







A BAYES RULE FOR SELECTING THE LARGEST COMPONENT By

Khursheed Alam*

N127

Technical Report #365 July 16, 1981

Clemson University

APR 5

*This work was supported by the U. S. Office of Naval Research under

•----

۰ ـ ـ

Contract N00014-75-C -0451.

Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited

A BAYES RULE FOR SELECTING THE LARGEST COMPONENT

Khursheed Alam*

Clemson University

ABSTRACT THE TA SUBSERPT XLet $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ be a random vector whose distribution depends on an unknown vector parameter $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$. The marginal distribution of X_i depends on θ_i only, $i = 1, \dots, k$. This paper deals with the problem of selecting the largest component of $\underline{\theta}$ and the analogous problem of selecting a subset of the components of $\underline{\theta}$ which includes the largest component. We consider the selection problem in a general decision theoretic framework and derive Bayes rules for selecting the largest component. The Bayes rules are shown to have certain optimal properties. The ordinary selection rules are shown to be Bayes rules, with respect to a special loss function. \Box

THEAT CHEST PT FART

Accession For	
NTIS GRAAI	
DIIC I/S	
Unstractioned 🛛 🗍	
Juntification.	
Bv	
Distribution/	
Aveil will' Codes	6
1	·
01.1t (1.1.1.1)	
$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$	

DIIC

COPY

This work was supported by the U.S. Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-75-C.

- A -

1 1

<u>1. Introduction</u>. Let $\underline{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_k)$ be a random vector whose distribution depends on an unknown vector parameter $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k)$. The marginal distribution of X_i depends on θ_i only, for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$. We consider the problem of selecting the largest component of $\underline{\theta}$ given \underline{x} , an observed value of \underline{x} .

There are two formulations of the selection problem which have been generally considered in the literature. In one the goal is to select the largest component with a "high" probability. In the other the goal is to select a subset of the k components which includes the largest component with a high probability and includes any of the remaining components with a "low" probability. In the second case, the selection would be correct if the largest component is included in the selected subset.

In the standard formulation of the selection problem a minimum probability is pre-assigned, equal to P*, say, such that the probability of a correct selection (PCS) should be at least as large as P*. This is called the P*condition. To meet the P*-condition it needs to find a "least favorable" configuration (lfc) of the parameter space for which the PCS is minimized. The lfc is found easily in some special cases which have been considered in the literature for the underlying distribution of \underline{X} . In other cases the minimization of the PCS is not so straightforward. Consider, for example, the case where \underline{X} is distributed according to a multivariate normal distribution with mean $\underline{0}$ and covariance matrix Σ ; where Σ is known. A simple rule can be given for selecting the largest component of $\underline{9}$ in the special case when the components of \underline{X} have a common variance and are equi-correlated (see Gibbons, Olkin and Sobel (1977), § 15.2.1). It is not simple to find an optimal selection rule when Σ is defined more generally. The difficulty arises even in the case where the components of \underline{X} are uncorrelated but they have unequal variances.

1

-1-

For this case various rules have been proposed in the literature for selecting a subset which includes the largest component of $\underline{\Theta}$. Berger and Gupta (1980) have examined these rules and compared them, applying certain criterion of optimality.

In this paper we consider the selection problem in a Bayesian framework. The Bayes formulation involves the specification of a loss function and the assumption of a prior distribution for the parameter $\underline{\Theta}$. Given the loss function and the prior distribution of $\underline{\Theta}$, it is fairly easy to find an optimal selection rule. The optimal rule is called a Bayes rule. The Bayes solution does not involve the minimization problem of finding the least favorable configuration. Therefore, at least from the point of view of mathematical simplicity, a Bayes solution of the selection problem should be more attractive than the standard method, discussed above.

In the following section we give a decision theoretic formulation of the selection problem and derive the Bayes solution for a general loss function. We illustrate our result with an example from the multivariate normal distribution.

Berger and Gupta (1980) have considered a monotonicity property for an optimal selection rule. A rule is said to be just if it has that property. In Section 3 we show that our Bayes rules are just if certain conditions with regard to the distribution of X and the loss function are met.

-11

<u>2. Bayes selection rules</u>. We formulate the selection problem in a decision theoretic framework, as follows: A rule for selecting the largest component of $\underline{\Theta}$ (selecting a subset of the k components, which includes the largest component) is given by a vector $\phi(\underline{x}) = (\phi_1(\underline{x}), \dots, \phi_k(\underline{x}))$, where $\phi_i(\underline{x})$ denotes the probability that the ith component is selected (included in the selected subset) when \underline{x} is the observed value of \underline{X} . For the problem of selecting the largest component we have

(2.1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \phi_i(\underline{x}) = 1 , \forall \underline{x} .$$

First, consider the subset selection problem. We call it Problem I. Let $L_i(\underline{\theta})$ denote. The loss incurred due to including the ith component in the selected subset, and let $L_i^*(\underline{\theta})$ denote the loss due to cluding the ith component from the selected subset. The total loss due to selecting a subset (δ) is given by

$$(2.2) \qquad L(\delta,\underline{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_{i}L_{i}(\underline{\theta}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (1-\delta_{i})L_{i}^{*}(\underline{\theta})$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_{i}(L_{i}(\underline{\theta}) - L_{i}^{*}(\underline{\theta})) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{i}^{*}(\underline{\theta})$$

where $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k)$ and $\delta_i = 1(0)$ if the ith component is included in (excluded from) the selected subset. We assume that

$$(2.3) \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{k} (L_{j}(\underline{\theta}) - L_{j}^{\star}(\underline{\theta})) \leq 0 \quad , \quad \forall \quad \underline{\theta} \quad .$$

π

The above inequality implies that the loss due to including all the components in the selected subset is \leq the loss due to excluding all the components from

-3-

the selected subset. Therefore, we include at least one component in the selected subset.

Next, consider the problem of selecting the largest component. We call it Problem II. Using the same generic notation for the loss function as in Problem I, we let $L_i(\underline{\Theta})$ denote the loss due to selecting the ith component as the largest component, and let $L_i^*(\underline{\Theta})$ be the loss due to not selecting the ith component. The total loss due to selecting a component (δ) is given by (2.2), where now $\delta_i = 1(0)$ if the ith component is selected (not selected) for the largest component.

Consider a special case of the loss function, given above. Let

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ (2.4) \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} L_{i}(\underline{\theta}) = \\ \\ \\ L_{i}^{*}(\underline{\theta}) = c(1-L_{i}(\underline{\theta})) \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}$$

1

A MANA

where c is a positive number and $\theta_{[k]} = \max(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$. We let $c \ge k-1$ for Problem I and c = 1 for Problem II. In Problem I the value of c measures the loss due to excluding the largest component from the selected subset, relative to the loss due to including a wrong component in the selected subset. The inequality (2.3) holds since $c \ge k-1$:

The risk, that is, the expected loss due to a selection rule $\phi = \phi(\underline{x})$ is given by

(2.5)
$$R_{\phi}(\underline{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (L_{i}(\underline{\theta}) - L_{i}^{*}(\underline{\theta})) \in \phi_{i}(\underline{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{i}^{*}(\underline{\theta})$$

In Problem I the risk for the loss function given by (2.4), is equal to the sum of c(1-PCS) and the expected number of wrong components included in the

-4-

selected subset. In Problem II the risk for the same loss function is equal to (1+c)(1-PCS).

Let $P_{\underline{\theta}}$ denote the conditional distribution of \underline{X} given $\underline{\theta}$. We suppose that the distribution has a denisty $p_{\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x})$ with respect to a σ -finite measure μ on \mathbb{R}^k . For the Bayes formulation of the selection problem we assume that $\underline{\theta}$ is distributed a' priori according to a probability distribution G, say. The optimal selection rule is a functional ϕ which minimizes the average of the risk function with respect to the given prior distribution of $\underline{\theta}$, given by

$$\mathsf{R}_{\phi} = \int \mathsf{R}_{\phi}(\underline{\theta}) \ \mathsf{dG}(\underline{\theta}).$$

Let

$$(2.6) \qquad \mathsf{M}_{i}(\underline{x}) = \int (\mathsf{L}_{i}(\underline{\theta}) - \mathsf{L}_{i}^{*}(\underline{\theta})) \mathsf{p}_{\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x}) \mathsf{dG}(\underline{\theta})$$

(2.7)
$$M(\underline{x}) = \min (M_{\underline{1}}(\underline{x}), \dots, M_{\underline{k}}(\underline{x}))$$
.

By virtue of (2.5) a Bayes rule for Problem I is given by

(2.8)
$$\phi_{i}(\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } M_{i}(\underline{x}) \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The Bayes rule for Problem II is given by

(2.9)
$$\phi_{i}(\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } M_{i}(\underline{x}) = M(\underline{x}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

1 U

If $M_{i}(\underline{x}) = M(\underline{x})$ for several values of i, we select the smallest among the tied values of i for the largest component. We note that the Bayes rules (2.8) and (2.9) are both non-randomized selection rules.

We illustrate our results by the following example.

<u>Example</u>. Let \underline{X} be distributed according to a multivariate normal distribution $N(\underline{\theta}, \Sigma)$, where the covariance Σ is a diagonal matrix, the ith element on the diagonal being denoted by σ_i^2 . Let the loss function be given by (2.4) and let $\underline{\theta}$ be distributed a priori according to $N(\underline{0}, \tau^2 I)$, where I denotes an identity matrix. Let $\phi(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ denote the standard normal density and cdf, respectively, and let

$$\lambda_{i}^{2} = \tau^{2} / (\tau^{2} + \sigma_{i}^{2})$$

By direct computation we get

(2.10)
$$M_{i}(\underline{x}) = p(\underline{x}) [1-(1+c) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{k}{j=1} \phi \left(\frac{\lambda_{i}\sigma_{i}}{\lambda_{j}\sigma_{j}}u + \frac{\lambda_{i}^{2}x_{i}-\lambda_{j}^{2}x_{j}}{\lambda_{j}\sigma_{j}}\right)\phi(u)du]$$

where

$$p(\underline{x}) = \int p_{\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x}) \ dG(\underline{\theta})$$

= $(\prod_{i=1}^{k} (2\pi(\sigma_i^2 + \tau^2))^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2 / (\sigma_i^2 + \tau^2))$

denotes the marginal density of \underline{X} .

1

If we let $\tau \neq \infty$, so that the prior distribution of $\underline{\theta}$ tends to be non-informative, then

$$(2.11) \quad M_{i}(\underline{x}) = p(\underline{x}) \left[1 - (1+c) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{k}{j=1} \left(\frac{\sigma_{i}}{j\neq i}\right) + \frac{x_{i} - x_{j}}{\sigma_{j}} \phi(u) du\right]$$

Hence, the ith component is included in the selected subset if

(2.12)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{k}{j=1} (j\neq i) \phi \left(\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_j} u + \frac{x_i - x_j}{\sigma_j}\right) \phi (u) du \ge \frac{1}{1+c}$$

The above inequality holds for sufficiently large values of $(x_i - x_j)/\sigma_j$, $j \neq i$. In Problem II we select the rth component if the quantity on the left side of (2.12) is maximized for i=r. For k=2 this quantity is equal to

$$\Phi$$
 ((x_i-x_j) / ($\sigma_i^2 + \sigma_j^2$)¹).

Therefore, we select the component associated with the larger of the two values x_1 and x_2 .

Let $\sigma_1 = \dots = \sigma_k = \sigma$, say, giving $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_k = \lambda$, say. We have (2.13) $M_i(\underline{x}) = p^0(\underline{x}) [1-(1+c) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{k} (j \neq j) \Phi(u + \frac{\lambda}{\sigma} (x_j - x_j)) \phi(u) du]$

where $p^{0}(\underline{x})$ is obtained from $p(\underline{x})$ by substituting σ for σ_{i} , i = 1, ..., k. We find that $M_{i}(\underline{x}) \leq 0$ for $x_{i}-x_{j} \geq 0$,

j = 1, ..., i-1, i+1, ..., k. Therefore, we include the ith component in the selected subset if none of these differences is negatively large. Also, $M_i(\underline{x})$ is minimized for the value of i associated with the largest component of \underline{x} . Therefore, in Problem II we select the component associated with the largest value among $x_1, ..., x_k$. These are ordinary selection rules. We see them as Bayes rules.

<u>3. Just rules</u>. First we define a stochastically increasing property (SIP) of a class of multivariate distributions. A set $A \subseteq R^k$ is said to be monotone if, if $\underline{x} \in A$ and $\underline{y}_i \ge \underline{x}_i$, $i=1,\ldots,k$ then $\underline{y} \in A$. Let $\underline{P}_{\underline{\theta}}$ be a family of probability distributions on R^k indexed by a vector parameter $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k)$. Let $\Omega \subseteq R^k$ denote the parameter space. The family of distributions $\underline{P}_{\underline{\theta}}$ is said to have SIP with respect to $\underline{\theta}$ if , if $\underline{\theta} \in \Omega$, $\underline{\theta}' \in \Omega$ and $\underline{\theta}_i \le \underline{\theta}'_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$ then $\underline{P}_{\underline{\theta}}(A) \le \underline{P}_{\underline{\theta}}(A)$ for all monotone sets A. A characterization of the SIP, due to Lehmann (1955), is given as follows: A function $\psi(\underline{x})$ is said to be nondecreasing in \underline{x} if, if $x_{j} \leq x'_{j}$, i = 1, ..., k then $\psi(\underline{x}) \leq \psi(\underline{x}')$. A family of distributions $P_{\underline{\theta}}$ is said to have SIP with respect to $\underline{\theta}$ if and only if, if $\psi(\underline{x})$ is nondecreasing in \underline{x} then $f\psi(\underline{x}) d P_{\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x})$ is nondecreasing in $\underline{\theta}$.

Now we define a just rule. A selection rule ϕ is said to be just if $\phi_i(\underline{x})$ is nondecreasing in x_i and nonincreasing in x_j ($j \neq i$) for i, j = 1, ..., k. Theorem 1 below, shows that the Bayes rules given by (2.8) and (2.9) are just if the following assumptions are valid.

Assumption 1 - The posterior distribution of $\underline{\theta}$ given <u>x</u>, has SIP with respect to <u>x</u>.

Assumption 2 - The function $L_i(\underline{\theta}) - L_i^*(\underline{\theta})$ is nonincreasing in θ_i and nondecreasing in $\theta_i(j\neq i)$.

Assumption 3 - $M_i(\underline{x})$ is a continuous function of \underline{x} . Assumption 3 is valid if, for example, the loss functions $L_i(\underline{\theta})$ and $L_i^*(\underline{\theta})$ are bounded and $p_{\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x})$ is continuous in \underline{x} uniformly for $\underline{\theta} \in \Omega$.

<u>Theorem 1</u>. If assumptions 1 and 2 hold then the Bayes rule (2.8) is just. If moreover Assumption 3 holds then the Bayes rule (2.9) is just.

Proof: From the characterization of the SIP given above, and Assumptions 1 and 2, it follows that $M_i(\underline{x})$ as given by (2.6), is nonincreasing in x_i and nondecreasing in $x_j(j\neq i)$. Therefore, the function $\phi_i(\underline{x})$ as given by (2.8), is nondecreasing in x_i and nonincreasing in $x_j(j\neq i)$. Hence, the Bayes rule (2.8) is just if Assumptions 1 and 2 hold. If moreover, Assumption 3 holds then it follows from the continuity and monotonicity property of $M_i(\underline{x})$ that $\phi_i(\underline{x})$, given by (2.9), is nondecreasing in x_i and nonincreasing in $x_j(j\neq i)$. Hence, the Bayes rule (2.9) is just. \Box

- {\

In the application of Theorem 1 it would be interesting to find for a given family of distributions $P_{\underline{\theta}}$ which is stochastically increasing in $\underline{\theta}$, the family of prior distributions for which Assumption 1 holds. We have not investigated this problem to any length. We discuss below some cases in which an appropriate prior distribution can be found for which Assumption 1 holds.

Clearly, $P_{\underline{\vartheta}}(\underline{x})$ has SIP with respect to $\underline{\vartheta}$ if $\underline{\vartheta}$ is a location parameter of the conditional distribution. The posterior distribution of $\underline{\vartheta}$ with respect to a non-informative prior distribution G which is uniform on \mathbb{R}^k , has SIP with respect to \underline{x} . Similarly, $P_{\underline{\vartheta}}(\underline{x})$ has SIP with respect to $\underline{\vartheta}$ if $\underline{\vartheta}$ is a scale parameter (component-wise) of the conditional distribution. The posterior distribution of $\underline{\vartheta}$ with respect to the non-informative prior on \mathbb{R}^k with density function $g(\underline{\vartheta}) \propto (\vartheta_1 \dots \vartheta_k)$ has SIP with respect to \underline{x} .

The prior distributions for the location and scale parameters considered above, which lead to the posterior distribution with SIP are both improper distributions. We give now an example of a proper prior distribution for a location parameter. Let $P_{\underline{\theta}}$ denote the multivariate normal distribution $N(\underline{\theta}, \underline{z})$ and let $\underline{\theta}$ be distributed a priori according to $N(\underline{0}, \Omega)$. A' posteriori, $\underline{\theta}$ is distributed according to the normal distribution $N(A \underline{x}, (\underline{z}^{-1} + \Omega^{-1})^{-1})$, where

$$A = (\Sigma^{-1} + \Omega^{-1})^{-1} \Sigma^{-1}.$$

If the elements of A are non-negative then the posterior distribution of $\underline{9}$ has SIP with respect to \underline{x} . The elements of A are positive if the covariance matrices $\underline{z} = (\sigma_{ij})$ and $\underline{\alpha} = (\omega_{ij})$ are given by $\sigma_{ii} = 1$, $\sigma_{ij} = p$ ($i \neq j$), p < 0, $\omega_{ii} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ and $\omega_{ij} = 0$ ($i \neq j$). The matrix A is given by

$$A = (1+\lambda(1-\rho)^{-1})^{-1} (I - \frac{\lambda\rho}{1+\lambda+\lambda\rho(k-1)} J)$$

1

where I denotes the identity matrix and J denotes a matrix whose elements are each equal to 1.

-9-

References

- [1] Berger, R. L. and Gupta, S. S. (1980). Minimax subset selection with applications to unequal variances (unequal sample size) problems. <u>Scand. J. Statist.</u> 7: 21-26.
- [2] Gibbons, J. D., Olkin, I. and Sobel, M. (1977). <u>Selecting and Ordering</u> <u>Populations - A New Statistical Methodology</u>. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- [3] Lehmann, E. L. (1955). Ordered families of distributions. <u>Ann. Math.</u> <u>Statist</u>. (26) 399-419.

- -

REPORT	DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER		2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
N127		111 112 G	¥12
TITLE (and Subtitle)		<u><u><u><u> </u></u></u></u>	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
A RAYES RULE FOR	SELECTING THE LA	PGFST	1
COMPONENT.			[
			5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER TD 4965
T. AUTHOR(s)			I IN #JUJ I R. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(0)
And the second sec			
Khursheed Alam			N00014-75-C-0451
			A THE PROPERTY AND
PERFORMING ORGANIZA	TION NAME AND AUURESS		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Clemson Universit	Y 1 Coionaga		ND 365-049
Clemen South Ca	LICAL SCIEnces		NR 303-073
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE N	NAME AND ADORESS	. <u> </u>	12. REPORT DATE
Office of Naval F	Research		16 July 1981
Code 434			13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Arlington, Va. 2	22217		1
14. MONITORING AGENCY N	AME & ADDRESS(It ditteren	t from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
			Unclassified
			134. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
			SCHEUULE
T. DISTRIBUTION STATEME	NT (of the abstract entered	in Block 20, if different in	m Roport)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME	INT (of the ebstrect entered	in Block 20, if different in	m Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME	NT (of the ebstract entered	in Block 20, if different in	m Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME	NT (of the abstract entered	in Block 20, if different in	n Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME	INT (of the abstract entered	in Block 20, if different fre	a Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE: 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on i	NT (of the ebstract entered S	in Block 20, if different in d identify by block number	ж Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on the lanking and Selecti	NT (of the ebstract entered 3 reverse side if necessary en on, Least favoral	in Block 20, if different in d identify by block number ble configuratio	n, Bayes rules.
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on C lanking and Selecti	INT (of the abstract entered 5 reverse alde if necessary an On, Least favoral	in Block 20, if different fre d identify by block number) ble configuratio	n, Bayes rules.
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on C lanking and Selecti	INT (of the ebstract entered 3 reverse side if necessary an on, Least favoral	in Block 20, if different in differentity by block number; ble configuratio	n, Bayes rules.
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on c lanking and Selecti 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on c	INT (of the abstract entered s reverse elde if necessary an On, Least favoral	in Block 20, if different in d identify by block mumber) ble configuratio	n, Bayes rules.
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on a lanking and Selection) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on a Let X = (X₁, 	INT (of the abstract entered s reverse alde if necessary an on, Least favoral sveres alde if necessary and ,X1, be a random	in Block 20, if different fre d identify by block number) ble configuratio f identify by block number) vector whose di	n, Bayes rules.
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on the lanking and Selecting 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on the Let $\underline{X} = (X_1,, \theta)$	INT (of the ebstract entered s reverse elde if necessary an on, Least favoral everse elde if necessary and X_k) be a random $= (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$.	in Block 20, if different fre d identify by block number) ble configuratio r identify by block number) vector whose di The marginal dis	n, Bayes rules. stribution depends on a tribution of X _i depends
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on the lanking and Selecting 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on the Let $\underline{X} = (X_1,, Y_1,, Y_1,, Y_2,, Y_2, .$	INT (of the ebstract entered s reverse side if necessary an on, Least favoral (X_{1}) be a random $= (\Theta_{1}, \dots, \Theta_{k})$. are given for so	in Block 20, if different in d identify by block number; ble configuratio f identify by block number; vector whose di The marginal dis electing the lar	n, Bayes rules. stribution depends on a tribution of X, depends gest component of <u>A</u> and
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE: 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on Clanking and Selection lanking and Selection 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on Clanking and Selection Let $\underline{X} = (X_1,, Provide the text of the text of text of$	INT (of the ebstract entered s reverse side if necessary an on, Least favoral $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$. are given for so iset of the composi-	in Block 20, if different in d identify by block number) ble configuratio s identify by block number) vector whose di The marginal dis electing the lar nents of θ, inc	n, Bayes rules. stribution depends on a tribution of X, depends gest component of θ and luding the largest component
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE: 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on the lanking and Selection in the lanking and Selection in the lanking area of the lanki	NT (of the ebstract entered s reverse elde // necessary en on, Least favoral $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k)$. are given for so set of the component shown to have component shown	in Block 20, if different in d (dentify by block number) ble configuratio r (dentify by block number) vector whose di The marginal dis electing the lar nents of θ , inc ertain optimal p	<pre>m Report) n, Bayes rules. stribution depends on a tribution of X, depends gest component of 0 and luding the largest component roperties.</pre>
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on re- lanking and Selecting 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on re- Let $X = (X_1,, Y_1,, Y_2,, Y_2,,$	NT (of the abstract entered s reverse elde // necessary an on, Least favoral everse elde // necessary an on, Least favoral (θ ₁ ,,θ _k). are given for so iset of the component shown to have component	in Block 20, if different fre d (dentify by block mumber) ble configuratio f (dentify by block mumber) vector whose di The marginal dis electing the lar nents of θ , inc ertain optimal p	n, Bayes rules. stribution depends on a tribution of X. depends gest component ¹ of θ and luding the largest component roperties.
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on the lanking and Selecting 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on the Let $\underline{X} = (X_1,, Y_1,, Y_2,, Y_2, .$	S reverse side if necessary and overse side if necessary and on, Least favoral $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$. T i are given for so iset of the component shown to have component is an endown to have component is a component is a component is a component is	in Block 20, if different fre d identify by block number) ble configuratio rector whose di The marginal dis electing the lar nents of θ , inc ertain optimal p	n, Bayes rules. stribution depends on a tribution of X. depends gest component of $\underline{\theta}$ and luding the largest component roperties.
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE: 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on the lanking and Selection in the lanking and Selection in the lanking are supplied by the l	NT (of the ebstract entered s reverse elde // necessary en ion, Least favoral everse elde // necessary en everse eld	In Block 20, if different in M (dentify by block number) ble configuratio r (dentify by block number) vector whose di The marginal dis electing the lar nents of θ , inc ertain optimal p	<pre>m Report) n, Bayes rules. stribution depends on a tribution of X, depends gest component of 0 and luding the largest component roperties.</pre>
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE: 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on re- lanking and Selecting 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on re- Let $X = (X_1,, Y_2,, Y_2,$	INT (of the abstract entered reverse elde if necessary an ion, Least favoral $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k)$. i are given for so iset of the component is shown to have co ion of 1 nov 68 is obsol >102-014-6601	in Block 20, if different in d (dentify by block mumber) ble configuratio f (dentify by block mumber) vector whose di The marginal dis electing the lar nents of θ, inc ertain optimal p	n, Bayes rules. stribution depends on a tribution of X. depends gest component of θ and luding the largest component roperties.
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE: 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE: 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on re- Lanking and Selecting 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on re- Let $\underline{X} = (X_1,)$ 10. Bayes rules are 10. Solecting a sub 10. Solecting a Sub- 10. Solecting a Sub- Solecting a Sub- Solectin	INT (of the abstract entered reverse alde if necessary an ion, Least favoral $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$. $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$.	in Block 20, if different in d Identify by block number) ble configuratio r identify by block number) vector whose di The marginal dis electing the lar nents of θ , inc ertain optimal p	n, Bayes rules. stribution depends on a tribution of X, depends gest component of θ and luding the largest component roperties.
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE: 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on a anking and Selecti 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on a Let $\underline{X} = (X_1,$ ector parameter θ n θ_1 . Bayes rules or selecting a sub he given rules are 10 FORM 1473 EDITI S/N (NT (of the abstract entered reverse side if necessary and overce side if necessary and on, Least favoral (0, 1) be a random (0,, 0). 1 are given for so set of the compose shown to have compose (0, 0) the source of the comp	In Block 20, if different in in Block 20, if different in if identify by block number) ble configuratio f identify by block number) vector whose di The marginal dis electing the lar nents of θ , inc ertain optimal p .ETE UNC SECURITY CLA	n, Bayes rules. stribution depends on a tribution of X, depends gest component of θ and luding the largest component roperties.
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEME 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE: 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on r anking and Selecti 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on r Let $X = (X_1,$ ector parameter θ in θ_i . Bayes rules for Selecting a sub he given rules are 10 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITI S/N (INT (of the abstract entered reverse elde if necessary and ion, Least favoral $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k)$. i are given for si iset of the compoint is shown to have compoint in the provide is description $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k)$.	In Block 20, if different in d (dentify by block number) ble configuratio s (dentify by block number) vector whose di The marginal dis electing the lar nents of θ, inc ertain optimal p .ETE UNC sECURITY CLA	n, Bayes rules. stribution depends on a tribution of X, depends gest component of <u>0</u> and luding the largest component roperties.

. . . .

•

ĸ

