AD-A094 067	NAVAL THE NRI DEC 80	RESEARC L-EPRI JRH	H LAB RESEARC	WASHIN CH PROG	GTON DC Ram (RP)	886-2),	EVALUA	TION AN	D PRED	F/G 18/ ICT-ET	10 C(U)	-
lor ( 1894-04									80			
								END DATE FILMED 2 -8h DTIC				
						_						

Designation and Designation of the second se

# Part I. Dynamic Cy, PGC, Investigations

ž.

J.R. HAWTHORNE, EDITOR

Thermostructural Materials Branch Material Science and Technology Division



December 31, 1980



LAVAL BUILDINGS LANGUATORS

anterest for such relations. The first sector contention





KEFU	RT DOCUMENTATION PAG	;E	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2. G	OVT ACCESSION NO	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
IRL Memorandum Rep	ort 4431 #D	A094 067	- N/2 - 1 /2 - 4 - 1
TITLE (and Babtttle)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
HE NRL-EPRI RESEA	RCH PROGRAM (RP886-2), E	VALUATION /	Part I, Final Report
RESSURE VESSEL M	ATERIALS ANNUAL BROOM	IN REACTOR	Ikan second
OR CY 1975, PART I	DYNAMIC C. PCC. INVESTI	GATIONS /	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
Carrow M.	Ru - EVI A0-	15012	1. 10
AUTHOR(e)	Indering	÷ •	GONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(a)
. R. Hawthorne, Editor		,	
PERFORMING ORGANI	ZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	····	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
laval Research Laborat	ory		AREA & WORK ONT NUMBERS
ashington, DC 20375			63-1069-0-1; RP886-2
. CONTROLLING OFFIC	E NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
lectric Power Research	Institute	de Ele	December 31, 1980
aio Alto, CA 94304		1	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
			34
4. MONITORING AGENC	Y NAME & ADDRESS(If different from	Controlling Office)	IS. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
			UNCLASSIFIED
			15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STAT	EMENT (of this Report)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>
Approved for public rel	ease; distribution unlimited.		
7. DISTRIBUTION STAT	EMENT (of the abstract entered in Bl	ock 20, il dillerent fra	m Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STAT	EMENT (of the abstract entered in Bl	ock 20, il dillerent fre	un Repori)
7. DISTRIBUTION STAT	EMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Bi	ock 20, il dillerent fra	an Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STAT	EMENT (of the obstract entered in Blu DTES • Power Research Institute (FPR	ock 20, il dillereni fra	nt RP886-2
7. DISTRIBUTION STATI 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NO 'repared for the Electri	EMENT (of the obstract entered in Bi DTES c Power Research Institute (EPR	ock 20, 11 dillerent fre ] ], under Agreemei	nt RP886-2.
7. DISTRIBUTION STATI 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Trepared for the Electri 9. KEY WORDS (Continue Tharpy-V test	EMENT (of the abstract entered in Blo DTES c Power Research Institute (EPR on reverse elde 11 necessary and Ide Nuclear reactors	ock 20, 11 different fre I), under Agreemen nitfy by block number	nt RP886-2.
7. DISTRIBUTION STATI 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Trepared for the Electri 9. KEY WORDS (Continue Charpy-V test integral	EMENT (of the abstract entered in Bi DTES c Power Research Institute (EPR on reverse elde 11 necessary and Ide Nuclear reactors Precracked Charpy test	ock 20, 11 different fre I), under Agreemen nitfy by block number Steel weldment	nt RP886-2.
7. DISTRIBUTION STAT 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Prepared for the Electri 9. KEY WORDS (Continue Tharpy-V test 1 integral 2 curve	EMENT (of the obstract entered in Blo DTES c Power Research Institute (EPR on reverse elde 11 necessary and Ide Nuclear reactors Precracked Charpy test Pressure vessels	ock 20, 11 different fre I), under Agreemen nilfy by block number Steel weldment	nt RP886-2.
7. DISTRIBUTION STAT 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Prepared for the Electri 9. KEY WORDS (Continue Charpy-V test 1 integral 2 curve Fracture toughness	EMENT (at the abstract entered in Bio DTES c Power Research Institute (EPR on reverse side if necessary and ide Nuclear reactors Precracked Charpy test Pressure vessels Radiation embrittlement	ock 20, 11 dillerent fre I), under Agreemen ntity by block number Steel weldment	nt RP886-2.
7. DISTRIBUTION STAT 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Prepared for the Electri 9. KEY WORDS (Continue Charpy-V test 1 integral 2 curve Fracture toughness Low alloy steels Josek dustility	EMENT (at the abstract entered in Bio DTES c Power Research Institute (EPR on reverse side if necessary and iden Nuclear reactors Precracked Charpy test Pressure vessels Radiation embrittlement Steel forgings Steel plate	ock 20, 11 dillerent fre I), under Agreemen nttfy by block number Steel weldment	nt RP886-2.
7. DISTRIBUTION STATE 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Prepared for the Electri 9. KEY WORDS (Continue Dharpy-V test 1 integral R curve Fracture toughness Low alloy steels Notch ductility 0. (ABSTRACT (Continue	EMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Bio DTES c Power Research Institute (EPR on reverse elde 11 necessary and iden Nuclear reactors Precracked Charpy test Pressure vessels Radiation embrittlement Steel forgings Steel plate on reverse elde 11 necessary and iden	ock 20, if different fro I), under Agreemen niffy by block number Steel weldment	nt RP886-2.
7. DISTRIBUTION STAT 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Prepared for the Electri 9. KEY WORDS (Continue Charpy-V test 1 integral R curve Fracture toughness Low alloy steels Notch ductility 0. (ABSTRACT (Continue paNuclear reactor pr io neutron irradiation. ressel materials and the between apparent notch sative projections of str the benefit of reactor vi- juality data base for the	EMENT (of the obstract entered in Bio DTES c Power Research Institute (EPR on reverse elde II necessary and Iden Nuclear reactors Precracked Charpy test Pressure vessels Radiation embrittlement Steel forgings Steel plate on reverse elde II necessary and Iden ressure vessel materials are subjec Current technology is inadequato ir metallurgical variations for the o ductility and fracture toughness uctural integrity. The NRL-EPR resel design and operation. /A pri-	niffy by block number Steel weldment to progressive rec e to quantitatively neutron fluences c in the irradiated C I RP886-2 Program mary objective of t embrittlement pro	ht RP886-2. ht RP886-2. ht ctions in fracture resistance in service due predict radiation embrittlement for all of interest. In addition a relationship condition is needed to evolve more quanti- tion was formulated to advance both areas for his program is the development of a high- jection methods and the development of
<ul> <li>7. DISTRIBUTION STATE</li> <li>8. SUPPLEMENTARY NO</li> <li>Prepared for the Electri</li> <li>9. KEY WORDS (Continue Charpy-V test</li> <li>9. Integral</li> <li>8. curve</li> <li>7. Tacture toughness</li> <li>1. Jow alloy steels</li> <li>Notch ductility</li> <li>0. (ABSTRACT (Continue Detween apparent notch ative projections of str he benefit of reactor yi uality data base for the mproved methods.</li> </ul>	EMENT (of the abstract entered in Bit DTES c Power Research Institute (EPR Nuclear reactors Precracked Charpy test Pressure vessels Radiation embrittlement Steel forgings Steel plate on reverse elde II necessary and Iden ressure vessel materials are subject Current technology is inadequator ir metallurgical variations for the o ductility and fracture toughness uctural integrity. The NRL-EPR essel design and operation. /A pri- e évaluation of current radiation-	I), under Agreemen Steel weldment Steel weldment to progressive rec e to quantitatively neutron fluences C in the irradiated C I RP886-2 Program mary objective of t embrittlement pro	nt RP886-2. nt RP
7. DISTRIBUTION STAT 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Prepared for the Electri 9. KEY WORDS (Continue Charpy-V test 1 integral 2 curve Practure toughness Low alloy steels Notch ductility 0. (ABSTRACT (Continue Nuclear reactor pi to neutron irradiation. ressel materials and the between apparent notch ative projections of str he benefit of reactor vi uality data base for the mproved methods.	EMENT (of the obstract entered in Bit DTES c Power Research Institute (EPR on reverse side if necessary and ide Nuclear reactors Precracked Charpy test Pressure vessels Radiation embrittlement Steel forgings Steel plate on reverse side if necessary and iden ressure vessel materials are subjec Current technology is inadequate ir metallurgical variations for the ductility and fracture toughness uctural integrity. The NRL-EPR seel design and operation. /A pri- e évaluation of current radiation-	i i different fre i di di different fre i di	nt RP886-2. nt RP886-2. nuctions in fracture resistance in service due predict radiation embrittlement for all of interest. In addition a relationship ondition is needed to evolve more quanti- is was formulated to advance both areas for his program is the development of a high- jection methods and the development of (Continues)
7. DISTRIBUTION STAT 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Prepared for the Electri 9. KEY WORDS (Continue Charpy-V test 1 integral 1 curve Practure toughness Ow alloy steels Notch ductility 0. ABSTRACT (Continue pNuclear reactor pr 0 neutron irradiation. ressel materials and the petween apparent notch ative projections of str he benefit of reactor vi uality data base for the mproved methods. D FORM 1473	EMENT (of the obstract entered in Bio DTES c Power Research Institute (EPR on reverse elde II necessary and Iden Nuclear reactors Precracked Charpy test Pressure vessels Radiation embrittlement Steel forgings Steel plate on reverse elde II necessary and Iden ressure vessel materials are subjec Current technology is inadequato Current technology is inadequato ir metallurgical variations for the o ductility and fracture toughness uctural integrity. The NRL-EPR metal design and operation. /A pri- e évaluation of current radiation-	I), under Agreemen nilfy by block number Steel weldment to progressive rec e to quantitatively neutron fluences c in the irradiated c I RP886-2 Program mary objective of t embrittlement pro i sECURITY CL	ht RP886-2. ht RP886-2. ht RP886-2. http://www.analysis. http://wwww.analysis. http://www.analysis. http://www.analysis. http://www.analysis. http://www.analysis. http://wwww.analysis. http://wwww.analysis. http://wwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwww
2. DISTRIBUTION STATE 3. SUPPLEMENTARY NO 3. REY WORDS (Continue 3. ABY WORDS (Continue 3. ABY Notest 3. ABY Note	EMENT (of the obstract entered in Bio DTES c Power Research Institute (EPR on reverse side II necessary and iden Nuclear reactors Precracked Charpy test Pressure vessels Radiation embrittlement Steel forgings Steel plate on reverse side II necessary and iden ressure vessel materials are subject Current technology is inadequation Current technology is inadequation in metallurgical variations for the in ductility and fracture toughness uctural integrity. The NRL-EPR resel design and operation. /A pri- e évaluation of current radiation-	I), under Agreemen Steel weldment Steel weldment to progressive rec e to quantitatively neutron fluences c s in the irradiated c I RP886-2 Program mary objective of t embrittlement pro i sECURITY CL	ht RP886-2. ht RP886-2. ht RP886-2. http://www.analysis. http://wwww.analysis. http://www.analysis. http://www.analysis. http://www.analysis. http://wwww.analysis. http://wwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwww

# SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

# 20. Abstract (Continued)

This report documents program highlights and research results for CY 1979 along with plans for the completion of program investigations. Postirradiation test data are presented for plate, forging and weld deposit materials irradiated in six reactor experiments to fluences ranging from  $\sim 0.1$  to  $\sim 3x 10^{19}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup>>1 MeV at 288°C. Comparisons are made between results for standard Charpy V-notch and fatigue precracked Charpy-V tests of preirradiation and postirradiation material conditions. A companion document (Annual Progress Report for CY 1979: Part II) to be published will present results for the 25.4 mm compact toughness (J-R curve) tests of the same materials and material conditions. A preliminary correlation of the Charpy-V and J-integral fracture toughness property changes with irradiation is observed.

110 +.

n/32

1 1 C Y.

ŕ

1.745

1.

# CONTENTS

SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	1
MATERIALS	2
Materials and Test Matrix	2 3
REACTOR FACILITY AND OPERATIONS	3
Status of Material Irradiation Experiments         Neutron Spectra Calculation	3 3
FRACTURE TOUGHNESS TESTING	6
Overview	6 6
Unirradiated Condition Irradiated Condition Measured Versus Projected Radiation-Induced Property Change	6 23 28
THERMAL CONTROL TESTS	28
PLANS FOR CY 1980	30
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	30
REFERENCES	30

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	X
DTIC TAB	
Unannounced	U I
Justification_	
By	
Distribution	
Availability	Codes
Avail and	i/or
Dist   Special	-

and the second

Contraction of the local division of the loc

2

iii

# THE NRL-EPRI RESEARCH PROGRAM (RP886-2), EVALUATION AND PREDICTION OF NEUTRON EMBRITTLEMENT IN REACTOR PRESSURE VESSEL MATERIALS, ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT FOR CY 1979 Part I. Dynamic C<sub>v</sub>, PCC<sub>v</sub> Investigations

# SUMMARY

The NRL-EPRI RP886-2 Research Program was formalized in January 1977. This report documents the highlights and accomplishments of the NRL investigations during CY 1979, the third year of program operation.

The program focuses on radiation-induced changes to reactor pressure vessel materials typical of past as well as current commercial production. Radiation effects are being investigated by standard Charpy-V ( $C_v$ ), fatigue precracked Charpy-V (PCC<sub>v</sub>), and compact toughness (CT) test methods. Primary accomplishments during CY 1979 relate to the completion of material irradiation experiments, the continuation of postirradiation material evaluations, and the evolution of mechanical property correlations.

Highlights of investigations made during this reporting period include:

• Development of the first experimental comparison of notch ductility and fracture toughness at a low (48-J)  $C_v$  postirradiation upper shelf level.

• Development of data supporting earlier tentative indications of a general agreement between the radiation-induced elevation in  $C_v$  4l-J and  $K_{Jd}$  99MPa m transition temperatures. The suggested correlation, if confirmed by remaining post-irradiation assessments, will be of major value to the interpretation and use of  $C_v$  results from reactor vessel surveillance.

• Observation of large differences between measured postirradiation C<sub>v</sub> 4l-J transition temperature elevations and projections of irradiation-induced transition temperature change for high copper content weld materials computed from NRC Regulatory Guide 1.99. Comparisons among program materials indicate that the Guide is not equally conservative for all materials and fluence conditions evaluated.

Additional research highlights pertaining to CT test investigations are described in Part II of this Annual Progress Report.

## **INTRODUCTION - J. R. Hawthorne**

The cooperative research and development program between the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) and the Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) is generally directed at materials and material applications for nuclear energy systems, with research emphasis on material reliability and environmental responses for system safety. The present effort focuses on the degradation of fracture resistance of reactor vessel steels and weld metals by a  $288^{\circ}C$  ( $550^{\circ}F$ ) radiation environment.

Manuscript submitted November 17, 1980.

Properties under study are notch ductility, fracture toughness, and strength. Objectives are (a) to develop a data base for the evaluation of current radiationembrittlement projection methods and for the development of improved procedures, (b) to investigate the relationship, if one exists, between radiation effects measured by the C, test method and fracture mechanics test methods, (c) to determine the radiation embrittlement sensitivities of a broad range of reactor pressure vessel materials (plates, forgings, welds), and (d) to experimentally assess the effects of selected composition variations.

This annual report summarizes achievements of the third year of program effort. Previous reports [1,2] described program progress in CY 1977 and CY 1978, respectively. Program investigations are scheduled for completion in CY 1980.

# MATERIALS - J. R. Hawthorne

# Materials and Test Matrix

Program materials are identified in Table 1. The bases for materials selection were discussed in Ref. 1. In general, test specimens were taken from the quarter thickness location in the wrought materials and from through-thickness locations in the weld deposits. The transverse (TL, weak) test orientation was selected for study for the plate and forgings. Weld metal specimens were oriented to make the plane of fracture perpendicular to the weldment surface and parallel to the welding direction.

Table 2 illustrates the materials irradiation test matrix. Each of the experiments included standard C<sub>v</sub> specimens for notch ductility determinations and PCC<sub>v</sub> and 25.4 mm thick CT specimens for fracture toughness determinations. The three fluence levels represent, respectively, initial vessel service, early life and end of life conditions. During this reporting period, the material previously listed as "undesignated" for experiment 12 (BSR-14) was selected. That is, a decision was made to evaluate the longitudinal (LT, strong) orientation of the A302-B plate, Code N, in view of its TL orientation performance with the aim of comparing J-integral fracture toughness properties of one material over a wide range of C<sub>v</sub> upper shelf levels (47 to 107-J).

Material	Code	Туре
A533-B plate	CAB	U. S. production
A533-B plate	CBB	Foreign production
A302-B plate	N	U. S. production (ASTM A302-B reference)
A508-2 forging	BCB	U. S. production
S/A* weld 1	E19	High copper content (~0.35% Cu) and low upper shelf energy (USE)
S/A weld 2	E24	High copper content and high USE
S/A weld 3	E23	Intermediate copper content (~0.20% Cu) and low USE
S/A weld 4	E4	Intermediate copper content and high USE

\*Submerged arc weld process.

		Irradiation Assessme	ents
Material*	l-2 x 10 <sup>18</sup> n/cm <sup>2</sup> > 1 MeV	$\approx 2^8 \times 10^{18}$ n/cm <sup>2</sup> > 1 MeV	$3-4 \times 10^{19}$ n/cm <sup>2</sup> > 1MeV
A533-B plate (CAB) A533-B plate (CBB) A302-B plate (N) TL Orientation LT Orientation A508-2 forging (BCB) Weld 1 (E19) Weld 2 (E24)	• (BSR-9)	<ul> <li>(BSR-2)*</li> <li>(BSR-8)</li> <li>(BSR-11,15)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(BSR-3,5)</li> <li>(BSR-4)</li> <li>(BSR-7)</li> <li>(BSR-14)<sup>+</sup></li> <li>(BSR-6)</li> <li>(BSR-10)</li> </ul>
Weld 3 (E23) Weld 4 (E4)		• (BSR12)	• (BSR-13)

 Table 2 - Irradiation Test Matrix

\* Bulk Shielding Reactor, experiment 2.

CY 1979 decision.

# Specimen Machining

.

The C and PCC specimens were machined to the standard dimensions given by ASTM Recommended Practice E23 (type A specimens). The CT specimens were machined to the dimensions given in reference (2). Specifications for fatigue precracking of PCC, and CT specimens provided an a/W ratio of 0.5. The maximum allowable stress intensity (K<sub>1</sub>) during the last increment of fatigue crack growth, 0.76 mm (0.030 in.), was 22 MPa $\sqrt{m}$  (20 ksi $\sqrt{in.}$ ) and 24 MPa $\sqrt{m}$  (22 ksi $\sqrt{in.}$ ) for the PCC, and CT specimens respectively. Specimen fatigue precracking records on file document fatigue cycles, stress intensity, R ratio, and surface crack lengths for all stages of precracking.

# **REACTOR FACILITY AND OPERATIONS - H. E. Watson and J. R. Hawthorne**

# Status of Material Irradiation Experiments

During this period, reactor exposures were completed for experiments BSR 6 through BSR 9, BSR 11, BSR 12 and BSR 15. Experiments under irradiation at the time of this report were BSR 10 and BSR 13. The irradiation of experiment BSR 14 (see preceding section) will commence in CY 80 to conclude all scheduled reactor operations (See Table 2).

# Neutron Spectra Calculation

Computations of the neutron flux spectra for the EPRI-NRL irradiation facilities and experiment designs were completed. The spectrum calculations were performed by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) under contract to NRL. Results are documented in reference [3], and apply to the initial and the current fuel core configurations (e.g. cores 37 and 54 respectively) used for the EPRI-NRL experiments (See Figures 1 and 2). The core 54 computation (position 78) assumed the simultaneous

# **BSR CORE LOADING No. 54**



THE REAL

Fig. 1. Fuel core configuration in the BSR reactor for simultaneous NRL-EPRI experiment operations using core positions 76 and 78 [2]. The designations F, CR, FC and AL stand respectively for fuel element, control rod, fission chamber and aluminum piece.



þ

K.

BSR CORE LOADING No. 37

Fig. 2. Original fuel core configuration in the BSR reactor for NRL-EPRI experiment operations using core position 67 only.

5

ź

----

use of two core positions (78 and 76) and the current (revised) experiment design. The core 37 computation (position 67) assumed the use of the original experiment design [2].

To summarize the ORNL findings, the calculated spectrum and assumed fission spectrum fluences for E>1MeV for the current core configuration are in the relation:  $\Phi^{CS} = 0.954 \Phi^{1S}$ . Additionally, the calculated spectrum fluence for E>0.1MeV is approximately equal to 2.18  $\Phi^{CS}$  E>1MeV. In comparison, the calculations for core 37 gave the relations:  $\Phi^{CS} = 1.08 \Phi^{fS}$  (E>1MeV) and  $\Phi^{CS}$  (E>0.1MeV) = 2.11  $\Phi^{CS}$  (E>1MeV).

During this period, 5 sets of dosimeters (20 wires each) were also irradiated in core position 78 using the NRL flux monitor assembly, for a further assessment of local BSR spectrum conditions by the Argonne National Laboratory (ANL) (R. Heinrich). Each dosimeter set consisted of gadolinium-covered and uncovered dosimeter wires. Reactor power was purposely reduced to 1 MW for the 72-hour long exposure. Results of this special neutron flux survey and analysis are not yet available.

# FRACTURE TOUGHNESS TESTING - J. R. Hawthorne

# Overview

E

The emphasis of this program is on the definition of toughness trends relative to the brittle-to-ductile transition regime. The research program permits limited studies of the upper shelf, however, as specimen numbers permit. To evolve a full analysis of data significance, irradiation data and trend information developed here will be evaluated statistically by a related EPRI program.

The primary focus of evaluations with the CT specimens is on fracture initiation toughness. However, it was expected that the materials would exhibit elastic-plastic behavior over the major portion of the brittle-to-ductile transition regime. Accordingly it was felt necessary to characterize the slow-stable crack extension phenomenon, commonly denoted by the R curve, as well. The latter is useful not only for defining crack initiation but also for assessing material potential for crack instability.

Charpy-V ( $C_v$ ) and Precracked Charpy-V (PCC<sub>v</sub>) Specimen Evaluations

#### Unirradiated Condition

In the previous report [2], preirradiation condition C, data developed by NRL for the two A533-B plates, codes CAB and CBB, and the A508-2 forging, code BCB, were presented. Comparisons of these data against data generated by the RP232 Program [4] for other sections of the three materials revealed significant differences in two cases. Initially, RP232 Program C, and PCC, data were to serve for the reference condition for plates CAB, CBB, and N and the forging BCB. However, the NRL results confirmed the merit of conducting separate (check) tests as a precautionary measure. In the case of the newly fabricated weldments, codes E19, E23, and E24 and the production weldment, code E4, NRL is generating the primary reference condition data for its preirradiation versus postirradiation comparisons. (Welds E19, E23 and E24 are also included in an EPRI sponsored research program at Westinghouse.)

During this reporting period, preirradiation condition  $C_v$  data were developed for the four welds and for the particular section of A302-B plate employed. Additionally,

preirradiation condition PCC, data were developed by NRL for the A302-B plate, the two A533-B plates, the A508-2 forging and the welds E23, E24 and E4. Reference PCC, testing of weld E19, originally assigned elsewhere, has been given to NRL for completion in CY 1980.

NRL findings with preirradiation  $C_v$  and PCC<sub>v</sub> tests are illustrated in the individual data figures and are summarized in tables 3 and 4. Referring first to the  $C_v$  data, several observations can be made as follows:

• A302-B plate, code N (Fig. 3). The results for the transverse test orientation show good agreement between quarter and midthickness test locations in the transition region and between the present data set and prior NRL test results [5]. A small difference (7-J) in upper shelf level between quarter and midthickness regions is observed; however, the upper shelf data for the one quarter and three quarter thickness layers match well.

• High copper, low upper shelf weld, code E19 (Fig. 4). Good agreement is observed between the data sets developed independently by NRL and Westinghouse. With the exception of the temperature interval between  $-1^{\circ}C$  and  $4^{\circ}C$  (30 and  $40^{\circ}F$ ), the data scatter is relatively small. The data spread at  $\sim 4^{\circ}C$  could be the result of a weld layer effect. That is, the two "high" energy values represent layer 4 and layer 5 specimens (one quarter thickness region) while the data points located on the "average" trend line between  $-18^{\circ}C$  and  $27^{\circ}C$  ( $0^{\circ}F$  and  $80^{\circ}F$ ) represent specimens from layers 12 through 16. (Note: Specimens were taken in 16 layers through the weld thickness).

• High copper, high upper shelf weld, code E24 (Fig. 5). Data sets developed by NRL and Westinghouse show significant differences in the upper half of the brittle/ductile transition region. However, the data sets are in quite good agreement in their definition of 41-J and 68-J transition temperatures and upper shelf energy level. Additional tests may be undertaken if clarification is required.

• Intermediate copper, low upper shelf weld, code E23 (Fig. 6). Good agreement between data sets is noted. In parallel with the data trend for weld E19, the upper shelf level of this weld rises with increasing temperature. This characteristic has been observed for several other A533-B submerged arc welds made with Linde 80 welding flux [6].

• Intermediate copper, high upper shelf weld, code E4 (Fig. 7.) A consistent difference in upper shelf level, but not transition behavior, is described by the data for the one quarter versus three quarter thickness regions of this production weld. A similar difference in fracture toughness was not evident in the limited upper shelf PCC, data developed thus for this weld (see Fig. 17 below).

Results of PCC, tests of the reference condition are illustrated in Figures 8-11 and 13-17. Main observations are as follows:

• A533-B plates, codes CAB and CBB (Figs.8,9). Comparisons of NRL data against data from the RP232 Program indicate small but discernable differences between the respective test sections. The observed differences do not change the conclusions made earlier for these plates, and relative PCC, vs C, property changes with irradiation [2]. In the case of plate CAB, the PCC tests of NRL

Text continues on page 23







Fig. 4. Charpy-V notch ductility of submerged arc weld, code El9, before and after irradiation to two fluence levels (experiments BSR-8 and BSR-9).



i and

Fig. 5. Charpy-V notch ductility of submerged arc weld, code E24, before and after irradiation (experiment BSR-11). Additional tests of the preirradiation condition are planned.



Fig. 6. Charpy-V notch ductility of submerged arc weld, code E23, before and after irradiation (experiment BSR-12).



Fig. 7. Charpy-V notch ductility of submerged arc weld, code E4, before irradiation. Note the difference in upper shelf level between one guarter and three guarter thickness regions of this commerical production weld.





Fig. 9. Fatigue precracked Charpy-V test results for the A533-B plate, code CBB, before irradiation.



Fig. 10. Fatigue precracked Charpy-V test results for the A508-2 forging, code BCB, before and after irradiation. (experiment BSR-6). Note the wide scatter in data for the individual test conditions.



;

ţ















ł



,

F

Fig. 16. Fatigue precracked Charpy-V test results for the submerged arc weld, code E24, before and after irradiation. (experiment BSR-ll)



show a somewhat higher temperature transition than the RP232 Program tests. Here, the displacement is in the direction opposite that noted in the  $C_y$  data comparison [2]. In the case of plate CBB and forging BCB (below),  $C_y$  data sets for energy absorption versus temperature generally were in good agreement.

• Forging BCB (Figs. 10,11). In the transition region, wide data scatter is found. At a toughness level of 150 MPa  $\sqrt{m}$  for example, the scatter band is about 39 °C (70°F) wide. In turn, the data scatter precludes an exact determination of 66, 99, or 132 MPa $\sqrt{m}$  transition temperatures for indexing of the irradiation effect. The material tendency toward data scatter is not as evident from the RP232 program results (Fig. 11). In the previous report [2], a relatively high C<sub>v</sub> data scatter coupled with a rapid upswing in C<sub>v</sub> energy absorption within a narrow temperature range was shown for this material (Fig. 12). The PCC<sub>v</sub> data variability may be a reflection of this behavior.

• A302-B plate, code N, and welds, codes El9, E24, E23 and E4 (Figs 13-17). Only two upper shelf tests of weld El9 could be conducted with specimens available in CY 1979. The remaining materials generally show uniform properties and low data scatter. For plate N, the NRL data compared to RP232 Program data, suggest a steeper transition curve (see dashed line). However, this indication could not be confirmed fully with the few specimens available (test stock limitation). Confirmation is not critical to the assessment of the 99 MPa $\sqrt{m}$  transition temperature elevation with irradiation as shown.

# Irradiated Condition

In CY 1979, postirradiation C<sub>v</sub> tests were completed for six reactor experiments. The experiments were BSR-6 (forging BCB), BSR-7 (plate N), BSR-8 and BSR-9 (weld E19), BSR-11 (weld E24) and BSR-12 (weld E23) (see Table 2). The test results are presented in Figure 18 and Figures 3 to 6, respectively. A C<sub>v</sub> data summary is provided in Table 3. Neutron fluence values reported in the individual figures are preliminary estimates only. Neutron dosimeter wires (iron, nickel, cobalt-aluminum, silver-aluminum) included in each experiment are currently being analyzed. In this regard, each of the experiments employed the revised irradiation assembly design [2], that is, C<sub>v</sub> and PCC<sub>v</sub> specimens were comingled in a single capsule.

Analyses of the  $C_{y}$  data provided the following key observations:

• The upper shelf energy level of plate N was reduced to 47-J (35 ft-lb) from an initial level of 65-J (48 ft-lb) by the estimated  $3 \times 10^{19}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> fluence. In an earlier NRL study [5], a fluence of  $1.9 \times 10^{19}$  resulted in a 56-J (41 ft-lb) upper shelf level; accordingly, a progressive decrease in upper shelf level with increasing fluence is indicated.

• A significant reduction in upper shelf level (to 76 ft-lb) was produced in weld El9 by the relatively low fluence of  $\sim 1 \times 10^{10}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup>. Further irradiation to  $\sim 8 \times 10^{10}$  reduced the upper shelf level below 68-J (50 ft-lb). The 26-J (19 ft-lb) decrease in this case equates to a 30% reduction by irradiation.

• A fluence of  $\sim 8 \times 10^{18}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> also produced a large (22-J) reduction in upper shelf level for weld E23. An even larger reduction (38-J) in upper shelf level occurred in weld E24 with the same fluence; however, the much higher initial shelf level of this weld readily offsets the impact of this reduction.





Table 3 - Preirradiation and Postirradiation Charpy-V Properties of Plate, Forging and Weld

(CY 1979 Tests)

Material/	Experiment/		ບ້	ransitio	n Tempera	iture			c, Upi	ber Shelf	
000	Fluence <b>¢</b> (10 <sup>19</sup> n/cm <sup>2</sup>	41- (30 fi Ind	-J t-lb) ex	68- (50 ft Ind	-J ?-Ib) ex	0.9 (35 Ind	mm mil) lex	Bne	КĜ	Late Expan	ral sion
	>1 MeV) <sup>a</sup>	ပ	о <sup>Бц</sup>	ပ	с Чо	ပ	o <sup>ti</sup>	מ	ft-lb	Ę	mils 
Plate N	Unirradiated <sub>h</sub>	15	60		1	24	75	28	43	1.07	42
	Unirradiated <sup>7</sup> BSR-7/≈ 3 (Irrad. Change)	15 96 (81)	60 205 (145)			24	75	65 47 11	48 35 (8)	1.02 0.81 0.26	<b>4</b> 0 32 (10)
Forging BCF	Unirradiated BSR-6/≈ 3 (Irrad. Change)	-21 -7 (14)	-5 20 (25)	-15 -1 (14)	5 30 (25)	-21 -4 (17)	-5 25 (30)	191 186 (5)	141 137 (4)	2.16 2.01 0.15	85 79 (6)
Weld El9	Unirradiated BSR-9/≈ 0.1 (Irrad. Change) BSR-8/≈ 0.8 (Irrad. Change)	-7 40 (47) 82 (89)	20 105 (85) 180 (160)	38 71 (33)	100 160 (60)	27 71 (44)	80 160 (80)	87 76 <sup>c</sup> (11) 61 61 (26)	64 56 <sup>c</sup> 45 <sup>c</sup> (19)	≥1.32 1.12 <sup>c</sup> 20.20 0.76d ≥0.56	> 52 44 c 1> 8 d 1≥ 22
Weld E24	Unirradiated BSR-11/≈ 0.8 (Irrad. Change)	-65 7 (72)	-85 45 (130)	-48 38 (86)	-55 100 (155)	-5 <b>4</b> 32 (86)	-65 90 (155)	179 141 (38)	132 10 <b>4</b> (28)	2.21 ≈1.50 (≈.71)	87 ≈ 59 (≈ 28)
Weld E23	Unirradiated BSR-12/ $\approx$ 0.8 (Irrad.change)	-18 79 (97)	0 175 (175)	24 104 (80)	75 220 (145)	-9 99 (108)	15 210 (195)	92 <sup>c</sup> 70 <sup>d</sup> 22	68 <sup>C</sup> 52 <sup>d</sup> (16)	1.75 <sup>C</sup> 0.99 <sup>d</sup> 0.76	69 <sup>C</sup> 39 <sup>d</sup> (30)
Weld E4	Unirradiated Unirradiated	-37 -37	- 35 - 35	-26 -26	-15 -15	- 34 - 34	- 30 - 30	129 <sup>d</sup> 152 <sup>d</sup>	95 <sup>d</sup> 112 <sup>d</sup>	2.26 2.05	89 <sup>d</sup> 81 d

a - Preliminary estimate b - 1/2T Thickness location c - 182C (360°F) d - 204°C (400°F)

• Transition temperature elevations (41-J index) of all three welds by  $-8 \times 10^{10}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> are in the range of  $72^{\circ}$ C to  $97^{\circ}$ C (130°F to  $175^{\circ}$ F). Pending final dosimetry results, radiation sensitivity differences among the welds would appear to be small in terms of this property change.

• Good agreement is evident between the 41-J and 68-J transition temperature elevations. Also, the elevations in the 0.9 mm lateral expansion transition temperature are equal to or are somewhat greater than the respective 41-J transition temperature increases. Where upper shelf levels approach 68-J, differences between the three measurements will tend to be magnified by uncertainties in curve fit.

In parallel with the postirradiation  $C_v$  tests above, postirradiation PCC<sub>v</sub> tests were completed for the same six experiments. In general, reductions in dynamic fracture toughness ( $K_{Jd}$ ) at upper shelf temperatures were consistent with the C upper shelf level reductions. However, the evaluation of relative effects are complicated by the PCC<sub>v</sub> data scatter in this region in most cases. It must be recognized that, because of possible crack extension prior to development of maximum load, it is difficult to prove that values in excess of about 110 MPa $\sqrt{m}$  can still be considered as an "initiation toughness" [2].

 $PCC_v$  test results are reported in Figures 10, 11, and 13 through 16. Table 4 presents a summary of the experimental determinations. Assessments of the data trends were as follows:

• The fracture toughness transition of forging BCB (99 MPa $\sqrt{m}$  index), within the limits of the wide PCC, data scatter, appears to have been elevated much more than the notch ductility transition described by the C, tests (compare Figs. 18 and 10). That is, the temperature elevation by irradiation for the PCC, data band is on the order of 53 °C compared to 14 °C and 17 °C for the C, curves (energy abosption and lateral expansion). Data for IT CT specimens of this forging, reported below, also suggest a large irradiation effect. The reason for the apparent inconsistency, which was not observed in plate or weld materials, is unclear. As pointed out above, the PCC, and C, specimen types were comingled in the irradiation unit and thus the inconsistency was not caused by irradiation exposure differences.

• The radiation-induced elevations in the fracture toughness transition for plate N and welds E23 and E24 agree very well with the corresponding elevations in  $C_v$  4l-J transition temperature. In the case of weld El9, reference condition data are not yet available but the difference in temperature between post-irradiation toughness transition curves (experiment BSR-8 vs BSR-9) agrees very well with the temperature difference between postirradiation  $C_v$  energy transition curves (47 °C vs 42 °C).

• The upper shelf dynamic fracture toughness levels of plate N, weld El9 and weld E23 after irradiation ranged from 176 to 200 MPa $\sqrt{m}$  (160 to 181 ksi $\sqrt{in}$ ) and correspond to C, upper shelf values ranging from 48 to 76-J (35 to 56 ft-lb).

• Average postirradiation  $PCC_v$  upper shelf values rank the materials in the same order as average postirradiation  $C_v$  upper shelf values. However, percentage differences in dynamic fracture toughness value among materials are much less than the percentage differences in  $C_v$  energy absorption.

Table 4 - Preirradiation and Postirradiation Fatigue Precracked Charpy-V Properties of Plate, Forging and Welds

(CY 1979 Tests)

Material	Experiment/		K Jd	Transiti	on Temper	ature		kjd Up	per Shelf
Code	Fluence <b>¢</b> (10 <sup>19</sup> n/cm <sup>2</sup>	99-M Dol	Pø√m lex	66-M In	Pa <b>√m</b> dex	132-M In	l Pa <b>vm</b> dex		
	>1 MeV) <sup>8</sup>	°C	oF	°c	oF	°c	o H	MPa Vm	ksi Vin.
Plate CAB	Unirradiated <sup>b</sup>	32	06	12	90 90	46	ll5 65	332-357 375-330	302-325
Plate N	Unirradiated <sup>b</sup> BSR-7/≈ 3	24E	25 170	-53 66	-10	88	45 190	27330 184-215 167-195	167-196 152-177
Foreine BCB	(Irrad. Change) [Inimadiated <sup>b</sup>	(81) - 21/18	(145) -5/65 <sup>c</sup>	-32/7	(160) -25/45 <sup>c</sup>	(81) -12/24	(145) 10/75 <sup>C</sup>	(~14) 324-40R	(~13) 295-371
	BSR-6/=3 (Irrad. Change)	18/74 (~47)	65/165 <sup>c</sup> (~85)	7/63	45/145 <sup>c</sup> (~95)	24/79 (~53)	75/175° (~95)	345-372 - nil -	314-339 - nil -
Weld El9 <sup>d</sup>	BSR-9/≈0.1 BSR-8/≈0.8	18 66	65 150	54	45 130	24	75 160	190-211 165-195	173-192 150-177
Weld E24	Unirradiated BSR-U/≈0.8 (Irrad. Change)	-48 27 (75)	-55 80 (135)	-54 4 (58)	-65 40 (105)	-43 38 (81)	-45 100 (145)	282-352 212-274 (~71)	257-320 193-249 (~65)
Weld E23	Unirradiated BSR-12/≈0.8 (Irrad. Change)	-26 68 (94)	-15 155 (170)	-37 52 (89)	-35 125 (160)	-23 77 (100)	-10 170 (180)	216-242 181-203 (∼40)	197-220 165-185 (~36)
Weld E4	Unirradiated	-32	-25	-40	-40	-21	ۍ ۲	298-319	271-290

<sup>a</sup> Preliminary estimate b Check tests c Approximate range d Unirradiated condition data not available

To summarize the PCC, and C, observations made thus far, a general correlation of the two test methods is indicated by the data for plate and weld materials but not by the data for the forging material. Three of the materials show that C upper shelf energy levels can be reduced to low levels; a 48-J postirradiation upper shelf was observed for the A302-B reference plate. Two of the welds after  $\sim 8 \times 10^{10}$ n/cm<sup>2</sup> exhibited a 41-J transition temperature elevation which is greater than that for the A302-B reference plate after  $\sim 3 \times 10^{10}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup>; however, differences among the welds after  $\sim 8 \times 10^{10}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> are relatively small. Pending neutron dosimetry results, the C, data suggest that the radiation embrittlement sensitivity of weld E23 (intermediate copper content) is about the same as that of weld E19 (high copper content). Finally, observations made from C, and PCC, tests conducted in CY 1979 are consistent with initial observations made in CY 1978 with plates CAB and CBB [2].

# Measured Versus Projected Radiation-Induced Property Change

The expression offered by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Guide 1.99 [7] for the calculation of the  $C_{u}$  transition temperature elevation by 288  $^{\circ}C$  irradiation is:

$$T(^{O}F) = \left[ 40 + 1000 (\%Cu - 0.08) + 5000 (\%P - 0.08) \right] (f/10^{19})^{1/2}$$
(1)

where f is the fluence in  $n/cm^2 > 1$  MeV. In conjunction with this formula, the Guide imposes an upper limit on  $\Delta T$  values depending on fluence level. The upper bound applies primarily to high copper content materials.

Table 5 compares elevations in C<sub>V</sub> 41-J transition temperature measured in this study against projected elevations determined by either equation (1) or the upper limit criterion as appropriate. The projections are noted to be equal or greater than the measured values in each case. Accordingly, the Guide embrittlement estimates are generally conservative. (The 6°C difference between values for plate CBB is not considered significant). Large differences between measurement and projection are apparent, on the other hand, and show a need for further refinement of current embrittlement projection capabilities. It has been proposed [6] that, along with copper and phosphorus content, nickel content be taken into account as one possible refinement since the empirical evidence suggests a reinforcement by nickel of the deterimental effect of copper on steel resistance. Plates CAB and CBB and forging BCB have nickel alloying whereas plate N and the weld deposits do not. In Table 5, the difference between measured and projected transition temperature elevation for fluences  $\geq 8 \times 10^{10}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> is largest for the low nickel content materials. Conversely, reference [6] shows relatively good agreement between measurement and projection for high copper, high nickel content welds for this fluence condition. The current data bank, however, may not be sufficiently comprehensive to restructure the Guide to include the nickel content variable.

# THERMAL CONTROL TESTS - J. R. Hawthorne

The effects of long term  $288^{\circ}$ C heating in the absence of nuclear radiation is being evaluated for the plates CAB and CBB, the forging BCB and the production weld E4. Parallel evaluations of the plate N and the welds E19, E23 and E24 were precluded by the limited test stock. Fortunately in the case of plate N, a study of long term  $302^{\circ}$ C heating effects on C, notch ductility (TL orientation) has been made by another NRL study [8], wherein a 9726 hour exposure did not result in a significant change in either the C, 41-J transition temperature or upper shelf energy level of the material. Table 5 - Comparison of Measured and Projected Elevations in the Charpy-V Ţ

Ę
E.
P
2
<u>با</u>
5
$\sim$
~~~
õõ
Ñ
2
`ط
a)
Ľ
Ξ.
E
÷.
×.
Ë
S.
2
5
۶.
.Ц
<u></u>
S
Ľ
E
5
ľ

Material Code	%Cu	жР	Experi-	Fluence <sup>a</sup>	Eleva	ition ( <sup>o</sup> F)
				(10 <sup>19</sup> n/cm <sup>2</sup> > 1 MeV)	Experiment Measurement	Reg. Guide 1.99 Projection (Eq. (1)
		ļ		PLATE		
CAB	.12	.008	BSR-2 BSR-3 BSR-5	1.1 1.5 3.0	75 ~ 100 105	84 98 30
CBB	.13	.006	BSR-4	3.0	165	155
Z	.20	.015	BSR-7	3.0	145	337
				FORGING		
BCB	.036	•006	BSR-6	3.0	25	69
			WEI	LD DEPOSIT		
615	.385	.015 <sup>8</sup>	BSR-9 BSR-8	0.1 0.8	85 160	105 <sup>b</sup> 270 <sup>b</sup>
324	.344	015 <sup>8</sup>	BSR-11	0.8	130	270 <sup>b</sup>
123	.234	.015 <sup>8</sup>	BSR-12	0.8	175	204

The present thermal control test series includes all four specimen types ((', PCC, CT and tensile). However only one thermal conditioning time of 92 days (2200 hours) is being investigated. This time period corresponds approximately to the longest irradiation time employed for the four materials. The thermal conditioning treatments now stand completed. Finish machining of the  $C_v$  and tensile test specimens has been undertaken.

#### PLANS FOR CY 1980

Experimental plans for CY 1980 include the following efforts and objectives for program completion.

• Completion of reactor exposure of experiments BSR-10 and BSR-13 and the construction and irradiation of experiment BSR-14.

• Completion of remaining preirradiation and postirradiation  $C_v$ ,  $PCC_v$ , CT and tensile tests for all materials.

• Completion of C, and tensile tests of thermally conditioned materials. PCC, and CT tests will be conducted only if significant notch ductility and tensile property changes are observed.

• Refinement of  $C_v$  versus  $PCC_v$  and  $C_v$  versus CT test method correlations now evolved.

• Development of final program report. The report will summarize experimental results, and test method correlations and radiation sensitivity intercomparisons permitted by the results.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express their appreciation to Dr. T. U. Marston of EPRI for his guidance of the research program and his assistance in securing program materials. The authors also thank L. E. Steele of NRL for his support on program administration. The important contributions of J. D. Forsyth, W. E. Hagel, T. A. Anderson and A. Hiser to certain phases of the experimental program are acknowledged also with gratitude.

# **REFERENCES:**

- 1. J. R. Hawthorne, editor, "The NRL-EPRI Research Program (RP886-2), Evaluation and Prediction of Neutron Embrittlement in Reactor Pressure Vessel Materials, Annual Progress Report for CY 1977" NRL Memorandum Report 3734, Mar. 1978.
- 2. J. R. Hawthorne, editor, "The NRL-EPRI Research Program (RP886-2), Evaluation and Prediction of Neutron Embrittlement in Reactor Pressure Vessel Materials, Annual Progress Report for CY 1978" NRL Report 8327, August 30, 1979.
- 3. L. F. Miller, J. O. Johnson, F. B. K. Kam, "Characterization of the Neutron Flux in Naval Research Laboratory Irradiation Specimens at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Bulk Shielding Reactor," letter report, Dec. 5, 1979, private communication.

- 4. W. L. Server and W. Oldfield, "Nuclear Pressure Vessel Steel Data Base," Fracture Control Corporation, EPRI NP 933, Dec. 1978.
- 5. J. R. Hawthorne, "Radiation Effects Information Generated on the ASTM Reference Correlation-Monitor Steels," ASTM DS-54, American Society for Testing and Materials, July 1974.
- 6. J. R. Hawthorne, "Notch Ductility Degradation of Low Alloy Steels with Low-to-Intermediate Neutron Fluence Exposures", NUREG/CR-1053, NRL Report 8357, January 14, 1980.
- 7. "Effects of Residual Elements on Predicted Radiation Damage to Reactor Vessel Materials," Regulatory Guide 1.99, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Standards Development, Washington, D. C., Apr. 1977.
- 8. C. Z. Serpan, H. E. Watson, and J. R. Hawthorne, "Interaction of Neutron and Thermal Environmental Factors in the Embrittlement of Selected Structural Alloys for Advanced Reactor Applications", Nuclear Engineering and Design II (1970) 368-380, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam.

