

DTIC FORM 73A

DOCUMENT PROCESSING SHEET



PREFACE

.

\$

.

Plan of Study

and a second second and the second second

Glover of the State of the State

こうちょうかいがいないないないないないないないないからいちょうかい そうしゃ そういちまんのないない ちょう・スティー たいまま ちょうしん ちょうしんちょう たいしょう ちょうちょう

for

Investigation of Tidal Power Cobscook Bay, Maine, U.S.A.

PREFACE

For centuries man has devised methods of putting the ocean tides to work. As early as the eleventh century tides were harnessed in a small way in England and other Western European countries when small tide mills were used to grind corn. Since the advent of hydroelectric power, numerous tidal power sites throughout the world have been investigated. One of the principal tidal areas to be investigated is the Cobscook Bay region in Maine. Tida? hydroelectric power, similar to river hydropower, can be produced by a flow of water from a higher to a lower level through hydraulic turbines. It is anticipated that the final tidal power report resulting from the Plan of Study will be an acceptable tidal hydroelectric power project which will conserve natural resources and provide much needed non-polluting electrical power.

The Plan of Study (POS) presents the general procedures to be followed in determining the need, advisability, plan formulation and determining impacts and recommendations of a tidal power project in the Cobscook Bay region of the State of Maine. The purpose of the project is to generate electricity from tidal action for consumption and benefit in the State of Maine and New England region.

i

Briefly, this document includes tasks to be accomplished, assignments and responsibility of such tasks, study scheduling, allocation of study costs, and coordination required for the planning of three (3) items namely:

- a. The Tidal Power Project Report
- b. The Transmission Lines

c. An Environmental Impacts Statement for the Transmission Lines and Tidal Power Project A

7

The plan specifies that in investigation the solutions to aid in alleviating the region of possible power shortages in the future, higher energy costs, and dependency on exterior energy sources, that consideration will be given to the objectives of National Economic Development, Regional Development, Environmental Quality and Social Well Being. All significant adverse and beneficial project effects on the economic, social, environment, aesthetics of the area, and safety aspects will be identified and assessed. The eliminating or minimizing the adverse effects will be fully explored so as to arrive at the most "Cost-effective" and acceptable project possible.

In addition to electric power which is the main purpose and the primary benefit of the project, ancillary benefits such as area redevelopment, fisheries-mariculture and recreation will also be investigated.

The tidal power study will incorporate and update information from previous tidal power investigations in the area wherever possible.

ii

The study will result in a Survey Scope Report referred to by the U. S. Water Resources Council as type Level C for implementation level studies.

The Plan of Study is considered a flexible planning tool which will, by reason of continued coordination, communication, and findings, be subject to change as required and as the study progresses.

The Plan of Study has been jointly prepared by the Corps of Engineers and interested agencies. The resultant Survey Scope Study and Report is scheduled to be accomplished at an estimated cost of \$3,280,000 over an approximate four-year period.

The preparation of the Plan of Study and The Survey Scope Report will be accomplished with benefit of a coordinated Public Involvement Program to assure that the public expression is incorporated into the project.

The Plan of Study does not constitute a report on the tidal power project, nor is the tidal power project authorized for advanced engineering and design or construction at this time. However, the Plan of Study does include a Reconnaissance Report (Section III) which provides preliminary information on the study area, description of some tidal power alternatives, and various concerns to be addressed in the proposed Survey Report.

iii

TABLE OF Contents

SECTION I

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. THE STUDY INTRODUCTION

- A. General
- B. Background
- C. Authority and Justification
- D. Purpose and Objectives
 - 1. Purpose
 - 2. Goals and Objectives
 - a. National Objectives
 - b. National Accounts
 - c. Related National Policies
 - d. The Study Objectives
 - e. New England Federal Regional Council's Objectives
 - f. State of Maine Objectives
- E. Use of the Survey Scope Report
- F. Study Constraints, Controls, and Guidelines
 - 1. Study Constraints
 - a. Funding
 - b. Staffing

できたが、ためまれたったいとうながられたまではなったいというないないできたが、あたいいいです。シン・サームがいたい、こ

c. Physical Hydraulic Models and Geological Borings

1

2. Controls and Guidelines

- G. Discussion on Assumptions and Criteria
 - a. Discount Rate

and a second second

- b. Physical Hydraulic Model for Cobscook Say
- c. Deep and Shallow Water Drilling Operations

SECTION II

TABLE OF CONTENTS

II. ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT OF THE STUDY

- A. The Planning Process
- B. Development of the Plan of Study (POS)
 - 1. General

in the state of th

- 2. Purpose of the Plan of Study (POS)
- 3. Plan of Study (POS) Format
 - a. Section I, The Study Introduction
 - b. Section II, Organization of the Plan of Study
 - c. Section III, Reconnaissance Report
 - d. Section IV, Study Effort Allocation
 - e. Section V; Plan of Study Coordination
- C. Tidai Power Project Study Management
 - 1. General
 - 2. Study Responsibility
 - 3. Funding of the Study
 - 4. Study Coordination
 - 5. Study Management Team
 - 6. Technical Committee
 - 7. Citizens Review Panel
 - 8. Consultants
 - 9. Canadian Observer
 - iO. Study Organizational Charts

SECTION III TABLE OF CONTENTS

ļ

III. RECONNAISSANCE REPORT

Same Law and Law 2

- A. Introduction
- B. The Study Area
 - 1. Physically
 - a. Location
 - b. Drainage Area and River Basins
 - c. The Bay
 - d. Tidàl Action
 - e. Climatology
 - 2. Geology of the Passamaquoddy/Cobscook Bay Region
 - a. General
 - b. Seismic History
 - 3. Environmental Setting
 - a. Terrestial Ecology
 - b. Vegetation
 - c. Rare and Endangered Species
 - d. Aquatic Ecology
 - e. Fisheries
 - f. Mariculture
 - g. Climatology and Air Quality

III. RECONNAISSANCE REPORT (Cont'd)

- 4. Cultural Resources
- 5. Socio-Economic Aspects
 - a. Population
 - b. Employment and Income
 - c. Housing
 - d. Land Use
 - e. Taxes
- 6. Energy Situation
 - a. Generation
 - b. Transmission
 - c. Institutional Arrangements
 - (1) General
 - (2) Existing Institutional Arrangements
 - (a) Federal Level
 - (b) State Level
 - (c) Local Level
 - (d) Electrical Industry
 - d. Energy Problems and Needs.
- C. Characteristics of a Tidal Power Project
 - 1. General Harnessing of the Tides
 - 2. Single Pool Alternatives
 - 3. Two Pool Alternatives

and the second secon

III. RECONNAISSANCE REPORT (Cont'd)

- D. Description of Possible Tidal Power Projects at Cobscook Bay
 - 1. General
 - 2. Brief Description of 1935 era Cobscook Bay Plans
 - 3. Description of 1935-1936 Project Plan D, See Plate 1, Alternative 4
 - a. Construction Components
 - b. Power Hydraulics and Energy Production
 - c. Cost
 - (1) Construction Costs
 - (2) Annual Charges
 - d. Two Pool Systems
 - e. Power Generation (1936 Concept)
- E. Estimated Project Costs for Selected 1935 Alternatives
 - 1. Construction Cost Estimates
 - 2. Project Annual Costs
- F. Project Benefits

a san di Sanana . Pinana ng masanakan ng mangangan sa ng masang ng

- 1. Summary of Benefits
- 2. Discussion of Benefits
 - a. Power Benefits
 - b. Recreation Benefits
 - c. Fisheries-Mariculture Benefits
 - d. Area Redevelopment

III. RECONNAISSANCE REPORT (Cont'd)

- G. Benefit to Cost Ratio
- H. Life-Cycle Analysis

- I. The Other Proposed Projects
- J. Results of Tidal Power Study by Energy Research and Development Administration

- K. Project Concerns and Future Considerations
- L. Summary of Findings and Conclusions
- M. Future Project Use
- N. Public Involvement Program Results
- 0. Recommendations for Further Study

SECTION IV

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. General

and the state of t

- B. Overall Study Program
- C. Study Sequence
- D. Planning Process
 - 1. Preparation of Plan of Study and Reconnaissance Report (Stage I)
 - 2. Development of Intermediate Plans (Stage II)
 - 3. Development of Detailed Plans (Stage III)
- E. Functional Planning Tasks
- F. Study Schedule
- G. Intensive Management
- H. Development of Plan of Study and Reconnaissance Report
- I. Formulation Assessment and Evaluation of Tidal Power Alternatives Development of Intermediate Plans
 - 1. System of Accounts
 - 2. Range of Alternatives to be considered
 - 3. Other Evaluation Considerations
 - 4. Alternative Future Scenarios
 - 5. Plans identified during the evaluation
- J. Development of Final Plans
- K. Summary of Study Tasks and Estimated Costs
- L. Description of Study Tasks
- M. Description of Reports
- N. Study Management, Supervision and Administration
- 0. Breakdown of Study Costs

SECTION V

TABLE OF CONTENTS

V. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND COORDINATION

A. General

- B. The Public Involvement Program An Overview
 - 1. Reasons for Public Involvement
 - 2. Purpose of the Program
 - 3. Design of the Public Involvement Program
 - 4. Public Involvement Mechanisms
 - a. Public Meetings
 - b. Workshops, Seminars, and Citizen Coordinating Panels
 - c. Printed Materials
 - 5. Program Flexibility
- C. Public Involvement During the Preparation of the Plan of Study (As Planned)
- D. Summary of Public Involvement During Preparation of the Plan of Study
- E. Future Public Involvement Activities During Stages II and III
- F. Miscellaneous Public Involvement Activities
 - 1. Processing of Information
 - 2. Coordination with Agencies
 - 3. Record of Public Involvement
 - 4. Availability of Printed Materials
 - 5. Comments

TABLES

SECTION III - RECONNAISSANCE REPORT

\$

^

| <u>NO.</u> | TITLE/DESCRIPTION |
|------------|--|
| 1 | Population Characteristics of Towns Within a 40 mile radius of Eastport |
| 2 | Age Distribution, Washington County |
| 3 | Population Projections for Eastport and Washington County |
| 4 | Employment by Industry, Washington County, Maine |
| 5 | Occupational Categories, Washington County and Maine |
| 6 | 1975 Employment for Washington County |
| 7 | Civilian Labor Force, 1970 - 1975, Washington County |
| 8 | Wages for Washington County, 1974 and 1975 |
| 9 | Comparative Economic Status of Washington County Residents 1970 |
| 10 | 1975 Year Round Housing in Washington County and Eastport, Maine |
| 11 | Existing Land Use, Eastport |
| 12 | Authorized Additions to New England Generating Capacity (1978 - 1987) |
| 13 | Forecast of Total Electric Power Consumption in New England |
| 14 | Cobscook Bay - Preliminary Tidal Power Project Costs |

TITLE/DESCRIPTION

| 15 | Preliminary Project Annual Costs |
|----|--|
| 16 | Summary of Benefits (Preliminary) |
| 17 | At-Market Unit Power Values |
| 18 | Annual Project Power Benefits |
| 19 | Estimated Annual Area Redevelopment Benefits |
| 20 | Benefit-Cost Ratio Analysis |
| 21 | Life Cycle Analysis of 500 MW International Tidal Power Project |

としてんでいきますから、それのないで、ふくちょうちょうというよう

ションシュスションノンジ

FIGURES

| SECTION | T | ** | THE | STUDY | INTRODUCTIO | N |
|---------|---|----|-----|-------|-------------|---|
| | - | | | | | |

I

~

| NO. | TITLE/DESCRIPTION |
|---------------|--|
| 1 | Photograph of High and Low Tides |
| 2 | Location Map of Tidal Power Project |
| 3 | Vicinity Map of Cobscook Bay Area |
| SECTION II - | ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT |
| 4 | General Relationship of Plan Development Stages and Functional Planning Tasks |
| 4a | Organizational Chart |
| 5 | Drainage Area |
| SECTION III - | RECONNAISSANCE REPORT |
| 6 | Phases of Moon and Tidal Cycle |
| 7 | 1935 - 1936 All-American Plan "D", (Single Pool) |
| 8 | All American Two Pool Plan No. 4 |
| 9 | Estimated Annual Costs Showing International Tidal Power Project and Combined Cycle Alternatives (Both financed at 6 3/8% and 3% Escalation Rate) |
| 10 | " (Both financed at 6 3/8% and 5% Escalation Rate) |
| 11 | " (Both financed at 6 3/8% and 7% Escalation Rate) |

1.

(SECTION III - Continued)

જે જે આ ગામ આ ગ

1

÷.

| <u>NO.</u> | TITLE/DESCRIPTION |
|--------------------|--|
| 12 | Pleasant Point Passamaquoddy Tribal Council, Half Moon Cove Tidal Power and Mariculture Projects |
| 13 | Modified layout of Pittston Refinery and Passamaquoddy Tidal Power |
| SECTION IV - STUDY | EFFORT ALLOCATION AND SCOPE OF STUDY |
| 14 | Cobscook Bay Tidal Power Schedule |
| 15 | Milestone and Checkpoint Schedule |
| 16 | Major Study Tasks with Estimated Study Costs |
| 17 | Anticipated Fiscal Year Funding |
| 18 | Study Cost Estimate (Form PB-6) |

PLATES

PLATE NO.

20.23

....

TITLE

| 1 | Historical and Projected Peak Electrical Loads for Maine (1970 - 1985) |
|---|--|
| 2 | Maine Electrical Generating Capacity (12/31/74) |
| 3 | Principal Electrical Facilities in Maine |
| 4 | Location of Structures - All American Two Pool Plan No. 4 |
| 5 | Structure of the Yew England Utility System |
| 6 | Largest Electric Utility Croups in New England |

ATTACHMENTS (TO SECTION V)

.

ATTACHMENT NO.

.

^

٠

•

٠

•

TITLE/DESCRIPTION

| 1 | Future Public Participation Program for the Tidal Power Study |
|---|---|
| 2 | List of Libraries and Depositories for Tidal Power Project Information |
| 3 | List of Agencies for Study Coordination |
| 4 | Statuatory and Regulatory Coordination |
| 5 | Canadian Embasuey Letter of 10 May 1978 |

. χų··

SECTION I

THE STUDY INTRODUCTION

PLAN OF STUDY

And Andrew Provide Street

1000

INVESTIGATION OF TIDAL POWER

AT

COBSCOOK BAY, MAINE

SECTION I THE STUDY INTRODUCTION

SECTION I

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. THE STUDY INTRODUCTION

A. General

- B. Background
- C. Authority and Justification
- D. Purpose and Objectives
 - 1. Purpose
 - 2. Goals and Objectives
 - a. National Objectives
 - b. National Accounts
 - c. Related National Policies
 - d. The Study Objectives
 - e. New England Federal Regional Council's Objectives
 - f. State of Maine Objectives
- E. Use of the Survey Scope Report
- F. Study Constraints, Controls, and Guidelines
 - 1. Study Constraints
 - a. Funding
 - b. Staffing
 - c. Physical Hydraulic Models and Geological Borings
 - 2. Controls and Guidelines

G. Discussion on Assumptions and Criteria

a. Discount Rate

ことのないたちまでいたいであるでもの

- b. Physical Hydraulic Model for Cobscook Bay
- c. Deep and Shallow Water Drilling Operations

PLAN OF STUDY INVESTIGATION OF TIDAL POWER AT COBSCOOK BAY, MAINE

I. THE STUDY INTRODUCTION

A. General

The New England Division, Corps of Engineers has been authorized to re-evaluate and accomplish an up-to-date Survey Investigation Study of tidal power potential in Cobscook Bay, Maine to determine its economic feasibility under present conditions, investigate environmental concerns and explore various alternatives for the purpose of recommending authorization of a specific plan, to assist in solving future electrical power needs of the State of Maine and New England region.

The study has been classified and officially titled as a Special Study, Passamaquoddy Tidal Power, Maine (CWIS 14023), as it presents a water resource potential that does not fit into any type of regular basin planning study. A Special Study such as this often involves a fairly large geographical area which makes up the study complex and which does not fit the category of a comprehensive river basin study. In addition to providing tidal power, interests in recreation, economic development, and related land and water resources will also be investigated.

B. Background

The project site is located in Cobscook Bay, Maine. The project is founded on harnessing the power resulting from high tidal range

(averaging 18 feet) in the vicinity of Cobscook Bay. The developed electric power would be supplied to the State of Maine and the New England region of the United States. Figure 1 illustrates the high and low tidal difference in the study region.

ないないないないないとうとうことできていいろうちょうというできょうとう

Over the past 50-60 years, tidal power projects in the vicinity of Cobscook and Passamaquoddy Bays have been studied many times and varying construction and operational concepts have been proposed. In 1935, construction of a single pool plan entirely within the United States utilizing Cobscook Bay as the high pool was commenced by the Corps of Engineers for the United States. Two dams and some housing and support facilities were completed before work was stopped in 1936 due to lack of funds. The tidal projects to be evaluated under this new study involve single and double pool concepts with many various configurations.

The last tidal power study in the area was accomplished by the International Passamaquoddy Engineering Board in October 1959 with subsequent revisions and recommendations by the International Joint "ommission, Department of the Interior and the Congress. The international tidal project envisioned in 1959 utilized both Passamaquoddy and Cobscook Bays and included an auxiliary river hydro power project at Rankin Rapids, Maine. The latter project was subsequently separated from the tidal project and relocated to the Dickey-Lincoln School project site to be authorized as its benefit/cost ratio was above unity. The tidal power project was set aside to be re-examined and study be continued because its benefit/cost ratio was less than unity.

In April 1977, the New England Division completed a preliminary analysis of updated construction, operations and maintenance costs, as

. 1



well as anticipated annual benefits which could be derived from electric power, area redevelopment, recreation and fisheries-mariculture. This study considered both International and all United States plans. Based on the results of this economic study, it was determined that a tidal power project appeared to be economically feasible when evaluated by the "life-cycle costing" methods, but not feasible when evaluated by the conventional annual "benefit/cost ratio (BCR)" method as dictated by the United States Congress for evaluating Water Resource Projects. Prior to the recent preliminary economic feasibility stage of planning, it was decided that the economic feasibility of the project from the United States viewpoint should first be determined before contacts, coordination and study participation were requested of the Canadian officials.

Following the completion of an economic analysis the Canadian Government was contacted. On 10 May 1978, the Canadian Government formally indicated that it did not want to participate in a joint Study in the Passamaquoddy Bay region. Therefore, International projects will not be considered in this survey investigation, at least not at this time.

Figure 2 shows the general location of the Cobscook Bay Region in the northeasterly portion of the United States, and Figure 3 is a vicinity map showing both Cobscook Bay and adjacent Passamaquoddy Bay.

C. Authority and Justification

The authority for this work is derived from:

• Resolution adopted on 21 March 1975 by the Committee on Public Works, United States Senate, as sponsored by Edmund Muskie, Senator from Maine. (See Attachment No. 1)

4

プ





- Public Law 94-180, Public Works Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1976 approved on 26 December 1975.
- 1st Endorsement. Letter from Office, Chief of Engineers, Subject: "Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project (CWIS 14023, dated 28 September 1977)," which authorized the New England Division Corps of Engineers to prepare a Plan of Study for the Tidal Power Project.

Prior to initiating the preparation of the Plan of Study, the Corps of Engineers, New England Division, accomplished a preliminary Economic Feasibility Study of the tidal power projects to determine if the projects were economically feasible under present conditions and if further study on the projects was warranted. The results of this economic study are included in the "Reconnaissance Report" Section of this Plan of Study. In a report published by the New England Division, 29 April 1977, it was determined that both the All United States and International plans were not economically feasible when evaluated by the conventional methods dictated by Congress for analyzing water resource projects. When the projects were evaluated on a "life-cycle" costing method, the projects were considered economically feasible. Presently, however, this method is not an authorized procedure.

This Division was authorized to prepare a Plan of Study for Tidal Power and to further investigate and utilize "life-cycle costing" as the possible economic basis for the project study by a letter file dated 28 September 1977 from the Office, Chief of Engineers.

D. Furpose and Objectives

1. Purpose

the bottom is the state to the state

The purpose of the Survey Scope Study is to investigate and evaluate a tidal power project.

plan which would produce electrical power and benefit the inhabitants of the State of Maine and the New England States.

2. Goals and Objectives

In general, the goals and objectives of the study are varied and long term in nature. They are basically established in the interest of increasing electric power supply, maintaining environmental quality, conservation of natural resources utilizing a natural resource phenomena in the area and enhancement of economic-social aspects in the study region. Further, to reduce state, regional and national dependence on foreign fuel supplies for the purpose of generating electric power.

The public will have a significant opportunity to express their views concerning its objectives through an open planning and public involvement process designed for the study.

The following planning objectives of the study from a Federal and regional point of view are provided:

a. National Objectives

The planning objectives of the study are in tune with the national objectives related to water and energy resources. In accordance with basic policies of multi-objective planning expressed in ER 1105-2-200, Federally assisted water and related land planning must be directed to achieve National Economic Development (NED) and Environmental Quality (EQ). The NED objective is to enhage national economic development by increasing the value of the Nation's output of goods and services and by improving national economic efficiency.

The EQ objective is to enhance the quality of the environment by the management, conservation, preservation, creation,

restoration, or improvement of the quality of certain natural and cultural resources and ecological systems.

b. National Accounts

A Martin Martin and Constant

noted have some result of the second second

In addition to the objectives, planning activities must address certain national accounts which measure significant impacts of any given alternative: National Economic Development (NED), Environmental Quality (EQ), Social Well-Being (SWB), and Regional Development (RD). Beneficial and adverse effects of the NED account are measured in monetary terms such as the power benefits and construction costs. The effects of the EQ account are usually measured in non-monetary terms such as higher quality or loss of wildlife habitat. Intangible effects on health, safety and community well-being are considered in the SWB account. Broad economic impacts affecting a region such as regional income and employment contributions are the subject of the RD account.

c. Related National Policies

Among national policies as expressed in the Water Resources Act of 1976 (Public Law 94-587, 90 State 2935) and the President's national energy program address of 20 April 1977 are:

- To provide, in the complete sense, for future national energy requirements.
- To maintain the security and policy independence of the nation by the reduction in dependence on foreign fuels.
- To encourage national energy conservation.
- To promote equitable solutions to energy problems for various regions, classes and interest groups.

d. The Study Objectives

and the second second

a service a service and the service service service service and the service of the service of the service s

The planning objectives were established by analyzing the specific needs of the study area in terms of the national and regional objectives. Each objective was related to the specific national account (National Economic Development, Environmental Quality, Regional Development and Social Well-Being) addressed.

These planning objectives will be reviewed and classified during the course of the investigation.

The tidal power project is considered to increase the energy self-sufficiency of the State of Maine and New England region and, therefore, relates to the National Economic Development and Regional Development Accounts. In addition, the project lends to making maximum use of energy potential afforded by the region's natural water resources and increases National Economic Development.

e. New England Federal Regional Council's Objectives Considerations which are noteworthy and possess merit are that natural energy resources would be conserved, and by the nature of the tidal power project, meet the New England Federal Regional Council's objectiver

- Reduce the region's high dependence on petroleum to produce electrical power and its attendant high costs.
- Reduce the region's adverse weighted average energy cost differential versus the balance of the United States.
- Improve both New England's energy posture and industrial investment climate.

-' .
- f. State of Maine Objectives
 - To conserve energy through the reduction or elimination of processes that waste energy. Energy Conservation can be an important reduction in demand which will benefit the State economically, and help "buy time" until other resources can be developed.
 - To encourage the development of native, renewable resources. The State should promote wood, solar, wind, tidal, hydro, and other native resources even though these may presently have an economic disad-vantage.
 - To insure an adequate supply of energy to the people of the State. Working within the framework of Federal Policy, a system should be developed and maintained to assure the proper and equitable allocation and distribution of available energy resources.
 - To diversify the energy supply base in the State and promote a more equitable distribution of energy resources. Maine should reduce its dependence on petroleum as a major energy supply, replacing it with more abundant conventional and renewable sources. Greater diversification of the types of energy supply and the distribution system within Maine should also be encouraged.

E. Use of the Survey Scope Report

the name spectral spectral respectives said by participation and the sector provides the sector of the

The findings and conclusions of the resultant Survey Scope Report (Level C) (General Investigation and Feasibility Type effort) will form the basis for either:

Recommending to Congress the authorization of the Phase I design memorandum stage of advanced engineering and design as a continuation of the survey investigation. Thence, ultimately if the findings of the Phase I design memorandum indicate a favorable project, recommending Congressional approval and authorization for the implementation of the selected tidal power project; or, Furnishing Congress a finding that no Federal (Corps) participation in the tidal power plan in the region is warranted at this time, based on the partial accomplishing of a Survey Investigation.

F. Study Constraints, Controls and Guidelines

The tidal power study will be carried out within certain constraints and guidelines.

1. Study Constraints

and all the set of the set of the

and the second states and the second seco

a. Funding

The amount of \$3,280,000 has been recommended as the funds necessary to accomplish the preparation of the tidal power study, transmission facilities and the associated Environmental Impact Statement. These funds are not sufficient to provide final type plans or specifications of a project or the ultimate in impact investigations. Varying amounts of funds will be provided during the fiscal years in which the study is conducted. The total amount of study funds will not be made available at the commencement of the study and, therefore, phasing of the work in proper sequence will be necessary. The study will be totally Federally funded through the Corps of Engineers. This phase of the study will be accomplished without benefit of a physical model of the project site.

b. Staffing

Staffing of personnel to conduct the study may cause some constraints due to existing workloads in the agencies. The publics are often limited in the time they can provide to act as observers and advisors, or to provide verbal or written input to the study.

Every effort shall be made by the Corps of Engineers

to provide personnel and facilities to carry out the study to a successful conclusion as is deemed possible within available resources.

The overall study shall be conducted under the direction of the Division Engineer, U.S. Army Engineer Division, New England, Waltham, Massachusetts, 02154.

c. Physical Hydraulic Model and Geological Borings

These will not be undertaken as part of the survey

study. When available, a boring data in the previous tidal studies in the area will be utilized for the study purposes. (See following Para. 3) 2. Controls and Guidelines

Various administrative controls and proceedings of the Corps of Engineers for Water Resources and Land Related Projects will be followed in the accomplishment of the study.

The Study Management Team shall carry out the study within the framework of the following prime guidelines noted under the following paragraph.

In carrying out the study, the following partial list of

Federal criteria and guidelines will be adhered to:

- Water Resources Council "Principles and Standards for Planning Water and Related Land Resources," (38 FR pp. 24778-24684) dated 10 September 1973.
- Section 103 of Water Resources Planning Act (pp. 89-80)
- Senate Document 14097, May 29, 1962
- PL 92-500, Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972
- PL 92-583, Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972
- PL 93-205, Endangered Species Act of 1973

- PL 93-251, Water Resources Development Act of 1974
- PL 93-291, Preservation of Historical and Archeological Data
- PL 94-587, Water Resources Development Act of 1976
- PL 91-190, National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
- Senate Resolution 148

国政が行行に知られていま

- Various Corps of Engineers engineering manuals, regulations and pamphlets.
- Federal Register, Part IV, 29 June 1977, Federal Energy Administration, "Energy Audit Procedures."
- Resolution sponsored by Honorable Senator Edmund S. Muskie, dated 21 March 1975.

It is the desire of the Study Team to also carry out the project in coordination with the various requirements of the State of Maine so as to assure compliance and consistency to the maximum in planning of the tidal power project.

14

1.

3. Discussion on Assumptions and Criteria

The following assumptions and criteria will be utilized in the study, however, they may be subject to change during the course of the study to reflect revisions in guidelines and regulations.

a. Discount Rate

And a state of the state of the

The formulation and evaluation of plans shall be based on the Fiscal Year 1979 Federal Discount Rate of 6-5/8%.

b. Physical Hydraulic Model for Cobscook Bay

At the outset of this study, the study cost allocation does not include provisions for a physical hydraulic model of the project area, Cobscook Bay. Presently, the study through Stage II, Development of Intermediate Plans, will be based on general estimates of future environmental conditions made from existing knowledge augmented by literature review. Upon completion of Stage II, or earlier if the indications of the economic feasibility of the project appear positive, initiation of action to provide a physical hydraulic model will be made so as to assure an appropriate detailed water quality engineering evaluation of the project area. It also appears that a physical model of the bay hydrodynamics is a future must to establish a basis from which to develop a predictive mathematical water quality model. It is considered that a physical hydraulic model will be essential to provide an accurate prediction of post-construction water quality conditions required for the Environmental Impact Statement that will accompany the Survey Scope Report on the tidal power project.

Based on preliminary information a hydraulic model investigation for Cobscook Bay would cost approximately \$900,000 and take about 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 years to construct. In addition, approximately one year of operation of the model will be required to obtain necessary information for designing the mathematical model. After completion of the working mathematical model it is anticipated that an additional 1-2 years of analysis using the model would be required to predict future water quality under simulated post-project operation conditions, therefore, the apparent minimum time required to complete an environmental assessment of the tidal power project would be approximately 4 years after receipt of authorization and funds to construct a physical model.

Present schedule indicates that Stage II will not be completed until October 1979. If request for a physical model is then initiated, a full water quality evaluation of the project would not be available until at least October 1983. This would necessitate a considerable extension of the study completion date of December 1981 as shown on Plate No. 14, the Study Schedule.

At current price levels a prliminary cost estimate for a physical model of Cobscook Bay is as follows:

and a strange state and a strange and a strange of the second state of the second strange of the state of the s

イこ

ESTIMATE FOR COBSCOOK BAY MODEL STUDY

| Model | She | elte | er | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | \$140,000 |
|--------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|------|------|---|---|---|---|-----------|
| Mode1 | Cor | nstr | uct | ior | 1 | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 110,000 |
| Sump | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 40,000 |
| Pumps | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 16,000 |
| Lixat | or | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 25,000 |
| Proto | type | e Su | irve | y | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 40,000 |
| Insta | 11 a | Ind | Mai | inta | in | Tid | le F | leco | ords | 5 | • | • | • | 15,000 |
| Calib | rati | ion | Str | uct | ure | } | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 50,000 |
| Verif | icat | tion | 1 01 | F Mo | del | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 150,000 |
| Dye To | est | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 30,000 |
| Testi | ng (| one | e y€ | ear) | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 180,000 |
| Numer | ical | Mo | de! | | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | • | 75,000 |
| | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | \$871,000 |
|------|-----------|
| SAY: | \$900,000 |

NOTES:

- 1. Model Distortion is estimated at 5 (horizontal scale would be 5 times the vertical scale).
- 2. If an international tidal power plan is studied to include Passamaquoddy Bay, the project area would increase and could increase model costs.
- 3. Work Item 7.39 includes formulating and developing data for providing a physical hydraulic model of Cobscook Bay.

c. Deep and Shallow Water Drilling Operations

The water depths in Cobscook Bay, where project structures could be located, vary up to 15D feet. There is some valuable foundations and material data available from the original tidal power project for which construction was started in 1935 but stopped in 1936 due to lack of funds. However, there is not much data for all of the alternate project layouts which are possible in Cobscook Bay and entirely within the United States.

It is planned to conduct seismic refraction/reflection investigations in locations of proposed structures and dams where no data is presently available. In addition, due to funding it is proposed to develop a limited boring program in locations of least confidence along the seismic runs to validate the subaqueous and subsurface seismic data.

In the event the International Power Plans are re-evaluated with the Canadians it is considered that the deep and shallow water drilling and land drilling operations accomplished during the 1956-1959 engineering studies are adequate, except for minor types of effort, for reuse in this current study. If the study is expanded to include an international tidal power plan the cost allocations will be increased to reflect the additional cost for the minor geological foundation, and materials investigations.

B

SECTION II

ORGANIZATION AND

SECTION II ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

1

é

ないないというないできんな人をしたちないとうかれないにかきかなかというというという

- - こうかくん みころな やくちゃんどう

SECTION II

TABLE OF CONTENTS

II. ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT OF THE STUDY

- A. The Planning Process
- B. Development of the Plan of Study (POS)
 - 1. General

がないないないない

ないないないが、それになるなどないないないないできょうできょうできょうできょうでもしてもしているいでものできっているいです。

- 2. 'Purpose of the Plan of Study (POS)
- 3. Plan of Study (POS) Format
 - a. Section I, The Study Introduction
 - b. Section II, Organization of the Plan of Study
 - c. Section III, Reconnaissance Report
 - d. Section IV, Study Effort Allocation
 - e. Section V, Plan of Study Coordination
- C. Tidal Power Project Study Management
 - 1. General
 - 2. Study Responsibility
 - 3. Funding of the Study
 - 4. Study Coordination
 - 5. Study Management Team
 - 6. lechnical Committee
 - 7. Citizens Review Panel
 - 8. Consultants
 - 9. Canadian Observer
 - 10. Study Organizational Charts

II. ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

A. The Planning Process

1. General

This study will be carried out utilizing the planning process described in Army Regulations ER-1105-2-200 thru ER-1105-2-250. This multi-objective planning framework provides for a complete and orderly approach to planning.

2. Description of the Planning Process

a. The planning process consists of three stages:

<u>Stage I</u> - Plan of Study or Reconnaissance Report - this stage stresses problem identification and study organization.

<u>Stage II</u> - Development of Intermediate Plans - this stage stresses the development of alternative solutions to the problems and identifying impacts associated with each alternative plan.

<u>Stage III</u> - Development of Detailed Plans and Plan Selection - this stage stresses the assessment of impacts and evaluates the alternatives.

b. The planning process utilizes four functional plan-

ning tasks at each stage:

Task 1 - Problem Identification Task 2 - Formulation of Alternatives Task 3 - Impact Assessment Task 4 - Evaluation

c. Figure 4, shown on page graphically illustrates the Planning Process.

d. Section IV of the Plan of Study contains additional information on the Planning Process.

B. <u>Development of Plan of Study (POS)</u>

1. General

In developing a Plan of Study numerous tasks have to be addressed. As much data as possible must be presented to give proper direction to the overall stu⁽ However, the POS must not be unduly overloaded with information. It should be brief and concise where possible.

The POS is prepared before many items of the study are accomplished and problems or obstacler date surfaced. It is intended to be a flexible guide that can be altered with necessary approvals, at any time during the course of the overall study to assure a successful and usable report.

2. Purpose of the Plan of Study (POS)

The Plan of Study is intended to provide a document which will allow for the orderly and timely accomplishment of the Survey Scope of Study and report on the tidal power project. The POS includes:

- a description of the project and the study area,
- a list of the items to be studied
- how the study will be accomplished
- assignment of study tasks and responsibilities,
- a schedule of study events,
- allocation of monetary resources to accomplish the study,
- study management and control
- coordination activities, and
- the report's use

3. Plan of Study (POS) Format

The Plan of Study, Stage I of three Planning stages,

is comprised of five sections as follows:

SECTION

TITLE

| I | The Study Introduction |
|-----|-------------------------------------|
| II | Organization and Management |
| III | Reconnaissance Report |
| IV | Study Effort Allocation |
| ٧ | Public Involvement and Coordination |



RULES AND REGULATIONS

PEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 43, NO. 135-THURSDAY, ANY 13, 1978

ţ

FIGURE NO. 4

a. Section I, Introduction

When the many states and the sector of the sectors

Introduces the study; cites the congressional authorities which sponsored the study, defines the purpose and objectives of the study and describes its various components and the way in which the study reports will be utilized. Also included 1s information regarding study constraints and controls and guidelines.

b. Section II, Organization and Management

Briefly describes the main sections of the Plan of Study document; discusses the study management, responsibility, funding, and coordination; describes the study management team and other participants in the study.

c. Section III, Reconnaissance Report

Describes the project and study area, indicates concerns and problems and needs, lists and summarized previous project reports and other on-going studies in the area, describes some of the known alternatives at this time, presents preliminary economic-environmental concerns and lists prior and on-going studies in the area.

d. <u>Section IV</u>, Study Effort Allocation

Outlines the sequence of the study, planning process, major work items to be accomplished. Open planning, study scheduling, assignments and tasks, study costs and report preparations are discussed here. The major work items involved and for which reports will be prepared are: Tidal Power Project Transmission Line Project Environmental Impact Statement for the Tidal Power Project and the Transmission Line Facilities

e. <u>Section V, Públic Involvement and Coordination</u>

Outlines the public involvement and coordination activities which took place during preparation of the Plan of Study. The study management team has incorporated the public's input into the final POS to ensure a more successful study.

C. Tidal Power Project Study Management

1. General

ときていたいないとうないないないないないないのできたのできたいとうというとうないというないできたいで

The study will be conducted under the direction of the Division Engineer, New England Division, Corps of Engineers, Waltham, Massachusetts. At the completion of the study, it will be the responsibility of the Division Engineer to forward the remort to the proper authorities and recommend whether or not that further project engineering design and/or implementation be accomplished.

The overall study will be managed by the Study Manager, under the supervision of the Chief, Planning Division, New England Division. The Study Manager and staff will be located in the Division Offices, Waltham, Massachusetts.

2. Study Responsibility

and the second second

Converse and the service of the service of the conversion of the conversion

The accomplishment of the study and preparation and submission of the project report and Environmental Impact Statement is the responsibility of the Corps of Engineers. The Study Management Team will be responsible for accomplishment of the following items:

- . Initiate and Carry Out Public Participation Program
- . Maintain Overall Program Management Procedures
- . Assign the Study Tasks
- . Maintain Study Schedule
- . Maintain Study Cost Data
- . Maintain Working Contacts and Relationships with Agencies
- . Negotiate and Monitor Contracts with Private Consultants and Work Orders with Special Agencies
- . Perform technical reviews of consultant input and process payments to consultants
- . Prepare Statistical Management Reports and Public Informational Data
- . Maintain Mailing Lists for Distribution of Project Data to the Public
- . Preparation of the Study Reports and Environmental Impacts Statement
 - 3. Funding of the Study

The funding for the All U.S. tidal power study including

. 1

investigation for transmission lines and Environmental Impacts Statement will be a totally Federal funded effort. The Federally appropriated funds will be furnished by the Congress to the Corps of Engineers for carrying out the study. A subsequent Section in this Plan of Study provides information on funding and study costs. The conductance of the study will be dependent on the availability and funding provided by the U.S. Congress on a Fiscal Year basis. (The U.S. Fiscal Year is 1 October through 30 September of each year.)

4. Study Coordination

The study will be coordinated with all interested Federal, State, Regioal, Local and other agencies and groups by the Corps of Engineers through the Study Manager. Liaison and coordination will be maintained during all phases of the study period and afford comment and input through an open planning program. Coordination with Canada on the All-U.S. Plan will be as a matter of courtesy and transferring information on tidal power investigations and environmental impacts.

The Study Manager will coordinate all study activities, technical reviews, task assignments, scheduling, report preparation, funding, programming, etc. A public participation program will be conducted and public meetings, workshops, information briefings, etc., will be coordinated with the public during the course of the study. Input from the public, which includes agencies, private groups and individuals is desired for consideration, information and formulating project plans. During this study, the public is considered any agency, group or individual outside the Corps of Engineers. A partial listing

二之

of agencies and organizations with which coordination will be carried out with, are shown on Attachment 5. Attachment 7 is a partial listing of laws requiring specific coordination.

The study will also be coordinated with typical public and private groups and industry such as: Organized publics, Sportsmen Clubs, Commercial Fishermen, Electrical Power Companies, industrial interests, etc.

Typical miscellaneous items of work in the project which will require coordination efforts during the study are:

Federal Energy Reg. Comm. Hydroelectric Power Investi-Department of Energy gation Public Highways Federal Highway Admn. Environmental Impact Statement Env. Protection Agency Project Planning A-95 Clearinghouse Scenic Areas and Project Site National Park Service Energy Resource Federal Regional Council Energy Marketing, Rate Department of Interior Structure, Economic Feasi-(Southeastern Power Adminbility and Sales istration) Electrical Transmission Department of Interior (Bonneville Power Administration) Economic Feasibility and Federal Energy Reg. Comm. Licensing, Power Values Coastal Zone Management State of Maine Coastal Zone Management Energy Planning Study Coordinator (Office of State Planning) Environment (Energy Office) (Dept. of Environmental Protection) 5. Study Management Team

The Study Management Team will basically be composed of the following'full-time types of personnel from the Planning Divsion, New England Division: Corps of Engineers:

Study Manager

Civil Engineer

Environmental Resource Specialist

Secretary Public Participation Specialist (Part Time) The team will be located in the New England Division

headquarters, 424 Trapelo Road, Waltham, Massachusetts 02154. The Study Management Team may also be reached by telephone, on the following numbers:

| Commercial | 617/894-2400 Ext. 51 | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Federal Telepnone System | 8-839-7513 | | | | |

The study team shall h ve available as needed the expertise of other Division personnel for engineering, planning, real estate, programming and environmental concerns for executing the study.

In addition, representatives of the following agencies/ groups will serve as part of the study team on a part-time or as-needed basis for assisting with the study.

State of Maine

Department of Energy

Office of Planning

Coastal Zone Management

Department of Environmental Protection

Federal

National Marine Fisheries

U. S. Fish and Wildlife ServiceDepartment of EnergyBonneveille Power AdministrationSoutheast Power Administration

6. Technical Committee

ないたちになったいないというとう

In order to carry out the Tidal Power Study effectively, the input of a Technical Committee to assist the Corps of Engineers in the planning effortwould be most beneficial. The committee would meet on a semi-annual basis, at agreeable locations to be determined, to be informed of the progress of the study, assist in steering the study, public relations, offering technical advice, opinions and constructive criticism and recommendations.

Representatives (one each) of the following groups would comprise the rechnical Committee:

State of Maine

State Planning Office

Office of Energy Resources

Coastal Zone Management

Department of Environmental Protection

Washington County

Washington County Regional Planning Commission

Washington County Economic Development Commission

Federal Agencies

Corps of Engineers (CE)

Environmental Protection Agency (Region I) (EPA)

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)

Department of Energy, Region I (DOE)

Department of Commerce (National Marine Fisheries Service) (NMFS)

Bonneville Power Administration

Southeast Power Administration

Department of Interior (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) (F & WS)

U. S. Coast Guard (USCG)

Educational Institutions

University of Maine (U of M)

Industry

New England Power Pool (NEPOOL)

Maine Council for Economic Development

<u>Environmental</u>

Environmental Studies Center (University of Maine)

Public

Governor Appointee

<u>Regional</u>

New England Regional Commission (NERCOM)

New England River Basins Commission (NERBC)

New England Council

Citizens Review Panel (CRP)

Chairman of CRP

7. Citizens Review Panel

The Citizens Review Panel should comprise about 6-8 volunteer type citizens, officials, industrialists, etc. who would assist the study team in carrying out the public participation program and furnish advice as the study progresses.

8. Consultants

いたがないないできょう

The Tidal Power Study will be large and the total expertise and workload envisioned is beyond the capabilities of the New England Division to accomplish all of the work with in-house personnel. In view of this, contracts will be awarded to various consultants and/ or agencies to perform work assignments for the study. The consultants will actually be an "extension" of the study team and the results of all work will become the property of the Government.

The consultants shall be required to refer all questions from the public to the Corps of Engineers for response.

The consultant selection and awarding of contracts will be accomplished by the Corps of Engineers, New England Division in accordance with current Armed Services Procurement Regulations (ASPR). The Division Engineer and/or his designee will be the Contracting Officer and responsible for administering all contract awarded by the New England Division.

9. Canadian Observer

Canada is not participating in a joint study with the United States on tidal power in the Cobscook-Passamaquoddy Region at this time, principally because of their current involvement with commencing a \$33,000,000 two-year advanced level study on a 1085 megawatt power project in Cumberland Basin in the upper portions of the Bay of Fundy. They have, however, designated Dr. R. G. Skinner, Environmental Advisor, Science and Technology, Department of Energy Mines and Resources, Ottawa, Ontario, as an observer to the Cobscook Bay Study and it is anticipated that this arrangement will provide transfer of information and be beneficial to both studies.

10. Study Organizational Chart

The following organizational chart indicates the various elements involved in the study effortand their relationship to each other. (See Figure No. 4A)



とないないとなるとないとうないないとうできょうできょう

FIGURE NO. 4A

SECTION III

RECONNAISSANCE REPORT

SECTION III

RECONNAISSANCE REPORT

Participation of the local data

.

SECTION III TABLE OF CONTENTS

- III. RECONNAISSANCE REPORT
 - A. Introduction

ł

. 1

- B. The Study Area
 - 1. Physically
 - a. Location
 - b. Drainage Area and River Basins
 - c. The Bay
 - d. Tidàl Action
 - e. Climatology
 - 2. Geology of the Passamaquoddy/Cobscook Bay Region
 - a. General
 - b. Seismic History
 - 3. Environmental Setting
 - a. Terrestial Ecology
 - b. Vegetation
 - c. Rare and Endangered Species
 - d. Aquatic Ecology
 - e. Fisheries
 - f. Mariculture
 - g. Climatology and Air Quality

1

Ĺ

III. RECONNAISSANCE REPORT (Cont'd)

\$

į

- 4. Cultural Resources
- 5. Socio-Economic Aspects
 - a. Population
 - b. Employment and Income
 - c. Housing
 - d. Land Use
 - e. Taxes
- 6. Energy Situation
 - a. Generation
 - b. Transmission
 - c. Institutional Arrangements
 - (1) General
 - (2) Existing Institutional Arrangements
 - (a) Federal Level
 - (b) State Level
 - (c) Local Level
 - (d) Electrical Industry
 - d. Energy Problems and Needs.
- C. Characteristics of a Tidal Power Project
 - 1. General Harnessing of the Tides
 - 2. Single Pool Alternatives
 - 3. Two Pool Alternative:

III. RECONNAISSANCE REPORT (Cont'd)

- D. Description of Possible Tidal Power Projects at Cobscook Bay
 - 1. General

-

- 2. Brief Description of 1935 era Cobscook Bay Plans
- 3. Description of 1935-1936 Project Plan D, See Plate 1, Alternative 4
 - a. Construction Components
 - b. Power Hydraulics and Energy Production
 - c. Cost
 - (1) Construction Costs
 - (2) Annual Charges
 - d. Two Pool Systems
 - e. Power Generation (1936 Concept)
- E. Estimated Project Costs for Selected 1935 Alternatives
 - 1. Construction Cost Estimates
 - 2. Project Annual Costs
- F. Project Benefits
 - 1. Summary of Benefits
 - 2. Discussion of Benefits
 - a. Power Benefits
 - b. Recreation Benefits
 - c. Fisheries-Mariculture Benefits

6.

d. Area Redevelopment

III. <u>RECONNAISSANCE REPORT</u> (Cont'd)

- G. Benefit to Cost Ratio
- H. Life-Cycle Analysis
- I. The Other Proposed Projects
- J. Results of Tidal Power Study by Energy Research and Development Administration
- K. Project Concerns and Future Considerations
- L. Summary of Findings and Conclusions
- M. Future Project Use
- N. Public Involvement Program Results
- 0. Recommendations for Further Study

III. RECONNAISSANCE REPORT

and the state of t

とないないなどになったないないであるとないとないないないないないないないとうとう

A. Introduction

This section is a preliminary Reconnaissance Report and will briefly describe possible tidal power projects in Cobscook Bay, Maine, the study area and its problems and needs, the institutional arrangements related to the project; a summary of prior reports on the tidal power project and a list of ongoing studies in the area.

B. The Study Area

1. Physicaì

a. Location

Cobscook Bay is located in Washington County, Maine, the most easterly county in the United States and frequently called the "Sunrise County". A map of the study area is shown on Figure No. 2.

Shoreline towns which abut Cobscook Bay are:

| <u>City/Town</u> | Population (1970) |
|------------------|-------------------|
| 0 | 070 |
| Dennysville | 278 |
| Eastport | 1989 |
| Edmunds | 165 |
| Lubec | 1949 |
| Pembroke | 700 |
| Perry | 878 |
| Trescott | 300 |
| Whiting | 269 |
| | |

b. Drainage Area and River Basins

The drainage of Cobscook Bay covers approximately 407 square miles and lies in the United States (See Figure No. 5). This area includes islands and portions of the shoreline not directly associated with any stream system as well as the following major streams which drain into the bay:

Draining into Cobscook Bay

Pennamaquan River Hardscrabble River Dennys River Orange River

An important part of the study's Water Quality Program will be investigating the conditions of these streams as well as the bay.

c. The Bay

Cobscook Bay covers approximately 39 square miles at the high watermark and approximately 26 square miles at the low watermark. Cobscook Bay is entirely within the United States and is the principal bay area in this study. Some sub-bays included with "Cobscook" Bay are: Dennys, Pennamaquan, South Bay, Whiting Bay, Johnson Bay, Straight Bay and coves such as Half Moon Cove. Depths in Cobscook Bay range to 140 feet.

d. Tidal Action

こうしききょうた ものしんしょう しいい いきちゃ あまいと したひちょうたん くんやん チおっと

そうしょう いったい ちょうい していてい アインスストライン なみのため ひょうしん しょうしん しょうかん ひまんない たいたいたい たいたい たいたい たいたい ちょうしょう ちょうしゅう チ

Variou: agencies have intermittently measured the tides in the Cobscool Bay region and - iacent areas over the past 100 years. Tides are produced by the changing relationship of the sun, earth and moon with respect to each other. The tidal range, which is affected primarily by the phases of the moon, also varies from day to day. The tidal cycle occurs every 12 hours and 25 minutes. The range of the tides in Passamaquoddy and Cobscook Bays near the mouth of the Bay of Fundy varies from a minimum of 11.3 feet at neap tide to a maximum of 25.7 feet at spring tide, averaging 18.1 feet. During each tidal cycle, an average volume of approximatel, 70 billion cubic feet of water regularly enters and leaves the two bays. Approximately 17.3 billion cubic feet of water regularly enters and leaves Cobscook Bay at mean tide.





The shorelines along the study area are exposed to waves generated in the Bay of Fundy, the Gulf of Maine and the Atlantic Ocean. Although these waves can be very violent as a result of the high winds and long northeast storms, the shore is sheltered by the many peninsulas and islands of the area.

e. Climatology

Onshore breezes blow several miles inland along the coast, bringing cooling trends in the summer and warming trends in the winter. The Labrador current flowing southward along the Nova Scotian coast brings cold water into the Gulf of Maine. This contributes to the abundance of precipitation, the prevailing westerly winds and average temperatures of 60° F in the summer and 25° F in the winter. Severe fog is encountered during the dark hours of the summer months.

Average annual precipitation is 43 inches and the average snowfall is 70 inches.

- 2. Geology of the Passamaquoddy/Cobscook Bay Region
 - a. General

The Cobscook area is part of the Appalachian province which includes mountainous and coastal lands and waters extending from Alabama to Newfoundlard. The dominant regional northeast Appalachian trend of the bedrock has been locally modified by a combination of folding and faulting that has resulted in the juxtaposition of two bays, Cobscook Bay and Passamaquoddy Bay, each with a different type of natural bedrock barrier.

The unique distribution of land and water which make up Cobscook Bay is the surface expression of a thick succession of Silurian volcanic and sedimentary rocks that have been folded into a broad northeastwardly plunging anticline bordered by a northeast trending fault. The barriers across this bay consist of the folded resistant rocks of the Silurian succession.

Passamaquoddy Bay, in contrast, developed upon a basic structure formed by younger sedimentary rocks of Devonian age. Thus, Passamaquoddy Bay was developed on rocks which do not form a barrier for the bay. An effective bay barrier is, however, formed across its mouth by a group of islands consisting of older and dominantly volcanic rock. The northeast structural trends of the rock units are dragged around nearly at right angles as they approach the International Boundary. The course of this drag folding is a major fault which strikes north, northwest along the St. Croix River Channel and is assumed to extend continuously for 30 miles from Campobeilo Island to Oak Bay.

After Devonian time, this area was essentially a landmass sloping gently seaward. The project area was then uplifted, producing deep valleys in excess of 400 feet below present-day mean sea level conditions. In more recent Pleistocc e time, the gracial ice advanced from the northwest and the area was depressed by the weight of the ice and debris. During the same period, the land was smoothed and the drainage system was modified. As the ice melted and unloaded the glaciated areas the land rose to regain partially the levels prevailing before glacial times. The passages around the island in the project area are the old
stream valleys, now partly drowned, and the numerous islands themselves are the higher parts of the preglacial land masses.

Erosion is now cutting through the thin mantle of glacial sediments deposited over the land surface. Several areas of outwash sand and gravel deposited by the glacier provide material sources for the project. It appears that glacial terminal moraines also blocked some of the principle passages causing the deposition of deep marine deposits of clay and silt in Cobscook and Passamaquoddy Bays.

b. Seismic History

The Cobscook Bay area is located in Zone 1 on the Seismic Probability Chart for the United States. The Seismic Zone map indicates that damage in this zone would be minor with a seismic coefficient .025 for design. A cursory review of available historical data reveals, however, that approximately 30 earthquake epicenters have been recorded within a 75-mile radius of the project area in the United States and Canada. Of this number, the majority of the earthquakes were in the intensity ranges of III to IV. However, the records indicate an earthquake of an intensity VIII occurred in the Bay of Fundy approximately 35 miles west of the site on 22 October 1869 at 11:00 a.m. E.S.T. and was felt over a 250,000 square mile area. This was followed on 8 February 1870 by another quake, presumably an aftershock, with an intensity of VI, slightly east and south of the first shock location. Closer to the site within the project area an earthquake of intensity VII occurred on 21 March 1904 at 6:00 a.m. This earthquake, recorded by the National Earthquake Information Service (NEIS) and the Earthquake History of the United

States (EHUS) was felt over an area of 150,000 square miles. The proximity to the site of these report epicenters will require further documentation as their presence may become the basis for design of structures.

3. Environmental Setting

a. Terrestrial Ecology

The major terrestrial impacts of the project will be associated with the construction aspects of the project. One major result of the project would be the creation of new habitat for waterfowl and aquatic mammal species by the creation of new salt marshes. In the literature available, there is little information on the terrestrial ecosystem.

In the publications from Acadia University, studies were conducted of the avifauna and shorebirds in the Bay of Fundy. The birds utilize the inlets and estuaries as feeding and resting areas during their migrations. The following information will be studied: distribution of shorebirds in the project area, impacts on their migrations, and availability of food resources.

b. Vegetation

The main type of vegetation which will be impacted upon would be the algae population. Productivity and population statistics will have to be studied. Also, vegetation on the surrounding islands has to be analyzed. Forestry resources and productivity from the surrounding lands are going to be an important factor in studying the project.

Plan ecology, mannals, wildlife, and herpetofauna are part of the overall terrestrial environment. Analyses for productivity, resources and management, as done for Dickey-Lincoln, would have to similarly be done for Cobscook Bay.

c. Rare and Endangered Species

North States and

The Arctic Peregrine falcon and the Northern bald eagle have been ovserved in the area. "Great Whales," such as finback, minke and right whales are found in the Bay area. Except for the minke whale, all of the species of "Great Whales" are on the Department of Interior's Endangered Species list. Consultation and coordination will be undertaken under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1975 with the Department of the Interior.

d. Aquatic Ecology

A high species diversity of marine benthic communities are found, including many species of crabs, shrimp, lobsters, clams and barnacles. The important commercial species are soft-shell clams, lobsters, shrimp, and scallops; and finfish, such as cod, haddock, herring, hake, redfish, pollock, and flounder. Salmon, striped bass, and brook trout are the sport fish which are found.

An intensive analysis of the intertidal resources in the Bay has to be conducted in order to predict changes following the construction of a tidal power plant. According to M.L.H. Thomas from Acadia University, there is almost no knowledge of the ecological dynamics of intertidal systems in the Bay area. The intertidal communities are very diverse. Commercially important intertidal species from the Bay of Fundy are: soft-shell clam (<u>Mya arenaria</u>), Irish moss (<u>Chondrus crispus</u>), Dulse (<u>Palmaria palmata</u>), Knotted Wrack (<u>Ascophyllum</u> <u>nodosum</u>), Common periwinkle (<u>Littorina littorea</u>), and the bloodworm (<u>Glycera dibranchiata</u>). Aquatic mammals inhabiting the study area include harbor porpoises, white-beaked and white-sided dolphins and harbor and gray seals. "Great Whales," such as finback, minke whale, all of the "Great Whales" species are on the Department of Interior's endangered species list.

This area constitutes an important feeding and breeding area for marine birds. Migrating, resident and shore birds all live here for at least part of their life cycle.

e. Fisheries

a saidi ana a tananan wana kan di wakana wa afanan wanani wasan wasan wasan wasan kata kata kata kata sa

There are over 40 fish species that are resident or migrate through the Bay of Fundy. The effects of the tidal power plant on pelagic fish species would be on their feeding and reproduction. As a result of the reduced intertidal zone, their primary food source of intertidal benthic organisms would be reduced. Spawning and rearing would also be affected. Most of the species use the estuaries, salt marshes or freshwater river for spawning and rearing. The project could affect them in different ways, i.e., extended salt marshes could benefit some, while the reduction of the intertidal zone would be detrimental to others.

Anadromous species would be most severely impacted on. Atlantic salmon, shad, alewives, smelt and striped bass migrate through to use freshwater for spawning. "Migration of anadromous species could be blocked by the conditions experienced at the sluiceways: a negative current, flow downward into a dark submerged tunnel, no olgactory clues. Thus, adult salmon are more likely to concentrate in the area of the powerhouse discharge. Fish mortality at the turbines would depend on the extent of active or passive passage through the turbines and the degree of induced mortality. Groundfish are also more likely to be entrained in the powerhouse as a result of their lesser swimming ability."

Passamaquoddy Bay has active fisheries in lobster, clams, clamworms and groundfish such as shrimp and flounder. The most important fishery is for soft-shell claus.

Fish Presence and Abundance and current fisheries surveys are required; also, species for potential introduction.

f. Mariculture

Potential species for mariculture are Atlantic salmon, trout, lobster, oysters, mussels and snails.

A detailed study plan has to be developed to identify the best species for mariculture. economical benefits and losses, and the overall potential of mariculture.

g. Climatology and Air Quality

Conditions of Climatology and Air Quality can be found in the report done on the Pittston Oil Refinery Project in Eastport, Maine. Assessment of the impacts of the proposed project on the mesoclimate and possible air quality degradation will have to be looked at.

4. Cultural Resources

and the second of the second second

Archaeological work has been conducted along the coastal regions of Maine and New Brunswick. It is certain that the area was utilized and inhabited by aboriginal populations by at least 2,500 years ago. Although there is very little information available in published form, a general picture emerges that indicates potential for significant and valuable resources concerning human exploitation of the area during aboriginal and historic times.

A Cultural Resource Reconnaissance will be conducted covering the project area. The results of this study will locate and define the nature and scope of cultural resources in the area. During subsequent planning phases, studies will determine the significance of the resources and the impact the project would have.

5. Socio-Economic Aspects

a. ^Population

ないたいのながないのでいったというないないないであるというにいたいできたいできたいとうなかないとうとうないできたとう

いたいというないないないというないできょうないとうないないというないというないできるいできるいないないないないというです

The study area is located in the easternmost portion of Maine in Washington County. Washington County occupies 2,554 square miles, 85 percent of which is forested land. Its 1970 population was 29,859 with a population density of 11.7 people per square mile. Most of the county's residents live in the southern coastal areas. Rural in nature, only 13.5 percent of the county's population is calssified as urban. Associated with the sparse development of the county is the reduction in full time employment opportunities, resulting in an out-migration from the county. Between 1960 and 1970 the Washington County's population declined by 9.3 percent (Table 1).

The decline in population in Washington County, and especially in Eastport, the community closest to the site, is due to the remote location and the decline in industries, principally fisheries. Between 1970 and 1973, however, a small increase in population was experienced in both Washington County and Eastport. These increases, . .

POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS OF TOWNS WITHIN A 40-MILE RADIUS OF EASTPORT(1)

| • | | | |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| | | Descent Change | Eastport |
| | 1970 | 1960-1970 | (in miles) |
| | Population | 1980 1970 | 1 |
| Dimitalizer | | -21.6 | - |
| - staart | 1,989 | • | 1 |
| | 1,949 | -2/ | 2 |
| Andec | 878 | | 5 |
| Perty | 700 | -17.0 | 7 |
| Pendroke | * 278 | -8.3 | • |
| Dennysville | , | | • |
| morganized letitcory | | • • | A [·] · |
| of East Central Mash | 498 | NA | |
| ington, | 396 | -16-8 | |
| Robbinston | 269 | -20.6 | 11 |
| Whiting | 100 | -23.5 | 12 |
| Charlotte | 177 E 80 | -10.1 | 13 |
| Outler | 100 . Atti | -4.2 | 16 |
| Calais | 4 ∉044 | | |
| morganized Territor | Y | NA | 16 : |
| of Paring | 181 | _17 0 | 17 ; |
| OI Dal ting | 88 | - 17.0 | • . 17 / |
| Cooper | 76 | -11.0 | 20 ′ |
| Meddybelips | 1,057 | -11.0 | 21 |
| East Machilas | 169 | -23.2 | 22 |
| Alexander | 2.167 | 16.3 | 24 |
| Bailyville | 887 | -9.5 | 25 |
| Machiasport | 227 | -15.0 | 25 |
| Marshfield | 5 BB1 | -6.6 | 25 |
| Machias | 4 368 | -10.2 | 26 |
| Machias Center | 1,500 | -10.8 | 26 |
| Crawford | 6 C 2 H | 10.1 | 26 |
| woodland (D) | -1,534 | -27.8 | 27 |
| Northfield | 57 | -24.1 | 28 |
| Noteniter | 110 | | 29 |
| Westey | 115 | -30.3 | 28 |
| Whitneyville | 956 | 15.5 | . 30 |
| PLIUCEUM | 4 48 | 9 # / 5 ~ ~ | 30 |
| Jonesboro | 83 | 48.4 | , - |
| Plantation No. 21 | TV | | 32 |
| Unorganized leilit | 793 | RA | 36 |
| of North Washingth | 1.326 | -15.2 | 36 |
| Jonesport | 367 | -17.0 | |
| Columbia Falls | | | 20 |
| Great Lake Stream | 186 | -15.1 | 20 20 |
| Plantation | 100 | 3.9 | 20 |
| Addison | 115 | | |
| | | -9.3 | ** |
| Washington County | Total 29,859 | | |

C1

.

t

ł

1

: !.

> U.S. Department of Commerce, Surviva Number of Inhabitants, Maine, 1970.

> > .

•

•1

resulting in populations of about 31,700 and 21.000 respectively, were on the order of 6 percent and were attributed largely to an influx of elderly, retired couples, returning former residents and urban dwellers seeking new lifestyles. Retired couples make up the largest group of newcomers to the Eastport-Pembroke area.

The population center nearest to Eastport is the city of Bangor in Penobscot County. Bangor, approximately 84 miles west of Eastport, had a 1970 population of 33,168.

The largest city in the county is Calais with a population of about 4,000. It serves as the regional shopping center for Washington County and nearby Canadian communities. In addition, being the northern terminus of U.S. Route No. 1, Calais is the major border crossing to Canada from Maine. Machias, the second largest city in Washington County with a population of 2,700 serves as a principal shopping center.

Out-migration of young people is evident in Table 2, which shows the age distribution of Washington County population. In 1970 the median age was 43.7 years in Eastport, 33.4 in Washington County and 29.3 in the U.S. Eastport's 1970 master plan noted the following: "The young working-age population has been consistently leaving Eastport to seek employment in other areas where jobs were more readily available at higher income levels." Area officials report that this exodus of young people has continued through today.

The population projections in Table 3 assume a lessening of out-migration and an increased industrial base.

TABLE 2

AGE DISTRIBUTION WASHINGTON COUNTY(1)

| Age Group | <u>1970</u> | <u>1960</u> | <u>Net_Change</u> |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| 0-4 | 2,357 | 3,394 · | · -1,037 |
| 5-9 | 2,684 | 3,201 | - 517 |
| 10-14 | 3,040 | 3,092 | - 52 |
| 15-19 | 2,612 | 2,330 | + 282 |
| 20-24 | 1,814 | 1,573 | + 241 |
| 25-29 | 1,458 | 1,805 | - 347 |
| 30-34 | 1,423 | 2,100 | · - 677 |
| 35-39 | 1,583 | 2,049 | - 466 |
| 40-44 | 1,803 | 2,013 | - 210 |
| 45-49 | 1,769 | 1,899 | - 130 |
| 50-54 | 1,685 | 1,811 | - 126 |
| 55-59 | 1,592 | 1,678 | - 86 |
| 60-64 | 1,604 | 1,664 | - 60 |
| 65-69 | 1,418 | 1,458 | - 40 |
| 70-74 | 1,200 | 1,190 | + 10 |
| 75+ | 1,817 | 1,651 | + 166 |

(1)Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, General Population Characteristics, Maine, 1970.

÷.,

POPULATION PROJECTIONS FOR BASTPORT AND WASHINGTON COUNTY(1)

Eastport

•

| . . | | | • | • |
|------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|
| • | 1975 | [.] 19 80 | 1985 | 1990 |
| Age Group | Population | Population | Population | Population |
| 0-4 | 170 | 261 | 215 | 275 |
| ·5-9 · | . 249 | 246 | 256 | 215 |
| 10-14 | • 242 | . 238 | 252 | 262 |
| 15-19 | 213 | 179 | 244 | 258 |
| 20-24 | 148 | 121 | 183 | 249 / |
| 25-29 | 70 | 139 | 123 | 186 |
| 30-34 | 102 | 162 | 142 . | · 126 |
| 35-39 | 二十二章 - 148 | 158° | 165 | 144 |
| .40-44 | 140 | · 1 55 | 160 | 167 |
| 45-49 | 144 | 146 | 156 | 16 İ 🔪 |
| 50-54 | 135 | 139 | 146 | 156 |
| 55-59 | 125 | 129 | 137 | 143 |
| 60-64 | 117 | 128 | 124 | 131 |
| 65-69 | 111 | 112 | 119 | 115 |
| 70-74 | 98 | 92 | 98 | 103 |
| 75+ | • 107 | 129 | 116 | 105 |
| Total | 2,319 | 2,534 | 2,636 | 2,796 |

Washington County

| Age Group | 1975 Population | 1980 Population | 1985 Population | • 1990 Population |
|---------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| | <u>a opula ci on</u> | ropulación | roparación | ropulación |
| 0-4 | 2,266 | 3,394 | 2,724 | 3,393 |
| 5-9 | 3,319 | 3,201 | 3,244 | 2,649 |
| 10-14 | 3,228 | 3,092 | 3,194 | 3,237 |
| 15-19 | 2,846 | 2,330 | 3,086 | 3,188 |
| 20-24 | 1,969 | 1,573 | 2,318 | 3,070 |
| 25-29 | 932 | 1,805 | 1,563 | 2,302 |
| 30-34 | 1,354 | 2,100 | 1,793 | 1,553 |
| 35-39 | \$,974 | 2,049 | 2,082 | 1,779 |
| 40-44 | 1,866 | 2,013 | 2,025 | 2,061 |
| 45-49 | 1,917 | 1,899 | 1,978 | 1,991 |
| 50-54 | 1,793 | 1,811 | 1,846 | 1,925 |
| 55-59 | 1,665 | 1,678 | 1,728 | 1,761 |
| 6 0-64 | 1,560 | 1,664 | 1,569 | 1,618 |
| 65-69 | 1,485 | 1,458 | 1,500 | 1,417 |
| 70-74 | 1,305 | 1, 190 | 1,237 | 1,267 |
| 75+ | 1,432 | 1,651 | 1,469 | 1,302 |
| Total | 30,918 | 32,808 | 33,368 | 34,518 |

(1)Source:

R. W. Booker and Associates, Inc., A Comprehensive Development Plan - Eastport, Maine, 1970.

 \mathfrak{f}_{ℓ}

b. Employment and Income

のないであるというというときとう

Washington County has an abundance of underdeveloped natural resources, principally forestry and marine resources. Over 80 percent of the county's lands have commercial value, but only 70 percent is currently being utilized. Washinton County has approximately 700 miles of coastline, the potential for a major fishing industry is limited, however, since Federal support of this industry has been traditionally weak and commercial fish are decreasing in number. Because of Washington County's abundance of natural resources, the tourist trade could become quite profitable. While tourism has not developed fully in Washington County because of its remote location, improved transportation may attract more people to the area. At present, there are no plans to improve intercounty transportation.

Manufacturing, wholesale and retail sales and government services are the three major employment sectors in Washington County. The U.S. Census indicated that about 9,490 pursons were employed here in 1970, 31 percent in canufacturing, 11 percent in agriculture/forestry/ fisheries, 5 percent in transportation/communications/utilities, 8 percent in construction, 17 percent in wholesale/retail trade, 2 percent in public administration. Table 4 shows a total workforce decrease of 6 percent and a significant shift in distribution since 1950. The number of employed decreased 40 percent in transportation/communications/utilities, while employment increased 19 percent in trade and 17 percent in public administration. The largest increase occurred in the banking/insurance/

Table 4 EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY WASHINGTON COUNTY, MAINE

| Industry | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | % Change 1950/70 |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|------|---|
| Agriculture | 830 | 468 | | •••••• |
| Forest & Fisherles | 1129 | 430 | ~~ | |
| Subtotal 155 | 1959 | 898.4. | 1016 | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ |
| Mining | 18 | 12 | 7 | |
| Construction | 620 | 1244 | 742 | -20 |
| Subtotal | 638 | 1256 | 749 | |
| Manufacturing | | | | |
| - Wood Products | 1071 | · 946 | 417 | -61 |
| - Food & Kindred | 1115 | 726 | 678 | -39 |
| - Other | 1079 | 1375 | 1865 | +72 |
| - Subtotal | 3265 | 30.17 | 2960 | - 9 |
| Transportation | 513 | 337 | 258 | -50 |
| Communications/Utilities | 181 | 176 | 197 | - 9 |
| Wholesale & Retail Trade | 1348 | 1488 | 1587 | 18 |
| Banking/Insurance/Real Estate | 92 | 110 | 235 | 155 |
| Services | 1523 | 1474 | 1827 | 20 |
| Sublotal | 2963 | 3072 | 3649 | |
| Public Administration | 446 | 511 | 661 | 48 |
| Other | 169 | 247 | | |
| Total | 10,134 | 9542 | 9490 | - 6 |

Notes

• 5

- 1970 figures include 14 to 15 year olds. Including these would increase total to 9636.
- Source: U. S. Bureau of the Census, "General and Social Characteristics", 1950, 1960 and 1970.

real estate category.

Marrie .

The employment decline in the agriculture/forestry/ fisheries industries over this 20-year span reflects the overall decline in fish resources off the northeast At¹antic Coast and the decrease in the number of acres farmed from 37,000 in 1949 to 17,000 in 1969. However, in the past couple of years, commercial fisheries have increased slightly. According to records of the Maine Department of Marine Resources, total fishing licenses for Washington County increased from 2,995 in 1973 to 3,004 in 1974. The majority of the recently issued commercial fishing licenses were for lobster and crab, although other marine species fished include scallops and marine worms.

The decrease in manufacturing employment between 1950 and 1970 is due largely to the decline of the sardine canning industry. At the turn of the century when Eastport was a thriving city of 5,300, 16 sardine processing plants were operating within its boundaries, earning it the nickname "Sardine Capital of the World." In 1900, 2200 people were employed in the industry. Today, only one sardine cannery with a seasonal peak labor force of 100 remains. This operates only part-time when fish are available and it is expected to close permanently within the next two to three years. Two additional sardine packing plants are located at Lubec.

The industrial sector in Eastport includes Guilford Industries, a wool spinning mill, Holmes Packing Corporation, the remaining sardine cannery, and Mearl Corporation In 1974. 374 persons were employed in manufacturing work. The largest year-round manufacturing employer in the county is a pulp and paper mill located in Woodland that is operated by the Georgia Pacific Company.

In 1970, half of those employed in the county were blue collar workers, an unusually large proportion of whom were nonfarm laborers, almost 13 percent versus 6 percent for the State. Seventeen percent were classified as craftsmen, foremen and kindred workers versus 15 percent for the whole State. Fewer than 9 percent were considered professional versus 12 percent for the State (Table 5). Washington County, especially Eastport, is affected by declining industry and outmigration of the young. Unemployment for Washington County and Eastport is 13 and 46 percent, respectively. The economic base of Washington County and Eastport is being weakened not only by out-migration but also the seasonal nature of employment. As indicated in Tables 6 and 7, the months of highest employment are June and July. Because of seasonal employment, personal income in Washington County is low. The median family income in 1970 in Washington County was \$6,137. Nineteen percent of the families were classified below the State poverty level of \$5,038 and only 6.5 percent of the families had incomes above \$15,000.

Although Washington County wages are below the State average, they did increase between 1974 and 1975. The only category experiencing a wage decrease was agriculture, which follows the national trend. The number of coastal farms in Maine has declined because of increasing land values and competition for coastal lands. Table 8 is a breakdown of wages in Washington County by industry. ないたちという

2

. . . .

| • | Washingto | n County | Mai | ne |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| Occupation | Number | <u><u>x</u></u> | Number | <u>%</u> |
| . Professional, technical & kindred | 803 | 8.5 | 44,924 | 12.3 |
| Managers/Administrators, Ex Farm | 794 | 8.4 | 32,234 | 8.8 |
| Sales Workers | 478 | 5.0 | 21,005 | 5.7 |
| . Clerical & Kindred Workers | 1,072 | 11.3 | 50, 611 | 13.8 |
| Craftsmen, and Kindred Workers . | 1,600 | 16.8 | 55,148 | 15.1 |
| Operatives, except transport | 1,567 | 16.5 | 68,978 | 18.9 |
| Transport Equipment Operatives | 471 | 5.0 | 15,085 | 4.1 |
| Laborers, except farm - | 1,203 | 12.7 | 22,195 | 6.1 |
| Farmers and farm managers | . 172 | 1.8 | 4,806 | 1.3 |
| Farm laborers & farm foremen | 244 | 2,6 | 5,340 | 1.5 |
| Services, ex private household | 920 | 9.7 | 39,875 | 10.9 |
| Private household workers | 166 | 1.7 | 5,649 | 1.5 |
| Total All Workers | 9,490 | 100.0 | 365, 850 | 100.0 |

OCCUPATIONAL CATEGORIES: WASHINGTON COUNTY AND MAINE

Source: U. S. Bureau of the Census, 1970 <u>Census of Population</u>, "General Social and Economic Characteristics."

۰.

ĩ

٠.

. .

TABLE 6

North Contraction

ちちっちかい しょうかい いくちどちか

A STATE A CALL A

1975 EMPLOYNERT FUR WASHINGTON COUNTY(1)

| • | | | | | | | | | | | | | Annual | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | Jan. | Pcb. | March | April | MAY | June | <u>July</u> | Aug. | Sept. | <u>oct.</u> | · NON | Dec. | Awg. | |
| Dufarm Wage and Salary | 7,450 | 7,350 | 7,200 | 7,350 | 7,550 | 8,100 | 8,300 | 8,650 | 8,250 | 8,350 | 8, 100 | 7,850 | 006.7 | |
| lanufacturing Durable Goods Mondurable Goods | . 2,250 430 1,820 | 2,200 420 | 2,100 300 1,800 | 2,150 250 1,900 | 2,100 260 1,840 | 2,550 440 2,110 | 2,700 440 2,260 | 3,050 440 2,610 | 2,750 410 2,340 | 2,750 510 2,240 | 2,550 510 2,040 | 2,300 | 2,450 410 2,040 | |
| Communication, Public Util- | 5, 200 360 | 5,150 290 300 | 5,100 260 290 | 5,200 270 300 | 5, 450 390 300 | 5,550 400 320 | 5,600 #00 320 | 5,600 360 320 | 5,500 360 320 | 5,600 130 330 | 5,550 420 320 | 5,550 420 320 | 5, 450 390 310 | |
| itics, and iransportation Molesale and Retail Trades | 1,370 | 1,350 | 1,340 | 1,400 | 1,480 | 1,560 | 1,640 | 1,690 | 1,580 | t ,560 | 1,530 | 1,520. | 1,500 | |
| 'inance, Insurance, and Real Sstate | 170 | 160 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 170 | 190 | 061 | 170 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 170 | |
| Services . | - 1,130 | 1,130 | 1,110 | 1, 120 | 1,160 | 1,170 | 1,260 | 1,270 | 1,210 | 1,200 | 1, 180 | 051.1 | 1, 180 | |
| sove rnment | 1,850 | 1,920 | 0 # 6 " 1 | 0 * 6 * 1 | 1.540 | 1,930 | 1,790 | 1,770 | 1,860 | 1,930 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 006 *1 | |
| • | | | | | | | · · | • • | •. | ÷. | جو ۲ مور ۲ | | ` ∴ | |
| | | | | | | •. | • | • | • | ۰. ۲ | \$ | | · · · | |
| •• | • • • | | - | | | | | | • | • • | , | • | | |
| (2)Source Maine Department of Pertaining to the F | Hanpower Lessamaque | r Affair oddy Tid | s, Sele al Powe | cted Lu | t Mar) , rugue | cet Info 2, 1976. | rration. | | | • | _ | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | | | • | - ' | 1 | • | |

TABLE NO 15

| | • | CIVILIA | N LABOR | FORCE | -1970- | 1975, H | NSBINGT | on coun | 11.11 | • | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Year | | Jan. | Feb. 1 | larch | Itra | MAY | June | لالعد | -Pud- | Sept. | oct. | Nov. | Dec | Launa L |
| 1975 Civilian Labor For Unemployment 5 of Civilian La Residents Employ | ce . bor Porc a | 12,960 2,000 15.4 10,960 | 12,900 2,170 15.8 10,730 | 12,910 2,30 17.3 10,680 | 12,830 2,010 15.7 10,820 | 12,700 1,850 14.6 10,850 | 13, 160 1,590 12,1 11,570 | 13, 170 1, 320 10.0 11, 850 | 13,830 1,250 9.0 12,580 | 12,640 1,170 9.3 11,470 | 12,530 1,320 10.5 11,210 | 12,380 1,460 11-8 10,920 | 12,520 1,800 14.4 | 12,880 1,680 13.0 |
| 1974 Civilian Lubor For Unemployment S of Civilian La Residents Employ | ice Ibor Force red | 12,840 1,350 10,5 11,490 | 12,870 1,510 11.7 11,360 | 12,680 1,590 12.5 | 13,140 1,560 12.0 | 13, 310 1,400 10.5 11,910 | 14,620 1,130 7.7 13,490 | 14,720 930 6-3 13,790 | 15,770 700 4.4 | 14,070 690 13,380 | 13,760 790 5.7 12,970 | 13,350 1,100 8.2 12,250 | 13,490 1,380 10.2 12,110 | 13,720 1,180 8.6 12,540 |
| 1973 Civilian Lebor For Unemployment 5 of Civilian La Residents Employ | ice Ibor Porce red | 12,610 1,570 13.0 10,490 | 12,560 1,700 14.2 10,280 | 12,610 1,680 13.6 | 12,670 1,820 14-6 10,650 | 12,780 1,620 12.5 11,360 | 13,470 1,050 7.7 12,860 | 13,910 840 5-9 13,420 | 18,996 680 4.3 15,190 | 13, 260 690 13, 240 | 12, 9 20 700 5.2 12, 650 | 12,490 960 7.4 11,990 | 12,330 1,030 8.0 11,860 | 13,050 1,200 1,200 12,060 |
| 1972 Civilian Labor For Energyloyment & of Civilian La Residents Enploy | ice Ibor Porce ved | 12,610 1,290 10.2 11,320 | 12,560 1,500 11.9 | 12,610 | 12,670 1,880 14,8 10,790 | 12,780 1,990 15.6 10,790 | 13, 470 1,060 7.9 12, 410 | 13,910 870 5.6 13,130 | 14,990 610 4.1 14,380 | 13,260 510 3.8 12,750 | 12,920 770 5.9 12,150 | 12,490 760 6.1 11,730 | 12,330 1,130 9,2 11,200 | 13,050 1,150 8.8 11,900 |
| 1971 Civilian Labor For Unergoloyment R of Civilian La Residents Employ | ice Ibor Porce red | 11,570 1,500 12.9 10,070 | 11,900 1,450 12.2 10,450 | 11,460 1,370 11.9 | 11,800 1,620 13.7 19,180 | 12,200 1,640 13.4 10,560 | 12,600 1,110 8.8 11,490 | 13,430 1,000 7.4 12,430 | 13,940 780 5.6 13,160 | 12,820 810 6.3 12,010 | 12,600 ⁻ 970 7.7 11,630 | 12,260 1,000 8.2 11,260 | 12,550 1,120 8,9 11,430 | 12,430 1,200 9.6 |
| 1970 Civilian Labor For Unemployment 8 of Civilian La Residents Employ | tce Ibor Porcé red | 11,580 1,610 13.9 9,970 | 11,690 1,540 13.2 10,150 | 11,340 1,450 12.8 9,890 | 11,750 1,660 14,1 | 11,660 1,550 13.3 10,110 | 12,840 1,040 8.1 8.1 | 13,030 750 5.8 12,280 | 13, 110 750 5.7 12, 360 | 12,310 670 5.4 11,640 | 11,980 870 7.3 11,110 | 11,720 940 8-0 10,780 | 11,540 1,090 9.4 10,450 | 12,050 1,160 9.6 10,890 |

1

TABLE 7

:

ł

r Market Information August, 1976. Maine Department of Manpower Affairs, Selected Lr Pertaining to the Passumaquoddy Tidal Power Study •• (1)8000

LAB'T SO

L

| • | <u>*</u> | | | · · |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| • | • WAGES FOR 1974 A .: (7.N | WASHINGTON ND 1975(1) DOLLARS) | co. | 1 |
| Industry | Total Wage 1974 | Average Wage 1974 | Total Wage 1975 | Average <u>Waqe 1975</u> |
| Agriculture, Forestry, and | • | • | | · 1. |
| Fisheri es | 88,355 | 5,522 | 85,193 | 4,732 |
| Mining | 51,614 | 3,970 | 78,404 | 4,612 |
| Contract Con- | 3,276,902 | 6,913 | 3,337,958 | 9,120 |
| Manufacturing | 20,546,477 | 7,298 | 20,657,076 | 8,532 |
| Communications Public Utili- ties and Trans- portation | 2,149,794 | 8,05% | 2,176,134 | 8,992 |
| Wholesale and Retail Trade | 7,219,569 | 5,113 | 8,134,797 | 5,652 |
| Finance, Insur- ance, and Real Estate | `881,7 73 | 6,436 | 1, 064,063 | 6,820, |
| Servic es | 4,0 08,600 | 4,570 | 5,223,717 | 5,807 |
| State Govern- ment | 1,304,022 | 9,804 | 1,501,252 | 9,552 |
| State Total | 2,112,076,309 | 7,353 | 2,227,231,297 | 7,895 |
| Washington Co. | 39,527,1 09 | 6,429 | 42,258,659 | 7, 197 |

Constantive and a service

+17

(1)SOURCE: Maine Department of Manpower Affairs, Selected Labor Market Information Pertaining to the Passamaquoddy Power Study, August, 1976.

TABLE 9

.

١

and the state of the second seco

COMPARATIVE ECONOMIC STATUS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY RESIDENTS 1970

| Area | Median Income | Percent Families Below Poverty Level | Percent Above \$15,000 |
|-------------------|------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Washington County | \$ 6,137 | 19.0 | 6.5 |
| Maine | \$ 8,204 | 10.3 | 11.2 |
| New England | \$10,617 | 6.7 | 24.2 |
| United States | \$ 9,590 | 10.7 | 20.6 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, <u>1970 Census of Population</u>, "General Social and Economic Characteristics."

The average 1972 per capita income in Eastport was only \$2,118. This was 14 percent below the county level, 30 percent below the State level and 45 percent below the national average. This low value is due primarily to the reduction of the city's industrial base, which historically has been sardine canning.

Although unemployment is an indicator of the economic status of an area, Eastport cannot be characterized by unemployment data alone because many individuals do not meet the minimum requirements, insufficient work period; for example, for obtaining unemployment benefits. Other useful indicators of the area's economic status are the number of welfare and food stamp recipients. As of January 24, 1976, 190 of Eastport's 600 to 650 families were receiving food stamps, and 40 families were receiving welfare. Knowledgeable officials in Eastport have estimated that unemployment was close to 22 percent in August 1975, when seasonal employment was at its high point for the year, and rose to 43 percent in January 1976. c. Housing

A 1975 housing survey undertaken by the Washington County Regional Planning Commission shows that the overall housing situation has not changed significantly since the 1970 U.S. Census: singlefamily homes continue to account for more than 80 percent of the yearround housing stock and a vacancy rate of 3 percent has remained constant for the past five years.

Mobile homes, however, increased from 6 percent of the total housing stock to almost 10 percent during those five years. In Calais, which is Washington County's richest community, mobile homes made up 42 percent of the new home construction between 1970 and 1975.

Eastport's housing conditions are similar to the rest of Washington County. Most of the units are single family and in good or acceptable condition, although the median value for a single-family home in Eastport was only \$5,200 in 1970, 28 percent less than the county median value of \$7,200. According to the 1975 County Survey, the number of single-family homes was 817 and the number of mobile homes was 52, with the total number of living units listed as 926. The 1970 U.S. Census reported that only 4 percent of the occupied units had more than one person per room. Table 10 summarizes the 1975 Washington County Survey.

The low valuation of Eastport housing is due in part to its age: the 1970 U.S. Census found that 73 percent of its year-round housing was constructed before 1940. However, the city has a program to reduce the number of structures classified as deteriorated or abandoned

40

TABLE 10

1975 YEAR ROUND HOUSING IN WASHINGTON COUNTY AND EAST! ORT, MAINE

| | | Washingto | n County | City of E | astport |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|---------|
| | | Number | | Number | 24 |
| I | Type of Homes | | | | |
| ł | • Single Family | 8,709 | 81 | 817 | 88 |
| 1 | Mobile | 1,060 | 10 | 52 | 6 |
| Í | • Vacant | 267 | 3 | 35 | 4 * |
| | na Quber Linite room 31 | £ tra 623 | 74 69 Mis | 22 | 5. 2 |
| , | • Total . | 10,729 | . 88 | 926 | 100 |
| · | Condition | | | | |
| · | • Good or Acceptable | 9,455 | 88 | 805 | 87 |
| | • Poor to Very Poor | 1,274 | 12 | · <u>121</u> | _13 |
| | • Total | 10,729 | 100 | 926 | 100 |

Sources:

7

i,

and a state of the second s

 Washington County Regional Planning Commission, County Housing Survey, Preliminary Data, 1975.

'11

" Calais, Maine Community Development Application, 1975.

by either removing or upgrading them. As a result, the number of deteriorated units in Eastport has dropped from 391 in 1960 to 227 in 1970 and to 212 in 1975.

Few new units are being added to the Eastport housir, stock. Those being erected are either mobile, modular or self-built by their owners. The only significant new construction in Eastport is a 16unit development for the elderly, funded by a loan from the U.S. Farmers Home Administration, which is the source of most of Washington County's financing for new housing. There has been some new publicly funded construction on the nearby Passamaquoddy Indian Reservation at Pleasant Point.

d. Taxes

In 1975, Eastport's property was assessed by State authorities at 11.7 million dollars, a figure that is intended to closely reflect the market value. This compares with a local tax assessment value of only \$5,726,000 which is now being adjusted upward to conform with the State's figures. Approximately 26 percent of this tax base is commercial and industrial property.

Local taxes, consisting principally of the property tax, provide less than half of the city's revenue. The remaining funds are obtained largely from Federal and State programs and from the surrounding communities whose students attend Eastport's high school. The State takes a portion of each community's property tax revenues and then redistributes these funds to the municipalities on a per pupil basis. The State has a 5 percent sales and use tax in addition to other selective sales and gross receipt taxes. These State taxes provided 73 percent of Maine's tax revenue in 1973, while corporate and personal income taxes contributed 14 percent. Maine residents pay a larger proportion of their income for State and local taxes than most other New England residents. In 1975, Eastport received \$300,000 for educational purposes from the State with the remaining \$171,000 for schools coming from the city's total 1975 expenditures of \$809,000.

e. Land Use

;

Of the 6,700 acres encompassed by Eastport's municipal boundaries, only 2,300 or less than 35 percent is land. The remaining 4,400 acres are covered by water.

The majority of Eastport's residents live in the city's center at the southeastern end of the island, although a small group live on the northwestern end in Quoddy Village. This development was built in the 1930's to house Army Corps of Engineers personnel undertaking field survey work for the proposed Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project. The only major developments in the city since then have been the airport, the Hillside Cemetery and a State funded fish processing plant that failed.

Of the 34 percent of Eastport that is not covered by water bodies, 21 percent is open space, mostly forested, and 13 percent is developed. In developed areas, 21 percent is residential, 3 percent is commercial and 30 percent is industrial. Most of the residences are concentrated in the center of town, while commercial establishments are scattered throughout or are located along the waterfront. With the exception of two firms, the city's industries are also along the waterfront or are located on the edge of the residential area. Table 10 shows land use.

| TABLE 11 | - | |
|----------|------|-----|
| 2XISTING | LAND | USE |
| LASTPORT | (1) | |

| Land Dse | <u>Acres</u> | Percent Total City <u>Area</u> | Percent Total Devel- oped Area |
|--|--------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Single-Family Residential | 185.23 | 2.77 | 21.03 |
| Multi-Family Residential | 0.10 | - | 0.01 |
| Office-Service Business | 2.16 | 0.03 | 0.25 |
| Retail Business | 21.45 | 0.32 | 2.44 |
| Residential Business | 2.32 | 0.03 | • 0.26 |
| Fishing-Oriented Industry | 220.77 | 3.30 | 25.07 |
| Other Industry | 29.26 | 0.43 | 3.32 |
| Warehouse and Storage | 11.15 | 0.17 | 1.27 |
| Public Facility | 270.34 | 4.04 | 30.70 |
| Semi-Public Facility | 6. 46 | 0.10 | 0.73 |
| Streets and Roads | 99.10 | 1.48 | 11.25 |
| Railroad | 32.29 | 0.48 | 3.67 |
| Total Developed Area | 880.63 | 13.15 | and the state of the |
| Vacant, Agriculture and Open Space Land | 1,409.37 | 21.04 | |
| Water Areas | 4,408.00 | 65.81 | |
| Total City Area | 6,698.00 | | |

:

(1)Source: R. W. Booker and Associates, Inc., A Comprehensive Development Plan, Eastport, Maine, 1970.

-

. -

No

6 "----

1

The remainder of Washington County is similar in that most of its inhabitants also reside in small coastal communities. Most of its land area, which consists of 1.86 million acres or 2,900 square miles and extends some 85 miles north to south and 55 miles east to west, is in commercial forest use. Only 1 percent of its land is in urban use; 13 percent is wetlands and waterways; 1 percent is farmland largely devoted to low bush blueberry crops; and 70 percent is commercial forest. Except for the Georgia Pacific Company's paper mill in Woodland, there are no major industrial areas or complexes in the county.

f. Transportation

Transportation facilities are limited in the Eastport area. The only State road to Eastport is Route 190, a paved two-lane highway. Route 190 is linked to the major regional highway, U.S. Route 1 at Perry, approximately six miles northwest of Eastport. Average daily traffic flow (1972) on Route 190 in Eastport was recorded at 2,140 vehicles; in Quoddy Village at 2,045 vehicles and at the junction of U.S. Route 1 at 1,995 vehicles.

The only rail facility at Eastport is a spurline of the Maine Central Railroad, but its use is limited. In 1973, 14 shippers used the line; presently only one shipper uses it. Because the line is only in fair condition, the Maine Central Railroad has petitioned the Interstate Commerce Commission to abandon the line.

Eastport, with its deep water harbor, has the physiographic potential to become a major shipping port. At the present time, it is used mostly by local fishermen. Plans are currently being considered for a proposed

major oil refinery and marine terminal to be located at Eastport. It is estimated that ship traffic in and out of Eastport would be one 250,000 DWT and seven 76,000 DWT tankers per week and *wo barges daily.

Eastport has an airport that was constructed in the 1940's as a special national defense facility. It has two runways, approximately 2,850 feet in length, that are in poor condition and consequently limit the use of the facility. The Lubec Municipal Airport, with one 2,032 foot runway that is in fair condition, is two miles west of Lubec. The Eastport Airport may be discontinued and is the site of the proposed oil refinery. g. Public Services

The public school system in Washington County consists of 38 elemantary schools and 8 secondary schools. Eastport has two elementary schools with a total enrollment of 328 and one high school with an enrollment of 231. Eastport's Comprehensive Development Plan includes a Community Facilities Plan which proposes a new school complex to accommodate an increased enrollment.

Located in Eastport is Eastport Memorial Hospital, with a capacity of 26 beds. Other health facilities in the area include one hospital at Calais, approximately 16 miles northwest from Eastport, and another at Machias, approximately 25 miles southwest of Eastport. These hospitals have a total capacity of 109 beds.

Eastport's water is supplied by a private utility from a reservoir. Boyden Lake, just northwest of Perry. Boyden Lake

Plans are currently being considered for a proposed major oil refinery and marine terminal to be located at Eastport. It is estimated that ship traffic in and out of Eastport would be one 250,000 DWT and seven 76,000 DWT tankers per week and two barges daily.

Eastport has an airport that was constructed in the 1940's as a special national defense facility. It has two runways, approximately 2,850 feet in length, that are in poor condition and consequently limit the use of the facility. The Lubec Municipal Airport, with one 2,032 foot runway that is in fair condition, is two miles west of Lubec. The Eastport Airport may be discontinued and is the site of the proposed oil refinery. g. Public Services

mutin address i timeste

The public school system in Washington County consists of 38 elementary schools and 8 secondary schools. Eastport has two elementary schools with a total enrollment of 328 and one high school with an enrollment of 231. Eastport's Comprehensive Development Plan includes a Community Facilities Plan which proposes a new school complex to accommodate an increased enrollment.

Located in Eastport is Eastport Memorial Hospital, with a capacity of 26 beds. Other health facilities in the area include one hospital at Calais, approximately 16 miles northwest from Eastport, and another at Machias, approximately 25 miles southwest of Eastport. These hospitals have a total capacity of 109 beds.

Eastport's water is supplied by a private utility from a reservoir, Boyden Lake, just northwest of Perry. Boyden Lake

can supply 12 million gallons per day. An emergency supply source with a capacity of 750,000 gallons per day is located on Route 90. Average daily use in Eastport is approximately 300,000 gallons. Peak daily consumption was 450,000 gallons per day in 1972.

At the present time, Eastport has no sewage treatment facility, and although raw waste is discharged into Passamaquoddy Bay, plans for an area sewage treatment plant are being investigated.

h. Recreational Facilities

Washington County's primary recreational facilities are its forests and water bodies which offer good opportunities for camping, hiking, hunting, fishing, boating and snowmobiling. These areas are within easy access to Eastport and include six public park developments; the 531-acre Quoddy Head State Park in Lubec, the 686acre Cobscook State Park in Edmunds, Gleason Point in Perry with 100 acres, Reversing Falls Park in Pembroke, and St. Croix National Park in Robbinston. In addition, adjacent to Washington County on Canada's Campobello Island is the Roosevelt Memorial Park.

However, there are no major commercial centers to cater to these activities. The only commercial facilities are motel accommodations, mostly seasonal, designed for overnight summer motorists. None of these are in Eastport and, except for a restaurant which opens during the three summer months, the Waco Diner provides the only eating and drinking fare in the city. Except for one small camping area on Carryingplace Cove, which is owned by the city and maintained by the Chamber of Commerce, the only public recreation areas in Eastport are located at the schools. Children depend on the school playgrounds and athletic fields, backyards, vacant fields and lightly travelled streets for their play areas. A Little League baseball field has been laid out on land owned by the Pittston Company.

Indoor recreation facilities are also almost totally nonexistent in Eastport. The only movie theater closed in the 1960's and the nearest theaters are now in Calais and Machias. Fraternal groups like the VFW organize most of the city's social activities such as dinners and dences. The Rotary Club is also active, sponsoring a six-week program of swimming in the summer and ice skating in the winter for young people. Recreation facilities are local docks and beaches. Because of their abundant forest and water recources, Eastport and Washington County have the potential to expand their tourist trade by developing recreation opportunities. (Current recreational activity is primarily hunting and fishing.) Because of the scarcity of recreational facilities in Eastport, the community's proposed land use plan calls for development of neighborhood parks.

- 6. Energy Situation (Electric Power)
 - a. Generation

and the second second second

and a substantial provident and a substantial provident and the substantial substantial substantial substantial

Development of electrical generation facilities is increasingly reeded in the New England region. The Cobscook Bay area offers the possibility of generating electric power for the region through utilization of daily renewable tidal action. The present study will investigate the integration of this project into the "total" New England power picture. The 1970 National Power Survey forecasted that future annual peak demand requirements for New England would increase from 16,200 megawatts in 1975 to 30,200 megawatts in the 1985 time frame.

More recently, a New England Power Pool (NEPOOL) -NEPLAN report dated 1 January 1978 forecasted that the New England peak load would increase from 15,780 megawatts in the winter of 1978/1979 to 23,440 megawatts in the winter of 1987/1988. Over the next 10 years the regions reserve generation reserve capacity would drop accordingly, from 42 percent to about 23 percent. In the "NEPOOL Forecast for New England for the period 1978-1987" it was indicated that NEPOOL owns or controls facilities which generate approximately 99.6 percent of all electric power in New England. This group performs activities in joint planning, central dispatching, cooperation in environmental matters, coordinated construction, operation and maintenance of electrical generation and transmission facilities and coordination with other power pools and utilities located in the United States and Canada.

NEPOOL's long-range generation planning guidelines,

expressed as a percent of total system capability follow:

With States and a state of the states of the

| Type of Generation | % of Capacity |
|--|---------------|
| Base Load Capacity (all additions to be nuclear) | 56 - 62 |
| Intermediate Cycling Units (fossil fired) | 16 - 20 |
| Hydroelectric Peaking, Pumped Storage | 8 - 11 |
| Internal Combustion Peaking | 9 - 12 |

The current generation mix for the winter of

1977/1978 and the projected generation mix for the 1987/1988 winter as reflected by NEPOOL authorized additions follow:

| | <u>1977/1978</u> | | 1987/1988 | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
| | MW | | <u>%</u> | MW | | % |
| BASE LOAD | | | | | | |
| Conv. Steam | 2727 | | | 2727 | | |
| Nuclear , | 4182 | | | 10012 | | |
| Subtotal | | 6909 | 31.8 | | 12739 | 44.1 |
| INTERMEDIATE CYCLING | | | | | | |
| Conv. Steam & Comb. Cycle | | 9588 | 44.0 | | 11121 | 38.5 |
| HYDROELECTRIC PEAKING | | | | | | |
| Conv. & Pumped Hydro | | 2906 | 13.4 | | 2916 | 10.1 |
| INTERNAL COMBUSTION PEAKING | | | | | | |
| Gas Turbines & Diesels | | 1740 | 8.0 | | 1899 | 6.6 |
| FIRM PURCHASES | | | | | | |
| Net Purchases - Sales | | 601 | 2.8 | _ | 210 | 0.7 |
| TOTAL CAPACITY | | 21744 | 100.0 | | 28885 | 100.0 |

ESTIMATED FUTURE LOAD GROWTH

| YEAR | WINTER PEAK LOAD (MW) | YEAR | ANNUAL ENERGY (GWh) | LOAD FACTOR % |
|---------|--------------------------|------|------------------------|------------------|
| 1978/79 | 15,780 | 1978 | 83,628 | 60.5 |
| 1985/86 | 21,480 | 1985 | 114,625 | 60.9 |
| 1990/91 | 29,751 | 1990 | 163,142 | 62.7 |
| 1995/96 | 38,334 | 1995 | 213,220 | 63.6 |
| 2000/01 | 49,392 | 2000 | 278,671 | 64.5 |

The electrical utility industry noted in the 1975 New England Statistical Bulletin that the net energy generated in the State of Maine, where the project would be located, was 7.6 billion kilowatthours and the total energy sales to ultimate customers in Maine was 6.328 billion kilowatt-hours. Furthermore, in 1976 the Maine Office of Energy Resources prepared a report, the "Maine Comprehensive Energy Plan - 1976," that evaluated the overall energy situation in the State and made some energy projections through 1985. It stated that Maine's Electrical generating capacity in 1974 consisted of 1290 megawatts in-state and 70 megawatts owned out-of-state for a total of 1360 megawatts and the report contained these projections for electricity consumption, less industrial hydro facilities:

| | <u>1980</u> | <u>1985</u> | |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| Low | 8,582 GWH | 9,872 GWH | |
| Business as Usual | 9,519 GWH | 10,916 GWH | |
| Full Recovery | 10,996 GWH | 13,785 GWH | |

1 1

b. Transmission

The regions major electrical transmission network is part of the NEPOOL system and consists of 230 and 345 KV transmisssion lines. This New England grid is interconnected to the rest of the United States through 230 and 345 KV lines. A 345 KV tie line from Maine to New Brunswick connects New England to Canada. The region has requested 345 KV lines to satisfy these general criteria:

- 1. To provide transmission capacity to deliver output of new generation to the transmission grid.
- To fulfill the need to supply power to load growth areas; and
- 3. To maintain system reliability standards throughout the expanding transmission system.

If the tidal power project is constructed, new

transmission lines would be required to transmit electrical power from the project which is in the vicinity of Eastport, Maine, to the nearest feasible point of connection to the existing transmission grid. This would result in benefits such as reserve sharing, economy interchange and mutual assistance during emergencies.

- c. Institutional Arrangements
 - (1) General

During the planning of the tidal power pro-

ject the existing institutional arrangements concerning plant construction, generation, transmission and sale and rate sturctures of electrical

EXPECTED OPERATION Nov. Dec. Nov. June Nov. Dec. Dec. Nov. Nov. Мау 600:00-NOMINAL CAPABILITY - MU SIMMER UINTER HINTER 12.0 340.0 170.0 1150.0 1150.0 568.0 1150.0 1150.0 1180.0 · AUTHORIZED ADDITIONS TO NEW ENCLAND GINERATING CAPACITY 124.0 600.0 300.0 12.0 1150.0 568.0 1150.0 0.02LL 1150.0 1180.0 (January 1978 through Decemb**er 198**7) Nuc. Coal Nuc. Nuc. Nuc. Nuc. FUEL 170 110 Oil t TABLE NO. 12 EXHIBIT XI This table is Exhibit XI in the NEPOOL Forecast of 1 Jan 78 Conv. Thermal Conv. Thermal Comb. Cycle Cas Turbine TYPE Nuclear Nuclear Nuclear Nuclear Nuclear Hyaro & #3 UNIT * Brunswick/Topsham #1 Stony Brook #1 돠 #2 #2 **방**벽법 #2 Sears Island Stony Brook Stony Brook W.F.Wyman Millstone Seabrook Seabrook STATION Pilgrim NEPCO New England Elec. System શે Central Maine Pwr. Co. Public Service of N.H. Public Service of N.H. Central Maine Pwr. Co. Boston Edison Company Northeast Utilities Central Maine Pwr. Mass. Municipals Mass. Municipals COMPANY NOTE: _TABLE NO. 11

163

1982 1982 1984 1985

1981

1981

1978

1986 1986 **1986**



100 ···




power will be investigated. Investigations will also be made to see what changes or new institutional type arrangements may be necessary, if any, to implement, manage, operate and maintain the tidal power project. The institutional arrangements for electric power are quite complex and specific. The subject is further compounded by the fact that both public and private investments and plants generate electricity for consumption.

(2) Existing Institutional Arrangments

For this plan of study a preliminary review of existing laws, regulations and organizations which influence the electrical energy field has been made to provide an insight to the subject. Following is a general synopsis of the institutional aspect:

(a) Federal Level

Federal Energy Regulatory Comm. (FERC)
This commission formerly the

Federal Power Commission (FPC), regulates the use of hydroelectric power in the United States. The FPC was originally established in 1920 under the Federal Water Power Act.

The licensing of hydroelectric facilities requires a utility to obtain a preliminary permit to investigate a potential hydropower site and also apply for license for reporting the project and for construction, operation and maintenance.

The applicant must provide evidence of compliance with special and applicable State laws for hydroelectric, water quality, etc. Some of the exhibits necessary for review are: Operation of the project with respect to water use and quality Recreation plan Impact on fish and wildlife Comprehensive development Utilization of power Esthetic effects Environmental impact statement

The FERC has authority over transmission lines between the associated generating plant and the point of interconnection with a utility system. The FERC also has the authority to control interstate transactions dealing with natural gas under provisions of the Natural Gas Act of 1938.

Department of the Interior (DOJ)
Federal hydropower is marketed by

the Department of Interior which has five regional power administrations in the United States. Included in their marketing agreements are contracts, rate schedules, accounting practices, metering and records, preference customers energy allocation and point of delivery.

The Bonneville Act requires that a hydroelectric project constructed and operated by the Corps of Engineers deliver its power for sale to the DOI, Bonneville Power Administration.

(b) State Level

The basic State authority for overseeing electric power companies has traditionally been concentrated in the State Public Utilities Commissions. The governmental body was established to oversee all utilities which provide public services such as telephone, water and transportation as well as electric service.

and the second
ちん、ちろうちち ないちゃ ちろう ちちょうちょう ちんちちちちちちちんちちち ちょうちょう

Other State agencies which exert controls and regulations over power plants and transmission lines include resource departments, fish and wildlife divisions and water pollution control commissions.

In Maine the Environmental Improvement Commission (EIC) is authorized by the 1971 State "Site Location of Development" law to control land use and development of all kinds, including power. Other affected agencies such as Resources, Health and Welfare, State Planning Office, Conservation Commission and Regional Planning agencies must be advised by those applying for power and transmission sitings.

(c) Local Level

As a rule local regulations of power facilities are few, and municipalities must rely upon the Federal and State governments to protect their interests. Most of their control is exercised through zoning boards and safety codes. Other local agencies with possible jurisdiction over a phase or part of a power facility are:

| Park Commission | Boards of Architectural Reviews |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Department of Airports | Flood Control Districts |
| Civil Defense | Conservation and Development Comm. |
| Department of Public Works | |

ì

(d) Electrical Industry

The major organization to be contacted and coordinated within the Cobscook Bay Tidal Power Study is the New England Power Pool (NEPOOL). This is an organization of public and private electric utilities that supplies more than 99 percent of the region's electric power. Its operating arm, the New England Power Exchange (NEPEX) coordinates and directs the operation of all major electric power generation and transmission facilities in New England. Its planning arm, New England Power Planning (NEPLAN) accomplishes the planning tasks for the NEPOOL organization and is responsible for forecasting the total demand for the region and defining its growth in total generating capacity.

d. Energy Problems and Needs

Except for wood and water, New England and neighboring maritime Canada possess no natural resources for producing energy and the region relies heavily on imported fuels. Not only does it suffer from the fuel shortage felt by other parts of the United States, its geographic location requires a longer delivery time and higher transportation and storage costs. Alternative sources of energy should be studied to make the region more self-sufficient while preserving the residents environment, health and economic and social well-being.

The New England region also needs to expand its generation capability to meet its future needs. The electrical industry, both public and private, has been planning programs to alleviate

SOURCE - "ELECTRIC POWER DEMAND AND SUPPLY IN NEW ENGLAND", NERCOM, JANUARY 1972 • . 105 PLANTS T - 22 HYDRC STRUCTURE OF THE NEW ENGLAND UTILITY INDUSTRY ۴, 66 PLANTST FOSSIL 10 % o FACILITY TYPE 6 PLANTS+ NUCLEAR 18% 0,61 MM 661 - 001 200- 299 MM 642 0,2 MM 642 - 005 0,7 MM 642 - 004 0,7 MM 642 - 005 138 SWALLEST > 600 MW 21% < 100 MW 21% 2%** 39 PLANTS+ \$4% FOSSIL UTIUTY SIZE LARGEST 13,544 MW CAPACITY 29% CODPFRATIVES UTILITY INCUSTAT NEX7 7 MUNICIPALS NEW ENGLAND 2% 414 MM 216 PLAKTS+ ISO FIRMS • IZ LARGEST COMPANIES NCTON NOTOS •%86 16% • SINGLE CORPORATIONS 32%* 5393## CORPORATE STRUCTURES • NEGE4 • NORTHEAST 4 HOLDING COMPANIES . EASTERN 53% • hECS HUCLEER CORPORATIONS א עדונידונא א עדונידונא 13% 2179 WW SU OTHER PLANTS OWNED PRIMARILT BY MUNICIPAL UTILITIES (41 AS OF DECEMBER 1970) COOPERATIVE MUNICIPAL THERE ARE APPROXIMATELY 2% HOLDING COMPANES 9098 WW PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL GENERATING CAPACITY T CLASS ABB INVESTOR OWNED 'JTILITIES AS OF DECEMBER 1973 FACILITY OWNERSHIP INVESTOR OWNEL **LEE**

t

11)



PLATE NO. 6

. .

and minimize the situation. With an estimated annual population growth rate of 4.5 percent, it appears that serious electrical supply shortages could occur in the early 1980's, especially if planned generating units continue to face delays. New England's great dependency on oil, especially foreign imports, to generate electric power is also a concern, along with the projected higher costs of oil.

١

والمراجعة والمحاولة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمحاولة المحاولة والمحاولة والمحاولة والمحاولة والمحاورة والمحاولة والمحا

It appears that a tidal power plant in Maine could aid in solving these problems. While such a plant would not be the total energy solution to New England's problem, it would utilize an alternate type of renewable resource, the tides, which is not presently used in the United States. A tidal power plant does not depend on fuels so it would help reduce the dollar drain from the region.

To briefly present the general electrical production and requirements scenario in New England, the following data is also included: TABLE 13

| FORECAST | OF | TOTAL | ELECTRIC | POWER | CONSUMPTION | IN | NEW | ENGLAND |
|----------|----|-------|-----------|--------|-------------|----|-----|-------------|
| YEAR | | | ANNUAL K | ILOWAT | r-hours | | | <u>L.F.</u> |
| 1974 | | | 82,787,0 | 00,000 | (actual) | | | 62.2 |
| 1985 | | ו | 83,613,0 | 00,000 | | | | 62.1 |
| 2000 | | | 363,704,0 | 00,000 | | | | 62.3 |
| | | | | | | | | |

* Extracts from NEPLAN, 1 Jan 1977. (See attachments 1 & 2).

| NEW ENGLAND SYSTEM | CAPABILITY | (Winter-Megawatts | .)** |
|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------|
| | | | • |

| | | 1977/1978 | 1986/1987 |
|-------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Total | Capability | 29,950MW | 30,631MW |
| Totai | Peak Load | 15,217MW | 24,379MW |

1.5

| | <u>1977/1978</u> | 1986/1987 |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| % Reserve before Maint. | 44.2% | 25.6% |
| Est. Peaking Capacity (20%) | 4,000MW | 6,000MW |
| ** Extracts from NEEPS, July 1973. | | |

NEW ENGLAND SYSTEM CAPABILITY (Winter-Megawatts)** (cont'd)

According to the Electrical Utility Industry in New England Statistical Bulletin of 1975, the net energy generated in Maine in 1975 was 7,650,000,000 kwh and the total energy sales to ultimate customers in Maine was 6,328,000,000 kwh.

C. Characteristics of a Tidal Power Project

きてないたいとないろうかん ビュー・ したくまいたい やまちょうこうがん

1. General-Harnessing of the Tides

Since the advent of hydroelectric power, numerous tidal power sites throughout the world have been investigated. In addition to the Passamaquoddy/Cobscook Bay area, a few of the locations recently studied for large tidal power plants are the tidal estuary of the River Severn in England, the Bay of L'Aber Vrach northwest of France near St. Malo and the Gulf of San Jose in Argentina. The world's first large scale tidal power plant (240 mw) is now in operation in the La Rance River estuary on the Brittany coast of France. A small 400 kw bulb type generating unit is in operation at Kislaya Guba near Nurmanik, Russia. China has numerous small tidal power projects along its coast.

Tidal hydroelectric power is similar to river hydropower; it can be produced by water flowing from a higher to a lower level through hydraulic turtines. Tidal power has a great advantage over river hydropower: tides, which can be predicted with accuracy for many years into the future, can produce power unaffected by droughts, floods, ice jams or silting adverse factors which decrease the output and limit the life of river hydroelectric plants. An inherent disadvantage of the tides as a source of power is that the tides, following the gravitational pull of the moon as it passes overhead every 24 hours and 50 minutes, are cut of phase with the 24-hour solar day. This 50-minute daily lag is fundamental to the economics of tidal power. Power output varies with the tides, so tidal power is often completely out of step with the normal use patterns of electricity. The tidal plant could be supplemented by an auxiliary power plant, which might add to its value.

The amount of power generated is governed by tidal ranges, the height between high and low tides, which vary from day to day. As shown in the simplified illustration, the sun and moon appear on the same side of the earth approximately every four weeks at the time of the new moon. Two weeks later, at the time of the full moon, the sun and moon appear on opposite sides of the earth. When either of these conditions occurs, gravitational attraction of the sun and moon reinforce each other and cause maximum or spring tides. When the moon is at either quarter phase, the gravitational attraction of the sun and moon are approximately at right angles with respect to the earth, causing minimum or neap tides.

2. Single Pool Alternatives

ý

가지 않는 것이 있는 것이 같은 것이 있다. 같은 것이 있는 것이 있 가지 않는 것이 있는 것이 있 A single pool equipped with gates can be built to trap water at high tide and discharge it through turbines to the ocean at low tide, or the pool can be emptied at low tide to receive turbine discharge from the ocean at high tide. A single high pool has the serious disadvantage of producing discontinuous power because no power can be generated without a sufficient difference between the level of the pool and the level of the ocean. Thus, no generation is possible until the ocean has receded sufficiently to obtain this difference in water levels, or power head; nor is generation possible on the rising tide after the level of the ocean becomes too high to provide this minimum necessary head. For similar reasons, a single low pool also produces interrupted power.

3. Two Pool Alternatives

Using two pools, one high and one low, generates varying but continuous amounts of power. This continuous power is acnieved in the two-pool plan by operating the emptying and filling gates so that the high pool's level is always sufficiently high than the other's. Cobscook Bay can be segmented into various two or multi pool configurations.

Two pool concepts offer a great deal of flexibility in selecting operation and generation modes. During neap tides "reverse pumping" the water from the luw pool up into the high pool would increase the quantity of water available for generating electricity at more desirable peaking periods. The tidal project could also be used to generate maximum power whenever the tides occur. The capability of one and two way flow type turbines to produce power during in or out going tides will be investigated. Part of the study will be to see how the project will fit into the New England system. It is felt that power produced at Cobscook Bay would be used mostly within the State of Maine.

During the selection of generation mode and power apparatus the following items will be investigated and utilized:

- Projection of Electrical Power Demands
- Demand Characteristics
- Generation Mix of other plants in the region and how the tidal power project will be integrated into the New England system.
- Impacts of Fuels on Energy Policy

When the moon is new or full and simultaneously in perigee -- the point in the moon's orbit closest to earth -- the spring tide is particularly great.



The height the tide will reach is also affected, sometimes to a high degree, by the coastline. On open, exposed headlands tides may range from 4 to 5 feet, while in nearly landlocked embayments like the Mediterranean tides are negligible. In the Gulf of Maine which opens toward the deep areas of the Atlantic Ocean as the continental shelf drops off beyond Georges and Browns banks, the tides are greatly amplified by the size and configuration of the shore and bottom. The mean tidal ranges become progressively greater as the tides move into the Gulf of Maine toward the mouth of the Bay of Fundy. The funnel shaped Bay of Fundy again amplifies the tidal range, producing the highest tides in the world at the head of the Bay. Devising a workable and feasible scheme to harness these tides for the economical production of uninterrupted power is the essence of tidal power engineering

D. Description of Possible Tidal Power Projects at Cobscook Bay

1. General

and a set of the set of

Feasibility of tidal power in the Cobscook Bay where the tides vary between 11.3 and 25.7 feet (average 18.1 feet), has been studied since 1919 by both public and private engineers.

Although the tidal ranges in Cobscook Bay are not as great as at the head of the neighboring Bay of Fundy where spring tides may reach a range of over 50 feet, the lesser tides are offset by topographical advantages. The peninsulas, islands and passages in Cobscook Bay make possible a wide range of layouts for study and offer many potential locations for emptying and filling gates, navigation locks and powerhouse. It is one of the few tidal power sites in the world where a two-pool plan can also be easily built.

One and two-pool schemes with varying degrees of power production will be studied. In general, each alternative concept would consist of about 3 miles of earth and rock-filled dams in water depths of up to 150 feet, powerhouses with installed capacities between 40 and 250 megawatts and an annual output of electricity between 180 million and 615 million kilowatt hours, filling and emptying gates, navigational locks and support facilities. Using the price levels of 30 Jure 1976 the construction costs of these different concepts vary from \$257 million to \$563 million, excluding transmission costs. Transmission facilities will also be investigated during this proposed study.

Power, recreation, fisheries-mariculture and area redevelopment benefits will be provided by this project. Electric power will constitute the major benefit and will provide the revenues for repayment of all costs including interest.

The alternative tidal power projects reevaluated herein for the Reconnaissance Report were previously considered during the 1935-1936 period when President Roosevelt initiated construction of a tidal power project. All of these projects are completely within the bounderies of the United States, the State of Maine and Cobscook Bay region, and are single and double pool concepts. Additional tidal power alternatives will be investigated during the course of the Survey Scope Study.

The work in reevaluating the projects was accomplished as follows:

Federal Power Commission

Preparing data and providing power values

Preparing updated construction

New England Division

Engineering Division*

| | cost estimates of All-United States tidal power plans, study management, coordination and report preparation |
|----------------------|---|
| Planning Division | Area Redevelopment, Recreational and Fisheries Benefits |
| Real Estate Division | Prepare up-to-date costs for Lands and Damages |

* Much of the detailed data for construction cost estimates is based on cost information supplied by the Stone & Webster Engineering Corp. for recent updating of costs for the International Tidal Power Project. The firm was under contract with the New England Division to provide this updated cost data.

2. Brief Description of 1935 era Cobscook Bay Plan

The project selected in 1936 was known as "Plan D" (now alternative 4) and utilized Cobscook Bay as a high pool with the powerhouse discharging water into Western Passage. Although considered in original plan, pumped storage facilities were not included in the construction of the project.

Three basis alternative plans of development were initially donsidered. Each plan employed the same general principle involving a single tidal pool and auxiliary pumped storage plant and reservoir or an auxiliary diesel, generator to supply continuous power, but differing in methods of utilization.

Specifically, the plans were:

<u>Alternative 1</u> - Cobscook Bay developed as a low level pool with one-way flow through the turbines. In this plan, power generation would occur on the incoming tide as soon as the difference in levels between the ocean and low pool exceeded approximately 5_{2}^{1} feet and would continue through the rising and falling tide until the difference in head between the ocean and the low pool again reaches 5_{2}^{1} feet. A pump storage plant was to be located at Hatcock Harbor. Emptying gates would be opened to empty the bay to approximately low tide level. This plan of development contemplated the continued use of Cobscook Bay as a low level pool in the ultimate international two pool project.

<u>Alternative 2</u> - Cobscook Bay developed as a high level pool with one way flow through the turbines. In this plan, the generation of power would start on the outgoing tide when the head differential exceeds $5\frac{1}{2}$ feet and would continue through the low tide and the incoming tide until the difference in level between the high pool and the ocean again reach $5\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

A system of filling gates would be opened to refill the bay to approximately high tide level.

<u>Alternative 3</u> - Cobscook Bay developed as a high level pool with provision in initial structures for flow in either direction. This plan is similar to plan 2 with the exception that provision is made in initial structures for utilizing Cobscook Bay as either a high or low level pool initially and/or ultimately. The power station would be designed with reversible flow turbines and the filling/emptying gate structures would be designed for flow in either direction.

All plans initially proposed to include 10 generating units with provision for installing 10 additional units at a later date. The units would be 15,000 kw each with a total flow rate of 160,000 cfs. The water wheel generators would operate when the tidal head difference was 5½ feet and above for a period of approximately 7 hours each tidal cycle. The pumped storage plants were to be provided as auxiliary means of power generation to supplement the tidal plant. Subsequently, turbine/generator unit sizes were changed and a flow of 78,000 cfs was determined necessary for the 10 generating units. The average annual output of power for Alternative 2 was 257,000,000 kwh of continuous power, and increased to 340,000,000 kwh with 100 percent load factor when the pumped storage facility was eliminated, however, power supply was intermittent.

}

Alternative 2 was recommended for construction on 14 September 1935. However, the project was re-evaluated and various other alternatives were presented. An alternative known as Alternative 4 was finally recommended and approved in May 1936. This plan is basically Alternative 2 with the following major modifications:

- a. Deletion of pumped storage facilities at Haycock Harbor.
- Reducing the number of hydro turbine/generation from 10 to 5 in the tidal power plant.
- c. Adding a 30,000 kw diesel auxiliary powrr plant.
- d. Reducing the generator sizes from 15,000 kw to 12,500 kw each. The annual electrical production was estimated at 308,000,000 kwh in lieu of the 257,000,000 kwh for Alternative 2.

In 1934 the Dexter Cooper organization endeavored to obtain a loan from the Public Works Administration but the application was disapproved. In the interium, the Maine State Planning Board recommended adoption of the project as a Federal project. Subsequently, an allotment of \$10,000,000 was approved by President Franklin D. Roosevelt from the Emergency Relief Appropriation of 1935 for initiation of the project.

- 3. Description of 1935-1936 Project Plan D, See Plate 1. Alternative 4
 - a. Construction Components

POWERHOUSE:

ł

- Five 10,300 Horsepower vertical turbine/generator units each developing 12,500 KW
- TOTAL CAPACITY = 62,500 KW
- 30,000 KW auxiliary diesel power plant
- Provision for future expansion by addition of ten 12,500 KW units

FILLING GATES

• Twelve 30' x 30' venturi gates on Treat Island

NAVIGATION LOCK:

• 56' x 360' x 21' on Treat Island

DAMS:

- Carlow Island (completed 1,500')
- Please Point (completed 2,700^r)
- Eastport (non started 3,400')
- Treat-Dudley (started but not completed)
- Lubec (not started 3,800')

b. Power Hydraulics and Energy Production

The project was based on a one-way flow principle of tidewaters passing through the powerhouse from Cobscook Bay into the Western Passage entrance to Passamaquoddy Bay. It was estimated that water flow through each of five turbines would be approximately 7,800 cubic feet per second at an average head of 12 feet. The total gross energy production was estimated to be 308,000,000 KWH, which included power from the auxiliary plant.

- c. Costs
 - (1) Construction Costs

A breakdown of the total project cost estimates of \$37,985,250 is shown below. This estimate is based on 22 May 1936 price levels and include all overheads.

COST ESTIMATE

Cobscook Bay Tidal Power Project (1936)

| Item 1 | Eastport Dam |
|--------|--|
| Item 2 | Lubec Dam |
| Item 3 | Navigation Lock - Treat Island |
| Item 4 | Carlow Island Dam |
| Item 5 | Pleasant Point Dam |
| ltem 6 | Treat-Dudley Island Dam |
| Item 7 | Filling Fates (In Treat Island 12 Unit-2/3 capacity with Sill at Elevation-25.0 |
| Item 8 | Powerhouse at Carryingplace Cove - 5 Unit 14,868,540 |
| Item 9 | Diesel or Stream Auxiliary (30,000 KW) 3,867,900 |

TOTAL ESTIMATE \$37,985,250

(2) Annual Charges

The annual charges were estimated to be \$2,409,760 for the installation.



d. Two-Pool Systems

4 *

> Early in 1936, four alternate two-pool plans within Cobscook Bay were investigated and the most economical of the four was submitted with initial and annual costs, power output and benefits. A comparison was also made with single pool Plan D as described earlier. This two-pool plan was designated as Plan 4 (Alternative 8). Two-pool Plan 4 (Alternative 8), shown on Figure 8, consisted of the following features:

An inter-pool dam 12,000 feet long from Leighton Point
to Razor Island to Seward Neck with a small navigation lock and 5 unit
(40 MW) powerhouse.

 Six 60 foot open-type filling gates and a 1000-foot wide filling channel cut to -30 feet m.s.l. across Seward Neck.

3. Outer-pool dam, 4,200 feet long from Shackford Head to Seward Neck, with six 60 foot open type emptying gates and a 56' x360' navigation lock.

The three other alternative locations for the dams, locks, and powerhouse that were to divide Cobscook Bay into two pools are summarized as:

<u>Alternative 9</u> - Inter-pool dam with lock and powerhouse from Leighton Point to Denbow Point and the outer pool dam with lock and emptying gates from Shackford Head to Seward Neck. This plan would also require a dam across South Bay and a filling channel across Seward Neck, and from Federal Harbor into straight bay.

127



<u>Alternative 10</u> - Inter-pool dam with lock and powerhouse from Leighton Point to Seward Neck and the outer pool dam with lock and emptying gates from Estes Head to North Lubec by way to Treat, Dudley and Roger's Islands.

などのないできたながってきょうできないというできょうというよう

אין רבן ברבל ברב אורי ביורי אין אירי אין אירי אין אירי אין אייר אין אייר אווי אין אייר אין אייר אין אייראין אי

Alternative 11 - Inter-pool dam with lock and powerhouse from Birch Point to Seward Neck with the outer pool dam located as in Alternative 2.

Sketch type layouts of the miscellaneous alternative and plans in Cobscook Bay are shown on the following pages.

In the above plans, including Alternative 8, the inner portion of Cobscook Bay would be utilized as the high level pool. The change in pool area with the change in tidal stage is greater in this portion of Cobscook Bay than the outer part. Also, a substantially greater length of shoreline may be adversely affected if the inner basin were operated at the low pool. Some mud flats may be exposed with low tide basin development.

e. Power Generation (1936 Concept)

In 1936 the plan of power generation was to supply 30,000 kw of power 100 percent of the time. Any output above the 30,000 kw was considered surplus. The diesel auxiliary was to firm power at the site whenever there was insufficient hydraulic head between the upper and lower pools for power generation. Since 1936, however, the concept of auxiliary at-site power went out of date with the formation of the regional system of NEPOOL where the tidal plant would stand strictly on its own.

1.

E. Estimated Project Cost for Selected 1936 Alternatives

1. Construction Cost Estimates

Strone State

Table 14 shows the total construction cost of selected single and two pool alternatives.

The estimates are based on the following criteria:

• The 1936 project cost, column 1, was tabulated for comparison purposes. Project costs shown in this column were developed by taking the direct government costs of 1936 and adding 23 percent for contractor, overhead and profit. The costs of Carlow Island and Pleasant Point dams were not included since they were already tuilt in 1936.

• Column 2 is a June 1976 cost estimate of the 1936 project (Plan D).

• The tabulated costs, columns 2 through 6, are based on wage rates and material costs as of 30 June 1976. Contractors' overhead and profit of 23 percent are included.

• A contingency factor of 15 percent was utilized for all labor, materia's and equipment.

The tidal power project layout concept is basically the same as the 1936 project.

• The allowance for government costs which cover engineering and design (E&D), supervision, inspection and administration (S&A) during construction has been estimated at 10 percent.

• The construction period for either single or two pool plans with the 5 and 10 unit powerhouse structures were estimated at 3 years, and the 20 unit plan was estimated at 4 years. Alternative 4 in 1936 was scheduled — mpletion in 4 years.

• Construction methods, equipment and materials proposed in the 1959 International Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project report and other reports were similar to those that would be used in an All United States Plan today.

• The project is based on a 100-year life.

E. Estimated Project Cost for Selected 1936 Alternatives

1. Construction Cost Estimates

Table 14 shows the total construction cost of selected single and two pool alternatives.

The estimates are based on the following criteria:

• The 1936 project cost, column 1, was tabulated for comparison purposes. Project costs shown in this column were developed by taking the direct government costs of 1936 and adding 23 percent for contractor, overhead and profit. The costs of Carlow Island and Pleasant Point dams were not included since they were already built in 1936.

• Column 2 is a June 1976 cost estimate of the 1936 project (Plan D).

• The tabulated costs, columns 2 through 6, are based on wage rates and material costs as of 30 June 1976. Contractors' overhead and profit of 23 percent are included.

• A contingency factor of 15 percent was utilized for all labor, materia's and equipment.

• The tidal power project layout concept is basically the same as the 1936 project.

• The allowance for government costs which cover engineering and design (E&D), supervision, inspection and administration (S&A) during construction has been estimated at 10 percent.

• The construction period for either single or two pool plans with the 5 and 10 unit powerhouse structures were estimated at 3 years, and the 20 unit plan was estimated at 4 years. Alternative 4 in 1936 was scheduled — mpletion in 4 years.

• Construction methods, equipment and materials proposed in the 1959 International Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project report and other reports were similar to those that would be used in an All United States Plan today.

The project is based on a 100-year life.

COBSCOOK BAY TIDAL POWER PROJECT COSTS

and the second
.

~

| | | | Alt. 4 | Alt. 5 | Alt. 6 | Alt. 7 | . Alt.8 |
|-----|---|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | oject Features | 1936 Project Cost | 5-12,500 KM units with 30,000 KM aux. | 10-12,500 KW units with No aux. | 20-12,500 KM units No aux. | 5-8,000 KW units with 15,000 KM aux. | 5-8, Curi KH- units No aux. |
| - | Dams* | 10,795,000 | 40.524.000 | 000.261.55 | (3) 31,599,000 | (4) 45,609,000 | 45,609,000 |
| 2. | Navigation Locks | 1,028,000 | 7,952,000 | 7,962,000 | 7,962,000 | 12,500,000 | 12,500,000 |
| г | Filling & Emptying Gates | 4,749,000 | 40,588,000 | 40,588,000 | 57,500,000. | 32,850,000 | 32,850,000 |
| 4. | Power House | 14,033,000 | 38, 530, 000 | 175,166,000 | 334,088,000 | 74,100,000 | 74,100,000 |
| ŗ. | Aux111ary Power | 3,690,000 | 14,400,000 | ı | • | 7,800,000 | ٠ |
| 6. | Service Facilities | ı | ł | 880,000 | 1,000,000 | • | ŧ |
| 7. | Relocations | ŀ | ł | 7,144,000 | 9,619,000 | ŀ | ٠ |
| 8. | Fishways | ł | 1 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 |
| 9. | Filling Channel | • | ð | I | ł | 28,800,600 | 28,800,000 |
| 10. | Sub-Total | 34,255,000 | 202,404,000 | 266,939,000 | 443,768,000 | 204 ,659,000 | 196,859,000 |
| 11. | Contingency 15% | 5,144,000 | 30,361,000 | 40,040,000 | 66,565,000 | 30,699,000 | 29,529,000 |
| 12. | Sub-Total | 39,439,000 | 232,765,000 | 306,979,000 | 510,333,000 | 235,358,000 | 226,388,000 |
| 13. | EAD and SAA 10% | • | 23,276,000 | 30,698,000 | 51,033,000 | 23,536,000 | 22,639,000 |
| 14. | Sub-Total | ŀ | 256,041,000 | 337,677,000 | 561,366,000 | 258,894,000 | 249,027,000 |
| 15. | Real Estate (includes 20% Contingency) | ţ | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 |
| 16. | Service Equipment | ٠ | ı | 533,000 | 746,000 | ł | • |
| 17. | Total Construction Cost (First Cost) | 39,439,000 | 257,141,000 | 359,310,000 | 563,212,000 | 259,994,000 | 250,127,000 |
| 18. | Interest During Construction | ł | 24,589,000 | 32,447,000 | 000,018,17 | 24,862,000 | 23,918,000 |
| 19. | Total Investment Cost | ۱ | 281,730,000 | 371,757,000 | 635,022,000 | 284,856,000 | 274,045,000 |
| ld* | easant Puint and Carlow Is | iland Dams are | constructed. | | | | |

13

ŧ

TABLE 14

;

| 5-8,000 kw unit: no aux. Two Pool (5) | \$250,127,000 23,918,000 | \$274,045,000 | | \$ 17,506,000 | 718,000 95,000 | \$ 18,319,000 |
|---|---|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 5-8,000 kw units w/15,000 kw aux. Two Pool (4) | \$259,994,000 24,862,000 | \$ 284,856,000 | | \$ 18,197,000 | 3,531,000 118.000 | \$ 21,846,000 |
| 20-12,500 kw units no aux. Single Pool (3) | \$563,212,000 71,810,000 | \$635,022,000 | | \$ 40,565,000 | 1,129,000 | \$ 42,295,000 |
| 10-12,500 kw units no aux. Single Pool (2) | \$ 339,310,000 32 ,4 47,000 | \$371,757,000 | | \$ 23,748,000 | 815,000 | 324,000 \$ 24,887,000 |
| 5-12,500 kw units w/30,000 kw aux. Single Pool | \$257,141,000 24,589,000 | \$281,730,000 | | \$ 17,997,000 | 6,142,000 | 53,000 |
| | Total Investment Cast Construction Cost Interest During | Total Investment | Annual Costs | Interest & Amortization | Operation & Maintenance | Major Replacements Total Annual Costs |

PROJECT ANNUAL COSTS (June 1976 Price Levels)

,

.

TABLE 15

١

In addition, other ancilliary benefits from area redevelopment, fisheries-mariculture, and recreation will be derived from construction of the project.

Table paragraphs discuss the anticipated benefits:

TABLE 16

Summary of Benefits

(Preliminary)

| Type of Benefits | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Power | \$ 8,123,000 | \$ 7,693,000 | \$13,874,000 | \$ 8,605,000 | \$ 3,244,000 |
| Area Redevelop- ment | 3,670,000 | 4,555,000 | 7,219,000 | 3,702,000 | 3,660,000 |
| Fisheries- Mariculture | 1,834,000 | 1,834,000 | 1,834,000 | 1,834,000 | 1,834,000 |
| Recreation | 375,000 | 375,000 | 375,000 | 375,000 | 375,000 |
| Totals | \$14,002,000 | \$14,457,000 | \$23,302,000 | \$14,516,000 | \$14,113,000 |

2. Discussion of Benefits

a. Power Benefits

The production and sale of electric power would account for the greatest part of the project benefits and should, over a period of time, provide the entire revenue for the project cost repayment, including interest. Annual energy generation quantities were taken from the 1935 to 1937 Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Development Studies which provided a comprehensive scoping of single and two pool tidal arrangements. Although modes of operation may differ somewhat if the project were to be completely restudied today, it is believed that these figures are appropriate for this report. Table 17 shows the value of tidal power used to compute power benefits. The selection of capac⁷ and energy values for a single pool system were arrived at from the following observations:

Any single pool tidal power plan would provide energy generation as a function of the lunar cycle - and different than that of the solar cycle. The lack of storage generation control causes generation out of phase with electrical load and accordingly, the time availability of energy would vary daily. Generation cannot be relied upon at all time. Consequently, no power would be firm on the load in the traditional sense, and the value of the tidal plant would be limited. Therefore, the tidal plant would have practically no capacity value.

Tidal power generation, on the other hand, would be entirely predictable, renewable, and nearly independent of the climatic variables of a traditional hydropower installation. Scheduling of generation could be best as a "fuel saver," a producer of maximum energy whenever it occurs, allowing those plants with the highest associated fuel costs to shut down when tidal power is available. The value of this intermittent energy would be directly dependent on the generation mix in the NEPOOL system.

Ioday, the generation mix includes all types of plants - nuclear, oil and coal-fired steam, combined-cycle gas turbines and hydro (conventional and pumped-storage). With the exception of nuclear, it is believed that, at one time or another, any of the types would be in a position in the New England load to be replaced by tidal power in the NEPOOL system, and therefore, the value of the tidal power as replacement should reflect a conglomerate of all types of system fuel costs. An exact value for this weighted conglomerate cost is difficult to define but a June 1976 price level value for the tidal power of 24 mills (\$.024) per kilowatt-hour seems reasonable for this study. This is meant to represent an average cost for all fossil fuel derivative generating types.

1

The two pool unit values in Table 17 are the same as those used for the 500 MW International Project (10 percent private financing only for benefit-cost consumption). In many respects its operational characteristics could be considered similar to those of the larger International project.

TABLE 17

Cobscook Bay Tidal Power Project

| | <u>At-Market Unit Po</u> (June 19) | At-Market Unit Power Values (June 1976) UNIT VALUE | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------|--|--|
| ITEM | UNIT | SINGLE POOL | TWO POOL | | |
| Capacity Value* | \$/kw/yr | 0 | 45.00 | | |
| Energy Value | mills/kwh | 24.0 | 24.0 | | |

*Single pool systems do not have dependable capacity therefore no capacity value was given.

The following table (Table 18) summarizes the total annual power benefits that would be realized from each plan. Transmission losses of eight percent for capacity and six percent for energy were assumed.

TABLE 18

<u>Cobscook Bay Tidal Power Project</u> <u>Annual Project Power Benefits</u> (June 1976 Price Levels)

and the second
| PLAN | CAPACITY (MW) | Gen. Energy (GWH) | Annual Benefit (\$/yr) |
|---|------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| (1) Alt. 4 – 5 units & 30,000 kw auxiliary | 62.5 | 305 | \$ 8,123,000 |
| (2) Alt. 5 - 10 units no auxiliary power | 125 | 341 | \$ 7,693,000 |
| (3) Alt. 6 - 20 units no auxiliary power | 250 | 615 | \$13,874,000 |
| (4) Alt. 7-2 pool w/5 units & 15,000 kw auxiliary | 40 | 308 | \$ 8,605,000 |
| (5) Alt. 8-2 pool w/5 units, no auxiliary power | 40 | 292 | \$ 8,244,000 |

b. Recreation Benefits

It is considered that the value of benefits from recreation would be approximately the same for each tidal power projects. The estimated present annual U.S. dollar value is \$375,000 based on 300,000 visitor days per year and a daily rate of \$1.25 per day. This annual visitation does not appear to be abnormal as it is our understanding that in 1975 the La Rance, France, tida; power project attracted approximately 300,000 tourists.

c. Fisheries-Mariculture Benefits

Cobscook Bay has active fisheries with a total annual value of \$1,400,000. With the construction of a tidal power project in Cobscook Bay it is felt that more advantageous conditions would prevail for fishing and development of mariculture practices, although there would be some fisheries losses realized. It is estimated that there would be an annual gain through maricluture of \$3,400,000 and a possible annual fisheries loss of \$1,666,000 for a net benefit of \$1,834,000. It is considered that this annual dollar benefit would apply to any of the five alternative tidal projects considered.

d. Area Redevelopment

Assumptions for estimating the AR benefits are based on construction cost plus contingencies, that local labor will be 75 percent of the total labor cost (27 percent) of the total project cost, 3 year construction period (4 years for plan with 20 unit powerhouse), a 6-3/8 percent interest rate, and applicable operation, maintenance and replacement costs.

The following table presents the estimated Area Redevelopment benefits for each plan:

TABLE 19

ESTIMATED ANNUAL AREA REDEVELOPMENT BENEFITS

 $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} PLAN \\ (1) \\ \hline (2) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} (3) \\ (4) \\ (5) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} (5) \\ (5) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} Area \ Redevelopment \\ $3,670,000 \\ $4,555,000 \\ $7,219,000 \\ $3,702,000 \\ $3,660,000 \\ \end{array} \end{array}$

G. Benefit to Cost Ratio

This section of the report summarizes the project economics with the benefit to cost ratio method of analysis.

The benefit-cost ratio results from a comparision of all project annual benefits of power, recreation, area redevelopment and fisheries-mariculture with total project annual costs. Annual costs include interest and amortization (6-3/8% and 100year repayment period), operation and maintenance and major equipment replacements. The results of the above figures expressed as a quotient indicates the relative merits of a project.

Table 20 summarizes the benefit-cost ratio computations for the five plans and all are below unity. However, a comparison of B/C ratios clearly shows which are closer to unity, therefore, more economical with this method of analysis.

H. Life-Cycle Analysis

ì

ł

:

. . .

Due to the energy situation and rising cost of fossil fuel generating alternatives, Governor Longley (see Attachment 1) suggested the feasibility of tidal power be re-evaluated.

In response to the Governor's request dated September 7, 1976, the Corps performed a preliminary life-cycle cost analysis on the international Passamaquoddy tidal power project. Life-cycle cost analysis is an economic method which considers rising prices in determining future energy costs. A preliminary life-cycle cost

| | _ Alt.4 | Alt. 5 | 41t 6 | r + 1 A | 4 + |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 5 - 12,500 KW generators with 30,000 KW aux. (1) | 10 - 12,500 KW generators w/o aux. power (2) | 20 - 12,500-KW generators w/o aux. power (3) | 2 PooT W/5 8,000 KW generaturs <u>& 15,000 KW aux.</u> (4) | 2 7001 W/5 8.000 KH generators W/2 aux. gen. (5) |
| Total Investment Cost | \$281,730,000 | \$371,757,000 | \$635,022,000 | \$284,856,000 | \$274,045,000 |
| Capacity MW | 62.5 | 125 | 250 | 40 | 64 |
| KWH/yr* | 305,000,000 | 341,000,000 | 615,000,000 | 308,000,000 | 292,000,000 |
| Total Annual Benefits | 14,002,000 | 14,457,000 | 23,302,000 | 14,516,000 | 14,113,000 |
| <pre>Annual Power Benefits**</pre> | 8,123,000 | 7,693,000 | 13,874,000 | 8,605,000 | 8,2 ⁴⁴ ,0 0 0 |
| Total Annual Costs | 24,292,000 | 24,887,000 | 42,295,000 | 21,846,000 | 18,319,000 |
| <u>Total Annual Benefits</u> Total Annual Cost | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.66 | 0.77 |
| Annual Power Benefits Total Annual Cost | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.39 | 9.45 |
| Total Investment Cost KW | \$ 4,508 | \$ 2,974 | \$ 2,540 | \$ 7,121 | \$ 6,851 |
| *Net Annual Salabie Ene | rgy | | | | |

COBSCOOK BAY TIDA! POWER PROJECT ALL AMERICAN PLANS BENEFIT-COST RATIO ANALYSIS

いったいとうでき、 あからいたいないではなるのである からいたちの いろうかい いたいちからない あいまたち ひゃく しゅうごう たいまち あい あい

**Includes Transmission Losses of 8% for Capacity (where applicable) and 6% for Energy.

TABLE NO. 20

analysis was prepared by ERDA for one of the Cobscook Bay alternatives. A new "life-cycle" cost study will be performed as part of a future study.

In accomplishing "life-cycle" costing, a computer model was utilized as described in Chapter VI of U. S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service Report AD/A-018 dated July 1975, titled "Hydroelectric Power Potential at Corps of Engineers Projects".

On 29 November 1976, the New England Division confirmed the request for the Federal Power Commission, New York Regional Office, to furnish the necessary expertise and to accomplish the life-cycle cost study by utilizing the computer model in their Washington office. On 12 January 1977, FPC-NED conference was held in the FPC offices in New York to review the proposed work and to discuss input parameters and variables incorporated in the study.

In applying the computer model, the 500 MW international tidal power project was compared to its most probable alternative, a combined cycle plant. Annual escalation rates of 3, 5, and 7 percent were selected to reflect a range of increases in costs for items subject to rise in areas of labor, materials, replacements and fuel during the operation and maintenance period.

Annual costs such as amortization, depreciation and interest on investment remain constant. The sharp jumps in the curves representing the thermal alternative (Fig. 9 through 11) result from fixed depreciation charges based on earlier lower costs which are
inadequate in terms of replacing the worn-out plant and equipment. To compensate for this effect, the actual costs of replacement have been escalated and compounded annually for each 30 year major replacement interval for the thermal alternative.

Based on a 100 year project life and assuming that the 500 megawatt international Passamaquoddy tidal power project went on line in June 1976 with annual costs of \$121,121,000 for producing 1,932,000,000 kwh/year, Figures 9, 10, & 11 show the projected annual costs based on annual compounded escalation rates of 3, 5 and 7 percent. For comparison purposes, both the alternative and tidal power projects are financed at 5 3/8 percent. The charts also show the estimated innual benefits from tidal power produced by the project, starting with \$55,316,000 in June 1976. In escalating the power benefits at similar rates, the annual benefits will increase faster than the annual costs of the tidal power plant. The principal reason the benefits (costs of alternative) ultimately surpass the annual costs for the tidal project is because tidal power does not rely on a fuel for generating electricity as does the alternative combined cycle plant.

n generalise e neuron a verre en el secon secon person person en secon secondo en contra secondo en secondo

Line projections for annual power benefits and costs intersect after a period of project operation and the benefit/cost ratio becomes 1.0 to 1.0 at the intersection and increases each year thereafter. The following indicates the year that the B/C ratio equals 1.0:

| Escalation Rate | Year BCR = 1.0 |
|-----------------|------------------|
| 3% | 31 |
| 5% | 20 |
| 7% | . 15 |

٤.

From the data used to produce plates 1 through 3, the total present worth and annual cost were computed and tabulated in Table 2. The last column in Table 21 shows how the benefit/cost ratio, based on power benefits only, is affected by various rates of escalation. The higher the rate of escalation, the larger the B/C ratio.

Although life-cycle costing was not accomplished herein for any of the United States Plan, the results would be similar from a life-cycle analysis viewpoint.

The preliminary life-cycle costing study contained herein is based principally on general inflationary trends. As more detailed life-cycle analysis is undertaken during future studies, only relative price shifts will be considered.

The focus on real price relationships, net of general inflation is important. The basic rationale for this approach is as follows: the monetary value of any good is ultimatlet valued in reference to other goods (goods refer to all things of value i.e., wages, material goods) available in the market place. If all goods inflated at the same rate, then ostensibly their value would not be altered. By concentrating on relative price changes, we are considering fundamental changes in the valuation of that good.

7

(In reality, however, inflation is not so evenhanded, since many things, i.e., fixed pensions, debts, are not altered by inflation. Thus, there is distortion involved in the valuation of certain goods).

In addition, future studies will need to discuss potential substitution affects.

The escalation rates utilized above imply a fairly high cost of fuel as an input for the alternative. The table below lists the percentage increase in the price of oil relative to today's price for the different escalation rates utilized.

| Percentage of Escalation Rate | Percentage Increase in Fuel Price in Year that the BCR = 1.0 | Percentage Increase in Fuel Price 100 Years From Now |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| 3 | 150 | 226 |
| 5 | 165 | 604 |
| 7 | , 176 | 1397 |

Long run increases in the relative price of fossil fuels would tend to be dampened by three major factors. One, as the relative prices of fossil fuels incrase, prices would be reached at which existing technologies - i.e., shale oil recovery, would become economically feasible. In a market economy, a substitution effect would occur and the demand for fossil fuel as energy inputs would be transferred to other forms of energy. With demand reduced, given a competitive marketing economy (this would be approached given a long enough time period), price increases would be slow.







| | Life Cycle B/C Ratio (Power Benefits) | .76 | | 1.32 | | 2.70 | |
|--|---|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| , الت | Levelized Cost (Mills/ KNH) | 49.3 | 64.8 | 90,3 | 68.5 | 216.0 | 8ŋ.N |
| Analysis of Tidal Power Project Lated at 6-3/8%) | Annual Cost (Using CRF 1(M) Yrs. 6-3/8 [±]) | \$ 95,294,000 | 125,130,000 | 174,463,000 | 132,373,000 | 417,260,000 | 154,645,000 |
| Life-Cycle W International (Both Plants Fin | Total Present Worth (6-3/8% Discount Rate) | \$1,491,753,000 | 1,958,832,000 | 2,731,104,000 | 2,072,210,000 | 6,531,940,000 | 2,420,867,000 |
| N 200 N | Plant Type | £lternative | Tidal | Alternative | Tidal | Alternative | Tidal |
| | Escalation Rate | 3% | 55 25 | ی ۶۴ | 55 | 75 | 24 |
| | interstate | 6-3/8% | 6-3/8% | 6-3/8% | 6-3/82 | 6-3/8% | 6-3/8% |

TABLE 21

The second second second

TABLE NO. 21

,

Two, over time, new technologies for energy production - i.e., fusion power, would become available. These forms of energy power would become economically feasible at a certain price and then would dampen further price rises among fossil fuels. Three, as the price of energy production rises relative to other goods, the rate of growth in the demand for all energy forms would be reduced. This would alleviate some of the upward pressure on prices.

Because of these considerations, the analysis presented should be viewed with a great deal of caution.

I. The Cther Proposed Projects

Currently, two other projects have been proposed for the Cobscook Bay/Passamaquoddy areas, one being the Passamaquoddy Indian Tribal Tidal Project, at Half Moon Cove, Maine. (See Figure No. 12)

This current proposal involves a small tidal power project in the vicinity of Half Moon Cove (near Bar Harbor and adjacent to Quoddy Village) in the northerly portion of Cobscook Bay. The plant would produce 5 to 12 megawatts at a total estimated cost of approximately 9 million to 15 million dollars. The exact plant size has not been determined.

The Pleasant Point Tribal Council is attempting to obtain a grant from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and Department of Energy. In the event that both the Tribal Council and the Federal Government build tidal projects in the Bay, close coordination will be required for successful planning, construction, and operation of the projects.



FIGURE NO. 12

The other major project is a proposed 250,000 (and per/day refinery and deepwater marine terminal at Eastport). The Pittston Company of New York is currently planning the facility. Information regarding this project can be found in a report dated 8 March 1976 titled, "An Environmental Assessment Report" and a final environmental impact statement dated June 1978. The project has not yet received all approvals.

If the tidal power project is authorized and constructed and the refinery is built, then there would have to be operational and waterbourne navigational coordination to facilitate shipment of Pittston's crude and finished projects. The water transport route to and from the refinery, as well as the associated docking facilities, would be affected by the locations of dams and the size of the navigation locks in the alternatives under consideration.

The basic tidal power project includes construction of a 415' x 60' x 21' navigation lock. If the use of 70,000 DWT tankers is permitted, the navigation lock would have to be increased in size from 415' x 60' x 21' draft to approximately 830' x 120' x 42' draft, hence, increasing the initial construction and total investment costs and annual charges while lowering the benefity/cost ratio for the overall tidal power project.

Similar charges would occur if 250,000 DWT tankers are allowed through the transport route, requiring the navigation lock to be increased in size from 415' x 60' x 21' draft to approximately $1250' \times 180' \times 67'$. Representatives of the New England Division and the Pittston Company have discussed overall siting conflicts and have prepared a revised layout sketch in which the siting of the refinery corresponds with tidal power projects and associated facilities. (See Figure 13)

The Benefit-Cost Ratio for some alternatives will be reduced when larger size navigation locks are included. A preliminary estimate of the Benefit-Cost reduction for power only based on proportionment is as follows:

| | All Tic <u>Cor</u> | l American dal Power ncept | Total Invest. Cost With Basic Lock | Total Invest. Cost With Larger Locks |
|------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | Sin | gle Pool | |
| Alt. | 4 | w/5 units | 281,730,000 | 422,897,000 |
| Alt. | 5 | w/10 units | 371,757,000 | 512,924,000 |
| Alt. | 6 | w/20 units | 635,022,000 | 776,189,000 |
| | | Dou | ble Pool | |
| Alt. | 7 | w/Aux. | 284,856,000 | 371,299,000 |
| Alt. | 8 | w/o Aux. | 274,045,000 | 360,488,000 |

Notes: 1. Alt. 4 requires a lock approximately 1250' x 180' x 67' at a Total Investment Cost of \$141,167,000.

- Alt. 8 requires a lock approximately 830' x 120' x 42' at a Total Investment Cost of \$86,443,000.
- 3. On the 2-pool alternative, an additional interpool lock (probably 95' x 25' x 12') will be required for small commercial and recreational craft.



J. <u>Results of Tidal Power Study by Energy Research and</u> <u>Development Administration (ERDA)</u> (Now Dept. of Energy)

This study on tidal power by the Energy Research and Development Administration (Department of Energy) and their consultant, Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation, completed in April 1977, indicated that the concepts evaluated are not economically feasible utilizing the conventional economic analysis mehtod and current price levels. Their study resulted in Benefit-Cost Ratios between 0.32 and 0.50 to 1.00 when only the power benefit was considered. When estimated area redevelopment, fisheries and recreation benefits were incorporated, the B-C ratio increased to 0.48 through 0.69 to 1.00. The ancillary benefits were furnished by the New England Division.

The tidal power concepts evaluated in the ERDA (DOE) report in the Passamaquoddy/Cobscook Bay region are:

| Concept No. | Size | Name | No. Pools | Concept Layout |
|-------------|---------|---------------|-----------|----------------|
| M-1 | 500 MW | International | 2 | (1964 Layout) |
| M-2 | 1000 MW | International | 2 | (1964 Layout) |
| M-3 | 180 MW | All-American | 1 | (Alt. x) |
| M4 | 180 MW | All-American | 1 | (Alt. x) |

The analysis of the M-3 concept, a variation of the 1935 Plan, by life cycle costing methods indicated that to obtain a Benefit-Cost Ratio of 1.00, a fuel cost rise of 5.2 to 5.4¹⁷ per year would be needed. The slight difference in percentage is whether or not pumped storage backup facilities are provided. Their notation on the economic feasibility of the tidal power projects in Maine indicated that:

"Considering power facilities only, it is necessary to have a benefit-cost ratio of at least 1.00. Under these conditions, the proposed tidal power projects would not be worthy of any further consideration. However, with the diminishing of U. S. supplies of oil and natural gas, and the strong prospects of continually rising prices for these fossil fuels, life cycle cost analyses certainly appears to offer a better means for evaluating the feasibility of tidal power projects."

As a matter of information, their evaluation of possible tidal power projects in Alaska shows that the power benefits are much lower than those obtained for the tidal projects in Maine. The Benefit-Cost Ratios for power at the Alaskan sites considered vary between 0.15 and 0.31 to 1.00. These lower ratios are attributed to higher construction costs in Alaska and lower estimated fuel costs for the "alternative" coal-fired plant.

Following is a brief preliminary comparison of the separate tidal power economic studies accomplished by the Energy Research and Development Administration and the Corps of Engineers in the Passamaquoddy/Cobscook Bay region:

By Conventional Method of Analysis (For Power Only)*

| | Benef | it Cost Ratio (BCR) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Project | Corps | <u>ERDA</u> (DOE) |
| 500 Megawatt International Plan | 0.53 to 1.00 | 0.50 to 1.00 |
| 1000 Megawatt International Plan | 0.49 to 1.00 | 0.32 to 1.00 |
| Typical All-American Plans 0.3 ्रे | 1-0.45 to 1.00 | 0.36-0.51 to 1.00 |
| By Life-Cycle Costing | Method (For Pow | er Only)* |
| Project Evaluated | Corps Fi | ndings |

| 500 | Megawatt | International Plan | Project will commence to be cheaper to operate than an oil fired alter- native after the 20th year based on a 5% compounded escalation rate and 6-3/8% financing rate. |
|-----|----------|--------------------|--|
| 180 | Megawatt | All-United States | ERDA FINDINGS Project will commence to be cheaper to operate after 13 years than an oil fired alternative based on a 5.5% fuel rise per year and interest rate of 7%. |

*Ancillary benefits of area redevelopment, fisheries-mariculture and recreation are not included. It is noted that all possible tidal power concepts in Cobscook/Passamaquoddy Bay were not studied by life-cycle analysis, however it is felt that most plans would be economically feasible when evaluated by this method, some sooner than others.

K. Project Study Concerns and Future Considerations

The tidal power project is unique and offers many problems and question which have to be addressed. Some of these are: and a second
a. Engineering

 Investigations of new construction methods for prefabrication and float-in construction of power house bays and emptying and filling gate units.

• Investigations into alternate methods of constructing the earth and rock-filled dams in view of water depths up to 300 feet and high velocities of the tidal current.

 Investigation of methods to reduce cofferdam requirements which amount to a large portion of project constructions costs especially where the fill-emptying gates are concerned.

• Confirmation of barrier closure method, near the completion of the project when it is estimated that tidal velocities will increase to the range of 20 feet per second. This will pose engineering and design problems without precedent.

• Investigating Quality Control programs for proper compaction during dam construction so as to assure maximum watertightness of dams.

 Accomplishment of studies on corrosion mitigation to reduce high cost of gate track and exposed equipment in the emptying and filling gates.

• Studies into location of spoil areas for surplus excavated materials.

 Studies to determine if the present dam embankment cross-sections, materials and sequence of their construction can be altered to reduce costs without sacrificing construction feasibility.

 New transmission facilities studies to determine the nearest points of connection to the New England electrical grid which was previously not in operation during the 1956 - 1959 study.

b. Marketability of Electric Power

An indepth review of electrical power production needs in New England and an analysis of trends in the generation plant expansion program, including delays being encountered, is necessary to determine when and how the tidal power project is best scheduled to join the electrical generation system in New England.

c. Environmental

ALLEN L'ALLANS L'AND L'ALLAND L'ALLAND

• The tidal power project construction operations would cause many temporary impacts through dredging and filling activities.

• The dams would form pools and impounded area which will alter bay temperatures, movement of marine shellfish and finfish, tidal flat areas, tidal currents and velocities, and tidal resonance characteristics along the coast.

• The height and range of the tides in the various pools will be changed which will affect the shoreline and high-low water lines, and have other coastal zone impacts.

• Investigations and studies for providing fishways in the tidal power project will have to be conducted.

• The necessity of constructing a physical model to determine and validate impacts on water quality circulation, sedimentation, etc., caused by construction of the project may be required. The construction and setting-up of a physical model of the project site would cost approximately \$900,000 and would be subject of a future Fiscal Year funding request.

:

d. <u>Social</u>

• Investigations of the impacts on the region and communities by the influx of construction workers will have to be conducted. This would include impacts on community services, transportation, housing, etc.

 Studies on employment and the labor needs to construct the project must be carried out.

e. Waterbourne Navigation

Surveys will have to be conducted on the history and use of waterbourne transportation in the Passamaquoddy area to provide up-dated information for navigational locks and their sizes. The cost of navigational locks could contribute greatly to the cost of the overall project.

f. Project Benefits

Although the tidal power project's main purpose is to develop electrical power, ancilliary benefits from the following will be derived which must be investigated: • <u>Mariculture-Fisheries</u> - Numerous sources claim that the new impounded pools will contribute immensely to mariculture development and result in large annual fisheries benefits to the region.

• <u>Area Redevelopment</u> - With the construction of a large multi-million dollar tidal power project, it is anticipated that the local population in Washington County and Maine will provide the majority of the labor force. These wages in turn will provide economic benefits to the regions.

• <u>Recreation</u> - Construction of the unique, one of a kind in the United States, tidal power project would undoubtedly increase tourism and recreation in the region.

1

g. Project Economic Feasibility

In view of the fact that preliminary analysis of the tidal power project, utilizing power benefits only, indicates that the Benefit-Cost Ratio is less than unity it is considered difficult to justify a project by this basis only.

On the other hand an analysis of the project by the "life-cycle costing" method, which is not presently authorized for water resource type projects, does possess merit under the present energy situation. There is concern on whether the use of this analysis as the economic basis for the project will be authorized for continuing study and eventual implementation of the project. h. <u>Miscellaneous</u>

This project will also impact many other areas which will require investigations and reporting on such as land use, sources of nature materials for construction, highways, communications, and cultural factors which will raise concerns of the inhabitants of the region. and the state of a low to see a second set of the second

a line of the second and the second

i. <u>The Life-Cycle Analysis</u>

The life-cycle analysis as presented in the Reconnaissance Report is based on a general inflationary rate of all items. In future study efforts the life-cycle study will be based on a relative escalation rate of fuel oil only in comparison with the general inflationary rate of all other items.

j. <u>Constraints</u>

The present programmed funds do not contain sufficient amounts for providing a physical model of Cobscook Bay and the project to fully evaluate water quality, circulation studies, etc. In view of this there will be construints in furnishing supportive and validating information for the Environmental Impact Statement. If the project is deemed economically feasible and further study is warranted upon completion of Intermediate or Final Stages of the Survey Scope Study, it will probably be recommended at that time to higher authority that a physical model be constructed for accomplishment of a full environmental evaluation. L. Summary of Findings and Conclusions

1. The estimated Total Investment Cost of the Cobscook Bay Plans, which include Construction Costs and Interest during Construction, varies between \$274,045,000 and \$635,022,000; and the estimated annual electrical generation of the concepts range from 292,000,000 to 615,000,000 kilowatt-hours.

2. The conventional benefit-cost analysis for the Cobscook Bay was based on 30 June 1976 price levels, and the life-cycle evaluation of the 500 MW International Plan was based on prices and predictions of the same period.

3. The benefit-cost ratio for the All-American Plans, considering power benefits only, varies between 0.31 and 0.45. This ratio increases to a range of 0.55 and 0.77 when anticipated ancillary benefits of area redevelopment, fishereis-mariculture and recreation are included.

4. Utilizing the Total Investment Cost, the cost of installed power on a per kilowatt basis varies between \$2,540 and \$7,121 for the five alternatives considered.

5. The construction of any one of the United States Plans would not entail in-depth negotiations, international agreements, considerations, etc. which the international plans would require. However, activities in informational service on project planning, engineering and impacts as well as coordination on the project in general with Canada would be appropriate. All electrical power generated from Cobscook Bay would be utilized within the United States.

6. The results of the DOE-ERDA study indicate that tidal power development is not economically feasible in the Passamaquoddy/Cobscook Bay region when evaluated on the conventional method of analysis for water resource projects, however, evaluation on a life-cycle basis indicated that a project may be feasible.

7. Proposed Oil Refinery and Marine Terminal in Eastport With respect to the proposed Pittston Company oil refinery and marine terminal in Eastport, Maine, a public hearing on the draft Environmental Impact Statement was jointly held by the Corps of Engineers and Environmental Protection Agency on 3 December 1976 in Eastport, Maine. The final Engineerial Impact Statement by the Environmental Protection Agency, Region I was issued in August 1978.

In the event the refinery is constructed, a navigational lock larger than one originally planned for the tidal project might be required.

8. For both conventional economic analysis and life cycle analysis both the Corps and ERDA found similar results.

9. A separate preliminary study on tidal power has been conducted by Office of Technical Assessment, Congress of the United States. Preliminary information of their draft report entitled "Tidal Power", dated February 1977, indicates that a tidal power project in the

i

vicinity of Passamaquoddy and Cobscook Bays may be attractive for development if fuel costs for thermal plants continue to escale..., and that the project should be evaluated and justified on the lifecycle costing method.

> 10. Relationship of Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project with the President's Energy Program of 20 April 1977

<u>Reference</u>: Text of Fact Sheet on the President's Program issued Ly White House Energy Staff, 20 April 1977. The following is based on a preliminary review of the referred to Energy Program Fact Sheet and contains comments on the relationship

of the Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project to the Energy program. The

Sections of the program are listed with comment as follows:

- I. National Energy Policy, Principles, Strategies and Goals
 - A. <u>Priniciples</u>:
 - The project conserves petroleum, a natural resource.
 - The project causes limited environmental impacts. There would be practically no air, noise, land or water pollution. There would be some aquatic, terrestrial and wetlands impacts caused by the tidal pools. There would be no solid or liquid waste disposal problems.
 - The national and regional vulnerability to embargoes and uncertain supplies would be reduced.

B. <u>Strategy</u>:

- Dependency on foreign oil is reduced.
- The project operates on development of a new, dependable, renewable and inexhaustible source of energy -- tidal power.
- The project helps to sustain economic growth.
- The project affords an opportunity to implement the natural resource conservation program.

1

- C. National Energy Goals:
 - The project would help the nation in reducing foreign oil imports.
 - Allows further conservation of domestic energy sources.
- II. Effects of the President's Energy Plan
 - The project will save approximately up to 860,000 barrels of oil annually or about 2,350 barrels on a per day basis.
 - The project would have a positive impact on the national, regional and statewide economy.
- III. The President's Energy Program
 - A. <u>Conservation</u>
 - 1. Transportation -- no apparent relationship.
 - 2. Buildings -- no apparent relationship.
 - 3. Appliances -- no apparent relationship.
 - 4. Industrial Conservation -- no apparent relationship.

1

- 5. Cogeneration of Electricity and Process Steam
 - The project has relatively high efficiency and does not waste enormous amounts of energy in the generation of electric power.
- 6. <u>DistrictHeating</u> -- no apparent relationship.
- 7. <u>Utility Rate Reform</u> no apparent relationship.
- 8. Taxes on Oil and Natural Gas -- no apparent relationship.
- B. Management Information Systems
 - 1. <u>Petroleum Production and Reserves Information</u> -no apparent relationship.
 - Petroleum Company Financial Data System -no apparent relationship.
 - Emergency Management Information System -no apparent relationship.

C. Industry Competition -- no apparent relationship.

D. State and Local Government Participation

The Passamaquoddy Indian Tribal Council, Pleasant Point Reservation, Perry, Maine has proposed a small 5-12 Megawatt tidal power and mariculture development project in Half Moon Cove in Cobscook Bay, Maine. The United States tidal power plans which this Division is re-evaluating would involve Cobscook Bay. The federal concepts offer various degrees of compatibility with the tribal plan and some construction mofifications and mode of operations would be required to the tribal project if the large federal project is ever built. It has been recommended to the council that they coordinate their planning with the New England Division in respect to water pool levels, elevations and inverts of structures, etc., of their proposed facility. Their mariculture development would have to be coordinated with both their own and the federal tidal power projects in establishing pool elevatiions, retention and release of waters, etc.

- E. <u>Assistance for Low Income Persons</u> -- no apparent application.
- F. <u>Oil and Natural Gas</u> Except for m imum requirements for maintenance activities the project will not use oil or natural gas.
 - 1. Oil Pricing (legislative) -- no apparent application.

1.

- 2. Oil Taxes (legislative) -- no apparent application.
- 3. <u>Natural Gas Pricing (legislative)</u> no apparent application
- 4. Other Oil and Gas -- no apparent application.

G. Coal, Nuclear and Hydroelectric Power

The tidal power project relies on harnessing the high tidewaters of Cobscook Bay in Maine and does not require conventional energy sources such as coal, oil or natural gas.

1. Oil and Natural Gas Users Tax (legislative)

It is felt that with the additional taxes which could be imposed on private electric generating utilities for utilizing oil, that the BCR economics of the tidal power project would improve.

2. Coal Conversion Regulatory Policy (legislative)

The tidal power plant would not be involved with conversion to coal, oil or gas fuels.

3. Environmental Policy for Coal

The tidal power plant will not use coal and therefore is not involved with meeting emission standards for ccal. In addition, the project will not have a solid waste disposal problem such as coal ash.

- <u>Coal Research and Development (Budget)</u>
 No apparent application
- 5. Nuclear Power

No apparent application

6. Hydroelectric Power

This section states the following and since the Quoddy project is not an existing dam the section seems to have no apparent direct application: "The President has directed the Corps of Engineers to report within three months on the potential for additional hydropower installations at existing dams throughout the country - especially at small sites. Any recommendation will be subject to a thorough environmental and budget review before final decisions are made (administrative)."

H. Nonconventional Sources of Energy

The tidal power prject utilizes the high tides in the Cobscook Bay region which are a dependable, renewable and inexhaustible source of energy. A project such as this assists the nation in its hope for long term economic growth beyond the year 2000.

It is noted that in addition to the current Corps of Engineers work on the Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project, the Solar Energy Division of Energy Research and Development Administration and the U. S. Congress Office of Technical Assistance are both accomplishing separate tidal power studies which are investigating and reporting the economic feasibility of tidal power in the Passamaquoddy region.

1. Solar Energy

ł

See preceding comment, otherwise there is no apparent application with this section.

2. Geothermal Energy

No apparent application.

- I. <u>Research, Development and Demonstration of</u> <u>Decentralized Systems</u>
 - <u>Reorganization (Administrative/budget)</u>
 No apparent application.
 - Solar, Geothermal and Other Technologies (budget)
 No apparent application.

J. Transportation Study

No apparent application, except that the tidal power project would not have to depend on transportation service for supplying fuels.

II. In December 1976 the State of Maine Office of Energy REsources completed a report titled "Maine Comprehensive Energy Plan, 1976 Edition". The document reviews the energy situation, consumption and needs for the state. With respect to tidal power, their preliminary recommendations which could be undertaken concerning resource development and diversification are as follows:

By State of Maine entities

Further consideration of tidal development as an energy alternative for Maine should await release of the ERDA study of tidal power. If eventual (within 30 years) technical and economic feasibility can be demonstrated for tidal power by life cycle cost calculations (being undertaken in the Stone and Webster study at Maine's request), then the Passamaquoddy Tidal Power site should be retained intact as an option for future energy supply to Maine.

M. FUTURE PROJECT USE

そうないないないないないないであるのであるのであるのであるのですが、つくないないないないないないないないないであったのであるないないないないないでいたが、 ないないないない

In reviewing the All United States Plans and the current impediments which the development of nuclear power in New England is encountering there may be a future desire and need for an All United States Plan which will produce the maximum amount of energy rather than a prinicpally peaking facility. In this event, single pool alternative x with 20 - 12,500 KW generators (250 KW) would be preferable as it will produce the greater amount of energy. In comparison, however, two pool alternative y without auxiliary will render a better B/C ration, provide more flexible use for providing peaking and maximum energy, but will produce less electricity on an annual basis. If the tidal power project is authorized for further study the plant use and mode of operation must be determined early. This would be done in conjunction with all concerned so that the proper tidal power plant will be planned which will be most advantageous for the State of Maine and New England.

N. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROGRAM RESULTS

The results of the Public Involvement Program conducted during the Stage I period through workshops and public meetings, indicated that there was very little objection to the study on the project. Also, that there were considerable environmental questions and issues which will have to be addressed in any further study.

0. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY

Based on the need for additional generating facilities in the State of Maine and New England to reduce the regions dependency of foreign fuels, to utilize a daily renewable regional resource, and the anticipated merits of the project by life cycle costing analysis; it appears that further study of the project should be continued.

Further, that based on the results of the Preliminary Economic Feasibility Study dated 29 April 1977 and concurrence from Office Chief of Engineers in 1st Indorsement dated 28 September 1977 to NED basic correspondence dated 31 May 1977, subject "Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project (CWIS #14023)", NED has proceeded to prepare a Plan of Study and Reconnaissance Report. (See Attachment Nos. 13, 14, and 15).

The economic feasibility of the project will be continuously reviewed throughout the study to determine if the study should be continued or terminated.

> JOHN P. CHANDLER Colonel, Corps of Engineers Division Engineer

ATTACHMENTS

.

And the second sec

「なき」としていたい、このできないないです。 いいいかんはない

<u>T0</u>

STAGE I

RECONNAISSANCE REPORT

ATTACHMENTS TO STAGE I RECONNAISSANCE REPORT

たいいちんいないない

T

| ATTACHMENT NO. | DESCRIPTION/TITLE |
|----------------|---|
| 1 | New England System Capability |
| 2 | Summary of System Capabilities and Estimated Peak Load |
| 3 | Summary of Generation Additions Ratings and Retirements |
| 4 | Tidal Power in Cobscook Bay All-American 1935 Plans |
| 5 | • References |
| 6 | Letter dated 7 September 1976 from Covernor of Maine |
| 7 | NED letter dated 24 September 1976 to Governor of Maine |
| 8 | Federal Power Commission letter dated 12 August 1976 |
| 9 | Potential of Mariculture in the Passamaquoddy and Cobscook Bay Region |
| 10 | • Senate Resolution dated 21 March 1976 |
| 11 | List of Previous Reports on the Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project |
| 12 | List of Other Recent and On-going studies in the Region |

| v | | | Attachment No. | -1 | | - |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 811.TTV * | | | | | |
| NEW ENGLAND SISTEM CAL | | | ð | 1975/70 ipability 1975/70 | 5 thru 1986/87 | |
| | | | MEDOOT | NEPOOL | Proposed Additions | Proposed |
| Type of Torrallation | Mode of Operation | Actual Dec. 75 Mi | Additions MW | Planned Capability** MW | Under Study , or Planned MW | Gross Capability MW |
| Nuclear | a | 3364 | 8910 | 12371 | 1150 | 13521 |
| Conventional Thermal | B/M | 11914 | (W)0911 | 13062 | 1 | 13062 |
| Net Power Purchases | B/M/P | 192 | 21 | 213 | ; | 213 |
| Combined Cycle | X | 06 | 385 | 475 | . 180 | 655 |
| Hydro | B/M/P | 1288 | ł | 1273 | . 12 | 1285 |
| Gas Turbine | ρ. | 1489 | 120 | 1609 | 105 | 1714 |
| Diesel | ρ. | 243 | 8 | 243 | 46 | 287 |
| Pumped Hydro | - β ι | 1632 | : | 1632 | ł | 1632 |
| ruel Cells | ۵. | 8 1 | ł | 1 | 26 | .26 |
| Darbina Dareil | ρ. | • | | 1 | 150 | 150 |
| TOTAL Estimated peaking cap | acity (20%) | 20212 4000 | 10596 | 30878 6000 | 1667 | 32545 |
| New England Load a Including authoriz | nd Capacity ed rcratings | Report, 197 and retire | 5-1936. NEPLAN ments. | , January 1, 1970 | • | |
| B = Base-Load ^P M = Mid-Range ^P | lant 'lant | | | | | ·. |
| p = Peaking Pla | int | | | | - | |

ATTACHMENT NO.

1

•
•

- .

1

. | / * Artachment No. 2

ł

;

SUPPARY

SYSTEM CAPABILITIES AND ESTIMATED PLAK LOAD - WINTER - 1977/78-1987/88

7

....

| | | | | | MM | | | | • | : | |
|------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|---|---------|---------------|
| | 1977/78 | 1978/79 | 1979/80 | 1980/81 | 1981/82 | 1932/83 | 1983/84 | 1984/85 | 1985/86 | 1986/87 | 1987/81 |
| 1. | 21950 | 22568 | 22569 | 22572 | 23984 | 25255 | 26407 | 28732. | 2853L | 3063L | 30630 |
| | 15217 | 16051 | 16918 | 17846 | 18820 | 19814 | 20851 | 21964 | 23134 | 24379 | .25694 |
| | 6733 | 6517 | 5651 | 4726 | 5164 | 5441 | 5556 | 67 68 | 5397 | 6252 | 4 0 36 |
| 0 | 44.2 | 40.6 | 33. 4 | 26.5 | 27.4 | 27.5 | 26.6 | 30° 8 (| 23.3 | 25.6 | 19.2 |
| | 400 | 006 | 800 | 0 | o | o | o | 0 | 0 | 0 | o |
| | 6333 | 5617 | 4851 | 4726 | 5164 | 5441 | 5556 | 6768 | 5397 | 6252 | 4936 1 |
| | 41.6 | 35.0 | 28.7 | 26.5 | 27.4 | .27.5 | 26.6 | 30.8 | 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 | 25-6 | 19.2 |
| inc 78. | lude only 25 MV of d | " <u>NEPOOL P</u>] leactivated | <u>lanned</u> " gen å rbserve t | lerating ca | apacíty. tober, 198: | 3, and 196 | .75 throu | gh remainde | ir of repor | | · · |

Data from NEPLAN, January 1, 1977

ATTACHMENT NO.

2

way ny summer meny successives to a successive to the successive s

,

| والمحمول والم | 1/1/18 | 1.916/79 | 1979/80 | 1980/81 | 1981/82 | 1982/83 | 1983/84 | 1984/85 | 1985/86 | 1986/87 | 1987/8 |
|--|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------|
| xisting Capability | 21457 | 21576 | 21594 | 21595 | 21598 | 21590 | 21591 | 21674 | 21669 | 21468 | 21268 |
| letirements leratings ldj. for Purchases & Sales MEPCO/ND Purchase | -6 +112 +13 | 0 +16 - | - ⁰ ⁺ - | 00 0 + 1 | 6- 1+ I | 0071 | 0 +81 +2 - | ، ۲۰ °۴ | 0 -200 | 0 0 -200 | 0070 |
| et Capability | 21576 | 21594 | 21595 | 21598 | 21590 | 21591 | 21674 | 21669 | 21468 | 21268 | 21267 |
| leactivated Reserve Units Idj. for Deactivated Reserve Units | 278 - | 278 - | 278 - | 278 - | 278 | 278 - | 278 81 | 197. 0 | 197 0 | 197 0 | 197 C |
| 15 POOL Planned Units | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Potter #2 (1/1/77) | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| W. F. Wyman #4 | ţ | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Mass. Municipals – CC Seabrook #1 | 1 1 | 11 | 11 | I I | 270 1150 | 276 1150 | 270 1150 | 270 1150 | 270 1150 | 270 1150 | 2 70 1150 |
| Millstone #3 Mass. Municipalø – CT | 11 | i i | 11 | 11 | 11 | 1150 120 | 1150 120 | 1150 120 | 1150 120 | 1150 120 | 115C 12C |
| Seabrook #2 | 3 | ł | 1 | 1 | 1 | ł | .1150 | 1150 | 1150 | 1150 | 1150 |
| Pilgrim #2 NEPCO #1 | 11 | 11 | I I | 8 8 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 1180 1150 | 1180 1150 | 1180 1150 | 1180 1150 |
| Sears Island NEPCO #2 | 11 | : : | | 11 | 11 | 11 | 1 1 | 11 | | 1150 1150 | 1150 1150 |
| otal Capability * | 21950 | 22568 | 22569 | 22572 | 23984 | 25255 | 26407 | 28732 | 28531 | 30631 | 30630 |
| *NOTE: Additions include o | NLPO | OL Planne | d" genera | ting capa | city. Da | ata from | NEPLAN Ja | nuary 1, | 1977. | | • |
| Deactivated Rcserve Units a | 1/1/ jo si | 77 = 140. | 3 of NU c | apability | , 81.5 M | of EUA c | apability, | , and 56. | 45 MW of | UI capabi | lity. |

`

ATTACHMENT NO. 3

١

ŧ



man and search Dypresser

and the second states and the second second


語いれていたので、たいであっていたいです。

ATTACHMENT NO. 5

REFERENCES:

The following references have been utilized in preparing the latest updated project cost estimate and benefits in this economic feasibility report:

1. Report to the International Joint Commission by the International Passamaquoddy Engineering Board, titled: Investigation of the International Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project, dated October 1959, with nineteen (19) appendices.

2. Report of the International Joint Commission, Docket 72, Investigations of the International Passamaquoddy Engineering and Fisheries Board, titled: Investigation of the International Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project, dated April 1961.

3. Report from Secretary, Department of the Interior, dated July 1963, titled: The International Passamaquoddy TIDAL POWER PROJECT and UPPER SAINT JOHN RIVER Hydroelectric Power Development.

4. Supplement to July 1963 Report, The International Passamaquoddy TIDAL POWER PROJECT and UPPER SAINT JOHN RIVER Hydroelectric Power Development dated August 1964, prepared by Passamaquoddy - Saint John River Study Committee.

5. Corps (f Engineers Manual EM 1110-2-1302, dated 1 November 1967 with Change 1 dated 10 February 1972, titled: Engineering and Design, COST ESTIMATES, Government Estimate of Fair and Reasonable Cost to Contractor.

ATTACHMENT NO. 5

Corps of Engineers Manual EM 1110-2-1301, dated
March 1972, titled: Engineering and Design, COST ESTIMATES,
Planning and Design Stages.

7. Several miscellaneous project quantity surveys and cost estimates for the tidal project, prepared during the general period 1957-1963, are in the New England Division in their original engineering computation form.

8. Water Resources Council (WRC) regulations, December 1968.

9. Water REsources Development Act of 1974, 7 March 1974.

10. Senate Document No. 97, 87th Congress, entitled: Policies, Standards and Procedures in the Formulation, Evaluation and Review of Plans for use and Developement of Water Related Land Resources.

11. Senate Resolution 148.

12. Resolution adopted on 21 March 1975 by the Committee on Public Works, United State Senate as sponsored by Edward S. Muskie, Senator from Maine.

Public Law 94-180, Public Works Appropriations Act for
Fiscal Year 1976 approved on 26 December 1975.

14. Results of revised construction engineering, operations and maintenance cost estimate prepared for the Corps of Engineers, New England Division by the firm of Stone and Webster Engineering Corporation, under NED Contract No. DACW33-76-C-0081 dated 28 April 1976. 15. Lr __ from Federal Power Commission, New York, dated 12 August 1976 containing updated power information for the project.

16. Memorandum dated 10 August 1976 and Supplemental Report No. 1 thereto from NED Keal Estate Division on revised costs for Lands and Damages.

17. Memorandum dated 26 August 1976 from NED Planning Division on revised benefits derived from the project for recreation.

18. Memorandum dated 4 October 1976 from NED Planning Division on revised benefits derived from the project for area redevelopment.

19. Report entitled "An Environmental Assessment Report", dated 8 March 1976 prepared by Enviro-Sciences, Inc. for the proposed 250,000 BPD Fuels Refinery and Deepwater Marine Terminal at Eastport, Maine, USA.

20. Preliminary proposal, undated, entitled "Passamaquoddy Marine kesources Development by the Passamaquoddy Tribe, Community Development Office, Pleasant Point, Perry, Maine.

21. Memorandum dated 1 November 1976 from NED Planning Division on benefits derived from fisheries and mariculture.

22. Institute for Water Resources Research Report 75-R1, dated 11 July 1975, titled "Hydroelectric Power Potential at Corps of Engineers Projects". 23. Draft of Final Report entitled "Tidal Power Study" dated January 1977 prepared by Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation for the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration, Division of Solar Energy, under Contract No. E (49-18)-2293.

24. Memorandum dated 19 February 1936 by United States Engineer Office, Eastport, Maine, subject: Comparison of Best American Two Popl Tidal Hydro-electric Plan with Single Pool.

25. Memorandum dated 22 May 1936 by United States Engineer Office, Eastport, Maine, subject: Revised Estimate of Plan "D".



RTATE OF MAINE OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR AUGUSTA, MAINE 04066

September 7, 1976

JANES B. LONGLEY

John Leslie U. S. Army Corps of Engineers New England Division 424 Trapelo Road Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

Dear Mr. Leslie:

I realize the Federal government is studying tidal power to determine its feasibility, especially in Passamaquoddy Bay.

We are of the opinion that, in order for these studies to be worth the taxpayer dollars being spent on them that/ they must include a per KAR life cycle cost analysis of the proposed Quoddy project and a comparison of the projected cost of the alternatives (nuclear, coal; oil-fired and river hydro) ten or twenty years from now, when the next large scale generating facilities will actually be needed.

We are greatly disturbed that neither the Corps of Engineers nor ERDA has seen fit to include this type of cost projection in the scope of work to be performed by the Stone and Webster Company, although Mr. Wayne has publicly recognized that if Quoddy had been built years ago its power would be a bargain today.

We feel no one is going to be emlightened by a study which quantifies the obvious, namely that Quoddy will cost more to build now than 20 years ago, or that it will cost more to build than some other type of facility. What we need to know from a power-cost standpoint is the value of Quoddy in ten or twenty years with the fuel costs of other types of power rising? We also would like to know from an overall public investment standpoint, what would be the external benefits of the project to the affected region, which is characterized by its remoteness, coldness, lowincomes and high energy costs?

Until your studies attempt to answer these questions in a preliminary fashion, we must conclude that they are not only worthless as a planning tool, but may actually produce

September 7, 1976

-2-

'prejudices which would forever condemn the Quoddy project as "economically unfeasible" and thus deprive the State and the Nation of our best hope for a tidal project.

We feel that it is feasible for you to make the projections we have described within the terms and budgets of your current contracts, and look forward to a letter from you stating that you have indeed decided to do so.

Very truly yours,

JAMES B. LONGI Governor

JBL/gud

ł

£ .

cc: Abbie Page, Director, Office of Fnergy Resources Allen Pease, Director, State Planning Office

24 September 1976

Honorable James B. Longley Governor of the State of Maine State House Augusta, Maine 04330

Dear Governor Longleys

NEDED

This is in response to your letter of 7 September 1976 addressed to Mr. John Wm. Leslie of my staff relative to the economic shalysis of the Passaniaqueddy Tidal Project new under study.

Your proposal of a life cycle cost unalysis, as you may know, is not the conventionally dictated method of analysis as established by the Congress for the evaluation of water resource projects. However it can be developed and based upon approval of cur Vissbington beadquarters, we will dovelop same for your personal use.

As you have probably been informed. Mr. Leslie mut with Mrs. Page and Mr. Silverman on 15 September 1976, at which time the subject matter was discussed. I would like to again relterate some of the key points and warnings in respect to use of projected power benefits that would to required in an economic analysis. There is no problem in establishing the estimate of the project in today's market which is readily converted to an annual cost thus providing the cost side of the benefit to cost equation. The creatability of the benefit side will depend on a great number of assumptions: what will be the least expensive privately-financed alternative; what will be the orice of alternative fact; how will energy forms of generation change, if any; what will be the value of power; what will be the state of the ustional economy. All of these NEDED

24 September 1976

教育を行き アーシー・

Honorable James B. Longley

must be projected to the year 1991, the projected date of power on line. Thus benefits will have to be qualified with a statement of the assumption.

As to your comments on external benefits, these are normal factors of any report and of course will be so addressed.

Sincercly yours,

JOHN P. CHANDLER Colonel, Corps of Engineers Division Engineer

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION REGIONAL OFFICE 26 Federal Plaza New York, New York 10007

August 12, 1976

Mr. John Leslie Chief, Engineering Division Department of the Army New England Division Corps of Engineers 424 Trapelo Road Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

Dear Mr. Leslie:

いたい、いろうみ しや ロスト いいしょうかん さいかく ひんしゅう あみておんないのためないないないないないないないないないないないないないないないないない

As requested in your letter of April 19, 1976, we have made a market study and determined at-market and at-site power values for the proposed Passamaqueddy Tidal Project (Quaddy). Since the International Passamaquoddy Engineering Board report in October, 1959, New England's electric utilities have established the New England Power Pool (NEPUOL) and also NEPEX and NEPLAN, NEPOOL operating and planning arms, respectively. The Interconnected New England System is one of four areas comprising the Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC), one of nine Regional Reliability Councils in North America. The New York State Interconnected System, New Brunswick Electric Power Commission, and Ontario Hydro are the other three NPCC entities. In light of these developments and single system approach to bulk power supply planning and operation in the region, the Interconnected New England System was selected as the market for Quoddy power. Also, although international in character, for study purposes, as agreed upon with your staff, Quoddy output was assumed to be utilized solely in the United States. Accordingly, required transmission for the various project installations considered and their economic evaluation were based on the concept of a U.S. market only.

New England is a winter peaking region. The 1975 peak demand of 12.5 million kilowatts occurred on December 19. This is estimated to increase to 23.8 million in Winter 85-86 and to 41.0 million in Winter 95-96. Installed capability in New England totalled 20.0 million kilowatts at the close of 1975 and is scheduled to expand to 28.4 million by the end of 1985 and to 51.0 million in 1995. The following table shows the composition by prime mover type of the 1975 (actual) and 1985 (scheduled) capabilities as reported by the utilities on April 1, 1976 in response to FPC Order 383-3:

ATTACHMENT NO. 5

New England

Installed Generating Capability (Megawatts)

| Fossil Steam | 11914 | 13062 |
|----------------|--------|-------|
| Nuclear Stéam | . 3364 | 10071 |
| IC/GT | • 1732 | 1852 |
| Combined Cycle | 90 | 475 |
| Convent. Hydro | 1308 | 1300 |
| Pumped Storage | 1632 | 1632 |
| Total | 20040 | 28392 |

Of an additional 22.7 million kilowatts currently planned for the decade after 1985, some 15 million are expected to be nuclear.

Our analysis was based on the description of the project in the Passamaquoddy-St. John River Study Committee's August 1964 Supplement to the July 1963 Report of The International Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project and Upper Saint John River Hydroelectric Power Development. The supplement outlines installation of an initial 500 MW and an ultimate 1000 MW of firm, two hour duration, peaking power is that assumes operation of a two-pool plan with supplemental pumping/the neap tides and stipulates that the use of reversible pump-turbines to increase the head during neap tides will assure the availability of the full installed capacity during all required peaking periods. Detailed information regarding the capacity during off-peak energy production was not available, but peak and off-peak energy production data shown in the report for a three-month period indicated operation of the project at a capacity factor of about 27.5 percent. Annual operations were assumed to conform to the operating mode depicted for this three-month period.

Examination of long range NEPOOL generation expansion plans and system load duration curves showed that the Naw England load could accommodate Quoddy peaking capacity in the 1990-2000 period. Analysis of historical daily load curves indicated that Quoddy could be "peaked" over a two-hour period on a daily basis. There are, however, some reservations regarding the ability to predict future load shapes with any assurance. The current emphasis in the nation regarding energy conservation and the evolving interest in utility load management raise the possibility that future New England load characteristics may not retain the sharp, short duration load "spikes" experienced in the past. Prior studies by the FPC staff indicated that with some additional pumping, the tidal project could possibly be operated at 500 MW to serve daily peak loads for up to four hours.

In consideration of the assumed characteristics of the project, combined cycle capacity operating at 30 percent capacity factor was selected as the most appropriate alternative. Capital costs were estimated at \$250/kW at June 30, 1976 price levels, heat rate at 9000 Btu/kWh, and fuel costs at \$2.50/million Btu's. Annual capacity and variable energy costs of power from the combined cycle alternative delivered to the NEPOOL transmission network, plus any required adjustments, yield at-market power values.

t

Project transmission requirements were based on consideration of projected power flows on the NEPOOL system and the proposed development at Quoddy and an assumption that Dickey-Lincoln and associated transmission would be in service. For the initial 500 MW installation, two 345 kV cutlets were assumed - one to the existing Orrington 345 kV switching station near Bangor, and another to the existing Maine Yankee 345 kV switchyard at Wiscasset. The estimated cost of transmission for this scheme was about 48.5 million dollars.

For the 1000 MW installation, the Quoddy-Maine Yankee 345 kV circuit was looped through an expanded Orrington switching station, and a 345 kW line was added from Orrington to a new substation in the Livermore Falls, Maine area, thence to an assumed Beebee, New Hampshire termination of the Dickey-Lincoln transmission, and to the existing Scobie 345 kV substation near Manchester, New Hampshire. Total cost of required transmission for the 1000 MW proposal was estimated at about 110 million dollars.

Based on the foregoing, at-market and at-site power values as of June 30, 1976 for the proposed Passamaquoddy Tidal Project at ten percent of money (private financing) and 6.375 percent (federal financing) are estimated as follows:

| • • | Passamaquod At-Market and A (June | dy Tidal Pr t -Si te Powe 30, 19 76) | oject r Values pilv | te finewar | et |
|---|---|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| | | A.F.M. | what | | 034- |
| Cost of Money | % | 10.0 | 6,375 | 10.0 | <u>6.375</u> |
| 500 MW Project | • | , | | | |
| Capacity Value Bnergy Value | \$/kW/YR mills/kWh | 45.00 24.0 | 25.50 24.0 | 28.00 23.0 | 15.50 23.0 |
| 1000 MW Project Capacity Value Energy Value | \$/kW/YR mills/kWh | 45.00 24.0 | 25.50 24.0 | 25.50 [°] 23.0 v | 14.00 23.0 |

×.`

18

The at-market capacity values reflect a credit applied to the • delivered cost of power from the alternative. No adjustment was made to the cost of energy. At-site values reflect the effect of project • transmission costs, including losses, on the at-market values.

·.....

Pumping energy requirements associated with Quoddy would come from nuclear generating stations in New England. Nuclear capacity is currently projected to be in the order of 25 million kilowatts by 1995. This should be more than sufficient to cover estimated base load requirements and pumping energy needs of pumped storage capacity in service in the time frame assumed for completion of the project. Based on current operating costs, pumping energy costs from nuclear sources are estimated at about 3.0 mills/kWh.

If we can be of further assistance to you in your studies, do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

James D. Hebson

James D. Hebson Acting Regional Engineer

ATTACHMENT NO. 3 POTENTIAL OF MARICULTURE IN THE PASSAMAQUODDY BAY

AND COBSCOOK BAY REGION

Introduction

The United States has indicated an interest in reviewing the current status of the Proposed Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project. To this intent, the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers has been directed to update the economics and technology of the original proposal. The final outcome of this analysis is a first cut "Benefit-Cost Ratio". This report will discuss one particular aspect of the overall analysis; the potential of mariculture as a benefit to be derived from project implementation.

Out of necessity, the report will be superficial in its depth of analysis. A major reason for this lies in the realm of prediction. We are dealing with a relatively new field of endeavor but one which is gaining continuously in importance. The problem of predicting a dollar value in 1975 for 1995 is of particular concern and lends itself to qualitative rather than quantitative analyses.

Methodology

The introduction points the way to the problem of assessing the potential for mariculture. Hopefully, the methodology will lead to a useful conclusion. The problem will be addressed in five stages. The first stage will be to identify those species which will lend themselves to mariculture in the proposed environment (Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project). The second stage will be to assess the "profitability" of those species. The third stage will be to assess the expected advances in mariculture technology and the effect those advances may have on the successful culturing and resultant profit during the middle 1990's. The fourth stage will be to assess the current fisheries and their value to the region both now and in the future. The fifth stage is a summary of expected losses and expected gains.

Where factual and quantitative data is available, it will be incorporated into the assessment. Where hard data is lacking, a best estimate will be utilized and so indicated.

There are several pilot adventures into mariculture as well as Federally sponsored programs investigating techniques and feasibility of this nevest form of food production. Federal, State and private firms will be queried for their knowledge. No attempt will be made at generating new data at this time.

Potential Species

There are several species which lend themselves to mariculture in one form or another. The species which have the most promise for this project are Atlantic salmon, trout, lobster, oysters, mussels and snails. These species all exist in the region and have been involved in a pilot or experimental mode for some time. The exception to this is the snail. Attempts at mariculture on this animal are not known.

There is a potential for intensified sport fishing in the form of introducing and exotic species, such as Coho or Chinook Salmon.

Estimated Success

There is very little data pertaining to the "profitablility" of the chosen species. However, pilot studies indicate that the following species can be reared and indications are that they will be profitable.

1. <u>Atlantic Salmon</u> - This species could be "sea-ranched" providing strains can be developed which would require less forage area than currently required. Estimates of success for this endeavor show a gross of \$1,000,000 annual at 500,000 pounds. 1976 prices would be \$2.00 per pound.

2. <u>Trout</u> - These species could be reared in holding pens or cages. Brook trout and rainbow trout lend themselves well to this method. The activity will center about a summer grow-out and has two limiting factors; the source of small fish for rearing and the number of suitable sites within the bays. Total production is not known at this time but it is not inconceivable that it could far excred the sea-ranching of Atlantic Salmon in poundage. Prevailing value per pound is \$2.00. For purposes of this analysis, an annual production of 500,000 pounds will be used. No estimate of cost of operation has been made nor has any figure been derived for initial cost of cages and fingerlings.

The production of fingerlings requires warm water and a hatchery. Solar panels with heat exchangers can produce the warm water. This

11

portion of the process could very well be a business in its own right. A centralized hatchery operation could supply the grow-out phase investors with their supply of fingerlings.

1

3. Lobster - There are conflicting points of view as to the time and success of rearing lobster. The project will create an embaymnet which may or may not provide a habitat for semiwild rearing of lobsters. More than likely, the effect of reducing tidal amplitude and warming the water during the summer will make highly sophisticated and technical plant rearing more attractive to this region. Therefore, as a result of project implementation, the way would be made easier to develop a series of rearing plants. There may be different phases of this industry developed. It is not difficult to see separate businesses and their satellites such as seed stock production, feedlot conversion, complete grow-out and scampi production. Total production per plant in the complete grow-out stage would be at least 500,000 pounds. Current wholesale prices average \$1.85 and range from \$1.50 to \$2.25. This equates to an annual gross of \$750,000 to \$1,125,000. Scampi operations could be expected to repeat this in terms of pounds produced.

4. <u>Oyster</u> - This species has been cultured in pilot plant and small commercial establishments and annual gross values range from \$100,000 to \$200,000 per million oysters reared and sold. One venture reports a profit potential ranging from \$30,000 to \$103,000 per million sold in 1975. This was after an annual operation cost of \$77,000 and an initial investment of \$85,000.

173

Production would depend upon suitable sites for rearing and increased market demand. It is not inconceivable to expect an annual gross of \$500,000. This value could dramatically increase as the demand for oysters is developed.

5. <u>Mussel</u> - Of all the sp-cies listed, this one is the easiest to culture. As yet in North America, this species does not have wide acceptance. There have been many attemspt at developing local markets. For various reasons, not due to the mussel, they did not succeed. There are no known values which can be assigned to this species but it definitely warrants an intensive investigation. I feel that this may be one of the better ventures in mariculture which will show a high benefit. Best estimate is a 2-3 million dollar annual gross.

6. <u>Snails</u> - A recent development in the fisheries of that area has provided a new potential for mariculture. The local whelk has been the target for a new industry. It is captured and prepared for market as canned escargot. This species is reported to have a high sales demand and value. Ventures into rearing this species could be varied but a grow-out form may be best. It would best work out as a satellite or ancellary business to fish production, tuilizing the remains of the prepared trout or salmon for food. The benefit to be taken for this species is not known, however, it has potential and should be seriously investigated.

ŧ

Advances Expected by 1990's

Primarily advances in mariculture can be expected in development of strains of species which will grow faster under the conditions available, nutrition and marketing of products. Sources of warm water will be more sophisticated than they are now.

Another advance to be expected is the value of the project. It is becoming more and more evident that the ocean cannot supply all our needs for one reason or another. If we are to have the protein from this source, then intensive maricultural techniques will have to be applied.

Current Fisheries

STANDARD CONTRACTOR

Current fisheries in Passamaquoddy Bay and Cobscook Bay differ markedly in their scope and value.

 Passamaquoddy Bay has active fisheries in herring, salmon, soft-shell clams and lobsters. The average landed value for 1973 to 1975 for each of these were as follows:

| a. | Herring | - | \$393,839 |
|----|------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Ь. | Salmon | - | \$ 2,000 (one year only) |
| c. | Soft-shell clams | - | \$ 90,333 |
| d. | Lobsters | - | \$103,430 |

'n.,

2. Cobscook Bay has active fisheries in lobster, clams, clamworms and groundfish such as shrimp and flounder. The most important fishery is for soft-shell clams. The value of this fishery is approximately \$1,400,000. The value of the lobster fishery is \$250,000 and the value of the bait worm industry is \$457,000.

Summary of Losses and Gains

Values, their sources and assumptions are tabulated later in the report. In general, there will be an anticipated \$2,000,000 loss for the entire project. This is divided into a \$323,800 loss for Canada and a \$1,666,000 loss for the U. S. The loss for the U. S. is somewhat inflated due to the nature of a worst case assumption on major fisheries.

Gains from mariculture are more speculative but estimates indicate a total annual gain will not fall below \$7,500,000.

Annual Losses - Canada

うちにないないないない うちょう うし

į

| Weir Losses and Reconstruction ⁽¹⁾ | \$129,000 |
|---|-----------|
| Lobster Pound Losses ⁽¹⁾ | 450,000 |
| Clam Processing Losses ⁽¹⁾ | 100,000 |

\$679,000 x 3.18⁽³⁾

| Fixed Loss Total = \$ | 2,159,000 |
|---|-----------|
| Annual Loss at 6% ⁽²⁾ | 129,000 |
| Weir Maintenance ⁽¹⁾ (Annual) $$8,000 \times 3.18^{(3)}$ | 25,500 |
| Clam Fishery Loss ⁽⁴⁾ (Annual) | 90,300 |
| Sardine Fishery ⁽⁵⁾ (Annual) | 79,000 |
| Total Annual Losses | \$323,800 |

(1) These values are taken from Report of the Fisheries Board in the Investigation of the International Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project 1961.

(2) Passamaquoddy Report 1958.

(3) Inflation rate supplied by J. Callahan to convert 1958dollar value to 1975 dollar value.

(4) A worst case loss for the clam fishery was assumed. The report (see above) indicates a ten-year loss is expected. This assumption considers a permanent loss.

(5) This value is based upon a 20% reduction in existing fisheries value. It includes a \$15,000 loss for scales.

| 1973 | \$154,000 | (36,991 + 332,342) x .2 = | \$ 73,866 |
|-------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1974 | 58,000 | (61,562 + 369,725) x .2 = | 86,257 |
| 1975 | 59,000 | (65,704 + 315,158) x .2 = | 74,172 |
| | \$271,000 + | 3 = \$90,333 | \$234,295 + 3 = \$78,100 |
| Total | = \$90,333 + | 78,100 = \$176,400 x 8,000 x 3.18 | = \$200.000 |

There are no estimates for lost groundfish value but they would not exceed \$10,000.

It is not anticipated that the lobster fishery will decline measurably.

Annual Losses - United States

| Weir Loss and Reconstruction $^{(1)}$ | \$2,000 x 3.18 = \$ 6,400 |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Groundfish Loss ⁽²⁾ | 10,000 |
| Lobster Loss ⁽²⁾ | 250,000 |
| Clam Loss ⁽²⁾ | 1,400,000 |
| Total Annual Loss | \$1,666,000 |

(1) This value was taken from Report of the Fisheries Board in the Investigation of the International Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project, 1961.

(2) A worst case loss for these fisheries was assumed. The values for the fisheries were obtained from Maine Department of Marine Resources fisheries statistics.

(3) There is a viable bait worm fishery which will be adversely affected by this project. Assuming that 60% of the landed figures come from Cobscook Bay, the annual value of the fishery is \$457,000, this brings a worst case loss in Cobscook Bay to over \$2,000,000.

Annual Gains for Mariculture

| Canad | la - | Pass | samaq | uoddy | y Bay |
|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | | | | |

| Salmon | - | 1 venture | \$1,000,000 |
|----------|---|---------------------|-------------|
| Trout | - | 2 ventures | 1,000,000 |
| Oysters | - | 5 ventures | 500,000 |
| Lobsters | - | 2 ventures | 1,000,000 |
| Mussels | - | excellent potential | (1,000,000) |
| Snails | - | excellent potential | * |

\$3,500,000 (\$4,500,000)

| Unite | d State | es - | ma | inl | у (| Co | bscool | k I | Bay | |
|-------|---------|------|----|-----|-----|----|--------|-----|-----|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Salmon | - | 1 venture | \$ 500,000 |
|----------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Trout | - | 4 ventures | 2,000,000 |
| Oysters | 45 | 5 ventures | 500,000 |
| Lobsters | - | 2 ventures | 1,000,000 |
| Mussels | - | excellent potential | (2,000,000-3,000,000) |
| Snails | - | excellent potential | * |
| | | | \$4,000,000 (6-7,000,000 |
| Total | Annual | Gains | \$7,500,000 (11,500,000) |

*Figures not developed. Item needs further investigation. This total reflects 1975 dollar value. The number of ventures in both bays was a coarse estimate based on protection and ease of establishment of venture. It does not take into consideration the availability of the site for its intended purpose.

This value also does not include satellite industries or ancillary businesses which are developed in response to the primary industry.

It is recommended that a detailed planning study of this phase be undertaken to identify the numbers of sites available for each type of operation, the extraction of fishery statistics for analysis in terms of losses, estimation of satellite and ancillary businesses, market potential for each species and current state of the art in each species

Dr. B. E. Barrett

Alnuted States Senate

COMMITTEL ON PUBLIC WORKS

COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

RESOLVED BY THE CONMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SEMATE, That the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors, created under - the provisions of Section 3 of the Rivers and Harbors Act approved June 13, 1902, be, and is hareby, requested to review the report on Passamaquöddy-St. John River Basin Power Project, Maine transminted to Congress by the President of the United States on July 12, 1965 published as House Document No. 236, 89th Congress, end other partiment reports, with a view to determining the current feasibility, taking full advantage of the latest technological advances, of the Passamaqueddy Tidal Power Project in the interest of providing tidal power, recreation, economic development and related land and water resources purposes.

March 21, 1975 Copted:

ATTACHMENT NO. 10

(At the request of Edmund S. Muskie, Senator from Maine)

ATTACHMENT NO. 11

LIST OF PREVIOUS REPORTS

ON THE

PASSAMAQUODDY TIDAL POWER PROJECT

3

1. <u>Corps of Engineers, 1935-1936</u> - On May 28, 1935 under authority of the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1935, funds were alloted to the Corps of Enineers for design and construction of a single-pool power development located wholly within the United States. These works were to be designed and constructed so that they could eventually be part of an international two-pool plan. The initial project consisted of generating units totaling 62,500 kw with a 30,000 kw dieselelectric auxiliary plant resulting in an electrical output of 262 million kwh a year. This project, known as the United States Plan was a single-pool scheme, resulting in intermittent and varying power output. It was not economically feasible and, lacking Congressional approval, the project was abandoned.

2. <u>Federal Power Commission -- Report of 1941</u> - United States Senate Resolution No. 62, dated February 2, 1939 requested the Federal Power Commission to review all previous reports and information on the Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project (United States Plan). The report was published as Senate Document No. 41, dated April 7, 1941, 77th Congress 1st Session. It concluded that neither

ATTACHMENT NO. 11

1 × 1

a tidal plant nor a steam-electric plant, when compared with potential river hydroelectric plants in Maine, was desirable. However, the report pointed out certain distinct advantages inherent in tidal power over conventional methods of power development and stated that the conclusions reached in the report, "...should not preclude thorough exploration of the possibilities of a large international tidal power project at Passamaquoddy by the Governments of the United States and Canada."

3. <u>International Joint Commission -- Report 20 October 1950</u> On November 9, 1948 the Governments of Canada and the United States requested the I.J.C. to determine the scope and cost of the comprehensive investigation necessary to establish the engineering and economic feasibility of a proposed international development and that the cost of a detailed investigation if undertaken by the two Governments would require an expenditure of \$3.9 million. Subsequent to this report, the U.S. geological Survey developed new techniques in the sonic method of underwater surveys. By direction of the President, the Corps of Engineers collaborated with the U.S. Geological Survey in conducting field tests in Passamaquoddy Bay during the summer of 1951. As a result of this latter experiment, the Corps of Engineers reported in May 1952 that the cost of the overall survey was revised downward to \$3 million.

4. <u>New England - New York Interagency Committee Report 1955</u> This report, an inventory of the natural resources of the northeastern region of the country authorized by the Flood Control Act of 1950, contianed as one of its recommendations the desirability of undertaking the survey of an international tidal power development at Passamaquoddy in accordance with the details set forth in the October 1950 report of the Commission.

2000 and a start of the second start of the

5. International Joint Commission -- Report April 1961 In accordance with United States Public Law 401, 84th Congress, 2nd Session and the Boundary Waters Treaty of 1909, the Governments of the United States and Canada direc ted the International Joint **Commission to investigate the engimeering and economic feasibility** of harnessing the tides of Passama quoddy and Cobscook Bays in the Province of New Brunswick and the State of Maine for the production of hydroelectric power. The Commission appointed an International Passamaquoddy Engineering Board and an International Passamaquoddy Fisheries Board to undertake the necessary field investigation. The Fisheries Board's study concluded that construction and operation of a tidal power plant in these in ternational waters would not adversely affect the commercial fisher ies in the Passamaquoddy region. The Engineering Board found that the optimum development for tidal power would consist of a two-pool scheme with a hydroelectric plant on the Saint John River in Maine to serve as an auxiliary source of power. The combined development would have a 300,000 kw installed capacity tidal plant with a 400,000 kw installed capacity river hydro, making a total dependable capacity Of 555 = 000 kw with an annual energy output

, î

of slightly over 3 billion kwh at a total investment cost to the two countries of \$687 million. The Commission found "...that the tidal project, either alone or in combination with certain auxiliary power sources, will not permit power to be produced at a price which is competitive with the price of power from alternative available sources." Further, the Commission recommended "...that development of the project be viewed as a long-range possibility having better prospects of realization, when other less costly energy resources available in the area have been fully realized." Governments of the United States and Canada have taken the Commission report under advisement.

ないしたいがいない たいないない ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう たいてい たいない かいしょう ひんしょうしょう しんかい しゅうしょう しゅうしょう ちょうしょう ちょうしょう

6. <u>Report of the Department of the Interior -- July 1963</u> By letter of May 1961, the President of the United States requested the Department of the Interior to review the report of the International Joint Commission on the International Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project and the Upper Saint John River Hydroelectric Development. The purpose of this review was to advise the President on what changes in fuel, engineering or financial costs might result in making the project economically feasible. The Department of Interior's report to the President in July 1963 recommended a 1million kw installation for the Quoddy project and a 750,000 kw installation at the Dickey site on the Saint John River. This report found the project to be both desirable and economically feasible and further recommended that this report be used as a basis for early authorization of the project.

7. Report of the Department of the Interior -- August 1964 By directive of the President of the United States, additional studies were conducted by the Departments of the Interior and Army to supplement the July 1963 report. An Army-Interior Advisory Board on Passamaquoddy and the Upper Saint John River was formed. The Department of Interior performed studies relating to power, power transmission, marketing benefits and other economic aspects. The studies performed by the Corps of Engineers to support the engineering feasibility and soundness of the engineering structures included a geologic investigation as well as field explorations at the sites of all structural components. Preliminary designs were made of the dikes, powerhouse and other auxiliary structural features. The Corps investigation covered engineering layouts and cost estimates for various sizes of tidal power plants at Passamaquoody and a hydroelectric development at Dickey. The report recommended that immediate steps be taken for early authorization of the International Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project, the Upper Saint John River Developments and the Transmission System, for construction by the United States.

8. <u>Department of the Interior Report</u> -- July 1965 In July 1965, the Secreatry of the Interior forwarded to the President a report summarizing the August 1964 report. Included in the report were comments of interested State and Federal agencies as to the 1964 report. Each of the New England State Governors except

Rhode Island, which did not comment, endorsed the entire project. The 1965 report also reflected new power value estimates prepared by the Federal Power Commission. The power benefits used in the economic analysis in the August 1964 report were based upon power value estimates furnished by the Federal Power Commission in December 1963. The report utilized a composite power value of \$27.70 per kilowatt-year and 3.0 mills per kilowatt-hour. However, on the basis of larger more economical developments by the power industry, the Federal Power Commission on February 16, 1965 furnished revised composite power values computed to be \$23.50 per kilowatt-hour.and 2.6 mills per kilowatt-hour. Using the new power values, the benefitcost ratio of the Passamaquoddy Project fell below unity. Accordingly, the July 1965 report concluded that the tidal power was not economically justified. The report recommended authorization of continued study. reexamination and possible redesign of the Passamaguoddy Project taking full advantage of the latest technological advances.

9. <u>Preliminary Economic Feasibility Study - (dated 30 November</u> <u>1967 with Supplement dated 29 April 1977)</u>

Upon receipt of a Senate Resolution sponsored by Senator Muskie of Maine and authorized funds, the New England Division, Corps of Engineers prepared a preliminary economic feasibility study to determine if tidal power in the Passamaquoddy Region was feasible under current conditions. The study reevaluated 500 and 1000 megawatt international plans and various 40 - 250 megawatt single and double-pool concepts which could be constructed entirely within the

Rof.

United States. Although the primary purpose of the project is power, ancillary benefits from area redevelopment, fisheriesmariculture and recreation can be realized. The results of the preliminary study indicated that the benefit-cost-ratios for all projects evaluated were less than unity. However, at the request of Honorable James Longley, Governor of Maine, one of the projects (500 mw International Plan) was evaluated by a general "life-cycle costing" method which revealed that the project did have some merit and that further study was warranted.

10. Miscellaneous Tidal Power Reports

「ないないないないという」となっていたのですというできたができっていたのでしたのでしたかできょうないできょうというというできょう

a. "Tidal Power Study for the United States Energy Research and Development Administration", March 1977, was prepared for ERDA by the Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation. This study reviewed tidal power possibilities on a worldwide basis, addressed the potentials in the Passamaquoddy region and Cooks Inlet, Alaska, and reported on some of the environmental concerns of tidal power.

b. "Feasibility of Tidal Power Development in the Bay of Fundy",
dated October 1969, was prepared by the Atlantic Tidal Power Programming
Board - New Brunswick, Nova Scotia and Canada.

11. <u>Principal Fisheries and Environmental Studies and Reports</u> in the Area

Report on Passamaquoddy Fisheries Investigations to the International Joint Commission was prepared by the International Passamaquoddy Fisheries Board, dated October 1959.

SU'i

ATTACHMENT NO. 12

LIST OF OTHER RECENT AND/OR ONGOING STUDIES IN THE AREA

a. Environmental Impact Statement for 250,000 barrel/day oil refinery and Marine Terminal, Eastport, Maine, prepared by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, Boston, Massachusetts 02203, dated 13 October 1976.

b. New England Energy Situation, Alternatives for 1985,
by Federal Energy Administration, Region I, Boston, Massachusetts,
dated October 1976.

c. A report on "New England Hydroelectric Development Potential" by New England Federal Regional Council, Energy Resource Development Task Force, Hydroelectric Facilities Work Group, dated June 1976.

d. A report on "The Potential of Wood as an Energy Resource in New England" by the New England Federal Regional Council, Energy Resource Development Task Force - Wood Utilization Work Groups, dated September 1977.

e. Passamaquoddy Tribal Council Tidal Power Project at Half-Moon Cove, Pleasant Point, Perry, Maine. A possible small tidal power project and mariculture development may be investigated.

f. The Dickey-Lincoln School Lakes Hydroelectric Power Project in northern Maine is a Corps of Engineers authorized project in the advanced engineering and design stage. An Environmental Impact Statement was issued for public comment in October 1977.

ATTACHMENT NO. 12

-12

g. Reevaluation of hydroelectric power potential under Section 167, PL 94-587, dated 22 October 1976 is being accomplished by the Corps of Engineers.

h. Hydroelectric power studies in New England are being conducted by the New England River Basins Commission.

i. Maine Comprehensive Energy Plan, 1976, prepared by the Maine Office of Energy Résources.

j. The NEPOOL Forecast for New England 1978-1987, dated1 January 1978, prepared by the New England Power Pool.

k. United States Fish and Wildlife Service Characterization Studies along the Maine coast. (This study has not been completed but will contribute to the tidal power study).



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 424 TRAPELO ROAD WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF: NEDED-E

31 May 1977

SUBJECT: Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project (CWIS #14023)

HQDA (DAEN-CWP) WASH DC 20314

1. References:

a. Resolution adopted on 21 March 1975 by the Committee on Public Works, United States Senate, as sponsored by Edmund S. Muskie, Senator from Maine.

b. Public Law 94-180, Public Works Appropriations Act for FY 1976, approved on 26 December 1975, which authorized funds for subject study.

c. Letter dated 7 September 1976 from Honorable James B. Longley, Governor of Maine, recommending that a life-cycle costing analysis of the tidal power project be accomplished.

d. OFPP Pamphlet No. 1, August 1976, titled "Major System Acquisitions - A Discussion of the Application of OMB Circular No. A-109," and OMB Circular No. A-109 dated 5 April 1976 which pertains to life-cycle costing.

e. Checkpoint type meeting held in NED on 7 April 1977 during which Messrs. Baltis, Reisler and Shwaiko from Office, Chief of Engineers met with personnel of this Division to discuss the project in general.

2. Attached is copy of draft report prepared by this Division titled: "Economic Feasibility Study for International Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project, Cobscook and Passamaquoddy Bays, Maine and New Brunswick" dated 20 November 1976; and Supplement thereto dated 30 April 1977. It is noted that this letter is not requesting a technical type review of the draft report as such but to briefly inform you of the economic feasibility of the International and All-American tidal power plans and our life-cycle analysis of the 500 MW International project. Also to request your office to review and make a decision on the applicability and appropriateness of lifecycle analysis as the economic basis for the project and to advise this Division on whether or not to proceed with further project study.

Attachment 13

31 May 1977

NEDED-E SUBJECT: Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project (CWIS #14023)

· · ··

A separate letter dated 24 May 1977 has been forwarded to OCE, Attention DAEN-CWP-E requesting a technical type review of the report especially on the life-cycle presentation.

3. Prior to initiating a Plan of Study and full scale Survey Scope Study for subject International project, it was determined to first accomplish an economic feasibility study of the project based on updated benefits, costs and current conditions to determine if further study was warranted.

4. The tidal project is unique in principle and is considered special in that it is a one of a kind type project in the United States. As background, our task was to update construction, operations and maintenance costs and benefits of the 500 and 1,000 megawatt International Tidal Power Project as proposed in August 1964 by the Passamaquoddy -Saint John River Study Committee. This plan is basically the same as the 300 megawatt international project as proposed and presented by the International Passamaquoddy Engineering Board in October 1959 except for increased installed generating capacity. This updating task was completed in November 1976 and the economic evaluation by the conventional Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR) method indicated that the project was not economically feasible as the BCR was less than unity. A brief summary is as follows:

| <u>Passamaquoddy</u> International Tidal Power Project | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Project Size | BCR with Power Benefits Only | BCR with Power and Ancillary Benefits* | | | | |
| 500 MW | .53 | .74 | | | | |
| 1000 MW | .49 | .67 | | | | |

* Includes area redevelopment, fisheries and recreation.

Based on a Total Investment Cost of \$1,775,254,000 for the 500 MW facility, the average cost per KW is \$3,551; and the 1000 MW plant is \$2,603/KW on a Total Investment Cost of \$2,802,751,000. These unit costs are very high when compared to other types of power alternatives. When analyzing the two projects from an energy production and cost viewpoint, the 500 MW facility is the more preferable and economical plan. The Total Investment Cost includes estimated Interest During Construction. NEDED-E

あたちょうないとうない ないしてきましたちにいい ちにちい

31 May 1977 SUBJECT: Pessamaquoddy Tidal Power Project (CWIS #14023)

5. As the evaluation work for the international plan progressed. certain comments by state and other personnel mentioned that the 1935 tidal power project, if built and which was entirely within the boundaries of the United States, would be a "bargain" and possibly worthwhile under today's conditions. In view of this, this Division updated the costs and benefits of the original 1935 project for which construction was started but discontinued in 1936, plus other various single and double pool All-American tidal power concepts that were proposed in the 1934-1936 era. The general results of the economic study of these All-American projects when based on the conventional methods indicates a BCR range of .31 - .45 to 1.00 when only power is considered, and a BCR range of .55 - .77 to 1.00 when ancillary bene~ fits are included. Likewise, the All-American concepts do not appear economically feasible by conventional analysis, and therefore further study does not appear warranted.

6. As noted in the report, the Honorable James B. Longley, Governor of Maine strongly suggested that the Passamaquoddy project be evaluated on a life-cycle basis, which in general takes into consideration escalation of replacements, operation, maintenance and fuel costs over the life span of the project. The Governor was advised that this was not the conventional dictated method of analysis as established by the Congress for the evaluation of water resource project, however, that this Division would develop a life-cycle study of the project for his information. The Federal Power Commission cooperated greatly and assisted this Division by furnishing power values over the 100 year life span of the project through the use of their computer program. The 500 MW international plan was selected for this analysis. The life-cycle analysis undertaken by the FPC and NED is a preliminary economic examination of the project by this method and is considered to be consistent with the preliminary stage of planning we are presently in. A thorough detailed analysis by life-cycle would require more in-depth work which would be performed at a later date if further study is decided upon. The results of our investigation of the project by life-cycle indicates that the project is economically feasible and worthwhile over the 100 year life span of the project.

Although the present initial estimated annual costs for the 500 MW tidal power project is \$121,121,000 per year and the selected combined cycle private alternative plant is \$55,316,000, the tidal power project will become cheaper to operate in about 20 years time. This is based on both projects being financed at 6 3/8% and an annual escalation rate of 5% and a 100 year life span. In our life-cycle studies only the power costs and power benefits were considered; area redevelopment, fisheries and recreation benefits were not introduced.
NEDED-E 31 May 1977 SUBJECT: Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project (CWIS #14023)

. ï.

7. The NED life-cycle study did not evaluate any of the All-American concepts as in our coordination efforts with the ERDA study we stated we would evaluate the 500 MW international plan and ERDA would accomplish similar studies on some All-American plans. The ERDA study was based on changes in variable fuel costs only, a project life span of 50 years and an interest rate of 7%. Their general findings and conclusions on life-cycle costing in part are:

"The most important conclusion resulting from this study is that economic evaluations of any proposed tidal power project (or conventional hydro project) which would be built in lieu of a fossil fueled power plant should be based upon life-cycle cost analyses covering at least the economic life of the project. This is a vital concept which must be given careful consideration. The detailed economic analyses made as part of this study indicate that reasonably anticipated rises in the costs of fuel for alternative oil or coal fired steam electric plants would more than compensate for the initially high tidal plant investment costs.

The life-cycle cost analyses for a 180 MW single pool project M-3 in Cobscook Bay, plus pumped storage backup, result in levelized power costs of 122 mils/kwhr. A benefit/cost ratio of 1.0 would be achieved with either a 5.4 percent annual rise in oil costs or 5.9 percent annual rise in coal costs. The corresponding break-even points would occur in the 12th and 14th years of operation, respectively. The potential net savings in power costs over the 50 year period attributable to the tidal project would be as follows for the indicated rises in fuel costs:

| Alternate | Net Savings in Million Dollars | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Fossil Plant | 4% Rise | 5% Rise | 6% Rise | | | | | | | |
| Oil Fired | 424 | 2,481 | 5,616 | | | | | | | |
| Coal Fired | -221 | 980 | 2,934" | | | | | | | |

NOTE: . If further study is commenced this Division will accomplish a life-cycle analysis of each All-American alternative:

8. Independent and separate tidal power studies by the Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) with Stone and Webster Engineering Corporation as the principal contractor, and the U. S. Congress Office of Technical Assessment (OTA), are both reporting that tidal power projects in the Passamaquoddy region are economically feasible when evaluated on the life-cycle basis. These agencies are recommending that the

31 May 1977

NEDED-E 31 M SUBJECT: Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project (CWIS #14023)

project be analyzed by this method. It is also noted the ERDA report agrees with the NED report that the project is not economically feasible when evaluated by the conventional BCR method. The ERDA report was completed in April 1977 and it is anticipated that the OTA report will be completed in June 1977.

9. In summary, based on the conventional BCR method of analysis, it does not appear that further study of the International or All-American tidal power plants is warranted. However, before a decision is made to cease study, the appraisal of the project by the life-cycle method of analysis indicates certain value and worth. With respect to utilizing the life-cycle method of analysis as the recognized basis for the economics of the project and further study (i.e. preparing a Plan of Study, addressing engineering, environmental concerns and public involvement for a Survey Scope Study), it is considered necessary to obtain your decision on the subject as it involves a major departure from present policy. In view of the changing times, energy situation (estimated annual savings of 2,700,000 BBLS of oil for the 500 MW project), escalation, views of other agencies, etc., the life-cycle costing appears to have merit and deserves consideration for evaluating and determining the future of this energy oriented water resource project.

10. If decision is made for this Division to proceed with the preparation of a Plan of Study, the next foreseeable critical issue is to determine whether the International or All-American tidal power project should be studied. This will first necessitate a meeting with the Canadians to obtain their formal views and position on a joint Quoddy project and study. If Canada indicates formal disinterest in the International project then it appears that this Division would be free to study the All-American tidal power plans. Presently this Division is attempting to obtain informal opinions on the tidal power project from The New Brunswick Electric Power Commission and expect to meet with their representatives during the mid-latter part of June 1977. Your office will be advised of the results of this meeting.

11. Your review comments and decision on the above and whether this Division should proceed with a Plan of Study for a Survey Scope Report are requested as soon as possible.

l Incl (dupe) As stated

the second se

Colonel, Corps of Engineers Division Engineer

DAEN-CWP-E (31 May 77) 1st Ind SUBJECT: Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project (CWIS #14023)

DA, Office of the Chief of Engineers, Wash D.C. 20314 2 & SEP 1977

TO: Division Engineer, New England ATTN: NEDED-E

• • • • • •

1. We concur in your recommendation to proceed with the Plan of Study for the subject project. The Principles and Standards permit the use of life-cycle costing to the extent of projecting relative prices; however, projecting of general inflation is not permitted. There are presently no specific guidelines on projecting relative prices; any such regulation would be subject to review, findings and rule-making by the Water Resources Council.

2. Since the BCR for the subject project is significantly less than unity utilizing conventional national economic efficiency benefits, you should proceed with caution in the life-cycle analysis. In addition to economic feasibility, you should determine financial feasibility through coordination with the appropriate marketing agency. If at any point it becomes apparent that you are unable to develop a feasible project, you should terminate the study and report excess funds for revocation.

3. Before proceeding further with detailed analysis, you should request a meeting with OCE to clarify issues and concepts. Arrangements for the meeting should be made with Mr. Edward Cohn, DAEN-CWP-P, telephone No. 202-693-7320. Inclosed as background for the meeting are comments and observations on the general life-cycle costing concept and on your preliminary life-cycle analysis for the subject project. The major issues are : (1) what elements of life-cycle analysis are theoretically valid; and (2) what elements of life-cycle analysis are amenable to credible and consistent measurement.

FOR THE CHIEF OF ENGINEERS:

JAMES W. PECK

l Incl as

Colonel, Corps of Engineers Assistant Director of Civil Works, Atlantic DAEN-CMP-2

Life Cycle Costing Pessamaquoddy Tidal Pour Pubject

DAEN-CUP

DAEN-CHP-P

11 July 1077 CCEN/62/37320

1. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of this DF is two-fold:

a. To discuss generally the concept of life-cycle costing, including role of IW2.

b. To review NED's application of life-cycle costing to the Passanaqueddy project (pp. 28-39 of "Supplement to Economic Feasibility Study . . . " dated April 1977).

2. <u>General</u>. A life cycle cost is the total cost of an item throughout its useful life including construction, operation, maintenance and disposal (see LCC Workbook, GSI, p. 1-1).

3. Obviously, therefore a life cycle cost is in theory precisely what up mean when we use the word "cost" in a benefit-cost ratio. We take account of maintenance, operation, rehabilitation, disposal and all other items of cost.

4. Life-cycle costings varies from traditional (Corps) benefit-cost analysis in that it attempts to project <u>unit-costs</u> prices into the future. There are two reasons why unit costs might be expected to increase in the future:

a. <u>Inflation</u>. Inflation uset be carefully defined. Most economists define inflation as a <u>memoral</u> increase in the price of all items due to an expansion of the money supply. The effect of (general) inflation on Corps projects was emply demonstrated by our analysis of the Rod River Waterway at the request of Senator Long. However, I (and most economists) do not credit such increases as <u>aconomic</u> gains. 1/ Instead such gains are financial only. The theory is that the exact same <u>resources</u> (man-hour materials, equipment) must be used, whether the project is built now or later; i.e., with today's dollars or temorrow.

b. <u>Shift in Relative Price</u>. In this case one or more specific commodities rise in price relative to all other commodities. Specifically the price of one commodity increases by greater than the inflation rate. Most economists will credit such increases as <u>economic</u> gains. For example, if benefit x increases 10 percent a year while inflation is 7 percent a year, then 3 percent a year is an <u>economic</u> gain; 7 percent is financial.

5. Accordingly, the Principles and Standards (p. 85; Federal Register, 10 September 1973, p. 24821) permits accounting for <u>relative</u> price changes over time. However, the P&S generally discourage such projections and this is echoed in ER 1105-2-921, p. 9 ("Price levels will be these current at the time of the study . . . ").

1/ As you know, I prefer to take inflation out of the discount rate, not put it in future prices.

210 f

6. The reason we have been reluctant to project relative prices is that it is difficult to accomplish in a creditible manner.

; "**:**:

語言を言われたときの

1

7. However, it may be time to reassess our reluctance in at least three areas:

a. <u>Construction costs</u>. Construction costs have been increasing, according to EMR, at a faster rate than general inflation (e.g., GNP deflator). This implies it is better to build cooner than later by the rate of ENR minus the rate of general inflation.

(1) CAVEAT: The other side of the sword is that us should increase our costs by the rate of relative price change to project year one.

b. <u>Encrey conta</u>. The fuel costs for alternatives to hydropower may continue to rise relative to general inflation. In addition the Federal energy agencies have been projecting prices. Therefore, creditible chifts in prices may be obtainable. CAUTION: Pumped storage projects may suffer if fuel costs for pumping energy are permitted to rise.

c. <u>Unique EQ</u>. One source of relative price shifts is scarcity (or uniqueness) for which there is no substitute. Significant historical sites, wild rivers, etc. are unique and likely to become scarce. One of the problems in increasing the price of unique EQ is, of course, the lack of ability to obtain a current price to increase from.

8. <u>Role of IMR</u>. The basic role of IMR should be to determine whether or not we can project relative prices with credibility and confidence. A clear discussion of the available date is crucial. I have discussed this matter with A. J. Frederick and George Antle and we should be receiving a proposal from them shortly.

9. <u>Presentaquoddy</u>. It is impossible to tell how much of the life cycle analysis reflects general inflation versus relative price chifts in the cost of fuel for alternative modes. There are three possible reasons for the projected increase in alternative costs:

a. Relative price shifts in fuel inputs. This is a valid economic of consideration.

b. Increases in construction costs of alternative plants due to inflation. This is valid according to most acconomicts only insofar as construction inflation exceeds general inflation.

c. Impact of general inflation. This is not valid economically; it is merely financial.

At a minimum, the field should break the reasons for the increases out according to a-c above.

11. In summary, Passamaquoddy should be redone to differentiate relative price shifts from general inflation.

SECTION IV STUDY EFFORT ALLOCATION AND SCOPE OF STUDY

SECTION IV

たちちちたちものとうとう

STUDY EFFORT ALLOCATION

AND

SCOPE OF STUDY

SECTION IV

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. General

からいなど

語なるないたちになるないないできょうないないできょうできょうできょうできょうできょうかいのできょうかった

- B. Overall Study Program
- C. Study Sequence
- D. Planning Process
 - 1. Preparation of Plan of Study and Reconnaissance Report (Stage I)
 - 2. Development of Intermediate Plans (Stage II)
 - 3. Development of Detailed Plans (Stage III)
- E. Functional Planning Tasks
- F. Study Schedule
- G. Intensive Management
- H. Development of Plan of Study and Reconnaissance Report
- I. Formulation Assessment and Evaluation of Tidal Power Alternatives Development of Intermediate Plans

-...

- 1. System of Accounts
- 2. Range of Alternatives to be considered
- 3. Other Evaluation Considerations
- 4. Alternative Future Scenarios
- 5. Plans identified during the evaluation
- J. Development of Final Plans
- K. Summary of Study Tasks and Estimated Costs
- L. Description of Study Tasks
- M. Description of Reports
- N. Study Management, Supervision and Administration
- 0. Breakdown of Study Costs

IV. STUDY EFFORT ALLOCATION AND SCOPE OF STUDY

A. General

This section will present the desired sequence for carrying out the overall study, the planning process to be followed, the major work items, project schedule, interaction tasks and assignments, breakdown of study costs, how the report is to be prepared and the report format.

B. Overall Study Program

The overall study program is a large undertaking which involves many man-hours of effort by private consultants, agencies at many levels and others. The General Outlines of Study Program provides a brief description of the major study items to be accomplished and will relate to the assignments of work tasks given to the study participants. In turn, the Study Schedule will indicate the timeframe that the tasks are to be accomplished during the 4 year study period. Coordination by the Study Management Team will be of utmost importance so that all phases are performed in a timely and proper sequence.

The intent of the Plan of Study is to be a flexible document and guide, however, it may be necessary to make changes during the course of the study to add study items, revise input due to funding limitations, etc.

In view that the project is in a feasibility investigative study stage, it is not planned to prepare any physical models of the project for providing or validating various assumptions or conditions at this time. Such work will have to rely on computer and mathematical models which are available to accomplish predictive work and other data required.

C. Study Sequence

and the second state of the se

It is estimated that the overall study will take about 4 years to complete. The study will be accomplished in a progressive manner using a multi-objective planning framework, which basically contains the following three stages:

| STAGE | DESCRIPTION | PERIOD |
|--------|--|--------------|
| τ | Preparation of Plan of Study and Reconnaissance Report | 8 months |
| II | Development of Intermediate Plan(s) | 27 months |
| III | Development of Final Plans | 15 months |
| At the | end of each stage there will be a revi | ew period in |

which

necessary changes will be made and the next stage will be discussed.

D. Planning Process

The study will be accomplished in accordance with the guidelines contained in the Water Resource Council's "Principles and Standards for Planning Water and Related Land Resources" contained in Federal Register Volume 38, Number 174, Part III, dated 10 September 1973; pursuant to Section 103 of the Water Resources Planning Act, Public Law 89-80.

Three separate planning stages are involved in developing a planning report for determining the feasibility of water resource projects. These stages in sequence of accomplishment are as follows:

 Preparation of Plan of Study (POS) and Reconnaissance Report (Stage I) The initial planning stage defines the scope and character of the study and provides a guide to subsequent planning. Identification of issues related to resource management in the study area is emphasized. The planner defines broad planning objectives, formulates possible alternative measures for achieving the objectives and effects a tentative impact assessment and evaluation. The level of detail is general and the planning tasks draw upon a broad data base which may be more qualitative than quantitative. The products of this stage are the Plan of Study, which sets forth in general terms the study scope and management actions necessary to implement the study purposes, and a reconnaissance study which describes baseline conditions in a general way.

2. Development of Intermediate Plans (Stage II)

The intermediate stage is characterized by developing a broad range of alternatives to achieve the planning objectives without concentrating on detailed engineering or design considerations. Potential impacts of these alternative plans will be assessed and evaluated, concentrating on their significant consequences. Data should be sufficient to set forth and analyze alternative concepts of resource management options available in the study area.

3. Development of Detailed Plans (Stage III)

During the final stage, alternalive, are modified and reduced in number to produce an array of feasible plans for potential recommendation. Detailed design, assessment and evaluation necessitate specific data and well-defined study assumptions. The plans must be sufficiently detailed to facilitate effective choices and plan implementation. The basis for the technical and constitutional measures selected to accomplish resource management will be sited. A means of implementing and managing the alternatives will be specified.

Pending a justified project, the Survey Scope Study under Stage III will result in identification of a single tidal power plan (described in sufficient detail properly evaluated and coordinated through an open planning program) which can be recommended by the Division Engineer to the Chief of Engineers and the Congress of the United States for further authorization.

E. Functional Planning Tasks

There are four functional planning tasks -- problem identification, formulation of alternatives, impact assessment, and evaulation. Each task encompasses a number of specific planning activities and requires full integration of all activities. Each activity reflects the results of previous planning. While emphasis may be on a particular activity at a given point in the planning process, successful accomplishment of a planning study requires that all four tasks be addressed at each stage. The four functional planning tasks are described below:

- 1. Problem Identification
 - Identify public concerns
 - Analyze resource management concerns
 - Define the study area
 - Describe the base condition and dominant factors
 - Project future conditions

- 2. Formulation of Alternatives (Alternative plans are formulated to address planning objectives)
 - Identify management measures (technical and institutional)
 - Categorize applicable measures (to non-structural)
 - Develop plans
 - Consider plans proposed by others
- 3. Impact Effect Assessment (Identifies and measures)
 - Categorize sources of impacts
 - Identify and trace impacts
 - Specify incidence of impacts
 - Measure impacts
- 4. Evaulation (Trade-off process and ranking)
 - Categorize impacts (Adverse and Beneficial and conseguences)
 - Determine National Economic Development (NED) and Environmental Quality (EQ) plans
 - Determine Federal Interest
 - Application of other evaluation criteria (i.e., Benefit/ Cost Rates, acceptability, effectiveness)
 - Trade-off analysis (perception of affected groups)
 - Determine basis for next reiteration

During the course of the study, the public will be invited to participate in the planning activities through <u>Public Involvement Program</u>.

In addition, <u>analysis of institutions</u> such as various levels of government, tax structures, and attitudes toward financial obligations will be made to help assure the feasibility of plans. This analysis will be carried out concurrently with other engineering and environmental tasks during the planning period.

See Figure 4, Section II for Planning Process Chart.

F. Study Schedule

יישטירים מנוינים מיווינים מנוינים אישטירים אישטירים אישטירים או אישטירים אישטירים אישטירים משיק אישטירים אישטיר מנוינים מנוינים אישטירים אישטירים אישטירים אישטירים אישטירים אישטירים אישטירים אישטירים משיק אישטירים אישטירים א The study schedule is shown on Fig. No. 14 and reflects the most realistic timing and sequence of events prescribed at this time.

In addition, Fig. 15 shows the Intensive Management schedule of special steps (milestones and checkpoints) to be taken during the course of the study.

The study is based upon anticipated annual funding as shown on Figure No. 17.

The study schedule provides for agency review periods after each stage of completion.

If during the course of the study various items of work are added, the addition and change in time will be reflected in the schedule and distributed to interested agencies and participants.

G. Intensive Management

As part of the Corps of Engineers Intensive Management Program to assure timely progress and reporting on projects, the following Table indicates the "milestone" schedule of dates when specific important events and "checkpoint" conferences are to occur for the tidal power study.

To aid in the visualization of the overall work program with respect to the time schedule, refer to Fig. 15. This time grid indicates when each work item will commence and the interrelationships involved between work items. It is of major importance that this schedule be followed to ensure a timely completion of the study.

H. Development of a Plan of Study and Reconnaissance Report

This document is the combined Plan of Study and Reconnaissance Report for the Cobscook Bay Tidal Power Study. It will serve as a guide

| CALENDAR YEAR 1978 1978 1978 1978 1978 1978 1979 1979 1979 1979 1979 1979 1979 1979 1979 1979 1979 1979 1979 1978 </th <th>-</th> <th>ITEMS</th> <th>0</th> <th>N</th> <th>D</th> <th>J</th> <th>F</th> <th>Μ</th> <th>A</th> <th>Μ</th> <th>J</th> <th>J</th> <th>Α</th> <th>S</th> <th>0</th> <th>N</th> <th>D</th> <th>J</th> <th>F</th> <th>Μ</th> <th>Α</th> <th>Μ</th> <th>J</th> <th>J</th> <th>A</th> <th>S</th> | - | ITEMS | 0 | N | D | J | F | Μ | A | Μ | J | J | Α | S | 0 | N | D | J | F | Μ | Α | Μ | J | J | A | S |
|---|----------|--|-----------------------------|------|-----|-----------|-----------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|-------------|---------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| FISCAL YEAR FY 78 MILESTONES 01 NO. TASK ITEM Problem Identification STAGE 1 - (Preparation of Reconnel 1) Puble Path Program 6 3 Problem Identification 6 4 Dev. Goals & Objectives 1 5 Formulate a Plan of Study | | CALENDAR YEAR | - 19 | 77 - | -> | | | | | | - 1 | 978 | | | - | | | - | | | | | | 1979 | - i | |
| MILE STONES P NO. TASK ITEM STAGE I - (Preparation of Reconnal Prep. Recon. Report 2 Public Part. Program 3 Problem Identification 4 Dev. Goals & Objectives 5 Formulae Plan of Study 6 Study Management 7 Supervision & Admin. 9 Review Pnor Tidal Reports 9 Review Pnor Tidal Reports 9 Review Pnor Tidal Reports 10 Dev. Background Data 2 Accomplish New Studies 11 Public Pant Program 12 Accomplish New Studies 13 Develop. Facture Projectons 14 Et. Criteria & Asamptions 15 form. Plans for Prover Project 16 form. Plans for Prover Project 17 Accomplish Marks Study 18 Instrumenter 19 Reflext & Ing Asamptions 19 Replext & Ing Asamptions 19 Replext & Ing Asamptions 14 Et. Criteria & Asamptions 15 form. Plans for Prover Project | | FISCAL YEAR | | | | <u> </u> | | FY | 78 - ¦ | | | | | > | 4 | | | <u> </u> | | τ F | 7 7 ? | | | | | |
| NO. TASK ITEM STAGE I - (Preparation of Reconnel 1 1 Prop. Recon. Report Image: Stage I - (Preparation of Reconnel 1 2 Public Part Program Image: Stage I - (Preparation of Reconnel 1 4 Dev Gods & Dijectores Image: Stage I - (Preparation of Reconnel 1 5 Formulate a Plan of Study Image: Stage I - (Development of Study 1 6 Study Management Image: Stage I - (Development of Reconnel 1 7 Supervision & Admin Image: Stage I - (Development of Reconnel 1 8 Review Port Tidal Reports Image: Stage I - (Development of Reconnel 1 9 Review Char Reports Image: Stage I - (Development of Reconnel 1 10 Deve Background Data Image: Stage I - (Development of Reconnel 1 11 Public Part Program Image: Stage I - (Development of Reconnel 1 12 Accomplish New Studies Image: Stude 1 13 Develop Atthe Project Image: Stude 1 14 Est Criteria & Assumptions Image: Stude 1 15 Form. Plans for Prover Project Image: Stude 1 16 Form. Plans for Prover Project Image: Stude 1 17 Accomplish New Stude 1 | _ | MILESTONES | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Prep. Reon. Report 2 Public Part. Program 3 Problem Identification 4 Dex. Goals & Objectives 5 formulate a Plan of Study 6 Study Management 7 Supervision & Admin. 9 Review Pnor Tidal Reports 9 Review Other Reports 10 Dev. Background Data 11 Public Part. Program 12 Accomplish New Studies 13 Develop. Funce Projections 14 Ext. Criteria & Assumptions 15 Form. Plans for Transmission 16 Form. Plans for Transmission 17 Nuestigate Benetos 19 Prog. Exa. Int Program 19 Prog. Exa. Int Program 10 Program Stor Program 12 Accomplish Market Study 13 Investigate Benetos 14 Ext. Criteria & Assumptions 15 Form. Plans for Transmission 16 Form Plans for Transmission 17 Program 18 Investigate Benetos 1 | NO. | TASK ITEM | | | | | | | | | | | | | S٦ | A | E | I - (F | Pre | par | atı | on | of | Re | cor | ina |
| 2 Public Part Program 3 Problem Identification 4 Dev. Goals & Objectives 5 formulate a Plan of Study 6 Study Management 7 Supervision & Admin. 8 Review Prior Tidal Reports 9 Review Other Reports 10 Dev. Background Data 11 Public Part. Program 12 Accomplich New Studies 13 Device, Future Projections 14 Est. Criteria & Assumptions 15 Form. Plans for Transmission 16 Form. Plans for Transmission 17 Accomplish Market Study 18 Investigate Benefits 19 Program 24 Sugervision & Admin 23 Study Management 23 Study Management 24 Sugervision & Admin 27 Program 28 Sugervision & Admin 29 Program 21 Program 22 Study Management 23 Study Management 24 <td>1</td> <td>Prep. Recon. Report</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td></td> <td>i </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | 1 | Prep. Recon. Report | | | | | | | + | | i | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 Problem Identification 4 Dev. Goals & Objectives 5 Formulate a Plan of Study 6 Study Management 7 Supervision & Admin. 7 Review Other Reports 8 Review Other Reports 9 Review Other Reports 10 Deve Background Data 11 Public Part. Program 12 Accomplish New Sudies 13 Develop. Future Projections 14 Est Criteria & Assumptions 15 Form. Plans for Transmission 16 Form. Plans for Transmission 17 Accomplish Market Study 18 Investigate Beeltis 19 Proge Effects A tro Assmit for Transmession 21 Propare Stage II Report | 2 | Public Part. Program | | | | | - | ļ | • | A | | 8 | | | | + <u> </u> | | | | | I | | | | | |
| 4 Dev. Goals & Objectives 5 Formulate a Plan of Study 6 Study Management 7 Supervision & Admin. 9 Review Prior Tidal Reports 9 Review Other Reports 10 Dev. Background Data 11 Public Part. Program 12 Accomplish New Studies 13 Device, Enue Projections 14 Est. Criteria & Assumptions 15 Form. Plans for Transmisson 16 Form. Plans for Transmisson 17 Accomplish Market Study 18 Investigate Benefits 19 Proget Evaluation 19 Proget Evaluation 10 Proget Evaluation 11 Public Part Program 12 Proget Evaluation 13 Brow Staget Benefits 14 Externation 15 Form. Plans for Prower Project 16 How Staget Benefits 19 Prog Effects & Inp Asom for Transmesson 12 Proget Evaluation 13 Study Management 14 | 3 | Problem Identification | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | • - | ļ | Ţ | | | 1 | | 1- | - | | - | | | † |
| 5 Formulate a Plan of Study 6 Study Management 7 Supervision & Admin. 7 Supervision & Admin. 7 Study Management 8 Review Prior Tidal Reports 9 Review Other Reports 10 Dev. Background Data 11 Public Part. Program 12 Accomplish New Studies 13 Develop, Funce Projections 14 Est. Criteria & Assumptions 15 Form. Plans for Transmission 16 Form. Plans for Power Project 17 Accomplish Market Study 18 Investigate Benefits 19 Investigate Benefits 19 Investigate Benefits 19 Proget Exaluation 22 Proget Stap Asomn for Transmission 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin 25 Public Part Program 26 Form Final Transmoson Plans 27 Form Final Transmoson Plans 28 Pupare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Ew Impact Statement | 4 | Dev. Goals & Objectives | | | | | | | 1 | | | | • | 1 - | • | | | | | | - | • • | t I | | | - |
| 6 Study Management 7 Supervision & Admin. STAGE II - (Development of 8 Review Proor Tidal Reports 9 Review Other Reports 9 Review Other Reports 10 Dev. Background Data 11 Public Part. Program 12 Accomplish New Studies 13 Develop. Future Projections 14 Est. Criteria & Assumptions 15 Form. Plans for Power Project 17 Accomplish Market Study 18 Investigate Banelits 19 Rep Effects & trip. Assima five. Rep. 20 Rep Effects & trip. Assima five. Rep. 21 Project Evaluation 22 Project Evaluation 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin 55 Form. Final Transmission Plans 26 Form. Final Transmission Plans 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Propare Drait. Euro Impact Statement 29 Prepare Drait. Statement 20 Prepare Final Stage III Report 29 Prepare Drait. Statement 20 Prepare Final Stage III Report 20 Prepare Final Stage III Report 20 Prepare Final Stage III Report 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin | 5 | Formulate a Plan of Study | 1 | | - | \square | | | | | | [| | | - | | | | | | ľ | | l | | ĺ | 1 |
| 7 Supervision & Admin. STAGE II - (Development of a second s | 6 | Study Management | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | 1 | | | [] | ļ | İ | 1 |
| STAGE II - (Development of light Reports 9 Review Prior Tidal Reports 9 Review Other Reports 10 Dev. Background Data 11 Public Part. Program 12 Accomplish New Studies 13 Develop, Futue Projections 14 Est. Criteria & Assumptions 15 Form. Plans for Power Project 16 Form. Plans for Power Project 17 Accomplish Market Study 18 Investigate Benefits 19 Pap Effects & trip Assmit for Transmission 12 Progent Evaluation 12 Progent Evaluation 12 Progent Evaluation 12 Progent Evaluation 13 Bruch Part Program 14 Study Management 15 Form. Final Transmission Plans 16 Form. Final Transmission Plans 17 Progene Draft Stage III Report 18 Investigate Imagement 19 Pup Effects & transmission Plans 10 Public Part Program 12 Study Management 13 Pupeare Dra | 7 | Supervision & Admın. | | | | | | | | + | | | | | | | | | T | | | | | | | 1 |
| 8 Review Prior Tidal Reports 9 Review Other Reports 10 Dev. Background Data 11 Public Part. Program 12 Accomplish New Studies 13 Develop, Future Projections 14 Ext. Criteria & Assumptions 15 Form. Plans for Prosentions 16 form. Plans for Prover Project 17 Accomplish Market Study 18 Investigate Benefitis 19 Pop Effects & tip: Assimit for Transmoson 20 Pop Effects & tip: Assimit for Transmoson 21 Project Evaluation 22 Project Evaluation 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin 25 Form. Final Transmission Plans 26 Form Final Transmission Plans 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement 30 Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement 31 Prepare Final Stage III Report 23 Study Management 29 Prepare Final Stage III Report 21 Form. Final Transmission | | | . | • | | - | | | **** | | <u></u> | | . | _ | <u></u> | | S | TA | GE | 11 | - (1 | Dev | /elc | pm | ien | tc |
| 9 Review Other Reports 10 Dev. Background Data 11 Public Part. Program 12 Accomplish New Studies 13 Develop, Funce Projections 14 Est. Criteria & Assumptions 15 Form. Plans for Transmission 16 Form. Plans for Transmission 17 Accomplish Market Study 18 Investigate Benefits 19 Pap Effects & Inp Asmit for Transmission 20 Pap Effects & Inp Asmit for Transmission 21 Project Evaluation 22 Prepare Stage II Report 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin STAGE III (Developin 25 Public Part Program 26 Form. Final Transmission Plans 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Traft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Final Stage III Report 20 Prepare Final Stage III Report | 8 | Review Prior Tidal Reports | Ι | Ι | T | 1 | | 1 1 | - | T | | | | | | ļ | <u> </u> | | I | <u> </u> | Ţ | | | | Γ | Γ |
| 10 Dev. Background Data 11 Public Part. Program 12 Accomplish New Studies 13 Develop. Future Projections 14 Est. Criteria & Assumptions 15 Form. Plans for Transmission 16 Form. Plans for Power Project 17 Accomplish Market Study 18 Investigate Benefits 19 Prg. Effacts & trip. Assimit Par. Proj. 20 Prg. Effacts & trip. Assimit for Transmesson 21 Project Evaluation 22 Prepare Stage II Repont 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin 25 Propert Dratt Evaluation 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Dratt Stage III Report 29 Prepare Dratt Stage III Report 29 Prepare Transmission Plans 20 Prepare Transmission Plans 21 Form. Final Transmission Plans 22 Prepare Dratt Eriv Impact Statement 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin | 9 | Review Other Reports | | 1 | | 1 | •••••• | ; ∣ | 1 | | | | † - | ŀ | ┣ | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | • | † | • • | • | - | t- | ţ. | |
| 11 Public Part. Program 12 Accomplish New Studies 13 Develop. Future Projections 14 Est. Criteria & Assumptions 15 Form. Plans for Transmission 16 Form. Plans for Power Project 17 Accomplish Market Study 18 Investugate Benefits 19 Prep Effects & Inp. Assmrt for Transmesson 21 Project Evaluation 22 Project Evaluation 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin 25 Program 26 Form. Final Transmission Plans 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Eriv Impact Statement 29 Prepare Einal Stage III Report 29 Prepare Final Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Eriv Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Stage III Report 29 Prepare Final Stage III Report 20 Prepare Final St | 10 | Dev. Background Data | | | - | | 1 | <u> </u> | | + | | ļ | | - | | | • •• | † | † | † | † † | | + · + | • | † - | 1 |
| 12 Accomplish New Studies 13 Develop, Future Projections 14 Est. Criteria & Assumptions 15 Form. Plans for Transmission 16 Form. Plans for Power Project 17 Accomplish Market Study 18 Investigate Benefits 19 Prep Effects & Imp Assmit Pow, Poy, 20 Prep Effects & Imp Assmit Pow, Poy, 21 Project Evaluation 22 Prepare Stage II Report 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin 25 Public Part Program 26 Form. Final Transmission Plans 27 Form. Final Power Plans 28 Prepare Draft Env. Impact Statement 29 Prepare Draft Env. Impact Statement 29 Prepare Draft Env. Impact Statement 20 Prepare Final Stage III Report 29 Prepare Final Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Env. Impact Statement 20 Prepare Final Stage III Report 29 Prepare Final Stage III Report 20 Prepare Final Stage III Report 21 <td>11</td> <td>Public Part. Program</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.</td> <td></td> <td>∔ </td> <td>f</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ļ</td> <td>∮- +</td> <td><u> </u></td> <td>•</td> <td><u> </u></td> <td></td> <td>-+ </td> <td>Á</td> <td>•</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>Ţ</td> | 11 | Public Part. Program | | 1 | | 1 | | | 1. | | ∔ | f | | | ļ | ∮- + | <u> </u> | • | <u> </u> | | -+ | Á | • | 1 | 1 | Ţ |
| 13 Develop. Future Projections 14 Est. Criteria & Assumptions 15 Form. Plans for Transmission 16 Form. Plans for Power Project 17 Accomplish Market Study 18 Investigate Benefits 19 Prap. Effects & Imp. Assmit. Fwr. Proj. 20 Prap. Effects & Imp. Assmit. Fwr. Proj. 21 Project Evaluation 22 Prepare Stage II Report 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin 25 Public Part Program 26 Form. Final Transmission Plans 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Env. Impact Statement 29 Prepare Draft Env. Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Stage III Report 23 Study Management 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin | 12 | Accomplish New Studies | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | | *- • - - | 1 | | 1 | | | | + | † | | | | • | ! | ! | + |
| 14 Est. Criteria & Assumptions 15 Form. Plans for Transmission 16 Form. Plans for Power Project 17 Accomplish Market Study 18 Investigate Benefits 19 Prep Effects & Imp Assmit for Transmission 20 Prep Effects & Imp Assmit for Transmission 21 Project Evaluation 22 Prepare Stage II Report 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin 25 Public Part Program 26 Form. Final Transmission Plans 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Stage III Report 31 Suby Management 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Edmin 25 Public Part Program 26 Form. Final Transmission Plans 29 Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Stage III Report 31 Supervision & Admin | 13 | Develop. Future Projections | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | | • | 1 | ſ | † | + | | | † | İ | - | 1 |
| 15 Form. Plans for Transmission Image: stage of the stage of | 14 | Est. Criteria & Assumptions | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | + · | + | | + | + | ÷ | | | 1 | t- | 1 |
| 16 Form. Plans for Power Project 17 Accomplish Market Study 18 Investigate Benefits 19 Prep Effects & Imp. Assimit. Prv. Prq, 20 Prep Effects & Imp. Assimit. Prv. Prq, 21 Project Evaluation 22 Prepare Stage II Report 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin 25 Public Part Program 26 Form. Final Transmission Plans 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Trinal Stage III Report 20 Prepare Final Stage III Report 21 Orn. Final Transmission Plans 22 Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 31 Supervision & Admin | 15 | Form. Plans for Transmission | — | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | <u>†</u> | † | 1 | - | - | | | 1 | _ | | + | + | — | | Ŧ |
| 17 Accomplish Market Study 18 Investigate Benefits 19 Prep Effects & Imp. Assmit. for. Traismession 20 Prep Effects & Imp. Assmit. for. Traismession 21 Project Evaluation 22 Prepare Stage II Report 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin 25 Public Part. Program 26 Form. Final Transmission Plans 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft. Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft. Stage III Report 29 Prepare Timal Stage III Report 29 Prepare Timal Stage III Report 29 Prepare Final Stage III Report 20 Prepare Final Stage III Report 21 Study Management 22 Study Management 31 Supervision & Admin | 16 | Form. Plans for Power Project | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | + | | | | † | + | | •• | | + | | + | + | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | + |
| 18 Investigate Benefits 19 Prep Effects & Imp. Assmit. for. Transmission 20 Prep Effects & Imp. Assmit. for. Transmission 21 Project Evaluation 22 Prepare Stage II Report 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin 25 Public Part Program 26 Form. Final Transmission Plans 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft. Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft. Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft. Stage III Report 29 Prepare Final Stage III Report 20 Prepare Final Stage III Report 21 Prepare Final Stage III Report 22 Form. Final Transmission Plans 29 Prepare Draft. Env. Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Env. Impact Statement 31 Supervision & Admin | 17 | Accomplish Market Study | 1 | | 1 | | + | | 1 | | 1 - | 1 | 1 | 1 | ĺ | t | f | | † † | • • • • •• | | ļ | + | <u> </u> | - † - - + - | + |
| 19 Prep Effects & Inp. Assmit. Pwr. Proj. 20 Prep Effects & Inp. Assmit. for Transmission 21 Project Evaluation 22 Prepare Stage II Report 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin STAGE III - (Developin STAGE III - (Developin 25 Public Part Program 26 Form. Final Power Plans 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Final Stage III Report 30 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 31 Supervision & Admin | 18 | Investigate Benefits | 1 | | | | - | | | | - | | | 1 | I | • | 1 | Î | I | I | • | • | ; , | ÷ | | +- |
| 20 Prep Effects & Imp Assmit for Transmission 21 Project Evaluation 22 Prepare Stage II Report 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin 25 Public Part Program 26 Form Final Power Plans 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Stage III Report 31 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 32 Study Management 33 Supervision & Admin | 19 | Prep Effects & Imp. Assmnt. Pwr. Proj. | | | | | - | 1 | | 1 | 1- | 1 | İ | • • | 1 | • | | ţ | • 1 | | + - | • | • Januaria | <u>+</u> | + | |
| 21 Project Evaluation 22 Prepare Stage II Report 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin STAGE III - (Developn 25 Public Part Program 26 Form Final Power Plans 27 Form Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Stage III Report 31 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 32 Study Management 33 Supervision & Admin | 20 | Prep Effects & Imp Assmnt for Transmission | 1 | - | 1 | Ì | 1 1 | 1 | İ | ţ | • | | İ | | | • | + | • - | ţ | | | + | <u> </u> | | ÷ | + |
| 22 Prepare Stage II Report 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin STAGE III - (Developin 25 Public Part Program 26 Form Final Power Plans 27 Form Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Final Stage III Report 20 Prepare Final Stage III Report 23 Study Management 30 Prepare Final Stage III Report 31 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 32 Study Management 33 Supervision & Admin | 21 | Project Evaluation | - | - | Ť | İ | • | 1 | 1 | | | | ţ | 1 | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | | ł | İ | 1 | 1 | Ì | |
| 23 Study Management 24 Supervision & Admin STAGE III - (Developn 25 Public Part Program 26 Form Final Power Plans 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Stage III Report 31 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 32 Study Management 33 Supervision & Admin | 22 | Prepare Stage II Report | ţ | ţ | 1 | 1 | t I | t | t | t | t | ţ | i | 1 | İ | İ | 1 | ţ | Ì | İ | ł | 1 | | | 1 | ł |
| 24 Supervision & Admin STAGE III - (Developin 25 Public Part Program 26 Form Final Power Plans 27 Form Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 31 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 32 Study Management 33 Supervision & Admin | 23 | Study Management | 7 | İ | ţ | | + | • | ł | | 1 | 1 | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | ļ | 4 | ↓ -∔ | | _ | ļ | ∲ -∔ | <u> </u> | _ | 1 |
| 25 Public Part Program 26 Form Final Power Plans 27 Form Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Stage III Report 31 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 32 Study Management 33 Supervision & Admin | 24 | Supervision & Admin | • | + | | | -+- | • | - | + | + | _ | | | + | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | ļ | | | ∔ | ! | | _ | ∔ | + |
| 25 Public Part Program 26 Form Final Power Plans 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Stage III Report 31 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 32 Study Management 33 Supervision & Admin | | | _ <u>_</u> | | -+ | | | | | | | <u> </u> | J | ··· | - <u>L_</u> , | | J., | . i | ST | AG | E I | 1 · | (D | eve | elo | pn |
| 26 Form Final Power Plans 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Stage III Report 31 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 32 Study Management 33 Supervision & Admin | 25 | Public Part Program | | Τ | | Τ | 1 | 1 | <u> </u> | | 1 | | ľ | Τ | Τ | T | Ţ | T | T | T | T | T | Ť | T | Τ | T |
| 27 Form. Final Transmission Plans 28 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Stage III Report 31 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 32 Study Management 33 Supervision & Admin | 26 | Form Final Power Plans | • | • | + - | 1 | • | Ţ | | 1 | Ţ | ŧ | ł | s | + Y M | + BO | ¦ LS | 1 | ł | • | • | | † | ł | 1 | 1 |
| 28 Prepare Draft Stage III Report 29 Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Stage III Report 31 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 32 Study Management 33 Supervision & Admin | 27 | Form, Final Transmission Plans | | - | 1- | + | | + | - | -+ | • | • | | NEWS LETTER | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| 29 Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement 30 Prepare Final Stage III Report 31 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 32 Study Management 33 Supervision & Admin | 28 | Prepare Draft Stage III Report | | İ | Ì | 1 | • | 1 | | 1 | 1 | • | WORKSHOP | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 Prepare Final Stage III Report 31 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 32 Study Management 33 Supervision & Admin | 29 | Prepare Draft Env Impact Statement | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 Prepare Final Env Impact Statement 32 Study Management 33 Supervision & Admin | 30 | Prepare Final Stage III Report | - † 1 | | | † | + | Ť | + | t | • | • | • | + C 0 | NDU | + ICT | AC | tivi | ťγ | • | , | + | | ÷ | ł | ţ |
| 32 Study Management 33 Supervision & Admin | 31 | Prepare Final Env Impact Statement | U'3 CHĖCK POINTS/MILESTONES | | | | | | | | | | | | - + | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 33 Supervision & Admin | 32 | Study Management | • | - | 1 | 1 | ÷ | ł | 1 | 1 | Ì | • | | RE | , VIEN | Ň P | Erh | öds | 1 | • | • | , | • | • | • | ÷ |
| | 33 | Supervision & Admin | ţ | 1 | ţ | | • | t | 1 | 1 | 1 | ; | ÷ | t | Ţ | Ŧ | 7 | 1 | , | • | • | | • | ٠ | • | • |

STUDY SCHEDULE TIDAL POWER STL

| | Μ | J | J | A | S | 0 | N | D | J | F | М | Α | М | J | J | A | s | 0 | Ν | D | J | F | M | Α | M | J | J | A | S | 0 | N | D | J | F |
|----|-----------|------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|----------|----------------------|-------------|---------------|----------|---------|--------------|----------|----------|------------|------------|----------|---------------|-----------|----------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------------|---|----------|---------------|------------|
| | | | 197 | 9 | | | | | | | | | - 1 | 980 | | | | | | | - | | | - 1 | 981 | - | _ | | | | | | | 19 |
| | | | | | | ◄ | | | | F | Y 8 | 0 - | | | | | | • | 3 0 | 4 | | FY 5 | 81 | | | | ۱ | 6 0 | | <mark> ⊲</mark> ∩ 8 ∩ | + | - F) | 82 | ! - |
| | | | <u> </u> | l | | | | | | L | | L., | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | • | <u> </u> | | | |
| | <u>on</u> | of | Re | con | nai | ISSE | anc | e h | iep | ort | an | dł | | | | tud | y) | | | Y | | | | | — | | | | - | , | <u>, </u> | | | r |
| | | | | | | | | * | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | - | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| | •••• | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | - | - + | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | • | ł | • | + - | | | ~ | - | | | | | | . | | • - | | | | | † |
| | | | ł | | - | - | - | | | | | • | ł | ; ; | | | ł | | | | | | | - | | | | | + | + | | | | |
| | | | - | - | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | + | | | | |
| | | - | + | | | | | | ł | | | - | | | | j . | ł | | | - | | | | | | | | | 4 | | + | <u> </u> | | - |
| נ |) ev | l velo |) pn | i ien | t of | l F In | ter | me | dia | te | Pia | ns. |) | L | | I | L | I | | | |] |] | | | L | l | l | 1 | <u></u> | L | L | | L |
| 1 | | | Ť. | | [<u></u> | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | T | T | | | Γ |
| | | | • | | - | | | - | | | | - | | ļ | | - | | | | | | | | | | | - | • | | - | - - | - | | Ţ |
| - | | | • | | | | | | \$ <i>-</i> | | | | | • | • • | + | • | •• • | | | | | | | + | | | • - • | -• | | | • - | • ~ • • | • |
| | | • | + | + | | | | | • | | • | | | <u>+</u> | • | | | | | | | | | | • | | | •- ·· | • | 1 | • | • | • | • |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | + | •• | | •••••• |
| | | | - | | | | | | | | } | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | | <u>.</u> |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | - |
| | | | | ļ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | • | | ! | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | ĺ | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | ļ | | • | | , | | | + | | |
| - | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | _ | | | | - | | | | | | ¦ ∔ | ļ | | • | 1 + | | | | ļ | | 1 |
| - | L | | <u> </u> | + | | | | ļ | | | | | | | + | • | | | | | | | | | ļ | | • | ; | | | - | | | ┥ |
| _ | | | | 1 | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | + | | | + | | (<u></u> | | | | • .~ | | | | | _ | • | - - | | | | |
| - | • | | | + | | ļ | | | | | | | <u> </u> | † | | + | - | | | | | | - | | | | - | 1 • | - | <u>_</u> | _ | | | |
| - | | | | - | | _ | | | - | ∔ ∤ | | - 1 | | | | - | + | † | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | - | ł | | | + |
| | | | | ł | 1 | | | | | | | | | † | | <u>+</u> - | | + | 1- | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | • • | | - | | | | |
| - | - | | 1 | + | - | | | - | | | | | | | | + | + | · - | †· | | | • • • • • | | | | | | • | + | + | | ┼── | | ╀ |
| | | | | | | L | | <u> </u> | | | | 1 | 1 | <u> </u> | | Γ., | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |] | L | L | | | | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> | 1 | L | 1 |
| - | | | | 101 | | | | | | P 10 |) | | T | <u> </u> | τ | T | T | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | T | r | | | | <u> </u> | <u>г</u> | | T | T- | | | <u> </u> | r | T |
| | - | 1. | | | | + | + - | ł | | | | 1 | ļ | ł | | | | | - | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | Ì | F | 1 | | | F |
| | | ł | | <u> </u> | | | | - | | + | - | | | 1 | | + - | + | | | 1 | | - | | |] | | | + | + | - | + . | + · | <u> </u> | +- |
| ~~ | - | † - | | + | <u>†</u> | + | - | ł | - | ţ | ł | ŧ | - | + | | | | | | | - | | | ļ | - | - | | | - <u>↓</u> - ┿╴╼ | <u>+</u> | + | + | + | |
| - | | | 1 | | <u>+</u> - | † | + | - | | 4- | 1 | ŧ | ţ | t | l | 1 | ţ | | † - | t | ļ | ļ | ļ | | <u></u> | | <u>↓</u> | | + - | - | 1- | ţ | | t |
| | | † | <u></u> ∳ | ļ | † | + | † | • | + | ∔ | † | 1 | ۲ | • | ł | | - | ţ | 1 | ţ | ļ | | ţ | + | 1 | | + | +- | ţ | + | + | | † | † - |
| | 5 | | · † ~ ~ ~ | | † | | † | • | 1- | † | • | • | • | * | t | | | 1 | | ł | ł | | | + | h - | | + ~ | | - | † | + | ţ | + + | +- |
| | - | † 1 | ; | + · | - | + | + | 1 | 1 | † | 1 | 1 | • | • | 1 | 1 | 1 | † 1 | 1 | • | | | • | • • | | - | + | | + | + - -+ | 1 | | + | Ŧ |
| • | ſ | 1 | • | 1 - | , — 1 | 1 | | Г | 1 - | Ţ | T | Ť | • | 1 | I | | t | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ļ | 1 | <u>.</u> | _ | ۲ سر مر | + | <u> </u> | - j | • • | <u> </u> | 1 | F |

FIGURE NO. 15

Contraction of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second s

TIDAL POWER STUDIES

MILESTONE AND CHECKPOINT SCHEDULE

Intenstive Management Milestone Number Title Proposed Date Stage 1 Planning 17 Oct 1977 01 Study Initiation. Notice of public meeting 02 Approval of Plan of Study (POS) by Division/OCE. Format and content of PCS will be determined by the division engineers pending issuance of an Engineer Regulation. Approval authority given in EC 1105-2-78. 31 Oct 1978 *Includes Reconnaissance Report Stage 2 Planning 03 Submission of Stage 2 Documentation to Division/OCE Documentation presenting results of Stage 2 planning (ER 1105-2-200 series). Format and content of documentatics will be preschibed by the division engineer. 30 Oct 1980 04 Stage 2 Checkpoint Conference. Discussion of issues related to Stage 2 results and future study direction, Meeting held by Division and documented in Memorandum for the Record (MFR) (ER 1105-2-10). 1 Dec 1980 Completion of Action on MFR. Documentation of Check-05 point Conference transmitted to OCE (and division, if prepared by the district) for concurrence and/or resolution of issues discussed during the conference (ER 1105-2-10). 31 Jan 1981 Stage 3 Planning 06 Submission of Draft Survey Report (Including DEIS) to NCE. . Draft of main report and appendixes on final alternatives prior to the Stage 3 public meeting and

prior to coordination of the drafts with the public (ER 1105-2-402, ER 1105-2-403, ER 1105-2-507).

221

31 July 1981

| Milestone Number | Title | Proposed D | ate |
|---------------------|---|-------------|------|
| 07 | Stage 3 Checkpoint Conference. Discussion of Stage 3 issues and adequacy of report for coordination with the public and submitted to BERH. Meeting held by division and documented in MFR (ER 1105-2-10). | 1 Sept 1 | 1981 |
| 08 | Completion of Action on Conference MFR. conference MFR transmitted to OCE (and the division if prepared by the district) for concurrence and/or resolution of issues discussed during the conference (ER 1105- 2-10). | 15 Oct 19 | 981 |
| 09 | Coordination of Draft Survey Report and DEIS. Dis- tribute draft report and DEIS for coordination File report and DEIS with CEQ (ER 1105-2-507). | 31 Oct 19 | 981 |
| 10 | Submission of Final Survey Report and RDEIS Division. District submits final report and RDEIS to division for action (ER 1105-2-10, ER 1105-2-402, ER 1105-2-403) | • 28 Feb 19 | 982 |
| 11 | Release of Division Engineer's Public Notice and Sub- mission of Report to BERH. | 31 Mar 19 | 982 |

.

1

-

~

--

for the rest of the study. This document was prepared by the Study Management Team after public concerns were identified and coordination with other government entities was accomplished. More information regarding the Plan of Study can be found in Section II, B. The reconnaissance report is included as Section III of this document.

- I. Development of Intermediate Plans
 - 1. System of Accounts

「おうないたいないというないないないないないないないないないない」 あいしょう

In the planning process, the formulation and evaluation of alternatives will be based upon the Water Resource Council's (WRC) Principles and Standards and related policies. The System of Accounts (SA) will be utilized which is a display requirement and is integral to the iterative planning process. It is a planning tool which easily presents the various alternatives and compares information. The System of Accounts contains results of evaluation of the significant impacts and will show the most significant adverse or beneficial contributions of each technical alternative. In addition, the System of Accounts will include but not be limited to:

- Description of each system alternative evaluated
- Display of the planning objectives
- Presentation of each system alternatives performance against the specified evaluation criteria
- Indicate timing of project and events
- Geographical incidence (Project site, study area, region, nation)

- Uncertainty of project
- Exclusivity of project
- Actuality of situation

- Contributions toward meeting objectives
- National Economic Development (NED)
- Environmental Quality (EQ)
- Social Well-Being Aspects (SWB)
- Regional Development (RD)
- Alternative Futures and Assumptions
- Reliability
- Public Involvement and Considerations and Acceptability
- Implementation Feasibility
- Financial-Institutional Aspects
- Public Health

In preparing data for the System of Accounts, Corps of Engineers Engineering Regulations, ER 1105-2-105, ER 1105-2-921 and ER 1105-2-240 will be utilized as guidelines.

2. Range of Alternatives to be Considered

Inasmuch as the investigation and study of the potential of tidal power in the Cobscook-Passamaquoddy Bay area is to determine the feasibility of the project to produce electrical power, the nature of the project will require additional specific items to be evaluated which are peculiar to power projects. In addition to power, the tidal power project will provide other benefits such as recreation, area redevelopment and fisheries-mariculture which will be included in the analysis.

Further, tidal power is an "energy oriented" project and in view of this, the system alternatives considered will not be limited to various tidal project configuration of dams and facilities. Other alternative energy producers to satisfy the energy needs in the region will include investigations and comparison of thermal plants, wind, direct solar radiation, utilization of wood, etc. In the evaluation of some of these special energy sources and categories, the Corps of Engineers will not enter any research type program but will evaluate the special alternatives which are reasonable on present known data and on a judgmental basis. The suggested categories for consideration and study at this time are:

Alternatives/Category

• Conventional Unused Hydroelectric All new facil:ties Power Potential (Based on Inventory) (limited to Maine) • Conventional Hydroelectric Power Plants Updating Existing Units • Conventional Hydroelectric Power Flants Additions to Existing Plants • Conventional Hydroelectric Power Plants Low Head Type and Small Scale • Tidal Power Plans Single Pool in Cobscook Bav • Tidal Power Plans Multi-Pool in Cobscook Bay • Fossil Fuel Plants New Facilities • Nuclear Fuel Plants New Facilities • Compressed Air and Storage New Facilities • Pumped Storage New Facilities • Solar Energy Wind • Solar Energy Direct Solar Radiation • Geothermal Energy

Comment

· · · ·

- Utilization of Wood
- Conservation and Insulation Measures
- Load Management

○ また、どうたいしたいためなかかできたがないがあるというながない。あいまであっていたかが、ないないなかが、ないなかかったかなかないかできた。

Alternatives/Category, Cont'd.

- Marketing and Pricing
- Insulation Measures

- Planning and Evaluation Criteria
 - 3. Other Evaluation Considerations

To provide for proper comparative evaluation with various "alternative futures" of the area and region, it is proposed that uniformity of data such as the following is necessary to be included. Other items can readily be added as necessary or which are considered essential at a later date in the study period. Some of the present typical evaluation factors are:

- New Installed Capacity
- Net Annual Generation
- Type of Power (Peaking, Intermediate or Base)
- Earliest On-Line Date
- Structural Features Proposed
- Benefit/Cost Ratio
- Life-Cycle Costing Analysis and Project Optimum Scheduling
- Marketability
- Total New Investment Cost
- Environmental Impacts
- Social Impacts
- Energy Impacts on Region
- Levels of Acceptability
- Water Availability
- Return of Energy Investment

4. Alternative Future Scenarios

To make the evaluation more meaningful, four (4) "alternative futures scenarios" will be developed and considered for the various alternative energy projects to be considered. These different futures are:

- Continuation of Present Trends "without" the project (called the most likely scenario)
- The environmental, social, etc. future which would strongly influence the acceptability of the project (called the Environmental Scenario)
- Possible economic situations which could effect budgeting and/or economic conditions of the region and thus affect the project (i.e., balance of trade problems, recession, etc.) (called the Economic Scenario)
- The energy need situation where an oil embargo or similar situation would force the country to rapidly develop internal energy resources (Energy Scenario)
- 5. Plans Identified During the Evaluation

During the Development of Plans, a plan which produces "the best economic gains from a national point of view" shall be designated the <u>National Economic Development (NED) Plan</u>. The plan which causes the least impact to the environment shall be designated the <u>Environmental Quality (EQ) Plan</u>. All alternative energy concepts/plans investigated shall be included in the report with applicable data thereon. As an end product of the report, all alternative plans will be evaluated from all aspects including acceptability which will result in a <u>Recom-</u> <u>mended Plan</u>.

J. <u>Development of Final Plans</u>

The overall Survey Scope Level C study will develop plans for:

• A tidal power Project in Cobscook Bay

 A transmission line from the project site to the nearest feasible point of connection in the New England distribution system

Reports on the following items will be prepared:

1. Tidal Power Plan

STAN SALES

こうちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう

والمواجعة والمراجعة والمحاجب والمحاجب والمحاجم والمحاج والمحاجبة والمحاجبة والمحاجم والمحاجم والمحاجة والمحاجمة

- 2. Transmission Facilities Plan
- 3. A single Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed tidal power project and transmission line facilities.
- A consolidated Survey Scope report containing the tidal power project transmission facilities and the environmental impact statement.

During the stages of plan development, reiteration of the

alternatives for the project will be necessary to assure feasibility and acceptability of a plan. FIGURE 16

K. Major Study Tasks With Estimated Study Costs

Total Amount

| STAG | E I - Preparation of Reconnaissance Report and Plan of Study | |
|------|---|-----------|
| 1.0 | Prepare Reconnaissance Report | \$200,000 |
| 2.0 | Public Participation Program | 35,000 |
| 3.0 | Problem Identification | 5,000 |
| 4.0 | Development of Goals and Objectives | 5,000 |
| 5.0 | Formulation of a Plan of Study | 50,000 |
| 6.0 | Study Management | 30,000 |
| 7.0 | Supervision and Administration | 30,000 |

Sub-Total: \$355,000

(FIGURE NO. 16 Continued)

Total Amount

STAGE II - Development of Intermediate Plans

| 8.0 | Review Prior Reports on Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project | \$ 5,000 |
|------|---|----------|
| 9.0 | Review Other Tidal Power Publications | 5,000 |
| 10.0 | Develop Background and Baseline Data | 521,000 |
| 11.0 | Public Participation Program | 50,000 |
| 12.0 | Accomplish New Studies and Investigations | 370,000 |
| 13.0 | Develop Future Projections | 27,000 |
| 14.0 | Establish Criteria and Assumptions | 3,000 |
| 15.0 | Formulation of Plans for Transmission Line | 305,000 |
| 16.0 | Formulation of Plans for Power Project | 51,000 |
| 17.0 | Accomplish Electric Power Marketing Study | 20,000 |
| 18.0 | Investigate Project Benefits for Various Plans | 10,000 |
| 19.0 | Prepare Effects Assessments and Impact Assess- ments for Tidal Power Project | 86,000 |
| 20.0 | Prepare Effects Assessments and Impact Assess- ment for the Transmission Lines | 100,000 |
| 21.0 | Project Evaluations | 15,000 |
| 22.0 | Prepare Stage II Report | 48,000 |
| 23.0 | Study Management | 90,000 |
| 24.0 | Supervision and Administration | 90,000 |

<u>Sub-Total</u>: \$1,796,000

(FIGURE NO. 16 Continued)

Total Amount

| STAGE | III - Development of Final Plans | |
|-------|--|-----------|
| 25.0 | Public Participation Program | \$ 50,000 |
| 26.0 | Formulate and Develop Finel Plans for Power Project | 275,000 |
| 27.0 | Formulate and Develop Final Plans for Transmission | 250,000 |
| 28.0 | Prepare Draft Stage III Report on Project | 54,000 |
| 29.0 | Prepare Draft Environmental Impact Statement | 208,000 |
| 30.0 | Prepare Final Stage III Report on Project | 56,000 |
| 31.0 | Prepare Final Environmental Impact Statement | 56,000 |
| 32.0 | Study Management | 90,000 |
| 33.0 | Supervision and Administration | 90,000 |

Sub-Total: \$1,129,000

TOTAL: \$3,280,000

1.4

NOTE: A more detailed breakdown of these costs, tasks,

and responsibilities is located in Figure No. 19.

L. Description of Major Study Tasks

The study tasks are broken down by the three study stages, I, II, and III. Some items to be studied and their estimated costs are indicated on the following pages:

Stage I - Preparation of Plan of Study and Reconnaissance Report

1.0 Prepare a Preliminary Reconnaissance Report - (\$200,000)

The Reconnaissance Report for the tidal power project will indicate the history of tidal power in the Cobscook Bay Region, some possible tidal power alternatives, and their estimated construction and operation and maintenance costs; economic analysis, anticipated environmental concerns and impacts, and recommendations if further study of the project should be continued.

2.0 Public Participation Program - (\$35,000)

Develop and carry out a Public Involvement Plan for the Plan of Study development period.

3.0 Statement and Identification of the Problems - (\$5,000)

In order to develop the Study Goals and Objectives, it is necessary to establish a Statement of the Problem. This statement must identify problems associated with energy production and needs in the state and region.

4.0 <u>Development of Goals and Objectives</u> - (\$5,000)

The development of project goals and planning objectives will be accomplished early in the study. They will encompass and be consistent with the needs and desires of the people such as:

- Providing electrical generation to meet future needs
- Conserve national/regional resources

- Utilize natural renewable regional resource in the form of tidal power
- Reduce regional dependency on foreign fuels

- Enhancement of Economic Development in the region

The goals will serve as the basis for actual plan formulation, evaluation, and design of energy producing systems, particularly tidal power. These goals must be consistent with federal, state and regional goals and energy problems.

Planning objectives must be identified to effectively plan for and measure the performance of energy systems. Identification and ranking of the planning objectives provide a basis for evaluating the impacts and performance of alternative systems.

5.0 Formulation of a Plan of Study (POS) - (\$50,000) (NED)

5.1 - The initial task or stage of the study is preparation of 1 Plan of Study (POS) to guide the study management team. This POS is intended to be a living and flex(b) e document and to be revised and up-dated as needed during the planning process.

The Plan of Study includes:

a. Statement of the problem.

b. Definition of guidelines and criteria under which the study will be conducted.

c. Development of unganization, management and working relationship between agencies accomplishing the study.

d. Development of work items.

e. Estimation of division of effort for major work items.

f. Detail of the cost of the work items.

ないでいたいないないであるとないないできょうからいできょうかいできたのできるとうないできるとうない

g. Policy evaluation of the need for models.

h. Examination of planning criteria and guidelines.

The completed Plan of Study will be distributed to appropriate State and Federal agencies for review to ensure effective and efficient plan development. It will be distributed to appropriate civic organizations and industrial and academic representatives to ensure citizen involvement in the planning process. It will also be reviewed by representatives of the technical sector to ensure adequate scientific involvement.

For aid in visualizing the overall work program with respect to the time schedule, refer to Plate , entitled "Schedule for Cobscook Bay Tidal Power Study, Maine." This time-grid shoes not only when each major work item will commence and terminate but also the interrelationships involved between the work items. It is of major importance that this timegrid, especially the critical path items, be followed to ensure that the study is completed on schedule.

6.0 <u>Study Management - (\$30,000)</u>

This item covers the activities required of the study team to accomplish designated management tasks, monitoring of consultant and agency input, maintaining project schedules and funding levels, and carrying out the public participation program during the Stage I period.

7.0 <u>Supervision and Administration</u> - (\$30,000)

Work under this item includes tasks performed by supporting Corps of Engineers personnel and activities in direct relation to the tidal power study. Some of these tasks and items include supervisory guidance of the study, typical overheads, and general support during the Stage I period.

8.0 <u>Review Prior Reports on Passamaquoddy Tidal Power</u> <u>Project - (\$5,000)</u>

All previously published reports on projects in the area shall be re-examined to determine whether or not previously developed concepts can be used in the study.

9.0 Review Other Tidal Power Publications - (\$5,000)

A comprehensive literature search will be made and the documents located shall be reviewed to determine what technologies exist, what other tidal power studies have been accomplished and what experiences others have had.

10.0 Develop Background and Base Data for the Study Area - (\$521,000)

In developing this data, the "study areas" for various aspects of the project differ considerably. For example, the economic-social investigations will encompass local, state, regional and federal areas, water quality would only involve the Cobscook Bay drainage area and other items limited to the project site only. The "project site" is considered the pool bays, construction areas, and location of proposed facilities.

Work Will Encompass

- Inventory of Land Use in the Project Area and Washington County, Maine
- Climatic Conditions
- Geotechnical Information
 - LANDSAT & Remote Sensing Studies (Incl. lineations, minerals, etc.)
 - Surficial and Bedrock Geology and Physiography
 - Seismic history
 - Establish 3 Remote Sensing Stations
 - Photogeotechnical mapping
 - Preliminary Dynamic Analysis and Seismic Surveys

- Hydrology
- Tidal information
- Water Quality Investigation
 - Riverine
 - Bay Areas
- Demographic and Economic Activity
 - Indication of Growth
 - (Population)
 - (Personal Income)
 - (Per Capita Income)
 - (Employment by Industry, Fisheries, etc.)
 - (Production by Industry, Fisheries, etc.)
 - (Miscellaneous)
 - Impacted Industries Studies
 - Area Development
 - Community Municipal Services
 - Data on type of labor and availability
- Energy Scenario
 - Relationship between National, New England, State and Washington County
 - History of electrical generation and consumption and costs in New England and Maine
 - Types and location of existing and proposed generations facilities
 - Types of customers (preference, etc.)
 - Designate and map electrical service areas
 - Locate and show size of regional distributions systems

- Indicate type of fuels and amount consumed on an annual basis by power plants in the State of Maine
- Availability of energy natural resources in region (i.e. wood, etc.)
- Cost of various fuels in region (wholesale and retail)
- Status of proposed schedule for constructing generating facilities
- Electrical rates and composition
- Social Aspects
- Environmental Aspects

Biota

- (1) (Fresh Water)
- (2) (Marine)
- (3) (Terrestrial)

Terrestrial Ecosystem (Including Flora and Fauna)

Aquatic Ecosystem

(Fresh Water)

(Marine)

Sampling of Fish Species

Circulation studies in the bay area

Fish and wildlife studies

Species

Endangered Species

Wildlife Habitats (quality and quantity)

Fisheries Habitat (quality and quantity)

Sampling of fish tissues

Raptor Studies

Wilderness and natural areas Estuarine and wetlands areas Mariculture Developments in Region Public Health - Hygienic Aspects Pollution From land Industrial air and noise Domestic **Recreation Resources and Facilities** Natural Beauty - Scenic Area Cultural REsources Archeological - Cultural Sites Historical Sites Water bourne Transportation, routes, types, size of vessels and amount of trade Public Roads in region Physiography Prepare Base Maps and Drafting Air Quality in region Noise Quality in region Flood and Hurricane Storms and conditions

ander an ander ander ander ander ander ander ander ander ander ander ander ander and and and and and and and an

11.0 The Public Participation Program - (\$50,000)

Development of the Program

Throughout Stage II the public will be encouraged to actively assist in the formulation and selection of alternative tidal power plans. This program will essentially entail three parts: public meeting, workshops and distribution of public information data. The public involvement program (Attachment No. 1) will be designed around seven steps, namely:

- Determine the public involvement objectives for each stage of the survey investigation
- Identify the publics

- Select the public involvement mechanism
- Commence early implementation
- Recognize constraints
- Monitor and evaluate the program
- 12.0 Accomplish New Studies and Investigations for Tidal Power Project - (\$370,000)

In addition to reviewing and evaluating existing reports on tidal power, new studies and investigations will have to be made. These studies will include the following:

- Hydraulic Turbines of Generating Equipment and Reverse Pumping (slanted, vertical, horizontal and bulb type units)
- Describe and evaluate alternatives to tidal power for generating electricity
 - Compressed Air Storage
 - Underground Pumped Hydro
 - Conventional Pumped Hydro
 - Wind
 - Direct Solar
 - Pumped Storage

- Hydroelectric

and and a second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se

ないないないないないないでいたのできないないないないないないないないとしていたいできょうというないないないでいた

- Nuclear Steam Cycle
- Conservation
- Load Management
- Building Insulation Programs
- Combined Cycle
- Conventional Fossil Thermal Steam
- Gas turbines
- Power purchases
- Geothermal
- Tidal Hydroelectric (including one way and two way flow)
- New Construction Methods
- Support facilities required during construction, operation. and maintenance
- Adequacy of Community Services and requirements
- Determine Labor and equipment requirement for construction
- Determine labor and equipment requirements during operational and maintenance activities
- Determine energy requirements during construction, operation and maintenance
- Conceptual designs for project*
 - Powerhouse
 - Navigational Locks
 - Earth Rockfilled Dams
 - Filling-Emptying Gates
 - Fishways

- Switchyard

「なななななない」ではないないないないないないないないないです。ここではないないないないないです。

- Public Highways
- Service Facilities
- Cofferdam
- Closure of barriers
- New topography (photogrammetric mapping and aerial mosaic)
- New Bathymetry
- New Resonance tidal studies

*This work is based on the premise that the previous engineering studies by the Corps of Engineers in 1935-1936 and 1956-1959 on <u>project structures</u>, etc. are still of value and may not require many changes. Therefore, new designs may not be necessary and the former designs are salvageable thereby reducing study costs.

- Investigation of experimental type generating facilities to include:
 - Alternate fuels (i.e. wood, bio-mass)
 - Fuel cells
 - Nuclear Fission
 - High temperature gas cooled reactor
 - Fly wheels
 - Super conducting Magnetic Storage
 - Batteries
- Power Values, for Capacity and Energy, and likely alternative determination
- Marketing Studies (SEPA)
- Transmission Line Studies (BPA)
- Sources of local construction materials
- Construction cost estimates
- Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs
- Economic Analysis Studies
 - Benefit-Cost Ratio
 - Life-Cycle Costing method and Optimum Project Scheduling
 - Economic Efficiency Test
 - Repayment
- Development of Annual Benefits
 - Power
 - Area Redevelopment
 - Fisheries-Mariculture
 - Recreation
 - Others (not accountable in water resource projects, i.e., increased tourist trade to region, etc.)
- Electrical Generation by Alternatives
- Modes of generation for project (SEPA)
- Generation Mix with Existing Electrical System (SEPA)
- Social Impacts
- Economic Impacts
- Safety Impacts of project
- Environmental Impacts
- Engineering Impacts
- Transportation Impacts
- Magnetic Surveys
- Public Health Hygienic Impacts

- Visual Cultural Impacts
- Historical Impacts
- Archeological Impacts
- Energy Impacts

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

- Real Estate Studies
 - Land required in fee for the tidal power project
 - Easements required for utilities, access roads, waterline, etc.
 - Relocation of utilities, roads, railroads, residences, fishing, weirs, etc.
 - Present ownership of lands, utilities, piers, waterline, weirs, buildings, businesses, etc. which would be affected by construction of the project
 - Ownership, costs, etc. of lands proposed for native sources of material for the project (i.e. sand, gravel, rock quarries)
 - Ownership costs, etc. for providing contractor work areas administrative, construction housing, and haul roads and construction easements
 - Appraisal, assessed and purchase costs
 - Project Boundary and Survey Markings
 - Water and riparian rights
 - Land and severance damages
 - Relocation Assistance (P.L. 91-646)
 - Project acquisition costs
 - Cemetery Relocations
 - Public Highways and Bridges
- Electrical Generation-power Studies (math model)
- Institutional Arrangements
- Financial Arrangements

- Management Arrangements

- Project Financial Feasibility
- Sedimentation and Bottom Deposit Studies
- Circulation Studies

13.0 Develop Future Projections - (\$27,000)

If found economically feasible, the earliest that the tidal power project could go "on-line" and produce electricity is about 1993. This date is arrived at based on the following assumptions:

| Item | <u>Est. Year</u> |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| Complete survey scope study | 1981 |
| Complete adv. eng. and design | 1984 |
| Complete construction (8 yrs.) | 1992 |
| Testing and Acceptance of Project | 1993 |

In addition to the above dates for projecting constructions costs, potential delivery dates of equipment, etc., projections for evaluating population growths, electrical consumption, benefits-costs are required for realistic analysis.

The life span of the project is proposed to be 100 years and benefit-cost ratios will be based on this period. The project repayment from electrical revenues is based on a 50 year repayment period.

Projection for population, electrical use, etc. will be provided for the present 1978, 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995, 2000, 2010, 2020, 2030, and to 2070 and 2095 if possible. Projections will be made for:

- Population in area

- Electrical Consumption for
 - a. Residential use
 - b. Industrial use
 - c. Municipal/Public use
- Types of fuels to be used (Exogenous and Indigeous)
- Estimated cost of fuels & Optimum Project Schedule
- Construction costs
- Benefits from Power
- Benefits from Fisheries & Mariculture
- Benefits from Recreation
- Transmission Requirements
- Operation and Maintenance Costs
- General Inflationary rates
- Relative Inflationary rates for fuels
- Geographic market areas (SEPA)
- Environmental concerns
- Social-Economic concerns
- Discount/Interest Rates
- Alternative Futures for Maine and New England

14.0 Establish Criteria and Assumptions - (\$3,000)

All existing criteria is applicable to the tidal power study shall be reviewed and examined by the study team. The criteria shall include technical and non-technical information which the study shall be based upon.

Some examples of pertinent sources of criteria are:

- Congressional and Senatorial Resolutions
- Regional, Federal and State Energy Programs
- Applicable technical criteria documents and regulations issued by various agencies

The criteria shall be continuously reviewed for conflicts, with any conflict being referred to and resolved by higher authority as soon as possible. The study shall also include criteria as contained in the "Text of Fact Sheet on the Presidents Program issued by the White House Energy Staff" dated 20 April 1977 and adjusted to any forthcoming energy policies or programs. Based on the evaluations of technical and non-technical criteria, projections, and investigations in environmental areas, etc. a complete set of assumptions and criteria will be prepared. Principle items for which the assumptions and criteria will be established are:

- Engineering Aspects
- Environmental Aspects
- Energy Aspects

NAME OF A CANADA AND A PARTY OF

15.0 Formulation of Plans for Transmission Lines (BPA) - (\$305,000)

The transmission lines required to transport the electricity generated at the tidal power plant to the closest point in the Maine and New England distribution system will be investigated and reported on.

í. , ,

The study will include an analysis of the existing distribution system, determination of corridor locations, preliminary conceptual design, evaluate different types of transmission lines, substation 'ocations, etc. Some of the specific items for study include:

- Overhead Transmission Lines

- Underground Transmission Lines
- Direct Current Transmission Lines
- Substations

i

ころうちょう ちゅうけんかい ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう

- Right of Ways for Transmission Lines
 - Land Requirements

Transmission Corridors

Joint Utilization of R/W

- Urban Utility Tunnels
- Cost of Transmission

Comparison between Alternatives

Selected/Recommended Plan

- Recommendation

In addition, the feasibility of the following methods for transmitting electricity will be investigated:

Ultra High Voltage Overhead Transmission

Cryogenic Transmission

Microwave Transmission

Laser Beam Transmission

Since the size of the tidal power plants vary, different transmission lines sized with the appropriate tidal power alternative. A preliminary report for the transmission facilities will be submitted at the completion of Stage 2. Final designs for this study will be submitted at the completion of Stage 3.

ì

Both the tidal power project and the transmission facilities will be presented to the publics and reported on together at the same times.

16.0 Formulation of Plans for the Tidal Power Project - (\$51,000)

The formulation of tidal power plans with alternatives will be conducted during Stage 2. Screening of various projects will be made as well as documentation of why any are discarded during any point in the study.

Upon completion of Stage 2, the various intermediate plans developed shall be presented.

Accomplishment of Stage 3 will result in the development of final plans to include:

The Environmental Quality (EQ) Plan The National Economic Development (NED) Plan The "without" or "no action" plan The Least Cost Plan The Cost Effective Plan The Recommended Selected Plan All plans shall be formulated and reiterations made thereof in relation to all impacts involved.

The formulation and development of Intermediate Plans will consider the following as a minimum:

All American Tidal Power Projects:

- Single Pool, Plan D. with 62.5 MW
- " " 125.0 MW
- " " 250.0 MW
- Double Pool, Plan 4, with 40.0 MW
- Other tidal projects (other concepts not known at this time but to be developed (11,000)

Tidal Power Projects in conjunction with:

- Pumped Storage

- Compressed Air and Storage
- Development of unused low-head hydropower in Maine and New England
- Out of Area Purchase of Power

Other Miscellaneous technical alternatives such as:

- Wind Generation
- Direct Solar
- Geothermal Energy
- Conventional Type Plants (Combined Cycle)
- Nuclear Fuel Plants
- Conservation
- Insulation Measures
- Fossil Fuel Plant (Coal)
- Wood Utilization

The development of final plans based on utilization of tidal power (a daily renewable and predictable energy resource) will include the following:

- The "without" or "no action" plan
- Environmental Plan for Tidal Power (EQ)
- National Economic Development Plan for Tidal Power (NED)
- Least Cost Tidal Power Plan for Tidal Power
- Cost Effective Plan for Tidal Power
- The Recommended Plan for Tidal Power

It is realized that when all alternatives are considered, the Recommended Plan may not be a tidal power plan and/or be included as part of a combined power plan.

The definition of "Cost Effective" in this instance does not mean the lowest in moretary cost, but, is the most acceptable plan from a cost, economic, environmental, etc. point of view.

17.0 Accomplish Electric Power Marketing Study - (\$20,000)

Accomplish a complete electrical marketing study for New England, Maine and Washington County so as to determine present and future needs of the areas. Also to determine how the tidal power project will be utilized in the future and where the market areas will be. Power marketing criteria will be developed as well as marketing consideration, power feasibility analysis and a marketing plan.

18.0 Investigate Project Benefits - (\$10,000)

It is anticipated that various benefits will be derived if the final power project is constructed.

ź

The benefits to be derived are power, area redevelopment, recreation and fisheries-mariculture.

Since power is the principal purpose of the project, the other benefits are considered ancillary and will be included in the overall analysis of benefits.

All benefits will be considred in the overall Benefit-Cost Ratio method of analysis, with a separate calculation for each of the four types of benefits.

Under the "Life-Cycle" Method of analysis, only the power benefit will be considered.

The project benefits will be evaluated for the study period (1978 - 1982), with projected benefits to 1993 (earliest project completion) and 20 years thereafter.

The project benefits to be investigated evaluated and reported on are:

Power

Area Redevelopment

Recreation

Fisheries-Mariculture

19.0 Prepare Impact and Effects Assessment for Power Project - (\$86,000)

The impacts caused by the various tidal power and transmission alternatives shall be presented together as a combined assessment. However, each will be addressed separately. During the Development of Intermediate Clans, the impacts will be called Environmental Considerations as the investigations will not have been studied in full detail or completely known during the Plan Formulation period. Environmental Considerations will be prepared and utilized in the planning and will be a source of information for developing the Ultimate Environmental Impact Statement. A reiteration of technical alternatives and the impacts will be continuously carried out so as to arrive at the final project plant.

and a state of the second of the second second

\$

Briefly, the Effects Assessment will determine the source of impacts, identify and trace the impacts, specify the incidnece of impacts and measure the impacts.

The general sequence of steps used in preparing an effects assessment are:

- Assemble a profile of existing conditions in the planning area;
- Extend the profile to make projections of "without project" conditions through the expected life of the project;
- Make "without project" projections, identifying causative factors and tracing their effects for each alternative;
- Identify significant effects;
- Describe and display each significant effect;
- Evaluate adverse and beneficial effects;
- Consider project modifications where adverse effects are significant;
- Seek assessment feedback from other sources;

(Steps 1-8 are common to each iteration of the effect assessment process)

- Use effect assessment in making recommendations;
- Prepare a Statement of Findings
- Use effect assessment in preparing the Environmental Impact Statement.

K. 1

This sequence is discussed in more detail in the paragraphs that follow.

The environmental considerations and impacts and effects assessment will include but not be limited to investigation of the following items for with/without projects, short-long terrm, <u>Pre-During</u> and After Construction Completion of the project:

- Baseline Information
- Future Projections

いたちをあるというないできたいできたとうというというできたとうできたとうできます

Alternative Futures

Dominant Factors

- Engineering Impacts

Project Layout

Tidal Pools

Project Structures

Costs

- Project Benefits
- Project Economic analysis
- Financial Arrangements
- Management Arrangements
- Institutional Arrangements
- Marketing Arrangements (SEPA)
- Economic Effects (regional and national economic development)
- Social Effects (social well being)
- Environmental Effects (environmental quality)

- Public Health and Hygienic Impacts
- Aesthetic Visual Impacts
- Archaeological Cultural Impacts
- Energy Resources Conservation Impacts
- Analysis of Public Opinion
- Miscellaneous for tidal project such as:

Safety

٠

Real Estate

Electrical Industry (SEPA)

Land Use

Transportation

Community Services

Labor Force

Geological-Physical Environmental Effects

Tidal Resonance Effects

Fish and Wildlife (FES, NMFS, COE)

Section 404 Evaluation

Disposal of Surplus Excavated Materials

20.0 <u>Prepare Impact and Effects</u> ssessment for the Transmission Facilities (BPA) (\$100,000)

The environmental considerations and impact and effects assessment for the transmission line will investigate the following as a minimum:

- Engineering Impacts
- Financial Arrangements

- Management Arrangements
- Interface with other distribution lines
- Use of wheeling

and a water of the training state of the second state of the second state of

はいるかっていたがないないでいたいです

こうろうちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうかいち ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょうちょう

のため、日本語を見たたなる。これである日本にはないため、日本語をないたかないである。 またはいたいできたが、これできただいになったいというできょうとうというできた。 アイト・アイト・アイト・アイト・アイ

- Public Acceptability
- Environmental

Soils-Erosion

Air Quality

Water Quality

TV and Radio Reception

Vegetation

Fish, Wildlife, and Birds of Prey

Landscape Quality-Aesthetics

(Visual-Cultural)

(Design)

(Alignment)

Public Safety

Aviation

Property Ownership

Other utilities and highways

Forest Managements

Overhead-Underground Transmission Lines

- Social Economical

Construction

Operation and maintenance

People affected

(Construction Workers)

(Local Inhabitants)

(Others)

During Construction

(Employment)

(Housing)

(Transportation)

During Operations and Maintenance

(Employment)

(Housing)

(Transportation)

Business Income and Employment

(During Construction)

(During Operations and Maintenance)

Effects on Individuals

(Change in supply of goods and services)

(Change in Property Values)

Change in Taxes

Public Expenditures

(Construction of lines)

(Operation and Maintenance of Lines)

Recreation

and a second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

21.0 Project Evaluation - (\$15,000)

The last step of the planning process is evaluating the project alternatives plans for meeting the area needs. It also includes an evaluation of the study itself to determine if the investigation of each plan was adequate. The evaluation will take place during the 3 stages of planning and become more detailed as

the study progresses. Major criteria items to be considered in project evaluation are:

- Acceptability
- Certainty
- Completeness
- Effectiveness
- Efficiency
- National Economic Development Analysis
- Planning Space
- Reversibility
- Stability

In evaluating the study, questions similar to the following should be addressed:

- Is the lovel of detail adequate for selection of a recommended plan?
- Do the alternatives meet the needs and objectives?
- Does the resource capability compared with the alternatives suggest that the nees being considered are erroneous or need modification?
- Has public policy changed, significantly so as to change the objectives?
- Has additional information on resource capabilities on the technical aspects of alternatives become available?

22.0 Prepare Stage II Report (\$43,000)

This item includes the costs and efforts incurred with writing, eaiting, typing and preparing the Stage II Report - Development of Intermediate Plans.

Also included is the necessary preparation of illustrations to support the text, reproduction, and distribution of the report for information and technical reviews by interested agencies and the public.

The report will be prepared in final form and all review comments shall be included as an appendix for considereation and incorporation into the Stage III phase.

23.0 <u>Study Management - (\$90,000)</u>

This item covers the activities required of the study team to accomplish designated management tasks, monitoring of consultant and agency input, maintaining project schedules and funding levels and carrying out the public participation program during the Stage II period.

24.0 Supervision and Administration - (\$24,000)

Work under this item includes tasks performed by supporting Corps of Engineers personnel and activities in direct relation to the tidal power study. Some of these tasks and items include supervisory guidance of the study, typical overhead, and general support during the Stage II period.

STAGE III - DEVELOPMENT OF FINAL PLANS

25.0 Public Participation Program - (\$50,000)

The public participation program during this stage will concentrate on the final plans selected for presentation in the final report.

During this stage final stage workshops and public meetings will be conducted as well as dissemination of informational data on the project.

The tidal power and transmission facilities will be presented to the public at the same time as a combined total project.

26.0 Formulate and Develop Final Plans for Power Project - (\$275,000)

This third phase item will present final project plans which will include the Environmental Quality (EQ) Plan, the National Economic Development Plan, the Least Cost Plan, the Cost Effective Plan*, and the Recommended Plan. Also, included will be the comparative data on the "most likely selected alternative" to the tidal power project (i.e. Combined Cycle Plant).

Formulation data and engineering design concepts will be prepared in more detail than that for alternatives presented in Stage II -Development of Intermediate Plans.

*The plan which most closely meets the environmental, economics, institutional, public acceptance, etc. requirements.

27.0 Formulate and Develop Final Plans for Transmission (\$250,000)

Under this item of work the following plans will be presented: Environmental Quality (EQ), National Economic Development (NED), Least Cost, Cost Effective Plan, and the Recommended Plan.

The data presented for these plans will also be in greater detail than for Stage II.

28.0 Prepare Draft Stage III Report on Project - (\$54,000)

This report will be a typewritten report presenting the full results of the study and will be as close to the final product as is possible. The tidal power and transmission facilities will be reported together as a single project. The report preparation will be coordinated by the Study Management Team and will include inputs from various agencies and consultants. The Corps will be responsible for the final typing, printing and distribution of the report for information and technical reviews.

!

ないないであるとなっていたが、ないないないできょうできょうないできょうできましたができるという

29.0 Prepare Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) - (\$208,000)

The Draft EIS will be based on the Recommended Plan for the tidal power project and transmission facilities.

Concurrently, a separate document on Section 404 Evaluation for the project will be prepared and included in public presentations.

The draft EIS will be printed by the Corps of Engineers and distriubted for information and technical reviews by applicable agencies and publics.

The Corps will be the lead agency in the preparation of the EIS and responsible for proper coordination with all agencies and publics.

30.0 Prepare Final Stage III Report on Project - (\$56,000)

This final report will be an extension of the draft report with the additions, corrections, public and technical review comments, included therein.

The Corps will be responsible for the preparation, printing, and distribution of the final report.

31.0 Prepare Final Environmental Impact Statement - (\$56,000)

This final EIS will be an extension of the draft EIS with all additions, corrections, public and technical review comments included therein.

The final EIS will be printed by the Corps and distributed to appropriate agencies and publics.

32.0 Study Management - (\$90,000)

This item covers the activities required of the study team to accomplish designated managment tasks, monitoring of consultant and agency input, maintaining project schedules and funding levels and carrying out the public participation program during the Stage III period.

33.0 <u>Supervision and Administration - (\$90,000)</u>

Work under this item includes tasks performed by supporting Corps of Engineers personnel and activities in direct relation to the tidal power study. Some of these tasks and items include supervisory guidance of the study, typical overhead, and general support during the Stage II period.

M. Description of Reports

A preliminary report shall be prepared and distributed for review upon completion of Stage II, Development of Intermediate Plans, as well'as a final report upon completion of Stage III, Development of Final Plans.

1. <u>General</u> - The resulting report for the entire study effort will be a Survey Scope Report (Level C), Survey Investigation, which is in response to an Act of Congress or a resolution of the House or Senate Committees on Public Works. In this instance, the tidal power study and report is based on a resolution sponsored by Senator Edmund S. Muskie.

The total overall project and report includes two construction elements which will be studied concurrently and prepared separately. The elements are the <u>tidal power project</u> and the <u>transmission line</u> which, although studied separately, will be contained in the same overall report. The assessment, evaluation and draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the tidal power project and transmission line will also be prepared and included in the same overall report. It is intended to complete and submit the draft EIS with the Survey Scope report. The following chart illustrates the major parts of the report.

2. <u>Survey Scope Report for the Tidal Power Project</u> - The Survey Scope Report for the tidal power project will be principally prepared by the Corps of Engineers and the study team.

The following chart illustrates the major parts of the Draft and Final Report



3. <u>Report Arrangement</u> - The report for the project will be presented in the following documents:

.

| Proposed Survey Scope Report and Appendices |
|--|
| <u>Stage II - Development of Intermediate Plans</u> |
| * <u>Executive Summary</u> (10 - 20 Pages) |
| <pre>Main Report (150 Pages)</pre> |
| *Appendices |
| Section A - The Study and Report |
| Section B - Resources and Economy of the Study Area and Region |
| Section C - Energy and Power Problems and Needs in the Are |
| Section D - Formulation of the Plan |
| Section E - The Selected Plans (EQ, NED, Cost Effective) |
| Section F - Economics of the Selected Plan |
| Section G - Jivision of Plan Responsibilities |
| Section H - Assessment and Evaluation |
| Section I - Comments and Response on Project |
| Section J - Public Participation Program |
| NOTE: Supporting Sub-Sections of the Appendices will be similar to final report. |
| Proposed Final Survey Scope Report and Appendices |
| Stage III - Development of Final Plans |
| ' <u>Executive Summary</u> (10 - 20 Pages) |
| ' <u>Main Report</u> (150 Pages) |
| <u>Appendices</u> |
| Section A - The Study and Report |
| Section B - Resources and Economy of the Study Area and Region |

- Section C Energy and Power Problems and Needs in the Area
- Section D Formulation of the Plans

- Section E Tidal Power Plans and Alternatives
- Section F Economics of the Plans
- Section G Division of Plan Responsibilities
- Section H Environmental Impact Statement
- Section I Comments and Responses on Project
- Section J Public Participation Program

Sub-Sections will be provided as separate documents, as necessary, to support the main section appendices. Some of the sub-sections may be too harge to place in the main appendices. Typical Sub-Sections are:

- 1 Background Data on the Study Area
- 2 Economic-Social Impacts
- 3 Environmental Impacts Terrestrial
- 4 Environmental Impacts Acuatic
- 5 Water Quality Investigations
- 6 Visual-Cultural Impacts
- 7 Tidal Resonance
- 8 Hydrologic and ⁴ydraulics Data
- 9 Observation and Prediction of Tides
- 10 Tidal Power Plant and Corrosion Prevention
- 11 Auxiliary Power Developments
- 12 Real Estate
- 13 Relocations
- 14 Public Highways

- 15 Turbines and Generating Equipment
- 16 Powerhouse

No. Contractor

- 17 Switchyard
- 18 Filling and Emptying Gates
- 19 Fishways
- 20 Navigation Locks
- 21 Channel Excavation
- 22 Tidal Dams and Cofferdams
- 23 Service Facilities and Operating Staff
- 24 Cost Estimates of Alternatives
- 25 Annual Costs and Benefits
- 26 Economic Analysis of Project
- 27 Transmission Line

4. Preparation of Text Material and Illustration - The

Executive Summary, Main Report and Appendices A-G will be written and prepared by the "Corps" study team. The sub-sections of the various appendices will serve as the source, supporting document, and back-up material for the principal report elements. The various sub-sections will be written and prepared separately by the individual consultants, agencies, and personnel assigned to accomplish the special investigations and data. Upon completion of these sub-sections, the Corps Study Team will organize them into an orderly sequence so they can form part of the overall report.

All report elements will be prepared continuously from the outset of the study and/or initiation of the special investigations. Writing of the report sections shall not be delayed until the end of the study and/or immediately prior to submission dates of the preliminary draft and final reports for the study.

The organization which prepares the sub-sections shall be responsible for prepareing adequate graphs, tables and illustrations for the subject presentation.

A preliminary report shall be prepared for review at the completion of Stage II (Internediate Plans); and a final report shall be prepared at the completion of Stage III (Final Plans).

5. <u>Typing the Report</u> - The typing of the Summary Report, Maine Report and Appendice: shall be the responsibility of and accomplished by the Corps of Engineers. This includes the Environmental Impact Statement for the tidal power project.

All separate reports and/or Sub-Sections shall be typed in draft and final form by the consultant, agency and individual assigned to accomplish the specific tasks.

It is preferred that all typing be accomplished utilizing "letter gothic" type. Further, that the typed paper size be white bond, 8 x $10\frac{1}{2}$ ". Marginal spacing shall comply with the following:

| Тор | - | 14" | | | |
|--------------|---|-----|------------|-----|-----------|
| Bottom | - | 1%" | (allowance | fer | page no.) |
| Left Margin | - | 14" | (allowance | for | binding) |
| Right Margin | - | 1" | | | |

All drafts of the report shall be typed double-space and final reports shall be typed single-space.

4.

The quality of the typing shall be clear and legible for printing the reports by offset and quick copy reproduction methods.

6. <u>Environmental Impact Statement for the Tidal Power Project</u> -The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared in accordance with applicable guidelines. The Corps of Engineers, New England Division, will be the lead agency and responsible for preparing the EIS for the tida! power project.

Environmental considerations will be integrated with the planning process for the tidal power project including the open planning program.

Draft and final EIS information will be distributed for interested agency review and comment. The final EIS will be completed and submitted with the project Survey Scope Report.

The DOE will assist and provide major input in the preparation of the transmission lines aspect of the EIS.

7. <u>Report Arrangement</u> - The Environmental Impact Statement for the Tidal Power Project will be a separate document. The body of the statement will contain nine (9) separate sections, namely:

Cover Sheet

Summary

'Table of Contents

*Purpose and Need for Action

'Alternatives Including Proposed Action

'Environmental Consequences

*Affe co Environment

'List of Preparers

'List of Agencies, Organizations, and Persons to whom Copies of the Statement are sent

'Index

And any an " save a second and an survey and the second states a survey of a second as a survey a survey as a s

*Appendices

Various supportive appendices will be made part of the overall Environmental Impact Statement. This data will be prepared by various private consultants, agencies and individuals. Attachment 3 to the Plan of Study is an initial list of items which will be addressed in accomplishing an assessment and EIS for the project.

8. <u>Preparation of the Text Material for Tidal Power Project EIS</u> The Environmental Impact Statement and necessary attachments will be written and prepared by the Environmental Analysis Branch, New England Division, in coordination with the reviews by the Division Counsel.

9. <u>Typing the Environmental Impact Statement</u> - See data written for typing of the Tidal Power Project Report.

10. <u>Survey Scope Report for the Transmission Line</u> - All engineering work pertaining to the investigation, determining alternatives and selected plan of a High Voltage Electrical Transmission Line from the tidal power project site to the nearest feasible point of connection to the New England grid system shall be performed by the Department of Energy (DOE). Funds will be sub-allocated by the Division Engineer, New England Division, to the Department of Energy to accomplish the work. The DOE will prepare a complete typewritten report on the findings and results of the study and furnish same to the Corps of Engineers. The DOE will carry out a public participation program to determine the public interest in transmission corridor locations, etc.

The report shall include data for economic analysis of the transmission lines, annual costs, financing, etc. so that the economic feasibility of the overall project can be determined.

The Corps of Engineers shall be responsible for printing the report in large quantities and distributing it for review with the tidal power project.

The Corps of Engineers will include the transmission report as a companion document which will become part of the tida! power report.

11. Environmental Impact Statement for the Transmission Lines -The EIS for the Transmission Lines will address the similar points as the tidal power project. Since the Department of Energy has legislative responsibility for determining transmission facilities, the assessment, evaluation and the EIS aspects of the transmission lines will be prepared by the Department of Energy by a sub-allotment of funds from the Corps of Engineers, New England Division.

The DOE, in coordination with the Corps of Engineers, will conduct a public involvement program for the transmission EIS as necessary. However, at early, mid and late stage public meetings, it is planned to present the tidal power and transmission line projects and their respective EIS aspects all together at the same meeting. This will afford the public the opportunity to receive information on the <u>total project</u> at one time and to offer constructive comments on the project as a whole. Both the tidal power project and the transmission line EIS aspects will be combined into and presented as a single EIS with the final project report.

12. <u>Printing of Reports</u> - Reports to be prepared by consultants, other agencies and in-house New England Division groups shall be printed and submitted by the preparer in the number of copies specified in the Scope of Work prepared by the Study Management Team.

The main report and appendices of the overall tidal power and EIS shall be printed by the Study Management Team. This will include draft copies of the report for reviews and subsequent final reports. The report shall be printed by the Corps in sufficient quantities to permit proper technical reviews, and distribution of the report.

13. <u>Payment for Printing of Reports</u> - Draft and final reports prepared by consultants shall be printed and paid for by the consultants and will be included in the contract fee.

Printing of draft progress and final reports of the tidal power project required for review and larger distribution to agencies and the public will be paid for by the Corps of Engineers.

The printing costs of draft reports for the transmission lines and its EIS shall be bourne by the agency preparing the data

?

(Department of Energy). The printing of the final transmission line report and its EIS work will be paid for by the Corps of Engineers.

The anticipated costs to be incurred by the Corps of Engineers is listed under Reproduction Costs in the project budget.

14. Distribution of the Report - The overall tidal power report, transmission lines report and EIS will be distributed to reviewing agencies and interested publics by the Corps of Engineers.

15. <u>Agency Review and Comments on the Report</u> - The project schedule provides for review periods by appropriate agencies and others of the reports. At the completion of any progress and draft report or appendix, the report will be forwarded to the agencies for their applicable technical, and administrative and regulatory reviews and comments. The comments are to be returned to the Study Management Team which will advise the preparer of the report to address and respond to the review comments and incorporate same into the report.

All disputes or disagreements on comments shall be referred to the Study Team for joint resolution.

All review comments should be in writing.

16. <u>Report Approvals</u> - The Corps of Engineers Contracting Office reserves the right to approve/disapprove data and reports prepared by consultants under contract to the Corps. Copies of the final tidal power study report including the transmission lines, and the total environmental impact statement shall be forwarded to Corps higher headquarters, appropriate coordinating agencies and others as required, for their approval and/or comment on the project and reports by the New England Division.

Copies of the agency approvals and/or comments will be incorporated into the final project report.

N. <u>Study Management, Supervision and Administration</u> - The work involved under this item is basically the tasks accomplished throughout the study by the Corps' Study Management Team.

Supervisional administration efforts have no specific work tasks associated with them.

0. Breakdown of Study Costs

sense nary provide the provide the sense of the sense of the sense of the sense of the sense of the sense of the

<u>General</u> - The variety of items to be studied have been reviewed and a cost estimate to accomplish the tasks has been prepared. The tasks and costs have been planned based on anticipated funding by fiscal years.

The presentation of cost data for studies is often desired by others in different formats. Included herein as Attachment 2 are four separate presentations, namely:

- General Cost Breakdown (Figure No. 16)
- Estimated costs based on Anticipated Fiscal Year Funding (Fig. 17)

411

- Study Cost Estimate (PB-6) (Figure No. 18) - Which breaks the costs down by three (3) planning stages and major study categories

- Detaile work and task assignments (By Stage) (Figure No. 19)

Due to possible changes in funding, the work schedule will be altered when required.

| ITE m | FY 1976 6 T.Q. | FY 1977 | FY 1978 | FY 1979 | FY 1980 | FY 1981 | FY 1982: | TOTALS |
|---|--|----------------------|------------|---------|---------|-----------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Anticipated Funding | 125.0 | 339.0 | 30.0 | 610.0 | 860.0 | | 456.0 | 3280.0 |
| Cumulative | 125.0 | 464.0 | 494 0 | 1104.0 | 1964.0 | 2824.0 | 3280.0 | 3280.0 |
| Percent of Funding | 3.8 | 10.3 | 0.9 | 18.7 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 13.9 | 100.0 |
| Fstimated Project | | STAGE 1 | | | | | | |
| Period by Stages | | U | | STAGE 2 | | S | AGE 31 | |
| Estimated Project | | 355.0 | | | | | | |
| Costs by Stages | | | | 1796.0 | | t | | |
| | | | | | | 1129.0 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| STUDY STAGES | | | | | • | | PLAN OF ST | YOU' |
| Stage 1-Prepare Reconnaiss Stage 2-Development of In Stage 3-Development of Fil | sance Reporte termediate Pl nd Plans | ed Plan of S lans | tudy (POS) | | | T I COE | JAL POWER S 3scock bay. | ITUDY Maine |
| | | | | | | ANTICIPAI | FED FISCAL Y | EAR FUNDING |
| | | | | | | | | Figure No. 17 |

| L | | TAPROPAGE 1 | N RAE | | | | NAME OF STUDY | NC & | |
|--------------|----------------------|---|------------|---------------|------------------|-------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| | 5 | | Gener | al Investigat | tions | | Cohecont | Rav Tidal Daugu | |
| | | 77 COST ESTIMATE (PB-6) CAT600 in (\$000) • of this town, *** ER 11-2-220 | Surve | şys | | | | oay iluai rower assamaquoddy) | ł |
| | | GABS | Speci | al Studie | Ş | | BUBCLASS | | |
| | | S BACCONINT | SCUE | RENT FEDERA | L COST ESTIMA | 31 | PREVIOUS . | | |
| ION 3 | 105-3 | | | ACCOUNT | | | COST ENTIMATE AND DATE | MENANCE | |
| רוא | NUMBER | Thre | STAGE 1 | STAGE 2 | STAGE 3 | TOTAL | APPROVED | | |
| | • | | U | P | • | ÷ | • | £ | |
| | 10. | Public Involvement | 35 | 50 | 50 | 135 | | | |
| " | . 02 | Institutional Studies | L | 20 | 32 | 53 | | | |
| M | .03 | Social Studies | 2 | 40 | 15 | 57 | | | |
| • | .04 | Cultural Resource Studies | L | 30 | 10 | 41 | | | |
| م | . 05 | Environmental Studies | 15 | 135 | 89 | 239 | | | |
| • | .06 | Fish & Wildlife Studies | 6 | 203 | 231 | 440 | | | |
| ~ | .07 | Economic Studies | 2 | 100 | 26 | 128 | | | |
| æ | .08 | Surveying & Mapping | 5 | 40 | ц) | 50 | | | |
| ø | .09 | Hydrology & Hydraulic Irvestigations | ى ا | 90 80 | 100 | 185 | | | |
| 2 | 01. | Foundations & Materials Investigations | ω | 127 | 35 | 170 | | | |
| = | Ξ. | Design & Cost Estimates | 1 | 70 | 33 | 103 | | | |
| 2 | .12 | Real Estate Studies | 1 | 25 | 10 | 35 | | | |
| 13 | .13 | Study Management | 30 | 06 | 60 | 210 | | | |
| 2 | .14 | Plan Formulation & Evaluation | 5 | 155 | 15 | 175 | | | |
| ▲ 0 ► | MEPREAREC 15 Sept | 1978 DI STRINGT NEW ENGLAND | | | REGION] BARN | | | L eðed | of 2 |
| Ž | G FOM | 2204 EDITION OF 4 JAN 71 IS OBSOLETE. | | | | | | Figure No | 0. 18 |

そうがたいたいではないたいで、そうではないできたいできたいできたのでは、いたかないたいできたかが、またできたかがったがいっていたいをあるというできます。 こうできん

| | | | | | | | | | · ACA | |
|------------|--------------------|---|-----------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|-------|------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| | | | | Cene | ral Investigat | ions | | NAME OF STUDY | | |
| | subs | Y COST ESTIMATE (PB-6) (\$000) | CATEGORY | | | | | | Daccemented POWER | |
| | for use | to this form, see ER 11-2-220 | | Surv | eys | | | | (rassalilaquoaay) | |
| | | | CLASS | Spec | ial Studie | Sč | | SUBCLASS | | |
| | | S HACCOUNT | | Ŋ | RRENT FEDERAI | L COST ESTIMA | TE | PREVIOUS FEDER | | |
| •0N 3 | | | | | ACCOUNT | | | COST ESTIMATE AND DATE | NEW ANKS | |
| רוא | NUMBER | זותנ | | STAGE 1 | STAGE 2 | stage 3 | TOTAL | AFFROVED (1 July 78 | | |
| \square | • | | | U | ٩ | • | • | 9 | E | T |
| | . 15 | Report Preparation | | 5 | 53 | 20 | 3/8 | | | |
| 7 | .20 | Tidal Influence Studi | es | 1 | 40 | 48 | 88 | | | |
| m | .21 | Recreation Studies | | m | 23 | 10 | 36 | | | |
| 4 | .22 | Preliminary Economic Engineering Feasibili | & Studies | 200 | 1 | | 200 | | | |
| ع | .23 | Power Marketing Studi | es | - | 56 | 63 | 120 | | | |
| 8 | .24 | Transmission Studies | | - | 266 | 107 | 374 | | | |
| | .25 | Transmission - Enviro | nmental | J | 103 | 50 | 153 | | | |
| 80 | .31 | Supervision & Adminis | tration | 30 | 06 | 06 | 210 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | TOTALS | | 355 | 1,796 | 1,129 | 3,280 | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | ; ; ; | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | | | |
| рате 15 | PREFARED Sept 1 | 1978 Division NEW EN | GLAND | | | negion | | | Page 2 | of 2 |
| UN NO | FORM . | 2204 EDITION OF 4 JAN 1 | 71 IS OBSOLETE. | | | NIKY | | | |] |

ないないないであるというできたないないないないです。

Terra et

and the second second in the second second second second second second

PLAN OF STUDY

ŧ

.

DETAILED WORK TASKS & ASSIGNMENTS

for

TIDAL POWER STUDY

COBSCOOK BAY, MAINE, USA
i

ないたちにあるというというというとうないないないないというないとうできょうでものできょうで

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

35,000 10,000 (25,000) 355, OnC 5.000 200,000 5,000 TOTAL 50,000 30,000 COST New England Division Monitoring Unit in DIV., DIV., × SMT and EAB WCB, EAB ESAB, SMT F&M TASK ASSIGNMENTS & RESPONSIBILITIES ł ENG. ENG. PAO, SMT PAO. SMT SI SMT OTHER State Maine Public 1 EAB, ESAB of . CONTR. ×. × 1 × × & NS AGENCY NMFS FERC ВОЕ US F 8 BPA 1 CORPS × × × × × 1 × × × (Formulation of Reconsidissance Prepare Brochure & Information Material *See last page for explanation of abbreviations. Report and Plan of Study) Develonment of Goals and Objectives Conduct Workshops and Meetings WORK TITLE TASK Prepare R<u>econnaissance Report</u> Public Participation Program Formulation of Plan of Study Problem Identification ! Study Management STAGE NURA TASK ITEN NO. -1.0 2.0 3.0 2.2 4.0 2.1 5.0 6.0

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

-

Surger and the

neis maaan

errori – state vie biel heche per system der so die vollen der versten, vie of erroren, die schweisisch etwolsch

5

| | | ø | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|------------|-------------------------|---------|
| | | | | | - | Monitoring Unit in | |
| "CKA TASK ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK | CORPS | AGENCY | CONTR. | OTHER | Iter Englan Division | TOTAL |
| 7.0 | Supervision and Administration | · × | | | | NED | 30,000 |
| 3 | | | | | | | |
| | Sub Total for Stage I | | | | | | 355,000 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | |

۰.

ł

PLAN GF STUDY

..

.

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

| _ | | • | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | F RESPONS | BILITIES | |
|-----------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|
| | | | | | • | Monitoring Unit in | |
| NURA TASK ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK | CCRPS | AGENCY | CONTR. | CTHER | New Englan Division | TOTAL COST |
| | STAGE II - DEVELOPMENT OF INTERMEDIATE PLAN | · · | ş | l | i i | i | ł |
| 8.0 | Review of Prior Reports on Passamaquoddy Tidal Power | ı | ĩ | I | I | wcb Eab Smt Esab | 5,000 |
| 8.1 | 1936 All American Tidal Power Report | × | × | ×. | | SMT | (1,000) |
| 8.2 | 1959 International Fisheries Report | × | × | × | | EAB | (500) |
| 8.3 | 1959 International Engineering Report | × | × | × | | SMT | (500) |
| 8.4 | Review Projèct Benefits | × | × | × | | ESAB | (000, 1) |
| 8.5 | Review 1963, 1964, 1965, 1977 Reports | × | × | × | | SMT | (2,000) |
| 0.6 | Review of Other Fidal Power Studies and State of the Art | × | × | × | | SinT | 5,000 |
| ۲. ۳ | Review and Summarize Reports on other Tidal Power Projects | × | Х | × | | SMT | (1,000) |

Sec. Walk

į

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

-

| | | • | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES | |
|----------|--|-------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------|---------|
| | | | | | • | Monitoring Unit in | 2 N |
| ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK | CCRPS | AGENCY | CONTR. | OTHER | New Englan Division | TOTAL |
| 9.2 | List existing and proposed tidal power projects. (Include location, size, and other pertinent data.) | X | | × | | SMT | (1,000) |
| 9.3 | Present State of the Art for Tidal Power | × | | X | | SMT | (000,1) |
| 9.4 | Prepare Bibliography on tidal power technical, environmental, and economical aspects | × | | × . | | SMT | (1,000) |
| 9.5 | Environmental Aspects | × | × | × | | EAB | (1,000) |
| 10.0 | Develop Background and Baseline Data | × | X | × | | EAB MCB SMT | 521,000 |
| 10.1 | Inventory of Land Use | × | х | × | | ESAB | (5,000) |
| 10.2 | Climate Conditions | × | Х | × | | WCB | (000,1) |
| 10.3 | Geotechnical Information | X | | × | | 3 92 7 | 1 |
| | a) LANDSAT & Remote Sensing Studies | × | | × | | F&M | 15,000) |

2010-19113-4-1

PLAN OF STUDY

.

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

^

;

| - | | • | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES | |
|-----------------------|--|-------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| | - | | | | u | Monitoring Unit in | |
| AURA TASK ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK | CORPS | AGENÇY | CONTR. | OTHER | New Englan Division | TOTAL |
| 10.3 | b.) Physiography-Geologiczl Surficial and Bedrock Geology | X | | × | | Fam | (15,000) |
| Ŀ | c.) Seismic History | X | WES | | | Fan | (2,000) |
| | d.) Install (3) seismagraph stations | × | | × | | E&M | (15,000) |
| | e.) Aerial & Photo geotechnical mapping | × | CRREL | Х. | | F&M | (15,000) |
| | f.) Seismic Refraction and Reflection Surveys | X | | × | | Fan | (30°00) |
| | g.) Preliminary Dynamic Analysts | х | | × | | F&M | (000 ° 01) |
| 10.4 | Hydrology and Hydraulics | ۲ | | Х | | MCB | (7,000) |
| 10.5 | Tidal Information | × | | × | nsces | wCB | (2,000) |
| 10.6 | Water Quality Investigations & Sampling | × | | Х | | WCB | ı |

,

Sandalaan were on and shirts -

PLAN OF STUDY

.

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

| _ | | ş | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------|----------|
| | | | | | - | Monitoring Unit in | |
| NURA TASK ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK | CORPS - | AGENCY | CONTR. | OTHER | New Englan Division | TOTAL |
| 10.6 | a.) Riverine. | • × | × | ~ | | WCB | (15,000) |
| 1 | b.) Bay Areas | X | × | × | | WCB | (25,000) |
| 10.7 | Demographic and Economic Activity | • | L | ۲. | | ESAB | 1 |
| | a.) Indication of Growth | 1 | I | | I | 1 | |
| | 1.) Population | X | | × | | ESAB | (2,000) |
| | 2.) Person a l Income | Х | | × | | ESAB | (2,000). |
| | 3.) Per Capita Income | × | | × | | ESAB | (2,000) |
| | 4.) Employment by Industry | × | | × | | ESAB | (2,000) |
| | 5.) Production by Industry | × | | × | | ESAB | 2,000) |

٠.

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

÷

`:

| - | | • | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES | |
|-----------------------|---|-------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
| ~~~ | - | | | | • | Monitoring Unit in | ų |
| NORK TASK ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK | CORPS | AGENCY | CONTR. | OTHER | New Englan Division | TOTAL COST |
| 10.7 | b.) Miscellaneous | • | ŀ | 1 | 1 | ESAB | ١ |
| 1 | 1.) Impacted Industry Studies | X | | × | | ESAB | (2,500) |
| | 2.) Area Development | × | | ×× | | ESAB | (2,500) |
| | 3.) Community Municipal Services | X | | × | | ESAB | (2,500) |
| | 4.) Availability and Labor Studies Data | × | | × | | ESAB | (2,500) |
| 10.R | Energy Scenařio | X | DOE | ł | ٤. | SMT | 10,000) |
| 10.9 | Social/Cultural Aspects | X | | × | | ESAB | 10,000) |
| 10.10 | Environmental Aspects | ł | I | L | ı | 1 | 1 |
| | a.) Biota | × | Х | x | X | EAB | ı |
| | | | | | | | |

ł

Restaures of the

he was a start of the start of

ļ

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

PLAN OF STUDY

;

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

| No. Total Corres Accence Contract < | | | • | TASK ASS | IGNEENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES | |
|---|-------------|--|-------|----------|----------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| NO.MORK TITLE TASKCORPSACENCTCONTR.DivisionDivisionCOST.01.) Fresh WaterXFMSXEMS(5,000)2.) MarineXNMFSXFMS(5,000)3.) TerrestrialXFMSXEMS(5,000)3.) TerrestrialXFMSXEMS(5,000)b.) TerrestrialXFMSXEMS(5,000)b.) TerrestrialCosystemXFMSXEMS(5,000)b.) TerrestrialCosystemXFMSXEMS(7,000)b.) TerrestrialCosystemXFMSXEMS(7,000)b.) TerrestrialCosystemXFMSXEMS(7,000)b.) TerrestrialCosystemXFMSXEMS(7,000)b.) TerrestrialCosystemXFMSXEMS(7,000)b.) TerrestrialCosystemXFMSYEMS(7,000)b.) TerrestrialCosystemXFMSYEMS(7,000)b.) TerrestrialCosystemXFMSYEMS(7,000)b.) TerrestrialCosystemXFMSY(7,000)b.) TerrestrialCosystemXFMSY(7,000)b.) Sampling of Fish SpeciesXFMSYEMS(7,000)b.) B.) AreaCish Sampling of Fish SpeciesXFMSY(7,000)b.) B.) Area< | | | | • | | * | Monitoring Unit in | |
| 0 1.) Fresh Water X FMS X EAB (5,000) 2.) Marine X NMFS X EAB (5,000) 3.) Terrestrial X FMS X EAB (5,000) 3.) Terrestrial X FMS X EAB (5,000) 3.) Terrestrial Ecosystem X FMS X EAB (5,000) b.) Terrestrial Ecosystem X FMS X EAB (0,000) c.) Aquatic Ecosystem - - - 15,000 1.) Fresh Water X FMS X FMS X EAB (7,000) 1.) Fresh Mater X FMS X EAB (7,000) (7,000) 2.) Marine X MMS X EAB (7,000) (7,000) 2.) Marine X MMS X EAB (7,000) 2.) Marine X MMS X EAB (7,000) | TASK NO. | WORK TITLE TASK | CORPS | AGENCY | CONTR. | OTHER | New England Division | TOTAL COST · |
| 2.) MarineXMHSXEAB $(5,000)$ 3.) TerrestrialXFMSXEAB $(5,000)$ b.) Terrestrial EcosystemXFMSXEAB $(9,000)$ b.) Terrestrial EcosystemXFMSXEAB $(9,000)$ c.) Aquatic Ecosystem $ 15,000$ c.) Aquatic Ecosystem $ 15,000$ c.) Aquatic EcosystemXFMSXEAB $(2,000)$ 1.) Fresh WaterXFMSXEAB $(10,000)$ 1.) Fresh MaterXFMSXEAB $(10,000)$ 2.) MarineXFMSXEAB $(10,000)$ d.) Sampling of Fish SpeciesXFMSXEAB $(10,000)$ e.) Preliminary Circulation studies inXFMSX $(15,000)$ e.) Preliminary Circulation studies inXFMSX $(15,000)$ | 0 | l.) Fresh Water | × | FBMS | × | | EAB | (5,000) |
| 3.) Terrestrial X F&MS X EAB (5,000) b.) Terrestrial Ecosystem X F&MS X EAB 10,000 c.) Aquatic Ecosystem - - - - 15,000 c.) Aquatic Ecosystem X F&MS X EAB 10,000 1.) Fresh Water X F&MS X EAB (7,000) 1.) Fresh Water X F&MS X EAB (7,000) 2.) Marine X NMFS X EAB (10,000) d.) Sampling of Fish Species X F&MS X EAB (15,000) e.) Preliminary Circulation studies in X X MES X (15,000) | | 2.) Marine | x | NMFS | × | | EAB | (2,000) |
| b.) Terrestrial Ecosystem X FMS X EAB 10,000 c.) Aquat:C Ecosystem - - - - 15,000 c.) Aquat:C Ecosystem - - - - - 15,000 1). Fresh Water X FMS X FMS X EAB (7,000) 2.) Marine X NMFS X FMS X EAB 10,000 d.) Sampling of Fish Species X FMS X FMS X EAB 10,000 e.) Bay Area Crulation studies in X X MCB X 10,000 | | 3.) Terrestrial | · x | Fears | × | | EAB | (5,000) |
| c.) Aquatic Ecosystem - - - 15,000 1.) Fresh Water X F&MS X EAB (7,000) 1.) Fresh Water X F&MS X EAB (7,000) 2.) Marine X NMFS X EAB (8,000) d.) Sampling of Fish Species X F&MS X EAB 10,000) e.) Preliminary Circulation studies in X F&MS X MCB (15,000) | | b.) Terrestrial Ecosystem | X | FRMS | × | | EAB | 10,000 |
| 1.) Fresh WaterXF&MSXEAB(7.000)2.) Marine2.) MarineXNMFSXEAB(8.000)d.) Sampling of Fish SpeciesXF&MSXEAB10.003)e.) Preliminary Circulation studies inXXMCB(15,000) | | c.) Aquatic Ecosystem | • | ł | ŧ | | ı | 15,000 |
| 2.) Marine X NMFS X EAB (8,000) d.) Sampling of Fish Species X F&MS X EAB (10,003) e.) Preliminary Circulation studies in X X MCB (15,000) | | 1.) Fresh Water | X | Fears | × | | EAB | (000* <i>L</i>) |
| d.) Sampling of Fish Species X F&MS X EAB 10,000 e.) Preliminary Circulation studies in X MCB (15,000 | | 2.) Marine | X | NMFS | x | | EAB | (8,000) |
| e.) Preliminary Circulation studies in X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X | | d.) Sampling of Fish Species | X | F&WS | × | | EAB | 10,000) |
| | | e.) Preliminary Circulation studies in Bay Area | X | | × | | MCB | (15,000) |
| | | | | | | | | ί. |

¢

i

ś Andrew Standards

,

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

.

•

-

| ACTA TASK ITEM NO. 10.11 Public Health-Hygienic Aspects 10.12 Pollution Contribution a.) From Land b.) Industrial c.) Domestic c.) Domestic (FOR 10.14 - See sheets 10, 11, (FOR 10.14 - See sheets 10, 11, | | | • | TASK ASS | IGNEWIS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------------|---------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| MCKA TASK WORK TITLE TASK ITEM NO. 10.11 Public Health-Hygienic Aspects 10.12 Pollution Contribution a.) From Land b.) Industrial b.) Industrial c.) Domestic c.) Domestic for Resources and Facilities (FOR 10.14 - See sheets 10, 11, | | | | | | ÷ | Wonitoring Unit in | |
| 10.11Public Health-Hygienic Aspects10.12Pollution Contributiona.) From Landa.) Industrialb.) Industrialc.) Domestic10.13Recreation Resources and Facilities10.13Recreation Resources and Facilities(FOR 10.14- See sheets 10, 11, | NCAN TASK ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK | CORPS | AGENCY | CONTR. | OTHER | liew England Division | TOTAL COST - |
| 10.12Pollution Contributiona.) From Landb.) Industrialb.) Industrialc.) Domestic10.13Recreation Resources and Facilities(FOR 10.14 - See sheets 10, 11, | 10.11 | Public Health-Hygienic Aspects | × | | × | | EAB | 1,000 |
| a.) From Land b.) Industrial c.) Domestic c.) Domestic l0.13 Recreation Resources and Facilities (FOR 10.14 - See sheets 10, 11, | 10.12 | Pollution Contribution | • | 9 | ł | J | EAB | 1 |
| <pre>b.) Industrial c.) Domestic c.) Domestic l0.13 Recreation Resources and Facilities (FOR 10.14 - See sheets 10, 11, (FOR 10.14 - See sheets 10, 11,</pre> | | a.) From Land | Х | | × · | | EAB | (1,000) |
| <pre>c.) Domestic 10.13 Recreation Resources and Facilities (FOR 10.14 - See sheets 10, 11,</pre> | | b.) Industrial | X | | × | | EAB | (2,000) |
| 10.13 Recreation Resources and Facilities (FOR 10.14 - See sheets 10, 11, | | c.) Domestic | × | | × | | EAB | (2,000) |
| (FOR 10.14 - See sheets 10, 11, | 10.13 | Recreation Resources and Facilities | х | NPS HCRS | × | State of Maine | EAB | 10,000) |
| (FOR 10.14 - See sheets 10, 11, | | | | | | | | |
| | | (FOR 10.14 - See sheets 10, 11, 12) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

يليد بيريد الم

1

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

.

| | | • | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES | |
|------------|--|-------|---------------|----------|------------|------------------------|-------|
| | | | | | • | Monitoring Unit in | |
| ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK | CORPS | AGENCY | CONTR. | OTHER | New Englan Division | TOTAL |
| 10.14 | Fish and Wildlife Characterization Studies | • | EAB USFBMS | × | NMFS | EAB | |
| E | Terrestrial Ecosystem | · | USF&MS | × | NMFS | EAB | |
| ف | Wildlife | | U.S. Fans | ×· | NMFS | EAB | |
| U | Flora | | U.S. F.&WS | × | NMFS | EAB | · |
| <i>а</i> . | Marine | | U.S Fâns | × | NMFS . | EAB | |
| e. | Mamma 1 s | | U.S. Fans | X | NMFS | EAB | • |
| ÷. | Waterfow] | | U.S. | x | NMFS | EAB | · |
| .б | Shore and Wading Birds | | U.S. Frens | X | NMFS | EAB | |
| ٦. ٢ | Pelagic Birds | | U.S. Frems | × | NMFS | EAB | |

ł

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNENTS

| ~ | - | | TASK ASS | IGNENTS | a RESPONSI | BILITIES | |
|----------|--|-------------|---------------|---------|------------|------------------------|-------|
| _ | | | | | • | Monitoring Unit in | |
| ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK | CORPS | AGENCY | CONTR. | OTHER | New Erglan Division | TOTAL |
| | Fish and Wilflife Studies Continued: | • • • | ŀ | Ð | ŧ | | 1 |
| - 1. | Finfish - Non-anadromous | | U.S. F.aws | x | | EAB | ; |
| j. | Finfish - Anadromous | · | U.S. F.ENS | × . | | EAB | |
| ż | Invertebrates - Non-Gommercial - Benthos | | U.S. F.BMS | ×. | | EAB | ÷ |
| 1 | Invertebrates - Commercial | ı | ı | I | | | 3 |
| - | Shellfish | | U.S. Fans | × | | EAB | • |
| Ĕ | Marine Worms | | U.S. Fans | × | | EAB | |
| E | Lobster | | U.S. Fans | X | | EAB | |
| ò | Macroflora | | U.S. Frens | × | | EAB | |
| | | | | | | | |

- و ۲۰

18

. ب

PLAN OF STUDY

.;

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

يت م

> تة در

مرز بر میز م

| ~ | | • | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES | ر میں زر |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | ι. | * | Monitering. | |
| NURK TASK ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK | - CORPS - | AGENCY | CONTR. | OTHER | New Englan Division | TOTAP |
| | Fish and Wildlife Studies Continued: | • - • | ł | I | ı | · · · | |
| Р. | Plankton | | U.S Faws | × | NMFS | EAB | |
| ъ. | Soils | | U.S F&WS | ×. | NMFS | EAB | |
| ۲ | Air | | U.S F&WS | × | NMFS | EAB | x |
| | Rare and Endangered Species | j | | ł | | 1 | |
| ۶. | Bald Eagle . | | U.S. F&WS | × | NMFS | EAB | |
| t. | Shortnose Sturgeon | | U.S. F&WS | × | NMFS | EAB | 2 • - - - - |
| ċ | Habitats | | U.S. F&WS | × | NMFS | EAB | |
| ۲. ۲ | Aquatic Ecosystem | | U.S. Fâns | × | NMFS | Ę \B | |
| | | | | | | | |

i

ا مه خمه مارو و ر

.

PLAN OF STUDY

~

· DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

Ì

| | | • | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES | |
|-----------------------|--|----------|------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| | | | • | • | ۰ ۲ | Monitoring Unit in | د عب |
| WORK TASK ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK | CORPS - | AGENCY | CONTR. | OTHER | New Englar Division | TOTAL COST. |
| 10.15 | Wilderness and Natural Areas | x | U.S. F r ins | × | State of Maine | EAB | (2,000) |
| 10.16 | Estuarine, Wetlands and Coastal Zone Areas | · X | U.S. F ru s | x | State [·] of Maine | EAB | (5,000) |
| 10.17 | Natural Beauty-Scenic Areas | X | | × . | | EAB | (2,000) |
| 10.18 | Archeological-Cultural Sites | ×. | | x | | EAB | (10,000) |
| 10.19 | Hístorical Sites | X | • | × | • | ESAB | (2,000) |
| 10.20 | Water bourne transportation routes, types size of vessels and amount of trade | × | | x | | CDB | (5,000) |
| 10.21 | Public Roads in Region | × | | × | F.H.A. | ENG. DIV. | (2,000) |
| 10.22 | National Marina Fisheries Service (investigations, assessment & analysis) | | NMFS | × | | EAB | (65,000) |
| 10.23 | Mariculture Developments in Règion | × | NMFS USF&MS | X | | EAB | (10,000) |
| | | | | | | | |

~~~ ~

•

ېنې نو

PLAN OF STUDY

. DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

į

.

|                       |                                                                          | •          | TASK ASS | ICOMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES               |               |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
| ,                     | ,<br>,                                                                   |            | •        | ٩        | •          | Wonitoring<br>Unit in  |               |
| NDRK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                                          | . CORPS .  | - AGENCY | CONTR.   | OTHER      | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST |
| 10.24                 | Preparation of Base Maps & Drafting                                      | •<br>• ×   |          | ×        |            | ENG. DIV.              | (000 • 2)     |
| Ĩ0.25                 | Air Quality in Region                                                    | . <b>X</b> | ,<br>,   | ×        | •          | EAB                    | (2,000)       |
| 10.26                 | Noise Quality in Region                                                  | • X        |          | ×        |            | EAB                    | (2,000)       |
| 11.0                  | Public Participation Program                                             | × .        | •        | .1       | •          | IWS.                   | 50,000        |
| 11.1                  | Conduct Workshop & Public Meetings                                       | ×          |          | ×        | •          | SMT                    | 25,000)       |
| 11.2                  | Prepare Brochures & Informational Materia                                | le X       |          | ×        | •          | SMT                    | 20,000)       |
| 11.3                  | Response to Public Inquiries                                             | ×          |          | 1        | ł          | SMT                    | (2,000)       |
| 12.0                  | Accomplish New Studies and Investigations                                | ۰,         | •        | 1        | 1          | •                      | 370,000       |
| 12.1                  | Hydraulic Turbines, Generating<br>Equip. & Reverse Fumping (an overview) | ×          |          | ×        |            | WCB                    | (5,000)       |
|                       | •                                                                        |            |          |          | •          | •                      | 14            |

Section 26 M

PLAN OF STUDY

· DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

÷

3

|                       | •                                                                              |         | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES               |         |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------|---------|
|                       |                                                                                |         | •        | •        |            | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |         |
| WORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WURK TITLE TASK                                                                | CORPS - | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | OTHER      | Key Englan<br>Division | TOTAL   |
| 12.2                  | Describe and Evaluate Alternatives<br>to Tidal Power for Generating Electricit | . ×     | •        | I        | 1          |                        | 25,000  |
| ١                     | a. Compressed Air Scorage                                                      | ·X      |          | ×        | •          | · ZWI                  | (1,500) |
|                       | b. Underground Pumped Storage                                                  | • X     |          | ×.       |            | SMT                    | (1,500) |
|                       | c. Conventional Pumped Storage                                                 | ×       |          | .×       |            | SMT                    | (2,000) |
|                       | d. Wind                                                                        | ×       |          | ×        | •          | SMT                    | (000,1) |
|                       | e. Direct Solar                                                                | ×       |          | ×        |            | SMT                    | (2,000) |
|                       | f. Hydroelectric                                                               | ×       | •        | ×        |            | SMT                    | (3,000) |
|                       | g. Nuclear Steam Cycle                                                         | .×      |          | ×        |            | ,<br>SMT               | (2,000) |
|                       | h. Conservation and Resource Recovery                                          | ×       |          | ×        |            | SMT ·                  | (2,000) |
|                       |                                                                                |         |          |          |            |                        |         |

a second and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second se

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

.

\$

|                       |                                                                            | •       | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES               |                 |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| •                     |                                                                            |         |          |          | •          | Nonitoring<br>Unit in  | 1               |
| NORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                                            | CORPS . | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | OTHER      | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST · |
| 12.2                  | · i. Load-Management                                                       | ×       |          | ×        |            | SMT                    | (2,000)         |
| 1                     | j. Building Insulation Program                                             | X       |          | ×        | •          | =                      | (1,000)         |
|                       | k. Conventional Fossil Thermal Plants                                      | . X     |          | ×        | ·          |                        | (4,000)         |
|                       | 1. Power Purchases                                                         | X.      | •        | .Х       | Ŷ          | =                      | (1,000)         |
|                       | m. Geothermal                                                              | ×       |          | ×        | •          | =                      | (2,000)         |
| 12.3                  | New Construction Methods                                                   | ×       |          | ×        |            | ENG. DIV.              | (ż,000)         |
| 12.4                  | Support Facilities required during<br>Construction, Operation, Maintenance | ×       | •        | ×        |            | ENG. DIV               | (3,000)         |
| 12.5                  | Adequacy for Community Services and<br>REquirements                        | ×       |          | ×        |            | :<br>ESAB              | (2,000)         |
| 12.6                  | Determine Labor and Equipment<br>Requirements for Construction             | ×       |          | ×        |            | ENG. DIV.              | (2,000)         |

*ر ،*،

PLAN OF STUDY

· DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

. نو \$

|                       |                                                                                     |            | TASK ASS                | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES                               |           |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|----------|------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|
|                       |                                                                                     |            |                         | •        |            | Monitoring<br>Unit in                  |           |
| NORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                                                     | CORPS -    | AGENCY                  | CONTR.   | OTHER      | New Englan<br>Division                 | TOTAL     |
| 12.7                  | Determine Labor and Equipment Require-<br>ments during operation and maint. activit | ies X .    |                         | x        |            | ENG. DIV.                              | (2,000)   |
| 12.8                  | Determine Energy Requirements during<br>construction, operation, and maintenance    | . X        |                         | ×        | · ·        | ENG. DIV.                              | (2,000)   |
| 12.9                  | Conceptual Designs for Power Projects                                               | •          | ł                       | :        |            |                                        | 52,000    |
|                       | a. Powerhouse                                                                       | <b>X</b> . | North<br>Pacific<br>Niv | ×        |            | North Paci-<br>fic Div. &<br>ENG. DIV. | (15,000)  |
|                       | b. Navigational Locks                                                               | ×          | •                       | ×        | •          | ENG. DIV.                              | (4,000)   |
|                       | c. Earth Rockfill Dams                                                              | ×          |                         | ×        |            | ENG. DIV.                              | (2,000)   |
|                       | d. Filling-Emptying Gates                                                           | ×          |                         | ×        |            | ENG. DIV.                              | (4,000)   |
|                       | e. Fishwavs                                                                         | , ×        | U. S.<br>F & WS         | I        |            | '<br>ENG. DIV.                         | (3,000)   |
|                       | f. Switchyard                                                                       | ×          |                         | ×        |            | ENG. DIV.                              | . (5,000) |

PLAN OF STUDY

..**.** 

j

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

\$

| •                                                              |                            | •         | TASK ASS | SIGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | <b>BILITIES</b>        |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|------------------------|----------|
|                                                                |                            |           | •        | •         | •          | Monitoring<br>Unit in  | *        |
| WORK TITLE T                                                   | ASK                        | . CCRPS . | AGENCY   | CONTR.    | OTHER      | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL    |
| (New<br>g. Public Highways 2 r                                 | lccations -<br>elocations) | •<br>• X  | F.H.A.   | X         |            | ENG. DIV.              | (2,000)  |
| h. Service Facilities                                          |                            | X         | •        | ×         |            | ENG. DIV.              | (2,000)  |
| i. Cofferdam                                                   |                            | • X ·     |          | ×         |            | ENG. DIV.              | (4,000)  |
| j. Closure of Barriers                                         |                            | X         | •        | X         | ·          | ENG. DIV.<br>(Des Br.  | (10,000) |
| New Topography (Photogrammetr<br>and Aerial mosaic for selecte | ic mapping<br>d areas)     | X         | •        | ×         | •          | SMT                    | (20,000) |
| ∵<br>Review of Bathymetry                                      |                            | X         | USC&GS   | ×         |            | Ξ                      | (i,000)  |
| Investigation of Experimental<br>Generating Facilities to incl | Type<br>ude                | •         | •        | 1         | 1          |                        | 7,000    |
| a. Alternate Fuels (Wood                                       | biomass)                   | ×         |          | ×         |            | EMS                    | (1,000)  |
| b. Fuel Cells                                                  |                            | X         |          | ×         |            | . =                    | (500)    |
|                                                                |                            |           |          |           |            |                        |          |

PLAN OF STUDY

. DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

...**.** 

]

t

• • •

5

|             |                       |                        |                     | <del></del>                           |               |                                      |              | •                                                                             |                            |                             |                                                         |
|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
|             |                       | TOTAL<br>COST          | (200)               | (500)                                 | (200)         | . (500)                              | (200)        | (2,000)                                                                       | (5,000)                    | (20,000)                    | (5,000)                                                 |
| BILITIES    | Monitoring<br>Unit in | New Englan<br>Division | SMT                 | =                                     | =             | =                                    | =            | SMT<br>ESAB                                                                   | R & R                      | : SMT<br>ENG. DIV           | ENG. DIV.                                               |
| & RESPONSI  |                       | OTHER                  |                     |                                       |               |                                      | •            |                                                                               |                            |                             |                                                         |
| IGNMENTS    | •                     | CONTR.                 | ×                   | ×                                     | ×             | ×                                    | ×            | ×                                                                             | ×                          | ×                           | *                                                       |
| TASK ASS    | •                     | AGENCY                 |                     |                                       |               | •                                    | •            | FERC                                                                          |                            |                             |                                                         |
|             |                       | CORPS .                | • ×                 | , X                                   | • x           | X                                    | ×            | ×                                                                             | ×                          | . ×                         | ×                                                       |
| •<br>•<br>• |                       | WORK TITLE TASK        | . c. Nuclêar Fusion | d. High Temperature Gas Cooled Reacto | e. Fly Wheels | f. Super Conducting Magnetic Sotrage | g. Batteries | Power Values for Capacity and Energy,<br>and likely alternative determination | Sources of Local Materials | Construction Cost Estimates | Annual Operation, Maintenance, and<br>Replacement Costs |
| •           | •••                   | WORK TASK<br>ITEM NO.  | 12.12               | ı                                     |               |                                      |              | 12.13                                                                         | 12.14                      | 12.15                       | 12.16                                                   |

1.1

PLAN OF STUDY

į

the subsection of the subsection of the subsection of the subsection of the subsection of the subsection of the

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

\$

|                       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | ,          | TASK ASS         | IGNMENTS   | & RESPONSI | BILITIES               |               |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
|                       |                                        |            |                  | •          |            | Monitoring<br>Unit in  | ,             |
| NORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                        | CORPS .    | AGENCY           | CONTR.     | OTHER      | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST |
| 12.17                 | Dèvelopment of Annual Benefits         | • •        | ı                | l          | t          |                        | 20,000        |
| ı                     | a. Power                               | ×          | FERC             | ×          |            | ESAB                   | (5,000)       |
|                       | b. Area Redevelopment                  | • X        |                  | *          |            | ESAB                   | (5,000)       |
|                       | c. Fisheries - Mariculture             | <b>x</b> . | U.S.F&WS<br>NMFS | ×          |            | EAB                    | (5,000)       |
|                       | d. Recreation                          | ×          |                  |            |            | EAB                    | (5,000)       |
| 12.18                 | Economic Analysis Studies              | B          | 1                | 1          | l .        | ESAB                   | 40,000        |
|                       | a. Benefit-Cost Ratio                  | ×          | BPA              | ×          |            | ESAB                   | (5,000)       |
|                       | b., Life Cycle Costing Method          | ,×         |                  | ×          |            | ;<br>'ESAB             | (20,000)      |
|                       | c. Economic Efficiency Test            | ×          |                  | <b>X</b> . |            | FSAB                   | (5,000)       |
|                       | d. Repayment Analysis & Financial Anal | X          | SEPA             | X          |            |                        | (10,000)      |
| ·                     | •                                      |            |                  |            | •          |                        | 20.           |

Designments to be broken a

PLAN OF STUDY

÷

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

|                       |                                     | •       | TASK ASS         | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES                | -             |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
|                       |                                     |         |                  |          | •          | Monitoring<br>Unit in   | 3             |
| NCRN TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                     | CORPS - | AGENCY           | CONTR.   | OTHER      | New Englan<br>Division  | TOTAL<br>COST |
|                       |                                     | •       |                  |          |            |                         |               |
| 12.19                 | Generation Mix with Existing System | X       | SEPA             | ×        | NEPOOL     | MCBİ<br>Smit            | (2,000)       |
| 12.20                 | Social/Cultural Impacts             | ×       |                  | ×        |            | ESAB                    | (10,000)      |
| 12.21                 | Economic Impacts                    | X       | 4                | ×        |            | =                       | (10,000)      |
| 12.22                 | Safety Impacts of Alternatives      | ×       |                  | ×        |            | ENG. DIV.<br>Safety Ofq | . (5,000)     |
| 12.23                 | Environmental Impacts               | X       | U.S.F&WS<br>NMFS | ×        |            | EAB<br>WCB              | (25,000)      |
| 12.24                 | Engineering Impacts                 | ×       |                  | ×        |            | ENG. DIV.               | (10,000)      |
| 12.25                 | Transportation Impacts              | ×       |                  | ×        |            | ESAB                    | (3,000)       |
| 12.26                 | Magnetic & Seismic Surveys          | ×       |                  | ×        |            | M<br>M                  | (5,000)       |
|                       |                                     |         |                  |          |            |                         | 15            |

PLAN OF STUDY

:

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

|                       |                                                                              |          | TASK ASS | STUGNMENTS | E RESPONSI | BILITIES               |               |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
| -                     |                                                                              |          |          |            | <b>P</b>   | Montcring<br>Unit in   |               |
| NORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                                              | CORPS -  | AGENCY   | CONTR.     | OTHER      | Rew Englan<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST |
| 12.27                 | Public Health - Hygienic Impact of Alter                                     | atives X |          | ×          |            | WCB                    | (2,000)       |
| 12.28                 | Visual Cultural Impacts                                                      |          |          | ×          |            | EAB                    | (2,000)       |
| 12.29                 | Historical Impacts                                                           | ·×       |          | ×          |            | ESAB                   | (2,000)       |
| 12.30                 | Archeological Impacts                                                        | ×        |          | ~          |            | EAB                    | (5,000)       |
| 12.31                 | Energy Impacts                                                               | ×        |          | ×          |            | MCB<br>SMT             | (2,000)       |
| 12.32                 | Real Estate Studies & Impacts<br>(Shoreline, Lands, & Damages)               | ×        |          | ×          |            | Real<br>Estate Di      | (15,000)      |
| 12.33                 | Electrical Generation Power Studies<br>(Math model) (For tidal alternatives) | ×        |          | ×          |            | MCB                    | (35,000)      |
| 12.34                 | Institutional Arrangements                                                   | ×        | SEPA     | ×          |            | SMT                    | (2,000)       |
| 12.35                 | Financial Arrangements                                                       | ×        |          | ×          |            | ESAB                   | (3,000)       |
|                       |                                                                              |          |          |            |            |                        | 32            |

TRANSFE THE W

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

`,

|                       |                                           | •       | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | IBILITIES              |         |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------|---------|
|                       |                                           |         |          |          | •          | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |         |
| NORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                           | CORPS - | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | OTHER      | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL   |
| 12.36                 | Report on Need for Physical Hydraulic Mod | lel X . |          | ×        |            | · MCB ·                | (5.000) |
| 12.37                 | Management Arrangements                   | ×       |          | ×        |            | SMT                    | (3,000) |
| <u>1</u> 3.0          | Develop Future Projections and Needs      | ×       |          | ×        |            | SMT<br>ESAB            | 27.000  |
|                       | a. Population in Area                     | . X     |          | ×        |            | ESAB                   | (1,000) |
|                       | (See sheet 26 & 27)                       |         |          |          |            |                        |         |
|                       |                                           |         |          |          |            |                        |         |
|                       |                                           |         |          |          |            |                        |         |
|                       |                                           |         |          |          |            |                        |         |
|                       |                                           |         |          |          |            |                        |         |
|                       |                                           |         |          |          |            |                        |         |

Ţ

V - 1 - V

っか

a and a second second second second second second second second second second second second second second secon

Ì

Ç,

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

|                       |            |                                                                         | •     | TASK ASS         | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI             | BILITIES               |         |
|-----------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------------------|------------------------|---------|
|                       | -          |                                                                         |       |                  |          | •                      | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |         |
| NORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. |            | WORK TITLE TASK                                                         | CORPS | AGENCY           | CONTR.   | OTHER                  | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL   |
| 13.0                  | <u>ه</u> . | Electrical Consumption for:                                             | •     | ł                | ,        | 1                      | ſ                      |         |
| I                     |            | 1. Residential Use                                                      | ×     |                  | ×        |                        | ŞMT                    | (1,000) |
|                       |            | 2. Industrial Use                                                       | ×     |                  | ×        |                        | SMT                    | (000,1) |
|                       |            | 3. Municipal/Public Use                                                 | × .   |                  | . ×      |                        | TWS                    | (1,000) |
|                       | ن          | Types of fuels to be used<br>(exogenous and indigenous)                 | X     |                  | ×        | State<br>of .<br>Maine | SMT                    | (1,000) |
|                       | q.         | Specia] Study for Estimated Cost<br>of Fuels & Optimum Project Schedule | ×     | DOE              | ×        |                        | ESAB                   | (500)   |
|                       | a.         | Construction Costs                                                      | ×     |                  | ×        |                        | ENG. DIV.              | (1,000) |
|                       | f.         | Benefits from Power                                                     | ×     | FERC             | ×        |                        | EAB                    | (1,000) |
|                       | ъ.         | Benefits from Fisheries & Maricultur                                    | ×     | U.S.F&WS<br>NMFS | ×        |                        | EAB                    | (1,000) |
|                       |            |                                                                         |       |                  |          |                        |                        | 173     |

.!

おおおんごかない あいはないない あいちん いたい たまちかん かかんかかん ひんかんかかいしかい バー・ きんしいがい しいかんかい しかんかい しかいせん かかい

Stratic contractor ton

Crim Bar and

10 VIN . . 10 ....

PLAN OF STUDY

;

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

\$

|                       |                     |                                       | •       | TASK ASS                      | IGNMENTS | <b>§</b> RESPONSI    | BILITIES               |         |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|----------|----------------------|------------------------|---------|
| -                     | -                   | . !                                   |         |                               |          | •                    | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |         |
| WORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. |                     | WORK TITLE TASK                       | CORPS - | AGENCY                        | CONTR.   | OTHER                | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL   |
| 13.0                  | ÷                   | Transmission Requirements             | •       | ABA                           | X        |                      | SMT                    | (000*1) |
| I                     | i.                  | Operation and Maintenance Costs       | . X     | BPA                           | ×        |                      | ENG. DIV.              | (1,000) |
|                       |                     | General and Relative Inflationary Rat | · X ·   | BPA                           | ×        |                      | ESAB                   | (000,1) |
|                       | <del>ب</del> ا<br>۲ | Relative Inflationary Rates           | ×       |                               | . ×      |                      | ESAB                   | (000°1) |
|                       |                     | Geographic Market Areas               | ×       | SEPA                          | ×        |                      | SMT                    | (000,1) |
|                       | Ē                   | Environmental Concerns                | ×       | U.S.F&WS<br>NMFS              | ×        | State<br>of<br>Maine | EAB                    | (3,000) |
|                       |                     | Social Economic Concerns              | ×       | U.S.F&WS<br>NMFS <sup>-</sup> | ×        |                      | ESAB                   | (1,500) |
|                       | 0.                  | Discount/Interest Rates               | X       |                               | ×        |                      | ESAB                   | ( 500)  |
|                       | م                   | Alternative Futures for Maine & N. E  | x       |                               | ×        | State<br>of<br>Maine | ESAB                   | (4,000) |

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

:

~

•

ì

| -                                          |            | •       | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES               |                       |
|--------------------------------------------|------------|---------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
|                                            |            |         |          |          | •          | Montoring<br>Unit in   |                       |
| WORK TITLE                                 | TASK       | CORPS - | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | OTHER      | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST         |
| Establish Criteria & As                    | ssumptions | •       | ę        | 1        | ŧ          |                        | 3,000                 |
| Engineering Aspects                        |            | X       | ŧ        | ×        |            | SMT<br>ENG. DIV.       | (1,000)               |
| Environmental Aspects                      |            | · X     |          | ×        |            | SMT<br>EAB             | (1,000)               |
| Economic                                   |            | X       |          | ×        |            | 'SMT<br>ESAB           | (1,000 <sup>-</sup> ) |
|                                            |            |         |          |          |            |                        |                       |
| PLAN FORMULATION<br>FOR TRANSMISSION LINES |            | •       | BPA      | 1        | ۰.         | 8                      | 30,500.               |
| Preliminary Reconnaissanc                  | e Study    | 1       | ·BPA     | ł        | 1          | SMT                    | incl.                 |
| Develop System Plans                       |            | •       | BPA      | ı        | 1          | SMT                    | incl.                 |
| Identify Trans. Study Ar                   | ea         | •       | BPA      | •        | 1          | SMT                    | incl.                 |
| •                                          |            |         |          |          |            |                        | 36                    |

1875)

COBSCOCK BAY, MAINE TIDAL POWER PROJECT

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

:

|            |         | TOTAL                  | incl.                       | incl.                         | incl.                           | incl.                                         | X |  |  | 1.3 |
|------------|---------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---|--|--|-----|
| BILITIES   | Whit in | New Englan<br>Division | SMT                         | SMT                           | EAB<br>SMT                      | SMT                                           |   |  |  |     |
| & RESPONSI | -       | OTHER                  |                             |                               |                                 |                                               |   |  |  |     |
| IGNNENTS   | •       | CONTR.                 |                             |                               |                                 |                                               |   |  |  |     |
| TASK ASS   |         | AGENCY                 | BPA                         | BPA                           | BPA                             | BPA                                           |   |  |  |     |
| •          |         | CORPS -                | •                           |                               | •                               |                                               |   |  |  |     |
|            | . •     | WORK TITLE TASK        | Develop Design Alternatives | Develop Alternative Corridors | Preliminary Corridor Assessment | (For<br>Prepare Stage II Report Transmission) |   |  |  |     |
|            | •       | NURK TASK I ITEM NO.   | 15.4                        | <u>1</u> 5.5                  | 15.6                            | 15.7                                          |   |  |  |     |

PLAN OF STUDY

.

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

| ~        |                                                                 | •       | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI           | BILITIES               |                 |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
|          |                                                                 |         |          |          |                      | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |                 |
| ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                                 | CORPS - | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | OTHER                | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST - |
| 16.0     | Formulation of Plans for the<br>Tidal Power Project             | . X     |          | ×        | State<br>of<br>Maine | SMT                    | 51,000          |
| 16.1     | Single Pool, Alt. x, with 62.5 MM                               | ×       |          | ×        |                      | , =                    | (3,000)         |
| 16.2     | Single Pool, Alt. x, with 125.0 MM                              | X       |          | ×        |                      |                        | (3,000)         |
| 16.3     | Single Pool, Alt. x, with 250.0 MW                              | ×       |          | ×        |                      | -                      | (3,000)         |
| 16.4     | Double Pool, Alt. x, with 40.0 MW                               | ×       |          | ×        | •                    | =                      | (3,000)         |
| 16.5     | Other Tidal Projects (other concepts<br>not known et this time) | ×       |          | ×        |                      | =                      | 1, 000          |
| 16.6     | Pumped Storage                                                  | ×       |          | ×        |                      | Ξ                      | (1,000)         |
| 16.7     | Compressed Air                                                  | ×       |          | ×        |                      | =                      | (1,000)         |
|          |                                                                 |         |          |          |                      |                        |                 |
|          |                                                                 |         |          |          | •                    |                        | 3.5             |

an ever trade

;

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED MORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

~

STATES MADER AN

|                       |                                                                          | •       | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES               |               |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
|                       |                                                                          |         |          |          |            | Monitoring<br>Unit in  | 1             |
| WORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                                          | CORPS - | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | OTHER      | Kew Englan<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST |
| 16.8                  | Development of Unused Low-Head Hydro-<br>power in Marine and New England | . ×     |          | ×        |            | SMT                    | (3,000)       |
| 16.9                  | Out of Area Furchase of Power                                            | ×       | SEPA     | x        |            | . 3                    | (2,000)       |
| 16.10                 | Wind Generation                                                          | • X     |          | ×        |            |                        | (1,000)       |
| 16.11                 | Direct Solar                                                             | ×       |          | ·×       |            | =                      | (1,000)       |
| 16.12                 | Wood Utilization Thermal Fossil Fuel<br>Fired Plant                      | ×       |          | ×        | ·          | =                      | (000 c)       |
| 16.13                 | The "Without" or "No Action" Plan                                        | x       |          | ×        |            | =                      | (3,000)       |
| 16.14                 | Environmental Plan for Tidal Power (EQ)                                  | ×       |          | ×        |            | SMT<br>EAB             | (3,000)       |
| 16.15                 | National Economic Development Plan for<br>Tidal Plan (NED)               | ×       |          | ×        |            | SMT<br>ESAB            | (3,000)       |
| 16.16                 | Lower Cost Tidal Power Plan for Tidal<br>Power                           | ×       |          | ×        |            | SMT                    | (1,000)       |
|                       |                                                                          |         |          |          |            |                        | 37.           |

1

,

PLAN OF STUDY

:

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

|                       |                                                  |      | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI           | BILITIES               |               |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------|----------|----------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|
|                       |                                                  |      |          |          | -                    | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |               |
| NORA TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                  | Saso | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | OTHER                | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST |
| 16.17                 | Cost Effective Plan for Tidal Power              | ·    |          | ×        |                      | SMT                    | (3.000)       |
| <b>16.1</b> 8         | The Recommended Plan                             | ·X   |          | ×        | State<br>of<br>Maine | SMT                    | (3,000)       |
|                       |                                                  |      |          |          |                      | •                      |               |
| 17.0                  | ACCOMPLISH ELECTRIC<br>POWER MARKETING STUDY     |      | SEPA     | ×        |                      | SMT<br>ESAB            | 20,000        |
|                       |                                                  |      |          |          |                      |                        |               |
| 18.0                  | Investigate Project Benefits for<br>Alternatives | 1    | •        | ł        | 1.                   | 1                      | 10,000        |
| 18.1                  | Power                                            | X    |          | ×        |                      | EAB                    | (2,500)       |
| 18.2                  | Area Redevelopment                               | X    |          | ×        |                      | ESAB                   | (2,000)       |
| 18.3                  | Recreation                                       | x    |          | ×        |                      | EAB                    | (1,500)       |
| 18.4                  | Fishereies - Mariculture                         | X    |          | ×        |                      | EAB                    | (4,000)       |

n saki sa M

| .

また、そのこのではないないないないないであったできょうできます。 しんしん しょうしゅうしょう

and any the second second

т. , а

ł,

₹ -

۰ ،

† r

\*\* \*\*

•

\* \* \* \*

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

1

|                       |                                                                        | •     | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI  | BILITIES                |               |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| -                     |                                                                        |       |          |          | •           | Monitoring<br>Unit in   |               |
| WORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                                        | CORPS | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | OTHER       | New England<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST |
| 19.0                  | Prepare an Impact and Effects Assess-<br>ment for Tidal Power Facility | · X   | BPA      | Х        | EAB         | EAB<br>SMT              | 86,000        |
| 19.1                  | Basɛline Information                                                   | ×     | BPA      | ×        | EAB<br>ESAB | ŢMŞ                     | (2,000)       |
| 19,2                  | Future Projections                                                     |       | ¢        | 1<br>•   | ١           |                         | 4,000         |
|                       | a. Alternative Futures                                                 | X .   | •        | ,X       |             | EAB<br>ESAB             | (2,000)       |
|                       | E. Dowinent Factors                                                    | ×     |          | ×        |             | ESAB                    | (2,000)       |
| 19.3                  | Engineering Impacts                                                    | ,     | ١        | •        |             | ł                       | 11,000        |
|                       | a. Project Layout                                                      | x     |          | ×        |             | MCB<br>DES.BR.          | (3,000)       |
|                       |                                                                        |       |          |          |             |                         |               |
|                       |                                                                        |       |          |          |             |                         |               |

m

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

÷

~ .

. .

1

:

Ì

| -                     |                            | •       | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS    | & RESPONSI | BILITIES               |                  |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|-------------|------------|------------------------|------------------|
|                       |                            |         |          | -<br>-<br>- | v          | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |                  |
| NORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK            | CORPS - | AGENCY   | CONTR.      | CTHER      | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL            |
|                       | b. Tidal Pools             | . x     |          | X           |            | WCB<br>Des. Br.        | (2,000)          |
| ł                     | c. Project Structures      | X       |          | x           |            | DES. BR.               | (3,000)          |
|                       | d. Costs                   | · X     |          | X-          |            | Des. Br.               | (3,000)          |
| 19.4                  | Project Benefits           | X       |          | Ň           |            | ESAB                   | (3 <b>,</b> 000) |
| 19.5                  | Project Economic Analysis  | Х       |          | X           | •          | ESAB                   | (5,000)          |
| 19.6                  | Financial Arrangements     | ><      |          | ×           |            | =                      | (2,000)          |
| 19.7                  | Management Arrangements    | ×       |          | ×           |            | =                      | (2,000)          |
| 19.8                  | Institutional Arrangements | ×       |          | ×           |            | SMT<br>Div. Coun.      | (2,000)          |
| 19.9                  | Marketing Arrangements     |         | SEPA     | ×           |            | SMT<br>ESAB            | (3,000)          |
|                       |                            |         |          |             |            |                        | 32.              |

7

ý

PLAN OF STUDY

~

· DETAILED MORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

į

|                       |                                                                                     | •     | TASK ASS         | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES                |                  |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------|------------------|
|                       | -                                                                                   |       |                  | ·        |            | Ponttoring<br>Unit inco |                  |
| NORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                                                     | CORPS | AGENCY           | CONTR.   | OTHER      | New England<br>Division | TOTAL            |
| 19.10                 | Economic Effects (regional and national<br>economic dévelopment) (Fisheries Industr |       | NMFS<br>U.S.F&WS | x        |            | ESAB                    | (2,000)          |
| <u>1</u> 9.11         | Social Effects (Social Mell-Being)                                                  | ×     |                  | ×        |            | ESAB                    | (2,000)          |
| 19.12                 | Environmental Effects (environmental<br>quality)                                    | . X   | NMFS<br>U.S.F&WS | X-       |            | EAB                     | <b>(10.000</b> ) |
| 19.13                 | Public Health and Hygienic Impacts                                                  | ×.    |                  | ,X       |            | MCB                     | (1,000)          |
| 19.14                 | Aesthetic-Visual Impacts                                                            | X     |                  | X        |            | EAB                     | (1,000)          |
| 19.15                 | Archeological-Cultural Impacts                                                      | X     |                  | x        |            | EAB                     | (1,000)          |
| 19.16                 | Energy Resource-Conservation Impacts                                                | x     |                  | ×        |            | SMT                     | (2,000)          |
| 19.17                 | Public Acceptability & Involvement                                                  | x     |                  | X        | Public     | SMT                     | (1,000)          |
|                       |                                                                                     |       |                  |          |            |                         |                  |

٠.

•

#### PLAN OF STUDY

### DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS . .

·.

|            |                       | TOTAL<br>COST -        | (1,000)  | (10,000)       | (1,000)             | (1.000)  | (1,000)        | (2,000)            | (1,000)     | (4,000)                                      | (2,000)                 |
|------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------|----------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| BILITIES   | Monitoring<br>Unit in | New Englan<br>Division | DES. BR. | Real<br>Estate | SMT                 | ESAB     | =              | =                  | =           | ¥<br>هو<br>بن                                | WCB                     |
| & RESPONSI | *                     | CTHER                  |          |                |                     |          |                |                    |             |                                              |                         |
| STARADI    |                       | CONTR.                 | ×        | ×              | ×·                  | ,×       | ×              | ×                  | ×           | ×                                            | ×                       |
| TASK ASS   |                       | AGENCY                 |          |                | BPA<br>SEPA         |          |                |                    |             |                                              |                         |
| •          |                       | CORPS                  | ·×       | ×              | X                   | ×        | ×              | ×                  | X           | ×                                            | ×                       |
|            |                       | WORK TITLE TASK        | Safety   | Real Estate    | Electrical Industry | Land Use | Transportation | Community Services | Labor Force | Geological-Physical Environmental<br>Effects | Tidal Resonance Effects |
|            |                       | NJRK TASK<br>ITEM NO.  | 19.18    | <u>ī</u> 9.19  | 19.20               | 19.21    | 19.22          | 19.23              | 19.24       | 19.25                                        | 19.26                   |

.

<u>.</u>

ŧ

:

PLAN OF STUDY

^

1

· DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

|            |                        |                         |                      |                           |                                         |   |   |   |   | <br> |
|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|------|
|            |                        | TOTAL<br>COST           | (3,000)              | (2,000)                   | (1,000)                                 | • |   | • | · |      |
| BILITIES   | "conitoring<br>Unit in | New England<br>Division | EAB                  | EAB                       | EAB                                     |   |   |   |   |      |
| & RESPONSI | ų                      | OTHER                   |                      |                           |                                         |   | • |   |   |      |
| IGNMENTS   |                        | CONTR.                  | ×                    | X                         | ×                                       |   |   |   |   |      |
| TASK ASS   |                        | AGENCY                  | F & W<br>NMFS        |                           |                                         |   |   |   |   |      |
| •          |                        | CORPS                   | . x                  | ·X                        | ils X ·                                 |   |   |   |   |      |
|            |                        | WORK TITLE TASK         | j. Fisn and Wildlife | k. Section 404 Evaluation | l. Disposal of Surplus Excavated Materi |   |   |   |   |      |
|            |                        | WORK TASK<br>ITEM NO.   | 19.27                | -<br>19.28                | 19.29                                   |   |   |   |   |      |

•

PLAN OF STUDY

## DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

:

|                       |                                                               | •     | TASK ASS      | SIGNMENTS | & RESPONS | BILITIES               |          |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|----------|
|                       |                                                               |       |               |           | <b>u</b>  | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |          |
| NCRA TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                               | CORPS | AGENCY        | CONTR.    | CTHER     | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL    |
| 20.0                  | Prepare Effects & Impact Assessment for<br>Transmission Lines | . Х   | BPA           | ×         |           | SMT                    | 100,000  |
| -<br>20.1             | Land Use                                                      | · ×   | =             | X         |           | • =.                   | (16,000) |
| 20.2                  | Recreation Use                                                | · X   | Ŧ             | ×         |           |                        | (2,000)  |
| 20.3                  | Transportation                                                | ×     | <b>7</b><br>1 | ×.        |           | 5                      | (2,000)  |
| 20.4                  | Land Ownership                                                | ×     | -             | ×         |           | =                      | (10,000) |
| 20.5                  | Hydrologic Features                                           | ×     | =             | ×         |           | =                      | (5,000)  |
| 20.6                  | Cultural Resources                                            | ×     | =             | ×         |           | =                      | (2-000)  |
| 20.7                  | Unique Natural Resources                                      | ×     | =             | ×         |           | =                      | (5,000)  |
| 20.8                  | Physiography/Topography                                       | >:    | =             | ×         |           | =                      | (20,000) |
|                       |                                                               |       |               |           |           |                        |          |
.

:

. . . . .

Sustein alltimatics, and the streat in for

## PLAN OF STUDY

à

DETAILED WORK T'SK & ASSIGNMENTS

| -                     |                      |            | TASK ASS | SIGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES                |               |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
|                       |                      |            |          |           | ÷          | Monitoring<br>Unit in   |               |
| NCRA TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE ASK       | CCRPS      | AGENCY   | CCNTR.    | OTHER      | New England<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST |
| 20.9                  | Wildlife -           | · ×        | BPA      | X         |            | SMT                     | (10,000)      |
| <u>ž</u> 0.10         | Fish                 | . <b>X</b> | =        | x         |            | · =.                    | (2,000)       |
| 20.11                 | Vegetation           | X          | z        | ×         |            | . =                     | (10,000)      |
| 20.12                 | Existing Utility Row | ×          | Ξ        | ·×        |            | = .                     | (10,000)      |
|                       |                      |            |          |           |            |                         |               |
| 21.0                  | Project Evaluation   | ×          | (IIA)    | ×         |            | SMT<br>EAB<br>ESAB      | 15,000        |
|                       |                      |            |          |           |            |                         | ·             |
|                       |                      |            |          |           |            |                         |               |
|                       |                      |            |          |           |            |                         |               |

6;

į

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

:

٩

|                       |                                                         | •       | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI           | BILITIES               |               |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|
|                       |                                                         |         |          | ,        | 4                    | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |               |
| WORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                         | CORPS - | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | OTHER                | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST |
| 22.0                  | Preparation of Stage II Report<br>(Devel. Inter. Plans) | • • ×   | LIR      | X        |                      | SMT                    | 48,000        |
| -<br>                 | Environmental Portions                                  | · X     |          | x        |                      | <br>EAB                | (10,000)      |
| 22.2                  | Cultural/Social/Economic portions                       | . X     |          | *        |                      | ESAB                   | (10,000.)     |
| 22.3                  | Power Portions                                          | ×       |          | · ×      |                      | MCB                    | (10,000 )     |
| 22.4                  | Stuructural portions                                    | ×       |          | ×        |                      | DES. BR.               | ( 5,000 )     |
| 22.5                  | Geologic/Foundation Portions                            | ×       |          | ×        |                      | ¥<br>•5<br>L           | (8,000)       |
| 22.6                  | Coordination                                            | ×       | Ail      |          | State<br>of<br>Maine | SMT                    | (5,000)       |
| 23.0                  | Study Management                                        | ×       |          |          |                      | SM2                    | 000-06        |
| 24.0                  | Supervision and Administration                          | ×       |          |          |                      | NED                    | 90,00         |
|                       |                                                         |         |          |          |                      |                        | ]             |

and a survey

•

• • • V .... 'af rue de lite que a

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

į

٦

|                       |                                          | •     | TASK ASS | ICOMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES               |               |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
|                       | · · ·                                    |       |          |          | •          | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |               |
| NORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                          | CORPS | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | OTHER      | New Englan<br>Livision | TOTAL<br>COST |
|                       | STAGE III DEVELOPMENT OF FINAL PLANS     | •     |          |          |            |                        |               |
| -<br>56 0             | memoral actionistic of the               | · ×   |          | ×        |            | SMT                    |               |
| 25.1                  | Conduct Workshops & Meetings             | ×     |          | ×        |            | PAO<br>SMT             | (25,000)      |
| 25.2                  | Prepare Brochures & Informational Materi | X . I |          | ·×       |            | PAO<br>SMT             | (20,000)      |
| 25.3                  | Response to Public Inquiries             | ×     |          |          |            | PAO<br>SMT             | (2,000)       |
|                       | ·                                        |       |          |          |            |                        |               |
|                       |                                          |       |          |          |            |                        | ·             |
|                       |                                          |       |          |          |            | • .                    |               |
|                       |                                          |       |          |          | ,          |                        |               |
|                       |                                          |       |          |          |            |                        | 31            |

1

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

;

`}

|                       |                                                         | •       | TASK ASS.               | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES               |                        |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|----------|------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                       | -<br>-                                                  |         |                         |          |            | Monitoring<br>Unit in  | 1.                     |
| NORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                         | CORPS - | AGENCY                  | CONTR.   | OTHER      | New Erglan<br>Division | TOTAL                  |
| 26.0                  | Formulate and Develop Final<br>Power Project Plans      | . ×     | AII                     | ×        |            | SMT                    | 275,000                |
| 26.1                  | Circulation Studies<br>Engineering Aspects              | ×       |                         | <b>X</b> |            | Eng. Div.              | (95,000)               |
| 26.2                  | Economic Aspects (including, easmts, row)               | X       | ESAB<br>Real Estat      | ×        |            | ESAB                   | (20,000)               |
| 26.3                  | Environmental Aspects                                   | X       | U.S. Fishå<br>MS - NMFS | ×        |            | EAB                    | (60,000)               |
| 26.4                  | Aesthetic-Visual Aspects                                | X       |                         | ×        | •          | EAB                    | (10,000)               |
| 26.5<br>26.6          | Financial Arrangements<br>Acceptability Aspects         | ×       |                         | ×        | •          | ESAB<br>SMT            | ( 5,000 )<br>( 5,000 ) |
| 26.7<br>26.8          | Institutional Arrangements<br>Finalize Project Benefits | ×       |                         | ×        |            | ESAB<br>SMT            | ·                      |
|                       | a. Power                                                | ×       |                         | ×        |            | ÊSAB                   | ( .3,000 )             |
|                       | b. Area Redevelopment                                   | ×       |                         | ×        |            | ESAB .                 | (3,000)                |
|                       |                                                         |         |                         |          |            |                        | ť                      |

おうちちちちょうちょう シンテル シンカバー・シーマントアリング

PLAN OF STUDY

· DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

:

| -                     |                                | •     | TASK ASS         | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI                    | BILITIES               |         |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------|------------------|----------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| -                     |                                |       |                  |          |                               | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |         |
| WORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                | CORPS | AGENCY           | CONTR.   | OTHER                         | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL   |
|                       | c. Fisheries-Mariculture       | · x   | NMFS<br>U.S.F&WS | X        |                               | ESAB                   | (2,000) |
| I                     | d. Recreation                  | ×     |                  | ×        |                               | ESAB                   | (2,000) |
| 26.9                  | Project Economic Analysis      | •     | •                | • 8      |                               |                        |         |
|                       | a. Benefit-Cost Ratio          | ×     | BPA              | ,×       |                               | ESAB                   | (1,000) |
|                       | b. Life Cycle Costing          | ×     | FERC             | x        |                               | ESAB                   | (1,000) |
|                       | c. Repayment Analysis          | X     | FERC<br>SEPA     | ×.       |                               | ESAB                   | (1,000) |
|                       | d. Financial Feasibility       | х     | FERC<br>SEPA     | X        |                               | ESAB                   | (1,000) |
|                       | e. Electrical Marketing        | X     | SEPA             | x        |                               | ESAB<br>SMT            | (1,000) |
| 26.10                 | Identifying Problems and Needs | х     | DOE              |          | State of<br>Maine &<br>Public | - TMS                  | (5,000) |
|                       |                                |       |                  |          |                               |                        |         |

۲

.

Ì

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS . :

•

| ·                     |                                                                                                           | •     | TASK ASS   | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES               |          |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|----------|------------|------------------------|----------|
| -                     |                                                                                                           |       |            |          |            | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |          |
| WORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                                                                           | CORPS | AGENCY     | CCNTR.   | OTHER      | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL    |
| 26.11                 | Validation of Seismic Studies                                                                             | · ×   |            | ×        |            | 25<br>58<br>14         | (15,000) |
| 26.12                 | Power Project Designs and Costs                                                                           | ×     |            | ×        |            | Ehg. Div<br>SMT        | (40,000) |
|                       |                                                                                                           |       |            |          |            |                        |          |
| 27.0                  | Formulate and Develop Final Plans<br>For Transmission Facilities                                          | 1     | , <b>1</b> | · ,      | 1          |                        | 250,000  |
| 27.1                  | Cetermine Corridor Evaluations                                                                            | I     | BPA        | ×        | ł          | SMT                    | (75,000) |
| 27.2                  | Develop Corridor Preference                                                                               | 1     | BPA        | ×        | 1          | TWS                    | (75,000) |
| 27.3                  | Prepare Transmission Report                                                                               | ł     | BPA        | ×        |            | SMT                    | (50,000) |
| 27.4                  | Prepare EIS on Transmission Facilities<br>(Including Section 404 Evaluation and<br>Statement of Findings) |       | BPA        | ×        |            | SMT                    | 50,000   |
|                       |                                                                                                           |       |            |          |            |                        |          |

.....

. ري.

Statistics,

# PLAN OF STUDY

į

# DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

3

| •                     |                                                    | •     | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES                |       |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|------------|-------------------------|-------|
|                       |                                                    |       | •        |          | •          | Monitoring Unit in      | •     |
| NORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                    | CORPS | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | -OTHER     | New England<br>Division | TOTAL |
| 27.4                  | a. Socio-economic                                  | •     | BPA      | ×        |            | SMT                     | incl. |
| 1                     | b. Natural Systems                                 |       | BPA      | ×        |            | SMT                     | incl. |
|                       | c. Cultural                                        | •     | BPA      | ×        |            | SMT                     | incl. |
|                       | d. Visual                                          |       | BPA      | ×        |            | ·SMT                    | incl. |
|                       | e. Engineering                                     |       | BPA      | ×        |            | SMT                     | incl. |
|                       | Prepare Transmission Portion of<br>f. Combined EIS |       | BPA      | ×        |            | SMT                     | incl. |
|                       |                                                    |       |          |          |            |                         |       |
|                       |                                                    |       |          |          |            |                         |       |
|                       |                                                    |       |          |          |            |                         |       |
|                       |                                                    | •     |          |          |            |                         |       |

.

ì

YCUTS TO VALA

:

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

٠,

| -                     |                                                                                       | •        | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES               |               |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
|                       |                                                                                       |          |          |          | -          | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |               |
| NORK TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                                                       | CORPS -  | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | OTHER      | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST |
| 28.0                  | Prepare Draft Stage III<br>Report on Project                                          | ·×       | LIA      | ×        |            | SMT                    | 54,000        |
| <u>7</u> 8.1          | Environmental Aspects                                                                 | ×        |          | ×        |            | EAB                    | (000, 6)      |
| 28.2                  | Cultural/Social/Economic Aspects                                                      | X        |          | ×        |            | ESAB                   | (10,000)      |
| 28.3                  | Power Generation Aspects                                                              | X        |          | ·×       |            | WCB                    | (10,000)      |
| 28.4                  | Structural Components                                                                 | ×        |          | ×        |            | DES. BR.               | (10,000)      |
| 28.5                  | Geologic/Foundation Considerations                                                    | ×        | •        | ×        |            | R<br>S<br>S            | (10,000)      |
| 28.6                  | Coordination                                                                          | ×        |          | ×        |            | EAB<br>SMT             | (5,000)       |
| 29.0                  | Prepare Draft Environmental Impact Staten<br>(Including 404 and Statement of Findings | ent<br>X |          | ×        |            | EAB<br>SMT             | 208,000       |
| 29.1                  | Biota                                                                                 |          |          |          |            | EAB                    | (7,000)       |
|                       |                                                                                       |          |          |          |            |                        |               |

and the second of the second second

なんどうないのできる

「あんちいあん」とないてもないでいます あっていいのない ちょうん しちちょう しゅうちょう

ţ

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

:

|                       |                                          | •       | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES               |               |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
|                       |                                          |         |          |          | •          | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |               |
| HORN TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                          | CORPS - | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | OTHER      | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST |
| 29.2                  | Terrestrial Ecosytem                     | . X     |          | X        |            | EAB                    | (3,000)       |
| -<br>29.3             | Aquatic Ecosystem                        | X       |          | ×        |            | EAB                    | (4,000)       |
| 29.4                  | Results of Sampling of Fish Species      | . X     | F & WS   | x        |            | EAB                    | (25.000)      |
| 29.5                  | Discussion of Circulation Studies in Bay | x       |          | ·×       |            | WCB                    | (10,000)      |
| 29.6                  | Public Health-Hygienic Aspects           | X       |          | ×        |            | EAB                    | (1,000)       |
| 29.7                  | Pollution Contributions                  | x       |          | ×        |            | EAB                    | (3,000)       |
| 29.8                  | Recreation Resources & Facilities        | ><      |          | ×        |            | EAB                    | (7,000)       |
| 29.9                  | Fish & Wildlife Characteristics          | ×       | F & WS   | ×        |            | EAB                    | (80,000)      |
| 29.10                 | Wilderness & Natural Areas               | x       |          | X        |            | EAB                    | (1,000)       |

t

PLAN OF STUDY

;

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

|                       | ,                                                         |            | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES<br>Monstoring | ;               |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| C - 1                 |                                                           |            |          |          | -          | Unit in 7              |                 |
| _                     | WORK TITLE TASK                                           | CORPS -    | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | OTHER      | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST · |
|                       | Estuarine & Wetland Areas & Coastal Zone                  | ` <b>x</b> |          | ×        |            | EAB                    | (2,000)         |
|                       | Scenic Areas                                              | X          |          | ×        |            | EAB                    | (1,000)         |
|                       | Archeological & Historical Sites                          | . X        |          | ×        |            | EAB                    | (2,000)         |
|                       | Institutional Aspects and Energy                          | X          |          | ×        |            | ESAB                   | (2,000)         |
| and the second second | Assessment from Nat'l Marine Fisheries S                  | rvice      | NMFS     | ×        | •          | EAB                    | (45,000)        |
|                       | Mariculture                                               | ×          |          | ×        |            | EAB                    | (5.000)         |
|                       | Air Quality                                               | X          | •        | ×        |            | FAR                    | (1,000)         |
|                       | Noise Quality                                             | ×          |          | ×        |            | EAB                    | (1,000)         |
|                       | Technical Aspects (Structures,<br>Transmission & Seismic) | ×          |          | ×        |            | E A B<br>F & M         | (5,000)         |
|                       |                                                           |            |          |          |            |                        |                 |

١

.

1

•

| YOUTS |               |
|-------|---------------|
| 0F    |               |
| PLAN  |               |
|       |               |
|       | PLAN OF STUDY |

;

DETAILED YORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

4

|   | 1                                       | •       | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES<br>Monitoring  |                 |
|---|-----------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| - |                                         |         |          |          | -          | Unit in                 |                 |
|   | WORK TITLE TASK                         | CORPS - | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | OTHER      | liew Englan<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST - |
| P | epare Fimal Stage III Report on Project | · ×     | LIA      | x        |            | EAB                     | 56 <b>,</b> 000 |
| μ | nvironmental Aspects                    | ×       |          | x        |            | EAB                     | (8,000)         |
| S | ultural/Social/Economic Aspects         | . X     |          | x        |            | ESAB                    |                 |
| a | ower Generation Aspects                 | X       | FERC     | ×        |            | WCB                     | (10,000)        |
| S | tructural Components                    | x       |          | ×        |            | DES. BR.                | (10,000)        |
| 9 | eologic/Foundation Considerations       | ×       |          | ×        |            | F&M                     | (10,000)        |
| Ö | oordination                             | х       |          | ×        |            | SMT                     | (8,000)         |
|   |                                         |         |          |          |            |                         |                 |
|   |                                         |         |          |          |            |                         |                 |
|   |                                         | •       |          |          |            |                         |                 |

;

State of the

1

PLAN OF STUDY

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

:

| MUAR TASK       WORK TITLE TASK       CORPS         ITEM NO.       Prepare Final Environmental Impact       X         31.0       Prepare Final Environmental Impact       X         31.1       Biota       X         31.1       Biota       X         31.2       Terrestrial Ecosystem       X         31.3       Aquatic Ecosystem       X         31.4       Results of Sampling of Fish Species       X         31.5       Results of Circulation Study in Bay       X         31.6       Public Health - Hygienic Aspects       X         31.7       Pollution Contributions       X | <br>;<br>,                                     | •       | TASK ASS       | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITIES               |                 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| NUME TASKWORK TITLE TASKCORPSITEM NO.Prepare Final Environmental ImpactX31.0Prepare Final Environmental ImpactX31.1BiotaX31.2Terrestrial EcosystemX31.3Aquatic EcosystemX31.4Results of Sampling of Fish SpeciesX31.5Results of Circulation Study in BayX31.6Public Health - Hygienic AspectsX31.7Pollution ContributionsX                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                |         |                |          | •          | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |                 |
| 31.0Prepare Final Environmental ImpactX31.0Statement for ProjectX31.1BiotaX31.2Terrestrial EcosystemX31.3Aquatic EcosystemX31.4Results of Sampling of Fish SpeciesX31.5Results of Circulation Study in BayX31.6Public Health - Hygienic AspectsX31.7Pollution ContributionsX                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | WORK TITLE TASK                                | CORPS - | AGENCY         | CONTR.   | CTHER      | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST - |
| J.1       Biota       X         J1.2       Terrestrial Ecosystem       X         J1.2       Terrestrial Ecosystem       X         J1.3       Aquatic Ecosystem       X         J1.4       Results of Sampling of Fish Species       X         J1.5       Results of Circulation Study in Bay       X         J1.6       Public Health - Hygienic Aspects       X         J1.7       Pollution Contributions       X                                                                                                                                                                      | : Final Environmental Impact<br>nt for Project | ×       |                | ×        |            | EAB<br>Div Coun.       | 56,000          |
| 31.2       Terrestrial Ecosystem       X         31.3       Aquatic Ecosystem       X         31.4       Results of Sampling of Fish Species       X         31.5       Results of Circulation Study in Bay       X         31.6       Public Health - Hygienic Aspects       X         31.7       Pollution Contributions       X                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                | X       |                | ×        | ,<br>,     | EAB                    | (3,000)         |
| 31.3Aquatic EcosystemX31.4Results of Sampling of Fish SpeciesX31.5Results of Circulation Study in BayX31.6Public Health - Hygienic AspectsX31.7Pollution ContributionsX                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | rial Ecosystem                                 | ×       |                | ×        |            | . =                    | (3,000)         |
| 31.4       Results of Sampling of Fish Species       X       F         31.5       Results of Circulation Study in Bay       X       Y         31.6       Public Health - Hygienic Aspects       X       X         31.7       Pollution Contributions       X       X                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Ecosystem                                      | ×       |                | ×        |            | =                      | (3,000)         |
| <ul> <li>31.5 Results of Circulation Study in Bay X</li> <li>31.6 Public Health - Hygienic Aspects X</li> <li>31.7 Pollution Contributions X</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | of Sampling of Fish Species                    | X       | NMFS<br>F & WS | ×        |            |                        | (2,000)         |
| 31.6 Public Health - Hygienic Aspects X<br>31.7 Pollution Contributions X                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | of Circulation Study in Bay                    | ×       |                | ×        |            | WCB                    | (8,000)         |
| 31.7 Pollution Contributions X                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Health - Hygienic Aspects                      | ×       | ,<br>,         | ×        |            | EAB                    | (1,000)         |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | on Contributions                               | ×       |                | ×        |            | =                      | (1,000          |
| 31.0 Recreation Resource & Facilities A                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | ion Resource & Facilities                      | ×       |                | ×        |            | =                      | (2,000          |

2

An and press descent of a set

And the state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the secon

- -----

Constitute of the supportant senses many formations

PLAN OF STUDY

... Detailed work task & assignments

|                       |                                           | •       | TASK ASS       | TOWNENTS | E RESPONST | 2171111                |          |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------|------------|------------------------|----------|
|                       |                                           |         |                |          |            | Monitoring-<br>Unit in |          |
| "URA TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                           | CORPS - | AGENCY         | CONTR.   | OTHER      | Kew Englan<br>Division | TOTAL    |
| 31.9                  | Fish & Wildlife Characteristics           | . ×     | F & WS         | ×        |            | EAB                    | (10,000) |
| <u>3</u> 1.10         | Wilderness & Natural Areas                | ×       |                | ×        |            | . =                    | (1,000)  |
| 31.11                 | Estuarine, Wetland and Coastal Zone Areas | X       | F & WS         | *        |            |                        | (2,000)  |
| 31.12                 | Scenic Areas                              | ×       |                |          |            | . =                    | (1,000)  |
| 31.13                 | Archeological and Historical Sites        | ×       |                | ×        |            | =                      | (1,000)  |
| 31.14                 | Institutional Aspects and Energy          | ×       |                | ×        |            | =                      | (1 000)  |
| 31.15                 | Assessment from Nat'l Marine Fisheries Se | rvice   | NMFS           | ×        |            | Ξ                      | (000,9)  |
| 31.16                 | Mariculture                               | ×       | F & WS<br>NMFS | ×        |            | ۲                      | (3,000)  |
| 31.17                 | Air Quality                               | X       |                | ×        |            | =                      | (1,000)  |

anne a

۰ \*

PLAN OF STUDY

:

DETAILED WORK TASK & ASSIGNMENTS

| -                     |                                                           |       | TASK ASS | IGNMENTS | & RESPONSI | BILITÎES               |                  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------|------------------|
|                       |                                                           |       |          |          | -          | Monitoring<br>Unit in  |                  |
| NURA TASK<br>ITEM NO. | WORK TITLE TASK                                           | CORPS | AGENCY   | CONTR.   | OTHER      | New Englan<br>Division | TOTAL<br>COST    |
| 31.18                 | Noise Quality                                             | . X   | \$       | ×        | ı          | EAB                    | (1,000)          |
| 31.19                 | Technical Aspects (Structures,<br>Transmission & Seismic) | ×     |          | ×        |            | EAB<br>F&M             | (3,000)          |
|                       |                                                           | -     |          |          |            |                        |                  |
| 32.0                  | Study Management                                          | ×     | 1        | 1        | 1          | SMT                    | . 000.06         |
|                       |                                                           |       |          |          |            |                        |                  |
| 33.0                  | Supervision and Administration                            | ×     |          | 1        | 1          | SMT                    | 90,000           |
|                       |                                                           |       |          |          |            |                        |                  |
|                       |                                                           |       |          |          |            |                        |                  |
|                       |                                                           |       |          |          |            |                        |                  |
|                       |                                                           |       |          |          |            |                        | <b>]</b> *.<br>~ |

\* \*

•

| PAO             | Public Affairs Office (NED)             | BPA             | Bonneville Power Administration_  |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| SMT             | Study Management Team                   | SEPA            | Southeastern Power Administration |
| F&W             | U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service          | DOE             | Department of Energy              |
| EAB             | Environmental Analysis Branch           | CDB             | Coastal Development Branch        |
| ENG DIV         | Engineering Division                    |                 |                                   |
| F&M             | Foundation & Materials Branch           |                 |                                   |
| ESAB            | Economic & Social Analysis Branch       |                 |                                   |
| WCB             | Water Control Branch                    |                 |                                   |
| CONSTR DIV      | Construction Division                   |                 |                                   |
| DES BR          | Design Branch                           |                 |                                   |
| OPS DIV         | Operations Division                     |                 |                                   |
| REAL ESTATE DIV | Real Estate Division                    |                 |                                   |
| SUR BR          | Survey Branch                           |                 |                                   |
| NMFS            | National Marine Fisheries               |                 |                                   |
| HCRS            | Heritage, Conservation and Recreation S | ervice (formerl | y BOR)                            |
| NED             | New England Division                    |                 |                                   |
| WES             | Waterways Experimental System           |                 |                                   |
| CRREL           | Cold Regionals Research & Engineering L | aboratory       |                                   |
| NPS             | National Park Service                   |                 |                                   |
| USC&GS          | U.S. Coast & Geodetic Survey            |                 |                                   |
|                 |                                         |                 |                                   |

51.

·/ .

ŧ

ALTER DE LE COM

a Andrew Statistic Contractor Contractor Contractor and Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Co Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor

•

•

## SECTION Y PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND COORDINATION

SECTION V

Low South States and the states and

3

÷

٠

and the set of the second state of the second state of the second second second states and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s

Contract of the second

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND COORDINATION

### SLCTION V

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

### V. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND COORDINATION

A. General

ころどうろうちょうない

1997 X XX

- B. The Public Involvement Program An Overview
  - 1. Reasons for Public Involvement
  - 2. Purpose of the Program
  - 3. Design of the Public Involvement Program
  - 4. Public Involvement Mechanisms
    - a. Public Meetings
    - b. Workshops, Seminars, and Citizen Coordinating Panels
    - c. Printed Materials
  - 5. Program Flexibility
- C. Public Involvement During the Preparation of the Plan of Study (As Planne)
- D. Summary of Public Involvement During Preparation of the Plan of Study
- E. Future Public Involvement Activities During Stages II and III
- F. Miscellaneous Public Involvement Activities
  - 1. Processing of Information
  - 2. Coordination with Agencies
  - 3. Record of Public Involvement
  - 4. Availability of Printed Materials
  - 5. Comments

### V. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND COORDINATION

A. General.

Statistical and the second of the second states of the second second second second second second second second

N. Sim

This section describes the proposed Public Participation Program often referred to as an Open Planning Process for the tidal power study. During the various stages of the study, the views, interaction, dialogue, reviews and reactions from the public are desired to assure proper planning and to arrive at a feasible and acceptable plan. In addition, the program will be utilized to inform the public about the project.

For the purpose of this study, the "public" is defined as any private individual, elected official, organization agency, etc. other than the Corps of Engineers.

In all phases of the study the planning process will allow interaction by the Public Involvement Program. As Figure V-1 indicates, the public should be at the center of the planning process. The public should be involved in working with the planners by providing their input throughout the life of the project, which initiates with identifying the problems and continues through implementation and construction of a project.

Refer to Attachment No. 1 for Public Participation Program for the Tidal Power Study.



Interaction of the Public Participation Proyram

Figure V-1

### B. THE PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROGRAM - AN OVERVIEW

### 1. Reasons for Public Involvement.

Coordination with the public in regards to planning of projects and their associated environmental impacts is required by legislative and executive authority.

It is also considered necessary that assistance, participation, and input from the public be received while the Plan of Study is being prepared.

The general format for the POS document itself is based on Corps of Engineers guidelines and procedures for planning Water Resource Projects as contained in Corps of Engineers, Engineering Regulations ER 1105-2-200 series. The regulation requires that a Section be devoted to the planning of a public participation program which will be continuous throughout the study period. Throughout the study, the public will be encouraged to actively assist in the formulation and selection of alternative plans.

2. Purposes of the Program.

- Promote public understanding of the Corps planning process, especially for the tidal project and transmission facilities at hand;
- Keep the public informed about the status, findings and implications of the study;
- Solicit public input regarding the needs, problems, preferences for solutions, objections and suggestions regarding the overall tidal power project, including the transmission lines.
- 3. Design of the Public Involvement Program.

The public involvement program will be designed around the following steps:

- Determine the public involvement objectives for each stage of the survey investigation
- Identify the publics

いちちじょうかんかいかいがったろう ちんちちち たんてい ちんかん

an in a start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the

- Select the public to be contacted
- Selection of Public Involvement Mechanism
- Commence early implementation
- Recognition of constraints
- Monitor and Evaluate the program
- 4. Public Involvement Mechanisms.

There are three basic mechanisms for public involvement. They are public meetings, workshops/seminars/citizens coordinating pa.als, and printed materials.

a. <u>Public Meetings</u> - During the course of this tidal power study, there will be at least three sets of public meetings where the public can officially participate and express their views concerning any alternative. These meetings (early, mid-stage and late stage) will be held in the study area and in any other area: that may be designated. In conducting these meetings, progress made by the study team will be presented in a concise and informative manner. At the conclusion of the presentations, the meeting will be opened to public discussion and the study team will answer any questions that the public might have. It is intended to encourage attendance of the public and officials at these meetings. It is desired that these meetings will be a two-way street; the study team will inform the public, and the public will inform the study team of their views on the tidal power project. In addition to the public meetings, the study team will assist any interested organization in conducting informal meetings on the project.

b. Workshops, Seminars, and Citizen Coordinating Panels -In developing citizen involvement, it is foreseen that a series of informal informational meetings, workshops and seminars would be useful in identifying concerns, problems, and constraints. These workshops and meetings (ideally) would be small and conducted in many locations. Hopefully, such meetings would develop into discussion sessions where citizens ask questions, comment and discuss points of interest or concern. In addition, there might be seminars or public appearances where members of the study team would go to citizen groups to give presentations on the progress of the study. As the project develops, it will be advantageous that a Citizens Coordinating Panel (CCP) be established. This panel would assist in disseminating information on the study, render opinions and views on study material to the Study Team, and act as a public pulse for the study in general. It is desired that the CCP comprise 6-8 persons representing a good cross section of public interests.

c. <u>Printed Materials</u> - In order to inform the public, it will be necessary to disseminate informational material. A brochure which explains the study objectives as seen by the study team will be prepared. Periodically, news letters or technical fact sheets will be prepared to explain the progress of the study. News letters will be sent to all public officials and interested citizens whose names come to the attention of the study team. The public information program will also be coordinated with the news media to increase dissemination of project data.

333

5. Program Flexibility.

、ノン語

When the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of

It is intended to execute a dynamic public involvement program for the study. As the study period will be about 4 years in length, the program may require adjustment at various intervals to relflect changing needs, public objectives, environments, or alterations in the overall study program.

### C. <u>PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT DURING THE PREPARATION OF THE PLAN OF STUDY</u> - <u>(AS PLANNED)</u>

As previously mentioned, during the preparation of the Plan of Study. it is desired to obtain input and views from the public on the project itself, the planning process, items to be studied, priorities, and public participation during the course of the overall study. In order to accomplish this, the following steps were/are being taken by the Study Management Team:

> • To defer to the Honorable James B. Longley, Governor of Maine, to issue a public announcement stating that the Corps plans to accomplish a 4-year study of the tidal power project.

(Note: This was accomplished on 7 October 1977)

- Initiate the preparation of a preliminary Plan of Study which will serve as a document which the public can react to, suggest modifications, etc.
- Issuance of a Corps Notice advising the public that Public Meetings will be held in the State of Maine, for the purpose of informing the public of the study and requesting their input to the preliminary Plan of Study document.
- Conduct Corps sponsored Public Meetings in Maine which will inform and present the tidal power study to the public.
- Conduct workshops in Maine and elsewhere as necessary wherein representatives of the state, academia and others can discuss the overall study and the Plan of Study.

 Prepare and distribute a newsletter which presents particulars of the project and the results of the preparation and public reviews of the Plan of Study.

1

- Distribute copies of the Plan of Study to interested agencies and participants in the study.
- Form a Citizens Advisory Panel which will provide input to the study, reflect public impressions, etc.

### D. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT DURING PREPARATION OF THE PLAN OF STUDY

Since the time that authority to re-evaluate the Passamaquoddy Tidal Power Project was received in December 1975 and during the preparation of a preliminary economic feasibility study to determine if further tidal power study was warranted the New England Division carried out a limited type of public participation program; the program was more of an informational type which informed some of the publics that the study had been authorized and furnished a description of the project as well as advising them what items were to be studies in the future. Following is a summary of dates and audiences which staff members of the New England Division made presentations to:

| DATE               | LOCATION      | TYPE OF AUDIENCE                                                                                                                  | ATTENDED |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 21 Jan 1976        | Cambridge, MA | Mass. Institute of<br>Technology Student Group                                                                                    | 50       |
| <b>16 Mar 1976</b> | Boston, MA    | Federal Energy Task<br>Force in New England                                                                                       | 15       |
| 8 Feb 1977         | Newton, MA    | Institute for Electrical<br>and Electronic Engineers                                                                              | 40       |
| 14 Apr 1977        | Medford, MA   | Tufts University<br>Engineering Student Group                                                                                     | 30       |
| 17 Oct 1977        | Augusta, ME   | Governor James B. Longley<br>made public Announcement that<br>the Corps would conduct further<br>study on the tidal power project |          |

| DATE        | LOCATION    | TYPE OF AUDIENCE                                | ATTENDED |
|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 27 Oct 1977 | Augusta, ME | State Officials in State<br>House, Maine        | 20       |
| 2 Dec 1977  | Medford, MA | Environmental Action<br>Group, Tufts University | 25       |
| 4 Feb 1978  | Waltham, MA | Corps of Engineers Officers<br>of New England   | 30       |

----

In addition, copies of the preliminary economic feasibility report were distributed in 19 October 1977 to the State of Maine Congressional Delegation, State of Maine officials, interested Federal Agencies, and Officials in the City of Eastport, Maine.

ì

and the second

The Public Meetings held in Maine in July 1978 informed the public of the proposed study and advised them of the planning process and what items were being considered to be studied. The handout information and the project presentation furnished information for the public to react to, and for supplying feedback to the Study Management Team. The public was asked for input and to express their views of the planning process, identify and comment on power and other water resource needs in the region, study items and their importance, project scheduling, costs, what kind and depth of a public involvement program they desire, etc. In February 1978 it was considered that the University of Maine, College of Arts and Sciences Department, would be an excellent resource for assisting the study management team in formulating a Public Participation Program for the tidal power project. On 8 March 1978 representatives of the New England Division met in Orono, Maine with Dean Haaland and Professor Johnson to discuss in a preliminary fashion what such a program might entail especially during the Plan of Study period. Preliminary results of the meeting indicated that the preparation and dissemination of informational data on the project should be accomplished, that five workshops on specific subjects (i.e. energy, environment) should be held, and that two public meetings on the study should be conducted. It was also agreed and considered essential that the first workshop would be held in Eastport, Maine in the vicinity of the project site, and that active and joint participation by the State of Maine agencies be engaged.

On 20 March 1978 a joint meeting was held in Augusta, Maine with representatives of the University of Maine, various State of Maine Agency officials and the Corps of Engineers. University of Maine personnel presented, and those in attendance concurred with, the proposed public involvement activities for the Plan of Study period. The Director,

331

Office of State Planning, made specific note of Governor James B. Longley's interest in the tidal power study and desire for active participation in the study by State agencies.

1

As a result of these meetings the New England Division, through the University of Maine (Orono), conducted five workshops at the locations listed below, during the preparation of the Plan of Study, to obtain public views and inputs.

| Location        | Subject                                                  | Date         | Attendance |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Eastport, Maine | Local Concerns                                           | 31 May 1978  | 15         |
| Orono, Maine    | Natural Resources<br>and Environmental<br>Considerations | 5 June 1978  | 25         |
| Augusta, Maine  | Energy Alternatives                                      | 7 June 1978  | 20         |
| Portland, Maine | Social-Cultural<br>Considerations                        | 9 June 1978  | 15         |
| Boston, Mass.   | Economic Considera-<br>tions                             | 12 June 1978 | 15 ,       |

The personnel attending the workshops mostly possessed qualifications in the subject of the workshop they attended. The outcome of the workshops permitted the Division to make a more meaningful Plan of Study with less gaps and omissions in items to be studied.

After completion of the workshops, three Public Meetings, as listed below, were conducted by the Division Engineer. In order to provide background information at these meetings, a fully automated audio visual presentation concerning the project was used.

| Location         | Date         | Attendance |
|------------------|--------------|------------|
| Eastport, Maine. | 10 July 1978 | 175        |
| Augusta, Maine   | 13 July 1978 | 75         |
| Lubec, Maine     | 27 July 1978 | 50         |

### E. <u>FUTURE PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES DURING</u> <u>STAGES II AND III</u>

During Stages II and III (Development of Intermediate and Final Plans) which will be approximately twenty months each, a continuous open planning program will be conducted. Public meetings, workshops, informational meetings, newsletters, etc. will be conducted and/or distributed. For more detailed information see Attachment 1.

The public involvement during these stages will involve formulation of technical or systems alternatives for the tidal power project and transmission lines, assessment and evaluation of impacts and the overall Environmental Impact Statement.

The open planning for both the transmission facilities and the tidal power project shall be carried out and presented jointly at public meetings and during other public involvement activities.

### F. MISCELLANEOUS PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES

### 1. Processing of Information

The Study Management Team will prepare information newsletters, brochures and various reports on the project for public distribution as well as respond to letters, questions, and other written comments received from the public. Other means for disseminating project information and receiving comments will be through:

331

- Public Meetings (early, mid-stage, late-stage)
- Workshops and Seminars
- Informational Meetings

U,

Presentations to groups (10 or more) upon request

Information will also be distributed through coordination with radio, television and other forms of media.

A mailing list will be maintained by the Corps of Engineers for distributing data to involved agencies, and interested groups and individuals.

2. Coordination with Agencies

Coordination of the study with other agencies will be carried out during the study period. Further information on these coordination activities are contained in Attachment 3 and 4. this Plan of Study.

3. Record of Public Involvement

A record of the public involvement program will be "made and presented in a sub-appendix to the project report (tidal power and transmission lines), and a separate "Comments and Response" section will be prepared for the associated Environmental Impact Statement.

4. Availability of Printed Materials

Copies of most printed materials will be available for distribution by requests. All printed materials including newly produced reports, transcripts of Public Meetings and notes from Workshops will be available for inspection of at various libraries throughout New England (See Attachment 2 for a list of libraries).

### SECTION V

## ATTACHMENTS

### ATTACHMENT NO. 1 (SECTION V)

### FUTURE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROGRAM

FOR

### TIDAL PCHER STUDY

### I. Introduction

The goals of this public involvement program are to inform all interested publics to involve in a meaningful way appropriate public expertise, to identify the important issues within the available time frame and to encourage and help develop appropriate participation from federal and state agencies and appropriate private and corporate citizens.

The primary strategy will be to hold a series of workshops in preparation for public meetings focused on the development of the tidal power plans and alternatives and associated assessment and evaluation.

### II. Workshops

### A. <u>General</u>

The Study Team proposes to organize workshops over the term of the planning process for the Cobscook Bay Hydroelectric Project. These workshops would periodically bring together members of the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers and members of the informed public for discussions of the current status of the project. We anticipate using the workshop format in lieu of a steering or advisory committee.

These workshops would consist of crucial opinion leaders of the local community, state and region, as well as appropriate persons from the state and federal agencies with an interest in the Tidal Power Project. These workshops would:

- 1. Bring all persons up-to-date on the plan development
- 2. Provide informed comment on the plan at each of several stages
- 3. Provide a working group atmosphere for the development and identification of alternatives

Sir's

The major advantage of this procedure would be the development of a cadre of several hundred involved, knowledgeable, and interested advisors.

There would be standing invitations to certain people and offices, while the remainder would be new participants. Two sets of such workshops a year, varying in location, would provide an overall involvement of 60-80 persons per year.

### **B.** Freliminary Preparation

Preliminary preparation includes:

- 1. research necessary for the preparation of written materials
- 2. the preparation of the information material to be presented to participants in the workshops including:
  - general fact sheet
  - (1) (2) materials specific to each workshop
- 3. the identification of major topics to be discussed for all workshops and for each workshop
- C. Format

The format used will be a working group concept with the Study Team providing the following:

- 1. a moderator and recorder for each session
- 2. formulation of specific questions for discussion and the utilization of a process which will identify crucial issues
- 3. insuring adequate discussion of each question and issue
- D. Location

The Study Team will identify and arrange for appropriate locations.

E. Participants

The Study Team would identify and invite appropriate participants for each workshop. These persons would have some competence or expertise in the general subject matter of the workshop to which they are invited. The public will be also invited for general comment and response.

### III. Post Workshop

A. The Study Team will write a summary of each of the workshops including:

1. Identification of problems identified by workshop participants

2. Identification of positions on issues by workshop participants

3. Identification of benefits of the project

4. Identification of alternatives available.

B. The Study Team will write an overall summary of the workshops in preparation for the public meetings.

C. The Study Team will translate the results into an appropriate exercise which will provide an opportunity at the public meetings to set the issues in order of priority as seen by those persons present at the public meeting.

IV. Public Meetings

A. General

Stratific whereas

ţ

Public Meetings will be conducted during the mid and late stages of the study. The purposes of these meetings is to provide an opportunity for the study results to be presented to the public and to obtain their feedback and views. The meetings will be conducted in larger facilities so as to permit greater attendance. At least one public meeting during each of the mid and final states of the study will be held in the study area.

B. The Study Team will:

1. Identify the appropriate place and time.

2. Identify participants

- 3. Prepare appropriate bacground information for the public hearings which will be available to those who come or to other interested persons
- 4. Identify the major topics to be discussed at the public meetings
- 5. Record the Public Meeting (both verbal and written statements)

344

### IV. Post Public Meetings

The Study Team will distribute copies of the record of the meetings to designated libraries and depositories as indicated in Attachment No. 3.

The results of the public meetings will be utilized in the planning of the project.

### V. Informational Bulletins and Newsletters

The Study Team will issue and distribute informational data on the project as the study progresses. This data will be published as bulletins, newsletters and handouts for workshops and public meetings.

### VI. Mailing List

Mailing lists of interested individuals, firms, agencies, etc. will be maintained for the purpose of distributing written information on the project.

### VII. Coordination with News Media

The Corps of Engineers Public Affairs Office will advise and furnish the news media information of the project as it develops as well as timing of scheduled events with as workshops and public meetings.

### VIII. The Program in General

The Public Involvement Program will be basically designed around the following seven steps:

- Determine the public involvement objectives for each stage of the study
- Identify the publics
- Select the public involvement mechanisms
- Commence early implementation
- Reungnie constraints
- Monitor and evaluate the program

### LIST OF LIBRARIES AND DEPOSITORIES FOR TIDAL POWER PROJECT INFORMATION

### ATTACHMENT NO. 2 (SECTION V)

### CONNECTICUT

Hartford Storrs

うちょうちょう ちょうちょう かんかいかん かいたい ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう

 State Library University of Connecticut

### MAINE

Augusta

Auburn Bangor

Biddeford Brunswick Calais Castine

Dennysville

Eastport Farmington Lewiston Lubec Machias

Orono Portland

Presque Isle Springvale Unity Waterville Winslow

Natural Resources Council State House Law & Legislative Library Androscoggin Regional Planning Comm. Public Library U.S. Department of Energy Penobscot Valley Regional Planning Comm. McArthur Public Library Longfellow Library (Bowdoin College) Town Hall, Public Library Nutting Memorial Library (Maine (Maritime Academy) Robert S. Friedman Laboratory (Suffolk University) Town Hall, Public Library University of Maine Bates College Town Hall, Fublic Library University of Maine Washington County Regional Planning Comm. University of Maine Public Library Center for Research and Advanced Study University of Maine Law Library and Documents Department University of Maine Anderson Learning Library (Nasson College) College Library Public Library, Miller Library (Colby College) North Kennebec Regional Planning Comm.

### Attachment 2 - (cont'd)

(Section V)

### MASSACHUSETTS

Amherst University of Massachusetts Boston Public Library U.S. Department of Energy Regional Office Fingold State Library Cambridge Harvard Graduate School of Design Widner Library Massachusetts Institute of Technology Babst Library (Boston College) Chestnut Hill Lowell Alumni Memorial Library (University of Lowell) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Goldfarb Library (Brandeis University) Gordon Library (Worcester Poly-Waltham Worcester technical Institute)

### NEW HAMPSHIRE

ConcordState LibraryDurhamDimond Library (University of New Hampshire)FranconiaNorth Country CouncilGrovetonPublic LibraryHanoverBaker Library (Dartmouth College)HudsonHills Memorial LibraryManchesterCity Library

### RHODE ISLAND

Kingston Providence

University of Rhode Island State Library Brown University

### VERMONT

Burlington

**Essex** Junction

Bailey Memorial Library (University of Vermont) Chuttenden County Regional Planning Comm.
<u>Attachment 2</u> - (cont'd)

į

(Section V)

VERMONT - (cont'd)

Montpelier

St. Johnsbury

Central Vermont Regional Planning Comm. State Library The Free Library Northeast Development Association St. Johnsbury Athenaem

### ATTACHMENT 3 (SECTION V) LIST OF AGENCIES FOR STUDY COORDINATION

Coordination of the Tidal Power Study will be carried out with the following agencies:

#### FEDERAL

Environmental Protection Agency

Council on Environmental Quality

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

Department of Transportation

. Federal Highway Administration

Department of Commerce

. Coast Guard

. National Oceanic and Atmosphere Administration

347

. Coastal Zone Management

. National Marine Fisheries Service

Department of Health, Education and Welfare

. Office of Environmental Affairs

Department of Agriculture

. Social Conservation Service

. Forest Service

Department of Interior

. Office of the Secretary

. Geological Survey

. Coast and Geodetic Survey

(Section V)

Department of Interior - (cont'd)

- , Fish and Wildlife Service
- . Bureau of Outdoor Recreation
- . National Park Service
- . Bureau of Reclamation

Department of Energy

- . Department of Energy, Region I
- . Bonneville Power Administration
- . Geothermal Energy (Washington, D.C.)
- . Southeastern Power Administration
- . Federal Power Regulatory Commission

Department of Housing and Urban Development

Federal Insurance Administration

Department of Labor

- . U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services (Ecol. Services)
- . U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service
- . Federal Energy Regulation Commission
- . Bonneville Power Administration
- . Southeastern Power Administration

#### STATE OF MAINE

Office of the Governor

Office of Energy Resources

Department of Environmental Protection

Attachment 3 - (cont'd) (Section V)

STATE OF MAINE - (cont'd)

Bureau of Public Land

Department of Marin's Resources

Department of Inland Fisheries & Wildlife

State Planning Office

State Geologist

Coastal Zone Management

#### REGIONAL

New England Regional Commission

New England Council

New England River Basins Commission

New England Governors Council

New England Interstate Water Pollution Control Commission

#### **OTHER**

Washington County Regional Planning Commission, Maine

Passamaquoddy - Pleasant Point Tribal Council

The Cities of Eastport, Lubec, and the other communities surrounding Cobscook Bay

## ATTACHMENT 4 (SECTION V) STATUTORY AND REGULATORY COORDINATION

Interagency coordination is required by the U.S. Federal Law in Water resources planning studies with Federal, State and Local agencies. Corps of Engineers' Engineering Manual EM 1120-2-101 discusses interagency coordinators in great depth. Following is a listing of the major laws which require coordination in the planning and design stages of such projects:

#### TABLE - STATUTORY AND REGULATORY COORDINATION

LAW Flood Control Act of 1917 (1 March 1917)

Flood Control Act of 1938 (28 June 1938)

Flood Control Act of 1944 (22 December 1944)

Fish & Wildlife Coordination Act (12 August 1958)

River & Harbor Act of 1960 (14 July 1960)

Forest Conservation Act (6 September 1960)

Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1961 (20 July 1961) <u>COORDINATE WITH WHOM</u> Federal Agencies

Institutions Organizations Individuals

Affected State Department of the Interior

Fish & Wildlife Service, Dept. of the Interior State agency exercising administration over wildlife in area of investigation

State Agencies

Secretary of Agriculture Appropriate State conservation agency

Secretary of the Interior

Attachment 4 - (cont'd)

The state of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s

Ŧ

#### LAW

Outdoor Recreation Act (28 May 1963)

Federal Water Project Recreation Act (9 July 1965)

Water Resources Planning Act (22 July 1965)

Wild and Scnic Rivers Act (2 October 1968)

Memorandum of Agreement with Corps of Engineers and Bureau of Reclamation (10 December 1970)

Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968 (16 October 1968)

National Environmental Policy Act of 1970 (1 January 1970)

Federal Water Pollution Act Amendments of 1972 (18 October 1972)

Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (27 October 1972)

Conservation Protection, and Propogation of Endangered Species Act (28 December 1973)

Water Resources Development Act of 1974 (7 March 1974)

Preservation of Historical and Archeological Data Act (24 May 1974) (Section V)

#### COORDINATE WITH WHOM

. .

į

Heritage, Conservation and Recreation Service

,

Nonfederal public bodies

Federal agencies, States, local governments, Individuals, Corporations, Businesses, Others concerned and River basin commissions

Secretary of the Interior Secretary of Agriculture

Bureau of Reclamation

State and Local Governments

Federal agencies, State agencies and Local agencies

Environmental Protection Agency

#### States

Secretary of the Interior

#### States

Secretary of the Interior



Charles in

Amhuswade du Eunada

1746 Massachusetts Ave. N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C.20036

era.

"I.S.C.C.

10 May 1978

Doar Karl,

Canadian Emiliason

re: Pagamaguoddy Tidal Project

I refer to your letter of December 13, 1977 inviting Canada to participate in or to observe the U.S. study on the Passamaquoddy Tidal Project. I have now been asked to inform you that Canada does not plan to participate in such a study but we would be interested in accepting your offer of naming an observer. Dr. R.G. Skinner, Environment Advisor, Science and Technology, Dept of Energy Mines and Resources will be the designated observer. His mailing address is 580 Booth Street, Ottawa KIA OE4.

I would like to express to you and to the Corps of Engineers our appreciation for having extended this invitation to Canada.

Yours sincerely,

, George Rejhon Counsellor (Environment)

Mr. Karl Jonietz Environmental Officer Office of Canadian Affairs Room 5227 Dept. of State WASHINGTON, D.C. 20500

ATTACHMENT NO. 5 (Section X)

353

and the server of the server of the

# **Public Information Brochure**

#### PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PREPARED FOR PR

# TIDAL POWER STUDY COBSCOCK BAY, MAINE, USA

#### ON MONDAY EVENING JULY 10, 1978 AT 7:00 PM

at Eastport Municipal Auditorium (Shead Memorial High School) Eastport, Maine

#### AND THURSDAY AFTERNOON JULY 13, 1978 at 1:30 PM

at Civic Center Augusta, Maine

#### U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS