FIE COP

の日本のない。素明的な感覚

HDL-CR-81-723-1

May 1981

Performance Calculations and Optimization of Gas Guns

Prepared by

Arnold E. Seigei 3302 Pauline Drive Chevy Chase, MD 20015

Under contract DAAG39-76/M-723

LEVEL

17







U.S. Anny Electronics Research and Development Command Harry Diamond Laboratories Adelphi, MD 20783

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

8

Neur 4/2442 6 23 006

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturers' or trade names does not constitute an official indorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

	E READ INSTRUCTIONS
1. REPORT HUNSER	VT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
HDL CR-81-723-1 40-A	101 602 9,
TITLE TANK SUEHILO)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVER
Performance Calculations and Opt	imization / Contractor's Repert.
	. FERFORMING ORG. REPORT HURSE
AUTHORID	- CONTRACT OR GRANT HUMBERY ()
Arnold E. Selgel	
- SERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT TAS
3302 Pauline Drive	Program Element
chesy chase, MD 20015	6.21.20.A
- COL ROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12 REPORT DATE
Harry Diamond Laboratories	11 May #981 4
Adelphi, Maryland 20783	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
A NONITORING AGENCY HAME & ADORESETT diterent tres of	Controlling Oliton) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (si uto report) Unclassified
	The DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNDRADING
	1CH COULE
. DIST MELITION STATEMENT (of the obstract untered in Binci	2 30, 16 diferent free Report)
7. CIST MOUTION STATEMENT (of the observations of longing) 	k 30, if diferent tres Report)
7. CLET MENTION STATEMENT (of the memory in Elect 	A 20, 16 diferente bres Region)
T. DIST MELITION STATEMENT (of the observations of the Elect 	A 20. If diferent true Report)
T. CHETTINGUTION STATEMENT (of the element entered in Elem 	tr Sty Mach auguster)
A DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the desired in Sized 	t 19. If different tree Report)

412442

• •

•

CONTENTS

2

.

a de la forma se tra se a constante de la servició de la servició de la constante de la servició de la servici La definición de la servición de la servición de la servición de la servición de la constante de la servición de

1

Ľ.

5

										Page
NOM	ENCLATURE		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
1.	INTRODUC		•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	7
	1.1 Use	of Gas Guns at Harry Diamond Laboratories	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
	1.2 Preb	irned Propellant Gun	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	7
2.	CALCULAT	IONS ON HDL GAS GUNS	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	8
	2.1 Assu	nptions Made in Calculations	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
	2.2 Metho	ods Used to Calculate Gas Gun Performance	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	9
3.	RESULTS	OF CALCULATIONS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
	3.1 Exist	ing HDL Guns	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	10
	3.2 Futur	te HDL Guns.	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	10
	J'] PITG	its which becrease projectile velocity	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	11
Appe	endix A.	Description of the Preburned Propellant Gun .	٠	٠	•	•	٠	٠	•	29
Appe	endix B.	The Gas Dynamics Equations for a Chambered Pro	pe	11	an	it				
		Gas Gun	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	31
Appe	andix C.	Calculations by Electronic Computing Machines	٠	٠	•	۰	•	٠	•	37
Арре	andix D.	The Special Solution of Pidduck-Kent	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	39
DIST	TRIBUTION		•	•	•	•		•	•	45

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	HDL Preburned Propellant Gas Gun	7
2	HDL Gas Gun	7
3	2-Inch Gun, Air Driven	11
4	2-Inch Gun, Helium Driven	11
5	Comparison of Air and Helium Drivers for 2-Inch Gun	12
6	Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length	
	(3-Inch) Air Driven Gun	12
7	Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length	
	(3-Inch) Helium Driven Gun	13
8	Comparison of Air and Helium Drivers for Variable Breech	
	Position in Fixed Length 3-Inch Gun	13
9	Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length	
	(4-Inch) Nitrogen Driven Gun	14
10	Velocity as Function of Breach Position for Fixed Length	
	(4-Inch) Helium Driven Gun	14
11	Effect of Chambrage on 4-Inch Nitrogen Driven Gun	15

CONTENTS (cont.)

1

Figure		Page
12	Expected Characteristics of 7-Inch Infinite Reservoir Gun	16
13	Predicted Performance of 7-Inch Gun with 100-Foot Driver	
	Section	16
14	Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length	10
	3-Inch and 9-Inch Launchers	18
15	Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length	
	Air Gun, D/D , = 1,	19
16	Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length	
•	Air Gun, $D_0/D_1 = 2$	19
17	Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length	20
10	Air Gun, Max Acceleration = 320 g	20
10	Verocity as function of breech position for fixed Length	20
19	Velocity as Europian of Breech Position for Fired Length	20
	Air Gun. Max Acceleration = 3200 g	21
20	Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length	
	Helium Gun, $D_0/D_1 = 1 $	22
21	Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length	
	Helium Gun, $D_0'D_1 = 2$	22
22	Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length	
	Helium Gun. Max Acceleration = 320 g	23
23	Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length	
	Helium Gun, Max Acceleration = 1200 g	23
24	Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length	
	Helium Gun, Max Acceleration = 3200 g	24
25	Valocity as Runction of Breach Position for Fixed Length Cas	
4.5	Guns Max Acceleration = 3:0 g.	25
26	Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length Gas	~
	Guns, Max Acceleration = 1280 g	25
27	Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length Gas	
	Guns, Max Acceleration = 3200 g	26

Table

The Respective Party and Party

a state of the second secon

ر بر

₽,

I	Existing HDL Gas Guns	8
11	Future HDL Gas Guns	9

NOMENCLATURE

See - .

Sound speed of driver gas. a A Local gun cross-sectional area. b Covolume. D Inside diameter. E Internal energy of driver gas in control volume. Initial (maximum) projectile acceleration. g G Mass of driver gas initially in reservoir. h Enthalpy of driver gas. L Gun barrel length. Mass of driver gas in control volume. D. M Projectile mass. Arbitrary integer. n N Degrees of freedom of driver gas. P Gas pressure. R Gas constant. t Time. T Gas temperature. Gas Velocity. u ūp Projectile Mach number based on initial gas sound speed (u_{n}/a_{n}) . Specific volume. V X Dimensional position coordinate along gun axis. **x**p Nondimensional gun barrel length (P_0A_1L/Ma_0^2) . Z Fractional pin position in gun. $[X_{a}/(X_{a}+L)]$ Parameter of Pidduck Kent solution. α Driver gas adiabatic constant (5/3 for helium; 7/5 for air and uitrogen). Y Local instaneous driver gas density. Q Initial gas density in reservoir. ۵⁰ Reimann function of driver gas. ٥ Accession For Subscripts 67.23 Control volume. С 0, o Initial state in chamber at gun. Position in barrel at exit of transitions section. 1 1 Gas barrel. Ø Projectile. ្រំខេង 1. 2/62

RE: Contract DAAG-39-76-M-723 There should be four digits at end of contract but contract office at HDL unable to verify. The records have been retired per Ns. Dydak, contract office and Mr. Curshack, project officer

5

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Use of Gas Guns at Harry Diamond Laboratories

Gas guns are used at the Harry Diamond Laboratories (HDL) to accelerate ordnance items to velocities typical of artillery projectiles, i.e., 500 to 4000 ft/s.

For certain test considerations, it is necessary to achieve the required velocity with a limited acceleration or g force exerted on the projectile while in the gun. Therefore, long barreled guns operating at low pressures are used. These guns are located in fixed length rooms. The characteristics of the guns must be known to configure them properly and to maximize the achievable velocity imparted to the fuze or fuze component for a particular acceleration level.

1.2 Preburned Propellant Gun

The gas guns at HDL are classed as preburned propellant (PP) guns. PP guns are described in appendix A. Schematically, they appear as sketched in figure 4. (See Nomenclature page for meanings of symbols.)



Figure 1. HDL Preburned Propellant Gas Gun.

In the HDL gas gun, the compressed gas (nitrogen, air, or helium) is at room temperature ($T_0 \approx 20^{\circ}$ C); one type of PP gun used at HDL is shown in figure 2.



HECEDING PAGE MANK-NOT TILLED

2. CALCULATIONS ON HDL GAS GUNS

Specifically, two tasks were undertaken. One was the calculation of the performance of various configurations of four existing HDL gas guns. These guns have inside barrel diameters of 2, 3, 4, and 7 in. Table I lists data pertaining to these existing HDL gas guns.

Bat	rel		Chamber	Projectile	Propelling	gas*
D ₁ (in.)	L + x (ft) ⁰	D (in.)	x (ft)	M (gm)	Туре	Max P (psi) ⁰
2	32		3.2 ft ³	300 to 1000	Helium or air	100
2	32	2	Infinite**	300 to 1000	Air	14.7
3	97	3	20	1000 to 2000	Helium or air	600
4	100	4	12, 24, or 36	400 to 3000	Helium or air	600
7	100 or 314	7	Infinite**	400 to 10,000	Air	14.7
7	314	77	100***	400 to 10,000	Helium or air	100

TABLE I. EXISTING HDL GAS GUNS

*T_o = room temperature.

Projectile initially positioned at beginning of barrel with back of projectile subjected to atmosphere. *Possible configuration. The second task was the calculation of the performance of and optimization of two future planned HDL gas guns. One gun will have a 3-in. inside barrel diameter; the other will have a 9-in. inside barrel diameter. Table II lists data pertaining to these future HDL gas guns.

Overall length (L + x ₀) (ft)	Barrel D1 (in.)	Chamber D O	Max acceleration	Max P _o /M based on max acceleration	Propelling gas
200	3	To be optimized	3200 g	l psi/gm	Helium or air
200	9	To be optimized	3200 g	9 psi/gm	Helium or air

TABLE II. FUTURE HDL GAS GUNS

2.1 Assumptions Made in Calculations

The following assumptions were made in the calculations:

- (1) The compressed propellant gas behaves as an ideal gas.
- (2) The compressed propellant gas expands isentropically. (Thus, gaseous frictional and heat-transfer effects are assumed negligible.)
- (3) Projectile friction is negligible.
- (4) There is no gas leakage around the projectile.
- (5) The pressure in front of the projectile is negligible.

These assumptions are discussed further in section 3.3.

2.2 Methods Used to Calculate Gas Gun Performance

The following two methods were used to calculate the performance of the HDL gas guns:

(1) The plots that were used were dimensionless projectile velocity, \bar{u}_{p} , versus nondimensional length, \bar{x}_{p} , plots. They were obtained by applying the method of characteristics for the constant diameter chamber and the constant diameter barrel. Steady flow equations were used in the transition section. The calculations were done by electronic computing machines.

¹A. E. Seigel, The Theory of High Speed Guns, Agardograph 91 (May 1965), obtainable from the National Technical Information Service, Defense Documentation Center, Springfield, VA, AD 475660. The gas dynamics equations that were used are described in appendix B.

Additional information relative to the plots obtained from the electronic computers is discussed in appendix C.

(2) The second method used was the Pidduck-Kent Special Solution. This closed-form solution is an approximation to the wave solution. It is particularly accurate when applied to low G/M cases. (G is the gas mass, and M is the projectile mass.) This procedure was used to calculate performance in cases where the dimensionless u_p versus x_p plots were difficult to read and where G/M was less than 1/4. Appendix D describes the Pidduck-Kent Special Solution.

3. RESULTS OF CALCULATIONS

3.1 Existing HDL Guns

The calculated results for the existing HDL guns are presented as plots in figure 3 to 13.

Figures 3 to 5 (2-in. gun) abow projectile velocity (u_p) as a function of initial pressure (P_0) , projectile mass (M), and type of gas (helium or air).

Figures 6 to 8 (3-in. gun) show the effects on projectile velocity of varying chamber length (x_0) , M, and gas (helium or air).

Figures 9 to 11 (4-in. gun) show the effects on projectile velocity of varying x_0 , diameter ratio (D_0/D_1) , and gas (helium or air).

Finally, figures 12 and 13 show the effects on projectile velocity of varying M, length of barrel, and gas (helium or air).

3.2 Future HDL Guns

The calculated results for the future HDL guns are presented as plots of u_p versus x_n in figures 14 to 27.

It was established here that the guns with 3- and 9-in.-diameter barrels could both be represented by the same u_p versus x_p plots because

$$\vec{u}_p = u_p/a_o = \phi (\hat{x}_p, G/M, D_o/D_1, \text{given gas}),$$





And the party of the second

and the second state of th

and the second se









S.

Figure 7. Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length (3-Inch) Helium Driven Gun



Figure 8. Comparison of Air and Helium Drivers for Variable Breech Position in Fixed Length 3-Inch Gun 13











1....









No. No.

where

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{p} \equiv \mathbf{P}_{0} \mathbf{A}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{p} / \mathbf{M} \mathbf{a}_{0}^{2}$$

$$G/M = \rho_0 A_0 x_0 / M = (\gamma P_0 A_1 x_0 / Ma_0^2) (D_0' / D_1).$$

Since the gun length, L, for both the 3- and 9-in. guns is 200 ft,

 $L = 200 - x_0$.

Also, the acceleration may be expressed as

 $g = P_0 A_1 / M_{\bullet}$

From the above equations, one obtains

 $u_p = u_p$ (g, x_o , D_o/D_1 , given gas).

Thus, both the 3- and 9-in. guns are represented by the same plots for the case of equal g force.

Figure 14 shows a general arrangement of the 3- and 9-in. gas guns. This figure shows that three values for the maximum g force will be featured (3200, 1280 and 320 g).

Figures 15 to 19 are plots of u_p versus x_0 for the three values of g force, varying D_0/D_1 , and using compressed air as the propelling gas. Figures 20 to 24 are similar plots using compressed helium.

Figures 25 to 27 compare results obtained for compressed air and helium by showing u_p versus x_o for varying D_o/D_1 ratios for the three g values.

From the plots in these figures one may optimize the performance of the 3- and 9-in. gas guns by proper selection of the gun geometry. For example, from figure 27, if maximum velocity were desired at a maximum acceleration of 3200 g, one would design a gun with a $D_o/D_1 = 2$ and $x_0 = 30$ ft or so. One should check to make certain that the quantity of gas emerging from the barrel behind the projectile would not interfere with the experimental setup and could be taken care of safely.

3.3 Effects which Decrease Projectile Velocity

In the calculations, these were assumed:

(1) The compressed propellant gas is an ideal gas. Actually, the gas behavior is nonideal; as a result, the projectile velocity will be less than calculated, as has been demonstrated.¹ Nevertheless, the exact equation of state could be used to obtain the correct projectile velocity.

A. E. Seigel, The Theory of High Speed Guns, Agardograph 91 (May 1965), obtainable from the National Technical Information Service, Defense Documentation Center, Springfield, VA, AD 475660.



and the second second from

in State

UNITE CALLMONTON THE

Sec. Sec.

and the

ł

MAXIMUM ACCELERATION • Pcat	. MARINUM ALCELERATION POR EACH CHARTER IN UNITE OF <u>PEI</u> (EQUAL TO M)		
IN g	01 - 2"	01+#*	
2200 9	1 PEUGRAM	9 PSI/GRAM	
1280 y	C + FEUGRAM	3 & PELIGRAM	
329 y	. I PEUGRAM	# # #\$1/GRAM	

Figure 14. Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length 3-Inch and 9-Inch Launchers













Figure 24. Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length Helium Gun, Max Acceleration = 3200 g

Salar South and Back



2

.





2

1

AND ADDRESS OF ADDRESS

State of the second

Figure 27. Velocity as Function of Breech Position for Fixed Length Gas Guns, Max Acceleration = 3200 g

26

(2) The compressed propellat: gas expands isentropically. In fact, the gaseous friction of effects and heat-transfer effects do decrease the projectile velocity. The quantitative decrease is a function of u_p/a_0 , as has been shown.¹

(3) Projectile friction is negligible. Whether or not this is true depends on the precision specified in fabrication of barrel and projectile as well as on the type of projectile material used.

(4) There is no gas leakage around the projectile. Again, this depends on the projectile and barrel construction, materials, and seals.

(5) The pressure in front of the projectile is negligible. This may be assured by evacuating the barrel.

Depending on the above effects in the region of velocities of the HDL gas guns, the experimental velocities will range from 2 to 12 percent of the calculated theoretical velocities.

¹A. E. Seigel, The Theory of High Speed Guns, Agardograph 91 (May 1965), obtainable from the Nationa. Technical Information Service, Defense Documentation Center Springfield, VA, AD 475660.

APPENDIX A .-- DESCRIPTION OF THE PREBURNED PROPELLANT GUN

Here is described the gun system in which the propellant has been completely reacted before the projectile is allowed to move. This gun system is termed a preburned propellant (PP) gun. The gun consists of a chamber of diameter D_0 joined by means of a transition section to a barrel of diameter D_1 . The projectile is positioned initially so that its back end is at the beginning of the barrel section. Immediately before the projectile begins to move, the reacted propellant produces a gas in the chamber at an initial and peak pressure, P_0 ; sound speed, a_0 ; temperature, T_0 , etc. (See fig. A-1.) (In the case of Harry Diamond Laboratories (HDL) guns, the zero subscript values are the initial conditions of the compressed gas).





When the chamber diameter is greater than the barrel diameter $(D_0/D_1 > 1)$, the gun is described as a "chambered" gun, or a gun with "chambrage." When the chamber diameter is equal to that at the barrel, the gun is described as "having no chambrage," or as a "constant diameter gun."

In practice, a PP gun may employ a diaphragm to separate the propellant in the chamber from the projectile; this diaphragm is ruptured when the propellant has completed its reaction. Another possibility is the use of a "shear disc" around the projectile itself: the disc shears when the reaction has been completed. The HDL pp gun uses as a propellant a nonreacting gas (such as compressed helium or air). A retractable pin restrains the projectile.

PRECEDING PACE BLANK-NOT FILMED

In a PP gun, the projectile is restricted from movement until the pressure has reached a peak value; it can be shown that, after the projectile is released, the pressure behind the projectile decreases as the projectile increases in velocity and moves along the barrel. (See fig. A-2).

1



Figure A-2. Pressure versus Velocity in Gun Barrel.

APPENDIX B. - THE GAS DYNAMICS EQUATIONS FOR A CHAMBERED PROPELLANT GAS GUN

To determine analytically the behavior of the expanding propellant gas in a chambered gun, the assumption is made that the flow is isentropic.

The one-dimensional characteristic equations are applicable to the constant diameter chamber and are applicable to the constant diameter barrel:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$
 $(u \pm \sigma) + (u \pm a) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u \pm \sigma) = 0$,

where the sound speed, a, and the Riemann function, σ , are defined by

 $a^2 \equiv \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}\right)_s$, $d\sigma \equiv \left(\frac{dp}{a\rho}\right)_s$,

With the notation

$$\frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (u \pm a) \frac{\partial}{\partial x},$$

the characteristic equations become

$$\frac{D(u \pm \sigma)}{Dt} \approx 0 \tag{B-1}$$

(See fig. B-1).



The gas flow in the transition section, which joins the chamber to the bore, is actually a two-dimensional unsteady flow. However, it is not feasible to solve the two-dimensional unsteady equations. There are two possible approximate methods of 'treating the flow through the transition section. The first method is to assume that the change in area from the chamber to the barrel occurs gradually so that the flow may be assumed to be one-dimensional. Then, the one-dimensional characteristic method can be applied to this change in area section. The characteristic equations become, for the change in area section,

$$\frac{D(u \pm \sigma)}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u \pm \sigma) + (u \pm a) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u \pm \sigma) = \pm \frac{au}{A} \frac{dA}{dx}, \quad (B-2)$$

where u is the gas velocity, a is the sound speed, σ is the Riemann function, and A is the cross-sectional area of the gas layer at position x in time t. These equations require a tedious numerical procedure to solve and are generally not suitable for hand computation. However, the quantity u^z σ , in contrast to the constant diameter case, does not remain constant for disturbances in the transition section.

The second approach, one chosen here as being more convenient and a good approximation to the actual situation, is to assume the following: At any given time, the rate of change of mass and energy within the transition section is negligible relative to the differences between the exit and entrance fluxes of these quantities; thus, the changes due to variations of time are assumed negligible relative to those due to the variations in position within the control volume. This assumption is made clear by taking as a control volume the transition section as shown in figure B-2.





Then the applicable equations of continuity and energy are, respectively,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix} = (\rho u A)_{c} - (\rho u A)_{i}$$
ConVol
(B-3)

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{ConVol}} = \left[\left(h + \frac{u^2}{2}\right)(\rho u A)\right]_{\text{c}} - \left[\left(h + \frac{u^2}{2}\right)(\rho u A)\right]_{\text{i}} (B-4)$$

where m and E are the mass and the internal energy in the transition section. By our assumption above, the two unsteady terms on the left side of equations (B-3) and (B-4) are negligible.

It is observed that, if the transition is rather sudden, the control volume is small; hence, since the unsteady terms on the left side of these equations are proportional to the magnitude of the control volume, the unsteady terms are necessarily small. Thus, in the case of a sudden transition, the assumption above is automatically valid.

With this assumption, the equations which are applicable to relate the conditions at the entrance of a transition section to those at the exit of the transition section are the quasi-steady equations of continuity and energy. Thus, at each instant of time, the applicable equations are

$$h_c + \frac{u_c^2}{2} = h_1 + \frac{u_1^2}{2}$$
 = function of time, (B-5)

$$\rho_{c}u_{c}A_{c} = \rho_{i}u_{i}A_{i} =$$
function of time. (B-6)

Since the flow has been assumed isentropic, the thermodynamic relation between enthalpy and pressure is

$$dh = (dp/\rho)_{n} \tag{B-7}$$

and

$$h_{i} - h_{c} = \int_{P_{c}}^{P_{i}} dp/\rho \qquad (B-8)$$

Equation (5) becomes

$$\frac{u_1^2 - u_c^2}{2} = \int_{P_1}^{P_c} dp/\rho.$$
 (B-9)

It may be shown from equations (B-3) and (B-4) that the use of the quasi-steady flow equations to describe the gas flow between the chamber and the barrel of the gun yields a larger projectile velocity chan would be yielded by the use of the actually applicable unsteady equations. However, experimental results from a chambered PP gun by Seigel and Dawson have demonstrated that the difference was small enough to be unmeasurable. These experiments were made with a gun using room temperature air at about 3000 lb/in² as a propellant. The gun had a 0.50-in.-diameter barrel, which could be joined to various chambers of 30-deg half-angle taper. The projectiles were 1-gm plastic projectiles and were sheared by the compressed air in the chamber. The measured projectile velocities were compared with the theoretically predicted velocities based on the use of the quasi-steady equations above.

The comparison showed that the quasi-steady flow approximation in the transition section yields good agreement with experiment.

Figure 5-3 diagrams the characteristics of a chambered PP gun in action.



Figure B-3. Preburned Propellant Gun in Action.

Characteristics may be drawn in the transition section by fairing them from the known conditions at the inlet to the known conditions at the exit. The simple wave region in the chamber for which $u + \sigma = \sigma_0$ is denoted by the letters A, B, and C.

. . .

With equations (B-1), (B-6), and (B-8) and the isentropic equations of state of the gas, it is possible to calculate quantitatively the behavior of the projectile in a PP chambered gun.

For the HDL gas guns, the ideal equation of state was used:

$$p = \rho RT, \qquad (B-10)$$

$$p = \rho^{\gamma} p_{o} / \rho_{o}^{\gamma}$$
(B-11)

From these equations, the sound speed and the Riemann function become

$$a^2 = \gamma p / \rho = \gamma RT, \qquad (B-12)$$

$$\sigma = 2a/(\gamma - 1),$$
 (B-13)

where σ is taken to be zero at a = 0. The enthalpy for an ideal gas is

$$h = a^2/(\gamma - 1) = (\gamma - 1) \sigma^2/4.$$
 (B-14)

APPENDIX C.---CALCULATIONS BY ELECTRONIC COMPUTING MACHINES

The method of characteristics as outlined in Appendix B may be numerically applied to calculate the performance of a preburned propellant (PP) gun system. However, in the cases where the chamber is not effectively infinite in length, hand calculation becomes extremely lengthy and tedious. Further, the accuracy of the calculated results depends on the spacing of the numerical points. The greater the spacing, the greater the error; hand calculation, particularly, does not ellow small spacing. Thus, calculating by electronic computing machines offers great advantages compared with hand calculation. Not only is much time saved, but accuracy may be substantially increased.

Calculations have been obtained by electronic computing machines. The results may be expressed in terms of dimensionless plots of \bar{u}_p versus \bar{x}_p , or they may be in terms of other dimensionless variables. Thus, a plot of dimensionless projectile velocity versus projectile travel for a given geometry (i.e., for a given D_0/D_1 and a given G/M) has been found convenient. The results of computations wade for the U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory at the Naval Weapons Laboratory on electronic computing machines have been published for a PP ideal gas gun.¹ The plots in figure C-1 present curves of u_p/a_0 versus \bar{x}_p for varying values of G/M and a given D_0/D_1 and γ (as adapted from Agardograph 91, fig. 20^1).



Figure C-1. Curves for Preburned Propellant Ideal Gas Gun Behavior.

PHEGEDING PAGE MANK-NOT TILMED

¹A. E. Seigel, The Theory of High Speed Guns, Agardograph 91 (May 1965), fig. 20 and 21, obtainable from the National Technical Information Service, Defense Documentation Center, Springfield, VA, AD 475660.

The curve marked $G/M = \infty$ is the infinite chamber length case.

The above curves are replotted in figure C-2 as u_p/a_0 versus $P_0A_1x_p/Ma_0^2$ for varying values of D_0/D_1 and a given G/M and γ (as adapted from Agardograph 91, fig. 21¹).



Figure C-2. Replotted Curves for Preburned Propellant Ideal Gas Gun Behavior.

Figures 20 and 21 of Agardograph 91^1 thus present the entire performance of a projectile in a PP ideal gas gun with chambrage (chamber).

¹A. E. Seigel, The Theory of High Speed Guns, Agardograph 91 (May 1965), fig. 20 and 21, obtainable from the National Technical Information Service, Defense Documentation Center, Springfield, VA, AD 475660.

APPENDIX D.--THE SPECIAL SOLUTION OF PIDDUCK-KENT

The classical LaGrange Problem of Internal Ballistics presents the situation where a projectile initially at rest in a constant crosssectional area gun is propelled by a propellant which burns instantaneously. This is the problem of the preburned propellant (PP) gun. The process is considered to be one-dimensional, frictionless, and adiabatic. LaGrange initiated the study of this problem in 1793 when he presented an approximate solution to the problem. After LaGrange had initiated the study of the LaGrange Problem, Hugoniot extended Riemann's theory of waves of finite amplitude and applied it to the problem; he solved it to the point where the first expansion disturbance shed by the projectile reached the breech. Gossot and Louisville went still further and followed the first expansion disturbance after it had been reflected from the breech back to the projectile. The culmination of this method of attack (which did not use the method of characteristics) was the complete solution by Love in 1921 up to the first disturbance traveling back and toward the breech for the third time.

Love replaced the system of hyperbolic quasi-linear partial differential equations, which describe the problem by a single partial differential equation of second order, for one single dependent variable and solved it separately for each wavelet. His solution contained lengthy and involved computations and was valid only for a Noble-Abel gas (with isentropic relation $p(v - b)\gamma = constant$), whose ratio of specific heats was of the form

$$\gamma = \frac{2n+1}{2n-1},$$

where n is an integer.

and the second second

Pidduck noted, from the results he had calculated with Love's equations, that the ratio of the breech pressure to the pressure of the gas directly behind the projectile oscillated as shown in figure D-1.



This oscillation results from the lowering of the pressure occurring as the first disturbance reflects back and forth between breech and projectile. Pidduck found that the oscillations damped out and that the pressure ratio approached a certain limiting value. He then deduced a "special solution" to the governing differential equations which indeed did yield the condition that the ratio $p_{(x=-x_0)}/p_p$ is a constant, not only in a limit but at all times. This solution, an analytic one, did not satisfy the initial conditions of the LaGrange Problem; the initial conditions for the special solution were a nonuniform distribution of density and pressure. Pidduck and all later investigators have suspected, but not proved, that the accurate solution to the LaGrange Problem approached the special solution in the limit of large travel.

The special solution has also been derived by Kent and by Vinti and Kravitz (see also Corner). It is often referred to as "The Pidduck-Kent Special Solution" or "Pidduck Special Solution." The essential results are

$$\frac{u}{up} = \frac{x + x_0}{x_p + x_0}, \qquad (D-1)$$

$$\frac{P_{x} - x_0}{P_D} = (1 - \tilde{a}_0)^{-\gamma/(\gamma - 1)}, \qquad (J-2)$$

or, for a Y = 1 gas,

$$\frac{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}}}{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}}} = \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{0}}}, \qquad (D-3)$$

where a_0 and a_0 depend on G/M and Y, as shown below and plotted in figure p_{-2} .

$$\frac{G}{M} = \frac{2\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \tilde{a}_{0} (1 - \tilde{a}_{0})^{-\gamma/(\gamma - 1)} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \tilde{a}_{0}u^{2})^{1/(\gamma - 1)} du$$
(D-4)

Or, for a $\gamma = 1$ gas,

$$\frac{G}{M} = 2\alpha_0 e^{\alpha_0} \int_0^1 e^{-\alpha_0 \mu^2} d\mu . \qquad (D-5)$$



Figure D-2. Pidduck-Kent Special Solution.

For small G/M, \tilde{a}_0 may be approximated as

$$a_{0} = \frac{G(\gamma - 1)}{M(2\gamma)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{3\gamma - 1}{6\gamma}, \frac{G}{M} + \left[\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{12\gamma} + \frac{1}{180\gamma^{2}} \right] \left(\frac{G}{M} \right)^{2} + \cdots \right\}. \quad (D-6)$$

The projectile velocity is obtained as

$$u_{p} = \frac{2a_{0}\sqrt{\tilde{a}_{0}}}{\gamma - 1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{x_{0}}{x_{p} + x_{0}} \right)^{2} \gamma^{-1} \right]^{1/2}$$

$$= \frac{2a_{0}\sqrt{\tilde{a}_{0}}}{\gamma - 1} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{\gamma\bar{x}_{p}}{G/M} \right)^{1-\gamma} \right]^{1/2}$$
(D-7)

and, for Y = 1,

$$u_{p} = 2a \sqrt{\alpha}_{0} \left(\log_{e} \frac{x_{p} + x_{0}}{x_{0}} \right)^{1/2}$$
$$= 2a_{0} \sqrt{\alpha}_{0} \left[\log_{e} \left(1 + \frac{\bar{x}_{p}}{G/M} \right) \right]^{1/2}, \quad (D-8)$$

where

$$\bar{x}_{p} \equiv \frac{P_{0}A_{1}x_{p}}{Na_{0}^{2}}$$
 (D-9)

Thus, figure D-2 may be used with equations (D-7) to (D-9) to calculate the projectile velocity for any gun, even a chambered gun, although the solution was derived for a $D_0/D_1 = 1$ gun. Then, for the chambered gun, x_0 should be replaced by x_0A_0/A_1 in equations (D-7) and (D-8).

The above results may be deduced for a $D_0/D_1 = 1$ gun with a covolume propellant gas, and these results have been applied as an approximation even to the case of a chambered gun with a covolume propellant gas. In the chambered covolume case, the sound velocity, a_0 , in all the equations above should be replaced by $\sqrt{\gamma}RT_0 = \sqrt{\gamma}p_0(v_0 - b)$ and x_0 should be replaced by $(A_0x_0 - bG)/A_1$.

It is found that, when G/M becomes infinite, a_0 approaches 1. In this case, for the $D_0/D_1 = 1$ gun, the projectile velocity becomes, from equation (D-7),

$$u_{p} = \frac{2a_{o}}{\gamma - 1} \left[\frac{x_{o}}{x_{p} + x_{o}} \right]^{\gamma - 1} \frac{1/2}{\gamma}, \qquad (D-10)$$

which, for infinite travel distance, becomes equal to $2a_0/(\gamma - 1)$; this is, as it should be, the escape velocity for a $D_0/D_1 = 1$, $x_0 = \infty$ gun.

The special solution applies to the $D_0/D_1 = 1$ gun in which, initially, there is a pressure gradient in the propellant gas; the LaGrange ballistics problem (the PP gun), however, assumes no gradients initially. Pidduck and later investigators suspected, but never proved, that the special solution approaches the accurate solution in the limit of large travel. The results of calculations made on the electronic computing machines seem to confirm their suspicion.

The computed results indicate that, indeed, the special solution is an amazingly good approximation for the finite chamber length PP gun for any D_0/D_1 ; this is particularly true for G/M values less than 1/4.

DISTRIBUTION

ADMINISTRATOR

DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER ATTN DTIC-DDA (12 COPIES) CAMERON STATION, BUILDING 5 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

COMMANDER

US ARMY RSCH & STD GP (EUR) ATTN CHIEF, PHYSICS & MATH BRANCH FPO NEW YORK 09510

COMMANDER US ARMY MISSILE & MUNITIONS CENTER & SCHOOL ATTN ATSK-CTD-F REDSTONE ARSENAL, AL 35809

DIFECTOR

US ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN DRDAR-TSB-S (STINFO) ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005

ENGINEERING SOCIETIES LIBRARY 345 EAST 47th STREET ATTN ACQUISITIONS DEPARTMENT NEW YORK, NY 10017

US ARMY ELECTRONICS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COMMAND ATTN TECHNICAL DIRECTOR, DRDEL-CT

HARRY DIAMOND LABORATORIES ATTN CO/TD/TSO/DIVISION DIRECTORS ATTN RECORD COPY, 81200 ATTN HDL LIBRARY, 81100 (3 COPIES) ATTN HDL LIBRARY, 81100 (WOODBRIDGE) ATTN TECHNICAL REPORTS BRANCH, 81300 ATTN CHAIRMAN, EDITORIAL COMMITTEE ATTN LEGAL OFFICE, 97000

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUKEAU OF STANDARDS ATTN LIBRARY WASHINGTON, DC 20234

DIRECTOR DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY ARCHITECT BLDG 1400 WILSON BLVD ATTN MATERIALS SCIENCES ATTN ADVANCED CONCEPTS DIV ATTN TARGET ACQUISITION & ENGAGEMENT DIV ATTN WEAPONS TECH & CONCEPTS DIV ARLINGTON, VA 22209

COMMANDER US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND ATTN DRDAR-TS, TECHNICAL SUPPORT DIV DOVER, NJ 07801

COMMANDER US ARMY MATERIALS AND MECHANICS RESEARCH CENTER ATTN DRXMR-PL, TECHNICAL LIBRARY ATTN DRXMR-T, MECHANICS AND ENGINEERING LABORATORY WATERTOWN, MA 02172

ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE (DURHAM) ATTN DRXRO-EG, DIR ENGINEERING DIV P.O. BOX 12211 RESERACH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709

SUPERINTENDANT NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL ATTN LIBRARY, CODE 2124 MONTEREY, CA 93940

DIRECTOR NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN 2600, TECHNICAL INFO DIV WASHINGTON, DC 20375

COMMANDER

NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER ATTN E-40, TECHNICAL LIB WHITE OAK, MD 20910

DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT HEADQUARTERS, US AIR FORCE ATTN AFRDQSM WASHINGTON, DC 20330

AF AERO-PROPULSION LABORATORY WRIGHT-PATTERSON, AFB, OH 45433

COMMANDER

ARNOLD ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT CENTER ATTN DY, DIR TECHNOLOGY ARNOLD AIR FORCE STATION, TN 37389

SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES P.O. BOX 5800 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87185

CIVIL ENGINEERING RESEARCH FACILITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO P.O. BOX 198 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87131

PRECEDING PAGE MANK-NOT FILMED