AD-	-A113 66	7 ARH LAS JAN	THISS ER-IND 82 J	ILE COMM/ UCED REAC A MERRII	TIONS	OF NITR	DSEN FLU	AL RE	WITH N	ITROGEN	0X1	72 (TC (U)	
UNI	CLASSIFII	ED DRS	HI/RR-	82-1-TR			SBI-AC	-E950	228		NL		
	4.136A.	v											
			–	+									ľ
						1.1			τ.				
_	- -		ļ										r
		Í					0110						



they the second of the

DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

~

and the state of the second second second

HEATNEY THIS REPORT WHEN IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT HETHIN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.

DISCLAIMER

THE FINDINGS IN THIS REPORT ARE NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS AN OFFICIAL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY POSITION UNLESS SO DESIGNATED BY OTHER AUTHORIZED DOCUMENTS.

TRADE NAMES

UNE OF THAN'S NAMES ON MANUFACTURERS IN THIS REPORT DOES NOT CONNETTIVITE AN OFFICIAL INDORSEMENT OR APPROVAL OF THE UNE OF JOICH COMMENCIAL MARCHARE OR SOFTBARE

REPORT DOCUMENT	ATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
RR-82-1	AN A113 47	· · .
. TITLE (and Subtitio)	<u> </u>	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
IASED TUDUCED DEACTIONS OF	NT IN A CRN RI HAR TORC	Technical Report
WITH NITROGEN OXIDES	NTIROGEN FLOORIDES	
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(=)		S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
J. A. Merritt, J. K. McDona	ld. and	
V. F. Kalasinsky		
		10 PROCRAM ELEMENT PROJECT TASK
Commander, US Army Missile	Command	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Attn: DRSMI-RR		
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898		
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDR	ESS Common d	12. REPORT DATE
Attn: DRSMT-RPT		January 1982
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898		30
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS	(Il different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		SCHEDULE
Approved for public release 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about	; distribution unlimit c: entered in Block 20, if different fr	ed . on Report)
Approved for public release 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the above	; distribution unlimit ct entered in Block 20, 11 different fr	ed. mar Report)
Approved for public release 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetre 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if ne	; distribution unlimit c: entered in Block 20, if different fr cessary and identify by block number	ed. on Report)
Approved for public release 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetre 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if no tetrafluorohydrazine nitrogen trifluoride	; distribution unlimit ct entered in Block 20, if different in cossery and identify by block number nitrous oxide nitrogen diowid	e ed.
Approved for public release 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetro 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 1. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if ne tetrafluorohydrazine nitrogen trifluoride nitric oxide	; distribution unlimit c: entered in Block 20, if different for cessery and identify by block number nitrous oxide nitrogen dioxid nitrogen fluori	e des
Approved for public release 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetre 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if no tetrafluorobydrazine	; distribution unlimit ct entered in Block 20, if different in coseery and identify by block number pitrous oxide	ed. man Report)
Approved for public release 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetre 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if no tetrafluorohydrazine nitrogen trifluoride nitric oxide 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side N me Some lager-induced react	; distribution unlimit c: entered in Block 20, if different fr cessary and identify by block number nitrous oxide nitrogen dioxid nitrogen fluori	e des
Approved for public release 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetra 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if ne tetrafluorohydrazine nitrogen trifluoride nitric oxide 8. ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse elds if ne Some laser-induced react fluoride (NF3) with nitric of (NO2) or nitrosyl chloride (nitrogen fluorides of infran number of reactions. In all or NO2 were used, FNO2 was a depended upon laser power an	; distribution unlimit ct entered in Block 20, 11 different in coseary and identify by block number nitrous oxide nitrogen dioxid nitrogen fluori receary and identify by block number clons of tetrafluorohy oxide (NO), nitrous ox (CINO) have been studi red radiation from a C cases, the major pro- ulso produced. The quart d sample pressures.	e des des des des des des des des des de

•

.

والمحالية والمحالي المراجع

...

• -

and the second sec

UNCLASSIFIED

A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

probably proceed through a nonequilibrium thermal process rather than a true multiphoton dissociation, but certain pieces of the data are not totally consistent with this interpretation. A decomposition reaction was induced in CINO through laser absorption by a combination band. Possible mechanisms for the various reactions and the implications of pursuing studies of laserinduced chemical processes are discussed.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

CONTENTS

.

and the second of

E

1.	INTRODUCTION	3
2.	EXPERIMENTAL	4
3.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	4
4.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	11



and the second second

- -

Page

1/2

and with a second s

siten state • •

1. INTRODUCTION

and the second second

Discretely tunable infrared lasers have been found to be useful in many areas of research in chemical physics. One particularly important application relates to the possibility of initiating and controlling chemical reactions by exciting specific vibrational modes within the reacting molecules. The infrared output of pulsed or continuous-wave carbon dioxide (CO₂) lasers can be tuned to match molecular infrared absorption frequencies, and absorption of the laser radiation can promote molecules into reactive, vibrationally excited energy states. The various theoretical aspects and experimental applications of laser-induced chemical processes have been discussed in appropriate reviews.¹⁻⁷

Unimolecular reactions in the gas phase can be induced by infrared laser output, and many studies employing pulsed-laser techniques, where large energy fluences could be achieved, have been reported.⁸⁻¹¹ Considerable effort has been directed toward isotope separation. Selective excitation of isotopically substituted molecules is possible in laser-induced reactions because of the differences in molecular vibrational absorptions which are caused by differences in atomic masses, and some success in isotope separations has been reported for gaseous^{12,13} and solid phase ¹⁴ reactions. Molecular ionization can be accomplished using laser excitation, 15 and energy deposition and transfer studies have also been reported.¹⁶ In a more synthetic application, considerable work has been concerned with bimolecular and more complicated reactions in the gas phase 17 or involving reactions of or production of solids.^{18,19} The interest in these reactions stems primarily from the fact that they are not feasible at ordinary temperatures but proceed rapidly when exposed to infrared laser radiation.

Many of the laser-induced reactions carried out at room temperature follow pathways similar to those observed in ordinary pyrolytic reactions whereas other laser-induced reactions are more difficult to describe mechanistically. Indeed, the mechanisms of laser-induced reactions have been discussed in terms of thermal and multiphoton processes. 1-4,6 In the context applicable to laser-induced chemistry, a multiphoton process refers to one in which there is absorption of enough monochromatic infrared photons in a specific vibrational mode to cause dissociation of a molecule. The resulting, highly energetic species thus produced either rearranges or reacts with another species. However, if the absorption of radiation is not sufficient to induce dissociation or if the relaxation of the vibrational energy is very rapid, an alternative mechanism is possible. The absorbed vibrational energy can be non-radiatively transferred to other rovibrational states and translational modes, and the molecule becomes vibrationally heated. The resulting "hot" molecule can react by thermal mechanisms which are similar to those operative in ordinary, equilibrium pyrolytic reactions. Recent results obtained using supersonic molecular beams and laser excitation indicate that in relatively large molecules, which have many degrees of vibrational freedom, the relaxation of vibrational energy is rapid enough to compete with laser-induced multiphoton dissociation.^{6,7,20} This implies that the absorption of monochromatic infrared laser photons can result in a nonequilibrium thermal process or vibrational excitation in a manifold other than simply the one which is resonant with the incident infrared radiation.

Regardless of the exact mechanism involved, a laser-induced reaction can be more specific and more efficient than ordinary pyrolytic reactions. Recent theoretical and experimental work has been aimed at understanding the possible pathways in which laser-induced reactions proceed, and it appears that there can be considerable branching.²¹ The effects of experimental parameters, such as pressure, temperature, and laser power, are currently under study, and it appears that relative kinetic barriers and more detailed reaction mechanism can be evaluated.

The vast interest in and applicability of laser-induced chemical process has made it necessary to continue to pursue a number of experiments. The results of these experiments and their implications are reported herein.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

Commercially available tetrafluorohydrazine, nitrogen trifluoride (Air Products), nitric oxide (NO), nitrous oxide (N₂O), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), and nitrosyl chloride (CINO) (Matheson) were employed in the studies. Their infrared spectra $^{22-28}$ showed only minor impurities, and the samples were used without further purification. The spectra of these and other compounds (FNO and FNO₂)^{29,30} are summarized in Table I.

The reactions were carried out in stainless steel cells (5 x 10 cm) equipped with O-rings seals for securing windows (5 cm diameter) onto the cells. The infrared laser beam entered through ZnSe windows at either end of the cell (traversing a 10 cm path), and any visible emission was observed through a sapphire window. Evidence of side reactions was observed when KCl and Pyrex windows were used.

Infrared laser excitation in the range of 10.4 or 9.4 μ m was provided by a Coherent Radiation Laboratories model 41 continuous-wave CO₂ laser. The exact laser frequencies were verified using an optical engineering CO₂ spectrum analyzer. In single-line operation, output powers between 50 and 150 W could be obtained by varying the CO₂-N₂-He gas mixture in the laser. The beam size was measured from burn patterns and was found to be approximately circular with a 4 mm diameter. The ZnSe window on the sample cell was found to transmit only 64% of the incident infrared radiation, so a measure of the laser power available to the samples must be adjusted accordingly.

Infrared spectra were collected on a Digilab FTS-20B interferometer equipped with a KBr/Ge beamsplitter and a triglycine sulfate (TGS) detector. Interferograms were transformed after applying a trapezodial apodization function with an effective spectral resolution of 1.0 cm^{-1} .

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reaction of NO with NF3 or N2F4

Nitric oxide (NO) is a diatomic molecule whose single vibration is centered at 1876 cm⁻¹. Due to the ${}^{2}\Pi$ ground electronic state, the vibration-rotation spectrum of NO exhibits a Q-branch in addition to the normal P-R structure expected for a diatomic molecule. Since this transition is not resonant with the output of the CO₂ laser, the reaction of NO and a nitrogen fluoride will necessarily be the result of laser excitation of the latter. In all reactions of NO and NF₃ induced by the P(36) $\begin{bmatrix} 00^{\circ}1 - 10^{\circ}0 \end{bmatrix}$ (929.02 cm⁻¹) line of the CO₂ laser, the major product was FNO. Prominent features at 1844 and 766 cm⁻¹ in the spectra of reaction products (Figures 1, 2, and 3) are due to the v₁ and v₃ fundamentals, respectively, of FNO. Under certain conditions, quantities of N₂F₄ were also produced.

Figure 1 shows the spectra of the products of laser-induced reactions of equal molar amounts of NO and NF₃ (30 torr each). A small amount of N₂O is observed at 2224 cm⁻¹, and unreacted NO (1876 cm⁻¹) and NF₃ (1032 and 905 cm⁻¹) are also evident. When 100 W of laser power was used (1 sec), a trace of N₂F₄ was produced, but considerable N₂F₄ was produced when the reactants were irradiated for relatively long periods of time (15 sec) with 50 W of power. These two cases are shown in detail in Figure 2 where selected regions of the spectra from Figure 1 are displayed.

The results of studies in which an excess of NO (60 torr NO, 30 torr NF₃) was used are shown in Figure 3. With a laser power of 100 W, a localized glow was observed as the beam traversed the cell; no such glow was observed when 50 W was used. Unreacted NO and NF₃ were found after both reactions, and it is interesting to note that approximately equal amounts of N₂F₄ were produced. N₂O and FNO were produced in both reactions, but more of each product was observed for the higher power reaction. A comparison of relative amounts of FNO in two spectra is often facilitated by viewing the combination band ($v_1 + v_2$) at 2365 cm⁻¹ rather than the fundamentals.

With an excess of NF₃ (30 torr NO and 60 torr NF₃), all the NO was consumed, and large amounts of FNO and N₂F₄ were produced while very small amounts of N₂O were observed. These results were the same whether short pulses (~ 1 sec) of 100 W or longer exposure (10-25 sec) of 50 W of laser power were used. The relative amounts of N₂F₄ were slightly different, however, with more having been produced at the lower power. These reactions make it clear that the laser-induced reactions are much more likely when relatively high pressures of NF₃ are used.

When NO was allowed to react with N_2F_4 , large amounts of FNO and NF₃ were produced. With 20 torr of each reactant, some unreacted N₂F₄ was present, but when excess NO was used (40 torr NO and 20 torr N₂F₄), the N₂F₄ was completely consumed, and small amounts of N₂C)were produced along with the FNO and NF₃.

Mechanistically the reactions of NO with NF3 or N_2F_4 should be similar, since the formation of FNO requires the transfer of a fluorine atom. If NF3 supplies that atom, then a reactive 'NF2 radical would exist, and this radical is known to be involved in an equilibrium with N_2F_4 . A set of reactions which can account for the essential features of the observed overall reactions is given on the next page.

 $NO + NF_3 \rightarrow FNO + NF_2$ (1)

 $2NF_2 \neq N_2F_4$ (2)

 $NO + NF_2 + FNO + NF$ (3)

$$NF + NF_2 \rightarrow NF_3 + N$$
(4)

$$2 N \rightarrow N_2$$
 (5)

$$N + NO \rightarrow N_2O \tag{6}$$

The formation of short-lived NF in reaction (3) has not been verified experimentally, but its existence could provide atomic nitrogen as indicated in reaction (4). Varying amounts of N₁O are observed in the infrared spectra, and N₂ has been identified in a mass spectrometer, so a source of atomic nitrogen must exist if these are produced according to reactions (5) and (6).

Naturally it would require considerable amounts of laser energy to initiate reaction (1), and the necessary duration of the initiating laser pulse indicates that the reaction depends upon thermal excitation. It seems, too, that the distribution of final products depends upon the thermodynamics of the various reactions and the relative concentrations of reacting species.

With the exception of reaction (1), the same series of steps can be applied to the reaction of NO and N_2F_4 . Clearly a significant difference would be that laser excitation of the N_2F_4 would force the equilibrium in reaction (2) in the reverse direction and supply a reactant for reaction (3).

Reaction of ClNO with NF₃ or N_2F_4 and Unimolecular Reaction of ClNO

The nitrogen fluorides are known to be especially good fluorinating agents in laser-induced reactions, and since FNO was found to be produced in the reactions involving NO, the reactions of ClNO were investigated.

Equimolar mixtures of ClNO and NF₃ (25 torr each) were subjected to laser irradiation. When a given mixture was irradiated with successively increasing laser powers at 929.02 cm⁻¹, P(36) $[00^{\circ}1 - 10^{\circ}0]$ the evolution of reaction products could be followed. For example, in Figure 4 the results of irradiations of 70W, 100W, and 150W are shown. After irradiation with 70W, the presence of NO was observed, but there appeared to be no change in the NF₃ concentration (Figure 4B). A mixture of ClNO (1800 cm⁻¹) and FNO (1844, 766 cm⁻¹) was observed after irradiation with 100W (Figure 4C), and the ClNO was totally consumed after 150W was used (Figure 4D). The amount of N₂O also increased as the production of FNO proceeded, and some N₂F₄ was also ultimately produced.

ALL ALL DE LE CALLER

By comparison, when a similar equimolar mixture was irradiated with 100W the results were similar to the final results of the experiments described immediately above, and this can be verified by Figure 5A. With an excess of C1NO (50 torr C1NO, 25 torr NF₃), FNO, N₂O, NO and a small amount of N₂F₄ were produced (Figure 5B) by the P(36) $[00^{\circ}1 - 10^{\circ}0]$ laser line (120 W).

Similar results were also observed when the laser line at 1031.48 cm⁻¹, P(36) $[00^{\circ}1 - 02^{\circ}0]$, was used. This line is resonant with a different vibration (v_1) of NF₃, but the same reaction took place.

In a similar manner, N_2F_4 also reacts with ClNO. When 25 torr of each were mixed and irradiated with 120W of P(36) $\begin{bmatrix} 00^{\circ}1 - 02^{\circ}0 \end{bmatrix}$, FNO, NF₃ and N₂O were produced. While the stoichiometry is slightly different, the reaction seems to proceed in the same way as that involving NF₃.

The reaction of C1NO and NF₃ at low levels of laser irradiation raised an interesting question. From Figure 4A it would appear that, with 70W, NO is formed, C1NO is depleted, and the amount of NF₃ remains constant, and the explanation of this behavior can be either that NF₃ sensitizes the decomposition of C1NO at low power or that C1NO undergoes a unimolecular decomposition. The latter possibility was tested by irradiating 50 torr of C1NO with 125W of power at 929.02 cm⁻¹ and at 1031.48 cm⁻¹. The formation of NO was observed after irradiation at 929.02 cm⁻¹ (Figure 6) but not after irradiation at 1031.48 cm⁻¹.

As indicated in Table I, C1NO has fundamental vibrations at 1800, 332, and 605 cm⁻¹, and none of these are resonant with the laser output described above. However, the $v_2 + v_3$ combination band²⁹ is at 926 cm⁻¹, and the laser-induced reaction apparently proceeds due to absorption of this band. This certainly explains why no reaction is induced by irradiation at 1031.48 cm⁻¹. Furthermore, this appears to be the first unimolecular reaction which proceeds through excitation of a combination band.

The unimolecular decomposition of CINO appears to be a thermally induced reaction as evidenced by the relatively long irradiation times which were necessary. The reaction is probably not truly unimolecular, since collisions probably contribute to the reaction. The individual steps are probably those given below.

 $C1NO \rightarrow C1 + NO$

2 C1	→	C1 ₂				(8)

The reaction of ClNO and NF₃ can be visualized in either of two ways. First of all, it is known that NF₃ reacts with NO, and it is possible that this reaction (Reactions (1) - (6)) follows the decomposition of ClNO. Alternately, there can be a direct reaction between ClNO and NF₃ as a result of laser excitation of NF₃. This latter possibility can proceed as follows:

$$CINO + NF_3 \rightarrow FNO + CI + NF_2$$
 (9)

$$2 NF_2 \neq N_2F_4$$
 (10)

$$C1NO + NF_2 \rightarrow FNO + C1 + NF$$
 (11)

$$2 \text{ C1} \rightarrow \text{ C1}_2 \tag{12}$$

7

(7)

$$NF + NF_2 \rightarrow NF_2 + N$$
 (13)

$$2 N \rightarrow N_2$$
 (14)

 $N + C1NO \rightarrow N_{2}O + C1$ (15)

Molecular chlorine has not been identified, but there was no evidence for other chlorine-containing compounds. Additionally, when the product was left unattended at ambient temperatures, the FNO was converted back to ClNO.

The reaction which proceeds with irradiation by laser powers of 100W or more probably follows these steps. Irradiation at 929.02 cm⁻¹ can initiate both the decomposition of ClNO and the fluorination of ClNO, but irradiation at 1031.48 cm⁻¹ can only induce the latter reaction. Since both laser lines give the same sets of products it is likely that in both cases reactions (9) -(15) are operative.

At low laser power at 929.02 cm⁻¹, the competition between various pathways becomes evident (Figure 4). Low levels of power are apparently insufficient (at the pressures used) to induce a reaction involving the NF₃ presumably because of the stability of NF₃. On the other hand, sufficient energy is available to induce a cleavage of the Cl-N bond. The reactions of NF₃ are known to be extremely rapid and exothermic, and these will dominate the reaction system at higher power levels.

Reaction of N_2 0 and NF_3 or N_2F

The infrared spectra of $N_2 0$ and a mixture of $N0_2-N_2 0_4$ are shown in Figure 7. None of the fundamental vibrations for $N_2 0$ are resonant with the output of the CO₂ laser, so the reactions described herein are the result of the absorption of infrared radiation by the appropriate nitrogen fluoride.

The laser power required for a reaction was quite high relative to the other reaction systems described. For example, Figure 8A shows the spectrum of a mixture of 55 torr N₂O and 30 torr NF₃. Extended irradiation (10-20 sec) by 100W of power at 929.02 cm⁻¹, did not induce a reaction. The effects of 140W of power on a mixture of 60 torr N₂O and 40 torr NF₃ are indicated in Figure 8B and 8C. Although unreacted N₂O and NF₃ were present in relatively large amounts, significant amounts of FNO were produced, and the presence of FNO₂ was confirmed by the weak Q-branches at 1793 and 822 cm⁻¹. The spectrum in Figure 8B was recorded after five three-second irradiations of the initial mixture, and five additional three-second exposures were carried out before the spectrum in Figure 8C was recorded. The additional irradiation caused increases in the concentrations of FNO and FNO₂.

When different relative amounts of reactants were used, different results were observed. In particular, with a mixture of 40 torr N₂0 and 50 torr NF₃, four three-second exposures of 140W of P(36) $[00^{\circ}1 - 10^{\circ}0]$ radiation produced FNO and FNO₂ (Figure 9A), but additional exposures caused an increase in the amount of FNO and a decrease in the amount of FNO₂. As larger and larger relative amounts of NF₃ were used, the same effect was observed at even lower

laser powers. With a mixture of 30 torr N_20 and 60 torr NF3, extended irradiation (20-30 sec) with 110W of P(36) laser power was sufficient to completely deplete the FNO₂. Similar results were also observed for the reaction of N_20 with N_2F_4 . In these reactions, NF3 was also observed in the mixture of reaction products.

The reactions of N_2C with the two nitrogen fluorides cannot be accounted for in as straightforward a manner as other reaction systems. An important question relates to whether the N_2O molecules undergo N-N or N-O bond cleavage, and it may be that both processes are possible. If binary collisions only are considered, the reactions can be described reasonably well by the following steps:

$$N_2^0 + NF_3 \rightarrow FNO + N + NF_2$$
 (16)

$$N_2^0 + NF_2 \rightarrow FNO + N + NF$$
 (17)

$$N_2 O + NF \rightarrow FNO + N + N$$
 (18)

$$FNO + N_2O \rightarrow FNO_2 + N_2$$
 (19)

$$2 NF_2 \neq N_2F_4$$
(20)

$$NF_2 + NF \rightarrow NF_3 + N$$
 (21)

$$2N \rightarrow N_2$$
 (22)

$$FNO_2 + NF \rightarrow 2FNO$$
 (23)

For N-N bond cleavage, reactions (16)-(18) indicate a mechanism which can result in the large quantities of FNO observed in the spectra. The only reasonable binary reaction which can result in the formation of FNO₂ is shown in reaction (19). It has been observed that the production of FNO₂ is more favorable when larger relative amounts of N₂0 are present. The depletion of FNO₂ is shown in a stoichiometric fashion in reaction (23), but it is conceivable that other nitrogen fluoride species contribute to the process.

Any more detailed description of the mechanism would require extensive further experimentation including temporal studies and the use of isotopically labelled (^{15}N) reactants.

Reaction of NO2 with NF3 or N2F4

The reaction of NO_2 and N_2F_4 is known to be spontaneous and probably proceeds because of the existence of small quantities of NF₂ which are in equilibrium with the N_2F_4 . Reportedly, the only product of the reaction is

FNO, ³¹ but our data show that FNO_2 and NF_3 are also produced. The similarities between the reactivities of N_2F_4 and NF_3 in laser-induced reactions is well known, so a study of the reaction of NF_3 with NO_2 was undertaken.

No spontaneous reaction between NO_2 and NF_3 was observed, and the laserinduced reactions required at least 50W of power at 929.02 cm⁻¹. This laser power was used on an equimolar mixture (45 torr each) of NO_2 and NF_3 , and the results are shown in Figure 10A. FNO and FNO₂ are the main products, and the unreacted NO_2 and N_2O_4 can be identified by comparison with their spectra in Figure 7 and their frequencies in Table I. Similar results were obtained with different total pressures and different laser powers. When an excess of NO_2 (60 torr NO_2 , 30 torr NF_3) was used, 50W of laser power induced only a slight reaction, but with 130W (Figure 10B), FNO and small amounts of FNO₂ were produced.

Mixtures of 30 torr NO_2 and 60 torr NF_3 provided rather interesting results. When the mixture was irradiated with 140W at 929.02 cm⁻¹, FNO and FNO₂ were produced (Figure 11B). When this latter mixture was further irradiated with 140W of power, additional FNO was produced and the FNO₂ was completely depleted. Two important points can be made on the basis of these results. First of all, for a given set of concentrations of reactants, the amount of FNO₂ depends upon the laser power, and secondly, the FNO₂ can be converted into FNO if suitable quantities of NF₃ are available.

This latter observation deserved further investigation, and the $NO_2 - N_2F_4$ reaction system was used to accomplish this task. With a large excess of N_2F_4 (approximately 10 torr NO_2 , 40 torr N_2F_4), all of the NO_2 was consumed in the spontaneous production of FNO and FNO₂ (Figure 12A). When this mixture was subjected to irradiation by 140W of power, the FNO₂ and N_2F_4 were completely consumed, and FNO and NF₃ were produced.

Since the possibility existed that atomic oxygen could be involved in the conversion of FNO_2 to FNO the laser-induced reaction of O_2 and NF_3 was studied. Laser powers of 140W were necessary for a reaction, and with 10 torr O_2 and 60 torr NF_3 the only product was FNO_2 in trace amounts. When 30 torr O_2 and 60 torr NF_3 were used, trace amounts of FNO and FNO_2 were produced. When this mixture was exposed to further irradiation, the FNO_2 was depleted and more FNO was produced.

The reactions involving NO_2 can be described by the following scheme:

$$NO_2 + NF_3 \rightarrow FNO_2 + NF_2$$
 (24)

$$NO_2 + NF_2 \rightarrow 2FNO + F$$
 (25)

$$NO_2 + NF_2 \rightarrow 2FNO$$
 (26)

$$NO_2 + NF_2 + FNO_2 + NF$$
 (27)

$$FNO_2 + NF_2 \cdot 2FNO + F$$
 (28)

 $FNO_2 + NF + 2FNO$ (29)

$$2NF_2 \neq N_2F_4$$
(30)

$$NF_2 + F \rightarrow NF_3$$
 (31)

$$NF_2 + NF + NF_3 + N$$
 (32)

$$2N \rightarrow N_2$$
 (33)

As noted, reaction (26) is spontaneous at room temperature, and the formation of FNO by this route is very favorable. Also reaction (27) is probably the source of FNO₂ in the spontaneous reaction. Reactions (24) and (25) propose the production of products from NF₃ in the laser-induced initiation step. The cleavage of an N-F bond and the production of atomic fluorine seems like an unlikely step but no other mechanism would be plausible for this reaction system. Indeed, perhaps such a step is operative in all the reactions of nitrogen fluorides with nitrogen oxides. Also important in the formation of this conclusion is the apparent production of atomic fluorine in reaction (28), but this reaction (or a more complicated one) must be operative in the laserinduced decomposition of FNO₂ by N₂F₄ (Figure 12).

Initially it was thought that N-O bond cleavage in NO₂ and production of atomic oxygen might accompany the formation of FNO, but this does not seem to be very likely based on all the available data. The reaction of O_2 with NF₃ is also somewhat puzzling. This reaction seems to indicate the FNO₂ is formed preferentially but ultimately depleted as in reactions (28) and (29). Therefore, an insertation reaction (FNO₂ formation) appears to be preferred to a replacement reaction (formation of FNO and O).

The formation of FNO from NO₂ and N₂F₄ in the spontaneous reaction has been described as proceeding through a complex of the form F_2N -O-NO followed by a rearrangement.³¹ There is no direct evidence to contradict this conclusion, but the formation of significant amounts of FNO₂ cannot be explained by this relatively simple mechanism. Also it is clear that FNO₂ and large quantities of nitrogen fluorides react under laser excitation to produce FNO. The overall mechanism may involve simply a step in which NO₂ is fluorinated, and a step in which the resulting FNO₂ decomposes to FNO. A clear resolution of these possibilities require extensive further experimentation.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reactions of NF_3 and N_2F_4 with the nitrogen-oxygen compounds discussed above form an extremely interesting group of reactions. Thus far the reaction mechanisms are not clearly understood, but certain features have been gleaned from the studies reported herein. The laser-induced reactions seem to be the result of nonequilibrium thermal excitation of the absorbing molecules (either NF₃ or N₂F₄). The decomposition of N₂F₄ into NF₂ radicals by pumping the N-F stretches with an infrared laser has been demonstrated,³² and presumably this mechanism is operative in the reactions described in the previous section.

The laser-induced reactions of NF₃ and the nitrogen oxides appear to follow the same pathways as those for the N₂F₄-nitrogen oxide systems. An explanation for that observation is that NF₃ gives rise to NF₂ radicals. The basic question, however, is whether the NF₂ radicals form as a result of laserinduced decomposition of NF₃ or laser-induced reactions of NF₃ with other molecules which abstract a fluorine atom. Given the stability of NF₃ and the reaction conditions, the latter possibility seems more likely, but the reactions involving NO₂ seem to indicate the presence (even if for only a short time) of fluorine atoms.

For reactions which occur very rapidly, a detailed mechanism can only be determined by appropriate temporal studies in which the dominant intermediate species can be identified. Unlike other laser induced reactions,³³ the ones described in the previous section are relatively slow and require extended laser irradiations. Therefore, these reactions may serve as a unique model system for the study of the mechanism of laser-induced reactions of NF₃ and N_2F_4 . Time-resolved studies of infrared and ultraviolet or visible absorptions would be extremely useful, and similar time-resolved studies of the infrared emission spectra of these reactions. The temporal studies may be possible by using conventional techniques, but more detailed information can be obtained by using additional lasers, and appropriate detectors, to probe the reaction volume within the cells as the reactions proceed.

Research in the area of laser-induced chemistry is still in its infancy. The basic theoretical models and explanations have been developed for unimolecular reactions, but very little effort has been placed on more complicated and more realistic chemical systems. Thermal and multiphoton mechanisms for laser-induced chemistry have been discussed, and a thorough understanding of laser-induced chemistry will require a knowledge of the relative importance of these two processes. For large molecules, both processes are probably operative and it will be necessary to undertake additional experiments to determine which process predominates for a given molecular system under specific experimental conditions. The exact course of a laser-induced chemical reaction will generally depend on the predominant mechanism as well as on the relative thermodynamic stabilities of reactants and products.

Research programs in laser-induced chemistry deserve continued attention and support. Many fundamental experiments have yet to be carried out, even though the applicability of laser-induced reactions touches all areas of chemistry. And while the distinction between multiphoton and thermal processes is fundamentally important to both the theorist and the experimentalist, the fact remains that regardless of the exact mechanism which initiates a reaction, laser-induced reactions are more efficient and far more selective than ordinary pyrolytic reactions. Laser-induced reactions generally proceed to completion and they can be controlled, to a certain extent, by adjusting reaction conditions at ambient temperatures.

TABLE I. FUNDAMENTAL VIBRATIONS (cm⁻¹) OF SOME NITROGEN-CONTAINING COMPOUNDS

NO	$v_1 = 1876 *$		
N ₂ 0	$v_1 = 1286^*$	v ₂ = 589	$v_3 = 2224^*$
NO 2	$v_1 = 1318$	$v_2 = 750^*$	v ₃ = 1618 [*]
N204	$v_1 = 1380$	v ₂ = 808	$v_3 = 226$
	ν ₄ =	$v_5 = 1712$	$v_6 = 482$
	$v_7 = 429$	$v_8 = 672$	$v_9 = 1748^*$
	$v_{10} = 381$	$v_{11} = 1262^*$	$v_{12} = 750^*$
C1NO	$v_1 = 1800^*$	$v_2 = 332$	$v_3 = 605$
FNO	$v_1 = 1844^*$	$v_2 = 521$	v ₃ = 766 [*]
FNO ₂	$v_1 = 1312^*$	$v_2 = 822^*$	$v_3 = 460$
	$v_4 = 1793^*$	v ₅ = 570	$v_6 = 742$
NF ₃	$v_1 = 1032^*$	$v_2 = 647$	v ₃ = 905
	$v_4 = 493$		
N ₂ F ₄ +	$v_1 = 1039,1023^*$	$v_2 = 719,737$	ν ₃ = 601,590
	$v_4 = 354,300$	$v_5 = 964^*, 1010^*$	$v_6 = 252,423$
	ν ₇ = 131,116	$v_8 = 873,934^*$	$v_9 = 494,284$
	v ₁₀ [≖] 999 [*] ,946 [*]	$v_{11} = 542,518$	$v_{12} = 467,242$

*Bands observed in the infrared spectra under the experimental conditions described herein.

Sec. 10.

+Vibrations are numbered according to the C_{2h} symmetry of the trans conformation and the frequencies for the corresponding motions for the gauche conformation are also given.

-





Figure 1. Spectra of the products of the laser-induced reaction of NO and NF3 (30 torr each) using P(36) [00°1 - 10°0] at 929.02 cm⁻¹; (A) 100W, 1 sec; (B) 50W, three 5-sec exposures.

الارتبار الأحماد المع





and a second with the second second second second

<u>.</u>:-



Figure 3. Spectra of the products of the laser-induced reaction of NO (60 torr) and NF3 (30 torr) using P(36) [00°1 - 100°0] at 929.02 cm⁻¹; (A) 100W, 1 sec; (B) 50W, three 8-sec exposures.





Figure 5. Laser-induced reaction of (A) C1NO and NF₃ (25 torr each); 100W, P(36) $00^{\circ}1 - 10^{\circ}0$, five 1-sec exposures; (B) C1NO (50 torr) and NF₃ (25 torr), 125W, P(36)[00^{\circ}1 - 10^{\circ}0], five 2-sec exposures.



Figure 6. Infrared spectra of (A) 50 torr ClNO, (B) products of laserinduced reaction using P(36) [00°1 - 10°0] at 929 cm⁻¹. Spectrum of NO product is expanded in insert.





Figure 8. Infrared spectra of (A) N₂O (55 torr) - NF₃ (30 torr) mixture; (B) products of laser-induced reaction of N₂O (60 torr) and NF₃ (40 torr) using P(36) $\begin{bmatrix} 00^{\circ}1 - 10^{\circ}0 \end{bmatrix}$, 140W, five 3-sec exposures, (C) 140W, P(36), five additional 3-sec exposures. Bands at 1793 and 822 cm⁻¹ arising from FNO₂ are marked with •).





Contraction of the second



Figure 10. Spectra of the products of the laser-induced reaction of NO_2 and NF3 using P(36) $[00^{\circ}1 - 10^{\circ}0]$; (A) 45 torr, 50W, 25 sec (B) 60 torr NO_2 , 30 torr NF3, 50W, 25 sec, followed by 130W, 10 sec.

Manager and Transformer



B

Figure 11. Spectra of the products of the laser-induced reaction of NO₂ (30 torr) and NF₃ (60 torr) using P(36) [00°1 - 10°0]; (A) 140W, 10 sec, (B) 50W, 20 sec, (C) 140W, additional 20 sec.

7.1

and the second s



و المحديد

Figure 12. Infrared spectra of the products of the (A) spontaneous reaction of NO₂ (10 torr) and N₂F₄ (40 torr); (B) laser-induced reaction using P(36) $\begin{bmatrix} 00^{\circ}1 - 10^{\circ}0 \end{bmatrix}$ of the products of the spontaneous reaction, 140W, 10 sec.

REFERENCES

- 1. C.B. Moore, ed., "Chemical and Biochemical Applications of Lasers", Academic Press, Volumes I-V, 1975-1979.
- 2. J.I. Steinfeld, "Laser-Induced Chemical Processes", Plenum, N.Y., 1981.
- 3. E. Grunwald, D.F. Dever, and P.M. Keehn, "Megawatt Infrared Laser Chemistry", Wiley, New York, 1978.
- 4. N. Bloembergen and E. Yablonovitch, Phys. Today, <u>31</u> (5), 23 (1978) and references therein.
- 5. R.K. Lester, Tech. Rev., 82 (8), 18 (1980).

- A.H. Zewail, Phys. Today, <u>33</u> (11), 27 (1980); V.S. Letokhov, Phys. Today, <u>33</u> (11), 34 (1980).
- R.N. Zare and R.B. Bernstein, Phys. Today, <u>33</u> (11), 43 (1980); Y.T. Lee and Y.R. Shen, Phys, Today, <u>33</u> (11), 52 (1980).
- See, for example, D.F. Dewer and E. Grunwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>98</u>, 5055 (1976); A. Yogev and R.M.J. Benmair, Chem. Phys. Lett., <u>46</u> 290 (1977); F.M. Lussier and J.I. Steinfeld, Chem. Phys. Lett., <u>50</u>, 175 (1977); S.E. Bialkowski and W.A. Guillory, J. Chem. Phys., <u>67</u>, 2061 (1977); C. Reiser and J.I. Steinfeld, J. Phys. Chem., <u>84</u>, 680 (1980).
- C.R. Quick and C. Wittig, J. Chem. Phys., <u>69</u>, 4201 (1978) and W.S. Nip, M. Drouin, P.A. Hackett, and C. Willis, J. Phys. Chem. <u>84</u>, 932 (1980).
- P.A. Hackett, C. Willis, M. Drouin, and E. Weinberg, J. Phys. Chem., <u>84</u>, 1873 (1980); W. Braun and J.R. McNesby, J. Phys. Chem., <u>84</u>, 2521 (1980); M.P. Casassa, D.S. Bomse, and K.C. Janda, J. Phys. Chem., <u>85</u>, 2623 (1981); J.T. Yardley, B. Gitlin, G. Nathanson, and A.M. Rosan, J. Chem. Phys., <u>74</u>, 370 (1981); A.J. Grimley and J.C. Stephenson, J. Chem. Phys., <u>74</u>, 447 (1981); J.C. Stephenson, S.E. Bialkowski, D.S. King, E. Thiele, J. Stone and M.F. Goodman, J. Chem. Phys., <u>74</u>, 3905 (1981); A.B. Horwitz, J.M. Preses, and R.E. Weston, J. Chem. Phys., <u>74</u>, 5008 (1981); D.M. Brenner, M. Spencer, and J.I. Steinfeld, J. Chem. Phys., <u>75</u>, 3153 (1981); R. Duperrex and H. van den Bergh, J. Chem. Phys., 75, 3371 (1981).
- 11. See, for example, K.M. Christoffel and J.M. Bowman, J. Phys. Chem., <u>85</u>, 2159 (1981); K.G. Kay, J. Chem. Phys., <u>75</u>, 1690 (1981).
- R.V. Ambartsumian, V.S. Letokhov, E.A. Ryabov, and N.V. Chekalin, JETP Lett., <u>20</u>, 273 (1974); J.L. Lyman, R.V. Jensen, J. Rink, C.P. Robinson, and S.D. Rockwood, Appl. Phys. Lett., <u>27</u>, 87 (1975); R.V. Ambartsumian, Y.A. Gorokhov, V.S. Letokhov, and G.N. Makarov, JETP Lett., <u>21</u>, 171 (1975; A.L. Robinson, Science, <u>194</u>, 46 (1976); R.V. Ambartsumian and V.S. Letokhov, Acc. Chem. Res., <u>10</u>, 61 (1977); R.K. Lester, Tech. Rev., 82 (8), 18 (1980).

- J.S.J. Chou and E.R. Grant, J. Chem. Phys., <u>74</u>, 5679 (1981); I.P. Herman and J.B. Marling, J. Phys. Chem., <u>85</u>, 493 (1981); P.A. Hackett, M. Gauthier, W.S. Nip, and C. Willis, J. Phys. Chem., <u>85</u>, 1147 (1981);
 P.R. Webster and R.N. Zare, J. Phys. Chem., <u>85</u>, 1302 (1981).
- 14. A.H. Zewail, Acc. Chem. Res., <u>13</u>, 360 (1980); J.W. Perry and A.H. Zewail, J. Chem. Phys., <u>70</u>, 583 (1979); Chem. Phys. Lett., <u>65</u>, 31 (1979);
 D.D. Smith and A.H. Zewail, J. Chem. Phys., <u>71</u>, 540 (1979).
- J.W. Hudgens, M. Seaver, and J.J. DeCorpo, J. Phys. Chem., <u>85</u>, 761 (1981); D. Dekeuster and X. de Hemptinne, J. Chem. Phys., <u>70</u>, 5315 (1979); S.L. Chin and D. Faubert, Appl Phys. Lett., <u>32</u>, 303 (1978);
 N.V. Karlov, N.A. Karpov, N. Petrov, A.M. Prokhorov, and L.V. Shelepin, Sov. Phys. Dokl., 21, 32 (1976).
- M.I. Lester, D.R. Coulter, L.M. Casson, G.W. Flynn, and R.B. Bernstein, J. Phys. Chem., <u>85</u>, 751 (1981); D.R. Coulter, F.R. Grabiner, L.M. Casson, G.W. Flynn, and R.B. Bernstein, J. Chem. Phys., <u>73</u>, 281 (1980).
- J.A. Merritt, H.C. Meyer, R.I. Greenberg, and G.A. Tanton, Propellants and Explosives, <u>4</u>, 78 (1979); J.A. Merritt and L.C. Robertson, J. Chem. Phys., <u>67</u>, 3545 (1977); N.V. Karlov, N.A. Karpov, N.Y. Petrov, A.M. Prokhorov, and O.M. Stel'makh, JETP Lett., <u>14</u>, 140 (1971); N.G. Boxov, E.P. Markin, A.N. Orayevski, A.V. Prankratov, and A.N. Akachkov, JETP Lett., <u>14</u>, 165 (1971); N.R. Isenor and M.C. Richardson, Appl. Phys. Lett., <u>18</u> 224 (1971); C. Riley and R. Shatas, J. Phys. Chem., <u>83</u>, 1679 (1979); E. Catalano, R.E. Barletta and R.K. Pearson, J. Chem. Phys., <u>70</u>, 3291 (1979); C. Riley and L. Maclean, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>102</u>, 5108 (1980); F. Caralp and H. Loirat, J. Phys. Chem., <u>85</u>, 2431 (1981); P. Avouris, J. Phys. Chem., <u>84</u>, 1797 (1980).
- T.J. Chuang, J. Chem. Phys., <u>74</u>, 1453 (1981); <u>74</u>, 1461 (1981); A. Nitzan and L.E. Brus, J. Chem. Phys., <u>74</u>, 5321 (1981).
- H. Kajima, T. Fukumi, K. Fukui, and K. Naito, J. Phys. Chem., <u>84</u>, 2528 (1980); J.A. Merritt and L.C. Warren, "Room Temperature Synthesis of Pure Si, Si₃N₄, Si₃N₄O_n and K₂SiF₆ by Laser Photochemical Reactions", MICOM Technical Report T-79-96, 1979; J.A. Merritt and J.K. McDonald, unpublished results.
- 20. See, for example, J.B. Hopkins, D.E. Powers, and R.E. Smalley, J. Chem. Phys., <u>73</u>, 683 (1980); <u>72</u>, 5049 (1980); <u>72</u>, 5039 (1980); <u>72</u>, 2905 (1980); <u>71</u>, 3886 (1979); D.E. Powers, J.B. Hopkins, and R.E. Smalley, J. Chem. Phys., <u>72</u>, 5721 (1980).
- W.A. Jalenak and N.S. Nogar, J. Phys. Chem., <u>84</u>, 2993 (1980); V. Starov, N. Selamoglu, and Colin Steel, J. Phys. Chem., <u>85</u>, 320 (1981); P.A. Hackett, V. Malatesta, W.S. Nip, C. Willis, and P.B. Corkum, J. Phys. Chem., <u>85</u>, 1152 (1981); W.D. Lawrance, J. Silverstein, Z. Fu-Min, 7. Qing-shi, J.S. Francisco, and J.I. Steinfeld, J. Phys. Chem., <u>85</u>, 1961 (1981); A.C. Baldwin and J.R. Barker, J. Chem. Phys., <u>74</u>, 3813 (1981); <u>74</u>, 3823 (1981),

- 22. K. Nakamoto, "Infrared Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds", Wiley, New York, 1963.
- 23. G.M. Begun and W.H. Fletcher, J. Chem. Phys., 28, 414 (1958).

- 24. E.T. Arakawa and A.H. Nielsen, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 2, 413 (1958).
- G.M. Begun, J. Mol. Spectrosc., <u>4</u>, 388 (1960); I.C. Hisatsune, J.P. Devlin, and Y. Wada, J. Chem. Phys., <u>33</u>, 714 (1960).
- L. Landau, J. Mol. Spectrosc., <u>4</u>, 276 (1960); W.G. Burns and H.J. Bernstein, J. Chem. Phys., <u>18</u>, 1669 (1950).
- 27. E.L. Pace and L. Pierce, J. Chem. Phys., <u>23</u>, 1248 (1955); M.K. Wilson and S.R. Polo, J. Chem. Phys., <u>20</u>, 1716 (1952); <u>21</u>, 1426 (1953).
- J.R. Durig and R.W. MacNamee, J. Raman Spectrosc., 2, 635 (1974);
 A. Oskam, R. Elst, and J.C. Dunker, Spectrochim, Acta, <u>26A</u>, 2021 (1970).
- 29. P.J.H. Woltz, E.A. Jones, and A.H. Nielsen, J. Chem. Phys., <u>20</u>, 378 (1952); D.W. Magnuson, J. Chem. Phys., <u>20</u>, 380 (1952).
- 30. R.E. Dodd, J.A. Rolfe, and L.A. Woodward, Trans. Faraday Soc1, <u>52</u>, 145 (1956).
- 31. A.W. Pankratov, L.A. Akhanshchikova, Yu A. Adamova, O.N. Shalaeva, and V.V. Antipova, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem., <u>13</u>, 1516 (1968).
- 32. J.L. Lyman and R.J. Jensen, Chem. Phys. Lett., <u>13</u>, 421 (1972); P. Lavigne, J.L. Lachambre, and G. Otis, Opt. Commun., <u>22</u>, 75 (1977).
- 33. J.A. Merritt, J.K. McDonald, and V.F. Kalasinsky, unpublished results.

DISTRIBUTION

	No. of Copies
Commander	
US Army Research Office	
Attn: DRXRO-PH, Dr. Lontz	1
DRXRO-C, Dr. Squire	2
P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	
US Army Research and Standardization Group (Europe)	
Attn: DRXSN-E-RX, Dr. George Wyman	1
Box 65 FPO New York 09510	
US Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command	
Attn: Dr. James Bender	1
5001 Eisenhower Avenue	
Alexandria, VA 22333	
OUSDR&E	
Room 3010/9, The Pentagon Washington DC 20310	1
"gonzageon, 50 20320	
Director	
Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency	1
1400 Wilson Boulevard	
Allington, VA 22209	
Dr. Frank Verderame	
Headquarters, DA-ODCSRDA	
DAMA-ARZ-D Room 3E363, The Pentagon	1
washington, be 20510	
OUSDR&E	
Deputy Assistant for Research	
(Research in Advanced Technology)	1
Koom JJ106/, The Pentagon Washington DC 20310	
Washington, M. 2010	
Commander	
US Army Communications R&D Command	_
Attn: DKDCO-COM-KM-1 Mr. Sep DeVite, Fiber Optics Brench	1
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703	T
IIT Research Institute	
Attn: GACIAC	1
10 West 35th Street	
CUTCARO, IT OAOTO	

.....

	No. of Copies
US Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity	
Attn: DRXSY-MP	2
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	
Ballistic Missile Defense Advanced Technology Center	
Attn: Mr. Sayles	
P.O. Box 1500	1
Huntsville, AL 35807	
US Army Armament Research and Development Command	
Director, Chemical Systems Laboratory	
Attn: DRDAR-CLY-L	1
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010	_
US Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center	
Attn: DRXMR-MC/Liselotte J. Schioler	1
Watertown, MA 02172	_
DRSMI-R. Dr. McCorkle	1
-R. Dr. Rhoades	1
-RK, Mr. Ifshin	1
-RR, Dr. Hartman	1
-RRD	30
-LP, Mr. Voigt	1
-RPR	13
-RPT, Record Copy	1
Reference Copy	1