

RFF	PORT DOCUMENTA		READ INSTRUCTIONS		
1. REPORT NUMBER		2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	BEFORE COMPLETING FO		
NRL Memorandum	Report 4356	IND -10905	24		
4. TITLE (and Subtitie		VELV. ACCELED ATED FOU	8. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD CO Interim report on a continuing		
PREHEAT STUDIES	S ON LASER ADLATIN	NRL problem.			
E. A. McLean, S. H. S. P. Obenschain, B.	Gold, J. A√Stamper, R. H. Ripin, S. E. Bodner,	R/Whitlock, H. R./Griem and M. J. Herbst*	AT L		
9. PERFORMING ORG	ANIZATION NAME AND A	DORESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT		
Naval Research Labo Washington, DC 203	oratory 375		67-0859-A-0		
11. CONTROLLING OF	FICE NAME AND ADDRES	ss	12. REPORT DATE		
U. S. Department of	Energy	1	October 13, 1980 //		
Washington, DC 205	545	1: 41	13. NUMBER OF PAGES		
14. MONITORING AGE	NCY NAME & ADDRESS(II	different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report UNCLASSIFIED		
			15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGR		
	TENENT Colubia Banadi		<u></u>		
16. DISTRIBUTION ST	ATEMENT (of this Report) release; distribution unl	limited.	L		
16. DISTRIBUTION STA Approved for public 17. DISTRIBUTION STA	ATEMENT (of this Report) release; distribution unl ATEMENT (of the abetract	limited. entered in Block 20, 11 different fr	San Report)		
16. DISTRIBUTION ST Approved for public 17. DISTRIBUTION ST 18. SUPPLEMENTARY *Present address: Mi This research was sup Submitted to Physica	ATEMENT (of this Report) release; distribution unl ATEMENT (of the abetract NOTES ission Research Corpora oported by the U. S. De al Review Letters	imited. entered in Block 20, 11 different in ation Alexandria, VA 22312 partment of Energy.	pen Report)		
 DISTRIBUTION STA Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STA DISTRIBUTION STA SUPPLEMENTARY *Present address: Mi This research was sup Submitted to Physica KEY WORDS (Continue) 	ATEMENT (of this Report) release; distribution unl ATEMENT (of the obstract NOTES ission Research Corpora oported by the U. S. De al Review Letters	entered in Block 20, 11 different fr ntion Alexandria, VA 22312 partment of Energy.	Sen Report)		
 16. DISTRIBUTION STA Approved for public 17. DISTRIBUTION STA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY *Present address: Mi This research was sup Submitted to Physica 19. KEY WORDS (Continu- Contributed to the heat in contribute to the heat irradiances. 	ATEMENT (of this Report) release; distribution unl ATEMENT (of the obstract NOTES ission Research Corpora oported by the U. S. De al Review Letters we on reverse elde if neces to on reverse elde if neces nt of laser fusion requir the pellet fuel. In a stud story of the rear surface ating. Extrapolation to	imited. entered in Block 20, 11 different for ation Alexandria, VA 22312 partment of Energy. every and identify by block number res the inward acceleration of dy of ablatively accelerated the temperatures, and evaluated larger, reactor-sized pellets income	pellet shells to very high velocity wi in planar Al foils, we have determine the energy transport mechanisms th licates that preheat is minimal at lov		
 16. DISTRIBUTION STA Approved for public 17. DISTRIBUTION STA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY *Present address: Mi This research was sup Submitted to Physica 19. KEY WORDS (Continue) 20. ABSTRACT (Continue) 21. The achieveme excessive preheat of the complete time his contribute to the heat irradiances. 20. FORM 1473 	ATEMENT (of this Report) release; distribution unl ATEMENT (of the abstract NOTES ission Research Corpora oported by the U. S. De al Review Letters us on reverse elde if neces to of laser fusion requir the pellet fuel. In a stud story of the rear surface ating. Extrapolation to EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS S'N 0102-LF-014-6601	entered in Block 20, 11 different fr entered in Block 20, 11 different fr ation Alexandria, VA 22312 partment of Energy. every and identify by block number every and identify by block number res the inward acceleration of dy of ablatively accelerated the t temperatures, and evaluated larger, reactor-sized pellets inc security chi	pellet shells to very high velocity wi pellet shells to very high velocity wi in planar Al foils, we have determine the energy transport mechanisms th licates that preheat is minimal at low		

¥

بر ارا

7

PREHEAT STUDIES ON LASER ABLATIVELY-ACCELERATED FOILS

In current laser fusion studies, it is recognized that preheat of the target fuel of more than a few electron volts can significantly reduce the degree of compression and pellet yield that can be achieved. Therefore, it is of considerable importance to know the temperature on the inside of the target shell. Such knowledge will allow one to choose the proper isentrope for compression calculations as well as to check the predictions of detailed numerical simulations of laser driven implosions. Using laser-driven ablation we have accelerated thin planar foils, which simulate the early behavior of an imploding pellet shell, to velocities of 1.5 x 10^7 cm/sec for CH targets and 3 x 10^6 cm/sec for Al targets.² Here we report measurements of rear surface temperatures of accelerated Al targets which remain below 3 eV through the bulk of the laser pulse, achieving a directed kinetic energy per particle greater than 80 times the temperature. The temperatures are inferred by measuring the absolute rear surface continuum emission with monochromators and then using blackbody emission assumptions, which we verify.³ These are the first time-resolved temperature measurements for these conditions. Similar techniques have been used elsewhere to measure temperatures and velocities associated with highpressure shock phenomena. 4,5

The experiments were performed using one beam of the NRL Pharos II Nd:phosphate-glass laser system (1.05 μ m) with up to 200 joules on target in a 4-nsec FWHM pulse. Irradiation uniformity is improved and edge effects are minimized by using large focal spot diameters (typically 1 mm) obtained by placing the target off-focus toward the laser to produce irradiances of 1-6 x 10¹² W/cm². Temperature measurements were made on Al foil targets ranging in thickness from 4-23 μ m. The experimental Manuscript submitted August 19, 1980. setup is shown in Fig. 1. Two 3/4-m-monochromators equipped with 1-nsec rise time photomultipliers⁶ measure continuum intensity from the rear surface of the target at two wavelengths simultaneously. Using a beam splitter, both monochromators make observations along a common axis positioned 6 degrees off the laser axis (but normal to the target axis). Good shot-toshot reproducibility allows a parameter study in target thickness, target material, and wavelength of observation. The demagnified image of the spectrograph slit (see Fig. 1, inset), samples a central portion of the focal spot area. Typically, the slit widths are set to observe a 17-33 Å bandwidth. An absolute calibration of the optics, the spectrographs, and the photomultipliers is made "in situ" using a tungsten ribbon-filament lamp, calibrated by the National Bureau of Standards.⁷

A survey over the spectral range 3500-6500 A was made by taking timeintegrated spectra both parallel and perpendicular to the rear target surface. The continuum wavelength intervals were chosen at positions where no spectral lines were observed. Several experiments were done to check the assumption that the rear surface radiates as a blackbody. Figure 2 shows peak intensity versus wavelength data for Al foils of 4 μ m, 7 μ m, and 12 μ m thickness. This figure demonstrates the magnitude of shot-to-shot scatter and calibration errors. It is seen that the intensity data have the magnitude and approximate wavelength dependence expected from a blackbody.

In addition, the peak intensity of a strong aluminum spectral line was found to be the some (+20%) as the neighboring continuum. This would be the case if the radiation was coming from an isothermal, opticallythick plasma, i.e., a blackbody. We conclude that the blackbody assumptions are justified.







Interferometric plasma density measurements on the rear surface of the accelerated target obtained with a laser (5270 Å) probe beam passing tangentially to the target surface gave maximum densities of approximately 10^{19} cm^{-3} and a gradient scalelength of 50 µm at the visible rear surface. However, these density measurements are limited by the opacity of the 1 mm plasma path length for the probe light, and by refraction of the probe light out of the f/2 collection optics due to a steep electron density gradient. Opacity calculations⁸ show that, at the emission wavelengths observed and at a temperature of 0.5-2 eV, the plasma has an opacity of about 1 for a density of several times 10^{20} cm^{-3} and a path length of 10 microns. Therefore, the temperature we are measuring characterizes a region in the density interval 5 x 10^{19} cm^{-3} < n <5 x 10^{20} cm^{-3} . (An optical probe beam directed perpendicularly at the rear side of the target was found to be strongly absorbed when the temperature reached a few tenths of an electron volt.)

Figure 3 shows the time history of the rear surface temperatures for Al foil targets of several different thicknesses. Accuracy of the temperature determination is better than a factor of 2 for T > 2 eV and improves to $\pm 20\%$ at T_e = 0.4 eV. In each case, heating occurs during the period of the laser pulse but the temperature continues to increase for several nanoseconds thereafter. The peak temperatures are seen to shift to later times and lower values as the target thickness increases. Figure 3(inset) shows the dependence of temperature on target thickness just after the acceleration (which is over by about +2 to+3 nsec). Additionally, the peak rear surface temperature was found to increase linearly with increasing irradiance (or energy) over the irradiance range 2 - 6 x 10^{12} W/cm². The peak temperature (T_e) for Al as well as CH and C foils, all fit a single

hyperbola, $T_e \rho_A = 8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV gm cm}^2$ at 5 x 10¹² W/cm², where the areal density ρ_A is the product of material density and target thickness. The peak temperatures ranged from 34 eV for a 2.5 µm CH foil to 0.8 eV for 23 µm Al foil.

Possible mechanisms for energy transport to the rear of targets include fast electrons, x rays, thermal conduction and shocks. The contributions of these phenomena have been evaluated by separate measurements and by considerations of the time history and parametric behavior of the measured temperature.

First, the lack of measurable x-ray emission (0.1 erg) in the energy range 20-50 keV, (corresponding to radiation from electrons with ranges comparable to target thickness) from the front side of the foils⁹ demonstrates that there is insufficient fast electron energy (5×10^{-5} J) to cause appreciable heating of the rear target surface.

Second, time-resolved studies have shown that the x-ray emission (>1 keV) very closely follows the time history of the incident laser irradiance, so that x-ray preheat effects should closely follow the time-integrated laser pulse (Fig. 3). Based on the known range and measured intensities of x rays in the energy range of 1.5 - 50 keV emitted from the front side of Al targets, the important x rays for the purpose of preheating the rear target surface are the x-ray line and continuum radiation between 1.6 and 2.3 keV. (The much lower intensity continuum emission just below the K-edge at 1.56 keV, as yet unresolved, may also be an important preheat agent.) The time-integrated x-ray line spectrum was measured on an absolute basis (to an estimated accuracy of a factor of 2) and the x-ray energy deposited throughout the target was calculated, assuming spatial uniformity of the x-ray source and using cold material absorption coefficients. A knowledge of the equation

of state is necessary in order to convert preheat energy deposition to temperature. We assume the target foil material remains near solid density for the times of interest, and use tabulated heat capacity data¹⁰ to determine the temperature resulting from the calculated local x-ray deposition (curve at 3 nsec, Fig. 4). We find that the rear-side temperature rise during the laser pulse is consistent with 1.6 - 2.3 keV x-ray deposition.

Third, using formulae and tabulated data for thermal conduction and radiative diffusion¹⁰ and the tabulated data for heat capacities, numerical calculations assuming a fixed temperature at a point 1 μ m in from the front surface and no losses from the rear surface (curves at 6 and 12 nsec, Fig. 4) show that thermal conduction may explain the upward drift in temperature after termination of the laser pulse.

Fourth, the contribution to the heating of the target due to shock waves that may be present is difficult to evaluate precisely. From measurement of ablation particle velocities, as well as gross acceleration of the target foil, we infer a peak ablation pressure of ~2 Mbar at 5 x 10^{12} W/cm² on Al. A single 2 Mbar shock propagating into cold Al will produce a 75% density increase, a 0.8 eV temperature rise, and a shock velocity of 1.3×10^6 cm/sec.¹⁰ In fact, the small early temperature rise for the thicker Al targets (12-23 μ m) appears consistent with such a model. For thin targets, however, one must use a hydrodynamic code to evaluate the magnitude of shock wave heating; multiple reflections occur because the rise time of the pressure pulse is longer than the shock transit time through the target. Two different hydrodynamic codes, which have been run for our experimental conditions, indicate that the x-ray deposition is the major contributor to the rear surface heating for 4-12 μ m Al targets.^{11,12} The codes agree within a factor of two with the observed temperature and closely match the temporal behavior for these targets.

- 4



 $(\lambda) = 33$ Å. Dotted curve is the time history of the laser pulse and the dashed curve is the integrated laser pulse. The time t = 0 corresponds to the time of the peak of the laser pulse. Inset shows rear surface temperature vs. Al foil thickness at two times at the end of the acceleration process.



Fig. 4 – Rear surface temperature vs. Al foil thickness showing the prompt x-ray heating at 3 nsec, and prompt plus thermal conduction heating at 6 nsec for the 4 μ m foil and at 12 nsec for the 7 μ m foil. The square data points are measured temperature values at 6 nsec for the 4 μ m Al foil and at 12 nsec for the 7 μ m Al foil.

The late time heating and cooling of the rear surface can be understood in terms of an energy transport balance into and out of the rear surface. Thermal conduction calculations similar to those previously mentioned suggest that ordinary axial thermal conduction can account for the slow upward drift of the rear surface temperature that is seen on the thicker targets. The importance of lateral thermal conductivity on the late time development of the rear surface temperature is indicated by measurements on a 7- μ m-thick Al foil. These show that when the focal spot size is reduced from 1 mm to 1 mm diameter at constant irradiance, the peak temperature is lower by 50% and occurs earlier in time, and the temperature decays more rapidly.

We have examined the possibility of energy flowing around our target foil, which has been reported on disc targets with higher irradiance CO_2 lasers.¹³ By increasing the width of the foil from 3 mm to 1 cm, we see less than 10% change in the rear surface temperature, and conclude that the effect of energy flowing around the target, if present, is small. There is also the possibility of energy flowing around the edges of the slug of accelerated material ("cookie-cutter" model).¹⁴ Streak photography of the rear surface visible emission (Fig. 2, inset), as well as time-integrated photography, show no sign of either of these effects.

In conclusion, we have measured the time history of the rear surface temperature of foils accelerated by laser ablation. The relative effects of x rays, shocks, thermal conduction, and fast electrons upon preheating this surface have been evaluated. It is concluded that for our conditions direct heating by soft x rays followed by thermal conduction appears capable of explaining most of the heating observed in thinner foils. Shock waves

1

are more important for the thicker targets. The energy flows through rather than around the targets, providing a close analogy to the laser ablative implosion of pellet shells.

It should be noted that in these experiments the rear surface temperature of even the thinnest Al targets (4 μ m) remain on a low isentrope (\leq 3 eV) throughout the acceleration process. Proposed low-irradiance, reactor-sized pellets¹⁵ would have thicker shells (\geq 50 μ m) and thus even less heating by x rays and thermal conduction.

We would like to acknowledge valuable contributions by S.J. Gitomer (Los Alamos National Laboratory), M.D. Rosen (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory), F.C. Young, J.M. McMahon, J. Grun, R. McGill, N. Nocerino and E. Turbyfill. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy.

REFERENCES

1.	J.	Nuckolls,	L.	Wood,	Α.	Thiessen,	G.	Zimmerman,	Nature,	<u>239</u> , 139
	(19	972).								

- R. Decoste, S.E. Bodner, B.H. Ripin, E.A. McLean, S.P. Obenschain, and C.M. Armstrong, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>42</u>, 1673 (1979).
- E.A. McLean, S.P. Obenschain, J.A. Stamper, S.H. Gold, B.H. Ripin,
 R. Decoste, and S.E. Bodner, Conference Record 1979 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, June 4-6, 1979, Paper 3A8.
- L.R. Veeser and J.C. Solem, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>40</u>, 1391 (1978); and R.J. Trainor, J.W. Shaner, J.M. Auerbach, and N.C. Holmes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 1154 (1979).
- P.A. Urtiew, UCRL Report No. UCRL-51432, 1973 (unpublished); and G.A. Lyzenga and T.J. Ahrens, Rev. Sci. Instrum. <u>50</u>, 1421 (1979).
- 6. G. Beck, Rev. Sci. Instrum. <u>47</u>, 537 (1976).
- 7. C.R. Barber, J. Sci. Inst. 23, 238 (1946).
- 8. Norman H. Magee, Jr. of Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory has kindly provided us with opacity calculations for aluminum.
- 9. F.C. Young, private communication.
- 10. S.L. Thompson and H.S. Lauson, Sandia Laboratories Report Nos. SC-RR-710713 and SC-RR-710714 (unpublished).
- 11. S.J. Gitomer, to be published.
- 12. M.K. Matzen, private communication.
- N.A. Ebrahim, C. Joshi, D.M. Villeneuve, N.H. Burnett, and
 M.C. Richardson, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>43</u>, 1995 (1979).

14. R.E. Morse, et al., to be published.

4

一、二日子の

Ĩ.

Yu. V. Afanas'ev et al. Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. <u>21</u>,
 150 (1975) [JETP Lett. <u>21</u>, 68 (1975)].

- - heting

DISTRIBUTION LIST

USDOE (50 copies) P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37830

National Technical Information Service (24 copies) U.S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161 Los Alamos

NRL, Code 2628 (35 copies)

NRL, Code 4730 (100 copies)

NRL, Code 4700 (25 copies)

USDOE (6 copies) Office of Inertial Fusion Washington, D.C. Attn: Dr. G. Canavan Dr. R. Schriever Dr. S. Kahalas

Dr. T. Godlove

Dr. K. Gilbert

Lawrence Livermore Laboratory P.O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94551 Attn: Dr. D. Attwood, L481 Dr. W. Kruer, L545 Dr. J. Lindl, L32 Dr. C. Max, L545 Dr. A. Glass Dr. L. Coleman Dr. J. Nuckolls Dr. W. Mead Dr. N. Ceglio Dr. R. Kidder

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

Code 4790 Dr. D. Colombant Dr. W. Manheimer

Department of Physics and Astronomy University of Maryland College Park, MD 20740 Attn: Dr. H. Griem Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory Los Alamos, NM 87545 Attn: Dr. R. Godwin Dr. S. Gitomer Dr. J. Kindel

University of Rochester Rochester, NY 14627 Laboratory for Laser Energetics Attn: Dr. J. Soures Dr. W. Seka

KMS Fusion 3941 Research Park Drive P.O. Box 1567 Ann Arbor, MI 48106 Attn: Dr. F. Mayer

Institut fur Plasmaphysik 8046 Garching Bei Munchen West Germany Attn: Dr. R. Sigel

National Research Council Division of Physics 100 Susser Drive Ottawa K1A-OR6, Canada Attn: Dr. J. Alcock

University of Quebec INRS Energie Case Postale 1020 Varennes, Quebec Attn: Dr. T. Johnston Dr. R. Decoste

Rutherford Laboratory Chilton, Didcot Oxon OX110QX England Attn: Dr. M. Key Dr. T. Raven

15

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK-NOT FILMED

Sandia Laboratory Albuquerque, NM Attn: Dr. K. Matzen Dr. J. Anthes Dr. R. Palmer Institute for Laser Engineering Osaka University Suita Osaka, 565 Japan Attn: Dr. C. Yamanaka Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics Academia Sinica Shanghai, PRC Attn: Prof. Gan Fu-xi Prof. Yu Wen-yan Prof. Xu Zhi-zhan Prof. Deng Xi-ming Prof. Tan Wei-han Mr. Pan Cheng-min Soreq Nuclear Center Yavne, Israel Attn: Dr. A. Krumbein

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

Code 4040 J. Boris J. Gardener J. Orens

Dr. James Lunney Dept. of Pure and Applied Physics Queens University Belfast, N. Ireland

Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station 5010 Duke Street Alexandria, VA 22314

