

Name Of Dam: LONER BEAVER POND DAM Location: CHESTERFIELD COUNTY, VIRGINIA **Inventory Number:** VA. NO. 04106

0

2

COPY

JUC FILE

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

JAMES RIVER BASTN





PREPARED FOR

NORFOLK DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS 803 FRONT STREET NORFOLK, VIRGINIA 23510



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered). READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM **REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE** 1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER A0912 VA 04106 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 4. TITLE (and Subtitie) Phase I Inspection Report **Final** National Dam Safety Program Lower Beaver Pond Dam 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER Chesterfield County, Virginia 7. AUTHOR(+) 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+) Schnabel Engineering Associates, P.C./ 6 DACW 65-79-0-0004 J. K. Timmons and Associates, Inc. 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 2 12. REPORT DATE 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME A U. S. Army Engineering District, Norfolk September 1980 803 Front Street 13. NUMBER OF PAGES Norfolk, Virginia 23510 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I different from Controlling Office) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) **A**D Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 6 1<u>9</u>80 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical Information Service. Springfield, Virginia 22151 The KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Dams - VA National Dam Safety Program Phase I and the state of the state of PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DDC CONTAINED A Dam Safety SIGNIFICANT NUMPER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT Dam Inspection SEPRODUCE DEGISEY. 20. ABSTRACT (Canthus an reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (See reverse side) 394014 DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF T NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE Unclassified X. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Entered)

maker of the second second

20. Abstract

Pursuant to Public Law 92-367, Phase I Inspection Reports are prepared under guidance contained in the recommended guidelines for safety inspection of dams, published by the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general conditions of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

Based upon the field conditions at the time of the field inspection and all available engineering data, the Phase I report addresses the hydraulic, hydrologic, geologic, geotechnic, and structural aspects of the dam. The engineering techniques employed give a reasonably accurate assessment of the conditions of the dam. It should be realized that certain engineering aspects cannot be fully analyzed during a Phase I inspection. Assessment and remedial measures in the report include the requirements of additional indepth study when necessary.

Phase I reports include project information of the dam and appurtenances, all existing engineering data, operational procedures, hydraulic/hydrologic data of the watershed, dam stability, visual inspection report and an assessment including required remedial measures.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

いたのであるというであると

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

.

JAMES RIVER BASIN

NAME OF DAM: LOWER BEAVER POND DAM LOCATION: CHESTERFIELD COUNTY, VIRGINIA INVENTORY NUMBER: VA. NO. 04106 ep 84 9) Find kept PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM Lower Beaver Port Lanj (Inventory Number VADY146), James River Basin, Chectorical Courty Virginia. Have I Inspection Report. NORFOLK DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS 10 Ray E. Martin 803 FRONT STREET NORFOLK, VIRGINIA 23510 Same A. Malch BY SCHNABEL ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES, P.C./ J. K. TIMMONS AND ASSOCIATES, INC. 157 DACW67-79-D-0004 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved 394014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Brief Assess	ment of Dam
Overview Phot	ographs
Section 1:	PROJECT INFORMATION
Section 2:	ENGINEERING DATA
Section 3:	VISUAL INSPECTION 11
Section 4:	OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES
Section 5:	HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC DATA 15
Section 6:	DAM STABILITY
Section 7:	ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

t

Appendices

and the second se

I	-	Maps and Drawings
II	-	Photographs
III	-	Field Observations
IV	-	Test Boring Logs
v		Laboratory Test Data
VI	_	References

Acces	sion For		
NTIS	GRA&I		
DIIC	T/B	Π	
Unapr	nounced		
Justi	fication.		
P			
Dieta	ibut ion /		
101001			
A7a:	Latiff.	Cedes	
	Ang 1, 81	¢,∕or	
Dist	Cpecia	1	
~	23		
17	C		

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C., 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detected and only through continued care and maintenance can these conditions be prevented or corrected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

i

PHASE I REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

BRIEF ASSESSMENT OF DAM

Name of Dam: State: Location: USGS QUAD Sheet: Coordinates: Date of Inspection: Lower Beaver Pond Dam Virginia Chesterfield County Chesterfield Lat 37° 27.2' Long 77° 34.1' May 29, 1980

Lower Beaver Pond Dam is a zoned earthfill structure about 400 ft long and 23 ft high. The principal spillway consists of a rectangular concrete inlet and an outlet pipe which extends through the structure. The dam is a small size structure and is assigned a "significant" hazard classification. The dam is located on Beaver Pond Creek approximately three miles southwest of Richmond, Virginia. The lake is used for recreation and is owned and maintained by Mr. Wallace H. LaPrade.

Based on the criteria established by the Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers (OCE), the appropriate Spillway Design Flood (SDF) is the 100 year flood. The spillway will pass 15 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) or 150 percent of the SDF. The spillway is rated adequate.

The visual inspection did not reveal any problems which would require immediate attention. An emergency operation and warning plan should be developed.

-1-

The following routine maintenance and observation functions should be initiated as part of an annual maintenance program:

1) The eroded area along the left downstream slope should be backfilled with compacted soil and seeded in order to prevent further erosion.

2) The plunge pool should be repaired by removing sediment buildup and protecting against further sloughing of the channel.

3) All trees present on the embankment should be cut to ground level yearly during maintenance operations.

4) Debris should be removed from the overflow intake as it accumulates.

-2-

5) Animal burrowing observed above the outlet structure should be prevented. Existing holes should be backfilled with compacted soil.

6) A staff gage should be installed to monitor water levels.

Prepared by:

SCHNABEL ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES, P.C./ J. K. TIMMONS AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

Ray E. Martin, Ph.D., P.E. Commonwealth of Virginia

Submitted by:

Original signed by, JAMES A. WALSH

James A. Walsh, P.E. Chief, Design Branch

Recommended by:

Original signed by JACK G. STARR

Jack G. Starr, P.E., R.A. Chief, Engineering Division Approved:

Date:

Original signed by: Douglas L. Haller

Douglas L. Haller Colonel, Corps of Engineers District Engineer

SEP 2 5 1980

ŧ



Reservoir



Top of Dam Overview Photographs

· texts s

SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General:

1.1.1 <u>Authority</u>: Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of safety inspection of dams throughout the United States. The Norfolk District has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

1.1.2 <u>Purpose of Inspection</u>: The purpose is to conduct a Phase I inspection according to the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety</u> <u>Inspection of Dams</u> (Reference 1, Appendix VI). The main responsibility is to expeditiously identify those dams which may be a potential hazard to human life or property.

1.2 Project Description:

1.2.1 <u>Dam and Appurtenances</u>: Lower Beaver Pond Dam is a zoned earthfill structure approximately 400 ft long and 23 ft high at the low point on the dam⁴. The crest of the dam is 40 ft wide, and side slopes are approximately 3 horizontal to 1 vertical (3:1) on the upstream slope and 2.5 horizontal to 1 vertical (2.5:1) on the downstream slope. The crest of the dam at the low point is at elevation 178 msl.

^{*}Height is measured from the top of the dam to the downstream toe at the centerline of the stream.

The dam is keyed into the foundation, however, there is no internal drainage system. Existing vegetation on the embankment slopes provide adequate slope protection.

The top of the dam was designed to conform to a roadway section and is constructed on a vertical curve (Plate No. 4, Appendix I).

The principal spillway consists of a 15 ft x 10 ft reinforced concrete overflow wier box. The box is connected to a 72 inch diameter reinforced concrete outlet pipe which runs through the dam. The crest of the overflow wier is at an elevation of 168 msl which established the normal pool elevation. A 24 inch diameter sluice gate in the wier box at an invert elevation of 158 msl is used to lower the pool level. The outlet pipe has a length of 102 ft and an invert elevation at the outlet structure of 155 msl (Plate No. 3, Appendix I).

1.2.2 <u>Location</u>: Lower Beaver Pond Dam is located on Beaver Pond Creek approximately three miles southwest of Richmond, Virginia. (Plate No. 1, Appendix I).

1.2.3 <u>Size Classification</u>: The dam is classified as a "Small" size structure because of the lake maximum storage potential.

-6-

1.2.4 <u>Hazard Classification</u>: The dam is located in a suburban area, and based upon the proximity of several inhabited dwellings located one-half mile downstream, the dam is assigned a "significant" hazard classification. The hazard classification used to categorize a dam is a function of location only, and has nothing to do with its stability or probability of failure. 1.2.5 <u>Ownership</u>: The dam is owned by Mr. Wallace H. LaPrade.1.2.6 Purpose: Recreation.

1.2.7 <u>Design and Construction History</u>: The dam was designed and constructed under the supervision of LaPrade Brothers, Inc., Civil Engineers & Surveyors of Richmond, Virginia. The structure was constructed by Shoosmith Brothers and completed in 1969.

1.2.8 <u>Normal Operational Procedures</u>: The principal spillway is ungated; therefore, water rising above the crest of the overflow drop inlet is automatically discharged downstream. Normal pool is maintained at about elevation 168.2 msl, which is slightly above the crest of the inlet.

1.3 Pertinent Data:

1.3.1 Drainage Area: The drainage area is 1.96 square miles, of which 1.79 square miles is controlled by the upper dam.

1.3.2 <u>Discharge at Dam Site</u>: According to Mr. Wallace LaPrade, the maximum known flood at the dam site occurred in October 1979 with a maximum pool elevation of 172 msl, which corresponds to a 540 CFS discharge.

Principal Spillway Discharge:

SALAR STREET, S

Pool Elevation at Crest of Dam (elev 178 msl) 645 CFS 1.3.3 Dam and Reservoir Data: See Table 1.1, below:

-7-

TABLE 1.1 - DAM AND RESERVOIR DATA

		Reserv	voir			
			St	orage		
Item	Elevation feet msl	Area Acres	Velume Acre Feet	Watershed [*] Inches	Length Miles	
Crest of Dam	178	32.5	266	[.] 2.54	.4	
Principal Spillway Crest	168	20.3	61	. 58	.4	
Streambed at Down- stream Toe of Dam	155	-	-	-	-	

* Total drainage including upper impoundment

-8-

ŧ

1.20

SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 <u>Design</u>: The dam was designed and constructed under the direction of LaPrade Brothers, Inc., of Richmond, Virginia. Design drawings are available at the office of LaPrade Brothers, Inc., Three West Cary Street, Richmond, Virginia, 23220.

A subsurface investigation was conducted at the site by Froehling and Robertson, Inc., during the initial design stages. The investigation consisted of drilling 24 test borings, 12 of which included rock coring. The test boring location plan and logs for those test borings drilled along the centerline of the dam (Borings No. 7 thru 12) are included in Appendix IV. Boring logs are available at LaPrade Brothers' office.

The dam is a zoned, compacted earthfill embankment. A section through the dam is provided on Plate No. 3, Appendix I. A 225 ft^{\pm} long and 20 ft wide core extending approximately 4 ft below the ground surface was constructed. Grainsize requirements for the core material (Plate No. 3, Appendix I) indicate that this material was to consist of mixtures of fine sand, silt and clay. According to Mr. Wallace LaPrade, the core material consisted of clay soils excavated from nearby roads in the surrounding housing development. Although specifications for the remaining embankment fill materials were not provided, laboratory test data (Appendix V) for samples from Borings No. 19 thru 24 indicate that soils in the reservoir area were predominately non-plastic coarse-grained soils. Mr. LaPrade

-9-

similar to the clay core except that this fill probably included more granular materials. All fill placed was compacted with a sheepsfoot roller and density tests performed to determine the percent compaction. The upstream and downstream slopes were designed at 2.5H:IV and 2H:IV, respectively. However, Mr. LaPrade stated that the slopes were actually constructed not as steep in attempt to facilitate their maintenance.

A review of test boring data indicates the dam is founded on overburden and includes a cutoff trench which extends into silty clay, sand, and gravel soils near Borings No. 9 and 10, but otherwise into residual soils and decomposed bedrock. Details of the cutoff are provided on Plate No. 3 of Appendix I. An internal drainage system was not included in design.

The principal spillway was designed as a drop inlet structure consisting of a reinforced concrete overflow weir and a 72 inch diameter reinforced concrete outlet pipe. A 24 inch diameter drain gate was installed on the inlet structure for purposes of lowering the pool. Details are shown on Plate No. 3, Appendix I. Four (4) anti-seep rings or collars were included in order to prevent piping of soil along the 72 inch pipe.

2.2 <u>Construction</u>: Construction records were not available for this structure.

2.3 Evaluation: Design drawings are representative of the structure and hydrologic and hydraulic calculations are sufficient. There is sufficient information to evaluate the foundation conditions but not the embankment stability.

-10-

WEATHER ALANA

SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 <u>Findings</u>: At the time of inspection, the dam was in good condition. Field observations are outlined in Appendix III.

3.1.1 <u>General</u>: An inspection was made on May 29, 1980 and the weather was fair with a temperature of 75°F. The pool and tailwater levels at the time of inspection were 168.2 and 156 msl, respectively. This corresponds to normal pool and tailwater elevations. Ground conditions were dry at the time of inspection. No previous inspection reports were available.

3.1.2 <u>Dam and Spillway</u>: The upstream embankment slope was grassed and well maintained, however, the downstream slope was covered with 3 ft[±] high grass (Photo No. 2, Appendix II). This grass was cut and well maintained during a July 16, 1980 inspection. Small trees ranging from ½ inch to 2 inches in diameter are present just above pool level on the upstream slope (Photo No. 1, Appendix II) and around the outlet structure on the downstream slope (Photo No. 2, Appendix II). Field measurements indicate the upstream slope is 3H:1V and the downstream slope is 2.5H:1V. An unpaved road consisting of fine to coarse sand base surface traverses the crest of the dam.

The only surface erosion noted on the embankment exists along the left downstream slope as shown on Sheet 1 of Appendix III. A 65 to 75 ft^{\pm} long erosion gulley, which is about 1 to 2 ft wide and 1 to 3 ft deep, developed as a result of extremely heavy rainfall in October, 1979. No seepage was observed along the downstream slope.

-11-

Both abutments were well vegetated and no erosion was noted along the embankment abutment contacts. Surface soils in the surrounding area consist of Pleistocene terrace deposits, which include assorted combinations of sand, silt, clay, and gravel materials. These deposits are underlain by the Petersburg Granite. Bedrock was not exposed at the site. No faults were observed in the field during this inspection and geologic maps of the area do not show the presence of faults in the immediate vicinity.

The overflow inlet and outlet pipe showed no signs of deterioration and were functioning properly at the time of inspection. Some debris was present along the edge of the overflow inlet. The drain gate was reportedly in operational condition; however, the drain outlet has been modified to increase the discharge elevation by 3 ft (See Sheet 2, Appendix III and Photograph No. 4, Appendix II).

The plunge pool has undergone some erosion as evidenced by the sloughing banks and sediment buildup (Photographs Nos. 7 and 8 and Sheet 1, Appendix III). Several animal burrows were noted along the outlet pipe.

3.1.3 <u>Reservoir Area</u>: The reservoir area was free of debris and the perimeter was wooded (Overview Photographs, Page 5). The reservoir is located in a valley with side slopes at approximately 3H:1V. No sediment build-up was observed.

-12-

3.1.4 <u>Downstream Area</u>: The downstream channel is located in a narrow wooded valley with heavy underbrush (Photograph No. 6, Appendix II). Approximately one-half mile downstream there are two dwellings about 10 ft above the streambed, and approximately one-'guarter mile downstream Va. Route 360 (primary highway) crosses the stream.

3.1.5 <u>Instrumentation</u>: No instrumentation (monuments, observation wells, piezometers, etc.) was encountered for the structure. A staff gage was not observed.

3.2 Evaluation: Overall, the dam was in good condition at the time of the inspection.

3.2.1 <u>Dam and Spillway</u>: Corrective maintenance and vegetative control are performed routinely. Trees presently growing on the embankment should be cut to the ground. The eroded area observed along the left downstream slope should be backfilled with compacted soil and seeded in order to prevent further erosion. The plunge pool should be repaired by removing sediment buildup and protecting against further sloughing of the channel. Animal burrowing should be prevented on the embankment and existing holes backfilled with compacted soil. Debris should be removed from the edge of the overflow intake. A staff gage should be installed to monitor water levels.

3.2.2 <u>Downstream Area</u>: A breach in Lower Beaver Pond Dam during extreme flooding could create a hazard to the downstream dwellings.

-13-

SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 <u>Procedures</u>: Lower Beaver Pond Dam is used for recreational purposes. The normal pool elevation (about 168.2 msl) is maintained by a wier box acting as the principal spillway. Water automatically flows over the inlet crest as the pool level rises above elevation 168.0 msl.

4.2 <u>Maintenance of Dam and Appurtenances</u>: Maintenance is the responsibility of the Owner. Maintenance consists of routine inspection and the removal of debris, mowing of vegetative cover, and repair as required. Routine maintenance is performed.

4.3 <u>Warning System</u>: At the present time there is no warning system or evacuation plan for the dam.

4.4 Evaluation: The dam and appurtenances are in good operating condition, and maintenance of the dam is adequate. Records should be maintained of all maintenance and operational procedures for future reference. An emergency operation and warning plan should be developed. It is recommended that a formal emergency procedure be prepared and furnished to all operating personnel. This should include:

a) How to operate the dam during an emergency.

b) Who to notify, including public officials, in case evacuation from the downstream area is necessary.

-14-

SECTION 5 - HYDRAULICS/HYDROLOGIC DATA

5.1 <u>Design</u>: Lower Beaver Pond Dam was designed as a single-purpose dam and hydrologic and hydraulic data are available including stagestorage and stage-discharge curves.

5.2 Hydrologic Records: There are no records available.

5.3 Flood Experience: According to Mr. Wallace LaPrade, a maximum pool elevation of 172 msl occurred in October, 1979.

5.4 <u>Flood Potential</u>: In accordance with the established guidelines, the Spillway Design Flood (SDF) is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" (flood discharges that may be expected from the most severe combination of critical meteorologic and hydrologic conditions that are reasonably possible in the region), or fractions thereof. The Probable Maximum Flood (PMF), ½ PMF and 100 year hydrographs for the local area were developed by the SCS method (Reference 5, Appendix VI). Precipitation amounts for the flood hydrographs of the PMF and 100 year flood were taken from U. S. Weather Bureau Information (References 6 and 7, Appendix VI). Appropriate adjustments for basin size and shape were accounted for. The local area hydrographs were combined with the discharge hydrographs for the Upper Beaver Pond Dam (determined in a previous Phase I report), and these hydrographs were routed through the reservoir to determine maximum pool elevations.

5.5 <u>Reservoir Regulations</u>: For routing purposes, the pool at the beginning of flood was assumed to be at elevation 168 msl. Reservoir stage-storage data and stage-discharge data were determined from the design report and verified. Floods were routed through the reservoir using the principal spillway discharge up to a pool storage elevation of 178 msl and combined principal spillway and non-overflow section for pool elevations above 178 msl.

-15-

5.6 <u>Overtopping Potential</u>: The predicted rise of the reservoir pool and other pertinent data were determined by routing the flood hydrographs through the reservoir as previously described. The results for the flood conditions PMF, $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF, and 100 year flood are shown in the following Table 5.1:

		. <u> </u>	Hydrograph	
	Normal Flow	100 year	⁺s PMF	PMF
Peak Flow, CFS Inflow Outflow	2 2	1103 580	5520 5520	11040 11040
Maximum Pool Elevation Ft, msl	168.2	173.4	182.60	184.5
Non-Overflow Section (elev 178 msl) Depth of Flow, Ft. Duration, Hours Velocity, fps		 -	4.6 5 8	6.5 9.5 9.7
Tailwater Elevation Ft, msl	156	160.5	173.5	178.5

Table 5.1 RESERVOIR PERFORMANCE

5.7 <u>Reservoir Emptying Potential</u>: A 24 inch diameter gate at centerline elevation 159 msl is capable of draining the reservoir through the outlet culvert to elevation 161 msl. Assuming that the lake is at normal pool elevation (168.2 msl) and there is 2 cfs inflow, it would take approximately one day to lower the reservoir to elevation 161 msl, or a 7 feet per day drawdown rate. 5.8 Evaluation: The U. S. Army, Corps of Engineers, guidelines indicate the appropriate Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for a small size, significant hazard dam is the ½ PMF to 100 year flood. Because of the risk involved, the 100 year flood has been selected as the SDF. The spillway will pass 15 percent of the PMF (150 percent of the SDF).

Hydrologic data used in the evaluation pertains to present day conditions with no consideration given to future development.

. 1

SECTION 6 - DAM STABILITY

6.1 Foundation and Abutments: The dam is located along the eastern edge of the Piedmont physiographic province of Virginia. The site is underlain by a thin veneer of Pleistocene terrace deposits, which typically consist of a heterogenous mixture of sand, silt, clay, and gravel materials. Recent alluvial deposits of similar composition occur along the stream floodplain. The above described materials are underlain by residual soils derived from the in-place weathering of the Petersburg Granite. These residual soils usually consist of micaceous sands and silts throughout the Richmond area. The Petersburg Granite consists basically of biotite-microcline granite but also includes quartz monzonite and biotite gneiss.

A cutoff trench exists beneath the dam. If the cutoff was excavated to a uniform elevation of about 150 to 151 msl as designed, it appears that only a partial cutoff was made as boring data indicate about 6 ft of granular overburden materials below this level. An internal drainage system was not constructed. No permeability test data was included with the information reviewed. However, subsurface and laboratory test data indicate that the overburden materials probably possess low to high natural permeabilities. Joints or fractures often occur in the Petersburg Granite, and permeabilities are directly related to the degree of fracturing as well as the degree of weathering. Core recoveries of 50 to 100 percent were recorded on the test boring logs, which indicate the potential for water to pass through some of the bedrock encountered.

Gradual consolidation of underlying soils would be expected during application of fill materials. The underlying soils probably had fully

-18-

consolidated under the applied load not long after completion of construction. Based upon the performance history of this dam and the test boring data, a stable foundation is assumed.

6.2 Embankment:

6.2.1 <u>Materials</u>: Based upon the laboratory test data and conversations with Mr. Wallace LaPrade the cutoff and interior core was apparently constructed with silty clay soils which probably classified as CL in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System. The remainder of the embankment was constructed with more permeable mixtures of sand, silt, and clay which would probably classify as SM, SC, and ML. Materials in both zones were compacted with a sheepsfoot roller and density tests were performed to determine the percent compaction.

6.2.2 <u>Subdrains and Seepage</u>: There is no internal drainage system for this structure. Four (4) anti-seepage rings or collars were included around the principal spillway pipe to prevent the piping of fill materials. No seepage was observed during the field inspection.

6.2.3 <u>Stability</u>: There are no stability calculations for this structure. The dam is 23 ft high and has a crest width of 40 ft. The upstream slope is approximately 3H:1V, while the downstream slope is about 2.5H:1V.

Although design drawings indicate the dam is a zoned structure, information provided by the Owner indicates the structure is essentially homogeneous with the "shell" materials being somewhat more granular than the clay core. The dam is subjected to sudden drawdown because the approximate reservoir drawdown rate of 7 ft per day exceeds the critical rate of 0.5 ft per day for earth dams. According to the guidelines presented in Design of Small Dams, U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation,

-19-

for small homogeneous dams with a stable foundation subjected to drawdown and composed of CL, ML, SC to SM materials, the recommended slopes range from 2H:1V to 2.5H:1V for the downstream slope and 3H:1V to 3.5:1V for the upstream slope. Based upon existing slopes of 3H:1V for the upstream slope and 2.5H:1V for the downstream slope, both slopes are considered to be adequate. The recommended crest width is 14.6 ft, therefore, the existing crest width is also considered to be adequate.

6.2.4 <u>Seismic Stability</u>: The dam is located in Seismic Zone 2. Therefore, according to the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection</u> of Dams, the dam is considered to have no hazard from earthquakes provided static stability conditions are satisfactory and conventional safety margins exist.

6.3 Evaluation: An accurate check on the stability of this structure cannot be made since stability analyses were not performed for design, and construction records are not available. However, available test boring data and the visual inspection indicate a stable foundation. Based upon the Bureau of Reclamation guidelines, the slopes are adequate and the crest width is about 2.7 times greater than the recommended width. Since no undue settlement, cracking, sloughing or seepage was noted at the time of inspection, it appears that the embankment is adequate for maximum control storage with water at elevation 168.2 msl.

-20-

And the Constant of the contents

No seepage was observed during the inspection but based upon the design data only a partial cutoff may have been constructed for the dam. No boils or soft areas were observed below the downstream toe which would indicate excessive seepage through the foundation. Based upon the performance history of the dam and the field observations, this is not believed to be a problem.

-21-

. 4

ANTA A MARIAN

SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 <u>Dam Assessment</u>: Lower Beaver Pond Dam at the time of inspection appeared to be in good condition. The appropriate SDF for this dam is the 100 year flood. The spillway will pass 15 percent of the PMF (150 percent of the SDF) without overtopping. The spillway is judged adequate.

The visual inspection revealed no findings that proved the dam to be unsound. A routine maintenance program exists for the structure and maintenance is considered adequate. Both the upstream and downstream embankment slopes meet the requirements recommended by the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation (Reference 1, Appendix VI) while the crest width is 2.7 times greater than the recommended width.

7.2 Recommended Remedial Measures:

7.2.1 <u>Emergency Operation and Warning Plan</u>: It is recommended that a formal emergency procedure be prepared, prominently displayed, and furnished to all operating personnel. This should include:

1) How to operate the dam during an emergency.

2) Who to notify, including public officials, in case evacuation from the downstream area is necessary.

7.3 Required Maintenance:

7.3.1 The eroded area along the left downstream slope should be backfilled with compacted soil and seeded in order to prevent further erosion.

-22-

7.3.2 The plunge pool should be repaired by removing sediment buildup and protecting against further sloughing of the channel.

7.3.3 All trees present on the embankment should be cut to ground level yearly during maintenance operations.

7.3.4 <u>Debris should be removed from the overflow</u> intake as it accumulates.

7.3.5 <u>Animal burrowing observed above the outlet structure</u> should be prevented. Existing holes should be backfilled with compacted soil.

-23-

7.3.6 A staff gage should be installed to monitor water levels.

APPENDIX I MAPS AND DRAWINGS

ζ,

COLUMN STREET, SAL

R







PLATE Nº 2 LOWER LAKE BEXLEY LAKE GEORGE DAM Manchester Dist; Chesterfield Co, Va. Revised August 26, 1968 Scole: 1 . 20'

Ĭ

Lo Prode Bros. Civil Engineers & Surveyors Richmondy Virginia Sheef 2 of 7

1.





	an a		
		{\	
			·
		. ti s	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
			ア
•			N
• •			
•			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·		
		. }	
			Ħ
	/90		
			名
	120		
			1
	,		

The second second in the second se

-20

A CONTRACTOR OF	-												
nas and a ba ba m m Boad Dude Child Locksman Debis: Locksman Debis: L													
ARE AND													
REAL STREET													
Ans ray Ans		-	1	-									
THE ASIC IN IS PLATE Nº 4 Rond over Burger Lockswire Deber Constrained and the second of the second Constrained and the second of the second of the second Constrained and the second of		4				7							
MINIS AGO A 27 22 43 AV XX A 27 23 AV XX A 27 24													
Real a ca m so Real over the source of the	/	AINS /											
Rei 22 23 R. 16 Rei 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	4												
PLATE Nº 4 ROAD OVER ONN LOCKSWARE DRIVE LOCKSWARE DRIVE LOWER LAKE BENLEY LOWER CARE BENLEY CONTRACTOR BOAR CONTRACTOR DATA SOUND CONTRACTOR OF BOAR CONTRACTOR OF BOAR CONTRA		21_	2		et -	- 16							
Rond over Only Locksware Drive" Lower Lake Benley Charle Correst Constrants to Ver. 9,1966 Civil Engrants of Sector Richard States Civil Engrants of Sector Richard States	V.								_PI	ATC		4	
Locksmille DRive Lower LAKE BENLEY Link George DAM CNESTERATO CO. Vo. Dec. 9,1948 Mar 148 Civil Engineers & Second									Dee			_	
Link Good Dan Cristice and Ca., Vo Dec. 9, 1968 Con Jone Brok Civil Emproves & Story Richard Ca., Vo									LOWE	CESN/R	E DRE E BEI	16-	
Peri B, 1968 Ven right Civil Empireurs & Strategy Richard Civil									CHE	C GEOR TELMOL	68 DA	10	
Civil Engrande Bras Civil Engrande States)ec. 9,1	968			1.4
									Cini Z	O Prod	- Brei 1 51 1, VG	-	
		于											

. ·

APPENDIX II

PHOTOGRAPHS

. 1

1 A .

1



Photo No. 1 Overflow wier and upstream face of dam



Photo No. 2 Downstream face of dam

I I - 1



Photo No. 3

72 inch outlet pipe at overflow wier structure



1

Photo No. 4

Concrete box modification to drain outlet (Note Open Top)

II-2





72 inch outlet pipe at Plunge Pool



Photo No. 6

Downstream Channel (Arrow Denotes Channel)

I I - 3



Photo No. 7 Sloughing of bank at Plunge Pool



Photo No. 8

Sediment buildup in Plunge Pool (Arrow denotes sand bar which developed during October 1979 flood) II-4

> in dia amin'ny ara-Norana ara-daharana dia amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fisiana amin'ny

APPENDIX III

1

FIELD OBSERVATIONS

.'

ŧ

			ŧ
	Check List Visual Inspec Phase I	tion	
Name Dam Lower Beaver Pond	county Chesterfield	State Virginia	Lat 370 27.2' Coordinates Long 770 34.1'
Date(s) Inspection May 29, 1980	Weather Fair	Tenperature 75 ⁰ F ⁺	
Pool Elevation at Time of Inspecti	on 168.2 msl	Tailwater at Time of Insp	ection 156 msl
Inspection Personnel: Schnabel Engineering Associates, F Raymond A. DeStephen, P.E.* Stephen G. Werner (recorder)	.C. J. K. Timm Robert G Donald B	ms and Associates, Inc. , Roop, P.E. ilzer (recorder)	State Water Control B Leon Musselwhite Dave Bushman
	Wallace H.	LaPrade, Omer	
* Not present during May, 29, 1980 • hot with a high of 90 ⁰ FT. Pool	inspection, but inspect and tailwater levels w	ed on July 16, 1980. The v ere essentially the same as	wather was fair and reported above.
		• •	
	1-111		

SETT & REAL ASIA

.

2000 - 2000

1

:

i

EMBANCYENT	AMINATION OF OBSERVATIONS REMAIKS OR RECORDENDATIONS	The slopes, crest and abutment contacts were inspected and the grass on the embandment was and no cracks were noted. The downstream slope was covered with tall grass (3 ft \pm high). Half inch (4) July 16, 1980 inspection. Trees to 2 inches diameter trees were growing along and just above were still in place and should be pool level en the upstream slope and on the downstream removed.	OVENENT OR None observed	OR ENOSION OF AND ABUTMENT AND ABUTMENT Silt, trace mica. Appears to be road base material. The dam was constructed with clay soils cut from surrounding roads according to Mr. Wallace. Upstream slope is 3H:1V and downstream slope is 2.5H:1V.	ND HORIZONTAL Appeared to be good.	LURES Scattered riprap was exposed along the upstream slope Riprap appears to be functioning from pool level to a point 3 ft [±] above. The riprap is properly since very little erosion appears to be discontinuous. Vegetation and washing of the upstream slope was of soils may conceal portions of the riprap, making it observed at and above pool level. III-2
	VISUAL EXAMINATION (SURFACE CRACKS	UNUSUAL MOVENENT OR CRACKING AT OR BEYON THE TOE	SLOUGHING OR EROSION EMBANCHENT AND ABUTH SLOPES	VERTICAL AND HORIZON ALINEMENT OF THE CRE	LIPRAP PALLURES

JUNCTION OF PRANKTOOM No bedirect, area encoded at the site. The embonent AD AUTORAT, STILIAM No bedirect, and the and the encient and the restor and the hereit area in wall varies investigation and the hereit and the restore and the hereit of stall character and the and the hereit and the hereit and of stall area with the hereit and the hereit and the hereit and the antipactor in a stall area and the hereit a	VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
AND AUTIMATE, STILLANT, at their contracts. Local goology appears to consist of plaistocere terrare density (associated contractions) of sand, still, clay and gravel) overlying the Peterson granite. No fainties were encounterions and still clay and gravel) overlying the Peterson granite. No fainties were encounterions of plaistocere terrare density and the odding from the observed in the observed in the still clay and the odding builties in the observed in the observed in the observed in the still clay and the observed in the observed i	JUNCTION OF PARANDORNT	No bedrock was exposed at the site. The embandment	
Alt NorticuANLE Strate Outer state Outer state Alt NorticuANLE STREAGE No secrospe was noted Alt NorticuANLE Streage Alt Alt NorticuANLE STREAGE No secrospe was noted Alt NorticuANLE No secrospe was noted Alt StrAF GAGE Alt Nore Alt DAULIS None observed A statif gage should A statif gage should DAULIS None observed Installed. None	AND ABUTMENT, SPILLWAY AND DAM	at their contacts. Local geology appears to consist of pleistorene terrace densits (asserted combinations	
ANT NOTICIAALI SEETAGE No seepage was noted STANT GAGE AND RECONDER None observed STANT GAGE AND RECONDER None observed DMAINS None observed DMAINS None observed III-3	•	of sand, silt, clay and gravel) overlying the Petersburg Granite. No faults were encountered during the inspection The matural obuttments appear to slope rapidly and the old	-
STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER None observed STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER None observed be installed. DMAINS None observed If any exist, they were submerged. III-3	ANT NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE	No seepage was noted	
STAFF GAGE AND RECONDER Mone observed STAFF GAGE AND RECONDER Mone observed DBALINS Mone observed If any exist, they were submerged. III-3			
STATE GACE AND RECONDER None observed A staff gage should be installed. DRAMS None observed If any exist, they were sumerged.	•	-	-
STAT GAGE AND RECORDER None observed A staff gage stould DMAINS None observed If any exist, they were submerged.			-
DMAINS None observed None observed were summerged.	STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER	None observed	A staff gage should be installed.
DRAINS None abserved If any exist, they were sutmerged. III-3	-		-
DRAINS None observed if any exist, they were submerged. III-3			
	DRAINS	None observed	If any exist, they were submerged.
E-III	- -		•
E-111			
	,	E111-3	

ł

EMBANIQUENT

•		
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CRACKING AND SPALLING OF CONCRETE SURFACES 'IN CUTLET CONDUTT	None	1
INDAKE STRUCTURE	Concrete was in good condition and no spalling noted. Weir box is 10 ft x 15 ft. Back of weir is 12 ft high. Overflow is on four sides. Some debris present around intake structure.	Debris should be removed.
CUTLET STRUCTURE	72 inch $^{\pm}$ concrete pipe with headwall.	Concrete is in good condition.
OUTLET CHANNEL	Some sloughing was noted along the banks of the plunge pool. See field sketch, Sheet 1. Some debris and sediment buildup was observed in the pool.	Erosion occurred during a storm in October 1979. Sloughing should be corrected and the debris should be removed.
EMERCENCY DRAINS	Operable draingate. No emergency spillway. III-4	, I .

8

The second state of the se

	RESERVOIR	SWITTER CONTRACTOR	
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS	
Sadojs	Slight, to moderate slopes (3H:1V average) bound the reservoir. No bank erosion was noted. The area is heavily wooded and includes variable amounts of underbrush. The extreme upstream area is bounded by open fields. Reservoir is free of debris.	Good condition	
NDITATION	Nome observed	Good condition	
	S-III		

.**'**

1

:

Ξġ.

من م

•	DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL	
VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECONDENDATIONS
CONDITION (OESTRUCTIONS, DEBRIS, ETC.)	Very little debris present in the channel.	Good condition
SIOPES	The stream channel is 10 ft [±] wide, 3 ft [±] deep and includes lH:1V side slopes. Flood plain is 300 ft [±] -wide and is wooded and includes underbrush. N = 0.1	•
APPROXIMATE NO. OF HOMES AND POPULATION	Approximately 1/2 mile downstream there are two homes whose basement floors are 10 ft [±] above the stream.	
	9-III	

1

A. 19 130 5

	INSTRUMENTATION		
VISUAL EXAMINATION	OBSERVATION	Ş	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
MONUMENTPATTON/SURVEYS	None		l
OBSERVATION WELLS	None		
SHIR	None	-	1
PIEZOMETERS	None		4
THER	111-7		

.*

ŧ

San Andrew Constant of the State of the Stat

.

.

,ar⇒nat.⇒ Ì

٠







APPENDIX IV

TEST BORING LOGS

Auto +************************



FROEHLING & ROBERTSON, INC.

INSPECTION ENGINEERS . CHEMISTS . BACTERIOLOGISTS

CABLE ADDRESS-"FROEHLING"

MAIN OFFICE AND LABORATORIES F 0 601 797, 814 WEDI CAST STORT SICHMOND, VISSINIA 33300 PHONE 444-38935

> SRANCH LABORATORIES Nosibie, Chasioits, Paision Washington, Anithops Galanvills, Boandel, Infiliterils Abhrville

Richmond, Virginia December 8, 1967

Record No. R-2169-11

Report of: Soil Borings

Made for: Mr. Wallace LaPrade c/o LaPrade Bros. Engineers 103 East Cary Street Richmond, Virginia

Attn: Mr. Carl Watkins

Project: Lake George Hamlet Dam LOWER DAM

Location: Route 360 at Falling Creek Chesterfield Co., Va.

0-0-0

Upon authorization from Mr. Carl Watkins of LaPrade Bros., test borings were made at site as shown on enclosed sketch.

The test borings were made by means of drive sample borings, auger boring and diamond core drilling. The penetration resistance of the soil was determined by means of the standard penetration test at each change in strata or at five foot intervals, whichever occurs first. In the standard penetration test a 140 lb. hammer dropping 30" is used to drive a 2"0.D. 1.375"1.D. split spoon sampler I foot into the soil. The results of the tests are shown in the following boring logs.

Very truly yours,

FROEHLING & ROBERTSON, INC.

him &. Voerfrom

W. H. Vogelsang, Director Foundation Investigation

WHV/gm

IV-1

160.9 -3 160.2 10' 24 159.1 Hole No. Surface el. depth regid. KES 21 159.8 162.7 159.5 R KC.7 17: 159.9 16: 157.2 15: 159.1 14: 163.9 12 LOCK SHIRE DRIVE 13, 182,0 7, 1644 8, 158.3 9, 157.9 E 10, 1594 11, 169.9 12, 150.95 / 15' 25' 25' 15' 15' 15' E 70 I X 1500'± C 164.5 51 159.4 4 1552 3 159.4 2 167.4 15' 25' 25' 25' 25' 15' LAKE GEORGE HAMLET DAM LAKE CIEURGE ING LAYOUT SOIL TEST BORING LAYOUT LaPrade Eros 27.Oct. 67 Civil Engineers 182 Surveyors

FROEHLING & ROBERTSON, INC. INSPECTION ENGINEERS - CHEMISTS - BACTERIOLODISTS

BORING LOG

50- 5A

SINCE

Report No	R-3	2169-	18			1	DATE	December 8, 19	67
Made for:	Mr.	Walla	ace LaPrade	c/o LaPrade	Bros. Eng	alneers			
Project:	Lak	e Geor	ge Hamlet Dan	n, Route 360	& Falling	Creek, (Cheste	erfield Co., Va.	
Hole No.:	7_		Total Depth: 15.0	Elevation-Top	o of Hole: 15	4.4 Hol	e Location	; •	
Type of 8	oring: 2	<u>}" Cas</u>	sing [3	12/2/6/		12/2/0	57 P	^{riller:} H. Watts	
Elevation	Depth	Casing Blows	CLASSIFI	{Description}	TERIALS	Sample Blows	% Core Recovery	REMARK	6
-103.3			Brown Micac	ceous SIIt				Water D	ata:
161.4	3.0-					12	2.0 - 3.0	5.7' 0 0	hr
			Tan Decompo	sed Rock		100	4.0 5.0		
155.4	9.0-		Tan Granite	Boulders			100%	Note: Core Dri Granite	iled Boulder
			Tan Micaceo	ous Silt					-
							14.0		
149.4	15.0					48	15.0		
			Boring Term	ninated					
	_								
							:		•
	1 =								
1		1				·			
	=								
1									
ł									
1		1					ł		
ł		}					ł		
	_=	1					1		
1		1				Į	Į		
	_	1					{	1	
· ·		1					Į	1	
ł		1					[
		1			IV-'3				
L		I	l			L	L	1	
					, A				in the second

FROEHLING & ROBERTSON, INC. INSPECTION ENGINEERS - CHEMISTS - BACTERIOLOGISTS

120

-	-	_		-		-	-
R	n	P	N	G		O	(ġ
_	~	•		~	_	•	-

SO-SA

Report No.		R-2169	9-11		DATE	December 8, 1967
Nede for:	<u>Mr.</u>		ace LaPrade c/o LaPrade Bros. Engin	eers	Chart	orfield Co. No.
		3 680	Intel Depth: 6.0 Elevation-Top of Hole: 159 3	Hol	Location	
tupe of Bari	0	Cacin	211Dia Rit Started 12/1/67 Completed 1	2/1/6	7 10	Driller: Li Watte
	28	•	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS	2/1/0		
Elevation	Depth 0.0	Casing Blows	(Description)	Sample Blows	Recovery	REMARKS
	111		Brown Organic Silt		2.0	Water Data: 1.7' @ 0 hr
155.3	3.0			4	3.0	1
54.3	4.0		Gray Fine Silty Sand	6	4.0	Started Core Drilling
52.3	6.0		Gray Granite		75%	4.0' with 2" Diamond Bit
			Boring Terminated	1		
1				{		
	7			ł		
,	7					
1	1					Į
[7			ł		
ł				Į		
ļ				ł		
			•	[[
1	-			}		
	H			1		
l l						
1			•	ľ	1	
				· ·		
Į				ł	ł	
1				1	[
ļ						1
ł				{	1	1
				ļ		
				i		ł
					{	1
	П			}	.	} .
ł	П			1		· ·
		-			ł	-
				ţ	[
				1		
[{	ļ	4
				}	1	1
				1	1	
			IV-4	1	1	
				1		
I	-	j	1	Ι	1	I

ŧ

31NCE F&R 1....

FROEHLING & ROBERTSON, INC. INSPECTION ENGINEERS - CHEMISTS - BACTERIOLOGISTS

Report No.	R-4	2169-1			DATE	December 8, 1967
Mede for:	Mr.	Walla	ce LaPrade c/o LaPrade Bros. Engine	eers		
Project:	Lake	e Geor	ge Hamlet Dam, Route 360 & Failing Cro	eek, (Cheste	orfield Co., Va.
Hole No.:	9	CAC INC	21101a B1+ Started 11/20/67 Completed	11/20	Location	i . riller. Id blatte
Type of Bor	ing: 2 t(LAS INC		···		mari n. watts
Elevation 157.9	Depth	Casing Blows	(Description)	Sample Blows	% Core Recovery	REMARKS
154.4	3.5		Brown Clayey Slit	5	- 2.0 3.0	Water Data: 1.5' @ O hr
			Gray Silty Clay	8	5.0	
148.9	9.011	-	Brown Micaceous Silt, Trace of	37	9.0 10.0	
	1111		Decomposed Rock			-
				70	14.0 15.0	
			• •		19.0	
137.9	20.0		Gray Granite & Quartz		75%	Started Core Drilling 20.0' with 2" Diamond Bit
135.9	22.0-		Boring Terminated	<i>,</i>		
	LLL					
	1111					
	1111					
	1111					
	1111					
			IV- 5			

Far

....

SINCE

BORING LOG

. Maria

FROEHLING & ROBERTSON, INC. INSPECTION ENGINEERS - CHEMISTS - BACTERIOLODISTS

1. N.C. F&R

Report No.	R-	2169-	11	(DATE	December 8 1967
Made for:	Mr.	Walla	ce LaPrade c/o LaPrade Bros. Engin	eers		
Proiect:	Lake	Geor	ge Hamlet Dam, Route 360 & Falling	Creek,	Ches	terfield Co., Va.
Hole No.:	10		2101 - 21.0 - 21	11/29	Location	; ·
Type or oo	1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS	1720		H, WATTS
Elevation 159.4	Depth	Casing Biows	(Description)	Sample Blows	Recovery	REMARKS
155.4	÷ Li Puluu		Brown Clayey Silt	10	2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0	Water Data: 3.11 @ 0 hr
			Gray Medium Sand & Smail Gravels	34	9.0 10.0	
147.9			Brown to Gray Micaceous Silt, Trace of Decomposed Rock	100	14.0 15.0	
136.6	22.8			53	- 19. 20.	- Started Core Drilling
134.4	25.0		Gray Granite		100%	22.8' with 2" Diamond Bit
			Boring Terminated			

1

ί.

BORING LOG

- ----

BORING LOG



Project:	Lake	Georg	re Hamlet Dam, Route 360 & Falling	<u>Creek, C</u>	hesterfi	eld Co., Va.
tole No.:	11		Total Depth: 15.0 Elevation—Top of Hole:	69.9 Hol	e Location:	•
Type of Bo	ring: 2±	"Cas	ng Started 11/22/67 Complete	M 11/22/	67 Driller	H. Watts
Elevation 69.9	Depth 0.0	Casing Biows	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Description)	Sample Blows	% Core Recovery	R E M A R K S
					20	
			Brown Micaceous Silt	8	3.0	
	11			5	4.0	
	111					
60.9	9.0	-	Gray Organic Sandy Silt	3	9.0	
	111					
57.6 57.2	12.3- 12.7_	-	Gray Granite Boulder			-
54.9	15.0	_	Gray Decomposed Rock	10	14.0	·
			Boring Terminated			
	111					
-	111		·			
	- 11					
	111					
	111					
			IV-/			

ł

50- 5A

50 - 5A

BORING LOG

SINCE F&R 1...1

FROEHLING & ROBERTSON, INC. INSPECTION ENGINEERS - CHEMISTS - BACTERIOLOGISTS

	R-'	2169-				Docombo	- 9 1067	
Report No.	 		an inProde ala InProde Prog. Eng	1	DATE		r o, 190 7	
Mede tor:	<u>Mr.</u>		SCE Larrade C/O Larrade Dros. Eng	ineers				
Hole No.:		2	Totel Depth: 15.0 Elevation—Top of Hole: 180.9	Feek,	LINESTE le Location	<u>ertieio (</u>	<u> va.</u>	
Type of Bo	ring: 2	;	sing Started 11/21/67 Completed	11/21	/67 0	viller: H. Wa	 atts	
Elevation	· Depth	Casing	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS	ee Sample	% Core	Γ <u></u>	* E M A R K S	
180.95	ا م م	Biows	[Jascripion]	Biows	Recovery			
	1	i '	Tan Micaceous Silt					
177 95	5,1	l !		12	2.0			1
111.30	E., 1	7			- <u>-</u>			i
	1 1	i '		37	5.0			
	1]	i '	Brown Decomposed Rock					
	ΕI	l '				}		
	1 H	 '						ļ
.	1]	l '		57	9.0			
. 1	IJ	'		<u> </u>	10.0			
1	I 3	i '			}		-	
	1 1	1 '			1	İ		
-	IJ	1 '			14.0			
165.95	15.0]	[_]	h	1 34	15.0		<u> </u>	
	1 1	i '	Boring Terminated			t		
]	i '						
	I 3	l '				1		
	1 1	1						
	1 3	'		1				
	EI	<u> </u> '		· ·				
i i	1 1	į !	-					
	ΙJ	i !				Ì		ļ
1	EI	1 '						
		'						Ì
	1]	l '						j
]	l '						
	1 1	4 '						
1]	i '				1		
1]	i '						
1	1 1	l ''				ł		
1	1]	ί '		}				ļ
	I 3	i '						ļ
	1 1	'						
	1 7	i '	IV-8					ļ
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					•	

.*

APPENDIX V

LABORATORY TEST DATA

.1

ł

. Siki

10

500



FROEHLING & ROBERTSON, INC. INSPECTION ENGINEERS · CHEMISTS · BACTERIOLOGISTS

CABLE ADDRESS--- "FROEHLING"

MAIN OFFICE AND LADDEATORIES F D BOJ 337 BJA WIST CAPT STOLE FUDUE SHE STOLES FUDUE SHE SOLE

> BRANCH LABORATORIES WOBFDIE, CHABIOTH, BAIHIM WASHINGTON, BAIHIMORE BRIERWILLE, ROAMDER, FARTHEVILLE ASTREVILLE

RICHMOND, VIRGINIA NOVEMBER 21, 1967=

Record No: R-1399-11 Report Of: Soil Tests Made For: La Prade Brothers 3 West Cary Street Richmond, Virginia Attn: Mr. Carl Watkins

0-0-0

Tests were performed on a combination of 5 bag samples.

Passing No. 4 Sieve Passing No. 10 Sieve Passing No. 20 Sieve Passing No. 40 Sieve Passing No. 60 Sieve Passing No. 100 Sieve Passing No. 140 Sieve Passing No. 200 Sieve Passing No. 270 Sieve Liquid Limit Plasticity Index Gravel (Plus No. 10) Coarse Sand (No. 10-No. 40) Fine Sand (No. 40-NO. 200) Silt No. 200- 0.005 mm) Clay (-0.005 mm)

100.0	100.0
99.2	99.6
93.8	95.2
86.7	88.9
79.8	83.1
70.6	73.5
63.9	66.7
58.7	62.1
56.7	61.0
25.7	25.4
6.2	5.1
0.8	0.4
12.5	10.7
28.0	26.8
40.7	45.1
18.0	17.0

S-2

S-1

Respectfully,

FROEHLING & ROBERTSON, INC.

/jp

4cc: La Prade Brothers

these two samples are from blend of 5 bag samples dug by Wallace LaP just below Upper Dam & Lake Geo. Hamlet on 16 Nov.67 caur

V-1

higher 18. Anarchan Sanlay be Training Manuchin & American Conserve Indiffer - American Conserve of Indiana Anarchania - Virginia Anarchania - Virginia Anarchania - Virginia Anarchania - Virginia Anarchania - Serverter American Conserve - Serverter Americania - Virginia Anarchania - Virginia Anarchania - Virginia - Americania


FROEHLING & ROBERTSON, INC.

INSPECTION ENGINEERS . CHEMISTS . BACTERIOLOGISTS CABLE ADDRESS-"FROEHLING" BEANCH LABOBATORIES MODIEL CARDIOIS FAILISM MADELEDIO SALIMOS FAILURA COMMUNICE COMMONS AVENTION

<-

RICHMOND, VIRGINIA DECEMBER 4, 1967

.

Record No:	R-1399-12	
Report Of:	Soil Tests	
Made For :	La Prade Brothers	
	3 West Cary Street	
	Richmond, Virginia	
	Attn: Mr. Carl Watkins	
Project :	Dam No. 1 (LOWER DAM)

0-00-0

		H- 19	H- 20 S- 1	H-2 1 S-1	H-21	H-22 S-1
·		<u>S-1</u>	0-101	0-31	<u>5-2</u>	<u>0-7'</u>
Passing No. 4	Sieve	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Passing No. 10	Sieve	95.5	96.7	96.8	96.2	99.2
Passing No. 20	Sieve	76.8	83.7	8 3.3	83.0	93.7
Passing No. 40	Sieve	57.0	67.7	65.8	69.2	87.8
Passing No. 60	Sieve	44.5	52.8	54.5	58.7	83.3
Passing No. 100	Sieve	33.5	42.3	46.0	50.8	80.5
Passing No. 140	Sieve	28.3	36.3	42.3	46.0	77.5
Passing No. 200	Sieve	23.5	32.0	39.3	42.0	74.3
Piouid Limit		31.0	24.3	26.2	29.5	31.6
Plasticity Index		N.P.	N.P.	2.1	N.P.	9.0
Gravel (Plus No. 10))	4.5	3.3	3.2	3.8	0.8
Coarse Sand (No. 10	-No. 40)	38.5	29.0	31.0	27.0	11.4
Fine Sand (No. 40 -	No. 200)	33.5	35.7	26.5	27.2	13.5
Silt (No. 200 - 0.	005 MM)	15.5	21.0	27.3	29.0	45.3
Clay (- 0,005 MM))	8.0	11.0	12.0	13.0	29.0

V-2

.*

ŧ

1

Lebertell, Annergan Barber, Er Terleng Mehring & Annersken Samerer, Serterlan Generale, Generale Lebersterlen v Vegelek Anderer v Vegelek Vegele

FROEHLING & ROBERTSON, INC.



Clay (- 0.005 MM)

INSPECTION ENGINEERS . CHEMISTS . BACTERIOLOGISTS

CABLE ADDRESS-"FROENLING"

- 737 - 814 - WEST CART STOFFT BICHMOND - VIDDIMIA - 33804 PHONE - 844-3038

BRANCH LABORATORIES MOSPOLE, CRASIOTIS, SALSION BASHINGTON SALTIOUS SSEENVILLS, SCANDES, FATETISIS ASSETUTE

	•/			
	H-22 S-2 <u>7'-10'</u>	H-23 S-1 1'-10'	H- 24 S- 1 0 '- 7 '-	H- 24 S- 2 <u>7 '- 10 '</u>
Passing No. 4 Sieve	98.3	99.8	99.3	100.0
Passing No. 10 Sieve	95.5	94.5	95.8	99.3
Passing No. 20 Sieve	91.8	91.0	84.0	90.0
Passing No. 40 Sieve	84.0	56.3	71.7	75.7
Passing No. 60 Sieve	66.8	44.7	57.3	61.0
Passing No. 100 Sieve	54.7	36.3	41.2	48.7
Passing No. 140 Sieve	48.3	32.5	32.5	41.5
Passing No. 200 Sieve	43.8	29.3	26.7	36.0
Liquid Limita	20.9	26.0	26.0	39.2
Plasticity Index	N.P.	4.5	N.P.	N.P.
Gravel (Plus No. 10)	4.5	5.5	4.2	0.7
Coarse Sand (No. 10 - No. 40)	11.5	38.2	24.1	23.6
Fine Sand (No. 40 - No. 200)	40.2	27.0	45.0	39.7
Silt (No. 20- 0.005 MM)	26.8	19.3	17.7	28.0
Class (0.005 MM)	17 0	10.0	0 0	8 0

(PAGE - 2)

Respectfully,

FROEHLING & ROBERTSON, INC.

/jp

4cc: La Prade Brothers

Americas Council

APPENDIX VI - REFERENCES

- 1. Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, Department of Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, 46 pp.
- 2. <u>Design of Small Dams</u>, U. S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, 1974, 816 pp.
- 3. Geologic Map of Virginia, Virginia Division of Mineral Resources, 1963.
- Geology of the Studley, Yellow Tavern, Richmond and Seven Pines Quadrangles, Virginia by P. A. Daniels, Jr. and Emil Onuschak, Jr., 1974, 75 pp.
- 5. Section 4, Hydrology, Part 1, Watershed Planning, SCS National Engineering Handbook, Soil Conservation Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture, 1964.
- Hydrometerological Report No. 33, U. S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau, U. S. Department of Army, Corps of Engineers, Washington, D. C., April 1956.
- 7. <u>Technical Paper No. 40</u>, U. S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau, Washington, D. C., May 1961.

