





FILE COPY

NPS55-81-007 NAVAL POSIGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



5 18 103

DETECTION OF A TARGET LEAVING INTERMITTENT TRACES

Ъy

A. R. Washburn

February 1981

81

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. Prepared for:

Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940 NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA

Rear Admiral J. J. Ekelund Superintendent D. A. Schrady (Acting) Provost

This report was prepared by:

Alan R. Washburn, Associate Professor Department of Operations Research

Reviewed by:

and all all the main when a set of a set of

Kneale T. Marshall, Chairman Department of Operations Research

Released by:

alles Tolles liam

Dean of Research

REPORT DOCUME	ENTATION PAGE READ INSTRUCTIONS
J	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
NPS55-81-907	AD-4099115 (9)
4. TITLE (and Subtitie)	
	Technical yes to
Detection of a Target Lea	wing Intermittent Traces
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NU
7. AUTHOR(O	S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER
A. R./ Washburn	(A) 1/2 /
La serie de la construcción de la c	
. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME	AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Naval Postgraduate School	
Monterey, CA 93940	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND A	ADDRESS
Naval Postgraduate School	
Monterey, CA 93940	14
A. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDR	RESS(It different from Controlling Office) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this repor
	Unclassified
	14 DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNER
	184. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGR SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this A	Report)
	se; distribution unlimited. Metrect entered in Block 20, if different from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the of 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	betract antarod in Block 20, if different from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the el 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue en reverse elde	ibstract enterod in Block 20, if different from Report) If necessary and identify by block manber)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the of 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on joverce olde Detection, Search, Intermi	betract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the of 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on joverse side Detection, Search, Intermi 18. ABSTRACT (Continue on joverse side f	betract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) if necessary and identify by block mamber) if necessary and identify by block mamber) if necessary and identify by block mamber)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the of 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side Detection, Search, Intermi 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side i A target reveals its prese that are temporarily detec The main question addresse	hetroc: entered in Block 20, if different from Report) if necessary and identify by block mamber) if necessary and identify by block mamber) ittent if necessary and identify by block number) ence by occasional emission of "blobs" of materia ctable by a searcher moving in the same medium. ed is "how long will 't take the searcher to deter
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the of 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde Detection, Search, Intermi 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde f A target reveals its prese that are temporarily detec The main question addresse a blob? ²⁰ Diffusion of the a special case.	Abstract antered in Block 20, if different from Report) If necessary and identify by block number) ittent If necessary and identify by block number) ance by occasional emission of "blobs" of materia ctable by a searcher moving in the same medium. So is "how long will 't take the searcher to dete a blobs in two and three dimensions is included a
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the of 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side Detection, Search, Intermi 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side i A target reveals its prese that are temporarily detec The main question addresse a blob?" Diffusion of the a special case.	thetreet entered in Block 20, if different from Report) If necessary and identify by block matter) If necessary and identify by block matter is a second second second second second second second s
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the of 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde Detection, Search, Intermi 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde / A target reveals its prese that are temporarily detec The main question addresse a blob?" Diffusion of the a special case.	hetraci entered in Block 20, if different from Report) If necessary and identify by block number) If necessary and identify by block number) If necessary and identify by block number) If necessary and identify by block number) Ence by occasional emission of "blobs" of materia ctable by a searcher moving in the same medium. End is "how long will 't take the searcher to deter a blobs in two and three dimensions is included a DV \$5 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED

100 C. 100 C. 100

Summary: It sometimes happens that a physical phenomenon (target) leaves occasional traces of its existence as patches of emitted material (blobs) that may temporarily grow in size, but which ultimately dissipate and become undetectable. In this report we investigate the chances of contacting one of these blobs, assuming that the target moves in its medium enough to prevent effective overlap of one blob on another. Search is assumed to be random within some confined region by a sensor capable of detecting a given concentration of emitted material; the effect of this assumption is to make the contact rate at any time proportional to the total projected length (in two dimensions) or area (in three dimensions) of all extant blobs. The random search assumption is made for analytical convenience; nonetheless, the assumption is often a surprisingly accurate representation of real searches, particularly when the target is moving.

Let C be the mean number of times that a blob is contacted. Formulas for C are derived in sections 3 and 4 for the cases of diffusion in two and three dimensions. As long as C is much smaller than 1, the mean time E(T)until the first blob is contacted is approximately an exponential random variable with mean $1/\lambda C$, where λ is the Poisson rate at which blobs are emitted. Actually, $1/\lambda C$ is a slight underestimate of E(T), as is shown in sec. 2. Exact formulas for the probability distribution of T (formula A4) and E(T) (formula A5) valid for all C are derived in the appendix.

The blob that is detected may be an old one if blobs have a long lifetime. The time required to detect a blob whose age does not exceed could be analyzed by truncating the rate of detection function at t_0 ; i.e., r(x) = 0 for $x > t_0$. The effect would be to reduce the Bv_ dimensionless constant in formulas (9) and (16). Distribution/

to

Availability Codes Avail and/or Special

Dist

2. The mean time to detection exceeds $1/\lambda C$

On account of the random search assumption, the total number of contacts when the blob emission times are given is a non-homogeneous Poisson process. Let Y(t) be the mean value function of this process, and let X(t) be the actual number of contacts up to time t. Since Y(t) is itself a stochastic process, X(t) is doubly stochastic [1]. Since X(t) is a Poisson random variable with mean Y(t), E(X(t)|Y(t)) = Y(t), and E(X(t)) = E(Y(t)) by conditional expectations. This is handy because E(Y(t)) is easy to predict in equilibrium. In fact, let C be the average number of contacts per blob (see sections 3 and 4 for examples of how C can be computed). Assuming that blobs are emitted at the Poisson rate λ , we must have

(1)
$$E(X(t)) = E(Y(t)) = \lambda Ct$$

It follows that the average time between contacts is $1/\lambda C$. However, this is not the same thing as the mean time E(T) until the first contact, since the origin of T is meant to be an arbitrary point in the process, rather than a point at which a detection has just occurred and for which Y(t) is therefore likely to be large.

Since T is a positive random variable, $E(T) = \int_{0}^{\infty} P(T > t)dt$, and therefore $E(T) = E(\int_{0}^{\infty} P(T > t | Y(t))dt)$ by conditional expectations. But the event (T > t) is the same as the event (X(t) = 0), and P(X(t) = 0 | Y(t)) = exp(-Y(t)), so

(2)
$$E(T) = E\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(-Y(t))dt\right) \ge \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(-E(Y(t)))dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda Ct)dt = 1/\lambda C ,$$

where the inequality is Jensen's inequality applied to the convex function exp(-x). So the mean time to the first contact exceeds $1/\lambda C$, in general. The condition for E(T) to be approximately $1/\lambda C$ is that Y(t) should not be "very random". Intuitively, this will be the case if the contribution due to a single blob is small; i.e., if C << 1. This is correct, but the proof is not trivial, so we defer it to the appendix.

3. Evaluation of C for turbulent diffusion in the ocean

In [4], it is stated that the diffusion of substance from a point in the ocean is essentially a two dimensional problem, since there is very little vertical mixing compared to turbulent horizontal processes. Several radially symmetric formulas are offered to describe the way in which the concentration of a substance s(t,r) changes with distance (r) and time (t) from the point of injection, most of which are special cases of

(3)
$$s(t,r) = \frac{M}{\pi(\beta t)^{2\alpha/b}\Gamma(1+2/b)} \exp\left(-r^{b}/(\beta t)^{\alpha}\right),$$

where M is the amount of material released, α and b are dimensionless, and β has whatever units are required to make s(t,r) have units of material per unit area. Γ is the Gamma function, and is required in (3) in order to make the volume underneath s(t,r) equal to M for all z. The case of classical diffusion is $\alpha = 1$, b = 2.

At time t after injection, a concentration sensor will be able to detect the substance within some distance $\rho(t)$ of the point at which it was released. Suppose that M units of material could just barely be detected inside a circle of radius r_0 if the material were spread uniformly: r_0 is a measure of the sensor's sensitivity. Then $\rho(t)$ must satisfy $s(t,\rho(t)) = M/(\pi r_0^2)$ at all times t. Using (3) to solve for $\rho(t)$, we obtain

(4)
$$\rho(t) = (\beta t)^{\alpha/b} l_{n} \left(\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{(\beta t)^{2\alpha/b} \Gamma(1+2/b)} \right)^{1/b}$$
,

except that $\rho(t)$ is taken to be 0 rather than negative. If the sensor is conducting a random search at speed v in an area of size A, then the rate of contacting the blob at time t is $2v\rho(t)/A$, since $2\rho(t)$ is the projection of the circular blob normal to the searcher's track. The average number of detections per blob is therefore

(5)
$$C = \int_{0}^{\infty} (2v\rho(t)/A) dt$$

Let $K = r_0^2/\Gamma(1+2/b)$,

and the second second

substitute $\rho(t)$ from (4) in (5), and then substitute $u = (\beta t)^{2\alpha/b}/K$. The result is

(6)
$$C = (2v/A) \int_{0}^{1} [Ku]^{1/2} [\ln \frac{1}{u}]^{1/b} \left(\frac{Kb \ du}{2\alpha\beta(Ku)^{1-b/2\alpha}} \right),$$

where the upper limit of tu is the largest number for which the integrand is positive. Factoring out constants and collecting powers of Ku, (6) is

(7)
$$C = \frac{vKb}{A\alpha\beta} \int_{0}^{1} [Ku]^{b/2\alpha-1/2} [\ln \frac{1}{u}]^{1/b} du$$

The definite integral has a closed form expression [3]. Substituting this in (7), we obtain

(8)
$$C = vK^{b/2\alpha+1/2}$$
 b $\Gamma(1+1/b)/[A\alpha\beta (b/2\alpha+1/2)^{1+1/b}]$.

Recalling the definition of K, we finally have

(9)
$$C = \frac{v r_0^{b/\alpha+1} b\Gamma(1+1/b)}{A\alpha\beta \Gamma(1+2/b)^{b/2\alpha+1/2} (b/2\alpha+1/2)^{1+1/b}}$$

In classical diffusion, since $\Gamma(3/2) = \sqrt{\pi}/2$ and $\Gamma(2) = 1$, (9) reduces to

(10)
$$C = \frac{v r_0^3 \sqrt{\pi}}{A\beta (3/2)^{3/2}}.$$

However, classical diffusion is not a good description of turbulent spreading in the ocean. According to reference [4], better descriptions would be α =2, b=2, β =2 cm./sec. (Okubo and Pritchard), or α =3, b=2, β =.05 cm^{2/3}/sec, (Okubo). Both of these models are from table 5 of reference [4]. If v=10⁴ cm/sec., r_0 =10³ cm., and A=10¹⁰ cm², (9) gives C = .44 for the first of these models, and C = 1.55 for the second. These calculations may give the reader some idea of circumstances where C << 1. The fact that different investigators have arrived at models that provide answers differing by a factor of 3.5 should not be disturbing when the subject is turbulence in the ocean.

Figure 1 shows (4) for the same two models. The maximum blob size depends only on r_0 and b, and is consequently the same in both cases. The fact that the area under one curve is 3.5 times as large as the area under the other is essentially a question of blob lifetime.

4. Classical diffusion in three dimensions.

This derivation will parallel that of sec. 3. If S(t,r) is now the concentration of material per unit volume and D the diffusion constant, then (3) is replaced by

(11)
$$S(t,r) = \frac{M}{(2\pi Dt)^{3/2}} \exp(-r^2/2Dt).$$

We also have

SQUARE 10 X 10 10 INE CENERGER AS BOILD OF

📽 que table est estante tel la constanta a constanta a constanta de la telesión de la constante de la constante Constante estante de la constante de la constant



6

resid,

1000 2 2000

(12)
$$1 = \frac{(4/3)\pi r_0^3}{(2\pi Dt)^{3/2}} \exp(-\rho^2(t)/2Dt),$$

where r_0 is the radius of the largest sphere within which M units of material would be detectable if spread evenly.

Solving (12) for $\rho^2(t)$, and defining $K = (9\pi/2)^{1/3}$, we have

(13)
$$\rho^{2}(t) = 2Dt \ln\left(\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{KDt}\right)^{3/2} = 3Dt \ln\left(\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{KDt}\right),$$

except that $\rho(t) = 0$ for $t > r_0^2/KD$. The rate of detection at time t is now $r(t) = v(\pi \rho^2(t))/V$, where V is the volume of the confining region, so

(14)
$$C = \pi v/V \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho^{2}(t) dt.$$

Substituting $u = KDt/r_0^2$,

(15)
$$C = (\pi v/V) (r_0^2/KD) (3r_0^2/K) \int_0^1 u \ln(1/u) du$$

The definite integral [3] is 1/4, so

(16)
$$C = .1343 (vr_0^4/VD).$$

ALL CALL

Appendix:

Let r(x) be the detection rate on a blob at a time x after it has been emitted, and suppose blobs are emitted in a Poisson process with rate λ . Let N(t) be the number of blobs emitted in [0,t], and let S_i be the times at which they are emitted, i = 1, ..., N(t). For $0 \le u \le t$, the total

detection rate at time u is $\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} r(u-S_i)$, where r(x) is taken to be 0 i=1

for x < 0. Therefore the average number of detections in the interval $[t-\Delta,t]$, given the emission times, is

(A1)
$$Y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} r(u-S_i) du, \Delta \ge 0.$$

The stochastic process Y(t) is a filtered Poisson process with impulse response $h(t,\tau) = \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} r(u-\tau) du = \int_{t-\tau-\Delta}^{t-\tau} r(v) dv$. The generating function $t-\tau-\Delta$ of Y(t) is therefore known [2]:

(A2)
$$\psi_{t}(S) \equiv E(\exp(SY(t)) = \exp\left[\lambda \int_{0}^{t} [\exp(Sh(t,\tau)) - 1] d\tau\right]$$

By substituting $x = t - \tau$, this can be written

(A3)
$$\psi_{t}(S) = \exp\left[\lambda \int_{0}^{t} \left[\exp(S \int_{x-\Delta}^{x} r(v) dv) - 1\right] dx\right]$$

The number of detections in the interval $[t-\Delta,t]$ is a Poisson random variable with mean Y(t). The probability of no detections in this interval is therefore $E(\exp(-Y(t))) = \psi_t(-1)$. If T is the time from $t - \Delta$ to the next detection, we therefore have $P(T > \Delta) = \psi_t(-1)$. Letting

 $t \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain equillibrium,

(A4)
$$P(T > \Delta) = \exp\left(\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\exp\left(-\int_{x-\Delta}^{x} r(v) dv\right) - 1\right] dx\right)$$

Since T is a positive random variable, we also have

(A5)
$$E(T) = \int_{0}^{\infty} P(T > \Delta) d\Delta,$$

and this provides an explicit formula for E(T) that could be evaluated by numerical integration in any specific case. However, suppose the mean number of contacts per blob (C) is <<1. Since $C = \int_{0}^{\infty} r(v) dv$, it follows that $\int_{x-\Delta}^{x} r(v) dv$ <<1, and therefore that

1 -
$$\exp\left(-\int_{x-\Delta}^{x} r(v) dv\right) \approx \int_{x-\Delta}^{\infty} r(v) dv$$
, and therefore that

(A6)
$$P(T > \Delta) \approx exp(-\lambda f(\Delta))$$
, where

(A7)
$$f(\Delta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\int_{x-\Delta}^{x} r(v) dv \right] dx$$

Since f(0) = 0, and since $(d/d\Delta) f(\Delta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r(x-\Delta) dx = C$, it must be true that $f(\Delta) = C\Delta$, and therefore that T is approximately exponential with mean $1/\lambda C$. Furthermore since all of the above approximations can be written as inequalities,

(A8)
$$E(T) \geq 1/\lambda C$$
,

with equality holding when $C \ll 1$.

References

- Larson, Harold J., and B. O. Shubert (1979), Probabilistic Models in Engineering Sciences (vol II), pp 692-693, Wiley, New York.
- [2] ibid, sec 7.6.
- [3] Standard Math Tables, Chemical Rubber Co.
- [4] Pritchard, D. W., et. al (1971), <u>Radioactivity in the Marine</u> <u>Environment</u> (ch. 4), National Academy of Sciences.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	COPIES
Dean of Research Code 012 Naval Postgraduate School	1
Monterey, California 93940	
Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	2
Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	2
Commanding Officer Submarine Development Squardron 12 Groton, Connecticut 06340	1
Director Strategic Systems Project Office 1931 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, Virginia 20376 Attn: Code SP2021	1
Naval Air Development Center Library Johnsville, Pennsylvania 18974	1
Center for Naval Analysis 2000 N. Beauregard St. Alexandria, Va. 22311	1
Naval Weapons Laboratory Dahlgren, Virginia 22448	1
Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390	1
David Taylor Naval Ship Research & Development Center Bethesda, Maryland 20034	1
Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, California 92132	1
Naval Intelligence Support Center 4301 Suitland Road Washington, D.C. 20390	1

1. . . . 2. . . A (1.

11

the state of the s

•

Naval Electronics Systems Command 2511 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, Virginia 20360	1
Naval Underwater Systems Center New London, CT 06320	1
Naval Underwater Systems Center Newport, Rhode Island 02840	1
Anti-Submarine Warfare Systems Project Office Code ASW-137 Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360	1
Daniel H. Wagner, Associates Station Square One Paoli, PA 19301	1
Tetra Tech, Inc. 1911 Fort Meyer Dr. Suite 601 Arlington, VA 22209	1
ORI, Inc. 1400 Spring St. Silver Spring, MD 20910	1
EPL Analysis Suite 312, 3460 Olney-Latonsville Road, Olney, MD 20932	1
Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940 Attn: R. N. Forrest, Code 55Fo A. R. Washburn, Code 55Ws Library, Code 55	1 10 1

and the second second

in the second

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

Naval	Pos	tgra	duate	School
Monter	ey,	ĊA	93940)

Code 55Gv Code 55Hh Code 55Hl Code 55H1 Code 55JC Code 55La Code 55La Code 55Ls Code 55Mg Code 55Mg Code 55Mg Code 55Mu Code 55Mu Code 55Mu Code 55Mu Code 55Mu Code 55Ni Code 55Py Code 55Py Code 55Pk Code 55Pk Code 55Rh Code 55Rh Code 55Rh Code 55Rh Code 55Su Code 55Su Code 55Su
Code 55%s Code 55Ze L. Ishii

i. A

13

•

to bush the

