theory of moderately thick plates are given by

$$N_{1,x} + N_{6,y} = Pu_{,tt} + R\phi_{x,tt}$$

 $N_{6,x} + N_{2,y} = Pv_{,tt} + R\phi_{y,tt}$
 $O_{1,x} + O_{2,y} = Pw_{,tt} + q(x,y,t)$ (2)

$$M_{1,x} + M_{6,y} - Q_1 = I\psi_{x,tt} + Ru,tt$$

 $M_{6,x} + M_{2,y} - Q_2 = I\psi_{y,tt} + Rv,tt$

where P, R and I are the normal, coupled normal-rotary, and rotary inertia coefficients,

$$(P,R,I) = \int_{h/2}^{h/2} (1,z,z^2) \rho dz = \sum_{m} \int_{m}^{z_{m+1}} (1,z,z^2) \rho^{(m)} dz \qquad (3)$$

 $\rho^{(m)}$ being the material density of the m-th layer, N_i, Q_i, and M_i are the stress and moment resultants.

The main difference between the usual plate theory and the laminated plate theory is reflected in the plate constitutive equations. For bimodular-material plates these constitutive equations are given by

South and the state of the second second

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_{i} \\ M_{i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{ij} & B_{ij} \\ B_{ji} & D_{ij} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{j} \\ K_{j} \end{pmatrix}, (i,j = 1,2,6)$$
(4)

$$\begin{cases} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} k_4^2 S_{44} & k_4 k_5 S_{45} \\ k_4 k_5 S_{45} & k_5^2 S_{55} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \end{cases}.$$
 (5)

Here, A_{ij} , B_{ij} , D_{ij} , and S_{ij} denote the respective in-plane, bendingstretching coupling, bending or twisting, and thickness-shear stiffnesses defined as follows:

$$(A_{ij},B_{ij},D_{ij}) = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} (1,z,z^2)Q_{ijk}dz \quad (i,j = 1,2,6)$$

$$S_{ij} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} Q_{ijk}dz \quad (i,j = 4,5) \quad (6)$$

where h is the total thickness of the plate, Q_{ijkl} denotes the planestress reduced stiffness (i,j refer to the position in the compliance matrix; k refers to the sign of the fiber-direction strain: k = 1, tensile and k = 2, compressive; and ℓ refers to the layer number), ε_j and K_j

are the strains and curvatures associated with the displacements in (1), and k_i are the shear convection coefficients.

Closed-Form Solutions

In [8,10,11] it was shown that the closed-form solution can be derived for a freely supported, lamianted, bimodular, rectangular plate subjected to a sinusoidally distributed normal pressure. Guided by these results, we consider the same problem but subjected to sinusoidal distributed, time-dependent load,

 $q(x,y,t) = (q_0 \sin \alpha x \sin \beta y)T(t), \alpha = \pi/a, \beta = \pi/b$ (7) where T(t) is a known function of time, and a and b are the planform dimensions of the plate. The boundary conditions are given by

at x = 0,a: v = w =
$$\phi_y = N_1 = M_1 = 0$$

at y = 0,b: u = w = $\phi_x = N_2 = M_2 = 0$. (8)

For the loading and boundary conditions given above, the governing equations (2) are satisfied exactly (for any t > 0) by the following form of the generalized displacements:

$$u(x,y,t) = U(t)\phi_{1}(x,y)$$

$$v(x,y,t) = V(t)\phi_{2}(x,y)$$

$$w(x,y,t) = W(t)\phi_{3}(x,y)$$
(9)
$$\phi_{x}(x,y,t) = X(t)\phi_{1}(x,y)$$

$$\phi_{y}(x,y,t) = Y(t)\phi_{2}(x,y)$$

where

 $\phi_1 = \cos \alpha x \sin \beta y$, $\phi_2 = \sin \alpha x \cos \beta y$, $\phi_3 = \sin \alpha x \sin \beta y$. (10) The values of the coefficients U(t), V(t), W(t), X(t) and Y(t) are obtained by solving the following ordinary differential equations in time:

The elements of [C] and [M] are given in [14].

It should be pointed out that the plate stiffnesses A_{ij} , B_{ij} , and D_{ij} depend on the neutral-surface positions Z_{nx} and Z_{ny} associated with the fiber-direction strains ϵ_x and ϵ_y , respectively. The neutral-surface locations are given by,

$$Z_{nx}(t) = -U(t)/X(t)$$

 $Z_{nv}(t) = -V(t)/Y(t).$ (12)

which are constants for any fixed time. Thus, for any t > 0, the neutral surfaces are planes, as in the case of static bending. For the detailed computations of A_{ij} , B_{ij} , and D_{ij} , the reader is referred to [8,9,11].

Next, we consider the numerical solution of (11) by the Newmark direct integration technique. Equation (11) is of the general form

$$[M]{\Delta} + [K]{\Delta} = {F}$$
(13)

where $\{\Delta\}$ denotes the column of the generalized displacements. In Newmark's method, the solution and its derivative with respect to time are approximated by

· The strand Report of the second second

$$\{\Delta\}_{n+1} = \{\Delta\}_{n} + \Delta t \{\mathring{\Delta}\}_{n} + \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right) \{\widecheck{\Delta}\}_{n} + \beta \{\widecheck{\Delta}\}_{n+1}\right] (\Delta t)^{2}$$
$$\{\mathring{\Delta}\}_{n+1} = \{\mathring{\Delta}\}_{n} + \left[\left(1 - \alpha\right) \{\widecheck{\Delta}\}_{n} + \alpha \{\widecheck{\Delta}\}_{n+1}\right] \Delta t \qquad (14)$$

where α and β are the parameters that control the stability of the scheme, $\{\Delta\}_n$ denotes the value of $\{\Delta\}$ at time $t = n \Delta t$. The choice $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\beta = 0.25$ is known to give an unconditionally stable scheme for linear problems.

Using of the approximations (14) in (11) and rearranging the terms, we arrive at

$$[\hat{\kappa}] \{\Delta\}_{n+1} = \{\hat{F}\}_{n,n+1}$$
 (15)

where

والمستحدين

$$[\hat{F}] = [K] + a_0[M]$$

$$\{\hat{F}\} = \{F\}_{n+1} + [M](a_0\{\Delta\}_n + a_1\{\hat{\Delta}\}_n + a_2\{\hat{\Delta}\}_n) \qquad (16)$$

$$a_0 = 1/(\beta\Delta t) , a_1 = a_0\Delta t , a_2 = \frac{1}{2\beta} - 1.$$

Starting with initial values of $\{\Delta\}_0, \{\dot{\Delta}\}_0$ and $\{\ddot{\Delta}\}_0$, equation (15) can be solved repeatedly for $\{\Delta\}$ at successive values of time. The values of $\{\dot{\Delta}\}_{n+1}$ and $\{\ddot{\Delta}\}_{n+1}$ can be computed from (14).

Finite-Element Formulation

As pointed out earlier, the finite element model used in the present study is the same as that employed in [9]. We shall not repeat the formulation here, but only point out the additional steps involved in the transient analysis. The finite-element model in the present case results in the following equation for an element

$$[M]{\{\Delta\}} + [\overline{K}]{\{\Delta\}} = {F}, \qquad (17)$$

where $\{\Delta\}$ denotes the column of the nodal values of the generalized displacements. The elements of the mass matrix [M] and the stiffness

matrix $[\overline{K}]$ are given in [14,15]. Equation (17) is integrated using the direct integration technique described above.

It should be noted that no restriction is placed on the loading and boundary conditions in the finite-element analysis. When a uniform loading is used, the neutral-surface locations are not independent of the location (x,y), and therefore, the expressions for A_{ij} , B_{ij} and D_{ij} derived for the closed-form solutions are not valid in the entire plate in the finite-element analysis. Since the coefficients \overline{K}_{ij} are evaluated at the Gauss points, the stiffnesses A_{ij} , B_{ij} and D_{ij} are also evaluated at the Gauss points by using the neutral-surface positions computed at the Gauss points. This is equivalent to the assumption that the neutral surfaces are element-wise bilinear.

In the actual calculation of the stiffnesses, whether for the closed-form solution or in the finite-element analysis, the neutral-surface locations are not known <u>a-priori</u>. Therefore an iterative technique must be employed to compute the neutral-surface locations. The iteration procedure begins with assumed values of Z_{nx} and Z_{ny} (say, $Z_{nx} = Z_{ny} = 0$) and then the stiffnesses A_{ij} etc. are computed using these values. After obtaining the generalized displacements, the neutral-surface locations are recomputed. Using these new values of Z_{nx} and Z_{ny} , the stiffnesses for the next iteration are computed. This procedure is repeated until the difference between any two consecutive values of Z_{nx} (and Z_{ny}) differ by a small preselected value (say 0.1%).

Numerical Results and Discussion

In the following, numerical results are presented for rectangular plates made of two bimodular materials: aramid cord-rubber (AR) and polyester cord-rubber (PR), which are used in automobile tires. The

material properties for these two materials are given in [7-11,14], and are listed in Table 1 again for convenience. In the finite element method, a 2 x 2 mesh of nine-node isoparametric elements in the quarter plate was used. The shear correction coefficients k_i^2 were chosen to be 5/6.

3

<u>}</u>

ŀ

I DE LANGE

Table 1 Material properties for aramid cord-rubber and polyester cordrubber, unidirectional, bimodulus composite materials.

- · ·	Aramid-Rubber		Polyester Rubber	
Property	Tensile	Compressive	Tensile	Compressive
E ₁₁ (GPa)	3.58	0.012	0.617	0.0369
E ₂₂ (GPa)	0.00909	0.012	0.008	0.0106
Via Via	0.416	0.205	0.475	0.185
$G_{12}^{12} = G_{12}$ (GPa)	0.0037	0.0037	0.00262	0.00267
623 (GPa)	0.0029	0.00499	0.00233	0.00475
ρ (GPa)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

Due to the lack of other results in the literature, comparisons are made between the present closed-form solution (CFS) and finite element solutions (FES). The selection of the time step was guided by the stability criterion given by Tsui and Tong [16] for moderately thick plates (see [15]).

First, the effect of the time step on the transverse deflection and the neutral-surface location of a single-layer (0°) square plate (a = b = 1, a/h = 5, aramid-rubber) under suddenly applied step loading (with $q_0 = 1$ in eqn. (7)) was studied. Table 2 contains the results for the transverse deflection and the neutral-surface location for various time steps. Note that the solutions obtained using $\Delta t = 0.5$ differ from those obtained using $\Delta t = 0.05$ by only 2%.

Next, the finite-element results are validated by comparing with the closed-form solutions of a two-layer $(0^{\circ}/90^{\circ})$ square plate (a = b = 1, a/h = 5, aramid-rubber) under suddenly applied step loading (7). Effect of the time step on the transverse deflection and the neutral-surface location of a single-layer (aramid-rubber) square plate under suddenly applied, sinusoidally distributed step loading (closed-form solution). Table 2

Δt	υ .	05	0	-	0.	2	0. 25		0.5	
ىد	wx10 ⁻⁴	z _x /h	wx10 ⁻⁴	z _x /h	wx10 ⁻⁴	rx/h	wx10 ⁻⁴	tx/h	wx10 ⁻⁴	d',h
1.0	0.00024	0.1258	0.00023	0.1246	0.00020	0.1307	0.00019	0.1373	0.000151	0.1813
2.0	0.00096	0.2754	0.00093	0.2737	0.00089	0.2714	0.00086	0.2706	0.000767	0.2713
4.0	0.00370	0.3837	0.00366	0.3829	0.00357	0.3815	0.00353	0.3809	0.00332	0.3777
6.0	0.00796	0.4246	0.00790	0.4243	0.00778	0.4236	0.00772	0.4233	0.00742	0.4213
8.0	0.0135	0.4397	0.0134	0.4396	0.0133	0.4394	0.0132	0.4393	0.0128	0.4286
10.0	0.0200	0.442]	0.0199	0.442]	0.0198	0.4422	0.0197	0.4422	0.0192	0.4422
11.0	0.0235	0.4415	0.0234	0.4415	0.0232	0.4416	0.0231	0.4416	0.0227	0.4418
12.0	0.0270	0.4408	0.0269	0.4408	0.0267	0.4409	0.0266	0.4409	0.0262	0.4411
14.0	0.0340	0.4413	0.0339	0.4413	0.0337	0.4413	0.0336	0.4412	0.0332	0.4411
15.0	0.0373	0.4429	0.0372	0.4427	0.0371	0.4426	0.0370	0.4426	0.0366	0.4423

Table 3 contains the results for the transverse deflection, transverse velocity, and the neutral surface location, z_{nx} . The finite element results are in excellent agreement (less than one percent error) with the closed-form solution. Both the closed-form and finite-element solutions were obtained using $\Delta t = 0.5$, and $q_0 = 1$ in eqn. (7). In view of the close agreement between the closed-form and finite-element solutions, and in the interest of saving the computational time (the finite element method took 30 min. of CPU time compared to 5 sec. for the closed form solution presented in Table 3), most of the results to be discussed were obtained using the closed-form method. Of course, for the uniformly distributed load case, the finite element method was employed.

Figure 1 contains the plots of the transverse deflection and the neutral-surface location versus time for a single-layer (0°), square plate (a = b = 1, a/h = 5, aramid-rubber) subjected to suddenly applied step loading ($q_0 = 1$, $\Delta t = 0.5$). The figure shows the effect of the shear deformation and the bimodular action on the amplitude and period of the solutions. Clearly, the effect of both the shear deformation and the bimodular action be neglected in the analysis. It should be pointed out that the value of Z_{nx}/h for the time interval 8 < t < 36, coincides with the Z_{nx}/h of the associated static case (see [11]).

To further investigate the effect of the plate thickness on the dynamic response, the same problem (as in Figure 1) was solved using a/h =10. The nondimensionalized transverse deflection, $\overline{w} = (wh^3 E_{22}^c)/q a_0^4$, versus time is shown in Fig. 2. The effect of the thickness on the amplitude and period of the deflection is apparent from the figure.

Table 3 Comparison of the neutral-surface location, transverse deflection and transverse velocity as obtained by the closed-form solution (CFS) and the finite element method (FEM) for a two-layer (0°/90°) square plate (aramidrubber) under suddenly applied transverse step loading[†].

Z _{nx} /h		$w \times 10^{-3}$		$\dot{w} \times 10^{-2}$	
CFS	FEM	CFS	FEM	CFS	FEM
0.3780	0.3804	0.0330	0.0330	0.1674	0,1671
0.4387	0.4385	0.1277	0.1277	0.2959	0.2966
0.4377	0.4370	0.2597	0.2596	0.3486	0.3477
0.4395	0.4396	0.3904	0.3900	0.2908	0.2902
0.4410	0.4402	0.4832	0.4826	0.1614	0.1603
0.4388	0.4389	0.5127	0.5112	0208	0223
0.4419	0.4411	0.4684	0.4665	1912	1920
0.4373	0.4372	0.3656	0.3632	3116	- 3136
0.4412	0.4410	0.2307	0.2284	3457	- 3438
0.4279	0.4255	0.1044	0.1026	2745	2735
-	CFS 0.3780 0.4387 0.4377 0.4395 0.4410 0.4388 0.4419 0.4373 0.4412 0.4279	CFS FEM 0.3780 0.3804 0.4387 0.4385 0.4395 0.4396 0.4395 0.4396 0.4388 0.4389 0.4388 0.4389 0.4310 0.4402 0.4388 0.4389 0.4410 0.4411 0.4373 0.4372 0.4412 0.4410 0.4279 0.4255	Lnx/n w x CFS FEM CFS 0.3780 0.3804 0.0330 0.4387 0.4385 0.1277 0.4377 0.4370 0.2597 0.4395 0.4396 0.3904 0.4410 0.4402 0.4832 0.4388 0.4389 0.5127 0.4373 0.4372 0.3656 0.4412 0.4410 0.2307 0.4279 0.4255 0.1044	CFS FEM CFS FEM 0.3780 0.3804 0.0330 0.0330 0.4387 0.4385 0.1277 0.1277 0.4377 0.4370 0.2597 0.2596 0.4395 0.4396 0.3904 0.3900 0.4410 0.4402 0.4832 0.4826 0.4388 0.4389 0.5127 0.5112 0.4419 0.4411 0.4684 0.4665 0.4373 0.4372 0.3656 0.3632 0.4412 0.4410 0.2307 0.2284 0.4279 0.4255 0.1044 0.1026	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

 $^{\dagger}a/b = 1$, a/h = 5, $\Delta t = 0.5$, p = 1.0, $q_0 = 1.0$





ally distributed,



d manual states that we

The transverse deflection, transverse velocity, and the neutralsurface location versus time for a single-layer (0°), square plate of polyester-rubber material (a/h = 5, $q_0 = 1$, $\Delta t = 0.5$) under suddenly applied step loading are shown in Fig. 3. The figure also contains the plot of the transverse deflection versus time for a rectangular plate (a/b = 2; everything else is the same as in the square plate).

In Fig. 4, results of two-layer $(0^{\circ}/90^{\circ})$ square plates (a = b = 1, a/h = 5, Δt = 0.5, q₀ = 1) of aramid-rubber and polyester-rubber are presented. Once again, the excellent agreement between the closed-form solution and the finite-element solution is observed. Note also that the neutral-surface locations, both Z_{nx}/h and Z_{ny}/h , for the polyesterrubber plate are bounded above by Z_{nx}/h and below by Z_{ny}/h of the aramid-rubber plate.

Figure 5 contains plots of solutions of a single-layer (0°) and a two-layer (0°/90°) square plate (a = b = 1, a/h = 5, Δt = 0.5, q₀ = 1) of aramid-rubber under suddenly applied impulse loading,

$$q(x,y,t) = q_0 \sin(\frac{\pi x}{a}) \sin(\frac{\pi y}{b}) H(t - 5).$$

where H(t) is the Heavyside step function. Note that the neutralsurface location, Z_{nx}/h , does not reach a steady value like in the case of step loading, but the mean value is about the same as that in the case of step loading.

Thus far, the transverse load was assumed to be sinusoidal (with respect to x and y) to facilitate closed-form solutions. Since the finite element method does not have any limitation on the load distribution, one can use the finite element model developed herein for the analysis of plates subjected to uniformly distributed loads. Figure 6 contains the plots of the transverse deflection, transverse velocity







Figure 4. Transient response of two-layer $(0^{0}/90^{0})$, bimodular, square plates (a = b = 1, h = 0.2, q₀ = 1, Δt = 0.5) of aramid-rubber and polyester-rubber materials subjected to suddenly applied, sinusoidally distributed, step loading.



キャー・モアー としょうち はまた しょうない

and a realist the second states and a second states and



and the neutral-surface location, Z_{nx}/h , for a single-layer (0°) and a two-layer (0°/90°) square plate (a = b = 1, a/h = 5, Δt = 0.5, q₀ = 1) of aramid-rubber under suddenly applied step loading,

$$q(x,y,t) = q_{0}H(t).$$

The response curves resemble those of ordinary plates (see [15]). Although the amplitude of the transverse deflection is quite large compared to that of a sinusoidally-loaded plate, the magnitude of the neutral-surface location is about the same. This completes the discussion of the numerical examples.

Summary and Conclusions

The transient analysis of bimodular, composite, rectangular plates is presented. Finite element as well as closed-form solutions are presented for rectangular bimodular plates of aramid-rubber and polyester-rubber materials. The finite element solutions are found to agree very well with the closed-form solutions. From the dynamic (transient) response of the bimodular plates, it is apparent that the shear deformation and plate thickness (irrespective of the transversse shear strains) increase the amplitude and period of the transverse deflection. The results of the present study should be of interest to composite-materials designers and researchers.

Acknowledgment

The results reported herein were obtained during an investigation supported by the Structural Mechanics Program of the Office Naval Research through Contract NOOO14-78-C-0647. The continued support by the Program and the encouragement by Dr. Nicholas Perrone are gratefully acknowledged.



Figure 6. Transient response of single-layer and two-layer, bimodular, square plates (a = b = ?, h = 0.2, $q_0 = 1$, $\Delta t = 0.05$) of aramid-rubber material under suddenly applied, uniformly distributed, step loading.

References

- 1. S. Timoshenko, <u>Strength of Materials</u>, <u>Part II:</u> <u>Advanced Theory and</u> <u>Problems</u>, 2nd ed., Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1941, pp. 362-369.
- S. A. Ambartsumyan, "The Axisymmetric Problem of a Circular Cylindrical Shell Made of Material with Different Stiffnesses in Tension and Compression," <u>Izvestiya Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Mekhanika</u>, No. 4, 1965, pp. 77-85; English translation, National Technical Information Service Document AD-675312 (1967).
- 3. N. Kamiya, "Transverse Shear Effect in a Bimodulus Plate," <u>Nuclear</u> Engineering and Design, Vol. 32, No. 3, 1975, pp. 351-357.
- N. Kamiya, "An Energy Method Applied to Large Elastic Deformation of a Thin Plate of Bimodulus Material," <u>Journal of Structural</u> <u>Mechanics</u>, Vol. 3, No. 3, 1975, pp. 317-329.
- 5. N. Kamiya, "Thermal Stress in a Bimodulus Thin Plate," <u>Bulletin de</u> <u>l'Academie des Sciences</u>, Serie des Sciences Techniques, Vol. 24, 1976, pp. 365-372.
- 6. R. M. Jones and H. S. Morgan, "Bending and Extension of Cross-Ply Laminates with Different Moduli in Tension and Compression," Computers and Structures, Vol. 11, No. 3, 1980, pp. 181-190.
- J. N. Reddy and C. W. Bert, "Analyses of Plates Constructed of Fiber-Reinforced Bimodulus Materials," <u>Mechanics of Bimodulus</u> Materials, C. W. Bert (ed.) AMD Vol. 33, ASME, NY, 1979, pp. 67-83.
- 8. C. W. Bert, V. S. Reddy, and S. K. Kincannon, "Deflection of Thin Rectangular Plates of Cross-plied Bimodulus Material," <u>Journal of</u> Structural Mechanics, Vol. 8, No. 4, 1980, pp. 347-364.
- 9. J. N. Reddy and W. C. Chao, "Finite-Element Analysis of Laminated Bimodulus Composite-Material Plates," <u>Computers and Structures</u>, Vol. 12, 1980, pp. 245-251.
- J. N. Reddy, C. W. Bert, Y. S. Hsu, and Reddy, V. S., "Thermal Bending of Thick Rectangular Plates of Bimodulus Composite Materials," <u>Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science</u>, Vol. 22, No. 6, 1980, pp. 297-304.
- 11. C. W. Bert, J. N. Reddy, V. S. Reddy, and W. C. Chao, "Bending of Thick Rectangular Plates Laminated of Bimodulus Composite Materials," AIAA Journal, Vol. 19, 1981, pp. 1342-1349.
- 12. N. M. Newmark, "A Method of Computation for Structural Dynamics," ASCE Journal of the Engineering Mechanics Division, Vol. 85, EM3, 1959, pp. 67-94.
- 13. J. M. Whitney and N. J. Pagano, "Shear Deformation in Heterogeneous Anisotropic Composite Plates," <u>ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics</u>, Vol. 37, 1970, pp. 1031-1036.

3

in the state of the

14. C. W. Bert, J. N. Reddy, W. C. Chao, and V. S. Reddy, "Vibration of Thick Rectangular Plates of Bimodulus Composite Material," ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics, Vol. 48, No. 2, 1981, pp. 371-376.

r.

- 15. J. N. Reddy, "On the Solutions to Forced Motions of Layered Composite Plates," Research Report No. VPI-E-81-24, Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061.
- 16. T. Y. Tsui and P. Tong, "Stability of Transient Solution of Moderately Thick Plate by Finite Difference Method," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 9, 1971, pp. 2062-2063.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
REPO	RT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
VPI-	-E-81-28		
3. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
TRA	SIENT RESPONSE OF LAMINATED,	BIMODULAR-	Interim
MAIL	RIAL, COMPOSITE RECTANGUALR	PLATES	4. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
			Technical report No. 24
AUTH	OR(a)		S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(a)
J. M	i. Reddy		N00014-78-C-0647
PERF	ORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Univ	versity of Oklahoma/Virginia (Polytechnic	
(VP)	(is the subcontractor)	lacksburg, VA	NR 064-609
CON	FROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
Depa	artment of the Navy, Office o	f Naval Research	October 1981
Stri Arl	ington VA 22217	ie 4/4)	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
. MON	TORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dilloron	t from Controlling Office)	13. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
			UNULASSIFIED
			UNULASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
This unli	RIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) s document has been approved imited.	for public releas	TS. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
This This unli	RIEUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) 5 document has been approved i imited. RIEUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered	for public releas	TS. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
. Dist This unli . Dist	RIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) 5 document has been approved f imited. RIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotress entered PLEMENTARY NOTES tions of this paper are accept	for public releas	Tion at the Ninth U.S. Natio
b. Dist This unli 7. Dist 7. Dist Port Cong The	RIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) s document has been approved i imited. RIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebotrect entered stementary notes tions of this paper are accept gress of Applied Mechanics, Ja paper will appear in its ent	for public releas In Block 20, 11 different free ted for presentat une 21-25, 1982, irety in the Jour	TS. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE and sale; distribution Report)
b oist This unli b supr Port Cong The Bimo fini grat	RIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) S document has been approved to imited. RIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed entered PLEMENTARY NOTES tions of this paper are accept gress of Applied Mechanics, Ju paper will appear in its ent WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary en bdular (bimodulus) materials, ite-element solutions, lamination tion techniques.	for public releas in Block 20, 11 different from ted for presentat une 21-25, 1982, irety in the Jour d Identify by block number) composite materi tes, plates, tran	TS. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE are and sale; distribution Report) Report cion at the Ninth U.S. Nation Cornell University, Ithaca. anal of Composite Materials. als, closed-form solutions, sient analysis, time inte-
D. SUPP Port Cong The Bimo fini grat	RELITION STATEMENT (of this Report) s document has been approved i imited. RELITION STATEMENT (of the ebotrect entered but the statement of this paper are accept gress of Applied Mechanics, Ja paper will appear in its ent WORDS (Continue on reverse eide II necessary en but and (bimodulus) materials, ite-element solutions, lamination tion techniques.	for public releas in Block 20, 11 dillerent tree ted for presentat une 21-25, 1982, irety in the Jour d Identify by block number) composite materi tes, plates, tran	(Inclassification/bowngRading schedule a and sale; distribution a Report) a Report cion at the Ninth U.S. Nation Cornell University, Ithaca. anal of Composite Materials. als, closed-form solutions, sient analysis, time inte-

•

ł 1

to the second second

P

• • • • ,

) ||

- 1 . ł 1000 i t i

Í

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 45 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102-014-6601 |

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Entered)

0

and the second second

PREVIOUS REPORTS ON THIS CONTRACT

Project Rept. No.	Issuing University Rept. No.*	Report Title	Author(s)
1	OU 79-7	Mathematical Modeling and Micromechanics of Fiber Reinforced Bimodulus Composite Material	C.W. Bert
2	OU 79-8	Analyses of Plates Constructed of Fiber-Reinforced Bimodulus Materials	J.N. Reddy and C.W. Bert
3	OU 79-9	Finite-Element Analyses of Laminated Composite- Material Plates	J.N. Reddy
4A	OU 79-10A	Analyses of Laminated Bimodulus Composite-Material Plates	C.W. Bert
5	OU 79-11	Recent Research in Composite and Sandwich Plate Dynamics	C.W. Bert
6	OU 79-14	A Penalty Plate-Bending Element for the Analysis of Laminated Anisotropic Composite Plates	J.N. Reddy
7	OU 79-18	Finite-Element Analysis of Laminated Bimodulus Composite-Material Plates	J.N. Reddy and W.C. Chao
8	OU 79-19	A Comparison of Closed-Form and Finite-Element Solutions of Thick Laminated Anisotropic Rectan- gular Plates (With a Study of the Effect of Reduced Integration on the Accuracy)	J.N. Reddy
9	OU 79-20	Effects of Shear Deformation and Anisotropy on the Thermal Bending of Layered Composite Plates	J.N. Reddy and Y.S. Hsu
10	00 80-1	Analyses of Cross-Ply Rectangular Plates of Bimodulus Composite Material	V.S. Reddy and C.W. Bert
11	OU 80-2	Analysis of Thick Rectangular Plates Laminated of Dimodulus Composite Materials	C.W. Bert, J.N. Reddy, V.S. Reddy and W.C. Chao
12	OU 80-3	Cylindrical Shells of Bimodulus Composite Material	C.W. Bert and V.S. Reddy
13	0U 80-6	Vibration of Composite Structures	C.W. Bert
14	OU 80-7	Large Deflection and Large-Amplitude Free Vibra- tions of Laminated Composite-Material Plates	J.N. Reddy and W.C. Chao
15	OU 80-8	Vibration of Thick Rectangular Plates of Bimodulus Composite Material	C.W. Bert, J.N. Reddy, W.C. Chao, and V.S. Reddy
16	OU 80-9	Thermal Bending of Thick Rectangular Plates of Bimodulus Material	J.N. Reddy, C.W. Bert, Y.S. Hsu, and V.S. Reddy
17	OU 80-14	Thermoelasticity of Circular Cylindrical Shells Laminated of Bimodulus Composite Materials	Y.S. Hsu, J.N. Reddy, and C.W. Bert
18	OU 80-17	Composite Materials: A Survey of the Damping Capacity of Fiber-Reinforced Composites	C.W. Bert
19	OU 80-20	Vibration of Cylindrical Shells of Bimodulus Composite Materials	C.W. Bert and M. Kumar
20	VPI 81-11 & OU 81-1	On the Behavior of Plates Laminated of Bimodulus Composite Materials	J.N. Reddy and C.W. Bert
21	VP1 81-12	Analysis of Layered Composite Plates Accounting for Large Deflections and Transverse Shear Strains	J.N. Reddy
22	QU 81-7	Static and Dynamic Analyses of Thick Beams of Bimodular Materials	C.W. Bert and A.D. Tran
23	00 81-8	Experimental Investigation of the Mechanical Behavior of Cord-Rubber Materials	C.W. Bert and M. Kumar
24	VPI-81.28	Translent Response of Laminated, Blmodu- lar-Material Composite Rectangular Plate	J. N. Reddy es

OU denotes the University of Oklahoma; VPI denotes Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.