

AD A109105

DTIC  
DEC 31 1981  
H

Original contains color  
plates: All DTIC reproductions  
will be in black and  
white.

12


DELAWARE RIVER BASIN

ARLINGTON LAKE DAM  
PENNSYLVANIA

NDI ID PA 00321

OWNED BY  
STEVEN MOREKIN

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM



DTIC  
ELECTE  
DEC 3 1 1981  
S D H

Prepared for:

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
Baltimore District, Corps of Engineers  
Baltimore, Maryland 21203

Prepared by:

O'BRIEN & GERE ENGINEERS, INC.  
1617 J F Kennedy Boulevard - Suite 1760  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

August 1981

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A  
Approved for public release;  
Distribution Unlimited

## PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigations, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detected, and only through continued care and maintenance can these conditions be prevented or corrected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the spillway design flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. The spillway design flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

Accession For	
NTIS	GPA&I
DTIC	TAB
Unpublished	
Justification	
From 50 on file	
Distribution	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and, or Special

PHASE I REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

Name of Dam:	Arlington Lake Dam
State Located:	Pennsylvania
County Located:	Monroe
Stream:	Big Meadow Run
Coordinates:	N40°59.4', W75°13.7'
Dates of Inspection:	May 5, 1981 & June 1, 1981

ASSESSMENT

Arlington Lake Dam is an earth and concrete structure approximately 240 feet long with a maximum height of about 13 feet. A two stage, ungated overflow spillway system is located at the left abutment of the dam. A drop inlet spillway is located at the approximate midpoint of the embankment. Arlington Lake Dam has a drainage area of approximately 1.7 square miles and impounds a reservoir with a maximum storage capacity of about 51 acre-feet at the low point of the top of the dam. The dam was originally built to provide a lake for ice harvesting. The impoundment is presently used for incidental recreation.

The recommended Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for a "Small" size, "High" hazard dam ranges from one-half of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) to the full PMF. The SDF chosen for this "Small" size, "High" hazard dam is one-half of the PMF. The spillways are capable of discharging approximately 8 percent of the PMF prior to overtopping the low point of the top of the dam.

Two houses (permanent residences) are located on the crest of the dam, a restaurant is located about 150 feet downstream of the dam and PA Route 611 is located about 250 feet downstream of the dam.

The vertical concrete wall along the entire upstream face of the dam and the vertical concrete walls along portions of the downstream face of the dam are in very poor condition and appear to be structurally unsafe. It appears that the houses on the crest of the dam would be undermined with a failure of the walls which would coincide with a failure of the dam.

The spillway system is classified as "Seriously Inadequate". The dam is classified as "Unsafe, Non-Emergency".

Based on the visual observations, discussions with the Owner, Mr. Steven Morekin, and information obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources, Division of Dam Safety, Arlington Lake Dam is considered to be in poor condition.

Recommendations and Remedial Measures

The following recommendations and remedial measures should be initiated immediately.

ARLINGTON LAKE DAM  
NDI PA 00321

a. Facilities.

The Owner should retain the services of a licensed professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of dams to assist in complying with these recommendations:

1. The structural integrity of all concrete portions of the dam (including the spillways) should be investigated and those sections determined to be deficient should be replaced.
2. Detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses should be performed to evaluate the discharge capacity of the spillway system and remedial measures should be taken to increase the spillway capacity.
3. An investigation should be made as to the source and nature of the seepage noted in Sections 3.1b, 6.1a, and 7.1a.
4. The embankment should be cleared of all trees and brush and any resulting voids should be backfilled with a suitable compacted material. A grass cover should be established and maintained on the bare spots on the embankment resulting from the tree and brush removal.

The Owner should clear the spillway discharge channel of all trees, brush and other obstructions.


b. Operations and Maintenance Procedures.

1. An operation and maintenance program should be developed and implemented. This program should include periodic operation of outlet works, routine maintenance tasks, and an annual inspection performed by a licensed professional engineer, experienced in the design and construction of dams.
2. A monitoring and warning plan should be developed and implemented during periods of extreme rainfall so that affected residents and the appropriate agencies are notified in case of an impending dam failure.

O'BRIEN & GERE ENGINEERS, INC.

*John J. Williams*  
John J. Williams, P.E.  
Vice President

Pennsylvania Registration No. PE006920E




Date: 19 Aug 1981

Approved by: *James W. Peck*

JAMES W. PECK  
Colonel, Corps of Engineers  
District Engineer

Date: 31 Aug 81



OVERVIEW OF ARLINGTON LAKE DAM FROM THE LEFT ABUTMENT.  
(5/5/18)



OVERVIEW OF ARLINGTON LAKE DAM FROM THE RIGHT ABUTMENT.  
(5/5/81)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
Preface	i
Assessment	ii
Overview Photograph	iv
SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION	
1.1 General	1
1.2 Description	1
1.3 Pertinent Data	3
SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA	
2.1 Design	5
2.2 Construction	5
2.3 Operation	5
2.4 Evaluation	5
SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION	
3.1 Findings	6
3.2 Evaluation	7
SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL FEATURES	
4.1 Procedures	8
4.2 Maintenance of the Dam	8
4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities	8
4.4 Warning System in Effect	8
4.5 Evaluation	8
SECTION 5 - HYDRAULICS AND HYDROLOGY	
5.1 Evaluation of Features	9
SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY	
6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability	11
SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS, PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES	
7.1 Dam Assessment	12
7.2 Recommendations, Remedial Measures	12
APPENDIX	
A Visual Inspection	
B Checklist, Engineering Data	
C Photographs	
D Hydrologic and Hydraulic Engineering Data	
E Regional Vicinity Map and Drawings	
F Geology	

PHASE I REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM  
ARLINGTON LAKE DAM  
NDI ID #PA00321  
PA DER 45-5

SECTION I  
PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. Authority. The Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a program of inspection of dams throughout the United States.

b. Purpose. The purpose of this inspection is to determine if Arlington Lake Dam constitutes a hazard to human life or property.

1.2 Description of Project (Based on information obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources (DER), Division of Dam Safety, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, and from the field inspection).

a. Dam and Appurtenances (Refer to the Site Plan in Appendix E). Arlington Lake Dam is an earth and concrete structure approximately 240 feet long with a maximum height of about 13 feet. A two stage, ungated overflow spillway system is located at the left abutment of the dam. A drop inlet spillway is located at the approximate midpoint of the dam.

The upstream slope of the embankment is retained in a vertical position by a concrete wall which is constructed for the full length of the dam. The downstream slope is essentially level from the right abutment to the location of the drop inlet spillway, a distance of about 120 feet. Two inhabited dwellings are constructed on the crest of the embankment over this portion of the dam. The embankment is terminated and retained by a concrete wall immediately to the right of the drop inlet spillway. The wall is about 6 feet high and extends downstream for about 50 feet. A portion of the downstream slope to the left of the drop inlet spillway is retained by a concrete wall about 8 feet high and 30 feet long which forms a right angle with the retaining wall that extends downstream from near the drop inlet spillway. The crest width of the embankment in this location is about 8 feet.

The two stage, ungated overflow spillway system consists of a principal spillway with an 8-foot weir length and a 30-foot long wasteway with a concrete apron constructed to direct the wasteway discharge into the principal spillway discharge channel. The discharge channel is bounded on the right side by a concrete retaining wall about 5 feet high and extending downstream for about 50 feet.

The drop inlet spillway is a 3.5-foot wide by 4.5-foot long concrete structure about 6 feet deep with a 3 foot long weir on the upstream face of the dam. A 12-inch diameter concrete pipe in the upstream wall of the structure serves as a



reservoir drain. Flow through the drain is controlled by a timber plank on the upstream face of the dam. The outlet for the drop inlet is a 36-inch diameter concrete conduit which begins at the downstream wall of the drop inlet spillway structure. This conduit extends through the embankment with its outlet flush with the downstream retaining wall. The outlet invert is about one foot above the surface of the outlet channel. The outlet channel is bounded on the right side by the 8 feet high concrete retaining wall extending downstream from the dam.

b. Location. Arlington Lake Dam is located on Big Meadow Run about one mile west of Stroudsburg, Stroud Township, Monroe County, Pennsylvania. The site is shown on the USGS Quadrangle sheet entitled "Stroudsburg, P.A.-N.J." at coordinates N40°59.4', W75°13.7'. A regional vicinity map for Arlington Lake Dam is included as Figure 1, Appendix E.

c. Size Classification. The maximum height of the dam is about 13 feet and the reservoir storage at the low point of the top of the dam is 51 acre-feet. The dam is therefore classified as a "Small" size structure (height less than 40 feet and storage less than 1,000 acre-feet).

d. Hazard Classification. Two inhabited houses are located on the crest of the dam. A restaurant is located about 150 feet downstream of the dam and PA Route 611 is located about 250 feet downstream of the dam. The dam is therefore classified as a "High" hazard structure because of the potential for the loss of more than a few lives and excessive property damage in the event of a dam failure.

e. Ownership. The dam is owned by Mr. Steven Morekin. The Owner's home is adjacent to the right dam abutment. He may be contacted by telephone at (717) 421-7020.

f. Purpose of Dam. Arlington Lake Dam was originally constructed to provide an impoundment for ice harvesting. The impoundment is currently used for incidental recreation.

g. Design and Construction History. The dam was originally a wooden structure constructed during the late 1800's to provide an impoundment for ice harvesting. In 1910, Elmer Albert bought the site and erected a concrete dam. The dam failed twice within the following two years and in 1913, Mr. Albert began repair work on the structure. In 1914, after the repair work had begun, Mr. Albert was required by the Pennsylvania Water Supply Commission to increase the spillway capacity by raising the crest of the dam, to construct an earthfill against the downstream face of the dam to stabilize the structure and to repair structural cracking of the concrete. The repair work was not completed until 1916. Photographs and inspection reports obtained from the Pennsylvania DER indicate that the requirements of the Water Supply Commission apparently were never adequately met and the dam has been in poor condition since its original construction.

Correspondence dated 1949 stated that the reservoir had completely drained through cracks in the dam on three separate occasions since 1937.

The crest of the drop inlet spillway weir was raised an estimated one foot in 1980. This was accomplished by placing concrete on the existing weir crest.

No design data or calculations are available for this site.

h. Normal Operating Procedures. According to the Owner, operating procedures for the dam would consist of drawing down the reservoir by removing the timber plank placed over the inlet of the 12-inch diameter conduit in the drop inlet spillway.

### 1.3 Pertinent Data

a.	<u>Drainage Area (Square Miles).</u>	1.7
b.	<u>Discharge at Dam Site (cfs).</u>	
	Maximum known flood discharge	Unknown
	Complete Spillway System Capacity, El. 477.8	246
	Low Point Top of Dam	
	Principal Spillway Capacity, El. 477.8, Low Point Top of Dam	125
	Wasteway Capacity, El. 477.8, Low Point Top of Dam	104
	Drop Inlet Spillway Capacity, El. 477.8, Low Point Top of Dam	17
c.	<u>Elevations (Feet above MSL estimated from USGS).</u>	
	Top of Dam (Low Point)	477.8
	Wasteway Crest	476.7
	Drop Inlet Weir Crest	476.3
	Principal Spillway Crest (Normal Pool)	474.8
	Invert of 12-inch drain pipe at inlet	471.9
	Invert of 36-inch drain pipe at outlet	466.1
	Streambed at Downstream Toe of the Dam	465.0
d.	<u>Reservoir Length (Feet).</u>	
	Normal Pool, Elev. 474.8	1,850
	Maximum Pool, Elev. 477.8	2,000
e.	<u>Reservoir Surface (Acres).</u>	
	Normal Pool, Elev. 474.8	4.5
	Maximum Pool, Elev. 477.8	28.3
f.	<u>Reservoir Storage (Acre-Feet).</u>	
	Normal Pool, Elev. 474.8	14
	Maximum Pool, Elev. 477.8	51

g. Dam Data.

Type	Earth with concrete retaining wall on upstream face
Length	240 Feet
Height	13 Feet
Top Width	Varies from 8 Feet to about 50 Feet
Side Slopes: Upstream	Vertical
Downstream	Varies from nearly vertical to nearly horizontal
Zoning	Unknown
Impervious Core	Unknown
Foundation Treatment	Unknown

h. Diversion System.

None

i. Spillway Data.

Type	Ungated Overflow
Length: Principal Spillway	8 Feet
Wasteway	30 Feet
Width: Principal Spillway	1 Foot
Wasteway	1 Foot
Discharge Channel	Concrete for about 25 feet then modified earth channel for 1,000 feet to confluence with Pocono Creek.

j. Outlet Works.

The outlet works consist of a 3.5-foot wide by 4.5-foot long drop inlet with a 3-foot long weir on the upstream wall. Discharge in a 12-inch diameter concrete pipe which has an invert 4.4 feet below the weir crest is controlled by means of a timber plank on the upstream face of the dam. The outlet works from the drop inlet consists of a 36-inch diameter concrete pipe.

## SECTION 2

### ENGINEERING DATA

#### 2.1 Design

- a. Data Available. No design data are available for the dam.
- b. Design Features. The design features are described in Section 1.2a and are shown on the sheets in Appendix E.

#### 2.2 Construction

The construction history of the dam is discussed in Section 1.2g.

#### 2.3 Operation

According to the Owner, the operational procedures for the dam would consist of removing the timber plank placed over the inlet of the 12-inch diameter reservoir drain pipe. No operational data are available.

#### 2.4 Evaluation

- a. Availability. The limited engineering data utilized in this report were provided by the Pennsylvania DER.
- b. Adequacy. The information provided by the Pennsylvania DER, visual observations and discussions with Mr. Steven Morekin, the Owner, are considered to be adequate for a Phase I investigation.
- c. Validity. There appears to be no reason to question the validity of the information obtained from the Pennsylvania DER and Mr. Morekin.

## SECTION 3

### VISUAL INSPECTION

#### 3.1 Findings

a. General. The field inspections of Arlington Lake Dam took place on May 5, 1981 and June 1, 1981. At the times of the inspections, the water surface was approximately 0.2 feet above the principal spillway crest. The observations and comments of the field inspection team are in the checklist which is Appendix A of this report. No underwater elements of the dam were inspected. The dam and its appurtenances appear to be in poor condition.

b. Dam. Several trees with trunks up to 8 inches in diameter were observed growing on the downstream embankment on the right side of the retaining wall adjacent to the spillway discharge channel. The concrete retaining wall on the upstream face of the dam was found to be severely eroded and cracked (up to 2-inch wide cracks) at the water level along most of the length of the dam. Water passages were observed on the face of the dam at points where large pieces of concrete had been eroded away. The retaining wall on the downstream face of the embankment contains large diagonal structural cracks (up to 2 inches wide). Seepage (about 20 gpm) was observed flowing from a crack in the right side wall of the drop inlet spillway at the invert of the structure. Seepage (about 30 gpm) was noted discharging from the downstream retaining wall near the outlet of the 36-inch diameter reservoir drain pipe. Standing seepage water was observed about 30 feet downstream from the retaining wall to the left of the drop inlet spillway. The soil in this area was noted to be very soft and swampy.

c. Appurtenant Structures. The concrete of the principal spillway and wasteway is severely cracked and eroded. The remains of a concrete buttress partially obstructs the principal spillway. Trees with trunks up to 8 inches in diameter were noted growing through the concrete on the left side of the wasteway. Trees with trunks up to 6 inches in diameter and brush were also observed growing in the spillway discharge channel. The retaining wall on the right side of the spillway discharge channel contains large structural cracks (up to 2 inches).

The drop inlet spillway appeared to be in fair condition. The side walls contained cracks through which seepage discharge was observed, as noted above. A 4-inch diameter pipe parallel to the centerline of the dam apparently supports the side walls of the structure. A small amount of seepage was observed flowing through the 12-inch diameter reservoir drain outlet pipe in the upstream wall of the drop inlet.

d. Reservoir. Evidence of sedimentation was observed during the field inspection of the reservoir. The perimeter of the reservoir is wooded and the slopes average between 5 and 15 percent. Concrete retaining walls were observed on the east and west slopes of the impoundment. Both walls are constructed at right angles to the dam. The east retaining wall is severely eroded and contains trees (up to 8-inch diameter trunks) that have grown up through the concrete. The retaining wall on the west side of the impoundment was observed to be in fair condition.

e. Downstream Channel. The downstream channel for the first few hundred feet downstream from the dam is obstructed with trees, brush and debris. The channel is relatively free of obstructions south of the Pennsylvania Route 611 culvert. The average channel grade is approximately 1 percent.

The initial hazard area at this site is on the dam itself. Two houses (year-round residences) are located on the crest of the dam. A restaurant is located about 150 feet downstream of the dam and PA Route 611 is located about 250 feet downstream of the dam.

3.2 Evaluation. The concrete on the upstream face of the dam is so severely eroded and spalled that extensive water passages through the dam have developed. The seepage at the downstream toe of the embankment may indicate that piping is occurring through the embankment and/or the foundation of the dam. The trees growing on the dam create potential seepage paths through the embankment and may endanger the integrity of the structure. The capacity of the spillway discharge channel is limited by the trees and brush growing in it. The general condition of the dam is poor.

## SECTION 4

### OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

#### 4.1 Procedures

According to the Owner, the operational procedure for this dam consists of the removal of the timber plank from the inlet end of the 12-inch diameter reservoir drain pipe on the upstream face of the dam.

#### 4.2 Maintenance of the Dam

According to the Owner, no written maintenance procedures exist for Arlington Lake Dam and repairs are made as needed.

#### 4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

Maintenance of the operating facilities at the dam would consist of keeping the spillways free from obstructions. According to the Owner, maintenance is performed as needed.

#### 4.4 Description of Any Warning Systems in Effect

According to the Owner, no written warning procedures exist for the dam. The dam is monitored during large storms by Mr. Morekin. In the event of an impending dam failure, Mr. Morekin would notify the local Civil Defense.

#### 4.5 Evaluation

The overall appearance of the dam indicates that it is marginally maintained. A regular inspection and maintenance program should be established which would include repair of all concrete structures, removing all trees from the embankment and clearing the spillway discharge channel of all obstructions.

A system of warning downstream residents in the event of an impending dam failure should be developed.

## SECTION 5

### HYDRAULICS AND HYDROLOGY

#### 5.1 Evaluation of Features

a. Design Data. Arlington Lake Dam has a drainage area of approximately 1.7 square miles and impounds a reservoir with a maximum storage capacity of about 51 acre-feet. The drainage basin has a maximum width of about 1.4 miles and a maximum length of about 2.1 miles. The ground surface ranges from Elev. 860 in the upper reaches of the watershed to Elev. 474.8 at normal pool. The drainage area is generally moderately to steeply sloping woodland and open fields. The southwest portion of the basin is developed both residentially and commercially.

The spillway system consists of an 8-foot long ungated principal overflow section (Crest Elev. 474.8) and a 30 feet long wasteway (Crest Elev. 476.7) with a concrete apron on the downstream side. A 3-foot long weir (Crest Elev. 476.3) is located on the upstream face of the dam. Discharge through the weir flows into a drop inlet and then through a 36-inch diameter concrete pipe located at the base of the downstream wall of the inlet structure. A 12-inch diameter concrete reservoir drain pipe is located in the upstream wall of the inlet. Discharge through the reservoir drain is controlled by means of a timber plank over the inlet to the drain on the upstream face of the dam.

b. Experience Data. According to the Owner, no rainfall or reservoir level records are kept for this dam.

c. Visual Observations. The remains of a concrete buttress partially obstructs the principal spillway. Discharge is impeded by trees, brush and debris in the spillway discharge channel.

d. Overtopping Potential. The overtopping potential of this dam was estimated using the HEC-1, Dam Safety Version, computer program. A brief description of the program is included in Appendix D, Sheet 2.

The recommended Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for a "Small" size, "High" hazard dam ranges from one-half of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) to the full PMF. The selected SDF for Arlington Lake Dam is one-half of the PMF, because the dam has a small storage capacity.

The SDF was routed through the dam using the HEC-1 computer program. The peak inflow and outflow rates for the SDF were computed to be about 2,000 cfs and 1,870 cfs, respectively. Based on the hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, the spillway is capable of discharging about 8 percent of the PMF before overtopping of the low point of the dam crest occurs. The SDF would overtop the dam by a maximum depth of about 2.5 feet and the duration of overtopping would be about 11.5 hours.



e. Spillway Adequacy. The vertical concrete wall along the entire upstream face of the dam and the vertical concrete walls along portions of the downstream face of the dam are in very poor condition and appear to be structurally unsafe. It appears that the houses on the crest of the dam would be undermined with a failure of the walls which would coincide with a failure of the dam. The dam would probably fail during an event of far less severity than the SDF. A failure of the dam would increase the hazard to loss of life. The spillway is classified as "Seriously Inadequate". The dam is considered to be "Unsafe, Non-Emergency".

## SECTION 6

### STRUCTURAL STABILITY

#### 6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

a. Visual Observations. Several trees with trunks up to 8 inches in diameter were observed growing on the downstream embankment slope and at the left abutment of the dam. The upstream concrete face of the dam was found to be severely eroded and spalled and contained many water passages. The retaining wall on the downstream face of the dam contains large, diagonal, structural cracks. The concrete principal spillway and wasteway were observed to be severely damaged. Seepage (20 gpm) was noted flowing from a large crack in the concrete at the invert of the drop inlet spillway. About 30 gpm of seepage water was observed discharging from around the outlet to the 36-inch diameter reservoir outlet conduit at the base of the retaining wall on the downstream side of the dam. Moist, swampy ground and standing seepage water was observed at the downstream toe of the embankment to the left of the drop inlet spillway.

Based on visual observations, Arlington Lake Dam appears to be in poor structural condition. A failure of the dam as a result of a collapse of the walls may occur. A failure of the dam by piping of the embankment could also result if the seepage problem is left unchecked. An investigation should be made as to the source and nature of the seepage and appropriate actions should follow.

The stability of the structure is questionable because of the very poor condition of all of the concrete and the seepage problems discussed above.

b. Design and Construction Data. No design or construction data are available for this dam.

c. Operating Records. According to the Owner, no operating records are maintained for this dam.

d. Post Construction Changes. The post construction changes made to the original concrete dam are discussed in Section 1.2g. A design drawing detailing the repair work done by Mr. Elmer Albert in 1914 and a post construction drawing of the dam are included in Appendix E.

e. Seismic Stability. Arlington Lake Dam is located in Seismic Zone 1 of the Seismic Zone Map of Contiguous States. A dam located in Seismic Zone 1 is generally considered to be safe under any expected Zone 1 earthquake loading conditions if it is stable under static loading conditions. Since the dam does not appear to be structurally stable for potential static loadings, it is doubtful that it would be stable for seismic loadings.

## SECTION 7

### ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES

#### 7.1 Dam Assessment

a. Evaluation. Based on the visual observations and review of the available information, Arlington Lake Dam appears to be in poor condition. Several deficiencies were noted during the inspection.

Large trees were found growing on the downstream embankment, at the left abutment and in the spillway discharge channel. The upstream concrete face of the dam was found to be severely eroded, spalled and contained many water passages. The retaining wall on the downstream face of the dam contains large diagonal structural cracks. The concrete principal spillway and wasteway were observed to be severely damaged. The remains of a concrete buttress were found obstructing the principal spillway weir. Seepage (about 20 gpm) was noted flowing from a large crack in the concrete at the invert of the drop inlet spillway. About 30 gpm of seepage water was observed discharging from around the outlet to the 36-inch diameter reservoir drain outlet conduit at the base of the retaining wall on the downstream face of the dam. Soft, moist ground and standing seepage water was observed at the downstream toe of the embankment to the left of the drop inlet.

Arlington Lake Dam is a "Small" size, "High" hazard structure. The SDF selected is one-half of the PMF. The spillway is capable of discharging about 8 percent of the PMF before the embankment is overtopped. Two houses (permanent residences) are located on the crest of the dam. The spillway is classified as "Seriously Inadequate". The dam is classified as "Unsafe, Non-Emergency".

b. Adequacy of Information. The information obtained from the Pennsylvania DER, visual observations and discussions with Mr. Morekin, the Owner, is considered adequate for a Phase I investigation.

c. Urgency. The remedial measures recommended in Section 7.2 should be initiated immediately.

d. Necessity for Further Information. Further investigation should be implemented as discussed in Section 7.2.

#### 7.2 Recommendations and Remedial Measures

The following recommendations and remedial measures should be initiated immediately.

a. Facilities.

The Owner should retain the services of a licensed professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of dams to assist in complying with these recommendations:

1. The structural integrity of all concrete portions of the dam (including the spillways) should be investigated and those sections determined to be deficient should be replaced.

2. Detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses should be performed to evaluate the discharge capacity of the spillway system and remedial measures should be taken to increase the spillway capacity.

3. An investigation should be made as to the source and nature of the seepage noted in Sections 3.1b, 6.1a, and 7.1a.

4. The embankment should be cleared of all trees and brush and any resulting voids should be backfilled with a suitable compacted material. A grass cover should be established and maintained on the bare spots on the embankment resulting from the tree and brush removal.

The Owner should clear the spillway discharge channel of all trees, brush and other obstructions.

b. Operations and Maintenance Procedures.

1. An operation and maintenance program should be developed and implemented. This program should include periodic operation of outlet works, routine maintenance tasks, and an annual inspection performed by a licensed professional engineer, experienced in the design and construction of dams.

2. A monitoring and warning plan should be developed and implemented during periods of extreme rainfall so that effected residents and the appropriate agencies are notified in case of an impending dam failure.

**APPENDIX A**  
**INSPECTION CHECKLIST**

CHECK LIST  
VISUAL INSPECTION  
PHASE I

Sheet 1 of 11

Name Dam Arlington Lake County Monroe State Pennsylvania National ID # PA 00321  
Type of Dam Earth-Concrete Hazard Category High  
Date(s) Inspection 5/5/81 Weather Partly Cloudy Temperature 75°  
& 6/1/81 (5/5/81) (5/5/81)

Pool Elevation at Time of Inspection 475 M.S.L. Tailwater at Time of Inspection ± 462 M.S.L.

Inspection Personnel:

R. Horvath

L. Beck

L. DeHeer (6/1/81)

J. Rauschkolb

R. Horvath

Recorder

Remarks:

Mr. Steven Morekin, the owner, met us at the dam site. (5/5/81)

Sheet 1 of 11

# CONCRETE DAM

Sheet 2 of 11

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
ANY NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE	About 20 gpm seeping from a large crack at the invert of the drop inlet on right side.	All concrete in the dam must be replaced or repaired.
STRUCTURE TO ABUTMENT/EMBANKMENT JUNCTIONS	8-inch diameter trees have grown up through the concrete of the left abutment and the retaining wall on the left side of the dam.	Trees must be removed & resulting voids must be back-filled with suitable compacted material.
DRAINS	None known of.	
WATER PASSAGES	Extensive water passages through the deteriorated concrete on upstream face of the dam at the water line.	Refer to recommendation at the top of this sheet.
FOUNDATION	Unknown.	

## CONCRETE DAM

Sheet 3 of 11

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SURFACE CRACKS CONCRETE SURFACES	Extensive surface cracking of the concrete of the entire dam.	Refer to the recommendation at the top of sheet 2.
STRUCTURAL CRACKING	Retaining wall of downstream face of the embankment con- tains large diagonal cracks about 2 inches wide.	Refer to the recommendation at the top of sheet 2.
VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT	Satisfactory	
MONOLITH JOINTS	None observed.	
CONSTRUCTION JOINTS	None observed.	



EMBANKMENT

Sheet 4 of 11

REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS

OBSERVATIONS

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF

SURFACE CRACKS

None observed.

UNUSUAL MOVEMENT OR  
CRACKING AT OR BEYOND  
THE TOE

None observed.

SLOUGHING OR EROSION OF  
EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT  
SLOPES

None observed.

VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL  
ALIGNMENT OF THE CREST

Appears to be satisfactory.

RIPRAP FAILURES

No riprap was observed.

EMBANKMENT

Sheet 5 of 11

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
DRAINS	None observed.	
JUNCTION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT, SPILLWAY AND DAM	Appears satisfactory.	
ANY NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE	Extensive seepage observed at the downstream toe of the left side of the dam. Entire area at toe is soft and wet and contains some standing water. About 30 gpm was observed discharging from the downstream retaining wall around the reservoir outlet conduit.	The source and nature of the seepage should be investigated.
STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER	None observed.	

OUTLET WORKS

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF		REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CRACKING AND SPALLING OF CONCRETE SURFACES IN OUTLET CONDUIT	OBSERVATIONS	
	None observed.	
INTAKE STRUCTURE	A 12-inch diameter concrete pipe is sealed off with a timber plank at the upstream face of the dam. This pipe discharges onto the invert of the concrete drop spillway 3.5' wide (upstream) by 4.5' long.	
OUTLET STRUCTURE	A 36-inch diameter concrete outlet conduit conducts water from the invert of the drop inlet through the downstream concrete retaining wall of the dam.	
OUTLET CHANNEL	The outlet channel is the natural stream channel which meets the spillway discharge channel about 100' downstream of the dam.	
EMERGENCY GATE	The emergency gate is a timber plank which is placed over the upstream end of the 12-inch diameter intake pipe.	

UNGATED SPILLWAY

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF		OBSERVATIONS		REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS	
CONCRETE WEIR		The concrete weir is in very poor condition. It consists of a 30-foot long wasteway and a 8-foot long principal spillway. The remains of an 18-inch thick concrete buttress stands at the center of the principal spillway.		All of the concrete should be either replaced or repaired.	
APPROACH CHANNEL		The impoundment is the approach channel.			
DISCHARGE CHANNEL		The discharge channel downstream of the principal spillway is concrete for about 25 feet. Discharge from the wasteway flows onto a concrete apron. The apron is in very poor condition. The outlet works channel joins the principal spillway discharging channel about 100' downstream from the dam.		All of the concrete should be either replaced or repaired.	
BRIDGE AND PIERS		None.			

GATED SPILLWAY

Sheet 8 of 11

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CONCRETE SILL	N/A	
APPROACH CHANNEL	N/A	
DISCHARGE CHANNEL	N/A	
BRIDGE AND PIERS	N/A	
GATES AND OPERATION EQUIPMENT	N/A	

INSTRUMENTATION

Sheet 9 of 11

REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS

OBSERVATIONS

VISUAL EXAMINATION

MONUMENTATION/SURVEYS

None observed.

OBSERVATION WELLS

None observed.

WEIRS

None observed.

PIEZOMETERS

None observed.

OTHER

RESERVOIR

Sheet 10 of 11

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
-----------------------	--------------	----------------------------

SLOPES

The slopes along the perimeter of the lake vary from about 5 to 15 percent. Most of the shore line is covered with trees, brush or grass.

SEDIMENTATION

An appreciable amount of the reservoir storage is filled with sediment.

Dredging of the impoundment should be considered.

DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL

Sheet 11 of 11

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
<p>CONDITION (OBSTRUCTIONS, DEBRIS, ETC.)</p>	<p>Discharge is directed to a bridge culvert under PA Rt. 611 about 300 feet downstream of the dam. The channel is obstructed with trees, brush and debris.</p>	
<p>SLOPES</p>	<p>The channel side slopes are ill defined averaging about 4H:IV. The channel invert slope varies between one and two percent.</p>	
<p>APPROXIMATE NO. OF HOMES AND POPULATION</p>	<p>Two houses are located on the crest of the dam. Both are occupied.</p>	<p>The dam is in the "High" hazard potential category.</p>




**ARLINGTON LAKE DAM**

11A

RAB

6/21/E

1541.014.111



SUBJECT

ARLINGTON LAKE DAM

SHEET

11B

BY


JFR

DATE

6-22-81

JOB NO

1841-014-111



PROFILE TOP OF DAM

APPENDIX B  
CHECKLIST  
ENGINEERING DATA

NAME OF DAM Arlington Lake Dam  
 ID # PA --321

Sheet 1 of 4

CHECK LIST  
 ENGINEERING DATA  
 DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION  
 PHASE I

REMARKS  
 Refer to Appendix E

ITEM  
 AS-BUILT DRAWINGS

Refer to Appendix E

REGIONAL VICINITY MAP

Refer to Section 1.2 g

CONSTRUCTION HISTORY

Refer to Appendix E

TYPICAL SECTIONS OF DAM

Refer to Appendix E

OUTLETS - PLAN

DETAILS

CONSTRAINTS

None Available

None Available.

DISCHARGE RATINGS

RAINFALL/RESERVOIR RECORDS

ITEM	REMARKS
DESIGN REPORTS	None Available
GEOLOGY REPORTS	None provided. Refer to Appendix F.
DESIGN COMPUTATIONS HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULICS DAM STABILITY SEEPAGE STUDIES	None Available.
MATERIALS INVESTIGATIONS BORING RECORDS LABORATORY } FIELD }	None Available.
POST-CONSTRUCTION SURVEYS OF DAM	None
BORROW SOURCES	Unknown

ITEM	REMARKS
MONITORING SYSTEMS	None
MODIFICATIONS	Refer to Section 1.2 g
HIGH POOL RECORDS	None Available.
POST CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING STUDIES AND REPORTS	None Available.
PRIOR ACCIDENTS OR FAILURE OF DAM DESCRIPTION REPORTS	Dam failed once in 1911 and again in 1912. Both failures drained the reservoir.
MAINTENANCE OPERATION RECORDS	None available.

ITEM	REMARKS
<div> <div>SPILLWAY PLAN</div> <div> <div>SECTIONS</div> <div>DETAILS</div> </div> </div>	<div>Refer to Appendix E.</div>
<div>OPERATING EQUIPMENT PLANS &amp; DETAILS</div>	<div>None.</div>
<div>MISCELLANEOUS</div>	

APPENDIX C  
PHOTOGRAPHS




## APPENDIX C

### SELECTED PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE PROJECT

	<u>Page No.</u>
Site Plan	A
<u>PHOTOGRAPH</u>	
<u>No.</u>	
1. View along the top of the dam from the left abutment. (5/5/81)	1
2. View of the upstream face of the dam showing the drop inlet weir and the condition of the retaining wall. (5/5/81)	1
3. Close-up view of eroded concrete on the upstream face of the dam. (5/5/81)	2
4. View of the principal spillway and wasteway looking toward the left abutment of the dam. (5/5/81)	2
5. View of the principal spillway and the remains of a buttress, looking upstream. (5/5/81)	3
6. View looking upstream at the spillway discharge channel. (5/5/81)	3
7. View of the drop inlet spillway weir and trash screens. (5/5/81)	4
8. View of the invert of the drop inlet showing seepage and the inlet of the 36-inch diameter reservoir outlet conduit. (5/5/81)	4
9. View of the outlet of the 36-inch diameter reservoir outlet showing seepage. (5/5/81)	5
10. View of the downstream retaining wall, through which the outlet conduit emerges, showing large structural cracks. (5/5/81)	5
11. View of the stream formed by seepage at the reservoir outlet conduit. (5/5/81)	6
12. View of standing seepage water at the downstream toe of the embankment to the left of the drop inlet. (5/5/81)	6

SUBJECT	ARLINGTON LAKE DAM	SHEET	A	BY	RAB	DATE	6/24/51	JOB NO.	1841.014.111
---------	--------------------	-------	---	----	-----	------	---------	---------	--------------




LEGEND:  
THE LOCATION AND  
DIRECTION IN WHICH EACH  
PHOTO WAS TAKEN AND  
THE NUMBER OF THE PHOTO

NOTE: NOT TO SCALE



1. VIEW ALONG THE TOP OF THE DAM FROM THE LEFT ABUTMENT.  
(5/5/81)



2. VIEW OF THE UPSTREAM FACE OF THE DAM SHOWING THE DROP  
INLET WEIR AND THE CONDITION OF THE RETAINING WALL.  
(5/5/81)




3. CLOSE-UP OF ERODED CONCRETE ON THE UPSTREAM FACE OF THE DAM. (5/5/81)



4. VIEW OF THE PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY AND WASTEWAY LOOKING TOWARD THE LEFT ABUTMENT OF THE DAM. (5/5/81)



5. VIEW OF THE PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY AND THE REMAINS OF THE BUTTRESS, LOOKING UPSTREAM. (5/5/81)



6. VIEW LOOKING UPSTREAM AT THE SPILLWAY DISCHARGE CHANNEL. (5/5/81)



7. VIEW OF THE DROP INLET SPILLWAY WEIR AND TRASH SCREENS.  
(5/5/81)



8. VIEW OF THE INVERT OF THE DROP INLET SHOWING SEEPAGE  
AND THE INLET OF THE 36-INCH DIAMETER RESERVOIR OUTLET  
CONDUIT. (5/5/81)



9. VIEW OF THE OUTLET OF THE 36-INCH DIAMETER RESERVOIR  
OUTLET CONDUIT SHOWING SEEPAGE. (5/5/81)



10. VIEW OF THE DOWNSTREAM RETAINING WALL, THROUGH WHICH  
THE OUTLET CONDUIT EMERGES, SHOWING LARGE STRUCTURAL  
CRACKS. (5/5/81)



11. VIEW OF THE STREAM FORMED BY SEEPAGE AT THE RESERVOIR  
OUTLET CONDUIT. (5/5/81)



12. VIEW OF STANDING SEEPAGE WATER AT THE DOWNSTREAM TOE  
OF THE EMBANKMENT TO THE LEFT OF THE DROP INLET.  
(5/5/81)



APPENDIX D  
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC  
ENGINEERING DATA

ARLINGTON LAKE DAM  
APPENDIX D  
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC ENGINEERING DATA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>SHEET</u>
Checklist, Hydrologic and Hydraulic Engineering Data	1
HEC-1, Revised, Flood Hydrograph Package	2
Stage-Storage Data	3
PMP Calculations	3
Snyder Coefficients	3
Principal Spillway Discharge	4
Wasteway Discharge	4
Drop Inlet Discharge	4
Nomograph: "Headwater Depth for Concrete Pipe Culverts With Inlet Control"	5
Stage-Discharge Data	6
Dam Overtopping Discharge	6
HEC-1 Dam Safety Version,      Hydrograph Computer Printout	7 through 10

CHECK LIST  
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC  
ENGINEERING DATA

DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS: 1.70 MI.<sup>2</sup> BRUSHLAND & WOODLAND MILD TO MODERATE  
SLOPE, SOME URBAN DEVELOPMENT  
ELEVATION TOP NORMAL POOL (STORAGE CAPACITY): EL. 474.8 MSL (14 AC-FT)  
ELEVATION TOP FLOOD CONTROL POOL (STORAGE CAPACITY): N/A  
ELEVATION MAXIMUM DESIGN POOL: N/A  
ELEVATION TOP DAM: LOW POINT EL. 477.8 MSL

## SPILLWAY

- a. Elevation PRINCIPAL SPWY. EL. 474.8 MSL, WASTEWAY EL. 476.7 MSL
- b. Type UNGATED CONCRETE OVERFLOW SPILLWAY
- c. Width PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY: 1 FT., WASTEWAY: 1 FT.
- d. Length PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY: 8 FT., WASTEWAY: 30 FT.
- e. Location Spillover AT LEFT DAM ABUTMENT
- f. Number and Type of Gates NONE

## OUTLET WORKS:

- a. Type DROP INLET WITH 3 FT. WEIR LENGTH (EL. 476.3)
- b. Location APPROXIMATE MIDPOINT OF DAM
- c. Entrance inverts INVERT OF 12-IN. PIPE: EL. 471.9 MSL
- d. Exit inverts INVERT OF 36-IN. PIPE: EL. 466.1 MSL
- e. Emergency draindown facilities STOPLOG AT INLET TO 12-IN. PIPE

## HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL GAGES: NONE

- a. Type N/A
- b. Location N/A
- c. Records NONE

MAXIMUM NON-DAMAGING DISCHARGE: UNKNOWN

HEC-1, REVISED  
FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE

The original "Flood Hydrograph Package" (HEC-1), developed by the Hydrologic Engineering Center, Corps of Engineers, has been modified for use under the National Dam Inspection Program. The "Flood Hydrograph Package (HEC-1), Dam Safety Version", hereinafter referred to as, HEC-1, Rev., has been modified to require less detailed input and to include a dam breach analysis. The required input is obtained from the field inspection of a dam, any available design/evaluation data, relatively simple hydraulic calculations, or information from the USGS Quadrangle maps. The input format is flexible in order to reflect any unique characteristics of an individual dam.

HEC-1, Rev. computes a reservoir inflow hydrograph based on individual watershed characteristics such as: area, percentage of impervious surface area, watershed shape, and hydrograph characteristics determined from regional correlation studies by the Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District. The inflow is routed through the reservoir using spillway discharge data obtained from the field inspection or design data. Flood storage capacity is determined from USGS maps or design information and verified by the field inspection. In the event a spillway cannot discharge 0.5 PMF without overtopping and failure of the dam, downstream channel characteristics obtained from the field inspection and USGS maps are input and flows are routed downstream to the damage center and a dam breach analysis is performed ✓

Included in this Appendix are the HEC-1, Rev. pertinent input values and a summary print-out.

*11" High "hazard structures only*

PROJECT	SHEET	BY	DATE	JOB NO.
Arlington Lake Dam	3	B	4/10/81	1641-014-111

## Hydrology Calculations

Drainage Area (Planimetered from USGS Quad Sh.) = 1.70 mi.<sup>2</sup>

### Surface Area

<u>Elev.</u>		<u>Area (Acres)</u>
465	(Estimated Bottom of Impoundment)	0
475	(Normal Pool)	4.6
480		47.0

### PMP calcs. (HR 33)

Area is in Zone 6

24 hr., 200 mi.<sup>2</sup> rainfall = 22.2"

<u>Hr.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Rainfall</u>	<u>Δ Rainfall</u>
6	113	25.1"	25.1"
12	123	27.3"	2.2"
24	132	29.3"	2.0"
48	142	31.5"	2.2"

### Snyder Coefficients (Information provided by Batt. COE)

Area is in Zone 1

$$C_p = 0.45, C_x = 1.23$$

$$T_p = 1.23 (L \times L_{ca})^{0.3}$$

$$L \approx 1.82 \text{ miles}, L_{ca} \approx 1.15 \text{ miles}$$

$$T_p = 1.23 (1.82 \times 1.15)^{0.3}$$

$$T_p = 1.54 \text{ hrs.}$$



PROJECT	SHEET	BY	DATE	JOB NO.
ARLINGTON LAKE DAM	4	JFR	6-22-81	1841-014-111

LE 7/2/81

## HYDRAULICS CALCULATIONS

### PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY

$$Q_s = C L H_s^{3/2}$$

$$C = 3.0$$

$$L = 8 \text{ FT.}$$

$$H_s = \text{HEIGHT OF RES. WATER SURFACE ABOVE SPILLWAY CREST EL. 474.8}$$

### WASTEWAY

$$Q_w = C L_w H_w^{3/2}$$

$$C = 3.0$$

$$L_w = 30 \text{ FT.}$$

$$H_w = \text{HEIGHT OF THE RES. WATER SURFACE ABOVE THE WASTEWAY CREST EL. 476.7 (LOW TS.)}$$

### DROP INLET $\nless$ 36-IN. OUTLET CONDUIT

$$\text{WEIR CONTROL: } Q_o = C L H_o^{3/2}$$

$$C = 3.0$$


$$L = 3 \text{ FT.}$$

$$H_o = \text{HEIGHT OF RES. SURFACE ABOVE CREST EL. 476.3}$$

INLET CONTROL: SEE NOMOGRAPH ON FOLLOWING PAGE;  
BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS, JAN. 1963.

HW = HEIGHT OF RES. SURFACE ABOVE THE INVERT OF  
THE 36" DIA. CONCRETE OUTLET CONDUIT, EL. 471.2

# CHART 2



## HEADWATER DEPTH FOR CONCRETE PIPE CULVERTS WITH INLET CONTROL

HEADWATER SCALES 283  
REVISED MAY 1964

BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS JAN 1963



O'BRIEN &amp; GERE

ECT

ARLINGTON LAKE DAM

SHEET

6

BY

VFR

DATE

6-22-81

JOB NO.

1841-014-111

7/2/81

## SPILLWAY RATING DATA

W.S.E.	Hs (FT)	Qs (CFS)	Hw (FT)	Qw (CFS)	Hb (FT)	Hw (FT)	Qb (CFS)	Qt (CFS)
474.8	0	0					0	0
475.2	.4	6					6	6
475.6	.8	17					17	17
476.0	1.2	32					32	32
476.3	1.5	44			0	4.4	44	44
476.7	1.9	63	0	0	.4	4.8	2	65
477.1	2.3	84	.4	23	.8	5.2	6	113
477.5	2.7	106	.8	64	1.2	5.6	12	182
477.9	3.1	131	1.2	118	1.6	6.0	18	227
478.0	3.2	137	1.3	133				270
478.5	3.7	171	1.8	217				388
479.0	4.2	207	2.3	314	After the dam overtops flow through the 36" pipe will be negligible because the pipe will be submerged on each end.			
479.5	4.7	245	2.8	422				
480.0	5.2	285	3.3	546				
481.0	6.2	371	4.3	803				
482.0	7.2	464	5.3	1098				
483.0	8.2	564	6.3	1423				1562
484.0	9.2	670	7.3	1775				1987
485.0	10.2	782	8.3	2152				2445
								2934

## DAM OVERTOPPING

$$Q_h = CLH^{3/2} ; C = 2.6 , L(\text{MAX}) = 87' \text{ (EXCLUDING SPILLWAYS \& PORTALS OF CREST SUPPORTING HOUSES)}$$





SURFACE RUNOFF COMPUTATION														
RUNOFF TO ARLINGTON LAKE														
ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	ITAFE	JPLT	JPKT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO						
LAKE	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0						
HYDROGRAPH DATA														
INTG	TAREA	SNAP	TRSDA	TRSPC	RATIO	ISNOW	ISAME	LOCAL						
1	1.70	0.00	1.70	0.00	0.000	0	1	0						
PRECIP DATA														
TIME	IN5	IN3	IN2	IN4	IN3	IN2	IN3							
0.00	22.20	113.00	123.00	132.00	142.00	0.00	0.00							
TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS .300														
LOSS DATA														
LAOFT	STRAK	DLTKR	RTIOL	ERAIN	STKRS	RTION	STRTL	CNSTL	ALSHX	RTINP				
0	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	.03	0.00	0.00				
UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA														
TPE 1.04 CP=.45 NTR= 0														
RECESSION DATA														
STRTD= 1.00 UNCR=.05 RTION= 1.00														
UNIT HYDROGRAPH OF END-OF-PERIOD UNDIATES, LAGE 1.35 HOURS, CP= .45 VOL= 1.00														
10.	39.	77.	124.	227.	303.	303.	323.	328.						
312.	291.	272.	254.	237.	207.	193.	180.	168.						
157.	147.	137.	128.	119.	112.	104.	97.	91.						
79.	74.	59.	54.	50.	52.	47.	43.	85.						
40.	37.	35.	32.	30.	28.	26.	23.	21.						
20.	19.	17.	16.	15.	14.	13.	12.	11.						
10.	9.	8.	7.	6.	5.	4.	3.	3.						
5.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	3.	3.	3.						
3.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.						
END-OF-PERIOD FLOW														
10.0A	HR.MN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	COMP Q	NO.DA	HR.MN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	COMP Q	
SUM 25.22 22.82 2.40 146688.														
(341.71 580.71 51.71 4153.74)														

\*\*\*\*\*

# HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

OUTFLOW FROM ARLINGTON LAKE DAM

OUTFLOW FROM HUNTINGTON LAKE DAM														
ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	ITAFE	JPLT	JPKT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO						
DAM	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0						
ROUTING DATA														
OLDSS	CLOSS	AVG	IKES	ISAME	IUPT	IPMP	LSTR							
3.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0							
ROUTING DATA														
NSIPS	NSIEL	LAG	AMSKN	K	TGA	STORA	ISFRAT							
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							

sh 8

Sh 8

[illegible]

PEAK FLOW AND STORAGE (END OF PERIOD) SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE FLOOD-RATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTATIONS  
 FLOWS IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CUBIC METERS PER SECOND)  
 AREA IN SQUARE MILES (SQUARE KILOMETERS)

STATION	AREA	PLAN	RATIO 1	RATIO 2	RATIO 3	RATIO 4	RATIO 5	RATIO 6	RATIO 7	RATIO 8	RATIO 9
			.05	.10	.15	.20	.25	.30	.35	.40	.50
PROGRAPH AT	1.70 ( 4.40)	1 ( 5.68)	200. ( 11.35)	401. ( 11.35)	601. ( 17.03)	802. ( 22.70)	1002. ( 28.38)	1203. ( 34.05)	1403. ( 39.73)	1603. ( 45.41)	2004. ( 56.76)
ROUTED TO	1.70 ( 4.40)	1 ( 4.53)	160. ( 9.53)	337. ( 9.53)	540. ( 15.28)	733. ( 20.77)	925. ( 26.20)	1113. ( 31.53)	1301. ( 36.85)	1488. ( 42.13)	1864. ( 52.78)

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1 .....									
ELEVATION		INITIAL VALUE		SPILLWAY CREST		TOP OF DAM			
STORAGE		474.80		474.30		477.80			
OUTFLOW		14.		14.		51.			
		0.		0.		246.			
RATIO		MAXIMUM		MAXIMUM		DURATION		TIME OF	
OF		RESERVOIR		STORAGE		OVER TOP		MAX OUTFLOW	
PMF		DEPTH		AC-FT		CFS		HOURS	
		OVER DAM						HOURS	
		FEET							
.05		477.37		0.00		42.		0.00	
.10		478.20		.40		60.		3.83	
.15		478.63		.83		73.		5.67	
.20		478.95		1.15		83.		7.17	
.25		479.22		1.42		93.		8.33	
.30		479.47		1.67		103.		9.17	
.35		479.70		1.90		112.		10.00	
.40		479.92		2.12		122.		10.50	
.50		480.31		2.51		141.		11.50	

Sh 10


Sh 10

APPENDIX E  
REGIONAL VICINITY MAP  
&  
DRAWINGS

ARLINGTON LAKE DAM  
APPENDIX E  
DRAWINGS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Sheet No.</u>
Regional Vicinity Map, Figure 1	1
Plan, Elevations and Section of Albert's Dam on Big Meadow Creek, 1913	2
Plan of Proposed Changes in Albert's Dam on Big Meadow Creek, 1914	3



**FIGURE 1**  
**REGIONAL**  
**VICINITY MAP**  
**SCALE 1:24000**







UPSTREAM ELEVATION

858.0

A

ELEV 100.00

Proposed Road

Buckling

Ground Line

PLAN

Stone

Stone & Concrete Buckling

DRIVE

Edge of Slope


Edge of Slope

18" Cor. I.P.

B

SECTION AT AB

Scale 1"=5'





APPENDIX F  
GEOLOGY

## SITE GEOLOGY

### ARLINGTON LAKE DAM

Arlington Lake Dam is located in Monroe County, Pa., within the Pocono Plateau section of the Appalachian Plateau physiographic province, and underlain by Devonian marine sedimentary units of the Mahantango and probably the Marcellus formations. Overlying the bedrock in valleys and side slopes are drift deposits of both Wisconsin and earlier Illinois glacial epochs. Thickness of this overburden varies considerably as does the character of the deposits which range from clay and fine sand to boulder sizes. Dip of the bedrock units is about  $25^{\circ}$  NW and strike is approximately NE-SW.

Rock types in the Mahantango and Marcellus units ranges from gray fossiliferous shale and sandstone, to black, fissile, carbonaceous and calcareous shale. Jointing is well developed in both formations.

No major structural weaknesses are known to occur in the vicinity of the dam.

Permeability and porosity of the glacial deposits ranges from high in the sand and gravel zones to low or poor in clayey portions.

