

AD-A090 342

DAVID W TAYLOR NAVAL SHIP RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CE-ETC F/8 13/10  
A MODIFIED JONSWAP SPECTRUM DEPENDENT ONLY ON WAVE HEIGHT AND P--ETC(U)  
MAY 80 W T LEE, S L BALES  
DTNSRDC/SPD-0918-01

UNCLASSIFIED


NL

1 of 1

REF ID: A090342




END  
DATE  
FILMED  
11-80  
DTIC



RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NBS 1963-A

**LEVEL II**

**Q**

**DAVID W. TAYLOR NAVAL SHIP  
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER**

Bethesda, Maryland 20084



A MODIFIED JONSWAP SPECTRUM DEPENDENT ONLY  
ON WAVE HEIGHT AND PERIOD

by

Wah T. Lee  
and  
Susan L. Bales

**DTIC  
COLLECTED  
OCT 15 1980**

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

SHIP PERFORMANCE DEPARTMENT

May 1980

DTNSRDC/SPD-0918-01


DTNSRDC/SPD-0918-01

A MODIFIED JONSWAP SPECTRUM DEPENDENT ONLY ON WAVE HEIGHT AND PERIOD

AD A090342

**DOC FILE COPY**

### MAJOR DTNSRDC ORGANIZATIONAL COMPONENTS



"Development of Consistent Natural Environment Parameter Sets for Combatant Capability Assessment (CCA)," by Lee and Bales, Report DTNSRDC/SPD-0795-02 (Mar 1980)

Errata

1. p. 7 - fourth line in second paragraph should read " $L_i$  and  $\lambda_i$  are latitude and longitude in degrees, respectively"



**DAVID W. TAYLOR NAVAL SHIP RESEARCH  
AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER**

**HEADQUARTERS  
BETHESDA, MARYLAND 20084**

**ANNAPOLIS LABORATORY  
ANNAPOLIS, MD 21402  
CARDEROCK LABORATORY  
BETHESDA, MD 20084**

**IN REPLY REFER TO:**

**1568:WTL  
5605  
13 August 1980**

**From: Commander, David W. Taylor Naval Ship R&D Center  
To: Commander, Naval Sea Systems Command (Code 32R)**

**Subj: Forwarding of Report**

**Ref: (a) Ship Seakeeping Research and Development Program NAVSEA SF 43 421 202**

**Encl: (1) DTNSRDC Ship Performance Department Report DTNSRDC/SPD-0918-01, "A  
Modified JONSWAP Spectrum Dependent Only on Wave Height and Period,"  
by W.T. Lee and S.L. Bales, May 1980 (2 copies)**

**1. In accordance with reference (a), enclosure (1) is forwarded for your  
information and retention.**

**GRANT R. HAGEN  
By direction**

**Copy to:  
Initial Distribution List**

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER DTNSRDC/SPD-0918-01	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD090 342	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) A MODIFIED JONSWAP SPECTRUM DEPENDENT ONLY ON WAVE HEIGHT AND PERIOD		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Rpt.
7. AUTHOR(s) Wah T. Lee Susan L. Bales		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Ship Performance Department David W. Taylor Naval Ship R&D Center Bethesda, Maryland 20084		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) SF 42421282 GF 42
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Material Command Washington, D.C. 20360		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS (See reverse side)
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE May 80
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 28
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) JONSWAP Spectrum Hindcast Spectral Ocean Wave Model (SOWM) Fetch Wave Energy Spectral Density		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) → For simplicity as well as consistency with the current state-of-the-art in seakeeping performance assessment, a wave spectral formulation for fetch-limited ocean areas which is dependent only on the significant wave height and modal wave period is desirable. A modified version of the JONSWAP spectrum is therefore derived, but as is the case with the usual fetch-dependent JONSWAP spectrum, it contains too much energy for fetches above → (Continued on reverse side)		

DD FORM 1473  
1 JAN 73

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE  
S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

311 1

**UNCLASSIFIED**

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

(Block 10)

Project Number 62543N


~~Block Numbers~~ SF 43 421 202 and SF 43 421 001

Work Unit Numbers 1504-100 and 1500-104

(Block 20 continued)

→ about 40 nautical miles. This inconsistency is probably due to certain parameter relationships which are all based on least squares fits. Therefore, a new parameter,  $\beta$ , is developed to replace the usual  $\alpha$  parameter, correcting for the parameter's nonuniversality.

*B1-A*



**UNCLASSIFIED**

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF FIGURES . . . . .	iii
LIST OF TABLES . . . . .	iv
NOTATION . . . . .	v
ABSTRACT . . . . .	1
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION . . . . .	1
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
MODIFICATION OF THE JONSWAP SPECTRUM . . . . .	2
$\beta$ PARAMETER . . . . .	5
APPLICATIONS OF THE MODIFIED JONSWAP SPECTRUM . . . . .	6
VALIDATION OF MODIFIED FORMULATION . . . . .	7
CONCLUDING REMARKS . . . . .	7
REFERENCES . . . . .	9
APPENDIX - DESCRIPTION OF COMPUTER PROGRAM JONI . . . . .	21

## LIST OF FIGURES

1 - Description of Five Defining Parameters of the JONSWAP Spectrum . . . . .	11
2 - Comparisons of JONSWAP Theoretical and Actual Significant Wave Height and Fetch Relationships . . . . .	12
3 - Determination of $\beta$ for Modified JONSWAP Spectrum . . . . .	13
4 - Determination of Small $\beta$ for Modified JONSWAP Spectrum . . . . .	14
5 - Typical Modified JONSWAP Spectra for Significant Wave Heights of 2 and 5 m . . . . .	15
6 - Typical Modified JONSWAP Spectra for Significant Wave Heights of 3 and 7 m . . . . .	16
7 - Modified JONSWAP Spectral Relationships Between Modal Wave Period, Fetch, and Wind Speed . . . . .	17

	Page
8 - Modified JONSWAP Spectral Relationships Between Significant Wave Height, Fetch, and Wind Speed . . . . .	17
9 - Comparisons Between Modified JONSWAP Spectra and Measured Spectra . . . . .	18
10 - Comparisons Between Modified JONSWAP, Bretschneider, and Hindcast Wave Spectra . . . . .	19

LIST OF TABLES

1 - Significant Wave Heights for Varying Values of $\gamma$ . . . . .	20
2 - Computer Program JON1 . . . . .	22
3 - Output from Program JON1 . . . . .	24

## NOTATION

$f$	Wave frequency, cycles $\cdot$ sec $^{-1}$ or Hertz
$f_0$	Frequency corresponding to the peak of the wave spectrum, cycles $\cdot$ sec $^{-1}$
$g$	Acceleration due to gravity, 9.8087 m $\cdot$ sec $^{-2}$
$m_0$	Spectral moment of order zero
$S_{\zeta}(f), S_{\zeta}(\omega)$	Long-crested wave spectral density ordinates
$U$	Wind speed, at 10 m above ocean surface
$X$	Fetch
$(\tilde{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}$	Significant wave height, average of one-third highest double amplitudes
$T_0$	Modal wave period, period corresponding to the frequency of the peak of wave spectrum
$\alpha$	Phillip's constant
$\beta$	A constant dependent on the significant wave height and modal wave period
$\gamma$	Ratio of the maximal spectral energy to the maximum of the corresponding Pierson-Moskowitz spectrum
$\sigma_a, \sigma_b$	Left, right side widths of spectral peak of JONSWAP spectra
$\omega$	Wave frequency, radians $\cdot$ sec $^{-1}$

## ABSTRACT

For simplicity as well as consistency with the current state-of-the-art in seakeeping performance assessment, a wave spectral formulation for fetch-limited ocean areas which is dependent only on the significant wave height and modal wave period is desirable. A modified version of the JONSWAP spectrum is therefore derived, but as is the case with the usual fetch-dependent JONSWAP spectrum, it contains too much energy for fetches above about 40 nautical miles. This inconsistency is probably due to certain parameter relationships which are all based on least squares fits. Therefore, a new parameter,  $\beta$ , is developed to replace the usual  $\alpha$  parameter, correcting for the parameter's nonuniversality.

## ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

This report was prepared under the sponsorship of the Conventional Ship Seakeeping Research and Development Program, funded under Project Number 62543N and Block Number SF 43 421 202 and the Ship Performance and Hydromechanics Program, funded under Project Number 62543N and Block Number SF 43 421 001. It is identified by Work Unit Number 1504-100 and 1500-104, respectively, at the David W. Taylor Naval Ship Research and Development Center (DTNSRDC).

## INTRODUCTION

The primary goals of the Joint North Sea Wave Project (JONSWAP), which originated in 1967, were to measure the growth of waves under limited fetch conditions and to analyze attenuation of waves propagating into shallow water, see References 1 and 2.\* The fetch dependence of the measured one-dimensional frequency spectra was investigated by parameterizing them with an analytic function derived by least squares fit techniques. The resulting function, currently the most widely used spectrum for representing fetch-limited seas, is known as the JONSWAP spectral density equation and is given by

$$S_{\zeta}(f) = \alpha g^2 (2\pi)^{-4} f^{-5} \exp\left[-\frac{5}{4}\left(\frac{f}{f_0}\right)^{-4}\right] \gamma \exp\left[-\frac{(f-f_0)^2}{2\sigma^2 f_0^2}\right] \text{ m}^2\text{-sec} \quad (1)$$

---

\*A complete listing of references is given on page 9.

which reflects the five parameters of  $f_0$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\sigma_a$  and  $\sigma_b$  shown in Figure 1.  $f$  is the wave frequency in cycles  $\cdot$  sec $^{-1}$ ,  $f_0$  is the frequency at the spectral peak, and  $g$  is the acceleration due to gravity. In this report, a "mean" JONSWAP spectrum has been used, so that  $\gamma$  is 3.3,  $\sigma_a$  is 0.07 and  $\sigma_b$  is 0.09. The two parameters,  $\alpha$  and  $f_0$ , are dependent on the wind speed and fetch such that

$$\alpha = 0.076 \tilde{X}^{-0.22} \quad (2)$$

and

$$f_0 = \frac{\tilde{f}_0 g}{U} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\tilde{X} = \frac{gX}{U^2} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\tilde{f}_0 = 3.5 \tilde{X}^{-0.33}, \tilde{X} < 10^4 \quad (5)$$

$X$  is the fetch in nautical miles. The wind speed  $U$  is taken to be at an elevation of 10 m above the surface and is in units of knots.

The JONSWAP spectral form represents a generalization of the Pierson-Moskowitz form by inclusion of fetch as an additional parameter to wind speed. If  $\alpha$  is 0.0081 and  $\gamma$  is 1 in equation (1), the JONSWAP spectral form is identical to the Pierson-Moskowitz form. In general, the JONSWAP spectrum contains more peak energy than the corresponding Pierson-Moskowitz spectrum for the same values of  $\alpha$  and  $f_0$ , see Figure 1.

#### MODIFICATION OF THE JONSWAP SPECTRUM

In previously completed ship seakeeping analyses, e.g., see Reference 3, in which the ship's operations in fetch-limited waters has to be assessed, it has been customary to apply the JONSWAP spectrum, defined by wind speed and fetch as given in equation (1). However, for simplicity as well as consistency with the current state-of-the-art in seakeeping performance assessment, a JONSWAP expression which is dependent only on the two parameters, significant wave height and modal wave period, is also

desirable. In order to achieve this, the following steps have been carried out. Eliminating the dimensionless frequency  $\tilde{f}_0$  from equations (3) and (5),

$$U = \frac{3.5 \tilde{X}^{-0.33} g}{\tilde{f}_0} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\tilde{f}_0 = \frac{1}{T_0} \quad (7)$$

or

$$U = 3.5 \tilde{X}^{-0.33} g T_0 \quad (8)$$

The fetch dependence of the dimensionless total energy in the wave spectrum is given as

$$\tilde{m}_0 = \frac{m_0 g^2}{U^4} \quad (9)$$

Furthermore, another fetch empirical relationship is well represented by the following relation

$$\tilde{m}_0 = 1.6 (10^{-7}) \tilde{X}, \quad \tilde{X} < 10^4 \quad (10)$$

where  $m_0$  is the spectral moment of order zero. Significant wave height  $(\tilde{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}$  can be defined as

$$(\tilde{\zeta}_w)_{1/3} = 4 \sqrt{m_0} \quad \text{or} \quad m_0 = \frac{(\tilde{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}^2}{16} \quad (11)$$

and substituting into equation (9) gives

$$\tilde{m}_0 = \frac{(\tilde{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}^2 g^2}{16 U^4} \quad (12)$$

Combining equations (8) and (12),

$$\tilde{m}_0 = \frac{(\tilde{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}^2 g^2}{16 (3.5 \tilde{X}^{-0.33} g T_0)^4} \quad (13)$$

Eliminating the term  $\tilde{m}_0$  from equations (10) and (13) yields

$$1.6 (10^{-7}) \tilde{X} = \frac{(\tilde{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}^2 g^2}{16 (3.5 \tilde{X}^{-0.33} g T_0)^4} \quad (14)$$

or

$$\tilde{X}^{-0.32} = \frac{(\tilde{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}^2 2603.082}{g^2 T_0^4} \quad (15)$$

This equation can also be written in the form

$$\tilde{X}^{-0.22} = \frac{222.92 (\tilde{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}^{1.375}}{g^{1.375} T_0^{2.75}} \quad (16)$$

Substituting  $\tilde{X}$  into equation (2)

$$\alpha = 0.076 \left[ \frac{222.92 (\tilde{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}^{1.375}}{g^{1.375} T_0^{2.75}} \right] \quad (17)$$

or

$$\alpha = \frac{16.942 (\tilde{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}^{1.375}}{g^{1.375} T_0^{2.75}} \quad (18)$$

The JONSWAP equation can now be rewritten in terms of  $(\tilde{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}$  and  $T_0$  as

$$S_{\zeta}(f) = \frac{16.942 (\tilde{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}^{1.375}}{g^{1.375} T_0^{2.75}} g^2 (2\pi)^{-4} f^{-5} \exp \left[ -1.25 (f T_0)^{-4} \right] \gamma \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (f T_0 - 1)^2 \right] \quad (19)$$

$m^2 \cdot \text{sec}$

Equation (19) can be further rewritten to be more compatible with the usual Bretschneider spectral density formulation as well as most ship response calculation procedures by converting  $f$  to  $\omega$ , the circular frequency in radians  $\cdot \text{sec}^{-1}$ , and taking  $\gamma = 3.3$ ,

$$S_{\zeta}(\omega) = \frac{16.942 (\bar{\zeta}_w)^{1/3} 1.375}{g^{1.375} T_o^{2.75}} g^2 \omega^{-5} \exp \left[ -1.25 \left( \frac{\omega T_o}{2\pi} \right)^{-4} \right] 3.3 \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left( \frac{\omega T_o}{2\pi} - 1 \right)^2 \right]$$

m<sup>2</sup>-sec (20)

where

$$\sigma = 0.07 \text{ for } \frac{\omega}{2\pi} \leq \frac{1}{T_o} \quad (21)$$

or

$$\sigma = 0.09 \text{ for } \frac{\omega}{2\pi} > \frac{1}{T_o} \quad (22)$$

#### β PARAMETER

The modified JONSWAP spectrum given by equation (20) contains more energy than it is theoretically supposed to for the same values of significant wave height and modal wave period when these two parameters are used to define the spectrum.\* Figure 2 provides an illustration of the difference in significant wave height at the same fetch for winds of 20 and 30 knots. The solid line represents the theoretical relationship between significant wave height and fetch for those wind speeds. The dashed line represents the values which are actually computed from the spectral area when the given fetch and wind speed are specified in the usual JONSWAP formulation given in equation (1). The difference between the solid and dashed lines represents a rather noticeable increase in significant wave height for fetches above 40 nautical miles. The difficulty arises due to the fact that the absolute value of α is not universal, as was first suggested by Phillips, and also as described by Ewing in Reference 4. While the  $\bar{f}$  and  $\bar{X}$  data are well defined in the linear regression fits, see equations (5) and (10), there is a large scatter for

---

\*This inconsistency is also present when the usual JONSWAP formulation, given in equation (1), is applied and is particularly noticeable for fetches above about 40 nautical miles.



absolute values of  $\tilde{\lambda}$  larger than  $10^4$ , Consequently, the basic form of equation (2), and hence equation (18), is not universal at relatively high waves and periods. To correct this anomaly, a new parameter,  $\beta$ , is developed to replace the  $\alpha$  parameter given in the usual JONSWAP formulation. The technique developed to compute  $\beta$  is given in the Appendix. Figures 3 and 4 are the result and provide  $\beta$  for given values of significant wave height and modal wave period. The wave parameter ranges are deliberately broad in anticipation of any extreme occurrences such as those reported in the North Sea. The  $\beta$  parameter assures that the specified (input) significant wave height, see equation (18), corresponds to that which is calculated from the integration of the resulting spectral ordinates. The modified JONSWAP formulation given in equation (20) can now be rewritten as

$$S_{\zeta}(\omega) = \beta g^2 \omega^{-5} \exp \left[ -1.25 \left( \frac{\omega T_0}{2\pi} \right)^{-4} \right] 3.3 \exp - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[ \frac{\omega T_0}{2\pi} - 1 \right]^2$$

$m^2\text{-sec}$  (23)

where  $\beta$  is a constant dependent only on the significant wave height,  $(\tilde{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}$ , and the modal wave period,  $T_0$ .

#### APPLICATIONS OF THE MODIFIED JONSWAP SPECTRUM

Figures 5 and 6 provide sample JONSWAP (modified) spectra for significant wave heights of 2, 3, 5, and 7 meters and a range of modal wave periods. As is the case with the Bretschneider formulation, equation (23) can be applied without special regard to fetch or wind speed. The user, upon selecting the values for significant wave height and modal wave period (e.g., from historical wave statistics, climatology, etc.), determines  $\beta$  from Figures 3 or 4 and thence the spectrum can be developed. Figures 3 and 4 contain a limited but realistic range of modal wave periods for given significant wave heights for fetch limited geographies.

Table 1 provides comparisons of significant wave heights for different values of  $\gamma$ . By substituting a set of significant wave height values (e.g., from Table 1) in the abscissa scale of Figures 3 and 4,  $\beta$  values

can be obtained for various values of  $\gamma$ . Since a range of fetch or wind speed values used to obtain  $\beta$  could be of interest in some seakeeping analyses (e.g., for specific ocean areas), Figures 7 and 8, developed from computer program JON1 as detailed in the Appendix, provide a comparison with corresponding height and period ranges.

As with the usual JONSWAP formulation, the modified expression given in equation (23) is for long-crested seas. The cosine squared spreading function can be used with the spectrum but insufficient data on the directionality of wave systems in fetch-limited waters is available to verify its applicability.

#### VALIDATION OF MODIFIED FORMULATION

The general shape of the modified JONSWAP spectra agree well with the shape of the measured spectra reported for Argus Island in Reference 5. Several such comparisons are given in Figure 9. Furthermore, a total of four spectra in the North Sea, hindcast by the U.S. Navy's Spectral Ocean Wave Model (SOWM), see Reference 6, were randomly selected for comparison with the modified JONSWAP formulation. The hindcasts were also compared with Bretschneider spectra defined using the hindcast significant wave height and modal period. Figure 10 shows these comparisons. In general, the modified JONSWAP spectra provide a much closer approximation to the hindcasts than do the Bretschneider spectra though secondary spectral peaks are, of course, not well approximated due to the unimodal restriction of the model. The modal wave period has been used as the defining parameter of the spectra in this comparison. However, since some hindcast spectra contained multiple peaks, average wave period (e.g., zero crossing period) may permit a better shape definition of the theoretical wave spectra.

#### CONCLUDING REMARKS

An expression of the mean JONSWAP spectrum dependent on the significant wave height and modal wave period is derived. However, as is the case with the usual fetch-dependent JONSWAP spectrum, it contains too much energy for fetches above about 40 nautical miles. The inconsistency arises due to the fact that the absolute value of  $\alpha$  is not universal,

while the  $\bar{f}$  and  $\bar{X}$  data are well-defined in the least squares fits (equations (5) and (10)). Further, there is a broad scatter for absolute values of  $\bar{X}$  larger than  $10^4$ .

$\beta$  is developed to replace the  $\alpha$  parameter given in the usual mean JONSWAP formulation and to correct for the parameter's nonuniversality. Figures 3 and 4 permit the determination of  $\beta$  for given values of significant wave height and modal wave period. Hence, wave energy is conserved in the modified JONSWAP formulation.

Recent hindcast spectra from the North Sea, as well as actual wave measurements from fetch-limited areas, suggest that the modified JONSWAP spectrum may describe wave growth conditions more realistically than the Bretschneider spectrum in fetch-limited or shallow water conditions. In general, it is concluded that the modified JONSWAP spectrum provides a reasonable representation of wave conditions in fetch-limited or shallow water ocean areas.

#### REFERENCES

1. Hasselmann, K. et al., "Measurements of Wind-Wave Growth and Swell Decay During the Joint North Sea Wave Project (JONSWAP)," *Deutschen Hydrographischen Zeitschrift*, A8, No. 12 (1973).
2. Hasselmann, K. et al., "A Parametric Wave Prediction Model," *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, Vol. 6, pp. 200-228 (1976).
3. Bales, S.L., "Ship Motion Predictions for the MONOB 1, Operating in the Waters Near the Bahama Islands," Report DTNSRDC/SPD-727-01 (Sep 1976).
4. Ewing, J.A., "Some Results from the Joint North Sea Wave Project of Interest to Engineers," *International Symposium on the Dynamics of Marine Vehicles and Structures in Waves* (Apr 1974).
5. Lazanoff, S.M., "Wave Power Spectra from Argus Island," U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office, Report No. O-46-64 (Dec 1964).
6. Cummins, W.E. and S.L. Bales, "Extreme Value and Rare Occurrence Wave Statistics for Northern Hemispheric Ship Lanes," *Proceedings of SNAME STAR Symposium* (Jun 1980).




Figure 1 - Description of Five Defining Parameters of the JONSWAP Spectrum




Figure 2 - Comparisons of JONSWAP Theoretical and Actual Significant Wave Height and Fetch Relationships




Figure 3 - Determination of  $B$  for Modified JONSWAP Spectrum




Figure 4 - Determination of Small  $\beta$  for Modified JONSWAP Spectrum






Figure 5 - Typical Modified JONSWAP Spectra For Significant Wave Heights of 2 and 5 m.




Figure 6 - Typical Modified JONSWAP Spectra For Significant Wave Heights of 3 and 7 m.




Figure 7 - Modified JONSWAP Spectral Relationships Between Modal Wave Period, Fetch, and Wind Speed






Figure 8 - Modified JONSWAP Spectral Relationships Between Significant Wave Height, Fetch, and Wind Speed



WAVE FREQUENCY,  $\omega$ , RAD·SEC<sup>-1</sup>

Figure 9 - Comparisons Between Modified JONSWAP Spectral and Measured Spectra.




Figure 10 - Comparisons Between Modified JONSWAP, Bretschneider, and Hindcast Wave Spectra.

TABLE 1 - Significant Wave Heights for Varying Values of  $\gamma$ .

	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 3$	$\gamma = 3.3$	$\gamma = 5$	$\gamma = 7$
SIGNIFICANT WAVE HEIGHT, $(\bar{z}_w)^{1/3}$ , M	1.6	2	2	2.2	2.4
	3.2	3.9	4	4.4	4.8
	4.9	5.9	6	6.7	7.3
	6.5	7.8	8	8.9	9.7
	8.1	9.8	10	11.1	12.1
	9.7	11.8	12	13.3	14.5
	11.3	13.7	14	15.5	16.9
	13.0	15.7	16	17.7	19.4
	14.6	17.7	18	20.0	21.8

APPENDIX  
DESCRIPTION OF COMPUTER PROGRAM JON1

It has been shown in the preceding text that there is a noticeable discrepancy between the theoretical and actual significant wave heights for fetches above 40 nautical miles when the JONSWAP spectrum is applied. Therefore, a new parameter,  $\beta$ , is used to replace the  $\alpha$  parameter in equation (20), eg,

$$\beta = \frac{16.942 (\bar{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}^{1.375}}{g^{1.375} T_o^{2.75}} \quad (24)$$

where  $(\bar{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}$  is the theoretical significant wave height in meters.

A listing of program JON1 is presented in Table 2. The purpose of the program is to calculate  $\beta$ , modal wave period, and actual significant wave height under the spectral area. Results are plotted on Figures 3 and 4, with  $\beta$  against the actual significant wave height,  $(\bar{\zeta}_w)_{1/3}$ , and the modal period,  $T_o$ . For example, if the significant wave height is 4.08 m, and the modal period is 8 sec, then, by reading across the intersection at 4.08 m and 8 sec,  $\beta$  is seen to be about 0.0135. Substituting  $\beta$  value into equation (23) and the modified JONSWAP spectral can be generated by varying the values of  $\omega$ . A typical output from program JON1 is presented in Table 3.





TABLE 2 - COMPUTER PROGRAM JONI (Continued)

```

NDFETCH = G*FETCH/100/100
NDFM = 3.5/(NDFETCH)**.33
FM = NDFM*G/100
T0 = 1./FM
SIGMA = 4.*SQRT(.00*NDFETCH*100*100*100/G/G/1000000.)
ALPHA = .070/(NDFETCH)**.22

C
C-----FOR A PIERSON-MUSKOWITZ SPECTRUM. SET ALPHA=.0081 AFTER THIS
C-----COMMENT CARD.
C
      CUNI=ALPHA*G/(2.*PI)**2
      DO 100 I=1,N
      F=W(I)/(2.*PI)
      ARG1 = -1.25/(F*FM)**4
      IF ((F-FM).LE.EPS) ARG2=2.*SIGMA*SIGMA*FM*FM
      IF ((F-FM).GT.EPS) ARG2=2.*SIGMA*SIGMA*FM*FM
      ARG3=-((F-FM)*(F-FM)/ARG2
      IF (ARG1 .LT. -100.) S(I)=0.
      IF (ARG1 .LT. -100.) GO TO 100
      IF (ARG3 .LT. -.7.) GO TO 111
      ARG4 = EXP(4*G3)
      GO TO 112
111 ARG4=0.

C
C-----FOR A PIERSON-MUSKOWITZ SPECTRUM. SET GAMMA=1.0 AFTER THIS
C-----COMMENT CARD.
C
112 S(I) = CUNI/F**5*EXP(ARG1)*GAMMA**ARG4
C
C-----CONVERT SPECTRUM TO M**2-SEC (FROM M**2/MZ).
C
      S(I) = S(I) / (2.*PI)
100 CONTINUE
      RETURN
      END
      SUBROUTINE ALPHAS ( N,W,STARCA)
*
*FUNTRAN ROUTINE TO PERFORM A LAAGRANGIAN INTEGRATION.
*
      DIMENSION W(N),S(N)
      M=N-1
      A*EA=0.
      DO 20 M=1,M-1
      A=W(M,2)-W(M)
      B=W(M,2)-W(M+1)
      C=W(M,1)-W(M)
10      ARFA=ARFA+.5*A/5.*(S(M)*(3.*C-W)/(A*C)+S(M+1))*A/(H*C)+
      * S(M+2)*(2.*A-3.*C)/(4*B))
20      CONTINUE
      RETURN
      END
0000000000000000000000000000000000
1
50. 40.
0000000000000000000000000000000000

```

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE  
 XEROX COPY FURNISHED TO BSB

TABLE 3 - OUTPUT FROM PROGRAM JON1

JONSWAP SPECTRUM  
 FETCH = 60. 496 WIND SPEED = 40. KTS. SIG. WAVE HT. = 3.51 M = 11.51 FT  
 MODAL WAVE PER. = 8.00SECONDS BETA = .013510 THEORETICAL

F	T	•	S.M	S+FT
.008	125.654	.050	0.00000	0.00000
.016	52.832	.100	0.00000	0.00000
.024	41.878	.150	0.00000	0.00000
.032	31.410	.200	0.00000	0.00000
.040	25.133	.250	0.00000	0.00000
.048	20.444	.300	0.00000	0.00000
.056	17.452	.350	0.00000	0.00000
.064	15.708	.400	0.00000	0.00001
.072	13.953	.450	0.00061	0.00110
.080	12.560	.500	0.02100	0.22353
.088	11.424	.550	0.14707	1.33505
.095	10.472	.600	0.73157	4.04244
.103	9.600	.650	0.83207	6.75016
.111	8.970	.700	1.03342	10.30023
.119	8.378	.750	3.24423	34.90278
.127	7.834	.800	4.00132	43.04782
.135	7.372	.850	2.07701	21.73101
.143	6.941	.900	1.78003	15.17214
.151	6.514	.950	1.01411	10.71015
.159	6.233	1.000	0.51822	5.80270
.167	5.954	1.050	0.29009	1.92318
.175	5.712	1.100	0.18373	0.82003
.183	5.474	1.150	0.11271	0.30075
.191	5.233	1.200	0.07357	0.47033
.199	5.027	1.250	0.04570	0.77300
.207	4.833	1.300	0.02900	0.18488
.215	4.634	1.350	0.01822	0.10333
.223	4.435	1.400	0.01300	0.29004
.231	4.233	1.450	0.00813	1.73907
.239	4.034	1.500	0.00540	1.07078
.247	3.834	1.550	0.00332	1.43372
.255	3.627	1.600	0.00230	1.24044
.263	3.408	1.650	0.00157	1.07234
.271	3.190	1.700	0.00104	0.93031
.279	2.970	1.750	0.00072	0.80448
.286	2.741	1.800	0.00050	0.70731
.294	2.530	1.850	0.00030	0.61371
.302	2.307	1.900	0.00020	0.54377
.310	2.022	1.950	0.00016	0.47447
.318	1.742	2.000	0.00013	0.42423
.326	1.500	2.050	0.00010	0.37501
.334	1.242	2.100	0.00008	0.33415
.342	1.022	2.150	0.00007	0.29771
.350	0.800	2.200	0.00006	0.26350
.358	0.713	2.250	0.00005	0.23000
.366	0.732	2.300	0.00005	0.21302
.374	0.674	2.350	0.00005	0.19211
.382	0.610	2.400	0.00005	0.17313
.390	0.537	2.450	0.00005	0.15533
.398	0.513	2.500	0.00005	0.14147
.406	0.474	2.550	0.00005	0.12823
.414	0.417	2.600	0.00005	0.11608
.422	0.371	2.650	0.00005	0.10398
.430	0.327	2.700	0.00005	0.09204
.438	0.280	2.750	0.00005	0.08018
.446	0.244	2.800	0.00005	0.06863
.454	0.200	2.850	0.00005	0.05744
.462	0.157	2.900	0.00005	0.04672
.470	0.130	2.950	0.00005	0.03620
.478	0.094	3.000	0.00005	0.02721
.486	0.080	3.050	0.00005	0.01869
.494	0.027	3.100	0.00005	0.01000
.502	0.093	3.150	0.00005	0.04407
.510	0.093	3.200	0.00005	0.04144
.518	0.093	3.250	0.00005	0.03840

INTEGRATED DNS = 1.02 M = 3.33 FT  
 SIG. WAVE HT. = 4.04 M = 13.24 FT  
 (CALCULATED FROM INTEGRATED AREA OF SPECTRUM)

THIS PAGE IS BEST COPY AVAILABLE

## INITIAL DISTRIBUTION

### Copies

1 CNO  
NOP-0952

2 NAVMAT  
NMAT 08D13 CDR E. Young  
NMAT 08D17 LCDR T. Hinton

9 NAVSEA  
SEA 3213 R. Keane  
E. Comstock  
W. Sandberg  
T. Harrington  
SEA 06R C. Smith  
SEA 61R4 H. Demattia  
SEA 61433 F. Prout  
O. White  
SEA 63R2 A. Franceschetti

1 ONR  
ONR 481 CDR R. Kirk

3 CNOC  
CAPT J. McDonnell  
LCDR J. McKendrick  
J. Ownbey

1 NOSC  
Library

1 NUSC  
Library

1 NAEC  
Library

1 NADC  
Library

1 NATC  
Library

1 NSWC (Dahlgren)  
Library

1 NSWC (White Oak)  
Library

### Copies

1 NCSC  
Library

1 CEL  
Library

3 NRL  
Library  
8344 G. Valenzuela  
8344 W. Plant

3 NORDA  
Library  
110 R. Goodman  
331 J. Allender

3 NAVOCEANO  
NOO Library  
CAPT Palmer  
3431 O. von Zweck

4 FNOC  
CAPT P. Petit  
CDR R. Graff  
W. Clune  
S. Lazonoff

1 NOCD (Asheville)  
CDR T. Fitzpatrick

1 NEPRF  
CAPT Schram

2 PMTC  
CDR J. Tupaz  
32532 R. Deviolini

1 NAVPGSCOL  
Library

3 USNA  
Library  
R. Bhattacharyya  
B. Johnson

## Copies

2 USGG  
Library/5-2  
D. Walden

1 Maritime Administration  
Div. of Ship Design

1 NTSB  
R. Johnson

1 NOAA/NESS  
P. Deleonibus

1 NOAA/NOS  
Library

1 NOAA/NWS  
Library

1 NOAA/NDBO  
K. Steele

1 NCC  
Library

2 CERC  
Library  
D. Harris

2 WES  
D. Resio  
C. Vincent

1 APL  
D. Conrad

1 MPR Associates  
F. Sellars

1 Boeing Marine Systems  
O. Stark

1 Bell Helicopter  
P. O'Reilly

1 Sikorsky Aircraft  
F. Camaratta

1 Ocean Routes, Inc.  
N. Stevenson

## Copies

2 Marine Environments Corp.  
M. Earle  
K. Bush

1 Ocean Weather, Inc.  
V. Cardone

1 Brown and Roots, Inc.  
H. Chen

1 Hydronautics  
Library

1 Environmental Research and  
Technology Corporation  
J. Hayes

2 CUNY  
W. Pierson  
C. Tchen

1 University of Michigan  
T. Ogilvie

1 University of California  
R. Pauling

1 University of Florida  
M. Ochi

1 MIT  
Engineering Library

1 Scripps Institution of  
Oceanography  
T. Barnett

1 John Hopkins University  
O. Phillips

1 S. Dillion

1 O. Oakley


1 M. Burkhart

1 E. Lewis

1 M. St. Denis

1 NMI  
N. Hogben

Copies

- 2 AMTE (Haslar)  
A. Lloyd  
N. Andrew
- 1 Marinetechnik Planungsgesellschaft mBH  
W. Meyerhoff
- 1 Max-Planck-Institute für Meteorologie  
K. Hasselman
- 1 Delft University  
J. Gerritsma
- 12 DTIC 
- 8 ABC-17

CENTER DISTRIBUTION

Code

- 15 W. Morgan
- 1502 V. Monacella
- 1507 D. Cieslowski
- 1513 W. Cummins
- 152 W. Lin
- 154 J. McCarthy
- 156 G. Hagen
- 1568 G. Cox
- A. Baitis
- N. Bales
- E. Foley
- R. Peterson
- A. Silver
- J. Voelker
- 1576 T. Moran
- 1170 S. Hawkins
- 1170 P. Mandel
- 1630 L. Wellman
- 1730.3 W. Buckley
- 1730.6 J. Beach

**DTNSRDC ISSUES THREE TYPES OF REPORTS**

**1. DTNSRDC REPORTS, A FORMAL SERIES, CONTAIN INFORMATION OF PERMANENT TECHNICAL VALUE. THEY CARRY A CONSECUTIVE NUMERICAL IDENTIFICATION REGARDLESS OF THEIR CLASSIFICATION OR THE ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT.**

**2. DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS, A SEMIFORMAL SERIES, CONTAIN INFORMATION OF A PRELIMINARY, TEMPORARY, OR PROPRIETARY NATURE OR OF LIMITED INTEREST OR SIGNIFICANCE. THEY CARRY A DEPARTMENTAL ALPHANUMERICAL IDENTIFICATION.**

**3. TECHNICAL MEMORANDA, AN INFORMAL SERIES, CONTAIN TECHNICAL DOCUMENTATION OF LIMITED USE AND INTEREST. THEY ARE PRIMARILY WORKING PAPERS INTENDED FOR INTERNAL USE. THEY CARRY AN IDENTIFYING NUMBER WHICH INDICATES THEIR TYPE AND THE NUMERICAL CODE OF THE ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT. ANY DISTRIBUTION OUTSIDE DTNSRDC MUST BE APPROVED BY THE HEAD OF THE ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS.**