





	PAGE	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
VA 16508	AN-AVA1 442	
TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
Phase I Inspection Report		Final
National Dam Safety Program		
Silver Lake Dam Rockingham County, Virginia		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR/a)		S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
Schnabel Engineering Associates,	P.C./	
J. K. Timmons and Associates, Inc		DACW 65-79- D -0004
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
U. S. Army Engineering District.	Norfolk	July 1980
OUS FIONT STREET Norfolk, Virginia, 23510		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSI different	from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		Uppload field
		UNCLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
		SCHEDULE
		m Report)
		и кароп)
	<u></u>	
- SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
Copies are obtainable from Nation	nal Technical In	formation Service,
Copies are obtainable from Nation Springfield, Virginia 22151	nal Technical In	formation Service,
. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from Nation Springfield, Virginia 22151	al Technical In	formation Service,
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from Nation Springfield, Virginia 22151 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and Dama - VA 	al Technical In	formation Service,
Copies are obtainable from Nation Springfield, Virginia 22151 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and Dams - VA National Dam Safety Program Phase	nal Technical In dentity by block number	formation Service,
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from Nation Springfield, Virginia 22151 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and Dams - VA National Dam Safety Program Phase Dam Safety 	al Technical In dentity by block number;	formation Service,
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from Nation Springfield, Virginia 22151 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and Dams - VA National Dam Safety Program Phase Dam Safety Dam Inspection 	al Technical In i identify by block number; I	formation Service,
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from Nation Springfield, Virginia 22151 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and Dams - VA National Dam Safety Program Phase Dam Safety Dam Inspection 	nal Technical In i Identify by block number I	formation Service,
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from Nation Springfield, Virginia 22151 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and Dams - VA National Dam Safety Program Phase Dam Safety Dam Inspection ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde N necessary and 	al Technical In i identify by block number; I	formation Service,
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from Nation Springfield, Virginia 22151 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and Dams - VA National Dam Safety Program Phase Dam Safety Dam Inspection ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde N necessary and (See reverse side. 	nal Technical In i identify by block number; I identify by block number;	formation Service,
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from Nation Springfield, Virginia 22151 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and Dams - VA National Dam Safety Program Phase Dam Safety Dam Inspection ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide N necessary and (See reverse side. 	nal Technical In 1 identify by block number; 2 I 1 identify by block number;	formation Service,
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from Nation Springfield, Virginia 22151 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and Dams - VA National Dam Safety Program Phase Dam Safety Dam Inspection ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde N necessary and (See reverse side. 	nal Technical In 1 Identify by block number; 2 I 1 Identify by block number;	formation Service,
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from Nation Springfield, Virginia 22151 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and Dams - VA National Dam Safety Program Phase Dam Safety Dam Inspection ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde N necessary and (See reverse side. 	nal Technical In i identify by block number; I identify by block number;	formation Service,
Copies are obtainable from Nation Springfield, Virginia 22151 • KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and Dams - VA National Dam Safety Program Phase Dam Safety Dam Inspection • ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde N necessary and (See reverse side.	nal Technical In i identify by block number; I identify by block number)	formation Service,
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from Nation Springfield, Virginia 22151 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and Dams - VA National Dam Safety Program Phase Dam Safety Dam Inspection ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde N necessary and (See reverse side. 	nal Technical In i identify by block number; I identify by block number;	formation Service,

New Street on

「ないない」たいし

ķ

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(Then Date Entered)

20. Abstract

Pursuant to Public Law 92-367, Phase I Inspection Reports are prepared under guidance contained in the recommended guidelines for safety inspection of dams, published by the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general conditions of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

Based upon the field conditions at the time of the field inspection and all available engineering data, the Phase I report addresses the hydraulic, hydrologic, geologic, geotechnic, and structural aspects of the dam. The engineering techniques employed give a reasonably accurate assessment of the conditions of the dam. It should be realized that certain engineering aspects cannot be fully analyzed during a Phase I inspection. Assessment and remedial measures in the report include the requirements of additional indepth study when necessary.

Phase I reports include project information of the dam and appurtenances, all existing engineering data, operational procedures, hydraulic/hydrologic data of the watershed, dam stability, visual inspection report and an assessment including required remedial measures.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

POTOMAC RIVER BASIN

NAME OF DAM: SILVER LAKE DAM ROCKINGHAM COUNTY, VIRGINIA LOCATION: INVENTORY NUMBER: VA. NO. 16508

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM Accession For NTIS GRA&I Film, Lake Lun . Inventor DDC TAB Unannounced Number VA 200 tot Forman 1, Just Justification Marine Marine The End Distribution/ Evail Codes cpcit. Avail and/or Dist special 7 Find 1 Jal Hay England PREPARED FOR NORFOLK DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS 803 FRONT STREET (12)491

NORFOLK, VIRGINIA 23510 15734-10-79-2-6414

SCHNABEL ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES, P.C./ J. K. TIMMONS AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

BY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	•••••••••••••••••	i
Brief Assessme	ent of Dam	1
Overview Photo	05	5
Section 1:	PROJECT INFORMATION	6
Section 2:	EVGINEERING DATA	9
Section 3:	VISUAL INSPECTION 1	.0
Section 4:	OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES 1	.4
Section 5:	HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC DATA 1	.5
Section 6:	DAM STABILITY	8
Section 7:	ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES	!1

•

Appendices

I	-	Maps and Drawings
II	-	Photographs
111	-	Field Observations
777	-	Peferences

.

IV - References

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C., 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detected and only through continued care and maintenance can these conditions be prevented or corrected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential. Name of Dam: Silver Lake State: Virginia County: Rockingham USGS Quad Sheet: Bridgewater Coordinates: Lat 38^o 25.3' Long 78^o 56.4' Stream: Branch of Cooks Creek Date of Inspection: April 15, 1980

BRIEF ASSESSMENT OF DAM

Silver Lake Dam is a stone masonry/earth structure approximately 400 ft long and 15 ft high. The principal spillway consists of three corrugated metal pipe arches and a side discharge overflow weir. One arch pipe (50" x 31") is connected to the overflow weir which controls the pool levels. The remaining arch pipes assist in pool level control during above-normal flows. The dam is located on a branch of Cooks Creek about 0.2 miles north of Dayton, Virginia. The reservoir serves as a water supply for both the Town of Dayton and the City of Harrisonburg and is owned and maintained by the City of Harrisonburg, Virginia.

Based on criteria established by the Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers (OCE), the appropriate Spillway Design Flood (SDF) is the ½ PMF. The spillway will pass less than 10% of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) or 20% of the SDF. During the SDF, the dam will be overtopped to a depth of 1.2 ft maximum, at a maximum velocity of 4.8 fps, and will be overtopped for a period of 5 hours.

-1-

An evaluation of the stability condition could not be made since there is no design or construction data for this structure.

The visual inspection revealed several problems. The overflow weir is badly deteriorated and there is water flowing from an eroded area at the right abutment. Both of these conditions have the potential of damaging the dam if not corrected.

Due to the lack of information concerning the geometry and stability of the dam, the structural integrity of the spillway, and the possibility of a dam breach by overtopping during the SDF, the spillway is rated seriously inadequate and the dam is assessed "unsafe-non-emergency".

The classification of "unsafe" applied to a dam because of a seriously inadequate spillway is not meant to connote the same degree of emergency as would be associated with an "unsafe" classification applied for a structural deficiency. It does mean, however, that based on an initial screening, and preliminary computations, there appears to be a serious deficiency in spillway capacity so that if a severe storm were to occur, overtopping and failure of the dam would take place, significantly increasing the hazard to loss of life downstream from the dam.

It is therefore recommended that within two months of the date of notification of the Governor of the Commonwealth of Virginia, the Owner engage the services of a professional engineering consultant to perform the necessary studies and design work outlined below:

-2-

 A detailed evaluation of the spillway capacity and the effects overtopping has on the dam during the Spillway Design Flood appropriate for this dam.

 Perform a limited subsurface exploration and stability analysis in order to evaluate the stability of the dam and modify as necessary.

3) The eroded area located at the right abutment should be corrected in order to prevent continual or increased flow of water and associated erosion in the earthen portion of the dam.

4) The earthen portion of the dam section should be protected against erosion which would occur during periods of overtopping.

Within six months of the notification of the Governor, the consultant's analyses and recommendations should be completed and the Owner should have an agreement with the Commonwealth of Virginia for a reasonable time period in which all remedial measures will be complete. In the interim, an emergency operation and warning plan should be developed.

The following routine maintenance and observation functions should be initiated as part of an annual maintenance program:

1) Vegetation should be routinely controlled. The slopes and crest of the structure should be cut at least once a year.

2) All existing small trees or saplings cut to the ground.

3) A staff gage should be installed to monitor water levels.

-3-

Prepared by:

SCHNABEL ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES, P.C./ J. K. TIMMONS AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

Ray E. Martin, Ph.D., P.E. Commonwealth of Virginia

Submitted by:

Original signed by

James A. Walsh, P.E. Chief, Design Branch Approved:

Date:

Original signed by: Douglas L. Haller Douglas L. Haller

Colonel, Corps of Engineers District Engineer

Recommended by:

Original Signed by: Ronald G. Vann

JUL 2 2 1980

for Jack G. Starr, P.E., R.A. Chief, Engineering Division



Lake



Dam

OVERVIEW PHOTOGRAPHS

-5-

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM SILVER LAKE DAM VA. NO. 16508

SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General:

1.1.1 <u>Authority</u>: Public Law 92-367, 8 August 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of safety inspections of dams throughout the United States. The Norfolk District has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams in the Commonwealth of Virignia.

1.1.2 <u>Purpose of Inspection</u>: The purpose is to conduct a Phase I inspection according to the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety</u> <u>Inspection of Dams</u> (See Reference 1, Appendix IV). The main responsibility is to expeditiously identify those dams which may be a potential hazard to human life or property.

1.2 Project Description:

1.2.1 Dam and Appurtenances: Silver Lake Dam is a stone masonry/earth structure approximately 400 ft long and 15 ft high.* The top of the dam is 15 ft wide and is at elevation 1216 msl. The downstream side is vertical and the upstream face is estimated at about 1 horizontal to 1 vertical (1E:1V) (See Plate No. 2, Appendix I). It is unknown if the dam is keyed into the foundation or if a drainage system exists. There are no foundation drain outlets. The downstream slope is vegetated and the upstream slope includes a thin concrete cover for protection.

The principal spillway consists of three corrugated metal pipe arches at sizes of 19" x 11", 29" x 18" and 50" x 31" respectively, with each pipe running through the dam.

^{*} Height is measured from the top of the dam to the downstream toe at centerline of the stream.

The 50" x 31" arch pipe empties into a side discharge concrete weir spillway originally used to propel a waterwheel. The side discharge spillway crest elevation is at normal pool (elevation 1215 msl). The 29" x 18" arch pipe discharge is located near the center of the dam with an invert at elevation 1214.5 msl. The 19" x 11" arch pipe is near the right abutment with an invert at elevation 1215 msl (See Plate No. 2, Appendix I).

1.2.2 Location: Silver Lake Dam is located on a branch of Cooks Creek 0.2 miles north of Dayton, Virginia (See Plate No. 1, Appendix I). The impoundment is popularly known as Silver Lake.

1.2.3 <u>Size Classification</u>: The dam is classified as a "small" size structure because of the dam height.

1.2.4 <u>Hazard Classification</u>: Silver Lake Dam is located in a rural area, however, based upon the downstream proximity of several dwellings located within one-quarter mile downstream, the dam is assigned a "significant" hazard classification. The hazard classification used to categorize a dam is a function of location only and has nothing to do with its stability or probability of failure.

1.2.5 <u>Ownership</u>: The City of Harrisonburg, Virginia owns and operates the dam.

1.2.6 <u>Purpose</u>: Recreation and water supply for both the Town of Dayton and City of Harrisonburg.

1.2.7 <u>Design and Construction History</u>: There was no information available concerning the design and construction of this structure. The impoundment was purchased from the Grove family in 1946. According to Mr. Ruben F. Rhodes (local resident), the structure was constructed no later than the year 1900.

-7-

1.2.8 <u>Normal Operational Procedures</u>: The spillways are ungated, therefore, water rising above the crest of the concrete weir and the invert of the pipes is automatically discharged downstream. Normal pool is maintained at elevation 1215 by the crest of the concrete weir. A portion of the normal flow through the reservoir is taken in through the water supply intake in the center of the lake. According to Mr. Ed Loker of the Harrisonburg Water Department, the lake is spring fed with an estimated flow of 4 to 6 million gallons per day (mgd).

1.3 Pertinent Data:

1.3.1 Drainage Areas: The drainage area is 0.3 square miles.

1.3.2 <u>Discharge at Dam Site</u>: Maximum known flood at the dam was not recorded, and the dam has never been overtopped based on our discussions with Mr. Ed Loker.

Principal Spillway Discharges:

Pool Elevation at Crest of Dam (elevation 1216) 34 CFS 1.3.3 Dam and Reservoir Data: See Table 1.1 below:

Table 1.1 - DAM AND RESERVOIR DATA

Reservoir

			St	torage	
Item	Elevation fæt msl	Area Acres	Volume Acre Feet	Watershed Inches	length Miles
Crest of Dam Crest of Overflow Weir and 19" >	1216 V	12.5	65	3.72	.30
29" x 18" Arch Pipe Streambed at Down	1215 1 1214.5	10.5	51 46	3.19 2.83	.28 .25
stream Toe of Dam	1201		-	-	-

- 8-

SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design: There is no design data available.

2.2 <u>Construction</u>: No construction records are available. According to Mr. Ruben F. Rhodes (local resident), the dam was constructed prior to 1900. Mr. Rhodes stated that he believes the dam is constructed entirely with stone, however, he does not know what was used for mortar. Mr. Rhodes did not observe any phase of the construction.

2.3 Evalutation: There is no information to evaluate foundation conditions and embankment stability.

SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 <u>Findings</u>: At the time of inspection, the dam was in fair condition. Field observations are outlined in Appendix III.

3.1.1 <u>General</u>: An inspection was made on 15 April, 1980 and the weather was cloudy and windy, with a temperature of 40°F. The pool and tailwater levels at the time of inspection were 1215 and 1201 msl, respectively, which correspond to normal levels. Ground conditions were damp at the time of the inspection. No previous reports were available.

3.1.2 <u>Dam and Spillway</u>: The downstream slope consists of a 15 ft[±] high vertical wall constructed with hand-placed limestone blocks. Much of the downstream slope and right abutment was densely vegetated with honeysuckle vines. There was approximately one foot of freeboard at the time of the inspection, therefore, very little of the upstream slope was visible. The upstream slope appeared to be rather steep (1H:1V) and was blanketed in part with concrete above and below pool level. to depth of visibility. No seepage was observed through the stone blocks or along the toe of the downstream slope. It could not be determined whether the dam is constructed entirely of stone. A field sketch of the dam is provided as Sheet 1 of Appendix III.

-10-

A breach or eroded area was located along the right side of the reservoir, as illustrated on Sheet 2, Appendix III. The erosion appeared to be the result of removal of several linestone blocks. Water was passing through this area flowing at an estimated rate of 50 gpm. The adjacent corrugated metal pipe, which probably served as a spillway, was above pool level and not passing water.

No bedrock was observed at the site. The right abutment is thickly vegetated, but does include several surface exposures of silty clay (CL) material. The mill structure occupies the left abutment. The dam ties into both abutments and no erosion was observed except as mentioned above.

The corrugated metal pipe (cmp) arches showed no signs of deterioration. The concrete weir at the waterwheel structure is severely spalled and contains cracked concrete. This structure controls the pool level which is subject to a constant discharge from the reservoir.

3.1.3 <u>Reservoir Area</u>: The reservoir area was free of debris at the time of inspection and the surrounding area is farm and pasture land. The reservoir is located in a broad valley having very gentle side slopes (approximately 10H:1V to 20H:1V). No sediment buildup was observed and the water was extremely clear. The reservoir is fed by a spring located below pool level near the northwest corner of the reservoir. The spring flow reported by Mr. Ed Loker was a rate of 4 to 6 million gallons per day.

-11-

3.1.4 <u>Downstream Area</u>: The downstream channel consists of a 15 ft wide by 2 ft deep channel located in a shallow valley. The valley side slopes lange from 10H:1V to 20H:1V and consist of open pasture meandering through the Town of Dayton. Immediately downstream there are two commercial facilties less than 10 ft above the streambed and approximately one-quarter mile downstream there is one home about 8 feet above the streambed.

3.1.5 <u>Instrumentation</u>: No instrumentation (monuments, observation wells, piezometers, etc.) was observed for the structure.

3.2 Evaluation:

3.2.1 <u>Dam and Spillway</u>: Overall, the dam was in fair condition at the time of inspection. Based upon the appearance of the dam and amount of vegetation growing on the embankment at the time of inspection, it would appear that only a limited maintenance program exists for this structure. The area surrounding the reservoir appears to be well maintained. According to Mr. Ed Loker a routine maintenance program does not exist, however, maintenance is performed as required. The structure and right abutment area should be mowed and brush removed at least once a year, but more preferably twice a year. Small trees should not be allowed to grow on the embankment and should be cut to the ground during maintenance. The eroded area along the right side of the reservoir should be corrected. Although it did not hinder the performance of the dam at the time of the inspection, increased flow and erosion could be detrimental.

The outlet pipes are in good condition. The concrete weir is badly deteriorated and is in need of repair. The structural integrity of the weir structure could precipitate instability of the dam.

A staff gage should be installed.

3.2.2 <u>Downstream Area</u>: There is one commercial facility adjacent to the dam, one commercial facility several hundred feet downstream, and a dwelling one-quarter mile downstream which could be jeopardized during a dam failure.

SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 <u>Procedures</u>: Silver Lake is used for recreational purposes and water supply. The normal pool elevation is maintained by an overflow weir acting as the principal spillway. During periods of normal flows (4 mgd), a portion of the water flow is absorbed by the water supply intake. Water supply is drawn off through a supply line located in the middle of the lake. During periods of above-normal flows, the pool elevation rises above the inverts of the overflow pipes increasing the flow through the dam. Large increases in flows which cannot be absorbed by storage would pass over the dam when the pool rises above elevation 1216 msl.

4.2 <u>Maintenance of Dam and Appurtenances</u>: Maintenance is the responsibility of the City of Harrisonburg, Virginia. Maintenance consists of periodic inspection, debris removal and repair. A routine maintenance program does not exist.

4.3 Warning System: No warning system exists.

4.4 <u>Evaluation</u>: The dam and appurtenances are in fair operating condition. Maintenance of the dam is inadequate. A routine maintenance program should be established and complete records of maintenance and inspections should be maintained for future reference. An emergency operation and warning plan should be developed. It is recommended that a formal emergency procedure be prepared and furnished to all operating personnel. This should include:

a) How to operate the dam during an emergency.

b) Who to notify, including public officials, in case evacuation from the downstream area is necessary.

-14-

SECTION 5 - HYDRAULICS/HYDROLOGIC DATA

5.1 Design: No hydraulic/hydrologic data is available.

5.2 Hydrologic Records: There are no records available.

5.3 Flood Experience: The dam has never been observed in an overtopped condition.

5.4 <u>Flood Potential</u>: In accordance with the established guidelines, the spillway design flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (flood discharges that may be expected from the most severe combination of critical meteorologic and hydrologic conditions that are reasonably possible), or fractions thereof. The Probable Maximum Flood (PMF), $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF and 100 year flood hydrographs were developed by the SCS method (Reference 4, Appendix IV). Precipitation amounts for the flood hydrographs of the PMF, $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF and 100 year flood are taken from the U. S. Weather Bureau Information (Reference 5 and 6, Appendix IV). Appropriate adjustments for basin size and shape were accounted for. These hydrographs were routed through the reservoir to determine maximum pool elevation.

5.5 <u>Reservoir Regulation</u>: For routing purposes, the pool at the beginning of flood was assumed to be at elevation 1215 msl. Reservoir stage-storage data and stage-discharge data were determined from field measurements and USGS quadrangle sheets. Floods were routed through the reservoir using the outlet pipes discharge up to a pool storage elevation of 1216 msl and combined outlet pipes and non-overflow section discharge for pool elevations above 1216 msl.

-15-

5.6 Overtopping Potential: The predicted rise of the reservoir pool and other pertinent data were determined by routing the flood hydrographs through the reservoir as previously described. The results for the flood conditions (100 year flood, ½ PMF and PMF) are shown in the following Table 5.1.

TABLE 5.1 - RESERVOIR PERFORMANCE

			Hydrograj	ph
	Normal Flow	100 Year	y PMF	PMF
Peak Flow, CFS				
Inflow Outflow	6 3	478 462	1630 1490	3260 3014
Maximum Pool Elevati Ft, msl	on ~	1216.6	1217.2	1218
Non-Overflow Section (Elev 1216 msl) Depth of Flow, ft Duration, Hours Velocity, fps (a)		0.6 4.5 3.2	1.2 5.0 4.8	2.0 5.4 6.0
Tailwater Elevation Ft, msl	1201	1206.5	1210	1215

(a) Critical velocity at control section

5.7 <u>Reservoir Emptying Potential</u>: There is no way to lower the reservoir below the outflow weir elevation of 1215 msl. 5.8 Evaluation: U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers guidelines indicate the appropriate Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for a small size, significant hazard dam is the 100 year flood to the ½ PMF. Because of the risk involved, the ½ PMF has been selected as the SDF. The spillway will pass less than 10 percent of the PMF (20% of the SDF). The SDF will overtop the dam a maximum of 1.2 ft , and remain above the dam for 5 hours with a critical velocity of 4.8 fps.

Hydrologic data used in the evaluation pertains to present day conditions with no consideration given to future development.

SECTION 6 - DAM STABILITY

6.1 Foundation and Abutments: The dam is located in the Valley and Ridge Physiographic Province of Virginia. The impoundment and structure are underlain by the Beekmantown Formation of lower Ordovician Age. This formation is up to 2000 ft thick and consists basically of thick-bedded gray dolomite with some blue limestone interbeds and considerable chert. The impoundment is located on the west limb of the Harrisonburg syncline. Bedrock in the immediate area strikes to the northeast and dips from 15 to 40 degrees to the southeast. No bedrock or faults were observed at the site, and it is not known whether a cutoff trench exists beneath the dam.

Subsurface data is not available for the structure. Based upon brief examination of the surrounding area, it would appear that the dam rests upon a thin stratum of alluvial or stream deposited soils consisting of assorted mixtures of sand, silt and clay materials. Natural permeabilities ranging from low to medium are likely. The underlying residual soils, which are derived from the in-place weathering of limestone and dolomite bedrock, probably consist of silty clays and clays possessing low to very low permeabilities. It is not known whether the dam is keyed into the foundation.

Gradual consolidation of underlying soils would be expected during application of fill materials. The underlying soils probably had essentially fully consolidated under the applied load not long after completion of construction. Based upon the performance history of this dam, a stable foundation is assumed.

-18-

6.2 Embankment:

6.2.1 <u>Materials</u>: A portion of the upstream slope is blanketed with a thin concrete cover. The downstream slope includes a vertical, stone or rubble facing which is about three ft thick at the top. The only exposed soil portion of the dam is the crest and the top 1 ft^{\pm} of the upstream slope. The exposed soil consists of red to brown silty clay to clay materials with variable amounts of sand or rock fragments. These materials probably possess low to very low compacted permeabilities and would classify as CL to CH in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System. It is not known if the structure consists of a soil embankment with a stone face or whether it is essentially a stone gravity structure with some soil on the upstream slope.

6.2.2 <u>Subdrainage and Seepage</u>: There is no known drainage system. No toe drain outlets were observed and no seepage was observed along the downstream toe. The presence of thick vegetation and scattered debris made visual inspection difficult.

6.2.3 <u>Stability</u>: There are no stability calculations for this structure. The dam is 15 ft high and has a crest width of approximately 15 ft. The upstream slope is approximately 1 horizontal to 1 vertical while the downstream slope is vertical. An accurate check on the stability of this structure cannot be made since there is no design or construction data. However, the performance record of the structure for the past 70 years[±] would indicate a stable structure up to the time of the inspection.

-19-

6.2.4 <u>Seismic Stability</u>: The dam is located in Seismic Zone 2. Therefore, according to the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection</u> of Dams, the dam is considered to have no hazard from earthquakes provided static stability conditions are satisfactory and conventional safety margins exist.

6.3 Evaluation: An accurate check on the stability of this structure cannot be made since there is no design and construction data. The dam cannot be subjected to sudden drawdown conditions since the reservoir cannot be drained. It could not be determined from the visual inspection whether the structure is a soil embankment with a stone face, or a stone (masonry) gravity dam with soil blanket on the upstream slope. Furthermore, foundation conditions are not known. Detrimental effects of overtopping cannot be assessed since the geometry and stability of the dam could not be evaluated. Therefore, it is recommended that the Owner retain the services of a Professional Engineer with expertise in Geotechnical Engineering to perform a limited subsurface investigation and a stability analysis in order to evaluate the safety of the dam. Based upon the performance history of the dam, a detailed analysis would not seem necessary. Since no undue settlement, cracking, or sloughing was noted at the time of inspection, it appears that the embankment is adequate for maximum control storage with water at elevation 1215 msl.

-20-

SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 <u>Dam Assessment</u>: The Silver Lake Dam at the time of inspection appeared to be in fair condition. The appropriate SDF for this dam is the ½ PMF. The spillway will pass less than 10 percent of the PMF (20 percent of the SDF) without overtopping, and the dam will be overtopped by 1.2 ft during the SDF. The possibility of a dam breach does exist if overtopping of the dam further damages the concrete weir. The potential for a breach caused by the weir condition results in an increased hazard to the downstream dwelling. There are no design or construction records available for this structure, therefore, an accurate check on its stability cannot be made. Only a limited maintenance program for the structure exists and maintenance is considered inadequate.

Due to the lack of information concerning the geometry and stability of the dam, the structural integrity of the spillway, and the possibility of a dam breach by overtopping during the SDF, the spillway is rated seriously inadequate and the dam is assessed "unsafe-non-emergency".

The classification of "unsafe" applied to a dam because of a seriously inadequate spillway is not meant to connote the same degree of emergency as would be associated with an "unsafe" classification applied for a structural deficiency. It does mean, however, that based on an initial screening, and preliminary computations, there appears to be a serious deficiency in spillway capacity so that if a severe storm were to occur, overtopping and failure of the dam would take place, significantly increasing the hazard to loss of life downstream from the dam.

-21-

7.2 <u>Recommended Remedial Measures</u>: It is recommended that within two months of the date of notification of the Governor of the Commonwealth of Virginia, that the Owner engage the services of a professional engineering consultant to complete the following:

 A detailed evaluation of the spillway capacity and the effects overtopping has on the dam during the Spillway Design Flood appropriate for this dam.

2) A limited subsurface investigation and stability analysis should be performed in order to evaluate the stability of the dam and modify as necessary.

3) The concrete weir adjacent to the water wheel should be evaluated for structural soundness and repaired if necessary.

4) The eroded area of the right abutment should be corrected in order to prevent continued or increased flow and associated erosion.

Within six months of the notification of the Governor, the consultant's report of appropriate remedial mitigating measures should have been completed and the Owner should have an agreement with the Commonwealth of Virginia for a reasonable time frame in which all remedial work will be complete.

Until corrective measures are completed, the dam should be checked during periods of heavy runoff. If dam overtopping is imminent, warning should be issued to the downstream inhabitants.

In the interim, an emergency operation and warning plan should be promptly developed. It is recommended that a formal emergency procedure be prepared, prominently displayed, and furnished to all operating personnel. This should include: 1) How to operate the dam during an emergency.

2) Who to notify, including public officials, in case evacuation from the downstream area is necessary.

7.3 Required Maintenance and Observation:

7.3.1 The grass and weeds on the embankment should be cut at least once and preferably twice a year. It is recommended that a routine maintenance program be established in the early summer and fall.

7.3.2 All small trees and saplings present on the embankment should be cut to ground level yearly during maintenance operations.

7.3.3 <u>A staff gage</u> should be installed to monitor water levels.

APPENDIX I

MAPS AND DRAWINGS





PLAN

SILVER LAKE DAM

FIELD SKETCH PLATE NO. 2



the state of the second second

TYPICAL SECTION SILVER LAKE DAM FIELD SKETCH

PLATE NO. 3

APPENDIX II

PHOTOGRAPHS



Downstream Channel (Note Dwelling on Stream Bank) Photograph No. 1



Intake Structure and Upstream Face of Dam

Photograph No. 2



Outlet Pipe (18" X 29") and Plunge Pool

Photograph No. 3



Outlet Weir and Abandoned Water Wheel (Note Structural Cracks in Weir)

Photograph No. 4



ŧ.

Downstream Face of Dam to the Right of Stone Masonary Wall

Photograph No. 5

APPENDIX III

ĩ

A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE

FIELD OBSERVATIONS

	Lat. 380-25.3' Coordinators Long. 780-56.4'		pection 1201 msl		State Water Control Board Hugh M. Gildea, P.E.		·	
Check List Visual Inspection Phase I	tame Dam Silver Lake County Rockingham State Virginia	ate(s) Inspection 4/15/80 Weather Cloudy, windy Temperature 400 F	ool Elevation at Time of Inspection 1215 msl Tailwater at Time of Insp	ispection Personnel:	chnabel Engineering Associates, P.C. J. K. Timmons and Associates, Inc. Raymond A. DeStephen, P.E. Robert G. Roop, P.E. Stephen G. Werner (recorder) Donald Balzer (recorder)	ity of Harrisonburg, Virginia Mr. Ed Loker, Water Department		
						J		

I-III

III-2

.....

t

JUNCTION OF BRANKER No rock is exposed in either abument. The mill structure occupies the laft abument about about the several surface exposures of silvy clay (cl) meterials. The dam ties into both arcesard no erositon was observed. ANT NOTICLARIE SEEPAGE No seepage was observed through the stone facing on the domstream slope. STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER None observed. DAMINS None observed.	IISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS
ANT NOTICEABLE SEFACE No seepage was observed through the stone facing on the downstream slope. SIMF GAGE AND RECONDER None observed. DRAIN None observed.	JUNCTION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT, SPILLMAY AND DAM	No rock is exposed in either abutment. The mill structure occupies the left abutment. The right abutment is thickly vegetated, but does include several surface exposures of silty clay (CL) materials. The dam ties into both areasand no erosion was observed.
STAFF GACE AND RECONDER None observed. DRAINS None observed.	ANT NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE	No seepage was observed through the stone facing on the downstream slope.
STAFF CACE AND RECONDER None observed. DRAINS None observed.	·	
DRAINS None observed.	STAFF CAGE AND RECORDER	None observed.
DRAINS None observed.		
	DRAINS	None observed.

III-3

	REWARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS	I	I	I		
EMERCENCY SPILLINGY	OBSERVATIONS	None	Nore	Mill race structure is deteriorating from weathering of concrete	None	III-4
	VISUAL EXMINATION OF	CONCRETE WEIR	APPROACH CHANNEL	DISCHARGE CHANNEL	BRIDGE AND PIERS	

NUMUE STRUCTURE 19" x 11" CVP arch pipe. Severe seepage and erosion to the right of the pipe Should repair seepage area before erosion is too severe. UTLET STRUCTURE Three corrugated metal arches; 50" x 31", 29" x 18" Neir needs repair before erosion is too severe. UTLET STRUCTURE Three corrugated metal arches; 50" x 31", 29" x 18" Neir needs repair before erosion is too severe. UTLET GINNEL Three plunge pool in good condition; No effect on drain performance seme debrus	NIAKE STRUCTURE 19" x 11" CMP arch pipe. Severe seepage and Should repair seepage area erosion to the right of the pipe before erosion is too severe.	RACKING AND SPALLING OF ONCREIE SURFACES IN UTLET CONDUIT UTLET CONDUIT	TSUAL EXAMINATION OF OBSERVATIONS REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS	Should repair seepage area before erosion is too severe. Weir needs repair No effect on drain performance	Corrugated metal pipe arches Corrugated metal pipe arches 19" x 11" CVP arch pipe. Severe seepage and erosion to the right of the pipe arcsion to the right of the pipe Three corrugated metal arches; 50" x 31", 29" x 18" and 19" x 11". Discharge weir at waterwheel con- tains severly spalled concrete with some cracking Riprap plunge pool in good condition; some debris	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF CRACKING AND SPALLING OF CONCRETE SURFACES IN OUTLET CONDUIT INDAVE STRUCTURE INDAVE STRUCTURE OUTLET STRUCTURE
	UTLET STRUCTURE Three corrugated metal arches; 50" x 31", 29" x 18" Weir needs repair UTLET STRUCTURE Three corrugated metal arches; 50" x 31", 29" x 18" Weir needs repair and 19 " x 11". Discharge weir at waterwheël con- tains severly spalled concrete with some cracking Weir needs repair VITLET CHANNEL Riprap plunge pool in good condition; No effect on drain performance	NIDAGE STRUCTURE 19" x 11" CPF arch pipe. Severe seepage and erosion to the right of the pipe Should repair seepage area before erosion is too severe. UTLER STRUCTURE Three corrugated metal arches; 50" x 31", 29" x 18" and 19" x 11". Discharge weir at waterwheil con- tains severly spalled concrete with some cracking Neir needs repair UTLER CINNEL Riprap plunge pool in good condition; No effect on drain performance	RACCUME AND SPALING OF DECRETS SURFACES IN CONTERT CONDUTT Corrupted metal pipe arches Good condition DECRETS SURFACES IN UTLET CONDUTT Decrets Surface Should repair seepage and before errosion is too severe. Good condition NUME STRUCTURE 19" x 11" CFP arch pipe. Severe seepage and errosion to the right of the pipe errosion to the right of the pipe error of the right of the pipe error of the right of the pipe error of the right of the right of the pipe error of the			

RESERVOIR	TON OF OBSERVATIONS REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS	ery gentle grassed slopes surround the reservoir and the surrounding area is farm and pastureland. The reservoir was free of debris.	one. The reservoir is spring fed, therefore very little sediment enters the reservoir. The ater is very clear. According to Mr. Ed Loker the spring flows at a rate of 4 to 6 million pallons per day.		
	VISUAL EXAMINATI	SILOPES Th	SEDIMENTATION No ya		

ŗ

VISIML EXMINATION OF OBSERVATIONS ERMANS 01 CONDITION N = .035; rigrap and grass. Low road CONDition CONSTRUCTIONS, DEFINITIONS, DEFINITIONS, DEFINITIONS, CONSTRUCTIONS, CONSTRUCTION, CON	REMARKS OR RECO: AENDATIONS Condition is sufficient as not to hinder dam disch	N = .035; riprap and grass. Low road	
CONDITION N = .035; riprap and grass. Low road Condition (055TRUCTIONS, DEBALS, ETC.) 055TRUCTIONS N = .035; riprap and grass. Low road Condition DEBALS, ETC.) DEBALS, ETC.) as not to as not to DEBALS, ETC.) Centle to moderate, grassed slopes bound the downstream channel. The downstream channel. The downstream channel. The downstream channel consists of a 15 ft wide by 2 ft deep channel located in a shallow valuey. The willey side slopes range from IDELIV to ADMAN. Centle to moderate, grassed slopes bound the downstream channel. The downstream channel. The downstream channel consists of open pasture extending through the flow of Dayton. Condition Storestations through the flown of Dayton. NTROXIMATE No. Two commercial structures - one at dam and one immediately downstream. One dwelling in flooting through the flown of Bayton. Condition OF NOGS AND Or immediately downstream. One dwelling in flooting in flooting is about 8 ft above the streambed. The farthest dwelling is about 8 ft above the streambed.	Condition is sufficient as not to hinder dam disch	N = .035; riprap and grass. Low road	/ISUAL EXAMINATION O
SLOPES Gentle to moderate, grassed slopes bound the downstream channel. The downstream channel consists of a 15 ft wide by 2 ft deep channel located in a shallow valley. The valley side slopes range from 10%:1V to 20%:1V and consist of open pasture extending through the fbom of payton. NPPROXIMATE NO. Two commercial structures - one at dam and populATION Thowes AND Two commercial structures - one at dam and no simediately downstream. The two structures inmediately downstream are 10 ft [±] above the streambed. The farthest dwelling is about 8 ft above the streambed.			CONDITION (OESTRUCTIONS, DEBRIS, ETC.)
APPROXIMATE NO. OF NOWES: AND OF NOWES: AND OPPULATION The immediately downstream. One dwelling in floodplain, 1/4 mile downstream. The two structures immediately downstream are 10 ft [±] above the streambed. The farthest dwelling is about 8 ft above the streambed.	n channel. t deep slopes re	Gentle to moderate, grassed slopes bound the downstrea The downstream channel consists of a 15 ft wide by 2 f channel located in a shallow valley. The valley side range from 10H:1V to 20H:1V and consist of open pastu extending through the Town of Dayton.	LOPES
	1	Two commercial structures - one at dam and one immediately downstream. One dwelling in floodplain, 1/4 mile downstream. The two structures immediately downstream are 10 ft [±] above the streambed. The farthest dwelling is about 8 ft above the streambe	NPROXIMATE NO. NF HOMES AND OPULATION

المعتارين ويسترد والمعالية المتحد والمحاصر والمحاصر والمحاصر والمحاصر والمحاصر والمحاصر والمحاصر والمحاصر والمح

ł





APPENDIX IV - REFERENCES

- Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, Department of Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, 46 pp.
- 2. <u>Design of Small Dams</u>, U. S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, 1974, 816 pp.
- Geology and Mineral Resources of Rockingham County, Bulletin 76, William B. Brent, Virginia, Division of Mineral Resources, 1960, 174 pp.
- Section 4, Hydrology, Part 1 Watershed Planning,
 SCS National Engineering Handbook, Soil Conservation Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture, 1964.
- 5. <u>Hydrometerological Report No. 33</u>, U. S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau, U. S. Department of Army, Corps of Engineers, Washington, D.C., April 1956.
- 6. <u>Technical Paper No. 40</u>, U. S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau, Washington, D. C., May 1961.

