



MISSOURI-KANSAS CITY BASIN

AL A106462

WELCH LAKE DAM BOONE COUNTY, MISSOURI MO 10733

# PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM



81 10 26 070

PREPARED BY: U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT. ST. LOUIS

FOR: STATE OF MISSOURI

MARCH 1981

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited

	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION	NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	ADALG	18 462
TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
hase I Dam Inspection Report	1-	ア
lational Dam Safety Program		Final Report
leich Lake Dam (MO 10733)		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
bone County, Missouri		
AUTHOR()	•	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.)
lack & veater, consulting Eng	ineers	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		DACW43-01-C-0037 V
S. Army Engineer District St	t Louis	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
am Inventory and Inspection S	ection. LMSED_PD	
210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. L	ouis. Mo. $63101$	
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
.S. Army Engineer District. S	t.Louis /	March 1981
am Inventory and Inspection Se	ection, LMSED-PD $^{\prime}$	13- NUMBER OF PAGES
10 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Lo	ouis, Mo. 63101	Approximately 65
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(It di	Ifferent from Controlling Offic	e) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
1 - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C -	h	
I < I	70	UNCLASSIFIED
	and for a second	SCHEDULE
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract or	ntered in Block 20, if differen	t from Report)
Nationa Lake Dar SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Missour	l Dam Safety Progr m (MO 10733), Miss City Basin, Boone i. Phase I Inspec	ram, Welch souri - County, ction Report.
		•
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necess	ary and identify by block num	nber)
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necese am Safety, Lake, Dam Inspectio	nery and identify by block num	nber)
<b>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necess</b> am Safety, Lake, Dam Inspectio	on, Private Dams	iber)
<b>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necese</b> am Safety, Lake, Dam Inspectio	ery and identify by block num	iber)
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necese am Safety, Lake, Dam Inspectio	ery and identify by block num	iber)
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necese am Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection	many and identify by block num on, Private Dams may and identify by block numb	iber) ber)
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necess am Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde M necess his report was prepared under	ery and identify by block num on, Private Dams wy and identify by block num the National Prog	ber) ram of Inspection of
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necess am Safety, Lake, Dam Inspectio ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde il necess his report was prepared under on-Federal Dams. This report	on, Private Dams Private Dams any and identify by block number the National Prog assesses the gene	ber) (ram of Inspection of ral condition of the dam with
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necess am Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde il necess his report was prepared under on-Federal Dams. This report espect to safety, based on ava	on, Private Dams on, Private Dams the National Prog assesses the gene ullable data and o	ber) ram of Inspection of ral condition of the dam with n visual inspection, to
<b>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if necess</b> am Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection <b>ABSTRACT (Continue en reverse eith H necess</b> his report was prepared under on-Federal Dams. This report espect to safety, based on ava etermine if the dam poses haza	on, Private Dams on, Private Dams the National Prog assesses the gene uilable data and o ards to human life	ber) ram of Inspection of ral condition of the dam with n visual inspection, to or property.
<b>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde 11 necess</b> am Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection <b>ABSTRACT (Continue en reverse elde 11 necess</b> his report was prepared under on-Federal Dams. This report espect to safety, based on ava etermine if the dam poses haza	on, Private Dams on, Private Dams the National Prog assesses the gene bilable data and o ards to human life	ber) ram of Inspection of ral condition of the dam with n visual inspection, to or property.
<b>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde 11 necess</b> am Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection his report was prepared under on-Federal Dams. This report espect to safety, based on ava etermine if the dam poses haza	on, Private Dams on, Private Dams the National Prog assesses the gene bilable data and o ards to human life	ber) ram of Inspection of ral condition of the dam with n visual inspection, to or property.
<b>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde il necess</b> am Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection <b>ABSTRACT (Continue en reverse elde il necess</b> his report was prepared under bn-Federal Dams. This report espect to safety, based on ava etermine if the dam poses haza	on, Private Dams on, Private Dams the National Prog assesses the gene bilable data and o ards to human life	ther) (ram of Inspection of ral condition of the dam with n visual inspection, to or property.
<b>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necesa</b> am Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection his report was prepared under on-Federal Dams. This report espect to safety, based on ava etermine if the dam poses haza	on, Private Dams on, Private Dams the National Prog assesses the gene uilable data and o ards to human life	ther) (ram of Inspection of ral condition of the dam with n visual inspection, to or property.
<b>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necesa</b> am Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection <b>ABSTRACT (Continue en reverse elde if necesa</b> his report was prepared under on-Federal Dams. This report espect to safety, based on ava etermine if the dam poses haza	on, Private Dams on, Private Dams the National Prog assesses the gene ilable data and o ards to human life	ber) (ram of Inspection of ral condition of the dam with n visual inspection, to or property.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

ŧ

ł

1

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR PREPARATION OF REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

**RESPONSIBILITY**. The controlling DoD office will be responsible for completion of the Report Documentation Page, DD Form 1473, in all technical reports prepared by or for DoD organizations.

CLASSIFICATION. Since this Report Documentation Page, DD Form 1473, is used in preparing announcements, bibliographies, and data banks, it should be unclassified if possible. If a classification is required, identify the classified items on the page by the appropriate symbol.

#### COMPLETION GUIDE

General. Make Blocks 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 13, 15, and 16 agree with the corresponding information on the report cover. Leave BW and 3 blank.

Block 1. Report Number. Enter the unique alphanumeric report number shown on the cover.

Block 2. Government Accession No. Leave Blank. This space is for use by the Defense Documentation Center.

Block 3. Recipient's Catalog Number. Leave blank. This space is for the use of the report recipient to assist in future retrieval of the document.

Block 4, Title and Subtitle. Enter the title in all capital letters exactly as it appears on the publication. Titles should be unclassified whenever possible. Write out the English equivalent for Greek letters and mathematical symbols in the title (see "Abstracting Scientific and Technical Reports of Defense-sponsored RDT/E,"AD-667 000). If the report has a subtitle, this subtitle should follow the main title, be separated by a comma or semicolon if appropriate, and be initially capitalized. If a publication has a title in a foreign language, translate the title into English and follow the English translation with the title in the original language. Make every effort to simplify the title before publication.

Block 5. Type of Report and Period Covered. Indicate here whether report is interim, final, etc., and, if applicable, inclusive dates of period covered, such as the life of a ophtract covered in a final contractor report.

Block 6. Performing Organization Report Number. Only numbers other than the official report number shown in Block 1, such as series numbers for in-house reports or a contractor/grantee number assigned by him, will be placed in this space. If no such numbers are used, leave this space blank.

Block 7. Author(s). Include corresponding information from the report cover. Give the name(s) of the author(s) in conventional order (for example, John R. Doe or, if author prefers, J. Robert Doe). In addition, list the affiliation of an author if it differs from that of the performing organization.

Block 8. Contract or Grant Number(s). For a contractor or grantee report, enter the complete contract or grant number(s) under which the work reported was accomplished. Leave blank in in-flouse reports.

Block 9. Performing Organization Name and Address/ For in-house reports enter the name and address, including office symbol, of the enforming activity. For contractor or grantee reports enter the name and address of the contractor or grantee who prepared the report and identify the appropriate corporate division, school, laboratory, etc., of the author. List city, state, and ZIP Code.

Block 10. Program Element, Project, Task Area, And Work Unit Numbers. Enter here the number code from the applicable Department of Defense form, such as the DD Form 1498, "Research and Technology Work Unit Summary" or the DD Form 1634. "Research and Development Planning Summary," which identifies the program element, project, task area, and work unit or equivalent under which the work was authorized.

Block 11. Controlling Office Name and Address. Enter the full, official name and address, including office symbol, of the controlling office. (Equates to funding/sponsoring agency. For definition see DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents.")

Block 12. Report Date. Enter here the day, month, and year or month and year as shown on the cover.

Block 13. Number of Pages. Enter the total number of pages.

Block 14. Monitoring Agency Name and Address (if different from Controlling Office). For use when the controlling or funding office does not directly administer a project, contract, or grant, but delegates the administrative responsibility to another organization.

Blocks 15 & 15a. Security Classification of the Report: Declassification/Downgrading Schedule of the Report. Enter in 15 the highest classification of the report. If appropriate, enter in 15a the declassification/downgrading schedule of the report, using the abbreviations for declassification/downgrading schedules listed in paragraph 4-207 of DoD 5200.1-R.

<u>Block 16.</u> Distribution Statement of the Report. Insert here the applicable distribution statement of the report from DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

Block 17. Distribution Statement (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from the distribution statement of the report). Insert here the applicable distribution statement of the abstract from DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

Block 19. Key Words. Select terms or short phrases that identify the principal subjects covered in the report, and are sut. iently specific and precise to be used as index entries for cataloging, conforming to standard terminology. The DoD "Thesaurus of Engineering and Scientific Terms" (TEST), AD-672 000, can be helpful.

Block 20. Abstract. The abstract should be a brief (not to exceed 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report. If possible, the abstract of a classified report should be unclassified and the abstract to an unclassified report should consist of publicly- releasable information. If the report contains a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here. For information on preparing abstracts see "Abstracting Scientific and Technical Reports of Defense-Sponsored RDT&E," AD-667 000.

# U.S. G.P.O. 1980-665-141 (1299

## MISSOURI-KANSAS CITY BASIN

WELCH LAKE DAM BOONE COUNTY, MISSOURI MO 10733

## PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM



1

PREPARED BY: U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT. ST. LOUIS

FOR: STATE OF MISSOURI

**MARCH 1981** 



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY st. LOUIS DISTRICT. CORPS OF ENGINEERS 210 TUCKER BOULEVARD. NORTH ST. LOUIS. MISSOURI 63101

LMSED-PD

SUBJECT:

Welch Lake Dam, Mo. ID No. 10733 Phase I Inspection Report

This report presents the results of field inspection and evaluation of the Welch Lake Dam.

It was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams.

This dam has been classified as unsafe, emergency by the St. Louis District as a result of the application of the following criteria:

a. Spillway will not pass a 10-year frequency flood without overtopping of the dam. The spillway is, therefore, considered to be unusually small and seriously inadequate.

b. Overtopping could result in dam failure.

c. Dam failure significantly increases the hazard to life and property downstream.

Submitted By: _	SIGNEL	21 JUL 198:
Approved By:	Chief, Engineering Division	Date 2 1 JUL 1981
Accession For		bacc
NTIS GRA&I DTIC TAB Unannounced Justification		DTIC
Ву		NOV 2 1981
Distribution/		
Availability ( Avail and, Dist Special A	odes /or	D

WELCH LAKE DAM BOONE COUNTY, MISSOURI

MISSOURI INVENTORY NO. 10733

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

### PREPARED BY:

BLACK & VEATCH CONSULTING ENGINEERS KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI

UNDER DIRECTION OF

ST. LOUIS DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS

FOR

GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI

MARCH 1981

#### PHASE I REPORT

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Welch Lake Dam
Missouri
Boone County
Hominy Branch
10 March 1981

Welch Lake Dam was inspected by a team of engineers from Black & Veatch, Consulting Engineers for the St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers. The purpose of the inspection was to make an assessment of the general condition of the dam with respect to safety, based upon available data and visual inspection, in order to determine if the dam poses hazards to human life or property.

The guidelines used in the assessment were furnished by the Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers and developed with the help of several Federal and state agencies, professional engineering organizations, and private engineers. Based on these guidelines, this dam is classified as a small size dam with a high downstream hazard potential. According to the St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers, failure would threaten lives and property. The estimated damage zone extends approximately four miles downstream of the dam. Within the estimated damage zone are three dwellings, a building, two dams (Mo. ID. 11597 and a new dam), two highways, two light duty roads, and a sewage treatment plant. Contents of the estimated downstream damage zone were verified by the inspection team.

-Our inspection and evaluation indicates that the spillways do not meet the criteria set forth in the guidelines for a dam having the above size and hazard potential. The spillways will not pass the probable maximum flood without overtopping but will pass 4 percent of the probable maximum flood. The spillways will not pass the flood which has a ten percent chance of occurrence in any given year (10-year flood). The spillway design flood recommended by the guidelines is 50 to 100 percent of the probable maximum flood. Considering the damage zone and the reservoir storage volume, the spillway design flood should be 50 percent of the probable maximum flood. The probable maximum flood is defined as the flood discharge which may be expected from the most severe combination of critical meteorologic and hydrologic conditions which are reasonably possible in the region. Based on visual observations, this dam appears to be in less than satisfactory condition. Deficiencies visually observed by the inspection team were severe erosion on the downstream face, erosion and sloughing on the upstream face, animal burrows in the embankment, a possible area of seepage below the dam, and trees growing on the embankment. Seepage and stability analyses required by the guidelines were not available.

There were no observed deficiencies or conditions existing at the time of the inspection which indicated an immediate safety hazard; however, the erosion on the downstream face and the hydraulic inadequacy of the spillways constitute serious safety deficiencies that could lead to failure of the dam. They should be corrected without delay. Future corrective action and regular maintenance will be required to correct or control the other deficiencies described herein. In addition, detailed seepage and stability analyses of the existing dam, as required by the guidelines, should be performed. A detailed report discussing each of these deficiencies is attached.

Edwin R P.

Edwin R. Burton, I Missouri E-10137

Harry L. Callahan, Partner Black & Veatch



## PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM WELCH LAKE DAM

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Paragraph No.	Title	Page No
	SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION	
1.1 1.2 1.3	General Description of Project Pertinent Data	1 1 3
	SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA	
2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5	Design Construction Operation Geology Evaluation	6 6 6 6
	SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION	
3.1 3.2	Findings Evaluation	7 9
	SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5	Procedures Maintenance of Dam Maintenance of Operating Facilities Description of Any Warning System in Effect Evaluation	10 10 10 10 10
	SECTION 5 - HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	
5.1	Evaluation of Features	11
	SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY	
6.1	Evaluation of Structural Stability	13
	SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES	
7.1 7.2	Dam Assessment Remedial Measures	14 14

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

## LIST OF PLATES

Plate No.	Title
1	Location Map
2	Vicinity Topography
3	Dam Plan
4	Dam Cross Section and Dam Crest Profile
5	Emergency Spillway Profile, Emergency Spillway Cross Section
6	Photo Index
	LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS
Photo No.	Title
1	Upstream Face of Dam
2	Crest of Dam
3	Downstream Face of Dam
4	Upstream End of Principal Spillway Culvert
5	Downstream End of Principal Spillway Culvert
6	Channel Downstream of Principal Spillway Culvert
7	Emergency Spillway Approach Channel
8	Inlet to Low Water Culvert at Emergency Spillway Crest
9	Outlet of Low Water Culvert at Emergency Spillway Crest
10	Channel Downstream of Emergency Spillway Crest
11	Overfall Downstream of Emergency Spillway Channel
12	Concrete Tank on Downstream Face of Dam

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

### LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo No.	Title
13	Erosion of Downstream Face Near Mid Slope
14	Erosion of Downstream Face Near Crest
15	Erosion of Upstream Face
16	Under Cutting and Animal Burrows on Upstream Face
17	Possible Beaver Lodge Entrance on Upstream Face
18	Possible Beaver Lodge Opening on Upstream Face
19	New Dam Across Hominy Creek Downstream of Welch Lake
20	Waste Water Treatment Facility on Downstream Side of New Dam

#### APPENDIX

Appendix A - Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses

#### SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL

a. <u>Authority</u>. The National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a program of safety inspection of dams throughout the United States. Pursuant to the above, the District Engineer of the St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers, directed that a safety inspection of the Welch Lake Dam be made.

b. <u>Purpose of Inspection</u>. The purpose of the inspection was to make an assessment of the general condition of the dam with respect to safety, based upon available data and visual inspection, in order to determine if the dam poses hazards to human life or property.

c. <u>Evaluation Criteria</u>. Criteria used to evaluate the dam were furnished by the Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, in "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams." These guidelines were developed with the help of several Federal agencies and many state agencies, professional engineering organizations, and private engineers.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances.

(1) The dam is an earth structure located in the valley of Hominy Branch, a tributary to Hinkson Creek, (see Plate 1). The watershed is an area of low hills with gentle slopes consisting primarily of grassland with lesser areas of cropland and woodland. Part of the watershed is developed for residential use, particularly around the lake on the north side. The dam is approximately 570 feet long along its crest including the spillways. The dam crest is about 27 feet wide and supports a gravel road which provides access to the residents south of the lake. The dam embankment is about 22 feet high from its crest to the valley below. The embankment contains large flat limestone slabs, (Photo 16), in the upper part of the upstream fill. The downstream slope is irregular and also contains some large limestone slabs.

(2) The principal spillway is an uncontrolled 5.6 x 4.4-foot concrete box culvert, (Photo 4), through the abutment of the left (south) end of the dam. (Left and right as used herein gives directional reference while looking downstream). Flow through the culvert discharges with a free outfall to an excavated plunge pool then to an excavated open channel, (Photo 6), to the natural stream below the dam. A sanitary sewer line crosses the plunge pool to a manhole just downstream of the culvert outlet, (Photo 5). The sewer pipe is encased in concrete. Three 12-inch vitrified clay pipes provide for drainage under the sewer line.

(3) The emergency spillway is an uncontrolled open channel excavated through the abutment at the right (north) end of the dam. The approach channel is nonuniform and poorly defined. It is covered by a heavy growth of grass and aquadic weeds, (Photo 7). Low-water crossing of the gravel road is provided by a double 2.3 x 4.5 concrete box culvert, (Photos 8 & 9). The channel downstream of the culvert is excavated through limestone and earth, and is about 51 feet wide, (Photo 10). An overfall in natural rock is located about 100 feet downstream from the culvert, (Photo 11). A natural rock control sill is located immediately below the outlet end of the culvert, (Photo 9). Erosion protection for the overflow section is provided by concrete grout on both sides of the gravel roadway.

(4) Pertinent physical data are given in paragraph 1.3.

b. Location. The dam is located in east central Boone County, Missouri, as indicated on Plate 1. The lake formed by the dam is shown on the United States Geological Survey 7.5 minute series quadrangle map for Millersburg, Missouri in Section 2 of T48N, R12W.

c. <u>Size Classification</u>. Criteria for determining the size classification of dams and impoundments are presented in the guidelines referenced in paragraph 1.1c above. Based on these criteria, the dam and impoundment are in the small size category. A small size dam is classified as having a height less than 40 feet, but greater than or equal to 25 feet and/or a storage capacity less than 1,000 acre-feet, but greater than or equal to 50 acre-feet. Welch Lake Dam is 22-feet high with a normal storage volume of 49 acre-feet.

d. <u>Hazard Classification</u>. The hazard classification assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this dam is as follows: The Welch Lake Dam has a high hazard potential, meaning that the dam is located where failure may cause loss of life, and serious damage to homes, agricultural, industrial and commercial facilities, and to important public utilities, main highways, or railroads. For the Welch Lake Dam the estimated flood damage zone extends approximately four miles downstream of the dam. Within the estimated damage zone are three dwellings, a building, two dams (No. ID. 11597 and a new dam), two highways, two light duty roads, and a sewage treatment plant. Contents of the estimated downstream damage zone were verified by the inspection team.

e. <u>Ownership</u>. The dam is owned by EDW Inc., Route 1, Hartsburg, No. 65039, c/o Mr. E.D. Welch.

f.  $\underline{Purpose \mbox{ of } Dam}.$  The dam forms a 8-acre lake used for recreation.

g. <u>Design and Construction History</u>. Data relating to the design and construction were not available. According to the owner, the dam was constructed over ten years ago and was designed by his father, now deceased, who was the City Engineer for Columbia.

h. <u>Normal Operating Procedure</u>. Normal rainfall, runoff, transpiration, evaporation, and overflow through the uncontrolled spillway all combine to maintain a relatively stable water surface elevation.

#### 1.3 PERTINENT DATA

- a. Drainage Area 2,154 acres = 3.37 square miles
- b. Discharge at Damsite.

(1) Normal discharge at the damsite is through a 5.6 x 4.4-foot concrete box culvert, principal spillway.

(2) Estimated experienced maximum flood at damsite - Unknown.

(3) Estimated ungated spillway capacity at maximum pool elevation 2,510 cfs (50% Probable Maximum Flood Pool El. 792.9).

c. Elevation (Feet above m.s.l. Approximate Tie to USGS Map).

- (1) Top of dam 790.4 (see Plate 3)
- (2) Emergency spillway crest 787.4
- (3) Principal spillway outlet invert 786.6
- (4) Toe of dam 767.6
- (5) Maximum tailwater Unknown.
- d. Reservoir.

(1) Length of maximum pool - 4,000 feet + (50% Probable maximum flood pool level)

(2) Length of normal pool - 1,600 feet + (Principal spillway outlet invert)

- e. Storage (Acre-feet).
- (1) Top of dam 156
- (2) Low-water crossing crest 80
- (3) Emergency spillway control sill 56
- (4) Principal spillway outlet invert 49
- (5) Emergency spillway inlet invert 43
- (6) Design surcharge Not available.
- f. Reservoir Surface (Acres).
- (1) Top of dam 19.6
- (2) Low-water crossing crest 16.0
- (3) Emergency spillway control sill 10.2
- (4) Principal spillway outlet invert 7.7
- (5) Emergency spillway inlet invert 7.2
- g. Dam.
- (1) Type Earth embankment
- (2) Length 570 feet
- (3) Height 22 feet +
- (4) Top width 27 feet

(5) Side slopes - upstream face between 1.0 V on 1.6 H and 1.0 V on 2.1 H, downstream face between 1.0 V on 1.6 H and 1.0 V on 3.7 H (see Plate 4)

- (6) Zoning Unknown.
- (7) Impervious core Unknown.
- (8) Cutoff Unknown.

4

- (9) Grout curtain Unknown.
- h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel None.
- i. Principal Spillway.
- (1) Type 5.6 x 4.4 foot concrete box culvert.
- (2) Inlet invert elevation 786.0 feet m.s.l.
- (3) Outlet invert elevation 786.6 feet m.s.l.
- (4) Gates None.
- (5) Upstream channel None.

(6) Downstream channel - Discharges to a plunge pool immediately downstream of culvert.

j. Emergency Spillway.

(1) Type - Grass and natural rock lined open channel with a 2.3 x 4.5-foot double box culvert low-water road crossing with concrete protected overflow section.

- (2) Control Sill Crest Elevation 787.4 feet m.s.l.
- (3) Low-water crossing crest 789.3
- (4) Box culvert inlet invert 785.4
- (5) Gates None.
- (6) Upstream Channel Grass-lined approach channel.

(7) Downstream Channel - partly grass-lined channel over limestone downstream of culvert to an overfall of exposed limestone to natural stream channel.

k. <u>Regulating Outlets</u>. Unknown - An abandoned withdrawal facility is located on downstream face of dam.

SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 DESIGN

Design data were not available.

2.2 CONSTRUCTION

Construction records were unavailable.

2.3 OPERATION

Operational records and documentation of past floods were unavailable.

2.4 GEOLOGY

The site of the dam and reservoir is located across a broad, moderately steep sided valley. The dam impounds Hominy Branch, an intermittant tributary to Hinkson Creek.

The soil in the dam and reservoir area consist of Lindley loam and clay loam and Mexico silt loam. The Lindley series consists of deep, well and moderately well drained, moderately slowly permeable soils formed in Kansan and Nebraskan age glacial till on valley side slopes and narrowly dissected ridges between stream courses. For engineering purposes these soils are classified as silty clay (CL). The Mexico series consists of deep, somewhat poorly drained soils formed in loess on uplands. The upper 9 inches of this series is classified for engineering purposes as silty clay to clayey silt (CL to CL-ML). The remaining part of the soil profile is classified as silty clay to clay (CL to CH). Bedrock of the area consists of the Pennsylvanian age Marmaton Group, cyclic deposits with prominant limestone units and several coal beds. Depth to bedrock could not be determined but is assumed to be generally greater than 5 feet based on Soil Conservation Service information.

2.5 EVALUATION

a. Availability. No engineering data were available.

b. Adequacy. No engineering data were available. Thus, an assessment of the design, construction, and operation could not be made. Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" were not available, which is considered a deficiency. These seepage and stability analyses should be performed for appropriate loading conditions (including earthquake loads) and made a matter of record.

c. <u>Validity</u>. The validity of the design, construction, and operation could not be determined due to the lack of engineering data.

#### SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

#### 3.1 FINDINGS

a. <u>General</u>. A visual inspection of Welch Lake Dam was made on 10 March 1981. The inspection team consisted of Edwin Burton, team leader; Shannon Casey, geologist; Gary Van Riessen, geotechnical engineer; and John Ruhl, hydraulic/hydrologic engineer. The dam is in less than satisfactory condition. Specific observations are discussed below. No observations were made of the condition of the upstream face of the dam below the pool elevation at the time of the inspection.

b. Dam. The inspection team observed the following conditions at the dam. An area of severe erosion was observed on the downstream face of the dam near the left end. The erosion area was approximately 100 feet wide and extended from the crest to the toe. It is the opinion of the inspection team that this erosion was due to the dam having been overtopped. Tree and brush cuttings and rubble had been dumped into the eroded area which made it difficult to evaluate the extent of the damage, (Photos 13 & 14). Erosion is forming a gulley at the interface between the right abutment and the downstream face of the embankment. Minor sloughing due to wave action erosion along the upstream face of the dam was observed. Erosion and animals are undermining the large limestone slabs on the upstream face and causing them to slide down the slope, (Photos 15 & 16). One large hollow area was found in the upstream face that is believed to be a beaver lodge. An underwater opening to this hollow area and a hole in the upstream face exposing the hollow area are shown in Photos 17 and 18. Standing water and a heavy growth of aquadic weed was observed downstream of the dam. It was not determined if the water was from seepage or due to poor drainage of local runoff. There appeared to have been a small pond downstream of the dam at one time. Its dam had been breached. There was also a pool of standing water downstream of the right abutment. This pool was in what appeared to be an excavation off of an old road along the abutment.

Both the upstream and downstream faces contained a heavy growth of grass, weeds, brush, and trees. The trees ranged in size from 1-inch to 6-inch trunk. The gravel surfaced road along the crest of the dam was in good condition. The crest had a thin cover of grass on either side of the road. The appearance of the crest indicated that the road and grass cover were being maintained. No other maintenance of the dam was noticeable.

No cracking or sinkholes were observed nor were there any toe drain system or instrumentation on the dam.

c. <u>Appurtenant Structures</u>. The principal spillway is a concrete box culvert at the left end of the dam. It appeared to be in serviceable condition; however, there has been considerable erosic around the box at both upstream and downstream ends, (Photos 4 & 5). Some repair efforts have been made by pouring concrete grout in the eroded areas. The foundation of the box at the outlet end has been undermined by erosion. The box appeared to have been displaced from its original alignment and grade. The plunge pool and downstream channel were badly eroded and cluttered with fallen trees and rubble, (Photo 6).

The emergency spillway appeared to be in serviceable condition. However, the capacity of the emergency spillway is probably reduced considerably by the accumulation of silt and heavy growth of weeds, grass, and aquadic plants in the approach channel, (Photo 7). The inlet end of the double box culvert under the road was about 50 percent filled with silt, (Photo 8). Some repairs to erosion have been made by pouring concrete grout along the roadway overflow section. The downstream channel contained exposed rock and had a fairly good grass cover, (Photo 10). Minor erosion of the channel was observed. There was no development in the spillway area which would suffer damage due to flow through the spillway except for an exposed pipeline crossing the channel downstream of the overfall, (Photo 11).

An abandoned rectangular concrete tank was observed on the downstream face of the dam, (Photo 12). There is a pipe through the upstream wall and another one through the downstream wall. A valve box is located just upstream of the tank. It is suspected that the tank once served as a wet well and pump house foundation for drawing water from Welch Lake. No inlet pipe was observed in the lake.

There is a sanitary sewer manhole located near the upstream edge of the road at the right end of the dam and another manhole located downstream of the principal spillway box outlet, (Photo 5). It is unknown if these manholes are connected. If they are connected, the sewer pipe would have to pass through the dam embankment.

d. <u>Geology</u>. The soils surrounding the dam and reservoir consist of silty clays developed in glacial till. These clays are classified as having low plasticity and a Unified Classification of CL.

The foundation of the dam is silty clay over limestone bedrock. The left abutment is limestone, the right abutment is silty clay developed in glacial till. The emergency spillway is cut through limestone that outcrops around the lake shore and is exposed in the valley downstream of the right abutment. Where exposed, this limestone shows open vertical jointing with spacing of approximately 5 feet and bedding of 1 to greater than 12 inches.

Based on visual examination of auger samples taken near the crest of the dam, the embankment material consists of silty clay with a Unified Soils Classification of CL. e. <u>Reservoir Area</u>. No slumping or slides of the reservoir banks were observed. The upstream channel to the lake contains some minor debris and a few trees. The lake level was very low at the time of inspection. The lake contained a noticeable amount of silt.

f. <u>Downstream Channel</u>. The spillways discharge to a small lake bed downstream of Welch Lake Dam. The dam that formed the small pond has been breached.

3.2 EVALUATION

The various deficiencies observed at the time of the inspection are not believed to represent an immediate safety hazard. However, the erosion on the downstream face of the dam and the hydraulic inadequacy of the spillways constitute serious safety deficiencies that could lead to failure of the dam and should be corrected without delay. The other observed deficiencies warrant monitoring and control.

The potential for sloughing or sliding of embankment material is enhanced by the presence of the relatively steep slope of the upstream face and the lack of erosion protection.

The growth of trees and brush and the uncut grass, if allowed to go unchecked, could cause deterioration of the embankment. The roots of trees can loosen the embankment material and also can leave voids through which water can pass. Brush on the dam prevents inspection of the embankment and kills the smaller grasses whose roots are more effective in protecting the surface soil of the slope from erosion. The brush and tall uncut grass provides habitat for burrowing animals which can damage the embankment.

The standing water downstream of the dam and below the right abutment could be the result of seepage through or under the dam. It could also be due to poor drainage of local runoff. Regular periodic observations should be made to accertain if the standing water is dam related.

The presence of beaver and other burrowing animals has caused considerable damage to the embankment along the upstream face. If allowed to continue unchecked, this animal activity can greatly increase the potential of failure of the dam. Animal burrowing can provide channels for piping water through the dam, it aggravates erosion and promotes instability.

The silt and heavy growth of grass and weeds reduce capacity of the emergency spillway.

#### SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 PROCEDURES

The pool is primarily controlled by rainfall, runoff, evaporation, transpiration, and capacity of the uncontrolled spillway.

4.2 MAINTENANCE OF DAM

There was no evidence that a maintenance program was in effect at the time of inspection. Vegetal growth was uncontrolled and large animal burrows were observed on the embankment. The area of erosion on the downstream face due to overtopping has gone unrepaired; although, cut brush and rubble has been placed over this area in an effort to prevent further erosion.

4.3 MAINTENANCE OF OPERATING FACILITIES

No operating facilities exist.

4.4 DESCRIPTION OF ANY WARNING SYSTEM IN EFFECT

There is no existing warning system or preplanned scheme for alerting downstream residents for this dam.

4.5 EVALUATION

The lack of maintenance is evident and has led to deterioration of the dam. The brush and rubble placed on the downstream face is not likely to be effective in preventing further erosion, particularly if the dam is overtopped again.

#### SECTION 5 - HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

#### 5.1 EVALUATION OF FEATURES

a. Design Data. No design data were available.

b. <u>Experience Data</u>. The drainage area and lake surface area are developed from USGS Millersburg (1969) and Hallsville (1969), Mo. Quadrangle Maps. The dam layout is from a survey made during the inspection.

#### c. Visual Observations.

(1) The principal spillway appears to be in less than satisfactory condition. The lake level at the time of the inspection (El. 783.4) was below the principal spillway invert elevation. The existence of the concrete encased sewer pipe below the spillway outlet has no appreciable effect on discharge capacity of the principal spillway.

(2) The emergency spillway is an excavated open channel with a double concrete box culvert low-water road crossing. Low flow is through the culvert and controlled by a natural rock sill downstream of the box culvert. High flow is controlled by the low-water crossing overflow section.

(3) Spillway discharges do not endanger the integrity of the dam.

d. Overtopping Potential. The spillways will not pass the probable maximum flood without overtopping the dam. The probable maximum flood is defined as the flood discharge that may be expected from the most severe combination of critical meteorologic and hydrologic conditions that are reasonably possible in the region. The spillways will pass 4 percent of the probable maximum flood without overtopping the dam. The spillways will not pass the ten percent chance flood estimated to have a peak outflow of 1,570 cfs developed from a 48-hour, ten percent chance rainfall. According to the recommended guidelines from the Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, a high hazard dam of small size should pass 50 to 100 percent of the probable maximum flood. Considering the downstream damage zone and the reservoir storage volume, the appropriate spillway design flood should be 50 percent of the probable maximum flood. The portion of the estimated peak discharge of 50 percent of the probable maximum flood overtopping the dam would be 3,470 cfs of the total discharge from the reservoir of 5,980 cfs. The estimated duration of overtopping is 15.0 hours with a maximum height of 2.5 feet. The portion of the estimated peak discharge of the probable maximum flood overtopping the dam would be 7,950 cfs of the total discharge from the reservoir of 11,950 cfs. The estimated duration of overtopping is 19.0 hours with a maximum height of 3.8 feet. The embankment would be jeopardized should overtopping occur for these periods of time.

As stated in Section 3.1.b, it was the opinion of the inspection team that the dam has been overtopped in the past. The results of past overtopping are seen in Photos 13 and 14.

According to the St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers, the effect from rupture of the dam could extend approximately four miles downstream of the dam. Three dwellings, a building, two dams (Mo. ID. 11597 and a new dam), two highways, two light duty roads, and a sewage treatment plant are located within the estimated damage zone. Lives could be lost should failure of the dam occur. Contents of the estimated downstream damage zone were verified by the inspection team. A part of the damage zone is within the corporate limits of the City of Columbia and is subject to regulation under the Flood Insurance Program.

#### SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY

#### 6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

a. <u>Visual Observations</u>. Visual observations of conditions which affect the structural stability of this dam are discussed in Section 3, paragraph 3.1b.

b. <u>Design and Construction Data</u>. No design data relating to the structural stability of the dam were found. Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" were not available, which is considered a deficiency.

c. Operating Records. No operational records exist.

d. <u>Postconstruction Changes</u>. It is not known whether or not any changes have been made to the dam subsequent to its construction.

e. <u>Seismic Stability</u>. The dam is located in Seismic Zone 1 which is a zone of minor seismic risk. A properly designed and constructed earth dam using sound engineering principles and conservatism should pose no serious stability problems during earthquakes in this zone. The seismic stability of an earth dam is dependent upon a number of factors: embankment and foundation material classifications and shear strengths; abutment materials, conditions, and strengths; embankment zoning; and embankment geometry. Adequate descriptions of embankment design parameters, foundation and abutment conditions, or static stability analyses to assess the seismic stability of this embankment were not available and therefore no inferences will be made regarding the seismic stability. An assessment of the seismic stability should be included as part of the stability analysis required by the guidelines.

#### SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

#### 7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT

a. <u>Safety</u>. Several conditions observed during the visual inspection by the inspection team should be corrected, monitored and/or controlled. These are erosion, on the downstream face, erosion and sloughing on the upstream face, animal burrows on the embankment, a possible seepage area below the dam, and trees growing on the embankment. Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" were not available, which is considered a deficiency.

b. Adequacy of Information. Due to the absence of engineering design data, the conclusions in this report were based only on performance history and visual conditions. The inspection team considers that these data are sufficient to support the conclusions herein. Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" were not available, which is considered a deficiency.

c. Urgency. It is the opinion of the inspection team that a program should be developed as soon as possible to implement remedial measures recommended in paragraph 7.2b. If the safety deficiencies listed in paragraph 7.1a are not corrected, they will continue to deteriorate and lead to a serious potential of failure. The item recommended in paragraph 7.2a should be pursued on a high priority basis.

d. <u>Necessity for Phase II</u>. Based on the Phase I investigation, no Phase II investigation is required. However, the additional analyses noted in paragraph 2.5b are necessary for compliance with the guidelines.

e. <u>Seismic Stability</u>. This dam is located in Seismic Zone 1. Adequate description of embankment design parameters, foundation and abutment conditions, or static stability analyses to assess the seismic stability of this embankment were not available and therefore no inferences will be made regarding the seismic stability. An assessment of the seismic stability should be included as part of the recommended stability analysis.

#### 7.2 REMEDIAL MEASURES

a. <u>Alternatives</u>. Spillway capacity and/or storage volume would need to be increased or the lake level would need to be permanently lowered to increase available flood storage in order to effectively pass the spillway design flood. Spillway capacity could be increased by modifying the existing emergency spillway. The area of erosion at the left end of the downstream face should be repaired with suitable compacted material, the slope redressed, and slope protection provided to prevent erosion of the repaired area. Another viable alternative would be the removal of the dam.

b. <u>Operation and Maintenance Procedures</u>. The following operation and maintenance procedures are recommended and should be carried out under the direction of a professional engineer experienced in the design, construction, and maintenance of earth dams.

(1) The erosion and sloughing on the upstream face should be repaired and the slope redressed. Riprap should be placed on the upstream face to an elevation above normal lake level to prevent erosion of the embankment material.

(2) The standing water areas noted during the visual inspection should be closely monitored to determine if these areas are due to seepage from the reservoir. Any significant changes should be evaluated.

(3) The areas of erosion noted in sections 3.1.b and 3.1.c should be repaired.

(4) A maintenance program should be formulated and implemented to remove and control the growth of trees and brush on the embankment. Grass/weed cover on the embankments should be cut periodically. Frequent observation of the upstream slope should be made to note any evidence of erosion, sloughing, or sliding of embankment material.

(5) The animal burrows in the embankment should be repaired since they can contribute to the occurrence of piping. Control measures should be implemented to discourage animal activity in the area. The embankment slope should be monitored by a qualified engineer during repair of the embankment.

(6) Seepage and stability analyses should be performed.

(7) A detailed inspection of the dam should be made periodically. Findings of the inspection should be documented and made a matter of record. More frequent inspections may be required if additional deficiencies are observed or the severity of the reported deficiencies increase.

15


















PLATE 7



PHOTO 1. UPSTREAM FACE OF DAM



PHOTO 2: CREST OF DAM



PHOID 3: DOWNSTREAM FACE OF DAM



PHOTO 4: UPSTREAM END OF PRINCIPAL SPHILWAY CULVEST



PHOTO 5: DOWNSTREAM END OF PRINCIPAL SPHELWAY CULVERG



PHOTO 6: CHANNEL DOWNSTREAM OF PRINCIPAL SELLWAY CULVES.



PHOTO 7: EMERGENCY SPILLWAY APPROACH CHANNEL



PHOTO 8: INLET TO LOW WATER CULVERT AT EMPRGENCY SPIDILARY (43.84)



PHOTO 9: OUTLET OF LOW WATER CLUVERT AN EDEBOENCY SPILING - 2281



PHOTO 10: CHANNEL DOWNSTREAM OF EMERGENCY SPULLWAY (REST



PHOTO 11: OVERFALL DOWNSTREAM OF EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CHANNEL



PHOTO 12: CONCRETE TANK ON DOWNSTREAM FACE OF DAM



PHOTO 13: EROSION OF DOWNSTREAM FACE NEAR MID SLOPE



PHOTO 14: EROSION OF DOWNSTREAM FACE NEAR CREST



PHOID D: EROSION OF UPSIREAM FACE



PHOTO 16: UNDER CUTTING AND ANIMAL BURROWS ON UPSTREAM EACT



PHOLO 17: POSSIBLE BEAVER LODGE ENTRANCE ON UPSIGENT UNC.



PHOTO IS: POSSIBLE BEAVER LODGE OPENING ON UPSTREAM FACE



PHOTO 19: NEW DAM ACROSS HOMINY CREEK DOWNSTREAM OF STREAM OF STREAM



PHOTO 20: WASTE WATER TREATMENT FACILITY ON DOWNSTREAM SUD- OF NEW DAMA

APPENDIX A

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC ANALYSES

### HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC ANALYSES

To determine the overtopping potential, flood routings were performed by applying the Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) to a synthetic unit hydrograph to develop the inflow hydrograph. The inflow hydrograph was then routed through the reservoir and spillways. The overtopping analysis was determined using the computer program HEC-1 (Dam Safety Version) (1).

The PMP was determined from regional charts prepared by the National Weather Service in "Hydrometeorological Report No. 33" (HMR-33) (2). Reduction factors were not applied. The rainfall distribution for the 48-hour PMP storm was determined according to the procedures outlined in HMR-33 and EM 1110-2-1411 (3). The Jefferson City, Missouri rainfall distribution (15 min. interval - 48 hours duration), as provided by the St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers, was used when the ten percent chance probability flood was routed through the reservoir and spillways.

The synthetic unit hydrograph for the watershed was developed by the computer program using the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) method (1,4). The parameters for the unit hydrograph are shown in Table 1. The formula from which the lag time was derived is noted in Table 1 (5). The lag time was verified by the SCS curve number method (4).

The SCS curve number (CN) method was used in computing the infiltration losses for the rainfall-runoff relationship. The CN values used, and the result from the computer output, are shown in Table 2.

The reservoir routing was performed using the modified Puls Method. The initial reservoir pool elevation for the routing of each storm was determined to be equivalent to the outlet invert elevation of the principal spillway at elevation 786.6 feet m.s.l. This is in accordance with antecedent storm conditions AMC II and AMC III preceding the one percent and ten percent probability and probable maximum storms outlined by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Louis District (6). The hydraulic capacity of the spillway and the storage capacity of the reservoir were defined by the elevation, surface area, storage, and discharge relationships shown in Table 3.

The rating curve for the spillway is shown in Table 4. The flows over the emergency spillway crest and over the crest of the dam were determined using the non-level dam crest option (\$L and \$V cards) of the HEC-l program. The program assumes critical flow over a broad-crested weir.

The result of the routing analysis indicates that a flood equivalent to a maximum of 4 percent of the PMF will not overtop the dam. A summary of the routing analysis for different ratios of the PMF is shown in Table 5.

The computer input data and a summary of the output data are presented at the back of this appendix.

. .

### TABLE 1

### SYNTHETIC UNIT HYDROGRAPH

Parameters:

Drainage Area (A) 3.37 square miles Length of Watercourse (L) 3.90 miles Length of Watercourse to Centroid of Drainage Area  $(L_c)$  1.70 miles 0.0056 Slope (s)  $C_t$  = coefficient for basin characteristics = 0.35 for valleys Time of concentration  $(T_c)$ 3.20 hours Lag Time  $(t_p)$ 1.92 hours Duration (D) 25 min. (use 15 min.) Time (Min.) \* Discharge (cfs) \* 

\* From HEC-1 computer output

### TABLE 1

### SYNTHETIC UNIT HYDROGRAPH

(Continued)

FORMULAS USED:

$$t_p = C_t (LL_c/S^{0.5})^{0.38}$$
 (5)  
 $t_p = 0.6 T_c$   
D = 0.133 T\_c

### TABLE 2

### RAINFALL-RUNOFF VALUES

 Selected Storm Event	Storm Duration (Hours)	Rainfall (Inches)	Runoff (Inches)	Loss (Inches)
PMF	48	34.72	33.14	1.58
50% PMF	48	18.11	16.57	1.54
10% Probability	48	5.89	3.09	2.80

Additional Data:

 The soil associations in this watershed are Gara, Hatton, Lindley, Mexico, and Westerville (7).
70 percent of drainage area is hydrologic soil Group C 30 percent of drainage area is hydrologic soil Group D 75 percent of the land use was grassland 20 percent of the land use was woodland 5 percent of the land use was residential
SCS Runoff Curve CN = 88 (AMC III) for the PMF.

3) SCS Runoff Curve CN = 74 (AMC II) for the ten percent probability flood (4).

### TABLE 3

### ELEVATION, SURFACE AREA, STORAGE, AND DISCHARGE RELATIONSHIPS

Elevation (feet-MSL)	Lake Surface Area (acres)	Lake Storage (acre-ft)	Spillway Discharge (cfs)
*786.6	7.7	49	0
***787.4	10.2	56	11
788.4	13.3	67	69
***789.3	16.0	80	132
*****790.4	19.6	99	452

\*Principal Spillway Outlet Invert Elevation \*\*Emergency Spillway Control Sill Elevation \*\*\*Low-Water Crossing Crest Elevation \*\*\*\*Top of Dam Elevation

The relationships in Table 3 were developed from the Millersburg and Hallsville, Missouri 7.5 minute quadrangle maps and the field measurements.

### TABLE 4

### SPILLWAY RATING CURVE

Reservoir Elevation (ft)	Principal Spillway Discharge (cfs)	Emergency Spillway Discharge (cfs)	Total Spillway Discharge (cfs)
*786.6	0	-	0
**787.4	11	0	11
788.5	24	51	75
***789.3	37	95	132
*****790.4	76	376	452

\*Principal Spillway Outlet Invert Elevation \*\*Emergency Spillway Control Sill Elevation \*\*\*Low-Water Crossing Crest Elevation \*\*\*Top of Dam Elevation

### METHOD USED:

The principal spillway release rates and the emergency spillway release rates through the culvert are based on nomographs for a box culvert with inlet and outlet control (8).

Additional emergency spillway release rates above elevation 789.3 were based on flow over the crest determined using the non-level dam crest option (\$L and \$V cards) of the HEC-1 program. The equations for flow over non-level crests:

$$d_{c} = 2/3 (H_{m} + 1/4 \Delta Y)$$
  
A = 1/2 T (2d<sub>c</sub> -  $\Delta Y$ )  
Q = (A<sup>3</sup> g/T)<sup>0.5</sup>

where:

d = critical depth (feet) H<sup>C</sup> = available specific energy which is taken to be the height of the water surface in the reservoir above the bottom of the section (feet) ΔY = change in elevation across the section (feet) A = flow area (sq. ft.) T = top width (feet) Q = flow (cfs) g = 32.2 ft/sec<sup>2</sup> = acceleration due to gravity.

### TABLE 5

### RESULTS OF FLOOD ROUTINGS

Ratio of PMF	Peak Inflow (cfs)	Peak Lake Elevation (ftmsl)	Total Storage (acft.)	Peak Outflow (cfs)	Depth (ft.) Over Top of Dam	Duration of Over- topping (hrs)
-	0	*786.6	49	0	-	_
0.04	479	790.4	99	456	0	-
0.50	5,990	792.9	155	598	2.5	15.0
1.00	11,980	794.2	193	11,950	3.8	19.0

\* Principal spillway outlet invert elevation

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, <u>Flood</u> <u>Hydrograph Package (HEC-1), Dam Safety Version</u>, July 1978, Modification April 1980, Davis, California.
- (2) HMR 33, Seasonal Variations of Probable Maximum Precipitation, East of the 105th Meridian for Areas 10 to 1000 Square Miles and Durations from 6 to 48 Hours, U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA, National Weather Service, 1956.
- (3) EM-1110-2-1411, Standard Project Flood Determinations, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 26 March 1952.
- (4) U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, National Engineering Handbook, Section 4, <u>Hydrology</u>, August 1972.
- (5) Linsley, Ray K., Kohler, Max A., and Paulhus, Joseph L.H., <u>Hydrology</u> for Engineers, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1975.
- (6) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Louis District, <u>Hydrologic</u>/ <u>Hydraulic Standards</u>, Phase I Safety Inspection of Non-Federal Dams, 22 August 1980.
- (7) U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, <u>Soil</u> Survey of Boone County, Missouri, 1962.
- (8) U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Public Roads, <u>Hydraulic</u> <u>Charts For The Selection Of Highway Culverts</u>, December 1965.

<b>BLACK % VEA</b> I	C H							<b>B.</b>	PUJFCT S	166:	DATE	18 N. 19	PAGE	~
NATARAHARANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANAN	16F - NEC			4 7 9 9 8 8					ROGRAM	121102-1V	396	19:57:21	CASE Pue	14 14 14-
EL CCD HYDRUGAAPH PACKA DJH Safety Version Last Wudification C	165 (HEC- 101 HEC- 101 AFR 8(													
	M1550UR1	DAP IN NI PAP	SPECTION ICT US AR	PROGRAM NY CORPS Valety D	H21945 OF ENGI	17+MD1-VE NEERS	L CH							
ດ ສຸມ ທີ່ - ສະ ທາ	192		15		0	6	0	Ð	Ð	Ð				
4 F F	- 6 -	4 20 <b>-</b>	• 03	•0•	•05	•06	•01 1	-50	1.0					
9 K1	I WELCH	I LAKE DI	AM (48 HR	. PRODAB	LE MAXIM	UM RUNGE	F)							
2	-	24.60	3.37	120	130	0 1+0	•							
	~	1.92	•	•	:	•	ī	5 0 1	I					
		5 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C			,		*							
17			UGH WELCH	LAKE DA	~									
18 19 19	1 186.6	787.3	787.4	787_5	788.0	768.5	-786-6 789-0	-1 789 • 5	796.0	790-5				
20	291.0	0-252	793.0	194.0	795.0	795.0			, c,					
22	5 279.	371.	11.	18c 538.	581.	627.	-011	14/*	• • • • •	- + + 2				
24 24 25	5 767 6	786-6	19.0	800.	k L					,		ı	1	i
26 26 26	200.00	6	:	ł				ġ	4					
27 26 26 51	789.3	33-	45. 789.6	789.8	790.5	320°	372.	791.5	569- 792-3	632.				
					,	i.								
		•	1 1 1	1		1	,							
	·			: 2 4	4 	!	ų				,	ŗ		
							-				,	1		
					•		: *							

		τ. 			• • • •	E 1AUTO	D C AL		ят <b>і</b> те • 00				VOL= 1.00 788. 728. 135. 110. 18. 15. 15. 15.
	M INSPECTION PROGRAM HZ194574MD1_NELCM Istrict us Army Corps of Engineers dam and moon valley dam	JOB SPECIFICATION NMIN IDAY IMP IMIN METRC IPLT IPAT NST 15 C C C C 0 0 0 Joper NWT LROPT TAACE 5 0 0 0 0	MULTI-PLAM ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED NPLAN= 1 NRTIO= 9 LRTIO= 1 NO1 .02 .03 .04 .05 .06 .07 .50 1.00		DAM (4P HR. PROBABLE MAXIMUM RUNOFF)	ISTAG ICOMP IECON ITAPE JPLT JPRT INAME ISTAG 1 0 0 0 0 3 1	HG TAREA SWAP TRSDA TRSPC RATIO ISMOV ISAME L 2 3.37 .00 3.37 1.00 .000 0 0	PRECIP DATA E PPS R6 R12 R24 R48 R72 R96 J 24.80 101.00 120.00 136.00 140.00 .00 .00	LOSS BATA DLTKR RTIOL ERAIN STRKS RTIOK STRTL CNSTL ALSPX -00 1.00 -00 -00 1.00 -88.00 -00	-88.00 METMESS = -1.00 EFFECT CN = 88.00	UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA TC= 000 Lag= 1.92	RECESSION DATA Strta= .00 Grcsh= .00 Rtion= 1.00	PH 40 END OF PERIOD ORDINATES, TC= .00 HOURS, LAG= 1.92 215. 260. 540. 682. 767. 794. 456. 760. 294. 240. 200. 165. 60. 51. 40. 37. 27. 22.
и с м с м о м с м т и м клатататататататата катели FLOOD НУDROGRAPH FACK - НЕС-	LOOD HTCROGRAFH FACKAGE (HFC-1 FLOOD HTCROGRAFH FACKAGE (HFC-1 Dam Safety Version Last Pudification Last Pudification Souri Di St. Louis I Melch Lake	4 8 8 8 1 1 2 5 1 -	R7105+	* * * * * * *	-		1 1 HY D G 1	-6S	LEOPT STRKE 0 00	CURVE NO =			UNIT HYDROGRI 36. 111. 656. 567. 90. 74.

-

!

LCCD HYDROGI	APH FAC	*====** XAGE = ME	===== C = 1	27 28 29 29		14 44 12 34 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	63 54 56 67 59 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	01. 02 04 31 31 31 31 31			+21/62-1	сате та с	19:57:21	CASE PHF
c						END-05-PFR 100	FLOW							
M0.0M	N	P ER I CD	RAIN	EXCS	L055	CCMP 9	MO.DA	NR. RN	PER100	R AI N	EX CS	1055	COMP Q	
1.01	.15	-	201	02.	<b>.</b> 0 <b>.</b>	.0	1.02	.15	79	• 6 4	* C 4	-01	46.	
10.1	<u>.</u>	ru 1	00-	0.0	0.0	50	1.02	<u>.</u>	86	20	22		<b>1</b> 89	
10°1	· · ·	<b>∽</b> ◄	50.	5 C	•	• •	20-1	<b>1</b> .00	2 Å Å	10	7 2			
1.01	1.15	r in					1.02	1.15	101	0	10	01	- 62	
1.01	1.13	0	- ċ0	00-	.00	•	1.02	1.30	102	10.	10-	5	. 69	
1	1.45	~	•0.	.0°	00.	•	1.02	1.45	103	10.	*0* •	-01	123.	
1.5.	~	<b>بد م</b>	000	00.	6	•	20-1	~~~~	101	10.	2 -		148.	
	21.02		00	00.	0.0	۔ د ر		<pre></pre>	C) [		4 0 -	• •	. 2/1	
		22					1.02	2.45	102	40			215.	
1.01	3.00	12	00-	00.	00-	• •	1.02	3.00	108	• 0 •	20	00-	234.	
10-1	3.15	M) .	0		00.		20.1	3.15 2.15	109	30.		0	249.	
		: :				• • •	1.02	3.45	111	10		000	271.	
1.01	4.00	16	00.	00	30.		1.62	00.4	112	10.	40.	0.0	279.	
1.01	4.15	11	00-	00-	- 60	• • •	1-02	4.15	113	• 6 •	•0*	•00	287.	
1.01	0	÷ 4	0	30,	5.	•	1-02	0.0	114	1	10	50.	293.	
10-1						- - -		4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	<pre></pre>				- 262 -	
		212					1.02	5.15	117					
1.01	5.70	22	00-	00	00-	•	1.02	5.30	1 18	70-	•0•	00-	309.	
1.61	5.45	2	20-	00-	00.	• د	1-62	5.45	119	• 0 •	40.	00.	311.	
	6.0.9	4 H () [	5	20		•	- 05	00-9	120	40	40.	5 0 1	514.	
		20		00.	20-		20 · F	01.0	121	200		20.	338 -	
1.61	6.45	22	-05	000	- 02		1.02	6.45	123	-20	18	10	371.	
1.01	7-00	28	- 02	00-	-02	• •	1.02	7.00	124	•20	.16	-01	423.	
10.1	7.15	52	•05	2	20-	••	1.02	7.15	125	-20	8.	5	502.	
			20.	300-	202	• • ب د	1.02		120	202	•1°		713 -	
1.01	8. 60	32	29.	0 û	-02		1.62	8. 00	128	-20	- 19	5	830 -	
1.01	8.15 1		-05	0.0	•05		1.62	8.1S	129	-20	• 10	-01	- 976	
10.1			20	00.	20-		1.42	8.450 8.458		02.	· 1 ·	10.	1153.	
1.01	9.60	96	20+	00-	.02	•	1.02	00-0	132	- 20	. 19	0	1235.	
10.1	<b>2</b>			20	20	•	2.3-1	9.15	۲. ۲ ۶. ۲	021	•••		1309.	
	9.45	0.0	20.	. 00		• •	20-1	9.45		200		5	1000	
1.01	16.60	07	. 02		10-		1.02	1 5 - 00	136	-20	.19	10	1453.	
1-61	10.15	5	20-	00.	5	•	1.02	10.15	137	•20	•19	-01	1486.	
1.0.1	10.45	44	20			-	20-1	10.50	1 3 8 9 1 0	02.	5 		1515.	
1.01	11-70	4	20		10	~	1.02	11.00	140	202	. 1 .	0	1559.	
1.01	11.15	45	-02	.06	-01	•	1.62	11.15	141	•20	.19	.00	1576.	
1.01	11.30	4 V	5 C	00	5	•	1.02	11.30	142	-20	.19	00.	1590.	
			v r •			•••	23.1				× •		1006.	
1.01	12.15	• •	.0.		40.	- 0	20-1	12.15				20	1637.	
1.01	12.20	20	.05		0	12.	1.02	12.30	146	.63	•62	10	1692 .	
10.6	12.45	5.	5	-02	50.	16.	1.02	12.45	147	-63	29•	5	1789.	
10.1		2	<b>^ *</b>	70.	<b>c</b>		20.1	00°s1	941		70*	10.	- / • 4	

ł

.

FLCOD NYDROS	RAPH PACKa		== + = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =								PROGRAM		T JME	**************************************	EEFECEE CASE
• 1 •	11,15		20.	C U	20	2		t . n2	47.25	675	. 75	71.7	10.	2155	
					, P 2 C	i F		5.0.1				77		. 6276	
	27.21					, r		~ ~ ~	11.45			.74	101	2948-	
1.0.1	14.15	. *	-05		2	÷		1.02	14.00	152	.75	.74	101	3233	
1.0-1	14.15	27	- 0.7			œ		1.62	14.15	153	76.	56.	.01	3646.	
1.5.1	14.70	8.5	- 0 -			10		1.02	14.30	154	46.	5 6 4	10.	4064.	
0	14.45		- 0 -	41	101	- <del>-</del>		1.02	14.45	155	76.	5	101	4483.	
10	15.00	0	20-	2 C C		1		1.02	15.00	156	43		10.	4895.	
	15.15				5	91		1.02	15.15	157	50	56.	00	5293.	
		50				0		. 0 .	15.30		1.00	1.00	101	- 7425	
	15.45							1.02	15.45	159		5	20	6 29 2	
		44	011		66			1.02	16.00	160			0.0	7 362	
	16.15	53	20.	- 05	10			1.02	16-15	161	5.5	-87	00-	7978 .	
	06.46		20,		10			1.07	16.10	162			00-	9477 -	
	14.45	2	.07	200		0		1.02	16-45	163	883.	28.	00	10266.	
	17.00	. 80 . 10	- 6.7	90 -	10.			1.02	17.00	164	88	7.5	50.	11207.	
	17.15	- 6-9 - 9	53	40.				1-62	17.15	165	• • •	• 6 9	00.	11773.	
10-1	17.30	70	3	10.	61	5.9		1.02	17.30	166	.69	- 69	00.	11950.	
10-1	17.45	1	- 05		.01	99		1.02	17.45	167	.69	.69	0.0.	11918.	
1.61	18-30	72	.05	•0•	-01	5		1.02	18.00	168	-69	-69	<b>C</b> 0 •	11549.	
1.01	18.15	13	.00	. 50	90-	6.9	•	1.02	18.15	169	.06	۹۵.	00-	11022.	
1.01	18.10	7.4	00-	00-	00-	58:	•	1.02	18.30	170	•06	•0•	00.	10312-	
1.01	18.45	75	00.	30-	50.	. 24	Z•	1.02	18.45	171	•00	.0¢	00-	9424 -	
1.0	19,00	76	00-	300	20-	6.7	•	1.02	19.00	172	• 0.6	•0•	00.	P524.	
1.01	19.15	77	00-	90	•0.	454	•	1.02	19.15	173	• 0 6	• 0 •	• • •	7565.	
1-01	15.10	78 -	00+	001	50,			1+02	19-30	174	904	299 299	5 0 1	6835.	
1.67	19.45	29	00-	00.	00	5	•	20-1	19.45	22	• 00	90-		5973.	
1.01	20.00		50	00-		5	•	20.	20.00	0~	•	0 X 1		5173.	
	21-15	5	00	0.6.4		202	•	20.1			5.	0	200	• 6 7 9 9	
	20.50	2 2				2		20°		1/0	0.0	0.0		-00-5	
			20				•								
		8 M 10 G			) ( ) (		•		24 45					-1012	
					20		•								
	21.45						•		21.45		90	90	000	1723.	
	22_00		00					1.02	22.00	184	90	-06		1511.	
1.01	22.15	89	00	00	00	<b>a</b> 0		1.02	22.15	185	•00	•0•	00-	1335 .	
1.1.1	22-30	05	-00	00-	20.	7		1.02	22.30	186	-06	•0•	00-	1190.	
1-01	22.45	16	• • 0	- 00	00-	9		1.02	22.45	187	•0•	• 0 •	00-	1071.	
1.01	23.50	52	00-	• 00	•00	•	•	1.02	23.00	188	• 0 •	• 0 •	0.	974 .	
1.01	23.15	5	00.	00.	000		•	1.02	23.15		• 00	90.	0.0	893. 510	
	2 5 • 5 0	46	• 0	00.	0.0	'n	•	20.1	20.00	0 4 1	5	• • •	00.	829.	
1.01	23.45	<b>5</b>	00-	0.	00	Ξ.	•	1.02	23*12	161	.06	90. •	0.0	776.	
1.02	• 00	76	00-	00.	00-	4	•	1.03	0.0-	192	-00	- 06	• 00	733.	
										NUS	34.72	33.14	1.58	282264.	
											( 882.)	( 842.)(	\$0.04	7992.833	
				PF A V	- Y	4 Olio	24-HONP	72-HC	01 10	TAL VO	1 1255				
			C F S	11953	, <b>«</b>	010	2817 -		- P	281	296°				
			CHS	339.		227.	80.		.2.	~	982.				
		-	NCHES			2.17	01-10	22			2.42				
		-			^				201	0	30.00				
		10010			• •	• • • •	- 10C -		•	<b>۱</b> ۸	0610 4 8 4				
		~~~~	5		•		- 2 4 2 3		•	•	• • •				

.•

Para Para

•

заятаятаттаккатакаясаясаядая<u>таятая</u>да<u>на</u> адааксикинекикинекики и какаланики и какалаки и какалалала и калалалала FLOO MyDPOERAPH РАС<sub>К</sub>АGE – HEC-1 (ASE P<sub>M</sub>F) (ASE P<sub>M</sub>F) ~ PAGE 8 DATE 09 PROJECT 9166: × J **BLACK & VE**A

1 FOR PLAN 1. RTIG 1

WTDROGRAPH AT STA

8-24 58. TOTAL VOLUME 2819. 60. 24-HOUR 72-HOUR TOTAL VOLUME 8457. 239. 12.45 216. • • • 116. 144. TOTAL VOLUME 160. 16-47 5638. 1 FOR PLAN 1. RTIO 2 1 FOR PLAN 1. RTIO 3 72-HCUR 15. 72-HOUF -•65 12.45 175.216. .32 58. 58. 16-47 144 . 44. 72. 29 7.90 112. 24-HOUR 26. 85. 15.80 50. - 62 24- H CUR 2.63 2.63 2.63 2.63 2.63 HYDROGRAPH AT STA 8 C • 98. NYDROGRAPH AT STA 241. -66 16-89 6-HOUR . 44 119. 147. 6-HOUR 80. 161. **.** 11.26 6-HOUR ł PE AK 240. PEAK 120. 3. PEAK 359. 30. NN AC-FT TPOUS CU P AC-FT THOUS CU M CAS LNCHES AC-FT THOUS CU M CES CHS CFS CHS E. **ZNCHES** E INCHES

i

1.30 32.94 233. 287. I FOR PLAN 1. RIIO 5 32.94 233-287-31.60 224. -69 -22-52 -159-196-HYDROGRAPH AT STA

TOTAL VOLUME 11276-319-

1 FOR PLAN 1, RT10 4

HYDROGRAPH AT STA

ì

72-HOUR

24-HOUR

6-HOUR 321.

PEAK 479. 14.

CFS

CHS

**INCHES** 

AC-FT AC-FT Thous cu m

113.

ò

59. 1.30

399. TOTAL VOLUME 14095. 72-HOUR 73. 1-56 24-HOUR 141. 11. 1-11 A-NOUR 401. 72 824K

CFS CAS THENES

i

i

ł

ł

į

1401							:		
1041	N N		28.15	39.50	41.18	4	1.18		
	AC-FT US CU M		199. 246.	245. 345.	359.		291. 359.		
		NY BROGRAP	H AT STA	1 FOR	PLAN 1, RT	10 6			
		PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VO	LUME		
	CFS	719.	482	169.	88.	10	.914.		
	CHS	20.	. 14 .	<b>.</b>	<b>2</b> •		479.	. 4	
	INCHES			1.57	1.95	1	1.95		
	AC-FT		239.	335	349.		349		
JOHT	IS CU M		295.	414.	431.		431.		
•									
							•		
		HIDROCKAPI	A 1 5 1 A	×0.					
		PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-4008	TOTAL VO	ILUPE		
	CFS	839.	562.	197.	103.	1 5	1733.		
,	SEU	24.	16.	•	• 1 • 1 • 1		559.		
	INCHES		1.55	2.18	2.27	•	2.27		
			59•41 220	02.22	20-10	^	7.05		
75.0L		:	344	484	503.		503.	÷	
			ł			1			
		HYDROGRAPI	4 AT STA	1 FOR	PLAN 1, RT	IO 8			
		PEAK	6-HOUR	24 -H OUR	72 - H OUR	101AL VO	LUME		
	CFS	5990.	4015.	1409.	734.	140	948-		
	CHS	170.	114.	*0 *	21.	m	- 166		
	INCHE S		11.08	15.55	16-21		12.0		
			201.55	575.U2	411.10	3	1./0		
THOU			2456.	3446.	3592.		592.	i	
1									
		HYDROGRAPI	H AT STA	1 FOR	PLAN 1, RT	to 9			
		PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VO	LUME		
	CF S	11980.	F030.	2817 .	1468 .	281	20 Ge		
	CNS	339.	227.	80.	42.	~ (	.236		
	INCHES		22.17	31.10	32.42	μη Γ 4	2.42		
	1 U U U U U U		707 • CC		523.36 Segi	υ υ	3.34		
THOR			4911-	5 8 0 C	71 84	~ ~	184		

.

.

i.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

......... \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

HTOROGRAPH ROUTINE

AGE IAUTO 0 100 57R 570	R.T.	0 789.50 790.00 79	0 147.00 194.00 24		•	: .	:	•	494 <b>.</b> 549. 632.	791.5 792.3 795.2					• • • •
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5108 A 15P	789.0	110.0			4	A EXPL		372.	791.0			66000	50 F Nr	: ~,
1896 1896 1896 1896 1980	15K • 000	788.50 796.00	75.0U 627.00				COOL CARE	DA MUID Q	320.	790.8	. RATIO 1	H ORDINATES		• • • • • • • •	: ~:
PE JPLT 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	.000 .000	788.00 795.66	45.00 581.00		:		E LEV L	PAN PATA 360 Exfd •0 •0	141 .	2-062	2. PLAN 1.	HYDROGRAPI		• • • • • • • • •	••••
IECON ITA 0 routing ires isa	LAG AMS	787 •50 794 •60· _	18.00 538.00	52.	430.	800.	и ЕХ Ри 0.	T 0PEL _ C 0 790-4	71.	789.8	ITAT ION	49-0f-PE A1 00		 	• ~
1 1 1 500 	N S T D L	787.40 793.00	11.00	18.	92.	790.	Pulb (00		45.	789.6		Ū.			
151A9 2 2 055 CL055 000 - 000	451PS 1	87.00 92.CO	6.06 71.co	*	. 49.	787.	CR EL S 786.6		30.	789.4					
18		.60 7	.00 .00	:	•	768.			0.	789.3					• •
		STAGE 786. 791.	525 FLOP 579	UAFACE AREA=	CAPACITY=	E LE VA 7 J ON=			CREST LENGTH	AT GR BELOW Elevation			66 6 6 6		~ ~ (~ (

61 PAGE 14	221 CASE PHE
DATE 09 APR	-1V TIME 19:57
51667 91667 91665	- HEC-1 PROGRAM H21/02
9 L A C K C V E A 1 C I	FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE

<b>.</b> R7	22.15	157.	193.
-87	22.15	157.	193.
. 85	21.59	153.	188.
. 60	15.13	107.	132.
INCHES	<b>TL</b>	AC - F T	THOUS CU P

~
0
2
<
<b>a</b>
<b>.</b> -
z
5
ā
~
z
Ő.
ũ,

## NA TES STAT 10

				!																														•			•			;	
																																								1	
			•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•			•	•				•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•			•	•	
C			D		-	•		80	~	~	•	1	42	63	151	233	182	26				4	49	4	4	57	4	52	5	2	5	5	54	š	61	99	8	56	8	12	
C			••	ני. י	-	<b>5</b>	• 6	•	7.	7.	•	11.	·04	59.	134.	454.	202.	9 <b>8</b> .				• 5 •	49.	49.	<b>4</b> 9 <b>.</b>	49.	<b>4</b> 9.	51.	54.	54.	53.	53.	53.	56.	61.	65.	8C.	. 99 .	87.	. 73.	
Ċ			•	•••	-	4.	<b>6</b>	<b>°</b>	~~			10.	38.	56.	123.	456	225.	104.				• 6 •	49.	49.	<b>4</b> 9 <b>.</b>	49.	49.	51.	54.	54.	53.	53.	53.	55.	61.	64.	78.	<b>6</b> 6	89.	74.	
c	•		<b>.</b>	•	•	• #1	9 <b>°</b>	°.	7.	~		10.	35.	54.	113.	445	250.	111.	1			+ 6 •	49.	49.	<b>*</b> 6 <b>*</b>	. 94	<b>* 6 *</b>	51.	54.	54.	53.	53.	53.	55.	6C.	64.	76.	. 99	• 36	75.	
•	• •		••	•0	:	4	•	9.				• 6	33.	52.	103-	419	277.	118.				49.	49.	. 64	49.	49.	49.	50.	54.	54.	53.	53.	53.	54.	60.	64.	. 74.	98.	92.	17.	
 011 170	5 n		•	: 0	.0	2.	80	<b>°</b>			7.	•	30.	51.	94.	378.	306	125.			STORAGE	.9.	49.	<b>4</b> 0 <b>•</b>	49.	49.	49.	: <b>50.</b> .	54.	54.	53.	53.	53.	54.	59.	63.	72.	96.	93.	78.	
	•		••	: : :		2.	-	• 6				. 6	28.	- 54	86.	326.	336.	13.2.				49.	49.	49.	49	49.	49.	50.	53.	54.	53.	53.	53.	54.	59.	63.	70.	94.	94.		
L	, c		• •	د		2.	~	.0		-			25.		78.	276.	366	140				<b>4</b> 5 <b>•</b>	<b>4</b> 9 <b>.</b>	49.	47.	° 67	45.	50.	53.	54.	53.	53.	53.	54.	58.	63.	ę5 .	91.	96.	. 81.	, I
			•	5			~				~~	-	22	46.	71.	226.	395.	150 -	80.	•		49°	49.	<b>*</b> 6 <b>*</b>	49.	49.	· 67	49.	52.	54.	54.	53.	53.	54.	52.	62.	67.	58.	97.	83.	. 69
c	• > c	• •	•		.0	-							19-			180	421	165-	86.			* 5 *	49.	÷9.	* 5 *	49.	45.	<b>49</b>	52.	54.	54.	52.	53.	54.	57.	62.	66.	65.	96	84.	70.

i

đ	LACK & VEA	Ч, С. H.							PROJECT	5166:	DATE O	9 A 81	PAGE 15
1	CCOD NTDROGRAPH FA	88888338888888888888888888888888888888				14 14 14 15 16 16	14 11 11 10 10 10	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4		H21/02-1V	3WI1	19:57:21	CASE PEF
	786.6	786.6	786 .6	786.6	786.0	176	.6 7	86.6	786.6	786.6	786.6		
	2.942	786.6	780.6	786.	280.0	5 780	•• •	86 •6	786.6	786.6	786.6		
	786-60	786.6	786.6	7.001			•••	70.0 86.6	786.6	786.6	786.6		
	766-6	766.6	786.6	786	786-0	780	- C	86.6	736.6	786.6	796.6		
	786.6	786.6	786.6	786.6	786.0	786	. 6.	86 •6	786.6	736.6	786.7		
	786.7	7.96.7	786.7	786.	786.1	3 786	9.	86.8	786.9	786.9	787.0		
	787 -0	787.1	1.787	787	187	191	~ ~	87.2	787.2	787.2	787.3	•	
								2.15	7.101	2.101	7 · / · /		
	787.1	287.5	787.1	787	787	787		87.1	787.1	787.1	787.1		
	787.1	787.1	787.1	787	787	787			787.1	787.2	787.2		
	787.2	767.2	787.2	7.97	787	787		87.3	787.3	787 .4	787.5		
	787.5	787.6	787.6	787 .	787 1	787	.8	87.8	787.9	787.9	787.9		
	768.0	778.0	788.0	728.	788.	788	ہے۔ •	88.2	789.2	788.2	788.3		
	788.4	728.4	788.5	788-1	788	282	- I - I	89.0	789.2	789.3	789.5		
	10 A 2 A 2 A 2 A 2 A 2 A 2 A 2 A 2 A 2 A	750.3	2002	1 - C 6 /		0.02			780.8	780.8	4 · 0 4 /	:	
	789.6	769.5	7-682	789	789	789	.~	89.0	788.9	768.8	768.7		
	788.7	788.6									,		
4	EAK OUTFLOW IS	456. AT TIME	42.00	HOURS									
•				, F A K	S-HOUR 24	- HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL	KOI IIME		i		:
		CF:		56.	298.	105.	54.		10281.				
		CN		13.	• e	~ <b>`</b>	2.		291.				
		INCHES			20.90	7.15 29.32	30.03		30.03				
		AC-FI			148.	207.	212.		212.				
			-		• 701	• 0 ( 7	• 70 7		• 707				
					STATION	2, PL	AN 1. RA	110 5					
			•	-	ND-OF-PER	OP HYDRO	GRAPH OR	DINATES					
					0 (1)	151.02							
		•	3				<b>.</b>	••	••	•0	•		
ţ		•	•	ö	6		•	•	•	ະ	•	1	
		• •		o e		·		•	•	•			
				0				; ;					
	3,	<b>.</b> ,	ູ່,	ē	0		•••	<b>.</b>		<b>.</b>	-,		
		• •	• •	v o		-	• •	:	::		12.		
	12.	12.	-2-	11		-	-	11.	11.	10.	10.		
		- 01 - 6				•	 		• •	• •			
	• 5	. 6	•	6	6	1		10.	10.	10.	10.		•
	10.	10.	10.	==	- 4		•	16.	19.	22.	24.		
	51.	59.	61.	63	1.1		•••	68.	7.	74.	28		
	84.	90.	99.	108.	118.	21	•	142.	164.	193.	230.		
	• [ ] ]		• • • •				•	•••	- 1 9 0	• 215	•><		

### 2, PLAN 1, RATIO 8 STATION

# END-OF-PEDIOD HYDDOG24PH O2DTWATES

,

																												•			•						1					••••			•		
																						•																									
		•	•		.0	•	9 <b>.</b>	136.	210.	104.	54.	79.	124.	397.	768 .	1143.	3374.	5282.	1484	474.			9	•	- 64		<b>•</b> 6 <b>•</b>	• •	55 <b>.</b>	80 -		. * 2	•		07.	108.	114.	136.	150	118.	100.	!		786.4	786.6	786.6	786-4
		••	ő	•0	- -		8.	118.	226.	110.	57.	73.	120.	329.	756.	1009.	3021.	5603.	1738.	513.				• 6 •	• 6 •	• • •	• 6 •	44.	53.	- 11	89.		•	- 20	. 70	107.	112.	133.	152.	121.	101.			786.6	786-6	786.6	786.6
2		••	••	.0	.0	.0	<b>6</b> .	<b>66</b>	240.	118.	6 <b>1.</b>	68.	117.	266.	741.	916.	2764.	5845.	2035.	557.				• • •	• 6 •	• • •	• 6 •	4 A .	52.	- 22	- 06		•			107.	110.	130.	154.	124.	103.			786.6	786.6	786.6	786-6
		•0				•	5.	80.	252.	125.	65.	. 70	113.	216.	722.	<b>859.</b>	2559.	5976.	2375.	609.		:	•	• • •	• 6 •	• · · ·	* 6 *	4 A •	52.	• 6 •	- 06		• • • •	• • •		107.	109.	129.	155.	127.	104.			786.6	786.6	786.6	786.6
	0.4	.0	•	•	0		4.	65.	259.	132.	69 <b>.</b>	60.	109.	180.	<b>699</b>	826.	2254.	5965.	2751.	673.				•	• 6 •	• ~ •	• 6 •	• 6 <del>•</del>	51.	• 9 •	91.	50°	•	- 00		166-	109.	127.	155.	130-	106.		Ľ	786.6	786.6	786.6	786-6
	OUTFL	•			.0	6	<b>.</b>	51.	259.	139.	73.	56.	164.	156.	669.	669.	2142.	5801.	3150.	749.		C 100		•	* 6 *	• 6 •	4 <b>0</b> •	- 49 -	50.	• <u>-</u> • -	- 16	81.	• • •	• • • •	* * * *	115	108.	125.	154.	134.	167.		STAG	786-6	786.6	786.6	786.6
		••	•	•0			2.	+ 0 <b>-</b>	249.	149.	- 62	54.	100-	142.	630.	801.	1926.	5450.	3566.	843.					* 6 * * 7	• ~ •	• 6 <del>•</del>	49.	50.	61.	• 06	• 0 • 0				105	108.	123.	151.	137.	109.			78 A . 6	786-6	786.6	786-6
		:	•		Ċ		2.	30.	228.	162.	84.	52.	95.	135.	582.	795.	1711-	4921.	3995.	957.				• • •	• 6 •		* 6 *	- 49.	50.	26	84	84.				103	108	120.	147.	140.	111.			786.6	786.6	786.6	780.6
		0	.0	6			-	22.	197.	177.	91.	52.	.• 06	131.	527.	785 .	1504.	4332.	4422 .	1097.	413.		ę	•	• 6 •	• • •	• 6 •	* A *	* 6 *	57.	- 28	85.	::			102 .	108	118.	143.	144 .	113.	98.		786-6	766.6	726.6	786.6
		:		3	:2	::	-	12.	143.	191.	97.	5.1.	84.	127.	465.	- 622	1312.	3816-	4871.	1270-	441.		•	+ 6 4 -	4.9.	• • •	• 5 •	4 5 *	4 0 <b>•</b>	56.	8 4	87.	• 7 7	* . 0 P			108	116.	139.	147.	115.	• 56		786.6	786.6	786-6	786.6

• ļ 1

-			. ,			
•••						
PACE				:		:
81	7 = 2 1					
03 V	19:5	i				i
DATE	1176	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 200	1		1052 1557 2357 2357 2357 2359 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395 2395
T 9166:	M H21/02-1V	786.6 787.1 789.1 789.8 788.0 788.0	782 798 799 799 797 797 797 8 799 797 8 797 797		00000000000000000000000000000000000000	- 202 - 202
PROJEC	PR06RA	786.6 788.6 788.8 789.9 788.1 788.3 788.4	769.1779.0	L VOLUME 138575. 1524. 1524. 404.83 2863. 3532.	0000FF000FF 5000FF000FF	700. 7408. 7408. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 714798. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71479. 71400. 71400. 7140. 71
		786.9 786.9 789.6 789.9 789.2 788.3 788.3	799.08	101 101 101 101 101	ж ж ж ж к и к и к и к и к и к и к и к и к и к и	4000 4000 4000 4000 4000 4000 4000
	10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	786.6 796.6 798.3 790.0 789.5 788.6 788.6	789.0	22-HOU 1522 1522 2861 3532 3532	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
		₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	24 - H 0 4 1 3 9 5 1 5 5 9 1 5 5 9 2 9 6 6 3 4 0 8 3 4 0 8	CX FX CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC C	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	23 33 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200		6-НОИЯ 6013- 1114- 114- 281-34 2454- 2454-	STATJ STATJ E ND-OF- C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1330 1330 14607 14607 19805 19845
		ち、ア ひ ひ ひ う ・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	9764K 5976. 169.		
		0 ~ 0 ~ 0 ~ 0 ~ 0	н н н н н н н н н н н н н н	CFS CAS INCHES INCHES AC-FT AC-FT US CU M		
Ŧ	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	イ 2 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	2004 925 152 152 152 152 155 155 155 155 155 1	OH H	900 N 4	55 158 55 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1
K Z X		786.0 786.7 787.6 789.6 789.6 789.7 789.8 788.8 788.8	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	1075 1077 1566 1566 2692 2467
	H Y D R OG		DUTFLON			
9 T A	1000		PE A K			· ; ;

		KAGE - HEC-	-						PROGRAM	1 H21/02-1V	1 JME 19:	57:21	CASE Prif
					510	RAGE							
	* 6 *	• 6 •	- 64 - 67	* 6 *	• 67	:	40°	* 0 *	4 9 <b>.</b> 7 0 1	• 6 7 7	64		
			• • • •		- U 7			• • •	07		. 07		
	- 6 -		19	6.7	0	_		67	• 6 <del>•</del>	- 67	- 67		
	49.	. 6 3	49.	4 9 -	4.9.		49.	- 6 7	• 6 •	• 67	- 67		
	50.	50.	5 <b>C</b> .	51.	52.		53.	54.	56.	58.	•0•		
	62.	65 <b>.</b>	68°	72.	76.	·	81.	87.	93.	98.	101-		
			104.		100F	-	• 20		-001	• 86			
	95.	93.	•26	• 0.6	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		57.	85	84.	.29	81. **		
	- 		• • •	• • • •			13.	-21					
	• • • •		• • • • •		- 0				97.		• • • •		
		. 76	76		07.0	•	- 10		106.	109	111.		
		114	115.	116.	117.		17.	118.	118	118.	119.		
	116	110	110	110.	110			121	122.	124.	127.		
	130.	111	136-	140-	143		46.4	149	152.	156.	160.		
	165.	17 3.	180.	187.	191.	-	93.	193.	191.	168.	184.		
	175.	173.	166.	162.	157.	•	51.	146.	141.	136.	132.		
	128.	125.	122.	119.	117.	-	15.	114-	112.	111.	110-		
		• 0											
					15	308							
	78.6.6	786.6	786.6	785.6	786-6	78	- 9-9	78 4. 6	780-6	786-6	7*6.6		
	7.6.6	786.6	786.6	786.6	786.5	78	6.6	7.6.6	786.6	786.6	785.6		
	780.6	786.6	754.6	786.6	786.6	78	6.6	786.6	786.6	786.6	786.6		
	756.6	785.6	786.6	786.6	786.6	28	6.6	786.6	786.6	786.6	786.6		
	786.6	786.6	786.0	786.6	786.6	36	<b>6.</b> 6	786.6	786.6	786.7	786.7		
	7.995	786.8	786.2	726.9	787.0	78	7.1	787 .3	787 .4	78.7.6	787.8		
	776.0	756.3	7 8 4 5	788.8	785.1	26	7*5	789.8	1.001	156.3	2.0.57		
	290.6	200-2	1002	2.0 62	202-202	62	0.6	2-052	7-062	296.4	290-3		
	2.061	1-152	750.0	6°642	729.8	32	2.6	789.6	229.6	789.5	1.54.4		
	2.487	2-621	789.1	789.0	184.0		ו••	788.8		1-921	760.5		
		0° 2.1.1	1.0.1	0 0 0 7 4	0.000	0.4	×	1.000			707 + 2		
		76.7								1000			
	1.1.2	201-1	201.0	705.7	20102	0.				791.7	7-102		
		764 4	701 1	101	201 2		7	201.5	201.5	4 - H / K	101.2		
	751.0	752.0	100	100 K	702			707. 6	202.8	702.0	707		
	5.67	793.5	793.8	194.0	794.2	. 62	2.4	794.2	2.262	794.1	793.9		
	7.5.7	793.6	793.4	193.1	792.9	19	2.7	2.24	792.3	792.1	291.9		
	791.8	9.152	201.5	791.4	20102	62	1.2	1.167	1.191	191.0	190.9		
	290.9	290.8											
OUTFLCW 1	1S 1	1951. AT TI	FE 41.75 HC	) UR S									
			34	1-4 14	77 anor	а пон -	72-H 011B	TOTAL	VOLUEE				
			CFS 1199	;e	028.	2 6 0 0 -	1453		279029.				
		•	CMS 331	3.	227.	- 62	41.		7901.		1		
		INC	HES	22	2.16	30.91	32.05		32.09				
				200	- 22	82.10	815.14		810.14 5745				
		TROUC CI				• 7 7 7 • 7	7111		7111		1		
				r									

166 27 1111 - 27 1126 - 27 1126 - 27	
9 : 5 2 : 5	
DATE C Ettert Time	
T 9166: ===================================	
PROJEC	
С К Я V Е А Н 	4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
10011 ====	

# PEAK FLOW AND STORAGE (END OF PERIOD) SUWMARY FCR MULTIPLE PLAN-RATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTATIONS Flows in cubic feet per sicond (cupic meters per second) Area in square miles (square kilometers)

						RATIOS APP	LIED TO FL	045				
OPERATION	51 AT 1 0 N	AREA	PL AN	RATIO 1 .01	R AT 10 2 .02	RATIO 3 .03	6AT10 4 .04	RATLO 5 .05	RATIO 6 .06	RATIO 7 .07	RATIO 8 .50	RATIO 9 1-00
HYDFOGRAPH AT	- ۲	3.37 8.73)	<b>ب</b> م	120. 3.39)(	240 <b>.</b> 6.78)(	359. 10.12) (	479.	. 599. 16-96)(	719. 20.3536	839. 23.75)(	5990. 169.623(	1198 C. 339 •242
ROUTED TO	2 2	3.37	- ~	84.	165. 5.24)(	326. 9-22)(	456. 12.91)(	581. 16.44)(	704-	830. 23.49)(	5976. 169.22)(	11951. 338.42)

ł

,

i

•

ł

٢

24	E A	-
	9 21 11	T.
A GE	n H	ASE
•	H H H	U
-	81 81 81	21
5	1	57=
6		19
TE (	**	ų
¥ Q	N N N	Ē
	11 11 14	2
	H 4	-20
160		1121
5	80 36 56	÷.
Jar o	N H H N	6 R ,
P R (	11	PRC
	14 10	
	ii N	
	11 14	
	16 81 88	
	)   )  ) 	
	**	
	N N	
	1	
	8	
	*	
	8	
	64 64 64	
	# #	
	N N	
	4 51 14	_
		5
	- 11 - 11	÷.
I	11 11	5
~	8 8	CKA
۲ س	*	¥ å
>	11 11	I A P F
•	* * *	205
*	11 H H H	1 DF
<		0
		10

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

.

IJ

Ì

i

÷

•

;

.....

i J

1

.....

1 ---

ŧ

,

•

1

ł

• •

ł

.

. . . . . . . .

÷

.

ł

÷

:

t :

																																										•		
																						:																						
			o					-007	. 0 7	-007	-007	- CU 7	-007	.007	.007	.007	-014 	• C1 4	.014	.032	-032	•046	.160	-014	- 014	-014								790.5		- 772						632.	2.641	
			0					-007	. 007	-00-	-007	- 007	• C 0 7	-007	-007	- 007	-014	7LJ"	-014	• 032	.032	-046	.161	-014	-014	<b>110</b>								0.027		194 -							[ • 2 • ]	
			0					-007	- 007	.007	-00-	C07.	- 007	.007	-007	. 0.07	-014	-014	-014	• 032	• 032	• 046	5 <del>7</del> 2 4 5	• 0 + 6	•014	-014	;	* -					7	789.5		147.					, ,			
	ЧCH		D	-	-			- 00 -	-001	- 00-	- 00 -	- Cú7	-007	. 0 7	.007	-007	.014	-014	.014	.032	• 032	- 646	1.178	• 6 4 6	.014	-014	•	ĩ		•	-		-786.6	789.0		110.						5/2 ·		
	7 *MD I • ¥E Keers		Ð	•		1.0	•	-00-	200-	- 007	-007	-C07	200-	.00.	-067	-007	-00-	-014	.014	-032	-032	970-	.435	.046	-014	110-								785.5	796-0	. 75.	627.					2075 2075	0.041	
	H21945	AA AA	o					. 007	- 00 -	. 007	. 507	.00.	- CO7 -	- CO7	- 00 -	. 007	- 00 -	-014	· 11 4	• 032	• C3 2	970 -	• 242	- 046	- 014	.014					I			788.0	79 5.0	45.	581.					700 C		
	PROGRAM NY CORPS	VALLEY D	0		10-45			- 00 -	-007	-067	- 0 0 7	-007	-001	.00.	-007	-00-	.007	-014	- C 1 4	-032	.032	•032	.160	-046	•014	.014					LAKE DA	-		787.5	794.0	18.	538.	52.5	200-		;		01.01	
	FCTION I	NOON DY	51		00 879 V	3.37		. 007	-007	-007	.07	-007	-007	-007	-007	-007	. 907	-014	.014	.032	•032	-032	.179	.046	-914	-014			•	-	H WELCH			787.4	793.0	11.	. 494	18.2	190.		•	• < •	0.0101	
	DAP INSE	(E DAM AI	D	•		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	5.889	-002	- 6 C 7	.007	.007	.007	- 202 -	-002-	-007	- 607	-002	-014	-014	• 032	.032	•032	.068	.088	-014	-014 	.014		26.1	~	16 THROUG			787.0	792.0	• •	371.	2.2	786.6		;	- 20		
JULY 197	II SSOURI	ELCH LA	261	v) e			192	-002	. C 0 7	-007	.07	. ( 0 7	- 007	. 607	-007		.007	460.	-014	.032	.032	.032	. ( 68	. ( e e	-014	-014	- [ ] 4			•	ROUTIO		•••	786.6	791.0	•••	279.		101.0	786.6	140.4	- C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C	60	•
TION 01			9 I	E >	•		0	10	10	10	01	01	01	01	01	10	10	01	01	0	01	01	01	01	61	01	<b>.</b> .	_ !	14 T	• 1	د <u>۲</u>	~	11	74	7 T	15	75			5			•	"
PODIFICA																																												
DAN SAF Last	~~	, m	••	^ <	~	. <b>బ</b>	0	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	26	21	22	23	24	25	26	22	28	52		5	. 5	1.4	35	9 1	37	80 F	6. F1	40	5:	2	<b>•</b>	* •	<b>*</b> 4	2.4	•

:

ļ

ļ

! -----

ļ i

1

į •

. . . . . . . . .

Ì ł

. . .

, ;

. ;

.....

t

Ì

•

і ,

.

							PROJECT	9166:	DATE	09 A R 81	PAG!
LOOD MYDROGRAPH PACKAGE - MI	1EC-1					4 4 5 6 7 8 8	PROGRAM	H21/C2-1V	TIME	19:57:21	CASE
	1.02	17.00	164	41-00	1570.	1528.	118.	791.3			
		17.15	165	41.25	1574	1567.	119.	791.4			
	1.02	17.45	167	41.75	1411-	1466-	118.	791.3			
	1.02	18.00	168	42.00	1282.	1356.	116.	791.3			
	1.02	16.15	169	42.25	1126.	1221.	115.	791.2			
	20.1	18.30	170	42.50	985.	1080.	113.	791.1			
	20*1	18-45		42.75 1		954.		0-1-62		,	
			224		• • • •	0 4 A 0	• • • •	4 · D / I			
	20.1	10.30	174	43.50	581.		105	790.7			
	1.02	19.45	175	43.75	506.	596.	104	200.6			
	1.02	20-00	176	44.00	. 1	533.	102.	790.5			
	1.02	26.15	177	44.25	384.	476.	100.	796.4			
	1.02	20.30	178	44.50	335.	424.	<b>9</b> 8.	794.3			
	1.02	20-45	179	44.75	292.	377.	96.	790.3			
	1-02	21.00	180	45-00	257.	337.	- 76	790.2			
	1.92	21-15	181	45.25	229.	302	93.	1.052			
	1-02	21.30	152	45+50	206.	273.	91.	200-0			
	20.1	21.45	183	45.75	187.	249.	• 06	739.9	•		
	20-1	22-00		46.00	- 271	- 222	84. 9	4°49/			
	20-1	CL-22	187	46.25	159.	211.	5 Q •	789.7			
			001			• • •	•		:		
	20.1	22.00 27.00	101	40°.73	141.	185.	80°.	787.7 789.6			
	4 N 2 C - 4			22.75				780.6			
	20-1	01.25	100	57 . 50 57 . 50	120-		• • • • •	789.5		• • •	
		24.55	101	47.75	120.	152		789.5			
	1.03		192	48.00	117.	147.	82.	789.5			
		1									
AK GUTFLCM IS 1569. AT	71ME 41.	25 HOURS									
		PEAK	6-HOUR	24-H CUR	NDH-21	IR TOTAL	VOLURE				
		.4041			0,1	•	2010C.	•			
	000	•			• •	• 0	• 1 0 0 8 F				
				11 36		•					
	55 73 - 74		-767								
THOL			523.	616	616		616.				
									•		
	* * *				_		•		•		
											i
RUNOFF SI	UMA AR V. AV	ERAGE FLO Area In	W IN CU I SQUARE	BIC FEET F Miles(Sol	LER SECOND IARE KILOM	(CUBIC P Eters)	ETERS PER	SE COND )			
			PEAK	6-H0UB	24-H OU	R 72-HO	ur Ar				
O B A A M	GRAPH AT	•	1574.	876	269		• M	22			
		•			: r	•	i	:			

=
PA6E 12 PROJECT 9165: Ŧ. V E A T BLACK

3•37 8•73)
126.
252.
855. 24.21)(
1569. 44.43)(
ر ۲
GOLTED TO

.

------

ł

;

1

i -----

ļ I ł ; : 1 •'

ŧ

ł .

;

i

. ł

ł

. . . . . . .

;

1.1. A set of the s

,

ł

;

;

÷

i ł : : : : ł 1

i ; ł i. 1 ł i : ł i TIME OF Fallure Hours 00-TIME OF Max outflow Hours : 41.25 TOP OF DAN 790.40 99. ţ 452. . , i , BURATION Over top Hours . 4.50 4 SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS i i ; 1 SPILLWAY CREST 786.60 49. ి MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS ī. , 1569. ÷ i ¢ ı ÷ ŧ MAXIMUM STL?AGE AC-FT 119. ļ ì i INITIAL VALUE 786.60 : • 1 49. ļ 3 i ł • MAXIMUN DEPTH . Over DAM - 97 ł i ; ī . . . . . . . ELEVATION STOFAGE : RESERVOIR N.S.ELEV OUTFLCK 791 .37 **UMIX VN** ; ł ļ . ţ 10-YTAF ţ PLAN 1 ...... RATIC . Pin F 10 .

1

.

,

į

• • • • • • • •

•

.

1

t 1

Ì

•

•

•

