

AD-A093 632

WISCONSIN UNIV-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

F/0 12/1

THE CONVERGENCE OF AN INSTRUMENTAL-VARIABLE LIKE RECURSION. (U)

SEP 80 V SOLO

DAA629-80-C-0041

UNCLASSIFIED

MRC-TSR-2118

NL

1 of 1

AD-A
93632



END
DATE
FILMED
2-81
DTIC

AD A 093632

MRC Technical Summary Report #2118

THE CONVERGENCE OF AN
INSTRUMENTAL-VARIABLE LIKE RECURSION

①

V. Solo

VIEW

Mathematics Research Center
University of Wisconsin—Madison
610 Walnut Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

September 1980

(Received May 20, 1980)

DDC FILE COPY

DTIC
ELECTRONIC
JAN 8 1981

See 1473

Approved for public release
Distribution unlimited

Sponsored by
U. S. Army Research Office
P. O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park
North Carolina 27709

80 12 22 048

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON
MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

THE CONVERGENCE OF AN INSTRUMENTAL-VARIABLE LIKE RECURSION

V. Solo

Technical Summary Report #2118

September 1980

ABSTRACT

It is proved that a modified form of the IV recursion, for the parameters of a scalar Transfer Function Time Series model, converges provided a certain positive real condition holds. The result is disappointing because the positive real condition depends on the Transfer Function characteristic function.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 62M10, 93B30

Key Words: Stochastic approximation, Strong convergence, Kalman Filter,
Recursive least squares.

Work Unit Number 4 - Statistics and Probability

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041.

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

For the prediction of signals in real time it is often necessary to estimate the parameters of a signal model in real time also. A large number of these so called recursive parameter estimation schemes have been suggested and the basic question for these schemes is whether or not they converge and whether they have to be monitored to ensure they do. This work deals with the convergence analysis of one (of only two known) particular recursion(s) that does not require monitoring.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or
	Special

A

(A)

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the author of this report.

THE CONVERGENCE OF AN INSTRUMENTAL-VARIABLE LIKE RECURSION

V. Solo

I. Introduction. Recently the author (Solo, 1979) has proved the convergence of the AML recursion (provided a certain positive real condition holds) without requiring the recursion be monitored - i.e. projected into a stability region should it become unstable. In this article it is shown there is another recursion that converges without being monitored (but provided a certain positive real condition holds) namely a modified form of the IV (Instrumental Variable) recursion of Young (1974, 1976).

Consider the following TFWN (Transfer function white noise) model which is defined by a formula for its innovations sequence as

$$e_n(\underline{\theta}) = y_n - s_n(\theta) = y_n - (1+A(L))^{-1}B(L)u_n$$

where $y_n, s_n(\cdot), u_n$ are observed, output, input sequences respectively while

$$B(L) = \sum_1^{n_b} b_i L^i$$

etc. and L is the lag or inverse z transform operator. Also $\underline{\theta} = (a_1, \dots, a_{n_a}, b_1, \dots, b_{n_b})'$ and $\underline{\theta} \in R$ a compact subset of the open set

$$S = \{ \underline{\theta} \mid 1+A(L) \text{ has all zeroes outside the unit circle in the complex } L \text{ plane} \} \quad (1)$$

It is assumed there is a true value $\underline{\theta}_0 \in R$ so that $e_n(\underline{\theta}_0)$ (denoted ϵ_n) is a nonlinear innovations sequence (i.e., ϵ_n is orthogonal to, or uncorrelated with, any function of the past (of ϵ_n) linear or nonlinear). Thus $E(\epsilon_n \mid F_{n-1}) = 0$ where F_{n-1} is the "history" or increasing σ -algebras generated by ϵ_n : ϵ_n is also called a martingale difference sequence. It

is also assumed ϵ_n has a constant prediction variance i.e., $E(\epsilon_n^2 | F_{n-1}) = \sigma^2$.

The ergodicity assumption then ensures

$$n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_k^2 \rightarrow \sigma^2 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (2)$$

The u_k sequence may be deterministic or stationary ergodic (independent of the ϵ_n sequence) and is assumed to have a finite non zero power

$$0 < \lim n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n u_k^2 = \sigma_u^2 < \infty \quad (3)$$

The IV recursion for $\underline{\theta}$ is

$$\hat{\underline{\theta}}_n = \hat{\underline{\theta}}_{n-1} + \underline{P}_n^{-1} \underline{\varphi}_n' \epsilon_n$$

$$\underline{P}_n^{-1} = \underline{P}_{n-1}^{-1} + \underline{\varphi}_n' \underline{z}_n'$$

or

$$\underline{P}_n = \underline{P}_{n-1} - \underline{P}_{n-1} \underline{\varphi}_n' \underline{z}_n' \underline{P}_{n-1} / (1 + \underline{\varphi}_n' \underline{P}_{n-1} \underline{z}_n')$$

$$\epsilon_n = y_n - \underline{s}_n'$$

where

$$\underline{\varphi}_n' = (-\underline{s}_{n-1}' \dots -\underline{s}_{n-n_a}' u_{n-1} \dots u_{n-n_b})$$

$$\underline{s}_n = -\underline{\varphi}_n' \hat{\underline{\theta}}_{n-1}$$

$$\underline{z}_n' = (-y_{n-1} \dots -y_{n-n_a} u_{n-1} \dots u_{n-n_b})$$

The so-called symmetric IV recursion replaces \underline{z}_n above by $\underline{\tilde{z}}_n$. The resulting recursion can also be obtained by applying the recursive least squares algorithm directly to the pseudo-regression

$$\epsilon_n(\underline{\theta}) = y_n - s_n(\underline{\theta}) = y_n - \underline{\varphi}_n'(\underline{\theta}) \underline{\theta}$$

where $\underline{\varphi}_n(\underline{\theta}) = (-s_{n-1}(\underline{\theta}) \dots -s_{n-n_a}(\underline{\theta}) u_{n-1} \dots u_{n-n_b})'$. Elsewhere Solo (1978, 1980),

the author, has called this a regression recursion.

In Appendix A it is pointed out that provided

$$u_n \text{ is persistently exciting of order } n_a + n_b \quad (4a)$$

then

$$\lim n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(\theta_0) \varphi_k'(\theta_0) = \underline{R} \quad (4b)$$

is positive definite.

Now we modify the symmetric IV recursion in much the same way that AML is a modified form of RML, with a priori quantities replaced by a posteriori ones (see Solo, 1979). Thus introduce the recursion

$$\theta_n = \theta_{n-1} + P_n \hat{\varphi}_n e_n \quad (5a)$$

$$P_n^{-1} = P_{n-1}^{-1} + \hat{\varphi}_n \hat{\varphi}_n' \quad (5b)$$

$$e_n = y_n - \hat{\varphi}_n' \theta_{n-1} \quad (5c)$$

where $\hat{\varphi}_n' = (-\hat{s}_{n-1} \dots -\hat{s}_{n-n_a} u_{n-1} \dots u_{n-n_b}) \quad (5d)$

and $\hat{s}_n = \hat{\varphi}_n' \theta_n \quad (5e)$

The a posteriori residual is

$$\eta_n = y_n - \hat{s}_n = y_n - \hat{\varphi}_n' \theta_n \quad (5f)$$

Also $\eta_n = y_n - \hat{\varphi}_n' \theta_{n-1} - \hat{\varphi}_n' P_n \hat{\varphi}_n e_n = e_n (1 - \hat{\varphi}_n' P_n \hat{\varphi}_n) \quad (5g)$

It is assumed that the recursion is initialised so that P_p^{-1} is positive definite ($p = n_a + n_b$) then by (5b) P_n^{-1} is positive definite for all $n \geq p$. This recursion will be called an a posteriori regression recursion (denoted by RR_+): AML of Solo (1979) is also an RR_+ . The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section II contains the proof. Section III contains some

conclusions. There is one Appendix. In the sequel the Appendices of Solo (1979) (henceforth denoted S) will be referred to. Appendix I contains a Martingale convergence theorem (MGCT*) as well as some consequences of the Matrix Intersion Lemma (MIL). Appendix II discusses the positive real lemma.

II. Proof of Convergence

(a) Preliminaries. The structure of the proof is briefly outlined. It is similar to that in S: there are three stages. Firstly consider the following stability property of this RR_+ recursion. In (5a) form $\theta_{-n}' P_{-n}^{-1} \theta_{-n}$ and sum up (cf S, eq (13a)) then use (5g) to find

$$\theta_{-n}' P_{-n}^{-1} \theta_{-n} + \sum_1^n \eta_k^2 / (1 - \hat{\phi}_k' P_{-k} \hat{\phi}_k) = \sum_1^n y_k^2 \quad (6)$$

Now (1), (2), (3) and the assumption that $\theta_{-0} \in R$ ensure

$$\overline{\lim} n^{-1} \sum_1^n s_k^2 < \infty \quad (7a)$$

$$\overline{\lim} n^{-1} \sum_1^n y_k^2 < \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (7b)$$

Thus, since via MIL (A3) of S, $1 - \hat{\phi}_k' P_{-k} \hat{\phi}_k < 1$ (this follows since by construction P_{-k} is positive definite while $y_k = \infty, u_k = \infty$ are zero probability events) we conclude

$$\overline{\lim} n^{-1} \sum_1^n \eta_k^2 < \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (8a)$$

and also via (5g)

$$\overline{\lim} n^{-1} \sum_1^n \hat{s}_k^2 < \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (8b)$$

Thus purely because a posteriori quantities are used the recursion never becomes unstable in that the power in the forecast errors is bounded.

The second stage in the proof is to suppose a strict positive real condition for $h(L) = (1+A_0(L))^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}$ namely

$$\text{for some small } \delta > 0, \quad \text{Re } h(e^{i\omega}) \geq \delta \quad (9)$$

This will yield via (8) and the Martingale Convergence Theorem (MGCT*)

$$\lim n^{-1} \sum_1^n (\eta_k - \epsilon_k)^2 = 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (10a)$$

$$(\theta_{-n} - \theta_{-0})' P_{-n}^{-1} (\theta_{-n} - \theta_{-0}) / n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (10b)$$

Thus the addition of (9) to the assumptions (1), (2), (3) and $\theta_0 \in R$ yields an improvement of (8) to

$$\lim n^{-1} \sum_1^n \eta_k^2 = \sigma^2 \quad . \quad (10c)$$

This follows from (10a), (2) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Next observe that since $\eta_k = y_k - \hat{s}_k = \epsilon_k + s_k - \hat{s}_k$ (10a) can be rewritten

$$n^{-1} \sum_1^n (s_k - \hat{s}_k)^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (10d)$$

Now, however, it follows that

$$n^{-1} \underline{P}_n^{-1} \rightarrow \underline{R} \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (10e)$$

To see this it is only necessary to show

$$n^{-1} \sum_{r \wedge s}^n (s_{k-r} - \hat{s}_{k-r}) u_{k-s} \rightarrow 0 \quad r = 1..n_a ; s = 1..n_b$$

$$n^{-1} \sum_r^n (s_k - \hat{s}_k) s_{k-r} \rightarrow 0 \quad r = 1..n_a$$

where $r \wedge s = \min(r,s)$. But these follow via the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality from (10c), (3), (7a) and the fact that (3) and (7a) imply

$$u_n^2/n \rightarrow 0, s_n^2/n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{respectively} \quad .$$

(This follows from MIL (A5) Of S: put $\underline{x}_n = u_n$ and then $\underline{x}_n = s_n$.)

For the final stage of the proof observe that the persistently exciting condition (4a) ensures \underline{R} is positive definite. Then (10b), (10d) yield

$$\underline{\theta}_n \rightarrow \underline{\theta}_0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (11)$$

We now proceed to fill in the details of the proof.

Remark. It will also follow as in S that n^{-1} in (10a) can be replaced by $n^{-\nu}$ for some small $\nu > 0$. Also (11) can be improved to

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (\theta_t - \theta_0) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

(b) Proof details. Rewrite (5a) as

$$\theta_n = \theta_{n-1} + P_{n-1} \hat{\varphi}_n \eta_n \quad (12a)$$

This follows from (5g) and from MIL which implies

$$\begin{aligned} P_n \hat{\varphi}_n e_n &= P_{n-1} \hat{\varphi}_n e_n / (1 + \hat{\varphi}_n' P_n \hat{\varphi}_n) \\ &= P_{n-1} \hat{\varphi}_n e_n (1 - \hat{\varphi}_n' P_n \hat{\varphi}_n) \end{aligned}$$

Next denote $T_n = (\theta_n - \theta_0)' P_{n-1}^{-1} (\theta_n - \theta_0)$ and rewrite (12a) as

$$P_{n-1}^{-1} (\theta_{n-1} - \theta_0) = P_{n-1}^{-1} (\theta_n - \theta_0) - \hat{\varphi}_n \eta_n$$

Then, an easy calculation shows

$$T_{n-1} = T_n - (\hat{\varphi}_n' (\theta_n - \theta_0))^2 - 2\eta_n (\theta_n - \theta_0)' \hat{\varphi}_n + \hat{\varphi}_n' P_{n-1} \hat{\varphi}_n \eta_n^2 \quad (12b)$$

Next consider that

$$s_n = \varphi_n' \theta_0$$

$$\hat{s}_n = \hat{\varphi}_n' \theta_n$$

where $s_n = s_n(\theta_0)$ and $\varphi_n = \varphi_n(\theta_0)$. Thus

$$s_n - \hat{s}_n = -A_0(L) (s_n - \hat{s}_n) - \hat{\varphi}_n' (\theta_n - \theta_0)$$

or

$$(1 + A_0(L)) (s_n - \hat{s}_n) = -\hat{\varphi}_n' (\theta_n - \theta_0) \quad (13)$$

However $\eta_n - \epsilon_n = y_n - \hat{s}_n - \epsilon_n = s_n - \hat{s}_n$

so

$$(1 + A_0(L)) (\eta_n - \epsilon_n) = -\hat{\varphi}_n' (\theta_n - \theta_0)$$

Letting u, y be generic symbols for input/output sequences respectively call

$$\hat{u}_n = -\hat{\phi}'_n (\theta_n - \theta_0)$$

$$\hat{y}_n = \eta_n - \epsilon_n + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\phi}'_n (\theta_n - \theta_0) .$$

Thus $(1 + A_0(L))(\hat{y}_n + \frac{1}{2} \hat{u}_n) = \hat{u}_n$ or

$$\hat{y}_n = [(1 + A_0(L))^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}] \hat{u}_n .$$

Now express (12b) in terms of \hat{y}_n, \hat{u}_n ; note from MIL (A3) that

$$\hat{\phi}'_n P_{n-1} \hat{\phi}_n = \hat{\phi}'_n P_n \hat{\phi}_n / (1 - \hat{\phi}'_n P_n \hat{\phi}_n)$$

and observe that $e_n - \epsilon_n$ depends only on history up to time $n - 1$ (i.e., is F_{n-1} measurable). Then taking conditional expectations yields (cf S)

$$E(T_n + 2\hat{u}_n \hat{y}_n | F_{n-1}) \leq T_{n-1} + 2\sigma^2 \hat{\phi}'_n P_n \hat{\phi}_n . \quad (14a)$$

Next introduce $c_n' = 2 \sum_1^n \hat{u}_k \hat{y}_k$. Then according to the strict positive real assumption (9) there is a constant c depending only on initial conditions such that

$$c_n = c_n' + c \geq 2 \delta \sum_1^n \hat{u}_k^2 \geq 0 \quad (15)$$

(see Appendix II of S). Thus in (14a) denoting $T_n' = T_n + c_n$

$$E(T_n' | F_{n-1}) \leq T_{n-1}' + 2\sigma^2 \hat{\phi}'_n P_n \hat{\phi}_n . \quad (14b)$$

Now we could proceed as in S by dividing by n : here, however, a slightly different route is taken. Divide through (14b) by $r_n = \text{tr}(P_n^{-1})$.

$$E(T_n'/r_n | F_{n-1}) \leq T_{n-1}'/r_{n-1} - (T_{n-1}'/r_{n-1}) dr_n/r_n + 2\sigma^2 \hat{\phi}'_n P_n \hat{\phi}_n / r_n$$

where $dr_n = r_n - r_{n-1}$: notice that r_n is F_{n-1} measurable.

Now bearing in mind MGCT* consider

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_p \hat{\varphi}_n' P_{-n} \hat{\varphi}_n / r_n &= \sum_p \hat{\varphi}_n' P_{-n} P_{-n}^{-1} P_{-n} \hat{\varphi}_n / r_n \\ &\leq \sum_p \hat{\varphi}_n' P_{-n}^2 \hat{\varphi}_n \\ &< \infty \text{ by MIL (A4)} . \end{aligned}$$

Thus according to MGCT*

$$T_n' / r_n = (T_n + c_n) / r_n \text{ converges a.s.}$$

$$\sum_p (T_{n-1}' / r_n) dr_n / r_n < \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

Next observe that

$$r_n = \text{tr}(P_{-n}^{-1}) = \sum_p \hat{\varphi}_k' \hat{\varphi}_k > \sum_p u_k^2 \uparrow \infty .$$

Thus

$$\sum_p dr_n / r_n = \infty ,$$

so we conclude

$$T_n' / r_n = (T_n + c_n) / r_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.} \quad (16)$$

Now observe by (3), (8b) that

$$\overline{\lim} r_n / n < \infty .$$

Thus

$$\overline{\lim} T_n' / n \leq \overline{\lim} T_n' / r_n \overline{\lim} r_n / n = 0 .$$

Now positivity of T_n, c_n implies (10b)

$$T_n / n \rightarrow 0$$

$$c_n / n \rightarrow 0$$

or

$$\sum_1^n \hat{u}_k \hat{y}_k / n \rightarrow 0$$

whereupon condition (9), via (15) yields

$$\sum_1^n \hat{u}_k^2 / n \rightarrow 0 \quad . \quad (17a)$$

Also the stability of $h(L)$ implies, (as in S, eq. (18))

$$\sum_1^n \hat{y}_k^2 \leq \sup_{\omega} |h(e^{i\omega})|^2 \sum_1^n \hat{u}_k^2$$

so (17a) implies

$$\sum_1^n \hat{y}_k^2 / n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (17b)$$

Finally since $\eta_k - \epsilon_k = \hat{y}_k + \frac{1}{2} \hat{u}_k$ we obtain

$$n^{-1} \sum_1^n (\eta_k - \epsilon_k)^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad . \quad (10a)$$

The proof is complete.

Conclusions. It has been shown that provided conditions (1), (2), (3), that $\theta_0 \in R \subset S$ of (1), (4a) and the positive real condition (9) holds then the a posteriori Regression Recursion, for the parameters of a TFWN model, converges without the need of monitoring. This is a rather disappointing result in that the positive real condition must be satisfied by a quantity that depends on the system characteristic function. Now it is clear that the original IV recursion could not be expected to converge unless the positive real condition holds; further even if this holds the IV recursion would in general not converge without being monitored. At least in that respect the present recursion is superior. Finally it may be remarked that in the off line case it is well known that the IV estimator (or its symmetric form) converges even if ϵ_n is a coloured noise. It would be interesting to know if that holds too with the recursive estimator.

Appendix. Persistent Exatation and Positive Definiteness.

The aim is to show that if (4a) holds then we cannot find a fixed $(n_a + n_b)$ vector \underline{a} with $\underline{a}' \underline{R} \underline{a} = 0$. Suppose there is a vector \underline{a} with $\underline{a}' \underline{R} \underline{a} = 0$. Partition \underline{a} into $(\underline{\alpha}' \underline{\beta}')$ with $\underline{\alpha}$ an n_a -vector, $\underline{\beta}$ an n_b -vector: also form polynomials

$$\alpha(L) = \alpha_1 L + \dots + \alpha_{n_a} L^{n_a}; \quad \beta(L) = \beta_1 L + \dots + \beta_{n_b} L^{n_b} .$$

Now $\underline{a}' \underline{R} \underline{a} = 0$ implies

$$\lim n^{-1} \sum_1^n (\underline{a}' \underline{\varphi}_k(\underline{\theta}_0))^2 = 0 . \quad (A1)$$

Consider that

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{a}' \underline{\varphi}_k(\underline{\theta}_0) &= -\alpha(L) s_k + \beta(L) u_k \\ &= -\frac{\alpha(L) \theta_0(L)}{1+A_0(L)} u_k + \beta(L) u_k \\ &= \frac{\tau(L)}{1+A_0(L)} u_k \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau(L) = -\alpha(L) \beta_0(L) + (1 + A_0(L)) \beta(L)$. Notice that $\tau(L)$ is of the form

$\tau_1 L + \dots + \tau_{n_a+n_b} L^{n_a+n_b}$. Consider that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^n (\tau(L) u_k)^2 &= \sum_1^n \left[1 + A_0(L) \left(\frac{\tau(L)}{1+A_0(L)} u_k \right) \right]^2 \\ (\text{cf } S, \text{ eq. (18)}) \quad &\leq \sup_{\omega} |1 + A_0(e^{i\omega})|^2 \sum_1^n \left(\frac{\tau(L)}{1+A_0(L)} u_k \right)^2 \\ &= \sup_{\omega} |1 + A_0(e^{i\omega})|^2 \sum_1^n (\underline{a}' \underline{\varphi}_k(\underline{\theta}_0))^2 . \end{aligned}$$

Thus since the coefficients of $A_0(L)$ are bounded (A1) implies

$$\lim n^{-1} \sum_1^n (\tau(L) u_k)^2 = 0$$

which contradicts (4a).

REFERENCES

- Solo, V. (1978), Time Series Recursions and Stochastic Approximation, Ph.D. thesis at the Australian National University.
- Solo, V. (1979), The Convergence of AML, IEEE Trans. Autom. Contr., vol. AC-24, 958-962.
- Solo, V. (1980), Some Aspects of Recursive Parameter Estimation, to appear Int. Fl. Control.
- Young, P. C. (1974), Recursive Approaches to Time Series Analysis, Bull. Inst. Math. Appl., vol. 10, 209-224.
- Young, P. C. (1976), Some Observations on Instrumental Variable Methods of Time-Series Analysis, Int. J. Control, vol. 23, 593-612.

VS/jvs

12 16

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER #2118	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A093632	3. REPORT'S CATALOG NUMBER (9) Technical
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) The Convergence of an Instrumental-Variable Like Recursion	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Summary Report, no specific reporting period	
7. AUTHOR(s) 10 V. Solo	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 15 DAAG29-80-C-0041	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematics Research Center, University of 610 Walnut Street Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Work Unit Number 4 - Statistics & Probability	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709	12. REPORT DATE 11 September 1980	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 14 MRC-TSR-2118	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 12	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Stochastic approximation, Strong convergence, Kalman Filter, Recursive least squares.		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) It is proved that a modified form of the IV recursion, for the parameters of a scalar Transfer Function Time Series model, converges provided a certain positive real condition holds. The result is disappointing because the positive real condition depends on the Transfer Function characteristic function.		

221200