



PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the <u>Recommended</u> <u>Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams</u>, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Department of the Army, Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314.

The purpose of a Phase I investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon visual observations and review of available data. Detailed investigations and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, material testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the inspection is intended to identify any need for such studies which should be performed by the owner.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of the dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external factors which are evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detected and only through continued care and maintenance can these conditions be prevented or corrected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the spillway design flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. The spillway design flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

The assessment of the conditions and recommendations was made by the consulting engineer in accordance with generally and currently accepted gengineering principles and practices.



"Original contains color platen: All DTIC reproduction: Fill be in black and white" on For

%A&I

10ed

Stribution/

ation

Avail and/or Special

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

PHASE I REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

NAME OF DAM: Chamberlain Pond Dam STATE LOCATED: Pennsylvania COUNTY LOCATED: Wyoming STREAM: Little Mehoopany Creek, tributary of the Susquehanna River SIZE CLASSIFICATION: Small HAZARD CLASSIFICATION: High OWNER: Mr. Robert Witlock DATE OF INSPECTION: November 12, 1980 and February 4, 1981

ASSESSMENT: Based on the evaluation of the existing conditions, the condition of Chamberlain Pond Dam is considered to be unsafe/nonemergency because of structural deficiencies and seriously inadequate flood discharge capacity. The dam is a dry masonry wall backed by an earth fill. The left abutment nonoverflow section appears to have settled, causing structural cracks in the dam. The stone wall on the downstream side of this section is bulging and tilting downstream. In view of these conditions, the structural stability of the dam is considered to be questionable, requiring further investigation.

The flood discharge capacity of the dam was evaluated according to the recommended criteria and it was found to pass approximately 20 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) without overtopping the nonoverflow section of the dam. This capacity is less than the recommended spillway design flood of full PMF. Because the dam cannot pass 50 percent of the PMF and because failure of the dam is considered to significantly increase the downstream damage potential compared to that which would exist just before failure, the flood discharge capacity of the dam is considered to be seriously inadequate.

The following recommendations should be implemented as soon as possible or on a continuing basis.

- 1. The owner should immediately retain a professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of dams to initiate additional investigations to more accurately ascertain the structural adequacy of the dam to pass the required spillway design flood without distress and to determine the nature and extent of improvements required to provide adequate flood discharge capacity.
- 2. In conjunction with further evaluation of the dam, causes of the left abutment distress should be investigated and necessary corrective steps taken.
- 3. The ponded water in the spillway plunge pool should be drained and the toe of the dam should be inspected. Repairs should be performed if the structural

Assessment - Chamberlain Pond Dam

stability of the dam is considered to be affected by the erosion.

- 4. The structural and operational condition of the outlet works should be evaluated and necessary maintenance performed.
- 5. Seepage through the dry masonry wall should be monitored and necessary remedial work should be performed if serious seepage conditions develop.
- Around-the-clock surveillance should be provided during unusually heavy runoff and a formal warning system developed to alert the downstream residents in the event of emergencies.
- 7. The owner should develop a formal operating and maintenance plan and inspect the dam regularly and perform necessary maintenance.



Lawrence D. Andersen, P.E. Vice President

March 19, 1981 Date

Approved by:

101 PECK W. GMIST Colonel, Corps of Engineers e R District Engineer 17 Date 22 APR 8 And a • • • · · · · · · · · $\Delta = 1.5$ · · iii

CHAMBERLAIN POND DAM NDI I.D. PA-0890 DER I.D. 066-011 NOVEMBER 12, 1980



١

a.

Looking Upstream



Looking Downstream Overview

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(

SEC	TION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION	1
1.1	General	1
1.2	Description of Project	1
1.3	Pertinent Data	2
SEC	TION 2 - DESIGN DATA	4
	Design	4
	Construction	4
2.3	Operation	5
	Other Investigations	5
2.5	Evaluation	5
SEC	TION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION	6
3.1	Findings	6
3.2	Evaluation	7
SEC	TION 4 - OPERATIONAL FEATURES	8
	Procedure	8
	Maintenance of the Dam	8
4.3	Maintenance of Operating Facilities	8
	Warning System	8
4.5	Evaluation	8
SEC'	TION 5 - HYDRAULICS AND HYDROLOGY	9
5.1	Evaluation of Features	9
SEC'	TION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY	11
6.1	Evaluation of Structural Stability	11
SEC	TION 7 - ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS/	
	PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES	12
7.1	Dam Assessment	12
7.2	Recommendations/Remedial Measures	12

PAGE

14632.5

And a subscription

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

APPENDIX A - CHECKLIST, VISUAL INSPECTION, PHASE 1
APPENDIX B - CHECKLIST, ENGINEERING DATA, DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, AND HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC, PHASE I
APPENDIX C - PHOTOGRAPHS
APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS ANALYSES
APPENDIX E - PLATES
APPENDIX F - REGIONAL GEOLOGY

Min rolling St. ast.

PHASE I REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM CHAMBERLAIN POND DAM NDI I.D. PA-0890 DER I.D. 066-011

SECTION 1 PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. <u>Authority</u>. The inspection was performed pursuant to the authority granted by The National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, to the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to conduct inspections of dams throughout the United States.

b. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of this inspection is to determine if the dam constitutes a hazard to human life or property.

1.2 Description of Project

a. Dam and Appurtenances. Chamberlain Pond Dam consists of a dry masonry wall approximately 100 feet long with a maximum height of 18 feet above the downstream toe of the dam and a crest width of 6 feet. Available records indicate that an earth fill has been placed against the upstream side of the dam to a level approximately three to four feet below the level of the overflow section. It appears that in conjunction with this work a concrete slab was placed on top of the overflow section and abutments and a concrete cutoff wall was constructed against the upstream face of the old wall.

A central overflow section 63 feet wide and approximately 4 feet deep constitutes the flood discharge facilities of the dam. Discharge over this section flows into a plunge pool at the toe of the dam and downstream into the natural stream channel. The outlet works appears to be a two-foot-diameter cast-in-place concrete conduit controlled by a gate on the upstream end. The gate is operated by a stem supported by a steel structure extending above the reservoir water level. This outlet system is the emergency drawdown facility for the dam.

b. Location. Chamberlain Pond Dam is located (N41° 39.9', W76° 09.0') on Little Mehoopany Creek, less than one-half mile west of the town of Jenningsville in Windham Township, Wyoming County, Pennsylvania. Plate 1 illustrates the location of the dam.

c. <u>Size Classification</u>. Small (based on 18-foot height and 562 acre-feet storage capacity at maximum pool).

d. <u>Hazard Classification</u>. The dam is classified to be in the high hazard category. Downstream from the dam, Little Mehoopany Creek flows through the town of Jenningsville, then discharges into Jennings Pond (NDI I.D. PA-0891) at a distance of approximately one mile below the dam. Below Chamberlain Pond Dam, approximately five houses, one church, and one general store are considered to be within the potential floodplain of Little Mehoopany Creek. It is estimated that failure of Chamberlain Pond Dam would cause loss of more than a few lives and appreciable property damage in this area and would potentially result in failure of Jennings Pond Dam.

e. <u>Ownership</u>. Mr. Robert Witlock, R.D. #2, Box 322, Mehoopany, Pennsylvania 18629.

f. Purpose of Dam. Recreation.

g. <u>Design and Construction History</u>. No information is available on design and construction of the dam. The dam was first inspected by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in 1919.

h. <u>Normal Operating Procedure</u>. The reservoir is normally maintained at the crest level of the spillway, Elevation 1055. The inflow occurring when the lake is at or above the spillway crest level is discharged through the uncontrolled spillway.

1.3 <u>Pertinent Data</u>. Elevations referred to in this and subsequent sections of the report were calculated based on field measurements assuming the spillway crest to be at Elevation 1055 (USGS Datum) which is the normal pool elevation shown on the USGS 7.5-minute Jenningsville quadrangle.

a. Drainage Area

5.7 square miles

Unknown

Unknown

1360

1360

Not applicable

b. Discharge at Dam Site (cfs)

Maximum known flood at dam site Outlet conduit at maximum pool Gated spillway capacity at maximum pool Ungated spillway capacity at maximum pool Total spillway capacity at maximum pool

c. Elevation (USGS Datum) (feet)

Top of dam

Maximum pool Normal pool Upstream invert outlet works Downstream invert outlet works Maximum tailwater Toe of dam 1058.7 (low spot on left abutment) 1058.7 1055.0 Unknown 1044.1 Unknown 1041<u>+</u>

d.	Reservoir Length (feet)	
	Normal pool level	3400
	Maximum pool level	3475
~	Storage (acre-feet)	
e.	Storage (acre-reer)	
	Normal pool level	357
	Maximum pool level	562
f.	Reservoir Surface (acres)	
	Normal pool level	48.7
	Maximum pool level	62.2
g.	Dam	
	Туре	Dry masonry wall
	Length	100^+ feet
	Height	18 feet
	Topwidth	Varies, 5 feet
		to 6 feet
	Side slopes	Downstream:
		Vertical
		Upstream:
	Zoning	Not visible Not applicable
	Impervious core	Not applicable
	Cutoff	Concrete wall(1)
	Grout curtain	Unknown
h.	Regulating Outlet	
	Туре	20-inch pipe (appears to be cast-in-place concrete)
	Length	Unknown
	Closure	Gate valve
	Access	Gate stem extending above water surface
	Regulating facilities	Gate valve
i.	Spillway	
	Туре	Overflow section
	Length	62 feet (perpen-
		dicular to flow)
	Crest elevation	1055.0 feet
	Upstream channel	Lake
	Downstream channel	Natural streambed

1.

 $(1)_A$ postconstruction modification. Extent of penetration of the wall into the foundation is unknown.

SECTION 2 DESIGN DATA

2.1 Design

a. <u>Data Available</u>. The available data consist of files provided by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Environmental Resources (PennDER) which contain correspondence and inspection reports.

(1) Hydrology and Hydraulics. No design information is available.

(2) <u>Dam</u>. Available information consists of past inspection reports and correspondence.

(3) Appurtenant Structures. No design information is available.

b. Design Features

(1) <u>Dam</u>. No information is available on the design of the dam. Based on field observations, the dam is a dry masonry wall with earth fill on the upstream side. The wall is approximately 100 feet long with a maximum height of 18 feet above the downstream toe and a crest width of 6 feet.

(2) <u>Appurtemant Structures</u>. The appurtemant structures consist of a spillway which is the central low section of the dam and outlet works. The spillway is a concrete overflow section with a length of 62 feet and a 6-foot crest width. A 3.7-foot freeboard exists between the spillway crest and top of the dam.

The outlet works appear to consist of a 20-inch cast-in-place concrete conduit controlled by a gate on the upstream end. A gate stem supported by a steel structure is used to manually operate the valve.

c. Design Data

(1) Hydrology and Hydraulics. No design data are available.

(2) Embankment. No engineering data are available on the design of the embankment.

(3) Appurtenant Structures. No design information is available on the appurtenant structures.

2.2 <u>Construction</u>. No information is available on construction of the dam. In 1941, the concrete slab and cutoff wall described in Section 1.2 a were constructed and earth fill was placed against the upstream face to a level three to four feet below the spillway crest.

2.3 <u>Operation</u>. It is reported that there are no formal operating records maintained for the dam.

2.4 Other Investigations. None.

2.5 Evaluation

a. Availability. The available information was provided by PennDER.

b. <u>Adequacy</u>. No design and construction information is available to assess the adequacy of the design and construction of the dam and the appurtenant structures.

SECTION 3 VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

a. <u>General</u>. The onsite inspection of Chamberlain Pond Dam consisted of:

- 1. Visual inspection of the embankment, abutments, and embankment toe.
- 2. Visual examination of the spillway and the visible portions of the outlet works.
- 3. Evaluation of the downstream area hazard potential.

The specific observations are illustrated in Plate 2.

b. Dam. The general inspection of the dam consisted of searching for indications of structural distress, such as cracks, subsidence, bulging, wet areas, seeps, and observing general maintenance conditions, erosion, and other surficial features.

In general, the condition of the dam is considered to be poor. Structural cracks were observed on the crest and on the upstream face of the left abutment nonoverflow section. In addition, the crest has settled and the masonry wall is bulging downstream at this location. The left abutment nonoverflow section appears to be tilting downstream. Flow over the spillway appears to be eroding the toe of the dam. Seepage through the dry masonry wall was observed in an area between the toe and a level six feet below the spillway crest with an estimated flow rate of 10 to 20 gpm.

The crest of the dam was surveyed relative to the spillway crest elevation and it was found that the low spot on the crest is the left abutment area. The profile of the embankment is relatively uniform and is illustrated in Plate 3. The available freeboard is 3.7 feet from the normal pool level to the top of the dam.

c. <u>Appurtemant Structures</u>. The spillway structure was examined for deterioration or other signs of distress that would limit flow. In general, the spillway structure, which consists of the overflow section of the dam was found to be in poor condition.

The only visible portion of the outlet works was the downstream end in the outlet pipe, the gate stem, and the supporting structure. The steel structure which supports the gate stem was observed to be severely corroded near the normal pool level. No other portion of the facility was visible and operation of the outlet works was not observed. d. <u>Reservoir Area</u>. Chamberlain Pond Dam watershed includes two dams. The Negro Pond Dam (NDI I.D. PA-0889) which impounds a reservoir with a surface area of 81 acres at normal pool is located at the upstream end of the Chamberlain Pond Dam reservoir. One and one-half miles upstream of Negro Pond is Sharpe's Pond Dam (NDI I.D. PA-0888). Sharpe's Pond Dam impounds a reservoir with a surface area of 45 acres at normal pool level.

A map review indicates that the watershed is predominantly covered by woodlands. A review of the regional geology is included in Appendix F.

e. <u>Downstream Channel</u>. Below the dam is Little Mehoopany Creek which flows through the town of Jenningsville and into Jennings Pond at a distance of 0.8 mile below the dam. Jennings Pond Dam is a nine-foothigh dry masonry structure and impounds a reservoir with a 37-acre surface area at normal pool. A further description of the downstream conditions is included in Section 1.2 d.

3.2 Evaluation. The condition of the dam is considered to be poor. The left abutment nonoverflow section shows significant signs of distress, consisting of structural cracks on the upstream side, subsidence on the crest and downstream bulging of the stone wall, which cause concern as to the continued stability of the dam. Ponded water along the toe of the dam suggests that erosion may have occurred at the toe of the dam which may also affect the structural stability. A general seepage was observed through the dam starting from a level about six feet below spillway crest.

The outlet pipe gate stem and supporting structure have severely corroded and the gate does not appear to be functional. In view of these conditions, the dam is considered to be in need of further evaluation by a professional engineer to prepare plans for general repair and restoration.

SECTION 4 OPERATIONAL FEATURES

4.1 <u>Procedure</u>. There are no formal operating procedures for the dam. The reservoir is normally maintained at the uncontrolled spillway crest level, with excess inflow discharging through the broad-crested overflow section.

4.2 <u>Maintenance of the Dam</u>. The maintenance of the dam is considered to be poor. It appears that no attempts are being made to maintain the dam.

4.3 <u>Maintenance of Operating Facilities</u>. The outlet pipe gate stem and the supporting structure have severely corroded, and the facility appears to be not functional.

4.4 <u>Warning System</u>. No formal warning system exists for the dam. Telephone communication facilities are available via several residences one-quarter mile downstream from the dam.

4.5 <u>Evaluation</u>. The maintenance condition of the dam and the operating facilities is considered to be poor. The dam and the appurtenant structures are in need of overall repair and restoration.

SECTION 5 HYDRAULICS AND HYDROLOGY

5.1 Evaluation of Features

a. <u>Design Data</u>. Chamberlain Pond Dam has a watershed area of 5.7 square miles and impounds a reservoir with a surface area of 48.7 acres at normal pool level. The flood discharge facilities consist of the 62-foot-wide overflow section of the dam. The capacity of the spillway was determined to be 1360 cfs, based on the available 3.7-foot freeboard relative to the low spot on the left abutment.

b. Experience Data. As previously stated, Chamberlain Pond Dam is classified as a small dam in the high hazard category. Under the recommended criteria for evaluating emergency spillway discharge capacity, such impoundments are required to pass one-half to full PMF. In view of the high downstream damage potential, the full PMF is selected as the spillway design flood.

The PMF inflow hydrograph for the reservoir was determined utilizing the Dam Safety Version of the HEC-1 computer program developed by the Hydrologic Engineering Center of the U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers. Data used for the computer analysis are presented in Appendix D. The inflow hydrographs were found to have peak flows of 12,524 and 5755 cfs for full and 50 percent of PMF, respectively. Computer input and summary of computer output are also included in Appendix D.

c. Visual Observations. On the date of inspection, no conditions were observed that would indicate the capacity of the spillway would be significantly reduced in the event of a flood. As described in Section 3.1 d, there are two dams upstream of Chamberlain Pond Dam. Flood hydrographs for this dam were developed including the storage effect of the upstream dams. Review of the storage capacity of the upstream dams under normal pool conditions in relation to the surcharge storage capacity of this dam indicates that failure of the upstream dams under normal pool conditions is not likely to result in failure of Chamberlain Pond Dam.

d. Overtopping Potential. Various percentages of the PMF inflow hydrograph were routed through the upstream reservoirs and through the Chamberlain Pond Dam reservoir. The analyses indicate that Chamberlain Pond Dam spillway can pass approximately 20 percent of the PMF without overtopping the dam. At 50 percent of PMF, the dam would be overtopped by a depth of 3.9 feet for a duration of 7.4 hours. Under the full PMF, the dam would be overtopped by a depth of 8.0 feet for 9.8 hours. It is estimated that overtopping of the nonoverflow sections by two feet would likely result in failure of the dam.

e. <u>Spillway Adequacy</u>. Because the spillway cannot pass the recommended design flood of full PMF without overtopping the dam, the spillway is classified to be inadequate according to the recommended criteria. A breach analysis was conducted to determine whether failure resulting from overtopping would significantly increase the loss of life or property damage downstream over that which would exist just before overtopping failure. For the breach analysis, it was assumed that the breach would initiate when the nonoverflow sections overtopped by two feet and that the entire dam would be removed in 0.6 hours. Review of the flood stages in Jenningsville (about one-quarter mile downstream) before and after failure indicates that flood stages would be raised by about four feet due to dam failure. The four-foot increase in flood stage in the Jenningsville area is considered to cause a significant increase in the potential loss of life and property damage. Therefore, the spillway is classified to be seriously inadequate.

SECTION 6 STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

a. Visual Observations

(1) Dam. As discussed in Section 3, the dam was found to be in poor condition. In view of structural cracking, signs of settlement, bulging and downstream tilting of the dam near the left abutment, continued stability of the dam is questionable. Apparent erosion in the plunge pool along the toe of the dam may also affect the overall stability.

(2) <u>Appurtement Structures</u>. Only the downstream end of the outlet pipe was visible, therefore, no conclusions were reached as to the structural adequacy of this facility.

b. Design and Construction Data

(1) Dam. Available design and construction information does not provide any quantitative data to aid in the assessment of stability. Based on visual observations, the static stability of the dam is considered to be questionable.

(2) <u>Appurtement Structures</u>. No design and construction data are available for the appurtement structures.

c. Operating Records. None maintained.

d. <u>Postconstruction Changes</u>. The postconstruction changes are described in Section 1.2 a.

e. <u>Seismic Stability</u>. The dam is located in Seismic Zone 1, and based on visual observations, the static stability of the dam is considered to be questionable. Therefore, seismic stability should be assessed in conjunction with static stability assessment.

SECTION 7 ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS/PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

a. Assessment. The visual observations indicate that Chamberlain Pond Dam is in poor condition. Further, in view of various structural deficiencies and seriously inadequate spillway capacity, the condition of the dam is classified to be unsafe/nonemergency. The left abutment nonoverflow section appears to have settled, causing structural cracks in the dam. The stone wall on the downstream side of this section is bulging and tilting downstream. In view of these conditions, stability of the dam is considered to be questionable, requiring further investigation and preparation of plans for repair and restoration. Operating equipment was also found to be in poor condition, requiring repairs.

Ĩ¥.

Spillway capacity was evaluated according to the recommended procedure and was found to be approximately 20 percent of the PMF without overtopping the nonoverflow section of the dam. This capacity is less than the recommended spillway capacity of full PMF according to the size and hazard classification for this dam. Therefore, the flood discharge capacity is classified to be inadequate. Further, because the spillway cannot pass 50 percent of the PMF without overtopping nonoverflow sections and since failure of the dam is considered to significantly increase the downstream damage potential, the flood discharge capacity is classified to be seriously inadequate.

b. <u>Adequacy of Information</u>. The available information, in conjunction with the visual observations, is considered sufficient to make a Phase I evaluation.

c. <u>Urgency</u>. The following recommendations should be implemented immediately or on a continuing basis.

d. <u>Necessity for Additional Investigation</u>. In view of the inadequate spillway capacity and poor condition of the dam, the owner should immediately initiate additional investigations to more accurately ascertain the condition of the dam and the extent of improvements required to provide adequate discharge capacity.

7.2 Recommendations/Remedial Measures

It is recommended that:

1. The owner should immediately retain a professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of dams to initiate additional investigations to more accurately ascertain the structural adequacy of the dam to pass the required spillway design flood without distress and to determine the nature and extent of improvements required to provide adequate flood discharge capacity.

- 2. In conjunction with further evaluation of the dam, causes of the left abutment distress should be investigated and necessary corrective steps taken.
- 3. The ponded water in the spillway plunge pool should be drained and the toe of the dam should be inspected. Repairs should be performed if the structural stability of the dam is considered to be affected by the erosion.
- 4. The structural and operational condition of the outlet works should be evaluated and necessary maintenance performed.
- 5. Seepage through the dry masonry wall should be monitored and necessary remedial work should be performed if serious seepage conditions develop.
- 6. Around-the-clock surveillance should be provided during unusually heavy runoff and a formal warning system developed to alert the downstream residents in the event of emergencies.
- 7. The owner should develop a formal operating and maintenance plan and inspect the dam regularly and perform necessary maintenance.

APPENDIX A

CHECKLIST VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I

APPENDIX A CHECKLIST VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I	NAME OF DAM Chamberlain Pond COUNTY Wyoming STATE Pennsylvania ID# DER: 066-011	AM Masonry HAZARD CATECORY High	NSPECTION November 12, 1980 WEATHER Cloudy TEMPERATURE 30's	POOL ELEVATION AT TIME OF INSPECTION 1053.7 M.S.L. TAILWATER AT TIME OF INSPECTION 1044.2 M.S.L.	N PERSONNEL: REVIEW INSPECTION PERSONNEL: (February 4, 1981)	ts Cosler Lawrence D. Andersen	· Smith James H. Poellot	t Erel Bilgin Erel	tepresentative: Bilgin Erel RECORDER	/itlock (Owner)	
	NAME OF DAM Chambe	TYPE OF DAM	DATE(S) INSPECTION November	POOL ELEVATION AT T	INSPECTION PERSONNEL:	Douglas Cosler	Arthur Sm1th	Bilgin Erel	Owner's Representative:	Robert Witlock (Owner)	

Page Al of 9

i

i i

and the second se

ļ

	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS	•				
CONCRETE/MASONRY DAMS		Seepage was observed flowing through the dry masonry wall between the base of the wall and six feet below the spillway crest.	At the left abutment, there is a large crack in the top slab and bulging of the wall. A crack also exists in the mortar on the upstream face of the left abutment.	None found.	No passages other than the seepage area described above.	No perceivable sign of distress.
	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	ANY NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE	STRUCTURE TO ABUTMENT/EMBANKMENT JUNCTIONS	DRAINS	WATER PASSAGES	FOUNDATION

VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I CONCRETE/MASONRY DAP .

Page A2 of 9

1

and the second of the second se

	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS					
VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I CONCRETE/MASONRY DAMS	OBSERVATIONS	The top slab and mortared upstream face of the left abutment are cracked and the slab has settled.	See above comments.	A section approximately 20 feet long on the left half of the dam appears to have tilted downstream. See Plate 3 for the dam crest profile.	Dry masonry dam, N/A.	(No construction joints.) None found.
	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	SURFACE CRACKS CONCRETE SURFACES	STRUCTURAL CRACKING	VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT	SINIOL HTILONOM	CONSTRUCTION JOINTS STAFF GAGE OF RECORDER:

Page A3 of 9

1

VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I OUTLET WORKS

ł

VISHAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CRACKING AND SPALLING OF CONCRETE SURFACES IN OUTLET CONDUIT	The outlet conduit appears to be a 20-inch-diameter cast- in-place concrete conduit. Not accesible for closer inspection.	
INTAKE STRUCTURE	Submerged. Only the stem and the steel structure supporting the stem is visible. The steel structure is severely corroded.	The intake structure should be repaired.
OUTLET STRUCTURE	None	
OUTLET CHANNEL	Outlet pipe would discharge into the spillway plunge pool.	
EMERGENCY GATE	According to the owner, the gate has never been operated since he acquired the dam about 13 years ago.	The owner should evaluate the operational condition of the outlet pipe gate and make neces- sary repairs to restore the outlet facilities.

Page A4 of 9

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	UNGATED SPILLWAY OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CONCRETE WEIR	The concrete slab on the overflow section of the dam is in good condition.	
APPROACH CHANNEL	Lake. Free of debris that can affect the spillway capacity.	
DISCHARGE CHANNEL	The flow from the spillway appears to be eroding the toe of the dam.	Ponded water at the spillway plunge pool should be drained and the toe of the dam should be inspected. Repairs should be performed, if required.
BRIDGE AND PIERS	None	

VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I UNCATED SPILLWAY

÷.

Page A5 of 9

	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS					
VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I CATED SPILLWAY	OBSERVATIONS	(The dam has no gated spillway.)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	CONCRETE SILL	APPROACH CHANNEL	DISCHARGE CHANNEL	BRIDGE PIERS	CATES AND OPERATION EQUIPMENT

Page A6 of 9

	KEMARKS UK KEUUMMENDALLUNS					
INSTRUMENTATION	OBSERVATIONS	None	None	None	None	None
	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	MONUMENTATION/SURVEYS	OBSERVATION WELLS	WEIRS	P I EZOMET ERS	OTHER

VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE 1 INSTRUMENTATION

Page A7 of 9

ì

.

i.

	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS				
VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I RESERVOIR	OBSERVATIONS	No problems observed.	Sedimentation condition in the lake is unknown.	There are two upstream reservoirs: Negro Pond, DER I.D.: 066-010 Sharpe's Pond, DER I.D.: 066-009	
	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	SLOPES	SEDIMENTATION	UPSTREAM RESERVOIRS	

-

Page A8 of 9

i

ţ

	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS				
DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL	OBSERVATIONS	No problems observed.	No problems observed.	Five houses, one church, and one general store are con- sidered to be within the potential floodplain of Little Mehoopany Creek in the event of a dam failure. Population (approximately) = 30.	
	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	CONDITION (OBSTRUCTIONS, DEBRIS, ETC.)	SLOPES	APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF HOMES AND POPULATION	

VISUAL INSPECTION PHASE I ,

Page A9 of 9

[. ;

i

APPENDIX B

t,

CHECKLIST ENGINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION AND HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC PHASE I

NAME OF DAM Chamberlain Pond ID# NDI: PA-0890 DER: 066-011							
APPENDIX B CHECKLIST ENCINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION PHASE I	REMARKS	No drawings available.	See Plate 1.	Not reported.	Not available.	Not available.	Page Bl of 5
	ITEM	AS-BUILT DRAWINGS	REGIONAL VICINITY MAP	CONSTRUCTION HISTORY	TYPICAL SECTIONS OF DAM	OUTLETS - PLAN - DETAILS - CONSTRAINTS - DISCHARGE RATINGS	

CHFCKLIST ENCINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION PHASE I

ITEM	REMARKS
RAINFALL/RESERVOIR RECORDS	None available.
DESIGN REPORTS	None available.
GEOLOGY REPORTS	No geology information reported.
DESIGN COMPUTATIONS HYDROLOCY & HYDRAULICS DAM STABILIT' SEEPAGE STUDIES	No computations reported.
MATERIALS INVESTIGATIONS BORING RECORDS LABORATORY FIELD	None available.
	Page B2 of 5

Page B2 of 5

And the second second

CHECKLIST ENCINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION PHASE I

ITEM	REMARKS
POST CONSTRUCTION SURVEYS OF DAM	None reported.
BORROW SOURCES	None
MONITORING SYSTEMS	None
MODIFICATIONS	In 1941, a concrete slab was placed on top of the old spillway crest (stone), and the top portions of the masonry abutments were relaid in mortar. A concrete cutoff wall was placed against the upstream face of the old wall and an earth fill was placed against the upstream face of the masonry.
HIGH POOL RECORDS	No records available.

Page B3 of 5

CHECKLIST ENGINEERING DATA DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION PHASE I

.

ITEM	REMARKS
POST CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING STUDIES AND REPORTS	None available.
PRIOR ACCIDENTS OR FAILURE OF DAM DESCRIPTION REPORTS	None available.
MAINTENANCE OPERATION RECORDS	No records reported.
SPILLWAY PLAN SECTIONS DETAILS	Not available.
OPERATING EQUIPMENT PLANS AND DETAILS	None available.
	Pape B4 of 5

Page B4 of 5

1

.

. 4

i
CHECKLIST ENGINEERING DATA HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC

DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS: <u>5.7 square miles (wooded)</u> ELEVATION, TOP OF NORMAL POOL AND STORAGE CAPACITY:<u>1055.0 (357 acre-feet)</u> ELEVATION, TOP OF FLOOD CONTROL POOL AND STORAGE CAPACITY:<u>1058.7 (562 acre-feet)</u> ELEVATION, MAXIMUM DESIGN POOL:<u>1058.7 (design pool unknown)</u> ELEVATION, TOP OF DAM:<u>1058.7 (low spot on left abutment)</u> SPILLWAY:

- a. Elevation 1055.0
- b. Type <u>Rectangular concrete overflow section</u>
- c. Width <u>62 feet (perpendicular to flow)</u>
- d. Length 6 feet (width of spillway crest)
- e. Location Spillover <u>Near left abutment</u>
- f. Number and Type of Gates None

OUTLET WORKS:

- a. Type 20-inch pipe (appears to be cast-in-place concrete)
- b. Location Between left abutment and center of spillway
- c. Entrance Inverts Not available
- d. Exit Inverts 1044.1
- e. Emergency Drawdown Facilities 20-inch pipe

HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL GAGES:

- a. Type No gages
- b. Location N/A
- c. Records<u>None</u>

MAXIMUM NONDAMAGING DISCHARGE: Spillway capacity (1360 cfs)

Page B5 of 5

APPENDIX C

PHOTOGRAPHS

LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS CHAMBERLAIN POND DAM NDI I.D. NO. PA-0890 NOVEMBER 12, 1980

PHOTOGRAPH NO.	DESCRIPTION
1	Crest (looking north).
2	Outlet pipe gate stem (note corrosion).
3	Crest (looking south).
4	Crack in concrete left abutment (upstream face).
5	Left abutment distress (downstream face).
6	Outlet pipe (downstream end).
7 & 8	Houses at Jenningsville (mile 0.5).



NOT TO SCALE

TRILER HERCULENE AND SMITH DO - SHI PA LEISTO HOTA

DINDERCEN

CHAMBERLAIN POND DAM KEY PLAN OF PHOTOGRAPHS FIELD INSPECTION DATE NOV. 12,1980





x PHOTOGRAPH NO RAPH PHOTOGRAPH NO PHOTOGRAPH NO

States - Sala Anton + -

.

APPENDIX D

HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS ANALYSES

NAME OF DAM: Chamberlain Pond Dam

PROBABLE MAXIMUM PRECIPITATION (PMP) = 22.2 INCHES/24 HOURS

STATION	1	2	د	4	s
Station Description	Sharpe's Pond Reservoir	Sharpe's Pond Dam	4-Foot-Diameter Road Culvert	Negro Pond Reservoir	Negro Pond Dam
Drainage Area (square miles)	0.99	-	-	3.78	-
Cumulative Drainage Area (square miles)	0.99	0,99	0.99	4.77	4.77
Adjustment of PMF for Drainage Area (1)(1)	972			97%	
o Hours	117	-	-	117	-
12 Hours	127	-	-	127	-
24 Hours	136	-	-	136	-
48 Hours	145	- 1	-	145	-
72 Hours	-	-	-	-	-
Snyder Hydrograph Parameters					
Zone ⁽²⁾	11	-	-	11	-
$c_{p}/c_{t}^{(3)}$	0.62/1.5	-	-	0.62/1.5	-
L (miles)(4)	1.23	- 1	-	3.31	-
L _{ca} (miles)(4)	0.44	-	-	0.95	1 -
$t_p = C_t (L \cdot L_{ca})^{0.3}$ (hours)	1.24	-	-	2.11	[-
Spillway Data					
Crest Length (ft)	-	94 perimeter length	See road cul- vert capacity	-	Dam has no spillway
Freeboard (ft)	} -	1.1	calculations	-	5piliway
Discharge Coefficient	-	Varies		-	
Exponent	-	1.5	[-	i i

ł ÷

(1) Hydrometeorological Report 40, U.S. Weather Bureau, 1965.

(2) Hydrological zone defined by Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, for determining Snyder's Coefficients (C_p and C_t).

(3) Snyder's Coefficients.

(4) L = Length of longest water course from outlet to basin divide. L_{ca} = Length of water course from outlet to point opposite the centroid of drainage area.

STORAGE VS. ELEVATION

ELEVATION	ΔH, FEET	AREA (acres)(1)	ΔVOLUME (acre-feet)(2)	STORAGE (acre-feet)
1080.0		104.7		2347.7
1060.0	20	67.0	1703.0	644.7
1055(3) (Normal pool elevation)	55	48.7	<u>288.0</u> 356.7 ⁽³⁾	356.7
1041.0 (Reservoir bottom E1.)	14	8.0	/ . (۱٫ ر	0

(1) Planimetered from USGS maps,

(2) $\Delta Volume = \Delta H/3 (A_1 + A_2 + /A_1A_2).$

(3) Estimated normal pool storage capacity.

PAGE DI OF 18

HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS DATA BASE

NAME OF DAM: Chamberlain Pond Dam (continued)

PROBABLE MAXIMUM PRECIPITATION (PMP) = _____ INCHES/24 HOURS

STATION	6	7	8	9	10
Station Description	Chamberlain Pond Reservoir	Chamberlain Pond Dam	Little Mehoop- any Creek	Jennings Pond Dam	
Drainage Area (square miles)	0.90	-	-	-	
Cumulative Drainage Area (square miles)	5.67	5.67	5.67	5.67	
Adjustment of PMF for Drainage Area (%) ⁽¹⁾	97%				
6 Hours	117	-	-	-	
12 Hours	127	- 1	-	-	
24 Hours	136	-	-	-	
48 Hours	145	-	-	(-	
72 Hours	-	-	-	-	
Snyder Hydrograph Parameters	1				
Zone ⁽²⁾	11	} -	- 1	} -	}
$c_p/c_t^{(3)}$	0.62/1.5	- 1	-	-]
$L (miles)^{(4)}$	1.33) -	-	
L _{ca} (miles) ⁽⁴)	0.47	- 1	-	-	
$t_p = C_t (L \cdot L_{ca})^{0.3}$ (hours)	1.30	-	-	-	
Spillway Data					
Crest Length (ft)	- 1	62.0	-	61.0	}
Freeboard (ft)	} -	3.7	} -	2.4	}
Discharge Coefficient	-	3.08	-	3.08	j
Exponent	-	1.5	-	1.5	

(1)<u>Hydrometeorological Report 40</u>, U.S. Weather Bureau, 1965.
 (2)<u>Hydrological zone defined by Corps of Engineers</u>, Baltimore District, for determining Snyder's Coefficients (C_p and C_t).
 (3)Snyder's Coefficients.
 (4)

(4) L = Length of longest water course from outlet to basin divide. $<math>L_{ca} = Length of water course from outlet to point opposite the centroid of drainage area.$

STORAGE VS. ELEVATION

ELEVATION	AH, FEET	AREA (acres)(1)	ΔVOLUME (acre-feet)(2)	STORAGE (acre~feet)

(1) Planimetered from USGS maps.

(2) $\Delta Volume = \Delta H/3 (A_1 + A_2 + \sqrt{A_1A_2}).$

PAGE D2 OF 18

525.0 1141.3 SNYUER HYDROGHAPH+OVERTOPPING+UAMUREACH+AND U/S CHANNEL ROUTING AMALYSES Chamberlain Pund Dam (der 66-11) wyohing county+pa. project no.80-556-05 For 20x+3ux+4ux+50x+60x+7ux+80x+90x+and 10ux prohable maximum flood(PMF) 0 1 5H.O 0.01535 0.010.0 11 3H S 65.7 110.00 OF SNYDER INFLOW HYDROGNAPH TO SHARPE'S POND, (DER (6-49) AT ELEVATION 1145 \$15.0 1139.2 OF SNYDER INFLOW HYDRUGRAPH TO NEGRO POND, (UER 66-11) 1138.0 1108.0 1.00 59.0 146.0 --475.0 1137.4 1107.0 1124.0 132.0 a 06-0 0.5 7 1137.0 7 286.0 0.5 48.1 INFLOW HYDROGGRAPH TO NEGRO PUND. (DER 66-10) CULVERT. HOMES 450.0 1106.0 1122.0 117.0 -1135.0 0.80 1.0 1.0 36.2 a -1100-0 275.0 ROUTING FLOW THROUGH SHARPE'S POND, (DER 60-1)9) 350.0 1105.0 1120.0 95.0 1136.0 1145.0 30.2 78.3 0.10 0 145 145 259.0 ROUTING FLON THROUGH 4 FEET DIAM. 1 1 300.0 11104.0 1118.0 72.0 243.0 1142.0 0.60 5.67 130 7.01 136 0 11.55.8 5.67 250.0 1141-0 COMPUTER INPUT 1103.0 0.50 46•0 227•0 a 127 1135.6 127 525.0 400.0 1.5 1102.0 0 * * 0 117 2.0 7.6 1.5 23.0 18.4 3.78 2.0 1140.0 99.2 1136.5 210.0 12 0.99 1135.4 1160.0 1140.0 CALCULATION CALCULATION COMBINED 1101.0 1112.0 10.0 2.65 150.0 1136.2 0.62 72.5 1140.0 1120.0 21.5 -0.05 0.30 21.5 4.6 2.65 0 ŝ 2.7 191.0 1135.2 1139-54 JULY 1978 FLUOD HYDRUGHAPH PACAAGE (HEC-1) UAM SAFETY VERSION JULY 197 Last modification J1 APH 50 Y41100.0 Y41110.0 Y5 0.0 2 0.20 Y41135.0 Y41139.0 0 45.0 6.0 --260 -1.24 \$E1135.0 \$£1100.0 2.11 -1-5 ~ ŝ 0.00 15 170.0 11100-0 \$01120.0 -68.1 11135-0 1136.1 11 100+0 \$ ¥ 1 1 3 6 • 1 ł **A** 3 1 5 5 5 Ž 11 ***** Ž ٨Z 8 ž ĩ 5 Ž 7 × × π 3 × 60 × 2020 1001 60 æ 2 = 2 2 5 9 2 8602 222 30 525 33 30 33 4.5 40 8445 1 22

ł

PAGE D3 OF 18

310.0 1000.0 0.0441 CHANNEL ROUTING USING MODIFIED PULS,2200F1.0/S CHAMBERLAIN.JFMNINGSVILLE 720.0 1020.0 CMANNEL ROUTING USING MODIFIED PULS+44GUFT-D/S CHAMBERLAIN+JFNNINGSVILL OF SNYDER INFLOW HYDROGRAPH TO CHAMBIRLAIN POND. (DFR 66-11) 3.25.0 125.0 325.0 1068.2 1068.5 0.5 1009.0 1020.0 COMBINED INFLOW MYDROGRAPH CHAMBERLAIN POND+(DER 66-11) 2200.0 0.00941 1040.0 710.0 1080.0 0.011 0.55.0 110.0 1066.2 1067.1 1067.8 ROUTING FLOW 7840UGH CHANHERLAIN POND. (DER 66-11) 0.005 101/.0 1.0 -1009.0 20 H 0 2 -100% 6 -1055.0 ROUTING FLOW THROUGH JENNINGS POND, (DER 66-12) ROUTING FLOW THROUGH NEGRO PUND. (DER 66-11) 2200.0 1020.0 1020.0 1015.6 1100.0 145 1055.0 1039.0 450.0 1028-0 120-0 900-0 1200.0 1012.1 136 5.67 COMPUTER INPUT (Continued) 1020.0 1060.0 1060.0 0.60 1040.0 1040.0 1040.0 325.0 155.2 0•26 0•26 1012.4 247.0 1110.0 1.5 1065.5 83.0 1.5 127 104.7 1080.0 1.5 0.035 1100.0 0-030 60-0 700-0 1.5 80.0 1411.7 164.4 1081.0 83.6 1020.0 1.5 55.U 1065.3 0.00 117 2.0 67.0 1060.0 3.08 1.5 83.0 5.0B 0.01 1064.4 1041.0 1041.0 CALCULATION 61.0 5.08 39.0 1011.5 2.65 40.0 1064.9 1055.0 62.0 3.08 40.0 0.040 1080.0 0.035 1060.0 1020.0 36.7 H0.8 1065.6 0.001 1040-0 21.5 0.62 48.7 0.S 1059.1 \$4 17.0 \$E1057.0 \$£1041.0 \$\$1055.0 \$01058.7 Y6 0.030 Y7 0.0 Y7 950.0 0•0 0•0 4.1011.4 K 99 \$L 25.0 Y6 0-030 Y7 0-0 \$01011.4 \$L 24.0 1.30 8.0 1+++0144 Y7 500.0 5.D s£1001.0 \$\$1063.6 101063.7 H 22.0 59.0 59.0 \$1058.7 8 8 4 ž 1 Ξ Z Ξ 1 Ξ Ξ Ţ Ξ Ξ ¥ ¥

ì

1

PAGE D4 OF 18

122010 \$5 585 Ş 61 63 **6**5 **6**0 **7**0 68 69 22 522 25 0 5 2 5 1 5 90 2 88 6 56 46 96 66 3 53 222 5 26 16 96

PEAK FLOW AND STORAGE (END OF PERIOD) SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE PLAN-HATIO ECONUMIC COMPUTATIONS Flows in cunic feet per second (cunic meters per second) Area in suuare miles isquare millometens)

OPLAATION	STATION	AREA	PLAN	4.4.1.10 1 .20	KATIŬ 2 •30	KAT105 APPL Kat10 5 R •40	01 011 A110 	FLOUS 4 RATIU 5 0 •60	RATIU 6 .70		06° 8 01174	4.4.1.14 y 1.40
HVDROGRAPH AT	-	.99	* ~ *	603. 17.07) (603. 17.07) (904. 25.601(904.	1246. 34.14)(1246. 34.14)(15u7. 42.67)(1507. 42.67)(1808. 51.2116 1808. 51.2116	2114. 59.74)(2110. 59.74)(2411. 68.24)(2411. 68.28)(273 ° 70-8136 2715- 76-8136	\$614. 85.551 8014. 85.551
ROUTED TO	~	- 99 - 56)	د م م	432. 12.22)(432. 12.22)(764. 21.651(764. 21.631(1096. 31.091(1096. 31.091(1411. 39.95)(1411. 39.95)(1720. 48.70)(1720. 48.70)1	2022. 57.26)(2022. 57.26)(2322. 65.7516 2322. 65.7516	2623. 74.2936 26236 74.2036	2418. 82.641 2418. 82.641
, ROUTED TO	~ ~	• 99 2 • 5 6 J	5	247. 7.00) (247. 7.00) (816. 23.101(816. 23.101(1264. 35.78) (1264. 35.78) (1606. 45.49) (1606. 45.49) (1752. 49.62)(1752. 49.62)(2006. 56.87)(2008. 56.67)(2323. 65.79)(2323. 65.79)(2617. 74.1010 2617. 2617.	242(). 62.59) 2920. 82.69)
HYDROGRAPH AT	*	3.78 9.79)	-~~	1703. 48.24) (1703. 48.24) (2555. 72.351(2555. 72.351(3407. 96.47)(3407. 96.47)(4254. 120.591(4259. 120.591(5110. 144.7136 5110. 144.7136	5962. 168.82)(5962. 168.82)(6814. 192.94.)(6814. 192.94.)	7665. 217. 16) (7665. 217. 16) (8517. 241.18) 8517. 241.18)
2 COMBINED	-	4.77 12.35)	د ^ ۲	1890. 53.52)(1890. 53.52)(3351. 94.88)(3351. 94.88)(4528. 128.23)(4528. 128.23)(5562. 157-501(5562. 157-501(6695. 189-5531 6695. 189-5316	7795. 220.74) (7795. 220.74) (8910. 252.32)(8910. 252.32)(10019. 283.72)(10019. 283.72)(11130. 315.17) 11150. 315.17)
ROUTED TO	s T	4.77	- ~ ~ ~	1293. 36.62) (1293. 36.62) (2408. 68.18)(2408. 68.18)(3682. 3682. 3682. 104.251(4894. 138.54)(4894. 138.54)(6079. 172.1436 6079. 172.1436	7223. 204.54) (7225. 204.54) (8360. 236.731(8360. 236.731(9473. 268.2516 9475. 268.2516	16572. 299.57) 10572. 299.37)
HYDROGRAPH AT	ۍ ۲	.90 2.33)	- ~ ~	532. 15.071 (532. 15.071 (798. 22.60)(798. 22.60)(1064. 30.1416 1064. 30.1416	1330. 37.6716 1350. 37.6716	1596. 45.21)(1596. 45.21)(1863. 52.7416 1863. 52.7416	2129. 60.2811 2129. 60 . 2810	2395. 67.811(2395. 67.811(2661. 75.54) 2661. 75.341
2 COMBINED	3	5-67 14-691	5 5 7	1477. 41.84) (1477. 41.84) (2773. 78.53)(2773. 78.53)(4298. 121.72)(4298. 121.72)(\$755. 162.961(5755. 162.961(7159. 202.71)1 7159. 202.7111	8525. 241.41) (8525. 241.41) (9865. 279.56)(9865. 279.36)(11204. 517.27)(11204. 517.27)(12524. 354.05) 12524. 354.65)
ROUTED TO	~~	5.67 14.691	-~~	1275. 36.1116 1275. 36.1116	2403. 68.051(2403. 68.051(3764. 106.5831 15700. 444.5731	\$144. 145.67)(17325. 490.591(6511. 184.5816 17809. 504.3116	7863. 222.653 (19025. 538.683 (9201. 260.55)(19880. 562.93)(10535. 298.32)(20363.	1185.635 335.635 20452 579.123
ROUTED TO	a T	5.67 14.691	-~	1275 . 36.0916	2399. 67.9416	3766. 106-6414	513d. 145.48)(0500. 184.223(7848. 222.2416	9205. 260.651(10518. 297.83)(11849. 135.528

FLOOD ROUTING SUMMARY PAGE D5 OF 18

01 JU LU 200

•••••

•

18637. 527.73)	11827. 314.921 19458. 19458.	11616. 328.941 14786. (418.70)
18511. 524.1614	10525. 298-0531 19225. 544-3434	10301. 291.681(14642. 414.677(
18084. 512-0814	9198. 260.4731 18621. 527.2931	R993. 254.661(14166. 401.151(
17285. 489.461 (7856. 222.4431 17673. 500.4430	7660. 216.901 (13351. 378.051 (
16128. 456.7014	6495. 183.9221 16407. 464.6171	6315. 178.811(12211. 345.777(
15758. 446.2111	\$133. 145.3601 15703. 444.6601	4970. 140.731(11876. 336.301
14214. 402.4914	3761. 106.491(14092. 399.041(3619. 102.481 10511. 297.641
2399.	2399. 67.93)(2399. 67.93)(2299. 65.091(2299. 65.091
1275.	1274. 36.06)(36.06)(1213. 34.3431 1213. 34.341(
~ "	· - ~ ~ `	, -
	5.67 14.69)	5.67 14.69)
	•	- -
	ROUTED TO	ROUTED TO

FLOOD ROUTING SUMMARY (Continued) PAGE D6 OF 18

PAGE DO OF 18

ANAL YSI 2	
LAFLTY	
UF DAM	
SUMMANY U	

	E LE VA TION 5 TORAGE 0 UTFL ON	11155-00 0. 0.	0.00	1155-14U 0. 6.		1136.11 51. 35.	
RAT 10 0f PMF	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR N•S•ELEV	MAKINUM DEPth Over Dam	MAX1MUM Storage AC-F1	MAXIMUM Outflow CFS	11UK A T 1 0 N 0VE K T 0 P HOUKS	TIME UE Max Outflow Mours	TIME OF Fatlure Mouks
.20	1150.68	.76	8 8 .	432.	9 • 40	41.80	00*0
•30	1137.19	1.09	104.	104.	1U. MÚ	41.40	10.1
.40	1157.40	1.50	114.	1498.	12.00	41.20	0.11
.50	1137.50	1.40	122.	1411.	12.60	41.00	0.0.0
.60	1137.71	1.61	150.	1720.	15.40	41.00	10.00
.70	1157.84	1.74	136.	2022	14.00	41.60	00.0
6. 8.	1157.96	1.86	145.	2322.	14.60	41-64	00.0
.90	1138.08	1.98	149.	2620.	15.00	41.40	0.0
1.00	1158.19	2.09	154.	2918.	15.40	41.00	00.1
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ELEVATION	1135.00	•00	SFILLERT CHEST 1135-00		107 UF UAM 1130.10	
	STORAGE		•••			51.	
			•	•		• • • •	
RATIO	MAKINUM	MAXINUM	MAKIMUM	MANIMUM	UIM A TION	TIME OF	11ME 0F
ÛF	er sfevnir	DEPTH	STODAGE	01151 04	OVER TOP		CATINET
PAF	U-S-ELEV	OVER DAM	AC -F T	CFS	HOURS	HOURS	HOURS
•20	1136-88	.78	89.	452.	9 - 4()	41.80	11 . 00
.30	1157.19	1.09	104.	164.	10.80	41.40	00.0
.40	1137.40	1.30	114.	1098.	12.00	41.20	00.0
-50	1137.56	1.40	122.	1411.	12.80	41.00	00.0
.60	1137.71	1.61	130.	1720.	13.40	00.14	00-0
. 20	1137.84	1.74	136.	2022.	14.00	41.00	0.00
.80	1137.96	1.80	143.	2322.	14.60	41.u0	00.0
.90	1150.08	1.98	144.	2020.	15.00	41.00	0.00
•	1110 10	2.00	154.	24142	15.40	4.1 - Dui	0.00

OVERTOPPING ANALYSIS SHARPE'S POND PLAN 1: OVERTOPPING ANALYSIS PLAN 2: BREACH ANALYSIS - CHAMBERLAIN POND DAM PAGE D7 OF 18 SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSTS

I	TIME OF TIME OF TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW FALLURE FALLURE OF	F DAM 50. 50. 259. 259. 259. 259. 259. 259. 41.44 41.4
	ULLRATION UVERTION UVERTION D. UC D.	10P VER 110W VER 10P VER 10P NOURS 3. UD 3. UD 5. UD 5. UD 5. UD 5. UD 5. UD 5. UD 5. UD
SPILLAY CREST 1100-00 0. 0.	MAX 1 MUM OUTFLOM CFS 247. 816. 1264. 1264. 2223. 2617. 2617. 2920.	SPILLWAY CREST 1100-00 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0
INITIAL VALUE 1100.00 0. 0.	RAKING Start ACCFAGE ACCFAC ACCFAGE ACCFAGE ACCFAGE ACCFAGE ACCFAGE ACCFAGE ACCFAGE ACCFAGE AC	INITIAL VALUE 1100.00 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.00 65. 1.17 1.25 1.17 1.25 1.17 1.25 1.17 1.25 1.17 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25
14111141 1186	MAKIMUM OVER DAM 0.00 0.65 1.17 1.55 1.55 1.55 1.55 1.55 1.55 1.5	INITIAL МАКІ 1100 ОУЕК DAN 0.00 0.00 1.17 1.17 1.55 1.55 1.55
ELEVATION Storage Dutflou	MAKIMUM RESERVOIR U.S.ELEV 1118.51 1120.96 1121.15 1121.39 1121.39 1121.55 1121.65 1121.65 1121.65	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOU MAXIMUN Reservoir U.S.ELEV 1120.96 1121.15 1121.55 1121.55 1121.55 1121.55 1121.55
	A 10 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1	2 70 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50
PLAN		PL A &

OVERTOPPING ANALYSIS HIGHWAY EMBANKMENT, D/S OF SHARPE'S POND PAGE D8 OF 18

CICL INNE
54F11Y
DAM
SUNMARY UF

	ELEVATION Storage Gutflow	1051 - 10	L VALUE 3.60 297. 0.	57 111 447 54151 1065.00 297.		10P UF DAM 1065.70 505. 0.	
RAT 10 0f PMF	MAKIMUM RESERVOIR N·S·ELEV	MAKIMUM Depth Over dam	MAKIMUM Storage Ac-ft	MAXIMUM OUTFLOU CFS	UURATION Over top Hours	TIME OF Max Outflum Hours	5 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0
-20	1007.53	3.A 5 4.00	047.	1295.	16.20	43.61	00°0
04.	1049-40	5.70	859.	5042.	23.60	11.54	
.50	1069.95	6.25	699.	4894.	51.00	42.40	0.00
.60	1470.42	6.72	452°	6014.	33.60	42.20	1.0.1
.70	1070.65	7.15	1000.	1223.	34.40	42-00	11.11
. 8.	1071.25	1.55	1046.	H360.	55.00	42.00	0.010
06*	10/1.62	7.92	1069.	9463.	35.40	42.64	00.0
1.00	1011.97	8.27	1150.	10572.	\$5.60	42.60	00-0
	ELEVATION Storage Outfloy	1111AL VALUE 1063.60 297. 0.	L VALUE 3.60 297. 0.	SPILLWAY CREST 1603.60 297. 0.		16P 0F 0AM 1065.7U 505. 0.	
RAT10 0F PmF	MAXJAUM RESERVOJR V.S.ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTM Over Dan	MAX1MUM S TORAGE AC-F T	MAXIMUM Dutflow CfS	DUKATION Over top Hours	IIME OF Max outflou Hdurs	11M1 01 Falluri Hours
-20	1067.53	3.85	647.	1243.	16.20	45.61	00.0
.30	1068.69	4.99	765.	2408.	18.40	43.64	00.0
.40	1069.40	5.70	.919.	3662.	23.60	42.60	00° U
.50	1069.95	6.25	. 699 .	4844.	31.00	42.40	0.00
-64	10/01	6.72	952.	6119.	35.00	42.20	00.)
.70	1070-85	2.15	1001	1223.	34.40	42.00	0.00
	10/1.25	1.55	1046.	8360.	35.00	42.00	0.00
06.	1071.62	26.1	1089.	9473.	35.40	42.00	00.0
1.00	1011.97	8.27	1130.	10572.	35.60	42.00	0.06

OVERTOPPING ANALYSIS NEGRO POND DAM PAGE D9 OF 18

AND IN ANDERDS

INTIA ELEVATION 1015 STORAGE OUTFLOU	KATIO MAKIMUM MAKIMUM OF RESERVOIR DEPTH PMF W.S-ELLEV OVER DAM	1058.55	101.47	1062.61	1 463.59	1064.47	1065.27	-90 1066.01 7.31 1.00 1066.70 8.00	Z	RATIO MAXIMUM MAXIMUM OF RESERVOIR DEPTH PMF N.S.ELEV OVER DAM	1058.55	1060.11	1061.02	001.001	1061.62	1062.09	-90 1062.15 3.45 1.00 1062.04 3.34			R A T I O	02.	• S(90 22)8" -		1.00	OVERTOPPING /
INTTAL VALUE 1955-00 557- 0-	MAKIMUM Storagi AC-FI	551.	75.	825.	897.	961.	1071	1070.	INITIAL VALUE 1055.00 557. 0.	MAKIMUM Storage ac-ft	551.	652.			770.	788.	793. 785.	PLAN 1	MUMI XAM	FLOW.CFS	1275.				-	-	ANALYSIS
SPALLAN (MUS) 1955 (0 1974	MAX I MUM Outflou CFS	1275.	3764.	5144.	.1163	7863.	.1026	10535. 11853.	SPILLWAY CRES 1055.00 357. 0.	MAKIMUM Outflou CfS	1275.	2403.	15700.	17373. 17819.	19(12 *	19880.	20363. 20452.	STATION	T	STAGE .FT	1025.8					1029.	
	UURATION OVER TOP HOURS	00	0.00	7.40	8.20	8 - 60	9.20	9•60 980	F	DURATION Over top Hours	0.00	2 • 00	1.76	20.1	1.49	1.55	1.59	Ð	1 I N E	I	44.61	43.00					
101 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01	TIME DF Max Outflow Hours	() 4 ° 4 () () 4 ° 4 ()	43.40	43.00	42.80	42.60	42 -60	42 •40 42 •40	TOP OF DAM 1058.70 561. 1359.	TIME OF Max Outflow Hours	44.60	43.80	43.00	42.4U	41.60	41.40	41.20 41.UU										
	1141 0F FALLUSE FALLUSE	00-0 0	00.0	0.00	0.0.0	00"0	0.0.0	00*0		TIME OF Failurf Hours	00•0	00°u	42.40	41.60	41.00	40.80	0 *										

CHANNEL ROUTING THROUGH JENNINGSVILLE PAGE D11 OF 18

*

in an and į.

4444 4444 4444 4444 4444 4444 4444 4444 4444	1	HOUKS	4 = 8 4 = 0	~ 9	2*0	• •	
1012.7 1013.7 1014.7 1015.4 1016.1 1016.6 1017.9 1017.9	0 <	LL.	55	1 8	U19.	613	019.
1274 2399 2761 3761 5133 6495 7866 9198 11827	Z S Maximum	5	1274. 2399.	4042 5703	2049	8621	19223. 19458.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	PLAN	RATIO	•20		0 1	- 30	60
						_	

STAT 10N PLAN 2

x

HOUKS

MAXIMUM STAGE .FT

MAXIMUN FLOUDCFS

RATIO

TIMF

44.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 41.00 41.00 41.20 41.20

1023.6 1024.9 1020.0 1030.5 1030.5 1030.0 1031.0 1031.0

1275. 2599. 2599. 14214. 15758. 11285. 11284. 112845. 112845. 118637.

TIME HOURS

MAXIMUM STAGE •FT

MAX I MUM FLOW • CFS

RATIO

đ

STAT 10N

-

PLAN

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

		ELEVATION Storage Outflow	1011111 VALUE 1009-00 147- 0-	L VALUE 9.00 147. 0.	59111447 CREST 1009.00 147. 0.		10P 0F DAM 1011.40 246. 699.	
	RATIO 0f PMF	MAXIMUM RE SER VOIR W .S. EL EV	MAKIMUM DEPth DVER Dam	MAXIMUM Storage AC-FT	MAX 1MUM DUTFL ON CFS	DURAFION Over Iop Hours	TIME OF Max Outflow Hours	TIME OF FAILURE HJURS
	-20	1012.18	97.	282.	1215.	6.60	45.60	00-0
	-50	1013.19	1.79	555.	2699.	9°°4	44.60	
		1014-87	3.47	427	4970.	11.20	45.60	00.0
	. 60	1015.54	4.14	467.	6315.	11.80	43.40	00.0
	.70	1016-14	4.74	505.	7660.	12.40	43.20	00.0
	.60	1016.67	5.27	541.	8993.	12.60	45.00	00.0
	06*	1017.17	5.17	575.	10301.	12.80	43.00	0.00
	1.00	1017.64	6.24	608.	11616.	13.00	42.80	00•0
PL AN 2	2	ELEVATTON Storage Gutflou	INITIAL VALUE 1009-00 147- 0.	L VALUE 9.00 147. 0.	SP ILLUAY CREST 1009-00 147- 0-		10P 0F 0AM 1013.40 246. 699.	
	RAT10	N A X I NUN	MUNIXM	MAXIMUN	MUMIXM	DURATION	TIME OF	11MF 0F
	0F Par	RE SERVOIR M_S_FLEV	DEPTH Over Dam	STORAGE AC-F1	OUTFLOW EFS	OVER TOP HOURS	MAX DUTFLOW Hours	FAILURE
	00.	1012.18	a7.	787.	1213.	6 - A()	45.60	00-0
		1013.19	1.79	333	2299.	00.6	14 - 60	00-0
	0	1017.25	5.85	580.	10511.	9.00	43.40	0.0.0
	.50	1017.73	6.33	615.	11876.	9.80	42.80	00.0
	.60	1017.84	6-44	623.	12211.	10.40	42.20	00.0
	.70	1018.23	6.83	651.	13551.	11.00	67.00	00-0
	.80	1018.49	1.09	671.	14160.	11.40	41.80	0.0
	06.	1018.64	1.24	683.	14642.	11.80	41.60	00° 0
	50 .	1010 60	200	101			07 4 7	

OVERTOPPING ANALYSIS JENNINGS POND DAM PAGE D12 OF 18

......



Contraction of the second

A second s

ID?AIPIPIDILIDNILA

CONSULTING ENGINEERS. INC

By WIC Date 1/16/81 Subject Hydrology & HydrAulic Calc. Sheet No. 2 of 6 Chkd. By THE Date 1/3/21 DOWNSTREAM SECTIONS LITTLE HEHEODANY CK. Proj. No. 805.6

DOWNSTREAM SECTIONS (LOOKING DIS)

- STATION 1 SHARPE'S POND LAKE EL 1135 (See SHEGT & OFG.)
- STATION 2 SHAPPE'S POND DAM (DER 66-09)
- STATION 3 44 CILVERT, HOME BASE MENT QEL 1105 (SEC CHEOF & FOR)
- STATION 4 NEGRO POND
- STATION 5 NEGRO POND DAM (DER 66-10)
- STATION 6 CHAMBERLAN POND
- STATION 7 CHAHERLAIN POND DAM (DER 66-11)

4/S JENNINGSVILLE CHANNEL SECTION SECTION 8 L= 2200 FT DISTANCE, FT ELEVATION Ó 1080 $S = \frac{1040.7 - 1020}{2200}$ N=0.030 200 1060 450 1040 = 0.00941 710 1020 n=004 720 1020 950 1040 n=0035 1100 1060 1080 1200 SECTION 9 DIS JENNINGSVILLE CHANNEL SECTION DISTANCE, FT ELEVATION L= 2200 FT 0 1060 1040 n=0.030 60 $S = \frac{1020 - 1009}{2200}$ 1020 120 300 1009 1=0.035 310 1009 = 0.0050 500 1020 h=0 030 700 1040 90 1060 PAGE D14 OF 18

ID: APPPOLADNIA

CONSULTING ENGINEERS. INC

By WTC Date 1/16/81 Subject Hydrology & Hydraulic Calc. Sheet No. 3 of 6 Chkd. By DJCDate 1991 D/S SECTIONS LITTLE MEHOOPANY CRESK Proj. No. 80-556 STATION 10 JENNINGS POND JENNINGS POND DAM (DER 66-12) STATION 11 2000' IS FROM JENNINGS POND STATION 12 ELEVATION DISTANCE, FT 1040 L= 2000'FT O 1020 N=0035 100 $S = \frac{1000 - 980}{2000}$ 1000 300 980 400 n=0.035 410 980 = 0.010 700 1000 n=0.025 880 1026 1000 1040 -1000 DISFROM JENNINGS POND 13 Have @ EL 885 CTATION DISTANCE, FT ELEVATION 1= 5000 FT 0 940 920 50 120035 5= 980.880 900 150 220 880 n=0.035 230 880 = 0.020 380 900 n=0035 420 920 450 940 13000 DIS FROM JENNINGS POND STATION 14 DISTANCE, FT ELEVATION L= 6000 FT 0 840 n=0-035 So 820 S = 280-780 100 300 200 780 n =0:035 210 780 = 0.016667 350 800 n=0055 430 820 500 840 PAGE D15 OF 18

.

IDAIPHPADIADNIA

CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC

By MTC Date 1116181 Subject Hyprology & Hypraulic CALC. Sheet No. 4 of 6 Chkd. By Date 2/2/21 D'S SECTION LITTLE MEHOOPANY CREEK. Proj. No. 80-256

STATION 15	14200 FT DS	s from Jennin	NGS POND,	HOME AT ELEV. 765
	DISTANCE, FT	ELEVATION .		
	0	820	1	L= 1200 FT
	50	800	N=0035	
	ເວບ	780		s = 780-760
	350	760	n= 0.035	1200
	360	760		= 0016667
	650	780	1	t
	700	800	n=0035	
	800	820		

STATION ILG 16,400 FT D'S FROM JENNINGS POND, FARM AT ELEV. 741 DISTANCE FT ELEVATION _ L= 2200 FT 780 Ó 760 N=0035 100 5= 760-73 740 500 510 738 N=0.035 520 738 = 0 210 740 530 h=0.035 600 760 780 700

NOTES (1)

IDAIPIPOLODIADNIA

CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC

By <u>IUTC</u> Date <u>1-13-81</u> Subject <u>SHARPES</u> <u>POND</u> Sheet No. <u>5</u> of <u>b</u> Chkd. By <u>DTE</u> Date <u>1/15/21</u> <u>SpillWAY</u> <u>DISCHARGE</u> <u>COPACITY</u> Proj. No. <u>80-256-0</u>



FOR ORIFICE FLOW CONTROL

$$Q_{0} = C_{0}A_{1}\sqrt{2}gH = (0.6)(\frac{\pi 3^{2}}{4})(644)^{k} \sqrt{H} = 34.04 \sqrt{H} = 34.0$$

FOR PIPE FLOW CONTROL

$$H_{T} = \left[\frac{25204(1+Ke)}{D^{4}} + \frac{466.18 \text{ n}^{2} \text{ L}}{D^{16/3}}\right] \left(\frac{Q_{p}}{10}\right)^{2} = \left[\frac{25204(1+5)}{(2)^{4}} + \frac{(446.18)(0.013)^{2}(30)}{(2)^{16/3}}\right] \left(\frac{Q_{1}}{10}\right)^{2}$$

$$Q_{p} = 15.96 \sqrt{H_{T}} = 15.96 \sqrt{LAKE EL - 1120.6 - 0.85(2)} = 15.96 \sqrt{LAKE EL - 1110.9} (EQ.2)^{16/3}$$

	LAKE ELEVATION	Qw cfs	Qo cfs	0 _२ ५.	CAPACITY CAPACITY Q, cfs	<u>k</u>	€L 1143	5
	1 35.0	0	0	0	0		EL1142	
	1135-2	2.7			2.7	لمماع		1
	1135.4	7.6			7.6	L L	EL114	1
	1135.6	14.0			14.0	ů M		
	1135+8	21.6			21.6	u d	ELI140	
	1136.0	30:2	34.0		30.2		EL 1139.54	J.
- 12	113/0-13	36.2	362		36.2	· _	5L1139	
•	1137.0	853	48.		48.1	FLON		
	11380	10.1	570,		59.0	N 5. (el 1138	
	1139.0		68.1	,71.6	68.1	DRIFICE		
>	1139.54		72.5	72.5	72.5	สี่	EL1137	
	11400		76.1	73.3	73.3			
	1141.0			75.0	75.0	1 3	EL1136.13	
	1142.0			76.7	76.7	WEIR	ELII3S.O D	
	1143.0			78.3	<u>78.3</u>	Le it		scithege, Q, cf
				Р	AGE D17 OF	10 F 18	20 30 40	50 60 70



n yr men ar fer Miller e o i ferfan Stern an ferfille Still an Stern an ar ferfan staar ferfan stern an de ster An sterne ar sterne APPENDIX E

PLATES







TESS HERCOLERE AND SWITH CO POR PATON



19 1253 HERCULENE ABB SMITH CO PGH PA LT1530-1079

APPENDIX F

REGIONAL GEOLOGY

REGIONAL GEOLOGY NEGRO POND, SHARPE'S POND, CHAMBERLAIN POND AND JENNINGS POND DAMS

The Negro Pond, Sharpe's Pond, Chamberlain Pond, and Jennings Pond dams are located in the glaciated low plateaus section of the Appalachian Plateau physiographic province, characterized as a mature glaciated plateau of moderate relief.

The geologic structure consists of a series of northeast trending folds (approximately N70°E) which plunge gently to the southwest. The dip of the limbs of the folds in the vicinity of the dams is less than five degrees, with the southeast limb steeper than the northwest limb. The dams are located south of the Wilmot Anticline. In general, the discontinuity trends are northeast and northwest.

The stratigraphy consists of glacial till which will range in thickness from very thin to approximately 200 feet. The glacial till is underlain by the Devonian Chemung Formation, which is approximately 475 feet thick in this area. The Chemung Formation is marine in origin, consisting of green-gray sandstone, multicolored shale, and sandy shale. The shale strata tend to weather rapidly when exposed.



and a state of the second second



TE TREE HERCULENE AND BRITH CO. TOH ... TA LTISSO.1070

.