



Department of Mathematics and Statistics The University of South Carolina Columbia, South Carolina 29208



AFOSR-TR- 80-0958





ON ESTIMATION OF RELIABILITY FOR THE BIRNBAUM-SAUNDERS FATIGUE LIFE MODEL*

by

W. J. Padgett

University of South Carolina Statistics Technical Report No. 64 / 62N05-5



Department of Mathematics and Statistics

July, 1980

University of South Carolina Columbia, South Carolina 29208

> AIR FORCE DEFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC) NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO DDC This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for public release IAW AFR 190-12 (7b) Distribution is unlimited. A. D. BLOSE Technical Information Officer

*Research supported by the United States Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Contract No. F49620-79-C-0140.

ABSTRACT

The Birnbaum-Saunders fatigue life distribution with shape parameter α and scale parameter β is considered. The scale parameter β is also the median lifetime, and assuming that β is known, Bayes estimators of the reliability function are obtained for a family of proper conjugate, priors as well as for Jeffreys' vague prior for α . When both (a) and (b) are unknown, a modified Bayes estimator $(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{B}}^{*}(t))$ of the reliability is proposed using a moment estimator of (b). In addition to being computationally simpler than the maximum likelihood estimator (mle) of reliability, Monte Carlo simulations for small samples show that $(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{B}}^{*}(t))$ is better than the method of moments estimator for all (a) and as good as the mle for small α in the sense of mean squared errors.

Key Words: Fatigue life data; Bayes estimation; Method of moments; Maximum likelihood.

Accession For NTIS GALAT DIEC TAB m Unannounced Justificate Bv Distribution/ Availability Codos Avail and/or Dist Special

R + sub-B(t)

TB

1. INTRODUCTION

With the relatively small amounts of fatigue life data that can be obtained for a specific type of structure, many of the two parameter lifetime models such as the gamma, lognormal, or Weibull distribution can be fitted reasonably well with respect to mean and variance. However, there is a wide discrepancy among the models for predicting the higher percentiles of the fatigue lifetime variable. Birnbaum and Saunders (1969a, 1969b) proposed a two parameter life distribution for material failure due to fatigue crack extension under cyclic loading.

The Birnbaum - Saunders fatigue life distribution has probability density function

$$f(t; \alpha, \beta) = (2\sqrt{2\pi} \alpha \beta t^2)^{-1} (t^2 - \beta^2) / [(t/\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\beta/t)^{\frac{1}{2}}]$$

× exp [-(t/\beta + \beta/t - 2)/2\alpha^2], t > 0, (1.1)

with $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and reliability function

$$R(t;\alpha,\beta) = \phi \left[-((t/\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\beta/t)^{\frac{1}{2}})/\alpha \right], \quad t > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ denotes the standard normal cumulative distribution function. The parameters α and β can be interpreted as shape and scale parameters, respectively. Also, β is the median life (Saunders, 1974) and the mean and variance are, respectively, $\mu = \beta(1 + \alpha^2/2)$ and $\sigma^2 = (\alpha\beta)^2(1 + 5\alpha^2/4)$. Other properties of the distribution are described by Birnbaum and Saunders (1969a, 1969b) or Mann, Schafer, and Singpurwalla (1974). Saunders (1974) studied a ξ -normal family of

random variables closed under reciprocation, of which the density (1.1) is a member. He discussed moment estimation as well as other estimators of β , since the maximum likelihood estimator (mle) of β cannot be found in closed form.

In this paper we consider estimation of the reliability function (1.2) by Bayesian and "modified Bayesian" techniques. Bayes estimators for a proper conjugate family of priors on a and vague priors on a will be given in Section 3, assuming β is known. This is reasonable since β is the median or "characteristic life," and information concerning β may be known. In Section 4 a modified Bayes estimator of (1.2) is proposed and compared with the mle and method of moments estimator (mme) of (1.2) for small samples. Monte Carlo simulation results indicate that the modified Bayes estimator is better than the mme but not uniformly as good as the mle. However, the mle must be computed by Newton's or other numerical iteration procedures, whereas the modified Bayes and mme are easily computed in closed form. An example is given in Section 5.

2. ESTIMATION OF α AND β

Point estimation of α and β has been investigated by Birnbaum and Saunders (1969b) and, for the more general ξ -normal family, by Saunders (1974). Their main results will be stated briefly in this section for completeness.

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n denote a random sample from the pdf (1.1). Birnbaum and Saunders (1969b) showed that the maximum likelihood estimate $\hat{\beta}$ of β is the unique positive solution of $g(x) = x^2 - x[2r + K(x)] +$ r[s + K(x)] = 0, where

$$\mathbf{r} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{X_{i}}\right)^{-1}, \qquad \mathbf{s} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i},$$

and

$$K(x) = \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x + X_i)^{-1}\right]^{-1}$$

are the harmonic mean, arithmetic mean, and harmonic mean function, respectively. In addition, $r < \hat{\beta} < s$. The mle of α is then $\hat{\alpha} = (s/\hat{\beta} + \hat{\beta}/r - 2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the mle of reliability (1.2) is given by $R(t; \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) = \Phi E((t/\hat{\beta})^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\hat{\beta}/t)^{\frac{1}{2}})/\hat{\alpha}]$.

Saunders (1974) discussed several other estimators of β . First, the method of moments estimator $\beta^* = (rs)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ was shown to be a consistent estimator of β . The corresponding mme of α was $\alpha^* = (s/\beta^* + \beta^*/r - 2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In addition, the geometric mean $\beta_n = (\prod_{i=1}^n X_i)^{1/n}$ was shown to a consistent estimator of β as well as the median estimator defined by

$$\widetilde{\beta}_{n} = \begin{cases} X_{(k+1)} & \text{if } n = 2k+1 \\ (X_{(k)}X_{(k+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } n = 2k \end{cases},$$

where $X_{(k)}$ denotes the kth order statistic of the sample. Thus, the corresponding estimators of (1.2), R(t; a*, β *), etc., are consistent.

It is known (Birnbaum and Saunders, 1969b and Saunders, 1974) that

for small α ($\alpha < 1$) the mle β and the mame β^* virtually agree. However, as a result of the simulation in Section 4 of this paper (see Table 2), for $\alpha \ge 1$ the mean squared error of β^* is much larger than that of β for small samples.

3. BAYES ESTIMATION OF RELIABILITY FOR KNOWN MEDIAN LIFE

Assuming that the scale parameter and median β is known, Bayes estimators of the reliability function $R(t; \alpha, \beta)$ are obtained in this section with respect to squared error loss. First, a proper conjugate family of priors is used, and then a vague prior of Jeffreys is considered. A Bayesian analysis with both parameters α and β unknown seems to be mathematically intractable. Thus, a modified Bayes estimator of $R(t; \alpha, \beta)$ is proposed for the vague priors, where β is estimated by the moment estimators in Section 2, and is compared with the mle by Monte Carlo simulations in Section 4.

The likelihood of the random sample x_1, \ldots, x_n for known β is

$$L(\alpha | x_1, ..., x_n) = K_1 \alpha^{-n} \exp(-K_2/\alpha^2)$$
, (3.1)

where

$$K_{1} = (2\sqrt{2\pi} \beta)^{-n} \frac{\pi}{\pi} \frac{x_{1}^{2} - \beta^{2}}{x_{1} [(x_{1}/\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\beta/x_{1})^{\frac{1}{2}}]}$$

and

$$K_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i/\beta + \beta/x_i - 2)/2$$

As a prior distribution, let α^2 have an inverted gamma distribution with parameters (ν, δ) , denoted $IG(\nu, \delta)$, and pdf

$$g(\alpha^2) = [\delta \Gamma (\nu)]^{-1} (\delta/\alpha^2)^{\nu} + 1 \exp(-\delta/\alpha^2), \alpha^2 > 0, (\nu, \delta > 0),$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the usual gamma function. That is, α^{-1} is the square-root of a gamma distributed random variable. After straightforward integration of (3.1) multiplied by $g(\alpha^2)$, the posterior distribution of α^2 , given the data, is $IG(\nu + n/2, \delta + K_2)$. Therefore, α^{-1} , given x_1, \ldots, x_n , is distributed as the square-root of a gamma random variable with parameters $(\nu + n/2, (\delta + K_2)^{-1})$. Thus, Lemma 1 of Padgett and Wei (1977) may be applied to obtain the Bayes estimate of $R(t; \alpha, \beta)$ with respect to a squared error loss function as

$$R_{PB}(t) = E_{\alpha-1}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \{ \Phi[-\alpha^{-1}((t/\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\beta/t)^{\frac{1}{2}}] \}$$
$$= P[T_{2\nu + n} < -c ((\nu + n/2)/(\delta + K_2))^{\frac{1}{2}}], \quad (3.2)$$

where T_k is a random variable having student's t distribution with k degrees of freedom.

If there is very little information available concerning α , a vague or noninformative prior may be used to obtain a (proper) posterior distribution for α . Since the transformed random variable $Z_i = (X_i/\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\beta/X_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for known β has a normal distribution with mean zero and variance α^2 for each i=1, ..., n, the Fisher's information $I_n(\alpha)$ can be found to be $-n/\alpha^2$. Thus, Jeffrey's noninformative (improper) prior $p(\alpha) \propto \alpha^{-1}$, $\alpha > 0$, is used for α (Box and Tiao,

1973). It should be noted that for the case that both α and β are unknown Box and Tiao's (1973) vague prior idea of taking the prior as $p(\beta | \alpha)^{\alpha}$ constant and $p(\alpha)^{\alpha} \alpha^{-1}$ apparently does not result in a mathematically tractable posterior distribution.

For the improper prior $p(\alpha) \propto \alpha^{-1}$, the (proper) posterior pdf of α , given x_1, \ldots, x_n , is

$$f(\alpha | x_1, ..., x_n) = \frac{2K_2^{n/2} \exp(-K_2/\alpha^2)}{\Gamma(n/2) \alpha^{n+1}}, \alpha > 0$$

where K_2 is defined as before. Therefore, the Bayes estimator of $R(t; \alpha, \beta)$ with respect to a squared-error loss function is given by the expectation

$$R_{B}(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi(-c/\alpha) f(\alpha | x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) d\alpha$$
$$= E_{\Theta} \left[\Phi(-c \Theta^{-1} x_{2}) \right], \qquad (3.3)$$

where $c = (t/\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\beta/t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and 0^{-1} has a gamma distribution with parameters $(n/2, K_2^{-1})$. Applying Lemma 1 of Padgett and Wei (1977) to the expectation in (3.3) gives the Bayes estimator as

$$R_{B}(t) = P[T_{n} < -c (n/2K_{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}], t > 0$$
, (3.4)

where T has student's t distribution with n degrees of freedom.

In the case that the median lifetime β is also unknown, but some prior information about α is known, a "modified Bayes" estimator may be obtained from (3.2) by choosing appropriate inverted gamma prior

parameters and using one of the estimators of β given in Section 2. Also, in the case of unknown β with vague prior information about α a modified Bayes estimator may be obtained from (3.4) by replacing β with $\hat{\beta}$, β^* , or another estimator from Section 2. In the next section, the behavior of this second modified Bayes estimator is indicated by Monte Carlo simulation results when β^* is used for β in (3.4). Denote this estimator by $R_B^*(t)$. The simulations indicate that $R^*(t)$ is generally better than the mme $R^*(t) = R(t; \alpha^*, \beta^*)$ for all α and as good as the mle $\hat{R}(t) = R(t; \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$ only when $\alpha \leq 1$. However, the drawback of the mle is that $\hat{\beta}$ must be approximated iteratively as discussed in Section 2.

4. SMALL SAMPLE COMPARISONS OF ESTIMATORS OF RELIABILITY

For small samples direct analytical comparisons of the mle $R(\hat{t})$. $R^{*}(t)$, and modified Bayes estimator $R_{R}^{*}(t)$ given in Sections 2 and 3 are not feasible due to the mathematical complexity of these estimators. Hence, Monte Carlo simulations were performed to compare the biases and mean squared errors of the estimators. For several values of the parameters α and β , 1000 random samples of size n(= 10, 30) were generated from (1.1). For each sample the mle α , β , and R(t), the mme α^* , β^* , and $R^{\star}(t)$, and the modified Bayes estimate $R^{\star}_{R}(t)$ were computed for several values of time t. The average squared error (ASE) and average estimated reliability (AER) for each of the three estimates of R(t) were computed over the 1000 samples. Table 1 shows some of the simulation results. Since β is a scale parameter the results in Table 1 do not depend on the specific value of β . Time t was chosen as multiples of β . The same results were obtained when 2000 samples were used to generate the ASE and AER instead of 1000.

TABLE 1. Averages and ASE(×10⁻²) of Estimators of R(t; α , β) (times t_i = i $\beta/2$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4)

(a) n = 10

		True	MLE R(t)		MME R [*] (t)		Mod. Bayes $R_{B}^{*}(t)$	
α	Time	$R(t;\alpha,\beta)$	AER	ASE	AER	ASE	AER	ASE
0.10	t ₁	1.000	1.000	0.000	1.000	0.000	1.000	0.000
	t2	0.500	0.488	2.011	0.488	2.011	0.489	1.893
	t ₁	0.998	0.995	0.008	0.995	0.008	0.990	0.022
0.25	t ₂	0.500	0.488	2.001	0.488	2.000	0.489	1.883
	t ₃	0.051	0.051	0.209	0.051	0.209	0.063	0.234
0.50	tı	0.921	0.924	0.382	0.924	0.385	0.911	0.391
	t ₂	0.500	0.488	1.964	0.488	1.964	0.488	1.845
	t ₃	0.207	0.198	1.032	0.198	1.032	0.208	0.949
1.00	t ₁	0.760	0.776	1,140	0.776	1.172	0.767	1.071
	t ₂	0.500	0.488	1.820	0.489	1.841	0.489	1.733
	t	0.342	0.335	1.437	0.334	1.456	0.339	1.355
	t ₄	0.240	0.221	1.190	0.220	1.212	0.229	1.104
5.00	t ₁	0.556	0.566	0.542	0.569	0.776	0.567	0.727
	t_2^{-}	0.500	0.493	0.649	0.493	0.869	0.493	0.823
	t ₃	0.467	0.466	0.505	0.465	0.711	0.466	0.670
	t ₄	0.444	0.431	0.588	0.423	0.849	0.430	0.795

TABLE 1. (cont'd)

(b) n = 30

		True	MLE $\hat{R}(t)$		MME $R^{\star}(t)$		Mod. Bayes $R_B^*(t)$	
α	Time	R(t;α, β)	AER	ASE	AER	ASE	AER	ASE
0.25	t ₁	0.998	0.997	0.002	0.997	0.002	0.995	0.003
	t ₂	0.500	0.501	0.533	0.501	0.532	0.501	0.523
	t ₃	0.051	0.052	0.081	0.052	0.081	0.057	0.086
<u> </u>	t ₁	0.921	0.923	0.136	0.923	0.136	0.918	0.136
0.50	t ₂	0.500	0.501	0.514	0.501	0.514	0.501	0.505
	t ₃	0.207	0.204	0.348	0.205	0.350	0.208	0.339
	t _l	0.760	0.765	0.366	0.765	0.377	0.762	0.364
1 00	t ₂	0.500	0.501	0.444	0.501	0.455	0.501	0.447
1.00	t ₃	0.342	0.339	0.418	0.339	0.440	0.340	0.429
	t ₄	0.240	0.236	0.376	0.237	0.392	0.240	0.380
3.00	t ₁	0.593	0.597	0.185	0.597	0.258	0.596	0.253
	t ₂	0.500	0.501	0.160	0.501	0.226	0.501	0.222
	t ₃	0.446	0.444	0.163	0.444	0.245	0.444	0.241
	t ₄	0.407	0.403	0.186	0.404	0.271	0.405	0.265
5.00	t ₁	0.556	0.559	0.078	0.559	0.140	0.558	0.138
	t ₂	0.500	0.501	0.065	0.501	0.119	0.501	0.117
	t ₃	0.467	0.466	0.068	0.466	0.132	0.466	0.130

	T	ME	MME		
(α,β)	â	β	a*	β*	
	0.01631	0,290	0.0163 ¹	0.290	
(0.1, 30) (0.25, 50)	0.1018	4.968	0.1018	4.972	
(0.50, 50)	0.4381	19.517	0.4382	19.536	
(1.0, 200)	1.6420	1033.9	1.6444	1086.3	
(3.0, 200)	15.262	3106.3	15.257	5143.3	
(5.0, 100)	45.897	1013.7	45.973	2315.4	

TABLE 2. ASE of Estimators of α and β for n = 30.

 $1_{ASE} \times 10^{-2}$ for α

Table 2 shows some of the ASE's for the mle and mome of α and β . As indicated in Section 2, the estimator β^* is in agreement with $\hat{\beta}$ for $\alpha < 1$, but differs from $\hat{\beta}$ considerably in mean squared error for $\alpha \ge 1$.

As the simulation results of Table 1 indicate, the modified Bayes estimator $R_B^*(t)$ is better in mean squared error than the moment estimator $R^*(t)$ for all values of α . It also is no worse than the mle for $\alpha \leq 1$, although the performance of the mle is better than that of $R_B^*(t)$ for $\alpha > 1$. The biases of all three estimators are similar for all values of α .

In addition to using the moment estimator β^* to modify the Bayes estimator (3.4), the other consistent closed form estimators of β , $\beta_n^v = (\prod_{i=1}^n X_i)^{1/n}$ and the median β_n^v , stated in Section 2 were used.

These produced slightly larger ASE than $R_B^{\star}(t)$ did. Also, if it is known that α is near a specific value, one of the proper inverted gamma priors of Section 3 could be used to produce a modified Bayes estimator from (3.2). This would generally be a better estimator than $R_B^{\star}(t)$.

5. EXAMPLE

As an example, some of the fatigue failure data of Birnbaum and Saunders (1958) will be used. Specifically, the failure times for 101 strips of 6061-T6 aluminum sheeting which were recorded under testing with periodic loading at 18 cycles per second and maximum stress of 31,000 psi will be used to estimate the reliability by $\hat{R}(t)$, $R^*(t)$, and $\frac{R^*}{B}(t)$. This data is known to follow approximately the distribution (1.1).

Newton's method yielded the mle of β as $\beta = 1336.37$, and the mle of α was $\hat{\alpha} = 0.31032$. The moment estimator of β was $\beta^* = 1340.86$, and $\alpha^* = 0.31034$. Table 3 gives the estimates of reliability $R(t; \alpha, \beta)$ for several values of t.

t	600	800	1000	1200	1400	1600	1800	2000
R(t)	0.9960	0.9527	0.8258	0.6357	0.4404	0.2806	0.1677	0.0954
R*(t)	0.9961	0.9538	0.8286	0.6398	0.4447	0.2843	0.1705	0.0973
R*(t)	0.9955	0.9522	0.8274	0.6394	0.4448	0.2849	0.1716	0.0988

TABLE 3. RELIABILITY ESTIMATION FOR ALUMINUM SHEETING

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

For the case that the median life (or scale parameter) β is known, Bayes estimators of the reliability function for the Birnbaum-Saunders model have been presented with respect to squared error loss functions and a proper conjugate family of priors (square root of gamma) or a vague prior. If both parameters α and β are unknown, Bayes solutions for reliability in a compact form seem to be extremely difficult. Hence, an appealing modified Bayes estimator $R_B^{\star}(t)$ is proposed in which the value of β is replaced by the moment estimate β^{\star} in the Bayes solution (3.4). This modified Bayes estimator is easily computed from Student's t distribution whereas the maximum likelihood estimator of reliability must be computed by an iteration procedure for the mle $\hat{\beta}$ of β . The modified Bayes estimator has been shown by computer simulation results in Section 4 to be preferable in the sense of mean squared error to the method of moments estimator $R^*(t)$ for all values of α . In addition to being computationally simpler than the mle, the simulations indicate that $R^*_B(t)$ is as good as the mle in mean squared error for $\alpha \leq 1$. The biases of all three of the estimators of reliability are approximately the same.

It should also be remarked that minimum variance unbiased estimators of α , β , or $R(t; \alpha, \beta)$ are not available due to the difficulty in obtaining jointly sufficient complete statistics for α and β . The pdf (1.1) is not of the exponential form.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to Professor John Spurrier for helpful discussions concerning this paper.

REFERENCES

- Birnbaum, Z. W. and Saunders, S. C. (1958). A statistical model for life length of material. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 53, 151-160.
- Birnbaum, Z. W. and Saunders, S. C. (1969a). A new family of life distributions. Journal of Applied Probability, 6, 319-327.
- Birnbaum, Z. W. and Saunders, S. C. (1969b). Estimation for a family of life distributions with applications to fatigue. Journal of Applied Probability, 6, 328-347.
- Box, G. E. P. and Tiao, G. C. (1973). <u>Bayesian Inference in Statistical</u> Analysis. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Mann, N. R., Schafer, R. E. and Singpurwalla, N. D. (1974). <u>Methods</u> for Statistical Analysis of Reliability and Life Data. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Padgett, W. J. and Wei, L. J. (1977). Bayes estimation of reliability for the two-parameter lognormal distribution. <u>Communications in</u> <u>Statistics - Theory and Methods</u>, <u>A6</u>, 443-457.
- Saunders, S. C. (1974). A family of random variables closed under reciprocation. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 69, 533-539.

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS JREPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE BEFORE COMPLETING FORM . GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER AD-A089 926 0958 17 TYPE OF HEFORT & "ERIOD COVERED TLE (and Subtitle) ON ESTIMATION OF RELIABILITY FOR THE BIRNBAUM-Interim Y 45 1%. SAUNDERS FATIGUE LIFE MODEL PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER / UTHORICAL CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#) F49620-79-C-0140 W. J./Padgett 12 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK University of South Carolina 61102F 2304/A5 Department of Mathematics and Statistics Columbia, South Carolina 29208 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS REPORT DATA Julian 980 Air Force Office of Scientific Research/NM Bolling AFB, Washington, D.C. 20332 NUMBER OF PAGES 17 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSUL different from Controlling Office) 18. SECURITY CLASS. (at this report) (; -1 1 UNCLASSIFIED 15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, Il different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Cantinue an reverse aide it necessary and identify by Mark mimber) Fatigue life data; Bayes estimation; Method of moments; Maximum likelihood. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The Birnbaum-Saunders fatigue life distribution with shape parameter a and scale parameter β is considered. The scale parameter β is also the median lifetime, and assuming that β is known, Bayes estimators of the reliability function are obtained for a family of proper conjugate priors as well as for Jeffrey's vague prior for α . When both α and β are unknown, a modified Bayes estimator $R_{B}^{+}(t)$ of the reliability is proposed using a moment estimator of β . In addition to being computationally simpler than the maximum likelihood estimator (mle) of reliability, Monte Carlo simulations for small samples DD + JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV SE IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PARE (Then Date Anteres) 410442 where a star fairly a solar provident for the

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

20. (continued)

show that $R_B^{*}(t)$ is better than the method of moments estimator for all α and as good as the mle for small α in the sense of mean squared errors.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(Then Date Entered)