

# DELAWARE RIVER BASIN

Ber.

₫. ¥ir

2

#### LEHIGH RIVER, LACKAWANNA AND WAYNE COUNTIES

#### PENNSYLVANIA

LARSEN DAM

NDI ID No. PA-00367 DE. ID No. 35-30

BIG BASS LAKE, INC.

Accession For	
NTIS GUIL	A
DIIG IAB	<b>A</b>
Unannouneed	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ T \end{bmatrix}$
Just i cari cu	Les 1
ar on til	2
By	
Distribution	
Availability Code	25
Avpil and/on	
Dist Special	
	1
	1

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

DACW31-81-C-0018

1 -

septiment of

#### Prepared by

GANNETT FLEMING CORDDRY AND CARPENTER, INC. Consulting Engineers P.O. Box 1963 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105

For

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY Baltimore District, Corps of Engineers Baltimore, Maryland 21203

JULY 1981

.....

411007 all

#### PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigations, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the spillway design flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. The spillway design flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

### LARSEN DAM

# NDI ID No. PA-00367; DER ID No. 35-30

# PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

#### NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

# CONTENTS

## Description

Page

			Brief Assessment of General Condition
			and Recommended Action
			Project Information 1
			Engineering Data
			Visual Inspection 7
SECTION	4	-	Operational Procedures 9
			Hydrology and Hydraulics 10
SECTION	6	-	Structural Stability
SECTION	7	-	Assessment, Recommendations, and
			Proposed Remedial Measures 15

# APPENDICES

# Appendix

2

# <u>Title</u>

A	Checklist - Engineering Data.
В	Checklist - Visual Inspection.
С	Photographs.
D	Hydrology and Hydraulics.
E	Plates.
F	Geology.

(amount)

#### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

#### NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

#### BRIEF ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL CONDITION

#### AND

#### RECOMMENDED ACTION

Name of Dam:

Larsen Dam NDI ID No. PA-00367 DER ID No. 35-30

Size:

Small (11.7 feet high; 504 acre-ft.)

<u>Hazard</u> Classification:

Date of Inspection:

High

Owner:

Big Bass Lake, Inc. L. Larsen, President Box 225 Gouldsboro, PA 18424

State Located: Pennsylvania

County Located: Lackawanna and Wayne

Stream:

Lehigh River 2 June 1981

Based on visual inspection, available records, calculations, past operational performance, and according to criteria established for these studies, Larsen Dam is judged to be in fair condition. Based on the size and hazard classification of the dam, the Spillway Design Flood (SDF) at the dam varies between 1/2 the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) and the PMF. Based on the downstream conditions, the selected SDF is the 1/2 PMF. Under existing conditions, the spillway will pass about 12 percent of the PMF without overtopping of the If the low areas on the top of the dam were filled to the dam. design elevation, the spillway would pass 16 percent of the PMF. It is judged that Larsen Dam could not withstand the depth and duration of overtopping that would occur during the 1/2 PMF. However, it is judged that a high hazard dam located immediately downstream would fail due to overtopping prior to the overtopping failure of Larsen Dam. Therefore, the spillway capacity of Larsen Dam is rated as inadequate.

State of the second

No immediate stability problems were evident at the time of the inspection, but deficiencies do exist that could eventually affect the stability of the dam and appurtenances if they are not corrected.

Maintenance of the dam is considered inadequate.

The following studies and remedial measures are recommended to be undertaken by the Owner, in approximate order of priority, without delay:

(1) Design and construct modifications as required to provide adequate spillway capacity.

(2) If the design for spillway modifications does not include provisions for repair of the corewall and replacement of missing embankment material, separate measures should be designed and constructed to accomplish those items.

(3) Remove brush from the dam, replace missing riprap, and fill the burrowing animal hole with impervious material.

(4) Visually monitor the wet area at the toe of the dam. Take appropriate action as required if the condition worsens.

All investigations, studies, designs, and inspection of construction should be performed by a professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of dams.

In addition, the Owner should institute the following operational and maintenance procedures:

(1) Develop a detailed emergency operation and warning system for Larsen Dam. When warnings of a storm of major proportions are given by the National Weather Service, the Owner should activate his emergency operation and warning system.

(2) During periods of unusually heavy rains, provide round-the-clock surveillance of Larsen Dam.

(3) As presently required by the Commonwealth, institute a program of formal annual inspections by a professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of dams. Utilize the inspection results to determine if remedial measures are necessary.

(4) Expand the existing maintenance program and develop a formal maintenance manual so that all features of the dam are properly maintained.

and the second second

Submitted by:

GANNETT FLEMING CORDDRY AND CARPENTER, INC.



Sudanik Sutaker

FREDERICK FUTCHKO Project Manager, Dam Section

Date: 7 August 1981

Approved by:

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY BALTIMORE DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINFERS

JAMES W. PECK dolonel, Corps of Engineers commander and District Engineer

Date: 18 Aug 81

Sec. Sheets



#### LARSEN DAM

#### NDI ID No. PA-00367; DER ID No. 35-30

#### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

#### NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

#### SECTION 1

#### PROJECT INFORMATION

#### 1.1 General.

a. <u>Authority</u>. The Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a program of inspection of dams throughout the United States.

b. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of the inspection is to determine if the dam constitutes a hazard to human life or property.

#### 1.2 Description of Project.

a. <u>Dam and Appurtenances</u>. Larsen Dam consists of an embankment, an overflow spillway, and an outlet works. The embankment is earthfill and has a concrete corewall. The embankment consists of two sections, being divided by the spillway. The section to the right of the spillway is 120 feet long, and the section to the left is 75 feet long. The dam is 11.7 feet high at its highest section.

The spillway is located near the center of the dam. An approach channel leads from the reservoir to a rounded-crest, concrete weir. The weir is 70 feet long and 3.8 feet below the design level for the top of the dam. A concrete apron is located at the bottom of the weir.

The outlet works is located at the left end of the spillway. An intake structure leads from the reservoir to a pair of 24-inch diameter cast-iron pipes. Sluice gates in the intake structure can be used to prevent water from entering the conduits. There are also gate valves located further downstream that can be used to control flows.

The various features of the dam are shown on the Photographs in Appendix C and on the Plates in Appendix E. A description of the geology is included in Appendix F. b. Location. Larsen Dam is located on the Lehigh River in Clifton Township, Lackawanna County, and Lehigh Township, Wayne County, approximately one-half mile northeast of Gouldsboro, Pennsylvania. Larsen Dam is shown on USGS Quadrangle Sterling, Pennsylvania at latitude N 41° 15' 05" and longitude W 75° 27' 30". On the USGS topographic map, Larsen Lake is shown as Johnson Pond. A location map is shown on Plate E-1.

c. <u>Size Classification</u>. Small (11.7 feet high, 504 acre-feet).

d. <u>Hazard Classification</u>. High hazard. Downstream conditions indicate that a high hazard classification is warranted for Larsen Dam (Paragraphs 3.1e and 5.1c (5)).

e. <u>Ownership</u>. Big Bass Lake, Inc., L. Larsen, President, Box 225, Gouldsboro, PA 18424.

f. Purpose of Dam. Recreation.

g. <u>Design and Construction History</u>. Larsen Dam was constructed in 1910 by the Scranton Gas and Water Company to provide an auxiliary water supply. The dam replaced a timber crib structure that had existed at the site. The dam was designed by W.M. Marple and constructed under the supervision of H. F. Cox, both of whom were engineers for the water company. When it was constructed, the dam was known as Gouldsboro Dam. The lake was later known as Johnson Pond. The dam and lake are now known as Larsen Dam and Larsen Lake. There have been no significant modifications made to the dam since it was constructed.

h. <u>Normal Operational Procedure</u>. The pool is maintained at the spillway crest level with excess inflow discharging over the spillway. The outlet works is used occasionally to draw down the pool level for maintenance purposes.

1.3 Pertinent Data.

a.	Drainage Area.	(square miles)	15.39

Ъ.	Discharge at Damsite. (cfs) Maximum known flood at damsite	Unknown
	Outlet works at maximum pool elevation	110
	Spillway capacity at maximum pool elevation	
		1 760

Design conditions 1,760 Existing conditions 1,300

-2-

с.	<u>Elevation</u> . (feet above msl.) Top of dam	
	Design conditions	1886.4
	Existing conditions	1885.7
	Maximum pool	1000.1
	Design conditions	1886.4
	Existing conditions	1885.7
	Normal pool (spillway crest)	1882.6
	Upstream invert outlet works	1874.0
	Downstream invert outlet works	1874.0
	Streambed at toe of dam	1874.0
	Streambed at the of dam	10/4.0
d.	Reservoir Length. (miles)	
	Normal pool	0.75
	Maximum pool (design)	0.80
	······································	
e.	<u>Storage</u> . (acre-feet)	
	Normal pool	246
	Maximum pool (design)	581
	Maximum pool (existing)	504
f.	<u>Reservoir Surface</u> . (acres)	
	Normal pool	64
	Maximum pool (design)	116
	Maximum pool (existing)	105
-	<b>D</b> ava	
g.	Dam.	17
	Type	Earthfill with
		concrete
		corewall
	Length (feet)	195, embank-
		ment only
	<u>Height</u> (feet)	11.7
	<u>Topwidth</u> (feet)	
	Design	8.0
	Existing	Varies
	Side Sleep	
	Side Slopes	
	Upstream	
	Design	1V on 4H
	Existing	1V on 4H
	Downstream	110 11
	Design	1V on 3H
	Existing	Varies

-3-

g.	Dam. (cont'd.)	
	Zoning	Conc core two zone
	Cutoff	Cuto and pile
	<u>Grout_Curtain</u>	Non
h.	Diversion and Regulating Tunnel.	Non
i.	<u>Spillway</u> . <u>Type</u>	Con
	Length of Weir (feet)	70.
	Crest Elevation	188
	Upstream Channel	Res
	Downstream Channel	Cor
j.	<u>Regulating Outlets</u> <u>Type</u>	Two dia cas pir
	Length (feet)	24
	Closure	Slu up: ga ne
	Access	То

crete ewall; earthen es off trench steel sheet es 1e ne ncrete weir .0 82.6 servoir ncrete apron o 24-inch ameter st-iron pes Luice gates at ostream end; te valves ear center

Top of dam

÷.

Same and the second second

and the second states and the second states and the

#### SECTION 2

#### ENGINEERING DATA

#### 2.1 Design.

a. <u>Data Available</u>. No design data are available for Larsen Dam.

b. <u>Design Features</u>. The project is described in Paragraph 1.2a. The various features of the d<sub>m</sub> are shown on the Photographs in Appendix C and on the Plates in Appendix E.

c. <u>Design Considerations</u>. Available data are not sufficient to assess the design of the dam.

#### 2.2 Construction Data.

a. <u>Data Available</u>. A report on the dam was prepared in 1915 by the Pennsylvania Water Supply Commission (PWSC). The report was based on information furnished by the engineer who supervised construction of the dam. There is also a drawing prepared in 1914 that was reportedly copied from construction progress sheets. Some of the details from this drawing are shown on Plate E-2.

b. <u>Construction Considerations</u>. Available data indicate that the embankments are constructed of a clay-sand mixture that was obtained locally. Steel sheet piling were driven around the entire dam during construction to provide stable conditions during excavation. The tops of the sheet piles were cut off and the portions remaining were left in place. The corewall in the dam and the concrete spillway are founded on a layer of gravel and boulders that overlie bedrock at the site. A "quicksand stratum" was removed during construction. The 1915 PWSC Report indicates that construction of the dam was satisfactory.

2.3 <u>Operation</u>. There are no formal records of operation. No significant problems have been reported for the dam.

#### 2.4 Evaluation.

a. <u>Availability</u>. Engineering data were provided by the Bureau of Dams and Waterway Management, Department of Environmental Resources, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (PennDER). Representatives of the Owner were available for information during the visual inspection.

The shart and the same of the

b. <u>Adequacy</u>. The type and amount of available design data and other engineering data are fair, and the assessment is based on the combination of available data, visual inspection, performance history, hydrologic assumptions and hydraulic assumptions, and calculations developed for this report.

c. <u>Validity</u>. There is no reason to question the validity of the available data.

#### SECTION 3

#### VISUAL INSPECTION

#### 3.1 Findings.

a. <u>General</u>. The overall appearance of the dam is fair. Deficiencies that were observed are noted below. A sketch of the dam with the locations of deficiencies is presented on Exhibit B-1 in Appendix B. Survey information acquired for this report is summarized in Appendix B. Datum used for the survey was the spillway crest level, Elevation 1882.6, as shown on Plate E-2 in Appendix E. On the day of the inspection, the pool was at Elevation 1882.8.

b. <u>Embankment</u>. The survey performed for this inspection showed that the top of the dam is irregular, with the low point at Elevation 1885.7, which is 0.7 foot lower than the design level shown on Plate E-2.

Riprap on the upstream slope of the dam is generally intact but overgrown with brush (Photograph A). The upstream slope generally conforms to the slope angle shown on Plate E-2.

The portion of the embankment to the right of the spillway has the corewall exposed over a 75-foot long reach (Photograph A). The maximum exposed height of corewall is 4.5 feet (Photograph B). About 15 feet to the right of the spillway, the corewall has a 1/8-inch wide crack that extends through the corewall (Photograph C). There is no misalignment at the crack, but concrete at that location has spalled. Near the right abutment there is an area where minor surface erosion of the embankment has occurred (Photographs A and B).

The portion of the embankment to the left of the spillway is completely overgrown with brush (Photograph D). One burrowing animal hole is located on the downstream slope. There is a small wet area located near the toe adjacent to the outlet channel.

c. <u>Appurtemant Structures</u>. The spillway weir was submerged but no major deficiencies appear to exist (Photograph E). There is one crack in the right approach wall of the spillway, and there are numerous locations where surficial deterioration of the approach and outlet walls has occurred (Photographs E and F).

-7-

The outlet works is in fair condition. Some deterioration of the concrete intake structure has occurred. The outlet conduits were submerged and could not be inspected. The sluice gates in the intake structure and the gate valves in the outlet conduits are rusted but are reported to be functional.

d. <u>Reservoir Area</u>. The watershed is predominantly wooded and has gentle to moderate slopes. There are five other dams and several small ponds located within the watershed. Larsen Lake itself is segmented into three parts. The Erie-Lackawanna railroad embankment separates one small portion of the reservoir from the main body of water. There is a substantial bridge opening which allows free passage of water through the railroad embankment. There is another earthen embankment which crosses the reservoir and separates a significant portion of the lake from the main body of the reservoir. The top of the embankment is approximately 2 feet above normal pool level, and there is an opening through the embankment about 25 feet wide (Photograph G). The purpose of the embankment is unknown.

e. <u>Downstream Conditions</u>. Lake Lehigh Dam, DER I.D. No. 64-51, is located 0.7 mile downstream from Larsen Dam. Lake Lehigh Dam (Photograph H) was inspected in 1980 and found to be a high hazard dam. The available surcharge storage in Lake Lehigh is 31 acre-feet, compared to the 246 acre-feet of storage in Larsen Lake at normal pool level. If Larsen Dam were to fail, it could cause failure of Lake Lehigh Dam. Accordingly, Larsen Dam is a high hazard dam.

#### SECTION 4

#### OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 <u>Procedure</u>. The reservoir is normally maintained at spillway crest level, with excess inflow discharging over the spillway and into the Lehigh River. The outlet works is used occasionally to draw down the pool for maintenance purposes.

4.2 <u>Maintenance of Dam</u>. The dam is visited at least monthly by one of the Owner's representatives. Based on the condition of the dam, it is evident that maintenance of the dam has been minimal. Formal inspections of the dam are not made.

4.3 <u>Maintenance of Operating Facilities</u>. The outlet works operating mechanisms are rusted but are reported to be in good working order. Maintenance is limited to checking the operation of the gate valves several times each year.

4.4 <u>Warning Systems in Effect</u>. There is no emergency operation and warning system.

4.5 Evaluation of Operational Adequacy. The maintenance of the dam and appurtenant works is inadequate, as evidenced by the maintenance deficiencies observed during the visual inspection. A program of formal annual inspection is necessary to detect potentially hazardous conditions. A detailed emergency operation and warning system is necessary to reduce the risk of dam failure should adverse conditions develop and to prevent loss of life should the dam fail.

#### SECTION 5

#### HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS

#### 5.1 Evaluation of Features.

a. <u>Design Data</u>. The 1915 Report by the PWSC indicated that the design capacity of the spillway was 1,650 cfs, based on a maximum available head of 3.5 feet. For this inspection it was determined that the design capacity of the spillway is 1,760 cfs, based on a maximum available head of 3.8 feet.

b. <u>Experience Data</u>. There are no known records of the maximum pool elevation at Larsen Dam.

c. Visual Observations.

(1) <u>General</u>. The visual inspection of Larsen Dam, which is described in Section 3, resulted in a number of observations relevant to hydrology and hydraulics. These observations are evaluated herein.

(2) <u>Embankment</u>. The top of the embankment is 0.7 foot lower than its design elevation. Accordingly, the existing spillway capacity is less than its design capacity.

Although the available records contain no reference to overtopping of the dam, the visual inspection and photographs from the records strongly suggest that the dam has been overtopped. A photograph taken in 1938 clearly shows that the entire embankment to the right of the spillway was intact and that no portions of the corewall were exposed. A photograph taken in 1957 shows the corewall exposed to approximately the same extent that exists now. Based on the visual inspection and the photographs, it is surmised that the dam was overtopped, possibly during the 1955 flood, and that the embankment suffered severe erosion.

(3) <u>Appurtemant Structures</u>. No deficiencies relevant to hydraulics were observed at the spillway or the outlet works.

(4) <u>Reservoir Area</u>. Nothing was observed in the reservoir area that would present a hazard to the dam. The segmentation of the reservoir caused by the two earthen embankments is of little significance, and the minor effects that they might have were not included in the analysis performed for this report.

There are five dams upstream from Larsen Dam, as noted in Appendix D. Phase I National Dam Inspection Reports have been prepared for four of these dams. The effects of all the dams have been included in the analysis described hereafter. Of these five dams, only Lake Watawga Dam and Gouldsboro Dam are considered to present any noteworthy hazard to Larsen Dam. Gouldsboro Dam has an adequate spillway capacity. Lake Watawga Dam has a seriously inadequate spillway capacity.

(5) <u>Downstream Conditions</u>. Lake Lehigh Dam is located immediately downstream from Larsen Dam, as shown on Exhibit D-1 in Appendix D. A Phase I National Dam Inspection Report has previously been prepared for Lake Lehigh Dam, which is a small, high hazard dam with a seriously inadequate spillway capacity. Since a failure of Larsen Dam could cause a failure of Lake Lehigh Dam, a high hazard classification is warranted for Larsen Dam.

d. Overtopping Potential.

(1) <u>Spillway Design Flood</u>. According to the criteria established by the Office of the Chief of Engineers (OCE), the Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for the size (small) and hazard potential (high) of Larsen Dam is between one-half of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) and the PMF. Based on the small height of the dam and the downstream conditions, the 1/2 PMF is selected as the SDF for Larsen Dam. The watershed and reservoir were modeled with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers HEC-1DB computer program. A description of the model is included in Appendix D. The assessment of hydrology and hydraulics is based on existing conditions, and the effects of future development are not considered.

(2) <u>Summary of Results</u>. Pertinent results are tabulated at the end of Appendix D. The analysis reveals that the existing Larsen Dam can pass about 12 percent of the PMF before overtopping of the dam occurs. If the low areas on the top of the dam were raised to the design elevation, the dam could pass 16 percent of the PMF. During the 1/2 PMF, the dam would be overtopped by 3.6 feet for 19 hours. This would cause the dam to fail.

(3) <u>Spillway Adequacy</u>. The criteria used to rate the spillway adequacy of a dam are described in Appendix D. Because Larsen Dam cannot pass the 1/2 PMF, further analysis was performed. The outflow from Larsen Dam was routed though Lake Lehigh and over Lake Lehigh Dam. Larsen Dam passes the 5 percent PMF with 1.4 feet of freeboard. The outflow from Larsen Dam during the 5 percent PMF will cause Lake Lehigh to overtop by 0.7 foot for 17.8 hours. The Phase I Report for Lake Lehigh Dam indicates that it would start failing during an overtopping of 0.5 foot. Therefore, it was judged that dwellings downstream would already be flooded by the failure of Lake Lehigh Dam before Larsen Dam started to fail. The spillway capacity of Larsen Dam is rated as inadequate. The Commonwealth is presently reviewing plans for the rehabilitation of Lake Lehigh Dam. The plans include increasing the spillway capacity of Lake Lehigh Dam to pass the 1/2 PMF. When the rehabilitation is completed, the existing spillway capacity of Larsen Dam would pose more of a hazard to Lake Lehigh Dam and the dwellings downstream.

#### SECTION 6

#### STRUCTURAL STABILITY

#### 6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability.

a. Visual Observations.

(1) <u>General</u>. The visual inspection of Larsen Dam, which is described in Section 3, resulted in a number of observations relevant to structural stability. These observations are evaluated herein for the various features.

(2) Embankment. The growth of brush on the embankment slopes will eventually create a potential hazard to the dam. The root systems create potential paths along which seepage can develop. The exposed corewall is an undesirable condition. Although the amount of exposure does not create a stability hazard under normal conditions, a small amount of overtopping would cause rapid progressive erosion that would probably result in failure of the dam. The crack in the corewall is also undesirable because of the leakage that would occur under high pool levels. The bare areas at the right abutment would allow erosion to occur rapidly if the dam were overtopped. The burrowing animal hole located on the downstream slope is minor but should be filled. The wet area at the toe to the left of the spillway is considered to be relatively minor but warrants monitoring.

(3) <u>Appurtement Structures</u>. The deficiencies at the spillway, a crack in the right approach wall and surficial deterioration of the concrete, are maintenance problems that do not constitute significant hazard to the dam. Nothing was observed at the outlet works that constitutes a hazard to the dam.

b. <u>Design and Construction Data</u>. No stability analyses are available for the embankment or spillway. Based on review of the sections that are available, the stability of the dam is judged to be adequate for its original design conditions.

c. <u>Operating Records</u>. There are no formal records of operation. No stability problems are known to have occurred since the dam was constructed in 1910.

d. <u>Post-construction Changes</u>. There have been no post-construction changes to the dam.

e. <u>Seismic Stability</u>. Larsen Dam is located is Seismic Zone 1. Normally, it can be considered that if a dam in this zone has adequate factors of safety under static loading conditions, it can be assumed safe for any expected earthquake loading. Since the factors of safety are assumed to be adequate, the dam is also assumed to be stable for any expected earthquake loading.

.

#### SECTION 7

#### ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND

#### PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES

#### 7.1 Dam Assessment

#### a. <u>Safety</u>.

(1) Based on available records, visual inspection, calculations, and past operational performance, Larsen Dam is judged to be in fair condition. Based on the size and hazard classification of the dam, the recommended SDF varies between the 1/2 PMF and the PMF. The selected SDF for the dam is the 1/2 PMF. Based on existing conditions, the spillway will pass about 12 percent of the PMF without overtopping of the dam. If the low areas on the top of the dam were filled to the design elevation, the spillway would pass 16 percent of the PMF. It is judged that Larsen Dam could not withstand the depth and duration of overtopping that would occur during the 1/2 PMF. However, it is judged that a high hazard dam located immediately downstream would fail due to overtopping prior to the overtopping failure of Larsen Dam. Therefore, the spillway capacity of Larsen Dam is rated as inadequate.

(2) No immediate stability problems were evident at the time of the inspection, but deficiencies do exist that could eventually affect the stability of the dam and appurtenances if they are not corrected.

(3) Maintenance of the dam is considered inadequate.

(4) A summary of the features and observed deficiencies is isted below:

# FeatureObserved DeficiencyEmbankment:Low areas on top; brush on slopes;<br/>surface erosion; corewall exposed<br/>and cracked; missing riprap;<br/>burrowing animal hole; wet area

Spillway: Crack in approach wall; concrete deteriorated.

Outlet Works: Crack in intake structure; operating mechanisms rusted.

at toe.

b. <u>Adequacy of Information</u>. The information available is such that an assessment of the condition of the dam can be inferred from the combination of visual inspection, past performance, and computations performed prior to and as part of this study.

c. <u>Urgency</u>. The recommendations in Paragraph 7.2 should be implemented without delay.

d. <u>Necessity for Further Investigations</u>. Accomplishment of the remedial measures outlined in Paragraph 7.2, will require further investigations by the Owner.

7.2 Recommendations and Remedial Measures.

a. The following studies and remedial measures are recommended to be undertaken by the Owner, in approximate order of priority, without delay:

(1) Design and construct modifications as required to provide adequate spillway capacity.

(2) If the design for spillway modifications does not include provisions for repair of the corewall and replacement of missing embankment material, separate measures should be designed and constructed to accomplish those items.

(3) Remove brush from the dam, replace missing riprap, and fill the burrowing animal hole with impervious material.

(4) Visually monitor the wet area at the toe of the dam. Take appropriate action as required if the condition worsens.

All investigations, studies, designs, and inspection of construction should be performed by a professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of dams.

b. In addition, the Owner should institute the following operational and maintenance procedures:

(1) Develop a detailed emergency operation and warning system for Larsen Dam. When warnings of a storm of major proportions are given by the National Weather Service, the Owner should activate his emergency operation and warning system.

(2) During periods of unusually heavy rains, provide round-the-clock surveillance of Larsen Dam.

(3) As presently required by the Commonwealth, institute a program of formal annual inspections by a professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of dams. Utilize the inspection results to determine if remedial measures are necessary.

١

(4) Expand the existing maintenance program and develop a formal maintenance manual so that all features of the dam are properly maintained.

APPENDIX A

CHECKLIST - ENGINEERING DATA

CHECKLIST

ENGINEERING DATA

NAME OF DAM: Larsen Dam

NDI ID NO.: PA-00347 DER ID NO.: 35-30

DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, AND OPERATION PHASE I

Sheet 1 of 4

ITEM	REMARKS
AS-BUILT DRAWINGS	One drawing cvailable in PennDER files. Pertinent sections reproduced on Plate E-2 in Appendix E.
REGIONAL VICINITY MAP	See Location Map , Plate E-1.
CONSTRUCTION HISTORY	Constructed 1910 by Scranton Gas and Water Company. Originally known as Gruldsboro Dam, later as Johnson Pond Dan. No significant madifications since. 1910.
TYPICAL SECTIONS OF DAM	See Plate E-2.
OUTILETS: Plan Details Constraints Discharge Ratings	See Plate E-2 for plan and defails. No dischange ratings available.

ENGINEERING DATA

Sheet 2 of 4

ITEM	REMARKS
RAINFALL/RESERVOIR RECORDS	Nome available.
DESIGN REPORTS	Description of design contained in 1915 report prepared by the Communealth.
geology reports	Geologic information contained in 1915 report and shown on Plate E-2. For general geology, see Appendix F.
DESIGN COMPUTATIONS: Hydrology and Hydraulics Dam Stability Seepage Sturlies	None.
MATERIALS INVESTIGATIONS: Boring Records Laboratory Field	Described in 1915 Report.
POSTCONSTRUCTION SURVEYS OF DAM	None.

A-2

ENGINEERING DATA

Sheet 3 of 4

١

ITEM	REMARCS
BORROW SOURCES	Berrow obtained locally.
MONITORING SYSTEMS	Nane .
MODIFICATIONS	None reported.
HIGH POOL RECORDS	None .
POSTCONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING STUDIES AND REPORTS	Nere.
PRIOR ACCIDENTS OR FAILURE OF DAM: Description Reports	None reported.

**ENGINEERING DATA** 

فمخفط أقسفن وسعيدها أنقدهم أسليط

Sheet 4 of

ENGINEEKING DATA	
ITEM	REMARKS
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION RECORDS	None .
SPILLWAY: Plan Sections Details	See Plate E-2 .
OPERATING EQUIPMENT: Plans Details	See blate E-Z.
PREVIOUS INSPECTIONS Dates Deficiencies	1914: Good Condition. 1938: Erosion of embankment at rt. abutment. 1957: Fair condition.

and the second sec

74

*P*-4

1.0

# APPENDIX B

# CHECKLIST - VISUAL INSPECTION

and the second second

Antonia an ana Alizza

CHECKLIST VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I	Name of Dam: <u>Larsen Dam</u> County: <u>Lackauzane, Wayne</u> State: <u>Pr.msylvaria</u> NDI ID No.: <u>PA-00367</u> DER ID No.: <u>35-30</u> Type of Dam: <u>Earthfill with Amerake Corewall</u> Hazard Category: <u>High</u> Date(s) Inspection: <u>2 June 1981</u> Weather: <u>Light rain</u> Temperature: <u>65</u>	Pool Elevation at Time of Inspection: <u>1882, 8</u> msl/Tailwater at Time of Inspection: <u>1877.9</u> msl	Inspection Personnel: <u>A. H. Whitmen (GFUC)</u> <u>D. K. Ebersele (GFUC)</u>	D.B. Wilson (GEGC) Recorder
---	--	---	--	-----------------------------

8-1

EMBANKMENT

Sheet 1 of 2

۱

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SURFACE CRACKS	None apparent on embankment. 19 <sup>11</sup> wide near-vertical crack in corewall approx. 15: right of spillwaw.	Portions of embankment obsured by brush.
UNUSUAL MOVEMENT OR CRACKING AT OR BEYOND THE TOE	None.	
SLOUGHING OR EROSION: Embankment Slopes Abutment Slopes	Downstream slope: length of core wall exposed passibly due to erasion during avertantings general surface broston on upstream slope: minor krostam. upstream slope: minor krostam.	Maximum exposed height of corewall is 9.5'. Hopprox. 75' length is exposed. See Sheet 8'10 for section.
CREST ALIGNMENT: Vertical Horizontal	Horizental - no deficiencies. Vertical - irregular; see Sheet B-9	
RIPRAP PAILURES	Missing riprap over 3'x2' area zo' left of intake.	

8-2

EMBANKWF

Bheet \_\_\_\_\_

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
IUNCTION OF EMBANKMENT WITH: Abutment Spillway Other Features	Surface erosion at various locations as described previously.	
ANY NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE	Approx. 5' diameter wet area at toe to left ef spillway.	Source indeterminate. Does not appear to be Serious hazard.
STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER	None-	
DRAINS	- Juar	-
BKUSH / TREES / '115C .	Brush on upstream slope both sides of spillaay. Brush on downstream slope left of spillway.	Che burrowing onimal hele on D15 slope to left of spillway.

8-3

2

OUTLET WORKS

And in case of the local division of the loc

والمراكبة والقاران والمعارك مرابعها والمستنبع

# Sheet 1 of 1

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CRACKING AND SPALLING OF CONCRETE SURFACES IN OUTLET CONDUIT	Twin 24-inch diameter cast-iron outlet conduits submurged.	
INTAKE STRUCTURE	Concrete Structure; bar screen at intake; lange vertral crack in intake structure.	Concrete deteriorated at upstream end of approach wall.
OUTLET STRUCTURE	Submerged.	
OUTLET CHANNEL	Stream chennel; large pool at outlet area.	
EMERGENCY GATE	Two slide gates at intake Structure. Two gate valves in manhales on platform.	Equipment rusty but in fair condition. Quner reported values operated I week prior to inspection.

B-4

UNGATED SPILLWAY

Sheet 1 of 1

L

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CONCRETE WEIR	Submerged . No readily apparent deficiencies.	
APPROACH CHANNEL	Reserveir; no obstructions. One crack in right approach wal near upstream and.	
DISCHARGE CHANNEL	Surficial deterioration & concrete outlet evanuel walls.	
BRIDGE AND PIERS	None .	

8-5
**INSTRUMENTATION** 

Sheet 1 of 1

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
MONUMENTATION/SURVEYS	None .	
OBSERVATION WELLS	None.	
weirs	None .	
PIEZOMETERS	None .	
OTHER	None .	

8-6

## DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL

## Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

CONDITION: Obstructions Debris Other SLOPES Var	No obstructions or debrie.	
	•	
	Vary from miki to steep; brush- covered.	
APPRCXTMATE NUMBER OF Lake HOMES AND POPULATION 2.7 n dwell	Lake lehîgh Dam Iocafed 2.7 mile downstream Sevend Gwellings 1.7 miles from dam -	Lake Lehigh Dani inspected October 1980 and classified as high hazard.

1. 1. C. L.

B-7

# RESERVOIR AND WATERSHED

### Sheet 1 of 1

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
STOPS	Mild to moderate slopas surrounding reserverr. Mostly wooded.	
SEDIMENTATION	LInknown.	
WATERSHED DESCRIPTION	Five dams and several small ponds in watershed. Watershed mostly wooded.	See Appendix D for description of up:tream dams.

8-8







APPENDIX\_C PHOTOGRAPHS

£.,



A. Embankment and Spillway



B. Exposed Corewall and Surface Erosion Near Right Abutment

C-1



C. Crack in Corewall



D. Embankment Section to Left of Spillway





E. Spillway and Intake Structure







G. Embankment in Reservoir Area



H. Lake Lehigh Dam - Located 0.7 Mile Downstream

C-4



APPENDIX D

### HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS

### APPENDIX D

### HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS

Spillway Capacity Rating:

In the recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, the Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers (OCE), established criteria for rating the capacity of spillways. The recommended Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for the size (small, intermediate, or large) and hazard potential (low, significant, or high) classification of a dam is selected in accordance with the criteria. The SDF for those dams in the high hazard category varies between one-half of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) and the PMF. If the dam and spillway are noz capable of passing the SDF without overtopping failure, the spillway capacity is rated as inadequate. If the dam and spillway are capable of passing one-half of the PMF without overtopping failure, or if the dam is not in the high hazard category, the spillway capacity is not rated as seriously inadequate. A spillway capacity is rated as seriously inadequate if all of the following conditions exist:

(a) There is a high hazard to loss of life from large flows downstream of the dam.

(b) Dam failure resulting from overtopping would significantly increase the hazard to loss of life downstream from the dam from that which would exist just before overtopping failure.

(c) The dam and spillway are not capable of passing one-half of the PMF without overtopping failure.

Description of Model:

If the Owner has not developed a PMF for the dam, the watershed is modeled with the HEC-1DB computer program, which was developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The HEC-1DB computer program calculates a PMF runoff hydrograph (and percentages thereof) and routes the flows through both reservoirs and stream sections. In addition, it has the capability to simulate an overtopping dam failure. By modifying the rainfall criteria, it is also possible to model the 100year flood with the program.

### APPENDIX D

DELAWARE	River	Basin
Name of Stream: LEHIGH RIVER		
Name of Dam: LARSEN		
NDI ID No.: PA-00367		
DER ID No.: 35-30		
Latitude: <u>N 41° 15.1'</u> Longitude: <u>N 75</u>	• 27.	57
Top of Dam Elevation: 885.7		
Streambed Elevation: 1874.0 Height of Dam:	11.7	ft
Reservoir Storage at Top of Dam Elevation: 30		cre-ft
Size Category: SMALL		
	Sectio	(n 5)
Spillway Design Flood: VARIES 1/2 PMF TO	PMI	
SELECT V2 PMF		

### UPSTREAM DAMS

from at top of Dam Height Dam Elevation <u>Name (miles) (ft) (acre-ft) Rer</u> CRYSTAL	narks
<u>Name (miles) (ft) (acre-ft)</u> Ren	
APVSTAL	
× LAKE 3.8 13 755 DERID	64-6
NONDIKE 0.9 14 181 DERID	64-175
KONDIKE 0.6 18 219 DERID	64-175
* WATAWGA 1.5 12 654 DERID	64-38
* GOULDSBORD 1.7 18 1500 DERID	4-148
DOWNSTREAM DAMS	
LEHIGH 0.7 10 163 DERIDO	4-51
Except FOR LARSEN DAM,	
DATA HEREIN OBTAINED FROM PHASE .	I

Reports FOR the various dams.

\* Phase I Report PREVIOUSLY prepared.

a hard a strength of the stren

DELAWARE River Basin									
Name of Stream: <u>LEHIGH RIVER</u> RIVER									
Name of Dam: LARSEN									
DETERMINATION OF PMF RAINFALL & UNIT HYDROGRAPH									
	UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA:								
	Drainage	1							
Sub-	Area	Ср	Ct	L	Lca	1 L'	Тр	Map	Plate
area	(square			miles	miles	miles	hours	Area	
	miles)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
A-1	2.57	0.45	2.1	2.08	0.61	N/A	2.26	2	B
A-2	3.88	0.45	2.1	N/A	N/A	2.40	3.55	2	В
A-3	0.42	0.45	2.1	1.89	0.70	N/A	2.28	2	5
<u>A-4</u>	0.77	0.45	2.1	N/A	N/A	0.59	1.53	2	В
A-5	469	0.45	2.1	3.41	2.03	N/A ·	3.75	2	B
A-6	0.17	0.45	2.1	N/A	N/A	0.40	1.21	2	B
<u>A-7</u>	2.89	0.45	2.1	2.69	1.01	NA	2.83	2	B
			L						
Total	15.39				on She				
	(1) & (2)								lied by
	Baltin	nore	lstr	ict, Co	rpsof	Enginee	rs on m	naps ar	nd
	plates referenced in (7) & (8) The following are measured from the outlet of the subarea:								
									parea:
	(3): Leng								
	(4): Length of main watercourse to the centroid								
	The following is measured from the upstream end of the								
	reservoir at normal pool: (5): Length of main watercourse extended to divide (6): $Tp=C_t \times (L \times L_{cq})^{0+3}$ , except where the centroid of								
	(5): Leng	zin or	mai	n water	course	extende		viae	
	(b): Tp=(	υ <sub>τ</sub> χ (	LX	Lca	J, exce	pr wher	e the c	entro:	la or
	the subar Tp=C <sub>t</sub> x (1		foca	ted in	the res	ervoir.	Then		
<b>*</b> d d	$Tp=C_{F} \times (1)$				- 6 - 1				
	al flow is						>		
Compu	ter Data:				D% OI P	eak IIC	w)		
			R =		<b>TA</b> .				
			RAIN	FALL DA	<u>TA:</u>			1.	
Prit R	ainfall In	naex=_	_ 21		24 h	IT., 200	sq. mi	.T6	
			(		et. 40 ina Basi				
7			(Su	-		) (00	ner bas	sins)	
Zone:	anhia Adi.			N/	A				
Geogr	aphic Adju Factor:	ISCHIEL	16	NII	4		1.0		
Pouria	ed Index		_				1.0		
	nfall:			NI	4		21.9		
Nat			חזס	TOTRITT	<u>ON</u> (per	ant T			
	NA.	LUPALL	Time		Percen				
		-	6 ho						
		1	2 ho		106				
			$\frac{2}{4}$ ho		129	_			
			13 ho		138	<del>_</del>			
			2 ho			<b>_</b>			
						<b></b>			
	96 hours								



Data for Dam at Outlet of Subarea A-/ (See sketch on Sheet D-4)

Name of Dam:	- WATAWGA	PAM					
STORAGE DATA: TALEN FROM PHASE I REPORT							
FOR LAKE WATAWAA DAM							
Elevation	Area (acres)	Stora million gals	acre-ft	Remarks			
<u>/909.4</u> =ELEVO* <u>/920.0</u> =ELEV1 <u>/921.6</u>	0 <u>125</u> =A1 <u>140</u>	0 _/44 _2/3	0 <u>442</u> =S1 <u>654</u>	STREAM BEP NORMAL POOL TOP OF DAM			
	376						
	-						
				······			

\* ELEVO = ELEV1 -  $(3S_1/A_1)$ 

\*\* Planimetered contour at least 10 feet above top of dam

Reservoir Area at Normal Pool is <u>&</u>percent of subarea watershed.

### BREACH DATA: BREACH AWALYSIS NOT REQUIRED

See Appendix B for sections and existing profile of the dam.

Soil Type from Visual Inspection:

Maximum Permissible Velocity (Plate 28, EM 1110-2-1601) fps (from Q =  $CLH^{3/2} = V \cdot A$  and depth = (2/3) x H) & A = L \cdot depth

 $HMAX = (4/9 V^2/C^2) = ____ft., C = ___Top of Dam El.=___$ 

HMAX + Top of Dam El. = = FAILEL (Above is elevation at which failure would start)

Dam Breach Data:



and the same is a subscription of the second state of the second second second second second second second second

Data for Dam at Outlet of Subarea_	<u>A-1</u>	
Name of Dam: <u>LAKE WATAWGA</u> DA	M	
SPILLWAY DATA: FROM PHASE I REPORT		Design
FOR LAKE WATAWGA DAM (1980) -	Conditions	Conditions
Top of Dam Elevation	1921.6	(N/A)
Spillway Crest Elevation	1920.0	
Spillway Head Available (ft)	1.6	
Type Spillway	CONCRETE WEIR- FR	E OVERFALL
"C" Value - Spillway	<u>3./</u>	
Crest Length - Spillway (ft)	51.0	
Spillway Peak Discharge (cfs)	320	<u> </u>
Auxiliary Spillway Crest Elev.		
Auxiliary Spill. Head Avail. (ft)		
Type Auxiliary Spillway "C" Value - Auxiliary Spill. (ft)		
		<u></u>
Crest Length - Auxil. Spill. (ft)		
<u>Auxiliary Spillway</u> Peak Discharge (cfs)		
<u>Combined Spillway</u> Discharge (cfs)		
Spillway Rating Curve: $Q = CLH^{1.5}$	: 158 H 1.5	
Q Au	axiliary	
Elevation Q Spillway (cfs) Spil		ned (cfs)
<u></u>	=	
······································		
	······································	
		<u></u> · ·
OUTLET WORKS RATING: Outlet 1	<u>Outlet 2</u> Ou	<u>itlet 3</u>
	UTLET WORKS)	
Invert of Inlet	- <u></u>	
Type		
Diameter (ft) = D		
Length $(ft) = L$	······································	
Area (sq. ft) = A $\Box$	•	
N		
K Entrance		
K Exit		
K Friction=29.1 $N^{2}L/R^{4/3}$		
Sum of K $(1/K)^{0.5} = C$	<u> </u>	
$\operatorname{Maximum} \frac{\operatorname{Head} (ft)}{2\pi (\operatorname{HM}) (off)} = \operatorname{HM}$		
$Q = CA \sqrt{2g(HM)(cfs)}$ $Q Combined (cfs)$		
	<u></u>	<u></u>

Data for Dam at Outlet of Subarea<u>A-2</u> (See sketch on Sheet D-4) Name of Dam: <u>Gouloseoro</u> DAM

STORAGE DATA: FROM PHASE I REPORT FOR GOULDS BORD DAM

		Stor	age	
Elevation	Area <u>(acres)</u>	million gals	<u>acre-ft</u>	Remarks
-ELEVO - <u>/894.0</u> -ELEV1 - <u>/900.0</u> - <u>/9/0.0</u>	0 <u></u> A1	0 355 1825 3046	0 1089 =S1 5601 9348	NORMAL FOOL
		- <u></u>		
	·			
۵. <u></u>		·		<del>ها ۲۰۰۰ نور است. ان</del>
<del></del>				

\* ELEVO = ELEV1 -  $(3S_1/A_1)$ 

\*\* Planimetered contour at least 10 feet above top of dam

Reservoir Area at Normal Pool is <u>/o</u> percent of subarea watershed.

BREACH DATA: BREACH ANALYSIS NOT REQUIRED

See Appendix B for sections and existing profile of the dam.

Soil Type from Visual Inspection:

Maximum Permissible Velocity (Plate 28, EM 1110-2-1601) \_\_\_\_\_fps (from Q =  $CLH^{3/2} = V \cdot A$  and depth = (2/3) x H) & A = L \cdot depth

HMAX =  $(4/9 \ V^2/C^2)$  = \_\_\_\_\_ft., C = \_\_\_\_Top of Dam El.=\_\_\_\_

HMAX + Top of Dam El. = FAILEL (Above is elevation at which failure would start)

Dam Breach Data:



D-7

Data for Dam at Outlet of Subarea_	A-2	
Name of Dam: <u>Goulds Borg</u> DAM		
SPILLWAY DATA: FROM PHASE I REPORT FOR GOULD'S BORD	Existing Conditions	Design Conditions
Top of Dam Elevation Spillway Crest Elevation Spillway Head Available (ft) Type Spillway "C" Value - Spillway Crest Length - Spillway (ft) <u>Spillway</u> Peak Discharge (cfs) Auxiliary Spillway Crest Elev. Auxiliary Spill. Head Avail. (ft) Type Auxiliary Spillway "C" Value - Auxiliary Spill. (ft) Crest Length - Auxil. Spill. (ft) Crest Length - Auxil. Spill. (ft) <u>Auxiliary Spillway</u> Peak Discharge (cfs) <u>Combined Spillway</u> Discharge (cfs)	<u>     (90/.7</u> <u>1894.0</u> <u>7.7</u> <u>CONCESTE D/</u> <u>2.85</u> <u>7.3.25 (IN/3)</u> <u>1895.5</u> <u>6.2</u> <u>OPEN CHANNANANANANANANANA</u> <u>130 (BOTTO)</u>	Conditions (N/A) (
Q Au	ABOVE         2.5         Fee           1xiliary         .1way (cfs)         Cor	
OUTLET WORKS RATING:Outlet 1Invert of Outlet $(N/A)$ Invert of Inlet	Outlet 2         (M/k)	Outlet 3         (N/A)

D-9

4......

Data for Dam at Outlet of Subarea A-4 (See sketch on Sheet D-4)

Name of Dam:	RYSTAL LAKE	PAM					
STORAGE DATA: TAKEN FROM PHASE I REPORT FOR CRYSTAL LAKE DAM							
Elevation	Area <u>(acres)</u>	Store million gals	age acre-ft	Remarks			
<u>2046.9</u> =ELEVO* <u>2055.9</u> =ELEV1 <u>2058.4</u> <u>2060.0</u> <u>2080.0</u> **	0 _/38 =A1 _/52 _/44 259	0 <u>/30</u> 246 328 1694	0 <u>399</u> =S1 <u>754</u> <u>1007</u> <u>5200</u>	NOEMAL POOL. TOP OF DAM			
			·				
		<u> </u>					

\* ELEVO = ELEV1 -  $(3S_1/A_1)$ 

**\*\*** Planimetered contour at least 10 feet above top of dam

Reservoir Area at Normal Pool is <u>27</u> percent of subarea watershed.

BREACH DATA: BREACH ANALYSIS NOT REQUIRED

See Appendix B for sections and existing profile of the dam.

Soil Type from Visual Inspection:

Maximum Permissible Velocity (Plate 28, EM 1110-2-1601) \_\_\_\_\_fps (from Q =  $CLH^{3/2} = V \cdot A$  and depth = (2/3) x H) & A = L depth

HMAX =  $(4/9 \ V^2/C^2)$  = \_\_\_\_\_ft., C = \_\_\_\_Top of Dam E1.=\_\_\_\_

HMAX + Top of Dam El. = = FAILEL (Above is elevation at which failure would start)

the second states and states and

Dam Breach Data:



Data for Dam at Outlet of Subarea A-4Name of Dam: CRYSTAL LAKE DAM FROM PHASE I REPORT SPILLWAY DATA: Existing Design FOR CRYSTAL LAKE Conditions Conditions DAM (1981) (N/A) Top of Dam Elevation 2058.4 Spillway Crest Elevation 2055.9 Spillway Head Available (ft) 2.5 Type Spillway BROAD - CRESTED CONCRETE "C" Value - Spillway 2.7 29.5 Crest Length - Spillway (ft) Spillway Peak Discharge (cfs) 315 Auxiliary Spillway Crest Elev. Auxiliary Spill. Head Avail. (ft) Type Auxiliary Spillway "C" Value - Auxiliary Spill. (ft) Crest Length - Auxil. Spill. (ft) Auxiliary Spillway Peak Discharge (cfs) Combined Spillway Discharge (cfs) Spillway Rating Curve: Q = CLH "5 = 79.7 H "5 Q Auxiliary Spillway (cfs) Combined (cfs) Elevation Q Spillway (cfs) OUTLET WORKS RATING: Outlet 1 Outlet 2 Outlet 3 (N/A) Invert of Outlet Invert of Inlet Туре Diameter (ft) = DLength (ft) = LArea (sq. ft) = AN K Entrance K Exit K Friction=29.1<sub>N</sub><sup>2</sup>L/R<sup>4/3</sup>  $\sup_{(1/K)} of_{K} K = C$ Maximum <u>Head</u> (ft) = HM Q =  $CA \sqrt{2g(HM)(cfs)}$ Q Combined (cfs)

Data for Dam at Outlet of Subarea<u>A-5</u> (See sketch on Sheet D-4) Name of Dam: <u>UPPER KLONDIKE DAM</u>

STORAGE DATA: FROM PHASE I REPORT FOR LOWER KLONDIKE DAM

2000	er klondike	DAM Store	age	
Elevation	Area (acres)	million gals	icre-ft	Remarks
<u>/892.6</u> =ELEVO* <u>/902.0</u> =ELEV1 <u>/906.6</u> <u>/920.0</u> **	0 =A1  	0 _ <u>20</u> _ <u>59</u> _ <u>297</u>	0 <u>63</u> =S1 <u>101</u> 910	STREAMBER *** TOP OF DAM
	·····			
+				

\* ELEVO = ELEV1 -  $(3S_1/A_1)$ 

\*\* Planimetered contour at least 10 feet above top of dam \*\* From Design Drawing=

Reservoir Area at Normal Pool is / percent of subarea watershed.

### BREACH DATA: BREACH ANALYSIS NOT REQUIRED

See Appendix B for sections and existing profile of the dam. Soil Type from Visual Inspection: Maximum Permissible Velocity (Plate 28, EM 1110-2-1601) \_\_\_\_\_fps (from Q =  $CLH^{3/2} = V \cdot A$  and depth = (2/3) x H) & A = L depth

HMAX =  $(4/9 \ V^2/C^2)$  = \_\_\_\_\_ft., C = \_\_\_\_Top of Dam El.=\_\_\_\_

HMAX + Top of Dam El. = = FAILEL (Above is elevation at which failure would start)

Dam Breach Data:



A State of the second second

Data for Dam at Outlet of Subarea_	<u>A-5</u>	
Name of Dam: UPPER KLOWDIKE DA	M	
SPILLWAY DATA: FOR LOWER KLONDIKE	Existing Conditions	Design Conditions
CAMM (1980)Top of Dam ElevationSpillway Crest ElevationSpillway Head Available (ft)Type Spillway"C" Value - SpillwayCrest Length - Spillway (ft)Spillway Peak Discharge (cfs)Auxiliary Spillway Crest Elev.Auxiliary Spillway"C" Value - Auxiliary Spill. (ft)Crest Length - Auxil. Spill. (ft)Auxiliary SpillwayPeak Discharge (cfs)Combined Spillway Discharge (cfs)Spillway Rating Curve: $Q = CLH^{LS}$ Q AuElevation Q Spillway (cfs) Spiil	$   \begin{array}{r} 1906.6 \\                                   $	
OUTLET WORKS RATING:Outlet 1Invert of Outlet $(\mathcal{M}/A)$ Invert of Inlet $(\mathcal{M}/A)$ <	Outlet 2	Outlet 3

D-12

Data for Dam at Outlet of Subarea A-6 (See sketch on Sheet D-4)

Name of Dam: Lowe	ER KLONDIKE	DAM		
STINAL W HATA	1 PHASE I REP R KLONDIKE D			
	•	Store	ige	
Elevation	Area (acres)	million gals	acre-ft	Remarks
1882.3 =ELEVO*	0	0	0	
1895.1 =ELEV1	<u> </u>	_3/	<u>94</u> =S1	<u>A1 FROM DESIGN</u> DRAWINGS
1899.6	34	71	218	TOP OF DAM
1900.0	_35		233	DESIGN TOP
1920.0 **	150	635	1949	OF PAM
		·····		
	ما معاد الحي العالي العالي			

\* ELEVO = ELEV1 -  $(3S_1/A_1)$ 

\*\* Planimetered contour at least 10 feet above top of dam

Reservoir Area at Normal Pool is <u>20</u> percent of subarea watershed.

BREACH DATA: BREACH ANALYSIS NOT REQUIRED

See Appendix B for sections and existing profile of the dam.

Soil Type from Visual Inspection:

Maximum Permissible Velocity (Plate 28, EM 1110-2-1601) \_\_\_\_\_fps (from Q =  $CLH^{3/2} = V \cdot A$  and depth = (2/3) x H) & A = L \cdot depth

HMAX =  $(4/9 \ V^2/C^2)$  = \_\_\_\_\_ft., C = \_\_\_\_Top of Dam E1.=\_\_\_\_

HMAX + Top of Dam El. = = FAILEL (Above is elevation at which failure would start)

Dam Breach Data:



Data for Dam at Outlet of Subarea <u>A-6</u>
Name of Dam: LOWER KLONDIKE DAM
SPILLWAY DATA: FOR LOWER KLOWDIKE Conditions Conditions
DAM
Spillway Crest Elevation
Spillway Head Available (11) Type Spillway BROAD-CRESTED CONCRETE
"C" Value - Spillway (ft) $\frac{2.7}{38}$ $\frac{38}{38}$
Spillway Peak Discharge (CIS)
Auxiliary Spillway Crest Elev.
Type Auxiliary Spillway "C" Value - Auxiliary Spill. (ft)
Crest Length - Auxil. Spill. (ft)
Peak Discharge (cfs)       Combined Spillway Discharge (cfs)
Spillway Rating Curve: $Q = CLH^{1.5} = 373 H^{1.5}$ O Auxiliary
Spillway Rating Curve: Q Auxiliary Q Auxiliary
Elevation Q Spillway (cfs) Spillway (cfs) Combined (cfs)
OUTLET WORKS RATING: Outlet 1 Outlet 2 Outlet 3
Invert of Outlet (N/A)
Invert of Inlet
Diameter (ft) = D
Area (sq. ft) = A $$
K Entrance
K Friction=29.1 $N^2L/R^{4/3}$
$     sum of K = C \qquad \qquad$
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Maximum Head (ft) = HM} \\ \text{Q = CA / 2g(HM)(cfs)} \end{array}$
Q Combined (cfs)

D-14

....

Data for Dam at Outlet of Subarea <u>4-7</u> (See sketch on Sheet D-4) Name of Dam: LARSEN

STORAGE DATA:

\*\*

Area			
(acres)	gals	acre-ft	Remarks
0 63,5=A1	0 80.2896	0 	OWNER DATA
105		504 581	Top DAm
180			
·			
	(acres) 0 63.5 -A1 105 116	Area (acres)million gals0063.5-A1 $105$ $116$	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} (acres) & gals & acre-ft \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 63.5 = A1 & B0.2B96 & 246.5 = S1 \\ \hline 105 & \hline 504 \\ \hline 116 & 581 \\ \hline \end{array}$

\* ELEVO = ELEV1 -  $(3S_1/A_1)$ 

Planimetered contour at least 10 feet above top of dam Lintepolymen Reservoir Area at Normal Pool is <u>3</u> percent of subarea watershed.

### BREACH DATA: NOT USED

See Appendix B for sections and existing profile of the dam. Soil Type from Visual Inspection: Maximum Permissible Velocity (Plate 28, EM 1110-2-1601) fps

Maximum Permissible Velocity (Plate 28, EM 1110-2-1601) \_\_\_\_\_fps (from Q =  $CLH^{3/2} = V \cdot A$  and depth = (2/3) x H) & A = L · depth

HMAX =  $(4/9 \ V^2/C^2)$  = \_\_\_\_\_ft., C = \_\_\_\_Top of Dam E1.=\_\_\_\_

HMAX + Top of Dam El. = FAILEL (Above is elevation at which failure would start)

Dam Breach Data:



Data for Dam at Outlet of Subarea 4-7 Name of Dam: LABSEN Q = CLH1.5 SPILLWAY DATA: Existing Design Conditions Conditions Top of Dam Elevation 1885.7 1886.4 Spillway Crest Elevation 1882.6 1882.6 Spillway Head Available (ft) 3.8 3 Type Spillway ONCRETE GRAVIN ROUND CREST "C" Value - Spillway 3.4 3.# Crest Length - Spillway (ft) 70.0 70.0 Spillway Peak Discharge (cfs) 299 763 Auxiliary Spillway Crest Elev. Auxiliary Spill. Head Avail. (ft) Type Auxiliary Spillway "C" Value - Auxiliary Spill. (ft) Crest Length - Auxil. Spill. (ft) Auxiliary Spillway Peak Discharge (cfs) Combined Spillway Discharge (cfs) 1.299 763 71 \* KINGS HANDBOOK FIG Spillway Rating Curve: Q Auxiliary Elevation Q Spillway (cfs) <u>Spillway (cfs)</u> Combined (cfs) OUTLET WORKS RATING: Outlet 1 Outlet 2 Outlet 3 Invert of Outlet 1874.0 874.0 Invert of Inlet 1874+ 1874+ Type CIP 2 CIĨ Diameter (ft) = D2 Length (ft) = L24 24 Area (sq. ft) = A3.14 314 Ν 014 014 K Entrance 0.5 0. K Exit 1.0 1.0 K Friction=29.1 $N^{2}L/R^{4}/3$ 7.7 34 न्वंप Sum of K  $(1/K)^{0.5} = C$ 94 74 74 Maximum <u>Head</u> (ft) = HM  $Q = CA \sqrt{2g(HM)(cfs)}$ 8+1-3+1-43 53 Q Combined (cfs) 106 2110



and the second second

2000 1943 0.27 2017 1204 554 20 0 3 SUB-AREA RUNDFF BELOW WATANGA GOULDSBDR0 JOMMSOM (SWAF POND) 1 1 0.42 15,39 21,9 106 119 129 138 4.0 7.06 B 0.45 5 -0.05 2.0 2 3 5 COMBINE SUB-AREA SNAC POND WITH WATANGA COULDS 00161.0W 0 1 1 0.77 LAKE 15,39 1 1 0.77 119 129 138 1.0 0.05 1 21,9 106 119 129 138 1.0 0.05 0.05 2000 1943 2017 ROUTE DOWNSTREAM TO KLONDIKE POND-STREAM SECTION 2.1 -2055.9 0°004 1964 -1 0.007 546 0.004 4000 2002 2030 7600 1945 1980 2000.0 2030.0 2020 1195 2020 2000 1943 a0 1980 a0 1960 545 1960 1050 U 6 10 UPPER KLONDIKE POND 1 NFLOW TO UPPER KLONDIKE POND 1 4.69 15.39 0 13 0.45 5 -0.05 2.0 1 4 4 8DUTE THROUGH CRYSTAL LAKE 0.1 250 1820 0•1 300 820 0.07 2030 2002 7 0.07 1980 1945 2°28 -1 •5 2 1.53 -1.55 0.1 0.1 1205 535 535 5.5255.5.

•01

ㅋㅋ

•

7

2

88588B 

0-18





# PREVJEW OF SEQUENCE OF STREAM NETVORK CALC'ILATIONS

-1 -1

13 LAKE WATAMER	2 CAYSTAL LAKE	LEVER CHOTLE DAM	10 103 LARSEN DAM
RUNOFF MYCROGRAPM AT Route Mydrograph to Runotf Mydrograph at Route Mycrograph to Combine 2 Mycrofraph to Route Mycrograph to Route Mycrograph to	RUNOFF HYDNDERAFN AT Combine 2 undrografns at Runoff hydrografn at Route hydrografn to Route hydrografn to Route hydrografn to Route hydrografn to Route hydrografn to	MYDROGRAP R 2 MYDRO MYDROGRAPH MYDROGRAPH F 2 MYDRO F 2 MYDRO	COMBINE ATTOCKART I COMBINE 2 MYORGEAPHS AT Rumoff Mydrogeaphs at Conbine 2 hydrographs at Route Hydrograph to Route Mydrograph to End of Netuork

۱

9

-

2

				WER BISSING					4701 18KE							
82728 8	C.685.4				•7. 2.74 )(	•7• 2457.5	34. 1.07)(	, 111. 3.1531	L.15.		12. •34 )(	12. •34.)(	12. •33 X	304. 8.44)(		
RATIC 7 •10	463. 13.10)(	210. 5.00)(	525. 14.87)(	21. .60)(	224.	224.	2.14)(	258. 7.51)(	175.	31. .00)(	30. .06.)(	30.	30.	611. 17.51)(	624 . 17 . 66 ) (	414 -
RATEO 6 •15	<b>694</b> . 19.65 )(	348.	788. 22.311(	32.	348. 10-4170	366. 10.38)(	113. 3.21)(	422. 11.94.)(	260. 7.352(	54. 1.52)(	52. 1.48)(	52+ 1+47)(	51. 1.4530	917. 25.973(	. 937. 26.5330	024.0
FLDWS 4 RATIO 5 5 84710 5	+25. 24.20)(	577. 14.33)(	105C. 29.743C	1.25. 1.29)(	599. 16.98.)(	596. 16.89)(	151.4.28)(	686. 19.4235	346. 9.80)(	78. 2.223)(	78. 2.20)(	76. 2.17)(	75. 2.1310	1223. 34.62)(	1251.	1210.
APPLIED TO FL 3 Ratio 4 10 .25	1156. 32.7470	839. 23.761(	1313.	62. 1.763(	865. 24.513(	840. 24.373C	189. 5,352C	992 . 28.09.)(	433.	165. 2.91)(	104 • 2 • 95 )(	103. 2.9136	101. 2.86)(	1528. 43.28)(	1566. 44.35)(	1551.
RATEOS APP Rateo 3 •30	1388. 39.2930	1103. 31.2336	1575. 44.6176	101. 2.86)(	1131. 32.0436	1124 - 31-8430	227. 6441)(	1299. 36.78)(	519. 14.70)(	134.	132.	131. 3.70)(	120. 3.633(	1634. 51.952C	1883. 53+3370	
AATJO 2 *50	2513. 65.4936	2119. 59.993(	2626+ 74+352C	306. 8.46)(	2159. 61.1336	2153. 60.9536	378. 10.6930	2491. 70.5436	865. 24.50)(	257.	254.	251. 7.10)(	247.	3057. 86.56)(	3164 . 89-5936	1110.
KA 71 0 1 1.00	4 825 . 130-98 )(	4484 - 126-9830	5252. 148.71)(	1064. 30.12)(	4639. 131.5436	4625. 130.97){	755. 21.351C	5342. 151.2736	1730. 49-00)(	1201. 34.0236	1125. 31.8510	1046. 29.62)(	966. 27.36)(	6113. 173.1134	6624. 187.56](	
PLAN KATI	-~	+	- ~	÷ <sup>v</sup>	<b>-</b> `	• ~	-*	÷ *	*`	-~	۲,	÷	~ <b>~</b>	• `	- ~	•
AREA	2.57 6.44)	2.57 6.66)	3+88 10+05 )	3.66 10.05)	6445 16=71)	6°45 16°71)	.42 1,093	6 • 8 7 1 7 • 7 9 5	57° 1°99°1	12°°	12° 100°	( 64° 5	77° 1.09.1	4 • 69 12 • 15 )	3 • 4 6 1 4 • 1 4 )	5 - 5 S
NO IL VI	<b>ب</b> ۲	- "	~ ~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	-	~~	۳	<b>~</b> ~	*	•	¥. ٣	ຈັ	,	<b>م</b>	ຄັ	•
©\$E AA↑   OK	NYDROGRAPH AT	<b>10</b> UTED TO	HTGROGRAPH AT	<b>10</b> ,4760 70	2 COMB 1465	. MAYTED TO	NYONOGRAFH AT	2 COMB ENED	TA HAARSCROWN	ROUTED TO	MOUTED TO	NOVTED TO	NOUTED TO	, NYDROGRAPH AT	Z CONDINED	MILTER TO

Q

, ٢

•	Trunster 1		, .	•			HOSHEN
	Ľ,		414.				LAKG
	313. 6.65)	306.		228.	407- 17-19	511.	500. 14-14
			875. 24.76)(				
1.83)(	954. 27.01)(	942 . 26-66 ) (	1349. 34.2030	483. 19.3436	1944.	1715.	1707. 48-3535
2.44)K	1276. 36.1330	1261. 35.71)(	1933. 54.7336	911. 25.79%	2706.	2484 - 70-533	2472. 70-0136
108. 3.05 )(	1599. 45-27)(	1582. 44.793(	2573. 72.05)(	1130. 32.2336	3564. 100.91)(	3326. 94.19)(	3314 . 93.83 )(
129. 3.66)(		1904 - 53•923(	3203. 90.7036	1366. 38.68 )(	4427 . 125+37 X	4146. 117.96.7	4151.
215• 6•09 )(	3232. 91.53)(	3205. 90.7676	5610+ 15 <b>8+2</b> 626	2277 . 44.4776	7745 . 219-32 ){	74 18 • 210-06 )(	7400.
430. 12.19)(	6791. 192.3136	6792. 192.363C	11895. 356.76)(	4553+ 126+9336	16278. 460.9530	15702. 444.6436	15673. 443.2030
-~	-~	-~	-~	-~	-~	~~	- ~
. 17 . 17	5+63 14+58)	5+63 14+58)	12.50 32.57)	2.89 7.49)	15.39 39.86)	15 . 3 9 39 . 8 6 )	15.39 30.66)
<b>م</b> ۲	<u>م</u>	~ ۲	٥٢ ر	ţ,	<sup>10</sup>	ţ	=
HTOROGRAPH AT	2 COMBENED	<b>W</b> UTED 10	2 COMBINED	KTBROGRAPH AT	2 COMBINED	<b>ud</b> u ted to	<b>M</b> UTED TO

· · ----

D-23

**\$**|

8

•

•• .

**\*| \*| \*| \*| 5| 5**| \$\*

′±⊡

**3i 1** 

 $\sim$
	2		() را	0_0	.,	1911-192 Pr 117 Statistics and a	الان المالية (1991) (المالية (1992) (المالية (1992)	
			·	•		<b>.</b>		۵
	TIME DF Fallure Mours		0000					
0f 04M 885.70 504. 1299.	TIME OF MAX JUTFLOW Hours	44.00 44.00 44.73 44.73 44.73 45.82 45.85	\$2°94					
	DURATION DVER TOP Houks	28 •75 19 •00 13 •50 11 •75 1 • •75	000					
571LLVAT CREST 1882.40 244. 0.	MAXINUM Outflow Cfs	15702 7418 4166 3326 3326	101.					
	MAKIMUN STORAGE AC-FT	1497. 990. 717. 716. 446.						
INITIAL VALUE 1882.40 244. 0.	MAKIMUM DEPTM OVER DAM	6°24 3°61 3°51 1°72 1°78 1°78	00*0					
ELEVATION Storage Outflow	NAKIMUN Reservoir V.S.elev	1891.94 1889.31 1887.92 1887.92 1886.94	1895.34					
•••••	84710 05 PMF	90°1 320 223 225						

D-24

.

.

			TIME OF Failutte Mours	800	8.0	000	00.0	0.00																							
	TOP OF DAM 1873.70 143.	160.	TIME OF Max outflou Mours	44 •50	45.00	45.75	00°97	47.25																		•					
<b>7515</b>			DURATION DVER T <b>OP</b> Hours	51.75 41.25	38.75	33.75	30.25	vc• 62 87.11																							
SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY AMALYSIS	LENECH Spilluay Crest 1873.00	•	MAXIMUN Outflou CFS	15673.	4151.	3316. 2472.	1707.	1084 • 500 •																							
INARY OF DAM	LAKE L INITIAL VALUE SP 1673.00	•••	MAX 14UM S TORAGE AC -F T	456.	294.	276.	241.	221.																							
SUT	1873. 1873.	-	NA XINUM Dep Th Dare Dan	6+34	4 °0%	2.56	2.10	1 30																							
	ELEVATION	S TO RAGE DU TF LOK	MAKINUM Reservoir	1880.04	1877.75	1876.26	18 75 .84		1874.59																						
			RAT 10 0f		•50	• 30			•0•																						
	1 HE14																														
	4	۹ -	1 = =	비	=	1	n)	<del>ni</del>	며	리	nj	•	Г. М	쀠	퉈	빠	Ħ	<b>e</b> j	۳i	=ł	۹İ	ŧ	<b>a</b> i	뾔	<b>3</b>	2	1	<b>\$</b> !	3	-	
															D	-2	5														

....

. . .

.

Э

-----

-

ł

٩

۰۰ <sub>(</sub>.

D-25

D. BY DATE	SUBJECT		SHEET NO OF JOB. NO
	Summary or	Pertinew	T Resource
		PMF	KAPMF = SDF
RAINFALL (IN	ches)	24.62	-
LARSON DA	n (Assuming dam	Remains in	(JOATU
	Approx. Linches)	22.39	-11.19
INFLOW		16,278	7,745
	(CFS)	15,702	7,418
Depth	OVERTOPPING (PT)	6.24	3.61
	N OVERTOPPING (HRS)	28.75	19.00
Existing L	AKE LEHIGH CASSUN	ing dam	REMAINS INTACT)
The second s	(646)	15,702	7,418
INFLOW		-	
	(CFS)	15,673	7,400
OUTFLOU Depth	N (CFS) OVERTOPPING (FT) N OVERTOPPING (HRS)	6.34	7,400 4.05





and an entrance of the second 
2

APPENDIX E PLATES

19.54







1 . .



,2

and in a second the second 
APPENDIX F

## LAKE LEHIGH DAM

## APPENDIX F

## GEOLOGY

Larsen Dam is located in Wayne County within the Appalachian Plateau Physiographic Province. The most pronounced topographic feature in the area is Camelback Mountain, which is part of the Pocono Plateau Escarpment. This escarpment has a well defined, southwestward trend from Camelback Mountain, but is irregular between Camelback Mountain and Mt. Pocono, which lies to the north. Streams east of the escarpment drain directly to the Delaware River, while those to the west drain to the Lehigh River.

The Pocono Plateau Section lies to the west of the escarpment. This area is relatively flat, with local relief seldom exceeding 100 feet. The topography has been greatly influenced by continental glaciation. Many features were created by deposition of glacial materials. The entire plateau lacks well-developed drainage.

East of the escarpment is the Glaciated Low Plateaus Section of the province. This area is characterized by preglacial erosional topography with locally thick glacial deposits. Local relief is generally 100 to 300 feet.

Bedroch units of the sections described above are the lithified sediments of offshore marine, marginal marine, deltaic and fluvial environments associated with the Devonian Period. These units include siltstones of the Mahantango Formation, siltstones and shales of the Trimmers Rock Formation, and seven mapped members of the Catskill Formation. These members include sandstones, siltstones, and shales of the Towamensing Member; sandstone, siltstone and shales of the Walcksville Member; sandstones, siltstones, ind shale of the Beaverdam Run Member; sandstone and shale of the Long Run Member; sandstones and conglomerates in the Packerton Member; sandstone and some conglomerates in the Poplar Gap Member; and sandstones and conglomerates in the Duncannon Member.

Larsen Dam in underlain by the Duncannon Member of the Catskill Formation. The Duncannon Member is predominantly a conglomerate and sandstone unit with some red siltstone and shale. Conglomerates present are generally thick-bedded with subangular to well-rounded quartz pebbles in a coarse-grained sandstone matrix. They are very well indurated and have low porosity due to silica cementation. The sandstones are predominantly fine- to medium-grained, thin- to thick-bedded and well-indurated with a clay and silica cement. Red sandstones near the top of the unit grade into red siltstone and shale, marking the content with the Spechty Kopf Formation. The Duncannon Member maintains very steep cut slopes and is reported to be an excellent foundation for heavy structures.

Bedrock is almost entirely overlain by glacial till of Late Wisconsin Age. This till is basically an unsorted mixture of clay, silt, sand, and gravel. It is moderately cohesive and is generally derived locally from the sandstones of the Catskill Formation. Thickness of the till varies from 3 to 100 feet, with an average thickness of 45 feet. Available information indicates that the dam is probably founded on this till.

