



J	L	VIELA TAD
777	8125	University of Missouri-Columbia
and the second se	AD A I ()	Multivariate Harmonic New Better Than Used in Expectation Distributions
		A.P. Basu and Nader Ebrahimi University of Missouri-Columbia
		Technical Report No. 110 Department of Statistics August 1981
		Mathematical DEC 4 1981
	UTE FILE COPY	Sciences
	×	This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

F

「「「「「「「「」」」」」」」

REPORT DOCUMENTATION P	AGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMULR
110	ADA/OX/	25
4. TITLE (and Subilites		5 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVI HE
Multivariate Harmonic New Better T in Expectation Distributions	Than Used	Technical Report
		6. PERFORMING ORG. HEPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(a)		8. CONTRACT OR GHANT NUMBER(+)
A. P. Basu Nader Ebrahimi		-78- N00014-C-0655
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics University of Missouri Columbia, MO. 65211		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASP AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy		August 1981
Arlington, VA. 14 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & AUDRESS(11 dilloront)	from Controlling Office)	16 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		Unclassified 15. Declassification/Downgrading SCHEDULE
		SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in	Block 20, 11 different fre	m Report)
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and		
Multivariate distribution, Multiva Distribution with aging property, used in expectation.		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on revorce olde if necessary and if Various definitions of multiva expectation (MHNBUE) life distribut ship is studied. These are multiva available univariate class of dist are given to illustrate these conc distributions are proved.	riate harmonic tions are intro ariate generali ributions with	new better than used in duced and their interrelation zations of the largest aging properties. Examples
	··· • • ••	
DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 15 OBSOLE S/N 0102-014-6601 1	TE)

1

a a substant

'Multivariate Harmonic New Better Than Used in Expectation distributions*

By A. P. Basu and Nader Ebrahimi University of Missouri, Columbia

fittes on file

This research was supported by the NSF Grant IV7 8009463 and ONR Grant N00014-78-C-0655. Multivariate Harmonic New Better Than Used in Expectation distributions

By A. P. Basu and Nader Ebrahimi University of Missouri, Columbia

ABSTRACT

Various definitions of multivariate harmonic new better than used in expectation (MHNBUE) life distributions are introduced and their interrelationship is studied. These are multivariate generalizations of the largest available univariate class of distributions with aging properties. Examples are given to illustrate these concepts. Various closure properties of MHNBUE distributions are proved.

Key Words and Phrases: Multivariate distribution, Multivariate aging, Reliability, Distribution with aging property.

This research was supported by the NSF Grant IN7_8009463 and ONR Grant N00014-78-C-0655. Multivariate Harmonic New Better Than Used in Expectation distributions

By A. P. Basu and Nader Ebrahimi University of Missouri, Columbia

 <u>Introduction</u>. In reliability theory various concepts of (univariate) aging or wearout have been proposed to study lifetimes of systems and components. The five most commonly studied class of distributions are the following:

1) The increasing failure rate class (IFR); 2) the increasing failure rate average class (IFRA); 3) the new better than used class (NBU); 4) decreasing mean residual class (DMRL); and 5) the new better than used in expectation (NBUE) class. For a description of some of these classes see Barlow and Proschan (1975). Recently Rolski (1975) proposed a new class of distributions called the harmonic new better than used in expectation (HNBUE) class which will be defined later. Each of the above six classes have their dual with standard nomenclature. The dual of HNBUE class is said to be harmonic new worse than used in expectation (HNWUE). Klefsjö (1980) has studied the properties of HNBUE (HNWUE) classes of distributions. He has proven several closure theorems for this class and the following chain of implication exists among the six classes of distributions.

*This research was supported by the NSF Grant INT 8009463 and ONR Grant N00014-78-C-0655.



Thus HNBUE is the largest available class of distributions with aging property.

Recently attention has been directed towards extending the concepts of univariate aging to the multivariate case, (see for example Harris (1970), Basu (1971), and Brindly and Thompson (1972)), and using those multivariate concepts to define corresponding multivariate class of distributions. Buchanan and Singpurwalla (1977), Block and Savits (1980), and Ghosh and Ebrahimi (1980) have considered the cases for multivariate IFR, IFRA, NBU, DMRL, and NBUE distributions. The purpose of this note is to propose multivariate versions of HNBUE distributions (MHNBUE). It is shown that these are the largest available classes, and include the class of MHNBUE proposed by Klefsjö (1980) for the bivariate case.

In section 2 of this paper, we have introduced the various definitions of the MHNBUE involving a certain hierarchy, and have described their physical implications. Our definitions of the MHNBUE are different from Klefsjö's definitions of MHNBUE. We have compared our definitions of MHNBUE with Klefsjö definitions of MHNBUE. We have also examined in this section how several important classes of life distributions satisfy one or the other definition of MUNBUE.

The dual of the HNBUE is HNWUE. In section 2, multivariate HNWUE (MHNWUE) definitions are also given parallel to those for the MHNBUE.

Various closure properties of MHNBUE distributions under different definitions are studied in section 3. It is known that in the univariate case NBUE class is included in the HNBUE class. It is examined in section 4 how far the MHNBUE distributions as introduced by Buchanan and Singpurwalla (1977) and discussed in more detail by Ghosh and Ebrahimi (1980) lead to one or the other MHNBUE definition as introduced in section 2.

2. <u>MHNBUE:</u> definitions and example. Let X_1, \ldots, X_p denote the survival (failure) times of p devices having a joint distribution function $H_p(x_1, \ldots, x_p)$. The joint survival function of these p devices is denoted by $\overline{H}_p(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = P(X_1 > x_1, \ldots, X_p > x_p)$. It is assumed that $\overline{H}_p(0, \ldots, 0) = 1$. In the univariate case, a non-negative random variable X_1 is said to have a HNBUE (HNWUE) distribution if

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} \overline{H}_1(x) dx \leq (\geq) \mu \exp\left(-t_1/\mu\right) \text{ for all } t_1 \geq 0, \qquad (2.1)$$

where it is assumed that $\int_0^\infty \overline{H}_1(x) dx = \mu < \infty$.

With the alternate representation of (2.1)

$$\frac{1}{\frac{1}{t_1}\int_0^{t_1} e_{H_1}(x)^{-1}dx} \leq (\geq) \mu \text{ for all } t_1 \geq 0$$
 (2.2)

where
$$e_{H_1}(x) = \frac{\int_x^{\infty} \overline{H_1}(y) dy}{\overline{H_1}(x)}$$
, it is easy to see that the condition

is equivalent to saying that the integral harmonic mean value of the mean residual life of a unit at age x is less (greater) than or equal to the integral harmonic mean value of a new unit.

<u>Definitions</u>. Our definitions of MHNBUE are the natural multivariate extension of (2.2). Suppose for simplicity that $\overline{H}_p(x_1,...,x_p) > 0$ and let

$$e_{H_{p}}(x_{1},...,x_{p}) = \frac{\int_{x_{1}}^{\infty} ... \int_{x_{p}}^{\infty} \overline{H}_{p}(y_{1},...,y_{p}) dy_{1}... dy_{p}}{\overline{H}_{p}(x_{1},...,x_{p})}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$g_{H_{p}}(x,...,x) = \frac{\int_{x}^{\infty} \overline{H}_{p}(y,...,y) dy}{\overline{H}_{p}(x,...,x)} . \qquad (2.4)$$

H_p is said to be

(i) MHNBUE-I (MHNWUE-I) if

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p} t_{i}}{\int_{0}^{t_{1}} \dots \int_{0}^{t_{p}} e_{H_{p}}^{-1}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{p}) dx_{1}, dx_{2}, \dots, dx_{p}} \leq (\geq) e_{H_{p}}^{(0, \dots, 0)}$$
(2.5)

for all $t_i \ge 0$ ($1 \le i \le p$), and similar inequalities are assumed to hold for all subsets of random variables.

(ii) MHNBUE-II (MHNWUE-II) if

$$\frac{t^{p}}{\int_{0}^{t} \dots \int_{0}^{t} e_{H_{p}}^{-1}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{p}) dx_{1} \dots dx_{p}} \leq (\geq) e_{H_{p}}(0, \dots, 0)$$
(2.6)

for all $t \ge 0$, and similar inequalities hold for all subsets of random variables.

(iii) MHNBUE-III (MHNWUE-III) if

$$\frac{t}{\int_{0}^{t} e_{H_{p}}^{-1}(x,...,x) dx} \leq (\underline{>}) e_{H_{p}}^{-1}(0,...,0)$$
(2.7)

for all $t \ge 0$, and similar inequalities hold for all subsets of random variables.

(iv) MHNBUE-IV (MHNWUE-IV) if

$$\frac{t}{\int_{0}^{t} g_{H_{p}}^{-1}(x,...,x) dx} \leq {}^{g} H_{p}(0,...,0)$$
(2.8)

for all $t \ge 0$, and similar inequalities hold for all subsets of random variables.

Next we give the physical interpretation of these four definitions. First note that

$$e_{H_p}(x_1,...,x_p) = \int_{x_1}^{\infty} ... \int_{x_p}^{\infty} \frac{p}{i=1} (y_i - x_i) dH(y_1,...,y_p),$$
 (2.9)

for proof see Ghosh and Ebrahimi (1980). Now using (2.9) it follows

that (2.5) is equivalent to the statement that the integral harmonic mean value of the conditional mean residual product lifetime of the components of a unit with the components surviving ages x_1, \ldots, x_p respectively is less (greater) than or equal to the integral harmonic mean value of the mean product lifetime of the components of a new unit. Similar interpretation holds for (2.6). The definition (2.7) is equivalent to the statement that the integral harmonic mean value of the conditional mean residual product lifetime of the components of a unit when all the components have survived a certain time x is less (greater) than or equal to the harmonic mean value of the mean product lifetime of the components of a new unit. Finally, definition (2.8) is equivalent to the statement that a multivariate distribution is HNBUE (HNWUE) if the minimum of the components has a univariate HNBUE distribution.

It is trivial to check that MHNBUE-I \implies MHNBUE-II. However, the following examples show that

MHNBUE-I \Rightarrow MHNBUE-IV (so that MHNBUE-II \Rightarrow MHNBUE-IV). Example 1. Let X₁ and X₂ be iid with common survival function

 $\overline{F}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le x < 3 \\ 1/4 & \text{if } 3 \le x < 7 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \ge 7 \end{cases}$

Then by using (p_2) of section 3, (X_1, X_2) is MHNBUE-I. But min (X_1, X_2) is not HNBUE, i.e., (X_1, X_2) is not MHNBUE-IV.

The next example shows that MHNBUE-I \rightarrow MHNBUE-III (so that MHNBUE-II \rightarrow MHNBUE-IV).

Example 2. Let X_1 and X_2 be independent with survival functions

$$\overline{F}_{X_{1}}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le x < 3\\ 1/4 & \text{if } 3 \le x < 7 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \ge 7 \end{cases} \text{ and } \overline{F}_{X_{2}}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le x < 1\\ 1/2 & \text{if } 1 \le x < 5.\\ 0 & \text{if } x \ge 5 \end{cases}$$

respectively. By using (P_2) of section 3, (X_1, X_2) is MHNBVE-I. But (X_1, X_2) is not MHNBUE-III.

Finally, the following example shows that MHNBUE-IV # MHNBUE-III.

Example 3. Let X_1 , X_2 denote the survival times of 2 devices having a joint survival distribution function

$$\overline{H}_{2}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \exp(-\max(\lambda_{3}x_{1}, \lambda_{4}x_{2})),$$

where λ_3 , $\lambda_4 > 0$. Then, (X_1, X_2) is MHNBUE-IV. But (X_1, X_2) is not MHNBUE-III.

<u>Remark 1</u>. Example 1 shows that MHNBUE-III $\neq \Rightarrow$ MHNBUE-IV.

Klefsjö's (1980) definitions of the MHNBUE are the natural multivariate extension of (2.1).

We first introduce the following definitions of MHNBUE as given in Klefesjö (1980). A bivariate distribution function H_2 is said to be MHNBUE if

A. $\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{H}_{2}(x_{1} + t_{1}, x_{2} + t_{2}) dt_{1} dt_{2} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{G}(x_{1} + t_{1}, x_{2} + t_{2}) dt_{1} dt_{2}$
for $x_{1}, x_{2} \geq 0$;

B.
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{H}_{2}(x_{1} + t, x_{2} + t) dt \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{G}(x_{1} + t, x_{2} + t) dt$$

for $x_{1}, x_{2} \geq 0$;
C.
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{H}_{2}(x + t_{1}, x + t_{2}) dt_{1} dt_{2} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{G}(x + t_{1}, x + t_{2}) dt_{1} dt_{2}$$

for $x \geq 0$;

D.
$$\int_0^{\infty} \widetilde{H}_2(x + t, x + t) dt \leq \int_0^{\infty} \widetilde{G}(x + t, x + t) dt$$

for all $x \ge 0$.

In all cases $\overline{G}(t_1, t_2)$ is the bivariate exponential distribution proposed by Marshall and Olkin (1967) where

$$\overline{G}(t_1, t_2) = \exp(-\lambda_1 t_1 - \lambda_2 t_2 - \lambda_{12} \max(t_1, t_2)) \text{ for } t_1, t_2 \ge 0,$$

and

$$\lambda_{1} = \frac{\mu_{1} + \mu_{2}}{\mu_{12}} - \frac{1}{\mu_{1}}, \quad \lambda_{2} = \frac{\mu_{1} + \mu_{2}}{\mu_{12}} - \frac{1}{\mu_{2}}, \quad \lambda_{12} = \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}} + \frac{1}{\mu_{2}}\right) \frac{\mu_{12} - \mu_{1}\mu_{2}}{\mu_{12}},$$

$$\mu_{1} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{H}_{2}(t_{1}, 0) dt_{1}, \quad \mu_{2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{H}_{2}(0, t_{2}) dt_{2}, \text{ and } \mu_{12} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{H}(t_{1}, t_{2}) dt_{1} dt_{2}.$$

<u>Remark 2</u>. The Klefsjö's definitions of MHNBUE are restricted to the class of life distributions for which the following conditions hold among μ_1 , μ_2 , and μ_{12} :

(i)
$$\frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{\mu_{12}} - \frac{1}{\mu_1} \ge 0$$
, (ii) $\frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{\mu_{12}} - \frac{1}{\mu_2} \ge 0$, and
(iii) $\left(\frac{1}{\mu_1} + \frac{1}{\mu_2}\right) \left(\frac{\mu_{12} - \mu_1 \mu_2}{\mu_{12}}\right) \ge 0.$ (2.10)

q

The following example shows that Klefsjö's definitions of MHNBUE does not imply our definitions of MHNBUE.

Example 4. Let X_1 , X_2 be iid and X_1 , X_2 be HNBUE. Choose μ_1 , μ_2 , and μ_{12} which does not satisfy one of the conditions in (2.10). Then (X_1, X_2) satisfies our definitions, but it does not satisfy Klefsjö's definition of MHNBUE.

3. <u>MHNBUE closure properties</u>. In this section, we prove certain closure properties of MHNBUE distribution. Let β_1 , β_2 , β_3 , and β_4 denote the classes of life distributions satisfying the definitions (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) respectively of MHNBUE. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.

(P1) If $(T_1, \ldots, T_m) \epsilon \beta_j$, any subset of $(T_1, \ldots, T_m) \epsilon \beta_j$ $(1 \le j \le 4)$; (P2) If $(T_1, \ldots, T_m) \epsilon \beta_j$, $(T_1', \ldots, T_n') \epsilon \beta_j$ and are independent, then $(T_1, \ldots, T_m, T_1', \ldots, T_n') \epsilon \beta_j$ $(1 \le j \le 2)$. If $(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \epsilon \beta_3$, $(T_1', \ldots, T_n') \epsilon \beta_3$ are independent with identical distribution, then $(T_1, \ldots, T_n, T_1, \ldots, T_n') \epsilon \beta_3$. (P3) If $(T_1, \ldots, T_m) \epsilon \beta_j$, then $(c_1 T_1, \ldots, c_m T_m) \epsilon \beta_j$ $(1 \le j \le 2)$ for all $c_i > 0$ $(1 \le i \le m)$. If $(T_1, \ldots, T_m) \epsilon \beta_j$, then $(cT_1, \ldots, cT_m) \epsilon \beta_j$ $(2 \le j \le 4)$ for all c > 0.

- **1** 7

Proof.

(P1): This property follows immediately from the definitions. (P2): Let $\underline{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_m)$, an m vector and $\underline{a}' = (a'_1, \ldots, a'_n)$, an n vector. Other vectors can be defined similarly. Let

$$I(\underline{x}) = \int_{\underline{0}}^{\underline{t}} [P(\underline{T} > \underline{x}) / \int_{\underline{x}}^{\underline{\infty}} P(\underline{T} > \underline{y}] d\underline{y}] dx, \text{ where } d\underline{x} = dx_1, \dots, dx_m \text{ and } \underline{x}$$

 \int_{0}^{∞} is a multiple integral with m factors. $I(\underline{x}')$ and $I(\underline{x}, \underline{x}')$ are

defined similarly. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} m & n \\ \Pi & t & \Pi & t' / I(x, x') \\ i=1 & j=1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ I \\ i=1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j=1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j=1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\$$

Similar proof works for β_2 . To prove β_3 , use the Jensen inequality (P 3): If $\underline{T} \in \beta_1$, $c_i > 0$ ($1 \le i \le m$)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i / \int_{\underline{0}}^{\underline{t}} [P(\underline{d} > \underline{x}) / \int_{\underline{0}}^{\underline{\infty}} P(\underline{d} > \underline{y} + \underline{x}) d\underline{y}] d\underline{x}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{m} t_i / \int_{\underline{0}}^{\underline{t}} [P(\underline{T} > \underline{e}) / \int_{\underline{0}}^{\underline{\infty}} P(\underline{T} > \underline{f}) d\underline{y}] d\underline{x}$$

$$\leq \int_{\underline{0}}^{\underline{\infty}} P(\underline{T} > \underline{e}) d\underline{x} = \int_{\underline{0}}^{\underline{\infty}} P(\underline{d} > \underline{x}) d\underline{x}.$$

Here $\underline{d} = (c_1 T_1, \dots, c_m T_m)$, $\underline{y} + \underline{x} = (y_1 + x_1, \dots, y_m + x_m)$, $\underline{e} = (x_1/c_1, \dots, x_m/c_m)$ and $\underline{f} = (y_1/c_1, \dots, y_m/c_m)$. Similar proofs work for β_2 , β_3 , and β_4 .

<u>Remark 3</u>. Since it is known in the univariate case that the HNBUE is not closed under the formation of coherent system (see Klefsjö (1980)), the same cannot be expected for the MHNBUE under any of the four definitions.

<u>Remark 4.</u> Example 1 shows that (P 2) does not hold for β_A .

<u>Remark 5</u>. To prove that MHNBUE is closed under limits in distribution, we need an extra condition to guarantee the application of the dominated convergence theorem.

<u>Theorem 2</u>. Let $\{(T_{1k}, \dots, T_{mk}), k \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of MHNBUE random vectors belonging to β_j for each k. If $(T_{1k}, \dots, T_{mk}) \longrightarrow (T_1, \dots, T_m)$ st weakly as $k \longrightarrow \infty$ and $(T_{1k}, \dots, T_{mk}) \le (S_1, \dots, S_m)$ for all $k \ge k_0$ where $E(\prod_{i=1}^{m} S_i) < \infty$, then $(T_1, \dots, T_m) \in \beta_j$ for each j.

<u>Proof.</u> Use the dominated convergence theorem and the appropriate definition of the MHNBUE.

It is known in the univariate case that HNBUE is closed under convolution. We have not been able to prove the same result for the multivariate case. Instead, we have proved the following theorem which is a special type of convolution.

<u>Theorem 3.</u> Let (a) $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $\underline{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ be componentwise independent; (b) \underline{X} and \underline{Y} are independent; and (c) \underline{X} and $\underline{Y} \in \beta_j$ for j = 1, 2. Then $W = \underline{X} + \underline{Y} \in \beta_j$ for j = 1, 2.

<u>Proof.</u> Use (P 2) and the fact that $X_i + Y_i$'s are HNBUE.

Remark 5. In Theorem 3 if X and Y have the same distribution, then $W = X + Y \epsilon \beta_3$.

4. Relationship between MNBUE and MHNBUE.

In the univariate case, a life distribution function H_1 is said to satisfy the NBUE property if

$$\int_{t}^{\infty} \overline{H}(x) dx \leq \overline{H}_{1}(t) \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{H}_{1}(x) dx \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

Rolski (1975), and Klefsjö (1980) have shown in the univariate case that NBUE \implies HNBUE, and that the converse implication does not hold. It is of interest to know whether a similar implication holds in the multidimensional case. Buchanan and Singpurwalla (1977) have given several definitions of the MNBUE based on multivariate generalization of the univariate NBUE distributions.

We first introduce the following definitions of MNBUE as given in Ghosh and Ebrahimi (1980). A p-variate distribution function H_p is said to be MNBUE if

A.

 $\int_{\cdots}^{\infty} \int_{\overline{H}_{p}}^{\infty} (x_{1}, \dots, x_{p}) dx_{1}, \dots, dx_{p} \leq \overline{H}_{p} (t_{1}, \dots, t_{p}) \int_{\cdots}^{\infty} \int_{\overline{H}_{p}}^{\infty} (x_{1}, \dots, x_{p}) dx_{1}, \dots, dx_{p}$

for all $t \ge 0$ ($1 \le i \le p$), and similar inequalities are assumed to hold for all subsets.

$$\int_{t}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{H_p} (x_1, \dots, x_p) dx_1, \dots, dx_p \leq \frac{1}{H_p} (t, \dots, t) \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{M_p} (x_1, \dots, x_p) dx_1, \dots, dx_p$$

for all $t \ge 0$, and similar inequalities are assumed to hold for all subsets.

c.

в.

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{H}}_{p}(\mathbf{x},\ldots,\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \leq \overline{\mathbf{H}}_{p}(\mathbf{t},\ldots,\mathbf{t}) \int_{\mathbf{P}}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}_{p}(\mathbf{x},\ldots,\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

for all $t \ge 0$, and similar inequalities hold for all subsets.

Ghosh and Ebrahimi (1980) have shown that the following implications hold: A \implies B, A $\neq \Rightarrow$ C, and C \implies B.

We first explore the interrelationship of the A - C definitions of MNBUE with MHNBUE-I to IV.

It is trivial to check that $MHNBUE-I \implies MHNBU-I \implies MHNBUE-II$, MNBUE-II \implies MHNBUE-III, and MNBUE-III \implies MHNBUE-IV.

Example 5. (MNBUE-I \implies MHNBUE-IV). Consider once again example 1. Then (X_1, X_2) is MNBUE-I. But (X_1, X_2) is not MHNBUE-IV.

Remark 6. The example 4 shows that MNBUE-II => MNBUE-IV.

<u>Remark 7</u>. Let X_1 and X_2 have bivariate Marshal-Olkin (1967) exponential distribution with survival function

$$\overline{H}_{2}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \exp[-\lambda_{1}x_{1} - \lambda_{2}x_{2} - \lambda_{12} \max(x_{1}, x_{2})]$$

 $x_1 \ge 0, x_2 \ge 0, \lambda_1 \ge 0, \lambda_2 \ge 0, \lambda_{12} > 0$. Then $\overline{H}_2(x_1, x_2)$ is both MHNBUE-IV and MHNWUE-IV, MHNBUE-III, and MHNWUE-III.

13

<u>Remark 8</u>. To see the interrelationship between MHNBUE and other classes of life distribution see Ghosh and Ebrahimi (1980). It is interesting to mention that multivariate IFRA defined by Block and Savits (1980) implies MHNBUE-IV.

The next example shows that MHNBUE class of life distributions is larger than the class of MNBUE life distributions.

<u>Example 6</u>. Let X_1 , X_2 are independent and identically distributed with the following survival distribution function,

$$\overline{F}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq t < 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \leq t < 2 \\ \frac{1}{8} & 2 \leq t < 4 \\ 0 & t \geq 4 \end{cases}$$

Then (X_1, X_2) is MHNBUE-I, MHNBUE-II, MHNBUE-III, and MHNBUE-IV. But (X_1, X_2) is not MNBUE-I or MNBUE-II or MNBUE-III.

The following example shows that the MHNWUE class is also larger than the MNWUE class.

Example 7. Let X_1 , X_2 are iid with the following survival distribution,

$$\overline{F}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \le t < 1 \\ \frac{37}{192} & 1 \le t < 2 \\ \frac{21}{192} & 2 \le t < 3 \\ \frac{5}{192} & 3 \le t < 4 \\ (\frac{1}{4})^k & k \le t < k + 1 \text{ for } k = 4, \dots \end{cases}$$

Then (X_1, X_2) is MHNWUE-I, MHNWUE-II, MHNWUE-III, and MHNWUE-IV. But (X_1, X_2) is not MHWUE-I or MNWUE-II or MNWUE-III.

References

- [1] Barlow, R. E. and Proschan, F. (1975). <u>Statistical Theory of</u> <u>Reliability and Life Testing</u>: <u>Probability Models</u>. Holt, <u>Rinehart</u>, and Winston, Inc., New York.
- Basu, A. P. (1971). Bivariate failure rate. J. Amer. Statist.
 Assoc. 66, 103-104.
- [3] Block, H. W., and Savits, T. (1980). Multivariate increasing failure rate average distributions. Ann. Prob. 8, 793-801.
- [4] Brindley, E. C. Jr. and Thompson, W. A. Jr. (1972). Dependence and aging aspects of multivariate survival. <u>J. Amer. Statist</u>. <u>Assoc</u>. 67, 822-830.
- [5] Buchanan, W. B. and Singpurwalla, N. D. (1977). Some stochastic characterization of multivariate survival. <u>Theory and Applica-</u> <u>tions of Reliability</u>, Vl, (Eds: C. P. Tsokos and I. N. Shimi), 329-348.
- [6] Ghosh, M. and Ebrahimi, N. (1980). Multivariate NBU and NBUE distributions. Submitted for publication.
- [7] Harris, R. (1970). A multivariate definition for increasing hazard rate distributions. <u>Ann. Math. Statist</u>. 41, 713-717.
- [8] Klefsjö, B. (1979). The distribution class harmonic new better than used in expectation--some properties and tests. Research Report 1979-9, Department of Mathematical Statistics, University of Umea.
- [9] Klefsjö, B. (1980). Multivariate harmonic new better than used in expectation. Research Report 1980-9, Department of Mathematical Statistics, University of Umea.

- [10] Marshall, A. W. and Olkin, I. (1967). A multivariate exponential distribution. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 62, 30-44.
- [11] Rolski, T. (1975). Mean residual life. Bulletin of the International Statistical Institute 46, 266-270.

