AD-A113 525 UNCLASSIFIED	STANFORD STRESS AN DEC 81 1 SUDAM-61	UNIV CA MLYSIS P H LEE 11	OR KIN R L MA	EMATIC	ED MECH Hardeni T B Wer	IANICS Ing in P Itheimer	INITE-	EFORMA 014-81	F/6 TION P- -K-0660 NL	20/11 -ETC(U)	
$\int_{A_1}^{A_2} \alpha_{\theta_1 \theta_2 \theta_1}^{A_1} \alpha_{\theta_2 \theta_2 \theta_1}^{A_2}$											
								END DATE FILMED DTIC			
				-	-						



Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Scientific Report Contract N00014-81-K-0660

STRESS ANALYSIS FOR KINEMATIC HARDENING IN FINITE-DEFORMATION PLASTICITY

By

E. H. Lee, R. L. Mallett and

T. B. Wertheimer

SUDAM Report No. 81-11

DTIC FILE COPY

December 1981

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

UNIVERSITY STANFORD, CALIFORNIA 94305 BELECT APR 1 4 1982 Н

04

82

13

「ヨン

DIVISION OF APPLIED MECHANICS

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

**STANFORD** 

015

# Stress Analysis for Kinematic Hardening in Finite-Deformation Plasticity

E. H. Lee and R. L. Mallett, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute and Stanford University, and

T. B. Wertheimer, MARC Analysis Research Corp. and Stanford University Abstract

Kinematic hardening represents the anisotropic component of strain hardening by a shift,  $\alpha$ , of the center of the yield surface in stress space. The currently adopted approach in stress analysis at finite deformation (in which time derivatives of  $\alpha$  and of stress appear respectively in the evolution equation for  $\alpha$  and in the flow type constitutive equation) accounts for the effect of rotation by using Jaumann derivatives based on the spin (the skew-symmetric part of the velocity gradient). This guarantees objectivity under superimposed time-dependent rigidbody rotations. However the analysis generates the unexpected result that oscillatory shear stress is predicted for monotonically increasing simple shear strain.

The simple shear strain growing at constant rate  $\gamma = k$  yields a spin in the plane of shearing having the constant magnitude k/2. The effect of this on the evolution equation for the shift tensor  $\alpha$  cause. the latter to rotate continuously. In contrast, the kinematics of simple shear prescribe that no material directions rotate by more than  $\pi$  radians. Together these two features seem inconsistant since the shift tensor or back stress has its origin embedded in the material, for example as rows of dislocations piled up against grain boundaries or inclusions.

By defining a modified Jaumann derivative based on the angular velocity of certain directions embedded in the body which characterize the effective resultant orientation of the micro-mechanisms responsible for the anisotropic hardening, a method of stress analysis is implemented which eliminates the inconsistency and yields a monotonically increasing shear stress. Features which support the validity of this approach are presented.

# 1. Introduction

In an intriguing paper [1]\*, Nagtegaal and de Jong evaluated the stresses generated by simple shear to large deformation in elastic-plastic and rigid-plastic materials which exhibit anisotropic hardening. In conformity with current practice for finite deformation in the case of kinematic hardening, they used an evolution equation for the back stress or shift tensor  $\alpha$  (the current center of the yield surface) which relates the Jaumann derivative of  $\alpha$  to the plastic strain rate. This incorporates effects of finite rotation and ensures objectivity of the evolution equation under rigid-body rotations. They obtained the unexpected result, for a material which strain hardens monotonically in tension, that the shear traction grows to a maximum value at a shear strain  $\gamma$  of the order unity and then oscillates with increasing strain with a period of about six. Similar behavior was exhibited by the normal traction on the shearing planes which was initially compressive. Of course such a variation would not occur in practice because of the onset of instability, but large shear strains have occurred in experiments without instability. Other anisotropic hardening models, such as that due to Mroz, did not generate oscillations in the shear traction.

DTI

COPY NSPECTER allaour cod

ustificati,

Avellability istribution/

A study of the analytical structure of the kinematic hardening law shows that, in the case of simple shear, the use of the conventa-∵⊐umann derivative based on the spin causes the shift tensor  $\alpha$  to rotate continuously and this generates oscillations in the stress field. In the present paper it is also shown that this analytical structure, which is currently adopted

Numbers in brackets denote references collected at the end of the paper.

in finite-deformation elastic-plastic codes involving kinematic hardening, is not in accord with the effects of the physical micromechanisms which produce plastic flow. A modified theory consonant with these yields a monotonically increasing shear traction for the problem under discussion.

# 2. The Kinematics of Simple Shear

Using rectangular Cartesian coordinates for the configuration at the time t, we consider simple shear in the  $x_1$ -direction, as depicted in Fig.l, defined by the displacements

$$u_1 = k t x_2, \quad u_2 = u_3 = 0.$$
 (2.1)

This corresponds to the steady-state velocity field

$$v_1 = kx_2, v_2 = v_3 = 0$$
 (2.2)

and the velocity gradient tensor

$$\mathbf{L} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{j}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.3)

with the rate of deformation D and the spin W given by the symmetric and skew-symmetric parts, respectively:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k/2 & \bar{0} \\ k/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ; \quad \widetilde{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k/2 & \bar{0} \\ -k/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2.4)

The velocity field is thus steady with constant rate of shear strain v = kand constant spin W with angular speed k/2.

Figure 2 illustrates the superposition of the deformation rate and spin components of the velocity field, the arrows expressing the

angular velocities of lines of material points currently parallel to the  $x_1$ and  $x_2$  axes, respectively. It is seen that those parallel to the  $x_2$ -axis rotate with angular velocity k while those parallel to the  $x_1$ -axis do not rotate.

Figure 1 shows the deformation at times  $t_1$  and t of an initially unit square block, with sides initially on the  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  axes. Because of the linear velocity field, straight lines defined by material points remain straight and the square block is deformed into a sequence of parallelograms. The line of material particles  $OA_0$ , initially on the  $x_2$ -axis, deforms to  $OA_1$ and OA at times  $t_1$  and t, respectively. Clearly it will only approach the  $x_1$ -axis as time approaches infinity, so that the maximum angular rotation for this line of material points is  $\pi/2$ .

Because the velocity field is steady and the velocity gradient is uniform or homogeneous over the body, the angular velocity of a line of particles in the  $(x_1, x_2)$  plane of shear depends only on its orientation. Thus, the description in the previous paragraph of the motion of the side  $OA_o$ of the unit square can be applied to every line of material points. Thus the inclination of a line of material points to the  $x_1$ -axis [ $\theta(t)$  in Fig.1 for OA] can be used to express the angular velocity  $\dot{\theta}$  of the line.

From Fig.1 the length of QA is  $1/\sin \theta$ , the point O is at rest and the component of the velocity of A normal to QA is k sin  $\theta$  in the direction of  $\theta$  decreasing. Hence its angular velocity  $\dot{\theta}$  is given by

$$\hat{\theta} = -k \sin^2 \theta \qquad (2.5)$$

which, as explained in the previous paragraph, is applicable to all lines of material points in the  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  plane. Integration of (2.5), or observing in Fig.1 that the projection of OA onto the  $x_1$  axis is cot  $\theta$  and that A moves

with velocity k along the line  $x_2 = 1$ , gives the following expression for the varying inclination  $\theta$  of a line of material points corresponding to  $\theta_0$  at time t.:

$$\cot \theta = \cot \theta_{\lambda} + k(t - t_{\lambda}). \qquad (2.6)$$

This again applies to all lines with inclination  $\theta_0$  at time t irrespective of their position.

Thus the total possible rotation of lines of material particles is limited since, unless they are parallel to the  $x_1$  axis, in which case they do not rotate, all lines rotate with negative  $\dot{\theta}$  towards the  $x_1$  axis ( $\theta = 0$ ). The largest rotation is of lines which initially have the inclination  $\theta = \pi - \epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon$  small and positive. They initially have a small negative  $\dot{\theta}$  which increases in magnitude to k as they become parallel to the  $x_2$  axis and then falls in magnitude monotonically towards zero as the lines approach the  $x_1$  axis. The total angle of rotation approaches  $\pi - \epsilon$ .

Note that the angular velocity of the lines  $\theta = \pm \pi/4$ , which coincide with the principal directions of the deformation rate tensor D, is k/2, equal to the spin as it should be. This is also the average of the angular velocities over all directions in the current configuration.

# 3. The Currently Adopted Analysis for Finite Deformation

The back-stress  $\underline{\alpha}$  which prescribes the position of the center of the yield surface in stress space provides the anisotropy in the yield function needed to incorporate such effects as the Bauschinger effect. Since the source of this property is embedded in the material, the tensor  $\underline{\alpha}$  is considered to rotate with the material so that the conventional Jaumann derivative (rate of change of  $\underline{\alpha}$  components based on axes spinning with the material) has commonly been used in the evolution equation for  $\underline{\alpha}$ . Thus changes in  $\underline{\alpha}$ 

due to material spin are eliminated leaving only changes associated with the history of plastic strain. This ensures spin-invariance or objectivity under superimposed rigid-body rotation. A commonly used evolution relation for  $\chi$  is that given by Mróz, Shrivastava and Dubey [2] for combined isotropic-kinematic hardening, modified for finite-deformation applications, as already mentioned, by introducing the Jaumann derivative

$$\overset{\vee}{\alpha} = C_1(\overline{\epsilon}^{\mathbf{p}}) (\underline{s} - \underline{\alpha}) \tag{3.1}$$

where s is the stress deviator,

$$\overline{\varepsilon}^{\mathbf{p}} = \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{2D_{ij}^{\mathbf{p}} D_{ij}^{\mathbf{p}}/3} \, \mathrm{dt}$$
(3.2)

is the generalized plastic strain invariant and the superscript o signifies the Jaumann derivative. Because of normality for the plastic strain rate

$$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{z}}^{\mathbf{P}} \sim (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{\alpha}) \tag{3.3}$$

and thus (3.1) can be expressed in the form

$$\overset{\circ}{\alpha} = C_2(\overline{\epsilon}^P, \text{ strain history}) D^P$$
(3.4)

This is more convenient for the discussion in this paper since the deformation is prescribed. Moreover the aspect of the analysis which we wish to discuss can be adequately studied on the basis of rigid-plastic theory since the elastic strains are negligible compared with the large plastic strains. Hence we can replace  $p^p$  in (3.4) by p. For simplicity of explanation, we shall limit our consideration to kinematic hardening although the approach applies equally well to combined kinematic-isotropic hardening. with

In order to examine how  $\alpha$  changes /respect to the axes  $(x_1, x_2)$  fixed in space, we need the material derivative of the shift tensor,  $\dot{\alpha}$ , and this is deducible from the expression for the Jaumann derivative

$$\ddot{\alpha} = \dot{\alpha} - \underbrace{\mathbb{W}}_{\alpha} + \underbrace{\mathbb{W}}_{\alpha} \qquad (3.5)$$

Using (3.4) this gives

$$\alpha = C_{2} D^{p} + W_{\alpha} - \alpha W$$
(3.6)

The last two terms express the contribution to the change in  $\underline{\alpha}$  due to the spin  $\underline{W}$ , which in the case of simple shear has the constant value given in (2.4). The corresponding angular velocity in the  $(x_1, x_2)$  plane is k/2, so that the angle turned through by  $\underline{\alpha}$  due to  $\underline{W}$  in a time increment  $\Delta t$  is  $k\Delta t/2$ , and this must be combined with the contribution of the first term on the right-hand side of (3.6). For rigid-plastic theory of simple shear the latter term adds increments to  $\underline{\alpha}$  which have the same principal directions as the deformation rate  $\underline{D}$ , corresponding to  $\theta = \pi/4$  and  $3\pi/4$ .

The continuing rotation of  $\chi$  in the  $(x_1, x_2)$  plane is in marked contrast with the rotation of lines of material points in the body for which the total rotation varies with the initial orientation but can never exceed  $\pi$ . Since the hardening mechanisms are embedded in the material it seems, on physical grounds, implausible that the macroscopic hardening parameter  $\chi$  could continue to rotate through an unlimited angle while no elements of the material do. Moreover the oscillations in stress obtained by using the current theory appear to be associated with the continuing rotation of  $\chi$ . The period of the stress oscillations with respect to the shear strain  $\gamma = kt$  is approximately equal to  $2\pi$ . With this strain increment, the rotation (k/2)t will change by  $\pi$ . But a tensor rotated by  $\pi$  about a principal eigenvector,  $x_3$  in the present case, will be unchanged. Thus this simple assessment is in conformity with the calculated stress variation. The assessment is approximate since it does not take into account the strain rate term in (3.6).

The spin  $\underbrace{W}$  is the average of the angular velocities exhibited by all material lines passing through a point. Spin would thus seem to be an appropriate variable to include in a formulation for isotropic hardening for which no specific directions have special influence. But kinematic hardening is an anisotropic phenomenon for which specific material directions play a significant role. Moreover the micromechanisms which generate anisotropic hardening, such as pile-up of dislocations against grain boundaries or inclusions, are associated with specific directions embedded in the material and the rotation of these particular directions or an average over such active directions should play a special role in formulating the macroscopic constitutive relation. A law based on this concept is suggested in the following section.

# 4. A Modified Constitutive Relation

In view of the discussion in the previous section, it is necessary to determine a direction embedded in the material which characterizes the anisotropy induced by previous plastic flow. As a simple example which may be appropriate for simple shear, we select the direction associated with the maximum eigenvalue of the shift tensor  $\alpha$ . Justification for this choice is discussed later in this section. In expressing the influence of current plastic flow on the evolution of  $\alpha$  we must eliminate the change in  $\alpha$  caused by the rotation of micromechanisms responsible for the current hardening. Thus we define a modified Jaumann type derivative which eliminates the effect of rotation of the material elements lined up in the direction of the eigenvector of  $\alpha$  associated with the maximum eigenvalue. This rotation defines a spin  $W^*$  corresponding to the angular velocity given by (2.5) with the appropriate value of  $\theta$ . We retain the influence of current plastic flow

due to Mróz et al. [2] and obtain the evolution equation analogous to (3,4)

$$\overset{*}{\sim} = C_2 \overset{\text{p}}{\overset{\text{p}}{\phantom{\text{p}}}}$$
(4.1)

where the superscript \* denotes the modified Jaumann derivative associated with the spin  $\underline{W}^*$ 

$$\overset{*}{\alpha} = \dot{\alpha} - \underline{W}^{*} \underline{\alpha} + \underline{\alpha} \underline{W}^{*}$$
(4.2)

Thus  $\dot{\alpha}$ , the material time derivative of  $\alpha$  with respect to fixed axes  $(x_1, x_2)$ , is given by

$$\dot{\alpha} = C_2 \overset{\text{p}}{\sim} D^{\text{p}} + \overset{\text{w}}{\sim} \overset{\text{a}}{\sim} \overset{\text{w}}{\sim} \overset{\text{w}}{\sim} \overset{\text{w}}{\sim} \overset{\text{(4.3)}}$$

When shearing commences the eigenvector of  $\underline{\alpha}$  under consideration first grows in the direction  $\theta = \pi/4$  but the motion of the material continually tends to reduce this angle due to the rotation effect of the last two terms in (4.3). As the angle  $\theta$  decreases the spin term  $\underline{W}^*$  decreases according to (2.5) but  $\theta$  will remain positive. It is to be expected that such behavior will eliminate the oscillations in the shear traction obtained by using the evolution equation (3.6) based on the conventional Jaumann derivative.

Note that the new evolution equation (4.1) is objective under rigidbody rotation since the spin  $\underset{\sim}{W^*}$  is determined from the tensor  $\underset{\sim}{g}$  without reference to the axes adopted and is additive with respect to superposed rigid body spin.\*

In selecting the effective direction of action of the anisotropic hardening mechanisms which are embedded in the material, one must bear in mind that we are concerned with polycrystalline materials so that no specific global slip planes exist as are determined by the lattice structure in the case of single crystals. The direction must thus be an effective average over the response of the individual crystallites. Thus simple shear is not likely to occur only by slip over planes adjacent to the  $x_1$ -axis as depicted

see the Appendix.

8

in Fig.1, since the complementary shear stress will be acting parallel to the  $x_2$ -axis, and this will cause shear strain in some crystallites, which, combined with rotation, will contribute to global simple shear. Thus in selecting the evolution law (4.1) we envisaged shears associated with both planes yielding an effective anisotropic contribution. This is in conformity with the evolution equation due to Mróz et al. [2]. These contributions were then summed, allowing for rotation of the earlier contributions, by integrating (4.3) to yield  $\alpha$  which determines the resultant anisotropic hardening.

Because of the lack of influence of hydrostatic pressure on plastic flow, the yield condition is a stress deviator relation and thus g is a stress deviator so that, in the case of simple shear with no deformation in the  $x_3$ direction, the  $D_{33}$  component is zero and hence the two nonzero eigenvalues of g have the same absolute magnitude. We chose the tensile strain-rate orientation to express the effective anisotropic hardening mechanism direction. The associated line-up of material elements in the tensile direction rotate more slowly (initial angular velocity k/2 and decreasing) than in the compressive strain-rate direction (initial angular velocity k/2 and increasing) and hence the former will yield a greater corresponding eigenvalue of the resulting tensor g and so comprise the dominant back-stress direction. The elements lined up in the compression direction will rotate towards the orientation which has the angular velocity k, and adding increments with more rapidly varying orientation will inhibit the increase in the associated eigenvalue.

We suggest that the recipe for the spin  $\overset{w}{\sim}$  can be extended to general three-dimensional deformation by taking  $\overset{w}{\sim}$  to be determined by the eigenvector associated with the maximum absolute value of the eigenvalues of  $\alpha$ . The spin is determined by the rotation of the line of material elements instantaneously

coinciding with that eigenvector. Rotation around this direction could be determined by the motion of material points on the eigenvector corresponding to the next largest eigenvalue. This selection of specific eigenvectors could lead to nonsmooth response analogous to the Tresca yield condition, but this could perhaps be avoided by taking an average over possible hardening mechanisms which would result in a smoother response.

This approach to anisotropic hardening exhibits the property, necessary for application, that measurement of the yield surface (assumed to be consistent with combined isotropic-kinematic hardening) supplies the information needed to formulate the constitutive relation for the analysis of subsequent deformation. The shift tensor g and the square of the radius of the yield surface given by the trace of the tensor product

$$(\underline{s} - \underline{\alpha}) : (\underline{s} - \underline{\alpha}) = \frac{2}{3} \sigma_0^2$$
(4.4)

comprise all that is needed concerning the previous history of plastic deformation.

## 5. Stress Analysis

The theory for combined isotropic-kinematic hardening for infinitesimal deformation presented by Mróz et al. [2] is adapted for finite deformation applications by using the appropriate Jaumann type derivative of stress just as the modified Jaumann derivative was developed for use in the evolution equation for the back-stress q.

Since we are investigating a large deformation problem, rigid-plastic theory is applied in order to obtain a relatively simple analysis although an elastic-plastic solution is also presented for comparison with the rigidplastic case. Since we are considering a problem involving homogeneous

deformation, plastic flow is occurring throughout the body, and in this case stress analysis on the basis of rigid-plastic theory is not restricted by the existence of extensive rigid regions as commonly arises in metal-forming problems [3]. The velocity boundary conditions for simple shear (Fig.1) prescribe an incompressible motion and since the rigid-plastic constitutive relation is incompressible, the stress can only be determined to within an arbitrary hydrostatic pressure. Thus stress deviators are evaluated.

The yield condition for combined kinematic-isotropic hardening is given by (4.4) with

$$\sigma_{o} = \sigma_{o}(\overline{\epsilon}^{p}) \tag{5.1}$$

where  $\overline{\epsilon}^{p}$  is the generalized plastic strain invariant (3.2) and  $\alpha$  is the backstress given by the evolution equation (4.1).

The tensor  $\underline{\alpha}$  and hence the yield surface will in general be rotating so that care must be exercised in expressing the stress rate to be used in the equation for the strain rate associated with strain hardening. The rotation of  $\alpha$  is determined by integration of the evolution equation (4.3). The corresponding spin,  $W^{\alpha}$ , of the orthogonal eigen-vector triad differs from  $W^{*}$  because of the  $D^{p}$  term in (4.3). The Jaumann stress rate associated with the angular velocity  $W^{\alpha}$  gives the rate of increase of stress when the change due to this spin has been subtracted off. If this Jaumann stress rate were zero, then due to spin there would be no change in stress relative to the-yield surface. Thus this modified Jaumann derivative of the stress is the quantity which expresses the strain-hardening rate. Thus utilizing the normality requirement for the plastic strain rate (3.3) and the component of the stress rate in that direction

$$\left[\left(\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}\right)/\left|\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}\right|\right]:\overset{\alpha}{\underline{s}}=\left[\left(\underline{s}-\underline{\alpha}\right)/\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sigma_{0}\right]:\overset{\alpha}{\underline{s}}$$
(5.2)

using (4.4) and writing  $\overset{\alpha}{s}$  for the new modified Jaumann rate associated with spin  $W^{\alpha}$ , the plastic strain rate is given by

$$\mathbb{D}(=\mathbb{D}^{p}) = \frac{3}{2h\sigma_{p}^{2}} (\underline{s} - \underline{\alpha}) [(\underline{s} - \underline{\alpha}) : \underline{s}] \qquad (5.3)$$

where h is a strain hardening modulus. This is Eq.(11) of [2] suitably modified for finite deformation. Note that the trace of the tensor product is expressed by

$$(\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{s}} - \underset{\sim}{\alpha}) : \underset{\sim}{\overset{\alpha}{\mathbf{s}}} = (\underset{\mathbf{s}}{\mathbf{s}} - \alpha_{\mathbf{ij}}) : \underset{\mathbf{j}}{\overset{\alpha}{\mathbf{s}}} = (\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{s}} - \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}) : \underset{\sim}{\overset{\alpha}{\mathbf{s}}}$$
(5.4)

where the last form is the scalar product of the tensors expressed as vectors in nine-dimensional stress space. Note that the operator L in (5.3)  $(D_{ij}^{p} = L_{ijkl} \stackrel{\alpha}{s}_{kl})$  embodies the symmetries in (i,j), (k,l) and (ij,kl).

In the usual finite-element computer-code procedure for elastic-plastic. analysis, the equivalent of (5.3) with  $\underline{D}$  containing elastic terms in stress rate, would be solved for  $\overset{\alpha}{g}$  and the evolution equation (4.1) for  $\overset{*}{\alpha}$ . Thus  $\underline{s}$ and  $\underline{\alpha}$  can be updated to proceed with the next step. However, in the present case  $\overset{\alpha}{\underline{s}}$  only appears in the scalar quantity in square brackets in (5.3), so that these equations are severely underdetermined for evaluating  $\overset{\alpha}{\underline{s}}$ . But since  $(\underline{s} - \underline{\alpha})$  satisfies (4.4) with (5.1) and (3.2),  $\underline{D} = \underline{D}^{\underline{D}}$  with (3.3) [also implied by (5.3)] permits  $(\underline{s} - \underline{\alpha})$  to be updated and combining this with the evolution equation (4.1) for  $\overset{\alpha}{\underline{\alpha}}$  permits  $\underline{s}$  and  $\underline{\alpha}$  to be updated. This procedure was carried out for the simple shear problem.

An elastic-plastic solution was also computed with the MARC program by modifying the spin term in the Jaumann derivative from W to  $W^*$ , and replacing the Jaumann derivative  $\overset{\alpha}{s}$  by  $\overset{*}{s}$  since the spin of material elements instantaneously coincident with the eigen-vectors of  $\alpha$  is likely to be close to the spin of the eigenvectors themselves.

# 6. Comparison of Solutions

A solution was evaluated using the rigid-plastic model with the initial tensile yield stress Y = 207 MPa(30 ksi) and linear tensile work hardening with modulus

310 MPa(45 ksi). These values are appropriate to model an aluminum alloy. The constant strain-hardening modulus in tension implies that  $C_2$  is a constant in (4.1).

Figure 3 shows the variation of the component of the spin associated with the material motion related to the back-stress tensor g. For the currently accepted approach this remains constant at (-k/2). When the rotation of a locus of material particles which carry the back-stress determines the evolution of g as suggested in this paper, the magnitude of the spin commences at k/2 and decreases rapidly. Strain steps of  $\Delta Y = 0.1$  were used in the calculation in view of the range of strain up to Y = 10 and, without iteration, two steps were completed before deviation from the initial value (-k/2) was predicted. In view of the stress results it did not seem necessary to refine the strain increment size to improve the spin  $W^*$ . Figure 4 shows the inclination to the  $x_1$ -axis of the controlling eigenvector of g. On reaching -90°, the plotting routine jumps automatically to +90°. The continuing rotation associated with spin W is in clear contrast to the limited rotation towards the  $x_1$ -axis with  $W^*$ ,

Figure 5 shows the deduced shear stress versus shear strain curves. The use of the conventional Jaumann derivative gives the oscillations presented in [1]. The modified theory is seen to yield a curve which agrees closely with the currently utilized approach up to a shear strain  $_{\rm V}$  of unity, but thereafter the stress increases monotonically with a continuously decreasing modulus. The straight line relation shown is deduced from the tensile behavior on the basis of isotropic hardening with Mises yield condition.

Figure 6 shows the variation of the stress-deviator component in the direction of the  $x_1$ -axis. Appreciable deviation of the two solutions occurs earlier than for shear stress, at a strain of about 0.5. Otherwise the contrast between the two solutions is similar to the shear results. However, isotropic hardening predicts no normal stress deviators  $s_{11}$  and  $s_{22}$ .

Figures 7 and 8 show the stress-shear strain variations deduced using the MARC elastic-plastic code suitably modified, as already mentioned, assuming a Young's modulus of 6.90 x  $10^4$  mPa (10 x  $10^6$  psi) and a Poisson's ratio of 0.3. Because of the strain steps of magnitude 0.1, the initial elastic response is not accurately predicted and for the longitudinal deviator component an initial slight instability occurs which quickly dies down. Because the elastic-plastic constitutive equation permits dilatation, stresses (not simply stress deviators) can be predicted. Since plastic flow is considered to be incompressible, and the velocity boundary conditions are consistent with the total deformation being incompressible, and moreover since the plastic flow is homogeneous, the elastic dilatation should be zero and hence also the average hydrostatic tension. The direct stresses in the shearing plane  $\sigma_{11}$  and  $\sigma_{22}$  were found to be almost equal in magnitude to within 0.1% but opposite in sign which is consistent with a zero hydrostatic stress value and zero  $\sigma_{22}$ .

All solutions are presented on the same plots in Figs. 9 and 10. Closer agreement between the rigid-plastic and elastic-plastic solutions is obtained with the new theory. This is to be expected since the much smoother behavior will yield greater numerical accuracy and a discrepancy due to the inclusion of elasticity is likely to be negligible at such large strains. Thus the results are compatible with the loss of numerical accuracy associated with strain steps of magnitude  $\Delta \gamma = 0.1$ , with perhaps some minor effect of replacing  $\frac{\alpha}{2}$  by  $\frac{1}{2}$ in the elastic plastic calculation. The close agreement of the elastic-plastic finite-element solution may seem surprising in view of the severe element distortion at shear strains  $\gamma = 10$ . However, it must be borne in mind that the velocity variation is linear which can be modeled exactly by the finite elements even when distorted.

7. Discussion

In addition to the two kinematic hardening stress-strain curves depicted in Fig.5, a linear relation is also shown corresponding to isotropic hardening

according to the Mises yield condition and the linear work-hardening tensile relation. The latter is also the basis for the other curves. Kinematic hardening, according to the theory presented in this paper, initially gives the same shear stress and hardening modulus but the latter decreases monotonically with increasing strain so that at large strains the kinematic hardening curve is well below the isotropic hardening curve. The reason for the falling off of the tangent modulus at large strains in shear is that, due to the material rotation, the principal back-stress direction approaches the  $x_1$ -axis, which would correspond to maximum stress for tensile strain in that direction. The shear-stress component in that direction is therefore not so enhanced. This predicted softening tendency in shear compared with isotropic hardening could have significant implications in instability and localization phenomena. As already mentioned, isotropic hardening produces no normal stress  $s_{11}$  and  $s_{22}$ .

Although oscillations have been observed in the shear stress in torsion experiments, they appear to be unrelated to the oscillations predicted by the application of the conventional Jaumann derivative in the kinematic-hardening analysis. Robbins, Wagenaar, Shepard and Sherby [4] encountered oscillations when loading at high strain rates. Although the strain scale was omitted from Fig.12 of that paper, Professor Sherby assures us that the period was much less than 6. Moreover the measurements made at two values of strain rate indicate that the oscillation was associated with mechanical vibration caused by rapid loading. At lower strain rate the period in terms of strain was reduced, roughly in proportion to the strain rate, indicating a fixed period in time rather than strain. Aernoudt and Sevillano [5] observed instability in the torque which they ascribed to adiabatic heating.

Comparison of the analyses with the conventional and the modified Jaumann derivatives indicates that up to strains near 0.5 the difference in the solutions is small. For strains near 2 the difference reaches about 40% and grows rapidly with increasing strain.

When deformation commences in a virgin isotropic material, the shift tensor is 0 and according to (4.1) grows initially in proportion to the deformation rate D, hence its principal directions coincide with those of D. Since the shear-rate components in these directions are zero, these directions rotate according to the spin tensor W which is thus equal to the spin rate of these material elements  $W^*$ . Thus initially the evolution equations (3.4) and (4.1) coincide and will approximate each other until the deformations become appreciable. Thus, as was pointed out in the previous paragraph in connection with the results shown in Figs.5 and 6 for the simple shear case, the formulation in current use can approximate the approach suggested in this paper for moderate finite strains. One might therefore delineate two categories of finite strain termed moderate finite strain and large finite strain. The approach in current use would be adequate in most cases of generalized plastic strain below, say, 0.5 with the new analysis in general needed for larger strains.

It seems to us that the difficulty considered in this paper arises because of an over-simplified interpretation of the significance of the term "spin." A glance at Fig.2 indicates the marked effect of deformation on the angular velocity of lines of material elements in a body. In the case of simple shear this leads to the, on the face of it, surprising result that a constant spin for all time leaves a material line which does not rotate. In the case of anisotropic hardening this variation in rotation of material elements can have a major influence on macroscopic stress distributions.

We have suggested a generally applicable formulation of anisotropic hardening theory, but have only considered a simple example and have chosen a simple hypothesis for the macroscopic influence of the micromechanisms which generate anisotropic hardening. Clearly a thorough study of this

aspect of the theory is called for. This requires an analysis of the micromechanics of polycrystalline material which may involve continuum mechanics type investigations of the interaction between the crystallites. As an example, the backstress may be considered as due to pile-up of dislocations at crystallite boundaries or alternatively as residual stresses generated in the structure of anisotropic crystallite components. With large deformation the changes in crystallite stress and configuration, or alternatively speaking, of the orientation of micromechanisms, can greatly influence the plastic anisotropy. Such investigations to assess the validity of the macroscopic law suggested in this paper are needed, since anisotropic hardening theory incorporates more intricate details of the physical phenomenon than does the simpler isotropic theory.

While the hardening modulus selected is appropriate for an aluminum alloy at moderate strains, hardening would tend to saturate at the large strains considered and so the effect of hardening is no doubt exaggerated in the evaluations presented. Moreover, since kinematic hardening only was assumed, rather than combined kinematic-isotropic hardening, the anisotropic effects are emphasized. These characteristics were not only selected for simplicity of presentation and evaluation, but also to contrast clearly the influence of anisotropic hardening.

As a final comment, the study of localization of plastic flow and the consequent generation of shear bands involves large shear strains so that analysis of the type discussed in this paper will be needed for materials exhibiting anisotropic hardening. Moreover, as mentioned earlier, even with linear strain hardening in tension, the convex upward stress-strain relationship in shear, evident in Fig.5, will increase the tendency for instabilities to be generated.

# Acknowledgement

We wish to thank Dr. J.C. Nagtegaal of MARC Analysis Research Corporation for helpful discussion while this work was being formulated.

## REFERENCES

- J.C. Nagtegaal and J.E. de Jong, "Some Aspects of Nonisotropic Workhardening in Finite Deformation Plasticity," to appear in Proceedings of the Workshop: Plasticity at Finite Deformation, Division of Applied Mechanics, Stanford University, Stanford, California, 1981.
- Z. Mróz, H.P. Shrivastava and R.N. Dubey, "A Nonlinear Hardening Model and its Application to Cyclic Loading," Acta Mechanica, <u>25</u>, 51-56, 1976.
- E.H. Lee, R.L. Mallett and R.M. McMeeking, "Stress and Deformation Analysis of Metal-Forming Processes," Numerical Modelling of Manufacturing Processes, ASME Symposium PVP-PB-025, eds. R.F. Jones, Jr., H. Armen and J.T. Fong, 1977.
- J.L. Robbins, H. Wagenaar, O.C. Shepard and O.D. Sherby, "Torsion Testing as a Means of Assessing Ductility at High Temperatures," J. of Materials, 2, 2, 271-299, ASTM, 1977.
- 5. E. Aernoudt and J.G. Sevillano, "Influence of the Mode of Deformation on the Hardening of Ferritic and Pearlitic Carbon Steels at Large Strains," J. of Iron and Steel Inst., 718-725, October 1973.

# Appendix:Objectivity

Since Jaumann type derivatives based on several spins are considered in this development, it is perhaps worthwhile to write down explicitly the justification for the objectivity of the analysis. This involves investigating the superposition on a solution of a time-dependent rigid-body rotation expressed by the proper orthogonal matrix Q(t).

For the deformation gradient  $F = \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j}$ , where  $x_i$  are the deformed coordinates and  $x_i$  the undeformed reference ones, rotation Q(t) of the deformed configuration gives the transformation

$$F + Q F$$
(a.1)

Thus the derivative of F at fixed undeformed coordinate (therefore a material or convected derivative) obeys the transformation

$$\dot{\mathbf{F}} + \dot{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{Q}\dot{\mathbf{F}} \qquad (a.2)$$

Thus  $\mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^{-1}$ , the velocity gradient in the deformed configuration gives

$$\mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(a.3)

Writing the symmetric part, the rate of deformation,  $D = (\dot{F} F^{-1})_{S}$ and the anti-symmetric part, the spin,  $W = (\dot{F} F^{-1})_{A}$  yields

 $D \rightarrow Q D Q^{T} \qquad (a.4)$ 

$$w + \dot{Q} Q^{T} + Q W Q^{T} \qquad (a.5)$$

The latter transformation expresses the obvious interpretation of adding the spin,  $\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T \\ \end{array}$ , associated with the rotation Q(t) to the original

spin W transformed by the superposed rotation at that time, Q(t). A transformation of this form clearly applies to the spin of any direction embedded in the material such as a locus of material points. The appropriate spin must of course be substituted for W.

The Jaumann derivative of, for example, the shift tensor or back stress  $\alpha$ , based on any of these spins, which we will term  $\Omega$  then takes the form

$$\alpha - \Omega \alpha + \alpha \Omega$$
 (a.6)

Under superposed rotation Q(t) the stress type tensor  $\alpha$  transforms as

$$\alpha + Q \alpha Q^{\mathrm{T}} \qquad (a.7)$$

Transformation of the type (a.5) for  $\Omega$  combined with (a.7) for  $\alpha$  gives

$$\dot{\alpha} - \Omega \alpha + \alpha \Omega + \dot{Q} \alpha Q^{T} + Q \dot{\alpha} Q^{T} + Q \alpha \dot{Q}^{T}$$

$$- (\dot{Q} Q^{T} + Q \Omega Q^{T}) Q \alpha Q^{T} \qquad (a.8)$$

$$+ Q \alpha Q^{T} (\dot{Q} Q^{T} + Q \Omega Q^{T})$$

Since  $\dot{Q} Q^{T}$  is skew-symmetric

$$Q \alpha Q^{T} \dot{Q} Q^{T} = - Q \alpha Q^{T} Q \dot{Q}^{T} \qquad (a.9)$$

the right hand side of (a.8) becomes

$$Q(\alpha - \Omega \alpha + \alpha \Omega)Q^{T}$$
 (a.10)

Thus the Jaumann derivative is objective for the various spins used:

W,  $W^*$  or  $W^{\alpha}$ . This analysis is given for illustration. The properties of  $\tilde{a}$  in fact follow from the evolution equation (4.1) and the flow law (5.3).

It is perhaps worth observing that simple shear involves no volume change so that Cauchy stress and Kirchhoff stress are identical and the consideration

concerning the distinction between the use of these in finite-deformation plasticity theory does not arise. The constitutive equation for more general deformation briefly referred to could be taken to be in the context of rigid-plastic theory which is incompressible and exhibits the same simplification. The more general case will be addressed in a later paper.





Fig. 2. Deformation rate and spin components of the velocity field.

•









and the second secon





Fig. 7. Non-dimensional shear stress versus shear strain from elastic-plastic model.

3

÷

Shear Stress 012 /Y



Fig. 8 Non-dimensional longitudinal stress  $\sigma_{11}$  versus shear strain from the elastic-plastic model.



Shear stress 012/Y

m

Fig. 9. Non-dimensional shear stress versus shear strain.

ţ.



Stress all /Y

REP	ORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM				
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER				
χ.	AT A11353	25				
. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE				
Stress Analys: Finite-Deform	is for kinematic Hardening in ation Plasticity	Final Report 7/1 - 12/1/				
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER SUDAM 81-11				
E. H. Lee, R.	L. Mallett and T. B. Wertheimer	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER() N00014-81-K-0660				
PERFORMING ORGA	NIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK				
Division of A	pplied Mechanics	NR 064-664/4-23-81 (474)				
Stanford Unive	ersity	AR 004-004/4-23-01 (4/4)				
Stanford, Ca.	94305					
1. CONTROLLING OFF	FICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE				
SUU N Uniaco	al research,	December 1301				
Arlington Vi	roinia 22217	AGES				
4. MONITORING AGEN	CY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)				
		unclassified				
		154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING				
6. DISTRIBUTION STA approved for 1 7. DISTRIBUTION STA	TEMENT (of the Report) public release; distribution unlimit	ted				
6. DISTRIBUTION STA approved for 1 7. DISTRIBUTION STA	NTEMENT (of this Report) public release; distribution unlimit TEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different fr	ted				
6. DISTRIBUTION STA approved for ( 7. DISTRIBUTION STA 8. SUPPLEMENTARY (	NTEMENT (of this Report) public release; distribution unlimit NTEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different fr NOTES	ted				
DISTRIBUTION STA approved for ( 7. DISTRIBUTION STA B. SUPPLEMENTARY ( 9. KEY WORDS (Continue)	NTEMENT (of this Report) public release; distribution unlimit NTEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different fr NOTES	ted				
<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STA approved for 1</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STA</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY 1</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue Plasticity, feardening, searching)</li> </ul>	NTEMENT (of this Report) public release; distribution unlimit NTEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, if different fr NOTES us on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number inite deformation, anisotropic hard stress analysis, metal forming, cons	v) ening, kinematic stitutive relations.				
<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STA approved for 1</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STA</li> <li>B. SUPPLEMENTARY 1</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continn Plasticity, f hardening, 8</li> </ul>	NTEMENT (of inte Report) public release; distribution unlimit NTEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, if different fr NOTES me on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black number Finite deformation, anisotropic hard stress analysis, metal forming, cons	<pre>schedule ted von Report) ening, kinematic titutive relations.</pre>				
<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STA approved for 1</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STA</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STA</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY 1</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY 1</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY 1</li> <li>AUSTRACT (Continue &gt; Kinemating, S</li> </ul>	NTEMENT (of this Report) public release; distribution unlimit ATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, if different for NOTES	schebule ted () ening, kinematic titutive relations. () pic component of strain har- eld surface in stress space. () is at finite deformation () mann derivatives of a and () ted result that oscillatory () reasing simple shear strain.				
<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STA approved for p</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STA</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STA</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY p</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY p</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY p</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue &gt; Kinemati dening by a s</li> <li>The currently accounts for the stress. shear stress</li> </ul>	NTEMENT (of this Report) public release; distribution unlimit ATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, if different for NOTES	") ening, kinematic titutive relations. pic component of strain har- id surface in stress space. is at finite deformation mann derivatives of a and ted result that oscillatory easing simple shear strain. continued				

# SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

Simple shear strain growing at constant rate  $\tilde{\gamma} = k$  yields a spin in the plane of shearing having the constant magnitude k/2. The effect of this on the evolution equation for the shift tensor a causes the latter to rotate continuously. In contrast, the kinematics of simple shear prescribe that no material directions rotate by more than  $\pi$ radians. These two features seem inconsistant since the shift tensor has its origin embedded in the material, for example as rows of dislocations piled up against grain boundaries.

inima - alo

l, a

By defining a modified Jaumann derivative based on the angular velocity of directions embedded in the body which characterize the effective resultant orientation of the micro-mechanisms responsible for the anisotropic hardening, a method of stress analysis is implemented which eliminates the inconsistency and yields a monotonically increasing shear stress.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

Part 1 - Government

• ...

offics of Serei Seneral Department of the Sere Arlington, Finglois 22217 Atms Code 574 (2) Code 573 Code 370

. . .

....

.

Birnster Billes of bevel to Branch Office 646 Summe Street Boolet, themesture aa 42714

Himstor Office of Borni Researc Breach Office 336 South Clark Servet Chicage, 1214010 60005

Mirector Office of Sural Assessed Bur fort Area Office 713 Breakwy - 3th Floor New York, Bur fork 10803

Director Office of Seral Research Breach Office 1030 East Group Street Passiem, California 91300

Veral Ansearch Laboratory (6) Gade 2617 Machington, D.G. 20375

Salaman Decumentation Contar (13) Constan Station Almondris, Virginis 22314

Herry.

Undervas Explorien Samarch Division Reval Rip Lessarch and Buvelopment Conter Harfolk Saval Rhipperd Partaments, Virgisia 21709 Attu: Dr. R. Palace, Cade 177

## ATTE (Can's.)

Matervilet Aromai MAGB Roomen Contor Matervilet, New York L1199 Attar Director of Roomerti

3.8. Appy Hotorials and Mothad Research Contor Motorians, Researchments 03172 Atta: Dr. L. Shan, 52308-7

1.5. Any Mostle Assertt and Development Contor Redeters Scientific Information Contor

Conter Chief, Semment Section Independ Artenal, Alabama 3300

May Assess and Development Canter Fort Belvets, Virginia 12000

#### 

Lational Antennetics and Spect Administration Serunters Research Division Langier Remarch Contor Langier Station Bangton, Pirginis 23365

Hetional Aeronautics and Space Administration Aeronal Aeronautics for Adv Recoords and recolor for Adv Recoords and recoloring Wratington, 2.C. 20340

#### Mr. Perso

Vright-Fottorma Air Porce Boos Dayton, Obio 50:33 Acces affin, (PD (FBE) (FBE) -FBE) ANU, (AUN)

# 474 (891 716 (1ab) 784674-619

. . . - -

Bent (Con't.) gane, J.C. 20173 Code Sale 

\_ .

U. Taylor Bural Shi Buralopungt Concer Jile, Heryland 21465 12

Vergens Cand Lake, Calife Code 4062 4320 of min\_ 13395

L CLULL Baston n Lab LUI. -- Calife

ring, He 4 20934

-----

inici Diretor al Atom System Const Diego, Galifornia 92132

sviast of Shishmildian 7 Tom, Virginia 2368

Havy Sudarvator Sound Bafaratas Division Noval Bossarth Laborato P.O. Den 8337 Orlando, Florida 32000

474:#715:Lab 784674-019

#### ALC FOTOS (Con't.)

Chief Applied Hestamics Group S.S. Air Ports Lastitute of To Wigbe-Fattoron Air Ports Bat Boyum, Chie +3433

Chief, Civil Engineering bran M.D., Reserve Hivision Ale Porte Unpress Laboratory Elstions Air Porte Boss Albuquerym, Bor Basiss 6/117

Lie Poros Gélico et Scientific Delliog Air Poros Bacs Delliog Air Poros Bacs Mitos Hochanics Division

Separtment of the Air Perce Air Gebrerstry Library Remell Air Perce Base Montgemeny, Alabuma 16112

#### ARTIVILLA

Connectant Chief, Tooting and Bave S.S. Canot Guard Lieb & Street, IN. Vachington, P.C. 19226

Technical Director Herine Corps Broclemann and Education Communi Question, Tirginis 12134

Miruster Defense Lancol and Dejianoring Tachatcal Library Rece 32120 The Pestagen Mastington, B-C. 20301

Serr (Can't.) Chief of Jorni Operation Department of the Invy Machington, D.C. 20330 Attac Code 67-010

. ----

agis Systems Proje taxat of the Berry Spice, B.C. 28176

ALF Dyntome Comm Remark of the Revy Ington, D.C. 20361 Gado 3322 (Accord 684 (Tanks 3280 (Derm

most Center stunde 18974 ALT Direlog Stor, Tumi Anthopass Gada 686 

T.S. Haral Analas Inglassing Sapat Anarpolis, Haryle

Pecificies In Invali Sepunt Miria, Virgiai Cois 03 (Ins redate 21112 048 845 14114 (T

erel See Systems Comm spartness of the Berry mekington, 8.C. 2004 Ill J12 J22 J23 C31 J23 C31 J23

# Herry (Cos'L.)

. ....

manior and Distantion to U. Topics Reval Sh issearch and Developer Sanda, Haryland 2004 Re Code 043

674 : 187 736 : Lab 78x576-619

\_\_\_\_

# 041 17 172 173 174 1000 1044 612.2 1500 1991 1945 1945 1948

vadartetar Systems ( 1. Bodo Island 628 Sy. L. Systems

Barni Sarlana Magona Ca Bahigem Laberatory Sahigem, Virginia 2244 MURI Gola Ch 420

Dominical Disertor New Island Devel Shipper Reliajo, Californio 94392

S.S. Barel Passa 113 Cada 8384

Nobb Energizace of Howai Arabits Actor Librarian Grangant Saugh Load, Gios Gran Long Taland, Sau Torn 11542

Arrest

Commending Officer (2) 8-5. Army Bennarch Office P-0. Ben 12211 Bennaruh Triangle Park, MC 27709 Attm: Mr. J. J. Hurray, CHB-ak-....

# 474 199 1 716 1 Lab

HINGSON and Other To Collaboration

Introntition.

Dr. J. Timing then Pairoraity of Temme at Amotim 343 Engineering Science Duild Amotim, Tanan 78712

Professor Julias Wikkewitz California Lastitute of Te Division of Degistering and Arpliad Delence Process, California 9100 a. California 91109

Dr. Untrid Lickerts, Dean School of Deginsoring and Applied Deismon George Undriggen Delversion Undriggen, D.C. 20032

Professor LL Starubarg California Institute of Tex Revision of Engineering and Applied Sciences Pendens, California 91109

Freferent Faul H. Regnii Batrornity of California Department of Restantial May Botheley, California 94720

Professor A. J. Durolli Sobiest Gelversity School of Deglessing Detestor, Missori 4003

Professor P. L. Billaggia Golambia Valversity Descriment of Civil Regian New York, New York 10827

Professor Bornes Josep The Daiversity of Liverpeak Depertment of Rechastes, in P. G. Bes 147 Brenslew Bill Liverpes Lat ME Regland

Professor 6. J. Madroph Penneylvenia State Delver Applied Reserve Laborator Depertment of Protes Midde Colleges. Penneylveni

Copy available to Died does it.

Assistante (Gent's) PART 2 - Co

1.50 Saleson Propintion matal Assessed Division es, D.C. 2010

Library of Congruss Subsum and Toutmoin Machington, 3-C- 303

Miruster Defense Mutiesr Aponer Menhingten, B.C. 20203 Atta: \$750

NF. Jorens Forst Peafs Specialist for He and Structores 4001045, The Peaksen Hem 301047 Nonbington, S.G. 20306 HP. Jos

Alterna and in

73-120 Office of Flight Stands Paleral Aviation Agency Memiagues, 8-6, 20353

Bothesal Annuary of Spinos Bothesal Annuary of Spinos Ship Ball Boscorth Commit Jidi Constitution Aroma Monkappen, S.C. 2018 Adda: Mr. & B. Lytin

Perional Science Formation Englandering Nothemics Employ Division of Englandering Vachington, S.C. (0330

Picatimp Arosai Piastics Toubacai Deluation Contor Afts: Tochaicai Istovacion Soction Dever, New Jackey 07801

Maritima Administration Office of Maritima Tennelogy 14th and Constitution Archive, 10. Heshington, 9-C. 20130

permit fully legible reproduction

٠

. .

Quef, 73-110

Universities (Con't.)

Professor J. Elesant Polytalhuke Institute of New Tork Deperment of Anthenical and Anthenical State Stratign, New York 11381

Professor 2. J. Schapery Same Aim Pairworkty Department of Civil Englassring Gallege Station, Tames 77843

endessor Walter B. Pilhof afternity of Virginia security for Virginia Englavoring Sciences of Appliet Sciences harietterville, Virginia 22001 •

Professor 2. D. Willast Claybou College of Teshanic Repairment of Heshanical Ma Potoim, New York 13676

pr. Valtor 2. Maiolot Taxas déli Valversity Anguspaco Taginering Papert Callege Station, Tuman 77843

Dr. Busenin &. Estel Delvereity of Ariann Department of Accompany Hechanical Engineering Decome, Arianan 63721

Dr. S. J. Pennes Carungismalish University Department of Civil Tegissoring Schemicy Parts Pittoburgh, Penneyivenin 15213

Dr. Reacid L. Reston Department of Englassering Analysis Delyserity of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio 63221

## TELVETILLES (Con't)

Dr. Samuel B. Louisef Daiwereity of Californ School of Engineering and replice Science Los Aspeler, Seliferni .....

Professor (seet Priod Sector Gaiversty Department of Wetherstics Instre. Hocaschurette 02213

Freisser E. Ermpi Enseelaar Polytochsie Leotituie Division of Engineering Engineering Weekmeide Troy, New York 12181

Dr. Jack R. Finnes Theoremics of Delumits Department of Hackmarkal and Astropous Department of Hackmarkal Departments and the Gaster for Composite Haterials Dearth, Delumits 19711

20. J. Duffy Searn Gaiverstry Division of Englanofing Providence, Number Loland 02913

Dr. J. L. Budiou Carregio-Malles Seiveroity Separatures of Heckenical Definiering Piccoburge, Penneyloodia 15213

77. F. E. Varadas Chie Stote Dejversity Gasearch Permistion Department of Engineering Hechanids Glamber, Chie +3210

37. 1. Mashis Pairoroity of Passylvanis Department of Reisilurgy and Neterials Science Callege of Caglasering and Applied Science Philadolphis, Pensylvanis 1910e

----

# 474 ; 10 ; 738 ; Lab 784 : 10 ; 738 ; Alb

Baiversiting (Con't)

Professor G. C. H. Lib Lobigh Subversity Lestitute of Prosture and Solid Hothesics Sothlayer, Fenneyivanis 18815

olosser Albert D. Kobsyanhi iversity of Vashington permunt of Nachasiasi Engle attle, Vashington 98383 week a -

Freference Basial Presentish Visginia Polytochnic Exclinete and State University Department of Englanering Hechanics Elasheberg, Visginia 2001 -

Professor A. C. Bringes Primestes Delversky Deservent of Astropess and Hestestes, Science Frinzestes, Ser Jersey 0544

Professor L. L. Los Stanford University Siviates of England Stanford, California 19 18 94.30

Professor Albert I. King Boyes State Delversity Discontanico Research Ger Detroit, Michigan 44205

Dr. Y. 2. Sodgars Hype State University School of Hodician Jotroit, Michigan 48203

Bang J. A. Doloy Berthusstore Galveroity Department of Civil Redi Evances, Illinois 40201

Intrastition (Con's)

.

afesser P. G. Beige, Jr. inverty of Mignesets perturat of Jestspeet Inglessing and Vestmite Apequite, Mignesets 15635 -

Dr. D. C. Drucker Tabrocolty of Lilianda Dass of Engineerist Written, Lilianda SISSE

Mannor B. H. Bonnack Prototty of Ellipsic pergent of Ciril Ingin Name, Ellipsic 61863

Protessor L. Anisant Representy of Galifornia, San Diago Reparates of Septist Hechanian La Julia, California 92037

denter William &. Soch brureity of increasingth perspect of Hadamics and increases ingineering beyon, Hanachuretta 41842

factor 6. Barrison afort Valoresily arrant of Applied Main afort, Gildertin 94305 Profe

Professor J. B. Asbenbezh Berthman Teisestary Department of Civil Ingian Breaston, Illianis 60201

Professor 5. 5. Bong Balvorbity of California Department of Mechanics Les Aspaise, California 90824

Professor bart Poni Bainstaity of Pensopiumais Banga School of Civil and Backmissi Bagianstan Philadalphia, Pensopiumais 19104

# 474189171812eb 784474-618

2

Intrastites (Cos's)

Professor U. C. Lis Syramos Baiwaralay Transe Baivereity perturnet of Chemical In and Hotallorgy Transfe, New York 13210

Professor 3. Andaor Tanhaish Báb Poundetion Baife, Toroni

Professor Warmer Goldmith Baircroity of California Depresent of Machanical Regim Springer, California 94720

Professor E. S. Mirlin Lubigh Testoureity Cantor for the Application of Inthematics Sociation 10013

Professor F. A. Gesarvili State Destructivy of Day Toth et Defeise Derivices of Lotantinipilany Stati Fare Forber Engineering Building Charactery Red Definio, Bay Toth 18216

Professor Jacoph L. Loss Drunal Daiversity Department of Decimical Regiment and Hochenics Philasophia, Fomoylumais 19104

Professor S. E. Densiders Referently of Insyland Ascessors Teglesering Departs College Park, Maryland 20742

Professor Joseph A. Clark Catholic Baiversity of Americ Deportment of Mochanical Day Muchington, J.G. 20040

676:39:716:Lab 78x676-019

Universities (Cos't)

Dr. Jackson C. S. Tang Delverskip of Maryland Department of Nothemissi Tang College Fort, Maryland 20742

trainser 7. 7. Chang Deleverally of Abern Department of Civil Agian Abres, Oble 40323

Freinser Charles V. Bert Battersty of Oklahman School of Arrospess, Machin and Ducloof Engineering Forman, Chidman 73619 deal .

Frefector Sotys B. Atlart Georgia Institute of Technology School of Englassering and Nechonics Atlanca, Garryis 30332

Frefessor Graham 7. Corey University of Tanas at Austin Pepermant of Antospace Degissoring and Ingineering Machimics Ametin, Tanas 70712

Dr. 5. 5. Verg University of Illiania Department of Therretical and Applied Reshales Vrbane, Illiania 01801

Industry and Research Lockitutes

Dr. Hormen Bobbe Kanna Artifype Anna Avilyse Mana Avilyse Stvision of Lanan Stiences Cotyoration Durlington, Massachusetts Gidd3

Argenne Mational Laboratory Library Services Separament 9700 Sough Gass Avenue Argenne, Illinois Adec0

Industry and Research Institutes (Con't) Industry and Benearch Lastitutes (Con't)

Dr. H. C. Jungof Cambridge Accustical Accorticles 54 Bindge Accuse Extension Cambridge, Recombinents 02140

Br. T. Godian General Dynamics Corporation Electric Boot Division Byston, Connection: 06340

Dr. J. L. Groundson J. G. Englanoring Rossarah 2011 Houle Grive Baltimore, Heryland 21215 rth Assurtates

Dr. V. F. Breich Hadronall Douglas Corporation

474:07:716:146 784976-619

Dr. Bobert E. Sudhan Pacifics Technology 2.0. Jon 148 Bai Her, California 92014

Dr. N. F. Esnachen Bactallo Columbus Laboratorius 505 Eing Avenus Columbus, Ohio 43281

Dr. A. A. Rachtein Beadalean Assotiatos, Int. Springiska Recordt Read 15110 frederick Roat Maabian, Naryland 21797

Dr. James V. Jones Buanton Service Corporation P.O. Des 5415 Buntington Booth, California 92646

Dr. Robort E. Hickoll Applied Science and Technology 3366 April Terroy Pines Court Buite 120 La Jalia, California 92037

Gr. Borta Thomas Mestinghouse Electric Carp. Advanced Enseters Division P. G. Box 138 Santand, Pomosylvonia 13063

.

p mit fully legible reproduction

٩

Berpert News Shipbuilding and New Back Company

Library Resport News, Virginia 13607

5361 Bolas Avenue Buntington South, California 92867

97. E. V. Abranson Southwest Lesserth Institute 8909 Galabre Sont Son Antonio, Texas 78204

Dr. S. C. Dottert Southwest Report Institute Stoff Calebra Rood Say Antonia, Texas 70284

Dr. H. L. Baron Mudiunger Asomitaten 110 East Stin Street Dew Tork, New Tork 10072

Dr. T. L. Goors Lothbood Missiles and Space Company 3231 Resurve Street Pale Aite, California 40306

Ar. William Corrected Applied Thysics Laboratory Johns Repairs Loberatory Johns Repairs Roof Bridge dogs notheret, VeryLand 19810

