

AD-A095 491

COLD REGIONS RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING LAB HANOVER NH F/G 6/6  
THE FATE AND EFFECTS OF CRUDE OIL SPILLED ON SUBARCTIC PERMAFRO--ETC(U)  
DEC 80 L A JOHNSON, C M COLLINS, E B SPARROW EPA-78-D-F0395  
CRREL-80-29 NL

UNCLASSIFIED


1 of 1  
AD-A095 491



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
3-81  
DTIC

# CRREL LEVEL

REPORT



12

*The fate and effects of crude oil spilled on  
subarctic permafrost terrain in interior Alaska*

AD A005401

FEB 25 1981

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A  
Approved for public release;  
Distribution unlimited

81 2 24 032

*Cover: View looking downslope on the summer  
oil spill plot in the Caribou-Poker Creeks  
Research Watershed, Alaska. Elevated  
crosswalks allowed access to the plot.*



*The fate and effects of crude oil spilled on  
subarctic permafrost terrain in interior Alaska*

L.A. Johnson, E.B. Sparrow, T.F. Jenkins, C.M. Collins, C.V. Davenport, T.T. McFadden

December 1980

Prepared for  
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
By  
UNITED STATES ARMY  
CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
COLD REGIONS RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING LABORATORY  
HANOVER, NEW HAMPSHIRE, U S A

Approved for public release distribution unlimited

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER CRREL Report 80-29	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD A095494	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) 6 THE FATE AND EFFECTS OF CRUDE OIL SPILLED ON SUBARCTIC PERMAFROST TERRAIN IN INTERIOR ALASKA		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 12 77
7. AUTHOR(s) L.A. Johnson E.B. Sparrow T.F. Jenkins C.M. Collins C.V. Davenport, T.T. McFadden.		8. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 15
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory Hanover, New Hampshire 03755		10. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) EPA-78-D-F0395
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Arctic Environmental Research Station Fairbanks, Alaska 99703		12. REPORT DATE December 1980
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 14 CRREL-80-29		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 77
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Alaska                      Vegetation Arctic regions Forests Oil spills Permafrost		

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  
This study was conducted to determine the short- and long-term physical, chemical and biological effects of spills of hot Prudhoe Bay crude oil on permafrost terrain near Fairbanks, Alaska. Two experimental oil spills, one in winter and one in summer, of 7570 liters (2000 gallons) were made at a forest site.  
The winter-spill oil moved within the surface moss layer beneath the snow. The summer-spill oil moved primarily below the moss in the organic soil. The oil moved faster and further downslope in the summer spill. Oil in the winter spill stopped during the first day but remobilized and flowed further downslope in the spring. The total area affected by the summer spill was nearly one and one-half times as large as that affected by the winter spill.  
The initial heat of the spilled oil had little measurable thermal effect on the soil. However, thaw depth significantly

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

20. Abstract (cont'd)

> increased following two full thaw seasons. The greatest increases occurred beneath oil blackened surfaces.

Evaporation of volatile components is the most significant weathering process in the first two years. Volatiles evaporated faster from surface oil than from oil carried deeper into the soil profile. Microbial degradation has not been observed.

The indigenous soil microbial populations responded differently to winter and summer oil applications, ranging from inhibition to stimulation, with stimulation appearing to predominate.

Vegetation showed both immediate and long-term damage. Damage was greatest near the top of the slope and in areas with surface oil. Deciduous species showed damage faster than evergreen species.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

## PREFACE

This report was prepared by Larry A. Johnson, Charles M. Collins, and Dr. Terry T. McFadden of the Alaskan Projects Office, U. S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory; Thomas F. Jenkins of the Earth Sciences Branch, Research Division, CRREL; Dr. Elena B. Sparrow, Institute of Water Resources, University of Alaska; and Charlotte V. Davenport, formerly of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Arctic Environmental Research Station.

The work was supported by funding from the Arctic Environmental Research Station in Fairbanks under interagency agreement EPA 78-D-F0395. This report has been reviewed by Corvallis Environmental Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. The authors acknowledge the assistance of the following individuals: Dr. Charles Slaughter, Institute of Northern Forestry, for his help in project initiation, planning and preliminary site work; Dr. Frederick Deneke, U.S. Forest Service, St. Paul, Minnesota, for his guidance and consultation in experimental design of vegetation studies; Dr. Brent McCown, Department of Biology, University of Wisconsin, for his review and critique of vegetation studies and critical review of this report; Dr. Arthur Linkins for providing root respiration data; Dr. Ronald Gordon, AERS, for his consultation and critique of the microbiological studies and his review of this report; Paul Sellmann, CRREL, for review of this report; Daniel Leggett, CRREL, for his help in sampling the winter spill and review of the report; Ellen Foley and Helen Hare, CRREL, for outstanding technical support in chemical determinations; Ruth McFadden, Joan Forshaug and Robert Jackson (formerly of EPA) for technical support of the microbiological studies; and Eleanor Huke and Edward Perkins, CRREL, for preparation of the illustrations used in this report.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, or promotional purposes. Citation of brand names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

Accession for	✓
NOIS	
DATE	
BY	
REMARKS	
A	

## CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract .....	i
Preface .....	iii
Summary .....	vii
Introduction .....	1
Methods .....	2
Site description .....	2
Oil application .....	4
Physical characterization .....	4
Thermal characterization .....	4
Oil and oily soil characterization .....	4
Soil microbiological methodology .....	6
Vegetation .....	8
Results and discussion .....	9
Oil movement .....	9
Effects on permafrost .....	12
Compositional changes .....	13
Microbiological responses .....	22
Oil effects on vegetation .....	33
Conclusions .....	39
Recommendations .....	40
Literature cited .....	41
Appendix A: Physical and thermal information .....	45
Appendix B: Chemical information .....	47
Appendix C: Microbiological information .....	51

## ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	
1. Location of oil spill site .....	2
2. View looking downslope prior to oil application .....	3
3. Typical profile of Saulich soil at Caribou-Poker Creek .....	3
4. Oil being spilled along a 5-m length of perforated pipe .....	4
5. Following oil flow downslope .....	5
6. One-meter-square quadrat used for sampling vegetation .....	8
7. Snow melting near the header during hot crude oil application .....	9
8. Plane views of the downslope movement of oil .....	10
9. Plane views of the summer and winter spills .....	11
10. Vertical penetration of oil into soil profile .....	12
11. Cross-section thaw depths .....	13
12. Gas chromatogram of the original oil used for the Caribou-Poker spills .....	15
13. Headspace chromatograms of oil taken from a pool on the winter spill plot .....	16



Figure	Page
14. Headspace chromatograms of oily soil from the winter spill plot...	17
15. Headspace chromatograms of oily soil from the summer spill plot...	18
16. Headspace chromatograms of oily soil taken from the Prudhoe Bay spill site.....	20
17. Chromatograms of oil extracted from soil taken from several Alaskan oil spill sites.....	20
18. 1976 MPN counts of denitrifying bacteria.....	26
19. 1977 MPN counts of denitrifying bacteria.....	26
20. 1978 MPN counts of denitrifying bacteria.....	26
21. 1976 MPN counts of cellulose-utilizing bacteria.....	27
22. 1976 MPN counts of cellulose-utilizing filamentous fungi.....	27
23. 1976 MPN counts of oil-utilizing bacteria.....	27
24. 1976 MPN counts of oil-utilizing yeasts.....	27
25. 1977 and 1978 MPN counts of oil-utilizing bacteria.....	28
26. 1977 and 1978 MPN counts of oil-utilizing yeasts.....	28
27. 1976 counts of oil-utilizing filamentous fungi.....	29
28. 1977 and 1978 MPN counts of oil-utilizing filamentous fungi.....	29
29. Respiration in situ in oiled and unoiled plots.....	30
30. Cottongrass tussocks growing despite being surrounded by surface oil.....	34
31. Soil pit for determining rooting habits and belowground oil movement.....	34
32. Cottongrass roots growing through oil-soaked soil.....	35
33. Steele Creek oil spill along the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System.....	38
34. Flowchart representing the observed effects of a massive crude oil spill.....	40

## TABLES

Table	
1. Average thaw depth.....	14
2. Initial characteristics of Prudhoe Bay crude oil used in study.....	14
3. Loss of volatiles from spill plots.....	17
4. Alkane/aromatic and pristane/n-C <sub>17</sub> ratios for topped soil extracts..	19
5. Alkane/aromatic and pristane/n-C <sub>17</sub> ratios for samples from other Alaskan spills.....	21
6. Analyses of samples collected in July 1978.....	22
7. 1976 heterotrophic bacterial counts in oiled and unoiled plots.....	23
8. 1977 heterotrophic bacterial counts in oiled and unoiled plots.....	23
9. 1978 heterotrophic bacterial counts in oiled and unoiled plots.....	23
10. 1976 filamentous fungal propagule counts in oiled and unoiled plots	23
11. 1977 filamentous fungal propagule counts in oiled and unoiled plots	24
12. 1978 filamentous fungal propagule counts in oiled and unoiled plots	24
13. 1976 yeast counts in oiled and unoiled plots.....	25
14. 1977 yeast counts in oiled and unoiled plots.....	25
15. 1978 yeast counts in oiled and unoiled plots.....	25

Table	Page
16. 1976 counts of proteolytic bacteria in oiled and unoiled plots . . . . .	25
17. 1976 counts of anaerobic bacteria in oiled and unoiled plots . . . . .	26
18. 1976 in vitro soil respiration rates in oiled and unoiled plots . . . . .	29
19. 1977 and 1978 in vitro soil respiration rates in oiled and unoiled plots . . . . .	29
20. 1976 in situ soil respiration in oiled and unoiled plots . . . . .	30
21. 1976 soil water content in oiled and unoiled plots . . . . .	31
22. 1977 and 1978 soil water content in oiled and unoiled plots . . . . .	31
23. Oil content 5 m downslope from point of oil application . . . . .	31
24. Nutrient content of black spruce foliage . . . . .	36
25. Mortality of black spruce . . . . .	36
26. Pre- and post-spill vegetation analysis . . . . .	37
27. Respiration of ectotrophic mycorrhizal feeder roots . . . . .	39

## SUMMARY

In February and July of 1976 two experimental 7570-L (2000-gal.) spills of hot Prudhoe Bay crude oil were conducted in subarctic interior Alaska in the Caribou-Poker Creeks Research Watershed. Information was obtained during the subsequent three-year study concerning the following:

1. Movement of the oil downslope with time
2. Movement and current presence of oil in the soil profile
3. Change in oil composition with time
4. Responses of various soil microbiological populations
5. Botanical effects of oil contamination

The following results and conclusions were obtained.

1. There are distinct differences between the effects of a winter oil spill and those of a summer spill.

2. In both winter and summer spills, oil flowed downslope following the microtopography of the site for distances of 35 and 41.5 m, respectively. The summer spill impacted an area nearly one and one-half times as large as the winter spill ( $303 \text{ m}^2$  vs  $188 \text{ m}^2$ ). Downslope movement in the summer spill was primarily in the organic soil. In the winter spill, initial movement occurred beneath the snow, over and through the moss layer, resulting in a much larger area of surface flow ( $76.3 \text{ m}^2$ ) relative to the summer spill ( $30.3 \text{ m}^2$ ). Oil movement stopped after one day in the winter spill. The oil then remained immobilized until snowmelt, when it moved further downslope, this time generally in the organic soil.

3. The total area impacted by these spills was surprisingly small. This was primarily due to the ability of the thick moss layer and organic soil to absorb large quantities of oil. In addition, evaporation of volatiles reduced the volume and mobility of the oil. The average oil content in the impacted areas for the winter and summer spills was 41 and 25 L/m<sup>2</sup> respectively (or 24 and 40 m<sup>3</sup> of soil/m<sup>3</sup> of oil).

4. The location of oil in the soil profile differed in the two spills. In the upslope portion of the winter spill plot, the oil resided primarily in the moss and organic soil. Further downslope, where movement occurred after spring breakup, the oil resided primarily in the organic soil. In the upslope portion of the summer spill, most of the oil is in the organic soil, but oil has penetrated as deep as 8 cm into the mineral soil. Areas further downslope in the summer spill find oil in a narrow band in the organic soil.

5. The initial heat input of the hot crude oil did not significantly affect either the frozen soil surface in the winter spill or the depth of thaw in the summer spill.

6. After two full thaw seasons, increased depths of thaw have been observed in the oiled area, with the greatest thaw below visibly oil-blackened areas. If this trend continues, thermokarsting and reduction in slope stability could result.

7. Compositional change in the spilled crude oil during the first two years is primarily a result of the evaporation of volatiles. No observable translocation of water-soluble components was found below the area of physical oil movement. The type of oil degradation usually attributed to microbial activity was not observed in the first two years after the spill.

8. The oil will probably remain largely intact for long periods in the soil unless some measures are used to accelerate oil degradation.

9. During the first growing season after the winter spill, the filamentous fungal population was inhibited, whereas the heterotrophic bacterial population was stimulated. After the summer spill there was a brief initial depression of both the

filamentous fungal and bacterial populations. This was followed by a general enhancement. In both oil spill plots, denitrifying, proteolytic, oil-utilizing and cellulose-utilizing microorganisms (including yeasts) were favorably affected by the oil spills.

10. During the second and third growing seasons following the oil spills, the filamentous fungal populations were inhibited in both oiled plots. Inhibition was greater in the winter than in the summer plot. The heterotrophic bacteria and yeasts were stimulated in both oiled plots, with greater stimulation in the summer plot. The numbers of denitrifying bacteria, oil-utilizing bacteria and oil-utilizing yeasts remained elevated in the oiled plots.

11. After an initial decrease 24 hours after the oil spills, *in vitro*\* soil respiration generally increased in both oiled plots relative to the control for the duration of the study. Soil respiration *in situ*† increased in the oiled plots only where plants killed by the oil were not removed. This indicates that the increased substrate from dead plant material is at least partially responsible for the increased soil respiration.

12. The effects of crude oil on indigenous soil microbial populations and their activities appear to be both direct and indirect. The filamentous fungal population may be inhibited by toxic crude oil components and reduced aeration. Other microbial populations and *in vitro* soil respiration may be stimulated by the addition of carbon substrate in the oil and from plants damaged or killed by the oil.

13. Vegetation mortality was highest within areas of surface flow in the upslope portions of the plots. Damage to vegetation was delayed and reduced in areas which were both further downslope and impacted by subsurface flows.

14. In general, deciduous species showed the most rapid injury. Evergreen species displayed delayed symptoms. Injuries have continued to appear up to the present, with additional mortality of black spruce in the winter spill site through the third growing season.

15. Rooting characteristics, as well as aboveground growth form, significantly influence sensitivity to the oil. Cottongrass tussocks, with their vertical rooting habit, appear to be the most oil-resistant species on this site.

16. There is no evidence of the recovery of vegetation in areas of surface flow in these spills. Other crude oil spills in Alaska have shown some vegetative recovery within the same time frame, possibly because of greater soil moisture.

17. Because of the complex interactions among the effects of crude oil spills, a variety of scientific disciplines is necessary to assess environmental impact.

---

\* In the laboratory

† In place, on the site

# THE FATE AND EFFECTS OF CRUDE OIL SPILLED ON SUBARCTIC PERMAFROST TERRAIN IN INTERIOR ALASKA

L.A. Johnson, E.B. Sparrow, T.F. Jenkins, C.M. Collins,  
C.V. Davenport, T.T. McFadden

## INTRODUCTION

During the last 15 years, oil exploration and development, spurred on by increasing demand for oil and declining petroleum reserves in temperate regions, has been increasing in the Arctic and Subarctic. One large pipeline for transporting crude oil, the Trans-Alaskan Pipeline System (TAPS), was completed in 1977 and other smaller ones have been constructed in Canada and the Soviet Union. These projects have resulted in spills of crude oil, and more spills are inevitable.

Regulatory agencies and scientists became interested in ascertaining the environmental effects of petroleum spills as soon as development of petroleum reserves began in the northern regions. In the early seventies, a number of small-scale studies were begun to document the effects of spills (Deneke et al. 1975, Hutchinson et al. 1974), while other studies examined biological recovery after spills (Hunt 1972, Cook and Westlake 1974, McGill 1977). Other individuals recorded the effects of refined petroleum spills along a military pipeline in Alaska (Deneke et al. 1975, Rickard and Deneke 1972, Hunt et al. 1973). However, before 1975 only one study, in the Mackenzie Valley of Canada, had attempted to experimentally determine the effects of larger petroleum spills (MacKay et al. 1974, Hutchinson et al. 1974, Cook and Westlake 1974). Recently a collection of articles summarizing past and ongoing oil spill research in Alaska, including interim reports of the research presented here, has been published (*Arctic*, vol. 31, no. 3, 1978). In 1975 the Alaskan Projects Office of the

U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory received funding from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Arctic Environmental Research Station to study the fate and effects of crude oil spilled on permafrost terrain. This study was specifically designed to simulate the size and location of an actual crude oil spill from a functioning pipeline. An open black spruce stand, representative of interior subarctic Alaska, was selected in the Caribou-Poker Creeks Research Watershed. Two spill plots were designated and 7570 L (2000 gal.) of hot (57°C) Prudhoe Bay crude oil was applied to each. One spill was conducted in winter and one in summer to assess differences in behavior and impact of crude oil at the two temperature extremes of the subarctic climate.

The four overall objectives of the study were:

1. To document the physical effects of crude oil spills on a black spruce forest in the interior of Alaska, emphasizing the mode of transport, the size and shape of the area of impact after different periods of time, and the effects on the underlying permafrost
2. To determine the fate of petroleum spilled in subarctic terrestrial environments
3. To evaluate the effect of crude oil spills on soil microbial populations and their activities
4. To evaluate the effects of crude oil spills on vegetation

In order to meet these multidisciplinary objectives the following personnel were engaged on the project

1. Geologist to determine the physical extent of oil spread and the thermal effects on underlying permafrost (Charles Collins)




Figure 1. Location of oil spill site.

2. Engineer: to determine the factors influencing the thermal regime of the soil and the design of spill systems (Terry McFadden)

3. Chemist: to determine the changes in the chemical composition of the petroleum with time and over the extent of the spill (Thomas Jenkins)

4. Microbiologists: to determine the response of soil microbial populations to winter and summer oil spills (Elena Sparrow and Charlotte Davenport)

5. Botanist: to determine the effects of the crude oil upon the existing vegetation species and the extent of their recovery (Larry Johnson).

## METHODS

### Site description

The study site lies in the lower reaches of the Caribou-Poker Creeks Research Watershed, 48 km northeast of Fairbanks, Alaska (Fig. 1). The site is about 300 m above sea level and situated on a moderate (7–8°) west-facing slope. Two study plots, each 10 m by 50 m with the long axis downslope, were established for oil application. Control areas were designated nearby. The closest stream is Poker Creek, 800 m downslope of the site. An abandoned water diversion ditch lies between the study site and Poker Creek, guarding against inadvertent oil contamination.

The study site is an open black spruce (*Picea mariana* (Mill.) Britt, Stearns & Pogg.) stand (Fig. 2) with a shrub understory of Labrador tea (*Ledum decumbens* (Ait.) Hult. and *Ledum groenlandicum* (Oeder) Hult.), resin birch (*Betula glandulosa* Michx.), and blueberry (*Vaccinium uliginosum* L.). A few scattered willows (*Salix* spp.) occur in the area. Mosses and lichens cover 50% or more of the ground surface and various herbs can be found. Cottongrass tussocks (*Eriophorum vaginatum* L.) have scattered distribution but are of local importance (Troth et al. 1975).

Elevated crosswalks were installed at 5-m intervals across the treatment plots to allow direct access to the entire surface of the plots with minimum disturbance by surface trampling (Fig. 2).

The soil on the site is typical of the Saulich series found in the lower slopes of the watershed (Rieger et al. 1972). A typical profile consists of 25 to 5 cm of moss ( $O_1$ ), 8 to 0 cm of reddish-brown peat ( $O_2$ ), 8 to 0 cm of brown mixed organic and silt loam ( $A_1$ ), and grayish silt loam extending to permafrost ( $C_2$ ) (Fig. 3). The soil, a histic pergelic cryaquept, is poorly drained, with an active layer depth of 15 cm to 50 cm, depending on the thickness of the organic mat and proximity to shrubs and trees. Both the organic and mineral soils are acidic, generally about pH 4 (Troth et al. 1975, Rieger et al. 1972).



Figure 2. View looking downslope prior to oil application on summer spill plot. Note elevated crosswalks installed for access to plot.




Figure 3. Typical profile of Saulich soil at Caribou-Poker Creek spill sites.

### Oil application

The crude oil for the study was obtained from Prudhoe Bay and hauled by truck in 208-L (55-gal.) drums to an area near the site. The oil was transferred to a 7570-L (2000-gal.) tank and transported to the spill site on a large tracked vehicle. The oil was heated to 57°C, using heat tapes, in a closed system to preserve the volatile components, and was spilled through a 5-m length of perforated pipe (Fig. 4) at about 170 L/min over a 45-minute period. The winter spill was conducted on 26 February 1976 at an ambient air temperature of about -5°C (23°F). The summer spill was carried out on 14 July 1976 to approximately coincide with the peak of the growing season and maximum yearly temperatures. The air temperature during the summer spill was 25°C (77°F). In each test 7570 L (2000 gal.) of oil was spilled.

### Physical characterization

After the spill, the rate of oil flow downslope was determined by probing the soil with wooden dowels at predetermined locations on a 1-m grid (Fig. 5). The presence of the crude oil was readily discerned by sight and smell, and confirmed by UV-fluorescence (Deneke et al. 1975) when questionable.

In July 1978 small pits were excavated at selected sites on the two spill plots to determine the vertical distribution of the oil in the soil profile. The depth of oil penetration was determined visually.

### Thermal characterization

Vertical thermocouple arrays were installed with individual thermocouples at five levels (see Appendix A, Fig. A1 and A2 and Table A1).

Changes in the depth of thaw in the plots were determined by probes conducted during the thaw periods. Six cross sections were laid out 1, 3, 6, 9, 14 and 20 m downslope from the spill point in each plot and frequent probes were made at 1-m intervals each summer.

### Oil and oily soil characterization

Initial oil samples were obtained at the time of the spills, cooled rapidly to preserve their integrity with respect to volatile components, and characterized along with samples collected later by the following techniques:

1. Headspace analysis for volatiles by gas chromatography
2. Silica gel/alumina column chromatography to obtain major oil components (alkanes, aromatics, asphaltenes and NSO's)



*Figure 4. Oil being spilled along a 5-m length of perforated pipe at winter plot. Note in the background the tank in which the oil was heated prior to the spill.*





*Figure 5. Following oil flow downslope on the summer plot by visually inspecting wooden dowels.*

3. Characterization of the alkane fractions by capillary flame ionization gas chromatography (Apiezon L and Dexsil SCOT columns)

4. Characterization of all oil fractions by scanning infrared spectroscopy.

Soil cores were collected initially, and then periodically over a three-year period. Each sample was taken with a 5.7-cm-diameter corer, divided visually into overlying moss ( $O_1$ ), brown organic layer ( $O_2$  and  $A_1$ ), and grayish-brown silt loam ( $C_2$ ), and individually stored in prewashed glass canning jars. The samples were iced immediately and kept frozen until analysis. Additional samples were collected in July 1978 from small soil pits dug at selected points in the contaminated areas.

The soil samples were analyzed as follows. A subsample was taken, placed in a scintillation vial, sealed with silicone rubber septum material, and equilibrated at room temperature (approximately 22°C) for 24 hours. The headspace was sampled with a gas-tight syringe, and analyzed by gas chromatography (McAuliffe 1971).

Gas chromatographic analysis was conducted as follows:

Analytical column: 5% Durapak, Carbowax 400 on Porasil C (9 ft x  $\frac{1}{8}$  in.)

Oven temperature: programmed from -20°C to 150°C at 10°/min

Flow rate: 20 mL/min of helium carrier gas

Detector: flame ionization

The remainder of the soil sample was manually extracted with chloroform (Mallinckrodt, nanograde). For oily soils, extractions were continued until the color of extract was visually similar to that from a control soil (up to 15 individual extractions). The extracts were combined and the chloroform removed by evaporation. The amount of residue, containing material  $C_{15}$  and greater, was determined gravimetrically.

The dry weight of the soil was obtained by oven-drying the extracted soil at 105°C for at least 24 hours. Percent oil was calculated by dividing the chloroform extractable residue by the dry weight of soil.

The extracted oil was fractionated as follows.

The amount of asphaltene material was removed by precipitation with pentane and determined gravimetrically. The remainder of the material was fractionated by silica gel-alumina column chromatography in a manner similar to that described by Bailey et al. (1973). In our study, the column was sequentially eluted with 100 mL of pentane, 150 mL of benzene, and 100 mL of methanol (Mallinckrodt, nanograde), with the amount of material in each fraction determined gravimetrically.

The alkane fraction was further characterized by gas chromatography. Several 50-ft x 0.02-in. SCOT columns were used, including Dexsil 300 GC and Apiezon L. Identification of individual peaks was initially obtained by comparison to standard samples and confirmed later by gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy (HP 5992). Ratios of pristane (2, 6, 10, 14 tetramethylpentadecane) to  $n\text{-C}_{17}$  (heptadecane) were obtained by measuring the peak heights of these components in the Apiezon L chromatograms. The peak heights (and thus their ratio) are not absolute measurements and are dependent on the degree of separation achieved for these two components. The higher numbers reported elsewhere for this ratio (Jenkins et al. 1978) were obtained on a different analytical column of the same variety.

Infrared spectra of all the fractions, obtained by silica gel-alumina fractionation, were determined on a PE 167 scanning IR spectrophotometer.

Inorganic analysis of soil was done on dried, sieved (2 mm) mineral ( $C_2$ ) and organic ( $O_2$ ,  $A_1$ ) soils which had been treated with chloroform to remove the oil. Soil pH was obtained with a digital pH meter (glass electrode) on a 5:1 (distilled water to dry soil) suspension according to Jackson (1958). Exchangeable  $\text{Ca}^{++}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{++}$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$  were obtained by further extraction of a subsample of the dried soil with 1N ammonium acetate (Jackson 1958) and determination by atomic absorption spectrometry (PE 303). Exchangeable ammonium was determined on a second soil subsample by extraction with 1N KCl and determination by the automated phenate method (Technicon Industrial Method 98-70W 1973). Soil nitrate was determined in the KCl extract by the automated cadmium reduction method (Technicon Industrial Method 271-73W 1973). All values for  $\text{Ca}^{++}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{++}$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  are reported on a dry weight soil basis.

Soil water samples were collected in July 1977. These samples were obtained by digging

small pits on each spill plot (and in a control area), just beyond the farthest downslope point where visible oil was detectable in the soil profile, and allowing water to collect in the pits. Several of these water samples were analyzed for total organic carbon by combustion infrared analysis using a Beckman 915 Total Organic Carbon Analyzer. The dissolved volatile material ( $<C_8$ ) was determined by a modified stripping technique according to Leggett (1979).

### Soil microbiological methodology

#### *Collection and processing of soil microbiological samples*

Soil samples were collected from the  $A_1$  horizon (zone of organic matter accumulation) and from the upper 5-7 cm of the  $C_2$  horizon in each test plot. Soil in the oiled plots was sampled where the concentration of oil appeared to be highest (the first 5 to 10 m downslope from the line of oil application). The soil sampling dates in 1976 were 27 February, 17 June, 14 July, 12 August, and 27 September; in 1977 the dates were 9 June, 5 July and 1 August; in 1978 the dates were 27 June and 12 July. Each oiled plot was first sampled within 24 hours of the oil application. During the winter sampling (February), a gasoline-powered corer was used to obtain soil cores (frozen). During the growing season (June to September), soil samples were taken with a hand-operated corer. Several soil cores were randomly taken from each plot. Soil cores were examined, then separated into  $A_1$  and  $C_2$  sections and placed in separate containers. The soil cores were kept at 4°C and processed within 24 hours. During the sample processing, plant roots were removed, the soil cores composited, and subsamples taken for microbial and chemical analyses. All dilutions and plating for microbial analyses were done in a 10°C environmental room to minimize possible heat injury to psychrophilic microorganisms.

#### *Enumeration of soil microorganisms*

Changes in microbial numbers were monitored using dilution plate count (surface-spread) and most-probable-number (MPN) techniques. Plate count agar (Difco) with cycloheximide (50 mg/L) plus additional agar (5 g/L) was used for counting heterotrophic and anaerobic bacteria. Martin's medium (Martin 1950) was used for enumerating filamentous fungi and yeasts. A gelatin medium and the procedure described by Rodina (1972) were used to count proteolytic

bacteria. A nitrate medium and a five-tube MPN procedure (Alexander 1965) were employed to determine the abundance of denitrifying bacteria. Five replicate plates or five tubes per dilution were used for microbial enumeration except for the anaerobes, which were done in triplicate. All groups were incubated at 20°C for one week and 4°C for two weeks except the denitrifiers, which were incubated for one month at both temperatures. Anaerobiosis for determining the abundance of anaerobic bacteria was attained by evacuating Brewer jars, flushing with nitrogen gas containing 5% carbon dioxide, and using copper sulfate treated steel wool (Parker 1955).

The enumeration of oil-utilizing microorganisms was a two-step process using a five-plate MPN procedure. Initially, samples were plated on a modified silica gel medium containing 1% Prudhoe Bay crude oil. After one month of incubation (at both 4°C and 20°C), these plates were replicated onto plate count agar to estimate the bacterial population and onto Martin's medium to estimate the fungal populations. The replica plates were subsequently incubated, one week for the 20°C plates and two weeks for the 4°C plates. The presence of one or more colonies on the replica plate constituted a positive test.

Silica gel plates were prepared according to the procedure of Funk and Krulwich (1964) with the mineral salts medium of Bushnell and Haas (1941). Our modification was the addition of a sufficient quantity of colloidal silica (Cab-O-Sil MS obtained from Cabot Corp., Boston, Mass.) to the mineral medium to make a final concentration of 1% colloidal silica in the gel plates. The colloidal silica was added to help maintain dispersion of oil in the gel plates. In making the silica gel plates, the components were autoclaved separately and allowed to come to room temperature before use. Sterilized oil (Robertson et al. 1973) was added to the mineral-colloidal silica medium and the medium was mechanically mixed to disperse the oil. Then the other components were added, the mixture shaken, and the plates poured. Gelling occurred within one minute. This was important in maintaining dispersion of the oil in the plates.

The numbers of cellulose-utilizing microorganisms were estimated on silica gel plates using the five-plate MPN procedure. The silica gel plates contained 1% cellulose (Sigmacell-Type 20<sup>R</sup>) as a sole carbon source. The colloidal silica was not added.

#### *Soil respiration measurements*

In vitro respiration rates of the soil samples were determined by measuring carbon dioxide evolution rates in biometer flasks (Bellco Glass, Inc.) according to the procedure of Johnson and Curl (1972). Five replicate determinations were done on samples from each soil horizon in each test plot incubated at 4°C and 20°C.

In situ soil respiration was determined by measuring carbon dioxide evolution rates. Open-ended cylinders (7.5 cm in diameter) were sunk into the test plots. The live moss plant layer was clipped before the ten cylinders were embedded into the control plot to ensure that only litter, root, and soil respiration were being measured. Two sets of cylinders were embedded in the oiled lots, one with the killed moss layer removed (five cylinders per plot) and one with the killed moss layer intact (ten cylinders per plot). Carbon dioxide evolution rates were determined according to Coleman (1973), with the exception that the alkali jars were suspended (from the rubber stoppers used to cap the cylinders) instead of being placed on the soil surface. Control cylinders containing only jars of alkali were run simultaneously during the 24-hour period that respiration rates were being measured.

#### *Soil-water, pH, and oil determination in soil microbiological samples*

Water content of soil samples was determined using the gravimetric method described by Gardner (1965). The pH of fresh soil samples was measured using a glass electrode in a 1:1 soil-distilled water suspension (Peech 1965).

Oil content in the soil samples was determined gravimetrically on benzene extracts of soil. Three replicates of approximately 15 g of wet soil from each soil sample were dried at 105°C for 24 to 48 hrs. Each replicate soil sample was then extracted four to five times with 50-mL portions of benzene. The extracts were combined, evaporated to dryness in a fume hood at room temperature, and the residue weighed. The percent dry residue obtained from the unoled soil was subtracted from the percent dry residue from oiled soil to give corrected percent oil from oiled plots. The weight of the oil contributing to the dry weight of soil was taken into consideration in the calculation of the oven-dry weight of oiled soils.

#### *Statistical analyses of microbiological data*

Counts of microorganisms and in vitro soil respiration rates are expressed on the basis of



Figure 6. One-meter-square quadrat used for sampling vegetation.

the oven-dry weight of the soil. Plate counts, soil respiration rates, and water content (untransformed data) were statistically analyzed using analysis of variance and Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan 1955). Confidence limits were calculated for the MPN counts. In the comparison of two MPN estimates, a non-overlap of the 95% confidence limits was considered indicative of a significant difference between the estimates (Cochran 1950).

#### **Vegetation**

Prior to the spill, the vegetation of both plots was characterized using a number of 1-m-square quadrats (Fig. 6). All quadrats were sampled again in July 1978. Data were collected according to methods of Ohmann and Ream (1971) as previously used in the research watershed (Troth et al. 1975). Nomenclature follows Hulten (1968) for herbaceous species, Viereck and Little (1972) for shrub and tree species, Crum et al. (1973) for moss species, and Hale and Culbertson (1966) for lichen species.

Pre- and post-spill measurements were made of percentage of cover and frequency of vegetation species. The number of stems or individuals was also recorded for some shrub and herbaceous species.

The site was visited during the growing seasons, biweekly during 1976 and 1977 and

monthly during 1978, in order to record the time and extent of oil-related injuries. Visual estimates of damage were made and photographs were taken of affected quadrats.

Samples of the current year's spruce needles from trees within and outside the winter and summer spill areas were taken in 1975 and 1976. These were analyzed for total nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium using a Technicon Auto-analyzer to determine if the spills significantly affected nutrient content.

Dr. Arthur Linkins, Virginia Polytechnic Institute, took several soil cores from both the winter and summer oil spill plots during the 1977 growing season. These were used to evaluate ectotrophic root respiration for species including black spruce, blueberry, and Labrador tea. Root respiration rates and respiratory quotients (ratio of carbon dioxide released to oxygen absorbed) were determined in a Gilson differential respirometer using the direct KOH method.

Dead black spruce on the plots were marked in August 1977. In August 1978 both plots were reexamined to determine if any additional spruce had died. The number of dead spruce was recorded through 1977 and for 1978.

Soil pits were dug within the plots during July 1978 to determine vertical and horizontal root distribution. Root distribution was then compared with oil distribution.




Figure 7. Snow melting near the header during hot crude oil application on the winter plot.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Oil movement

The winter spill was conducted on 26 February 1976. The 57°C oil was applied from a 5-m-wide header with 6-mm holes spaced every 10 cm. The oil was spilled on top of a snowpack which was approximately 45 cm deep. The hot oil rapidly melted holes in the snow, with the snow melting and collapsing 1 to 2 m downslope of the header (Fig. 7). The oil moved downslope under the snow without disturbing the snow surface. Most of the movement occurred just above and within the moss ( $O_2$ ), above the frozen organic ( $O_2$ ,  $A_1$ ) and mineral ( $C_2$ ) horizons. Although the oil was not visible from the surface, its presence beneath the snow was observed by probing and is plotted with respect to time in Figure 8a.

The movement of oil continued at a gradually decreasing rate for 24 hours following the spill before it became immobilized over an area extending 18 m downslope of the header. The oil remained stationary throughout the remainder of the winter and did not resume its movement until snowmelt in May 1976. With the onset of warm weather, portions of the oil gradually moved an additional 17 m downslope. The oil moved beneath the moss layer and was visually undetectable at the surface. This is in contrast to the initial winter oil movement which occurred

over and within the moss layer. The total area affected by the oil was about 188 m<sup>2</sup> or about 24 m<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>3</sup> of oil, with an average oil concentration of 41 L/m<sup>2</sup> in the impacted area.

The summer spill was conducted on 14 July 1976 in the same manner as the winter spill. A similar spill rate of 170 L/min was used and the oil temperature at the header was also 57°C. As the oil spilled onto the surface, it rapidly penetrated to the peat ( $O_2$ ) horizon and moved downslope beneath the moss. Oil disappeared from view less than a meter downslope from the spill point and was only visible downslope in surface depressions where pools formed. Oil movement continued rapidly for approximately 24 hours, at which time it had moved 28 m downslope. After 48 hours the oil had moved only an additional 6 m. Oil continued to move downslope until winter freezeup in October 1976, at which time it had moved another 7 m. Oil movement plotted with respect to time is shown in Figure 8b.

The total area affected by the summer spill was 303 m<sup>2</sup> or 40 m<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>3</sup> of oil, more than one and one-half times the area affected by the winter spill. The average concentration of oil in the impacted area was about 25 L/m<sup>2</sup>, considerably less than in the winter spill. The areas affected by the oil in both cases compare favorably with the range of 20 to 100 m<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>3</sup> of oil predicted by MacKay et al (1974). However,




Figure 8. Plane views of the downslope movement of oil during the summer and winter spills, showing the total areas affected by oil.

the subsurface flow found in the summer spill differs from that observed by MacKay et al where the oil flowed over water-saturated moss. The differences observed are probably a result of a lower moisture content in the moss and upper soil layers of the summer spill. This allowed the oil to quickly penetrate to the organic soil where downslope flow occurred. If the moss layer had been very wet at the time of the summer spill, it would probably have caused the oil to float on top of the moss and flow downslope on the surface.

Only 10% of the 303-m<sup>2</sup> summer spill area had oil visible on the surface. On the other hand, 40% of the 188-m winter spill had visible surface oil. The difference in visible oil on the surface reflects the different modes of oil movement in the two spills.

In July 1978 the vertical penetration of oil into the soil profile was determined at several points in the two spill plots. A diagram of the location of oil in the soil profile at each site is shown in

Figure 10. Five locations were chosen and labeled with site coordinates across-slope and downslope (see Fig. 9 for locations) as follows:

Summer 1-2\*, 8-9† (Fig. 10a)

A site with no visible oil on the surface, near the edge of a visibly impacted oil area.

Summer 4-5, 8-9 (Fig. 10b)

A location in the upper portion of the summer plot which had 80% of the surface visibly impacted by oil.

Summer 7, 34 (Fig. 10c)


A location near the bottom of the oiled area which was impacted by oil movement several days after the spill.

Winter 8-9, 11-12 (Fig. 10d)


A site impacted from above at the time of the winter spill which had a heavily oil-impacted surface.

\* Across slope coordinate (Fig. 9a)

† Downslope coordinate (Fig. 9a)



a. Summer spill.



b. Winter spill.

Figure 9. Plane views of the summer and winter spills showing surface and subsurface oiled areas.

**Winter 15, 34 (Fig. 10e)**

This location was affected by previously immobilized oil which began moving through the organic layer following spring breakup, several months after the spill.

The diagrams from locations downslope on the summer spill (Fig. 10 a-c) confirm that movement occurred predominantly in the organic layer. Upslope, in the heavily oil-impacted zone,

oil flowed through the overlying moss and penetrated the mineral soil to a depth as great as 8 cm (Fig. 10b). Oil generally penetrated only 1 cm into the mineral soil further downslope (Fig. 10c). Oil movement upwards from the organic soil into the overlying moss was also reduced downslope to approximately 1 cm.

Oil contamination from above occurred in the first 18 m of the winter plot as shown in Figure 10d. The major portion of the oil in this section was found in the moss layer, with significant

# Vertical Penetration of Oil Into Soil Profile




Figure 10. Vertical penetration of oil into soil profile.

amounts in the upper part of the organic layer. Little oil was found in the mineral soil.

The distribution of oil in the lower portion of the winter plot was quite different. This area was impacted by oil that began to move again after breakup. Oil in this region was in a narrow band about 3 cm thick within the organic layer (Fig. 10e). No oil was found in either the moss or mineral soil in this section of the plot.

While the average concentrations of oil in the summer and winter plots were 25 and 41 L/m<sup>2</sup> it is clear that this oil was not uniformly spread over the contaminated zone. Areas such as summer 4-5, 8-9 have much higher amounts of oil, while those such as winter 15, 34 have very little.

It is useful to compare the physical behavior of these controlled spills with two actual spills from the Trans-Alaskan Pipeline System (TAPS) at Valve 7 (19 July 1977) and Steele Creek (15 February 1978). At Valve 7 more than 300,000 L (80,000 gal.) of crude oil was sprayed under high pressure as far as 1200 m downwind, but the oil only saturated the vegetation within an area 230 m from the valve (Walker et al. 1978). In contrast, at Steele Creek more than 1,900,000 L (500,000 gal.) of crude oil sprayed down into the gravel workpad. Although some minor amounts sprayed into the air, most of the oil seems to have flowed on or under the surface. While some oil flowed in the upper organic layers of the soil underneath the snow (similar to the CRREL winter spill) large amounts also flowed over the top of the snow surface. Evidently the oil, which had reached a temperature of only 10°C (50°F) in the pipe, cooled sufficiently before reaching the snow so that it could flow over it. The behavior of these actual spills seems to combine aspects of both the experimental spray-spills conducted by Deneke et al. (1975) and the experimental point-spill studies reported here.

## Effects on permafrost

Very little modification of the underlying frozen ground occurred during the actual spills. During the winter spill, most of the heat of the oil was dissipated by the melting of snow. There may have been some minor thawing of the permafrost table in the upper levels of the summer plot at the time of the spill. However, the actual thermal mass of oil, even at 50°C, was negligible compared to the thermal mass of the underlying permafrost. In addition, the oil moved downslope so rapidly that there was little concentration or pooling which could supply






Figure 11. Cross-section thaw depths in 1977 and 1978, 3 m downslope of oil application.

enough heat to thaw the permafrost table. Insulation, such as the moss and peat layers above the permafrost, increases the time and the amount of heat required to penetrate to the permafrost.

Oil-blackened surface areas have a lower albedo than non-affected vegetation, causing the surface to warm more than in non-affected areas. This can result in long-term increases in depth of thaw. Figure 11 presents the maximum thaw depths in 1977 and 1978 for cross sections of the two spill plots and a control area 3 m downslope. The greatest thaw depths in the winter and summer plots generally correspond to the surface-oil impacted areas.

Table 1 summarizes the average thaw depths of the six cross sections in each of the three plots at 1, 3, 6, 9, 14 and 20 m downslope as well as the percent change in thaw depth from 1976 to 1977 and from 1977 to 1978. The profiles were obtained at thermally equivalent times during each year at near maximum thaw depths. On 28 September 1976 there had been a total of 3575 degree-days of thawing. On 20 September 1977 there had been 3567 degree-days of thawing. And on 26 September 1978 there had been 3576 degree-days of thawing.

One full thaw season (1977) following the summer spill, significant increases occurred in the average thaw depth in the winter and summer spill plots as compared to 1976, 21.2% and 17.5% respectively. Data from the control plot are unavailable for 1976. We assume there was little or no change in depth of thaw from 1976 to 1977 in the control plot. This is based on the lack of change from 1977 to 1978 and the equivalent degree-days of thawing for 1976, 1977 and 1978.

After the second full thaw season, additional smaller increases in the thaw depths occurred in the winter and summer plots. Thaw depths in the summer plot increased by 9.4% over the previous year and by 13.2% in the winter plot with its greater oiled surface area. Although others have reported little increase in the thickness of the active layer beneath crude oil spills (Hutchinson and Freedman 1975, Freedman and Hutchinson 1976), measurements in this study show continued increases in thaw depths several years after the initial spills.

Two years of these data are insufficient to predict the long-term effects of the oil on permafrost. The thawing trend underway may stabilize or even reverse itself if, for example, vegetation starts to reestablish on the spill areas. Continuation of the present trend could result in catastrophic thermokarsting. However, stabilization of thaw seems most likely as long as the organic mat above the mineral soil is not destroyed.

#### Compositional changes

The Prudhoe Bay crude oil used in the study was characterized by a number of chemical methods in order to assess changes due to weathering on slope. These included fractionation with respect to major classes of components by column chromatography and further characterization of each fraction by gas chromatography and infrared spectroscopy. Some of the pertinent data obtained are summarized in Table 2. A gas chromatogram of the original oil is shown in Figure 12.

The major processes thought to contribute to weathering of oil after a terrestrial spill are evaporation of volatiles, solubility and translocation of water-soluble components, and microbiological degradation. Of these, the loss of volatiles was found to have the largest initial impact. Photochemical degradation, many times the controlling factor in degradation of oil in marine spills, is negligible in terrestrial systems where the amount of oil exposed to sunlight is small.

Samples of the original oil, oil from pools in

**Table 1. Average thaw depth (cm).**

	Distance downslope (m)	1976	1977	1978	Change, 76-77 (%)	Change, 77-78 (%)	Avg Change, 76-77 (%)	Avg change, 77-78 (%)
Winter	1	55.4	73.3	87.8	+ 32.3	+ 19.8		
cross	3	53.9	67.4	81.4	+ 25.0	+ 20.8		
sections	6	54.2	67.4	74.9	+ 24.4	+ 11.1		
	9	49.5	56.1	62.9	+ 13.3	+ 12.1	21.2	13.2
	14	44.9	53.4	57.6	+ 18.9	+ 7.9		
	20	47.2	52.6	54.7	+ 11.4	+ 4.0		
Average thaw for year		50.9	61.7	69.9				
Summer	1	48.6	58.0	65.8	+ 19.3	+ 13.4		
cross	3	43.6	57.6	60.3	+ 32.1	+ 4.7		
section	6	45.2	52.4	60.3	+ 15.9	+ 15.1	17.5	9.4
	9	46.6	54.9	62.3	+ 17.8	+ 13.5		
	14	48.1	54.4	57.1	+ 13.1	+ 5.0		
	20	51.3	55.4	58.6	+ 8.0	+ 5.8		
Average thaw for year		47.2	55.5	60.7				
Control	1		62.3	63.7		+ 2.2		
cross	3		61.6	61.9		+ 0.5		
sections	6		52.0	52.4		+ 0.6		
	9		52.0	52.4		+ 0.8		+ 0.7
	14		54.6	53.5		- 2.0		
	20		55.6	56.3		+ 1.3		
Average thaw for year			57.1	57.5				
Degree days of thawing	3575	3567	3576					

**Table 2. Initial characteristics of Prudhoe Bay crude oil used in study.**

Specific gravity	0.89
Sulfur	1.0%
Volatiles (C <sub>1</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> )	8%
(C <sub>7</sub> -C <sub>15</sub> )	15%
Major components of residue (C <sub>15</sub> -C <sub>40</sub> )	
Alkanes	33%
Aromatics	30%
Asphaltenes	22%
Soluble NSO	11%
Insoluble NSO	4%
Pristane/n-C <sub>17</sub> ratio	0.65
pH	7.2
Alkane/aromatic ratio	1.12




Figure 12. Gas chromatogram of the original oil used for the Caribou-Poker spills obtained on a Dexsil SCOT column (50 ft  $\times$  0.02 in., temperature programmed from 75°C to 350°C at 4°C/min).

the spill area, oily soil, and control soil were analyzed by headspace gas chromatography to characterize changes in the most volatile fraction ( $C_1$  through  $C_8$ ). Changes in the presence of these components in the equilibrium headspace at a given temperature are a direct reflection of their change in concentration in the liquid (oil) phase. Results of these analyses are shown in Figure 13 and Table 3. These chromatograms, obtained for samples collected from a surface pool of oil on the winter spill site, show a rapid loss of methane and ethane ( $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ) in the first few hours. Components in the  $C_3$ - $C_8$  range (propane-octanes) declined through the first day, with reduction by a factor of four in the first two months. Only small amounts of these components ( $C_3$ - $C_8$ ) were observable in the five-month sample. The retention of these volatile substances of low molecular weight for some time after the spill undoubtedly maintained greater fluidity in the oil. This resulted in a substantial amount of oil resuming movement downslope at spring breakup. This pool of oil on the winter slope was sampled again and analyzed for volatiles 17 months after the spill. No detectable components in the  $C_1$ - $C_8$  range were found at that time. Estimates of the percent of volatiles in the  $C_1$ - $C_8$  range lost with time, based on these chromatograms, are presented in Table 3. Two months after the spill, over 10% of this volatile material was still present in the surface oil.

Analyses were also obtained for the oil in the soil profile from the control and spill plots. No volatiles in the  $C_1$ - $C_8$  range were ever detected in any of the control soils. Results from the winter spill soils indicate a slower loss of volatiles when compared with the surface pool of oil. Results from this analysis, for soils collected 17 months after spillage, are presented in Figure 14 and

Table 3. Significant amounts of volatiles in the  $C_5$ - $C_8$  range were still present in the mineral and organic soils at that time. No detectable volatiles were present in the surface moss after 17 months however.

An analysis of volatiles in the soil from the summer spill plot was also obtained. Initially, the volatiles were present in much higher concentrations than in the winter spill since fresh oil penetrated the soil. In contrast, oil in the winter spill remained on the surface for several months until warmer temperatures allowed it to penetrate into the organic and mineral soil. The loss rate of volatiles in the summer spill soils, in general, was greater than that found for the winter spill. Thus there were lower concentrations of volatiles after 12 months exposure in the summer spill plot (Fig. 15 and Table 3) than in the winter spill plot after 17 months (Fig. 14), for both the organic and mineral soils.

A substantial retention of volatiles well after the spill conflicts with results reported for arctic tundra soils at Barrow, Alaska (Sexstone and Atlas 1977). At Barrow, complete loss of the volatile fraction ( $<C_{10}$ ) was reported in the first 24 hours. This may be explained by differing methods of oil application, or the location of the oil in the top 2 cm of the soil at Barrow. Oil at Barrow was applied evenly through a perforated plate, resulting in a thin film in closer contact with the atmosphere. Their results may be questionable, however, due to the use of a solvent extraction method not well suited for analysis of compounds in the  $<C_{10}$  range (MacKay and Shiu 1976).

In another study at Prudhoe Bay, retention of  $C_9$  and  $C_{10}$  components in the soil a year after spillage has been reported (Sexstone et al. 1978). While  $C_1$ - $C_8$  components were not found in their




Figure 13. Headspace chromatograms of oil taken from a pool on the winter spill plot. (5% Durapak 400 on Porasil C, 9 ft  $\times$   $\frac{1}{8}$  in., temperature programmed from  $-20^{\circ}$  to  $150^{\circ}\text{C}$  at  $10^{\circ}/\text{min.}$ ) a) methane, b) ethane, c) propane, d) isobutane, e) n-butane, f) isopentane, g) n-pentane, h)  $\text{C}_6$  alkanes, i)  $\text{C}_7$  + benzene, j)  $\text{C}_8$  + toluene.

analysis, soil samples collected from these spill plots 12 months after spillage and analyzed in our laboratory did reveal low levels of  $\text{C}_6$ - $\text{C}_8$  material (Fig. 16)

Thus, it appears that volatiles are retained much longer in the soil profile than originally believed. Some of these volatile substances, particularly the lower molecular weight aromatics (i.e. benzene, toluene, xylenes), are thought to be very toxic to plants and microorganisms. Their continued presence well after the spill may be


biologically significant.

Runoff is undoubtedly responsible for some translocation of water-soluble material out of the spill areas. Attempts at measuring the amounts of materials removed by this mechanism were unsuccessful. Water samples collected at locations just downslope of visible oil movement were analyzed by a simplified stripping method developed by Leggett (1979). No detectable quantities ( $>10^{-9}$  g/mL) of individual components were found in any sample. An attempt at

**Table 3. Loss (%) of volatiles from spill plots.**

Time after spillage	Percentage of volatiles evaporated			
	Surface oil	Oil in moss	Oil in organic soil	Oil in mineral soil
<b>Winter Spill Plot</b>				
Original oil	0			
1 Hour	0.8			
1 Day	34.6			
2 Months	88.6			
5 Months	99.3	98.2	71.3	75.2
17 Months	100	100	96.6	94.9
<b>Summer Spill Plot</b>				
Original oil	0			
1 Hour	1.7			
2 Hours	17.6			
3 Hours	26.2			
4 Hours	17.2			
22 Hours	46.6			
2 Days	64.6			
3 Days	59.0			
2 Months		89.2	89.8	
8½ Months		99.9	99.1	98.3
12 Months		99.9	99.0	99.9

Note: Volatiles are considered the components in the C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>8</sub> range and account for about 8% of the oil by weight.



**Figure 14. Headspace chromatograms of oily soil from the winter spill plot, 17 months after spillage. GC conditions were identical to those given for Figure 13.**




Figure 15. Headspace chromatograms of oily soil from the summer spill plot, 12 months after spillage. GC conditions were identical to those given for Figure 13.

utilizing total organic carbon analysis to look at the total dissolved material was also unsuccessful due to large concentrations of organic carbon (>50 mg/L) in the natural soil solution of this region.

In general, the most water-soluble components of the oil are also the most volatile. This was demonstrated in a study of the aqueous solubility of Prudhoe Bay crude oil (MacKay and Shiu 1976). A decrease in solubility was found from over 29 mg/L for fresh oil to less than 0.06 mg/L for oil in which the volatiles had been removed by evaporation. The loss rate of equally volatile substances, which differ widely in solubility, can be used to assess the importance of this mechanism relative to volatilization. Losses of two very soluble components of the oil, benzene and toluene, were found to be similar to the losses of alkanes of equal volatility but much lower solubility. Thus, at least for the lower molecular weight oil fraction, the contribution of solubility to loss rate is less significant than volatilization.

Results obtained from silica gel-alumina chromatography (to be presented later) indicate that compositional changes of relatively water-soluble material versus highly insoluble material in the oil extracted from soil samples are insignificant. These results are consistent with information reported by Raymond et al. (1976) who worked in a more temperate climate where no loss of material was observed via runoff or leaching in oiled field plots. They also agree with results obtained for spills directly onto fresh water (Phillips and Groseva 1977) and salt water

(McAuliffe 1977) where evaporation proved to be the predominant process for short-term changes in oil composition.

Several types of microorganisms including bacteria, actinomycetes, yeasts, and fungi are capable of degrading many of the organic components of crude oils (Davis 1967). The total amount of oil present on the site at one time cannot be directly measured without destroying the site. Hence, it is impossible to directly determine changes in the amount of oil due to microbial activity. Laboratory studies, however, have shown preferential decomposition of certain fractions of the oil. Bailey et al. (1973) and Kator et al. (1971) have shown that the alkane fraction (saturates) decompose quicker than other components. Shorter chain n-alkanes (straight chains) were found to decompose fastest of all. Isoprenoid alkanes (branched chained species), such as pristane, have been shown to be more resistant to microbiological degradation (Jobson et al. 1972).

Next to the alkane fraction, aromatic components tend to degrade fastest, with degradation of asphaltene and NSO material being very slow. An increase in asphaltene material as a by-product of decomposition of more degradable material has even been reported (Bailey et al. 1973). Assessment of the extent of microbial degradation is thus possible, in principle, by analysis of oil compositional changes with time.

The method chosen to investigate these changes was fractionation using silica gel-alumina column chromatography. This procedure subdivides the residue obtained by

**Table 4. Alkane/aromatic and pristane/n-C<sub>17</sub> ratios\* for topped† soil extracts (Caribou-Poker Creek area spills).**

Sampling date	Winter mineral soil (C <sub>17</sub> )		Winter organic soil (O <sub>17</sub> A <sub>17</sub> )		Winter moss	
	a	b	a	b	a	b
15 July 76	1.03	0.59	1.10	—	1.22	0.57
17 Sept 76	1.29	0.56	1.27	0.58	1.23	0.61
29 Mar 77	—	—	1.05	0.53	1.25	0.58
5 Apr 77	1.08	0.58	1.29	—	1.28	0.62
13 July 77	1.04	0.53	1.17	0.55	1.01	0.67
1 Aug 77**	1.17	0.50	1.09	0.56	—	—
5 Jan 78	—	—	—	—	0.84	0.71
27 June 78**	1.11	0.54	0.98	0.55	—	—

	Summer mineral soil (C <sub>17</sub> )		Summer organic soil (O <sub>17</sub> A <sub>17</sub> )		Summer moss	
	a	b	a	b	a	b
15 July 76	1.12	0.61	1.12	0.59	1.03	0.59
17 Sept 76	1.05	0.56	1.05	0.64	1.12	0.58
29 Mar 77	—	—	1.36	0.56	0.99	0.56
5 Apr 77	0.98	0.54	1.00	0.55	—	—
13 July 77	—	—	1.04	0.51	1.02	0.63
1 Aug 77**	0.77	0.68	1.14	0.60	—	—
5 Jan 78	—	—	0.87	0.60	0.94	0.76
27 June 78**	1.10	0.71	0.96	0.58	—	—

- a Alkane/aromatic ratio (the measured precision of this ratio is  $\pm 0.03$ )  
b Pristane/n-C<sub>17</sub> ratio (the precision of this determination is estimated to be  $\pm 0.03$ )  
\* Original topped Prudhoe Bay oil had ratios of 1.12 for alkane/aromatic and 0.65 for pristane/n-C<sub>17</sub>.  
† Topped extracts are those in which the volatile fraction (<C<sub>11</sub>) has been removed by evaporation.  
\*\* These samples were collected as described in the soil microbiological methodology. All others were collected as described in the oily soil characterization section.

chloroform extraction of the oily soils into its major organic components: alkanes, aromatics, asphaltenes and NSOs. The ratio of alkane to aromatic material was chosen as an indicator of compositional change with time. These ratios, obtained from samples collected July 1976 through June 1978, are presented in Table 4. The Prudhoe Bay oil used for the spill has an initial 1.12 alkane/aromatic ratio.

A second method based upon gas chromatographic analysis of the alkane fraction was developed to follow the ratio of pristane, an isoprenoid alkane, to n-C<sub>17</sub>. Since these species have similar volatility and very low solubility, a change in their ratio should indicate microbiological degradation. The results of these analyses are also presented in Table 4. Initially, Prudhoe oil had a 0.65 pristane/n-C<sub>17</sub> ratio.

The results of these determinations indicate very little or no compositional change through

the first two years with respect to these parameters. The only possible exception could be the oil in the summer mineral soil, where some increase in pristane/n-C<sub>17</sub> ratio was observed in the 1 August 1977 and 27 June 1978 samples. Samples taken in July 1978 (to be discussed later, see Table 6) do not confirm this trend. Oil in the moss may also show some small change. Additional sampling in the next several years will be required to confirm that observable compositional change is occurring due to microbial activity.

If these parameters are accepted as a measure of the effect of microbial activity, little compositional change due to microbial activity can be inferred thus far. If, however, microbial degradation is occurring in a more non-specific fashion relative to the various oil components, these parameters might not be useful to discriminate this type of weathering. Some recent evidence does



Figure 16. Headspace chromatogram of oily soil taken from the Prudhoe Bay spill site, 12 months after spillage. GC conditions were identical to those given for Figure 13.




Figure 17. Chromatograms of oil extracted from soil taken from several Alaskan oil spill sites (Apiezon L SCOT column, 50 ft  $\times$  0.02 in., temperature programmed from 175° to 250°C at 4°C/min).

suggest that degradation in field conditions can be less preferential (Raymond et al. 1976, Horowitz and Atlas 1977) than has been observed in laboratory studies.

In order to assess the effectiveness of these parameters in detecting changes due to microbial activity, several other oil spill plots in Alaska (some dating back to 1970) were sampled and analyzed in a manner similar to the Caribou-Poker spills. Chromatograms for oil

from some of these spills, as well as from initial Prudhoe crude, are shown in Figure 17. The results of these analyses are shown in Table 5.

The results from the 1970 Barrow spills show considerable changes in the pristane/ $n$ -C<sub>17</sub> ratios. The value for plot 313 shows nearly a ten-fold increase to 5.1. The other Barrow spills also have ratios elevated above those found in the Caribou-Poker spills. The chromatograms from which these values were obtained for Barrow



Table 5. Alkane/aromatic and pristane/n-C<sub>17</sub> ratios for samples from other Alaskan spills.

Sample	Spill date	Sample date	Alkane/aromatic ratio	Pristane:n-C <sub>17</sub> ratio	Oil in soil (%)
Barrow 313	1970	July 1977	0.84	5.1	4.4
Barrow 311	1970	July 1977	1.00	0.80	—
Barrow 152D	1971	July 1977	0.96	0.81	13.3
Fox	1971	July 1976	0.92	0.63	249
Prudhoe oil used for Prudhoe spill	July 1976		0.96	0.64	—
Prudhoe 2CR	1976	July 1977	1.06	0.55	7.7
Prudhoe 5CR	1976	July 1977	0.97	0.56	11.6
Caribou-Poker winter spill	Feb 1976	1976-1978	(see Table 4)	(see Table 4)	Moss 137-267 Organic 7.3-19.0 Mineral 3.0-9.8
Caribou-Poker summer spill	July 1976	1976-1978	(see Table 4)	(see Table 4)	Moss 69-207 Organic 9-311 Mineral 1.5-3.4

plots 313 and 311 are presented in Figure 17. The chromatograms for Barrow plot 313, in particular, show a drastic change from original Prudhoe crude oil; the normal alkanes are much reduced relative to branched chain material. The alkane/aromatic ratio shows much less change relative to the values typically found in the Caribou-Poker spills. Barrow sample 313 did show a decrease in alkane/aromatic ratio from values generally greater than 1.0 for the Caribou-Poker sites to about 0.84. These results indicate that the pristane/n-C<sub>17</sub> ratio may be a useful tool to assess the effect of microbial activity on the oil composition in the soil. The alkane/aromatic ratio was found to be much less diagnostic.

Soil samples were also obtained from the Fox spill site. This site is quite similar to the Caribou-Poker area, and is located on a subarctic permafrost location. The amount of oil present in the soil at Fox is very high relative to that at the Barrow site and again similar to the Caribou-Poker area. A chromatogram of the alkane fraction of the oil from this site is given in Figure 17. Even after five years, there appears to be no evidence of significant microbial decay at this location (Table 5).

The oil extracted from soil at the Prudhoe Bay spill sites also shows little compositional change relative to the original oil after one year (Fig. 17 and Table 5). The percent oil in the soil at this site is similar to that of the Barrow spill areas and much lower than that found in Fox or Caribou-Poker. This lower amount should make a small change in composition due to microbial activity much more observable in the future.

The analyses reported thus far for the Caribou-

Poker spills were taken in the upper, heavily impacted areas of both plots. Additional samples were collected in July 1978 to determine the vertical distribution and to assess if different amounts of weathering were occurring at other locations further downslope.

The amount of oil in the soil horizons of the winter and summer spill plots was found to vary drastically from location to location (Table 6). In general, the moss and upper organic soil in the upslope portion of the winter spill plot have the greatest amount of oil, with amounts in the moss varying from 137% to 267% on a dry weight of soil basis. Amounts of oil in the organic and mineral soil of the winter plot vary from 7.3% to 19.0% and 3.0% to 9.8%, respectively.

In the upper portion of the summer spill area, the largest amount of oil is in the organic soil and lower moss. Amounts of oil vary from 69% to 207% in the moss and from 9% to 311% in the organic layer. The mineral soil, on the other hand, contained much less oil, 1.5% to 3.4%.

In the lower sections of both spill plots, the location of oil in the profile is considerably different. The oil in the winter plot resided in a narrow band within the organic soil with an oil content in this region of about 30% (Fig. 10). In the lower portion of the summer plot, the oil was present throughout the organic layer, with small amounts present in the lower moss and upper mineral layers as well. The moss and organic soil contained oil in excess of 100%.

Analysis of the residual oil extracted from soil samples collected in July 1978 at various locations in the two spill plots showed little difference in composition (Table 6). Thus, there does not seem to be a drastic difference in the

Table 6. Analyses of samples collected in July 1978.

Samples		Oil (%)	Pris/n-C <sub>17</sub>	Alkanes (%)	Alk/arom
S 4-5, 8-9	Moss	183.0	0.71	31.8	0.77
S 1-2, 8-9	Moss	43.1	0.54	—	—
S 7, 34	Moss	135.0	0.67	31.9	0.94
S 4-5, 8-9	Organic	311.0	0.56	36.8	1.05
S 7, 34	Organic	131.0	0.54	32.0	0.81
S 1-2, 8-9	Organic	57.9	—	32.9	0.97
S 1-2, 8-9	Mineral	2.4	—	22.1	0.89
S 8-9, 8-9	Mineral	22.0	—	30.0	0.96
W 8-9, 11-12	Moss	246.0	0.61	32.4	0.93
W 8-9, 11-12	Organic	91.3	0.54	33.0	0.94
W 7, 34	Organic	30.8	—	30.6	1.01
W 8-9, 11-12	Mineral	—	—	33.5	0.98
S organic	June 1978	21.1	0.58	30.9	0.96
S mineral	June 1978	1.76	0.71	26.6	1.1
W organic	June 1978	16.8	0.55	33.0	0.98
W mineral	June 1978	3.89	0.54	31.0	1.06

amount of microbial or other types of weathering in various areas within the plots.

#### Microbiological responses

A preliminary examination of the data suggests that the microbial populations and their activities showed similar responses at incubation temperatures of 4°C and 20°C (20°C incubation results are presented in Appendix C). Also, respiratory activity and some microbial populations were stimulated to a greater extent at 4°C than at 20°C. Thus, only the 4°C data are presented here since 4°C more closely approximates the soil temperature in the research watershed areas where our test plots are located (Appendix A, Table A1). The dilution plate count method and MPN enumeration procedures were used in this study. Despite their limitations, these methods are sensitive to differences in microbial counts among the test plots or within a plot over a period of time. Relative changes in numbers of microorganisms are more important than absolute numbers.

The effect of oil application on heterotrophic bacterial counts is shown in Tables 7-9. In 1976, beginning immediately after the spill in the winter plot, the numbers of heterotrophic bacteria increased relative to the control plot and the increased counts persisted into September. In the summer plot, after an initial depression of the heterotrophic bacterial counts, there was a continual increase in numbers, with the highest counts occurring in September. These increased bacterial counts in the oiled plots persisted

through 1977 (Table 8) and 1978 (Table 9). The increases in bacterial numbers in both oiled plots were significant relative to the control plot, indicating a stimulatory effect, the stimulation being greater in the summer. Our findings on the response of heterotrophic bacterial populations to oil agree with those of Sexstone and Atlas (1977) and Loynachan (1978).

The numbers of filamentous fungal propagules (Table 10) were reduced in both soil horizons immediately following the winter spill and remained so throughout the growing season. The immediate impact of the summer spill was to reduce fungal counts in the A<sub>1</sub> horizon, but by September fungal counts were five times higher than in the control. In the C<sub>2</sub> horizon there was an overall increase in counts with an apparent reduction occurring in August. However, in 1977 (Table 11) and 1978 (Table 12), fungal propagules were significantly reduced in both soil horizons of the oiled plots. The extent of oil inhibition on the filamentous fungal population was greater in the winter plot than in the summer plot. The inhibitory effect of oil spills on fungal populations (investigated by the dilution plate method) was similarly observed by other workers (Antibus and Linkins 1978, Miller et al. 1978), who used direct counting techniques to estimate the fungal populations. Warcup (1967) suggested that the dilution plate method may not necessarily correlate with fungal biomass or activity. However, according to Montégut (1960) and Griffiths and Siddiqi (1961), the dilution plate counts can be used as an indication of the fungal populations and

**Table 7. 1976 heterotrophic bacterial counts ( $\times 10^6/\text{g}$  soil) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.**

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>A, Horizon</b>			
February*	2.8	5.4**	—
June	6.8	120**	—
July <sup>a</sup>	3.9	430**	2.8***
August	2.4	170*	340***
September	0.7	210**	640***
<b>C, Horizon</b>			
February*	1.2	1.8*	—
June	2.2	2.1	—
July <sup>a</sup>	0.9	41**	0.6***
August	1.4	14**	25***
September	0.3	12	210***

\* Within 24 hours after winter oil spill

<sup>a</sup> Within 24 hours after summer oil spill

\* Significantly different from the control at 5% level.

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

\*\*\* Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

**Table 9. 1978 heterotrophic bacterial counts ( $\times 10^6/\text{g}$  soil) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.**

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>A, Horizon</b>			
June	2.9	220**	480***
July	1.6	190**	150**
<b>C, Horizon</b>			
June	0.8	58**	43***
July	0.4	42**	21***

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

\* Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

\*\*\* Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

**Table 8. 1977 heterotrophic bacterial counts ( $\times 10^6/\text{g}$  soil) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.**

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>A, Horizon</b>			
June	7.7	540**	1400***
July	1.9	240**	900**
August	1.0	99**	460***
<b>C, Horizon</b>			
June	1.1	36**	210***
July	0.3	63**	77***
August	0.4	40**	77***

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

\*\*\* Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

**Table 10. 1976 filamentous fungal propagule counts ( $\times 10^4/\text{g}$  soil) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.**

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>A, Horizon</b>			
February*	130	92*	—
June	120	14**	—
July <sup>a</sup>	120	18**	49***
August	34	23**	31*
September	24	10**	100***
<b>C, Horizon</b>			
February*	19	4**	—
June	24	0.7**	—
July <sup>a</sup>	13	8**	17***
August	14	4**	5**
September	5	2**	7***

\* Within 24 hours after winter oil spill

<sup>a</sup> Within 24 hours after summer oil spill

\* Significantly different from the control at 5% level.

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

\* Significantly different from the winter at 5% level.

\*\*\* Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

**Table 11. 1977 filamentous fungal propagule counts ( $\times 10^4/\text{g}$  soil) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.**

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>A, Horizon</b>			
June	110	27**	43**
July	64	13**	34**
August	60	14**	18**
<b>C, Horizon</b>			
June	30	2.1**	15**
July	48	1.3**	5.1
August	11	0.6**	5.4**

\* Significantly different from the control at 5% level

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level

Significantly different from the winter at 1% level

their activities

In 1976, yeast counts in both oiled plots significantly increased above their initial levels and relative to those in the control plot (Table 13). These increases in counts, relative to the control, persisted in 1977 (Table 14) and 1978 (Table 15). Numbers in the summer plot were consistently higher than those in the winter plot during the three consecutive growing seasons after the oil spills. The significant increases suggest that an enhancement of the yeast population resulted from the oil applications. A similar response was noted by Campbell et al. (1973) and Scarborough and Flanagan (1973).

The response of proteolytic bacteria was monitored only during 1976. In both oiled plots, there were significant increases in counts above their initial levels and relative to the control (Table 16). This indicates a general stimulation of the proteolytic bacterial population by the oil, with the extent of stimulation being significantly greater in the summer plot than in the winter plot.

The effect of the oil spills on anaerobic bacterial counts was followed only in the months of July and September in 1976. Significant increases in counts relative to the control plot occurred in the winter plot during both months, in the summer plot, significant increases occurred in September (Table 17). These results indicate an enhancement of anaerobic bacterial growth during the first growing season after the spills. Loynachan (1978) reported similar findings

**Table 12. 1978 filamentous fungal propagule counts ( $\times 10^4/\text{g}$  soil) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.**

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>A, Horizon</b>			
June	78	9**	56**
July	62	18**	43**
<b>C, Horizon</b>			
June	12	5.6**	7.4**
July	19	6.7**	12**

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level

Significantly different from the winter at 1% level

The denitrifying bacterial population consistently showed significant increases in both the winter and summer plots relative to the control plot (Fig. 18-20). The significant increases in denitrifying bacterial numbers in the oiled plots suggest an increase in denitrification potential and indicate a stimulatory effect of oil. This is in agreement with findings of Lindholm and Norrell (1973). However, the stimulatory effect of oil on the denitrifying bacterial population seems to diminish with time. A decrease in population levels in both oiled plots is apparent in 1978, relative to 1977 or 1976 levels, with 1977 counts being lower than 1976 counts.

Microbial populations capable of utilizing cellulose as a sole carbon source were monitored only in 1976. Cellulose-utilizing bacterial numbers (Fig. 21) increased in the winter plot relative to the control. This population was initially unaffected in the summer plot, but by September, there was a significant increase in counts over the control. On the other hand, numbers of cellulose-utilizing fungi (Fig. 22) remained comparable to the control in both oiled plots. The significant increase in cellulose-utilizing bacteria suggests stimulatory effects of oil on this population.

In 1976, oil-utilizing yeasts and bacteria (Fig. 23 and 24 respectively) in the winter plot significantly increased relative to the control. In the summer plot, counts of these oil-utilizing populations were initially comparable to the control, but by September increases had occurred. The

**Table 13. 1976 yeast counts ( $\times 10^5/\text{g}$  soil) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.**

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>A, Horizon</b>			
February*	1.9	4.7**	—
June	3.4	320**	—
July <sup>a</sup>	1.3	31**	3.1***
August	0.9	44**	640***
September	1.1	12**	650***
<b>C, Horizon</b>			
February*	0.9	1.0	—
June	0.8	2.9**	—
July <sup>a</sup>	0.5	5.0**	0.7**
August	0.4	7.4**	64***
September	0.2	3.3**	290***

\* Within 24 hours after winter oil spill.

<sup>a</sup> Within 24 hours after summer oil spill.

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

\*\*\* Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

**Table 15. 1978 yeast counts ( $\times 10^5/\text{g}$  soil) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.**

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>A, Horizon</b>			
June	2.4	38**	300***
July	3.3	23**	95***
<b>C, Horizon</b>			
June	0.8	10**	18***
July	0.6	11**	24***

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

\*\*\* Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

**Table 14. 1977 yeast counts ( $\times 10^5/\text{g}$  soil) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.**

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>A, Horizon</b>			
June	2.0	32**	140***
July	3.2	14**	64***
August	3.2	6.3**	54***
<b>C, Horizon</b>			
June	0.19	1.3**	23***
July	0.16	2.7**	3.6***
August	0.20	1.3**	3.6***

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

\*\*\* Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

**Table 16. 1976 counts ( $\times 10^5/\text{g}$  soil) of proteolytic bacteria in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.**

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>A, Horizon</b>			
February*	1.9	2.6	—
June	1.7	3.1**	—
July <sup>a</sup>	1.0	100**	1.1**
August	0.9	57**	1000***
September	1.0	95**	1100
<b>C, Horizon</b>			
February*	0.6	0.6	—
June	0.4	6.3	—
July <sup>a</sup>	0.7	23**	0.2***
August	0.2	5.1**	110***
September	0.2	5.3**	370***

\* Within 24 hours after winter oil spill.

<sup>a</sup> Within 24 hours after summer oil spill.

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

\*\*\* Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

**Table 17. 1976 counts ( $\times 10^5/\text{g}$  soil) of anaerobic bacteria in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.**


Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>A<sub>1</sub> Horizon</b>			
July*	5.1	54**	3.6**
September	3.0	36**	42**
<b>C<sub>2</sub> Horizon</b>			
July*	2.0	7.7**	1.6**
September	2.0	4.5**	10***

\* Within 24 hours after summer oil spill.


\*\* Significantly different from the control at the 5% level

\*\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.


\*\*\*\* Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.



**Figure 19. 1977 MPN counts of denitrifying bacteria in oiled and unoiled (control) plots. C—control plot, W—winter plot, S—summer plot; brackets represent 95% confidence intervals.**



**Figure 18. 1976 MPN counts of denitrifying bacteria in oiled and unoiled (control) plots. C—control plot, W—winter plot, S—summer plot; brackets represent 95% confidence intervals.**



**Figure 20. 1978 MPN counts of denitrifying bacteria in oiled and unoiled (control) plots. C—control plot, W—winter plot, S—summer plot; brackets represent 95% confidence intervals.**




Figure 21. 1976 MPN counts of cellulose-utilizing bacteria in oiled and unoled (control) plots. C—control plot, W—winter plot, S—summer plot; brackets represent 95% confidence intervals.




Figure 22. 1976 MPN counts of cellulose-utilizing filamentous fungi in oiled and unoled (control) plots. C—control plot, W—winter plot, S—summer plot; brackets represent 95% confidence intervals.




Figure 23. 1976 MPN counts of oil-utilizing bacteria in oiled and unoled (control) plots. C—control plot, W—winter plot, S—summer plot; brackets represent 95% confidence intervals.




Figure 24. 1976 MPN counts of oil-utilizing yeasts in oiled and unoled (control) plots. C—control plot, W—winter plot, S—summer plot; brackets represent 95% confidence intervals.




Figure 25. 1977 and 1978 MPN counts of oil-utilizing bacteria in oiled and unoled (control) plots. C—control plot, W—winter plot, S—summer plot; brackets represent 95% confidence intervals.




Figure 26. 1977 and 1978 MPN counts of oil-utilizing yeasts in oiled and unoled (control) plots. C—control plot, W—winter plot, S—summer plot; brackets represent 95% confidence intervals.

significant increases in the oil-utilizing bacterial population continued through 1978 in both oiled plots (Fig. 25). However, for the oil-utilizing yeasts significant increases relative to the control persisted through 1978 only in the A<sub>1</sub> horizon of the summer plot (Fig. 26). In the C<sub>2</sub> horizon of both oiled plots, counts of this yeast population were significantly higher than the control only in 1978.

In 1976, counts of the oil-utilizing fungal populations in both oiled plots showed no significant change relative to the control (Fig. 27). This trend continued through 1977 (Fig. 28). In 1978 increases relative to the control plot occurred in both horizons of the summer plot and in the C<sub>2</sub> horizon of the winter plot. However, the increases may not be significant because of the unexplained decrease in control plot counts in 1978 relative to the preceding years.

The effect of oil on the *in vitro* soil respiration rate during 1976 is shown in Table 18. An immediate depression of soil respiration rates was apparent one day after the spill in both horizons of winter plot and in the C<sub>2</sub> horizon of the summer plot. However, by the end of the growing season, the overall effect of oil on soil respiration rates was one of enhancement. The higher

respiration rate in the A<sub>1</sub> horizon of the control plot in February, relative to the other months, is not surprising in view of the evidence presented by Greenwood (1968) that freezing and thawing of soil result in increased decomposition of organic matter.

The enhancing effect of oil on soil respiration observed in 1976 continued into 1977 (Table 19). This effect is greater in the A<sub>1</sub> horizon of the summer plot than in the winter plot, paralleling the enhancing effect on microbial population.

The effect of oil spills on soil respiration *in situ* is shown in Table 20 and Figure 29. In 1976 and 1977, soil respiration rates were not significantly affected by the addition of oil to cylinders with the killed-moss layer removal. However, respiration rates were significantly increased in cylinders with the killed-moss layer intact. These results suggest that the enhancing effect of oil on soil respiration *in situ* may be due to an increase in available substrate from plants killed as a result of the oil spill. It is interesting to note that the *in situ* respiration rates were higher in the summer plot relative to the winter plot in 1976 while the reverse was true in 1977. In 1976 (Table 20), respiration rates within a set of cylinders in the test plots had standard errors






Figure 27. 1976 MPN counts of oil-utilizing filamentous fungi in oiled and unoled (control) plots. C—control plot, W—winter plot, S—summer plot; brackets represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table 18. 1976 in vitro soil respiration rates (mg CO<sub>2</sub>/24 hr per 100 g soil) in oiled and unoled (control) plots.

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>A<sub>1</sub> Horizon</b>			
February <sup>a</sup>	27.2	11.2**	—
July <sup>b</sup>	8.0	16.6**	20.2**
August	8.6	15.6**	30.6***
September	3.8	15.6**	37.8***
<b>C<sub>2</sub> Horizon</b>			
February <sup>a</sup>	2.6	nd	—
July <sup>b</sup>	3.7	6.0**	1.2***
August	3.8	7.8**	6.2**
September	2.4	5.6**	13.6***

<sup>a</sup> Within 24 hours after winter oil spill

<sup>b</sup> Within 24 hours after summer oil spill

nd Not detectable by method used

\* Significantly different from the control at the 5% level.

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level

† Significantly different from the winter at the 5% level

†† Significantly different from the winter at 1% level




Figure 28. 1977 and 1978 MPN counts of oil-utilizing filamentous fungi in oiled and unoled (control) plots. C—control plot, W—winter plot, S—summer plot; brackets represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table 19. 1977 and 1978 in vitro soil respiration rates (mg CO<sub>2</sub>/24 hr per 100 g soil) in oiled and unoled (control) plots.

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>1977</b>			
<b>A<sub>1</sub> Horizon</b>			
June	21.5	32.3**	79.9***
July	14.4	17.1*	35.1***
August	14.6	16.7	41.5***
<b>C<sub>2</sub> Horizon</b>			
June	5.7	12.2**	25.6***
July	4.7	9.5**	8.5**
August	4.6	7.6**	6.6*
<b>1978</b>			
<b>A<sub>1</sub> Horizon</b>			
July	19.7	24.1*	31.4***
July	6.6	10.3*	11.4**

\* Significantly different from the control at 5% level

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level

† Significantly different from the winter at 1% level

ranging from 0.31 to 1.81. This high variation may be due to a methodology artifact or more likely to the heterogeneity of the soil within each plot. Because of the suspected heterogeneity in the test plots, 1977 in situ respiration measurements were pooled (Fig. 29).

The soil pH of the site is generally 4.8 to 5.6. The addition of oil did not appear to cause a significant change in pH (Appendix C, Table C7). The soil water content 5 m downslope from the point of oil application in the control plot from 1976 through 1978 is presented in Tables 21 and 22. These measurements show distinct differences in water content among the three test plots. During 1977 and 1978 water content in both spill plots was less than in the control except for one sample date. Furthermore, the water content in the winter plot was consistently lower relative to both the control and the summer plots.

The concentrations of benzene-extractable oil in the oiled soil samples are presented in Table 23. It is apparent that the oil concentrations in the A<sub>1</sub> horizon were higher than those in the C<sub>2</sub>. The vertical migration of oil through the soil horizon with time is evident. The distribution of oil in the soil layers of the winter plot is different from the summer.

Although the concentration of oil was greater in the A<sub>1</sub> layer, the impact of oil was not limited to this horizon. Both A<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> layers showed significant increases in microbial populations and respiratory activity, and in the case of the filamentous fungal population, significant reductions immediately following and up to the third growing season after the oil spills. However, the response in the C<sub>2</sub> layer was sometimes slower to appear. The enhancing effect of oil in the two horizons was generally greater in the A<sub>1</sub> than in the C<sub>2</sub> layer.

The relationship of respiration rates to microbial counts and the relationship of oil concentrations to microbial counts and respiration rates were also examined. Significant linear correlations were found between in vitro soil respiration rates and numbers of heterotrophic bacteria or filamentous fungi (Appendix C, Tables C8-C11). Similarly, in the oiled plots, significant linear correlations were found between concentrations of oil and in vitro soil respiration rates or microbial numbers (Appendix C, Tables C14-C21).

The data indicate that the soil microbial populations showed a rapid and differing response during the first year after the oil spills. Immediately after the summer spill, the initial depression in numbers of heterotrophic bacteria




Figure 29. Respiration in situ in oiled and unoled (control) plots in 1977. Histograms with dissimilar letters are significantly different at the 1% level. Respiration rates are means of three consecutive weekly determinations within each set of cylinders.

Table 20. 1976 in situ soil respiration (g CO<sub>2</sub>/24 hr per m<sup>2</sup>) in oiled and unoled (control) plots.

Date	Control plot	Control plot		Summer plot	
	a	b	c	b	c
10 June	4.7	—	7.0	—	—
16 July	3.7	—	5.1	—	5.7
3 August	4.8	4.6	5.8	5.7	8.6*
12 August	2.2	3.3	4.8*	2.7	5.7**
1 September	2.9	1.6	4.0	4.5	6.4

a Respiration cylinders embedded after removal of live moss layer

b Respiration cylinders embedded after removal of dead moss layer

c Respiration cylinders embedded without removal of dead moss layer

\* Significantly different from control at 5% level

\*\* Significantly different from control at 1% level

**Table 21. 1976 soil water content (%) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.**

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>A, Horizon</b>			
February <sup>a</sup>	295	154*	—
June	214	119*	—
July <sup>b</sup>	155	122**	171*
August	113	96	162***
September	95	81**	153***
<b>C, Horizon</b>			
February <sup>a</sup>	56	70	—
June	114	56**	—
July <sup>b</sup>	71	71	99***
August	62	63	53***
September	55	50	83***

<sup>a</sup> Within 24 hours after winter oil spill.

<sup>b</sup> Within 24 hours after summer oil spill.

\* Significantly different from the control at the 5% level

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

\*\*\* Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

**Table 22. 1977 and 1978 soil water content (%) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.**

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>1977</b>			
<b>A, Horizon</b>			
June	243	102**	177***
July	168	77**	137***
August	194	74**	137***
<b>C, Horizon</b>			
June	92	62**	105***
July	62	48**	58***
August	60	46**	55***
<b>1978</b>			
<b>A, Horizon</b>			
June	159	97**	190***
July	146	86**	113***
<b>C, Horizon</b>			
June	64	59**	70***
July	58	55	51**

\* Significantly different from the control at the 5% level

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

\*\*\* Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

**Table 23. Oil content (%) 5 m downslope from point of oil application.**

Sampling time	Winter plot	Summer plot	Sampling time	Winter plot	Summer plot
<b>1976</b>			<b>1977 (cont'd)</b>		
<b>A, Horizon</b>			July	4.7	9.2
February <sup>a</sup>	8.0	—	August	4.5	6.8
June	17.9	—	<b>C, Horizon</b>		
July <sup>b</sup>	8.8	12.2	June	2.2	3.3
August	4.3	6.3	July	2.9	0.8
September	5.1	6.5	August	1.6	0.8
<b>C, Horizon</b>			<b>1978</b>		
February <sup>a</sup>	0.2	—	<b>A, Horizon</b>		
June	0.1	—	June	9.3	10.7
July <sup>b</sup>	1.5	1.3	July	9.0	8.0
August	1.0	0.2	<b>C, Horizon</b>		
September	1.4	0.9	June	2.1	0.9
<b>1977</b>			July	1.4	0.3
<b>A, Horizon</b>					
June	12.5	25.0			

<sup>a</sup> Within 24 hours after winter oil spill

<sup>b</sup> Within 24 hours after summer oil spill

and filamentous fungal propagules, and in respiratory activity, appears to be due to the toxicity of volatiles present in the crude oil. At the time of the spill in July, concentrations of volatiles in the summer plot exceeded those in the winter plot. However, loss of volatiles in the spilled oil was faster in the summer plot than in the winter plot. By the second growing season, greater concentrations of volatiles were present in the winter plot than in the summer plot.

At the end of the first growing season in the summer plot, counts of filamentous fungi and heterotrophic bacteria significantly exceeded initial summer and control levels as did soil respiratory activity. The number of filamentous fungal propagules was reduced immediately after the spill in the winter plot and remained depressed throughout the initial plant growing season, although bacterial counts and soil respiration rates were significantly higher than in the control by the end of the first season. During the second and third growing seasons, significant reductions in filamentous fungal propagules were evident not only in the winter plot but also in the summer plot while significant increases in counts of heterotrophic bacteria and yeasts occurred.

It is apparent that filamentous fungi are sensitive to the presence of oil and were adversely affected by the oil spills. The extent of inhibition by the oil was greater in the winter plot than in the summer plot. The effect of oil on this microbial population could be due to the direct toxicity of oil components or may be related to the aeration status in the oiled plots. Significant amounts of volatiles, particularly the  $C_5$ - $C_8$  fractions, were found up to the second growing season in the organic and mineral soil layers of both oiled plots. Concentrations were higher in the winter plot. Other workers (Teh and Lee 1973, 1974) have shown that mycelial growth and spore germination of some fungi are inhibited by n-hexane, n-heptane, and n-octane, which are components of the volatile fraction of oil. Also, filamentous fungi as a group are strict aerobes (with a few exceptions). When oxygen diffusion becomes inadequate to meet the microbial demand for aerobic metabolism, the fungi are first to suffer (Alexander 1977). The significant increases in numbers of anaerobic and denitrifying bacteria in the oiled plots suggest the possibility of decreased oxygen availability. The differences in the mode of flow, concentration, and physical properties of the spilled oil in the winter and summer plots may account for differences in aeration status.

Evidence of oil damage to higher and lower plants has been provided by other studies (Hunt et al. 1973, McCown et al. 1973, Miller et al. 1978, Antibus and Linkins 1978). Because of this, the input of plant residues into the soil system in oil-perturbed areas may be considerable. During the first year of the spills in this study, damage to vegetation was similarly observed, the damage being more rapid and extensive in the summer plot (in the heavily oil-impacted areas). This coincides with our finding of greater increases in microbial populations and soil respiration rates in the summer plot as compared to the winter plot. The above points suggest that the increase in soil microbial populations and activity may be due not only to the presence of petroleum substrate but also to substrates released from dying or dead plants. It can be postulated that readily available carbon substrates are released rapidly by the solvent action of oil on plant cell membranes. The burst of microbial growth and respiratory activity observed shortly after the oil spills may have been triggered by the sudden release of readily utilizable carbon substrates from plants in addition to those present in the oil. A lag time may occur before oil is utilized because of the time needed for the development of microbial populations capable of degrading oil and because of the adaptive nature of hydrocarbon enzymes (Zobell 1950, Van der Linden and Thijssse 1965). However, we did not determine this in our study. We did observe significant increases in oil-utilizing bacteria and yeasts two months after the summer spill and five months after the winter spill. Increases in these populations may have occurred sooner but earlier enumerations were only done 24 hours after the spills.

Soil respiration rates measured in the test plots give an index of organic matter decomposition under field conditions. The data on in situ respiration showed an enhancement of soil respiratory activity in the presence of oil and plants killed by the oil but no effect on respiration when killed-plant material was removed. This suggests that the enhancing effect of oil on 1976 and 1977 soil respiration in situ is indirect and that increased respiration may be due to increased decomposition of carbon substrate released when vegetation was damaged by the oil spills.

This study has shown that growth of some microbial populations has not been adversely affected. For the most part, growth has been increased by the oil applications. This suggests that nutrient transformations carried out by

these populations may be stimulated. However, the actual activity of these functional groups is determined by a balance of the physiological requirements of the microorganisms and the existing environmental conditions. It is interesting to note that in soil samples collected from the treatment plots, microbial counts correlated significantly with metabolic activity, i.e. soil respiratory activity.

Future studies are required to determine the effects of spilled oil on different microbial processes in the carbon and nitrogen cycles, such as nitrogen-fixation, denitrification, nitrification and ammonification, and cellulose degradation.

The soil microbial populations showed a differential response through the third growing season after the hot oil spills. Although the effects ranged from inhibition to stimulation, stimulation of growth of the indigenous soil microorganisms and their activities seems to predominate. The effects of oil appear to be both direct and indirect but the mechanisms involved need further study. Additional information is necessary to determine whether microbial populations continue to sustain their levels as oil damaged plant substrates are depleted, and whether they may then utilize oil as the main substrate. With the vast amount of carbon present in crude oil, it is inevitable that nutrient limitations will occur. Consideration must be given to type and timing of nutrient additions and to other means of enhancing natural recovery processes such as improving aeration.

#### Oil effects on vegetation

Following application of the oil, vegetation damage was assessed visually via changes in leaf color and leaf fall. There were three main time frames for injuries: 1) immediate, 2) occurring during the initial growing season, and 3) cumulative, occurring after the initial growing season. Toxicity probably caused immediate injuries while delayed injuries may either be due to indirect (physical) effects or to a combination of toxic and indirect effects.

Virtually all aboveground foliage that came into contact with the oil was quickly killed. Turgidity was immediately reduced and foliage appeared dead within several days. In the winter spill the foliage was dead when it was first observed in mid-May after snowmelt. Evergreens such as cranberry retained the damaged foliage longer than deciduous species such as birch. The zone of contact was generally limited to the immediate areas below the 5-m-wide oil feeder


and to areas of low relief in the path of above-ground flowing oil (Fig. 9b). Lichens and mosses, which tend to be concentrated in low areas and have a low growth form, suffered particularly heavy mortality. In contrast, cottongrass tussocks with a raised, upright growth form and species growing on areas of higher relief kept most of their aboveground biomass above the oil. These species continued to grow and flower, at least initially, despite being surrounded by oil (Fig. 30).

Since oil flowed into depressions and low areas, aboveground vegetation on higher relief was not in physical contact with the oil. Below-ground contact was difficult to accurately ascertain since the nature of the study would not permit large-scale destructive sampling of roots and soil.

However, the soil pits dug in August 1978 provided some evidence of species differences in rooting habits and the extent of belowground oil contact (Fig. 31). Very few (less than 10%) roots extended into the mineral soil ( $C_2$ ) and these were all observed to be less than 1 mm in diameter. Some species (*Vaccinium uliginosum*, *Rubus chamaemorus*, *Picea mariana*) had roots which were concentrated in the  $O_1$  and upper  $O_2$  soil horizons but extended into both the  $A_1$  and  $C_2$  horizons. Since the oil in most cases flowed within the  $O_2$  horizon it contacted roots of most species to at least some extent.

In contrast to the other species observed on the site, cottongrass tussock roots have primarily a vertical orientation instead of the more commonly observed horizontal growth. *Eriophorum vaginatum* produces new roots annually which grow down, following the receding frozen soil layers as the growing season progresses (Chapin et al. 1979). In a soil pit 34 m downslope on the summer spill plot, cottongrass roots that appeared vigorous and white had grown into the  $C_2$  horizon after penetrating 9 cm of oil-soaked soil, mainly within the  $O_2$  horizon (Fig. 32). Thus cottongrass, by both its aboveground tussock growth form and its belowground vertical rooting strategy, minimizes the amount of biomass which is in contact with oil. This undoubtedly helps to account for the low mortality of cottongrass in the contaminated areas.

Damage during the first growing season varied with such factors as species, position on slope, growth form, and season of spill. In general, delayed injuries first appeared on foliage that was above the path of the oil and near the top of the plot. Injuries subsequently appeared farther



*Figure 30. Cottongrass tussocks growing despite being surrounded by surface oil and dead moss and lichens.*



*Figure 31. Soil pit for determining rooting habits and belowground oil movement. Note dark band of oil just above light-colored mineral soil.*




Figure 32. Cottongrass (*Eriophorum vaginatum*) roots growing through oil-soaked soil.

downslope and in areas of subsurface flow immediately adjacent to surface oil. Deciduous leaves turned brown and abscised before evergreen leaves. For example, in the summer spill, resin birch foliage turned brown 5 m downslope two weeks after the spill, but did not turn brown at 10 m until four weeks after the spill. In contrast, Labrador tea and cranberry leaves had only turned partially brown 10 m downslope at the end of the growing season (six weeks). Damage to black spruce was delayed longest. Although spruce in the upper 2 m of the winter spill began dropping needles by mid-June, the needles had not entirely dropped until September. On the summer spill, the needles turned brown on several spruce and many had chlorotic foliage by September. Most needles remained on the trees at that time.

The nutrient analysis of black spruce foliage indicated a significant decrease from 1975 to 1976 in the total nitrogen content of the new foliage of trees exposed to oil (Table 24). Total phosphorus and total potassium did not show consistent changes. Although there was significant year-to-year variability in total nitrogen, the magnitude of the nitrogen decrease on the oiled plots was great enough to be statistically significant at the 5% level when compared with either the control plot during the same year, or with the same plot from the previous year when it was un-oiled. The decrease in nitrogen content may be

due to: 1) disruption of the metabolism of root nutrient uptake, 2) coating of the roots by a hydrophobic layer of oil which physically interfered with nitrogen uptake, 3) immobilization of nitrogen by increased microbial growth in response to the addition of readily utilizable carbon substrates, or 4) some combination of these effects.

Cumulative injuries were largely limited to evergreen species. During the second growing season increasing amounts of black spruce foliage became chlorotic, turned brown, and abscised. Similarly, foliage of cranberry and Labrador tea continued to turn red and then brown throughout the second growing season so that some injuries were apparent as far downslope as 25 m on the winter spill and 35 m on the summer spill. Cumulative injuries could be due to a combination of direct oil-caused stress and problems of overwintering. This mechanism has also been suggested by other studies (McCown et al. 1973, Linkins and Antibus 1978).

The number and distribution of the black spruce trees and saplings killed by the oil is shown in Table 25. The total number of black spruce trees killed over three growing seasons is similar on both spills, but mortality was delayed on the winter spill.

The roots of the black spruce are concentrated in the  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  horizons and extend further away from the stem than do the roots of

**Table 24. Nutrient content of black spruce foliage.**

Year	Treatment	Avg/Std error (% N)*	Avg/Std error (% P)*	Avg/Std error (% K)*
1975 (unoiled)	Control	0.91/0.03*	0.07/0.01*	0.56/0.04*
	Summer	0.90/0.02*	0.08/0.01*	0.54/0.01*
	Winter	0.94/0.03*	0.09/0.00*	0.57/0.01*
1976 (oiled)	Control	1.05/0.04*	0.11/0.00*	0.35/0.00*
	Summer	0.75/0.06*	0.10/0.01*	0.32/0.01*
	Winter	0.86/0.02*	0.10/0.00*	0.37/0.00*

\* Figures with a common letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the t-test. Comparisons are made within years and within columns

**Table 25. Mortality of black spruce.**

	Through 1977		1978		3-Year total mortality
	Max. distance downslope (m)	Total trees killed	Max. distance downslope (m)	Total trees killed	
Summer spill	10	26	10	2	28
Winter spill	9	20	20	10	30

most smaller species. Therefore, the black spruce are not as likely as other species to have their entire root systems surrounded by oil but are more likely to have some roots in contact with the oil. The very slow mortality of the black spruce may be due in part to chronic toxicity or physical stresses that continue to increase for three or more years on a portion of the root systems (Freedman and Hutchinson 1976, Hutchinson and Freedman 1978).

Table 26 presents a comparison of vegetation data from the permanent quadrats before and after the winter and summer spills. Quadrats were grouped into three categories: 1) areas impacted by surface flows of oil, 2) areas impacted by subsurface oil flows, and 3) controls (undisturbed areas). Surface flow areas showed the most severe effects in both spill plots. Live ground cover was most reduced on the upper 5 m of the winter spill but was also reduced on most of the surface-oiled summer spill area.

It is difficult to accurately compare the summer and winter spill quadrats because the oil flowed off to the side of the winter plot. Only three permanent quadrats on the winter spill were impacted in comparison to twelve on the summer spill. However, damage was more severe in both spill plots on areas of surface flow where the oil could contact both above- and

belowground plant parts. There was 76.3 m<sup>2</sup> of surface flow on the winter spill in comparison to 30.3 m<sup>2</sup> on the summer spill. This implies that the extent of severe vegetation injury (as defined by a decrease in total live ground cover) was greater on the winter spill. Stem counts of shrub species readily indicated injury within surface flows. Blueberry was especially susceptible (Table 26). This could be explained by its previously mentioned very shallow rooting habit. Resin birch and both species of Labrador tea were also readily injured. Mosses and lichens were completely killed in quadrats with 80% of oiled ground cover, but were only reduced in areas of less extensive surface flow. Damage was most severe in the upper 10 m of the spills. Within this area, surface flows generally covered a greater percentage of the ground and the penetration of the oil into the soil was greater (Fig. 10).

Areas of subsurface flow had much less damage. Live ground cover was not reduced. Mosses and lichens appeared healthy but shrubs and black spruce were sometimes affected. Blueberry and cloudberry had fewer live stems while some black spruce trees and shrubs were killed.

In summary, damage appeared faster on the summer spill. This could have been due to the



**Table 26. Pre- (1975 and 1976) and post-spill (1978) vegetation analysis (average change).**

Species or category	Control	Winter spill		Summer spill	
		Surface flow	Subsurface flow*	Surface flow	Subsurface flow
Oil-covered ground*	0	80	0	43	0
Frequency of occurrence	0,0	0,100	0,0	0,100	0,0
<b>Trees</b>					
<i>Picea mariana</i> (trees)—cover	0	-50	-10	-10	-5
number of individuals	0	-2	—	-15	-1
occurrence	43,43	100,0	0,0	33,17	33,17
(saplings) cover	-33	-40	—	-15	0
number of individuals	0	-4	—	-1	-0.5
occurrence	43,43	100,0	0,0	33,17	33,33
<b>Medium and low shrubs</b>					
<i>Betula glandulosa</i> —cover	0	-20	0	-15	23
number of stems	-0.6	-4	0	-7.5	-3.7
occurrence	100,100	50,0	100,100	100,67	100,200
<i>Ledum groenlandicum</i> —cover	-4	-25	10	-5.6	2
number of stems	-24	-82	-5	-25.7	-6.4
occurrence	71,71	100,0	100,100	100,83	83,83
<i>Ledum decumbens</i> —cover	0	—	-10	-4	1.7
number of stems	-14	—	-4	-17.6	-17.2
occurrence	86,86	0,0	100,0	83,67	100,100
<i>Vaccinium uliginosum</i> —cover	-2.9	-30	-20	-5.6	0
number of stems	-5.3	-35.5	-105	-39.3	-13.7
occurrence	100,100	100,0	100,100	100,83	100,100
<i>Vaccinium vitis-idaea</i> L.—cover	-43	-25	0	-10	3.3
occurrence	100,100	100,50	100,100	100,100	100,100
<b>Dwarf shrubs and herbs</b>					
<i>Rubus chamaemorus</i> L.—cover	0	-10	0	-8.3	5
number of leaves	0.25	-3.5	-5	-15.8	-6.2
occurrence	57,57	100,50	100,100	100,33	100,83
<i>Equisetum sylvaticum</i> L.—cover	-10	-10	-10	-10	-10
occurrence	71,57	100,0	100,0	50,0	33,0
<i>Petasites hyperboreus</i>					
Rydb.—cover	3.3	-10	-20	—	—
number of leaves	0.67	-0.15	-3	—	—
occurrence	14,28	100,0	100,0	0,0	0,0
<i>Eriophorum vaginatum</i> —cover	-5.7	-15	0	0	0
occurrence	100,100	100,50	100,000	100,83	100,000
<i>Gramineae</i> —cover	14	—	—	1.4	—
occurrence	0,14	0,0	0,0	0,14	0,0
<b>Mosses</b>					
Total: cover	-1.7	-60	10	-8.3	10
occurrence	100,100	100,50	100,100	100,100	100,100
<i>Pleurozium schreberi</i> (Brid)					
Matt—cover	-8.6	-35	10	-10	5
occurrence	100,100	100,50	100,100	100,100	100,100
<i>Polytrichum</i> spp.—cover	-1.4	-20	-10	-4	-3.3
occurrence	100,71	100,0	100,0	83,60	50,100
<i>Dicranum</i> spp.—cover	-4.3	-20	10	-5	1.7
occurrence	86,71	100,0	100,100	67,50	100,100
<i>Sphagnum</i> spp.—cover	5	—	—	-6.7	10
occurrence	57,57	0,0	0,0	100,100	83,83
<b>Lichens</b>					
Total: cover	-12.9	-35	0	1.7	6.7
occurrence	100,100	100,50	100,100	100,100	100,100
<i>Cladonia</i> spp.—cover	-8.7	-30	0	1.7	5
occurrence	100,100	100,50	100,100	100,100	100,100
<i>Cetraria</i> spp.—cover	1.4	-20	10	-5	-4
occurrence	100,100	100,50	100,100	100,67	83,50
<i>Peltigera</i> spp.—cover	-10	-20	-10	6	-2
occurrence	100,100	100,0	100,0	50,100	83,83
Total live ground cover	0	-60	0	-16.7	-3.3

\* Average change in percent vegetation cover

Pre-spill followed by post-spill frequency

\* 1 quadrat only.



Figure 33. Steele Creek oil spill along the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System. Note regrowth of bluejoint reedgrass in the foreground.

rapid flow downslope or to the oil remaining hotter for a longer period. Both of these factors could increase the rate of uptake of toxic components by plant roots. In addition, actively growing vegetation was subjected to greater contact with volatiles on the summer spill. The vegetation was dormant at the time of the winter spill. Many of the volatile components were lost prior to the start of the first growing season following the winter spill (Fig. 13 and Table 3). On both plots, deciduous species showed a quicker browning of foliage, with resin birch being the most susceptible. Effects on blueberry and cloudberry were more delayed. Evergreen species continued to exhibit new injury symptoms throughout the second growing season. Foliage of Labrador tea and cranberry was still alive in the second season in areas where all deciduous foliage was dead. Some foliage of species outside the areas of surface flows turned brown and abscised, primarily during the second growing season.

An important characteristic of both spills is that no regrowth has been seen on any species which lost its foliage as a result of oil damage. This result is in direct contrast to other reported studies (Hutchinson and Freedman 1975 and 1978, Wein and Bliss 1973) and probably is the

result of root damage as pointed out by McCown et al. (1973).

No regrowth or invasion by new individuals has occurred within areas of surface flow. Observations of an earlier (1971) CRREL crude oil spill at Fox, Alaska, showed a similar situation except that feather mosses had begun to encroach upon part of the surface flow area after six growing seasons. However, the Fox site was considerably wetter than the Caribou-Poker Creek site.

The two large accidental spills along TAPS did show more regrowth. The Valve 7 spill, which was primarily a spray spill, showed extensive recovery of both sedge and shrub species in one year. The subarctic Steele Creek spill site was bladed by a bulldozer while the ground was frozen, and was subsequently burned twice, in May and June 1978. Portions of the spill were later tilled and/or fertilized but were not seeded. By September 1978 sedges and bluejoint reedgrass (*Calamagrostis canadensis*) were growing back in some areas of the spill (Fig. 33). At both of these sites soil moisture was much higher than in the Caribou-Poker spill site. Therefore, the oil would not have penetrated as deeply into the soil so that regrowth could occur from belowground vegetative parts.

Others have reported that intensive point

**Table 27. Respiration of ectotrophic mycorrhizal feeder roots.**

Data for  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  given as the mean of three experiments ( $\mu L$  gas/hr per g dry wt) RQ = respiratory quotient (from A E Linkins, Virginia Polytechnic Institute)

<b>Control</b>	
$O_2$	218
$CO_2$	155
RQ	0.71
<b>Summer (6 m downslope)</b>	
$O_2$	77 (65% decrease)
$CO_2$	63
RQ	0.81
<b>Summer (21 m downslope)</b>	
$O_2$	163 (26% decrease)
$CO_2$	111
RQ	0.68
<b>Winter (6 m downslope)</b>	
$O_2$	152 (31% decrease)
$CO_2$	154
RQ	1.02

spills are less damaging than dispersed spray spills (Hutchinson and Freedman 1978). However, the Valve 7 spray spill showed good recovery, probably because of low concentrations of oil per unit area.

Root respiration was reduced at all oiled sites, with the summer spill showing the greatest decrease (65%, Table 27). Studies on respiration quotients (RQ), although limited, suggest that these RQ values were raised by exposure to the crude oil in this study, in contrast to arctic studies which showed decreased RQ's (Linkins and Antibus 1978).

The results of the vegetation study are consistent with the physical and chemical changes of the oil as well as the microbial responses. The toxicity and indirect physical disruption of plant functions by the oil. Distance downslope reduces both toxicity, since the most toxic components are volatiles which are rapidly lost, and physical disruption, since oil concentrations are reduced. Surface flows increase both toxic and physical effects since they lead to contact of oil with both above- and belowground plant parts. However, the relative importance of these two

factors is not known.

Subsurface flows will make contact with roots of different species to varying extents because of differences in rooting habits. In addition, species may vary in their tolerances to contact with crude oil. There may be critical levels of oil concentration below which plant species are undamaged. Both rooting habits and tolerances can influence susceptibility.

The quicker damage to vegetation on the summer spill may be due in part to a high initial concentration of volatiles. However, the winter spill had higher levels of toxic components during the second and third growing seasons. Viscous, tarry oil on surface areas of the winter spill reduced infiltration and may have decreased soil moisture. These factors may be responsible for the delayed mortality of the black spruce on the winter spill. By the end of the third growing season, the winter spill, with its 250% greater area of surface flow, may have caused more total vegetation damage than the summer spill because of these differences.

Both the nature of injury and the lack of vegetation recovery have important implications for restoration following oil spills. The lack of reinvasion by seedlings may be due to the hydrophobic surface of the oil-soaked moss layer (Deneke et al. 1975, McGill 1977). However, even if the hydrophobic surface were disrupted to allow for germination as suggested by McGill (1977) the problem of toxic components of oil within the soil might persist for a number of years. In addition, restorative measures which disrupt the surface organic mat on a permafrost site pose a threat to the thermal stability of the area.

## CONCLUSIONS

The response of a subarctic permafrost community to a massive introduction of crude oil is represented in Figure 34.

Crude petroleum spilled onto subarctic permafrost slopes penetrates the moss layer. During periods of thaw the oil moves into and saturates the lower organic layers of the soil. The oil then spreads downslope and largely follows drainage channels; this spread is concealed by the moss cover. Thus the area actually contaminated is always larger (2.5 to 10 times) than the area where oil is readily visible on the surface. Topography will have a significant effect upon the amount of land contaminated.



slope for weeks following spillage. Reaction to winter spills can be delayed since the oil will initially impact a much smaller area and down-slope flow will quickly stop as the oil cools. Clean-up operations should be completed before snowmelt when the oil may remobilize and impact additional unaffected areas.

3 Research at the present site needs to be continued in order to determine long-term changes. The uniqueness of the site in terms of background information and size of the spills should be fully utilized for future studies.

4 Long-term changes in chemical composition of the oil and the rate of encroachment by native species of vegetation onto oil-saturated areas need to be determined.

5 The environmental factor(s) limiting oil degradation (e.g. temperature, moisture, nutrient availability, aeration, etc.) needs to be documented in order to devise appropriate restorative measures and to assess the importance of such environmental factors for natural recovery. For example, soil moisture data in this study indicate reduced moisture in heavily oil-contaminated soil, but aeration has not been measured.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Alexander, M.** (1965) Denitrifying bacteria. In *Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties* (C. A. Black, Ed.) Wisconsin American Society of Agronomy, p. 1484-1486.
- Alexander, M.** (1977) *Introduction to Soil Microbiology*. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Antibus, R.K. and A.E. Linkins** (1978) Ectomycorrhizal fungi of *Salix rotundifolia* Trautv. I. Impact of surface applied Prudhoe crude oil on mycorrhizal structure and composition. *Arctic*, vol. 31, no. 3, p. 366-386.
- Arctic** (1978), vol. 31, no. 3.
- Bailey, N.J.L., A.M. Jobson and M.A. Rogers** (1973) Bacterial degradation of crude oil: Comparison of field and experimental data. *Chemical Geology*, vol. 11, p. 203-211.
- Bushnell, I.D. and H.F. Hass** (1941) The utilization of certain hydrocarbons by microorganisms. *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 41, p. 65-67.
- Campbell, W.B., R.W. Harris and R.E. Benoit** (1973) Response of Alaskan tundra microflora to crude oil spill. *Proceedings, Symposium on the Impact of Oil Resource Development on Northern Plant Communities*, University of Alaska, Institute of Arctic Biology, Occasional Publications, in Northern Life Sci. 1, p. 33-67.
- Chapin, F.S. III, K. Van Cleve and M.C. Chapin** (1979) Soil temperature and nutrient cycling in the tussock growth form. *Ecophysiological Ecology*, vol. 67, p. 169-189.
- Cochran, W.G.** (1940) Estimates of bacterial densities by means of the most probable number. *Biometrics*, vol. 6, p. 171-176.
- Coleman, D.C.** (1973) Soil carbon balance in a successional grassland. *Oikos*, vol. 24, p. 196-199.
- Cook, F.D. and W.S. Westlake** (1974) Microbiological degradation of northern crude oils. Environmental-Social Committee on Northern Pipelines, Task Force on Northern Oil Development, Report No. 74-1. Information Canada, cat. no. R72-12774, Vancouver, B.C., Canada.
- Crum, H.A., W.C. Steere and L.E. Anderson** (1973) A new list of mosses of North America north of Mexico. *Bryologist*, vol. 76, p. 85-130.
- Davis, J.B.** (1967) *Petroleum Microbiology*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Deneke, F.J., B.H. McCown, P.I. Coyne, W. Rickard and J. Brown** (1975) Biological aspects of terrestrial oil spills. USACRREL oil research in Alaska 1970-1974. U.S.A. Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Research Report 346 ADA-047365.
- Duncan, D.G.** (1955) Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics*, vol. 11, p. 1-42.
- Freedman, W. and T.C. Hutchinson** (1976) Physical and biological effects of experimental crude oil spills on low arctic tundra in the vicinity of Tuktoyaktuk, N.W.T., Canada. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, vol. 54, p. 2219-2230.
- Funk, H.B. and T.A. Krulwich** (1964) Preparation of clear silica gels that can be streaked. *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 88, p. 1200-1201.
- Gardner, W.H.** (1965) Water content. In *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 1. Physical and Mineralogical Properties Including Statistics of Measurement and Sampling* (C.A. Black, Ed.) Wisconsin American Society of Agronomy, p. 82-125.
- Greenwood, D.J.** (1968) Measurement of microbial metabolism in soil. In *The Ecology of Soil Bacteria* (T.R.G. Gray, and D. Parkinson, Eds.) Liverpool University Press, p. 138-157.
- Griffiths, E. and M.A. Siddiqi** (1961) Some factors affecting occurrence of *Fusarium culmorum* in soil. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society*, vol. 44, no. 33, p. 343-353.
- Hale, M.E., Jr. and W.L. Culberson** (1966) A third checklist of the lichens of the continental United States and Canada. *Bryologist*, vol. 69, p. 141-182.
- Horowitz, A. and R.M. Atlas** (1977) Continuous open flow-through system as a model for oil degradation in the Arctic Ocean. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 33, p. 647-653.
- Hulten, E.** (1968) *Flora of Alaska and Neighboring Territories*. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press, 1008 p.
- Hunt, P.G.** (1972) The microbiology of terrestrial crude oil degradation. CRREL Special Report 168 AD-742674.
- Hunt, P.G., W.E. Rickard, F.J. Deneke, F.R. Koutz and R.P. Murrmann** (1973) Biological effects—Terrestrial oil spills in Alaska. Environmental effects and recovery. Reprint from *Proceedings of Joint Conference on Prevention and Control of Oil Spills*, March 13-15, 1973, Washington, D.C. (Available from CRREL).
- Hutchinson, T.C. and W. Freedman** (1975) The impact of crude oil spills on arctic and subarctic vegetation. In *Proceedings of the Circumpolar Conference on Northern Ecology*, September 15-18, Ottawa, vol. 1, p. 175-182.
- Hutchinson, T.C. and W. Freedman** (1978) Effects of experimental crude oil spills on subarctic boreal forest vegetation near Norman Wells, N.W.T., Canada. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, vol. 56, p. 2424-2433.
- Hutchinson, T.C., J. Hellebust and M. Telford** (1974) Oil spill effects on vegetation and soil microfauna at Norman Wells and Tuktoyaktuk, N.W.T. Environmental-Social Committee on Northern Pipelines, Task Force on Northern Oil Development, Report no. 74-14. Information Canada, Cat. No.

- R57-10:1974, Vancouver, B.C., Canada
- Jackson, M.L.** (1958) *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall Inc.
- Jenkins, T.F., L.A. Johnson, C.M. Collins, and T.T. McFadden** (1978) The physical, chemical and biological effects of crude oil spills on black spruce forest, interior Alaska. *Arctic*, vol. 31, p. 305-323
- Jobson, A., F.D. Cook and D.W.S. Westlake** (1972) Microbial utilization of crude oil. *Applied Microbiology*, vol. 23, p. 1082-1089
- Johnson, L.F. and E.A. Curl** (1972) *Method for Research on the Ecology of Soil-borne Plant Pathogens*. Minnesota: Burgess Publishing Company, p. 106-107
- Kator, H., C.H. Oppenheimer and R.J. Miget** (1971) Microbial degradation of a Louisiana crude oil in closed flasks and under simulated field conditions. In *Proceedings of the Joint Conference on Prevention and Control of Oil Spills*, American Petroleum Institute, Washington, D.C., p. 287-296
- Leggett, D.C.** (1979) Determination of dissolved nitrogen and oxygen in water by headspace gas chromatography. CRREL Special Report 79-24. ADA-074411
- Lindholm, G.P. and S.A. Norrell** (1973) Effects of perturbation, nutrient addition and oil spillage on soil nitrogen cycling at the College hot pipe test site. In *Proceedings, Symposium on the Impact of Oil Resource Development on Northern Plant Communities*. University of Alaska, Institute of Arctic Biology, Occasional Publications on Northern Life No. 1, p. 72-79
- Linkins, A.E. and R.K. Antibus** (1978) Ectomycorrhizal fungi of *Salix rotundifolia* Trautv. II. Impact of surface applied Prudhoe Bay crude oil on mycorrhizal root respiration and cold acclimation. *Arctic*, vol. 31, p. 381-393
- Loynachan, T.E.** (1978) Low-temperature mineralization of crude oil in soil. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, vol. 7 no. 4, p. 494-500
- MacKay, D. and W.Y. Shiu** (1976) Aqueous solubility of weathered northern crude oils. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 101-109
- MacKay, D., M.E. Charles, C.R. Phillips** (1974) Physical aspects of crude oil spills on northern terrain (second report). Environmental-Social Committee on Northern Pipelines, Task Force on Northern Oil Development. Report No. 74-25. Information Canada, Cat. No. R-57-34/1974.
- Martin, J.P.** (1950) Use of acid, rose bengal and streptomycin in the plate method for estimating soil fungi. *Soil Science*, vol. 69, p. 215-232
- McAuliffe, C.D.** (1971) GC determination of solutes by multiple phase equilibrium. *Chemical Technology*, vol. 1, p. 46-51
- McAuliffe, C.D.** (1977) Evaporation and solution of  $C_2$  to  $C_8$  hydrocarbons from crude oils on the sea surface. In *Fate and Effects of Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Marine Organisms and Ecosystems* (D.A. Wolfe, Ed.) Elmsford, New York: Pergamon Press, p. 363-371
- McCown, B.H., F.J. Deneke, W.E. Rickard and L.L. Tieszen** (1973) The response of Alaskan terrestrial plant communities to the presence of petroleum. *Proceedings, Symposium on the Impact of Oil Resource Development on Northern Plant Communities*. University of Alaska, Institute of Arctic Biology, Occasional Publications on Northern Life No. 1, p. 44-51
- McGill, W.B.** (1977) Soil restoration following oil spills—a review. *Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology*. April-June, p. 60-67
- Miller, O.K., Linkins, A.E. and Chmielewski, M.A.** (1978) Fungal biomass responses in oil perturbed tundra at Barrow. *Arctic*, vol. 31, p. 381-391
- Montegut, J.** (1960) Value of the dilution method. In *The Ecology of Soil Fungi. An International Symposium* (D. Parkinson and J.S. Ward, Eds.) Liverpool: Liverpool University Press, p. 43-52
- Ohmann, L.F. and R.R. Ream** (1971) Wilderness ecology. A method of sampling and summarizing data for plant community classification. U.S. Forest Service Research Paper NC-49, 14 p.
- Parker, C.A.** (1955) Anaerobiosis with iron wool. *Australian Journal of Experimental Biology*, vol. 33, p. 33-37
- Peech, M.** (1965) Hydrogen-ion activity. In *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties* (C.A. Black, Ed.) Wisconsin: American Society of Agronomy, p. 914-926
- Phillips, C.R. and V.M. Groseva** (1977) The spreading of crude oil spills across a lake. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, vol. 8, p. 353-360
- Raymond, R.L., J.O. Hudson and V.M. Jamison** (1976) Oil degradation in soil. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 31, p. 522-535
- Rickard, W.E. and F. Deneke** (1972) Preliminary investigations of petroleum spillage, Haines-Fairbanks military pipeline, Alaska. CRREL Special Report 170. AD-744450
- Rieger, S., C.E. Furbush, D.B. Schoephorster, H. Summerfield, Jr. and L.C. Geiger** (1972) Soils of the Caribou-Poker Creeks Research Watershed, interior Alaska. CRREL Technical Report 236. AD-744451
- Robertson, B., S. Arhelger, P.J. Kinney and D.K. Button** (1973) Hydrocarbon biodegradation in Alaskan waters. In *The Microbial Degradation of Oil Pollutants* (D.G. Ahearn and S.P. Meyers, Eds.) Baton Rouge, Louisiana: Louisiana State University, Center for Wetland Resources, LSU-3-73-01, p. 171-184
- Rodina, A.G.** (1972) *Methods in Aquatic Microbiology*. (Translated, edited and revised by R.R. Colwell and M.S. Zabrucki.) Baltimore: University Park Press, p. 255-257
- Scarborough, A.M. and P.W. Flanagan** (1973) Observations on the effects of mechanical disturbances and oil on soil microbial populations. *Proceedings, Symposium on the Impact of Oil Resource Development on Northern Plant Communities*. University of Alaska, Institute of Arctic Biology, Occasional Publications on Northern Life No. 1, p. 63-71
- Sextstone, A.J. and R.M. Atlas** (1977) Response of microbial populations in arctic tundra soils to crude oil. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, vol. 23, p. 1327-1333
- Sextstone, A., K. Everett, T. Jenkins and R.M. Atlas** (1978) Fate of crude and refined oils in North Slope soils. *Arctic*, vol. 31, p. 339-347
- Technicon Instruments Corp.** (1973) Tarrytown, New York. Technicon Industrial Method 271-73W
- Technicon Instruments Corp.** (1973) Tarrytown, New York. Technicon Industrial Method 98-70W
- Teh, J.S. and K.H. Lee** (1973) Utilization of n-alkanes by *Cladosporium resinae*. *Applied Microbiology*, vol. 25, p. 454-457
- Teh, J.S. and K.H. Lee** (1974) Effects of n-alkanes on *Cladosporium resinae*. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, vol. 20, p. 971-976
- Troth, J.L., F.J. Deneke and L.M. Brown** (1975) Subarctic plant communities and associated litter and soil profiles in the Caribou Creek Research Watershed, interior Alaska. CRREL Research Report 330. ADA 009063
- Van der Linden, A.C. and G.J.E. Thijssse** (1965) The mechanisms of microbial oxidations of petroleum hydrocarbons. In *Advances in Enzymology and Related Subjects of*

*Biochemistry*. (F.F. Nord, Ed.) New York: Interscience Publishers, vol. 27, p. 470-546

**Viereck, L.A. and E.L. Little Jr.** (1972) Alaska trees and shrubs. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Agriculture Handbook No. 410, 165 p

**Walker, D.A., P.J. Webber, K.R. Everett and J. Brown** (1978) Effects of crude and diesel oil spills on plant communities at Prudhoe Bay, Alaska and the derivation of oil spill sensitivity maps. *Arctic* vol. 31, no. 3, p. 242-259

**Warcup, J.H.** (1967) Fungi in soil. In *Soil Biology* (A. Burges and F. Raw, Eds.) New York: Academic Press, p. 51-110

**Wein, R.W. and L.C. Bliss** (1973) Experimental crude oil spills on arctic plant communities. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, vol. 10, p. 671-682

**Zobell, C.E.** (1950) Assimilation of hydrocarbons by microorganisms. In *Advances in Enzymology and Related Subjects of Biochemistry* (F.F. Nord, Ed.) New York: Interscience Publishers, vol. 10 p. 444-486

## APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND THERMAL INFORMATION




Figure A1. Vertical thermocouple assembly.




Figure A2. Spill sites.



Table A1. Average soil temperatures (for first 10 m downslope).

<u>Date</u>	<u>Thermocouple Level*</u>	<u>Winter spill</u>	<u>Summer spill</u>	<u>Control</u>
22 June 76	5.	12.7°C	8.8	10.1
	4.	3.6	3.45	2.0
	3.	0.5	0.1	- 0.1
	2.	- 0.1	-0.35	- 0.4
	1.	- 0.5	-0.6	- 0.5
20 July 76	5.	22.5	14.3	12.7
	4.	6.6	6.3	3.6
	3.	2.2	2.2	1.05
	2.	1.3	0.7	0.15
	1.	0.4	0.35	- 0.2
8 Oct 76	5.	13.2	12.1	11.2
	4.	6.3	6.5	4.0
	3.	2.4	2.8	1.55
	2.	1.85	1.2	0.35
	1.	0.7	0.3	- 0.2
28 Sept 76	5.	6.05	5.9	4.9
	4.	2.5	2.25	1.3
	3.	0.95	0.7	0.2
	2.	0.5	0.4	- 0.1
	1.	0.45	-0.1	- 0.2
2 June 77	5.	17.4	14.4	11.4
	4.	6.2	4.7	1.7
	3.	- 0.3	0.5	- 0.05
	2.	- 0.4	0.15	- 0.2
	1.	- 0.55	-0.2	- 0.3
16 Aug 77	5.	17.55	21.1	13.15
	4.	7.0	10.6	5.0
	3.	3.3	4.85	2.0
	2.	2.4	2.5	0.7
	1.	1.1	1.3	- 0.4
12 July 76	5.	23.4	24.5	13.6
	4.	10.15	15.85	5.7
	3.	5.0	7.15	2.15
	2.	3.5	2.8	0.3
	1.	1.6	1.5	0.0

\*See Figure A1

# APPENDIX B: CHEMICAL INFORMATION

Table B1. Results from silica gel/alumina fractionation of oily soil extracts from Caribou-Poker Creeks.

Sample	Date	Major oil components (%)			Sol.	Insol.
		Alkanes	Aromatics	Asphaltenes		
Winter Min	15 July 76	30.4	29.4	16.4	8.9	14.8
Summer Min	15 July 76	22.9	20.4	34.8	13.6	8.3
Control Min	15 July 76	0.9	1.3	92.8	7.2	0
Winter Organic	15 July 76	2.9	30.0	11.7	8.7	16.7
Summer Organic	15 July 76	33.0	29.5	13.9	8.1	15.4
Control Organic	15 July 76	0.7	2.4	88.5	12.0	0
Winter Moss	15 July 76	42.1	34.4	8.4	9.5	5.6
Summer Moss	15 July 76	37.6	36.6	7.4	12.8	5.6
Control Moss	15 July 76	1.1	0.7	87.0	17.9	0
Winter Min	9 Sept 76	41.1	31.8	10.6	8.8	7.8
Summer Min	9 Sept 76	27.6	26.4	18.8	10.4	16.6
Control Min	9 Sept 76	0.5	1.0	98.0	11.3	0
Winter Organic	9 Sept 76	42.1	33.1	8.0	8.7	8.1
Summer Organic	9 Sept 76	33.8	32.3	14.8	8.8	10.4
Control Organic	9 Sept 76	0.2	0.7	87.8	12.3	0
Winter Moss	9 Sept 76	40.0	32.4	11.6	9.5	6.4
Summer Moss	9 Sept 76	40.4	36.0	8.4	8.8	6.4
Winter Organic	29 March 77	36.6	34.9	10.7	9.5	8.2
Summer Organic	29 March 77	48.9	35.9	8.8	8.2	0
Control Organic	29 March 77	0.1	0.8	93.0	6.5	0
Winter Moss	29 March 77	44.9	35.9	10.3	8.4	0.4
Summer Moss	29 March 77	36.8	37.2	10.0	8.9	7.0
Winter Min	5 April 77	32.2	29.7	16.7	12.3	9.1
Summer Min	5 April 77	30.2	30.8	17.1	10.8	11.1
Winter Organic	5 April 77	37.3	29.0	-	9.8	-
Summer Organic	5 April 77	32.6	32.5	12.2	13.3	9.4
Winter Moss	5 April 77	36.8	28.7	-	10.6	-
Winter Min	13 July 77	31.6	30.5	15.7	11.6	10.7
Winter Organic	13 July 77	38.2	32.6	11.0	10.7	7.5
Summer Organic	13 July 77	36.0	34.5	8.0	11.0	10.4
Winter Moss	13 July 77	34.4	34.1	10.8	11.0	9.7
Summer Moss	13 July 77	33.1	32.6	12.6	11.9	9.8
Winter Min	1 Aug 77	29.3	25.0	22.9	12.0	10.8
Summer Min	1 Aug 77	19.2	24.8	40.2	12.2	3.6
Winter Organic	1 Aug 77	30.6	28.0	19.6	12.4	9.4
Summer Organic	1 Aug 77	30.5	26.8	20.1	13.0	9.6
Summer Organic	5 Jan 78	32.8	37.6	10.6	10.2	8.7
Winter Moss	5 Jan 78	36.3	43.4	9.7	9.9	0.7
Summer Moss	5 Jan 78	33.4	35.4	9.2	10.9	11.1
Control Moss	5 Jan 78	0	6.9	59.7	58.3	0
Winter Min	27 June 78	33.9	30.6	16.1	10.4	9.2
Summer Min	27 June 78	26.6	24.2	25.0	10.0	14.3
Winter Organic	27 June 78	42.0	32.6	6.3	9.3	9.9
Summer Organic	27 June 78	34.4	32.6	8.6	10.0	14.4

Table B2. Results from silica gel/alumina fractionation of samples from Caribou-Poker (27 July 78).

Sample	Major oil components (%)			NSO	
	Alkanes	Aromatics	Asphaltenes	Sol.	Insol.
S 4-5, 8-9 Moss	40.8	38.3	2.1	7.1	11.8
S 1-2, 8-9 Moss	29.7	29.4	18.7	8.5	13.7
S 7, 34 Moss	35.0	36.5	6.0	7.5	15.0
S 4-5, 8-9 Organic	39.6	33.0	12.2	8.5	6.8
S 7, 34 Organic	40.7	32.1	8.6	8.9	9.7
S 1-2, 8-9 Organic	39.0	32.4	12.2	8.7	7.6
S 1-2, 8-9 Min	23.9	26.7	30.5	12.1	6.9
S 4-5, 8-9 Min	31.1	33.1	14.9	9.6	11.4
W 8-9, 11-12 Moss	35.0	36.5	6.0	8.4	14.1
W 8-9, 11-12 Organic	35.2	35.7	9.3	9.0	10.9
W 15, 34 Organic	32.3	31.9	11.9	10.4	13.5
W 8-9, 11, 12 Min	35.2	32.8	15.3	6.3	10.4

Table B3. Results from fractionation of samples from other Alaskan spill areas.

Location	Spill date	Sample date	Major oil components (%)			NSO	
			Alkanes	Aromatics	Asphaltenes	Sol.	Insol.
Barrow 313	1970	July 1977	22.6	26.8	20.6	14.0	15.9
Barrow 311	1970	July 1977	34.4	34.3	10.8	10.9	9.5
Barrow 152 D	1971	July 1977	31.1	32.5	12.1	12.4	11.8
Fox	1971	July 1976	32.4	35.1	10.8	10.9	10.9
Prudhoe Oil (orig. oil used for spill)	July 1976	-	35.2	36.8	8.4	12.1	7.5
Prudhoe 2 CR	1976	July 1977	37.5	35.5	7.1	10.9	8.9
Prudhoe 5 CR	1976	July 1977	36.1	37.2	7.7	9.8	9.2

Table B4. Oil in soil extracts (%).

Sample date	Mineral			Organic			Moss		
	Win.	Sum.	Con.	Win.	Sum.	Con.	Win.	Sum.	Con.
15 July 76	9.81	1.65	0.73	89.0	57.6	1.35	266	207	2.49
17 Sept 76	6.52	3.85	0.45	33.5	7.09	2.03	249	211	0.91
29 March 77	-	-	-	24.1	181	2.29	255	147	2.12
5 April 77	4.03	3.38	0.10	115	26.9	0.76	137	-	0.82
13 July 77	4.70	3.00	0.10	190	283	0.70	234	194	1.90
1 Aug 77	3.6	1.5	0.2	7.3	12.4	0.6	-	-	-
3 Jan 78	-	-	-	-	50.1	-	183	69.3	-
27 June 78	3.89	1.76	-	16.8	31.1	-	-	-	-


Table B5. Soil pH.

Sample date	Mineral			Organic		
	Win.	Sum.	Con.	Win.	Sum.	Con.
15 July 76	-	-	-	3.4	3.5	3.5
17 Sept 76	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.3	3.5	3.2
29 March 77	-	-	-	3.5	3.4	3.5
5 April 77	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8
13 July 77	-	-	-	-	-	3.7


Table B6. Exchangeable cations in soil samples (meq/100 g).

Sample	Date	Na <sup>+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>	Ca <sup>++</sup>	Mg <sup>++</sup>	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>
Winter Mineral	15 July 76	0.09	0.35	12.7	2.61	0.035
Summer Mineral	15 July 76	0.10	0.37	15.1	3.25	0.028
Control Mineral	15 July 76	0.09	0.24	18.5	3.18	0.044
Winter Organic	15 July 76	0.26	1.38	24.0	4.48	0.046
Summer Organic	15 July 76	0.32	1.48	89.2	13.5	0.051
Control Organic	15 July 76	0.19	1.20	43.6	7.74	0.034
Winter Mineral	17 Sept 76	0.07	0.14	4.3	0.90	0.065
Summer Mineral	17 Sept 76	0.07	0.15	6.5	1.91	0.081
Control Mineral	17 Sept 76	0.06	0.09	3.6	1.04	0.064
Winter Organic	17 Sept 76	0.10	0.33	8.4	2.86	0.073
Summer Organic	17 Sept 76	0.12	0.29	13.9	3.75	0.077
Control Organic	17 Sept 76	0.19	0.60	16.4	4.78	0.061
Winter Organic	29 Mar 77	-	-	-	-	0.082
Summer Organic	29 Mar 77	-	-	-	-	0.010
Control Organic	29 Mar 77	-	-	-	-	0.060


# APPENDIX C: MICROBIOLOGICAL INFORMATION



a. 1976




b. 1977




c. 1978

Figure C1. MPN counts (20°C) of denitrifying bacteria in oiled and unoiled (control) plots. C = control plot, W = winter plot, S = summer plot, brackets represent 95% confidence interval.



a. Cells



b. Propagules

Figure C2. 1976 MPN counts ( $20^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) of cellulose-utilizing fungi in oiled and uniled (control) plots. C = control plot, W = winter plot, S = summer plot, brackets represent 95% confidence interval.




Figure C3. 1976 MPN counts ( $20^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) of oil-utilizing bacteria in oiled and uniled (control) plots. C = control plot, W = winter plot, S = summer plot, brackets represent 95% confidence interval.




Figure C4. 1976 MPN counts ( $20^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) of oil-utilizing yeasts in oiled and uniled (control) plots. C = control plot, W = winter plot, S = summer plot, brackets represent 95% confidence level.




Figure C5. 1977 and 1978 MPN counts (20°C) of oil-utilizing bacteria in oiled and unoled (control) plots. C = control plot, W = winter plot, S = summer plot, brackets represent 95% confidence interval.






Figure C6. 1977 and 1978 MPN counts (20°C) of oil-utilizing yeasts in oiled and unoled (control) plots. C = control plot, W = winter plot, S = summer plot, brackets represent 95% confidence interval.



a. 1976



b. 1977 and 1978

Figure C7. MPN counts (20°C) of oil-utilizing fungi in oiled and unoled (control) plots. C = control plot, W = winter plot, S = summer plot, brackets represent 95% confidence interval.

Table C1. 20°C Heterotrophic bacterial counts ( $\times 10^6$ /g soil) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
1976			
A <sub>1</sub> Horizon			
February <sup>a</sup>	12	11	-
June	27	300**	-
July <sup>b</sup>	28	440**	18*cc
August	16	280**	570**cc
September	13	260**	1300**cc
C <sub>2</sub> Horizon			
February <sup>a</sup>	7	5**	-
June <sup>b</sup>	11	8	-
July <sup>b</sup>	9	42**	7cc
August	8	24**	28**
September	3	19*	280**cc
1977			
A <sub>1</sub> Horizon			
June	29	720**	1800**cc
July	18	330**	1200**cc
August	22	180**	880**cc
C <sub>2</sub> Horizon			
June	6	44**	280**cc
July	4	94**	170**cc
August	2	55*	150**cc
1978			
A <sub>1</sub> Horizon			
June	24	370**	700**cc
July	25	380**	370**
C <sub>2</sub> Horizon			
June	10	72**	71**
July	6	56**	34**cc

a Within 24 hr after the winter oil spill.

b Within 24 hr after the summer oil spill.

\* Significantly different from the control at 5% level.

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

cc Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.



Table C2. 20°C filamentous fungal propagule counts ( $\times 10^4/\text{g}$  soil) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
1976			
A <sub>1</sub> Horizon			
February <sup>a</sup>	100	160	-
June	230	15**	-
July <sup>b</sup>	160	27**	60**cc
August	110	80*	75**
September	62	72	460**cc
C <sub>2</sub> Horizon			
February <sup>a</sup>	25	8**	-
June	33	3**	-
July <sup>b</sup>	13	n.d.	35**
August	20	5**	11**c
September	7	3**	91**cc
1977			
A <sub>1</sub> Horizon			
June	270	47**	300cc
July	170	41**	210*cc
August	150	21**	180*cc
C <sub>2</sub> Horizon			
June	35	20**	29**cc
July	10	8	20**cc
August	22	9	18**cc
1978			
A <sub>1</sub> Horizon			
June	170	420**	470**
July	160	500**	420**cc
C <sub>2</sub> Horizon			
June	25	26	n.d.
July	26	34**	37**

a Within 24 hr after the winter oil spill.

b Within 24 hr after the summer oil spill.

n.d. Not determined.

\* Significantly different from the control at 5% level.

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

c Significantly different from the winter at 5% level.

cc Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

Table C3. 20°C yeast counts ( $\times 10^5$ /g soil) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
1976	A <sub>1</sub> Horizon		
February <sup>a</sup>	5.4	7.2	-
June	8.1	790**	-
July <sup>b</sup>	2.7	120**	5cc
August	7.0	340**	1500**cc
September	11	220**	1800**cc
	C <sub>2</sub> Horizon		
February <sup>a</sup>	1.2	1.2	-
June	1.0	8.6**	-
July <sup>b</sup>	0.6	7.4**	0.6cc
August	1.4	25**	110**cc
September	1.1	22**	590**cc
1977	A <sub>1</sub> Horizon		
June	3.1	210**	3100**cc
July	5.1	330**	5200**cc
August	4.0	250**	2900**cc
	C <sub>2</sub> Horizon		
June	0.7	53**	930**cc
July	0.2	53**	400**cc
August	1.2	48**	500**cc
1978	A <sub>1</sub> Horizon		
June	14	3100**	3400**
July	12	3200**	2400**cc
	C <sub>2</sub> Horizon		
June	1	410**	390**
July	2	280**	160**cc

a Within 24 hr after the winter oil spill.

b Within 24 hr after the summer oil spill.

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

cc Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

Table C4. 20°C proteolytic bacterial counts ( $\times 10^5$ /g soil) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots in 1976.

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
A <sub>1</sub> Horizon			
February <sup>a</sup>	67	60	-
June	200	1300**	-
July <sup>b</sup>	68	800**	110**cc
August	34	150**	3900**cc
September	24	630**	4000**cc
C <sub>2</sub> Horizon			
February <sup>a</sup>	16	9.5	-
June	25	61	-
July <sup>b</sup>	17	210**	40**cc
August	8.1	n.d.	250**
September	8.2	64**	1400**cc

a Within 24 hr after the winter oil spill.

b Within 24 hr after the summer oil spill.

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

cc Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

n.d. not determined

Table C5. 20°C anaerobic bacterial counts ( $\times 10^5$ /g soil) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots in 1976.

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
A <sub>1</sub> Horizon			
July <sup>a</sup>	40	72*	19**cc
September	13	60**	1200**cc
C <sub>2</sub> Horizon			
July <sup>a</sup>	7.7	14**	9.9cc
September	4.8	11**	21**cc

a Within 24 hr after the winter oil spill.

\* Significantly different from the control at 5% level.

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

cc Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

Table C6. 20°C in vitro soil respiration rates (mg CO<sub>2</sub>/24 hr per 100 g soil) in oiled and unoiled (control) plots.

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
1976			
A <sub>1</sub> Horizon			
February <sup>a</sup>	104.0	49.4*	-
July <sup>b</sup>	39.8	97.4**	146.0**cc
August	25.8	42.0**	88.2**cc
September	21.6	48.8**	121.0**cc
C <sub>2</sub> Horizon			
February <sup>a</sup>	10.0	3.2**	-
July <sup>b</sup>	12.2	20.2**	15.0c
August	7.8	15.4**	9.0c
September	5.6	18.0**	33.6**cc
1977			
A <sub>1</sub> Horizon			
June	61.6	90.8**	223.8**cc
July	40.5	55.7**	136.2**cc
August	65.2	54.0**	128.7**cc
C <sub>2</sub> Horizon			
June	7.3	11.4**	37.5**cc
July	9.9	14.3**	17.9**cc
August	9.2	15.0**	19.8**cc
1978			
A <sub>1</sub> Horizon			
June	41.0	68.8**	82.5**cc
July	11.1	17.2**	14.4*

a Within 24 hr after the winter oil spill.

b Within 24 hr after the summer oil spill.

\* Significantly different from the control at 5% level.

\*\* Significantly different from the control at 1% level.

c Significantly different from the winter at 5% level.

cc Significantly different from the winter at 1% level.

Table C7. pH of soil collected from oiled and unoiled (control) plots.

Sampling time	Control plot	Winter plot	Summer plot
1976	A <sub>1</sub> Horizon		
February <sup>a</sup>	4.8	5.5	--
June	5.6	5.7	--
July <sup>b</sup>	5.5	6.2	6.1
August	5.4	5.7	5.5
September	5.2	5.7	5.7
	C <sub>2</sub> Horizon		
February <sup>a</sup>	5.2	5.2	--
June	5.0	5.5	--
July <sup>b</sup>	5.1	5.2	5.3
August	5.3	5.5	5.7
September	5.3	5.6	5.9
1977	A <sub>1</sub> Horizon		
June	5.2	4.9	5.3
July	4.8	5.1	5.4
August	5.1	5.5	5.6
	C <sub>2</sub> Horizon		
June	5.2	5.2	5.4
July	5.0	5.2	5.2
August	5.2	5.2	5.2
1978	A <sub>1</sub> Horizon		
June	4.9	5.4	5.2
July	4.8	5.2	5.3
	C <sub>2</sub> Horizon		
June	5.2	5.4	5.4
July	5.0	5.0	5.2

a Within 24 hr after the winter oil spill.

b Within 24 hr after the summer oil spill.

Table C8. Correlation coefficients and regression equations relating in vitro soil respiration rates to bacterial numbers in oiled and unoiled (control) plots in 1977<sup>a</sup>.

Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	Treatment	n	r
4°C			
Y = 6.67 + 2.06X	Control	6	0.831*
Y = 8.56 + 0.04X	Winter	6	0.959**
Y = 7.90 + 0.05X	Summer	6	0.927**
Y = 9.31 + 0.05X	All	18	0.927**
20°C			
Y = 0.25 + 2.36X	Control	6	0.959**
Y = 12.71 + 0.12X	Winter	6	0.938**
Y = 2.28 + 0.12X	Summer	6	0.990**
Y = 19.34 + 0.11X	All	18	0.943**

a June, July, and August data in both soil horizons were used.

b Y = mg CO<sub>2</sub>/24 hr per 100 g soil; X = 10<sup>6</sup> bacterial cells/g soil.

\* Significant at 5% level.

\*\* Significant at 1% level.

Table C9. Correlation coefficients and regression equations relating in vitro soil respiration rates to bacterial numbers in oiled and unoiled (control) plots in 1976<sup>a</sup>.

Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	Treatment	n	r
4°C			
Y = 2.27 + 1.72X	Control	6	0.892*
Y = 7.10 + 0.03X	Winter	6	0.863*
Y = 8.60 + 0.05X	Summer	6	0.860*
Y = 6.09 + 0.05X	All	18	0.858**
20°C			
Y = 0.05 + 1.45X	Control	6	0.980**
Y = 10.20 + 0.17X	Winter	6	0.952**
Y = 49.19 + 0.05X	Summer	6	0.466
Y = 30.51 + 0.08X	All	18	0.566*

a July, August, and September data in both soil horizons were used.

b Y = mg CO<sub>2</sub>/24 hr per 100 g soil; X = 10<sup>6</sup> bacteria cells/g soil.

\* Significant at 5% level.

\*\* Significant at 1% level.

Table C10. Correlation coefficients and regression equations relating in vitro soil respiration rates to filamentous fungi propagule counts in oiled and unoiled (control) plots in 1976<sup>a</sup>.

Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	Treatment	n	r
4°C			
Y = 3.47 + 0.04X	Control	6	0.724
Y = 5.47 + 0.53X	Winter	6	0.822*
Y = 7.52 + 0.30X	Summer	6	0.806
Y = 7.37 + 0.15X	All	18	0.504*
20°C			
Y = 6.13 + 0.21X	Control	6	0.980**
Y = 34.91 + 0.25X	Winter	5	0.277
Y = 47.86 + 0.17X	Summer	6	0.500
Y = 28.39 + 0.21X	All	17	0.520*

a July, August, and September data in both soil horizons were used.

b Y = mg CO<sub>2</sub>/24 hr per 100 g soil; X = 10<sup>4</sup> filamentous fungal propagules/g soil.

\* Significant at 5% level.

\*\* Significant at 1% level.

Table C11. Correlation coefficients and regression equations relating in vitro soil respiration rates to filamentous fungi propagule counts in oiled and unoiled (control) plots in 1977<sup>a</sup>.

Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	Treatment	n	r
4°C			
Y = 2.82 + 0.17X	Control	6	0.980**
Y = 10.01 + 0.78X	Winter	6	0.933**
Y = 1.14 + 1.58X	Summer	6	0.906*
Y = 15.50 + 0.18X	All	18	0.283
20°C			
Y = 6.72 + 0.23X	Control	6	0.894*
Y = -4.44 + 1.77X	Winter	6	0.843*
Y = 7.80 + 0.68X	Summer	6	0.995**
Y = 15.20 + 0.46X	All	18	0.794**

a June, July, and August data in both soil horizons were used.

b Y = mg CO<sub>2</sub>/24 hr per 100 g soil; X = 10<sup>4</sup> filamentous fungal propagules/g soil.

\* Significant at 5% level.

\*\* Significant at 1% level.

Table Cl2. Correlation coefficients and regression equations relating in vitro soil respiration rates to yeast numbers in oiled and unoiled (control) plots in 1976<sup>a</sup>.

Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	Treatment	n	r
4°C			
Y = 1.91 + 4.26X	Control	6	0.710
Y = 6.89 + 0.25X	Winter	6	0.792
Y = 7.45 + 0.04X	Summer	6	0.853*
Y = 7.62 + 0.04X	All	18	0.837**
20°C			
Y = 14.26 + 1.12X	Control	6	0.374
Y = 29.47 + 0.09X	Winter	6	0.382
Y = 48.67 + 0.03X	Summer	6	0.412
Y = 31.12 + 0.04X	All	18	0.545*

a July, August, and September data in both soil horizons were used.

b Y = mg CO<sub>2</sub>/24 hr per 100 g soil; X = 10<sup>5</sup> yeast cells/g soil.

\* Significant at 5% level.

\*\* Significant at 1% level.

Table Cl3. Correlation coefficients and regression equations relating in vitro soil respiration rates to yeast numbers in oiled and unoiled (control) plots in 1977<sup>a</sup>.

Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	Treatment	n	r
4°C			
Y = 5.49 + 3.46X	Control	6	0.781
Y = 9.03 + 0.72X	Winter	6	0.964**
Y = 8.19 + 0.51X	Summer	6	0.985**
Y = 9.96 + 0.50X	All	18	0.964**
20°C			
Y = 6.04 + 11.01X	Control	6	0.800
Y = 8.52 + 0.20X	Winter	6	0.768
Y = 21.44 + 0.03X	Summer	6	0.768
Y = 31.43 + 0.03X	All	18	0.775**

a June, July, and August data in both soil horizons were used.

b Y = mg CO<sub>2</sub>/24 hr per 100 g soil; X = 10<sup>5</sup> yeast cells/g soil.

\*\* Significant at 1% level.



Table C14. Correlation coefficients and regression equations relating in vitro soil respiration rates to oil concentrations in oiled plots in 1976<sup>a</sup>.

Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	Treatment	n	r
4°C			
Y = 5.58 + 1.53X	Winter	6	0.879*
Y = 9.85 + 1.84X	Summer	6	0.607
Y = 7.13 + 1.84X	Both	12	0.643*
20°C			
Y = 2.71 + 10.21X	Winter	6	0.990**
Y = 14.36 + 11.92X	Summer	6	0.958**
Y = 6.19 + 11.72X	Both	12	0.945**

a July, August, and September data in both soil horizons were used.

b Y = mg CO<sub>2</sub>/24 hr per 100 g soil; X = % oil in soil.

\* Significant at 5% level.

\*\* Significant at 1% level.

Table C15. Correlation coefficients and regression equations relating in vitro soil respiration rates to oil concentrations in oiled plots in 1976<sup>a</sup>.

Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	Treatment	n	r
4°C			
Y = 5.62 + 2.17X	Winter	6	0.980**
Y = 11.29 + 2.85X	Summer	6	0.970**
Y = 6.60 + 2.89X	Both	12	0.949**
20°C			
Y = 5.52 + 7.33X	Winter	6	0.917**
Y = 29.39 + 8.53X	Summer	6	0.943**
Y = 12.80 + 8.82X	Both	12	0.922**

a June, July, and August data in both soil horizons were used.

b Y = mg CO<sub>2</sub>/24 hr per 100 g soil; X = % oil in soil.

\*\* Significant at 1% level.

Table C16. Correlation coefficients and regression equations relating bacterial numbers to oil concentrations in oiled plots in 1976<sup>a</sup>.

Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	Treatment	n	r
4°C			
$Y = -51.29 + 54.11X$	Winter	6	0.997**
$Y = 161.37 + 9.06X$	Summer	6	0.164
$Y = 80.34 + 23.04X$	Both	12	0.421
20°C			
$Y = -30.90 + 56.08X$	Winter	6	0.980**
$Y = 259.92 + 22.46X$	Summer	6	0.210
$Y = 124.15 + 35.14X$	Both	12	0.359

a July, August, and September data in both soil horizons were used.

b  $Y = 10^6$  bacterial cells/g soil;  $X = \% \text{ oil in soil}$ .

\*\* Significant at 1% level.

Table C17. Correlation coefficients and regression equations relating bacterial numbers to oil concentrations in oiled plots in 1977<sup>a</sup>.

Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	Treatment	n	r
4°C			
$Y = -56.40 + 47.76X$	Winter	6	0.975**
$Y = 108.04 + 55.20X$	Summer	6	0.964**
$Y = -4.70 + 57.30X$	Both	12	0.938**
20°C			
$Y = -62.69 + 63.35X$	Winter	6	0.980**
$Y = 222.43 + 69.21X$	Summer	6	0.949**
$Y = 40.30 + 73.38X$	Both	12	0.917**

a June, July, and August data in both soil horizons were used.

b  $Y = 10^6$  bacterial cells/g soil;  $X = \% \text{ oil in soil}$ .

\*\* Significant at 1% level.

Table C18. Correlation coefficients and regression equations relating bacterial numbers to oil concentrations in oiled plots in 1978<sup>a</sup>.

Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	Treatment	n	r
4°C			
$Y = 12.77 + 21.05X$	Winter	4	0.995**
$Y = -7.64 + 36.56X$	Summer	4	0.889
$Y = -4.48 + 29.79X$	Both	8	0.854**
20°C			
$Y = -8.98 + 41.92$	Winter	4	1.000**
$Y = 4.35 + 58.04X$	Summer	4	0.975*
$Y = -8.47 + 50.96X$	Both	8	0.943**

a June and July data in both soil horizons were used.

b  $Y = 10^6$  bacterial cells/g soil;  $X = \% \text{ oil in soil}$ .

\* Significant at 5% level.

\*\* Significant at 1% level.

Table C19. Correlation coefficients and regression equations relating bacterial numbers to oil concentrations in oiled plots in 1978<sup>a</sup>.

Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	Treatment	n	r
4°C			
$Y = -4.20 + 2.49X$	Winter	6	0.938**
$Y = 8.37 + 1.55X$	Summer	6	0.922**
$Y = 2.61 + 1.82X$	Both	12	0.889**
20°C			
$Y = 8.71 + 3.30X$	Winter	6	0.812*
$Y = 35.65 + 11.95X$	Summer	6	0.911*
$Y = 3.08 + 11.73X$	Both	12	0.831**

a June, July, and August data in both soil horizons were used.

b  $Y = 10^4$  filamentous fungal propagules/g soil;  $X = \% \text{ oil in soil}$ .

\* Significant at 5% level.

\*\* Significant at 1% level.

Table C20. Correlation coefficients and regression equations relating filamentous fungal propagule counts to oil concentrations in oiled plots in 1978<sup>a</sup>.

Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	Treatment	n	r
4°C			
Y = 3.29 + 1.66X	Winter	4	0.990**
Y = 7.05 + 4.55X	Summer	4	0.990**
Y = 4.14 + 3.23X	Both	8	0.762*
20°C			
Y = -68.76 + 57.57X	Winter	4	0.985*
Y = 33.54 + 43.63X	Summer	3	0.990
Y = -22.62 + 50.70X	Both	7	0.975**

a June and July data in both soil horizons were used.

b Y = 10<sup>4</sup> filamentous fungal propagules/g soil; X = % oil in soil.

\* Significant at 5% level.

\*\* Significant at 1% level.

Table C21. Correlation coefficients and regression equations relating yeast numbers to oil concentrations in oiled plots in 1977<sup>a</sup>.

Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	Treatment	n	r
4°C			
Y = -4.22 + 2.92X	Winter	6	0.980**
Y = 5.25 + 5.56X	Summer	6	0.995**
Y = -5.44 + 5.51X	Both	12	0.938**
20°C			
Y = 88.42 + 14.56X	Winter	6	0.480
Y = 1274.73 + 118.41X	Summer	6	0.574
Y = 366.12 + 129.73X	Both	12	0.539

a June, July, and August data in both soil horizons were used.

b Y = 10<sup>5</sup> yeast cells/g soil; X = % oil in soil.

\*\* Significant at 1% level.

Table C22. Correlation coefficients and regression equations relating yeast numbers to oil concentrations in oiled plots in 1978<sup>a</sup>.

Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	Treatment	n	r
4°C			
$Y = 5.62 + 2.73X$	Winter	4	0.894
$Y = -3.30 + 22.72X$	Summer	4	0.889
$Y = -7.27 + 13.87X$	Both	8	0.616
20°C			
$Y = -312.54 + 377.99X$	Winter	4	0.998**
$Y = 78.97 + 304.45X$	Summer	4	0.998**
$Y = -72.12 + 334.38X$	Both	8	0.992**

a June and July data in both soil horizons were used.

b  $Y = 10^5$  yeast cells/g soil;  $X = \% \text{ oil in soil}$ .

\*\* Significant at 1% level.

A facsimile catalog card in Library of Congress MARC format is reproduced below.

Johnson, L.A.

The fate and effects of crude oil spilled on sub-arctic permafrost terrain in interior Alaska / by L.A. Johnson, E.B. Sparrow, T.F. Jenkins, C.M. Collins, C.V. Davenport and T.T. McFadden. Hanover, N.H.: U.S. Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory; Springfield, Va.: available from National Technical Information Service, 1980.

viii, 76 p., illus.; 28 cm. ( CRREL Report 80-29. )

Prepared for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency by Corps of Engineers, U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.

Bibliography: p. 41.

1. Alaska. 2. Arctic regions. 3. Forests.  
4. Oil spills. 5. Permafrost. 6. Vegetation.

Johnson, L.A.

The fate and effects of crude oil spilled ...

1980

(Card 2)

I. Sparrow, E.B. II. Jenkins, T.F. III. Collins, C.M. IV. Davenport, C.V. V. McFadden, T.T.  
VI. United States. Army. Corps of Engineers.  
VII. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, N.H. VIII. Series: CRREL Report 80-29.

