AD-A101 143 AIR FORCE INST OF TECH WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OH SCHOO-ETC F/G 17/9 MULTIPLE ARRESTED SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR.(U) MAY 81 J S SHUSTER UNCLASSIFIED AFIT/DS/EE/81						
l or 5 40 A 201143						

AFIT/DS/EE/81-1

MULTIPLE ARRESTED

DISSERTATION

AFIT/DS/EE/81-1 Jerrold S./Shuster Major USAF

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited



OTC HLE COPY

AD A101143

REPORT DOCUMENTAT	ION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION N	0. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
AFIT/DS/EE/81-1	AD-A1011	43
. TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVEREN
MULTIPLE ARRESTED SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR		Doctoral Dissertation 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(s)		B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(A)
Jerrold S. Shuster, Major, U	SAF	
· PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADD	DRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Air Force Institute of Techn Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 454	clogy (AFIT/ENG) 33	2305J402
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
Deputy for Electronic Techno Hanscom AFB, MA 01731	logy (RADC/EE)	May 1981 13. NUMBER OF PAGES
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSIL	illetent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS, (of this report)
		linglaggified
		SCHEDULE
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract or	distribution unlim	ited 17 JUN 1981 rom Reptiguedric C. hypethe
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract or APPROVED FOR PUB	distribution unlimitered in Block 20, 11 different in	ited 17 JUN 1981 FREDERC - LYNCH Mojor, US FREDERC - LYNCH Mojor, US 17. Director of Public Affairs Air Force Institute of Technology (ATC) Weight-Patterson AEB, OH, AFAOC
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract of APPROVED FOR PUB 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	distribution unlimination distribution unlimination de la constant	ited 17 JUN 1981 FREDERC - LYNCH Mojor, US FREDERC - LYNCH Mojor, US 17. Director of Public Affairs Air Force Institute of Technology (ATC) Weight-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
Approved for public release; DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract of APPROVED FOR PUB B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necess	distribution unlimitered in Block 20, 11 different for LIC RELEASE AFR 190	ited 17 JUN 1981 FREDERC C. Mytel FREDERC C. Mytel FREDERC FR
Approved for public release; DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract or APPROVED FOR PUB S. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necess Radar	distribution unlim- neered in Block 20, 11 different for LIC RELEASE AFR 190- ary and identify by block number Clutter	ited 17 JUN 1981 rom Reptiperatic C. Lynch, Major, US FREDERC C. Lynch, Major, US 17. Director of Public Affairs Air Force Institute of Technology (ATC) Weight-Patterson AFB, OH 45433 P
Approved for public release; DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract or APPROVED FOR PUB SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necess Radar Synthetic Aperture Moving Target	distribution unlimit stored in Block 20, if different for LIC RELEASE AFR 190 ary and identify by block number Clutter Quadratic F	ited 17 JUN 1981 rom Reprint C. Mynch FREDERC C. Mynch FREDERC FREDERC C. Mynch FREDERC
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract or APPROVED FOR PUB 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necess Radar Synthetic Aperture Moving Target Detection 2. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necess	distribution unlimit attered in Block 20, 11 different for LIC RELEASE AFR 190- ary and identify by block number Clutter Quadratic F Optimizatio Modeling ary and identify by block number	ited 17 JUN 1981 FREDERC - LYNCH, Major, US FREDERC - LYNCH, Major, US T7. Dir. cl.: of Public Affairs Air Force Institute of Technology (ATC) Weight-Patterson AFB, OH 45433 P

1

)

20. (cont'd)

is compared against that using target weights (composed of the target signal) and that using binomial weights (which, effectively, form an n-pulse canceller). Both the target and the clutter are modeled with the electric field backscattering coefficient. The target is modeled simply as a deterministically moving point scatterer with the same albedo as a point of clutter. The clutter is modeled as a homogeneous, isotropic, two-dimensional, spatiotemporal random field for which only the correlation properties are required. The analysis shows that this radar, with its optimum weighting scheme, is a promising synthetic aperture concept for the detection of slowly moving targets immersed in strong clutter environments.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	
DTIC TAB	
Unannounced 🗌	
Justification	_
Ву	_
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	
Dist Special	
$\left \mathbf{A} \right $	
H	

AFIT/DS/EE/81-1

and the second second second second second

Antonio di Angelia antone di Presi

MULTIPLE ARRESTED SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR

DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of the School of Engineering of the Air Force Institute of Technology Air University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

by

Jerrold S. Shuster, B.S., M.S. Major USAF

May 1981

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

AFIT/DS/EE/81-1

MULTIPLE ARRESTED

SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR

DISSERTATION

by Jerrold S. Shuster, B.S., M.S. Major USAF

Approved:

<u>Stanley R. Rokinson</u> <u>1 June 1981</u> Chairman <u>Journe 1981</u> <u>Journe 6, Schembland</u> <u>9 June 1981</u> Robert E Fontana & June 1951 Ronner J Carpunca & Sque 1981 Han W Schundles 27/11/191981

Accepted:

75 meniemecki 9 June 1981

Dean, School of Engineering

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Dr John K. Schindler started me on a "passage" which profoundly affected my life (little did I realize how much it would!) by introducing me to the concept of Arrested Synthetic Aperture Radar. Though the way--for me--was fraught with every kind of humam emotion, this manuscript brings that passage to a very satisfying conclusion and I thank him for it.

There was a particular "dark period" the source of which shall be described simply as the "exigencies of the Service". Therein, I was forced into a state of psuedo-limbo (or, continuing intermittent hiatus) in the course of my research. I was literally rescued from this by two exceptionally concerned people: Lt Col David C. Luke and Col Pat J. O'Toole. They isolated and protected me for one full year. That was the crucial turning point. During that time, I was able to get my ideas to coalesce and I was able to completely formulate the MASAR model. To them I swe my deepest gratitude, for--in plain fact--without their intervention I never could have completed this work.

I am proud to have had the opportunity to associate with some very fine people (who are equally fine scientists) during my research and I am most grateful for their technical advice and counsel. At one time or another, they listened patiently while I expounded my ideas and then provided me with helpful criticism. Dr Schindler is one I have already mentioned. Dr Ronald L. Fante was my laboratory "next-door neighbor" and he graciously permitted me to use him as a "sounding board" for my ideas. He followed me through my early formulations of the MASAR model and provided me with many constructive comments and helpful suggestions.

-111-

Mr John F. McIlvenna literally ran me through (nearly 2000 miles of) my paces, during which my research was a continuing topic of conversation. He enlightened me with an appreciation for the optimization scheme which is a central theme of this work. He also introduced me to several key computational algorithms and time-saving "tricks" which I found very useful in the early stages of the computer analysis. Later, Dr Kenneth C. Chen provided me with many helpful insights into the closed-form evaluation of the clutter cross-power correlation integral, which is a substantial part of this work. The final breakthrough in obtaining that integration came to me after Dr Kendall Casey introduced me to the book by Davis and Rabinowitz (which has an excellent section on the integration of highly oscillatory functions and a superb appendix, "On the Practical Evaluation of Integrals," by Milton Abramowitz: entry 32 in the Bibliography). Dr Casey also thoroughly critiqued early drafts of this manuscript and provided many helpful suggestions to improve the exposition.

I apologize (in the informal sense) to Dr J. Philip Castillo for leading him to believe I was "almost done" with my thesis when I first came to the Air Force Weapons Lab. (I really thought I was!) He once told me (jokingly, right Phil?) he believed I was "addicted" to my thesis and doubted I'd ever come to finish it! Nevertheless, he graciously (or mercifully) allowed me brief intervals of "time off" (my thanks to Mr William S. Kehrer for "covering" for me during those), extended leave, and unencumbered evenings and weekends. That time permitted me to bring this work to a worthy conclusion.

I especially thank each member of my Committee for their continued encouragement, support, guidance, and patience. Major Jurgen O. Gobien,

-iv-

PhD, was my initial chairman until he was "overtaken by events"--he was transferred--and was compelled to relinquish his post. He provided me with encouragement and guidance in the early months of my candidacy. Dr Stanley R. Robinson, who (I am very proud to say) accepted the post as the new chairman of my Committee, has been a source of continual encouragement ($\nabla \cdot$ encouragement = ∞). He and Dr Donn G. Shankland have had a profound impact on this work. They have caused me to think, rethink, and think again about every facet of my research. Along the way, they provided me with many helpful insights, suggestions, as well as tutorials (they steered me into several "specialty areas" that were new to me and which proved very useful). Their efforts on my behalf were most inspiring to me. Lt Col Ron Carpinella, PhD, made several useful suggestions which led me to the development of a much improved stochastic characterization of the clutter model.

Thank you gentlemen, one and all.

My special thanks to Mr Norbert R. Padilla for assisting me in the preparation of this manuscript. Using computer graphics, "Bert" composed--most expertly and artfully--<u>every</u> equation (there are over 230). He also photo-reduced and mounted all the computer-generated plots shown in Figures 8 through 67.

My wife, Sharon, our sons Aaron and Marshall, and our daughter, Amy, have loved and cherished me, as I have them, all the days, months and years of this task. All those seemingly endless nights and weekends they have been home alone with me "at work" are all over, now. They have greatly helped me, emotionally, to reach this enobling goal. There were many times when I thought, almost despairingly, of giving up this effort. What kept me going was the though that, if I did, I'd have

- ۷ -

nothing to give them for all the time I'd already spent "away" from them. Well, we've got something now--something better than anything else we might have had--and we're all going to have some good times with it! To you, my lovely Sharon, and to you, Aaron, Marshall, and Amy, I give my highest tribute: to you I dedicate this work.

Contents

Acknowledgments	Page iii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xiv
List of Symbols	xvi
Abstract	xxii
I. Introduction	1
Background	1
Purpose	45
Sequence of Development	7
II. MASAR: The Conceptualization	8
The Conception	8
The Optimization Procedure	11
A Noteworthy Consequence of the Optimization	13
A Proposed Implementation	14
III. MASAR: The Formulation	16
Formulation of the Generalized Ensemble Signal Power	16
The Model for the MASAR Signal Return	24
The Range-Amplitude Weighting, $p(\rho)$	26
The Generalized Cross-Power Term	28
The Target Model	30
The Clutter Model	35
Integrating the Clutter Cross-Power Correlation	40
The Clutter Integral at d=0	49
Continuing the Clutter Integration	50
Ancennas for the MASAK Model \ldots \ldots \ldots	55
	60
Abstract of the Computer Analysis	64
IV. Analysis of MASAR Performance	65
An Output of the Analysis Duppedung	6 E
An overview of the Analysis procedure	00
INE LASES FOR LNE ANALYSIS	00 60
Increased Number of Pulses	74
Increased Number of Antennas	78
Increased Number of Antennas for Constant	, 0
Processing Interval	78
Narrower Antenna Beamwidth	79

Page

1000 A 1000 A 1000 A 1000

	Faster Clutter Decorrelation and Increased
0f	Inter-SAR Spacing
Pr	esumed Velocity
V. Summar	y and Conclusions
Su Co	nmary
VI. Recomm	endations For Further Study
Bibliography	
Appendix A:	Extremal Properties of the Ratio of Two Quadratic Forms: A Special Case
Appendix B:	Computational Notes
	Solving for the Dominant Eigenvector and Eigenvalue . 167 Gauss Quadrature Integration of Equation (97) 168 Evaluation of Equation (126)
Appendix C:	Choice of the PRF
Appendix D:	Expressions for the Target Power Using Target and Binomial Weights
	The Target Weighted Target Signal Power
Appendix E:	Tabulations of the Optimum and Target Weights for Selected Cases from Table I
Appendix F:	Tabulations of the Unnormalized Response to Other Boresight Targets for Selected Cases from Table I 235
Vita	

List of Figures

Figure		Page
1	Frequency Spectrum of an Airborne Side-Looking Radar Return Showing a Slowly Moving Target Masked by Mainbeam Clutter	1
2	Illustrations of the MASAR Scheme for Generating Overlapping Synthetic Arrays	9
3	Geometry for the Cross-Power Term	29
4	Geometry for the Target Model	31
5	Geometry for the Instantaneous Target Range	33
6	Geometry for Determining r_1^1 and θ_1^1	34
7	Geometry for Integrating the Clutter Cross-Power Correlation	40
8	Magnitude (solid) and Phase (dashed) of the Clutter Cross-Power Correlation for $\rm Cos^2$ by $\rm Cos^2$ Cross-Products .	61
9	Magnitude (solid) and Phase (dashed) of the Clutter Cross-Power Correlation for \cos^2 by \cos^4 Cross-Products .	62
10	Magnitude (solid) and Phase (dashed) of the Clutter Cross-Power Correlation for Cos ⁴ by Cos ⁴ Cross-Products .	63
11	Relative Improvement for Case 1	84
12	Relative Improvement for Case 2	85
13	Relative Improvement for Case 3	86
14	Relative Improvement for Case 4	87
15	Relative Improvement for Case 5	88
16	Relative Improvement for Case 6	89
17	Relative Improvement for Case 7	90
18	Relative Improvement for Case 8	91
19	Relative Improvement for Case 9	92
20	Relative Improvement for Case 10	93
21	Relative Improvement for Case 11	94
22	Relative Improvement for Case 12	95

,

10 A 4

-ix-

Figure		Page
23	Relative Improvement for Case 13	96
24	Relative Improvement for Case 14	97
25	Relative Improvement for Case 15	98
26	Relative Improvement for Case 16	99
27	Relative Improvement for Case 17	100
28	Relative Improvement for Case 18	101
29	Relative Improvement for Case 19	102
30	Relative Improvement for Case 20	103
31	Off-Boresight Response for Case 1 with Optimum (solid), Target (broken) and Binomial (dashed) Weights; Presumed Target $v_T = 3 \text{ mph}, \theta_T = 180^\circ, \theta_0 = 0^\circ \dots \dots \dots$	110
32	Off-Boresight Response for Case 3 with Optimum (solid), Target (broken) and Binomial (dashed) Weights; Presumed Target $v_T = 3$ mph, $\theta_T = 180^\circ$, $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$	111
33	Off-Boresight Response for Case 4 with Optimum (solid), Target (broken) and Binomial (dashed) Weights; Presumed Target $v_T = 3$ mph, $\theta_T = 180^\circ$, $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$	112
34	Off-Boresight Response for Case 6 with Optimum (solid), Target (broken) and Binomial (dashed) Weights; Presumed Target $v_T = 3 \text{ mph}, \theta_T = 180^\circ, \theta_0 = 0^\circ \dots \dots \dots \dots$	113
35	Off-Boresight Response for Case 8 with Optimum (solid), Target (broken) and Binomial (dashed) Weights; Presumed Target $v_T = 3 \text{ mph}$, $\theta_T = 180^\circ$, $\theta_0 = 0^\circ \dots \dots \dots$	114
36	Off-Boresight Response for Case 11 with Optimum (solid), Target (broken) and Binomial (dashed) Weights; Presumed Target $v_T = 3 \text{ mph}, \theta_T = 180^\circ, \theta_0 = 0^\circ \dots \dots \dots$	115
37	Off-Boresight Response for Case 17 with Optimum (solid), Target (broken) and Binomial (dashed) Weights; Presumed Target $v_T = 3 \text{ mph}, \theta_T = 180^\circ, \theta_0 = 0^\circ \dots \dots \dots \dots$	116
38	Off-Boresight Response for Case 20 with Optimum (solid), Target (broken) and Binomial (dashed) Weights; Presumed Target $v_T = 3 \text{ mph}, \theta_T = 180^\circ, \theta_0 = 0^\circ \dots \dots \dots \dots$	117
39	Off-Boresight Response for Case 2 with Optimum (solid), Target (broken) and Binomial (dashed) Weights; Presumed Target v_T = 3 mph, θ_T = 180°, θ_0 = 30°	118

Figure		Page
4 0	Off-Boresight Response for Case 2 with Optimum (solid), Target (broken) and Binomial (dashed) Weights; Presumed Target $v_T = 3 \text{ mph}, \theta_T = 180^\circ, \theta_0 = 60^\circ$	119
41	Off-Boresight Response for Case 17 with Optimum (solid), Target (broken) and Binomial (dashed) Weights; Presumed Target $v_T = 3 \text{ mph}$, $\theta_T = 180^\circ$, $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$	120
42	Off-Boresight Response for Case 20 with Optimum (solid), Target (broken) and Binomial (dashed) Weights; Presumed Target $v_T = 3 \text{ mph}, \theta_T = 180^\circ, \theta_0 = 60^\circ$	121
43	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 1 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	127
44	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 2 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	128
45	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 3 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	129
46	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 4 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	130
47	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 5 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	131
48	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 6 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	132
49	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 7 for Presumed Target at $\theta_{1} = 0^{\circ}$, with $\theta_{T} = 180^{\circ}$ and $v_{T} = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) ^o and 30 (bottom) mph	133
50	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 8 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	134
51	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 9 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	135
52	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 10 for Presumed Target at $\theta_{1} = 0^{\circ}$, with $\theta_{T} = 180^{\circ}$ and $v_{T} = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) ⁰ and 30 (bottom) mph	136

Figure

53	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 11 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	7
54	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 12 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) ^o and 30 (bottom) mph	8
55	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 13 for Presumed Target at $\theta_{-} = 0^{\circ}$, with $\theta_{T} = 180^{\circ}$ and $v_{T} = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) ⁰ and 30 (bottom) mph	9
56	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 14 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	0
57	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 15 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	1
58	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 16 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	2
59	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 17 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	3
60	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 18 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	4
61	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 19 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	5
62	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 20 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	6
63	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 2 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	.7
64	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 2 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 60^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	8
65	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 7 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	9

Page

Figure

66	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 17 for Presumed Target at θ_0 = 30°, with θ_T = 180° and v_T = 3 (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	150
67	Response to Boresight Targets in Case 20 for Presumed Target at θ_0 = 60°, with θ_T = 180° and v_T = 3 (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph	151

-xiii-

Page

List of Tables

Table		Page
I	Cases Used to Analyze MASAR Performance	67
II	Computed Values of the Target and Clutter Powers and Their Ratios for Target and Optimum Weights: Cases 1 Through 7	74
	Appendix E	
III	Weights for Case 1 (for targets with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$; $v_T = 0$, 3, 15, 30 mph; $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$)	183
IV	Weights for Case 3 (for targets with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$; $v_T = 0$, 3, 15, 30 mph; $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$)	187
V	Weights for Case 4 (for targets with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$; $v_T = 0$, 3, 15, 30 mph; $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$)	195
VI	Weights for Case 5 (for targets with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$; $v_T = 0$, 3, 15, 30 mph; $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$)	199
VII	Weights for Case 6 (for targets with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$; $v_T = 0$, 3, 15, 30 mph; $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$)	203
VIII	Weights for Case 8 (for targets with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$; $v_T = 0$, 3, 15, 30 mph; $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$)	211
IX	Weights for Case 10 (for targets with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$; $v_T = 0$, 3, 15, 30 mph; $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$)	215
X	Weights for Case 11 (for targets with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$; $v_T = 0$, 3, 15, 30 mph; $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$)	219
XI	Weights for Case 17 (for targets with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$; $v_T = 0$, 3, 15, 30 mph; $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$)	· 223
XII	Weights for Case 2 (for targets with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$; $v_T = 0$, 3, 15, 30 mph; $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$)	227
XIII	Weights for Case 20 (for targets with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$; $v_T = 0$, 3, 15, 30 mph; $\theta_0 = 60^\circ$)	231

Appendix F

XIV	Unnormalized Response to Other Boresight Targets for Case 5	
	(for presumed targets with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$; $v_T = 3$, 15, 30 mph;	
	$\theta_0 = 0^\circ$)	236

-xiv-

• • •

Table		Page
XV	Unnormalized Response to Other Boresight Targets for Case 11 (for presumed targets with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$; $v_T = 3$, 15, 30 mph; $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$)	245
XVI	Unnormalized Response to Other Boresight Targets for Case 2 (for presumed targets with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$; $v_T = 3$, 15, 30 mph; $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$)	254
XVII	Unnormalized Response to Other Boresight Targets for Case 20 (for presumed targets with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$; $v_T = 3$, 15, 30 mph; $\theta_0 = 60^\circ$)) 263

-xv-

Li	st	of	Symbols	,
_	_			-

Number	Symbol	First Used on Page	Definition
1.	f ₀	1	radar frequency
2.	λ ₀	1	radar wavelength
3.	v _R	1	radar platform velocity
4.	fd	1	target doppler
5.	^θ h	2	-3dB half angle of radar antenna pattern
6.	м	8	number of antennas
7.	K	8	inter-SAR spacing
8.	I	8	interantenna pulse spacing factor (also see symbols 87 and 107)
9.	N	8	number of synthetic elements (number of pulses per antenna)
10.	Р	8	total number of consecutive pulses required to form M overlapping synthetic apertures (also see symbols 26 and 100)
11.	A	11	a square matrix; target cross-power matrix
12.	W	11	a column vector; weighting vector, see (22)
13.	λ	11	complex eigenparameter
14.	В	11	a square matrix; clutter cross-power correlation matrix
15.	a	12	a column vector; target signal vector
16.	λD	12	dominant eigenvalue; optimum target to clutter power ratio
17.	۳D	12	weighting vector corresponding to
18.	m	16	an index for antennas
19.	n	16	an index for synthetic elements (positions)
20.	v ^m n	17	signal return received by antenna m in synthetic position n
21.	Enm	17	amplitude of V_n^m

-xvi-

Number	Symbol	First Used on Page	Definition
22.	ϕ_{n}^{m}	17	phase of V ^m
23.	v ^m	17	weighted sum of V ^m over n
24.	w ^m n	18	processor weighting coefficient of v_n^m
25.	V	18	combined voltage return of all M synthetic arrays
26.	Ρ	18	power (also see symbols 10 and 100)
27.	1	18	alternate index for antennas
28.	p	18	alternate index for synthetic elements (also see symbol 94)
29.	t	19	subscript to denote target
30.	с	19	subscript to denote clutter (also see symbol 51)
31.	ТР	19	combined target power
32.	$\langle \cdot \rangle$	19	expectation operator
33.	<cp></cp>	19	combined average clutter power
34.	aml Anp	22	element of A formed by product of target signals received by antenna m in position n and antenna l in position p
35	_β m1 np	22	element of B formed by expectation of clutter signals received by antenna m in position n and antenna l in position p
36.	a ^m n	23	target signal received by antenna m in position n
37.	e ^m n	25	elemental signal return received by antenna m at synthetic position n
38.	j	25	√ - Ⅰ
39.	ρ,θ,φ	25	spherical coordinates
40.	x,y,z	25	rectangular coordinates
41.	E ^m peak	25	magnitude of electric field intensity at peak of m th antenna radiation pattern

Sec. 1

IN STREET, IN STREET, IN

÷

.

Number	Symbol	First Used on Page	Definition
42.	g ^m (•)	25	normalized two-way power radiation pattern of m th antenna
43.	_χ ^m (•)	25	<pre>backscattering coefficient observed by antenna m in position n from scatterer at (•)</pre>
44.	p(•)	25	range amplitude weighting function
45.	k _o	26	free space wave number
46.	ω0	26	radar radian frequency
47.	π ^τ n	26	time of pulse on antenna m at position n relative to antenna 1 at position 1
48.	f _{PRF}	26	radar pulse repetition frequency
49.	R ₀	26	range to center of range bin of interest
50.	σ	27	pulsewidth parameter
51.	с	27	speed of light (also see symbol 30)
52.	t _p	27	temporal pulsewidth
53.	r,0	28	polar coordinates (also see symbol 91)
54.	V ₁	28	alternate notation for V ^m
55.	g ₁ (•)	28	alternate notation for $g^{m}(\cdot)$
56.	$\chi_1(\cdot)$	28	alternate notation for $\chi_n^m(\cdot)$
57.	v ₂	29	alternate notation for V_p^1 (also see symbols 20, 27 and 28)
58.	g ₂ (•)	29	alternate notation for $g^1(\cdot)$ (also see symbols 27 and 42)
59.	χ ₂ (•)	29	alternate notation for $\chi_p^1(\cdot)$ (also see symbols 27, 28 and 43)
60.	к	29	a constant, see (45)
61.	x ₁ , y ₁	29	see Fig. 3
62.	x ₂ ,y ₂	29	see Fig. 3
63.	ŝ	29	constant of proportionality for cross-power

-xviii-

Number	Symbol	First Used on Page	Definition
64.	γ	30	see (46)
65.	r_1, θ_1	30	see Fig. 3 and (47)
66.	r_2, θ_2	30	see Fig. 3 and (47)
67.	у ₁	30	position of antenna l when it pulses
68.	у ₂	30	position of antenna 2 when it pulses
69.	۷ _T	30	target speed
70.	^θ т	30	target track angle
71.	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_1$	31	position of target when antenna 1 pulses
72.	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_2$	31	position of target when antenna 2 pulses
73.	d	31	spacing between antenna 1 when it pulses and antenna 2 when it pulses
74.	δ(・)	31	Dirac delta function
75.	۳ <mark>n</mark> , θ ^m r	32	instantaneous range and az muth to target from antenna m in position n
76.	θ ₀	33	presumed azimuth of target at midpoint of observation interval
77.	τ	38	alternate notation for τ_n^{M}
78.	τ2	38	alternate notation for τ_p^1 (also see symbols 27, 28 and 47)
79.	т	38	temporal clutter correlation interval
80.	$\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2$	40	same as θ_1, θ_2 when $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2)$ (see Fig. 3 and symbols 65 and 66; also see (92) and (93))
81.	ζ	40	transformed coordinate, see (64a)
82.	η	40	transformed coordinate, see (64b)
83.	к1	44	a constant, see (79)
84.	Ι _ζ	45	integral over variable ζ (see symbol 81)
85.	ĸ	48	a constant, see (98)

-xix-

Number	Symbol	First Used on Page	Definition
86.	Ir	50	integral over variable r (see symbol 51)
87.	I	51	clutter cross-power integral (also see symbols 8 and 107)
88.	f(•)	51	see (108)
89.	z	51	see (109)
90.	f ^(r) (•)	51	rth derivative of $f(\cdot)$, see symbol 88
91.	r	51	an index used in evaluating the clutter cross-power integral (also see symbol 53)
92.	J _n (•)	52	Bessel function of First Kind, order n
93.	т _р (•)	52	Chebyshev polynomial of First Kind, see (114)
94.	р	52	an index of the Chebyshev polynomials and an index used in evaluating the clutter cross-power integral (also see symbol 28)
95.	^b r	52	Chebyshev polynomial coefficient, see (118)
96.	^б р	52	coefficients of Chebyshev polynomials, see discussion and expression on page 53
97.	S	53	an index used in evaluating the clutter cross-power integral
98.	e _r	53	the Neumann number; see (119)
99.	€ _{r-2s}	53	the Neumann number; see (121)
100.	Ρ,Q	55	powers of cosine antenna pattern (also see symbols 10 and 26)
101.	u,v,w	57	general functions used to form generalized product
102.	(r)	57	binomial coefficient
103.	u ₁	57	factor in clutter cross-power correlation integrand, see (136)
104.	u ₂ ,u ₃	57	factor in clutter cross-power correlation integrand, see (137)

Number	Symbol	First Used on Page	Definition
105.	u ₄	57	factor in clutter cross-power correlation integrand, see (138)
106.	u;(r) (•)	58-60	rth derivative of u _i (•) (see symbols 103-105)
107.	I	69	MASAR improvement (also see symbols 8 and 87)
108.	ro	69	target to clutter power ratio after processing
109.	r _i	69	target to clutter power ratio before processing
110.	wp	69	processor weighting
111.	WT	70	target weights
112.	w _В	70	binomial weights
113.	I _{D/T}	72	improvement of the optimum processor relative to the target processor
114.	I _{D/B}	72	improvement of the optimum processor relative to the binomial processor
115.	I _{D/Z}	72	improvement of the optimum processor relative to itself when tuned to a fixed target
116.	(r _o) _D	72	target to clutter power ratio out of the optimum processor
117.	(r _o) _T	72	target to clutter power ratio out of the target processor
118.	(r _o) _B	72	target to clutter power ratio out of the binomial processor
119.	r	104	normalized off-boresight response
120.	â	104	varied target signa' vector
121.	r b	122	response to other boresight targets
122.	ã	122	varied target signal vector
123.	L	156	spatial clutter correlation interval
124.	L,L	157	x and y components of L (symbol 123)

-xxi-

....

ABSTRACT

A Multiple Arrested Synthetic Aperture Radar (MASAR) for the detection of slowly moving targets in clutter is analytically conceptualized and evaluated. The radar consists of a succession of synthetic aperture antennas which are coincident in space but are displaced in time by several interpulse periods. The radar is evaluated using the target to clutter power ratio as the measure of performance. The radar is assumed to be clutter power limited, so noise is ignored in the evaluation. The evaluation consists of a comparison between three different receiver processing schemes. The first processor is a set of weights which optimizes the target to clutter power ratio and is the central feature of MASAR. The second processor is a set of weights comprising the target signal, itself; it can be construed as a "smart, ad hoc" design and is shown to be optimum for rapidly decorrelating clutter. The third processor is set of binomial weights; effectively, it reduces the system to an n-pulse canceller which, for a two antenna system, is the well known Displaced Phase Center Antenna (DPCA) radar.

In order to perform the analysis, a generalized signal return is formulated with which closed form expressions for the target signal and the clutter cross-power correlation are derived. This generalized signal return is a range-amplitude, radiation pattern weighted integration of the electric field backscattering coefficient over the backscattering region. Both the target and the clutter are modeled with the electric field backscattering coefficient: deterministically for the target, stochastically for the clutter. The target is modeled simply as a deterministically moving point scatter with the same albedo as a point of clutter.

The clutter is modeled as a homogeneous, isotropic, two-dimensional, spatiotemporal random field. This random field represents the amplitude and phase of the electric field backscattering coefficient as a function of time for every point in the scattering region observed from positions along the MASAR flight path. Only the correlation properties of this random field are required for the analysis.

The analysis is three-fold and considers targets moving between zero and 60 miles per hour at all track angles. First, the improvement of MASAR with the optimum processor is considered relative to that with the target and the binomial processors. Second, the response of each processor to off-boresight targets is considered. Third, the response of the optimum processor to other targets on the boresight is examined.

The analysis shows that MASAR with optimum receiver weights, generating four synthetic apertures each three feet long, can extract a three mile per hour target at ten miles from slowly decorrelating clutter 45 dB better than MASAR with target weights and over 144 dB better than MASAR with binomial weights. For a 60 mile per hour target, under the same circumstances, the corresponding figures are 70 dB and 106 dB. The analysis further shows that, with longer synthetic apertures, both the target's location and velocity component parallel to the MASAR boresight can be accurately determined.

The conclusion is that MASAR, with its optimum weighting scheme, is a promising synthetic aperture radar concept for the detection of slowly moving targets immersed in strong clutter environments.

-xxiii-

MULTIPLE ARRESTED

SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR

1. Introduction

Background

The problem of detecting a slowly moving target imbedded in severe clutter from an airborne side-looking radar platform is addressed in this work. Here, the adjectival phrase "slowly moving" refers to the class of targets which reflect a doppler-shifted radar signal which resides well within the radar's antenna pattern mainbeam clutter return. The fundamental problem that arises in this situation is that the target becomes virtually undetectable as it is completely masked by the strong clutter return. In this case, even antenna pattern sidelobe suppression techniques cannot help, for it is the mainlobe beamwidth of the radar antenna that is the source of the difficulty.



Figure 1. Frequency Spectrum of an Airborne Side-Looking Radar Return Showing a Slowly Moving Target Masked by Mainbeam Clutter

Figure 1 shows this situation. For uniformly distributed clutter, the spectral character of the frequency of the return signal is similar to the shape of the far field pattern of the radar antenna. The target return is shown masked by the mainbeam clutter return.

The mainlobe beamwidth of an antenna is proportional to the ratio of the electrical to physical length of the aperture. For an airborne side-looking antenna, the mainbeam clutter doppler spread is given as $\pm 2(V_R/\lambda_0)\sin\theta_h$, where V_R is the radar platform speed, λ_0 is the operating wavelength, and θ_h is the angle between broadside and the half-power (-3dB) point of the one-way radar antenna pattern. θ_h is directly proportional to λ_0/d where d is the antenna aperture length in the plane containing the flightpath.

Hence, there are only two ways to reduce the spread of the mainbeam clutter doppler return for a given operating frequency: (1) fly at slower speeds, and (2) use larger antennas. The first severely limits aircraft type and maneuverability, consequently, the second is usually employed. Larger antennas have smaller beamwidths but beamsteering or antenna rotation must be used to survey the normal field of interest (broadside $\pm 60^{\circ}$). This, in turn, requires the use of either large phased arrays or antennas requiring rotodomes, or both. These antennas are costly to install, often require a dedicated aircraft, and adversely affect aircraft performance and operating characteristics (weight and balance, flight envelope, etc.).

Alternative schemes to "see through" the mainbeam clutter have been devised which permit the use of simple, lightweight airborne antennas.

-2-

Displaced Phase Center Antenna (DPCA) techniques [1:7-9,10,13-14]* have been employed with varying degrees of success. This scheme employs two antennas, closely matched in radiation characteristics, mounted side-looking on the aircraft. The first antenna transmits and receives at a point along the flightpath. The second antenna's phase center is flown into the same position along the flightpath and it transmits and receives. Thus, the scene is viewed twice, at closely spaced--but different--times, from the same point in space. Assuming identical antenna patterns and perfectly correlated clutter, a simple subtraction of the second return from the first would eliminate the mainbeam clutter (including the sidelobe clutter). Any residual signal would be that of an object (perhaps a target) which moved during the interpulse period.

However, the performance of DPCA is limited by the ability to achieve two identical radiation patterns from antennas mounted side-byside on an aircraft. Great pains can be taken to construct two identical antennas in the laboratory only to have their radiation characteristics unevenly and dynamically distorted by the airframe presence and flexure (of the wings, stabilizers, etc.).

A technique designed to overcome these difficulties just mentioned has been experimentally evaluated [2]. There, a two-dimensional phased array was mounted on the side of an aircraft. By taking into account mutual coupling, array element differences, and airframe presence and flexure with an intricate array element phasing algorithm, two antenna patterns identical in both amplitude and phase were to be generated from overlapping fore and aft clusters of the phased array elements. These

-3-

^{*}Brackets enclose citations. A colon separates the citation and page references therein; commas separate multiple page references. Semicolons separate multiple citations within the brackets.

identical patterns were then to be employed in a DPCA mode. The design goal was to achieve 55dB of clutter attenuation [3:331] and MTI improvement [4:17-12; 2:331-333], and to detect targets with speeds of 2 or 3 knots at a range of 100 nautical miles. The effort met limited success. There were great difficulties in meeting and maintaining the required antenna tolerances, and the antenna array was very expensive [5].

Purpose

The purpose of this work is to formulate and analyze a method for detecting, locating, and estimating the velocity parameters of, a slowly moving target imbedded in a severe clutter environment from an airborne side-looking radar platform. The method proposed here couples synthetic aperture radar [6] and DPCA techniques with an optimization technique to achieve the best performance possible for doing this. The measure of performance for this analysis is the target to mean-clutter power ratio.

Synthetic aperture techniques allow real antennas of simple, flushmountable construction to be used. The advantages of flush-mounted antennas are that they are less vulnerable to hostile environments, and they have minimal effect on the aircraft operating and performance characteristics.

The rationale for using synthetic aperture techniques is that differences in real antenna radiation characteristics can be easily offset, thereby obtaining better elimination of the clutter residue in a DPCA scheme. This follows from the fact that the radiation pattern of an array antenna is the product of its array pattern--which is a function of only the array geometry--and its real antenna radiation pattern. Since long synthetic arrays have array patterns which have characteristically narrow mainlobes, only a small portion of the real antenna

-4-

pattern is effectively used.

Additional rationale for the method proposed here is that the narrow mainlobe achievable with the synthetic antenna effectively illuminates a region which has an azimuthal extent far narrower than what could be achieved by any real antenna that could be used practically in an airborne operation. With this smaller region of illumination, the radar has to contend with much less clutter. In effect, the ratio of target to clutter illumination is increased. This forecasts improved detection performance.

This work conceptualizes, formulates, and analyzes a model for a displaced phase center synthetic aperture radar technique which will be called "Multiple Arrested Synthetic Aperture Radar", or MASAR (pronounced "may'sar"). MASAR specifically addresses the problem of detecting slowly moving targets imbedded in a severe clutter environment.

Herein, the analysis of the MASAR concept will be limited to comparing its theoretical performance with the same for previous slowly moving target indicator (SMTI) radar techniques and to determining its sensitivity to changes in the target and clutter environment. It will be seen that this analysis will lay a foundation upon which many further issues may be explored (see chapter VI).

Comparison and Contrast with Related Efforts

Sletten and Holt [7] first proposed a dual-antenna scheme which coupled synthetic aperture radar and DPCA techniques in order to solve the clutter problem being experienced with SMTI radars. They called it "Arrested Synthetic Aperture Radar", ASAR, but made no attempt to analyze the concept. The term "arrested" arose from the idea that both real antennas could be used to obtain two synthetic aperture "pictures"

-5-

of the scene of interest--twice arresting the action--which could then be overlaid to isolate moving targets. Chudleigh and Moulton [8], on the suggestion by Sletten, performed a cursory analysis of a rudimentary two- and three-phase center ASAR employing simple cancellation schemes. They defined clutter cancellation as the ratio of the power in the cancelled residue to the power received by any one of the synthetic apertures from distributed clutter. They found, by computer evaluation, that the addition of a third phase center reduced the clutter cancellation sensitivity of arrested synthetic aperture systems to irregularities in the flight path by an order of magnitude over a two phase center system.

MASAR is an extension of their concept to an "M" real antenna ($M \ge 2$) scheme with optimal processing. As mentioned earlier, the measure of *performance* for the analysis is the target to mean-clutter power ratio. The optimization technique employs a known scheme based on the extremal properties of the ratio of two quadratic forms [9:317-326; 10:section 10]. This scheme, which maximizes the ratio of the two quadratic forms, has been successfully applied to the maximization of array antenna gain [11; 12; 13], the maximization of array antenna gain with simultaneous controlled null placement [14; 15], and the maximization of the signalto-interference ratio of a phased array radar [16]. This optimization scheme has not yet been applied to a synthetic aperture radar system.

MASAR is also an extension of the DPCA concept to synthetic aperture radar. Since only a small portion of the real antenna's radiation pattern is effectively used, better clutter suppression and, consequently, better SMTI performance than that achievable with conventional DPCA can be expected.

-6-

Lastly, MASAR can be implemented with simple antennas. As will be seen from the analysis, no special care need be taken to ensure matched radiation characteristics for the real elemental antennas.

Sequence of Development

In Chapter II, MASAR is conceptualized, the optimization technique is presented and an actualization of MASAR is proposed.

In Chapter III, MASAR is analytically formulated. First, a general expression is obtained for the MASAR combined signal power. Next, the models for both the target return and clutter return are derived. These models are each used in the general expression for the signal power to obtain the power for the target and clutter in quadratic form.

In Chapter IV, the results of a computer analysis of MASAR, developed from the theory in Chapter III, are presented. From these results, the performance of MASAR is discussed as a function of varying system and clutter parameters.

In Chapter V, this work is summarized and conclusions are drawn from the results presented in Chapter IV.

In Chapter VI, recommendations for further study are presented.

II. MASAR: The Conceptualization

The Conception

Consider M real antennas equally spaced on the side of an aircraft, side-looking and longitudinally aligned, so that all the antennas will traverse the same flight path. (Inflight perturbations are ignored in this analysis; they may be effectively corrected using an inertial platform). As the aircraft flies along, the antennas are sequentially pulsed from fore to aft. After the aftmost antenna (antenna M) is pulsed, the next pulse "returns" to the foremost antenna (antenna 1). The sequential pulsing is then repeated to obtain a second sequence. Assume the aircraft velocity, inter-antenna spacing, and the pulse repetition frequency (PRF) are such that on every "K"th pulse each antenna is pulsed at the same position in space where its immediate predecessor pulsed K pulses earlier. Since the antennas are pulsed sequentially fore to aft, and each antenna is pulsed at the same point in space, K is limited to

$$K = IM + 1 \tag{1}$$

where I, an integer ≥ 0 , is a factor which accounts for the interantenna pulse spacing (the time delay between arrays). K is defined as the inter-SAR spacing; it is the number of interpulse periods between successive synthetic aperture arrays. It will take a total of

 $P = M(N-1) + (M-1)K + 1 \qquad (2)$ consecutive pulses to obtain N such "positions in space" for each of the M antennas. Each of these "positions in space" is called a synthetic element position.

-8-



Synthetic Arrays.
Figure 2 on page 9 depicts three examples of this for a MASAR with M = 3 antennas and N = 4 pulses, with the inter-antenna pulse spacing factor I = 0, 1 and 2, top to bottom, respectively. The dotted axis represents the spatial positions of the synthetic elements if every pulse were transmitted on the same antenna. Aircraft motion is to the right. The alignment of each antenna at each synthetic element position is shown beneath the dotted axis by the letters A, B and C. The subscripts denote the number of the pulse transmitted on the antenna in that position. The antennas are shown above the dotted axis in their relative position at the time of the first pulse on antenna A. The last pulse occurs on antenna C in its rightmost position. Note that, for I = 1 and 2, the non-overlapping pulses at the left (beginning) and right (end) will not be used in the generation of the synthetic apertures. The pulses that are used compose the spatial intersection of the train of pulses on each antenna.

After each antenna pulses, it receives the radar return which is then stored on board the aircraft. The N synthetic element radar returns received by each antenna are then weighted and summed to form a synthetic array return. The returns for M arrays, of N synthetic elements each, are thus generated. Note that these M synthetic arrays are each displaced in time, but not in space. The temporal displacement between adjacent arrays is K/PRF.

The M synthetic array returns are then weighted and summed in a fashion which optimizes a measure of performance expressible as a ratio of two quadratic forms. As mentioned earlier, the measure of performance is the target to mean-clutter power ratio.

-10-

As with Sletten's original idea, MASAR "arrests" the scene M successive times but then performs an optimal M-fold "subtraction" to reveal the moving target.

The Optimization Procedure

The formulation of the MASAR model is closely tied to the optimization scheme. As an aid in perspective for the formulation, the optimization procedure shall be discussed here first.

The target-to-clutter power ratio is a widely used measure of performance for moving target indicating (MTI) radars, and it will be the same for MASAR. Both target and clutter power can be expressed in quadratic form. The problem here is to determine what optimizes their ratio. Here "optimum" is used in the sense that it is the absolute maximum for given target and clutter.

The optimization follows directly from the extremal properties of the ratio of two quadratic forms which shall now be summarized [9:317-326; 12:section 10; see esp. Appendix A, herein].

Consider the generalized eigenproblem,

$$Aw = \lambda Bw \tag{3}$$

where A and B are square matrices of order N and w is a column vector of dimension N. Each has complex-valued elements. λ is a complex-valued parameter. Premultiplying both sides by the transpose-conjugate of w, denoted w[†], and moving all terms to the left side yields

$$w^{\dagger}Aw - \lambda w^{\dagger}Bw = 0 \qquad (4)$$

The left side of (4)* is known as the pencil of two quadratic forms, where λ is the parameter of the pencil. When B is positive definite,

*Equations are referenced only by their number enclosed in parentheses.

As with Sletten's original idea, MASAR "arrests" the scene M successive times but then performs an optimal M-fold "subtraction" to reveal the moving target.

The Optimization Procedure

5

The formulation of the MASAR model is closely tied to the optimization scheme. As an aid in perspective for the formulation, the optimization procedure shall be discussed here first.

The target-to-clutter power ratio is a widely used measure of performance for moving target indicating (MTI) radars, and it will be the same for MASAR. Both target and clutter power can be expressed in quadratic form. The problem here is to determine what optimizes their ratio. Here "optimum" is used in the sense that it is the absolute maximum for given target and clutter.

The optimization follows directly from the extremal properties of the ratio of two quadratic forms which shall now be summarized [9:317-326; 12:section 10; see esp. Appendix A, herein].

Consider the generalized eigenproblem,

$$Aw = \lambda Bw \tag{3}$$

where A and B are square matrices of order N and w is a column vector of dimension N. Each has complex-valued elements. λ is a complex-valued parameter. Premultiplying both sides by the transpose-conjugate of w, denoted w[†], and moving all terms to the left side yields

 $w^{\dagger}Aw - \lambda w^{\dagger}Bw = 0 \qquad (4)$

The left side of (4)* is known as the pencil of two quadratic forms, where λ is the parameter of the pencil. When B is positive definite,

*Equations are referenced only by their number enclosed in parentheses.

the pencil is called "regular". The determinantal equation

$$|A - \lambda B| = 0$$
 (5)

is the eigenequation of the pencil. It has N roots λ_i (i = 1,2,...,N) which are the eigenvalues of the pencil.

If the pencil is regular and A can be expressed as a Hermitian outer self-product.

$$A = aa^{\dagger}$$
⁽⁶⁾

where a is a column vector having complex-valued elements, then there exists only <u>one nonzero</u> eigenvalue. Furthermore, if B is Hermitian, then this eigenvalue is the <u>maximum value</u> of the ratio (the dominant eigenvalue of the regular pencil) and is found as

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{w}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}^{\dagger} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{w}}\right)_{\text{max}} = \lambda_{\text{D}} = \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{a}$$
(7)

And furthermore, the eigenvector corresponding to this dominant eigenvalue is given by

$$w_{p} = B^{-1}a$$
(8)

And hence, from (7) and (8),

$$\lambda_{\rm D} = a^{\dagger} W_{\rm D} \tag{9}$$

For MASAR, the vector a describes the target signal, so the matrix A comprises all cross-power terms of the target signal return; also, the matrix B comprises all cross-power terms of the clutter signal return. For a MASAR processor employing weights, w, the processed target power is $w^{\dagger}Aw$ and the processed clutter power is $w^{\dagger}Bw$.

The vector w_D is the set of processor weights which optimizes the target-to-clutter power ratio to the value λ_D . It, then, is the weight vector which characterizes the optimum processor.

However, this is not a matched filter processor in the sense of North [17]. This is because the matched filter is obtained for the maximization of signal-to-noise when the noise is white (i.e., when the noise power spectrum is constant). Here, the maximization is with respect to the target-to-clutter power, and the clutter is not white. In fact, it has long been known that, for ground-based radars, the long-time-average power spectrum of the clutter signal return approaches that of the transmitted pulse [18:297]. This is not unexpected, since the clutter signal return is the sum of many similar returns with arbitrary amplitudes and phases [19:171]. Of course, for an airborne sidelooking radar, the clutter power spectrum is further "colored" by the platform motion relative to the "clutter source." An example of this spectrum is shown in Figure 1.

A Noteworthy Consequence of the Optimization

This optimization has a very interesting consequence. Note what happens to the target to clutter power ratio when the optimum weights are used:

$$\frac{\mathbf{w}^{\dagger}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}^{\dagger}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{w}}\Big|_{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{D}} = \frac{\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\dagger}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\dagger}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{D}}} = \frac{\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\dagger}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^{\dagger}\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\dagger}\mathbf{a}} = \frac{\left|\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\dagger}\mathbf{a}\right|^{2}}{\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\dagger}\mathbf{a}} \qquad (10)$$

where (6) and (8) have been invoked. The optimally processed target power becomes the square of the optimally processed clutter power. Their ratio, of course, is the eigenvalue (9)--the maximum achievable ratio for the target, a, immersed in the clutter, B.

A Proposed Implementation

At this point, as a further aid in perspective for the work which follows, it is interesting to postulate an airborne implementation for MASAR. The important quantity for MASAR is the optimum weighting vector, (8). It can be determined easily, once the target and clutter signals are known. However, there is no way to separate the target and clutter signals before processing; so these signals must be separately determined in another fashion.

As will be seen in the next chapter, the clutter power matrix B comprises all the cross-power correlation terms of the clutter signal return. The B matrix could be determined with a radar receiver which dynamically adapts to the clutter environment by continually sampling the clutter and estimating its second moments or cross-correlation characteristics. This is the classical correlation or covariance matrix estimation problem on which much work has been done [20; 21].

The target signal vector, a, would have to be presumed. A "tune, test, and retune" approach would have to be employed. On board the inflight MASAR aircraft, a decision would have to be made to search for a presumed target. The target signal vector, a, which matches the target would then be generated. MASAR would be, in effect, <u>tuned</u>, by the target signal vector, to the doppler <u>history</u> of the presumed target during the observation interval. The MASAR optimum weights would then be obtained from (8).

Next, the target scene would be sampled in the MASAR fashion, using the best settings for the controllable system parameters (aircraft speed, operating frequency, PRF, number of antennas, number of pulses, etc.; see chapter VI), and the returns would be processed using the

-14-

"tuned" weights. A simple threshold test could be then be performed using the actual processed signal versus the presumed target signal to determine the presence of a target.

Such an implementation of MASAR would require rapid tuning to search for a wide range of possible targets. With the various "target situations" (type, velocity, range, etc.) that would be of interest under real conditions, a seemingly tremendous amount of processing would be involved. Certainly, it seems too much for a sequential on-board processor to handle. However, multiple parallel-processors, with very-high-speed, very-large-scale-integrated technology may permit an effective realization of MASAR.

One advantage of such a scheme is, with on-board storage of the signal returns, the target scene need only be sampled once. Afterwards, the MASAR system could discriminate and adapt to the clutter, then tune and test for all targets of interest using the same set of signal returns. As targets are detected, they could be disposed to a tracking system. All the while, the target scene could be simultaneously updated with succeeding samples.

III. MASAR: The Formulation

In this chapter, an analytical model for MASAR is formulated. First, a general expression for the MASAR combined signal power is obtained with which the target and clutter powers are expressed as guadratic forms. Notational conventions are introduced and explained in this part of the exposition. Next, the MASAR signal return received by one antenna is obtained as a spatial integration over the product of factors comprising the antenna power radiation pattern, the electric field backscattering coefficient, the free-space Green's function and the range-amplitude weighting. Then, the expression for the generalized cross-power term (generalized in the sense that it can be used to describe the cross-power for either the target or the clutter) is written as the product of the signal returns received by two arbitrary MASAR antennas. From this, by explicitly characterizing the electric field backscattering coefficient for the target and for the clutter, expressions are obtained for the target signal and the clutter cross-power correlation. Whereas the closed-form expression for the target signal is obtained quite directly, the same for the clutter cross-power is obtained after much work. The chapter concludes with examples of the clutter and an abstract of the computer analysis.

Formulation of the Generalized Combined Signal Power

Recall from the preceeding chapter, that MASAR requires M antennas (real elements) and N pulses (synthetic elements) on each antenna. Here, m shall denote a member of the real elements, i.e., $m \in \{1,2,3,\ldots,M\}$ and n shall denote a member of the synthetic elements, i.e., $n \in \{1,2,3,\ldots,N\}$. Each synthetic element corresponds to a fixed

-16-

position in space and so the phrases 'synthetic element position", "synthetic position", or "position" will be used frequently with common meaning.

The radar return received by real element m at synthetic element position n is converted into a complex voltage which can be characterized as an amplitude and phase:

$$V_n^m = E_n^m e^{j\phi_n^m}$$
(11)

The m th synthetic array return is obtained as a weighted sum of the N synthetic element returns:

$$V^{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \widetilde{W}_{n}^{m} V_{n}^{m}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \widetilde{W}_{n}^{m} E_{n}^{m} e^{j\phi_{n}^{m}}$$
(12)

where the \tilde{w}_n^m are the synthetic element weights. The combined return, is obtained as a weighted sum of the M synthetic array returns:

$$V = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \widehat{w} V^{m}$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \widehat{w}^{m} \widetilde{w}_{n}^{m} V_{n}^{m}$$
(13)

where the \hat{w}_n^m are the array weights. (Recalling the discussion in the proposed implementation (see pp.13ff), $|V|^2$ would be compared to a

-17-

threshold to determine the presence of a moving target.)

As shall be seen, the weighting coefficients will be determined in a manner which permits them to be combined:

$$W_{n}^{m} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \widehat{W}^{m} \widetilde{W}_{n}^{m}$$
(14)

Then, the combined complex voltage return of the M synthetic arrays may be expressed as

$$V = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_n^m V_n^m$$
(15)

The combined power may be written as

$$P = VV^{*}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{l=1}^{M} \sum_{p=1}^{N} w_{n}^{m} w_{p}^{*l} V_{n}^{m} V_{p}^{*l}$$
(16)

where * denotes complex conjugation, the indices 1 and p stand for antenna 1 in synthetic position p, and where a constant of proportionality in units of reciprocal impedance has been ignored (it would cancel out in the target-to-clutter power ratio, anyway). This Hermitian biquadratic form can be reduced to a Hermitian quadratic form simply by combining the m and n summation indices into a single summation index i, and the 1 and p summation indices into a single index k:

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{MN} \sum_{k=1}^{MN} w_i w_k^* V_i V_k^*$$
(17)

-18-

However, in this form the convenient real-by-synthetic element identification is lost. Hence, even though it is more cumbersome, the biquadratic form will be retained; the inconvenience will prove to be temporary.

The radar return is a superposition of target (signal of interest) and clutter (signal of interference) and noise (random interference, generated by the environment, within the radar frequency passband). The target is assumed to be located in a field of randomly located scatterers (such as vegetation) and the radar return from these scatterers, referred to as clutter, is assumed (as was done in the Introduction) to be so large as to mask the target. Under these conditions, the further assumption can be made that noise is negligible compared to the clutter; that is, the system is assumed to have a low thermal noise floor and be clutter power limited. Noise can then be ignored here, leaving only the target and clutter signals to be considered.

The MASAR combined target and mean clutter power returns may be expressed as

$$TP = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{l=1}^{M} \sum_{p=1}^{N} w_{n}^{m} w_{p}^{*l} \left(V_{n}^{m} V_{p}^{*l} \right)_{t}$$
(18)

and

1

$$\left\langle CP \right\rangle = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{l=1}^{M} \sum_{p=1}^{N} w_n^m w_p^{*l} \left\langle \left(V_n^m V_p^{*l} \right)_p \right\rangle$$
(19)

where TP is the combined target power, $\langle CP \rangle$ is the combined average clutter power, and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the average, or expectation. The reason for taking the expectation of the clutter power will become apparent later (see page 33).

Not only are (18) and (19) Hermitian biguadratic forms, but also (19) can be reasonably restricted to a positive definite form. As will become clear when the clutter model is established (see pp. 33ff), (19) is at least a positive semidefinite form (also referred to in the literature as nonnegative definite). What this means is, there is no choice of nonzero weights for which (19) can be made negative. However, this does not exclude the case where (19) can be made identically equal to zero. Were this possible, the performance measure chosen for this analysis would not be bounded. It is well known that this kind of performance is not obtainable in an actual physical situation. (Wouldn't it be nice if the clutter could be made to vanish!) In order to be able to predict the performance of an actual system, the clutter model used in this analysis must be realistic enough to prohibit such a singularity in the performance measure. Then (19) must be restricted to a positive definite form. Even if one were (unfortunate enough) to select a clutter model which contained such a singularity, the addition of noise to each term in (19)--when m = 1 and n = p--would restore the positive definiteness of (19) without disturbing the Hermitian sym ٠y.

The combined target and mean clutter powers may be conveniently expressed in quadratic form as

$$TP = w^{\dagger}Aw \tag{20}$$

and

$$\langle CP \rangle = w^{\dagger}Bw$$
 (21)

where lower case letters are used to denote vectors and upper case letters are used to denote square matrices. Here, w is a column vector

-20-

whose elements are the weights w_n^m , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{w} = \left(\mathbf{w}_{n}^{\mathbf{m}}\right)_{N}^{\mathbf{M}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_{i}^{\mathbf{i}} \\ \mathbf{w}_{i}^{\mathbf{s}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_{a}^{\mathbf{i}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_{a}^{\mathbf{s}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_{N}^{\mathbf{s}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_{N}^{\mathbf{s}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_{N}^{\mathbf{s}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(22)

and w^{\dagger} denotes its transpose-conjugate, a row vector.

Throughout this work, supercripts will denote the real elements and subscripts will denote the synthetic elements.

The notation and expansion of $(w_n^m)_N^M$ in (22) is to be especially noted. M is the total number of real elements (real antennas) and its use here denotes that the upper index, m, is to take on all integer values from 1 to M. N is the total number of synthetic elements and its use here denotes that the lower index, n, is to take on all integer values from 1 to N. The expansion of $(w_n^m)_N^M$ is performed by setting the lower index at its first value, 1, and running the upper index through all its values 1 through M, then continually repeating this with the lower index incremented by 1, until the element w_N^M is reached.

In (20), the matrix A characterizes the target signal and is defined as

-21-

$$A = \left[\left[\alpha_{np}^{ml} \right]_{N}^{M} \right]_{N} = \begin{bmatrix} \left[\alpha_{11}^{ml} \right]^{M} \left[\alpha_{12}^{ml} \right]^{M} \cdots \left[\alpha_{1N}^{ml} \right]^{M} \\ \left[\alpha_{21}^{ml} \right]^{M} & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \left[\alpha_{N1}^{ml} \right]^{M} \cdots \left[\alpha_{NN}^{ml} \right]^{M} \end{bmatrix}$$
(23)

where, for fixed indices i and j,

$$\left[\alpha_{ij}^{ml}\right]^{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{ij}^{l1} \alpha_{ij}^{l2} \dots \alpha_{ij}^{lM} \\ \alpha_{ij}^{2l} & & \\ \vdots & & \\ \alpha_{ij}^{M1} \dots \alpha_{ij}^{MM} \end{bmatrix}$$
(24)

and where, from (18),

$$\alpha_{np}^{ml} = \left(V_{n}^{m} V_{p}^{*l} \right)_{t}$$
⁽²⁵⁾

In (21), the matrix B characterizes the clutter signal and is defined in a similar fashion, as

$$B = \left[\left[\beta_{np}^{ml} \right]_{N}^{M} \right]$$
(26)

where, from (19),

$$\beta_{np}^{ml} = \left\langle \left(V_n^m V_p^{*l} \right)_c \right\rangle$$
(27)

That is, both the A and B matrices are constructed of N by N partitions of M by M matrices.

Note, from (11), that (25) separates,

$$\alpha_{np}^{ml} = \alpha_{n}^{m} \alpha_{p}^{*l} = (V_{n}^{m})_{t} (V_{p}^{*l})_{t}$$
(28)

and thus,

$$A = aa^{\dagger} = (\alpha_{n}^{m})_{N}^{M} (\alpha_{p}^{1})_{N}^{\dagger M}$$
⁽²⁹⁾

where, again, (\cdot) † denotes the transpose-conjugate. That is,

$$\mathbf{a} = \left(\alpha_{n}^{m}\right)_{N}^{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{i}^{1} \\ \alpha_{i}^{n} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{i}^{n} \end{pmatrix}$$
(30)

is the target signal vector. Hence, (20) may be written as

$$TP = w^{\dagger}aa^{\dagger}w = w^{\dagger}a(w^{\dagger}a)^{\dagger} = |w^{\dagger}a|^{2} \qquad (31)$$

Also note, from (11) and (27), that

$$\beta_{np}^{ml} = \left\langle \left(V_{n}^{m} \right)_{c} \left(V_{p}^{*l} \right)_{c} \right\rangle$$
(32)

Note that the main diagonal of B comprises all the clutter cross-power terms of antenna m in position n with itself $(1 \le m \le M, 1 \le n \le N)$.

The task now is to characterize, in detail, the MASAR target and clutter signal returns; i.e., to obtain analytical models for α_n^m and β_{np}^{m} .

The Model for the MASAR Signal Return

The formulation of the MASAR model shall be based on the following assumptions, which shall hold throughout this work:

- a. multiple scattering shall be ignored,
- b. no scatterer shall shadow any other,
- c. propagation path effects shall be limited to round trip path length effects on the amplitude and phase of the electric field,
- d. the electric field polarization shall remain constant,
- e. antenna losses shall be ignored,
- f. all scatterers shall be in the far field of the antenna, and,
- g. second time around returns [3:350-352] shall be ignored (see Chapter VI for further discussion on this).

The voltage at the termination of a radar antenna, upon receipt of its signal return, is a superposition of the backscattered returns from all scatterers that lie in the scattering region. The scattering region is defined by the time of receipt of the signal return, and each of the backscattered returns are weighted by the temporal shape of the transmitted signal.

Thus, the MASAR elemental signal return received by antenna m at synthetic position n, from a scatterer which is at (x,y,z) can be written as

-24-

$$e_{n}^{m}(x,y,z) = E_{peak}^{m}g^{m}(\theta,\phi) \chi_{n}^{m}(x,y,z) \frac{e}{\rho^{2}} p(\rho) e^{j\omega_{0}\tau_{n}^{m}(x,y,z)}$$

where $\mathbf{j} = \sqrt{-1}$, $\rho^2 = \mathbf{x}^2 + \mathbf{y}^2 + \mathbf{z}^2$, $\theta = \tan^{-1}(\mathbf{y}/\mathbf{x})$, $\phi = \tan^{-1}(\mathbf{z}/\rho)$, and where

- E^m is the magnitude of the electric field intensity at the peak of the m th antenna radiation pattern (this could vary with time, but here it shall be assumed a constant over all N synthetic positions) and is in volts/meter,
- $g^{m}(\theta,\phi)$ is the complex (magnitude and phase) normalized two-way power radiation pattern of the m th antenna $(|g^{m}(\theta,\phi)|$ is the ratio of its directive gain to its directivity)--in units of normalized power, it is dimensionless,
- $x_n^m(x,y,z)$ is the complex electric field <u>backscattering coefficient</u> from the scatterer at (x,y,z) observed by antenna m at synthetic position n--the <u>scattering coefficient</u> [22: 22-23] is defined as the ratio of the electric field scattered by the scatterer at (x,y,z) to the electric field scattered in the specular direction by a perfectly conducting scatterer of the same dimensions at the same angle of incidence and at the same position as the scatterer at (x,y,z), wherein the amplitude and polarization of the incident field remain the same; the <u>backscattering</u> <u>coefficient</u> is defined as the scattering coefficient in the direction of the source--and is dimensionless,

 $p(\rho)$ is the real-valued range-amplitude weighting which char-

-25-

acterizes the envelope of the transmitted pulse and is dimensionless,

$$k_0 = \omega_0/c$$
, where c is the speed of light,

$$\omega_0$$
 = $2\pi f_0$; f_0 is the radar operating frequency,

$$T_{n}^{m} = [M(n-1)+(m-1)K]/f_{PRF}$$
 (34)

is the time of the pulse on antenna m at position n relative to antenna 1 at position 1--see (1) and (2); f_{PRF} is the pulse repetition frequency, and

 $\omega_0 \tau_n^m$ accounts for the change in phase of the radar's master oscillator between synthetic element positions.

The signal return can be obtained by integrating (33) over the scattering region:

$$V_{n}^{m} = \iiint_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx dy dz e_{n}^{m}(x,y,z) = E_{peak}^{m} e^{j\omega_{0}\tau_{n}^{m}}$$
$$\cdot \iiint_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx dy dz g^{m}(\theta,\phi) \chi^{m}(x,y,z) \frac{e^{-j2k_{0}\rho}}{\rho^{2}} p(\rho)_{(35)}$$

<u>The Range-Amplitude Weighting</u>, $p(\rho)$. The range-amplitude weighting function, $p(\rho)$, substantially simplifies the task of the integration for the target and especially for the clutter. The alternative to this is to integrate over finite limits which rapidly leads to unrewarding pursuits for reasonable choices of the backscattering coefficient.

The range weighting is chosen to simulate a signal return from a "range bin" centered at range R_0 . For tractability, the range weight-

ing is defined to be a gaussian-shaped pulse centered at range $\rm R_{\rm c}$:

$$p(\rho) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} e^{-(\rho - R_0)^2 / 2\sigma^2}$$
 (36)

where σ characterizes the pulse width. σ , which is the distance from the center of the pulse to its inflection point, is defined as

$$\sigma = \frac{\mathrm{ct}_{\mathrm{p}}}{4} \tag{37}$$

where c is the speed of light, and

 ${\bf t}_{\rho}$ is the temporal width of the pulse--the time between the

inflection points of the pulse.

Note that, although the range width of the pulse is $ct_{\rho} = 4$, the effective width of the range weighting is $ct_{\rho}/2 = 2\sigma$ because of the round trip. The "range bin", therefore, is 2σ wide.

Hence, the signal received by the MASAR antenna m at position n is, from (35) and (36)

$$V_{n}^{m} = \underbrace{\frac{E_{peak}^{m} e^{j\omega_{0}r_{n}^{m}}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, dy \, dz}_{\bullet g^{m}(\theta,\phi) \chi_{n}^{m}(x,y,z) \frac{e}{\rho^{2}} \left[\frac{(\rho-R_{0})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} \right] (38)$$

For high resolution in range, σ is chosen to be small. Then the variation in range becomes, effectively,

$$|\rho - R_0| \gtrsim 3\sigma$$
 (39)

and, then, the usual far field approximation [23:440] can be made,

$$\frac{1}{\rho^2} \cong \frac{1}{R_0^2} \tag{40}$$

-27-

Hence, the model for the MASAR signal return becomes

$$V_{n}^{m} = \frac{E_{\text{peak}}^{m} e^{j\omega_{0}\tau_{n}^{m}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma} R_{0}^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, dy \, dz$$

$$\bullet g^{m}(\theta,\phi) \chi_{n}^{m}(x,y,z) \, e^{-\left[\frac{(\rho-R_{0})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} + j2k_{0}\rho\right]}$$
(41)

Without loss of generality, and to simplify the work which follows, further development of the models for the target and clutter shall be restricted to a two-dimensional space. This shall be the plane defined by the radar platform velocity vector and the synthetic antenna beammaximum boresight: chosen here to be the x-y plane. That is,

$$V_{n}^{m} = \frac{E_{\text{peak}}^{m}e}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}R_{0}^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, dy \, g^{m}(\theta) \chi_{n}^{m}(x,y) \, e^{-\left[\frac{(r-R_{0})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} + j^{2}k_{0}r\right]}$$
(42)

where now, $r^2 = x^2+y^2$, $\theta = \tan^{-1}(y/x)$, with the x-axis along the antenna boresight, the y-axis along the platform velocity vector, and the origin at the center of antenna m.

The Generalized Cross-Power Term

Both α_n^m and β_{np}^{ml} can be obtained from (42). Recall from (28) and (32) that the cross-product $V_n^m V_p^{\star l}$ is common. That is, for both the target power and the clutter power, the terms in their sums, (18) and (19), are--in general--weighted products of the signal received by antenna m in position n and the signal received by antenna l in position p. For notational simplicity, let

$$V_1 \equiv V_n^m$$
, $g_1(\cdot) \equiv g^m(\cdot)$, $\chi_1 \equiv \chi_n^m$, etc., (43a)



Figure 3. Geometry for the Cross-Power Term

Then, for both the target and the clutter, the term of common interest is the generalized cross-power term which can be written as, see Figure 3,

$$V_{1}V_{2}^{*} = \kappa \iiint_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int dx_{1}dy_{1} dx_{2}dy_{2}g_{1}(\theta_{1})g_{2}^{*}(\theta_{2})$$

$$\bullet \chi_{1}(x_{1},y_{1}) \chi_{2}^{*}(x_{2},y_{2}) e^{-\gamma(x_{1},y_{1},x_{2},y_{2})}$$
(44)

where,

$$\kappa = \frac{\hat{\kappa} E_1 E_2 e^{j\omega_0 (\tau_1 - \tau_2)}}{2\pi \sigma^2 R_0^4}$$
(45)

 $\hat{\kappa}$ is a constant of proportionality,

and

$$\gamma(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = \frac{(r_1 - R_0)^2 + (r_2 - R_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} + j2k_0(r_1 - r_2)$$
 (46)

and

$$(r_{i})^{2} = x_{i}^{2} + (y_{i} - \overline{y}_{i})^{2}$$
 (47a)

$$\theta_{i} = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{y_{1} - \overline{y_{1}}}{X_{1}} \right)$$
(47b)

$$(r_2)^2 = x_2^2 + (y_2 - \overline{y}_2)^2$$
 (47c)

$$\theta_2 = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y_2 - \overline{y}_2}{X_2}\right) \tag{47d}$$

where

 \overline{y}_1 is the position of antenna 1 at t = τ_1 , and \overline{y}_2 is the position of antenna 2 at t = τ_2 .

To complete the model, the backscattering coefficients must be defined for the target and the clutter. This will be done in the next two sections.

The Target Model

Throughout the generation of all M synthetic arrays, the MASAR platform shall be starboard-looking and moving with a constant velocity \vec{v}_R , a vector directed along the flight path. Also, the target shall be moving with a constant velocity \vec{v}_T , a vector directed at a track angle, θ_T , measured counterclockwise from the MASAR abeam-to-starboard direction. The target shall remain in the same MASAR range bin (see page 27) throughout the MASAR observation interval. See Figure 4.



Figure 4. Geometry for the Target Model

In Figure 4,

- (\hat{x}_1, \hat{y}_1) is the position of the target at $t = \tau_1$ when antenna 1 pulses,
- $(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_2)$ is the position of the target at $t = \tau_2$ when antenna 2 pulses,

d =
$$\overline{y}_2 - \overline{y}_1$$
 is the spacing between antennas 1 and 2, where \overline{y}_1 and
 \overline{y}_2 are as described on the previous page, and where
 θ_T is the target track angle.

The radar platform is moving along the y-axis in the positive direction. All angles are measured counterclockwise from abeam-tostarboard of the MASAR platform. The cross-power between antennas 1 and 2, received from the target, is obtained from (44). The target is defined quite simply as a moving isotropic scatterer:

$$\chi_1 = \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_1, \mathbf{y}_1 - \widehat{\mathbf{y}}_1)$$
(48a)

-31-

and

$$\chi_{z} = \delta(\mathbf{x}_{z} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{z}, \mathbf{y}_{z} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{z})$$
(48b)

where $\delta(\cdot)$ is the Dirac delta function. Here, χ_1 is the backscattering coefficient of the target as observed by antenna 1, and χ_2 is the same, but as observed by antenna 2.

With (48) in (44), the integration separates and is trivial:

$$V_{1}V_{2}^{*} = \kappa g_{1} \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\widehat{y}_{1} - \overline{y}_{1}}{\widehat{X}_{1}} \right) \right] g_{2}^{*} \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\widehat{y}_{2} - \overline{y}_{2}}{\widehat{X}_{2}} \right) \right] e^{-\gamma(\widehat{x}_{1} \cdot \widehat{y}_{1} \cdot \widehat{x}_{2} \cdot \widehat{y}_{2})}$$

$$(49)$$

with $\gamma(\cdot)$ described by (46). The relationship of (49) to (28) should be clearly evident; in fact, the target signal vector (30) can be generated from

$$\alpha_{n}^{m} = v_{n}^{m} = \frac{\sqrt{k}E_{peak}^{m}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma R_{0}^{2}} g^{m}(\theta_{n}^{m})e^{-\frac{(r_{n}^{m}-R_{0})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}-j2k_{0}r_{n}^{m}}$$
(50)

where r_n^m is the instantaneous range to the target from antenna m in synthetic position n, and $\theta_n^m = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y_n^m - \overline{y}_n^m}{x_n^m}\right)$ is the angle between r_n^m

and the direction abeam-to-starboard of the MASAR platform.

Expressions for r_n^m , and θ_n^m can be easily obtained. Refer to Figure 5 for the following observations: antenna 1 is pulsed in position 1 at time $\tau_1^1 = 0$. At this time, the target is located at the tip of the radius vector \vec{r}_1^1 at angle θ_1^1 . Antenna m is pulsed in position n at time τ_n^m ; <u>however</u>, its separation from antenna 1 in position 1 along the flight path is only $d = v_R \tau_n^1$ because all antennas are pulsed at the same

-32-

synthetic positions in space. Meanwhile, the target has moved along its trajectory--determined by its speed v_T and track angle θ_T --to the tip of radius vector \vec{r}_n^m at angle θ_n^m . The target has traversed a distance $r_T = v_T \tau_n^m$.



Figure 5. Geometry for the Instantaneous Target Range

From Figure 5,

$$r_{n}^{m}\cos\theta_{n}^{m} = r_{1}^{1}\cos\theta_{1}^{1} + v_{T}\tau_{n}^{m}\cos\theta_{T}$$
(51a)

and

$$r_n^m \sin \theta_n^m = r_1^1 \sin \theta_1^1 + v_T \tau_n^m \sin \theta_T - v_R \tau_n^1$$
 (51b)

The parameters r_n^m and θ_n^m can be computed easily given v_T and θ_T , but first r_1^1 and θ_1^1 must be established. For purposes of this model, the technique used in generating the target signal vector shall be to place the target at range R_0 along, and angle θ_0 from, the perpendicular bisector of the MASAR array at precisely the midpoint of the MASAR observation interval, as shown in Figure 6. When M antennas and N pulses are used, the midpoint of the MASAR observation interval is $(\tau_N^M - \tau_1^1)/2$. $(\tau_N^M$ is the time the last antenna pulses in the last posi-

-33-

tion and MASAR time ends.) But, $\tau_1^1 = 0$, see (34), as this is the time at which antenna 1 pulses in position 1 and MASAR time begins. Thus the midpoint of the MASAR observation interval is $\tau_N^M/2$.



Figure 6. Geometry for Determining r_1^1 and θ_1^1

Then,

$$\mathbf{r}_{1}^{1}\cos\theta_{1}^{1} = \mathbf{R}_{0}\cos\theta_{0} - \mathbf{v}_{T}\frac{\tau_{N}^{H}}{2}\cos\theta_{T}$$
(52a)

and

$$\mathbf{r}_{1}^{1}\sin\theta_{1}^{1} = \mathbf{v}_{R}\frac{\tau_{N}^{1}}{2} + \mathbf{R}_{0}\sin\theta_{0} - \mathbf{v}_{T}\frac{\tau_{N}^{M}}{2}\sin\theta_{T}$$
(52b)

With (52) in (51), expressions for r_n^m and θ_n^m can be obtained which hold for all m and n (1 $\leq m \leq M$, 1 $\leq n \leq N$),

$$\left(r_{n}^{m}\right)^{2} = \left[R_{0}\cos\theta_{0} + v_{T}\cos\theta_{T}\left(\tau_{n}^{m} - \frac{\tau_{N}^{M}}{2}\right)\right]^{2} + \left[R_{0}\sin\theta_{0} + v_{R}\left(\frac{\tau_{N}^{1}}{2} - \tau_{n}^{1}\right) + v_{T}\sin\theta_{T}\left(\tau_{n}^{m} - \frac{\tau_{N}^{M}}{2}\right)\right]^{2}$$
(53)

and

-34-

$$\theta_{n}^{m} = \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{R_{0} \sin\theta_{0} + v_{R} (\frac{\tau_{N}^{1}}{2} - \tau_{n}^{1}) + v_{T} \sin\theta_{T} (\tau_{n}^{m} - \frac{\tau_{N}^{M}}{2})}{R_{0} \cos\theta_{0} + v_{T} \cos\theta_{T} (\tau_{n}^{m} - \frac{\tau_{N}^{M}}{2})} \right] (54)$$

where care must be taken to distinguish between m, n, M, and N. For example, for 6 antennas and 50 synthetic positions, M = 6, N = 50, $1 \le m \le 6$, and $1 \le n \le 50$.

This completes the target model: the target signal vector (30) can be obtained from (50), (53) and (54) once the two-way antenna patterns $g^{m}(\theta)$ are defined. These shall be chosen after the clutter model is established.

The Clutter Model

As an aid in defining the clutter model, assume the MASAR platform is flying so as to overlook a heavily wooded terrain. A radar pulse, of duration t_p is transmitted. At a time corresponding to a round-trip distance for the center of the pulse of $2R_0$, the pulse return is sampled. This return is a superposition of the backscattered electric field from every scatterer in an annular ring of inner and outer radii $R_0 - (ct_p)/4$ and $R_0 + (ct_p)/4$, respectively. This describes the scattering region of interest.

Each point in this scattering region can be characterized by its electric field backscattering coefficient (see page 25). For a particular point, this coefficient is an amplitude and phase weighting which is applied to that part of the incident electric field which scatters back in the direction of the source. This coefficient is construed as being a random function of both time and space. That is, if one could isolate a point in the scattering region and observe its backscattering coefficient as a function of time, then one would obtain a sample function of

-35-

a random process [24:3-4]. Furthermore, different sample functions would be obtained for each of the other points in the scattering region. What is being described, here, is a random field [24:81ff; 25:243ff].

The electric field backscattering coefficient, from which the MASAR clutter signal is derived, shall be characterized as the complex (or, two-dimensional) spatiotemporal random field X(x,y,t). This random field represents the amplitude and phase of the backscattering coefficient as a function of time for every point of the scattering region observed from positions along the MASAR flight path. The backscattering coefficient, observed by MASAR antenna m at position n shall be denoted

$$\chi_{n}^{m}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \equiv \chi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\boldsymbol{\tau}_{n}^{m})$$
⁽⁵⁵⁾

where, for the spatial indexing parameters x and y, the x-axis lies along the antenna m boresight, the y-axis lies along the platform velocity vector, and the origin is at the intersection of these axes (at the center of antenna m). $\chi_n^m(x,y)$ assumes complex values x with probability density $p_y(x)$ over the scattering region.

Then, the specific form for the mean clutter power B matrix elements can be obtained by taking the expectation of (44). That is; with (32), (43), (44), and (55):

$$\beta_{np}^{ml} = \langle \mathbf{v}_{n}^{m} \mathbf{v}_{p}^{*l} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}^{*} \rangle = \kappa \int \int \int \int d\mathbf{x}_{1} d\mathbf{y}_{1} d\mathbf{x}_{2} d\mathbf{y}_{2}$$

• $\mathbf{g}_{1}(\theta_{1}) \mathbf{g}_{2}^{*}(\theta_{2}) \langle \chi_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}) \chi_{2}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{2}) \rangle e^{-\gamma(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{2})}$ (56)

where κ , θ_1 , θ_2 , and $\gamma(\cdot)$ are described in (44). Note that if the combined clutter power was to be obtained instead of the combined <u>average</u>

-36-

clutter power, then the random field $\chi(x,y,t)$ would have to be explicitly defined and a stochastic integral would have to be evaluated.

Recall from (43) that $\langle x_1 x_2^* \rangle \equiv \langle x_n^m x_p^* \rangle$. For all m and l, x_n^m and x_p^1 have a common spatial origin when n = p, and they have different origins when $n \neq p$. When n = p, the backscatter coefficient is observed twice from the same point in space, at instants τ_p^m and τ_p^1 in time. When $n \neq p$, the observations are from two different points in space each at instants τ_n^m and τ_p^1 , respectively, in time.

It is not unreasonable to assume that, for a particular point in the scattering region, the values of the backscattering coefficient would tend to be correlated for closely spaced instants in time, and uncorrelated for widely spaced instants in time. Nor is it any less reasonable to assume that, for a particular instant in time, the values of the backscattering coefficient would tend to be correlated for closely spaced points in the scattering region, and uncorrelated for widely spaced points in the scattering region. Furthermore, within the MASAR observation interval and scattering region of interest, it may be reasonably assumed that the expected value of the backscattering coefficient is constant over time and space.

By subscribing to these assumptions, the nature of the clutter backscattering coefficient can be more explicitly defined as a random field which is both homogeneous and isotropic [24:84; 25:247]. This means that, for any two points in space or time,

$$\langle \chi(\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{y}_{1},\mathbf{t}_{1})\chi^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{y}_{2},\mathbf{t}_{2})\rangle = \mathbf{f}(|\mathbf{x}_{1}-\mathbf{x}_{2}|,|\mathbf{y}_{1}-\mathbf{y}_{2}|,|\mathbf{t}_{1}-\mathbf{t}_{2}|)$$
(57)

That is, the correlation of the electric field backscattering coefficient is a function of only the distance between the space or time

-37-

points and not of the direction. (Were it a function of the direction, it would be homogeneous but not isotropic.)

By considering only the expectation of the clutter cross-power term, the task of modeling the stochastic character of the clutter backscatter is avoided. What remains is the much simpler task of modeling the correlation of the clutter backscatter coefficient, $\langle X_1 X_2^* \rangle$. This can be done by selecting any correlation function which satisfies the stochastic properties propounded in the preceeding paragraph.

For the most general sense, $\langle X_1 X_2^* \rangle$ should be chosen as a spatiotemporally weighted spatiotemporal correlation function; see chapter VI, pp. 137-138. However, both time and space for the present work are limited and it will be seen that the function chosen here, although the simplest and lending the most tractability, nevertheless leaves the evaluation of (56) to be no simple matter.

The clutter model shall be derived from the correlation function

$$\langle \chi_{1} (\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}) \chi_{2}^{*} (\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{2}) \rangle \stackrel{\Delta}{=} e^{-\frac{(\tau_{1} - \tau_{2})^{2}}{2\tau^{2}}} \delta(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{1} - \mathbf{y}_{2})$$
 (58)

where $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_n^m$ is the time antenna m is in position n, $\tau_2 \equiv \tau_p^1$ is the time antenna l is in position p, T is the temporal clutter correlation interval, and $\delta(\cdot)$ is the Dirac delta function.

The meaning of (58) follows: all points in the clutter backscattering region are spatially uncorrelated with each other except with themselves and, even then, decorrelate as a gaussian function of the temporal spread between points of observation. The temporal correlation interval, T, determines how rapidly this decorrelation occurs.

-38-

Physically, the spatial character chosen here describes a scattering surface which tends to be everywhere discontinuous; that is, a surface with very densely packed irregularities having transitions which greatly exceed the wavelength of the source. For a 1 GHz radar, such a surface might be described by the trees (and their interspacings) of a dense forest. In contrast, this model could not be applied to spatially characterize terrain with a gently rolling surface such as a treeless region with large distances between its hills and valleys. For such terrain, one would expect some correlation between points on the surface even if they were far apart.

With (58), (56) reduces to

$$\langle V_{1}V_{2}^{*} \rangle = \kappa e^{-\frac{(\tau_{1}-\tau_{2})^{2}}{2T^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy$$

$$\cdot g_{1} \left[\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y-\overline{y}_{1}}{X}\right) \right] g_{2}^{*} \left[\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y-\overline{y}_{2}}{X}\right) \right] e^{-\gamma(x,y)}$$

$$(59)$$

where

$$\gamma(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[(r_1 - R_0)^2 + (r_2 - R_0)^2 \right] + j 2k_0 (r_1 - r_2) (60)$$

in which, as shown in Figure 7,

$$(r_{i})^{2} = x^{2} + (y - y_{i})^{2}$$
 (61)

$$(r_2)^2 = x^2 + (y - \overline{y}_2)^2 = (r_1)^2 - 2d(y - \overline{y}_1) + d^2$$
 (62)

and

$$d = \overline{y}_2 - \overline{y}_1 \tag{63}$$

-39-





Integrating the Clutter Cross-Power Correlation. The integation in (59) is greatly simplified by transforming from x,y to ζ ,n:

$$\zeta = r_1 - R_0 \tag{64a}$$

$$\eta = (r_1 - r_2)/d \tag{64b}$$

First, the Jacobian of the transformation is obtained:

$$\frac{\partial(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}{\partial(\zeta,\eta)} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \zeta} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \eta} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \zeta} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \eta} \end{vmatrix}$$
(65)

Proceeding thusly, from (61),

$$\zeta + \mathbf{R}_{0} = \mathbf{x} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \zeta} + (\mathbf{y} - \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{1}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \zeta}$$
(66)

and

$$0 = x \frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} + (y - \overline{y}_1) \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta}$$
⁽⁶⁷⁾

Likewise, from (62),

-40-

$$0 = \zeta + R_0 - d\frac{\partial y}{\partial \zeta}$$
 (68)

i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{\zeta + R_0}{d} \tag{69}$$

Also, from (62),

$$(r_2)^2 - (r_1)^2 = -2d(y - \overline{y}_1) + d^2$$
 (70)

or recalling (64b),

$$-(r_{2}+r_{1})\eta = -2(y-\bar{y}_{1}) + d$$
 (71)

from which

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta} = \frac{r_1 + r_2}{2} \tag{72}$$

From (66) and (69),

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \zeta} = \frac{\zeta + R_0}{X} \left(1 - \frac{y - \overline{y}_1}{d} \right)$$
(73)

From (67) and (72),

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{(\mathbf{y}-\overline{\mathbf{y}}_{i})}{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{i}+\mathbf{r}_{z}}{2}$$
(74)

Hence, the Jacobian is obtained from (65) with (69), (72), (73), and (74):

$$\frac{\partial(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}{\partial(\zeta,\eta)} = \frac{\zeta + R_0}{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{r}_s}{2}$$

$$= \frac{\zeta + R_{o}}{x} \quad \frac{\zeta + R_{o} + r_{z} - r_{i} + r_{i}}{2}$$
$$= \frac{\zeta + R_{o}}{x} \quad \frac{2(\zeta + R_{o}) - \eta d}{2}$$
(75)

Also, it is convenient to transform (60) at this time:

$$\gamma(\zeta,\eta) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \left[\zeta^{2} + (\zeta - \eta d)^{2} \right] + j2k_{0}\eta d$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \left[2\zeta^{2} - 2\zeta\eta d + \eta^{2} d^{2} \right] + j2k_{0}\eta d \quad (76)$$

which can be more conveniently expressed by completing the square in $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}$:

$$\gamma(\zeta,\eta) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} 2(\zeta - \eta d/2)^{2} - \frac{\eta^{2} d^{2}}{4\sigma^{2}} + \frac{\eta^{2} d^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} + j2k_{0}\eta d$$
$$= \frac{(\zeta - \eta d/2)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} + \frac{\eta^{2} d^{2}}{4\sigma^{2}} + j2k_{0}\eta d$$
(77)

The effect of these transformations on the limits of integration must now be considered along with a simplifying approximation. The transformation from x,y to ζ ,n is isomorphic; that is, the space is not deformed. Clearly, from Figure 7 and (64b), the new variable n has finite limits: $-1 \leq n \leq 1$. However, in order to integrate over the entire space--as is done in (59)--in the ζ ,n coordinate system, one of two possible choices for the limits of integration on ζ must be selected: either

-42-

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, dy \rightarrow \left[\int_{-1}^{1} d\eta + \int_{1}^{-1} d\eta\right] \int_{-R_0}^{\infty} d\zeta$$

or,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, dy \rightarrow \int_{-1}^{1} d\eta \left[\int_{-\infty}^{-R_0} d\zeta + \int_{-R_0}^{\infty} d\zeta\right] \equiv \int_{-1}^{1} d\eta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\zeta$$

In the first choice, the integration $\int_{-1}^{1} dn$ corresponds to an integration $\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta$, in Figure 7, which is an integration over the forward $-\pi/2$ lobes of the real antenna patterns (remember, MASAR is starboard-looking). The integration $\int_{-1}^{1} dn$ corresponds to an integration $\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta$, in $\pi/2$ Figure 7, which is an integration over the back lobes of the real antenna patterns.

In the second choice, the integration $\int_{-\infty}^{-R_0} d\zeta$ corresponds to the integration over the backlobes, and the integration $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\zeta$ corresponds to the integration over the front lobes. Both choices are equivalent, but the second choice is easier to work with.

Now, the simplifying approximation is made: the integration over the backlobes of the real antennas,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \equiv \int_{-1}^{1} d\eta \int_{-\infty}^{-R_0} d\zeta$$

is negligible. And so,

-43-

$$\iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx dy \approx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \equiv \int_{-1}^{1} d\eta \int_{-R_{0}}^{\infty} d\zeta \approx \int_{-1}^{1} d\eta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\zeta$$

With this, (75) and (77); (59) becomes

$$\langle V_1 V_2^* \rangle = \kappa_1 \int_{-1}^{1} d\eta e^{-\left[(d/2\sigma)^2 \eta^2 + j 2k_0 \eta d\right]}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\zeta g_{1}(\hat{\theta}_{1})g_{2}^{*}(\hat{\theta}_{2}) \frac{2(\zeta+R_{0})^{2}-\eta d(\zeta+R_{0})}{2x} e^{-\frac{(\zeta-\eta d/2)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}} (78)$$

where,

$$\kappa_1 = \kappa e^{-(\tau_1 - \tau_2)^2/2T^2}$$
 (79)

 κ is defined by (45), and the variables $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ will be discussed shortly.

The integration of (78) over the variable ζ can be done asymptotically via the Method of Laplace [26:302-303]: since σ is small, and the factors $g_1(\hat{\theta}_1)$, $g_2(\hat{\theta}_2)$, and

$$\frac{2(\zeta+R_0)^2-\eta d(\zeta+R_0)}{2x}$$

are smoothly and slowly varying functions of ζ , then the factor

$$e^{-(\zeta-\eta d/2)^2/\sigma^2}$$

dominates the character of the integrand. In fact, this factor drives the value of the integrand close to zero everywhere except near the

-44-
point $\zeta = nd/2$. Therefore, the integration on ζ can be obtained by integration over a very small interval about this point. But, with such an interval, from (78), the integration on ζ can be written as

$$I_{\xi} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\zeta g_{1}(\widehat{\theta}_{1})g_{2}^{*}(\widehat{\theta}_{2}) e^{-(\zeta - \eta d/2)^{2}/\sigma^{2}} \frac{2(\zeta + R_{0})^{2} - \eta d(\zeta + R_{0})}{2x}$$
$$\sim \left[g_{1}(\widehat{\theta}_{1})g_{2}^{*}(\widehat{\theta}_{2}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\zeta e^{-(\zeta - \eta d/2)^{2}/\sigma^{2}} \frac{2(\zeta + R_{0})^{2} - \eta d(\zeta + R_{0})}{2x}\right]_{\zeta = \eta d/2}$$
(80)

This last integral is simply that of a gaussian function, so

$$I_{\xi} \sim \sqrt{2\pi} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left[g_{1}\left(\widehat{\theta}_{1}\right)g_{2}^{*}\left(\widehat{\theta}_{2}\right)\right]_{\xi=\eta d/2} \frac{2(\eta d/2+R_{0})^{2}-\eta d(\eta d/2+R_{0})}{2x}$$
$$= \sqrt{\pi\sigma} \frac{R_{0}(\eta d+2R_{0})}{2x} \left[g_{1}\left(\widehat{\theta}_{1}\right)g_{2}^{*}\left(\widehat{\theta}_{2}\right)\right]_{\xi=\eta d/2} \cdot (81)$$

where x is also evaluated at $\zeta = nd/2$.

The parameter x can be evaluated as follows: from (61),

$$x^{2} = (r_{1})^{2} - (y - \overline{y}_{1})^{2}$$
 (82)

From (62),

$$y - \overline{y}_{1} = \frac{(r_{2})^{2} - (r_{1})^{2} - d^{2}}{-2d}$$

$$= \frac{(r_1 - r_2)(r_1 + r_2) + d^2}{2d}$$
(83)

which becomes, with (64b),

$$y - \overline{y}_{1} = \frac{\eta(r_{1} + r_{2}) + d}{2}$$
 (84)

Hence, (82) with (84) yields,

$$x^{2} = (r_{1})^{2} - \left[\frac{\eta(r_{1}+r_{2}) + d}{2}\right]^{2}$$
 (85)

which is to be evaluated at $\zeta = \eta d/2$. Recalling (64a),

$$|\mathbf{r}_1|_{\zeta=\eta d/2} = \frac{\eta d}{2} + \mathbf{R}_0$$
(86)

Using this with (64b),

$$r_{2}\Big|_{\zeta=\eta d/2} = r_{1}\Big|_{\zeta=\eta d/2} - \eta d$$

$$= R_{0} - \frac{\eta d}{2}$$
(87)

Adding (86) and (87),

$$(r_{1} + r_{2})|_{\xi=\eta d/2} = 2R_{0}$$
 (88)

Then, with (86) and (88), (85) becomes

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{2}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{2\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{0}}}\right)^{\mathbf{2}} \right] (1 - \eta^{\mathbf{2}})$$
(89)

or,

$$x = R_{0} \left[1 - \left(\frac{d}{2R_{0}} \right)^{2} \right]^{1/2} \sqrt{1 - \eta^{2}}$$
 (90)

Hence, (81) can be written as

$$I_{\xi} \sim \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\sigma R_0}{d[1-(\frac{d}{2R_0})^2]^{1/2}} \frac{1+\eta(\frac{d}{2R_0})}{\sqrt{1-\eta^2}} \left[g_1(\widehat{\theta}_1)g_2^*(\widehat{\theta}_2)\right]_{\xi=\eta d/2}$$
(91)

The arguments of $g_1(\cdot)$ and $g_2(\cdot)$ can be written from (78) with reference to (59),

$$\widehat{\theta}_{1} = \tan^{-1} \frac{y - \overline{y}_{1}}{x}$$
(92)

and

$$\widehat{\theta}_{2} = \tan^{-1} \frac{y - \overline{y}_{2}}{x}$$
(93)

which must be evaluated at $\zeta = \eta d/2$ as well. For (92), with (84), (88), and (90),

$$\tan \hat{\theta}_{1} = \frac{2R_{0}\eta + d}{2x}$$
$$= \frac{\eta + \frac{d}{2R_{0}}}{\left[1 - (d/2R_{0})^{2}\right]^{1/2}\sqrt{1 - \eta^{2}}}$$
(94)

For (93), recalling (63),

$$\tan \hat{\theta}_{2} = \frac{y - \overline{y}_{1} + \overline{y}_{1} - \overline{y}_{2}}{x}$$
$$= \frac{y - \overline{y}_{1}}{x} - \frac{d}{x}$$
$$= \tan \hat{\theta}_{1} - \frac{d}{x}$$
(95)

hence, with (90) and (94)

$$\tan \widehat{\theta}_{2} = \frac{\eta - \frac{d}{2R_{2}}}{\left[1 - \left(\frac{d}{2R_{0}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1/2} \sqrt{1 - \eta^{2}}}$$
(96)

With $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ so determined, and with (91), the integration over the variable ζ is completed and (78) leaves

$$\langle V_1 V_2^* \rangle \sim \widetilde{\kappa} \int_{-1}^{1} d\eta \ g_1(\widehat{\theta}_1) g_2^*(\widehat{\theta}_2) \left(1 + \frac{d}{2R_0} \eta \right) e^{-\left(\frac{d}{2\sigma}\right)^2 \eta^2} \frac{e^{-j2k_0\eta d}}{\sqrt{1-\eta^2}}$$
(97)

where, from (45), (79), and (91)

$$\widetilde{\kappa} = \frac{\widehat{\kappa} E_{1}E_{2}e^{-\frac{(\tau_{1}-\tau_{2})^{2}}{2T^{2}}} + j\omega_{0}(\tau_{1}-\tau_{2})}{2\sqrt{\pi} \sigma R_{0}^{3}\left[1-\left(\frac{d}{2R_{0}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1/2}}$$
(98)

and where,

$$\widehat{\theta}_{1} = \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left[1 - \left(\frac{d}{2R_{0}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1/2} \sqrt{1 - \eta^{2}}} \right\}$$
(99)

and

$$\widehat{\theta}_{2} = \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left[1 - \left(\frac{d}{2R_{0}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1/2} \sqrt{1 - \eta^{2}}} \right\}$$
(100)

<u>The Clutter Integral at d = 0</u>. Eq. (97) is the halfway point in the integration of (59). Some assurance that the work so far is correct can be obtained by considering both (59) and (97) for the special case $d = \overline{y}_2 - \overline{y}_1 = 0$; i.e., when the cross-power term involves the same synthetic position (the same point in space).

Letting d = 0, and making the variable change $n = \sin \theta$, it is easy to obtain, from (97) through (100),

$$\langle V_1 V_2^* \rangle \sim \frac{\widehat{\kappa} E_1 E_2 e}{2\sqrt{\pi} \sigma R_0^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta g_1(\theta) g_2^*(\theta)$$
(101)

This is simply a weighted integration over the forward lobes of the product of the two-way antenna patterns.

Returning to (59) with d = 0: $\overline{y}_1 = \overline{y}_2$ from (63), which means $r_1 = r_2$ from (61) and (61), and $\arg[g_1(\cdot)] = \arg[g_2(\cdot)] = \theta$; thus, with (45) and converting to polar coordinates, (59) becomes

$$\langle V_1 V_2^* \rangle = \frac{\hat{\kappa} \underbrace{E_1 E_2 e}_{2\pi\sigma^2 \mathbb{R}_0^4}}{2\pi\sigma^2 \mathbb{R}_0^4} \int_{-\pi/2}^{-\pi/2} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta \ g_1(\theta) g_2^*(\theta) \int_{0}^{\infty} dr \ re^{-\left(\frac{r \cdot \mathbb{R}_0}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$
(102)

-49-

where the integration over the backlobes is neglected as on pages 43-44.

The integration over the variable r is done simply as follows: let $\xi/\sqrt{2} = (r - R_0)/\sigma$, then

$$I_{r} = \int_{0}^{\infty} dr r e^{-\left(\frac{r-R_{0}}{\sigma}\right)^{2}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\sqrt{2}R_{0}/\sigma}^{\infty} d\xi \left(\sigma \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{2}} + R_{0}\right) e^{-\xi^{2}/2}$$
(103)

For R_0 large and $\sigma \ll R_0$, the following approximation can be made:

$$I_{r} \sim \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi e^{-\xi^{2}/2} d\xi + \frac{\sigma R_{o}}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\xi^{2}/2} d\xi \qquad (104)$$

The first integral vanishes, as it is an odd integrand integrated over even limits. The second integral is that of a standard gaussian form. Hence,

$$I_{r} \sim \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} R_{0} \sqrt{2\pi} = \sqrt{\pi} \sigma R_{0}$$
(105)

Thus, (102) becomes

$$\langle V_{1}V_{2}^{*}\rangle \sim \frac{\hat{\kappa} E_{1}E_{2}e^{-\frac{(\tau_{1}-\tau_{2})^{2}}{2\tau^{2}}} + j\omega(\tau_{1}-\tau_{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\sigma R_{0}^{3}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta g_{1}(\theta)g_{2}^{*}(\theta)$$
(106)

which is identical to (101) and demonstrates that the same result can be obtained from both (59) and (97) when d = 0.

<u>Continuing The Clutter Integration</u>. Returning to the integration of the clutter cross-power correlation, from (97), let

-50-

$$I \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \int_{-1}^{1} d\eta f(\eta) \frac{e^{jz\eta}}{\sqrt{1-\eta^2}}$$
(107)

where

$$f(\eta) = g_1(\widehat{\theta}_1) g_2^*(\widehat{\theta}_2) (1 + \frac{d}{2R_{\circ}} \eta) e^{-(\frac{d}{2\sigma})^2 \eta^2}$$
(108)

and

$$z = -2k_0 d \tag{109}$$

Noting the limits of integration, expand f(n) in a Taylor series about zero:

$$f(\eta) = f(0) + \frac{f^{(1)}(0)}{1!} \eta + \frac{f^{(2)}(0)}{2!} \eta^{2} + \cdots + \frac{f^{(r)}(0)}{r!} \eta^{r} + \cdots$$
$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(r)}(0)}{r!} \eta^{r} \qquad (110)$$

where $f^{(r)}(0)$ denotes the r th derivative of $f(\eta)$ w.r.t. η evaluated at $\eta \approx 0$. Then (107) can be written

$$I = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(r)}(0)}{r!} \int_{-1}^{1} \eta^{r} \frac{e^{jz\eta}}{\sqrt{1-\eta^{2}}} d\eta$$

Letting $\eta = \cos \theta$, (111) becomes

$$I = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(r)}(0)}{r!} \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos^{r} \theta e^{jz\cos\theta} d\theta$$
(112)

-51-

This looks very much like an integral form of the Bessel function of the First Kind, order n. From [27:(9.1.21)],

$$\pi j^{n} J_{n}(z) = \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos(n\theta) e^{jz\cos\theta} d\theta$$
(113)

where $j = \sqrt{-1}$. But, (112) involves $\cos^{r}\theta$. However, this can be expressed as a sum of Chebyshev poloynomials of the First Kind [27:(22.3.15)],

$$T_{p}(\cos\theta) = \cos(p\theta)$$
(114)

Thus from [27:Table 22.3],

$$\cos^{r}\theta = b_{r}^{-1}\sum_{p=0}^{r} \delta_{p} T_{p}(\cos\theta)$$
$$= b_{r}^{-1}\sum_{p=0}^{r} \delta_{p}\cos(p\theta)$$
(115)

where b_r and δ_p are coefficients defined in the referenced table and will be discussed shortly. But first, in two steps, the closed form for (112) can be obtained: with (115),

$$I = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(r)}(0)}{r!} b_r^{-1} \sum_{p=0}^r \delta_p \int_0^{\pi} \cos(p\theta) e^{jz\cos\theta} d\theta \qquad (116)$$

and then, with (113),

$$I = \pi \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(r)}(0)}{r!} b_{r}^{-1} \sum_{p=0}^{r} j^{p} \delta_{p} J_{p}(Z)$$
(117)

-52-

Now, from [27:Table 22.3],

$$b_r = 2^{r-1}$$
, when $r \neq 0$
= 1, when $r=0$ (118)

So, using the Neumann number

$$\epsilon_r = 2$$
 , when r=0
= 1 , otherwise (119)

then, for all r,

$$b_{r} = \epsilon_{r} 2^{r-1}$$
(120)

Next, the δ_p are the coefficients of the Chebyshev polynomials; they are related to the binomial coefficients. Their expression, in terms of the indicies r and p, is rather tricky to obtain. After contemplating the values for δ_p in [27:Table 22.3], with the aid of Pascal's triangle, it can be determined that, from (117),

$$\sum_{p=0}^{r} j^{p} \delta_{p} \equiv \sum_{s=0}^{\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]} \frac{r!}{(r-s)!s!} \frac{j^{r-2s}}{\epsilon_{r-2s}}$$

where [r/2] means the largest integer $\leq r/2$, and where the Neumann number,

$$\epsilon_{r-2s} = 2$$
, when r=2s, except r=0
= 1, otherwise (121)

-53-

Hence (117) can be written

$$I = \pi \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(r)}(0)}{r!\epsilon_r 2^{r-1}} \sum_{s=0}^{\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]} \frac{r!}{(r-s)!s!} \frac{j^{r-2s}}{\epsilon_{r-2s}} J_{r-2s}(Z)$$
(122)

Note the r!'s will cancel. Also note, from [27:(9.1.35)]

$$J_{\nu}(ze^{m\pi j}) = e^{m\nu\pi j} J_{\nu}(z)$$
, m an integer (123)

and then, recalling (109),

$$J_{r-2s}(2k_{0}de^{j\pi}) = e^{(r-2s)\pi j} J_{r-2s} (2k_{0}d)$$
$$= (-1)^{r-2s} J_{r-2s}(2k_{0}d)$$
(124)

Thus, (122) can be written

$$I = \pi \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(r)}(0)}{\epsilon_r 2^{r-1}} \sum_{s=0}^{\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]} \frac{(-j)^{r-2s}}{(r-s)!s!} \frac{J_{r-2s}(2k_od)}{\epsilon_{r-2s}}$$
(125)

Finally, with (97), (107), and (125), the clutter cross-power correlation model can be expressed in closed form as

$$\langle V_{1}V_{2}^{*} \rangle \sim \frac{\hat{\kappa} E_{1}E_{2}\sqrt{\pi} e^{-\frac{(\tau_{1}-\tau_{2})^{2}}{2\Gamma^{2}} + j\omega_{0}(\tau_{1}-\tau_{2})}}{2\sigma R_{0}^{3} \left[1 - \left(\frac{d}{2R_{0}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1/2}}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(r)}(0)}{\epsilon_{r} 2^{r-1}} \sum_{s=0}^{\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]} \frac{(-j)^{r-2s}}{(r-s)!s!} \frac{J_{r-2s}(2k_{0}d)}{\epsilon_{r-2s}}$$
(126)

All factors in (126) have been explicitly defined in the preceeding text except the antenna patterns $g_1(\cdot)$ and $g_2(\cdot)$. These must be defined in order to determine the factors $f^{(r)}(0)$ for the outside summation in (126). Recalling (108), with (99), and (100), the evaluation of the factors $f^{(r)}(0)$ can be seen to be quite complicated for even simple choices of the antenna patterns.

Antennas For The MASAR Model

Up to this point, the MASAR antennas have been characterized generally by the factors $g^{m}(\theta)$, for m $\in \{1, 2, ..., M\}$, having amplitude and phase both as functions of θ . Recalling the discussion in the introduction, MASAR performance as a function of the dissimilarity in antennas is among the primary interests of this work. Considering the need to evaluate the factor $f^{(r)}(0)$ for the clutter model, a tractable, sensible choice is to choose the antennas to vary in beamwidth only--as powers of the simple cosine pattern: i.e.,

$$g^{m}(\theta) = \cos^{P}\theta$$
, m ε {1,2,...,M} (127a)

and

$$g^{l}(\theta) = \cos^{Q}\theta$$
, $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ (127b)

where P and Q are integer powers, equal when m = 1.

The use of (127a) in (50) for generation of the target signal vector is quite straightforward. There, $g^m(\Theta_n^m) = \cos^p \Theta_n^m$ and Θ_n^m is defined by (54).

For the clutter cross-power correlation terms, however, recalling (43) and (108),

-55-

$$g^{m}(\widehat{\theta}_{n}^{m}) \equiv g_{1}(\widehat{\theta}_{1}) = \cos^{P}(\widehat{\theta}_{1})$$
 (128a)

and

$$g^{l}(\widehat{\theta}_{p}^{1}) \equiv g_{2}(\widehat{\theta}_{2}) = \cos^{Q}(\widehat{\theta}_{2})$$
 (128b)

Then, with (99) and (100) and some trigonometry,

$$g_{1}(\widehat{\theta}_{1}) \rightarrow g_{1}(\eta) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{d}{2R_{o}}\right)^{2}\right]^{P/2} \frac{\left(1 - \eta^{2}\right)^{P/2}}{\left(1 + \frac{d}{2R_{o}}\eta\right)^{P}} \quad (129)$$

and

$$g_{2}^{*}(\widehat{\theta}_{2}) \rightarrow g_{2}(\eta) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{d}{2R_{\sigma}}\right)^{2}\right]^{Q/2} \frac{\left(1 - \eta^{2}\right)^{Q/2}}{\left(1 - \frac{d}{2R_{\sigma}}\eta\right)^{Q}}$$
 (130)

Then (108) can be written explicitly as

$$f(\eta) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{d}{2R_{\circ}}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{P+Q}{2}} (1 - \eta^{2})^{\frac{P+Q}{2}}$$

• $\left(1 + \frac{d}{2R_{\circ}}\eta\right)^{-(P-1)} (1 - \frac{d}{2R_{\circ}}\eta)^{-Q} e^{-\left(\frac{d}{2\sigma}\right)^{2}\eta^{2}} (131)$

This completes the formulation of the MASAR model. The target signal vector can be obtained as described two paragraphs earlier and the clutter cross-power correlation terms can be obtained directly from (126) using (131) to obtain the factors $f^{(r)}(0)$.

<u>Computation of $f^{(r)}(0)$ </u>. The evaluation of (126)--though an infinite sum--converges very rapidly, rarely requiring more than 20 terms. Nevertheless, this means that derivatives of f(n) through the 20th or so order must be obtained. Manually differentiating (131) becomes unman-

-56-

ageable after the sixth or seventh order.

There is a better way: Liebniz's theorem for the differentiation of a product [27:(3.3.8)]

$$(uv)^{(r)} = u^{(r)}v^{(0)} + {\binom{r}{i}}u^{(r-1)}v^{(1)} + {\binom{r}{2}}u^{(r-2)}v^{(2)} + \cdots + {\binom{r}{s}}u^{(r-s)}v^{(s)} + \cdots + {\binom{r}{s}}u^{(r-s)}v^{(s)} + \cdots + {\binom{r}{s}}u^{(0)}v^{(r)}$$
(132)

which can be written in the form

$$(uv)^{(r)} = \sum_{s=0}^{r} {r \choose s} u^{(r-s)} v^{(s)}$$
 (133)

where $\binom{r}{s}$ is the binomial coefficient. This can be extended easily: let $u = u_1$ and $v = u_2 w$, then

$$(u_{1} u_{2} w)^{(r)} = \sum_{s=0}^{r} {r \choose s} u_{1}^{(r-s)} (u_{2} w)^{(s)}$$
$$= \sum_{s=0}^{r} {r \choose s} u_{1}^{(r-s)} \sum_{q=0}^{s} {s \choose q} u_{2}^{(s-q)} w^{(q)} \quad (134)$$

Extend again: let $w = u_3 u_4$, then

$$(u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4)^{(r)} = \sum_{s=0}^{r} {r \choose s} u_1^{(r-s)} \sum_{q=0}^{s} {s \choose q} u_2^{(s-q)} \sum_{p=0}^{q} {q \choose p} u_3^{(q-p)} u_4^{(p)} (135)$$

Referring to (131), let

$$u_{1} = (1 - \eta^{2})^{\frac{p+q}{2}}$$
(136)

$$u_{2} = (1 + \frac{d}{2R_{o}} \eta)^{-(P-1)}$$
 (137a)

$$u_3 = (1 - \frac{d}{2R_{\bullet}} \eta)^{-Q}$$
 (137b)

and

$$u_4 = e^{-(\frac{d}{2\sigma})^2 \eta^2}$$
 (138)

Then (131) can be written

$$f(\eta) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{d}{2R_o}\right)^2\right]^{\frac{p+q}{2}} (u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4) \quad (139)$$

and

$$\mathbf{f}^{(\mathbf{r})}(\eta) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{2\mathrm{R}_{\bullet}}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{Q}}{2}} \left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{2}}\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{4}}\right)^{(\mathbf{r})} \quad (140)$$

where (135) can be used directly. Differentiating the u_i is far more manageable. Manually obtaining about five or six orders of differentiation, each evaluated at n = 0, reveals recursive patterns from which expressions for the direct evaluation of $u_i^{(r)}(0)$ can be written.

For u_1 (note this is an even function on [-1,1], so all odd

derivatives at $\eta = 0$ will vanish):

$$u_{1}^{(r)}(0) = \{1 - (r - [\frac{r}{2}]2)\} (-1)^{r/2} \frac{r!}{(r/2)!} \\ \cdot \zeta(\zeta - 1)(\zeta - 2) \cdot \cdot \cdot (\zeta - [\frac{r-1}{2}])$$
(141)

where again [(.)] \equiv largest integer \leq (.),

$$\zeta = \frac{P+Q}{2} \tag{142}$$

and

ł

$$\{\cdot\} = 1$$
, for r even
= 0, for r odd (143)

For
$$u_2$$
 and u_3 :
 $u_2^{(r)}(0) = \left(\frac{d}{2R_o}\right)^r \mu(\mu-1)(\mu-2) \cdots (\mu-r+1),$
for $r \ge 1$
=1, for $r=0$ (144)

where

$$\mu = -(P-1) \tag{145}$$

and

$$u_{3}^{(r)}(0) = \left(-\frac{d}{2R_{o}}\right)^{r} \nu(\nu-1)(\nu-2) \cdot \cdot \cdot (\nu-r+1),$$

for $r \ge 1$
= 1, for $r=0$ (146)

where

$$\nu = -\mathbf{Q} \tag{147}$$

Finally, for u_{i} (also an even function on [-1,1])

$$u_{4}^{(r)}(0) = \{1 - (r - [\frac{r}{2}]2) (-1)^{r/2} \frac{r!}{(r/2)!} (\frac{d}{2\sigma})^{r}$$
(148)

where $[r/2] \equiv$ largest integer $\leq r/2$, as before, and the factor in braces was defined by (143).

Examples of the Clutter

Figures 8, 9 and 10 show the magnitude and the phase of the clutter cross-power correlation for combinations of cosine-squared and cosinefourth antenna patterns as a function of the antenna spacing. The curves are obtained by computing (126) for the values shown, and then normalizing by the factor



from (50) and the factor $e^{j\omega_0(\tau_1-\tau_2)}$. In the legend, PW is the pulse-width and CCT is the clutter correlation time.

Noting the phase (dashed line), the curves are seen to have a damped cosinusoidal character. (Recall that the asymptotic form of the J Bessel function for large argument is cosinusoidal [27:(9.2.1)].) The in-phase peaks of these curves are seen to be spaced at intervals of one foot, which is equal to one wavelength, for the second and succeeding peaks. These peaks arise from the beating of the Bessel functions in (126). Their argument is $2k_0 d = 4\pi d/\lambda_0$. Beginning with the second peak (the first one for nonzero d), it can be seen that 180° phase peaks occur when d is increased by integer multiples of λ_0 /2.

-60-



-61-



-62-



-63-

Abstract of the Computer Analysis

An algorithm for the machine computation of the clutter crosspower terms was developed using (126) with (141) through (148) for the factors $f^{(r)}(0)$. The same was done for the target signal vector using (50), (53), (54) and (127a). Note from (48) and (58) the target has essentially the same albedo as a point of clutter.

An algorithm for the computation of the optimum weights was developed following the procedure outlined in Appendix B for the solution of the eigenproblem. A computer analysis was then performed. The results are presented in the next chapter.

IV. Analysis Of MASAR Performance

The analysis of the performance of MASAR is presented here. The performance is investigated for varying number of antennas, antenna beamwidths, number of pulses per antenna, time delay between synthetic apertures, and clutter decorrelation times.

An Overview of the Analysis Procedure

The performance is analyzed in three ways. First, the relative improvement of MASAR is examined. The improvement is defined as the ratio of the processed and unprocessed target to clutter power; i.e., the ratio of the target to clutter power ratios at the output and input to the MASAR processor. The relative improvement is defined as the ratio of the improvement obtained with the optimum processor to the improvement obtained with the target or binomial processors.

Second, the response of MASAR is examined with regard to a target which has a speed and track angle identical to the presumed target but which is positioned at azimuths which differ from the presumed azimuth. As will be seen, the direction of the effective MASAR antenna pattern mainlobe--the boresight--is automatically set by the optimum weights to the target's presumed azimuth. This part of the analysis is called "the off-boresight response to a target moving at the presumed velocity."

Third, the response of the optimum MASAR processor is examined with regard to another target which is in the vicinity of the presumed target. The velocity of this target varies from that of the presumed target. This part of the analysis is called "the response to other boresight targets."

-65-

The Cases for the Analysis

The cases for which the MASAR performance is analyzed are enumerated in Table I. There are twenty. These cases are designed to examine the performance of MASAR as a function of the parameters discussed in the opening to this chapter.

For each case, the clutter cross-power correlation matrix is generated with (126), (140) through (148) and (135). The clutter is defined by the following ten parameters:

a. radar operating frequency = 1 GHz,

b. pulsewidth = $0.5 \mu sec$,

c. radar platform velocity = 450 mph,

d. range = 10 miles,

e. number of MASAR antennas,

f. number of pulses per antenna (number of synthetic elements per array),

g. pulse repetition frequency (PRF; see Appendix C for how this is chosen),

h. interantenna pulse spacing factor,

i. antenna patterns,

and,

j. temporal clutter correlation interval (also called the decorrelation interval).

Table I shows the values of the last six parameters listed above for each case. Henceforth, specific cases are referenced by their number shown in this table. The purpose of each case is discussed below.

-66-



Table I

when the A w

a state of the second second

-67-

Cases 1 through 13 have a temporal clutter correlation interval of ten seconds. This is much longer than the MASAR observation interval (time from first to last processed pulse) of any Case.

Cases 1 wough 6 were selected to observe MASAR performance for two antennas, varying only their beamwidths and number of pulses. Cases 1, 2 and 3 each employ isotropic and cosine-squared antenna patterns. They differ only in the number of pulses used in generating the synthetic apertures. Cases 4, 5 and 6 differ from the first three only by exchanging the isotropic pattern for a cosine-fourth power pattern to simulate the effect of a narrower beamwidth.

Cases 7 through 20 have a constant processing interval. This equals the total number of pulses processed: the number of antennas times the number of pulses.

And a second second

Cases 7, 8 and 11 were chosen to observe MASAR performance as antennas are added, each having a narrower beamwidth. Cases 12, 13 and 11 were selected for the same purpose, but each added antenna has a broader beamwidth.

Cases 8, 9 and 10 each employ the same antennas and number of pulses except the time between the generation of each synthetic aperture is increased. Following (1), with M = 3, the synthetic apertures are spaced by one interpulse period in Case 8, by seven interpulse periods in Case 9, and by 13 interpulse periods in Case 10. The intent here is to observe performance as the effective time delay between synthetic aperture "looks" is increased. The target moves farther, but the clutter decorrelates more, as this delay is increased. Cases 14, 15 and 16 are for the same purpose, but the temporal clutter correlation time is shortened to 1 second. Cases 17, 18 and 19 repeat the experiment a

-68-

third time, but with a temporal clutter correlation time of 0.01 second; the order of the observation interval. Obviously, these three sets of cases can also be used to observe MASAR performance as the clutter decorrelates more rapidly.

Case 20 is the same as Case 11, except the temporal clutter correlation interval is much shorter. They can be used together to observe the change in performance of a four antenna system as the clutter decorrelates more rapidly. Case 20 can also be compared with Case 17 to observe the change in performance between a three and four antenna system, holding the processing interval constant, with rapid clutter decorrelation.

MASAR Relative Improvement

For this analysis, improvement is defined as

$$I = \frac{r_0}{r_i}$$
(149)

where r_i is the target to clutter power ratio at the input to the MASAR processor, and

where r_0 is the target to clutter power ratio at the output of the MASAR processor; i.e.,

$$\mathbf{r}_{0} = \frac{|\mathbf{w}_{p}^{\dagger}\mathbf{a}|^{2}}{\mathbf{w}_{p}^{\dagger}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{w}_{p}}$$
(150)

where \mathbf{w}_{D} is the weight vector used in the MASAR processor.



Three different processors are considered: the optimum processor, which employs the weight vector w_D ; the target processor which employs the weight vector w_T ; and the binomial processor, which employs the weight vector w_B . The optimum and target weights are tabulated in Appendix E for selected cases from Table I.

The optimum weights, w_D , are determined as described in the section on the optimization procedure in Chapter II, pages 11-12 (also, their computation is discussed in Appendix B). Recall that, for a given target, these are the weights which maximize the target to clutter power ratio; i.e., they form the eigenvector that corresponds to the dominant eigenvalue of the eigenproblem characterized by (3), with $A = aa^{\dagger}$.

A "smart, ad hoc" design for MASAR is to phase the elementary antenna pulse returns so that the mainbeam of the net array is always steered to follow the target. This is precisely what the target weights do. The target weights, w_{T} , are simply the target signal; i.e.,

$$w_{\tau} = a \tag{151}$$

The use of w_T causes all the target signal returns to be summed in phase; consequently, the processed target power becomes

$$TP = |w_{T}^{\dagger}a|^{2} = |a^{\dagger}a|^{2}$$
(152)

Note, from (8), it can be seen that the target weights are <u>optimum</u> for the special case where the clutter cross-power correlation matrix is an <u>identity</u> matrix. Recall, from page 23, that the main diagonal of B comprises all the clutter cross-power correlation terms of antenna m in position n with itself $(1 \le m \le M, 1 \le n \le N)$. Then this special case

-70-

occurs when the clutter has an extremely short temporal correlation interval; e.g., from (58), when T < < $(\tau_1 - \tau_2)^2$, though the clutter need not be spatially uncorrelated.

The binomial weights, w_B , simulate a multiple M-pulse canceller [3:328] for an M-antenna MASAR. The returns from the M antennas at each of the N synthetic positions are weighted by the binomial coefficients obtained from $(1-x)^{M-1}$. For example, for an M = 4 antenna, N = 2 pulse MASAR, the binomial weight vector becomes

$$w_{B} = (1 -3 \ 3 -1 \ 1 \ -3 \ 3 \ -1)^{T}$$
 (153)

where the superscript denotes the transpose.

Figuratively, the optimum weights make use of both the target and clutter information. The target weights make maximum use of the target information, but make no use of the clutter information. The binomial weights, as chosen here for an M-antenna MASAR, effectively form an M-stage, synthetic aperture, clutter canceller and make no use of the target information.

In order to obtain the unprocessed target to clutter power ratio, the unprocessed weighting vector--the weighting vector at the input to the MASAR processor--must be described. But, there is no logical way to do this. Any attempt to do so results in some sort of preprocessor which affects the target or the clutter power in some non-uniform way.

To avoid this difficulty, only the improvement of the optimum processor relative to the target and binomial processors is discussed here. The improvement of the optimum processor relative to the target processor is defined

-71-

$$I_{D/T} = \frac{I_D}{I_T} = \frac{(\underline{r}_0)_D}{r_i} \div \frac{(\underline{r}_0)_T}{r_i} = \frac{(\underline{r}_0)_D}{(\underline{r}_0)_T}$$
$$= \frac{w_D^{\dagger} A w_D}{w_D^{\dagger} B w_D} \div \frac{w_T^{\dagger} A w_T}{w_T^{\dagger} B w_T}$$
(154)

Similarly, the improvement of the optimum processor relative to the binomial processor is

$$I_{D/B} = \frac{(r_0)_{D}}{(r_0)_{B}} = \frac{|w_{D}^{\dagger}a|^2}{w_{D}^{\dagger}Bw_{D}} \div \frac{|w_{B}^{\dagger}a|^2}{w_{B}^{\dagger}Bw_{B}}$$
(155)

Additionally, to provide a reference datum for each case, the improvement of the optimum processor relative to itself when tuned to a fixed target is presented:

$$I_{D/Z} = \frac{(r_0)_D}{(r_0)_D}|_{v_T = 0}$$
(156)

Figures 11 through 30 depict these relative improvements for each of the twenty cases shown in Table I, page 67, respectively. Each curve depicts the relative improvement for on-boresight targets moving at the speeds shown along a 180 degree track (see in Figure 4, p.31) which is aligned with the perpendicular bisector of the MASAR observation interval. $I_{D/Z}$, $I_{D/T}$, and $I_{D/B}$ are depicted by the solid, broken and dashed curves, respectively. Each curve is formed by connecting the relative improvement at each three mile per hour increment by straight lines. No attempt is made to fit a smooth curve through the points.

What is of interest, here, is how the improvement varies as the system and clutter parameters vary. The system parameters that are

-72-

varied are the number of antennas, the number of pulses, the antenna beamwidths, and the effective time delay between synthetic apertures. (The PRF is varied too, but as a function of the number of antennas; see Appendix C.) The only clutter parameter that can be varied is the temporal clutter correlation interval.

Before addressing the improvement as a function of each of these parameters, some general observations will be made which are applicable to almost all the improvement curves.

First, for all cases, the performance of the optimum weights is seen to be everywhere better than or equal to the performance of the other two weighting schemes. As the target speed aproaches zero, the binomial weighting scheme does as its designed to do, which is to "cancel out" anything that doesn't move. Unfortunately, it tends to cancel out very slowly moving targets as well. This explains the sharp rise in $I_{D/B}$ as the target speed approaches zero.

As shown by the very close proximity of the $I_{D/T}$ (broken) and $I_{D/Z}$ (solid) curves in most cases, the target to clutter power ratio out of the target processor is virtually constant over all target speeds--nearly equaling that of the optimum processor tuned to a fixed target.

However, exceptions to this occur when the inter-SAR spacing is increased; i.e., when the inter-antenna pulse spacing factor is increased, which effectively increases the time delay between synthetic aperture "looks." Examples of this are shown in Figures 19 and 20. This is discussed more quantitatively in the subsection entitled "Increased Inter-SAR Spacing."

The only other exceptions occur in Cases 1, 2 and 3 (Figures 11, 12 and 13) where an isotropic antenna pattern is used. In these cases,

-73-

the target to clutter power out of the target processor is, everywhere, between three to six decibels poorer than the optimum processor. This is a consequence of the choice of the PRF, the effects of which are discussed qualitatively in the first subsection, entitled "Increased Number of Pulses."

In the following subsections, observations are made from the relative improvement curves for all twenty cases to address the performance of MASAR as a function of the system/clutter parameters shown in Table I.

<u>Increased Number of Pulses</u>. Cases 1 to 3, as well as Cases 4 to 7, increase the processing interval by increasing the number of pulses. The relative improvements are shown in Figures 11 through 17, respectively.

Table II

Computed Values of the Target and Clutter Powers and Their Ratios

Case	N	w _T ⁺ Aw _T	w _T ⁺ Bw _T	(r _o) _T	w [†] Aw _D	w ⁺ Bw _D	(r _o) _D	
1	8	24.1	90.0	-65.9	56.2	117.8	-61.6	
2	15	29.5	93.6	-64.1	55.9	114.8	-58.9	
3	25	34.0	96.5	-62.5	54.2	110.8	-56.6	
4	8	24.1	85.6	-61.5	34.0	95.3	-61.3	
5	15	29.5	88.3	-58.8	26.5	85.1	-58.6	
6	25	34.0	90.6	-56.6	30.6	87.1	-56.5	
7	12	27.6	87.4	-59.8	45.5	105.1	-59.6	

for Target and Optimum Weights: Cases 1 Through 7

The apparent lack of overall improvement seen in these figures as the number of pulses increases is a consequence of the normalization. Effectively, all these curves are normalized to the output target to

-74-

clutter power ratio of the optimum processor tuned to a fixed target, $(r_0)_{D|v_T=0}$. This value is tabulated in the last column of Table II above.

Table II shows the actual computed values for the target and clutter powers and their ratios, in decibels, for both the target and optimum weights for Cases 1 through 7. The number of pulses per antenna, N, is also shown for each case.

Except for the values for the target weights for Cases 1 to 3 (to be discussed later), the following relationship can be observed in the values of the target to clutter power ratios-- $(r_0)_T$ and $(r_0)_D$ --for Cases 1 to 3 and 4 to 7, separately:

$$(r_0)_i - (r_0)_j = 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{N_i}{N_j} \right)$$
 (157)

where r_0 is in decibels as shown in the table, and N_j and N_j are the number of pulses for cases i and j respectively. In other words, the target to clutter power ratio increases directly as a linear function of the number of pulses when all other parameters are held fixed.

However, looking at the target weighted target power by itself, the following relationship can be observed:

$$\left(\mathbf{w}_{T}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_{T}\right)_{i} - \left(\mathbf{w}_{T}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_{T}\right)_{j} = 20 \log_{10} \left(\frac{N_{i}}{N_{j}}\right)$$
(158)

where the target powers are in decibels as shown in the table. That is, the target power out of the target processor increases directly with the square of the number of pulses. This follows directly from the expression for the target weighted target power derived in Appendix D, (D.21).

-75-

There, the target power is seen to have a (sin Nx/sin x) factor which reduces to a simple function of N² when $\theta = \theta_0 = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 0$ (as is the present case).

Then, the target weighted clutter power must be a linear function of N in order for (157) to hold. Inspection of these values for the cases in Table II show this to be true; i.e.,

$$\left(\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{i} - \left(\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{j} = 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}_{i}}{\mathrm{N}_{j}}\right)$$
(159)

where the clutter powers are in decibels as shown in the table.

This is not observed for the target weighted clutter powers shown for Cases 1 to 3. Consequently, the values of $(r_0)_T$ for these cases do not follow (157). Qualitatively, the reason for this stems from the use of the isotropic antennas in conjunction with the choice of the PRF. The choice of the PRF causes the first grating lobes to be centered at the azimuthal extremities of the half-space (e.g., see Figures 31 and 32). The isotropic antenna "amplifies" the grating lobe clutter return which "aliases" [28:83] with the central clutter return, causing an adverse increase in the total clutter power. If the grating lobes were not present, the target processor clutter power would be correspondingly smaller and (157) through (159) would be satisfied for these cases. (See the discussion at the end of Appendix C for why the grating lobes are present.)

The reason the effect just discussed does not manifest itself in the optimum processor target to clutter power ratios for Cases 1 to 3 is because the optimum weights are tightly coupled to both the target and clutter returns. These weights strongly attenuate the grating lobe

-76-

return at 90 degress azimuth and strongly favor the return from the target at zero degrees azimuth. Thereby, they virtually offset the adverse effect of the grating lobes.

Because of the tight coupling of the optimum weights to both the target and clutter returns, the exact dependence of both the optimally processed target and clutter powers on the number of pulses is difficult to quantify. Nevertheless, the data shows that their ratio is a direct, linear function of the number of pulses.

The last point to address in this subsection is the reason for the interesting upward cusps seen in the $I_{D/B}$ curves. They clearly increase in frequency as the number of pulses increases.

This is easily explained with the aid of (D.27), the expression derived in Appendix D for the binomially weighted target power. First, note that the binomial weights generate a constant value for the clutter power as they are independent of the target speed. The variation in the binomially weighted target to clutter power ratio occurs only in the numerator--the target power being a function of the target location, speed and track angle as seen in (D.27). Since $f_{PRF} = 2Mv_R/\lambda_0$, $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $\theta = 0^\circ$, the "array factor" in (D.27) reduces to

$$\left[\frac{\sin(N\pi \frac{V_{\rm T}}{V_{\rm R}})}{\sin(\pi \frac{V_{\rm T}}{V_{\rm R}})}\right]^2$$

Upward cusps appear in the $I_{D/B}$ curve whenever this factor goes to zero. That is, when $v_T = v_R/N$, or integer multiples thereof less than v_R . For $v_R = 450$ mph:

-77-

	cusps appear when v equals integer multiples of
Ν	(mph)
8	56.3
12	37.5
15	30.0
25	18.0

as can be observed in the $I_{D/R}$ curves.

<u>Increased Number of Antennas</u>. Cases 4 and 8 reveal a dramatic relative improvement is obtained with the optimum weights when advancing from a two to three antenna MASAR. For a three mph target, the performance of the optimum processor jumps from barely better than, to 17 dB better than its closest competitor--the target processor. Here, the number of pulses remains the same, but the processing interval increases from 16 to 24.

Comparing Case 11 (note the change in the scale of the ordinate) with the two just mentioned reveals an even greater relative improvement is obtained with the optimum weights and a fourth antenna, rising to nearly 44 dB better than the target weights for a three mph target. Here, two less pulses were used--though the processing interval is the same as for Case 8.

Increased Number of Antennas for Constant Processing Interval. Cases 7, 8 and 11 show that the performance of the optimum weights increases as the number of antennas is increased from two to three to four, respectively, while holding the processing interval constant. The added antenna in each case has a narrower beamwidth. For these cases, the performance of the optimum processor relative to the target processor--as two, three, and four antennas are used--is identical to that mentioned in the previous subsection.

-78-

Cases 12, 13 and 11 also show an increase in the performance of the optimum weights, yet the added antenna has a broader beamwidth. For these cases, the improvement of the optimum processor advances from 14 dB, to 33 dB, to 44 dB better than the target processor, respectively, for a three mph target. (Note the scale of the ordinate for Case 11 is larger than the others.)

From the results of this and the previous subsection, it can be seen that, regardless of the beamwidth, adding antennas--increasing the number of synthetic aperture "looks"--significantly increases the performance of the optimum processor relative to the other two processing schemes.

<u>Narrower Antenna Beamwidth</u>. In Cases 4 to 7, the cosine-fourth power antenna pattern is used instead of the isotropic pattern used in Cases 1 to 3. The other antenna remains the same--the cosine-squared pattern. A slight increase in the improvement is noticed in the optimum weights relative to the target weights, with the narrower beamwidth antenna. However, as can be seen in the displacement between the $I_{D/B}$ and $I_{D/T}$ curves, the binomial weights show significantly increased improvement with the cosine-fourth pattern. Since there is less clutter with which to contend, this is expected.

The more dramatic examples of the increased improvement with narrower beamwidths is observed between Cases 7 and 12, and between Cases 8 and 13. Case 12 consists of two antennas with narrower beamwidths than those of Case 7. The optimum processor for the three mph target is 14 dB better than the target processor for Case 12, whereas it is only a little better than equal for Case 7. Case 13 differs from Case 8 by only one antenna with a narrower beamwidth. Yet, the improve-

-79-
ment of the optimum processor for the three mph target is 33 dB better than the target processor for Case 13, which is 16 dB better than the relative improvement obtained in Case 8.

<u>Faster Clutter Decorrelation and Increased Inter-SAR Spacing</u>. The effects of faster clutter decorrelation (smaller T) and increased inter-SAR spacing (larger K) are so closely coupled that they are discussed together, here.

By comparing the relative improvement plots for Cases 8 and 17, it can be seen that the performance of the optimum weights declines with respect to the target and binomial weights. Although the optimum weights are superior in every case, it becomes increasingly more difficult to compensate for more rapidly decorrelating clutter with them. However, the performance of the target and binomial weights (as indicated by the vertical displacement between the broken and dashed curves) remains virtually constant. This is expected, since they are not functions of the clutter. These same observations can be made by comparing the improvement shown for Cases 9 and 18; for Cases 10 and 19 (when accounting for the added effect of increased inter-SAR spacing); as well as for Cases 11 and 20. (Again, note the change in scale along the ordinate for Figure 21, Case 11.)

The effects on relative improvement between the three weighting schemes as the inter-SAR spacing (i.e., the time delay between successive synthetic aperture "looks") is increased can be seen by comparing Cases 8 to 10 (where T = 10 seconds), Cases 14 to 16 (where T = 1 second), and Cases 17 to 19 (where T = 0.01 second). For the first, second and third cases in each set, the inter-SAR spacing, K, is

-80-

one interpulse period, seven interpulse periods and 13 interpulse periods, respectively.

Although the relative improvement of the optimum processor is superior for all moving targets, the performance of the target processor as well as that of the binomial processor, shows marked improvement relative to the optimum processor as K increases. For Case 16, where T = 1 and K = 13, the binomial processor is 26 dB better than the target processor, but 20 dB poorer than the optimum processor, for a 15 mph target (see Figure 25). For Case 19, where T = 0.01 and K = 13, the binomial processor is 7 dB better than the target processor and only 3 dB poorer than the optimum processor, for the 15 mph target (see Figure 29).

The target processor, in these two examples, gains on the binomial processor (in terms of relative improvement) by 46 - 10 = 36 dB. The target processor, as is already known (see page 70), becomes equivalent to the optimum processor when the clutter rapidly decorrelates. This becomes evident upon comparing the $I_{D/T}$ curves for the long inter-SAR spacing cases: Cases 10, 16 and 19. The $I_{D/T}$ curve clearly shows a trend of approaching the zero axis as the clutter correlation interval shortens. (Note that, of all 20 cases, the clutter decorrelates the most during the MASAR observation interval in Case 19. This case has the longest inter-SAR spacing and the shortest clutter correlation interval.)

The following discussion explains the cause of the downward cusps seen in the $I_{D/T}$ curves for Cases 10 and 16, and the dip in the curve in Case 19. (The cause of the upward cusp seen in the $I_{D/B}$ curves is explained in the subsection on "Increased Number of Pulses.") Notice

-81-

that the $I_{D/Z}$ curve rises smoothly from zero dB at zero mph to its value at 60 mph in Figures 20, 26 and 29. Then, the dip in the $I_{D/T}$ curve must be caused by an upward cusp in the target to clutter power ratio out of the target processor, at the corresponding target speed. This, in turn, can only be caused by either an upward cusp in the target-weighted target power or a dip in the target-weighted clutter power, or a combination of both.

However, consider (D.21), the expression derived in Appendix D for the target-weighted target power. With $\theta_0 = \theta = 0^\circ$, $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $f_{PRF} = 2Mv_R/\lambda_0$, which are the parameter values used for this analysis, (D.21) reduces to the constant value N² for all target speeds (apply L'hospital's rule to both factors of (D.21)). Hence, there must be a dip in the target-weighted clutter power.

A general analytical expression for the target-weighted clutter power can be written; from (19) with (11), (32), and (151) with (30); as

$$W_{T}^{\dagger} BW_{T} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \sum_{l=1}^{M} \alpha_{n}^{m} \alpha_{p}^{*l} \beta_{np}^{ml}$$
 (160)

The existance of a dip might be ascertained if this expression could be reduced to a product of transcendental functions. Generally, the complexity of the clutter cross-power term, β_{np}^{ml} , makes this very difficult to do. However, if one chooses $\beta_{np}^{ml} = 1$ for all m, 1, n and p, then, in a manner quite similar to the derivation of (D.21), one can obtain an expression for (160) which contains the factor

-82-

$$\frac{1 + \cos\theta_{0}^{4M} - 2\cos\theta_{0}^{2M}\cos[2\pi K \frac{V_{T}}{V_{R}}\cos(\theta_{0} - \theta_{T})]}{1 + \cos\theta_{0}^{4} - 2\cos\theta_{0}^{2}\cos[2\pi \frac{K}{M} \frac{V_{T}}{V_{R}}\cos(\theta_{0} - \theta_{T})]}$$

For $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$ and $\theta_T = 180^\circ$, this reduces to

$$\left[\frac{\sin(\pi K \frac{V_{T}}{V_{R}})}{\sin(\frac{\pi K}{M} \frac{V_{T}}{V_{R}})}\right]^{2}$$

which explains the dips in the clutter power. This factor has zeros at multiples of $v_T = v_R/K$, except at multiples of $v_T = Mv_R/K$ where it equals M². For $v_R = 450$ mph and the inter-SAR spacing of K = 13, the first zero occurs at $v_T = 34.6$ mph. This explains the behavior of the $I_{D/T}$ curves for Cases 10, 16 and 19, as well as in Cases 9, 15 and 18 where K = 7.

[Figures 11 through 30 follow on pages 84 through 103, respectively. The text continues, with the section on "The Off-Boresight Response to a Target Moving at the Presumed Velocity," on page 204.]



Figure 11. Relative Improvement for Case 1



Figure 12. Relative Improvement for Case 2



Figure 13. Relative Improvement for Case 3



Figure 14. Relative Improvement for Case 4



and the second second

Figure 15. Relative Improvement for Case 5



and a second of the second second

Figure 16. Relative Improvement for Case 6



Figure 17. Relative Improvement for Case 7



Figure 18. Relative Improvement for Case 8

ł



Figure 19. Relative Improvement for Case 9



Figure 20. Relative Improvement for Case 10



Figure 21. Relative Improvement for Case 11



Figure 22. Relative Improvement for Case 12



Figure 23. Relative Improvement for Case 13



Figure 24. Relative Improvement for Case 14



Figure 25. Relative Improvement for Case 15



Figure 26. Relative Improvement for Case 16



Figure 27. Relative Improvement for Case 17



Figure 28. Relative Improvement for Case 18



Figure 29. Relative Improvement for Case 19



Figure 30. Relative Improvement for Case 20

-103-

The Off-Boresight Response to a Target Moving at the Presumed Velocity

The normalized off-boresight response is defined as

$$r_{nob} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{p}} \frac{\left| w_{p}^{\dagger} \hat{a} \right|^{2}}{w_{p}^{\dagger} B w_{p}}$$
(161)

where $\lambda_{\rm D}$ is the optimum target to clutter power ratio,

 $v_{
m p}$ is the MASAR processor weighting vector,

 $w_n^{\dagger} B w_n$ is the processed clutter power, and

is the target signal vector obtained by using the presumed v_T , θ_T in (53) and (54), but varying θ_0 in those equations within the half-space $-90^\circ \le \theta_0 \le 90^\circ$.

In other words, \hat{a} is the target signal vector obtained by displacing the presumed target from its azimuthal position.

Figures 31 through 42 are examples of the off-boresight response for some representative cases. Each figure depicts the response when the optimum (w_D) , target (w_T) , and binomial (w_B) weights are used for w_p in (160). The response for the optimum, target, and binomial weights is shown by the solid, broken, and dashed curves, respectively. All figures depict the response to a three mile per hour target moving along a 180 degree track.

In every case, the response for the binomial weights is completely without merit. As mentioned in the previous section, the binomial weights do a good job of cancelling anything that doesn't move. Because of this, they tend to cancel slowly moving objects--such as a three mph target--as well.

These response curves resemble antenna patterns. Essentially, that's what they are. Note that the only variable in r_{nob} is the target signal vector, a; the clutter power is a constant. The target can be

-104-

thought of as a "transmitter" in the MASAR far field which is being swung azimuthally in the half-apace surrounding the MASAR platform. As this is done, the change in the output of the MASAR processor is observed. This is very much like the way conventional antenna patterns are obtained (though, applying the principle of reciprocity, the transmitter is usually held fixed and the antenna is rotated to obtain the pattern). As discussed in Appendix D for the MASAR target and binomial processors, the processed target power has factors which can be likened to the "array factors" and "element patterns" of conventional anntenna array theory.

Figures 31 through 38 depict the response when the azimuth (θ_0) of the presumed target equals zero degrees. The difference in the ordinates of these curves at zero degrees is the same as the difference in the ordinates of the corresponding improvement curves at three miles per hour.

Figures 31 and 32, and Figures 33 and 34 show the sharpening of the response as the length of the synthetic aperture increases from eight to 25 synthetic elements. This is characteristic of the beamsharpening obtained with longer antenna arrays.

Note the far out sidelobes in Figures 31 and 32 are a consequence of the isotropic pattern used in those cases in conjunction with the grating lobes which are present. (See the discussion at the end of Appendix C.) This can be verified analytically with (D.21), the expression obtained in Appendix D for the target-weighted target power. Observe that, with $\theta_{\alpha} = 0^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 90^{\circ}$, (D.21) reduces to

-105-

$$\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{T}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\sin[N\pi(1-\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{T}}}{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{R}}})]}{\sin[\pi(1-\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{T}}}{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{R}}})]} \end{array} \right\}^{2}$$
(162)

Since $v_T = 3$ mph and $v_R = 450$ mph, this is essentially a grating lobe of amplitude approximately equal to N². Note that if $f_{PRF} = 4Mv_R/\lambda_0$, the grating lobes at ±90 degrees would not be present and the amplitude of the target power there would be about unity instead.

The response depicted in Figures 35 and 36 for short aperture, three and four antenna MASARs can be expected to sharpen with longer apertures. The sidelobes would be much lower, similar to the 25 pulse cases. Furthermore, the peak in the response for the optimum weights between zero and 15 degrees in Figure 35 can be expected to attenuate sharply with longer apertures. Note that, with the addition of only one antenna in Figure 36, this peak has already attenuated considerably.

As expected (see pages 70-71), Figures 37 and 38 show that the response for the optimum and target weights is comparative for very fast clutter decorrelation times.

Figures 39 through 42 depict the response when the azimuth of the presumed target is as stated in the captions. Beamsteering can be observed. In all cases, the optimum weights center the main response at the target's presumed azimuth.

Curiously, strong peaks are observed at -30 degrees for the cases where the target's presumed azimuth is +30 degrees; yet, for the cases where the target's presumed azimuth is at +60 degrees, a strong peak at -60 degrees does not appear. For these latter cases, the target weights show a puzzling response at -7.5 degrees. In fact, for the case shown

-106-

in Figure 42, the target weights have "lost" the presumed target completely. All these effects of the target weights are explainable with the aid of (D.21) from Appendix D.

First, consider the cases where the target's presumed azimuth is +30 degrees. Using $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$ in (D.21), with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and treating v_T/v_R as small, one finds that the "combined array factor" in (D.21) becomes

$$\left\{ \frac{\sin[N\pi \ (\sin\theta - \frac{1}{2})]}{\sin[\pi \ (\sin\theta - \frac{1}{2})]} \right\}^{2}$$

This explains the peaks in the response at both θ = +30° and -30°. Second, when θ_0 = +60°, this same factor becomes

$$\left\{ \frac{\sin[N\pi (\sin\theta - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})]}{\sin[\pi (\sin\theta - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})]} \right\}^{2}$$

which has no peak at $\theta = -60^{\circ}$. However, a peak does occur at $\sin \theta = \sqrt{3}/2 = -1$, which occurs at $\theta = -7.7^{\circ}$; precisely where seen in Figures 40 and 42.

These spurious peaks are clearly caused by the grating lobes which are a consequence of choosing $f_{PRF} = 2Mv_R/\lambda_0$. Had $f_{PRF} = 4Mv_R/\lambda_0$ been chosen instead, the factor above would become

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \sin\left[N\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sin\theta-\sin\theta_{0}\right)\right]\\ \sin\left[\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sin\theta-\sin\theta_{0}\right)\right]\end{array}\right\}^{2}$$

-107-

which has no peaks other than at $\theta = \theta_0$. (The choice of the PRF is justified in the discussion at the end of Appendix C. If a situation arose in reality where one wished to steer the MASAR array off abeam-to-starboard of the radar platform, then the PRF would have to be carefully selected to avoid these problems.)

These peaks in the "combined array factor" for the target weights are further weighted by the "combined element pattern", the second factor of (D.21). In Figure 42, the target weight's response is lower at the "design angle" of +60 degrees than at its grating lobe at -7.7 degrees. The "combined element pattern" for this case is approximately

$$\frac{1+\left(\frac{1}{2}\cos\theta\right)^{16}-2\left(\frac{1}{2}\cos\theta\right)^{6}}{1+\left(\frac{1}{2}\cos\theta\right)^{4}-2\left(\frac{1}{2}\cos\theta\right)^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\cos\theta\right)^{4}$$

At $\theta = 60^{\circ}$ this factor equals -23.5 dB, and at $\theta = -7.7^{\circ}$ it equals -9.8 dB. Then, the value of the response at $\theta = 60^{\circ}$ is 13.7 dB lower than that at $\theta = -7.7^{\circ}$; precisely as seen in Figure 42.

Note the "design angle" peak in Figure 42 does not appear exactly at $\theta = 60^{\circ}$. This is because of the added term involving v_T/v_R which was neglected in the above discussion. That is, the "combined array factor" is really

$$\left[\frac{\sin(N\pi\psi)}{\sin(\pi\psi)}\right]^2$$

where ψ is (D.22) of Appendix D.

As stated earlier, the optimum weights exhibit the same grating lobe response as the target weights for the cases where $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$, but-- interestingly--not for the cases where $\theta_0 = 60^\circ$. The optimum weights are complicated functions of both the target and clutter and do not lend themselves to as tractable an analysis as do the target weights. The question as to why the optimum weights are unable to neutralize the grating lobe for the cases where $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$, yet effectively neutralize the grating lobe in the cases where $\theta_0 = 60^\circ$, is left moot.

[Figures 31 through 42 follow on pages 110 through 121, respectively. The text continues with the section on "The Response of Optimum Weights to Other Boresight Targets," on page 122.]



-110-



-111-



1

-112-



Off-Boresight Response for Case 6 with Optimum (solid), Target (broken) and Binomial (dashed) Weights; Presumed Target: v_T = 3 mph, θ_T = 180°, θ_0 = 0°.

-113-



-114-



-115-


-116-



-117-



-118-

-110-



Ϊ.

-119-



-120-



-121-

Response of Optimum Weights to Other Boresight Targets

As seen in the previous section, the optimum weights steer the main response--the boresight--to the azimuth of the presumed target. The response of the optimum weights to another target on the boresight can be obtained from

$$\mathbf{r}_{b} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{D}} \frac{|\mathbf{w}_{D}^{\dagger} \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}|^{2}}{\mathbf{w}_{D}^{\dagger} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{w}_{D}}$$
(163)

which is the same as (161) with the optimum weights, and where \tilde{a} is the target signal vector obtained by fixing θ_n in (53) and

(54) at the azimuth of the presumed target while varying v_T over a selected range of speeds and θ_T over the range $0^\circ \le \theta_T \le 360^\circ$.

Since the optimum weights are used in (163), and recalling (8), (9) and (10), then

$$\mathbf{r}_{b} = \frac{\left|\mathbf{w}_{b}^{\dagger} \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}\right|^{2}}{\lambda_{b}^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{\left|\mathbf{w}_{b}^{\dagger} \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}\right|^{2}}{\left|\mathbf{w}_{b}^{\dagger} \mathbf{a}\right|^{2}}$$
(164)

which is simply the varied target power normalized by the presumed target power.

The three-dimensional surfaces shown in Figures 43 through 67 were obtained by computing r_b over the range of target speeds and track angles shown. Constant track angle contours are shown every ten degrees and constant speed contours are shown every three miles per hour. In viewing these surfaces, the reader must imagine to be positioned looking obliguely down upon a cube. The lower visible orthogonal edges form the

-122-

X (target speed) and Y (track angle) axes, while the leftmost visible vertical edge forms the Z (target to clutter power) axis. The vertical axis is the normalized target to clutter power in the sense of (163). In each figure, the top, middle and bottom surfaces depict the response to other targets when the optimum weights for targets moving along a 180 degree track at 3, 15, and 30 miles per hour, respectively, are used.

The arrows shown in each figure extend from the -48 dB floor vertically upward to the response on the 180 degrees track for the 3, 15, and 30 mile per hour targets, left to right, respectively. Since the zero dB level is the response relative to the presumed target, the arrow for the presumed target is the length of the vertical axis. Note that, in some cases, the upper tip of the arrow points to a hidden part of the surface (see, e.g., the 30 mph arrow on the upper surface in Fig. 44). Also note that targets with track angles in the range $90^{\circ} \leq \theta_{T} \leq 270^{\circ}$ are moving toward the MASAR flight path and those with track angles in the range $270^{\circ} \leq \theta_{T} \leq 90^{\circ}$ are moving away from the MASAR flight path.

The surfaces reveal that constant Z contours can be constructed by connecting points on the surface corresponding to targets which have a common component of velocity along the MASAR boresight. That is, MASAR cannot discriminate between these targets without some other scheme to resolve their ambiguity.

Figures 43 through 62 depict the response to target in clutter for Cases 1 through 20, respectively. For these figures, the presumed target's azimuth is at zero degrees. The optimum weights steer the MASAR boresight to this azimuth. Since the presumed targets are moving along the 180 degree track, this explains why this track traverses the

-123-

peak response and why the response is symmetric about it. It traverses the peak response because it is parallel to the boresight. It is the line of symmetry for the response surface for the reason given in the previous paragraph.

These effects are more dramatically revealed in Figures 63 through 67. These figures depict the response for selected cases in which the azimuth, θ_0 , of the presumed target is other than at zero degrees. Close inspection reveals that the peak response is traversed along the track which equals 180 degrees plus θ_0 . Also, the surfaces are symmetrical about this track. For example, in Figure 67, the peak response is traversed by the 240 degree track, which is also the line of symmetry. (Recall that the upper arrow points touch the 180 degree track.)

There are some central observations which can be made from these surfaces. First, since clutter is defined, here, to have zero velocity, those surfaces which show a strong response at zero speed do not depict desirable MASAR performance. Conversely, those surfaces which show a strongly attenuated response at zero speed depict very desirable MASAR performance.

Consider Figure 48, for example. This is for a two antenna, 25 pulse case. The performance is observed to be poor for the three mile per hour presumed target, but good for the 15 and 30 mile per hour presumed targets. In addition, for both the 15 and 30 mile per hour presumed targets, sharp rolloff in the response can be observed in both directions along the orthogonal contours through each target. By examining the cases which have shorter synthetic apertures, it can be seen

-124-

that this rolloff sharpens with longer apertures (cf., e.g., Figs. 46 through 48).

The surfaces for cases which used three and four antennas and long clutter correlation intervals depict very good performance for the three mile per hour target (see Figs. 50 through 58). However, faster targets get better response from the optimum weights. Also, it is difficult to determine whether a three mile per hour target is moving toward or away from the MASAR flight path. But, these are cases with much shorter aperture lengths than the cases shown in Figures 45 and 48. With longer apertures, perhaps even better performance would be observed for very slowly moving targets in terms of the rolloff in response.

Comparing Figures 59 through 61 with Figures 50 through 53, and Figure 62 with 51, reveals that performance declines with faster clutter decorrelation for constant processing intervals. This was also observed in the relative improvement curves.

A tabulation of the unnormalized response to other boresight targets for selected cases from Table I is provided in Appendix F. By examining these tables for the cases shown, one can see evidence of a very important observation: the calculated response for the presumed target is not necessarily the highest response of those calculated for the other boresight targets. (There are other targets which obtain a <u>higher</u> response than the presumed target with the optimum weights. This does not "violate" the "optimality" of the optimum weights; in fact, these tables illustrate the "optimality" and "drive home" its meaning.) Yet, with no other weights can one obtain a higher response <u>for the</u> <u>presumed target</u> than that which is obtained with the optimum weights. This is what is "optimal" about the optimum weights.

-125-

An example from Table XIV, for Case 5, is shown below. The calculated unnormalized response is shown for a 15 mph target moving along a 180° track. In each case, the calculation was performed using the optimum weights for a presumed target moving along the same track at 3, 15 and 30 mph:

unnormalized response for 15 mph target (dB)	presumed target (mph)	obtained from Table XIV (page)
-60.5	3	236
-57.1 (optimum)) 15	239
-61.4	30	242

[Figures 43 through 67 follow on pages 127 through 151, respectively. The text continues with Chapter V, "Summary and Conclusions," on page 152.]



Figure 43. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 1 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



!

Figure 44. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 2 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 45. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 3 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 46. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 4 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 47. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 5 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 48. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 6 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 49. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 7 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 50. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 8 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 51. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 9 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 52. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 10 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 53. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 11 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 54. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 12 for Presumed Target at θ_0 = 0°, with θ_T = 180° and v_T = 3 (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 55. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 13 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 56. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 14 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 57. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 15 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.

i i I

...



Figure 58. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 16 for Presumed Target at θ_0 = 0°, with θ_T = 180° and v_T = 3 (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Contract of the second second second second

-

Figure 59. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 17 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 60. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 18 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.

-



Figure 61. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 19 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 62. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 20 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 63. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 2 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.

~



Figure 64. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 2 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 60^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 65. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 7 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.

~



Figure 66. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 17 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.



Figure 67. Response to Boresight Targets in Case 20 for Presumed Target at $\theta_0 = 60^\circ$, with $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $v_T = 3$ (top), 15 (middle) and 30 (bottom) mph.
V. Summary and Conclusions

Summary

In this work, the conceptualization and analysis of a new kind of synthetic aperture radar for detecting slowly moving targets in severe clutter environments has been done.

The radar consists of a multiplicity of antennas mounted longitudinally along the side of an aircraft which are pulsed sequentially as they are flown through common positions in space. Sequences of pulse returns are collected by each antenna and processed aboard the aircraft to form a multiplicity of synthetic arrays which are coincident in space but are displaced in time. The name applied to this radar in which a multiple of synthetic arrays are "arrested" in space is Multiple Arrested Synthetic Aperture Radar, or MASAR.

Central to the development of this new radar concept is the application of an optimal processing scheme never before applied in a synthetic aperture radar. This processing scheme optimizes the target to clutter power ratio for a given target in known clutter. The scheme treats the target and clutter as quadratic forms, the ratio of which is known to have extremal properties. These extremal properties are used to determine the optimum set of processing weights for MASAR.

Before the optimum weights could be determined, the MASAR target and clutter signal returns had to be formulated such that they could be enable cast into a dratic form. This was a simple task for the target, that for the clutter. From basic principles, a new, though rudimenthe formulation of the cross-power correlation for clutter interactly applied to MASAR. This latter effort is a principal part of this work. Without it, the analysis of MASAR presented here could not have been done.

The work concludes with the presentation of the results of a computer analysis of the performance of MASAR. This performance vas investigated for the optimal weights and two other weighting schemes--weights based solely upon the target signal, and weights determined from the binomial coefficients of $(1-x)^{M-1}$ where M is the number of antennas used in the MASAR. The target weights contain maximum information about the target with no information on the nature of the clutter. The binomial weights effectively form MASAR into an M-stage synthetic aperture N-pulse clutter canceller, a subset of which is a two antenna MTI system well known as Displaced Phase Center Antenna, or DPCA.

The analysis was performed by drawing observations from three products of the computer results. The first was a set of curves which depicted relative MASAR improvement; the second, a set of curves which depicted the response of MASAR to the appearance of a target moving at the presumed velocity but at azimuths other than presumed; and the third, a set of three-dimensional surfaces which depicted the response of the optimal MASAR processor to the appearance of other targets at the presumed azimuth.

Conclusions

The analysis shows that the performance of MASAR with the optimum processor far surpasses that of the target and binomial processing schemes in terms of its improvement of the target to clutter power ratio for slowly moving targets. For example, for a target at a range of ten miles moving three miles per hour directly toward the MASAR flight path, the improvement of the optimum processor relative to the target and the

-153-

binomial processors is as follows:

м	N	length of synthetic aperture (feet)	Relative I Optimum to Target (dB)	mprovement Optimum to Binomial (dB)
2	12	6	15	15
3	8	4	36	77
4	6	3	45	144+

where M and N are the number of antennas and number of pulses per antenna, respectively. This performance becomes less pronounced for more rapidly decorrelating clutter, but improves substantially with increasing numbers of antennas and longer apertures; moreso, it seems, with added antennas.

The performance also increases with longer time delay between the generation of succeeding synthetic apertures. For example, for the three antenna case just mentioned (but using broader beamwidth antennas), the following improvement was observed for the optimum processor tuned to a three mile per hour target relative to itself when tuned to a fixed target:

<pre>Inter-SAR Spacing (pulses)</pre>	Relative Improvement (dB)	
1	16	
7	33	
13	38	

The performance was also seen to increase with narrower real antenna beamwidths, but narrower beamwidths could become a limiting factor in the generation of longer synthetic apertures.

The optimum MASAR processor does an excellent job of steering the peak response toward the presumed target. (In one case it was seen to suppress a grating lobe; see Figure 42.) Its response to targets not within the synthetic mainbeam falls off very rapidly with long synthetic apertures, similar to the beamsharpening obtained from long antenna

-154-

arrays. With care to choose the pulse repetition frequency to avoid grating lobes, the location of slowly moving targets can be accurately determined.

From the three-dimensional surfaces which depict the response of MASAR to other targets at the presumed azimuth, it can be seen that the performance of MASAR with two antennas is poor for very slow targets. However, the performance of MASAR with three and four antennas becomes very good for very slow targets.

These response surfaces provide strong evidence to suggest that with long synthetic arrays, very good discrimination of the target's velocity component parallel to the MASAR boresight can be achieved with a simple threshold test of the output of the optimum MASAR processor. For example, from the response surfaces for Case 11 (Figure 53) where only four antennas and six pulses were used, the response for the target presumed to be moving directly toward the MASAR platform at three miles per hour rises more than 48 dB above the response for a fixed target or for a target moving parallel to the track of the MASAR platform.

Recognizing the limitations of the analysis performed here, nonetheless, the optimum MASAR scheme shows promise as an improved airborne slowly moving target indicating radar.

-155-

VI. <u>Recommendations</u> for Further Study

The reader will, at this point, realize that there seems to be an almost limitless number of variations on the work that's been presented. Some of these variations are proposed here.

Some simple extensions of this work would be to study the effect of varying the other system parameters on the performance of MASAR; the underlying question being, "how can the system parameters be preselected to achieve peak performance?" Those not varied were the radar frequency, pulse repetition frequency, platform velocity and pulsewidth. The range parameter was not varied either; but, upon inspection of the generating equations for the target signal vector and clutter crosspower correlation matrix, it can be seen that the range becomes a factor only when attempting to generate long synthetic apertures. Certainly, the performance of MASAR with very long synthetic apertures would be of interest.

More difficult, challenging extensions to this work would be the consideration of any one, or combinations of the proposals below.

1. More complicated targets: consider targets that fluctuate and have spatial extent; consider multiple targets.

2. More complicated clutter: try adding spatial correlation; e.g., instead of (58) where the spatial correlation is totally uncorrelated everywhere except at point, try incorporating gaussian spatial correlation:

$$\langle \chi_1 \chi_2^* \rangle = e^{-\frac{(\tau_1 - \tau_2)^2}{2T^2}} e^{-\frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2}{2L^2}}$$
 (165)

where L is the spatial correlation interval. Further, L may be chosen

-156-

to have different components in both the X and Y directions; i.e.,

$$\langle \chi_1 \chi_2^* \rangle = e^{-\frac{(\tau_1 - \tau_2)^2}{2T^2}} e^{-\frac{[(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2]}{2L_x^2}}$$
(166)

The sensitivity of the MASAR response to varying spatial correlations could then be examined. Any other choice could be made for $\langle x_1 x_2^* \rangle$ so long as it satisfied the properties of a correlation function. A topological weighting N(x,y) could be included also. Whatever the choice, serious consideration should be given to the stochastic properties propounded in the introductory section to the clutter model (pp. 35-38).

Clutter with inherent motion could also be addressed to simulate the effects of blowing trees or variable sea states. Perhaps a way to do this would be to use a v_T that is some function of time in (53) and (54) in conjunction with (60).

3. Noise: the analysis could be extended to include noise. First, consider additive zero mean white gaussian noise. Add the noise term to (42). Proceed by optimizing the target to mean clutter-plusnoise power ratio.

A further extension would be to include nonwhite noise in the analysis. Nonwhite noise is considered to be the sum of a white noise component and a colored noise component. Antennas and RF components in the radar receiver are known to shape the noise spectrum. Also, interfering targets or jammers are sources of nonwhite noise in radar.

4. More complicated antennas: incorporate antennas that have more variation in their patterns, both in amplitude and phase. An error analysis could be performed to determine the effects on achievable performance when the antenna patterns are imprecisely known.

-157-

5. Second time around returns: extend the analysis to include second time around clutter returns [3:350-352] in the clutter crosspower correlation. Since MASAR processes a multiplicity of pulses for each range cell, the optimization should be able to compensate for this additional clutter. The degree of effectiveness for varying system parameters (antennas, pulses, etc.) would be interesting to study. More specifically, it would be interesting to study what effect this added clutter has on MASAR improvement. (Note that, with the choice of parameters used in this study, a seven antenna MASAR would begin to have problems at a range of ten miles. Succeeding antennas would receive energy from the preceeding pulse which came from scatterers in the range bin of interest.)

6. Switching transmitter frequencies between synthetic apertures: the problem with second time around returns might be alleviated by a MASAR scheme which transmitted different frequencies on each antenna. This scheme might have other interesting effects which could be studied.

7. RF and PRF instabilities: study the effects of instabilities in the master oscillator and pulse repetition section of the transmitter on MASAR performance.

8. Other radar waveforms: The analysis in this work was greatly simplified by the choice of a gaussian-shaped radar pulse. A more ambitious project would be to investigate other waveforms. For example, the effects of a linear frequency modulated pulse, or a long pulse with pulse compression, on achievable MASAR performance could be studied.

9. Build MASAR: construct an actual system and test the MASAR concept.

-158-

Bibliography

- 1. Fred M. Staudaher, "Airborne MTI", Chapter 18 in the Radar Handbook, Merrill I. Skolnik, ed., McGraw-Hill, New York: 1970.
- B. E. Nichols, ed., "Multiple Antenna Surveillance Radar (MASAR) Experiment Phase I Concept Validation System," Lincoln Laboratories, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, TST-16, 13 January 1978.
- 3. Fred E. Nathanson, <u>Radar Design Principles</u>, McGraw-Hill, New York: 1969.
- 4. William W. Schrader, "MTI Radar," Chapter 17 in the <u>Radar Handbook</u>, Merrill I. Skolnik, ed., McGraw-Hill, New York: 1970.
- 5. G. N. Tsandoulas, "Tolerance Control in an Array Antenna," Microwave Journal, Vol. 20, No. 10, October 1977, pp. 24ff.
- Kiyo Tomiyasu, "Tutorial Review of Synthetic-Aperture Radar (SAR) With Applications to Imaging of the Ocean Surface", Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 66, No. 5, May 1978, pp. 567-583.
- Carlyle J. Sletten and F. Sheppard Holt, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Microwave Physics Laboratory, Private Communication (1975).
- 8. Walter Chudleigh and Stephen Moulton, Control Data Corporation, Private Communication (1975).
- 9. Felix R. Gantmacher, <u>A Theory of Matrices (1954, in Russian)</u> translated into English as a two volume set entitled <u>The Theory</u> of <u>Matrices</u>, by K.A. Hirsch, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1959, Vol. 1.
- , and M.G. Krein, <u>Oscillation Matrices and</u> <u>Kernels and Small Vibrations of Dynamic Systems</u>, second edition, Moscow: Gostekhizdat, 1950. (In Russian).
- D. K. Cheng and F. I. Tseng, "Gain Optimization for Arbitrary Antenna Arrays", IEEE Transaction on Antennas and Propagation, AP-13, No. 6, November 1965, pp. 973-974.
- 12. , "Maximisation of Directive Gain for Circular and Elliptical Arrays", Proceedings of the IEE (England), Vol. 114, No. 5, May 1967, pp. 589-596.
- Roger F. Harrington, "Antenna Excitation for Maximum Gain," IEEE AP-13, No. 6, November 1965, pp. 896-903.

- 14. John F. McIlvenna and Charles J. Drane, "Gain Maximization and Controlled Null Placement Simultaneously Achieved in Aerial Array Patterns", AFCRL-69-0257, June 1969. Also published in, The Radio and Electronic Engineer, Vol. 39, No. 1, pp.49-57, January 1970.
- 15. , "Maximum Gain, Mutual Coupling and Pattern Control in Array Antennas", The Radio and Electronic Engineer, Vol. 41, No. 12, December 1971, pp. 569-572.
- William B. Goggins, Jr. and John K. Schindler, "Processing for Maximum Signal-to-Clutter in AMTI Radars", AFCRL-TR-74-0577, 19 November 1974.
- D. O. North, "Analysis of the Factors Which Determine Signal/Noise Discrimination in Radar," Proc. IRE, Vol. 51, July 1963, pp. 1016-1028.
- J. L. Lawson and G. E. Uhlenbeck, Threshold Signals, MIT Radiation Laboratory Series, Vol. 24, McGraw-Hill, New York: 1950.
- J. V. Difranco and W. L. Rubin, <u>Radar Detection</u>, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: 1968.
- 20. Gwilym M. Jenkins and Donald G. Watts, <u>Spectral Analysis and its</u> <u>Applications</u>, Holden-Day, San Francisco: 1968.
- Donald G. Childers, ed., <u>Modern Spectrum Analysis</u>, IEEE Press, New York: 1978.
- 22. Petr Beckmann and Andre Spizzicino, <u>The Scattering of Electro-</u> magnetic Waves From Rough Surfaces, Macmillan, New York: 1963.
- 23. Julius Adams Stratton, <u>Electromagnetic</u> <u>Theory</u>, McGraw-Hill, New York: 1941.
- 24. A. M. Yaglom, <u>An Introduction to the Theory of Stationary Random</u> <u>Functions</u>, translated and edited by Richard A. Silverman, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ:1962.
- 25. Eugene Wong, Stochastic Processes in Information and Dynamical Systems, McGraw-Hill, New York: 1971.
- 26. Athanasios Papoulis, <u>The Fourier Integral and its Appli ations</u>, McGraw-Hill, New York: 1962.
- 27. Milton Abramowitz and Irene A. Stegun, <u>Handbook of Mathematical</u> <u>Functions</u>, National Bureau of Standards, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C.
- 28. E. Oran Brigham, <u>The Fast Fourier Transform</u>, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: 1974.
- 29. Daniel T. Finkbeiner, II, <u>Introduction to Matrices and Linear</u> <u>Transformations</u>, second edition, Freeman, San Francisco: 1966.

- 30. Germund Dahlquist, and Ake Bjorck, <u>Numerical Methods</u>, translated by Ned Anderson, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: 1974.
- 31. Theodore C. Cheston and Joe Frank, "Array Antennas," Chapter 11 in the <u>Radar</u> <u>Handbook</u>, Merrill I. Skolnik, ed., McGraw-Hill, New York: 1970.
- 32. Philip J Davis and Philip Rabinowitz, <u>Methods of Numerical Integra-</u> <u>tion</u>, Academic Press, New York: 1975.

Appendix A

Extremal Properties Of The Ratio Of Two Quadratic Forms : A Special Case

In this appendix, the extremal properties of the ratio

$$\frac{x'Ax}{x'Bx}$$

will be addressed for the special case when $A = aa^{\dagger}$ and B is hermitian and positive definite. Here, both x and a are N X 1 column vectors. Complex-valued elements are assumed throughout. The dagger, \dagger , denotes the conjugate-transpose.

The reader is referred to reference [9:317-326] wherein it is shown that the maximum value of the ratio of two quadratic forms is the dominant eigenvalue of the generalized eigenproblem

$$Ax = \lambda Bx \tag{A.1}$$

The ratio can be obtained by premultiplying (A.1) by the vector \mathbf{x}^{\dagger}

$$\mathbf{x}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}^{\dagger} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}$$
 (A.2)

and then dividing by the scalar $x^{\dagger}Bx$

$$\frac{x^{T}Ax}{x^{T}Bx} = \lambda$$
(A.3)

This ratio attains its maximum, λ_{D} , equal to the dominant eigenvalue of (A.1), when x is the eigenvector, x_{D} , associated with λ_{D} .

It will be shown here, for $A = aa^{\dagger}$, and B Hermitian and positive definite, that (A.1) has only one nonzero eigenvalue; it is equal to $a^{\dagger}B^{-1}a$, is dominant, and has associated eigenvector $B^{-1}a$ [12:section 10].

First, the following theorem will be proven:

Theorem: If P and Q are respectively m x n and n x m matrices, then

$$(-1)^{n}\lambda^{n}\det(PQ-\lambda I_{m}) = (-1)^{m}\lambda^{m}\det(PQ-\lambda I_{n}) \quad (A.4)$$

where I_m and I_n are m x m and n x n identity matrices, respectively. That is, the nonzero eigenvalues of PQ are the same as those for QP. Proof: Observe, for Z an appropriately dimensioned null matrix,

$$\begin{bmatrix} PQ - \lambda I_{m} & P \\ Z_{n \times m} & \lambda I_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -I_{m} & Z_{m \times n} \\ Q & I_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I_{m} & P \\ \lambda Q & \lambda I_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A.5)

and

a comment of the comments

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{m} & Z_{m \times n} \\ Q & -I_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I_{m} & P \\ Z_{n \times m} & QP - \lambda I_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I_{m} & P \\ & \\ \lambda Q & \lambda I_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A.6)

Hence, the left hand sides of (A.5) and (A.6) are equal and so are their determinants.

In order to evaluate these determinants, the following is noted [29:104,107]: Since the determinant of an n x n matrix A is an algebraic sum of all products of n terms which can be formed by selecting exactly one term from each row and each column of A, and if A can be partitioned as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} F \mid Z \\ - & - \\ G \mid H \end{pmatrix}$$
(A.7)

where F is a square matrix and Z is a null matrix, then

$$det A = det F det H$$
(A.8)

Thus, using (A.8) with (A.5) and (A.6),

$$det(PQ - \lambda I_{m})det(\lambda I_{n})det(-I_{m})det(I_{n})$$

$$= det(I_{m})det(-I_{n})det(\lambda I_{m})det(QP - \lambda I_{n}) \quad (A.9)$$

That is,

$$(-1)^{m} \lambda^{n} \det(PQ - \lambda I_{m}) = (-1)^{n} \lambda^{m} \det(PQ - \lambda I_{n}) (A.10)$$

Multiply through by $(-1)^{n-m}$,

$$(-1)^{n} \lambda^{n} \det(PQ - \lambda I_{m}) = (-1)^{2n-m} \lambda^{m} \det(PQ - \lambda I_{n})$$
 (A.11)
But $(-1)^{2n} = 1$ for all n an integer, and $(-1)^{-m} = (-1)^{m}$. Hence, the

theorem is proven.

Now, from (A.1)

$$B^{-1}Ax = \lambda x \qquad (A.12)$$

or

$$(B^{-1}A - \lambda I_{N})x = 0 \qquad (A.13)$$

from which the eigenvalues can be obtained as roots of

$$det(B^{-1}A - \lambda I_{N}) = 0 \qquad (A.14)$$

But, $A = aa^{\dagger}$, so

$$det(B^{-1}aa^{\dagger}-\lambda I_{N}) = 0 \qquad (A.15)$$

Now the above theorem is applied with m = 1, n = N, $P = a^+$ is 1 x N, and $Q = B^{-1}a$ is N x 1:

$$(-1)\lambda \det(B^{-1}aa^{\dagger}-\lambda I_{n})$$

= $(-1)^{N}\lambda^{N} \det(a^{\dagger}B^{-1}a-\lambda I_{n}) = 0$ (A.16)

or

$$det(B^{-1}aa^{\dagger} - \lambda I_{N})$$

= $(-1)^{N-1}\lambda^{N-1} det(a^{\dagger}B^{-1}a - \lambda I_{N}) = 0$ (A.17)

From (A.17) two results follow: N-1 eigenvalues of (A.1) are identically zero and the remaining nonzero eigenvalue is

$$\lambda = a^{\dagger}B^{-1}a$$
(A.18)

which must be real-valued.

To show this is the dominant eigenvalue (i.e., λ = λ_{D} > 0), choose a nonzero vector

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{a} \tag{A.19}$$

Then

$$Bx = a \tag{A.20}$$

and

$$\mathbf{x}^{\dagger}\mathbf{B}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \tag{A.21}$$

So, from (A.18)

$$\lambda = \mathbf{x}^{\dagger} \mathbf{B}^{\dagger} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^{\dagger} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x} > 0 \qquad (A.22)$$

since B is Hermitian and positive definite; thus, in (A.18)

$$\lambda = \lambda_{p} = a^{\dagger}B^{-1}a \qquad (A_{a}^{2})^{3}$$

AD-A101 143	AIR FORCE INS MULTIPLE ARRE MAY 81 J S S AFIT/DS/EE/81	ST OF TECH WRIGH ESTED SYNTHETIC SHUSTER	T-PATTERSON AFB APERTURE RADAR+(OH SCHOO-ETC F/	6 17/9

Direct substitution of (A.19) in (A.1) obtains

$$aa^{\dagger}(B^{-1}a) = a^{\dagger}B^{-1}aB(B^{-1}a)$$
 (A.24)

or

$$a\lambda_{p} = \lambda_{p}a \tag{A.25}$$

an identity. Thus, in (A.19),

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{a} \tag{A.26}$$

Q.E.D.

Appendix B

Computational Notes

Solving for the Dominant Eigenvector and Eigenvalue

The target signal vector, a, is generated using (50), (53), (54) and (127). The clutter cross-power correlation matrix B, is generated using (126) and (140) through (148). As discussed in Chapter II, the solution for the dominant eigenvector (the optimum weights) is obtained from the set of simultaneous equations described by (8),

$$Bw_{p} = a \tag{B.1}$$

Since B is Hermitian and positive definite, it can be decomposed into the product of two triangular matrices, each the conjugate-transpose of the other; i.e.,

$$B = LL^{\dagger}$$
(B.2)

where L denotes a lower triangular matrix. This is known as the Choleski decomposition [30:158]. The solution for w_D is then easily obtained. From (B.1) with (B.2)

$$LL^{\mathsf{T}}W_{\mathsf{p}} = \mathsf{a} \tag{B.3}$$

Let

$$L^{\dagger}W_{D} = Y$$
 (B.4)

where y is a column vector. The system

-167-

$$Ly = a \tag{B.5}$$

is easily solved for y by forward substitution. Finally,

$$L^{\dagger}W_{D} = Y$$
 (B.6)

is easily solved for w_D by backward substitution. The dominant eigenvalue λ_D --the maximum target to mean clutter power ratio--is then easily obtained with (9).

Gauss-Quadrature Integration of Equation (97)

The solution of (97) leads to a mathematical fork in the road. One fork leads to the solution in closed form. This was the route taken in the main body of this work. The other fork leads to a numerical integration technique. Equation (97) is of the form which can be integrated directly by Gaussian quadrature using Chebyshev polynomials of the First Kind. Using equation [25.4.38] of Abramowitz and Stegun [27:889] one obtains

$$I = \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(\eta_i) e^{j z \eta_i}$$
(B.7)

where I is described by (107), f(n) by (108), z by (109), and where the collocation points are found from

$$\eta_i = \cos \frac{(2i-1)\pi}{2N} \tag{B.8}$$

The problem in using this solution stems from the factor, z, in the complex exponential. It becomes large in magnitude as the antenna separation increases. This makes the integrand of (107) highly oscilla-

-168-

tory for even moderate antenna spacings. The consequence is that a large number of collocation points are required before the sum in (B.7) converges.

The convergence of (B.7) was compared with that of the closed form solution, (126), for the following system parameters:

wavelength = 1 foot (frequency = 1 GHz)
range = 10 miles
pulsewidth = .5 µsec
antennas: cosine and cosine-cubed patterns.

The following convergence was obtained for the antenna spacings, d, shown:

d (feet)	value of N required in (B.7) to converge to 4 significant digits	value of r required in (126) to converge to 8 significant digits
10	82	9
100	674	15
1000	convergence not obtained at 100,000	35

The Evaluation of Equation (126)

For the system parameters shown in Table I in the text, evaluation of the clutter cross-power correlation via (126) requires computation of the Bessel function of the First Kind for orders zero to 22. This provided accuracy to 10^{-5} -the factor 1/(r-s)!s! in (125) obviates the need for larger orders.

To obtain the cross-power correlation between two antennas for a radar wavelength of 1 foot, the argument of the Bessel functions, $2k_0d$, ranges from zero, when the antennas are spatially collocated, to 157.08 when the antennas are spaced 12.5 feet apart (maximum antenna spacing

-169-

for Cases 3 and 6).

The Bessel function routines of the International Mathematical and Statistical Libraries (IMSL) proved to be quite inadequate for this sort of use. Instead, following a discussion by Abramowitz and Stegun [27:385-386] a machine algorithm was developed employing the recurrence relation

$$J_{n-1}(x) + J_{n+1}(x) = \frac{2n}{x} J_n(x)$$
 [27:(9.1.27)]

in the direction of $\underline{decreasing}$ n, with the normalization factor obtained from the relation

$$J_0 + 2J_2 + 2J_4 + \cdots = 1$$
 [27:(9.1.46)]

which avoids the severe accumulation of rounding errors.

Appendix C

Choice of the PRF

One consideration in choosing the PRF in pulsed radars is so that the targets of interest will have speeds below the first blind speed. A target moving so that the phase change in its signal return is exactly 360 degrees is moving at the first blind speed. The speed of the target must be such that its radial distance to the radar changes by one-half wavelength in an interpulse period. Blind speeds can occur, then, at

$$V_{\rm B} = \frac{1}{2} n\lambda f_{\rm PRF} \qquad (C.1)$$

where n = 1, 2, 3, ...,

 λ is the wavelength,

and f_{DDF} is the pulse repetition frequency.

But, as will be seen, this is not the concern here. The more important factor for the analysis presented here is to chose the PRF so that the first synthetic array grating lobe [31:8; 6:568] lies outside the half-space within 90 degrees either side of abeam to starboard. For MASAR, the first grating lobes occur at

$$\sin\theta_{g} = \pm \frac{\lambda}{2\delta} \tag{(C.2)}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{g}$ is the angle off abeam to starboard,

 λ is the wavelength,

and

d is the spacing between synthetic elements.

If d < $\lambda/2$ grating lobes do not occur. Choosing d = $\lambda/2$ positions the

-171-

first grating lobes at the azimuthal extremities of the half-space. But,

$$d = \frac{v_R}{f_{PRF}}$$
(C.3)

where v_p is the radar platform velocity. Thus

$$f_{PRF} = \frac{2v_R}{\lambda}$$
(C.4)

must be the minimum effective PRF for each synthetic array. Note that, for MASAR with two antennas, the PRF must be twice this value. In general, for MASAR with M antennas. the PRF must be

$$f_{PRF} = 2M \frac{v_R}{\lambda}$$
(C.5)

This ensures that the spacing of the synthetic elements of each synthetic aperture are no farther apart than $\lambda/2$ in space.

It must be emphasized that this choice of the PRF places the first grating lobes at the azimuthal extremeties of the half space. This causes some (explainable) anomalies to occur in the results when an isotropic antenna is used or when the presumed target is azimuthally positioned well off the perpendicular bisector of the MASAR observation interval. Most of these are explained in Chapter IV, Analysis of MASAR Performance.

To avoid the few anomalies that do occur as a consequence of the grating lobes, the PRF would have to be increased--perhaps doubled. If this were done, and the same number of pulses per antenna were used, the effective aperture length generated would be unacceptably short. If the number of pulses per antenna were increased to obtain apertures of reasonable length, the computer memory and central processing unit require-

-172-

ments of the MASAR computer model would become too excessive.

Hence, for MASAR with two antennas, using the parameter values given on page 66 in Chapter IV, the PRF must be 2640 Hz. Then, the effective PRF for each synthetic array is 1320 Hz. (With this in (C.1), the first blind speed occurs at 450 mph.)

Appendix D

Expressions For The Target Power Using Target And Binomial Weights

Here, approximate expressions are obtained for the target signal power, $w^{\dagger}Aw$, using the target weights and the binomial weights, respectively. These expressions are useful in interpreting the results of the analysis in Chapter IV.

The Target Weighted Target Signal Power.

The generating function for the target signal (50) is repeated here for convenience:

$$\alpha_{n}^{m} = g^{m}(\theta_{n}^{m})e^{-\frac{(r_{n}^{m}-R_{0})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} - j2k_{0}r_{n}^{m}} \qquad (0.1)$$

where the constant factor in (50) is set to unity for simplicity, and where

$$\left(r_{n}^{m}\right)^{2} = \left[R_{0}\cos\theta_{0}+v_{T}\cos\theta_{T}\left(\tau_{n}^{m}-\frac{\tau_{N}^{u}}{2}\right)\right]^{2} + \left[R_{0}\sin\theta_{0}\right]$$
$$+ v_{R}\left(\frac{\tau_{N}^{1}}{2}-\tau_{n}^{1}\right) + v_{T}\sin\theta_{T}\left(\tau_{n}^{m}-\frac{\tau_{N}^{u}}{2}\right)^{2}$$
(53)

and

$$\theta_{n}^{m} = \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{R_{0} \sin\theta_{0} + v_{R} (\frac{\tau_{N}^{1}}{2} - \tau_{n}^{1}) + v_{T} \sin\theta_{T} (\tau_{n}^{m} - \frac{\tau_{N}^{M}}{2})}{R_{0} \cos\theta_{0} + v_{T} \cos\theta_{T} (\tau_{n}^{m} - \frac{\tau_{N}^{M}}{2})} \right] (54)$$

 w_T is the target signal vector for a target presumed at θ_0 . Recalling (29), A = aa[†]; a is the target signal vector for an arbitrary target, obtained by letting θ_0 vary, i.e., $\theta_0 = \theta$. Then, notationally,

-174-

$$w_{T_{i}} = \alpha_{n}^{m} = g^{m}(\theta_{n}^{m})e^{-\frac{(r_{n}^{m}-R_{0})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} - j2k_{0}r_{n}^{m}}$$
 (D.2)

and

$$a_{i} = \tilde{\alpha}_{n}^{m} = g^{m}(\tilde{\theta}_{n}^{m}) e^{-\frac{(\tilde{r}_{n}^{m} - R_{0})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} - j2k_{0}\tilde{r}_{n}^{m}}$$
(D.3)

where $\tilde{r}_{n}^{m}, \tilde{\theta}_{n}^{m}$ are $r_{n}^{m}, \theta_{n}^{m}$ evaluated at $\theta_{0} = \theta$. The expression to be obtained is

$$w_{T}^{\dagger} A w_{T} = w_{T}^{\dagger} a a^{\dagger} w = |w_{T}^{\dagger} a|^{2}$$

$$= |\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_{n}^{m*} \widetilde{\alpha}_{n}^{m}|^{2}$$

$$= |\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} g^{m*} (\theta_{n}^{m}) g^{m} (\widetilde{\theta}_{n}^{m})$$

$$\cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} [(r_{n}^{m} - R_{0})^{2} + (\widetilde{r}_{n}^{m} - R_{0})^{2}] + j2k_{0}(r_{n}^{m} - \widetilde{r}_{n}^{m})|^{2} (0.4)$$

By expanding (53), combining terms, and factoring out an $R_0^2,$ one obtains

$$\mathbf{r}_{n}^{m} = \mathbf{R}_{0} \left[1 + 2 \frac{\mathbf{v}_{T}}{\mathbf{R}_{0}} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{b} \cos(\theta_{0} - \theta_{T}) + 2 \frac{\mathbf{v}_{R}}{\mathbf{R}_{0}} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{a} \sin\theta_{0} \right]$$
$$+ 2 \frac{\mathbf{v}_{R} \mathbf{v}_{T}}{\mathbf{R}_{0}^{2}} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{a} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{b} \sin\theta_{T} + \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_{T} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{b}}{\mathbf{R}_{0}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_{R} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{a}}{\mathbf{R}_{0}}\right)^{2} \right]^{1/2}$$
(D.5)

where

-175-

$$\tau_{a} = \frac{\tau_{N}^{i}}{2} - \tau_{n}^{i}$$
(D.6)

and

$$\tau_{\rm b} = \tau_{\rm n}^{\rm m} - \frac{\tau_{\rm N}^{\rm m}}{2} \tag{0.7}$$

The radical in (D.5) is then expanded using the Taylor series for $(1+x)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ to obtain

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{m}} \cong \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{0}} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{R}} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathbf{a}} \sin \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{0}} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{T}} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathbf{b}} \cos(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{0}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{T}}) \quad (D.8)$$

where the small terms (those containing negative powers of R_0) are ignored. The last term in (D.8) is the correction term for the moving target.

Using (34), $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{a}$ can be converted from (D.6) to

$$\tau_{a} = \left[\frac{N-1}{2} - (n-1)\right] \frac{M}{f_{PRF}}$$
 (D.9)

Similarly, $\tau_{\rm b}$ can be converted from (D.7) to

$$\tau_{\rm b} = \frac{M(n-1) + (m-1)K}{f_{\rm PRF}} - \frac{M(N-1) - (M-1)K}{2f_{\rm PRF}}$$
(D.10)

With (D.8), (D.9), (D.10), and the values for the parameters used in any of the twenty cases in the main text (see p.66 and Table I, p.67), the real term in the exponent of (D.4) is very small. So its associated factor can be taken to be unity. Furthermore, from (54) when the target speed v_{τ} is small, $\theta_n^m \simeq \theta_0$ and $\tilde{\theta}_n^m \simeq \theta$. Hence, (D.4) can be written

-176-

$$\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{T}} \cong \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} g^{m^{\ast}} (\theta_{0}) g^{m}(\theta) e^{j 2 \mathbf{k}_{0} (\mathbf{r}_{n}^{m} - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{n}^{m})} \right|^{2}$$
(D.11)

Now consider $r_n^m - \tilde{r}_n^m$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_{n}^{m} - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{n}^{m} &= \mathbf{v}_{R} \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right) \frac{M}{\mathbf{f}_{PRF}} \left(\sin \theta_{0} - \sin \theta \right) \\ &+ \mathbf{v}_{T} \frac{M(N-1) - (M-1)K}{2\mathbf{f}_{PRF}} \left[\cos(\theta - \theta_{T}) - \cos(\theta_{0} - \theta_{T}) \right] \\ &+ \frac{(n-1)M}{\mathbf{f}_{PRF}} \left\{ \mathbf{v}_{R} \left(\sin \theta - \sin \theta_{0} \right) \right. \\ &- \mathbf{v}_{T} \left[\cos(\theta - \theta_{T}) - \cos(\theta_{0} - \theta_{T}) \right] \left. \right\} \\ &+ \mathbf{v}_{T} \frac{(m-1)K}{\mathbf{f}_{PRF}} \left[\cos(\theta_{0} - \theta_{T}) - \cos(\theta - \theta_{T}) \right] \right] \end{aligned}$$

Denoting Δr_N^M for the sum of the first two terms in (D.12), (n-1) Δr_a for the third term and (m-1) Δr_b for the last term, an abbreviated notation for (D.12) is

$$r_{n}^{m} - \tilde{r}_{n}^{m} = \Delta r_{N}^{M} + (n-1)\Delta r_{a} + (m-1)\Delta r_{b}$$
 (D.13)

Since the antenna patterns are progressive, even powers of the cosine, i.e.,

$$g^{m}(\theta) = \cos^{2(m-1)}\cos^{2J}\theta \qquad (D.14)$$

where J = 0,1,2 or 3 depending on the Case in Table I, then (D.11) can be written, using (D.13), as

-177-

$$\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{T}} \cong (\cos\theta_{0} \cos\theta)^{4J} \left| e^{j2\mathbf{k}_{0} \Delta \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathtt{M}}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{j2\mathbf{k}_{0} (n-1)\Delta \mathbf{r}_{a}} \right|$$
$$\bullet \sum_{m=1}^{\mathtt{M}} (\cos\theta_{0} \cos\theta e^{j\mathbf{k}_{0} \Delta \mathbf{r}_{b}})^{2(m-1)} \left| e^{j2\mathbf{k}_{0} (n-1)\Delta \mathbf{r}_{a}} \right|$$
(D.16)

The summations in (D.16) are those of a geometric series, i.e.,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} x^{n-1} = \frac{1-x^{N}}{1-x}$$
(D.17)

If $x = (pe^{jy})^{2}$ then $\left|\sum_{m=1}^{M} (pe^{jy})^{2(m-1)}\right|^{2} = \frac{1 - (pe^{jy})^{2M}}{1 - (pe^{jy})^{2}} \cdot \frac{1 - (pe^{-jy})^{2M}}{1 - (pe^{-jy})^{2}}$ $= \frac{1 - p^{2M}e^{j2My} - p^{2M}e^{-j2My} + p^{4M}}{1 - p^{2}e^{j2y} - p^{2}e^{-j2y} + p^{4}}$ $= \frac{1 + p^{4M} - 2p^{2M}\cos 2My}{1 + p^{4} - 2p^{2}\cos 2y}$ (D.18)

Now, if p = 1 in (D.18),

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{j2(n-1)y}\right|^{2} = \frac{1-\cos 2Ny}{1-\cos 2y} = \left[\frac{\sin Ny}{\sin y}\right]^{2}$$
 (D.19)

Using (D.18) and (D.19), (D.16) can be written

$$\mathbf{w}_{T}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_{T} \cong \left[\frac{\sin N k_{0} \Delta r_{a}}{\sin k_{0} \Delta r_{a}} \right]^{2} \left(\cos \theta_{0} \cos \theta \right)^{4J} \\ \bullet \left[\frac{1 + \left(\cos \theta_{0} \cos \theta \right)^{4N} - 2 \left(\cos \theta_{0} \cos \theta \right)^{2M} \cos 2M k_{0} \Delta r_{b}}{1 + \left(\cos \theta_{0} \cos \theta \right)^{4} - 2 \left(\cos \theta_{0} \cos \theta \right)^{2} \cos 2k_{0} \Delta r_{b}} \right] (\mathbf{D}.20)$$

which can be written, using $k_0 = 2\pi/\lambda_0$,

$$\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{T}} = \left\{ \frac{\sin\left[\frac{\pi N}{f_{\mathrm{PRF}}} \left(\frac{2\mathrm{M} \mathbf{v}_{\hat{\mathbf{R}}}}{\lambda_{0}}\right) \Psi\right]}{\sin\left[\frac{\pi}{f_{\mathrm{PRF}}} \left(\frac{2\mathrm{M} \mathbf{v}_{\hat{\mathbf{R}}}}{\lambda_{0}}\right) \Psi\right]} \right\}^{2} \left(\cos\theta_{0} \cos\theta\right)^{4\mathrm{J}}$$

•
$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \frac{1+(\cos\theta_{0}\cos\theta)^{4M}-2(\cos\theta_{0}\cos\theta)^{2M}\cos\left[\frac{2\pi K}{f_{\mathsf{PRF}}}\left(\frac{2M V_{\mathsf{R}}}{\lambda_{0}}\right)\phi\right]}{1+(\cos\theta_{0}\cos\theta)^{4}-2(\cos\theta_{0}\cos\theta)^{2}}\cos\left[\frac{2\pi K}{f_{\mathsf{PRF}}}\left(\frac{2V_{\mathsf{R}}}{\lambda_{0}}\right)\phi\right]}\right\} (0.21)$$

where K is defined by (1) and where

$$\Psi = (\sin\theta - \sin\theta_0) - \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{R}}} \left[\cos(\theta - \theta_{\mathrm{T}}) - \cos\theta_0 - \theta_{\mathrm{T}} \right] \quad (D.22)$$

and

$$\phi = \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{R}}} \left[\cos(\theta_{\mathrm{o}} - \theta_{\mathrm{T}}) - \cos(\theta - \theta_{\mathrm{T}}) \right]$$
 (D.23)

This describes the target signal power obtained with the MASAR target processor when the processor is tuned to a target at azimuth θ_0 but receives a signal from a target at azimuth θ .

Akin to conventional array antenna theory [31:7-15], the first factor of (D.21)--in braces, squared--can be interpreted as the "combined array factor" of the MASAR target processor. The second and third factors of (D.21)--the factor to the 4J power times the factor in braces--can be interpreted as the "combined element factor" of the MASAR target processor.

The Binomially Weighted Target Power.

Recall that the binomial processor weights for an M antenna, N pulse MASAR are obtained from the binomial coefficients of $(1-x)^{M-1}$, repeated N times (see page 71). Then the binomial processor target power can be written

$$\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{B}} = \left| \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{a} \right|^{2}$$

$$= \left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} (-1)^{m-1} {M \choose m} \alpha_{n}^{m}\right\|^{2}$$
(D.24)

(D.25)

where $\binom{M}{m}$ is the binomial coefficient.

From (D.1), (D.9), (D.10), assuming $(r_n^m - R_0)^2 < 2\sigma^2$ and v_T small (so that $\theta_n^m \simeq \theta_0 = \theta$ for the arbitrary target), α_n^m can be written

$$\alpha_{n}^{m} = \frac{-j2k_{0}[R_{0} + \frac{M(N-1)}{2} \frac{V_{R}}{f_{PRF}} \sin\theta - \left\{\frac{M(N-1) - (M-1)K}{2}\right\} \frac{V_{T}}{f_{PRF}} \cos(\theta - \theta_{T})]}{e} e^{-j\frac{2k_{0}}{f_{PRF}}(m-1)Kv_{T}} \cos(\theta - \theta_{T})}$$

$$\cdot g^{m}(\theta)e^{-j\frac{2k_{0}}{f_{PRF}}(m-1)Kv_{T}} \cos(\theta - \theta_{T})}$$

$$\cdot e^{j2k_{0}(n-1)\frac{Mv_{R}}{f_{PRF}}[\sin\theta - \frac{v_{T}}{v_{R}}\cos(\theta - \theta_{T})]} (0.25)$$

With this, the target power can be written

$$\mathbf{w}_{B}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_{B} = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{j2k_{0}(n-1)\frac{M\mathbf{v}_{R}}{f_{PRF}}[\sin\theta - \frac{\mathbf{v}_{T}}{\mathbf{v}_{R}}\cos(\theta - \theta_{T})]} \right|^{2}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{m=1}^{M} (-1)^{m-1} {M \choose m} g^{m}(\theta) e^{-j2k_{0}(m-1)K\mathbf{v}_{T}\cos(\theta - \theta_{T})} \left|^{2} (0.26) \right|^{2}$$

Recalling (D.19), the summation on n can be written

$$\mathbf{w}_{B}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_{B} = \left[\frac{\sin\{\frac{\pi N}{\mathbf{f}_{PRF}} (\frac{2\mathbf{M} \mathbf{v}_{R}}{\lambda_{0}}) [\sin\theta - \frac{\mathbf{v}_{T}}{\mathbf{v}_{R}} \cos(\theta - \theta_{T})]\}}{\sin\{\frac{\pi}{\mathbf{f}_{PRF}} (\frac{2\mathbf{M} \mathbf{v}_{R}}{\lambda_{0}}) [\sin\theta - \frac{\mathbf{v}_{T}}{\mathbf{v}_{R}} \cos(\theta - \theta_{T})]\}} \right]^{2}$$

$$\bullet \left| \sum_{m=1}^{M} (-1)^{m-1} {M \choose m} g^{m}(\theta) e^{-j2k_{0} (m-1)Kv_{T}} \cos(\theta - \theta_{T})} \right|^{2} (0.27)$$

Here, as for the target-processor target power, the first factor can be interpreted as the "array factor" and the second can be interpreted as the "combined element factor" of the MASAR binomial processor. Appendix E

.

Tabulations of the Optimum and Target Weights for Selected Cases

from Table I

TABLE III

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 1

PRESUMED TARGET					
	SPEED(MP	H) TRACK ANG	LE(DEG) AZ IMUTH	(DEG)	
	C). 180.	0.		
		NETCHTS	TADOLT	WETCHTS	
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	
1	12.00300771	68341734	1.00000000	0.0000000	
2	90.87445755	179.37387162	1.00000000	0.0000000	
3	1.00000836	.04254855	1.00000000	.01039809	
4	80.63419126	179.40618641	1.00000000	.01039809	
5	4.46199932	63623480	1.0000000	.01733026	
6	86.05688060	179.39957161	1.00000000	.01733026	
7	1.92698991	40591871	1.00000000	.02079617	
8	82.97627893	179.41129350	1.00000000	.02079617	
9	1.92698672	43158511	1.0000000	.02079617	
10	82.97627809	179.41050734	1.0000000	.02079617	
11	4.46199925	62450543	1.0000000	.01733026	
12	86.05688113	179.40037731	1.00000000	.01733026	
13	1.00000000	0.0000000	1.00000000	.01039809	
14	80.63419073	179.40549713	1.00000000	.01039809	
15	12.00300649	67898249	1.00000000	0.0000000	
16	90.8/445710	1/9.37466358	1.00000000	0.0000000	

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .10712833E-08 -134.65550075 .10000000E+01 44.72969905

2

-183-

TABLE III (CONTINUED)

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 1

	SPEED(MI	PRESUMED PH) TRACK ANG	TARGET LE(DEG) AZIMUTH(DEG)
		3. 180.	0.	
NO.	OPTIMUM MAGNITUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)	TARGET MAGNITUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	3.94822416 26.92301599 1.0000000 23.49898452 1.96925066 25.47072055 1.42570239 24.23125845 1.43093000 24.50052330 2.02746936 25.20418284 1.13435008 23.72160058	-100.25292875 95.40721477 0.0000000 104.56577947 -117.19899791 99.95372986 -3.60390518 110.36324109 -132.45838142 104.69755952 -17.52747908 115.70748044 -138.12150868 109.88196082	1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000	-18.30066064 -17.08061663 -15.85017437 -14.63013036 -13.40315418 -12.18311034 -10.95960025 -9.73955607 -8.51951206 -7.29946805 -6.08288996 -4.86284612 -3.64973411 -2.42968993
16	26.69257013	-36.74982172 120.84658035	1.0000000	0.00000000

and the second se

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .36611041E-08 -62.01544263 .99999999E+00 53.88002945

-184-

TABLE III (CONTINUED)

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 1

PRESUMED TARGET						
	SPEED(MPH) TRACK ANGLE(DEG) AZ IMUTH(D	EG)			
	15. 180.	0.				
_	OPTIMUM WEIGHTS	TARGET W	EIGHTS			
NO.	MAGNITUDE PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)			
1	2 20775140 152 40621262	1 00000000	01 60220272			
2	7 76945666 47 99750410	1.00000000	-91.30330272 05 /0200250			
2		1.00000005	70 20246427			
3		1.00000000	-/9.2924043/			
4	5.10366003 84.14591597	1.0000008	-/3.19224432			
5	1.63928615 -141.30393718	1.00000010	-67.08509194			
6	7.18658667 70.07588651	1.00000012	-60.98487189			
7	1.35794850 13.31931452	1.00000013	-54.88118559			
8	5.47750307 112.75436944	1.00000013	-48.78096538			
9	1.41864700 -129.43777586	1.00000013	-42.68074499			
10	6.72049177 92.65594111	1.00000012	-36,58052478			
11	1.69938064 24.72043392	1.00000012	-30,48377065			
12	6.01369195 139.68676343	1.00000010	-24.38355044			
12	1 20471896 _117 52057287	1 00000000	-18 20026230			
10	6 10114640 116 02221070	1.00000000	12 1000/210			
14		1 00000000	-12.19004210			
15		1.00000003	-0.10022005			
10	0./9205008 165./5431/80	1.0000000	0.0000000			
	NUKMALIZATION FACTUKS					

.15637251E-07 -52.13101849 .99999987E+00 90.48135057

the time of the second second

-185-

TABLE III (CONTINUED)

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 1

		PRESUMED TA	RGET	
	SPEED(MPH) 1	RACK ANGLE	DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG)
	30.	180.	0.	·
	OPTIMUM WEIGH	ITS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE PHAS	SE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	2.457/8041 -137.	91230828	1.00000000	1/6.9933940/
2	6.52085334 49.	. 39894537	1.00000013	-170.80616567
3	1.00000000 0.	00000000	1.00000024	-158.59532716
4	3.51229897 101.	63743230	1.00000033	-146.39488673
5	1.46528726 -93.	43651580	1,00000041	-134,18751413
ě.	5.40092409 97.	77307608	1.00000046	-121.98707404
7	1.04223433 36.	59363132	1.00000050	-109.78316752
8	3.82367953 149.	02995088	1.00000052	-97.58272726
ğ	1 31995203 _43	42964852	1 00000052	-85 38228666
ากั	5 22/60721 1/6	05010572	1 00000050	73 1010/623
10	1 46650051 00	46166174	1.00000000	-73.10104023
11	1.40058851 89.	40150174	1.0000046	-00.9848/189
12	3.92105883 -154.	40983769	1.0000040	-48./8443163
13	1.37662560 -14.	91640270	1.00000033	-36.59092303
14	5.08626794 -171.	80044399	1.00000024	-24.39048277
15	2.62202942 122	56677574	1.00000013	-12.20044043
16	5.21217754 -95.	81948412	1.00000000	0.0000000
	810	10MAL 17ATION	CACTODS	

	1000006120110		
23828419E-07	-89.08324850	.99999948E+00	136.23300226
WEIGHTS FOR CASE 3

		PRESUME	D TARGET	
	SPEED(M	PH) TRACK AN	IGLE(DEG) AZ IMUTH	(DEG)
	(D . 180). 0.	
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NU.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	4 56015471	-170 4803088	1 0000001	
2	21 01115210	7000840		
ົ້າ	1 00000272	0003045		0.00000000
Δ	18 67/03711	2+00-0-0 000000		03082030
5	3 01007/00	-170 5245006		07625296
Ř	24 21250455	7821017		07625286
7	1 52000005	16101/3		10019016
ò	19 22502/21	052757/		10010016
à	2 72003027	-170 5170802		1386/137
10	23 07456840	8105780		1396/137
11	1 72600220	1965556		16/63667
12	19 1/2009220	1 0221005		16463667
13	2 56502077	-179 5082056		19716572
14	23 82834567	2850187		18716572
15	1 8/66/0/8	1067212		20622002
16	19 02526092	1 07/3509	1 00000001	20622902
17	2 17156101			22192606
19	23 73262000	0102003		22182606
10	1 01002701	2020279		22205710
20	17 050/5/2/	1 1002406		22205710
20	2 /197/360	170 /067012		20262222
22	23 67710674	-1/5.450/012		24202223
22	1 053/0069	20/7003		24202223
20	17 01387266	1 1267110	1 00000001	24782136
25	2 10160300	170 /0/7105		24055440
25	22 65905660	-1/9.494/190		24955440
20	1 053/00/9	2050/04		24900440
20	17 01 297265	1 1266564		24702130
20	2 1107/200	170 /051/16		.24/02130
20	22 67710600	-1/9.4901410		.24202223
21	1 01002722	2021702		.24202223
33	17 050/5/22	1 1000742		22205710
32	2 17156112	170 /001209		22192606
24	22 72262010	-1/3.4901200		22102000
25	1 0/66/0/0	1006051		.22102000
36	19 02526079	1 0740649		20622902
37	2 56501050	-170 502711/		10716672
38	23 82837676	2065114	ζς <u>1.00000001</u>	19716672
30	1 72600097	1896847		16463667
10	18 1/2009007	1 0217743		16763667
	2 72002912	-170 5115657		1386/127
	r . / (U7(GJL			- LOOU4 LO/

-187-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 3

PRESUMED TARGET				
	SPEED(MP	H) TRACK ANGLE	E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG)
	0	. 180.	0.	
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
42	23,97456827	.84138608	1.00000001	.13864137
43	1.52000718	.16586655	1.00000001	.10918016
44	18.32592429	.95220309	1.00000001	.10918016
45	3.01907180	-179.51844770	1.00000001	.07625286
46	24.21250372	.78317305	1.00000000	.07625286
47	1.00000000	0.00000000	1.00000001	.03985930
48	18.67493737	.86426795	1.00000000	.03985930
49	4.56915208	-179.47559640	1.00000001	00000000
50	24.94115035	.71025213	1.00000000	0.00000000
		NORMAL IZATIO	ON FACTORS	
	.42627857E-08	43.73644710	.999999999E+00	44.50137416

-188-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 3

	SPEED(MPH 3.	PRESUMED 1) TRACK ANGL 180.	TARGET E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(O.	DEG)
NO.	OPTIMUM W MAGNITUDE	ÆIGHTS PHASE(DEG)	TARGET MAGNITUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)
N 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	6.37932958 37.50813762 1.0000000 29.44569576 3.94774301 36.33015612 1.57212725 29.20551055 3.42101901 35.87134539 1.71043861 29.15571227 3.11865752 35.55918791 1.76087486 29.14765058 2.92163107 35.34020883 1.78143561 29.15223798 2.80537376 35.20350598 1.78929745 29.16042407 2.76402476 35.14715845 1.79004278 29.16918504 2.79662517 35.17155620 1.78374384 29.17830748 2.90432343	PHASE (DEG) •178.84497662 2.01716587 0.0000000 4.82226410 •173.77611109 6.92087077 6.49403939 9.68766447 •168.57049191 11.85848008 12.77279100 14.48900950 •162.91611524 16.84234701 19.36074189 19.24552805 •156.73881847 21.86575425 26.18882362 23.96751062 •150.11106222 26.91715844 33.17401038 28.66268465 •143.22581826 31.98237973 40.24084576 33.33769828 •136.35398541 37.04591562 47.32410917 37.99904287 129.77363350 42.09221391	1.00000001 1.00000001 1.00000002 1.00000002 1.00000002 1.00000002 1.00000002 1.00000002 1.00000004 1.00000004 1.00000005 1.00000005 1.00000006 1.00000006 1.00000006 1.00000006 1.00000006 1.00000006 1.00000007 1.00000007 1.00000006 1.00000006 1.00000006 1.00000006	-59.78215780 -58.56211362 -57.30221031 -56.08216614 -54.82572874 -53.60568490 -52.35271359 -51.13266941 -49.88316419 -49.88316419 -48.66312001 -47.41708070 -46.19703686 -44.95446363 -43.73441946 -42.49531232 -41.27526814 -40.03962709 -38.81958308 -37.58740794 -36.36736376 -35.13865471 -33.91861053 -32.69336773 -31.47332355 -30.25154650 -29.03150249 -27.81319136 -26.59314735 -25.37830247 -24.15825829 -22.94687932 -21.72683531 -20.51892227 -19.29887826
35 36 27	29.19033009	42.65408029	1.00000005	-18.09443146 -16.87438745
37 38 30	35.47006001	47.10719671	1.00000005	-13.07340057
39 40	29.21360971	47.31281837	1.00000004	-12.03580376
41	3.38786208 -	118.27552975	1.00000005	-10.84175488

-189-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 3

PRESUMED TARGET				
	SPEED(MPH)	TRACK ANGLE	(DEG) AZ IMUTH(C	EG)
	3.	180.	0.	
	OPTIMUM WE	IGHTS	TARGET W	IEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE P	HASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
42	35.75994595	52,08050815	1.0000004	-9,62171070
43	1.58292555	68,79359481	1.00000004	-8,43112808
44	29,27600123	51,99285032	1.00000003	-7.21108407
45	3.90704274 -1	13.65168044	1.00000003	-6.02396736
46	36,20182979	57.01158351	1.0000002	-4.80392335
47	1.03514635	81.89547317	1,00000003	-3.62027289
48	29 52284053	56.74524436	1,00000001	-2.40022872
10	6 31894904 _1	11 64762745	1 00000002	-1 22004401
50	37 36400724	61 04750361	1 00000000	0.0000000
50	37.30403724	01.94/30301	1.0000000	0.0000000
NODMAL TZATION CACTORS				
	376993005 00	12 02052222	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	74 20245206
	.2/0882UUE-U8	12.32025235	* 3333333325+00	14.39243300

-190-

		WEIGHTS FOR	CASE 3	
	SPEED(MPH) 15.	PRESUMED TA TRACK ANGLE 180.	ARGET (DEG) AZ IMUTH(0.	DEG)
NO.	OPTIMUM WE	IGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
	MAGNITUDE PI	HASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00112345 6 7 8 9 0011255 8 9 0011255 6 7 8 9 0011255 6 7 8 9 0011255 8 9 0011255 6 7 8 9 0011255 8 9 0000000000000000000000	2.89075008 9.16509241 17 1.31426959 17 5.85728964 -14 2.13075891 -1 8.24230322 -14 1.66486781 17 6.57361699 -17 1.77259371 -7 48569643 -17 1.90601484 14 7.31345923 -1 1.90601484 14 7.31345923 -1 1.90601484 14 7.31345923 -1 1.90601484 14 7.31345923 -1 1.90601484 14 7.31345923 -1 2.09194907 15 7.97810257 -1 2.22808033 14 8.52495224 -4 1.05906237 -5 46594469 -4 2.31322252 1 8.92640419 - 1.00000000 4.99245748 - 2.34490151 -1 9.16027590 1.07926258	24.60123201 75.41756945 24.85908973 43.00625889 16.33050668 62.49701487 32.83914084 16.08678940 -7.09065107 39.73604143 41.10038964 92.22641422 .37448565 15.71913532 50.55969805 70.06660162 4.72365886 90.11277938 60.75645255 48.96797304 4.52741366 62.56502650 71.27149229 28.57121731 0.00000000 32.73544827 78.24279737 -8.64656972 -5.07164510	1.00000000 1.00000010 1.00000022 1.00000031 1.00000042 1.00000060 1.00000060 1.00000067 1.00000067 1.00000083 1.00000096 1.00000103 1.0000013 1.0000013 1.0000013 1.0000013 1.00000132 1.00000134 1.00000134 1.00000134 1.00000137 1.00000138 1.00000138 1.00000138 1.00000137 1.00000138 1.00000137 1.00000138 1.00000137 1.00000138 1.00000138 1.00000137 1.00000138 1.00000138 1.00000137 1.00000138 1.00000138 1.00000137 1.00000138 1.00000138 1.00000138 1.00000138 1.00000138 1.00000137 1.00000138 1.00000137 1.00000138 1.00000138 1.00000138 1.00000137 1.00000138	61.08921167 67.18943188 73.32951140 79.42973161 85.56634504 91.66656509 97.79971244 103.89993265 110.02961408 116.12983413 122.25604964 128.35626986 134.47901929 140.57923950 146.69852285 152.79874306 158.91456032 165.01478070 171.12713205 177.22735209 -176.66376265 -170.56354260 -164.45812326 -158.35790304 -152.25594979 -146.15572957 -140.05724240 -133.95702219 -127.86200110
30	4.72438836	63591233	1.00000134	-121.76178088
31	2.32072085 -1	68.10350578	1.00000132	-115.67022571
32	9.20696446	10.98295442	1.00000129	-109.57000550
33	1.28268135	-6.57709248	1.00000126	-103.48191641
34	4.74588760	32.74634727	1.00000122	-97.38169620
35	2.23905597 -1	58.63991241	1.00000118	-91.29707303
36	9.04932380	30.49335520	1.00000113	-85.19685281

1.00000108

1.00000103

1.00000097

1.00000090

1.0000084

-79.11569607

-73.01547585

-66.93778485

-60.83756464

-54.76333972

-3.88504604

65.39583101

50.11507125 1.71110151

2.09927967 -150.22619656

37

38

39

40

41

1.57383897

5.10438458

8.67396782

1.92381418

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 3

	SPEED(MP 15	PRESUMED H) TRACK ANGL 180.	TARGET .E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(0.	DEG)
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
42	5 77297401	95 50745916	1 0000076	-48 66311951
43	1.89972863	-143,21286318	1.00000069	-42,59236084
44	8,07370248	70,24346528	1.00000060	-36,49214063
45	2.32987751	8.73134438	1.00000052	-30.42484788
46	6.67554305	122.60043224	1.0000042	-24.32462767
47	1.62044175	-136.37323098	1.0000033	-18.26080101
48	7.25655150	91.88517888	1.0000022	-12.16058079
49	2.99512364	11.73256938	1.00000012	-6.10022005
50	7.85253079	147.67990388	1.00000000	0.0000000
		ΝΟΡΜΔΙ ΤΖΔΤΙ	ON FACTORS	
	.13933465E-07	73.78063214	.99999861E+00	-166.04323167

-192-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 3

	SPEED(MP 30	PRESUMED PH) TRACK ANGL). 180.	TARGET E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(0.	DEG)
NO.	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGN I TUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	2.83637213	3.17761544	1.0000000	0.00000000
2	7.51718548	-166.55235924	1.0000043	12.20044043
3	1.93890761	141.74493849	1.0000087	24.44073999
4	4.62428517	-99.87118256	1.00000126	36.64118025
5	1.76950984	15.55899782	1.00000166	48.87801357
6	5.77749165	-128.67581214	1.00000202	61.07845400
7	2.71775207	155.94195354	1.00000238	73.31182173
8	6.64299790	-56.96903179	1.00000270	85.51226182
9	1.00000000	0.00000000	1.00000302	97.74216330
10	3.66527169	-79.22811314	1.00000331	109.94260373
12 13 14 15 16	2.97614333 7.78753868 1.27129487 2.77655000 2.71370369 7.68024720	-22.47710574 -41.68227953 5.10859291 -171.88887539 10.30997577	1.00000359 1.00000384 1.00000409 1.00000431 1.00000451 1.00000469	122.16903929 134.36947972 146.59244936 158.79288945 171.01239318 -176.78716639
17	2.15166256	-42.55490686	1.00000486	-164.57112892
18	4.53066902	74.63803013	1.0000501	-152.37068832
19	2.01443553	-160.67411415	1.00000514	-140.15811693
20	6.33424456	44.87278705	1.00000525	-127.95767650
21	2.85391050	-29.30712172	1.00000534	-115.74857103
22	6.62883069	116.55456768	1.00000541	-103.54813043
23	1.17173618	-168.57793763	1.00000547	-91.34249121
24	4.16048641	88.40840356	1.00000550	-79.14205095
25	3.14324911	-12.84678236	1.00000552	-66.93987748
26	7.90991608	150.86184120	1.00000552	-54.73943688
27	1.15833511	145.90030159	1.00000550	-42.540/2966
28	2.63137204	166.23136822	1.00000547	-30.34028923
29	2.94838626	3.36657148	1.00000541	-18.14504793
30	7.98702759	-176.71814681	1.00000534	-5.94460733
31	2.03527680	136.49992653	1.00000525	6.24716789
32	4.10836144	-114 19262197	1.00000514	18.44760831
33	2.32123573	15.87286229	1.00000501	30.63591762
34	6.81399498	-143.33818862	1.00000486	42.83635821
35	2.81553973	146.95576291	1.00000470	55.02120126
36	6.38501530	-70.29536689	1.00000451	67.22164152
37	1.49396302	14.83530881	1.00000431	79.40301899
38	4.67372932	-104.01268632	1.00000409	91.60345925
39	3.17555127	161.90945494	1.00000385	103.78137030
40	7.87576140	-36.24720221	1.00000359	115.98181073
41	1.21405634	-19.29737211	1.00000332	128.15625586

-193-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 3

PRESUMED TARGET SPEED(MPH) TRACK ANGLE(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG) 30. 180. 0.

NO.	OPTIMUM MAGNITUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)	TARGET MAGNITUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)
42 43 45 46 47 48 49 50	2.56169206 3.00967127 8.08312494 1.97681544 3.35084064 2.33234663 6.88140586 3.05875088 5.88850897	-37.40905894 177.12030269 -4.58782198 -36.57256205 57.13507352 -170.07215869 28.12644175 -31.31153343 108.12980336	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00000302\\ 1.00000271\\ 1.00000238\\ 1.00000204\\ 1.00000166\\ 1.00000128\\ 1.00000087\\ 1.00000087\\ 1.00000046\\ 1.00000001\end{array}$	140.35669612 152.52767500 164.72811542 176.89562822 -170.90393135 -158.73988464 -146.53944422 -134.37886359 -122.17842316
		NORMALIZATION	FACTORS	

.20386522E-07 -83.53472506 .99999448E+00 105.59058582

-194-

- -

TABLE V

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 4

		WEIGHIS FU	JR CASE 4	
	SPEED(MF	PRESUMED PH) TRACK ANGL D. 180.	TARGET E(DEG) AZ IMUTH O.	(DEG)
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
$\frac{1}{2}$	10.89505423	03483478	1.00000000	0.00000000
3	2.78855783	179.95104272	1.00000000	.01039809
4	1.47351957	-179.91829483	1.0000000	.01039809
5	5.40391582	03045/85	1.00000000	.01/33026
7	1.00000005	.00442153	1.00000000	.02079617
8	6.63924472	179.99993901	1.00000000	.02079617
9	1.00000000	0.00000000	1.00000000	.02079617
10	6.63924466	179.99904082	1.00000000	.020/9617
11	5.40391583	02969766	1.00000000	.01/33026
12	12.40149001	179.98092743	1.00000000	.01733026
13	2.78855781	179.95210185	1.00000000	.01039809
14	1.47351944	-179.92105682	1.00000000	.01039809
15	10.89505423	03464523	1.00000000	0.00000000
16	19.16823395	179.96844737	1.00000000	0.0000000

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .14760360E-07 -135.24608411 .10000000E+01 44.72969905

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 4

	SPEED(M	PRESUMED PH) TRACK ANG 3. 180.	TARGET _E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(0.	DEG)
NO.	OPTIMUM MAGNITUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)	TARGET MAGNITUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)
1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	4.96915915 8.50860587 2.41798913 2.14143034 2.83234797 5.65827603 2.85429584 4.15671457 1.36842417 3.18240629 3.79314277 6.34301088 1.45449790 1.0000000 5.37677304 8.88276824	141.93739208 -45.21844726 -109.57316205 23.16580449 160.44603686 -37.61232475 -146.02547672 -1.17921593 -159.45639679 -28.17196351 -173.33514277 -13.32342775 -81.36297103 0.00000000 165.21022354 -22.87212820	1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000001 1.0000001 1.0000001 1.0000001 1.0000001 1.0000001 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000	-18.30066064 -17.08061663 -15.85017437 -14.63013036 -13.40315418 -12.18311034 -10.95960025 -9.73955607 -8.51951206 -7.29946805 -6.08288996 -4.86284612 -3.64973411 -2.42968993 -1.22004401 0.00000000

NORMALIZATION FACTORS

.33738621E-07

92.94817429 .99999999E+00 53.88002945

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 4

	PRESUMED TARGET				
	SPEED(M	PH) TRACK ANGL	.E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG)	
	1	5. 180.	0.	,	
		•			
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS	
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	
				,	
1	2.03737885	126.70191893	1.00000000	-91.50330272	
2	2,69941109	-70.43552918	1.0000003	-85.40308250	
3	2.37949174	-168.16442235	1.00000006	-79.29246437	
4	2.30563614	3.20712663	1.0000008	-73.19224432	
5	2.01310785	154.25337780	1.00000010	-67.08509194	
6	2.42883651	-47.15227275	1.00000012	-60.98487189	
7	3.24782024	-163.54867696	1.00000013	-54.88118559	
8	3,49529304	8.15193321	1.00000013	-48.78096538	
9	1.62798423	178.88296028	1.00000013	-42.68074499	
10	1.82739265	-26.47436660	1.00000013	-36.58052478	
11	3,49119928	-158.59339444	1.00000012	-30,48377065	
12	4.04901998	12,85061454	1.00000010	-24.38355044	
13	1.02760666	-147,66413931	1.0000008	-18,29026239	
14	1.0000000	0,0000000	1.00000006	-12,19004218	
15	3,10778567	-158,56661047	1.00000003	-6.10022005	
16	3,97997882	13.35158786	1.0000000	0,00000000	
- •	01000000000		21.50000000		
		NORMAL 17AT	TON FACTORS		
	.13546009F-06	120.87198825	.99999987F+00	90,48135057	
	1100100000		• 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 7 E · 00	501.0100007	

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 4

	PRESUMED TARGET				
	SPEED(MP	H) TRACK ANGL	.E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG)	
	30). 180.	0.		
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS	
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	
1	1.55113351	-112,52582195	1,00000000	176,99339407	
2	1.84445954	51,98908353	1.00000013	-170.80616567	
3	1.81758806	-45.72555802	1.00000024	-158.59532716	
4	1.74025236	130.82805717	1.0000033	-146.39488673	
5	1.85241792	-72.88471162	1.00000041	-134.18751413	
6	2.07794589	91.55025397	1.0000046	-121.98707404	
7	2.59316556	-19.77632580	1.00000050	-109.78316752	
8	2.73860585	156.48372195	1.00000052	-97.58272726	
9	1.62492381	-38.19708942	1.0000052	-85.38228666	
10	1.78293384	125.12615727	1.00000050	-73.18184623	
11	2.83773396	5.34339132	1.0000046	-60.98487189	
12	3.20455959	-178.92436097	1.00000041	-48.78443163	
13	1.00000000	0,0000000	1.0000033	-36.59092303	
14	1.05858576	160.04204764	1.0000024	-24.39048277	
15	2.44100847	26,68524808	1.00000013	-12.20044043	
16	2.99751247	-157.84602143	1.00000000	0.0000000	

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .26048798E-06 -21.37429669 .99999948E+00 136.23300226

TABLE VI

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 5

		PRESUMED T	ARGET	
	SPEED(MPH)	TRACK ANGLE	(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG)
	0.	180.	0.	
	OPTIMUM WEI	GHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE PH	ASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	2.99774678	.07801748	1.00000000	0.00000000
2	5.04757338 -17	9.91610617	1.00000000	0.0000000
3	1.65563138 -17	9.93044475	1.00000001	.02252905
4	1.00000001	00000393	1.00000000	.02252905
5	2.66337737	.08029232	1.00000001	.04159234
6	4.73361116 -17	9.90244073	1.00000000	.04159234
7	1,82268600 -17	9.93197598	1.00000001	,05718939
8	1.16294327	03340174	1.00000001	.05718939
9	2.56345516	.08158530	1.00000001	.06932052
10	4,63508534 -17	9.89318515	1.00000001	.06932052
11	1.88495506 -17	9.93280572	1.00000001	.07798556
12	1.22459307	05278057	1.00000001	.07798556
13	2.52646008	.08220084	1.00000001	.08318469
14	4.59837257 -17	9.88852549	1.00000001	.08318469
15	1.90227620 -17	9.93307029	1.00000001	.08491773
16	1.24179333	05915182	1.00000001	.08491773
17	2.52646009	.08220314	1.00000001	.08318469
18	4.59837257 -17	9.88852377	1.00000001	.08318469
19	1.88495505 -17	9.93280019	1,00000001	.07798556
20	1.22459307	05276945	1,00000001	.07798556
21	2,56345516	.08159381	1.00000001	.06932052
22	4,63508535 -17	9.89317909	1.00000001	.06932052
23	1,82268600 -17	9.93196950	1.00000001	.05718939
24	1.16294326	03338616	1.00000001	.05718939
25	2.66337737	.08031173	1.00000001	.04159234
26	4.73361117 -17	79.90242756	1.00000000	.04159234
27	1.65563138 -17	79.93044794	1.00000001	.02252905
28	1.00000000	0.00000000	1.00000000	.02252905
29	2.09774679	.07805107	1.00000000	0.0000000
30	5.04757339 -17	79.91608329	1.00000000	0.0000000

NORMALIZATION FACTORS

.64655533E-07	-135.43465481	.999999999E+00	44,66601083
.64655533E-07	-135.43465481	· 999999994F+00	44,0000108.

-199-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 5

	PRESUMED TARGET	
SPEED(MPH)	TRACK ANGLE(DEG)	AZ IMUTH(DEG)
3.	180.	0.

	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	2.80117128	31.72040574	1.00000000	-35.38127694
2	4.57304974	-156.21453549	1.00000000	-34.16123310
3	1.68239798	165.14368375	1.00000001	-32.91865988
4	1.09780632	-24.19841441	1.00000001	-31.69861570
5	2.69765705	47.30864848	1.0000002	-30.45950856
6	4.38517543	-145.26214741	1.0000002	-29.23946438
7	1.91935825	163.42855738	1.0000002	-28.00382333
8	1.35801602	-27.25711140	1.0000002	-26.78377932
9	2.76024259	56.69257886	1.0000002	-25.55160418
10	4.39155069	-137.45988201	1.0000002	-24.33156000
11	2.00594255	164.73976249	1.0000003	-23.10285095
12	1.46317181	-26.68695330	1.0000003	-21.88280677
13	2.83039532	63.06001671	1.0000003	-20.65756397
14	4.44057917	-131.42709736	1.0000003	-19.43751980
15	2.00895315	167.98672952	1.0000003	-18.21574274
16	1.47727576	-23.91598038	1.0000003	-16.99569874
17	2.88315411	67.33471885	1.0000003	-15.77738760
18	4.50233891	-126.75603078	1.0000003	-14.55734359
19	1.94451731	173.01422715	1.0000003	-13.34249871
20	1.41574078	-19.16981608	1.0000003	-12.12245453
21	2.91234167	69.65812606	1.0000002	-10.91107557
22	4.56815497	-123.40250729	1.0000002	-9.69103156
23	1.80777974	-179.71173696	1.0000002	-8.48311851
24	1.27207847	-11.89851542	1.0000002	-7.26307450
25	2.92606982	69.45143508	1.0000002	-6.05862770
26	4.64622185	-121.68155235	1.0000001	-4.83858369
27	1.55357205	-168.55624548	1.0000001	-3.63760281
28	1.00000000	0.0000000	1.0000001	-2.41755864
29	3.04095235	64.07748435	1.0000001	-1.22004384
30	4.84358028	-122.89056624	1.00000000	0.0000000

	NORMALIZATION	I FACTORS	
.71459916E-07	-159.85326754	.99999997E+00	62.35664930

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 5

	SPEED(MP 15	PRESUMED TA PH) TRACK ANGLE(. 180.	RGET (DEG) AZ IMUTH(0.	DEG)
NO.	OPT IMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
	MAGN I TUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGN I TUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	1.53478381	51.08897507	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0000000\\ 1.0000006\\ 1.0000013\\ 1.0000013\\ 1.0000023\\ 1.0000028\\ 1.0000032\\ 1.0000036\\ 1.00000039\\ 1.00000042\\ 1.00000044\\ 1.00000044\\ 1.00000048\\ 1.00000048\\ 1.00000049\\ 1.00000049\\ 1.00000049\\ 1.00000049\\ 1.00000049\\ 1.00000044\\ 1.00000044\\ 1.00000044\\ 1.00000044\\ 1.00000044\\ 1.00000044\\ 1.00000044\\ 1.00000044\\ 1.00000044\\ 1.00000044\\ 1.00000044\\ 1.00000044\\ 1.00000042\\ 1.00000042\\ 1.00000042\\ 1.00000042\\ 1.00000042\\ 1.00000042\\ 1.000000042\\ 1.00000042\\ 1.00000042\\ 1.000000042\\ 1.0000000000000000000\\ 1.0000000000000$	-176.90638572
2	1.93334390	-149.49522108		-170.80616551
3	1.79685390	108.95466647		-164.68341608
4	1.60307449	-81.61320526		-158.58319585
5	1.98182572	89.38583655		-152.46391252
6	2.09488359	-109.36717819		-146.36369230
7	2.39082506	117.21857739		-140.24787504
8	2.32988764	-73.55516988		-134.14765466
9	2.37705357	115.85859689		-128.03530332
10	2.40654178	-78.23841142		-121.93508327
11	2.60528826	128.63274009		-115.82619801
12	2.63956414	-62.89261913		-109.72597796
13	2.73674308	137.55016330		-103.62055862
14	2.81207562	-53.10387372		-97.52033841
15	2.53111893	141.93895742		-91.41838515
16	2.61641050	-50.70753786		-85.31816494
17	3.02329326	155.69144005		-79.21967776
18	3.22555548	-33.06095086		-73.11945755
19	2.21917610	157.23625737		-67.02443646
20	2.31031454	-36.97745446		-60.92421625
21	3.16894958	170.74905970		-54.83266108
22	3.54174719	-17.17992288	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00000042\\ 1.00000039\\ 1.00000036\\ 1.00000032\\ 1.00000028\\ 1.00000023\\ 1.00000018\\ 1.00000013\\ 1.00000007\\ 1.00000007\\ 1.00000000\end{array}$	-48.73244086
23	1.70745768	175.65284094		-42.64435178
24	1.76284324	-20.97236818		-36.54413156
25	3.08900087	-177.45647280		-30.45950839
26	3.65308047	-5.10520853		-24.35928818
27	1.01639266	-158.10071171		-18.27813143
28	1.00000000	0.00000000		-12.17791121
29	2.67287753	-171.59584837		-6.10022021
30	3.44563574	1.56632189		0.00000000

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .16521836E-06 168.43025061 .99999951E+00 133.11920369

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 5

		PRESUMED 1	TARGET	
	SPEED(MPH)	TRACK ANGLE	E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG)
	30.	180.	0.	,
	OPTIMUM WE	IGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE P	HASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
				`
1	1.67094934	76.90597554	1.00000000	6.18722856
2	2.06993355 -1	19.15188770	1.0000025	18.38766899
3	1.97378723 1	50.75946733	1.00000050	30.61063863
4	1.90716845 -	33.76933495	1.0000072	42.81107873
5	1.71414691 1	23.04738099	1.00000092	55.03058245
6	1,96936689 -	76.20877855	1.00000110	67.23102288
7	2.77378849 -1	79.75313946	1.00000127	79.44706036
8	2.94360378	-5.16510680	1.00000142	91,64750095
9	1.39059254 1	76.73216074	1.00000155	103.86007234
10	1.40101301 -	26.56924174	1.00000166	116.06051277
11	3.09291078 -1	48,59093339	1.00000175	128,26961825
12	3,45387103	24,49810204	1.00000183	140.47005884
13	1.42217903 -1	12.25874331	1.00000188	152,67569806
14	1.17736627	55,71850134	1.00000192	164.87613833
15	2.99502814 -1	16.21872314	1.00000194	177.07831180
16	3,45906513	54.80876011	1.00000194	-170.72124761
17	2.08607660 -	56.31185823	1.00000192	-158,52254039
18	2.00800652 1	20,76910693	1.00000188	-146.32209996
19	2.54700351 -	82,10365939	1.00000183	-134,12685866
20	3.00170024	86.13169375	1.00000175	-121,92641806
21	2.80051116 -	18.46168964	1.00000166	-109.73464284
22	2.99614778 1	58.40066335	1.00000155	-97.53420241
23	1.84708269 -	44.78571810	1.00000142	-85.34589311
24	2.18120915 1	19.47979920	1.00000127	-73.14545251
25	3.11932207	11.12703266	1.00000111	-60.96060947
26	3.56938939 -1	73.09273968	1.0000092	-48.76016920
27	1.00000000	0.00000000	1.00000072	-36.57879174
28	1.13889212 1	57.43533605	1.00000050	-24.37835148
29	2.74110848	34.17277505	1.0000026	-12,20044043
30	3.39818476 -1	50.62708590	1.00000000	0.0000000
-				

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .21707100E-06 56.86372775 .99999806E+00 -138.42760345

TABLE VII

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 6

		PRESUMED	TARGET	
	SPEED(MF	PH) TRACK ANGL	E(DEG) AZ IMUTH	(DEG)
	(). 180.	0.	
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	2.91459993	.12663419	1.00000001	0.0000000
2	4.92301099	-179.86285051	1.00000000	0.0000000
3	1.64522093	-179.88382302	1.00000001	.03985930
4	1.00000001	00000532	1.00000000	.03985930
5	2.56957219	.12986114	1.0000002	.07625286
6	4.59814233	-179.83807849	1.00000001	.07625286
7	1.82603289	-179.88629018	1.0000002	.10918016
8	1.17670487	06539074	1.00000001	.10918016
9	2.45336031	.13217689	1.0000002	.13864137
10	4.48333331	-179.81733777	1.00000001	.13864137
īi	1.90728466	-179.88809431	1.0000002	.16463667
12	1.25729149	11578101	1.0000002	.16463667
13	2.39379428	.13390401	1.0000002	.18716572
14	4,42408996	-179.80102480	1.0000002	.18716572
15	1.95167357	-179.88939086	1.0000002	20622902
16	1.30147054	- 15283811	1.00000002	20622902
17	2 36046343	13511394	1 00000002	22182606
18	4 39086694	-179 78930046	1 00000002	22182606
19	1 97617796		1 00000002	23395719
20	1 32589078	- 17727762	1 00000000	23305710
21	2 3/311330	13583285	1 00000002	24262223
22	A 37355683	-170 7822/180	1 00000003	24262223
22	1 08723600		1 00000003	24782136
23	1 33601774	- 190/2857	1 00000003	24782136
25	2 22770021	13607220	1 00000003	2/055//0
26	A 26916421	170 77099492	1.00000003	2/055//0
20	1 00722600		1 00000003	24300440
20	1 22601774	100/2220	1.00000003	24702130
20	2 2/21/221	10942330	1.00000003	-24/02130
29	4 27255604	.1300301/	1.00000003	• 24202223
30	4.3/300004	-1/9./8223998	1.00000003	.24202223
31	1.9/01//95	-1/9.89022013	1.00000003	.23395/19
32	1.325890/7	1//20232	1.0000002	.23395/19
33	2.30040344	.13511929	1.0000002	.22182000
34	4.39086696	-1/9./8929624	1.0000002	.22182606
35	1.9516/356	-1/9.8893/82/	1.0000002	.20622902
30	1.3014/052	15281458	1.0000002	.20622902
3/	2.393/9430	.13391403	1.0000002	.18/165/2
38	4.42408998	-1/9.80101/36	1.0000002	.18/16572
39	1.90/28464	-1/9.88808035	1.0000002	.16463667
40	1.25729147	11575318	1.0000002	.16463667
41	2.45336033	.13219456	1.0000002	.13864137

-203-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 6

	PRESUME SPEED(MPH) TRACK AN 0. 180	ED TARGET NGLE(DEG) AZ IMUTH(). 0.	DEG)
NO.	OPTIMUM WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
	MAGNITUDE PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
42	4.48333333 -179.8173254	1.00000001 1.0000002 7.0000001 6.1.0000002 54.1.00000001 32.1.00000001 30.1.00000001 30.1.00000001 30.1.00000001 30.1.00000001 30.1.00000001 30.1.00000001 30.1.00000000 30.1.00000000	.13864137
43	1.82603287 -179.8862803		.10918016
44	1.176704850653664		.10918016
45	2.56957221 .1298908		.07625286
46	4.59814235 -179.838058		.07625286
47	1.64522091 -179.8838273		.03985930
48	1.00000000 0.000000		.03985930
49	2.91459994 .1266764		0.0000000
50	4.92301101 -179.862821		0.0000000
	NORMAL 17	ATION FACTORS	

	NORMALIZATION	FACTORS	
.65914253E-07	-135.66512214	.99999997E+00	44.50137416

ŗ

-204-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 6

SPEED(MPH) 3.	PRESUMED TARGET TRACK ANGLE(DEG) 180.	AZIMUTH(DEG) 0.
OPTIMUM WEI	GHTS	TARGET WEIGHTS

NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	2.77047250	13.56913461	1.00000001	-59.78215780
2	4.54318816	-1/5.084/0590	1.00000000	-58.56211362
3	1./043108/	143.535/5119	1.00000002	-57.30221031
4	1.108//391	-40./89/2001	1.00000002	-50.08210014
5	2.0410/001	31.0389/303	1.00000003	-54.825/28/4
7	4.29202019	-103.15240075	1.00000003	-53.00506490
<i>'</i>		140./0000419 E1 10077700	1.00000004	-52.552/1559
0	2 6097//29	-51.192///88	1.00000004	
10	1 25201/03	-16/ 1/102300	1 00000005	-49.00010419
11	2 20012615	1/0 72/02328	1 00000000	
12	1 66214234		1 00000000	-46 19703686
13	2.78010476	50,92009734	1.00000006	-44,95446363
14	4.26665947	-146.60891571	1.00000006	-43.73441946
1 5	2.27456731	142.28121949	1.00000007	-42,49531232
16	1.75736855	-51.21858697	1.0000007	-41.27526814
17	2.86019767	57.79531818	1.0000007	-40.03962709
18	4.30619407	-140.06062011	1.0000007	-38.81958308
19	2.30298247	144.89261294	1.0000008	-37.58740794
20	1.80058477	-49.11455556	1.0000008	-36.36736376
21	2.93215541	63.50951782	1.0000008	-35.13865471
22	4.35972414	-134.27734848	1.0000008	-33.91861053
23	2.29716467	148.35173870	1.0000008	-32.69336773
24	1.80400329	-46.04466697	1.0000008	-31.47332355
25	2.99300084	68.29515726	1.0000008	-30.25154650
26	4.42164136	-129.16061852	1.0000008	-29.03150249
27	2.26299079	152.58791208	1.0000008	-27.81319136
28	1.77383899	-42.09253728	1.0000008	-26.59314735
29	3.04036989	/2.23978429	1.0000008	-25.37830247
30	4.48802953	-124.68195555	1.00000008	-24.15825829
31	2.2025/144	15/.62/6/051	1.00000008	-22.9468/932
32	1./1238688	-3/.23055340	1.00000007	-21./2683531
33	3.0/158/43	/3.3238800/	1.00000007	-20.51892227
34	4.0000/281	-120.00011000	1.00000007	-19.2908/820
33	2.11439091	21 20710709	1.00000007	-10.09443140
27	2 00262249	77 /0723/01	1 0000000	-15 67340657
32	1 6215540		1 00000000	-10.07340037
30	1 99322436	170 84436384	1 00000000	-13 25584760
40	1 48485612	-23.95786351	1,00000000	-12,03580376
41	3.07453030	78.14255559	1.00000005	-10.84175488

-205-

1.1

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 6

	PRESUMED TARGET	
SPEED(MPH)	TRACK ANGLE(DEG)	AZ IMUTH(DEG)
3.	180.	0.

	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
42	4.68549251	-115.71161947	1.0000004	-9.62171070
43	1.82347813	-179.94757562	1.00000005	-8.43112808
44	1.29567196	-14.32067346	1.00000003	-7.21108407
45	3.05210342	76.67677949	1.00000004	-6.02396736
46	4.75816917	-115.03298931	1.00000002	-4.80392335
47	1.55548526	-166.93481052	1.00000003	-3.62027289
48	1.00000000	0.00000000	1.00000001	-2.40022872
49	3.13086003	70.12161556	1.0000002	-1.22004401
50	4.94697792	-117.20250253	1.00000000	0.0000000

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .70549754E-07 -152.41919522 .99999992E+00 74.39245306

-206-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 6

	PRESUMED TARGET	
SPEED(MPH)	TRACK ANGLE(DEG)	AZ IMUTH(DEG)
15.	180.	0.

NO.	OPTIMUM MAGNITUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)	TARGET MAGNITUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)
1	2.16959515	-68.09447250	1,0000000	61.08921167
2	2.89241866	91.80187647	1.00000010	67.18943188
3	2.73676241	5.17168204	1.0000022	73.32951140
4	2.47796048	178.10153221	1.0000031	79.42973161
5	2.45198472	-33.88417294	1.00000042	85.56634504
6	2.85808208	122.56427037	1.00000051	91.66656509
7	3.85807930	15.98903893	1.00000060	97.79971244
8	3.83933599	-171.14595554	1.00000068	103.89993265
9	2.46759048	-5.23699080	1.00000077	110.02961408
10	2.60366253	149.96076731	1.0000084	116.12983413
11	4.54705844	28.88414112	1.00000091	122.25604964
12	4.75028090	-158.78902566	1.00000097	128.35626986
13	2.44691370	25.91259862	1.00000104	134.47901929
14	2.28921788	-177.63449775	1.00000109	140.57923950
15	4.90962047	42.69843708	1.00000114	146.69852285
16	5.30086775	-145.77629900	1.00000119	152.79874306
17	2.59797874	58.78456598	1.00000123	158.91456032
18	2.22067600	-138.35839204	1.00000127	165.01478070
19	4.99036861	57.18743770	1.00000130	171.12713205
20	5.52384162	-132.31290622	1.00000133	177.22735209
21	2.99874317	89.08489176	1.00000135	-176.66376265
22	2.61263762	-100.55270493	1.00000137	-170.56354260
23	4.82345853	72.37792831	1.00000139	-164.45812326
24	5.44398018	-118.39231802	1.00000139	-158.35790304
25	3.57054118	114.36901756	1.00000140	-152.25594979
26	3.34630574	-71.51424615	1.00000140	-146.15572957
27	4.44590515	88.45790865	1.00000139	-140.05724240
28	5.09181278	-103.88766826	1.00000139	-133.95702219
29	4.17734004	135.15421662	1.00000137	-127.86200110
30	4.18585634	-49.72797177	1.00000135	-121.76178088
31	3.90184548	105.78586686	1.00000133	-115.67022571
32	4.50843287	-88.54469243	1.00000130	-109.57000550
33	4.69523075	152.69160866	1.00000127	-103.48191641
34	4.95543858	-32.32010727	1.00000123	-97.38169620
35	3.24270300	124.97017750	1.00000119	-91.29707303
36	3.74652078	-71.91239924	1.00000114	-85.19685281
37	5.02230687	167.87634652	1.00000109	-79.11569607
38	5.53085419	-17.65975812	1.00000104	-73.01547585
39	2.52338786	147.05519346	1.00000098	-66.93778485
40	2.86839039	-53.17113138	1.00000091	-60.83756464
41	5.06982802	-178,91720515	1,0000084	-54,76333972

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 6

PRESUMED TARGET SPEED(MPH) TRACK ANGLE(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG)				
:G)				
1951				
36084				
4063				
34788				
52767				
301.01				
58079				
2005				
)0000				

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .11325996E-06 -141.22048192 .99999860E+00 -166.04323167

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 6

	PR SPEED(MPH) TRA 30.	ESUMED TARGE CK ANGLE(DEG 180.	T) AZ IMUTH(0.	DEG)
NO.	OPTIMUM WEIGHTS MAGNITUDE PHASE(DEG)	TARGET MAGNITUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)
1 2 3 4 5	1.41282726 -163.80 1.7172672592 1.80850994 -98.07 1.76840879 75.96 1.50957248 -112.03	858682 521464 035154 020286 777758 401423	1.0000000 1.0000043 1.0000087 1.00000126 1.00000166 1.0000202	0.0000000 12.20044043 24.44073999 36.64118025 48.87801357 61.07845400
7 8 9 10 11	2.42070405 -71.58 2.57667655 101.50 1.51807261 -57.59 1.45774174 107.86 2.44598697 -42.00	444458 725743 543801 358940 231478	1.00000238 1.00000271 1.00000303 1.00000332 1.00000360	73.31182173 85.51226182 97.74216330 109.94260373 122.16903929 134.36947972
12 13 14 15 16 17	2.72161112 129.47 1.72438030 -4.47 1.69959755 167.60 2.01476592 -7.50 2.30106368 161.32 1.99177387 40.09	541899 635870 645173 4452766 2561016 207178	1.00000385 1.00000410 1.00000431 1.00000452 1.00000470 1.00000487	146.59244936 158.79288945 171.01239318 -176.78716639 -164.57112892
18 19 20 21 22 23	2.13085032 -146.42 1.37880987 40.08 1.54325750 -155.74 2.06033333 79.03 2.34140348 -109.15 1.12669639 114.39	2573473 3040634 6886687 3176285 5999673 9860739	1.00000502 1.00000515 1.00000526 1.00000535 1.00000542 1.00000548	-152.37068832 -140.15811693 -127.95767650 -115.74857103 -103.54813043 -91.34249121
24 25 26 27 28 29	1.08314700 -80.31 1.80745388 118.12 2.13269557 -73.68 1.60985881 178.33 1.62854665 -8.98 1.32832806 167.12	1860262 2248977 3791264 3801378 3488704 1594592	1.00000552 1.00000554 1.00000554 1.00000552 1.00000548 1.00000542	-79.14205095 -66.93987748 -54.73943688 -42.54072966 -30.34028923 -18.14504793
30 31 32 33 34 25	1.53552478 -31.68 2.17808055 -139.93 2.36522694 32.56 1.13537626 -119.55 1.01852532 40.55	3555888 7769806 5408319 5212157 1051096 1722954	1.00000535 1.00000526 1.00000515 1.00000502 1.00000487 1.00000471	-5.94460733 6.24716789 18.44760831 30.63591762 42.83635821 55.02120126
35 36 37 38 39 40 41	2.75982327 65.1 1.68178634 -56.1 1.55609179 118.5 2.31549773 -73.1 2.66082696 95.4 2.37564765 -16.7	7721929 4584155 0479584 9887825 7473654 8998340	1.00000452 1.00000432 1.00000410 1.00000386 1.00000360 1.00000333	67.22164152 79.40301899 91.60345925 103.78137030 115.98181073 128.15625586

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 6

	PRESUMED TARGET	
SPEED(MPH)	TRACK ANGLE(DEG)	AZ IMUTH(DEG)
30.	180.	0.

NO.	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
42	2.48525445	159.74417422	1.00000303	140.35669612
43	1.79923896	-39.47930683	1.00000272	152.52767500
44	2.07942673	126.09642535	1.00000238	164.72811542
45	2.72513195	12.45326732	1.00000204	176.89562822
46	3.08432758	-171.68015706	1.00000166	-170.90393135
47	1.00000000	0.00000000	1.00000129	-158.73988464
48	1.13474041	159.89346097	1.00000087	-146.53944422
49	2.43054420	34.56878525	1.00000087	-134.37886359
50	3.00024104	-150.11223677	1.00000046	-122.17842316
		NORMALIZATION	FACTORS	

.24772400E-06	178.71257053	.99999446E+00	105.59058582

TABLE VIII

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 8

PRESUMED TARGET				
	SPEED(M	PH) TRACK ANGL	E(DEG) AZ IMUTH	DEG)
	(). 180.	0.	,
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
		. ,		
1	1.21824557	-13.85562327	1.00000000	0.0000000
2	2.03327532	167.70581175	1.00000000	0.00000000
3	1.00000212	-11.00503316	1.00000000	0.00000000
4	6.36797205	156.76598194	1.00000000	.01039809
5	16.79316350	-20.31395700	1.00000000	.01039809
6	11.05986277	160.98274081	1.00000000	.01039809
7	10.71709038	-43.57674808	1.00000000	.01733026
8	30.30994821	139.53347698	1.00000000	.01733026
9	20.63957222	-39.14400462	1.00000000	.01733026
10	11.75644106	101.69462155	1.00000000	.02079617
11	31.90461723	-79.94925261	1.0000000	.02079617
12	21.29647076	99.22031713	1.0000000	.02079617
13	11.75620528	-112.70085646	1.00000000	.02079617
14	31.90398309	68.94331459	1.0000000	.02079617
15	21.29603975	-110.22615102	1.00000000	.02079617
16	10.71680609	32.56921076	1.00000000	.01733026
17	30.30909996	-150.54093320	1.0000000	.01733026
18	20.63899120	28.13658921	1.0000000	.01733026
19	6.36788556	-167.77382140	1.00000000	.01039809
20	16.79295412	9.30656308	1.0000000	.01039809
21	11.05972797	-171.98999168	1.00200000	.01039809
22	1.21823856	2.84781414	1.00000000	0.00000000
23	2.03326759	-178.71227203	1.00000000	0.0000000
24	1.00000000	0.00000000	1.00000000	0.00000000
		NURMALIZATI	UN FACTORS	
	.96392561E-05	50.21/19908	.1000000E+01	44./2969905

-211-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 8

-

.

	PRESUMED TARGET	
SPEED(MPH)	TRACK ANGLE(DEG)	AZ IMUTH(DEG)
3.	180.	0.

	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1	1.49784630	-38.08075775	1.00000000	-18,70734187
2	2,28485690	143.22101799	1.00000000	-17.89397908
3	1.00346847	-35.94002971	1.00000000	-17.08061647
4	7.20395758	126.81821828	1.00000001	-16,25685576
5	20.48458536	-47.47239056	1.00000001	-15.44349315
6	13.94371659	134.85149059	1.00000001	-14.63013036
7	8.04495731	-108.33157768	1.00000001	-13.80983558
8	24.17008321	79.02346780	1.00000001	-12.99647296
9	16.93018576	-97.87784261	1.00000001	-12.18311017
10	7.52006530	22.84002319	1.0000001	-11.36628147
11	27.70176118	-171.78426273	1.00000001	-10.55291869
12	21.01906901	3.91507624	1.00000001	-9.73955607
13	5.54481797	-58.40883972	1,00000001	-8.92619345
14	21.87198691	142.49517760	1.00000001	-8.11283067
15	17.10513732	-32.00519476	1.0000001	-7.29946805
16	6.77034910	69.84270993	1.00000001	-6.48957135
17	20.95653479	-117.34189863	1.0000001	-5.67620857
18	14.85562792	59.70932859	1.0000001	-4.86284595
19	7.10936651	-162.29957620	1.00000001	-4.05641533
20	20.34891454	12.74006860	1.0000001	-3.24305255
21	13.87947641	-169.27442385	1.00000000	-2.42968976
22	1.49486845	3.68974920	1.00000000	-1.62672540
23	2.27888488	-178.24801693	1,0000000	81336262
24	1.00000000	0.00000000	1.0000000	0.00000000
		NORMALIZATI	ON FACTORS	
	.12601428E-03	148.49713539	.999999999E+00	54.08337006

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 8

		PRESUMED	TARGET	
	SPEED(MF	PH) TRACK ANGL	.E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG)
	15	5. 180.	0.	
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	1.57979009	-6.10498996	1.00000000	-93.53670985
2	2.33432467	177.19271617	1.0000002	-89.46989626
3	1.00000000	0.0000000	1.0000004	-85.40308284
4	10.00477068	152.95066343	1.00000006	-81.32587150
5	25,86257667	-20.77058211	1.0000008	-77.25905791
6	16,90107861	162.16408940	1.00000009	-73.19224449
7	16.73570082	-59.36634712	1.00000011	-69.11849890
8	50.51330996	123.96934297	1.0000012	-65.05168548
9	35.29429295	-54.62611585	1.00000013	-60.98487189
10	10.11290923	92.61283643	1.00000013	-56.91459239
11	31.33714484	-104.65466614	1.00000014	-52.84777913
12	22.65609419	69.08562843	1.00000014	-48.78096554
13	9.82366629	-5.20721015	1.00000014	-44.71415212
14	30.41138925	-167.24675537	1.00000014	-40.64733854
15	22.02070209	19.25330465	1.00000013	-36.58052512
16	16.54210608	147.21331458	1.00000013	-32.51717778
17	50.00377817	-36.07328872	1.00000012	-28.45036419
18	34.95841161	142.54217398	1.00000011	-24.38355077
19	9.97573099	-65.09290901	1.0000009	-20.32366918
20	25.82510223	108.64026675	1.0000008	-16.25685593
21	16.88782596	-74.28485908	1.00000006	-12.19004234
22	1.58292195	93.90845403	1.00000005	-8.13362701
23	2.34161685	-89.48873750	1.0000002	-4.06681359
24	1.00443165	87.56860766	1.00000000	0.0000000
		NORMAL IZAT	ION FACTORS	
	.88994598E-03	91.88727867	.99999986E+00	91.49805414

-213-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 8

		PRESU	1ED TARGE	T	
	SPEED(MF	PH) TRACK /	ANGLE(DEG	AZ IMUTH ((DEG)
	30). 18	30.	0.	
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS		TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	1.62129406	-7.29535	101	1.00000000	172.92658048
2	2.36140603	176.57921	591	1.00000009	-178.93979268
3	1.00000000	0.00000	000	1.00000017	-170.80616584
4	11.21527609	150.93662	209	1.00000025	-162.66214075
5	28.31064427	-22.91722	906	1.00000031	-154.52851374
6	18.28426573	160,049218	347	1.0000037	-146.39488673
7	19.61538461	-59.841428	325	1.00000042	-138.25432772
8	59.92099392	124.09461	773	1.00000046	-130.12070088
9	42.05314570	-54.314934	484	1.00000049	-121.98707404
10	10.04719555	72.81136	211	1.00000052	-113.84998111
11	35.55904595	-119.555620	676	1.00000053	-105.71635410
12	26.52280064	56.684178	858	1.00000054	-97.58272726
13	9.96406004	49.31453	818	1.00000054	-89.44910025
14	35.34264949	-118,212414	442	1.00000053	-81.31547324
15	26.38298085	65.56797	010	1.00000052	-73.18184623
16	19.57068354	-178.17625	464	1.00000049	-65.05168548
17	59.80912473	-2,10434	273	1.00000046	-56.91805847
18	41.98113408	176.30868	779	1.00000042	-48.78443163
19	11.22142011	-28,97463	988	1.0000037	-40.65773662
20	28.34313371	144.88272	038	1.0000031	-32.52410961
21	18.31054186	-38.07999	776	1.0000025	-24.39048277
22	1.62631911	129.26482	718	1.00000017	-16.26725385
23	2.37074040	-54.61899	716	1.0000009	-8.13362701
24	1.00493748	121.94504	553	1.0000000	0.0000000
		NORMAL T	7ATION FA	ACTORS	

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .28502611E-02 77.44463939 .99999946E+00 138.26640906

-214-

TABLE IX

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 10

PRESUMED TARGET				
	SPEED(MP	H) TRACK ANGLE	E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG)
	(180.	0.	
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
		· · ·		
1	1.14576544	-1.55551970	1.00000000	0.00000000
2	1.97654959	179.30319919	1.00000000	0.00000000
3	1.00000000	0.0000000	1.00000000	0.00000000
4	5.07546545	173.30318752	1.00000000	.01039809
5	14.05717738	-5.05790526	1.00000000	.01039809
6	9.45358348	175,63002432	1.00000000	.01039809
7	6.00095259	-21.11493832	1.00000000	.01733026
8	17.59149149	160.88222124	1.00000000	.01733026
ĝ	12.16330881	-18.28700152	1.00000000	.01733026
10	3.93697637	115.75775692	1.00000000	.02079617
11	10.10625947	-73.22525886	1.00000000	.02079617
12	6.62640124	102.15097975	1.00000000	.02079617
13	3.93709707	-110.09215330	1.00000000	.02079617
14	10,10637616	78.89065502	1.00000000	.02079617
15	6.62639432	-96.48561040	1.00000000	.02079617
16	6.00127642	26.78616388	1.00000000	.01733026
17	17.59236655	-155.21066905	1.00000000	.01733026
18	12.16389693	23.95873054	1.00000000	.01733026
19	5.07561399	-167.62998912	1.00000000	.01039809
20	14.05752226	10.73163487	1,0000000	.01039809
21	9.45379475	-169.95614102	1.00000000	.01039809
22	1.14578380	7.22917789	1,0000000	0.00000000
23	1.97657675	-173.62809278	1.00000000	0.0000000
24	1.00001182	5.67662722	1.00000000	0.00000000
		NODMAL TOATT	ON EACTORS	
	82216701E OF	11 97646924		44.72969905
	.03340/346403	71.01070024	*100000000000	

-215-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 10

PRESUMED TARGET				
	SPEED(MP	PH) TRACK ANGL	E(DEG) AZ IMUTH	DEG)
		3. 180.	0.	•
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	1.53782532	-23.35024886	1.00000000	-38,22804669
2	2.30964945	157.64449579	1.0000002	-27.65433149
3	1.00038385	-21.55434105	1.00000002	-17.08061663
4	8.06442509	148.22658967	1.00000001	-35.77756042
5	22.37708803	-28,79307915	1.0000002	-25,20384556
6	15.05026611	152.46135100	1.00000002	-14.63013036
7	8.16435484	-61.43518810	1.00000001	-33.33054023
8	25.37867388	123.45710659	1.0000002	-22.75682537
9	17.99629269	-54.60406670	1.0000002	-12,18311017
10	6.33989392	65.80298916	1.0000002	-30.88698629
11	19.62827731	-136.79842203	1.0000003	-20.31327110
12	14.42591965	35.02802586	1.0000002	-9.73955624
13	6.32596420	-86.79121801	1.0000002	-28.44689811
14	19.58332095	115.85362847	1.0000003	-17.87318308
15	14.39462394	-55.95809741	1.0000002	-7.29946805
16	8.13572511	40.38259554	1.0000002	-26.01027617
17	25.30071137	-144.49177458	1.0000002	-15.43656098
18	17.94382927	33.57693001	1.00000001	-4.86284612
19	8.05009943	-169.39423791	1.0000002	-23.57711999
20	22.34364117	7.59959411	1.0000002	-13.00340496
21	15.02978488	-173.66627313	1.00000001	-2.42968993
22	1.53657649	2.00504067	1.0000002	-21.14743005
23	2.30825028	-179.08424844	1.0000002	-10.57371503
24	1.00000000	0.00000000	1.00000000	0.0000000
		NORMALIZAT	ION FACTORS	
	.14209824E-02	151.10130100	.99999997E+00	63.84372247

-216-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 10

		PRESUMED PH) TRACK ANGL	TARGET E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG)
	15	5. 180.	0.	· · ·
NO.	OPTIMUM MAGNITUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)	TARGET MAGNITUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)
123456789011121314561718	1.58651945 2.34513170 1.00424974 9.78668920 25.58953768 16.79279826 15.50778155 46.20882338 32.14323453 12.31653702 32.73355606 22.07033600 12.34734166 32.93333955 22.23165721 15.41528184 45.89568649 31.91431446	-61.74528893 121.01898896 -56.56063820 101.62342285 -73.38929998 108.90859854 -105.77961832 76.91988404 -101.92533295 51.90169953 -141.27916367 32.60386274 -107.19781562 85.83818395 -88.14002647 50.50365389 -132.19983489 46.64170275	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0000000\\ 1.0000045\\ 1.0000056\\ 1.0000051\\ 1.0000053\\ 1.0000053\\ 1.00000055\\ 1.00000055\\ 1.00000049\\ 1.00000056\\ 1.00000056\\ 1.00000043\\ 1.00000043\\ 1.00000056\\ 1.00000056\\ 1.00000056\\ 1.00000055\\ 1.0000005\\ 1.00000005\\ 1.00000005\\ 1.00000005\\ 1.00000005\\ 1.00000005\\ 1.00000005\\ 1.00000005\\ 1.00000005\\ 1.00000005\\ 1.000000005\\ 1.000000005\\ 1.000000005\\ 1.0000000000000000\\ 1.00000000000000\\ 1.0000000000$	168.85976689 -138.27165797 -85.40308300 -178.92939476 -126.06081963 -73.19224449 -166.72202216 -113.85344720 -60.98487189 -154.51811565 -101.64954085 -48.78096554 -142.31767522 -89.44910025 -36.58052512 -130.12070121 -77.25212591 -24.38355077
19 20 21 22 23 24	9.67718236 25.31261676 16.61663488 1.57487299 2.33154375 1.00000000	-157.48978755 17.37266318 -164.99394930 5.35114568 -177.50352088 0.00000000	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00000023\\ 1.00000054\\ 1.00000051\\ 1.00000014\\ 1.00000056\\ 1.00000045\\ 1.00000000\end{array}$	-117.92719262 -65.05861765 -12.19004234 -105.73715044 -52.86857530 0.00000000

NORMALIZATION FACTORS

.90085564E-02 -166.16918771 .99999943E+00 140.29981585

-217-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 10

		PRESUMED	TARGET	
	SPEED(MP	PH) TRACK ANGL	.E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG)	
	30). 180.	0.	
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET WEIGHTS	
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE PHASE(DEG)	
1	1.60404573	-6.74960765	1.00000000 -22.28046622	
2	2.34910745	176.80526141	1.00000181 83.45668405	ł
3	1.00000000	0.0000000	1.00000223 -170.80616567	
4	10.83226051	154.71162684	1.00000054 2.13081272	
5	27.51063571	-20.19971986	1.00000203 107.86796300)
6	17.80990876	162.25295388	1.00000213 -146.39488690)
7	19.11518691	-48.68384874	1.00000101 26.53862558	ļ
8	56.95573246	133.30610148	1.00000217 132.27577586	J
9	39.58973426	-45.83396046	1.00000196 -121.98707387	
10	13.89496601	116.22312765	1.00000140 50.94297236	J
11	36.42138287	-75.68528191	1.00000225 156.68012263	;
12	24.32440940	98.69430008	1.00000172 -97,58272709	ļ
13	13.87057491	-32.47963502	1.00000172 75.34385338	ļ
14	36.34649495	159.44178529	1.00000225 -178.91899651	
15	24.27211700	-14.92959475	1.00000140 -73.18184623	5
16	19.07961969	132.48175326	1.00000196 99.74126799	J
17	56.84707383	-49.49990982	1.00000217 -154.52158174	
18	39.51331549	129.64324858	1.00000101 -48.78443146	,
19	10.81971666	-70.96104583	1.00000213 124.13521685	
20	27.48889268	103.93858616	1.00000203 -130.12763288	,
21	17.79934985	-78.51862944	1.00000054 -24.39048260)
22	1.60536492	90.47982652	1.00000223 148.52569945	
23	2.35238331	-93.06388929	1.00000181 -105.73715027	
24	1.00191515	83.75623755	1.0000000 0.0000000	ļ

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .28764706E-01 153.91317689 .99999774E+00 -124.13006768

-218-

TABLE X

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 11

		PRESUMED	TARGET	
	SPEED(MP	H) TRACK ANGL	E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG)
	Ċ	180.	0.	
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	1.37924485	105.52579462	1.00000000	0.00000000
2	3.19697329	-73.34808926	1.00000000	.00000000
3	2.91672022	109.8769980/	1.00000000	0.00000000
4	1.000/35/1	-66.31/81/58	1.00000000	0.00000000
5	6.20268552	-94.15381249	1.00000000	.00693217
6	20.94133/36	86.61614959	1.00000000	.00693217
1	20.38261/12	-98.08358328	1.00000000	.00693217
8	5.82438001	68.32906773	1.00000000	.00693217
9	12.15590591	66.35332/8/	1,0000000	.01039809
10	49.34184402	-112.5//02244	1.00000000	.01039809
11	67.45361091	/0./2/89884	1.00000000	.01039809
12	30.63036011	-105./90288/4	1.00000000	.01039809
13	12.15314339	-132.61330023	1.0000000	.01039809
14	49.32620120	46.321/0951	1.00000000	.01039809
15	67.42283005	-130.98002581	1.0000000	.01039809
16	30.6120/444	39.53867744	1.00000000	.01039809
1/	6.19945313	27.8/60515/	1.00000000	.00693217
18	20.925/56/3	-152.89433420	1.0000000	.00693217
19	20.35936566	31.81130095	1.00000000	.00693217
20	5.81368813	-134.509510/1	1.00000000	.00093217
21	1.3/004011	-1/1.02323091	1.00000000	000000000
22	3.19492000	176 10017267	1.00000000	00000000
23	2,91401255	-110.10011331	1.00000000	0.00000000
24	1.0000000	0.0000000	1.0000000	0.0000000
		NORMAL TOATT	ON FACTORS	
	54675140F-04	77,90210816	.1000000F+01	44,74009713
	10.0F01.0F 01			

-219-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 11

1

	PRESUMED TARGET	
SPEED(MPH)	TRACK ANGLE(DEG)	AZ IMUTH(DEG)
3.	180.	0.

NO.	OPT IMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
	MAGN I TUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
NO. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MAGNITUDE 2.18520513 4.17855372 3.30978779 1.00115115 9.35913977 29.76079853 29.57033703 14.28333978 19.30053895 78.10157905 106.70827110	PHASE(DEG) 64.78757355 -124.84122980 50.02637575 -132.50349982 -140.85965315 31.64251532 -176.60769554 -36.03778186 26.99577806 -153.52589457 30.38621324	MAGNITUDE 1.00000000 1.000000000 1.00000000 1.00000000 1.00000000 1.00000000 1.00000000 1.00000000 1.000000000 1.00000000 1.00000000 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.00000000 1.00000000 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.0000000000	PHASE(DEG) -14.03050661 -13.42048444 -12.81046260 -12.20044060 -11.58348642 -10.97346442 -10.36344241 -9.75342041 -9.13993232 -8.52991031 -7.91988831
12	48.62989215	-144.89036112	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00000001\\ 1.00000001\\ 1.00000001\\ 1.000000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.00000000\\ 1.0000000000$	-7.30986631
13	19.29774331	-159.46476829		-6.69984430
14	78.08813961	21.05607272		-6.08982213
15	106.69953587	-162.85663134		-5.47980029
16	48.63161229	12.42033175		-4.86977829
17	9.35662042	8.38964875		-4.26322220
18	29.74346408	-164.11789510		-3.65320019
19	29.52725992	44.15483867		-3.04317802
20	14.26362172	-96.34352937		-2.43315618
21	2.18528105	162.75595115		-1.83006601
22	4.17708435	-7.63691589		-1.22004418
23	3.30728216	177.48159669		61002217
24	1.00000000	0.0000000		0.0000000

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .39240964E-01 -158.67250951 .99999999E+00 51.75535060

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 11

	PRESUMED TARGET	
SPEED(MPH)	TRACK ANGLE(DEG)	AZ IMUTH(DEG)
15.	180.	0.

	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
_				
1	2.15513623	-163.87232712	1.00000000	-/0.15253255
2	4.15924000	7.06643717	1.00000001	-67.10242236
3	3.30420007	-177.68445971	1.0000002	-64.05231234
4	1.00000000	0.0000000	1.00000003	-61.00220215
5	9.43558497	-7.71260752	1.00000005	-57.94515995
6	29.96689704	165.32806914	1.00000005	-54.89504976
7	29.09805830	-41.08846643	1.00000006	-51.84493974
8	13.31804512	98.82068654	1.00000007	-48.79482955
9	19,44078709	161.00841345	1.00000007	-45.74125344
10	78.68675654	-19.53867966	1.00000007	-42.69114342
11	107.54048735	163.88361429	1.0000008	-39.64103322
12	48,95462277	-11.96178773	1.0000008	-36.59092320
13	19,44467704	-25,15691802	1.0000008	-33.54081318
14	78,70462223	155,40061162	1.0000008	-30,49070299
15	107.53002011	-28.01495331	1.0000007	-27.44059296
16	48,92992938	147.83268927	1.00000007	-24.39048277
17	9,43734755	143,54431404	1.0000007	-21.34383883
18	29 98483591	-29.47312681	1.00000006	-18,29372864
10	29 17239025	176 94249612	1.00000005	-15,24361862
20	13 36608222	36 88428054	1,00000005	-12,19350843
21	2 15411472	-60 36703826	1 00000004	-9.15033024
22	A 150A66A3	129 73/00621	1 00000003	-6 10022021
22	2 20110012	120.73403321	1 00000000	-3 05011002
23	3.30449043		1.00000001	0.00001002
24	1.0002/1/9	133.023031/2	1.0000000	0.0000000
		NORMAL 1ZATI	ON FACTORS	
	.22668009E+00	69.11166184	.99999992E+00	79.81636349

-221-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 11

PRESUMED TARGET				
	SPEED(MF	PH) TRACK ANGLE	(DEG) AZ IMUTH	DEG)
	3(). 180.	0.	, · ,
			•••	
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE (DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	2,13260979	-165,27321074	1.0000000	-140.30506460
$\overline{2}$	4,14890505	6.37099393	1.00000005	-134,20484455
3	3,30332572	-177.93407708	1.00000010	-128,10462434
4	1,00000000	0,00000000	1.00000014	-122.00440412
5	9,48953779	-7.30400908	1.0000018	-115.89725174
Ğ	30.09542517	166.36332121	1.00000021	-109.79703153
7	28.49497916	-38.19572113	1.0000024	-103.69681148
8	12,28249540	100.87191235	1.00000026	-97.59659126
9	19.51281548	162.22632162	1.00000028	-91.49290513
10	79.03563313	-18,27987508	1.0000029	-85,39268492
11	108.18766673	164.81120776	1.00000030	-79.29246470
12	49.28855131	-11.44446510	1.00000031	-73.19224449
13	19.52422369	-23.66414742	1.00000031	-67.09202411
14	79.07868644	156.88915845	1.0000030	-60,99180389
15	108.08807207	-26.17286621	1.00000029	-54.89158385
16	49.15551040	150.09362905	1.0000028	-48.79136363
17	9.49271338	145.76933114	1.00000026	-42.69460950
18	30.14409400	-27.78332152	1.0000024	-36.59438929
19	28.76602351	176.86977754	1.00000021	-30.49416907
20	12.48912427	37.21774920	1.00000018	-24.39394886
21	2.12834009	-56.56345420	1.00000014	-18.30066064
22	4.14374899	131.96368975	1.00000010	-12.20044043
23	3.30221216	-43.64520856	1.00000005	-6.10022021
24	1.00033142	138.46166937	1.00000000	0.0000000
			N FACTORS	
	.49201438F+00	70.67149442	.99999969F+00	114,89262968
		1000121011E		

-222-
TABLE XI

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 17

PRESUMED TARGET SPEED(MPH) TRACK ANGLE(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG) 0. 180. 0.

	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	6 38902541	- 01665903	1.0000000	0.0000000
2	20 33210521	179 98364683	1,00000000	0,00000000
3	15,95555528	01555233	1.00000000	0.00000000
ă	6,49832476	179.98223047	1.00000000	.01039809
5	19.61531963	01741560	1.00000000	.01039809
6	13.22287777	179.98109105	1.00000000	.01039809
7	2,74721497	02381101	1.00000000	.01733026
8	7.02716780	179.97585172	1.0000000	.01733026
9	5.72980993	01786805	1.0000000	.01733026
10	1.00000000	0.0000000	1.0000000	.02079617
11	1.60125579	-179.99143413	1.00000000	.02079617
12	1.49912085	.02052395	1.00000000	.02079617
13	3.78032142	179.98789449	1.00000000	.02079617
14	10.94315880	01241474	1.0000000	.02079617
15	6.67542452	179.98324880	1.0000000	.02079617
16	6.80831920	01528691	1.0000000	.01733026
17	17.67043394	179.98499324	1.00000000	.01733026
18	12.65721924	01290096	1.00000000	.01733026
19	9.00888017	179.98352990	1.00000000	.01039809
20	26.35932460	01661384	1.00000000	.01039809
21	17.66814200	179.98208749	1,00000000	.01039809
22	7.06936796	01640813	1.00000000	0.0000000
23	21.59802739	179.98388544	1.00000000	0.0000000
24	16.61363859	01537358	1.00000000	0.00000000
		NORMAL IZATI	ON FACTORS	
	.96150024E-07	44.73412878	.1000000E+01	44.72969905

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 17

	SPEED (ME	PRESUMED TRACK ANGL	TARGET E(DEG) AZ IMUTH	DEG)
		3. 180.	0.	,
NO.	OPTIMUM MAGNITUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)	TARGET MAGNITUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)
12345678901121345678901121345678901121345678901121345678900112134567890011213456789000000000000000000000000000000000000	3.84860368 9.24223073 6.50365033 6.51831389 23.28241296 17.28067511 2.47586679 1.0000000 2.33774476 2.86257986 13.98593408 11.50340300 2.39693998 10.82098036 8.80560020 3.31928791 9.26708496 7.69656823 6.40093290	124.97068864 -37.50971869 154.18835183 -74.64314245 103.00447533 -78.32834763 99.20951557 0.00000000 -117.64141857 -111.56713956 81.34247706 -97.24984730 -17.86906136 129.39541975 -59.43814586 170.01575952 33.71215175 -132.33492852 -44.13603056 129.95500274	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0000000\\ 1.0000000\\ 1.0000000\\ 1.0000000\\ 1.0000001\\ 1.0000001\\ 1.0000001\\ 1.0000000000$	-18.70734187 -17.89397908 -17.08061647 -16.25685576 -15.44349315 -14.63013036 -13.80983558 -12.99647296 -12.18311017 -11.36628147 -10.55291869 -9.73955607 -8.92619345 -8.11283067 -7.29946805 -6.48957135 -5.67620857 -4.86284595 -4.05641533
21 22 23	16.29075448 3.69379061 8.85700567	-53.86711369 144.97696382 -15.61831041	1.00000000 1.00000000	-2.42968976 -1.62672540 -81336262
24	6.28435954	175.65676052	1.00000000	0.00000000

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .25802649E-06 -138.36363249 .99999999E+00 54.08337006

1

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 17

.

		PRESUMED 1	TARGET	
	SPEED(MI	PH) TRACK ANGLE	E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG)
	1	5. 180.	0.	,
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
		(,		· · · · · · ·
1	1,75195088	-78,88955827	1.00000000	-93,53670985
2	3.14039213	111.20655501	1.0000002	-89.46989626
3	1.64470373	-57,48710828	1.00000004	-85,40308284
4	3,80587952	83,24282019	1.00000006	-81.32587150
5	13,29726276	-93.03504514	1.00000008	-77.25905791
6	9.82072428	88,20260945	1.00000009	-73,19224449
7	2.08100020	-117.83580284	1.00000011	-69,11849890
8	3,84046548	21.67011258	1.00000012	-65.05168548
ğ	2,62768423	175,84486433	1.00000013	-60,98487189
10	2,56914805	65.73210749	1.00000013	-56,91459239
11	9,46122770	-95,47651264	1.00000014	-52.84777913
12	7,29877326	90,13499637	1.00000014	-48,78096554
13	2.34314253	-157.82736955	1.00000014	-44.71415212
14	8,03220057	-2.88083774	1.00000014	-40.64733854
15	6.09596396	168,93293270	1.00000013	-36,58052512
16	2.03956204	30,06966379	1.00000013	-32,51717778
17	5,24823426	-109.25925470	1.00000012	-28,45036419
18	4.06802617	87.34980985	1.00000011	-24.38355077
19	3.54115241	179.14680377	1.00000009	-20.32366918
20	12.31831197	-6.90373682	1.0000008	-16.25685593
21	9.05746563	170,95560923	1.00000006	-12.19004234
22	1.52345960	-14.81303242	1.00000005	-8.13362701
23	2.36169654	169.55541755	1.0000002	-4.06681359
24	1.0000000	0.0000000	1.0000000	0.0000000
	2222220005 05		JN FAULUKS	01 40005414
	.23253800E-05	11.64//0/46	.99999980E+00	91.49805414

-225-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 17

		PRESUMED	TARGET	
	SPEED(MF	PH) TRACK ANGLE	E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG)
	3(). 180.	0.	,
			•••	
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	1.67147447	-103.50212419	1.00000000	172,92658048
2	2.93996232	86.01225219	1.00000009	-178.93979268
3	1.49319073	-84.00269215	1.00000017	-170.80616584
4	4.14425160	55.13334035	1.0000025	-162.66214075
5	13.78637481	-120.22921442	1.0000031	-154.52851374
6	9.99959280	61.48162939	1.0000037	-146.39488673
7	2.60482633	-139.50955733	1.0000042	-138.25432772
8	5,73480900	11,94975418	1.00000046	-130,12070088
- ğ	3.80280285	175.73536123	1.00000049	-121.98707404
10	3.32349815	59.72235275	1.00000052	-113.84998111
11	10.72790244	-104.11111065	1.00000053	-105.71635410
12	7.86714779	81.74947804	1.00000054	-97.58272726
13	3,24499989	-146.84245194	1.00000054	-89,44910025
14	10.14779959	15.55928464	1.00000053	-81.31547324
15	7.34438547	-171.08977358	1.00000052	-73.18184623
16	2.52839892	54.06330827	1.00000049	-65.05168548
17	6.12805023	-96.18259887	1.00000046	-56,91805847
18	4.31698599	98.50346686	1.0000042	-48.78443163
19	3,95870679	-143.62737538	1.00000037	-40.65773662
20	13.12274829	30.88460644	1.0000031	-32,52410961
21	9.49319623	-151.17764026	1.00000025	-24.39048277
22	1.48837962	13.51789470	1.00000017	-16,26725385
23	2,34408406	-173.32127223	1.00000009	-8.13362701
24	1.00000000	0.0000000	1.00000000	0.0000000
		NORMALIZATI	ON FACTORS	
	.50217630E-05	5.50820620	.99999946E+00	138.26640906

-226-

TABLE XII

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 2

	PRESUMED TARGET	
SPEED(MPH)	TRACK ANGLE(DEG)	AZ IMUTH(DEG)
O.	180.	30.

	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	2.71140734	-132.29278585	1.33342172	.00000000
2	1.00926901	105.44049271	1.00000000	0.0000000
3	6.51789090	47.99687707	1.33345757	-176.97649766
4	5.77189519	-125.47774923	1.00003635	-176.97649766
5	9.67435849	-131.45212071	1.33348789	6.04440562
6	9.84374115	51.71391275	1.00006857	6.04440562
7	12.37688169	49.38581907	1.33351271	-170.93729066
8	13.39675047	-128.78890511	1.00009665	-170.93729066
9	14.37150536	-129.72015130	1.33353201	12.07841383
10	16.00432105	51.42717699	1.00012059	12.07841383
11	15.89315545	51.20995944	1.33354579	-164.90848124
12	18.00153529	-128.11747644	1.00014040	-164.90848124
13	16.71693022	-127.84620512	1.33355406	18.10202429
14	19.08141316	52.46951386	1.00015608	18.10202429
15	17.05924494	53.10345549	1.33355682	-158.89006957
16	19.52994502	-126.90186090	1.00016762	-158.89006957
17	16.70703181	-125.94737510	1.33355406	24.11523683
18	19.06843253	53.72612263	1.0001/502	24.11523683
19	15.8/4296/8	54.99402558	1.333545/9	-152.88205616
20	1/.9/6/959/	-125.68980043	1.00017829	-152.88205616
21	14.345968/9	-124.0/92/34/	1.33353201	30.11805128
22	15.9/0/8614	54./6111291	1.0001//42	30.11805128
23	12.34/42/53	56.8093/4/4	1.333512/1	-146.8844411/
24	13.35801336	-125.03026136	1.0001/242	-146.8844411/
25	9.64558195	-122.35901037	1.33348/90	36,11046698
26	9.805/8/60	54.45541441	1.00016328	36.11046698
27	6.49411697	58.18342643	1.33345758	-140.89/22460
28	5.74039194	-128.38094299	1.00015001	-140.89/22460
29	2.6999/197	-121.5354/893	1.33342175	42.09248392
30	1.00000000	0.0000000	1.00013260	42.09248392

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .16846121E-07 -8.38783933 .74987431E+00 -156.35900170

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 2

	PRESUMED TARGET	
SPEED(MPH)	TRACK ANGLE(DEG)	AZ IMUTH(DEG)
3.	180.	30.

	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	1.08344118	-157.85087513	1.33342117	-1.05655398
2	1.00000000	0.0000000	1.00000000	0.0000000
3	2.56750413	23.89261917	1.33345786	-175.92000570
4	2.97482712	-163.53845781	1.00003693	-174.86344635
5	3.77129319	-154.05349570	1.33348891	9.21396330
6	4.56909600	20.77444441	1.00006962	10.27052751
7	4.81286756	27.72531640	1.33351432	-165.65464698
8	5.96738045	-156.44900955	1.00009809	-164.5980///4
9	5.58359936	-150.49292349	1.33353408	19.4/416330
10	7.00879222	25.76337830	1.00012232	20.530/3/56
11	6.17420603	31.23585164	1.33354820	-155.399605/1
12	7.81466484	-152.27301855	1.00014232	-154.34302658
13	6.49761114	-147.04130783	1.33355668	29./2404534
14	8.27167277	29.50511455	1.00015809	30.78062950
15	6.63495005	34.65912910	1.33355952	-145.15488256
16	8.48241667	-148.82617727	1.00016963	-144.09829354
17	6.50521568	-143.65965789	1.333556/1	39.96360959
18	8.34552895	32.68285880	1.0001/694	41.02020381
19	6.19018684	38.00085515	1.33354827	-134.9204/78/
20	7.96275362	-145.92976863	1.00018001	-133.8638/862
21	5.60660423	-140.38318270	1.33353418	50.19285539
22	7.23109872	35.1/9/63/4	1.0001/886	51.24945950
23	4.84580385	41.1/4///65	1.33351445	-124.09039113
24	6.2655/694	-144.02650485	1.0001/34/	-123.039/0210
25	3.80976290	-137.39403690	1.33348908	60.411/8240
26	4.94460580	35.86918000	1.00016385	01.40839050
27	2.62199/00	43.59084185	1.3334580/	-114.48202352
28	3.43628718	-146.09996818	1.00015000	-113.42600450
29	1.11872275	-135.92954782	1.33342142	70.02039001
30	1.56766848	20./5/92355	1.00013193	/1.0//01449

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .84993210E-07 -50.23815085 .74987279E+00 -170.62248314

WEIGHTS FOD CASE 2

		WEIGHIS FUR	CLASE Z	
	SPEED(MPH) 15.	PRESUMED 1 TRACK ANGLE 180.	TARGET E(DEG) AZ IMUTH 30.	(DEG)
NO	OPTIMUM WEIG	HTS SE(DEC)	TARGET	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)
110.	mountions inr	UL (DLU)	MAGNITOPE	THASE (DEO)
1	1.00000000 0	0.00000000	1.33341890	-5,28277393
2	1,15272544 176	.24118087	1,00000000	0.0000000
3	2.39106318 -176	5.15796156	1.33345909	-171.69403654
4	3.02348001 1	,95070086	1.00003930	-166.41123813
5	3.54551718 8	8.82849338	1.33349310	21.89220054
6	4.55850842 -172	.66056139	1.00007397	27.17502359
7	4.56930071 -166	.28327385	1.33352095	-144.52406237
8	5.92899721 12	2.40772105	1.00010402	-139.24121484
9	5.35020472 18	3.77033802	1.33354263	49.05717423
10	6.98050291 -162	.44789951	1.00012944	54.34004640
11	5.94905091 -156	5.22155033	1.33355813	-117.36408949
12	7.79264115 22	2.61191785	1.00015023	-112.08119284
13	6.28961775 28	3.84141701	1.33356747	76.21214664
14	8.26700881 -152	2.30182156	1.00016640	81.49506759
15	6.42549093 -146	5.10962990	1.33357064	-90.21411790
16	8.46986280 32	2.75490630	1.00017793	-84.93117213
17	6.29659429 38	3.93531221	1.33356764	103.35711725
18	8.32607846 -142	2.21341712	1.00018485	108.64008732
19	5.96322768 -136	5.00988602	1.33355847	-63.07414809
20	7.90956093 42	2.81443990	1.00018713	-57.79115338
21	5.37045420 48	3.98026507	1.33354313	130.49208591
22	7 15271515 -132	25514211	1.00018479	135,77510526

12	7.79264115	22.61191785	1.00015023	-112.08119284
13	6.28961775	28.84141701	1.33356747	76.21214664
14	8.26700881	-152.30182156	1.00016640	81.49506759
15	6.42549093	-146.10962990	1.33357064	-90.21411790
16	8.46986280	32.75490630	1.00017793	-84.93117213
17	6.29659429	38.93531221	1.33356764	103.35711725
18	8.32607846	-142.21341712	1.00018485	108.64008732
19	5.96322768	-136.00988602	1.33355847	-63.07414809
20	7.90956093	42.81443990	1.00018713	-57.79115338
21	5.37045420	48,98026507	1.33354313	130.49208591
22	7.15271515	-132.25514211	1.00018479	135.77510526
23	4.59665290	-125.99621402	1.33352163	-35.94418041
24	6.15296929	52.67885496	1.00017783	-30.66113641
25	3.57660159	58.84163240	1.33349395	157.61705277
26	4.82914685	-122.67456694	1.00016623	162,90012108
27	2.43107661	-116.30689975	1.33346012	-8.82421486
28	3.33590473	61.83282640	1.00015001	-3.54112208
29	1.02534482	67.38320746	1.33342011	-175.26798315
30	1.49766764	-116.08429005	1.00012917	-169.98486573

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .29904465E-06 107.19927541 .74986654E+00 132.32357383

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 2

		PRESUMED	TARGET	
	SPEED(MI	PH) TRACK ANGL	E(DEG) AZ IMUTH((DEG)
	30). 180.	30.	
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
				1.0
1	1.00000000	0.00000000	1.33341596	-10.5655545/
2	1.11280115	1/8.53/4/995	1.0000000	0.00000000
3	2.45/24025	-169.95916934	1.333460/3	-166.41156938
4	3.0/201/55	8.541980/1	1.00004242	-155.84596653
5	3.74624665	22.33/61689	1.33349864	37.74001306
6	4.78801083	-159.00484573	1.00007969	48.30566386
7	4.91957168	-145.58859320	1.33352970	-118.11080776
8	6.36428137	33.12618649	1.00011182	-107.54510868
9	5.86743413	46.89564697	1.33355389	86.03596849
10	7.64557872	-134.34103449	1.00013880	96.60171552
11	6.58353678	-120.79279136	1.33357122	-69.81965868
12	8.62642186	58.00357801	1.00016064	-59.25386354
13	7.02617342	71.67543585	1.33358169	134.32231123
14	9.24793726	-109.49763079	1.00017733	144.88815447
15	7.17708927	-95.91278678	1.33358530	-21.53812230
16	9.48612864	82.93989863	1.00018888	-10.97223094
17	7.03667497	96.49809547	1.33358204	-177.40095908
18	9.33884138	-84.61918231	1.00019528	-166.83501961
19	6.60414892	-71.03017153	1.33357193	26.73380088
20	8.80392018	107.87953261	1.00019654	37.29978863
21	5.89713486	121.28202599	1.33355496	-129.13384242
22	7.90351428	-59.76713552	1.00019265	-118.56780672
23	4.95769250	-46.21813508	1.33353112	74.99611085
24	6.69051968	132.77704314	1.00018361	85.56219466
25	3.78945371	145.86395831	1.33350043	-80.87633930
26	5.17072468	-35.05500963	1.00016943	-70.31020738
27	2.50870911	-21.73699148	1.33346288	123.24880712
28	3.49410340	157.50021807	1.00015011	133.81498698
29	1.03296634	168.34272062	1.33341847	-32.62845006
30	1.55310570	-11.66947176	1.00012564	-22.06222208
		NORMALIZATI	ON FACTORS	
	.26581714E-06	53.58549204	.74985830E+00	61.00610526

TABLE XIII

,

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 20

		PRESUMED	TARGET	
	SPEED(MF	PH) TRACK ANGL	E(DEG) AZ IMUTH	(DEG)
	(). 180.	60.	()
	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE (DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	1.00000000	0.00000000	64.00787339	0.0000000
2	3.65185768	-162,97779319	16.00131220	0.0000000
3	4,74301424	30,25356427	4,00016402	0.00000000
4	2.09537893	-140.54986905	1.00000000	0.0000000
5	2,10449611	153.06671736	64.01051124	-43.02152686
6	8,53146090	-29.45175206	16.00223410	-43.02152686
7	11,21234923	149,89404946	4,00046010	-43.02152686
8	4,81242848	-29,66945385	1,00009042	-43.02152686
ğ	2,69114394	-33,96710222	64.01235516	-86.04392024
10	10,16297076	150.05495752	16,00295755	-86.04392024
11	12,86199915	-27,19537666	4,00070658	-86.04392024
12	5.43416149	154,65906541	1,00016844	-86.04392024
13	2,64891624	145.81260587	64,01340510	-129.06717980
14	9,92862295	-37.69746544	16.00348252	-129.06717980
15	12.52765625	140.12272612	4,00090344	-129.06717980
16	5,29176644	-41,07066689	1.00023406	-129.06717980
17	2,22447577	-42,50202495	64.01366102	-172.09130622
18	9,05445971	140.37515494	16,00380899	-172.09130622
19	11,93508326	-38, 38978413	4,00105069	-172.09130622
20	5,13501839	141,90627089	1.00028728	-172.09130622
21	1.12950917	117.05882499	64.01312292	144.88370100
22	4.08763216	-76,98094057	16.00393696	144,88370100
23	5,19337832	91,64308815	4.00114831	144.88370100
24	2.24541605	-96,62195548	1.00032809	144,88370100
<u> </u>	212.0.2000			
		NORMAL IZATI	ION FACTORS	
	.27433707E-05	-15.31012076	.39054580E-02	-27.69362938

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 20

	PRESUMED TARGET	
SPEED(MPH)	TRACK ANGLE(DEG)	AZ IMUTH(DEG)
3.	180.	60.

	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	1.05771667	-174.51020990	64.00779945	91501448
2	3.36231820	15.94285772	16.00130140	61000960
3	3.77661856	-153.74599487	4.00016286	30500488
4	1.48919460	35.14405910	1.00000000	0.0000000
5	2.59249960	1.68968099	64.01043857	-42.71654075
6	10.71557400	178.37334525	16.00222039	-42.41153335
7	14.21322742	-2.93879660	4.00045741	-42.10652612
8	6.12019518	176.84857538	1.00008984	-41.80151872
9	2.78707623	-174.37719614	64.01227764	-84.51891359
10	10.54326925	11.80421059	16.00293940	-84.21390384
11	13.44701853	-164.56133766	4.00070197	-83.90889410
12	5.72784352	17.31138891	1.00016718	-83.60388419
13	2.83862663	-1.34651012	64.01331661	-126.32213317
14	10.82098556	172.80834430	16.00345841	-126.01712091
15	13.84454638	-10.44163628	4.00089654	-125,71210865
16	5.89858566	168.06658506	1.00023202	-125.40709639
17	2.58389186	-175.62129494	64.01355543	-168.12619932
18	10.67972335	8.05823952	16.00377738	-167.82118455
19	14.17208616	-170.32928183	4.00104110	-167.51616977
20	6.10585256	10.15716881	1.00028437	-167.21115500
21	1.00000000	0.00000000	64.01299411	150.06888812
22	3.10655560	168.99896769	16.00389632	150.37390525
23	3.41574840	-22.26734761	4.00113566	150.67892237
24	1.32704419	147.78656823	1.00032422	150.98393966

	NORMALIZATION	FACTORS	
.42255262E-05	134.29074367	.39054633E-02	-30.28616996

-232-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 20

	PRESUMED TARGET	
SPEED(MPH)	TRACK ANGLE(DEG)	AZ IMUTH(DEG)
15.	180.	60.

	OPTIMUM	WEIGHTS	TARGET	WEIGHTS
NO.	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)	MAGNITUDE	PHASE(DEG)
1	1.04020771	122.07316632	64.00750323	-4.57507677
2	3.31132564	-56.85735408	16.00125810	-3.05005085
3	3.65761798	124.15639640	4.00015821	-1.52502526
4	1.39871158	-54.83271170	1.00000000	0.00000000
5	2.45894513	-41.90389394	64.01014800	-41.49659531
6	10.36325806	133.98104687	16.00216560	-39.97155765
7	13.87998098	-47.89445945	4.00044666	-38.44652049
8	6.00217142	131.38900705	1.00008750	-36.92148317
9	2.37109318	155.29320159	64.01196799	-78.41888331
10	9.09854825	-16.34176347	16.00286693	-76.89383391
11	11.75765650	168.00716614	4.00068357	-75.36878468
12	5.04570950	-10.26091508	1.00016213	-73.84373579
13	2.41797063	-34.16644754	64.01296315	-115.34194060
14	9.33315650	137.41918004	16.00336208	-113.81687947
15	12.09534336	-46.99000175	4.00086895	-112.29181867
16	5.19583074	131.17048487	1.00022387	-110.76675788
17	2.46869466	164.32323814	64.01313343	-152.26576718
18	10.41110843	-11.58392627	16.00365101	-150.74069431
19	13.94410197	170.20611439	4.00100277	-149.21562161
20	6.02742302	-9.19808224	1.00027272	-147.69054892
21	1.00000000	0.00000000	64.01247885	170.80963679
22	3.10712364	178.92629526	16.00373373	172.33472155
23	3.34388380	-1.97140594	4.00108505	173.85980615
24	1.24834887	177.18343687	1.00030869	175.38489042
		NORMALIZAT	ION FACTORS	
	.12230442E-04	169.54337855	.39054844E-02	-40.65633802

-233-

WEIGHTS FOR CASE 20

PRESUMED TARGET					
SPEED(MF	H) TRACK ANGL	E(DEG) AZ IMUTH(DEG)		
30). 180.	60.			
OPT IMUM MAGN I TUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)	TARGET MAGNITUDE	WEIGHTS PHASE(DEG)		
$\begin{array}{c} 1.00938954\\ 3.28856560\\ 3.72370117\\ 1.45621563\\ 2.19650286\\ 9.40000394\\ 12.68847562\\ 5.50759723\\ 2.03043547\\ 7.83784011\\ 10.19186884\\ 4.38693181\\ 2.06091558\\ 7.97394942\\ 10.39086281\\ 4.48008757\\ 2.22710209\\ 9.53039953\\ 12.85233634\end{array}$	94.93979208 -85.80092230 93.52249261 -86.88293885 -64.33752707 111.08867139 -71.03143041 108.07618631 140.64134996 -29.59671829 155.28589860 -22.92844057 -45.09111912 124.81491452 -60.40607158 117.44738440 160.37187637 -15.29911201 166.56064946	$\begin{array}{c} 64.00713198\\ 16.00120381\\ 4.00015237\\ 1.0000000\\ 64.00978506\\ 16.00209723\\ 4.00043326\\ 1.00008460\\ 64.01158193\\ 16.00277662\\ 4.00066065\\ 1.00015584\\ 64.01252252\\ 16.00324196\\ 4.00083453\\ 1.00021369\\ 64.01260680\\ 16.00349322\\ 4.00095489\\ 4.00095489\\ \end{array}$	-9.15016428 -6.10010890 -3.05005403 0.0000000 -39.97166092 -36.92158341 -33.87150642 -30.82143025 -70.79383565 -67.74373585 -64.69363673 -61.64353844 -101.61668831 -98.56656639 -95.51644513 -92.46632488 -132.44021874 -129.39007469 -126.33993164		
5.57082212 1.00000000 3.20474434 3.56720674 1.37372026	-12.82368004 0.00000000 -179.65131948 .79562772 -178.92318138	64.01183478 16.00353041 4.00102174 1.00028927	-123.28978892 -163.26442726 -160.21426108 -157.16409573 -154.11393106		
	SPEED(MF 30 0PTIMUM MAGNITUDE 1.00938954 3.28856560 3.72370117 1.45621563 2.19650286 9.40000394 12.68847562 5.50759723 2.03043547 7.83784011 10.19186884 4.38693181 2.06091558 7.97394942 10.39086281 4.48008757 2.22710209 9.53039953 12.85233634 5.57082212 1.0000000 3.20474434 3.56720674 1.37372026	PRESUMED SPEED(MPH) TRACK ANGL 30. 180. OPTIMUM WEIGHTS MAGNITUDE PHASE(DEG) 1.00938954 94.93979208 3.28856560 -85.80092230 3.72370117 93.52249261 1.45621563 -86.88293885 2.19650286 -64.33752707 9.40000394 111.08867139 12.68847562 -71.03143041 5.50759723 108.07618631 2.03043547 140.64134996 7.83784011 -29.59671829 10.19186884 155.28589860 4.38693181 -22.92844057 2.06091558 -45.09111912 7.97394942 124.81491452 10.39086281 -60.40607158 4.48008757 117.44738440 2.22710209 160.37187637 9.53039953 -15.29911201 12.85233634 166.56064946 5.57082212 -12.82368004 1.0000000 0.00000000 3.20474434 -179.65131948 3.56720674 .79562772 1.37372026 -178.92318138	PRESUMED TARGETSPEED(MPH) TRACK ANGLE(DEG) AZ IMUTH(30.180.60.OPTIMUM WE IGHTSTARGETMAGNITUDEPHASE(DEG)MAGNITUDE1.0093895494.9397920864.007131983.28856560-85.8009223016.001203813.7237011793.522492614.000152371.45621563-86.882938851.000000002.19650286-64.3375270764.009785069.40000394111.0886713916.0020972312.68847562-71.031430414.000433265.50759723108.076186311.000084602.03043547140.6413499664.011581937.83784011-29.5967182916.0027766210.19186884155.285898604.000660654.38693181-22.928440571.000155842.06091558-45.0911191264.012522527.97394942124.8149145216.0032419610.39086281-60.406071584.000834534.48008757117.447384401.000213692.22710209160.3718763764.012608209.5303953-15.2991120116.0034932212.85233634166.560649464.000954895.57082212-12.823680041.000258171.00000000.0000000064.011834783.20474434-179.6513194816.003530413.56720674.795627724.001021741.37372026-178.923181381.00028927		

NORMALIZATION FACTORS .22371891E-04 -179.01067871 .39055108E-02 -53.61905973

-234-

Appendix F

· .

Tabulations of the Unnormalized Response to Other Boresight Targets for Selected Cases from Table I

TABLE XIV

CASE 5 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
3.	180.	0.	-58.5

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

I

TADOET			TANGE			(220)			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
0.	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9
	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9
	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9
	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9	-58.9
3.	-59.6	-59.6	-59.5	-59.5	-59.4	-59.3	-59.2	-59.1	-59.0
	-58.9	-58.8	-58.7	-58.7	-58.6	-58.6	-58.6	-58.6	-58.6
	-58.5	-58.6	-58.6	-58.6	-58.6	-58.6	-58.7	-58.7	-58.8
	-58.9	-59.0	-59.1	-59.2	-59.3	-59.4	-59.5	-59.5	-59.6
6.	-60.6	-60.6	-60.5	-60.3	-60.1	-59.8	-59.6	-59.3	-59.1
	-58.9	-58.7	-58.6	-58.5	-58.5	-58.5	-58.5	-58.5	-58.5
	-58.5	-58.5	-58.5	-58.5	-58.5	-58.5	-58.5	-58.6	-58.7
	-58.9	-59.1	-59.3	-59.6	-59.8	-60.1	-60.3	-60.5	-60.6
9.	-62.0	-62.0	-61.7	-61.4	-61.0	-60.5	-60.0	-59.6	-59.2
	-58.9	-58.7	-58.5	-58.5	-58.5	-58.6	-58.7	-58.7	-58.8
	-58.8	-58.8	-58.7	-58.7	-58.6	-58.5	-58.5	-58.5	-58.7
	-58.9	-59.2	-59.6	-60.0	-60.5	-61.0	-61.4	-61.7	-62.0
12.	-64.0	-63.9	-63.5	-62.9	-62.2	-61.4	-60.6	-59.9	-59.3
	-58.9	-58.6	-58.5	-58.5	-58.7	-58.9	-59.1	-59.3	-59.4
	-59.5	-59.4	-59.3	-59.1	-58.9	-58.7	-58.5	-58.5	-58.6
	-58.9	-59.3	-59.9	-60.6	-61.4	-62.2	-62.9	-63.5	-63.9
15.	-66.7	-66.4	-65.8	-64.8	-63.6	-62.4	-61.3	-60.3	-59.5
	-58.9	-58.6	-58.5	-58.6	-58.9	-59.3	-59.8	-60.1	-60.4
	-60.5	-60.4	-60.1	-59.8	-59.3	-58.9	-58.6	-58.5	-58.6
	-58.9	-59.5	-60.3	-61.3	-62.4	-63.6	-64.8	-65.8	-66.4
18.	-70.4 -58.9 -62.0	-70.0 -58.5 -61.8	-68.9 -58.5 -61.4	-67.3 -58.8 -60.7	-65.5 -59.3 -60.0	-63.7 -60.0 -59.3	-62.0 -60.7 -58.8 -67 3	-60.7 -61.4 -58.5	-59.6 -61.8 -58.5 -70.0

CASE 5

UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
3.	180.	0.	-58.5

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADOCT			TANGL			(LLJ)			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
21.	-76.4	-75.6	-73.5	-70.7	-67.9	-65.2	-63.0	-61.1	-59.8
	-58.9	-58.5	-58.6	-59.1	-59.9	-61.0	-62.1	-63.1	-63.8
	-64.0	-63.8	-63.1	-62.1	-61.0	-59.9	-59.1	-58.6	-58.5
	-58.9	-59.8	-61.1	-63.0	-65.2	-67.9	-70.7	-73.5	-75.6
24.	-88.8	-87.1	-81.6	-75.8	-71.0	-67.1	-64.0	-61.6	-59.9
	-58.9	-58.5	-58.7	-59.5	-60.7	-62.2	-63.9	-65.4	-66.5
	-66.9	-66.5	-65.4	-63.9	-62.2	-60.7	-59.5	-58.7	-58.5
	-58.9	-59.9	-61.6	-64.0	-67.1	-71.0	-75.8	-81.6	-87.1
27.	-80.1	-81.3	-86.0	-85.7	-75.6	-69.5	-65.2	-62.2	-60.1
	-58.9	-58.5	-58.9	-59.9	-61.6	-63.8	-66.2	-68.7	-70.6
	-71.3	-70.6	-68.7	-66.2	-63.8	-61.6	-59.9	-58.9	-58.5
	-58.9	-60.1	-62.2	-65.2	-69.5	-75.6	-85.7	-86.0	-81.3
30.	-75.2	-75.7	-77.6	-83.4	-83.6	-72.6	-66.7	-62.8	-60.3
	-58.9	-58.5	-59.0	-60.5	-62.8	-65.8	-69.6	-73.8	-77.7
	-79.5	-77.7	-73.8	-69.6	-65.8	-62.8	-60.5	-59.0	-58.5
	-58.9	-60.3	-62.8	-66.7	-72.6	-83.6	-83.4	-77.6	-75.7
33.	-73.1	-73.4	-74.3	-77.0	-86.4	-77.0	-68.4	-63.5	-60.5
	-58.9	-58.5	-59.3	-61.2	-64.2	-68.6	-74.8	-84.5	-86.9
	-84.2	-86.9	-84.5	-74.8	-68.6	-64.2	-61.2	-59.3	-58.5
	-58.9	-60.5	-63.5	-68.4	-77.0	-86.4	-77.0	-74.3	-73.4
36.	-72.5	-72.5	-72.8	-74.2	-78.8	-84.4	-70.4	-64.2	-60.7
	-58.9	-58.5	-59.5	-62.0	-66.0	-72.5	-85.4	-80.6	-76.3
	-75.2	-76.3	-80.6	-85.5	-72.5	-66.0	-62.0	-59.5	-58.5
	-58.9	-60.7	-64.2	-70.4	-84.4	-78.8	-74.2	-72.8	-72.5
39.	-72.9	-72.7	-72.5	-72.9	-75.3	-87.4	-73.0	-65.1	-60.9
	-58.9	-58.6	-59.9	-62.9	-68.3	-79.0	-80.8	-74.2	-72.3
	-71.8	-72.3	-74.2	-80.8	-79.0	-68.3	-62.9	-59.9	-58.6
	-58.9	-60.9	-65.1	-73.0	-87.4	-75.3	-72.9	-72.5	-72.7

-

-237-

CASE 5 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
3.	180.	0.	-58.5

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

I	AR	GŁ	T

SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350	
42.	-74.3 -58.9 -70.3 -58.9	-73.9 -58.6 -70.5 -61.2	-73.1 -60.2 -71.5 -66.0	-72.5 -64.0 -74.6 -76.4	-73.5 -71.3 -88.3 -80.1	-80.1 -88.3 -71.3 -73.5	-76.4 -74.6 -64.0 -72.5	-66.0 -71.5 -60.2 -73.1	-61.2 -70.5 -58.6 -73.9	
45.	-77.0 -58.9 -70.0 -58.9	-76.2 -58.7 -69.9 -61.4	-74.5 -60.6 -70.2 -67.1	-72.9 -65.4 -71.8 -81.4	-72.7 -75.8 -78.6 -76.5	-76.5 -78.6 -75.8 -72.7	-81.4 -71.8 -65.4 -72.9	-67.1 -70.2 -60.6 -74.5	-61.4 -69.9 -58.7 -76.2	
48.	-81.6 -58.9 -70.6 -58.9	-80.2 -58.7 -70.3 -61.7	-77.1 -61.1 -70.0 -68.3	-74.1 -66.9 -70.4 -88.8	-72.5 -83.6 -74.1 -74.4	-74.4 -74.1 -83.6 -72.5	-88.8 -70.4 -66.9 -74.1	-68.3 -70.0 -61.1 -77.1	-61.7 -70.3 -58.7 -80.2	
51.	-88.3 -58.9 -72.2 -58.9	-86.9 -58.8 -71.7 -62.0	-81.5 -61.6 -70.6 -69.6	-76.1 -68.9 -70.0 -85.4	-72.9 -85.4 -71.7 -73.2	-73.2 -71.7 -85.4 -72.9	-85.4 -70.0 -68.9 -76.1	-69.6 -70.6 -61.6 -81.5	-62.0 -71.7 -58.8 -86.9	
54.	-83.6 -58.9 -75.1 -58.9	-85.5 -58.9 -74.2 -62.3	-88.0 -62.2 -72.0 -71.2	-79.4 -71.3 -70.2 -80.1	-73.9 -77.9 -70.5 -72.6	-72.6 -70.5 -77.9 -73.9	-80.1 -70.2 -71.3 -79.4	-71.2 -72.0 -62.2 -88.0	-62.3 -74.2 -58.9 -85.5	
57.	-79.0 -58.9 -80.2 -58.9	-80.0 -59.0 -78.4 -62.6	-84.6 -62.9 -74.6 -73.0	-84.7 -74.6 -71.2 -77.1	-75.6 -74.3 -70.0 -72.5	-72.5 -70.0 -74.3 -75.6	-77.1 -71.2 -74.6 -84.7	-73.0 -74.6 -62.9 -84.6	-62.6 -78.4 -59.0 -80.0	
60.	-76.6 -58.9 -85.3 -58.9	-77.1 -59.1 -84.7 -62.9	-79.7 -63.6 -78.9 -75.2	-88.0 -79.5 -73.0 -75.2	-78.2 -72.1 -70.1 -72.8	-72.8 -70.1 -72.1 -78.2	-75.2 -73.0 -79.5 -88.0	-75.2 -78.9 -63.6 -79.7	-62.9 -84.7 -59.1 -77.1	

CASE 5 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
15.	180.	0.	-57.1

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350	
0.	-64.5 -64.5 -64.5 -64.5									
3.	-68.1 -64.5 -61.9 -64.5	-68.1 -64.0 -62.0 -65.1	-67.9 -63.5 -62.1 -65.6	-67.6 -63.1 -62.2 -66.2	-67.2 -62.8 -62.5 -66.7	-66.7 -62.5 -62.8 -67.2	-66.2 -62.2 -63.1 -67.6	-65.6 -62.1 -63.5 -67.9	-65.1 -62.0 -64.0 -68.1	
6.	-73.7 -64.5 -60.0 -64.5	-73.4 -63.5 -60.1 -65.6	-72.8 -62.7 -60.2 -66.8	-71.9 -61.9 -60.5 -68.1	-70.7 -61.3 -60.9 -69.4	-69.4 -60.9 -61.3 -70.7	-68.1 -60.5 -61.9 -71.9	-66.8 -60.2 -62.7 -72.8	-65.6 -60.1 -63.5 -73.4	
9.	-86.0 -64.5 -58.6 -64.5	-85.0 -63.1 -58.7 -66.2	-82.4 -61.9 -58.9 -68.2	-79.2 -60.9 -59.1 -70.5	-76.1 -60.2 -59.6 -73.1	-73.1 -59.6 -60.2 -76.1	-70.5 -59.1 -60.9 -79.2	-68.2 -58.9 -61.9 -82.4	-66.2 -58.7 -63.1 -85.0	
12.	-82.0 -64.5 -57.7 -64.5	-82.8 -62.6 -57.7 -66.9	-85.5 -61.2 -57.9 -69.8	-93.5 -60.0 -58.1 -73.7	-87.6 -59.2 -58.6 -78.9	-78.9 -58.6 -59.2 -87.6	-73.7 -58.1 -60.0 -93.5	-69.8 -57.9 -61.2 -85.5	-66.9 -57.7 -62.6 -82.8	
15.	-75.6 -64.5 -57.1 -64.5	-75.9 -62.2 -57.1 -67.6	-76.8 -60.5 -57.2 -71.7	-79.0 -59.3 -57.4 -78.1	-84.3 -58.4 -57.8 -92.6	-92.6 -57.8 -58.4 -84.3	-78.1 -57.4 -59.3 -79.0	-71.7 -57.2 -60.5 -76.8	-67.6 -57.1 -62.2 -75.9	
18.	-73.3 -64.5 -56.9 -64.5	-73.4 -61.8 -56.9 -68.3	-73.9 -59.9 -56.9 -74.0	-74.9 -58.6 -57.0 -86.0	-77.3 -57.8 -57.3 -83.9	-83.9 -57.3 -57.8 -77.3	-86.0 -57.0 -58.6 -74.9	-74.0 -56.9 -59.9 -73.9	-68.3 -56.9 -61.8 -73.4	

CASE 5 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
15.	180.	0.	-57.1

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADOLT						.220)			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
21.	-72.8	-72.8	-72.8	-73.2	-74.5	-77.9	-92.2	-77.0	-69.1
	-64.5	-61.5	-59.4	-58.1	-57.3	-57.0	-56.9	-56.9	-57.0
	-57.0	-57.0	-56.9	-56.9	-57.0	-57.3	-58.1	-59.4	-61.5
	-64.5	-69.1	-77.0	-92.2	-77.9	-74.5	-73.2	-72.8	-72.8
24.	-73.5	-73.3	-73.0	-72.8	-73.2	-75.1	-82.0	-81.1	-69.9
	-64.5	-61.1	-59.0	-57.7	-57.0	-56.9	-57.0	-57.2	-57.4
	-57.5	-57.4	-57.2	-57.0	-56.9	-57.0	-57.7	-59.0	-61.1
	-64.5	-69.9	-81.1	-82.0	-75.1	-73.2	-72.8	-73.0	-73.3
27.	-75.4	-75.0	-74.2	-73.2	-72.8	-73.6	-77.9	-88.0	-70.9
	-64.5	-60.8	-58.6	-57.3	-56.9	-57.0	-57.4	-57.9	-58.3
	-58.4	-58.3	-57.9	-57.4	-57.0	-56.9	-57.3	-58.6	-50.8
	-64.5	-70.9	-88.0	-77.9	-73.6	-72.8	-73.2	-74.2	-75.0
30.	-79.0	-78.3	-76.6	-74.6	-73.1	-72.9	-75.6	-95.1	-71.9
	-64.5	-60.5	-58.2	-57.1	-56.9	-57.3	-58.1	-58.9	-59.6
	-59.8	-59.6	-58.9	-58.1	-57.3	-56.9	-57.1	-58.2	-60.5
	-64.5	-71.9	-95.1	-75.6	-72.9	-73.1	-74.6	-76.6	-78.3
33.	-86.2	-84.5	-80.8	-77.0	-74.1	-72.8	-74.2	-85.5	-73.0
	-64.5	-60.2	-57.9	-56.9	-57.0	-57.8	-59.1	-60.5	-61.5
	-61.9	-61.5	-60.5	-59.1	-57.8	-57.0	-56.9	-57.9	-60.2
	-64.5	-73.0	-85.5	-74.2	-72.8	-74.1	-77.0	-80.8	-84.5
36.	-90.8	-93.1	-89.6	-81.2	-75.9	-73.2	-73.3	-80.9	-74.3
	-64.5	-59.9	-57.6	-56.9	-57.3	-58.7	-60.6	-62.6	-64.3
	-64.9	-64.3	-62.6	-60.6	-58.7	-57.3	-56.9	-57.6	-59.9
	-64.5	-74.3	-80.9	-73.3	-73.2	-75.9	-81.2	-89.6	-93.1
39.	-81.9	-83.0	-88.1	-89.3	-78.8	-74.0	-72.9	-78.2	-75.7
	-64.5	-59.6	-57.4	-56.9	-57.8	-59.8	-62.6	-65.7	-68.5
	-69.6	-68.5	-65.7	-62.6	-59.8	-57.8	-56.9	-57.4	-59.6
	-64.5	-75.7	-78.2	-72.9	-74.0	-78.8	-89.3	-88.1	-83.0

-240-

CASE 5 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
`15 .´	180.	0.	-57.1

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

			TARGET	IRACK	(DEGF	(EES)				
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350	
42.	-78.1 -64.5 -79.7 -64.5	-78.7 -59.4 -76.5 -77.4	-81.1 -57.2 -70.6 -76.4	-89.2 -57.0 -65.4 -72.8	-83.6 -58.4 -61.3 -75.4	-75.4 -61.3 -58.4 -83.6	-72.8 -65.4 -57.0 -89.2	-76.4 -70.6 -57.2 -81.1	-77.4 -76.5 -59.4 -78.7	
45.	-76.4 -64.5 -80.0 -64.5	-76.7 -59.1 -84.8 -79.3	-77.9 -57.0 -81.6 -75.1	-81.9 -57.2 -69.6 -73.0	-92.5 -59.3 -63.2 -77.4	-77.4 -63.2 -59.3 -92.5	-73.0 -69.6 -57.2 -81.9	-75.1 -81.6 -57.0 -77.9	-79.3 -84.8 -59.1 -76.7	
48.	-75.9 -64.5 -71.6 -64.5	-75.9 -58.9 -72.9 -81.7	-76.4 -56.9 -79.5 -74.2	-78.5 -57.5 -77.4 -73.5	-87.6 -60.4 -65.9 -80.5	-80.5 -65.9 -60.4 -87.6	-73.5 -77.4 -57.5 -78.5	-74.2 -79.5 -56.9 -76.4	-81.7 -72.9 -58.9 -75.9	
51.	-76.4 -64.5 -68.5 -64.5	-76.2 -58.7 -69.1 -84.9	-75.9 -56.9 -71.8 -73.6	-76.8 -57.9 -86.3 -74.3	-81.8 -61.7 -69.8 -85.4	-85.4 -69.8 -61.7 -81.8	-74.3 -86.3 -57.9 -76.8	-73.6 -71.8 -56.9 -75.9	-84.9 -69.1 -58.7 -76.2	
54.	-77.8 -64.5 -67.1 -64.5	-77.3 -58.5 -67.3 -89.4	-76.3 -56.9 -68.6 -73.1	-76.0 -58.4 -74.0 -75.4	-78.7 -63.5 -76.5 -93.4	-93.4 -76.5 -63.5 -78.7	-75.4 -74.0 -58.4 -76.0	-73.1 -68.6 -56.9 -76.3	-89.5 -67.3 -58.5 -77.3	
57.	-80.4 -64.5 -66.9 -64.5	-79.5 -58.3 -66.9 -95.7	-77.5 -56.9 -67.2 -72.9	-76.0 -59.1 -69.9 -76.9	-77.0 -65.7 -94.0 -88.1	-88.1 -93.9 -65.7 -77.0	-76.9 -69.9 -59.1 -76.0	-72.9 -67.2 -56.9 -77.5	-95.8 -66.9 -58.3 -79.5	
60.	-84.1 -64.5 -67.7 -64.5	-82.9 -58.1 -67.3 -93.1	-79.7 -57.0 -66.9 -72.8	-76.7 -59.8 -67.9 -79.0	-76.1 -68.8 -76.1 -82.7	-82.7 -76.1 -68.8 -76.1	-79.0 -67.9 -59.8 -76.7	-72.8 -66.9 -57.0 -79.7	-93.2 -67.3 -58.1 -82.9	

-241-

CASE 5 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
30.	180.	0.	-55.5

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADOCT					(() - 0	(20)			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
0.	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6
	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6
	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6
	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6	-85.6
3.	-78.2	-78.3	-78.5	-78.8	-79.2	-79.9	-80.8	-82.0	-83.6
	-85.6	-88.3	-90.9	-91.2	-88.9	-86.4	-84.6	-83.4	-82.7
	-82.5	-82.7	-83.4	-84.6	-86.4	-88.9	-91.2	-90.9	-88.3
	-85.6	-83.6	-82.0	-80.8	-79.9	-79.2	-78.8	-78.5	-78.3
6.	-76.0	-76.0	-76.2	-76.4	-76.7	-77.3	-78.2	-79.7	-81.9
	-85.6	-91.0	-88.1	-82.5	-78.9	-76.5	-74.9	-73.8	-73.2
	-73.0	-73.2	-73.8	-74.9	-76.5	-78.9	-82.5	-88.0	-91.0
	-85.6	-81.9	-79.7	-78.2	-77.3	-76.7	-76.4	-76.2	-76.0
9.	-75.9	-75.9	-75.8	-75.7	-75.8	-76.1	-76.8	-78.1	-80.7
	-85.6	-90.9	-82.1	-76.8	-73.4	-71.2	-69.6	-68.5	-67.9
	-67.7	-67.9	-68.5	-69.6	-71.2	-73.4	-76.8	-82.1	-90.9
	-85.6	-80.7	-78.1	-76.8	-76.1	-75.8	-75.7	-75.8	-75.9
12.	-77.3	-77.2	-76.8	-76.4	-75.9	-75.7	-76.0	-77.1	-79.6
	-85.6	-87.8	-78.1	-73.0	-69.7	-67.5	-65.9	-64.9	-64.3
	-64.1	-64.3	-64.9	-65.9	-67.5	-69.7	-73.0	-78.1	-87.8
	-85.6	-79.6	-77.1	-76.0	-75.7	-75.9	-76.4	-76.8	-77.2
15.	-80.6	-80.2	-79.3	-78.1	-77.0	-76.1	-75.7	-76.4	-78.8
	-85.6	-84.6	-75.0	-70.1	-66.9	-64.7	-63.2	-62.2	-61.6
	-61.4	-61.6	-62.2	-63.2	-64.7	-66.9	-70.1	-75.0	-84.6
	-85.6	-78.8	-76.4	-75.7	-76.1	-77.0	-78.1	-79.3	-80.2
18.	-87.5	-86.6	-84.3	-81.5	-79.0	-77.0	-75.9	-76.0	-78.1
	-85.6	-81.9	-72.6	-67.7	-64.6	-62.4	-61.0	-60.1	-59.6
	-59.4	-59.6	-60.1	-61.0	-62.4	-64.6	-67.7	-72.6	-81.9
	-85.6	-78.1	-76.0	-75.9	-77.0	-79.0	-81.5	-84.3	-86.6

-242-

CASE 5 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
30.	180.	0.	-55.5

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TARGET

SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
21.	-92.8	-94.3	-95.0	-88.1	-82.4	-78.7	-76.4	-75.8	-77.5
	-85.6	-79.7	-70.6	-65.8	-62.7	-60.6	-59.3	-58.4	-58.0
	-57.8	-58.0	-58.4	-59.3	-60.6	-62.7	-65.8	-70.6	-79.7
	-85.6	-77.5	-75.8	-76.4	-78.7	-82.4	-88.1	-95.0	-94.3
24.	-83.4	-84.1	-86.8	-93.8	-88.9	-81.2	-77.3	-75.8	-77.0
	-85.6	-77.9	-68.9	-64.1	-61.1	-59.2	-57.9	-57.2	-56.8
	-56.7	-56.8	-57.2	-57.9	-59.2	-61.1	-64.1	-68.9	-77.9
	-85.6	-77.0	-75.8	-77.3	-81.2	-88.9	-93.8	-86.8	-84.1
27.	-79.6	-80.0	-81.3	-84.7	-94.2	-85.4	-78.7	-75.9	-76.7
	-85.6	-76.2	-67.4	-62.7	-59.8	-58.0	-56.9	-56.3	-56.0
	-55.9	-56.0	-56.3	-56.9	-58.0	-59.8	-62.7	-67.4	-76.2
	-85.6	-76.7	-75.9	-78.7	-85.4	-94.3	-84.7	-81.3	-80.0
30.	-77.8	-78.0	-78.7	-80.6	-85.6	-93.0	-80.6	-76.3	-76.3
	-85.6	-74.8	-66.1	-61.4	-58.7	-57.0	-56.2	-55.7	-55.5
	-55.5	-55.5	-55.7	-56.2	-57.0	-58.7	-61.4	-66.1	-74.8
	-85.6	-76.3	-76.3	-80.6	-93.0	-85.6	-80.6	-78.7	-78.0
33.	-77.4	-77.4	-77.6	-78.5	-81.4	-91.8	-83.3	-76.8	-76.1
	-85.6	-73.6	-64.9	-60.4	-57.8	-56.3	-55.7	-55.4	-55.4
	-55.4	-55.4	-55.4	-55.7	-56.3	-57.8	-60.4	-64.9	-73.6
	-85.6	-76.1	-76.8	-83.3	-91.8	-81.4	-78.5	-77.6	-77.4
36.	-77.9	-77.7	-77.4	-77.5	-79.1	-85.2	-87.5	-77.5	-75.9
	-85.6	-72.4	-63.8	-59.4	-57.0	-55.8	-55.4	-55.4	-55
	-55.6	-55.6	-55.4	-55.4	-55.8	-57.0	-59.4	-63.8	-7^
	-85.6	-75.9	-77.5	-87.5	-85.2	-79.1	-77.5	-77.4	-77.7
39.	-79.5	-79.1	-78.2	-77.4	-77.9	-81.7	-94.0	-78.5	-75.8
	-85.6	-71.4	-62.8	-58.6	-56.4	-55.5	-55.4	-55.7	-56.1
	-56.3	-56.1	-55.7	-55.4	-55.5	-56.4	-58.6	-62.8	-71.4
	-85.6	-75.8	-78.5	-94.0	-81.7	-77.9	-77.4	-78.2	-79.1

-243-

CASE 5 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
30.	180.	0.	-55.5

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TARGET SPEED 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 170 90 100 110 120 140 150 160 (MPH) 130 190 200 220 230 240 250 260 180 210 270 280 290 300 310 320 330 340 350 42. -82.1 -81.5 -79.8 -78.0 -77.4 -79.6 -92.8 -79.7 -75.8 -85.7 -70.4 -62.0 -57.8 -55.9 -55.4 -55.7 -56.4 -57.0 -57.3 -57.0 -56.4 -55.7 -55.4 -55.9 -57.8 -62.0 -70.4 -85.6 -75.8 -79.7 -92.8 -79.6 -77.4 -78.0 -79.8 -81.5 45. -85.5 -84.8 -82.4 -79.4 -77.5 -78.3 -87.0 -81.2 -75.7 -85.7 -69.5 -61.1 -57.2 -55.6 -55.5 -56.3 -57.4 -58.4 -58.8 -58.4 -57.4 -56.3 -55.5 -55.6 -57.2 -61.1 -69.5 -85.6 -75.7 -81.2 -87.0 -78.3 -77.5 -79.4 -82.4 -84.8 48. -85.9 -86.3 -85.6 -81.7 -78.2 -77.6 -83.4 -83.1 -75.8 -85,7 -68,7 -60,4 -56,7 -55,4 -55,8 -57,1 -58,9 -60,4 -61.0 -60.4 -58.9 -57.1 -55.8 -55.4 -56.7 -60.4 -68.7 -85.6 -75.8 -83.1 -83.4 -77.6 -78.2 -81.7 -85.6 -86.3 51. -82.8 -83.7 -86.0 -84.6 -79.5 -77.4 -81.1 -85.7 -75.9 -85.7 -67.9 -59.7 -56.3 -55.4 -56.3 -58.3 -61.0 -63.3 -64.3 -63.3 -61.0 -58.3 -56.3 -55.4 -56.3 -59.7 -67.9 -85.6 -75.9 -85.7 -81.1 -77.4 -79.5 -84.6 -86.0 -83.7 54. -80.3 -80.9 -83.1 -86.4 -81.5 -77.6 -79.6 -89.2 -76.0 -85.7 -67.2 -59.1 -55.9 -55.5 -57.0 -60.0 -63.9 -67.7 -69.5 -67.7 -63.9 -60.0 -57.0 -55.5 -55.9 -59.1 -67.2 -85.6 -76.0 -89.3 -79.6 -77.6 -81.5 -86.4 -83.1 -80.9 57. -78.7 -79.1 -80.6 -84.6 -84.0 -78.2 -78.5 -94.0 -76.1 -85.7 -66.5 -58.6 -55.7 -55.7 -58.1 -62.3 -68.5 -76.5 -82.3 -76.5 -68.5 -62.3 -58.1 -55.7 -55.7 -58.6 -66.5 -85.6 -76.1 -94.0 -78.5 -78.2 -84.0 -84.6 -80.6 -79.1 60. -78.1 -78.2 -79.0 -81.9 -86.2 -79.2 -77.8 -94.9 -76.4 -85.7 -65.9 -58.1 -55.5 -56.1 -59.5 -65.6 -77.9 -81.3 -76.5 -81.3 -77.9 -65.6 -59.5 -56.1 -55.5 -58.1 -65.9 -85.6 -76.4 -94.9 -77.8 -79.2 -86.2 -81.9 -79.0 -78.2

TABLE XV

CASE 11 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
3.	180.	0.	-17.5

TADOCT			TARGET	TRACK	(DEGF	REES)				
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350	
0.	-83.0 -83.0 -83.0 -83.0									
3.	-18.0 -82.9 -17.5 -83.1	-18.1 -32.9 -17.7 -33.0	-18.5 -27.0 -18.1 -27.1	-19.2 -23.7 -18.8 -23.9	-20.2 -21.4 -19.9 -21.7	-21.7 -19.9 -21.4 -20.2	-23.9 -18.8 -23.7 -19.2	-27.1 -18.1 -27.0 -18.5	-33.0 -17.7 -32.9 -18.1	
6.	-12.2 -82.9 -11.3 -83.2	-12.3 -26.9 -11.5 -27.0	-12.7 -20.9 -11.9 -21.2	-13.4 -17.5 -12.6 -18.0	-14.4 -15.3 -13.7 -15.9	-15.9 -13.7 -15.3 -14.4	-18.0 -12.6 -17.5 -13.4	-21.2 -11.9 -20.9 -12.7	-27.0 -11.5 -26.9 -12.3	
9.	-9.0 -82.8 -7.7 -83.3	-9.1 -23.3 -7.8 -23.5	-9.5 -17.3 -8.2 -17.8	-10.1 -13.9 -9.0 -14.6	-11.1 -11.7 -10.1 -12.5	-12.5 -10.1 -11.7 -11.1	-14.6 -9.0 -13.9 -10.1	-17.8 -8.2 -17.3 -9.5	-23.5 -7.8 -23.3 -9.1	
12.	-6.8 -82.7 -5.1 -83.4	-7.0 -20.8 -5.2 -21.1	-7.3 -14.8 -5.6 -15.3	-7.9 -11.3 -6.4 -12.2	-8.8 -9.1 -7.5 -10.2	-10.2 -7.5 -9.1 -8.8	-12.2 -6.4 -11.3 -7.9	-15.3 -5.6 -14.8 -7.3	-21.1 -5.2 -20.8 -7.0	
15.	-5.3 -82.6 -3.1 -83.5	-5.4 -18.8 -3.2 -19.2	-5.7 -12.8 -3.6 -13.5	-6.3 -9.3 -4.4 -10.4	-7.2 -7.1 -5.5 -8.5	-8.5 -5.5 -7.1 -7.2	-10.4 -4.4 -9.3 -6.3	-13.5 -3.6 -12.8 -5.7	-19.2 -3.2 -18.8 -5.4	
18.	-4.1 -82.5 -1.4 -83.6	-4.2 -17.2 -1.6 -17.6	-4.5 -11.1 -2.0 -12.0	-5.0 -7.7 -2.7 -9.0	-5.9 -5.4 -3.8 -7.1	-7.1 -3.8 -5.4 -5.9	-9.0 -2.7 -7.7 -5.0	-12.0 -2.0 -11.1 -4.5	-17.6 -1.6 -17.2 -4.2	

-245-

CASE 11 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
3.	180.	0.	-17.5

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADGET					•				
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
21.	-3.3	-3.3	-3.6	-4.1	-4.8	-6.0	-7.8	-10.8	-16.3
	-82.5	-15.8	-9.7	-6.3	-4.0	-2.4	-1.3	6	2
	0	2	6	-1.3	-2.4	-4.0	-6.3	-9.7	-15.8
	-83.7	-16.3	-10.8	-7.8	-6.0	-4.8	-4.1	-3.6	-3.3
24.	-2.6	-2.7	-2.9	-3.3	-4.0	-5.1	-6.8	-9.7	-15.2
	-82.4	-14.6	-8.5	-5.1	-2.8	-1.2	1	.6	1.0
	1.1	1.0	.6	1	-1.2	-2.8	-5.1	-8.5	-14.6
	-83.8	-15.2	-9.7	-6.8	-5.1	-4.0	-3.3	-2.9	-2.7
27.	-2.2	-2.2	-2.4	-2.7	-3.3	-4.4	-6.0	-8.8	-14.2
	-82.3	-13.6	-7.5	-4.0	-1.7	2	.9	1.6	2.0
	2.1	2.0	1.6	.9	2	-1.7	-4.0	-7.5	-13.6
	-83.9	-14.2	-8.8	-6.0	-4.4	-3.3	-2.7	-2.4	-2.2
30.	-1.9	-1.9	-2.1	-2.3	-2.8	-3.7	-5.3	-8.0	-13.4
	-82.3	-12.6	-6.5	-3.1	8	.7	1.8	2.5	2.9
	3.0	2.9	2.5	1.8	.7	8	-3.1	-6.5	-12.6
	-84.0	-13.4	-8.0	-5.3	-3.7	-2.8	-2.3	-2.1	-1.9
33.	-1.8	-1.8	-1.9	-2.0	-2.4	-3.2	-4.7	-7.3	-12.6
	-82.2	-11.8	-5.6	-2.2	.0	1.6	2.6	3.3	3.6
	3.7	3.6	3.3	2.6	1.6	.0	-2.2	-5.6	-11.8
	-84.1	-12.6	-7.3	-4.7	-3.2	-2.4	-2.0	-1.9	-1.8
36.	-1.9	-1.8	-1.8	-1.9	-2.1	-2.8	-4.1	-6.7	-11.9
	-82.1	-11.0	-4.8	-1.4	.8	2.3	3.3	3.9	4.3
	4.4	4.3	3.9	3.3	2.3	.8	-1.4	-4.8	-11.0
	-84.2	-11.9	-6.7	-4.1	-2.8	-2.1	-1.9	-1.8	-1.8
39.	-2.1	-2.0	-1.9	-1.8	-1.9	-2.4	-3.7	-6.1	-11.2
	-82.0	-10.3	-4.1	7	1.5	3.0	3.9	4.5	4.9
	5.0	4.9	4.5	3.9	3.0	1.5	7	-4.1	-10.3
	-84.3	-11.2	-6.1	-3.7	-2.4	-1.9	-1.8	-1.9	-2.0

CASE 11 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
3.	180.	0.	-17.5

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADOCT			TARGET	TRACK	UEGR	CES)			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
42.	-2.4	-2.3	-2.1	-1.9	-1.8	-2.2	-3.3	-5.6	-10.7
	-82.0	-9.6	-3.4	0	2.1	3.5	4.5	5.1	5.4
	5.5	5.4	5.1	4.5	3.5	2.1	0	-3.4	-9.6
	-84.5	-10.7	-5.6	-3.3	-2.2	-1.8	-1.9	-2.1	-2.3
45.	-3.0	-2.9	-2.5	-2.1	-1.8	-2.0	-2.9	-5.1	-10.1
	-81.9	-9.0	-2.8	.6	2.7	4.1	5.0	5.5	5.8
	5.9	5.8	5.5	5.0	4.1	2.7	.6	-2.8	-9.0
	-84.6	-10.1	-5.1	-2.9	-2.0	-1.8	-2.1	-2.5	-2.9
48.	-3.8	-3.6	-3.0	-2.4	-1.9	-1.9	-2.6	-4.7	-9.6
	-81.9	-8.4	-2.2	1.1	3.2	4.6	5.4	5.9	6.2
	6.2	6.2	5.9	5.4	4.6	3.2	1.1	-2.2	-8.4
	-84.7	-9.6	-4.7	-2.6	-1.9	-1.9	-2.4	-3.0	-3.6
51.	-4.9	-4.6	-3.8	-2.8	-2.1	-1.8	-2.4	-4.3	-9.1
	-81.8	-7.8	-1.7	1.6	3.7	5.0	5.8	6.2	6.5
	6.5	6.5	6.2	5.8	5.0	3.7	1.6	-1.7	-7.8
	-84.8	-9.1	-4.3	-2.4	-1.8	-2.1	-2.8	-3.8	-4.6
54.	-6.4	-5.9	-4.8	-3.5	-2.3	-1.8	-2.2	-4.0	-8.7
	-81.8	-7.3	-1.2	2.1	4.1	5.4	6.1	6.5	6.7
	6.8	6.7	6.5	6.1	5.4	4.1	2.1	-1.2	-7.3
	-85.0	-8.7	-4.0	-2.2	-1.8	-2.3	-3.5	-4.8	-5.9
57.	-8.4	-7.8	-6.1	-4.3	-2.7	-1.9	-2.0	-3.7	-8.3
	-81.7	-6.8	7	2.6	4.5	5.7	6.4	6.8	6.9
	7.0	6.9	6.8	6.4	5.7	4.5	2.6	7	-6.8
	-85.1	-8.3	-3.7	-2.0	-1.9	-2.7	-4.3	-6.1	-7.8
60.	-11.4 -81.6 7.1 -85.2	-10.4 -6.4 7.1 -7.9	-8.0 2 6.9 -3.4	5.3 3.0 6.6 -1.9	-3.2 4.9 6.0	-2.0 6.0 4.9 -3.2	-1.9 6.6 3.0 -5.3	-3.4 6.9 2	-7.9 7.1 -6.4 -10.4

-247-

CASE 11 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

,

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
15.	180.	0.	-3.0

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADCET						,			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
0.	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9
	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9
	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9
	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9	-82.9
3.	-18.1	-18.2	-18.6	-19.3	-20.3	-21.8	-24.0	-27.2	-33.1
	-82.8	-33.0	-27.1	-23.7	-21.5	-20.0	-18.9	-18.1	-17.7
	-17.6	-17.7	-18.1	-18.9	-20.0	-21.5	-23.7	-27.1	-33.0
	-83.0	-33.1	-27.2	-24.0	-21.8	-20.3	-19.3	-18.6	-18.2
6.	-12.4	-12.5	-12.9	-13.5	-14.6	-16.0	-18.1	-21.3	-27.1
	-82.7	-26.9	-21.0	-17.6	-15.4	-13.8	-12.7	-11.9	-11.5
	-11.4	-11.5	-11.9	-12.7	-13.8	-15.4	-17.6	-21.0	-26.9
	-83.2	-27.1	-21.3	-18.1	-16.0	-14.6	-13.5	-12.9	-12.5
9.	-9.2	-9.3	-9.7	-10.3	-11.3	-12.7	-14.7	-17.9	-23.6
	-82.6	-23.4	-17.4	-14.0	-11.7	-10.1	-9.0	-8.3	-7.8
	-7.7	-7.8	-8.3	-9.0	-10.1	-11.7	-14.0	-17.4	-23.4
	-83.2	-23.6	-17.9	-14.7	-12.7	-11.3	-10.3	-9.7	-9.3
12.	-7.1	-7.2	-7.5	-8.1	-9.0	-10.4	-12.4	-15.5	-21.2
	-82.5	-20.8	-14.8	-11.4	-9.1	-7.5	-6.4	-5.6	-5.2
	-5.0	-5.2	-5.6	-6.4	-7.5	-9.1	-11.4	-14.8	-20.8
	-83.3	-21.2	-15.5	-12.4	-10.4	-9.0	-8.1	-7.5	-7.2
15.	-5.6	-5.7	-6.	-6.5	-7.4	-8.7	-10.6	-13.7	-19.3
	-82.5	-18.9	-12.8	-9.3	-7.1	-5.4	-4.3	-3.6	-3.1
	-3.0	-3.1	-3.6	-4.3	-5.4	-7.1	-9.3	-12.8	-18.9
	-83.5	-19.3	-13.7	-10.6	-8.7	-7.4	-6.5	-6.0	-5.7
18.	-4.5	-4.6	-4.8	-5.3	-6.1	-7.3	-9.2	-12.2	-17.8
	-82.3	-17.2	-11.1	-7.7	-5.4	-3.8	-2.6	-1.9	-1.5
	-1.3	-1.5	-1.9	-2.6	-3.8	-5.4	-7.7	-11.1	-17.2
	-83.5	-17.8	-12.2	-9.2	-7.3	-6.1	-5.3	-4.8	-4.6

CASE 11 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
15.	180.	0.	-3.0

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADOFT					(,			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
21.	-3.7	-3.7	-4.0	-4.4	-5.1	-6.3	-8.1	-11.0	-16.5
	-82.3	-15.9	-9.7	-6.3	-4.0	-2.4	-1.2	5	1
	.1	1	5	-1.2	-2.4	-4.0	-6.3	-9.7	-15.9
	-83.7	-16.5	-11.0	-8.1	-6.3	-5.1	-4.4	-4.0	-3.7
24.	-3.1	-3.2	-3.3	-3.7	-4.4	-5.4	-7.1	-9.9	-15.4
	-82.2	-14.7	-8.5	-5.0	-2.7	-1.1	0	.7	1.1
	1.3	1.1	.7	0	-1.1	-2.7	-5.0	-8.5	-14.7
	-83.8	-15.4	-9.9	-7.1	-5.4	-4.4	-3.7	-3.3	-3.2
27.	-2.7	-2.8	-2.9	-3.2	-3.7	-4.7	-6.3	-9.0	-14.4
	-82.1	-13.6	-7.4	-4.0	-1.7	1	1.0	1.7	2.1
	2.3	2.1	1.7	1.0	1	-1.7	-4.0	-7.4	-13.6
	-83.9	-14.4	-9.0	-6.3	-4.7	-3.7	-3.2	-2.9	-2.8
30.	-2.5	-2.6	-2.6	-2.8	-3.3	-4.1	-5.6	-8.2	-13.5
	-82.1	-12.7	-6.5	-3.0	7	.9	1.9	2.6	3.0
	3.2	3.0	2.6	1.9	.9	7	-3.0	-6.5	-12.7
	-84.0	-13.5	-8.2	-5.6	-4.1	-3.3	-2.8	-2.6	-2.6
33.	-2.5	-2.5	-2.5	-2.6	-2.9	-3.6	-5.0	-7.5	-12.8
	-82.0	-11.8	-5.6	-2.1	.2	1.7	2.8	3.4	3.8
	3.9	3.8	3.4	2.8	1.7	.2	-2.1	-5.6	-11.8
	-84.2	-12.8	-7.5	-5.0	-3.6	-2.9	-2.6	-2.5	-2.5
36.	-2.7	-2.7	-2.6	-2.5	-2.7	-3.2	-4.5	-6.9	-12.1
	-81.9	-11.0	-4.8	-1.3	.9	2.5	3.5	4.1	4.5
	4.6	4.5	4.1	3.5	2.5	.9	-1.3	-4.8	-11.0
	-84.3	-12.1	-6.9	-4.5	-3.2	-2.7	-2.5	-2.6	-2.7
39.	-3.1	-3.0	-2.8	-2.6	-2.6	-2.9	-4.0	-6.4	-11.4
	-81.8	-10.3	-4.1	6	1.6	3.1	4.1	4.8	5.1
	5.2	5.1	4.8	4.1	3.1	1.6	6	-4.1	-10.3
	-84.5	-11.4	-6.4	-4.0	-2.9	-2.6	-2.6	-2.8	-3.0

ALLEN CORUM

-249-

CASE 11 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
15.	180.	0.	-3.0

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADCET				-	•				
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
42.	-3.6	-3.5	-3.1	-2.7	-2.5	-2.7	-3.7	-5.9	-10.8
	-81.7	-9.6	-3.4	.1	2.3	3.7	4.7	5.3	5.6
	5.7	5.6	5.3	4.7	3.7	2.3	.1	-3.4	-9.6
	-84.6	-10.8	-5.9	-3.7	-2.7	-2.5	-2.7	-3.1	-3.5
45.	-4.5	-4.3	-3.7	-3.1	-2.6	-2.6	-3.4	-5.4	-10.3
	-81.7	-9.0	-2.8	.7	2.9	4.3	5.2	5.8	6.1
	6.2	6.1	5.8	5.2	4.3	2.9	.7	-2.8	-9.0
	-84.7	-10.3	-5.4	-3.4	-2.6	-2.6	-3.1	-3.7	-4.3
48.	-5.6	-5.3	-4.5	-3.5	-2.8	-2.5	-3.1	-5.0	-9.8
	-81.6	-8.4	-2.2	1.3	3.4	4.8	5.7	6.2	6.5
	6.6	6.5	6.2	5.7	4.8	3.4	1.3	-2.2	-8.4
	-84.8	-9.8	-5.0	-3.1	-2.5	-2.8	-3.5	-4.5	-5.3
51.	-7.2	-6.7	-5.6	-4.2	-3.1	-2.5	-2.9	-4.7	-9.3
	-81.5	-7.8	-1.6	1.8	3.9	5.2	6.1	6.6	6.8
	6.9	6.8	6.6	6.1	5.2	3.9	1.8	-1.6	-7.8
	-85.0	-9.3	-4.7	-2.9	-2.5	-3.1	-4.2	-5.6	-6.7
54.	-9.4	-8.7	-7.0	-5.1	-3.5	-2.6	-2.7	-4.3	-8.9
	-81.5	-7.3	-1.1	2.3	4.3	5.6	6.4	6.9	7.1
	7.2	7.1	6.9	6.4	5.6	4.3	2.3	-1.1	-7.3
	-85.2	-8.9	-4.3	-2.7	-2.6	-3.5	-5.1	-7.0	-8.7
57.	-12.8	-11.7	-9.0	-6.3	-4.1	-2.8	-2.6	-4.0	-8.5
	-81.4	-6.8	6	2.7	4.8	6.0	6.7	7.1	7.3
	7.4	7.3	7.1	6.7	6.0	4.8	2.7	6	-6.8
	-85.3	-8.5	-4.0	-2.6	-2.8	-4.1	-6.3	-9.0	-11.7
60.	-18.1	-16.3	-12.0	-7.8	-4.8	-3.0	-2.5	-3.8	-8.1
	-81.3	-6.3	1	3.2	5.1	6.3	7.0	7.3	7.5
	7.5	7.5	7.3	7.0	6.3	5.1	3.2	1	-6.3

۰.

ł

CASE 11 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
30.	180.	0.	3.2

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TARGET					. (020)	(223)			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
0.	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8
	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8
	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8
	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8	-82.8
3.	-18.4	-18.5	-18.9	-19.6	-20.6	-22.1	-24.2	-27.5	-33.3
	-82.7	-33.2	-27.3	-23.9	-21.7	-20.2	-19.1	-18.3	-17.9
	-17.8	-17.9	-18.3	-19.1	-20.2	-21.7	-23.9	-27.3	-33.2
	-82.9	-33.3	-27.5	-24.2	-22.1	-20.6	-19.6	-18.9	-18.5
6.	-12.7	-12.8	-13.2	-13.8	-14.8	-16.3	-18.4	-21.6	-27.3
	-82.6	-27.1	-21.2	-17.8	-15.5	-14.0	-12.8	-12.1	-11.7
	-11.5	-11.7	-12.1	-12.8	-14.0	-15.5	-17.8	-21.2	-27.1
	-83.1	-27.3	-21.6	-18.4	-16.3	-14.8	-13.8	-13.2	-12.8
9.	-9.5	-9.7	-10.0	-10.6	-11.6	-13.0	-15.0	-18.1	-23.9
	-82.5	-23.6	-17.6	-14.1	-11.9	-10.3	-9.1	-8.4	-7.9
	-7.8	-7.9	-8.4	-9.1	-10.3	-11.9	-14.1	-17.6	-23.6
	-83.1	-23.9	-18.1	-15.0	-13.0	-11.6	-10.6	-10.0	-9.7
12.	-7.5	-7.6	-7.9	-8.5	-9.4	-10.7	-12.7	-15.8	-21.4
	-82.4	-21.0	-15.0	-11.5	-9.2	-7.6	-6.5	-5.7	-5.3
	-5.1	-5.3	-5.7	-6.5	-7.6	-9.2	-11.5	-15.0	-21.0
	-83.2	-21.4	-15.8	-12.7	-10.7	-9.4	-8.5	-7.9	-7.6
15.	-6.0	-6.1	-6.4	-6.9	-7.8	-9.0	-10.9	-13.9	-19.5
	-82.3	-19.0	-13.0	-9.5	-7.2	-5.5	-4.4	-3.6	-3.2
	-3.1	-3.2	-3.6	-4.4	-5.5	-7.2	-9.5	-13.0	-19.0
	-83.4	-19.5	-13.9	-10.9	-9.0	-7.8	-6.9	-6.4	-6.1
18.	-5.0	-5.1	-5.3	-5.8	-6.6	-7.7	-9.5	-12.5	-18.0
	-82.1	-17.4	-11.3	-7.8	-5.5	-3.8	-2.7	-1.9	-1.5
	-1.4	-1.5	-1.9	-2.7	-3.8	-5.5	-7.8	-11.3	-17.4
	-83.5	-18.0	-12.5	-9.5	-7.7	-6.6	-5.8	-5.3	-5.1

CASE 11 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU		
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
30.	180.	0.	3.2

Í

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADOFT					,	•			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
21.	-4.2	-4.3	-4.5	-4.9	-5.6	-6.7	-8.4	-11.3	-16.7
	-82.1	-16.0	-9.9	-6.4	-4.0	-2.4	-1.3	5	1
	.1	1	5	-1.3	-2.4	-4.0	-6.4	-9.9	-16.0
	-83.6	-16.7	-11.3	-8.4	-6.7	-5.6	-4.9	-4.5	-4.3
24.	-3.7	-3.8	-3.9	-4.3	-4.9	-5.8	-7.5	-10.2	-15.6
	-82.0	-14.8	-8.7	-5.1	-2.8	-1.2	0	.7	1.1
	1.3	1.1	.7	0	-1.2	-2.8	-5.1	-8.7	-14.8
	-83.7	-15.6	-10.2	-7.5	-5.9	-4.9	-4.3	-3.9	-3.8
27.	-3.5	-3.5	-3.6	-3.8	-4.3	-5.2	-6.7	-9.4	-14.7
	-81.9	-13.8	-7.6	-4.0	-1.7	1	1.0	1.8	2.2
	2.3	2.2	1.8	1.0	1	-1.7	-4.0	-7.6	-13.8
	-83.9	-14.7	-9.4	-6.7	-5.2	-4.3	-3.8	-3.6	-3.5
30.	-3.4	-3.4	-3.4	-3.5	-3.9	-4.6	-6.0	-8.6	-13.8
	-81.8	-12.8	-6.6	-3.1	7	.9	2.0	2.7	3.1
	3.2	3.1	2.7	2.0	.9	7	-3.1	-6.6	-12.8
	-84.0	-13.8	-8.6	-6.0	-4.6	-3.9	-3.5	-3.4	-3.4
33.	-3.5	-3.5	-3.4	-3.4	-3.6	-4.2	-5.5	-7.9	-13.0
	-81.7	-11.9	-5.7	-2.2	.1	1.7	2.8	3.5	3.9
	4.0	3.9	3.5	2.8	1.7	.1	-2.2	-5.7	-11.9
	-84.2	-13.0	-7.9	-5.5	-4.2	-3.6	-3.4	-3.4	-3.5
36.	-3.8	-3.7	-3.6	-3.4	-3.4	-3.9	-5.0	-7.3	-12.4
	-81.7	-11.2	-4.9	-1.4	.9	2.5	3.6	4.2	4.6
	4.7	4.6	4.2	3.6	2.5	.9	-1.4	-4.9	-11.2
	-84.4	-12.4	-7.3	-5.0	-3.9	-3.4	-3.4	-3.6	-3.7
39.	-4.4 -81.6 5.4	-4.2 -10.4 5.2	-3.9 -4.2 4.9	-3.6 6 4.2	-3.4 1.7 3.2	-3.6 3.2 1.7	-4.6 4.2 6	-6.8 4.9 -4.2	-11.7 5.2 -10.4 -4 2

CASE 11 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	PRESUMED TARGET					
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE				
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)				
30.	180.	0.	3.2				

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TARGET SPEED 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 120 (MPH) 100 110 140 150 160 170 130 180 190 200 210 220 230 240 250 260 270 280 290 300 310 320 330 340 350 42. -5.2 -5.0 -4.5 -3.9 -3.4 -3.5 -4.2 -6.3 -11.1 -81.5 -9.7 -3.5 4.8 5.4 5.8 2.3 3.8 .1 5.8 4.8 5.4 -9.7 5.9 .1 -3.5 3.8 2.3 -84.7 -11.1 -6.3 -4.2 -3.5 -3.4 -3.9 -4.5 -5.0 -3.6 45. -6.3 -6.0 -5.3 -4.4 -3.4 -4.0 -5.9 -10.6 -2.8 -81.4 -9.1 .7 2.9 4.4 5.4 5.9 6.3 6.3 5.4 4.4 2.9 .7 5.9 -2.8 6.4 -9.1 -5.9 -4.0 -3.4 -4.4 -84.8 -10.6 -3.6 -5.3 -6.0 -6.4 48. -8.0 -7.5 -5.0 -3.9 -3.4 -3.7 -5.5 -10.1 3.5 -81.3 -8.5 -2.2 1.3 4.9 5.8 6.4 6.7 6.4 5.8 4.9 3.5 1.3 -2.2 -8.5 6.8 6.7 -85.0 -10.1 -5.5 -3.7 -3.4 -3.9 -5.0 -6.4 -7.5 -9.7 -6.0 -4.4 -7.9 -5.1 51. -10.4 -3.5 -3.6 -9.7 4.0 -81.3 -8.0 -1.7 1.8 5.4 6.2 6.8 7.0 7.1 5.4 7.0 6.8 6.2 4.0 1.8 -1.7 -8.0 -85.1 -9.7 -5.1 -3.6 -3.5 -4.4 -6.0 -7.9 -9.7 54. -14.1 -12.9 -10.1 -7.2 -5.0 -3.6 -3.5 -4.8 -9.2 7.1 2.3 -81.2 -7.4 -1.1 4.4 5.8 6.6 7.4 2.3 -1.1 -7.4 7.4 7.1 5.8 7.4 6.6 4.4 -85.4 -9.2 -4.8 -3.5 -3.6 -5.0 -7.2 -10.1 -12.9 -9.0 57. -19.8 -18.0 -13.4 -5.8 -3.9 -3.4 -4.6 -8.8 -81.1 -6.9 -.6 2.8 4.9 6.2 6.9 7.4 7.6 7.4 6.2 2.8 -.6 -6.9 7.7 7.6 6.9 4.9 -5.8 -85.5 -8.8 -4.6 -3.4 -3.9 -9.0 -13.4 -18.0 -4.3 60. -18.3 -20.3 -18.5 -11.4 -6.8 -3.4 -4.3 -8.5 -81.1 -6.5 -.2 3.2 5.3 6.5 7.2 7.6 7.8 3.2 -.2 -6.5 7.6 7.2 7.9 7.8 6.5 5.3 -85.7 -8.5 -4.3 -3.4 -4.3 -6.8 -11.4 -18.5 -20.3

-253

TABLE XVI

CASE 2 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

PRESUMED TARGET									
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE						
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)						
3.	180.	30.	-61.6						

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TARGET									
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
0.	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9
	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9
	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9
	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9	-62.9
3.	-64.6	-64.8	-64.9	-64.9	-64.9	-64.8	-64.6	-64.4	-64.2
	-63.9	-63.5	-63.2	-62.9	-62.6	-62.4	-62.1	-61.9	-61.8
	-61.6	-61.5	-61.5	-61.5	-61.5	-61.5	-61.6	-61.8	-61.9
	-62.1	-62.4	-62.6	-62.9	-63.2	-63.5	-63.9	-64.2	-64.4
6.	-66.8	-67.2	-67.4	-67.5	-67.4	-67.2	-66.8	-66.3	-65.6
	-64.9	-64.2	-63.6	-62.9	-62.4	-61.9	-61.5	-61.1	-60.9
	-60.7	-60.6	-60.5	-60.5	-60.5	-60.6	-60.7	-60.9	-61.1
	-61.5	-61.9	-62.4	-62.9	-63.6	-64.2	-64.9	-65.6	-66.3
9.	-69.2	-69.7	-70.0	-70.1	-70.0	-69.7	-69.2	-68.4	-67.3
	-66.2	-65.0	-63.9	-62.9	-62.1	-61.4	-60.9	-60.5	-60.3
	-60.1	-60.0	-60.0	-59.9	-60.0	-60.0	-60.1	-60.3	-60.5
	-60.9	-61.4	-62.1	-62.9	-63.9	-65.0	-66.2	-67.3	-68.4
12.	-70.8	-70.9	-70.8	-70.8	-70.8	-70.9	-70.8	-70.3	-69.1
	-67.5	-65.8	-64.3	-62.9	-61.9	-61.1	-60.5	-60.1	-59.9
	-59.8	-59.8	-59.8	-59.8	-59.8	-59.8	-59.8	-59.9	-60.1
	-60.5	-61.1	-61.9	-62.9	-64.3	-65.8	-67.5	-69.1	-70.3
15.	-70.4	-69.7	-69.3	-69.1	-69.3	-69.7	-70.4	-70.9	-70.5
	-68.9	-66.7	-64.6	-62.9	-61.6	-60.7	-60.2	-59.9	-59.8
	-59.8	-59.9	-60.0	-60.0	-60.0	-59.9	-59.8	-59.8	-59.9
	-60.2	-60.7	-61.6	-62.9	-64.6	-66.7	-68.9	-70.5	-70.9
18.	-68.7	-67.8	-67.4	-67.2	-67.4	-67.8	-68.7	-69.9	-70.9
	-70.1	-67.7	-65.0	-62.9	-61.4	-60.5	-59.9	-59.8	-59.9
	-60.1	-60.4	-60.6	-60.6	-60.6	-60.4	-60.1	-59.9	-59.8
	-59.9	-60.5	-61.4	-62.9	-65.0	-67.7	-70.1	-70.9	-69.9

CASE 2 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

PRESUMED TARGET										
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE							
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)							
3.	180.	30.	-61.6							

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADCET			Transaction of the second seco						
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
21.	-67.1	-66.4	-66.0	-65.9	-66.0	-66.4	-67.1	-68.4	-70.1
	-70.8	-68.6	-65.5	-62.9	-61.2	-60.2	-59.8	-59.9	-60.2
	-60.7	-61.2	-61.6	-61.7	-61.6	-61.2	-60.7	-60.2	-59.9
	-59.8	-60.2	-61.2	-62.9	-65.5	-68.6	-70.8	-70.1	-68.4
24.	-65.9	-65.4	-65.2	-65.2	-65.2	-65.4	-65.9	-67.0	-68.8
	-70.8	-69.5	-65.9	-62.9	-61.0	-60.0	-59.8	-60.1	-60.8
	-61.6	-62.5	-63.1	-63.3	-63.1	-62.5	-61.6	-60.8	-60.1
	-59.8	-60.0	-61.0	-62.9	-65.9	-69.5	-70.8	-68.8	-67.0
27.	-65.3	-65.1	-65.0	-65.1	-65.0	-65.1	-65.3	-66.0	-67.6
	-70.1	-70.3	-66.3	-62.9	-60.9	-59.9	-59.9	-60.5	-61.6
	-62.9	-64.2	-65.2	-65.6	-65.2	-64.2	-62.9	-61.6	-60.5
	-59.9	-59.9	-60.9	-62.9	-66.3	-70.3	-70.1	-67.6	-66.0
30.	-65.0	-65.2	-65.4	-65.5	-65.4	-65.2	-65.0	-65.3	-66.6
	-69.1	-70.8	-66.8	-62.9	-60.7	-59.8	-60.0	-61.0	-62.7
	-64.7	-66.7	-68.2	-68.8	-68.2	-66.7	-64.7	-62.7	-61.0
	-60.0	-59.8	-60.7	-62.9	-66.8	-70.8	-69.1	-66.6	-65.3
33.	-65.2	-65.8	-66.3	-66.6	-66.3	-65.8	-65.2	-65.1	-65.8
	-68.1	-70.9	-67.3	-62.9	-60.6	-59.8	-60.3	-61.8	-64.2
	-67.1	-70.3	-72.9	-73.9	-72.9	-70.3	-67.1	-64.2	-61.8
	-60.3	-59.8	-60.6	-62.9	-67.3	-70.9	-68.1	-65.8	-65.1
36.	-65.9	-67.0	-67.9	-68.3	-67.9	-67.0	-65.9	-65.1	-65.3
	-67.2	-70.7	-67.8	-62.9	-60.4	-59.8	-60.7	-62.8	-66.1
	-70.5	-76.0	-81.1	-83.1	-81.1	-76.0	-70.5	-66.1	-62.8
	-60.6	-59.8	-60.4	-62.9	-67.8	-70.7	-67.2	-65.3	-65.1
39.	-66.9	-68.8	-70.4	-71.0	-70.4	-68.8	-66.9	-65.5	-65.1
	-66.5	-70.2	-68.3	-62.9	-60.3	-59.8	-61.1	-64.0	-68.7
	-75.8	-84.6	-80.8	-79.2	-80.8	-84.6	-75.8	-68.7	-64.0
	-61.1	-59.8	-60.3	-62.9	-68.3	-70.2	-66.5	-65.1	-65.5

CASE 2 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

PRESUMED TARGET									
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE						
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)						
3.	180.	30.	-61.6						

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADOLT						,			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
42.	-68.6	-71.6	-74.3	-75.4	-74.3	-71.6	-68.6	-66.2	-65.1
	-65.9	-69.5	-68.7	-62.9	-60.2	-59.9	-61.7	-65.6	-72.2
	-84.1	-78.0	-74.5	-73.7	-74.5	-78.0	-84.1	-72.2	-65.6
	-61.7	-59.9	-60.2	-62.9	-68.7	-69.5	-65.9	-65.1	-66.2
45.	-71.0	-75.9	-81.2	-83.6	-81.2	-75.9	-71.0	-67.3	-65.3
	-65.5	-68.8	-69.2	-62.9	-60.1	-60.1	-62.4	-67.5	-77.8
	-79.2	-73.4	-71.6	-71.2	-71.6	-73.4	-79.2	-77.8	-67.5
	-62.4	-60.1	-60.1	-62.9	-69.2	-68.8	-65.4	-65.3	-67.3
48.	-74.6	-84.1	-87.1	-84.3	-87.1	-84.1	-74.6	-68.9	-65.8
	-65.2	-68.2	-69.6	-62.9	-60.0	-60.3	-63.3	-70.0	-84.6
	-74.3	-71.1	-70.3	-70.1	-70.3	-71.1	-74.3	-84.7	-70.0
	-63.3	-60.3	-60.0	-62.9	-69.6	-68.1	-65.2	-65.8	-68.9
51.	-80.7	-84.6	-78.4	-77.1	-78.4	-84.6	-80.7	-71.1	-66.5
	-65.1	-67.5	-70.0	-62.9	-60.0	-60.5	-64.3	-73.5	-79.0
	-71.7	-70.1	-70.0	-70.0	-70.0	-70.1	-71.7	-79.0	-73.5
	-64.3	-60.5	-60.0	-62.9	-70.0	-67.5	-65.1	-66.5	-71.1
54.	-88.5	-77.5	-74.6	-73.9	-74.6	-77.5	-88.5	-74.3	-67.5
	-65.1	-67.0	-70.4	-62.9	-59.9	-60.8	-65.6	-78.5	-74.5
	-70.4	-70.0	-70.5	-70.8	-70.5	-70.0	-70.4	-74.5	-78.5
	-65.6	-60.8	-59.9	-62.9	-70.4	-66.9	-65.1	-67.5	-74.3
57.	-80.3	-74.2	-72.7	-72.4	-72.7	-74.2	-80.3	-79.2	-68.8
	-65.2	-66.5	-70.6	-62.9	-59.9	-61.1	-67.0	-84.5	-72.1
	-70.0	-70.6	-71.9	-72.5	-71.9	-70.6	-70.0	-72.1	-84.6
	-67.0	-61.1	-59.9	-62.9	-70.6	-66.5	-65.2	-68.8	-79.2
60.	-75.8	-72.6	-72.0	-72.0	-72.0	-72.6	-75.8	-87.6	-70.6

60. -75.8 -72.6 -72.0 -72.0 -72.0 -72.6 -75.8 -87.6 -70.6 -65.5 -66.0 -70.8 -62.9 -59.8 -61.5 -68.8 -80.4 -70.7 -70.2 -72.0 -74.3 -75.4 -74.3 -72.0 -70.2 -70.7 -80.4 -68.8 -61.5 -59.8 -62.9 -70.8 -66.0 -65.5 -70.6 -87.5

-256-

CASE 2 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

PRESUMED TARGET							
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE				
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)				
15.	180.	30.	-56.7				

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

A state of the second se

TADOET		made main (Dediced)								
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350	
0.	-70.0 -70.0 -70.0 -70.0									
3.	-76.6 -74.5 -64.7 -66.6	-76.5 -73.0 -64.4 -67.6	-76.4 -71.5 -64.2 -68.7	-76.3 -70.0 -64.1 -70.0	-76.4 -68.7 -64.2 -71.5	-76.5 -67.6 -64.4 -73.0	-76.6 -66.6 -64.7 -74.5	-76.4 -65.9 -65.2 -75.7	-75.7 -65.2 -65.9 -76.4	
6.	-70.4 -76.3 -61.5 -64.1	-69.4 -76.0 -61.0 -65.6	-68.8 -73.1 -60.8 -67.6	-68.6 -70.0 -60.7 -70.0	-68.8 -67.6 -60.8 -73.1	-69.4 -65.6 -61.0 -76.0	-70.4 -64.1 -61.5 -76.3	-72.0 -63.0 -62.1 -74.1	-74.1 -62.1 -63.0 -72.0	
9.	-65.7 -72.2 -59.3 -62.2	-64.8 -76.2 -58.8 -64.0	-64.4 -74.7 -58.6 -66.5	-64.2 -70.0 -58.5 -70.0	-64.4 -66.5 -58.6 -74.7	-64.8 -64.0 -58.8 -76.2	-65.7 -62.2 -59.3 -72.2	-67.0 -60.9 -60.0 -69.1	-69.1 -60.0 -60.9 -67.0	
12.	-62.9 -68.6 -57.8 -60.7	-62.3 -73.4 -57.3 -62.7	-61.9 -76.1 -57.1 -65.6	-61.8 -70.0 -57.1 -70.0	-61.9 -65.6 -57.1 -76.1	-62.3 -62.7 -57.3 -73.4	-62.9 -60.7 -57.8 -68.6	-64.0 -59.3 -58.4 -65.8	-65.8 -58.4 -59.3 -64.0	
15.	-61.3 -66.1 -56.7 -59.5	-60.8 -70.6 -56.4 -61.6	-60.5 -76.6 -56.2 -64.7	-60.5 -70.0 -56.2 -70.0	-60.5 -64.7 -56.2 -76.6	-60.8 -61.6 -56.4 -70.6	-61.3 -59.5 -56.7 -66.1	-62.1 -58.1 -57.3 -63.6	-63.6 -57.3 -58.1 -62.1	
18.	-60.3	-60.0	-59.9	-59.9	-59.9	-60.0	-60.3	-60.9	-62.1	

CASE 2 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

PRESUMED TARGET							
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE				
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)				
15.	180.	30.	-56.7				

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TARGET			TANGE			(223)			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
21.	-59.8	-59.8	-59.9	-59.9	-59.9	-59.8	-59.8	-60.2	-61.0
	-62.8	-66.5	-74.7	-70.0	-63.3	-59.7	-57.7	-56.6	-56.0
	-55.8	-55.7	-55.8	-55.8	-55.8	-55.7	-55.8	-56.0	-56.6
	-57.7	-59.7	-63.3	-70.0	-74.7	-66.5	-62.8	-61.0	-60.2
24.	-59.9	-60.1	-60.4	-60.5	-60.4	-60.1	-59.9	-59.8	-60.3
	-61.8	-65.1	-73.2	-70.0	-62.6	-59.0	-57.1	-56.1	-55.7
	-55.8	-56.0	-56.1	-56.2	-56.1	-56.0	-55.8	-55.7	-56.1
	-57.1	-59.0	-62.6	-70.0	-73.2	-65.1	-61.8	-60.3	-59.8
27.	-60.3	-61.0	-61.5	-61.7	-61.5	-61.0	-60.3	-59.9	-59.9
	-61.0	-64.0	-71.7	-70.0	-62.0	-58.4	-56.6	-55.8	-55.8
	-56.1	-56.5	-56.9	-57.1	-56.9	-56.5	-56.1	-55.8	-55.8
	-56.6	-58.4	-62.0	-70.0	-71.7	-64.0	-61.0	-59.9	-59.9
30.	-61.2	-62.4	-63.3	-63.7	-63.3	-62.4	-61.2	-60.2	-59.8
	-60.5	-63.0	-70.4	-70.0	-61.5	-57.8	-56.2	-55.7	-56.0
	-56.7	-57.5	-58.2	-58.4	-58.2	-57.5	-56.7	-56.0	-55.7
	-56.2	-57.8	-61.5	-70.0	-70.4	-63.0	-60.5	-59.8	-60.2
33.	-62.6	-64.6	-66.1	-66.7	-66.1	-64.6	-62.6	-60.9	-59.9
	-60.1	-62.3	-69.2	-70.0	-61.0	-57.3	-55.9	-55.8	-56.5
	-57.7	-59.0	-60.0	-60.4	-60.0	-59.0	-57.7	-56.5	-55.8
	-55.9	-57.3	-61.0	-70.0	-69.2	-62.2	-60.1	-59.9	-60.9
36.	-64.7	-67.8	-70.6	-71.7	-70.6	-67.8	-64.7	-62.0	-60.3
	-59.9	-61.6	-68.1	-70.0	-60.5	-56.9	-55.8	-56.0	-57.3
	-59.1	-61.1	-62.6	-63.3	-62.6	-61.1	-59.1	-57.3	-56.0
	-55.8	-56.9	-60.5	-70.0	-68.1	-61.6	-59.9	-60.3	-62.0
39.	-67.8	-73.4	-80.1	-84.2	-80.1	-73.4	-67.8	-63.6	-60.9
	-59.8	-61.1	-67.2	-70.0	-60.1	-56.6	-55.7	-56.5	-58.4
	-61.0	-64.0	-66.6	-67.6	-66.6	-64.0	-61.0	-58.4	-56.5
	-55.7	-56.6	-60.1	-70.0	-67.2	-61.1	-59.8	-60.9	-63.6

-258-
CASE 2 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
15.	180.	30.	-56.7

TADOCT			TAKUE	IRAUN	UEG	(CES)			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
42.	-72.6	-89.8	-81.9	-78.8	-81.9	-89.8	-72.6	-65.7	-61.7
	-59.9	-60.7	-66.4	-70.0	-59.6	-56.3	-55.8	-57.1	-59.8
	-63.7	-68.5	-73.5	-76.0	-73.5	-68.5	-63.7	-59.8	-57.1
	-55.8	-56.3	-59.6	-70.0	-66.4	-60.7	-59.9	-61.7	-65.7
45.	-84.0	-77.7	-72.8	-71.7	-72.8	-77.7	-84.0	-68.8	-62.9
	-60.1	-60.3	-65.6	-70.0	-59.3	-56.1	-55.9	-57.9	-61.7
	-67.6	-77.4	-93.4	-83.1	-93.4	-77.4	-67.6	-61.7	-57.9
	-55.9	-56.1	-59.3	-70.0	-65.6	-60.3	-60.1	-62.9	-68.8
48.	-80.8	-71.6	-69.4	-68.9	-69.4	-71.6	-80.8	-73.7	-64.4
	-60.5	-60.1	-65.0	-70.0	-58.9	-55.9	-56.2	-58.9	-64.2
	-74.2	-82.2	-73.3	-71.8	-73.3	-82.2	-74.2	-64.2	-58.9
	-56.2	-55.9	-58.9	-70.0	-65.0	-60.1	-60.5	-64.4	-73.7
51.	-73.1	-68.9	-67.8	-67.6	-67.8	-68.9	-73.1	-84.8	-66.4
	-61.0	-59.9	-64.4	-70.0	-58.6	-55.8	-56.6	-60.2	-67.7
	-99.1	-72.0	-68.8	-68.2	-68.8	-72.0	-99.2	-67.7	-60.2
	-56.6	-55.8	-58.6	-70.0	-64.4	-59.9	-61.0	-66.4	-84.8
54.	-69.8	-67.7	-67.4	-67.4	-67.4	-67.7	-69.8	-81.9	-69.2
	-61.7	-59.8	-63.8	-70.0	-58.3	-55.7	-57.1	-61.9	-73.5
	-74.6	-68.4	-66.9	-66.5	-66.9	-68.4	-74.6	-73.5	-61.9
	-57.1	-55.7	-58.3	-70.0	-63.8	-59.8	-61.7	-69.2	-81.9
57.	-68.1	-67.4	-67.8	-68.1	-67.8	-67.4	-68.1	-74.0	-73.3
	-62.6	-59.8	-63.3	-70.0	-58.0	-55.7	-57.7	-64.0	-91.1
	-69.8	-66.7	-66.1	-66.1	-66.1	-66.7	-69.8	-91.2	-64.0
	-57.7	-55.7	-58.0	-70.0	-63.3	-59.8	-62.6	-73.3	-74.0
60.	-67.4	-67.9	-69.1	-69.7	-69.1	-67.9	-67.4	-70.6	-81.0
	-63.7	-59.9	-62.9	-70.0	-57.7	-55.8	-58.4	-66.8	-77.4
	-67.5	-66.1	-66.4	-66.6	-66.4	-66.1	-67.5	-77.4	-66.8
	-58.4	-55.8	-57.7	-70.0	-62.9	-59.8	-63.7	-81.0	-70.6

CASE 2 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
30.	180.	30.	-54.9

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TARGET						,			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
0.	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9
	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9
	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9
	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9	-79.9
3.	-75.5	-74.9	-74.6	-74.5	-74.6	-74.9	-75.5	-76.4	-77.5
	-78.9	-80.2	-80.8	-79.9	-78.2	-76.3	-74.7	-73.3	-72.3
	-71.5	-70.9	-70.6	-70.5	-70.6	-70.9	-71.5	-72.3	-73.3
	-74.7	-76.3	-78.2	-79.9	-80.8	-80.2	-78.9	-77.5	-76.4
6.	-70.4	-69.8	-69.5	-69.4	-69.5	-69.8	-70.4	-71.2	-72.6
	-74.5	-77.2	-80.2	-79.9	-76.3	-73.0	-70.5	-68.6	-67.2
	-66.2	-65.6	-65.2	-65.1	-65.2	-65.6	-66.2	-67.2	-68.6
	-70.5	-73.0	-76.3	-79.9	-80.2	-77.2	-74.5	-72.6	-71.2
9.	-67.7	-67.3	-67.0	-67.0	-67.0	-67.3	-67.7	-68.4	-69.6
	-71.4	-74.3	-78.7	-79.9	-74.5	-70.3	-67.4	-65.4	-63.9
	-62.8	-62.1	-61.7	-61.6	-61.7	-62.1	-62.8	-63.9	-65.4
	-67.4	-70.3	-74.5	-79.9	-78.7	-74.3	-71.4	-69.6	-68.4
12.	-66.3	-66.1	-65.9	-65.9	-65.9	-66.1	-66.3	-66.9	-67.8
	-69.4	-72.1	-77.1	-79.9	-72.9	-68.1	-65.1	-62.9	-61.4
	-60.4	-59.7	-59.3	-59.2	-59.3	-59.7	-60.4	-61.4	-62.9
	-65.1	-68.1	-72.9	-79.9	-77.1	-72.1	-69.4	-67.8	-66.9
15.	-65.8	-65.8	-65.8	-65.8	-65.8	-65.8	-65.8	-66.0	-66.6
	-67.9	-70.4	-75.5	-79.9	-71.4	-66.3	-63.2	-61.0	-59.6
	-58.6	-57.9	-57.6	-57.5	-57.6	-57.9	-58.6	-59.6	-61.0
	-63.2	-66.3	-71.4	-79.9	-75.5	-70.4	-67.9	-66.6	-66.0
18.	-65.9	-66.1	-66.4	-66.5	-66.4	-66.1	-65.9	-65.7	-66.0
	-67.0	-69.2	-74.2	-79.9	-70.2	-64.8	-61.6	-59.5	-58.1
	-57.2	-56.6	-56.3	-56.3	-56.3	-56.6	-57.2	-58.1	-59.5
	-61.6	-64.8	-70.2	-79.9	-74.2	-69.2	-67.0	-66.0	-65.7

٩,

CASE 2 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
30.	180.	30.	-54.9

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADOLT						,			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
21.	-66.6	-67.2	-67.8	-68.0	-67.8	-67.2	-66.6	-66.0	-65.8
	-66.3	-68.2	-73.0	-79.9	-69.0	-63.5	-60.3	-58.3	-57.0
	-56.2	-55.7	-55.5	-55.4	-55.5	-55.7	-56.2	-57.0	-58.3
	-60.3	-63.5	-69.0	-79.9	-73.0	-68.2	-66.3	-65.8	-66.0
24.	-67.9	-69.2	-70.2	-70.6	-70.2	-69.2	-67.9	-66.6	-65.9
	-65.9	-67.4	-72.0	-79.9	-68.0	-62.4	-59.2	-57.3	-56.1
	-55.5	-55.2	-55.0	-55.0	-55.0	-55.2	-55.5	-56.1	-57.3
	-59.2	-62.4	-68.0	-79.9	-72.0	-67.4	-65.9	-65.9	-66.6
27.	-69.9	-72.3	-74.2	-75.0	-74.2	-72.3	-69.9	-67.8	-66.3
	-65.8	-66.8	-71.1	-79.9	-67.1	-61.4	-58.3	-56.5	-55.5
	-55.0	-54.9	-54.9	-54.9	-54.9	-54.9	-55.0	-55.5	-56.5
	-58.3	-61.4	-67.1	-79.9	-71.1	-66.8	-65.8	-66.3	-67.8
30.	-73.2	-77.7	-82.4	-84.8	-82.4	-77.7	-73.2	-69.6	-67.0
	-65.8	-66.4	-70.3	-79.9	-66.2	-60.5	-57.5	-55.9	-55.1
	-54.9	-54.9	-55.0	-55.1	-55.0	-54.9	-54.9	-55.1	-55.9
	-57.5	-60.5	-66.2	-79.9	-70.3	-66.4	-65.8	-67.0	-69.6
33.	-78.8	-94.6	-88.7	-85.3	-88.7	-94.6	-78.8	-72.2	-68.1
	-66.0	-66.1	-69.7	-79.9	-65.4	-59.7	-56.8	-55.4	-54.9
	-54.9	-55.2	-55.5	-55.6	-55.5	-55.2	-54.9	-54.9	-55.4
	-56.8	-59.7	-65.4	-79.9	-69.7	-66.1	-66.0	-68.1	-72.2
36.	-98.2	-81.6	-77.5	-76.5	-77.5	-81.6	-98.2	-76.2	-69.7
	-66.5	-65.9	-69.1	-79.9	-64.7	-59.0	-56.3	-55.1	-54.9
	-55.2	-55.8	-56.3	-56.5	-56.3	-55.8	-55.2	-54.9	-55.1
	-56.2	-59.0	-64.7	-79.9	-69.1	-65.9	-66.5	-69.7	-76.2
39.	-81.8	-75.5	-73.6	-73.2	-73.6	-75.5	-81.8	-84.0	-71.9
	-67.1	-65.8	-68.6	-79.9	-64.0	-58.4	-55.8	-54.9	-55.1
	-55.8	-56.8	-57.5	-57.8	-57.5	-56.8	-55.8	-55.1	-54.9
	-55.8	-58.4	-64.0	-79.9	-68.6	-65.8	-67.1	-71.9	-84.0

-261-



.

CASE 2 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
30.	180.	30.	-54.9

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TARGET	
--------	--

_ _

SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
42.	-75.9	-72.8	-71.9	-71.6	-71.9	-72.8	-75.9	-92.0	-75.0
	-68.0	-65.8	-68.1	-79.9	-63.4	-57.8	-55.4	-54.9	-55.4
	-56.7	-58.1	-59.2	-59.7	-59.2	-58.1	-56.7	-55.4	-54.9
	-55.4	-57.8	-63.4	-79.9	-68.1	-65.8	-68.0	-75.0	-92.0
45.	-73.2	-71.6	-71.3	-71.3	-71.3	-71.6	-73.2	-79.7	-79.9
	-69.1	-65.8	-67.7	-79.9	-62.8	-57.3	-55.2	-55.0	-56.0
	-57.8	-59.9	-61.5	-62.2	-61.5	-59.9	-57.8	-56.0	-55.0
	-55.2	-57.3	-62.8	-79.9	-67.7	-65.8	-69.1	-79.9	-79.7
48.	-71.8	-71.3	-71.6	-71.9	-71.6	-71.3	-71.8	-75.4	-92.2
	-70.6	-66.0	-67.3	-79.9	-62.3	-56.8	-55.0	-55.2	-56.8
	-59.4	-62.3	-64.7	-65.7	-64.7	-62.3	-59.4	-56.8	-55.2
	-55.0	-56.8	-62.3	-79.9	-67.3	-66.0	-70.6	-92.2	-75.4
51.	-71.3	-71.8	-72.9	-73.4	-72.9	-71.8	-71.3	-73.1	-86.6
	-72.5	-66.3	-67.0	-79.9	-61.7	-56.4	-54.9	-55.6	-57.9
	-61.4	-65.6	-69.5	-71.3	-69.5	-65.6	-61.4	-57.9	-55.6
	-54.9	-56.4	-61.7	-79.9	-67.0	-66.3	-72.5	-86.6	-73.1
54.	-71.5	-73.2	-75.3	-76.3	-75.3	-73.2	-71.5	-71.9	-79.1
	-75.0	-66.7	-66.8	-79.9	-61.3	-56.1	-54.9	-56.1	-59.2
	-64.1	-70.7	-79.4	-85.9	-79.4	-70.7	-64.1	-59.2	-56.1
	-54.9	-56.1	-61.3	-79.9	-66.8	-66.7	-75.0	-79.1	-71.9
57.	-72.4	-75.8	-79.7	-81.8	-79.7	-75.8	-72.4	-71.3	-75.6
	-78.6	-67.1	-66.5	-80.0	-60.8	-55.8	-54.9	-56.8	-60.9
	-67.9	-81.9	-81.5	-77.5	-81.5	-81.9	-67.9	-60.9	-56.8
	-54.9	-55.8	-60.8	-79.9	-66.5	-67.1	-78.6	-75.6	-71.3
60.	-74.2	-80.3	-90.6-	-102.8	-90.6	-80.3	-74.2	-71.4	-73.5
	-84.8	-67.7	-66.3	-80.0	-60.4	-55.5	-55.1	-57.6	-63.1
	-74.1	-80.1	-72.6	-71.3	-72.6	-80.1	-74.1	-63.1	-57.6
	-55.1	-55.5	-60.4	-79.9	-66.3	-67.7	-84.8	-73.5	-71.4

TABLE XVII

CASE 20 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
3.	180.	60.	-63.2

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADOCT			TANUL			(665)			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
0.	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7
	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7
	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7
	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7	-63.7
3.	-64.3	-64.4	-64.6	-64.7	-64.8	-64.9	-64.9	-64.9	-64.8
	-64.7	-64.6	-64.4	-64.3	-64.1	-63.9	-63.7	-63.5	-63.3
	-63.2	-63.0	-62.9	-62.8	-62.8	-62.7	-62.7	-62.7	-62.8
	-62.8	-62.9	-63.0	-63.2	-63.3	-63.5	-63.7	-63.9	-64.1
6.	-64.9	-65.3	-65.7	-66.0	-66.2	-66.3	-66.4	-66.3	-66.2
	-66.0	-65.7	-65.3	-64.9	-64.5	-64.1	-63.7	-63.3	-63.0
	-62.7	-62.5	-62.3	-62.1	-62.0	-61.9	-61.9	-61.9	-62.0
	-62.1	-62.3	-62.5	-62.7	-63.0	-63.3	-63.7	-64.1	-64.5
9.	-65.6	-66.3	-66.9	-67.5	-67.9	-68.2	-68.3	-68.2	-67.9
	-67.5	-66.9	-66.3	-65.6	-64.9	-64.3	-63.7	-63.2	-62.7
	-62.3	-62.0	-61.7	-61.5	-61.4	-61.3	-61.3	-61.3	-61.4
	-61.5	-61.7	-62.0	-62.3	-62.7	-63.2	-63.7	-64.3	-64.9
12.	-66.4	-67.4	-68.4	-69.4	-70.1	-70.7	-70.8	-70.7	-70.1
	-69.4	-68.4	-67.4	-66.4	-65.4	-64.5	-63.7	-63.0	-62.4
	-61.9	-61.5	-61.2	-61.0	-60.9	-60.8	-60.7	-60.8	-60.9
	-61.0	-61.2	-61.5	-61.9	-62.4	-63.0	-63.7	-64.5	-65.4
15.	-67.3	-68.8	-70.3	-71.9	-73.2	-74.1	-74.4	-74.1	-73.2
	-71.9	-70.3	-68.8	-67.3	-65.9	-64.7	-63.7	-62.8	-62.1
	-61.6	-61.1	-60.8	-60.6	-60.4	-60.4	-60.3	-60.4	-60.4
	-60.6	-60.8	-61.1	-61.6	-62.1	-62.8	-63.7	-64.7	-65.9
18.	-68.3	-70.4	-72.8	-75.4	-77.8	-79.6	-80.3	-79.6	-77.8
	-75.4	-72.8	-70.4	-68.3	-66.5	-65.0	-63.7	-62.7	-61.9
	-61.3	-60.8	-60.5	-60.3	-60.1	-60.0	-60.0	-60.0	-60.1
	-60.3	-60.5	-60.8	-61.3	-61.9	-62.7	-63.7	-65.0	-66.5

-263-

CASE 20 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU	MED TARGET	
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
3.	180.	60.	-63.2

TADOLT			THILL			(20)			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
21.	-69.5	-72.5	-76.2	-80.8	-84.9	-85.6	-85.2	-85.6	-84.9
	-80.8	-76.2	-72.5	-69.5	-67.1	-65.2	-63.7	-62.5	-61.6
	-61.0	-60.5	-60.2	-60.0	-59.9	-59.8	-59.8	-59.8	-59.9
	-60.0	-60.2	-60.5	-61.0	-61.6	-62.5	-63.7	-65.2	-67.1
24.	-70.8	-75.1	-81.3	-85.5	-81.2	-78.7	-77.9	-78.7	-81.2
	-85.5	-81.3	-75.1	-70.8	-67.8	-65.4	-63.7	-62.4	-61.4
	-60.7	-60.3	-60.0	-59.8	-59.7	-59.7	-59.7	-59.7	-59.7
	-59.8	-60.0	-60.3	-60.7	-61.4	-62.4	-63.7	-65.4	-67.8
27.	-72.5	-78.8	-85.6	-79.2	-75.5	-73.8	-73.3	-73.8	-75.5
	-79.2	-85.6	-78.8	-72.5	-68.5	-65.7	-63.7	-62.2	-61.2
	-60.5	-60.1	-59.8	-59.7	-59.6	-59.6	-59.6	-59.6	-59.6
	-59.7	-59.8	-60.1	-60.5	-61.2	-62.2	-63.7	-65.7	-68.5
30.	-74.4	-83.8	-80.1	-74.6	-72.0	-70.7	-70.4	-70.7	-72.0
	-74.6	-80.1	-83.8	-74.4	-69.3	-66.0	-63.7	-62.1	-61.0
	-60.3	-59.9	-59.7	-59.6	-59.6	-59.7	-59.7	-59.7	-59.6
	-59.6	-59.7	-59.9	-60.3	-61.0	-62.1	-63.7	-66.0	-69.3
33.	-77.0	-84.8	~75.6	-71.6	-69.6	-68.6	-68.3	-68.6	-69.6
	-71.6	-75.6	-84.8	-77.0	-70.2	-66.2	-63.7	-62.0	-60.9
	-60.2	-59.8	-59.6	-59.6	-59.7	-59.8	-59.8	-59.8	-59.7
	-59.6	-59.6	-59.8	-60.2	-60.9	-62.0	-63.7	-66.2	-70.2
36.	-80.3	-79.8	-72.6	-69.5	-67.9	-67.1	-66.8	-67.1	-67.9
	-69.5	-72.6	-79.8	-80.3	-71.1	-66.5	-63.7	-61.9	-60.7
	-60.0	-59.7	-59.6	-59.7	-59.8	-59.9	-60.0	-59.9	-59.8
	-59.7	-59.6	-59.7	-60.0	-60.7	-61.9	-63.7	-66.5	-71.1
39.	-84.4	-76.0	-70.5	-67.9	-66.6	-65.9	-65.7	-65.9	-66.6
	-67.9	-70.5	-76.0	-84.4	-72.3	-66.8	-63.7	-61.7	-60.5
	-59.9	-59.7	-59.7	-59.8	-60.0	-60.2	-60.2	-60.2	-60.0
	-59.8	-59.7	-59.7	-59.9	-60.5	-61.7	-63.7	-66.8	-72.3

CASE 20 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

PRESUMED TARGET										
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE							
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)							
3.	180.	60.	-63.2							

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADOFT					(020)	,			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
42.	-85.2	-73.3	-68.8	-66.7	-65.6	-65.1	-64.9	-65.1	-65.6
	-66.7	-68.8	-73.3	-85.2	-73.6	-67.2	-63.7	-61.6	-60.4
	-59.8	-59.6	-59.7	-60.0	-60.3	-60.5	-60.6	-60.5	-60.3
	-60.0	-59.7	-59.6	-59.8	-60.4	-61.6	-63.7	-67.2	-73.6
45.	-81.4	-71.3	-67.5	-65.7	-64.8	-64.5	-64.4	-64.5	-64.8
	-65.7	-67.5	-71.3	-81.4	-75.0	-67.5	-63.7	-61.5	-60.3
	-59.7	-59.6	-59.9	-60.2	-60.6	-60.9	-61.0	-60.9	-60.6
	-60.2	-59.9	-59.6	-59.7	-60.3	-61.5	-63.7	-67.5	-75.0
48.	-77.9	-69.7	-66.5	-65.0	-64.3	-64.1	-64.0	-64.1	-64.3
	-65.0	-66.5	-69.7	-77.9	-76.8	-67.8	-63.7	-61.4	-60.2
	-59.7	-59.7	-60.0	-60.5	-61.0	-61.3	-61.5	-61.3	-61.0
	-60.5	-60.0	-59.7	-59.7	-60.2	-61.4	-63.7	-67.8	-76.8
51.	-75.3	-68.5	-65.7	-64.5	-64.0	-63.9	-63.9	-63.9	-64.0
	-64.5	-65.7	-68.5	-75.3	-79.0	-68.2	-63.7	-61.3	-60.1
	-59.6	-59.8	-60.2	-60.9	-61.5	-61.9	-62.1	-61.9	-61.5
	-60.9	-60.2	-59.8	-59.6	-60.1	-61.3	-63.7	-68.2	-79.0
54.	-73.3	-67.4	-65.1	-64.1	-63.9	-64.0	-64.0	-64.0	-63.9
	-64.1	-65.1	-67.4	-73.3	-81.6	-68.6	-63.7	-61.2	-60.0
	-59.6	-59.9	-60.5	-61.3	-62.0	-62.5	-62.7	-62.5	-62.0
	-61.3	-60.5	-59.9	-59.6	-60.0	-61.2	-63.7	-68.6	-81.6
57.	-71.7	-66.6	-64.6	-63.9	-64.0	-64.3	-64.4	-64.3	-64.0
	-63.9	-64.6	-66.6	-71.7	-84.4	-69.0	-63.7	-61.1	-59.9
	-59.6	-60.0	-60.8	-61.7	-62.6	-63.2	-63.5	-63.2	-62.6
	-61.7	-60.8	-60.0	-59.6	-59.9	-61.1	-63.7	-69.0	-84.4
60.	-70.4	-65.9	-64.2	-63.9	-64.3	-64.9	-65.1	-64.9	-64.3
	-63.9	-64.2	-65.9	-70.4	-85.7	-69.4	-63.7	-61.0	-59.8
	-59.7	-60.2	-61.1	-62.3	-63.3	-64.0	-64.3	-64.0	-63.3
	-62.3	-61.1	-60.2	-59.7	-59.8	-61.0	-63.7	-69.4	-85.7

-265-

CASE 20 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

PRESUMED TARGET										
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE							
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)							
15.	180.	60.	-60.1							

TARGET	

SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
0.	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4
	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4
	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4
	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4	-66.4
3.	-68.6	-69.3	-70.1	-70.7	-71.2	-71.5	-71.6	-71.5	-71.2
	-70.7	-70.1	-69.3	-68.6	-67.8	-67.1	-66.4	-65.7	-65.2
	-64.7	-64.2	-63.9	-63.6	-63.4	-63.3	-63.2	-63.3	-63.4
	-63.6	-63.9	-64.2	-64.7	-65.2	-65.7	-66.4	-67.1	-67.8
6.	-71.6	-73.9	-76.6	-79.6	-82.6	-84.9	-85.9	-84.9	-82.6
	-79.6	-76.6	-73.9	-71.6	-69.6	-67.9	-66.4	-65.1	-64.1
	-63.2	-62.5	-62.0	-61.5	-61.2	-61.1	-61.0	-61.1	-61.2
	-61.5	-62.0	-62.5	-63.2	-64.1	-65.1	-66.4	-67.9	-69.6
9.	-76.2	-83.8	-88.8	-80.6	-77.0	-75.4	-74.9	-75.4	-77.0
	-80.6	-88.8	-83.8	-76.2	-71.8	-68.7	-66.4	-64.6	-63.2
	-62.0	-61.1	-60.4	-59.9	-59.6	-59.4	-59.3	-59.4	-59.6
	-59.9	-60.4	-61.1	-62.0	-63.2	-64.6	-66.4	-68.7	-71.8
12.	-85.9	-81.1	-74.3	-71.0	-69.3	-68.3	-68.0	-68.3	-69.3
	-71.0	-74.3	-81.1	-85.9	-74.7	-69.6	-66.4	-64.1	-62.3
	-61.0	-60.0	-59.2	-58.7	-58.3	-58.1	-58.0	-58.1	-58.3
	-58.7	-59.2	-60.0	-61.0	-62.3	-64.1	-66.4	-69.6	-74.7
15.	-82.7	-72.9	-68.9	-66.6	-65.3	-64.6	-64.3	-64.6	-65.3
	-66.6	-68.9	-72.9	-82.7	-79.2	-70.7	-66.4	-63.6	-61.6
	-60.1	-59.0	-58.2	-57.6	-57.2	-57.0	-56.9	-57.0	-57.2
	-57.6	-58.2	-59.0	-60.1	-61.6	-63.6	-66.4	-70.7	-79.2
18.	-74.9	-68.8	-65.6	-63.8	-62.6	-62.0	-61.8	-62.0	-62.6
	-63.8	-65.6	-68.8	-74.9	-87.6	-71.9	-66.4	-63.1	-60.9
	-59.3	-58.2	-57.3	-56.7	-56.4	-56.1	-56.1	-56.1	-56.4
	-56.7	-57.3	-58.2	-59.3	-60.9	-63.1	-66.4	-71.9	-87.6

CASE 20 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

PRESUMED TARGET										
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE							
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)							
15.	180.	60.	-60.1							

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADOLT									
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
21.	-70.8	-66.0	-63.3	-61.7	-60.7	-60.2	-60.0	-60.2	-60.7
	-61.7	-63.3	-66.0	-70.8	-85.6	-73.3	-66.4	-62.7	-60.3
	-58.0	-57.4	-56.6	-56.0	-55.7	-55.4	-55.4	-55.4	-55.7
	-56.0	-56.6	-57.4	-58.6	-60.3	-62.7	-66.4	-73.3	-85.6
24.	-68.0	~63.9	-61.6	-60.1	-59.2	-58.7	-58.6	-58.7	-59.2
	-60.1	-61.6	-63.9	-68.0	-78.2	-74.9	-66.4	-62.3	-59.7
	-58.0	-56.8	-56.0	-55.4	-55.1	-54.9	-54.8	-54.9	-55.1
	-55.4	-56.0	-56.8	-58.0	-59.7	-62.3	-66.4	-74.9	-78.2
27.	-66.0	-62.3	-60.2	-58.8	-58.0	-57.6	-57.5	-57.6	-58.0
	-58.8	-60.2	-62.3	-66.0	-74.1	-77.0	-66.4	-61.9	-59.2
	-57.4	-56.2	-55.4	-54.9	-54.6	-54.5	-54.4	-54.5	-54.6
	-54.9	-55.4	-56.2	-57.4	-59.2	-61.9	-66.4	-77.0	-74.1
30.	-64.3	-61.0	-59.0	-57.8	-57.1	-56.8	-56.6	-56.8	-57.1
	~57.8	-59.0	-61.0	-64.3	-71.3	-79.6	-66.4	-61.5	-58.7
	-56.9	-55.8	-55.0	-54.5	-54.3	-54.1	-54.1	-54.1	-54.3
	-54.5	-55.0	-55.8	-56.9	-58.7	-61.5	-66.4	-79.6	-71.3
33.	-63.0	-59.9	-58.1	-57.0	-56.4	-56.1	-56.0	-56.1	-56.4
	-57.0	-58.1	-59.9	-63.0	-69.2	-83.3	-66.4	-61.2	-58.3
	-56.5	-55.3	-54.6	-54.2	-54.0	-53.9	-53.9	-53.9	-54.0
	-54.2	-54.6	-55.3	-56.5	-58.3	-61.2	-66.4	-83.3	-69.3
36.	-61.8	-58.9	-57.3	-56.4	~55.9	-55.6	-55.5	-55.6	-55.9
	-56.4	-57.3	-58.9	-61.8	~67.6	-88.6	-66.4	-60.8	-57.9
	-56.1	-55.0	-54.3	-54.0	~53.8	-53.8	-53.7	-53.8	-53.8
	-54.0	-54.3	-55.0	-56.1	~57.9	-60.8	-66.4	-88.6	-67.6
39.	-60.8	-58.2	-56.7	-55.9	-55.5	-55.3	-55.2	-55.3	-55.5
	-55.9	-56.7	-58.2	-60.8	-66.2	-90.0	-66.4	-60.5	-57.5
	-55.7	-54.7	-54.1	-53.8	-53.7	-53.7	-53.7	-53.7	-53.7
	-53.8	-54.1	-54.7	-55.7	-57.5	-60.5	-66.4	-90.0	-66.2

-267-

CASE 20 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

	PRESU		
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)
15.	180.	60.	-60.1

TADOCT			TAKGET	IRAUN		(EES)			
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
42.	-60.0	-57.5	-56.2	-55.5	-55.2	-55.1	-55.1	-55.1	-55.2
	-55.5	-56.2	-57.5	-60.0	-65.0	-84.5	-66.4	-60.2	-57.1
	-55.4	-54.4	-53.9	-53.7	-53.7	-53.7	-53.7	-53.7	-53.7
	-53.7	-53.9	-54.4	-55.4	-57.1	-60.2	-66.4	-84.5	-65.0
45.	-59.2	-56.9	-55.7	-55.2	-55.1	-55.1	-55.2	-55.1	-55.1
	-55.2	-55.7	-56.9	-59.2	-64.0	-80.5	-66.4	-59.9	-56.8
	-55.1	-54.2	-53.8	-53.7	-53.7	-53.8	-53.9	-53.8	-53.7
	-53.7	-53.8	-54.2	-55.1	-56.8	-59.9	-66.4	-80.5	-64.0
48.	-58.6	-56.4	-55.4	-55.1	-55.2	-55.3	-55.4	-55.3	-55.2
	-55.1	-55.4	-56.4	-58.6	-63.0	-77.6	-66.4	-59.6	-56.5
	-54.8	-54.0	-53.7	-53.7	-53.9	-54.0	-54.1	-54.0	-53.9
	-53.7	-53.7	-54.0	-54.8	-56.5	-59.6	-66.4	-77.6	-63.0
51.	-58.0	-56.0	-55.2	-55.1	-55.4	-55.7	-55.8	-55.7	-55.4
	-55.1	-55.2	-56.0	-58.0	-62.2	-75.4	-66.4	-59.4	-56.2
	-54.6	-53.9	-53.7	-53.8	-54.0	-54.3	-54.3	-54.3	-54.0
	-53.8	-53.7	-53.9	-54.6	-56.2	-59.4	-66.4	-75.4	-62.2
54.	-57.5	-55.7	-55.1	-55.3	-55.8	-56.3	-56.5	-56.3	-55.8
	-55.3	-55.1	-55.7	-57.5	-61.5	-73.7	-66.4	-59.1	-55.9
	-54.4	-53.8	-53.7	-54.0	-54.3	-54.6	-54.7	-54.6	-54.3
	-54.0	-53.7	-53.8	-54.4	-55.9	-59.1	-66.4	-73.7	-61.5
57.	-57.0	-55.5	-55.1	-55.6	-56.4	- 57.2	-57.5	-57.2	-56.4
	-55.6	-55.1	-55.5	-57.0	-60.8	-72.2	-66.4	-58.9	-55.7
	-54.2	-53.7	-53.8	-54.2	-54.6	-55.0	-55.1	-55.0	-54.6
	-54.2	-53.8	-53.7	-54.2	-55.7	-58.9	-66.4	-72.2	-60.8
60.	-56.6	-55.3	-55.2	-56.0	-57.3	-58.5	-59.0	-58.5	-57.3
	-56.0	-55.2	-55.3	-56.6	-60.2	-71.0	-66.4	-58.6	-55.5
	-54.1	-53.7	-53.9	-54.4	-55.0	-55.5	-55.6	-55.5	-55.0
	-54.4	-53.9	-53.7	-54.1	-55.5	-58.6	-66.4	-71.0	-60.2

CASE 20 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

PRESUMED TARGET										
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE							
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)							
30.	180.	60.	-56.5							

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TARGET

SPEED	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80
(MPH)	9Ŏ	100	1ĪŎ	120	130	140	150	160	170
	180	190	200	210	220	230	240	250	260
	270	280	290	300	310	320	330	340	350
0.	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9
	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9
	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9	~69.9	-69.9	-69.9	-69.9
	-03.3	-09.9	-03.3	-09.9	-03.5	-03.3	-03.5	-05.5	-09.9
3.	-74.3	-76.2	-78.1	-80.0	-81.7	-83.0	-83.4	-83.0	-81.7
	-80.0	-78.1	-76.2	-74.3	-72.7	-71.2	-69.9	-68.8	-67.8
	-65.4	-65.8	-05.8	-67.0	-67.8	-64.9	-64.9	-04.9	-05.1
					00				,
6.	-83.4	-91.2	-82.2	-78.0	-75.8	-74.6	-74.3	-74.6	-75.8
	-/8.0	-82.2	-91.2	-83.4	-/6.8	-/2.8	-69.9	-6/.8	-66.2
	-62.5	-63.1	-63.8	-64.9	-66.2	-67.8	-69.9	-72.8	-76.8
9.	-83.2	-75.1	-71.5	-69.3	-68.0	-67.3	-67.1	-67.3	-68.0
	-69.3	-/1.5	-/5.1	-83.2	-84.2	-/4.0	-69.9	-00.9	-04.8
	-60.3	-61.0	-61.9	-63.2	-64.8	-66.9	-69.9	-74.6	-84.2
12.	-74.3	-69.5	-66.8	-65.1	-64.1	-63.5	-63.3	-63.5	-64.1
	-05.1	-60.0	-09.5	-74.3	-0/.9	-70.9	-09.9	-57.9	-03.0
	-58.7	-59.4	-60.4	-61.7	-63.6	-66.1	-69.9	-76.9	-87.9
• •									
15.	-70.0	-65.1	-63.9	-62.4	-61.5	-61.0	-60.8	-61.0	-61.5
	-60.5	-59.1	-58.1	-57.3	-56.8	-56.6	-56.5	-56.6	-56.8
	-57.3	-58.1	-59.1	-60.5	-62.5	-65.4	-69.9	-80.1	-78.4
10	-67 1	-63 0	-61 7	-60 4	-50 E	-50 1	<u>_</u> 60 0	_50 1	_50 G
10.	-60.4	-61.7	-63.8	-67.1	-73.7	-84.8	-69.9	-64.7	-61.6
	-59.5	-58.0	-57.0	-56.2	-55.8	-55.5	-55.4	-55.5	-55.8
	-56.2	-57.0	-58.0	-59.5	-61.6	-64.7	-69.9	-84.8	-73.7

-269-

CASE 20 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

PRESUMED TARGET						
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE			
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)			
30.	180.	60.	-56.5			

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

TADOCT	PRIME I MANY (DEVICED)								
SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
21.	-65.0	-62.0	-60.1	-58.9	-58.1	-57.7	-57.6	-57.7	-58.1
	-58.9	-60.1	-62.0	-65.0	-70.7	-91.5	-69.9	-64.1	-60.8
	-58.6	-57.1	-56.1	-55.3	-54.9	-54.6	-54.5	-54.6	-54.9
	-55.3	-56.1	-57.1	-58.6	-60.8	-64.1	-69.9	-91.5	-70.7
24.	-63.3	-60.5	-58.8	-57.7	-57.0	-56.7	-56.5	-56.7	-57.0
	-57.7	-58.8	-60.5	-63.3	-68.5	-87.0	-69.9	-63.5	-60.0
	-57.8	-56.3	-55.3	-54.6	-54.1	-53.9	-53.8	-53.9	-54.1
	-54.6	-55.3	-56.3	-57.8	-60.0	-63.5	-69.9	-87.0	-68.5
27.	-62.0	-59.3	-57.7	-56.7	-56.1	-55.8	-55.7	-55.8	-56.1
	-56.7	-57.7	-59.3	-62.0	-66.7	-81.5	-69.9	-63.0	-59.4
	-57.1	-55.6	-54.6	-53.9	-53.5	-53.3	-53.2	-53.3	-53.5
	-53.9	-54.6	-55.6	-57.1	-59.4	-63.0	-69.9	-81.5	-66.7
30.	-60.8	-58.4	-56.9	-56.0	-55.5	-55.2	-55.1	-55.2	-55.5
	-56.0	-56.9	-58.4	-60.8	-65.3	-77.9	-69.9	-62.5	-58.7
	-56.5	-55.0	-54.0	-53.4	-53.0	-52.8	-52.8	-52.8	-53.0
	-53.4	-54.0	-55.0	-56.5	-58.7	-62.5	-69.9	-77.9	-65.3
33.	-59.8	-57.5	-56.2	-55.4	-55.0	-54.8	-54.7	-54.8	-55.0
	-55.4	-56.2	-57.5	-59.8	-64.1	-75.4	-69.9	-62.0	-58.2
	-55,9	-54.5	-53.6	-53.0	-52.7	-52.5	-52.4	-52.5	-52.7
	-53.0	-53.6	-54.5	-55.9	-58.2	-62.0	-69.9	-75.4	-64.1
36.	-59.0	-56.8	-55.6	-54.9	-54.6	-54.5	-54.5	-54.5	-54.6
	-54.9	-55.6	-56.8	-59.0	-63.0	-73.4	-69.9	-61.5	-57.7
	-55.4	-54.0	-53.1	-52.6	-52.4	-52.2	-52.2	-52.2	-52.4
	-52.6	-53.1	-54.0	-55.4	-57.7	-61.5	-69.9	-73.4	-63.0
39.	-58.3	-56.2	-55.1	-54.6	-54.4	-54.4	-54.4	-54.4	-54.4
	-54.6	-55.1	-56.2	-58.3	-62.1	-71.8	-69.9	-61.1	-57.2
	-54.9	-53.6	-52.8	-52.4	-52.2	-52.1	-52.0	-52.1	-52.2
	-52.4	-52.8	-53.6	-54.9	-57.2	-61.1	-69.9	-71.8	-62.1

Ì

CASE 20 UNNORMALIZED RESPONSE TO OTHER BORESIGHT TARGETS

PRESUMED TARGET						
SPEED	TRACK	AZ IMUTH	EIGENVALUE			
(MPH)	(DEGREES)	(DEGREES)	(dB)			
30.	180.	60.	-56.5			

TARGET TRACK (DEGREES)

SPEED (MPH)	0 90 180 270	10 100 190 280	20 110 200 290	30 120 210 300	40 130 220 310	50 140 230 320	60 150 240 330	70 160 250 340	80 170 260 350
42.	-57.6	-55.7	-54.8	-54.5	-54.4	-54.5	-54.5	-54.5	-54.4
	-54.5	-54.8	-55.7	-57.6	-61.3	-70.4	-69.9	-60.7	-56.7
	-54.5	-53.2	-52.5	-52.2	-52.0	-52.0	-52.0	-52.0	-52.0
	-52.2	-52.5	-53.2	-54.5	-56.7	-60.7	-69.9	-70.4	-61.3
45.	-57.0	-55.3	-54.6	-54.4	-54.6	-54.8	-54.9	-54.8	-54.6
	-54.4	-54.6	-55.3	-57.0	-60.6	-69.3	-69.9	-60.3	-56.3
	-54.1	-52.9	-52.3	-52.0	-52.0	-52.0	-52.0	-52.0	-52.0
	-52.0	-52.3	-52.9	-54.1	-56.3	-60.3	-69.9	-69.3	-60.6
48.	-56.5	-55.0	-54.4	-54.5	-54.9	-55.3	-55.4	-55.3	-54.9
	-54.5	-54.4	-55.0	-56.5	-59.9	-68.3	-69.9	-60.0	-55.9
	-53.8	-52.7	-52.1	-52.0	-52.0	-52.0	-52.1	-52.0	-52.0
	-52.0	-52.1	-52.7	-53.8	-55.9	-60.0	-69.9	-68.3	-59.9
51.	-56.1	-54.7	-54.4	-54.7	-55.4	-56.0	-56.2	-56.0	-55.4
	-54.7	-54.4	-54.7	-56.1	-59.3	-67.3	-69.9	-59.6	-55.6
	-53.5	-52.5	-52.0	-52.0	-52.1	-52.2	-52.2	-52.2	-52.1
	-52.0	-52.0	-52.5	-53.5	-55.6	-59.6	-69.9	-67.4	-59.3
54.	-55.7	-54.6	-54.5	-55.2	-56.2	-57.1	-57.5	-57.1	-56.2
	-55.2	-54.5	-54.6	-55.7	-58.7	-66.5	-69.9	-59.3	-55.2
	-53.2	-52.3	-52.0	-52.0	-52.2	-52.4	-52.5	-52.4	-52.2
	-52.0	-52.0	-52.3	-53.2	-55.2	-59.3	-69.9	-66.5	-58.7
57.	-55.4	-54.4	-54.7	-55.8	-57.3	-58.7	-59.2	-58.7	-57.3
	-55.8	-54.7	-54.4	-55.4	-58.3	-65.8	-69.9	-59.0	-54.9
	-53.0	-52.2	-52.0	-52.1	-52.4	-52.7	-52.8	-52.7	-52.4
	-52.1	-52.0	-52.2	-53.0	-54.9	-59.0	-69.9	-65.8	-58.3
60.	-55.1	-54.4	-55.0	-56.6	-58.8	-61.0	-62.0	-61.0	-58.8
	-56.6	-55.0	-54.4	-55.1	-57.8	-65.1	-69.9	-58.7	-54.6
	-52.8	-52.1	-52.0	-52.3	-52.7	-53.1	-53.2	-53.1	-52.7
	-52.3	-52.0	-52.1	-52.8	-54.6	-58.7	-69.9	-65.1	-57.8

-271-

Jerrold Stuart Shuster was born on 30 March 1940 in Flint, Michigan. He graduated from Flint Central High School in 1957 and attended Flint Junior College the following year. In the Fall of 1958 he transferred to the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor, from which he received the degrees of Bachelor of Science in Engineering (Electrical Engineering) and Bachelor of Science (Engineering Mathematics) in 1963. He received his commission in the USAF on 5 November 1963 as a distinguished graduate from Officer Training School at Lackland AFB, Texas. After an assignment at the Communications Computer Programming Center at Tinker AFB, Oklahoma, he entered the Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT) at Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio in 1968 and obtained the degree of Master of Science in Electrical Engineering in 1970. He then spent a year at Tan Son Nhut AB, Republic of Vietnam, followed by two years with the 1st Aerospace Communications Group-Command at Offutt AFB, Nebraska. In July, 1973, he re-entered AFIT to obtain the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Aerospace Engineering. He was admitted to Candidacy in 1975 and was assigned to the Microwave Physics Laboratory, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories at Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts until June 1979. He then transferred to the Air Force Weapons Laboratory at Kirtland AFB, New Mexico, where he is currently the Chief, EMP Interactions and Advanced Concepts Section of the Electromagnetics Technology Branch of the Applied Physics Division.

VITA

Permanent address: care of: 29 Burt Ct Valley Stream, L.I., NY 11581

-272-







MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

14

SUPPLEMENTARY

INFORMATION

Corrected rept. no.

AFIT/DS/EE/81-3

P. A 1011K3

MULTIPLE ARRESTED

SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR

DISSERTATION

AFIT/DS/EE/81-3

Jerrold S. Shuster Major USAF

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited