


AD-A101 495

NEW JERSEY DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION TRENTON F/G 13/13  
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. SENECA LAKE DAM (NJ00768), DELAWARE--ETC(U)  
JUN 81 A PERERA DACW61-79-C-0011

UNCLASSIFIED

DAEN/NAP-53842/NJ00768-81/ NL

1 of 1  
AD-A101 495



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
8-81  
DTIC

# LEVEL II

6

①

# SENECA LAKE DAM

**NY 00768**

Final Rept.

# ADTIC

JUL 16 1985

**E**

# PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

16. Alhamdulillah Terima



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE  
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

17 11-22-11 11-22-11 11-22-11

1257

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Philadelphia District  
Corps of Engineers  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

REPT. NO. DAEN NAP 53842 / NJ 00768-82 86

11 JUN 1981

410891

81 7 15 0 02



FILE 100-2024

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER DAEN/NAP-53842/NJ00768-81/06	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A101495	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 1495	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Phase I Inspection Report National Dam Safety Program Seneca Lake Dam, NJ00768 Sussex County, NJ		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED FINAL	
7. AUTHOR(s) Perera, Abraham, P.E.		6. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DACW61-79-C-0011 ✓	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Louis Berger & Associates Inc. 100 Halstead St. East Orange, NJ 07932		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS NJ Department of Environmental Protection Division of Water Resources P.O. Box CN029 Trenton, NJ 08625		12. REPORT DATE June, 1981	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) U.S. Army Engineer District, Philadelphia Custom House, 2d & Chestnut Streets Philadelphia, PA 19106		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 50	
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified	
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151.			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Dams Embankments Visual Inspection Structural Analysis National Dam Safety Program Seneca Lake Dam, N.J. Spillways Erosion			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report cites results of a technical investigation as to the dam's adequacy. The inspection and evaluation of the dam is as prescribed by the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367. The technical investigation includes visual inspection, review of available design and construction records, and preliminary structural and hydraulic and hydrologic calculations, as applicable. An assessment of the dam's general condition is included in the report.			



IN REPLY REFER TO

NAPEN-N

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
CUSTOM HOUSE-2 D & CHESTNUT STREETS  
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19106

Honorable Brendan T. Byrne  
Governor of New Jersey  
Trenton, New Jersey 08621

6 JUL 1981

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	<input type="checkbox"/>
By	
Date	
Dist	
A	

Dear Governor Byrne:

Inclosed is the Phase I Inspection Report for Seneca Lake Dam, Sussex County, New Jersey which has been prepared under authorization of the Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367. A brief assessment of the dam's condition is given in the front of the report.

Based on visual inspection, available records, calculations and past operational performance, Seneca Lake Dam, initially listed as a high hazard potential structure, but reduced to a significant hazard potential structure as a result of this inspection, is judged to be in fair overall condition. The dam's spillway is considered inadequate because a flow equivalent to seven percent of the One Hundred Year Flood would cause the dam to be overtopped. To ensure adequacy of the structure, the following actions, as a minimum, are recommended:

a. The leaking outlet pipe should be repaired within thirty days from the date of approval of this report.

b. The spillway's adequacy should be determined by a qualified professional consultant engaged by the owner using more sophisticated methods, procedures and studies within six months from the date of approval of this report. Within three months of the consultant's findings remedial measures to ensure spillway adequacy should be initiated.

c. Within twelve months from the date of approval of this report the following remedial actions should be initiated:

(1) Remove heavy brush from the downstream embankment and fill and resod the eroded areas, animal burrows, and settlement on the backslope and dam crest.

(2) Repair spalled and cracked concrete at the spillway apron.

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE  
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

NAPEN-N

Honorable Brendan T. Byrne

(3) Repoint the spillway masonry sidewalls.

(4) Operate the blow-off valve periodically to ensure its proper functioning and keep the intake area free of excessive siltation. It is further recommended that the blow-off valve be opened and additional water released in anticipation of, or during, severe storms.

d. The owner should develop written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to ensure the safety of the dam within one year from the date of approval of this report.

e. An emergency action plan should be developed which outlines actions to be taken by the owner to minimize the downstream effects of an emergency at the dam within six months from the date of approval of this report.

A copy of the report is being furnished to Mr. Dirk C. Hofman, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, the designated State Office contact for this program. Within five days of the date of this letter, a copy will also be sent to Congressman Courter of the Thirteenth District. Under the provision of the Freedom of Information Act, the inspection report will be subject to release by this office, upon request, five days after the date of this letter.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Services (NTIS), Springfield, Virginia 22161 at a reasonable cost. Please allow four to six weeks from the date of this letter for NTIS to have copies of the report available.

An important aspect of the Dam Inspection Program will be the implementation of the recommendations made as a result of the inspection. We accordingly request that we be advised of proposed actions taken by the State to implement our recommendations.

Sincerely,

1 Incl  
As stated

*for Kenneth R. Moser, Major CE, DC*  
JAMES G. TON  
Colonel, Corps of Engineers  
Commander and District Engineer

Copies furnished:  
Mr. Dirk C. Hofman, P.E., Deputy Director  
Division of Water Resources  
N.J. Dept. of Environmental Protection  
P.O. Box CN029  
Trenton, NJ 08625

Mr. John O'Dowd, Acting Chief  
Bureau of Flood Plain Regulation  
Division of Water Resources  
N.J. Dept. of Environmental Protection  
P.O. Box CN029  
Trenton, NJ 08625

SENECA LAKE DAM (NJ00768)

CORPS OF ENGINEERS ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

This dam was inspected on 4 February 1981 by Louis Berger and Associates, Inc. under contract to the State of New Jersey. The State, under agreement with the U.S. Army Engineer District, Philadelphia, had this inspection performed in accordance with the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367.

Seneca Lake Dam, initially listed as a high hazard potential structure, but reduced to a significant hazard potential structure as a result of this inspection, is judged to be in fair overall condition. The dam's spillway is considered inadequate because a flow equivalent to seven percent of the One Hundred Year Flood would cause the dam to be overtopped. To ensure adequacy of the structure, the following actions, as a minimum, are recommended:

a. The leaking outlet pipe should be repaired within thirty days from the date of approval of this report.

b. The spillway's adequacy should be determined by a qualified professional consultant engaged by the owner using more sophisticated methods, procedures and studies within six months from the date of approval of this report. Within three months of the consultant's findings remedial measures to ensure spillway adequacy should be initiated.

c. Within twelve months from the date of approval of this report the following remedial actions should be initiated:

(1) Remove heavy brush from the downstream embankment and fill and resod the eroded areas, animal burrows, and settlement on the backslope and dam crest.

(2) Repair spalled and cracked concrete at the spillway apron.

(3) Repoint the spillway masonry sidewalls.

(4) Operate the blow-off valve periodically to ensure its proper functioning and to keep the intake area free of excessive siltation. It is further recommended that the blow-off valve be opened and additional water released in anticipation of, or during, severe storms.

d. The owner should develop written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to ensure the safety of the dam within one year from the date of approval of this report.

e. An emergency action plan should be developed which outlines actions to be taken by the owner to minimize the downstream effects of an emergency at the dam within six months from the date of approval of this report.

APPROVED: *Kenneth R. Moser* *mejce* DC.  
for JAMES G. TON  
Colonel, Corps of Engineers  
Commander and District Engineer

DATE: *July 1981*


PHASE I REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

Name of Dam Seneca Lake Dam Fed ID # NJ 00768

State Located	<u>New Jersey</u>
County Located	<u>Sussex</u>
Coordinates	<u>Lat. 4100.0 - Long. 7438.9</u>
Stream	<u>Lubbers Run</u>
Date of Inspection	<u>February 4, 1981</u>

ASSESSMENT OF  
GENERAL CONDITIONS

Seneca Lake is considered to be in a generally fair overall condition although its spillway capacity is inadequate and can accommodate only 6% of the 100-year design flood. It is recommended that the hazard classification be downgraded to significant since there are no homes immediately downstream although recreational facilities and an inn near the left abutment could be damaged in the event of a dam failure. While more precise hydraulic and hydrologic studies should be undertaken in the future to determine the feasibility of increasing the spillway capacity and the need for and type of mitigating measures necessary, the outlet pipe requires immediate repair. Other remedial measures to be undertaken in the future include the repair of the concrete at the spillway, removal of brush from the embankment, completion of the riprap work in progress on the upstream slope of the dam, repair of the eroded and settlement areas and filling of the burrows on the embankment. It is further recommended that the owner develop an emergency action plan and warning system to reduce the downstream hazard potential.

  
Abraham Perera P.E.  
Project Manager



February ,1981

Overview of Seneca Lake Dam

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Assessment of General Conditions	
Overall View of Dam	
Table of Contents	
Preface	
Section 1 - Project Information	1-5
Section 2 - Engineering Data	6
Section 3 - Visual Inspection	7-9
Section 4 - Operational Procedures	10-11
Section 5 - Hydraulic/Hydrologic	12
Section 6 - Structural Stability	13-14
Section 7 - Assessments/Recommendations/ Remedial Actions	15-16

## FIGURES

- Figure 1 - Regional Vicinity Map
- Figure 2 - Plan of Dam
- Figure 3 - Spillway and Dam Sections

## APPENDIX

Check List - Visual Inspection	i-viii
Check List - Engineering Data	ix-xii
Photographs	
Check List - Hydrologic and Hydraulic Data Computations	A1-A14

## PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines can be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of Phase I investigations is to identify expeditiously those dams that may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based on available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In the review of this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions will be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established guidelines, the spillway test flood is based on the estimated "probable maximum flood" for the region (greatest reasonable possible storm runoff) or fractions thereof. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition, and the downstream damage potential.

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM  
NAME OF DAM: SENECA LAKE DAM FED # NJ 00768

SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL

a. Authority

This report is authorized by the Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, and has been prepared in accordance with Contract FPM-36 between Louis Berger & Associates, Inc. and the State of New Jersey and its Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Resources. The State, in turn, is under agreement with the U.S. Army Engineer District, Philadelphia to have this inspection performed.

b. Purpose of Inspection

The purpose of this inspection is to evaluate the structural and hydraulic condition of the Seneca Lake Dam and appurtenant structures, and to determine if the dam constitutes a hazard to human life or property.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

Seneca Lake Dam is a 200-foot-long curved earthen structure with a concrete spillway at the right abutment. The embankment has a maximum height of 13 feet and a variable crest width ranging from 15 to 47 feet. The dam has a 1.5H:1V downstream slope and is somewhat arcuate in the plan view. The right half of the embankment strikes in a north-south direction, while the left half strikes S 40° E from the center to the east end of the dam. The concrete spillway is 19 feet wide with 2.25 foot high masonry side walls. A wooden foot bridge extends across the weir, and 9-inch-high flashboards restrict the clear opening beneath the bridge's soffit to 1.5 feet. The spillway is essentially flat for a distance of 30 feet downstream of the flashboards where a 3.5-foot stepdown is located. A 12-inch-diameter CMP drain is located about 50 feet from the left abutment of the dam at exit invert 847.5.

b. Location

The dam is located at the headwater of Lubbers Run approximately 200 feet north of the intersection of Seneca Lake and Tomahawk Lake roads in Sparta Township, Sussex County, New Jersey.

c. Size Classification

The dam at Seneca Lake has a maximum height of 13 feet and a maximum storage capacity of 96.6 acre-feet. Accordingly, this dam is in the small size category as defined by the criteria in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams (storage less than 1,000 acre-feet and height less than 40 feet).

d. Hazard Classification

The dam is located in a relatively undeveloped valley in the Sparta Mountains. While residential construction is increasing in the valley, development is presently along the hillsides out of the path of flood hazard. However, there is an inn located at the junction of the dam and the left abutment that could be extensively damaged if a dam breach occurred at that point. In addition, the stream channel passes under a local road about 200 feet downstream of the dam. Both the road and a pump house, located within 50 feet of the stream and road intersection, could be damaged by flood flows. Although the dam height is low and the downstream area is relatively flat, paths in the area between the dam and the road indicate heavy foot traffic and children playing in this area near the outlet channel immediately downstream of the dam could be endangered in the event of a sudden failure. Moreover, a lake 300 yards downstream is a popular public recreational area during the summer months, and the wooded area between the two lakes could be a source of recreation for children at any given time during the summer. Accordingly, it is recommended that Seneca Lake Dam be placed in the significant hazard classification.

e. Ownership

The western half of the dam is owned by the Seneca Lake Beach Association, P.O. Box 505, Sparta, New

Jersey, 07871. The president of the association, Mr. Jim Dickey, can be contacted at phone number: 201-729-3484. The remainder of the dam is owned by Chester Wallace, whose address is 401 Outwater Lane, Garfield, New Jersey, 07026.

f. Purpose of Dam

The purpose of the dam is recreation.

g. Design and Construction History

No information is available regarding the design or construction history of the dam.

h. Normal Operating Procedures

Operating procedures at the dam are limited to lowering the lake once a year in the fall in order to perform routine maintenance on the dam, its spillway, and the intake to the low level drain.

1.3 PERTINENT DATA

a. Drainage Area

Seneca Lake Dam has a drainage area of 1.8 square miles that consists primarily of sparsely developed woodlands.

b. Total spillway capacity at maximum pool elevation is 112 cfs.

c. Elevations

Top of dam	- 856.50
Spillway crest w/flashboards	- 855.00
Spillway crest w/o flashboards	- 854.25
Streambed at centerline of dam	- 843.50

d. Reservoir

Length of maximum pool (top of dam)	- 1670 feet
--	-------------

Length of recreation pool (spillway crest)	- 1600 feet
---	-------------

e. Storage (acre-feet)

Top of dam - 96.6  
Recreation pool - 58.2

f. Reservoir Surface (acres)

Top of dam - 28.2  
Recreation pool - 23.0

g. Dam

Type - Earth with concrete spillway at right  
abutment

Length - 200 feet

Height - 13 feet

Top width - Variable: 15-47 feet

Side slopes - 1.5H:1V downstream; upstream  
unknown

Zoning - Unknown

Impervious blanket - Unknown

Corewall - Unknown

Cutoff - Unknown

Grout curtain - Unknown

h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel

Type - None

i. Spillway

Type - Broad-crested concrete weir at right  
abutment

Weir length - 19 feet

Gates - 9-inch-high flashboards

U/S channel - Shallow, sandy-bottomed channel.

D/S channel - Concrete lined, 30-foot-long, positively sloped channel that steps down 3.5 feet to a natural stone-filled channel.

j. Regulating Outlets

A 12-inch-diameter, gate operated, CMP is located 50 feet from the left abutment at outlet invert elevation 847.5. This pipe appears to function as a low level drain.

## SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

### 2.1 DESIGN

No information was available regarding the Dam Application, design details, or the exact period when the dam was constructed. The NJDEP does not have microfilm records regarding this structure or a Dam Application number.

### 2.2 CONSTRUCTION

No information was available.

### 2.3 OPERATION

See Section 4.

### 2.4 EVALUATION

#### a. Availability

While nothing is known regarding the original design and construction of the dam, information pertaining to the geology of the area was obtained from the Geologic Map of New Jersey and regional engineering soil surveys. The dam is located in a narrow bedrock valley that is overlain by glacial drift and alluvium. The overburden consists of silty sands and gravels with an appreciable number of cobbles and boulders. The bedrock underlying the dam is Precambrian loose gneiss, a hard, dense rock that exhibits a well-developed joint system. The bedrock is near the ground surface immediately to the east and west of the dam.

#### b. Adequacy

In view of the modest height of the dam and its condition as observed in the field (see Section 3) the information gathered is believed adequate to perform the assessment contained hereafter.

#### c. Validity

No meaningful statement can be made, as there is a complete absence of engineering data.

## SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

### 3.1 FINDINGS

#### a. General

Visual inspection of Seneca Lake Dam was performed on February 4, 1981, at which time about an inch of water was passing over the spillway flashboards and 2 inches of water were issuing from the low level drain pipe, indicating that the valve is at least partially open. The dam appears to be in a generally fair condition, although the downstream embankment needs some cosmetic landscaping and a rather substantial leak was noted at the downstream toe.

#### b. Dam

The dam's embankment is in fair condition and exhibits signs of recent remedial work on the upstream side of the crest. Brush has been removed from the crest and stone has been placed at the water line for a distance of about 50 feet from the spillway. The remainder of the upstream edge of the crest exhibits signs of light wave erosion. The downstream slope of the embankment is covered with thickets and heavy brush and animal burrows were observed near the center of the slope. The crest width of the dam is very irregular, ranging from 47 feet near the spillway to 15 feet on the right side of the outlet pipe and, a few inches of settlement was observed in localized areas at the wider portion of the crest. Some erosion was noted on the downstream slope near the outlet pipe. Apparently, foot traffic contributes to this condition. In addition, a fairly substantial leak was observed 13 feet to the right of the pipe at the toe of the dam. Calculations based on the width, depth, and velocity of flow indicate that the leak is discharging 30 to 40 gallons per minute. Additional leakage, observed emanating from around the outside of the outlet pipe, was determined to be the result of a hole in that pipe. Since the hole is located about six feet from the outlet end of the pipe, the leak only occurs when the gate valve is open. A heavy seep was observed about 15 feet left of the outlet pipe at the toe of the dam. It appears as if this condition may have been a more substantial leak in the past since a

well-defined channel originates at this location and parallels the outlet pipe channel for a distance of 65 feet. The shallow seepage channel is slightly higher in elevation than the outlet pipe channel and is covered with damp, decaying leaves and other vegetation. Several boulders, weighing in excess of 1 ton, have been placed at the source of the seep in what was an apparently successful attempt to mitigate the effects of a more serious problem. While the leak emanating from around the outlet is the result of a hole in the pipe, the source of the large leak and the seep are less certain. Since foundation and internal conditions of the dam are unknown, it is uncertain if the flows are ground water upwelling from under the dam, seepage and leakage through or at the base of the dam, or lateral movement of ground water from the spillway channel or toe drains if such exist.

c. Appurtenant Structures

The spillway and side walls are in fair overall condition, although some concrete deterioration, consonant with the age of the structure, was noted. Spalling and cracking of the spillway slab was observed and a horizontal crack (cold joint) extending the width of the slab was noted at the 3.5-foot step at the end of the apron. While general concrete rehabilitation and repointing of the masonry sidewalls would improve the aesthetics and flow properties of the spillway, these repairs are not critical to the integrity of the structure and can be undertaken sometime in the future.

d. Reservoir

The lake is bounded on the east and west by relatively steep, heavily forested slopes with only light residential development in evidence around the southern end of the lake and Seneca Lake Road. The upper portion of the watershed has more gradual slopes and slightly denser development along the northern fringe. Observations of the lake were hampered by ice at the time of the inspection, but it appeared free of debris and other obstructions. The approach channel to the spillway appeared very

shallow with a sandy bottom. This is due to the creation of a bathing beach immediately adjacent to the right abutment of the spillway. Normal currents flowing to the spillway are transporting beach sand to the weir where it is deposited immediately in front of the flashboards. Water was issuing from the 12-inch CMP at the time of the inspection. The pipe, which appeared in satisfactory condition, had no outlet structure but seemed to be supported on large stones. The leak at this location emanates from a hole between the pipe and the stone. Communication with a representative of the owner indicated that the valve was opened last year to drain the lake so that repairs could be made to the dam. Apparently the valve was not closed after the work was completed, since it froze in an open position.

e. Downstream Channel

Discharge from the spillway flows down a natural, stone-laden channel that curves around the right end of the dam to a point where it is joined by the outlet pipe channel. The combined flows continue to a road culvert about 200 feet beyond the toe of the dam. The opening of the box culvert is 5 feet by 8 feet but would not present a constriction to very heavy flows since there is a saddle in the road, adjacent to the culvert, which would act as a spillway if water began to pile up against the road. The channel gradient and side slopes flatten out on the downstream side of Seneca Lake Road since the valley widens somewhat before the channel encounters Tomahawk Lake about 300 yards beyond the culvert.

## SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

### 4.1 PROCEDURES

The dam functions essentially unregulated throughout the year. Each year, in the fall, the association gets permission from the State Fish and Game Division to lower the lake for maintenance and dock repairs. The lake level remains down until March, at which time the lake is allowed to refill to normal pool elevation. No other operational procedures are practiced as a matter of routine; however, members of the association are available to lower the lake level should extraordinary circumstances dictate such an action.

### 4.2 MAINTENANCE OF DAM

Maintenance of the dam and spillway is reportedly performed by members of the lake association, but work accomplished is generally limited to light landscaping and debris removal from the spillway, drain inlet, or downstream channel. According to association representatives, a maintenance program currently in effect will, when completed, see the entire upstream side of the dam crest lined with rip rap in the zone of wave action.

### 4.3 MAINTENANCE OF OPERATING FACILITIES

As indicated in paragraph 4.2, maintenance of the drain is usually performed by members of the lake association. At present, this work is generally limited to cleaning debris and silt from the entrance and within the low level drain, checking the operation of the gate valve each year, and inspecting visible portions of the pipe for obvious defects or conditions requiring repairs.

### 4.4 DESCRIPTION OF WARNING SYSTEM IN EFFECT

No formal warning system exists at Seneca Lake, although members of the association make periodic inspections of the dam and spillways.

### 4.5 EVALUATION OF OPERATIONAL ADEQUACY

In view of the limited regulatory facilities present at the dam, the existing operational procedures are considered satisfactory. The employment of a regular periodic maintenance program is considered laudable. However, it is felt that the association should direct its maintenance efforts

in the immediate future toward the, apparently, more serious leakage problems at the toe of the dam. In addition, the association should develop an emergency action plan and warning system to minimize the potential downstream flooding hazards.

## SECTION 5 - HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

### 5.1 EVALUATION OF FEATURES

#### a. Design Data

Pursuant to the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, Seneca Lake Dam is a small size and significant hazard. Accordingly, the 100-year frequency storm was chosen as the design flood by the inspecting engineers. Inflow to the reservoir for the selected storm was calculated utilizing precipitation data from Technical Paper 40 and Technical Memo NWS Hydro-35 in conjunction with the HEC-1 computer program. A peak inflow of 2,033 cfs was computed which, when routed through the reservoir, reduced the peak discharge to 1,796 cfs. As the spillway capacity with the flashboards in place is 112 cfs, it can accommodate only 6% of the 100-year flood and is inadequate.

#### b. Experience Data

There are no streamflow records available for this site, nor have records been kept regarding the dam's hydraulic performance since its construction.

#### c. Visual Observations

At the time of inspection, the lake was completely frozen but water was still running over the weir. The flashboards were in place and it appears that little freeboard exists between the water surface elevation and the top of the dam, although no sign of overtopping in the recent past was observed.

#### d. Overtopping Potential

Employing the discharge and spillway capacities contained herein, overtopping of 2.1 feet would occur in the event of the 100-year frequency storm. However, there are no records or indications that the dam has ever been overtopped.

#### e. Drawdown

To dewater the lake, the gate valve for the 12-inch CMP would have to be opened. Drawdown is possible to elevation 847.5, and would take approximately 10 days to accomplish.

## SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY

### 6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

#### a. Visual Observations

No deficiencies of a structural nature were noted during the inspection of this dam. The crest is relatively uniform in a horizontal plan and, although the width of the dam crest is extremely variable, the maximum height-to-width ratio is conservatively modest (0.87). No indications of mass movement of material, sloughing, or cracking, were noted, although there appears to be a few inches of settlement in a small (2' x 6') localized area on the crest. In addition, the leak observed to the right of the outlet pipe requires monitoring to ensure that piping through the dam does not develop.

#### b. Design and Construction Data

As indicated in Section 2, no information is available regarding the design or construction history of the dam.

#### c. Operating Records

While no formal operating records are maintained by the lake association, the dam appears to have performed satisfactorily since its construction.

#### d. Post Construction Changes

The only modification at the dam appears to be the addition of a 9-inch-high flashboard to the spillway weir. Based on the USGS quadrangle map of the area, the flashboard was installed sometime between 1954 and 1971.

#### e. Seismic Stability

Seneca Lake Dam is located in Seismic Zone 1, where seismic activity is slight and additional structural loading imparted thereby is generally insignificant. Experience indicates that earthen dams in Zone 1 that are stable under static loading

conditions will maintain their structural integrity when subjected to the negligible dynamic loads imposed by the weak seismicity characteristic of this area. Since Seneca Lake Dam is considered stable under the existing static conditions, it is presumed the dam will maintain its structural integrity if subjected to seismic loading.

SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENTS/RECOMMENDATIONS/  
REMEDIAL ACTIONS

7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT

a. Safety

Subject to the inherent limitations of the Phase I visual inspection, Seneca Lake Dam is judged to be in a fair overall structural condition. However, the spillway is capable of accommodating only 6% of the 100-year frequency design flood with the flashboards in place. The spillway capacity can be increased to 205 cfs, or 11% of the design flood by providing for removal of the flashboard during periods of high inflow. While some dam overtopping could be tolerated without serious consequences because of the low height of the dam and its high crest width-to-height ratio (which ranges from 1.2:1 to 7.8:1), a more immediate problem is the leak observed at the toe of the downstream slope. It is recommended that this dam be placed in the significant hazard category because the area downstream of the dam is a source of recreation for local children and tourists during the summer months.

b. Adequacy of Information

With the exception of visual observations, no information was available for use in evaluating the condition of this dam. The lack of data pertaining to the composition and construction of the dam makes evaluation of the leakage at the toe of the dam difficult. Accordingly, the leaks should be monitored closely.

c. Urgency

While implementation of the recommendations pertaining to routine maintenance may be undertaken in the future, it is felt that monitoring of the leak and repair of the outlet pipe should begin immediately.

d. Necessity for Further Study

It is recommended that more precise hydraulic and hydrologic investigations be undertaken to refine the magnitude of the design flood and spillway calculations, and, to determine the need for, and

type of, mitigating measures that may be required. In addition, the extent and nature of remedial work required to correct the leak at the outlet pipe should be investigated.


## 7.2 RECOMMENDATIONS/REMEDIAL MEASURES

### a. Recommendations

It is recommended that monitoring of the leaks and repair of the outlet pipe begin immediately. It is further recommended that studies be undertaken in the future to determine the feasibility of permanently increasing the spillway capacity. In the interim, provisions should be made to have the flashboard removed during periods of high flow at the spillway. These provisions should include the attachment of handles to the flashboard to facilitate its removal.

### b. O&M Maintenance and Procedures

It is recommended that the association's existing maintenance program be continued and expanded to include removal of the heavy brush from the downstream embankment and filling and resodding the eroded areas, animal burrows, and settlement on the backslope and dam crest. The concrete spalling and cracking at the spillway apron should be undertaken in the future, as should the repointing of the spillway masonry sidewalls. It is recommended that the blow-off valve be opened periodically to ensure its proper functioning and keep the intake area free of excessive siltation. It is further recommended that the blow-off valve be opened and additional water released in anticipation of, or during, severe storms. The owners should develop an emergency action plan and downstream warning system to minimize the potential for flood damage downstream.








FIGURE 2



### SPILLWAY SECTION A-A

NOT TO SCALE



### TYPICAL DAM SECTION B-B

SHOWN SCHEMATICALLY - NOT TO SCALE

SENECA LAKE DAM

FIGURE 3

Check List  
Visual Inspection  
Phase 1

Name Dam Seneca Lake Dam County Sussex State New Jersey Coordinators NJDEP

Date(s) Inspection 2/4/81 Weather Overcast Temperature 15° F

Pool Elevation at Time of Inspection 855 M.S.L. Tailwater at Time of Inspection 842 M.S.L.

Inspection Personnel:

T. Chapter J. Ceravalo

A. Perera

J. Greenstein No representative of owner present.

A. Perera Recorder

# ENRAMPMENT

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SURFACE CRACKS	None observed.	
UNUSUAL MOVEMENT OR CRACKING AT OR BEYOND THE TOE	None observed.	
SLOUGHING OR EROSION OF ENRAMPMENT AND ABUTMENT SLOPES	Light erosion downstream near the outlet pipe. Irregular crest line upstream probably due to wave action.	Eroded areas on both slopes should be filled and regraded. Upstream slope should be protected by addition of riprap.
VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT OF THE CREST	Crest of dam fairly uniform and flat. Alignment is curved (arc) as constructed.	Width of crest varies considerably (see plan of dam).
RIPRAP FAILURES	No riprap on upstream slope except near spillway (see plan of dam). Large boulders at downstream toe near outlet pipe.	Apparently placed to halt erosion occurring near heavy seep.

## EMBANKMENT

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
VEGETATION	Downstream slope overgrown with trees and brush.	Should be cleared and regraded
JUNCTION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT, SPILLWAY AND DAM	Embankment grades smoothly into abutments.	
ANY NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Seepage at toe of dam about 35' from left abutment.</li> <li>2. Leak at downstream toe of dam about 63' from left abutment.</li> <li>3. Leakage occurring under 12" CMP.</li> </ol>	<p>Heavy boulders placed in area of seep.</p> <p>Flow from leak estimated to be 35 gal./min.</p> <p>Leak should be monitored, source determined, and condition corrected.</p>
STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER	None.	
DRAINS	12" CMP 50' from left end of dam  iii	

OUTLET WORKS

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CRACKING AND SPALLING OF CONCRETE SURFACES IN OUTLET CONDUIT	None.	
INTAKE STRUCTURE	Not observed.	
OUTLET STRUCTURE	None.	
OUTLET CHANNEL	Natural wooded channel to road culvert about 200 feet downstream. Channel widens on far side of road before entering Tomahawk Lake about 300 yards downstream from road.	Channel side banks about 2' high with variable side slopes.
EMERGENCY GATE	Valve on 12" pipe open at time of inspection.	Flow about 2" deep in pipe.

# UNCATED SPILLWAY

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CONCRETE WEIR	Concrete weir 19' wide w/9" flashboards. Broad crested weir is 30' long with a 3.5' step down to a concrete apron that slopes to the bouldery downstream channel	Concrete in poor condition, Spalled and cracked. Concrete and masonry side walls deteriorating. All concrete work at the spillway needs rehabilitation.
APPROACH CHANNEL	Not seen due to ice. Appears to be a gently sloping, sandy bottom.	
DISCHARGE CHANNEL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Between dam and downstream culvert: 12' wide stone bottom; height of banks 2'; right slope 5:1; left slope 4:1; heavy vegetation.</li> <li>At downstream culvert: embankment slopes 3.5' high, heavy growth above banks.</li> <li>Downstream of culvert: channel flattens and widens.</li> </ol>	Channel capacity appears adequate to accommodate maximum discharges.
BRIDGE AND PIERS	Wooden foot bridge over spillway. Clear opening of 18" between top of flashboards and timber soffits.	Bridges does not constrict flow until dam is overtopped.
	V	

# INSTRUMENTATION

VISUAL EXAMINATION	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
NONUMENTATION/SURVEYS	None.	
OBSERVATION WELLS	None.	
WEIRS	None.	
PIEZOMETERS	None.	
OTHER		

# RESERVOIR

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SLOPES	Heavily wooded w/light development. Surrounding hills slope about 2:1 to lake.	
SEDIMENTATION	Ice precluded estimation of amount of silt in lake.	

# DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CONDITION (OBSTRUCTIONS, DEBRIS, ETC.)	Wooded, bouldery stream channel to road culvert about 200 feet downstream. Culvert has 5' x 8' clear opening. Downstream of road the flood plain widens and become more densely forested before reaching the next lake about 300 yards downstream.	
SLOPES	Slopes of channel banks very variable and about 2' -3' high. Ground surface becomes flatter beyond channel banks and below downstream culvert.	
APPROXIMATE NO. OF HOMES AND POPULATION	No homes immediately downstream. Roads and downstream picnic area appear to be in flood path.	
	viii	

CHECK LIST  
ENGINEERING DATA  
DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION

ITEM	REMARKS
PLAN OF DAM	Not Available
REGIONAL VICINITY MAP	USGS Quadrangle
CONSTRUCTION HISTORY	Not Available
TYPICAL SECTIONS OF DAM	Not Available
HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC DATA	Not Available
OUTLETS - PLAN	Not Available
- DETAILS	
-CONSTRAINTS	
-DISCHARGE RATINGS	
RAINFALL/RESERVOIR RECORDS	Not Available

ITEM	REMARKS
SPILLWAY PLAN	Not Available
SECTIONS	
DETAILS	
OPERATING EQUIPMENT PLANS & DETAILS	Not Available

ITEM	REMARKS
DESIGN REPORTS	Not Available
GEOLOGY REPORTS	Not Available
DESIGN COMPUTATIONS HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULICS DAM STABILITY SEEPAGE STUDIES	Not Available
MATERIALS INVESTIGATIONS BORING RECORDS LABORATORY FIELD	Not Available
POST-CONSTRUCTION SURVEYS OF DAM	Not Available
BORROW SOURCES	Not Available

ITEM	REMARKS
MONITORING SYSTEMS	None observed
MODIFICATIONS	Not Available
HIGH POOL RECORDS	Not Available
POST CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING STUDIES AND REPORTS	Not Available
PRIOR ACCIDENTS OR FAILURE OF DAM DESCRIPTION REPORTS	Not Available
MAINTENANCE OPERATION RECORDS	Not Available



February, 1981

View of CMP Outlet (Note leak in foreground)




February, 1981

View of Seepage and Outlet Channel



February, 1981

View of Spillway and Footbridge



February, 1981

View of Downstream Road Bridge

CHECK LIST  
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC DATA  
ENGINEERING DATA

DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS: 1.8 sq. mi.

ELEVATION TOP NORMAL POOL (STORAGE CAPACITY): 855 MSL (58.2 ac. ft.)

ELEVATION TOP FLOOD CONTROL POOL (STORAGE CAPACITY): N/A

ELEVATION MAXIMUM DESIGN POOL: Unknown

ELEVATION TOP DAM: 856.5 MSL (96.6 ac. ft.)

CREST: Spillway

- a. Elevation 854.25 MSL
- b. Type Broad crested, concrete weir
- c. Width 19 feet
- d. Length 30 feet
- e. Location Spillover Right abutment of dam
- f. Number and Type of Gates Flashboard to elevation 855 MSL

OUTLET WORKS: Low Level Drain

- a. Type Gate operated CMP
- b. Location 50 feet from left abutment
- c. Entrance inverts Unknown
- d. Exit inverts 847.5 MSL
- e. Emergency draindown facilities Same

HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL GAGES: None

- a. Type \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Location \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Records \_\_\_\_\_

MAXIMUM NON-DAMAGING DISCHARGE: 112 cfs; 205 cfs w/o flashboard.

BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 CHKD. BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 SUBJECT \_\_\_\_\_

# LOUIS BERGER & ASSOCIATES INC.

SHEET NO. 14 OF 17  
 PROJECT AC-273

1. Channel Capacity  $Q = 3600 \text{ cfs}$   $S = 0.001$

$$S = 0.001 \text{ Slope } \frac{105' \times 0.001}{3600} = 2.9 \%$$

$$\text{normal velocity } V = 3 \text{ fps } \therefore \text{ then } \frac{3600}{3} = 1200 \text{ cfs}$$

$$\text{Length of channel } L = 105 \text{ ft. } (32 \text{ m})$$

$$S = 125' \text{ Slope } = \frac{125' \times 0.001}{4200} = 3.0 \%$$

$$\text{normal velocity } V = 1 \text{ fps } \therefore \text{ then } \frac{3600}{1} = 3600 \text{ cfs}$$

$$\text{Total } Q = 0.33 \text{ cfs} + 1.7 \text{ cfs} = 2.0 \text{ cfs}$$

2. Channel Capacity

$$Q = \left( \frac{1.49 \times 105^2 \times 0.001^3}{48.3} \right)^{0.385} = 0.5 \text{ cfs}$$

$$T = 105 \text{ ft. } \times 105 \text{ ft. } = 11025 \text{ sq. ft.}$$

3. Channel Capacity

Length of channel  $L = 105 \text{ ft.}$

80% normal  $Q = 200 \text{ cfs}$   $(105 \text{ ft. } \times 105 \text{ ft.})$

Normal  $Q = 200 \text{ cfs}$

$S = 0.001$

$Q = 3600 \text{ cfs}$

$$L = \frac{105 \times 105 \times 0.001}{1.49 \times 0.001} = \frac{11025 \times 0.001}{1.49 \times 0.001} = 1.0 \text{ cfs}$$

$$T = 105 \text{ ft. } \times 105 \text{ ft. } = 11025 \text{ sq. ft.}$$

$$Q = 1.5 \text{ cfs} \times 11025 \text{ sq. ft. } = 16537.5 \text{ cfs}$$

$$Q = 1.5 \text{ cfs} \times 11025 \text{ sq. ft. } = 16537.5 \text{ cfs}$$

BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_

CHKD. BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_

SUBJECT \_\_\_\_\_

# LOUIS BERGER & ASSOCIATES INC.

SHEET NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 414

PROJECT \_\_\_\_\_

$$1.7 = \frac{4.5}{2.7}$$

11.65

$$1.7 = \frac{4.5}{2.7} \times 1.51 \times 1.50 = 1.71$$

1.71

Dimensionless  
value

1.71  
(9.2.10.1)

1.71	1.71	1.561	45
1.71	1.71	1.511	159
1.71	1.71	1.531	346
1.71	1.71	1.536	547
1.71	1.71	1.577	636
1.71	1.71	1.765	629
1.71	1.71	1.931	547
1.71	1.71	1.667	415
1.71	1.71	1.504	387
1.71	1.71	1.355	250
1.71	1.71	1.200	175
1.71	1.71	1.222	145
1.71	1.71	1.75	112
1.71	1.71	1.707	83
1.71	1.71	1.795	64
1.71	1.71	1.776	49
1.71	1.71	1.662	40
1.71	1.71	1.247	31

$$\Sigma = 7630$$

$$7630 \times 1.51 \times 1.50 = 1.7165 \text{ or } 1.7165$$

BY                      DATE 2/8/51 LOUIS BERGER & ASSOCIATES INC. SHEET NO. 33 OF 411  
 CHKD. BY                      DATE                      PROJECT                       
 SUBJECT Test results for Shipyard

Precipitation data from TP-40 & NOAA Technical  
 Memorandum NWS Hydro -35

<u>Time</u>	<u>Precipitation</u>	<u><math>\Delta</math></u>	<u>Rearranged <math>\Delta</math></u>
0.25	1.66	1.66	0.06
0.50	2.30	0.64	0.07
0.75	2.70	0.40	0.07
1.00	3.00	0.30	0.08
1.25	3.25	0.25	0.09
1.50	3.44	0.19	0.10
1.75	3.60	0.16	0.11
2.00	3.75	0.15	0.13
2.25	3.89	0.14	0.15
2.50	4.02	0.13	0.19
2.75	4.14	0.12	0.30
3.00	4.25	0.11	0.64
3.25	4.35	0.10	1.66
3.50	4.45	0.10	0.40
3.75	4.54	0.09	0.25
4.00	4.63	0.09	0.16
4.25	4.71	0.08	0.14
4.50	4.79	0.08	0.12
4.75	4.87	0.08	0.10
5.00	4.94	0.07	0.09
5.25	5.01	0.07	0.08
5.50	5.08	0.07	0.08
5.75	5.14	0.06	0.07
6.00	5.20	0.06	0.06

BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_

# LOUIS BERGER & ASSOCIATES INC.

SHEET NO. 47 OF 48

CHKD. BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_

PROJECT SE

SUBJECT \_\_\_\_\_

Flow Chart  
 Section 1000  
 L = 170' 21" - 250'  
 (with 1000' long)


Flow Chart  
 Section 1000  
 L = 170' 21" - 170'  
 L = 250.0'

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
0								827
1.0	3.2	61	0	2.7	0		61	850
1.5		112	0		0		112	851.5
2.0		163	1		408		163	857.5
3.0		314	2		1,295		314	858.5
4.0		465	3		2,380		465	860.5
5.0		616	4		3,462		616	862.5
6.0		767	5		5,151		767	864.5
7.0		918	6		6,743		918	866.5
8.0		1,069	7		8,501		1,069	868.5
9.0		1,220	8		10,336		1,220	869.5

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE  
 FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDG

AS OF 1954

# JOHNSON LAKE DAM STAGE-DISCHARGE CURVE



1954 CURVES MADE BY DDC

BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE 5-11-68  
 CHKD. BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 SUBJECT \_\_\_\_\_


# LOUIS BERGER & ASSOCIATES INC.

SHEET NO. 42 OF 114  
 PROJECT 225

Area of 1/2 @ 1.500' = 23.0 sq. ft.  
 Area at 50' = 10.0 sq. ft.

2.000' @ 1.500' = 3.000'

2/ 500



Station	At 100 ft	At 200 ft	At 300 ft
500	0	23	0
505	1	24.75	24.75
510	2	26.5	52.25
515	3	28.25	84.50
520	4	29.5	112.50
525	5	31.7	138.0

Area of 1/2 @ 1.500' = 23.0 sq. ft.  
 Area at 50' = 10.0 sq. ft.

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE  
 FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDC



BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE 2/25  
 CHKD. BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 SUBJECT \_\_\_\_\_

# LOUIS BERGER & ASSOCIATES INC.

SHEET NO. 22 OF 14  
 PROJECT 305-12

Summary For H&M 2 Dept

Item No.	Qty	Discards	ELL
Spring 2000			M.S.
		(off)	
0	-		855
1	2.0	3.0	452
1.5	3.4	1.2	856.5
2.0	4.0	3.9	857.5
2.5	4.5	4.3	858.5
3.0	5.0	4.7	859.5
3.5	5.5	5.1	860.5
4.0	6.0	5.5	861.5
4.5	6.5	5.9	862.5

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE  
 FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDC

SHEET NO. A1 OF 1  
PROJECT                     

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE  
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDC

BY RLC DATE 4/16/71  
 CHKD. BY SPENCER L. H. LAM DATE 4/16/71  
 SUBJECT HEAVY

# LOUIS BERGER & ASSOCIATES INC.

SHEET NO. 45 OF 45  
 PROJECT 50275

A1	SENSED	0.00	HEAVY								
A2	J. C. S. A. 1981										
A3	FEL 20. 1981										
1	100	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
01	0	1									
X1	INFLOW HYDROGRAPH TO RESERVOIR										
M	0	-1	1.8								1
0	0										
01	0	07	07	1.05	1.09	1.10	1.11	1.12	1.13	1.14	1.15
02	0	04	1.00	1.40	25	1.16	1.17	1.18	1.19	1.20	1.21
03	0	08	1.07	1.06							
T											
0	15										
01	15	159	346	540	606	629	640	435	326	290	
02	155	148	112	83	64	49	40	31			
A	1	2	1								
X1	ROUTED FLOWS THROUGH RESERVOIR										
Y	1										
Y1	1										-1
Y2	855	856	856.5	857.5	858.5	859.5	860.5	861.5	861.5		
Y3	0	51	112	229	1676	2965	4458	5140			
Y4	1	24.7	38.4	55.4	101.8	138.7	179.1	223.0			
Y5	855	856	856.5	857.5	858.5	859.5	860.5	861.5			
Y6	855										
Y7	855.5										
A	00										

JOB SPECIFICATION									
NO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
100	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

INFLOW HYDROGRAPH TO RESERVOIR									
100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

HYDROGRAPH DATA									
100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FLOOD PATTERN									
100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

LOSS DATA									
100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## DISCHARGE CURVE COMPUTATION

SPECIFIC DATA			
100	0	0	0
	0	0	0

GIVEN FLOOD CURVE DATA									
100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

LETTER = 1.00

AREA = 0.00

## HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING									
100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

AREA = 0.00

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE

BY JK DATE 5/1  
CHKD. BY DATE  
SUBJECT 1981

LOUIS BERGER & ASSOCIATES INC.

SHEET NO. 11 OF 11  
PROJECT 1981

PERIOD	NO. DA	NO. PERIOD	PERIOD	RATE	LOSS	COMP. G	END-OF-PERIOD FLOW
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14
15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23
24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24
25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26
27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27
28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28
29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29
30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31
32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32
33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33
34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34
35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37
38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41
42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42
43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43
44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44
45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
46	46	46	46	46	46	46	46
47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47
48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48
49	49	49	49	49	49	49	49
50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51
52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52
53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53
54	54	54	54	54	54	54	54
55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55
56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56
57	57	57	57	57	57	57	57
58	58	58	58	58	58	58	58
59	59	59	59	59	59	59	59
60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
61	61	61	61	61	61	61	61
62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63
64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64
65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65
66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66
67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67
68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68
69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69
70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
71	71	71	71	71	71	71	71
72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73
74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74
75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76
77	77	77	77	77	77	77	77
78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78
79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79
80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81
82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82
83	83	83	83	83	83	83	83
84	84	84	84	84	84	84	84
85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85
86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86
87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87
88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88
89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89
90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90
91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93
94	94	94	94	94	94	94	94
95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95
96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96
97	97	97	97	97	97	97	97
98	98	98	98	98	98	98	98
99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

SUM	5.20	4.24	0.94	10.38	5.510
1979	1979	1979	1979	1979	1979
5.20	5.20	5.20	5.20	5.20	5.20
4.24	4.24	4.24	4.24	4.24	4.24
0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94
10.38	10.38	10.38	10.38	10.38	10.38
5.510	5.510	5.510	5.510	5.510	5.510

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE  
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDC

BY            DATE             
 CHKD. BY            DATE             
 SUBJECT           

# LOUIS BERGER & ASSOCIATES INC.

SHEET NO.        OF         
 PROJECT                           

ROUTED FLOWS THROUGH REEF RAMP								
STAGE	1STAGE	10AMP	10000	11AGE	10PL1	10PT	11AGE	10000
	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
ROUTING DATA								
	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000
	0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0
STAGE	855.00	856.00	856.50	857.50	858.50	859.50	860.00	861.50
FLOW	0.00	61.00	118.00	299.00	1496.00	1945.00	4455.00	2140.00
TOTAL TIME	0	23	53	53	102	139	177	202
ELEVATION	855	856	857	858	859	860	861	862
	REL	SPWID	COGN	EXPW	ELEV	COGL	CAREA	EXPL
	855.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
JAN DATA								
TOTAL	COGL	EXPW	COGN	EXPW	COGL	EXPW	COGN	EXPW
855.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

END-OF-PERIOD HYDROGRAPH COORDINATES								
NO	DA	HR	NO	PERIOD	NO	INFLUN	OUTFLUN	STORAGE
1	01	0	15	1	0.25	0	0	0
1	01	0	30	2	0.50	0	0	0
1	01	0	45	3	0.75	0	0	0
1	01	1	00	4	1.00	0	0	0
1	01	1	15	5	1.25	0	0	0
1	01	1	30	6	1.50	0	0	0
1	01	1	45	7	1.75	0	0	0
1	01	2	00	8	2.00	13	0	0
1	01	2	15	9	2.25	22	0	0
1	01	2	30	10	2.50	97	5	2
1	01	2	45	11	2.75	172	12	9
1	01	3	00	12	3.00	302	23	9
1	01	3	15	13	3.25	503	43	17
1	01	3	30	14	3.50	503	64	31
1	01	3	45	15	3.75	1300	241	50
1	01	4	00	16	4.00	1812	789	71
1	01	4	15	17	4.25	2033	1323	89
1	01	4	30	18	4.50	2034	1836	103
1	01	4	45	19	4.75	1837	1796	105
1	01	5	00	20	5.00	1583	1753	103
1	01	5	15	21	5.25	1327	1608	89
1	01	5	30	22	5.50	1107	1424	92
1	01	5	45	23	5.75	711	1214	86
1	01	6	00	24	6.00	711	1055	80
1	01	6	15	25	6.25	447	893	73
1	01	6	30	26	6.50	534	751	70
1	01	6	45	27	6.75	428	647	66
1	01	7	00	28	7.00	351	562	61
1	01	7	15	29	7.25	375	478	57
1	01	7	30	30	7.50	200	377	50
1	01	7	45	31	7.75	115	215	48
1	01	8	00	32	8.00	75	143	45
1	01	8	15	33	8.25	51	104	42
1	01	8	30	34	8.50	51	100	40
1	01	8	45	35	8.75	21	110	38
1	01	9	00	36	9.00	14	104	36
1	01	9	15	37	9.25	12	77	34
1	01	9	30	38	9.50	8	41	31
1	01	9	45	39	9.75	5	34	29
1	01	10	00	40	10.00	3	15	26
1	01	10	15	41	10.25	1	10	23
1	01	10	30	42	10.50	0	7	20
1	01	10	45	43	10.75	0	22	17
1	01	11	00	44	11.00	0	30	14
1	01	11	15	45	11.25	0	36	11
1	01	11	30	46	11.50	0	31	8
1	01	11	45	47	11.75	0	17	4
1	01	12	00	48	12.00	0	14	1
1	01	12	15	49	12.25	0	43	1
1	01	12	30	50	12.50	0	41	1
1	01	12	45	51	12.75	0	29	1

THIS PAGE IS BEST COPY AVAILABLE  
 FROM ANY SOURCE TO DDC

BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE 6/12/72  
 CHKD. BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 SUBJECT \_\_\_\_\_

# LOUIS BERGER & ASSOCIATES INC.

SHEET NO. 1 OF 1  
 PROJECT 1796

1.01	13.45	75	13.25	0	27	15	55.00
1.01	13.30	76	13.30	0.00	28	16	55.00
1.01	13.15	77	13.35	0.00	29	17	55.00
1.01	14.00	78	14.00	0.00	30	18	55.00
1.01	14.15	79	14.25	0.00	31	19	55.00
1.01	14.10	80	14.10	0.00	32	20	55.00
1.01	14.40	81	14.15	0.00	33	21	55.00
1.01	14.10	82	14.00	0.00	34	22	55.00
1.01	14.10	83	14.00	0.00	35	23	55.00
1.01	14.10	84	14.00	0.00	36	24	55.00
1.01	14.10	85	14.00	0.00	37	25	55.00
1.01	14.10	86	14.00	0.00	38	26	55.00
1.01	14.10	87	14.00	0.00	39	27	55.00
1.01	14.10	88	14.00	0.00	40	28	55.00
1.01	14.10	89	14.00	0.00	41	29	55.00
1.01	14.10	90	14.00	0.00	42	30	55.00
1.01	14.10	91	14.00	0.00	43	31	55.00
1.01	14.10	92	14.00	0.00	44	32	55.00
1.01	14.10	93	14.00	0.00	45	33	55.00
1.01	14.10	94	14.00	0.00	46	34	55.00
1.01	14.10	95	14.00	0.00	47	35	55.00
1.01	14.10	96	14.00	0.00	48	36	55.00
1.01	14.10	97	14.00	0.00	49	37	55.00
1.01	14.10	98	14.00	0.00	50	38	55.00
1.01	14.10	99	14.00	0.00	51	39	55.00
1.01	14.10	100	14.00	0.00	52	40	55.00
1.01	14.10	101	14.00	0.00	53	41	55.00
1.01	14.10	102	14.00	0.00	54	42	55.00
1.01	14.10	103	14.00	0.00	55	43	55.00
1.01	14.10	104	14.00	0.00	56	44	55.00
1.01	14.10	105	14.00	0.00	57	45	55.00
1.01	14.10	106	14.00	0.00	58	46	55.00
1.01	14.10	107	14.00	0.00	59	47	55.00
1.01	14.10	108	14.00	0.00	60	48	55.00
1.01	14.10	109	14.00	0.00	61	49	55.00
1.01	14.10	110	14.00	0.00	62	50	55.00
1.01	14.10	111	14.00	0.00	63	51	55.00
1.01	14.10	112	14.00	0.00	64	52	55.00
1.01	14.10	113	14.00	0.00	65	53	55.00
1.01	14.10	114	14.00	0.00	66	54	55.00
1.01	14.10	115	14.00	0.00	67	55	55.00
1.01	14.10	116	14.00	0.00	68	56	55.00
1.01	14.10	117	14.00	0.00	69	57	55.00
1.01	14.10	118	14.00	0.00	70	58	55.00
1.01	14.10	119	14.00	0.00	71	59	55.00
1.01	14.10	120	14.00	0.00	72	60	55.00
1.01	14.10	121	14.00	0.00	73	61	55.00
1.01	14.10	122	14.00	0.00	74	62	55.00
1.01	14.10	123	14.00	0.00	75	63	55.00
1.01	14.10	124	14.00	0.00	76	64	55.00
1.01	14.10	125	14.00	0.00	77	65	55.00
1.01	14.10	126	14.00	0.00	78	66	55.00
1.01	14.10	127	14.00	0.00	79	67	55.00
1.01	14.10	128	14.00	0.00	80	68	55.00
1.01	14.10	129	14.00	0.00	81	69	55.00
1.01	14.10	130	14.00	0.00	82	70	55.00
1.01	14.10	131	14.00	0.00	83	71	55.00
1.01	14.10	132	14.00	0.00	84	72	55.00
1.01	14.10	133	14.00	0.00	85	73	55.00
1.01	14.10	134	14.00	0.00	86	74	55.00
1.01	14.10	135	14.00	0.00	87	75	55.00
1.01	14.10	136	14.00	0.00	88	76	55.00
1.01	14.10	137	14.00	0.00	89	77	55.00
1.01	14.10	138	14.00	0.00	90	78	55.00
1.01	14.10	139	14.00	0.00	91	79	55.00
1.01	14.10	140	14.00	0.00	92	80	55.00
1.01	14.10	141	14.00	0.00	93	81	55.00
1.01	14.10	142	14.00	0.00	94	82	55.00
1.01	14.10	143	14.00	0.00	95	83	55.00
1.01	14.10	144	14.00	0.00	96	84	55.00
1.01	14.10	145	14.00	0.00	97	85	55.00
1.01	14.10	146	14.00	0.00	98	86	55.00
1.01	14.10	147	14.00	0.00	99	87	55.00
1.01	14.10	148	14.00	0.00	100	88	55.00
1.01	14.10	149	14.00	0.00	101	89	55.00
1.01	14.10	150	14.00	0.00	102	90	55.00
1.01	14.10	151	14.00	0.00	103	91	55.00
1.01	14.10	152	14.00	0.00	104	92	55.00
1.01	14.10	153	14.00	0.00	105	93	55.00
1.01	14.10	154	14.00	0.00	106	94	55.00
1.01	14.10	155	14.00	0.00	107	95	55.00
1.01	14.10	156	14.00	0.00	108	96	55.00
1.01	14.10	157	14.00	0.00	109	97	55.00
1.01	14.10	158	14.00	0.00	110	98	55.00
1.01	14.10	159	14.00	0.00	111	99	55.00
1.01	14.10	160	14.00	0.00	112	100	55.00
1.01	14.10	161	14.00	0.00	113	101	55.00
1.01	14.10	162	14.00	0.00	114	102	55.00
1.01	14.10	163	14.00	0.00	115	103	55.00
1.01	14.10	164	14.00	0.00	116	104	55.00
1.01	14.10	165	14.00	0.00	117	105	55.00
1.01	14.10	166	14.00	0.00	118	106	55.00
1.01	14.10	167	14.00	0.00	119	107	55.00
1.01	14.10	168	14.00	0.00	120	108	55.00
1.01	14.10	169	14.00	0.00	121	109	55.00
1.01	14.10	170	14.00	0.00	122	110	55.00
1.01	14.10	171	14.00	0.00	123	111	55.00
1.01	14.10	172	14.00	0.00	124	112	55.00
1.01	14.10	173	14.00	0.00	125	113	55.00
1.01	14.10	174	14.00	0.00	126	114	55.00
1.01	14.10	175	14.00	0.00	127	115	55.00
1.01	14.10	176	14.00	0.00	128	116	55.00
1.01	14.10	177	14.00	0.00	129	117	55.00
1.01	14.10	178	14.00	0.00	130	118	55.00
1.01	14.10	179	14.00	0.00	131	119	55.00
1.01	14.10	180	14.00	0.00	132	120	55.00
1.01	14.10	181	14.00	0.00	133	121	55.00
1.01	14.10	182	14.00	0.00	134	122	55.00
1.01	14.10	183	14.00	0.00	135	123	55.00
1.01	14.10	184	14.00	0.00	136	124	55.00
1.01	14.10	185	14.00	0.00	137	125	55.00
1.01	14.10	186	14.00	0.00	138	126	55.00
1.01	14.10	187	14.00	0.00	139	127	55.00
1.01	14.10	188	14.00	0.00	140	128	55.00
1.01	14.10	189	14.00	0.00	141	129	55.00
1.01	14.10	190	14.00	0.00	142	130	55.00
1.01	14.10	191	14.00	0.00	143	131	55.00
1.01	14.10	192	14.00	0.00	144	132	55.00
1.01	14.10	193	14.00	0.00	145	133	55.00
1.01	14.10	194	14.00	0.00	146	134	55.00
1.01	14.10	195	14.00	0.00	147	135	55.00
1.01	14.10	196	14.00	0.00	148	136	55.00
1.01	14.10	197	14.00	0.00	149	137	55.00
1.01	14.10	198	14.00	0.00	150	138	55.00
1.01	14.10	199	14.00	0.00	151	139	55.00
1.01	14.10	200	14.00	0.00	152	140	55.00
1.01	14.10	201	14.00	0.00	153	141	55.00
1.01	14.10	202	14.00	0.00	154	142	55.00
1.01	14.10	203	14.00	0.00	155	143	55.00
1.01	14.10	204	14.00	0.00	156	144	55.00
1.01	14.10	205	14.00	0.00	157	145	55.00
1.01	14.10	206	14.00	0.00	158	146	55.00
1.01	14.10	207	14.00	0.00	159	147	55.00
1.01	14.10	208	14.00	0.00	160	148	55.00
1.01	14.10	209	14.00	0.00	161	149	55.00
1.01	14.10	210	14.00	0.00	162	150	55.00
1.01	14.10	211	14.00	0.00	163	151	55.00
1.01	14.10	212	14.00	0.00	164	152	55.00
1.01	14.10	213	14.00	0.00	165	153	55.00
1.01	14.10	214	14.00	0.00	166	154	55.00
1.01	14.10	215	14.00	0.00	167	155	55.00
1.01	14.10	216	14.00	0.00	168	156	55.00
1.01	14.10	217	14.00	0.00	169	157	55.00
1.01	14.10	218	14.00	0.00	170	158	55.00
1.01	14.10	219	14.00	0.00	171	159	55.00
1.01	14.10	220	14.00	0.00	172	160	55.00
1.01	14.10	221	14.00	0.00	173	161	55.00
1.01	14.10	222	14.00	0.00	174	162	55.00
1.01	14.10	223	14.00	0.00	175	163	55.00
1.01	14.10	224	14.00	0.00	176	164	55.00
1.01	14.10	225	14.00	0.00	177	165	55.00
1.01	14.10	226	14.00	0.00	178	166	55.00
1.01	14.10	227	14.00	0.00	179	167	55.00
1.01	14.10	228	14.00	0.00	180	168	55.00
1.01	14.10	229	14.00	0.00	181	169	55.00
1.01	14.10	230	14.00	0.00	182	170	55.00
1.01	14.10	231	14.00	0.00	183	171	55.00
1.01	14.10	232	14.00	0.00	184	172	55.00
1.01	14.10	233	14.00	0.00	185	173	55.00
1.01	14.10	234	14.00	0.00	186	174	55.00
1.01	14.10	2					

BY                      DATE                       
 CHKD. BY                      DATE                       
 SUBJECT                     

# LOUIS BERGER & ASSOCIATES INC.

SHEET NO. 17 OF 17  
 PROJECT                     

OUTFLOW CURVES: AVERAGE FLOW IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CUBIC METERS PER SECOND)  
 AREA IN SQUARE MILES (OR KILOMETERS)

WATERWAY AT	1	2012	014	205	197	1 50
	(	57 50)	(	5 51)	(	4 58)
ROUTED TO	2	1796	717	205	196	1 50
	(	50 55)	(	5 56)	(	4 58)

## SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

ELEVATION	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CREST	TOP OF DAM			
STORAGE	0	0	30			
OUTFLOW	0	0	112			
MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W/S ELEV	DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
558.58	2.08	103.	1796.	5.00	4.75	0.00

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE  
 COPY FURNISHED TO ODC