

Name Of Dam: Location: **Inventory Number:** 

FILE COPY

ROANOKE RIVER BASIN LEATHERNOOD CREEK NO. 6 HENRY COUNTY, VIRGINIA VA. NO. 08907

# LEVEL AD A106318 PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM





## EPARED FOR

641 RING MERCINER, P.C./ J. K. TDOCD IS MED ARROCTATI S. DC.

81 10 27 327

**JELY 1981** 

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Dere Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS **REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE** BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. J. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER REPORT NUMBER 318 0890 106 VA TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD LOVERED TITLE (and Subtitio) Phase I Inspection Report Final National Dam Safety Program PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER Leatherwood Creek No. Henry County, VA CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+) AUTHOR(.) Schnabel Engineering Associates, P. C. DACW-65-81-D-00204 J. K. Timmons and Associates, Inc. ٠£ PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Schnabel Engineering Associates, P.C. J. K. Timmons and Associates, Inc. 11 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT DATE July 1981 1 U. S. Army Engineer District, Norfolk S. NUMBER OF PAGES 803 Front St., Norfolk, VA 23510 14 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) National Dam Safety Program. Leatherwood Unclassified Greek Number 6 (Inventory Number VA 15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING 08907), Roanoke River Basin, Henry County, Virginia. Phase I Inspection is Distale Report. (Dim Approved for public release; distribution unlimited 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) 18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151 A BURNER AND A STATE OF A DE STATE OF A D 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Dams - VA National Dam Safety Program Phase I Dam Safety Dam Inspection 20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (See Reverse Side) DD + 08M 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 45 IS DESOLETE SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

#### SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

#### 20. Abstract

Pursuant to Public Law 92-367, Phase I Inspection Reports are prepared under guidance contained in the recommended guidelines for safety inspection of dams, published by the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Inspection is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general conditions of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspection. Detailed investigation and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

Based upon the field conditions at the time of the field inspection and all available engineering data, the Phase I report addresses the hydraulic, hydrologic, geologic, geotechnic, and structural aspects of the dam. The engineering techniques employed give a reasonably accurate assessment of the conditions of the dam. It should be realized that certain engineering aspects cannot be fully analyzed during a Phase I inspection. Assessment and remedial measures in the report include the requirements of additional indepth study when necessary.

Phase I reports include project information of the dam appurtenances, all existing engineering data, operational procedures, hydraulic/hydrologic data of the watershed, dam stability, visual inspection report and an assessment including required remedial measures.

#### SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

ROANOKE RIVER BASIN

NAME OF DAM: LEATHERWOOD CH LOCATION: HENRY COUNTY, INVENTORY NUMBER: VA. NO. 08907

٠.,

. . . .

\*

LEATHERWOOD CREEK NO. 6 DAM HENRY COUNTY, VIRGINIA VA. NO. 08907

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM



PREPARED FOR NORFOLK DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS 803 FRONT STREET NORFOLK, VIRGINIA 23510

BY

Web ERT Str

J. . . . . .

SCHNABEL ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES, P.C./ J. K. TIMMONS AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

w. 24

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Preface		·i
Brief Assessment	of Dam	1
Overview Photos	••••••••••••••••••••••••	4
Section 1:	PROJECT INFORMATION	5
Section 2:	ENGINEERING DATA	9
Section 3:	VISUAL INSPECTION	13
Section 4:	OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	17
Section 5:	HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC DATA	19
Section 6:	DAM STABILITY	23
Section 7:	ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES	28

### Appendices:

F

11

\$

•

.

۰.

- I Maps and Drawings
- II Photographs
- III Field Observations
- IV Design Report
- V Stability Data

many in a series

VI - References

Accession For
NTIS 'G744I DTIC TAB Unamounded D Justification
By Distribution/ Availability Codes Avail and/or Di 1 Special
A

a se for a the state of the second of the second

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

PREFACE

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detected and only through continued care and maintenance can these conditions be prevented or corrected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

i

#### PHASE I REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

#### BRIEF ASSESSMENT OF DAM

Name of Dam: State: Location: USGS Quad Sheet: Coordinates: Stream: Date of Inspection;

\$

Leatherwood Creek No. 6 Dam Virginia Henry County Martinsville East Lat 36° 41.6' Long 79° 47.8 Camp Branch of Leatherwood Creek July 1, 1981

Leatherwood Dam No. 6 is a homogeneous earthfill structure about 500 ft long and 31.9 ft high. The principal spillway consists of a reinforced concrete riser and a 24 inch diameter concrete outlet pipe which extends through the structure. An earth emergency spillway is located at the left abutment with a 100 ft wide bottom and 3H:1V side slopes. The structure is classified small in size and is assigned a significant hazard classification. The dam is located on Camp Branch of Leatherwood Creek approximately 2.4 miles east of Martinsville, Virginia. The dam is used for irrigation, flood control and recreational purposes, and is owned and maintained by Camp Branch Plantation, Inc.

Based on criteria established by the Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers (OCE), the appropriate Spillway Design Flood (SDF) is the ½ PMF. The spillways will pass 30 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) or 60 percent of the SDF without overtopping the dam. During the SDF, the dam will be overtopped

-1-

WE EN Stre

1 A.C. ...

for a period of 2.0 hours up to a maximum of 1.4 feet and reach a maximum velocity of 5.1 fps. Flows overtopping the dam during the SDF are not considered detrimental to the embankment with respect to erosion. The spillway is judged inadequate, but not seriously inadequate.

The visual inspection did not reveal any problems which would require immediate attention. A summary of the design stability analyses for the upstream slope under drawdown conditions, and the downstream slope under steady seepage conditions were reviewed and found to be acceptable.

It is recommended that the owner implement an emergency action plan measure to warn the downstream dwellings of any dangers which may be imminent.

The following routine maintenance and observation functions should be initiated within the next twelve months:

The grass and weeds on the dam embankment and in the emergency spillway should be cut at least once a year and preferably twice a year. Maintenance is recommended in the early summer and fall. Existing trees on the dam should be cut to the ground and removed.

Bare and rutted areas created by vehicular traffic on the crest of the dam and in the emergency spillway should be backfilled and reseeded. Vehicular traffic should be restricted in these areas. Eroded areas present at pool level on the upstream slope should be monitored quarterly to detect any significant increase in erosion which may require the installation of riprap for slope protection. Fishermen should not be allowed to dig up the embankment and existing disturbed areas should be regraded and seeded.

-2-

Foot paths on the embankment should also be reseeded. The eroded area present below the berm on the downstream slope should be backfilled with compacted soil and reseeded.

Debris should be removed from the trash rack and vegetation should be removed from the left seepage drain outlet. A staff gage should be installed to monitor water levels.

SCHNABEL ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES, P.C./ J. K. TIMMONS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Ray E. Martin, Ph.D., P.E.

Commonwealth of Virginia

Submitted by:

· · · · · ·

Original signed by: Carl S. Anderson, Jr.

Carl S. Anderson, Jr., P.E. Acting Chief, Design Branch Approved:

Original signed by: Ronald E. Hudson

Ronald E. Hudson Colonel, Corps of Engineers Commander and District Engineer

Recommended by:

Original signed by JACK G. STARR

Date:

An and the second second

SEP 2 3 1981

本は、ションは、日本語のの

Jack G. Starr, P.E. Chief, Engineering Division

-3-



.

. . .

4

١.

Leatherwood Dam No. 6 - Lake



Dam

Overview Photographs

÷

#### SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General:

1.1.1 <u>Authority</u>: Public Law 92-367, 8 August 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of safety inspection of dams throughout the United States. The Norfolk District has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

1.1.2 <u>Purpose of Inspection</u>: The purpose is to conduct a Phase I inspection according to the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety</u> <u>Inspection of Dams</u> (see Reference 1, Appendix VI). The main responsibility is to expeditiously identify those dams which may be a potential hazard to human life or property.

1.2 Project Description:

1.2.1 <u>Dam and Appurtenances</u>: Leatherwood Creek No. 6 Dam is a homogeneous earthfill structure approximately 500 ft long and 31.9 ft high.\* The crest of the dam is 14 ft wide, and side slopes are approximately 2.5 ' horizontal to 1 vertical (2.5H:1V) on the upstream and downstream slopes of the dam. A 15 ft wide berm occurs between elevation 711.4 and 712.4 msl on the upstream slope. A 15 ft wide berm also exists between elevation 710 and 711 msl on the downstream slope. The upstream slope flattens to 3H:1V below the berm. The crest of the dam is at elevation 727.9 msl. "As built" drawings show the presence of a cutoff trench which extends into "firm bedrock" and a seepage drain beneath the downstream slope. There is no slope protection on the upstream face of the dam.

\*Height is measured from the top of the dam to the downstream toe at the centerline of the stream. -5-

The principal spillway consists of a reinforced concrete riser inlet. The riser has an internal opening of 6 ft by 2 ft, and is approximately 21 ft high. The riser has a low flow orifice (2 ft by 1 ft) at an invert elevation of 710.9 msl and two overflow weirs (6 ft by 1 ft) at elevation 717.5 msl. A 24 inch diameter slide gate in the riser at an invert elevation of 700 msl is used to drain the lake. The outlet pipe is a 24 inch diameter reinforced concrete pipe which outlets at an elevation of 698 msl into a riprap lined plunge pool. (See Plate 5, Appendix I.)

The emergency spillway (EMS) consists of a vegetated earthen channel spillway located at the left abutment, having a crest elevation of 723.9 msl. The EMS has a bottom width of 100 ft at the control section, 3H:IV side slopes, and is in a cut section. (See Plates <sup>1</sup> and 9, Appendix I)

1.2.2 Location: Leatherwood Dam No. 6 is located on Camp Branch of Leatherwood Creek, 2.4 miles east of Martinsville, Virginia. (See Plate 1, Appendix 1.)

1.2.3 <u>Size and Classification</u>: The dam is classified as a small size structure based on its height and maximum lake storage potential as defined in Reference 1, Appendix VI.

1.2.4 Hazard Classification: The dam is located in a rural area; however, based upon the proximity of two commercial facilities located 1.2 miles downstream, the dam is assigned a "significant" hazard classification. The hazard classification used to categorize a

-6-

dam is a function of location only and has nothing to do with its stability or probability of failure.

1.2.5 <u>Ownership</u>: The dam is owned and maintained by Camp Branch Plantation, Inc. of Martinsville, Virginia.

1.2.7 <u>Design and Construction History</u>: The dam was designed and constructed under the supervision of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Soil Conservation Service (SCS). The structure was constructed by Larramore Construction Company and completed in 1964.

1.2.8 <u>Normal Operational Procedures</u>: The principal spillway is ungated, therefore, water rising above the low level orifice and overflow weirs of the riser outlet is automatically discharged downstream. Normal pool is maintained at elevation 711 msl just above the invert of the low level orifice in the riser. Flood discharges which cannot be absorbed by storage and the riser flow through the emergency spillway at pool elevations above 723.9 msl. The 24 inch diameter gate at elevation 700 msl is manually operated, and is available to lower the lake elevation below normal pool for maintenance purposes.

1.3 Pertinent Data:

1.3.1 Drainage Area: The drainage area is 2.1 square miles.

1.3.2 Discharge at Dam Site:

-7-

Principal Spillway Discharge:

# Pool Elevation at Crest of Dam (elev 727.9) 67 CFS Emergency Spillway Discharge:

Pool Elevation at Crest of Dam (elev 727.9) 2123 CFS

1.3.3 Dam and Reservoir Data: See Table 1.1, below:

#### Table 1.1 - DAM AND RESERVOIR DATA

	Reservoir				
			Storage		
	Elevation feet msl	Area Acres	Volume Acre Feet	Watershed Inches	Length Miles
Crest of Dam	727.9	37.6	500	4.5	.6
Emergency Spillway Crest	723.9	32	364	3.3	.5
Low Level Orifice Crest	710.9	12.2	68	.6	.3
Streambed at Down- stream Toe of Dam	696	-	_	-	-

-8-

#### SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design: The dam was designed and constructed under the direction of the USDA, Soil Conservation Service (SCS). "As built" drawings and design data are available in the office of the State Conservationist, U. S. Soil Conservation Service, Federal Building, Room 9201, 5th and Marshall Streets, Richmond, Virginia 23240.

A subsurface investigation was conducted at the site by the SCS during the initial design stages. The investigation consisted of excavating 71 test pits and drilling 2 hand augers. Subsurface profiles and a report of the investigation with foundation recommendations were prepared based upon geologic field reconnaissance, test pit and hand auger data, and laboratory testing. A copy of the design report is included as Appendix IV. Test pit and hand auger locations are provided on Plate 2 of Appendix I. Subsurface profiles are shown on Plates 3 and 4 of Appendix I, while logs of the materials encountered are included as Plates 6, 7 and 8 of Appendix I.

The dam is a homogeneous, compacted earthfill embankment. The earthfill requirements shown on Plate 5 of Appendix I specify that MH, ML and SC materials be placed in the cutoff trench, center and upstream section of the dam. Soil classification is by the Unified Soil Classification System, ASTM D-2487. The non-plastic SM material was to be placed in the downstream section as directed by the Engineer. "As built" embankment slopes for the structure are illustrated on Plate 5 of Appendix I.

-9-

A review of design data indicates the dam is founded on overburden and includes a cutoff trench which extends through alluvial and residual soils into "firm bedrock." The cutoff also extends to the same materials in both abutments. The cutoff trench has a bottom width of 12 ft and 1H:1V side slopes. No field permeability tests were taken during the subsurface investigation.

An internal drainage system was also constructed beneath the downstream slope to collect any seepage passing through the dam. The seepage drain consists of a 3 ft minimum width trench of variable depth. It is approximately 348 ft in length and includes 320 ft of perforated and 48 ft of non-perforated bituminous coated corrugated metal pipe. The CMP is enclosed in an envelope of graded filter material. Details for the "as built" seepage drain are included on Plate 4 of Appendix I.

The principal spillway was designed as a drop inlet structure consisting of a reinforced concrete riser, a 24 inch conduit and plunge pool at the outlet end of the conduit. The emergency spillway (EMS) is designed as an earth cut at the left abutment. The principal spillway was designed to accommodate a 50 year flood without the pool elevation exceeding the EMS crest.

The emergency spillway is located in a moderately sloping hillside in the left abutment. The spillway is a 100 ft wide trapezoidal earthen and weathered rock channel bounded by 3H:1V cut slopes. The spillway is entirely in cut materials, i.e., residual soils and weathered rock. The emergency spillway was to be undercut 1 ft below final grade and backfilled

-10-

with "semi-compacted" select borrow material. All materials encountered in the subsurface investigation were dry and well-drained. Details of the spillway section are given on Plate 2 of Appendix I.

The design report and supplementary data provided by SCS (Appendix V) includes laboratory test data describing the physical properties of the materials used to construct the embankment. Shear strength parameters were assumed for the foundation materials while strength parameters used in design of the embankment were determined by consolidated-undrained triaxial compression tests. Strength parameters are listed below:

SECTION	SOIL	SHEAR STRENGTH PARAMETERS		
		Internal Friction	Cohesion	
Embankment	ML	$\emptyset_{cu} = 28.0^{\circ}$	c = 200 psf	
	MH	$\emptyset_{cu} = 15.5^{\circ}$	c = 525 psf	
	SM	$\emptyset_{cu} = 28.5$	c = 500 psf	
Foundation	ML	Ø = 0	c = 200 psf	

The stability of the embankment was checked for two conditions using the Swedish Circle Method of Analysis. The first analysis considered the embankment alone with a fully developed phreatic line. In this analysis, a 2.5H:1V downstream slope without drainage was used and a factor of safety of 1.43 was calculated for the lowest strength materials tested. It was concluded that a slightly higher factor of safety would exist for an upstream slope of 2.5H:1V over 3H:1V with a 10 ft berm under full or rapid drawdown.

The second analysis considered 6 ft of foundation material with an in-situ shear strength of  $\beta = 0$ , c = 200 psf. Assuming a moist embankment, SCS stated that the conditions of this analysis represented a situation where

-11-

no consolidation of foundation soils would occur during construction. Using saturated shear strength values from triaxial tests, a factor of safety of 1.22 was calculated for the upstream slope (2.5H:lV over 3H:lV) and 1.07 for the downstream slope (2.5H:lV).

It was stated in the slope stability summary that, "It must be imphasized that this analysis is not conclusive since it is based on an average strength of c = 200 psf derived from pocket penetrometer readings."

2.2 <u>Construction</u>: The construction records were not furnished by the SCS office in Richmond, but they are available from the SCS office in Washington, D. C.

2.3 <u>Evaluation</u>: "As built" drawings are representative of the structure. Hydrologic and hydraulic calculations were available for evaluation. There is sufficient information to evaluate foundation conditions and embankment stability.

#### SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 <u>Findings</u>: At the time of inspection, the dam appeared to be in good condition. Field observations are outlined in Appendix III.

3.1.1 <u>General</u>: An inspection was made on July 1, 1981 and the weather was cloudy with a temperature of 85<sup>o</sup>F. The pool and tailwater levels at the time of inspection were 711 and 696 msl, respectively, which corresponds to normal pool and tailwater elevations. Ground conditions were dry at the time of the inspection. Maintenance inspections are performed jointly by SCS and the Blue Ridge Soil and Water Conservation District on an annual basis. Inspection reports are available in the Soil and Water Conservation District office in Collinsville, Virginia.

3.1.2 <u>Dam and Spillway</u>: The embankment slopes were heavily vegetated with tall grass, brush, briers or blackberry bushes and honeysuckle making observation difficult. Scattered small trees less than 2 inches in diameter occur at various locations at pool level and up to 5 ft above pool level on the upstream slope. A roadway traverses the crest of the dam.

The embankment crest exhibited considerable rutting due to vehicular traffic. The ruts range from  $\frac{1}{2}$  to  $\frac{1}{2}$  ft<sup>+</sup> in depth and are up to 1 ft<sup>+</sup> wide. Scattered shrinkage cracks were observed in non-vegetated areas of the embankment. Scattered shallow erosional channels or washes occur along the upstream slope, particularly near pool level. Three disturbed areas were also observed on the upstream slope just above pool level as shown on the Field Sketch, Appendix III. These areas are believed to be the result of fishermen digging for bait. Scattered erosional scarps 1 ft<sup>+</sup> high extend 1 to 2 ft<sup>+</sup> into the upstream slope at pool level and appear to be the result of wave erosion. A bare

-13-

foot path occurs along the right side of the upstream slope providing access to the lake. Another such path extends across the base of the upstream slope just above pool level. The only erosion observed on the downstream slope is an eroded area 1 ft<sup>+</sup> wide and 2 ft<sup>+</sup> deep which begins at the downstream slope berm extending half way down the remaining slope (see Field Sketch, Appendix III). A riprap channel lines the right abutment-downstream slope contact from the embankment crest to the lower berm. The riprap gutter appears to be rather new and may have been installed to restrict erosion. It is not shown on the "as built" drawings.

The downstream toe was dry and no seepage was observed. Two 6-inch CMP toe drains exist on either side of the principal spillway outlet. There was no flow from the left drain, the lower half of which was filled with vegetation. Flow from the right drain was clear and estimated at  $\frac{1}{2}$  gpm<sup>+</sup>.

The riser structure and outlet pipe showed no signs of deterioration and were functioning properly at the time of inspection. Debris was present in the low flow intake trash rack. The plunge pool and outlet channel indicated no signs of deterioration. The emergency spillway was well vegetated except for some minor erosion caused by vehicle traffic.

3.1.3 Reservoir Area: The reservoir area was free of debris and the perimeter was wooded. The reservoir is located in a valley with with moderate side slopes. The water was clear and no sedimentation was observed.

-14-

3.1.4 <u>Downstream Area</u>: The downstream channel consists of a 10 ft wide channel located in a 200 ft wide flood plain, and a valley with steep side slopes. The valley is heavily wooded with thick underbrush. Approximately 1.2 miles downstream there are two commercial facilities about 15 ft above the stream channel.

3.1.5 <u>Instrumentation</u>: No instrumentation (monuments, observation wells, piezometers, etc.) was encountered for the structure. There is no staff gage.

3.2 Evaluation:

3.2.1 <u>Dam and Spillway</u>: Overall, the dam was in good condition at the time of the inspection. An annual inspection and maintenance program exists for this structure, however, at the time of this inspection, maintenance appeared to be inadequate. The embankment, including its crest and slope should be mowed at least once a year, but more preferable twice a year. The presence of trees on the embankment, particularly any at pool level on the upstream slope, may promote the development of deeprooted vegetation and this type growth can encourage piping within an embankment. All trees growing on the embankment should be cut to the ground and removed from the embankment.

The bare areas and rutting created by vehicular traffic on the crest of the dam and in the emergency spillway do not inhibit the proper performance of the dam, however, it is recommended that these areas be backfilled and reseeded. The presence of an adequately vegetated crest reduces the erodibility of the crest should overtopping of the dam occur during flooding. Vehicle traffic should be restricted on the dam and emergency spillway. The shrinkage cracks observed are believed to be the result of local drought conditions and do not require any special

-15-

attention. The erosion observed at pool level on the upstream slope was not widespread at the time of the inspection. If this erosion should increase significantly and become more widespread in occurrence, it may be necessary to place riprap for erosion protection. Fishermen should not be allowed to dig up the embankment, and existing disturbed areas should be regraded and seeded. The foot paths on the right upstream slope and just above pool level should also be reseeded. The eroded area present below the berm on the downstream slope should be backfilled with compacted soil and reseeded to prevent further erosion.

۰.

The right seepage drain outlet was functioning properly, however, the lower half of the left drain outlet was filled with vegetation. This vegetation should be removed. The outlet pipe and intake structures are in good structural condition. Debris should be removed from the trash rack. A staff gage should be installed to monitor water levels.

3.2.2 <u>Downstream Area</u>: A breach in the Leatherwood Creek No. 6 Dam during extreme flooding would create a hazard to the downstream dwellings.

-16-

#### SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 <u>Procedures</u>: The normal storage pool is elevation 711 msl or 0.1 ft above the crest of the principal spillway low flow inlet. The lake provides an irrigation supply, flood control and recreation. Water automatically passes through the principal spillway as the water level in the reservoir rises above the low level orifice. Water will also pass automatically through the riser overflow crest when the water level in the reservoir exceeds elevation 717.5 msl, and automatically through the emergency spillway when the pool level exceeds elevation 723.9 msl. A 24 inch diameter slide gate at the low point in the riser structure is provided to drawdown the reservoir below normal pool.

4.2 <u>Maintenance of Dam and Appurtenances</u>: Maintenance is the responsibility of the owner and the Blue Ridge Soil and Water Conservation District. Maintenance is accomplished by a joint inspection by SCS and Soil and Water Conservation District personnel. Maintenance deficiencies are noted and recommended remedial measures are made to the owner. If the owner fails to comply with these recommendations, maintenance is then performed by the Blue Ridge Soil and Water Conservation District.

4.3 <u>Warning System</u>: At the present time, there is no warning system or evacuation plan for the dam. The dam is monitored by SCS during periods of heavy precipitation and runoff.

-17-

4.4 Evaluation: The dam and appurtenances are in good operating condition, but maintenance of the dam appeared to be inadequate. An emergency operation and warning plan should be developed. It is recommended that a formal emergency procedure be prepared and furnished to all operating personnel. This should include:

a. How to operate the dam during an emergency.

۴.,

b. Who to notify, including public officials, in case evacuation from the downstream area is necessary.

-18-

#### SECTION 5 - HYDRAULICS/HYDROLOGIC DATA

5.1 Design: Teatherwood Daw No. 6 was designed by the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) as a multi-purpose dam, and hydrologic and hydraulic data is available. Stage-storage and stage-discharge data from the design report were used in the evaluation. This structure is a Class "A" dam according to the SCS classification method.

5.2 Hydrologic Records: There are no records available.

5.3 <u>Flood Experience</u>: Information on flood experience was not available.

5.4 <u>Flood Potentials</u>: In accordance with the established guidelines, the Spillway Design Flood (SDF) is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (flood discharges that may be expected from the most severe combination of critical meteorologic and hydrologic conditions that are reasonably possible in the region), or fractions thereof. The Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) and ½ PMF and 100 year flood hydrographs were developed by the HEC-1 D B Computer Program (Reference 4, Appendix VI). Precipitation amounts for the flood hydrograph of the PMF and 100 year flood were taken from the U.S. Weather Bureau Information (References 5 and 6, Appendix VI). Appropriate adjustments for basin size and shape were accounted for. These hydrographs were routed through the reservoir to determine maximum pool elevations.

-19-

1.5 Forenvoir Regulations: For routing purposes, the pool at the beginning of flood was assumed to be at elevation 711 msl. Reservoir stage-storade data and stage-discharge data were utilized from the existing design report. Floods were routed through the reservoir using the principal spillway discharge up to a pool storage clevation of 523.9 msl and a combined principal and emergency discharges for pool elevations above 723.9 msl. Pool elevations above 727.9 msl were routed over the non-overflow section of the dam.

5.6 <u>Overtopping Potential</u>: The predicted rise of the reservoir pool and other pertinent data were determined by routing the flood by irographs through the reservoir as previously described. The results for the flood cond tions (100 year flood,  $\frac{1}{2}$  PMF and PMF) are shown in the following Table 5.1:

-20-

			Hydrograp	'n
	Normal Flow	100 Year Flood	½ PMF	PMF
Peak Flow, CFS Inflow Outflow	2 2	2186 780	5089 4947	10,178 10,178
Maximum Pool Elevation Ft, mg]	711	725 <b>.9</b>	729.3	731.4
Non-Overflow Section (Elev 727.9 msl) Depth of Flow, Ft Duration, Hours Velocity, fps*	- - -	- - -	1.4 2 5.1	3.5 4 8
Tailwater Elevation Ft, msl	696	700	703	705.2

#### TABLE 5.1 - RESERVOIR PERFORMANCE

\*Critical velocity

5.7 <u>Reservoir Emptying Potential</u>: A 24 inch diameter gate at centerline elevation 701 msl is capable of draining the reservoir through the outlet pipe. Assuming that the lake is at normal pool elevation (711 msl) and there is 2 cfs inflow, it would take approximately one day to lower the reservoir to elevation 752.1 msl. This is equivalent to an approximate drawdown rate of 10 ft/day based on the hydraulic height measured from normal pool to the centerline of the drawdown pipe divided by the time to dewater the reservoir.

-21-

5.6 Evaluation: The U. S. Army, Corps of Engineers' guidelines indicate the appropriate Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for a small size, significant hazard dam is the 100 year flood to  $\frac{1}{2}$  PMF. Because of the risk involved, the  $\frac{1}{2}$  PMF has been selected as the SDF. The spillway will pass 30 percent of the PMF without overtopping the crest of the dam (60 percent of the SDF). During the SDF, the dam will be overtopped for a period of 2 hours up to a maximum of 1.4 feet and reach a maximum velocity of 5.1 fps.

Hydrologic data used in the evaluation pertains to present day conditions with no consideration given to future development.

-22-

#### SECTION 6 - DAM STABILITY

6.1 Foundation and Abutments: The dam is located along the western edge of the Piedmont Physiographic Province of Virginia. The original design report described the site as being underlain by the Wissahickon Formation; however, recent detailed mapping indicates the site is actually underlain by the Rich Acres Formation of Precambrian Age (1020 million years old). The Rich Acres Formation consists of coarse-grained norites, metamorphosed gabbros and diorites. These rocks are simular in texture to granites, but are comprised of more basic or dark colored minerals. Less than 500 ft west of the dam site the Precambrian Leatherwood Granite is exposed. This material, typically granitic dikes and thin sheets on top of the Rich Acres Formation, is thought to be derived from the same magma as the Rich Acres Formation. Detailed geologic maps of the area do not indicate the presence of any faults in the site vicinity. Site geology is presented in more detail in the Design Geologic Report, which is included as Appendix IV.

Bedrock underlying the site includes a relatively thin weathered zone consisting of disintegrated rock and/or residual soils. At the dam site the residual soils are overlain by up to 9 ft of alluvial deposits. The alluvium generally consists of silts and silty clays underlain by saturated sands and gravels. The centerline of the dam was excavated to hard rock except at the abutments of the dam. No rock was encountered with the backhoe in either abutment. The foundation contains an irregular rockline due to the intrusion of more resistant dikes into the surrounding

-23-

host rocks. These dikes occur as ridges crossing the centerline at an acute angle. The centerline of the dam was placed on one of the wider ridges.

Gradual consolidation of underlying soils was anticipated during the application of fill materials. SCS recognized the presence of a 5 ft<sup> $\pm$ </sup> thick stratum of low strength ML material overlying the more permeable sands and gravels in the floodplain area. An overfill allowance of 1.5 ft over the floodplain section was suggested in the design report to compensate for residual consolidation in the fill and foundation. The underlying soils probably had essentially fully consolidated under the applied load not long after completion of construction. Based upon the performance history of this dam and the soils testing performed during the design phases, a stable foundation is assumed.

The potential for seepage through the foundation was recognized, and a cutoff was included in the design. It was estimated in the design report that approximately 30% of the stream flow was carried by the alluvial gravel underlying the dam site. A cutoff was designed to extend one ft into bedrock along the centerline of the dam. The designer recognized that some seepage may bypass the cutoff and a foundation drain was designed to accommodate this flow.

6.2.1 <u>Materials</u>: "As built" drawings describe the dam as a homogeneous structure. It was recommended that all MH, ML and SC materials be placed in the cutoff trench, center and upstream portion of the dam, while the SM materials were to be placed in the downstream section as directed by the engineer (see Plate 5, Appendix I). All fill materials

-24-

were to be compacted to 95% of maximum dry density in accordance with ASTM Standard D-698 (Standard Proctor). Compacted densities and shear strength values for the embankment materials are summarized on pages 2 and 3 of Appendix V. Specifications for maximum lift thickness and maximum rock sizes were not observed in the design data provided.

6.2.2 <u>Subdrains and Seepage</u>: In attempt to control seepage, a cutoff was constructed into bedrock below the more permeable alluvial soils in the floodplain and extending into the abutments. Details are shown on Plate 3 of Appendix I. An internal drainage system was also constructed, consisting of a drainage trench beneath the downstream portion of the embankment to collect any seepage which may occur. Drainage pipes were provided for transmitting the collected water to the plunge pool. Details are provided on Plate 4 of Appendix I. During the field inspection, no flow was observed from the left seepage drain outlet, however, the right outlet was iron-stained and clear water was flowing from the outlet at  $\frac{1}{2}$  gpm<sup>+</sup>. In attempt to prevent piping around the principal spillway pipe, 5 anti-seep collars were included as shown on Plate 5 of Appendix I.

6.2.3 <u>Stability</u>: A stability analysis was performed for this structure and the report describing the engineering design data used is included as Appendix V. These data were reviewed along with the stability analysis and were found to be acceptable. In the first condition, assuming the embankment alone with a fully developed phreatic line, a factor of safety of 1.43 was calculated for a 2.5H:1V downstream slope without drainage. A slightly higher factor of safety was concluded under full or rapid drawdown for an upstream slope of 2.5H:1V over 3H:1V with

-25-

a 10 ft berm. The second analysis considered 6 ft of foundation material with an in-situ shear strength of  $\emptyset = 0$ , c = 200 psf (based upon pocket penetrometer readings). Assuming a moist embankment and no consolidation of foundation soils during construction, a factor of safety of 1.22 was calculated for the upstream slope (2.5H:1V over 3H:1V) and a factor of safety of 1.07 for the downstream slope (2.5H:1V).

The dam is 32 ft high and has a crest width of 14 ft. The upstream slope is 2.5H:1V with a 15 ft wide berm at pool level between elevations 711.4 and 712.4 msl. The upstream slope then continues at a 3H:1V slope below normal pool. The downstream slope is 2.5H:1V with a 15 ft wide berm between elevations 711.0 and 710.0 msl dipping into the dam. The dam is subjected to a sudden drawdown since the lake level can be drawn down at a rate of 10 ft/day. This exceeds the critical rate of 0.5 ft per day for earth dams.

6.2.4 <u>Seismic Stability</u>: The dam is located in Seismic Zone 2. Therefore, according to the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection</u> of Dams, the dam is considered to have no hazard from earthquakes provided static stability conditions are satisfactory and conventional safety margins exist.

6.3 Evaluation: In the SCS stability report (Appendix V) uncertainties with regard to the strength of the soft ML zone were recognized. Consequently, the following recommendations were made: "(1) Removal of all or part of the low density material from the foundation...,(2) Determine the shear strength of the ML zone from undisturbed samples, (3) Or provide additional berming both upstream and downstream." The "as built" drawings indicate

-26-

that the last recommendation was utilized in design and construction of the dam.

For the purpose of this evaluation it is assumed that the additional berming provides adequate factors of safety, although it is not known if any further stability analyses were performed. It is likely that the factors of safety are above those recommended in Reference 1, Appendix VI, since (1) a conservative value (c = 200 psf) was originally assumed for the foundation soils, (2) the original factors of safety developed from slope stability analyses did not account for an increase of strength during consolidation, and (3) the berming on the downstream slope and additional berming on the upstream slope will modify the slope configuration resulting in a higher factor of safety. Based upon the visual inspection, performance history and the design report, the foundation is considered sound and the embankment is considered stable.

Overtopping is not considered detrimental to the dam with respect to erosion because of the shallow depth and short duration of flood. Also the critical velocity is slightly less than 6 fps, the assumed effective eroding velocity for a vegetated earth embankment.

Since no undue settlement, cracking or sloughing was noted at the time of inspection, it appears that the embankment is adequate for maximum control storage with water at elevation 711 msl.

-27-

#### SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 <u>Dam Assessment</u>: Sufficient engineering data is available for assessing the dam. The visual inspection revealed no findings that proved the dam to be unsound. There is an annual inspection and maintenance program for this structure, but there is no emergency operation and warning plan. Overall, the dam was in good condition at the time of inspection. U. S. Army, Corps of Engineers guidelines indicate the appropriate Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for this dam is the ½ PMF. The spillway will pass 30 percent of the PMF (60 percent of the SDF) without overtopping the crest of the dam. During the SDF, the dam will be overtopped for a period of 2.0 hours up to a maximum of 1.4 feet and reach a maximum velocity of 5.1 fps. Flows overtopping the dam at a maximum velocity of 5.1 fps during the SDF are not considered detrimental to the embankment with respect to erosion. The spillway is judged inadequate, but not seriously inadequate. Review of available stability data indicates the structure is stable as designed.

7.2 Recommended Remedial Measures:

7.2.1 <u>Emergency Operation and Warning Plan</u>: It is recommended that a formal emergency procedure be prepared, prominently displayed, and furnished to all operating personnel. This should include:

1) How to operate the dam during an emergency.

 Who to notify, including public officials, in case evacuation from the downstream area is necessary.

7.3 <u>Required Maintenance</u>: The inspection revealed the following maintenace items that should be scheduled by the owner during a regular maintenance period within the next 12 months.

-28-

- a) The grass and weeds on the dam embankment should be cut at least once a year and preferably twice a year. Maintenance is recommended in the early summer and fall.
- b) Existing trees on the dam should be cut to the ground. Cut trees should be removed from the embankment.
- c) <u>Bare and rutted areas created by vehicular traffic</u> on the crest of the dam and in the emergency spillway should be backfilled and reseeded.
- d) <u>Vehicle traffic should be restricted</u> on the dam and in the emergency spillway.
- e) Eroded areas present at pool level on the upstream slope should be monitored quarterly to detect any significant increase in erosion, which may require the installation of riprap for slope protection.
- f) Fishermen should not be allowed to dig up the embankment and existing disturbed areas should be regraded and seeded.
- g) Foot paths on the embankment should be reseeded.
- h) The eroded area present below the berm on the downstream slope should be backfilled with compacted soil and reseeded.
- i) Debris should be removed from the trash rack.
- j) Vegetation should be removed from the left seepage drain outlet.
- k. A staff gage should be installed to monitor water levels.

-29-
## APPENDIX I

÷.

### MAPS AND DRAWINGS

.

٩.













. ..... wy

۰.

\_\_\_\_\_





ł

No. 274 H Sterne Marine 

2/20





the state of the s		•		
	ł			tana yang santa santa santa sa Tana ayar santa santa santa santa sa
The first the state of the second second	-	·····		
<ul> <li>F. S. S. F. M. ANALY. The contract state of the second state of the secon</li></ul>		1. Styl Steel aways in many home in Simple 1 reads = 100mm # 5.	-	الحية معلام العربية من يوري من من المعالية. وما يا المالية المعربية المعالية من معالية من معالية معالية معالية معالية معالية معالية معالية معالية معالية م ما يعانية (The state of the state
- terrer Soletin (n. 1997), etater e en soletin etater en soletin en soletin en soletin en soletin en soletin en soleti	t-	control bay, above a metric tart - a det	α	مدين <u>المعترية من المعترين المعترين الم</u>
a' sta'		7.3. Ditt. film manage - getlick rest - m. As to get - and the bound - a lite interface.	••.	The same service and the same
lo ente hemp at le pr	1	andari - vanis - autopari antinanis. Zu 2.09. datat, finan, atling + ynollan brenn -	(SM	in nystart. 1717 – 1717 ag jartya – marin blirkky t
5.2 7 f. Clay, sainty - blue may - suft - wet - pop of	(n) (n)	straracian - hard - milet	0.00	San San Sat - Dominanta San Sata ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an
7.6 S.( Oravel, aanty - who is a pray - water bearing	(J#	to big mine - hand - with - try to o - weathered gravite		harr barr - 0.00 *
9.2 Thus fant, sitty - sicars and chies been - p.p. if - soft anti toris seatarend esterial	(.» 1	157. C.L. Silt, firm mainty - gray - termetic -	·•c }	The state of the second
A P. TTA. L. K. & L. P. 244 LAP THE S. T. T.	1	ronte - Durhas soll		the state of the same in the second shares
Cut - Cuu Silt - fine manufy - from - loope - termonth	: <b>•</b> .	Fig. 4.1 Lift, firm mainty = micaneous troom = firm = moist	: <b>*.</b> .	is men a to Colore Colore e even mentie hard e
CL 3.7 Clay, ellty - me - ham - madet - Facts poll - mestrum	(e)   	U.1. 6.0 % hars, stilly - white - remains fragments only is size - weathered gravite - hard to dig	'.#	oli internationalista 1.5 1. + States andy −
3.7 5.7 Caust, ailty - red -reline - hard - molet - high mice - dry hour	(14	C.(+ Gravite - dry hole		nan , p ,
17 3. 574. 100 1. 0.0. 5445. 144 Mary 102.0	ם	210 244 27 520 77 27 7 7	1.00	TT C' & The Construction a First 7. 7.
C.D. C.L. S114, fire sandy - Dream - Apper12	{ <b>FC</b> .	topecil - Apping soil		in an ann an ann an ann ann ann ann ann
C.L. 1.C. Stit, clayer = ym. m. rmd = advances = coble size mork fimagements = hamt = moist	()C	1.6 7.2 5111, firm manage = yellow rest = moist = hard = p.p. L.C = micareous	(¥2.	1. F Lay, stray - red - bart - w st to damp - stay skins
1.0 2.0 Boulders tightly were stad together		7.2+ Bart grante - dry hole		<pre>FLT Filt fine samty = yellew b filt = moist</pre>
2.0 3.0 Grantite - dry hole	끄	21, 574, 1014 1 CA. (+70, ELEV 737,7		9. 1.17+ Land, stilly, gravelly - pal
0.( 1.' Sill, sairy - lig ' gray - topoll -	( MG	U. U.( Dil, fine sandy - light gray - roots - topsoil - Appling soil	(MC_,	tarr - p.p angelar - atra t-gan - mathemat grai graits - dry hole
pricts - Appling = 2	154	the C.O. Clay, Billy - yellow yet a hard - blocky - pup Lun - model - Appuing Boil	(CL	T. C Th
a data p.p. u Dragmanta af quarta a.u'stra bouan	1	2.0 lkr= San', silty, clayey = hard motor = white aplies stringers is yellow recard = name and gravel size suggilar follows: particles =	SPC	oli o and, tilty e monttat break Contracti
5.7 12.7 +Sant, eilty - white - ham - p.p. 3.5 - what - fragmente of quarte - smathered	C.*	p.p. u.l - dry hole		suu 2011 Day, Huty - mer s hant e
ename gradae - um hour	E	C. C. B. Mill firm marks - lists from the -	r	1.7.1 - fast, story - nor yellow - htm are - mathematically
C		Sepect: - Appling sol;	-	97 1. 71
Burban wol. 1. E' Sau, fine with - broamist met - moist -	()# (	vio 21 Clay, silty = rest blursy = ham exist - Apriling 2111 Samt roome stilty - broads with a	1 °	
Alter - 8,1 L Sant, file slite - trees - hart - mist	(	economic construction of the company of the second se	-	I ritika na stitan − makarina I
fold fold for an and fold for a state of the fold fold for the fold fold fold fold fold fold fold fold	1	1.4.6 L. (* Sand, first, silty = blist brown = har = salt = high sics = weatherse events.	r #C	. 2 ar t thaty - mar = haunt = . 2 °th as a tar - yelland mart -
C. C.9 Sill, fine series - light gray topsed -	(~. )I	E. 2.3. 278. 15.4.2. (2. 74+), E.2.9. 7.		j - ™ hous - seat The fit of the state term
roote - Appling eci 0.5 - 4.6 Slit, fine servy - pair yellow red -	(ML )	6.1 0.7 Silt, fine maxiy = light gray = reste = Septent = Appling ant.	· FL	Lucy: 6 1.
hard - gods: $\tilde{b}_{1,2}$ y, $y_{2}$ Same, gilty - list. Uppens and white -	(3° -	0.2 2.0 Clay, slity - yellar yed - har - motot - p.p. L	(. t	C.u. C.F. TRY. #1.57 + PMG + MD1#5
hart is plane - graniar - acist - wathered coards grantis - dry hole		2.5 7.0 Smith, common, #11ty - brownish white -	( <b>394</b>	2." U.L. fins that y = yellow hat"
P bou the second second		umanants – daangs – angular fessaran partitiones hard – p.p. L.t	•	1. 19 • As dity o mor pulles parters more a complete
0.0 C.C.Siit, fine senty - light gray tapeoti - roote - Appling sol:	(HC.	7.5 8.0* Sand, firm, silty - mirarends with quarts- sans - alive brown - motot - ; ;	( 541	Tr de Ste 11 1/2 wrt. Dan EZ
0.8 B.C. Lanud, Flame dilling - pale yelice red - monist hard - DC liu-1 1-8	. (2) I	POL STA 7-50 CA DAR ELEV. 70.0		0. 1 't fim mandy - brown tige Ci - Amilian anti
8.0 10.09 Same silvy - white - andst fire - dry	(SH)	6.0 0.6 Milt, fine easty - brown - topsoft - Liond east	(ML)	1.7 1.4 . w. Milty - red yellow
TO ME A ALAS P. S. C. S. S.		0.6 S.L Char, slity = red = blocky = hard = $p$	- <b>(</b> CL	p
0.6 1.7 Sill, firm early - gray - topset) -	(HG.	4.5 - milet - clay spins 5.4 12.4* Sent. slive - bick sten		6-181 5 4 1: 3 5 5 1: 4 1: 1 1: 1 1: 1 1: 1 1: 1 1:
1.0 11.20 Samet, at15p, clapmy - yollaw red -	(1947)	moles - p.p. 1.2 - westword grants - Martin dry balo		
anda t = hard = p.p. 3,5 = standause = dry knis = seatherint grantie	11	7 775 STR. 71 L 1/L Good, Lan ELET. T.P.J		The state of the s
	1	0.0 0.6 Bilt, finn easily - red bream - tapsu; - Lieps eal	( <b>ac</b> . )	Cur cuy see ally - light ally
e a trace to be the first of the	' <b>.</b>	0 f. 2.5 Clay, eilty - yelles red - estat - barr - p. 4.2	(6.	(.6.7 P. Brit, fine eachy - palls Martin B.B C marine
1				Truperte - dige hard -
		2.5 5.2 Bit, fine manty - yelles red - mulat hard and staneous - p.p. 1.5 - dry hele manufacturery	( 16. '	7.5. Une Une and Struction - man 1
		(12) 21% Caseli.		

•

.

. 

-----

	terna <sub>a la</sub> nte a ser a terna terna de la terna. Nota el a	
		n an an an ann an Arrien ann an Arrien ann an Arrien ann an Arrien an Arrien an Arrien ann an Arrien ann an Ar An Arrien an Arrien a
		■ A state of the state of t
	Berland in an ann an Anna an A Bhairte an Anna	<sup>10</sup> The second s Second second
١	the Theorem is a state of the second	
	and the second	The second se
	n nya anti- n 1 - Sama anti- anti- tarata a santi Bili tit	an a
		non de la companya de
	ent febrear an en	al ortal in a line in
	1.21 (* 1997) – a ten stand and en en stand and ten st Ten stand and ten stand and	(1) Discusses (artists of number and constant and provide the state of the stat
Ľ	The state of the s	C. C. C. D. C. AN, BUDLY C. H. HAND, G. M.Y. H. HAND MARKER C. AND C. C. GANY, gravely grave webs and the quantity of the second sec
	$c_{\rm c} = c_{\rm c}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} c_{\rm c}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} c_{\rm c}^{2$	non-typ 21 to one cast to 61 to 5 to 65 μass, all by one graph and to to 65 μass a first operations of 10 to 65 μass, all by one graph and take to graph
	af in S. Carp, provide a reaction protocol as the burning of the second se	1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
:	the s 1 11 of the planes the sametric black filmerstoods on the	(2) the second secon
	tan i i i i itali yanatan mu gini ita y	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}$
	n an	The set of
-	<ul> <li>Sector provide the sector of th</li></ul>	all vial 3.4 /.7 Save, silty - gray - see,ase present - (SPC) soft - p.p. U.
	51-94 #I.	<pre>bit bity = trues = rests = saft = 0.0 p.p. 1.5</pre>
÷	<ul> <li>F. Tay, streams a barries pop with a longer with a longer stream of the longer sectors.</li> </ul>	In 1.7 They, eithy - gray - whether we - each - 1000 9.0 17.24 Same, allay- gray block - wolst - and us -
	27 - 51 - 51 to the salty of general break + barrie	; f = eer; at '
	Pup = # 1#1	(7.9.7 Gan's charte, gravely - gray site white (SC) (7.9.5.171.1.71.1.17
		get Bolby tige in layer C. 7.5 San', 61.57, clayer - ref brown - Lopeoli - (SK, allorial
•	and poor investor of pression and the restored pression in the second seco	V./L. (* saver, ality = high mics = citre antrest (B*) yetines = burget evil = atifr - p.p.2.0 main = 1. The first of a for isr - so -
	e	1.6 1.1 San', stilly, course - olive gray - usion 158 bearing - metion - p.p
	lleria. 	allorial allorial and provide a model - topent,
	The model of the model have a model of the	p. j. 1.)
	bleft an a canadian no all'itas antringenen ⊥ antatiane gini ta contente buca	1.5 1.5 (Amar, all by - break year - actat - acta - 2005 2 (Get G, C G, C S) 1, flar an ory - break, rev - separate - free get (Gent) acta - Gent) acta
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Les D. They, B1 by - Block forms - gray - brock + L. C.C. N.G. Chay, B1 by - Book - Herb - Population Biologie - Discontinue - Discontinte - Discontinue - Discontinue - Discontinue - Disco
	u anno 10 No como 1911 Dimense - Segundoriu - U Mo Compete	entreture fig fille universe and the entreture safer for an entreture to the seastless from the entreture to
	t 1. Ong ettering γ band a montet γ pip i	beauting - quarts
2	and there is a part of the action of	je strene verskente att tan entremente in bit an ynd na is i 1000. Die trene ander versken entrementer ster anderen Der ter i aktive ante at Der ter i aktive att ante att
••	2.1 <sup>™</sup> a.1 # 17 - πυm mort - schots - har	The state of the s
	The TAX I Same the SAM THE THE	· 
:	, L Service to a new state to a service to a	Fig. 1." They, stilly - brown many sum and 5 (71 ) 2.5 Clay, billy - yealshe re marshood - 12
	Lieve a	anist - herr - p.p. 4.
(.	ovisis (144),∎oty + media mentatia harro (111) 2	bottim = model = soft = p.p. : / 2.5 t.f Sant, silty = pale yellow and white = 1.5 t.f Sant, silty = pale yellow and white = 1.5 t.f Sant = bart = bart = bart = bart = t.s.
•••	lici cist, ice masty – ynallone perf a enotµat a (11). hater	7.6 T.C. "(ay, sliky - gray model to uni soft p.p. 2 (main ) Double size quarte particles - water at '
:	19 • alse', 8 19 • του goslinato e mutal\e haste = 1000, ποφίλαστα grantia - terriso e	I me han' and markuned gravitae
	10, 514 (25,10) (1, 447), (48 (279) - 70) (1	Contraction and the state of th
٥.	- 11 11.1 firm many - brown grey - dry - (st	aljuvial Cacil edi
	top# 22 Αμ. 100 001)	0.5 1.7 Clay, stilty - west brown - weight - middles (C1) C.7 1.4 Clay, stilty - red - bar" - p.p. 4.8 - meist (C1) b.b. 1.0
1.	7 1.4 Clay, at ty - read period - blocky - (CL) p.p '- autot	1.2 5.0 Charge of the more mark a mark and the sector of t
1.	9 9,6 3111, manty - rest getlies - bard + p.p. L.S. (H., antet	5.0 5.8 Sand, stilly, simply - gray - must be unit - (SO) units to unit - units - p.p. 1.5
١.	A 11.7+ Send, course, stills - 11ght brown eac shills- (SP postery - dama- sent - 5.5, i.i services feight from the second	SE 8.8 band, growelly - gray - quarks growels - (SK)
	equities (Third by	B.P. 12.2.9 Saudy 013 ty - gray - minaneous - and ins - (SFC
7	The star star a provide that the type of a proved of the	
ι.	r 7,9 Sami, a'clari light aline brunn - tapauli - (SH) Liant act.	US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
¢ .	9 7 P. BLIS, fice energy - pollars pad - models - (PL)	alluvial SOH, CONSERVATION SERVICE
	The second secon	C.5 1.8 Clay, olly - brown red - and a - and a - (CL)
<b>7</b> .	Re Masterer grantes - oan be rigger	1.1 2.4 Sand, ally - brown red - and
		2.4 6.8 · Clay, 6114 - 674 - 641 - mist to mi - mi u mint to mi - mi u
		(T by continue (F. Continue) 44 455 P

. •

ŗ

Æ. (

Æ

•

		,		•	
-		-,			
			an a	•	
			Cigni pro la deserve de transferto qui strui. Rol di s	· · ·   ·	
			14 Martin - Die But	1	
			C Bs, to to, to e manage = etter prage= ≪ tet = coro book there source, estimate #5 0 f	(-4	
			an 1944 - 1945 - 1944		
		. •	Sant, allty - «1990 gragnatik yn Joe ref mottiwn - mital - writian - pipi 17	(64) (44)	
			126 (Y. 1977) - A. 199		
		7.1	Sacs, Allin - Lin gray - webrit - pipi - 1	(ыК)), , У	
			$tr_{i} \geq \frac{1}{1-i} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$		
		۰.۰	Orbiel, BANY, Slite - Wet - Bow Benjage	4 <b>691</b> 3-22 2722	
		. (	Dates, growth by a weat around before in a batter to dig a tatefor a state of july 5+ the side of the tate	19 <b>4</b> ,	
		a 1	an a construction of the second term to the term to the		
		4	mentione witten grantster - the mar to the		
1		·**A .	CALLER LAR SLAP		
		۲.۹	Si)s, film amonty - dami brown - Jeanes - Songencial	("⊑.`	
	:	2.	Clary, Billy - promit real - mirane-ram - motet merilae	(°L)	
		3.2	Clay, Bilty - Cliff gray - motet to vet u Bart - pop file	+1363 V. V. M(1)	
	:	<b>₽</b> , ₹	Sour, Pluty, growelly = $gmy = acft = p_{12}$ . C for stratified = water teacting = water at $f(t)$	( 246)	
ł			Saul, allly - buring weathered beings	540	
		4. <b>?</b> •	white gratite - for here to the		
			The second state state		1
		.:	To b, from markly - cars brown - common - Sign	( <b>फ</b>	ţ
ł		۰.	Ωina (#1)Σ - π π (π ππ - moter - autria) β f	••••	1
{ ;		<b>,</b> ·	Tuble (0.5 the offer graph - monthing) - doith - Marailte (	44 : 24 44	1
	,	•	under vollte en daten græn e naft e politiere net de meindt e nem net v	7 <b>H</b>	i
1	•	7,5	Sais of ty while grap - water tearing - aofi - p :	(". <b>H</b>	1
İ	7	•	Motte york die + hant + cannol be rig		ł
l	<u></u>	<u>574</u> ,			1
	•••	٢.٩	Stuty film, mainte brown - comme - cames - tupe -	(HL	1
	· .•	e	Clary (*176) benty v gray v milat v metium v Pro (v) te t	1719	ł
	۰,	F.7+	Whiste preside - here frectment - mater et 7, -		
	<b>π</b> 11.	. <b>37</b> 4.	C.C HALL LAN ELET		}
	<del>مير</del> د. ۲	r.,4	SSIS, film, marty - brunn ror - , mbr - Separati	( <b>R</b>	
	0.5	1.5	Clay, silty - ref - hard - p.p. 17	(CL)	1
	1.5	¥.2	Stit, slapey - peline per - bard - p.p. 1.ú gestet	(16.	
	<b>9.</b> 7	11.7•	Same, oilty - light brown yells - moint - have in plane - $p_{1p},\ 3,1$ - dry held	(SH),	F
	TT.V.	. 573.	CA LOG INF BIT		
	o.:	<b></b> ¶	1111, flow, samty - brown red - lamba - tages at 1	(16.)	
	0.4	6.7	Char, Silty - rof - moist - bart - p.p. 4.0	(CL)	
	6.7	<b>u</b> .t	Source with the second	( <b>5</b> 47-	
	н.	C 17.5-	Sand, 61159 - gray only only only paper - measurers ruch - hart in place - p.p. 3 G	( <b>111</b> 7	

.

٩.

.

T			
	المردك الكتار المحاج والمحاج المرد		
	Next, the second second second	•	
	Pater - 199		5 <b>• •</b>
	n ar internet de la composition de la composit Composition de la composition de la		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	the surface parate is to the rest is the set		الم الم المحمد (1997) مع مع مع الم الم التي الم
	han the take of the set of the set		
	тка в Альконан 1939 - 2000 - 1		A with the second states of the
م <u>ەن مىت ي</u>			1 1 + - 2 A737 - 117584 - 1 (*### -
·	tophoti - Lleyd with	ł	anti internet
1	Clay, aftry - yellow out - have - motat -		<ol> <li>For an end where here</li> </ol>
	gopolic - clay maine	:	(1) The second se Second second se
. 1	Silt, "Ine, santy - yelles put = hard	rec i	$6.1  6.2  1  \dots  a \text{ stat} = batting = 1 = 1$
6. 1.64	Same: #11tv - grav - ##1t apt pepter color -	1.x	and the second
	hard in place - motet - dry hole	-	A the tot of the strate of the
<u>TH 25, 774</u>	1204 B C T. Hat. F. DELLARY FLEY, TULLO		is the to go a sty - trans lower - toge
6.1 A.1	Silt, fire, manify a brown a leaves a lover a	(HC) - }	i
		i	<pre>.5 f lay_stity = ref hard = motet</pre>
(.··	Ding, stilly - yourse not - hand malat - pup, but - clay skins.	1 <sup>1</sup> 1	<pre>f() f() f(), f(), point y = yellow ref = terr() f enter() f e</pre>
1.1 5.1	Sili, fine, samity - yellow met - namt -	12	2 *** * 17 3.7. 178 3.50 / etge 5.29
	p.p. 2.5 - model - clay extre		Control of the second state of the broken in
6.1.14.24	Samt, #11ty - gray salt and pepper color	(34	then - inne - trpsol
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.5 (1) "Tay, silty - yolids - mottled - w ist
TP DEL PHA	Same & and party to the there are the ?		wet - <u>tard</u> when not wet + pup do
0.0 0.5	Silt, fine, samty - brown gray leaves -	:• <u>-</u> ,	ull Main Land, allty - micaneous - gray - aa.t d
			prime colored - mathemat bedrack - at harm is class - $p_i p_i 3.4$
C.1 4.5	Clay, silty = red = mard = p.p. 3.5 = clay = skins = moist	(a.,	
	DE 255.1 D = 1.85		TP NA, STA. CA 146, FIP ELT.
L." 17.*	5111, clayey - yellow red - micaceom -	(10.	G.: 0.5 Silt, rige, sandy - red brown - leaves
	moist - har in place IS 257.1 0.81 - 11.81		
11.5 11.94	Ormnite - hard - jointed - clay skins in jointe - dry bole		the set of
	and the second s		2.F u C (Prave, = stity = brown rest = hart = ). motet
<u>77 715, 676</u>	Porter P.A. & SPELLIMY TIPT. 74.6	1	L
1.1 1.5	SELS, firm, saraty - breast gray, leaves -	(rt.	THE TOP OTA IN A STATE FOR A STATE
		1	
	selay, with y part = hand = pipi of t	14 1	damp - trymoil
1.6 I.6	-3114, Tina, manny - yaliona rad - milianaoam - Tantat - hard - pij lij	1	3.5 S.: 2015, fine, samity - red brown: - har 3.7 - maint
7.2 7.4.	imanite - inimies - elay skins in jointe -	;	5.2 6.8 Grannel, atthe - band - p.p. 2.1 - wr
	dana bec.e		
-			f.C. f.y. white granthe - hard - fracture'
TT 244 (TT)	. con to may before the emilianty K.T. "W."		f.7 f.9% white granthe = hard = fracturef
<u></u>	Sills, firm, sandy - briss gray - lawse -	(HC.	1.5 Allo white granite = bard = fracture? <u>B_101, (TA, TA, FC, 101, 104, ELEV, FL, 100)</u>
<u>ग २५८, जा</u> ४. ०.४	. Gos ( 00. 100. 0, 0710007 E.T. 74.) Sill, firm, marky - brow gray - lummas - tapport: - Lloyd sol.	( <b>K</b> .	<ul> <li>f. J. A. White grantie = hard = fracture?</li> <li><u>12</u> (22, 174, 164, 167, 124 ELEV, 10, 10</li> <li>C.C. C.S. 2013, flam, sandy = yed brown = less dame = homes?</li> </ul>
<u>17 240, 911</u> 1. 0.5	. Gos p. ed. John B. Official L.F. (N.) Sill, film, Bandy - Brun gray - Lansa - Lapsol: - Lloyd sol. May, silly - red - hard - p.p. L.: - motat	(m. )	<ul> <li>C. F. P. White grantie = hard = fracture?</li> <li>D. D. C.S. Dill, The, sandy = red breen = less damp = topsal;</li> <li>C.S. B.S. Dim, sandy = red breen = less</li> </ul>
11 244 015 (1. 0.5) (5. 443) (4. 7.7)	<pre></pre>	(HC.)	<ul> <li>C.C. F.P. White granite = bard = fracture?</li> <li>T. D'. (TA. (A.)+), 12H ELEV. (A.)</li> <li>C.C. C.S. Dill, fine, early = red brown = less damp = topsall</li> <li>C.S. B.6. Silt, fine, early = red brown, grave silt = bard = entet = p.p. 3.0</li> </ul>
17 244, 015 (	<pre></pre>	(00.) (00.) (00.)	<ul> <li>C.C. F.P. White granite = bard = fracture?</li> <li>T. D. (TA. (A. (C. (D) EDF EDF)))</li> <li>C.C. C.S. Dill, fine, early = red brown = leave damp = topsall</li> <li>C.S. B.6. Silt, fine, early = red brown, grave elit. = bard = emint = p.p. 3.2</li> <li>B.6. S.C. Sard, elity = gray ealt ant sepper to bard to a contact = p.p. 3.2</li> </ul>
17 240, 015 (1 0)5 (5 4, 3 (1 7, 2 7, 7 16, 64	<pre>_ Goo p of local and a series of the se</pre>	(HCL) (HCL) (HCL) (SP()	<ul> <li>1.7 Five white granite = baid = fracture/</li> <li>The integranite = baid = fracture/</li> <li>C.C. C.S. Sill, fine, samiy = red brown = leave damp = topsail</li> <li>C.S. 8.6 Sill, fine, samiy = red brown, gravesill = bard = samist = p.p. 3.0</li> <li>S.C. Sid, Silly = gray salt ant paper of bard to dag = maist = bard before</li> <li>0.4 S. bald.</li> </ul>
7. 244, 974 (1. 0.5) (2. 0.5)	<pre>_ Geo to only between the set of the se</pre>	(9C. (3L) (9C.) (SP()	<ul> <li>1.7 A.24 White granite = bard = fracture?</li> <li>The end of the granite = bard = fracture?</li> <li>C.C. C.S. Sill, fine, eachy = red brown = leave damp = topsall.</li> <li>C.S. B.6 Sill, fine, eachy = red brown, grave sill = bard = masks = p.p. 3.0</li> <li>B.6 C.6 Serd, silky = gray eals ant paper or bard to dig = masks = weathered bedry 0.6 9.64 white granite = bard = fractare?</li> </ul>
17.243, 014 (. 0.5 .5) .5) 7.7 10,00 17.243, 014	<ul> <li>Geo to Additional Structure /li></ul>	()C. ( ()C.) ( ()C.) ( ()SH) (	<ul> <li>C. C. A. D. White grantie = hard = fracture?</li> <li>D. D. C.S. Sill, flar, anny = red brown = least damp = topsall</li> <li>C.S. B.G. Sill, flar, manty = red brown = least damp = topsall</li> <li>C.S. B.G. Sill, flar, manty = red brown, gravesills = hard = mints = p.p. 3.0</li> <li>B.C. S.L. Said, silly = gray salt set paper to hard to dig = milet = mathematic hard</li> <li>Q.C. Sill, STA. C. 145, p.D. EDT. 1</li></ul>
T         242 g state           (1)         0.5           (2)         0.5           (2)         7.2           T.7         16.64           T         243 g state           0.6         0.5	<ul> <li>Gene &amp; ord, betwee &amp; generatives x x,yz. This</li> <li>Still, firm, mandy = brear gray = leaves = teppendil = licyet sol.</li> <li>Elsy, stilty = red = hard = gray. Let = moist = hard = gray. Usi = moist = hard = gray. Usi = moist = hard = gray. Usi = dry hole</li> <li>Sans, stilty = brean = high mins = hard = gray. Usi = dry hole</li> <li>The y at 1, 2, 1 = 10,00</li> <li>The y at 1, 2, 1 = 10,00</li> <li>Sans, fing, mandy = brean gray = leaves = hards = licet and gray. The y at 1, 20,00</li> <li>Stilt, fing, mandy = brean gray = leaves = hards</li> </ul>	(HL) (CL) (SH) (SH) (HL)	<ul> <li>C. F. P. White granite = bard = fracture?</li> <li>The UTA. TAT. [TH ELEV</li></ul>
T         240 g         other           (1)         0.5         0.5         0.5           (2)         0.7         7.2	<ul> <li>Georgi et al. 1999 - State and Y KLYT. This</li> <li>Still, film, mandre - brown gray - leaves - temponti - Licyel sol.</li> <li>Ellay, silty - red - hard - pip. U.t motat</li> <li>Stilt, clayey - ymilem red - moist - hard - pip. U.t.</li> <li>Gans., stilty - brown - high mins - hard - pip. U.t.</li> <li>Gans., stilty - brown - high mins - hard - pip. U.t.</li> <li>Gans., stilty - brown - high mins - hard - tempont.</li> <li>Elley, 1, 7,21 - 10.00</li> <li>Gans., State State States ETT. 700,0</li> <li>Stilt, fins, manty - brown gray - leaves - tempont Licye and</li> </ul>	(HC.) (TL.) (SH) (HC.)	<ul> <li>C. F. P. White granite = bard = fracture?</li> <li>The UTA. The set of UT ELEV.</li> <li>C.C. C.S. Sills, fine, samity = red brown = leave damp = togeal.</li> <li>C.S. B.G. Sills, fine, mandy = red brown, grave sills = bard + smith = p.p. B.C.</li> <li>B.C. V.C. Said, silty = gray sait set paper to bard to be a maint = unsitered between the state granite = bard + fractared</li> <li>TF 101, STA. CC. 165, PUT ELT, 1 = 4.</li> <li>(.1. Sills, shuty = red brown = leave = togeall</li> </ul>
T         240, and           (1, 0),5                 7,2           T,7         10,44           T         243, and           G(1, 0),5            G(2, 0),5            G(2, 0),5            G(2, 0),5	<pre></pre>	(HL) (TL) (HL) (HL) (HL)	<ul> <li>1.1 A.14 white granite = bard = fracture?</li> <li>1.2 A.14 A.14 A.14 A.14 A.14 A.14 A.14 A.14</li></ul>
T         241, oth           1         0.5            7.2           T.7         10.64           T         7.2           T.7         10.64           T         5.2           0.6         0.5           0.7         5.2           0.7         5.2	<pre></pre>	(HC.) (CL.) (SR) (HC.) (CL.) (HC.)	<ul> <li>1.1 A.1.* White granite = hard = fracture?</li> <li>13 10(13</li></ul>
T         241, oth           1         0.5            7.2           T.7         10.64           T.7         10.74	<pre></pre>	(HC.) (TL) (HC.) (HC.) (HC.) (HC.) (HC.) (SP()	<ul> <li>1.1 A.1.* White granite = hard = fracture?</li> <li>13 10174. A. + A. 10. 10. ELEY.</li> <li>14</li></ul>
T         2**         other           1         0.15         0.15            1         7.2           T.7         16.64           T         2*1.           T.7         16.64           T         5.1           0.1         0.5           0.2         5.2           5.2         8.2           8.4         12.7	<pre></pre>	(HL) (TL) (SR) (HL) (HL) (SR)	<ul> <li>1.1 A.2.* White granite = hard = fracture?</li> <li>13 10*, 174, 14*, 10*, 10* ELFV,</li></ul>
T         240, and           1         0.5            7.2           T.7         10,00           T.7         10,00           T.7         10,00           T.7         10,00           T.7         10,00           S.7         8.2           S.7         8.3           P.0         12,70           T.7         10,00	<pre></pre>	()CL   ()CL   ()CL   ()CL   ()CL   ()CL   ()CL   ()SH	<ul> <li>1.7 A. 24 white granite = hard = fracture?</li> <li>13 104, 174, 164, 474, 128 ELFV, 104</li> <li>C.S. C.S. 2013, fine, samiy = red brown = leave date = togeal.</li> <li>C.S. 8.6 Silt, fine, samiy = red brown, gravesilt = hard = sint = suits = p.p. 3.0</li> <li>8.6 S.G. Same, silty = gray solt an perper of hard to dig = maist = mathered bedre</li> <li>9.6 9.6+ White granite = hard = fractared</li> <li>17 101, 374, CC 1461, PDF ELFT, 1047</li> <li>(.4 3.11, samiy = red brown = leaves = togeall</li> <li>1.3 2.3 Shi, samiy = red brown = leaves = togeall</li> <li>1.3 2.3 Shi, samiy = red yalles = hard = 1 months</li> <li>2.3 Li, Samiy = public with = wather</li> <li>2.4 Silty samiy = red yalles = hard = 1 months</li> <li>2.3 Shi, samiy = red yalles = hard = 1 months</li> <li>2.4 Shite granite = p.p. 4.5 = watet</li> <li>2.1 L. be Write granite = fractured = dry how</li> <li>2.5 Shite State = fractured = dry how</li> </ul>
T         2***         other           1         0.5              7.2         7.2         7.2           T.7         16.6             T.7         16.6             T.7         16.6             T.7         16.6             S.2         8.5             R.9         12.7             D.6         D.5	<pre></pre>	()CL ( ()CL ()CL ( ()CL ()CL ( ()CL ()CL ()CL ()CL ()CL ()CL ()CL ()CL	<ul> <li>1.7 A. 14. bits granite = hard = fracture/</li> <li>17. 174. 14. bits granite = hard = fracture/</li> <li>18. 194. 174. 14. bits granite = red brown = lease date = tognal.</li> <li>0.5 8.6 Silt, fine, mandy = red brown, gravesilt = hard = mint = p.p. 3.0</li> <li>8.6 5.6 Silt, fine, saity = gray solt and perper of hard to dig = mint = mathered beth</li> <li>9.6 9.6 white granite = hard = fractared</li> <li>19. 374. 15. 197. pro EUT, 1</li></ul>
T         240 _ mm           1         0.5            7.2           T.7         10.44	<pre></pre>	()CL) ()CL) ()CL) ()CL) ()CL) ()CL) ()CL) ()SP) ()SP) ()SP)	<ul> <li>1.7 A. 14. (A. (A. (A. (A. (A. (A. (A. (A. (A. (A</li></ul>
T         240, and           1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,	<pre></pre>	(HL) (SE) (SE) (HL) (HL) (SE) (SE) (SE) (SE) (SE)	<ul> <li>1.1 A. 14 14. I DT ELFY</li></ul>
T         240, and           1, 0, 15            1, 0, 15            1, 0, 15            T, 7, 16, 64         T           S, 7, 8, 5         S, 2           S, 2         R, 6           P, 240, 3T         S           O, 6         D, 5           C, 6         D, 5           C, 6         D, 5           C, 6         D, 5           C, 6         S, 5           C, 6         S, 5           C, 7         S, 7	<pre></pre>	(HL) (SE) (SE) (HL) (HL) (SE) (SE) (SE) (SE) (SE) (SE) (SE)	<ul> <li>1.7 A. 10. (1) IDT EIFY.</li> <li>1.8 JP. 17A. 10. (2) IDT EIFY.</li> <li>2.6 C.5 Dill, fime, manity = red brown, = leave damp = togenal.</li> <li>2.5 B.6 Sills, fime, manity = red brown, grave sills = bard = mints = p.p. 3.2</li> <li>8.6 S.6 Sills, fime, start = gray solls and paper o here to dig = mints = mathemed beth of the solls.</li> <li>8.6 S.6 Sills, fime, start = bard = fractared</li> <li>9.6 Sole, silly = gray solls and paper o here to dig = mints = mathemed beth of (2 = mints = mathemed beth of (2 = 1.4 Sills, sonthy = red brown = lawres = togenal.</li> <li>1.3 2.1 Sills, sonthy = red brown = lawres = togenal.</li> <li>1.3 2.3 Sill, sonthy = red yelles = hard = 1 months.</li> <li>2.1 4.5 With granths = fractared = dry heat tig hard = p.p. 4.5 = units.</li> <li>2.1 4.5 With granths = ready and the - waster togenal.</li> <li>2.7 5.13 Sole, silly = granths = togenal.</li> <li>2.8 Sole, sills, fime sharthy = red hours = law the disp hard = p.p. 4.5 = units.</li> <li>2.7 1.4 Sills, fime sharthy = red hours = law the disp hard = p.p. 4.5 = units.</li> <li>2.8 With granths = fractared = dry heat togenal.</li> <li>2.9 Clay, filly = red = hard = maths = 2.7 Clay, fills, analy = red hours = lawn togenal.</li> </ul>
T         242.         344.           1.0         0.5         0.5           1.7         7.7         7.7           T.7         10.44         9.6           S.7         8.5         6.6           S.7         8.5         9.6           D.6         0.5         6.6           S.7         8.5         9.6           D.6         0.5         5.7	<pre></pre>	(HL) (SE) (SE) (HL) (HL) (HL) (HL) (HL) (HL)	<ul> <li>1.7 A. 14. (A) and a practice = hand = fractions?</li> <li>1.7 A. 14. (A) and a pression = red brown = lease damp = togenal.</li> <li>0.5 B.6 Sills, films, mandy = red brown, grave sills = hard = substance, grave sills = hard = grave salt set paper of hard to dig = mist = unathered beth of the substand beth of the</li></ul>
T         242, sta           1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,	<pre></pre>	(HL) (SH) (SH) (HL) (HL) (HL) (HL) (HL) (HL)	<ul> <li>1.7 A. 14. In grantic = hard = fractured</li> <li>The second se</li></ul>
T         242, sta           1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,	<pre></pre>	(HL) (SH) (SH) (HL) (HL) (HL) (HL) (HL) (HL)	<ul> <li>1.7 A. 14. In grantic = hard = fractured</li> <li>The second se</li></ul>

,

¥,,. . ....

-----

•		
		. 1
	<ul> <li>A start of the sta</li></ul>	
A 10	an a	
	na anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-	<ul> <li>Series and set</li> <li>The set of the /li></ul>
(1) A strategy and the strategy of the stra	•	n - Constantino
and the second	the second s Second second	
The supervised and the second second	in the second	TABLE CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACT MET
tergenergyt	(1) 1 (1) (a) (b) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	An and 1 grader pravely procession attaction a
e la latte <sub>en la</sub> tre en la sense de la faite de la sense de la faite de la sense de la faite de la sense de la sense de la faite de la sense de la sense de la faite de la sense de la sense de la faite de la sense de la sense de la faite de la sense de la sense de la faite de la sense de la sense de la faite de la sense de la		# Bilty grain, pravels [94 Bilty gravels; gravelsagissisting if and the Component servers around the server in the server of Clevers servers around the server in the server servers.
notati Rotati	T (F ) ,74 (the table - construction the	19 Will graded aavis; maxingravri sfit rec
6.1. 5.7* Design approximate and at a here in the constraint of the second sec second second sec	where the second s	SM Silty Sandaj aust-etit mirtun-1 SG Claywy aastej sand-clay ristur-s
A		16. Siltay stity, v. fine saving party in .symy milts To Clays of low to methia plarticity, silty, samiy or gravelly.
$c = c^2 - \frac{310 t_{\rm c}}{21 t_{\rm c}} $ as sign three constants of the sign of $c = c^2 C$	of us. They, all ty where not us to write gray on Gip.	1.4 Classes of high plasticity fail class (ME Distic silts) alreases of failwhineme silts (C) Oreases sites and records sites and of the plasticity.
neoverset fotol law environmentest relations	tration + martet = east = paped. the average of the second s	(* Organic claye or eilte of two lum to high plasticity)
	<pre>Li 7.6 Seat, #1159 = blue gray = motet = seep at (54) St = soft = pup: Out</pre>	Di Mi en 22 A
Respective profession	2.1 1.1 SALT, prevently - gray - wet - gaint gravels (DM	A property of the second second
	1.1 fifther, slity - yellow - burish soil - p.p. Lifting minamous - bard to dig	
<ul> <li>Gauge - Legan - Segments</li> </ul>	10 112.2 6.31 = 6.64	
- CLK - U. 1. They, stype wellow restance - weistance - (1) web reparation restance pipe 2 Beep st. 1	(c) b) to imation of gradient - too hart to dig	
uil lot + family and an one with and the first - sails and the first	T 137, 574. N. J. C. T. 5435, 149 2027. 1981	
perper culoter - washerer hermik - wolst - hartin rus rus - r rus su	0.0 0.5 Sills, firm, mandy = dark brown = topsed1 (ML)	
መድ እ.የ. መንል ድድስ እ. <b>አ. ምርም የገም</b> ና	<pre>f.5 1.9 Tay, silty = brown red = mdst soft = {Gib} :</pre>	
C. 0.5 Silt, figs, saidy - red brown - leaves - (ML)	1.6 3.2 Clay, silty = olive gray = sort = modet =	
Classing: - Longiter - Surger 251	3.2 5.5. San', allty - gray - water bearing - soft (SM)	
1.5 C.S. (11), f1.0, samity = brown red = hard = motist. (42).	TT 11. OTA, 141 L C 1 Lens, naw prove 144	
217 U.C. Ormania, a slige - present mark - marks - p.p. 215 (orthogonal) Models.	fit it Sill, fine, many - dark brown - leaves - (ML)	•
us - sub " White granter - ham - fracture:	trape of )	•
T TO TA TA TA PORT FUEL	<pre>Club 1.1 Cluby, #11by = brown red x moint + madium</pre>	
1. D. C. S. They are y - The Strend - Leaves - No. Camp - Strend - Stren	bearing - gray - angular grave, - hole reves too fast to dig	
3.7 - moult	75 527.1 2.34 = 9.24	
Sub-for former jatime - hand - pupulau - week 24	THE COLLETE CONTRACTOR SHEETS THE HEAT CONTRACTOR	
C = C = abtile grantink = harr = fractional	(.) (.) Nick, fine, manty - dark brown - topet(). (2.)	
The The Contract of the second	1 1.7 Ciar, #133v ~ brown yed ~ motat = #05 4000.	
C.C. C.5. DS18, flow, except + red brench + tearne - 12 design + lagence.	1. 1. Ciny, elly - alive grav - mist - soft ("it-	i
c.f. 8.6 3115, flue, many - red brown, grownia in	1 u. '.' uan', slity - gray - ust - ustar st 5.0' (5)	
#10x + Herr + Annual + p.p. 10 8.6 5.6 South #10x = mean and the set income and income	7 orwawi, amany w gray w uster begring (UM. 1 7.1 7.14 her sweinered bedrave - cannot be dur	
bard to d.g = maint = maging diadrons		
9.6 9.8+ Mixthe grantins + hard + fractared	TT 12 A TTA 14 1 TOTA 441 A REPU	
17 JUL 374 . 57 . 141 . 158 . 107	<ul> <li></li></ul>	
f. 1.+ 5114, AMUSTY — Part Brown — Lawred — Locks — (ML). Superil	<pre>C.S. D. S.Ay, #115y = olive gray = erst = p.p. D.F = fully workt (#</pre>	
1.9 2.9 353. e-mod yellow - hand - p.p. L( (R. exist	b. 1.2 Nand, Silly - blue gray - entry ; 1.2 - (Sf wetthe mental	oo A C RIIII Too
7.5 2.3 Sand, #1197 - pollan abdits - unaithermar ruch - (197 dign band - p.p. 4.5 - andat	fill of "Operating states" - We have been been as for all filled and fill	
$(1,1,\dots,k_n)$ which more than a fractional $=$ dry hole	5. 5.24 Mile granite - hard - fracture:	a little to the second se
I 405, 514, 151 1 1 2 4-17, 5214 ELFT, 100 1		
C.G. C.S. 3115, <sup>Flam</sup> Analy - bud broom - lasten - (HL) Support		
		U S DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
2.2 8.6 Still, e.e.puty - yelles run - ertet - terre - (ML)		SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE
پ ۲۰۰۲ 8,6 12,6• Samel, 4:11 کې - جو11 کې چېنې . مدينينې است. 1.445 کې د کې		- the second sec
hard to prove = p.p. 2.5 = dry here		HLATH /
		and had to have a state of the former of the former of the
	1	The usual is the second

.

501. C. 2-91 FIN, 1178, 713.2	<u>14 197</u>
	THE PLE BUCKT IN: STATE
$\sim$ 1.6 Clay, silly $\sim$ root = maint = hart = p.p. L.( $(CL)$	
.' 5.3 Silt, claywy - rm:'yellow - wrist - hard - (ML) p.p. 3.0	Un-twiplin if cotlet a truntume 301 - 199 Stream charumal 51 - 199 Ratiant wells 51 - 199
	0.1 - 007 71.1 - 709 001711: 0011 CLASS D'IGATION STOTES 519-115
2.5. 514. CA. 3-28 FITE, ELEV. 699.4	Ow Wall gravels; gravel-east struct
[] O.L Silt, fins Sandy - brown red - topsoil - (NL) Senaca and	(GF Peorly graded gravels (DF Silty gravels) gravel-sand-silt mirtures (AC Claver gradels gravel-sand-clay mirtures
<pre>L1 1.2 City, silty - yellow red - moist - hard = (01)     p.p. k.1</pre>	<ul> <li>Well gravine sands; sand-gravel mintures</li> <li>Proorly graving sand-gravel mintures</li> <li>SH Sility sand-ellt mintures</li> </ul>
i=2 6.5 filt, elegrer - red yellow - actist - p.p. (HL) 2.6 - strong spring at 6.4	SC Clayer sanis; sant-clay mittures pt. Silts; silty, v. fine sanis; santy or clayer silts CL Clayer of low to modum platicity; silty, santy or gravally
11.30 Sand, 2117 - alive gray - micacross - (SM Stiff - pp. 1.9 - wet to moist - highly wathered colluvial or residual material	<ul> <li>Clays of high plasticity; fat clays (</li></ul>
- 2 4, 5T4, C/L 3-91 FIFT, ELT. 695.6	All Boil and rock descriptions and classifications ware
C.C D.5 Silt, fime manty - brown red - topsoil (ML: Seneca moll	determined by visual exertination July, 1973
<pre>C.5 8.2 Clay, silty - red - moist to ust - hard - (CL) p.p. 4.3 - clay skims - small seep 6.0</pre>	
5.2 10.7* Sand, silty, gravelly - light yellow - (GF) feldopur and quarks - angular gravels - collumial - hard - p.p. 4.0 - acist to wet	•.
123. TA. 191 L C/L SHE DAR, ELFV. 609.7	
C.C 0.5 Sand, silty - red brown - rests - tapsell - (SM) allowial	
0.7 3.7 GLEY, 81157 - brown red - eaft - moist - (HL p.p. C.2 - roots 1.2 3.1 Cley, stity - brown red - and - a c.5 ///	
noisi at top = wet at bottom = seep at 6.0 7.7 5.0 Sand, gravelly = water bearing = process at 6.0	to the contract of the second
quarts grave) 1. 10 % Sand, silty - high mics - olive yellow	• :
<pre>maifet = p.p. 7 / - er.tep_air(.) on hitten- uesthere: grantu- hitly FA, 1011_001_74, 0070, 7 / 3</pre>	
<pre>fif tif Silt, fim eachy = hread = topact' = deneral def edd</pre>	
Cut Cumy, #119 - yeline yels namt-jup uit - Cu motel 	
510 10.4 Firmsvel, df'sy + brown = results = crequert = () with clay comment = motest = void at 5 oute 7. = dry woid = misrong approval 1x 5	
<u>1 (n. 1984) and and star index (49) a</u>	
in the second se	
<ol> <li>7&gt; Satar, attay - yelice bran milar nome</li></ol>	
RET , THE PERPENDING FOR STREETS	
.5 iii fand, affty - yelles met as to to - olive gray - on bettom - motif to wet	<b>.</b> 1
<pre>2.0. P.f Dimy, silty = oitwe gray = wet = soft = (" p.p. (.)</pre>	
3.6 F.C. Same, mility - clive gray = unit = molt =	•
r.1. 9.2+ Sant, gravelly - gray - mater bearing - (1: rounder robb)as	r
	1
	) 1
	· · ·

- -- -

•

•

.....

- ----





\_\_\_\_\_



### APPENDIX II

### PHOTOGRAPHS



Photograph No. 1 - Upstream Slope



Photograph No. 2 - Downstream Slope

Ì



Photograph No. 3 - Intake Structure (Note Debris in Low Flow Inlet)



Photograph No. 4 - Outlet Pipe and Plunge Pool

### 11-2



.

1

١

Photograph No. 5 - Emergency Spillway (Note Erosion Due to Vehicular Traffic)

11-3

### APPENDIX III

.

. . . .

· -

. ....

۰.,

. .

### FIELD OBSERVATIONS

	$\frac{1.41}{360} = 41.6$	e 850 F	at Time of Inspection 696 msl		ces State Water Control Board Leon Musselwhite		17, 198i.	
Check List Visual Inspection Phase I	hty <u>Henry</u> State Virc	ther <u>cloudy</u> Temperature	711 msl Tailwater a		J. K. Timmons & Associate Rebert G. Roop, P.E. Steve Oddi	Recorders Stephen G. Werner Steve Oddi	ut visited the site on August 1	
	Name Dam Leatherwood No. 6 Cou	Date(s) Inspection July 1, 1981 Weat	Pool Elevation at Time of Inspection	Inspection Personnel:	Schnabel Engineering Associates, P.C. James J. Seli Stephen G. Werner Raymond A. DeStephen, P.E.*		*Not present during this inspection, bu	

•

1-111

, <sup>1</sup> .....

1

.

-- --

TELL TRUTTER CF		
CULTUS CAACUS	Some cracking was observed in non-vecetated areas of the the literat embankment. The cracks much well to be shrinkage cracks. maintai noise vegetation on the criankment and observation difficult. Ground conditions was shy if the time of the inspection.	tion should be incl.
CNUTURE MOTERANT CR CNUCKING AT CR BENONG THE TOE	No unusual reverences are noted on the dam leyond the domistream teve.	
SLCUGHING CR EROSION OF ENEANWENT AND ABUTHENT SLOPDS	The embandment creat is not vecetated. It includes a meandering road with numerous ruts $\frac{1}{2}$ to 1 ft <sup>±</sup> deep. One rut is 2 ft <sup>±</sup> deep. There is a 1 ft wide x 1-1 ft <sup>±</sup> deep rut from the left side of the pool up the FMS approach channel. Approximately 50 ft right of this area is a dug up area 20 ft <sup>±</sup> long x 5 ft <sup>±</sup> wide - may be caused by digging for fishing worms. There are two similar areas, one near the	જ માહ્ય કે ઉજે તેળો કે
TETTICAL AND NORIZONTAL	intake structure and another 100 ftf left of the right end of the upstream slope-abutrent contact. Scattered shallow erosion: channels betwashes for each from the upstream slope and across the base of the upstream slope just above pool level. The vertical and horizontal alignment of the dam apprared to be good. Field measurements indicate a crest width of 14 ft. The reviewment slope and allopes are 2.511.1V. A 15 ft wide berm exists on the downstream slope and allopes and also on the upstream slope at pool level.	rissi Internet internet interne
SIDOR FALURES	No riprap on the upstream slope. Scattered erosional motches I ft high externil to 2 ft into the upstream slope. This erosion is related to the low pool level. Riprap, $1-3$ ft into the stream slope, $1-3$ ft projectly and is in rood conditon.	ſ
	111-2	

<u>.</u>....

۰.

	I TANG BORDEL	
AD NISUAL EXMINATION OF	ORGERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECORDED/CLOSE
JUNCTION OF ENEMINERY AND ABUTNERY, SPILLWAY AND DAM	Both ends of the embankment tie in properly with the abutments. The access road extends across the left FWS and abutment area into the dense woods. A riprap channel lines the right abutment downsteam slope contact from the crest to the downstream berm. The left abutment shows old erosion in the form of shallow washir along the bench on the downstream slope.	Riprap gutter appears to be rather new and may have been installed to restrict erosion.
ANY NOTICEABLE SELFACE	The downstream toe is dry and no seepage was encountered.	The thick vegetative cover make observation difficult.
DRALINS	Two 6 inch cmp toe drains bound each side of the outlet pipe, 2 ft from the edges of the concrcte cradle. The right pipe is iron stained and clear water was flowing from the pipe at approximately ¼ grm. No flow was observed from the left pipe, the lower half of which was filled with vegetation.	Vegetation should be removed from the left toe drain and outlet.
MATERIALS	The embandment appears to be constructed with fine to coarse sand, some silty clay, with gravel and mica, moist to dry - light brown to gray (SC)	
VEGETATION	The upstream and downstream slopes are heavily vegetated with tallgrass, brush, briers (or blackberry bushes) and honeysuckle. Scattered trees occur at various locations at pool level and up to 5 ft above pool level on the upstream slope. The trees are generally less than 2 inches in diameter.	Vegetation should be controlled and properly maintained.
	111-3	

---

	NAMTILIAS ADDERESI	
VISUAL EXMINATION OF	OBSFRATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SINTINGS TOTIONS	100 ft wide. Well restated except for bare and eroded areas caused by whichlar teating.	Bare and erodod areas should be corrected and reseeded.
APPROADI DIMINEL	Some erosion along road leading to toe of embankment. Rut is 1.5 ft_deep.jellwgetated except for bare and eroded areas caused by vehicular traffic.	Bare and eroded areas should be corrected and reseeded.
DISCHARTE CHANNEL	Well vegetated except for bare and eroded areas caused by vehicular traffic.	Bare and erocied areas should be corrected and reseeded.
BRIDZE AND PIERS		
SNOAWTTEDSTW		
	5-111	

í

NOLIVITED FILTER

MANAMANUNA SURVIce Second Second Sec	ACCONTRACTOR INVESTIGATION OF A CONTRACT OF	VISUAL EXMENSION OF	SNOT LYZZERNE	REWARKS OR RECOMMENDATION
REFERENCE MALLA BREFACTION MALLA THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE	REHEAVELIK NILLS TELES	NORMERASINGN/SURVEYS		1
EUS CLOCETES CLOCETES CLOCETES Der Der Der Der Der Der Der Der Der Der		STEN MOLLVINISC		1
LOUTES TO	HULLERS THE	Si 12		
CVTNTES The second by installed. The second by installed. The second by installed. The second by installed.	ANNES Ver Skoold be installed.			
		SEWAN	the state of the s	Shovid by installed.
			1. S.S.	1
			Ī  	

HEARING AND RECOMMENDATIONS	,		
ISTAL EXMINATION		SEDIMENTICA	

	1:15			rd	- ·
	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIC	n = 0.1 n = 0.05 n = 0.1		Potential haza	
DURYSTAN CUARTU	S. C. L. L. L. L. C. C. L.	Heavy under broth: the channed is tree lined. The channel is 10 ft wile and P ft high The flowly. Lath is $200 \pm wile on the right side and iscovered with heavy broch.$	311:1V side sheres	Two commercial facilities are located 1.2 miles downstream about 15 ft above the streamled.	
	VISUAL ENVERATION OF	CONDITION (CESTRUCTIONS, DEBRIS, LTC.)	S34015	APPROXIMATE NO. OT NOMES AND SOPULATION	

.

LCIT A. GLD

ţ

## FISTREERING DATA DUSTRE, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION

ITEN	REMARKS
FEGIOWAL VICINITY MAP	Martinsville last 7½ minute topographic map (U.S.G.S) -
DESIGN/CONSTRUCTION HISTORY	Designed by TSUA, SCF. Constructed by Larramore Construction for and completed in 1964.
PLAN OF DW	See Appendix 1
TYPICAL SECTIONS OF DAM	Sev Appendix 1
OUTLETS - PLAN DETAILS CONSTRALINTS DISCHARGE RATINGS	See Apruchis I
SPILLWAY- PLAN SECTION DETAILS	See Appendix I
OPERATING EQUIPMENT - PLAN DETAILS	See Appendix I
	6-111

ł

	REMARKS	8	1	3, <i>i</i> ppendix VI -			V, SCS office -	-10
:			None	See Appendix IT and Peferen	See Appendix I	See Artendix I	Design data available at US in Pichrond, Virginia	
	MELLI	NONITORING SYSTEMS	RALNFALL/RESERVOIR HIGEPOOL RECORDS	STROATS REPORTS	BOKRON SOURCES	WATERLALS INVESTIGATIONS BORLING RECORDS LABOWATORY-FIELD TEST DATA	NTA DIJARAULIC DATA	

MITI	SAMMAR
DESIGN REPORTS	Surrary included as Aqendix IV. Complete Design – Report arrilder a TRA, 3CS office in Richmond, Virginia
DESIGN COMPUTATIONS INDROLOGY & HYDRAULICS DAM STABILITY SEEPAGE STUDIES	Amilable at TSPA, SCS office in Richmond, Virginia –
POST CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING STUDIES RECORDS, SURVEYS	As built drawings included in Appendix I
MDDIFICATIONS	Nonc
PRIOR ACCITATING OR FAILURE OF DAM DESCRIPTION REPORTS	None
MALNTENANCE OPERATION RECORDS	None
	111–111 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	<b>)</b>

HN DATE FILS SCHNABEL ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES CONSULTING ENGINEERS SHEET NO 1 OF 1 DOB NO V 51301 SUBJECT FICED SKETCH OF DATH - LEATHER WOOD NO. 6



# APPENDIX IV DESIGN REPORT
## - - U S DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE - SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE -----

This floadwater retarding and is located on the Camp Franch which is a tributary of Latherwood Creek approximately a miles east of Martinsville, Virginia. Sheet a of this report, together with the Martinsville, Virginia-North Carolina 15-minute qualrangle published by the U.S. Geological Circe, may be used to locate the structure.

A currany of pertinent design information is given on cheet 2 of this report.

Criteria and procedures used in this design are given in the following Soil Conservation Service publications:

National Engineering Memorandum No. 27, Limiting Criteria for the legign of Earth Damp

National Engineering Memorandum No. 42, Reinforced Concrete Pipe Drop Inlet carrels

National Engineering Handbook No. 4, Hydrology, Supplement A, "The Hydrology Guide"

National Engineering Handbook No. 5, Eydraphics, and No. 8, Geology National Engineering Handbook No. 6, Structural Design

Engineering Division Technical Release No. 2, Earth Spillwa s Engineering Division Technical Release No. 5, Structural Design of Unierground Conduits

Enclosering Division Technical Release No. 10, Storage-Floodwater hetarding Structures

Englineering livieson Technical Release No. 12, Procedure for Computing Content Requirements for Retarding Reservoirs

(a) In one of the field retention constructures designed to reture in the learning of alley. It will retard a 5-year frequenty state a contained accuracy descurring in the chargens, spilling.

1-

o no menig ena unitationo o d'averan una occa constitu o la secono en Accese anno testes antenes antenes antenes

In principal spilling is a drop inlet structure consisting of a sound such some sets river, 2--inch diameter concrete water pipe and a ripraced stilling casin to dissignte energy at the outlet end of the statilt.

The energency spilling is excalated into earth and rock in the luft aluteent of the lar.

Copies of reports concerning geologic conditions and soil engineering tests are included in the design folder.

------ ENGINEERING & WATERSHED PLANNING UNIT, UPPER DARBY, PA -----



(

of Factor Area Area Area Surface June Factor Structure fraction accumulation for the Structure Structure Structure fraction for the Structure fractine for the Str	of Factor Area Area Area Inches Tolume Fate Structure Eactor Area Area Acre-feet Inches of a nitice accumulation Frest of 50-year frequency rest of 50-year frequency pilluay condition beign high x 6-hour point ater condition fop of dam in X 6-hour point	Element			Surface	. Store	50	Infl	101	Peak
rifice accumulation rifice accumulation feer frequency rest of 50-year frequency pillway condition pillway condition testion x 6-bour point ater condition in x 6-bour point ater condition condition in x 6-bour point ater condition	Treat of SU-year selfron Treat of SU-year frequency Treat of SU-year frequency Treat of SU-year frequency mergency storm, moleture spillwy condition spillwy condition tater condition Cop of dam in X 6-hour point condition Cop of dam in X 6-hour point cop of dam in X 6-hour point cop of dam in X 6-hour point cop of cop of dam in X 6-hour point cop of cop of dam in X 6-hour point cop of cop of cop of dam in X 6-hour point cop of cop of dam in X 6-hour point cop of cop of	of Structure	Lecenting Factor	lever ton	Aree Aree	Acre-Feet	Inches*	Volume	Явtе с.f.s.	7.f.5.
rest of iser ises of 50-year frequency mergency storm, moleture pillway condition ter ater condition op of dam X 6-hour point condition in X 6-hour point condition condition	Treat of So-year frequency indicating the frequency is torm, moleture spillvay condition the frequency condition the frequency condition the frequency condition to the frequency conditited to the frequency condition to the frequency cond	nvert of brifice	50-year sedirentaction	•			ı	,	ł	
<pre>rest of 50-year frequency mergency storm, moleture storm, moleture esign high X 6-hour point mater condition fop of dam</pre>	Thest of 50-year frequency storm, moleture mergency storm, moleture storm, moleture storm, moleture condition tater the condition tater condition for the condition tater condition for the condition tate condition tat	reat of iter	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	)				•	\$	
<pre>healgn high X 6-hour point ater rainfall, motutive condition lop of dam X 6-hour point</pre>	The setting of the form of the setting of the setti	rrest of mergency spillway	50-year frequency storm, moleture condition	```			. I	1	1	
Cop of dam X 6-hour point reinfall, moisture condition	Cop of dam X 6-hour point rainfall, moisture	esign high ater	X 6-hour point rainfall, motorire condition	•		`` <b>`</b>	•		. :	
		നമാ വ്ഷന	X 6-hour point reinfall, moisture condition	•		 زر			,	

€.

(

Sheet .



U.S. EPARTMENT OF AGREDITURE

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

·'oncarred:

Ç

ŝ

1

Marth & Connor

- Foul Charles - Com Decign Englneer

proph W. Kar have V. M.

h. 1. Cannes, dr. State Concervation Engineer

Vin ent M. Heer Hyprologist

Parent & therease to ont in the torn Geologist

- - ENGINEERING & WATERSHED PLANNING UNIT, UPPER DARBY, PA -------

· ....

Sheet 5

-1-

## Methods and Procedures

( )

1. Pockst penetrometer readings were taken and recorded in the test pit logs. The abbreviation pp. stands for pocket penetrometer. The readings are in tons per square foot. The moisture of the layer has to be taken into account in estimating the bearing strength. When a material is wet it has much less bearing strength than when it is dry.

2. The small samples are not correlated to the test pits in the correlation chart. This is due to the complexity of the alluvial soils. But these samples are correlated to the different layers in the cross sections.

3. Soils that will be present in the construction material are classified for easier correlation to the samples. Standard description of these soils are included.

4. In the logs the underlying rock is referred to as granite and coarse granite. This is for simplification into easily understandable terms. Actually the "granite" is a gneissic symmite. This is a rock that has orthoclass feldspar and biotite mica as the major minerals. It contains little, if any, quartz. Plagioclase feldspar and muscovite mica are present in minor ammounts.

The geologic name for the "coarse grained granite" is pegnatite. It is composed of large crystals of orthoclase feldspar, muscovite mica and quartz. It is more acid than the local granite. The pegnatite occurs as dikes in the mass of gneissic granite.

5. The centerline of the dar was moved 100 feet upstream. This was to insure that the cut-off trench rest on a firmer foundation. This made it necessary to make two investigations on this dam site. As a result of this, there are two lists of test pit logs. On the plans test pit numbers that would nortually designate the different parts of the dam are not in these locations. Subsequent test pits were dug to investigate the geologic conditions of these latter locations.

VN 485 G

- A LE CLIPPLE NO METATION A GEOLOGIA TUME 1 DE CLIPPLE NATION SERVICE

10-59

1

()

# DETAILED GEOLOGIC INVESTIGATION OF DAM SITES

# GENERAL

State_Virginia	_ County	Henry	. <b>-</b> .	. 🧮 🖌 Sec		R W	Vatershed Leatherwo	ood Crey
Subwatershed		Fund class FP-08	l etc.	Site number	6 _ Site gr	oup I	_ Structure class A	
Investigated by Mack .	T (signature	Geologist. Ed	urpment use	d_Case	b <u>ackhoe</u> ype, size, make,	model, etc.)	Date7/0	53

# SITE DATA

Drainage area size 2.08sq m: 1331 acres Type of structure Earth Fill Purpose Flood Prevention Direction of valley trend (downstream) SE \_\_\_\_\_\_ Maximum height of till 31.4 feet. Length of fill 500 fee Estimated volume of compacted fill required 37.899 cubic \_\_\_\_\_\_ yards

## STORAGE ALLOCATION

	Volume (ac. ft.)	Surface Area Lacres,	Depth at Dam (feet)
Sediment	73	13_5	10.6
undwater	418	37.0	26.3

# SURFACE GEOLOGY AND PHYSIOGRAPHY

\_\_\_\_\_

The rock present is a syenite gneiss. The major minerals of the syenite gneiss are orthoclase feldspar and biotite mica. This gneiss weathers to a Cecil soil. When plagioclase feldspar is present as part of the total feldspar content the gneiss weathers to a Lloyd soil. This syenite gneiss is similar to the orthogneiss in the Leatherwood formation. Detailed geologic mapping would perhaps classify it as an orthogneiss bordering the Leatherwood granite.

This rock is cut by pegmatite dikes in the area of the dam site. These dikes are composed of large crystals of orthoclase feldspar, muscavite mics. and quartz. They weather a little more deeply than does the VA 485 G

#### adjacent syenite gneiss. The pegnatite dikes form an Appling Soil.

Two small streams are present in the stream valley. The larger of the two is next to the left abutment. Between these streams is a low floodplain that rises only from one to one and a half feet above the stream channel. The streams are agrading. They join 550 feet downstream from the proposed centerline of the dam. The stream valley is part of a dendritic drainage pattern in which the streams are strongly entrenched.

Centerline of Dam -

No rock was found with the backhoe in either abutments of the dam. But hard rock was encountered along the entire length of dam centerline across the floodplain. It appears fairly regular. It is deepest in the center of the floodplain. Here it was found at 9.5 feet at station 4+50 on the centerline of the dam. Firm bedrock becomes somewhat shallower in depth towards each abutment. It is most shallow under the centerline of the proposed conduit. This rock can best be classified as a greisen. It is a hard contact metamorphic rock that has formed between the pegmatite dikes and the symmite country rock. The pegmatite is downstream from the dam centerline and the symmite is upstream. Minerals in this rock are quartz, feldspar, actinolite and muscovite mica. It is hard and is more resistant to erosion and weathering than either the pegmatite or the symmite. The rock is white in color.

The recent sedimentation along the dam centerline in the floodplain is extremely complex as can be seen from the profile. However, one layer is common to most test pits in the floodplain. This is the water bearing sand and gravel layer that occurs approximately 6 feet below the ground surface. It is through this layer that approximately one third of the water in the stream valley flows. Below this water bearing layer is a buried residual soil. This old soil is not wet but has remained moist. This is due to the compact nature of the soil.

#### Foundation -

The foundation contains an irregular rockline. This is due to ridges of greisen crossing the foundation at approximately right angles to the strike of the stream channel. As can be seen from the detailed geologic and soil map, the strike of the greisen is approximately M  $67^{\circ}$  E. This forms an acute angle with the centerline of the dam which strikes M  $58^{\circ}$  E. At least two ridges of white greisen were found in the foundation. The narrower is downstream from the dam centerline. It is on the wider one that the centerline of the dam is placed.

Several distinct layers of alluvium are present in the foundation area. The highest of these is a brown red oxidized layer of silty clay. Below this all sedimentary layers are reduced. The upper of these reduced layers is a silty clay that has a high moisture content, a low dry density and a low pocket penetrometer reading. Below this is a layer of water bearing sand and gravel. Through this flows much of the water of the stream valley. This water bearing layer is thick in the toe drain area.

VN 485 G 3 of 7

and the second second second second second

from a pegmatite dike that cuts the symplet in this area. At a depth of greater than 10 feet in this soil angular sand and gravel size particles occur. On the right abutment 800 feet upstream the symplet is within 7 feet of the ground surface. Here a Durham soil occurs. This soil type has a sandy texture. It contains some silt and clay. No rock was encountered in the borrow area closer than 750 feet to the centerline of the dam on the right abutment.

filtuned

NA 4859 5 0 7

()

(

-4 site 6 2 32 ο Scale Leatherwood granite and syenite with pegmatites Leatherwood synnite with orthogneissoid structure and pegmatites Wissahickon schist & gneiss GEOLOGIC MAP OF THE AREA SURROUNDING SITE NO. 6 LEATHERWOOD CREEK W/S, HENRI COUNTY, VIRGINIA ( VA 485 G 6 of 7

and the second second

.

(

NAY 55

( 5

(

6

# UNITED STATES GEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

# SOIL SAMPLE LIST SOIL AND FOUNDATION INVESTIGATIONS

Location_Henry County, Virginia	Owner		<u></u>
Wotershed Leatherwood Creek	Sub-wotershed Spring Branch	Site No	6
Submitted by R. C. Barnes.	·	Date 8	19 63

\_ Government B/L No.\_

Sent by Truck

(carrier)

Field Lob. Somple		Somple	e Description	De	pth	Type of Sample	
No.	No.	Location	Grid or Station	From	To	Undist.	Dist.
			LARGE		[		· 
	258 - 1	E. Spillway	50' L C/L 2+50 E.	1.0	4.8	•	۷
	258 - 2	Π	n	4.8	12.8		V
	260 - 1	*	50' L C/L 1+50 E.	7.2	10.9	ļ	V
	102 - 1	Borrow Area		1.0	10.0		<b>v</b>
	104 - 1	<b>11</b>		1.0	8.0		¥
	104 - 2	n		8.0	10.8		<b>.</b>
	<b></b>		SMALL		<b></b>		
	10 - 1	C/L Dam	4+50 C/L D.	1.0	1.2		V
	10 - 2	H	n	1.2	4.9		V
	10 - 3	N		4.9	6.8		▼
	10 - 4	D	β	6.8	7.8		V
	10 - 5	11		7.8	8.4		▼
	10 - 6	n		8.4	9.5	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	V
	1.10 - 1	Foundation	501 R C/L D 1+00	8.4	10.5	↓ + _ · · ·	▼
	411 - 1	<b>n</b>	501 R C/L D 5+00	8.1	8.6	<b>+</b>	v
	510 - 1	Toe Drain	251 L C/L D 4+00	1.3	8.2	• · -	<b>v</b>
					-	•	, t f
					<b>*</b>	• · · ·	
		+				•	
rigiae	La Solla La	horotory		L	<u> </u>	VA 4E	35 9

Copy to Eand WP Unit

Distribute other copies as directed by State Conservationist

÷	Form BCS376C		**
	10-68 DET/	VILED GEOLOGIC INVESTIGATION OF DAM SITES	
•	Virginia County Her	ry Watershed Leatherwood Creek Dubwatershed Camp Branch	
	Site number <u>6</u> Site group <u>I</u>	Structure class Investigated by T. Mach, Geologist Dat July 194 (signature and title)	<u>53</u>

## INTERPRETATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS FOR IN-SERVICE USE ONLY

- 1. It is necessary that a cutoff be installed and anchored one foot into bedrock. This is to intercept the flow of water through the water bearing sand and gravel present in the floedplain. As at least one third or possibly two fifths of the water flowing downthe valley passes through this layer, a good cutoff is mandatory.
- The residual soil along the centerline of the proposed conduit is fairly hard in place. Although the downstream portion of the proposed conduit will not be on rock, use can be made of this firm soil to support the cradle.
- 3. The proposed conduit can be moved to the right to lower the rockline. The slope of the rockline in this area of the left abutment is 1 to 6. But the white greisen rock here is fractured and can probably be ripped with heavy machinery.
- L. The toe drain area contains a layer of water-bearing sand and gravel (DS 510-1). This layer allows free passage of water through much of the floodplain. But at some places this flow is stopped in the toe drain area. TP 303 located 65 feet downstream from the centerline of the dam shows the water-bearing sand and gravel to be absent. This information can be taken into consideration in design of the toe drain.
- A layer of soft, moist gray clay (cl) blankets most of the foundation of the dam. It convers from approximately two to seven feet below the ground surface. As removal of this from the foundation will be expensive, the design of the dam should be adapted to this condition.
- 6. From examination of its surface the rock in the emergency spillway is thought to be riviable with heavy machinery. However, this opinion is from the surface conditions of the rock and may not be true at depth. At least 10 feet of this symple rock has to the removes.
- 7. Approximately 30 percent of the borrow material for the dam will come from the Lloyd soll in the emergency spillway. Of this material the most suitable for construction is the thirt red clay that is closest to the surface. The next most suitable is the yell where slittlelve the clay. The poorest construction material in the area is the to work the material below the silt. This is to go only on the downstream slopes.
- The Loyd soll in the borrow area should be only down through the red silt horizon.
  If a train mice material is to be discouraged unless it has to be removed as in the management spillway. The appling soil of the borrow area is fairly good construction.
  If the a low clay content. But this clay may be enough to the the same of a fairly down through the red silt horizon.
  If the a low clay content. But this clay may be enough to the the same of a fairly down through the red silt horizon.
  If the a low clay content. But this clay may be enough to the the same of a fairly down through the red silt horizon.
  If the same of the borrow area is fairly good construction.
  If the same of the borrow area is fairly and sandy. Compared to the other is the borrow area. It is silty and sandy. Compared to the other is the torrow area fair construction material.

VA 485-G 1045

STABILITY DATA

APPENDIX V

Minima common as         Minima common as         UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT         Memorandum         To       : R. C. Barnes, State Conservation       DATE: October 1         Engineer, SCS, Nichmond, Virginia 23240         FROM       : Rey S. Decker, Head, Soil Mech. tics Laboratory, SCS, Lincoln, Nebrasha 685         SUBJECT:       Virginia WP-08, Leatherwood Crick, Site No. 6         ATTMOBLINES         1. Form SCS-354, Soil Mechanics Laboratory Data, 3 sheets.         2. Form SCS-355, Triaxial Shar To t Deta, 3 sheets.         3. Form SCS-452, Compaction and Personation Resistance Report,	(-)
Martine Hommon         UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT         Macmorandum         To       R. C. Barnes, State Conservation       DATE: October 1         Engineer, SCS, Richmond, Virginia 23240         FROM       Rey S. Decker, Head, Soil Mech. tics Laboratory, SCS, Lincoln, Nebrasha 685         SUBJECT:       Virginia WP-08, Leatherwood Cruck, Site No. 6         ATMOBLUMS         1.       Form SCS-354, Soil Mechanics Laboratory Data, 3 sheets.         2.       Form SCS-355, Triaxial Shuar Tex Data, 3 sheets.         3.       Form SCS-552, Compaction and Pensaration Resistance Report,	(**** **** <b>;</b> 20, 1963
bits       bits         UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT       Memorandum         To       R. C. Barnes, State Conservation       DATE: October 1         Engineer, SCS, Richmond, Virginia 23240         FROM       Rey S. Decker, Head, Soil Mech. cles Laboratory, SCS, Lincoln, Nebrasha 685         SUBJECT:       Virginia WP-08, Leatherwood Crick, Site No. 6         ATMORNANS         1.       Form SCS-354, Soil Mechanics Laboratory Data, 3 sheets.         2.       Form SCS-355, Triaxial Shuar Te t Deta, 3 sheets.         3.       Form SCS-355, Compaction una Pelsuration Resistance Report, Data Pelsuration Resistance Report,	(*** *** <b>a</b> 20, 1963
Minimum No. B         UNITED STATES GOVFRNMENT         Memorandum         TO       R. C. Barnes, State Conservation       DATE: October 1         TO       R. C. Barnes, State Conservation       DATE: October 1         FROM       Rey S. Decker, Head, Soil Mech. clas Laboratory, SCS, Lincoln, Nebrasha 6857       SUBJECT: Virginia WP-08, Leatherwood Cruck, Site No. 6         MEMORY       ACTION SCS-354, Soil Mechanico Laboratory Data, 3 sheets.         2. Form SCS-355, Triaxial Shear Te t Data, 3 sheets.         3. Form SCS-552, Compaction on a Personation Resistance Report,	20, 1963
Memorandum         TO       R. C. Barnes, State Conservation       DATE: October 2 Engineer, SCS, Richmond, Virginia 23240         FROM       Rey S. Decker, Head, Soil Mech. tics Laboratory, SCS, Lincoln, Nebraska 685         SUBJECT:       Virginia WP-08, Leatherwood Crick, Site No. 6         MIMORALINE         1.       Form SCS-354, Soil Mechanico Laboratory Data, 3 sheets.         2.       Form SCS-355, Triaxial Shear To t Data, 3 sheets.         3.       Form SCS-352, Compaction and Personation Resistance Report,	20, 1963
<ul> <li>TO : R. C. Barnes, State Conservation DATE: October &amp; Engineer, SCS, Richmond, Virginia 23240</li> <li>FROM : Rey S. Decker, Head, Soil Mech. tics Laboratory, SCS, Lincoln, Nebraska 685</li> <li>SUBJECT: Virginia WP-08, Leatherwood Crick, Site No. 6</li> <li>Wirginia WP-08, Leatherwood Crick, Site No. 6</li> <li>I. Form SCS-354, Soil Mechanico Laboratory Data, 3 sheets.</li> <li>2. Form SCS-355, Triaxial Shour Te t Data, 3 sheets.</li> <li>3. Form SCS-352, Compaction and Pelestration Resistance Report,</li> </ul>	20, 1963
<ul> <li>FROM : Rey S. Decker, Head, Soil Mech. dies Laboratory, SCS, Lincoln, Nebraska 6851</li> <li>SUBJECT: Virginia WP-08, Leatherwood Cruck, Site No. 6</li> <li><u>ATTMOREDNES</u> <ol> <li>Form SCS-354, Soil Mechanico Laboratory Data, 3 sheets.</li> <li>Form SCS-355, Triaxial Shear Te v Data, 3 sheets.</li> <li>Form SCS-352, Compaction and Pelescration Resistance Report,</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>SUPJECT: Virginia WP-08, Leatherwood Cruck, Site No. 6</li> <li><u>ADMODELINDS</u></li> <li>1. Form SCS-354, Soil Mechanics Laboratory Data, 3 sheets.</li> <li>2. Form SCS-355, Triaxial Shour Te t Data, 3 sheets.</li> <li>3. Form SCS-352, Compaction and Peaks ration Resistance Report,</li> </ul>	
ATTACHLINTS 1. Form SCS-354, Soil Mechanics Laboratory Data, 3 sheets. 2. Form SCS-355, Triaxial Shurr Tell Deta, 3 sheets. 3. Form SCS-352, Compaction Laboration Resistance Report,	
<ol> <li>Form SCS-354, Soil Mechanics Laboratory Data, 3 sheets.</li> <li>Form SCS-355, Triaxial Shar Tell Data, 3 sheets.</li> <li>Form SCS-352, Compaction and Peakstration Resistance Report,</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>Form SCS-353, Filter Altable1, 1 Sheet.</li> <li>Form SCS-357, Summary - Slope Solidity Analysis, 1 sheet.</li> <li>Investigational Plans and Profiles.</li> </ol>	6 sheets.
ECHANICATION	
A. <u>Outprint stion</u> : The classical of the standards multiling beau Processing consists of three general zones. The surface con- is generally less that fore to be consists of a low de (< 70 prof.), high right limbers. The zone from about a to 7-fort depth consists of a low top plastic ML that has there iendly of about 70 prof. The third zone consists of files or leatherlar samp some with muterials ranging from f grained 2M's to SM. The thickness of this samp zone is va- but is generally in the runge of 5 feet.	ik in the , which ty le 2-foot in in- f a strati- ing- riable
The abutaence are characticles primerily an ML. Bedrock was constructed at the investigational depute.	aot en <del>-</del>
The bedrock in the valley is variable as described in the greport.	ology
B. <u>Dencity</u> : The surface few feet of the valley alluvium has a density of 69 p.c.f. From about 2 to 7 feet, the low plast ML material has a density of from 71.3 to 78 p.c.f. This z described as soft. The sandy stratum is relatively dense. in this zone showed an in-place density of 118 p.c.f.	low icity one is A test

1

• •

- -,

. . . .

٠. -

2 -- R. C. Earnes -- 10/10/63 Rey S. Decker Subj: Virginia WP-08, Leatherwood Creek, Site No. 6

C. <u>Strength</u>: Based on density, it may be assumed that the strength of the stratified, sandy zone will be adequate for the size of structure planned.

The available information on the coft ML zone overlying the stratified cands indicates that this material has low shear strength. The moisture content of this material is in the range of 50 percent; therefore, saturation may be assumed. Pocket penetrometer readings as low as 0.1 t.s.f. were obtained and were generally in the range of 0.1 to 0.3 t.s.f. The pocket penetrometer is calibrated to read compressive strength in tons/ft.<sup>2</sup>. Shear strength equals one-half the compressive strength; therefore, the indicated shear strength based on the pocket penetrometer readings would range from c = 100 p.s.f. to c = 300 p.s.f.

As an additional check on this nuterial, a Harvard miniature compaction test was made on classification Sample 64W718 to provide a tasis for evaluating the consistency of the soft CL zone.

Compaction with the Harvard miniature device, which approximates Standard Proctor effort, produced a density of 96 p.c.f. This would indicate an in-place density about equivalent to 80 percent of Standard Proctor, which would tend to confirm the low strength indicated by the pocket penetrometer.

The transition of the material in the coft zone plus the fact that it is universain by a more pervises material indicates that consolidation may be expected auring the construction, in which case the present in-place strength would probably represent a conservative as its value. We do not have any basis for estimating the consolidated about the without unlisturbed camples for test, we suggest a configvalue of  $\psi = 0$ , c = 200 p.s.f. for this soft ML zone.

# EGALLOTELT

(

-----

- A. <u>Chardification</u>: borrow complet submitted are classed as MH, ML, SC and EM. The occurrence of these materials appears to be normal for micaceous coils in that the more plastic, finer grained materials occur in the surface zones.
- B. <u>Contacted Density</u>: Standard Proctor compaction tests were made on all of the borrow samples submitted. The samples were submitted in moisture-proof bags and the first point on the Proctor curve reprecents the moisture content of the samples as received. The compacted density of the MH and ML material from the emergency spillway is low. The densities obtained were 77.0 p.c.f. for both materials.

المحاجب والمحاج الحاجج المراجع المتعاد والمحاج ومحمد والمحص ومسامحه

3 -- R. C. Barnes -- 10/10/63 Rey S. Decker Subj: Virginia WP-08, Leatherwood Creek, Site No. 6

The compacted density of the EM, ML and SC materials from the borrow area appear to be normal for this type of material. The compacted density of the borrow samples ranged from 96.5 p.c.f. to 103 p.c.f. The importance of submitting materials of this nature at natural moisture content is shown by the following comparison of compacted density for the low density spillway samples.

Sample No.	Class	IT	PI	Compac Test St from No Moist Conte	etion Arted Atural Sure Ent	Compace Test A <u>Air Dr</u> Water A with S Gun and Maie Inme	tion After <u>wing</u> Added Spray Test diately	Compa Test <u>Air</u> D Water and the D Cured 3 Before	ction After r <u>ying</u> Added Mixture Days Tect
	ļ			7 <u>.</u> (7.c.r.)		7d (p.c.f.)	l <sup>₩</sup> o	$\left  \begin{array}{c} \gamma_{\hat{d}} \\ (p.c.f.) \end{array} \right $	۳o
647776 647727	MII MI	75 Non-p	37 Lastie	77 77	37.C 37.5	€3.5 ©4.0	34.0 29.0	₹ <b>-</b> -0 €4.0	34.0

Yet will note that both the complete density and the optimum moleture content are significantly affected by arging prior to the contection test. The low density but shal from the spillway (dumples 6-MYL) and 0-M/L7) are provedly affected more by argunt than the higher density materials from the borrow argun. In the past we have observed density affected in the range of y plot, between tests made on air arts and tests made from natural maintary content which would appear to be a more reaponable range for the formow area samples.

C. <u>Description Structure</u> Arlaxic: chear tests were made on Samples 6-W/L6 (M.), 0-W/L6 (ML) and 64W/20 (21) to represent the range in borrow materials cumulture. The tests were made at 95 percent of Standard Process density at saturation. The shear test values obtained are summarized as Follows:

Sample No.	Class	lest γ <sub>d</sub> (p.c.f.)	🕫 Standard	Ø (Degrees)	e (p.c.:.)
64.W726 64.W726 64.W726	MH ML SC 11	72.4 71.1 96.1	94.0 92.4 94.2	15.5 · 28.0 28.5	525 200 500

1000

-----

4 -- R. C. Barnes -- 10/10/63 Rey S. Decker Subj: Virginia WP-08, Leatherwood Creek, Site No. 6

The test values are considered representative and are satisfactory design values for the embankment materials.

## SLOPE STABILITY

- ----

The stability of the proposed embandment was checked for two conditions. One analysis considered the embandment alone with a fully developed phreatic line. For this condition the analysis was made on a 2 1/2:1 downstream slope without drainage. The factor of safety obtained for a homogeneous fill of the lowest strength materials tested (64W727) was 1.43. The upstream slope proposed is 2 1/2:1 over 3:1 with a 10-foot berz. The factor of safety for the upstream slope under full drawdown would be slightly higher than that shown for the downstream slope.

The other analysis considered  $\mathcal{C}$  feet of foundation material with in-place shear strength of  $\mathcal{O} = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{c} = 200$  p.s.f. A moist embankment was assumed. The conditions of the analysis would represent a situation where no foundation consolidation occurred during the construction of the fill. The maturated shear strength values obtained on the triaxial tests were used for the embandment. The factor of ordery situated for these conditions were  $F_{0} = 1.22$  for the proposed upstream clope (2 1/2:1 over 5:1) and  $F_{0} = 1.07$  for the 2 1/2:1 downstream clope.

It must be emphasized that this analysis is not conclusive since it is noted on an average strength of e = 200 plant, derived from postet penetruster readings. The strength indicates by planet penetrum ter realingle varies from about e = 200 plant, to about e = 300 plant.

## REFERENCE IN

- A. <u>Lit Proposition</u> because for a covertainties regarding the strength of the cort ML mae, the following not crutives are suggested: (.) Hemoval of all or part of the low density material from the is matched. It may not be negligible to remove the entire ML mass to remove the lower strength material because it appears that this more is variable. (b) Estermine the snear strength of the ML mass from unilstanced samples. (3) Or provide additional berming beth upstream and downstream.
- B. <u>Cutoff Trench</u>: The cutoff trench should bottom on bedrock through the floodylain section. A minimum trench depth of 5 feet is suggested for the abutments.

5 -- R. C. Kirnes -- 10/10/63 Rey S. Decker Subj: Virginia WP-08, Leatherwood Creek, Site No. 6

The trench should be backfilled with ML or SC material like Sample 64W729 and 64W730. The backfill chould be compacted to a minimum of 95% of Standard Proctor density.

C. <u>Frincipal Spillway</u>: The alternate principal spillway in the vicinity of  $\xi$  Station 2475 is a better location from a foundation standpoint than the abandoned location at  $\xi$  Station 3450.

At the  $\pounds$  Station 2+75 location the conduit will be bedded in bedrock throughout most of its length. At the proposed grade the upper end and the lower end will not be on bedrock. It may be possible, however, to skew the conduit or shift it more to the left and obtain better foundation conditions in the vicinity of the riser.

D. Derivate: The bedrock is variable and in some zones it is logged as weathered and we anticipate that some reepage may be expected to hypate the substitution in the fituaphain section as well as in the abutment: where the substitute has does not bottom in bedrock. We suggest a fituation from the provide a care outlet for foundation seepage and substitutions to control the provide a care within the embankment.

The article of the locate , at about c/c = 0.6 and extend up the matrix for the model public vel. A trench depth of about 6.0 feet is a treached for the floody will and a minimum trench depth of 5 feet is currented for the abutments.

A the le fliver with a gradation between the limits shown on the assauces from COP-503 will provide protection against piping for the range of materials represented by the samples submitted.

L. Endatement Dellerit

ć

1. <u>Deletion of Material</u>. We recommend selective placement during construction to place the MR, ML and SC material in the center and upstream sections and the non-plastic SM in the downstream section. The EM has allequite shear strength and may be used anywhere in the fill; however, placement in the downstream section would facilitate control of the phreatic line within the embankment.

All materials should be placed at a minimum of 95% of Standard Prostor density with the moisture content controlled slightly on the wet side of optimum. U -- R. C. Marnee -- 10/10/63 Rey S. Decker Subj: Virginia WP-08, Leacherwood Creek, Site No. 6

- 2. <u>Slopes</u>. The following alternate slope designs are suggested:
  - a. If the low strength zones of ML material in the foundation are removed. The proposed 2 1/2:1 over 3:1 upstream slope with a 10-feet bern and the 2 1/2:1 downstream slope have satisfactory factors of safety and are recommended.
  - b. If the low strength zones of ML are not removed from the foundation we suggest that the berm width be increased to 15 feet on the upstream slope and that a 15-foot berm be added to the downstream slope at about elevation 711.
- 3. <u>Settlement</u>. An overfill allowance of 1.5 feet over the floodplain section is suggested to expensate for residual consolidation in the fill and foundation.

• • • • · ·

Frequired by:

Lorn F. Durnigan

Hewlewea and Approved type

Rental B. Britanie

Attended to be

----

ert - R. M. Barnet (\* 1. M. Ength, Opter Durby, 1... 3. W. Grott, Upper Durby, E...

U. S. DEPARIMENT OF AGRICULTURE SOLL CONSERVATION SERVICE FORM 505 357 10-58 SOIL MECHANICS LADORATORY SUIDARY - SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS Project \_\_\_\_\_\_ C State\_ \_ Checked by\_ \_ Analysis Made By. Date \_\_\_ ., Method of Analysis -Location 1, • .' 1 of 2... Material Sample No. . - '. and the states ... Carry-19.5 r .... 3 d 12 1 **7** m -• • • 7 110 3.5 ..... ---. . , **.** . ,-30 1 .... . Condition Opt. Sat. Opt. Sat. 0:1. Sat. Opt. Sat. Opt. Sat. φ , 7 ° 22 Tan Ø . 1.1. ĸ С 5 HUTFEAM SLOFE Sigpe Trial Conditions. 11 . , 1 CLAN TREAM FE RE F(t)Scholt Ser . . 1 • , , . ٠. . .

woll for slope stubility analyses and the results of the analyses. " w right of the embandment on which the analyses have been made. . • ----1 ς. To be used to a The right right

.

ŧ

(

(

1

,

į



## APPENDIX VI - REFERENCES

- 1. Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dans, Department of Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, 46 pp.
- 2. Design of Small Dams, U. S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, 1974, 816 pp.
- Geology of the Snow Creek, Martinsville East, Price and Spray Quadrangles, Virginia by J. F. Conley and W. S. Henika, Virginia Division of Mineral Resources Reports of Investigations 33, 71 pp.
- HEC-1 Dam Break Version, Flood Hydrograph Package, Users Manual for Dam Safety Investigations, the Hydrologic Engineering Center, U. S. Anny Corps of Engineers, Setpember, 1978.
- Hydrometerological Report No. 33, U. S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau, U. S. Department of Army, Corps of Engineers, Washington, D. C., April, 1956.
- C. Technical Paper No. 40, U. S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bareau, Washington, D. C., May, 1961.

