

COMPUTER SCIENCE CENTER

COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND 20742

Distribution Unlimited 80 10 3 116

FILE COPY

Kyt. TR-857 20 8.0 Janu DAAG-53-76C-0138 NEIGHBOR FINDING TECHNIQUES FOR IMAGES REPRESENTED BY QUADTREES. 10 Hanan Samet Computer Science Department University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742

#### ABSTRACT

A number of different techniques are presented for moving between adjacent blocks in an image represented by a quadtree. These adjacencies may be in the horizontal, vertical, or diagonal directions. Algorithms are given and their execution time is analyzed using a suitably defined model.

The support of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and the best Army Vight Vision Laboratory under Contract DAAG-53-76C-0138 DARPA Order-3206 is gratefully acknowledged, as if the help of Rathryn Riley in preparing this paper and Pat Young in preparing the figures. I have benefitted greatly from discussions with Azriel Rosenfeld.

Lopro,

Distribut

411414

# 1. Introduction

Region representation is an important aspect of image processing with numerous representations finding use. Recently, there has emerged a considerable amount of interest in the quadtree [3-7,13]. This stems primarily from its hierarchical nature which lends itself to a compact representation. It is also quite efficient for a number of traditional image processing operations such as computing perimeters [10], labeling connected components [11], finding the genus of an image [1], and computing centroids and set properties [17]. Development of algorithms to convert between the quadtree representation and other representations such as chain codes [2,9], rasters [12,14], binary arrays [13], and medial axis transforms [15,16, 18] lend further support to this importance.

In this paper we discuss methods for moving between adjacent blocks in the quadtree. We first show how transitions are made between blocks of equal size and then generalize our result to blocks of different size where the destination block is either of larger or smaller size than the source block. Such blocks are termed neighbors. Note that the transitions that we discuss also include those along diagonal, as well as horizontal and vertical, directions. These methods form the cornerstone of many of the quadtree algorithms (e.g., [1,2,9-12,14-18]), since they are basically tree traversals with a "visit" at each node. More often than not these visits involve probing a node's neighbors. The significance of our methods lies in the fact that they do not use coordinate information, knowledge of the size of the image, or storage in excess of that imposed by the nature of the quadtree data structure.

# 2. Definitions and notation

The quadtree is an approach to image representation based on the successive subdivision of the image into quadrants. It is represented by a tree of outdegree 4 in which the root represents a block and the four sons represent in order the NW, NE, SW, and SE quadrants. We assume that each node is stored as a record containing six fields. The first five fields contain pointers to the node's father and its four sons which correspond to the four quadrants. If P is a node and I is a quadrant, then these fields are referenced as FATHER(P) and SON(P,I) respectively. We can determine the specific quadrant in which a node, say P, lies relative to its father by use of the function SONTYPE(P) which has a value of I if SON(FATHER(P), I) = P. The sixth field, NODETYPE, describes the contents of the block of the image which the node represents -- i.e., WHITE if the block contains no l's, BLACK if the block contains only l's, and GRAY if it contains 0's and 1's. Alternatively, BLACK and WHITE are terminal nodes, while GRAY nodes are non-terminal nodes. For example, Figure 1b is a block decomposition of the region in Figure la while Figure lc is the corresponding quadtree.

Let the four sides of a node's block be called its N, E, S, and W sides. They are also termed its boundaries. We define the following predicates and functions to aid in the expression of operations involving a block's quadrants and its boundaries.

Just Big ::annourie 5 ificati 10.1

ADJ(B,I) is true if and only if quadrant I is adjacent to boundary B of the node's block, e.g., ADJ(W,SW) is true. REFLECT(B,I) yields the SONTYPE value of the block of equal size that is adjacent to side B of a block having SONTYPE value I, e.g., REFLECT(N,SW) = NW. COMMONSIDE(Q1,Q2) indicates the boundary of the block containing quadrants Q1 and Q2 that is common to them; e.g., COMMONSIDE(SW,NW) = W. If Q1 and Q2 are not adjacent brother quadrants (e.g., NE and SW) or if Q1 and Q2 are the same, then the value of COMMONSIDE is undefined. OPQUAD(Q) is the quadrant which does not share a block boundary with quadrant Q; e.g., OPQUAD(SW) = NE. Figure 2 shows the relationship between the quadrants of a node and its boundaries while Tables 1-4 contain the definitions of the ADJ, REFLECT, OPQUAD, and COMMONSIDE relationships respectively.  $\Omega$  corresponds to an undefined value.

For a quadtree corresponding to a  $2^n$  by  $2^n$  array we say that the root is at level n, and that a node at level i is at a distance of n-i from the root of the tree. In other words, for a node at level i, we must ascend n-i FATHER links to reach the root of the tree. Note that the farthest node from the root of the tree is at a level  $2\emptyset$ . A node at level  $\emptyset$  corresponds to a single pixel in the image. Also, we say that a node is of size  $2^s$  if it is found at level s in the tree.

## 3. Neighbor finding algorithms

Given a node corresponding to a specific block in the image, its neighbor of equal size in the horizontal or vertical direction is determined by locating a common ancestor. Next, we retrace the path while making mirror image moves about an axis formed by the common boundary between the blocks associated with the two nodes. The common ancestor is simple to determine--e.g., to find an eastern neighbor, the common ancestor is the first ancestor node which is reaced via its NW or SW son. For example, the eastern neighbor of node A in Figure 3a is G. It is located by ascending the tree until the common ancestor, D, is found. This requires going through a NE link to reach B, a NE link to reach C, and a NW link to reach D. Node G is now reached by backtracking along the previous path with the appropriate mirror image moves. This requires descending a NE link to reach E, a NW link to reach F, and a NW link to reach G. Figures 3a and 3b show how the eastern neighbor of node A is located. The algorithm for locating an equal sized neighbor in a given horizontal or vertical direction is given below using a variant of ALGOL 60 [8]. Note that we assume that the neighbor in the specified direction does indeed exist (i.e., we are not on the border of the image).

node procedure EQUAL ADJ NEIGHBOR(P,D);

/\* Locate an equal-sized neighbor of node P in horizontal
 or vertical direction D \*/

begin

value node P;

value direction D;

return (SON(if ADJ(D,SONTYPE(P)) then

EQUAL ADJ NEIGHBOR(FATHER(P),D)

else FATHER(P),

REFLECT (D, SONTYPE (P)));

end;

Finding a node's neighbor in the diagonal direction (i.e., its corresponding block touches the given node's block at a corner) is more complex. Given a node corresponding to a specific block in the image, its neighbor of equal size in a diagonal direction is achieved by a three step process. First, we locate the given node's nearest ancestor who is also adjacent (horizontally or vertically) to an ancestor of the sought neighbor. Next we make use of EQUAL\_ADJ\_NEIGHBOR to access the ancestor of the sought neighbor in the direction of the adjacency. Finally, we retrace the remainder of the path while making directly opposite moves (i.e., 180° opposite so that a NW move becomes a SE move). The nearest ancestor of the first step is the first ancestor which is not reached by a link equal to the direction of the desired neighbor--e.g., to find a SE neighbor, the

nearest such ancestor is the first ancestor node which is not reached via its SE son. For example, the SE neighbor of node A in Figure 4a is G. It is located by ascending the tree until the nearest ancestor, B, which is also adjacent horizontally (in this case) to an ancestor of G, i.e., F, is found. This requires going through a NE link to reach B. Node F is now reached by applying EQUAL ADJ NEIGHBOR in the direction of the adjacency (i.e., east). This forces us to go through a NE link to reach C and a NW link to reach D. Backtracking results in descending a NW link to reach E and a NW link to reach F. Finally, we backtrack along the remainder of the path making 180° moves--i.e., we descend a SW link to reach G. Figures 4a and 4b show how the SE neighbor of node A is located. The algorithm for locating an equal size neighbor in a given diagonal direction is given below. Note that we assume that the neighbor in the specified direction does indeed exist (i.e., we are not on the border of the image).

node procedure EQUAL CORNER NEIGHBOR(P,C);

/ \*Locate an equal-sized neighbor of node P in the direction

Sales Parts

of quadrant C \*/

begin

value node P value quadrant C; return(SON(if SONTYPE(P)=OPQUAD(C) then FATHER(P)

else if SONTYPE(P)=C then

EQUAL\_CORNER\_NEIGHBOR(FATHER(P),C)

eise EQUAL\_ADJ\_NEIGHBOR(

FATHER(P),

COMMONSIDE(SONTYPE (P),C)),

OPQUAD (SONTYPE (P)));

end;

It is often the case that neighbors are of different sizes. In such a case, we say that we want the neighboring terminal nodes having equal or greater size (e.g., the eastern neighbor of node 23 in Figure 1 is 16). If such a node does not exist, then we return a GRAY node of equal size if possible (e.g., the northern neighbor of node 23 in Figure 1 is J). Otherwise the node is adjacent to the border of the image (not the region) and NULL is returned since there is no neighbor in the specified direction (e.g., the northern neighbor of node 2 in Figure 1 is NULL). When a node does not have a neighboring terminal node of equal or greater size, returning a GRAY node of equal size is reasonable because the given node whose neighbor is being sought has more than one neighboring terminal node in the given direction. The algorithms for locating neighbors of equal or greater size in horizontal and vertical directions as well as diagonal directions are given below using procedures GTEQUAL ADJ NEIGHBOR and GTEQUAL CORNER NEIGHBOR respectively. Note that a neighbor in a diagonal direction, say C, will not always

abut against corner C of the node whose neighbor is sought (e.g., node 16 is a non-abutting NE neighbor of node 23 in Figure 1).

## node procedure GTEQUAL ADJ NEIGHBOR(P,D);

/\* Locate a neighbor of node P in horizontal or vertical

direction D. If such a node does not exist, then return NULL \*/

value node P;

value direction D;

node Q;

if not NULL(FATHER(P)) and ADJ(D,SONTYPE(P)) then

/\* Find a common ancestor \*/

Q+GTEQUAL\_ADJ\_NEIGHBOR(FATHER(P),D)

else Q+FATHER(P);

/\* Follow the reflected path to locate the neighbor \*/

return (if not NULL(Q) and GRAY(Q) then SON(Q, REFLECT(D, SONTYPE(P)))

1. 1. 14

else Q);

end;

node procedure GTEQUAL\_CORNER\_NEIGHBOR(P,C)

/\* Locate a neighbor of node P in the direction of quadrant C. If such a node does not exist, then return NULL \*/

<u>begin</u>

value node P;

value quadrant C;

node Q;

if not NULL(FATHER(P)) and SONTYPE(P) ≠ OPQUAD(C) then

/\* Find a common ancestor \*/

if SONTYPE(P)=C then Q+GTEQUAL CORNER\_NEIGHBOR(FATHER(P),C)

else Q+GTEQUAL\_ADJ\_NEIGHBOR(FATHER(P),COMMONSIDE(SONTYPE(P),C))

else Q+FATHER(P);

/\* Follow opposite path to locate the neighbor \*/

return (if not NULL(Q) and GRAY(Q) then SON(Q, OPQUAD(SONTYPE(P)))

else Q);

end;

If neighbors are of different sizes, we may wish to know the size of the adjacent or abutting neighbor. In such a case, we want our neighbor finding algorithms to return both a pointer to the neighboring node and a value from which the node's size can be easily computed. This is relatively straightforward when we know the level in the tree at which is found the node whose neighbor is being sought. In fact, such an algorithm need only increment the level counter by 1 for each link that is ascended while locating the common ancestor, and then decrement the level counter by 1 for each link that is descended while locating the appropriate neighbor. The algorithms for locating neighbors of equal or greater size, with their corresponding level positions, in horizontal and vertical directions as well as diagonal directions, are given below using procedures GTEQUAL ADJ NEIGHBOR2 and GTEQUAL CORNER NEIGHBOR2 respectively. Note the use of reference parameters to transmit and return results. An alternative is to define a record of type block having two fields of type node and integer whose values are a pointer to the neighboring node and its level respectively.

node procedure GTEQUAL ADJ NEIGHBOR2(P,D,Q,L);

/\* Return in Q the neighbor of node P in horizontal or vertical direction D. L denotes the level of the tree at which node P is initially found and the level of the tree at which node Q is ultimately found. If such a node does not exist, then return NULL \*/

begin

value node P;

value direction D;

reference node Q;

reference integer L;

L+L+1;

if not NULL(FATHER(P)) and ADJ(D,SONTYPE(P)) then

/\* Find a common ancestor \*/

GTEQUAL\_ADJ\_NEIGHBOR2(FATHER(P), D, Q, L)

else Q+FATHER(P);

/\* Follow the reflected path to locate the neighbor \*/

if not NULL(Q) and GRAY(Q) then

begin

Q+SON(Q, REFLECT(D, SONTYPE(P)));

L+L-1;

end;

<u>end;</u>

node procedure GTEQUAL CORNER\_NEIGHBOR2 (P,C,Q,L);

/\* Return in Q the neighbor of node P in the direction of quadrant C.
L denotes the level of the tree at which node P is initially found and
the level of the tree at which node Q is ultimately found. If such a
node does not exist, then return NULL \*/

begin

value node P;

value quadrant C;

reference node Q;

reference integer L;

L+L+1;

if not NULL(FATHER(P)) and SONTYPE(P) ≠ OPQUAD(C) then

/\* Find a common ancestor \*/

if SONTYPE(P)=C then GTEQUAL CORNER NEIGHBOR2(FATHER(P),C,Q,L)

else GTEQUAL ADJ NEIGHBOR2(FATHER(P), COMMONSIDE(SONTYPE(P), C), Q, L)

else Q+FATHER(P);

/\* Follow the opposite path to locate the neighbor \*/

if not NULL(Q) and GRAY(Q) then

begin

Q+SON(Q, OPQUAD(SONTYPE(P)));

L+L-1;

end;

end;

At times we may wish to locate an adjacent horizontal or vertical neighbor regardless of its size. In such a case, we also specify a corner of the block corresponding to the node whose neighbor is being sought. The neighboring node must be adjacent to this corner (e.g., node 21 is the northern neighbor of node 23 which is adjacent to the NE corner of node 23). The algorithm for computing such a neighbor is given below by procedure CORNER ADJ NEIGHBOR which makes use of GTEQUAL ADJ\_NEIGHBOR.

### node procedure CORNER ADJ NEIGHBOR(P,D,C);

/\* Locate a neighbor of node P in horizontal or vertical direction
D which is adjacent to corner C of node P. If such a node does
not exist, then return NULL \*/

begin

value node P;

value direction D;

value quadrant C;

P+GTEQUAL ADJ NEIGHBOR(P,D);

while GRAY(P) do P+SON(P, REFLECT(D,C)); /\* Descend to the desired corner \* return (P);

### <u>end;</u>

Similarly, in the case of a diagonal neighbor, we may also wish to locate the neighbor in the given direction regardless of its size (e.g., node 20 is a NE neighbor of node 22 in Figure 1 which is smaller in size). The algorithm for locating an arbitrary-sized diagonal neighbor is given below by procedure CORNER\_CORNER\_NEIGHBOR which makes use of GTEQUAL\_CORNER\_ NEIGHBOR.

node procedure CORNER CORNER\_NEIGHBOR(P,C);

/\* Locate a neighbor of node P in the direction of quadrant C which abuts against corner C of node P. If such a node does not exist, then return NULL \*/

begin

value node P

value quadrant C;

node Q;

Q+GTEQUAL\_CORNER\_NEIGHBOR(P,C);

while GRAY(Q) do Q+SON(Q,OPQUAD(C)); /\*Descend to the desired corner \*/ return (Q);

end;

It should be clear that procedures similar to CORNER\_ADJ\_NEIGHBOR and CORNER\_CORNER\_NEIGHBOR can be constructed that also return the level at which the desired neighboring node is found. This will not be done here.

The procedures outlined above always return NULL when a neighbor in a specified direction does not exist. This situation arises whenever the node whose neighbor is sought is adjacent to the border of the image along the specified direction. At times the NULL pointer is not convenient. Instead, we could assume that the image is surrounded by WHITE blocks as in Figure 5a or by BLACK blocks as in Figure 5b. The choice of WHITE or BLACK for the surrounding blocks depends on the particular application. For example, we use WHITE in the case of the quadtree to boundary code conversion algorithm [2] while BLACK is more useful in the case of the computation of distance [15] and the construction of a Quadtree Medial Axis Transform [16].

ちょう いつきまし

4

At times it is useful to determine if certain edges of the blocks corresponding to two neighboring nodes extend past each other or are aligned. For example, in Figure 1, node 16 extends past node 10 with respect to their western boundaries, while the western boundaries of nodes 9 and 16 are aligned. We assume that the level of the tree at which each of the two nodes, say P and Q at levels LP and LQ respectively, reside is known. It should be clear that at most LP-LQ nodes must be visited. This can be seen by observing that the smaller of the two nodes cannot extend farther than the other because this would imply that the two nodes properly overlap, which is impossible. At best, the smaller node can be aligned with the other node, and this occurs if and only if the smaller node is adjacent to the extreme side in the designated direction of the nearest common ancestor of the two nodes. The algorithm for computing the aligned relationship is given below by procedure ALIGNED.

Boolean procedure ALIGNED(P,LP,Q,LQ,D);

/\* Given two nodes P and Q, at levels LP and LQ respectively, which are adjacent along side CCSIDE(D) of node P, determine whether either of P or Q extends farther in direction D than the other (return FALSE), or their two sides in direction D are aligned (return TRUE) \*/

begin

1

1

value node P,Q;

value integer LP,LQ;

value direction D;

node R;

integer I;

if LP=LQ then return (TRUE)

else if LP>LQ then R+Q

else R+P;

/\* The smaller of the two nodes cannot extend farther than the other because this would imply that P and Q properly overlap, which is impossible. At best, the smaller node can be aligned with the other node, and this occurs if and only if the smaller node is adjacent to the extreme side in direction D of the nearest common ancestor of nodes P and Q \*/

for I+1 step 1 until ABS(LP-LQ) do

begin

if not ADJ(D,SONTYPE(R)) then return (FALSE)

else R+FATHER(R)

end;

return (TRUE);

end;

The above techniques should be contrasted with other methods of locating neighbors [3-5,7]. In [7], a method is described for moving between adjacent blocks of equal size that are brothers (i.e., have the same father node). This method does not make use of the tree structure; instead, coordinate information and . knowledge of the size of the image are used to locate a neighboring brother in a given horizontal or vertical direction. This is accomplished by a number of primitives termed MOVE UP, MOVE DOWN, MOVE RIGHT, and MOVE LEFT. Transitions to non-brother neighboring blocks require the use of approximations through the use of primitives named MORE, LESS, and GAMMA. The disadvantages of these methods is that they require computation (rather than chasing links) and are clumsy when adjacent blocks are not brothers as well as when they are of different sizes than the block whose neighbor is sought.

ういます

In [3-5] a number of algorithms are described for operating on images using quadtrees. Transitions between neighboring blocks are made by use of explicit links from a node to its adjacent neighbors in the horizontal and vertical directions. This is achieved through the use of adjacency trees, "ropes", and "nets". An adjacency tree exists whenever a leaf node, say X, has a GRAY neighbor, say Y, of equal size. In such a case, the adjacency tree of X is a binary tree rooted at Y whose nodes consist of all sons of Y (BLACK, WHITE, and GRAY) that are adjacent to X. For example, for node 16 in Figure 1, the western neighbor is GRAY node F with an adjacency tree as shown in Figure 6. A rope is a link between adjacent nodes of equal size at least one of which is a leaf node. For example, in Figure 1, there exists a rope between node 16 and nodes G, 17, H, and F. Similarly, there exists a rope between node 37 and nodes M and N; however, there does not exist a rope between node L and nodes M and N.

At this point we can give an algorithm for finding a neighbor, say Y, on a given side, say S, of a block, say X. If there is a rope from X on side S, then it leads to the desired neighbor. If no such rope exists, then the desired neighbor must be larger. In such a case, we ascend the tree until encountering a node having a rope on side S which leads to the desired neighbor. In effect, we have ascended the adjacency tree of Y. For example, to find the eastern neighbor of node 21 in Figure 1, we ascend through node J to node F which has a rope along its eastern side leading to node 16. At times it is not convenient to ascend nodes searching for ropes. A data structure named a net is used to obviate this step by linking all leaf nodes to their neighbors regardless of their relative size. Thus in the previous example there would be a direct link between nodes 21 and 16 along the eastern side of node 21. The advantage of ropes and nets is that the number of links that must be

· MARINE CONTRACTOR

traversed is reduced. However, the disadvantage is that the storage requirements are considerably increased since many additional links are necessary. In contrast, our methods are implemented by algorithms that make use of the existing structure of the tree--i.e., four links from a non-leaf node to its sons, and a link from a non-root node to its father.

ł

### 4. Analysis

The execution time of the neighbor finding algorithms presented in Section 3 depends on the relative positions of the nodes in question. Clearly, the execution time depends on the number of nodes that must be traversed in locating the desired neighbor. In the following we analyze the average execution time of EQUAL\_ADJ\_NEIGHBOR, GTEQUAL\_ADJ\_NEIGHBOR, CORNER\_ADJ\_ NEIGHBOR, EQUAL\_CORNER\_NEIGHBOR, GTEQUAL\_CORNER\_NEIGHBOR, CORNER\_CORNER\_NEIGHBOR, and ALIGNED. GTEQUAL\_ADJ\_NEIGHBOR2 and GTEQUAL\_CORNER\_NEIGHBOR2 have the same execution time as GTEQUAL\_ADJ\_NEIGHBOR and GTEQUAL\_CORNER\_NEIGHBOR respectively since they visit the same number of nodes.

At this point it is appropriate to elaborate on our notion of average. We assume a random image in the sense that a node is equally likely to appear in any position and level in the quadtree. This means that we assume that all neighbor pairs (i.e., configurations of adjacent nodes of varying sizes) have equal probability. This is different from the more conventional notion of a random image which implies that every block at level  $\emptyset$  (i.e., pixel) has an equal probability of being BLACK or WHITE. Such an assumption would lead to a very low probability of any nodes corresponding to blocks of size larger than 1. Clearly, for such an image, the quadtree is the wrong representation.

Theorem 1: The average of the number of nodes visited by EQUAL ADJ NEIGHBOR is bounded by 4.

in all

<u>Proof</u>: Given a node P at level i and a direction D, there are  $2^{n-i} \cdot (2^{n-i}-1)$  neighbor pairs of equal sized nodes.  $2^{n-1} \cdot 2^{\emptyset}$ have their nearest common ancestor at level n,  $2^{n-i} \cdot 2^1$  at level  $n-1, \ldots$ , and  $2^{n-i} \cdot 2^{n-i-1}$  at level i+1. For each node at level i having a nearest common ancestor at level j, the number of nodes that will be visited in the process of locating an equalsized neighbor at level i is  $2 \cdot (j-i)$ . This is obtained by observing that the nearest common ancestor is at a distance of j-i. Therefore, the average number of nodes visited by EQUAL\_ADJ\_ NEIGHBOR is

$$\frac{n-i}{\sum_{\substack{j=0 \ j=i+1 \\ \sum_{\substack{n-1 \\ \sum_{\substack{j=0 \ i=0 \ i=0}}^{n-1} \cdot (2^{n-i}-1)}}{(1)}$$

(1) can be rewritten to yield

. jæ

1

$$\frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{n-i}{\Sigma} 2n-2i-j+1 \cdot j \\
\underline{i=0 \ j=1} \\
\frac{n}{\Sigma} 2^{i} \cdot (2^{i}-1) \\
\underline{i=1}$$
(2)

The numerator of (2) can be simplified as follows:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} 2^{2n-2i-j+1} \cdot j = 2^{2n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{-2i} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} j$$
(3)

But 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{j}{2^{j}} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{j}{2^{j}} = 2 - \frac{n+2}{2^{n}}$$
 (4)

Making use of (4) in (3) yields

14

1

$$2^{2n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{-2i} \cdot (2 - \frac{n+2-i}{2^{n-i}})$$
  
=  $2^{2n+2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{2i}} - (n+2) \cdot 2^{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{i}} + 2^{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{i}}$  (5)

Also 
$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{1}{2^{j}} = 2 \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}})$$
 (6)

And 
$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{1}{2^{2j}} = \frac{4}{3}(1 - \frac{1}{2^{2n+2}})$$
 (7)

Substituting (4), (6), and (7) into (5) yields

$$2^{2n+2} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2^{2n}}) - (n+2) \cdot 2^{n+2} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2^n}) + 2^{n+1} \cdot (2 - \frac{n+1}{2^{n-1}})$$
  
=  $\frac{4}{3} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - \frac{16}{3} - 4 \cdot (n+2) \cdot (2^n - 1) + 4 \cdot (2^n - n - 1)$   
=  $\frac{4}{3} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - 4 \cdot (n+1) \cdot 2^n - \frac{4}{3}$  (8)

The denominator of (2) can be simplified as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2^{i} \cdot (2^{i}-1) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (2^{2i}-2^{i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} 4^{i} - \sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^{i}$$

$$= \frac{4^{n+1}-1}{3} - (2^{n+1}-1)$$
or
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2^{i} \cdot (2^{i}-1) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot (2^{2n+2}-3 \cdot 2^{n+1}+2)$$
(9)

Substituting (8) and (9) into (2) yields

$$4 - \frac{3 \cdot (n-1) \cdot 2^{n+2} + 12}{2^{2n+2} - 3 \cdot 2^{n+1} + 2}$$
  

$$\simeq 4 \text{ as n gets large}$$
  

$$\leq 4$$

Q.E.D.

Theorem 2: The average number of nodes visited by GTEQUAL\_ ADJ\_NEIGHBOR is bounded by 5.

Proof: Given a node P at level i and a direction D, and recalling Theorem 1, there are  $2^{n-i} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2^{n-j} \cdot (j-i)$  pairs such i=i+l that the neighboring node is one size greater than or equal to that of P. The index j in the summation corresponds to the level at which the nearest common ancestor is located. For a node at level i, a direction D, and a nearest common ancestor at level j, we have possible neighbor pairs having the initial node at level i and the neighboring nodes at levels j-1, j-2, ...,i+1,i--i.e., j-i possible neighbor pairs. Thus for a node at level i, the number of nodes that will be visited in the process of locating a greater than or equal sized neighbor at level k with a nearest common ancestor at level j is (j-i+j-k). This is obtained by observing that the nearest common ancestor is at a distance of j-i. Therefore, the average number of nodes visited by GTEQUAL ADJ NEIGHBOR is:

$$\frac{n-1}{\sum} \sum_{\substack{k=2\\ i=0}}^{n-1} 2^{n-i} \cdot 2^{n-j} \cdot \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j=1}}^{j-1} (j-i+j-k)$$

$$\frac{i=0}{\sum} 2^{n-i} \cdot \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j=1}}^{n-i} 2^{n-i-j}$$
(10)

The numerator of (10) can be simplified to yield:

4

But  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{j^2}{2^j} = 6 - \frac{n^2 + 4n + 6}{2^n}$  (12)

Making use of (4) and (12) in (11) yields  $2^{2n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{-2i} (3(6 - \frac{(n-i)^{2} + 4(n-i) + 6}{2^{n-i}}) + 2 - \frac{n+2-i}{2^{n-i}})$   $= 20 \cdot 2^{2n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{2i}} - (3n^{2} + 13n + 20) \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{i}} + \frac{1}{100} \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{i}} - 3 \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{i}}$ (6n+11)  $\cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{i}} - 3 \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{i}}$ (13)

Substituting (4), (6), (7), and (12) into (13) results in

$$20 \cdot 2^{2n-1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} (1 - \frac{1}{2^{2n}}) - (3n^2 + 13n + 20) \cdot 2^n \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2^n}) + (6n+11) \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot (2 - \frac{n+1}{2^{n-1}}) - 3 \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot (6 - \frac{(n-1)^2 + 4(n-1) + 6}{2^{n-1}})$$

1. S. S. S. S.

$$= \frac{10}{3} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - \frac{40}{3} - (3n^2 + 13n + 20) \cdot (2^n - 1) + (6n + 11) \cdot (2^n - n - 1)$$
  
-9 \cdot 2^n + 3 \cdot (n - 1)^2 + 12 \cdot (n - 1) + 18  
=  $\frac{10}{3} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - (3n^2 + 7n + 18) \cdot 2^n + 2n + \frac{14}{3}$  (14)

The denominator of (10) can be simplified as follows:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{2n-2i} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \frac{j}{2^{j}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{2n-2i} \cdot (2 - \frac{n+2-i}{2^{n-i}})$$

$$= 2^{2n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{2i}} - 2^{n} \cdot (n+2) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{i}} + 2^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{i}{2^{i}}$$

$$= 2^{2n+1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2^{2n}}) - 2^{n+1} \cdot (n+2) \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2^{n}}) + 2^{n} \cdot (2 - \frac{n+1}{2^{n-1}})$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - (n+1) \cdot 2^{n+1} - \frac{2}{3}$$

$$(15)$$

Substituting (14) and (15) into (10) yields

$$5 - \frac{(9n^2 - 9n + 24) \cdot 2^{n} - 6n - 24}{2^{2n+3} - 3 \cdot (n+1) \cdot 2^{n+1} - 2}$$
  
 $\simeq 5$  as n gets large  
 $\leq 5$ 

Q.E.D.

<u>Theorem 3</u>: The average number of nodes visited by CORNER\_ADJ\_NEIGHBOR is bounded by  $\frac{14}{3}$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Given a node P at level i, and a direction D towards corner C of P, using similar reasoning as in Theorem 1 and 2, there are  $2^{n-i} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2^{n-j} \cdot j$  neighbor pairs where we make no j=i+1restriction on the relative size of the neighboring node. j corresponds to the level at which the nearest common ancestor is located. For a node at level i, a direction D towards corner C, and a nearest common ancestor at level j, we have possible neighbor pairs having the initial node at level i and the neighboring node at levels  $j-1, j-2, \ldots, i+1, i, i-1, \ldots, 2, l, \emptyset$ --i.e., j possible neighbor pairs. Thus for a node at level i, the number of nodes that will be visited in the process of locating a neighbor at level k with a nearest common ancestor at level j is (j-i+j-k). This is obtained by observing that the nearest common ancestor is at a distance of j-i. Therefore, the average number of nodes visited by CORNER ADJ NEIGHBOR is:

The numerator of (16) can be multiplied to yield

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} 2^{2n-i-j} \left(\frac{3}{2}j^{2} + \frac{j}{2} - ij\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} 2^{2n-2i-j-1} \left(\left(3j^{2}+j\right) + 4ji + i^{2}+i\right)$$

$$= 0 \quad j=1$$

$$(17)$$

Now, compute the following component of (17) and make use of  
(4) and (6):  

$$\frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{n-i}{\Sigma} 2^{2n-2i-j-1} \cdot (4^{ji+i^{2}+i})$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} (2^{2n-2i+1} \cdot i \cdot \frac{n-i}{j-1} \frac{j}{2^{j}} + 2^{2n-2i-1} \frac{n-i}{\Sigma} \frac{i^{2}+i}{2^{j}})$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} (2^{2n-2i+1} \cdot i \cdot (2 - \frac{n+2-i}{2^{n-1}}) - 2^{2n-2i-1} \cdot (i^{2}+i) \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}))$$

$$= 7 \cdot 2^{2n-1} \cdot \frac{n-1}{1} \frac{i}{2^{21}} - 2^{2n-1} \frac{n-1}{2^{1}} \frac{i^{2}}{2^{21}} - (4n+7) \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot \frac{n-1}{1} \frac{i}{1=0} \frac{i}{2^{1}}$$

$$+ 5 \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{i^{2}}{2^{1}} = \frac{1}{2}(4 - \frac{3n+4}{2^{2n}})$$
(18)  
But  $\frac{n}{j=1} \frac{j^{2}}{2^{\frac{2}{2}j}} = \frac{1}{2}(4 - \frac{3n+4}{2^{2n}})$ 
(19)  
And  $\frac{n}{2} \frac{j^{2}}{2^{\frac{2}{2}j}} = \frac{1}{27}(20 - \frac{9n^{2}+24n+20}{2^{2n}})$ 
(20)  
Substituting (4), (12), (19), and (20) into (18) yields  

$$\frac{7}{9} \cdot 2^{2n-1} \cdot (4 - \frac{3(n-1)+4}{2^{2n-2}}) - \frac{1}{27} \cdot 2^{2n-1} \cdot (20 - \frac{9(n-1)^{2}+24(n-1)+20}{2^{2n-2}})$$

$$-(4n+7) \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot (2 - \frac{n+1}{2^{n+1}}) + 5 \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot (6 - \frac{(n-1)^{2}+4(n-1)+6}{2^{n-1}})$$

$$= \frac{64}{27} \cdot 2^{2n-1} - \Re(n-2) \cdot 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{3}n^{2} - \frac{29}{9}n - \frac{248}{27}$$
(21)

AND AND AND A

Now, add (14) to (21) to get the numerator of (16)

$$\frac{98}{27} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - (3n^2 + 11n + 10) \cdot 2^n - \frac{1}{3}n^2 - \frac{11}{9}n - \frac{122}{27}$$
(22)

The denominator of (16) can be simplified as follows:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-i} 2^{n-j} \cdot ((j-i)+i)$$
(23)

Compute the following component of (23)

1

$$\begin{array}{l} n^{-1} & n \\ \Sigma & \Sigma & 2^{n-1} \cdot 2^{n-j} \cdot i \\ i = 0 & j = i + 1 \end{array} \\ = & \frac{n^{-1}}{\Sigma} 2^{2n-2i} \cdot i \cdot \frac{n-i}{j=1} \frac{1}{2^{j}} \\ = & \frac{n^{-1}}{\Sigma} 2^{2n-2i} \cdot i \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}) \\ = & 2^{2n} \cdot \frac{n^{-1}}{\Sigma} \frac{i}{2^{2i}} - 2^{n} \cdot \frac{n^{-1}}{\Sigma} \frac{i}{1=0} \frac{i}{2^{i}} \\ = & 2^{2n} \cdot \frac{1}{9} \cdot (4 - \frac{3 \cdot (n-1) + 4}{2^{2n-2}}) - 2^{n} \cdot (2 - \frac{n+1}{2^{n-1}}) \\ = & \frac{1}{9} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - 2^{n+1} + \frac{2}{3^n} + \frac{14}{9} \end{array}$$
(24)  
Now, add (15) to (24) to get the denominator of (16)

 $\frac{7}{9} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - (n+2) \cdot 2^{n+1} + \frac{2}{3}n + \frac{8}{9}$  (25)

Substituting (22) and (25) into (16) yields

$$\frac{14}{3} - \frac{(27n^2 + 15n - 78) \cdot 2^n + 3n^2 + 39n + 78}{7 \cdot 2^{2n+2} - 9 \cdot (n+2) \cdot 2^{n+1} + 6n + 8}$$

$$\approx \frac{14}{3} \text{ as n gets large}$$

$$\leq \frac{14}{3}$$

Q.E.D.

<u>Theorem 4</u>: The average number of nodes visited by EQUAL\_CORNER\_NEIGHBOR is bounded by  $\frac{16}{3}$ .

Proof: Given a node P at level i and a direction towards quadrant C, there are  $(2^{n-i}-1)^2$  neighbor pairs of equal sized nodes.  $4^{\cancel{0}} \cdot (2 \cdot (2^{n-1}-1)-1)$  have their nearest common ancestor at level n,  $4^{1} \cdot (2 \cdot (2^{n-i-1}-1)-1)$  at level n-1,... and  $4^{n-i-1}$ .  $(2 \cdot (2^{n-i-(n-i-1)}-1)-1)$  at level i+1. In order to see this, consider Figure 7 where a grid is shown for n=3. If all BLACK and WHITE nodes are at level  $\emptyset$  (i.e., a complete quadtree), then for a neighbor pair in the NE direction we see that nodes along the fifth row and fourth column have their nearest common ancestor at level 3 (i.e., 13 nodes labeled 1-13). Continuing the process for the NW, NE, SW, and SE quadrants of Figure 7 we find that all neighbor pairs contained exclusively within these guadrants have their nearest common ancestor at level \$2. In particular, for the NW quadrant, nodes along the third row and second column have their nearest common ancestor at level 2 (i.e., 5 nodes labeled 14-18). The NE, SW, and SE quadrants are analyzed in a similar manner. This process is next applied to the four subquadrants of each quadrant to obtain the neighbor pairs whose nearest common a cestor is at level 1. Note that we had to consider every row in the image when analyzing neighbor pairs in the direction of quadrants whereas we only needed to consider one row or one column when analyzing neighbor pairs in the N, E, S, and W directions. This is necessary because for neighbors

-

in the direction of quadrants, each row and column in the image has a different number of neighbor pairs with a common ancestor at a given level while this number is constant for each row or column when considering neighbor pairs in the horizontal and vertical directions.

For each node at level i having a nearest common ancestor at level j, the number of nodes that will be visited in the process of locating an equal-sized neighbor at level i is 2(j-i). This is obtained by observing that the nearest common ancestor is at a distance of j-i. Therefore, the average number of nodes visited by EQUAL CORNER NEIGHBOR is:

$$\frac{n-1}{\sum_{\substack{i=0 \ j=i+1}}^{n} 4^{n-j} \cdot (2 \cdot (2^{n-i-(n-j)}-1)-1) \cdot 2 \cdot (j-i)}{\sum_{\substack{i=0 \ j=i+1}}^{n-1} (26)}$$

(26) can be rewritten to yield

-

$$\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} (2^{2n-2i-j+2} - 3 \cdot 2^{2n-2i-2j+1}) \cdot j}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (2^{i}-1)^{2}}$$

The numerator of (26) can be simplified to yield

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (2^{2n+2-2i} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \frac{j}{2^{j}} - 3 \cdot 2^{2n+1-2i} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \frac{j}{2^{2j}} )$$
(27)  
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (2^{j} - 3 \cdot 2^{2n+1-2i} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \frac{j}{2^{2j}} )$$

Making use of (4) and (19) in (27) results in

and the second second

$$2^{2n+1} \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} 2^{-2i} \cdot \left(2 \cdot \left(2 - \frac{n+2-i}{2^{n-i}}\right) - 3 \cdot \frac{1}{9}\left(4 - \frac{3\left(n-i\right)+4}{2^{2n-2i}}\right)\right)$$
  
=  $\frac{8}{3} \cdot 2^{2n+1} \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2^{2i}} - (n+2) \cdot 2^{n+2} \cdot \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2^{i}} + 2^{n+2} \cdot \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2^{i}}$   
+  $(2n+\frac{8}{3}) \cdot \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{1-2}{\Sigma} \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{1}{1-0}$  (28)

Substituting (4), (6), and (7) into (28) yields

$$= \frac{8}{3} \cdot 2^{2n+1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2^{2n}}) - (n+2) \cdot 2^{n+3} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2^n}) + 2^{n+2} \cdot (2 - \frac{n+1}{2^{n-1}}) + n \cdot (2n + \frac{8}{3}) - n (n-1)$$

$$= \frac{16}{9} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - \frac{64}{9} - 8 \cdot (n+2) \cdot (2^n - 1) + 8 \cdot (2^n - n - 1) + 2n^2 + \frac{8}{3}n - n^2 + n$$

$$= \frac{16}{9} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - (n+1) \cdot 2^{n+3} + n^2 + \frac{11}{3}n + \frac{8}{9}$$
(29)

The denominator of (26) can be simplified as follows:

.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (2^{i}-1)^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^{2i} - 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^{i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} 1$$

$$= \frac{4^{n+1}-1}{3} - 2 \cdot (2^{n+1}-1) + n + 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} (2^{2n+2}-3 \cdot 2^{n+2}+3n+8)$$
(30)

$$\frac{16}{3} - \frac{(6n-10) \cdot 2^{n+2} - 3n^2 + 5n + 40}{2^{2n+2} - 3 \cdot 2^{n+2} + 3n + 8}$$
  

$$\approx \frac{16}{3} \text{ as n gets large}$$
  

$$\leq \frac{16}{3}$$

Q.E.D.

<u>Theorem 5</u>: The average number of nodes visited by GTEQUAL CORNER NEIGHBOR is bounded by 6.

Proof: Given a node P at level i and a direction towards ₄<sup>n−j</sup> quadrant C, and recalling Theorem 3, there are  $\cdot (2 \cdot (2^{n-i-(n-j)}-1)-1) \cdot (j-i)$  neighbor pairs such that the neighboring node is of size greater than or equal to that of P. The index j in the summation corresponds to the level at which the nearest common ancestor is located. Recall that a neighbor in the direction of quadrant C will not always abut against corner C of the node whose neighbor is sought (e.g., node 16 is a non-abutting NE neighbor of node 23 in Figure 1). For a node at level i, a direction towards quadrant C, and a nearest common ancestor at level j, we have possible neighbor pairs having the initial node at level i and the neighbor node at levels j-1,j-2, ..., i+l, i--i.e., (j-i) possible neighbor pairs. Thus for a node at level i, the number of nodes that will be visited in the process of locating a greater than or equal sized neighbor at level k with a nearest common ancestor at level j is (j-i+j-k). This is obtained by observing that the nearest common ancestor is at

a distance of j-i. Therefore, the average number of nodes visited by GTEQUAL\_CORNER\_NEIGHBOR is:

$$\frac{n-1}{\sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j=i+1}}^{n} 4^{n-j} \cdot (2 \cdot (2^{n-i-(n-j)}-1)-1) \cdot \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ k=i}}^{j-1} (j-i+j-k)}{k=i}$$
(31)  
$$\frac{1-1}{\sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j=i+1}}^{n} 4^{n-j} \cdot (2 \cdot (2^{n-i-(n-j)}-1)-1) \cdot (j-i)}$$

The numerator of (31) can be simplified to yield:

•

•

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{c} n-1 & n \\ \Sigma & \Sigma \\ i=0 & j=i+1 \end{array} & (2^{2n-j+1-i}-3\cdot 2^{2n-2j}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} j-i \\ \Sigma \\ k=1 \end{array} \\ \\ = \begin{array}{c} n-1 & n \\ \Sigma \\ i=0 & j=i+1 \end{array} & (2^{2n-j+1-i}-3\cdot 2^{2n-2j}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2}(j-1)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(j-i) \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ = \begin{array}{c} n-1 & n-i \\ \Sigma \\ i=0 & j=i+1 \end{array} \\ \\ = \begin{array}{c} 2^{2n} & n-i \\ i=0 & j=1 \end{array} & (2^{2n-2i-j}-3\cdot 2^{2n-2i-2j-1}) \cdot (3j^{2}+j) \\ \\ = \begin{array}{c} 2^{2n} & n-1 \\ i=0 & \frac{1}{2^{2i}} \begin{pmatrix} n-i \\ \frac{j}{2} \end{pmatrix} & \frac{j^{2}}{2} - \frac{9}{2} & \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \frac{j^{2}}{2^{2j}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \frac{j}{2^{j}} - \frac{3}{2} & \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{j}{2^{2j}} \end{pmatrix} & (32) \end{array}$$

Making use of (4), (12), (19), and (20) in (32) results in  

$$2^{2n} \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2^{2i}} (3 \cdot (6 - \frac{(n-i)^{2} + 4(n-i) + 6}{2^{n-i}}) - \frac{9}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{27} (20 - \frac{9(n-i)^{2} + 24(n-i) + 20}{2^{2n-2i}}))$$

$$+2 - \frac{n+2-i}{2^{n-i}} - \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{9} (4 - \frac{3(n-i) + 4}{2^{2n-2i}}))$$

$$= 16 \cdot 2^{2n} \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2^{2i}} - (3n^{2} + 13n + 20) \cdot 2^{n} \cdot \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2^{2i}}$$

$$+ (6n+13) \cdot 2^{n} \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2^{2i}} - 3 \cdot 2^{n} \cdot \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2^{2i}} + \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2^{-1}} - (3n + \frac{9}{2}) \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{1}{1 = 0}$$

$$+ (\frac{3}{2}n^{2} + \frac{9}{2}n + 4) \cdot \frac{n-1}{\Sigma} \frac{1}{1 = 0}$$
(33)

Substituting (4), (6), (7), and (12) into (33) yields

$$16 \cdot 2^{2n} \cdot \frac{4}{3} (1 - \frac{1}{2^{2n}}) - (3n^2 + 13n + 20) \cdot 2^{n+1} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2^n})$$

$$+ (6n+13) \cdot 2^n \cdot (2 - \frac{n+1}{2^{n-1}}) - 3 \cdot 2^n \cdot (6 - \frac{(n-1)^2 + 4(n-1) + 6}{2^{n-1}}) + \frac{n}{4}(2n-1) \cdot (n-1)$$

$$- \frac{n}{2}(3n + \frac{9}{2}) \cdot (n-1) + n \cdot (\frac{3}{2}n^2 + \frac{9}{2}n + 4)$$

$$= \frac{64}{3} 2^{2n} - \frac{64}{3} - 2 \cdot (3n^2 + 13n + 20) \cdot (2^n - 1) + 2 \cdot (6n + 13) \cdot (2^n - n-1) - 18 \cdot 2^n$$

$$+ 6 \cdot (n-1)^2 + 24 \cdot (n-1) + 36 + \frac{n}{4}(2n-1) \cdot (n-1) - \frac{n}{2}(3n + \frac{9}{2}) \cdot (n-1) + n \cdot (\frac{3}{2}n^2 + \frac{9}{2}n + 4)$$

$$= \frac{16}{3} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - (6n^2 + 14n + 32) \cdot 2^n + \frac{1}{2}n^3 + 3n^2 + \frac{13}{2}n + \frac{32}{3}$$
(34)

The denominator of (31) is equal to 1/2 of the numerator of (26)--i.e., (29):

$$\frac{8}{9} 2^{2n+2} - (n+1) \cdot 2^{n+2} + \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{11}{6}n + \frac{4}{9}$$
(35)

Substituting (34) and (35) into (31) yields

$$6 - \frac{(27n^2 - 45n + 36) \cdot 2^{n+2} - 9n^3 + 81n - 144}{16 \cdot 2^{2n+2} - 18 \cdot (n+1) \cdot 2^{n+2} + 9n^2 + 33n + 8}$$

 $\approx$  6 as n gets large

≤ 6

-

ŧ

1

Q.E.D.

<u>Theorem 6</u>: The average number of nodes visited by CORNER\_CORNER\_NEIGHBOR is bounded by  $6\frac{2}{27}$ .

Prbof: Given a node P at level i and a direction towards quadrant C, using similar reasoning as in Theorems 3 and 4,  $\Sigma^{n} = 4^{n-j} \cdot (2 \cdot (2^{n-i-(n-j)}-1)-1) \cdot j$  neighbor pairs where there are we make no restriction on the relative size of the abutting neighboring node. j corresponds to the level at which the nearest common ancestor is located. For a node at level i, a direction towards quadrant C, and a nearest common ancestor at level j, we have possible neighbor pairs having the initial node at level i and the neighboring node at levels j-1, j-2,..., i+1, i,i-1,...,2,1, $\emptyset$ --i.e., j possible neighbor pairs. Thus for a node at level i, the number of nodes that will be visited in the process of locating a neighbor at level k with a nearest common ancestor at level j is (j-i+j-k). This is obtained by observing that the nearest common ancestor is at a distance of j-i. Therefore, the average number of nodes visited by CORNER CORNER NEIGHBOR is:

The numerator of (36) can be simplified to yield:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} (2^{2n-j+1-i}-3 \cdot 2^{2n-2j}) \cdot (\frac{3}{2}j^2 \cdot \frac{j}{2} - ij)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} (2^{2n-2i-j}-3 \cdot 2^{2n-2i-2j-1}) \cdot (3j^2+4ji+j+i^2+i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} (2^{2n-2i-j}-3 \cdot 2^{2n-2i-2j-1}) ((3j^2+j)+4ji+i^2+i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} (2^{2n-2i-j}-3 \cdot 2^{2n-2i-2j-1}) ((3j^2+j)+4ji+i^2+i)$$

$$= (37)$$

Now, compute the following component of (37) and make use of (4), (6), (7), and (19)  $\begin{array}{l}n^{-1} & n^{-i} \\ \sum & \sum \\ i=0 & j=1\end{array} \\
= \frac{n-1}{\sum} (2^{2n-2i} & \frac{n-i}{\sum} & \frac{4ji}{2^{j}} - 3 \cdot 2^{2n-2i-1} & \frac{n-i}{\sum} & \frac{4ji}{2^{2j}} \\
+ & 2^{2n-2i} & \frac{n-i}{\sum} & \frac{i^{2}+i}{2^{j}} - 3 \cdot 2^{2n-2i-1} & \frac{n-i}{\sum} & \frac{i^{2}+i}{2^{2j}} \\
+ & 2^{2n-2i} & \frac{n-i}{\sum} & \frac{i^{2}+i}{2^{j}} - 3 \cdot 2^{2n-2i-1} & \frac{n-i}{\sum} & \frac{i^{2}+i}{2^{2j}} \\
= & \frac{n-1}{\sum} (2^{2n-2i+2} \cdot i \cdot (2 - & \frac{n+2-i}{2^{n-1}}) - 2^{2n-2i+1} \cdot i \cdot \frac{1}{3}(4 - & \frac{3(n-i)+4}{2^{2n-2i}}) \\
+ & 2^{2n-2i} \cdot (i^{2}+i) \cdot (1 - & \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}) - 2^{2n-2i-1} \cdot (i^{2}+i) \cdot (1 - & \frac{1}{2^{2n-2i}}) \\
= & 2^{2n-1} & \frac{n-1}{\sum} & \frac{i^{2}}{2^{2i}} + & \frac{35}{3} \cdot 2^{2n-1} & \frac{n-1}{\sum} & \frac{i}{2^{2i}} + & 3 \cdot 2^{n} & \frac{n-1}{2} & \frac{i}{2^{i}} \\
- & (4n+9) \cdot 2^{n} & \frac{n-1}{2} & \frac{i}{2^{1}} + & (2n+\frac{19}{6}) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} & (38)
\end{array}$ 

. . .

Substituting (4), (12), (14), and (20) into (38) yields:  

$$\frac{1}{27} \cdot 2^{2n-1} \cdot (20 - \frac{9 \cdot (n-1)^2 + 24 \cdot (n-1) + 20}{2^{2n-2}}) + \frac{35}{27} \cdot 2^{2n-1} \cdot (4 - \frac{3 \cdot (n-1) + 4}{2^{2n-2}})$$

$$+ 3 \cdot 2^n \cdot (6 - \frac{(n-1)^2 + 4 \cdot (n-1) + 6}{2^{n-1}}) - (4n+9) \cdot 2^n \cdot (2 - \frac{n+1}{2^{n-1}}) + (2n + \frac{19}{6}) \cdot (\frac{n^2 - n}{2})$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}n^3 + \frac{3}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{4}n$$

$$= \frac{20}{27} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - 8n \cdot 2^n + \frac{1}{2}n^3 + \frac{8}{3}n^2 + \frac{71}{18}n - \frac{80}{27}$$
(39)

$$\frac{164}{27} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - (6n^2 + 22n + 32) \cdot 2^n + n^3 + \frac{17}{3}n^2 + \frac{94}{9}n + \frac{208}{27}$$
(40)

The denominator of (36) can be simplified as follows:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} 4^{n-j} \cdot (2 \cdot 2^{n-i-(n-j)} - 1) - 1) \cdot ((j-i) + i)$$
(41)

Compute the following component of (41)

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} n-1 & n \\ \Sigma & \Sigma & 4^{n-j} \cdot (2 \cdot (2^{n-i-(n-j)}-1)-1) \cdot i \\ i=0 & j=i+1 \end{array} \\ = \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} n-1 & n \\ \Sigma & \Sigma & (2^{2n-j+1-i}-3 \cdot 2^{2n-2j}) \cdot i \\ i=0 & j=i+1 \end{array} \\ = \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} n-1 & n-i \\ \Sigma & \Sigma & (2^{2n-j+1-2i}-3 \cdot 2^{2n-2j-2i}) \cdot i \\ i=0 & j=1 \end{array} \\ = \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} n-1 \\ \Sigma & i \cdot (2^{2n+1-2i} & n-i \\ j=1 & 2^{j} \end{array} \\ = \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} 1 \\ 2^{j} \end{array} \\ = \begin{array}{l} 2^{n-1} \\ \Sigma & i \cdot (2^{2n+1-2i} & (1-\frac{1}{2^{n-i}}) - 2^{2n-2i} \cdot (1-\frac{1}{2^{2n-2i}}) \end{array} \\ \end{array}$$

محاد والمحلول والمحاد والمحاد والمراجع والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج والمحاد والمحاد

$$= 2^{2n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{i}{2^{2i}} - 2^{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{i}{2^{i}} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i$$
  
$$= 2^{2n} \cdot \frac{1}{9} \left(4 - \frac{3 \cdot (n-1) + 4}{2^{2n-2}}\right) - 2^{n+1} \cdot \left(2 - \frac{n+1}{2^{n-1}}\right) + \frac{n^2 - n}{2}$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{9} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - 2^{n+2} + \frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{13}{6}n + \frac{32}{9}$$
(42)

Now, add (35) to (42) to get the denominator of (36)

$$2^{2n+2} - (n+2) \cdot 2^{n+2} + n^2 + 4n + 4$$
(43)

Substituting (40) and (43) into (36) yields

$$\frac{1}{27}(164 - \frac{(162n^2 - 62n - 448) \cdot 2^n - 27n^3 + 11n^2 + 374n + 448}{2^{2n+2} - (n+2) \cdot 2^{n+2} + n^2 + 4n + 4})$$

 $\tilde{\sim} \frac{164}{27} \text{ as n gets large}$  $s \frac{164}{27} = 6\frac{2}{27}$ 

Q.E.D.

<u>Theorem 7</u>: The average numer of nodes visited by ALIGNED is bounded by  $\frac{19}{21}$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Given a node P at level i and a direction D, using similar reasoning as in Theorems 2 and 3, there are  $2^{n-i} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} 2^{n-j} \cdot j$ neighbor pairs such that there is no restriction on the size of the neighboring node. j corresponds to the level of the tree at which the nearest common ancestor is located. Given i and j as defined above, we have possible neighbor pairs having an initial node at level i and a neighboring node at levels  $j-1, j-2, \ldots, i+1, i, i-1, \ldots, 2, 1, \emptyset$ --i.e., j possible neighbor pairs. For a node at level i and a neighbor at level k, at most i-k nodes must be visited in determining the aligned relationship. Therefore the average number of nodes visited by ALIGNED is

$$\frac{\substack{n-1\\ \Sigma} \qquad \sum \qquad 2^{n-i} \cdot 2^{n-j} \cdot \sum \qquad |i-k|}{\substack{i=0 \ j=i+1} \qquad k=0}$$
(44)  
$$\frac{\sum \qquad \sum \qquad 2^{n-i} \cdot 2^{n-j} \cdot j}{\substack{i=0 \ j=i+1}}$$

The numerator of (44) can be simplified to yield

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} 2^{n-i} \cdot 2^{n-j} \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (i-k) + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (k-i)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} 2^{n-i} \cdot 2^{n-j} \cdot (i^{2}+i-ij + \frac{j^{2}}{2} - \frac{j}{2})$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} 2^{2n-2i-j-1} \cdot (j^{2}-j-i+i^{2})$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{2n-2i-1} \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \frac{j^{2}}{2^{j}} - \frac{j}{2^{j}} - \frac{(i-i^{2})}{2^{j}}\right)$$

$$(45)$$

Substituting (4), (6), and (12) into (45) leads to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{2n-2i-1} \cdot \left(6 - \frac{(n-i)^{2} + 4 \cdot (n-i) + 6}{2^{n-i}} - 2 + \frac{n-i+2}{2^{n-i}} - (i-i^{2}) \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-i}})\right)$$

$$= 2^{2n+1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{2i}} - 2^{2n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{i}{2^{2i}} + 2^{2n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{i^{2}}{2^{2i}}$$

$$- (n^{2} + 3n + 4) \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{i}} + (n+2) \cdot 2^{n} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{i}{2^{i}} - 2^{n} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{i^{2}}{2^{i}}$$

$$(46)$$

Making use of (4), (6), (7), (12), (19), and (20) in (46) results in:

$$2^{2n+1} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot (4 - \frac{1}{2^{2n-2}}) - 2^{2n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{9} \cdot (4 - \frac{3(n-1)+4}{2^{2n-2}}) + 2^{2n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{27} \cdot (20 - \frac{9 \cdot (n-1)^{2} + 24 \cdot (n-1) + 20}{2^{2n-2}}) - (n^{2} + 3n + 4) \cdot 2^{n} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2^{n}}) + (n+2) \cdot 2^{n} \cdot (2 - \frac{n+1}{2^{n-1}}) - 2^{n} \cdot (6 - \frac{(n-1)^{2} + 4(n-1) + 6}{2^{n-1}})$$

 $= \frac{19}{27} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - (n^2 + n + 6) \cdot 2^n + \frac{1}{3}n^2 + \frac{11}{9}n + \frac{86}{27}$ (47) The denominator of (44) is equal to (25) and substituting (47) and (25) in (44) yields:  $\frac{19}{21} - \frac{3}{7} \cdot \frac{(21n^2 - 17n + 50) \cdot 2^n - 7n^2 - 13n - 50}{7 \cdot 2^{2n+2} - 9 \cdot (n + 2) \cdot 2^{n+1} + 6n + 8}$ 

$$z \frac{19}{21}$$
 as n gets large  
 $\frac{19}{21}$ 

### Q.E.D.

The analysis of the ALIGNED relationship performed in Theorem 7 can also be used to yield an estimate of the cost of finding neighbors when using the roping methods of Hunter [3-5]. Recall that roping implies that equal sized neighbors are linked directly regardless of whether or not they are brothers. In the case of a larger sized neighbor, the time required to access it in a roped quadtree is equal to the number of FATHER links that must be ascended to reach a GRAY ancestor node of size equal to that of the desired neighbor. Therefore, the following is the analog of Theorem 2 when roping is used. Theorem 8: The average number of nodes visited when seeking larger sized neighbors in a roped quadtree is bounded by 1.

<u>Proof</u>: Given a node P at level i and a direction D we have from Theorem 2 that there are  $2^{n-i} \cdot \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} 2^{n-j} \cdot (j-i)$  pairs such j=i+1that the neighboring node is of size greater than or equal to that of P. For a node at level i, the number of nodes that will be visited in locating a greater than or equal sized neighbor at level k (k > i) where the nearest common ancestor is at level j is k-i. The average is obtained as follows:

The numerator of (48) can be simplified to yield

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} 2^{n-i} \cdot 2^{n-j} \cdot (\frac{i^{2}}{2} + \frac{j^{2}}{2} - ij + \frac{i}{2} - \frac{j}{2})$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} 2^{2n-2i-j-1} \cdot (j^{2}-j)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{2n-2i-1} \cdot (\sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \frac{j^{2}}{2^{j}} - \frac{j}{2^{j}})$$

$$(49)$$

Substituting (4) and (12) into (49) leads to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{2n-2i-1} \cdot (6 - \frac{(n-i)^{2} + 4 \cdot (n-i) + 6}{2^{n-i}} - 2 + \frac{n-i+2}{2^{n-i}})$$

$$= 2^{2n+1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{2i}} + (2n+3) \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{i}{2^{i}} - 2^{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{i^{2}}{2^{i}}$$

$$- (n^{2} + 3n + 4) \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{i}}$$
(50)

Lad to Party

The second state and and the second state of t

Making use of (4), (6), (7), and (12) in (50) results in:

$$2^{2n+1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} (1 - \frac{1}{2^{2n}}) + (2n+3) \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot (2 - \frac{n+1}{2^{n-1}}) - 2^{n-1} \cdot (6 - \frac{(n-1)^2 + 4 \cdot (n-1) + 6}{2^{n-1}}) - (n^2 + 3n+4) \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot 2 \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2^n})$$
  
=  $\frac{2}{3} \cdot 2^{2n+2} - (n^2 + n+4) \cdot 2^n + \frac{4}{3}$  (51)

The denominator of (48) is equal to (15) and substituting (51) and (15) in (48) yields:

$$1 - \frac{3(n^2 - n + 2) \cdot 2^n - 6}{2^{2n+3} - 3(n+1)2^{n+1} - 2}$$

≈ 1 as n gets large

≤ 1

### Q.E.D.

If one is interested in finding a smaller or larger neighbor in a roped quadtree, then we must add to the analysis of Theorem 8 a factor for finding a smaller sized neighbor. In the case of a roped quadtree we merely need to follow the rope and then descend to find the smaller neighbor. However, the cost of finding the smaller and larger sized neighbors is precisely the cost of the ALIGNED procedure. Thus for a roped quadtree, the analog of Theorem 3 is given below.

<u>Theorem 9</u>: The average number of nodes visited when seeking smaller and larger sized neighbors in a roped quadtree is bounded by  $\frac{19}{21}$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Given a node P at level i and a direction D we have from Theorem 3 that there are  $2^{n-i} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} 2^{n-j} \cdot j$  neighbor pairs such j=i+1that there is no restriction on the size of the neighboring node. For a node at level i, the number of nodes that will be visited in locating a greater than or equal sized neighbor at level k  $(k \ge i)$  where the nearest common ancestor is at level j is k-i. Similarly, in locating a smaller sized neighbor at level k  $(k \le i)$ i-k nodes will be visited. The average is obtained as follows:

$$\frac{n-1}{\sum} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \ j=i+1}}^{n} 2^{n-i} \cdot 2^{n-j} \cdot \left(\sum_{\substack{i=0 \ k=i}}^{j-1} (k-i)\right)$$

$$\frac{i=0 \ j=i+1}{\sum} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \ k=i}}^{n-1} 2^{n-i} \cdot 2^{n-j} \cdot j$$

$$i=0 \ j=i+1$$
(52)

However, (52) is identical to (44) and our result follows.

Q.E.D.

Note that the bounds of Theorems 8 and 9 do not reflect the fact that one must also visit one additional link due to the presence of the rope (i.e., the link which the rope represents). It should also be clear that if the quadtree is netted, then no links need ever be traversed except for the link which the net represents.

# 5. Concluding Remarks

We have described the neighbor finding techniques for quadtrees in detail. The analyses of the various algorithms demonstrate that the operation is quite efficient. We have used an unusual model of a random image in our analysis. However, as stated in Section 4, had we used a model which attributes a given probability (e.g., 1/2) for a pixel being BLACK or WHITE, the quadtree for a  $2^n$  by  $2^n$  image would most likely have n levels and have neighboring nodes of equal size. In such a case, Theorems 1 and 4 are applicable and show a lower bound on the execution time of adjacent and corner neighbor locating algorithms. Thus our model attempts to present a more realistic view of the time complexity of these algorithms.

We have also analyzed an alternative neighbor finding technique which makes use of a construct termed a rope. In such a case, we saw that neighbors can be located more quickly (at about 1/2 to 1/3 of the cost when our technique is used). Nevertheless, if space is at a premium, roping should probably not be used without some careful thought. An upper bound on the number of links necessary to achieve roping is four times the number of leaf nodes since each leaf node may participate in a maximum of four ropes (one for each side).



ADJ QI

べくとうだろうながあり、

_				
S	NW	NE	SW	SE
N	Т	T	F	F
Е	F	T	F	т
S	F	F	T	T
W	Т	F	Т	F

REFLECT

s Q	NW	NE	SW	SE
N	SW	SE	NW	NE
Е	NE	NW	SE	SW
S	SW	SE	NW	NE
W	NE	NW	SE	SW

Table 1. ADJ(S,Q)

Table 2. REFLECT(S,Q)

	1
Q	OPQUAD (Q)
NW	SE
NE	SW
SW	NE
SE	NW

COMMONSIDE

Q2					
<u>Q1</u>	NW	NE	SW	SE	_
NW	Ω	N	W	Ω	
NE	N	Ω	Ω	Ε	
SW	W	Ω	Ω	S	
SE	Ω	Е	S	Ω	

Table 3. OPQUAD(Q)

Table 4. COMMONSIDE(Q1,Q2)



•

Figure 2. Relationship between a block's four quadrants and its boundaries.





a. Block decomposition

b. Tree representation

Figure 3. Process of locating the eastern neighbor of node A (i.e., G).





a. Block decomposition b. Tree representation Figure 4. Process of locating the SE neighbor of node A (i.e., G).



.

a. Image surrounded by WHITE blocks.



b. Image surrounded by BLACK blocks

Figure 5. Technique to avoid lacking a neighbor in a given direction.



Figure 6. Adjacency tree for the western neighbor of node 16 in Figure 1.

_	17		8		22		
14	15	16	9	19	20	21	
	18		10		23		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	27		11		32		
24	25	26	12	29	30	31	
	28		13		33		

Figure 7. Sample grid illustrating blocks whose nodes are at level Ø and whose nearest common ancestor is at level ≥2 when attempting to locate a NE neighbor.

#### 6. References

- C. R. Dyer, Computing the Euler number of an image from its quadtree, Computer Science TR-769, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, May 1979.
- C. R. Dyer, A. Rosenfeld, and H. Samet, Region representation: boundary codes from quadtrees, Computer Science TR-732, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, February 1979, to appear in <u>Communications of the ACM</u>.
- 3. G. M. Hunter, Efficient computation and data structures for graphics, Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, 1978.
- 4. G. M. Hunter and K. Steiglitz, Operations on images using quadtrees, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 1, 1979, 145-153.
- 5. G. M. Hunter and K. Steiglitz, Linear transformation of pictures represented by quadtrees, Computer Graphics and Image Processing 10, 1979, 289-296.
- A. Klinger and C. R. Dyer, Experiments in picture representation using regular decomposition, <u>Computer Graphics and</u> <u>Image Processing 5, 1976, 68-105.</u>
- A. Klinger and M. L. Rhodes, Organization and access of image data by areas, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 1, 1979, 50-60.
- 8. P. Naur (Ed.), Revised report on the algorithmic language ALGOL 60, Communications of the ACM 3, 1960, 299-314.
- 9. H. Samet, Region representation: quadtrees from boundary codes, Computer Science TR-741, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, March 1979, to appear in <u>Communications</u> of the ACM.
- H. Samet, Computing perimeters of images represented by quadtrees, Computer Science TR-755, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, April 1979.
- H. Samet, Connected component labeling using quadtrees, Computer Science TR-756, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, April 1979.

- H. Samet, Region representation: raster-to-quadtree conversion, Computer Science TR-766, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, May 1979.
- H. Samet, Region representation: quadtrees from binary arrays, Computer Science TR-767, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, May 1979, to appear in <u>Computer Graphics and Image</u> <u>Processing</u>.
- H. Samet, Region representation: quadtree-to-raster conversion, Computer Science TR-768, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, June 1979.
- H. Samet, A distance transform for images represented by quadtrees, Computer Science TR-780, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, July 1979.
- 16. H. Samet, A quadtree medial axis transform, Computer Science TR-803, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, August 1979.
- M. Shneier, Linear-time calculations of geometric properties using quadtrees, Computer Science TR-770, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, May 1979.
- M. Shneier, A path-length distance transform for quadtrees, Computer Science TR-794, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, July 1979.

SUBITY CLASHFIGATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Enter		PEAD INCOULONS
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAG	E	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER 2. GO	ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	)-HOYO 24	0
TITLE (and Subtitie)		3. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
NEIGHBOR FINDING TECHNIQUES I	FOR	Technical
IMAGES REPRESENTED BY QUADTRI	555	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
		TR-857
Hanan Samet		DAAG-53-76C-0138
Computer Vision Laboratory, C Science Center, University of College Park, MD 20742	Computer Maryland,	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK Area & Work Unit Numbers
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
U.S. Army Night Vision Labora	atory	January 1980
FL. BEIVOIF, VA 22060		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
- MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSII dillerent from	Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		Unclassified
		154 DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
,		SCHEDULE
Approved for public release; . OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed entered in Bio	distributic	n unlimited.
Approved for public release; OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Bin Supplementary notes	distributic	n unlimited.
Approved for public release; Approved for public release; OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Bio SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and ide Image processing Pattern recognition Quadtrees Neighbors	distributic	n unlimited.
Approved for public release; Approved for public release; OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed in Bio SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES XEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and iden Image processing Pattern recognition Quadtrees Neighbors Asstract (Continue on reverse side if necessary and iden A number of different technic adjacent blocks in an image n adjacencies may be in the hon directions. Algorithms are of analyzed using a suitably def	distribution bek 20. If different from multy by block number gues are pro- represented rizontal, vo given and the ined model	esented for moving betwee by a quadtree. These ertical, or diagonal heir execution time is
Approved for public release; Approved for public release; OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed in Bill SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and ident Image processing Pattern recognition Quadtrees Neighbors ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and ident A number of different technic adjacent blocks in an image r adjacencies may be in the hor directions. Algorithms are of analyzed using a suitably def	distributio	esented for moving betwee by a quadtree. These ertical, or diagonal heir execution time is