AD-A	099 669 Assifie	P NEW NAT MAY	JERSEY IONAL D 81 J	DEPT (AM SAFE P TALE	OF ENVII ETY PRO RICO	AL PROTECTION TRENTON F/6 13/13 NMP BEISLER DAM (NJ-00181), RARITETC(U) DACW61-79-C-0011 DAEN/NAP-53642/NJ00181-81/ NM							
	AQ A AQ A (respective)												
						L L	B						
							END DATE FILMED 6 -8 1 DTIC						





	READ INSTRUCTIONS
. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION	NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
DAEN/NAP-53842/NJ00141-81/05 $(D A) Q A$	19
$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}$	S TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Phase I Inspection Report	J. TIPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVEREE
National Dam Safety Program	PTNAT
Camp Beisler Dam NJ00141	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
Hunterdon County, N.J.	
7. AUTHOR(a)	S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(=)
Falerico, John P., P.E.	DACW61-79-C-0011
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Harris-ECI Associates	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
453 Amboy Ave.	
Woodbridge, N.J. 07095	
. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
NJ Department of Environmental Protection Division of Water Resources	May, 1981
P.O. Box CN029	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Trenton, NJ 08625	40
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I different from Controlling Offic ILS. Army Engineer District Dhiladalahia	e) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Custom House. 2d & Chestnut Streete	Imcleed flad
Philadelphia, PA 19106	
	SCHEDULE
6 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Benerit)	
7 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shelpest selend in Black 20. If Alferen	t from Report)
	C
	C
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	C
6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical Ind	formation Service,
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical In: Springfield, Virginia 22151.	formation Service,
 BUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical In: Springfield, Virginia 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block man 	formation Service,
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical Inf Springfield, Virginia 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block num Dams National Dam Safe 	formation Service,
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical Inf Springfield, Virginia 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block mum Dams National Dam Safe Embankments Outlet works 	formation Service,
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical Ins Springfield, Virginia 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block man Dams National Dam Safe Embankments Outlet works Visual Inspection Camp Beisler Dam, Structural Applyado	formation Service, her) ety Program , N.J.
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical In: Springfield, Virginia 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds II necessary and identify by Meck mum Dams National Dam Safe Embankments Outlet works Visual Inspection Camp Beisler Dam, Structural Analysis	formation Service, Her) ety Program , N.J.
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical Ini Springfield, Virginia 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alds if necessary and identify by block mam Dams National Dam Safe Embankments Outlet works Visual Inspection Camp Beisler Dam, Structural Analysis ABETRACT (Continue on reverse alds N measuremy and identify by block mam This report cites results of a technical investig The inspection and evaluation of the dam is an operatory	formation Service, two: ty Program N.J. setion as to the dam's adequacy rescribed by the National Dam
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical In: Springfield, Virginia 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds II necessary and identify by Neck man Dams National Dam Safe Embankments Outlet works Visual Inspection Camp Beisler Dam, Structural Analysis ABETRACT (Continue on reverse elds N mercenny and identify by Neck man This report cites results of a technical investig The inspection and evaluation of the dam is as pr Inspection Act. Public Law 92-367. The technical 	formation Service, tery Program N.J. Sation as to the dam's adequacy rescribed by the National Dam I investigation includes visual
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical In: Springfield, Virginia 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and identify by Neck num Dams National Dam Safe Embankments Outlet works Visual Inspection Camp Beisler Dam, Structural Analysis ABETRACT (Continue on reverse elds If mercenty and identify by Neck numb This report cites results of a technical investig The inspection and evaluation of the dam is as pr Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367. The technical inspection, review of available design and constr 	formation Service, formation Service, ety Program N.J. sation as to the dam's adequacy rescribed by the National Dam 1 investigation includes visual ruction records. and preliminar
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical In: Springfield, Virginia 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block num Dams National Dam Safe Embankments Outlet works Visual Inspection Camp Beisler Dam, Structural Analysis ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde N messensity and identify by block numb This report cites results of a technical investig The inspection and evaluation of the dam is as pr Inspection, review of available design and constr structural and hydraulic and hydrologic calculati 	formation Service, formation Service, ty Program N.J. Sation as to the dam's adequacy rescribed by the National Dam 1 investigation includes visual ruction records, and preliminar lons, as applicable. An
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical In: Springfield, Virginia 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block num Dams National Dam Safe Embankments Outlet works Visual Inspection Camp Beisler Dam, Structural Analysis ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse state if necessary and identify by block numb This report cites results of a technical investig The inspection and evaluation of the dam is as pr Inspection, review of available design and constructural and hydraulic and hydrologic calculati assessment of the dam's general condition is incl 	formation Service, formation Service, ety Program , N.J. sation as to the dam's adequacy rescribed by the National Dam 1 investigation includes visual ruction records, and preliminar lons, as applicable. An luded in the report.
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical Inf Springfield, Virginia 22151. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block num Dams National Dam Safe Outlet works Visual Inspection Camp Beisler Dam, Structural Analysis ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse did N mecessary and identify by block must This report cites results of a technical investig The inspection and evaluation of the dam is as pr Inspection, review of available design and constr structural and hydraulic and hydrologic calculati assessment of the dam's general condition is incl 	formation Service, ty Program N.J. sation as to the dam's adequacy rescribed by the National Dam l investigation includes visual ruction records, and preliminar lons, as applicable. An luded in the report.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Mien Data Entered)

لالتجار المتشاكم فرمان

- Milling and

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE The Date Anterio

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

and the second second

NOTICE

THIS BOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED FROM THE BEST COPY FURNISHED US BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY. ALTHOUGH IT IS RECOGNIZED THAT CERTAIN PORTIONS ARE ILLEGIBLE, IT IS BEING RELEASED IN THE INTEREST OF MAKING AVAILABLE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE.

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS CUSTOM HOUSE-2D & CHESTNUT STREETS PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19106

NAPEN-N

B & MAY 1981

Honorable Brendan T. Byrne Governor of New Jersey Trenton, New Jersey 08621

DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

Dear Governor Byrne:

Inclosed is the Phase I Inspection Report for Camp Beisler Dam in Hunterdon County, New Jersey which has been prepared under authorization of the Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367. A brief assessment of the dam's condition is given in the front of the report.

Based on visual inspection, available records, calculations and past operational performance, Camp Beisler Dam, initially listed as a high hazard potential structure, but reduced to a significant hazard potential structure as a result of this inspection, is judged to be in good overall condition. The dam's spillway is considered inadequate because a flow equivalent to 62 percent of the Spillway Design Flood - SDF - would cause the dam to be overtopped. (The SDF, in this instance is one half of the Probable Maximum Flood). To ensure adequacy of the structure, the following actions, as a minimum, are recommended:

a. The spillway's adequacy should be determined by a qualified professional consultant engaged by the owner using more sophisticated methods, procedures and studies within twelve months from the date of approval of this report. Within three months of the consultant's findings remedial measures to ensure spillway adequacy should be initiated.

b. The following remedial actions should be initiated within tweleve months from the date of approval of this report:

(1) Determine if the observed flow near the left end of the dam is seepage from the dam and if so, it should be monitored monthly to determine its volume and whether it presents a problem to the safety of the dam.

(2) Construct a concrete headwall and apron at the outlet end of the discharge pipe. Also, attempt to locate the toe drain outlets and if found provide for their discharge through the headwall.

(3) All brush should be removed from the upstream slope to avoid any problems that may develop from roots. The slope should then be reseeded to develop a growth of grass for surface erosion protection. NAPEN-N Honorable Brendan T. Byrne

(4) Determine if the low-level outlet gate is operable, and if not, institute remedial action to make it operable.

c. The owner should develop an emergency action plan (if one is not already available) outlining actions to be taken by the operator to minimize downstream effects of an emergency and establish a flood warning system for the downstream communities within three months from the date of approval of this report.

d. The owner should develop written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to ensure the safety of the dam, within one year from the date of approval of this report.

A copy of the report is being furnished to Mr. Dirk C. Hofman, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, the designated State Office contact for this program. Within five days of the date of this letter, a copy will also be sent to Congressman Courter of the Thirteenth District. Under the provision of the Freedom of Information Act, the inspection report will be subject to release by this office, upon request, five days after the date of this letter.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Services (NTIS), Springfield, Virginia 22161 at a reasonable cost. Please allow four to six weeks from the date of this letter for NTIS to have copies of the report available.

An important aspect of the Dam Inspection Program will be the implementation of the recommendations made as a result of the inspection. We accordingly request that we be advised of proposed actions taken by the State to implement our recommendations.

2

Sincerely,

KENNETH R. MOSER

l Incl As stated

Major, Corps of Engineers Acting District Engineer

Copies furnished: Mr. Dirk C. Hofman, P.E., Deputy Director Division of Water Resources N.J. Dept. of Environmental Protection P.O. Box CN029 Trenton, NJ 08625

Mr. John O'Dowd, Acting Chief Bureau of Flood Plain Regulation Division of Water Resources N.J. Dept. of Environmental Protection P.O. Box CNO29 Trenton, NJ 08625



CAMP BEISLER DAM (NJU0141)



CORPS OF ENGINEERS ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

This dam was inspected on 12 January and 3 February 1981 by Harris - ECI Associates, under contract to the State of New Jersey. The State, under agreement with the U.S. Army Engineer District, Philade'phia, had this inspection performed in accordance with the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367.

Camp Beisler Dam, initially listed as a high hazard potential structure, but reduced to a significant hazard potential structure as a result of this inspection, is judged to be in good overall condition. The dam's spillway is considered inadequate because a flow equivalent to 62 percent of the Spillway Design Flood - SDF - would cause the dam to be overtopped. (The SDF, in this instance is one halt of the Probable Maximum Flood). To ensure adequacy of the structure, the following actions, as a minimum, are recommended:

a. The spillway's adequacy should be determined by a qualified professional consultant engaged by the owner using more sophisticated methods, procedures and studies within twelve months from the date of approval of this report. Within three months of the consultant's findings remedial measures to ensure spillway adequacy should be initiated.

b. The following remedial actions should be initiated within tweleve months from the date of approval of this report:

(1) Determine it the observed flow near the left end of the dam is seepage from the dam and if so, it should be monitored monthly to determine its volume and whether it presents a problem to the safety of the dam.

(2) Construct a concrete headwall and apron at the outlet end of the discharge pipe. Also, attempt to locate the toe drain outlets and if found provide for their discharge through the headwall.

(3) All brush should be removed from the upstream slope to avoid any problems that may develop from roots. The slope should then be reserved to develop a growth of grass for surface erosion protection.

(4) Determine if the low-level outlet gate is operable, and if not, institute remedial action to make it operable.

c. The owner should develop an emergency action plan (if one is not already available) outlining actions to be taken by the operator to minimize downstream effects of an emergency and establish a flood warning system for the downstream communities within three months from the date of approval of this report. D

d. The owner should develop written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to ensure the safety of the dam, within one year from the date of approval of this report.

APPROVED: KENNETH R. MOSER

Major, Corps of Engineers Acting District Engineer

DATE: 27 May 1981

RARITAN RIVER BASIN SPRUCE RUN, HUNTERDON COUNTY NEW JERSEY

CAMP BEISLER DAM

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19106

MAY, 1981

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name: State Located: County Located: Stream: River Basin: Date of Inspection: Camp Beisler Dam, I.D. NJ 00141 New Jersey Hunterdon County Spruce Run Raritan River January 12, and February 3, 1981

Assessment of General Conditions

Camp Beisler Dam is an earthfill dam with a concrete drop inlet, the main spillway, located in the center of the dam. In addition there is an auxiliary spillway at the right end of the dam. The overall condition of the dam is good. There are no signs of distress or instability in the embankment. Possible seepage was observed approximately 100 feet from the left end of the dam. The low-level outlet was not opened and is not used. The hazard potential is recommended to be downgraded from "high" to "significant".

Camp Beisler Dam is considered inadequate in view of its lack of spillway capacity to pass the SDF (1/2 PMF) without overtopping the dam. The spillway is capable of passing a flood equal to 30.5 percent of the PMF (61 percent of the 1/2 PMF), and is assessed as "inadequate".

At present, the engineering data available is not sufficient to make a definitive statement on the stability of the dam, but based on the findings of the visual inspection, the preliminary assessment of static stability is that it is satisfactory. The following actions are recommended along with a timetable for their completion. All recommended actions should be conducted under the supervision of an Engineer who is experienced in the design, construction and inspection of dams.

- Carry out a more precise hydrologic and hydraulic analysis of the dam within twelve months, to determine the need and type of mitigating measures necessary. Based on the results of these studies, remedial measures should be instituted. This should include the installation of a tailwater gage.
- 2. Determine if the observed flow is seepage from the dam and if so, it should be monitored monthly to determine its volume and whether it presents a problem to the safety of the dam.

- 3. Construct a concrete headwall and apron at the outlet end of the discharge pipe. Also, attempt to locate the toe drain outlets, and if found provide for their discharge through the headwall. This work should be started within twelve months.
- 4. All brush should be removed from the upstream slope to avoid problems that may develop from roots. The slope should then be seeded to develop a growth of grass for surface erosion protection. This program should be started within twelve months.
- 5. Determine if low-level outlet gate is operable, and if not institute remedial action to make it operable within twelve months.
- 6. The owner should develop an emergency action plan (if one is not already available) outlining actions to be taken by the operator to minimize downstream effects of an emergency and establish a flood warning system for the downstream communities within three months.

Furthermore, while of a less urgent nature, the following additional action is recommended and should be carried out within twelve months.

The owner should develop within one (1) year after formal approval of the report, written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to insure the safety of the dam.

Finco

John P. Talerico. P.E. HARRIS - ECI ASSOCIATES

*



View from top of auxiliary spillway, toward left end of dam. Area of grass at center right of photo is location of low-level outlet channel.

Sec. 16

¥

all sector that want to a

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the office of theChief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

PREFACE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

OVERVIEW PHOTO

Page PREFACE 1 PROJECT INFORMATION SECTION 1 1 General 1.1 2 Description of Project 1.2 5 Pertinent Data 1.3 7 ENGINEERING DATA..... SECTION 2 Design 7 2.1 7 2.2 Construction..... 7 Operation 2.3 7 Evaluation.... 2.4 8 VISUAL INSPECTION..... SECTION 3 8 Findings 3.1 10 OPERATION PROCEDURES..... SECTION 4 10 Procedures 4.1 10 Maintenance of Dam..... 4.2 10 Maintenance of Operating Facilities..... 4.3 10 Evaluation 4.4 HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC 11 SECTION 5 Evaluation of Features..... 11 5.1 13 STRUCTURAL STABILITY SECTION 6 13 Evaluation of Structural Stability..... 6.1 14 ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES SECTION 7 14 Dam Assessment 7.1 14 Remedial Measures 7.2

TABLE OF CONTENTS CONTINUED

PLATES

No.

KEY	MAP	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	۱
VICI	NITY	MAP	2
GEOL	.0GIC	MAP	3
DRAW	INGS	OF DAM	4-9

APPENDICES

APPENDIX	A	-	CHECK LIST - VISUAL OBSERVATIONS CHECK LIST - ENGINEERING, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE DATA	1-11
APPENDIX	В	-	PHOTOGRAPHS	
APPENDIX	С	-	SUMMARY OF ENGINEERING DATA	1
APPENDIX	D	-	HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS	1-21

PHASE I INPSECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

CAMP BEISLER DAM, I.D. NJ 00141

SECTION 1

1. PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. Authority

The National Dam Inspection Act (Public Law 92-367, 1972) provides for the National Inventory and Inspection Program by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. This inspection was made in accordance with this authority under Contract C-FPM No. 35 with the State of New Jersey who, in turn, is contracted to the Philadelphia District of the Corps of Engineers, and was carried out by the engineering firm of Harris-ECI Associates of Woodbridge, New Jersey.

b. Purpose of Inspection

The visual inspection of Camp Beisler Dam was made on January 12 and February 3, 1981. The purpose of the inspection was to make a general assessment as to the structural integrity and operational adequacy of the dam embankment and its appurtenant structures.

c. Scope of Report

The report summarizes available pertinent data relating to the project; presents a summary of visual observations made during the field inspection; presents an evaluation of hydrologic and hydraulic conditions at the site; presents an evaluation as to the structural adequacy of the various project features; and assesses the general condition of the dam with respect to safety.

1.2 Description of Project

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

Camp Beisler Dam is an earthfill dam approximately 630 feet long and 17.1 feet high. There are two spillways, one is a 8 foot x 6 foot concrete drop inlet with a 14 foot x 12 foot concrete anti -vortex cover and the other is a 70 foot wide earth channel auxiliary spillway with a concrete control sill. The drop inlet is located 400 feet from the right end of the dam and its crest is 5.1 feet below the top of the embankment. The concrete anti-vortex cover is 12-inches thick and is supported on four 12-inch diameter concrete columns 2.5 feet high. In the front wall of the spillway are two-6-inch steel cold water outlet pipes. The pipes, with inverts 1.75 feet below the spillway crest and tops one inch below the crest extend one foot inside the inlet. Attached to lake side of the front wall is a 36-inch diameter half round corrugated metal pipe for cold water control. The auxiliary spillway located at the right end of the dam, has a crest elevation 2.8 feet below the top of the dam. The flow from the drop inlet discharges into the downstream channel through a 60-inch corrugated metal pipe which also serves as the low-level outlet. The auxiliary spillway discharges onto the existing ground beyond the toe of the slope and flows into the downstream channel.

The embankment had a design top width of 15 feet with a 2.5H:1V slope on both faces. During construction, the excess excavated material was spoiled on the downstream slope of the dam along both sides of the discharge channel. This resulted in the dam having an irregular crest width, varying up to 100 feet wide left and 140 feet wide right of the spillway. According to the plans, gravel toe drains were supposed to have been constructed for distance 200 feet left and 120 feet right of the outlet pipe.

The low-level outlet consists of a 60-inch corrugated metal pipe that carries the discharge from the main spillway. The low-level flow into the pipe is controlled by a 16-inch rising stem sluice gate attached to the upstream face of the inlet. The gate is raised manually by turning a handwheel attached to the top of the frame. The pipe, which discharges directly into the downstream channel, has two anti-seep collars extending two feet beyond the outside of the pipe.

The downstream channel starts at the outlet and meanders down past the embankment toe on the left into a wooded area and then crosses under Sharrer Road approximately 800 feet from the dam. On the left before Sharrer Road is a development of more than a dozen homes. The houses appear to be above the flood plain. A generalized description of soil conditions is contained in Report No. 6, Hunterdon County, Engineering Soil Survey of New Jersey, by Rutgers University. The report, dated 1952, describes the dam area as gneissic bedrock with overlaying silts, silty clays and silty sands of variable depths. The U.S.G.S. Geologic Overlay Sheet 22 classifies the rock as undifferentiated pre-cambian. The downstream channel is described as recent alluvium of variable depth.

b. Location

Camp Beisler Dam is located in Camp Beisler on Spruce Run, in the Township of Lebanon, Hunterdon County, New Jersey. The camp is accessible from Route 57 at Penwell by way of Penwell Road to Turkey Top Road to Sharrer Road to Pleasant Grove Road.

c. Size Classification

According to the <u>"Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams"</u> by the U.S. Department of the Army, Office of the Chief Engineers, the dam is classified in the dam size category as being "small", since its storage volume of 61 acre-feet is less than 1,000 acre-feet. The dam is also classified as "small" because its height of 17.1 feet is less than 40 feet. The overall size classification of Camp Beisler Dam is "small".

d. Hazard Classification

A hazard potential classification of "significant" has been assigned to Camp Beisler Dam on the basis that even though the homes downstream are above the flood reach, they are close enough to the stream that children play within the flood reach on both sides of the stream. Therefore the possibility exists of the loss of a few lives in the event of dam failure.

e. Ownership

Camp Beisler Dam is owned by:

New Jersey Synod Lutheran Church of America 1930 State Highway No.33 Hamilton Square, NJ 08690

Attention: Mr. Mark Burkhardt Camp Director (201) 832-7264

f. Purpose

Camp Beisler Dam is presently used for recreational purposes only.

g. Design and Construction History

Camp Beisler Dam was designed by the U.S. Soil Conservation Service. The permit to construct the dam was issued on August 26, 1968 with the dam being completed in February 1971.

h. Normal Operating Procedures

The discharge from the lake is unregulated and allowed to naturally balance the inflow into the lake. According to the owner the low-level outlet is not used.

1.3 Pertinent Data Drainage Area 1.21 sq. mi. a. Discharge at Dam Site ь. 1,416 cfs (884.1 NGVD) Ungated spillway capacity at elevation of top of dam: 2,675 (884.45 NGVD) Total spillway capacity at maximum pool elevation (SDF): c. <u>Elevation</u> (Feet above NGVD) Top of dam: 884.1 Maximum pool design surcharge (SDF): 884.45 Recreation pool: 879.2 879.0 Main: Spillway crest: Auxil: ->: 881.3 Streambed at centerline of dam: 867 (estimated) (estimated) Maximum tailwater: 870 d. Reservoir 2,500 ft. (estimated) Length of maximum pool: 900 ft. (estimated) Length of recreation pool: e. Storage (acre-feet) (879.0 NGVD) Spillway Crest: 20 Top of dam: 61 Maximum pool (SDF): 65 f. Reservoir Surface (acres) 15.2 (estimated) Top of dam: 15.5 (estimated) Maximum pool (SDF): 6.0 (estimated) Recreation pool: 5.0 (879.0 NGVD) Spillway crest:

5

g. <u>Dam</u>

Туре:	Earthfill with concrete drop inlet.
Length:	630 ft. (effective)
Height:	17.1 ft.
Top width:	Varies from 15 ft 140 ft.
Side slopes - Upstream: - Downstream:	2.5H:1V 2.5H:1V
Zoning:	Unknown
Impervious core:	None
Control Sill:	70 ft. concrete sill at auxiliary spillway.
Grout curtain:	None.

h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel

i.	Spillway	

Туре:	Main: Auxiliary:	Concrete Drop Inlet Earth channel
Length of weir:	Main: Auxiliary:	28 ft. 70 ft.
Crest elevation:	Main: Auxiliary:	879.0 (NGVD) 881.3 (NGVD)
Gates:	-	None
U/S Channel:		Camp Beisler Lake
D/S Channel:	Main: Auxiliary:	Natural Channel Existing ground
j. <u>Regulating Outle</u>	<u>ts</u>	
Low level outlet:		60-inch C.M.P.
Controls:		Manually controlled 16-inch sluice
Emergency gate:		None
Outlet:		867.0 NGVD

gate.

1....

SECTION 2

2. ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

Drawings and specifications for the construction of the Camp Beisler Dam are available in the files of NJ Department of Environmental Protection (NJ-DEP) in Trenton and also at the offices of the U.S. Department of Agriculture - Soil Conservation Service (SCS) in Somerset N.J. The structural design data of the spillway as well as the hydrology and hydraulic data for a 25-year and 50-year design storm is also available at the above locations. One drawing shows the location of and data obtained from test pits taken along the dam. Soil test results, design computations and other geotechnical data needed to assess the stability properly are not available.

The second se

2.2 Construction

Data is not available concerning the as-built construction of the dam. No data exists of construction methods, borrow sources or other data pertinent to the construction of the dam.

2.3 Operation

Formal operation records are not kept for the dam and reservoir. The lake is allowed to operate naturally without regulation.

2.4 Evaluation

a. Availability

The availability of engineering data is good. The construction plans and specifications for the dam are available from the NJ-DEP and the SCS.

b. Adequacy

The engineering data available from the plans and from the field was adequate to perform hydrologic and hydraulic computations. The data was insufficient to perform stability analysis, but a preliminary evaluation could be made based on visual observations.

c. Validity

The information contained in the drawings and checked by limited field measurements appears to be valid, except as noted herein. The crest width of the dam is not 15 feet as shown on the original plans, but varys up to 100 feet wide left and 140 feet wide right of the spillway. The gravel toe drain outlets shown at the low-level outlet discharge could not be found.

SECTION 3

3. VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

a. General

The visual inspection of Camp Beisler Dam revealed the dam and spillways to be in good condition. At the time of the inspection the lake level was just below the crest of the main spillway with the water discharging through the cold water pipes.

b. Dam

The earth embankment appears sound. No surface cracking on the embankment or at the toe was noticed. No sloughing or erosion of the embankment was observed. The vertical alignment of the crest is good. The horizontal alignment of the crest along the upstream slope is good, but the downstream crest alignment is irregular since that section was a spoil disposal area during construction. One area of possible seepage was observed at the downstream toe approximately 100 feet from the left end of the dam. Since this section is in the disposal area and about 200 feet from the upstream face the source of the water may not be seepage from the dam. There is a heavy growth of brush along the entire upstream face of the embankment. No evidence of burrowing by animals was observed. The gravel toe drain outlet pipes, shown on the plans to be on each side of the low-level outlet pipe, could not be located.

- c. Appurtenant Structures
 - 1. Spillways

The main spillway is a drop inlet structure with two cold water outlet pipes and a sluice gate and is in good condition. Onlyminor spalling in the concrete was observed. The auxiliary spillway, which is grass covered is in good condition. There is one crack in the crest of the concrete control sill located approximately 25 feet from the left end. Horizontal and vertical alignment of the auxiliary spillway appeared good.

2. Bridge and Piers

Concrete columns, part of the main spillway, support the concrete antivortex cover. The cover and columns are in good condition.

3. Outlet Works'

The low-level outlet works is also the main spillway. It consists of a drop inlet with a 16-inch rising stem sluice gate, operated by a handwheel attached to the top of the frame, at the upstream face of the drop inlet and a 60-inch corrugated metal pipe that carries the flow from the drop inlet directly downstream into Spruce Run. The outlet is in excellent condition. There is no headwall at the end of the 60-inch C.M.P. Most of the slope riprap at the pipe outlet is missing.

d. Reservoir Area

The reservoir's side slopes are moderately steep and wooded. There is a swimming beach along the right shore looking upstream. There is no indication of slope instability.

e. Downstream Channel

The downstream channel is in good condition. The channel which starts at the outlet meanders down past the spoil area on the left into a wooded area approximately 150-feet from the crest. The bottom of the channel is rocky with no debris. The side slopes of the channel through the spoil area are moderately steep with a heavy growth of brush, once into the wooded area the side slopes become flat. There is some erosion along the right bank within the spoil disposal area. A development of more than a dozen homes exists approximately 500 feet downstream above the flood reach on the left side of the stream.

SECTION 4

4. OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 Procedures

Camp Beisler Dam is used to impound water for recreational activities. The level of the lake is maintained through the unregulated flow over the spillway.

4.2 Maintenance of the Dam

There is no regular inspection and maintenance program for the dam and appurtenant structures. The NJ Synod Lutheran Church is responsible for the maintenance of the dam.

4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

The low-level outlet operating facilities consist of the one manually operated 16-inch sluice gate. Operation of the gate was not demonstrated as the handwheel was not available.

4.4 Evaluation

The present operational and maintenance procedures are fair with the dam and spillway being maintained in a serviceable condition.

<u>SECTION 5</u>

5. HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

5.1 Evaluation of Features

a. Design

The drainage area above Camp Beisler Dam is approximately 1.2 square miles. A drainage map of the water shed of the dam site is presented on Plate 1, Appendix D.

The topography within the basin is generally moderately sloped. Elevations range from approximately 1,040 feet above NGVD at the west end of the water-shed to about 870 feet at the dam site. Land use patterns within the watershed are mostly woodland.

The evaluation of the hydraulic and hydrologic features of the dam was based on criteria set forth in the Corps guidelines and additional guidance provided by the Philadelphia District, Corps of Engineers. The SDF for the Dam falls in a range of 1/2 PMF to PMF. In this case, the low end of the range, 1/2 PMF, is chosen since the factors used to select size and hazard classification are on the low-side of their respective ranges.

The Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) was calculated from the probable maximum precipitation using Hydrometeorological Report No. 33 with standard reduction factors. Due to the small drainage area, the SCS triangular hydrograph transformed to a curvilinear hydrograph was adopted for developing the unit hydrograph, with the aid of the HEC-1-DB Flood Hydrograph Computer Program.

Initial and constant infiltration loss rates were applied to the Probable Maximum Precipitation to obtain rainfall excesses. The rainfall excesses were applied to the unit hydrograph to obtain the PMF and various ratios of PMF utilizing program HEC-1-DB.

The SDF peak outflow calculated for the dam is 2,675 cfs. This value is derived from the half PMF, and results in overtopping of the dam, assuming that the lake was originally at the spillway crest elevation.

The stage-outflow relation for the spillway was determined from the geometry of the spillway and dam utilizing HEC-1 Dam Safety Version Program

The reservoir stage-storage capacity relationship was computed directly by the conic method, utilizing the HEC-1-DB program. The reservoir surface areas at various elevations were measured by planimeter from a U.S.G.S. Quadrangle topographic map. Reservoir storage capacity included surcharge levels exceeding the top of the dam, and the spillway rating curve was based on the assumption that the dam remains intact during routing. The spillway rating curve is presented in the Hydrologic Computation, Appendix D.

A breach analysis indicates that the stage of the stream where it crosses Sharrer Road is 0.6 feet higher, due to dam failure from overtopping at 0.4 PMF than it would without failure at 0.4 PMF. This is not likely to jeopardize the road downstream significantly, more than without failure. The discharge facility is thus rated "inadequate".

Drawdown calculations indicate that to empty the lake to an elevation of 867 NGVD through the one low-level outlet would take 11 hours, assuming a 2 cfs/square mile inflow. This is considered to be a reasonable drawdown period, and provision of additional outlets should not be considered.

Drawdown calculations indicate that to empty the lake to an elevation of 867 NGVD through the one low-level outlet would take 11 hours, assuming a 2 cfs/square mile inflow. This is considered to be a reasonable draw-down period, and provision of additional outlets should not be considered.

b. Experience Data

No records of reservoir stage or spillway discharge are maintained for this site.

c. Visual Observation

The downstream channel is in good condition. It meanders from the outlet down past the spoil disposal area on the left into a wooded area. The bottom of the channel is rocky and clear of debris. The sides through the disposal area are relatively steep and then flatten out once into the wooded section.

A housing development is located left of the channe, above the flood reach, approximately 500 feet downstream from the dam.

The side slopes of the reservoir are moderately steep and do not exhibit signs of instability. A swimming beach is along the right shoreline. The drainage area is wooded and undeveloped.

d. Overtopping Potential

A storm of magnitude equivalent to the SDF would cause overtopping of the dam to a height of 0.35 feet. Computations indicate that the dam can pass approximately 30.5 percent of the PMF without overtopping the dam crest. Since the 1/2 PMF is the Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for this dam, according to the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams</u> by the Corps of Engineers, the spillway capacity of the dam is assessed as "inadequate".

SECTION 6

6. STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

a. <u>Visual Observations</u>

There are no signs of distress in the embankment of the Camp Beisler Dam. Flowing water was observed along the downstream toe of slope approximately 100 feet from the left end of the dam. In as much as this section is part of the spoil disposal area, it is possible the flow could be from another source other than seepage from the dam. The heavy growth of brush growing along the upstream slope could pose a threat to stability. The spillways are in good condition.

b. Design and Construction Data

No design computations relating to stability were uncovered during the report preparation phase. No embankment or foundation soil parameters are available for carrying out a conventional stability analysis of the embankment.

c. Operating Records

No operating records are available relating to the stability of the dam.

d. Post-Construction Changes

There are no known post-construction changes since the dam was built in 1971.

e. Static Stability

A static stability analysis was not performed for Camp Beisler Dam because the lack of data on which to base assumptions of material properties within embankment zones might produce misleading results, but based on the findings of the visual inspection, the preliminary assessment of static stability is that it is satisfactory.

f. Seismic Stability

The dam is located in Seismic Zone 1, as defined in <u>Recommended Guidelines</u> for <u>Safety Inspection of Dams</u>, prepared by the Corps of Engineers. In general, projects located in Seismic Zones 0, 1 and 2 may be assumed to present no hazard from earthquake, provided the static stability conditions are satisfactory and conventional safety margins exist, and based on the findings of the visual inspection, the preliminary assessment of the static and seismic stabilities is that they are satisfactory. SECTION 7

7. ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

a. <u>Safety</u>

The dam has been inspected visually and a review has been made of the available engineering data. This assessment is subject to the limitations inherent in the visual inspection procedures stipulated by the Corps of Engineers for a Phase 1 report.

Camp Beisler Dam is inadequate because the dam does not have the spillway capacity to pass the SDF, one half of the PMF, without overtopping. Over-topping of the dam carries with it the danger of a likely progressive failure of the dam. The present spillway capacity of the dam is approximately 30.5 percent of the PMF.

No definitive statement pertaining to the safety of the embankment can be made without acquisition of embankment material engineering properties, but based on the findings of the visual inspection, preliminary assessment of the static stability is that it is satisfactory.

b. Adequacy of Information

The information uncovered was adequate to perform hydrologic and hydraulic computations. The data was insufficient to perform even an approximate computation of the stability of the dam. A preliminary assessment of the dam could be made by visual observation only.

c. Urgency

The remedial measures and recommended actions along with a timetable for their completion are detailed below. All recommended action should be conducted under the supervision of an Engineer who is experienced in the design, construction and inspection of dams.

7.2 Remedial Measures

a. Alternatives for Increasing Spillway Capacity

Alternatives for increasing spillway capacity are as follows:

1. Increase the embankment height of the dam thus permitting a higher discharge to pass over the spillway and reducing the possibility of overtopping.

- 2. Lower the spillway crest elevation.
- 3. Increase the effective spillway crest length.
- 4. A combination of any of the above alternatives.

b. Recommendations

- Carry out a more precise hydrologic and hydraulic analysis of the dam within twelve months, to determine the need and type of mitigating measures necessary. If required, conduct a study of the means of increasing spillway discharge capacity and develop alternative schemes for construction. This should include the installation of headwater and tailwater gages. The ability of the dam to withstand overtopping should also be studied.
- 2. Determine if observed flow is seepage from the dam and if so, it should be monitored monthly to determine its volume and whether it presents a problem to the safety of the dam.
- 3. Construct a concrete headwall and apron at the outlet end of the discharge pipe. Also, attempt to locate the toe drain outlets and if found provide for their discharge through the headwall. This work should be started within twelve months.
- 4. All brush should be removed from the upstream slope to avoid any problems that may develop from roots. The slope should then be reseeded to develop a growth of grass for surface erosion protection. This should be done within twelve months.
- 5. Determine if low-level outlet gate is operable, and if not institute remedial action to make it operable within twelve months.

The following additional action is recommended:

The owner should develop an emergency action plan (if one is not already available) outlining actions to be taken by the operator to minimize downstream effects of an emergency and establish a flood warning system for the downstream communities within three months.

c. 0 & M Procedures

The owner should develop, within one (1) year after formal approval of the report, written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to insure the safety of the dam.

.

.

р

PLATES

.

·






PLATE



. . . .









.

**

104

. . . +82 1011

+{·',

-- 59

. **q** : 1 - 94

94

41

32

ခုရန်

...

1 -

......



and the state of the second states of the second states of the

to be the set of the set of the set of the







and a second second







APPENDIX A

4

The labeled respectively environmentation of entities and the theorem and

CHECK LIST - VISUAL OBSERVATIONS

CHECK LIST - ENGINEERING, CONSTRUCTION MAINTENANCE DATA

Name Name Camp Beisler Dam County Hunterdon State New Jersey Coordinators NJ-DEP	Date(s) Inspection January 12,1981 Meather Clear Temperature 0 ⁰ F February 3, 1981 Clear 15 ⁰ F	Pool Elevation at Time of Inspection <u>879.2</u> NGVD Tailwater at Time of Inspection <u>867.5</u> NGVD Inspection Personnel:	January 12, 1981 February 3, 1981 William Birch Thomas Moroney Thomas Moroney Joseph Sirianni (Recorder) OWNER/REPRESENTATIVE January 12, 1981 Mark Burkhardt Camp Director Beisler Camping & Retreat Center R.D.I. Box 106 Port Murray, NJ 07865
--	---	---	---

EMBANKMENT

.

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
EARTH EMBANKMENT Embankment is grass covered with a heavy growth of brush on the upstream face.	Remove brush.
JUNCTION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT, SPILLWAY AND DAM Junction of the embankment and the auxiliary spillway is in good condition.	·
ANY NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE Minor flow was observed at the downstream toe approximately 100 feet from left end of dam. Since section is in the spoil disposal area the source of water may be other than seepage from the dam.	Try and determine if flow is seepage from dam. If so, monitor for clearness and quantity.
STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER None	
DRAINS Plans call for toe drains left and right of low-level outlet. Outlets for drains were not found.	Determine the location of the outlets.

OUTLETS WORKS.

ACKING & SPALLING UP NCRETE SURFACES IN NCRETE SURFACES IN ILLING BASIN A - Main spillway (also outlet works) discharges directly into downstream channel. xiliary spillway discharges onto existing ground at embankment toe and then into wnstream channel. TAKE STRUCTURE in spillway is concrete drop inlet with a sluice gate and is in good condition with ly minor spalling of concrete.	
KE STRUCTURE s spillway is concrete drop inlet with a sluice gate and is in good condition with minor spalling of concrete.	
to auxiliary spillway.	
ET STRUCTURE D-inch corrugated metal pipe in good condition. There is no headwall at outlet end pipe. Riprap of slope along sides of pipe is missing. Operation of the sluice gate apron a not performed as the handwheel was missing. The owner's representative stated the operable is not use.	e concrete headwall and at outlet end. Check gate to determine if le.
.ET FACILITIES	
RGENCY GATE	
	4

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
CONCRETE WEIR Main spillway is concrete drop inlet with a sluice ga water outlets. The spillway is in good condition wit spillway is a grass channel, with concrete sill. The approximately 25 feet from left end.	ate and two-6-inch steel pipes cold th only minor spalling. Auxiliary ere is one crack in crest	
APPROACH CHANNEL The lake is the approach channel for both spillways.		·
DISCHARGE CHANNEL Main spillway: 60-inch corrugated metal pipe, in good channel and low-level outlet. Auxiliary spillway: The existing ground along the dov is the discharge channel and is in good condition.	d condition, is the discharge wnstream toe of the embankment	
BRIDGE AND PIERS 4 - 12-inch concrete columns supporting the concrete spillway. Columns and cover are in good condition. N/A for auxiliary spillway	anti-vortex cover for the main	
		5

UNGATED SPILLWAY

INSTRUMENTATION

VISILAI EVAMTNATION OF	ABSE BVATTONS	BEMARKS AND PECOMMENDATIONS
MINUMENTATION / SUBVEYS	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
None		
OBSERVATION WELLS		
None		
· ·		
WEIRS		
None		
•		
PIEZOMETERS		
None		
OTHER		
None		6

.

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
SLOPES Moderately steep and wooded. A swimming area is along the right shore line. There is no indication of slope instability.	
SEDIMENTATION None observed. Lake covered with ice and snow.	

REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	d area. The	rately steep he right bank.	f the downstream above the	
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF OBSERVATIONS	CONDITION (OBSTRUCTIONS, DEBRIS, ETC.) Channel meanders from outlet past spoil disposal section into a woode bottom is rocky with no debris.	SLOPES The slopes of the channel through the spoil disposal section are mode with brush growing on the banks. Also, there is some erosion along t Slopes in the wooded area are flat.	APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF HOMES AND POPULATION A housing development with more than a dozen homes is located left of channel approximately 500 feet downstream of the dam. The homes are flood plain.	

DOWNSTRFAM CHANNEL

8

1. N. W. C.

	CHECK LIST ENGINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION
ITEM	REMARKS
LAN OF DAM	Available on microfilm at NJ Department of Environmental Protection (NJ-DEP), 1474 Prospect Street, P.O. Box CN-029, Trenton, NJ 08625 Available at U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service (SCS) 1370 Hamilton Street, Somerset, NJ 08873
EGIONAL VICINITY MAP	Available. Hunterdon County Map and U.S.G.S. Quadrangle sheet for Hackettstown, N.J.
ONSTRUCTION HISTORY	No formal history exists, but can deduced from available microfilm at NJ-DEP.
YPICAL SECTIONS OF DAM	Available on microfilm at NJ-DEP and SCS files.
YDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC DATA	Limited data available at NJ-DEP and SCS files.
UTLEIS - PLAN	Available on microfilm, NJ-DEP and SCS files.
- DETAILS	Available on microfilm, NJ-DEP and SCS files.
- CONSTRAINTS	None.
- DI SCHARGE RATINGS	Not available.
AINFALL / RESERVOIR RECORDS	Not available.
	9

S. C ...

		verlay Sheet for Hunterdon County and ew Jersey, Report No. 6 - Hunterdon County, by wick, NJ).	rofilm, NJ-DEP and SCS files.		microfilm, NJ-DEP and SCS files.			P and SCS files.	
CHECK LIST CHECK LIST ENGINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION (continued)	REMARKS None available	None available. Available U.S.G.S. Geologic Ov Engineering Soils Survey of Ne Rutgers University (New Brunsv	Limited data available on mic	None available.	Test pit results available on None available.	None.	Unknown.	Available on microfilm, NJ-DEI	
	ITEM DESIGN DEDODTS	GEOLOGY REPORTS	DESTGN COMPUTATIONS	DAM STABILITY SEEPAGE STUDIES	MATERIALS INVESTIGATIONS BORING RECORDS LABORATORY FILLD	POST-CONSTRUCTION SURVEYS OF DAM	BORROW SOURCES	SPIILWAY PLAN - SECTIONS - DETAILS	

4 Baiel

100

Lin L

	ENGINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION (continued)
ITEM	REMARKS
RATING EQUIPMENT NS AND DETAILS	Available on microfilm, NJ-DEP and SCS files.
ITORING SYSTEMS	None available.
IFICATIONS	None
H POOL RECORDS	Not kept.
T CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING DIES AND REPORTS	Existing condition report, July 1973 Available on microfilm, NJ-DEP.
DR ACCIDENTS OF FAILURE DAM - DESCRIPTION - REPORTS	None known to exist.
NTENANCE OPERATION ORDS	None known to exist.
	11

APPENDIX B

-

PHOTOGRAPHS

(Taken on January 12 and February 3, 1981)



?

Photo 1 - View of main spillway from top of dam. (Photo taken on January 12, 1981.)



Photo 2 - View of main spillway showing two 6-inch steel cold water outlets. (Photo taken on January 12, 1981.)

A. SHE .. COMMENTS



Photo 3 - View of auxiliary spillway approach channel from right end of dam. Note concrete sill wall lower right of photo. (Photo taken on February 3, 1981)



Photo 4 - View of auxiliary spillway discharge channel along toe of downstream slope looking from top of embankment toward right end of dam. (Photo taken on January 12, 1981.)



Photo 5 - View of upstream slope from left end of dam. Note growth of brush along slope. (Photo taken on February 3, 1981.)



Photo 6 - View of downstream slope from left end of dam. Heavy area of grass in left center is the location of the discharge channel. (Photo taken on February 3, 1981.)



Photo 7 - View of downstream channel taken from top of dam (Photo taken on January 12, 1981.)



Photo 8 - View of low-level outlet discharge. (Photo taken on February 3, 1981.)



Photo 9 - View of discharge channel from top of embankment looking toward left spoil disposal area. Note houses in urper center of photo. (Photo taken on January 12, 1981.)



Photo 10 - View of downstream channel in wooded area. (Photo taken on January 12, 1981.)

APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF ENGINEERING DATA

CHECK LIST HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC DATA ENGINEERING DATA

Name of Dam:CAMP BEISLER DAM
Drainage Area Characteristics: 1.21 square miles
Elevation Top Normal Pool (Storage Capacity): <u>879 NGVD (20 acre_feet)</u>
Elevation Top Flood Control Pool (Storage Capacity): N/A
Elevation Maximum Design Pool: <u>884.45 NGVD (SDF pool: 65 acre-feet)</u>
Elevation Top Dam:884.1 NGVD (61 acre-feet)
SPILLWAY CREST: Main: 879.0 NGVD a. Elevation Auxiliary: 881.3 NGVD Main: Concrete Drop Inlet b. Type Auxiliary: Earth Channel Main: 8.0 feet c. Width Auxiliary: 30.0 feet Main: 28.0 feet d. Length Auxiliary: 70.0 feet e. Location Spillover Entire length - Auxiliary f. No. and Type of Gates None
OUTLET WORKS:
a. Type 60-inch C.M.P.
b. Location At main spillway
c. Entrance Inverts867.0 NGVD
d. Exit Inverts867.0 NGVD
e. Emergency Draindown Facilities <u>16-inch sluice gate-60-inch C.M.P.</u>
HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL GAGES:
a. Type None
b. LocationNone
c. RecordsNone
MAXIMUM NON-DAMAGING DISCHARGE: 1416 cfs at elevation 884.1 NGVD.

1.000

APPENDIX D

HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS



SUBJECT N. J. Dam Inchection PRC Harris, Inc. Camp Beister Dam JOB NO. 10-1176-01 CONSULTING ENGINEERS COMPUTED BY SIB CHECKED DATE 1: 7: EQ1 e Determination of PMP **__**___. Probable Maximum ppt. (inches) for an area of 10 square miles and 6-hour duration = 26 "---D.A = 1.21 Sq miles = 6 ZONE The comps of Engineers recommended that 20% reduction to be applied to the report value for a 10 sq miles drainage area in order to provide for the imperfect fit of the storm nonyetal patterns to the Shape of the particular bacin. Because of the unlikelihood of a burfect Stike of a storm center on any ponticular small hasin, no variation is assumed between point and 10 squaremiles precipitation P:M.P = 26" × (1-0.2) = 20.8" (Computer adopted this value after making adjustments. Depth area duration relationship. Percentage to be applied to the above 6 hr PHP $6_{\rm Hr} = 100 / .$ 12 hr = 108 1/-24 hr = 117 1/-48 hr = 127 1/- (Not neccessary) - IN FILTRATION : Initial Infiltration = 1 in Const. Infiltration = 0.1 in / hr. (<u>)</u>
SUBJECT N.J. Dam Inspection SHEET NO. --- OF 2 PRC Harris, Inc. Comp Beisler No.10-1176-61 CONSULTING ENGINEERS DETERMINATION OF TC L. Estimating Te from velocity estimate and watercourse length (Ref. Resign of small Dam Fig30) Slope Vel Remarks 1000-900 ×100 = 2.5% 1.5 Overland Flow Postures upper portion of water shed 1:0 -100 - 876 × 1.3% Reach 1 Natural Channel (Neglect flow through = 1.24 hrs. Channel) $T_{C} = \frac{4,000}{1.5 \times 3600} + \frac{1,800}{1 \times 3600}$ 2. Estimating Te arouning same velocity $T_e = \frac{5,800}{1 \times 3600} = 1.61 \text{ hrs},$ 3. From Nomograph of derign of small Dam (S.C.S. Gruide) - Same as Kirpich $T_{c} = \left(\frac{11 \cdot q}{H}\right)^{-385} \quad L \text{ in miles} = 1 \cdot 1 \text{ miles}$ $= \left(\frac{11 \cdot 9 \times 1 \cdot 1^{3}}{124}\right)^{385}$ |+ in Ff = 124 Ff · 4 5 hrs. Use Te = 1.24 hrs Lag = 0.6 × 1.24 = 174 hrs.

suever N. J. Day Instration SHEET NO. 01 3. PRC Harris, Inc. Camp Beisler Dam CONSULTING ENGINEERS COMPUTED BY S.B. CHECKED BY Area of Lake at normal book level = 5.0 HC (Information from the file) Height of the Dam = 17.1 Small Dam Hazard Potential - Significant $S.D.F = \frac{1}{2} PMF$ Hydrologic Analysis Drainage Area = 1.21 sq miles Inflow Hydrograph at Reservoir was determined Using HEC 1 DB program. Inflow routed through the recervoir. SPILLWAY AND DAM The drawings by U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, S.C.S, are used in the study. Channel invert at the bottom of Dam = 82' (S.C.S. drawing) channel invert at the dam location = 867 785' is added will all the S.C.S drawing elevations to get the U.S.G.S. elevation.

SUBJECT N. J. Dans Taspe Arm Comp Beister COMPUTED BY SB. CHECKED BY SHEET NO._____OF.___ PRC Harris, Inc. JOB NO/0- 1176-01 CONSULTING ENGINEERS PLAN OF THE DAM (Schematic Dam 630' Length Aux. spill 12 18 i' bi in Drop inlet skillway Equivalent length = 39.5 (Measured in the field) Elevation of Dam = 5.1 A above main spillway (from field) Elev. = 94.0 (SC. \$ drug) + 5-1 = 99.1 - 7 Elevation of Dam in U.S.G.S datum = 884.1Ft Elevation of Aux. Spillway = 96.3 (s.c.s drawing) = 881.3Ft (U.S.G.S) Elevation of drop inlet spillway = 94 (s.c.sdr) = 879 Ft (U.S.G.L Length of Pipe = 86 Ft 60"4 Bituminous coated Corrugated Metal

SUBJECT NE Dam Inspectany SHEET NO. OF. JOB NO. 10-1176 - JUNE COMPUTED BY SB. CHECKED BY. DATE. PRC Harris, Inc. Jos No. 19-1176-11 CONSULTING ENGINEERS For the brop inlet spillway, water could enter through all sides of the rinket Efective length of spillway = 1s = 39.5' & : GLSH 1.5 $= 3.3 \times 39.5 H = 130.35 H_s$ Considering flow through the fube (5'¢) $Q_{1} = Cd \cdot A_{0}\sqrt{29}H_{0}$ $= \cdot 63 \times \left(\frac{7\tau}{4} \times 5^2\right) \times 8 \sqrt{Ho}$ Ho = Diff of elevation = 99 VH0 between H.W and T.W. Tailwater is assumed = 870 E levation Head over Q Through Head for Flow through spillway of Water spillway orifice Orifice Hs 130.35 Hs for Ho Ro = 90 VHO 879 0 130 -> spillway 10 880 284 455 control 881.3 2.3 302] 11.3 882 3 311 677 12 883 4 324 Flow 1043 13 hegulated by pipe 884.1 5.1 1,501 334 14.1 885 16 7 2414 360 888 9 3519 18 382 890 20 403 4755 11 450 895 8,342 25 16 12,544 493 21 30 900

SUBJECT NJ Dam Inspection PRC Harris, Inc. Comp Buster Jos No. Nº-11 76 -CONSULTING ENGINEERS Ż Stage-Outflow relationship :-OFIN through Drop what = Qo 1.5 @ Flow through Aux spillway = QA = 3.3 LA Ha 1.5 = 3:3×70 HA = 231 HA 3 Flow through Dam = QD = 2.75 LoHD = 3.3×630 HD "5 = 2079 HD 15 mlet QA 1.5 231 HA 1.5 QD Stage 1.5 HA HD. 2079 Ho Q Total Õ 3 \bigcirc \bigcirc 3 (b) + (b) + (b) \bigcirc Dich -> 879 0 0 130 130 880 Aux: 881.3 302 302 0 Spill 882 311 .7 135 446 883 324 1.7 512 836 Dan-884.1 1416 334 2.8 0 1082 886 8,159 1.9 5,445 360 4.7 2354 888 382 20,400 6.7 4006 3.9 16,012 890 403 36, 125 8.7 29,794 5928 5.9 895 13.7 1,714 74,816 33,980 450 10.9 150,983 900 493 18.7 18,680 15.9 131,810



ND. 340R-1.510 DIETZBEN GRAPH PAPER

Surger A.J. Dave Inspection SHEET NO._____OF.____OF.____ JOB NO.<u>10-11 26-7</u>/___ PRC Harris, inc. CONSULTING ENGINEERS ر بر ا Elevation Area - Capacity relationship. Information obtained from U.S.G.S Quad. Ele: 867 (Bottom of Dam) 879 . 900 Surface Area (AC) 5.0 49.6 0 HEC 1 DB program will develop storage capacity from surface area elevation _____ 890 880 870 861 266 5 30 10 15 25 20 35 40 45 50 Area in AC



Reach 1

$$L = 1,000 \text{ FL}$$

 $S = \frac{20}{1000} = .02$

SUBJECT N.J. DAM INSPECTION PRC Harris, Inc. SHEET NO. _____OF ._ JOB NO. 10 -1176-01 Comp Beister Dam CONSULTING ENGINEERS DATE JON , 1981 DRAWDOWN TIME COMPUTATION 94 El= 879 When the gate is open Normal Elevation to start = 879 $\ln flow = \frac{2 cfs}{m^2} \times 1.21 = 2.42 cfs$ 16" . 16" - El = 867.5 $Q = CA \sqrt{29} \lambda$ C = 0.62& = 8.8 Vh Assume T. W. Depth = 867 $A_2 = \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^2 A_1$ $A_1 = 5$ $k_1 = 12$ ANG VOL ANG Q Avea Drawdown *fus* Cum Drawdoner Cum AC Area AF RES BIBVA EL time time with inflow lime Velx 12.1 Lys 2.42×t (Ft) (Ac) (Ad) (AF) Ft CFS (HTS) (HRS) (Hrs) HRS × 879 5 4.235 8.47 878 29.2 3.5 3.5 •3 3.8 877 3.47 6.6 2.845 5.69 876 26.4 2.6 •2 6.1 B75 2.22 1.735 3.47 874 23.3 IB 8.6 7.9 •2 125 873 ·905 1.81 872 19.7 1.1 9.0 • } 9.8 871 '56 ·350 ·70 870 15.2 ·6 9.1 1 10.5 14 869 ·070 ·14 868 8.8 ·2 9.8 •1 10.8 867. 0 Time of Drawdown without inflow = 9.8 firs. Time of Drawdown with constant inflow = 10.8 hrs.



SUBJECT NJ Dans Inspection Camp Breesler COMPUTED BY SB CHECKED BY PRC Harris, Inc. SHEET NO._____ OF. 13 JOB NO. 10-1176-01 CONSULTING ENGINEERS Breach Analysis Assume breach analysis begins to develop when reservoir stage reaches above the Dom Time of Failure = 16.0 hrs Z - 88319 Assume Vertical Stopes 869.0 300 Ft Effect of breach was analysised 1000 Ft Downstream of the Dam. Max stage without Dam break = 850.8 Max stage with Dam break = 851.4 (1. 10.6 ft change in W.S. El Due to Dam break. at 0.4 PmF

56 (HEC-1) JULT 1978 A 170 79	CAN DAY RESPECTION			LOCAL INFLOW 1 2 1.21 1.21 0 26 100 108 117		ROUTING THROUGH DAM	1 190 181.3 182 182 182 181 181 181 181 181 181 181		BAN.I REVENI 1 REVENI CHANNEL KOUFIKG BY MOD. PULS REACH 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						
		100 H	- n n	k1 L0C	- 3 -	ROU	1 879 Y	11 867 15 867 1967	K 384.1 K 1 R KI CHA	11 12	17 1500 17 1500 17 1500	:				

		N.J. ORN THS-LETION CARP OFICEN DAR CLARP OFICEN DAR NULTI BATIO PAR ROUTING ULTI BATIO PAR ROUTING ULTI BATIO PAR ROUTING ULTI BATION J. D.	HIIO5= 4.50 0.40 0.50 0.20 JUIO HIIO5= 4.50 0.40 0.50 0.20 JUIO	LUP-AKEA RUNGFF CCHPUTATION 10CAL 117-LOW 15120 1CON2 1ECON 117AF JPLT JFRI INAME 15TAGE 1AUTO FCS 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0	ІНҮДС ТИНБ ТАЯЕА SNAP TASTA TASPC RATTO TSNCW TSAMF LOCAL 1 7 1.21 0.0 1.21 0.30 0.300 5.00 0.300 5.00 0.300 5.00 0.000 раста раз раз ката вата вата вата вата вата вата вата	140PT STRKR CLTWR ATTOL FUELDS; DETA 1.40PT STRKR CLTWR ATTOL FUELY STRKC ATTCK STRTL FNSTL ALSMK K11WP 0.550 0.500 1.60 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.10 0.00 0.00 1.111 HYCROGRAPH CATA	10-2 0.00 LA = 0.14 аfression Data аfression Data 11. Hydrocaaph 17 Luo of Defain 0.01Nate: 102 0.00 Hius; Lage 0.74 vol= 1.00 12. 218, 675, 0.76, 17. 75. 0.50 45. 24. 18. 11. 7. 55. 0.50 Hius; 15. 11. 7. 72. 45.	0
--	--	---	--	---	---	---	---	---

14	6
----	---

	• <u></u>				· · · · · ·			RAF	<u>.</u>		<u>aletere.</u>	<u>pon</u>	গৰাজ্যলায	0323			<u>11 </u>	<u></u>	2
	•								-			-							
							1												1
	•	-		1	1														
	22:17	5514 5520 5520	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	2362	11:22	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	246. 246.	200-200-117-	163.	141.	107	93. 87.		62	944.07				
			50 50 50		03		10 17 0 17 0 17 0 17 0 17 0 17 0 17 0 1	02 02 02	- 205 	0.5	202	202	00	0.0	L. H (.* 6.9			0	18.
202000 222000 22222	2000 2000 2000 2000	0 6 70 70 70	70 55 55	55	02 0		02 0	20.20	105 - 20 20 20 20	005	02	02 02 02 02	000	0	· · · · · ·) . , , []]	•••	•
					00			50 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1000 1000 1000			50	000	0 0 0	5. 14 .8		: : :		-
				- 20				E 0 7	1.0 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	50.0				0 0.1	0 LUNC	H674. 1945. 22.00	58.92	.	
	مە ت تىرغ ا		-10-0	~~~	~~	~ ~ ~	F 4, 20	ao ac e	e a x			6.6.6	6.20	01	01AL V	9	s:		•
		10.15	17.33	17.45			20.20	20.30	21.15	22.11	22.45	25.30	6.5 4 - D	1.00	1 HOC	100. 000		0	
		19.1		10-1	101	10.1	10.1	10.1	10-1				1.02	20.1	H-62	22	6	- 0 - 1	•
															THOUR	20.00	1119	5 F.0R	
				0 - 0			32	109.	121	137.	138	135	138	382.					-
225,22			-	5		1	200	200	E NO	200	1000		202	56	10H-9	- 251 - 251 - 161	121 121	41 S1	••
									000	00					Pr A	580. 152.		RI CRAPH	
		,		0.0	00		0.00												:
00000	0 0 0	0.03	10 0 10 0	20.0	50.0	70°0 70°0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.07	10.0	10.0	0.00	1 52		CHS CHS	11-11 201 N		•
0.0 M Z O I	2122		1900	201	9 4 69 7 69 59	12 12		225	692	555		****		F			NU11		
					9.1					5.5		84							
55655	-	1110	3060	391		10	565		555	55				1.12	• •				
	 					~ ~ ~		-						Γ	•			1	
	: 									!			 					1	

•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		10111111		۹.			B IST	হাহাম		IN THE REAL	REFE	<u> </u>
						11H ^C OF Failure Haurs 0.005	0000 0000 0000	0.00				
	.10 59. 2351	56. 527 C		OF DA#	984.10 61. 1416.	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS 16-25	16.25 16.50 16.50	16.75				
0 FLONS 4 85110		2) []]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]	vs1s	1 10P		004 41 10% 0ver 10% Hours 1.50	1.00 0.25 0.00	0.00	I H	11ME 16.50	16.75 16.75	•
APPLICN T		00 100 28 100 28 100 28 100	AFETY ANAL	LLVAY CRES	879.00 20. 0.	2675.	2179. 1610. 1000.	160.	ATTON REAC	51465.51 51465.51 551.0 855.8	850•1 849•4 849•4	-
Solis -	1 1014 1 1014	10101 10101 10101	DF DAM SI	1145		1404 P.	64. 62. 52.	+0.	1 211	2687- 2687- 2287-	1552.	1
	55103 - 512	21/9 61.15 61.75 61.65 61.65	SUMMAPY	Pal valut	879.00 20. 0.	N S LO			PLAN	10 11	30	-
1 . 1155	06-2 •06-2	2+75. 75:7671 2:67. 7:0737		I N I		N X IN DEPT	0.0	0.0		200	6 6 6	
PLAN					ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW		784.31 684.15 883.11	962.03	•	Lute		
A 1H 4	1:1:	1.21 5.175 15.15 3.155				110 0F MF	000	10				
51411.94	RES	DA 1 REACH1						0				
8 A 7 101	11 HAPH .(H)	UTED 19 UTED 10										



NT755 1987 СОНР 0 (77.)69. 1204. 1361. i 15 10.00 10 VOL= 1.00 1 AUTO 41145 0.00 LOCAL NSTAN ; ISTAGE 0+30 HCURS+ LAG= 0+7+ Ì TSANE 96 Y TFRT **JNANE** ŧ 57. U. UU RT105 - 7.00 1.NOU 0-1-1-1 1340 ł HA - 40 12 - 45 13 - 07 13 - 15 13 - 35 HULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED AAT : 0 00 00 METAC LUN-SREA RUNCEF COMPUTATION TKACE JPLI c 10.1 CND-DF- TEATOD FLITT TC= 0.00 HY:FOGALM UNIT 0F FER 100 040 141 16 . TC= -1-00 PECESSION DATA JO' SPECIFICATION HY ROGEAPH DATA PHERIP 1414 412 R24 104-00 117-00 1.21 185PC NINI L 40 - T 0 3 d v 1 1 -----172 1Fcau SNEP CANP OF INSPECTION CANP OF ISLEN DAM DAM BREAK ANALYSIS 5.0 10°0 A LUAN JUPIR 9 ICOMP R110L - TAREA STRTOF UNIT HYDHOGAAPH IT END THE NHID • 151×0 ki s ... DLTK8 0.40 LOCAL INFLO. IUNG FL003 H194064400 PACAGE (HEC-1) Dam Saffir VLK300 JULY 1478 Lai H041FLAT101 25 FF0 70 4110\$+ STAKA J. 00 BUTHL 14. -NATE: 10F. KPR 07 1991 rfa1 J0 14301 · . ------1.5 14° . 41 THSPC CONPUTED •. RUN ١ <u>যারাহারের</u> ৫ ১ 312191 2 115 ŏ ñ 2 **D** : . 2

141212121211

Ç

				• 6		-1-	-		-	272			119			<u>1</u>	NT.T	2 X 1	23	1	27	22	5 8	27		11	- - -	্ৰা			1	15.2	i I	1 2:9:: i	11	3 3	23	32	T				<u> </u>		
				•					:					!				i											; ; ; ;									1		1				:	
				•					1											ļ									1													i 1			
									Ì							1			•	•																		i		1		1			
•	N Y		• •	 	::	8.	•	•••	1.	N 4							• •				•••	7.				•••	ما		; ; ;	::	•			5.											
6 .			200	212	1.5.2	504		528	₩ 5 ₩	4 G S	10C	3.5	212	DAL .	121	8.0	N .	92	*		107	19	11	51	F :	22			<u>،</u>	c ~		- 0	9	6872		Ì				1		1		•	
			:03		.03	.05	5	1 4					53	20		6	200	12-20	2		20	02	20	65		30			20	y ,y 0 0	1.00	99	-00	11.65	•.					1		be		5	151.
			•••			÷			0							0		B anna a				0										••		2 - 11										:	
3 . .	0		2.0		n n	-	D •1		1.10.	0.7			5.0	0.0		0.0		0 P				0.0		0		0.0	0.0					0 0 0 0	0.0	21.6.	•	:							ٿي ڏ	33	11
C.62	0.62	0.74	0.78	0.78	1.52	24.45		54.0	0:75-	0.73		15.0	0.57	50.0		50.0	0.00 0.00	50.0	0.05	SD 0		0.05	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.01	50.0		1.65		0.00		Ū.00-	1.34	· .		•••	- -		•		•		:	
ی ۲	31	15	53	1		53	20	e e e	57 C	57 8 4			72	121		16-4	77	10	90	18	9 P3	R4			88.4	06		2 4 2 4 2 4	 	9.6		98		2	911 IQA	16989	19-5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1419	1521				1	n
															•													•					Γ	5							-				•
19-41	19.00	14.30	14.45	1 0 0 0	02-01	15.45	15.00	16.30	16.45	11.00		17.45	14.60		13.45	0.0.4.1	19.10	19:10	80.00	20.15	20.00	21.00	1.12	21.41	22.00	22.30	54:22	23.15			11.0	0.4.0	1.00								4 71 0				
• • •	10.		.01	101	. 10.	. 11			- 11:	22			-01	5		LP	10.		.01		5.	. C]	10	5	1.		5	55	10.	5.5		20,	- 12		62 - 5 E	89	1	100	E	175	AN 1.				, v
-		•	-		-		[•••			•			I						1		• -• •	[Ĩ											.1.	14 BC	i		μ). 	-
	•		•	•				• •			•						•		•		•••	•				• •				•••			•		1.000				-		د د ب د		•••	•	
														ļ		-						12(1	ŕ	, î		<u> </u>		n n	Ē	25	E.			12.1				36.	57.4				•
03			-11 - 11 -			10	50		12.						0	1	01		20			02		20	02	20	20		20		20	 	03		1 - 3	52			12	5	12 1				5.0
	•••	c				3	6. 			ċ.				þ	• •	5	• •		•			•	-	c.	6.				; .		F	••			1		- 23				ر 69 ها	1			
0°0	0.0		0.00	00.00		0000	0.0		<u>0.1 D</u>	0.00			00.0	B		0.00	0.00	0.0.	0 - 1 +			6.64			0	50.5							1.1			ŗ		ł		•	8741		•••	. -	
50.0	20.02		0.03			10.01	52.0	n	U . U T	20.00			0.03	0.0		10.0	0.07	-11-0	10.0	~~~	.07	0.07	10.0	10.0		0.07				.0.0	10.0	0.52 0.52			• •	ł	542		C +F I	2					
•	<u>د</u> م	• T	c ;	-		-				2		21	12	52		9.	2 4				 	34	50	5				**	5.				Ļ		•			-	Ā .	SNOT		2	 	- 22	a) a) a)
													•) 					, 1 ,		1.						-					
1.15	1.50	1 0 0 7 0 7 0 8	5.1.2			3.15											6. 45 7. 0 0		1.30		A.15	4.30	2 4 6	9.15		0.00		0.43		11.30	11.12	2.15						İ							
13.			10	1	10.	1			Ē		5			5		10.			10			10		5		55	[-	53	[53		10	Ē											ł	
-			-	- 	-	i.	- .	•-	-		Ī		-		•	Ī		Ī		-	-	-		• •••	 		 	 ^	۲·			÷÷	İ	İ				İ							
									1			,		1:1 1						•] 	••••			1				• :				•	.]				i	
	c		Ż	<u>_</u> t	1	17	-		-	-	+	7	13	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	•		+		3	3][E	2	15	1		b		1	N N			T	111	<u>T</u>				ф		12.1	T	÷	;	

Contraction of the local distance of the loc

20

Approximation and the state of the state of the state of the

•

Æ			<u>निहों</u> 	<u>- 1</u>			গ্রা		ভাষ	दर्श						A T	<u>दा</u> स -	<u>ग</u> ।		ান্য টান্য		- 92	3 CI			লচাৰ	1318				<u>1 1</u>	<u>, </u>	5
				.			·•• •			-											14. 			1	.			بر بر					
		• • •	•••		• •		• •	• =	•••		9		•			••			••			• • •	•		••	• •	•	•		• •			
		• • •	•	•	••		» • 0		•••	a •	•	8 0	9	•••	•	•	•	•	• •	•	••	•	•		• •	• •	•	•		• •	•		
00.1		• • •		•	• • a		• • •	•	••	••	•	0	.0	9 0C	- -	• •	•	•	••	•	••	• •			••	• •	•	•		• •		ſ	
1000	• •	• • •	••		••	0	• •	•	••	••	•	•	•	• •	•	90	80 0	•	••	•	•••	•			• •	• •	•	•	• • • • • • • •	• •			
0010	•	•••			•••		• •		• •	• •	•		•	••		••		110	 10 E	•	••				••	• •	•	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••			
24.00		• • •	•	· • • • • • •	••		• •	•	• •	• •	•		•	••		•••	•	•	••		6. 6.	•••			••	• •	••••	• •		• •	•		
0016		• • •		•	••				••	••	•	•			•	•		•	••		•••				•••		••••	•		• •	•		
164.APH 220.0	•	•••	••		••	•	• •	•	••	•••	•	•	•	•••	•	•		•	••	•	• •	•	• • • • • • • •		• •	••		•					
5400 HAT 20	•	- •••	e .		••	•	• •		••	•••				·		• •			• •		• •	••••					ور المراجعة المراجعة . 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1			• •	•		
AN UTER PR	• • • • •	ء بر ج			••	*: *: *: *: *: *: *: *: *:	• •		•••	•••			•	• •	•	•			••	•	• •	•	•••••		•	• •	·		······································	••	•		
(a) (•••••	•••	•••		••		• •	• •	•••	• •	•		•	•••		•	•	•	••	•	••	•••	• • • • • • •		•••	• •	•	•	• • •	<u>د</u> • ب	•		
		•••	•••	•	••		• •	•	••	• •	•	• •		••				•	••	•••	• •	• •	•		••	•••	••••	•		• •	₹ . :	:	
					÷ 6	10				17.	13.	7.5			26.	R	29.	• • • •					5.5					T					
(;)	100 100 100		113	1 13	16-13	1	16.21	1		16.31				16.46	16.45	11.52	15.55 (25.55	19.54	15.62		15.51		2.41	11.11		10.3 1.0 1.0	16.95			11 . 90	11.00		

-