

AD-A093 613

WISCONSIN UNIV-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

F/6 12/1

A CHARACTERIZATION OF NORMAL OPERATORS.(U)

OCT 80 S FRIEDLAND, L C TARTAR

DAA629-80-C-0041

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

MRC-TSR-2132

1 of 1
0.5 3/1/8



END
DATE
FILMED
2-81
DTIC

AD A093613

MRC Technical Summary Report #2132

A CHARACTERIZATION OF NORMAL OPERATORS

Shmuel Friedland and Luc C. Tartar

①
p. 5

LEVEL 1

Mathematics Research Center
University of Wisconsin-Madison
610 Walnut Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

OTIC
REC'D
OCT 8 1980

October 1980

Received September 9, 1980

Approved for public release
Distribution unlimited

DDC FILE COPY

Sponsored by
U. S. Army Research Office
P. O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park
North Carolina 27709

80 12 22 050

(1) MFC-TSK-1133 (11) ACT

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON
MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

Q A CHARACTERIZATION OF NORMAL OPERATORS.

(1) Shmuel Friedland* and Luc C. Tartar**

9) Technical Summary Report, #2132
October 1980

15) DAAG-29-80-0041

ABSTRACT

Let A be a bounded linear operator in a Hilbert space. If A is normal then $\log \|e^{At} u\|$ and $\log \|e^{A^* t} u\|$ are convex functions for all $u \neq 0$. In this paper we prove that these properties characterize normal operators.

AMS(MOS) Subject Classification: 47B15

Key words: normal operator, log-convex

Work Unit Number 1 - Applied Analysis

* Mathematics Research Center and Hebrew University, Jerusalem.

** Mathematics Research Center and University of Paris - XI.

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

Consider the differential equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = Ax$$

in a Hilbert space H . Assume that $A: H \rightarrow H$ is a bounded linear operator.

Then any solution of (1) is of the form $x(t) = e^{At}u$. Suppose that A is a normal operator, i.e. $AA^* = A^*A$. Then one can show that the function

$\log\|x(t)\|$ is a convex function on R . Here $\|x\|$ denotes the norm of x in H . The purpose of this paper is to study the converse of this

statement. It turns out that there is a distinction between the finite and infinite dimensional case of H . In the first case the convexity of

$\log\|x(t)\|$ for all non-trivial solutions $x(t)$ implies the normality of A .

In the infinite dimensional case this result does not apply for a general

A . We show, however, if we assume in addition that $\log\|y(t)\|$ is also convex for all non-trivial solutions of the system

$$(2) \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = A^*y$$

then A must be a normal operator.

Accession For	
NTIS GR&I	
DTIC TAB	
Unannounced	
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	
A	

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the authors of this report.

A CHARACTERIZATION OF NORMAL OPERATORS

Shmuel Friedland* and Luc C. Tartar**

1. Introduction.

Let H be a Hilbert space over the complex numbers \mathbb{C} with an inner product (x, y) . Assume that $A: H \rightarrow H$ is a bounded linear operator. A straightforward calculation shows (see the next section)

Lemma 1. Let $A: H \rightarrow H$ be a bounded linear operator. If $A^*A - AA^*$ is non-negative definite then $\log \|e^{At} u\|$ is convex on \mathbb{R} for all $u \neq 0$. Thus if A is normal then $\log \|e^{At} u\|$ and $\log \|e^{A^*t} u\|$ are convex. However, there are non-normal operators A such that $0 \leq A^*A - AA^*$. Here, as usual, for self-adjoint operators S, T the inequality $S \leq T$ denotes that $T - S$ is a non-negative definite operator. For example let $H = \ell_2$ and choose A to be the shift operator $A(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$. In this case $\log \|e^{A^*t} u\|$ is not convex for $u = (0, 1, 0, \dots)$. This situation can not hold in a finite dimensional H . More precisely we have

Theorem 1. Let $A = P + iQ$, where P and Q are bounded self-adjoint operators. Assume that P has only a point spectrum (i.e. H has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigen-elements of P). Then A is normal if and only if

$$(1) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\log \|e^{At} u\|)(0) > 0, \text{ for all } u \neq 0.$$

*Mathematics Research Center and Hebrew University, Jerusalem.

**Mathematics Research Center and University of Paris - XI.

Our main result is

Theorem 2. Let $A: H \rightarrow H$ be a bounded linear operator. Then A is
normal if and only if (1) and

(2) $\frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\log \|e^{A^* t} u\|)(0) > 0$, for all $u \neq 0$,
hold.

We conjecture

Conjecture. Assume that (1) holds. Then

$$0 < A^* A - A A^*.$$

2. Proofs.

Using the group properties of e^{At} we easily deduce

Lemma 2. Let $A : H \rightarrow H$ be a bounded linear operator. Then $\log \|e^{At} u\|$ is convex on R for all $u \neq 0$ if and only if (1) holds.

A straightforward calculation shows

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\log \|e^{At} u\|)(0) = \frac{1}{2} (u, u)^{-2} [((A^2 + A^{*2} + 2A^*A)u, u) - ((A + A^*)u, u)^2].$$

Thus (1) is equivalent to the inequality

$$(3) \quad ((A + A^*)u, u)^2 \leq ((A^2 + A^{*2} + 2A^*A)u, u)(u, u).$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$((A + A^*)u, u)^2 \leq ((A + A^*)^2 u, u)(u, u).$$

As

$$(A + A^*)^2 = A^2 + A^{*2} + 2A^*A - (A^*A - AA^*)$$

the assumption that $A^*A - AA^* \geq 0$ implies the inequality (3). This establishes Lemma 1.

To give an equivalent form of the inequality (3) we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $R, S, T : H \rightarrow H$ be self-adjoint non-negative definite operators. Then

$$(4) \quad (Ru, u)^2 \leq (Su, u)(Tu, u), \text{ for all } u \in H$$

if and only if

$$(5) \quad 2R \leq \alpha^{-1}S + \alpha T$$

for all positive α .

Proof. The inequality (4) implies (5) in view of arithmetic-geometric inequality. Suppose that (5) holds. If $(Su, u) = 0$ then by letting α tend to zero we deduce that $(Ru, u) = 0$. Thus we may assume that $(Su, u)(Tu, u) > 0$. In that case choose $\alpha = [(Su, u)/(Tu, u)]^{1/2}$ to obtain (4). ■

Lemma 4. Let $A = P + iQ$, where P and Q are self-adjoint. Then
 (3) is equivalent to the inequality
 (6) $\frac{1}{2}(QP - PQ) \leq (P - \alpha I)^2$
for all real α .

Proof. A straightforward computation shows that the inequality (3) is invariant under the transformation $A \rightarrow A + \omega I$. So we may assume that $P \geq 0$. Also in terms of P and Q (3) becomes

$$(Pu, u)^2 \leq ([P^2 + \frac{1}{2}(PQ - QP)]u, u)(u, u).$$

In view of Lemma 3 the above inequality is equivalent to (6) for $\alpha > 0$. As $P \geq 0$ (6) trivially holds also for $\alpha \leq 0$. Again (6) is invariant under the transformation $A \rightarrow A + \omega I$. The proof of the lemma is completed. ■

Lemma 5 Let $P, Q: H \rightarrow H$ be bounded self-adjoint operators. Assume that
 $Pu = \alpha u$, $u \neq 0$ and suppose that (6) holds. Then

$$(7) \quad P(Qu) = \alpha(Qu).$$

Proof. Let $y = u + sx$, where $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $(u, x) = 0$. As

$$(Bu, u) = ((P - \alpha I)^2 u, u) = ((P - \alpha I)^2 u, x) = 0, \quad B = \frac{i}{2}(QP - PQ),$$

(6) implies

$$2\operatorname{Re}\{\bar{s}(Bu, x)\} + |s|^2(Bx, x) \leq |s|^2((P - \alpha I)^2 x, x).$$

Since s is arbitrary we obtain that $(Bu, x) = 0$ if $(u, x) = 0$. So

$Bu = \beta u$. Finally the equality $(Bu, u) = 0$ yields $\beta = 0$, i.e. $Bu = 0$.

This proves (7). ■

Proof of Theorem 1. As P has only a point spectrum H decomposes to a direct sum of invariant eigen-subspaces of P .

$$H = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(P)} \oplus H_\lambda, \quad (P - \lambda I)H_\lambda = 0.$$

Lemma 5 implies that $QH_\lambda \subset H_\lambda$. That is $PQ = QP$ which is equivalent to the normality of A . ■

Assume now that $\log \|e^{At} u\|$ and $\log \|e^{A^* t} u\|$ are convex on \mathbb{R} for all $u \neq 0$. According to Lemma 4 these conditions are equivalent to

$$(8) \quad -(P - \alpha I)^2 \leq \frac{i}{2} (QP - PQ) \leq (P - \alpha I)^2$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then Theorem 2 follows from our last theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $B, P: H \rightarrow H$ be bounded self-adjoint operators. Assume that

$$(9) \quad -(P - \alpha I)^\mu \leq B \leq (P - \alpha I)^\mu, \quad \mu = 2m/(2\ell - 1)$$

for all real α , where $m > \ell > 1$ are integers. Then $B = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $Pu = \alpha u$. Then (9) yields $(Bu, u) = 0$. Apply the arguments of the proof of Lemma 5 to deduce $Bu = 0$. Decompose $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$, $PH_1 \subseteq H_1$ such that H_2 has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigen-elements of P and H_1 - the orthogonal complement of H_2 - does not contain any eigen-elements of P . Thus $BH_2 = 0$. Therefore it is enough to assume that P has only a continuous spectrum. Without restriction in generality we may assume that the spectrum of P lies in $[0, 1]$. Consider the spectral decomposition of P

$$P = \int_0^1 \lambda dE(\lambda).$$

Let

$$E_i = \int_{(i-1)/n}^{i/n} dE(\lambda), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i, \quad E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Choose $\alpha = (2i - 1)/2n$. Then (9) yields

$$(10) \quad -(2n)^{-\mu} E_i \leq E_i B E_i \leq (2n)^{-\mu} E_i.$$

Let $y = u + sy$, $u \in E_i H$, $y \in (I - E_i)H$. Then for the same choice of α (9) implies

$$|(Bu, u) + 2\operatorname{Re}\{s(By, u)\} + |s|^2 (By, y)| \leq (2n)^{-\mu} (u, u) + |s|^2 (v, y).$$

The same inequality applies if we replace s by $-s$. Combine these two inequalities to get

$$2|\operatorname{Re}\{s(By, u)\}| \leq (2n)^{-\mu}(u, u) + |s|^2(y, y).$$

Choose $|s| = (2n)^{-\mu/2}$, $\arg s = -\arg(By, u)$ to deduce

$$(11) |(By, u)| \leq (2n)^{-\mu/2} [(u, u) + (y, y)]/2, \quad u \in E_i H, \quad y \in (I - E_i)H.$$

Let $\lambda \in \sigma(B)$. We claim that

$$(12) \quad |\lambda| \leq 3(2n)^{-(\mu-1)/2}.$$

Indeed, there exists $x \in H$ such that

$$\|Bx - \lambda x\| \leq (2n)^{-\mu/2}, \quad \|x\| = 1.$$

As $\|x\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|E_i x\|^2 = 1$ we may assume that $\|E_j x\| > n^{-1/2}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. So

$$\|E_j Bx - \lambda E_j x\| \leq (2n)^{-\mu/2}.$$

Thus

$$|\lambda| \leq \sqrt{n} ((2n)^{-\mu/2} + \|E_j Bx\|).$$

We now estimate $\|E_j B\|$. Clearly

$$\|E_j B\| = \sup_{\|v\| = \|w\| = 1} \operatorname{Re}\{(E_j Bv, w)\} = \sup_{\|v\| = \|E_j w\| = 1} \operatorname{Re}\{(E_j Bv, E_j w)\} \leq$$

$$\sup_{\|E_j v\| = \|E_j w\| = 1} \operatorname{Re}\{(E_j B E_j v, E_j w)\} +$$

$$+ \sup_{\|(I-E_j)v\| = \|E_j w\| = 1} \operatorname{Re}\{(E_j B(I-E_j)v, E_j w)\}.$$

In view of (10) and (11) we get

$$\sup_{\|E_j v\| = \|E_j w\| = 1} \operatorname{Re}\{(E_j B E_j v, E_j w)\} \leq (2n)^{-\mu},$$

$$\sup_{\|(I-E_j)v\| = \|E_j w\| = 1} \operatorname{Re}\{(E_j B(I-E_j)v, E_j w)\} \leq (2n)^{-\mu/2}.$$

Combine the above inequalities to deduce (12). As n is arbitrary and

$\mu > 1$ (12) implies $\sigma(B) = \{0\}$.

As B is self-adjoint we conclude that $B = 0$.

SF:LCT/db

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 2132	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A093	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 623
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) A CHARACTERIZATION OF NORMAL OPERATORS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Summary Report - no specific reporting period
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Shmuel Friedland and Luc C. Tartar		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAAG29-80-C-0041
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematics Research Center, University of 610 Walnut Street Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 1 - Applied Analysis
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709		12. REPORT DATE October 1980
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 7
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) normal operator, log-convex		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Let A be a bounded linear operator in a Hilbert space. If A is normal then $\log \ e^{At}\ $ and $\log \ e^{A^*t}\ $ are convex functions for all $u \neq 0$. In this paper we prove that these properties characterize normal operators.. $\log \ e^{(K - \epsilon)A}\ $ and $\log \ e^{(K + \epsilon)A}\ $		