

CETA 81-17

110

Irregular Wave Runup on Smooth Slopes

by John P. Ahrens

COASTAL ENGINEERING TECHNICAL AID NO. 81-17

DECEMBER 1981



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

U.S. ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS COASTAL ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER Kingman Building

Fort Belvoir, Va. 22060

FILE COPY

Reprint or republication of any of this material shall give appropriate credit to the U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center.

Limited free distribution within the United States of single copies of this publication has been made by this Center. Additional copies are available from:

> National Technical Information Service ATTN: Operations Division 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, Virginia 22161

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

| | | READ INSTRUCTIONS |
|---|--|---|
| REPURT DUCUMENTAT | IUN PAGE | BEFORE COMPLETING FORM |
| CETA 81-17 | 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. | 1. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER |
| TITLE (and Subtitie) | | 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE |
| | | Coastal Engineering |
| IRREGULAR WAVE RUNUP ON SMOOT | TH SLOPES | Technical Aid |
| | | 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER |
| . AUTHOR() | | 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER() |
| John P. Ahrens | | |
| PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND AD | DRESS | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK |
| Department of the Army | | AREA & WORK UNIT HUMBERS |
| Coastal Engineering Research Kingman Building, Fort Belvoi | Center (CERRE-CS) r. Virginia 22060 | D31229 |
| 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS | 5 | 12. REPORT DATE |
| Department of the Army | | December 1981 |
| Coastal Engineering Research | Center | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES |
| Kingman Building, Fort Belvoi | r, Virginia 22060 | 26 |
| 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(11 | different from Controlling Office) | 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) |
| | | UNCLASSIFIED |
| | | 154, DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE |
| Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract of | distribution unlimit | ed. |
| Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract o | distribution unlimit | ed . m Report) |
| Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract of 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | distribution unlimit | ed . a: Report) |
| Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if neces | distribution unlimit mtered in Block 20, if different fro | ed . m Report) |
| Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract of 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if neces Irregular waves | distribution unlimit entered in Block 20, 11 dillerent fro | ed. <i>m Report</i>) Wave rundown |
| Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necession Irregular waves Smooth plane slop | distribution unlimit meared in Block 20, if different fro | ed. <i>m Report</i>) Wave rundown Wave runup |
| Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necession Irregular waves Smooth plane slop | distribution unlimit meared in Block 20, if different fro | ed. <i>m Report)</i> Wave rundown Wave runup |
| Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessing Irregular waves Smooth plane slop 0. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessing The results of several la method to estimate the wave r by irregular wave action. Cu used to compute the 2-percent approximate lower limit of ru the smooth-slope results to w problems illustrate the use of | distribution unlimit metered in Block 20, if different fro every and identify by block number) bes herry and identify by block number) boratory studies hav funup and rundown on irves and equations a runup, significant indown. A procedure vave runup on rough a of the material prese | ed. <i>m Report</i>) Wave rundown Wave runup e been used to develop a plane, smooth slopes caused re presented which can be runup, mean runup, and is suggested for adapting nd porous slopes. Example nted. |

PREFACE

This report presents a method for estimating the magnitude and distribution of wave runup and rundown on plane, smooth slopes caused by irregular wave action. Within the method's range of applicability it supersedes Section 7.212, "Irregular Waves," of the Shore Protection Manual (U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, 1977); CETA 77-2 "Prediction of Irregular Wave Runup" by John P. Ahrens; and CETA 78-2 "Revised Wave Runup Curves for Smooth Slopes" by Philip N. Stoa. It also supersedes the parts of CETA 79-1 "Wave Runup on Rough Slopes," by Philip N. Stoa, which estimate wave runup on rough and porous slopes by adjusting the runup for similar wave conditions on smooth slopes using a rough-slope correction factor.

This report was prepared by John P. Ahrens, Oceanographer, under the general supervision of Dr. R.M. Sorensen, Chief, Coastal Processes and Structures Branch, Research Division.

Comments on this publication are invited.

City and

L

Approved for publication in accordance with Public Law 166, 79th Congress, approved 31 July 1945, as supplemented by Public Law 172, 88th Congress, approved 7 November 1963.

BISHOP

Colonel, Corps of Engineers Commander and Director

Accession For NTTO -- 103 DIC DIC OTIC Unione en la COPY NSPECTED Juctor By_ Distri Available Codos Evall rndfor Special Dist

CONTENTS

A State of the second

والمتحاصية والمحادث

ł

| | Page CONVERSION FACTORS, U.S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI) |
|---|---|
| | SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS 6 |
| - | |
| 1 | |
| 11 | IRREGULAR WAVE RUNUP ON PLANE, SMOOTH SLOPES |
| III | IRREGULAR WAVE RUNDOWN |
| IV | APPLICATION OF RESULTS TO ROUGH AND POROUS SLOPES 14 |
| V | EXAMPLE PROBLEMS |
| VI | SUMMARY |
| | LITERATURE CITED |
| APPENDIX | |
| Α | RUNUP SCALE-EFFECT CORRECTION FACTOR, k, FOR SMOOTH SLOPES . 21 |
| В | RUNUP REDUCTION FACTOR, r, FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF ROUGH AND POROUS STRUCTURES |
| С | RUNUP SCALE CORRECTION FACTOR, k, FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF ROUGH AND POROUS STRUCTURES |
| | |
| | TABLES |
| 1 Regrea | TABLES ssion coefficients for runup parameters R2/Hs, R5/Hs, \$\bar{A}/Hs} |
| 1 Regreand and 2 Value | TABLES ssion coefficients for runup parameters R_2/H_s , R_s/H_s , \bar{R}/H_s |
| 1 Regre and 2 Value | TABLES asion coefficients for runup parameters R_2/H_s , R_s/H_s , \bar{R}/H_s |
| Regreand Values Irregulation | TABLESassion coefficients for runup parameters R_2/H_s , R_s/H_s , \bar{R}/H_s |
| Regreand Values Irregularia Irregularia Irregularia | TABLESassion coefficients for runup parameters R_2/H_S , R_s/H_s , \bar{R}/H_S |
| Regreand Values Irregularia Irregularia Irregularia Irregularia | TABLESassion coefficients for runup parameters R_2/H_S , R_S/H_S , \overline{R}/H_S |
| Regreand Values Irregular Irregular Irregular Irregular Irregular Irregular Irregular | TABLESassion coefficients for runup parameters R_2/H_S , R_S/H_S , R/H_S |
| Regreand Values Values Irregular Irregular Irregular Irregular Irregular Irregular Irregular Irregular | TABLES asion coefficients for runup parameters R_2/H_S , R_s/H_s , R/H_S |
| Regreand Values Values Irregular Irregular | TABLES asion coefficients for runup parameters R_2/H_s , R_s/H_s , \overline{A}/H_s |
| Regreand Regreand Values Irregular Irregular Irregular Irregular Irregular Irregular Irregular Irregular Irregular Rg/Hg | TABLES asion coefficients for runup parameters R_2/H_S , R_S/H_S , R/H_S |

CONVERSION FACTORS, U.S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

U.S. customary units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric (SI) units as follows:

| Multiply | by | To obtain |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---|
| inches | 25.4 | millimeters |
| | 2.54 | centimeters |
| square inches | 6.452 | square centimeters |
| cubic inches | 16.39 | cubic centimeters |
| feet | 30.48 | centimeters |
| | 0.3048 | meters |
| square feet | 0.0929 | square meters |
| cubic feet | 0.0283 | cubic meters |
| yards | 0.9144 | meters |
| square yards | 0.836 | square meters |
| cubic yards | 0.7646 | cubic meters |
| miles | 1.6093 | kilometers |
| square miles | 259.0 | hectares |
| knots | 1.852 | kilometers per hour |
| acres | 0.4047 | hectares |
| foot-pounds | 1.3558 | newton meters |
| millibars | 1.0197×10^{-3} | kilograms per square centimeter |
| ounces | 28.35 | grams |
| pounds | 453.6 | grams |
| | 0.4536 | kilograms |
| ton, long | 1.0160 | metric tons |
| ton, short | 0.9072 | metric tons |
| degrees (angle) | 0.01745 | radians |
| Fahrenheit degrees | 5/9 | Celsius degrees or Kelvins ¹ |

¹To obtain Celsius (C) temperature readings from Fahrenheit (F) readings, use formula: C = (5/9) (F -32).

To obtain Kelvin (K) readings, use formula: K = (5/9) (F - 32) + 273.15.

SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS

P.

\$

ч**О**,

| d _s | water depth at the toe of the slope or structure on which runup occurs |
|----------------|--|
| 8 | acceleration of gravity, 32.2 feet per second squared |
| H _s | significant wave height at the toe of the structure |
| k | runup correction factor for scale effects |
| L _O | deepwater wavelength, $L_0 = gT_p^2/2\pi$ |
| R | mean runup |
| R _s | significant runup, i.e., average runup of the highest one-third of wave runups |
| R ₂ | 2-percent runup, i.e., elevation above the stillwater level exceeded by 2 percent of the runups |
| Rd 98 | 98-percent rundown, i.e., depth below the stillwater level that is just greater than 98 percent of the rundowns |
| r | rough-slope runup correction factor, ratio of rough-slope runup to smooth-slope runup, all other conditions the same |
| т _р | period of peak energy density of the wave spectrum |
| Ts | significant wave period, i.e., average period of the highest one-third of waves |
| θ | angle formed between the slope of the structure and the horizontal |
| ξ | surf parameter, $\xi = [(H_s/L_o)^{1/2} \cot \theta]^{-1}$ |

by John P. Ahrens

I. INTRODUCTION

This report provides guidance on the magnitude and distribution of wave runup and rundown elevations caused by irregular wave conditions similar to those occurring in nature. The results presented are for plane, smooth structures with relatively deep water at the toe of the structure. For these conditions this report supersedes earlier guidance in Section 7.212 of the Shore Protection Manual (SPM) (U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, 1977) and Ahrens (1977) which indicate that irregular wave runup has a Rayleigh distribution. Within the range of test conditions this report also supersedes Stoa (1978a) and the parts of Stoa (1979) which estimate wave runup on rough and porous slopes by adjusting the runup on a smooth slope by a correction factor. The range of test conditions covered in this report is discussed in the next section.

11. IRREGULAR WAVE RUNUP ON PLANE, SMOOTH SLOPES

Three sources of data were used in establishing the methods presented in this report: van Oorschot and d'Angremond (1968), Kamphuis and Mohamed (1978), and Ahrens (1979) which discussed data recently collected at the Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC). The conditions considered are a structure with a plane, smooth slope fronted by a horizontal bottom offshore. The water depth at the toe of the structure is relatively deep, i.e., $3 \leq d_S/H_S \leq 12$, where d_S is the water depth and H_S the significant wave height at the toe of the structure. When there is relatively deep water at the toe of the structure the offshore slope of the bottom has little influence on the wave conditions and therefore little influence on the wave runups. This lack of influence indicates that the runup results presented can be applied to situations where there is an offshore slope. Since the water depth also has little influence on wave runup for conditions when $d_S/H_0' > 8$ (Stoa, 1978a), where H_0' is the deepwater, unrefracted wave height, Stoa's finding suggests that the results of this study should be good for $d_S/H_S > 12$.

Three runup parameters were chosen to characterize the runup distribution caused by irregular wave conditions, i.e., the mean runup, \overline{R} , the significant runup, R_s , and the 2-percent runup, R_2 . The significant runup is the *average* runup of the highest one-third of wave runups and the 2-percent runup is the elevation *exceeded* by 2 percent of the wave runups.

Figure 1 shows trend-line curves for R_2/H_s , R_s/H_s , and \bar{R}/H_s for a plane, smooth slope of 1 on 1. These parameters are plotted as a function of the irregular wave steepness parameter, H_s/gT_p^2 , where T_p is the period of peak energy density of the wave spectrum and g the acceleration of gravity. The approximate relationship between T_p and the average period of the significant waves, T_s , is given by Goda (1974) as

$$T_p \simeq 1.05 T_s$$
 (1)



Figure 1. Irregular wave runup parameters versus wave steepness for a plane, smooth slope of 1 on 1, $d_g/H_s > 3$.

Figures 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, which are similar to Figure 1, show trend lines for slopes of 1 on 1.5, 1 on 2, 1 on 2.5, 1 on 3, and 1 on 4, respectively. The trend lines in Figures 1 to 5 are all of the general form

$$\frac{R_{x}}{H_{s}} = C_{1} + C_{2} \frac{H_{s}}{gT_{p}^{2}} + C_{3} \left(\frac{H_{s}}{gT_{p}^{2}}\right)^{2}$$
(2)

where R_x represents R_2 , R_s , or \overline{R} , and C_1 , C_2 , and C_3 are dimensionless regression coefficients. In some cases C_2 or C_3 is zero; if C_3 is zero the trend line is straight.

Since a calculator or a computer may be more convenient for calculating the runup parameters than using the figures, Table 1 provides a tabulation of the regression coefficients, along with some statistical parameters which can be used to evaluate how well the curves fit the data. The standard deviation is the standard deviation of the data about the trend-line curves and is shown in Figures 1 to 6 to give an indication of the magnitude of the scatter about the curves. The coefficient of variation is the standard deviation divided by the mean value of R_x/H_s . Using the coefficient of variation to determine the percent scatter indicates that R_s/H_s can usually be estimated within the range of ± 5 to 10 percent about the trend-line curves; R_2/H_s and \tilde{R}/H_s can be estimated within the range of ± 10 to 15 percent about the curves.



.

Figure 2. Irregular wave runup parameters versus wave steepness for a plane, smooth slope of 1 on 1.5, $d_s/H_s > 3$.



Figure 3. Irregular wave runup parameters versus wave steepness for a plane, smooth slope of 1 on 2, $d_s/R_s > 3$.



Figure 4. Irregular wave runup parameters versus wave steepness for a plane, smooth slope of 1 on 2.5, $d_s/H_s > 3$.







Figure 6. Irregular wave runup parameters versus wave steepness for a plane, smooth slope 1 on 4, $d_s/H_s > 3$.

| Table 1. | Regression o R ₂ /H _s , R _s /H _s | coefficients 5, and R/H _S | for runup (see eq. 2 | parameters). |
|----------|---|---|-------------------------|--------------|
| Rea | ression coeff | iciante | | |

| | • | • • • • | | - | |
|-------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Cot 0 | Regre C1 | ssion coeffic | ients Ca | Std. dev. | Coeff, of |
| | | | - 1 | | variation |
| | | | R ₂ /H _s | | |
| 1.0 | 2.32 | 7.15×10^{1} | 0 | 0.343 | 0.134 |
| 1.5 | 2.52 | 1.95×10^2 | 0 | 0.487 | 0.156 |
| 2.0 | 3.21 | 7.19×10^{1} | 0 | 0.421 | 0.123 |
| 2.5 | 3.39 | 1.29×10^2 | -1.61×10^{4} | 0.420 | 0.118 |
| 3.0 | 3.70 | 0 | -1.70×10^{4} | 0.415 | 0.120 |
| 4.0 | 3.60 | -2.22×10^2 | 0 | 0.330 | 0.117 |
| | | | R _s /H _s | | |
| 1.0 | 1.34 | 6.61×10^{1} | 0 | 0.133 | 0.085 |
| 1.5 | 1.38 | 3.18×10^{2} | -1.97 × 104 | 0.195 | 0.094 |
| 2.0 | 1.64 | 3.57×10^2 | -3.09×10^{4} | 0,136 | 0.059 |
| 2.5 | 1.94 | 2.79×10^2 | -3.21×10^4 | 0.184 | 0.078 |
| 3.0 | 2.11 | 1.87×10^2 | -2.67×10^{4} | 0.190 | 0.081 |
| 4.0 | 2.52 | -7.94×10^{1} | 0 | 0.122 | 0.053 |
| | | | Ř/H _s | | |
| 1.0 | 0.71 | 1.10×10^{2} | -8.07×10^3 | 0.150 | 0.157 |
| 1.5 | 0.75 | 1.97×10^{2} | -1.14×10^{4} | 0.143 | 0.119 |
| 2.0 | 0.93 | 2.42×10^2 | -1.93×10^{4} | 0.142 | 0.101 |
| 2.5 | 1.00 | 2.78×10^2 | -3.13×10^{4} | 0.141 | 0.099 |
| 3.0 | 1.19 | 2.09×10^2 | -2.96×10^{4} | 0.181 | 0.123 |
| 4.0 | 1.47 | 7.25×10^{1} | -1.70×10^{4} | 0.127 | 0.085 |

Figure 6, for a slope of 1 on 4, is somewhat different than Figures 1 to 5 for steeper slopes. Plunging waves become the dominant breaker type on the 1 on 4 slope, indicating that wave runup can be predicted using a type of formula suggested by Hunt (1959) and used by van Oorschot and d'Angremond (1968). Figure 6 shows trend-line curves, using equation (2), for the less steep wave conditions, i.e.,

$$0.005 \le \frac{H_s}{gT_p^2} \le 0.003$$

and a Hunt-type formula is used for the steeper wave conditions, i.e., $H_S/gT_P^2 > 0.003$ where plunging waves dominate. The Hunt-type formulas for Figure 6 are given by the equations

$$\frac{R_2}{H_s} = 1.61 \xi$$
 (3)

$$\frac{R_s}{H_s} = 1.25 \xi \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\bar{R}}{H_{s}} = 0.84 \xi$$
 (5)

where the surf parameter, ξ , is given by

والمحافظ المستحيين والمعالم

$$\xi = \frac{1}{(H_s/L_o)^{1/2} \cot \theta} \text{ or } \frac{\tan \theta}{(H_s/L_o)^{1/2}}$$

 L_0 is the deepwater wavelength given by

$$L_{o} = \frac{gTp^2}{2\pi}$$

and cot θ is the cotangent of the angle θ between the structure slope and the horizontal.

Figure 7 provides a different perspective and additional insight on the trends to be expected for irregular wave runup. The R_g/H_S curves from Figures 1 to 6 have been transferred to Figure 7 and plotted versus the surf parameter, ξ , to show the influence of breaker characteristics on runup. When $\xi \leq 2.0$, most of the larger waves in the incident wave train plunge directly on the structure and R_g/H_S decreases with increasing H_g/gT_p^2 and increasing cot θ . This plunging wave region is where a Hunt-type formula (Hunt, 1959) such as equations (3), (4), and (5) is valid. When $\xi \geq 3.5$, no waves plunge on the structure indicating a standing wave condition or surging wave region. The influence of H_g/gT_p^2 and cot θ on R_g/H_S is reversed for surging waves as



Figure 7. R_s/H_s versus the surf parameter for $d_s/H_s > 3$.

compared to plunging waves; i.e., R_s/H_s increases as H_s/gT_p^2 increases and cot θ increases. The reversal of influence creates a transition region, 2.0 < $\xi < 3.5$, where there is little net influence of H_s/gT_p^2 and cot θ on R_s/H_s . It is in this transition region that the largest values of R_s/H_s occur, probably because the most nonlinear surging waves occur in this region. Figure 7 identifies these regions and shows the runup trends. Equations (3), (4), and (5) can be used on slopes flatter than 1 on 4 as long as plunging waves predominate, i.e., $\xi \leq 2.0$.

All the results in this report were obtained in relatively small-scale laboratory studies and must be corrected for scale effects (Stoa, 1978a). The correction for scale effects of wave runup on smooth slopes can be found in Stoa (1978b) (shown in App. A). Example problem 1 in Section V illustrates the method of applying this correction.

The results in Figures 1 to 7 are all presented in terms of the significant wave height at the toe of the structure, H_s , rather than the deepwater, unrefracted wave height, H'_o . If it is desired to convert the results of this study to deepwater conditions, H_s should be multiplied by the shoaling coefficient, given in Appendix C of the SPM (U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, 1977), calculated using d_s and T_p to obtain an estimate of the deepwater, unrefracted significant wave height.

111. IRREGULAR WAVE RUNDOWN

Irregular wave rundown is characterized by the 98 percentile rundown, Rd_{98} , i.e., the rundown depth below the stillwater level which is greater than 98 percent of the wave rundowns. The irregular wave rundown parameter, Rd_{98} is analogous to the runup parameter, R_2 , since only 2 percent of the rundowns are lower than Rd_{98} . Figure 8 shows the trend of the relative rundown, Rd_{98}/H_s as a function of the surf parameter, ξ , and the approximate upper



Figure 8. Rd_{98}/H_s versus the surf parameter.

and lower limits of data scatter about the trend-line curve. The trend-line curve for relative rundown is given by the equation

$$\frac{Rd_{98}}{H_s} = -2.32e$$
 (6)

The absolute value of relative rundown is small for small values of the surf parameter since the plunging waves which dominate these conditions cause considerable wave setup. As the surf parameter increases a standing wave develops against the structure and the relative rundown approaches -1.75, although values occasionally as low as -2.25 were observed. Equation (6) provides a simple way to estimate the approximate lower limit of rundown.

There is no scale-effect correction factor specifically developed for wave rundown, so it is recommended that the correction factor for wave runup be applied to rundown as illustrated in example problem 2 in Section V.

IV. APPLICATION OF RESULTS TO ROUGH AND POROUS SLOPES

The results given in this report can be applied to plane, rough- and porous-slope structures, if there is relatively deep water at the toe of the structure (as discussed previously in Sec. II). To apply these results it is necessary to have a reliable estimate of the rough-slope runup correction factor. r, which is the ratio of wave runup on a rough or porous slope to the runup on a smooth slope, all other conditions being the same (Stoa, 1978a). Normally, r is determined in laboratory experiments using monochromatic wave conditions but it appears that r factors determined in this manner can also be applied to irregular wave conditions (Battjes, 1974). Values of r for various types of rough and porous slopes are given by Stoa (1979) (shown in App. B).

Often wave runup on rough slopes must be corrected for scale effects and the correction factors are given in Stoa (1979) (shown in App. C). Example problem 3 illustrates how the results presented in this report can be applied to a rough and porous slope and the method of applying the rough-slope scaleeffect correction factor.

V. EXAMPLE PROBLEMS

This example illustrates the use of the runup equation, Figures 1 to 6, and the recommended method of interpolation between slopes.

GIVEN: A plane, smooth slope of 1 on 2.75 is subjected to irregular wave action. The significant wave height, significant wave period, and water depth at the toe of the structure are 6.0 feet (1.83 meters), 7.0 seconds, and 24.0 feet (7.3 meters), respectively.

<u>FIND</u>: R, R_s , and R_2 for the given conditions. Would there be substantial wave overtopping if the freeboard of the structure were 20.0 feet (6.10 meters)?

<u>SOLUTION</u>: Since there is no figure or set of coefficients for the runup equation (eq. 2) for a slope of 1 on 2.75 it is necessary to compute R, R_s , and R_2 for slopes of 1 on 2.50 and 1 on 3.00 and interpolate between them. To start, calculate the period of peak (maximum) energy density, T_p , using equation (1).

 $T_p = 1.05 T_s = 1.05 (7.0) = 7.35$ seconds

Then compute the steepness parameter, H_s/gT_p^2

$$\frac{H_{\rm s}}{{\rm gT_p}^2} = \frac{6.0}{32.2(7.35)^2} = 0.00345$$

Using the above value of steepness in equation (2) with the coefficient given in Table 1 allows the computation of R_x/H_s . For example, to calculate R_2/H_s for a 1 on 2.5 slope

 $\frac{R_2}{H_s} = 3.39 + [129.0(0.00345)] + [-16,100(0.00345)^2] = 3.64$

The above value of R_2/H_s can be confirmed, using Figure 4. Therefore,

$$R_2 = 3.64(H_8) = 3.64(6.0) = 21.8$$
 feet (6.64 meters)

The other runup parameters R_s and \overline{R} can be calculated in a similar manner, then used for interpolation to give the values of the runup parameters for the 1 on 2.75 slope as shown in Table 2.

| Table 2. | Values | of the | runup parame | ters for | example pro | <u>oblem 1.</u> |
|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| cot θ | R ₂ /H _s | R ₂ | R _s /H _s | Rs | R/H _s | R |
| | | (ft) | | (ft) | | (ft) |
| 2.50 | 3.64 | 21.8 | 2.52 | 15.1 | 1.58 | 9.5 |
| 3.00 | 3.49 | 21.0 | 2.43 | 14.6 | 1.56 | 9.4 |
| 2.75 | | 21.4 ¹ | | 14.9 ¹ | | 9.4 ¹ |

¹Interpolated value.

The interpolated values in Table 2 should be corrected for scale effects to yield the required answer. The scale correction factor for a slope of 1 on 2.75 is 1.125 (see App. A); therefore,

 $R_2 = 21.4 (1.125) = 24.1 \text{ feet } (7.35 \text{ meters})$ $R_s = 14.9 (1.125) = 16.8 \text{ feet } (5.12 \text{ meters})$ $\overline{R} = 9.4 (1.125) = 10.6 \text{ feet } (3.28 \text{ meters})$

A freebaord of 20.0 feet falls between R_2 and R_s , so the structure crest would not be overtopped frequently, probably by less than 10 percent of the waves. It is, therefore, expected that the volume of overtopping would not be great.

It is difficult to determine how high a smooth structure would have to be to prevent all wave overtopping but a reasonable estimate would be

$$R_{max} \simeq R_2 + H_s$$

where R_{max} is the elevation of the maximum runup.

This example illustrates how to calculate the approximate lower limit of rundown.

<u>GIVEN</u>: A plane, smooth 1 on 2.50 slope is subjected to irregular wave action. The significant wave height, significant wave period, and water depth at the toe of the structure are 7.0 feet (2.13 meters), 8.0 seconds, and 30.0 feet (9.14 meters), respectively.

FIND: Rd₉₈ for the above conditions; this is the approximate lower limit of wave rundown.

SOLUTION: The period of peak energy density is

$$T_n = 1.05(T_s) = 1.05 \times 8.0 = 8.40$$
 seconds

and the surf parameter is

$$\xi = \frac{1}{(H_g/L_0)^{1/2} \cot \theta} = \frac{1}{\{7.0/[32.2 \times (8.4)^2]/2\pi\}(2.5)} = 2.87$$

Using this value of ξ in equation (6) gives the relative rundown, i.e.,

$$\frac{\text{Rd}_{98}}{\text{H}_{\text{R}}} = -2.32e = -0.99$$

which can be confirmed in Figure 8. Then

$$Rd_{98} = (7.0)(-0.99) = -6.9$$
 feet (-2.10 meters)

and using Appendix A to correct this rundown for scale effects gives

 Rd_{98} (corrected) = -6.9(1.128) = -7.8 feet (-2.38 meters)

The same scale correction factor used for runup is used for rundown.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * EXAMPLE PROBLEM 3 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

This example illustrates how the results of tests with irregular waves on smooth slopes can be applied to situations where the structure is rough and porous.

<u>GIVEN</u>: A rubble-mound breakwater is to be built with a slope on the seaward face of 1 on 2 which will be overtopped by wave action only occasionally under the design conditions. The design conditions include a significant wave height, significant wave period, and water depth at the toe of the structure of 15.0 feet (4.57 meters), 12.0 seconds, and 45.0 feet (13.72 meters), respectively. The core of the breakwater will be slightly above the design water level, i.e., a high core breakwater.

FIND: The height at which the breakwater will only occasionally be overtopped during the design conditions.

SOLUTION: The period of peak energy density is

$$T_{\rm D} = 1.05(T_{\rm S}) = 1.05 (12.0) = 12.6$$
 seconds

and the steepness parameter is

$$\frac{H_s}{gT_p^2} = \frac{15.0}{32.2(12.6)^2} = 0.00293$$

Using equation (2) with the coefficients in Table 1 for a plane, smooth slope of 1 on 2 and $R_{2}H/_{\rm S}$ gives

$$\frac{R_2}{H_8} = 3.2083 + 71.879 \ (0.00293) = 3.42$$

(this value can be checked in Fig. 3) and

 $R_2 = 3.42(15.0) = 51.3$ feet (15.64 meters)

The runup reduction factor, r, for rubble-mound breakwaters with high cores is 0.52 (see App. B) and the scale-effect correction factor is 1.06 (see App. C) so R_2 for the breakwater is

 R_2 (breakwater) = 51.3(0.52) 1.06 = 28.3 feet (8.63 meters)

 R_s and \overline{R} are found in a similar manner to be

 R_s (breakwater) = 20.0 feet (6.10 meters)

 \overline{R} (breakwater) = 12.2 feet (3.72 meters)

These calculations indicate that if the freeboard were 28.3 feet only 2 percent of the waves with a $H_s = 15$ feet and $T_s = 12$ seconds spectrum would overtop the structure while a freeboard of 12.2 feet would allow about half the waves to overtop. A freeboard equal to R_s , i.e., 20 feet, will satisfy the condition of only occasional wave overtopping since about 13 percent of the waves would be expected to overtop the breakwater.

VI. SUMMARY

Equations and curves are presented for computing three runup parameters and one rundown parameter for plane, smooth slopes exposed to irregular wave conditions where $d_g/H_g > 3$. These parameters are R_2 , the elevation exceeded by only 2 percent of the runups; R_g , the average runup of the highest one-third of the wave runups; R, the mean runup of all the runups; and Rd_{98} , the depth below the stillwater level which is just greater than 98 percent of the rundown. Example problem 1 illustrates the use of equation (2) in computing the rundowns, parameters, and the method of interpolation for runup on slopes not specifically covered in this report. Example problem 2 illustrates the method of computing rundown. Example 3 illustrates how the study results for smooth slopes can be applied to rough and porous slopes, in this case to compute the desired freeboard for a rubble-mound breakwater.

LITERATURE CITED

AHRENS, J.P., "Prediction of Irregular Wave Runup," CETA 77-2, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., July 1977.

AHRENS, J.P., "Irregular Wave Runup," Proceedings of the Conference on Coastal Structures '79, American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. II, 1979, pp. 998-1019.

BATTJES, J.A., "Wave Runup and Overtopping," Technical Advisory Committee on Protection Against Inundation, Rijkswaterstaat, The Hague, Netherlands, 1974.

GODA, U., "Estimation of Wave Statistics from Spectral Information," Proceedings of the Symposium on Ocean Wave Measurement and Analysis, Vol. I, 1974, pp. 320-337.

HUNT, I.A., "Design of Seawalls and Breakwaters," Journal of the Waterways and Harbors Division, Vol. 85, No. WW3, Sept. 1959, pp. 123-152.

KAMPHUIS, J.W., and MOHAMED, N., "Runup on Irregular Waves on Plane, Smooth Slope," Journal of the Waterway, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Division, Vol. 104, No. WW2, May 1978.

STOA, P.N., "Reanalysis of Wave Runup on Structures and Beaches," TP 78-2, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., Mar. 1978a.

STOA, P.N., "Revised Wave Runup Curves for Smooth Slopes," CETA 78-2, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., July 1978b.

STOA, P.N., "Wave Runup on Rough Slopes," CETA 79-1, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., July 1979.

U.S. ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS, COASTAL ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER, Shore Protection Manual, 3d ed., Vols. I, II, and III, Stock No. 008-022-00113-1, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1977, 1,262 pp.

VAN OORSCHOT, J.H., and D'ANGREMOND, K., "The Effect of Wave Energy Spectra on Wave Runup," *Proceedings of the 11th Conference on Coastal Engineering*, American Society of Civil Engineers, 1968, pp. 888-900.

APPENDIX A

1

FOR SMOOTH SLOPES (Stoa. 1978a) ĸ, RUNUP SCALE-EFFECT CORRECTION FACTOR,



APPENDIX B

ì

ţ.

F

.

RUNUP REDUCTION FACTOR, r, FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF ROUGH AND POROUS STRUCTURES (Stoa, 1979)

I. VALUE OF r FOR QUARRYSTONE RUBBLE-MOUND STRUCTURE (HIGH CORE)

r = 0.52



1. Embankment.

ŀ.,•

ねいた

Construction of the second

£

South the second the second

a. Gobi Blocks.

$$r \approx 0.93$$
 for H'_O/k_p or $H/k_p \approx 6$
(use H'_O when $d_g/H'_O \geq 3$ and H when $d_g/H'_O < 3$)



b. <u>Stepped Slopes</u>.

| Values of r | for stepped slopes | · |
|-----------------|--------------------|------|
| Type of step | Slope (cot 0) | r 1 |
| Vertical risers | 1.5 | 0.75 |
| | 2.0 | 0.75 |
| | 3.0 | 0.70 |
| Rounded edges | 3.0 | 0.86 |

 $11 < H_o^1/k_p \le 12$ where k_p is the height of the riser.

2. Embankment and Rubble Mound.

•

-

5 94

1

| Armor unit and placement method | Length dimension, k _r | Armor-layer thickness (No. of units) | Values of r | Slopes (cot θ) |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Tetrapod Random Uniform | | 2 2 | 0.45 0.51 | 1.3 to 3.0 1.3 to 3.0 |
| Quadripod Random Uniform | into | 2 2 | 0.51 0.51 | 1.3 to 3.0 1.3 to 3.0 |
| Tribar Random Uniform | | 2 1 | 0.45 0.50 | 1.3 to 3.0 1.3 to 3.0 |
| Modified cube Random Uniform Uniform Uniform | | 2 1 1 1 | 0.48 0.62 0.73 0.55 | 1.3 to 3.0 1.5 2.0 3.0 |

Values of r for concrete armor units.

| Slope (cot θ) | H/k _r | r |
|---------------|------------------|------|
| 1.5 | 3 to 4 | 0.60 |
| 2.5 | 3 to 4 | 0.63 |
| 3.5 | 3 to 4 | 0.60 |
| 5.0 | 3 | 0.60 |
| 5.0 | 4 | 0.68 |
| 5.0 | 5 | 0.72 |

III. VALUES OF r FOR QUARRYSTONE EMBANKMENT



APPENDIX C

RUNUP SCALE CORRECTION FACTOR, k, FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF ROUGH AND POROUS STRUCTURES (Stoa, 1979)

| Structure Type | k |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| Quarrystone, rubble-mound breakwater | 1.06 |
| Quarrystone, riprap revetment | 1.00 |
| Concrete armor units, rubble mound | |
| or revetment | 1.03 |

Bibliography: p. 19. The results of several laboratory studies have been used to develop 627 Bibliography: p. 19. The results of several laboratory studies have been used to develop 627 presented which can be used to compute the 2-percent runup, signifiprocedure is suggested for adapting the smooth-slope results to wave cant runup, mean runup, and approximate lower limit of rundown. A procedure is suggested for adapting the smooth-slope results to wave presented which can be used to compute the 2-percent runup, signifi-[26] p. : 111. ; 28 cm.--(Coastal engineering technical aid ; no. Irregular wave runup on smooth slopes / by John P. Ahrens.--Fort Belvoir, Va. : U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Springfield, Va. : available from NTIS, 1981. [26] p. : ill. ; 28 cm.--(Coastal engineering technical aid ; no. Irregular wave runup on smooth glopes / by John P. Ahrens.---fort Belvoir, Va. : U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Springfield, Va. : available from NTIS, 1981. cant runup, mean runup, and approximate lower limit of rundown. A runup on rough and porous slopes. Example problems illustrate the use of the material presented. runup on rough and porous slopes. Example problems illustrate the a method to estimate the wave runup and rundown on plane, smooth slopes caused by frregular wave action. Curves and equations are slopes caused by irregular wave action. Curves and equations are a method to estimate the wave runup and rundown on plane, smooth e. II. Series. no. 81-17 I. Title. II. Series. no. 81-17 l. Wave runup. 2. Water waves. I. Title. 2. Water waves. use of the material presented. .U58lta .U58lta "December 1981." December 1981." l. Wave runup. Ahrens, John P. Ahrens, John P. Cover title. Cover title. 81-17) TC203 81-17) rc203 Bibliography: p. 19. The results of several laboratory studies have been used to develop 627 Bibliography: p. 19. The results of several laboratory studies have been used to develop 627 presented which can be used to compute the 2-percent runup, signifi-cant runup, mean runup, and approximate lower limit of rundown. A procedure is suggested for adapting the smooth-slope results to wave presented which can be used to compute the 2-percent runup, signifiprocedure is suggested for adapting the smooth-slope results to wave runup on rough and porous slopes. Example problems illustrate the Springfield, Va. : available from NTIS, 1981. [26] p. : 111. ; 28 cm---(Coastal engineering technical aid ; no. [26] p. : ill. ; 28 cm.--(Coastal engineering technical aid ; no. Irregular wave runup on smooth slopes / by John P. Ahrens. --Fort runup on rough and porous slopes. Example problems illustrate the Irregular wave runup on smooth slopes / by John P. Ahrens.~-Fort cant runup, mean runup, and approximate lower limit of rundown. A Curves and equations are slopes caused by irregular wave action. Curves and equations are sethod to estimate the wave runup and rundown on plane, smooth method to estimate the wave runup and rundown on plane, smooth Belvoir, Va. : U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Belvoir, Va. : U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Springfield, Va. : available from NTIS, 1981. e. II. Series. no. 81-17 I. Title. slopes caused by irregular wave action. Wave runup. 2. Water waves. use of the material presented. use of the material presented. .U581ta "December 1981." December 1981." Ahrens, John P. Ahrens, John P. Cover title. Cover title. 81-17) 81-17) TC203 TC203

and the second

いち うちょう ちょう いちがあれる きかちかまち

A New York Control of Street of Stre

r