AD-A099 709 UNCLASSIFIED	ENVIRO ENVIRO MAY 81	MENTAL	INVENT	FORY RE	PORT. E	AST ST.	E INC LOUIS	AND VI	CINITY, 3-78-C-	5 rc(v)	
⊶ 3 ⁴⁰ 4											





Prepared for: U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis - Corps of Engineers

St. Louis, Missouri 1981

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

6

X

Approved for public releases Distribution Unlimited

04

0.05

REPORT DOCUMENTATION		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	
	VAN- A099	709
TITLE (and Subtisio)	······································	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Environmental Inventory Report		9
East St. Louis and Vicinity		Final Report
Cahokia Canal Drainage Area s Madison and St. Clair Counties, I	llinois VAlu	ha 2.
AUTHOR(a)		. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.)
Environmental Researchers of Edwa	rdsville, Inc.	DACW43 78 C0055
	IS NAY	4-3-78-2-0035
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRES		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAGH
U.S. Army Engineer District, St.	Louis	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Environmental Studies Section, Pl	-	(12.)272 (
210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Loui Controlling office name and address	s, MO 63101	12. REPORT DATE
U.S. Army Engineer District, St.	Louis II	May Mala
Environmental Studies Section, Pl		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Loui	s, MO 63101	Approximately 800
	ent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
0) Frank B./Kulf		Unclassified
Jomi'e Ei Thon		154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
	LEPSON	
5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter	od in Block 20, if different fr	om Report)
- SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side il necessary	and identify by block number	;)
9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necessary Water and Sediment Quality	and identify by block number Terrestial Comm	
Water and Sediment Quality Air pollution	Terrestial Comm Cultural Studie	unities s
Water and Sediment Quality Air pollution Noise pollution	Terrestial Comm Cultural Studie Environmental I	nunities s inventory
Water and Sediment Quality Air pollution	Terrestial Comm Cultural Studie	nunities s inventory
Water and Sediment Quality Air pollution Noise pollution	Terrestial Comm Cultural Studie Environmental I East St. Louis,	nunities s inventory Illinois Area
Water and Sediment Quality Air pollution Noise pollution Aquatic Communities	Terrestial Comm Cultural Studie Environmental I East St. Louis,	nunities s inventory Illinois Area
Water and Sediment Quality Air pollution Noise pollution Aquatic Communities A AGGTRACT (Continue on revues of N recordery This six volume set represents a	Terrestial Comm Cultural Studie Environmental I East St. Louis, and identify by block number thorough environm	ental inventory of the
Water and Sediment Quality Air pollution Noise pollution Aquatic Communities A ABSTRACT (Contine a revue of M reveaux) This six volume set represents a Cahokia Canal/Harding Ditch Drain	Terrestial Comm Cultural Studie Environmental I East St. Louis, and identify by block number thorough environm age Area in Madis	nunities s inventory Illinois Area pental inventory of the on and St. Clair Counties
Water and Sediment Quality Air pollution Noise pollution Aquatic Communities A AGGTRACT (Continue on revues of N recordery This six volume set represents a	Terrestial Comm Cultural Studie Environmental I East St. Louis, and identify by block number thorough environm age Area in Madis background inform	nunities s inventory Illinois Area ental inventory of the on and St. Clair Counties mation for a St. Louis
Water and Sediment Quality Air pollution Noise pollution Aquatic Communities A ABTRACT (Cathor a reverse at N reverse) This six volume set represents a Cahokia Canal/Harding Ditch Drain of Illinois. It was prepared as	Terrestial Comm Cultural Studie Environmental I East St. Louis, and identify by block number thorough environm age Area in Madis background inform	nunities s inventory Illinois Area ental inventory of the on and St. Clair Counties mation for a St. Louis
Water and Sediment Quality Air pollution Noise pollution Aquatic Communities A ABTRACT (Cathor a reverse at N reverse) This six volume set represents a Cahokia Canal/Harding Ditch Drain of Illinois. It was prepared as	Terrestial Comm Cultural Studie Environmental I East St. Louis, and identify by block number thorough environm age Area in Madis background inform	nunities s inventory Illinois Area ental inventory of the on and St. Clair Counties mation for a St. Louis
Water and Sediment Quality Air pollution Noise pollution Aquatic Communities A ABTRACT (Cathor a reverse at N reverse) This six volume set represents a Cahokia Canal/Harding Ditch Drain of Illinois. It was prepared as	Terrestial Comm Cultural Studie Environmental I East St. Louis, and identify by block number thorough environm age Area in Madis background inform multi-purpose pla	nunities s inventory Illinois Area ental inventory of the on and St. Clair Counties mation for a St. Louis

State of the Article State of the State of the

EAST ST. LOUIS AND VICINITY, ILLINOIS CAHOKIA CANAL DRAINAGE AREA MADISON AND ST. CLAIR COUNTIES, ILLINOIS

ENVIRONMENTAL INVENTORY REPORT

VOLUMES 1 AND 2

£

L

C

PHYSICAL ELEMENTS

VOLUME 1 - HYDROLOGICAL ELEMENTS

I GENERAL (INCLUDING FLOOD PROBLEMS)-Koepke II WATER AND SEDIMENT QUALITY -Thomerson

VOLUME 2 - ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

III AIR POLLUTION - Thornton

IV NOISE POLLUTION - Thornton

VOLUME 3

BIOLOGICAL ELEMENTS

V HABITATS - Kulfinski, Thomerson

BIOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

AQUATIC COMMUNITIES

- VI MACROPHYTES AND PHYTOPLANKTON Kulfinski
- VII ZOOPLANKTON AND BENTHOS -Myer
- VIII FISH Thomerson

TERRESTRIAL COMMUNITIES

- IX VEGETATION Kulfinski
- X ANIMALS, GENERAL Parker
- XI GAME ANIMALS -Klimstra

XII PESTIFEROUS PLANTS AND ANIMALS - Kulfinskin Myer

XIII THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES (PLANT & ANIMAL) -Kulfinski, Parker VOLUME 4

t

1

£

ť.

3

€

Ë

8

CULTURAL ELEMENTS

POPULATION

XIV HISTORY - Puckett

XV ETHNIC HERITAGE - Kimball

XVI SOCIAL - Koepke, Robert

VOLUME 5

CULTURAL ELEMENTS (CONT.)

XVII CRIME - Rídenour

XVIII OUTDOOR RECREATION - Ridenour

XIX ECONOMY/LAND USE AND GROWTH - Koepke, Robert

- XX INSTITUTIONAL ORGANIZATION (GOVERNMENT) Koepke, Beth
- XXI PROBLEMS AND OPPORTUNITIES Koepke, Kulfinski, Thomerson, & Thornton

VOLUME 6

ATLAS

Acces	sion For	
NTIS	GRA&I	
DTIC	ГАВ 🚺	
	ounced 🗌	- (
Justi	fication	
	ibution/ lability Codes	
Γ	Avail and/or	
Dist	Special	
A		



INTRODUCTION

A variety of habitat types exist in the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area, of which eleven are recognized and discussed herein. Three urban and eight nonurban habitats are studied within each of three parts of the study area: (1) floodplain, (2) upland, and (3) Chouteau Island. A summary of the cumulative area of each habitat type is provided in Table V-1 and the distribution of these habitat types is given in Figure V-1*

URBAN HABITATS

Urban habitats are not only those which are subdivided for homes, business and industry, but also those used, modified, and managed for human needs associated with urban settings. In general, urban habitats do not have agricultural, wildlife or fishery uses as their primary reason for existence.

In Figure V-1, urban habitats are subdivided on the basis of percentage of the area devoid of vegetative cover. City habitats have less than twenty percent vegetative cover, suburban habitats have from thirty to eighty percent vegetative cover, and exurban habitats are from eighty-one to one hundred percent covered with vegetation. Urban habitats are concentrated in the Granite City area of the floodplain and around Collinsville in the uplands.

City Habitats

£

City habitats cover 4,658 acres or eight percent of the floodplain but only 130 acres or less than one percent of the upland. As shown in Figure V-1, rall yards account for much of the city area in the flood-

*All figures referred to are located in Volume 6 of 6 of this Environmental Inventory Report

Table V-1

Size and Relative Importance of Biological Habitats, Found in the Floodplain, the Upland, and Chouteau Island Portions of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

ŧ.

ŧ

£.

ť.

Habitat	F1000	Iplain	Up	and	Choutea	u Island
	Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent
URBAN						:
City	4,658	8	130	0	148	3
Suburban	10,626		10,018	32	511	11
Exurban	4,631	19 8	1,391	4	130	3
Subtotal	19,915	35	11,539	37	789	3 16
NON-URBAN						
Agricultural	29,105	51	11,740	37	2,626	55
01d Field	184	0	676	2	-	-
Upland Forest	-	-	7,387	24	-	-
Floodplain Forest	3,757	7		-	783	16
Sandbars	-	-	-	-	115	
Mudflats	47	0	-	-	-	-
Wetland	1,600	3 4	-	-	480	10
Lakes and Streams	2,483	4	70	0	10	0
Subtotal	37, 176	65	19,873	63	4,014	84
TOTAL	57,091	100	31,412	100 -	4,803	100

Source: Calculated from Figure V-1 in Volume 6 of 6 of this Environmental Inventory Report. plain. Factory areas, parking lots, and business districts account for most of the rest. Dump grounds are a major component of city habitat in the floodplain, particularly the land fill area bordered by Interstate 70/55, Illinois Route 203, and the Cahokia Canal. The area covered by the Granite City Steel ponds in Horseshoe Lake falls into the city habitat classification.

Suburban Habitats

Suburban habitats cover 10,626 acres or nineteen percent of the floodplain and 10,018 acres or thirty-one percent of the upland. The location of this habitat is given in Figure V-1. The bulk of the suburbs is covered by homes and yards, but such features as major highways and their rights-of-ways and some railroad tracks and their rights-of-ways also fall into this category. Suburban habitats are biologically richer than city habitats. There is more vegetation and more diversity of food and cover. Yard birds (cardinals, bluejays, robins, starlings, mocking birds, etc.) are more common in suburban areas, as are squirrels and rabbits. Raccoons and opossums are occasionally encountered.

Exurban Habitats

E

Exurban habitats cover 4,631 acres or eight percent of the floodplain and 1,391 acres or four percent of the uplands. They are scattered throughout the area, often contiguous with other urban habitats, as is shown in Figure V-1. Exurban habitats vary greatly from a biological point of view. They range from uniform mowed grass areas, such as school yards, athletic fields, grassed parking lots, levees, and golf courses, to very diverse areas such as undeveloped

housing and industrial tracts, wooded parks and the like and thus cannot be characterized as a uniform biological habitat. A school yard or athletic field might offer less habitat for wildlife than some of the city areas.

NONURBAN HABITATS

Agricultural Habitats

Agricultural habitats are found where agricultural crops have been substituted for natural vegetation. The agricultural habitats include fields containing a single crop species (monoculture) rather than botanical diversity, The pre-agricultural diverse communities contained more cover, more food (foliage, seeds, roots, and fruits), and more microclimates than the present artificial agricultural communities. Furthermore, agricultural communities are harvested, at which time their cover, production, food, etc. all decline or at least change. Also, plants and animals adapted to being part of the bottomland communities which originally existed on the floodplain are not usually adapted for existence in agricultural fields, except for a few which are agricultural pests and are eradicated by cultivation or by pesticides.

Agricultural habitats make up 2,626 acres or fifty-five percent of Chouteau Island, 29,105 acres or fifty-one percent of the floodplain and 11,740 acres or thirty-seven percent of the upland, and are the most significant habitat (43,471 total acres or forty-six percent of the total area) in the study area. This habitat distribution is shown in Figure V-1. Floodplain agriculture is practiced where drainage permits and wherever urban habitats have not yet encroached.

Upland agriculture is practiced on the more or less flat ridges but not in the ravines, which are too steep for agricultural equipment or practices.

Principal crops in the area are field corn, soybeans, and winter wheat, with smaller amounts of horseradish, truck crops, strawberries, orchards, sorghum, and a few acres of sunflowers. The principal animal life associated with the corn, wheat and soybean fields are grackles, starlings, crows, rabbits, small rodents, groundhogs, opossums, raccoons, snakes, toads, and various insects (corn earworms, cutworms, grasshoppers, etc.). Agricultural fields are not well utilized by wildlife, but, after harvest, stubble and straw shelter some animals and the spilled grain provides forage for many.

Old Field Habitats

ALC: NO.

(

C

Old field habitats result from abandonment of agricultural fields and are successional communities. They contain many annual and biennial plant species at first, followed chronologically by perennial grasses and herbs, shrubs, and finally climax vegetation. Old fields are good habitat for a variety of successional groups of animals, however agricultural use of land is so intensive in the area that little is abandoned to provide old field habitat. Old field habitat represents 184 acres or less than one percent of the floodplain, and 676 acres or two percent of the upland. The greatest amount of old field habitat, as shown in Figure V-1, is on the campus of Southern llinois University at Edwardsville, and game animals such as deer, doves, and quail have been observed there.

Upland Forest

Upland forest habitats are found on the slopes and bottoms of

ravines and valleys in the upland, as shown in Figure V-1. Originally, in the upland, prairie covered the ridgetops, dry upland forest the slopes, and finally mesic forest, grading to floodplain type, occupied the bottoms. The typical dry forest of the slopes was probably dominated by red oak, white oak, hickories, and sugar maple. The bottoms of the ravines were probably dominated by such species as black cherry, cottonwood, sycamore, basswood, elm, hackberry, and many others. Upland forest occupies 7,387 acres or twenty-four percent of the upland area. It is suitable habitat for upland forest animals such as squirrels, deer, foxes.

Floodplain Forest

C

Floodplain forest habitat at one time occupied much of the floodplain, especially that along watercourses and pond/lake areas. Due to abundant spring rains and extreme summer droughts, it is difficult to distinguish among swamps (category 7 wetlands), some seasonally flooded basins or flats (category 1 wetlands), and floodplain forests-- actually, they intergrade (Sliaw and Fredine, 1971). Floodplain forest habitat, shown in Figure V-1, occupies 783 acres or sixteen percent of Chouteau Island and occupies 3,757 acres or seven percent of the floodplain. Its total acreage has been greatly diminished, except in standing water areas, since agriculture has aggressively occupied all the territory practical. Some of the animals occupying this habitat include the squirrel, rabbit and raccoon. Sandbars and Mudflats

Sandbar habitat, shown in Figure V-1, makes up 115 acres or two percent of Chouteau Island, with the degree of sandbar exposure

varying according to river level. Sandbars are essentially absent from the floodplain and upland. They represent the first stage of primary succession. They are usually colonized by pioneer species such as annual herbaceous plants which are followed by willows and then by other early successional species. The lack of soil nutrients and water holding capacity combined with seasonal scouring make this a harsh habitat which is rather sparsely inhabited by animal and plant species, except transient ones.

Mudflat habitat occupies forty-seven acres or less than one percent of the floodplain. It is a seasonal phenomenon-- it is mudflat at dry times of the year and lakebottom at wet times. The only significant mudflat in the study area, as shown in Figure V-1, is at the southeast end of Horseshoe Lake. This mudflat has marsh vegetation and floating aquatics at its margins in the spring and fall but is exposed in summer. Wading birds use the mudflat in search of invertebrates, but most other vertebrate animals are lacking. Wetland Habitats

Wetland habitats occupy 1,600 acres or three percent of the floodplain, 480 acres or ten percent of Chouteau Island. These wetland habitats, shown in Figure V-1, were mapped from aerial photographs (NASA, 1974), topographic maps (ISGS, 1967), and field surveys. Wetlands were classified following United States Department of the Interior wetlands classifications (Sliaw and Fredine, 1971). The study area was formerly a large natural floodplain and probably contained much wetland. The area was leveed and drained early in this century, making it available for farming and homesites. Most

of the wetlands, bottomland forest, and wet prairies which the area contained were destroyed until at present the area consists largely of suburbs and farms. Area wetlands consist of either lakes and lake margins or fields with sufficient standing water in the spring to prohibit plowing. Many wetland sites have been disturbed or destroyed by opportunistic farming which encroaches partially or completely upon wetlands during periodic dry years. For example, corn was at one time planted on the bottom of Horseshoe Lake and attempts to cultivate wetlands near Valmeyer (south of the study area) were made in 1976. The latter wetland area was plowed and disked and then abandoned. In midsummer, 1976, (at Valmeyer) sprouts of some perennials (water lilies and sedges) were making feeble attempts to regenerate, but the nature and composition of the communities had been altered. Similar and more drastic practices have greatly reduced the acreage of wetlands in the area.

A PARTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AN

The current wetlands consist of spring-flooded forests (type 1) and a number of zonally-distributed water-margin communities which represent stages in the successional cycle of lakes and ponds. Most ponds and lakes of this area do not exceed three feet average depth. The area wetlands (aside from the type 1 mentioned above) are largely marginal vegetation which is classified as type 3, inland shallow fresh marshes, which are dominated by grasses, sedges, water primrose, smartweeds, lizard's tail, cattail, arrowhead, water-plantain, giant bur-reed; type 4, inland deep fresh marshes, which are dominated by duckweeds, spatterdock, waterlily, bullrush, and bladderwort; and type 6, shrub swamps, which are dominated by buttonbush, willow,

swamp-privet, dogwood, and deciduous holly. The bodies of water are too shallow to include type 5 wetlands.

In general, the wetlands consist of type 1, which farmers have occassionally left standing as woodlots and types 3, 4, and 6 which are successional zones found at the margins of lakes and ponds.

These principal large wetlands, shown in Figure V-1, are those associated with (A) Horseshoe Lake, (B) Dobrey Slough, (C) McDonough Lake, (D) the area at the northeast corner of the intersection of Interstate 70 and Black Lane (just east of Cahokia Canal), and (E) the area southwest of Horseshoe Lake (south of Interstate 70 and west of Illinois Route 111).

Lakes and Streams

L.

Lakes and ponds make up 2,483 acres or four percent of the floodplain, ten acres or less than one percent of Chouteau Island, and seventy acres or less than one percent of the uplands. The small upland streams vary greatly in flow. All become intermittent over most of their length during dry periods. Typical localities are described in Table V-2. These creeks have alternating pool and riffle habitats and are well shaded in the upland. They are small streams seldom more than ten feet wide, usually less than three feet deep (although there are scattered deep pools up to six feet deep). The bottom is usually sand to mud in pools and gravel to broken rock in the riffles.

Streams do not exist as such on the floodplain. Most flowing water moves through ditches. Samples of these habitats are described in Table V-2. Lakes and ponds in the floodplain fall into approximately

Table V-2

Aquatic Sampling Sites

- Site 1 Cahokia Canal at Hwy. 50 (Hwy. 3). Below box culvert under Hwy. Just south of National City Police Dept. and Royal Packing Company. Pig farm just south of canal. Width: 30' to 60'. Steep mud banks, no cover. Usually fairly strong flow. Depth: 2' to 10' plus depending on flow, mud bottom.
- Site 2 Cahokia Canal at Hwy. 111 north of Interstate 70. Banks steep, weed and tall grass along banks. Depth: usually 6' plus, width at normal flow: ± 40'. Some logs in channel, usually strong flow.
- Site 3 Horseshoe Lake Outfall Canal. North of railroad tracks at Hwy. 111. Flow variable, into or out of lake or none. Collecting site E of Hwy. Bridge canal divided by Island. Depth to 3' at normal flow. Banks not steep, with high grass and weeds. Creeping water primrose and smartweed along margins. Bottom organic-rich mud. Logs and branches in water. Tree cover along south bank to west of highway.
- Site 4 Cahokia Canal at Sand Prairie Road north of Interstate 70. Banks steep, some grass and weeds, little marginal vegetation. Bottom slick clay mud. Sample site west of bridge. A few willows along bank, a few sticks and logs in water, flow usually strong. Width at normal flow: 10' to 15', depth: 2' to 3'. Site is above confluence with Canteen Creek.
- Site 5 Schoolhouse Branch at Hwy. 157 (old Hwy. 40). Sample site on west side of highway. Rocky riffle at bridge. Sand and mud bottom riffles and pools above bridge. Pools to 5' deep. Banks gradual, open on inside of bends, steep and undercut on outsides. No aquatic vegetation. Large trees and tall weeds along shore. Scattered logs in water and tree roots along undercut banks.
- Site 6 Cahokia Canal at railroad bridge near Edelhardt Lake by Collinsville-Granite City Road, south of Grey's farm. Steep high banks, sand and mud bottom, 10' plus wide to 2' deep at normal flow. Little flow apparent. Banks with little cover, no aquatic plant. Trees on west bank to south of railroad.

Table V-2 (con'd)

and the second secon

- Site 7 Burdick Branch at Hwy. 157 (old 40). Banks wooded except at highway right-of-way and width up to 10', very shallow, small riffles and pools. No aquatic vegetation, bottom sand, mud and rubble. Some organic debris in water.
- Site 8 Judy's Branch at Hwy. 157 (old 40). Very similar to site 7, but up to 15' wide, 1' to 2' deep in pools.
- Site 9 Cahokia Canal at Mitchell Road (old Hwy. 40), north of Int. 270 and just west of Sand Prairie Road. Width to 50', depth to 3'. Full of logs, old tires, etc., wooded banks, covered with duckweed. Above highway and for 50 to 100 yards below highway, no flow apparent. Bottom organic muck.
- Site 10 Mitchell Ditch at Hwy. 162. Due south of Microwave tower, banks open, fields on either side, width to 30'. Pool south of highway then cattails. Hard mud bottom, some algae and creeping water primrose along banks of pool. Channel recently cleared by land owner. Deeper pools with riffles or no flow connecting.
- Site 11 Long Lake at Hwy. 111 just south of Pontoon Road. To 200' wide. Depth: to 3'. Soft mud bottom, back yards on shore. Shoreline with trees, various docks, rip-rap, etc. Water fairly clear to turbid, little aquatic vegetation. Many logs and branches on bottom. Sampling site east of Hwy. 111.
- Site 12 Moellenbrocks at Elm Slough at Hwy. 111, just north of Collinsville/Granite City Road. Shore open, gently sloping. Marsh to east and west. Water pooled, may be connected with Horseshoe Lake depending on water level. Much creeping water primrose, smartweed and scattered cattail patches. Some duckweed. Depth: to 4", bottom soft organic muck. Water often stagnant.
- Site 13 Nameoki Ditch at Hwy. 162. West of railroad tracks. Steep bank with high weeds and grass, no trees. Bottom soft mud to 2' deep, often intermittent, little aquatic vegetation or cover. Blue-green algal mats on bottom. Little to no flow under normal conditions.

Table V-2 (con'd)

and the second se

ſ

- Site 14 Canteen Creek at USGS gauge at County Road Bridge, 500 feet upstream of Hwy. 157. Bank is steep, densely wooded upstream from the gauge and downstream on the south side. The bank is open about 150' downstream on the north side with tall grass and weeds. There is a low water dam at the gauge. Upstream is a long pool about 20' wide and 1 to 2 feet deep. The soft bottom is coal chips with some silt and sand. Below the dam is a rocky plunge pool about 30' wide and then rubble riffles and alternately sand, mud and rubble bottomed pools to 4' deep and 40-50 feet wide. There are several large logs and branches. There is a distinct sewage odor and some trash in the creek. The water is fairly clear. The upper pool bottom can be seen.
- Site 15 Cahokia Diversion Channel at Old Poag Road. Banks very steep, weeds and grass near Hwy. Trees along channel above and below. Sampling site above Hwy. Depth: to 6', bottom sand and mud. Width: about 80', creeping water primrose and smartweed in patches along shore. Logs and branches common.
- Site 16 Cahokia Diversion Channel at Hwy. 111. Banks very steep, heavily wooded, width: about 150', depth: about 2' to 3', bottom mud. Many logs and branches, small patches of creeping water primrose. Open areas of shore with tall grass and weeds.
- Site 17 Cahokia Diversion Channel at low water dam. (Sampling site near Hwy. 3). Bank very steep. Width: to 200' depth: 3' to 6'. Mud bottom, some logs and branches in water. Banks wooded.
- Site 18 Chain of Rocks Canal at head (near power line crossing). Width: about 400', depth: 9'±. Banks steep, rip-rap. No aquatic vegetation. No noticeable flow. Heavy barge traffic and wave action.
- Site 19 Chain of Rocks Canal at middle (below old bridge). (Like site 18).
- Site 20 Chain of Rocks Canal at mouth (just above Tri-City dock area). (Like site 19).
- Note: These sites are located on Figure 11-1 in Volume 6 of 6 of this Environmental Inventory Report. This table is a duplicate of Table 11-1 and is reproduced in this Volume 3 for the convenience of the user of this Environmental Inventory Report.

four categories. Long Lake and Horseshoe Lake are natural lakes. They are generally developed. The shore of Horseshoe Lake is margined by a thin band of herbaceous plants, willow, and cottonwood. Other pond areas are seasonally flooded parts of natural water bodies, such as McDonough Lake, shown in Figure V-1. Most other bodies of water are highly modified or man-made. There are many borrow pits, particularly those at the intersection of Illinois Route 111 and Interstate 55/70 and also Illinois Route 203 and Interstate 270. These have not been developed and are a predominate component of lake habitats in the area. Other pond areas have been developed for industrial use (the Granite City Steel ponds), use as sewage lagoons, and recreational use.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Sliaw, S.P. and Fredine, C.G. <u>Wetlands of the United States</u>. Fish and Wildlife Service, Circular 39, 1971.

そうが、 あんていたい しょうしん いっかい いましん ないない ないない ないない ないない ないない ないない ないない しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう

1

ŧ

ŧ

ŧ

£

ł C

C

C

SECTION VI BIOLOGICAL ELEMENTS MACROPHYTES AND PHYTOPLANKTON

PREPARED BY FRANK B. KULFINSKI, PH. D.

AQUATIC MACROPHYTES

Five marshes were chosen on the basis of (a) their size and the presence and development of aquatic macrophytes and (b) their proximity to problem flooding areas. Three transects were obtained from each marsh. Each transect was three feet wide and long enough to extend from the center of the marsh to terrestrial vegetation. Percent cover was determined by ten foot intervals along the transect lines to show change with distance. Fifteen transects were studied, resulting in fifteen tables and under herein. Jones (1963), Fernald (1950), and Steyermark (1963) were used as reference texts for the identification of vascular plant species.

Locations

1

Ł

والمتحافظ والمحادث والمحادث والمحادث

Five wetland sites exhibiting marsh and/or swamp vegetation were studied and are shown in Figure VI-1.* These sites were chosen from USGS topographic maps (1954, photo-revised, 1968), from color aerial photographs (NASA, 1974), and from ground level inspection. Sites with considerable size, importance of location, and development of aquatic macrophyte vegetation were chosen.

Marsh 1 was located approximately three tenths mile north of the Black Lane-Interstate 70 intersection and three tenths mile east of Cahokia Canal. Transects 1 and 2 were located in the larger body of water, one tenth mile across, at the center of the wooded area between the levee to the west and a north-south blacktop to the east. Transect 3 was located in a small body of water at the southeastern edge of the wooded area at a point where the north-south blacktop approaches Interstate 70.

^{*}all figures referred to are located in volume 6 of 6 of this Environmental inventory Report.

Marsh 2 was located between Old Cahokia Creek to the west, Illinois Route III to the east, Interstate 70 to the north, and U.S. Route 40 to the south. Transects 1 and 2 were located at two ponds three tenths mile south of the Old Cahokia Creek and Interstate 70 intersection. Transect 3 began next to Illinois Route III between Interstate 70 and U.S. Route 40 and it extended to the west. Transect 3 had no open water surface.

Marsh 3 had three transects located along a mile of the northeast shore of Horseshoe Lake. Transect 1 was located at the end of a bay of Horseshoe Lake five tenths mile northeast of Moellenbrocks, five tenths mile north of the Collinsville-Granite City Road, and just east of Illinois Route 111. Transect 2 was located at the southeast shore of the mouth of the bay at Moellenbrocks, west of Illinois Route 111 and Collinsville-Granite City Road intersection. Transect 3 was located just west of Illinois Route 111 on the north side of the east end of the Walker's Island causeway.

Marsh 4 was located between Edelhardt Lake to the west, Çahokia Canal to the east, and the Collinsville-Granite City Road to the south. Two of three lakes northeast of Edelhardt Lake were involved. Transect 1 went east-west across the north end of the southernmost lake. Transects 2 and 3 were located at the southeast end of the next lake to the north, one quarter mile west of Cahokia Canal and south of the golf course.

Marsh 5 was located on McDonough Lake west of Illinois Route 157 and approximately one mile north of the Collinsville-Granite City Road. The lake is divided into a large northeast section and a smaller

southwest section by a causeway. Only the larger section was studied. Transect 1 was located midway along the southeast shore. An island was found in the center of the lake, and transect 2 extended northeast from the island and transect 3 extended to the southwest from the island.

Vegetation of Marsh 1

E

1.

The data from Marsh 1, transect 1, are given in Table VI-1. The shallow (one to two feet) open water was dominated at the surface by water fern and contained bladderwort beneath the surface. Shallower water was dominated by water smartweed, rose mallow, and duckweed. Wet soil was dominated by buttonbush, black willow, and (at soil level), ricciocarpus. Ditch stonecrop, water smartweed, grass, and duckweed dominated the shallow water beyond the buttonbush - willow association. In this transect, segments 1 through 6 were open water, 7 through 12 were exposed wet soil, and 13 through 16 were a return to shallow water.

The data from Marsh 1, transect 2, are given in Table VI-2. The characteristic vegetation of the shallow (one foot deep) open water included bladderwort, water fern, and willow seedlings/saplings. Rose mallow was grouped in segments 11 through 17 and 21 through 27. Segments 17 through 27 were characterized by duckweed and water lotus followed by water smartweed and grass. The transition from open water to the thick cover of the wet terrestrial vegetation is evident from the decline in percent space between segments 13 and 17.

The data for Marsh 1, transect 3, are included in Table VI-3. This marsh unit was small and discontinuous with that which included

Table VI-1

Marsh 1, Transect 1. Percent cover in consecutive 10 foot transect segments, each segment 3 x 10 feet. Segment 1 was the center of open water and the last segment was on land.

• • •

÷.

1967 - 19**6**7

. .

-7

Ç

• ;

2

Ľ.

**

1

1

1

1

£

The surger of them become

£

ĸ

t,

										Tra	Transect		Segments	ts]		
Binomial	Common Name	1 2	Ş	4	5 6	6 7	8	6	10	11 12	2 13	7	15 1	16			 	<u> </u>	
Azolla mexicana Utricularia gibba Polygonum coccineum Hibiscus militaris Graminae sp. Lemna minor Ricciocarpus natans Spirodela polyrhiza Jussiaea repens Cruciferae sp. Cruciferae sp. Salix nigra Riccia fluitans Galinsoga ciliata Compositae sp. Fraxinus lanceolata Rhus radicans Acer saccharinum Lycopus americanus Vitis sp. Diospyros virginiana Penthorum sedoides Sium sauve	Water Fern Bladderwort Water Smartweed Rose Mallow Grass Duckweed Ricciocarpus Big Duckweed Primose Willow Mustard Buttonbush Beggarticks Black Willow Riccia Galinsoga Galinsoga Galinsoga Galinsoga Grape Grape Bugle Weed Grape Persimmon Ditch Stonecrop Water Parsley	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	100 355 13 13 13 13	95 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	30-99	50 50 50 55 1 3 1 35 1 55 55	1 1 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 2		t - 200 - 20 - 5	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	3 75 3 3 3 75	85 33 25 55 95 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	25 20 20					
% Space		0 0	0	~	2 15	2 10	01	20	<u> </u>	<u>10</u>	- 	0		<u></u>	 	 	 		

VI-4

tr=trace

and the second se

• • • • • • • •

-

• 🐲 '

VI-2	
e	
[de]	

Marsh 1, Transect 2. Percent cover in consecutive 10 foot transect segments, each segment 3 x 10 feet. Segment 1 was the center of open water and the last segment was on land.

ş

ł

ł

2

2

1

1

2

8

8

•

•

-

...

1	27	10 10 10 25 50 30 25 50 1 1	N
	26	10 10 10	0
	25	5 5 10 10 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	m
	24	40 40 30 30 40	м
[23	- 50 3	<u>ب</u>
	22	3 20 10 50 50 50 50 50 50	0
[21	2 5 5 5	<u>o</u>
ļ	20	20 90 50	Ś
i i	61	20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	<u>ب</u>
	18 1	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 3	5
	2	2 2 9 9 3 3 5 0 1 3 5 9 1 3 5 1 3 5 1 3 5 1 3 5 1 3 5 1 3 5 1 3 5 1 3 5 1 3 5 1 3 5 1 3 5 1 3 5	2
Transect Segments	16 1	5 20 5 5 5 5	<u> </u>
Bee	15 1		
Se	_		20 10
сt С	3 14	2 200	40 2
ans.	2 13		
Ē		ν ⁵ δ	30
[10 145 25 25	20
	2	70	50
	6	£ 50.5	8
	œ	5 ²	75
	~	35	75
	و	<u>ō w 7</u>	75
	5	²⁰ س	07
	4	2002	25
	m.		25
t i	2	5 20	60
	-	325	20
	Common Name	ort I low od intweed retweed M Millow	
	CC	Bladderwort Water Fern Black Willow Cottonwood Rose Mallow Duckweed Water Lotus Water Smartweed Grass Riccia Big Duckweed Swamp Dock Arrowhead Ditch Stonecrop Buttonbush Primrose Willow	
	Binomial	Utricularia gibba Azolla mexicana Salix nigra Populus deltoides Hibiscus militaris Lemna minor Nelumbo lutea Polygonum coccineum Graminae sp. Riccia fluitans Spirodela polyrhiza Rumex verticillatus Sagittaria engelmanniana Penthorum sediodes Cephalanthus occidentalis Jussiaea repens	8 Space

VI-5

tr[.] trace

Table VI-3

Marsh 1, Transect 3. Percent cover in consecutive 10 foot transect segments, each segment 3 x 10 feet. Segment 1 was the center of open water and the last segment was on land.

ł

Ş

Ş

3

a set as an Star bill galler a

Sector Parts and the

1

-A

•

-

	.	
1		
[
		,
1		
u u		
Segments		
l mg		
sect		
fransect		
15		
	6	0 0 00
	ω	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	~	0 tt 0
	6	10 100 0
	5	30 30 30 30
1	4	33 33 35
	3	35 35
1	2	25 ° 5
	-	000 m 0
	.	
	പ	lo t
	Nam	
	Lommon Name	
	ЩЩС I	Voluc Villov Coucestructure
	ا د	wijove wijove tic tic tic tic tic tic tic tic
ł		Duckweed Cottonwood Black Willow Lizard's Tail Rose Mallow Water Smartweed Beggarticks Mint Pokeweed Pokeweed
		Duckweed Cottonwood Black Willow Lizard's Tail Rose Mallow Water Smartweed Beggarticks Mint Spotted Touch-me- Pokeweed
] .	8	i s can can
		ide cin eris eri
		and cocinitation c
	1	L C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
		a
		Lemna minor Populus deltoides Salix nigra Jussiaea repens Saururus cernuus Hibiscus militaris Polygonum coccineum Bidens sp. Labiatae sp. Impatiens capensis Phytolacca americana & Space
		JĒŃŊŃŦĒŒĴĒĒ &

VI-6

tr=trace

;

transects 1 and 2. The open water was characterized by duckweed with cottonwood and willow seedlings/saplings (segments 1 through 6). Segments 5 through 8 contained an almost pure stand of lizard's tail. Segments 8 and 9 included willow, mint, spotted touch-me-not, and pokeweed (indicators of terrestrial habitat conditions).

Vegetation of Marsh 2

1

2

3

2

The data for Marsh 2, transect 1, are included in Table VI-4. The vegetation represents a gradual transition from a margin characterized by water smartweed with dodder, to rough pigweed, buttonbush, and tickseed with several less important species. The final segments included cottonwood and willow.

The data from Marsh 2, transect 2, are presented in Table VI-5. The vegetation had little transition from wet to dry evident. The vegetation nearest the water was mainly of rough pigweed and buttonbush. Black nightshade occupied a transition zone, followed by common raqweed, giant ragweed, and yam. Elderberry, giant ragweed, and cottonword were the principal components of the most terrestrial segments.

The data for Marsh 2, transect 3, are presented in Table VI-6. The principal vegetation consisted of cattail, rose mallow, and duckweed, with a small amount of water smartweed interspersed. Water parsley contributed slightly to segments 14 through 19, and black willow, buttonbush, and wild water pepper contributed to the vegetation of the last six segments, indicating considerable soil moisture. Vegetation of Marsh 3

The data of Marsh 3, transect 1, are given in Table VI-7. The segments nearest the water were characterized by duckweed, prives

Table VI-4

.

Marsh 2, Transect 1. Percent cover in consecutive 10 foot transect segments, each segment 3 \times 10 feet. Segment 1 was the center of open water and the last segment was on land.

;

i

1

1

C

1

ſ

÷.

.

			مىنى <u>مىمى مەرىپ مەرىپى مەرىپى مەرىپى مەرىپ مەر</u>
			۵۰٬۰۰۹ <u>۵٬۰۰۹ ۲۰٬۰۰۰ ۲۰</u> ٬۰۰۹ ۲۰٬۰۰۰ ۲۰٬۰۰۰ ۲۰٬۰۰۰ ۲۰٬۰۰۰ ۲۰٬۰۰۰ ۲۰٬۰۰۰ ۲۰٬۰۰۰ ۲۰٬۰۰۰ ۲۰٬۰۰۰ ۲۰٬۰۰۰ ۲۰
			
Segments			
ame:			
Transect			
ans			
Ľ			
	01	0 10 885	
	6	100 20 55	
	8	15 30 50 10 10	
	2	25 15 20 20 40 30 30	
	9	20 20 75	
} [5	25 25 5 80	
{ {	4	15 13 5	
	m.	80 155	
	2	¹ ¹ ¹	
	-	20 25	
	ame	Me L	
	Common Nan	Water Smartweed Dodder Cattail Rough Pigweed Buttonbush Tickseed Sunflower Cottonwood Grass Water Parsley Vine Black Willow	
	<u>e</u>	Water Smartwee Dodder Cattail Rough Pigweed Buttonbush Tickseed Sunfl Cottonwood Grass Water Parsley Vine Black Willow	
	ວິ	Water Smar Dodder Cattail Rough Pigw Buttonbush Tickseed Si Cottonwood Grass Water Pars Vine Black Will	
		Water Sm Mater Sm Dodder Cattail Rough Pi Buttonbu Tickseed Cottonwo Grass Water Pa Water Pa Black Wi	
		Mater Dodder Cattai Rough Button Button Cotton Grass Grass Mater Vine Black	
			
Į		<u>ي</u>	
l		l ste	
	_	e t e	
1	Binomial	off neu is	
.	<u>ē</u>	o et a co	
}	α Δ		
		igr igr igr	
ļ		Polygonum coccineum Cuscuta sp. Typha latifolia Amaranthus retroflexus Cephalanthus occidentalis Bidens polylepsis Populus deltoides Graminae sp. Sium suave Unknown vine Salix nigra	
l		Sp si i uman	
		IFOHAOBCONDN %	

...

•

v1-8

Table VI-5

Marsh 2, Transect 2. Percent cover in consecutive 10 foot transect segments, each segment 3 x 10 feet. Segment 1 was the center of open water and the last segment was on land.

ļ			
		موجا میں واجوع <u>کی محمد ہوتی میں اور میں معمد ہوتی محمد میں م</u>	
S			
nen t			
Segmen t			
Fransect		ý v g	0
Irar	3 14	<u> </u>	<u>°</u>
	╞╼┥	5 60	2 20
	1 17	00 00 <u>95</u> 30 90	0
	=	and the second	5
į	┝═┥	<u> </u>	
	6	0 40	
	~	70 60	20
			10 25
	9	50 70 25 10 20	
	5	33	85
	4	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	~ ~	· 	001000100
	<u> </u>	······································	
	U		
	Common Nam	Common Ragweed Dodder Rough Pigweed Water Smartweed Buttonbush Black Nightshade Giant Ragweed Yam Yam Vam Vater Parsley Cottonwood	
	5	ed ed ed ed ed	
	ш	aray garage age orsto garage v	
	Ű	Poer autor R	
		Common Ragweed Dodder Mough Pigweed Water Smartweed Black Nightshade Giant Ragweed Yam Elderberry Wild Potato Vine Water Parsley Cottonwood	
ļ			
		s:	
		ol i a	
	(E		
1	om) i	mis cine bcc dens dens ide	
{	Binomial	Trific Ito induite	
[-	Ambrosia artemisiifolia Cuscuta sp. Amaranthus retroflexus Polygonum coccineum Cephalanthus occidentalis Solanum americanum Ambrosia trifida Dioscoria sp. Sambucus canadensis Ipomaea pandurata Sium suave Populus deltoides	
1		osi anti anti osi bru num osi su su lus	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
		mbrance mbrance pombrance promotion pombrance promotion pombrance promotion	S

*

4

•

4

ł

.

i

;

1

1

C

£

t

1.2.6.64

v1-9

			······································
	22	30 20 20 20	۰ <u>۵</u>
	21	30 20 45	<u>س</u>
	20	30 20 35 20	<u>در</u>
	61	35 30 30 1 20 10	<u>ل</u> م
	18	40 40 10 5 25	ιν
	17	55 50 10 15 20 20	0
Segments	16	65 440 5	<u>м</u>
ame	15	65 30 30	<u> </u>
	14	60 550 5	2
ect	13	60 25 25	2
Transect	12	550	<u> </u>
Ţ.	=	200	<u>°</u>
	10	50 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
		······································	
	6	0000	<u>2</u>
	8	- 200	
:	7	2007	<u>5</u>
	9	200	
	5	- 20	112
	4	42 60 20	50
	3	465 11 1	50
	2	65 10 10	50
	-	65 40 30 5	50
	e		
		Cattail Rose Mallow Duckweed Water Smartweed Water Plantain Water Parsley Black Willow Buttonbush Wild Water Pepper	
	Common Na	Per Per	
	E C	llov ars lant ter	
		Mail Natives	
		Cattail Rose Mallow Duckweed Water Plantain Water Plantain Water Parsley Black Willow Buttonbush Wild Water Pepp	
		X B B K K D Y C	
		ນທູ	
		alicali	
	ē	ent ert	
	, B	ris rad cid	
	Binomial	lia ita ago ago droj	
		ifo or hus by	
		Jun 1 ar	0
		Typha latifolia Hibiscus militaris Lemna minor Polygonum coccineum Alisma plantago-aquatica Salix nigra Salix nigra Cephalanthus occidentalis Polygonum hydropiperoides	e S S
			<u>v</u>
		1	7U

•• .

•

 •

1

-

Marsh 2, Transect 3. Percent cover in consecutive 10 foot transect segments, each segment 3 x 10 feet. Segment 1 was the center of open water and the last segment was on land.

.....

2

1

÷

ł

ì

ì

>

X

Ľ

ľ.

P.

And the state of the

and the second second

Table VI-6

.

Table VI-7

.

Harsh 3, Transact 1. Percent cover in consecutive 10 foot transact segments, each segment 3×10 feet. Segment 1 was the center of open water and the last segment was on land.

•

.....

:

:

2

\$

2

R

Casharing and the second

. . **.**

.....

۰.

	\$	2800	0
	1	2 282	w
	1	<u> </u>	0
	46	30	0
	12	<u>\$9</u> <u>6</u> <u>9</u> <u>2</u>	2
		5 00 00 <u>30</u> 5 10 <u>30</u>	5
	42 43	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 3	0
:	4 14	<u> </u>	0
	404	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u> </u>
ļ	39	35 26	0
	38	6 2 8	0
	37	<u>_</u>	0
	36	25	м
	35	15	<u>v</u>
	34	15 25 20 30	2
I	32 33		<u> </u>
	31 3	45 20 20	0
	<u></u>	<u>е ими</u>	。
	<u>େ</u>		<u> </u>
	28 2	52 50	w
	2	35 55	
Į.	26	2 20 2	<u>x</u>
3	25	75	2
fransect Segments	42		<u> </u>
ž,	22	22 22 20 23 22 20 23 22 20	<u> </u>
Ē	2	× × × ×	<u>s</u>
	20 21	0 * 0 × 0	<u>s</u>
	19 2		<u> </u>
	8	6 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	0
	5		0
	191	25 25	0
	15	γ γο γ	<u>ه</u>
ļ	4	305 25 42	<u>ه</u>
	5	××- 30 20	<u>~</u>
	2	<u> 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2</u>	<u> </u>
	Ξ	20 10 10 40 20 10 40	<u> </u>
	2	835 3 3 mu	•
	6	<u> </u>	0
			0
	s.	8.2	8
	5	- 50	5 20
	•	- 22	
	n.	<u> </u>	8
	2	20 5 5 1 1 2 20 5 2 20 5 2 1 2 2 20 5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	06 <u>56</u> 08
	-	<u> </u>	<u>ă</u>
	Common Neme	buckburd Priarosa Villou Cens J Arronhaud Arronhaud Arronhaud Burnyard Crass Seaga Burnyard Crass Seaga Burnyard Crass Seaga Burnyard Crass Seaga Burnyard Parfoliata Laaf Parfoliata Laaf Parfol	
 	Bindaia)	Lana almor Lana almor Lanalase reparts Lanalase reparts Sagittaria angelammiana Gagittaria angelammiana Crystonea 9. Chimochia crusgalli Priyona lapathifoliua Ugacoria sp. Discoria sp. Discoria sp. Caphalanthus accidentalis Caphalanthus accidentalis Caphalanthus accidentalis Calix nigra Ambrosia arcenisilfolia	1 Spece

......

...

•

willow, and grass (#1), in that order. A grouping of arrowhead, grass (#2), sedge, barnyard grass, cattail, and wild water pepper followed, beginning at about segment 9. Pale smartweed was present in a transition zone in segments 28 through 32. Finally, rose mallow, buttonbush, grass (#3), and willow made up the ultimate transition to terrestrial habitat.

i.

1

1

ĸ

£

The data from Marsh 3, transect 2, are given in Table VI-8. Water lotus and duckweed occupied the open water followed by grass, water smartweed, barnyard grass, and a number of terrestrial (giant ragweed) and marsh (swamp milkweed) plants.

The data from Marsh 3, transect 3, are given in Table VI-9. Water lotus and duckweed occupied the open water with carex becoming important in the third segment. Segment 9 was marked by the occurrence of such terrestrial species as cocklebur, silver maple, and scirpus as well as by the disappearance of water lotus and carex. Segments 9 through 20 were marked by a variety of species ranging from marsh through terrestrial plants. Narrow-leaved cattail, which was rare in the study area, was present in segments 15 through 20. Vegetation of Marsh 4

The data for Marsh 4, transect 1, are presented in Table VI-10. The first four segments included open water species such as spatterdock, primrose willow, bladderwort, and duckweed. There was then an abrupt transition in segments 4 and 5 to terrestrial species such as willow, cottonwood, sedge, beggarticks, and grass.

The data from Marsh 4, transect 2, are given in Table VI-11. Transect 2 measured thirty feet. Spatterdock, duckweed, and primrose

	3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	22 23	30 10 30	<u> </u>
	212	25 25 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	2
	20 2		0
	6		~
	8	- 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	0
	121		20
nts	91		<u>г</u>
Transect Segments	15	8-0	15
Se	14	စ္ခ်ိဳးစစ်	0
Sect	<u> </u>	01 01	0
ran	12	<u>8</u>	0
	Ξ		0
	10	<u> </u>	40
l	6	<u> </u>	40
	8	<u>92</u>	0 45
	7	- 20	20
	5 6	0	50 50
	+ 2	20 20	20 20
	3 4	20	20
	2	<u>~</u> 27	20
	-	м	
	Common Name	tus d Grass d Grass d Grass d d M d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d	
	S	Water Lotus Duckweed Grass Galinsoga Water Smartweed Beggartick Cottonwood Barnyard Grass American Elm Black Willow Fogfruit Ditch Stonecrop Common Ragweed False Indigo Swamp Milkweed Buttonbush Greenbriar Giant Ragweed	
	Binomial	Nelumbo lutea Lemna minor Graminae sp. Galinsoga ciliata Polygonum coccineum Bidens sp. Populus deltoides Echinochloa crusgalli Ulmus americana Salix nigra Salix nigra Lippia lanceolata Penthorum sedoides Ambrosia artemisiifolia Amorpha fruiticosa Asclepias incarnata Asclepias incarnata Asclepias incarnata Ambrosia trifida	% Space

- 2

Marsh 3, Transect 2. Percent cover in consecutive 10 foot transect segments, each segment 3 x 10 feet. Segment 1 was the center of open water and the last segment was on land.

. با مع

•

. .

i.

ŧ

÷

i

ł

.

· · · · ·

•

•*

...

Table VI-8

Table VI-9

.

Marsh 3, Transect 3. Percent cover in consecutive 10 foot transect segments, each segment 3 x 10 feet. Segment 1 was the center of open water and the last segment was on land.

2

ź

Ĵ

-

•

:

\$

X

t,

ţ,

t

-

·• • • • • • • •

12

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Water Lotus 80 85 50 40 50 50 Duckweed 5 5 5 60 50 Carex Wild Water Pepper 1 5 5 Eclipta 5 5 60 50
80 85 50 40 50 50 5 5 5 60 50 50 ber
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
ber 50 60 50 50 50
ber5
_
Cocklebur
Lambsquarters
American Bugleweed
Spotted Touch-me-not
Woodsage
a
Narrow-leaved Cattail
Swamp Milkweed
15 15 1 5 5 0 5

and a second second
Table <u>VI-10</u>

.

Marsh 4. Transect 1. Percent cover in consectuive 10 foot transect segments, each segment 3 \times 10 feet. Segment 1 was the center of open water and the last segment was on land.

A

1.

e e

į

ł

Ĩ

7

11

ŧ.

£

£.

Ê

فكليشكم

1

÷.. . •

.....

٩

·		
1		
		
s		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Segments		
mba		
Transect		
sue		
Tr.		
	9	
	~	<u> </u>
	*	5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	m	20 - 0 0 2 - 0 0 2
	7	2200 2200
	-	44
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	a e	3
	ž	
	Ĕ	ock cks cks
	Common Name	e cerson Transfer Transfer
		Spatterdock Primrose Willow Bladerwort Black Willow Cottonwood Carex Beggarticks Grass
	-	10 VI
	Binomial	i bt
	2	
	80	
		Nuphar advena Jussiaea repens Utricularia gibba Lemna minor Salix nigra Populus deltoides Carex sp. Bidens sp. & Space

.

•

÷

Table VI-11

Marsh 4, Transect 2. Percent cover in consecutive 10 foot transect segments, each segment 3 x 10 feet. Segment 1 was the center of open water and the last segment was on land.

ł

ĩ

•

:

ž

\$

*

8

8

	L		
		,	
S			
Segments			
egm	}		
Transect			
an;			
÷		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- <u></u>
;			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
i	<u>}</u>		
	- m.		۰۰۰ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	2	00000	ъ. ъ
	<u> </u>	<u>60</u>	···
		10 80	20
		به	
	a	- Not	
	lam	sd A be	
	Common Name	Spatterdock Duckweed Primrose Willow Wild Water Pepper False Indigo Spotted Touch-Me-N False Nettle Water Smartweed Buttonbush	
	mmo	ock dig ttl shttl	
	CO	Doxe a a concerte a co	
		tter btter btter btter	
		Presson Bugers	
		ທ ທ	
		i de	
		anti a	
	[e	i pe sa i s i s i de i de	
	Binomial	ins ico ico ico cin cin	
	Bìn	ena ena ena ena ena ena ena ena	
	-	the management	
	i		a.
		E e c e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
		Nuphar advena Lemna minor Jussiaea repens Polygonum hydropiperoides Amorpha fruiticosa Impatiens capensis Boehmeria cylindrica Polygonum coccineum Cephalanthus occidentalis	е С С У Ж

۔ ب а. С :

٠.

÷

willow made up the standing water vegetation, followed by wild water pepper and false indigo, the first observation of this species in the study area samplings. Such marsh inhabitants as spotted touchme-not, false nettle, water smartweed, and buttonbush followed.

The data for Marsh 4, transect 3, are given in Table VI-12. Transect 3 measured 170 feet in length. Spatterdock and duckweed were present in nearly all of the segments, indicating standing water. Grass, water smartweed, big duckweed, primrose willow, wild water pepper, and cattail made up the vegetation intermediate between the water and wet soil. Such species as swamp milkweed, water plantain, and arrowhead were found in wet soil in segment 16. Wet soil and woody plants, such as buttonbush, willow and cottonwood, were found in segment 17.

Vegetation of Marsh 5

ł

The data for Marsh 5, transect 1, are given in Table VI-13. Transect 1 measured eighty feet in length. Standing water species such as duckweed, water lotus, bladderwort, and willow were found starting with segments 1, 2, and 3. Marsh vegetation was dominated by grass, cattail, buttonbush, wild water pepper, water parsley, and arrowhead in segments 6 through 8.

The data for Marsh 5, transect 2, are presented in Table VI-14. Transect 2 was eighty feet in length. Duckweed and spatterdock dominated the early stages of succession followed ultimately (segments 6 through 18) by grass, black willow, buttonbush, and smartweed.

The data for Marsh 5, transect 3, are presented in Table VI-15.

Table VI-12

Marsh 4, Transect 3. Percent cover in consecutive 10 foot transect segments, each segment 3 x 10 feet. Segment 1 was the center of open water and the last segment was on land.

-

ì

ì

i

þ

ţ,

t

۰.

*

...

	T	
	 	
1		
	<u></u>	
İ .		
1		
l i		
	 	
}		
	1	v 035 0 0
Segments	91	0 5 m m 0
В.	15	10 10 10
	7	ννν ν ν ο
t i	m	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2
Transect	12	0 0000000000000000000000000000000000000
Tra	<u> </u>	
	-	
	Ĕ	2 20 80
1	6	15 15 35
	80	2220 2220 220
	~	15 25 20 15 25 20 15 25 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
	9	10 10
	5	30.5
\ '	4	1001
	<u> </u>	
	2	
 	<u> </u>	⁷⁵ 30
1		
1		
	e	
	Common Name	
1	∠ ç	Spatterdock Duckweed Grass Water Smartweed Big Duckweed Primrose Willow Wild Water Pepper Cattail Swamp Milkweed Beggartick Beggartick Arrowhead False Indigo Black Willow Buttonbush
ł	<u>e</u>	
	5 C	Spatterdock Duckweed Grass Water Smartweed Primrose Willow Cattail Swamp Milkweed Beggartick Mater Plaintai Arrowhead False Indigo Black Willow Buttonbush
		Spatterd Grass Water Sm Wild Water Sm Wild Wat Segapti Buttonbu Buttonbu
		ู่พี่ฉี่ษี รัต ๔ ิ ร นั ท์ ต้ รั ๔ ี นั ต ต้
1		
1		S B S
1		a a i c
	_	
Bìnomial		nieuria Smaag trijeuria Staal die staal
1	ğ	
1	B	us in the polocial state of the polocial sta
1		
1		
1		Nuphar advena Lemna minor Graminae Polygonum coccineum Spirodela polyrhiza Jussiaea repens Polygonum hydropiperoides Typha latifolia Asclepias incarnata Asclepias incarnata Alisma plantago-aquatica Saggitaria engelmanniana Amorpha fruiticosa Salix nigra Cephalanthus occidentalis Cephalanthus occidentalis
		S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
L		•

Transect Segments 8050 308 0 ∞ - 222 ഹ 2 <u>000</u>~~ <u>ភិលិលលល័</u> ជ 9 2 ഹ 50 20 20 20 <u>ខ</u> 20 -1 25 30 25 25 10 10 10 10 45 ŝ 2 ß 25 75 -Common Name Wild Water Pepper Water Smartweed Primrose Willow Water Parsley **Big Duckweed** Black Willow Bladderwort Water Lotus Buttonbush Arrowhead Duckweed Cattail Grass Polygonum hydropiperoides Cehalanthus occidentalis Polygonum coccineum Sagittaria engelmanniana Spirodela polyrhiza Binomial Utricularia gibba Typha latifolia Jussiaea repens Nelumbo lutea Graminae sp. Salix nigra Lemna minor Sium suave % Space

At a second s

Marsh 5, Transect 1. Percent cover in consecutive 10 foot transect segments, each segment 3 x 10 feet. Segment 1 was the center of open water and the last segment was on land.

Table Vi-13

-

\$

and set in the second sec

and the state of the second
;

>

\$

8

2

1

5

.....

... ج بـ

• •

Table VI-14

Marsh 5, Transect 2. Percent cover in consecutive 10 foot transect segments, each segment 3 x 10 feet. Segment 1 was the center of open water and the last segment was on land.

and the second of the second se

Ş

\$

2

1

A

. .

......

			······································
			、 、
S			
Segments			
egm			
1			
Transect		<u> </u>	
ans			
Ľ ا			
		15 25 15 10 10	
	~~~	<u>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</u>	
	~		
	9	- NNO	
	2 V	- 07	
	4	20 65 20	
	er.	20 650	
	5	40 55 25 25	
1	_	45 4 20 5 55 2 55 2	
	L	<u>24 - 27 - 27 - 27 - 27 - 27 - 27 - 27 - </u>	······································
			· ·
	6)		
	ame	Ţ	
	Common Name	ee ee	
	O LE		
	Co	Parie prid	
1		ver and the set of the	
		Duckweed Spatterdock Grass Water Smartweed Black Willow Buttonbush Arrowhead Beggartick Water Parsley Silver Maple	
<b>}</b>			
1			
		1	
1		s :	
1		ian	
1	_	e ge	
1	ia		
{	Binomial	a a cci	
	 B	re contra	
1			
1		a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
1		Lemna minor Nuphar advena Graminae sp. Polygonum coccineum Salix nigra Cephalanthus occidentalis Sagittaria engelmanniana Bidens sp. Sium suave Acer saccharinum & Space	
ł		* YUNNUNUNU	
L		·	

Table VI-15

Marsh 5, Transect 3. Percent cover in consecutive 10 foot transect segments, each segment 3 x 10 feet. Segment 1 was the center of open water and the last segment was on land.

6			
	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		· 	······································
	22	5 5 10 10 10	
	2	<b>4</b> M M	
	20	20 20 30 50 70 70	
	61	30 30 70	
	- 8	25 3 80	
	-1	30	
Segments	16	15 10 75	مردور با مار با مار از مار می از مار از مار از مار از مار از مار این از مار این از می مارد. از می مارد از می
gme	15	80 30 15	
	7	95 15 15 10	
ščt	13	95 50 25 2	
Transect	12	98 45 10 2	
Tra	Ξ	95 15 35	
	2	30	
	6	25 15 3 3 70	
	~~~~	50	
		50 15 45	
	9	50 10	
	5	30 20	
		20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	
	4	20 1 55 1 75 7	
	~	20 2 5 75 75	
	7		
		25	
	ame	L a	
	Common Name	Duckweed Black Willow Water Smartweed Cattail Bladderwort Arrowhead Primrose Willow Wild Water Pepper Grass	
	Binomia 1	Lemna mínor Salix nigra Polygonum coccineum Typha latifolia Utricularia gibba Sagittaria engelmanniana Jussiaea repens Polygonum hydropiperoides Graminae sp.	

1

,

•

-

-

á

1

4

and a start design of the start of the

-

ŧ

f

ŧ.

۰.

.

<u>نەر</u> م

Transect 3 was 220 feet in length. The transect represented a shallow area extending to an "island" in the middle of the lake. The vegetation represents aquatic and marsh species interspersed and it does not present a classical succession pattern. The "island" region was dominated by willow, arrowhead, primrose willow, wild water pepper, and grass and it was covered by water during wetter parts of the year.

The wetland habitats sampled were rather different from one another. Marsh 1 had a rather large body of open water which was rather shallow and filled with saplings of willow and cottonwood. lt is presumed that summer drought periods have been sufficient to deplete the water and to permit the germination and establishment of tree seedlings and saplings. Tree species were also present (and probably originated similarly) in Marshes 2 and 5 (McDonough Lake). Marsh 1 is rather protected by surrounding forest and it was found that large wading birds abound there. During the period of research observation, approximately three dozen large birds, including great blue herons, small green herons, egrets, and several other species were found utilizing the habitat there. This marsh is evidently an important area to the existence of large wading birds. Marsh 3 was on the shoreline of Horseshoe Lake and a large embayment at the northeast end. The transects were taken where the vegetation was well developed and are not necessarily characteristic of the lake in general. Marsh 4 was represented by two small man-made lakes north of Edelhardt Lake and the steeper nature of these resulted in narrower zones of succession than were observed in the broader, shallower marshes of the other sites.

General Discussion

In general, succession proceeded from open water to willowcottonwood forest, with zones of successional stages distributed in concentric fashion from the water body to the surrounding cultivated fields.

Deeper open water areas contained bladderwort beneath the surface and duckweed, big duckweed, or ricciocarpus at the surface. Shallower open water areas were characterized by water lotus, spatterdock, primrose willow, duckweed, big duckweed, or ricciocarpus. Wet soil next to the water was characterized by wild water pepper, water plantain, arrowhead, fog fruit, water smartweed, grasses, or sedges. The next zone was characterized by rose mallow, cattail, water parsley, spotted touch-me-not, or nettle. The shrub zone was characterized by buttonbush, false indigo, saplings of black willow, or saplings of cottonwood. The tree zone was characterized by willow, silver maple, and cottonwood with black cherry, persimmon, elm, and other species mixed in. The weedy area between trees and corn/soybean fields contained ragweeds, goldenrod, cocklebur, pigweed, and lambs quarters.

PHYTOPLANKTON

Procedure

Samples were taken at twenty sites during the period August 13-20, 1978, and again during the period November 20-24, 1978. The first set of samples was taken in warm, sunny weather with the sites at low-flow. The second set was taken during a period of rainy, cold weather and most sites had considerably higher flow than before. Sites are described in Table V-2 of this Environmental Inventory Report.

Samples were taken as nearly as possible from the middle of the stream. They were stored and transported in clean glass jars and kept under refrigeration until examination. All samples were examined within twenty-four hours of collection.

Counting procedure began with placing two tenths ml of a sample on an ordinary glass slide and covering this with a square glass cover slip. The sample was scanned under low power (X100) using high dry (X450) when more magnification was needed for positive identification. Each individual or colony found represented one count. For example, each <u>Scenedesmus</u> coenobium contains four cells but was counted as <u>one</u> <u>Scenedesmus</u>. Samples with population densities too high to be easily counted were first diluted with buffered water. Numbers obtained by this procedure were multiplied by an appropriate factor to yield individuals per liter. This procedure allowed greater accuracy in identification and enumeration than that obtained using a hemocytometer or Sedgewick-Rafter counting slide. In accordance with the scope of work, diatoms were not identified or counted.

All organisms were identified using Smith (1950), Whitford and Schumacher (1973), and Wicks (1978).

Results

The following data are divided into two parts. Table VI-16 is the occurence of species by sampling site in two sampling periods. Numbers following each species binomial indicate in how many sites that species occurred on the first (8/20/78) and second (11/22/78) s- mpling dates. Table VI-17 presents the species and their numbers by site. Figures following each species binomial represent numbers of individuals

Table VI-16

Occurrence of Species of Algae Observed on Two Sampling Dates in 20 Sites*

Ł

Ł

i.

ł

€

Species	Occurrence 8/20/78	(Number of Sites) 11/22/78
Green Algae	_	
Actinastrum hantzchii	8	0
Ankistrodesmus falcatus	15	13
Arthrodesmus validus	5	1
Chlamydomonas sp.	14	17
Coelastrum microporum	4	2
Coelastrum reticulatum	10	2
Cosmarium sp.	5	2
Crucigenia quadrata	5 3 1	0
Dictyosphaerium pulchellum	1	0
Golenkinia radiata	7	4
Micractinium pusillum	10	3
Oocystis lacustris		ó
Pediastrum duplex	3 2	0
Polydriopsis spinulosa	1	0
Scenedesmus acuminatus	6	8
Scenedesmus quadricauda	12	5
Tetraedron quadricuspidatum	1	ó
Tetraedron trigonum	3	Õ
Tetrastrum staurogeniaeforme	ĩ	1
Treubaria triappendiculata	4	0
Euglenoids		
Euglena sp.	10	9
Phacus sp.	0	í
Trachelomonas hispida	4	ò
Trachelomonas volvacina	3	2
Golden Brown Algae		
Centritractus belanophorus	3	1
Ophiocytium capitatum	3 3 4	, 0
Tribonema bombycinum	Ĩ4	1
*		

*The sites are described in Table V-2.

Table VI-	16 ((cont.)
-----------	------	---------

_

.....

Species	Occurrence 8/20/78	(Number of Sites) 11/22/78
Blue Green Algae	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Agmenellum quadriduplicatum	6	0
Anacystis marina	3 5	0
Calothrix parientina	5	2
Coccochloris sp.	17	10
Oscillatoria submembranacea	13	18
Spirulina subsalsa	7	3
Stigonema sp.	0	1
Miscellaneous		
Cryptomonas sp.	0	1
Total Species	32	22

•

ŧ

ŧ

ŗ

:

the state of the s

(

Table VI-17

Number of Individuals per Algal Species by Site

Street States and States

A COLUMN TO A COLUMN TO A COLUMN TO A COLUMN TO A COLUMN TO A COLUMN TO A COLUMN TO A COLUMN TO A COLUMN TO A C

Section Records the

1

t

1

Į.

1

i

ţ

1

C

C

C

Species	Number of individua 8/20/78	als X 10 ⁴ per liter 11/22/78
	<u>Site l</u>	
Green Algae		
Actinastrum hantzchii	15	
Ankistrodesmus falcatus	120	1.0
Arthrodesmus validus	15	2.0
Chlamydomonas sp. Coelastrum reticulatum	20	2.0
	20	
Crucigenia quadrata	10	
Micractinium pusillum	25	
Scenedesmus quadricauda	45	
Euglenoids		
Euglena sp.	15	2.0
Phacus sp. Trachelomonas volvacine		5.0 1.0
Trachelomonas volvacine		1.0
Blue Green Algae		
Agmenellum quadriduplicatum	5.0	
Coccochloris sp.	140	
Oscillatoria submembranacea	30	4.0
Minnelleren		
Miscellaneous		2.0
Cryptomonas sp.		2.0
Total Number of Individuals	440	17
		·
Number of species at site	11	7
Percent of total species	34	32
	Site 2	
Green Algae	• •	2/0
Ankistrodesmus falcatus	15	360 100
Chlamydomonas sp. Scenedesmus acuminatus	5.0	40
Tetraedron trigonum	10	
Tetracutor trayonum	10	
Euglenoids		
Euglena sp.	20	

Tabl	e۷	1-17 ((cont.)
------	----	--------	---------

ŧ,

î.

1

ŧ

I

1

ľ

ſ.

ľ

in the second

AND INTERNATIONAL TANK

and marked in

.-

Species	Number of individua	als X 10 ⁴ per liter
	8/20/78	11/22/78
Plue Creen Alege	<u></u>	
<u>Blue Green Algae</u> Calothrix parientina	350	100
Coccochloris sp.	15	40
Oscillatoria submembranacea	155	1400
Spirulina subsalsa	45	140
Total number of individuals	648	2219
Number of species at site	8	7
Percent of total species	25	32
	<u>Site 3</u>	
Green Algae		
Actinastrum hantzchii	20	
Ankistordesmus falcatus	35	840
Arthrodesmus validus	25	
Chlamydomonas globosa	5.0	60
Coelastrum microporum	20	
Coelastrum reticulatum	5.0	
Golenkinia radiata	5.0	
Micractinium pusillum	15	
Scenedesmus acuminatus		60
Tetraedron trigonum	5.0	
Euglenoids	105	
Euglena sp.	105	~
Blue Green Algae	60	_
Agmenellum quadriduplicatum	60 2500	220
Calothrix parientina Coccochloris sp.	85	330 60
Oscillatoria submembranacea	320	1650
Spirulina subsalsa	250	330
	250	000
<u>Golden Brown Algae</u> Tribonema bombycinum	10	
Total number of individuals	3465	3330
Number of species at site	16	7
Percent of total species	50	32

V1-28

...

.

_

ł.

í

á

į

,

t

£

Ê

ALC: NOT A

*

Species	Number of individua 8/20/78	ls X 10 ⁴ per liter 11/22/78
	Site 4	
<u>Green Algae</u> Ankistrodesmus falcatus Chlamydomonas globosa Cosmarium sp.	5.5 1.5 2.0	1.5 0.5 0.5
<u>Euglenoids</u> Euglena sp. Trachelomonas hispida	2.5 0.5	
<u>Blue Green Algae</u> Coccochloris sp. Oscillatoria submembranacea	4.5	6.5 2.5
Total number of individuals	16.5	11.5
Number of species at site	6	5
Percent of total species	19	23
	Site 5	
<u>Green Algae</u> Ankistrodesmus falcatus Chlamydomonas globosa Coelastrum microporum	3.5 2.0	1.5 1.5
Euglenoids Euglena sp.	2.5	
<u>Blue Green Algae</u> Coccochloris sp. Oscillatoria submembranacea	1.5 0.5	 1.0
Total number of individuals	10.0	4.0
Number of species at site	5	3
Percent of total species	16	14

Sector Se

ALC: NAME AND ADDRESS OF

\$

14

.

Species	Number of individuals 8/20/78	X 10 ⁴ per liter 11/22/78
	Site 6	
<u>Green Algae</u> Actinastrum hantzchii Ankistrodesmus falcatus Chlamydomonas glogosa Scenedesmus quadricauda	5.0 35 5.0 5.0	1.5 1.5
Euglenoids Euglena sp.	5.0	
<u>Golden Brown Algae</u> Ophiocytium capitatum	25	
<u>Blue Green Algae</u> Coccochloris sp. Oscillatoria submembranacea Spirulina subsalsa	95 10 1.0	4.5 4.5
Total number of individuals	186	11.5
Number of species at site	9	4
Percent of total species	16	14
	<u>Site 7</u>	
<u>Green Algae</u> Chlamydomonas globosa	0.5	
Euglenoids Euglena sp.	1.5	
<u>Blue Green Algae</u> Coccochloris sp. Oscillatoria submembranacea Stigonema sp.	1.0 	2.0 2.0 2.0
Total number of individuals	3.0	6.0
Number of species at site	3	3
Percent of total species	9	14

Table VI-17 (c	:ont.)	
----------------	--------	--

ŧ

۱

And the second se

A STATE OF

1000 CANADA CONTRA

į

ŧ

....

Species	Number of individual 8/20/78	s X 10 ⁴ per liter 11/22/78
	Site 8	
<u>Green Algae</u> Chlamydomonas globosa Scenedesmus quadricauda	1.0 0.5	
Euglenoids Euglena sp.	2.5	0.5
<u>Blue Green Algae</u> Coccochloris sp. Oscillatoria submembranacea	4.0 1.0	0.5 1.5
Total number of individuals	9.0	2.5
Number of species at site	5	3
Percent of total species	16	14
	Site 9	
<u>Green Algae</u> Ankistrodesmus falcatus Chlamydomonas globosa Coelastrum reticulatum Cosmarium sp. Golenkinia radiata	10 20 10 5.0 5.0	2.0 135
Micractinium pusillum Scenedesmus quadricauda	15 10	
<u>Euglenoids</u> Euglena sp. Trachelomonas volvacina	10	38 39
Golden Brown Algae Tribonema bombycinum	5.0	
<u>Blue Green Algae</u> Agmenellum quadriduplicatum Coccochloris sp. Oscillatoria submembranacea	5.0 250 10	 5.0
Total number of individuals	355	219
Number of species at site	12	5

Tab	le	VI-	17	(cont.)	
-----	----	-----	----	---------	--

.

-

Species	Number of individuals > 8/20/78	X 10 ⁴ per liter 11/22/78
Percent of total species	37	23
	Site 10	
<u>Green Algae</u> Chlamydomonas sp.	8.0	2.5
<u>Euglenids</u> Euglena sp. Trachelomonas volvacine	0.5	1.0
<u>Blue Green Algae</u> Coccochloris sp. Oscillatoria submembranacea	2.5 12	2.0
Total number of individuals	23	5.5
Number of species at site	4	3
Percent of total species	12	14
	Site 11	
<u>Green Algae</u> Ankistrodesmus falcatus Chlamydomonas sp. Coelastrum reticulatum Cosmarium sp. Golenkinia radiata	75 10 30 30	80 25 25 15
Micractinium pusillum Pediastrum duplex Scenedesmus acuminatus Scenedesmus quadricauda Treubaria triappendiculata	5.0 10 10 5.0 10	10 15
<u>Euglenoids</u> Trachelomonas volvacina	5.0	
<u>Blue Gree Algae</u> Agmenellum quadriduplicatum Coccochloris sp. Oscillatoria submembranacea Spirulina subsalsa	80 285 525 170	40 25

f

Species	Number of individuals 8/20/78	X 10 ⁴ per liter 11/22/78
Total number of individuals	1250	225
Number of species at site	14	8
Percent of total species	44	36
	Site 12	
<u>Green Algae</u> Actinastrum hantzchii Ankistrodesmus falcatus Chlamydomonas sp. Coelastrum microporum Cosmarium sp.	1.0 18 1.0 5.0 11	1.5 5.0
Golenkinia radiata Micractinium pusillum Scenedesmus acuminatus Scenedesmus quadricauda Tetrastrum staurogeniaforme	13 7.0 2.0 15 3.0	
Euglenoids Euglena sp. Trachelomonas hispida	5.0 2.0	7.0
<u>Golden Brown Algae</u> Centritractus belánophorus Ophiocytium capitatum	2.0 1.0	
<u>Blue Green Algae</u> Agmenellum quadriduplicatum Anacystis marina Coccochloris sp. Oscillatoria submembranacea Spirulina subsalsa	2.0 4.0 36 2.0	 6.0
Total number of individuals	130	19.5
Number of species at site	18	4
Percent of total species	56	18

19. 19. 19. 19.

A

1

Same and a sugar stranger

م بنسب.

Species	Number of individuals	
	8/20/78	11/22/78
	Site 13	
<u>Green Algae</u> Actinastrum hantzchii Ankistrodesmus falcatus Arthrodesmus validus	5.0 70 10	0.5
Chlamydomonas globosa Coelastrum microporum	25 10	
Coelastrum reticulatum Crucigenia quadrata Dictyosphaerium pulchellum Golenkinić radiata Scenedesmus acuminatus	55 15 10 5.0 20	
Scenedesmus quadricauda Tetraedron quadricuspidatum Treubaria triappendiculata	70 5.0 35	0.5
<u>Golden Brown Algae</u> Centritractus belanophorus Ophiocytium capitatum	5.0 20	0.5
<u>Blue Green Algae</u> Agmenellum quadriduplicatum Coccochloris sp. Oscillatoria submembranacea Spirulina subsalsa	50 160 625 55	2.5 1.5 0.5
Total number of individuals	1250	6.0
Number of species at site	19	6
Percent of total species	59	27
	Site 14	
Green Algae Ankistrodesmus falcatus Chlamydomonas globosa Golenkinia radiata	1.5 4.0 1.0	2.0
Euglenoids Euglena sp.	0.5	

Table VI-17 (cont.))
---------------------	---

. جنب ک

1

ALC: NOT THE OWNER

f

Species	Number of individuals 8/20/78	X 10 ⁴ per liter 11/22/78
<u>Blue Green Algae</u> Oscillatoria submembranacea		1.0
Total number of individuals	7.0	3.0
Number of species at site	4	2
Percent of total species	12	9
	Site 15	
<u>Green Algae</u> Actinostrum hantzchii Ankistrodesmus falcatus Arthrodesmus validus Chlamydomonas globosa Coelastrum reticulatum	30 385 25 55	20 30 25 10
Cosmarium sp. Golenkinia radiata Micractinium pusillum Oocystis lacustris Scenedesmus acuminatus	45 20 20 20	5.0 5.0 80
Scenedesmus quadricauda Treubaria triappendiculata	30 5.0	10
<u>Euglenoids</u> Euglena sp. Trachelomonas hispida	35	15
<u>Blue Green Algae</u> Calothrix parientina Coccochloris sp. Oscillatoria submembranacea Spirulina subsalsa	85 170 5.0	5.0 50
Total number of individuals	930	255
Number of species at site	14	11
Percent of total species	44	50

Species

1

1

Ľ

Number of individuals X 10⁴ per liter 8/20/78 11/22/78

Site 16

	Site 16	
<u>Green Alġae</u> Ankistrodesmus falcatus Chlamydomonas sp. Coelastrum reticulatum Cosmarium sp. Golenkinia radiata	275 20 90 5.0 40	20
Micractinium pusillum Oocystis lacustris Polydriopsis spinulosa Scenedesmus acuminatus Scenedesmus quadricauda	5.0 5.0 5.0 35 65	 65
Staurastrum paradoxum Treubaria triappendiculata	5.0 5.0	
Euglenoids Euglena sp. Trachelomonas hispida	10	15
<u>Blue Green Algae</u> Calithrix parientina Coccochloris sp. Oscillatoria submembranacea	95 70	35
Total number of individuals	730	135
Number of species at site	15	4
Percent of total species	47	18
	<u>Site 17</u>	
<u>Green Algae</u> Ankistrodesmus falcatus Chlamydomonas sp. Coelastrum reticulatum Micractinium pusillum Scenedesmus acuminatus	200 15 15 	10 45 35
Scenedesmus quadricauda	10	5.0

--

......

F

Constants.

Species	Number of individuals X 10 ⁴ per liter		
	3/20/78	11/22/78	
Euglenoids		····	
Euglena sp.		35	
<u>Blue Green Algae</u> Calothrix parientina	50		
Coccochloris sp.	195		
Oscillatoria submembranacea		10	
Total number of individuals	485	140	
Number of species at site	6	6	
Percent of total species	19	27	
	Site 18		
Green Algae			
Ankistrodesmus falcatus	5.0		
Chlamydomonas sp.		25	
Coelastrum reticulatum Crucigenia quadrata	5.0 5.0		
Golenkinia radiata		5.0	
Micractinium pusillum	5.0	5.0	
Oocystis lacustris	5.0		
Scenedesmus acuminatus		5.0	
Scenedesmus quadricauda	15		
Euglenoids Euglena sp.		1.0	
•		1.0	
<u>Golden Brown Algae</u> Centritractus belanophorus	5.0		
Tribonema bombycinum	5.0		
	35		
<u>Blue Green Algae</u> Oscillatoria submembranacea	10	5.0	
Total number of individuals	90	46	
Number of species at site	9	6	
Percent of total species	28	27	

ند **د.** میر د.

1

ſ

Species	Number of individua 8/20/78	ls X 10 ⁴ per liter 11/22/78
	Site 19	<u></u>
<u>Green Algae</u> Actinastrum hantzchii	10	-
Ankistrodesmus falcatus		5.0
Arthrodesmus validus	10	
Chlamydomonas sp.	5.0	10
Coelastrum reticulatum	5.0	10
Golenkinia radiata		5.0
Micractinium pusillum	5.0	
Scenedesmus acuminatus		5.0
Scenedesmus quadricauda		5.0
Tetrastrum staurogeniaeforme		5.0
Golden Brown Algae Tribonema bombycinum		20
Blue Green Algae		
Anacystis marina	5.0	
Coccochloris sp.	10	
Total number of individuals	50	60
Number of species at site	7	8
Percent of total species	22	36
	Site 20	
Green Algae		
Actinastrum hantzchii	2.5	
Ankistrodesmus validus		10
Arthrodesmus validus	2.5	
Chlamydomonas sp.		10
Coelastrum microporum	7.5	5.0
Pediastrum duplex	2.5	
Scenedesmus quadricauda	5.0	5.0
Tetraedron trigonum	2.5	
Golden Brown Algae		
Tribonema bombycinum	17.5	

Table VI-17 (cont.)

.....

ŧ

ł

Ľ

8

. .

Species	Number of individuals 8/20/78	
Blue Green Algae		
Anacystis marina	2.5	
Coccochloris sp.	15	5.0
Oscillatoria submembranacea	2.5	
Total number of individuals	60	35
Number of species at site	10	5
Percent of total species	31	23

times 10⁴ per liter of water. <u>Total number of individuals</u> is the sum of all species counts and is also expressed as numbers of individuals times 10⁴ per liter. <u>Number of species at site</u> represents how many distinct species were present. <u>Percent of total species</u> related the number of species found at that site to the total number found for that particular survey period. Thus at site 7, although there were three species for both sample periods, the total number of species from Table VI-16 differed and resulted in different percentages. Discussion in Relation to Water Quality

Table VI-16 shows that, from the first to the second sampling period, most species decreased in occurrence. This decrease was most likely caused by the change in Jeason and the change in water quality and quantity due to storm runoff. Of the six species that increased in occurrence, three were found only once on the second survey while the remaining three are rather common.

Table VI-17 shows that most sites declined in total numbers and percent of total species, a few sites stayed more or less even, and site 2 actually increased. To facilitate discussion, population sizes can be broken into five groups. These groups are as follows: (1) sites with consistently sparse populations, (2) sites with consistently moderate populations, (3) the site with consistently high population, (4) the site in which population density rose, (5) sites in which population density dropped.

(1) Sites with consistently sparse populations.

This group includes sites 5, 7, 8, and 14 which were feeder streams from the bluff, sites 18, 19, and 20 which were consecutive

sites on the Chain of Rocks Canal, and sites 4 and 10.

A STATE OF A STATE OF A STATE OF A STATE OF A STATE OF A STATE OF A STATE OF A STATE OF A STATE OF A STATE OF A

1

£.

Ľ

£

The bluff feeder streams were swift-running with clay or gravel beds. Ammonia, nitrate, and pH increased and phosphates decreased in each of them yet lack of quiet pools for phytoplankton development is probably the main reason for sparse populations. Values for ammonia, nitrate, phosphates, pH, and other water quality measures were not different enough to suggest the variation in population observed between sites of sparse and high densities.

Sites along the Chain of Rocks Canal were quite similar. Nitrogen and phosphorus levels, which sometimes limit growth of algae, increased but only site 19 had a slight increase in population. Once again the turbulent motion of the water probably prevented extensive phytoplankton development.

Site 4 resembled the bluff feeder streams. Water quality was not significantly different from dense population sites. Habitat for phytoplankton development was limited. Water samples taken during the second sample period showed the presence of chlordane and mercury. The effects of these substances on the algae is unknown. However, the stability of the population suggests that their effects were minimal.

On the first sampling, site 10 was a series of unconnected shallow pools. The quiet pools and adequate nutrients should have fostered a dense population. However, the presence of a population composed solely of flagellate algae and cyanophyta suggests that only the most tolerant forms could survive. These pools were shallow and exposed to intense sunlight. Natural ultraviolet light is known to inhibit plankton growth in the top few centimeters of water. The shallow pools

provided little habitat adequately screened from the intense light. Dilution of this limited population with stream runoff could easily account for the lower population found in the November survey. (2) Site with consistently moderate populations.

This group includes all sites on the Cahokia Diversion Canal as well as site 9 near the head of Cahokia Canal.

Sites on the Diversion Canal were closely related. The waterway was deep and sluggish on the first collection. This lack of turbulence would help to explain the development of an extensive phytoplankton. Certainly there were sufficient levels of fixed nitrogen and phosphorus to support such growth. By the time of the second collection, ammonia and nitrate levels had increased, yet the algal population was not only reduced but also altered in composition. Dilution and increased turbulence due to runoff could account for this drop. Chlordane was present at these sites during the second collection and it is possible that it could have affected the populations although its effects on algae are unknown.

Site 9 near the head of Cahokia Canal was wide and sluggish having no apparent movement during either visit. Levels of ammonia, nitrate and phosphates increased. Phosphates were the highest of any site on either survey. By the November collection the population had declined in species present. However, flagellated algae increased greatly, so that actual numbers showed only a slight decrease. High levels of phosphates probably favored this increase in flagellates.

States and a state of the second states and the se

V1-42

(3) The site with consistently high population.

Site 3 represents the overflow from Horseshoe Lake. This lake is shallow and highly eutrophic, an ideal place for phytoplankton growth. Although water quality conditions may vary, favoring one species over another, the general density of population probably changes rather slowly. Thus even though the volume of water may fluctuate, the units per volume do not. Table VI-17 shows that diversity decreased yet almost all those species that remained had dramatic increases in population. The increase of mercury or more likely the decrease of phosphates could have influenced the shift in population composition. The number of individuals was approximately the same on both dates.

(4) The site whose population density rose.

Site 2 is directly downstream from the confluence of the Horseshoe Lake outfall and Cahokia Canal. Table VI-17 shows that its population is more closely related to the outfall than to the next upstream canal site (site 4). This resulted from the densely populated water of the lake mixing with the sparsely populated canal. When there was little flow from the lake on the first survey the dense lake population was diluted. On the second survey, runoff had increased the proportion of water entering from the lake and the population of site 2 rose.

(5) Sites whose population density dropped.

Sites 1, 6, 11, 12, and 13 dropped in population from one population size class to another. All of these sites except 11 had an increase in mercury which could have affected their populations.

Sites 1 and 6 on the Cahokia Canal showed a drop in diversity and numbers which could be expected from seasonal changes in weather and flow. Site 11, Long Lake, owed most of its population during the first collection to a bloom of several species of blue-greens. Site 12, a feeder stream to Horseshoe Lake, showed a large decrease in diversity and population. Site 13, Nameoki Ditch, experienced a similar decrease. All of these decreases occurred regardless of whether ammonia, nitrate and phosphate levels increased or decreased. Once again season and increasing amounts of runoff water were probably critical factors influencing population decline.

Concentrations of fixed nitrogen or phosphorus probably did not limit the development of any population. The effects of mercury and chlorinated hydrocarbons at the levels found are speculative. Throughout the survey area, when physical conditions favored extensive growth, dense populations appeared. As a general rule water quality determined <u>what</u> species would prevail while the physical environment (flow, turbulence, type of stream bed, etc.) determined the extent to which the population could develop. Dominant Species

Dominant species (100 X 10⁴ per liter or greater) during the first collection data were <u>Ankistrodesmus falcatus</u> and <u>Coccochloris</u> sp. in site 1; <u>Calothrix parietina</u> and <u>Oscillatoria submembranacea</u> in site 2; <u>Calothrix parietina</u> in site 3; <u>Coccochloris</u> sp. in site 6; <u>Coccochloris</u> sp. in site 9; <u>Oscillatoria submembranacea</u>, <u>Coccochloris</u> sp., and <u>Spirulina subsalsa</u> in site 11; <u>Oscillatoria</u> submembranacea and Coccochloris sp. in site 13; Ankistrodesmus

V1-44

falcatus and <u>Coccochloris</u> sp. in site 15; <u>Ankistrodesmus</u> <u>falcatus</u> in site 1' and <u>Ankistrodesmus</u> <u>falcatus</u> and <u>Coccochloris</u> sp. in site 17. Aquatic systems undergo succession from oligotrophic to eutrophic, to highly eutrophic environmental conditions and consequent populations of phytoplankton. On this basis, the general conclusion to be derived is that where populations were more than sparse, the bodies of water were either eutrophic or highly eutrophic in nature (sites 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17).

This suggests a biological quality of water which produces, over the course of time, large numbers of algae, high nutrient levels, and low oxygen levels at times. The trend is from light biological productivity (of tolerant organisms) to gradual stagnation with a final lowering of biological diversity and then productivity. As indicated by algae, therefore, the sampling sites suggest later stages of succession and a tendency in the direction of mature aquatic ecosystems. This does not indicate very good water conditions either now or in the future. Agricultural runoff, yard runoff, lake outflow, and sewage effluent probably contribute nutrients and sediments, and to a lesser degree pesticides, to the sampling sites. Septic systems and cesspools free nutrients, both organic and inorganic, from the total or partial mineralization of sewage. Such sewage and its nutrients are spread more readily at times of increased flooding and runoff, as are soil surface nutrients. Seasons of the year probably affect the nutrients of the water, there being considerable runoff into Cahokia Canal following winter/spring flooding and little or no runoff during the dry period of summer/fall. Severe rains

£

C

£

probably increase the input of nutrients into Cahokia Canal regardless of when they occur in relation to general seasonal trends. Most of the runoff and flooding occur during the cooler part of the year, whereas the greatest growth of phytoplankton generally takes place when nutrients (especially nitrates and phosphates) and temperatures are high. Although flooding increases nutrients, it generally occurs at a time when temperatures are not optimal. In any event, some of the algal species which were found are indicators of eutrophic or highly eutrophic conditions. These conditions are exacerbated by nutrients originating from such sources as runoff, animal wastes, human sewage, and lake outflows. With additional area development, the quality of aquatic populations of algae and the quality of the water can be expected to remain as eutrophic as now or to become increasingly more eutrophic.

In an October, 1979, study of the Edwardsville sewage lagoon, Britsch found phytoplankton populations of approximately 6×10^8 individuals per liter. There is no equivocating possible about the highly eutrophic nature of this lagoon, and this lagoon may be considered to represent optimal environmental conditions for algal growth in non-laboratory conditions. The number of phytoplankton per liter in the lagoon, therefore, may represent a maximum standard against which to compare populations found in the study reported herein. The highest number of individuals found in the Cahokia Canal study area was approximately 3.5×10^7 in site 3 on both sampling dates. Other high populations in relation to the sewage lagoon included, on 8/28/78, sites 2, 11, 13, 15, and 16 with approximately

1 X 10⁷ individuals per liter and, on 11/22/78, site 2 with approximately 2 X 10⁷ individuals per liter. The sites, therefore, with approximately one twentieth to one sixtieth of the population of the sewage lagoon, included sites 2 and 3 south of Horseshoe Lake, site 11 on Long Lake, site 13 at Nameoki Ditch, and sites 15 and 16 on Cahokia Diversion Channel. No consistent factors, such as water quality characteristics or sewage effluent inputs could be found to correlate with population sizes except possibly that nutrients, especially nitrate and phosphate, were sufficient and that still water existed - it has been demonstrated that algae will not generally tolerate considerable water movement, either in streams or in laboratory culture shakers. The tentative conclusion follows, from this, that highly eutrophic conditions exist and that lack of water flow may cause the development of high populations of algae.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Fernald, M.L. <u>Gray's Manual of Botany</u>. Eighth edition, American Book Company, New York, 1950.

Jones, G.N. Flora of Illinois. Third edition, University of Notre Dame Press, Notre Dame, Indiana, 1963.

NASA. Color Satellite Photograph, JSC 289, 1974.

Smith, G.M. Freshwater Algae of the United States. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1950.

4

Steyermark, J.A. <u>Flora of Missouri</u>. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, 1963.

USGS. Topographic Map (revised, 1968), 1954.

at the second
Whitford, L. and Schumacher, G. <u>A Manual of Freshwater Algae</u>. Spark Press, Raleigh, North Carolina, 1973.

Wicks, S.R. <u>Guide to the Freshwater Cyanophyta</u>. Unpublished (After F. Drouet), 1978.

SECTION VII BIOLOGICAL ELEMENTS ZOOPLANKTON AND BENTHOS

PREPARED BY

DONAL G. MYER, PH. D.

and the second se

ZOOPLANKTON

Materials and Methods

2

2

Two sets of zooplankton samples were collected from each of the twenty designated sampling stations. (The sites are described in Table V-2). The first set from sites 1 through 16 was taken between July 11 and July 21, 1978, the second set on September 12, 1978. Sites 18, 19, and 20 were sampled on September 13 and 14, 1978 and again on November 13. Each sample consisted of thirty liters of water collected in ten liter amounts from each of three representative habitats at the site. The plankton were concentrated by pouring the water through a No. 20 Wisconsin Style Plankton Net Sampler, washed into a wide mouth glass jar, and fixed with an equal volume of neutralized ten per cent formalin containing Rose Bengal stain.

In the laboratory, each sample was washed thoroughly into a 100 ml graduated cylinder. After the contained organisms had settled to the bottom, fluid was decanted from the top until thirty ml remained (one ml representing one liter of filtered water sample). The sample was then automatic pipetted and placed in a Wards Zooplankton Counting Wheel (Wildco). Three one ml samples were counted unless few zooplanters were present in which case two to nine additional ml of sample were added to the orignal one ml. The entire sample was counted where organisms were very few. Samples from sites 1 and 2 were counted in one tenth ml aliquots because of the large number of plankters present. Specimens of rotifers and crustacea not familiar to the identifier were mounted in Hoyer's Medium for microscopic

VII-1
examination and identification.

1

All samples were collected by Donal Myer with the assistance of Thomas Keevin. Further processing, identification and counting was done by Donal Myer. Identifications were made to the lowest taxon practicable, usually genus or species, using primarily the following references: Ahlstrom (1940), Edmondson (1959), and Pennak (1953). Results and Discussion

Tables VII-1 and VII-2 are composite lists of the zooplankton found at sites 1 through 17 during the first (July) and second (September) sampling periods, respectively; and of the zooplankton at sites 18 through 20 during the first (September) and second (November) collection periods, respectively. Calanoid copepodids, cyclopoid copepodids, and nauplii were counted as separate taxa in the composite list and in computations, due to the fact that these forms often occupy niches separate from the adults of the species.

Three major planktonic groups: Rotifera, Cladocera, and Copepoda, and two minor groups: the Nematoda and Insecta, were recovered during this study. Thirty-eight (fifty-eight per cent) of the sixtyfive zooplankton taxa found in July at sites 1-17 were Rotifera, fourteen (twenty-one per cent) taxa were Cladocera, while thirteen (twenty per cent) were Copepoda. Of the fifty-seven taxa found in September, thirty-four (sixty per cent), eleven (nineteen per cent), and eleven (nineteen per cent) were Rotifera, Cladocera and Copepoda, respectively.

The average number of plankton taxa present per site was twentytwo in July, nineteen in September. The range was eleven to thirty-six

Table <u>VII-1</u>

ZOOPLANKTON #/Liter July, 1978 (Except #18-20, September, 1978)

Annia and

1

ŝ

Ļ

-

•••

1

1

-

I.

te fina a sa difi ting gana a

، م ••••

14° - 141

		비	X Cept		?	(Except # 10 - 20, September, 19/0)		10/6											
TAXA									SI	SITE NUMBER	MBER								
Lange 1	-	2		4	2	9	7	8	6	10	1 11	12 1	3 14	15	16	-	8	6	20
Rotifera Asplanchna Brachionus angularis B. bidentata	20	12 48	98 98	₽ <u>0</u> <u>-</u>		27 108 1	+ - +	+ 2	96	97	14 2 3	-81	++		3-+	27	+ + ~	++	+
B. budapestinensis B. calyciflorus	1455	618	26	+		2	+	+	- N	-	-	m		+		27		~ ~ ~	<u>~~</u>
B. caudatus B. havanatsis	70 75	106	4 ²	+		1 24		+	9 6	+ -	- + - + -	- 40	++	•••		3		+ +	+
e. quaer ventatus B. urceolaris Cephalodella	51		r			2		+		. <u> </u>		<u>. </u>			+ + +		. ~ _	+	+
Coll otheca Conchil Toi des		12		+		-					-						+ *	~	-
<u>Conochilus hippocrepis</u> Euchlanis Filinia longiseta	85	112	24	- 5	+ ~			+ +	5 ⁸ + +		8	~_	+ +	+ +	+		5-1		
Mexarthra (Pedalia) Keratella cochlearis K. quadrata		- ~ _			+	<u>. 5</u>	+	+ +						+ +	+		+		- 0
Lecane / Lec			-		+ +	-			-4	20	_	<u>v</u>	+						
Lecane #3 Lepade11a Woncerv1a1					+	+		<u> </u>	-4			~	+	+					
Monostyla 2 Monostyla quadridentata					+			-	+				+						
Mytilina Wytilina						+		<u>.</u>	-			-	+	•	•	+			
Portarca Platylas patulus Dardes common			4	-4	N	6			42	17	-	01	+ + 		 				
Polyarthra	<u> </u>		6	12	+	m			28	-	152						<u> </u>	~	б
]			1	1	1	4	+	┥	-	┥	$\frac{1}{2}$						

v11-3

+ Less than .6 per liter (and not counted in tally of Total Number of Individuals/Liter)

Table Vil-1 (con't)

ZOOPLANKTON #/Liter July, 1978 (Except # 18-20, September, 1978)

•

ł

ĩ

2

} ₽ 1 .

.....

TAXA	-	2	-	4	- 5	- -	-	6 8			13 FK	<u> </u>	71	15	16	1	<u></u>	19 20
Abtatoria neptunia Synchaeta Testudinella Unknown /1	115	6	-	+ ~~		4	+	<u> </u>	- ~ ~ ~			+ -	+	+ +	6 2	. ~	-	
12 13 15 15 15										+		N .		+			0	15
Unknown #7 ladocera <u>Alona rectangula</u> <u>Bosmina coregoni B. longirostris Ceriodaphila megalops</u> Chydorus subaeri <u>cus</u>	17	=	255	m	+		+		.	+	+		++	. <u>.</u>		+ +	<u>_</u>	~
Daphnia pervula Daphnia sp. Diaphanosoma brachyurum Moina affinis Moina mterura	2 7	m	5 7	∞ ₊	+	 6	+	++	· · · · ·			•	+ +		+ +	+ +	+ -	+ + ~
Pleuroxus denticulatus P. trigonellus Scapholebris kingi Simocephalus Uhknown Cladocera #1					+ +	+ +			24	+	- + 					+		· · · · · ·
																		<u> </u>

•

مهرد الكلاء

+ Less than .6 per liter (and not counted in tally of Total Number of Individuals/Liter)

۰. مر

Table VII-1 (con't)

t

ť.

į

į

ć

1

Í

(

ZOOPLANKTON #/Liter July, 1978 (Except #18-20, September, 1978)

L										SITE	SITE NUMBER	~								ļ
	TAXA	-	2	~	-	5	9	~		6	= 2	1 12	<u> </u>	7	5	9	-	<u>∞</u>	<u>_</u>	8
L	Copepoda Diaptomus pallidus D. siciloides Calanoid copepodids Cyclops varicans rub. C. vernalis	37 39 18	47 1 9	19 15 20	+ + +	+ + +	+ ~ -	+ +	+	+ ^w +		+ 	_	+	+	+ +	+		+	
	Eucyclops agilis Ectocyclops phaleratus Macrocyclops albidus Mesocyclops fimbriatus puppei Paracyclops fimbriatus puppei					+				+++ +			`	<u> </u>	•	• •	• 			
	Unknown Cyclopoid # 1 Cyclopoid copepodids Nauplii Nematoda	= 5	116	131	132	+=	+ 53 +	+ +	+	و 1 8 +	215	6,89	25 25	- MN			- 52	68	<u>3</u>	30 27
_	Total Number of Species Total Number of Individuals/L	iter 2062	17 1200	21 820	24 126+	27 27+	29 2634	= +	- <u></u>	35 278+	150+4	25 4284 1		5 <u>5</u>	3, 12		23	26	21 20 544 81+	82 + 107

100

•

*

. ب

٠

٤

+ Less than .6 per liter (and not counted in tally of Yotal Number of Individuals/Liter)

.

Table VII-2

ZOOPLANKTON #/Liter September, 1978 (Except #18-20, November, 1978)

-7

ţ

•

Ł

8

4

·. .

	20	- 2	1 26			2		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	61	+ -		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	+ -	m		ñ
	8	6 M	3	5	_	=		4
		134 134	8 32 44	3 33		5 R	+	09 1 1
	91	8	312.8	2 26	20	<u>~- ~</u>		390 4
	5	01	72 9	25	33	<u></u>	-+	<u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u>
	-=	+	+ 50	-	~ <u>~</u>	~	+	m
	<u> </u>	153	+ 181 21		•	Ś		3 222
	12				7	Ś	+ - +	<u>м</u>
SITE NUMBER	=	131	- 2	61	e v	4		NN 00
ITE N	10	14 227	12 147		- 62	10 32	4 2	~
57	6	2		404	16	38	20	80 m
	80	1		- m		+		+ +
	7		-			+		- 7
	6	5	390 57	12	2	-	œ	
	2	+	+.		+	- 8		+ + -
	4	+ -	+ -	+	+ +	° + + +	+ -	++ +
	~	1 1	159	- 5	7 B		-	7 -
	2		4	+ -	-	+	~	- 7
	-		1	+	- 54 4	+ - +	+	+
	AXA I	Rotifera Asplanchna Brachionus angularis	B. Dicentata B. budapestinensis B. calyciflorus	B. caudatus B. havanaensis B. plicatilis B. quadridentatus	<u>B. ruceolaris</u> Cephalodella Collotheca Conochilus hippocrepis (colonies)	Epipanes Euchlanis Filinia Tongiseta Keratella cochlearis K. quadrata Lecane / T	Lepadeila Honostyla bulla # 1 Honostyla # 2 Nothalca Platylas patulus	P. <u>quadricornus</u> Folyarthra Testudinella Trichocera Unknown T 1
					VII-6			

•

, . .

Table VII-2 (con't)

ZOOPLANKTON #/Liter September, 1978 (Except #18-20, November, 1978)

. . .

.

L.

ŧ

i

i

į

Į

ł

(

£

C.

. •

.....

-

e . . . •

	101	ŝ				+ + ⁻ +	+	-
	9 20			· <u> </u>	<u> </u>	+ + +	+	
	6	<u> </u>		<u></u>		+++	e . ')	
	8	12	·	+ +		+ +		
	-		+ + +	<u></u>	+ +		е и 	1
	19	ñ			- +	+	**************************************	75
	15			8	+		~ m	23
	14		+	+	-	+ +	~ +	8
	13	s		4	-	. –	80	220
	12					8	80	661
SITE NUMBER	=	7		7	12	- N M+	+	123
ITE N	2				-		+ #	315
S	6	63	44 24 24		12	28	28 272 1 6	518
	∞		+				~ ~	12
	7			+	-	+ 50	17 57	234
	9		<u> </u>	28			£.	25
	5			+		- + +	+ -	5
	-4		+	+	+	+ +	+	4
	~	······································	7	999		70 45 2	1	95
	~		+	7		61		8
	-			~		+ + +	-	9
AVA T	NAM I	Unknwon # 2 Unknown # 3 Unknown # 4 Unknown # 5 Unknown # 6	Cladocera Alona rectanguia B. longirostris Ceriodaphnia megalops Chydorus sphaericus Daphnia ambigua	D. parvula Diaphanosoma brachyurum 111yocryptus sordidus Macrothrix laticornis Molna affinis	Moina micrura Pleuroxus denticulatus Scapholebris kingi	Copepoda Diaptomous pallidus D. siciloides Calanoid copepodids C. vermalis Eucyclops agilis	E. exilis Mesocyclops edax Tropocyclops prasinus Unknown cyclopoid #2 Cyclopoid copepodids	Nauplii

Table VII-2

ZOOPLANKTON #/Liter September, 1978 (Except #18-20, November, 1978)

ź

ţ

i

4.54

1

f

C

ť.

-

	₹	16	15	
Ľ	<u>₽</u>	15	122+ 43+	
!	•	7	1224	
!	= +	22	349+	
:	<u> </u>	23	6 65+	
[:	<u> </u>	17	30+ 218+ 665+	
	<u>.</u>	18		
[<u>-</u> +	18	828+	
	2	21	251+	
SITE NUMBER	=	24	332+ 251+ 828+	
	2	22	873	
	π	18	23+ 1090 873	
•	D	13		
•	-	14	325+	
		16	620	
	n	14	16+ 10+ 620	
[-	+	24	16+	
ŀ		22	514	
,	۷	71	24+ 514	
-	-	20	32+	
TAXA	lnsecta Chaoborus	Total Number of Species	Total Number Individuals/Liter	
	Insec	I		

•

÷

•

¥

1

z

•

...

÷ .

in July, thirteen to twenty-four in September. Excluding the two extremes in July, the range was thirteen to twenty-six taxa per site, similar to the September range. The number of organisms per site varied greatly, from one plus to 2062 per liter in July and from ten plus to 1090 per liter in September. There was an average of 248+ organisms per liter in July, 365+ organisms per liter in September, when all seventeen sites are considered.

The zooplankton data suggest that site 7 is considerably degraded in water quality. It had the lowest diversity index in both collections (Table VII-3), however, it had improved considerably by September. Site 8 with only thirteen to fourteen taxa represented during the two collection periods also showed considerable improvement from July, when the diversity index showed a degraded environment. Sites 1 and 2 were the richest of all seventeen sites in numbers per liter (2062 and 1200, respectively) in July, suggesting In September, sites 9, 10 and 13 were highly eutrophic conditions. the richest sites with 1090, 873, and 828+ individuals per liter, respectively. Site 8, on the other hand, was similar to site 14 in having the second lowest number of organisms per liter (five plus) in July. Site 5 had the lowest number of organisms per liter (ten plus) in September. In July, site 15, and in September, site 6 yielded no cladocerans in the thirty liter samples. All other sites had representatives of the three major invertebrate zooplankton groups in both summer and fall collections.

1

i

I

C

ſ

The following taxa from sites 1-17 were collected during only the one collection period indicated:

Table VII-3

.

ŝ

-

2

1:

C

£

DIVERSITY AND EQUITABILITY OF ZOOPLANKTON AND MACROBENTHOS

and e	quitabilit	y, e, tor (each colle	<u>ction in t</u>	ne study a	rea.
Site	Macrob	enthos		ankton tion #1)		ankton tion #2)
#	đ	e	ā	e	d	e
1	1.59	.57	1.58	. 33	2.75	.45
2	2.58	. 50	2.67	.53	2.90	.71
3	1.23	. 38	3.22	. 62	2.96	.50
- 4	1.64	.94	3.37	. 75	2.15	. 25
5	2.42	.87	2.55	.28	1.96	. 36
6	1.39	.27	3.02	.41	2.19	. 38
7	1.44	.47	0.00	.00	1.37	.21
8	2.05	.78	0.66	. 14	2.36	. 54
9	1.56	.67	3.25	. 38	2.42	. 39
10	1.80	.56	1.80	. 30	2.59	. 36
11	1.61	.80	2.92	.42	2.97	. 46
12	1.67	. 40	3.32	.51	1.44	.14
13	1.15	.21	. 2.72	.47	4.35	1.67
14	3.03	.75	0.92	.10	2.95	.61
15	3.15	1.00	2.44	. 33	3.08	.71
16	2.24	.53	2.04	.24	2.33	. 30
17	2.13	. 34	2.53	.31	2.17	.27
18	1.99	.63	3.82	1.00	1.98	. 36
19	1.94	1.00	2.82	. 50	1.74	.27
20	1.33	• 33	3.13	.68	2.31	. 44
Mean (x)	1.90	0.60	2.45	0.42	2.45	0.47
Minimum	1.15	0.21	0.00	0.00	1.37	0.14
Maximum '	3.15	1.00	3.82	1.00	4.35	1.67
			A			

Mean diversity, \overline{d} , using the Shannon-Weaver function and equitability, e, for each collection in the study area

Daphnia Pleuroxus trigonellus Simocephalus Unknown Cladocera #1 Cyclops varicans rubellus Ectocyclops phaleratus Macrocyclops albidus Mesocyclops Paracyclops fimbriatus poppei Unknown Cyclopoid #1

September

July

Brachionus budapestinensis
B. plicatills
B. rubens
Epiphanes
Daphnia ambigua

1

1.

x

<u>Macrothrix laticornis</u> <u>Eucyclops exilis</u> <u>Mesocyclops edax</u> <u>Tropocyclops prasinus</u> Unknown Cyclopoid #2

The number of zooplankton taxa collected declined in general in September compared to July. The number collected remained the same at two sites, increased at four sites, and declined at eleven sites during September. The taxa that were found most frequently and in relatively large numbers are discussed below.

The rotiferan occurring at the largest number of sites during July (sixteen of seventeen) was an unidentified one (possibly <u>Epi-</u> <u>phanes</u>) of small size. It occurred in large numbers, 115 and seventy per liter, only at sites 1 and 2, respectively. Occurring at fourteen sites each in July were <u>Brachionus angularis</u>, <u>B. calyciflorus</u>, <u>B. havanaensis and Filinia longiseta</u>. These were followed by <u>B. bidentata and Platyias patulus</u> at thirteen sites, <u>B. caudatus</u> and <u>Lecane #1 at twelve sites</u>, <u>Asplanchna</u> and <u>Euchlanis</u> at eleven sites, <u>B. quadridentatus</u>, <u>Cephalodella</u> and <u>Polyarthra</u> at ten sites, and <u>Keratella cochlearis at nine sites</u>, all in July.

Eleven of the fifteen most commonly found July taxa of rotifers

(listed above) were found at eight or more sites in September. <u>Brachionus havanaensis</u>, <u>Cephalodella</u>, <u>Euchlanis</u> and <u>Keratella coch-</u> <u>learis</u> were collected at considerably fewer sites in September while <u>Brachionus urceolaris</u> occured at eight sites in September compared to only three sites in July.

Organisms of the genus <u>Brachionus</u> are worldwide in distribution but confined to water with a pH above six and six-tenths, according to Ahlstrom (1940). <u>B. quadridentatus</u>, <u>B. angularis</u>, <u>B. calyciflorus</u> and <u>B. urceolaris</u> are very widely distributed, <u>B. bidentata</u> less so. <u>B. havanaensis</u> is one of the most common species in North America but is not found elsewhere except in South America. <u>B. calyciflorus</u> was found by Chu (in Ahlstrom 1940) to have a life cycle span varying from twelve to nineteen days for females. Probably other species of Brachionus have similar life spans.

<u>Filinia longiseta</u> as well as <u>B. angularis</u>, <u>B. calyciflorus</u>, <u>B.</u> <u>quadridentatus</u>, <u>Keratella cochlearis</u> and <u>Polyarthra euryptera</u> are indicators of eutrophic conditions. Because of their high rates of natural increase and high population turnover rates, these and other rotifers respond more quickly to environmental changes than do crustacea and appear to be more sensitive indicators to environmental changes in water quality (Gannon and Stemberger, 1978).

<u>Diaphanosoma</u> <u>brachyurum</u> occurred most frequently among the Cladocera, being found at eleven sites in July and eight in September. It was followed by <u>Bosmina longirostris</u> and <u>Moina micrura</u>, both at eight sites in July and six and eight sites, respectively in September. All taxa encountered are grazers on bacteria, protozoa,

VII-12

£

algae and organic detritus of all kinds, according to Pennak (1953). The common species, and additionally <u>Chydorus sphaericus</u>, often exhibit single long population pulses during the warmer months. According to Gannon and Stemberger (1978), <u>B. longirostris</u> has been utilized as an indicator of eutrophic conditions. Support for this is offered in the present study where <u>B. longirostris</u> occurred in numbers greater than three per liter during July only at sites 1, 2, and 3 where the three heaviest total concentrations of organisms encountered were found then.

<u>Cyclops vernalis</u> was the most commonly occurring Copepoda, being recovered from eleven sites in July, twelve in September. <u>Diaptomus siciloides</u> was found at eight sites in July and seven in September, followed by <u>Eucyclops agilis</u> at seven sites both times. <u>Paracyclops fimbriatus</u> was found at six sites in July, <u>Tropocyclops</u> <u>prasinus</u> at six sites in September. Gannon (1972) believes that <u>Diaptomus siciloides</u> may be a useful early-warning indicator of advancing eutrophication in the Great Lakes. In the present study this species and <u>C. verbalis</u> occurred in greatest frequencies at sites 1, 2, and 3 which were the most eutrophic sites if total numbers of zooplanktonic organisms per liter is considered.

Sites 18 through 20 were very similar in their zooplankton to one another. For example, sixteen (sixty-seven percent) of the twentyfour zooplankton taxa taken at one or more of the sites 18-20 during September were taken at all three sites. Similarly, twelve (sixtythree percent) of the nineteen taxa taken in November were taken at

all three sites. Four taxa from sites 18-20 were unique to one or more of the three sites: Unknown Rotifer #3, <u>Conochiloides</u>, <u>Daphnia</u> <u>parula</u> and <u>111yocryptus</u> <u>sordidus</u>. The rotifer #3 was present at all three sites (18-20) at both collection times and thus was the only constant indicator of the "large, canal" type environment.

As with collections from sites 1-17, the number of taxa collected during the later (November) period was lower than the number collected earlier (September). Of twenty-seven taxa taken in total during the two collection periods, sixteen taxa were present both times, eight in September only, and three in November only.

The quality of the water at the Chain of Rocks Canal sites as indicated by Diversity Index and Equitability (mean three and twentysix hundredths and seventy-three hundredths, respectively) was higher than those for sites 1-17 (mean two and fifty-three hundredths and forty-nine hundredths, respectively) in September.

BENTHOS

Materials and Methods

One set of both quantitative and qualitative benthic collections were made at each of the twenty designated sites. Five quantitative samples taken from the major bottom habitat types at each site using an Ekman grab (except at site 5) were pooled, cleaned of small materials with stream water using a U.S. Standard No. 30 brass screen sieve, then fixed in neutralized ten percent formalin containing Rose Bengal stain. At site 5, two Ekman grabs and three

Surber samples were pooled to form the composite sample.

Approximately one-half man-hours of effort was expended in obtaining qualitative samples from all habitat types of each site using both a long-handled aquatic net and a No. 30 sieve. The samples were sieved, fixed, sorted, and identified in the same manner as the qualitative samples. Benthic organisms encountered during seining were added to the appropriate qualitative samples.

All samples were collected by Donal Myer with the assistance of Thomas Keevin. Samples from sites 1 through 17 were taken between July 11 and July 21, 1978 while those from sites 18 through 20 were taken September 13 and 14, 1978. Prior to identification samples were processed in the laboratory by Marina Ferrari. They were washed in tap water in a No. 30 sieve to remove fine particles and formalin. Organisms were hand sorted with the use of a dissecting microscope, then stored in separate vials with seventy percent ethanol until identified. The number of benthic organisms in each composite sample was multiplied by a conversion factor of 8.6111 (1550/36 X 5) to obtain the number per square meter except site 5 where a factor 3.0754 (1550/(2 X 36 +3 X 144) was used. Identifications were made by Donal Myer to the lowest taxon possible using primarily the following references: Beck (1976), Edmondson (1959), Mason (1973), Pennak (1953), Sawyer (1972), and Usinger (1956). Species diversity indices (d) were calculated using the Shannon-Weaver formula and evenness (equitability) indices by comparing the number of species in the sample with the number of species expected from a community that conforms to

MacArthur's model (Weber, 1973).

Results and Discussion

The Benthic macroinvertebrates of the study area (Table VII-4) are chiefly organisms that are facultative or tolerant of moderate to high levels of organic pollution. The classification of the tolerance of various macroinvertebrate taxa to decomposable organic wastes was obtained from Weber (1973). Tubificids comprise the dominant family. They constitute eighty-eight percent of all oranisms in the quantitative collections and range from only nine percent at site 5 to ninety-nine percent at site 13. Other families well represented in the quantitative collections are the Naididae (Oligochaeta) constituting five percent of the organisms collected (thirty percent of the organisms at site 1) and the Chironomidae constituting four percent of the organisms collected (seventy-two percent of organisms at site 8). Asellidae (Crustacea) were the most abundant organisms at sites 5 and 7, constituting forty-six percent and seventy-five percent, respectively, of the organisms collected there. Groups well represented in the qualitative collections in addition to the above are Hirudinea, Astacidae, and a number of aquatic insect orders, especially the Odonata, Ephemeroptera, Hemiptera, and Coleoptera. The Chironomidae outnumbered all other families in the number of taxa represented in both quantitative collections (seventeen taxa) and qualitative collections (twenty-four taxa, of which eleven are not represented among the seventeen taxa in the quantitative collections).

Table VII-4

BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES #/Sq. Meter -

÷ ł

t

ţ

÷

4

-

:

į

í

A

~

•

••••

•

....

.

	AXA I	Coelenterata Hydrozoa Hydridae <u>Hydria</u>	Rotifera Floscularidae L <u>imnias</u>	Nema toda Aphasmidia Uniknown A	Bryozoa Plumatellidae <u>Plumatella</u> repens	Endoprocta Urnatellidae <u>Urnatella</u> gracilis	Annezida 0ligochaeta Beididae Dero aulophorus vagus Dero digitata Haemonals serpentina Pristina longiseta	Sparganophilidae Sparganophilus tamesis
POLLUTIO	IULEKANLE	ند		LL LL	ند 	LL	<u>ч</u> ч – ч	
7.1	-						1206	₩ ₩
	2						69	17
	m							
	4						<u> </u>	
	5		· <u>···</u> ·					
	6 7	6			- თ			34
	80						<u> </u>	·
	6	<u> </u>					34	
SITE 1	01		*				88	
SITE NUMBER	=				*		34	
	12						758 34 69	
	۲ ۳				<u>.</u>		56	*
	14 15			17				
	5 16					43		
	=	<u>6</u>					482	21
	18						~	
	6]	*					<u></u>	
		+¢		م				

T = Tolerant F = Faculative I = Intolerant
* = Present in Qualitative Collection Only

· · · ·

Table VII-4 (con't)

BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES #/Sq. Meter

•

Ł

ſ

í

ť

ſ

í

	18 19 20	17 396 52 267	43 611 121 2239 9 164					
	-	207 * 1378	138 3169 276	*				*
	2	155 69 * 267	066	[_]				*
	-5	43 9 17	232 9		<u> </u>			
	7	-1 34 26	9 224 17					
	<u> </u>	95 1145	43 15715 4039			<u>о</u>		43
	-	34 69 207	379 2273	*	* *			*
NUMBER	=	69		*	* *			
SITE NUMBER	0	181	715 52	*	*	*	6	* *
	ه	379	930 34		*			
	8	*	86		*			4×
<u> </u>	~		* 26					
	6	164 517	69 2859 34		* 34		*	*
	2	m	15					
	-7	34	164		*			
	m	517 723	34 5959		*			
	7	103 17 138	17 844	_	17			
	-	138	2273 34					
POLLUTI ON	INDEX					بد 	<u>ب</u>	<u> </u>
		Tubificidae Aulodrilus piqueti Limnodrilus cervix Limnodrilus claparedianus Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri Limnodrilus maumeensis	Limmodrilus udekemianus Limmodrilus immatures Tubifex tubifex	Hirudinea Erpobdellidae Mooreobdella microstoma Erpobdella punctata	Glossiphonidae Helobdella elongata H. stagnalis Placobdella montifera P. ornata Unidentified	Mol Iusca Gast ropoda Pulmonata Lymmaei dae Lymmaea	Pl anorbi dae <u>Hel i osoma</u>	Physidae <u>Physa gyrina</u> <u>P. integra</u>

VII-18

.

•

مد الحال

.

.....

T = Tolerant F = Faculative i = Intolerant * = Present in Qualitative Collection Only -

Table VI1-4 (con't)

BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES #/Sq. Meter

.

I.

Ĺ

4

i

:

į

į

1

Ľ

·····

• • •

•

...

...

	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		17 26 164		*	*		*	<u>6</u>
~	12	* * *			*				*
SITE NUMBER	=							*	*
SITE	10							*	
	6	<u> </u>						*	
	8			<u> </u>	* 6		<u> </u>	*	
	2	*	*					*	
	5 6		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	*				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
	4					<u></u>		<u> </u>	
	3				<u></u>			*	
	2	<u> </u>					*	*	
	-		_					*	*
LUTION	INDEX	<u> </u>	Т, F Т	·····	Ŀ				 LL
POLLU					· · ·				
	AXA I	Pelecypoda Unionidae Anodonta grandis A. <u>suborbiculatus</u> Carunculina parva	Sphariidae Musculium transversum Unidentified-small	Arthropoda Crustacea Amphipoda Gammaridae <u>Gammarus pseudolimnaeus</u>	Talitridae <u>Hyalella</u> <u>azteca</u>	Cladocera Sididae <u>Sida crystallina</u>	Copepoda Argul i dae <u>Argul us</u>	Decapoda Astacidae Cambarus diogenes Orconectes immunis O. virilis Procambarus autus Unidentified-small	Palaemonidae Palaemonetes kadiakensis

VII-19

T = Tolerant F = Faculative I = Intolerant * = Present in Qualitative Collection Only

......

Table <u>VII-</u>4 (con't)

BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES #/Sq. Meter

A.

i.

ŧ

į

l

i

1

Ł

ţ

E.

a A A **k**

F TAYA		lsopoda Asellidae <u>Asellus brevicauda</u> <u>A. intermedius</u>	Insecta Odonata Aeschnidae <u>Anax</u> sp. <u>Epiaeschna heros</u>	Libellulidae Libellula sp. <u>Perithemis</u> <u>domitia</u> <u>Sympetrum</u> sp.	Agrionidae <u>Hetaerina</u> sp.	Coenagrionidae Argia sp. Enallagma sp. Ischnura sp. <u>Neoneura</u> sp.	Ephemeroptera Bactidae Callibactis ferrugineus Centropetilum sp. Siphionurus sp. Unidentified-partial	Caenidae Caenis sp.	Ephemeridae <u>Hexagenia</u> limbata
POLLUTION		L		لد	-	<u>кк</u> –	لد	L	_
Z 14	-						*		
	2								
	5					б 			
	4	9 26				**	*		
	5	3	4			*	*	*	
	6	*							
	7	232					6		
	80	و *			-*	-4	*	*	
S	6						<u> </u>		
SITE NUMBER	10			*		<u>م</u>	*	*	
MBER	1		. <u> </u>	34				*	
	12 1	*	*			*	*	*	
			*	 *		<u>م</u>			
	14 15	6		*		* *	<u>*</u>	*	
	5 16	6				* *	* * 		
	17	*				*	*	*	*
	18		·			້	<u></u>		60
	61						<u> </u>		
	20							_	

VII-20

• •

. *

T = Tolerant F = Faculative 1 = Intolerant * = Present in Qualitative Collection Only

Table VII-4 (con't)

BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES #/Sq. Meter

.

2.

ţ

į

:

į

Ę

ſ

ę

.....

. in .

TAXA	POLLUTION TOLERANCE	N U G							a	0	I TE N	SITE NUMBER					11				
Heptageniidae Stenonema interpunctatum			+	` 	r 	<u>` </u>	,	*	> *	n	2 *		<u>1</u>		+						2
a Belostomatidae <u>Belostoma</u> sp.		 +				<u> </u>								*	·						
Corixidae Trichocorixa sp.		*		34	*								34		26	*					
ierridae Gerris marginatus G. remigis Trepobates inermis			*		*	*	*	*	* *		*			*							
Notonect i dae Notonecta sp.		*											*	*	<u> </u>					<u> </u>	
tera Hydropsychidae <u>Cheumatopsyche</u> sp.		 LL						*	*		<u> </u>			·	*						
Psychomy i í dae Polycent ropus sp.	لد	F				. <u></u>				_		<u> </u>					*	*			
oytiscidae <u>Laccophilus fasciatus</u>				*		*								*				<u>م</u>			<u> </u>
Elmidae <u>Dubirhaphia</u> sp.		 LL		<u>.</u>											<u> </u>	*	*				_
rr ini dae Dinøutus, hornei i		 LL	*												•		*		···.,=_	<u> </u>	
l i pl i dae Pel todytes sp.		 L															*				

-

•••••

_

.

T = Tolerant F = Faculative I = Intolerant
* = Present in Qualitative Collection Only

Table <u>VII-</u>4 (con't)

BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES #/Sq. Meter

i,

٤

1

.

-

14

£

t C

f.

8

Contraction of the

ىرى بىر مەيرى ئەشەر بەربىلەردىكە كەڭ ئەركەڭ ئەرۋەكى زىغ يەركۇن بۇر. ئۇيورىيى يەكەر تەرىپ تەكەر ئەر - - - - - -تەرىپ بەر مەيرى ئەشەر بەربىلەردىكە كەڭ ئەركەڭ ئەر ئەرۋەكى ئەرۋە يەركەڭ تەرىپ يەكەر تەرىپ تەكەر ئەر - - - - - - - 1

A. ...

					 -						1
20											
5									<u>_</u> _		Į
<u>8</u>											
17	*			°*					*		
91				σ							
5					<u> </u>			<i></i>			
7			· · · ·								
<u>۳</u>								- ·			
12		* *		*							
=					69						
		*				*					ł
5		*			34					<u> </u>	
∞					*						
										*	
┝─┥		<u>. </u>									ł
\vdash											ł
\vdash			····		*			:		<u> </u>	
4											
		*	*								
2		*	*		ىر س			*	*		Į
-											
INDEX		F	Ŀ	т, г т	F. T.		-	F	Ŀ		
IAXA	Hydraenidae Unidentified larva	Hydrophilidae Berosus infuscatus Tropisternus glaber T. mexicanus striolatus Tropisternus larvae	Diptera Anthomyildae <u>Limnophora</u> aequifrons	Ceratopogonidae Palpomyia complex # A Palpomyia complex # B	Cullicidae Anopheles sp. <u>Cullicid pupa</u> <u>Chaoborus</u> sp.	Sciomyzidae Unidentified larva	Simuliidae Unidentified larva	Strationyiidae <u>Strationyia</u> sp.	Tabanidae <u>Tabanus</u> sp.	Tipulidae Unidentified pupa	
	ULEMANE 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Increment Increment <t< td=""><td>Increment Increment 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 1 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 1 <t< td=""><td>add larva IOLEGAME 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ed larva 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ed 1 1 2 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ed 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ed 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 15 16 17 18 19 e 1 1 18</td><td>HAM IULEMMLE 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Wydraenidae Unidentified larva Hydrophilidae T *</td><td>IAA IOLEMALE I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Wydraenidae Undentified larva Wydrohilidae Topisterus T 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Wydrohilidae Wydrohilidae T *</td></t<><td>IAM IOLEMALE I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Wytraenidae Unidentified larva Wytraenidae Unidentified larva T *</td><td>IAM IOLEMALE IAM IOLEMALE I</td><td>Idat Idate Idate</td><td>Turd Turdex 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Wydraenidae Unidentified larva Wydraenidae Berosis infuscatus T 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Worophilitae Fropistermus T *</td><td>MA Interview Inter</td></td></t<>	Increment Increment 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 1 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 1 <t< td=""><td>add larva IOLEGAME 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ed larva 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ed 1 1 2 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ed 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ed 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 15 16 17 18 19 e 1 1 18</td><td>HAM IULEMMLE 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Wydraenidae Unidentified larva Hydrophilidae T *</td><td>IAA IOLEMALE I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Wydraenidae Undentified larva Wydrohilidae Topisterus T 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Wydrohilidae Wydrohilidae T *</td></t<> <td>IAM IOLEMALE I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Wytraenidae Unidentified larva Wytraenidae Unidentified larva T *</td> <td>IAM IOLEMALE IAM IOLEMALE I</td> <td>Idat Idate Idate</td> <td>Turd Turdex 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Wydraenidae Unidentified larva Wydraenidae Berosis infuscatus T 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Worophilitae Fropistermus T *</td> <td>MA Interview Inter</td>	add larva IOLEGAME 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ed larva 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ed 1 1 2 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ed 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ed 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 15 16 17 18 19 e 1 1 18	HAM IULEMMLE 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Wydraenidae Unidentified larva Hydrophilidae T *	IAA IOLEMALE I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Wydraenidae Undentified larva Wydrohilidae Topisterus T 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Wydrohilidae Wydrohilidae T *	IAM IOLEMALE I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Wytraenidae Unidentified larva Wytraenidae Unidentified larva T *	IAM IOLEMALE IAM IOLEMALE I	Idat Idate Idate	Turd Turdex 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Wydraenidae Unidentified larva Wydraenidae Berosis infuscatus T 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Worophilitae Fropistermus T *	MA Interview Inter

VII-22

•

-

1.....

.

• --

T = Tolerant F = Faculative I = Intolerant * = Present in Qualitative Collection Only

TAYA	POLLUTION									SI.	SITE NUMBER	1BER								i I	
	INDEX		2	3	4	5	6	7	. 80	6	10	1	12 1		14 15	16	11	18	61	20	
Chỉ ronomi dae Chỉ ronomi nae																					!
<u>Chironomus attenuatus</u> <u>Crvptochironomus blar</u> ina	<u>н</u> ш		17	422	26		103		34	*		*			52	6	26 77 *		··	<u> </u>	
C. fulvus	. i . i		34			9		17	26			*			26 *		34 9		<u>.</u>		
<u>Dicrotendipes</u> sp.	- 14								-				k .						*		
<u>Glyptotendipes lobiferus</u>	-	*	*	60		*	*			•	*	*			*		* 11	*			
Leptochironomus sp.	-												<u>.</u>			~	26				 ת
Paracladopelma sp.									 4						*						
Polypedilum illinoense	- - -				*	*	*	*	K 4K						*		52 *	*	*	*	
P. ontario	· u								164	<u> </u>	52	<u> </u>			*						
<u>Maeotanytarsus</u> sp. Tanytarsus sp.	•								<u>ה</u>							*					
Tribelos fuscicornis															*		*				<u> </u>
Xenochironomus xenolabis Unidentified pupae		34	52	*	*	ŝ			*			•		. ، ص	*	*	*		*		
Orthorladioae																					
Cricotopus sp.	F,1													•	*						
<u>Parametriocnemus</u> sp. <u>Psectrocladius</u>	н. Н		,		*			م													
Terrardiana															-						
Ablabesmyia americana	LL		۱,																*		
A. cinctipes	<u>د</u> .						*						_	_		+	•		<u></u>		
Coelotanypus concinnus	<u> </u>		17	*								*		*		: * 	26	6 172	56 35		88
Conchapelopia complex	ند. ن ۲				•		-	đ	б								•				
Procladius sp.			17		:	<u> </u>	*	n .					34	26	43 F	121 155	5 103	~			6
Tanypus neopunctipennis			155										*'	26			*				
Total Taxa $(x = 21, 1)$		<u> </u>	25	5.	15	20	25	15	25	12	23	2	8	2:	52	27 2	\$ 2 2			12	= (
Total laxa in Quanitative (X = 3.4) Total Individuals/Square Meter		3995	- 2	7758	259	_	3841	31,	337 1	514 1		585 35	ឝ		527 5	570 1809	22	132	~	29	אאני
T = Tolerant E = Farulative					1 1 1		ı				•										

• •

`**∗**¥

BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES #/Sq. Meter

1. 1. -

Å.

Ĺ.

t

ţ

i

ţ

t

۱

ſ

----t.

8

.

تغر

Table VII-4 (con't)

V11-23

T = Tolerant F = Faculative I = Intolerant * = Present in Qualitative Collection Only

Mean diversity suggests that two sites (14 and 15) are unpolluted, the remainder semi-polluted. Equitability values below five tenths, suggesting degradation of the waters, were found at sites 3, 6, 7, 12, 13, 17, and 20. Comparison of the diversity indices of the benthos with those of the zooplankton collected at the same time reveals differences greater than one in twelve instances (i.e., at twelve sites). The zooplankton showed the greater diversity index in nine instances; the benthos in only three instances. If zooplankton are better indicators because of their faster generation time (and therefore faster recovery), then conditions are better at nine sites and worse at three sites than the benthos indicate.

C

t

From one to three taxa classified as strictly intolerant of organic pollution were found at eleven of the twenty collection sites. Only one of these intolerant taxa, <u>Hexagenia limbata</u>, was found in a quantitative collection (site 18). Taxa intolerant of organic pollution found in qualitative collections during the study are: <u>Anax</u> sp. at sites 12 and 13 and <u>Epiaeschna heros</u> at site 5 (both Aeschnidae), <u>Hetaerina</u> (Agrionidae) at site 8, <u>Centropetilum</u> (Baetidae) at sites 8 and 16, <u>Hexagenia limbata</u> (Ephemeridae) at sites 7, 8, 10, 17, 18, and 19, a simulid at site 7, <u>Tanytarsus</u> sp. (Chironominae) at site 16, and <u>Tribelos fuscicornis</u> (Chironominae at sites 15 and 17. Designation of some of these taxa as intolerant should be accepted with reservation, e.g., a species of <u>Anax</u>, <u>Hexagenia</u> sp., and two species of <u>Tanytarsus</u> are listed as moderately tolerant of pollution by the Illinois EPA.

The average number of taxa present per site was twenty-one and one tenth (nine and four tenths for quantitative collections only). This is very similar to the numbers found in similar situations in the area in other studies. The specific number of taxa showed considerable variation between sites. Only eleven taxa were taken at site 10 while forty taxa were taken at site 17.

Distributional interactions between taxa are shown in Table VII-5. The diagonal from upper left to lower right gives the number of taxa unique to each site. The largest number of unique taxa were found at site 12 (nine taxa) while none was found at sites 1 and 6. To the right of the diagonal are numbers of taxa found at two sites only. No two sites shared more than a single taxon between them alone except sites 17 and 18 which shared two taxa. To the left of the diagonal are the total number of taxa shared between any two given sites (but not between them alone) in addition to those listed to the right. For example, sites 17 and 18 shared ten taxa, two of which were shared only between these sites and eight which also occurred at other sites. Other interesting distributions not illustrated in the table include the following: Dubirhaphia sp. was recovered at three contiguous sites, sites 15-17, Sida crystallina at the three contiguous sites 18-20 (the Chain of Rocks Canal), while Limnodrilus maumeensis was recovered at sites 15 through 20, six sites with the deepest channels. The numbers recovered in quantitative collections of sites 15-17 increased rapidly as the channel increased in size approaching the Mississippi River. The taxa that were found at nine or more of



Table VII-5

1

1

the twenty sites are discussed below.

The naidid worm, <u>Dero digitata</u>, was found at sites, 1, 2, 6, 9-13, 15, and 17. It is cosmopolitan in distribution and has been reported at scattered localities over the United States including Illinois (Harmen, 1973). It lives in mucous tubes according to Brinkhurst and Jamieson (1971). This gilled form reproduces asexually only as do most naidids. It is probably a detritus feeder.

Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri was found at sixteen sites, all except sites 7, 8, 15, and 19. Limnodrilus cervix was recovered at sites 1-3, 6, 8, and 12-17, L. udekemianus at sites 3, 4, 6, 7, 12-14, and 17-18. <u>Tubifex tubifex</u> was found at sites 1, 6, 9, 10, 13-15, 17, 19, and 20. All of these species are cosmopolitan in distribution except <u>L. cervix</u> which is Pan-American. All of the tubificids recovered are organic substrate feeders and typically are most abundant in muds of organically polluted environments. According to Hart and Fuller (1974), <u>L. hoffmeisteri</u> and <u>T. tubifex</u>, the most commonly encountered species in this study, are particularly tolerant of gross organic pollution. The occurrence of four or five species of tubificids at sites 2, 4, and 12 through 17 suggests better quality at these sites than elsewhere. This is supported by diversity data from only five of the sites, sites 2 and 14-17.

The odonata <u>Ischnura</u> was taken at half the sites, sites 4, 5, 8, 10, and 12 through 17. No other odonata was collected at more than three sites in the study area. It is the only genus of odonata found at BODs greater than ten ppm and tolerant to chemical extremes of DO, Mg, NO_3 , SO_4 , and turbidity, more categories than any other genus





(Hart, <u>et al</u>., 1974). This damsel fly larva was taken more often in qualitative than quantitative samples and was usually associated with algae. It, as most odonata, is predaceous in food habits.

1

1

All genera of midge larvae collected are cosmopolitan in distribution. The environmental requirements and pollution tolerance of the chironomids found at nine or more sites in this study are given in Table VII-6 (derived from Beck, 1977). The chironomids have representatives tolerant of many chemical extremes. <u>Polypedilum illinoense</u>, taken at twelve collection sites, is tolerant to pH between three and eight and eight tenths, alkalinity to 220 ppm, chloride to 2750 ppm, iron to sixteen ppm, and sulfate to 570 ppm. <u>Chironomus attenuatus</u> and <u>Glyptotendipes lobiferous</u> are similar to <u>P. illinoense</u> in their tolerance to chemical extremes except that they are more tolerant to low DO, <u>C.</u> <u>attenuatus</u> less tolerant to high chloride concentrations, and <u>G. lobiferous</u> less tolerant to high concentrations of iron. The ability of these three most commonly recovered chironomids to survive wide ranges of chemical extremes no doubt accounts for their high frequency and wide distribution in this study area.

Only two species collected in the Cahokia Drainage Area represent significant range extensions. <u>Haemonais waldvogeli</u> has only recently been reported in Louisiana, Missouri, Mississippi, and Texas in addition to a Michigan collection reported in 1922 (Harmen, 1975). <u>Aulodrilus</u> <u>piqueti</u> has been reported in Lake Michigan in Illinois, but not elsewhere in the state (Stimpson, <u>et al.</u>, 1975). Both of these species were collected in Green County, Illinois, in 1977 and reported in the Eldred-Spankey Aquatic inventory.

Table VII-6

t

l

ł

į

į

í

ľ

Ľ

ſ

C

Environmental Requirements and Pollution Tolerance of Common Chironomids of the Study Area

Spec i es	£	Nutrient	Degradable Dissolved Organics	Temperature	Feeding Behavior	Sites Found
Chironomus attenuatus	Indifferent	Meso-Eutrophic	Saproxenous to	15-30 ⁰ C	Scavenger Predator	2-4,6,8,9, 11,14-17
<u>Cryptochironomus</u> fulvus	Indifferent	Mesot roph i c	Saprophilic Saprophobic to Facultative	15-30 ⁰ C	Predator Omnivore	2,5,7,8,11, 14-17
<u>Glyptotendipes</u> <u>lobiferous</u>	Indifferent	Meso-Eut roph i c	Saprophobic to Facultative	15-30 ⁰ C	Scavenger	1-3,4,5,10, 11,15-18
Polypedilum 111inoense	Indi fferent	Mesotrophic	Saprophobic to Facultative	15-30 ⁰ C	Omnivore	4-8,14-20
Coe lo tanypus conc i nnus	Indifferent and Alkalinhil	Meso-Eutrophic	Saproxenous to Facul tative	15-30 ⁰ C	Scavenger Predator	2,3,11,13, 16-20
Procladius bellus		01 i go-Eutrophi c	Saprophobic to Saproxenous	15-30 ⁰ C	2	2,6,12-17;20

-

1

.

•

-

-

7

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Ahlstrom, E.H. 1940. <u>A Revision of the Rotatorian Genera Brachionus and</u> <u>Platyias with Descriptions of One New Species and Two New Varieties</u>. Bulletin, American Museum of Natural History, 77: 143-184.

Beck, W.M., Jr. 1976. <u>Biology of the Larval Chironomids in the State of</u> Florida. Department of Env. Reg., Technical Series, Vol.2(1): 1-58.

- Beck, W.M., Jr. 1977. Environmental Requirements and Pollution Tolerance of Common Freshwater Chironomids. Environmental Monitoring Series, EPA-600/4-77-024.
- Brinkhurst, R.O. and Jamieson, B.G.M. 1972. <u>Aquatic Oligochaeta of the</u> <u>World</u>. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, Scot. Univ., Toronto, Canada 11, 860 pp.
- Burks, B.D. 1953. The Mayflies, or Ephemeroptera, of Illinois. Illinois Natural History Survey Bulletin, 26(1): 1-126.
- Dillon, E.S. and Dillon, L.S. 1961. <u>A Manual of Common Beetles of</u> Eastern North America. Row, Peterson, and Company, 884 pp.
- Edmondson, W.T. (Edit.) 1959. Ward and Whipple's Freshwater Biology (Second edition). John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1248 pp.
- Gannon, J.E. 1972. Effects of Eutrophication and Fish Predation on Recent Changes in Zooplankton Crustacea Species Cosmopolitan in Lake Michigan. Trans. Amer. Micros. Soc., 91: 82-84.
- Gannon, J.E. and Stemberger, R.S. 1978. <u>Zooplankton (Especially</u> <u>Crustaceans and Rotifers) as Indicators of Water Quality</u>. Trans. Amer. Micros. Soc. 97(1): 16-35.
- Harmon, W.J. 1973. <u>New Species of Oligochaeta (Naididae) with Additional</u> <u>Distributional Records from Oklahoma and Texas</u>. The Southwestern Naturalist, 18(2): 151-164.
- Harmon, W.J. and Harrel, R.C. <u>Haemonais waldvogeli (Naididae) Now</u> <u>Established in North America</u>. The Texas Journal of Science, Vol. XXVI (3 & \$): 621-623.
- Hart, C.W., Jr. and Fuller, S.L.H. (Editors) 1974. <u>Pollution Ecology of</u> <u>Freshwater Invertebrates.</u> Academic Press, Inc., New York, San Francisco and London.
- Mason, W.T., Jr., 1973. <u>An Introduction to the Identification of Chiro-</u> <u>nomid Larvae</u>. Analytical control laboratory, National Environmental Research Center, U.S.E.P.A., 90 pp.
- Pennak, R.W. 1953. Freshwater Invertebrates of the U.S. Ronald Press Co., New York, 769 pp.

Sawyer, R.T. 1972. North American Freshwater Leeches, Exclusive of the Piscicolidae, With a Key to All Species. Illinois Biol. Monogr. 46:147.

£.

Ĺ.

£,

í

í

C

ľ.

Stimpson, Kurt S., Barbour, M.T., Brice, J.R. and Howe, P. 1975. Distribution and Abundance of Inshore Oligochaetes in Lake Michigan. TAMS 94(3): 384-394.

Usinger, R.L. (Edit.) 1956. <u>Aquatic Insects of California (with Keys to</u> <u>North American Genera and California Species)</u>. Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, California, 508 pp.

Weber, C.I. (Edit.) 1973. <u>Biological Field and Laboratory Methods for</u> <u>Measuring the Quality of Surface Waters and Effluents</u>. Envir. Monitoring Series, EPA 670/7-73-001.

, [,]

SECTION VILI BIOLOGICAL ELEMENTS - FISH

PREPARED BY

JAMUE &. THOMENSON, PR. D.

ા, ભારતનું છે

Fish collections were made at the twenty aquatic sampling sites which are described in Table V-2 in Volume 3 of 6 of this Environmental Inventory Report. Fishes were collected using a Smith-Root Type V backpack electrofisher with a generator attached to maintain battery charge level, four feet by ten feet, one fourth inch Delta mesh seine, and six feet by fifteen feet, one fourth inch Delta mesh seine. Monofilament gill nets with one-half and three-fourths bar were used as block nets. Gill nets with one-half, one, two, and two and one-half inch bar were used at the Chain of Rocks Canal and the Cahokia Diversion Channel. Electrofishing in the Chain of Rocks was attempted but was unproductive. Fish collections were made by Thomerson, Keevin, Miller, White, Becker and Shetley.

Thirty-two fish species taken during the study (Table VIII-1) are classified by faunal group following Pflieger (1971). Thomerson (1974) showed this faunal group concept to be useful in understanding fish distribution in the St. Louis Metropolitan Area.

ź

1

Ł.

Twenty-two species were collected in the Cahokia Drainage Area and it is unlikely that any common or widespread species were missed. However, Thomerson (1973) earlier listed species which may enter the nearby Harding Ditch Combined Drainage Area. This list would also be valid for the Cahokia Drainage Area.

The fourteen species taken from the Cahokia Diversion Channel undoubtedly included the most common species in the Diversion Channel, although several additional species have been reported from the upper parts of the drainage (FHWA-IDOT, 1977), and other

VIII-1

FISH

Ľ

ł

2

ž

1

فتعاقب والمراجع

8

			Num	ber Collected/	Number Collected/Pounds Collected/Pounds Per Acre	ed/Pounds Per /	Acre
Common Name	Scientific Name	dno. euni		Sit	Site Date: Day/Month	onth	
		ד. ני	1 4/10	2 30/9	3 22/9	4 17/7	5 3/7
spotted gar shortnose gar bowfin skipjack herring gizzard shad	Lépisosteus oculatus Lepisosteus platostomus Amia clava Alosa chrysochloris Dorosoma cepedianum	-8-78¥	8/.3/3.0	148/8.2/80.2	54/2.2/59.5	236/1.9/46.1	
gol deye mooneye carp	Hiodon alosoides Hiodon tergisus Cyprinus carpio	8 Z Z	4./1.>/1	40/11/108.8		2/<.1/.2	3/<.1/.7
golden shiner fathead minnow	Notemigonus crysoleucas Pimephales promelas	¥ •	3/ <. 1/.1	7/ <. 1/ .2	249/.6/15.2	560/1/27	44/.1/1.5
creek chub emerald shiner bigmouth shiner red shiner	Semotilus atromaculatus Notropis atherinoides Notropis dorsalis Notropis lutrensis	6 % e e e		2/.2/1.5		1/s.1/s.1 1/s.1/s.1	16/.5/6.4 1/<1/<1
river carpsucker carpsucker juveniles white sucker	Larpiodes carpio Carpiodes sp. Catostomus commersoni	- 6					2/<.1/.3 4/.2/2.7
shorthead redhorse yellow bullhead black bullhead	Moxostoma macrolepidotum [ctalurus natalis [ctalurus melas	655	2/<.1/<.1	3/<.1/.5 3/<.1/1.1		1/<.1/	3/<.11.1
channel catfish flathead catfish mosquitofish	lctalurus punctatus Pylodictis olivaris Gambusia affinis	김 년 기	2/<.1/.3 19/<.1/.2	42 <i>/</i> 6.1/.3	24/<.1/.6		
white bass green sunfish	Morone chrysops Lepomis cyanellus	83	3/<.1/.2	8/.2/2		5/.1/2.5	
orangespotted sunfish bluegill largemouth bass white crappie black crappie	tepomis humilis Lepomis macrochirus Mictopterus salmoides Pomoxis annularis Pomoxis nigromaculatus	⋴ ऱ ऱ ऱ ऱ ऱ ऱ	1/<.1/<.1/	5/<.1/.7	11/.1/2	1/<.1/<.1 4/<.1/.6 2/<.1/<.1	
walieye freshwater drum	<u>Stizostedion vitreum</u> Aplodinotus grunniens	RR BR	1/<.1/.4	4./1.>/1			
Total Number Collected Total Pounds/Pounds Pe Number of Species Coll, Mean diversity, d - ev	Total Number Collected Total Pounds/Pounds Per Acre Number of Species Collected Mean diversity, d̄ - eveness, e		47 0.5/5.3 10 2.62 - 0.85	259 20.1/196 9 1.94 - 0.50	367 4.1/110 1.48 - 0.70	813 3.1/77 3.1/77 10 1.06 - 0.25	73 0.8/12 1.76 - 0.62
WR = Wide Ranging BR = Bi	Big River P = Prairie L = Lo	= Lowland	0P = Ozark Prairie		Weights to nearest 0.1 lb.	st 0.1 1b.	

.

£

1. •

......

-1-

VII1-2

Table VIII-1 cont.

FISHES COLLECTED IN THE STUDY AREA

Ł

 A trace and the specified during the second sec second sec

8

		I	Numt	ber Collected/F	ounds Collecte	Number Collected/Pounds Collected/Pounds Per Acre	lcre
Common Name	Scientific Name	dno. eun		Site	Site Date: Day/Month	onth	
		եղ 19	6 17/7	7/11 7	8 17/7	9 20/8	10 3/7
spotted gar shortnose gar	Lepisosteus oculatus Lepisosteus platostomus	- L BR	4.1/1.>/1			2/1.0/9.2	
bowrın skipjack herring gizzard shad	Amia clava Alosa chrysochloris Dorosoma cepedianum	- 8 ¥	1/<.1/.2				4.64/0.1/06
gol deye mooneye carp	Hiodon alosoides Hiodon tergisus Cyprinus carpio	83¥	11/0.1/4.2	1/<.1/.3	4.1/.1/.4	2/.2/1.5	20/.2/5.2
golden shiner fathead minnow	Notemigonus crysoleucas Pimephales promelas	¥ °	39/<.1/2.3	20/<.1/1.4	35/.2/3.9		1/<.1/<.1 25/<.1/.8
creek chub emerald shiner bigmouth shiner river carrenter	Semotilus atromaculatus Notropis atherinoides Notropis dorsalis Notropis Lytrensis	6 X a a a		1.11/6./66	02/.3/0 2/<.1/.1		
river carpsococi carpsucker juveniles white sucker	Carpiodes sp. Catostomus commersoni	9	2/<.1/.2				
shorthead redhorse yellow bullhead black bullhead	Moxostoma macrolepidotum Ictalurus natalis Ictalurus melas	633	1/<.1/<.1			15/.8/7.2	5/.5/12.7
channel catfish flathead catfish	lctalurus punctatus Pvlodictis olivaris	žž	2/<.1/.2				
mosquitofish white bass	Gambusia affinis Morone chrysops	16 S	97, 17 6				2/<.1/<.1
green sunrisn orangespotted sunfish	Lepomis cyanelius Lepomis humilis	έ <u>α</u> .			1.11.216	F-/1-2/1	2/<.1/1.0
bluegili largemouth bass white rranne	Lepomis macrochirus Mictopterus salmoides Pomorie suularie	559	13/<.1/.3 3/<.1/.6	1/<.1/<.1	1/<.1/.5 2/<.1/.4	3/<.1/.4	2/<.1/.2
black crappie	Pomoxis nigromaculatus	5				1/<.1/.6	
walleye freshwater drum	Stizostedion vitreum Aplodinotus grunniens	MR BR					
Total Number Collected Total Pounds/Pounds Pe Number of Species Colly Marceity 7 - 5U	Total Number Collected Total Pounds/Pounds Per Acre Number of Species Collected Menn Divertor 1 - Evenese		81 0.3/10 10 33 - 0.68	58 0.4/13 1.24 - 0.68	106 0.5/13 1 47 - 0 50	28 2.3/20 7 2.76 - 0.79	157 3.2/81 9 2.26 - 0.72
				~~~~	~~~~ /~	×1.2 22.2	

......

-

**

.

÷ ...

. 14 .....

-424

V111-3
Table VIII-% cont.

FISHES COLLECTED IN THE STUDY AREA

.,j

والمستعد المراسلان

States and

And a literation of the second second second

1.80

100.04

1

ŧ

Ş

ł

2

¥

		1	Mum	ber Collected/	Number Collected/Pounds Collected/Pounds Per Acre	ed/Pounds Per	
	Scientific Name	dno. euni		Sit	Site Date: Day/Month	onth	
		;1 10	11 22/10	12 22/10	13 17/7	14 3/7	15 10/9
spotted gar shortnose gar bowfin skipjack herring	Lepisosteus oculatus Lepisosteus platostomus Amia clava Alosa chrysochloris	<u>ه</u> د ۲ ۲					
gizzard shad moldeve	Dorosoma cepedianum Hiodon alocoidae	a a	6/.6/11				14/1.1/7.2
mooneye carp golden shiner fathead minnow	<u>Hiodom tergisus</u> <u>Cyprinus carpio</u> <u>Notemigonus crysoleucas</u> <u>Pimephales promelas</u>	£₹₹₹∙		3/2/44.3 2/<.1/.1 81/.1/2.7	4/<.1/.5 1/<.1/.1 1/<.1/1.8	9/< .1/2.1 1/< .1/.2 3/< .1/.4	1/.2/1.1 1/<.1/.1
creek chub emerald shiner bigmouth shiner red shiner river carpsucker	Semotilus atromaculatus Notropis atherinoides Notropis dorsalis Notropis lutrensis Carpiodes carpio	6 % e e e					4./1.>/5
carpsucker juveniles White sucker shorthead redhorse yellow bullhead	Carpiodes sp. Catostomus commersoni Moxostoma macrolepidotum Ictalurus natalis	9 9 ¥				6/<.1/1.4	6/3.2/21.5
black bullhead	Ictalurus melas	ž	9/.3/4.5	21/.6/12.7		1.9/4./4	
channel catfish flathead catfish mosquitofish white bass green sunfish	lctalurus punctatus Pylodictis olivaris Cambusia affinis Morone chrysops Lepomis cyanellus	**-**	12/.5/8.2	38/<.1/.9 4/<.1/.4			
orangespotted sunfish bluegill largemouth bass black crappie	Lepomis humilis Lepomis macrochirus Mictopterus salmoides Pomoxis annularis Pomoxis nircromaculatus	• # # # # #	18/.2/3.1 1/1.3/22.8 3/1/7	6/<.1/.3 1/.2/3.4			2/<.1/.3
walleye freshwater drum	Stizostedion vitreum Aplodinotus grunniens	8 K 8		i			
Total Number Total Pounds Number of Sp Mean Divers	Total Number Collected Total Pounds/Pounds Per Acre Number of Specie <u>s</u> Collected Mean Diversity, d - Eveness, e		49 3/52 6 2.21 - 1.03	156 3/65 8 1.93 - 0.63	145 0.1/2 3 0.24 - 0.43	23 0.6/13 5 2.05 - 1.10	29 4.5/31 2.02 - 0.89
WR = Wide Ranging BR = Bi	Big River P = Prairie L = Lo	= Lowland	0P = Ozark Prairie		Weights to nearest 0.1 lb.	st 0.1 1b.	

SER HAND

E

1

ł

1

ł

2

		1	Numt	ber Collected/	Number Collected/Pounds Collected/Pounds Per Acre	ed/Pounds Per	Acre
Common Name	Scientific Name	dno. euni		Sit	Site Date: Day/Month	onth	
		F.	16 10/9	17 29/9	18 23/9	19 24/9	20 24/9
spotted gar shortnose gar	Lepisosteus oculatus Lepisosteus platostomus	. <u>چ</u> ر					1/1/<1
skipjack herring gízzard shað	Amia ciava Alosa chrysochloris Dorosoma cepedianum	188	26/14.2/123.5 64/4.1/72.1	64/4.1/72.1	33/1.5/.1	2/.9/<.1	4/3/.3
goldeye	Hiodon alosoides	88			2/1/<.1	1.>/1.1/1	3/.2/<.1
mooneye carp golden shiner	Hiodon tergisus Cyprinus carpio Notemigonus crysoleucas	353 	5/3/26.2	2/5.4/94.8 3/.2/3.6		2/1.6/.1	1/.2/<.1
fathead minnow	Pimephales promelas	۵.					
creek chub emerald shiner	Semotilus atromaculatus Notropis atherinoides	98		2/<.1/.4			
bigmouth shiner red shiner river carpsucker	Notropis dorsalis Notropis lutrensis Carpiodes carpio	• • •	3/<.1/.2 1/1.1/9.3	4/<.1/.5 2/2.4/41.3			
carpsucker juveniles	Carpiodes sp.	9	2/<,1/8,1				
shorthead redhorse yellow bullhead	Moxostoma macrolepidotum Ictalurus natalis	6 ¥		2/1.1/18.6			1/.3/<.1
black bullhead	Ictalurus melas	¥					
channel catfish flathead catfish	Ictalurus punctatus Pylodictis olivaris	žž		2/.4/6.5		2/.7/<.1	1/.3/<.1
mosquitofish white base	Gambusia affinis Morone chrysons	_ a			17.6/<.1		1/<.1/<
green sunfish	Lepomis cyanellus	55					
orangespotted sunfish	Lepomis humilis	e 9	5/<.1/.8 1/<.1/.5	1/<.1/		1. /1.>/1	1/<'1/<'1
largemouth bass	Mictopterus salmoides	5		3/.3/4.4	1 8/2 1		1/1/1
white crappie black crappie	Pomoxis annularis Pomoxis nigromaculatus	<b>5</b> 5		2/.4/6.3	1.2/0.72		
walleye freshwater drum	Stizostedion vitreum Aplodinotus arunniens	¥ 8			1.>/1./8	1/.1/<.1	
Total	Number Collected		43	92	41	4 <u>6</u>	25
Total Pounds	Pounds/Pounds Per Acre . of Smeries Collected		7	42/2/41	4.6/.305 5	6 1/ .00	116.76.01
Mean Diversi	Diversity, d - Eveness, e		1.89 - 0.69	1,84 - 0.39	1.08 - 0.51	1.97 - 0.56	3.03 - 1.04

-

V111-5

river species (Smith, Lopinot and Pflieger, 1971; Thomerson, 1974) may enter the Channel during high water.

The fifteen species from the Chain of Rocks Canal include five big river faunal group species (Pflieger, 1971) and the canal fauna is derived from the Upper Mississippi River fauna. Lockhart (1970) provided general information on Madison County, Illinois fishes. Smith, Twillman and Thomerson (1967) surveyed Piasa Creek to the north and Putz and Thomerson (1972) studied Prairie du Pont Creek to the south of the study area.

The big river faunal group is made up of species usually restricted to large rivers. Big river species in general seem to require low gradient and continuous strong flow characteristic of large rivers.

Wide ranging species tend not to be restricted to particular physiographic provinces or faunal areas. They tend to have broad environmental tolerances and are adapted to the lake, backwater, and pond habitats, which are widely distributed in aquatic ecosytems. Needless to say, they have benefited directly from human activity in creating such lentic habitats. Also, they may benefit indirectly from human activities which degrade the habitat to the extent that other more specialized competing species may be eliminated. Wide ranging species predominate in fish faunas of habitats like those in the study area which have been heavily modified and are subject to considerable environmental fluctuation (in temperature, flow, turbidity, dissolved oxygen, and the like).

Prairie fishes have a broad ecological tolerance comparable to that of wide ranging fishes, however, they are usually absent from streams

with high gradients and continuous flows of extremely clear or cool water. They also seem less tolerant of competition than most wide ranging species. In general, they are extremely hardy fishes able to survive low oxygen, high temperatures, and periods of high turbidity.

Lowland species are characteristic inhabitants of standing water and sluggish streams having bottoms composed mostly of sand, fine gravel, and organic debris. They are now less common in the study area than in the past because they are intolerant of siltation and continuous high turbidity and are usually associated with dense aquatic vegetation.

The most common species in the study area are discussed below. A summary of life history, distribution, economic importance, etc., for the species of the area can be found in Pflieger (1975) and Smith (1978).

#### COMMON FISH SPECIES*

Bowfin is a lowland species somewhat intolerant of silty or swift current water. The thirty-six specimens collected at site 10 were young of the year. Bowfin are more widely distributed than the collections for this report indicate as they are found in a variety of lowland habitats, including swamps, sloughs, borrow pits, ditches, abandoned stream channels and the pools of sluggish streams. Bowfin are well known to fishermen in the area. They eat mostly fish, with gizzard shad as a preferred food item. They also eat crayfish at least in the spring and early summer. Bowfin are often caught by fishermen seeking other species and are not highly regarded as a food fish.

*Common species are discussed in the order they are listed in table VIII-1

VIII-7

ſ

1

í

Gizzard shad is a wide ranging species and often considered a trash fish. However, small gizzard shad are important as forage for game species, such as largemouth bass. Most of the specimens taken from the lower Cahokia Canal were small enough to fall into this category. Gizzard shad are characteristic of quiet water habitats such as lowland lakes and ponds, ditches and man-made impoundments. They are filter feeders and occur in water both clear and extremely turbid, but are most abundant in waters with high fertility and productivity.

Carp is a wide ranging species. They are an old world-exotic well established and abundant in the Mississippi basin since the 1890's. In terms of total poundage they are probably the most important fish in the area. Although not highly regarded as a sport fish they are an important commercial species and there is considerable fishing for them in the lower part of the Cahokia Drainage Canal and Cahokia Diversion Channel. They often enter ditches or sloughs to feed and (in the spring) to spawn. Fishermen in the area catch them on hook and line or with large dip nets from bridges over the Cahokia Drainage Canal. On different weekends in April, 1979, thirty to one hundred cars were counted parked along Interstate 70, Illinois Route III and the Canal levee between Sand Prairie Lane and Illinois Route 111. Fishermen were boating in the borrow pits between Interstate 70 and the Canal, bank fishing there and in the Canal, as well as the Horseshoe Lake outfall and dipnetting with good success in the Horseshoe Lake outfall at the Illinois Route III bridge. There is steady low level fishing pressure in this area throughout the summer for both carp and channel catfish.

Carp are mostly bottom feeders for insects but will take a variety of food items. They will also feed on zooplankton if it is abundant. They often enter very shallow waters in the early morning hours to feed. Carp reach a weight of about a pound in two to three years and individuals of fifteen to twenty pounds are common. Their feeding activities may increase turbidity, destroy sunfish nests and remove rooted aquatic vegetation. Although carp are not highly regarded they provide an important part of the fishing available to Illinois fishermen and are the backbone of the fee fishing lake industry.

Fathead minnows, a prairie species, were abundant in the middle reaches of Cahokia Canal and the various tributary sites. Fatheads are seldom an important forage fish but are one of the major species raised as a bait fish. They are a characteristic and common minnow of the Prairie Region of Missouri. They are intolerant of competition and are seldom found in large numbers in habitats with many other species of fish. They are, however, well suited for survival under conditions of high temperature, low oxygen, or extreme turbidity.

The creek chub is regarded by Pflieger (1971) as an Ozark-Prairie species which indicates that it is more tolerant of clear and cool water than a strictly prairie species. Creek chubs are a pioneer fish and do well in small headwater streams where few other species of fish are present. Creek chubs are able to survive in pools when streams dry up but require flowing water for spawning and build nests of gravel. Creek chubs are a dominant element in small creeks of the area like Judy's Branch, Burdick Branch, and Schoolhouse Branch. They are present but less dominant in larger creeks like Cahokia Creek. If gravel bottoms were present in the lowland ditches they would probably be common there. Creek chubs are a generalized carnivore and may grow as large as eight inches.

Black bullheads are a wide ranging fish but tend to be most abundant in habitats with turbid water, silt bottom, no noticeable current and a lack of fish diversity. They are well adapted to the fluctuating habitat seen in drainage systems. Black bullheads were collected in numbers only at sites 9 and 12 and the comments on the fathead minnow apply to them as well. Schools of young were seen at site 11, however. Black bullheads can provide many hours of fishing pleasure in waters where few other desirable species are able to exist in any numbers. Hicks (1978) reported a population of albino black bullheads from an intermittent slough of the Cahokia Diversion Channel. A total of forty-five albinos were collected along with more than 2,000 normal black bullheads. This is the only report of albinism in this species.

the state of the second se

Channel catfish is a wide ranging species often cultivated as food fish. They are a desirable sport fish for many anglers though they lack the promotional glamour associated with largemouth bass. They were present, as scattered juveniles, in all three areas studied. Much of the sport fishery in Horseshoe Lake is based on channel catfish although they are said to be in poor condition there. Preferred habitat is large streams having low or moderate gradients so they would not be expected in large numbers in the Cahokia Drainage Canal except perhaps

In the lower portion. Small channel catfish eat mostly insects but larger individuals have an extremely varied diet. They are most active at night and are often caught on set lines. The young survive better in turbid than in clear water, probably because they are more vulnerable to predation in clear water.

Mosquitofish is a lowland species. These small livebearers are related to the well-known guppy and are often called guppies by local residents. They inhabit shallow weedy areas where they feed mostly at the water's surface. Although they have been stocked almost world wide as a mosquito control fish, effectiveness in this regard is open to question. The females are much larger than males and only a few females are likely to survive the winter months. They produce several broods of young during the summer which reach maturity rapidly so that dense local populations may be built up where there is suitable habitat.

Green sunfish were most abundant at site 10. Green sunfish are the most widely distributed fish in Illinois and Missouri. They are often the most abundant sunfish in situations where conditions are unstable and there is not much competition from other species of fish. Green sunfish are very tolerant of extremes of turbidity, dissolved oxygen, temperature and flow and are well suited to the fluctuating environment of drainage ditches. They are generally distributed in the Cahokia Drainage Area. They are not highly regarded as sport fish because they usually do not grow as large as bluegill.

1

Orangespotted sunfish reach a maximum length of about four inches. They are most common in the Prairie Regions of Missouri and Illinois and are tolerant of siltation and continuous high turbidity. They are often found in habitats with low or intermittent flow and may serve as a forage fish for largemouth bass. They are generally present in small numbers but were the most abundant fish in the site 11 collection.

Bluegill is a wide ranging species extremely tolerant of environmental modification and are typically abundant in flood plain habitats. Bluegill are prone to overpopulate but are highly regarded as a panfish. Small bluegill are important as forage for bass. Bluegill are somewhat intolerant of continuous high turbidity and thrive best in clear warm waters where some vegetation is present. Bluegill require much the same conditions as largemouth bass and a good population of bluegill usually means a good bass population. Excessively abundant bluegill may adversely affect bass reproduction, but this does not seem to be the case here.

Largemouth bass is a wide ranging species considered a very desirable sport fish. There is healthy bass production in the area. Several schools of young of the year bass were seen at site 11 and one adult female captured. Local people said that adult bass were common there. Scattered juvenile bass were taken throughout the area. All were in good condition. Bass requirements are similar to those given for the bluegill. Small bass were also present at sites 17 and 20.

#### GENERAL COMMENTS ON THE AREA

i

i

1

1

The collections obtained for this environmental inventory reveal nothing which is particularly unexpected. The small hillside streams, Judy's Branch (site 8), Burdick Branch (site 7), Schoolhouse Branch (site 5), and Canteen Creek (site 14) have five to seven species present and about thirteen pounds standing crop per acre, but species and number of fishes are low in comparison to what would be expected from larger hillside streams of the region (Cahokia Creek, Piasa Creek, Wood River Creek, Prairie du Pont Creek). Bigmouth shiners, sand shiners, red shiners, and bluntnose minnows, which are dominant elements in the larger creeks, are absent or present in low numbers. This reflects the small size and unstable nature of the smaller streams perhaps as much as it reflects man-made degradation of the streams. Fathead minnows and creek chubs are hardier elements of this fauna and are able to tolerate stagnant pools, low oxygen levels, siltation and higher temperatures which characterize these smaller streams, better than the other species listed above.

Sites in the Cahokia Canal itself (sites 1, 2, 4, 6, 9) have seven to ten species present. Gizzard shad, carp and small sunfishes were dominant at the lower sites; site 9 is more of a swamp lake and was dominated by shortnose gar and black bullheads. Sites 1 and 6 were very low when sampled and this is reflected in low pounds per acre. Pounds per acre at site 9 are low, probably reflecting both periods of very low DO and also the difficulty of setting block nets due to many

snags. Ditches like the Cahokia Canal are never very productive as they offer little habitat diversity. They may, however, temporarily contain large numbers of fishes which move in during spring floods.

1

1

£

Fathead minnows were common at sites 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12 and 13 which indicates that these sites are subject to considerable stress from low DO and/or high temperatures from time to time. Abundance of bowfin at site 10 is a similar indication. Site 11 (Long Lake) is probably in better shape than the collection indicates, as considerable difficulty was experienced with snags there. Schools of juvenile large mouth bass and black bullheads were observed in Long Lake on several occasions during the summer and many orangespotted sunfish were seen breeding there.

In general, fishes collected in the Cahokia Drainage Area were in good condition and Long Lake, Mitchell Ditch and other areas in the basin serve as breeding and nursery areas for such species as large mouth bass, the smaller sunfishes, black bullheads, carp and perhaps carpsuckers. The fauna in the area is depauperate with mostly wide ranging hardy species. However, the area around Horseshoe Lake does not show the same sort of fishery problems (low reproduction, poor condition) seen in Horseshoe Lake and it is reasonable to regard the Horseshoe Lake problems as localized, rather than a reflection of the state of the fish fauna of the whole drainage area.

Cahokia Diversion Channel (sites 15, 16, 17) appears to improve downstream. Site 15 values are probably low due to snags and loss of

shocked fish in turbid water. Site 17 is high in that some fishes were inadvertently included which were caught on the outside of the block nets. The fauna is dominated by gizzard shad, carp and suckers.

a state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second second second second second s

- control for and soil

Ŀ

The Chain of Rocks fauna includes several big river species, hiodons and skipjack herring, for example. No smaller minnow species were taken although one small mesh gill net was used. Number of species taken and catch per unit effort both increased downstream.

As expected, diversity values are generally low for collections for the Cahokia Drainage area where collections are dominated by one to three species. Some evenness values are unusually high but this is a reflection of small sample size. The highest diversity value was seen at site 20 in the Chain of Rocks Canal.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Federal Highway Administration, Illinois Department of Transportation. 1977. <u>Federal Aid Primary Route 789 (Marked Illinois Route 143)</u>, Madison County - Draft Environmental Impact Statement.
- Hicks, D.C. 1978. <u>A Population of Albino Black Bullheads</u>, Ictalurus melas. Copeia 1978 (1): 184-185.
- Lockhart, R. 1970. Madison County Surface Water Resources. <u>Report of</u> <u>Division of Fisheries</u>, 111. Department of Conservation. 1-56.
- Pfleiger, W.L. 1971. <u>A Distributional Study of Missouri Fishes</u>. University of Kansas: <u>Museum of Natural History</u>. 2(3): 225-570.

Pfleiger, W.L. 1975: The Fishes of Missouri. Missouri Department of Conservation, Jefferson City, Missouri, i-viii, 1-430.

- Putz, F.L. ast Thomerson, J.E. 1972. <u>The Fishes of Prairie du Pont</u> Creek. Trans. 111. State Academy of Science, 65(3 & 4): 86-90.
- Smith, P.W. 2005. The Fishes of Illinois. Illinois Natural History Survey, University of Illinois Press, Urbana, i-xxix, 1-314.
- Smith, P.W., Lopinot, A.C. and Pflieger, W.L. 1971. <u>A Distributional</u> <u>A*las 55 Upper Mississippi River Fishes</u>. Illinois Natural History Survey Notes (73): 1-20.
- Smith, S.L., Twillman, R.E. and Thomerson, J.E. 1969. <u>The Fishes of</u> <u>Piasa Creek, West Central Illinois</u>, Trans. Illinois State Academy of Science 62(1): 70-79.
- Thomerson, J.E. 1973. <u>Biological Elements Fish and Fisheries</u>. Section XIII in Harding Ditch Combined Area - Environmental Inventory Report, U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis-Corps of Engineers, St. Louis, Missouri.

Thomerson, J.E. 1974. <u>An Inventory of the Fish Fauna of the St. Louis</u> <u>Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area; Special Report 1</u>. An Introduction to the Biological Systems of the St. Louis Area. U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis - Corps of Engineers, St. Louis, Missouri.

ě

# SECTION IX BIOLOGICAL ELEMENTS TERRESTRIAL VEGETATION

A MARK STAR AND A SAMPLES A. SAMPLES

# PREPARED BY

FRANK B. KULFINSKI, PH. D.

#### METHODOLOGY

3

£

C

C.

U. S. Geological Survey topographic maps (1954, photorevised 1968) were studied in an attempt to locate the most important woods in the study area; that is, those woods which were significantly large and located in proximity to proposed flood control structures. Those woods which were chosen from U.S.G.S. maps were then located on infra-red aerial photographs (NASA, September, 1974) and their existence, location, and size were verified and updated. Ultimately, ground observation was resorted to in order to verify the information which had been obtained from map studies and to reduce the number of woods to be studied to a reasonable number (ten).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The woods ultimately chosen were studied by square quadrats located along transects. The quadrats were fifty feet on a side for overstory vegetation, fifty feet on a side for understory vegetation and five feet on a side for ground cover. Eight such quadrats were located along a transect in each woods. The first quadrat was located at the edge of the woods and subsequent ones were located 150 feet apart along the transect. Identification, binomials, and common names were obtained with the use of <u>Gray's Manual</u> (Fernald, 1950), <u>Flora of</u> <u>111inois</u> (Jones, 1963), and <u>Flora of Missouri</u> (Steyermark, 1963). A discussion of terrestrial vegetation of the American Bottoms in St. Clair County, Illinois, immediately south of and contiguous with the study area was given by Kulfinski (1973).

1X-1

#### LOCATIONS OF FLOODPLAIN WOODS*

İ.

1.

1

i

1

1

Woods 1 was located approximately one-half the distance between Highway 162 and Collinsville-Granite City Road at a point east of Highway 111 and southwest of KMOX Radio tower. It was located behind (east of) a roofing company warehouse. The transect ran in a northeasternly direction from the rear (east) of the roofing company property.

Woods 2 was located to the west of the road along the northwest side of McDonough Lake. The transect was oriented in an east-west direction and it extended westward, half the distance toward Cahokia Canal, from the homesites along the road.

Woods 3 was located three-fourths of a mile north of the Black Lane-Interstate 70 intersection and east of the Cahokia Canal levee. The transect ran eastward from the levee.

Woods 4 was located at two sites on Walker Island. A four quadrat transect was run in a north-south direction in a woods segment on the west central side of the island. Four more quadrats were run in a northeast-southwest transect to a former home site at the south end of the island.

Woods 5 was located near the southeast end of Long Lake. It was east of Long Lake, south of Highway 162, and west of Cahokia Canal.

Woods 6 was located along Cahokia Creek south of New Poag Road and west of the Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville Campus. The transect ran nearly in a north-south direction.

*All figures referred to are located in Volume 6 of 6 of this Environmental Inventory Report. Woods 7 was located north of a golf course and approximately one mile south of Highway 162. It was at the southeast end of Long Lake and adjacent to the west side of the Cahokia Canal. The transect ran approximately in a north-south direction.

Woods 8 was located at the southeast corner of the junction of Highway 111 and New Poag Road and was diagonally bisected by the Penn Central Railroad. The transect was located in the eastern half of the woods and it was east-west oriented.

Woods 9 was located one mile south of Lewis and Clark State Memorial Park. It was between the Illinois Terminal Railroad and Highway 3 to the east and the Chain of Rocks Canal to the west. The woods was bisected by a utility line into northwest and southeast halves. The transect ran north-south through the southeastern half.

Woods 10 was located about one mile south of Highway 162 and about one and one-half miles north of the Collinsville-Granite City Road. It was located southeast of the KMOX Radio tower and the transect was oriented approximately in a north-south direction.

## Woods 1

and the second state of the se

1

The data for Woods 1 are presented in Table IX-1. Dominant overstory species on the basis of density were green ash (forty-six), silver maple (fifty-seven), and persimmon (thirty-eight); on the basis of basa! area were green ash (thirty-two and three tenths) and silver maple (twenty-five and two tenths); and on the basis of percent of cover were silver maple (nineteen and one tenth), green ash (eighteen and one tenth), and persimmon (thirteen and one tenth).

1X-3

Characteristics* of the overstory, understory, and ground cover of Woods 1 (Figure VI-1). Overstory and understory data are from eight quadrats, each 2,500 square feet. Ground cover data are from eight quadrats, each 25 square feet.

Table IX-1

ł

i

i

•

4

ţ

ŧ

:

í

A STREET

				<u></u>		
æ	z Cover	∞. ∞. ~	و	8490000 0400000000000000000000000000000	86.2	33.1
GROUND COVER	\$ Dominance	б. <u>8</u> . -	٥.	8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	100.0	
	# Density	m N	9	8 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	320	
	å Cover	16.9 8.3 1.9 1.3 13.8	13.3 8.8 1.9 1.9		67.2	43.1
UNDERS TORY	k Dominance	8.6 1.61 3. 8.6	67.0 2.5 1.1 1.0		100.0	
	# Density	63 25 3 5 34 25	-3-43		264	
	å Cover				73.0	43.8
OVERSTORY	å Dominance	8.18887798-			100.0	
	# Density	46 57 88 88 88 88			193	
	Trees > 18" dbh				2	
	Cournon Name	Green Ash Silver Maple Cottonwood Pin Oak American Elm Black Willow Persimmon Hackberry Swamp Privet	Deciduous Holly Buttonbush Roughleaf Dogwood Black Locust Rose Mallow Coralberry	Grass Lizard's Tail Spotted Touch-me-not Goldenrod Giant Ragweed Poison lvy White Avens Grape Stingir; Mettle Beggarticks Rose Carex		
	Binomial	Fraxinus lanceolata Acer saccharinum Populus deltoides Quercus palustris Ulmus americana Salix igra Diospyros virginiana Celtis occidentalis Forestiera acuminata	llex decidue Cephalanthus occidentalis Cornus drummondii Robinia pseudoacacia Hibiscus militaris Symphoricarpos orbiculatus	Graminae 5P. Saururus cernuus Impatiens capensis Solidago 5P. Ambrosia trifida Anus radicans Geum canadense Urtis 5P. Urtis 5P. Rosa 5P. Carex 5P.	Total	% Space

* upt

•

. ... .

----

•

یں ک جو مسید د

.....

*Characteristics

# contractions = 20,000 square feet in overstory and understory
# = number per 200 square feet in ground cover
\$ dominance = \$ basal area
\$ cover = \$ of quadrat area covered (shaded) by follage

% space = % of quadrat area exposed to direct sunlight

į

Dominant understory species on the basis of density were deciduous holly (seventy-four) and green ash (sixty-three); on the basis of percent of basal area were deciduous holly (sixty-seven) and silver maple (nineteen and one tenth); and on the basis of percent of cover were green ash (sixteen and nine tenths), swamp privet (thirteen and eight tenths), and deciduous holly (thirteen and three tenths).

Dominant ground cover species on the basis of density was grass (185), on the basis of percent of basal area was grass (forty-eight and five tenths), and on the basis of percent of cover was grass (thirty-nine).

Nine species made up the overstory, eleven species the understory, and fifteen species the ground cover. The species found were characteristic of flood plain forests, with such species as ash, silver maple, cottonwood, elm, and black willow representing wide-dispersing and quick-germinating species.

#### Woods 2

The data for Woods 2 are presented in Table IX-2. Dominant overstory species on the basis of density were American eim (forty-seven), pin oak (twenty-five), and green ash (seventeen); on the basis of percent of basal area was pin oak (eighty-seven and six tenths); and on the basis of percent of cover were pin oak (fifty-three and eight tenths), American elm (twenty and six tenths), and green ash (twelve).

Dominant understory species on the basis of density were green ash (twenty-nine), roughleaf dogwood (twenty-eight), deciduous holly

IX-5

Characteristics[★] of the overstory, understory, and ground cover of Woods 2 (Figure VI-1). Overstory and understory data are from eight quadrats, each 2,500 square feet.

Table IX-2

Contraction of the local sectors of the

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

< SAT 26000 Land.

Same of a

.

X.

ţ

٢

A... Se.

• • • •

-----

. . .

*Characteristics
# = number per 20,000 square feet in overstory and understory
# = number per 200 square feet in ground cover
2 dominance = % basal area
% cover = % of quadrat area covered (shaded) by foliage
% space = % of quadrat area exposed to direct sunlight

(twenty-six), and American elm (twenty-five); on the basis of percent of basal area were American elm (twenty-three), and green ash (twentyone and seven tenths); and on the basis of percent of cover were roughleaf dogwood (fourteen and six cenths), and green ash (eight and four tenths).

Dominant ground cover species on the basis of density were poison ivy (sixty) and trumpet vine (twenty-eight); on the basis of percent of basal area were trumpet vine (forty-one and six tenths) and poison ivy (thirty-six and four tenths); and on the basis of percent of cover were poison ivy (thirty-four and four tenths) and trumpet vine (twentytwo and five tenths).

Eleven species made up the overstory, sixteen species the understory, and ten species the ground cover.

Woods 3

Ĩ

The data for Woods 3 are presented in Table IX-3. Dominant overstory species on the basis of density were silver maple (one hundred and twenty-five) and green ash (thirty-two); and on the basis of percent of basal area were silver maple (fifty-six and six tenths) and green ash (thirty-three); and on the basis of percent of cover were silver maple (seventy) and green ash (twenty-six).

The dominant understory species on the basis of density were spotted touch-me-not (nine hundred) and giant ragweed (thirty); on the basis of basal area was spotted touch-me-not (ninety-two and seven tenths); and on the basis of percent of cover were spotted touch-me-not (eleven and nine tenths), and American elm (four and four tenths).

Dominant ground cover species on the basis of density were grass (one hundred fifty-five), wild water pepper (one hundred fifty), and

IX-7

Characteristics* of the overstory, understory, and ground cover of Woods 3 (Figure <u>VI-1</u>). Overstory and understory data are from eight quadrats, each 2,500 square feet. Ground cover data are from eight quadrats, each 25 square feet.

Table IX-3

.

i

ź

-

ļ

ł

ž

8

ĸ

1

A STATE

..... 20

-

-

-• •

•

• •

. 196 ....

*Characteristics

# = number per 20,000 square feet in overstory and understory
# = number per 200 square feet in ground cover
% dominance = % basal area
% cover = % of quadrat area covered (shaded) by follage
% space = % of quadrat area exposed to direct sunlight

-4-5

-

÷

spotted touch-me-not (eighty-eight); on the basis of percent of basal area were grass (twenty-two and five tenths), wild water pepper (thirteen and seven tenths), and false nettle (eleven and seven tenths); and on the basis of percent of cover were grass (fifteen and six tenths), false nettle (eleven and nine tenths), spotted touch-me-not (eleven and three tenths), goldenrod (ten and six tenths), and orchard grass (nine and four tenths).

Eleven species were found in the overstory, seven in the understory, and twenty-two in the ground cover.

#### Woods 4

2

3

į

Survey of the state of the stat

The data for Woods 4 are presented in Table IX-4. Dominant overstory species on the basis of density were silver maple (seventy-one) and black willow (seventy); on the basis of percent of basal area were black willow (sixty-five and six tenths) and silver maple (twenty-one); and on the basis of percent of cover were black willow (twenty-nine and four tenths), silver maple (fourteen and four tenths), and green ash (fourteen and four tenths).

Dominant understory species on the basis of density was silver maple (5018); on the basis of percent of basal area was silver maple (seventyseven and four tenths); and on the basis of percent of cover was silver maple (thirty-six and three tenths).

Dominant ground cover species on the basis of density were silver maple (753) and beggartick (156); on the basis of percent of basal area was silver maple (seventy-four and two tenths); and on the basis of percent of ground cover was silver maple (twenty and one tenth).

In Woods 4, twelve species made up the overstory, ten species the

1X-9

Table IX-4

Characteristics* of the overstory, understory, and ground cover of Woods ¼ (Figure VI-1). Overstory and understory data are from eight quadrats, each 2,500 square feet. Ground cover data are from eight quadrats, each 25 square feet.

Ľ

l

1

1

i

i

1

(

	2 Cover	20.1	2.6 .6	2.0 2.0		42.2	61.3
GROUND COVER	% Dominance	74.2	1.7	6.6 1.3		100.0	
	# Density	753	5 7	156 3	25 3 10 12	166	
	ڈ Cover	36.3 36.3 3.9 2.5 10.0	6.9	4 4 9 5 5 5		70.1	6. 1
UNDERSTORY	% Dominance	77.4 77.4 2.8	9.	9 9.2 9.2 0.		100.0	
	# Density	5018 5018 18 8 21	20	120 120 2		5330	
	å Cover	29.4 14.4 1.5 2.5	<u></u>	N.		70.5	39.4
OVERSTORY	% Dominance	65.6 9.8 1.4 1.4		:		100.0	
	# Density	2 - 2 9 8 4 1	N N <u>N</u> – <b>80</b> – 9	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		240	
	Trees > 18" dbh	- m	·			-4	
	Cowmon Name	Black Willow Silver Maple Green Ash Persimmon Swamp Privet	kiver birch Cottonwood Grape American Elm Buttonbush Bux Filder	Beggartick Goldenrod Pokeweed Cardinal Flower	Wild Water Pepper Trumpet Vine Wood Nettle Grass Violet		
	Binomial	Salix nigra Acer saccharinum Fraxinus lanceolata Diospyros virginiana Forestiera acuminata	Populus deltoides Populus deltoides Vitis sp. Crataegus sp. Cephalanthus occidentalis Acer megundo	Bidens sp. Solidago sp. Phytolacca americana Lobelia cardinalis	Polygonum hydropiperoides Campsis radicans Laportea canadensis Graminae sp. Viola sp.	Total	S Space

•

٠

. .....

*.* 

·....

۲

2

*Characteristics

-

بديجد

# = number per 20,000 square feet in overstory and understory
# = number per 200 square feet in ground cover
% dominance = % basal area
% cover = % of quadrat area covered (shaded) by foliage
% space = % of quadrat area exposed to direct sunlight

IX-10

understory, and ten species the ground cover.

Woods 5

and the second second

2

1

The data for Woods 5 are presented in Table IX-5. Dominant overstory species on the basis of density were bur oak (sixty-four), pin oak (thirty-two), and hawthorn (thirty-one); on the basis of percent of basal area were pin oak (fifty and three tenths) and bur oak (thirtytwo and five tenths); and on the basis of percent of cover were bur oak (forty and eight tenths) and pin oak (thirty-eight and one tenth).

Dominant understory species on the basis of density were trumpet creeper (twenty-one) and hawthorn (twelve); on the basis of percent of basal area were hawthorn No. one (twenty-one and eight tenths), hawthorn No. two (thirteen and seven tenths), and deciduous holly (ten and five tenths); and on the basis of percent of cover were trumpet creeper (three and one tenth), poison ivy (two and nine tenths), and hawthorn (two).

Dominant ground cover species on the basis of density were grass No. one (1500), grass No. two (650), poison ivy (600), and trumpet creeper (500); on the basis of percent of basal area were sedge (twenty and nine tenths), trumpet creeper (fifteen and two tenths), jewelweed (ten and six tenths), and green ash (nine and one tenth); and on the basis of percent of cover were galinsoga (ten), poison ivy (ten), and sedge (eight and eight tenths).

The overstory was made up of fourteen species, the understory of seventeen species, and the ground cover of twenty-seven species. Characteristics[±] of the overstory, understory, and ground cover of Woods 5 (Figure VI-1). Overstory and understory data are from eight quadrats, each 2,500 square feet. Ground cover data are from eight quadrats, each 25 square feet.

Table 1X-5

•

à

Sector Sector

ŧ.

ź

ł

2

ションション おまげき うわべいり 8

				OVERSTORY			UNDERSTORY			GROUND COVER	R
Binomial	Common Name	Trees > 18" dbh	# Density	% Dominance	å Cover	# Density	% Dominance	\$ Cover	# Density	% Dominance	ء Cover
			ŗ		- 05	Ĭ				-	,
Quercus macrocarpa	Bur Oak	n -4	2 73	32.5	30.1 40.8	• ~		ن ف	- 2	+.	فەن
Quercus imbricaria	Shingle Oak		9 9	8.1	7.5		Ċ		-		
Ulmus americana	American Elm Porriento		2 2	2.7	× ×	a u	20 a	9.9	± :	1.1	، ب
Crataequs SD.	Hawthorn # ]		<u> </u>	1.8	 • •0	2	21.8	0.1	<u>-</u>		÷
Juglans nigra	Black Walnut	_	2	1.5	1.3						
Carya cordiformis	Bitternut Hickory		~ `	<u>.</u>	ອຸ່	-	.2	-	;		
Geltis occidentalis	Honey Locust Hackberry		7 ~		<u> </u>	,	3 2	9	\$	-	 
Acer saccharinum	Silver Maple		n m	ÿ	6.			: –:	2	1.1	8.
Fraxinus lanceolata	Green Ash		<u>م</u> ،	<i>.</i>	æ	=	8.7	1.0	52	1.6	
Cercis canadensis	Redbud		~~			-			2	0.7	÷.
Crataegus sp.	Hawthorn # 2					6	13.7	2.0			
llex decidua	Deciduous Holly					~	10.5	4.			
Rhus radicans Camosis radicans	Poison Ivy   Trummet Creener					» ~	0 م. م	2.9	200	15.2	10.0
Cornus drumondi i	Roughleaf Dogwood				_	; v		. 7.	, <u> </u>	4.1	
Phytolacca americana Sambucus canadensis	Pokeweed   Elderberrv					~-	ΰų				
Cumeratese co	Series						!	:	150	20.0	8
Impatiens pallida	Jewelweed								22	10.6	ŝ
Parthenocissus quinquefolia	Virginia Creeper								<u></u>	5.7	3.0
Galinsoga ciliata	Galinsoga Ette Nettle								80	6.4	10.0
Graminae	Grass # 1			_					1500	5.8	;-;
Polygonum convolvulus	Black Bindweed								<u>ب</u> ري	4.	÷
Lindera benzoin	Spicebush Vild Yam	-							~ ī		ú-
Chenopodium album	Lambs Quarters				_				22	=	: <u>.</u> .
Graminae	Grass # 2								650	1.1	
Celastrus scandens	Bittersweet								25		۽ ف
Smilax herbacea	Greenbriar								67 100	: -	<u>.</u>
Rubus flagellaris	Dewberry								35	ŗ	-
Desmodium sp. Solidaon sp.	Tick Trefoil Goldenrod								88	ů.	~. 4
Tetal		•	20.2	0 007		105	0.001	9 21	1624		5,00
lotal & Space		ת	707	0.001	25.0	<u>6</u>	0.001	87.0	1504	0.001	20.02

<u>_</u>___ 

1.

•

.

*Characteristics

# = number per 20,000 square feet in overstory and understory
# = number per 200 square feet in ground cover
% dominance = % basal area
% cover = % of quadrat area covered (shaded) by foliage
% space = % of quadrat area exposed to direct sunlight

IX-12

# Woods 6

Í.

1

1

1

The data for Woods 6 are presented in Table [X-6, Dominant overstory species based on density were hackberry (thirty-six), box elder (thirty-one), American elm (thirty-one), and silver maple (twenty); based on percent of basal area were silver maple (thirty-one and three tenths), hackberry (fifteen and eight tenths), and sycamore (fifteen); and on the basis of percent of cover were hackberry (thirty-one and nine tenths), silver maple (sixteen and nine tenths), and black walnut (sixteen and nine tenths).

Dominant understory species based on density were spicebush (twentysix), bladdernut (twenty-four), hackberry (twenty-three), box elder (twenty-three), and American elm (twenty-two); on the basis of percent of basal area were hackberry (twenty-one), green ash (seventeen and eight tenths), roughleaf dogwood (sixteen and two tenths), and on the basis of percent of cover were spicebush (eleven and nine tenths), hackberry (nine and four tenths), and bladdernut (nine).

Dominant ground cover species based on density were grass (315) and wild rye (215); based on percent of basal area were grass (nineteen), wild rye (twelve), spotted touch-me-not (eleven and one tenth), and Virginia creeper (ten and two tenths); and based on percent of cover were grass (twenty) and sedge (twenty).

The overstory was made up of fifteen species, the understory of thirteen species, and the ground cover of twenty species.

Characteristics^{*} of the overstory, understory, and ground cover of Woods 6 (Figure VI-1). Overstory and understory data are from eight quadrats, each 2,500 square feet. Ground cover data are from eight quadrats, each 25 square feet.

Table <u>1X-6</u>

L

í

i

i.

1

E

ť:

(

ĺ

(

and the second

2 

1

				OVERSTORY			UNDERSTORY	}		GROUND COVER	æ	
Binomial	Courren Name	Trees >	# Density	2 Dominance	çover	# Density	% Dominance	å Cover	# Density	% Dominance	% Cover	
kcer saccharinum Celtis occidentalis	Silver Maple Hackberry	. s 3	20 36	31.3 15.8	16.9 31.9	23	21.0	4.6				
Platanus occidentalis Quercus macrocarpa	Sycamore Bur Oak		- ص	0.8°0	- 89 - 89	~	1.5	4.				
Jugians nigra Fraxinus lanceolata	Black Walnut Green Ash		<u>6 3</u>	8.6 6.2	16.91 11.9	01	17.8	4°.5				
00	Box Elder		5.3	5.8 .4	12.5	23	11.0	9.4				
Ulmus americana Quercus palustris	Pin Oak			4	2.5	1	· • • •	r.0				
Populus deltoides Morus alba	Cottonwood White Mulberry			- 9.	<u></u>	~ .	ŵ	4				_
carya corditormis Cercis canadensis Asimina triloba	Bitternut Hickory Redbud Pawpaw Grape		うるてら	فدنطظ		- 82	د. ۲.4	6.5	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		9	. <u> </u>
Cornus drummondii Cornus drummondii Staphylea trifolia Tilia americana	Roughleaf Dogwood Spicebush American Bladdernut Basswood		N	: :		556 54 54 54	8:0 2.5 1.0	6.1 6.6 6.6			:	<u> </u>
Graminae sp. Elymus arenarius Impatiens capensis	Grass Wild Rye Spotted Touch-me-not								315 215 35	19.0 11.1	20.0 11.3 10.6	
Parthenocissus quinquefolia Geum canadense Cyperaceae sp.	Virginia Creeper White Avens Sedge								8833	9.7 1.7	8.1.3 20.0	
Asarum canadense Viola sp.	Wild Ginger Violet								82%	v v 4	~~~ ~~~~	
Smilax herbacea	Greenbriar								224	- 60 c	1 -	
campanula americana	Tall Bellflower								2°.		, e , e	
Eupatorium fistulosum Asimina triloba	l Joe Pye Weed Pawpaw	-		<u></u>					<u>~~</u>		- e	
Chenopodium album Manischermum ranadanse	Lambsquarters Monvine								~ · ·		1.3	
Campsis radicans	Trumpet Vine								· ~· .			
	Rose								_	<del>،</del>	-	

-----

1 - in the second ---- . . . .

• •

1.52

· · · -

*Characteristics
# = number per 20,000 square feet in overstory and understory
# = number per 200 square feet in ground cover
2 dominance = % basal area
% cover = % of quadrat area covered (shaded) by foliage
% space = % of quadrat area exposed to direct sunlight

Table <u>IX-6</u> (com't) Characteristics⁴ of the overstory, understory, and ground cover of Woods 6 (Figure VI-1). Overstory and understory date <u>id</u>ata are from eight quadrats, each 2,500 square feet.

.

Ĺ.

t

1

i

1

1

1.

1

¢

...... -**A** 

		_		UVERSTORY			UNDERSTORY			GROUND COVER	æ
8 i nomial	Common Name	Trees > 18" dbh	∦ Densîty	\$ Dominance	ء Cover	# Density	2 Dominance	\$ Cover	∯ Density	∯ Density Dominance	\$ Cover
<u>Oxalis dillenii</u> Arisaema dracontium	Wood sorrel Greendragon									4.	
Total		7	177	100.0	127.3	172	100.0	62.7	895	100.0	6.411
% Space					24.0			0-44			32.0
							_				

IX-15

-

.

-----

•

• • • • . •

.....

*Characteristics
# = number per 20,000 square feet in overstory and understory
# = number per 200 square feet in ground cover
# dominance = % basal area
% cover = % of quadrat area covered (shaded) by foliage
% space = % of quadrat area exposed to direct sunlight

<u>,</u>

.

#### Woods 7

2

11

C

The data for Woods 7 are presented in Table IX-7. Dominant species based on density were American elm (fifty-two), box elder (twenty-eight), and grape (twenty-five); based on percent of basal area were bur oak (twenty-eight and eight tenths), green ash (twentyseven and two tenths), hackberry (sixteen and six tenths), and American elm (eleven and one tenth); and based on percent of cover were many species including hackberry (twenty-three and one tenth), green ash (twenty and six tenths), American elm (eighteen and eight tenths), grape (eighteen and one tenth), box elder (sixteen and three tenths), silver maple (thirteen), black walnut (eleven and three tenths), and bur oak (ten and six tenths).

Dominant understory species based on density were box elder (fortytwo), American elm (twenty-six), and poison ivy (twenty-two); based on percent of basal area were American elm (thirty-two and two tenths), box elder (nineteen and four tenths), silver maple (fourteen and eight tenths), and hackberry (fourteen and three tenths); and based on percent of cover were box elder (eight and eight tenths), American elm (eight and one tenth), and poison ivy (six and nine tenths).

Dominant ground cover species based on density were poison ivy (325), grass (250), stinging nettle (170), and bellflower (106); based on percent of basal area were poison ivy (thirty-three and eight tenths), stinging nettle (fifteen and four tenths), and trumpet vine (eleven); and based on percent of cover were poison ivy (thirty-one and nine tenths), stinging nettle (twenty-one and three tenths), and spotted touch-me-not (twenty).

IX-16

Table IX-7

Characteristics* of the overstory, understory, and ground cover of Woods 7 (Figure VI-1). Overstory and understory data are from eight quadrats, each 2,500 square feet. Ground cover data are from eight quadrats, each 25 square feet.

i

ł

تنكب وسيعربهم وتقد كالقصاد فالم

ł

-

1

1

€

ŧ

		- <b></b>		
æ	ڈ Cover	s. S		1.3 133.3 16.9
GROUND COVER	\$ Dominance	8.	8 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
	# Density	82	- 7 7 250 250 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	* 6011 1
	% Cover		م. ب ب	39.5 60.2
UNDERSTORY	% Domìnance	4.8 4.5 19.4 14.3 19.4 1.1 1.7 5 7.5 1.1 1.7 5 7.5		0. 90 t
	# Density	28-1-7-3 3-5-5 2-2-2-2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	138
	\$ Cover	20.6 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.2 23.6 2.5 2.5 2.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5		141.8
OVERSTORY	% Dominance	288 27.28 1.5 4 6.1 1.5 4 6.2 1.3 5 8 2 2 1 0 - 5 3 5 8 2 2 1 0 - 5 3 5 8 2 2 1 0 - 5 3 5 8 2 2 1 0 - 5 5 2 8 2 1 0 - 5 5 2 8 2 1 0 - 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		100.0
	# Density	22222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 282 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 28222 2822 2822 2822 2822 2822 2822 2822 2822 2822 2822 2822 2822 2		181
	Trees > 18" dbh	- 7		m
	Common Name	Bur Oak Green Ash Hackberry American Elm Box Elder Black Walnut Grape Rougleaf Dogwood Silver Maple Strucky Coffee Tree Greenbriar	Poison lvy Wahoo Trumpet Vine Stinging Nettle Cup Plant Spotted Touch-me-not Tall Bellflower Grass Grass Goldenrod Galdenrod Galdenrod Galfinsoga Giant Ragweed Moonvine Wood Sage	Greenbriar
	Binomial	Quercus macrocarpa Fraxinus lanceolata Celtis occidentalis Ulmus americana Acer negundo Juglans nigra Vitis sp. Corrus drummondi Gymnocladus dioicus Smilax heraeca	Rhus radicans Euonymus atropurpureus Gunsis radicans Urtica dioica Silphium perfoliatum Impatiens sp. Gamenula americana Graminae sp. Graminae sp. G	Smilax herbacea Total % Space

• 🛶

. .

٠

*Characteristics
# = number per 20,000 square feet in overstory and understory
# = number per 200 square feet in ground cover
2 dominance = % basal arca
2 cover = % of quadrat area covered (shaded) by foliage
3 space = % of quadrat area exposed to direct sunlight

.

.

**.** -

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

•

.....

Overstory was made up of eleven species, understory of eleven species, and ground cover of seventeen species.

# Woods 8

The data for Woods 8 are presented in Table IX-8. Dominant species of the overstory of Woods 8 based on density were hawthorn No. one (eighty-five) and persimmon (sixty-six); based on percent of basal area were pin oak (seventy-six and six tenths) and persimmon (twelve and seven tenths); and based on percent of cover were sassafras (thirty-five), pin oak (thirty), and hawthorn No. one (twentysix).

Dominant species of the understory based on density were roughleaf dogwood (ninety-one), spicebush (forty-five), and hawthorn No. one (forty-three); based on percent of basal area were hawthorn No. one (fifty-three and six tenths), spicebush (twenty-three), and roughleaf dogwood (fifteen and eight tenths); and based on percent of cover were roughleaf dogwood (twenty-three), hawthorn No. one (sixteen), and spicebush (fourteen).

Dominant species of the ground cover based on density were poison ivy (sixty-four), Virginia knotweed (forty-eight), and rough avens (twenty-nine); based on percent of basal area was poison ivy (thirtynine and four tenths); and based on percent of cover were poison ivy (seventeen), Virginia knotweed (eleven), Virginia creeper (ten and two tenths), and rough avens (nine).

Overstory was made up of seven species, understory of eight species, and ground cover of eight species.

Table 1X-8

Characteristics★ of the overstory, understory, and ground cover of Woods 8 (Figure VI-1). Overstory and understory data are from eight quadrats, each 2,500 square feet. Ground cover data are from eight quadrats, each 25 square feet.

Ł

i

à

i

.

Į

ſ

ł

ſ

Binomial				OVERS TORY	-		UNDERS TORY			GROUND COVER	۵
	Common Name	Trees > 18" dbh		Poni as Ponios	84 (j	#	94 2	96	#		يىر.
			11 1 1 1 1		rover	nens Ly	nominance	Lover	Density	Vominance	Lover
	Pin Oak Persimmon	=	4 Y 7 V	76.6	30.0	80	3.4	4.0			
	Hawthorn # 1		38	6.7	26.0	43	53.6	16.0			
Ulmus americana Sassafras albidium	American Elm Secofras		21	3. I	10.6 25 0	<u>۳</u> :	 	0.7			
	Grape		<u>2 -</u> #	<u>.</u>	0.11		+ -	0.0	s.	9.3	3.0
	Mulberry		7		۲.		,				
	Spicebush Roughleaf Dogwood					45	23.0	0.41			
llex decidua Celtis occidentalis	Deciduous Hoily Hackberry		<u> </u>			`o ~	8.4.	0.0			
	Poison Ivv								77	4 96	
olia	Virginia Knotweed Virginia freener								r 80 a	13.9	
	Rough Avens								5 0	- 2.7 9.11	2.01 9.0
Ambrosia trifida	Giant Ragweed							_	22	6.9	3.4
	Galinsoga								~ ~ a	و ہو 4	0.0
Total		=	218	0.001	120.3	220	0 001	74.0	197	0 001	An A
						}	2	2	2	2	
% Space					30.0			45.0			46.0
							_				
#fharacteric+ice											

• 🛶

.

•

-

....

*Characteristics

# current and understory # = number per 20,000 square feet in overstory and understory # = number per 200 square feet in ground cover % dominance = % basal area % cover = % of quadrat area covered (shaded) by foliage % space = % of quadrat area exposed to direct sunlight

IX-19

#### Woods 9

The data for Woods 9 are presented in Table IX-9. Dominant overstory species based on density was American elm (163); based on percent of basal area were pin oak (fifty-five and nine tenths), American elm (twenty-one and five tenths), and overcup oak (fifteen); and based on percent of cover were American elm (forty-three and one tenth), pin oak (twenty-three and one tenth), and overcup oak (ten).

Dominant understory species based on density were roughleaf dogwood (sixty-eight), poison ivy (sixty), and American elm (thirty-four); based on percent of basal area were American elm (thirty-eight and nine tenths), roughleaf dogwood (eighteen and five tenths), and poison ivy (sixteen and eight tenths); and based on percent of cover were poison ivy (sixteen), roughleaf dogwood (fourteen and four tenths), deciduous holly (eleven and three tenths), and American elm (ten).

Dominant ground cover species based on density were poison ivy (seventy-six), and grass (sixty); based on percent of basal area were poison ivy (fifty-four and six tenths), grass (sixteen and four tenths), and trumpet vine (eleven and eight tenths); and based on percent of cover were poison ivy (thirty one and three tenths) and bedstraw (ten).

The overstory was made up of nine species, the understory of eleven species, and the ground cover of eighteen species.

## Woods 10

i

The data for Woods 10 are presented in Table IX-10. The dominant overstory species based on density were silver maple (seventy), green ash (fifty-two), grape (twenty-eight) and American elm (twenty-four); Characteristics★ of the overstory, understory, and ground cover of Woods 9 (Figure VI-I). Overstory and understory data are from eight quadrats, each 2,500 square feet. Ground cover data are from eight quadrats, each 25 square feet.

Table IX-9

_					_					
~	å Cover	ō.	9.	۲.	1.3	31.3		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	70.8	45.0
GROUND COVER	t Dominance	.2	.2	.2	<i>б</i> .	54.6			0.001	
	# Density	_	-	-	2	76	2	8=~~57740~~~~	218	
	ر دەرە ت	10.0	- 4	₹. <del>4</del> .		4.41 16.0 9.	ونيا		54.9	51.9
UNDERSTORY	\$ Dominance	38.9	5.5	ώü		18.5 16.8 9.9	6.5 1.7 1.1		0.001	
	# Density	34	~=			≈ 668	23 6		211	
	\$ Cover	23.1 43.1	10.0 5.6	سون سر	و به				9.68	38.8
OVERSTORY	% Dominan <i>c</i> e	55.9 21.5	4.8	<u>.</u>	<u>, o</u>	· · · ·			100.0	
	# Density	28 163	22	~ ~ ~ ~	- 7				229	
	Trees > 18" dbh	5	7						7	
	Common Name	Pin Oak American Elm	Green Ash	Sugarberry Persimmon Hackberry	Grape	Roughleaf Dogwood Poison lvy Hawthorn # 2	Deciduous Holly Giant Ragweed Black Walnut	Grass Trumpet Vine Sedge Umbellifer Bedstraw Galinsoga Beggarticks Beggarticks Tall Bellflower Moonvine Spotted Touch-me-not White Avens Violet		
	Binomial	Quercus palustris Ulmus americana	<u>Fraxinus lanceolata</u>	Celtis laevigata Diospyros virginiana Celtis occidentalis	Vitis sp.	Corrus <u>drummondii</u> Rhus radicans Crataegus sp.	llex decidua Ambrosia trifida Juglans nigra	Graminae sp. Cyperaceae sp. Umbelliferae sp. Galium aparine Galium aparine Bidens sp. Teanganula americana Menispermum canadense Impariens capensis Geum canadense Viola sp.	Total	% Space

-

A .....

ł

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

The state of the second second

1

;

ł

1

1

£

I

Ľ

~

•

-

`.'

•

÷

*Characteristics
# = number per 20,000 square feet in overstory and understory
# = number per 200 square feet in ground cover
# dominance = % basal area
% cover = % of quadrat area covered (shaded) by foliage
% cover = % of quadrat area = ------

. •

---

\$ space = \$ of quadrat area exposed to direct sunlight

Characteristics* of the overstory, understory, and ground cover of Woods 10 (Figure VI-1). Overstory and understory data are from eight quadrats, each 2,500 square feet. Table IX-10

ł

4

4

:

1

1

1

į ſ.

BREAL TANK

ť.

GROUND COVER	å Cover	3	23.1	947970-86- 947970-86- 967-96- 97-9	94.8	20.6
	å Dominance	×.	20.7	24800000 -4800000	100.0	
	# Density	m	65	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	376	
UNDERSTORY	\$ Cover	6.3 8.8 8	30.0 7.3 1.3 1.3		63.1	53
	k Dominance	9.2 8.0 24.3	2.1 2.1 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7		100.0	
	# Density	e = 5 £	132 135 135 135 135 135 135 135 135 135 135		283	
	\$ Cover	33.1 33.1 11.3 26.9 6.3 6.3			83.9	36.9
OVERSTORY	\$ Dominance	46.3 25.7 19.5 8.2 .4			100.0	
	# Density	70 22 28 28 28			185	
Trees > 18" dbh				•	•	
Contrion Name		Silver Maple American Elm Green Ash Black Willow Grape	Poison lvy Roughleaf Dogwood Orchard Grass White Ash Deciduous Holly	Orchard Grass Grass Spotted Touch-me-not Stinging Nettle Goldenrod Lambsquarters Virginia Creeper Skullcap Lizard's Taif White Avens Beggarticks		
Binomia I		Acer saccharinum Ulmus americana Fraxinus lanceolata Salix nigra Vitis sp.	Rhus radicans Corrus drummondii Dactylis glomerata Fraxinus americana Ilex decidua	Dactylis glomerata Graminae sp. Importiens capensis Urtica dioica Solidago sp. Chenopodium alba Parthenocissus quinquefolia Scurelaria laterifolia Scurelaria laterifolia Seururus cernuus Geum canadense Bidens sp.	Total	\$ Space

~ . • • • ţ

1 1

*Characteristics
# = number per 20,000 square feet in overstory and understory
# = number per 200 square feet in ground cover .
% dominance = % basal area
% cover = % of quadrat area covered (shaded) by foliage
% space = % of quadrat area exposed to direct sunlight

----

1X-22
based on percent of basal area were silver maple (forty-six and three tenths), American elm (twenty-five and seven tenths), and green ash (nineteen and five tenths); and based on percent of cover were silver maple (thirty-three and one tenth), green ash (twenty-six and nine tenths), and American elm (eleven and three tenths).

i

1.

Ľ

Dominant understory species based on density were poison ivy (135), green ash (forty-three), roughleaf dogwood (forty-three), and orchard grass (thirty-seven); based on percent of basal area were poison ivy (thirty-two and one tenth), green ash (twenty-four and three tenths), and roughleaf dogwood (fifteen and four tenths); and based on percent of cover was poison ivy (thirty).

Dominant ground cover species based on density were grass (106), poison ivy (sixty-five), spotted touch-me-not (fifty-four); based on percent of basal area were poison ivy (twenty-three and one tenth), orchard grass (fifteen and one tenth), grass (fourteen and four tenths), and spotted touch-me-not (thirteen and six tenths); and based on percent of cover were poison ivy (twenty-three and one tenth), spotted touch-me-not (sixteen and three tenths), grass (fourteen and three tenths), and goldenrod (thirteen and three tenths).

The overstory contained five species, understory eight species, and ground cover thirteen species.

# GENERAL

The number of overstory species ranges from five in Woods 10 through fifteen in Woods 6 (Table IX-11). Understory species range from seven in Woods 3 through seventeen in Woods 5. Ground cover species range from eight in Woods 8 through twenty-eight in Woods 5.

Tab	le I	X-1	1
-----	------	-----	---

Number of All Species and Sizes of Trees Found in Woods 1 through 10 per 20,000 Square Feet (8 quadrats)

x

i

2

1

1

C.

€

AND MUSIC AND

Characteristics					W	oods				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Overstory Species	9	11	11	12	14	15	11	7	9	5
Understory Species	11	16	7	10	17	13	11	8	11	8
Ground Cover Species	15	10	22	10	28	21	17	8.	18	13
Total Species	27	25	31	21	38	40	28	17	27	21
Trees over 18" dbh	2	16	0	4	9	7	3	11	7	0

Total species range from seventeen in Woods 8 through forty in Woods 6. No relationship between number of species and presence of large trees over eighteen inches dbh was found. There were no individuals over eighteen inches dbh in Woods 3 and 10 ranging to sixteen large individuals in Woods 2 (per 20,000 square feet). Table IX-12 indicates ten dominant overstory species in the ten woods. Of these species, pin oak had the greatest cumulative basal area and was a dominant in four of the ten woods; silver maple was second in area and was a dominant in five of the woods; and green ash was third in basal area and was dominant in four of the woods. The remaining seven species were lower in basal area and in the frequency of their dominance.

1

1

I.

E

I.

The data indicate that the woods are generally dominated by flood plain species, as might be expected. The woods have all been cleared, cultivated and allowed to re-grow. Of the ten dominant species, green ash, silver maple, black willow, sycamore, and American elm are all wind disseminated species and are consequently characteristic pioneer flood plain species, being carried, germinating, and developing rapidly on bare wet soils. The remaining species of pin oak, bur oak, persimmon, hackberry, and overcup oak are characteristic of climax or of later successional stages. These are all large-seeded species whose seeds are disseminated by water, gravity, or small animals and are not generally present on bare soil surface but are usually carried in after pioneer forests have developed.

Table IX-12

**.** 

Į.

i

•

-

ł

ł

I

÷£.

Ľ

Ľ

# Dominant Overstory Species Based on Percent Basal Area in Each of 10 Selected Woods in the Study Area

~

•

-

• • • • •

Species	-	2	~	4	Wo 5	8 6	7	ω	б	10	Cumulative Percentage
Green Ash	32.3		33.0				27.2			19.5	112.0
Silver Maple	25.2		56.6	21.0		31.3				46.3	180.4
Pin Oak		87.6			50.3			76.6	55.9		230.4
Black Willow				65.6							65.6
Bur Oak					32.5		28.8				61.3
Hackberry						15.8	16.6				32.4
Sycamore						15.0				-	15.0
American Elm							1.1		21.5	25.7	58.3
Persimmon								12.7			12.7
Overcup Oak									15.0	. <u> </u>	15.0
Approximate Elevation (in feet)	405	415	410	405	420	440	415	425	415	410	

•

÷ ...

*

•

A similar floodplain vegetation exists in the American Bottoms of St. Clair County, Illinois, immediately south of the study area reported on herein. Additional information can be found, therefore, in a report by Kulfinski (1973).

### GENERAL AND HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE FLOODPLAIN

and the second second

1

Ľ

E

Ľ

E

The study area was settled beginning approximately in 1810 with consequent clearing of natural vegetation for agricultural land and for fuel. This trend progressed and development was augmented in the early half of this century with the development of levees and of drainage systems, thereby reducing more areas of natural vegetation to agriculture. The Mississippi Bottoms originally had a vegetation made up of marsh, swamp, and lake wetlands, of bottomland prairie, and of bottomland forest. Present day bottomland forests in the Mississippi Floodplain represent successional communities following destruction of natural forests by man. The succession appears to proceed from willow, to willow-cottonwood, to sugar maple, to pin oak dominated communities, with the last two probably having a considerable admixture of other floodplain species rather than being pure stands.

It is anticipated that the future trends include further drainage and destruction of wetland for agricultural purposes, leaving the remaining wetlands too few, too disturbed and too surrounded by development to function properly as nesting and stopping places for migrating and local waterfowl. It is further anticipated that additional disturbances of forests will occur which will reduce the number of mature

forest communities and will increase the number of early successional communities dominated by willow, cottonwood, and silver maple.

Several species are now found in the study areas, namely pricklypear cactus, river birch, post oak, and blackjack oak, which were quite numerous in the original vegetation. The same can be said for two prairie sites, one a quarter mile east of Highway III and one and one-half miles north of Interstate 270 along the Norfolk and Western Railroad right-of-way and the second within Woods 5 shown in Figure VI-1. It is anticipated that these will decline as further urban, agricultural, transportational, and industrial development continue.

The wetland at Marsh 1 seems to be a large-bird feeding ground and it represents a last remaining remnant of a previously common biological entity. It is expected to go out of existence if the surrounding forest and shrub zones are removed due to alteration of the community and to disturbance of its residents.

### UPLAND

3

1

The upland of Madison County is a highly dissected series of ridges and stream valleys. The ridge tops are flat and most of these quickly succumbed to agriculture. The land features least developed for agricultural purposes were the steep-walled stream and creek valleys which did not lend themselves to the movement of farm machinery. The ridge tops were vegetated by prairie. Half of Illinois was vegetated by prairies virtually all of which are now gone. Developed below the original ridge prairies were scrub oak-prairie mixtures, then oak-hickory-sugar maple communities, and finally, bottomland forest consisting of sycamore, green ash, elm, pawpaw, persimmon, hackberry, and a mixture of many other species. The stream banks which became available for colonization by erosion or deposition were vegetated by willow and especially cottonwood and sycamore. Practically nothing is left of the ridge top prairie, whereas bottomland forest still exists in the valleys. Some degree of oak-hickory-sugar maple has also survived. The last remnants of upland prairie have been the bluff top "hill prairies" described by Evers (1955). These were largely on west and south facing slopes which accounted for their dryness and nature and they have been largely destroyed for either pasture in rural areas or home sites in suburban areas.

# GENERAL QUALITY AND VIGOR OF VEGETATION

The flood plain of the study area consists of approximately thirtyfive percent urban habitat, fifty-one percent agricultural crops, zero percent old field, seven percent forest, three percent wetland, and four percent lake and pond. The terrestrial vegetation, therefore, consists of the seven percent which is in forest and probably an equal area which consists of fencerows and edges.

The fencerow habitat is highly productive for some species of wildlife, such as small bird species, mourning dove, bobwhite quail, eastern cottontail, woodchuck, foxes, opossum, and striped skunk. The fencerows usually contain vigorous growths of "opportunistic" vegetation characteristic of early stages of succession. Herbaceous plants, such as daisy fleabane, ragweeds, goldenrod, and grasses, occupy fencerows along with

1

early successional woody species, such as poison ivy, wild grape, climbing bittersweet, smooth sumac, box elder, elm, mulberry, osage orange, and others. If the soil is particularly wet, then the woods species will include numerous black willow, cottonwood, and silver maple to the exclusion of many of the other species. Fencerows are generally diverse in terms of species encountered and therefore provide a diversity of food and cover types at different times of the season. However, this habitat gets periodically destroyed when the farmer cleans up his fencerows at irregular intervals and then begins to develop again. It is therefore cyclic in its development, at irregular intervals. Consequently, large trees producing mast and den sites are generally not present in fence rows although the vigor of the vegetation is excellent, due to the partial lack of competition, the penetration of sunlight from both sides, and the benefits of artificial fertilizers applied nearby for agricultural crops, such as soybeans or corn. The cottonwood, black willow, silver maple (wet soil) type of fence row is probably not as productive to wildlife as is the diverse fencerow community of drier sites.

2

2

The seven percent of the area which is in forest is widely scattered. Since most of the land was used for development, very little was left as forest. The latter probably represented land which was too difficult to cultivate, forest which was purposely left (and used) as farm woodlot, and land which remained forest by some accident of location or ownership.

Of the ten woods studied, which were selected for study on the basis of their acreage and their location, six species were dominants or codominants one or more times (based on at least twenty percent dominance).

Silver maple was dominant in five woods, pin oak in four, green ash in three, American elm and bur oak in two, and black willow in a single one (as shown in Tables IX-1 through IX-10). The composition of the vegetation indicated that these woods were typical floodplain woods with a better diversity of species than was found in the St. Clair County portion of the American Bottoms (Kulfinski, 1973) where cottonwood and black willow were more often the dominants.

The vigor of the woods vegetation was excellent. Most of the woods had few trees over eighteen inches dbh. Woods 2, 8, and 5 had sixteen, eleven, and nine trees over eighteen inches dbh per 20,000 square feet of sampled area. Woods 2, therefore, averaged one eighteen inch dbh tree per each thirty foot by thirty foot area. Overall, the ten woods averaged approximately 200 trees per 20,000 square foot area, which is an average of one in each ten foot by ten foot area. The obvious conclusion is that the woods have all been disturbed or cleared in the past and that they represent very sound successional stands which are considerably removed in time from either maturity or from climax. The lack of maturity produces a scarcity of large, overage trees for treeusing animals, such as fox, squirrel, raccoon, and opossum. The trees are also too small on the average to be as productive of mast as they might be even though mast and other fruit producing species are present or even dominant.

In summer, both fencerow and woods constitute the principal wildlife habitats of the area, with both being vigorous, but both being so immature as to be lacking in den cavities or mast production. The woods

ł

are somewhat articulated in the summertime by the intervening agricultural fields and their crops, but they are discontinuous after crop harvest and are therefore less useful as wildlife habitat than they might otherwise be. Furthermore, such pioneer floodplain species as willow and cottonwood produce tiny seeds which are not edible to larger animals and these species of trees are not generally foodproductive for wildlife.

1

3

Agricultural fields are vigorous and useful to wildlife as cover and later as food. However, their usefulness declines after harvest.

Greenbelts are commonly developed along drainageways to create parks or park-like entities to screen waterways and levees, to make them more attractive, to provide an area for picnicing, hiking or bicycling, and to create some degree of the original forest habitat for aesthetic as well as for wildlife purposes. Such belts of vegetation can be developed by: (1) allowing nature to take its course through the various successional stages, the woods being enjoyed for each assemblage of species in turn; or (2) planting species which are characteristic of some "ideal" stage of succession (perhaps maturity) and managing this stage in such a way as to prolong or even to perpetuate it; and (3) planting artificial or domesticated vegetation of aesthetic choice. In any event, the vigor of existing terrestrial woods vegetation is excellent and it would serve well either for wildlife or to be included in the development of multi-aged and multi-character greenbelts. Very little of the vegetation is made up of mature or over-mature trees and it could be used well for greenbelt creation if its location and composi-

tion were suitable. A diversity of woods types would likely be more desirable than a monotonous planting from the standpoint of aesthetics, wildlife diversity, and academic interest.

A ....

1

ورواعدهما والمراجع المعيري والمراجع المراجع

Law

1

1.

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

Evers, R. A. <u>Hill Prairies of Illinois</u>. Bulletin, Illinois Natural History Survey, Vol. 26, Article 5, p. 446, 1955.

- Fernald, M. L. <u>Gray's Manual of Botany</u>. Eighth edition, American Book Company, New York, 1950.
- Jones, G. N. Flora of Illinois. Third edition, University of Notre Dame Press, Notre Dame, Indiana, 1963.
- Kulfinski, F. B. Biological Elements Plants, Section X in <u>Environmental</u> <u>Inventory - Harding Ditch Combined Area</u>, U.S. Army Engineer District, Corps of Engineers, St. Louis, Missouri, 1973.

N.A.S.A. Color satellite photograph, J.S.C. 289, 1974.

Steyermark, J. A. Flora of Missouri. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, 1963.

U.S.G.S. Topographic map (revised 1968), 1954.

2

# SECTION X BIOLOGICAL ELEMENTS ANIMALS, GENERAL

ন স

PREPARED BY RICHARD B. PARKER, PH.D. Tables X-1 to X-4 contain lists of terrestrial vertebrates (amphibians, reptiles, birds, and mammals) which can be expected to be found in the project area. The tables include information on a) type(s) of habitat within the area in which each species can be expected, b) abundance of each species within the area, c) some quantitative evidence on which abundance estimates were partially based, and d) for birds, the period of the year during which the birds can be found.

Abundance of each species is indicated by the following symbols:

- A = Abundant -- frequently seen by the casual observer (in appropriate habitats and seasons)
- C = Common -- easily observed by the interested observer
- U = Uncommon -- infrequently seen by the interested observer, perhaps no more than once per year
- R = Rare -- not observed within the area every year

The column labeled "evidence" provides some quantitative information used in estimating abundance. The project area includes much of the campus of Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville, consequently a substantial amount of collecting by students and faculty has occurred in the area and specimens are on file in the SIUE collection of herpetological and mammalian specimens. The numbers in the "evidence" column in the tables for amphibians, reptiles and mammals indicate the number of specimens in SIUE collections which came from the project area. In addition, in these three tables, the following letters have the indicated meanings:

- A = SIUE collections contain specimens from the <u>American Bottoms</u> outside of the project area but none within the project area.
- M = SIUE collections contain specimens from <u>Madison</u> <u>County</u> outside of the project area but no specimens within the project area or other parts of the American Bottoms.

C = SIUE collections contain specimens from counties adjacent to Madison County but no specimens from Madison County.

- R = <u>Reliable observers</u>, frequently the author, have seen specimens within the project area but no specimens exist within SIUE collections or from nearby areas.
- L = The evidence for the occurrence of the species within the area is entirely based on <u>literature</u> information of geographical distribution and habitat utilization.

The number of specimens in the collection cannot be used directly to indicate abundance as it is highly influenced by the ease of collecting and preserving the species as well as the abundance of the species.

The quantitative evidence for occurrence of birds is derived from four years of observations reported by the Audubon Society. Christmas Bird Counts are done in late December on one day. Data cover the years 1974 through 1977 for the count reported at "Collinsville, Illinois". It is done within a seven mile circle centered on the intersection of Interstate highway 70 and Illinois state highway 159 at approximately 38° 43' N latitude and 89° 58' W longitude, as shown in Figure X-1*. Thus approximately sixty percent of the Christmas Bird Count area is within the project area and it includes about fifty percent of the American Bottoms portion and all of the upland portion. The portion outside of the project area is primarily uplands.

The Spring Bird Counts include various areas within Madison County. Data cover the years 1975 through 1978. During these four years, one individual has been assigned an area approximating the American Bottoms floodplain portion of the project study area. These observations have been tabulated separately. In all three columns, two numbers separated by

£

^{*}all figures referred to are located in Volume 6 of 6 of this Environmental Inventory Report.

Table X-1

Amphibians of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

1 (S. 444

2 ..... Mindleson

Real and Ballins and Area

....

*son.sbîv∃		<u>ہے</u> لیے	61- 63335 63335	* <u>%</u> 576*	ō 6
Wetlands & Marshes	×××××	×	× × × × ×	××	×××
eredbase & stellbum			<u></u>		
Rivers & Streams	×	××	×	× ××	×××
Lakes & Ponds	×××	××	×××××	×× ×	×××
Flood Plain Forest	****		××	××	
Upland Forest	××		××	××	
Priaties					
DIA FIeld	× × ×		×××	××	××
Agricultural	×		<u> </u>	×	
Exurban	× ×		<u> </u>	××	
Suburban	×		× ×		
C1 tY					
*sonebnudA	<b>KUKKK</b>	20	~ < < < ~	ບ⊃ແບແ	300
scientific itame	Order Caudata Ambystoma maculatum Ambystoma texanum Ambystoma tigrinum Notophthalmus viridescens Plethodon glutinosus	Necturus maculosus Siren intermedia nettingi	Order Salientia Bufo americanus Bufo woodhousei fowleri Acris crepitans blanchardi Pseudacris triseriata Pseudacris streckeri	Hyla crucifer crucifer Hyla versicolor Rana areolata Rana catesbeiana Rana clamitans melanota	<u>Rana palustris</u> <u>Rana pipiens pipiens</u> Rana pipiens sphenocephala
Common Name	Spotted Salamander Small-mouthed Salamander Eastern Tiger Salamander Central Newt Slimy Salamander	Nud Puppy Western Lesser Siren	American Toad Fowler's Toad Cricket Frog Western Chorus Frog Illinois Chorus Frog	Northern Spring Peeper Gray Treefrog Crayfish Frog Bullfrog Green Frog	Pickerel Frog Northern Leopard Frog Southern Leopard Frog

....

*See text for symbols

Table X-2

Reptiles of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

A ....

ł

1

•

The und part for

Agricultural Did Field Prairies Upland Forest Flood Plain Forest Rivers & Streams Rivers & Streams Rivers & Streams	× × × × × × × × ×	×× × × ×× ×××	× × ×	× × × ×× × ××× ×
DId Field Prairies Upland Forest Flood Plain Forest Addes & Ponds	× × × ××			× ×× × ××× ×
014 Field Prairies Upland Forest Flood Plain Forest	× ××	×× ×××	×	× ×× × ××× ×
014 Field Prairies Upland Forest	××			× ×× × ××× ×
014 Field Prairies				× ××× ×
014 Field	×			××× ×
	×			·····
Agricultural				× × ×
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		× × ×
Exurban				
Suburban				
C1ty				
Abundance	ບຂບບຂ	ບບ ແລບ	⊃ບ	ບແ⊃ແບ
Scientific Name	Order Testudines Chelydra serpentina Macroclemys temmincki Sternothaerus odoratus Terrapene carolina Terrapene ornata	Chrysemys picta Pseudemys scripta elegans Pseudemys concinna x floridana Graptemys pseudogeographica Graptemys geographica	Trionyx muticus Trionyx spinifer	Order Squamata Suborder Saria Sceloporus undulatus Ophisaurus attenuatus Chemidophorus sexlineatus Scincella laterale Eumeces fasciatus
Cormon Name	Snapping Turtle Alligator Snapping Turtle Stinkpot Eastern Box Turtle Ornate Box Turtle	Painted Turtle Red-eared Turtle Slider False Map Turtle Map Turtle	Smooth Softshell Spiny Softshell	Fence Lizard Slender Glass Lizard Six-lined Racerunner Ground Skink Five-lined Skink

. .

* See text for symbols

and a state of the

	Table X=2 cont.	Reptiles of the Cahokia Canal	Drainage	nage		Area										
1	Common Name	Scientific Name	A	J	S	Ш	A	- 0	۹ ۱	Ч		R	¥	3	E	,
	Broad-Headed Skink	Eumeces laticeps	c				<b> </b>	×		××					4	<u> </u>
	Worm Snake Ringneck Snake Eastern Hognose Snake Rough Green Snake Eastern Yellow-bellied Snake	Order Squamata Suborder Serpentes Carphophis amoenus Diadophis punctatus Heterodon platyrhinos Opheodrys aestivus Coluber constrictor	0000		×	××× ×		× ×××		× ×××		×			5 ³³⁵ 2	
	Great Plains Rat Snake Black Rat Snake Prairie Kingsnake Speckled Kingsnake Milk Snake	Elaphe guttata Elaphe obsoleta Lampropeltis calligaster Lampropeltis getulus Lampropeltis triangulum	<b>そらし</b> それ			××× ×		×××××		×× × ×× ××					<u> </u>	
	Ribbon Snake Garter Snake Lined Snake Western Earth Snake Midland Brown Snake	Thamnophis sauritus Thamnophis sirtalis Tropidoclonion lineatum Virginia valeriae Storeria dekayi	しぇれれり		××	× × ×	× ×	×× ××	× ×	×	×	×		×	ـــة	
	Red-bellied Snake Yellow-bellied Water Snake Graham's Water Snake Diamond Backed Water Snake Northern Water Snake	Storeria occipitomaculata Natrix erythrogaster Natrix grahami Natrix sipedon Natrix sipedon	*>>>4				<u> </u>	×	×	×	××××	× ×		×××	8322-F	
·	Copperhead Massasauga Timber Rattler	Agkistrodon contortrix Sistrurus catenatus Crotalis horridus horridus	2 2 K			×		××	× ×		يرون المراجع المراجع			×	بہ ۔۔۔۔	
ł									-	_	-					

Table X-3

Birds of Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

Į

A ......

Common Name Scientific Name	Common Loon <u>Gavia immer</u>	Horned Grebe Colymbus auritus Eared Grebe Colymbus auritus Pied-billed Grebe Podilymbus podiceps	Double-crested Cormorant <u>Phalacrocorax auritus</u>	Great Blue Heron Green Heron Little Blue Heron Cattle Egret Great Egret Great Egret	Snowy Egret Leucophoyx thula
		<u></u>	<u></u>		
Resident Period* Abundance*	Σ Σ	<u> </u>	¥ ع	<u>∪⊂∪∪⊂</u> ∾∾∾∾∾	s 
C1ty					
Suburban				······································	
nedrux3 PA			<u> </u>		<u> </u>
PA PIƏ FIƏIA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Prairies				······································	
Upland Forest		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- <u></u>	
Flood Plain Forest Lakes & Ponds	×	X	×	X	<u>×</u>
Rivers & Streams	×	× × ×	×	×××××	×
Audflats & Sandbars				****	×
Wetlands & Marshes Christmas Bird Count (birds/years)*		2/1			
Spring Bird Counts, Adison County*	<b></b>	2	2	1/1 9/4 8/3 8/3	1
Spring Bird Counts, Flood Plain of Study Area		5		1/1 12/4 1/3	

-----

•

-

* 🖛 🖞

*See text for symbols

Birds of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

A

1

10.24

1

.....

•

-- ...

•

-

SBC, SBC, NC FP	16/3 15/2 1/1	5	3/4 30/4	5/3 4/3 18/4	5	
CBC	-	32/2	290/4 93/4		1/1 33/2	13/2
3	××××	××××	×××××	××××		
I	×× ×	××××	×	× × ×	×	
۲	×× ×	×××××	×××××	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	×× ×	×××××	×××××	××× ×	<u> </u>	×××
<u>لد</u>	ļ			×		
	<b> </b>			×		
<u>A</u>	<b> </b>		<u></u>			·
 		×	······			
	<u>}</u>					
					<u> </u>	
	<u> </u>					
<	U D K D	<b>X D K K K</b>	< < < > > > >	22200	ບ່ວແບ່ວ	
			<u>)</u> ()))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))			
~ الم	NNNI	£3££3	93222	TITUT	Σ33Σ3 	ΣΣΣ
Scientific Name	Nycticorax nycticorax Nyctanassa violacea Ixobrychus exilis Botaurus lentiginosus	Order Anserformes Olor columbianus Branta canadensis Branta bernicla Anser albifrons Chen caerulescens	Anas platyrhynchos Anas rubripes Anas strepera Anas acuta Anas crecca	Anas discors Anas americana Anas clypeata Aix sponsa Aythya americana	Aythya collaris Aythya valisneria Aythya marila Bucephala clangula	Bucephala albeola Clangula hyemalis Melanitta declandi
Common Name	Black-crowned Night Heron Yellow-crowned Night Heron Least Bittern American Bittern	Whistling Swan Canada Goose Black Brant White-fronted Goose Snow Goose	Mallard Black Duck Gadwall Pintail Green-winged Teal	Blue-winged Teal American Widgeon Northern Shoveler Wood Duck Redhead	Ring-necked Duck Canvasback Greater Scaup Lesser Scaup Common Goldeneye	Bufflehead Oldsquaw White-winned Scoter

X-7

•

1

l

Birds of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

ے مع بیسر،

	Scientific Name	â	<				<u> </u>			Ľ	•					SBC,	SBC,
		2	<	د	~		2	<u>}</u>	2	•	-	<u>_</u>	> x			¥	£
American Scoter Ruddy Duck	<u>Oidemia nigra</u> Oxyura jamaicensis	ΣI	د بھ								××					3/1	
Hooded Merganser Common Merganser		X )	æ =											2	12/2		
community for a second se	Mergus serrator	Σ	2											•			
	Order Falconiformes																
	Cathartes aura	Ś	<b>ہ</b> د			× >			<u>×</u>	××			_			2/1	
biack vuiture Mississiodi kite	lctinia misisippiensis	n v	< <del>.</del>			<u> </u>		_		<							
Sharp-shinned Hawk	ter striatus	τι	<u>ہ</u>			<u>×</u>	×>		<u>×</u> >	×					55	1	
	Accipiter cooperit	^	) D				×		<			_			_		
Red-tailed Hawk		۵. ۵	ں د		<u> </u>	×	×	×	×	×>				37	37/4	12/3	2/1
Red-shouldered Hawk Broad-winned Hawk	Buteo Diatvoterus	r v	× œ	-					_×	<			<u>&lt;</u>	V			
Rough-legged Hawk	Buteo lagopus	3	æ			×	×					_			5		
	Haliaeetus leucocephalus	>	⊃			<u>×</u>				×	×	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	_			
	Cirus cyaneus	>	n				×			×			<u>~</u>		8/4		
		Σ	2							×	×	×					
Peregrine Falcon Merlin	Falco peregrinus Falco columbarius	ΣI	~ ~	×	-		×		×	×							
American Kestrel		: <b>a</b>	: ပ			××	×	×	×	×			<u></u>	<b>64</b>	64/3	7/4	1
	Order Galliformes	۵	Ā			×	×		×					65	65/4 1	113/4	21/4
			:														
	Rallus elegans	Ś										_				1	
	Rallus Timicola	Σ	) «								×		×			5	
	Porzana carolina	Σ	) D										<u>×</u>		• <del>_</del> _	2	
	Coturnicops novebora-	z	۵			··-			_								
Common Gallinule	Gallinula chloropus	: v	: )								×		× ×			1/1	

Birds of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

• ...

.

• _

<u>.</u>

* n.,ee

Common Name		Scientific Name	КР	×		s		• • •		>	L.		~	Σ	3	CBC	SBC, SBC,	SBC, FP
American Coot Fulica americana		ana	s		+	+	+	+	<b>_</b>	<u> </u>		×	×	1~	×		35/3	28/3
Semipalmated PloverOrder Charadrius hiaticulaKilldeerCharadrius vociferusKilldeerCharadrius dominicaAmerican Golden PloverPluvialus dominicaBlack-bellied PloverSquatarolaRuddy TurnstoneArenaria interpres	Order Charadriifo Charadrius hia Charadrius voc Pluvialus domi Squatarola squ Arenaria inter	i i formes hiat i cula vociferus dominica squatarola nterpres	INIII	<u> </u>	<b></b>		××	<u> </u>				×× ××		 	×× ××	4/2	25/4	7/3
	Philohela mino Capella gallin Bartramia long Actitis macula Tringa solitar	ia ia ia ia		32300			×		<u> </u>		×	× × ×	× ××		×× ××	2/1	3/1 1/1 25/4 7/3	15/4 4/4
WilletCatoptrophorus semipalmatusGreater YellowlegsSemipalmatus semipalmatusGreater YellowlegsTotanus melanoleucus flavipesLesser YellowlegsTotanus flavipes frolia melanotosPectoral SandpiperErolia melanotos frolia minutilla	<u>Catoptrophorus</u> semipalmatus Totanus melano Totanus flavipo Erolia melanoto Erolia minutil		ΣΣΣΣΣ	エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレンロン   エーレン   エー							× ××××	× ××××	× ××××			8/2 1/1 5/2	7/2	
White-rumped SandpiperErolia fuscicollisBaird's SandpiperErolia bairdiiBunlinErolia alpinaDunlinLimnodromus scolopLong-billed DowitcherLimnodromus griseu	fusci baird alpir omus	icollis di i scolopaceus griseus	<u> </u>	~~~~~ 	······		. <u> </u>					×××××	×××××	×××××			<u> </u>	
Stilt Sandpiper Semipalmated Sandpiper Western Sandpiper Buff-breasted Sandpiper Tryngites subruficollis	Micropalama hi Ereunetes pusi Tryngites subr	mantopus 11us 11is Uficollis	ΣΣΣΣ	⊃∪ <i>≪</i> ≪			×	×				×××	×××	×××			22	

'n

1

ł

1

ł

ŧ

8

Þ

.

A.,

il .....

{

Birds of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

r

.

1

.

}

ł

•

•

A

Common Name	Scientific Name	å	<	 s	<u>А</u> Ш	0	<u> </u>	>	<u>u</u>		۲	I	3	CBC	AC,	SBC, FP
Hudsonian Godwit Sanderling American Avocet Wilson's Phalarope Northern Phalarope	Limosa haemastica Crocethia alba Recurvirostra americana Steganopus tricolor Lobipes lobatus	IIIII	<b>~~~</b> ~~~~							×××××	×××××	×××				
Glaucous Gull Herring Gull Ring-billed Gull Bonaparte's Gull Franklin's Gull	Larus hyperboreus Larus argentatus Larus delawarensis Larus philadelphia Larus pipixcan	33322	<b>ĸぃ∢⊃</b> ĸ	 		××	·			×××××	×××××			212/2 524/3		
Forster's Tern Common Tern Least Tern Caspian Tern Black Tern	Sterna fosteri Sterna hirundo Sterna albifrons Hydroprogne caspia Chlidonias niger	ΣΣΣΣΣ	ວວແວບ	 		×				×××××	×××××		× ×		2	
Rock Dove Mourning Dove	Order Columbiformes Columba livia Zenaidura macroura	م م	<u> </u>	 	×× ××	<u> </u>		×	×				×	333/3 341/4	105/4 252/4	27/4 27/4
Yellow-billed Cuckoo Black-billed Cuckoo	Order Cuculiformes Coccyzus americanus Coccyzus erythropthalmus	s s	ပုန	 		<u> </u>		× ×	<u>××</u>						24/4	5/3
Barn Owl Screech Owl Great Horned Owl Barred Owl Long-eared Owl	<u>Order</u> Strigiformes <u>Tyto albá</u> <u>Otus asio</u> <u>Bubo virginianus</u> <u>Strix varia</u> <u>Asio otus</u>	<u></u>	ແດ⊃ດແ	 ^ 	×	× ×		× × ×	× × × ×				×		2/2	1/1

X-10

•

Birds of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

والم الم الم

•

1

1

•.

.....

Common Name	Scientific Name	RP /	<b>–</b>	ی د	ш	<	0	٩	Þ	<u>لد</u>			3	CBC	c SBC,		SBC, FP
Short-eared Owl	Asio flammeus	M	~				×										
Chuck-will's-widow Whip-poor-will Common Nighthawk	Order Caprimulgiformes Caprimulgus carolinensis Caprimulgus vociferous Chordeiles minor	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		×	×		×		××	××					30	1/1 1/1 30/3	22
Chimney Swift Ruby-throated Hummingbird	Order Apodiformes Chaetura pelagica Archilochus colubris	s s	<b>∢</b> ں		××	×	××		×	×					587/4 7/3	/4 3	301/4 3/2
	Order Coracilformes Megaceryle alcyon	ی د	<u>ు</u>								×		<u></u>	م م	9/4 3	3/3	17
Common Flicker Pileated Woodpecker Red-bellied Woodpecker Red-headed Woodpecker Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	Order Piciformes Colaptes auratus Dryocopus pileatus Centurus carolinus Melanerpes erythro- cephalus Sphyrapicus varius		<b>4 4 4 7</b>	×	×		× × ×		× × × ×	××× ×		·····		)66/4 7/2 96/4 84/4		84/4 8/3 78/4 77/4	20/4 1/1 9/4 10/4
Hairy Woodpecker Downy Woodpecker	Dendrocopos villosus Dendrocopos pubescens	<u> </u>	υv	× ×	× ×.	×	××		××	××				31/4	/4 4/3	/4	7/4
Eastern Kingbird Great Crested Flycatcher Eastern Phoebe Yellow-bellied Flycatcher Acadian Flycatcher	Order Passeriformes Tyrannus tyrannus Myiarchus crinitus Sayornis phoebe Empidonax flaviventris Empidonax virescens	ν χ ν χ ν ν χ ν χ ν	ບບ⊃ແບ				× × ×		××××	××××			×		28/4 85/4 8/3 2/1	8/4 5/4 8/3 2/1	4/2

-

Birds of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

-

Ŀ

.-

Common Name	Scientific Name	A A	×	۰، د	e s		0	<u>م</u>	>	٤.	بـ	œ	Σ	3	CBC	SBC, MC	SBC, FP
Traill's Flycatcher Least Flycatcher Eastern Wood Pewee Olive-sided Flycatcher Horned Lark	Empidonax traillii Empidonax minimus Contopus virens Nuttallornis borealis Eremophila alpestris	NINIT			×	×	××××		××	×××				×	317/3	5/3 20/3 28/4	2/4 4/2 8/3
Tree Swallów Bank Swallów Rough-winged Swallów Barn Swallów Cliff Swallów	lridoprocne bicolor Riparia riparia Stelgidopteryx ruficollis Hirundo rustica Petrochelidon pyrrhonota	Συννν	00040		<u>×× ××</u> 	<u> </u>	<u>×× ××</u>			×	××	××		×		97/3 12/1 34/4 199/4 2/1	84/2 8/1 14/1 44/3
Purple Martin Blue Jay Common Crow Fish Crow Black-capped Chickadee	Progne subis Cyanocitta cristata Corvus brachyrhynchos Corvus ossifragus Parus atricapillus	~~~~~~	U <b>4 4 4</b>		××× × ×× ×	×	××× ×		<u>×× ×</u>	××××	×	×			509/4 283/4 125/2	75/4 280/4 122/4 39/4	14/4 52/4 12/4 11/3
Carolina Chickadee Tufted Titmouse White-breasted Nuthatch Red-breasted Nuthatch Brown Creeper	Parus carolinensis Parus bicolor Sitta carolinensis Sitta canadensis Certhia familiaris	0003X	<<<>><		××××× ×		××		×××××	×××××					141/3 170/4 18/4 6/3 13/4	20/2 125/4 10/3 1/1	8/2 13/4 2/1 1/1
House Wren Winter Wren Bewick's Wren Carolina Wren Long-billed Marsh Wren	Troglodytes aedon Troglodytes troglodytes Thryomanes bewickii Thryothorus ludovicianus Telmatedytes palustris	NJNEN	ບແແ⊃⊃		×× ×		<u>×× ×</u>		<u> </u>	××××		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		×	1/1 1/1	90/4 43/4	24/4 7/2
Short-billed Marsh Wren Mockingbird	Cistothorus platensis Mimus polyglottos	νd	⊃ <		×	×			×	×				×	127/4 102/4	102/4	34/4

Table X-3 continued

Birds of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

.

SBC, FP	16/4 21/4 148/4 6/3 4/2	1/1 6/2	2/1	1/1	5/3	
RC, RC	79/4 79/4 1 584/4 1 40/4 55/4	3/3 20/4 8/3 9/3	18/2 2/1 1/1	31000 876/4 3 27/4 33/2 3/2 1/1	46/4 56/4 4/3 1/1	3/2
CBC	5/3 115/4	1	12/3 4/3 109/3	311000		
3		×	×	×	×	
I			×			
~				×	<u> </u>	
<u> </u>	×× ××	<u> </u>	<u>×× ×</u>	<u>× ×××</u>	×××××	
2	×× ××	<u> </u>	× × ×	× ×××	×××××	×
<u>A</u>						
	×××	×	<u> </u>	×××	×	×
<b>A</b>	× ×××	×		×		
u v	×		××	×× × ×	×	
A		⊃ບ⊻ບບ 	00205	<000%	02000	<u> </u>
Å	ννσνΣ		ΣΣΣΞΔ	<b>Ξ</b> υνννΣ	ν τ ν ν ν 	ν I
Scientific Name	Dumetella carolinensis Toxostoma rufum Turdus migratorius Hulocichla ustulata Hulocichla ustulata	Hulocichla guttata Hylocichla minima Hylocichla fuscescens Sialla sialis Polioptila caerulea	Regulus satrapa Regulus calendula Anthus spinoletta Bombycilla cedrorum Lanius ludovicianus	Sturnus vulgaris Vireo griseus Vireo bellii Vireo flavifrons Vireo solitarius	Vireo olivaceus Vireo philadelphicus Vireo gilvus Mniotilta varia Protonotaria citrea	Helmitheros vermivorus Vermivora chrysoptera
Common Name	Gray Catbird Brown Thrasher American Robin Wood Thrush Swainson's Thrush	Hermit Thrúsh Gray-cheecked Thrush Veery Eastern Bluebird Blue-gray Gnatcatcher	Golden-crowned Kinglet Ruby-crowned Kinglet Water Pipit Cedar Waxwing Loggerhead Shrike	Starling White-eyed Vireo Bell's Vireo Yellow-throated Vireo Solitary Vireo	Red-eyed Vireo Philadelphia Vireo Warbling Vireo Black-&-White Warbler Prothonotary Warbler	Worm-eating Warbler Golden-winged Warbler

Table X-3 continued

Birds of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

A.

<u>.</u>...

.

<u>.</u>

	0 5-7	55 3		55 5	m	<u>m-</u>
SBC, FP	1/1 15/4 5/2	1/1 2/1 42/4		3/1 1/1	15/3	10/3
SBC, MC	1/1 102/4 21/4 2/1	9/3 9/2 118/4 5/3	1/1 2/2 13/3 5/2	7/3 4/1 32/4 5/3	5/3 2/2 22/4	65/4 24/3
CBC		8/3				
3	×× ××	×	× ×	×	×× ×	×
I						
۲	×	- -	× ×		××	×
<u>ب</u>				<u> </u>		
<b>L</b>	××××	×××××	×××××	×× ××	×××	
<b>` &gt;</b>	××××	X	×× ××	×××××	<u> </u>	·
۵.						
0	××	×	×			<u>××</u>
×	×					
ш 		×				×
S		·				
c						
۲	ບແລດ	ບ⊐ແ∢⊃	22222	0x>v>		ပပ 
R P	LIN	VIIII	NINII	IIVIV	ΣυνΣΣ	s s
Scientific Name	Vermivora pinus Vermivora peregrina Vermivora celata Vermivora ruficapilla Parula americana	Dendroica petechia Dendroica magnolia Dendroica tigrina Dendroica coronata Dendroica virens	Dendroica cerulea Dendroica fusca Dendroica dominica Dendroica pensylvanica Dendroica castanea	Dendroica striata Dendroica pinus Dendroica discolor Dendroica palmarum Seiurus aurocapillus	Seiurus noveborancensis Seiurus motacilla Oporornis formosus Oporornis agilis Oporornis philadelphia	Geothlypis trichas Icteria virens
Common Name	Blue-winged Warbler Tennessee Warbler Orange-crowned Warbler Mashville Warbler Northern Parula	Yellow Warbler Magnolia Warbler Cape May Warbler Yellow-rumped Warbler Black-throated Green Warbler	Cerulean Warbler Blackburnian Warbler Yellow-throated Warbler Chestnut-sided Warbler Bay-breasted Warbler	Blackpoll Warbler Pine Warbler Prairie Warbler Palm Warbler Ovenbird	Northern Waterthrush Louisiana Waterthrush Kentucky Warbler Connecticut Warbler Mourning Warbler	Common Yellowthroat Yellow-breasted Chat

Birds of the Cahoki**a** Canal Drainage Area

ł

5

ê

ł

1

1

\$

X

1

1

Souther and the state of the second second

A.

Common Name	Scientific Name	å	۷	U	s	ш	0 •	<u>م</u>	>	٤.	-	œ	I	3	CBC	SBC, MC	SBC, FP
Hooded Warbler Wilson's Warbler Canada Warbler American Redstart House Sparrow	Wilsonia citrina Wilsonia pusilla Wilsonia canadensis Setophaga ruticilla Passer domesticus	EEENC	K J J J A		×	×	× × ×		× ××	× ××	×	××		××	4/6018	1/1 3/2 701/4	206/4
European Tree Sparrow Bobolink Eastern Meadowlark Western Meadowlark Yellow-headed Blackbird	Passer montanus Dolichonyx oryziyorus Sturnella magna Xanthocephalus xanthocephalus	ΔΧΔΣ Σ	CUKK K	······		<u>~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ </u>	×××× × 	××							300/4 81/4	24/3 215/3 148/4	20/3
Redwinged Blackbird Orchard Oriole Northern Oriole Rusty Blackbird Brewer's Blackbird	Agelaius phaeniceus Icterus spurius Icterus galbula Euphagus carolinus Euphagus cyanocephalus	TUNNE	A D C C K	×	×	<u>~</u> × × ×	××××× ×		×××	××××				× ×	1/1	29000 336/4 10/4 1/1 102/3	745/4 2/1 27/4
Common Grackle Brown-headed Cowbird Scarlet Tanager Summer Tanager Cardinal	Quiscalus quiscula Molothrus ater Piranga olivacea Richmondena cardinalis	<u> </u>	<b>44</b> 004	××	×× ×	<u>~~</u> ×××××	×× × ××	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	×××××				××	517/4 534/4	1234/4 121/4 13/4 4/3 4/3 4/3	425/4 18/4 5/3 60/4
Rose-breasted Grosbeak Blue Grosbeak Indigo Bunting Dickcissel Evening Grosbeak	Pheucticus ludovicianus Guiraca caerulea Passerina cyanea Spiza americana Hesperiphona vespertina	<u>ג</u> טטטא	⊃ K K ∩ K		×	^ 	× 		× ×	× ×			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			36/4 1/1 188/4 92/3	5/3 46/4 5/1
Purple Finch	<u>Carpodacus</u> purpureus	3	۰ ۱		×	×	×		×	×					12/3		

•

.

حجه

١.

8.

₹

2

ł

3

1

\$

1

4

I

d Birds of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

Table X-3 continued

SBC, FP	53/4 24/4			12/4 14/4	8/3 5/3 4/2	45/4
SBC, MC	-	2/1 25/3	2/1 3/2	4/44	149/4 92/4 3/2 8/3	161/4 151/4
CBC	2/2 4/191		ħ//811	217/4 52/4	66/4 69/4 18/4	161/4
3	×	×	×	×	××	
X				·····		
۲						
<b>ن</b> ے						
ـــا	×		× ×	××	××××	
>	. ×		××.	×	<u> </u>	
٩		×				
0	××	× × × ×	××	×	×	××
۲		××	×	×		××
ш	× × × × ×	×	××××	×××××	×	××
s	×		××	××		×
- U						
<	<b>∝∝∢</b> ∝⊃	$ \cup   \supset   \supset                           $	~>><~	<b>AORA</b>	<b>Y</b> UDDD	A N
<b>4</b>	33433	EIN IN	EENJJ	ZNINZ	33313	43
Scientific Name	Acanthus flammea Spinus pinus Spinus tristis Loxia curvirostra Loxia leucoptera	Pipilo erythrophthalmus Passerculus sandwich- ensis Ammodramus savannarum Passerherbulus henslowii Passerherbulus caudacutu	Ammospiza caudacuta Poaecetes gramineus Chondestes grammacus Junco hyemalis Junco oreganus	Spizella arborea Spizella passerina Spizella pallida Zonotrichia querula	Zonotrichia leucophrys Zonotrichia albicollis Passerella iliaca Melospiza georgiana	Melospiza melodia Calcarius lapponicus
Common Name	Common Redpoll Pine Siskin American Goldfinch Red Crossbill White-winged Crossbill	Rufous-sided Towhee Savannah Sparrow Grasshopper Sparrow Henslow's Sparrow LeConte's Sparrow	Sharp-tailed Sparrow Vesper Sparrow Lark Sparrow Dark-eyed Junco Oregon Junco	Tree Sparrow Chipping Sparrow Clay-colored Sparrow Field Sparrow Harris' Sparrow	White-crowned Sparrow White-throated Sparrow Fox Sparrow Lincoln's Sparrow Swamp Sparrow	Song Sparrow Labland Longspur

.

.

Birds of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

â

ł

ł

Ş

ł

ŧ

-

C

ł

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SBC, FP	
SBC, MC	
CBC	
3	
I	
~	
LL.	
>	
٩	
0	×
<	×
ш	×
S	
U	
<	۵
ď	Σ
Scientific Name	Calcarius pictus
	Snith's Longspur

.

1

:

an a an a chuir a chui

Table X-4

Mammals of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

.

1

ł

ł

ł

ł

Į

\$

1

l t

Ľ

t:

•

à.

-A

• •••

Evidence [‡]	5	0 Q D	- E - J -	X I U	Ś
Wetlands & Marshes			×		
Particles & Sandbars				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Rivers & Streams					
Lakes & Ponds					
Flood Plain Forest	×	×	× ×××	×××××	
Upland Forest	×	×	× ×××	×××××	
Priaries		×			×
Cld Field	×	×××	×	×	×
Agricultural			×		×
Exurban	×	××	× ×	××××	×
Suburban	×	×	× ×	××× ×	×
City				×	
*sonsbrudA	٩	5 A C	< ~ > ~ >	00050	<u>ح</u>
Scientific Name	<u>Order</u> Marsupialia Didelphis marsupialis	Order Insectivora Cryptotis parva Blarina brevicauda Scalopus aquaticus	Order Chiroptera Myotis lucifugus Myotis grisescens Myotis keenii Myotis sodalis Lasionycteris noctivagans	Pipistrellus subflavus Eptesicus fuscus Lasiurus borealis Lasiurus cinereus Nycticeius humeralis	Order Lagomorpha Sylvilagus floridanus
Common Name	0possum	Least Shrew Least Shrew Short-tailed Shrew Eastern Mole	Little Brown Myotis Gray Myotis Keen's Myotis Indiana Myotis Silver-haired Bat	Eastern Pipistrel Big Brown Bat Red Bat Hoary Bat Evening Bat	Cottontail

• •

- 94

....

*See text for symbols

x-18

Mammals of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

ł

ð

\$

ł

Ş

ł

1

1

E.

and the second

Common Name	Scientific Name	۲	ပ	S	ш.	<		 	لغہ 		~	Σ	>	ш
Woodchuck Eastern Chipmunk Gray Squirrel Fox Squirrel Southern Flying Squirrel	Order Rodentia Marmota monax Tamias striatus Sciurus carolinensis Sciurus niger Glaucomys volans				×	×	×		× ××× ×××××					X 20 A A
Plains Pocket Gopher Beaver Western Harvest Mouse Deer Mouse White-footed Mouse	Geomys bursarius Castor canadensis Reithrodontomys megalotis Peromyscus maniculatus Peromyscus leucopus	⊃⊃ଝט∢		×	××	×	× ×××	^	×	×	×			- K C 4 - - 4
Southern Bog Lemming Prairie Vole Pine Vole Muskrat Norway Rat	Synaptomys cooperi Microtus ochrogaster Pitymys pinetorum Ondatra zibethicus Rattus norvegicus	× < > ∪ <	×	××	××	×	× ×	^	×	×	×		× ×	38r
House Mouse Meadow Jumping Mouse	Mus musculus Zapus hudsonius	< %	×	×	×		×				×		·	73 73
Coyote Red Fox Gray Fox Raccoon Long-tailed Weasel	Order Carnivora Canis latrans Vulpes fulva Urocyon cinereoargenteus Procyon lotor Mustelà frenata	¥>>02			×		×× ×		<u> </u>					L & > > L
Mink Striped Skunk River Otter	Mustela vison Mephitis mephitis Lutra canadensis	⊃∢∝			×		×		 ×	<u>×</u>	× ×			ro3

•

.

Mammals of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area

Ş

2

2

1

2

1

C

. . .

C

لیا ۲	<b>ب</b>	~						
			 			<del></del>		
 			 		<u>-</u>	_	 	
iد.	×	×	 					•
Þ	×	×						
٩				•				
0		×	 				 	
<	L	,	 				 	
<b>لیا</b>			 	<u></u>			 	-
S .	<b></b>		 				 	
ပ 								
۲	~	>	 					
Scientific Name	Lynx rufus	Order Artiodectyla Odocoileus virginianus				. <u></u>	 	
Common Name	Bobcat	White-tailed Deer					·	

a slash are given when a species was reported. The first number is the number of individual birds reported as observed during the four census years and the second number is the number of years, of the four, during which the species was reported. Again, abundance cannot be taken directly from these data without considering the seasonal occurrence of the species and the habits of the species as it affects observability.

The information regarding which habitats each species might be expected in are taken from a variety of sources including SIUE collection records, personal observations, observations of others, and literature sources concerning general habitat preferences.

Table X-3 for birds also contains information regarding the season of residence for each species. The symbols used are:

- P = Permanent, all year
- S = Summer resident
- W = Winter resident

C

C

M = Migratory or transient

The most common pattern is indicated in those cases where more than one category is applicable.

Since only one turtle is in the S. JE collection, a sample was taken in Horseshoe Lake and released after identification. It consisted of ten Snapping Turtles, two Painted Turtles, twenty-two Redeared Turtles, and three Spring Softshells.

There are no nesting rookeries within the study area. Colonies of bank swallows commonly nest in the bluffs along the western edge of the uplands.

A number of species of vertebrates are quite common in the area.

The most obvious concentration is a mixed flock of birds which roosts between Unites States Highway 40 and Horseshoe Lake during the winter. This flock contains up to four million birds with Starlings, Red-winged Blackbirds, and Common Grackles being the main species present. This flock or portions of it causes some nuisance around residential areas both from droppings and early morning noise. The majority of the flock feeds in agricultural fields to the east, a large number of them well outside of the project area.

Ľ

1

£

Most species of birds which are common in the project area are species which can tolerate fairly close asociation with people either in suburban areas or agricultural fields. A number of them live primarily in forest edge habitats where agricultural activity has not completely cleared the land. Additional clearing of remaining patches of woods would reduce that group.

In addition, a number of aquatic habitat related species are fairly common in the project area. This reflects the presence of wetland and open water in the area. These species will be reduced if water management programs reduce the available habitat for them.

A number of migratory birds also use this habitat as they migrate along the Mississippi River flyway. Many of them are not common but they add significantly to the diversity of birds which can be observed here. Some of these migratory species rarely stop locally anymore because of reduction of wetland habitat and suburban encroachment on the wetlands. Project actions which increase wetlands and adjacent semi-wild areas would reduce this trend and actions which reduce wetlands and adjacent semi-wild areas would increase this trend.

One species, beaver, could be a potential problem to possible projects. Beaver activity has been observed in the north, south, and center of the project area. Construction of beaver dams or holes in banks of canals could interfere with proper functioning of ditches.

X.

f.

Ľ
#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

Anderson, R.A., Bauer, P.E. 1968. <u>A Guide to Finding Birds in the</u> <u>St. Louis Area</u>, Webster Groves Nature Study Society.

Anonymous. 1975. Collinsville, 111. in The seventy-fifth Audubon Christmas Bird Count. <u>American Birds</u>. 29(2): 410-411.

. 1976. Collinsville, Ill. in The seventy-sixth Audubon Christmas Bird Count. American Birds. 30(2): 429.

. 1977. Collinsville, 111. in The seventy-seventh Audubon Christmas Bird Count. American Birds. 31(4): 682.

______. 1978. Collinsville, Ill. in The seventy-eighth Audubon Christmas Bird Count. <u>American Birds</u>. 32(4): 689.

Axtell, C. 1974. Ecological significance of lactate dehydrogenase variants in the <u>Rana pipeins</u> species complex. Dissertation. Washington Univ. St. Louis, MO.

A STATE OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTIO

£

í.

- Burt, W.H., Grossenheider, R.P. 1952. <u>A Field Guide to the Mammals</u>, The Riverside Press.
- Conant, R. 1958. <u>A Field Guide to the Reptiles and Amphibians</u>, The Riverside Press.

Cory, C.B. 1909. <u>The Birds of Illinois and Wisconsin</u>, Field Museum of Natural History, Zool. Ser. Vol. 1X, Pub. 131.

. 1912. <u>The Mammals of Illinois and Wisconsin</u>, Field Museum of Natural History, Zool. Ser. Vol. XI, Pub. 153.

Hoffmeister, D.F., Mohr, C.O. 1957. <u>Fieldbook of Illinois Mammals</u>, Natural History Survey Division, Urbana, III.

McCall, J.N. 1979. Personal communications regarding local bird records of the Audubon Society.

- Nichols, B. 1979. Personal communications regarding turtles in Horseshoe Lake.
- Peterson, R.T. 1947. <u>A Field Guide to the Birds</u>, Houghton Mifflin Co.

Ridgway, R. 1889. The Ornithology of Illinois, State Lab. Nat. Hist. Part I, Vol. 1.

. 1895. The Ornithology of Illinois, State Lab. Nat. Hist. Part I, Vol. II. Schantz, O.M. 1928. <u>Birds of Illinois</u>, State of Illinois, Dept. of Conservation.

.

A ....

\$

i

;

ł

1

1

Ľ

• •

Schwartz, C.W., Schwartz, E.R. 1959. <u>The Wild Mammals of Missouri</u>. University of Missouri Press.

Smith, R.U., Parmalee, P.W. 1955. A Distributional Checklist of Birds of Illinois. Popular Science, Ser. Vol. IV, Illinois State Museum.

Smith, P.W. 1961. The Amphibians and Reptiles of Illinois, Illinois Natural History Survey, Bull. Vol. 28, Art. 1.

# SECTION XI BIOLOGICAL ELEMENTS GAME ANIMALS

____

PREPARED BY

WILLIAM KLIMSTRA, PH. D.

ļ

#### INTRODUCTION

3

The habitat of the study area is of a highly disturbed nature, containing both intense agricultural and urban land uses. Aerial photograph analysis of the area showed that approximately forty-five percent is agricultural, thirty-six percent is developed, fifteen percent is forest, old field or shrub areas, and four percent is water areas (not including streams and drainage channels). Interspersion indices, relative indicators of the quantity of edge and therefore the quality of habitat (Baxter & Wolf, 1972) were determined from aerial photographs for each section of the study area. An average index of nine per section with a range of zero to twenty-one was calculated for the entire area.

The southwest part of the study area is the most intensely urbanized portion and yielded interspersion indices of zero to two. The floodplain as a whole had an index of seven and four tenths. With the exceptions of Horseshoe and McDonough Lakes and adjacent wooded lowland, the non-urbanized floodplain is intensely cultivated. Greater variety in land use types is found on the upland to the east; an average index of eleven and six tenths was found here. Extensive development has taken place on the upland, but it is generally less intensive -- suburban, interspersed with wooded hillsides and cropland.

The range of indices in the floodplain was zero to sixteen; in the upland, one to twenty-one. The better quality habitat of the study area includes the two lakes and surrounding woods, the junction of the floodplain and upland and much of the upland itself.

#### GAME MAMMALS

Ž

>

E

Mammals hunted in Madison County, the southwest corner of which contains most of the study area, include eastern cottontails, raccoons, fox and gray squirrels, red and gray foxes, woodchucks, and white-tailed deer. Furbearers trapped include foxes, raccoons, muskrats, opossums, mink, beaver, striped skunk, and long-tailed weasel. Harvest data were available for the county only; the size and intense development of the study area in relation to the county as a whole imply that actual numbers for the study area may be lower than one fourth of that of the county. Cottontail Rabbit

Eastern cottontails were hunted by more resident Illinois hunters than any other small game species from 1956 to 1969; Madison County contained the greatest hunting effort for this species in the state (Preno and Labisky, 1971). During 1970 to 1972, an average of 44,400 rabbits were harvested in this county (Ellis, 1974, in Terpening, <u>et al.</u>, 1975).

The cottontail rabbit is common in brushy or weedy fields, thickets, along fencerows and margins of woodlots, forest edges and dry bottomlands. Rabbits will forage in intensely cultivated fields near permanent cover (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). This species is present throughout most of the study area, being abundant in old fields, suburban areas, and agricultural situations where cover, such as a hedgerow or woodlot (both rare in the floodplain), are present. Cottontails are generally absent from highly developed industrial areas, infrequent visitors in residential areas, and generally excluded from intensely cultivated areas because of

XI-2

## the lack of adjacent cover. Fox and Gray Squirrels

Fox and gray squirrels are the second most intensively hunted species in Illinois with Madison County being the fifth most heavily hunted county in the state (Preno and Labisky, 1971). From 1970 to 1972, an average of 44,700 squirrels were harvested in Madison County (Ellis, 1974, in Terpening, <u>et al.</u>, 1975); ninety percent of those taken in the county during 1956 and 1957 were fox squirrels (Preno and Labisky, 1971).

Fox squirrels prefer woods with openings and will utilize woodlots of only a few acres (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). The gray squirrel has more narrow preferences, requiring more mature and extensive stands of *timber* (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). Both species are found in urban areas containing shade trees, but are rarely found together (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). Although gray squirrels may be abundant in floodplain forests (Goff, 1952), the highly disturbed nature of the study area results in this species being essentially absent from the bottomland; some may occur in the upland woods. The fox squirrel occurs throughout those portions of the study area, rural and urban, that contain den and mast-producing trees.

#### Woodchuck

£

Ľ

Although woodchucks are hunted in Illinois, no harvest data are available. This species prefers rolling, well-drained land, but its habitats range from open country to wooded river bluffs and heavy woods (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). Comparatively high populations occur in river bluffs and bottoms (Brown and Yeager, 1943); and, despite the

XI-3

disturbed nature of the study area, this species may be found throughout the agricultural areas, especially along vegetated highway and railroad rights-of-way and levees. When soybeans are grown, woodchucks become serious pests.

## Red and Gray Foxes

ĸ

Ľ

Ľ

Red and gray foxes are both hunted and trapped in Illinois. In Madison County, from 1967 to 1972, an average of 421 were harvested by hunters and 132 were trapped (Ellis, 1974, in Terpening, <u>et al.</u>, 1975). The fur value at 1973 prices (now greatly escalated) for those trapped was estimated at \$2,603. No distinction was made between the two species in the harvest data; however, the majority were probably red foxes.

The red fox has wide habitat preferences but is abundant in mixed rolling country of fields, meadows, and semi-open woodlands (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). Gray foxes prefer forests, river bottoms, and bluffs and are most common in heavily wooded areas (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). This species is also less tolerant of man and cultivation than the red fox (Brown and Yeager, 1943). Red foxes probably occur throughout the wooded and agricultural portions of the study area, but are most numerous in the more diverse areas such as near the two lakes, along the floodplain-upland border, and in the upland. The gray fox is probably rare and most likely would occur in the wooded hillsides of the upland. Raccoon

From 1963 to 1972, an annual average of 5,492 raccoons were harvested, ranking third after rabbits and squirrels in the number taken by hunters in Madison County (Ellis, 1974, Terpening, et al., 1975).

Also, in 1973, 455 raccoons were trapped in Madison County at a 1973 value (now greatly escalated) of \$3,350 (Terpening, <u>et al.</u>, 1975). Raccoons are usually second or third (after muskrats and sometimes opossums) in the yearly Illinois fur catch (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957).

Preferring wooded areas and edges, raccoons are most abundant in wooded river bottoms and less so in wooded uplands; water areas without tree cover are less desirable as habitat (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). Raccoons will thrive in suburban situations if adequate water, food, and den sites are available (Hoffman and Gottschang, 1977). This species is probably common in the wooded, low and medium density suburbs, around the two lakes in the study area, and especially, in the upland, in the wooded hillsides.

#### Muskrat

The muskrat is the most important furbearer in Illinois (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). During 1973, 5,257 were trapped in Madison County, ten times the number of the second most commonly trapped species, raccoons (Terpening, <u>et al.</u>, 1975). The total value of these pelts was estimated at \$13,330.

The muskrat is an animal exclusively of the floodplain (Goff, 1952) living along or in rivers, streams, marshes, lakes, and ponds (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). Stable water levels and abundant aquatic and emergent vegetation represent ideal habitat conditions (Brown and Yeager, 1943). Drainage ditches provide suitable habitat although extreme fluctuations in water levels can be detrimental (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). This species is well represented along the shores and in marshes associated

with Horseshoe and McDonough Lakes, and in the drainage channels where suitable conditions occur.

#### Opossum

Ľ

K

r.

The opossum, in numbers, is an important furbearer in Illinois, despite the comparatively low value of its pelt. During 1973 in Madison County, 389 were harvested at a value of \$490, ranking third after the muskrat and raccoon in numbers trapped (Terpening, <u>et al.</u>, 1975). This species has a wide habitat tolerance; river bluffs and bottoms with forest cover, bluffs and small irregular fields in the valleys and on the slopes constitute ideal habitat (Brown and Yeager, 1943). Opossums, like raccoons, will inhabit suburban areas (Hoffman and Gottschang, 1977); this species probably occurs in the well vegetated suburban areas, the woods adjacent to the two lakes and near or in the wooded uplands of the study area.

## Mink

The mink is one of the most highly valued furbearers in Illinois (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). During 1973, 201 were trapped in Madison County at a value of \$2,182 (Terpening, <u>et al.</u>, 1975). This species is found along or near the shores of lakes or the banks of streams and ditches (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). Minks will use any kind of cover near water including shorelines of streams, lakes, ponds, marshes, small runs and ravines, drifts, windfalls, brush, timberland, and slashings (Brown and Yeager, 1943). Portions of the shoreline of the two lakes and the wooded streams within the upland part of the study area are the only available habitat for this species.

XI-6

### Beavers

2

2

£

£

C

Beavers, extirpated in Illinois early this century, were successfully reintroduced in 1935 (Brown and Yeager, 1943). During 1973, seventy-five were trapped in Madison County at a value of \$236 (Terpening, <u>et al.</u>, 1975). This species needs continuous water, such as a stream or lake, with adequate quantity and quality food trees nearby (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). Some habitat for this species may be provided by Horseshoe and McDonough Lakes. It is probably rare in the study area. Striped Skunk

Striped skunk, despite low fur values, are trapped in Madison County. During 1973, sixty were harvested at a value of \$113 (Terpening, <u>et al.</u>, 1975). This species has broad habitat tolerances and can be found at the forest edge, along fencerows, near grassy meadows or in brush areas, but it tends never to be far from water, such as a drainage ditch or stream (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). Largest numbers occur in rolling or bluff country (Brown and Yeager, 1943). This species probably occurs around farmsteads and hedgerows of the floodplain but being somewhat more abundant in the broken woods of the upland.

#### Long-tailed Weasel

Long-tailed weasels were trapped in Madison County during 1973, but only nineteen were harvested at a value of nineteen dollars (Terpening, <u>et al.</u>, 1975). This species occurs in brushland, shrubby fencerows, haystacks, brushpiles, and around farm buildings (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957). Primarily an upland species, long-tailed weasels will frequent steeper hillsides and farmed or pastured areas in river bottoms and bluffs

(Brown and Yeager, 1943). The species is probably rare is the bottomlands part of the study area, occurring only around farm houses; the wooded hillsides of the upland probably contain a few more. White-tailed Deer

The only big game animal in Illinois is the white-tailed deer. This species was practically eliminated from the state by the turn of the century; restocking efforts during the 1930s resulted in the return of deer to all of Illinois (Calhoun and Loomis, 1974). Although found in a wide variety of habitats, deer prefer woods and thickets that alternate with open fields (Hoffmeister and Mohr, 1957).

Small numbers of deer have been harvested annually since 1966 from Madison County (Calhoun and Loomis, 1974). In 1977, an unofficial tally of forty-seven deer were reported for the county (Anonymous, 1978). There would be limited occurrence of this species in the wooded areas around the two lakes, and along and in the upland areas but probably not within the suburbs.

## Other

1

Other furbearers that previously may have occurred in the study area but were subsequently excluded for various reasons include the bobcat, cougar, river otter, and black bear.

## UPLAND GAME BIRDS

The major species of upland game birds which may occur in the study area are mourning doves, bobwhite quail, and American woodcock. Madison County lies outside the edge of the ring-necked pheasant range in Illinois although occasionally individuals of this species are taken there

(Preno and Labisky, 1971). However, these probably do not reflect any aspect of natural reproduction.

## Mourning Doves

The second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se

X

Ľ

E

C

During 1956 to 1969, Madison County led the state in both numbers of mourning doves harvested and number of hunter trips (Preno and Labisky, 1971). From 1970 to 1972, an average of 75,800 per year were taken (Ellis, 1974, in Terpening, <u>et al</u>., 1975). This species prefers open country with scattered woody plants (Edminster, 1954) and it feeds in edge shrubs, hedgerows, sweet clover fields, prairie or ungrazed grasslands, orchards, marshes, urban residential areas, and fallow fields (Graber and Graber, 1963). Within the study area, this species commonly nests in wooded residential areas and farmsteads, and woodlot edges. Because it regularly feeds on waste grains and weed seeds, cultivated fields within the area will be extensively used during fall and early winter. Bobwhite Quail

In Madison County, during 1970 and 1972, an annual average of 46,900 bobwhite quail was harvested (Ellis, 1974, in Terpening, <u>et al.</u>, 1975). This county led the state in the number of hunter trips for this species during 1956 to 1969 (Preno and Labisky, 1971). The bobwhite may . locally abundant where there is a combination of agricultural and forest lands (Preno and Labisky, 1971), and it also occurs in shrub areas, orchards, hayfields, ungrazed grasslands, and pasture (Graber and Graber, 1963). The interspersion index (Baxter and Wolf, 1972) was originally devised to evaluate bobwhite habitat and the low indices found for most of the study area indicate poor habitat for bobwhite. This species is absent from intensely farmed or developed areas but it may be found where

cultivated land, woodland, old field and/or vegetated rights-of-way intersect. This species is, therefore, probably more abundant adjacent to and in the uplands.

## American Woodcock

X

X

Ľ

t:

٢.

From 1970 to 1972, 939 American woodcocks were taken in Madison County (Ellis, 1974, in Terpening, <u>et al.</u>, 1975). This is a species of moist woodlands, swamps and thickets (Robbins, <u>et al.</u>, 1966). It uses open brushy or wooded areas for nesting, moist soft ground for foraging, and is usually found near streams and in moist woods during late summer and migration (Edminster, 1954). The only adequate habitat for this species in the study area is the moist woods adjacent to Horseshoe and McDonough Lakes, and perhaps in the lower parts of the hillsides of the upland portion.

#### **Others**

Other upland game species within whose range the study area falls include common snipe, common gallinule (considered threatened in Illinois), sora, and Virginia rail. These species may utilize shallow waters and the shores of the two lakes. Although within the range of the wild turkey, this species is not believed to occur in the study area.

#### WATERFOWL

The study area lies within the Mississippi Flyway, with an estimated 12,275,000 ducks utilizing it each fall from 1960 to 1966 (excluding blue-winged teal). Also recorded were an estimated 475,000 Canada geese and 400,000 to 450,000 lesser snow geese (Bellrose, 1968).

Waterfowl hunting occurs on Horseshoe Lake and totals of 804

hunter-days in 1974 and 839 in 1975 were expended at the private hunting club (Houk, 1976). Numbers and species of waterfowl harvested during these years appear in Tables XI-1 and XI-2.

The only suitable habitats for waterfowl are Horseshoe and McDonough Lakes, however smaller ponds throughout the area may be utilized, especially by the dabblers. Mallards and wood ducks may breed at these sites while other species will utilize these areas during migration and sometimes winter.

## Dabbling Duck

2

2

1

2

£.

1

Of the dabbling ducks that utilize this flyway, the mallard is most abundant followed by pintail, American widgeon, green-winged teal, gadwall, and shoveler (Bellrose, 1968). However, the blue-winged teal, black duck, and wood duck are regularly recorded. Mallards constituted twenty-six and four tenths percent of the ducks harvested at Horseshoe Lake during 1974 and 1975 (as can be seen from Table XI-1).

Dabbling ducks usually are found on shallow water, such as marshes, sloughs, and ponds. Teal prefer feeding on mudflats, while mallards, widgeons, black ducks, and wood ducks will utilize cultivated fields (Bellrose, 1976). Mallards, gadwalls, widgeons, black ducks, and wood ducks may winter along the Mississippi River in and around the study area. Wood ducks will breed in floodplain and upland woods within a mile of water (Bellrose, 1976). The study area lies within the breeding range of this species.

#### Diving Duck

Of the diving ducks, lesser scaups are the most abundant (Bellrose,

XI-11

Species	Number Harvested During 1974		Number Harvested		Two-Year Tota	a Ì
			During 1975		of Ducks	
	Season	Percent	Season	Percent		Percen
Lesser Scaup	553	45.8	523	35.1	1076	39.9
Mallard	334	27.7	377	25.2	711	26.4
Ring-necked						
Duck	98	8.1	111	7.4	209	7.8
Redhead	54	4.4	98	6.6	152	5.6
Green-winged						
Teal	20	1.6	78	5.2	98	3.6
Ruddy Duck	33	2.7	38	2.6	771	2.6
Gadwall	5	.4	54	3.6	59	2.2
Bufflehead	20	1.6	33	2.2	53	2.0
Blue-winged						
Teal	26	2.1	24	1.6	50	1.9
Pintail	17	1.4	32	2.1	49	1.8
Widgeon	4	.3	40	2.7	44	1.6
Wood Duck	12	.9	23	1.5	35	1.5
Shoveler	8	.6	12	.8	20	.7
Black Duck	3	.2	11	.7	14	.6
Hooded						
Merganser	6	.5	6	. 4	12	. 4
Goldeneye	5	.4	7	.4	12	. 4
American Scoter	2	.1	8	.5	10	.4
American						
Merganser	2		7	.4	9	.3
Red-breasted						
Merganser	1	.1	2	.1	3	.1
White-winged						
Scoter	3	.2	0	.0	3	.1
	0	.0	3 3	.2	3	.1
Greater Scaup	0	.0	3	. 2	3	.1
Surf Scoter	0	.0	2	1	2	<del></del>
TOTAL	1,206	99.	1,492	99.6	2,698	100.0

Species Composition of the Duck Harvest at Horseshoe Lake During the 1971 and 1975 Waterfowl Seasons*

Table XI-1

ì

.

· · · · · ·

بەر 😁 <u>مېرىمىيە تر</u>

A.

2

2

1

Į

٢

1.

Ľ

بالمحمد المناطعة المراجع

Network Street Street

*From Houk (1976).

## Table XI-2

	Number Harvested During 1974	Number Harvested During 1975	Two-Year Total of Geese	
Species	Season	Season	Harvested Perc	
Common Canada Goose	6	14	20	39.2
Richardson's Goose (subspecies of Canada goose)	5	7	12	23.5
•	,	•		
White-fronted Goose	· 1	9	10	19.6
Blue/Snow Goose	3	4	7	13.7
Black Brant	_2	_0_	_2	3.9
TOTAL	17	34	51	99.9

Species Composition of the Goose Harvest at Horseshoe Lake During the 1974 and 1975 Waterfowl Seasons*

اي ويسيرين اي ويسيري

•

A

1

ì

ł

5

3

\$

-

1

1

*From Houk (1976).





1968). They accounted for forty percent of the ducks harvested at Horseshoe Lake during 1974 and 1975 (as presented in Table XI-1). Other diving ducks included the ring-necked, redhead, canvasback, common goldeneye, blufflehead, ruddy, and great scaup. Divers usually feed in deeper water although ring-necked and redhead prefer shallow marshes, sloughs and ponds. All of these species may be found wintering along the Mississippi Flyway and within the vicinity of the study area.

## Scoters and Oldsquaw

The American, white-winged and surf scoters and oldsquaw were sparsely represented in harvest at Horseshoe Lake during 1974 and/or 1975; most are uncommon in the study area.

#### Mergansers

L

E

£

Common, red-breasted and hooded mergansers migrate through the study area and all may winter in the vicinity. The study area is within the breeding range of the hooded merganser, but this species is very sensitive to both water quality and disturbance by man (Bellrose, 1976) and probably does not breed there. American coots may breed and do migrate through the study area, perhaps utilizing the two lakes.

#### Geese

During 1974 and 1975, sixty-three percent of the geese harvested at Horseshoe Lake were Canada geese (as presented in Table X1-2). This species and lesser snow geese use this flyway as a major migration corridor. Both species may on occasion feed on waste grain in cultivated fields, particularly in the vicinity of the two lakes in the study area. Whitefronted geese and more rarely black brant did occur at Horseshoe Lake during 1974 and/or 1975.

XI-14

## IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY AREA FOR HUNTING

X

Preno and Labisky (1971) noted from 1956 to 1969 the greatest relative hunting pressure for upland game (squirrels, cottontails, mourning doves, and pheasants) in Illinois was exerted in counties containing or surrounding metropolitan areas. Madison County, which contains the study area, ranked second in the state in the number of hunter-trips expended there, yielding 1,253,000 hunter-trips annually for 1956 to 1969. This county ranked fifth in the state in the number of animals harvested per 1,000 acres (Preno and Labisky, 1971).

The extensive development (at least thirty-six percent) of the study area severely reduces the amount of land available for hunting, while the intensive cultivation (forty-five percent), especially in the floodplain, severely reduces the quality of the huntable land. Most of the habitat variety that exists in the floodplain is a result of development encroaching upon the cultivated land. This is of some advantage to certain wildlife species, but does not provide for additional hunting opportunities where they are most needed, namely, adjacent to concentrated urban centers.

XI-15

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anonymous. 1978. Deer hunters take record 18,672 deer. Outdoor Highlights Illinois Department of Conservation 6(36):2.
- Baxter, W.S. and C.W. Wolfe. 1972. The interspersion index as a technique for evaluation of bobwhite quail habitat. Nebraska Game and Park Commission. 11 pp.
- Bellrose, F.C. 1968. Waterfowl migration corridors east of the Rocky Mountains in the United States. Illinois Nat. Hist. Surv. Biol. Notes 61. 24 pp.
- _____. 1976. Ducks, geese, and swans of North America. Stackpole books, Harrisburg, PA. 544 pp.
- Brown, L.G., and L.E. Yeager. 1943. Survey of the Illinois fur resource. Illinois Nat. Hist. Surv. Bull. 22(6): 436-504.

¥

Ľ

f.

- Calhoun, J., and F. Loomis. 1974. Prairie whitetails. Ilinois Department of Conservation, Springfield, Illinois. 48 pp.
- Edminster, F.C. 1954. American game birds. Charles Scribner's Sons, New York. 490 pp.
- Goff, C.C. 1952. Flood-plain animal communities. Am. Midl. Nat. 47(2): 478-486.
- Graber, R.R., and J.W. Graber. 1963. A comparitive study of bird populations in Illinois, 1906–1909 and 1956–1958. Illinois Nat. Hist. Surv. Bull. 28(3): 332–528.
- Houk, J.E. 1976. Hunter use and waterfowl harvest at Horseshoe Lake, Madison County, Illinois during the 1975 season. Illinois Dept. of Conservation Management Note No. 1. 7 pp.
- Hoffman, C.O., and J.L. Gottschang. 1977. Numbers, distribution, and movements of a raccoon population in a suburban residential community. J. of Mam. 58(4):623-636.
- Hoffmeister, D.F., and C.O. Mohr. 1957. Fieldbook of Illinois mammals. Illinois Nat. Hist. Surv. Mammal 4. 233 pp.
- Mohr, C.O. 1943. Illinois furbearer distribution and income. Illinois Nat. Hist. Surv. Bull. 22(7):505-537.
- Preno, W.L. and R.F. Labisky. 1971. Abundance and harvest of doves, pheasants, bobwhites, squirrels, and cottontails in Illinois, 1956-1969. Illinois Department of Conservation Tech. Bull. No. 4. 76 pp.

Robbins, C.S., B. Gruun, and H.S. Zim. 1966. A field guide to identification: Birds of North America. Golden Press, New York. 340 pp.

Ľ

Ľ

t

K

£

Terpening, V.A., J.R. Nawrot, M J. Sweet, and D.L. Damrau. 1975. Environmental inventory and assessment of navigation pools 24, 25, and 26, upper Mississippi and lower Illinois River; Floodplain animals and their habitats. Vicksburg, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station. 190 pp. + appendices.



## PESTIFEROUS PLANTS

Several mildly pestiferous plants were observed. Species which attach to clothing and animals included two species of beggarticks, cocklebur, bedstraw, and tick trefoil. Species which are prickly included greenbriar, multiflora rose, and brambles. Thorny tree species included two species of hawthorns and honeylocust. Species which cause blisters or skin inflammations included poison ivy (numerous) and two species of nettles (numerous). Poison ivy and nettles are quite common to sandy bottomland soils and are to be expected throughout the study area.

## PESTIFEROUS ANIMALS

According to the Public Health Study Team of the Environmental Studies Board (National Research Council, 1976) mosquitoes are by far the most important arthropods subject to control for general health reasons in the United States. Mosquitoes are a perennial problem in the American Bottoms because of spring flooding and because of the long, wet summers of the region. Drainage, as through the Cahokia Creek system, and mosquito control efforts by most communities in the area serve to reduce the problem.

Of fifty-seven different species of mosquitoes that have been taken in Illinois according to Ross and Horsfall (1965), forty-seven are likely to occur in the Cahokia Creek Drainage Area. An additional few species previously reported for Missouri by Smith and Enns (1968) may occur in the area. Of a potential fifty species which may be found in the area, only seven species are a major nuisance to people.

£

Ľ

C

XII-1

These are as foilows: <u>Aedes sticticus</u>, <u>Aedes vexans</u>, <u>Anopheles puncti-</u> <u>pennis</u>, <u>Anopheles quadrimaculatus</u>, <u>Culex erraticus</u>, <u>Culex pipiens</u>, <u>Culex salinarius</u>.

<u>Aedes vexans</u> is undoubtedly the major pestiferous species in the area because of its abundance and because it is one of the fiercest day biters of people. It is important, however, only as a nuisance since it is not an important disease vector for people. Several mosquitoes can act as vectors for disease in this area, specifically for viral encephalitis and malaria.

While endemic malaria was once common to the Mississippi Valley, including this area, it has now been several decades since it has occurred in this form. Since, however, malaria is constantly being introduced into the United States (including Illinois and Missouri) from foreign countries where it is endemic, and since five species of <u>Anopheles</u> mosquitoes including two common nuisance species occur locally, the potential exists for malaria to become endemic once again in the area. In 1978, according to the Center for Disease Control (1979), fourteen cases of malaria were reported in Illinois, ten cases in Missouri.

St. Louis encephalitis (SLE) has occurred in epidemic proportions several times in the St. Louis Metropolitan Area. The most recent epidemic of SLE in the United States occurred in 1975 when 1,995 cases were reported (CDC, 1976), of which 640 cases were reported in Illinois and thirty-five in Missouri. Several species of <u>Culex</u>, particularly <u>C. pipiens</u>, are vectors for this viral disease. According to James,

X11-2

1

et al. (1969), the SLE virus basically has an active mosquito-bird cycle with man being an accidental end point. Epidemics have been shown to have a temperature dependence in the United States with maximal activity following unusually warm spring temperatures.

in Illinois in 1975 there were reported a number of other arthropod borne viral encephalides, four cases of Western Equine Encephalitis and twenty-three cases of California Encephalitis (CDC, 1976). Species of <u>Culex</u> have been identified as vectors of the former and suggested as vectors of the latter. Only one case of arbovirus encephalitis has been reported in Illinois and none in Missouri since 1975 (CDC, 1978).

<u>Dirofilaria immitis</u>, a filarial worm of dogs which is cosmopolitan in nearly all tropical and subtropical regions of the world (James, <u>et al.</u>, 1969), has been reported recently by Jaskowski (1978) to occur in every county in Illinois. This species invades the heart and pulmonary arteries of the host where it may cause death. Many species of mosquitoes have been shown to be vectors of this disease organism. <u>Dirofilaria</u> can constitute a health problem for man and cases are reported by Beaver and Orihel (1965).

Breeding sites of the important genera of mosquitoes are common throughout the area. <u>Culex pipiens</u>, a domestic species which invades houses freely, lays its eggs in rafts in water, in rain barrels, tanks, cisterns, catch basins, and other small collections of water, favoring waters with high organic pollution (James, <u>et al.</u>, 1969). <u>Aedes vexans</u> is a typical flood water mosquito which lays its eggs along the muddy edges of receding pools, where they hatch when inundated with water, either the same season or the following season. <u>Anopheles guadrimacu</u>-

<u>latus</u> breeds in clean impounded waters with floating debris and aquatic vegetation, requiring both sunlight and shade.

Contract Sectors of

Sealer Areas

In the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

X

Other pestiferous insects of lesser importance in the area include several biting flies, e.g., some black flies (Simuliidae), sand flies (Phlebotominae), biting midges (Ceratopogonidae), horse flies and deer flies (Tabanidae), and the stable fly, <u>Stomoxys calcitrans</u> (Muscidae). Several of these types of flies are recognized vectors of serious diseases in other countries. Many non-biting flies, particularly the house fly and numerous species of non-biting midges (Chironomidae) frequently constitute a major nuisance because of their numbers. In addition to the flies (Diptera) several ants, bees, wasps, and hornets (Hymenoptera) in which the females have stingers are a major cause of discomfort and death. Parish (1963) showed that approximately 230 deaths were caused in the Unites States by hymenopterous insects over the period 1950 to 1959. Some of these deaths are caused by the venom or poison injected at the sting, others by the allergic reaction due to sensitivity to protein in the venom.

Other pestiferous arthropods in the Cahokia Creek Drainage Area include some Arachnoidea, specifically some ticks, mites, and spiders.

Stannard (1967) states that three ticks of fifteen species recorded from Illinois are dangerous because they are proven vectors of diseases often fatal to man. <u>Dermacentor variabilis</u>, the wood tick or American dog tick, is the most dangerous from the human viewpoint because it is found throughout the state, being most common in the south. Only the adults attack man and dogs, reaching a peak

in May through July. It is a vector of Rickettsia rickettsii, the cause of Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever. Small rodents, rabbits and the opossum have all been shown to be reservoirs of this disease organism (James, et al., 1969). Of 1,011 cases of Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever reported in the United States in 1978, twenty-five occurred in Illinois, twenty-three in Missouri (CDC, 1979b). Only three cases were reported in Illinois in the first six months of 1979 (CDC, 1979b). Rhipicephalus sanguineus, the brown dog tick, although common and widespread, seldom bites man (James, et al., 1969). Amblyomna americanus, the Lone Star Tick, bites man in all its stages larvae, nymphs and adults (Stannard, 1967). It is found primarily in southern United States, but has occurred with increasing frequency in southern Illinois. While transmitting Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever in Latin America, it has not been demonstrated yet to transmit it in the United States. Its bite, however, is extremely irritating with itching often persisting for weeks. Rabbits are a favorite host of this tick which can mechanically transmit tularemia, a bacterial disease caused by Pasteurella tularensis. No tularemia was reported in Illinois in 1978, but eighteen cases were reported in Missouri that year (CDC, 1979a). Most cases of tularemia probably occur as result of direct contamination from rabbits while they are being cleaned. Fluid of infected rabbits contacting mucous membranes or entering small cuts or scratches may result in Infection.

Several species of chiggars (<u>Eutrombicula</u>) cause dermatitis in the United States (James, <u>et al.</u>, 1969). Chiggars do not burrow into the skin, but rather produce the severe itch three to six hours

after feeding on the skin as a result of the digestive fluids they inject at the bite. These bright red mites drop off the host after feeding. They are widespread in Illinois and Missouri and may be abundant locally.

Two spiders which occur in the Cahokia Creek Drainage Area are particularly dangerous. The black widow spider (<u>Latrodectus mactans</u>) and the brown recluse spider (<u>Loxosceles reclusa</u>) both occur with frequency in the area. The black widow bite results in severe muscular pain, difficulty in breathing, and nausea generally accompanied by profuse sweating but symptoms wane after two to three days. The bite of the brown recluse, on the other hand, is localized, producing considerable local necrosis which lasts for weeks and often results in a scar. In addition, systemic symptoms are common. The black widow is most often found around out-buildings and materials stored outside. The brown recluse, particulary in its northern range as in this area, is within homes, frequently in boxes in the home (James, et al., 1969).

f.

The massasauga, a swamp rattlesnake, <u>Sistrurus catenatus</u>, occurs within the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area. One specimen, collected at the northeast edge of the Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville campus, is in the SIUE collection. Several other specimens from within the study area have been observed by Dr. Ralph Axtell of the SIUE Department of Biological Sciences. This rattlesnake, while poisonous, is not dangerous because of its small size. In addition, it is not common, being found primarily in inaccessible swampy areas.

The timber rattlesnake, <u>Crotalus horridus</u>, and the copperhead snake, <u>Agkistrodon contortrix</u>, do not occur within the study area but are found just west of Alton, Illinois, along the Mississippi River bluffs. The cottonmouth, <u>Agkistrodon piscivorus</u>, has its northernmost occurrence in Illinois in southwestern Monroe County considerably south of the study area. The last three species have bites which are considered from serious (cottonmouth) to dangerous (copperhead and timber rattlesnake).

x

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

Beaver, P.C. and Orihel, T.C. <u>Human infections with Filarial Animals</u> <u>in the United States</u>. American Journal of Tropical Medicine, 14: 1010-1029, 1965.

Center for Disease Control. Reported Morbidity and Mortality in the United States, 1975. <u>Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report</u>, Vol. 24 (54): 1-62, 1976.

ž

£ ·

£.

C.

- Center for Disease Control. <u>Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report</u>, Vol. 27 (52), 1979a.
- Center for Disease Control. <u>Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report</u>, Vol. 28 (16), 1979b.
- Center for Disease Control. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, Vol. 28 (26), 1979c.
- Fernald, M.L. <u>Gray's Manual of Botany.</u> Eighth edition, American Book Company, New York, 1950.
- James, M.T. and Harwood, R.F. <u>Herms's Medical Entomology</u>. Sixth edition, The Macmillan Company, London, pp. 1484.
- Jaskowski, B.J. <u>Distribution of Dirofilariasis in Illinois Counties</u>. Illinois State Academy of Science, 1978 Annual Meeting, Normal, Illinois, 1978.
- Jones, G.N. <u>Flora of Illinois</u>. Third edition, University of Notre Dame Press, Notre Dame, Indiana, 1963.
- National Research Council. <u>Pest Control: An Assessment of Present</u> and Alternative Technologies, Volume V. Pest Control and Public Health, National Academy of Sciences, pp. 1-282, 1976.
- Parish, H.M. <u>Analysis of 460 Fatalities from Venomous Animals in the</u> <u>United States</u>. American Journal of Medical Science, 45: 129-161, 1963.
- Ross, H.H. and Horsfall, W.R. <u>A Synopsis of the Mosquitoes of Illinois</u> (Diptera, Culicidae). Illinois Natural History Survey, Biol. Notes No. 52, pp. 1-50, 1965.
- Smith Jr., L.W. and Enns, W.R. <u>A List of Missouri Mosquitoes</u>. Mosquito News, 28.
- Stannard, L.V. <u>Illinois Natural History Survey</u>, Faunistic Surveys and Insect Identification, No. 2: 1-3, 1967.
- Steyermark, J.A. Flora of Missouri. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, 1963.



GENERAL

Wetland and prairie communities, once abundant in the Cahokia Drainage Area, are now scarce as a result of extensive land development. Two small prairies were identified in the bottomlands as shown in Table IX-25 in Volume 3 of 6. Wetlands (Figure VI-1)* now include mostly shallow water, marsh, wet meadow and swamp communities concentrated at the margins of lakes and ponds. These two community types (wetland and prairie), though now greatly reduced, are unique habitats in which locally and nationally rare species may live. In particular, wetlands are essential to many aquatic birds. A gradual loss of wetland habitats has taken place across the nation since settlement and is still going on. Although a small bit of wetland habitat in the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area might seem rather unimportant in itself, the nationwide destruction of many such insignificant bits of habitat will eventually mean the destruction of the whole wetlands ecosystem, including those species which are of value to humans and which are dependent on wetland habitat.

Wetlands are well known to be essential to migrating waterfowl, and protection of wetlands, or mitigation of their destruction, is therefore to be encouraged. Though relatively little wetland is left in the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area, its usefulness to waterfowl could be greatly increased, not only through preservation of the wetland which still exists, but also through buffering these wetlands from urban development or *All Figures referred to are located in Volume 6 of 6 of this Environmental inventory Report.

agricultural activities which tend to inhibit utilization of wetlands by waterfowl. The development of needed buffers and protection of existing buffer areas (old fields and bottomland forests) to screen and separate developed land from existing wetland is desirable.

## THREATENED AND ENDANGERED PLANTS

The list of plant species proposed by the Department of the Interior as endangered or threatened originally consisted of 2099 species (Anonymous, 1975, 1976). Recently, however, the list was abbreviated and it now includes fifty-six species from the United States (Anonymous, 1980). The area of the St. Louis District was found by the Corps of Engineers (1976) to contain one endangered and eleven threatened plant species in Illinois and three endangered and seven threatened species in Missouri. These species were based on a Federal Report (Anonymous, 1975). None of these species was observed in the study area. Furthermore, no species from the current list of endangered and threatened species (Anonymous, 1980) are known to exist in the Illinois -Missouri area (and therefore in the study area).

and the station of the state of the state of the state of the state of the

£

ť.

C

The study area has been largely denuded of original vegetation by development. This implies that fence-row and stream-bank species predominate along with the less abundant species of the occasional wetlands, prairies, and woods. Although only selected sites were sampled for plant species in a relatively large area, these sites probably contained a great proportion of the species of plants which exist in the study area.

It is likely, therefore, that no plant species of the Federal List of Endangered or Threatened Species exists in the study area.

## THREATENED AND ENDANGERED ANIMALS

In a telephone conversation with a representative of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Mr. M. Bailey, December 29, 1980), called by J.E. Thomerson, we were advised that four species on the Federal Endangered Species List are of possible concern, however no species on the Federal Threatened Species List are thought to occur in the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area. The bald eagle and peregrine falcon are documented from the area (Becker, 1980). The study area is within the range of the Indiana bat and the gray bat, but their actual utilization of the area is not documented (Becker, 1980).

## Bald Eagle, Haliaeetus leucocephalus

a second and a second se

Ľ

Bald eagles winter in the area and have been observed fishing in Horseshoe Lake (Becker, 1980). Bald eagles feed on sick or wounded waterfowl and fish. They basically need areas of open water to fish and hunt in, day loafing areas and night roosting areas. They generally use large trees with open horizontal branched structures, such as cottonwoods, sycamores, silver maples and various dead trees. Night roosting is generally in protected valleys in bluff areas (Steenhof, 1978). They are somewhat tolerant of human activity during fishing but are more intolerant during loafing or night roosting (Becker, 1980). The nearest roosting concentration is at Pere Marquette State Park, approximately forty kilometers north of the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area.

Improvement of fisheries in the area, particularly in Horseshoe Lake, would be of obvious benefit to the eagles so long as human winter activity levels in the fishing area do not surpass the eagles' toleration level. Removal of large trees used for hunting perches or loafing would be detrimental.

Eagles are not known to use the Chouteau Island area, but there are some large trees along the river and a fairly productive (fish) area of the Mississippi River at Chain of Rocks. If they do not utilize this area, it is probably because the level of human activity is beyond their tolerance limit.

## Peregrine Falcon, Falco peregrinus

Peregrine falcons migrate through the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area, with more fall than spring records (Becker, 1980). Since peregrine falcons are bird hunters, actions to increase bird, particularly waterfowl, populations in the area would be beneficial to them. Flocks of pigeons are common in the area and these are suitable prey for peregrine falcons.

## Indiana Bat, Myotis sodalis

C

C

Ľ

Aithough there are no documented records of the Indiana bat in it, the Cahokia Canal Drainage Area is within the species range. Indiana bat nursery colonies use riparian vegetation along small streams and rivers. Foraging, particularly of females, is closely associated with stream-edge trees (Cope, <u>et al.</u>, 1974; Cope, <u>et al.</u>, 1978; Humphrey, <u>et al.</u>, 1977). Indiana bats avoid open fields and other areas lacking trees. Males are known to forage along wooded hillsides in Missouri (LaVal, <u>et al.</u>, 1977).

The summer roost of the males is usually located in a tree over water and not far from the cave hibernacula occupied in the winter (Hall, 1962; LaVal, et al., 1977).

No caves are known in or near the study area, although there are various abandoned coal mines which might serve the purpose. Most of the small streams (and ditches) of the area are not lined with the preferred mature riparian vegetation, although such habitats do exist, for example: along the Cahokia Drainage Canal and Creek in the north, south of the Cahokia Diversion Canal, along the northern end of Long Lake, and along the lower part of the Cahokia Drainage Canal. There are also areas of mature riparian vegetation along parts of the Cahokia Diversion Canal but only open grass levee along the Chain of Rocks Canal.

If Indiana bats do utilize the area, then further loss of mature riparian vegetation on the floodplain and hillside forest in the uplands would be detrimental to their well-being.

## Gray Bat, Myotis grisescens

£

C

The study area fails within the range of the gray bat, but there are no records of its occurrence there (Becker, 1980). Gray bats are closely associated with caves and there are no caves known in Madison County (Bretz and Harris, 1961). Tuttle (1974) showed that gray bats prefer caves closer than four kilometers to a large river or lake. It is unlikely that such a combination occurs in the study area and there need be little consideration given to the gray bat in the study area.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Anonymous. 1975. <u>Report on Endangered and Threatened Plant Species</u> of the United States. House Document No. 94-51. Serial No. 94-A. USGPO, Washington, 1975.

Anonymous. 1976. "Endangered and Threatened Species: Plants." Federal Register, Vol. 41 (117): 24526-24572.

- Anonymous. 1980. Republication of the lists of endangered and threatened species and correction of technical errors in final rules. Federal Register, Vol. 45 (99): 33768-33781.
- Becker, Carl. 1980. Personnel communication (December 30, 1980). Rare and Endangered Species Section, Illinois Department of Conservation.
- Bretz, J.H. and S.E. Harris, Jr. 1961. Caves of Illinois. <u>Report</u> of <u>Investigations #215</u>. Illinois Geological Survey, Urbana, Illinois. 87 pp.
- Cope, J.B., A.R. Richter, and R.S. Mills. 1974. A summer concentration of the Indiana bat, <u>Myotic sodalis</u>, in Wayne County, Indiana. Proc. Indiana Acad. Sci. 83: 482-484.
- Cope, J.B., A.R. Rchter, and D.A. Seerley. 1978. <u>A Survey of the</u> <u>Bats in the Big Blue Lake Project Area in Indiana</u>. Earlham College, Richmond, Indiana. 51 pp.
- C. O. E. 1976. An Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plant Species Found in the St. Louis, Missouri, Corps of Engineers District. U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis, Missouri.
- Hall, J.S. 1962. A Life history and taxonomic study of the Indiana Bat, <u>Myotis sodalis. Reading Public Mus. and Art Gallery</u>, Sci. <u>Publi.</u> 12: 1-68.

Humphrey, S.R., A.R. Richter, and J.B. Cope. 1977. Summer habitat and ecology of the endangered Indiana Bat, <u>Myotis sodalis</u>. J. Mamm. 58: 334-346.

- LaVal, R.K., R.L. Clawson, W. Caire, L.R. Wingate, and M.R. LaVal. 1977. An Evaluation of the Status of Myotine Bats in the Proposed Meramec Park Lake and Union Lake Project Areas, Missouri. U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis. 136 pp.
- Steenhof, K. 1978. Management of wintering bald eagles. Fish and <u>Wildlife Service/Biological Services Program</u>. FWS/OBS-78/79. 59 pp.
- Tuttle, M.D. 1974. Population ecology of the Gray Bat (<u>Myotis</u> <u>grisescens</u>). Ph.D. disertation, Univ. Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas. 109 pp.

ſ.

C