





AD		
<u> </u>	_	 _

AD-E400 477

MEMORANDUM REPORT ARSCD-MR-80001

RADAR CLUTTER MODELS AT 95GHZ

R. D. HAYES



80 9 11 061

Sec. in State

A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER

SEPTEMBER 1980



9

AD A 08998

US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND FIRE CONTROL AND SMALL CALIBER WEAPON SYSTEMS LABORATORY DOVER, NEW JERSEY

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

The citation in this report of the names of commercial firms or commercially available products or services does not constitute official endorsement or approval of such commercial firms, products, or services by the United States Government.

UNCLASSIFIED		
ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date	Entered)	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
Momentandum Demant ADSCD MD 80001	A 800 8	k.
Memorandum Report ARSCD-MR-80001	AD-HOOTT A	S TYPE OF REPORT & REBIOD COVERED
(LE (and Subtrite)		
RADAR CUUTTER MODELS AT 95GH7		Memorandum Report
RUAR CLOTTER HODELS AT Sound		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(+)	······································	B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.)
Dr. R. D. Hayes		
		10 PROCESS & ENERT PROJECT TASK
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
ARRADCOM, FC&SCWSL	20)	
Fire Control Division (DRDAR-SCF	-00)	AMCMS G126, 17, H190
		DA PROL. 11.16261/AH19
ADDADCOM TCD		Sontombor 1080
AKKADUUM, ISU CTINIC (DDDAD TSC)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$		17
A. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I dilloren	t from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
		<u> </u>
	<u></u>	
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (or the additact entered	IN BIOCK 20, IT different ind	n Koport)
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary an	identily by block number;	
Radar clutter models		
MIIIIMETER WAVE		
ABSTRACT (Continue as reverse stills if meressary as	d identify by block number)	
The purpose of this study was to the past 5 years on radar reflec	collect data whition from materia	ich have been presented over al targets and surfaces such
(AFCORS) Program Since the dat	a will be used in	n an air defense annlication
to assist in the prediction of s grazing angles are considered.	ystem operation	and limitations, only low
D 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 15 0000		ASSIFIED
		NOT LI LUD

......

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

الأبرد والكروفات والك

Carlo and a select

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ł

CAN HALFE

4

Introduction	1
Analysis	1
Trees Rain Snow	1 2 9
Recommendations	9
References	12
Distribution List	13
FIGURES	
1 Normalized frequency spectra of the return from decidous trees	3
2 Decorrelation time of radar backscatter fr trees	om 4
3 Least mean square fit to radar backscatter data, VV polarization	5
4 Decorrelation time of radar backscatter fr rain	'o m 7
5 95 GHz noncoherent spectrum, rain and tree	s 8
6 98 GHz low angle grasing multipath signal variations	10
	1

Access	ton For			
NTL	0.1 \\$I	Z		
LULL 1	··· , 			
Dr. B	• •	L I		
1.2	' .on			
PV				
L Di et	tion/			
Avol	bility	Codes		
Avail and/or				
Dist	Special			
4				

LE SANGAR

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study was to collect the data which have been presented (references 1-8) over the past 5 years on the radar reflection from natural targets and surfaces for use on the Advanced Fire Control Radar System (AFCORS) program. Since the data will be used in an air defense application to assist in the prediction of system operation and limitations, only low grazing angles are considered.

ANALYSIS

Trees

The amplitude of returns from trees can be described by a log-normal distribution for most of the data recorded. Under some conditions a Weibull distribution was more descriptive and only rarely (about 5% of the data) did a Rayleigh distribution properly describe the data.

Thus the description of a signal with amplitude variations following a log-normal distribution with an average cross-section per unit area, horizontal polarization, is given by

 $\frac{1}{25} = -15+15 \log \frac{1}{25} - 8 \log \lambda /0.32 \frac{2}{28} m /m^2$

and a standard deviation of 5.8 dB (at 95 GHz)/would be most appropriate for a radar operating between 0- and 25degrees grazing angles.

From the reported data, wet foliage produced returns which were 5 dB stronger than dry foliage. The vertically polarized returns were 3 to 4 dB higher than the horizontally polarized returns.

The frequency contained in the noncoherent spectrum of the pulsed radar return has two predominant returns. One component is very narrow in the frequency domain (less than 4 Hz wide), and some 15 to 20 dB greater than the high frequency components. The high frequency components have a slower decay at 95 GHz than at 10 GHz. The 95 GHz spectrum can be modeled from the equation



This type of high frequency content has been observed at millimeter wavelengths and even at X-band when narrow antenna beams and short pulse (less than 100 ns) have been employed. The spectral content is much higher than previously observed at low radar frequencies such as Lband, and for radars employing longer pulselengths (lus), where the spectral content was adequately described by a Gaussian function. The half power value of F is a function of wind speed and the value of 35 Hz appears to be appropriate for wind blown trees in the range from 8 to 15 mph. In addition to 95 GHz radar data, 9.4 GHz data have been collected for wind blown trees in full foliage. These data reveal a more rapid decay in frequency components as compared to 95GHz. The equation

and the second of the second o



represents the fast moving components above the D.C. Again, this is a slower decay in frequency components than would be expressed by a Gaussian function with a half power value at 9 Hz. Figure 1 plots the F functions.

Wind blown trees (figure 2) also produce radar returns which decorrelate faster than fixed objects. Measurements at 95 GHz show that trees in a wind of 8 to 15 mph will decorrelate linearly in 10 to 1 ms, respectively. This is an order of magnitude faster than observations made at X-band.

Rain

Radar backscatter and attenuation are a function of both the radar wavelength and the rain rate. At X-band, the drop size is small relative to the radar wavelength and the scattering characteristics follow the Rayleigh function. At 95 GHz, the droplet size is comparable to a wavelength and the resonant phenomena as described by Mie must be applied. Radar backscatter in rain is indicated in Figure 3.



And a state of the state of the

• 67•



Decorrelation time of radar backscatter from trees

.....

5

Marine State

Statistics Statistics Statistics

The measured backscatterfor rain has been reported

as

 $\sigma = 1.03 \times 10^{-8} \times R^{-8} m/m^{2}, 9.4 \text{ GHz}$ v $\sigma = - \times 10^{-5} \times R^{-5} m/m^{2}, 95 \text{ GHz}.$

About these average values, there is a \pm 5 dB variation about the 95 GHz data as a result of variation in drop size, regardless of rain rate. The variation at 9.4 GHz is less than that observed at 95 GHz. On the average the backscatter σ_v for horizontal polarization is larger than Δ for vertical polorization. The backscatter at both polarizations is also drop size dependent with a result that the overall effect is minor in choosing between horizontal and vertical polarization. Decorrelation time of radar backscatter from rain as a function of frequency is shown in figure 4.

Attenuation of microwaves and millimeter wave radars is a result of sbosrption and scattering. As such, the attenuation is a function of rain droplet size and shows a variation during measurements very similar to variations in the measured backscatter. Average attenuation values can be determined from the equations

α=	1.16 0.00919 x R	6 dB/km	one	way,	9.r	GHz
α=	0.64 1.6 x R	dB/km one	way,	95 GI	iz	

Figure 5 shows how the spectra from trees and moderate rain can limit the Doppler return from moving targets at slow speeds. For example, a vehicle moving at 5 mph in a 5 mm/hr rain will be limited to less than 20 dB signal-to-clutter improvement in the Doppler domain. The Gaussian curve on this figure has the same half power width as the rain spectrum (160 Hz), and if used as a model for the width of rain frequency components, would predict a high signal to rain clutter, thuspermitting better detection than if the measured data were employed and leads to an optimistic detection in adverse weather.

And the state of the second second second second

Station in the second

4 . A. M

and the second second

This modulation of the carrier signal is caused by scattering within the radar cell of resolution. Thus, the spectra are repeated around the PRF. Care must be taken not to use a high Puls Repatition Frequency (PRF) which permits the "negative frequency" spectra from clutter to raise the effective noise level in the Doppler Filters. Another observation to make is that the radial motion of a rain storm creates a Doppler in addition to the internal motion represented in Figure 5. As a matter of fact, the same $1/f^2$ (95 GHz F function) spectra is wrapped around the Doppler of the actual storm movement. This can lead to further limitations for target detection in adverse weather.

Snow

Attenuation of signals through fresh falling dry snow has been observed to be very small at C-, X-, and Ku-bands. This is somewhat expected since dry snow comprises a small amount of ice crystal structure, but consists mostly 8 air, in given volume. Wet sonw has been observed to attenuate signals in about the same magnitude as light rain. Calibrated data are insufficient to permit comparison with theoretical calcualtions. Backscatter returns from snow covered ground, (figure 6) is a function of the snow surface roughness and freewater content of the sonw. Since the attenuation through metamorphic snow is high (greater than 100 dB/meter) at frequencies of 9 GHz and higher, then there is no effect of the earth surface when 0.15 meters or more snow is present on the ground. For frozen metamorphic snow, the magnitude is comparable to the backscatter from short grass at 35 GHz. Backscatter at 95 GHz is some 8 dB higher than at 35 GHz and is comparable to returns from short grass at 95 GHz. At all frequencies from 10 to 100 GHz, the radar backscatter is a function of the grazing angle. The presence of as little as 3% free water in the surface layer caused a decrease of 10 to 15 dB in the backscatter. Thus, the difference between day and night is dramatic.

Measurements at 35, 95, and 140 GHz show that at low grazing angles there is as much as a 25 dB variation in the forward scattering signal. This has been identified in a multipath environment and represents a source of tracking error when near horizontal line-of-sight geometry is encountered.

RELATIVE SIGNAL INTENSITY VS RECEIVER NEIGHT: 98 GHz. Vertical and Morizon-tal Polarization. We Snow Cover.

RELATIVE SIGNAL INTENSITY VS RECEIVER HEIGHT: 98 GHz. Vertical Polariza-tion. Sleet (8 cm).

.

From: Dr.D.T.Hayes, EASCON , Oct.1979

98 GHz low angle grazing multipath signal Figure 6. variations.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The following areas are recommended fpr further investigation:

1. Undertake a multifrequency, multipolarization, high resolution measurement program at millimeterwave frequencies to characterize forward scatter multipath in various types of terrian.

2. Undertake a multifrequency millimeterwave measurement program to characterize propagation phenomena through various precipitants-dust, aerosols, etc.

3. Carry out measurements of drop size distribution in order to obtain better attenuation model of rain.

REFERENCES

- 1. R.D Hayes, et al, "Backscatter from Ground Vegetation at Frequencies Between 10 and 100 GHz, "AP-SInternational Symposium, IEEE 76 CH 1121-BAP.
- 2. R.D. Hayes, "95 GHz Pulsed Radar Returns from Trees," EASCON 1979, IEEE 79 CH 1476-1 AES.
- 3. N.C. Currie, F.B. Dyer and R.D. Hayes, :Some Propertiesof Radar Retruns form Rain at 9.375, 35, 70, and 95 GHz, "IEE 1975 International Radar Conference, IEEE 75 CH 0938-1 AES.
- R.D. Hayes, et al "Reflecitivity and Emissivity of 4. Snow and Ground at MM Waves, "IEEE 1980 International Radar Confrence, IEEE 80 CH 1493-6 AES.
- R.D. Hayes, "Clutter Returns from Ground Radars," Re-5. mote Sensing Workshop on Radar Backscatter, Jan. 1979.
- R.N. Trebits, R.D. Hayes and L.C. Bomar, "Millimeter 6. Wave Reflectivity of Land and Sea," Microwave Journal, Aug. 1978.
- 7. M.P.M. Hall, S.M. Cherry, J.W. Godderd, "Use of Dual Polarization Radar to Measure Rain Fall Rates and Distinguish Rain From Ice Patricles, IEEE International Radar Conference, May 1980.
- 8. D.T. Hayes, et al, "Backscatter form Ground Vegtation at Frequencies Between 10 and 100 GHz, "AP-S Internation Symposium 1976, IEEE 76 CH 1121-2AP.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station (12) Alexandria, VA 22314

Weapon System Concept Team ICSL Attention: DRDAR-ACW Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

Technical Library Attention: DRDAR-CLJ-L Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

Director U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ARRADCOM Attention: DRDAR-TSB-S Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Bemet Weapons Laboratory Technical Library Attention: DRDAR-LCB-TL Waterliet, NY 12189

Commander U.S. Army Armament Materiel Readiness Command Attention: DRSAR-LEP-L Rock Island, IL 61299

Director U.S. Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity Attention: ATTA-SL (Technical Library) White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity Attention: DRXSY-MP Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander

U.S. Army Armament Research and Development Command Attention: DRDAR-SC DRDAR-SCF DRDAR-SCF-D (5) DRDAR-SCF-I DRDAR-SCP DRDAR-TSS (5) DRDAR-LUC-C DRDAR-AC DRDAR-SCS-E

Dover, NJ 07801