	AD-A1	10 614 SSIFIED	NAVAL EFFEC	POSTG T ON F 31 B L	RADUATE UEL EFF DOUGHE	SCHOOL ICIENCY RTY	MONTE OF PAR	REY CA Ameter	VARIATI	IONS IN	THE CO	F/G 21 5T FE NL	/5 TC(U)	C. M
I		-+ 2 A° A+.(2) A	3											
\geq	-													





NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California





THESIS

EFFECT ON FUEL EFFICIENCY OF PARAMETER VARIATIONS IN THE COST FUNCTION FOR MULTIVARIABLE CONTROL OF A TURBOFAN ENGINE

by

Barry Lawrence Dougherty

September 1981

Thesis Advisor:

FILE COPY

4

D.J. Collins

82 02 04 (20

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

KETUKI VULUMEN	TATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
	2. GOVT ACCESSION	10. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
• TITLE (and Submino) Effect on Fuel Efficie Variations in the Cost Multivariable Control	ency of Parameter Function for of a Turbofan	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVER Master's Thesis; September 1981
Engine		
7. AUTHORia	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
Barry Lawrence Dougner	τy	
. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AN	IO ADDRESS	18. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT. TAS
Naval Postgraduate Sch Monterey, California	93940	
I CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND AD	DRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Naval Postgraduate Sch Monterey, California	93940	September 1981
TE MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRE	SS(if different from Controlling Office) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
Approved for public re	elease; distributio	n unlimited.
Approved for public re	elease; distributio	n unlimited.
Approved for public re	elease; distributio	n unlimited.
Approved for public re 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abo 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 9. KEY TORDS (Continue on revoice olde // Multivariable Control	elease; distributio	n unlimited.
Approved for public re 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the elec- 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY TORDS (Continue on revorce olde // Multivariable Control Fl00 Turbofan Engine Jet Engine Control Jet Engine Fuel Effici	elease; distributio	n unlimited.
Approved for public re 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebo 9. KEY TORDS (Continue on retrice of the fill Multivariable Control Fl00 Turbofan Engine Jet Engine Control Jet Engine Fuel Efficient 9. AGSTRACT (Continue on retrice of the fill)	elease; distributio	n unlimited.
Approved for public re 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the de 8. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 9. KEY TORDS (Continue on reverse ofder if Multivariable Control Fl00 Turbofan Engine Jet Engine Control Jet Engine Fuel Effici 0. AGSTRACT (Continue on reverse ofder if - "In modern turbofan porated to improve some designs ignore this van the primary control ing	elease; distributio	n unlimited.
Approved for public re 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the dec 9. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. ALL VORDS (Continue on reverse side if Multivariable Control Fl00 Turbofan Engine Jet Engine Control Jet Engine Fuel Effici 9. ALSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if - In modern turbofan porated to improve some designs ignore this van the primary control inp This thesis invest trol the engine and, th transients. Additional	elease; distribution real entered in Block 30, 11 different necosery and identify by block man ency tency necosery and identify by block man engines, variable e off-design perfor riable geometry and put. tigates the use of hereby, reduce fuel lly, steady-state to	<pre>n unlimited. // Inter Report // Inter Rep</pre>

,

.

<u>}</u>

....

UNCLASSIFIED

BOCUMTY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE/The Role Balan

#20 - ABSTRACT - (CONTINUED)

"to reduce the static fuel consumption. The non-linear transient simulation program is used to analyze the steady-state operating condition and develop small perturbation control limitations. Linear models, both large and reduced order, are used in analyzing the effect of controllers on system response. A computer program was generated to reduce a large order linear model to a usable size for control system development.

This analysis shows the reduced-order regime dependent controllers to be viable and to favorably enhance the quest for reducing specific fuel consumption in existing engines.

2



DD Form 1473 Jan J S/N 0102-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAREThen Bate Salards

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Effect on Fuel Efficiency of Parameter Variations in the Cost Function for Multivariable Control of a Turbofan Engine

by

Barry Lawrence Dougherty Lieutenant, United States Navy B.S.A.E., United States Naval Academy, 1972

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN AERONAUTICAL ENGINEERING

from the NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL September 1981

Ru Author: Approved by: Thesis Advisor Second Reader illur = e.ter Chairman, Depar Aeronautics ment of

Engineering Science and Dean

勃

ABSTRACT

In modern turbofan engines, variable geometry has been incorporated to improve some off-design performance. Most control designs ignore this variable geometry and use fuel metering as the primary control input.

This thesis investigates the use of variable geometry to control the engine and, thereby, reduce fuel consumption due to transients. Additionally, steady-state trim conditions are altered to reduce the static fuel consumption. The nonlinear transient simulation program is used to analyze the steady-state operating condition and develop small perturbation control limitations. Linear models, both large and reduced order, are used in analyzing the effect of controllers on system response. A computer program was generated to reduce a large order linear model to a usable size for control system development.

This analysis shows the reduced-order regime dependent controllers to be viable and to favorably enhance the quest for reducing specific fuel consumption in existing engines.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODUCTION	11
II.	TUR	BOFAN ENGINE PERFORMANCE CONSIDERATIONS	14
	А.	FLIGHT REGIME FACTORS	14
	в.	TURFOFAN ENGINE PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS	17
	c.	ENGINE CONTROL DEVICES	19
	D.	ENGINE MODELING	21
	E.	CONTROL DEVICE EFFECTIVENESS	25
III.	CON	TROL DEVELOPMENT	34
	А.	LINEAR OPTIMAL CONTROL THEORY	34
	в.	CONTROL SYSTEM MODELS	36
	c.	REDUCTION OF LINEAR MODELS	41
	D.	REDUCED ORDER MODELS	45
	E.	VERIFICATION OF REDUCED ORDER MODELS	52
IV.	ANAI	LYSIS OF CONTROL SYSTEMS	55
	Α.	COST FUNCTION WEIGHING FACTORS	55
	в.	REDUCED ORDER SIMULATION ANALYSIS	6 6
	c.	FULL-ORDER SIMULATION ANALYSIS	68
v.	CONC	CLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	73
APPENI	DIX A	<i></i>	75
APPENI	DIX H	}	95
LIST (OF RE	EFERENCES	103
INITI	AL DI	ISTRIBUTION LIST	105

5

. .

<u>,</u> *

LIST OF TABLES

II-l	Engine States	24
II-2	Engine Inputs and Outputs	26
II-3	Input Perturbations	31
II-4	Summary of Derivatives	32
III-l	Operating Point Eigenvalues	46
III-2	Reduced Model Comparisons	47
III-3	Reduced Model Eigenvalues	49
III-4	Fifth Order Model	50
III-5	Third Order Model	51
IV-1	Case Definition	58
IV-2	Actuator Limitations	60

. .

LIST OF FIGURES

II-l	Flight Envelope Limitations	15
II-2	Flight Regimes	16
II-3	Engine Cutaway	18
II-4	Compressor Stage Flow	22
II-5	Change in SFC vs Control Inputs	28
II-6	Change in Thrust vs Control Inputs	29
II-7	Change in Turbine Inlet Temperature vs Control Inputs	30
III-l	Model Comparison in Frequency Domain	53
IV-1	SFC vs Control Inputs	62
IV-2	Thrust vs Control Inputs	63
IV-3	Fan Speed vs Control Inputs	64
IV-4	Compressor Speed vs Control Inputs	65
IV-5	Third Order vs Fifth Order Control Response	67
IV-6	Fifth Order vs Full Order Control Response	69
IV-7	Third Order vs Full Order Control Response	70
IV-8	Full Order Implementation	71

7

. .

TABLE OF SYMBOLS

SFC	Thrust specific fuel consumption
Ma	Total engine airflow
Mf	Main burner fuel flow
^U e'	Hot stream velocity
^U e''	Cold (fan) stream velocity
P _{0x}	Total pressure at station x
T _{0x}	Total temperature at station x
R	Universal gas constant
Fn	Net engine thrust
Aj	Nozzle jet ar e a
CIVV	Inlet variable guide vanes
RCVV	High compressor variable vanes
x	The state variable vector
Y	The output vector
U	The input vector
x \$	The \$ element of the X vector
у\$	The \$ element of the Y vector
u \$	The \$ element of the U vector
F	The plant matrix
Fr	The reduced-order plant matrix
G	The input gain matrix
Gr	The reduced-order input gain matrix
н	The output matrix
Hr	The reduced-order output matrix

8

- ----

• •••• •

4

.

D	The feed-forward matrix
Dr	The reduced-order feed-forward matrix
ĸ	The feedback gain matrix
R ₁	The state weighting matrix
R ₂	The input weighting matrix
P	The Riccati solution vector
т	The transpose matrix
Z	The redefined state vector
A	The output control matrix
A _y	The output weighting matrix
J	The cost function
B	The fan bypass ratio
n	The efficiency factor
Y	The ratio of specific heats

• •

4. 15

ас 1

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank all of the people, without the help of whom, this thesis could not have been completed.

I would especially like to thank Professor D. J. Collins, my thesis advisor, for his help and guidance; Mr. Neil Harvey of the W. R. Church Computer Center; and, most especially, my wife and daughter for their support and patience during my tenure at the Naval Postgraduate School.

I. INTRODUCTION

High performance, military aircraft are operationally tasked to conduct missions throughout their designed performance envelopes. To accomplish these missions, the aircraft must operate efficiently within its flight regime. The typical jet engine is designed for operation at a single specified altitude and Mach number combination, e.g., 30,000 feet at M = 0.9. This design point will give inherently good cruise performance and is particularly well-suited to commercial transport operations. This engine design method forces the user into accepting off-design performance in typical military applications. Engine performance needs to be optimized to efficiently conduct all assigned missions, e.g., air combat maneuvering, ground attack, supersonic interception and carrier operations. Adaptive digital control methods can be incorporated within the engine to accomplish this mission dependent optimization.

Modern control methods allow the designer to develop a control system to regulate every parameter of the parent system. He has been aided by computer simulations of nonlinear systems and linear approximations of those systems. The desired control system can be developed parallel to or ahead of the controlled system.

The state-of-the-art turbofan engine utilizes variable geometry, in such forms as variable incidence inlet guide

vanes, adjustable exhaust nozzle area and airflow bleed-off. Hydromechanical controls have been used in the past to improve some elements of engine performance through positioning these variable engine components. Electronic controls, such as were introduced in the Pratt & Whitney Fl00-PW-l00 turbofan engine, allow improved scheduling of the variable devices, again improving the engine performance. Studies have been done on the Fl00 engine to optimize its performance at a point other than its design point [1].

Originally, the onboard microprocessing capability of an aircraft was intended for weapon system improvement and integration. Further computing equipment was added as part of the engine control to supervise the hydromechanical control system and detect faults. The Fl00 engine introduced an electronic control which allowed use of digital control techniques incorporating the hydromechanical devices as implementors rather than controllers. Digital device improvements continue to expand the available computing power available, making flight control and engine control integration a distinct possibility. As more software space becomes available to the engine controller, regime adaptive control will be the accepted standard in military applications.

This thesis looks at using modern, multivariate control logic in designing flight-regime dependent control for a typical military turbofan engine, the Pratt & Whitney Fl00. Specifically, the attempt is made to improve thrust specific fuel consumption, i.e., fuel economy, in the high altitude

cruise environment. Such improvement in fuel economy is especially important in the conservation-conscious decades ahead.



II. TURBOFAN ENGINE PERFORMANCE CONSIDERATIONS

A. FLIGHT REGIME FACTORS

The ability of a military aircraft to perform an assigned mission is determined by its flight regime. Figure II-1 is a typical flight regime for a modern, high-performance military aircraft.

The confines of the flight regime are dictated by numerous aerodynamic and propulsive factors. A well designed aircraft will incorporate an engine having operating boundaries in excess of those of the airframe. This design technique allows for a factor of safety in actual operation as well as compensate for installation and equipment aging losses.

At the low speed, low altitude region of the envelope, engine performance is judged in the ability to produce sufficient thrust for take-off and landing and to provide rapid engine acceleration in the missed approach or balked landing. The extreme upper region of the flight envelope requires the engine to produce maximum thrust while not exceeding turbine temperature limitations.

Figure II-2 is a mission delineated flight regime for the supersonic tactical fighter aircraft. The desired mission performance from this chart must be interrelated with the aerodynamic and propulsion limitations of Figure II-1. An adaptive control system can be designed to schedule optimum performance of the airframe and engine throughout the flight



Figure II-1. Flight Envelope Limitations

÷.



Figure II-2. Flight Regimes

\$

-

regime. Northrup Corporation is involved with a U.S. Air Force project to implement an adaptive flight control system in an aircraft such as the F-16. The same type of approach can be applied to engine control and integrated into an aircraft control system to optimize the total package.

B. TURBOFAN ENGINE PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS

The primary factor with which to judge engine performance is the engine's ability to convert fuel to thrust efficiently. This measurement, thrust specific fuel consumption, SFC, is a function of the airflow through the engine, M_a , the bypass ratio, b, and the fan and core exhaust velocities, U_e'' and U_a' , also termed cold and hot stream velocities,

SFC =
$$\frac{M_{a}}{M_{f}} [(1+f)U_{e}' + \beta U_{e}'' - (1+\beta)V]$$
 (II-1)

where M_f is the fuel flow, f is the fuel-to-air ratio and V is the flight velocity. Figure II-3 shows the Fl00-PW-100 engine in cross section with reference labels.

The engine designer fixes the airflow and bypass ratio of the engine. The exhaust velocities are functions of the exhaust temperatures and pressures, which are controllable through fuel metering and variable geometry.

$$U_{e'} = \left\{ \frac{2\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{1}-1} R T_{06} \eta \left[1 - \left(\frac{p_{a}}{p_{06}}\right)^{\gamma_{1}-1/\gamma_{1}}\right] \right\}$$
(II-2)

$$U_{e}^{+} = \left(\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-1} R T_{08} \pi \left[1 - \left(\frac{p_{a}}{p_{08}}\right)^{\gamma-1/\gamma}\right]\right)^{1/2}$$
(II-3)



Figure II-3. Engine Cutaway

.

64. 181

where γ and γ_1 are the respective ratios of specific heats, T₀₆ and T₀₈ the respective exhaust total temperatures, n is the exhaust nozzle efficiency, and p_a/p_{06} and p_a/p_{08} the respective ratios of free stream ambient pressure to discharge total pressure.

The standard bypass fan will produce a fixed pressure ratio and exhaust temperature, producing an exhaust velocity in the cold stream in excess of the flight velocity. This increases the engine's thrust without altering the hot stream through the engine core (ignoring energy lost in driving the fan). The advanced, low-bypass fans that reintroduce the cold flow into the augmentor, as in the Fl00, increase the augmentor efficiency and during non-augmented operation, allow for increasing U_e '' through the use of the variable exhaust nozzle.

Studies show that Thrust Specific Fuel Consumption, SFC, can be decreased through increased turbine inlet temperature and compressor pressure ratio. Both of these variables are designed into the engine at the engine design point. Engine control hardware can be designed to maintain the turbine inlet temperature, FTIT, and pressure ratio, R_c , at the optimum or best achievable level during off-design operations.

C. ENGINE CONTROL DEVICES

The mechanical devices available for engine control are the hydromechanical fuel control, the variable exhaust nozzle and variable incidence inlet guide vanes or stators. The

first device has the effect of increasing the fuel-to-air ratio and temperatures in the turbine and exhaust sections of the engine. The combined effect of increasing f and U_e ' is increased thrust, but does not necessarily improve SFC due to the corresponding increase in the fuel flow. The variable area exhaust nozzle has been used for the last two decades in most high performance militæry aircraft. This device increases the hot section execut velocity, U_e ', thus increasing thrust and maintaining increase in pressure in the exhaust section of the engine.

The latest mechanical devices are the variable incidence blading concepts. The first use of these devices was to achieve near design mass flow rate through the compressor during the engine start cycle. These variable stators would be rotated to a starting configuration until the engine reached a given rotational speed and then be driven to a fixed normal operating position.

The full advantage of variable geometry blading comes in the optimizing of off-design performance. The single stage pressure ratio is found to be

$$\frac{P_{03}}{P_{01}} = 1 + n \frac{U_r^2}{RT_{01}} [1 - \frac{C_r}{U_r} (\tan b_2 + \tan a_1)] \qquad (II-4)$$

where n is the stage efficiency, U_r is the rotational velocity of the blading, R is the Universal Gas Constant and T_{01} the total temperature at the front face of the stage. At the

design condition, the factor $(\tan b_2 + \tan a_1)$ is minimized, thus providing the best pressure ratio. The angle b_2 is the relative flow direction upon leaving the rotor portion of the machine; a_1 is the angle at which the flow impinges the rotor and is a function of the stator angle (see Fig. II-4). Controlling the stator angle increases the stage pressure ratio in the early stages and increases the compressor (or fan) performance over a wide range of operations.

In the F100-PW-100 engine, four mechanical devices are used, fuel control, variable exhaust nozzle, inlet guide vanes with moveable trailing edge and moveable stators in the first three compressor stages. The exhaust nozzle maintains nozzle area, expansion ratio and boattail drag, simultaneously, near optimum. The inlet guide vanes are used ahead of the fan to improve inlet distortion tolerance, improve fan efficiency and enhance engine acceleration performance. The variable stators improve starting and high Mach number characteristics.

D. ENGINE MODELING

It is not economically practical to build an engine and then conduct experimentation to determine its performance. Modern technology, in both digital and hybrid computers, allows the design engineer to build a simulation model of the engine and predict the system response well in advance of hardware manufacture. Several generic computer simulations are in use, these allow non-specific engine performance analysis. Additionally, a computer model is generated for each new development engine by the engine manufacturer.







• •

Szuch, [2], provides a detailed description of current engine modeling techniques. The Pratt & Whitney F100-PW-100 engine has been modeled with both a real-time hybrid computer [3], and a digital transient simulation [4]. Both programs have distinct advantages and applications. Although the digital program is not real-time, it provides a large volume of data and is easily accessed and maintained. A copy of the F100-PW-100 Transient Engine Simulation Deck (CCD 1103.3.0) was obtained from the YF100 Special Project Office of the Aeronautical Systems Division of the U.S. Air Force at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. This program was modified to be compatible with the Naval Postgraduate School IBM 370/3033 computer system.

Designing a controller based on the non-linear digital simulation alone is extremely difficult, if not impossible. Miller and Hackney [5], developed high order linear approximations, at steady state operating points, from the nonlinear simulation program. The linear system was modeled in the classical state variable form

$$X = FX + GU$$
 (II-5)

$$Y = HX + DU \qquad (II-6)$$

The 16 system states, listed in Table II-1, were chosen to coincide with the states measured in the non-linear simulation. These states include 2 rotational speeds, 3 internal total

TABLE II-1

Engine States

xl		Fan speed, (N1), in RPM
x 2		Compressor speed, (N2), in RPM
x 3		Compressor discharge pressure, (Pt3), in psia
x4		Interturbine volume pressure, (Pt4.5), in psia
x 5	~-	Augmentor pressure, (Pt7m), in psia
x 6		Fan inside diameter discharge temperature, (Tt2.5h), in Rankine
x 7		Duct temperature, (Tt2.5c), in R
x 8	-	Compressor discharge temperature, (Tt3), in R
x 9	~-	Burner exit fast response temperature, (Tt4hi), in R
x 10		Burner exit slow response temperature, (Tt410), in R
xll		Burnerexit total temperature, (Tt4), in R
x12		Fan turbine inlet fast response temperature, (Tt4.5hi), in R
x1 3		Fan turbine inlet slow response temperature, (Tt4.510), in R
x 14		Fan turbine exit temperature, (Tt5), in R
x 15		Duct exit temperature, (Tt6c), in R
x16		Duct exit temperature, (Tt7m), in R

pressures and 11 total temperatures. The inputs to the system are the fuel flow, the 3 variable geometry elements previously discussed, and the customer bleed air percentage. The outputs include the net thrust, the engine total airflow, turbine inlet temperature and the stall margins. Additionally, two fan exit pressure ratios are determined for some of the operating points. The inputs and outputs are listed in Table II-2.

The technique used by Miller and Hackney perturbed each state, xi, slightly while holding the other states and inputs constant. This allows calculation of the deviations caused by that state in the other states and outputs. These deviations then form the F and H matrices of equations (II-5) and (II-6). Perturbing each input, ui, in the steady state configuration determine the G and D matrices. The sampling time was set at 7 milliseconds.

The linear models obtained are very good approximations of the non-linear system but are often too complex and/or do not contain the most convenient parameterization to be used in a practical design. A reduction of model order and, perhaps, augmentation of the linear model is generally required prior to using the model for control design.

E. CONTROL DEVICE EFFECTIVENESS

Before designing an engine control, one must determine the effect each input has on the system and the responsiveness of each input. The five control inputs listed in Table

TABLE II-2

Engine Inputs

ul	 Main burner fuel flow, lb/hr
u2	 Nozzle jet area, ft**2
u3	 Inlet guide vane position, deg
u4	 High compressor variable vane position, deg
u 5	 Customer bleed flow, %

Engine Outputs

yl -- Net thrust, lb

- y2 -- Total engine airflow, lb/sec
- y3 -- Turbine inlet temperature, R
- -- Fan stall margin y4
- -- Compressor stall margin y5
- y6 -- Fan exit delta p ratio (test data)
- y7 -- Fan exit delta p ratio (theory)

II-2 are used in controlling the Fl00 engine. The customer bleed air percentage, u5, is essentially constant in steady state operations and can therefore be ignored in the control development. A study could be made to determine the merit in extracting additional bleed air from the engine as a primary control, and dumping that portion not required by the customer systems.

The intent of this control development is to minimize the specific fuel consumption of the engine. At the same time, only small variations in turbine inlet temperature, y3, and total engine airflow, y2, are desirable and no change in thrust is allowable. One method might employ using exhaust nozzle area, u2, and fan inlet guide vanes, u3, inputs to minimize SFC at the trim condition and then compensate for thrust loss by using fuel to balance the equation.

Figure II-5 plots the change in specific fuel consumption due to incremental changes shown in Table II-3 in the inputs ul, u2, and u3; input u4, the compressor variable guide vanes, exhibit no influence on SFC. Proportional derivatives, i.e., slopes were determined by making a linear approximation to the curves. It is clear in the figure that nozzle area and inlet guide vane inputs (u2 and u3) have the most substantial effect on SFC.

Figure II-6 plots the net engine thrust, yl, versus the control input changes. Again u2 has the greatest effect and ul and u3 contribute about equally to thrust variations. It is also noted that a linear combination of ul and u3 can be





.

ļ









30

.

-

...

TABLE II-3

Input Perturbations

The following perturbations are used throughout this investigation. They represent the largest expected value, or physical limitation placed on each control input.

Fuel Flow, ul	± 200 lb/hr
Nozzle Area, u2	± 0.2 sq. ft.
Inlet Guide Vanes, u3	± 4 degree s
Compressor Vanes, u4	± 4 degrees
Bleed Air, u5	0.0 percent

used to offset the thrust change caused by a change in u2. This is important in meeting the zero net thrust change design requirement. Figure II-7 shows the effect the inputs have on turbine inlet temperature, y3. Again, u2 is the dominant control input. These figures clearly demonstrate the need for minimizing the exhaust pressure mismatch with ambient conditions.

Table II-4 is a summary of the proportional derivatives extracted from the preceding figures. Using these derivatives the following relationships are found.

$$d(SFC) = 2.27E-05 d(u1) + .0655d(u2) - .00092d(u3)$$
(II-7)

$$d(y1) = .9325 d(u1) - 1351.7d(u2)$$

+ 18.6275d(u3) (II-8)

TABLE II-4 Summary of Derivatives

Input	SFC	Thrust (y1)	FTIT (y3)
Fuel Flow, ul	2.27E-5	.9325	.12126
Nozzle Area, u2	.0655	-1351.7	283.085
Inlet Guide Vanes, u3	00092	18.6275	-2.1641

U4 was found to have no effect on the parameters of interest.

d(y3) = .12126d(u1) + 283.085d(u2) - 2.1641d(u3) (II-9)

If a decrease in u2 of -.2 square feet and an increase of +4 degrees in u3 are applied, a change of -.017 occurs in SFC. The fuel flow, u1, is used to balance the thrust equation. To accomplish this the fuel is reduced by 370 pounds per hour, further decreasing specific fuel consumption. The total effect of these three inputs at the trim condition is a 3.5% reduction in SFC, no thrust change and a 110 degree decrease in the turbine inlet temperature.

By requiring that thrust level not change, the SFC performance is compared under identical operating conditions. Each input can be studied separately to determine its effect on the outputs and on SFC. To remain in the small perturbation regime inputs should not be varied more than 10% of their steady-state values. Combinations of inputs are then chosen to effect the most desirable improvement in SFC and minimize the effect on engine performance. This technique has shown improvement in the specific fuel consumption at the operating point chosen in the studies of Reference 1. The use of the existing variable geometry to improve the fuel efficiency at the high altitude cruise operating point chosen for this investigation will be discussed in a later section.
III. CONTROL DEVELOPMENT

A. LINEAR OPTIMAL CONTROL THEORY

Given the linear system,

$$X = FX + GU$$
 (III-1)

consider a linear control law defined by

$$U = -KX + U_0 \qquad (III-2)$$

The original system can be modified to

$$\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{K})\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{G}\mathbf{U}_{0}$$
 (III-3)

The response of the modified system, as well as its eigenvalues, is determined by the effect of the gain matrix, K. For a low order system, the control gains could be found by trial-and-error methods and applied to the system to determine if the response was acceptable. This method is impractical for large order systems.

Optimal control is achieved by minimizing a cost function, J, which is defined as

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int \left[(X^{T}R_{1}X) + (U^{T}R_{2}U) \right] dt \qquad (III-4)$$

where R_1 and R_2 are symmetric weighing matrices; R_1 is nonnegative definite and R_2 is positive definite. A third portion can be added to (III-4) to provide terminal state weighing,

$$\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{t}_{1})\mathbf{P}_{1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t}_{1}) \tag{III-5}$$

where P_1 is a non-negative definite symmetric matrix.

It is shown by Kwakernaak and Sivan [8], that the optimal input, $U_0(t)$, based on the cost function is given by

$$U_0(t) = (R_2)^{-1} G^T P(t)$$
 (III-6)

thus the knowledge of p(t) solves the regulator problem. A 2n linear system is formed with the optimal system behavior, $x_0(t)$, and the adjoint variable, p(t).

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_{0}(t) \\ \vdots \\ p(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F & -GR_{2}^{-1}G^{T} \\ \vdots \\ -R_{1} & -F^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{0}(t) \\ \vdots \\ p(t) \end{bmatrix}$$
(III-7)

where

$$p(t) = P(t)X_{0}(t)$$
 (III-8)

with

$$K(t) = R_2^{-1}(t)G^{T}(t)P(t)$$
 (III-9)

P(t) is a symmetric non-negative definite matrix that satisfies the Riccati equation

$$-\dot{P}(t) = R_{1}(t) - P(t)G(t)R_{2}^{-1}(t)G^{T}(t)P(t) + P(t)F(t) + F^{T}(t)P(t)$$
(III-10)

with the terminal condition of

$$P(t_1) = P_1 \qquad (III-11)$$

Under these conditions, as the terminal time, tl, approaches infinity, the control law asymptotically approaches a unique, stable steady state condition. Thus, the matrix Riccati equation, (III-10), can be rewritten

$$0 = R_1 - PGR_2^{-1}G^TP + PF + F^TP \qquad (III-12)$$

and

$$K = R_2^{-1} G^{\mathrm{T}} P \qquad (III-13)$$

Many numerical methods are available to solve the matrix Riccati equation for the steady-state control gains. Some of these are covered by Kwakernaak and Sivan [6]. This thesis employed a computer solution, OPTSYS4, developed by Hall and Bryson [7], at Stanford University. A representative output is included in Appendix B.

B. CONTROL SYSTEM MODELS

At this point, an analysis of control models is made to establish the form of the controller to be applied. A comparison of small and large perturbation controls must be made. Take the linear system

$$X = FX + GU$$
 (III-14)

$$Y = HX + DU$$
 (III-15)

This system can be made closed-loop by incorporation of a control law

$$U = -KX \qquad (III-16)$$

K can be a time-varying matrix or constant as required by the system. A more general control law would be

$$U = U_{m} + C_{x}(X - X_{m}) + \int C_{y}A(Y - Y_{0})dt \qquad (III-17)$$

where A is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal terms are 1 or 0 determining which outputs are used in formulating the input. C_x and C_y are again matrices associated with the states and the outputs, respectively. Additionally define

$$U_m = U_0 + R_0 t \qquad (III-18)$$

$$X_{m} = X_{0} + R_{x}t \qquad (III-19)$$

the 0 subscript indicates trim position/value; \cdot_{u} and R_{x} are functions of u and x associated with time. For small perturbations the time varying terms, R_{u} and R_{x} , can be ignored and equation (III-17) can be rewritten

$$\delta U = C_{X} \delta X + \int C_{Y} A \delta Y dt \qquad (III-20)$$

Dropping the delta terminology and taking the derivative of this and using equation (III-16), yields

$$-KX = C_{X}X + C_{Y}AY \qquad (III-21)$$

combining terms

$$(-K-C_{x}) \dot{X} = C_{y} AY \qquad (III-22)$$

Substituting, using the system description of equations (III-14) and (III-15) one obtains

$$(-K-C_{x})(FX+GU) \approx C_{y}A(HX+DU)$$
 (III-23)

and solving for C_{x} ,

$$C_{x} = -K - C_{y} A (H - DK) (F - GK)^{-1}$$
 (III-24)

and using all outputs (A = I) and equally weighing them (Cy = I) one has

$$C_{x} = -K - (H - DK) (F - GK)^{-1}$$
 (III-25)

However, if the outputs are ignored (A = 0), i.e., considering the case where small changes are made in the output vector, then

$$C_{x} = -K \qquad (III-26)$$

as in the simplified control law of equation (III-16).

This approach does not apply to the large perturbation case. In large perturbation analysis, the time varying terms cannot be ignored. The mean values of u and x are as in equations (III-18) and (III-19). R_u and R_x are the time functions that describe the path that the mean values follow over the period of the large perturbation. The control law becomes

$$\delta U - R_{u} t = C_{x} (\delta X - R_{x} t) + \int C_{y} A \delta Y dt \qquad (III-27)$$

Again, if all outputs are used and equally weighed, then taking the derivative

$$-R_u - R_u t = C_x X - C_x R_x - C_x R_x t + C_y AY \qquad (III-28)$$

and combining terms

$$-C_{x}(F-GK)X + R_{x}C_{x} + R_{x}C_{x}t = K(F-GK)X + R_{u}$$
$$+ R_{u}t + (H-DK)X \qquad (III-29)$$

If we set

$$R_{x}C_{x} = R_{u}$$
 (III-30)

and

$$\dot{R}_{x}C_{x} = \dot{R}_{u}$$
(III-31)

in effect, assuming a linear relationship between the states and inputs. Then

$$-C_{x} = -K - (H-DK) (F-GK)^{-1}$$
 (III-32)

as in equation (III-25) for the small perturbation case.

An adaptive control system removes the need to model the large perturbation response. Linear models can be developed, as described in Section II.E, to define the large perturbation case as a series of linear relations. The onboard digital computer then schedules the control gains based on the linear models as the flight conditions pass through the model transition points. The rapidity of response inherent to the digital computer removes the requirement for modeling the total dynamic system, rather, only the dominant response model for the selected operating point. The reduced-order linear model is far easier to handle in formulating the control system and is derived to match the dominant system characteristics.

C. REDUCTION OF LINEAR MODELS

DeHoff and Hall [8], present a method of reducing the order of the linear system based on the dominance of the states and the crosscoupling of the states as observed through eigenvector analysis. The required order of reduction is determined by the number of dominant states appearing in the desired control bandwidth. For the Fl00 study, DeHoff and Hall chose a control bandwidth of 0 to 10 rad/sec, corresponding to the primary control device (fuel flow actuator). Their operating point (static, sea-level, intermediate power) dictated that the control be designed to modulate thrust, providing maximum thrust for take-off performance. At another operating point a different parameter may dictate the control design and, thus, the primary control actuator and control bandwidth.

The method of decomposition involves finding the eigenvector transform matrix, T, such that

$$XT = T\Lambda$$
 (III-33)

where A is the diagonal eigenvalue matrix. Then, by defining an alternate state vector, Z, where

$$X = TZ \qquad (III-34)$$

the original system may be written in modal coordinates as

 $\dot{\mathbf{Z}} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{U}$ (III-35)

If a control bandwidth is determined, the eigenvalues falling in that range are the only ones that need to be included in the initial reduced order model. The matrix may be reordered by constructing a matrix Q, which has a 1 in the position corresponding to the eigenvalue to be included (column) and the reordered position (row) of that eigenvalue. Thus, if eigenvalue 5 of the original Λ matrix was to be reordered to the first position, a 1 would be placed in the (1,5) position of the Q matrix. Now, the reordered matrix

$$\Lambda' = Q\Lambda \qquad (III-36)$$

is incorporated in the linear model

$$QZ = \Lambda'Z + QT^{-1}BU \qquad (III-37)$$

letting

$$Z' = QZ \qquad (III-38)$$

$$\dot{z}' = \lambda' Q^{-1} z' + Q T^{-1} B U \qquad (III-39)$$

and

$$X = TQ^{-1}Z' \qquad (III-40)$$

A matrix R can be found such that

$$x' = Rx = TZ' = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ --- \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (III-41)



This X' vector is the reordered state vector, where the elements contained in the subvector X_1 are those states associated with the eigenvalues in the control bandwidth and X_2 contains all other states.

The linear system may be rewritten

$$X' = RFR^{-1}X' + RGU \qquad (III-42)$$

$$Y = HR^{-1}X' + DU \qquad (III-43)$$

Now define

$$F' = RFR^{-1}$$
 (III-44)

$$G' = RG$$
 (III-45)

$$H' = HR^{-1} \qquad (III-46)$$

and

$$F' = \begin{bmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} \\ F_{21} & F_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
(III-47)

$$G' = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 \\ ---- \\ G_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(III-48)

$$H' = [H_1 \ H_2]$$
 (III-49)

If the states in X_1 truly model the full order system, then \dot{X}_2 will be essentially zero and

$$\dot{X}_{1} = F_{11}X_{1} + F_{12}X_{2} + G_{1}U$$
 (III-50)

$$0 = F_{21}X_1 + F_{22}X_2 + G_2U$$
 (III-51)

Solving for X_2 in equation III-51, one has

$$X_2 = -F_{22}^{-1}F_{21}X_1 + F_{22}^{-1}G_2U$$
 (III-52)

This is incorporated into the first equation

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{1} = (\mathbf{F}_{11} - \mathbf{F}_{12} \mathbf{F}_{22} \mathbf{F}_{21}) \mathbf{x}_{1} + (\mathbf{G}_{1} - \mathbf{F}_{12} \mathbf{F}_{22} \mathbf{G}_{2}) \mathbf{U}$$
(III-53)

and the output equation becomes

$$Y = (H_1 - H_2 F_{22}^{-1} F_{21}) X_1 + (D - H_2 F_{22}^{-1} G_2) U$$
 (III-54)

The reduced order model can be written as

$$\dot{x}_{1} = Frx_{1} + GrU \qquad (III-55)$$

$$Y = HrX_1 + DrU \qquad (III-56)$$

where

$$Fr = F_{11} - F_{12}F_{22}F_{21}$$
 (III-57)

$$Gr = G_1 - F_{12}F_{22}^{-1}G_2 \qquad (III-58)$$

$$Hr = H_1 - H_2 F_{22}^{-1} F_{21}$$
 (III-59)

$$Dr = D - H_2 F_{22}^{-1} G_2$$
 (III-60)

This technique was used to develop the program REDUCR2, documented in Appendix A. REDUCR2 was used to develop the reduced order models used in the control development portion of this thesis. Sample input and output of REDUCR2 are listed in Appendix A.

D. REDUCED ORDER MODELS

Three reduced order models were generated with the REDUCR2 computer program (Appendix A). The criteria for selecting the desired states was that used by DeHoff and Hall, a control bandwidth of 0-10 Hertz. The eigenvalues of the full 16th order system were found using the OPTSYS4 computer program and then associated to the system states using modal analysis. Table III-1 gives the results of this modal analysis. The five states associated with the control bandwidth are fan speed (x1), compressor speed (x2), augmentor pressure (x5), burner exit temperature (x10), and fan turbine inlet temperature (x13).

A fifth order model was generated using these states. It was noted that only three of these states (the two rotational speeds and the pressure) were dynamically significant, i.e.,

Operating Point Eigenvalues

State	Physical Property	Eigenvalue
X4	P04.5	-540.23
X3	P03	-156.432
X11	T04	-49.69 ± j13.99
X16	т07	
X9	TO4Hi	- 54.12
X12	T04.5Hi	- 42.61
X7	T02.5C	- 22.59
X14	T 05	-21.58 ± j2.51
X15	TO6C	
X6	т02.5н	- 18.62
X8	T 03	- 14.49
X5	P07	- 7.35
Xl	Nl	- 3.60 control band-
X13	T04.5LO	- 1.98 width
X2	N2	- 1.31
X10	N04LO	647

46

. .

110

TABLE	I	Ι	I	-2	
-------	---	---	---	----	--

Reduced Model Comparisons

	Model #1	Model #3	Model #2	Full Order
Xl	173.51	175.89	175.89	173.41
x2	125.10	126.86	126.86	125.02
x5	. 326	. 325	.325	. 326
x10	4.85			4.86
x11		53.51		53.48
x13	3.98			3.98
x16		23.04		23.03
vl	94.48	174.8	97.70	156.99
** V3	11.84	53.51	9.3	53.48

47

represent energy storage in the form of torque and pressure. A third order model was generated using these three states and a comparison made. In this initial analysis these two models produced virtually identical response with respect to the key outputs thrust (y1) and turbine inlet temperature (y3), see Table III-2. The OPTSYS4 program was used to determine the transfer functions associated with these two outputs for each model. It was found that the fifth order model contained zeros near the poles at -1.960 and -.651, the eigenvalues associated with the two temperatures in the fifth order model. This caused these two poles to have no effect on the response of the model. Thus the third order system sufficiently models the control bandwidth.

In both models, the turbine inlet temperature (y3) response did not closely model that of the full order system. Therefore, a third model was formed using the three states of the third order model plus the conjugate pair of poles representing the turbine inlet temperature (x11) and exit temperature (x16). This model gave excellent thrust and temperature response (y1 and y3, Table III-2) due mainly to the inclusion of the turbine inlet temperature as a system state. Table III-3 lists the three models, their states and associated eigenvalues.

Although the conjugate pair of eigenvalues lies outside the control bandwidth, their inclusion markedly improves the output correspondence with respect to the full order system, see Table III-2. Further analysis will use the third order

Reduced Model Eigenvalues

.

	Model #1	Model #3	Model #2
States	XL	Xl	Xl
	X2	X2	X2
	X5	X5	X5
	X10	X11	
	X13	X16	
Eigen- Values	-7.175	-51.65 ±	-6.947
	-3.392	j7.14	-3.282
	-1.418	- 1.388	-1.389
	651	- 6.909	
	-1.960	- 3.265	

۱

49

્ય.

Sal

Fifth Order Model

9.183240-72 3.022930-01 -9.462420-03 -2.791070+70	-1.837860+0+ -9.971110+03 -1.193150+02 4.833000+04	-1.019620-05 -1.931620-05 -4.847580-05 -5.556100-05 -1.668660-05	-9-3)0000+01 -1-314000+01 -0-0 -4-671000-01 -2-704000-01 -1-298000-01
3.041320+00 4.289780+00 -1.169500-02 -5.385260+01 1.908720+01	15 2.359680+01 -1.095970+01 -7.681320+01 2.886740-02 -7.681320+01	IS -5.672210-05 -5.672210-05 -1.3900500+00 -1.3900500-04 -1.730800-05 -1.730800-05	-3.991000+00 -3.991000+00 912000-03 2.745000-07 -5.403000-07 -5.403000-07 -3.642000-03
-3.589750+02 -7.527940401 -7.527940400 -1.625740+03	-9.330150+01 -9.330150+01 7.830630+00 1.211340-01 -3.043510+01	-11 UN MATRIX -9.154280-03 -9.154280-03 -3.126620-02 -3.756300-03 -1.049280-02	RIX IS -4.)20290+03 -3. 466250-01 0.0 1. 831660-02 6. 995830-01 1. 410850-02 4. 534340-02
-2. 12579+00 -1. 52110+00 -2. 333140-03 -5. 185390+13 2. 231960+30	DN FRCL DIS TRIE - 1.408040402 - 2.722130401 - 3.764650401 - 3.163530401 7.270000401	JFPUT 01STR18U -9.944220-07 0.0 1.351230-05 1.479580-04 -5.035470-05 -8.125470-05	EEDFURWARD MAT -2.277060-01 -2.277060-01 -7.016040-03 5.898030-03 6.266830-03 1.147810-03
-1111111111.	HE REDUCED C(-3.544490-02 -4.409450-01 1.752640-02 -1.890050+00	HE REDUCED 01 6.460660-01 6.460660-03 0.0 1.253510-05 1.659760-05 1.659760-05 1.659760-05	HE REDUCED F -1.037660-01 -1.092890-04 0.0 8.620910-05 -1.507840-05 -1.507840-05 2.925660-05

e . 4

50

. .

-

- -- -

Third Order Model

	-1.075200+04 -6.082560+03 -1.340950+12		-9.300000+01 -1.314000+01 -4.671000-01 -2.704000-01 -1.298000-01
	15 -1.707580+01 -6.207530-02	S	-3.991000+00 4.912000-03 5.683000-03 -6.433900-03 -3.642000-03
-6.25456J+02 -8.75291D+01 -7.17586D+00	UTIUN MATRIX -8.523820+01 1.174380+01 1.127050-01	TIUN MATRIX -7.6591350+02 -7.659180-03 -2.754180-02 -2.72550-03 -3.291650-02 -9.161720-03	RIK IS -2.931490+03 -2.877980-01 2.877980-01 8.723760+02 -1.263990-01 -3.871970-02 -4.861250-03
ANT MATRIX IS 1. 001100+00 -2.055580+00 -1.721000-03	NTKCL 0157818 -9.107590+01 -1.725080+01 -3.774800+01	JTPUT DISTRIBU -1.333460-02 -1.333460-02 -1.003380-01 2.714530-05 1.532370-05 -3.337410-05 4.040280-06	EEDFURMARD 447 -2.277530-01 -2.277530-01 -7.132820-03 5.846190-03 6.121220-03 1.106130-03
HE REDUCED P1 -2.336930+00 -2.521490-01 1.762600-03	HE REDUCED C 3.123490+0.1 1.144630+00 1.231590-02	HE REDUCED 01 2.456180-01 7.65180-03 7.6516180-03 -5.270190-05 2.426630-06 3.338770-06	HE REDUCED F -1.415560-01 -1.415560-01 -1.418290-01 -3.6213730-05 -3.231660-05 -3.231660-05 -3.99540-05 -3.99540-05

- -

. . . .

-

51

model (model #2) and the fifth order augmented model (model #3). These models are listed in Tables III-4 and III-5.

E. VERIFICATION OF REDUCED ORDER MODELS

The reduced order model must be sufficiently representative of the original system for the designed control to provide the desired full system response. Weinberg and Adams [9], used the F100 non-linear simulation to develop a linear 17th order system at the static, sea-level point at partial power settings. Their reductions to 5th and 3rd order and similar reduction to 5th order by DeHoff [10], from Miller and Hackney's 16th order model show excellent correlation to the non-linear simulation.

The operating point chosen for consideration in this thesis is 30,000 feet, Mach = 0.9 and power level angle of 67 degrees. This corresponds to a near optimum cruise point and is a condition frequently encountered in routine operations. Section III.D lists the states and associated eigenvalues at this operating point and discussed several reduced order models. The dominant eigenvalues were considered to be those which affected the models fast response and had dynamic significance to the engine's physical characteristics. Again, this implies a control bandwidth of 0-10 rad/sec, however, other models, using eigenvalues outside the control bandwidth, were considered in determining the best reduced model for deriving the control laws.

One method to analyze model correspondence is to use the Bode plot across the control bandwidth. Figure III-l is a



Figure III-1. Model Comparison in Frequency Domain

. ...

1

٠,

Bode comparison of the DeHoff reduced model and the Miller and Hackney 16th order model at static, sea-level, intermediate power for the 0 to 10 rad/sec control bandwidth. It is clear that through the 0-10 Hertz bandwidth the two models show very close correspondence; this similarity breaks down in the higher frequency region, but the response time of the eigenvalues outside the control bandwidth is considered to be too short to affect transient behavior. The phase response, not shown, follows the same trends.

IV. ANALYSIS OF CONTROL SYSTEMS

A. COST FUNCTION WEIGHING FACTORS

The control law for the engine regulating system,

$$U = U_{m} + C_{x}(X-X_{m}) + \int C_{v}A(Y-Y_{0}) dt$$
 (IV-1)

can be reduced as shown in Section III.B to

$$U = U_m - KX \qquad (IV-2)$$

when considering small perturbations and ignoring the outputs from the system. In most circumstances, the U_m , the trim condition, would be considered as zero for steady state analysis. The linear models developed by Miller and Hackney [5], set all inputs to zero at the steady-state operating point for which the model is defined.

The K matrix is the solution to the optimum regulator problem discussed in Section III.A. The OPTSYS4 computer solution used in this thesis uses the cost function

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int [Y^{T}A_{y}Y + U^{T}R_{2}U]dt \qquad (IV-3)$$

where A is the weighting matrix for the output vector and replaces the need for the R₁ matrix in equation (III-7).

Kirk [11], discusses the effect that weighting matrices have on system performance. In general, the larger the

magnitude of the matrix element the faster its associated parameter (system state, input or output) stabilizes. An additional factor in determining the magnitude of the diagonal matrix elements is the magnitude of their associated parameters. Given the cost function

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int x^{T} R_{1} x dt \qquad (IV-4)$$

where

$$R_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{a^{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{b^{2}} \end{bmatrix}; \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} x1 \\ x2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (IV-5)$$

The cost function then becomes

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{x1}{a} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{x2}{b} \right)^2 \right] dt \qquad (IV-6)$$

The desire is to minimize the cost function, J, with respect to time. Thus, taking the time derivative and setting it equal to zero

$$\left(\frac{x1}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{x2}{b}\right)^2 = 0$$
 (IV-7)

This is the solution which produces a minimum cost. The values of a and b are the largest expected values of xl and

x2, respectively, and represent an equal effect on the cost function by each state. Should either a or b be decreased, the optimal control developed would have to increase the control input to the other state to minimize the cost function. A nominal fluctuation limit of ± 5 % will be considered as a small perturbation in the initial control analysis.

Designing a control to minimize the thrust specific fuel consumption (SFC) of the engine requires limiting the thrust and the fuel flow fluctuations. The weighting matrix elements corresponding to these two parameters are, therefore, to be increased with respect to the other parameters. Looking at only the small perturbation response, it is noted that very little change in the total engine airflow (y3) is expected and, as before, there is no change in customer bleed air requirements (u5). These two parameters can be neglected, as their response characteristics have no effect on the desired SFC response. To demonstrate the effect of the different element magnitudes on the control gain matrix, the OPTSYS4 program was run for the 3 cases delineated in Table IV-1. Case I was developed to allow each output and input, with the exception of the two pressure ratios (y6 and y7) and the bleed air percentage (u5), to effect equal contribution to the cost function. The diagonal elements of both A_v and R_p normalize the squared value to the order of one. Case II uses all of the same elements, except the thrust (y1) and the fuel flow (ul) are weighted more heavily, to the magnitude of ten. This

TABLE IV-1

Case Definition

A and R_2 are diagonal matrices, only the significant elements are listed.

Case I

A_y = (.0001 1 .0001 100 100 1e-8 1e-8) R₂ = (.0001 100 .01 .01 1e-8)

Case II

A_y = (.01 1 .0001 100 100 le-8 le-8) R₂ = (.01 100 .01 .01 le-8)

Case III

A_y = (1 1 .0001 100 100 le-8 le-8) R₂ = (1 100 .01 .01 le-8)

heavier weighting should reduce the fuel input to the system and moderate the thrust fluctuations as the control returns the system to the steady state condition. Case III increases the weighting of yl and ul to achieve a normalized magnitude of 100. This extremely heavy weighting should eliminate fuel from the control and reduce thrust variations to absolute minimum. The whole intention of the controller design is to damp out state perturbations without using fuel or altering the thrust level, i.e., making no change in specific fuel consumption to effect control.

These three cases were implemented on the two reduced order models and simulations run for a perturbation of -50 RPM in fan speed (x1). The fifth order model returned the fan speed to 95% of steady state in .5 seconds using Case I, but increased fuel flow by 10 pounds per hour initially and required an increase in fuel consumption of .2% over the half second period. For Case II, the fifth order model returned to 95% of the steady state fan speed in approximately .3 seconds, while using almost 80% less fuel than the Case I controller. This 80% reduction in fuel consumption indicates that the increased weighting of Case II has accomplished exactly what was desired. Case III was run for the fifth order model and, although virtually no fuel was used in the control, the system experienced oscillations in fan speed of ±8 RPM through the 3 second simulation run. Additionally, the Case III controller caused rapid changes of ±4 degrees in the compressor guide vanes (u4), the regulatory limit imposed for the simulation, and also large fluctuations in the inlet quide vanes (u3). One would achieve results of similar magnitude for each of the cases assuming a +1% perturbation of all states in the reduced-order model. Case I is too fuel dependent and causes large changes in u3, Case III also requires large changes in U3 that could cause a slew rate request larger than that available from the control actuator.

In modeling the control, the actuator and physical limitations of each control must be considered and appropriate

limitations placed on the control law to avoid overshoots. Table IV-2 gives the actuator limits and maximum rates applicable to the Fl00 engine [4]. A stepping limit of .01 seconds is assumed in determining the rate limits and fuel flow fluctuations are limited to ± 10 % of the steady-state value. No compensation is allowed for hysteresis or nonlinear dynamics of the actuators; these are considered minimal in the small perturbation case, but would need to be incorporated for large perturbation control modeling.

TABLE IV-2

Actuator Limitations

Input	Maximum	Minimum	Rate Limit
Fuel flow	16300 lb/hr	450 lb/hr	15800 lb/hr/sec
Nozzle area	6.4 sq. ft.	2.8 sq. ft.	3.6 sq.ft./sec
Inlet guide vanes	0 deg.	-40 deg.	48 deg/sec
Compressor vanes	4 deg.	-40 deg.	40 deg/sec

It is evident that the Case III weighting is not acceptable because of the oscillations and the rapid, large amplitude fluctuations in the guide vane positions (u3 and u4). Case I is also inappropriate for the specific fuel consumption minimizing control since it uses fuel as the primary control input. For these reasons, Case II is chosen as the weighting for all control modeling to be implemented in later sections.

Once the integral portion of equation (IV-1) and the feedback gains are determined, the constant portion, U_m , must be

analyzed. In most situations this term would be neglected. The steady state analysis is now performed to determine if the specific fuel consumption can be reduced by making small changes to the trim position of the variable geometry. An analysis of control changes versus SFC, as was done at the static sea-level condition in Section II.D, must again be conducted. A minimum SFC obtainable relates to certain input values in U_m which is incorporated into the control law, improving the steady-state performance and controlling the fluctuations of the system which will have the greatest effect on the SFC.

As was done at the static sea-level operating point, a small perturbation in each input was made and its effect on the SFC, thrust and other engine conditions tabulated. The goal of these analyses is to develop a trim input, U_m , that improves the fuel economy at steady state without causing significant change in the operating conditions (both states and outputs).

The effects of the control inputs on several parameters are plotted on Figures IV-1 through IV-4. Figures IV-1 shows, again, the large effect nozzle area (u2) and fuel flow (u1) have on specific fuel consumption, the effect of inlet guide vanes (u3) is drastically reduced from that observed at static, sea level operation. Figure IV-2 indicates the offsetting effect of u1 and u2 and again indicates a zero net thrust control can be found. Fan speed (x1) and compressor



4

. .



62

he -





Figure IV-3. Fan Speed vs Control Inputs

1

64

- .:: i





speed (x2) effects are shown in Figures IV-3 and IV-4, respectively. At this operating point the inlet guide vane position (u3) may be most useful in controlling the fan speed fluctuation and thereby stabilizing the fan stability margin (y4). A sample control would close u2 by .2 square feet and reduce fuel flow by 57 pounds per hour. This combination produces a 1.4% decrease in specific fuel consumption and results in a 4% reduction in fan speed. A trim condition control ing t, U_m , would be

$$U_{\rm m} = \begin{bmatrix} -57 \\ -.2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

B. REDUCED ORDER SIMULATION ANALYSIS

The model developed in Section III.C and Section III.D for the high altitude flight operating point was used to initially analyze the control law developed in Section IV.A. A reduced order controller is simpler and cheaper to implement through a digital computer. It requires less computer space and far less computing time than the full-order linear model developed controller.

A comparison of the three cases for which gain matrices were found indicated that Case 2 gave the most desirable results. The Case 2 weighting matrix was applied to the third order reduced system and perturbations applied to various



. .

67

1

-24

states. The same weighting matrix was applied to the fifth order model (#3) and similar perturbations applied. Figure IV-5 shows the system response to a 50 RPM perturbation of the fan speed. The fifth order system has a settling time of approximately .45 seconds whereas the third order system has one of 1.5 seconds. This variation is accounted for by the two fast response poles in the fifth order model, (-51.65,j7.14).

These results must be compared to the 16th order system to determine which model most closely approximates the full order system response.

C. FULL ORDER SIMULATION ANALYSIS

The proof of the viability of a reduced order controller is its ability to control the full order system in the same manner as predicted in the reduced order model. The two reduced models analyzed in Section IV.B were applied to the sixteenth order linear model. Additionally, the Case 2 weighting matrix was applied and a full order controller was found and implemented. All simulation was done using the IBM Continuous System Modelling Program, Model III.

All three models were compared with similar perturbations applied. Figure IV-6 compares the full order and fifth order response to the 50 RPM speed perturbation. Figure IV-7 compares the full order and third order response to the same perturbation. Figure IV-8 compares the two reduced order controllers and the full order controller for the same fan speed perturbation.






•



The results show satisfactory agreement between the reduced order and full order implementation of the reduced order controller. This proves the viability of the controller and implies that comparable results will be found if the control is implemented in the non-linear dynamic system. The fifth order controller, with two poles outside the control bandwidth, most closely models the response of the full order controller. As was seen previously, the inclusion of the conjugate pair of poles dramatically improves the response time of the model and provides a much closer approximation to the full order system response.

This indicates that the fifth order model is sufficient for the control development process at this operating point. The two fast response poles outside the control bandwidth must be included to achieve this close simulation.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. CONCLUSIONS

The study of implementing a reduced order controller that is flight regime dependent shows that at a specific operating point an improvement in specific fuel consumption can be made. The conclusions reached at the end of this research are:

1. The variable geometry existing in the state-of-theart turbofan engines can be used to further improve the specific fuel consumption;

2. A reduced order controller can be found and implemented that concentrates control authority on the variable geometry rather than the fuel control, thus furthering the fuel savings; and

3. A similar controller can be found and implemented at any given operating point throughout the flight regime, these controllers could then be organized into a single digital machine to provide a regime-dependent engine control to improve the airframe/engine interaction in all flight conditions.

B. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDY

One obvious extension of this work is to find further reduced order controllers.

Additionally, work can be done to fully implement these reduced order controllers in the non-linear model using either the Pratt & Whitney transient simulation digital model or the hybrid computer model.

The effect on fatigue life and life cycle cost due to the "fuel saving" control schemes presented was not determined. It is therefore recommended that these factors be explored in order to fully analyze the usefulness of these control schemes.

THIS PROGRAM IS DESIGNED TO REDUCE A HIGH ORDER LINEAR MCDEL TC A REDUCED ORDER REPRESENTATIVE MODEL GIVEN THE INPUTS OF DESIRED STATES AND ASSOCIATED EIGENVALUES. **** * *** ****** 4 ** 4 ງບບບບ

LISTING

REDUCR2

. .

APPENDIX A

. .

EIGENVECTOR MATRIX. READ IN THE DESIRED REDUCED ORDER AND THE DESIRED STATES. FCRM THE REORDERED STATE VECTOR X⁴ AND THE (6 1001) RAPRNT (NP, NP, NP, 6, RM, 4, " (6(1X, 1P012.5))" MATRICES < X -> = < BM > = < -X > IN THE FULL ORDER MUDEL WRITE(6,985) NR READ(5,981)(IROW(I),I=1,NR) WRITE(6,992) WRITE(6,984)(IROM(I),I=1,NR) KaNR DC 42 J=1,NP DC 43 J=1,NR 14N, 1=1, 41 DC 42 I=1.NP DC 43 J=1.NP DC 43 J=1.NP K=K+1 K=K+1 RM(K,1)=1.DO C TO 42 RM(J)=1.DO C TO 42 RM(J)=1.DO C TO 42 RM(J)=1.DO C TO 42 CONTINUE CALL RAPRHT(NP,NP,NP,NP,6,RM,4 READ(5,983) NP,NC,NO,NR WRITE(6,990) 30 10 L=K*6 Q=L-5 [F(Q.LT.13) GO Q=13 READL R 990 REALL R 980 READL R 980 READL R 980 READL 8 980 R 176 (5, 980) R 176 (5, 980) R 176 (5, 980) R 176 (5, 980) R 1, 3 0 X = 1, READ žž × =16 ų 20 2030 ÷ • نارین 01 47 44 4 **** # ***** ງບູບບ

DIVIDE THE EIGENVECTOR MATRIX INTO THE FOUR SUBMATRICES USED IN THE ORDER REDUCTION. <A*> = <rm>*<am>*<am>*<am>*<am***-1> = < Pa PB > < PC PD > ALL MAMULF(15,16,16,0UM1,RM,TFIN,NP,NP,NP) ALL MI (NP,NP,RM) IRITE(6,997) ALL RAPRNT(NP,NP,NP,6,1FIN,4,*(6(1X,1P012.51)*) 200 J=1,NR |1,J) =TFIN(1,J) |TE(6,993) LL RAPRNT(8,NR,NR,6,PA,4,°(6(1X,1PD12.5))°) P=J-NR PB(1,P)=TFIN(1,J) WRITE(6994) Call RaprnT(8,NR,M,6,PB,4,'(6(1X,1PD12.5))') Call RaprnT(8,NR,M,6,PB,4,'(6(1X,1PD12.5))') [16,16,16,RM,AM,DUM1,NP,NP,NP] P. T 1 NP 4 NP . NP . T . AM . MA . NP . NP . NP 1 NP, NP . NP . MA. T . MB . NP . NP . NP) DEL=DABSTMB(1,J)) IF(DEL.GT.(3.D-02)) GO TO 90 MB(1,J)=0.D3 CONTINUE PC(P,J) = TFIN(1,J) 210 [= [, NR 210 J=Q, NP J-NR I=1, NR A MUL T - 1-CALL RAI Q=NR+1 M=NP-NR ALL O ٩ C**** 200 220 210 06 ***

77

Calt Mf (16, M, PD) Calt MAWLT (16, 16, 8, PD, PC, DUM2, M, M, NR) Calt MAWLT (8, 16, 8, PB, DUM2, FM, NR, M, NR) Calt MAWULT (8, 16, 8, PB, DUM2, FM, NR, M, NR) DC 227 J=1 NR DC 227 J=1 NR DC 227 J=1 NR DC 227 J=1 NR Calt RAPRNT(8, NR, 6, FR, 4, 16, 11, 1PD12, 51)) WRITE(6,995) CALL RAPRNT 16. M. NR. 6. PC. 4. * (6(1X, 1PD12.5))*) DC 230 1=9. NP 230 1=9. NP 230 0=1 - NR1) = TFIN(1, J) MRITE(6,996) MRITE(6,996) CALL RAPRNT (16, M. M. 6, PD 44. 46(1X, 1PD12, 5))*) Λ = < B1 > - < PB >*< PD**-1 >*< B2 > CCMPUTE THE REDUCED PLANT MATRIX. CCMPUTE THE REDUCED PLANT MATRIX. FR > = < PA > - < PB >+< PD++-1 >+< PC Q & WU31 (8, NR, NC, 6, GK, 4, " (6 (1 X, 1 PD12.51)") T (8, T6, T6, P6, P0, DUM3, NR, M, M, N. T (8, 16, 8, DUM3, BTWJ, DUM4, RR, M, NC) , NR REDUCED ORDER INPUT MATRIX. = < RM >*< BM > ([1] > WNO- ([. 5 1=1, NR 0(1,J)=GM(L MAMULT(8 L MAMULT(8 00 270 1=1 00 270 J=1 5R(1, J) =6R 4R1TE(6, 10 1 CALL RA DO 250 DO 250 260 260 20 DC 260 BTHD11 ALL AL 5 مەر 3 270 260 250 C####1 ပပ

f

78

.

.....

COMPUTE THE REDUCED ORDER DUTPUT WATRICES. C COMPUTE THE REDUCED ORDER DUTPUT WATRICES. C C HR > = $\langle CH \rangle \sim \langle C2 \rangle \sim \langle PD \rightarrow -1 \rangle \sim \langle PC \rangle$ C BR > = $\langle DM \rangle - \langle C2 \rangle \sim \langle PD \rightarrow -1 \rangle \sim \langle PC \rangle$ x = UM5(1, (NR+J)) (8,16,16,CTWO,PD,HSTAR,NJ,M,M) (8,16,8,HSTAR,PC,CSTAR,NJ,M,NR) MI (NP NP, RM) MAMULT (B. 16, CM, RM, DUM5, ND, NP, NP) FORTRAN COMPUTER PROGRAMS BY LEXINGTON BOOKS 1978, D. C. PEATH AND COMPANY LEXINGTON, MASSACHUSETTS 300 J=1,NR 310 J=1,NR 310 J=1,N0 310 J=1,M 0(1,J)=0UM5(1,1 L MAWULT(8,16,8 N, ų HRC i vi 310

79

.

٩

- 14

ITYERSE UF AM BY THE PIVOT METHUD REAL+8 A4(N,N),DM(N,N),DV(N),EV(N),O,E,DABS I AFEGER+4 FV(N),GV(N) LOGICAL+1 HV(N) 0 301, DV(30), EV(30), D, E, DABS V(30), GV(30) SINGULAR MATRIX.) 1)-0V(1)*EV(J) GO TO 1003 1 N 10 1003 [5,1001] [6,1001] [6,1000] D=0.00 DC 1003 J=1,N IF (HV(J)) GO TC E=DABS(DM(K,J)) IF (E.LE.D) GO AM(M,M) AM(M) 1=1.N Unit, J'=ĂMtt. DO 1008 K=1.h DO/DM(K. 000 1 =1 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 0 = 0 = 0 • REAL+8 INTEGER DM INTEGER DM FCRMAT(+1 1002 1= 1002 1= 1002 J= CNTINUE E LI J ****** **TUR** _ T≂F WRIJ A M I 2 CO 10001 1002 1003 100+ 3001 1006 1001 1003 4 ວບບບບບບູ້

```
THE TWO
AS H.
                                                                                                               Z
                                                                                         ALLOWS VARIABLE FURMAT DUTPUT.
In Printing Arrays in Column Formats.
Echnique Was Developed From That Used.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             PRODUCES THE MATRIX PRODUCT OF
AMP 6, AND RETURNS THAT RESULT
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           NFEGER#4 [,J.K

REAL#8 F(L,M),ů(M,N),H(L,N),DEL

00 1000 1=1,HL

00 1000 K=1,AN

10 1000 K=1,AN

10 1010 J=1,NN

00 1010 J=1,NN

00 1010 J=1,NN
                                                                                                                                                                            DC ZO NL=1, N.L
IF (NU.GT.N) NJ=N
DC 10 1=1,M
HRTTE(5,FMT)(A(1,J),J=NL,NU)
HRTTE(5,100)
FGRMAT(10)
                                                                                                SUBRUUTINE RAPRNT ALLOWS VARI/
IT IS MOST USEFUL IN PRINTING
THIS SUBROUTINE TECHNIQUE WAS
OPTSYS4. (STANFORD UNIVERSITY)
                                                                                                                                                             REAL*B A(NMAX,N)
DIMENSION FMT(IDIM)
NU=L
DC 20 NL=1,N,L
DC 10 I=1,N,L
DC 10 I=1,M, NU=N
DC 10 I=1,M, (A(I,J),
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    SUBROUT INE AMULT
DC 1009 [=1,N
K=FV([]
DC 1009 J=1,N
L=CV(J)
AP(K,L)=DM([,J)
FETJRN
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       = 0EL
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             +NN=NN
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            0101
                                                                                                                                                                                                                              2
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 100
                                                                                    ****
                                           1009
                                                                                                                                                            *
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              SO
```

81

 $\phi \phi \phi \phi$

DC 5 1=1, FORMATI EIGENVALUE # .,12, IS ',E12.5, +JI ',E12.5,' ''' DC 20 1=1,N FORMATI EG 10 20 F(FO.EQ.(0.001) GO TO 20 F(D.EQ.(0.001) GO TO 20 F(D.EQ.(0.001) GO TO 20 F(D.EQ.N) GO TO 10 F(D.EQ.N) F(D.EQ. SUBROUTINE PRNT2 IS USED TO PRINT THE EIGENVALUES OF THE DIAGONAL EIGENVALUE MATRIX, A, IN COMPLEX FORM. J=1+N WRITE(6,100) 1,8(1).8(J) Return End 100 20 10 30

ب

TUPUT

SAMPLE

REDUCR2

 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

-75136+01 -12316+01 -24676+02 -51756+01 -26696+00	- 13786+01 - 70606-02 - 80496-05 - 00006+00	 - 1026E-05 - 5487E-04 - 3837E-03 - 1492E-03	1 1	 	16156+01 60246-01 93706+03 .11936+03
-19686+01 -59216+00 -29446+02 29446+02 29986+00		 - 51826-04 - 23626-03 - 56566-03		 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	82486-01 13016-03 93936-05 31216-04 31216-04	 		 	

	- (V=1/V-V-	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	784	inite i generation		21215	01110		NO-4.	$\cup = \cap$, - r	ч.
00	0000	0000	00000	000000	00	bee	000	ဝပင	000	000	oc	00	2
++	++++	1+++		1111	- + -		1 +	+ + +	+ 1 +	* * *	+ +		٠
ມແມ	աաապ	ասյաս	սատատ	ເມັນເມັນເມັນ	່ [,] ມບ	ىسى	ມພາຍາ	աաս	າມາມາມາ	աաա	i Li Li	າມາເ	μ
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0000	<b>→</b> 855	000000	0	ን ው ና	നന	4 00		<u>6</u> 9 8	Da	00	n
	- JU 04	NOOC	ຉຉຉຉຉ	00-3r0	00	>~~~	1001	アーム	5-10	400	2-	<b>\$</b>	÷
90	N04-	-000	ວທ໐ສທ	クーーこうら	30	$\sim$	540	$\sim 0^{-1}$		うてう	NC I	1.40	Ď
4-	QM	-000	しろろろも	<u>uuu-0r</u>	୍ ୯ ୯	20-	<b>•</b> ••••	<b></b>	•	-10r		$\sim$	r
• •					•	• •							٠
I.	1 1	1	F F		Ł	1	11	1.1	1	1	11	1	ł
											_		
<b></b>	4 / OM/	4000	りろうゆう	ふふふるいる	√ <b>~</b> ~∩	うやい	4614	C	$\sim$	2 V W	0-	4-5-1	-

$\sim 0$	-0044000044440m444	OUNOM	00-000000000000000000000000000000000000
<u>~o</u>	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000	00000000000000
+ +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	1 + 1 1 +	******
บาเม	ຓຓຓຓຓຓຓຓຓຓຓຓຏຏຏ	ատատա	ՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠՠ֎
C 0	-0-4-00-4	<b>→</b> 040C	ちりこてうてらつこしょうう
<b>~</b> 0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	100 T 10	-010000000
<b>-</b> 1-1	924000000000000000000000	10000	しちらうちしていとてらって
100		4000	ちるこしし ちょう ひょるみ
• •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • •
1		1 1 1 1	

11 1 1 1 . . . . . . . 1 1 1 1711

5-5----

11

1 1 1 1 1



1111 11111 1 1 671000-01 173000-00 173000-00 973000-00 973000-00 179000-00 179000-00 179000-00 179000-00 179000-00 179000-00 179000-00 105000-00 105000-00

----

.......

~~~~

Nrsor---

1

1 1

1 1 0401-1000-1004-0000-1000-1000-

ーろうろろろうろうちょうしょうし

355000-01 1355000-01 200000-01 2120000-01 22770000-01 923000-00 923000-00 711000-00 711000-00 711000-00

m-9NN00N-NN9m

1 1 1 111111

11111 1.1

PUT OUTI ш AMPLI ŝ **REDUCR2**

87

1.631000-03 1.060000-02 -4.880000+01 240-01 240-01 240-01 240-01 240-01 2400-01 2400-02 2400-02 2400-02 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1
 1</l 200-400540 200-400540 0.0000000-m 1 1 1 -00-0-0-00-0--2----9-100 -9-200 -9 10+000 10+000 -3.4240 -1.497 -2.4670 1.1 XII 1.1 1 1 -CJ- $\tilde{\phi}$ -0000556 80.8-44.00.0 ---->ちょちょう チョー チョー ろう チューンち 1.1 11111 ١ 1 1 1.1 11111111 ū KIGHT ELG 480830-01 9559870-01 9559870-01 9559870-01 9559870-01 9559870-01 9559870-02 95799790-03 99700-03 997000-03 997000-03 997000-03 997000-03 997000-03 997000-03 997000-03 997000000 970270-01 555490-02 555490-02 555490-02 653090-02 5125570-03 5125570-03 5125570-02 133530-01 133530-01 15350-02 15550-02 15550-02 15550-02 7)2000+00 7)2000-02 565000+00 0000-01 3960-01 710-03 550-02 880-02 880-02 880-02 nonona-nin 200

440440000440004 44044000044004 00011407771000040004 111 111

アミーコントンーシーシック

1 1

11111

1

. ちてーらうーううーううーう

.

111

1 1 1

| | | 00000 | 0.0
0.0
1.000000+00
0.0 | 000000 | 00000 | | 0.0
1.000000+0(| |
|--|---------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|
| | | 0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0
0.0
0
0.0
0
0.0
0
0.0
0
0.0
0
0.0
0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.000000 | 00000 | 00000 0 | 0 • 0
0 • 0
1 • 00000 + 100 | 000000 | 0000 | 000 |
| 7.799250-04
-4.711890-02
-2.4022590-02
-1.171820-01
2.476400-02
4.702810-02 | | 00000 | 0.000000+00 | 000000 | 00000 | | 1.000000+00
0.0 | |
| 1.507060-03
9.426130-02
8.807490-02
3.209940-03
7.746210-01 | RDER IS 5
11 16 | 00000 | 1.000000+00
0.0
0.0
0.0 | 000000 | 00000 | | 1. Jou Jon + 00
0. 0
0. 0 | 200 |
| 2.651380-03
-1.652070-02
-1.726810-02
-4.072440-03
7.188320-04
-3.724230-02 | EDUCED STATE O
IT STATES ARE | 0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0 | 00000 | 000000
000000 | 00000 | | | 200 |
| | HE DESIRED RI
HE SIGNIFICAN | | 00000 | 000000 | 20000 | 0.00
0.00
1.0)3c00+03 | | |

| Ģ | ~~~~~~~ |
|---|--|
| 00000000000000000000000000000000000000 | -0.0
-0.139920+02
-0.139920+02
0.0
-0.250810+01
-0.250810+01
0.0
0.0
0.0 |
| 000 | |
| | R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R |
| 00 + 1 | 10000000000000000000000000000000000000 |
| 0000 | 2 000000000000000000000000000000000000 |
| 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | |
| 0-000000000000 | 111111
111111
111111
111111
111111
111111 |
| 8 | S 动脉体的成体化体体的发展化体体 |
| • 0000 | |
| 00000000000000000000000000000000000000 | |

e con est

11111 070000+02 4410000+02 3110000+002 703000+01 703000+01 703000+01 752000+000 562000+000 562000+000 752000+000 752000+000 752000+000 752000+000 752000+000 752000+000 752000+000 . 201001000000

1 1

1.1

1 1 1

1++

ŵ.

CONTOCCOTO CONTOCCO CO

91

4 1 1 1 1 1 1

1 1 1

111

11111

| | N-NO- | -0~ | | ししし しょう オントろう | 00 |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|----------------|--|---|
| | 0,0000 | očénn | 00000 | ϕ | |
| | <u></u> | 1+1+1 | 111++ | | <u>++}!++!+!+</u> |
| | | | <u> 299669</u> | | <u> </u> |
| | | | 22222 | 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 222222222222 |
| | | 00000 | | | |
| | 000-000 | | | | |
| | 04040 | O toto | M-NN- | | |
| | | | | | |
| | tom/ | 2-1-min | 104PM | | |
| | 1111 | 1.1 | 1111 | | 1 1 |
| | | | | | |
| | 000000 | | | | |
| | | 00000 | 00000 | | |
| 546 | | | | | |
| 200 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | | |
| 500 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 555555555 | 00000000000 |
| 440 | 10000 | | -CMW4 | -01-00-0-10- | |
| -NO | nin 00 | アーアルク | rnont. | 8-1-11mm2001 | CUUT-COUL |
| - 30 | 00-10 B | jon-io- | 20 - 00 | 0111000000000000 | -ran-anti-t |
| | | | | | |
| Signed - | ーたろうー | うしてもう | うもううう | ~!~!~!~~~~~~~~~ | うう・キー・うりー・うう |
| 1 1 1 | | 1 1 1 1 | 111 | I | |
| 1-1-1 | NNOMN | 0-10-1-1 | 00~-00 | NAUNNAUNONO | -0-0 |
| 200 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000000000 | 000000000 |
| 1 🗕 1 | ++++ | +++++ | · + + + + | +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++ | - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| ວດດ | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 000000000000 | 000000000 |
| 200 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | <u> </u> |
| 202 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000000000 | 00000000000 |
| 7.30 | - <u></u> | 00100 | | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | N 0001-0 4-0W |
| | | | ~ 30~0 | | |
| | | | Summu | | Davonioscinorm |
| n | MND HA | 0-1-0N | J-NON- | | |
| E E | | 1 1 | 111 | 1 1 1 1 1 | 111 |
| | | • • • • • • • • • • • | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 56č | 55655 | 00000 | 00000 | 55555555555 | 000000000 |
| 5õn - | 000000 | 00000 | 00000 | | 665696669 |
| 5 w v | 00400 | 00m | NO4 00 | 000-000+000 | り うううしょう キャ |
| <u>-00</u> | 00000 | アイムもつ | -0.000 | 20044200402 | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ |
| | 201-100 | 04400- | ~ 00mm | CID-CICOCOMO FC | -400.000001 |
| | | | | | |
| | | H414-00 | 4-1-100 | | |
| | × ''' | ×′′ | | × '''''''' | ×'' ''' |
| 202 | -0-00 | HONNHO | 00040 | るちらくかくすー | |
| 000 | 10000ō | 20000 | 00000 | 200000000000 | 2000000000 |
| <u>+ + 1</u> | 5 + 1 + + | -++ <u>+</u> ++- | **1** | <u>H_1111111111</u> | +++++ +++ |
| | 200000 | AOCOOO | 22222 | ₹3666 26666666 | 45563663666 |
| 000 | ~ | ZCOCOC | 00000 | *0000000000C | *2555,000000 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2-40 | H W M M M | | N0 m 4 N | | |
| | | | | $\bullet \bullet $ | |
| ·1~~ | ミシンシー | しゅうしょう | 0 | ちょうう ちょう しょう しょう | NU |
| 1.1 | III 11 | I | 1 I T | | II 111 |
| | have a second | 1 | | les l | have a second |

5-303030+00 5-483030+00 -1-009030-93 -1-780003+00 1

1

1

92

are and a state of the second s

| 50-0CC521-L | |
|----------------|---|
| 10-0002101 | -5.2277000-01
22.756000-01
22.7560000-01
-5.2277000-01
-5.2277000-02
-5.2182000-02
-12.9970000-02
-12.9970000-02
-12.9970000-02 |
| 10+000166*1 | -2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-200
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
-2000
- |
| -3.)64 300-91 | -25.284000+00
7.632000+00
7.632000+00
7.632000-00
7.632000-00
-1.14000-01
-1.234000-00
-3253000-00
-3253000-00
-3253000-00 |
| 2. 126000-01 | -25. 757000-01
8. 477000-01
7. 446000-02
-2. 551000-02
-1. 718000-02
-2. 673000-02
-3. 199000-02
-3. 199000-02
-3. 199000-02 |
| 1.134000-02 | 2.922000+00
5.552000+00
5.552000+00
5.517000+00
6.6155000-01
6.6155000-01
5.0240000-01
5.0240000-01
5.0240000-01 |

| 9.183240-02
3.022930-01
-9.462420-03
-2.791070+00 | -1.837860+04
-9.971110+03
-1.193150+02
4.833000+04
7.003520+03 | -1.075620-32
-1.931620-95
0.0
-4.847580-05
-1.449070-05
-1.668660-05 | -9.300000+01
-1.314000+01
-1.314000+01
-4.671000-01
-4.560000-01
-4.560000-01 |
|---|--|--|---|
| 8.691320+00
4.289780+00
-1.169500-02
-5.385260+01
1.908720+01 | IS
2.359680+01
-1.095970+01
-7.846500-02
-7.681320+01
2.886740101 | IS
-5.672210-05
1.0000000+00
-1.390050-04
-1.730800-04 | -3.991000+00
4.912000-03
0.0
-2.745000-03
-6.403000-03 |
| -3.589750+02
4.105960+01
-7.427640+00
-1.625740+03
1.146700+03 | -9.330150+01
-9.330150+01
7.830630+00
1.211340-01
4.938510+01
-3.043570+01 | -9.154280-03
-9.154280-03
-9.154280-03
-3.126620-02
-3.756300-03
-3.756300-02 | <pre>FRIX IS
-4.020290+03
3.466250-01
0.0
1.831660-02
6.995830-01
1.410850-01
1.410850-01
1.410850-01
1.410850-01</pre> |
| | DNTROL DIS TRIE
-1.408040+02
-2.722130+01
-3.764650+01
7.270000+01 | UTPUT DISTRIBU
-9.944220-01
-9.944220-07
0.0
1.351230-05
1.479580-04
-5.035470-05 | EEDF DRWARD MA1
-3.140490 -01
-2.277060 -01
-7.016040 -03
5.898030 -03
6.266830 -03
1.147810 -03 |
| THE REDUCED P1
-3.050920+03
1.224280-01
2.728570-03
4.131430+00
-1.076980+00 | THE REDUCED C(
-3.544490-02
-4.409450-01
1.752640-02
1.530160+01
-1.890950+00 | THE RECUCED 01
1.928140-01
6.460660-03
0.0
1.253510-05
1.253610-05
1.659760-05
1.659760-05
1.659760-05 | THE REDUCED F
-1.037660-01
-1.092850-04
-1.092850-04
-1.507840-05
-1.507940-05
-2.925660-05 |

OUTPUT SAMPLE **OPTSYS4**

1

ŝ 1 SY STEN ЧC JAUER

s 4

ŝ I C ON TROLS ОF RUMBER

U. **DBSERVATIONS** СF С NUMBER

~

0 18 SOURCES PRUCESS NUISE NUMBER UF

UPEN LCOP DYNAMICS MATRIX ...

GALO Milastes"

.. -2.3870+00 1.0010+00 -6.2550+02 4.5210-01 -2.3560+00 -8.7530+01 1.7630-03 -1.7210-03 -7.1760+00 UPEN LOCP E14ENVALUES -3.232130+0)3:-1.389470+00:-6.946740+303

HIGHT EIGENVECTOR MATRIX..

9.991800-01 3.981450-02 7.386320-03 44 TKIX. -9.508760+01 6.356210+01 1.434560+02 ELGENVECTUR -1.108630+00 -1.180540+00 7.093110-02 3.327950-01 5.535810-01 3.903110-05 PEN LOCP LEFT EI 7.471000-01 -1. -4.228340-01 -1. -6.238610-02 7. ອາກອ тъ н 9.5)7430-01 -5.699790-01 5.673500-04 ١. 0 b ΤĒ

95

SCALING ANTRIX **TEASUREYENT**

111 11 -1.533)-02 -1.5720-06 -1.5720-06 -2.7120-06 -2.7120-06 -3.3720-05 -3.3720-05 -4.0500-05

÷

•

٩

APPENDIX B





MOUAL MEASUREMENT SCALING MATRIX

| 1.4009080+00
6.3945360-03
-1.5509700-01
-2.6074860-04
-2.4156170-05
-6.4176370-05 |
|---|
| -2.4391970-31
-5.3783780-03
-5.2860610-03
-1.3869470-05
-4.11667180-05
-4.2014680-05 |
| 3. 6139690-01
5. 1322530-03
3. 6177840-02
-2. 2567240-05
-3. 5519550-05
-3. 2762070-06 |

MEASUREMENT FEEDTHROUGH MATRIX...

.

| -9.3000+01
-1.3140+01 | -4.6710-01
-4.5600-01
-1.2980-01 | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| -3.9910+00
4.9120-03 | -2:5830-07
-2:7450-03
-6:420-04
-3:6420-05 | |
| -2.9320+03
2.6780-01
8.7240+02 | -1.2640-01
-1.2640-01
-3.6720-02
-4.8610-03 | |
| -3.0300+01
-2.2770-01 | -7.1330-03
5.8460-03
6.1210-03
1.1060-03 | |
| 3.4160-01
-1.3180-04 | 3.51005
3.51005
9.5250-05 | |

DIAGENAL OUTPUT COST MATRIX ...

1.0000-08

1.1463710+04 3.2030180+03 1.3557170+04

ł

3.3197510+0 1.1486810+0 -1.0815020+0

-8-7414480+01 2-9346310+01 2-2326510+01

ŧ

3.5234240+03 -2.3589210+03 -5.4098370+03

1.0766570-01 1.8891450+00 1.6534470+00

16 4

THE CONTROL WEIGHTING MATRIX

| 0.0
0.0
0.0
1.3000-08 |
|--|
| 0.0
0.0
1.0000-02 |
| 0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0 |
| 0.0000+02
0.0000+02 |
| 1.)000-04 |

ELGENSYSTEM OF OPTIMAL CLUSED LOOP SYSTEM ..

CLOSEJ LOUP UPTIMAL REGULATOR EIGENVALUES. -2.028000+06:-1.102770+05:-2.931100+00:

RIGHT EIGENVECTOR MATRIX ..

| 5.533780-02
9.984680-01
-7.666310-05 | |
|---|--|
| -8.623290-01
-5.063410-01
2.750860-03 | |
| -8.725450-01
-4.883060-01 | |

CONTROL EIGENVECTOR MATRIX..

THE CONTROL GAINS ARE:

\$

98

6, 6

-9.3379480+07 -5.2839490+07 -1.1648540+06

1.6236070+04 9.1844620+03 2.0251520+02

-4 - 21 991 30+ 05 -2 - 38 78640+05 -5 - 2640650+03

ender station in such

•

CSMPIII SAMPLE PROGRAM

in the second

INTEGER\*4 [J.K DIMENSION J[5]16] .A(16,16).B(16,5),C(7,16).D(7,5). 570kadE U(5).Y(7).R(2).T(5) FIXED 1 J.K METHOD RKSFR METHOD RKSFR METHOD RKSFR MELERR X(1-16) = 1.000-8 ABSERR X(1-16) = 1.000-8 ABSER X(1-16) = 1.000-8 ABSER X(1-16) = 1.000-8 TABLE V(1-5) = 550.00 TABLE V(1-5) = 550.00 TABLE V(1-5) = 4052.9,4469.5 TABLE R(1-2) = 4052.9,4469.5 0 1.5 • 11 ,,),,=1,16),1 J=1,5) J=1,5) J=1,5) J=1,5) CFC=(R(1)+U(1))/(R(2)+Y(1))
DD 90 F=1.5
U(1)=0.00
DD 95 J=1.16
U(1)=U(1)+Q(1,J)\*X(J)
IF(U(1)=U(1)+Q(1,J)\*X(J)
CFC(J).LE.T(1))
CFC(J).LE.T(1)
CFC(J).LE.T(1)
CFC(J).LE.T(J)
CFC(J).LE.T(J).LE.T(J)
CFC(J).LE.T(J).LE.T(J)
CFC(J).LE.T(J).LE.T(J)
CFC(J).LE.T(J).LE.T(J)
CFC(J).LE.T(J). 90 10 33 5 FORMAT (6E 12 READIS, 2001 READIS, 2001 READIS, 2001 READIS, 2001 READIS, 2001 IF CC NUSURT 200 INI I I A DVNAMI 50 95 06 ŝ 33 2 7

99

6.18 15

A Contractory

The FINT For the contract of t SIMULAT ION SIMULAT ION SIMULATION 1-5),YI SIMUL/ X(2) \*K) + U (K)

 0UTPUT
 X(1-16)
 U(1-16)

 TITLE
 F100
 ENGINE
 X(1)

 0UTPUT
 TIME
 X(1)
 X

 PAGE
 XYPLOT
 XYPLOT
 X(1)

 TITLE
 F100
 CRUISE
 S

 DUTPUT
 TIME
 Y(1)
 X

 PAGE
 XYPLOT
 TIME
 Y(1)

 PAGE
 XYPLOT
 TIME
 Y(1)

 PAGE
 XYPLOT
 TIME
 Y(1)

 PAGE
 XYPLOT
 TIME
 SFC

 PAGE
 XYPLOT
 CRUISE
 S

 PAGE
 XYPLOT
 SFC
 S

 I, KDOT, 16 Ľ = INT GRL 1 (1)=H(1) / (1)=G(1) / 0NT1NUE NUSUR Σ SOR] TER ĥ

100

5 A.

| N-m0-NONO | 10544 | - W4W441 | マライナイ | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | -242-NO 0 |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|--|--|
| <u> </u> | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | ်ပ္ ဝင္ | 0 000000 |
| | *1111 | | | 1 11 | * ****** |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 8000+ | Prono. | ++0++ | N 10.4 | |
| W-00000000000 | or mom | | - 10 0 to 1 | - 00 | N-000000 |
| 001-010-010-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-0 | シアラーしつ | -64WW+0 | | ーううく | man-non + |
| | | | | | • • • • • • • • |
| | 0000000 | 000000 | 00000 | င္ဝဝင္ | o cooooco |
| Non | 01-01-0 | | | 4 74 | |
| 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 00000 | 0,0000 | | | |
| ++++ ++ + +++ | ++111 | | | ÷ ĭĭ | |
| | | | | à ảà | |
| 000000000000000000000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | <u> </u> | 000000000 |
| 0000040-000 | ~~~~ | -000-01 | 00.000 | O QM | 20-4-00 - C-40 |
| 3201-00-1-0000-00 | 200240 | 0.000000 | 0-0-0 | 0 20 | 000+0-04 |
| | | | | 2025 | |
| | | | | | |
| 000000000000000 | 0000000 | 000000 | 00000 | 0000 | 00000000 |
| 111111111111111 | _ 1 1 1 | 11 | 1 1 4 1 1 | 1 1 | 1111 1 |
| N-0-NN0m0-m-0 | 100144 | 0W404 | 44404 | キーやすい | W4-W000-4 |
| | | | o o c o c o | $ \mathbf{p} \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q} q$ | 000000000 |
| | | | | 1 * * 1 1 | |
| | | | | | |
| 00400-40N0000000 | | 000000 | | | |
| -manining and | nt mynu | manma | NO.+Dr. | | m.t~@mo nu.t |
| 00000000000000000000000000000000000000 | NON-BN | -0400 | vmo-o. | oquego | - OM + NF - MO |
| 0/1400-44-m/1-m/04 | 1-100-00 | 0-1-1-1-OC | | ししてて | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | 00000000000 |
| | | | | | |
| 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | $\dot{\mathbf{o}}$ | 00000000 |
| · Ŧ Ŧ Ŧ + Ŧ + Ţ Ŧ + Ţ Ŧ + + 4 | Þ+++ | 11111 | ĪĪĪĪ | i # i - i | <u> </u> |
| ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | 000000 | 00000 | | 200 0 | 000000000 |
| 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 200000 | 000000 | 00000 | | 00000000000 |
| | | | | | |
| 224010000000000000000000000000000000000 | | | | + 00 m | |
| しょうしてちゃうろしょうでしょう | WON-N-BOC | 04 NO MAI | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | 10.400 | Sur Civinanon |
| | | | | | |
| <u>ှင့်ခဲ့ခဲ့ခဲ့ခဲ့ခဲ့ခဲ့ခဲ့ခဲ့ခဲ့ခဲ့ခ</u> ဲ့စ | ာဝင်ငင်င်ဝဝင | 000000 | oudóca | bacau | ccccccccc |
| | | 111 | | 1 1 | |
| | | 444000 | 40404 | | |
| | | 00000 | | | |
| | | | <u>à à à à à à a</u> | hàã ă | |
| 55666 <u>76766</u> 7665666 | 6666536 | 000056 | 575556 | 555 8 | 22222222222 |
| | www.we | 0000 | 00-00 | <u>040 -</u> | -000-440- |
| | TO D D NING | 00040 | B OUNDIN | | onuuo-aoc |
| | | 15 W 5 G 10 | | 20200 | 0-0-0-0- |
| | | | | | |
| 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | | | 50000 | 000000000 |
| | | - + + - | - i - i | i i 🦷 i | 1 1 1 1 1 |
| ~~~~~ | 4-10-1-mu 4 | -40004 | うしょうかい | 0-10 O | 04-1100000 |
| | | 000000 | o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o | boc o | |
| 3333344555445455 | 542444 | | ትናትትዮ | 77 2 7 | ****** |
| 22222222222222222 | | 200200 | | | |
| -04-0-00-44-000 | -m-m-m- | Ouver | 772000 | n non | +0mmminot |
| JOHNN BULLER UNING | NOC+.TON | ົງ ເປັນ ແລະ | Jaurac | 1-1 20 | 000004 |
| NEVIBBORD-N4END-R | - mmin-100 | O4maur. | | ⊃4 <u>∿</u> _0 | ~ @ - WW- 00 @ |
| | - $ -$ | しょううう | ーうくてん・ | -m~10m | こことものりとし |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | • • | |

.3

| \sim | 74 | 00 | 2 | 00 | 20 | 0 | 22 | 29 | 20 | 29 | 22 | \mathbf{S} | 2 | 0 | | |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------|-------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|----|----|
| 1.0 | ÷ + | $\dot{\tau}$ | Ŧ | | | 4 | 9 | <u>-</u> | ÷. | 2 Y |)C | 24 | ¥ | С
• | | |
| 99 | 20 | QÓ | ġ | ġ | 20 | ġ | 20 | Ż | ġġ | ģġ | Ì⊆ | 0 | 0 | ġ. | | |
| 0 | | 50 | Sec. | | | ä | 20 | 5 | | | 50 | | S | 8 | | |
| ž | ōσ | 00 | 4 | õ | 50 | õ | õ | 5 | 5 | ŏ | 5č | Su' | ē | ŏ | | |
| \mathbb{C}^{2} | 0~ | 00 | - | 20 | ΞĞ | 20 | <u>Ō</u> | 2 | ġ | ŽS | 22 | 2 | ğ | õ | | |
| | ••• | | | | ر این
۱۹ | | 5 | | | <u>الار</u> | _بر.
• | ••• | | • | | |
| 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | Ó | 90 | 0 | 0 | 5 | C ′ | 20 | DC |) († | 0 | 0 | | |
| 1 | 11 | ~! | 2 | .+/ | 20 | 0 | ~ | - | | ~ | | | 0 | ~ | | |
| 00 | 20 | 00 | 0 | òò | őŏ | ŏ | ŏ | 5 | ŏ | Š | Šč | õ | õ | ŏ | | |
| 1: | <u>+</u> + | ±1 | * | 1: | <u>+</u> + | ± | ± : | <u>+</u> . | ± : | <u>+</u> | ! + | : ± | ± | * | | |
| | | | | ä | | 20 | 00 | 3 | | 20 | 20 | 20 | B | č | | |
| 6 | νŪ | ώÕ | ň | ōð | õõ | õ | ŏ | 5 | ō | 50 | λċ | 5 | õ | ŏ | | |
| 25 | 2 N | 15 | * | | 20 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 29 | 2: | 07 |) † | 2 | 2 | | |
| ŝ | | in or | 8 | - | ŠČ | 20 | ົວ | 3 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 56 | 8 | S. | | |
| • | • • | • • | ٠ | • | • | | • | • | ٠ | • | • | • | | • | | |
| C (| 20 | çç | 9 | -00 | c | Q | 00 | | 00 | D. | vc | 2 | 0 | 0 | | |
| N | 'nċ | | ÷ | 0 | 00 | 0 | 0 | n | 0 | 00 | c | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| ¢¢ | ٥Õ | co | 0 | ō | çq | Õ | Ó | D) | õ | 20 | 20 |)C | Ô | Ċ. | | |
| 1 | ** | | * | * | ** | :* | * . | 1 | * | *: | <u>+</u> + | :* | * | * | | |
| 20 | 20 | | ö | 20 | 50 | 5 | 20 | ธัง | ď | 22 | 20 | ŝ | Ö | 5 | | |
| Эr | ٩Õ | щ | N | 0 | οġ | Õ | ð | Ž, | õ | Ē¢ | ÞĈ | Ō | Ō | Ó | | |
| 2. | | 90 | <u></u> | ട് | 20 | S | <u>S</u> ` | <u>9</u> | 20 | 38 | 32 | λā | ន | 3 | | |
| N. | - 00 | Ś | N | ŏ | ŏč | ŏ | ŏ | 3 | ŏ | 50 | 5č | õ | ŏ | ó | | |
| - | : | | | | | :- | | • | | | | | | | | |
| Q | 1 | 11 | Ŷ | | 9 | 0 | 0. | | | | JC | | 0 | • | | |
| .+0 | ч — ́ | щġ | ÷. | 0 | 20 | 2 | Ċ, | + | 0 | 00 | 27 | 5 | 4 | C | | |
| 20 | ŠĞ | 00 | Ó | - QC | 5Ġ | ò | òò | ? | ò | òç | ŠČ | $\mathbf{\dot{o}}$ | ç | ç | | |
| ō, | ĥě | hā | ā | ŏ | δč | òò | ō | ÷. | ā | Ā | Sč | 5 | õ | ā | | |
| 26 | ΰð | 20 | 0 | ō | õğ | ò | <u>Š</u> ć | ō | 5 | 5 | 5č | Ó | ō | ē | | |
| 21 | 25 | 40 | <u> </u> | 20 | 22 | 12 | Ξ. | ŭ | 2 | 20 | 22 | S | <u>C</u> | 2 | | |
| | δū | Om | - | ŏ | õć | 6 | õ | | ŏ | 56 | бč | ŏŏ | 2 | ŏ | | |
| -01 | 2- | 40 | - | 0 | 20 | ù. | <u> </u> | 10 | õ | 00 | ٥٩ | ò | ŝ | C | | |
| ~ | | | | ം | | • • | ċ | | - | • | | | | ċ | | |
| 1 | Ĭ | ίĪ | <u> </u> | | | , I | _ | | _ | - | | _ | | | | |
| 2 | NO
NO | -0 | O | | | 20 | | 2 | | | † C | 2 | 0 | | 1 | |
| | | | + | | | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 50 | 14 | | 4 | 4
4
4 | | |
| ģ | ġg | ġġ | Q | įog | ģģ | Ó | ġ | چَ | ġ | ٥¢ | έġ | ġ | Q | òġ | 1 | |
| 5 | 20 | 20 | 20 | | 20 | 22 | 28 | 32 | | 22 | 25 | 3 | S | 20 | 1 | |
| 2 | J IN | 40 | ~ | 171 | īδ | ŏ | č | 5 | ŏ | č | 50 | ۰õ | õ | 20 | | |
| 1 | -3 | Su | 41 | Vm | ΞS | 2 | 29 | ġ | 2 | 2. | ٥Ç | 23 | 2 | 22 | | |
| un (| | | -
- | | -0 | 20 | с. | | 2 | 9- | | | | | | |
| Ŏ | 5¢ | ÓĊ | Ó | 5-4 | óđ | 0 | Ć | Ö | Ó | Ö, | \$C | Ó | O | Ċ0 | • | |
| 4 | | 1 | - | | ~~ | <u> </u> | <u>_</u> | _ | | - | | | - | 00 | | |
| ö | So | 000 | ö | | ŏč | 20 | ŏ | Š | 0 | č | Šč | šā | 3 | čď | | |
| | Ī | Ĩŧ | . <u>*</u> : | È Ì | ž | Ξ | ÷ | ž. | Ī. | ÷. | Ī | • | Ŧ | ÷Ŧ | | |
| 5 | | | 55 | | | 20 | 20 | 2 | | | | 32 | 20 | 23 | - | |
| বা | バ | i o m | 2 | | õč | ò | Õ | õ | | ōè | бč | õõ | Ó | ćδ | 5 | |
| N | 22 | 22 | 2 | *** | 22 | 2 | 2 | 2 | m e | 21 | 22 | 22 | 2 | 22 | 4 | α, |
| 30 | 20.0 | | iv. | Val | õč | 5 | ž | Š | ŝ | Š, | 40 | 50 | 3 | ča | 5 | 1 |
| | • • | • • | • | | • | • | | • | ٠ | • | • | | | | 00 | ΞÓ |
| <u> </u> | νç | 00 | 91 | ەبە | ÞC | 0 | 00 | 2 | ٩ | ` . | ,,⊂ | 0 | 0 | 00 | ZF | -2 |
| • | • | | | | | | | | • | | * | | | | | |

1.21 28 34

LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Sain, M.K., and others, <u>Alternatives for Linear Multi-</u> variable Control, NEC Inc., Chicago, Ill., 1978.
- 2. Szuch, J.R., "Techniques for Jet Engine Systems Modeling," Control and Dynamics, Volume 14, 1978.
- 3. Szuch, J.R. and Seldner, K., "A Real-Time Simulation of the F100-PW-100 Turbofan Engine Using the Hybrid Computer," NASA Technical Memo X-3261, NASA Lewis Research Center, 1975.
- Pratt & Whitney Aircraft, Florida Research and Development Center, "F100-PW-100(3) Transient Engine Simulation Deck. User's Manual for Deck CCD 1103-3.0," FR-9476, January 1978.
- 5. Miller, R.J. and Hackney, R.D., "F100 Multivariable Control System Engine Models/Design Criteria," Air Force Aero Propulsion Laboratory, TR-76-74, 1976.
- 6. Kwakernaak, H. and Sivan, R., Linear Optimal Control Systems, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1972.
- 7. Hall, W.E. and Bryson, A.E., "OPTSYS4 Computer Program," Stanford University, 1979.
- DeHoff, R.L. and Hall, W.E., "State Space Techniques and Modeling for Control," <u>Control and Dynamics</u>, Vol. 14, 1978.
- 9. Weinberg, M.S. and Adams, G.R., "Low Order Linearized Models of Turbine Engines," Aeronautical Systems Division TR-75-24, July 1975.
- 10. DeHoff, R.L. and Skira, C.A., "A Practical Approach to Linear Model Analysis for Multivariable Turbine Engine Control Design," <u>Alternatives for Linear Multivariable</u> <u>Control</u>, NEC Inc., Chicago, Ill., 1978.
- 11. Kirk, D.E., Optimal Control Theory, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1970.

Sec. 1. is in

12. Hill, P.G. and Peterson, C.R., <u>Mechanics and Thermo-</u> <u>dynamics of Propulsion</u>, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1965.

103
- 13. Speckhart, F.H. and Green, W.L., <u>A Guide to Using CSMP</u>, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1976.
- 14. Bryson, A.E. and Ho, Y.C., <u>Applied Optimal Control</u>, Hemisphere Publishing Co., Washington, D.C., 1975.

104

. .

-1

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

/ • ·

| | | No. | Copies |
|----|---|-----|--------|
| 1. | Defense Technical Information Center
Cameron Station
Alexandria, Virginia 22314 | | 2 |
| 2. | Library, Code 0142
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940 | | 2 |
| 3. | Department Chairman, Code 67
Department of Aeronautics
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940 | | 2 |
| 4. | Professor D.J. Collins, Code 67Co
Department of Aeronautics
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940 | | 1 |
| 5. | LT Barry Lawrence Dougherty, USN
Box 73
East Meredith, New York 13757 | | 1 |
| 6. | Mr. Charles A. Gardner
Pacific Missile Test Center
Point Mugu, CA 93042 | | 1 |
| 7. | Dr. Gerhard Heiche
AIR-310
Naval Air Systems Command
Washington, DC 20361 | | 1 |

105

CARA CARDON CONTRACTOR

٩.