

1. 5 Notional Dam Safety Program. 20 2 LOCK 32 - ERIE CANAL 50 (Inventory Number N.Y. 791) AD A 091 MONROE COUNTY, NEW YORK PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT. 11 SEP 80 12 DACW51-79-C-9901 10V 1 0 1980 Prepared by (19) Bent 2. Thoms THOMSEN ASSOCIATES 105 CORONA AVE. GROTON, N. Gary hi/ Wood Prepared for DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW YORK DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW YORK, NEW YORK SEPTEMBER 1980 THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICAN THE COPY FURNISHED TO DDC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WILLOW DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY. APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; CONTRACT NO. DACW-51-79-60001 323970 80 10 29 010

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION	NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
AD-4091	
4. Phase 1 Inspection Report	Phase I Inspection Report
Lock 32-Erie Canal	National Dam Safety Progr
Monroe County, New York	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
Inventory No. 791	
Bent L. Thomsen	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Gary L. Wood	✓ DACW-51-79-C-0001
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASI
105 Corona Avenue	
Groton. NY 13073	
	12. REPORT DATE
New York State Department of Environmental	25 September 1980
Conservation 50 Wolf Road	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS/II dillorent from Controlling Office	e) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Department of the Army	
26 Federal Plaza New York District, CofE New York NY 10287	UNCLASSIFIED
New York, MI 10207	SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different	t from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different	t from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different	t (rom Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different	t (rom Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, If different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	t (rom Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	t (rom Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	t (rom Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num	t (rom Report) J
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on revorce side if necessary and identify by block num Dam Safety	t from Report) J Lock 32
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num Dam Safety National Dam Safety Program	t (rom Report) bor) Lock 32 Erie Canal
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block num Dam Safety National Dam Safety Program Visual Inspection Hydrology Structural Stability 	t (rom Report) ber) Lock 32 Erie Canal Monroe County Senece Privor
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed in Block 20, 11 different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on revorce olde if necessary and identify by block num Dam Safety National Dam Safety Program Visual Inspection Hydrology, Structural Stability 	t from Report) ber) Lock 32 Erie Canal Monroe County Seneca River
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num Mational Dam Safety Program Visual Inspection Hydrology, Structural Stability ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number 	t (rom Report) ber) Lock 32 Erie Canal Monroe County Seneca River
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on revorce side if necessary and identify by block num Dam Safety National Dam Safety Program Visual Inspection Hydrology, Structural Stability ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number This report provides information and analysis on 	t from Report) ber) Lock 32 Erie Canal Monroe County Seneca River
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on revorce side if necessary and identify by block num Dam Safety National Dam Safety Program Visual Inspection Hydrology, Structural Stability 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbers This report provides information and analysis on dam as of the report date. Information and analysis 	t from Report) ber) Lock 32 Erie Canal Monroe County Seneca River ber), the physical condition of the ysis are based on visual tion,
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block num Dam Safety National Dam Safety Program Visual Inspection Hydrology, Structural Stability 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block number This report provides information and analysis on dam as of the report date. Information and analysis on dam as of the dam by the performing organizat Examination of available documents a 	t from Report) Lock 32 Erie Canal Monroe County Seneca River Der), the physical condition of the ysis are based on visual tion, and a visual inspection
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num Dam Safety National Dam Safety Program Visual Inspection Hydrology, Structural Stability 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num This report provides information and analysis on dam as of the report date. Information and analy inspection of the dam by the performing organizat Examination of available documents a of the dam did not reveal conditions whice 	t from Report) Lock 32 Erie Canal Monroe County Seneca River ber). the physical condition of the ysis are based on visual tion. and a visual inspection ch constitute an immediat
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block num Dam Safety National Dam Safety Program Visual Inspection Hydrology, Structural Stability 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block num This report provides information and analysis on dam as of the report date. Information and analysis on dam as of the report date. Information and analy inspection of the dam by the performing organizat Examination of available documents a of the dam did not reveal conditions whice hazard to human life or property. However 	t from Report) Lock 32 Erie Canal Monroe County Seneca River Seneca condition of the vsis are based on visual tion. and a visual inspection ch constitute an immediat er, increased maintenance
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num Dam Safety National Dam Safety Program Visual Inspection Hydrology, Structural Stability 20. ABSTRACT (Continue en reverse side if necessary and identify by block number of the port date. Information and analysis on dam as of the report date. Information and analysis on dam as of the report date. Information and analysis of the dam by the performing organizate Examination of available documents a of the dam did not reveal conditions which hazard to human life or property. However D 1473 EDITION OF ! NOV 65 IS ODSOLETE 	t from Report) Lock 32 Erie Canal Monroe County Seneca River Der). the physical condition of the ysis are based on visual tion. and a visual inspection ch constitute an immediat er, increased maintenance

• .

?

.

٠

is required to correct concrete deterioration in the general lock area, of the spillway intake structure, and of the foot bridge which crosses the spillway discharge pool. Also, a detailed emergency operation-action plan and warning system should be developed and implemented.

No additional investigations are deemed necessary at this time Because flow to Lock 32 is controlled by other structures, no hydrologic/hydraulic analyses were possible.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data I intered)

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

Į.

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, DC 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detected and only through continued care and maintenance can these conditions be prevented or corrected.

sion For	
GRALI	
AB	
ounced	
ication_	
.bution/	
ability (Indes
Avail and	/or
special	
D 3	
37	1
	l l
	Sion For GRAAI AB Sounced Fication bution/ Avail and Special

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM LOCK 32 ERIE CANAL I. D. NO. N.Y. 791 MONROE COUNTY, NEW YORK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.
-	ASSESSMENT	-
-	OVERVIEW PHOTOGRAPHS	-
1	PROJECT INFORMATION	1
1.1	GENERAL	1
1.2	DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT	1
1.3	PERTINENT DATA	3
2	ENGINEERING DATA	4
2.1	GEOTECHNICAL DATA	4
2.2	DESIGN/CONSTRUCTION RECORDS	5
2.3	OPERATION RECORDS	5
2.4	EVALAUTION OF DATA	5
3	VISUAL INSPECTION ·	6
3.1	FINDINGS	6
3.2	EVALUATION OF OBSERVATIONS	6
4	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES	7
4.1	PROCEDURES	7
4.2	MAINTENANCE OF LOCK	7
4.3	MAINTENANCE OF SPILLWAY AND APPURTENANT STRUCTURES	7
4.4	WARNING SYSTEM IN EFFECT	7
4.5	EVALUATION	7
5	HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC	8
5.1	HYDRAULIC CHARACTERISTICS	8
5.2	ANALYSIS CRITERIA	8
5.3	SPILLWAY CAPACITY	8
5.4	RESERVOIR CAPACITY	9
5.5	FLOOD OF RECORD	9
5.6	OVERTOPPING POTENTIAL	9
5.7	EVALUATION	9

and the second states and a second second

		Page No.
6	STRUCTURAL STABILITY	10
6.1	EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY	. 10
7	ASSESSMENT/RECOMMENDATIONS	11
7.1	ASSESSMENT	11
7.2	RECOMMENDED MEASURES	12

APPENDICES

Appendix A - Photographs Appendix B - Visual Inspection Checklist Appendix C - Hydrologic/Hydraulic:Engineering Data and Computations Appendix D - Drawings

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

NAME OF DAM:	Lock 32 Erie Canal I.D. No. N.Y. 791
STATE LOCATED:	New York
COUNTY LOCATED	Monroe
WATERSHED:	Seneca River
STREAM:	New York State Barge Canal
DATE OF INSPECTION:	June 12, 1980

ASSESSMENT

Examination of available documents and a visual inspection of the dam did not reveal conditions which constitute an immediate hazard to human life or property. However, increased maintenance is required to correct concrete deterioration in the general lock area, of the spillway intake structure, and of the foot bridge which crosses the spillway discharge pool. Also, a detailed emergency operation-action plan and warning system should be developed and implemented.

No additional investigations are deemed necessary at this time. Because flow to Lock 32 is controlled by other structures, no hydrologic/hydraulic analyses were possible.

i

a for the second of

Bent L. Thomsen, P. E. Thomsen Associates N.Y. License #40553

8/25/80

Gary Wood, P.E. Thomsen Associates N.Y. License #44504

Colonel W. M. Smith, Jr. New York District Engineer

APPROVED BY



Overview Photo of Upstream Works



•

Overview Photo of Lock 32 PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM LOCK 32 ERIE CANAL I.D. NO. N.Y. 791 MONROE COUNTY, NEW YORX

SECTION 1: PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL

a. Authority

The Phase I inspection reported herein was authorized by the Department of the Army, New York District, Corps of Engineers, to fulfill the requirements of the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367.

b. Purpose of Inspection

This inspection was conducted to evaluate the existing conditions of the lock and its appurtenant structures, to identify deficiencies and hazardous conditions, to determine if they constitute hazards to human life and property, and to recommend remedial measures where necessary.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

a. Description of the Lock and Appurtenant Structures Lock 32 is a concrete, pile supported structure set in an earth embankment. The interior of the lock is approximately 340 feet long, 44 feet wide, and 40 feet deep. There are vertically hinged gates at the west (upstream) and east (downstream) end of the lock. The approximate head differential is 25 feet.

The only spillway is located south (See layout plan and sections, Appendix D, for compass directions) of the lock. It is an open, riprap-lined channel approximately 1000 feet in length. The entrance to the spillway consists of five gates, each approximately 8½ feet wide. These

-1-

gates are equipped with stoplogs. The downstream end of the spillway consists of a double box culvert under Route 65. This culvert empties into a pool at the downstream end.

b. Location

The lock is located on the Erie Canal of the New York State Barge Canal system, south of the City of Rochester, and just west of the Village of Pittsford. It is near the intersection of Routes 252 and 65.

c. Size Classification

This lock has a head of approximately 25 feet, and a storage volume of approximately 360 acre-feet. There-fore, it is classified as a small dam.

d. Hazard Classification

The dam is classified "high" hazard because of downstream residences and the potential impact on navigation.

e. Ownership

Lock 32 is owned by the New York State Department of Transportation, Waterways Maintenance Subdivision. The controlling office is located near Rochester, New York.

New York State Department of Transportation Region 4 Jefferson Road Rochester, New York Mr. Clarence Burkwit 716-442-8550

f. Purpose of the Dam

The sole purpose of Lock 32 is to facilitate navigation along the New York State Barge Canal. The impounded waters behind the dam provide a storage pool used for gravity inflow to the lock.

-2-

g. Design and Construction History

The New York State Barge Canal in the vicinity of Lock 32 is an artificial waterway. Plans are dated 1908, and the lock was constructed a short time after this.

h. Normal Operational Procedures

During the navigation season, the upstream and downstream water levels are maintained as nearly as possible to the design levels of 487.5 (BCD-Barge Canal Datum) and 462.4 (BCD). These levels are established by the spillway stoplogs in the upstream and downstream locks, as well as this one. Manipulation of these stoplogs is not normally required. Staff gauge readings upstream and downstream of the lock are recorded daily. During the winter, the canal in the vicinity of Lock 32 is drained by closing the inlet gates at the Genesee River, some 5 miles upstream.

1.3 PERTINENT DATA

1

a. Elevations (Barge Canal Datum-BCD)

Top of Dam Design Pool Maximum Recorded Pool Spillway Crest (Minimum) Spillway Crest (Maximum) Streambed at Dam Centerline (Upstream) Streambed at Dam Centerline (Downstream) Design Tailwater Maximum Recorded Tailwater	490.0 487.5 488 <u>+</u> 485.5 490.0 475.5 <u>+</u> 450.4 <u>+</u> 462.4 463 <u>+</u>
b. Reservoir (feet)	
Length of Normal Pool	6800 <u>+</u>
c. Storage (acre-feet)	
Normal Pool	360 <u>+</u>
d. Reservoir Surface (acres)	
Normal Pool	30 <u>+</u>
e. Dam	
Туре	Lock
Length	350'+
Height (Head)	25 +
Top Width	50' <u>+</u>
f. Spillway	
Type Open Channel (Rip-I	Rap lined)
Crest Elevation 485.5	5-490.0
Gates 5 gates, each 8.5' wide,	with stoplogs

SECTION 2: ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 GEOTECHNICAL DATA

a. Geology

Lock 32 is located approximately 1.5 miles west of Pittsford, a southeastern suburb of Rochester, New York.

The site lies north of the Onondaga Escarpment, a cuesta which trends east-west across upstate New York, and therefore, is situated in the Erie-Ontario Lowlands physiographic province. This province is characterized by the general low relief of a glacial lake plain, above which rise hills and drumlins composed of glacial till and bedrock.

Bedrock in the immediate Lock 32 vicinity consists of the Upper Silurian Salina Group of interbedded shales, siltstones, dolostones and evaporites. The shale and siltstone units are characteristically gypsiferous and many units are known to be cavernous. Despite a regional southward dip, stratification may be considered horizontal over short distances; no major or active faults are to be found in the area. The depth to bedrock is uncertain.

Lock 32 is situated in a region classified as Zone 3 seismicity, as shown on Figure 1 of the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspections of Dams.

Pleistocene glaciation of the region has left, as its most marked effect, extensive fine-grained lacustrine deposits which once formed the floor of proglacial Lake Iroquois. Subsequent to final retreat of the Wisconsinan Stage ice sheet and reduction of Lake Iroquois to present Lake Ontario, drainage channels dissecting the lake plain became the site of stratified sand and gravel outwash deposits. Throughout the lacustrine phase, uplands of sufficient elevation such as drumlins and knolls of till and bedrock, remained free of sedimentation.

-4-

b. Subsurface Investigations

No records of subsurface investigations were available. Based upon the available plans and the site characteristics, it appears that the lock and spillway intake are founded on piles. The lengths and type(s)of the piles are uncertain.

2.2 DESIGN/CONSTRUCTION RECORDS

Plans dated 1908 and identified as Contract 23 show the existing lock, spillway, and appurtenant structures as they presently exist. Selected drawings are included in Appendix D.

2.3 OPERATION RECORDS

This site has an attendant on a continuous basis during the navigation season. During the winter, the lock and canal are drained. Upstream and downstream water elevation readings are recorded daily during the navigation season. These levels are maintained as nearly as possible to the design levels of 487.5 (BCD) and 462.4 (BCD). The upstream level can be controlled by stoplogs at the spillway entrance.

2.4 EVALUATION OF DATA

The data presented in this report were obtained during the site inspection and from the files of the New York State Department of Transportation. The information is considered adequate for Phase I inspection purposes.

-5-

SECTION 3: VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 FINDINGS

a. General

Visual inspection of the lock and appurtenant structures was conducted on June 12, 1980. The weather was generally fair. The upstream and downstream water elevations were 486.2 (BCD) and 462.5 (BCD) respectively.

b. Lock

The lock was observed in operation and no mechanical, electrical, or hydraulic problems were noted. Concrete deterioration was noted in the general lock area, including upstream and downstream dock walls.

c. Spillway

Concrete deterioration was noted at the spillway intake structure, and very significant concrete deterioration (including exposed reinforcing bars) was noted at the foot bridge which crosses the downstream spillway pool.

d. Upstream and Downstream Canals

The conditions of the canals upstream and downstream of the lock appeared to be satisfactory. Slopes in the Lock 32 vicinity are generally graded to 1 vertical on 2 horizontal. No signs of instability were noted.

3.2 EVALUATION OF OBSERVATIONS

The following deficiencies were noted, and are shown in the photographs in Appendix A.

- 1) Concrete deterioration in the general lock area.
- Concrete deterioration of the spillway intake structure.
- 3) Concrete deterioration of the foot bridge.

-6-

SECTION 4: OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

4.1 PROCEDURES

Normal practice is to maintain the upstream and downstream water elevations as nearly as possible to the design levels of 487.5 (BCD) and 462.4 (BCD). These levels are affected by Locks 33 (upstream) and 31 (downstream). The water level within the lock is gravity-controlled by means of values.

4.2 MAINTENANCE OF LOCK

The lock is maintained by the New York State Department of Transportation. Most of the lock maintenance is performed on an as-needed basis, with the largest part of the work being done during the portions of the year when the canal is drained. Every year, one of the four lock gates and its motor and operating mechanism are overhauled. Increased maintenance is required to correct concrete deterioration in the general lock area, including upstream and downstream dock walls.

4.3 MAINTENANCE OF SPILLWAY AND APPURTENANT STRUCTURES

The spillway and its appurtenant structures are maintained by the New York State Department of Transportation. Increased maintenance is required to correct concrete deterioration of the spillway intake structure, and of the foot bridge which crosses the downstream spillway pool.

4.4 WARNING SYSTEM IN EFFECT

No apparent warning system is present.

4.5 EVALUATION

It appears that past maintenance practices have largely ignored the concrete portions of the lock and its appurtenances and additional maintenance now is required to correct concrete deterioration in the general lock area, of the spillway intake structure, and of the foot bridge. In addition, a detailed emergency warning system should be developed.

SECTION 5: HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC

5.1 HYDRAULIC CHARACTERISTICS

The New York State Barge Canal in the vicinity of Lock 32 is an artificial waterway. There are no tributaries. Flow to the lock and its spillway can be controlled by the following structures:

- o The Court Street Dam in Rochester. This dam maintains the Genesee River at an elevation of approximately 513.1 (BCD) during the navigation season, and supplies water for the Barge Canal.
- o Gates near the intersection of the Barge Canal and the Genesee River. These gates, which can be lowered even in the event of a power failure, are designed to prevent excessively high river waters from entering the canal. The top elevation of these gates is approximately 524 (BCD).
- o Lock 33. Through the use of stoplogs, the spillway crest of this lock can be made as high as approximate elevation 517 (BCD).

5.2 ANALYSIS CRITERIA

Because the canal in the vicinity of Lock 32 is an artificial waterway, and flow to the lock is controlled by other structures, no conventional hydrologic/hydraulic analyses were possible.

5.3 SPILLWAY CAPACITY

The spillway capacity was computed using an equation for orifice flow, and assuming that the water surface elevation was at the top of the lock walls (elevation 490.0-BCD).It was also assumed that all stoplogs were removed. With this analysis, a discharge of 1510 cubic feet per second was obtained.

5.4 RESERVOIR CAPACITY

The canal between Locks 32 and 33 was estimated to have a water surface area of approximately 30 acres, and a design depth of approximately 12 feet. The approximate storage, therefore, was computed as 360 acre-feet. The surcharge depth of 2 1/2 feet between normal water level and the top of embankment adds approximately 75 acre-feet, for a total storage capacity of approximately 435 acre-feet.

5.5 FLOOD OF RECORD

The maximum upstream pool elevation at Lock 32 has been approximately 488 (BCD). This level was noted during an isolated occurrence when an unusually high amount of water was discharged through Lock 33.

5.6 OVERTOPPING POTENTIAL

There is no record of the lock and/or spillway ever being overtopped.

5.7 EVALUATION

Because flow to Lock 32 is controlled by other structures, no hydrologic/hydraulic analyses were possible.

SECTION 6: STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

a. Visual Observations

No visible evidence of structural instability was noted. The horizontal and vertical alignments, abutments, and joints between structural elements all appeared to be satisfactory. The concrete deterioration noted in the visual inspection does not affect structural stability (except that of the footbridge which does not affect the structure per se) at this time.

b. Stability Evaluation

No stability analyses (either overturning or sliding) were deemed applicable to this structure because of its configuration as a monolithic box.

A review of design parameters* for earth embankments of compacted sands and gravels indicates that embankment slopes of approximately 1 vertical on 2 horizontal will have adequate factors of safety with respect to shear failures.

Seismic stability was not considered during the design phase and was not evaluated as a part of this investigation since stability of the concrete structure was not applicable and there is no data available for stability analyses of the levee section.

*"Design of Small Dams", U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, 1977.

-10-

SECTION 7: ASSESSMENT/RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 ASSESSMENT

a. Safety

The Phase I inspection of Lock 32 did not reveal conditions which constitute an immediate hazard to human life or property. However, increased maintenance is required to correct deterioration in the general lock area, of the spillway intake structure, and of the foot bridge.

Because the flow to Lock 32 and its spillway is controlled by other structures, hydrologic/hydraulic analyses were not possible. In the event of a possible emergency (such as might result from impending failure of an upstream structure), however, continuous surveillance should be provided to warn of high floodwater conditions. Such surveillance procedures and other measures deemed necessary should be developed, documented, and placed in readiness for future use as part of a detailed emergency operation-action plan. A warning system should also be developed and implemented.

b. Adequacy of Information

The information available for preparation of this report is considered adequate.

c. Necessity for Additional Investigations

No additional investigations are deemed necessary at this time.

d. Urgency

The deficiencies noted in this investigation should be corrected before the next navigation season.

-11-

7.2 RECOMMENDED MEASURES

The following actions should be undertaken:

- a) Correct concrete deterioration in the general lock area, of the spillway intake structure, and of the foot bridge.
- b) Develop and implement a detailed emergency operation-action plan and warning system.

-12-

APPENDIX A

PHOTOGRAPHS









١

ļ ١ 1

Ę

-

.....

Foot bridge; NOTE: Concrete deterioration including exposed reinforcing bars

ł

١

APPENDIX B

-

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

THOMSEN ASSOCIATES

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

1) Basic Data

ł

14 1 1

a. General

	Name of Dam Love 32 EALE CAMPL
	I.D. # 40 P DEC. Dam No. 79/
	River Basin SENECA PINER
	Location: Town <u>Pre-epre</u> County <u>Philose</u>
	U.S.G.S. Quadrangle <u>PITTSKOKD</u>
	Stream Name Earce Cana:
	Tributary of <u>SENELA PUR</u>
	Latitude (N) <u> </u>
	Type of Dam
	Hazard Category <u>HIEH</u>
	Date(s) of Inspection 6/12/10
	Weather Conditions <u>Symmetry</u>
	Reservoir Level at Time of Inspection // // ////
	Tailwater Level at Time of Inspection (462.4)
ь.	Inspection Personnel Factor (-,)
	Pro plane (Me =)
c.	Persons Contacted (Including Address & Phone No.)
	. CLARENCE EICKHIT, NYS DOT (716- 442-8120)
	Pick BAR. 6-, 115027 (716-4+2-35-5)
đ.	History:
	Date Constructed <u>/908</u> Date(s) Réconstructed (P2 4× 5)
	Designer <u>1164 Your State</u>
	Constructed by NEW York State
	Owner <u>145 D97</u>
e.	Seismic Zone

THOMSEN ASSOCIATES

-

•

a.	Ch	aracteristics		
	11	Embankment Material		
	2)	Cutoff Type		
	3)	Impervious Core		
	4)	Internal Drainage System/UNE		
	5)	Miscellaneous		
ь.	Crest			
	1)	Vertical Alignment		
	2)	Horizontal Alignment		
	3)	Surface Cracks Mone No.762		
	4)	Miscellaneous		
•	Upsi	tream Slope		
	1)	Slope (Estimate) (V:H) VALIES, Au Merene Stad		
	2)	Undesirable Growth or Debris, Animal Burrows		
		<u>Mint (10-10</u>		
	21			

-

	VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST
	4) Slope Protection <u>Spire</u> Virgente
	5) Surface Cracks or Movement at Toe U108:61193:
đ.	Downstream Slope
	1) Slope (Estimate - V:H) JAKIES A. Anna S
	2) Undesirable Growth or Debris, Animal Burrows
	Mane Mates
	3) Sloughing, Subsidence or Depressions
	117115 12-63
	4) Surface Cracks or Movement at Toe
	UNOBICE IASLE
	5) Seepage Mont Mates
	6) External Drainage System (Ditches, Trenches; Blanke
	1/2NE PTHER THRE SCILLAR
	7) Condition Around Outlet Structure
	if condition atound outlet structure
	OF DEPERALLY VID
	8) Seepage Beyond Toe
e.	Abutments-Embankment Contact

l		
]	THOMSE CONSULTING GEOT	EN ASSOCIATES rechnical engineers a geologists
l		VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST
I	1)	Erosion at Contact 110112 112-62
	2)	Seepage Along Contract <u>//suc //step</u>
- -		
ł	3) <u>Drainage</u>	System
	a. Desc	ription of System
	b. Cond	ition of System/ M
•	c. Disc	harge from Drainage System <u>11.A.</u>
	4) <u>Instrumer</u> Piezomete	ntation (Momumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Wei ers, Etc.)
		State Garber - las terne 1 Proster
-	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
	4000-	
	- <u></u>	

ļ

1

THOMSEN	ASSOC	ATES
CONSULTING GEOTECHN	ICAL ENGINEERS	& GEOLOGISTS

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

5)	Re	servoir
	a.	Slopes FERERAL 62-2
	b.	Sedimentation Junes cruzzie
	c.	Unusual Conditions Which Affect Dam More 110-02
6)	Are	ea Downstream of Dam
	à.	Downstream Hazard (No. of Homes, Highways, etc.)
		Select Harts
	b.	Seepage, Unusual Growth Nene Mores
	c.	Evidence of Movement Beyond Toe of Dam 11995 11-63
	đ.	Condition of Downstream Channel <u>Frances 6000</u>
7)	Spi	llway(s) (Including Discharge Conveyance Channel)
	 A.	General FAMERICAS: 5 FATES FACH 8.5 HIVE: Great
		VARIABLE 4575 - 490.0: 50' HIDE: 1000'S LONE
	b.	Condition of Service Spillway

THOMSEN	ASSOCIATES
CONSULTING GEOTECHA	NCAL ENCINEERS & GEOLOGIC

	VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST
с.	Condition of Auxiliary Spillway <u>N.A.</u>
đ.	Condition of Discharge Conveyance Channel
Res	ervoir Drain/Outlet (Errass)
	Type: Pipe Conduit Other 🔀
	Material: Concrete Metal Other
	Size: Length Length
	Physical Condition (Describe): Unobservable
	Material:
	Joints: Alignment
	Structural Integrity:
	Hydraulic Capability:
	Means of Control: Gate Valve Uncontro
	Operation: Operable <u>X</u> Inoperable Other Present Condition (Describe): <u>Heracentry Fo</u>

9) <u>s</u>	<u>tructura</u> 1
а	. Concrete Surfaces Source Deterior 7710.
D	. Structural Cracking <u>Nove</u> 11375p
C	. Movement - Horizontal & Vertical Alignment (Settlement)
u.	Ancerons with Abutments of Embankments
e.	Drains - Foundation, Joint, Face N.A.
f.	Water Passages, Conduits, Sluices Arrene 6000
g.	Seepage or Leakage Some Moreo THRUIT
	LOCK DATES

THOMSEN ASSOCIATES CALLER A DESCRIPTION CALLENDNELP - EDUCTION Joints - Construction, etc. Alecte 6000 h. ۰. i. Foundation 110BSEPIABLE j. Abutments 6000 _____ k. Control Gates GENERALLY 6000 1. Approach & Outlet Channels 1000 m. Energy Dissipators (Plunge Pool, etc.) 11. A. Intake Structures Some Concrete Perexionation n. o. Stability _____ Miscellaneous p. -

APPENDIX C

,

Ling.

ì

i

HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC: ENGINEERING DATA AND COMPUTATIONS THOMSEN ASSOCIATES

60 x 32 HYDROLOF - / HYDRALL AUALYSES

DETERMINE MAXIMUNS SPILLWAY CAPACITY (WITH WATER SULFACE AT TOP OF WALLS, ELEI. 490).

Use DRIFICE DISCHARGE EQUATION

Q= CA Vag H

C=0.7 A = (5)(8.5)(4) = 120 F72 2= 32.2 FT/SEC2 H= 490.0- 487.5 = 2.5"

Q=(.7)(170) V(2)(32.2)(2.5) = 1510 045

1 - Am 1/25:20

APPENDIX D

•

•

14

•

DRAWINGS



TOPOGRAPHIC MAP LOCK 32 ERIE CANAL I.D. NO. N.Y. 791



VICINITY MAP LOCK 32 ERIE CANAL I.D. NO. N.Y. 791

•

1

1

٠<u>۲</u> Contraction of the second s 5 1. AND ALL CALLER INT ALBAIN 1.1 S' d' all lines anner Anna Cord Res for an 1 S' a' Anna Anni - 20 11400 He y state on the two 10 -----(45° . 7° 3° (1001 Ap 2400) 8° . 1° . 1° (1001 Ap 200 May Mile Miles 10 1 A day 4 4 deres and The second second second second SECTION ON DE ESTION AN J.J SETTION ON HH For detail of meeden fance and sheet Ma St ... ----cherse ste -12 di 1110 --State Barner State Barner A 24-3 SIMOL T - 25.0° - 11 Nes ne is used make shipe I on I'z 37 -test 17 me Inth Silleng 18 w kss Not Ser . 1 ALL DE LE TYPICAL SECTION OF APPROACH · . ₽. SCALE 1: 16 0 SECTION ON NH O.K. & D. R. annard fulle . *6*7 5. B.A. :qvi NOE 9 6 Slope Ione. 2 Calls shone ditch 20 ball sides lope only 10 day à/ 774 No. Star à al al 2 miles 1.5 Ð and AL MAY C. CENTER CR LINE AT 21 ST 40" GANAL Ă 13 1. Wan Sola در . A la ana - 31 Spoil to E14700 75 that Cars 0.1. <u>....</u> <u>...</u> Ŧ Ö 0 1.070 œ Q 5 -1 . **.** . x. 10 04 lana. Θ ł, è 3.0 11 ماند دو مر ا . . . 5.20 TANK STAND AND AND AND AND AND SHUTTER CONTEN LINE OF DY MEST 4, The second state and the second state of the second state is the second state of the s 240 7 de ... ستدييد محبط 4 ---lote Arrows ----- undreater down stope Fer all numbered sections size since Ma 25. Round poles net shown on sections Scale 1- 50 - 2 Alexan prostory 1.0 1322 - e. - e e - niv -1 1.1 No. 12 -27 i inter 12:11 22 Contraction with the and 1075 turk . 18 199 200 & 40 18 5 6 40 200 / 1944 18 6 6 6 6 200 / 1944 18 6 6 6 6 200 100 2 Tol 101 (Bas Rig Rop on 3 at the Glass Rig Asp SCHON ON N.M /7 AN STAN AN EL RTAL OF DIPAGE STLLMAY 1...; TARIO DI ANTANIA DIA MAL TARIO DI ANTANIA DIA MAL INCOLO DI ATTANIA SIL INCOLO DI ATTANIA SIL . • ŝ d Scole of Sections 1978 ۲ · . . .* • • • ٠. 1.1.1. : \$ 15 and all the States and

































