



# $\texttt{AD} \ \texttt{A106610}$

PERDIDO DAM REYNOLDS COUNTY, MISSOURI MO 31042



# PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM



1



PREPARED BY: U. S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, ST. LOUIS

FOR: STATE OF MISSOURI

X

JANUARY 1979

81. 11 02 043

SUTION TO.

		READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSIO	DN NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	ALAY	06 640
TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Phase I Dam Inspection Report	;	
Perdido Dam (MO 31042)		Final Reput
Reynolds County, Missouri		5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(=)	<u></u>	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(=)
Consoer, Townsend and Associa	ites, Ltd.	( the second sec
41 - 1		DACHU3-70-C-0075
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND AD	DRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
U.S. Army Engineer District,	St. Louis	Min Man
Dam Inventory and Inspection	Section, LMSED-P	▶ ( <b>/2</b> ) <b>//</b> /
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRES	LOUIS, MO. 03101	
U.S. Army Engineer District.	St. Louis	// ) Jan <del>uary 19</del> 79
Dam Inventory and Inspection	Section, LMSED-P	D 13. NUMBER OF PAGES
210 Tucker Blvd., North, St.	Louis, Mo. 63101	Approximately 70
	aniaran tran controlling of	Tice) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (or this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
(MO 3104) Missouri SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	2), White River H • Phase I Inspec	asin, Reynolds County, tion Report.
. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if neces	eary and identify by block n	umber)
. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necee Dam Safety, Lake, Dam Inspect	eary and identity by block n ion, Private Dams	umber) 3
• KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde li necce Dam Safety, Lake, Dam Inspect • ABSTRACT (Continue en reverse ette M necces This report was prepared under Non-Federal Dams. This report respect to safety, based on av determine if the dam poses has	eary and identify by block n ion, Private Dams any and identify by block nur r the National Pr t assesses the ge vailable data and zards to human li	umber) s rogram of Inspection of eneral condition of the dam with on visual inspection, to .fe or property.



1.1042



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY ST. LOUIS DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 210 NORTH 12TH STREET ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63101

SUBJECT: Perdido Dam (Mo. 31042), Phase I Inspection Report

This report presents the results of field inspection and evaluation of Perdido Dam (Mo. 31042).

It was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams.

This dam has been classified as unsafe, non-emergency by the St. Louis District as a result of the application of the following criteria:

- 1) Spillway will not pass 50 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood.
- 2) Overtopping could result in dam failure.
- 3) Dam failure significantly increases the hazard to loss of life downstream.



## PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dam:Perdido Dam, Missouri Inv. No. 31042State Located:MissouriCounty Located:ReynoldsStream:Unnamed Tributary of East Fork of Black RiverDate of Inspection:October 2, 1978

#### Assessment of General Condition

Perdido Dam was inspected using the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams". These guidelines were developed by the Chief of Engineers, U.S. Army, Washington, D.C., with the help of Federal and state agencies, professional engineering organizations, and private engineers. The resulting guidelines are considered to represent a consensus of the engineering profession.

Based on the criteria in the guidelines, the dam is in the high hazard potential classification, which means that loss of life and appreciable property loss could occur in the event of failure of the dam. Five houses, two mobile homes, and one State Highway crossing would be subjected to flooding, with possible damage and/or destruction, and possible loss of life. Perdido Dam is in the intermediate size classification since it is more than 40 feet, but less than 100 feet high, and impounds more than 1,000 acre-feet, but less than 50,000 acre-feet of water.

Our inspection and evaluation indicates that the spillway of Perdido Dam does not meet the criteria set forth in the guidelines for a dam having the above size and hazard potential. Perido Dam is an intermediate size dam with a high hazard potential required by the guidelines to pass from one-half Probable Maximum Flood to the Probable Maximum Flood without overtopping. Since there are five houses downstream of the dam, the Probable Maximum Flood is the appropriate Spillway Design Flood (SDF). It was determined that the spillway will pass 19 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood without overtopping of the dam. Our evaluation indicates that the spillway will pass the 100-year flood; that is, a flood having a 1 percent chance of being equalled or exceeded during any given year.

The Probable Maximum Flood is defined as the flood discharge that may be expected from the most severe combination of critical meteorological and hydrologic conditions that are reasonably possible in the region.

Other deficiencies noted by the inspection team were a need for an annual inspection by a qualified professional engineer; lack of a maintenance schedule; a damaged concrete service spillway structure; and an unstable left bank of the emergency spillway channel. The lack of stability and seepage analyses on record is also a deficiency that should be corrected.

It is recommended that the owner take action to correct or control the deficiencies described above.



## PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Perdido 1 Dam, I.D. No. 31042

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sect. No.	Title	Page
SECTION 1	PROJECT INFORMATION	1
	1.1 General	1
	1.2 Description of Project	3
	1.3 Pertinent Data	7
SECTION 2	ENGINEERING DATA	9
	2.1 Design	9
	2.2 Construction	9
	2.3 Operation	9
	2.4 Evaluation	10
SECTION 3	VISUAL INSPECTION	12
	3.1 Findings	12
	3.2 Evaluation	15
SECTION 4	OPERATION PROECEDURES	17
	4.1 Procedures	17
	4.2 Maintenance of Dam ••••••••••	17
	4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities	17
	4.4 Description of Any Warning System in Effect .	18
	4.5 Evaluation	18
SECTION 5	HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	19
	5.1 Evaluation of Features	19

ĺ.

R,

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

1

Sect. No.	<u>Title</u>	Page
SECTION 6	STRUCTURAL STABILITY	23
	6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability	23
SECTION 7	ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES	25
	7.1 Dam Assessment	25
	7.2 Remedial Measures	27

## LIST OF PLATES

																									Plate No.
LOCATION	MAP	• •	•	•	•••	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	1
PLAN AND	ELEV	ATIC	ON	OF	DA	М	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	2
GENERAL	GEOLO	GIC	M	AP		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•		•		•	•		•	•	3

## APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN DURING INSPECTION
- APPENDIX B HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS

Ŕ

#### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

PERDIDO DAM, Missouri Inv. No. 31042

## SECTION 1: PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

#### a. Authority

The Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367 of August, 1972, authorizes the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of dam inspections. Inspection for the Perdido Dam was carried out under Contract DACW 43-78-C-0160 to the Department of the Army, St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers, by the engineering firms of Consoer, Townsend & Associates Ltd., and Engineering Consultants, Inc. (A Joint Venture), of St. Louis, Missouri.

b. Purpose of Inspection

The visual inspection of the Perdido Dam was made on October 2, 1978. The purpose of the inspection was to make a general assessment as to the structural integrity and operational adequacy of the dam embankment and its appurtenant structures.

-1-

#### c. Scope of Report

This report summarizes available pertinent data relating to the project; presents a summary of visual observations made during the field inspection; presents an evaluation of hydrologic and hydraulic conditions at the site; presents an evaluation as to the structural adequacy of the various project features; and assesses the general condition of the dam with respect to safety.

It should be noted that reference in this report to left or right abutments is as viewed looking downstream. Where left abutment or left side of the dam is used in this report, this also refers to west abutment or side, and right to the east abutment or side.

### d. Evaluation Criteria

Criteria used to evaluate the dam were furnished by the Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, in "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams", Appendix D. These guidelines were developed with the help of several Federal agencies and many state agencies, professional engineering organizations, and private engineers.

-2-

## 1.2 Description of the Project

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

It should be noted that design drawings are not available for the dam or appurtenant structures. The following description is based exclusively on observations and measurements made during the visual inspection.

1

The dam embankment is a rolled earthfill structure. The crest has a width of 30 feet, and a length of 407 feet. The crest elevation is set at 1,106.0 feet above MSL, and the maximum height of the embankment is 58 feet above the minimum streambed elevation along the centerline of the dam.

The upstream slope of the embankment section is constructed with a 1V to 3-3.5H slope. The slope is protected with a 2 to 3 foot thick layer of dumped riprap. The riprap was composed of hard, irregular blocks of granite to a maximum size of 2 feet in diameter, with most of the blocks 4 to 12 inches in diameter. The downstream embankment was constructed with a 1V to 3H slope, protected with a light vegetative cover.

Bedrock at the site and within the vicinity is composed of Pre-Cambrian age felsite and Cambrian age dolomite and sandstone. The rolling hills adjacent to the site are mantled by residual sands and clays, weathered products of the bedrock. Alluvial deposits are encountered along the stream course of the area.

-3-

The left abutment of the dam is founded in hard dolomite, while the right abutment and spillway are founded in felsite. Jointing is apparent in the felsite and the planes have attitudes of  $N15^{\circ}W$ ,  $75^{\circ}SW$ ;  $N30^{\circ}E$ ,  $84^{\circ}SE$ ; and  $N62^{\circ}W$ ,  $90^{\circ}$ . Exposures in the topographically lower portion of the spillway discharge channel indicate that a sandstone overlies the felsite; a soft clay lies between these two units, which suggests that they are possibly in fault contact. This clay may trend subparallel to one of the attitudes of the joints.

The service spillway is located in the embankment near the right abutment and consists of a concrete drop inlet box, 32 inches by 32 inches in plan, by 4 feet deep, on the upstream face of the embankment. This structure discharges into a 24-inch diameter corrugated metal pipe passing through the embankment. The pipe outlet discharges into a small ditch connecting to the emergency spillway channel. The drop inlet is covered by a light weight wire mesh screen mounted into a crude wooden frame.

A 24-inch diameter cast iron sluice gate is mounted on the upstream face of the drop inlet box with an invert 4 feet below the overflow inlet to the box. The position of the gate permits making downstream releases and drawing down the reservoir whenever the reservoir level is between the spillway crest and the gate invert.

The reservoir at Perdido Dam impounds approximately 1,150 acre-feet of water from a drainage area of 2.45 square miles.

-4-

#### b. Location

Perdido Dam is located on an unnamed tributary of the East Fork of the Black River, Reynolds County, Missouri, which is in the southeast quarter of the state. Perdido Lake can be reached by travelling south on Highway 21 from Graniteville, Missouri, for one mile, then south on Route N for 7 miles. The lake is approximatley 1/2 mile down the unmarked gravel road to the left. The nearest downstream community is Graniteville, which is approximately 6 miles northeast of the dam. The dam and reservoir are shown on the Johnson Shut-Ins Quandrangle Sheet (7.5 minute series) in Section 1, Township 33 North, Range 2 East.

## c. Size Classification

According to the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams", by the U.S. Department of the Army, Office of the Chief Engineer, the dam is classified in the dam size category as being "Intermediate" since its storage is more than 1,000 acre-feet, but less than 50,000 acre-feet. The dam is also classified as "Intermediate" in dam size category because its height is more than 40 feet, but less than 100 feet. The overall size classification is, accordingly, "Intermediate" in size.

#### d. Hazard Classification

The dam has been classified as having "High" hazard potential in the National Inventory of Dams, on the basis that in the event of failure of the dam or its appurtenances, excessive damage could occur to downstream property, together with the possibility of the loss of life. Our findings concur with the classification. The estimated damage zone extends

-5-

eight miles downstream of the dam. Within the first two miles downstream of the dam are four to five houses, two mobile homes, associated outbuildings, and one State highway crossing.

e. Ownership

Perdido Dam is owned by a private owner, Mr. William F. Simon, 540 Country Club Lane, Coronada, California 92118.

f. Purpose of Dam

The main purpose of the dam is to impound water for recreational use as a private lake .

g. Design and Construction History

Perdido Dam was constructed in 1975 by Helton Construction Company of Eldon, Missouri. Mr. Helton was the engineer on the job, and no formal plans or specifications were made.

h. Normal Operational Procedures

The dam is used to impound water for recreational use only. The lake is privately owned and operated, and receives a limited amount of use. The water level is controlled by rainfall, runoff, and evaporation. The water level is also maintained by the uncontrolled service and emergency spillways, and can be controlled somewhat by the sluice gate. It is believed that the water level is kept close to full at all times. The inspection team is not aware of any operational or water level records which are kept for Perdido Dam.

-6-

Since the owner of the lake lives out of state, a local resident serves as the caretaker.

## 1.3 Pertinent Data

Drainage Area (square miles): 2.45 a. Discharge at Damsite Ъ. Estimated experienced maximum flood (cfs): 500 Estimated ungated spillway capacity at maximum pool elevation (cfs): 1,500 Elevation (Feet above MSL) с. Top of dam: 1,106.0 Spillway crest: Service Spillway 1,100.0 Emergency Spillway 1,100.5 Minimum streambed elevation at centerline of dam: 1,048.0 Maximum tailwater: Unknown d. Reservoir Length of maximum pool: 4,000 feet Storage (Acre-Feet) e.

Top of dam: 1,523

f. Reservoir Surface (Acres) Top of dam: 72 Spillway crest: 58

## g. Dam

Type:Rolled EarthfillLength:407 feet

-7-

. .

Height (maximum):	58 feet										
Top width:	30 feet										
Side slopes:											
Downstream	lV to 3H										
Upstream	1V to 3-3.5H										
Zoning:	None										
Impervious core:	The dam is reported to be con- structed with core material of "Solid Native Clay"										
Cutoff:	The dam is reported to have two cutoff trenches with base widths of 30 feet and side slopes of 1V to 3H										
Grout curtain:	None										
h. Diversion and Regu	lating Tunnel None										
i. Spillway											
Type:											
Service Spillway	Uncontrolled Drop Inlet										
Emergency Spillway	Uncontrolled Open Channel										
Length of weir:											
Service Spillway	10.68 feet										
Emergency Spillway	35 feet										
Crest Elevation (feet above MSL):											
Service Spillway	1,100										

j. Regulating Outlets

Emergency Spillway

Ņ

Δ.

-

Type:	24-inch diameter corrugated metal pipe
Length:	75 feet
Closure:	24-inch diameter cast iron sluice gate
Maximum Capacity:	30 cfs

1,100.5

-8-

## SECTION 2: ENGINEERING DATA

## 2.1 Design

Design drawings are not available for the dam and appurtenant structures. The dam was designed in 1975 by the owner of Helton Construction Company. Conversations with the owner indicate that the dam was constructed of native clay, compacted with a sheeps-foot roller. Two cores, with a base width of 30 feet and side slopes of 1V to 3H, were reportedly excavated to an unknown depth into the alluvium for cut-off.

## 2.2 Construction

The dam was constructed in 1975 by Helton Construction Company. No construction data is available for the dam or appurtenant structures.

## 2.3 Operation

No operation data is available for Perdido Dam.

-9-

. . .

## 2.4 Evaluation

## a. Availability

No design drawings, design computations, construction data, or operation data is available.

In addition, no pertinent data was available for review of hydrology spillway capacity, flood routing through the reservoir, outlet capacity, slope stability, seepage analysis, or foundation conditions.

b. Adequacy

The available engineering data is inadequate to aid in evaluating the hydraulic and hydrologic capabilities and stability of the dam for Phase I investigations.

The lack of engineering data did not allow for a definitive review and evaluation. Therefore, the adequacy of this dam could not be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing and evaluating design, operation and construction data, but is based primarily on visual inspection, past performance history, and sound engineering judgment.

Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" were not available, which is considered a deficiency. These seepage and stability analyses should be performed for appropriate loading conditions (including earthquake loads) and made a matter of record.

-10-

c. Validity

Ŋ,

K

No valid engineering data is available.



Sec. Sec. 1

## SECTION 3: VISUAL INSPECTION

## 3.1 Findings

a. General

A visual inspection of Perdido Dam was made on October 2, 1978. The following persons were present during the inspection:

Name	Affiliation	Disciplines				
Yin Au-Yeung	Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Project Engineer, Hydraulics and Hydrology				
David Bramwell	Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Geology				
Jon Diebel	Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Soils				
John Ismert	Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Mechanical				
Kevin Blume	Consoer, Townsend & Assoc., Ltd.	Civil & Structural				

Specific observations are discussed below.

b. Dam

The crest of the dam appears to have been covered with the smaller gravel and sand-sized rock excavated from the spillway. This material is providing excellent protection for the crest.

The riprap on the upstream embankment slope is providing excellent protection for the embankment material. No degradation due to weathering of the blocks was observed. Some minor surface erosion is occurring on the downstream

-12-

embankment slope. The condition has progressed further at the abutment contacts, but is not serious at this time. A small scarp was observed along the contact of the embankment fill and the ground surface. The embankment material that could be obtained on the downstream slope appeared to be a clay with 20 to 40 percent sands and gravels. The material would be classified as CL by the Uniform Soil Classification System.

No signs of past or present instability was seen on the embankment or in the foundation at any location. Also, no seepage was observed on the downstream embankment slope or downstream of the toe of the dam.

c. Appurtenant Structures

(1) Spillway

The service spillway concrete intake structure has been damaged. The entire concrete structure was tilted due to ice over 24 inches thick in the lake in the winter of 1977. The 24-inch C.M.P. and the discharge channel are in adequate condition.

The emergency spillway is an open channel cut into rock. It is a well defined and clean channel. However, after the spring floods of 1977, the emergency spillway channel began to shift and erode into the west bank, which is constructed with dumped material. The most critical location is at approximately 150 feet downstream from the spillway crest. At this location, the left (west) bank of the spillway channel wall is less than 3 feet thick.

-13-

## (2) Outlet Works

Observations were made of the drop inlet structure, the handwheel operator and its support for the sluice gate, and the downstream portal area of the 24-inch C.M.P.

The drop inlet structure has been tilted approximately  $5^{\circ}$  from its initially constructed position. The structure is still serviceable, as evidenced by the fact that there was no leakage into the pipe, even though the water level was 3 feet above the pipe.

The sluice gate was submerged, but was seen to be closed, as indicated by the position of the operating stem relative to the handwheel. The extreme upper end of the stem (at the handwheel) was bent. There was no leakage past this gate.

The downstream section of the outlet pipe was in good condition, showing no signs of significant deterioration.

The concrete surface showed effects of poor consolidation during construction, but the overall condition of the visible concrete was adequate for a small structure.

-14-

#### d. Reservoir Area

At the time of inspection, the water level in the reservoir was 1.5 feet below the emergency spillway crest. No indication of instability or severe erosion along the reservoir rim was apparent. At present, no development has occurred along the shoreline. The slopes adjacent to the reservoir contain thick forest and woodland.

#### e. Downstream Channel

Flow at the service spillway enters into the intake box, then through a 24-inch C.M.P., and into an unlined trapezoidal channel which is partially cut in rock. This channel is oriented in a south to north direction, and is approximately 300 feet long before draining into the emergency spillway discharge channel. The emergency spillway discharge channel is a rock channel for most of the length, until it reaches the downstream bend where the channel is in an earth cut. Erosion and sloughing are evident in this reach of the channel. Discharges from the spillway would flow into the former streambed of the tributary creek, which is generally a heavily wooded valley.

## 3.2 Evaluation

The visual inspection did not exhibit any items which are sufficiently significant to indicate a need for immediate remedial action.

The following items were observed which could affect the safety of the dam, or which will require maintenance within a reasonable period of time.

-15-

- 1. The tilted service spillway intake structure.
- 2. The eroded left bank of the emergency spillway discharge channel.

٩

. . .

#### SECTION 4: OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

١

#### 4.1 Procedures

Perdido Dam is used to impound water from rainfall and runoff for recreational use only. Normal procedure is to let the lake remain as close to full as possible. The owner presently lives out of state and the lake and dam are maintained by a local resident.

#### 4.2 Maintenance of Dam

Because of the fact that the dam is just over 3 years old, its slopes and crest seem to be in good condition. Most of the problems observed at the damsite involve the spillway inlet structure, and the emergency spillway discharge channel. The west side (left bank) of the emergency spillway channel is badly eroded and generally unstable. The concrete intake structure for the service spillway is tilted approximately 5° from its original position, and its stability is questionable.

#### 4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

The only facility at the damsite which requires operation is the 24-inch sluice gate which is located on the south side of the intake structure. The extreme upper end of the operating stem for the sluice gate was bent. At the top of the 32-inch square opening to the tower is a very light weight screen which should be replaced with a trashrack of heavier construction. There are no available maintenance of operating records for the dam and appurtenant structures.

## 4.4 Description of Any Warning System in Effect

The inspection team is not aware of any existing warning system in effect.

.

## 4.5 Evaluation

Generally speaking, the operation and maintenance at the damsite is adequate. To improve the operational adequacy of the dam, the corrective measures outlined in this report, concerning the emergency spillway erosion and the service intake, should be undertaken within a reasonable period of time.

-18-

#### SECTION 5: HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

#### 5.1 Evaluation of Features

a. Design

No hydrologic design data is available.

Perdido Lake has a watershed of approximately 1,560 acres. Land gradients in the watershed average roughly 25 percent. The lake is located on in unnamed tributary of the East Fork of the Black River.

Elevations within the watershed range from approximately 1,050 feet above MSL at the damsite to over 1,550 feet above MSL in the upper portion of the watershed.

The watershed is approximately 95 percent covered by forest and woodland, with the remainder being covered by grass and brush. A drainage map showing the watershed area is included in Appendix B.

Evaluation of the hydraulic and hydrologic features of Perdido Dam was based on criteria set forth in the Corps of Engineers' <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of</u> <u>Dams</u>, and additional guidance provided by the St. Louis District of the Corps of Engineers. The Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) was calculated from the Probable Maximum Preciptation (PMP) using the methods outlined in the U.S. Weather Bureau Publication, Hydrometerological Report No. 33. The probable maximum storm duration was set at 24 hours, and storm rainfall distribution was based on criteria given in

-19-

EM 1110-2-1411 (Standard Project Storm). The SCS triangular hydrograph, transformed to a curvilinear hydrograph, was adopted for developing the unit hydrograph. The derived unit hydrograph is presented in Appendix B.

Initial and infiltration loss rates were applied to the PMP to obtain rainfall excesses. The rainfall excesses were then applied to the unit hydrograph to obtain the PMF hydrograph, utilizing the Corps of Engineers' computer program HEC-1 (Dam Safety Version), which was prepared specifically for dam safety analysis. The computed peak discharge of the PMF and one-half of the PMF are 21,151 cfs and 10,575 cfs, respectively.

Both the PMF and one-half of the PMF inflow hydrographs were routed through the reservoir by the Modified Puls Method, also utilizing the HEC-1 (Dam Safety Version) computer program. The peak outflow discharges for the PMF and one-half of the PMF are 17,189 cfs and 7,280 cfs, respectively. Both the PMF and one-half of the PMF, when routed through the reservoir, resulted in overtopping of the dam.

The stage-outflow relation for the spillways were prepared from field notes and sketches. The reservoir stagecapacity data were based on the U.S.G.S. quadrangle topographic maps. Reservoir storage capacity included surcharge levels exceeding the top of the dam, and the spillway rating curves assumed that the dam remains intact during routing. The spillway rating curves and the reservoir capacity curve are also presented in Appendix B.

-20-

From the standpoint of dam safety, the hydrologic design of a dam aims at avoiding overtopping. Overtopping is especially dangerous for an earth dam because the downrush of waters over the crest will erode the dam face and, if continued long enough, will breach the dam embankment and release all the stored waters suddenly into the downstream floodplain. The safe hydrologic design of a dam calls for a spillway discharge capability in combination with an embankment crest height that can handle a very large and exceedingly rare flood without overtopping.

The Corps of Engineers designs its dams to safely pass the Probable Maximum Flood that is estimated could be generated from the upstream watershed. This is the generally accepted criterion for major dams throughout the world, and is the standard for dam safety where overtopping would pose any threat to human life.

## b. Experience Data

No records of reservoir stage or spillway discharge are maintained for this site. However, according to interviews with the owner's representative, the maximum reservoir level was in April 1977, which was lower than the crest of the embankment.

## c. Visual Observations

The intake box on the service spillway is tilted approximately  $5^{\circ}$  from its original position. The emergency spillway discharge channel bed is in rock, and is in good condition, except that the left bank of the discharge channel which is badly eroded and shows signs of instability.

-21-

There is no drawdown facilities to evacuate the reservoir. The spillway and exit channel are located very close to the right abutment of the dam. If the unstable condition at the left bank of the emergency spillway channel is not corrected, future discharges will rapidly erode this bank and allow water to flow down the embankment slope.

## d. Overtopping Potential

As indicated in Section 5.1-a., both the Probable Maximum Flood and one-half of the Probable Maximum Flood, when routed through the reservoir, resulted in overtopping of the dam. The PMF and one-half of the PMF overtopped the dam crest by 4.96 feet and 2.59 feet, respectively. The total duration of embankment overflow is 6.50 hours during the PMF, and 4.50 hours during one-half of the PMF. The spillway of the Perdido Dam is capable of passing a flood equal to approximately 19 percent of the PMF just before overtopping of the dam. The 19 percent PMF has a frequency occurrence approximately equal to a one percent chance flood.

The effect from rupture of the dam could extend approximately eight miles downstream of the dam. There are four to five farmhouses, two mobile homes and associated outbuildings, and one State highway crossing within the first two miles of the floodplain area.

-22-

## SECTION 6: STRUCTURAL STABILITY

## 6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

## a. Visual Observations

There were no signs of settlement or distress observed on the embankment or foundation during the visual inspection. The upstream slope, crest, and downstream slope are well protected by either riprap or vegetation. Seepage was not observed on the downstream slope or beyond the toe of the embankment.

The left bank of the emergency spillway channel, approximately 150 feet downstream from the emergency spillway, is in an unstable condition. If this condition is not corrected, future discharges will rapidly erode this bank, allowing water to flow onto and erode the right side of the downstream slope of the dam.

b. Design and Construction Data

No design or construction data relating to the structural stability of the dam or appurtenant structures were found.

-23-

### c. Operating Records

No operating records are available relating to the stability of the dam or appurtenant structures. Water levels have not been recorded, however, the reservoir was within 1 foot of being full on the day of inspection, and is assumed to be close to full at all times. The only operation facility at the dam is the sluice gate attached to the service spillway structure.

d. Post Construction Changes

No post construction changes exist which will affect the structural stability of the dam.

e. Seismic Stability

In general, projects located in Seismic Zones 0, 1 and 2 can be assumed to present no hazard from earthquake, provided the static stability conditions are satisfactory and conventional safety margins exist. Perdido Dam is located in Seismic Zone 2. A detailed seismic analysis is not felt to be necessary for this embankment.

#### SECTION 7: ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

## 7.1 Dam Assessment

The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

It should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team.

It is also important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that an unsafe condition could be detected.

a. Safety

The spillway was found to be capable of passing a flood equal to 19 percent of the PMF without overtopping the dam.

The physical condition of Perdido Dam is in generally satisfactory. The major problems with the dam and appurtenant structures involve the spillways. The service spillway structure should be repaired by resetting the con-

-25-

crete box inlet in a vertical position, and grouting around the structure to prevent future damage. A trashrack with bars should replace the existing wire mesh cover. The emergency spillway's left bank is in an unstable condition following recent discharges due to flooding. The spillway channel is sloped toward the left bank, causing flow through the spillway to concentrate in this area. It is predicted that future discharges through the spillway channel would rapidly breach the left bank, causing water to flow across the abutment and onto the downstream embankment.

b. Adequacy of Information

Information concerning the dam and appurtenant structures is not available. It is recommended that the following programs be initiated to help alleviate this problem:

- Periodic inspection of the dam by an engineer experienced in the design and construction of earth dams.
- Set up a maintenance schedule and log all visits to the dam for operation, repairs and maintenance.
- 3. The dam should be surveyed and an as-built set of plans and drawings should be completed.
- Perform seepage and stability analyses comparable to the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams".

-26-
c. Urgency

The remedial measures recommended in Paragraph 7.2 should be accomplished in the near future.

d. Necessity for Phase II Inspection

Rased on results of the Phase I inspection, and if the remedial measures recommended in Paragraph 7.2 are undertaken as soon as possible, a Phase II inspection is not felt to be necessary.

### 7.2 Remedial Measures

#### a. Alternatives

Possible alternatives for increasing the spillway capacity include:

- Widening the spillway by excavating further into the right abutment.
- 2. Lowering the elevation of the spillway crest.
- 3. Raising the dam embankment.
- 4. Combination of the above.
- b. Repair the concrete service spillway structure.

-27-

c. Reconstruct the emergency spillway channel to slope toward the right bank, and stabilize the eroded left bank.

d. 0 & M Maintenance Procedures

The owner should initiate the following programs:

- Periodic inspection of the dam by a professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of earth dams.
- 2. Set up a maintenance schedule and log all visits to the dam for operation, repairs and maintenance.
- 3. The dam should be surveyed and an as-built set of plans and drawings should be completed.
- 4. Seepage and stability analyses should be performed by a professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of dams.

PLATES



LOCATION MAP PERDIDO DAM REYNOLDS COUNTY, MISSOURI

ī





#### Explanation

### Ordovician System

 ${}^{0}r$  - sandstone, chert, dolomite.  ${}^{0}g$  - cherty dolomite, with a basal sandstone <u>Cambrian System</u>

€ ep - dolomite and chert € eb - dolomites, limestones, shale and sandstone. € lm - sandstone: coarse grained to conglomeratic Pre-Cambrian System

- d Diabace and basalt.
- g Granites.
- f Felsites.

Reference: Geologic Map of Missouri, 1961, Division of Geological Survey and Water Resources, State of Missouri.

General Geologic Map

APPENDIX A PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN DURING INSPECTION

١

S

in the second second

#### PERDIDO LAKE DAM

- Photo 1 View along crest of dam tiken at right abutment.
- Photo 2 View along upstream slope of embankment taken from spillway approach channel. Note intake structure for service spillway.
- Photo 3 Close-up of typical section of upstream slope of embankment.
- Photo 4 View of downstream slope of embankment taken from downstream of dam.
- Photo 5 Picture of downstream slope of embankment taken near right side of dam.
- Photo 6 Discharge end of corrugated metal pipe used for service spillway.
- Photo 7 Discharge channel for service spillway.
- Photo 8 Approach channel for emergency spillway. Note post for former fence across spillway.
- Photo 9 Picture of emergency spillway channel taken from upstream.
- Photo 10 Picture of downstream channel of emergency spillway taken from upstream.
- Photo 11 Picture of left bank of emergency spillway discharge channel. Note eroded bank in center of picture.
- Photo 12 Close-up of eroded left bank of emergency spillway discharge channel.
- Photo 13 Picture of small eroded channel at downstream end of emergency spillway discharge channel.

Photo 14 - View of downstream channel below dam. Note picnic tables.



Photo 1 - View along crest of dam taken at right abutment.



Photo 2 - View along upstream slope of embankment taken from spillway approach channel. Note intake structure for service spillway.



Photo 3 - Close-up of typical section of upstream slope of embankment.

i

ĥ



Photo 4 - View of downstream slope of embankment taken from downstream of dam.



4

\$

ł

i

Photo 5 - Picture of downstream slope of embankment taken near right side of dam.



Photo 6 - Discharge end of corrugated metal pipe used for service spillway.



Photo 7 - Discharge channel for service spillway.



Photo 8 - Approach channel for emergency spillway. Note post for former fence across spillway.



Photo 9 - Picture of emergency spillway channel taken from upstream.



Photo 10 - Picture of downstream channel of emergency spillway taken from upstream.

3.



Photo 11 - Picture of left bank of emergency spillway discharge channel. Note eroded bank in center of picture.



Photo 12 - Close-up of eroded left bank of emergency spillway discharge channel.



Photo 13 - Picture of small eroded channel at downstream end of emergency spillway discharge channel.



Photo 14 - View of downstream channel below dam. Note picnic tables.

APPENDIX B HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS



# ELA ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

DAM. SAFETY. IN	ISPECTION -	Missouri	SHEET NO OP
PER DIDO	DAM		JOB NO. 1223 - 001 -1
RESER VOIR AN	REA CAPACITY	DATA	BY KL 8 DATE 11-16-78

(n'n

PERDÍDO DAM

RESERVOIR AREA CAPACITY PATA.

ELEVATION (FT)	RESERVOIR SURFACE AREA (ACRES)	INCREMENTAL Volume (AC - FT)	TOFAL VOLUME (AC · FT)	REMARKS
10 56	o	-	0	
1060	5,30	7.1	7.1	AREA MEASURED ON U.S.G.S. MAP.
1080	24.24	295.4	30215	AREA MEASURED ON U.S.G.S. MAP
1100	58,33	825.7	1128.2	ASSUMED SPINWAY CREST ELEV, AREA MEASURED
1100.5	60,5	29.7	1157.9	EMERGENCY SAIIWAY ELEVATION
1106.0	72,4.	365.5	1523.4	TOP OF DAM ELEVATION
1120	106.81	1254.5	2 777, <b>9</b>	ARFA MEASURED ON U.S.G.S. MAP.
1140	162.81	2696.2	5474, 1	ARFA MEASURED ON U.S.G.S. MAP.

-



.

3.

RATING CHAVE



Ş

PERDIDO DAM Reservoir capacity curve

602

		лирпт.т.Ф	ти .т. Ю,	INC.
WARE DAEL 12 21151 HEATI	MIS	5012121	SHEET NO.	I OF
PENILLO, JAM	- 10162 1 00	· 8 - 1 5/48 D 214 1	JOB NO. 12	1001
	4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5	24-08-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-	BY JUINO	. DATE 1412170
	Entra Strand	5		
	_T)	<u>ما</u>	. * ***** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	<b>1</b> . <b>1</b> .
10 > 5 5	n	<b>\$</b>		▶ <b></b>
.* 7	н С	4		
37.8 7.19	02 = 21 = 21 = 2	223 2511 4129 10397 21283	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••• ••• •••
le's	, T	<b>4</b> 02 <b>4</b> 02 <b>4</b> 02 <b>4</b> 02 <b>4</b> 02 <b>4</b> 02		
<b>T T T</b>	5 C	55558 77777		
-	<sup>7</sup>			
	Upstream W.S. EL.	1101-25 1103-46 1106-35 1108-48 1113-40	1 1 4	
401	= Ac. Ke.	201 574 1660 2346 2722 3777 5983		
T t	200	000-4 mm 000-4 mm 000-4 mm 000-4 mm 000-4 mm 00-4 mm 0		
. 1106	Vc1 =5.67 ×1 Ac1	5.61 12.65 12.65 12.65 14.11 5.84		
	Acı	35.75 73.00 152.00 193.75 193.75 247.69 215.69 217.69		
	43	26.0 47.0 43.55 43.55 43.55 43.55 43.55 43.55		
	y <sub>c1</sub>	- 24 10 3 - 0		



	)Am S	AFETY IN	SPECTION	MISSOURI		SHEET NO.	3. OF
	. 8	PERDILO	DAM .			JOB NO. 1.2	23-001
$\sim$	ERVICE	SPILLWAY	1 ISCHARGE	CAPACITY	-	BY MAS	DATE 11/14/78
				,	e presidente	Um	• • • •
			Q= U.46	x TLX12 164	-4 (1100.5	-1096)	
			- 25	efe > Au			

Q when W.S EL @ 1101.99 a) Weirr flow Q = 3.01 × 2.67 × 4× (1101.99 - 1100)<sup>1.5</sup> = 90 cfs b) Pize flow Q = 0.46 × TL×1<sup>2</sup> × V 64.4 (101.99 - 1096).

Upotveam W.S. Élev.	HT	Q = 0.46AV29HT = 11.60VHT
1101 · 99 1103 · 46 1106 · 35 1107 · 78 1108 · 48 1110 · 59 1113 · 40	5.99 7.46 10.35 11.78 12.48 14.59 17.40	28 cfs 32 : 37 : 40 : 41 : 44 : 48 :

DAM SAFETY INSFECTION/MISSOURI SHEET NO. 4 OF PERDIDO DAM JOB NO. 1223-001 - SPILLWAYS' & OVERTOP DISCHARGE CAPACITY BY MAS DATE 1/14/78

Upstream W.S. Elev.	Discharge Through Service Spillmay	Discharge Through Em Spillmayde Overlop of dam	total discharge
1100.00	0	ΰ	0
1100.5	11	0	<b>W</b> =
1101-99	28	201	229
1103.46	32	574	606
1106.35	37	1883	1920
1107.78	40	4857	4897
1108.48	41	6851	6,892
1110.50	44	14174	14218
1113.40	48	27.288	27,336

-

PERDIDO DAM UNIT HYDROGRAPH PARAMETERS.	BY MAB DATE	E_11=6=ZØ
1 ORAINAGE AREA - 1571 AC = 2,45 3	rq, mi.	•
2. LENGTH OF STREAM L= S.9"+ 2	vo <b>/ 5280 *</b> 2.23 M	<b>/</b>
3. DIFFERENCE IN ELEVATION, AH		
AH = 1550 - 1100 = 450 FT		:
4. TIME OF CONCENTRATION, TO $T_{c} = \left(\frac{11.9 \times L^{3}}{44}\right)^{0.385}$		
$T_c = 0.62 \text{ HR}$		· · · · · · · ·
5. LAG TIME, Lt = 0.6 + Te	• •	:
Lt = 0.6 × 0.62 = 0.37 HR	· · · ·	· · · ·
6. RAINFAIL UNIT PURATION, D		• • • •
$0 \leq \frac{L_{\#}}{4} = \frac{0.37}{9} = 0.09 HR$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
USE D= 5 MIN = 0.083 HR.		
7 TIME TO PEAK, TP		- 
$T_p = \frac{p}{2} + 0.6 + T_c$		ţ
Tp = 0.042 + 0.6 + 0.62		
$T_P = 0.41 \ HR$		· ·
$8 \ P = \frac{484 \ A}{T_{P}} = \frac{484 \ X}{0.41}$	= 2812	
		1
	· · · · · ·	•

. •

1 13:4-1 - MA

,

## ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION - MISSOURI SHEET NO. 2 OF PERDIDO DAM LINIT HYDROGRAPH DERIVATION

JOB NO. 1223 -001 -1 BY MLB DATE 1-6.78 in a second . . .

9) CURVILINEAR UNIT HYDROGRAPH

TIME	DISCHARGE RATIO	CINIT HY	ORDERAPH
TITP	8/91	TIME, T HR	Dischar GE & (CFS)
0.000 0.1 0.2 0.9 0.5 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	0.000 0.015 0.075 0.16 0.28 0.45 0.60 0.77 0.89 0.97 1.00 0.98 0.97 1.00 0.98 0.97 0.89 0.97 0.89 0.75 0.66 0.75 0.66 0.75 0.66 0.75 0.66 0.75 0.66 0.75 0.89 0.75 0.89 0.75 0.66 0.75 0.98 0.75 0.89 0.75 0.89 0.75 0.89 0.75 0.89 0.75 0.89 0.75 0.89 0.75 0.89 0.75 0.89 0.75 0.89 0.75 0.66 0.75 0.89 0.75 0.66 0.75 0.0075 0.009 0.	0.000 0.04 0.08 0.12 0.21 0.25 0.33 0.37 0.41 0.45 0.45 0.53 0.57 0.66 0.74 0.78 0.74 0.78 0.74 0.78 0.74 0.78 0.74 0.78 0.79 0.78 0.79 0.75 1.15 1.23 1.44 1.85 2.05	0,000 93,38 216,90 962,72 809,76 1301.40 1735.20 2226.84 2573.88 2805.86 2892.0. 2834.16 2429,00 1908.72 1619,52 1214.64 925,44 694.08 520,56 375,96 283,42 216.90 104,11 52.06 26.03 11,57



t

. .

PERDIDO DAM 5 MINUTE UNIT HYDROGRAPH

TAM SALENT UNCHECTION / MUSSOULT SHEET NO. 1. OF 2 PERDILO DAM JOB NO. 1223-001 FROGRAGE STAXIOUM STORM (FMG) BY MAG DATE 11/20/78 E E E E ETE E E E E E E E E . . . . . . . . . DETERMINATION OF FINS 1. Determine drainage area of the basin D.A. = 1571 acres = 2.45 sq.mi. 2. Determine SMP Sindex ramfall: Location of controid of basives: Long. 90.77; Lal: 37.63° > PMP for 200 Sq. mil & 24 frès duradion = 264" ( from Fig 1 HMR NO 33) 3. Determine basin rainfall interms of percerlage of PMP ender rainfall for various duractions: Location: Long, 90.77°; Lail, 37.63 = Zone 7

Duration	Hercent of Index rainfall	Total rainfall	Raim-fall increments	Durration of incre- ment
(Hrs.)	(,)	(Inches)	(Inches)	CHIL.)
6	100	26.4	26.4	6
12	120	31.7	5.3	6
24	130	34.3	2.6	SL

, ... **.** ..



# ELA ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION - MISSOURI SHEET NO. / OF PER DIDO DAM JOB NO. 1223-001-1 JOC YIAP FLOCH BY REGRESSION EQUATION BY KLB DATE 11-20-7 INT

PER DÍDO DAM

100 YEAR FLOOD BY REGRESSION' EQUATION

REGRESSION EQUATION FOR 100 YEAR FLOOD FOR MISSOURT:

WHERE

FOR PERDIDO DAM:

$$A = 2.45 \quad 5Q, \quad Mi_{1}$$

$$S = \frac{1456 - 1127}{0.75 \times 2.23} = 196.71 \quad Ft/Ft.$$

$$-0.02$$

$$G_{100} = 85.1 \quad (2.45) \quad 0.934(2.45) \quad (196.71)$$

4057 cFS



. 14:#33

P

		H					÷ (						•						54 		Ň	
				•		<b>.</b>		•		-											2	
					- - - -					с С		1999 - 1999 1999 - 1999 1999 - 1999 1999 - 1999										
		1		•		T.				•	** **				-0							
				1						-	-		•							17.1 M		
			•					181		00.8111	127336					1					7	
		بر ۲-		-	>!	HYDROGRAP	;	191 181	/ 			: { 1						7		113		
	Å	• •		HUTTKG		L SCB UNTT		0 2140	 		9 5474,1 9 5474,1	•		•								
		•		ATTON AND	, >	09, INPUT		2012 012	' ) [	-1100	920 48 3.4 2777	1 1	1								1	
			*****	POIDT DAM	f J	TAND PATI	011	2940 21	bo ban	1	1500 152 57.9 152 00.5 110			• *								
					, 	1P11A110N	120	1400 1355 25	IUA34 HOUC	1 103.20 110	1120.2 11	1 8 1 1										
	1			AVD SO M		INDEX POL		500 570 74	GRAPH THRE	1 90 . 1011	302.5	+					~2					
۔ مر ا ا		1	18 · ·	N N N	2 m 2 	dia Lian	20.4	140	UTE NYORU	1106.50	0001		1 1 1 1							é.		
 	4	CANE AND	21 405							v v 1 v 1 1 0 - 1 1 0 - 1 1 0 - 1 1 0 - 1 1		100. 100.		<b>1</b>								
		Aneneee	017104110				1 1 •								14.							
	.  .  -		1411	1 - nim 4 1						223	*==	558										
	<b>!</b> .		•	•	• •		•		<u>}</u>			1995 - 12 1995		i i i								1 m



INFLOW PMF AND ONE-HALF PMF HYDROGRAPHS

				3.4.7			
	* * *		AGE TAUTO	LOCAL	0,00		i Into Lodd
	RUUTING IFL: IP#T		еваеваная 1947 - јмайе - Гэт 0 1	134/14 134/16 134/14 134/16 872 490	нті Сизті Тір. .65 .07 0.0 Эндо. Этар.	UVER THE AREA	Alk PENTUO NAT
	NSPECTITA - MJ3STURI FNDIOT NAM FDETERMINATION AND SPECTETEATION HD TML MFTRC HD TML MFTRC HD TML MFTRC HD C 0 0	IALYSES TO RE PENFUKA	■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	жискарм бата 1439д 1439С яатт 2445 14,00 0,000 2645 14,00 0,000 1412 0ата 1426 130,00 0,00	L'IISS DATA RTIUN 31 87848 RTIUN 31 0.00 1.00 1.00 27 24404 - 24630 27	210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210	
	DAM SAFFTY TI Parate Philos Nativ 10av Juha Nativ 10av Juha Jupera		азаалта 308-ляба кобк онбстратиом 19 со 19 о о	TANEA 9NAP T 2.45 9.00 P 9H9 120	NP RTIGL ERAIN 00 1.00 0.00 614EN UNT	470. 375.	EAC9 - LO36 END
ACKAGE (HEC-1) ACKAGE (HEC-1) JULY 1978 M 21 AUG 78				1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040	M()#T STAKA DLT 0 0.00 0.05		TINE GOLET SE
FLOTO WORLOWARY I FLOTO WORLOWARY I Oak Gefty VEGALI Last Publicitati	, , , ,						
	1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 -						• •

•		-		) •		) } }			₽,4 -9. •	•		به م رائی ۲		i i	<b>,</b> ,				1											
	1	1	•		!			•			1			I		, 1	. ! •		ء بر بر			-		•					Ļ,	
	1				•						:		i 4	ļ	÷	}	-		•			13		*			ਤੋ			
			3029 1020				1659.		8873.	4996. 4924.	5107		5 <b>458.</b> 5802.	5411	6509	6132.		5609	1111		6301 ×									TRA
										55		22	10	10										566	┦ <u>}</u>	+-		2		
								9.9			32	32	32	32																1
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~ ~						r R							0.0					23									
	151	155		198	99	291		6	167	641	172	174	21 20	117	179	181	181		181		061		195		002	102				610
	5 0 5 7 - 0 7 - 0 8 - 0	0.50	3.00						4 • 5 •	4 • 0 1	z	4.25 4.50	4.35 4.40	د . د. د . د.	2 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	5 0 5 0 5	5.15				 		5.6			6.45	6.55 7.66			1.10
		10,1		2							10.1	- 10 <b>-</b> 1	1.01	1.01	0.0	10.1				10.1			104			1.01	1.01			
#	1 1 1										1								1	:					-				1 . *	
		oc						50			<b>c</b> 0	ດີເ	<i>c</i> 0	ĊĊ	63	εc	: 0 (   				o e c				0					
		00	2	-				5.5	[]	5			10.			5		55	5		55	55	-							H
			00.00	0.00					00.00		00°0	200°.	- 00 	00.00		01.0	00.0		60 0	0000			000		000	0000	0.00			194
			5						5.		53		22	5	55	ē		5		5	55					10.		0.0		
	1. 		•		11   					- ^ -	•••		λ. λ. 	~ ~ 	Ň						5 3 1 6 6 6			7 19 8						
						· · ·						1 2.00	1 2.6	100					0		م بر ۳					1.2				
							• • • • • •											  								Ť				
	i	: : :			•	•		·			1		1	•		• • •				1		•		1			•			-1
	Б.,			•	) •	• •	پ چر	) اس 5	6 6 7	ن 1. 1	-, <b>t</b>	•			۱ چ چ	• د رو			، (چ	شکر	) 135	چنگ	- 74			،- الم	). 1. <b>(</b> )	+1 <sup>-</sup>	ب 1	


	Ę.,	, • , •	1		1		• • •	•	!	* • •		-		2		1	• • •		4 F 4			
		2	5	1	: 1 :		ŀ			     	-1					1 1					10.00	
									266				17540.	· .	· .		k 15	1				
• •				==		1				0.00			2.19			•	•	00				
					NN 4	000							32.13				1	000		197		207
- + - +		100	20 20 81	80 80 81 82		80.00			0000				UN 34,32	VIIL UME	17481. 32.55 824.88	4252 5284	1			134		1247. 1297. 1603.
-		100				100	101 101			0 /0 /1 0 /0 /2 0 /0 /2	2		62	Trital 6		۰ - م			• • • • •	128.471		1297 - 1297 - 1428 -
		10.10		10.1	1.11	101		1.02	20.1			20.1		72-HIUF	32 55 82 55				• • • • •	321	244	247
				1								• • •	•	24-HUUR 2144.	61. 32.55 826.48					99		
Ē		1							~~~			101 S		6-MUL-P	145.84 25.84 454.45	3375.	AT 41A			12.		
•		. LO		1	10 10	60.								PEAK 21151.	• + 65	↓	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		         			
£		50	10,10	10		10.	200		10	22	22		-	CF9	120150 120150 12	BCU H						
	122		22						323	145	0 A 3 1				L.	1400			000	991 190		
	10.10	01 10.25	10.10	10 10 50	11 11 10 01 11 10	11.20						01 12.75	;				•	0 0 0		191		
							• • • • •								· .	4:				<b>1</b> X •	3.	
<b>1</b>		. • 	•	1		ł	i	•	•	* # !	ł	:		ł								

			י <b>י</b> ו ו	•	•	•	•	•			4					
	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	· · ·	* - 1	j	! ! 	1	i 	•	•			-			1	
1			1 	   		1			•		·• •			1	4 C	
			i				46 462 <b>630</b>			2009.	1010				<b>.</b>	
			1 1	*	•••		71. 199. 635.		6 2 4 4 6 4 4 6 4 4 6 4 4 6 4 4 6 4 4 6 4 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6	2019. 2446.	5256. 4634. 8888.		55			
-				;			521. 521.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		137	202	0110	555			
		7.8 TUTAL VOL 174	10 3 J				2 <u>0</u> m							1	TOTAL VO	
		ан (15 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	426.88 4252. 5244												20000	
		103 144. 01.	252. 1252		1005   		57 161 615	6 9 9 3 7 3 4 4 4 4 4	0 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	5161 1072	2041	225 225 225				
	1941 1941 1949 19192 19192	1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 1100 - 11000 - 11000 - 11000 - 11000 - 11000 - 11000 - 11000 - 11000 - 1	15° 15° 15°	et . 10	505		48. 112. 605.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 5 6 4 7 3 4 4	1366.2568.2	5048 8031	10000 1000 1000			0UR 24	
3			0 F 3		3 7 G		36. 36. 591.	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 9 5 6 9 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6	0 7 4 0 7 4 0 7 4 0 7 4	1799.1	3023. 9282.		5		1	
		 227. PF1 21141 599	1				23. 81. 572.	1 2 2 0 7 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	0 4 0 0 4 0 0 4 0 0 4 0 0 4	2641	3042. 0213.	10401	155		101	
		та 1. С. Г. С. С. С. С	A( -F1	1			12. 80.				065. 575. 1	595.			Ċ	4 4 4
		۔ بر ح	4	1			ree		000				om			
		<b>.</b>	Т	: 	!		- <sup>-</sup> 5		4 4 4	141						
	1 1 , 1		4 4 7		   		·	, - - -						12		
•			, • •	+ ●	•	•	•	•	•	•	J.	\$.3 ●	1 <u>(</u> 3 ●	Ð	•	•

Ş

SUMMARY OF PMF AND ONE-HALF PMF FLOOD ROUTING

.....

ANO DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS



Y										2			y I	•			-	
-						-	1		-									
		2 2 2 2	1	l l t	¥		1	4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4 	*	. 1	•	- 4. • 4. •	· · ·				2	
		1116 UP FAILURF HOURF HOURF			i	; ; ;		Į į		 	-	. t	-	т I			-	
	00 - 00 1904 - 00 1904 - 00	TINE GW MAX NUFFLOW HOUPS togPS	16433			3 9 9	ι.			3			* 1 + 1 + 1 + 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
	101 101 101	1,044101 1,068 10P MJ148 6.50	4°20					4 .			4	*		;		-		
	н зайдат аман 	141114 111751 (14 1759 17139	7280.	,	-			:		1								
	WALVE TO DAY VALUE	8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1796.		:	•	i			1	1	•				• •	}	State of the
		848873 54 57 54 54 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58	2,59		,	  - 	8											
	FLEVATION STUNAGE	84818408 84818408 95.95184 1.9.525184 1.9.00	#5 *v11		, ) :									*				ļ
		44110 - 16 - 16 - 10	5															
	1		:		 						•							
		•	• :		• •						•							

