AD-A109 802	NATI AUG A	DNAL DA	E UTIC M SAFET STETSO	Y PROGR	AM. TO	(SPILL)	VAY DAM DACWS	(INVEN 51-81-C	F/G 13 TORYE -0009 NL	/13 TC(U)	
} a 2 45 500-₩62							E				
								N.C.		7	
		*									







NEW YORK DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS

AUGUST 1981

01 19 82119

۰ ۲.

I. KEPORT NUNGER	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
	01 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG HUNDER
AD ASHIVE	-
4. TITLE (and Subility)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
Phase I Inspection Report	Phase I Inspection Report
Tomhannock Spillway	National Dam Safety Progra
Upper Hudson River Basin, Rensselaer County, NY	5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
Inventory No. NY60117	
7. AUTHOR()	B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER()
JOHN B. STETSON	DACW31-81-C-0009
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT. TASK
Stetson-Dale	AREA & WORK UNIT HUNBERS
185 Genesee Street	
Utica, New York 13501	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Department of the Army	10 September 1981
26 Federal Plaza New York District, CofE	11 HUMBER OF PAGES
New York, New York 10283	-
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME'& ADDRESS(II difformt from Controlling Office)	
Department of the Army	-
26 Federal Plaza New York District, CofE	UNCLASSIFIED
New York, NY 10287	150. DECLASSIFICATION DO THERADING
15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the adotract entered in Block 20, 11 different !	rom Report)
•	· · · · ·
•	a 100 million 100 mi
	·
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES-	
	· · · · · · ·
19. KEY HORDS (Continue on reverse side Bracessary and Identify by block number Dam Safety	(a)
National Dan Safety Program	•
Visual Inspection	Momboursel Grill
Hydrology, Structural Stability	Tomhannock Spillway
mine were statisty	Rensselaer
	7
	Upper Hudson River Basir

.

.

.

.

.

• •

• •

The hydrologic/hydraulic analysis indicates that the dam will be overtopped by 1.1 feet by the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). However, the spillway can pass the 1/2 PMF with 3.7 feet of freeboard. Therefore, the spillway is assessed as inadequate according to the Corps of Engineers' screening criteria.

The visual inspection did not reveal conditions which would indicate evidence of structural displacement or instability. The conclusion derived from evaluating the factors involved in the spillway design and forces which could act on the spillway structure is that the stability will be retained under the PMF conditions providing the composite spillway facility remains in good condition and structurally integrated.

Investigate the seepage at the toe of the earthfill embankment near the left abutment. The area should be monitored and records should be maintained to detect changing conditions which might affect the safety of the facility.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(Witen Data Enternd)

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detected and only through continued care and maintenance can these conditions be prevented or corrected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.



1

PREFACE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Prefa ce	
Assessment of General Conditions	i-ii
Overvi ew Photograph	
Section 1 - Project Information	1-4
Section 2 - Engineering Data	5
Section 3 - Visual Inspection	6-7
Section 4 - Operation and Maintenance Procedures	8
Section 5 - Hydrologic/Hydraulic	9-10
Section 6 - Structural Stability	11-15
Section 7 - Assessment/Remedial Measures	16-17

APPENDIX

Photographs	А
Visual Inspection Checklist	В
Hydrologic/Hydraulic, Engineering Data and Computations	С
References	D
Stability Analysis	£
Previous Inspection Reports/Available Documents	F
Drawings:	G
Figure 1 - Location Map	
Figure 2 - Plan of Earthen Embankment	
Figure 3 - Section of Earthen Embankment	
Figure 4 - Plan & Elevation of Previous Spillway Configure	ration
Figure 5 - Plan & Elevation of Reconstructed Spillway	
Figure 6 - Elevation of Reconstructed Spillway Showing	neet Piling
Figure 7 - Cross Sections of Spillway	
Figure 8 - Details & Cross Sections of Spillway	
Figure 9 - Details of Reconstruction of Downstream Side (of Spillway
Figure 10 - Plan & Sections of Low Level Outlet	
Figure 11 - Cross Section of Spillway and Downstream Over	flow Section
Figure 12 - Geologic Map	

-2.4. 1.4.4.4.

...

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dam: State Located: County: Watershed: Stream: Date of Inspection: Tomhannock Spillway Dam I.D. NO. NY 117 New York Rensselaer Upper Hudson River Basin Tomhannock Creek May 1, 1981

ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

The Phase I inspection of the Tomhannock Spillway Dam did not indicate conditions which would constitute an immediate hazard to human life or property. However the dam has some deficiencies which require remedial work.

The hydrologic/hydraulic analysis indicates that the dam will be overtopped by 1.1 feet by the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). However, the spillway can pass the 1/2 PMF with 3.7 feet of freeboard. Therefore, the spillway is assessed as inadequate according to the Corps of Engineers' screening criteria.

The visual inspection did not reveal conditions which would indicate evidence of structural displacement or instability. The conclusion derived from evaluating the factors involved in the spillway design and forces which could act on the spillway structure is that the stability will be retained under the PMF conditions providing the composite spillway facility remains in good condition and structurally integrated.

Investigate the seepage at the toe of the earthfill embankment near the left abutment. The area should be monitored and records should be maintained to detect changing conditions which might affect the safety of the facility.

The following deficiencies should be corrected by the Owner within one year:

- 1. Appropriate steps should be taken to eliminate woodchucks from the embankment.
- 2. The slopes of the embankment should be cleared of trees and brush and a sod cover should be established to allow easy access to the slopes for inspection.
- 3. The earth embankments at the abutments of the spillway should be cleared of trees and brush and material should be replaced to bring the crest to a uniform elevation throughout their entire length. Steps should be taken to secure these areas from traffic by the public.
- 4. The displaced riprap on the upstream face of the earthfill embankment should be repaired.

í

- 5. A formalized inspection system should be adopted and records maintained so that changing conditions at the facility may be readily detected.
- 6. A flood warning and emergency evacuation plan should be implemented to alert the public should conditions occur which could result in failure of the dam.

Dale Engineering Company

2500 President John Stetson. Killand E. Mayo CR.

Approved By: Date:

10 SEP 1981

Col. W. M. Smith, JP. New York District Engineer



1. Overview of embankment section of Tomhannock Spillway Dam,



- 1336-9

2. Overview of Spillway of Tomhannock Spillway Dam.

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM TOMHANNOCK SPILLWAY DAM I.D. NO. NY 117 HUDSON RIVER BASIN RENSSELAER, NEW YORK

SECTION 1: PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL

a. Authority

Authority for this report is provided by the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367 of 1972. It has been prepared in accordance with a contract for professional services between Dale Engineering Company and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

b. Purpose of Inspection

The purpose of this inspection is to evaluate the existing condition of the Tomhannock Spillway Dam and appurtenant structures, owned by City of Troy, New York, and to determine if the dam constitutes a hazard to human life or property and to transmit findings to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

This Phase I inspection report does not relieve an Owner or Operator of a dam of the legal duties, obligations or liabilities associated with the ownership or operation of the dam. In addition, due to the limited scope of services for these Phase I investigations, the investigators had to rely upon the data furnished to them. Therefore, this investigation is limited to visual inspection, review of data prepared by others, and simplified hydrologic, hydraulic and structural stability evaluations where appropriate. The investigators do not assume responsibility for defects or deficiencies in the dam or in the data provided.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

The Tomhannock Spillway Dam is an earthen embankment approximately 450 feet long with a maximum height of approximately 68 feet. The Tomhannock Reservoir serves as the principal water supply source for the City of Troy, New York. The embankment has a top width of approximately 24 feet and is traversed by a rural highway. The side slopes on the embankment are 2 horizontal: 1 vertical on both slopes. The embankment contains a concrete core wall and is constructed with an impervious fill on the upstream face of the core wall. The remaining slopes of the dam are unclassified earth embankments. The upstream face of the dam is protected by riprap which extends approximately 10 feet below the normal water surface elevation. A drain line consisting of a S-foot diameter steel pipe encased in concrete is situated near the center of the embankment. A gatehouse at the top of the embankment controls three 1' 6" x 4' 6" sluice gates at the entrance to the drain line. A second gatehouse located at

the toe of the downstream slope controls the outlet of the drain line through four 30 inch diameter gate valves which are manifolded into the drain line. The spillway from the reservoir is located approximately 1,000 feet south of the main embankment. The spillway consists of a 300 foot long, broad crested weir with an ogee shaped spillway face. This spillway is approximately 7 feet high and discharges to a concrete apron below which is located a second ogee shaped spillway with a height of approximately 11 feet into the receiving stream channel. Earth embankments approximately 200 feet long extend from the spillway abutments into original ground. The water intake for the City of Troy water system is located remote from the dam and spillway site and has no affect on dam safety.

b. Location

The reservoir is located in the Town of Pittstown and the Town of Schaghticoke, Rensselaer County, New York.

c Size Classification

The maximum height of the dam is 68 feet. The volume of the impoundment is approximately 56,600 acre feet. Therefore, the dam is in the large size classification as defined by the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams.

d. Hazard Classification

Three residential properties are located near the bank of Tomhannock Creek approximately 4 miles downstream from the reservoir. Therefore, the dam is in the high hazard classification as defined by the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams.

e. Ownership

The dam is owned by the City of Troy, New York.

Contact: Richard Casey Commissioner of Public Utilities City of Troy 65 Leversee Road Troy, New York 12182 Telephone: (518) 270-4500

f. Purpose of the Dam

The dam is used as a water supply reservoir for the public water system of the City of Troy.

g. Design and Construction History

Plans for the Tomhannock Reservoir are dated 1902. Construction was reportedly completed on the facility in 1905. Newspaper clippings included in Appendix F indicate that failure of the principal spillway occured in the spring of 1917. Emergency repairs were made immediately after this

event. The spillway was reconstructed in approximately 1926 to the present configuration.

Normal Operational Procedure h.

Water level in the reservoir is monitored by the City of Troy, Department of Public Utilities. During normal operation, water in excess of the supply needs crests the spillway and discharges through Tomhannock Creek. Each spring, the excess flows are also allowed to discharge through the drain line. This allows for periodic exercise of the gate valves which regulate flow through the drain. The dam is periodically inspected by representatives of the City of Troy, Department of Public Utilities.

1.3 PERTINENT DATA

a. Drainage Area

The drainage area of Tomhannock Spillway Dam is 67 square miles.

b. Discharge at Dam Site

No discharge records are available for this site.

Computed discharges:

Ungated spillway, top of dam Gated drawdown*	43,560 cfs 540 cfs
c. Elevation (feet above MSL)	
Top of dam Spillway crest Stream bed at centerline of dam	401 390 333 <u>+</u>
d. Reservoir	
Length of normal pool	27,000 ft
e. Storage**	
Top of dam Spillway crest	56,600 acre feet 35,900 acre feet
f. Reservoir Area	
Top of dam Spillway Pool	2,000 acres 1,740 acres

Discharge through 5 foot diameter steel blowoff pipe, with reservoir at spillway crest.

Obtained from City of Troy - Bureau of Engineering Storage Curve. considering volume above Elevation 355 only.

g. Dam

Type - earth fill Length - 450 feet Height - 68 feet Freeboard between normal reservoir and top of dam - 11 feet Top width - 24 feet Side slopes- Upstream: 2 horizontal: 1 vertical Downstream: 2 horizontal: 1 vertical Zoning - Impervious fill upstream of core wall Impervious core - concrete core wall Grout Curtain - none

h. Spillway

Type - concrete, inclined crest with rounded D/S corner Length - 300 feet Crest elevation - 390 Gates - none U/S Channel - impoundment D/S Channel - natural stream

i. Regulating Outlets

5 foot diameter pipe encased in concrete

Upstream: Gates, 3 - 1' 6" x 4' 6" Downstream: Gate Valves, 4 - 30" diameter

SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 GEOTECHNICAL DATA

a. Geology

Tomhannock Reservoir is located in the Hudson Valley section of the Valley and Ridge Province. This is a part of the Appalachian Highlands, the major physiographic division. No outcrops of bedrock were seen in the vicinity of the dam or spillway. As shown on the generalized geologic map (central east part of map) south of the dam bedrock is the Middle Ordovician Canajoharie Shale. North of the dam are undifferentiated Middle Ordovocian through Lower Cambrian rocks consisting of shales, quartzite, limestone, conglomerate, and graywacke. Contact between these two is represented by a strike-slip fault which apparently passes through the dam.

The Canajoharie Shale is a soft black carbonaceous, slightly calcareous shaly claystone. Exposed, this rock weathers easily, disarticulates, and on moderte to steep slopes slumps readily.

b. Subsurface Investigations

No detailed subsurface information was available concerning the foundation of the original structure. According to the 1902 plans, the dam core wall was to be placed on hardpan and hard blue clay. The plans of 1916 show no indication as to the subsurface beneath the dam. The 1916 report states that the foundation bed under the spillway is rock and clay. A letter of 1918 states that the bed of the dam is of blue clay with a well cemented gravel below. This description suggests glacial drift. The plans included in Appendix G show some soil characteristics at test holes at the embankment and spillway.

2.2 DESIGN RECORDS

No reports were available from the original design of the dam. The available plans are included in Appendix G.

2.3 CONSTRUCTION RECORDS

No information was available concerning the original construction.

2.4 OPERATIONAL RECORDS

There are no operational records available for this dam other than the reservoir water level readings on file with the City of Troy, Department of Public Utilities.

2.5 EVALUATION OF DATA

The data presented in this report was obtained from the City of Troy, Department of Public Utilities and from the files of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Dam Safety Section. The available information appears to be reliable and adequate for a Phase 1 inspection report.

SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 FINDINGS

a. General

The Tomhannock Spillway Dam was inspected on May 1, 1981. The Dale Engineering Company Inspection Team was accompanied by Neil Bonesteel of the City of Troy, Department of Public Utilities. During the inspection, the weather was fair. Water level in the impoundment was 390.1.

b. Dam

The crest of the dam was of uniform section and no evidence of subsidence or misalignment was detected. The upstream slope of the earthen embankment was overgrown with trees and brush near the top of the slope. Some displacement of the riprap slope protection was detected. This displacement was probably due to vandalism. The downstream slope of the dam was overgrown with small trees and brush. The brush cover has completely shaded out the protective sod covering on the slope exposing the bare earth. Some evidence of recent cutting of brush was evident near the center of the dam. A few woodchuck burrows were detected on the downstream slope. An area of seepage approximately 40 feet along the length of the embankment and 15 feet high was detected at the toe of the slope near the left abutment. The area was soft and wet but no evidence of piping, sloughing or other displacement was detected. Wetland grasses were prevalent in the area indicating that the condition has existed for some period of time. The downstream slope of the embankment was uniform and no signs of subsidence or sloughing was detected.

c. Appurtenant Structures

Both the gatehouse at the crest of the dam and the gatehouse at the downstream toe were found to be in generally good condition. The gates in both facilities are exercised annually. Markings on the valve operating stems indicated the year in which the gates were opened during spring runoff. The inspection team also visited the water supply intake which is located remote from the dam. This facility was found to be in good condition with all mechanical equipment in operating condition. The facility, however, has no bearing upon dam safety.

d. Spillway Structure

محمد المرجز وراء

Water was cresting the spillway to a depth of approximately 1/2 inch during the inspection. Although no close examination was possible because of the spillway discharge, the concrete on the spillway section when viewed through the flowing water showed only minor deterioration of the surface at horizontal joints. Some minor spalling has also occurred on the abutment walls of the spillway. The earth embankment sections at the abutments of the spillway section show some evidence of erosion due to pedestrian traffic. The left embankment is heavily overgrown with brush and trees so that a close inspection of this area is difficult. The crest of this embankment section does not appear to be of uniform height so that the freeboard of the facility might be slightly reduced in those areas where erosion has occurred. Erosion has also occurred at the crest of the

earth embankment immediately adjacent to the right spillway abutment. This area again would cause localized flow and erosion should the water level approach the crest of the dam. Just upstream from the spillway, a line of steel sheetpiling was evident at the surface of the ground. This sheetpiling was installed during the 1926 reconstruction of the spillway.

e. Reservoir Area

The reservoir area covers approximately 1,740 acres. The ground slopes gently at the shore of the impoundment. No known areas of slope instability are reputed to exist around the reservoir.

3.2 EVALUATION

The visual inspection revealed several deficiencies on this structure. The following items were noted:

- 1. The seepage at the toe of the earthfill embankment near the left abutment should be monitored and records should be maintained to detect changing conditions which might affect the safety of the facility.
- 2. Woodchuck holes were detected on the downstream face of the embankment. Appropriate steps should be taken to eliminate woodchucks from the embankment.
- 3. The slopes of the embankment should be cleared of trees and brush and sod cover should be established to allow easy access to the slopes for inspection.
- 4. The earth embankments at the abutments of the spillway should be cleared of trees and brush and material should be replaced to bring the crest to a uniform elevation throughout their entire length. Steps should be taken to secure these areas from traffic by the public.
- 5. The displaced riprap on the upstream face of the earthfill embankment should be repaired.

SECTION 4: OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

4.1 PROCEDURES

This reservoir provides the main supply of water for the City of Troy Public Water System. Water levels at the impoundment are monitored by the Department of Public Utilities. The dam is inspected periodically by personnel from the department. Excess flows are allowed to discharge through the spillway. During spring runoffs, the reservoir drain is opened to allow flow from this facility.

4.2 MAINTENANCE OF THE DAM

Maintenance and operation of the dam is controlled by the City of Troy, Department of Public Utilities. Periodic visits are made to the site to check on conditions of the facilities. Conditions at the site indicate that the facility is generally well maintained. No formalized inspection system is in effect at the facility.

4.3 MAINTENANCE OF OPERATING FACILITIES

The valves controlling flow through the reservoir are in operating condition and well maintained.

4.4 DESCRIPTION OF WARNING SYSTEM

No warning system is in effect at present.

4.5 EVALUATION

The dam and appurtenances are normally inspected by personnel from the City of Troy, Department of Public Utilities although the inspection procedure is not formalized. The following procedures should be adopted by the Owner:

- 1. A formalized inspection system should be adopted and records maintained so that changing conditions at the facility may be readily detected.
- 2. A flood warning and emergency evacuation plan should be implemented to alert the public should conditions occur which could result in failure of the dam.

SECTION 5: HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC

5.1 DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS

The vast majority of the Tomhannock Reservoir is located in Pittstown, New York, with a small portion of the reservoir and the reservoir spillway located in Schaghticoke. The dam has a drainage area of 67 square miles, which is characterized by wooded and agricultural areas interspersed by a few hamlets. The basin slopes vary from moderate to steeply sloped hillsides. A few small ponds and lakes are located in the drainage basin, but have little effect on the inflow to Tomhannock Reservoir due to their small storage capacities. The reservoir has a surface area of 2.7 square miles and discharges into Tomhannock Creek which flows in a northwesterly direction to its confluence with the Hoosic River.

5.2 ANALYSIS CRITERIA

The purpose of this investigation is to evaluate the dam and spillway with respect to their flood control potential and adequacy. This has been assessed through the evaluation of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) for the watershed and the subsequent routing of the flood through the reservoir and the dam's spillway system. The PMF event is that hypothetical flow induced by the most critical combination of precipitation, minimum infiltration loss and concentration of run-off of a specific location that is considered reasonably possible for a particular drainage area.

The hydrologic analysis was performed using the unit hydrograph method to develop the flood hydrograph. Due to the limited scope of this Phase I investigation, certain assumptions based on experience and existing data, were used in this analysis and in the determination of the dam's spillway capacity to pass the PMF. In the event that the dam could not pass 1/2 the Probable Maximum Flood without overtopping, additional analyses are to be performed on potential dam failures if the dam is designated as a High Hazard Classification. This process was done with the concept that if the dam was unable to satisfy this criteria, further refined hydrologic investigations would be required.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Hydrologic Engineering Center's Computer Program HEC-1 DB using the Modified Puls Method of flood routing was used to evaluate the dam, spillway capacity, and downstream hazard.

Unit hydrographs were defined by Snyder coefficients, C_t and C_p . Snyder's C_t was estimated to be 2.0 for the drainage area and C_p was estimated to be 0.625. The drainage area was divided into sub-areas to model the variability in hydrologic characteristics within the drainage basin. Run-off, routing and flood hydrograph combining was then performed to obtain the flow into the reservoir. In this analysis, the reservoir pool was assumed to be at the spillway crest elevation at the start of the storm and outflow through the low level outlet was assumed to be zero.

The Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) was 19.4 inches according to Hydrometeorological Report (HMR #33) for a 24-hour duration storm, 200 square mile basin, while loss rates were set at 1.0 inches initial abstraction and 0.1 inches/hour continuous loss rate. The loss rate function yielded 83 percent run-off from the PMF. The peak for the PMF inflow hydrograph was 79,084 cfs and the 1/2 PMF inflow peak was 39,282 cfs. The storage capacity of the reservoir above the spillway reduced these peak flows to 51,461 cfs for the PMF and 23,126 cfs for the 1/2 PMF flow.

5.3 SPILLWAY CAPACITY

The spillway is an uncontrolled weir 300 feet in length with an inclined crest and rounded downstream corner. The discharge capacity at the top of dam elevation is 43,560 cfs.

SPILLWAY CAPACITY

Flood	<u>Peak Discharge</u>	Capacity as % of Flood Discharge
P MF	51,461 cfs	85%
1/2 PMF	23,126 cfs	188%

5.4 RESERVOIR CAPACITY

The reservoir storage capacity was obtained from a curve prepared by the City of Troy, Bureau of Engineering, in 1951 for the storage capacity of the reservoir above elevation 355 and from USGS mapping. The resulting estimates of the reservoir storage capacity above elevation 355 are shown below:

Top of Dam	56,610 Acre Feet
Spillway Crest	35,900 Acre Feet

5.5 FLOODS OF RECORD

There are no available records on water levels or flood discharges for this site.

5.6 OVERTOPPING POTENTIAL

The HEC-1 DB analysis indicates that the dam will be overtopped by flows in excess of 85% of the PMF. The abutments will be overtopped by 1.1 feet by the PMF, but the 1/2 PMF can be passed by the spillway with 3.7 feet of freeboard.

5.7 EVALUATION

The hydrologic/hydraulic analysis indicates that the dam will be overtopped by 1.1 feet by the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). However, the spillway can pass the 1/2 PMF with 3.7 feet of freeboard. Therefore, the spillway is assessed as inadequate according to the Corps of Engineers' screening criteria.

SECTION 6: STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

a. Visual Observations

The man-made structures responsible for containing the Tomhannock Reservoir at its present level include an earthen dam and a separate concrete spillway. A plan distance of approximately 1,000 feet which exists between these two structures consists of the areas natural topography, whose surface is generally slightly higher than the elevation of the earthen dam.

The 450 feet long earthen embankment and concrete core wall dam, having a maximum height on the order of 68 feet, shows no evidence of misalignment, or significant settlement or sloughing, or other conditions which would indicate serious structural movement or structural distress. However, damp-soft ground with a limited quantity of surface water exists close to the downstream toe of the dam near to the left abutment which indicate that some through-the-dam or underdam seepage occurs. Swamp type vegetation (cat-tails) has taken hold in the damp area, an implication that the seepage condition has been ongoing for some period.

The downstream face of the dam is covered with a dense growth of brush which includes some small trees; as a result, the sod/grass cover is sparse. A few small animal burrows were noted in the downstream face.

The visible zone of the upstream face of the dam is protected with a stone riprap. This protective blanket is in generally good condition but stone is missing from a few locations. A moderate growth of low and medium height vegetation exists on the upper section of this upstream slope.

Water flowing across the concrete surfaced spillway drops in two stages to the downstream channel. The 9-foot upper level drop occurs across an ogee shaped surface onto a 50-foot long apron leading to the lower level ogee shaped section, where an approximately 12-foot drop then occurs. Energy dissipating pool zones are constructed into the aprons at the base of the upper and the lower spillways. The spillway structure shows no evidence of structural distress. The exposed concrete gives the appearance of being in relatively good condition, and no structural cracking or indication of movement/displacement was noted. The concrete surface is spalling at various locations, however, the most notable being the face of the lower spillway where several inches of surface material appear to have eroded/ spalled.

Earthfill sections of limited length back up the concrete abutment walls at both ends of the spillway, extending to meet the natural topography adjacent to the spillway area. No evidence of seepage through the abutments or through the earthfill sections was found. Some heavy tree growth exists on the fill section backing up the left abutment.

b. Design and Construction Data

Plans available which relate to the earthen dam indicate that a concrete core wall penetrating to "hard pan" is buttressed on the upstream side with an inner or core zone of impervious earth. The elevations to locate the site's hardpan stratum were determined from a series of test pit excavations. Unclassified earth is indicated for the upstream shell zone of the dam and the downstream half of the dam, as necessary to achieve a completed cross-section having final upstream and downstream slopes of 2 horizontal to 1 vertical. A riprap and paved surface is indicated for protecting the upstream slope, while a sod surface is indicated for the downstream slope. No stability calculations or other analysis applicable to the earthen dam have been made available.

Plans available for the spillway indicate that the concrete section for the upper level of the spillway structure is connected by a reinforced concrete apron to an embedded sheet pile and concrete cutoff wall situated some 12 feet upstream. This upper level concrete spillway section is provided with vertical and horizontal foundation keys to increase the resistance to sliding and overturning/uplift. The cross-sectional width for the sheetpile wall and upper level concrete spillway is greater than 50 feet.

The upstream sheetpile and concrete cutoff wall represents a modification to the originally constructed spillway structure. Plans indicate that initially a single line of sheetpiling was installed as a cutoff. A slab between the sheeting and the ogee spilling section did not exist. The top of the sheeting was about 4 feet lower than the crest of the concrete ogee section, and the zone between the sheeting and concrete section was filled with earth, so that only a 4-foot depth of reservior water could exist behind the concrete ogee section.

The second (newer) line of sheeting is situated 4 feet upstream of the original sheeting. A series of borings were drilled adjacent to the original line of sheet- piling, as preparation for the design/installation of that second line which was to extend to rock. The earth between sheeting lines to approximately a 20-foot depth was excavated for the installation of the reinforced concrete wall which was then structurally tied into a reinforced concrete slab/apron that extended to the crest of the concrete spillway section.

A concrete apron extends from the upper ogee spillway dissipating pool to the lower level spillway section. Plans indicate a series of underdrains are provided beneath this apron.

The lower spillway is a concrete section integrated with an older embedded sheetpiling and masonry dam/wall. Earthfill exists on the upstream and downstream sides of the now buried older wall to almost the same elevation. Earthfill against the downstream side of the wall "slopes" serve as the foundation for the concrete ogee spillway surface. The downstream

section of this part of the spillway is provided with a foundation key to provide resistance to lateral movement.

Drawings indicating the features discussed above are presented in Appendix G.

c. Operating Records

Little information relating to the operation of the facility is available. Three gates exist to control flow through the blowoff pipe extending through the earthen dam, and these gates reportedly are operated on an alternating basis once each year.

d. Post Construction Changes

No documentation exists of changes to the spillway structure following the modification brought about by the installation/construction of the upstream sheetpile-concrete cutoff wall discussed in (b) above. The July 1958 report for improvements to the spillway channel, prepared by Camp, Dresser and McKee, Consulting Engineers, Boston, Massachusetts, recomnewided that the then noted deteriorated spillway surface be repaired with gunite, but no information has been made available to indicate such work was accomplished.

e. Seismic Stability

A strike-slip fault is present in the valley which was dammed to create the reservoir. The north block had moved eastward, as shown on the generalized geologic map, Figure 12, Appendix G. A major thrust fault is located in the area of the dam and spillway. No earthquake activity has been recorded in the immediate vicinity of the dam.

Although the area is located within Zone 2 of the Seismic Probability Map, there is a potential for activity equivalent to a Zone 3 designation. The earthen dam and concrete spillway structures apparently bear on soil overlying rock, but the weak nature of the shale material underlying the reservoir site might influence the structural stability.

Earthquakes recorded in the area are tabulated below:

Date	<u>Intensity</u> Modified Mercalli	Location Relative to Dam
1877	II	17 miles WSW
1881	III	17 miles WSW
1907	IV	22 miles WSW
1916	IV-V	21 miles W
1955	V	12 miles NW
1972	III	17 miles WSW
1972	III	15 miles NW

f. Evaluation of Structural Stability

Earthen Dam: The earthen dam appears to be in good condition structurally, except for the noted seepage. The seepage condition, apparently ongoing for a number of years, has not had any adverse structural effect such as erosion or piping, and a need for correction of a structural nature is not indicated at this time. However, because minor through or underdam seepage can lead to serious problems, it is recommended that the embankment and toe areas experiencing dampness and seepage be monitored on a continuous basis. Records should be kept of these monitoring observations to obtain information which could help identify possible causes/ sources of the condition and, importantly, serve as the sentinel to detect the possibility of a worsening condition and the need for remedial measures. To observe conditions properly, tall brush and trees should be removed from the slope and toe areas. Grass and low vegetation which is retained should be mowed. As a helpful measure, the areas which are experiencing dampness and seepage should be provided with a blanket of small crushed rock/gravel to retard soil erosion and provide a surface which can offer a good visual indication of the quantity and velocity of seepage flow and allow for the installation of a measuring weir.

Vegetative growth on the dam's upstream slope should be cut, and missing riprap replaced.

<u>Spillway Structure:</u> Design drawings available for review show the plan alignment and cross-sections for the spillway structure but do not include specific engineering information on the properties of the spillway and foundation materials, nor stability analysis. Plans and cross-sections studied for the evaluation discussed below are included in Appendix G.

Important components of the overall spillway structure are the sheetpiling concrete cutoff wall upstream of the upper level overflow section and the reinforced concrete apron connecting the cutoff wall and the spillway structure. The cutoff and apron function to prevent water seepage and resulting pressures from acting against both the upper and lower spillway sections. If the cutoff and apron are effective, the stability of the overflow sections of the spillway then become most influenced by the force of lateral earth pressures acting against upstream and downstream vertical faces (at-rest earth pressures probably act against upstream surfaces, while passive pressures can develop against downstream faces), and the friction developed along the base of the spillway section and the resistance provided by the foundation keys. Because of the relatively great concrete mass comprising the overflow sections and the relatively limited lateral earth pressure, the stability of the overflow section is great against the effects of overturning and sliding. Due to the sloping nature of the concrete apron extending between the upper overflow section and cutoff wall, the effect of ice on the structure is expected to be minimal. Similarly, the structural stability of the cutoff wall would be high: the cutoff is embedded in earth or concrete for its full depth and is subject to an active or at-rest earth pressure and hydrostatic pressure on its reservoir side, which is resisted by passive earth pressure and the lateral restraint provided by the concrete apron. Unless very weak soil materials exist against the downstream side of the cutoff wall, passive

resistance equals or exceeds pressures caused by the active/at-rest plus hydrostatic pressure conditions.

Driven interlocking steel sheetpiling cannot be assumed to retain water for an extended period without expecting some seepage through joints which could have been opened or damaged during the driving operation. For the condition of wall sheeting seepage, uplift water pressures could eventually develop against the spillway section behind the cutoff wall. Calculations of an estimated nature have been performed to obtain an indication of spillway stability if subject to uplift pressures. Utilizing the assumption that lateral earth and water pressures acting to cause instability (sliding or overturning) are counteracted by resisting lateral pressures and friction acting on the spillway and adjacent upstream and downstream aprons, the hydrostatic uplift resulting from a reservoir at the full PMF elevation is close to the condition which could create incipient instability, if the overflow structure did not develop resistance to uplift from its integration with the upstream cutoff wall and apron and the downstream apron. Instability from such a severe condition is considered unlikely because of the time factor involved for uplift pressures to increase beyond normal due to the retardation nature of soil permeability and because of the design indication of the presence of apron underdrains.

The conclusion derived from evaluating the factors involved in the spillway design and forces which could act on the spillway structure is that stability will be retained under PMF and less severe conditions, providing the composite spillway facility remains in good condition and structurally integrated. To ensure that the need for maintenance and repair as necessary to retain structural integrity is recognized, periodic inspection should be performed to examine the surface of the full spillway structure including the apron on the upstream side of the upper spillway section. A convenient time to perform the necessary examination could be in the period when the dam blowoff gates are operated and the reservoir level is lowered to the top of the sheetpiling cutoff wall.

SECTION 7: ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT

a. Safety

The Phase I inspection of the Tomhannock Spillway Dam did not indicate conditions which would constitute an immediate hazard to human life or property. However, the dam has some deficiencies which require remedial work.

The hydrologic/hydraulic analysis indicates that the dam will be overtopped by 1.1 feet by the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). However, the spillway can pass the 1/2 PMF with 3.7 feet of freeboard. Therefore, the spillway is assessed as inadequate according to the Corps of Engineers' screening criteria.

The visual inspection did not reveal conditions which would indicate evidence of structural displacement or instability. The conclusion derived from evaluating the factors involved in the spillway design and forces which could act on the spillway structure is that stability will be retained under PMF and less severe conditions, providing the composite spillway facility remains in good condition and structurally integrated.

The following specific safety assessments are based on the Phase I Visual Examination and Analysis of Hydrology and Hydraulics and Structural Stability:

- 1. Seepage is occuring at the toe of the earthfill embankment near the left abutment. Minor seepage and sloughing also occurs beyond the toe of the embankment.
- 2. Woodchuck holes were detected on the downstream face of the embankment.
- 3. The slopes of the embankment are overgrown with trees and brush.
- 4. The earth embankments at the abutments of the spillway are overgrown with trees and brush and the crest of the embankments are eroded due to pedestrian traffic.
- 5. The riprap at the upstream face of the earthfill embankment has been displaced by vandals.
- 6. No formalized inspection system has been adopted.
- 7. No warning system is presently in effect to alert the public should conditions occur which could result in failure of the dam.

b. Adequacy of Information

The information available is adequate for a Phase I investigation.

c. Urgency

Items 1-6 of the safety assessments should be addressed by the Owner and appropriate actions taken within one year of this notification.

d. Need for Additional Investigations

Investigate the seepage at the toe of the earthfill embankment near the left abutment. This area should be monitored and records should be maintained to detect changing conditions which might affect the safety of the facility.

7.2 RECOMMENDED MEASURES

The following deficiencies should be corrected to insure safety of this facility:

- 1. Appropriate steps should be taken to eliminate woodchucks from the embankment.
- 2. The slopes of the embankment should be cleared of trees and brush and a sod cover should be established to allow easy access to the slopes for inspection.
- 3. The earth embankments at the abutments of the spillway should be cleared of trees and brush and material should be replaced to bring the crest to a uniform elevation throughout their entire length. Steps should be taken to secure these areas from traffic by the public.
- 4. The displaced riprap on the upstream face of the earthfill embankment should be repaired.
- 5. A formalized inspection system should be adopted to develop data on conditions and maintenance operations at the facility.
- 6. A flood warning and emergency evacuation plan should be implemented to alert the public should conditions occur which could result in failure of the dam.

APPENDIX A

PHOTOGRAPHS

ĺ







9. Spillway from left abutment.

1



10. Receiving stream at spillway.

۱. ۱.



• •

APPENDIX B

{⁻⁻⁻

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

. 3-15-3(9/80)

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST 1) Basic Data a. General Name of Dam TOM HANNOLK SPILLWAY DAM Fed. I.D. # NY 117 DEC Dam No. River Basin HUPSON Location: Town SCHAGHTICOKE County RENSSELAER Stream Name TOMHANNOCK Tributary of HOOSIC RIJER Latitude (N) 42-52.1 Longitude (W) 73-35.2 Type of Dam EARTH - FILL Hazard Category HKH Date(s) of Inspection MAY 1, 1981 Weather Conditions FAIR Reservoir Level at Time of Inspection 390.04 b. Inspection Personnel F.W.BISZEWSKI, JA. GOMEZ D.F.M.CARTHY H. MUSKATT - DALE ENGINEERINGCO, NEIL BONESTEEL - CITY OF TROY DEPT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES c. Persons Contacted (Including Address & Phone No.) _ ZICHARD CASEY COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC UTILITIES TELEPHONE: 518-270-4500 CITY OF TROY GSLEVERSEE ED TEON N.V. 12182 d. History: Date Constructed 1900 - 1905 Date(s) Reconstructed 1926 Designer CITY OF TROY COMMISSION OF PUBLIC WORKE. Constructed By UNKNOWN Owner CITY OF TRAY

Emp	ankme	nt
a.	Char	acteristics
	(1)	Embankment Material EACTH FILL - CHAPACTERSTICS
		UNKNOWN
	(2)	Cutoff Type CONCRETE CORE WALL
	(3)	Impervious Core INPERJIOUS MATERIAL AT UPSTO
		FACE OF CORE WALL
	(4)	Internal Drainage System NONE
	(5)	Miscellaneous NONE
ь.	Cres	
	(1)	Vertical Alignment No IPPEGULARITIES NOTED.
	(2)	Horizontal Alignment <u>NO SUBSIDENCE OBSEQUED</u>
	(3)	Surface Cracks Non E OBSEIZJEP - CEEST IS
		TRAVERSED BU RURA ROAD BITUMINOUS SURFAC
	(4)	Miscellaneous <u>NGNE</u>
c.	Upst	tream Slope
	(1)	Slope (Estimate) (V:H) 1:2
	(2)	Undesirable Growth or Debris, Animal Burrows
		AT TOP OF SLOPE
	(3)	Sloughing, Subsidence or Depressions NONE OBSERVED

		/801	
		(1)	Erosion at Contact NONF OBSEQUED
		(2)	Seepage Along Contact NONE OBSERVED
3)	Dra	inage	e System
	a.	Desc	ription of System
		<u></u>	
		·····	
	b.	Cond	lition of System
	c.	Disc	charge from Drainage System NONE
_{it})	<u>Ins</u> Pi	trume.ezome	entation (Momumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Weirs, eters, Etc.) Norde
it)	<u>Ins</u> Pi	trume ezome	entation (Momumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Weirs, eters, Etc.) Novie
ι τ)	Ins Pi	ezome	entation (Momumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Weirs, eters, Etc.) Norde
ł ł)	Ins Pi	ezome	entation (Momumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Weirs, eters, Etc.) Nonce
ц)	Ins Pi	ezome	entation (Momumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Weirs, eters, Etc.) Now E
ł ł)	<u>Ins</u> Pi	ezome	entation (Momumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Weirs, eters, Etc.) Novie
st)	<u>Ins</u> Pi	ezome	entation (Momumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Weirs, eters, Etc.) Norde
,it)		ezome	entation (Momumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Weirs, eters, Etc.) Novie
șt)		ezome	entation (Momumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Weirs, eters, Etc.) Nonce
, t)		ezome	entation (Momumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Weirs, eters, Etc.) Norde
,it)		ezome	entation (Momumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Weirs, eters, Etc.) Now (E
; t)	<u>Ins</u> Pi	ezome	entation (Momumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Weirs, eters, Etc.) NOME
;it)		ezome	entation (Momumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Weirs, eters, Etc.) None
 a. Slopes <u>NO EVIPENCE OF SLOPE INSTABULTY</u>. b. Sedimentation <u>NB INFORMATION</u>. c. Unusual Conditions Which Affect Dam <u>NONE</u> Area Downstream of Dam a. Downstream Hazard (No. of Homes, Highways, etc.) <u>3 Home</u> <u>BANE OF CREEE AFFICE</u> <u>Y Mi DOWNSTEEAM</u> b. Seepage, Unusual Growth <u>NONE</u> c. Evidence of Movement Beyond Toe of Dam <u>NONE</u> d. Condition of Downstream Channel <u>Good</u> FREEFL <u>RECENTLY IN PROOF</u> <u>1959</u> Spillway(s) (Including Discharge Conveyance Channel) 			
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------		
 c. Unusual Conditions Which Affect Dam <u>NONE</u> <u>Area Downstream of Dam</u> a. Downstream Hazard (No. of Homes, Highways, etc.) <u>3 Homes</u> <u>BANE OF CREEE AFFNOR 4 Mi DOWNSTREAM</u> b. Seepage, Unusual Growth <u>NONE</u> c. Evidence of Movement Beyond Toe of Dam <u>NONE</u> d. Condition of Downstream Channel <u>Good FREEFL</u> <u>RECENTLY IMPROJED.</u> 1959 			
Area Downstream of Dam a. Downstream Hazard (No. of Homes, Highways, etc.) BANKOE CREEK AFRON 4Mi DOWNSTREAM b. Seepage, Unusual Growth NOME c. Evidence of Movement Beyond Toe of Dam MOME d. Condition of Downstream Channel GOOD FREEFL RECENTLY IMPROVED. 1959			
 a. Downstream Hazard (No. of Homes, Highways, etc.) <u>3 Homes</u> <u>Bank of CREEK AFROX 4 Mi Downstream</u> b. Seepage, Unusual Growth <u>NowE</u> c. Evidence of Movement Beyond Toe of Dam <u>NowE</u> d. Condition of Downstream Channel <u>Good FREEFL</u> <u>RECENTLY IMPROJED. 1959</u> 			
BANKOT CREEK AFFROX 4 mi DOWNSTERM b. Seepage, Unusual Growth NOME c. Evidence of Movement Beyond Toe of Dam NOME d. Condition of Downstream Channel Good FREE FL RECENTLY IMPROVED. 1959			
c. Evidence of Movement Beyond Toe of Dam <u>NOME</u> d. Condition of Downstream Channel <u>Good FREEFL</u> <u>RECENTLY IMPROJED. 1959</u>			
d. Condition of Downstream Channel <u>Good FREEFL</u> <u>RECENTLY IMPROJED. 1959</u>			
RECENTLY IMPROVED. 1959			
	*		
a. General <u>300 FT LONG OGEE SHAPED SPILLU</u> 8ff HIGH) <i>A4</i>		
b. Condition of Service Spillway <u>Lood Condition</u> . M. <u>Concept Determination AT Holdmithe Joints.</u>	NOP.		

с.	EMBANEMENT AT ABUTMENT OF SALL WAY IS ERAPED
Î	DUE TO REDESTEIAN TRAFFIC. CREST 13 OUERGROUN
1	WITH TREES BRUSH AT LEFT SPILLWAY ABOTTMENT.
d.	Condition of Discharge Conveyance Channel <u>GOOD CONDITION</u> NO RECENT EPOSION MOTED.
1	
8) <u>R</u>	Type: PipeS'DA:Other
395	Material: Concrete Metal Other STEEL PIPE Buch Size: S'DIAMETER Length 3201 FT
323	Invert Elevations: Entrance 333 Exit 333
,	Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable
ţ	Material:
Ŧ	Joints: Alignment
1	Structural Integrity:
1	Hydraulic Capability: To BE CompuTED (570CFS DER
ł	CAMP DRESSER MCGEE REPORT JULY 1958
1	Means of Control: Gate Valve Uncontrolled
	Operation: Operable Inoperable Other
1	Present Condition (Describe): <u>ALL VALUES OPERABLE</u> AND EXERNIZED ANNUALY
-	INLET CONTROL SGATES 1'6" × 4'-6"

	Concrete Surfaces
b.	Structural Cracking N4
c.	Movement - Horizontal & Vertical Alignment (Settlement)
d.	Junctions with Abutments or Embankments4
е.	Drains - Foundation, Joint, Face <u>XA</u>
f.	Water Passages, Conduits, Sluices
g.	Seepage or Leakage <u>NA</u>

3-17-3(3/00	
h.	Joints - Construction, etc. <u>NQ</u>
i.	Foundation <u>NA</u>
j.	Abutments <u>NA</u>
k.	Control Gates
1.	Approach & Outlet Channels
m.	Energy Dissipators (Plunge Pool, etc.)
n.	Intake Structures <u>¥4</u> .
0.	Stability UA.
р.	Miscellaneous MA.

3-15-3(9/80)

10) Appurtenant Structures (Power House, Lock, Gatehouse, Other)

a. Description and Condition WATER SUPPLY INTAKE IS

BEARING ON PAME AFETY

11) Operation Procedures (Lake Level Regulation):

DEALM LINE IS OPENED DURING SPRING RUNOFF TO MINIMIRE FLOW OVER SPILLWAY, DRAIN WAS NOT OPENED DURING SPRING OF 1951 DAVE TO ANTICIPATED DRIVGHT CONDITIONS.

APPENDIX C

HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC, ENGINEERING DATA AND COMPUTATIONS

n sena data di tenenaminany territak

.

2.1



• ;

STETSON . DALE BANKERS TRUST BUILDING DESIGN BRIEF TEL 315-797-5800 OJECT NAME N.Y.S. Dam Inspections BJECT Townbannock Spillway Dam ID # 117 PROJECT NO. 2520 Subarea Hydrologic Parameters ----- DRAWN BY FDM $\underline{LcA} \quad \underline{t_i} = \underline{Ct} (\underline{L} \times \underline{LcA})^{0.3}$ Sybarea AREA .. C+ 3.07 mi 6.25 mi ł 8.82 m;3 4.85 hr. 5.0 9 7.69 20 4:57 2,63 4.22 3 5.93 3.81 2.08 50 3.74 . 5.49 2.84 4.56 10.36 5.0 5,75 5 9.0 3.65 1,89 3.57 5.53 2,80 6 5.18 9.0 4.55 9.63 2.0 2,77 4.55 5.58 7 8 3,44 0,81 2.72+0.42=3.14 13.70 ' 3r0 $\Sigma = 67.0.6 \text{ mid}$ * Adjustment for travel time through reservoir. x = travel distance Vur = V.g. Dim g= 32.2 ft./sec2 Vw المرابع والمستحد والمستحد المالي Dm= average depth of reservoir. لالمتحاد المتحد Dm= 10 ft. Vw = - 322 (10) = 17.9 ft/sec travel distance = 27,000 Ft. 1= 37,000 ft. 17.94 Frs = 0.42 ht.

	نۇ	STET	rsol	N•DAL		RS TRUST • NEW YOR 5+797+5800	Building IK • 13501	DESI	GN B	RIEF	
	NAME <u>N. N. S.</u>						<u></u>	·		DATE	
BJECT	Tenhannor	Ь	كمنالع	vay De	2M	ID#	112		<u> </u>	PROJECT NO	9230
I	Depth- Are									DRAWN BY	FOM
1	·		:	• ; ;		i					
		• •		- :	- 1	4 1 A T	4-0 N	, . ,			
1	<u>PMP</u> From	ЧW	g #	32					• •	•	
	<u>ter</u> ter L	at.~	420	52'	Lona	~ 75	0 18'	``````````````````````````````````````	· •		
1				= 19.4				4 HR			
I	Zone 1					 	÷ •		4 a		
1							i r				
1	•			oi .	- 、 *			~ .			
ı I	Duration				Endex *			Depth	11		
ł	6 hrs. 12 hrs.				89. 103		•	. 17.3 20.0			
1	J4 hrs.				113			' <i>J</i> /' c		•	
	48 145.				130		÷ -	, x u			
!											
1						i	•		· - † .		
	* Adjusted	for	site	e area,	Drain	nage	Area	= 6.7	mià		
				•		•		; ;			
1				: 1		1	. L	• • -			
1 †			,	٠					· •		
						•	- #	•	1	•	
				;	•	•	·	1	- + + +		
				•	·	:	• • • •	•	' . 1	• • •	
						-	1	··· · · · · · ·		··· ••	
_							1				
I			•				1 1				
•			, ,	• • • • •	 	 			ا ستا چون		
				- · · •				÷	• • • •	· ,	
•				1		,					
1				;				1	··· · · •	•	
•							· .				
						1		1 L. 4			
ſ				• •					•		
I					: • •				•		

ł

PROJECT N			<u>45</u>		I	an	1	I,	<u>151</u>			5-797 <u>) /</u>			/	<u>78</u>	<u> </u>					DAT	E			
UBJECT_		To	in ha	nna	<u>C</u>	K		R	<u>-</u>	<u>E</u> R	00	IR.												NO	20	530
		Sz	ille	vai	<u> </u>	7	Rat	tir	19		Ü	ur	ZU	e											TA	G
		1	· • • •					. I		۲		T	·····	r	····· r		f							هت ۵۷ · · · ·	, · ····	• •• •
• t •	. •		-	· • · · · · · ·	A				3/2	4									+						ŧ	
	 İ	·		- 4	= ک	4	2	. .																* 	1	
<u>;</u> ;		·• · · · · ·			h 1		30	DO E For	10.	_		20													÷	
	··· ··		·	-	C7 "C	<u>es</u> , "	r.	500	<u>1</u> ec	11	1					1	AL.				i c s		-		.	· · ·
	···· • · ·			4		t	- 71/	TOP	21,	+_ c	G.I	ia l	100	H		÷	Ψ		20				E	4		
•	4 - A	•	+	1					1	Tal	i i		5-	/2	6	bas	ed			1	0.	5	-1	ι Ζ	+	••••••
	• • • • •		· · •	4				· · · • •			10				-					<u>, </u>	.		t f		••••	•
•			1	E	lec	ati	an			1	14	2)		C				6	2	(Ct	(ک	1	1		
				1	39	0					6		Ī	-				T	d				•			•
	•	·				2.5	í			<u> </u>	2.5			3.3	2				3	52	2		.	1 1 2		÷
	•·· · ·			4	39	1			 		.0		4	4	4	<u> </u>		_/	0	3	e.		 		; ; ;	••••
					39	1.5	•			1	5		4	3.4	6				19	0	Z		1 4	i 		:
				-	39	2			_	2	1.0			4	2				<u>2¢</u>	70	0	ļ	ļ		1 1 1	4
			- +		39	2.5	5			2	.5	_		34	Z	İ		_	44	4	5		ļ	- -		
	·• ···				39	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				13	B.0			3.4	4				5	39	5					
		• 4				35	٤			3	5.			3.	5				24	3.7	5		-	ļ		
			·		39					.4	0			35	55			_ <u>F</u>	3.4	52	0					
	•	•••			39		Σ			4	5			3.6	23			Ą	2	39	25			÷	! 	
		•••••		-	39					- 5	60			5.				4	2	4	Z	2	• •	4 4	: *	.
				- -	3				-	<u> </u>	e ·			3.	/2			-4	2	$\frac{7}{2}$	0		.		.	
i 1	4. 1	• • •			39						5			<u> </u>	25			2	4÷	20	10	•	†		<u> </u>	.
	÷		·			9 8			-		P		T	3.4	Z	+-		Z,	6,1	4	2		ŧ	.	•	;
					3	T1.		-+		+	0			3.4 1	5		-+	2	#	9			† -	<u>.</u>		
	:					$\frac{1}{1}$					<u>к</u>			1			-+-		2	チ	2	P	 			•
				. .	7	nd		····· 			2.			4	0			J		2	a	<u>k</u>	 .			.
,		· ·		i	1	12				+-2	13			4	$\tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}$		+	于	7	2		F	 		••••• · · · •••	∔ . į
	•••	•• ···	-		}		·	-+			<u> </u>			~ ~				_ _	4		7-		†		-	
		•			r †		•••••••			-							-	1						+	•	
		••	1						_		1												†		• * • • • • • •	•
	•	• • • •					i																Γ	•	••••••	
		. 1	I																					1	-	
	•					ļ			Ĺ		1															
J	÷	· · ·												1	I										1	l

BJECT NAME			<u>Inspectio</u> servoin	/hs		DATE	E
				usted for	Submerg		WN BY FDM
-					i	_ i	
From Ta	ilwater	Kating	f curve,	the first	elevation	to be suiv	omerged is hol
"cs/c" f.	o ``W(ater Si	infore P	rofiles," vo	st. 6. Put	blication fro	0 <i>\mathcal{w}</i>
					tr + .		• ,
the	Hydrolo	gic tr	ngineering	2 Center.	Figure	4.03	
	L.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•		
levelien	<u>h3</u>	HI	h3/Hi	<u>Csfc</u>	<u>Cs</u>	<u> </u>	
401	1.7	11	0.15	0.995	3,98	43,560	
402	3.6	13	66.0	0.995	3.48	43,560	
403	3.0	13	6,93	0,995	3.98	43,560	
			•	-	ŧ		
				• •		· ·	
			•	•	. . .	3 	
					1	: المناسب و الا 	
					•		
						, 1 .	
						• •	
				• • • · ·			
					• • •		





٠.

CHECK LIST FOR DAMS HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC ENGINEERING DATA

AREA-CAPACITY DATA:

		Elevation (ft.)	Surface Area (acres)	Storage Capacity (acre-ft.)
1)	Top of Dam	401		54,000
2)	Design High Water (Max. Design Pool)	NA	مىي مەربىرىماندانلەر بىرىندۇنلەر بىرىندۇر بىرىندۇر	
3)	Auxiliary Spillway Crest	<u>N/A</u>		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
4)	Pool Level with Flashboards	_ <u>N/A</u>	~	
5)	Service Spillway Crest	_310_		32,200

DISCHARGES

5 - F**N** - -

.-

		(cfs)
1)	Average Daily	N/A
2)	Spillway @ Maximum High Water (Top of Dam)	43.560
3)	Spillway @ Design High Water	N/A
4)	Spillway @ Auxiliary Spillway Crest Elevation	N/A
5)	Low Level Oucles w/ water level at top of dam	600
6)	Total (of al. facilities) @ Maximum High Water	44,160
7)	Maximum Known Flood	Unknown_
8)	At Time of Inspection	untrown

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

•

1

Volume

.

and the second second

A star and a

CREST:	ELEVATION: 401 FT
Type: <u>Earthfill</u>	
Width: <u>24 FT</u>	Length: <u>600 FT</u>
Spillover	Concrete gravity spilling
Location 1000' South of	Concrete gravity spillur embankment
SPILLWAY:	
PRINCIPAL	EMERGENCY
N/A Ele	vation <u>390</u>
′ T	ype <u>Rounded Crest</u>
Wi	dth
Type of	Control
Uncont	rolled
Contr	olled:
т	уре
(Flashboard	s; gate)
	er
Size/L	ength
Invert Ma	terial <u>Concrete</u>
Anticipate of operatin	d Length g service <u>N/A</u>
Chute I	ength
& Approach C	h Spillway Crest

HYDROMETEROLOGICAL GAGES:

.

Type : <u>Nor</u>	e at present		
Location:			
Records:			
Date	· <u> </u>		
Max. Reading			 ·
FLOOD WATER CONTROL SY	STEM:		
Warning System:	None at p	resent	

Method of Controlled Releases (mechanisms):

and and the strength one of

Five Ft. Diameter pipe, 3 1.5 FT X 4.5 FT shuice gates.

٠.

AINAGE	BASIN RUNOFF CHARACTERISTICS:
Land	Use - Type: Mostly agricultural with a few hamlets.
Terra	in - Relief: Moderate to steeply sloped
	ce - Soil: <u>Not Known</u>
Runof	f Potential (existing or planned extensive alterations to existing (surface or subsurface conditions)
	Not Known
Poten	tial Sedimentation problem areas (natural or man-made; present or f
	Unknown
Poten	tial Backwater problem areas for levels at maximum storage capacity including surcharge storage:
Poten	tial Backwater problem areas for levels at maximum storage capacity
	tial Backwater problem areas for levels at maximum storage capacity including surcharge storage:
	<pre>tial Backwater problem areas for levels at maximum storage capacity including surcharge storage:</pre>
	tial Backwater problem areas for levels at maximum storage capacity including surcharge storage: <u>None Known</u> - Floodwalls (overflow & non-overflow) - Low reaches along the
	<pre>tial Backwater problem areas for levels at maximum storage capacity including surcharge storage:</pre>
Dikes ,	<pre>tial Backwater problem areas for levels at maximum storage capacity including surcharge storage:</pre>

. .

HEC-1 (S FAF - 0AM S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	N Y D C P	AMETERS)					
J0JS A3 FMF - UAM J0DS B 82 FMF - UAM J0DS J1 5 7 7 J0DS J1 9.2 0.3 9 J0DS J1 9.2 0.3 9 J0DS K KUNOFF SUR 10.3 J0D12 T J1 19.4 J0D13 K -2.0 10.10 J0D13 K -2.0 0.10 J0D13 K -2.0 0.10 J0D13 K -2.0 0.10 J0D14 K -2.0 0.10 J0D15 K -2.0 -0.10 J0D15 K -2.0 -0.10 J0D15 K -2.0 -0.10 J0D15 K -2.0 -0.10 J0D20 K J002 -0.10 J0D20 K J002 -0.10 J0D20 K J000 450 J0D20 K J000 450 J0D20 K J	1 2 4						
4004 8 8 8 4005 1 1 5 6 4006 1 1 5 6 4006 1 1 5 6 4005 1 1 5 6 4005 1 1 1 7 4005 1 1 1 1 4005 1 1 1 1 4005 1 1 1 1 4012 1 1 1 1 4015 1 1 1 1 4015 1 1 1 1 4015 1 1 1 1 4015 1 1 1 1 4015 1 1 3 1 4015 1 1 3 1 4015 1 1 3 1 4015 1 1 1 1 4017 1 1 3 1 4017 1 1 3 1 4017 1 1 1 1 4017 1 1 1 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td>NG ANALYSIS</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		NG ANALYSIS					
0305) 61 5 0.3 U006) J 1 7 7 U0038) K 1 1 7 U0038) K 1 1 7 U0038) K 1 1 7 00038) K 1 1 7 0013) K 1 1 1 0013) K 200 0.3 1 0014) K 200 0.10 1 0015) K 2.0 0.10 0 00170 K 2.0 0.10 0 00220 K 1 300 0 00220 K 1 300 0 00220 K 1 1 0 00220	S C		ر،	(,	ł	4	
U006) J 1 9.2 0.3 0008) K1 80005 0010) H 1 10.2 0.3 0010) H 1 10.4 00110) H 1 10.4 00113) H 4.85 0.625 0015) K 2.0 0.10 0015) K 2.0 200 0016) H 1 10.10 0017) H 1 10.10 0017) H 1 10.10 0017) H 1 300 0021) X -2.0 -0.10 0023) K1 0 0.10 0023) K1 1 300 0024) K 1 300 0025) K1 1 300 0025) K1 1 300 0028) Y1 1 300 0028) Y1 1 1 1 1 00 0028) Y1 1 1 1 00 00380 Y1 201 450 00380 Y1 201 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0080 Y1 200 450 0000 Y1 200 4000 Y1 200 40000 Y1 200 400 Y1 200 40000 Y1 200 40000 Y1 200 400	.э		۲	تے	Ľ	Ù	
00080 K1 9.2 0.3 00080 K1 RUNOFF SUE 00100 H 100 00100 H 100 00110 H 100 00110 H 100 00110 H 100 00112 T 100 00112 H 4.85 0.625 00112 H 4.85 0.625 00112 H 4.85 0.625 00112 H 4.85 0.625 00117 H 4.85 0.625 00117 H 100 100 00117 H 1 1 1 00122 K			دى ا	ب	G	0	
00038) K 103 0010) M 1 1 19.4 0010) M 1 1 19.4 0013) K 1 19.4 0015) K 2.625 0015) K 2.625 0015) K 2.625 0016) K 1 2.0 0016) K 1 2.0 0016) K 1 2.0 0016) K 1 1 1 0017) M 1 1 19.4 0017) M 1 300 0022) K 1 2.0 0022) K 1 2.0 0022) K 1 2.0 0022) K 1 2.0 0023) M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 00330 M 1 19.4 004 M 1 19.4 005 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1 19.4 007 M 1	0.4	0.5 0.6	°•0	1-1,	n	C	
00030) K1 RUWOFF SUB 0010) M 1 19.4 0012) H 4.85 0.625 0015) K 2.0 10.10 0015) K 2.0 200 0016) K1 2.0 200 0017) M 1 2010 0017) M 1 1 1 0018) P 2.0 -0.10 0021) X -2.0 -0.10 0022) K1 4.22 0.625 0022) K1 2.0 0.10 0022) K1 2.0 0.10 0023) K1 1 300 0024) K 1 1 300 0024) K 201 450 0025) K1 1 1 500 0025) K1 1 1 300 0028) Y1 1 1 434 0028) Y1 1 1 434 0028) Y1 201 450 00330 Y7 201 450 00331 M 1 19.4 00332 M 1 19.4 00332 M 1 19.4 00332 M 1 19.4 00332 M 1 19.4 00333 M 1 19.4 00333 M 1 19.4 00335 M 1 19.4 00335 M 1 19.4	r,		ι.	~~	r.3	ר.	
0010) 1 1 0013) 1 19.4 0015) 1 4.85 0.625 0015) 1 4.85 0.625 0015) 1 4.85 0.625 0015) 1 4.85 0.625 0015) 1 4.85 0.625 0015) 1 4.85 0.10 0017) 1 1 1 0017) 1 1 1 0017) 1 1 1 0017) 1 1 1 0017) 1 1 1 0017) 1 1 1 0017) 1 1 300 0021) 1 200 0.10 0022) 1 1 300 0022) 1 1 300 0022) 1 1 300 0022) 1 1 300 0022) 1 1 300 0022) 1 0 450 0022) 1 0 450 0022) 1 0 450 0022) 1 1 450 0022)	AREA 1						
3911) F 19.4 J012) T 4.85 0.625 0015) K -2.0 -0.10 0016) K1 7.20 -0.10 0017) M 1 1 0018) F 2 200 0018) F 2 200 0021) K 2 200 0021) K 2 200 0022) K1 7 300 0022) K1 7 300 0022) K1 7 300 0022) K1 7 300 0022) K1 1 300 0022) K1 1 300 0022) K1 1 300 0024) K1 0 450 00220 Y1 1 300 00221) Y1 1 300 00221) Y1 1 450 00221) Y1 1 4		67.Û		ر.	Ċ	-	
J012) T V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	59	-	12	t,2	רי	Ċ	
0013) W 4.85 0.625 6014) X -2.0 -0.10 0015) K -2.0 -0.10 0016) N 1 200 0017) M 1 200 0018) P 2 19.4 0018) P 2 19.4 0021) X -2.0 -0.10 0021) X -2.0 -0.10 0022) K 1 200 0022) K 1 200 0022) K 1 200 0028) Y 100 450 0028) Y 201 450 0028) Y 201 450 0028) P 00 00330 M 1 100 450 00230 M 1 100 450 00330 M 1 100 450 00231 K 00 300 450 00332 M 1 100 450 00332 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00335 M 1 100 450 00300 W 1 100 450 00300 W 1 100 450 00300 W 1 100 450 00200 W 1 100 450 00200 W 1 100 450 00200 W 1 100 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0	ر ت.	0 0	ſ,	1. Ú	0.1	Ð	<u></u>
6014) x -2.5 -0.10 0015) x 200 0016) x1 200 0017) x1 200 0018) x1 200 0017) x1 1 0018) x1 200 0017) x1 1 0018) r 2 0021) x 2 1220) x1 1 0021) x -2.0 0022) x1 2 200 0022) x1 2 200 0022) x1 7 300 0022) x1 1 300 0022) x1 1 300 0022) x1 1 300 0022) x1 100 450 0022) x1 100 450 00220) x1 1 300 00220) x1 0 450 00220) x1 1 450 00330) x7 0<	6			D	o	0	
0015) K 200 0016) K1 RUNOFF SUB 0017) M 1 10.1 0018) P 9 19.4 0018) F 9 19.4 0012) K 2.0 19.4 0021) K 2.2 19.4 0021) K 2.2 19.4 0021) K 2.2 10.62 0022) K1 2.2 2.62 0022) K1 2.0 0.10 0022) K1 7.2 0.62 0022) K1 7.0 0.10 0022) K1 1 300 0022) Y1 1 300 0026) Y1 1 450 0027) Y1 1 450 0028) Y1 100 450 0029) Y1 1 450 0029) Y1 1 450 00330 Y1 1 450 00330 Y1 4	1.6	0		ن	a	Ċ	
0016) K1 RUNOFF SUB 0018) P 9 19.4 0018) T 9 19.4 0021) K 2 0 0123) K -2.0 0.10 0021) X -2.0 0.10 0021) K 2 200 0021) X -2.0 -0.10 0023) K1 7 2 0024) K 1 300 0025) K1 1 300 0027) Y1 1 303 0028) Y 100 450 0027) Y1 1 434 0029) Y7 201 450 00330 Y7 201 450	c				o	ຕ	
0015) M 1 1 0016) P 9 19.4 0021) K 2.62 0.625 0021) K -2.0 -0.10 0021) K -2.0 -0.10 0021) K 2.625 0.625 0021) K -2.0 -0.10 0023) K1 2.03 0.10 0024) K 1 300 0025) Y1 1 300 0027) Y1 1 303 0027) Y1 1 300 0027) Y1 1 300 0027) Y1 1 450 0028) Y7 100 450 0029) Y7 201 450 00330 Y1 1 19.4 00330 Y1 1 19.4	•	•					
0018) P 9 19.4 0021) X -22 0.625 0021) X -2.0 -0.10 0021) X -2.0 -0.10 0022) K1 2.02 201 0023) K1 2.02 201 0024) K 1 300 0025) Y1 1 300 0027) Y1 1 303 0028) Y7 100 450 0029) Y7 201 434 0033) M 1 300 0033) M 1 19.4 0033) M 1 19.4 0033) M 1 19.4	~	67.0	0	C.	U	-	
0919) T 9 9 0021) X -2.0 -0.10 0022) K -2.0 -0.10 0022) K 2 200 0023) K 2 200 0023) K 2 200 0024) K 1 300 0024) K 1 300 0025) Y1 1 0.035 0027) Y1 1 6 0027) Y1 1 6 0028) Y 100 450 0029) Y7 201 450 0030) Y7 201 450 0033) M 1 1 0033) M 1 1	89	11	120	J	U	C	
7-92-3) # 4.22 3.625 00213 X -2.0 -0.10 00223 K1 2 200 00233 K1 2 200 0024) K 1 300 0024) K 1 303 0025) K1 1 303 0026) Y 100 450 0027) Y1 1 204 0029) Y7 100 450 0029) Y7 201 434 0030) Y7 201 434 0033) H 1 19.4 00333 H 1 19.4	0	0	С)	1.0	0.1	n	
0021) X -2.0 -0.10 0022) K -2.0 -0.10 0024) K 1 200 0024) K 1 300 0026) Y 1 300 0026) Y 1 1 300 0027) Y 1 1 300 0027) Y 1 0 450 0029) Y 7 100 450 0030) Y 201 434 0031) K -0 300 434 00331 M 1 19.4 00335 Y 1 0 0 19.4 00335 Y 1 0 0 19.4	÷		(n		IJ	۔ ،	
0022) -K 2 200 0024) K 1 300 0024) K 1 300 0025) K1 7 0 0026) Y 1 300 0026) Y 0 0 0027) Y1 1 0 0029) Y7 201 450 0029) Y7 201 450 0030) Y7 201 450 0031) K -0 300 00331 M 1 19.4 00335 Y 1 19.4 00335 Y 20.5 20.5	1.0		0		0	ت	
0023) K1 COMBINE 2 0024) K 1 300 0026) Y 1 300 0026) Y 1 300 0027) Y1 1 0 0029) Y7 100 450 0030) Y7 201 454 0030) Y7 201 454 0032) K1 P 0 0033) M 1 19.4 0033) M 1 19.4 0033) M 1 19.4	د	9	C	•	o	C	
0024) K 1 300 0025) K1 R0UTE TO S 0026) Y 0 0 0027) Y1 1 0 0029) Y7 100 450 0030) Y7 201 434 0032) Y7 201 434 0032) K1 RUNOFF SUB 00333 M 1 19.4 00335 F 0 0 19.4 00335 T 0 0 19.4	R A	PHS 1+?=3					
0025) K1 R0UTE TO S 0026) Y 1 0 0029) Y7 100 450 0029) Y7 100 450 0030) Y7 201 434 0030) Y7 201 434 00320) Y7 201 434 00330) Y1 RUNOFF SUB 1 00330 Y1 J 300 00330 Y1 J 300 00330 Y1 J 300 00335 Y1 J 300	C	0	C)	-	0	C	
G026) Y 0027) Y1 1 0 0 0029) Y7 100 450 0030) Y7 201 450 00330) Y7 201 450 00330) Y7 201 450 00330) Y7 201 450 00330 M 1 1 00335) M 1 1 00355) Y 1 0 0 00355) 0 0 00355 1 0 0 0 00355 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	UBAR						
0027) Y1 1 0 0029) Y7 100 450 0029) Y7 100 450 0030) Y7 201 450 0032) Y7 201 450 00331) K 0- 300 00331) K 1 1 00335) Y1 201 434 0034) P 0- 19.4 00355) T 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	a	-	0	IJ	J	D	
0028) ¥6 0.079 0.035 0029) Y7 100 450 0030) Y7 201 434 0031) K 0 300 00321) K 0 300 00332) K1 RUNOFF SUB 00333) M 1	c	0 0	с,	Ĺ	C	-	
0029) Y7 100 450 0030) Y7 201 450 0030) Y7 201 434 0032) X1 0- 300 0033) M 1 1 0033) M 1 1 0035) T 0 0035) T 0 0035) T 0 0035) T 0 0035) T 0 0035) T 0 0035) T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00350 T 0 00550 T 0 00550 T 0 00550 T 0 00550 T 0 0000 T 0 00000	~	30 45		0.0065	0	0	
0030) Y7 201 434 4031) K	133	0 16	434	176	430	191	4
0033) K 300 2032) K1 RUNOFF SUB 0033) M 1 1 00335) P		40 36	ŝ	ں	0	0	
0032) K1 RUNOFF SUB 0033) M 1 1 00345) P - 0 19.4 00355) T J - 0 2 00355) T - 0 2	C	•	Ū	-	Ċ	Ċ	
0033) M 1 0034) P - 0 1 0035) T - 1	<						
0034) P - 0 1 0335) T - 0	5.43	67.0	n	ب ا	U	~	
0035) T J	6 9	11	120	ن		0	
0 72 2 7 7 17200	e,	0 0	ŋ	ر. •	0.1	C	
UUJO1 # J.14 U.00	ſ		D	ر.	D	D	
-0- C-2- X (2	1.6		c	· .,	0	c	
5) K 2 30	ر،		14	₹.	ن ا	ŋ	

2.4.5

CHER 2 STORES

1.8

8999000 500000 0 000000 000000 •

,

	¥	Σ	٩	⊨	3	×	¥	ž	7	7	≻	≻	>	×	¥	£	۵.	►	3	×	¥	¥	¥	¥	E.	¢.	þ	.3	×	¥	× :	£	₽.	F	3
. ×	-				4.5	-~-		-			0.0	-	m		-				3.5	-2-		K1		F				4.5	-2-		4			l	4.5
	RUNDEF		7°51 C	с •	6 3.62	-0-1	50		0		m	45	43	50	عليا		J 19.4	·	7 J.62	u -0.1	Ω.	COMBINE	0 9	RUNUFF		19.4	0	5 0.62		\sim	RUNOFF	*	19.4		5 0.625
	ىب	10.3	N)	.:) -		-		O SUBAR			0.07	15	51		SUBAREA	5.2	ల			-		2 HYDROGR		SUBAREA 6	5.16	э.	5		-		ĒA	9.63	49		-
		·	1.3	ت	C	ر ،	0	Ŀ.	-	G	430		4	J		Ċ	103	r <u>i</u>	G	n	0	APHS	Ċ		n	1.3	0	Ċ,		G	ſ	0	1.3		с
•)		67.36		ب ا	C	G	0		۴۰	J		662	\sim	0		67.56	113	Ċ,	Э	IJ	C	= 5	0		67.56		ت	O		G	, 1	67.06		0	Ĵ.
ر :		<u> </u>	120	с.	ر - ،	J	n,		D	Ţ	19200	434	Š	C		a	120	Ċ	с ·	0	o		с О		C	12.J	0	c		()	;		120	C 1	C
•				1.0	(ب	(-		يت.		0.0052	C	ت	"		ن	J	1.0	<i>C</i> 3	c	4		-		ن	Ċ	1.0	ເວ		-	ł	C)	• د	- 	
a		.)	Ļ	0.1	ټ	G	0		(J	0	D	430	0	ن ا		Ċ	ຕ	0.1	o	C	ت		0		ני		0.1	сı		L		0		0.1	ŋ
C		-	¢,	C	Ċ	G	C		¢,	Ľ.	o	324	0	0		~	C	ſ	Ċ	0	0		C			ſ	G	0		Ċ		***	n -		0
				0														ن																ت	

1 Å 🖂 S I

.....

.⇔⊘⊙⊂© (-)C 0 $- \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ$ 5 **393.5 402.5 682.5 693.5 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 61375 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 61** Ê \mathbf{C} 10 er Ť. INFLOW $C_{12}^{(1)}$ ۵ က္က လိုက္ရင္ရင္လ ကိုက္ရင္က ကိုက္ရင္က ကိုက္ရင္က ကိုက္ရင္က ကိုက္က ကိုက္က ကိုက္က ကိုက္က ကိုက္က ကိုက္က ကိုက္က ကိုက္က 7 SUBAREA SUBAREA 5 5 5 1.6 1.6 7 HYDROGRAPHS -900 PUTE THRU RESERVOIR 2391.0 397.0 397.0 397.0 20320 50320 398 398 398 398 1.5 0 398 398 19.4 19.4 0 -0.10 800 $\overline{}$ OMBINE **..**. ы. RUNCFI 3.14 390.0 **4664**) 355 396 390 **39**0 **401** 99 12475 \sim 422 74 53 S 5 3 - LLI ž × ≻ XEAH 3×1 XX * * • (0077) (0078) (0086) (0086) (0086) (0086) (0086) (0086) (0086) (0086) (0086) (0086) (0086) (0086) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0097) (0

44

- 47

- 4

DAI

77

<u>``</u>

١

PKLVIEOf SEQUENCE OF STRAM ACTWORK CALCULATIONSRUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT160RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT260COMBINE2 HYDROGRAPH AT260COMBINE2 HYDROGRAPH AT300RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT300RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT300RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT300RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT300RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT300RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT300RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT500RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT500RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT700RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT700RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT700RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT700RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT700RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT700RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT700RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT800RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT900RUNOFFHYDROGRAPH AT900<t

******* IAUTO 411MP C AL NSTAN D ISTAGE 0 ALSMX 0.00 I SAME 896 0.03 IPHI INAME 1 D.10 ******** 0 0 872 0.00 1 PLT 0 J P R T 0 WULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED NPLAN= 1 NRT10= 7 LRT10= 1 0.30 0.49 0.53 0.63 0.80 1.03 STRTL 1.00 ¢ RATI0 0.000 PRECIP DATA R6 R12 R24 R48 89.00 103.00 113.00 120.00 METRC 0 Trace 0 UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA SUB-AREA RUNDEF COMPUTATION JPLT 0 STRKS RT10K 0.00 1.00 TUMMANNOCK SPILLWAY DAM FILE IS ABYT Hec-1 (Snyder Parameters) PMF - DAM OVERTOPPIMG AMALYSIS MYDROGRAPM DATA Trsda trspc 67.06 0.00 JOB SPECIFICATION LROPT ********* 11APE 0 LOSS DATA 1RSDA 67.06 RUN DIO 1EC ON ERAIN 0.00 SNAP 0.00 IDAY D JOPER 5 I COMP D 10 RT10L 1.00 ********* RUNGFF SUBAREA 1 ISTAQ I 100 TAREA 8.82 SPFE PMS 3.00 19.40 Trspe computed by the program is 0.857 NI WI 30 0.2.0 0LTKR 0.00 10HG 8 0 1 0 DAM SAFETY VERSION JULY 1978 LAST MUDIFICATION 26 FEB 79 FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1) ************************** RT105= STRKR 0.00 IHYD6 1 DATE?THU, JUN 16 1961 Time?09:41:J6 3 Q 8 ******** LROPT D RUN

•

۰.

•

...

כ - 2 - 11 フロッシートン 11- 4.01

į

R110R= 1.6) RECESSION DATA -2.CC GRCSN* -0.1) S1R1G=

748. 277. 32. 31.	
VOL 1. CO 750. 359. 153. 11.	
CP = 0.62 683. 368. 115. 38. 13.	
4.62 HCURS, 608. 386. 128. 43.	
0RDINATES, 393. 461. 160. 53. 18.	END-DE-DEDIOD FIOU
HYDROGRAPH 54 END-OF-PERIOD 01 68. 178. 281. 669. 599. 537. 222. 199. 178. 74. 60. 59. 22. 20. 28. 22. 20. 8. 7. 71.	
24 54 END- 178. 599. 199. 20. 22. 22. 22.	
UNIT 24. 228. 248. 27. 27.	

COMP 0 LOSS EXCS RAIN END~OF-PERIOD FLOW RAIM EXCS LOSS COMP Q MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD NO.DA MR.MN PERIOD 0

SUM 19.96 16.43 3.53 138212. (537.)(417.)(93.)(3913.72)

******** ******* ******* ********* *********

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

INAME ISTAGE JPRT 0 0 174f IECON ITAFE 0 0 RUNOFF SUBAREA 2 Istaq Icomp 200 0

U LOCAL ISAME 15NON RATIO 0.000 TRSDA TRSPC 67.06 0.00 NYDROGRAPH DATA SWAP 0.00 IUHG TAREA 1 7.69 1 1 1 1

896 0.00 872 0.00

PRECIP DATA SPFE PMS R6 R12 R24 R48 C.OJ 19.40 89.00 103.00 113.00 120.00 Trspc computed by the program is 0.857

RTIMP 0.00 AL SMX D. 00 CNSTL 0.10 RTIOK STRTL 1.00 1.00 LOSS DATA STRKR DLTKR RTJOL ERAIN STRKS 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 LR0P1

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA 4.22 CP=0.63 MI

NTA= 0

RECESSION DATA -2.00 GRCSN= -0.10 RTIOR= 1.63

STRT0=

7 P =

200.

UNIT HYDROGRAPH 46 END-OF-PERIOD ORDINATES/ LAG# 4.18 HOURS/ CP# 0.63 VOL# 1.00 29. 107. 216. 339. 470. 592. 683. 738. 754. 642. 564. 495. 435. 382. 336. 295. 259. 227.

١,

15. دد. 17. - 2 č2. ;; ;5. ?. - 20 % - 20 % 32.

5 440) r ú S S EACS END-OF-FERIND FLOM COMP Q MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD KAIN LOSS MO.DA MR.MN PERIOD PAIN EXCS 0

131115. 3712.76) 3.56 SUM 19.96 16.39

•	*******		********	* * 1	* *	*******		********		-	******
					COMBINE	COMBINE HYDROGRAFHS	H S				
		COMBINE	Z MYDROGRAPHS ISTAQ ICOMP 200 Z		1+2=3 IECON 0	ITAPE 0	JPLT 0	J PRT 0	INAME 1	ISTAGE C	IAUTO C
•			****	:	*			******	:	4	
					HYDROGR	HYDROGRAPH ROUTING	NG				
		ROUTE T	ROUTE TO SUBAREA 3 Istage IC 300	A 3 ICOMP	IECON	ON ITAPE D 0	JPLT 0	J PRT 0	INAME	I STAGE D	I AUTO D
		0.0 0.0	CL055 0.000	AV6 0.00	IRES 1	ISAME	1 061 0	dini i		LSTR 0	
			NS T PS	NSTOL	LAG LAG	AMSKK 0.000	x coo.o	15K 0.000	5 T OR A -1.	I SPRAT 0	
NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL	•	ROUT ING									
0100	an (2) 0.0350	QM(3)	ELNVT 430.0	ELMAX 450.0	RLNTN 5EL 20400. 0.00690	SEL 00690					
CM055 100. 201.	CMOSS SECTION COORDINATESSTA,ELEV,STA,ELEVETC 100.00 450.00 133.00 440.00 166.00 434.00 201.00 434.00 233.00 440.00 366.00 450.00	00801MATE 0 133.00 1 233.00	SSTA.E 440.00 440.00	LEV.STA 166.0 366.0	,ELEVE1 0 434.00 0 450.00	176.00	176.00 430.00 191.00 430.00	191.00	430.0	٥	
STORAGE	0.00	8.69 320.12	36	19.98 386.65	33.86 241.78		50.39	71.58		98.39 738.87	157.82 846.46

168.87 966.66

\$ à

011104	1.7U 5890.61	61.00 7224.31		208.05 F897.98	1073	440.15 10731.41	778.97		1264.65 15185.85	1278	27-0171 7/1)	2,513,95	25165.57
STAGE	430.00 440.55	431.05 441.58		432.11 442.03	4	433.16 443.68	434.21 444.74	21	445.25 445.79	44	436.32 440.64	437.37	436 .42 442 .45
FLOW	J.D0 5890.61	61.05 7266.37		208.05 8697.98	54 E701	440.15 10731.41	776.97 12802.14		12 23.85 11 23.85	1771	1910.73 17715.34	26:5.79 20573.95	36.1 .3 2 23726.50
MAXIMUM STAGE	15 4	438.5											
MAKINUM STAGE IS		2.024											
MAXINUN STAGE IS		411.6											
MAXIMUM STAGE	15	442.8											
MAKINUN STAGE 15		443.8											
MAXIMUM STAGE IS		445.6											
MAXIMUM STAGE 15		1.7.1											
	*****	:	***	***		****	******		******	•	e a	*****	
				50	UB-ARE!	A RUNOI	SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION	TATION					
		RUNOFF	SUBAREA ISTAG 300	A 3 ICOMP		I ECON I	ITAPE 0	j PLT 3	1 P R T 0	LNAME	ISTAGE 0	1 A U T O 0	
	-	IHYDG IU 1	IUHG TA	TAREA 5.93	SNAP 0.00	YDROGRI TRSDA 67.06	НҮ В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В	RATI0 0.000	0 NS I	W ISAME		LOCAL	
TASPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM	0 8Y THE	SPI 0.1 Program 1	SPFE PMS 0.00 19.40 M 15 0.857		89.00 1	PRECI 812 103.00	PRECIP DATA R12 R24 13.00 113.00	R48 120.00	R72 0.00	R96 C.00	4 0		
	LICFT	518K 0.0	0.00	RT10L	E 8 A 1 N 0.00		LOSS DATA Strks RT 0.00	RT 10K ST 1.00.1	STRTL C	CNSTL 0.10	ALSMX D.CC	RTIMP 0.00	
				11	UNIT 1P= 3.74	T HYDR	UNIT NYOROGRAPH D 3.74 CP=0.63	DATA Nta-	ū				
					1	RECESS	RECESSION DATA	¢		ç			

18

******** 36*65868286

NTAR O UNIT MYDROGRAPH BATA 4.56 CP=0.63 N1 1 P =

813MP ALS#X 0.00 CNS1L 0.10 8110K STRTL 1.00 1.00 LOSS DATA RTIOL ERAIN STRKS 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 578KR 0.00 LR0P1 0

R96 C.00 R72 0.00 PRECIP DATA SPFE PMS R6 R12 R24 R48 0.00 19.40 89.00 103.00 113.00 120.00 TrSPC Conputed by TME Program IS 0.857

LOCAL I SAME DONST RATIO U.0000 HYDROGRAPH DATA Trsda Trsp(67.06 0.00 5NAP 0.00 TAREA 10.36

1AUTO U INAME ISTAGE L PRT 0

RUNOFF SUBAREA 4 Istag Icomp Iecon Itape 400 0 0 0 0

IAUTO 0

INAME ISTAGE

JPRT 0

1941 3

COMBINE 2 HYDROGRAPHS 2+3=3 ISTAQ ICOMP IECOM ITAPE 300 2 0 0

SUM 19.96 10.39 3.56 106576. (507.)(416.)(90.)(3017.89)

COMBINE NYDROGRAPHS

COMP 0

O END--OF-PERIOD FLOW MO.DA MR.MM PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS COMP.Q. PO.DA HR.MM PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS

UNIT HYDRJGRAFH 41 END-OF-PERIOD URDIMATES, LAG= 3.76 HUURS, CP= D.63 VOL= 1.25 108. 215. 336. 463. 562. 626. 659. 622. 411. 355. 307. 265. 229. 198. 171. 147. 53. 46. 39. 34. 22. 19. 16. 14. 12. 11. 9. 5.

29. 476. 110. 25.

ø

しそうシビア

11.21

18.7

551. 127. 29.

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

.

.

ł

349.99 2312.29 4257.20 SUM 19.96 16.41 3.55 169962. (507.)(417.)(90.)(4812.78) 170 13 COMP Q 936. 297. 90. 27. 8. MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS 233.98 2077.24 2879.18 38604.16 CF [] ********* 01UAI C 4.54 HOURS, CP= 3.63 VOL= 1.63 820. 992. 943. 424. 377. 334. 128. 114. 151. 39. 13. 9. ISTAGE D L STR D I SPRAT 0 145.0C 1865.55 33022.30 CL 7L1 309.00 430.00 324.00 430.03 INAME 1 STORA ******* RT16R= 1.63 1155.25 27824.05 82.96 1631.60 . 475 74 15K 0.000 JPRT 0 1 PMP 0.000 x 1001 JPLT 0 0 698. 476. 145. 145. 47.86 1421.01 676.57 23066.60 -0.10 1C 717 END-OF-PERIOD FLOW COMP Q MO.C UNIT NYDROGRAPH SJ END-OF-PERIOD ORDINATES, LAG* 123. 249. 393. 547. 698 771. 685. 607. 539. 476 234. 207. 184. 163. 145 271. 655. 150. 49. 44 21. 19. 17. 15. 15 MYDROGRAPM ROUTING ROUTING DATA IRES ISAME 1 1 ARLEDUICT VALT ******* AMSKK 0.000 IECON ITAPE 0 0 ELMAX RLNTH SEL 450.0 19200. 0.00520 CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA,ELEV.STA,ELEV--ETC 100.00 450.00 150.00 440.00 299.00 434.00 334.00 434.00 516.00 440.03 633.00 450.00 31.87 1218.58 382.10 18748.49 76 227 0 7 7 8 -2.00 LOSS **ICOMP** 00.00 NS TOL 18.60 1024.3C 180.61 14870.02 11 C27 ROUTE TO SUBAREA 5 Istag Ico 500 ******** \$18TB= RAIN EXCS NS T P S CL055 0.000 ELNVT 430.0 8.18 838.15 53.03 11434.15 YU 127 0.0 0.0 GN(1) GN(2) GN(3) 0.0700 0.0350 0.0700 NO.DA HR.MN PERIOD NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING ******* 0.00 J.00 8447.84 UU ULY 33. 265. 265. 265. OUTFLOW 23473 STORAGE

187 · · ·

· •.

4257.20 448.95 519. 2879.16 38654.15 ******** IAUTO D RT I MP 0.00 3.55 HOURS, CP= 0.63 VOL= 1.CC 661. 664. 656. LOCAL 0 INAME ISTAGE ALSMX 0.00 1865.55 33022.3C 40-014 ISNOU ISAME 896 0.00 LOSS DATA Erain Strks Rtiok Strtl CNSTL 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.10 : ******** RECESSION DATA Strte# -2.00 GrcsM# -0.10 rtior= 1.60 PRECIP DATA R6 R12 R24 R48 R72 89.00 103.00 113.00 120.00 0.00 445.79 1155.25 27824.05 JPRT 0 RAT10 0.000 NTA= 0 SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION 1 J J J J T T UNIT WYDROGRAPH 39 END-OF-PERIOD ORDINATES, LAG= . 121. 242. 377. 508. 607. . 30. 370. 370. 508. 507. UNIT NYDROGRAPH DATA TP= 3.57 CP=0.63 M1 076.57 23066.60 72.777 HYDROGRAPH DATA Trsda trspc 67.06 0.00 ******** LECON ITAPE D 0 382.10 18746.49 443.65 5 NAP 0.00 1 COMP RT 10L 16J.61 14870.02 442.63 ******** SPFE PMS 0.00 19.40 Trepe computed by the program is 0.857 TAREA 5.75 RUNOFF SUBAREA 5 ISTAG 1 500 LROPT STRKR DLTKR 0 0.00 0.00 IUNG 1 53.63 11434.15 441.50 **JUVDG** 440.8 436.7 438.5 439.2 439.8 4.57.1 441.6 ******** 440.53 00.U 8447.84 33. MAXIMUN STAGE 15 MAXIMUM STAGE IS MAXIMUM STAGE IS MAXIMUM STAGE IS MANIMUM STAGE IS MAXIAUM STAGE 15 MAXIMUM STAGE IS FLOW 176.7

SUM 19.96 16.41 3.55 105967. (507.)(417.)(90.)(3007.65) COMP 0 469. 23. 1055 ********* ******** 1 AUTO U 1AUT0 0 UNIT NYDROGRAPH 50 END-OF-PERIOD ORDINATES, LAG* 4.53 HOURS, CP= 0.63 VOL= 1.00 62. 125. 198. 275. 351. 412. 453. 473. *** RTIMP 0.00 . 27. D LOCAL HR.M. PERIOD RAIN EXCS JPRT INAME ISTAGE JPRT INAME ISTAGE 0 1 0 ALSMX D.00 ISANE 896 C.03 31. 21. CNSTL 0.10 RTIOR= 1.60 ********* DONSI ******** 872 0.00 37. 57#71 NTA= 0 RATIO 0.000 PRECIP DATA SPFE PMS R6 R12 R24 R48 0.00 19.40 89.00 103.00 113.00 12).00 tespc computed by the program is 0.857 SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION 1 PL T JPLT 0 RT 20K M0.0A UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA TP= 4.55 CP=0.63 N RECESSION DATA QRCSN= -0.10 END-OF-PERIOD FLOW Comp & MO.D COMBINE HYDROGRAPHS NYDROGRAPH DATA TRSDA TRSPC 67.06 0.00 LOSS DATA RTIOL ERAIN STRKS 1.00 0.00 ******** ********* ICOMP IECON ITAPE D D D 0 IECON ITAFE 0 0 50. 11. COMMINE 2 HYDROGRAPHS 4+5=5 ISTAQ ICOMP IECO 500 2 -2.00 SNAP 0.00 59. 12. LOSS ******** STRTG= ********* TAREA 5.18 RUNOFF SUBAREA 6 MO.DA MR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS ISTAQ 600 0LTKR C.00 1UN6 STRKR 0.00 80. 1 HYDG 1 ********** ******** LAOP1 17. 23.

• *

` X

SUM 19.96 16.39 3.56 84985. (537.)(416.)(93.)(2400.50) COMP 9 45 45 L055 ******** IAUTO 0 871MP Excs LOCAL INAME ISTAGE ALSMX 9.00 RAIN ISAME 1 57. 57. 57. R96 C.D:) END-OF-PERIOD FLUM COMP Q MU.DA HR.MN PERIOD ******* ISNOU 872 0.00 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 J P.R.T 0 RATIC 0.000 PRECIP DATA R6 R12 R24 R48 89.00 103.00 113.00 120.00 SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION JPLT 0. 22. NYOROGRAPH DATA Trsoa Trspc 67.06 0.00 LOSS DATA ERAIN STRKS R1 0.00 0.00 ****** ICOMP IECON ITAPE 0 0 0 0 81. 25. SMAP 0.00 LUSS ******* SPFE PMS 0.00 19.40 Trspc computed by the program is 0.857 TAREA 9.63 MO.DA MR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS RUNOFF SUBAREA 7 15740 | 200 105. 31. 10HG 116. 35. INVOG ********* 131.

1

CNSTL 0.10 RTIOR= 1.63 STRTL 1.00 NTA= 0 RT 10K -0.10 UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA TP= 4.55 CP=0.63 N RECESSION DATA QRC SN= -2.00 RT10L 1.00 STRT Q = STRKR DLTKR 0.00 0.00 LROPT

871. 275. 83. 25. 4.53 MOURS, CP= 0.63 VOL= 1.00 766. 842. 842. 879. 394. 349. 310. 119. 1105. 54. 316. 32. 28. 41. 10. 9. 652. 444. 134. 32. UNIT NYDROGRAPH 50 END-OF-PERIOD ORDINATES, LAG 115. 233. 367. 512. 652 716. 635. 564. 500. 444 216. 192. 170. 151. 134. 65. 58. 51. 46. 40. 807. 247. 245. 222.

MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS

END-OF-PERIOD FLOW COMP Q MO.D

HR.MM PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS

NO.DA

SUM 19.96 16.43 3.53 158360.

COMP 9

LOSS

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

			ROUTE T	HRU RES	ERVOIR /	ROUTE THRU RESERVOIR AND OVER SPILLWAY 15740 ISTAO ISTOMP IECOM ITAPE	SPILLUA 1TAPE		JPLTJPRT		ENAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO	i
				006			-		0		-	0	0	
			91055 0.0	CL 055 0.000	AV6 00.0	18	ISAME	1 10PT				L S T R U		
				NSTPS 1	MSTDL 0	0 1 1 6	ANSKK 0.000	X~0 000000		15K 0.000	STORA -390.	ISPRAT -1		
-	STAGE	390.00 395.00	390.50 396.00		391.00 397.00	391.50 398.00		392.00 399.00		392.59 400.00	m 4	393.00 401.00	393.5J 432.00	394.00 403.00
liin.	· FLOU 12		352.00 16710.00		1032.00 21390.00	1907.00 26475.00		2900.00 31995.00		37755.00	433 433	5395.00 43561.00	6875.00 49631.00	8520.00 55964.00
	CAPACITY=	07997	. 3912. . 48480.		10740. 50320.	21480. 52470.		35900. 54310.	37590. 56610.	39120.	20.	41120. 6137U.	42650. 63820.	44490.
-	ELEVATION=	355.		363. 397.	371. 398.	380. 399.		390. 400.	591.		392. 402.	393. 403.	394 .	395.
			CREL 390.0		SPUID 0.0	- C 0 0 1	EXPU 0.0	C.C D.C	- COQL	CAREA 0.0	ت	EXPL 0.0		
						10PEL 401.0	ς Σ	048 0414 0000 Ex 2.6 1	8 .	04MMI0 420.				
6	PEAK OUTFLOW IS	5 7492. AT	. AT TIME		48.00 HOURS									
-	PEAK OUTFLOW IS	S 12630. AT	. AT TIME		47.50 MOURS									
-	PEAK OUTFLON IS	5 17459. AT	. AT TIME		47.50 NOURS									
-	PEAK OUTFLOW IS	5 23126. AT	. AT TIME		47.30 NOURS									
-	PEAK OUTFLON IS	5 28692. AT	. AT TIME		47.00 HOURS									
-	PEAK OUTFLON IS	S 39945. AT	. AT TIME		47.00 HOURS									

51461. AT TIME 46.50 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS

_

۰.

.

۰.

******** ********* ********

ł

SUM 19.96 17.J9 2.86 272887. (507.)(434.)(73.)(7727.29) COMP Q 1173. 200. 34. LUSS IAUTO 0 ******** 1AUTO 9M118 0.20 3.13 HOURS, CP= 0.63 VOL= 1.03 1766. 1650. 1399. 340. 285. 239. 58. 49. 41. END-OF-PERIOD FLOW Comp Q MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS D TOCAL INAME ISTAGE ALSMX J.JJ I SAME 896 0.00 CNSTL 0.10 ********* RTIOR= 1.60 DONSI R72 0.00 J PRT 0 STRTL 1.00 NTA= 0 RATI0 0.000 SPFE PMS R6 R12 R24 R48 2.00 19.40 89.00 103.00 113.00 120.00 TASPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS 0.857 SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION JPLT LOSS DATA Erain Strks rtick 0.00 0.00 1.00 UNIT HYDROGRAFH 34 END-OF-FERIOD ORDINATES, LAG= 376. 746. 1151. 1505. 1724. 825. 699. 578. 484. 406. 140. 118. 99. 83. 69. UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA 3.14 CP=0.63 N RECESSION DATA Grcsn= -0.10 COMBINE HYDROGRAFHS NYDROGRAPN DATA Trsda trspc 67.06 0.00 PRECIP DATA ******** ICOMP IECON ITAPE 0 0 0 0 -2.00 SNAP 0.00 1151. 578. 99. LOSS 1 P = RT10L 1.00 ********* STRTG= TAREA 13.70 RUNOFF SUBAREA & ISTAQ RAIN EXCS 746 690 118 800 01.TKR 3.00 1UHG STRKR 0.00 376. 825. 140. 24. HR.MN PERJOD IHYDG 1 ********* L ROPT 103. 982. 168. 29. NO.DA o

INAME IST GE 1 P R T COMBINE 5 HYDRCGRAPHS - TOTAL RESERVOIR INFLOW Istag Icomp Iecon Itape JPLT

PEAK FLOW AND STORAGE (END OF PERIOD) SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE PLAN-RATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTATIONS Flows in cubic feet per second (cubic meters per second) Area in square miles (square kilometers)

í

÷

. .

11432. 323.73) (-25633. 725.86)(9193. 260.32)(18423. 217.25)(11823. 334.78) (219.09)(18385. 520.60)(9500. 269.01)(5918. 167.58) (311.72)(1.09 18680. 528.96)(20006. RATIO 7 14944. 423.17) (14728. 417.C4)(20487. 580.12)(9458. 267.83)(7600. 215.21)(7355. 208.26)(6138. 173.80)(9090. 257.39) (6190. 175.27) (14592. 413.20)(88C7. 247.38)(4734. 134.07)(1.005. 0.80 RATIO 15351. 434.69)(5516. 156.19) (11208. 317.38)(11040. 312.61)(4603. 130.35)(7094 . 200.87) (4642. 131.45)(10849. 307:21)(6605. 187.03)(5700. 161.41)(3551. 100.55) (12004-5606. 6762. 158.759 (---191.47) (C.60 RATIO 5 RATIOS APPLIED TO FLOWS Ratio 3 Ratio 4 Rat 3869. 109.54)(~ 9198. 260.44)(3836. 108.03)(5911. 167.39)(4750. 134.51)(9340. 264.48)(12788. 362.13)(8996. 254.75)(10003. 4597. 130.16)(2959. 83.79)(5504. - 155.86) (RATIO 4 0.50 4475. 126.72) (-- 1 3800. 107.61)(3677. 104.13)(7472. 211.58)(7357. 206.33)(5069. 86.90)(10230. 289.67)(4729. 133.91)(7168. 202.99)(2367. 67.03)(-4403. 124.69){ 8003. 87.64)(6.40 3095. 2850. 89.70)(2758. 78.19)(5604. 158.69)(\$\$20. 156.30)(7676. 217.37)(3547. 100.44)(3349. 94.82)(5368. 152.00)(3302. 93.52)(2302. 65.18)(2321. 65.73)(1775. RATIJ 2 0.30 2365. 66.96)(5114. 144.81)(3577. 101.30)(1900. 53.80)(3736. 3679. 1534. 43.45)(2229. 63.13) (1547. 43.82)(1184. 33.52)(2202. 62.34)(4001. 1839. 52.07)(PLAN RATED 1 0.20 8.82 22.84) 7.69 10.36 10.36 26.83) AREA 5.93 15.36) 5.18 9.63 13.70 16.51 42.76) 22.44 5.75 14.89) 16.11 24.94) 16.51 42.76) 13.42) ÷ Y ¥ ¥ 800 200 100 200 500 300 300 00, 500 500 500 600 700 STATION AT AT **7** AT × HYDROGRAPH AT MYDROGRAPH AT HYDROGRAPH AT 2 COMBINED 2 COMBINED 2 COMBINED **NYDROGRAPH** HYDROGRAPH HYDROGRAPH HYDROGRAPH HYDROGRAPH OPERATION ROUTED TO ROUTED TO

н н. 1

2

١,

15694. 23541. 31399. 39282. 47164. 63069. 79084. (444.40)(666.60)(889.11)(1112.35)(1335.54)(1785.92)(2239.40)(7492. 12633. 17459. 23126. 28692. 39945. 51461. (212.16)(357.64)(494.38)(654.86)(812.45)(1131.12)(1457.21)(1/70-000 1/13+000 1/16+600 1/03+003 1/10+073 1/04+601 1/00+011 80C 67.06 (173.66) 90.0 67.06 (173.68) -----S COMBINED ROUTED TO

PLAN 1 STATION 300

	500	STATION	-	PLAN
44.50	-	447	18423.	1.00
4		445	66	0.80
\$.3		443	11040.	0.60
ŝ	<i>.</i> 0	277	9198.	0.50
5.4		644	~	0.40
ŝ		054	5520.	0.30
4.5		438	3679.	0.20
008	FT	STAGE -	LOUNCFS	•
1 1 M E	¥ D	MUMIXAM	NUMIXEN	

I	TIME	HOURS	45.00	45.00	45.00	45.00	45.00	44.50	44.50	
	××	STAGELFT	436.7	37.	438.5	39	39.	3	•	
	NUNIXEN	ň	2229.	349	475	5606.	762	.0909	11432.	
		AT10	0.20	0.30	07-0	6.50	0.60	0.80	1.00	

۰.

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

	11. ME 01 14. ME 05 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0
ТОР ОГ РАН 401.00 56610. 43561.	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 45.00 47.50 47.50 47.00 47.00 47.00 47.00 47.00 47.00
1	001 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
SPILLWAY CRE 390.00 35900. 35900. 0.	RAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS 7492 12630 17459 17459 28692 39945 59662 59461
	MAX1MUM 5 TORAGE AC-FT 42172 4569 45694 46934 46934 51183 51183 59138
INITIAL VALUE 390.09 35970. 35970.	о Каран Себран Себран Со Со Со Со Со Со Со Со Со Со Со Со Со
ELEVATION Storage Outflow	MAX1001 RESERVOIR 395.ELEV 395.04 395.16 397.34 597.34 400.40 400.09 402.09
	01748 707 707 707 707 707 707 707 707 707 70
PLAN 1	

•
APPENDIX D REFERENCES

\$

,

[]

- -

APPENDIX D

REFERENCES

- Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers. National Program of Investigation of Dams; Appendix D: Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, 1976
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission: Design Basis Floods for Nuclear Power Plants, Regulating Guide 1.59, Revision 2, August 1977
- 3. Linsley and Franzini: Water Resources Engineering, Second Edition, McGraw-Hill (1972)
- 4. W. Viessman, Jr., J. Knapp, G. Lewis, 1977, 2nd Edition, Introduction to Hydrology
- 5. Ven Te Chow: Handbook of Applied Hydrology, McGraw-Hill, 1964
- The Hydrologic Engineering Center: Computer Program 723-X6-L2010, HEC-1 Flood Hydrograph Package, User's Manual, Corps of Engineers, U.S. Army, 609 Second Street, Davis, California 95616, January 1973
- 7. The Hydrologic Engineering Center, Computer Program: Flood Hydrograph Package (HEC-1) Users Manual For Dam Safety
- 8. Soil Conservation Service (Engineering Division): Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds, Technical Release No. 55, U.S. Department of Agriculture, January 1975
- 9. H.W. King, E.F. Brater: Handbook of Hydraulics, McGraw-Hill, 5th Edition, 1963
- 10. Ven Te Chow: Open Channel Hydraulics, McGraw-Hill, 1959
- Bureau of Reclamation, United States Department of the Interior, Design of Small Dams: A Water Resources Technical Publication, Third Printing, 1965
- 12. J.T. Riedel, J.F. Appleby and R.W. Schloemer: Hydrometeorological Report No. 33, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Department of Army, Corps of Engineers, Washington, D.C., April 1956. Available from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- North Atlantic Regional Water Resources Study Coordinating Committee: Appendix C, Climate, Meteorology and Hydrology, February 1972

- 14. Sherard, Woodward, Gizienski, Clevenger: Earth and Earth Rock Dams, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1963.
- 15. U.S. Soil Conservation Service, Stillwater Outdoor Hydraulic Laboratory: Handbook of Channel Design for Soil and Water Conservation, SCS-TP-61, March 1974; revised June 1954.
- 16. The University of the State of New York The State Education Department, State Museum and Science Service, Geological Survey: Geologic Map of New York, 1970
- Y.W. Isachsen and W.G. McKendree, 1977, Preliminary Brittle Structures Map of New York, Hudson-Mohawk Sheet, New York State Museum Map and Chart Series No. 31B
- 18. Rudolf Ruedemann, 1930, Geology of the Capital District, New York State Museum Bulletin 285.

APPENDIX E

STABILITY ANALYSIS

a arvetendjude Prik v sussesside are

•

* <u>}</u>

1.14

1.8

. -

Yι STETSON • DALE BANKERS TRUST BUILDING UTICA • NEW YORK • 13501 DESIGN BRIEF DATE 4/24/81 JECT NAME Tomhannoch Reservoir Spillway We JECT Stability Evaluation - Upper level spillway section DRAWN BY DEM _____ EI. 402 (PMF EIN). El. 390' Reapport Cross-Sectional Area = 350 MA une to = ilsolid (determined by planimeter Conc. EL. 378 apron measurement derign drewing 35 ft (t) WE spillway section = (350 grift glough) (.150 K) = 53 K + -(390-375=12¹⁾ (1) Assume uplift hydrostatic pressure on base averages tuelus A. ofher: Prating = (12 H x. 0624 pcf)(35 H hidth) = 26 = 26 = 1 FS against uplift only = Sit = 2+ (2) Assume uplift hydrostatic pressure on base avenages 402-378=24' Puplift = (24ft x.0624pef x35ft) = 52+K FS againt this uplift = = = 1 =

APPENDIX F

PREVIOUS INSPECTION REPORTS/AVAILABLE DOCUMENTS

٠

. ...



|--|



-			1	DUR DAM INSPECTI	or rever Tom F	HANNUCK RES-
j	e 2 RB	42 Cry	26 YR AP.	000/// DAM NO.	0 09/27 JRS. DATE	O OO3 M USE TYPE
(-) [AS	MIRIN INSPE	11103		مالي ومعلي المركز المركز المركز المركز المركز المركز المركز المركز المركز المركز المركز المركز المركز المركز ا المركز المركز	
		Location of and outlet	Sp'way	. •	Elevatio.	15
		Size of Sph and Outlet	<i>√</i> #.y		Geometry Non-overl	of Now section
		SECONAL CON	ora or	NON-OVERFLOR SE	CTION	
	Ш	Settlement	-		Cracks .	Deflections
	Ш.	Joints			Surface of Concrete	Leakage
	[] '	Jadermining		_	Settlement of Embankment	Crest of Dam
	· • • •)ownstream Slope			Upstream Slopc	Toe of Slope
		SENERAL CON). OF 5P'1	NAY AND OUTLET W	ORKS	•
		Auxilia ry Spillw <mark>ay</mark>			Service or Concrete Sp'way	Stilling Basin
		Joints	· · ·	· []]	Surface of Concrete	Spillway Toe
		Sechanical Equipment			Plange Pool	Drain Drain
	۱۳۱۰ (Maintenance		ار این این این این این این این این این این	Razar	rd Class
·.	3	Evaluation	•		34 ^{Inspe}	2¢Lor
		ARUTES:	6000	D. SHAP	E .	
ľ						
	•					:
			·	•		
						•

•

• 5



Volume Dam. 600 3(b,+b,) XLXh 24 60' 400 (244400) × 600 × 60 - 282,666 eu yds .54 Impounding Cap. acreft. 43560 156,563,000 4+400 60 #

Martine Tower Martine Tower Martine Tower Martine Tower Martine Tower • •

March 31, 1959

Mr. Roland E. Hesoox Chief Water Plant Operator Melrose Laboratory Melrose, New York

Towns of Pittstown

County of Rensselaer

& Schaghticoke

Re: Reconstruction of Spillway Channel

Dem 110

Dear Sir:

The report, plans and specifications filed by you under the provisions of Section 948 of the Conservation Law for the owner City of Troy, Bureau of Water, Troy, New York for the reconstruction of the spillway channel of Dam #110 in the Towns of Pittstown and Schaghticake, County of Rensselaar, are approved to the extent of the authority vested in the Superintendent of Public Works under the above mentioned statute.

One set of plans and specifications, formally starped approved, is being returned herewith.

Very truly yours,

R. W. Dayton Deputy Chief Engineer

By I_

D. C. Ogsbury Assoc. Civil Engineer

JEP:fs Encl.

CITY OF TROY Melrose Laboratory BUREAU OF WATER DE HEACOX P.E. F.W. R. PLANT OPERATOR MELROSE, NEW YORK ICK M EANNELLO MIST March 26, 1959 Mr. E. W. Dayton Deputy Chief Engineer Division of Construction Department of Public Works State of New York Albany 1, New York Attention: Mr. D. C. Ogsbury Associate Civil Engineer Dear Sir: Ref: Reconstruction of Spillway Channel, Dam #110 We are sending you, under separate cover, two copies of those sections of the plans and specifications for Contract #1 which are applicable to the work at the Tomhannock Spillway Channel. Bids were received on this work on March 20th last and we expect to make an early award of the contract. I hope we will receive your approval of this work without delay. Very truly yours 195 MAR Collered C. H ROLAND E. HEACOX, P.E E) Chief Water Plant Ope REH/1h TROY NEW YORK, HOME OF "Uncle Sam"



Mr. Roland E. Heacox Chief Water Plant Operator Troy Water Bureau Troy, New York

Dan #110

Dear Sir:

RE :

Receipt of an application and report prepared by the firm of Camp, Dresser and McKee, Consulting Engineers, and the return of data previously loaned to you, all pertaining to the above named dam, is hereby acknowledged.

We have reviewed and studied the report and preliminary plans and find the proposed reconstruction of spillway channel to be satisfactory to us.

Rowever, before we grant formal approval of the proposed project it will be necessary for you to submit to us two (2) sets of the final plans and specifications.

Very truly yours,

E. W. Dayton Deputy Chief Engineer

BY:

D. C. Ogsbury Associate Civil Engineer

JP/on

Form E-61. (5D-506) 2M 4-88 Ord. 7-05-7

a da anti-

2 Cher

۰.

a faither was to part the state of the state of the STATE OF NEW YORK

:

.

 $C^{(1)} = C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{(1)} + C^{($

. :

. .

. . . .

• ., • ...

. ...



.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

ALBAN	r i la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la constr
Received March 6 1959	Dam No. 110 - A
Disposition Approved March 31, 1959	Watershed Upper Hudson
Foundation inspected	
Structure inspected	

Application for the Construction or Reconstruction of a Dam

Application is hereby made to	the Superintendent of Public Works, Albany, N. Y., in compliance with the
provisions of Section 948 of the Co	onservation Law (see third page of this application) for the approval of spont and
Randons and Ballied drawings, mar	ked.Troy, New York Report on Improvements to
Water Supply July, 1	958
herewith submitted for the { con reconstruction	struction {
December 1959	(Construction of Dam completed in 1904)
(Date) 1. The dam will be on TO	hannock Creek flowing into Hoosic River in the
towns of Pittstown & Scha	ghticoke County of Rensselaer
	f Melroge, N.Y. on Melrose-Valley Falls Road. direction from a well-known bridge, dam willage, main cross-roads or mosth of a stream)
2. Location of dam is shown	on the Tomhannock SE/4 Cohose 15! guadrangle of the
United States Geological Survey.	
3. The name of the owner is	City of Troy, N.Y. Bureau of Water
4. The address of the owner	SCITY Hall State St. Troy, N.Y.
5. The dam wiff be used for	Water Supply
*-	built upon or its pond flood any State lands?
	proposed dam is
	eate a pond area at the spillcrest elevation of 2970acres
and will impound. 156.,563,00	Qcubic feet of water.

10.	The lowest part of the natural shore of the pond is
and ever	where else the shore will be at least
11.	State if any damage to life or to any buildings, roads or other property could be caused by any possi
failure o	f the proposed dam
••••••	· · · · ·
12.	The natural material of the bed on which the proposed dam will rest is (clay, sand, gravel, bould
granite,	shale, slate, limestone, etc.)
13.	Facing downstream, what is the nature of material composing the right bank?
14.	Facing downstream, what is the nature of the material composing the left bank?
	State the character of the bed and the banks in respect to the hardness, perviousness, water bearing, eff
	ure to air and to water, uniformity, etc
•	
	the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s
•••••	
16	As there any normul come of ferrings beneath the foundation of the proposed day 2
	Are there any porous seams or fissures beneath the foundation of the proposed dam?
	• • • • • •
	WASTES. The spillway of the above proposed dam with be
17. is win be l	WASTES. The spillway of the above proposed dam with be
17. is win be h the spille	WASTES. The spillway of the above proposed dam wife be
17. is be the spill the top of	WASTES. The spillway of the above proposed dam wife be
17. $\frac{1}{100}$ the formula for the spilled the top of 18.	WASTES. The spillway of the above proposed dam with be
17. $\frac{1}{100}$ the formula for the spilled the top of 18.	WASTES. The spillway of the above proposed dam with be
17. ig be h the spille the top o 18. 19.	WASTES. The spillway of the above proposed dam with be300 freet long in the clear; the wat eld at the right end by ECATCH . Embankment the top of which with be 11 ± freet above the spillcrest, and at the left end by ECATCH . of which will be 11 ± freet above the spillcrest, and have a top width of 10 + freet. The spillway is designed to safely discharge 23 ,000cubic feet per second. Pipes, sluice gates, etc., for flood discharge ECATCH be provided through the dam as follows: 5 ft Steel pipe encased in concrete .
17. ig be h the spill the top o 18. 19.	WASTES. The spillway of the above proposed dam with be
17. ig be h the spille the top o i8. 19.	WASTES. The spillway of the above proposed dam with be
17. is with be h the spille the top of 18. 19.	WASTES. The spillway of the above proposed dam with be
17. is b the spill the top of i8. 19. 20.	WASTES. The spillway of the above proposed dam wife be

× x.

INSTRUCTIONS

Read carefully on the third page of this application the law setting forth the requirements to be complied with in order to construct or reconstruct a dam.

Each application for the construction or reconstruction of a dam must be made on this standard form, copies of which will be furnished upon request to the State Department of Public Works, Albany, N. Y. The application must be accompanied by three sets of plans, and specifications. The information furnished must be in sufficient detail in order that the stability and safety of the dam can be determined. In cases of large and important dams assumptions made in calculating stresses and stability should be given.

Samples of materials to be used in the dam and of the material on which the dam is to be founded may be asked for, but need not be furnished unless requested.

If the dam constitutes a part of a public water supply, application should be made to the Water Power and Control Commission under Article XI of the Conservation Law.

An application for the construction or reconseruction of a dam must be signed by the prospective owner of the dam or his duly authorized agent. The address of the signer and the date must be given as provided for on the last page of the application form.

SECTION 948 OF THE CONSERVATION LAW

§ 948. Structures for impounding water; inspection of docks; penalties. No structure for impounding water and no dock, pier, wharf or other structure used as a landing place on waters shall be erected or reconstructed by any public authority or by any private person or corporation without notice to the superintendent of public works. nor shall any such structure be erected, reconstructed or maintained without complying with such conditions as the superintendent of public works may by order prescribe for safeguarding life or property against danger therefrom. No order made by the superintendent of public works shall be deemed to authorize any invasion of any property rights, public or private, by any person in carrying out the requirements of such order. The superintendent of public works shall have power, whenever in his judgment public safety shall so require, to make and serve an order, setting forth therein his findings of fact and his conclusions therefrom, directing any person, corporation, officer or board, constructing, maintaining or using any structure hereinbefore referred to, either remove the said structure or to repair or reconstruct the same within such reasonable time and in such manner as shall be specified in such order, and it shall be the duty of every such person, corporation, officer or board, to obey, observe and comply with such order and with the conditions prescribed by the superintendent of public works for safeguarding life or property against danger therefrom, and every person, corporation, officer or board failing, omitting or neglecting so to do, or who hereafter erects or reconstructs any such structure hereinbefore referred to without submitting to the superintendent of public works and obtaining his approval of plans and specifications for such structures when required to do so by his order or hereafter fails to remove, erect or to reconstruct the same in accordance with the plans and specifications so approved shall forfeit to the people of the State a sum not to exceed five hundred dollars to be fixed by the court for each and every offense; every violation of any such order shall be a separate and distinct offense, and, in such case of a continuing violation, every day's continuance thereof shall be and be deemed to be a separate and distinct offense. Such order shall not contain any provision to compel the owner to make repairs or proceed with reconstruction as specified in this section by any type of construction other than that of the dam itself. In addition to said forfeiture upon the violation of any such order, the superintendent of public works shall have power to enter upon the lands and waters where such structures are located, for the purpose of removing, repairing or reconstructing the same, and to take such other and further precautions which he may deem necessary to miguard life or property against danger therefrom. In removing, repairing and reconstructing such dam the superimendent shall not deviate from the method, manner or specifications contained in the original order. The superintendent of public works shall certify the amount of the costs and expenses incurred by him for the removal, repair or reconstruction aforesaid, or in anywise connected therewith, to the board of supervisors of the county or councies in which the said lands and waters are located, whereupon it shall be the duty of such board of supervisors to add the amount so certified to the assessment rolls of such locality or localities as a charge against the real property upon which the dam is located designated or described by the superintendent of public works as chargeshie therewith, and to issue its warrant or warrants for the collection thereof. Thereupon it shall become the duty of such locality or localities through their proper officers to collect the amounts so certified in the same manner as other taxes are collected in such locality or localities, and when collected, to pay the same to the superintendent of public works

who shall thereupon pay the same into the treasury. Any amount so levied shall thereupon become a lien upon the real property affected thereby, to the same extent as any tax levy becomes and is a lien thereon.

Any person in interest may, within thirty days from the service of any such order, appeal to the supreme court to determine the reasonableness of such order. At any time during such appeal to the supreme court upon at least three days' notice, the party appealing may apply for an order directing any question of fact to be tried and determined by a jury, and the court shall thereupon cause such question to be stated for trial accordingly and the findings of the jury upon such question shall be conclusive. Appeals may be taken from the supreme court to the appellate division of the supreme court and to the court of appeals in such cases, subject to the limitations provided in the civil practice act.

This section shall not apply to a dam where the area draining into the pond formed thereby does not exceed one square mile, unless the dam is more than ten feet in height above the natural bed of the stream at any point or unless the quantity of water which the dam impounds exceeds one million gallons; nor to a dock, pier, wharf or other structure under the jurisdiction of the department of docks, if any, in a city of over one hundred and seventyfive thousand population. This section as hereby amended shall not impair the effect of an order heretofore made by the conservation commission or commissioner under this section prior to the taking effect of chapter four hundred and ninety-nine of the laws of nineteen hundred and twenty-one, nor require the approval by the superintendent of public works, of plans and specifications theretofore approved by such commission or commissic - 21 under this section.

The foregoing information is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and the construction will be carried out in accordance with the approved plans and specifications.

City of Troy, N.Y. Bureau of Water Owner

Bv Joseph F. Hayden Commissioner Public Works Address of signer. City Hall, State St. Troy, N.Y. Date. January 23 1959

authorized agent of owner.

December 4, 1958

Mr. Roland E. Heacox Chief Vater Plant Operator Troy Mater Bureau Troy, New York

Dear Sirs

This is to remind you that you have on losn from us the following items:

1. One blueprint of existing dam #110

- 2. Mine clippings pertaining to dem #110
- 3. One U.S.G.S. Map
- 4. Your applications dated as follows: April 17, 1918, June 20, 1916, June 20, 1916 and August 2, 1926

If you have already made photostatic copies of the above items, may we request you to return them to this Department, sttention of Mr. D. C. Ogsbury, at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

Henry Tenlagen Deputy Chief Engineer

D. C. Ogsbury Assoc. Civil Engin

JEPits

TROY, NEW YORK

REPORT ON IMPROVEMENTS TO WATER SUPPLY

JULY, 1958

CAMP, DRESSER & McKEE Consulting Engineers Boston, Massachusetts

THOMAS R CAMP HERMAN & DRESSER ROLAND S BURLINGAME JOSEPH C JAWLER DARRELLA ROOT

CAMP, DRESSER & MCKEE

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

6 BEACON STREET BOSTON & MASSACHUSETTS TELEMONE RECHNONE 2:1710

July 11, 1958

WATER WORRS AND WATER "HEA"HEN" &EWERREAN, SEWADE "REA"HEN" "HUN CIPAL AND INCLISTRIAL WAITER "LECOD CONTROL

INVESTICATION, AND REPORTS DES ON AND SUPERVISION RELEAVED AND DEVELOPMENT

_ .

Mr. Joseph F. Hayden Commissioner of Public Works City of Troy Troy, New York

Dear Mr. Hayden:

Report on Improvements to Water Supply

In compliance with the terms of our letters of May 8, 1958, and May 23, 1958, addressed to Mr. Roland E. Heacox, Chief Water Plant Operator of the Troy Water Bureau, and subsequent instructions from Mr. Heacox, we have made an engineering investigation to prepare preliminary designs and cost estimates for the construction of certain water supply facilities which are needed at once either for the purpose of preventing failure of major water supply works or for the purpose of protecting the quality of the water delivered to the consumers and the reliability of the supply. The results of our studies are presented in detail in the following report and are summarized below.

Summary

The total estimated cost of works recommended for immediate construction is \$432, 850, including allowances for engineering and contingencies. The items covered in this report which are recommended for immediate construction are: (1) improvements to blow-off facilities at Tomhannock Dam, estimated to cost \$11,200; (2) improvements at Tomhannock Intake, estimated to cost \$37,600; (3) reconstruction of Tomhannock Spillway channel, estimated to cost \$320,400; (4) desilting of Quacken Kill diverting reservoir, estimated to cost \$33,750; (5) improvement of chlorination facilities at Quacken Kill diverting reservoir, estimated to cost \$12,600; and (6) improvement of chlorinating facilities at the Vanderbeyden Reservoir, estimated to cost \$18,300. Mr. Joseph F. Hayden - 2 July 11, 1958

The most important of the six items recommended for immediate construction is the Tomhannock spillway channel, which represents over 70% of the total estimated cost of all six items. The existing channel is in an advanced stage of destruction. If it is not replaced immediately, there is danger of loss of the highway bridge and of the Tomhannock spillway dam itself by floods of moderate size which may be expected at any time. It is strongly recommended that authorization for construction be obtained as quickly as possible so that the project can be undertaken this fall when the reservoir can be drawn down. If authorization is obtained within about a month's time, it should be possible to complete construction prior to the 1959 spring runoff.

Some improvements not considered in this report but which have been studied by Mr. Heacox are also urgently needed at this time. Moreover, a comprehensive engineering study of the entire system, such as described in our letter of April 9, 1958 to Mr. Heacox, is badly needed to develop a long-range plan for the rehabilitation and improvement of the entire system. The water is of poor quality and not adequately treated according to modern standards for the protection of the consumer and the supply and distribution works have suffered from many years of neglect.

We wish to express our appreciation to you, to Mr. Heacox, to Mr. Smith, and to other members of the staff of the Department of Public Works for the cooperation given us in our studies.

Yours very truly, .

CAMP, DRESSER & McKEE

on as R. Camp

,

Thomas R. Camp

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Scope of Investigation	1
Blow-off Facilities of Tomhannock Dam	1
Improvements to Tomhannock Intake Structure	່ 3
Reconstruction of Tomhannock Spillway Channel	5
Description of Existing Channel	5
Flow Capacity of Spillway Channel	
Proposed New 80-ft Spillway Channel	12
Alternate 130-ft Spillway Channel	13
Desilting Quacken Kill Diverting Reservoir	15
Improvements of Chlorination Facilities at Quacken Kill Diverting Reservoir	20
Conclusions and Recommendations	. 21
· •	
Report of Inspection of Controls for Permanent Opening Through Dam	Appendix A

....

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
1.	Estimated Cost of Improvements to Blow-off Facilities	3
2.	Estimated Cost of Improvements to Tomhannock Intake	4
3.	Estimated Cost of Construction of 80-ft Spillway Channel	14
4.	Estimated Cost of Construction of 130-ft Spillway Channel	16
5.	Analyses of Water Samples Collected from Quacken Kill Reservoir, June 5-6, 1958	17
6.	Estimated Cost of Desilting Quacken Kill Diverting Reservoir	19
7.	Estimated Costs of Improvements to Chlorination Facilities to Quacken Kill and Vanderheyden Reservoirs	21

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure <u>No.</u>	Title	Page No.
1.	Peak Flows of New England Streams	21
2.	Silt Conditions at Quacken Kill Reservoir	Appended
3.	Reconstruction of Tomhannock Spillway Channel - Alternate I	Appended
4.	Reconstruction of Tomhannock Spillway Channel - Alternate II	Appended

UNCL	ASSIFIE							NL '	
	AL A (POHO):								
		•	84°)						
		\			L				
						42-38 ²⁴¹	 6389 - - 7		
جونی ا		8		a the	R.				
									1.
	END DATI FILMED								 _



TROY, NEW YORK

REPORT ON IMPROVEMENTS TO WATER SUPPLY

Scope of Investigation

The purpose of the investigation described in this report was to prepare preliminary designs and cost estimates for the construction of certain water supply facilities which are needed at once, either for the purpose of preventing failure of major water supply works or for the purpose of protecting the quality of the water delivered to the consumers and the reliability of the supply. Some improvements not considered in this report, but which have been studied by Mr. Heacox, are also urgently needed at this time. Moreover, a comprehensive engineering study of the entire system, such as described in our letter of April 9, 1958, to Mr. Heacox, is badly needed to develop a longrange plan for the rehabilitation and improvement of the system.

The items covered in this report are (1) improvements to blowoff facilities at Tomhannock Dam, (2) improvements at Tomhannock intake, (3) reconstruction of Tomhannock spillway channel, (4) desilting of Quacken Kill diverting reservoir, (5) improvement of chlorination facilities at Quacken Kill diverting reservoir, and (6) improvement of chlorinating facilities at the Vanderheyden Reservoir.

The results of the studies for each of these items are presented in detail below, together with cost estimates and recommendations.

1. Blowoff Facilities at Tomhannock Dam

The dam presently contains three sluice gates with l-ft 6-in by 4-ft 6-in clear openings at the upstream toe of the dam, under about 50 ft of water. These sluice gates discharge through a 5-ft diameter steel pipe encased in concrete laid under the dam to the downstream toe of the dam. At the downstream toe of the dam the 5-ft pipe enters a header arrangement which discharges through four 30-in gate valves into a small stilling pool.

There is no record of the sluice gates having been operated for many years prior to this investigation. Some years ago a diver who inspected the sluice gates reported that one of the gates had a broken stem, and that one or more of the gates were covered with bags of cement. It was essential that the blow-off facilities be put in working condition so that they can be used during the proposed reconstruction of the spillway channel. With the assistance of employees of the Troy Water Bureau, water was pumped into the 5-ft pipe under the dam to develop an excess pressure on the underside of the sluice gates of about 3 psi, thus loosening the sluice gates from their seats. Mud which had settled on top of the sluice gates was flushed off by a diver, and the sluice gates were slowly opened. Successive operation of the four 30-in gate valves and the sluice gates indicated that all were in working order. An inspection was made of both the sluice gates and the valves which indicated that all were in good condition and required only minor repairs. A detailed report on the inspection is included in Appendix A.

The capacity of the blow-off works with all three sluice gates and al' four gate valves open is estimated at about 570 cfs. This is about 8.6 cfs per square mile of drainage area for the 66 square miles tributary to the Tomhannock Reservoir. A study of flow-duration curves on similar watersheds indicates that flows in excess of this figure may be expected only about 3% of the time. During the construction of the new spillway channel, it is proposed to draw the water level down about 8.5 ft, so as to provide storage capacity for a 4-in storm-water runoff. This can be accomplished in 8 to 10 days with all blow-off facilities open, but it may be desirable to reduce the rate of discharge somewhat to avoid excessive scour downstream from the dam. A 4-in storm-water runoff should be adequate to care for any storm which may reasonably be expocted during the period of construction of the spillway channel.

Although the sluice gates and gate valves are operable, it is necessary to rehabilitate and reconstruct portions of the blow-off facilities. The gate house on top of the dam and the gate house which houses the 30-in gate valves at the toe of the dam must both be partially reconstructed. The sluice gate stems along the upstream slope of the dam are of 2.5-in steel on bronze rollers at 10-ft intervals. Each stem is made up of 10-ft bars with couplings and pins. The couplings are in good condition. The exposed ends of the pins are corroded badly, but it is not proposed to replace them at this time. There is some gravel around the stems which must be removed, so that it will not interfere with the free motion of the couplings. The sluice gates are of cast iron and appear to be bronzemounted, and are provided with wedges.

The stilling pool at the downstream end of the blow-off must be provided with stone riprap so as to retard erosion and prevent undue undermining when the works are discharging water from the reservoir. The concrete headwall at the end of the blow-off system must also be restored.

Consideration was given to the necessity of coment lining of the 5-ft diameter steel pipe through the dam. This is a 1/2-in steel riveted pipe surrounded by massive concrete, and inspection of the interior revealed some very small leaks. The pipe should be comentglined, but this improvement can be deferred until other pipes in the system are coment lined.

7

The three sluice gates and four 30-in gate values described above are hand operated. All are difficult to operate because of their size and age. We have given consideration to the installation of electric motor drives for operation of these gates, but are of the opinion that the relatively infrequent operation of these gates would not justify the cost of individual motor drives. However, considering the possibility that these gates may on occasion have to be operated quickly, which available personnel at the reservoir may be physically unable to accomplish, we recommend that a portable motor-driven gate operator, together with a suitable gasoline-engine-driven generator, be acquired. The estimated cost of this equipment includes an allowance for modifications to the existing gate stands to facilitate the use of the portable equipment.

The portable gate operator described above will also be available to operate the 6 sluice gates in the intake structure, which is discussed in the following section.

The estimated cost of the improvements to the blow-off facilities at Tomhannock dam as described above is \$11,200, itemized as shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1. ESTIMATED COST OF IMPROVEMENTS TO BLOW-OFF FACILITIES

Improvements at Upper Gate House	\$800
. Work on Sluice Gates	500
Improvements at Lower Gate House	3,700
Portable Gate Operator	4,000
-	\$9,000
Contingencies and Engineering, 25%	2,200
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$11,200

2. Improvements to Tomhannock Intake Structure

The intake building presently contains no screening facilities of any kind. The need for screening is obvious, but because of the lack of such screening facilities, no operating experience is available on screening problems which may arise (volume of leaves, debris, small fish, microscopic organisms, etc.) It is not known, therefore, whether the installation of mechanical screens would be justified. There is not sufficient space within the present structure to install mechanical screens, and the cost of installing mechanical screens in an extension of the present intake structure would be very great and unjustified on the basis of present knowledge. It is therefore proposed that hand screens should be installed initially, and if future operating experience indicates that mechanical screens would be desirable, they can be installed as a part of the future overall program, probably at the new filtration plant, if one is constructed on the transmission main between Tomahnnock and the city. As a part of the work required for the installation of the hand screens, it is proposed to have stoplogs constructed and inserted at both ends of the gate chambers, so that each chamber may be unwatered one at a time. While the chamber is unwatered, the guides for the hand screens will be installed. Two sets of screens will be installed with permanent lifting arrangement for both screens and stoplogs. It is proposed to remodel the building so as to provide a place for washing the hand screens and to provide necessary additional operating space. The existing wood roof shows evidence of dry rot and should be replaced. In connection with the roof replacement, hoisting provisions will be made for removing and washing the hand_screens.

The outside openings for each of the three sluice gates in each chamber of the intake structure are provided with steel bar racks consisting of 1-inch round bars 4 inches on centers. These racks are reported to be badly deteriorated because of corrosion, and it is proposed to replace them all.

The six sluice gates are cast iron, bronze-mounted. At least one of the stons is completely corroded through. It is proposed to replace all of the stems and to examine and rehabilitate the sluice gates where required.

There are two dry feeders in the intake house which are used for feeding lime into the intake channels. These feeders have on occasion been out of service because of failure of the pump which provides solution water to the dry feeders. It is proposed to provide duplicate facilities for supplying water to the lime for feeding.

The retaining wall at the end of the building adjacent to the reservoir is badly deteriorated and must be reconstructed. We propose to restore this wall and provide additional protoctive riprap.

The estimated cost of construction of the improvements at the Tomhannock intake is \$37,600, itomized as shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2. ESTIMATED COST OF IMPROVEMENTS TO TOMHANNOCK INTAKE

Two sets of stoplogs and lifting frame	\$4,100
Hand screens, guides, lifting device, baffles and screen wash space	10,500
Replacing bar racks	2,000
Sluice gate inspection, repair and renovation, stem replacement,	
allowance	6,000
Riprap for erosion protection	2,000
Building improvements	4,500
Additional equipment	1,000
	\$30, 100
Contingencies and Engineering, 25%	7,500
	\$37,600

- 🌰

S. Reconstruction of Tomhannock Spillway Channel

Description of Existing Channel

The existing spillway at Tomahnnock Reservoir is constructed of concrete with a crest 300 ft long at Elev. 390 U.S.G.S. base. The abutments provide a freeboard above the spillway crest of about 11 ft. The spillway itself is in good condition, except for a few places where the concrete has deteriorated. It is proposed to repair these places with gunite.

The channel downstream from the spillway section is comparatively level for a distance of about 800 ft and is unpaved. During floods, this reach of the channel forms a pool at relatively low velocity and is not subject to appreciable scour. It is proposed to remove the small trees which have grown up in this channel.

A county road crosses the spillway channel by means of a bridge approximately 850 ft downstream from the main spillway section. The channel is 80 ft wide under the bridge and is paved with concrete reinforced only with light wire mesh. The bridge is supported on concrete abutments with a clear height of about 10.5 ft above the paved channel. The bridge and the abutments appear to be in fair condition, although they are about fifty years old. Nothing is known of the foundations of the abutments. The concrete pavement in the bottom of the channel at the bridge is badly deteriorated and must be replaced. The top of the pavement under the downstream side of the bridge is at approximately Elev. 370, about 20 ft lower than the crest of the spillway itself.

At the downstream side of the bridge are granite masonry steps which drop vertically about 7 ft in a distance of about 17 ft. The tops of the concrete walls on either side of the 80-ft channel also slope down parallel with the slope, of the steps. These granite steps have settled at some points indicating scour of the foundation, and the retaining walls show erosion near the junction with the steps.

Downstream from the above-mentioned masonry steps, the bottom of the channel was paved with concrete reinforced only with light wire mesh for a distance of about 136 ft within which the channel dropped only about 2 feet. The west wall and bottom pavement of this reach of the channel have been completely destroyed at the downstream end for about half the length. The wall on the west side is still in place, but both the wall and pavement are in very poor condition and must be replaced. The subgrade under the destroyed channel has been undermined to a depth of about 15 ft.

Just downstream from the aforementioned flat reach of channel was a second set of granite masonry steps which dropped a vertical distance of about

5

· · •

11 ft in a distance of 25 ft. These steps are almost completely gone, but the remnants are scattered in the bed of the eroded channel. The east retaining wall is still in place, but the west retaining wall is completely gone. Just downstream from this second set of granite steps was another reach of concrete paving about 50 ft long, the top of which was approximately at Elev. S50, or 40 ft lower than the spillway crest itself. This slab is practically all gone. The retaining wall on the west Bide is completely destroyed, and the retaining wall on the east side is about half gone.

Just downstream from the aforementioned slab was another set of granite steps which dropped a vertical distance of about'5 ft in a distance of 20 ft. The remnants of this set of steps are scattered in the bed of the stream.

Just downstream from the third set of steps was another concrete slab for a distance of about 35 ft. Most of this slab is destroyed. This slab approaches a concrete spillway section 80 ft long with its crest at Elev. 344. The west abutment of this section has been washed out, but the section itself can be repaired and used. This spillway section terminates the improved portion of the spillway channel. The natural channel downstream is comparatively flat.

The accompanying photographs on Plate 1 illustrate the damage which has been done to the spillway channel. One set of these photographs was taken last summer, and the second set was taken this summer. They illustrate the amount of destruction which has taken place in a single year.

The subgrade of this channel is a hard mixture of clay, silt and gravel, which is stable at velocities of up to 6 to 8 fps characteristic of tranquil flow through pools but is easily eroded at velocities of 30 to 50 fps characteristic of supercritical flow downstream from controls. If repairs are not made to this channel at once, there is danger that the erosion may progress rapidly upstream and take out the bridge and the bridge abutments. A single major storm might accomplish this destruction. After the bridge and the bridge abutments are washed out, the erosion can progress upstream and menace the spillway itself. It is thus essential that this spillway be reconstructed at the earliest possible date. We strongly recommend that this reconstruction be accomplished before the 1959 spring runoff. This will require that the preparation of plans be started within about a month.

Flow Capacity of Spillway Channel

The 300-ft spillway section itself has a flow capacity of about 23,000 cfs, with an 8-ft head and a 3-ft freeboard at the top of the dike above the water surface. The flow capacity of the 80-ft spillway channel under the bridge is about 9,000 cfs •



۰. ۲.

• • •



JULY, 1957



JUNE, 1958

VIEW LOOKING TOWARD EAST RETAINING WALL APPROXIMATELY 160 FEET BELOW BRIDGE

Plate 1

1

7

JULY, 1957



JUNE, 1958

VIEW LOOKING TOWARD EAST RETAINING WALL APPROXIMATELY 160 FEET BELOW BRIDGE

Plate 1A
or only about 40% of the capacity of the spillway section itself. It was necessary, therefore, to determine whether any capacity in excess of 9,000 cfs is required for the spillway channel in order to minimize the hazard of washout during major floods.

There are no records of actual flood flows in the existing spillway channel, but it is known that no flood was deep enough in the channel to touch the bottom of the bridge floor system. It is evident, therefore, that no flood as great as 9,000 cfs has occurred since 1906, a period of 52 years.

The U. S. Geological Survey Gaging Station on Poesten Kill at Troy, with a tributary area of 89 square miles, has been in operation since 1923. The greatest flood of record during this period was 11,900 cfs, which occurred on September 22, 1938, as a result of the hurricane. This runoff on the Poesten Kill watershed amounts to 134 cfs per square mile. The rainfall at Albany during this storm totaled 8 inches from September 17 to 21, inclusive, and was 6 inches for the last three days of the storm, being 1 inch on the 19th, 2 inches on the 20th, and 3 inches on the 21st. This total rainfall of 8 inches at Albany during the 1938 hurricane storm is to be compared with very much higher total rainfalls in the Catskills to the south and in the Berkshires and New England to the east. Numerous places recorded 12 to 15 inches, and the highest was 17.07 inches at Camp Buck, Connecticut.

A study of the rainfall during major storms in the eastern United States from October, 1869, to 1933 ("Storm Rainfall of Eastern United States", Technical Reports, Part V, The Miami Conservency District, Dayton, Ohio, 1936) reveals that the total rainfall in the Troy-Albany area during any one storm in this period did not exceed about 8 inches. Much higher total rainfalls were frequently experienced to the east in New England, and to the south in the Catskills and in Pennsylvania. The highest total rainfall during a storm in the Troy area since 1933 occurred during the 1938 hurricane storm. The New Year's storm of 1949 was accompanied by a total rainfall at Troy of about 7 inches, whereas the total rainfall in the Berl shires was about 10 inches. During this storm the peak rate of runoff in Poesten Kill was 10, 100 cfs or 114 cfs per square mile.

The record since 1869 appears to indicate that the Troy-Albany area is protected from excessive rainfall and runoff during major storms such as occur to the south and to the east. Three major storms occurred during 1955 in the eastern United States, one on August 11-15, accompanying Hurricane Connie, another on August 17-20, accompanying Hurricane Diane, and the third on October 14-17. None of these storms produced severe flooding in the Troy-Albany area, but all of them produced excessive rainfall and severe flooding to the south and to the east, exceeding at some points all previous records. A total rainfall of 19.8 inches was recorded at Westfield, Massachusetts, during the August 17-20, 1955 storm, and a total rainfall of 13.25 inches was recorded at Stamford, Connecticut, during the October 14-17, 1955 storm.

The Hydrologic Services Division of the U.S. Weather Bureau has made comprehensive studies of all prior notable storms of record to determine their synoptic characteristics and the effect of topographic features and location on the moisture availability. Generalized charts have been prepared showing the probable maximum precipitation which may be expected at various points during 24 hours (Hydrometeorological Report No. 28). The chart for the New England-New York area indicates that the probable maximum precipitation for areas of 500 square miles during 24 hours is from 17 to 19 inches through Massachusetts and Connecticut. This is somewhat greater than the actual precipitation during the 1955 floods, as should be expected for probable maximums. These data are being used for the design of the spillways by the U. S. Corps of Engineers. The chart indicates, however, that rainfall as high as 16 inches in 24 hours may be expected as a probable maximum in the Troy-Albany area. Insofar as the Troy-Albany area is concerned, this practice appears to be much too conservative since there is no record of a total rainfall during a storm in excess of about 8 inches.

We have made flood-routing studies through Tomhannock Reservoir to determine the relations between peak rates of inflow to the reservoir and peak rates of discharge over the spillway, assuming the reservoir just full at the beginning of a storm. These studies indicate that with a peak rate of runoff into the reservoir of 12,000 cfs (182 cfs per sq mi), the peak rate of discharge in the spillway channel will be just under 9,000 cfs, the capacity of the existing channel. Thus the existing channel has a capacity about 35% in excess of any flood which has occurred during the past 100 years or so (184 cfs per sq mi on Poesten Kill).

The flood-routing studies indicate that with the present capacity of the main spillway section, 23,000 cfs, the peak rate of inflow to the reservoir will be approximately 32,500 cfs, or about 500 cfs per sq mi. Fig. 1 shows a plot of major New England floods prepared by Mr. Howard M. Turner, Consulting Engineer of Boston. Shown on this figure is an envelope curve based on the Myers' Flood Formula with a coefficient of 40%. This curve includes most of the floods of record. We have shown in red on the graph the inflow capacity of the present spillway section. It will be noted that it is on the 40% Myers' Curve. The Poesten Kill flood of 1938 is also shown on Fig. 1, together with the inflow capacity of the existing spillway channel.

In view of the wide divergence in capacity between the spillway dam and the existing spillway channel, consideration has been given to increasing the capacity of the spillway channel when it is reconstructed. An increase in the width of the channel from 80 ft to 130 ft will increase the flow capacity to 14,600 cfs with the same water depths. Flood-routing studies indicate that this rate of discharge at the spillway corresponds with a peak rate of inflow of about 20,000 cfs, or 300 cfs per sq mi. This rate of inflow is also shown on Fig. 1. In view of the fact that no flood has occurred in the Troy-Albany area during the past 100 years or so which would require more than about 75% of the capacity of the existing spillway channel at Tomhannock Reservoir, we are of the opinion that the city will assume no great hazard if the channel is reconstructed with the same capacity. We have therefore prepared preliminary designs and cost estimates on this basis. If, however, the City should elect to provide a larger capacity, we have also prepared alternate preliminary designs and cost estimates for a channel 130 ft wide.

Proposed New 80-ft Spillway Channel

The proposed new 80-ft spillway channel is shown in plan and profile on Fig. 2. The top of the east retaining wall downstream from the bridge is shown on the profile by means of a light broken line. This broken line is continued beyond the end of the portion of the retaining wall still in place to indicate the elevation of the ground at the position once occupied by the destroyed portion of the retaining wall. Also shown on the profile by means of light broken lines downstream from the bridge is the top of the pavement and the granite steps as they once existed. Also shown on the profile by means of light broken lines is the ground surface along the position once occupied by the west retaining wall. It will be noted that the subgrade has been scoured out along this line to an elevation of about 340.

It is proposed to replace the existing concrete slab with a new reinforced concrete slab under the bridge, the elevation of the top of which will be 370 on the downstream side of the bridge. It is proposed to replace the first set of granite steps with a reinforced concrete slab and to extend this slab downward on a slope of about 3 vertical to 8 horizontal for a distance of about 80 ft and thence to curve it gently into a horizontal bottom slab at Elev. 340. This level bottom slab will extend another 215 ft to the existing ogee spillway section at the end of the improved channel.

The side walls will be replaced by new reinforced concrete side walls as shown on the plan and profile, the walls being 20 ft high at the downstream pool. The walls will be 80 ft apart for a distance of about 205 ft downstream from the bridge and will converge at this point so as to be 54 ft apart at the existing ogee spillway at the end of the improved channel.

The computed hydraulic profile for a flow of 9,000 cfs in the proposed channel is shown by means of a light solid line on the profile. This computed water surface is based upon the assumption that the existing oges spillway at the end of the inproved section will act as a control for the water depth upstream. Its function as a control can be assured by some clearing and grading of the channel downstream.

The hydraulic profile consists of three controls: the spillway section itself, the top of the slope at the bridge, and the oges section at the end of the improved channel. The flow passes from low velocity tranquil flow to shooting flow at higher 12





velocity through each of these controls and is followed by a "hydraulic jump" into a stilling pool at low velocity.

The stilling pool upstream from the bridge will range in depth from about 10.5 ft to about 14 ft. The velocity in the pool at a flow of 9,000 cfs will range from about 7 to 9.4 fps. The velocity at the control just downstream from the bridge will be about 15.2 fps and the depth will be about 7.2 ft. The depth will decrease rapidly down the sloping bottom to about 2.25 ft near the bottom of the slope, and the velocity will increase rapidly to a maximum of about 49 ft per second. The jump will occur near the bottom of the slope to a depth of about 17.5 ft through the downstream pool. The velocity in this pool will be about 6.4 fps.

The purpose of the hydraulic jumps at the upstream ends of the stilling pools is to dissipate the kinetic energy of the water which is developed by the fall over the control sections. About 11 ft of head will be dissipated in the first hydraulic jump in the stilling pool just downstream from the spillway section, and about 21 ft of head will be dissipated in the hydraulic jump just downstream from the sloping bottom below the bridge. Another hydraulic jump of small magnitude will occur just downstream from the ogee section at the end of the improved channel.

Since the hydraulic capacity between the bridge abutments and under the existing bridge is adequate for a design capacity of 9000 cfs, it is not necessary from the City's viewpoint to replace the abutments and the bridge. This structure is over 50 years old, however, and has capacity for only one lane of traffic. If it is to be replaced in the not-too-distant future, it should be replaced during the reconstruction of the spillway channel so that the abutments can be integrated with the bottom slab. The City should confer with the county authorities to determine whether the county desires to replace the bridge during the construction of the spillway channel.

If the bridge is replaced, it should undoubtedly provide for two lanes of traffic and for heavier loads. Consideration should also be given to increasing the height of the abutments about 3 ft so as to provide additional flood capacity. This cannot be done safely with the present abutments because nothing is known about the footings.

The estimated cost of construction of the proposed 80-ft spillway channel is \$320,400, itemized as shown in Table 3.

Alternate 130-it Spillway Channel

The alternate 130-ft spillway channel is shown in plan and profile on Fig. 3. This alternate requires a completely new bridge, new bridge abutments and a new bridge pior in the middle of the channel. It also requires a widening 13

TABLE 3. ESTIMATED COST OF CONSTRUCTION OF 80-FT SPILLWAY CHANNEL

.

· · · › **h**

. .

Biructure Excavation 10,700 c.y. Q \$2.00 \$21,400 Earth Fill 7,600 c.y. Q 0.75 5,700 Compacted Gravel 2,600 c.y. Q 3.00 7,800 Bemove and Dispose of Existing Concrete Masonry & Rubble L.S. 20,000 Class 1 Concrete (Walis, etc.) 1,000 c.y. Q 60.00 60,000 Class 2 Concrete (Slabs) 1,760 c.y. Q 40.00 70,500 Reinforcing Steel 152 tons Q 280.00 42,500 Underdrains 900 1.f. Q 4.00 3,600 Clearing L.S. 2,000 . 1,000 Clearing L.S. 2,000 . 1,000 Clearing L.S. 1,000 . . . Paved Ditch for Existing Culvert L.S. 1,000 . . . Riprapped Slopes 1,400 s.y. Q 5.00 . . Miscellaneous Work Access to Work Floxible Const. Joints Protection of Works 	•		•			
Compacted Gravel 2,600 c.y. 6 3.00 7,800 Remove and Dispose of Existing Concrete Masonry & Bubble L.S. 20,000 Class 1 Concrete (Walls, etc.) 1,000 c.y. 6 60.00 60,000 Class 2 Concrete (Siabs) 1,760 c.y. 6 60.00 70,500 Reinforcing Steel 152 tons 6 280.00 42,500 Underdrains 900 1.f. 6 4.00 3,600 Clearing L.S. 6 -0.50 1,000 Clearing L.S. 2,000 s.y. 6 -0.50 1,000 Clearing L.S. 1,000 . . 1,000 Clearing L.S. Paved Ditch for Existing Culvert L.S. Riprapped Slopes 1,400 s.y. 6 5.00 . . . Miscellaneous Work \$2,000 2.000 Miscellaneous Work \$2,000 2.000 <t< td=""><td>Structure Excavation</td><td>10,700</td><td>с.у.</td><td>Q</td><td>\$2.00</td><td>\$21,400</td></t<>	Structure Excavation	10,700	с.у.	Q	\$2.00	\$21,400
Remove and Dispose of Existing Concrete Masonry & Bubble L.S. 20,000 Class 1 Concrete (Walls, etc.) 1,000 c.y. 6 60.00 Class 2 Concrete (Siabe) 1,760 c.y. 6 40.00 70,500 Class 2 Concrete (Siabe) 1,760 c.y. 6 40.00 70,500 Reinforcing Steel 152 tons 6 280.00 42,500 Underdrains 900 1.f. 6 4.00 3,600 Loam and Seed 2,000 s.y. 6 0.000 1,000 Clearing L.S. 2,000 . 1,000 Paved Ditch for Existing Culvert L.S. 1,000 . 3,500 Riprapped Slopes 1,400 s.y. 6 5.00 7,000 Miscellaneous Work \$2,000 5.00 7,000 Floxible Const. Joims 2,000 11,000 11,000 Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 111,000 \$267,000	Earth Fill	7,600	c. y.	C	0.75	5,700
Concrete Masonry & Rubble L.S. 20,000 Class 1 Concrete (Walis, etc.) 1,000 c.y. 6 60.00 60,000 Class 2 Concrete (Slabs) 1,760 c.y. 6 40.00 70,500 Reinforcing Steel 152 tons 6 280.00 42,500 Underdrains 900 1.f. 6 4.00 3,600 Loam and Seed 2,000 s.y. 6 -0.50 1,000 Clearing L.S. 2,000 -0.50 1,000 Clearing L.S. 2,000 -0.50 1,000 Paved Ditch for Existing Culvert L.S. 1,000 3,500 Riprapped Slopes 1,400 s.y. 6 5.00 3,500 Repairs to Present Spillway L.S. 10,000 -0.000 Miscellaneous Work \$2,000 5.00 -0.000 Flexible Const. Joints 2,000 -0.000 -0.000 Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 -0.000 -0.000 Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 -0.000 -0.000 Contingencies and Engineering. 200 -0.000 -0.000 -0.000	Compacted Gravel	2,600	с.у.	Ĝ	3.00	. 7,800
Class 2 Concrete (Slabs) 1,760 c.y. © 40.00 70,500 Reinforcing Steel 152 tons © 280.00 42,500 Underdrains 900 1.f. © 4.00 3,600 Loam and Seed 2,000 s.y. © -0.50 1,000 Clearing L.S. 2,000 - 1,000 Paved Ditch for Existing Culvert L.S. 1,000 3,500 Fencing 700 1.f. © 5.00 3,500 Riprapped Slopes 1,400 s.y. © 5.00 7,000 Miscellaneous Work \$2,000 - - 10,000 Miscellaneous Work \$2,000 - - - Miscellaneous Work \$2,000 - - - Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 - -<			L.S.			20,000
Reinforcing Steel 152 tons © 280.00 42,500 Underdrains 900 1.f. @ 4.00 3,600 Loam and Seed 2,000 s.y. @ -0.50 1,000 Clearing L.S. 2,000 . 2,000 Paved Ditch for Existing Culvert L.S. 1,000 . . . Fencing 700 1.f. @ 5.00 Riprapped Slopes 1,400 s.y. @ 5.00 Miscellaneous Work \$2,000 Miscellaneous Work \$2,000 Miscellaneous Work \$2,000 Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 Logo 	Class 1 Concrete (Walls, etc.)	1,000	c.y.	¢	60.00	60,000
Underdrains 900 1.f. 2 4.00 3,600 Loam and Seed 2,000 s.y. 2 -0.50 1,000 Clearing L.S. 2,000 -0.50 1,000 Paved Ditch for Existing Culvert L.S. 1,000 Fencing 700 1.f. 9 5.00 3,500 Riprapped Slopes 1,400 s.y. 6 5.00 7,000 Miscellaneous Work 2,000 10,000 10,000 Miscellaneous Work \$2,000 5.00 10,000 Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 11,000 \$267,000 Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 \$267,000 \$3,400	Class 2 Concrete (Slabs)	1,760	c.y.	0	40.00	70,500
Loam and Seed 2,000 s.y. 2 .0.50 . 1,000 Clearing L.S. 2,000 Paved Ditch for Existing Culvert L.S. 1,000 Fencing 700 l.f. 2 .5.00 . 3,500 Riprapped Slopes 1,400 s.y. 2 .5.00 . 7,000 Repairs to Present Spillway . L.S. 10,000 Miscellaneous Work \$2,000 Flexible Const. Joints 2,000 Flexible Const. Joints 2,000 Handling Drainage 2,000 Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 . 11,000	Reinforcing Steel	. 152	tons	Ø	280.00	42, 50 0
Clearing L.S. 2,000 Paved Ditch for Existing Culvert L.S. 1,000 Fencing 700 1.f. 0 5.00 3,500 Riprapped Slopes 1,400 s.y. 0 5.00 7,000 Repairs to Present Spillway L.S. 10,000 Miscellaneous Work \$2,000 10,000 Floxible Const. Joints 2,000 11,000 Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 11,000 Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 11,000	Underdrains	900	1.f.	0	4.00	3,600
Paved Ditch for Existing CulvertL.S.1,000Fencing700 l.f.Ø5.003,500Riprapped Slopes1,400 s.y.Ø5.007,000Repairs to Present SpillwayL.S.10,000Miscellaneous Work\$2,000Flexible Const. Joints2,000Flexible Const. Joints2,00011,000Misc. & Cleaning Up2,00011,000Kisc. & Cleaning Up2,000\$267,000Contingencies and Engineering, 20%\$267,000	Loam and Seed	2,000	6 .y.	Q	•0.50	 1,000
Fencing700 l.f.Ø5.003,500Riprapped Slopes1,400 s.y.Ø5.007,000Repairs to Present SpiliwayL.S.10,000Miscellaneous Work2,00010,000Access to Work\$2,00010,000Floxible Const. Joints2,00011,000Protection of Works3,00011,000Misc. & Cleaning Up2,00011,000Misc. & Cleaning Up2,000\$267,000Contingencies and Engineering, 20%\$3,400	Clearing		L.5.			2,000
Riprapped Slopes 1,400 s.y. 5.00 7,000 Repairs to Present Spillway L.S. 10,000 Miscellaneous Work \$2,000 10,000 Miscellaneous Work \$2,000 10,000 Flexible Const. Joints 2,000 11,000 Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 11,000 Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 11,000 Contingencies and Engineering, 20% 53,400	Paved Ditch for Existing Culvert		L.S.			1,000
Repairs to Present Spillway L.S. 10,000 Miscellaneous Work Access to Work \$2,000 Flexible Const. Joints 2,000 Protection of Works 3,000 Handling Drainage 2,000 Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 Contingencies and Engineering, 20% \$267,000	Fencing	700	1.f.	0	5.00	, 3,500
Miscellaneous Work Access to Work \$2,000 Flexible Const. Joints 2,000 Protection of Works 3,000 Handling Drainage 2,000 Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 Contingencies and Engineering, 20% 53,400	Riprapped Slopes	1,400	8. y.	G	5.00	7,000
Access to Work\$2,000Flexible Const. Joints2,000Protection of Works3,000Handling Drainage2,000Misc. & Cleaning Up2,00011,000Contingencies and Engineering, 20%53,400	Repairs to Present Spillway	•	L.S.		•	10,000
Misc. & Cleaning Up 2,000 11,000 Contingencies and Engineering, 20% \$267,000	Access to Work Flexible Const. Joints Protection of Works	2,000 3,000				
Contingencies and Engineering, 20% 53,400	• =	•			•	11,000
Contingencies and Engineering, 20% 53,400						\$267.000
Total \$320.400	Contingencie	s and Eng	çineeri	ng, 2	10%	•
		Total	l			\$320,400

14

\$ 1

of the upstream pool, new approaches to the new bridge and a relocation of the road on the west side of the upstream pool. 15

In this alternate, the channel for the downstream pool will converge from the 130-ft width to a width of 90 ft at the ogee spfliway section at the downstream end of the improved channel. This will require an addition at one end of the ogee spillway section.

The elevations of the tops of the bottom slabs and walls will be the same as for the 80-ft channel. The capacity of the 130-ft channel is estimated at 14,600 cfs, which corresponds with a peak rate of flood inflow to the reservoir of 20,000 cfs or 3000 cfs per square mile of watershed. The hydraulic profile will be the same downstream from the existing spillway section as for the 80-ft channel with depths and velocities essentially the same. The estimated cost of construction of the 130-ft channel complete, including the bridge and abutments and improvements to the road, is \$535,000, itemized as shown in Table 4.

4. Desilting Quacken Kill Diverting Reservoir

The Quacken Kill Diverting Reservoir on Quacken Kill diverts the water from the Grafton supply into the distribution systems serving the upper high service and the high sorvice areas. This reservoir has a capacity of only about 3 mg whereas the supply taken from this source amounts to about 4.5 mgd. This reservoir is subject to heavy pollution loads resulting from the runoff along the roads and the supplying stream between the Martin-Dunham Reservoir and the diverting dam. Silt and leaves are washed into this reservoir and most of it deposits on the bottom except during heavy runoffs.

Soundings have been made of the silt deposits on the bottom of the diverting reservoir and samples of water and silt deposits have been collected and examined. The silt appears to be a mixture of topsoil and leaf and twig fragments. The top of the silt in the reservoir was found to be very light and fluffy and was easily placed in suspension by means of an oar passed slowly above it. It is thus subject to scour and movement from place to place within the reservoir by means of convection currents and currents due to increased flow during rainstorms. This silt is undoubtedly carried into the supply pipe from time to time.

Table 5 shows the results of analyses of two water samples collected on June 5 and 6, 1958, one just upstream from the Quacken Kill Diverting Reservoir and the other at the entrance to the supply main. The stream flow at this time was approximately 60 cfs or about 4 cfs per square mile for the tributary area of 17 square miles. This flow is probably about twice the

.

Common Excavation	13,600 c.y.	0	\$0.50	\$7,300	
Structure Excavation	18,000 c.y.	Q	2.00	36,000	
Earth Fill	12,900 c.y.	Q	0.75	9,675	
Compacted Gravel	3,800 c.y.	œ	3.00	11,400	
Remove & Dispose of Existing Mason	ry L.S.			,20,000	
Class I Concrete (Walls, etc.)	1,560 c.y.	@	60.00	93,600	
Class 2 Concrete (Slabs)	2,760 c.y.	œ	40.00	110,400	
Reinforcing Steel	238 tons	Ø	280.00	66,640	
Underdrains	1,300 l.f.	œ	4.00	5,200	
New Bridge	L.S.			32,000	
Loan: and Sced	6,600 s.y.	Ø	0.50	3,300	
Riprapped Slopes	2,000 s.y.	Ø	5.00	10,000	
Clearing	L.S.			2,000	
Paved Ditch for Existing Culvert	L.S.			1,000	
Road Paving (including Gravel Base)	1,600 s.y.	Q	3.00	4,800	
Fencing	700 l.f.	0	5.00	3,500	
Repairs to Existing Spillway	L.S.			10,000	
Miscellaneous Work Access Work	\$2,000				
Flexible Constr. Joints	2,000				
Protection of Works	3,000				
Handling drainage	2,000				
Misc. & Cleaning Up	2,500				
Temp. Road Crossing	5,000				
Removal of Bridge	1,000				
Guard Rails on Road	1,300			19,000	
C MILL & LINER ALL SIGNA				\$445,815	
Contingencies and Engineering, 20%					
C Outingencies	and Bugmoering,	<i>a</i> v X)		<u>89, 163</u> \$534, 978	
	•			40421010	
	Total, say			\$535,000	

TABLE 4. ESTIMATED COST OF CONSTRUCTION OF 130-FT SPILLWAY CHANNEL

mean flow, and will be exceeded about 10% of the time or 36 days per year on the average. The weather was good during the period of collection of the samples. The analyses indicate a slight pickup in turbidity as the water passes through the reservoir and a considerable pickup in total solids. This indicates some scour from the top of the sediment in the reservoir.

TABLE 5. ANALYSES OF WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED FROM QUACKEN KILL RESERVOIR JUNE 5-6, 1958

	Above Reservoir	At Screen House
Free NH ₃	0.040 ppm	0.040 ppm
Albuminoid	0. 12	0.16
Kjeldahl	0.17	0.22
NO2	.000	.000
NO3	0.10	0.10
Color	25	29
Turbidity	2	4
Total Solids	33	43

Figure 4 shows a plan of the reservoir with shaded areas shown at various cross sections of the reservoir to indicate the depth of sediment at the cross sections as determined by the soundings. The volume of the sediment is estimated at approximately 123,000 cu ft and an analysis of the sediment indicates that it contains approximately 27.5% of solids by weight. Our studies indicate that this sediment is the accumulation of several years. The removal of this sediment should result in a temporary improvement of the water quality, but there is a question as to whether this temporary improvement is worth its cost for the reasons given below.

From a study of flow duration curves of similar small streams, we estimate the mean flow at the diversion dam at about 17 mgd, the flow to be exceeded 10% of the time at about 44 mgd, the flow to be exceeded 5% of the time at about 66 mgd, the flow to be exceeded 1% of the time at about 121 mgd, and the five-year flood at about 1470 cfs. The detention periods and mean velocities through the diversion reservoir for these flows are estimated at 4 hours and 1 fpni, 1.6 hrs and 2.5 fpm, 1.1 hrs and 3.8 fpm, 36 minutes and 7 fpm, and 5 minutes and 1 fps respectively. Based on these figures, we believe that at least 5% of the time the influent to the reservoir will be of poor quality and will have inadequate settling in the reservoir. Where the flow decreases below the 5% flow settling will improve and both influent and effluent will clear; but when the flow increases above the 10% flow material previously settled will be picked up. Hence the improvement in water quality to be effected by removal of the deposits may turn out to be of very short duration.

If a filtration plant is constructed for the Tomhannock supply, it is probable that filtered Tomhannock water will be pumped into the high service and upper high service areas and that the Grafton supply will be held in reserve until needed in the distant future. At that time, it is probable that water from the Grafton supply will be diverted directly onto the Tomhannock watershed. The sediment in the water from the Grafton supply will be of no importance in this case.

If the City elects to clean the diverting reservoir for a temporary improvement in the water quality, we recommend that the sediment be removed by means of a pump mounted on a raft to take suction through a hose lowered into the sediment and to discharge through another hose into the stream downstream from the spillway. This work should be done during the spring runoff just after the ice has gone out at which time the flow should be higher than the mean flow. We recommend that the sediment be pumped during a period of about thirty 8-hour working days provided the stream flow at the reservoir is not less than about 30 mgd. Under these conditions the suspended solids in the water downstream from the spillway should average about 900 parts per million during the 8-hour pumping periods. The water will clear up during the 16-hour period each day when the pump is not in operation and it will be clear over the weekends. A pump having a capacity of about 500 gpm will be required, with the suction hose lifted at frequent intervals so as to pump clear water for flushing.

Quacken Kill flows southwesterly for a distance of about 7 miles from the diverting reservoir where it discharges into Poesten Kill. It is a rapidly flowing stream dropping about 425 ft in the 7-mile distance. Poesten Kill flows westerly for a distance of about 9 miles from the mouth of Quacken Kill to discharge into the Hudson River at Troy.

We have examined into the possibility of damage to fish life by the high turbidity caused by the pumping of the sediment from the reservoir downstream into the stream itself. Studies reported by Clarence M. Tarzwell of the Robert A. Taft Sanitary Engineering Center at Cincinnati show that the turbidity must be very high before it exerts a directly harmful effect on fishes. In some tests direct reaction to turbidity did not appear until it reached 20,000 ppm and for one species not until it reached 100,000 ppm. Most individual fishes of all species endured exposure to more than 100,000 ppm for a week or longer, but finally died at turbidities of 175,000 to 225,000 ppm: If the sediment in the diverting reservoir is pumped as proposed, the turbidity downstream therefrom should not exceed about 1,000 ppm. Inasmuch as the sediment is composed entirely of matter which flows in the stream upstream from the reservoir, there is no reason to suppose that it would be harmful to fish life in any other way than as exhibited by the concentration. If the City elects to pump the sediment out of the reservoir into the stream, permission to do so should be obtained from the proper state authorities.

During the period of pumping sediment from the diverting reservoir it will be necessary to take water upstream therefrom because the pumping operations will stir up the sediment and make the water in the reservoir unfit for drinking. It is proposed to construct a small temporary dam about 1400 it upstream from the screen house and a temporary pipeline therefrom on top of the ground to discharge into the screen house just upstream from the screens. The sluice gates at the gate house will be closed entirely during the pumping operation and water in excess of the demand will be discharged into the reservoir and over the spillway. It is proposed to have a pipeline capacity of about 8 mgd, so as to have a flow in excess of the peak rate of draft. The proposed temporary dam will be of rock-fill construction with the top at about Elev. 870 which is 12 ft higher than the elevation of the spillway of the diverting dam. Thus, 12 ft of head will be available for flow in the temporary pipeline. A single 20-in pipeline or two 16-in pipelines, 1400 ft long, will be required during the cleaning operations. The estimated cost of the temporary works and the cleaning operations is \$33,750, itemized as shown in Table 6.

TABLE 6. ESTIMATED COST OF DESILTING QUACKEN KILL DIVERTING RESERVOIR

Diversion dam, construction and removal	\$4,000
Temporary bypass pipe (20") 1400 ft long	7,000
Use of barge, pump, discharge pipe and other equipment for silt removal over 30-day period	<u>16,000</u> \$27,000
Contingencies and Engineering, 25%	<u>6, 750</u> \$33, 750

Consideration was given to an alternate method involving the diversion of the entire flow of the stream around the reservoir and removal of the silt by pumping it into trucks and hauling to a suitable dumping area. This method is more expensive and is considered not feasible because of high stream flows during freshets.

Consideration was also given to removal of the silt by dragline and hauling away by truck, keeping the diverting reservoir purped out during the silt-removal operations. This operation could best be accomplished during a dry period in the summer when the stream flow could be controlled at a minimum, except during freshets. This method is approximately equal in cost to the proposed method, but is not recommended because of difficulties that would be encountered with high stream flows during freshets. Neither of the two alternate plans described above would guarantee against the discharge of silt into the stream below the dam.

5. Improvement of Chlorination Facilities at Quacken Kill Diverting Reservoir

All of the water for the upper high service area and most of the water for the high service area is taken into the distribution system at the Quacken Kill diverting dam. This water is subject to occasional high counts of coliform bacteria because of sewage pollution on the Quacken Kill upstream from the dam. The chlorination facilities at this dam are, therefore, extremely important for the protection of the consumers against infections by intesteral disease germs. Ineffectual disinfection or a lapse in operation of the chief inating facilities should not be tolerated.

In order to insure continuous and effective operation of the chlorinating facilities, improvements are required at this station. These improvements include an addition to the building for the operator in attendance which is completely separate from the chlorine room and not subject to the hazards of leaking chlorine. Toilet facilities are also required. Additional heating facilities are required to supplement the existing electric system which is inadequate in extremely cold weather to prevent the freezing of small water pipes which are used for flushing the screens. In the event of power failure the loss of heat from the existing electric heaters might result in disruption of chlorination. Better pumping and screening facilities are also required on the water supplied to the chlorine machines so as to insure proper functioning of these facilities. Other improvements are required in the building to prevent the loakage of chlorine to the lower floor and to properly vent the lower floor. A new sampling pump and piping is required to permit the taking of samples from the supply line downstream from the dam for chlorine residual tests at the station.

⁴ The estimated cost of construction of the improvements required for the chlorination facilities at the Quacken Kill diverting reservoir is \$12,600, itemized as shown in Table 7.

TABLE 7.ESTIMATED COSTS OF IMPROVEMENTS TO
CHLORINATION FACILITIES AT
QUACKEN KILL AND VANDERHEYDEN RESERVOIRS

Quacken Kill	
Building Additions	\$4,600
Additional Equipment	5,500
	\$10,100
Contingencies and Engineering, 25%	2,500
	\$12,600
Vanderheyden	
Building Additions	\$11,000
Additional Equipment	3,600
	\$14,600
Contingencies and Engineering, 25%	3,700
	\$18,300

6. Improvement to Chlorination Facilities at the Vanderheyden Reservoir

The Vanderheyden Reservoir which delivers water to the high service area is also subject to occasional sewage pollution. The chlorinating facilities at this station are therefore of equal importance to those at the Quacken Kill diverting reservoir and must be kept in continuous satisfactory operation.

A new addition is required to this building to provide toilet facilities and to provide additional storage room for line. A supplementary heating system is also required for this station to provide heat in the event of power failure. In proved standby pumping incilities are required for the chlorine solution water. A sump pump is required for the Venturi pit and a sampling pump and line are required to permit sampling of the water for chlorine residual tests.

The estimated cost of construction of the improvements needed at the Vanderheyden chlorination station is \$18,300, itemized as shown in Table 7.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Our conclusions and recommendations are summarized in the letter of transmittal at the beginning of this report.

TROY, NEW YORK

REPORT ON IMPROVEMENTS TO WATER SUPPLY

APPENDIX A

Report of Inspection of Controls for Permanent Opening Through Dam

Tomhannock Reservoir

June 23, 24, 25, 26, 1958

June 23. In the afternoon the five-foot diameter riveted steel pipe was filled by means of a 3-in Homelite pump taking suction from the reservoir and discharging through a fire hose connected to the southwest 4-in value on the header on the discharge end. Pressure was built up to 15 psi at the header and the 4-in value closed.

June 24. McComber and Crosby, divers, were on the job. Pressure was built up to 25 psi in the 60-in pipe, (3 psi over static) which unseated the values, causing air trapped under them to bubble to the surface. A rock with a line tied on was dropped over the bubbles as a guide for the diver, and the pump stopped. A small barge used to treat the reservoir with copper sulfate was made fast between the shore and the line to the valve. The diver followed the line down and by again starting the Homelite pump to force air out, he was able to find the location of the values. He found the first pler on the value stem and transferred the line from the rock to the northeast valve (No. 1) stem. Inspection showed that silt had built up level with the head wall and was packed too hard to get to the valves. Anticipating this condition, a fire pumper was on the job and the diver had brought a jetting nozzle to be used under water. By using the above, he was able to loosen the silt on the valves, but had trouble getting rid of the material due to its settling back on the valves. He loosened the hard packed silt to a degree that the southwest valve (No. 3), which was the one everyone agreed could be operated, was cleared. The diver came up. Pressure was built up within the pipe to 25 psi and No. 3 sluice gate opened 300 turns. Static pressure at the discharge header was 22.2 psi. The southwest 30-in gate valve (No. 1) was cracked, closed, then fully opened. Pressure in the discharge header dropped to 2 psi with a flow estimated at approximately 80 cfs. The 30-in gate was closed after allowing water to flush about 10 minutes at full discharge. While flushing, the pumper was started in order that the unattended nozzle could stir up silt in the vicinity of the sluice gates.

June 25. The diver went down and made an inspection of the opened sluice gate. The hose and nozzle had been drawn into the gate while flushing. This was withdrawn with some difficulty and more jetting done to clear up the area, the mud being jetted into the opened gate. An inspection was made of sluice gate No. 3 (attached). There was still too much silt to properly inspect No. 1, which was reported to have a broken stem.

The cement bags reported to have been placed on the gates were found neatly stacked under the stem of No. 2 sluice gate.

The diver came up, No. 3 sluice gate was closed and leakage determined by cracking a 4-in valve and observing the pressure drop. No. 1 sluice gate was opened 224 turns without difficulty after raising the unseating pressure of 25 psi. 30-inch gate valve No. 2 was fully opened, as before, flushed, and closed. The diver descended and made an inspection, finding all of the gates clear of silt, and sluice gate No. 1 open. Everything seemed to be in order, sluice gate No. 3 was completely closed, so the diver proceeded to inspect the stems up to the point they entered the gate house substructure. He found sediment piled too deep and too hard to inspect the stems between piers 7 and 8 and from pier 8 to the gate house substructure. When he came out, sluice gate No. 1 was closed.

June 26. The diver went down with the hose to try and clear sediment away from the stems at the upper end. The nature of the material was such that it would fall right back after jetting. The diver said he was not getting anywhere so he came up. He brought up a handful of material about 1/16" in size resembling stone chips or dust. He said the material would have to be removed by suction hose or an air lift. Gate No. 1 was closed and leakage checked.

The opening of sluice gate No. 2 was begun after raising the unseating pressure as before. After opening 109 turns it stuck. The valve was closed 30 turns and opened 34; closed 10 and apened 14; closed 5 and opened 8, making a total of 120 turns opened. When closing, the wheel spun freely. The diver went down to see if the stem coupling was catching on cement bags or if something was in the way of the gate, but found everything in order. While he observed the gate, the wheel was turned in a closed direction to check if the valve was closing. Everything seemed in order. The diver came up and the gate was opened a total of 250 turns by alternately opening and closing. The 30-inch gate valves, No. 3 and No. 4, were both cracked, closed, then half opened, allowed to flush and were closed. Sluice gate No. 2 was closed and leakage checked by cracking one 4-in valve and observing the pressure drop. Leakage was negligible. An inspection of the outer face of the headwall where it extended above sediment (silt) revealed cribbing which may have been forms or possibly a working platform for the original gates. Silt was too high to discover if the original gates were still there.

Diving was under the immediate direction of J. McComber, Marine Diving Contractor, 82 Williamson Avenue, Jersey City 5, New Jersey. Underwater work was done by James Crosby, 1585 White Plains Road, Bronx 62, New York.

	INS PECTION	SLUICE GATES AND STEMS	
Gates	Cast iron, bronze mounted. Metal in casting makes solid noise when struck with hammer. St Wodges are in good shape, stem and nuts on stem are sound as determined by hammor blows. rust on the stem. Construction is similar to that shown on Ludiow drawing #2675. All valves dition and workshie.	aounted. Metal in casting makes solid noise when struck with hammer. Seats are smooth and tigh a shape, stem and nuts on stem are sound as determined by hammor blows. There is about 1/8" of Construction is similar to that shown on Ludiow drawing #2675. All valves seemed in excellent co	nammer. Seats are smooth and tigh umor blows. There is about 1/8" of All valves seemed in excellent co
Blems at Gatos	All stoms in good condition with at least 2" of metal after scraping off tuberculation. Tubercules are typical, about 3 in height. In height. Couplings are in good condition; metal feels smooth and may be bronze. Tapered rectangular eteci pins with keeper 3 through hole in uarrow end are in poor condition where exposed and crumble in diver's hand. Pins on coupling on gate through hole, are #2 stick out about 1/16" and gate #3 stick out about 1/2" and still have rings similar to a key ring in a drilled hole, Bouthwest (No. 1) Bouthwest (No. 3) are flush to pier #1. Coupling nearest 2 couplings to pier #1. Pins in bad 2 couplings to pier #1. Pins extens valve can put finger in pin hole. bags piled under stem between coulary. Cement about 1/2" and terms about 1/2".	of metal after scraping off tuberculatio s smooth and may be bronze. Tapered dition where exposed and crumble in div digate #3 stick out about 1/2" and still b Centor [No. 2] 2 couplings to pier #1. Pins in bad shape (1/16" out from collar). Cement bags piled under stem between couplings.	 a) Tubercules are typical, about 3 rectangular steel pins with keeper 1 rer's hand. Pins on coupling on gate ave rings similar to a key ring in a Bouthwest (Nd. 3) 2 couplings to pier #1. Pins exten about 1/2".
Pier No. 1 Pior No. 2	Pier all right. Roller and keeper all right. Stem on bronze roller, keeper 2" above stem. No coupling. Pier all right. Roller and keeper all	Pier all right. Holler and keeper all right. Stem on bronze roller, keeper 2" above stem. No compiny. Pier all right. Roller and keeper all	First and right. Nouse and weeper right. Stem on bronze roller, kee 2. above stem. No coupling. Pier all right. Roller and keeper
1 1	- 146 1	- 17 I	right. Stem not resting on roller. Stem against keeper. Coupling 2 fh from pier #2. Plus : bad shape.
Pler No. 3	Picr all right. Roller and keeper all right. Stom on roller. Keeper about 2 inches above stem. About 10" of mud on inshore face of pier.	Pior all right. Roller and keeper all right. Stem on roller. Keeper about 2 inches above stem. About 10" of mud on inshore face of pier. No complare.	Pler all right. Nouser and seeper 2 right. Stem on roller. Kosper 2 above stem. About 10" of mud on inshore face of pler. No coupling.

{

• *

			Contraction Contraction
			Gate house
wall.	we li	Stem Duried in muu where stress were	Headwall.
Store purse in man and and and	Stem buried in mud where enters	and the second actions with the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second secon	
et and in mild where artan	Solid muo.	Solid mud.	
Solid mud.		Mud flugh with inshore sloe.	Pler No. 8
WIND TIMBE ATEL TERMOTO ATEL	Mud flush with inshore side.		
and and the inchange of the	ptem ouriga in muu.	Sten, hirrled in mud.	
Sten, burled in mud.		stein.	
above stern.	above steri.		Her wo.
	Stem on pronze rotter. Active 2	Stem on brouze roller Keeper 2" above	DAL NO 7
Stenion bronze roller. Koeper	111 111 U.S. 1 11 V 9''	in mud.	
in mud.	in mind		
	Compling. Pins in bad condition. Stem Compute.	a manual three in had condition. Stell	
C. II. Dies in hard contition.	Mud around sucin.	Mud around step.	
Mudiaround stem.		Stells on roller. Keeper 2. above stells.	Per No. 6
L Stem on roller. Keeper 2" above	Stam on roller. Keeper 2" above stem Stam on roller. Keeper 2 above		
Bren in multi in commun.	Stem in mud. No coupling.		
	Mud around stem.	Nud erennd stom.	
Wind a month of them		Stem on roller. Keeper 2' above stem.	Dier No. 5
, Stem on roller. Keeper 2" above	Charler Kannar 2" above stem Stem on roller. Keeper 2" above		
Mud covers stem and coupling.	Mud covers stem and coupling.		
Coupling. Pins in poor condition	Coupling. Pins in poor condition.	200Ve stom.	
1-1/2" above stem.	2'' above stem.	right. Stem on rouler, weepen about	
right. Stem on roller, keeper at	right Stem on ruller, keeper about	Mer all right. Multi and we have "	Pler No. 4
Mer all right numer and	Pier all right. Roller and kceper all	The state of the and keeper all	•
at the Ballar and Foone	NO. 2	No	

*While closing gate No. 3, the wheel was turned about 30 times more than it was opened, causing the stem to be buckled at this point. This fact was discovered upon examination of the threaded portion of the stem at the gear in the gate hc Me.

١

•

pan 110 SpperHudson Schaghticolog.

> Bareau of Water, Froy, New York.

Gentlemén:

An application has been made to the State Ingineer and five prints in Saplicate have been received, number one to five, inclusive and marked "Repair of Tonhannock Spillway" for the reconstruction by you of the Tenhannock Person fam. This day has been designated by this Department as Day No. 110, Upper Indson Watershel.

August 1, 1926.

You are hereby given permission to December 1st, 1926, insofar as the matter involves the jurisdiction sonferred upon this department by chapter 647, laws of 1912, Section 22, as emended, to recombine the above dam seconding to the five prints submitted, under the following conditions:

- 1. That mother set of the above five prints be forthwith sent to this department.
- 2. Shat you notify Division Engineer N. D. Mendricks, Journal Building, The Flass, Albany, N. Y., one week is advance when any section of the present dam will be sloaned and ready for concrete erection.
- 8. That a report be submitted to this department by your engineer, as soon as ascertained, for any section, on the depths of the upo-inforced substand on the supports the deux stream and of the reinforced slab and on the character of and the depths penetrated into its natural bed; on the depths of the upstream sheet yiling suboff and on the character of this bed; and an the depths and the thicknesses of the abutments and of the pore walls and the sharacter of their natural bed;

. That the tile drain on the enhankmenticlife of the soutment be emitted on the upstream side of the born wall.

- 5

Owned by O Plane on file in Map Filling Gab Petron Francisco Site - 7 CF CHALLER CAR L Den, # 110 TERMAN - FILED IN H. C. M. HUDSCHLAND OF THE FROM CONTEN

- **-** -



August 26, 1926.

Dam 110 U.Hud. Schaghticoke

Bureau of Water, Froy. N. Y.

Gentlemen:

The sample of sand received at our laboratory on August 19th and submitted for use in the concrete of the Tomhannock Reservoir Dam has been tested.

The results indicate that this sand should be satisfactory for use in this work. Attention should be called to the fact that since more than 10 percent of the sample passes a number four sieve the coarser portion of the sand should be considered as gravel.

Yours very truly,

Roy G. Finch. State Engineer.

Ŋ

Assistant Deputy

ARMOK/BCH

E. D. HENDRICKS, Division Engingen

SUBJECT:

÷,

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF STATE ENGINEER AND SURVEYOR EASTERN DIVISION JOURNAL BLDG. ALBANY

4+11. 5

August 26, 1926.

Hon. Roy G. Finch. State Engineer, Albany, N. Y.

Dear Sir:- _

Enclosed you will please find report of results of tests of a sample of sand from a bank at Johnsonville, N. Y., submitted as proposed for use in the repairs to the spillway of the Tomhannock Reservoir of the Waterworks system of the City of Troy, N. Y.

These results indicate that this sand should be satisfactory for use in this work. Attention should be called to the fact that, since more than ten per cent of the sample passes a #4 sieve, the coarser portion of the sand should be considered as gravel.

Yours very truly,

Parent 4.4

Sen. Asst. Engineer in charge of Tests.

STATE OF NEW YORK Department of State Engineer and Surveyor Testing Laboratory Albany

Tests of Sand from	bank at	Johnsmille N.Y.
for use on Contract No. Refama To Hills Contract Sample No. taken Dogs	my 1 Tombanuk	Carl Reasoning, Trun 1. 4 Division.
Contract Sample No. taken Dog	K.; received at Laboratory	Ang 19; made up Ang 19
Sand is compared in muly) grains	of some state	tritte, equanty, felderfor and
Percentage of Voids	am; (Organic matter.
Parts of sand to cement by weight :		
Temperature of water used in mixing.		
Cement used in tests,	Blend	This cement tested as follows:
Sets (determined by Vicat needle):-Initial,	in	; hard, { in min. } Max. requirement 600 min. }
Constancy of Volume Tests : Normal air	: Normal water	Accelerated
Fineness (per cent passing standard sieve No	. 100)	
·· (·· ·· · · · · · · No	. 200)	

TEN	SILE STREN	IGTH IN POU	NDS PER SQ	UARE INC	н	SIZE O	F SAND
STANDAR	D SAND	NATURAL	SAND	WASHI	D SAND	PASSIN	G SIEVE
7 Days	28 Days	7 Days	28 Days	7 Days	28 Days	No.	Per Cent
37/		435	н талан та л ан			2	95.6
328		410	••			4	88.4
367		410				6	83.0
391		400				10	69.0
3711		470				20	46.8
828		2,25				. 30	26.8
326		425				. 40	10.6
						60	3.4
1						. 74	2.0
ĺ						100	1.4
1						.140	1.2
Ţ						200	1.0

Remarks: au ja l mag 1 state -1926 4 ****** ---- \sim

Sr. Ass't Engineer in Charge of Tests

C O P T

Dam 110, Upper Endaom Schaghticoke,

.

Bureau of Water, Troy, N. Y.

Gentlemen:

This department acknowledges the receipt ' of five prints for the reconstruction of the Tomhannock Reservoir dam, fulfilling the first requirement of our letter of August 2nd.

Yours very truly,

Roy G. Finch, State Engineer.

By

Deputy State Engineer.

ARMCK/BCH

1 400 418 Sec. Land

1.8

Copy for Mr. Mokim

Jures at Water

5. That the thickness of the abutments at any point where not braced by the spillway section should be at least equal to 1/2 the vertical depth of the soutment at that point.

5. That the abutaants from the new upstream shoet piling outoff to the core walls and the core walls should be carried to rock or sufficiently deep into the natural bad to premint any seepage.

This approv 1 shall not be deemed to authorize any invasion of property rights, either public or private, in carrying out the above work; nor to ereate any elaim or demand against th State of New York; nor to suthorize the flooding or use of said lands, nor to acquisement the flooding or use of such lands.

There is enclosed one set of the ubove prints stamped with the approval of this department.

Kindly acknowledge the receipt of this letter and of the prints.

Yours very truly.

Roy C. Winch. State Engineer.

Dy.

Assistant Denty.

Copy and Print to Division Engineer B. D. Hendricks.

Copy to G. Serton Thompson, 257 Broadway, Troy, J. J. 28-24-1000 (6-4005)

TATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF State Engineer and Surveyor

OFFICE JA EINE
PETDE Millin
PErste Tillun

X

ALBANY

Received Disposition affrand aug 2-1926 Foundation inspected

Dam	No. 110	UHudson	Watershed
	Serial No	709	

.

Structure inspected

Application for the Construction or Reconstruction of a Dam

Application is hereby made to the State Engineer, Albany, N. Y., in compliance with the provisions of Chapter LXV of the Consolidated Laws and Chapter 647, Laws of 1911, Section 22 as amended, for the approval of specifications and detailed drawings, marked

here with submitted for the { construction reconstruction } of a dam located as stated below. All provisions of law will be complied with in the erection of the proposed dam. It is intended to complete the work covered by the application about Den 1/26

1. The dam will be on	flowing into	7bo saisk	keori	in the
town of	, County of	Reus	las	
and death q miles				
(Give exact distance and direction from a well-know	bridge, dam, village main cro	ss-roads or mouth of a st		

2. The name and address of the owner is Wally Def atmand, Catty of may . M. y.

square miles.

7. The lowest part of the natural shore of the pond is ______ feet vertically above the spillcrest, and everywhere else the shore will be at least ______ feet above the spillcrest.

9. State if any damage to life or to any buildings, roads or other property could be caused by any possible failure of the proposed dam.....

10. The natural material of the bed on which the proposed dam will rest is (clay, sand, gravel, boulders, granite, shale, slate, limestone, etc.) See Plans

feet above the spillcrest.

12. The material of the loft bank is; has a top slope ofinches to a foot horizontal, a thickness of feet. and a height of feet.

13 State the character of the bed and the banks in respect to the hardness, perviousness, water bearing, effect of exposure to air and to water uniformity, etc.____

> •

direction of the horizontal outcopping relative to the axis of the main dam and the inclination and direction of the layers in a plane perpendicular to the horizontal outcropping

15. What is the thickness of the layers?.....

16. Are there any porous arms or fissures?

17. WASTES. The spillway of the above proposed dam will be 300 feet long in the clear; the waters the spillcrest, and have a top width of _____ feet; and at the left end by a the top of which will be ______ feet above the spillcrest, and have a top width of ______ feet.

be.....feet below the spiecest.

19. APRON. Below the provosed dam there will be an apron built of feet long across the stream, ______feet wide and ______ feet thick. The downstream side of the apron will have a thickness of ______ feet for a width of ______feet.

20. PLANS. Each application for a permit of a dam over 12 feet in height must be accompanied by a location map and complete working dr, ings in triplicate of the proposed structure, one set of which will be returned if they are approved. Each drawing wuld have a title giving the parts shown, the name of the town and county in which the dam site is located, and it name of the owner and of the engineer.

The location map (U. S. C. logical Quadrangle or other map) should show the exact location of the proposed dam; of buildings below the dar which might be damaged by any failure of the dam; of roads adjacent to or crossing the stream below the dam, given the lowest elevation of the roadway above the stream bed and giving the shape,

the height and the width of stream openings; and of any embaniments of steep slopes that any slood could pass over. Also indicate the character and use made of the ground below the dam.

The complete working drawings should give all the dimensions necessary for the calculations of the stability of the structure, and all the information asked for below under "Sketches." There may be attached to the application any written reports, calculations, investigations or opinions that may aid in showing the data and method used by the designer. State the assumed ice and uplift pressures and the conditions on which based.

21. SKETCHES. For small and unimportant structures, if plans have not been made, on the back of this application make a sketch to scale for each different cross-section at the highest point; giving the height and the depth from the surface of the foundation, the bottom width, the top width (for a concrete or masonry spill at 18 inches below the crest), the elevation of the top in reference to the spillcrest, the length of the section, and the material of which the section is to be constructed; on the spillway section show a cross section of the apron, giving its width, thickness and material, and show the abutment or wash wall at the end of the spillway, giving its heights and thickness. Mark each section with a capital letter. Also sketch a plan; show the above sections by their top lines, giving the mark and the length of each; the openings by their horizontal dimensions; the abutments by their top width and top lengths from the upstream face of the spillcrest; and outline the apron. Also sketch an elevation o' each end of the dam with a cross section of the banks, giving the depth and width excavated into the banks.

22. ELEVATIONS. Also give the elevations, if possible from the Mean Sea Level, of at least two permanent Bench Marks; of the spillcrest for any existing dam on the proposed dam site, at the middle and at the ends of the spill; of the spillcrest for the above proposed dam; and of the spillcrest of any adjacent dams.

23. SAMPLES. When so instructed, send samples of the materials to be used in the construction of the proposed dam. using shipping tags which will be furnished. For sand, one-half a cubic foot is desired (exclusive of any stone over $\frac{1}{4}$ inch in size mixed therewith); for cement, three pints; and for the natural bed, twenty cubic inches if of ledge and one-half a cubic foot if of soil.

24. INSPECTION. State how inspection is to be provided for during construction. Ruged Fuspeles

25. WATER SUPPLY. Are the waters impounded by the above dam to be used for a public water supply?...... Has an application under the provisions of Article IX of the Conservation Law for such use been made to the Water Control Commission, Albany, N. Y.? Recommendation was build in 1902.

The above information is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Gogten Thempin 257- Brandlocuy. Troy. 2-4. July 31/26

1.18

•

Deferment of beter City J Imy, M. 4. 9 Sayten Manyling Consulty Eng (A person signing for owner should indicate his title or authority)

Form No W-91. 10-21-16-509 (18-478)

÷

GEORGE D. PRATT, COMMISSIONER ALEYANDER MACDONALD, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER A. S. HOUGHTON, MARSHALL MCLEAN, DIP, T' AT COREY-GENERAL

18 .

STATE OF NEW YORK



Serial No.

DIVIBION OF FISH AND GAME Lifereitura Leode, oner DIVIBION OF LANDS AND FORESTS C. R. PETTIS, sursaintrenser DIVIBION OF WATERS A. H. Perkins, sursaint Excimens DIVISION OF BARATOGA SPRINGS J. G. JONES, sursaintrester, Balatoca Springs, N. Y.

CONSERVATION COMMISSION ALBANY 410 U. H

APPLICATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF A DAM

(Address of Applicant)

Application is hereby made to the Conservation Commission of the State of New York, in compliance with the provisions of Chap. LXV of the Consolidated Laws, the Conservation Law, for approval of the detailed specifications and plans, marked Repairing...Tombannock Spillway. Dam.

herewith submitted for the { reconstruction } of the dam located as stated below. All provisions of law will be complied with in the erection of the said dam, whether specified herein or not.

LOCATION AND GENERAL DATA

Site of dam is on	(Name of stream)	
a branch of Hoosic Biver	(Name of stream)	, within the
limits of the town ofSchaghticok	e & Pitistown	, County of Renselaer
One hald mile brom Roston and Maine R. R. and three miles from (Give approximate distance from well-known bridge, dam, village or mouth of stream, so that work can be located on map of state)		
Kelrose		
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Purpose of dam . Overflow. spillwayforthe Tomhannock. Reservoir		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Reasons for making changes in existing	structure Leak in di	am and washing out of apron
		······
	······	······································
	·····	······································
April. 17,1918	{ applicant }Bure	Ru of Water, Troy, N. Y.
		Superintendent.

INSTRUCTIONS TO APPLICANTS

Fill out the application in duplicate and send both copies to the Conservation Commission, Albany, N. Y. Each application must be accompanied by plans of proposed structure in duplicate consisting of —

- (1) Location map (U. S. Geological Survey sheet or other map with location of proposed structure indicated thereon).
- (2) Map of proposed reservoir showing flow line, buildings, etc.
- (3) Complete working drawings or such drawings of plan, sections and elevations as will make clear the dimensions of all parts of the structure, its connection to existing structures, if any, nature of natural foundations, etc., and stress diagrams or other analysis showing the adequacy of the strength of the structure.
- (4) Each map and plan shall have a title showing names of owner and engineer, name of county and town in which dam is to be located, and nearest postoffice.

Each application must be accompanied by a report by a competent engineer, substantially as follows:

Adequacy of Spillway:

Give estimate of maximum flood and describe method of estimating. Give resulting height on spillway crest.

Natural Foundation:

General statement of geology of vicinity as affecting the foundation of the dam.

Description and results of subsurface surveys.

Describe fully materials in natural foundation.

- (A) Rock -
 - (a) Mineralogy
 - (b) Stratification
 - (c) Seams and other physical characteristics
 - (d) Thickness of strata
- (B) Earth ---
 - (a) Physical composition
 - (b) Physical characteristics (Perviousness, hardness, homogeneity, water bearing, effect of exposure to air and water, etc.)

Stability:

Describe type of dam and how destructive forces are met.

Give methods of computation and results as to-

- (a) Overturning
- (b) Sliding
- (c) Under-seepage
- (d) Undermining (sufficiency of apron and wash wall)
- (e) Sloughing of earth embankments
- (f) Overtopping of earth embankments

(Above should be given for each part of dam having different section.)

Inspection:

State how inspection of work is to be provided for during construction.

Send sample of sand and of each lot of cement to State Testing Laboratories, Albany, N. Y., using shipping tags which will be furnished you.

April 25, 1918.

Lr. J. W. Diven, Supt. of Water Works, Troy, N. Y.

Duar Birt-

Our Inspector of Dooks & Dans visited your HO UH Tomhannook Reservoir and your Vanderheyden Reservoir on the 11th of April. He reports that the work which you have done on these dams is simply repair work. The approval of this Commission would not, under these conditions, be required.

Yours very truly,

GEORGE D. PRATT, Convisioner,

By,

ATP/T

DIVISION MICINEER.

April 22, 1918.

In re Dam #110 Upper Hudson at Schaghticoke, known as the Tomhannock Reservoir at Troy.

Mr. A. H. Perkins, Division Bagineer,

Conservation Commission,

PRESENT:

Dear Sir:-

I inspected this dam on April 11th and found the bed was of blue clay with a well cemented gravel under. The dam has been repaired by cutting out the layer of laitance, the apron has also been repaired and put in good condition. The work has already been done, and I should consider this but repair work.

Respectfully submitted.

Inspector of Docks and Dams.

McK/C.

April 12, 1918.

Mr. J. W. Diven, Buperintendent, Tater Works, Trey, New York.

Dear Sir:-

Ę,

Ŷ,

漫

In re. Tombannock reservoir (#110 Upper Hudson) at Schaghtiocke:

We wrote you on February 21, 1917 enclosing an application blank for the resonstruction of the dam of this reservoir. Inspector KoKim reported that you would submit plans shortly but we have heard nothing from you, and we find that the dam has been completed. Will you kindly advise us as soon as possible in regard to this matter?

Yours very truly,

GRORDE D. PRATT, COMMIRSIONER.

By

DIVIS FOR ENGINEER.

NOT. MH.
February 21, 1917.

Mr. J. V. Diven, Superintendent

of Water Works,

Tray, N. Y.

Dear Sir: -

繑

Enclosed find application blanks to be filled out and submitted to this Commission for approval for the reconstruction of the Tomhannock reservoir.

Very truly yours,

ORD, D. PRATT, Commissioner,

Jy

Division Engineer.

McK/C.

Pncl.



靛

Ą,

¥

• •

i

ait as th SHILL increases the damage that digit. Mr. Diven said that while the mud gates of the thus relieving the situation of the water and reducing

warms the water and reducing resurce. perintendent Diven shid the rea-or the break was that the con-placed in the dam was not of jent strength, and Turthermore an was built on loose soil. For Barns took occasion to con-the water system as it existed he took offics. He baid the de-sont had been a great thorn in de, and the greeni administra-had alter of administra-had alter of administraand the present administra-ad altery afpended about a unbrownents and re-time and the sold it was a be execution. of affairs, and think there was justice in pase administration stand the pd h cell. anatically reconst

art. OUL

٦

Crowley stated that the we of repairs, or as it might be tarmed partial reconstruction of the To-hannock reservoir spillway da Tor da: which sprung a dangerous harm for the Tomhannock valley, 1 cluding the washing away of bridge and the flattening of railroad balls beds, was progressing satisfactor and it was believed that' all dans WAS SOW past.

By taking prompt action authorities state that they saved wh undoubtedly would have proved to a large expense to the city.

A Bad Break. It will be remembered that Supe intendent of Water Works Diven ported at a meeting of the board contract and supply that there bad break in the dam and that th was danger that the whole sup structure might be so weakened th it could easily be carried away shop the spring floods sitack it. Not a was there a flowure about nine f below the creat of the dam, but was was spurting up from interstices; the pavement.

An Emergency Order. After the superintendent had as mitted his report. Commissions Crowley secured the adoption of emergency order for immediate pairs and the sum of \$68,000 fixed as the probable cost.

The city was fortunate to a gri degree in securing aid in this mate The ordinary local contractor con not possibly take up the work in moment of mending the ribs and t anatomy generally of a glast of dam which holds back millions gailons of water and so it was a relief that the authorities found Great Lakes Construction compas which is doing work in this viola ready to take on the job. And Ca missioner Crowley mys they are ge to work, is a mapping that whill dioate that the dam will ad place 1 a safe condition.

A Stoel F

A steel and iron funce-for what it really means to the and a sort of coffer-dam to kiated-will be placed just the concrete work of the dam back the meanurs of the way

the concrete work of the dam back the pressure of the wai then repairs will be made to i crete used and an investigatio of the "floor" of the dam be just what foundation it rest. It will be remembered that time of the report of the be noted experts who had been by the city to make an inves said that the dam had not be placed—to this extent that i sufficiently to seate an the wai dam which was folding head great quantity of water... This iree and wost checks

nk fut 200 atest

- 18

1 milita.

of Public Works fay that the work rbt be termed. at the Tom. . spillway . dezgerous 1 . d . threatened serious the fromhannosk valley, inthe washing away of bridges fattening of relirons ballant in "programing - mtistactorily as bolieved that' all dauger V SASL .

w past. haking prompt action the life state that they saved what talk would have proved to be to the oily.

4

that Super Works'Diven re and the b and o that the the whole super the second and the Garried away shoulin attack ft. Not onl there a flerure about nine for sike apart of the dam, but wate spurting up from interstiese i ment.

An Entrypency Order. or the superintendent had sub his report. Commissioner secured the adoption of a order for immediate re the sup of \$50,500 va the a a 'aa

an Rostupate to at the second n aid in this s a contra

٠ کې

والمستوجع بعقق بحسيمة المعادية والمعاجر

. . .



W.

撬

- -1.8 WILL REPAIR IT AT ONCE 280

There is a large orack in the first of the two spillway dams at the sity's wajerworks system and unless ate condition Superintendent is discrete for the determine of Public Works Crowlay stated in the series of the solar of Public Works Crowlay stated in the series of the solar of Public Works Crowlay stated in the series of the solar of Public Works Crowlay stated in the series of the solar of the series of the solar of the series of the solar of the series of the solar of the present time of course all possible for the present would be solar of the tions of gallons of water were press-ing. Mayor Burns, who presided at the meeting of the board, explained that all this work was done by a former administration and since he had come into the responsibility of running the prity's business he had been forced be expend about \$825.000 to make his waterworks' system what it should be, and the end was not in sight evidently, as it was reliculated that the work of repairs alone would best from \$50,000 to \$60,000.

ore Over Aleven Billion Gallons.

our of the source of the contract of the source of the contract of the contract of the source of supply of the mpounds eleven billion, seven hun-fred million gailons of water. This water is the source of supply of the main part of the city, with the ex-iption of that section in what might medity be carried that of the Hope memory in Albia. "The direct danger from flood in the of these spillways breaking down be of these spillways breaking down of these spillways breaking down be of the contract of the Tomhannock likey, as the Tomhannock into which a Hoosick river bear Schaghticoke. "The danger to the city of Troy build the reservoirs early flood in the Hoosick river bear Schaghticoke. "The danger to the city of Troy build the reservoirs early flood in the source of the usual purpose in

A the two # M mun the city could in no rd. Therefore it was 4that way afford to stand.

Becided is, get to work and make the necessory appairs. "Mayor furns said that it was o matter for great tegret by the admin-intration that this had happened as There is a large orack in the if for one thing was in line with what inst, of the two spillway dame at the had occurred in other ways of finance had occurred in other ways of finance have waterworks system and unless before winth "his administration had to stand the administration had is stand the stand of the spillway to all 1905 us that his administra-ing condition Superintendent is to be to 1905 us that his administra-information Superintendent is the stand to stand the cost of Waterworks Divan and Commission the state of the state the de-water of Public Works Crowley stated to stand over.

<u>۱</u>

A motion of the sect known experts in the factor of the condition as second and the factor of the second for the factor of the second for the factor of the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the second for the

lions of gallons of water were pres-ing. Mayor Burns, who presided at the meeting of the board, explained the adjunistration and since he had com-into the responsibility of running the city's business he had been form to expend about: \$825,000 to make this waterworks' system what-should be, and the end was not a sight evidently, as it was calculated that the work of repairs alone would cost from \$50,000 to \$60,000. The owner Eleven Billion Gallons.

-u - Over Eleven Billion Gallons.

The denser to the version of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the second back of the

Summiting Tashion would be a lack of water for the usual purposes -some ways a famine-and these for danger from fire due to insufficient pressure in the mains. It will be recalled that this same spillway or rather the one on fill same spot gave way in 1906 and large amount of damage was done if property in the valley. Bridges/wee partly carried away and raihou tracks washed out. ane i

Discovered a Week Ago. Superintendent Diven tole the numbers of the hoard that the less was discovered a week ago and on he vestigation, ha found that there wass grack in the first dam about seven there below the crest. Water was inpurting out, not dribbiling out, show ing that it was evident that the work had given away on the dam side and that its was evident that the work had given away on the dam side and that its was evident that the work and make a caseade. He said the danger was imminent while a contractor at work and make the necessary repairs. Superintend the services of two of the best make the services of two of the best make the scaling the the matter with any contractor on the matter. Superintendent Diven told

A Hile the engineer Craton dam for New 7. P. stearns of Hostor

These men tigation and a al the



Report of Water Works Siperintendent Made to the Board of Contract and ... Supply To-day-To Proceed at Once With Repairs-Large Amount of Water Escaping Daily for a Week Past-Situation Serious.

Water Escaping Daily for a Week Past-Situation Serious. There is a large crack in the dided million abload of water. This is applicant of the first of the sector is the source of supply of the character. By a water works system and unless copion of the social part of the oily, with the water is both going through pairs and restore the spillways is a sector in what might be tarmed that of the level is both going through and the source of supply of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part of the social part

Instance.
Needing Ensurediate Attention.
Twe of the best known expects in members of the beard there was a factored a Week Ago.
Superintendent Diven the United State was decovered a week ago and on in the State of the condition as serious and for the fact there was a factored fact was decovered a week ago and on in the State of the condition as serious and for the fact there was a factored the there was a factored the there was decovered a week ago and on in the State of the sold the present the decovered a week ago and on in the state the condition as serious and for the fact there was a factored the there was a factored the there was and to stand the condition as serious and for the fact there was a factored the there was and to state the decover.
Superintendent Diven the Stalt is that it was writeded that the work ago and to state the decover.
Superintendent Diven the Stalt is that the water had gradually forced a the present time—of course all post for a fact as the terms of the sold to fact the to stand the condition of the sold the to track the condition the state the sold the present time—of source all post the decover a secondar.
Superintendent Diven the State the source of the sold to fact the to state the condition of the fact to track the condition the source of the sold to fact the sold the to track the condition the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of the sold to fact the source of th

The Tombannock reservoir to 699 et above the level of the city and apounds cleven billion, seven amov

in the con

akes made by the sutsing

July 21, 1917.

Mr. J. W. Diven,

Superintendent, Water Works,

Troy, I. Y.

Dear Sir:-

Inspector McKim visited the Tomhannook reservoir on July 17.

110 0 #

As soon as the condition is ascertained and the plans are ready for the reconstruction, send us a set of prints and the completed application blank which we mailed you on February 21.

Yours very truly,

OBORGE D. PRATT, CONVISCIONER,

By

DIVISION MEGINEER.

MCK:MH.

July 21, 1917.

TERKLY REPORT.

Nr. A. H. Perkins, Division Engineer, Conservation Commission, P B R S.R W T.

Dear Sir:-

The following is report of work done by me during the week ending July 22, 1917:-

July 17 -- Inspected Tombannock reservoir of the city of Troy. The row of steel sheet piling had been driven up stream from the spillway and men were at work sutting away the back part of the spillway in order to determine how deep the water had seeped through. There was a crack 2 feet 5 inches from the top of the laitance which is very soft and contains considerable dirt. The failure of the dam was probably due to this laitance.

> Inspected dam #2 Nohawk at Waterford to corroborate report of Assistant Prudhon. I found conditions fair and probably no harm would be done if dam went out.

Inspected dam #15 Mehawk at Cohoes and found the work finished and in operation. The condition is very good.

Inspected dam #224 Mohawk at Mariaville and found it in the same condition, no work having been done since my last inspection.

July 18 -- Inspected dam #389 Nohawk at Rast Windham. Found the work finished and apparently in good condition.

> Inspected dam #418 Nohawk at Windham to corroborate report of Assistant Hyde. The dam is in poor condition but no harm would be done if it went out. The dam will probably be rebuilt but not this year.

Week ending 7/22/17.

July 19 --- Inspected dam #448-a at Richmondville. The dam was finished and the concrete good, on a limestone ledge bed. Maximum height of the dam was 8 feet instead of 5 feet as per plans. It is a thin dam 21 inches on top, 3 feet thick and 5 feet down.

> Inspected dam #410 Mohawk at Cobleskill. No work has been done on the reconstruction and it probably will not be rebuilt.

> Inspected dam #407 Mohawk at Cobleskill and found that the thin retaining wall had been braced by timber. The work has not been particularly well done but there is little danger in connection therewith.

Visited Mrs. Daniel J. Vrooman of Schoharie concerning the reported construction of a dam at Schoharie. It is not proposed to construct the dam at this time.

July 20 -- Inspected dam #298 Nohawk between the towns Columbia of Charleston and Florida, Montgomery County. She Nothing has been done towards the reconstruct of the tion of this dam.

> Inspected vicinity of the site for dam #427 Nohawk of the city of Johnstown. The soil here is lowny earth with some bolders, mostly granite, from a glacial formation, but I found no outcropping of any ledge rock, and the excavations will have to be carefully watched to see if there is any such.

Inspected dam #446 Nobawk at Rockwood. I found the gates open and no water impounded. The dam proper and the apron were apparently finished. No work has yet been done on the curtain cut-off.

Next week I propose to continue my work inspecting the

left side of the Lower Hudson.

Respectfully yours.

INSPECTOR OF DOCKS AND DANS.

MOX: MH.

Curday, February 3, 1017.

TINES (19)

. 2

AM IN DANGER.

Derer sind im Spillersy at Trasector Derer search and the Spillersy at Tratractor of Deretary State Internet State State State State Internet State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State State Stat

and a second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second sec

Experts Called In.

Peperintendent Diven said that he w immediate action was necessary if summoned William B. Hills, who if sharps of the work on the Croton as, and efter winnulation with him wided to call is unother expert, Fred "Siceras of Mostion Mass, one of the set of the set in the construction the in United States, the three man coninvestion of the set of the ununiinter of the set if is not the ununiinter of the set if is the three man coninvestion optalon that showthing must be may suther the set of the ununiinter of the set of the ununiinter of the set of the ununiinter of the set of the ununiinter of the set of the ununiinter of the set of the set of the ununity and if repairs the the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of physics is the set of the set of the set of the ununity is the set of the set of the units is the set of the set of the unusual be givenly theused is under its the recently is over the physic time of yet one leak, transtion is not the set of the is the set of the set of the set is the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of t

Le one-way at Chryperation Counsel Gup Tr. Diven inid the Tombaunouk reserver was exercised between 200 and but. My argebed as a reason for the newly distorney link the fact that the newly distorney mask and the dam

.....

Tomhannosk reservoir, where he formed a leak had occurred. When h argived there he found is at the sp way dam, about seven fest below the t of the dam. It was growing worse, In a shore time it was found the loak had regulted in some carth at aday under the second dams evoir. The danger of the be 200 of the dam was at once apparent if such a thing happened it would 344 carried away undoubtedly about 3,60 sills gallons of water. This time everything shead of it probably and it was easy to see the damage th would be caused.

Experts Called ha.

Apparintendent Diven said that I app immediate action was nece and summoned William R. Hills, hid charge of the work on the Croton dans, and after consultation with him decided to call in another expert, Fry P. Stearns of Boston, Mass., one of th best authorities on dam construction th the United States. The three men one ferred for a time, and it was the unan mous opinion that something must done at mees. Mr. Diven said that its dam is unsafe, and if repairs were no made before the spring freshet he i the worst. In order that dan at might be reduced to a st as had "the mud gates opened, a the greature would be greatly r 14.0 is mater in the reservoirs low . There is yet one los which has not been shtained. 1 1 The Beseus Given.

sourcer to Carporation Counsel Ge Dives said the Tampannotk re was constructed between 1908 K. He sacrib Ð sovered heat the fact that the **46** d sonigrois, was very weak, and the da had been built on loose soil. He sa that the Commissioner of Public Work user use commissions of yobile Wor should be supported to put the fam proper shape, and the necessary permi-lon wis given. By the board, it has streed that work would be started the families and realed by completion. "If this work is started immediately 2 Superintendent Divin, "the f the sity need have no fear of treshets, for the dam will [] as sold set is presible." Mayor Barters 50 Mayor Burns took opension the water eveter he took onlos. He said the as it mint t had been & great Giora. in ! the greets administra ended about SHAL and remains anh

۰.



Troy Three

FEB - 31917

BAN IN DANGER

in Biscovarial in Spillway as Sombiscock Bonevati Conference of Spinets Decides That Inspective Bon Status Inspirative The Detantial

actively dan Si the Tombanitation and the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the second solution of the sec

1 Sec. Galled rintendent Diven mid this in umediate action was sus unmoned William R. Sills aharge of the work on t • đ and after consultation w to all M another saje earns of Boston, Mai **1**,. 4 authorities on dam construct n - In United States. The three m dònfor a line, and it was the unin-Mr. Diven said that the and if repairs w ipring freihi it he the a surface that d to a s and gates opened, so that would be greatly reduced. er in the reservoire lowered the There is yet one leak, trace

1.18

the same store " district time ago." So in the district dealers ble condition at aldistrict addition that there are pattern barrant this administration stand the pattern of \$ 400

and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and
 and

\$



and an and a second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second sec

·





23 5 1. 243 0)ii 0 1. 1.079 10:1 ŝ3 4-149 9 4 .

Fuest IW51. 11-6-14-1000 (16-1038)

(NOTICE: After filling out one of these forms as completely as possible for each dam in your district, return it at once to the Conservation Commission, Albany.)

STATE OF NEW YORK

CONSERVATION COMMISSION

ALBANY

225 大 110 UH

CONSERVATION COMMISSION,

61

DAM REPORT

DIVISION OF INLAND WATERS.

GENTLEMEN:
I have the honor to make the following report in relation to the structure known as the <i>Ionhannock</i> (Main) Dam.
This dam is situated upon the <u>Jonkannoch</u> Crech
in the Town of Pitlstour, Reusselser County,
about 2- mile from the Village or City of Echapticshe
The distance (Up or down) stream from the dam, to the Raymertown dike (Che name of nearest important stream or of a bridge) is about 3 ³ miles
(State distance) (State distance) The dam is now owned byCity of Troy (Give name and address in full)
and was built in or about the year 1903 , and was extensively repaired or reconstructed
during the year
As it now stands, the spillway portion of this dam is built of Concrete (Base whether of masonry, concrete or timber)
and the other portions are built of

As nearly as I can learn, the character of the foundation bed under the spillway portion of the dam is ______ no k and clay ______ and under the remaining portions such foundation bed is ______

Same shift . The state of a

Arc. pk

(Date) 191.6

(In the space below, make a third sketch showing the general plan of the dam, and its approximate position in relation to buildings or other conspicuous objects in the vicinity.)

General layout

Tombaunch Recervoir Dam



(In the space below, make one sketch showing the form and dimensions of a cross section through the spliway or waste-weir of this dam, and a second aketch showing the same information for a cross section through the other portion of the dam. Show particularly the greatest height of the dam above the stream bod, its thickness at the top, and thickness at the bottom, as nearly as you can learn.)

aly 1717. Steel sheet piling has been driven up sho an from spilling. 1 A. Protolly laitance very cofe and contains considerable dist at present it out side at last 28 ar present digging out cracks t are if , while down was undermined a R har y have 14 .15

apail 11-18. Water hand te" on spill. Repair une finished, apromptetes a over with concrete floor. Spillung repaired. Q R Mr. I tim The total length of this dam is 600 feet. The spillway or wasteweir portion, is about 300 feet long, and the crest of the spillway is about feet below the top of the dam.

L'HARDER SALL - SALE

The number, size and location of discharge pipes, waste pipes or gates which may be used for drawing off the water from behind the dam, are as follows: 5' steel virted in the dam opening by 3 shine gates 1 ± 'x 4 ±

(State briefly, in the space below, whether, in your judgment, this dam is in good condition, or bad condition, describing particularly any leaks or cracks which you may have observed.)

Dam in excellent condition

Reported by Charles a Circi ion Statum (" Syrac A Mill

Funa IW 31. 11-6-14-1000 (16-1038)

(NOTICE: After filling out one of these forms as completely as possible for each dam in your district, return it at once to the " Conservation Commission, Albany.)

STATE OF NEW YORK CONSERVATION COMMISSION

ALBANY

DAM REPORT

110 OH

ne 20 6/15, 191 6

CONSERVATION COMMISSION,

DIVISION OF INLAND WATERS.

GENTLEMEN:

I have the honor to make the following report in relation to the structure known as

This dam is situated upon the <u>forushaanoch</u> <u>Creek</u> (Give name of stream) in the Town of <u>Cittaton</u> County, about <u>from the Village or City of <u>Canent toron</u> <u>Stream from the dam, to the <u>Raymenton</u> dike (Up or down) is about <u>37 mileo</u> (State distance)</u></u>

As it now stands, the spillway portion of this dam is built of <u>concerte</u>
and the other portions are built of Lasth filled concrete Corre (Stat whether of masonry, concrete, earth or timber with or without rock fill)
As nearly as I can learn, the character of the foundation bed under the spillway portion
of the dam is
foundation bed is mark and elay
d

Acc. sta

.

(In the space below, make one aketch showing the form and dimensions of a cross section through the spillway or waste-weir of this dam, and a second aketch showing the same information for a cross section through the other portion of the dam. Show particularly the greatest height of the dam above the stream bod, its thickness at the top, and thickness at the bottom, as nearly as you can learn.)





. -



The total length of this dam is ______feet. The spillway or wasteweir portion, is about ______feet long, and the crest of the spillway is about _______feet below the top of the dam.

The number, size and location of discharge pipes, waste pipes or gates which may be used for drawing off the water from behind the dam, are as follows: <u>5' Teel riveled juge thre</u>

dane opening by 3 slive gates 14' + + #

At the time of this inspection the water level above the dam was......ft. 2. in. below the crest of the spillway.

(State briefly, in the space below, whether, in your judgment, this dam is in good condition, or bad condition, describing particularly any leaks or cracks which you may have observed.)

Reported by Charles a Cruch Staduin Ph

Spillway in good condition. Slight leakage

(Name of place) 71. 7

at point P.

APPENDIX G







...

...















18.7





FIGURE 12

