



**LEVEL**

1.2

**DNA 5351T**

**AD A106031**

**CHARACTERIZATION OF THE RADIATION  
HARD HARRIS/DINS RING LASER GYRO  
PHOTODETECTORS**

Burr C. Passenheim

Mission Research Corporation  
P.O. Box 1209  
La Jolla, California 92038

30 June 1980

Topical Report for Period 28 January 1980—30 June 1980

CONTRACT No. DNA 001-80-C-0140

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;  
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

THIS WORK SPONSORED BY THE DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY  
UNDER RDT&E RMSS CODE B323080464 X99QAXVB20102 H2590D.

**DTIC FILE COPY**

Prepared for  
Director  
DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY  
Washington, D. C. 20305

**DTIC  
ELECTE  
OCT 22 1981  
S D  
A**

81 10 22

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return to sender.

PLEASE NOTIFY THE DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY,  
ATTN: STTI, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20305, IF  
YOUR ADDRESS IS INCORRECT, IF YOU WISH TO  
BE DELETED FROM THE DISTRIBUTION LIST, OR  
IF THE ADDRESSEE IS NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY  
YOUR ORGANIZATION.



UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

<b>12 REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE</b>		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER <b>DNA 5351T</b>	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. <b>AD-A106 0817</b>	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) <b>CHARACTERIZATION OF THE RADIATION HARD HARRIS/DINS RING LASER GYRO PHOTODETECTORS.</b>		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED <b>Topical Report for period 28 Jan 80 - 30 Jun 80</b>	
AUTHOR(S) <b>Burr C. Passenheim</b>		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER <b>MRC/SD-R-57</b>	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS <b>Mission Research Corporation P.O. Box 1209 La Jolla, California 92038</b>		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(S) <b>DNA 001-80-C-0140</b>	
10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS <b>Subtask X99QAXVB201-02</b>		11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS <b>Director Defense Nuclear Agency Washington, D.C. 20305</b>	
12. REPORT DATE <b>30 June 1980</b>		13. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>42</b>	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) <b>1544</b>		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) <b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>	
15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE <b>N/A</b>		16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.</b>	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <b>This work sponsored by the Defense Nuclear Agency under RDT&amp;E RMSS Code B323080464 X99QAXVB20102 H2590D.</b>			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) <b>Optical photocurrents                      Antireflection coating Radiation photocurrents                  Dielectric isolation Photodiodes                                   Ring laser gyro Photodetectors                                Dormant inertial navigation system Responsivity</b>			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) <b>Specially designed radiation hard dielectrically isolated photodiodes constructed specifically for a HeNe (632.8 nm) ring laser gyroscope, have been characterized. The spectral response shows a peak of <math>0.4 \pm 0.1</math> A/watt in the range of 600 to 700 nm. The measured radiation induced photocurrent of <math>5.7 \pm 1.8 \times 10^{-13}</math> coul/rad compares favorably with expectations based on device geometry (<math>7.8 \times 10^{-13}</math> coul/rad). Under nominally identical illumination, optical photocurrents from matching detectors agree to approximately (continued)</b>			

376 777

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

20. (continued)

1.5 ± 0.5 percent and ionizing radiation produced photocurrent agrees to approximately 6 ± 4 percent. Detectors which had been exposed to  $10^6$  rad(Si) exhibited responsivities 78 ± 14 percent as large as that of virgin detectors. Chip to chip responsivity variations were about ± 30 percent. Relevant physics and construction details are reported.

million

*Plus 2. million*

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

PREFACE

The photodiodes described in this report were designed under contracts DNA001-77-C-0174 and DNA001-78-C-0050. The photodiodes were constructed under contract DNA001-78-C-0356 and were tested under contract number DNA001-80-C-0140. These devices were designed, constructed, and tested to support the development by the USAF (Advanced Ballistic Re-entry System and Ballistic Missile Office) of a dormant inertial navigation system (DINS), employing laser gyroscopes.

Application For	
DTIC CS&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC SAR	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Classification	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or
A	Special

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
	PREFACE	1
	LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	3
1	INTRODUCTION	5
2	HISTORICAL REVIEW	5
3	RELEVANT PHYSICS	8
4	CONSTRUCTION	12
5	TEST AND RESULTS	20
	5.1 Method	24
	5.2 Radiation Tests	30
	5.3 Experiment Description	30
	5.4 Results	32
	5.5 Summary	33
	REFERENCES	34

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Use of a blind diode and a differential amplifier to subtract radiation noise from the optical signal.	7
2	Absorption coefficient (left or absorption depth (right) vs. wavelength for silicon and germanium at room temperature (Dash and Newman).	10
3	Lifetime damage constant versus resistivity for silicon at very low injection ( $\Delta n/n_0 \sim 10^{-4}$ ). (At normal operating currents in transistors the damage constant may be less by a factor of 5 to 10.)	13
4	Summary of processing steps to produce radiation hard dielectrically isolated photodetectors.	14
5	Schematic cross section of a dielectrically isolated photodiode pair, with glass immersion lens and AR coating.	17
6	Microphotograph of Harris/DINS photodiode chip (~ 80X magnification) 1st generation #984, 1978.	19
7	Second generation Harris/DINS photodiode (mosaic picture, 80X magnification) slice 13, style 1, 6/7/80.	21
8	Second generation Harris/DINS photodiode with resistors (mosaic, 80X magnification) slice 13, style 2, 5/7/80.	22
9a	Microphotograph at about 500X of the dielectrically isolated, ion implanted, 30 k $\Omega$ load resistor on type I (slice 7) chip. Note the aluminum cover.	23



LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
9b	Microphotograph at about 500X of the same load resistor without the aluminum cover (slice 7, type II).	23
10	Spectral transmission measurements of selected optical filters.	25
11	Spectral response of a perfect silicon photodetector, a high quality silicon photodetector, a typical solar cell and the Harris/DINS photodetectors. The DINS detectors response varied by $\sim \pm 30\%$ from chip to chip.	28
12	Optical photocurrent of four types of DINS diodes, ratioed to the current from an equal area of the OCLI solar cell. Comparing the irradiated cells with new ones indicates post irradiation responsivities of: 7I=97 $\pm$ 7%, 7II=61 $\pm$ 9%, 13I=76 $\pm$ 8% and 13II=80 $\pm$ 5%.	29
13	Schematic representation of the LINAC test configuration.	31

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report documents the characterization of the Harris/DINS photodetectors in optical and ionizing radiation. Section 2 is a historical review of the events which proceed construction and testing of these diodes. Section 3 reviews the relevant physics involved in the design and construction of these devices. Section 4 describes the actual steps employed to construct the devices and provides the results of a visual examination. Section 5 describes these tests and presents the relevant results.

## 2. HISTORICAL REVIEW

In late 1976 the Air Force decided to examine the ring laser gyroscope constructed by Honeywell Incorporated as a candidate for a re-entry vehicle guidance system called the dormant inertial navigation system (DINS).

Under Defense Nuclear Agency sponsorship, the nuclear survivability and vulnerability (S & V) of the laser block assembly and associated electronics was examined theoretically and tested experimentally between February and September of 1977. At the time of this initial investigation, Honeywell was using a commercially available photodetector (United Detector Technology's PIN-SPOT-2) to detect the motion of the interference fringes which indicate rate and direction of gyro rotation.

Our initial evaluation, which was supported by subsequent measurements, was that for this laser/detector combination the optical radiation photocurrents would be exceeded by ionizing radiation photocurrents, whenever the dose rate exceeded  $10^2$  rads(Si)/s. An error analysis indicated that laser gyro operations could be interrupted by a transient radiation event for no more than approximately 1 millisecond. Relating this to a hypothesized nuclear event caused us to select a dose rate of  $10^7$  rads(Si)/s as an operational design goal. At that time we found there were no commercially available photodiodes which could even approach that goal. In fact the signal to noise (S/N) ratio of event the most radiation tolerate photodiodes fell below unity at rates of approximately  $3 \times 10^3$  rads/s.

This was only a consequence of the designs then available. It was not a technology limitation, and so by September 1977, we concluded that, although there were no commercially available substitutes, it was technically feasible to build a DINS-specific photodiode, optimized for use with the ring laser gyro, in a radiation environment.<sup>1,2</sup> The reasons for the specific design are presented in Section 3 and the details of the construction are presented in Section 4. This design is related to work reported by Mitchell<sup>3</sup> and photodiodes designed for AFWL for fiberoptics applications, by Arnie Kalma and Walt Hardwick, which have since been constructed by Spectronics. The specific design for the radiation hard DINS photodiode was given to the Defense Nuclear Agency in December 1977. This design was a compromise, using proven, high confidence, existing technology techniques, optimized to result in a photodetector which would operate with a signal noise ratio in excess of unity at dose rates of  $10^7$  rads(Si)/s ( $\sim 10^5$  x higher than was possible in 1977).

Several device manufacturers were asked to construct the DINS diodes. Only Harris responded affirmatively. The proposed design for the photodiode was supplied to Jon Cornell of Harris Semiconductor in January of 1978. A technical meeting was held at Harris Semiconductor in February of 1978 attended by the Defense Nuclear Agency representatives. The

proposal resulting from that meeting was dated June 1978 and the first generating of parts was supplied by Harris Semiconductor to Honeywell for testing approximately one year later. The first generation of DINS photodiodes were tested in July of 1979. That generation of chips had several geometry design variations and two doping density variations.<sup>4</sup> There was no significant performance difference among the various geometry variations. Some of the doping variations exhibited poor electrical characteristics (specifically ~10 percent of the diodes were shorted) and only a few of the diodes approached the desired 1 percent photocurrent matching. Exact photocurrent matching was desired because we intended to illuminate only one of a pair of identical photodiodes supplying signals to a differential amplifier (see Figure 1). Thus the (common mode) ionizing radiation photocurrent  $I_\gamma$  (considered to be CW noise) from the blind diode would be subtracted from the (differential) optical signal plus (common mode) noise from the sighted diode. Our ability to cancel noise depends on diode matching and common mode rejection ratio of the amplifier.




Figure 1. Use of a blind diode and a differential amplifier to subtract radiation noise from the optical signal.

In fact, a small but constant photocurrent mismatch in the diode pair could be tolerated if RF or RI were adjusted to compensate for this mismatch. Unfortunately we noted that photocurrent mismatch was a function of total accumulated dose. In particular if  $I_b = 1.05 I_s$  before irradiation  $I_b = I_s$  about 50 K rad and  $I_b = 0.85 I_s$  at 100 to 200 K rad. ( $I_b$  is blind diode photo current  $I_s$  sighted photodiode current).

The results of the optical and radiation tests were provided to Harris Semiconductor in August of 1979 and Harris set about to construct a second generation of test chips. The second generation of test chips used a different metalization pattern than the first. Also, Harris reported they had experienced wafer processing problems with the first generation and chose to fabricate new wafers for the second generation of device. The second generation of photodiodes was provided to Honeywell in early 1980 and was tested by MRC in May 1980.

### 3. Relevant Physics

Carrier generation by ionizing radiation results in radiation photocurrents that can temporarily obscure the optical photocurrent. Carrier removal and lifetime degradation permanently alter the collection volume by increasing the depletion depth and decreasing the diffusion length in the bulk material.

Photodiodes, phototransistors, and solar cells are designed to have maximum sensitivity to optical radiation. For maximum efficiency and ease of construction, photodetectors are frequently much thicker than  $[\alpha(\lambda)]^{-1}$ , the optical absorption absorption depth at wavelength  $\lambda$  (632.8 nm in this case). The bulk material usually has a long lifetime to obtain a maximum collection efficiency for minority carriers. Generally, the same features that make a good photodetector also make the device very sensitive to all nuclear radiation.

The responsivity (amps/watt) of a planar photodetector is given by

$$I_V/HA = \frac{nq}{h\nu} (1-R) \quad h\nu > 1.1 \text{ eV} \quad (1)$$

where  $I_V$  is the photocurrent

$H$  is the irradiance (watts/cm<sup>2</sup>)

$A$  is the detector area

$\eta_i$  is the collection efficiency

$\frac{nq}{h\nu}$  is the photoelectric charge generated per photon

$h\nu$  is the photo energy, and

$R$  is reflectance.

The quantity  $q/h\nu$  is the maximum responsivity possible (0.509 A/watt) at 632.8 nm. The coefficient  $\eta_i$  represents the optical collection efficiency,  $\eta_i \approx 1 - \exp[-\alpha(L+W)]$  where  $\alpha$  is the optical absorption coefficient,  $L$  is the diffusion length, and  $W$  is the depletion width. The optical absorption coefficient for silicon is given in Figure 2. At 632.8 nm,  $\alpha_{Si}(632.8 \text{ nm}) = 3,600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  so  $\alpha^{-1}(632.8 \text{ nm}) = 2.8 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$ . The collection efficiency expresses two facts. First, the number of optically generated photocarriers decreases exponentially with distance from the front surface, and second that only carriers that are generated within the depletion width ( $W$ ) and/or within a diffusion length ( $L$ ) of the junction edge will supply current to an external circuit. Carriers generated deeper in the bulk material will recombine before they can contribute current to an external circuit. But, if  $(L+W) > [\alpha(\lambda)]^{-1}$  radiation induced photocurrents can be generated and collected from depths which contribute nearly no optical photocurrents.

When a photodetector is exposed to penetrating radiation, such as silicon-prefiltered light, x-rays or gamma-rays, the radiation photocurrent can be described by

$$I_Y/D = gAq(W+L) \quad (2)$$




Figure 2. Absorption coefficient (left) or absorption depth (right) vs. wavelength for silicon and germanium at room temperature (Dash and Newman).

where  $\dot{D}$  is the dose rate,  $q$  is the electronic charge and  $g$ , the generation rate for silicon, is  $\sim 4 \times 10^{13}$  carriers/cm<sup>3</sup> rad. For silicon,

$$I_{\gamma}/\dot{D} \approx 6.4 \times 10^{-6} \frac{\text{A-sec}}{\text{rad cm}^3} \times A(L+W).$$

It has been shown in Reference 3 that the ratio of optical to radiation photocurrents at 632.8 nm continues to increase as  $L+W$  decreased, however, the total optical photocurrent, for a given irradiance decreases as  $L+W$  gets less than a few absorption layers thick.

The most important factor is that optical photocurrents of 632.8 nm light are all generated within the first few micrometers of silicon surface, whereas radiation photocurrents are generated throughout the depletion volume, (detector area ( $A$ ) times depletion width ( $W$ )). For lightly doped diodes, with planar geometry, depletion width ( $W$ ) is related to bias voltage  $V$  as

$$W = \sqrt{2\epsilon\epsilon_0 V/qN}$$

where  $\epsilon = 13$  for silicon,  $\epsilon_0 = 8.85 \times 10^{-14}$  Farads/cm,  $q = 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$  coulombs and  $N$  is the doping density. Doping density is inferred from material resistivity  $\rho = (q(N_e\mu_e + N_h\mu_h))^{-1}$  where  $\mu_e = 1300$  cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs and  $\mu_h = 450$  cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs. In the field-free bulk region, the minority carrier lifetime ( $\tau_D$ ) and the diffusion length ( $L$ ) are related by

$$\tau_D = L^2/D$$

where  $D$  is the diffusion constant (33 cm<sup>2</sup>/s for electrons and 11 cm<sup>2</sup>/s for holes in silicon).

Hence the ratio of optical currents to radiation photocurrents depends upon detector geometry as (from equations 1 and 2)



$$\frac{I_V}{I_Y} \approx \frac{\{1 - \exp[-\alpha(L+W)]\} (1-R)}{h\nu g(L+W)} \approx (S/N)$$

From a practical point of view, you want to maximize both the signal and the signal/noise ratio ( $I_V^2/I_Y$ ). The product of optical photocurrent times signal/noise ratio has a broad maximum when  $L+W \approx 1.5 [\alpha(\lambda)]^{-1}$ . This was the reason for the design goal presented to Harris Semiconductor, to construct diodes approximately 5  $\mu\text{m}$  thick.

An added bonus is that a very thin detector becomes quite neutron and total dose tolerant as well, since the lifetime  $\tau$  must be reduced to approximately the sweep-out time  $\tau_s$  before carrier recombination causes loss in detector sensitivity. Sweep-out time is  $\tau_s = W^2/2 \mu V$ , where  $\mu$  is mobility ( $\mu_e = 1300 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}, \mu_h = 450 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$  in silicon). For the designed geometry ( $W < 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}$ ) and bias voltages ( $V \approx 10\text{v}$ )  $\tau_s \approx 10^{-10} \text{ s}$ . Lifetime is decreased by neutron radiation according to the formula  $1/\tau = 1/\tau_o + K(\rho)\phi$  where the lifetime damage constant  $K(\rho)$  is shown in Figure 3. Since  $\tau_o \approx 10^{-6} \text{ s}$ , and  $\tau_s \ll \tau_o$  we don't expect detection degradation at neutron fluences of less than about

$$\phi = (K\tau_s)^{-1} \approx 2 \times 10^{15} \text{ n/cm}^2$$

which is well above our design guideline.

The lateral geometry of the photodetector was selected somewhat arbitrarily. The laser gyro's output beam, with its interference pattern, is nominally 1 mm in diameter. This author judged that it would be easy to reduce that pattern by a factor of 4, to a  $\frac{1}{4}$  mm (0.010") diameter and that positioning a  $\frac{1}{4}$  mm detector with a 1 mm diameter reducing lens within the 1 mm diameter interface pattern would not be unduely difficult.

#### 4. CONSTRUCTION

Figure 4 schematically indicates the processing steps Harris used to create the 5  $\mu\text{m}$  thick detectors. In step #1 they took a 20-mil (500  $\mu\text{m}$ )




Figure 3. Lifetime damage constant versus resistivity for silicon at very low injection ( $\Delta n/n_0 \sim 10^{-4}$ ). (At normal operating currents in transistors the damage constant may be less by a factor of 5 to 10.)




Figure 4. Summary of processing steps to produce radiation hard dielectrically isolated photodetectors.

thick slab of lightly doped (3-6 $\Omega$  cm) crystalline silicon cut normal to the 100 axis. In step #2 phosphorus was diffused about 0.0001" (2.5  $\mu$ m) into the n crystalline silicon to form a thin n<sup>+</sup> phosphorus doped region. Then in step #3 the crystalline silicon was masked, etched, and oxidized to form a series of oxide covered mesas which later became the dielectric tubs which define the device geometry. In step #4 polycrystalline silicon was deposited on top of the oxidized crystalline substrate, then in Step #5 the polysilicon became the device substrate and the crystalline material was ground away to form thin (0.0002") devices. Finally, the p<sup>+</sup> front contact was produced by a boron implantation technique. The final detectors were semi circles 10 mils in diameter separated by about 0.5 mil and contained in a 0.2 mil thick dielectric tub.

The final oxidation was controlled in an effort to produce an antireflecting layer of thickness  $t = (2N + 1) \lambda/4n$  where N is an integer, n is the index of refraction of the coating and  $\lambda$  is 632.8 nm. Both oxide and nitride A.R. coating were tried.

The reflectance at a single interface between a media with index of refraction  $n_o$  and one with n, is  $R = (n-n_o/n+n_o)^2 = 30\%$  for silicon. For a single layer filter the reflectance is

$$R = \left[ \frac{n_1^2 - n_o n_2}{n_1^2 + n_o n_2} \right]^2 \quad (3)$$

where for air,  $n_o \approx 1.0$ , for silicon at 632.8 nm  $n_2 = \sqrt{13} = 3.68$ , for SiO<sub>2</sub>  $n_1 \approx 1.5$  and Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>  $n_1 \approx 2.0$ . Notice the ideal single layer filter at normal incidence would have an index of refraction  $n_1 = (\epsilon\epsilon_o)^{1/4} = 1.899$  and thickness  $t = 833$  nm (or 250 nm, or 416 nm etc.).

From equation 3, the best one can expect for SiO<sub>2</sub> (n = 1.5) is about a 6 percent loss due to reflection if  $t = 110$  nm, while a 80 nm

thick layer of  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  gives only a 0.16 percent loss. Conversely, the worst one can do, is deposit a layer of thickness  $t = \lambda/2n$  which would result in a  $\text{SiO}_2$  coating with only 85 percent transmission and on  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  coating which transmits 72 percent. Either case is an improvement over uncoated silicon.

Harris considered a two layer AR coating of  $\text{SiO}_2$  and  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  and concluded that it was more reflective than, i.e. not as good as, a single layer coating. We note this is apparently in contradiction with the findings of solar cell manufacturers.<sup>6,7,8</sup> However, it is this authors opinion that a properly deposited single layer AR coating of either  $\text{SiO}_2$  or  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  would be satisfactory, and that the potential of an additional 1 to 2 percent improvement from a "perfect" AR coating doesn't warrant a significant effort. The potential for error and/or device degradation increases with each successive process, and better results almost always are obtained from familiar actions, i.e.  $\text{SiO}_2$  is preferable to less frequently used materials. Consequently this author agrees with Harris' conclusion that the best AR coating is a single  $\lambda/4$  layer of  $\text{SiO}_2$  or  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  (albiet for different reasons).

When this design was originally proposed, the lens for reducing the interface pattern was not defined. Since then, Honeywell has selected an immersion lens concept, schematically indicated in Figure 5. In this design the thick plano-convex lens is cemented directly to the chip with an optical cement. This means the single layer  $\text{SiO}_2$  or  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  AR coating, which was optimized for an air-silicon interface is no longer the best.

Although this may represent an unwarranted nicety, the proper design would now be a  $\lambda/4$  layer of material with  $n \approx \sqrt{n_{\text{SiO}_2}}$  ( $\text{MgF}_2$  perhaps) on the front surface of the lens (to reduce the 4 percent reflection at that interface) and another  $\lambda/4$  layer of material with index of refraction  $n = \sqrt{n_{\text{SiO}_2} n_{\text{Si}}}$  on the detector to reduce the reflection at the lens




Figure 5. Schematic cross section of a dielectrically isolated photodiode pair, with glass immersion lens and AR coating.

detector interface. Titanium oxide,  $TiO_x$ ,  $n = 2.2$  or tantalum oxide,  $Ta_2O_5$  with  $n = 2.2 \pm 0.05$  are good candidates. Finally, it is theoretically feasible (though unnecessary for this application) to make even more radiation tolerant photodiodes, without sacrificing 632.8 nm responsivity by 1) making the diodes still thinner, 2) further reducing their lateral dimensions and 3) encapsulating them in a reflective tub. This could (theoretically) be accomplished with a thin ( $\approx 0.1 \mu m$ ) evaporated aluminum layer between the oxide of the tub and the polycrystalline substrate, or by controlling the oxide thickness to produce a dielectric mirror. Both these concepts were known to this author when the original design was proposed in 1977-78. However, they were not proselytized because they were not common semiconductor industry practices and represented an unnecessary risk. "Good enough" diodes could be made without developing these techniques. This is still the case for the DINS application, and these concepts are mentioned only to point out that we have not exhausted all possibilities. Someday, someone may need an even harder detector and he should consider these ideas.

Figure 6 is a microphotograph of the first generation DINS photodiode chip (#984, 1978). In this mosaic photo the semicircular structures are the photodiodes. Squares around the periphery of the chip are the fly-wire bonding pads, rectangular structures with interleaved fingers are field effect transistors, (FET's) and S shaped structures are load resistors. Originally Harris intended to accomplish the first stage of amplification on the photodiode chip using JFET devices whose thickness is approximately the same as the photodiode. This idea eventually had to be discarded because the photocurrents in the JFET structures were large compared to those generated in these rad hard diodes or in vertical bipolar transistors, which are thicker devices with smaller lateral dimensions. As can be seen in Figure 6 neither the resistor nor the JFET devices were pinned-out and consequently were not tested. In this photo the light-colored, granular, areas are sputtered aluminum. The dark featureless areas are AR coatings, and the




Figure 6. Microphotograph of Harris/DINS photodiode chip (~ 80X magnification) 1st generation #984, 1978.



gray featureless areas are passivating oxides. As a result of the July 1979 test series, Harris Semiconductor was requested to build a second test structure shown in microphotograph Figure 7 (chip #1061, 1979). On this second generation photodiode chip, only four load resistors and four photodiodes were constructed and/or pinned out. Harris made 4 variations on the same theme. All devices fabricated from slice #7 had received the phosphorous diffusion described in step 2 of Figure 4, and are referred to as buried-layer devices. All devices from wafer #13 had not received the phosphorous diffusion and, therefore, the bulk of the photodiode was of uniform 3-6 cm resistivity. All devices of Type I had one diode pair which was sensitive to optical radiation (sighted) and another diode pair which had been covered with aluminum (blind). Figure 7 shows such a structure. All chips of type II had four identical diodes (no blind diodes). A type II device is shown in Figure 8. All of the resistors on type I DINS chips had an aluminum over layer shown in more detail in Figure 9A. Resistors on Type II chips were not over coated with aluminum as shown in Figure 9b.

## 5. TEST AND RESULTS

In this section we describe the results of some electrical, optical and ionizing radiation tests.

The purpose of the electrical tests was to determine whether the individual devices had the correct characteristics. Electrically all of the diodes and resistors checked (about 200 each) were exactly as reported. The optical tests, were to determine the optical photocurrent response matching of both the resistors and photodiodes. This will be described in greater detail in the following paragraphs. Finally a rudimentary special response measurement was made on a limited number (about 60) of the photodiodes.




Figure 7. Second generation Harris/DINS photodiode (mosaic picture, 80X magnification) slice 13, style 1, 6/7/80.




Figure 8. Second generation Harris/DINS photodiode with resistors (mosaic, 80x magnification) slice 13, style 2, 5/7/80.




Figure 9a. Microphotograph at about 500X of the dielectrically isolated, ion implanted, 30 k $\Omega$  load resistor on a type I (slice 7) chip. Note the aluminum cover.




Figure 9b. Microphotograph at about 500X of the same load resistor without the aluminum cover (slice 7, type II).

## 5.1 METHOD

Before the ionizing radiation test the transient response of the photodiodes and load resistors was checked using a Vivitar Model 225 high pressure xenon photo flash. This confirmed that both the resistors and photodiodes exhibited some photocurrent response, however, the xenon flash evidently contained so much infra red radiation that the sighted and blind photodiodes responded nearly identically. This check served its primary purpose which was to confirm that the chip was correctly wired and biased, in preparation for the ionizing radiation tests.

The optical response characterization was something of an improvisation since there was neither time nor funding to perform an in-depth study. A Kodak model 750H 35 mm carousel slide projector was used to measure the spectral responsivity and optical photocurrent matching of additional photodiode chips. The Kodak 750H has a 250 watt tungsten halogen lamp with reflector, a cold mirror, and heat absorbing glass prior to the projector lens. The projected light was further concentrated with an F/1 convex lens. Approximately monochromatic light was created by projecting light through color transparencies. The spectral transmission characteristics of these transparencies is given in Figure 10. The intensity of the light appeared to be uniform with 10 percent across the center 75 percent of the beam. Most of the optical measurements were taken with an irradiance of from 0.01 to 0.1 watts/cm<sup>2</sup>. An Optical Coating Laboratories Inc. (OCLI) model 51 PL solar cell was used as a "standard" comparison detector. This is a common off-the-shelf detector whose spectral response is approximately known. To define the illuminated area and reduce the photocurrent from the OCLI photo cell which is 1/2 x 1 cm it was placed behind an aluminum sheet with a 0.029 inch pin hole. Using this technique the photocurrents from the Harris DINS photodiodes could be directly compared to the photocurrent from the OCLI solar cell when exposed to white light or any one of 6 color filtered beams, both the Harris and OCLI photo detectors were reverse biased at 9 volts. The results of optical photo-









Figure 10. Spectral transmission measurements of selected optical filters.

current matching tests are indicated in Table 1. On the average, all photodiodes on any given clip produced photocurrents which matched to approximately  $1.5 \pm 0.5$  percent, for nominally identical illumination. This author is not prepared to certify that the illumination was more uniform than 1 percent over the time or space required for the measurements.

The results of the spectral response measurements are indicated in Figure 11. This data was obtained by ratioing the measured photocurrent from the DINS diodes and OCLI solar cell (scaled for the different illuminated areas). Figure 12 shows the raw data for 8 different photo cells, two each of the four variations, representing unirradiated cells and cells which had been exposed to one megarad of 10 MeV electron radiation.

Although there is insufficient data for significant statistics it appears that the responsivity of the irradiated cells is no less than 75 percent of the unirradiated cells. The absolute magnitude of responsivity appears to vary by approximately  $\pm 30$  percent from chip to chip.

The response of the Harris photodiodes is very nearly what one would expect. The responsivity is on the average somewhat better than the OCLI solar cell at wave lengths below approximately 0.7 microns, probably because the Harris photo cells have an AR coating and the OCLI cell does not. The spectral response of the Harris photo cells decreases rapidly compared to the OCLI solar cell for wave lengths greater than 0.7 microns. This is almost certainly because the optical absorption coefficient decreases rapidly with increasing wave lengths (see Figure 2) which means that fewer carriers per photon are generated in the 5 micron thick Harris photo detectors than in the 130  $\mu\text{m}$  thick OCLI cell. These data indicate that the Harris/DINS photodiodes have a responsivity of approximately  $0.4 \pm 0.1$  amps/watt at 0.6328 microns. This means that, within the uncertainty of these measurements, Harris met their design goals.

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF PHOTODIODE TESTING

SAMPLE ID	EXPOSURE ORDER	$\times 10^{-13}$ coul rad	OPTICAL PHOTOCURRENT MATCH %	RADIATION PHOTOCURRENT MATCH %	EXPOSURE K rads
7 I 1	1	$5.7 \pm 0.5$	$0.8 \pm 0.3$	$\sim 4.0$	50
7 I 2	5	7.3	1.3	$15 \pm 5$	120
7 I 3	9	5.9	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	3-5	200
7 I 4	13	$7.0 \pm 0.5$	$4 \pm 1$	1-10	85
7 II 1	2	$7.0 \pm 0.7$	0.7	0.4	330
7 II 2	6	$7.0 \pm 0.3$	$1.0 \pm 0.3$	2 to 10	80
7 II 3	10	$5.6 \pm 0.2$	$2.0 \pm 0.3$	2 to 7	130
7 II 4	14	7.6	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	$\sim 10$	30
13 I 1	3	$3.6 \pm 0.1$	$3 \pm 2$	$3 \pm 1$	250
13 I 2	7	$3.6 \pm 0.4$	$0.4 \pm 0.3$	$6 \pm 4$	50
13 I 3	11	$8.5 \pm 0.5$	0.2	$10 \pm 5$	200
13 I 4	15	3.5	$0.9 \pm 0.9$	1 & 10	90
13 II 1	4	3.8	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	$6 \pm 2$	220
13 II 2	8	$3.4 \pm 0.3$	1.0	$\sim 10$	200
13 II 3	12	$7.0 \pm 0.3$	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	$7 \pm 1$	70
13 II 4	16	---	$1.6 \pm 0.2$	---	0
AVERAGE		$5.77 \pm 1.8$	$1.5 \pm 0.5$	$6 \pm 5$	






Figure 11. Spectral response of a perfect silicon photodetector, a high quality silicon photodetector, a typical solar cell and the Harris/DINS photodetectors. The DINS detectors response varied by  $\sim \pm 30\%$  from chip to chip.




Figure 12. Optical photocurrent of four types of DINS diodes, ratioed to the current from an equal area of the OCLI solar cell. Comparing the irradiated cells with new ones indicates post irradiation responsivities of: 7I=97±7%, 7II=61±9%, 13I=76±8% and 13II=80±5%.

## 5.2 RADIATION TESTS

The purpose of these ionizing radiation tests was to measure the (1) total current generation constant and (2) photocurrent matching, for nominally identical photodiodes. Based on geometry and physics we expected a generation constant  $Q/D = 7.8 \times 10^{-13}$  coul/rad. As a result of this test we measured  $Q/D = 5.7 \pm 1.8 \times 10^{-13}$  coul/rad for (60 photodiodes).

## 5.3 EXPERIMENT DESCRIPTION

This test was conducted at the IRT Electron Linear Accelerator (linac) operated at 10 MeV, with a 100 ns pulse width, delivering approximately 800 rads per pulse ( $\dot{D}$  approximately =  $8 \times 10^9$  rads/s). The results of this test are somewhat less precise than hoped for, because the linac performance was below par, due to an inoperative second section. This resulted in comparatively poor pulse-to-pulse reproducibility and an uncertain beam profile at the sample. Figure 13 schematically indicates the experimental configuration. Photodiodes and load resistors were biased with a nine volt battery. The integrated photocurrent for each pulse was measured by Tektronics 7844 & 556 oscilloscopes as shown, using the RC time constant established by the scope input impedance and cable capacitance. Cable capacitance was measured to be 2.71 nF, and since the oscilloscopes were operated in parallel, and input impedance for each scope plug-in was 1 M $\Omega$ , the effective resistance was 500 K $\Omega$  (RC  $\approx$  1.35 ms). The oscilloscope plug-ins were calibrated immediately prior to use.

The dose at the sample location, for any particular linac pulse, was inferred from the output of a secondary emission monitor (SEM). The secondary emission monitor is a thin metal foil inside the beam tube, in front of the collimator and scatterer. Prior to sample irradiation, a calibrated thermister/wheatstone bridge dosimeter was used to measure the dose at the sample location while simultaneously monitoring the integrated output of the secondary emission monitor. The thermister calibration was




Figure 13. Schematic representation of the LINAC test configuration.

3.82 rads per microvolt. The dose/SEM reading calibration was  $374 \pm 25$  rads per volt. The SEM readings were recorded automatically and printed on paper tape. Each sample was exposed to from 100 to 300 pulses, with data to measure  $\Delta I/I$  and Q/D recorded on the first, last and at several intermediate pulses. To support the SEM based dosimetry, Far West radiochromic film dosimeters were attached to each sample. In addition to the sample group which was actively monitored during the test (15 chips), three each, of the four different type devices, were exposed passively, and in a biased condition, to 3 different total doses approximately 100 K rad, 300 K rad and 1 M rad ( a total of 72 chips). The accuracy of the Far West dosimeters is estimated to be approximately  $\pm 5$  percent at  $10^6$  rads, approximately  $\pm 10$  percent at  $10^5$  rads, and approximately  $\pm 20$  percent below  $10^5$  rads. This uncertainty is principally a reading error.

#### 5.4 RESULTS

The results of the linac tests were also summarized in Table 1. The measured radiation photocurrent for 60 photodiodes was  $5.7 \pm 1.8 \times 10^{-13}$  coul/rad. Estimated experimental uncertainty is approximately  $\pm 20$  percent. The primary experimental uncertainty is the assessment of the dose, at the sample location, as inferred from the SEM reading. For diodes on any given chip a diode-to-diode variation photocurrent of approximately 5 percent is inferred from the radiation measurements. The uncertainty in this measurement is unknown and is related to the uniformity of the electron beam at the sample location. Variations in Q/D of as much as a factor of 2 were observed from chip to chip. It is not possible to separate real chip-to-chip response variations from pulse-to-pulse variations in the linac performance.

In the preceding tests, of generation 1 photodiodes, we noted several examples of relative photocurrent response changing as a function of total accumulated dose. Such behavior was noted only once in this test of 15 chips (or 60 diodes), however, since these particular photodiodes

Part #13I-4 matched to within 1 percent both before and after irradiation, relative changes in response are of negligible significance.

The optically induced photocurrents in the load resistors were found to agree from unit to unit to approximately  $4 \pm 2$  percent. We were not able to make satisfactory Q/D measurements at the linear accelerator. We suspect that we exceeded the common mode rejection ratio capacity of our oscilloscope.

#### 5.5 SUMMARY

This second generation of Harris/Dins photodiodes is much improved over the first generation. The spectral response,  $R(\lambda)$  ( $0.4 \pm 0.1$  amp/watt at  $632.8 \mu\text{m}$ ), and the radiation induced photocurrent, Q/D ( $5.7 \pm 1.8 \times 10^{-13}$  coul/rad), are also quite reasonable (based on the reported geometry). Based on a measurement of approximately 60 photodiodes photocurrents from matching diodes on any particular chip is identical to approximately  $1.5 \pm 0.5$  percent by optical measuring techniques, and matched to approximately  $6 \pm 5$  percent from ionization radiation measurements. Chip-to-chip variations in Q/D or  $R(\lambda)$  appeared to be  $\pm 30$  percent. Moreover, using simple optical tests, chips can be hand selected for photocurrent matches better than 1 percent. Optical performance is still quite satisfactory after accumulated dose of  $1 \times 10^6$  rads.

## REFERENCES

1. Passenheim, B.C., et al., "Ring Laser Gyroscope", prepared for Defense Nuclear Agency. IRT 8177-010., December 27, 1977.
2. Passenheim, B.C. and C.E. Mallon, "Nuclear Radiation Vulnerability of Ring Laser Gyroscopes", SPIE 1978.
3. Kim, Mitchell, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS-24, December 1977.
4. Harris Semiconductor, DINS Design Report. Contract No. DNA001-78-C-0356-P00001, October 19, 1979.
5. Jenkins, Francis A. and Harvey E. White, "Fundamentals of Optics", McGraw Hill Book Co. NY. 1957.
6. Wang, E.Y., F.T.S. Yu, V.L. Simms, H.W. Brandhorst, Jr., and J.D. Broder, "Optium Design of Antireflection Coating for Silicon Solar Cells," Conf. Rec. of the 10th IEEE Photovoltaic Specialist Conf., p. 168, 1973.
7. Schwartz, J.P., "Improved Silicon Solar Cell Antireflective Coatings," Conf. Rec. of the 8th IEEE Photovoltaic Specialist Conf., p. 173, 1970.
8. Musset, A., and A. Thelen, Progress in Optics (E. Wolf, ed.), Chapter 4., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.

## DISTRIBUTION LIST

### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Assistant to the Secretary of Defense, Atomic Energy  
ATTN: Executive Assistant

Command & Control Technical Center  
ATTN: C-362, G. Adkins

Defense Advanced Rsch Proj Agency  
ATTN: J. Fraser  
ATTN: R. Reynolds

Defense Electronic Supply Center  
ATTN: DEFC-ESA

Defense Logistics Agency  
ATTN: DLA-SE  
ATTN: DLA-QEL, J. Slattery

Defense Nuclear Agency  
ATTN: RAEV (TREE)  
4 cy ATTN: TITL

Defense Technical Information Center  
12 cy ATTN: DD

Field Command  
Defense Nuclear Agency  
ATTN: FCPR

Field Command  
Defense Nuclear Agency  
Livermore Branch  
ATTN: FCPRL

National Security Agency  
ATTN: T. Brown  
ATTN: G. Daily  
ATTN: P. Deboy

NATO School (SHAPE)  
ATTN: U.S. Documents Officer

Under Secretary of Defense for Rsch & Engrg  
ATTN: Strategic & Space Sys (OS)

### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

BMD Advanced Technology Center  
Department of the Army  
ATTN: ATC-T  
ATTN: ATC-O, F. Hoke

BMD Systems Command  
Department of the Army  
ATTN: BMDSC-HW, R. Dekalb

Deputy Chief of Staff for Rsch Dev & Acq  
Department of the Army  
ATTN: Advisor for RDA Analysis, M. Gale

U.S. Army Armament Rsch Dev & Cmd  
ATTN: DRDAR-LCA-PD

U.S. Army Communications R&D Command  
ATTN: D. Huewe

### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (Continued)

Harry Diamond Laboratories  
Department of the Army  
ATTN: DELHD-N-RBH, J. Halpin  
ATTN: DELHD-N-P  
ATTN: DELHD-N-RBC, J. McGarrity  
ATTN: DELHD-N-RBH, H. Eisen  
ATTN: DELHD-N-RBH

U.S. Army Material & Mechanics Rsch Ctr  
ATTN: DRXMR-H, J. Hofmann

U.S. Army Missile Command  
3 cy ATTN: RSIC

U.S. Army Nuclear & Chemical Agency  
ATTN: Library

White Sands Missiles Range  
Department of the Army  
ATTN: STEWS-TE-AN, M. Squires  
ATTN: STEWS-TE-AN, T. Leura

### DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

Naval Air Systems Command  
ATTN: AIR 350F

Naval Electronic Systems Command  
ATTN: Code 5045.11, C. Suman

Naval Ocean Systems Center  
ATTN: Code 4471

Naval Postgraduate School  
ATTN: Code 1424, Library

Naval Research Laboratory  
ATTN: Code 6816, D. Patterson  
ATTN: Code 6600, J. McEllinney  
ATTN: Code 5213, J. Killiany  
ATTN: Code 6816, H. Hughes  
ATTN: Code 6627, C. Guenzer  
ATTN: Code 6601, A. Wolicki

Naval Sea Systems Command  
ATTN: SEA-06J, R. Lane

Naval Surface Weapons Center  
ATTN: Code F31  
ATTN: Code F30

Naval Weapons Center  
ATTN: Code 233

Naval Weapons Evaluation Facility  
ATTN: Code AT-6

Naval Weapons Support Center  
ATTN: Code 7024, J. Ramsey  
ATTN: Code 7024, T. Ellis  
ATTN: Code 70242, J. Munarin

Office of the Chief of Naval Operations  
ATTN: OP 985F



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY (Continued)

Office of Naval Research

ATTN: Code 427, L. Cooper  
ATTN: Code 220, D. Lewis

Strategic Systems Project Office

ATTN: NSP-2015  
ATTN: NSP-27331, P. Spector  
ATTN: NSP-2701, J. Pitsenberger  
ATTN: NSP-230, D. Gold

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

Air Force Aeronautical Lab

ATTN: LPO, R. Hickmott  
ATTN: LTE

Air Force Geophysics Laboratory

ATTN: SULL  
ATTN: SULL S-29

Air Force Institute of Technology

ATTN: ENP, J. Bridgeman

Air Force Systems Command

ATTN: XRLA  
ATTN: DLW  
ATTN: DLCA  
ATTN: DLCAM

Air Force Technical Applications Ctr

ATTN: TAE

Air Force Weapons Laboratory

Air Force Systems Command

ATTN: NTYC, Mullis  
ATTN: NTYC, Capt Swenson  
3 cy ATTN: NTYC

Air Force Wright Aeronautical Lab

ATTN: POD, P. Stover

Air Force Wright Aeronautical Lab

ATTN: TEA, R. Conklin  
ATTN: DHE

Air Logistics Command

Department of the Air Force

ATTN: OO-ALC/MM  
ATTN: MMETH  
ATTN: MMEDD

Assistant Chief of Staff

Studies & Analyses

Department of the Air Force

ATTN: AF/SAMI

Ballistic Missile Office

Air Force Systems Command

ATTN: ENSN, H. Ward

Ballistic Missile Office

Air Force Systems Command

ATTN: ENSN, J. Tucker  
ATTN: SYDT  
ATTN: ENMG  
ATTN: ENBE

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE (Continued)

Foreign Technology Division

Air Force Systems Command

ATTN: TQTD, B. Ballard  
ATTN: PDJV

Headquarters Space Division

Air Force Systems Command

ATTN: AQT, W. Blakney  
ATTN: AQM

Headquarters Space Division

Air Force Systems Command

ATTN: SZJ, R. Davis

Rome Air Development Center

Air Force Systems Command

ATTN: RBRP, C. Lane

Rome Air Development Center

Air Force Systems Command

ATTN: ESE, A. Kahan  
ATTN: ESR, P. Vail  
ATTN: ESER, R. Buchanan  
ATTN: ESR, W. Shedd  
ATTN: ETS, R. Dolan

Strategic Air Command

Department of the Air Force

ATTN: XPFS, M. Carra

Tactical Air Command

Department of the Air Force

ATTN: XPG

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Department of Energy

Albuquerque Operations Office

ATTN: WSSB

OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

Central Intelligence Agency

ATTN: OSWR/NED  
ATTN: OSWR/STD/MTB, A. Padgett

Department of Commerce

National Bureau of Standards

ATTN: Sec Ofc for K. Galloway  
ATTN: Sec Ofc for J. Humphreys  
ATTN: Sec Ofc for J. French

NASA

Goddard Space Flight Center

ATTN: J. Adolphsen  
ATTN: V. Danchenko

NASA

George C. Marshall Space Flight Center

ATTN: M. Nowakowski  
ATTN: EGO2  
ATTN: L. Haniter

NASA

ATTN: J. Murphy

OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES (Continued)

NASA  
Lewis Research Center  
ATTN: M. Baddour

NASA  
Ames Research Center  
ATTN: G. Deyoung

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY CONTRACTORS

Lawrence Livermore National Lab  
ATTN: Tech Info Dept, Library

Los Alamos National Laboratory  
ATTN: J. Freed

Sandia National Lab  
ATTN: F. Coppage  
ATTN: J. Hood  
ATTN: J. Barnum  
ATTN: R. Gregory  
ATTN: W. Dawes

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS

Advanced Microdevices, Inc  
ATTN: J. Schlageter

Advanced Research & Applications Corp  
ATTN: R. Armistead  
ATTN: L. Palcuti

Aerojet Electro-Systems Co  
ATTN: D. Toomb

Aerospace Corp  
ATTN: S. Bower  
ATTN: R. Crolius  
ATTN: D. Fresh

Aerospace Industries Assoc of America, Inc  
ATTN: S. Siegel

Battelle Memorial Institute  
ATTN: R. Thatcher

BDM Corp  
ATTN: D. Wunch  
ATTN: R. Pease  
ATTN: D. Alexander

Bendix Corp  
ATTN: E. Meeder

Boeing Co  
ATTN: D. Egelkrout

Boeing Co  
ATTN: I. Arimura  
ATTN: W. Rumpza  
ATTN: C. Rosenberg  
ATTN: A. Johnston

Burr-Brown Research Corp  
ATTN: H. Smith

Cincinnati Electronics Corp  
ATTN: L. Hammond  
ATTN: C. Stump

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued)

California Institute of Technology  
ATTN: W. Price  
ATTN: A. Stanley  
ATTN: A. Shumka

Charles Stark Draper Lab, Inc  
ATTN: Tech Library  
ATTN: R. Ledger  
ATTN: A. Freeman  
ATTN: R. Bedingfield  
ATTN: A. Schutz  
ATTN: C. Lai  
ATTN: P. Greiff

University of Denver  
ATTN: F. Venditti

E-Systems, Inc  
ATTN: K. Reis

Electronic Industries Association  
ATTN: J. Hessman

EMM Corp  
ATTN: F. Krch

Exp & Math Physics Consultants  
ATTN: T. Jordan

Ford Aerospace & Communications Corp  
ATTN: J. Davison  
ATTN: Technical Information Services

Franklin Institute  
ATTN: R. Thompson

Garrett Corp  
ATTN: R. Weir

General Dynamics Corp  
ATTN: W. Hansen

General Dynamics Corp  
ATTN: O. Wood  
ATTN: R. Fields

General Electric Co  
ATTN: J. Andrews  
ATTN: R. Casey  
ATTN: J. Peden

General Electric Co  
ATTN: J. Palchefskey, Jr  
ATTN: W. Patterson  
ATTN: Technical Library  
ATTN: R. Benedict  
ATTN: R. Casey

General Electric Co  
ATTN: J. Reidl

General Electric Co  
ATTN: R. Hellen

General Electric Co  
ATTN: D. Cole  
ATTN: J. Gibson

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued)

General Electric Co  
ATTN: D. Pepin

General Research Corp  
ATTN: Tech Info Ofc  
ATTN: R. Hill

George C. Messenger, Consulting Eng  
ATTN: G. Messenger

Georgia Institute of Technology  
ATTN: R. Curry

Georgia Institute of Technology  
ATTN: H. Denny

Goodyear Aerospace Corp  
ATTN: Sec Control Station

Grumman Aerospace Corp  
ATTN: J. Rogers

Harris Corporation  
ATTN: J. Cornell  
ATTN: C. Anderson  
ATTN: T. Sanders

Honeywell, Inc  
ATTN: R. Gumm

Honeywell, Inc  
ATTN: C. Cerulli

Honeywell, Inc  
ATTN: Tech Library

Hughes Aircraft Co  
ATTN: J. Singletary  
ATTN: R. McGowan

Hughes Aircraft Co  
ATTN: W. Scott  
ATTN: E. Smith  
ATTN: A. Narevsky  
ATTN: D. Shumake

IBM Corp  
ATTN: H. Mathers  
ATTN: T. Martin

IBM Corp  
ATTN: F. Tietze

IIT Research Institute  
ATTN: I. Mindel

Institute for Defense Analyses  
ATTN: Tech Info Svcs

International Business Machine Corp  
ATTN: J. Ziegler

International Tel & Tel Corp  
ATTN: A. Richardson  
ATTN: Dept 608

Intersil, Inc  
ATTN: D. Macdonald

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued)

JAYCOR  
ATTN: T. Flanagan  
ATTN: L. Scott  
ATTN: R. Stahl

Johns Hopkins University  
ATTN: P. Partridge

Kaman Sciences Corp  
ATTN: M. Bell  
ATTN: N. Beauchamp  
ATTN: J. Lubell

Kaman Tempo  
ATTN: M. Espig  
ATTN: DASIAC

Kaman Tempo  
ATTN: DASIAC

Litton Systems, Inc  
ATTN: J. Retzler

Lockheed Missiles & Space Co, Inc  
ATTN: J. Crowley  
ATTN: J. Smith

Lockheed Missiles & Space Co, Inc  
ATTN: E. Smith  
ATTN: C. Thompson  
ATTN: D. Phillips  
ATTN: M. Smith  
ATTN: E. Hessee  
ATTN: P. Bene

M.I.T. Lincoln Lab  
ATTN: P. McKenzie

Magnavox Govt & Indus Electronics Co  
ATTN: W. Richeson

Martin Marietta Corp  
ATTN: H. Cates  
ATTN: W. Brockett  
ATTN: W. Janocko  
ATTN: R. Gaynor  
ATTN: S. Bennett

Martin Marietta Corp  
ATTN: E. Carter

McDonnell Douglas Corp  
ATTN: D. Dohm  
ATTN: M. Stitch  
ATTN: R. Kloster  
ATTN: Library

McDonnell Douglas Corp  
ATTN: J. Holmgren  
ATTN: D. Fitzgerald

McDonnell Douglas Corp  
ATTN: Tech Lib

Mission Research Corp  
ATTN: C. Longmire  
5 cy ATTN: Document Control

Mission Research Corp  
ATTN: R. Pease

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued)

Mission Research Corp, San Diego  
ATTN: V. Van Lint  
ATTN: J. Raymond  
4 cy ATTN: B. Passenheim

Mission Research Corporation  
ATTN: W. Ware

Mitre Corp  
ATTN: M. Fitzgerald

Motorola, Inc  
ATTN: A. Christensen

Motorola, Inc  
ATTN: O. Edwards

National Academy of Sciences  
ATTN: Nat Materials Advisory Bd  
ATTN: R. Shane

National Semiconductor Corp  
ATTN: R. Wang  
ATTN: A. London

University of New Mexico  
ATTN: H. Southward

Norden Systems, Inc  
ATTN: Tech Lib  
ATTN: D. Longo

Northrop Corp  
ATTN: J. Srour

Northrop Corp  
ATTN: L. Apodaca  
ATTN: P. Gardner  
ATTN: T. Jackson

Pacific-Sierra Research Corp  
ATTN: H. Brode

Physics International Co  
ATTN: J. Shea  
ATTN: Division 6000

R & D Associates  
ATTN: S. Rogers  
ATTN: P. Haas

Rand Corp  
ATTN: C. Crain

Raytheon Co  
ATTN: J. Ciccio

Raytheon Co  
ATTN: H. Flescher  
ATTN: A. Van Doren

RCA Corp  
ATTN: G. Brucker  
ATTN: V. Mancino

RCA Corp  
ATTN: D. O'Connor  
ATTN: Ofc N103

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued)

RCA Corp  
ATTN: R. Killion

RCA Corp  
ATTN: W. Allen

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute  
ATTN: R. Gutmann

Research Triangle Institute  
ATTN: Sec Ofc for M. Simons, Jr

Rockwell International Corp  
ATTN: V. De Martino  
ATTN: V. Strahan  
ATTN: J. Brandford  
ATTN: V. Michel

Rockwell International Corp  
ATTN: T. Yates  
ATTN: TIC BA08

Rockwell International Corp  
ATTN: D. Vincent

Sanders Associates, Inc  
ATTN: L. Brodeur

Science Applications, Inc  
ATTN: D. Millward

Science Applications, Inc  
ATTN: D. Long  
ATTN: V. Ophan  
ATTN: V. Verbinski  
ATTN: J. Naber

Science Applications, Inc  
ATTN: W. Chadsey

Science Applications, Inc  
ATTN: D. Stribling

Singer Co  
ATTN: J. Brinkman

Singer Co  
ATTN: R. Spiegel

Sperry Rand Corp  
ATTN: Engineering Lab

Sperry Rand Corp  
ATTN: C. Craig  
ATTN: P. Maraffino  
ATTN: R. Viola  
ATTN: F. Scaravaglione

Sperry Rand Corp  
ATTN: D. Schow

Sperry UNIVAC  
ATTN: J. Inda

Spire Corp  
ATTN: R. Little

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued)

SRI International

ATTN: A. Whitson  
ATTN: B. Gasten  
ATTN: P. Dolan

Sylvania Systems Group

ATTN: C. Thornhill  
ATTN: L. Blaisdell  
ATTN: L. Paupies

Sylvania Systems Group

ATTN: J. Waldron  
ATTN: P. Fredrickson  
ATTN: H. Ullman  
ATTN: H & V Group

Systron-Donner Corp

ATTN: J. Indelicato

Teledyne Ryan Aeronautical

ATTN: J. Rawlings

Texas Instruments, Inc

ATTN: R. Stehlin  
ATTN: A. Peletier

Texas Instruments, Inc

ATTN: F. Poblentz

TRW Systems and Energy

ATTN: G. Spehar  
ATTN: B. Gililland

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (Continued)

TRW Defense & Space Sys Group

ATTN: O. Adams  
ATTN: A. Pavelko  
ATTN: H. Holloway  
ATTN: P. Guilfoyle  
ATTN: R. Kingsland  
ATTN: A. Witteles

TRW Defense & Space Sys Group

ATTN: W. Willis  
ATTN: F. Fay  
ATTN: R. Kitter  
ATTN: M. Gorman

Vought Corp

ATTN: R. Tomme  
ATTN: Library  
ATTN: Tech Data Center

Westinghouse Electric Co

ATTN: L. McPherson

Westinghouse Electric Corp

ATTN: H. Kalapaca  
ATTN: D. Crichi

IRT Corp

ATTN: N. Rudie  
ATTN: J. Harrity

END

DATE  
FILMED

11-81

DTIC