

NUSC Technical Report 6068 1 March 1982

# **Computational Aspects of Constrained Estimation**

L. M. Cabral **Combat Control Systems Department** 



APR 1 E

**82** 04 19 064



## PREFACE

This investigation was conducted under NUSC IR/IED Project No. A75930, "Applications of Regularization Techniques to Problems of ASW," principal investigator-L.M. Cabral (Code 3513).

The technical reviewer for this report was E.J. Hilliard, Jr. (Code 351).

REVIEWED AND APPROVED: 1 MARCH 1982

N. A. VonWinkle

<sup>2</sup> W. A. VonWinkle Associate Technical Director for Technology

REPORT DOCUMENTATIO	N PAGE	READ BEFORE	INSTRUCTIONS COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER TR 6068	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD - 113 GU	1. RECIPIENT	S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE sand Subrishy		L. TYPE OF N	PORT & PENICO COVERED
COMPUTATIONAL ASPECTS OF CONSTRA	INED ESTIMATION		
		6. PENFORMIN	g ong. Report Number
7. AUTHORAJ L. M. Cabral		8. CONTRACT	OR GRANT NUMBERIO
•. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Underwater Systems Center Newport Laboratory Newport, Rhode Island 02840		10. PROGRAM E AREA & WO	ELEMENT, PROJECT, TABK NK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DA	re ch 1982
		13. NUMBER OF 30	PAGES
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		18. SECURITY C	SSIFIED
		15e. DECLASSIFI SCHEDULE	CATION / DOWNGRADING
17. ENSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract ontered in Block 28, if a	Nforens from Reports		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Mathematical Models Ill-Posed Mathematical Problems Quadratic Optimization Procedure	block number) S		
28. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by b Hybrid estimation strategies statistical a priori and (more g the solution of improperly state remain improperly stated due to non-computability. A compact qu presented that resolves this pro tion time.	can exploit both enerally) exogenou d problems. Compu numerical round-of adratic optimizati blem, as well as o	determin us informa utational ff or algo ion proced decreases	istic and ation for ly, problems orithmic dure is computa-

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

The second second second second

ľ

had the back of the

INTRODUCT ION	
GENERAL LINEAR PROBLEM	3
GENERALIZED INVERSE SOLUTION	
GENERALIZED CONSTRAINED ESTIMATION	
Regularization	
Quadratic Optimization Methods	12
RECURSIVE ESTIMATES	
SUMMARY	19
REFERENCES	20
i/ii Reverse Blank	Accession Fer NTY: Class Difference Understord Justification By Distribution/ Availability Codes Avail and/or Dist Special
· · · ·	

## COMPUTATIONAL ASPECTS OF CONSTRAINED ESTIMATION

#### INTRODUCTION

The representation of physical systems by logical-mathematical models and the subsequent realization of these models as computational programs dominates engineering and scientific activities. Generally, a model characterizes a cause-effect relationship as a mapping of a set C into a set E  $(m:C \rightarrow E)$ .<sup>1</sup> Problems concerned with such mappings are variously classified depending upon the information available and the information sought. The direct (normal or analysis) problem seeks to generate the set of effects from a set of causes, while those problems concerned with reversing the cause-effect relationship are termed inverse or indirect problems. Synthesis (identification) problems require the determination of the laws or mappings that govern cause-effect relationships.<sup>2</sup> A fundamental concern is the awareness of conditions for which solutions to such problems are revealed.

A problem is said to be "well-posed" in the sense of Hadamard\* if a unique solution exists and arbitrary small changes in model parameters lead to correspondingly small variations in the solution.<sup>3,4</sup> This latter condition of stability is significant considering that it is quite standard to assure the existence and uniqueness of solutions and yet accommodate the possibility of results having little physical relevance. The viewpoint is maintained that physical systems that lead to problem statements having potentially unstable solutions are not unusual and that methods for the appraisal and resolution of the problem exist and add little to the computational burden of the problem.

<sup>\*</sup>A further characterization of Hadamard's conditions is given by Rutman<sup>27</sup> where for A, a linear map in Banach space,  $b \in ImA$  (existence) and kerA = 0 (uniqueness) imply an algebraic well-posedness, and ImA = ImA (continuous dependence of the solution) implies a topological well-posedness.

Inverse problems comprise the majority of those problems that may be considered ill-posed, viz., unique solutions that are unstable. Usually, the analysis of direct problems of quadrature and problems of synthesis are easily reformulated as inverse problems. Examination of the causes of ill-posedness suggests the following classifications: problems that are shown to be ill-posed as stated (e.g., by arguments of the Riemann-Lebesque lemma<sup>3</sup>); problems having parameters contaminated by noise;<sup>5</sup> and problems for which computational solutions are sought and thus vitiated by errors of truncation. Most often, ill-posedness results from combinations of these factors, which in some cases provide illusions of reliable analysis, but in all cases compound initial difficulties.<sup>6</sup>

Instances of ill-posed problems are frequently encountered in applied situations, numerous examples of which can be found.  $^{1,3,4,7-10}$ Often. these applications require solving systems of simultaneous linear and non-linear equations, ordinary and partial differential equations, and integral equations. Of contemporary interest are industrial applications that include inverse scattering problems, 11-14 ocean acoustic tomography, 15 seismology, 16 inverse wave propagation, 17-23 image restoration (typically in problems requiring restoration beyond the diffraction limit),<sup>24</sup> mathematical optimization,<sup>25</sup> data query,<sup>26</sup> and optimal control. $2^{5,27-30}$  A common subproblem of continuing mathematical interest is the solution of the general linear problem, which is seen to be a finite-dimensional representation of the Fredholm integral equation of the first kind. In the case of non-linear problems, linearization techniques  $^{31}$ or other innovations  $^{32}$  are often successful in reducing the problem to a linear equivalent. A main consideration is the investigation of computational methods appropriate for the solution of linear ill-posed problems and the application of methods of constrained estimation.

#### GENERAL LINEAR PROBLEM

Problems for the solution of Fredholm integral equations of the first kind, that is,

$$\int_{n_1}^{n_2} K(t,s)f(s)ds = g(t)(\xi_1 \leq t \leq \xi_2)$$
(1)

occur frequently whenever input data f(s) are to be determined from measurements g(t) obtained from the output of a device or instrument; the kernel function K(t,s) categorizes the measurement process. As a practical example, consider the problem of estimating the acoustic field  $N(\varphi)$ , from beam measurements  $M(\gamma)$  of a line array consisting of k equally spaced elements.<sup>33</sup> This problem results in the expression

$$M(\gamma) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\sin^2}{k \sin^2} \frac{\{k \pi \zeta / \tau [\cos(\Theta - \psi) - \cos(\gamma)]\}}{\{\pi \zeta / \tau [\cos(\Theta - \psi) - \cos(\gamma)]\}} N(\Theta) d\Theta,$$

where  $\Theta$  is the azimuth measurement,  $\gamma$  corresponds to the beam steering direction,  $\psi$  is the array heading,  $\tau$  is the wavelength of the input, and  $\zeta$  is the array element spacing. For continuous kernels, this problem is ill-posed.<sup>10</sup>

Algebraization of (1) proceeds by application of an appropriate rule of quadrature  $w_i$ , which results in the approximation

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} = w_{j}K(t_{i},s_{j})f(s_{j}) = g(t_{i}) \quad (i = 1,2,...,n) .$$

A typical selection of abscissae s<sub>j</sub> is given by s<sub>j</sub> = a + h(j - 1), where the displacement h =  $(n_2 - n_1)/(m - 1)$ . For a Simpson's rule of quadrature,

 $w_j = \begin{cases} h/3 & (for j = 1 or m) \\ [3 + (-1)^j]h/3 & (otherwise) \end{cases}$ 

and m is odd. There then remains the selection of the quantitization parameters n and m, which are chosen to be small enough to reduce the degree of numerical truncation, but large enough to assure adequate resolution and representation of the physical process. In  $Hunt^{34}$  this dilemma, encountered in the naive solution of equation (1), is demonstrated and further characterizes the ill-posedness of such problems.

A compact representation of a finite-dimensional linear system is provided by a matrix expression, which for (1) results in

 $Ax = b av{2} av{2}$ 

Conditions for the existence of solutions to (1) follow by Picard's criteria.<sup>35</sup> Alternatively, equation (2) admits a solution if and only if the coefficient matrix [A] and the augmented matrix [A:b] are of equal rank; i.e., the vector b is a linear combination of the columns of A, in which case the linear system is consistent. If, in addition, the matrix A is square and of full rank, then the solution to (2) is unique and can be generated by application of Cramer's rule (or the inversion of A). For an overdetermined linear system, unique "solutions" are provided by transforming equation (2) into a system of normal equations

 $A^{\prime}Ax = A^{\prime}b$ ,

which is seen to be mathematically equivalent to the linear least-squares problem.

(3)

But Cramer's rule is applicable only if the determinant det(A) of the square coefficient matrix A, in (2), is non-zero. In situations where the determinant is zero, the matrix is said to be non-regular or singular. In linear systems having small determinants,  $A^{-1}$  contains elements of large amplitude that amplify small variations in the measurement vector b.<sup>36</sup>

This deterioration in the conditioning of the linear system (ill-conditioning) is seen as the numerical manifestation of ill-posedness and is manifested by increasing interdependence among the rows of the coefficient matrix or smoothness of the kernel in equation (1). Symmetrization only exacerbates this condition since, for A (a square matrix),  $det(A'A) = det(A)^2$ .<sup>6</sup> Adequate appraisal of conditioning is then seen as prerequisite to the generation of solutions to linear systems.

A useful method of gaging the sensitivity of a linear system is provided by the relative condition number K where

$$K = ||A|| ||A^{-1}|| .$$
 (4)

Typically, the norm of the real square matrix A corresponds to the maximum of the sums of the moduli in the rows of A. The matrix A represents a linear mapping, or transformation, of an arbitrary vector x into the vector Ax. The norm of A is then a measure of the distortion under the linear transformation.<sup>37</sup> By a simple perturbation analysis of equation (2),

$$\frac{||\delta \times ||}{|| \times ||} \leq K \frac{||\delta D||}{||D||} ,$$

by which for large K it is seen that small relative variations in A and b are magnified in x, a restatement of the condition of ill-posedness. However, determining equation (4) is complicated by the uncertainty in the estimate of  $||A^{-1}||$  when K is large.

Alternatively, the condition number can be determined by the square root of the ratio of the largest eigenvalue of AA' to its smallest eigenvalue.<sup>38</sup> It is then apparent that ill-conditioning is associated with eigenvalues close to zero. A dominant eigenvalue  $\lambda_m$  of D = AA', where D is similar to a diagonal matrix, can be determined from the sequence

 $W_m = DW_{m-1}/\lambda_m$ ,

where  $w_0$  is an arbitrary vector and  $\lambda_m$  is the maximum element of  $Dw_{m-1}$ .

Similarly, the sequence

E ala

$$u_n = (D - \lambda_m I) u_{n-1} / \lambda_n$$

provides an estimate of the minimal eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$ . For non-diagonal type matrices, deflation procedures may be appropriate for determining the minimal eigenvalue.<sup>39</sup>

#### GENERALIZED INVERSE SOLUTION

Often, the occurrence of ill-posed problems in practice motivates the application of multiple precision computations generating costly failures and a skepticism in the promise of computing machinery. Alternative remedies (e.g., preconditioning via scaling<sup>40</sup>), the use of orthogonal (or nearly orthogonal) base functions,<sup>41</sup> large number arithmetic, interval arithmetic,  $^{42-45}$  direct search methods,<sup>46,47</sup> and forward/backward error analysis<sup>48-50</sup> may provide some insight into the characteristics of the solution, but usually at excessive cost. Even problems of moderate dimensionality can rapidly exhaust available memory capacities as machine truncation errors are compounded. Invariably, information is lost as real numbers (viz., irrational numbers) are mapped onto the sieve-like range space that persists in the computational environment. Similar effects result as measurements become contaminated by noise. Attempts to ameliorate the effects of lost information are frustrated unless additional information is furnished.

Although existence of a solution to equation (3) is assured for an arbitrary coefficient matrix, uniqueness is provided if and only if the coefficient matrix is of full rank. Since the set of all least-squares solutions forms a closed convex set,<sup>3</sup> a unique element can be selected that solves equation (3). An appropriate selection is given by the least-squares solution of equation (2), which is of minimum norm variously denoted by the generalized inverse or pseudo-inverse solution.<sup>51</sup>

For an arbitrary m x n matrix A, the generalized inverse is defined by the unique n x m matrix  $A^{\dagger}$ , which satisfies Penrose's lemmas:

 $A^{+}AA^{+} = A^{+}$   $AA^{+}A = A$   $(AA^{+})' = AA^{+}$   $(A^{+}A)' = A^{+}A$ 

Computationally advantageous is the representation of  $A^+$  in terms of the singular-value decomposition of A, that is,

$$A = UL^{1/2}V'$$
 (5)

The matrices U and V' result from columns formed by the eigenvectors of AA' and A'A and L is a m x n matrix composed of a k x k (k = rank (A)) diagonal matrix of the corresponding eigenvalues with the remainder zero-filled.<sup>12</sup> The generalized inverse is then given by

$$A^{+} = V'L^{-1/2}U' . (6)$$

By Lagrange minimization, the least-squares solution of (2) having minimum norm results in the expression

$$A^{+}_{\mu} = (A'A + \mu^{2}I)^{-1}A' .$$
 (7)

Substituting (5) into (7), and noting that U and V are unitary, results in

 $A^{+}_{\mu} = V'L^{1/2}[L + \mu^{2}I]^{-1}U'$ ,

which is equivalent to equation (6) as  $\mu$  approaches zero.

Equation (7) corresponds to the ridge inverse (compare the Levenberg-Marquardt procedure<sup>52</sup> and damped least-squares<sup>53</sup>) as applied in ridge regression,<sup>54</sup> or the constrained estimate of the solution of (2) and is seen to be equivalent to an approximate generalized inverse.<sup>55</sup> The ridge estimate may be seen to be a type of weighted average between the input data and supplemental information.<sup>56</sup> This idea can be further extended to include varied qualities of information that conform to the anticipated nature of the solution.

#### GENERALIZED CONSTRAINED ESTIMATION

The application of supplemental information to the solution of ill-posed problems is quite common. For example, Wiener theory requires statistics concerning the signal and noise processes.<sup>57</sup> Such quantitative inputs (a priori information), when available, may not be sufficient for the solution of ill-posed problems; additional information will often be required.

Generally, all relevant factors characterizing the nature of the solution may be described as exogenous information.<sup>27</sup> Because of the variety of information that may influence the problem, generalized solution methods are rare. An early approach by Kreisel<sup>58</sup> seeks smooth solutions to equation (1) utilizing exponential weighting functions that effectively neutralize the severe oscillations prevalent in ill-posed solutions. Moreover, smooth solutions are readily justified as representative of most physical processes.

Similar constraints motivated the development by  $Philips^{59}$  and  $Twomey^{60}$  for equation (1) in the form

$$\min \{ \|Ax - b\| + \alpha \phi^2(x) \}, \qquad (8)$$

where  $\phi^2(x)$  corresponds to (side) conditions imposed on the least-squares solution, and  $\alpha > 0$  is the degree to which such conditions should influence the solution. For smoothness constraints,  $\phi^2(x) = || \phi \sqrt{x} ||^2$ , where  $\Phi$  corresponds to a second-difference approximation to the solution and is of the form

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & -2 & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & -2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} .$$
(9)

A general solution to (8) is given by

$$x = (A'A + \alpha \Omega)^{-1}(A'b + \alpha C) ,$$

where c corresponds to a known (absolute) bias and  $\Omega = \Phi' \Phi$ . The potential of using alternative constraints was also suggested by Twomey (e.g.,  $(x, \Omega x)$  is equivalent to the variance of the solution where

$$\Omega = \begin{cases} (n-1)/n, & i = j \\ \\ -1/n, & i \neq j \quad (i,j = 1,2,...,n) \end{cases}$$

and where n is the number of measurements. Constraints in terms of entropy functions can be found in Smith.  $^{61}$ 

#### REGULARIZATION

The approach indicated in (8) was also independently investigated by Tikhonov<sup>63</sup> and resulted in his formalizing the method of <u>regularization</u>.\* By regularization is meant an adjustment of the initial problem that admits proper solutions. Justification of the method is given in various sources<sup>7,10,64-66</sup> where a general form of the smoothing functional in (8) is given by

$$\phi^{2}(x) = \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{p} a_{j}(\varepsilon) \left[ \frac{d^{j}x(\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon^{j}} \right]^{2} d\varepsilon < \infty$$

Thus, for  $a_0 = 0$ ,  $a_1 = 0$ ,  $a_2 = 1$ , and p = 2, there results the smoothing functional corresponding to (9) and in a similar manner the estimation of equation (7) is defined for  $a_0 = p_0 = 0$ .

\*Also called the Tikhonov-Miller method.<sup>62,65</sup>

The method of regularization is given a number of statistical interpretations<sup>2,67-77</sup> in which the Tikhonov method is supplemented by a Wiener technique or a Bayesian strategy. An estimate for the problem of equation (9) can be given by

$$x_{\alpha} = (A'WA + \alpha H)^{-1}A'Wb , \qquad (10)$$

where W is a weighting coefficient matrix generated from known statistics concerning the measurements b. In Edenhofer<sup>23</sup> and Varah<sup>78</sup> H corresponds to the assumed known covariance matrix of the data x, and W corresponds to the covariance matrix of the measurements (a particular form of the weighting matrix).

A problem that remains is the selection of the regularization parameter in (8). This formulation is seen to correspond to the Lagrange minimization for the problem:

$$\min_{x_{\alpha}} \{ \phi(x_{\alpha}) : ||Ax_{\alpha} - b||^{2} = ||e||^{2} \}, \qquad (11)$$

where the vector e represents the measurement error. A suitable  $\alpha$  is then chosen so that the equality in (11) is satisfied. Iterative procedures for determining  $\alpha$  then require an estimate of e.<sup>57,74,75</sup> To facilitate such iterations, the estimates of equation (10) may be obtained by

$$x_{\alpha} = [I + \alpha H(A'WA)^{-1}]^{-1} x_{0}, \qquad (12)$$

which may be of computational advantage.

Varah<sup>78</sup> suggests an interactive graphics approach for the solution of the basic problem of equation (1). Graphical display of a problem may be successful because it motivates the analyst to employ perceptual abilities that the alphanumeric display precludes. This approach can be extended to provide a subjective method for approximating  $\alpha$ , as well as applying additional regularization criteria.

Direct methods<sup>79</sup> for estimating  $\alpha$  are often desirable; but without adequate noise estimates they are less accurate. Labianca<sup>12</sup> provides an empirical approach where  $\alpha$  is selected as a fraction of the maximum singular-value of A'A, the fraction 0.0136 being a suitable choice.

#### QUADRATIC OPTIMIZATION METHODS

For many physical problems a non-negative solution (e.g., probability distributions, signal spectra) is required. An n-step method for selecting a regularized solution given non-negativity constraints is offered by Turchin.<sup>75</sup> This basic approach is further exploited by Rutman<sup>80</sup> to include additional gualities of constraints in a selective manner if required.

Solution of the general linear problem (2) with non-negativity constraints is equivalent to the problem

$$\min_{x} \{ Z(x) = 1/2(x, Dx) - (q, x) : x \ge 0 \}^{*}, \qquad (13)$$

where D = A'A and q = A'b. A regularized solution requires that  $D = A'WA + \alpha H$ and q = A'Wb. For the situation where the solution  $x^{0}$  to the unconstrained problem is negative, a proximal non-negative solution  $x^{m}$  to  $x^{0}$  exists\*\* and is determined by the following algorithm:

<sup>\*</sup>Equivalently, Z(x) = 1/2(Dx - 2q,x). \*\*A solution at the origin is always possible.

<u>Algorithm I</u>		
Step 0:	Given D and $x^{0}$ , then $q = Dx^{0}$ , $S = \{i:x_{i}^{0} > 0\}$ and $x_{i}^{p} = max[0,x_{i}^{0}], \forall i$ .	
Step 1:	Set $x^r = T^{-1}z$ , where $T = [d_{ij}]$ and $z = [q_i]$ , $i,j \in S$ .	
Step 2:	If $x_i^r \ge 0$ , $\forall i$ , then go to Step 4.	
Step 3:	Set S = S\{j}:r_j = min[x_i^p/(x_i^p - x_i^r)], $x_i^p = x_i^p + (x_i^r - x_i^p)r_j$ , and go to Step 1.	
Step 4:	If $e_i(Tx^r - z) \ge 0$ , $\forall i$ , then go to Step 6.	
Step 5:	Set S = SU{j}:r_jmin[e'(Tx <sup>r</sup> - z)], and go to Step 1.	
Step 6:	Set $x^m = x^r$ .	

The vector  $e_i$  with ith element unity and all others zero is called the unit column matrix.

Additional constraints can be accommodated for equation (13) by introducing the transformation  $R\tilde{x} = x$ , where R is non-singular. Equation (13) then becomes

 $\min_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}} \left\{ Z(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) = 1/2(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) - (\tilde{\mathbf{q}}, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}) : \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \ge 0 \right\} ,$ 

الملاقع مريقيك تفريد وم

which is essentially reduced to the constraints of the type of equation (13). The utility of the transformation is demonstrated by examining several transformation constraint matrix pairs R and  $R^{-1}$ .

Monotonicity constraints often occur in physical problems (e.g., polynomials with positive coefficients and cumulative probability distributions). A monotonically increasing function  $x(\varepsilon)$  has the property that  $dx(\varepsilon)/d\varepsilon > 0$ . Choosing

$$R^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & & & \\ & -1 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & -1 & 1 \\ & & & \ddots & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

corresponds to imposing the constraint that the first differences of the restored function x be non-negative. The matrix

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & . & . & -1 & 1 \\ & -1 & -1 & . & . & -1 & 1 \\ & & & & . & . \\ & & & & -1 & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

performs the incremental summations of the restored differences.

A similar development leads to unimodality constraints given by the matrices

$$R^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & & & \\ & -1 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & -1 & \\ & & & & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and



where n is odd and the row consisting of the unitary element corresponds to the mode position.

Convexity constraints are imposed by requiring the second derivative of the restored function to be non-negative. This leads to the matrices

$$R^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & & \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$R = [r_{ij}] = [r_{ij}] = [-i(n - j + 1)/(n + 1)], \quad i \ge j.$$

Concavity constraints are developed in a similar manner.

Non-negativity/non-positivity constraints are simply developed by matrices of the type R = diag $\{...1,...-1,...\}$ . Further selectivity is imposed by limiting the set of active constraints to the intersection of the set of selected coordinates and the set of positive constraints S in Algorithm I.

After determining  $x^m$ , a new value of the regularization parameter  $a^*$  is recomputed to account for the adjustment in the estimate  $x^0$  due to non-negativity and additional constraints. In Turchin<sup>61</sup> the method for recomputing the regularization parameter is given by

$$\alpha^* = \alpha (n^*/n)^3 ,$$

where  $n^*$  is the number of elements in the estimate  $x^m$  that differ from zero. This new value of the regularization parameter is then used to compute an adjusted estimate  $x^m$  using, for example, equation (12).

It is sometimes observed that, although a finite algorithm is designed to terminate with a solution, in practice convergence to a solution does not occur. Such is the case with Algorithm I. The property is exhibited that a problem may be mathematically well-posed and remain numerically ill-conditioned. Algorithm I will require inversion of matrices of the order up to n-1 for which truncation errors are prevalent. Because this pathology is unavoidable, it is always desirable to minimize its effects.

The following iterative algorithm is intended to solve the problem of equation (13) while avoiding matrix inversion:

### Algorithm II

Step 0:	Given D and $x^0$ , then $q = Dx^0$ . Set $\ell = 0$ and $x_j^0 = 0$ , $\forall i = 1, 2,, n$ .
Step 1:	Set k = $\left\{ j: q_j/d_{jj} = \max_i [q_i/d_{ij}], j \neq \ell \right\}$ .
Step 2:	If $q_k/d_{kk} \leq 0$ , then go to Step 4.
Step 3:	Set $\ell = k$ ; set $x_i^0 = x_i^0 + q_k/d_{kk}$ ;
	set $q_i = q_i - d_{ik}q_k/d_{kk}$ , $\forall i$ ; and go to Step 1.
Step 4:	Set $x^m = x^o$ .

Since the iterative solution begins at the origin, a gradient search (Step 1) selects a coordinate providing a feasible solution. A corresponding translation of the coordinate system (Step 3) adjusts the feasible solution to coincide with the origin. All such displacements that do not result in a non-feasible solution are then accumulated to form the optimum solution.

#### RECURSIVE ESTIMATES

In many applications, computational considerations of memory size or processing time require alternative formalizations of the processing algorithms in order to realize practical solutions to problems. Recursive estimates often provide such efficiencies with little increase in algorithmic complexity. For classical least-squares and the generalized inverse, the recursive procedure will, with minor modification, provide for the deletion of particular data points without recomputation of all data. In control settings, the Kalman filter—a recursive counterpart to the Wiener filter—is often used. Recursive interpretations for the methods of regularization are similarly desirable.

On-line techniques of regularization appropriate for identification and input signal recovery have been previously observed<sup>27,67</sup> and found to require a redefinition of the method of regularization. A simple approach corresponding to the recursive approximate generalized inverse is developed and is applicable to problems of the type found in Radhakrishna.<sup>51</sup>

An alternative representation for the solution to the problem of (8) is given by the least-squares solution of

[A' | x + ]'[x + 0]' = [b + 0]',

assuming no bias. An additional measurement  $b_{n+1}$  requires a corresponding addition  $A_{(n+1)} = [a_{n+1,1} \cdots a_{n+1,n}]$  to the coefficient matrix. The recursive estimate  $x_{(n+1)}$  is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{(n+1)} &= \chi_{(n)} + P_{(n+1)}A'(n+1)^{(b}(n+1)^{-A}(n+1)\chi_{(n)}) \\ P_{(n+1)} &= P_{(n)} - P_{(n)}A'(n+1) \\ P_{(n)} &= (Q_{(n)}' Q_{(n)})^{-1} , \\ \text{where } Q_{(n)}' &= [A'_{1}^{\dagger}\sqrt{a}\phi'] . \end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMAR Y

Methods of regularization are shown to be extensions of deterministic least-squares estimation. Conventional approaches are limited by the availability of additional information that must be introduced into the problem to produce a solution. Similar limitations hold for methods of regularization; however, these methods have the advantage of utilizing qualitative inputs and relaxing quantitative information requirements. More notably, these methods provide a resource for resolving problems that are mathematically ill-posed and consequently inappropriate for solution by conventional methods.

Other methods comparable with methods of regularization (e.g., the augmented Galerkin method and the singular value decomposition with truncation or damping<sup>81,82</sup>) are either less effective or prove to be computationally burdensome. Algorithm II and the transformation methods in Rutman<sup>80</sup> provide an indication of the utility of the Philips-Tikhonov-Turchin approach available at minimal expense. Although industrial application of regularization has been generally limited to image restoration, additional developments will broaden the application to include control settings and the solution of non-linear problems.

#### REFERENCES

- P.C. Sabatier, "Comparative Evolution of the Inverse Problems," Invited paper presented at the <u>Workshop on the Mathematics of Profile Inversion</u>, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffet Field, CA, 12-16 July 1971, N71-33726.
- 2. P. Linz, <u>Theoretical Numerical Analysis: An Introduction to Advanced Tech-</u> niques, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1979.
- 3. C.W. Groetsch, <u>Regularization of Ill-Posed Problems</u>, Technical Report AFFDL-TR-78-129, Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH, September 1978.
- 4. M.M. Lavrentiev, <u>Some Improperly Posed Problems of Mathematical Physics</u>, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
- J.L. Lions, "Approximation Numerique de la Solution des Problemes d'Equations aux Derivees Partielles," <u>Mathematics Applied to Physics</u>, E. Roubine, ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970, pp. 389-401.
- 6. B. Noble, <u>Rounding Error, Ill-Conditioning and Instability</u>, MRC Summary Report 817, University of Wisconsin, December 1967.
- V.A. Il'in, "Tikhonov's Work on Methods of Solving Ill-Posed Problems," Russian Math. Surveys, Vol. 22, No. 2, Mar-Apr, 1967, pp. 142-149.
- M.Z. Nashed, <u>On Moment-Discretization and Least-Squares Solutions of Linear</u> <u>Integral Equations of the First Kind</u>, MRC Technical Summary Report 1371, University of Wisconsin, August 1974.

- 9. J.B. Keller, "Inverse Problems," <u>The American Mathematical Monthly</u>, Vol. 83, No. 2, February 1976, pp. 107-118.
- J.N. Franklin, "On Tikhonov's Method for Ill-Posed Problems," <u>Mathematics</u> of Computation, Vol. 28, No. 128, October 1974, pp. 889-907.
- 11. P.C. Sabatier, Complete Solution of the Inverse Scattering Problem at Fixed Energy, AROD Report 5992.69-P, Army Research Office-Durham, 19 April 1971.
- F.M. Labianca, <u>Estimates of Ocean-Surface Directional-Frequency Spectra:</u> The Inverse Scattering Problem, Bell Laboratories OSTP-67 FL, 12 June 1978.
- 13. S. Rosenbaum-raz, "On Scatterer Reconstruction from Far-field Data," <u>IEEE</u> Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, January 1976, pp. 66-70.
- 14. H.S. Cabayans, R.C. Murphy, and T.J.F. Pavlasek, "Numerical Stability and Near-Field Reconstruction," <u>IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation</u>, Vol. AP-21, No. 3, May 1973, pp. 346-351.
- W. Munk and C. Wunsch, "Ocean Acoustic Tomography: A Scheme for Large Scale Monitoring," <u>Deep-Sea Research</u>, Vol. 26A, Pergamon Press Ltd., Great Britain, 1979, pp. 123-161.
- K. Aki, A. Christoffersson, and E.S. Husebys, "Determination of the Three-Dimensional Seismic Structure," <u>Journal of Geophysical Research</u>, Vol. 82, No. 2, January 1977, pp. 277-296.
- R.J. Lytle and K.A. Dines, "Iterative Ray Tracing Between Boreholds for Underground Image Reconstruction," <u>IEEE Transactions of Geoscience and</u> Remote Sensing, Vol. GE-18, No. 3, July 1980, pp. 234-240.

- B.L.N. Kennett, "Ray Theoretical Inverse Methods in Geophysics," <u>Applied</u> <u>Inverse Problems</u>, P.C. Sabatier, ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978, pp. 28-47.
- 19. D.S. Kimes, "Remote Sensing of Temperature Profiles in Vegetation Canopies Using Multiple View Angles and Inversion Techniques," <u>IEEE</u> <u>Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing</u>, Vol. GE-19, No. 2, April 1981, pp. 85-90.
- 20. J.A. DeSanto, "Inverse Wave Propagation in an Inhomogeneous Waveguide," <u>Journal of Mathematical Physics</u>, Vol. 17, No. 9, September 1976, pp. 1752-1753.
- 21. M.T. Chahine, "Determination of the Temperature Profile in an Atmosphere from its Outgoing Radiance," <u>Journal of the Optical Society of America</u>, Vol. 58, No. 11, December 1968, pp. 1631–1637.
- 22. P.L. Chow and L. Maestrello, "Stochastic Inverse Problem in the Radiation of Noise," <u>SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics</u>, Vol. 35, No. 4, December 1978, pp. 665- 677.
- 23. P. Edenhofer, J.N. Franklin, and C.H. Papas, "A New Inversion Method in Electromagnetic Wave Propagation," <u>IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Pro-</u> pagation, March 1973, pp. 260-263.

Stand &

- B.R. Hunt, "The Application of Constrained Least Squares Regression to Image Restoration by Digital Computer," <u>IEEE Trans. on Comp.</u>, Vol. C-22, No. 9, September 1973, pp. 805-812.
- 25. A.N. Tikhonov and V.Y. Arsenin, <u>Solution of Ill-Posed Problems</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1977.

- 26. A.P. Schinnar, "Generalized Inverse Solutions of Multiattribute Accounting Problems," <u>International Journal of Computer and Information Sciences</u>, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1979, pp. 129–139.
- 27. R. Rutman, "Ill-Posed Inverse Problems of Control Theory," <u>Proceedings of</u> <u>the 1980 Joint Automatic Control Conference</u>, 13-15 August 1980, San Francisco, CA.
- L.S. Kirillova and A.A. Piontkovskii, "Incorrect Problems in Optimal Control Theory (Survey)," <u>Automation and Remote Control</u>, No. 10, October 1968, pp. 1553-1563.
- 29. R.P. Fedorenko, "The Incorrectness of Optimal Control Problems and the Regularization of the Numerical Solution," <u>USSR Computational Mathematics</u> and Mathematical Physics, 15, 4, 1975, pp. 1043-1047.
- 30. F.P. Vasil'ev, "Numerical Method of Solving Optimal-Response Problem with Approximate Specification of Initial Data," <u>Computational Mathematics and Cybernetics</u>, No. 3, 1977, pp. 23-34.
- D.M. Himmelblau, <u>Applied Nonlinear Programming</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1972, pp. 31.
- 32. C.Y.K. Marshall, "Solution of Nonlinear Equations," <u>IEEE Transactions on</u> <u>Computers</u>, September 1968, pp. 897-898.
- 33. J.H. Wilson, "Solution of Integral Equation Important in Signal Processing of Radar and Sonar Hydrophone Array Outputs," <u>SIAM Journal of Applied</u> Mathematics, Vol. 39, No. 1, August 1980, pp. 1-7.
- 34. B.R. Hunt, "A Theorem on the Difficulty of Numerical Deconvolution," <u>IEEE</u> <u>Transactions on Audio and Electroacoustics</u>, March 1972, pp. 94–95.

- 35. J.B. Diaz and F.T. Metcalf, "On Iteration Procedures for Equations of the First Kind, Ax=y, and Picard's Criterion for the Existence of a Solution," Mathematics of Computation, Vol. 24, No. 112, October 1970, pp. 923-935.
- 36. R. Bellman, R. Kalaba, and J. Lockett, "Dynamic Programming and Ill-Conditioned Linear Systems," <u>Journal of Mathematical Analysis and</u> Applications, 10, 1965, pp. 206-215.
- 37. J.A. Newkirk, <u>Computational Issues in Least-Squares Estimation and Con-</u> <u>trol</u>, TR No. M355-7, Information Systems Laboratory, Stanford Electronics Laboratories, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 6 June 1979, p. 8.
- D.I. Steinberg, <u>Computational Matrix Algebra</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1974.
- 39. C. Cullen, <u>Matrices and Linear Transformations</u>, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, MA, 1967, pp. 200-203.
- 40. C.N. Dorny, <u>A Vector Space Approach to Models and Optimization</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1975, p. 379.
- G. Dahlquist and A. Bjorck, <u>Numerical Methods</u>, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1974, p. 177.
- 42. B. Chartres, "Automatic Controlled Precision Calculations," <u>Journal of the</u> <u>Association for Computing Machinery</u>, Vol. 13, No. 3, 1966, pp. 386-403.
- 43. B. Chartres and J.C. Geuder, "Computable Error Bounds for Direct Solution of Linear Equations," <u>Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery</u>, Vol. 14, No. 1, January 1967, pp. 63-71.

- 44. U. Kulisch, <u>An Axiomatic Approach to Rounded Computations</u>, MRC Technical Summary Report 1020, University of Wisconsin, November 1969.
- 45. L.J. Mancini and G.P. McCormick, <u>Bounding Global Minima with Interval</u> Arithmetic, Serial T-345, George Washington University, 31 January 1977.
- 46. R. Hooke and T.A. Jeeves, "'Direct Search' Solution of Numerical and Statistical Problems," <u>Journal of the Association of Computer Machinery</u>, Vol. 8, No. 2, April 1961, pp. 212-229.
- 47. F.J. Oswald, "Matrix Inversion by Monte Carlo Methods," <u>Mathematical</u> <u>Methods for Digital Computers</u>, A. Ralston, ed., John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1966, pp. 78-83.
- 48. D.K. Faddeev and V.N. Faddeeva, "On the Solution of Linear Algebraic Systems," <u>USSR Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics</u>, 14, 3, 1974, pp. 539-559.
- 49. G. Peters and J.H. Wilkinson, "The Least Squares Problem and Pseudo-Inverses," 9th Computer Journal, Vol. 13, No. 3, August 1970, pp. 308-316.
- 50. J.H. Wilkinson, "Error Analysis of Direct Methods of Matrix Inversion," Journal of the Association of Computer Machinery, Vol. 8, No. 3, 1961, pp. 281-330.
- 51. C.R. Radhakrishna and S.K. Mitra, <u>Generalized Inverse of Matrices and Its</u> Applications, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1971.
- 52. J.N. Holt and R. Fletcher, "An Algorithm for Constrained Non-Linear Least-Squares," <u>Journal of the Institute of Mathematical Applications</u>, 23, 1979, pp. 449-463.

- 53. K. Levenberg, "A Method for the Solution of Certain Non-Linear Problems in Least Squares," Quarterly of Applied Mathematics, Vol. 2, 1944, pp.164-168.
- 54. A.E. Hoerl, "Optimum Solution of Many Variable Equations," <u>Chemical</u> Engineering Progress, Vol. 55, 1959, pp. 69-78.
- 55. G. Golub, "Numerical Methods for Solving Linear Least Squares Problems," Numerical Mathematics, Vol. 7, 1965, pp. 206-216.
- 56. D.W. Marquardt, "Generalized Inverses, Ridge Regression, Biased Linear Estimation, and Nonlinear Estimation," <u>Technometrics</u>, Vol. 12, No. 3, August 1970, pp. 591-612.
- 57. B.R. Hunt, "Deconvolution of Linear Systems by Constrained Regression and Its Relationship to the Wiener Theory," <u>IEEE Transactions on Automatic</u> <u>Control</u>, October 1972, pp. 703-705.
- 58. G. Kreisel, "Some Remarks on Integral Equations with Kernels," <u>Proceedings</u> of the Royal Society of London, Series A, Vol. 197, 1949, pp. 160-183.
- 59. D.L. Phillips, "A Technique for the Numerical Solution of Certain Integral Equations of the First Kind," <u>Journal of the Association of Computer</u> <u>Machinery</u>, Vol. 9, 1962, pp. 84-97.

ALL STREET

- 60. S. Twomey, "On the Numerical Solution of Fredholm Integral Equations of the First Kind by the Inversion of the Linear System Produced by Quadrature," <u>Journal of the Association of Computer Machinery</u>, Vol. 10, 1963, pp. 97-101.
- 61. C.B. Smith, "A Dual Method for Maximum Entropy Restoration," <u>IEEE</u> <u>Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence</u>, Vol. PAMI-1, No. 4, October 1979, pp. 411-414.

- 62. K. Miller, "Three Circle Theorems on Partial Differential Equations and Applications to Improperly Posed Problems," <u>Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.</u>, Vol. 16, 1964, pp. 126-154.
- 63. A.N. Tikhonov, "Solution of Incorrectly Formulated Problems and the Regularization Method," Soviet Mathematics, No. 4, 1963, pp. 1035-1038.
- 64. C. Sadosky, <u>Interpolation of Operators and Singular Integrals</u>: <u>An Intro</u>duction to Harmonic Analysis, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1979, p. 31.
- 65. M. Bertero, C. DeMol, and G.A. Viano, "On the Regularization of Linear Inverse Problems in Fourier Optics," <u>Applied Inverse Problems</u>, P.C. Sabatier, ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978, pp. 180-199.
- 66. M. Bertero, C. DeMol, and G.A. Viano, "On the Problems of Object Restoration and Image Extrapolation in Optics," <u>Journal of Mathematical Physics</u>, Vol. 20, No. 3, March 1979.
- 67. R. Rutman and T. Urbanovich, "Statistical Regularization and the Signal Recovery Problem," <u>Proceedings of 1976 IEEE Canadian Conference on</u> Communications and Power, Montreal, October 1976, pp. 26-28.
- 68. O.N. Strand and E.R. Westwater, "Statistical Estimation of the Numerical Solution of a Fredholm Integral Equation of the First Kind," <u>Journal of</u> the Association of Computer Machinery, 15, 1968, pp. 100-114.
- 69. V.N. Sudakov and L.A. Halfin, "Statistical Approach to the Correctness of Problems in Mathematical Physics," <u>Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR</u>, 5, 1957, pp. 1094-1096.
- 70. E.L. Zhukovskii, "The Method of Least Squares for Degenerate and Ill-Posed Systems of Linear Algebraic Equations," <u>USSR Computational Mathematics and</u> Mathematical Physics, 5, 1965, pp. 202-209.

- 71. E.L. Zhukovskii, "Statistical Regularization of Systems of Algebraic Equations," <u>USSR Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics</u>, 12, 1, 1972, pp. 185–191.
- 72. V.F. Turchin, "Solution of the Fredholm Equation of the First Kind in a Statistical Ensemble of Smooth Functions," <u>USSR Computational Mathematics</u> and Mathematical Physics, 7, 6, 1967, pp. 79–96.
- 73. V.F. Turchin, "Selection of an Ensemble of Smooth Functions for the Solution of the Inverse Problem," <u>USSR Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics</u>, 1, 1968, pp. 328-339.
- 74. V.F. Turchin, V.P. Kozlov, and M.S. Malkevich, "The Use of Mathematical-Statistics Methods in the Solution of Incorrectly Posed Problems," <u>Soviet</u> Physics, Vol. 13, No. 6, 1971, pp. 681-840.
- 75. V.F. Turchin and L.S. Turovtseva, "Restoration of Optical Spectra and Other Nonnegative Functions by the Statistic Regularization Method," Optics and Spectroscopy, Vol. 36, No. 2, February 1974, pp. 162-167.
- 76. V.F. Turchin and L.S. Turovtseva, "The Method of Statistical Regularization with an A Posteriori Estimate of the Error in the Initial Data," Soviet Mathematics, Vol. 14, No. 6, pp. 1430-1434.
- 77. J.N. Franklin, "Well-Posed Stochastic Extensions of Ill-Posed Linear Problems," <u>Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications</u>, 31, 1970, pp. 682-716.
- 78. J.M. Varah, "Numerical Solution of Ill-Posed Problems Using Interactive Graphics," AFIPS Fall Joint Computer Conference, 1972, pp. 1299-1301.

- 79. J.W. Hilgers, <u>Approximating the Optimal Regularization Parameter</u>, MRC Technical Summary Report 1472, University of Wisconsin, October 1974.
- 80. R. Rutman and L. Cabral, "A Non-Linear Regularization Technique for Some Ill-Posed Problems of Identification and Estimation," <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>19th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control</u>, December 1980, pp. 928-930.
- 81. Y.S. Shim and Z.H. Cho, "SVD Pseudoinversion Image Reconstruction," <u>IEEE</u> <u>Transactions on Acoustics</u>, Speech, and Signal Processing, Vol. ASSP-29, No. 4, August 1981, pp. 904-909.
- 82. J. Cullum, "Ill-Posed Deconvolutions: Regularization and Singular Value Decompositions," <u>Proceedings of the 19th IEEE Conference on Decision and</u> Control, December 1980, pp. 29-35.

A State State State

# INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A

and the second second

والانتقاد فالمقاربة والمنطوقات المراجعة والمقاربة فالمتحمة ومعاركا والمقارفة والمكافئة

ŀ

de la fai

Addressee	No. of Copies
CNR (ONR-100)	1
CNM (MAT-08T, MAT-08T1)	2
NAVSEA (SEA-003, SEA-63R)	2
NSWC, Dahlgren	1
NSWC, White Oak	1
NRL, Washington, DC	1
NORDA, Bay St. Louis	1
DTNSRDC, Bethesda	1
NADC, Warminster	1
NOSC, San Diego	1
NPS, Monterey	1
APL, Univ. of Washington	1
ARL, Penn State	1
DARPA	1
DTIC, Alexandria	2
Stanford Univ., Stanford, CA (Attn: T. Kailath)	1
TASC, McLean, VA (Attn: S. Marple)	1
R. Streit, Menlo Park, CA	1

